

KRATOS DEFENSE & SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.
Form DEF 14A
April 07, 2016

[QuickLinks](#) -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

Kratos Defense & Security Solutions, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - (5) Total fee paid:

Edgar Filing: KRATOS DEFENSE & SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC. - Form DEF 14A

- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
 - o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - (3) Filing Party:
 - (4) Date Filed:
-

April 7, 2016

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2016 Annual Meeting of Kratos Defense & Security Solutions, Inc. ("Kratos"), which will be held at the Irvine Amenities Center located at 9540 Towne Centre Drive, Suite 175, San Diego, California 92121, on Thursday, May 19, 2016, at 9:00 a.m. local time. We hope you will be able to attend the meeting in person.

At our annual meeting, our stockholders will be asked to elect the eight directors named herein to our Board of Directors; to ratify the Board's selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm; to cast an advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers; and to transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof. Following the formal annual meeting, we will also present a report on our operations and activities, and management will be pleased to answer your questions about us and our business.

Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting personally, and regardless of the number of shares of Kratos common stock you own, it is important that your shares be represented at the annual meeting. This year, we are pleased to take advantage of rules enacted by the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") that allow companies to furnish their proxy materials over the Internet. As a result, we are mailing to most of our stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice") instead of a paper copy of our proxy materials, which include the Notice of Annual Meeting, our proxy statement, our 2015 Annual Report and a proxy card or voting instruction form. The Notice contains instructions on how to access those documents on the Internet and how to cast your vote via the Internet or by telephone. The Notice also contains instructions on how to request a paper copy of our proxy materials. All stockholders who do not receive the Notice will receive a paper copy of the proxy materials by mail. If you have received a paper copy of our proxy materials you can cast your vote by completing the enclosed proxy card and returning it in the postage-prepaid envelope provided or by utilizing the telephone or Internet voting systems.

Sincerely,

Eric DeMarco
President and Chief Executive Officer

KRATOS DEFENSE & SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

4820 EASTGATE MALL, SUITE 200
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121
(858) 812-7300

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To be held on May 19, 2016

To the Stockholders of Kratos Defense & Security Solutions, Inc.:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Kratos Defense & Security Solutions, Inc. (the "Company") will be held on Thursday, May 19, 2016, at 9:00 a.m. local time at the Irvine Amenities Center located at 9540 Towne Centre Drive, Suite 175, San Diego, California 92121 for the following purposes:

1. To elect the following eight nominees as directors to serve until the next annual meeting, or until their successors are duly elected and qualified: Scott Anderson, Bandel Carano, Eric DeMarco, William Hoglund, Scot Jarvis, Jane Judd, Samuel Liberatore, and Amy Zegart.
2. To ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 25, 2016.
3. An advisory (non-binding) vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers as presented in the proxy statement accompanying this Notice.
4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Our Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote "**FOR**" each of the director nominees and "**FOR**" each of the other proposals listed above. The foregoing items of business are more fully described in the proxy statement accompanying this Notice.

Our Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on March 24, 2016 as the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at this annual meeting and at any adjournment or postponement thereof. All stockholders are invited to attend the meeting. You must present your proxy, voter instruction card or meeting notice for admission.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Eric DeMarco
President and Chief Executive Officer

April 7, 2016

ALL STOCKHOLDERS ARE CORDIALLY INVITED TO ATTEND THE MEETING IN PERSON.

WHETHER OR NOT YOU EXPECT TO ATTEND THE MEETING, PLEASE COMPLETE, DATE, SIGN AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY OR VOTE OVER THE INTERNET OR BY TELEPHONE AS INSTRUCTED IN THESE MATERIALS AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE IN ORDER TO ENSURE YOUR REPRESENTATION AT THE MEETING.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to Be Held on May 19, 2016: Our proxy statement and our 2015 Annual Report to Stockholders are available at www.proxyvote.com.

2016 PROXY SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this proxy statement. This summary does not contain all of the information that you should consider, and you should read the entire proxy statement carefully before voting.

Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Time & Date: 9:00 a.m., May 19, 2016

Place: Irvine Amenities Center
9540 Towne Centre Drive, Suite 175
San Diego, CA 92121

Record Date: March 24, 2016

Voting: You may vote either in person at the Annual Meeting or by telephone, the Internet or mail. See the section entitled "How to Vote" below for more detailed information regarding how you may vote your shares.

Admission: Everyone attending the Annual Meeting will be required to present both proof of ownership of the Company's common stock and a valid picture identification, such as a driver's license or passport. If your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker or other financial institution, you will need a recent brokerage statement or letter from such entity reflecting your stock ownership as of the record date. If you do not have both proof of ownership of the Company's common stock and a valid picture identification, you may be denied admission to the Annual Meeting. Cameras and electronic recording devices are not permitted at the Annual Meeting.

Meeting Agenda and Voting Recommendations

Proposal	Board Vote Recommendation	Page References (for more detail)
1. Election of Directors	FOR EACH DIRECTOR NOMINEE	16
2. Ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 25, 2016	FOR	20
3. Advisory (non-binding) vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers	FOR	22

Proposal 1: Director Nominees

The following table provides summary information about each director nominee. Each director nominee will be elected to serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders.

Name	Age	Director Since	Occupation	Independent	Committees
Scott Anderson	57	1997	President, NE Wireless Networks, LLC	x	Audit (Chair); Nominating & Corporate Governance
Bandel Carano	54	1998	Managing Partner, Oak Investment Partners LLC	x	Compensation
Eric DeMarco	52	2003	President and Chief Executive Officer, Kratos		
William Hoglund (Chairman)	62	2001	Member, Safeboats International, LLP	x	Audit; Compensation; Nominating & Corporate Governance
Scot Jarvis	55	1997	Principal, Cedar Grove Partners, LLC	x	Audit; Compensation (Chair); Nominating & Corporate Governance
Jane Judd	69	2011	Senior Financial Executive (Ret.), Titan Corporation	x	Audit
Samuel Liberatore	78	2009	President (Ret.), Madison Research Division of Kratos	x	Nominating & Corporate Governance
Amy Zegart	48	2014	Senior Fellow, The Hoover Institution, Stanford University and Co-Director, Stanford Center for International Security and Cooperation	x	Nominating & Corporate Governance (Chair)

Proposal 2: Ratification of Auditors

As a matter of good corporate governance, we are asking our stockholders to ratify the Audit Committee's selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 25, 2016 (please review the complete Proposal No. 2 beginning on page 20 of this proxy statement).

Proposal 3: Advisory Vote to Approve Compensation of Named Executive Officers

We are asking our stockholders to provide an advisory vote relating to the compensation of our named executive officers. The Compensation Committee has developed our executive compensation strategy to achieve the following principal compensation objectives:

align executive compensation with our stockholders' interests, including placing a majority of compensation "at risk" and requiring that a significant portion of the Chief Executive Officer's and other executive management's equity awards vest in a manner that is directly tied to the Company's stock performance;

recognize individual initiative and achievements and successful execution of the Company's strategic plan, as approved by the Company's Board;

attract, motivate and retain highly qualified executives; and

create incentives that drive the entire executive management team to achieve challenging corporate goals that drive superior long-term performance.

At the 2015 Annual Meeting, our stockholders indicated approval of the compensation of our named executive officers, with 75.72% of the votes cast in favor of the advisory vote. We were very pleased with the voting results in light of the Compensation Committee and the management's continuing efforts in gathering feedback from key stockholders regarding our executive compensation and developing a compensation structure that more closely aligns pay with performance and aligns the interests of our executives with our stockholders. We continue to regularly solicit feedback from the Company's stockholders regarding our performance, progress on executing the Company's strategic plan and our executive compensation philosophy and programs. As a result, our Compensation Committee took the following actions for 2015 executive compensation:

2015 Executive Pay Highlights: For 2015, the Compensation Committee implemented a number of practices that provided more clear alignment between pay and performance, including:

Base Salary: In light of the current defense industry contraction and the challenging Department of Defense ("DoD") budgetary environment, the base salaries of all of our corporate named executive officers and certain of our business unit executive officers were frozen at 2014 compensation levels. This is the second base salary freeze since 2013, which reflects the Compensation Committee's emphasis on aligning pay and performance. The intent of the Compensation Committee was to construct a compensation program that continues to place significant emphasis on performance-based and long-term incentives, while being mindful of the DoD's current significant budgetary constraints and providing salaries that align with peer compensation data. The Compensation Committee strives for executive compensation to be at or near the median average of peer companies' executive compensation. As a result, the Company's 2015 compensation was set at or near the median of its peer group compensation.

Long-term Equity Incentives: Similar to the past two years, the Company issued an approximately 50%/50% mix of performance-based and time-based equity incentives in 2015 to incentivize the Company's executive officers to build long-term equity value and closely align the interests of our executive officers with our stockholders' interests. For long-term equity incentives granted in 2015, the performance-based restricted stock units ("RSUs") vest 20% for every 10% increase in the Company's common stock (above the grant date price of \$5.02) that occurs within ten years from the grant date, provided that certain other conditions are met. The time-vesting RSU awards cliff vest 100% at the end of five years (and at the end of ten years for the Chief Executive Officer), which the Compensation Committee believes provides a strong long-term retention tool.

Change in Control Agreements: Continuing its practice from 2013, the Compensation Committee eliminated excise tax gross-ups in any new change in control agreements or renewals or material amendments of existing change in control agreements.

Anti-Hedging and Anti-Pledging Policy: The Company continued its policy that prohibits any hedging and pledging transactions by directors and executive officers.

Stock Ownership Target Guideline: The Compensation Committee continued to implement a stock ownership target guideline for our Chief Executive Officer of 1% of our outstanding shares of common stock, including all shares held through options, RSUs, Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") purchases, open market purchases and 401(k) holdings.

Extension of Vesting Term for Chief Executive Officer: In January 2015, the Compensation Committee amended the Chief Executive Officer's 2007, 2008, and 2009 RSU grants by extending the vesting term from ten-year cliff vesting to fifteen-year cliff vesting. The vesting of the RSUs was extended to reflect the prolonged Company business strategy as a result of various industry and government budgetary trends over the past few years, and to further align the Chief Executive Officer's vesting to the Company's stated long term strategic initiatives for unmanned systems, satellite communications, and microwave electronics.

Clawback Policy: In November 2015, the Compensation Committee adopted an Incentive Compensation Recoupment Policy. Under this policy the Company will seek to recover full or partial portions of cash and equity-based incentive compensation received by executive officers when such incentive compensation either (i) was tied to the achievement of financial results that are subsequently restated to correct an accounting error due to material noncompliance with financial reporting requirements or (ii) would have been lower based upon the subsequently restated financial results.

2016 Executive Pay Highlights: For 2016, the Compensation Committee continued to focus on clear alignment between pay and performance:

Base Salary: In light of the continued defense industry contraction and the very challenging DoD budgetary environment, the base salaries of all of our named executive officers were frozen at 2015 compensation levels. This is the third base salary freeze since 2013, which reflects the Compensation Committee's emphasis on aligning pay and performance.

Long-term Equity Incentives: Since 2013, the Company has issued an approximately 50%/50% mix of performance-based and time-based equity incentives, and the Company followed the same practice in 2016. Similar to 2015, long-term equity incentives granted in 2016 consisted of performance-based RSU awards that vest 20% for every 10% increase in the Company's common stock (above the grant date price of \$4.07) that occurs within ten years of the grant date, provided that certain other conditions are met. The time-vesting RSU awards cliff vest 100% at the end of five years, which the Compensation Committee believes provides a strong long-term retention tool and long-term alignment with stockholder interests. Additionally, the Chief Executive Officer's RSU award in 2016 is subject to a five-year holding period under which the common stock underlying the RSUs will not be issued and released until after five years from the vesting date.

Additional information about our compensation philosophy and program, including the compensation actions summarized above, can be found in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section beginning on page 31 of this proxy statement. Our Board of Directors and Compensation Committee believe that the compensation of our named executive officers for fiscal year 2015 was appropriate and reasonable and that our compensation policies and procedures are sound and in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. Additionally, the Compensation Committee believes that our compensation policies and procedures are effective in achieving the Company's goals of rewarding sustained financial and operating performance and leadership excellence, aligning the executives' long-term interests with those of our stockholders and motivating our executive officers to remain with the Company for long and productive careers.

Cautionary Statement. Any statements in this proxy statement that do not describe historical facts may constitute forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations but are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. The factors that could cause our actual future results to differ materially from current expectations are identified and described in more detail in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), including our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 27, 2015. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date that they were made. Except as required by applicable law, we do not intend to update any of the forward-looking statements to conform these statements to reflect actual results, later events or circumstances.

KRATOS DEFENSE & SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

**4820 EASTGATE MALL, SUITE 200
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121**

PROXY STATEMENT

FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON MAY 19, 2016

General

The enclosed proxy is solicited on behalf of our Board of Directors (the "Board") for use at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Annual Meeting") of Kratos Defense & Security Solutions, Inc., to be held on May 19, 2016 at 9:00 a.m. local time and at any adjournment or postponement thereof, for the purposes set forth herein and in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting. The Annual Meeting will be held at the Irvine Amenities Center located at 9540 Towne Centre Drive, Suite 175, San Diego, California 92121.

We intend to mail a Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice") or our proxy materials to all stockholders of record entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting on or about April 7, 2016. The Notice will instruct you as to how you may access and review all of the important information contained in the proxy materials.

All references to us, we, our, the Company and Kratos refer to Kratos Defense & Security Solutions, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its subsidiaries.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to Be Held on May 19, 2016:

Our proxy statement and our 2015 Annual Report to Stockholders are available at www.proxyvote.com. This website address contains the following documents: the Notice of Annual Meeting, our proxy statement and our 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K. You are encouraged to access and review all of the important information contained in the proxy materials before voting.

Solicitation and Revocation of Proxy

Our Board is soliciting the accompanying proxy. In accordance with unanimous recommendations of our Board, the individuals named in the proxy will vote all shares represented by proxies in the manner designated, or, if no designation is made, they will vote the proxies FOR the election of all of the director nominees and FOR each of the other proposals. In their discretion, the proxy holders named in the proxy are authorized to vote on any matters that may properly come before the Annual Meeting and at any continuation, postponement or adjournment of the Annual Meeting. As of the date of this proxy statement, our Board does not know of any other items of business that will be presented for consideration at the Annual Meeting other than those described in this proxy statement. The individuals acting as proxies will not vote on a particular matter if the proxy card representing those shares instructs them to abstain from voting on that matter or to the extent a proxy card is marked to show that some of the shares represented by the proxy card are not to be voted.

If you give a proxy, you may revoke it at any time before the final vote at the Annual Meeting, either:

- (1) by revoking it in person at the Annual Meeting;
- (2) by sending a written notice that you are revoking your proxy to our Corporate Secretary at 4820 Eastgate Mall, Suite 200, San Diego, California, 92121; or

(3) by submitting another properly completed and executed proxy card with a later date to us at the above noted address.

Your presence at the meeting will not automatically revoke your proxy, but if you attend the meeting and cast a ballot, your proxy will be revoked as to the matters on which the ballot is cast.

Shares Outstanding and Voting Rights

Only stockholders of record as of the record date, March 24, 2016, will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting or at any continuation, postponement or adjournment of the original meeting. On the record date, our only class of voting stock outstanding was common stock. On March 24, 2016, 59,583,569 shares of common stock were issued and outstanding. Each outstanding share of common stock entitles the holder to one vote on all matters to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting.

How to Vote

Stockholder of Record: Shares Registered in Your Name

If you are a stockholder of record, you may vote by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. You will be given a ballot at the Annual Meeting.

If you do not wish to vote in person or you will not be attending the Annual Meeting, you may vote by proxy. You may vote by proxy using the enclosed proxy card, vote by proxy on the Internet or vote by proxy over the telephone. The procedures for voting by proxy are as follows:

To vote via the Internet, go to the Internet address stated on your proxy card.

To vote by telephone, call the number stated on your proxy card.

To vote by mail, simply mark your proxy card, date and sign it and return it in the postage-prepaid envelope.

Votes submitted via the Internet or by telephone must be received by 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time on May 18, 2016. Submitting your proxy via the Internet or by telephone will not affect your right to vote in person should you decide to attend the Annual Meeting. Even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we encourage you to submit your proxy to vote your shares in advance of the Annual Meeting.

We provide Internet and telephone proxy voting with procedures designed to ensure the authenticity and correctness of your proxy vote instructions. However, please be aware that you must bear any costs associated with your Internet and telephone access, such as usage charges from Internet access providers and telephone companies.

Beneficial Owner: Shares Registered in the Name of Your Broker, Bank or Other Agent

If at the close of business on March 24, 2016 your shares of common stock were not held in your name, but rather in an account at a brokerage firm, bank, dealer or other similar organization, then you are the beneficial owner of shares held in "street name," and you will receive a proxy card and voting instructions from that organization. Your broker, bank or other nominee will allow you to deliver your voting instructions via the Internet and may also permit you to submit your voting instructions by telephone.

Please note that if your shares are held of record by a broker, bank or other nominee and you decide to attend and vote at the Annual Meeting, your vote in person at the Annual Meeting will not be effective unless you present a legal proxy issued in your name from your broker, bank or other nominee.

Voting Kratos Shares Held Through the Kratos 401(k) Plan

The Kratos 401(k) Plan provides that the trustee of the plan will vote the shares of our common stock that are not directly voted by the participants in the plan. If the trustee does not receive voting instructions from participants in the Kratos 401(k) Plan, the trustee may vote the shares of our common stock under such plan in the same proportion as the shares voted by all other respective plan participants. If the trustee receives a signed but not voted proxy card, the trustee will vote such shares of our common stock according to the Board's recommendations.

Counting of Votes; Quorum

The inspector of election appointed for the meeting by our Board will count the votes cast by proxy or in person at the Annual Meeting. The inspector will count those votes to determine whether or not a quorum is present.

A quorum of stockholders is necessary to hold a valid meeting. A quorum will be present if at least a majority of our outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote are represented by votes at the Annual Meeting or by proxy. At the close of business on March 24, 2016, the record date for the Annual Meeting, there were 59,583,569 shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

Your shares will be counted toward the quorum only if you submit a valid proxy (or if one is submitted on your behalf by your broker, bank or other nominee) or if you vote in person at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions will be counted toward the quorum requirement. Broker non-votes will also be counted toward the quorum requirement. If there is no quorum, a majority of the shares present at the Annual Meeting may adjourn the Annual Meeting to another date to provide the Company with the opportunity to establish a quorum.

Required Vote

The following is a summary of the voting requirements that apply to the proposals discussed in this proxy statement:

Proposal	Vote Required	Discretionary Voting Allowed?
1. Election of Directors	Plurality	No
2. Ratification of Auditor	Majority	Yes
3. Advisory Vote to Approve the Compensation of Our Named Executive Officers	Majority	No

Our Board unanimously recommends a vote "**FOR**" each of the proposals listed above.

A "plurality" means, with regard to the election of directors, that the eight nominees for director receiving the greatest number of "for" votes from our shares entitled to vote will be elected.

A "majority" means that a proposal receives a number of "for" votes that is a majority of the shares of common stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

"Discretionary voting" occurs when a bank, broker, or other holder of record does not receive voting instructions from the beneficial owner and votes those shares in its discretion on any proposal as to which rules permit such bank, broker, or other holder of record to vote. As noted below, when banks, brokers, and other holders of record are *not* permitted under the rules to vote the beneficial owner's shares, the affected shares are referred to as "broker non-votes."

Although the advisory vote on Proposal No. 4 is non-binding, as provided by law, our Board and Compensation Committee will review the results of the votes and, consistent with our record of stockholder engagement, will take the results into account in making a determination concerning executive compensation.

Effect of Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes

Abstentions: Under Delaware law (under which Kratos is incorporated), abstentions are counted as shares present and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. Therefore, abstentions will have the same effect as a vote "against": Proposal No. 2 Ratification of Auditor; and Proposal No. 3 Advisory Vote to Approve the Compensation of our Named Executive Officers. With respect to Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors, abstentions will have no effect on the election of directors because, under plurality voting rules, the eight director nominees receiving the highest number of "for" votes will be elected.

Broker Non-Votes: Under rules that govern banks, brokers and others who have record ownership of company stock held in brokerage accounts for their clients who beneficially own the shares, these banks, brokers and other such holders who do not receive voting instructions from their clients have the discretion to vote uninstructed shares on certain matters ("discretionary matters") but do not have discretion to vote uninstructed shares as to certain other matters ("non-discretionary matters"). A broker may return a proxy card on behalf of a beneficial owner from whom the broker has not received voting instructions that casts a vote with regard to discretionary matters but expressly states that the broker is not voting as to non-discretionary matters. The broker's inability to vote the non-discretionary matters with respect to which the broker has *not* received voting instructions from the beneficial owner is referred to as a "broker non-vote."

As a result of a change in rules related to discretionary voting and broker non-votes, banks, brokers, and other such record holders are no longer permitted to vote the uninstructed shares of their customers on a discretionary basis in the election of directors, amendments to equity plans or on executive compensation matters. Because broker non-votes are not considered under Delaware law to be entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting, they will have no effect on the outcome of the vote on: Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors; and Proposal No. 3 Advisory Vote to Approve the Compensation of our Named Executive Officers. As a result, if you hold your shares in street name and you do not instruct your bank, broker, or other such holder how to vote your shares in the election of directors and on the advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers, no votes will be cast on your behalf on these proposals. **Therefore, it is critical that you indicate your vote on these proposals if you want your vote to be counted.** The proposal to ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 25, 2016 should be considered a routine matter. Therefore, your broker will be able to vote on this proposal even if it does not receive instructions from you, so long as it holds your shares in its name.

Delivery of Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials; Delivery of Multiple Proxy Materials

Under rules adopted by the SEC, we may provide access to our proxy materials over the Internet. Accordingly, we are sending a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to some of our stockholders of record. If you received a Notice by mail, you will not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials unless you request one. The Notice will tell you how to access and review the proxy materials over the Internet at www.proxyvote.com. The Notice also tells you how to access your proxy card to vote over the Internet or by telephone. If you received a Notice by mail and would like to receive a printed copy of our proxy materials, please follow the instructions included in the Notice.

If you received more than one package of proxy materials, this means that you have multiple accounts holding shares of Kratos common stock. These may include: accounts with our transfer agent, Wells Fargo Shareowner Services; shares held in Kratos' 401(k) Plan or Employee Stock Purchase Plan; and accounts with a broker, bank or other holder of record. Please vote all proxy cards and voting instruction forms that you receive with each package of proxy materials to ensure that all of your shares are voted.

Cost and Method of Solicitation

We will bear the entire cost of solicitation of proxies, including preparation, assembly, printing and mailing of this proxy statement, the proxy card and any additional information furnished to our stockholders. Solicitation of proxies by mail may be supplemented by telephone or personal solicitation by our directors, officers, other employees, or consultants. No additional compensation will be paid to directors, officers or other regular employees for such services. Copies of solicitation materials will be furnished to banks, brokerage houses, fiduciaries and custodians holding in their names shares of our common stock beneficially owned by others to forward to such beneficial owners. We may reimburse such persons for their costs in forwarding the solicitation materials to such beneficial owners.

Stockholder List

A complete list of registered stockholders entitled to vote at the meeting will be available for examination by any stockholder, for any purpose related to the meeting, for ten days prior to the date of the annual meeting during ordinary business hours at our principal offices located at 4820 Eastgate Mall, Suite 200, San Diego, California 92121.

Admission to the Annual Meeting

Everyone attending the Annual Meeting will be required to present both proof of ownership of the Company's common stock and a valid picture identification, such as a driver's license or passport. If your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker or other financial institution, you will need a recent brokerage statement or letter from such entity reflecting your stock ownership as of the record date. If you do not have both proof of ownership of the Company's common stock and a valid picture identification, you may be denied admission to the Annual Meeting. Cameras and electronic recording devices are not permitted at the Annual Meeting.

Voting Results

Voting results are expected to be announced at the Annual Meeting and will also be disclosed in a Current Report on Form 8-K (the "Form 8-K") that we will file with the SEC within four business days of the date of the Annual Meeting. In the event the results disclosed in our Form 8-K are preliminary, we will subsequently amend the Form 8-K to report the final voting results within four business days of the date that such results are known.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Overview

We are committed to maintaining the highest standards of business conduct and corporate governance, which we believe are fundamental to the overall success of our business, serving our stockholders well and maintaining our integrity in the marketplace. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Ethics, together with our certificate of incorporation, bylaws and the charters of the committees of our Board (the "Committees"), form the basis for our corporate governance framework. As discussed below, our Board has established three standing committees to assist it in fulfilling its responsibilities to the Company and its stockholders: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

Our Board has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines to assist it in the exercise of its responsibilities and to serve the interests of the Company and our stockholders. The Corporate Governance Guidelines are available for review on our website at www.kratosdefense.com/about-kratos/governance.

Director Independence

Our Board has unanimously determined that seven of our directors standing for re-election, Messrs. Anderson, Carano, Hogle, Jarvis, and Liberatore and Ms. Judd and Zegart, who constitute a majority of the Board, are "independent" directors as that term is defined by NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 5605(a)(2). In making this determination, the Board has affirmatively determined, considering broadly all relevant facts and circumstances regarding each independent director, that none of the independent directors have a material relationship with us (either directly or as a partner, stockholder, officer or affiliate of an organization that has a relationship with us) that could compromise the director's ability to act independently and in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. In addition, based upon NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 5605(a)(2), the Board determined that Mr. DeMarco is not "independent" because he is the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer.

Nominations for Directors

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for screening potential director candidates and recommending qualified candidates to the Board for nomination. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider and evaluate any recommendation for director nominees proposed by a stockholder who has continuously held at least 1% of the outstanding shares of our common stock entitled to vote at the annual meeting of stockholders for at least one year by the date the stockholder makes the recommendation and who satisfies the notice, information and consent provisions set forth in our Second Amended and Restated Bylaws, as amended (the "Bylaws"). The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will use the same evaluation process for director nominees recommended by stockholders as it uses for other director nominees.

In addition, our Bylaws set forth a process for stockholders to nominate individuals for election to the Board. See "Stockholder Proposals" below for additional information regarding the content and timing of the information that must be received by our Corporate Secretary for a director nominee to be considered for election at our 2017 Annual Meeting. A printed copy of our Bylaws may be obtained by any stockholder upon request to our Corporate Secretary at Kratos Defense & Security Solutions, Inc., 4820 Eastgate Mall, Suite 200, San Diego, California 92121.

The goal of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is to assemble a board of directors that brings a variety of perspectives and skills derived from high quality business and

professional experience to Kratos. As stated in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, nominees for director are to be selected on the basis of, among other criteria, experience, knowledge, skills, expertise, integrity, absence of conflicts of interests with the Company, diversity, ability to make analytical inquiries, understanding of or familiarity with our business, products or markets or similar businesses, products or markets, and willingness to devote adequate time and effort to Board responsibilities. Although we do not have a written policy with respect to Board diversity, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board believe that a diverse board leads to improved Company performance by encouraging new ideas, expanding the knowledge base available to management and fostering a boardroom culture that promotes innovation and vigorous deliberation.

Additionally, our Bylaws provide that in order to be eligible for election or appointment to the Board, an individual must (i) be at least 21 years of age, (ii) have the ability to be present, in person, at all regular and special meetings of the Board, and (iii) either (a) have substantial relevant experience in the national defense and security industry or (b) have, or be able to obtain, a U.S. government issued security clearance relevant to the business of the corporation. In addition to the foregoing, no person shall be eligible for election or appointment to the Board if such person has been convicted of a crime involving dishonesty or breach of trust or if such person is currently charged with the commission of or participation in such a crime. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may also consider such other factors as it may deem are in Kratos' best interests and that of our stockholders. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee does, however, recognize that under applicable regulatory requirements at least one member of our Board must meet the criteria for an "audit committee financial expert" as defined by SEC rules, and that at least a majority of the members of our Board must meet the definition of "independent director" under the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules or the listing standards of any other applicable self-regulatory organization. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also believes it to be appropriate for certain key members of our management to participate as members of our Board.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee identifies nominees by first evaluating the current members of our Board willing to continue to serve. Current members of our Board with skills and experience that are relevant to our business and who are willing to continue in service are considered for re-nomination, balancing the value of continuity of service by existing members of our Board with that of obtaining a new perspective. If any member of our Board does not wish to be considered for re-election at an upcoming annual meeting of stockholders, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee identifies the desired skills and experience of a new nominee in light of the criteria above. In such cases, all of the members of our Board are polled for suggestions as to individuals meeting the criteria for nomination to our Board. Research may also be performed to identify qualified individuals. If the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believes that our Board requires additional candidates for nomination, it may explore alternative sources for identifying additional candidates. This may include engaging, as appropriate, a third party search firm to assist in identifying qualified candidates.

All directors and director nominees are required to submit a completed directors' and officers' questionnaire as part of the nominating process. At the discretion of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the nominating process may also include interviews and additional background and reference checks for non-incumbent nominees.

Stockholder Communications with Directors

The Board has adopted a Stockholder Communications with Directors Policy. The Stockholder Communications with Directors Policy is available for review on our website at www.kratosdefense.com/about-kratos/governance. Stockholders and other interested parties may communicate with one or more members of the Board or the non-management directors as a group in writing by regular mail. Those who wish to send such communications may do so by addressing their communication to: Chairman of

Edgar Filing: KRATOS DEFENSE & SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC. - Form DEF 14A

the Board or Board of Directors, c/o Corporate Secretary, Kratos Defense & Security Solutions, Inc., 4820 Eastgate Mall, Suite 200, San Diego, California 92121.

The Board has instructed the Corporate Secretary to review all communications so received and to exercise his discretion not to forward to the Board correspondence that is inappropriate such as business solicitations, frivolous communications and advertising, routine business matters and personal grievances. However, any director may at any time request the Corporate Secretary to forward any and all communications received by the Corporate Secretary but not forwarded to the directors.

Code of Ethics

Our Board has adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to all of our directors, officers and employees. The Code of Ethics is available for review on our website at www.kratosdefense.com/about-kratos/governance and is also available in print, without charge, to any stockholder who requests a copy by writing to us at Kratos Defense & Security Solutions, Inc., 4820 Eastgate Mall, Suite 200, San Diego, California, 92121, Attention: Investor Relations. Each of our directors, employees and officers, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Corporate Controller, and all of our other Principal Executive Officers, are required to comply with the Code of Ethics. The Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving all amendments to the Code of Ethics and all waivers of the Code of Ethics for executive officers or directors and providing for prompt disclosure of all amendments and waivers required to be disclosed under applicable law. We will disclose future amendments to our Code of Ethics or waivers required to be disclosed under applicable law from our Code of Ethics for our Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer, Principal Accounting Officer or Controller, and our other executive officers and our directors on our website, www.kratosdefense.com, within four business days following the date of the amendment or waiver. There have not been any waivers of the Code of Ethics relating to any of our executive officers or directors in the past year.

Meetings and Committees of the Board

Our Board is responsible for overseeing the management of our business. We keep our directors informed of our business at meetings and through reports and analyses presented to the Board and the Committees. Regular communications between our directors and management also occur apart from meetings of the Board and the Committees.

Meeting Attendance

Our Board normally meets quarterly but may hold additional meetings as required. During fiscal year 2015, the Board held four regularly scheduled meetings, four special meetings and acted by unanimous written consent two times. Each of our directors attended at least 75% of the aggregate of the total number of Board meetings and the total number of meetings of each Committee on which he or she was serving. All eight of our directors attended last year's annual meeting of stockholders.

Our Board has adopted a "Board Member Attendance at Annual Meetings Policy," which is available for review on our website at www.kratosdefense.com/about-kratos/governance.

Executive Sessions

Executive sessions of independent non-employee directors are held in connection with each regularly scheduled Board meeting and at other times as necessary, and are chaired by our Chairman of the Board. The Board's policy is to hold executive sessions without the presence of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and other non-independent directors, if any. The Committees of our Board may also meet in executive session at the end of each Committee meeting.

Committees of the Board

Our Board currently has three standing Committees to facilitate and assist the Board in the execution of its responsibilities: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Audit Committee

Our Audit Committee consists of Messrs. Anderson (Chairperson), Hoglund and Jarvis and Ms. Judd. Our Board has affirmatively determined that each member of the Audit Committee is independent under NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 5605(a)(2) and meets the independence and all other qualifications under NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 5605(c), the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the "Sarbanes-Oxley Act") and applicable rules of the SEC. Our Board has also affirmatively determined that Ms. Judd qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert" as such term is defined in Regulation S-K under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. During 2015, the Audit Committee met eight times.

The Audit Committee acts pursuant to a written charter, which is available for review on our website at www.kratosdefense.com/about-kratos/governance. The responsibilities of the Audit Committee include overseeing, reviewing and evaluating our financial statements, accounting and financial reporting processes, internal control functions and the audits of our financial statements. The Audit Committee is also responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention, and as necessary, the termination of our independent auditors. Additional information regarding the Audit Committee is set forth below in the Report of the Audit Committee.

Compensation Committee

Our Compensation Committee consists of Messrs. Carano, Hoglund and Jarvis (Chairperson). Our Board has affirmatively determined that each member of the Compensation Committee is independent as such term is defined under NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 5605(a)(2) and meets the independence and all other qualifications under NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 5605(d). During 2015, the Compensation Committee met three times and acted by unanimous written consent twice. Our Board has adopted a charter for the Compensation Committee, which is available for review on our website at www.kratosdefense.com/about-kratos/governance. The Compensation Committee reviews and makes recommendations to our Board concerning the compensation and benefits of our executive officers, including the Chief Executive Officer and directors, oversees the administration of our stock option and employee benefits plans, and reviews general policies relating to compensation and benefits. In accordance with NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 5605(d), the Compensation Committee evaluates the independence of each compensation consultant, outside counsel and advisor retained by or providing advice to the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Discussion and Analysis section below provides additional information regarding the Compensation Committee's processes and procedures for considering and determining executive compensation.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee consists of Ms. Zegart (Chairperson) and Messrs. Anderson, Hoglund, Jarvis and Liberatore. Our Board has affirmatively determined that each member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is independent as such term is defined under NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 5605(a)(2). The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee evaluates and recommends to the Board nominees for each election of directors. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met four times in 2015. Our Board has adopted a charter for the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, which is available for review on our website at www.kratosdefense.com/about-kratos/governance. The responsibilities of the Nominating and

Corporate Governance Committee include making recommendations to the Board with respect to the nominations or elections of directors and providing oversight of our corporate governance policies and practices.

Board and Committee Effectiveness

The Board and each of its Committees perform an annual self-assessment to evaluate their effectiveness in fulfilling their obligations. The Board and Committee evaluations cover a wide range of topics, including, among others, the fulfillment of the Board and Committee responsibilities identified in the Corporate Governance Guidelines and charters for each Committee.

Board Leadership Structure

The Board believes that its current independent Board structure is best for our Company and provides good corporate governance and accountability. The Board does not have a fixed policy regarding the separation of the roles of the Chairman of the Board and the Chief Executive Officer because it believes the Board should be able to freely select the Chairman of the Board based on criteria that it deems to be in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. The functions of the Board are carried out by the full Board, and when delegated, by the Committees. Each director is a full and equal participant in the major strategic and policy decisions of our Company.

The Board believes that the current structure of a separate Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer is the optimum structure for the Company at this time, taking into consideration Mr. DeMarco's active role in pursuing the Company's business and strategic plans.

Board Role in Risk Management

The risk oversight function of the Board is carried out by both the Board and each of its Committees, with the primary responsibility for identifying and managing risk at the Company resting with senior management. While the risk oversight function and matters of strategic risk are considered by the Board as a whole, each of the Committees has the following risk oversight responsibilities:

As provided in its charter, the Audit Committee meets periodically with management to discuss our major financial and operating risk exposures and the steps, guidelines and policies taken or implemented relating to risk assessment and risk management. Each quarter, our Director of Internal Audit has reported directly to the Audit Committee on the activities of our internal audit function and at least annually our General Counsel reports directly to the Audit Committee on our ethics and compliance program. Management also reports to the Audit Committee on legal, finance, accounting and tax matters at least quarterly. The Board is provided with reports on legal matters at least quarterly and on other matters related to risk oversight on an as-needed basis.

As provided in its charter, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers risks related to regulatory and compliance matters.

As provided in its charter, the Compensation Committee considers risks related to the design of the Company's compensation programs for our executives.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During fiscal year 2015, no members of our Compensation Committee were officers or employees of Kratos or any of our subsidiaries or had any relationship otherwise requiring disclosure hereunder. In addition, none of our executive officers serves on the board of directors or compensation committee of a company that has an executive officer that serves on our Board or our Compensation Committee.

Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions

During fiscal year 2015, there were no transactions to which the Company was or is a party in which the amount involved exceeded \$120,000 and in which any director, officer or beneficial holder of more than 5% of any class of our voting securities or member of such person's immediate family had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

Procedures for Approval of Related Party Transactions

Under its charter, the Audit Committee is charged with reviewing all potential related party transactions. Our policy has been that the Audit Committee, which is comprised solely of independent, disinterested directors, reviews and then recommends such related party transactions to the entire Board for further review and approval. All such related party transactions are then required to be reported under applicable SEC rules. Aside from this policy, we have not adopted additional procedures for review of, or standards for approval of, related party transactions but instead review such transactions on a case-by-case basis.

PROPOSAL NO. 1
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our Board currently consists of eight directors, seven of whom are independent directors within the meaning of the listing standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market ("NASDAQ"), and all of whom are standing for re-election to the Board at the Annual Meeting. All directors are elected at each annual meeting of stockholders and serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders or until their successor has been duly elected and qualified, or until their earlier death, resignation or removal.

Our Board has designated the persons named below as nominees for election of directors. All nominees are currently serving as directors of the Company. If elected at the Annual Meeting, each of the nominees will serve until our 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, or until their successors are duly elected and qualified.

Information Regarding Directors

Nominees for Election to the Board:

Name	Age	Committees
Scott Anderson	57	Audit Committee (Chair) Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee
Bandel Carano	54	Compensation Committee
Eric DeMarco	52	
William Høglund, Chairman	62	Audit Committee Compensation Committee Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee
Scot Jarvis	55	Audit Committee Compensation Committee (Chair) Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee
Jane Judd	69	Audit Committee & Designated Financial Expert
Samuel Liberatore	78	Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee
Amy Zegart	48	Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (Chair)

Scott Anderson

Scott Anderson has served as a director since March 1997. Mr. Anderson has been President and Chief Executive Officer of NE Wireless Networks, LLC, a wireless telecommunications provider in Maine, since September 2013. Mr. Anderson has been a principal of Cedar Grove Partners, LLC, an investment and consulting/advisory partnership, since 1997, and a principal of Cedar Grove Investments, LLC, a private seed capital firm, since 1998. Mr. Anderson was with McCaw Cellular/AT&T Wireless, most recently as Senior Vice President of the Acquisitions and Development group, from 1986 until 1997. Before joining McCaw Cellular in 1986, Mr. Anderson was engaged in private law practice. More recently, Mr. Anderson served on the board of directors and was Audit Committee Chairman of SunCom Wireless Holdings, Inc. until its acquisition by T-Mobile USA, Inc. in February 2008. In addition, Mr. Anderson served on other public company boards prior to 2002. Mr. Anderson was also a director of TC Global, Inc., a public company registrant, from July 2010 to November 2013. He currently serves on the board of directors of several private companies, including NE Wireless Networks, LLC, Globys, Inc., and Anvil Corp., and serves as an advisor to the boards of Opanga, Inc. and Tupl, Inc. Mr. Anderson received a bachelor's degree in History from the University of

Washington, *magna cum laude*, and a law degree from the University of Washington Law School, with highest honors. Mr. Anderson's formal legal training, extensive experience in mergers and acquisitions, experience with litigation matters, and experience on public company boards and audit committees provide important resources in his service on our Board and in his capacity as the chairman of our Audit Committee. Mr. Anderson holds a Top Secret National Security Clearance.

Bandel Carano

Bandel Carano originally served as a director from August 1998 to June 2001 and re-joined our Board in October 2001. Mr. Carano joined Oak Investment Partners, a multi-stage venture capital firm, in 1985 and became a General Partner in 1987. Mr. Carano's investment focus is on disruptive technologies. In addition to Kratos, Mr. Carano is currently on the boards of directors of NeoPhotonics and numerous private companies. He also currently serves on the Investment Advisory Board of the Stanford Engineering Venture Fund. Prior to Oak Investment Partners, Mr. Carano joined Morgan Stanley's Venture Capital Group in 1983. He was responsible for advising Morgan Stanley on high-tech new business development, as well as sponsoring venture investments. Mr. Carano received bachelor's and master's degrees in Electrical Engineering from Stanford University. Mr. Carano's technical engineering background and experience with several companies in the defense technology industry is particularly relevant to his understanding of our current service and product offerings and overall long-term strategy of future offerings. He also has significant expertise in evaluating various merger and acquisition targets for synergistic technical platforms. Mr. Carano holds an interim Top Secret National Security Clearance.

Eric DeMarco

Eric DeMarco joined Kratos in November 2003 as President and Chief Operating Officer. Mr. DeMarco was appointed as a director and assumed the role of Chief Executive Officer effective April 1, 2004. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. DeMarco most recently served as President and Chief Operating Officer of The Titan Corporation ("Titan"), then a NYSE-listed corporation, prior to its acquisition by L-3 Communications. Prior to his being named President and Chief Operating Officer, Mr. DeMarco served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Titan. Prior to joining Titan, Mr. DeMarco served in a variety of public accounting positions primarily focusing on large multi-national corporations and publicly traded companies. Mr. DeMarco received a bachelor's degree in Business Administration and Finance, *summa cum laude*, from the University of New Hampshire. Under Mr. DeMarco, we successfully transitioned from a wireless communications company to a national defense and homeland security product solutions business through both organic growth and strategic acquisitions. Mr. DeMarco's in-depth knowledge of our business and operations, his experience in the defense contracting industry, and his experience with publicly traded companies position him well to serve as our Chief Executive Officer and a member of our Board. Mr. DeMarco holds a Top Secret National Security Clearance.

William Høglund

William Høglund has served as a director since February 2001 and Chairman of the Board since June 2009. Mr. Høglund has been a member and owner of SAFE Boats International, a leading manufacturer of vessels for military, law enforcement, and commercial purposes, since 2000. From 1994 to 2000, Mr. Høglund served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Eagle River, LLC, a private investment company. During his tenure at Eagle River, Mr. Høglund served as a director of Nextel Communications, Inc. and Nextlink Communications, Inc. From 1977 to 1994, Mr. Høglund worked for J.P. Morgan & Co. and several of its subsidiaries. Mr. Høglund held a variety of positions in J.P. Morgan's commercial and investment banking operations. Mr. Høglund received a bachelor's degree in Management Science and German Literature, *cum laude*, from Duke University and a master

in business administration from the University of Chicago. Mr. Hoglund's financial experience and expertise in both the public and private marketplace make him well suited for his role as a member of the Audit Committee. He also brings significant experience in the defense contracting industry. He has served on various independent committees of the Board, has taken an active leadership role, and is well qualified to serve as the Chairman of the Board. Mr. Hoglund holds a Top Secret National Security Clearance.

Scot Jarvis

Scot Jarvis has served as a director since February 1997. Mr. Jarvis co-founded Cedar Grove Partners, LLC in 1997, an investment and consulting/advisory partnership with a focus on wireless communications investments. Prior to co-founding Cedar Grove, Mr. Jarvis served as a senior executive of Eagle River, Inc., an investment firm owned by Craig McCaw. While at Eagle River he founded Nextlink Communications on behalf of McCaw and served on its board of directors. He has also served on the board of directors of Nextel Communications, NextG Networks, Inc., Wavelink Communications, Inc., NextWeb, Inc., Leap Wireless, and Cantata Technologies, Inc. From 1985 to 1994, Mr. Jarvis served in several executive capacities at McCaw Cellular Communications until it was sold to AT&T. Mr. Jarvis served on the board of directors of Vitesse Semiconductor from 2012 until its acquisition by Microsemi Corporation in April 2015. Mr. Jarvis currently serves on the board of directors of Airspan Networks (since 2011), MobiTV (since 2013), and Slingshot Sports (since 1999). Mr. Jarvis is a venture partner with Oak Investment Partners, a multi-stage venture capital firm. Mr. Jarvis holds a bachelor's degree in Business Administration from the University of Washington. Mr. Jarvis has extensive experience with mergers and acquisitions transactions, which has been of particular significance to the Board during the Company's pursuit of growth strategies through mergers and acquisitions. Mr. Jarvis holds a Top Secret National Security Clearance.

Jane Judd

Jane Judd has served as a director since January 2011. Prior to her retirement in 2006, Ms. Judd served as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and a member of the board of directors of Telisimo International, a communications company, from May 1996 to November 2006. Prior to that, Ms. Judd was Vice President and Corporate Controller of The Titan Corporation from April 1986 to May 1996. Titan was a publicly traded major national defense services and solutions provider before its acquisition by L-3 Communications in 2005. Ms. Judd is a Certified Public Accountant, and she received a bachelor's degree from the University of Utah in 1976. Ms. Judd brings financial experience and expertise to the Board with her background in public accounting and financial leadership roles, which includes experience in the defense services industry. With these skills, Ms. Judd is well qualified to serve as the designated audit committee financial expert for our Board. Ms. Judd holds a Top Secret National Security Clearance.

Samuel Liberatore

Samuel Liberatore has served as a director since January 2009. Prior to that time, Mr. Liberatore was the Chief Operating Officer for Madison Research Corporation, building it from approximately \$3 million in annual revenues to \$64 million, until its acquisition by Kratos in 2006, and was President of Kratos' Weapon Systems Solutions (Madison Research) division until he retired in December 2008. Beginning in July 1994 and until June 2001, Mr. Liberatore served as Program Manager and lead engineer in support of the PAC-3 missile program for Madison Research Corporation. From 1989 to 1994, he served as Director of Ballistic Missile Defense of BDM International. Mr. Liberatore served for 30 years in the U.S. Army, where he held a variety of positions related to weapon system operations, research, development and acquisition before retiring as a Colonel in 1989. He holds a bachelor's degree in Mathematics from Loyola College, Baltimore and a master's degree in Guided

Missile Engineering from the University of Texas, El Paso. In addition to normal operational and command assignments, Mr. Liberatore was the Project Manager for the HAWK missile system and Chief of Missiles and Air Defense Systems at Headquarters Department of the Army for the research, development and acquisition of all U.S. Army missile and air defense systems. Mr. Liberatore brings to the Board prior experience as a military officer, extensive experience and expertise working in the missile defense industry, and recent experience working in the defense contracting industry. Mr. Liberatore holds a Top Secret National Security Clearance.

Amy Zegart

Amy Zegart has served as a director since September 2014. Ms. Zegart is a Davies Family Senior Fellow at the Hoover Institution, a professor of political science (by courtesy) at Stanford University, and co-director of Stanford's Center for International Security and Cooperation. Until 2011, she served as professor of public policy at UCLA's Luskin School of Public Affairs. An award-winning author, Ms. Zegart's research examines the organizational challenges of American national security agencies. She served on the Clinton administration's National Security Council staff and as a foreign policy adviser to the Bush-Cheney 2000 presidential campaign. She has testified before the Senate Intelligence Committee, provided training to the U.S. Marine Corps, and advised officials on intelligence and homeland security matters. From 2009 to 2011, she served on the National Academies of Science Panel to Improve Intelligence Analysis. She has served on the FBI Intelligence Analysts Association National Advisory Board and the Los Angeles Police Department's Counter-Terrorism and Community Police Advisory Board and currently serves on the Secretary of Energy Advisory Board Task Force on Nuclear Nonproliferation. She is a lifetime member of the Council on Foreign Relations. A former Fulbright scholar, Ms. Zegart received an A.B. in East Asian studies magna cum laude from Harvard University and an M.A. and Ph.D. in political science from Stanford University. Ms. Zegart brings significant knowledge on national and international security issues to the Board. As the newest member of the Board, Ms. Zegart has begun the process of renewing her Top Secret National Security Clearance.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE *FOR* THE ELECTION OF EACH OF THE NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR.

PROPOSAL NO. 2
RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Our Audit Committee has selected Deloitte & Touche LLP ("Deloitte") as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 25, 2016. Deloitte was appointed as our independent registered public accounting firm in June 2013. Representatives of Deloitte are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting, will have an opportunity to make a statement if they so desire, and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Stockholder ratification of the selection of Deloitte as our independent registered public accounting firm is not required by our Second Amended and Restated Bylaws, as amended ("Bylaws") or otherwise. However, the Board is submitting the selection of Deloitte to the stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. If the stockholders do not ratify the selection, the Audit Committee will reconsider whether or not to retain Deloitte. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee may, in its discretion, direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in our and our stockholders' best interests.

Audit and All Other Fees

As part of its duties, the Audit Committee considers whether the provision of services, other than audit services, during the fiscal year ended December 27, 2015 by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm is compatible with maintaining their independence.

The following table sets forth the aggregate fees for services provided to us by Deloitte for the fiscal years ended December 28, 2014 and December 27, 2015. All fees described below were approved by the Audit Committee.

	Fiscal 2014	Fiscal 2015
Audit Fees(1)	\$ 2,355,925	\$ 2,586,315
Audit-Related Fees(2)	213,633	57,041
Tax Fees	66,462	45,782
All Other Fees		
TOTAL	\$ 2,636,020	\$ 2,689,138

-
- (1) *Audit Fees* consist of fees billed and expected to be billed for professional services rendered for the integrated audit of Kratos' consolidated annual financial statements and review of the interim consolidated financial statements included in quarterly reports, services related to compliance with the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, Section 404, and services that are normally provided by Deloitte in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements. The amount in 2014 includes \$200,000 related to the audit fee overrun for 2014 that was billed in June 2015.
- (2) *Audit-Related Fees* consist of fees billed and expected to be billed for professional services rendered by Deloitte that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our consolidated financial statements and are not reported above as Audit Fees. The 2014 amount includes \$118,353 for professional services rendered related to the filing of a Form S-3, S-4 and S-8 and \$95,280 for professional services rendered related to the refinancing of our senior secured notes. The 2015 amount includes \$22,500 for professional services rendered for the filing of a Form S-8, \$18,831 for professional services rendered related to the disposition of a portion of a reporting unit classified as

discontinued operations, and \$15,710 for professional services rendered for reviewing the Company's responses to a comment letter from the SEC.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy

The Audit Committee's policy is to pre-approve all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by our independent auditors. These services may include audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services. Pre-approval is generally provided for up to one year and any pre-approval is detailed as to the particular service or category of services. The Audit Committee has delegated pre-approval authority to the Audit Committee Chairperson. The independent auditor and management are required to periodically report to the Audit Committee regarding the extent of services provided by the independent auditor in accordance with this pre-approval.

Since June 2013, each new engagement of Deloitte has been approved in advance by the Audit Committee.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE *FOR* THE RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 25, 2016.

PROPOSAL NO. 3
ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED
EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

At the 2016 Annual Meeting, our stockholders will be asked to provide an advisory vote relating to the compensation of our named executive officers during fiscal year 2015. The Compensation Committee sets target direct compensation at a level commensurate with the executives' and the Company's performance relative to our Compensation Peer Group (as defined below) utilizing individual and market measures. In addition, the Compensation Committee has determined that a substantial majority of our executives' compensation should be provided in the form of variable, performance-based compensation that directly links our executives' compensation to the Company's long-term performance.

The Company's strategy is to continue to grow our business as a proprietary product and intellectual property focused national security company, focused on Command, Control, Communications, Computing, Combat Systems, Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance ("C5ISR"), providing highly differentiated technology, products, solutions and services in our core areas of focus, while building and enhancing long-term stockholder value. The Company's core business areas of focus are unmanned systems, microwave electronics, and satellite communications. The Board and the Compensation Committee believe that our executive compensation programs have played a material role in the Company's progress in achieving its key strategic goals as well as its ability to drive strong financial results and attract and retain a highly experienced, successful team to manage our Company.

Our Compensation Committee believes that our executive compensation programs are structured in the best manner possible to support the Company, our stated strategy and our business objectives.

Our compensation programs are substantially tied to our key business and strategic objectives and the interests of our stockholders. If the value we deliver to our stockholders declines, so does a primary element of the compensation we deliver to our executives.

We maintain the highest level of corporate governance over our executive pay programs.

We closely monitor the compensation programs and pay levels of executives from companies of similar size and complexity, so that we may ensure that our compensation programs are within the norm of a range of market practices.

Our Compensation Committee, Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and Human Resources Department engage in a rigorous talent review process annually to address succession and executive development for our Chief Executive Officer and other key executives.

These compensation practices allow the Company to achieve the following objectives:

align executive compensation with our stockholders' interests by placing a majority of compensation "at risk" and requiring that a significant portion of our Chief Executive Officer's and other executive management's equity grants vest in a manner that is directly tied to the Company's stock performance;

incentivize individual performance achievements;

attract, motivate and retain highly qualified executives; and

create incentives that drive the entire executive management team to achieve challenging corporate goals that drive superior long-term performance.

As a result of the multi-pronged effort to gather feedback from key stockholders regarding our executive compensation that management and the Compensation Committee have undertaken since 2012, our Compensation Committee took several actions to align pay with performance

and align the

interests of our executives and the Company's stockholders. At the 2015 Annual Meeting, we asked our stockholders to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation paid to our named executive officers during fiscal year 2014. Our stockholders indicated approval of the compensation of our named executive officers, with 75.72% of the votes cast in favor of the advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation. We were pleased with the voting results in light of the Compensation Committee and the management's continuing efforts in gathering feedback from key stockholders regarding our executive compensation and developing a compensation structure that closely aligns pay with performance and aligns the interests of our executives with our stockholders. Our Compensation Committee considered the stockholder approval of the 2014 executive compensation as an endorsement of our compensation philosophy. As such, our Compensation Committee employed many of the same principles in developing our compensation programs for 2015.

In establishing the 2015 executive compensation program, our Compensation Committee considered the challenges faced by our Company related to significantly declining DoD budgets and achievements of our executive officers in fiscal year 2014. Fiscal year 2014 was an extremely challenging year for the federal government contracting industry and the Company. The sequestration-related cuts from the Budget Control Act of 2011, a declining and highly competitive DoD budgetary environment, and overall defense industry contraction were challenges that the Company faced in previous years continued in 2014 and 2015. Based on recent industry related and Company specific events, the Company's management believes that the DoD budgetary environment bottomed in 2014/2015 and that the framework has now been set for DoD budget growth with the recent federal fiscal 2016/2017 Bi-Partisan Spending Agreement, the 2016 U.S Federal Budget Agreement, and the President's 2017 DoD Federal Budget submission. The Company's revenues, Adjusted EBITDA and cash flows were all adversely impacted by this challenging industry environment throughout 2014 and 2015. Additionally, the Company has been making and continues to make significant discretionary investments in its long-term strategic unmanned tactical aircraft initiative, which has also impacted the Company's recent financial performance and cash flows. However, despite these challenges, Kratos' executive management team successfully:

Managed the Company through significantly declining U.S. federal government and DoD budgets.

Executed a strategic plan to significantly diversify the Company's business, whereby approximately 43% and 39% of Kratos' current revenues are generated from non-U.S. federal government customers, including commercial or international customers, in 2014 and 2015, respectively, as compared to approximately 24% in 2011.

Made important progress in large, new growth and opportunity areas, including unmanned systems, electronic warfare and satellite communications. Specifically, in 2015 we successfully completed the development of and demonstrated Kratos' Unmanned Tactical Aerial Platform ("UTAP")-22, a low cost, high performance, Unmanned Combat Aerial System ("UCAS") designed to operate in anti-access/area denied ("A2/AD") environments.

Completed the development of the Company's UTAP aircraft, working with a Government customer agency to meet the performance characteristics of the Government customer community, culminating in flight demonstrations to provide evidence of these key performance metrics and requirements. In the successful demonstration flights, the Company's UTAP-22 flew tactical formation flights with an AV-8B Harrier Jet aircraft, which was one of the first times in aviation history that an unmanned aerial system, with performance capabilities equal to a 4th generation manned fighter air craft, flew with and was controlled by the manned fighter aircraft. The successful flight tests of the UTAP-22 in 2015 were important strategic milestones for the Company, which the Board believes will be a significant future value driver for the Company.

Over the past two years, the Company has been at the forefront of the DoD's Third Offset Strategy to find new and technologically "leap frogging" systems for the U.S. warfighter and the Government industry partnership initiative, including as related to the Company's UTAP-22.

Successfully retained the Company's existing Intellectual Property and Data Rights in its unmanned aerial systems.

Positioned the Company to successfully bid on and win a major new tactical unmanned aerial system program, the Defense Advanced Research Project Agency's ("DARPA") Gremlins Program, which the Company was awarded in March 2016.

Positioned the Company to bid on the Air Force Research Lab's ("AFRL") new high performance unmanned tactical aerial system program, the Low Cost Attributable Strike Tactical Demonstration ("LCASTD"), expected to be awarded to the winning bidder in 2016.

Made targeted investments in strategic focus areas in the electronic products, unmanned systems and satellite communications divisions with potential long-term growth prospects.

Completed a strategic review of the Company's businesses, resulting in the divestiture of the Company's U.S. and U.K. Electronic Products business, hereafter referred to as the "Herley Divestiture," for \$260 million and \$5 million for taxes incurred as part of the transaction, or estimated net proceeds of \$237.6 million. The Company had acquired Herley Industries, Inc. ("Herley") in 2011 for approximately \$270 million; and post-divestiture, the Company retained the electronic Microwave Products business based in Israel and the ground control and avionics business, which is now part of the Company's Unmanned Systems division. In summary, the Company sold the U.S. and U.K. Electronic Products business, for approximately the same price that it had paid for the entire Herley acquisition, at a multiple well in the top end of comparable transaction multiples, while also retaining the Israeli and avionics business, which generate aggregate annual revenues of over \$70 million. The Herley Divestiture sold at one of the highest sales multiples executed in the industry.

Reduced overall indebtedness by \$216 million, including the \$175 million redemption of the Company's Senior Notes and the pay down of the outstanding balance on the Company's line of credit of \$41 million. The reduction of total indebtedness equates to a reduction of approximately \$13.5 million of annual cash interest.

Amended the Company's Credit Agreement for its \$110 million line of credit to permit the Company to replenish the collateral base of \$50.8 million sold with the Herley Divestiture and eliminate the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio if there are no borrowings outstanding under the line of credit, and to reduce the covenant according to borrowings outstanding, as defined in the Credit Agreement. As of January 31, 2016, the entire amount of the collateral base sold with the Herley Divestiture was replenished, resulting in the full amount of the \$110 million of the line of credit available, subject to the borrowing base.

Managed and reduced the Company's overall cost structure, employee headcount and facility requirements in response to the current challenging U.S. federal contracting industry environment, resulting in an aggregate headcount reduction of 9% year-over-year from fiscal year 2014 to fiscal year 2015, excluding the impact of the headcount related to the Herley Divestiture.

Successfully negotiated and executed a sublease arrangement for one of the Company's largest facility lease commitments in Maryland. The lease commitment was assumed in 2011 by the Company in conjunction with its acquisition of Integral Systems, Inc. In the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company executed a new sublease arrangement for the facility, which now covers the entire term of the lease commitment through 2020 and encompasses all space in the entire

facility. As a result of this new arrangement, the Company expects cash flows to improve by \$2.1 to \$3.6 million per year, for an estimated aggregate improvement of \$15.2 million for the remaining lease term through 2020.

Continued aggressive management of the cost components of the Company, including headcount and facilities costs.

Kratos' Compensation Committee applied its philosophy of paying for performance and aligning executive management and stockholder interests in several key ways in 2015, including:

Froze 2015 base salaries at 2014 levels for the Company's Chief Executive Officer and other corporate executive officers (the second salary freeze since 2013 when salaries were frozen at 2012 levels) and most of its operating executive officers.

Issued an approximately 50%/50% share mix of performance-based and time-based RSUs to incentivize the Company's executive officers to build long-term equity value and to align the interests of our executive officers with our stockholders' interests. The Compensation Committee applied aggressive performance measures for the vesting of the 2015 performance-based RSUs, which vest 20% for every 10% increase in the Company's common stock (above the grant date price of \$5.02) that occurs within ten years from the grant date, provided that certain other conditions are met. Additionally, time-based RSUs aligned long-term stockholder and executive interests with ten-year cliff vesting for the Chief Executive Officer and five-year cliff vesting for the other executive officers.

Issued bonuses at the end of 2015 in recognition of executive management's non-financial achievements in 2015.

Continued its practices of eliminating excise tax gross-ups in any new change in control agreements or renewals or material amendments of existing change in control agreements.

Maintained double trigger vesting on all equity awards granted in 2015.

Continued the Company's Anti-Hedging and Anti-Pledging Policy.

Maintained a Stock Ownership Target Guideline of 1.0% of common stock outstanding for the Chief Executive Officer.

Adopted an Incentive Compensation Recoupment Policy for executive officers, which has a broader application than the clawback requirements under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

Amended the Chief Executive Officer's 2007, 2008, and 2009 RSU grants on December 31, 2014 by extending the vesting term from ten-year cliff vesting to fifteen-year cliff vesting to reflect the Company's business strategy, as adjusted to adapt to the various industry and government budgetary trends over the past few years, and to further align the Chief Executive Officer's vesting to the Company's stated long term strategic initiatives for unmanned systems, satellite communications, and microwave electronics.

These efforts are discussed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy statement, which begins on page 31.

In light of the above and as discussed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy statement, the Board and the Compensation Committee believe that the compensation of our named executive officers for fiscal year 2015 was appropriate and reasonable, and that our compensation policies and procedures are sound and in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. Additionally, the Board and the Compensation Committee believe that our compensation policies and procedures are effective in achieving the Company's goals of rewarding sustained financial and operating performance and leadership excellence, aligning the executives' long-term interests with

those of our stockholders and motivating our executives to remain with the Company for long and productive careers.

Therefore, our Board and Compensation Committee are again seeking input from our stockholders through this advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers as described in this proxy statement in the section titled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" beginning on page 31, in the compensation tables beginning on page 49, and in any related narrative discussion contained in this proxy statement.

Accordingly, the following resolution will be submitted for a stockholder vote at the Annual Meeting:

"RESOLVED, that the stockholders of Kratos Defense & Security Solutions, Inc. approve, on an advisory and non-binding basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion in this Proxy Statement."

While this stockholder vote on executive compensation is merely advisory and will not be binding upon us, our Board or our Compensation Committee, we value the opinions of our stockholders and will consider the outcome of the vote when making future compensation decisions. The next non-binding advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers will occur at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS
A VOTE TO APPROVE THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS
AS DESCRIBED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT.**

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

As more fully described in its charter, the Audit Committee oversees our financial reporting process and internal control structure on behalf of our Board. Management has the primary responsibility for the financial statements and the reporting process, including our systems of internal controls. The Company's independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for performing an audit of our annual consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), for issuing a report on those statements and expressing an opinion on the conformity of these audited financial statements, and for reviewing our interim financial statements in accordance with Statement on Auditing Standards No. 100 (interim financial information). The Audit Committee met eight times during 2015 and met regularly with our independent and internal auditors, both privately and with management present.

In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed with management and the independent auditors the audited and interim financial statements, including Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, included in the Company's Reports on Form 10-K and Form 10-Q. These reviews included a discussion of:

our critical accounting policies;

the reasonableness of significant financial reporting judgments made in connection with the financial statements, including the quality (and not just the acceptability) of our accounting principles;

the clarity and completeness of our financial disclosures;

the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting, including management's and independent auditor's reports thereon, the basis for the conclusions expressed in those reports and changes made to our internal control over financial reporting during 2015;

items that could be accounted for using alternative treatments within GAAP, the ramifications thereof and the treatment preferred by the independent auditor;

the annual management letter issued by the independent auditor, management's response thereto and other material written communications between management and the independent auditor;

unadjusted audit differences noted by the independent auditor during its audit of our annual financial statements; and

the potential effects of regulatory and accounting initiatives on our financial statements.

In connection with its review of our annual consolidated financial statements, the Audit Committee also discussed with the independent auditor other matters required to be discussed with the auditors under Auditing Standard No. 16, *Communications with Audit Committees*, and those addressed by Deloitte's written disclosures and its letter provided under Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, as modified or supplemented (independence discussions with audit committees).

The Audit Committee is responsible for the engagement of the independent auditors and has appointed Deloitte to serve in that capacity since June 2013. In connection therewith, the Audit Committee:

reviewed Deloitte's independence from the Company and management, including Deloitte's written disclosures described above;

reviewed periodically the level of fees approved for payment to Deloitte and the pre-approved non-audit services it has provided to us to ensure their compatibility with Deloitte's independence; and

reviewed Deloitte's performance, qualifications and quality control procedures.

Among other matters, the Audit Committee also:

reviewed the scope of and overall plans for the annual audit and the internal audit program;

consulted with management and Deloitte with respect to our processes for risk assessment and risk management;

reviewed the adequacy of certain of our financial policies;

reviewed and approved our policy with regard to the hiring of former employees of the independent auditors;

reviewed and approved our policy for the pre-approval of audit and permitted non-audit services by the independent auditors;

received reports pursuant to our policy for the submission and confidential treatment of communications from employees and others about accounting, internal controls and auditing matters;

reviewed with management the scope and effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, including for purposes of evaluating the accuracy and fair presentation of our financial statements in connection with certifications made by the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer; and

reviewed significant legal developments and our processes for monitoring compliance with law and Company policies.

Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board, and the Board approved, that the audited financial statements be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 27, 2015 for filing with the SEC. The Audit Committee also selected Deloitte as our independent auditor for 2016.

Respectfully submitted,

THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE
BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Scott Anderson, *Chairperson*
William Hoglund
Scot Jarvis
Jane Judd

The foregoing Report of the Audit Committee is not "soliciting material," is not deemed "filed" with the SEC, and shall not be deemed incorporated by reference by any general statement incorporating by reference this proxy statement into any filing of ours under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the "Exchange Act") except to the extent we specifically incorporate this report by reference.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Our Executive Officers

Executive officers are elected by our Board and serve at its discretion. There are no family relationships between any director or executive officer and any other directors or executive officers. Set forth below is information regarding our current executive officers who were in place as of December 27, 2015.

Name	Position	Age
Eric DeMarco(1)	Chief Executive Officer and President	52
Deanna Lund	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	48
Richard Duckworth	Vice President and Corporate Controller	55
Marie Mendoza	Vice President and General Counsel	43
Jonah Adelman	President, Microwave Electronics	65
Gerald Beaman	President, Unmanned Systems	63
Phillip Carrai	President, Technology & Training Solutions	54
David Carter	President, Defense & Rocket Support Services	58
Benjamin Goodwin	President, Public Safety & Security	75
Thomas Mills	President, Modular Systems	56

(1) The biographical information for Eric DeMarco is provided in the section identifying the Director nominees beginning on page 16.

Each executive officer holds office until his or her respective successor has been appointed, or until his or her earlier death, resignation or dismissal. Historically, our Board has designated our executive officers annually at its first meeting following the annual meeting of stockholders.

Deanna Lund has served as Kratos' Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since April 2004. Prior to joining Kratos, Ms. Lund most recently served as Vice President and Corporate Controller of The Titan Corporation from July 1998 to 2004, then an NYSE-listed corporation, prior to its acquisition by L-3 Communications, and as its Corporate Controller beginning in December 1996. Ms. Lund was also Titan's Corporate Manager of Operations Analysis from 1993 to 1996. Prior to that time, Ms. Lund worked for Arthur Andersen LLP. Ms. Lund received a bachelor's degree in Accounting from San Diego State University, *magna cum laude*, and is a Certified Public Accountant.

Richard Duckworth has served as the Company's Vice President, Corporate Controller, and Principal Accounting Officer since November 2013. Mr. Duckworth brings significant accounting, finance, business, and public company experience and skills to the Company. From March 2008 to November 2013, Mr. Duckworth served as the Vice President of Accounting and Corporate Controller for Novatel Wireless, Inc. Prior to Novatel, Mr. Duckworth was Vice President of Finance and Controller/Accounting Director at Kyocera Wireless Corp. and held various progressive accounting positions at QUALCOMM Inc., including Accounting Director of a QUALCOMM wholly owned subsidiary. Mr. Duckworth began his career with the public accounting firm Coopers & Lybrand (now Pricewaterhouse Coopers) and is a Certified Public Accountant. Mr. Duckworth earned a bachelor's degree in Business Administration with an emphasis on Accounting from San Diego State University.

Marie Mendoza has served as the Company's Vice President and General Counsel since December 2015. Ms. Mendoza previously served as the Company's Senior Corporate Attorney since December 2011. Prior to joining Kratos, Ms. Mendoza was a Partner with the law firm of Burke, Williams & Sorensen, LLP from 2002 to 2006 and then GCR, LLP in San Diego from 2006 to 2011, where she represented public agencies and commercial companies on a variety of matters including contract negotiation and disputes, labor and employment, construction, board governance, commercial leases, trademark infringement and various other matters. Ms. Mendoza received a bachelor's degree in

Political Science from the University of California, Los Angeles, *cum laude*, and her law degree from the University of California, Los Angeles School of Law.

Jonah Adelman has served as the President of the Company's Microwave Electronics Division since August 2015. Prior to that, Mr. Adelman was the General Manager of the Company's Electronic Products Division's Israel business group from its acquisition in March 2011. Mr. Adelman began his professional career as a Research and Development Microwave Engineer at General Microwave Corporation ("GMC") in Amityville, New York, subsequently moving to Israel where he took part in the establishment of General Microwave Israel, a subsidiary of GMC. Mr. Adelman served as Chief Microwave Engineer, Assistant General Manager, and since 1990 was General Manager of General Microwave Israel, which Kratos acquired in 2011. Mr. Adelman received a bachelor's degree in Mathematics and Physics from Brooklyn College, *summa cum laude*, and a master's degree in Applied Mathematics from New York University, where he subsequently performed doctoral research in magneto-fluid dynamics. Mr. Adelman is a longtime member of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers ("IEEE") and in 2008 received a Certificate of Appreciation from the Microwave Chapter of the Israeli IEEE.

Gerald Beaman has served as the President of the Company's Unmanned Systems Division since August 2013. Vice Admiral Beaman is an accomplished, proven leader with extensive experience in large-scale operations. Prior to joining Kratos, Mr. Beaman was an officer with the U.S. Navy from December 1977 until his retirement in July 2013 as a Vice Admiral. In the Navy, Mr. Beaman served as the Commander of the U.S. Third Fleet and directed activities for 58,000 personnel responsible for homeland defense, support for civil authorities and for providing relevant training and certification for all West Coast naval forces. In his naval career of 35 years, Mr. Beaman also served as Deputy Chief of Staff Operations Global Force Management, Training and Experimentation, Fleet Forces Command; Deputy Chief of Staff Operations, Joint Forces Command; and Commander, Strike Force Training Pacific. Mr. Beaman holds a master's degree in National Security and Strategic Studies from the Naval War College and a bachelor's degree in Business Administration from Marquette University.

Phillip Carrai has served as President of the Company's Technology & Training Solutions Division since December 2009 and was Executive Vice President of the same division from July 2008 to December 2009. Prior to that, Mr. Carrai served as President of the Information Technology Solutions segment of SYS from October 2006 until SYS's merger with Kratos in June 2008. From 2003 to 2006, Mr. Carrai was the Chief Executive Officer of Ai Metrix, Inc., a telecommunications software company sold to SYS in 2006. He served as Managing Director for the Morino Group and Special Advisor to General Atlantic, Inc. from 2000 to 2003 and was Executive Chairman for Ztango and a board member of Internosis. Mr. Carrai was the Chief Executive Officer of McCabe and Associates, a testing and analysis software company, from 1997 to 2000. From 1989 to 1996, Mr. Carrai held a variety of executive management positions at Legent Corporation, an enterprise software company. Mr. Carrai received his bachelor's degree in Information Science and Accounting from Indiana University of Pennsylvania and his master in business administration from Carnegie Mellon University.

David Carter has served as President of the Company's Defense & Rocket Support Services Division since December 2009, and he was the Executive Vice President of that division from December 2007 to December 2009. Before its acquisition by Kratos in December 2007, Mr. Carter served as Vice President of Haverstick/DTI Military Services Division since January 2004, where he was responsible for managing the division's technical, financial and business development operations. Mr. Carter has over thirty years of experience both as a member of the U.S. Navy and as a contractor supporting Navy combat weapon systems development, acquisition and life cycle support. Mr. Carter joined Haverstick/DTI in 1989 and for the past twenty-two years has been responsible for building and managing a DoD business sector. Mr. Carter received his associate's degree from Anne Arundel Community College.

Benjamin Goodwin has served as President of the Public Safety & Security segment since joining the Company in June 2008. Prior to that, Mr. Goodwin served as Senior Vice President of Sales and Marketing and President of the Public Safety, Security and Industrial Products Group of SYS from July 2005 until SYS's merger with Kratos in June 2008. Mr. Goodwin has held a variety of executive management positions in his career. From 2004 to 2005, Mr. Goodwin was Chief Operating Officer and Vice President of Sales for Aonix, a developer of software product solutions for the aerospace, telecommunications, and transportation industries. Mr. Goodwin had previously served as Chief Executive Officer of Aonix from 1996 to 2000. From 2000 to 2002, Mr. Goodwin was Executive Vice President of Sales & Marketing for FinanCenter, a developer of financial decision tools, and Chairman of the Board for Template Graphics Solutions, a provider of 3D graphics tools. From 1976 to 1996, Mr. Goodwin was the President and Chief Operating Officer of Thomson Software Products and President and Chief Executive Officer of SofTech Microsystems. In these capacities, Mr. Goodwin was responsible for the successful completion of an initial public offering, private placements and a merger in addition to significant revenue growth within the companies. Mr. Goodwin has a bachelor's degree in Psychology from Millsaps College.

Thomas Mills has served as President of Kratos' Modular Systems Division, which includes Gichner Systems Group, based near York, PA, and Charleston Marine Containers ("CMCI"), based in Charleston, SC, since August 2013. Prior to joining Gichner in 2004, Mr. Mills held several senior management positions at various publicly traded and privately held companies. Mr. Mills started his career at KPMG and has a bachelor's degree in Accounting from West Chester University.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview

The following Compensation Discussion and Analysis ("CD&A") describes and analyzes Kratos' compensation program for its named executive officers. Kratos' named executive officers for fiscal year 2015 include its Chief Executive Officer, its Chief Financial Officer, its three most highly compensated executive officers (other than the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer) who were serving as executive officers at the end of fiscal year 2015, and two former executive officers who would have been one of the three most highly compensation executive officers (other than the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer) but for the fact that these individuals were not serving as executive officers at the end of fiscal year 2015. The named executive officers during the last completed fiscal year were Eric DeMarco, President and Chief Executive Officer; Deanna Lund, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer; Jonah Adelman, President of the Microwave Electronics Division; Gerald Beaman, President of the Unmanned Systems Division; Phillip Carrai, President of the Technology & Training Solutions Division; Deborah Butera, former Senior Vice President, General Counsel, Registered In-House Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Secretary, whose service with the Company ended on September 24, 2015; and Richard Poirier, former President of the Electronic Products Division, whose service with the Company ended on August 22, 2015. In the CD&A, Mr. DeMarco, Ms. Lund and Ms. Butera are sometimes referred to as "corporate named executive officers" and Messrs. Adelman, Beaman, Carrai and Poirier are sometimes referred to as "operational named executive officers."

In this CD&A, we first provide an Executive Summary. Next, we cover Kratos' 2015 Say-on-Pay Vote Results, Stockholder Feedback, and Compensation Program Decisions; Compensation Philosophy and Objectives; and 2015 Compensation Program Decisions. We then discuss the process our Compensation Committee follows in setting executive compensation, including Benchmarking Our Program Against Peers, Targeted Pay Mix, and Elements of the Executive Compensation Program. Finally, we engage in a detailed discussion and analysis of the Compensation Committee's specific decisions about the compensation of our named executive officers in 2015 and the changes the Compensation Committee made for fiscal year 2015.

Executive Summary

Kratos' Fiscal 2015 Financial Performance and Executive Compensation

Kratos' Achievements in 2014 and 2015:

Managed the Company through significantly declining U.S. federal government and DoD budgets.

Executed a strategic plan to significantly diversify the Company's business, whereby approximately 43% and 39% of Kratos' current revenues are generated from non-U.S. federal government customers, including commercial or international customers, in 2014 and 2015, respectively, as compared to approximately 24% in 2011.

Made important progress in large, new growth and opportunity areas, including unmanned systems, electronic warfare and satellite communications. Specifically, in 2015 we successfully completed the development of and demonstrated Kratos' UTAP-22, a low cost, high performance, UCAS designed to operate in A2/AD environments.

Completed the development of the Company's UTAP aircraft, working with a Government customer agency to meet the performance characteristics of the Government customer community, culminating in flight demonstrations to provide evidence of these key performance metrics and requirements. In the successful demonstration flights, the Company's UTAP-22 flew tactical formation flights with an AV-8B Harrier Jet aircraft, which was one of the first times in aviation history that an unmanned aerial system, with performance capabilities equal to a 4th generation manned fighter aircraft, flew with and was controlled by the manned fighter aircraft. The successful flight tests of the UTAP-22 in 2015 were important strategic milestones for the Company, which the Board believes will be a significant future value driver for the Company.

Over the past two years, Kratos has been at the forefront of the DoD's Third Offset Strategy to find new and technologically "leap frogging" systems for the U.S. warfighter and the Government industry partnership initiative, including as related to the Company's UTAP-22.

Successfully retained the Company's existing Intellectual Property and Data Rights in its unmanned aerial systems.

Positioned the Company to successfully bid on and win a major new tactical unmanned aerial system program, the Defense Advanced Research Project Agency's ("DARPA") Gremlins Program, which the Company was awarded in March 2016.

Positioned the Company to bid on the Air Force Research Lab's ("AFRL") new high performance unmanned tactical aerial system program, the Low Cost Attributable Strike Tactical Demonstration ("LCASTD"), expected to be awarded to the winning bidder in 2016.

Made targeted investments in strategic focus areas in the electronic products, unmanned systems and satellite communications divisions with potential long-term growth prospects.

Completed a strategic review of the Company's businesses, resulting in the Herley Divestiture, for \$260 million and \$5 million for taxes incurred as part of the transaction, or estimated net proceeds of \$237.6 million. The Company acquired Herley in 2011 for approximately \$270 million; and post-divestiture, the Company retained the electronic Microwave Products business based in Israel and the ground control and avionics business, which is now part of the Company's Unmanned Systems division. In summary, the Company sold the U.S. and U.K. Electronic Products business, for approximately the same price that it had paid for the entire Herley acquisition, at a multiple well in the top end of comparable transaction multiples, while also retaining the Israeli and avionics business, which generate aggregate annual revenues of

over \$70 million. The Herley Divestiture sold at one of the highest sales multiples executed in the industry.

Reduced overall indebtedness by \$216 million, including the \$175 million redemption of the Company's Senior Notes and the pay down of the outstanding balance on the Company's line of credit of \$41 million. The reduction of total indebtedness equates to a reduction of approximately \$13.5 million of annual cash interest.

Amended the Company's Credit Agreement for its \$110 million line of credit to permit the Company to replenish the collateral base of \$50.8 million sold with the Herley Divestiture and eliminate the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio if there are no borrowings outstanding under the line of credit, and to reduce the covenant according to borrowings outstanding, as defined in the Credit Agreement. As of January 31, 2016, the entire amount of the collateral base sold with the Herley Divestiture was replenished, resulting in the full amount of the \$110 million of the line of credit available, subject to the borrowing base.

Managed and reduced the Company's overall cost structure, employee headcount and facility requirements in response to the current challenging U.S. federal contracting industry environment, resulting in an aggregate headcount reduction of 9% year-over-year from fiscal year 2014 to fiscal year 2015, excluding the impact of the headcount related to the Herley Divestiture.

Successfully negotiated and executed a sublease arrangement for one of the Company's largest facility lease commitments in Maryland. The lease commitment was assumed in 2011 by the Company in conjunction with its acquisition of Integral Systems, Inc. In the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company executed a new sublease arrangement for the facility which now covers the entire term of the lease commitment through 2020 and encompasses all space in the entire facility. As a result of this new arrangement, the Company expects cash flows to improve by \$2.1 to \$3.6 million per year, for an estimated aggregate improvement of \$15.2 million for the remaining lease term through 2020.

Continued aggressive management of the cost components of the Company, including headcount and facilities costs.

Fiscal Year 2014 was an extremely challenging year for the overall U.S. federal government contracting industry and for the Company. Following cuts in 2013, the DoD budget was cut further for Fiscal Year 2014. A significant amount of Kratos' revenues are generated from U.S. federal government customers, funding and agencies (approximately 57% in 2014 and 61% in 2015). As a result, the Company's revenues, Adjusted EBITDA and cash flows were all adversely impacted by this continued challenging industry environment throughout 2014 and 2015.

Despite this challenging federal government budgetary environment in 2014 and 2015, the Company made significant discretionary investments in certain new growth and large opportunity areas to help position the Company for long-term success, including unmanned systems, satellite communications, and microwave electronics. The Company has made these select investments in potential high national security priority growth areas with the intention of retaining the intellectual property and design rights in order to achieve designed-in and sole source positions in the future production of these expected long-lived programs and platforms. This strategy was driven in part by certain new and large program opportunities, including Unmanned Combat Aerial Systems (UCAS), new unmanned tactical aircraft platforms, Next Generation Satellite Communication Ground Stations, small satellite and cube satellite communication systems, missile systems, radar systems, and electronic warfare and global position satellite technologies. These are all expected to be long term, well-funded multi-year national security priority program areas.

Also in 2014 and 2015, Kratos increased its spending on the Company's internal cybersecurity and cyber protection assets, infrastructure, and systems in order to protect the Company's and its

customers' intellectual property and sensitive information from significant and increasing cybersecurity threats, especially as related to government contractors. All of these important and required investments negatively impacted the Company's EBITDA and cash flow, although we believe they reflect sound strategic choices for enhancing Kratos' long-term success and protecting the stockholders, the Company, and their assets.

Through Kratos management's regular interaction and routine discussions with the Company's stockholders, we believe that these strategic initiatives in the unmanned systems, satellite communications, and electronic warfare areas are very important to delivering continued long-term value creation to our equity holders, and we believe that the Company's stockholders support these initiatives. Fiscal 2015 was a pivotal year for the Company as we successfully demonstrated the UTAP-22, a low cost, high performance, UCAS, designed to operate in A2/AD environments. The Company believes that its successful UTAP-22 initiative has positioned the Company as a leader in the next generation high performance UCAS market place, and enabled the Company to successfully compete in 2015/2016 for two of the most significant high performance tactical unmanned aerial system program opportunities to date, the first of which the Company was successfully awarded in March 2016. The Company believes the discretionary investments it has made in these initiatives will have long-term benefits, including major new program wins, as these investments enabled the Company to retain the intellectual property and design rights on these new, programs which are expected to be long-lived.

In 2015, the Company continued its successful customer diversification strategic initiative, with Kratos' commercial, international and non-U.S. federal government revenues making up approximately 39% of the Company's business in 2015. Kratos' management is executing on this customer diversification strategy in response to the recent significant declining U.S. federal government and DoD budgets. An important aspect and contributor to our management's diversification strategy is the Company's public safety and security business, international focused aspects of the Company's Microwave Electronics Division and its commercial satellite communications and training businesses, each of which have predominantly non-U.S. federal government or DoD funded customers. The successful execution of this diversification initiative has helped the Company lessen the impact of the major defense industry contraction, and has provided potential new growth opportunities for the Company's products, solutions and services. In 2015, the Company expanded its international focus into its training business, with the Company receiving a sizable initial international training program contract award in 2015 and with several additional new opportunities the Company is pursuing with an aggregate potential value in excess of \$100 million. In addition, the Company has recently released new satellite communications products into the commercial market, which will further expand its commercial focus and opportunities.

In 2014 and 2015, our management remained focused on reducing costs and increasing operating efficiencies. For example, Kratos' work force, excluding the impact of the Herley Divestiture, has been reduced from approximately 3,300 employees in December 2013 to 3,200 employees in December 2014. Additionally, as of December 2015, the Company had approximately 2,900 employees, reflecting a further reduction of approximately 300 employees. As a result of the reduced workforce, including a number of Corporate Officer and management positions, the Company's executive officers are required to perform multiple functions, such as those duties that would typically be handled by a Vice President of Mergers and Acquisitions, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Strategy Officer, Vice President of Investor Relations and Corporate Communications, Vice President of Corporate Development, Vice President of Marketing, Vice President of Human Resources, and Treasurer. The Company currently does not have dedicated individual executive personnel performing any of these aforementioned functions. In addition, from 2014 to 2015 we have significantly reduced the overall square footage that we occupy and the vacant floor space, significantly reducing the Company's cost of facilities and improving efficiencies.

The Company's Board and Compensation Committee take into consideration the performance of our management team, the Company and the execution of the Company's strategy as approved by the Board, among other factors, in their consideration of executive compensation.

2015 Say-on-Pay Vote Results, Stockholder Feedback, and Compensation Program Decisions

In accordance with Section 14A of the Exchange Act, beginning in 2011, we gave our stockholders the opportunity to provide feedback on our executive compensation program and related proxy disclosure through an advisory vote at our annual stockholders meeting. Stockholders were asked to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation paid to the named executive officers. Stockholders also indicated a strong preference to hold the advisory vote annually. Throughout 2014 and 2015, the Company has continued its ongoing engagement with stockholders: the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer present at multiple investor conferences each year, with numerous Kratos stockholders in attendance; the Chief Executive Officer speaks with the Company's largest stockholder on at least a weekly basis and the next top 15% non-quantitative analysis fund stockholders on at least a quarterly basis, on average; and the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer maintain open lines of communication with stockholders, many of whom reach out to the Company after each earnings release. No material concerns have been raised during these stockholder outreach efforts.

At our annual meeting in 2015, our stockholders approved, on an advisory basis, the "say-on-pay" resolution for the compensation of our named executive officers in fiscal year 2014, with 75.72% of the votes cast in favor of the proposal. In light of the majority of stockholders indicating their approval of the compensation of our named executive officers, our Compensation Committee has continued to employ the same principles in determining the compensation program for 2015. A summary of the compensation philosophy do's and don'ts follows:

WHAT WE DO

Pay for Performance Annual Incentive Program The compensation program emphasizes performance-based compensation that is based on financial metrics as well as non-financial achievements, such that base salary is only a portion of the compensation mix.

Pay for Performance Long-Term Equity Incentives The portion of long-term equity incentive as a component of the total compensation mix has increased to provide a greater emphasis on compensation that is directly linked with the creation of long-term stockholder value. In particular, the RSUs and stock options we have issued since 2013 have had (i) vesting provisions dependent on the common stock price reaching certain thresholds and (ii) long-term cliff-vesting provisions of 5 years or longer.

WHAT WE DON'T DO

No Excise Tax Gross Ups Any new change of control agreements or any renewals or material amendments of existing change of control agreements will eliminate excise tax gross ups.

No Single-Trigger Accelerated Vesting New equity awards that provide for accelerated vesting in the event of a change in control must have a "double-trigger," such as a constructive termination of employment or stock price threshold, subject to the terms of any applicable employment or change of control agreement.

Table of Contents

Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. This report contains forward-looking statements including, without limitation, statements regarding trends, seasonality, cyclicalities and growth in, and drivers of, the markets we sell into, our strategic direction, our future effective tax rate and tax valuation allowance, earnings from our foreign subsidiaries, remediation activities, new product and service introductions, the ability of our products to meet market needs, changes to our manufacturing processes, the use of contract manufacturers, the impact of local government regulations on our ability to pay vendors or conduct operations, our liquidity position, our ability to generate cash from operations, growth in our businesses, our investments, the potential impact of adopting new accounting pronouncements, our financial results, our purchase commitments, our contributions to our pension plans, the selection of discount rates and recognition of any gains or losses for our benefit plans, our cost-control activities, savings and headcount reduction recognized from our restructuring programs, uncertainties relating to Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") and other regulatory approvals, the integration of our Varian acquisition and other transactions, our stock repurchase program, our transition to lower-cost regions, and the existence of economic instability, that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from the results contemplated by these forward-looking statements due to various factors, including those discussed in Item 1A and elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Overview and Executive Summary

Agilent is the world's premier measurement company, providing core electronic and bio-analytical measurement solutions to the communications, electronics, life sciences and chemical analysis industries. Our fiscal year end is October 31. Unless otherwise stated, all years and dates refer to our fiscal year.

For the year ended October 31, 2011 we acquired three separate businesses: A2 Technologies, Lab901 and Biocius Life Sciences Inc., for a total cost of \$96 million, net of cash acquired.

Agilent's total orders in 2011 were \$6,769 million, an increase of 18 percent when compared to 2010. The increase in orders associated with the Varian acquisition less the orders attributable to divested businesses (the network solutions and Hycor businesses) accounted for 5 percentage points of order growth for the year ended October 31, 2011 when compared to 2010. Due to the close date of the Varian acquisition, which occurred on May 14, 2010, we have excluded orders related to Varian for the period November 1, 2010 to May 14, 2011 when we compare periods without the Varian acquisition. The increase in orders in the year ended October 31, 2011 compared with last year was attributable to improvement in many of our instrument platforms, consumables and services. Agilent's total orders in 2010 increased 28 percent when compared to 2009. The increase in orders associated with the Varian acquisition less the orders attributable to our divested businesses (the network solutions and Hycor businesses) accounted for 3 percentage points of order growth for the year ended October 31, 2010 when compared to 2009. The increase in orders in the year ended October 31, 2010 compared with the prior year was due to a strong performance in new products and service and support businesses.

Agilent's net revenue of \$6,615 million increased 22 percent when compared to 2010. The revenue increase associated with the Varian acquisition less the revenue attributable to our divested businesses (the network solutions and Hycor businesses) accounted for approximately 5 percentage points of the revenue increase for the year ended October 31, 2011 when compared to 2010. Due to the close date of the Varian acquisition, which occurred on May 14, 2010, we have excluded revenue related to Varian for the period November 1, 2010 to May 14, 2011 when we compare periods without the Varian acquisition.

Table of Contents

Excluding the Varian acquisition and Hycor divestiture, growth in demand for life sciences products increased in all markets led by sales into the pharmaceutical and biotechnology end-market for the year ended October 31, 2011, when compared to the prior year. Excluding the Varian acquisition, sales to all end-markets grew across the chemical analysis business, in particular the petrochemical market, for the year ended October 31, 2011 when compared to 2010. Within electronic measurement, general purpose end-markets improved in 2011 when compared to the prior year as a result of the recovery in the electronics and semiconductor businesses. Also within electronic measurement, the communications test businesses improved strongly in the year ended October 31, 2011 when compared to the prior year with wireless manufacturing reporting good revenue growth in the year. Agilent's total net revenue in 2010 increased 21 percent when compared to 2009. The revenue increase associated with the Varian acquisition less the revenue attributable to our divested businesses (the network solutions and Hycor businesses) accounted for 3 percentage points of revenue increase for the year ended October 31, 2010 when compared to 2009.

Net income was \$1,012 million in 2011 compared to net income of \$684 million in 2010 and a net loss of \$31 million in 2009. In 2011, 2010 and 2009 we generated operating cash flows of \$1,260 million, \$718 million and \$408 million, respectively. As of October 31, 2011 and 2010 we had cash and cash equivalents balances of \$3,527 million and \$2,649 million, respectively.

On May 14, 2010, we completed our acquisition of Varian by means of a merger of one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries with and into Varian such that Varian became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Agilent. We financed the purchase price of Varian using the proceeds from our September 2009 offering of senior notes and other existing cash. The Varian merger has been accounted for in accordance with the authoritative accounting guidance and the results of Varian are included in Agilent's consolidated financial statements from the date of merger. For additional details related to the acquisition of Varian, see Note 3, "Acquisition of Varian".

Looking forward, we believe there are continued marketing opportunities in emerging markets and improvements to be achieved in operating performance by leveraging our design, supply chain and manufacturing capabilities. In addition, we will continue integrating Varian's order fulfillment systems and processes into Agilent and our priority is to focus on revenue and cost synergies, as well as increase technology sharing between our businesses. As a result of the integration of Varian into Agilent, we are expecting to achieve \$100 million in net cost savings. Approximately $\frac{1}{3}$ of the net cost savings have been generated within general and administrative expenses at the end of fiscal 2011 and the remaining savings within the costs of products and services are expected to be realized by the end of fiscal 2013.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions believed to be reasonable. Although these estimates are based on management's best knowledge of current events and actions that may impact the company in the future, actual results may be different from the estimates. Our critical accounting policies are those that affect our financial statements materially and involve difficult, subjective or complex judgments by management. Those policies are revenue recognition, inventory valuation, share-based compensation, retirement and post-retirement plan assumptions, goodwill and purchased intangible assets, restructuring and asset impairment charges and accounting for income taxes.

Table of Contents

Revenue recognition. We enter into agreements to sell products (hardware or software), services, and other arrangements (multiple element arrangements) that include combinations of products and services. Revenue from product sales, net of trade discounts and allowances, is recognized provided that persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. Delivery is considered to have occurred when title and risk of loss have transferred to the customer. Revenue is reduced for estimated product returns, when appropriate. For sales that include customer-specified acceptance criteria, revenue is recognized after the acceptance criteria have been met. For products that include installation, if the installation meets the criteria to be considered a separate element, product revenue is recognized upon delivery, and recognition of installation revenue occurs when the installation is complete. Otherwise, neither the product nor the installation revenue is recognized until the installation is complete. Revenue from services is deferred and recognized over the contractual period or as services are rendered and accepted by the customer. We allocate revenue to each element in our multiple-element arrangements based upon their relative selling prices. We determine the selling price for each deliverable based on a selling price hierarchy. The selling price for a deliverable is based on our vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE) if available, third-party evidence (TPE) if VSOE is not available, or estimated selling price (ESP) if neither VSOE nor TPE is available. Revenue from the sale of software products that are not required to deliver the tangible product's essential functionality are accounted for under software revenue recognition rules. Revenue allocated to each element is then recognized when the basic revenue recognition criteria for that element have been met. The amount of product revenue recognized is affected by our judgments as to whether an arrangement includes multiple elements.

We use VSOE of selling price in the selling price allocation in all instances where it exists. VSOE of selling price for products and services is determined when a substantial majority of the selling prices fall within a reasonable range when sold separately. TPE of selling price can be established by evaluating largely interchangeable competitor products or services in standalone sales to similarly situated customers. As our products contain a significant element of proprietary technology and the solution offered differs substantially from that of competitors, it is difficult to obtain the reliable standalone competitive pricing necessary to establish TPE. ESP represents the best estimate of the price at which we would transact a sale if the product or service were sold on a standalone basis. We determine ESP for a product or service by using historical selling prices which reflect multiple factors including, but not limited to customer type, geography, market conditions, competitive landscape, gross margin objectives and pricing practices. The determination of ESP is made through consultation with and approval by management. We may modify or develop new pricing practices and strategies in the future. As these pricing strategies evolve, we may modify our pricing practices in the future, which may result in changes in ESP. The aforementioned factors may result in a different allocation of revenue to the deliverables in multiple element arrangements from the current fiscal quarter, which may change the pattern and timing of revenue recognition for these elements but will not change the total revenue recognized for the arrangement.

Inventory valuation. We assess the valuation of our inventory on a periodic basis and make adjustments to the value for estimated excess and obsolete inventory based upon estimates about future demand and actual usage. Such estimates are difficult to make under most economic conditions. The excess balance determined by this analysis becomes the basis for our excess inventory charge. Our excess inventory review process includes analysis of sales forecasts, managing product rollovers and working with manufacturing to maximize recovery of excess inventory. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, additional write-downs may be required. If actual market conditions are more favorable than anticipated, inventory previously written down may be sold to customers, resulting in lower cost of sales and higher income from operations than expected in that period.

Table of Contents

Share-based compensation. We account for share-based awards in accordance with the authoritative guidance. Under the authoritative guidance, share-based compensation expense is primarily based on estimated grant date fair value and is recognized on a straight line basis. The fair value of share-based awards for employee stock option awards was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Shares granted under the LTPP were valued using the Monte Carlo simulation model. The estimated fair value of restricted stock unit awards is determined based on the market price of Agilent's common stock on the date of grant. The ESPP allows eligible employees to purchase shares of our common stock at 85 percent of the purchase price and uses the purchase date to establish the fair market value.

Both the Black-Scholes and Monte Carlo simulation fair value models require the use of highly subjective and complex assumptions, including the option's expected life and the price volatility of the underlying stock. The expected stock price volatility assumption was determined using the historical volatility of Agilent's stock option over the most recent historical period equivalent to the expected life. A 10 percent increase in our estimated volatility from 35 percent to 45 percent for our most recent employee stock option grant would generally increase the value of an award and the associated compensation cost by approximately 23 percent if no other factors were changed.

In 2009 and 2010 the expected life of our employee stock options was 4.4 years. In the first quarter of 2011, we revised our estimate of the expected life of our employee stock options from 4.4 to 5.8 years. For the grants awarded under the 2009 stock plan after November 1, 2010, we increased the period available to retirement eligible employees to exercise their options from three years at retirement date to the full contractual term of ten years. In developing our estimated life of our employee stock options of 5.8 years, we considered the historical option exercise behavior of our executive employees who were granted the majority of the options in the annual grants made during the three months ended January 31, 2011, which we believe is representative of future behavior. See Note 4, "Share-based Compensation," to the consolidated financial statements for more information.

The assumptions used in calculating the fair value of share-based awards represent our best estimates, but these estimates involve inherent uncertainties and the application of management judgment. Although we believe the assumptions and estimates we have made are reasonable and appropriate, changes in assumptions could materially impact our reported financial results.

Retirement and post-retirement benefit plan assumptions. Retirement and post-retirement benefit plan costs are a significant cost of doing business. They represent obligations that will ultimately be settled sometime in the future and therefore are subject to estimation. Pension accounting is intended to reflect the recognition of future benefit costs over the employees' average expected future service to Agilent based on the terms of the plans and investment and funding decisions. To estimate the impact of these future payments and our decisions concerning funding of these obligations, we are required to make assumptions using actuarial concepts within the framework of accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. Two critical assumptions are the discount rate and the expected long-term return on plan assets. Other important assumptions include , expected future salary increases, expected future increases to benefit payments, expected retirement dates, employee turnover, retiree mortality rates, and portfolio composition. We evaluate these assumptions at least annually.

The discount rate is used to determine the present value of future benefit payments at the measurement date October 31 for both U.S. and non-U.S. plans. For 2011 and 2010, the U.S. discount rates were based on the results of matching expected plan benefit payments with cash flows from a hypothetically constructed bond portfolio and decreased in 2011 from the previous year. In 2011, the discount rate for non-U.S. plans was generally based on published rates for high quality corporate

Table of Contents

bonds and either remained unchanged or decreased. Lower discount rates increase present values and subsequent year pension expense; higher discount rates decrease present values and subsequent year pension expense.

The company uses alternate methods of amortization as allowed by the authoritative guidance which amortizes the actuarial gains and losses on a consistent basis for the years presented. For U.S. Plans, gains and losses are amortized over the average future working lifetime. For most Non-U.S. Plans and U.S. Post-Retirement Benefit Plans, gains and losses are amortized using a separate layer for each year's gains and losses. The expected long-term return on plan assets is estimated using current and expected asset allocations as well as historical and expected returns. Plan assets are valued at fair value. If we changed our estimated return on assets by 1 percent, the impact would be \$6 million on U.S. pension expense and \$17 million on non-U.S. pension expense. The net periodic pension and post-retirement benefit costs recorded in operations excluding curtailments and settlements were \$58 million in 2011, \$82 million in 2010, and \$103 million in 2009.

Goodwill and purchased intangible assets. Agilent reviews goodwill for impairment annually during our fourth fiscal quarter and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. As defined in the authoritative guidance, a reporting unit is an operating segment, or one level below an operating segment. We have aggregated components of an operating segment that have similar economic characteristics into our reporting units. We have three reporting units for goodwill impairment testing purposes: life sciences, chemical analysis and electronic measurement. At the time of an acquisition, we assign goodwill to the reporting unit that is expected to benefit from the synergies of the combination.

In September 2011, the FASB approved changes to the goodwill impairment guidance which are intended to reduce the cost and complexity of the annual impairment test. The changes provide entities an option to perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether further impairment testing is necessary. The revised standard gives an entity the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether performing the current two-step test is necessary. If an entity believes, as a result of its qualitative assessment, that it is more-likely-than-not (i.e. > 50% chance) that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, the quantitative impairment test will be required. Otherwise, no further testing will be required.

The revised guidance includes examples of events and circumstances that might indicate that a reporting unit's fair value is less than its carrying amount. These include macro-economic conditions such as deterioration in the entity's operating environment or industry or market considerations; entity-specific events such as increasing costs, declining financial performance, or loss of key personnel; or other events such as an expectation that a reporting unit will be sold or a sustained decrease in the stock price on either an absolute basis or relative to peers.

The new qualitative indicators replace those currently used to determine whether an interim goodwill impairment test is required. The changes will be effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. However, earlier adoption is permitted. Agilent has opted to early adopt this guidance for the year ended October 31, 2011.

If it is determined, as a result of the qualitative assessment, that it is more-likely-than-not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, the provisions of authoritative guidance require that we perform a two-step impairment test on goodwill. In the first step, we compare the fair value of each reporting unit to its carrying value. The second step (if necessary) measures the amount

Table of Contents

of impairment by applying fair-value-based tests to the individual assets and liabilities within each reporting unit.

Based on our results of our qualitative test for goodwill impairment, as of September 30, 2011, we believe that it is more-likely-than-not that the fair value of each of our three reporting units, life sciences, chemical analysis and electronic measurement, is greater than their respective carrying values. There was no impairment of goodwill during the years ended October 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009. Each quarter we review the events and circumstances to determine if goodwill impairment is indicated.

Purchased intangible assets consist primarily of acquired developed technologies, proprietary know-how, trademarks, and customer relationships and are amortized using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives ranging from 6 months to 15 years. In process research and development (IPR&D) is initially capitalized at fair value as an intangible asset with an indefinite life and assessed for impairment thereafter. When the IPR&D project is complete, it is reclassified as an amortizable purchased intangible asset and is amortized over its estimated useful life. If an IPR&D project is abandoned, Agilent will record a charge for the value of the related intangible asset to Agilent's consolidated statement of operations in the period it is abandoned.

We continually monitor events and changes in circumstances that could indicate carrying amounts of long-lived assets, including purchased intangible assets, may not be recoverable. When such events or changes in circumstances occur, we assess the recoverability of long-lived assets by determining whether the carrying value of such assets will be recovered through undiscounted expected future cash flows. If the total of the undiscounted future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of those assets, we recognize an impairment loss based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets. We performed impairment analyses of purchased intangible assets in 2011 and recorded \$3 million of impairment charges primarily related to a business where we ceased operations. We performed impairment analyses of purchased intangible assets in 2010 and recorded \$13 million of impairment charges primarily related to a divested business.

Restructuring and asset impairment charges. The four main components of our restructuring plans are related to workforce reductions, the consolidation of excess facilities, asset impairments and special charges related to inventory. Workforce reduction charges are accrued when it is determined that a liability has been incurred, which is generally after individuals have been notified of their termination dates and expected severance payments. Plans to consolidate excess facilities result in charges for lease termination fees and future commitments to pay lease charges, net of estimated future sublease income. We recognize charges for consolidation of excess facilities generally when we have vacated the premises. These estimates were derived using the authoritative accounting guidance. We have also assessed the recoverability of our long-lived assets, by determining whether the carrying value of such assets will be recovered through undiscounted future cash flows. Asset impairments primarily consist of property, plant and equipment and are based on an estimate of the amounts and timing of future cash flows related to the expected future remaining use and ultimate sale or disposal of buildings and equipment net of costs to sell. The charges related to inventory include estimated future inventory disposal payments that we are contractually obliged to make to our suppliers and reserves taken against inventory on hand. If the amounts and timing of cash flows from restructuring activities are significantly different from what we have estimated, the actual amount of restructuring and asset impairment charges could be materially different, either higher or lower, than those we have recorded.

Accounting for income taxes. We must make certain estimates and judgments in determining income tax expense for financial statement purposes. These estimates and judgments occur in the

Table of Contents

calculation of tax credits, benefits and deductions, and in the calculation of certain tax assets and liabilities which arise from differences in the timing of recognition of revenue and expense for tax and financial statement purposes, as well as interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions. Significant changes to these estimates may result in an increase or decrease to our tax provision in a subsequent period.

Significant management judgment is also required in determining whether deferred tax assets will be realized in full or in part. When it is more likely than not that all or some portion of specific deferred tax assets such as net operating losses or foreign tax credit carryforwards will not be realized, a valuation allowance must be established for the amount of the deferred tax assets that cannot be realized. We consider all available positive and negative evidence on a jurisdiction-by-jurisdiction basis when assessing whether it is more likely than not that deferred tax assets are recoverable. We consider evidence such as our past operating results, the existence of cumulative losses in recent years and our forecast of future taxable income. At October 31, 2011, we provided a valuation allowance for our net U.S. deferred tax assets and on certain foreign deferred tax assets. We intend to maintain a valuation allowance in these jurisdictions until sufficient positive evidence exists to support its reversal.

Due to improvements in the U.S. operating results over the past three years, management believes a reasonable possibility exists that, within the next year, sufficient positive evidence may become available to reach a conclusion that the U.S. valuation allowance will no longer be needed.

We have not provided for all U.S. federal income and foreign withholding taxes on the undistributed earnings of some of our foreign subsidiaries because we intend to reinvest such earnings indefinitely. Should we decide to remit this income to the U.S. in a future period, our provision for income taxes will increase materially in that period.

The calculation of our tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax law and regulations in a multitude of jurisdictions. Although the guidance on the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes prescribes the use of a recognition and measurement model, the determination of whether an uncertain tax position has met those thresholds will continue to require significant judgment by management. If the ultimate resolution of tax uncertainties is different from what is currently estimated, a material impact on income tax expense could result.

Adoption of New Pronouncements

See Note 2, "New Accounting Pronouncements," to the consolidated financial statements for a description of new accounting pronouncements.

Restructuring Costs, Asset Impairments and Other Charges

Our 2009 restructuring program, the ("FY 2009 Plan"), announced in the first half of 2009, was conceived in response to deteriorating economic conditions and was designed to deliver sufficient savings to enable our businesses to reach their profitability targets throughout the cycle. Workforce reduction payments, primarily severance, were largely complete in fiscal year 2010. Lease payments should primarily be complete by the end of fiscal 2014.

Foreign Currency

Our revenues, costs and expenses, and monetary assets and liabilities are exposed to changes in foreign currency exchange rates as a result of our global operating and financing activities. We hedge revenues, expenses and balance sheet exposures that are not denominated in the functional currencies

Table of Contents

of our subsidiaries on a short term and anticipated basis. We do experience some fluctuations within individual lines of the consolidated statement of operations and balance sheet because our hedging program is not designed to offset the currency movements in each category of revenues, expenses, monetary assets and liabilities. Our hedging program is designed to hedge currency movements on a relatively short-term basis (rolling twelve month period). Therefore, we are exposed to currency fluctuations over the longer term.

Results from Operations**Orders and Net Revenue**

	Years Ended October 31,			2011 over 2010 % Change	2010 over 2009 % Change
	2011	2010	2009		
	(in millions)				
Orders	\$ 6,769	\$ 5,744	\$ 4,486	18%	28%
Net revenue:					
Products	\$ 5,482	\$ 4,464	\$ 3,566	23%	25%
Services and other	\$ 1,133	\$ 980	\$ 915	16%	7%
Total net revenue	\$ 6,615	\$ 5,444	\$ 4,481	22%	21%

	Years Ended October 31,			2011 over 2010 Ppts Change	2010 over 2009 Ppts Change
	2011	2010	2009		
% of total net revenue:					
Products	83%	82%	80%	1	2
Services and other	17%	18%	20%	(1)	(2)
Total	100%	100%	100%		

Agilent's total orders in 2011 were \$6,769 million, an increase of 18 percent when compared to 2010. The increase in orders associated with the Varian acquisition less the orders attributable to divested businesses (the network solutions and Hycor businesses) accounted for 5 percentage points of order growth for the year ended October 31, 2011 when compared to 2010. Due to the close date of the Varian acquisition, which occurred on May 14, 2010, we have excluded orders related to Varian for the period November 1, 2010 to May 14, 2011 when we compare periods without the Varian acquisition. The increase in orders in the year ended October 31, 2011 compared with last year was attributable to improvement in many of our instrument platforms, consumables and services. Agilent's total orders in 2010 increased 28 percent when compared to 2009. The increase in orders associated with the Varian acquisition less the orders attributable to our divested businesses (the network solutions and Hycor businesses) accounted for 3 percentage points of order growth for the year ended October 31, 2010 when compared to 2009. The increase in orders in the year ended October 31, 2010 compared with the prior year was due to a strong performance in new products and service and support businesses.

Agilent's net revenue of \$6,615 million increased 22 percent when compared to 2010. The revenue increase associated with the Varian acquisition less the revenue attributable to our divested businesses (the network solutions and Hycor businesses) accounted for approximately 5 percentage points of the revenue increase for the year ended October 31, 2011 when compared to 2010. Due to the close date of the Varian acquisition, which occurred on May 14, 2010, we have excluded revenue related to Varian for the period November 1, 2010 to May 14, 2011 when we compare periods without the Varian acquisition. Excluding the Varian acquisition and Hycor divestiture, growth in demand for

Table of Contents

life sciences products increased in all markets led by sales into the pharmaceutical and biotechnology end-market for the year ended October 31, 2011, when compared to the prior year. Excluding the Varian acquisition, sales to all end-markets grew across the chemical analysis business, in particular the petrochemical market, for the year ended October 31, 2011 when compared to 2010. Within electronic measurement, general purpose end-markets improved in 2011 when compared to the prior year as a result of the recovery in the electronics and semiconductor businesses. Also within electronic measurement, the communications test businesses improved in the year ended October 31, 2011 when compared to the prior year with wireless manufacturing reporting good revenue growth in the year. Agilent's total net revenue in 2010 increased 21 percent when compared to 2009. The revenue increase associated with the Varian acquisition less the revenue attributable to our divested businesses (the network solutions and Hycor businesses) accounted for 3 percentage points of revenue increase for the year ended October 31, 2010 when compared to 2009. Note 21, "Segment Information" shows a reconciliation between segment revenue and net revenue.

Services and other revenue include revenue generated from servicing our installed base of products, warranty extensions and consulting. Services and other revenue increased 16 percent in 2011 as compared to 2010. The increase in services and other revenue associated with the Varian acquisition less the revenue attributable to the network solutions divestiture accounted for 2 percentage point of revenue increase in 2011. Services and other revenue increased 7 percent in 2010 as compared to 2009. The increase in services and other revenue associated with the Varian acquisition less the revenue attributable to the network solutions divestiture accounted for 1 percentage point of revenue increase in 2010. The service and other revenue growth is lower than product revenue growth due to only a proportion of product sales attracting service contracts, the recognition of warranty revenue over an extended period and a portion of the revenue being driven more by the previously installed base than current period product sales.

Backlog

On October 31, 2011, our unfilled orders for the life sciences business were approximately \$430 million, as compared to approximately \$350 million at October 31, 2010. On October 31, 2011, our unfilled orders for the chemical analysis business were approximately \$320 million, as compared to approximately \$250 million at October 31, 2010. On October 31, 2011, our unfilled orders for the electronic measurement business were approximately \$810 million, as compared to \$830 million at October 31, 2010. We expect that a large majority of the unfilled orders for all three businesses will be delivered to customers within six months. On average, our unfilled orders represent approximately three months' worth of revenues. In light of this experience, backlog on any particular date, while indicative of short-term revenue performance, is not necessarily a reliable indicator of medium or long-term revenue performance.

Costs and Expenses

	Years Ended October 31,			2011 over 2010 Change	2010 over 2009 Change
	2011	2010	2009		
Gross margin on products	54.9%	55.7%	52.6%	(1) ppt	3 ppts
Gross margin on services and other	45.9%	45.1%	45.7%	1 ppt	(1) ppt
Total gross margin	53.3%	53.8%	51.1%	(1) ppt	3 ppts
Operating margin	16.2%	10.3%	1.0%	6 ppts	9 ppts
(in millions)					
Research and development	\$ 649	\$ 612	\$ 642	6%	(5)%
Selling, general and administrative	\$ 1,809	\$ 1,752	\$ 1,603	3%	9%

Table of Contents

In 2011, total gross margin decreased 1 percentage point in comparison to 2010. The unfavorable impact of the Varian acquisition (including fair value adjustments) and higher variable and incentive pay were largely offset by the benefits of favorable volume impacts, decreased business and infrastructure programs and lower restructuring costs. Operating margins in 2011 increased 6 percentage points as compared to 2010 due to higher volume partly offset by increased variable and incentive pay. In 2010, total gross margin increased 3 percentage points in comparison to 2009 and operating margins in 2010 increased 9 percentage points as compared to 2009. The benefits of business and infrastructure programs, lower restructuring costs, together with favorable volume impacts offset the unfavorable impact of the Varian acquisition (including fair value adjustments), wage restoration and higher variable and incentive pay.

Research and development expenditures increased 6 percent in 2011 compared to 2010. Increased expenditure was due to our continued investment in new product development, the Varian acquisition and higher variable and incentive pay. These increases were partly offset by the impact of the divested businesses (the network solutions and Hycor businesses) and decreased restructuring expenses. Research and development expenditures decreased 5 percent in 2010 compared to 2009. Increases in expenses due to the Varian acquisition, wage restoration, higher variable and incentive pay were more than offset by the impact of the divested businesses (the network solutions and Hycor businesses) and decreased restructuring expenses.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased 3 percent in 2011 compared to 2010. Increased expenditure was due to the Varian acquisition and higher variable and incentive pay offset by the impact of decreased restructuring expenses and the costs associated with the divested businesses (the network solutions and Hycor businesses). Selling, general and administrative expenses increased 9 percent in 2010 compared to 2009. Increased expenditure was due to the Varian acquisition, higher variable and incentive pay and wage restoration offset by the impact of decreased restructuring expenses and the divested businesses (the network solutions and Hycor businesses).

Gross inventory charges were \$30 million in 2011 and 2010 and \$54 million in 2009. Sales of previously written down inventory were \$5 million in 2011 and 2010 and \$8 million in 2009.

Our research and development efforts focus on potential new products and product improvements covering a wide variety of technologies, none of which is individually significant to our operations. We conduct five types of research and development: basic research, foundation technologies, communications, life sciences and measurement. Our research seeks to improve on various technical competencies in electronics, software, systems and solutions, life sciences and photonics. In each of these research fields, we conduct research that is focused on specific product development for release in the short-term as well as other research that is intended to be the foundation for future products over a longer time-horizon. Some of our product development research is designed to improve on the more than 20,000 products already in production, focus on major new product releases, and develop new product segments for the future. Due to the breadth of research and development projects across all of our businesses, there are a number of drivers of this expense. We remain committed to invest about 10 percent of revenues in research and development and have focused our development efforts on key strategic opportunities to align our business with available markets and position ourselves to capture market share.

For the year ended October 31, 2010 we recorded a \$132 million gain on the sale of our network solutions business and \$54 million of income in respect of a tax sharing settlement with Hewlett Packard Company.

Table of Contents

At October 31, 2011, our headcount was approximately 18,700 compared to 18,500 in 2010 and 16,800 in 2009. The increase in our headcount in 2010, compared to 2009, was due to the Varian acquisition.

Provision for Income Taxes

	Years Ended October 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
	(in millions)		
Provision for income taxes	\$ 20	\$ 8	\$ 38

Agilent enjoys tax holidays in several different jurisdictions, most significantly in Singapore, and Malaysia. The tax holidays provide lower rates of taxation on certain classes of income and require various thresholds of investments and employment or specific types of income in those jurisdictions. The tax holidays are due for renewal between 2015 and 2023. As a result of the incentives, the impact of the tax holidays decreased income taxes by \$127 million, \$62 million and \$14 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The benefit of the tax holidays on net income (loss) per share (diluted) was approximately \$0.36, \$0.18 and \$0.04 in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

For 2011, the effective tax rate was 2 percent. The 2 percent effective tax rate reflects tax on earnings in jurisdictions that have low effective tax rates and includes a \$97 million net tax benefit primarily associated with a refund in Canada and the recognition of previously unrecognized tax benefits and the reversal of the related interest accruals due to the reassessment of certain uncertain tax positions. The income tax provision also includes a \$26 million out of period adjustment to reduce the carrying value of certain U.K. deferred tax assets for which the majority was recorded in the quarter ended April 30, 2011. The overstatement of these deferred tax assets resulted in an overstatement of the U.K. valuation allowance release in the fourth quarter of 2010. For the full year, this out of period adjustment was substantially offset by other out of period adjustments. The net impact of all out of period adjustments on the effective tax rate was immaterial. Without considering interest and penalties, the effective rate reflects taxes in all jurisdictions except the U.S. and certain foreign jurisdictions in which income tax expense or benefit continues to be offset by adjustments to valuation allowances. We intend to maintain valuation allowances in these jurisdictions until sufficient positive evidence exists to support its reversal.

For 2010, the effective tax rate was 1 percent. The 1 percent effective tax rate includes a \$101 million beneficial release of the U.K. valuation allowance, a \$32 million current year increase in prior year tax reserves, and tax on earnings in jurisdictions that have low effective tax rates. Also included is a \$17 million tax benefit related to a \$54 million non-taxable settlement payment received in connection with a tax sharing agreement between Agilent and Hewlett Packard Company. Without considering interest and penalties, the effective rate reflects taxes in all jurisdictions except the U.S. and certain foreign jurisdictions in which income tax expense or benefit continues to be offset by adjustments to valuation allowances. We intend to maintain a valuation allowance in these jurisdictions until sufficient positive evidence exists to support its reversal.

For 2009, the effective tax rate was 543 percent. The 543 percent effective tax rate reflects that our structure has a fixed component that results in unusual tax results on reduced levels of profitability. The tax rate also includes tax on earnings in jurisdictions that have low effective tax rates. In addition, net tax benefits totaling \$71 million relating primarily to the lapses of statutes of limitations and tax settlements in foreign jurisdictions are incorporated in the rate. Without considering interest and penalties, the rate reflects taxes in all jurisdictions except the U.S. and foreign jurisdictions where we have recorded valuation allowances.

Table of Contents

During 2003, we established a valuation allowance for the deferred tax assets of the U.S. and certain entities in foreign jurisdictions. The valuation allowance requires an assessment of both positive and negative evidence when determining whether it is more likely than not that deferred tax assets are recoverable. Such assessment is required on a jurisdiction by jurisdiction basis. During 2009, 2010, and 2011, we continued to maintain valuation allowance for U.S. federal and state deferred tax assets until sufficient positive evidence exists to support its reversal. We currently have a valuation allowance of \$369 million of which \$308 million relates to U.S. jurisdictions. The reduction to the valuation allowance in 2011 is primarily due to the reduction in the deferred taxes relating to pension and post retirement medical benefits and the utilization of tax credits associated with the repatriation of foreign earnings. Due to improvements in the U.S. operating results over the past three years, management believes a reasonable possibility exists that, within the next year, sufficient positive evidence may become available to reach a conclusion that the U.S. valuation allowance will no longer be needed. In 2010 after consideration of all the available positive and negative evidence, we concluded that it is more likely than not that all of the U.K. deferred tax assets will be realized and reversed the entire U.K. valuation allowance.

In accordance with the guidance on the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes, for all U.S. and other tax jurisdictions, we recognize potential liabilities for anticipated tax audit issues based on our estimate of whether, and the extent to which, additional taxes and interest will be due. If our estimate of income tax liabilities proves to be less than the ultimate assessment, a further charge to expense would be required. If events occur and the payment of these amounts ultimately proves to be unnecessary, the reversal of the liabilities would result in tax benefits being recognized in the period when we determine the liabilities are no longer necessary. We include interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits within the provision for income taxes on the consolidated statements of operations.

On August 31, 2010 we reached an agreement with the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") for tax years 2000 through 2002. The adjustments were offset by applying available net operating losses and had no material impact on our statement of operations. In December 2010, we reached an agreement with the IRS for tax years 2003-2005. In addition, Agilent and the IRS reached an agreement on transfer pricing issues covering years 2003-2007. Tax adjustments resulting from these agreements will be offset with net operating losses and tax credit carryforwards. Agilent's U.S. federal income tax returns for 2006 through 2007 are currently under audit by the IRS. Primarily as a result of these agreements with the IRS and agreements with other tax jurisdictions, unrecognized tax benefits were reduced from \$656 million at October 31, 2010 to \$469 million at October 31, 2011.

Segment Overview

Agilent is a measurement company providing core bio-analytical and electronic measurement solutions to the life sciences, chemical analysis, communications and electronics industries. Agilent has three primary businesses focused on the life sciences market, the chemical analysis market and the electronic measurement market.

Life Sciences

Our life sciences business provides application-focused solutions that include instruments, software, consumables, and services that enable customers to identify, quantify and analyze the physical and biological properties of substances and products. Key product categories in life sciences include: DNA and RNA microarrays and associated scanner, software, and reagents; microfluidics-based sample analysis systems; liquid chromatography (LC) systems, columns and components; liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LCMS) systems; capillary electrophoresis systems; laboratory software and informatics systems; bio-reagents and related products; laboratory automation and

Table of Contents

robotic systems, dissolution testing; Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) systems along with X-Ray crystallography, and services and support for the aforementioned products.

Orders and Net Revenue

	Years Ended October 31,			2011 over 2010 Change	2010 over 2009 Change
	2011	2010	2009		
	(in millions)				
Orders	\$ 1,875	\$ 1,526	\$ 1,234	23%	24%
Net revenue from products	\$ 1,424	\$ 1,179	\$ 964	21%	22%
Net revenue from services and other	368	300	255	23%	18%
Total net revenue	\$ 1,792	\$ 1,479	\$ 1,219	21%	21%

Life sciences orders in 2011 increased 23 percent compared to 2010. Excluding the impact of the Varian acquisition, the Hycor divestiture and our recent acquisitions of Biocius and Lab901, orders grew 13 percent year over year. Due to the close date of the Varian acquisition which occurred on May 14, 2010, we have excluded the period from November 1, 2010 to May 14, 2011 when we compare periods without the Varian acquisition. Order results were led by strength in the LC-MS, automation, informatics, genomics, consumables, and services portfolios. We saw solid performance in key products, such as the 1200 Infinity LC Series, LC/Triple Quadrupole (QQQ) system, SureSelect Complete, and OpenLAB software suite. Geographically, excluding the impact of acquisitions and the Hycor divestiture, orders grew 7 percent in the Americas, 15 percent in Europe, 4 percent in Japan, and 21 percent in other Asia Pacific during 2011 when compared to 2010. Outstanding performance of LC-MS instrument systems in China contributed to Asia Pacific regional growth. Life sciences orders in 2010 increased 24 percent compared to 2009. Excluding acquisitions and the Hycor divestiture, life sciences orders in 2010 increased 15 percent compared to 2009, driven by strength in the LC, microarray, and automation portfolios, along with consumables and services.

Life sciences net revenue in 2011 increased 21 percent compared to 2010. Excluding the impact of the Varian acquisition, the Hycor divestiture and other recent acquisitions, revenue grew 13 percent year over year. In addition, foreign currency movements for 2011 had a favorable impact of 3 percentage points compared to 2010. Revenue growth was led by the LC, LC-MS, automation, genomics, and services portfolios, along with Research Products including NMR and MRI. The automation business continues to scale globally through increased market penetration and new product introductions, while SureSelect Complete remains a key performer for the genomics business. Geographically, excluding the impact of acquisitions and the Hycor divestiture, revenues grew 11 percent in the Americas, 7 percent in Europe, 16 percent in Japan, and 23 percent in other Asia Pacific during 2011 when compared to 2010. Growth in the Americas was helped by an expanded sales channel selling a broader portfolio of products to our customers, while China growth remains strong. Life sciences revenues in 2010 increased 21 percent compared to 2009. Excluding acquisitions and the Hycor divestiture, life sciences revenues in 2010 increased 14 percent compared to 2009, with growth in all regional markets, especially the Americas, Japan, and other Asia Pacific regions.

During this fiscal year, solid revenue growth was present in the pharmaceutical and biotech, academic and government markets, as well as in other applied markets such as petrochemical. However, we saw a slowdown in growth from the pharmaceutical market toward the end of the fiscal year. Despite budget restrictions in most large pharmaceutical companies, technology refresh programs continue to drive traditional replacement business to move to the latest technologies. In the

Table of Contents

academic market, next generation sequencing continues to be very active. The petrochemical and food safety applied markets remain strong.

Looking forward, we expect reasonable momentum in our markets to drive further demand in our instruments and application solutions. The life sciences business also remains focused on expanding our application portfolio. The Lab901 acquisition will allow us to address the demand for higher automation and throughput for DNA and protein analysis. With the acquisition of Biocius Life Sciences and its RapidFire high-throughput mass spectrometry drug-screening technology platform, we are well positioned to expand our reach within the pharmaceutical market. The life sciences channel is specifically adding capabilities to address life science applications expertise, an area of critical need. We will also focus on investments related to emerging countries and emerging markets.

In addition, our strategic focus is to complete the successful integration of the Varian expanded life sciences product portfolio, including complementary products in liquid chromatography, mass spectrometry, consumables, new offerings in dissolution testing, and magnetic resonance (NMR, MRI). We are focusing on improving the Research Product Division growth and profitability. Progress has been made in filling service and sales positions, as well as redefining teams internally. Additionally, supply chain and manufacturing support have been added and factories are being modified to meet future growth and cost goals.

Gross Margin and Operating Margin

The following table shows the life sciences business' margins, expenses and income from operations for 2011 versus 2010, and 2010 versus 2009.

	Years Ended October 31,			2011 over 2010 Change	2010 over 2009 Change
	2011	2010	2009		
Total gross margin	52.0%	53.5%	54.2%	(2) ppts	(1) ppt
Operating margin	13.2%	15.0%	14.3%	(2) ppts	1 ppt
(in millions)					
Research and development	\$ 174	\$ 142	\$ 131	22%	9%
Selling, general and administrative	\$ 522	\$ 427	\$ 356	22%	20%
Income from operations	\$ 237	\$ 221	\$ 174	7%	27%

Gross margins declined by 2 percentage points in 2011 compared to 2010. Changes in 2011 were due to the impact of the Varian portfolio, higher logistics costs, and higher consumables costs partially offset by favorable volume impact. Gross margins declined by 1 percentage point in 2010 compared to 2009 mainly due to the addition of the Varian portfolio.

Research and development expenses increased 22 percent in 2011 compared to 2010. Increase was due to our acquisitions (Varian, Lab901, and Biocius) and continued investments in new product development. Research and development expenses increased 9 percent in 2010 compared to 2009, mostly driven by the Varian acquisition and selective investments in new product introductions partially offset by the Hycor divestiture.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased 22 percent in 2011 compared to 2010. Increase was due to acquisitions (Varian, Lab901, and Biocius), higher commissions, and investments in sales channel coverage partially offset by lower discretionary spending. As we grew our installed base, investments in emerging markets and sales support capabilities also had an impact on these expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased 20 percent in 2010 compared to

Table of Contents

2009. The increase was mostly driven by the Varian acquisition and establishment and staffing of the dedicated life sciences sales channel partially offset by the Hycor divestiture.

Operating margins declined by 2 percentage points in 2011 compared to 2010. Operating margins improved by 1 percentage point in 2010 compared to 2009. Factors which led to operating margin variances for these periods are collectively highlighted in the above discussions on gross margins, research and development expenses, and selling, general and administrative expenses.

Income from Operations

Income from operations in 2011 increased by \$16 million or 7 percent on a revenue increase of \$313 million, a 5 percent year-over-year operating margin incremental. Income from operations in 2010 increased by \$47 million or 27 percent compared to 2009 on a revenue increase of \$260 million, an 18 percent year-over-year operating margin incremental.

Chemical Analysis

Our chemical analysis business provides application-focused solutions that include instruments, software, consumables, and services that enable customers to identify, quantify and analyze the physical and biological properties of substances and products. Key product categories in chemical analysis include: gas chromatography (GC) systems, columns and components; gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS) systems; inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) instruments; atomic absorption (AA) instruments; inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) instruments; software and data systems; vacuum pumps and measurement technologies; services and support for our products.

Orders and Net Revenue

	Years Ended October 31,			2011 over 2010 Change	2010 over 2009 Change
	2011	2010	2009		
	(in millions)				
Orders	\$ 1,589	\$ 1,224	\$ 853	30%	43%
Net revenue from products	\$ 1,194	\$ 954	\$ 653	25%	46%
Net revenue from services and other	324	246	191	32%	29%
Total net revenue	\$ 1,518	\$ 1,200	\$ 844	27%	42%

Chemical analysis orders in 2011 increased 30 percent compared to 2010. Excluding the impact of the Varian and A2 Technologies acquisitions, orders grew 11 percent year over year. Due to the close date of the Varian acquisition which occurred on May 14, 2010, we have excluded the period from November 1, 2010 to the May 14, 2011 when we compare periods without the Varian acquisition. Order results were led by solid performance in the GC, GC-MS, and ICP-MS instruments, along with consumables and services. Growth in the services and support business was driven by strength in compliance and preventive maintenance services. Replacement cycle purchasing remains strong, driving solid order performance in instruments such as the 7890A GC, autosampler and 5975 series GC-MS. Geographically, excluding the impact of the Varian and A2 Technologies acquisitions, orders grew 3 percent in the Americas, 10 percent in Europe, 3 percent in Japan, and 24 percent in other Asia Pacific during 2011 when compared to 2010. China continues to be a major growth driver as government investments to upgrade lab capabilities and capacities remain strong. Chemical analysis orders in 2010 increased 43 percent compared to 2009. Excluding acquisitions, chemical analysis orders in 2010 increased 17 percent compared to 2009, driven by strength in the GC, GC-MS, ICPMS, and vacuum pump portfolios, along with consumables and services.

Table of Contents

Chemical analysis net revenue in 2011 increased 27 percent compared to 2010. Excluding the impact of the Varian and A2 Technologies acquisitions, revenues grew 8 percent year over year. In addition, foreign currency movements for 2011 had a favorable impact of 3 percentage points compared to 2010. Revenue growth was led by the GC, GC-MS, and ICP-MS instruments, along with services. Geographically, excluding the impact of the Varian and A2 Technologies acquisitions, revenues grew 1 percent in the Americas, 2 percent in Europe, 4 percent in Japan, and 26 percent in other Asia Pacific during 2011 when compared to 2010. Unexpectedly strong results in the United States last year due to the Gulf of Mexico oil spill remediation efforts and weakness in government spending in the current year negatively impacted year over year comparisons for the Americas. Chemical analysis revenues in 2010 increased 42 percent compared to 2009. Excluding acquisitions, chemical analysis revenues in 2010 increased 15 percent compared to 2009, with growth in all regional markets, especially the Americas, Japan, and other Asia Pacific regions.

Growth in core end markets continues. The energy, chemical, and food markets remain strong overall, while the environmental and food markets are particularly strong in China. In the petrochemical market, the replacement of aging equipment remains robust worldwide and new investment to expand refining capacity continues in Asia. In the food market, the demand to export safe and high quality food in emerging markets, especially China, remains robust. Globalization of the food supply generates business risk for food producers, and our instruments help mitigate that risk. New food safety laws in the United States, India, and China are increasing demand for chemical measurement. The demand continues to drive increased testing capacity and instrument purchases in all product categories, consumables, and services. In the environmental market, discovery of emerging contaminants continues to be important research to protect the public health in mature geographies while demand for safe drinking water is strong in emerging economies. Both factors drive increased instrument purchases, especially high end mass spectrometry instruments.

Looking forward, we seek to capitalize on sales opportunities arising from a wide range of new product introductions during the last quarter of this fiscal year. We will also look to complete the successful integration of Varian. With Varian, our chemical analysis product portfolio has new offerings in spectroscopy and vacuum technologies, complementary mass spectrometry products, and an expanded consumables portfolio which are being leveraged globally with an integrated sales team. We are focusing on improvements in profitability of the Varian portfolio by refreshing products and leveraging our Asia supply chain. In addition to driving value from our acquisitions, we continue to focus on expanding our core offerings, especially opportunities in consumables and services. We will also focus on expanding our leadership position in developing countries and emerging markets.

Gross Margin and Operating Margin

The following table shows the chemical analysis business's margins, expenses and income from operations for 2011 versus 2010, and 2010 versus 2009.

	Years Ended October 31,			2011 over 2010 Change	2010 over 2009 Change
	2011	2010	2009		
Total gross margin	51.1%	53.5%	54.4%	(2) ppts	(1) ppt
Operating margin	20.6%	23.3%	25.6%	(3) ppts	(2) ppts
(in millions)					
Research and development	\$ 92	\$ 68	\$ 50	35%	36%
Selling, general and administrative	\$ 371	\$ 294	\$ 193	26%	52%
Income from operations	\$ 313	\$ 279	\$ 216	12%	29%

Table of Contents

Gross margins declined by 2 percentage points in 2011 compared to 2010. Changes in 2011 were due to the impact of the Varian portfolio and higher logistics costs. Gross margins declined by 1 percentage point in 2010 compared to 2009 mainly due to the addition of the Varian portfolio.

Research and development expenses increased 35 percent in 2011 compared to 2010. Increase was due to the Varian acquisition and investments in product R&D to support ongoing portfolio enhancement and expansion. Research and development expenses increased 36 percent in 2010 compared to 2009, primarily driven by the Varian acquisition.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased 26 percent in 2011 compared to 2010. Increase was due primarily to the Varian and A2 Technologies acquisitions, higher commissions, and investments in sales channel coverage partially offset by lower discretionary spending. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased 52 percent in 2010 compared to 2009, primarily driven by the Varian acquisition.

Operating margins declined by 3 percentage points in 2011 compared to 2010. Operating margins declined by 2 percentage points in 2010 compared to 2009. Factors which led to operating margin variances for these periods are collectively highlighted in the above discussions on gross margins, research and development expenses, and selling, general and administrative expenses.

Income from Operations

Income from operations in 2011 increased by \$34 million or 12 percent on a revenue increase of \$318 million, an 11 percent year-over-year operating margin incremental. Income from operations in 2010 increased by \$63 million or 29 percent compared to 2009 on a revenue increase of \$356 million, an 18 percent year-over-year operating margin incremental.

Electronic Measurement

Our electronic measurement business provides electronic measurement instruments and systems, software design tools and related services that are used in the design, development, manufacture, installation, deployment and operation of electronics equipment, and microscopy products. Related services include start-up assistance, instrument productivity and application services and instrument calibration and repair. We also offer customization, consulting and optimization services throughout the customer's product lifecycle.

Orders and Net Revenue

	Years Ended October 31,			2011 over 2010 Change	2010 over 2009 Change
	2011	2010	2009		
	(in millions)				
Orders	\$ 3,305	\$ 2,994	\$ 2,399	10%	25%
Net revenue from products	\$ 2,875	\$ 2,345	\$ 1,949	23%	20%
Net revenue from services and other	441	439	469	0%	(6)%
Total net revenue	\$ 3,316	\$ 2,784	\$ 2,418	19%	15%

Electronic measurement orders increased 10 percent in 2011 compared to 2010. Foreign currency movements had a slightly favorable impact on the year-over-year growth rate. Key contributors to the year-over-year growth included strength in wireless manufacturing and digital test that was partially offset by a decline in network monitoring orders associated with the divestiture of the network solutions business. Order growth associated with component manufacturers, semiconductor

Table of Contents

companies, and service and support was solid year-over-year. On a geographic basis, 2011 orders increased 3 percent in the Americas, 3 percent in Europe, 20 percent in Japan, and 21 percent in Asia Pacific excluding Japan. Electronic measurement orders increased 25 percent in 2010 compared to 2009, reflecting broad-based economic recovery with strength in industrial and wireless R&D and manufacturing demand partially offset by a decline in network monitoring orders relating to the divestiture of the network solutions business.

Electronic measurement revenues increased 19 percent in 2011 compared to 2010 due to strength in both general purpose and communications test markets. Revenue growth exceeded order growth year-over-year due to backlog build in 2010 with orders higher than revenue and a slight decrease in backlog in 2011. Foreign currency movements were slightly favorable, contributing to year-over-year growth. Regionally, revenues from the Americas increased 17 percent, Europe grew 15 percent, Japan improved 30 percent reflecting strong wireless test business, and Asia Pacific excluding Japan increased 20 percent compared to 2010 due to strong semiconductor business earlier in the fiscal year and ongoing wireless test demand. Revenue from products increased 23 percent year-over-year while service related revenue was flat due to the divestiture of the network solutions business. Electronic measurement revenues increased 15 percent in 2010 compared to 2009 due to strong growth across all key markets, particularly industrial and wireless manufacturing demand, partially offset by a decrease in network monitoring associated with the divestiture of the network solutions business.

General purpose test revenues, representing approximately 63 percent of electronic measurement revenues, reflected strong demand from industrial, computers, and semiconductor customers and moderating growth in aerospace and defense. Overall improvement in economic conditions supported greater demand from customers with industrial or general purpose applications. Growth in the computers and semiconductor business reflected strong demand for digital test driven in part by the proliferation of high speed data transmission and strength in semiconductor test due to growth in end user markets, including smartphones. Aerospace and defense business was solid, reflecting strong demand for information management and surveillance applications moderated by the general funding uncertainty in the United States for the Department of Defense. In 2010, general purpose test represented 64 percent of electronic measurement revenues, reflecting strong computers and semiconductor business and solid industrial and aerospace and defense related demand.

Communications test revenues, representing approximately 37 percent of electronic measurement, experienced growth in wireless R&D and manufacturing and other communications test, partly offset by a decline in network monitoring revenues due to the divestiture of the network solutions business. Solid growth in wireless R&D reflected more favorable market conditions and targeted investments in high data rate applications, including long-term evolution ("LTE"), an emerging wireless, standard that is in the early stages of adoption. Strength in wireless manufacturing was driven by growth in smartphones and continued 3G expansion. Strong growth in other communications test reflected the expansion of broadband technologies driven by the evolution to data-driven services. In 2010, communications test represented 36 percent of electronic measurement revenues, reflecting a broad recovery in communications markets with growth in all sub-markets except for the decline in network monitoring revenues due to the divestiture of the network solutions business.

Looking forward, we expect moderating growth rates as a result of comparisons to strong 2011 results. We anticipate the communications test business to remain solid, driven by growth in smartphones, continuing 3G expansion, and further adoption of the new wireless standard, LTE. We expect moderating demand in our general purpose segment in the near term, reflecting a cautious spending environment given the global economic uncertainty and relatively flat aerospace and defense business in the U.S.

Table of Contents**Gross Margin and Operating Margin**

The following table shows the electronic measurement business's margins, expenses and income from operations for 2011 versus 2010 and 2010 versus 2009.

	Years Ended October 31,			2011 over 2010 Change	2010 over 2009 Change
	2011	2010	2009		
Total gross margin	58.4%	58.4%	53.5%		5 ppts
Operating margin	22.9%	15.7%	%	7 ppts	16 ppts
(in millions)					
Research and development	\$ 379	\$ 391	\$ 425	(3)%	(8)%
Selling, general and administrative	\$ 798	\$ 798	\$ 869		(8)%
Income from operations	\$ 760	\$ 438	\$ 1	74%	100%

Gross margins for products and services were flat in 2011 compared to 2010 on an absolute basis and slightly lower on a volume-adjusted basis. Changes in gross margins reflected the favorable impact of higher volume offset by the unfavorable impact of currency movements, unfavorable mix with a higher proportion of lower gross margin wireless manufacturing business, increased variable and incentive pay, and higher infrastructure costs. In 2010, gross margins improved 5 percentage points compared to 2009 primarily due to higher volume and a lower cost structure.

Research and development expenses declined 3 percent in 2011 compared to 2010. The decline was driven primarily by lower infrastructure costs and spending reductions of which a portion related to the network solutions business divestiture that were partially offset by higher variable and incentive pay and the unfavorable impact of currency movements. Research and development expenses declined 8 percent in 2010 compared to 2009, reflecting savings from restructuring programs, lower infrastructure costs, and spending reductions partially offset by wage restoration, higher variable and incentive pay, and the unfavorable impact of currency movements.

Selling, general and administrative expenses were flat in 2011 compared to 2010. Similar to R&D, year-over-year changes included lower infrastructure costs and spending reductions partly related to the network solutions divestiture offset by the unfavorable impact of currency movements and higher variable and incentive pay. Selling, general and administrative expenses declined 8 percent in 2010 compared to 2009, reflecting savings from restructuring programs, lower infrastructure costs, and reduced spending partly offset by wage restoration, higher variable pay and commissions, and unfavorable impact of currency movements.

Operating margins improved by 7 percentage points in 2011 compared to 2010 due to the combination of higher revenue volume and lower infrastructure costs partly offset by increased variable and incentive pay and the unfavorable impact of currency movements. Operating margins increased 16 percentage points in 2010 compared to 2009, reflecting higher revenue volume and structural and operational expense reductions.

Income from Operations

Income from operations in 2011 increased by \$322 million or 74 percent compared to 2010 on a revenue increase of \$532 million, a 61 percent year-over-year operating margin incremental that reflected the benefits of higher revenue volume and limited expense growth. Going forward, the year-over-year operating margin incremental is expected to moderate as compares are made against relatively stronger 2011 results. Income from operations in 2010 increased by \$437 million or 100 percent compared to 2009 on a revenue increase of \$366 million, a 119 percent year-over-year operating margin incremental that included the benefit of structural and operational expense reductions.

Table of Contents

Financial Condition

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of October 31, 2011, approximately \$3.4 billion of our cash and cash equivalents is held outside of the U.S. in our foreign subsidiaries. Most of the amounts held outside of the U.S. could be repatriated to the U.S. but, under current law, would be subject to U.S. federal and state income taxes, less applicable foreign tax credits. Agilent has accrued for U.S. federal and state tax liabilities on the earnings of its foreign subsidiaries except when the earnings are considered indefinitely reinvested outside of the U.S. Repatriation could result in additional material U.S. federal and state income tax payments in future years. We utilize a variety of funding strategies in an effort to ensure that our worldwide cash is available in the locations in which it is needed.

Our financial position as of October 31, 2011 consisted of cash and cash equivalents of \$3,527 million as compared to \$2,649 million as of October 31, 2010.

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$1,260 million in 2011 as compared to \$718 million provided in 2010 mainly due to improved operating results. We also received \$65 million in interest rate swap proceeds and \$61 million in respect of a tax sharing settlement with Hewlett Packard Company during the year ended October 31, 2011. We paid approximately net \$22 million in taxes in 2011 as compared to net \$48 million in the same period in 2010. In 2009, we generated \$408 million in net cash provided by operating activities.

In 2011, accounts receivable provided cash of \$11 million as compared to cash used of \$166 million in 2010. Days' sales outstanding were 45 days in 2011 as compared to 50 days in 2010. Accounts payable used cash of \$35 million in 2011 as compared to cash provided of \$113 million in 2010. Cash used in inventory was \$208 million in 2011 compared to cash used of \$51 million in 2010. Inventory day's on-hand increased to 100 days in 2011 compared to 87 days in 2010.

We contributed \$33 million and \$30 million to our U.S. defined benefit plans in 2011 and 2010, respectively. We contributed \$59 million and \$47 million to our non-U.S. defined benefit plans in 2011 and 2010, respectively. We contributed zero and \$1 million to our U.S. post-retirement benefit plans in 2011 and 2010, respectively. Our non-U.S. defined benefit plans are generally funded ratably throughout the year. Total contributions in 2011 were \$14 million or 18% percent more than 2010. Our annual contributions are highly dependent on the relative performance of our assets versus our projected liabilities, among other factors. We expect to contribute approximately \$82 million to our U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit plans during 2012.

Net Cash Provided by/Used in Investing Activities

Net cash provided in investing activities in 2011 was \$1,294 million compared to \$1,174 million used in 2010. In 2009, we used \$14 million of net cash in the investing activities of operations.

Investments in property, plant and equipment were \$188 million in 2011 and \$121 million in 2010. Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment were \$18 million in 2011 as compared to \$7 million in 2010. In 2011, we invested \$98 million in acquisitions of businesses and intangible assets compared to \$1,313 million in 2010 which was primarily related to our acquisition of Varian. Restricted cash decreased \$1,545 million mostly due to the reclassification of restricted cash to cash and cash equivalents following the December 10, 2010 settlement of the World Trade repurchase obligation. In 2009, we invested \$2 million in acquisitions of businesses and purchase of intangible assets. Proceeds from the sale of investment securities in 2011 were \$16 million as compared to

Table of Contents

\$38 million in 2010. Proceeds from divestitures were \$1 million in 2011, compared to \$205 million in 2010.

Net Cash Provided by/Used in Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities in 2011 was \$1,693 million compared to \$601 million and \$657 million net cash provided in 2010 and 2009, respectively. We satisfied the \$1,500 million financing obligation of World Trade in its entirety on December 10, 2010.

Treasury stock repurchases

On November 19, 2009 our Board of Directors approved a share-repurchase program to reduce or eliminate dilution of basic outstanding shares in connection with issuances of stock under the company's equity incentive plans. The share-repurchase program does not require the company to acquire a specific number of shares and may be suspended or discontinued at any time. There is no fixed termination date for the new share-repurchase program. For the year ended October 31, 2011 we repurchased approximately 12 million shares for \$497 million. For the year ended October 31, 2010 we repurchased 13 million shares for \$411 million.

Credit Facility

On October 20, 2011, we entered into a five-year credit agreement, which provides for a \$400 million unsecured credit facility that will expire on October 20, 2016. The company may use amounts borrowed under the facility for general corporate purposes. As of October 31, 2011 the company has no borrowings outstanding under the facility. The Credit Agreement replaced the Company's prior Five-Year Credit Agreement dated as of May 11, 2007, which was terminated upon the execution of the Credit Agreement. We were in compliance with the covenants for the credit facilities during the year ended October 31, 2011.

Short-term debt

On September 9, 2009, the company issued an aggregate principal amount of \$250 million in senior notes maturing in 2012 ("2012 notes"). The 2012 notes were issued at 99.91% of their principal amount, bear interest at a fixed rate of 4.45% per annum, and mature on September 14, 2012. Interest is payable semi-annually on March 14th and September 14th of each year, and payments commenced on March 14, 2010.

Upon the closing of the offering of the 2012 senior notes, we entered into interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$250 million. Under the interest rate swaps, we will receive fixed-rate interest payments and will make payments based on the U.S. dollar LIBOR plus 258 basis points with respect to the 2012 senior notes. The economic effect of these swaps will be to convert the fixed-rate interest expense on the senior notes to a variable LIBOR-based interest rate. The hedging relationship qualifies for the shortcut method of assessing hedge effectiveness, and consequently we do not expect any ineffectiveness during the life of the swap and any movement in the value of the swap would be reflected in the movement in fair value of the senior notes. At October 31, 2011, the fair value of the swaps on 2012 senior notes was an asset of \$3 million, with a corresponding increase in the carrying value of 2012 senior notes.

We satisfied the financing obligation of World Trade in its entirety on December 10, 2010 using the proceeds of our senior notes issued in July 2010 and existing cash on our balance sheet.

Table of Contents

Long-term debt

On October 24, 2007, the company issued an aggregate principal amount of \$600 million in senior notes maturing in 2017 ("2017 notes"). The 2017 senior notes were issued at 99.60% of their principal amount, bear interest at a fixed rate of 6.50% per annum, and mature on November 1, 2017. Interest is payable semi-annually on May 1st and November 1st of each year and payments commenced on May 1, 2008.

On November 25, 2008, we terminated two interest rate swap contracts associated with our 2017 senior notes that represented the notional amount of \$400 million. The asset value, including interest receivable, upon termination was approximately \$43 million and the amount to be amortized at October 31, 2011 was \$31 million. The gain is being deferred and amortized to interest expense over the remaining life of the 2017 senior notes.

On September 9, 2009, the company issued an aggregate principal amount of \$500 million in senior notes maturing in 2015 ("2015 notes"). The 2015 notes were issued at 99.69% of their principal amount, bear interest at a fixed rate of 5.50% per annum, and mature on September 14, 2015. Interest is payable semi-annually on March 14th and September 14th of each year, and payments commenced on March 14, 2010.

On June 6, 2011, we terminated our interest rate swap contracts related to our 2015 senior notes that represented the notional amount of \$500 million. The asset value, including interest receivable, upon termination for these contracts was approximately \$31 million and the amount to be amortized at October 31, 2011 was \$24 million. The gain is being deferred and amortized to interest expense over the remaining life of the 2015 senior notes.

On July 13, 2010, Agilent issued two tranches of senior notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$750 million, a \$250 million tranche maturing in 2013 ("2013 notes") and a \$500 million tranche maturing in 2020 ("2020 notes"). The 2013 notes were issued at 99.82% of their principal amount, bear interest at a fixed rate of 2.50% per annum and mature on July 15, 2013. The 2020 notes were issued at 99.54% of their principal amount, bear interest at a fixed rate of 5.00% per annum, and mature on July 15, 2020. Interest on both tranches is payable semi-annually on January 15th and July 15th of each year, payments commenced on January 15, 2011.

On August 9, 2011, we terminated our interest rate swap contracts related to our 2020 senior notes that represented the notional amount of \$500 million. The asset value, including interest receivable, upon termination for these contracts was approximately \$34 million and the amount to be amortized at October 31, 2011 was \$32 million. The gain is being deferred and amortized to interest expense over the remaining life of the 2020 senior notes.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements and Other

We have contractual commitments for non-cancelable operating leases. See Note 17 "Commitments and Contingencies", to our consolidated financial statements for further information on our non-cancelable operating leases.

Our liquidity is affected by many factors, some of which are based on normal ongoing operations of our business and some of which arise from fluctuations related to global economics and markets. Our cash balances are generated and held in many locations throughout the world. Local government regulations may restrict our ability to move cash balances to meet cash needs under certain circumstances. We do not currently expect such regulations and restrictions to impact our ability to pay vendors and conduct operations throughout our global organization.

Table of Contents*Contractual Commitments*

Our cash flows from operations are dependent on a number of factors, including fluctuations in our operating results, accounts receivable collections, inventory management, and the timing of tax and other payments. As a result, the impact of contractual obligations on our liquidity and capital resources in future periods should be analyzed in conjunction with such factors.

The following table summarizes our total contractual obligations at October 31, 2011 for operations and excludes amounts recorded in our consolidated balance sheet (in millions):

	Less than one year	One to three years	Three to five years	More than five years
Operating leases	\$ 51	\$ 71	\$ 31	\$ 12
Commitments to contract manufacturers and suppliers	799	3		
Other purchase commitments	62			
Retirement plans	82			
Total	\$ 994	\$ 74	\$ 31	\$ 12

Operating leases. Commitments under operating leases relate primarily to leasehold property, see Note 17, "Commitments and Contingencies".

Commitments to contract manufacturers and suppliers. We purchase components from a variety of suppliers and use several contract manufacturers to provide manufacturing services for our products. During the normal course of business, we issue purchase orders with estimates of our requirements several months ahead of the delivery dates. However, our agreements with these suppliers usually provide us the option to cancel, reschedule, and adjust our requirements based on our business needs prior to firm orders being placed. Typically purchase orders outstanding with delivery dates within 30 days are non-cancelable. Therefore, only approximately 48 percent of our reported purchase commitments arising from these agreements are firm, non-cancelable, and unconditional commitments. We expect to fulfill almost all purchase commitments for inventory within one year.

In addition to the above mentioned commitments to contract manufacturers and suppliers, we record a liability for firm, non-cancelable, and unconditional purchase commitments for quantities in excess of our future demand forecasts consistent with our policy relating to excess inventory. As of October 31, 2011, the liability for our firm, non-cancelable and unconditional purchase commitments was \$5 million, compared to \$4 million as of October 31, 2010. These amounts are included in other accrued liabilities in our consolidated balance sheet.

Other purchase commitments. We have categorized "other purchase commitments" as contracts with professional services suppliers. Typically we can cancel these contracts within 90 days without penalties. For those contracts that are not cancelable within 90 days without penalties, we are disclosing the amounts we are obligated to pay to a supplier under each contract in that period before such contract can be cancelled. Our contractual obligations with these suppliers under "other purchase commitments" were approximately \$62 million for the next year.

Retirement Plans. Commitments under the retirement plans relate to expected contributions to be made to our U.S. and non U.S. defined benefit plans and to our post-retirement medical plans.

Table of Contents

We had no material off-balance sheet arrangements as of October 31, 2011 or October 31, 2010.

On Balance Sheet Arrangements

The following table summarizes our total contractual obligations recorded in our consolidated balance sheet pertaining to our short-term and long-term debt as of October 31, 2011(in millions):

	Less than one year	One to three years	Three to five years	More than five years
Senior notes	\$ 250	\$ 250	\$ 500	\$ 1,100

We have contractual obligations for interest payments on the above debts. Interest rates and payment dates are detailed in "Net Cash Provided by/Used in Financing Activities".

Other long-term liabilities include \$356 million and \$430 million of liabilities for uncertain tax positions as of October 31, 2011 and October 31, 2010, respectively. We are unable to accurately predict when these amounts will be realized or released.

Table of Contents

Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*

We are exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risks inherent in our sales commitments, anticipated sales, and assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of our subsidiaries. We hedge future cash flows denominated in currencies other than the functional currency using sales forecasts up to twelve months in advance. Our exposure to exchange rate risks is managed on an enterprise-wide basis. This strategy utilizes derivative financial instruments, including option and forward contracts, to hedge certain foreign currency exposures with the intent of offsetting gains and losses that occur on the underlying exposures with gains and losses on the derivative contracts hedging them. We do not currently and do not intend to utilize derivative financial instruments for speculative trading purposes.

Our operations generate non-functional currency cash flows such as revenues, third party vendor payments and inter-company payments. In anticipation of these foreign currency cash flows and in view of volatility of the currency market, we enter into such foreign exchange contracts as are described above to manage our currency risk. Approximately 64 percent of our revenues in 2011, 63 percent of our revenues in 2010 and 62 percent of our revenues in 2009 were generated in U.S. dollars.

We performed a sensitivity analysis assuming a hypothetical 10 percent adverse movement in foreign exchange rates to the hedging contracts and the underlying exposures described above. As of October 31, 2011 and 2010, the analysis indicated that these hypothetical market movements would not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

We are also exposed to interest rate risk due to the mismatch between the interest expense we pay on our loans at fixed rates and the variable rates of interest we receive from cash, cash equivalents and other short-term investments. We have issued long-term debt in U.S. dollars or foreign currencies at fixed interest rates based on the market conditions at the time of financing. We believe that the fair value of our fixed rate debt changes when the underlying market rates of interest change, and we may use interest rate swaps to modify such market risk. The interest rate swaps effectively change our fixed interest rate payments to U.S. dollar LIBOR-based variable interest expense to match the floating interest income from our cash, cash equivalents and other short term investments. By entering into these interest rate swaps we are also hedging the movements in the fair value of the fixed-rate debt on our balance sheet. However, not all of our fixed rate debt's fair value is hedged in this manner, and in the future we may choose to terminate previously executed swaps. As of October 31, 2011 we held interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$250 million associated with our 2012 senior notes.

We performed a sensitivity analysis assuming a hypothetical 10 percent adverse movement in interest rates relating to the underlying fair value of our fixed rate debt. As of October 31, 2011, the sensitivity analyses indicated that a hypothetical 10 percent adverse movement in interest rates would result in an immaterial impact to the fair value of our fixed interest rate debt.

Table of Contents

Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*

	Page
Index to Consolidated Financial Statements	
Consolidated Financial Statements:	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>64</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Operations for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2011</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheet at October 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	<u>66</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2011</u>	<u>67</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2011</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>70</u>
<u>Quarterly Summary (unaudited)</u>	<u>123</u>

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Stockholders and Board of Directors of Agilent Technologies, Inc.:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 8 present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Agilent Technologies, Inc. and its subsidiaries at October 31, 2011 and October 31, 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2011 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under item 15(a)(2) presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP

San Jose, California
December 16, 2011

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

	Years Ended October 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
	(in millions, except per share data)		
Net revenue:			
Products	\$ 5,482	\$ 4,464	\$ 3,566
Services and other	1,133	980	915
Total net revenue	6,615	5,444	4,481
Costs and expenses:			
Cost of products	2,473	1,976	1,692
Cost of services and other	613	538	497
Total costs	3,086	2,514	2,189
Research and development	649	612	642
Selling, general and administrative	1,809	1,752	1,603
Total costs and expenses	5,544	4,878	4,434
Income from operations	1,071	566	47
Interest income	14	20	29
Interest expense	(86)	(96)	(88)
Gain on sale of network solutions business, net		132	
Other income (expense), net	33	70	19
Income before taxes	1,032	692	7
Provision for income taxes	20	8	38
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,012	\$ 684	\$ (31)
Net income (loss) per share:			
Basic	\$ 2.92	\$ 1.97	\$ (0.09)
Diluted	\$ 2.85	\$ 1.94	\$ (0.09)
Weighted average shares used in computing net income (loss) per share:			
Basic	347	347	346
Diluted	355	353	346

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

	October 31, 2011 2010 (in millions, except par value and share data)	
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,527	\$ 2,649
Short-term restricted cash and cash equivalents		1,550
Accounts receivable, net	860	869
Inventory	898	716
Other current assets	284	385
Total current assets	5,569	6,169
Property, plant and equipment, net	1,006	980
Goodwill	1,567	1,456
Other intangible assets, net	429	494
Long-term investments	117	142
Other assets	369	455
Total assets	\$ 9,057	\$ 9,696
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 472	\$ 499
Employee compensation and benefits	424	395
Deferred revenue	389	358
Short-term debt	253	1,501
Other accrued liabilities	299	330
Total current liabilities	1,837	3,083
Long-term debt	1,932	2,190
Retirement and post-retirement benefits	329	477
Other long-term liabilities	643	710
Total liabilities	4,741	6,460
Commitments and contingencies (Note 17)		
Total equity:		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock; \$0.01 par value; 125 million shares authorized; none issued and outstanding		
Common stock; \$0.01 par value; 2 billion shares authorized; 591 million shares at October 31, 2011 and 579 million shares at October 31, 2010 issued	6	6
Treasury stock at cost; 244 million shares at October 31, 2011 and 233 million shares at October 31, 2010	(8,535)	(8,038)
Additional paid-in-capital	8,265	7,904
Retained earnings	4,456	3,444
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	116	(88)
Total stockholders' equity	4,308	3,228
Non-controlling interest	8	8

Edgar Filing: KRATOS DEFENSE & SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC. - Form DEF 14A

Total equity	4,316	3,236
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 9,057	\$ 9,696

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

	Years Ended October 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
	(in millions)		
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,012	\$ 684	\$ (31)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	253	202	162
Share-based compensation	72	66	71
Deferred taxes	38	(109)	28
Excess and obsolete inventory and inventory related charges	30	30	54
Non-cash restructuring and asset impairment charges	10	26	39
Net gain on sale of investments	(6)	(2)	
Net (gain) loss on sale of assets and divestitures	2	(127)	(6)
Net pension curtailment and settlement gains	(1)		(16)
Other	9		2
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable, net	11	(166)	193
Inventory	(208)	(51)	47
Accounts payable	(35)	113	(7)
Employee compensation and benefits	24	17	(86)
Interest rate swap proceeds	65		43
Other assets and liabilities	(16)	35	(85)
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,260	718	408
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Investments in property, plant and equipment	(188)	(121)	(128)
Proceeds from the sale of property, plant and equipment	18	7	1
Purchase of investment securities			(30)
Proceeds from the sale of investment securities	16	38	94
Proceeds from divestitures, net	1	205	45
Change in restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments, net	1,545	10	16
Purchase of minority interest			(10)
Acquisitions of businesses and intangible assets, net of cash acquired	(98)	(1,313)	(2)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	1,294	(1,174)	(14)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Issuance of common stock under employee stock plans	304	299	71
Treasury stock repurchases	(497)	(411)	(157)
Proceeds from credit facility			325
Repayment of credit facility			(325)
Issuance of senior notes		747	748
Debt issuance costs		(5)	(5)
Repayment of debts	(1,500)	(29)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(1,693)	601	657
Effect of exchange rate movements	17	25	23
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	878	170	1,074
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	2,649	2,479	1,405
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 3,527	\$ 2,649	\$ 2,479

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EQUITY

	Common Stock			Treasury Stock		Accumulated		Total	Non-	Total
	Number	Par	Paid-in	Number	Treasury	Retained	Comprehensive	Stockholder	Controlling	Equity
	of	Value	Capital	of	Stock at	Earnings	Income/(Loss)	Equity	Interests	Equity
	Shares			Shares	Cost					
(in millions, except number of shares in thousands)										
Balance as of October 31, 2008	560,667	\$ 6	\$ 7,410	(210,822)	\$ (7,470)	\$ 2,791	\$ (178)	\$ 2,559	\$ 14	\$ 2,573
Components of comprehensive income:										
Net loss						(31)		(31)		(31)
Change in unrealized loss on investments, net of tax of \$2							8	8		8
Change in unrealized loss on derivative instruments							1	1		1
Losses reclassified into earnings related to derivative instruments, net of tax of \$(8)							15	15		15
Change in foreign currency translation							157	157		157
Change in net defined benefit pension and post retirement plan costs:										
Net loss, net of tax of \$18							(287)	(287)		(287)
Net prior service credit							99	99		99
Total comprehensive loss								(38)		(38)
Net income attributable to non-controlling interests										
Distributions to non-controlling interests									7	7
Purchase of non-controlling interests									(10)	(10)
Share-based awards issued	5,400		71					71		71
Repurchase of common stock				(9,097)	(157)			(157)		(157)
Share-based compensation			71					71		71
Balance as of October 31, 2009	566,067	6	7,552	(219,919)	(7,627)	2,760	(185)	2,506	8	2,514
Components of comprehensive income:										
Net income						684		684		684
Change in unrealized gain on investments							1	1		1
Change in unrealized loss on derivative instruments							4	4		4
Losses reclassified into earnings related to derivative instruments, net of tax of \$1							(6)	(6)		(6)
Change in foreign currency translation							70	70		70
Change in net defined benefit pension and post retirement plan costs:										
Net gain, net of tax of \$9							53	53		53
Net prior service cost							(25)	(25)		(25)
Total comprehensive income								781		781
Share-based awards issued	12,760		288					288		288
Repurchase of common stock				(12,764)	(411)			(411)		(411)
Share-based compensation			64					64		64
Balance as of October 31, 2010	578,827	\$ 6	\$ 7,904	(232,683)	\$ (8,038)	\$ 3,444	\$ (88)	\$ 3,228	\$ 8	\$ 3,236

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EQUITY (Continued)

	Common Stock		Treasury Stock		Accumulated		Total	Non-	Total	
	Number	Additional	Number	Treasury	Retained	Other	Stockholder	Controlling	Total	
	of	Par	of	Stock at	Earnings	Income/(Loss)	Equity	Interests	Equity	
	Shares	Value	Shares	Cost						
	Shares	Value	Capital	Shares	Cost	Earnings	Income/(Loss)	Equity	Interests	
	Shares	Value	Capital	Shares	Cost	Earnings	Income/(Loss)	Equity	Interests	
	(in millions, except number of shares in thousands)									
Balance as of October 31, 2010	578,827	\$ 6	\$ 7,904	(232,683)	\$ (8,038)	\$ 3,444	\$ (88)	\$ 3,228	\$ 8	\$ 3,236
Components of comprehensive income:										
Net income						1,012		1,012		1,012
Change in unrealized gain on investments							(4)	(4)		(4)
Change in unrealized loss on derivative instruments										
Losses reclassified into earnings related to derivative instruments, net of tax of \$(2)							3	3		3
Change in foreign currency translation							94	94		94
Change in net defined benefit pension and post retirement plan costs:										
Net loss, net of tax of \$(3)							(38)	(38)		(38)
Net prior service gain							149	149		149
Total comprehensive income								1,216		1,216
Share-based awards issued	11,841		289					289		289
Repurchase of common stock				(11,603)	(497)			(497)		(497)
Share-based compensation			72					72		72
Balance as of October 31, 2011	590,668	\$ 6	\$ 8,265	(244,286)	\$ (8,535)	\$ 4,456	\$ 116	\$ 4,308	\$ 8	\$ 4,316

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. OVERVIEW AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Overview. Agilent Technologies, Inc. ("we", "Agilent" or the "company"), incorporated in Delaware in May 1999, is a measurement company, providing core bio-analytical and electronic measurement solutions to the communications, electronics, life sciences and chemical analysis industries.

Acquisition of Varian, Inc. On May 14, 2010, we completed our acquisition of Varian, Inc. ("Varian"), a leading supplier of scientific instrumentation and associated consumables for life science and chemical analysis market applications, by means of a merger of one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries with and into Varian such that Varian became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Agilent. The \$1.5 billion total purchase price of Varian included \$52 cash per share of Varian's outstanding common stock including vested and non-vested in-the-money stock options at \$52 cash per share less their exercise price. Varian's non-vested restricted stock awards, non-vested performance shares, at 100 percent of target, and non-vested director's stock units were also paid at \$52 per share. As part of the European Commission's merger approval and the Federal Trade Commission consent order, Agilent committed to sell Varian's laboratory gas chromatography ("GC") business; Varian's triple quadrupole gas chromatography-mass spectrometry ("GC-MS") business; Varian's inductively-coupled plasma-mass spectrometry ("ICP-MS") business; and Agilent's micro GC business. On May 19, 2010 we completed the sale of the Varian laboratory GC business, the triple quadrupole GC-MS business, the ICP-MS business and the Agilent micro GC business for approximately \$33 million. We financed the purchase price of Varian using the proceeds from our September 2009 offering of senior notes and other existing cash. The Varian merger has been accounted for in accordance with the authoritative accounting guidance and the results of Varian are included in Agilent's consolidated financial statements from the date of merger. We expect to realize operational and cost synergies, leverage the existing sales channels and product development resources, and utilize the assembled workforce. The company expects the combined entity to achieve significant savings in corporate and divisional overhead costs. The company also anticipates opportunities for growth through expanded geographic and customer segment diversity and the ability to leverage additional products and capabilities. For additional details related to the acquisition of Varian, see Note 3, "Acquisition of Varian".

Sale of Network Solutions Division. On May 1, 2010, we completed the sale of the Network Solutions Division ("NSD") of our electronic measurement business to JDS Uniphase Corporation ("JDSU"), a leading communications test and measurement company. JDSU paid Agilent \$160 million and we recorded a net gain on the sale of NSD of \$132 million in fiscal 2010. NSD includes Agilent's network assurance solutions, network protocol test and drive test products. The results of operations of NSD were not significant to the income from operations of Agilent for the year ended October 31, 2010.

Sale of Hycor Biomedical, Inc. On February 2, 2010, the company sold Hycor Biomedical Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary, to Linden LLC, a Chicago-based healthcare private equity firm. Hycor is a global manufacturer and marketer of in vitro diagnostics products.

Basis of presentation. The accompanying financial data has been prepared by us pursuant to the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and is in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). Our fiscal year end is October 31. Unless otherwise stated, all years and dates refer to our fiscal year.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Reclassifications. Certain prior year financial statement and disclosure amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation with no impact on previously reported net income.

Management is responsible for the fair presentation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements, prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP, and has full responsibility for their integrity and accuracy. In the opinion of management, the accompanying consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments necessary to present fairly our consolidated balance sheet, statement of operations, statement of cash flows and statement of stockholders' equity for all periods presented.

Principles of consolidation. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the company and our wholly- and majority-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. Partially owned, non-controlled equity affiliates are accounted for under the equity method.

Use of estimates. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions believed to be reasonable. Although these estimates are based on management's best knowledge of current events and actions that may impact the company in the future, actual results may be different from the estimates. Our critical accounting policies are those that affect our financial statements materially and involve difficult, subjective or complex judgments by management. Those policies are revenue recognition, inventory valuation, share-based compensation, retirement and post-retirement plan assumptions, goodwill and purchased intangible assets and accounting for income taxes.

Revenue recognition. We enter into agreements to sell products (hardware and/or software), services and other arrangements (multiple element arrangements) that include combinations of products and services.

We recognize revenue, net of trade discounts and allowances, provided that (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (2) delivery has occurred, (3) the price is fixed or determinable and (4) collectibility is reasonably assured. Delivery is considered to have occurred when title and risk of loss have transferred to the customer, for products, or when the service has been provided. We consider the price to be fixed or determinable when the price is not subject to refund or adjustments. We consider arrangements with extended payment terms not to be fixed or determinable, and accordingly we defer revenue until amounts become due. At the time of the transaction, we evaluate the creditworthiness of our customers to determine the appropriate timing of revenue recognition.

Product revenue. Our product revenue is generated predominantly from the sales of various types of test equipment. Product revenue, including sales to resellers and distributors, is reduced for estimated returns, when appropriate. For sales or arrangements that include customer-specified acceptance criteria, including those where acceptance is required upon achievement of performance milestones, revenue is recognized after the acceptance criteria have been met. For products that include installation, if the installation meets the criteria to be considered a separate element, product revenue is recognized upon delivery, and recognition of installation revenue is delayed until the installation is complete. Otherwise, neither the product nor the installation revenue is recognized until the installation is complete.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Where software is licensed separately, revenue is recognized when the software is delivered and title and risk of loss have been transferred to the customer or, in the case of electronic delivery of software, when the customer is given access to the licensed software programs. We also evaluate whether collection of the receivable is probable, the fee is fixed or determinable and whether any other undelivered elements of the arrangement exist on which a portion of the total fee would be allocated based on vendor-specific objective evidence.

Service revenue. Revenue from services includes extended warranty, customer support, consulting, training and education. Service revenue is deferred and recognized over the contractual period or as services are rendered and accepted by the customer. For example, customer support contracts are recognized ratably over the contractual period, while training revenue is recognized as the training is provided to the customer. In addition the four revenue recognition criteria described above must be met before service revenue is recognized.

Revenue Recognition for Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables. On November 1, 2010, we adopted an accounting update regarding revenue recognition for multiple deliverable arrangements and an accounting update for certain revenue arrangements that include software elements.

We adopted the above accounting updates on a prospective basis for applicable transactions originating or materially modified after November 1, 2010. The amended update for multiple deliverable arrangements did not change the units of accounting for our revenue transactions, and most products and services qualify as separate units of accounting. Under the previous guidance for multiple deliverable arrangements with software elements, we typically applied the residual method to allocate revenue if we were unable to determine vendor specific objective evidence of fair value or verifiable objective evidence of fair value for the delivered element but were able to obtain fair value for any undelivered elements.

The adoption of the amended revenue recognition rules did not significantly change the timing of revenue recognition and did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements for the year ended October 31, 2011. We cannot reasonably estimate the effect of adopting these standards on future financial periods as the impact will vary depending on the nature and volume of new or materially modified sales arrangements in any given period.

Our multiple-element arrangements are generally comprised of a combination of measurement instruments, installation or other start-up services and/or software and/or support or services. Hardware and software elements are typically delivered at the same time and revenue is recognized upon delivery once title and risk of loss pass to the customer. Delivery of installation, start-up services and other services varies based on the complexity of the equipment, staffing levels in a geographic location and customer preferences, and can range from a few days to a few months. Service revenue is deferred and recognized over the contractual period or as services are rendered and accepted by the customer. Revenue from the sale of software products that are not required to deliver the tangible product's essential functionality are accounted for under software revenue recognition rules which require vendor specific objective evidence ("VSOE") of fair value to allocate revenue in a multiple element arrangement. Our arrangements generally do not include any provisions for cancellation, termination, or refunds that would significantly impact recognized revenue.

We have evaluated the deliverables in our multiple-element arrangements and concluded that they are separate units of accounting if the delivered item or items have value to the customer on a

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

standalone basis and for an arrangement that includes a general right of return relative to the delivered item(s), delivery or performance of the undelivered item(s) is considered probable and substantially in our control. We allocate revenue to each element in our multiple-element arrangements based upon their relative selling prices. We determine the selling price for each deliverable based on a selling price hierarchy. The selling price for a deliverable is based on VSOE if available, third-party evidence ("TPE") if VSOE is not available, or estimated selling price ("ESP") if neither VSOE nor TPE is available. Revenue allocated to each element is then recognized when the basic revenue recognition criteria for that element have been met.

We use VSOE of selling price in the selling price allocation in all instances where it exists. VSOE of selling price for products and services is determined when a substantial majority of the selling prices fall within a reasonable range when sold separately. TPE of selling price can be established by evaluating largely interchangeable competitor products or services in standalone sales to similarly situated customers. As our products contain a significant element of proprietary technology and the solution offered differs substantially from that of competitors, it is difficult to obtain the reliable standalone competitive pricing necessary to establish TPE. ESP represents the best estimate of the price at which we would transact a sale if the product or service were sold on a standalone basis. We determine ESP for a product or service by using historical selling prices which reflect multiple factors including, but not limited to customer type, geography, market conditions, competitive landscape, gross margin objectives and pricing practices. The determination of ESP is made through consultation with and approval by management. We may modify or develop new pricing practices and strategies in the future. As these pricing strategies evolve, we may modify our pricing practices in the future, which may result in changes in ESP. The aforementioned factors may result in a different allocation of revenue to the deliverables in multiple element arrangements from the current fiscal quarter, which may change the pattern and timing of revenue recognition for these elements but will not change the total revenue recognized for the arrangement.

Deferred revenue. Deferred revenue represents the amount that is allocated to undelivered elements in multiple element arrangements. We limit the revenue recognized to the amount that is not contingent on the future delivery of products or services or meeting other specified performance conditions.

Accounts receivable, net. Trade accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and do not bear interest. Such accounts receivable has been reduced by an allowance for doubtful accounts, which is our best estimate of the amount of probable credit losses in our existing accounts receivable. We determine the allowance based on customer specific experience and the aging of such receivables, among other factors. We do not have any off-balance-sheet credit exposure related to our customers. Accounts receivable are also recorded net of product returns.

Share-based compensation. For the years ended 2011, 2010 and 2009, we accounted for share-based awards made to our employees and directors including employee stock option awards, restricted stock units, employee stock purchases made under our Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") and performance share awards under Agilent Technologies, Inc. Long-Term Performance Program ("LTTP") using the estimated grant date fair value method of accounting. Under the fair value method, we recorded compensation expense for all share-based awards of \$73 million in 2011, \$66 million in 2010 and \$71 million in 2009.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Inventory. Inventory is valued at standard cost, which approximates actual cost computed on a first-in, first-out basis, not in excess of market value. We assess the valuation of our inventory on a periodic basis and make adjustments to the value for estimated excess and obsolete inventory based on estimates about future demand. The excess balance determined by this analysis becomes the basis for our excess inventory charge. Our excess inventory review process includes analysis of sales forecasts, managing product rollovers and working with manufacturing to maximize recovery of excess inventory.

Warranty. Our standard warranty terms typically extend for one year from the date of delivery. We accrue for standard warranty costs based on historical trends in warranty charges as a percentage of net product revenue. The accrual is reviewed regularly and periodically adjusted to reflect changes in warranty cost estimates. Estimated warranty charges are recorded within cost of products at the time products are sold. See Note 16, "Guarantees".

Taxes on income. Income tax expense or benefit is based on income or loss before taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized principally for the expected tax consequences of temporary differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts.

Shipping and handling costs. Our shipping and handling costs charged to customers are included in net revenue, and the associated expense is recorded in cost of products for all periods presented.

Goodwill and Purchased Intangible Assets. In September 2011, the FASB approved changes to the goodwill impairment guidance which are intended to reduce the cost and complexity of the annual impairment test. The changes provide entities an option to perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether further impairment testing is necessary. The revised standard gives an entity the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether performing the current two-step test is necessary. If an entity believes, as a result of its qualitative assessment, that it is more-likely-than-not (i.e. > 50% chance) that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, the quantitative impairment test will be required. Otherwise, no further testing will be required.

The revised guidance includes examples of events and circumstances that might indicate that a reporting unit's fair value is less than its carrying amount. These include macro-economic conditions such as deterioration in the entity's operating environment or industry or market considerations; entity-specific events such as increasing costs, declining financial performance, or loss of key personnel; or other events such as an expectation that a reporting unit will be sold or a sustained decrease in the stock price on either an absolute basis or relative to peers.

The changes will be effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. However, earlier adoption is permitted. Agilent has opted to early adopt this guidance for the year ended October 31, 2011.

If it is determined, as a result of the qualitative assessment, that it is more-likely-than-not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, the provisions of authoritative guidance require that we perform a two-step impairment test on goodwill. In the first step, we compare the fair value of each reporting unit to its carrying value. The second step (if necessary) measures the amount of impairment by applying fair-value-based tests to the individual assets and liabilities within each reporting unit. As defined in the authoritative guidance, a reporting unit is an operating segment, or

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

one level below an operating segment. We have aggregated components of an operating segment that have similar economic characteristics into our reporting units. Accordingly, Agilent has three reporting units, which are the same as our operating segments: life sciences, chemical analysis and electronic measurement. At the time of an acquisition, we assign goodwill to the reporting unit that is expected to benefit from the synergies of the combination. Based on our results of our qualitative test for goodwill impairment, as of September 30, 2011, we believe that it is more-likely-than-not that the fair value of each of our three reporting units, life sciences, chemical analysis and electronic measurement is greater than their respective carrying values. There was no impairment of goodwill during the years ended October 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

Purchased intangible assets consist primarily of acquired developed technologies, proprietary know-how, trademarks, and customer relationships and are amortized using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives ranging from 6 months to 15 years. In process research and development ("IPR&D") is initially capitalized at fair value as an intangible asset with an indefinite life and assessed for impairment thereafter. When the IPR&D project is complete, it is reclassified as an amortizable purchased intangible asset and is amortized over its estimated useful life. If an IPR&D project is abandoned, Agilent will record a charge for the value of the related intangible asset to Agilent's consolidated statement of operations in the period it is abandoned.

Advertising. Advertising costs are expensed as incurred and amounted to \$55 million in 2011, \$45 million in 2010 and \$36 million in 2009 for Agilent operations.

Research and development. Costs related to research, design and development of our products are charged to research and development expense as they are incurred.

Sales Taxes. Sales taxes collected from customers and remitted to governmental authorities are not included in our revenue.

Net income (loss) per share. Basic net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) the numerator by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding the denominator during the period excluding the dilutive effect of stock options and other employee stock plans. Diluted net income per share gives effect to all potentially dilutive common stock equivalents outstanding during the period. In computing diluted net income per share under the treasury stock method, the average stock price for the period is used in determining the number of shares assumed to be purchased from the proceeds of stock option exercises. The number of shares assumed to be purchased also considers the amount of unrecognized compensation cost for future service. As a result of the company's net loss in 2009, the computation of diluted net loss per share for 2009 excludes the diluted impact of all common stock equivalents outstanding. See Note 6, "Net Income (Loss) per Per Share".

Cash, cash equivalents and short term investments. We classify investments as cash equivalents if their original or remaining maturity is three months or less at the date of purchase. Cash equivalents are stated at cost, which approximates fair value.

As of October 31, 2011, approximately \$3.4 billion of our cash and cash equivalents is held outside of the U.S. in our foreign subsidiaries. Under current tax laws, most of the cash could be repatriated to the U.S. but it would be subject to U.S. federal and state income taxes, less applicable foreign tax credits. Our cash and cash equivalents mainly consist of short term deposits held at major global

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

financial institutions, institutional money market funds, and similar short duration instruments with original maturities of 90 days or less. We continuously monitor the creditworthiness of the financial institutions and institutional money market funds in which we invest our funds.

We classify investments as short-term investments if their original or remaining maturities are greater than three months and their remaining maturities are one year or less.

Restricted cash and cash equivalents was nil in 2011 and \$1.6 billion in 2010 mostly held in commercial paper.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments. The carrying values of certain of our financial instruments including cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued compensation and other accrued liabilities approximate fair value because of their short maturities. The fair value of long-term equity investments is determined using quoted market prices for those securities when available. For those long-term equity investments accounted for under the cost method, their carrying value approximates their estimated fair value. The fair value of our short-term and long-term debt exceeds the carrying value by approximately \$140 million as of October 31, 2011. The fair value of foreign currency contracts used for hedging purposes is estimated internally by using inputs tied to active markets. The carrying value of our investment in direct-financing leases approximates their estimated fair value. See also Note 12, "Fair Value Measurements" for additional information on the fair value of financial instruments.

Concentration of credit risk. Financial instruments that potentially subject Agilent to significant concentration of credit risk include money market fund investments, time deposits, commercial paper and demand deposit balances. These investments are categorized as cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and cash equivalents and long-term investments. In addition, Agilent has credit risk from derivative financial instruments used in hedging activities, accounts receivable and investments in direct-financing leases. We invest in a variety of financial instruments and limit the amount of credit exposure with any one financial institution. Credit risk with respect to our accounts receivable is diversified due to the large number of entities comprising our customer base and their dispersion across many different industries and geographies. Credit risk associated with our investment in direct-financing leases has been mitigated by a contract with a third party to provide credit protection. We have a comprehensive credit policy in place and exposure to credit risk is monitored on an ongoing basis. Credit evaluations are performed on all customers requiring credit over a certain amount and we sell the majority of our products through our direct sales force. Credit risk is mitigated through collateral such as letter of credit, bank guarantees or payment terms like cash in advance. Credit evaluation is performed by an independent team to ensure proper segregation of duties. No single customer accounted for more than 10 percent of combined accounts receivable as of October 31, 2011 and 2010.

Derivative instruments. Agilent is exposed to global market exchange rate and interest rate risks in the normal course of business. We enter into foreign exchange contracts, primarily forward contracts and purchased options and interest rate swaps to manage financial exposures resulting from changes in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates. In the vast majority of cases, these contracts are designated at inception as hedges of the related foreign currency or interest exposures. Foreign currency exposures include committed and anticipated revenue and expense transactions and assets and liabilities that are denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of the subsidiary. Interest rate exposures are associated with the company's fixed-rate debt. For option

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

contracts, we exclude time value from the measurement of effectiveness. To achieve hedge accounting, contracts must reduce the foreign currency exchange rate and interest rate risk otherwise inherent in the amount and duration of the hedged exposures and comply with established risk management policies; foreign exchange hedging contracts generally mature within twelve months and interest rate swaps mature at the same time as the maturity of the debt. In order to manage foreign currency exposures in a few limited jurisdictions, such as China, we may enter into foreign exchange contracts that do not qualify for hedge accounting. In such circumstances, the local foreign currency exposure is offset by contracts owned by the parent company. We do not use derivative financial instruments for speculative trading purposes.

All derivatives are recognized on the balance sheet at their fair values. For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a fair value hedge, changes in value of the derivative are recognized in the consolidated statement of operations in the current period, along with the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk. For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a cash flow, changes in the value of the effective portion of the derivative instrument is recognized in accumulated comprehensive income, a component of stockholders' equity. Amounts associated with cash flow hedges are reclassified and recognized in income when either the forecasted transaction occurs or it becomes probable the forecasted transaction will not occur. Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments are recorded on the balance sheet at their fair value and changes in the fair values are recorded in the income statement in the current period. Derivative instruments are subject to master netting arrangements and qualify for net presentation in the balance sheet. Changes in the fair value of the ineffective portion of derivative instruments are recognized in earnings in the current period. Ineffectiveness in 2011, 2010 and 2009 was not significant.

Property, plant and equipment. Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Additions, improvements and major renewals are capitalized; maintenance, repairs and minor renewals are expensed as incurred. When assets are retired or disposed of, the assets and related accumulated depreciation and amortization are removed from our general ledger, and the resulting gain or loss is reflected in the consolidated statement of operations. Buildings and improvements are depreciated over the lesser of their useful lives or the remaining term of the lease and machinery and equipment over three to ten years. We currently use the straight-line method to depreciate assets.

Leases. We lease buildings, machinery and equipment under operating leases for original terms ranging generally from one to twenty years. Certain leases contain renewal options for periods up to six years.

Capitalized software. We capitalize certain internal and external costs incurred to acquire or create internal use software. Capitalized software is included in property, plant and equipment and is depreciated over three to five years once development is complete.

Impairment of long-lived assets. We continually monitor events and changes in circumstances that could indicate carrying amounts of long-lived assets, including intangible assets, may not be recoverable. When such events or changes in circumstances occur, we assess the recoverability of long-lived assets by determining whether the carrying value of such assets will be recovered through undiscounted expected future cash flows. If the total of the undiscounted future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of those assets, we recognize an impairment loss based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Employee compensation and benefits. Amounts owed to employees, such as accrued salary, bonuses and vacation benefits are accounted for within employee compensation and benefits. The total amount of accrued vacation benefit was \$144 million and \$142 million as of October 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Foreign currency translation. We translate and remeasure balance sheet and income statement items into U.S. dollars. For those subsidiaries that operate in a local currency functional environment, all assets and liabilities are translated into U.S. dollars using current exchange rates; revenue and expenses are translated using monthly exchange rates which approximate to average exchange rates in effect during each period. Resulting translation adjustments are reported as a separate component of accumulated comprehensive loss in stockholders' equity.

For those subsidiaries that operate in a U.S. dollar functional environment, foreign currency assets and liabilities are remeasured into U.S. dollars at current exchange rates except for nonmonetary assets and capital accounts which are remeasured at historical exchange rates. Revenue and expenses are generally remeasured at monthly exchange rates which approximate average exchange rates in effect during each period. Gains or losses from foreign currency remeasurement are included in consolidated net income (loss). Net gains or losses resulting from foreign currency transactions, including hedging gains and losses, are reported in other income (expense), net and was \$1 million loss for fiscal year 2011, 2010 and 2009.

2. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") amended revenue recognition guidance for arrangements with multiple deliverables. The guidance eliminates the residual method of revenue recognition and requires the use of management's best estimate of selling price for individual elements of an arrangement when VSOE, or TPE is unavailable. The FASB also amended the scope of existing software revenue recognition accounting. Tangible products containing software components and non-software components that function together to deliver the product's essential functionality would be scoped out of the accounting guidance on software and accounted for based on other appropriate revenue recognition guidance. We adopted all of the above guidance effective November 1, 2010 on a prospective basis. The adoption of the amended revenue recognition rules did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. See Note 1, "Overview, Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" for additional information.

In January 2010, the FASB issued guidance that requires new disclosures for fair value measurements and provides clarification for existing disclosure requirements. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for gross presentation of activity in level 3 which is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods in those years. We adopted the guidance for new disclosures for fair value measurements and clarification for existing disclosure requirements as of February 1, 2010 and there was no material impact on our consolidated financial statements. Additionally, we will adopt the guidance regarding level 3 activity on November 1, 2011 and we do not expect there to be a material impact to our consolidated financial statements. See Note 12, "Fair Value Measurements" for additional information on the fair value of financial instruments.

In April 2010, the FASB issued guidance on defining a milestone and determining when it may be appropriate to apply the milestone method of revenue recognition for research or development

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

transactions. The guidance is effective on a prospective basis for milestones achieved in fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after June 15, 2010, with early adoption permitted. We adopted the guidance in the first quarter of 2011 and there was no material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB amended fair value measurement and disclosure guidance to achieve convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). The amended guidance modifies the measurement of fair value, clarifies verbiage, and changes disclosure or other requirements in US GAAP and IFRS. The guidance is effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. We do not expect a material impact on our consolidated financial statements due to the adoption of this guidance.

In June 2011, the FASB issued guidance related to the presentation of comprehensive income. The guidance aims to improve the comparability, consistency, and transparency of financial reporting and to increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income. The guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. We expect to make presentational changes to our consolidated financial statements upon adoption of this guidance, but as this guidance impacts financial statement presentation requirements only, its adoption will not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB amended guidance relating to the goodwill impairment test. The changes are intended to reduce the cost and complexity of the annual test by providing entities an option to perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether further impairment testing is necessary. The revised guidance includes examples of events and circumstances that might indicate that a reporting unit's fair value is less than its carrying amount. The changes will be effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. However, earlier adoption is permitted. Agilent has opted to early adopt this guidance for the year ended October 31, 2011, See Note 1, "Overview, Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" for additional information.

3. ACQUISITION OF VARIAN

On May 14, 2010, we completed the acquisition of Varian through the merger of Varian and Cobalt Acquisition Corp., a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of Agilent under the Merger Agreement, dated July 26, 2009. As a result of the merger, Varian became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Agilent. Accordingly, the results of Varian are included in Agilent's consolidated financial statements from the date of the merger. For the period from May 15, 2010 to October 31, 2010, Varian's net revenue was \$320 million.

The consideration paid was approximately \$1,507 million, comprising \$52 cash per share of Varian's outstanding common stock. We also paid \$17 million to acquire Varian's vested in-the money stock options at \$52 cash per share less their exercise price. In addition we paid \$12 million for Varian's non-vested in-the-money stock options at \$52 cash per share less their exercise price, and Varian's non-vested restricted stock awards and non-vested performance shares, each at 100 percent of target and at \$52 cash per share. In accordance with the authoritative accounting guidance, settlement of the non-vested awards is considered to be for the performance of post combination services and is therefore stock-based compensation expensed immediately after acquisition. Agilent

Table of Contents**AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

funded the acquisition using the proceeds from our September 2009 offering of senior notes and other existing cash.

The Varian merger was accounted for in accordance with the authoritative accounting guidance. The acquired assets and assumed liabilities were recorded by Agilent at their estimated fair values. Agilent determined the estimated fair values with the assistance of appraisals or valuations performed by independent third party specialists, discounted cash flow analyses, quoted market prices where available, and estimates made by management. We expect to realize operational and cost synergies, leverage the existing sales channels and product development resources, and utilize the assembled workforce. The company expects the combined entity to achieve significant savings in corporate and divisional overhead costs. The company also anticipates opportunities for growth through expanded geographic and customer segment diversity and the ability to leverage additional products and capabilities. These factors, among others, contributed to a purchase price in excess of the estimated fair value of Varian's net identifiable assets acquired, and, as a result, we have recorded goodwill in connection with this transaction.

Goodwill acquired was allocated to our operating segments and reporting units as a part of the purchase price allocation. We do not expect the goodwill recognized to be deductible for income tax purposes. Any impairment charges made in the future associated with goodwill will not be tax deductible.

A portion of the overall purchase price was allocated to acquired intangible assets. Amortization expense associated with acquired intangible assets is not deductible for tax purposes. Therefore, approximately \$138 million was established as a deferred tax liability for the future amortization of these intangibles.

The following table summarizes the allocation of the purchase price to the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed on the closing date of May 14, 2010 (in millions):

Cash and cash equivalents	\$	226
Accounts receivable		138
Inventories		170
Other current assets		47
Property, plant and equipment		126
Intangible assets		417
Other assets		13
Goodwill		787
Total assets acquired		1,924
Accounts payable		(65)
Employee compensation and benefits		(43)
Deferred revenue		(30)
Other accrued liabilities		(72)
Long-term debt		(15)
Retirement and post-retirement benefits		(18)
Other long-term liabilities		(157)
Net assets acquired	\$	1,524

Table of Contents**AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The fair value of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, other current assets, accounts payable and other accrued liabilities were generally determined using historical carrying values given the short-term nature of these assets and liabilities.

The fair values for acquired inventory, property, plant and equipment, intangible assets, retirement and post-retirement benefits, and deferred revenue were determined with the assistance of valuations performed by independent valuation specialists.

The fair values of certain other assets, long-term debt, and certain other long-term liabilities were determined internally using discounted cash flow analyses and estimates made by management.

The company has completed its business combination accounting as of May 14, 2010.

Valuations of intangible assets acquired

The components of intangible assets acquired in connection with the Varian acquisition were as follows (in millions):

	Fair Value	Estimated Useful Life
Developed product technology	\$ 221	1-7 yrs
Customer relationships	157	2-10 yrs
Tradenames and trademarks	10	1.5 yrs
Order backlog	9	0.5-1 yr
Total intangible assets subject to amortization	397	
In-process research and development	20	
Total intangible assets	\$ 417	

Acquisition and integration costs directly related to the Varian merger totaled \$102 million for the year ended October 31, 2010. These costs were substantially recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses. Such costs are expensed in accordance with the authoritative accounting guidance.

The following represents pro forma operating results as if Varian had been included in the company's consolidated statements of operations as of the beginning of the fiscal years presented (in millions, except per share amounts):

	2010	2009
Net revenue	\$ 5,871	\$ 5,258
Net income (loss)	\$ 648	\$ (192)
Net income (loss) per share basic	\$ 1.87	\$ (0.55)
Net income (loss) per share diluted	\$ 1.84	\$ (0.55)

The unaudited pro forma financial information assumes that the companies were combined as of November 1, 2009 and 2008 and include business combination accounting effects from the acquisition including amortization charges from acquired intangible assets, reduction in revenue and increase in cost of sales due to the respective estimated fair value adjustments to deferred revenue and inventory,

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

decrease to interest income for cash used in the acquisition, increase in interest expense associated with debt issue to fund the acquisition, acquisition related transaction costs and tax related effects. The unaudited pro forma information as presented above is for informational purposes only and is not indicative of the results of operations that would have been achieved if the acquisition had taken place at the beginning of fiscal 2010 and 2009.

The unaudited pro forma financial information for the year ended October 31, 2010 combines the historical results of Agilent for the year ended October 31, 2010 and the historical results of Varian for the six months ended April 2, 2010 and the period May 1, 2010 to May 14, 2010.

The unaudited pro forma financial information for the year ended October 31, 2009 combines the historical results of Agilent for the year ended October 31, 2009 and the historical results for Varian for the year ended October 2, 2009 (due to differences in reporting periods).

4. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

Agilent accounts for share-based awards in accordance with the provisions of the revised accounting guidance which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to our employees and directors including employee stock option awards, restricted stock units, employee stock purchases made under our ESPP and performance share awards granted to selected members of our senior management under the LTPP based on estimated fair values.

Description of Share-Based Plans

Employee stock purchase plan. Effective November 1, 2000, we adopted the ESPP. Prior to November 1, 2008, under the provisions of the ESPP, eligible employees could contribute up to ten percent of their base compensation to purchase shares of our common stock at 85 percent of the lower of the fair market value at the entry date or the purchase date of each offering period, as defined by the ESPP. Effective November 1, 2008, the Compensation Committee of Board of Directors approved a change to our ESPP that eliminated the look back period. The ESPP will continue to allow eligible employees to purchase shares of our common stock at 85 percent of the purchase price, but only uses the purchase date to establish the fair market value. Shares authorized for issuance in connection with the ESPP are subject to an automatic annual increase of the lesser of one percent of the outstanding shares of common stock of Agilent on November 1, or an amount determined by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors. Under the terms of the ESPP, in no event shall the number of shares issued under the ESPP exceed 75 million shares.

Under our ESPP, employees purchased 1,205,431 shares for \$43 million in 2011, 1,577,388 shares for \$40 million in 2010 and 3,007,747 shares for \$52 million in 2009. As of October 31, 2011, the number of shares of common stock authorized and available for issuance under our ESPP was 33,577,991. This excludes the number of shares of common stock to be issued to participants in consideration of the aggregate participant contributions totaling \$24 million as of October 31, 2011.

Incentive compensation plans. On November 19, 2008 and March 11, 2009, the Compensation Committee of Board of Directors and the stockholders, respectively, approved the Agilent Technologies, Inc. 2009 Stock Plan (the "2009 Stock Plan") to replace the Company's 1999 Stock Plan and 1999 Stock Non-Employee Director Stock Plan and subsequently reserved 25 million shares of Company common stock that may be issued under the 2009 Plan, plus any shares forfeited or cancelled

Table of Contents**AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

under the 1999 Stock Plan. The 2009 Stock Plan provides for the grant of awards in the form of stock options, stock appreciation rights ("SARs"), restricted stock, restricted stock units ("RSUs"), performance shares and performance units with performance-based conditions on vesting or exercisability, and cash awards. The 2009 Plan has a term of ten years. As of October 31, 2011, 20,699,753 shares were available for future awards under the 2009 Stock Plan.

Stock options granted under the 2009 Stock Plans may be either "incentive stock options", as defined in Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code, or non-statutory. Options generally vest at a rate of 25 percent per year over a period of four years from the date of grant and generally have a maximum contractual term of ten years. The exercise price for stock options is generally not less than 100 percent of the fair market value of our common stock on the date the stock award is granted.

Effective November 1, 2003, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors approved the LTPP, which is a performance stock award program administered under the 1999 and 2009 Stock Plans, for the company's executive officers and other key employees. Participants in this program are entitled to receive unrestricted shares of the company's stock after the end of a three-year period, if specified performance targets are met. LTPP awards are generally designed to meet the criteria of a performance award with the performance metrics and peer group comparison set at the beginning of the performance period. Based on the performance metrics the final award may vary from zero to 200 percent of the target award. The maximum contractual term for awards under the LTPP program is three years. We consider the dilutive impact of this program in our diluted net income (loss) per share calculation only to the extent that the performance conditions are met.

In March 2007, we began to issue restricted stock units under our share-based plans. The estimated fair value of the restricted stock unit awards granted under the Stock Plans is determined based on the market price of Agilent's common stock on the date of grant. Restricted stock units generally vest, with some exceptions, at a rate of 25 percent per year over a period of four years from the date of grant.

Impact of Share-based Compensation Awards

We have recognized compensation expense based on the estimated grant date fair value method under the revised authoritative guidance. For all share-based awards we have recognized compensation expense using a straight-line amortization method. As the guidance requires that share-based compensation expense be based on awards that are ultimately expected to vest, estimated share-based compensation has been reduced for estimated forfeitures.

The impact on our results for share-based compensation was as follows:

	Years Ended October 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
	(in millions)		
Cost of products and services	\$ 16	\$ 14	\$ 14
Research and development	10	10	11
Selling, general and administrative	47	42	46
Total share-based compensation expense	\$ 73	\$ 66	\$ 71

Table of Contents**AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

At October 31, 2011 there was no share-based compensation capitalized within inventory. Income tax benefit recognized in 2011, 2010 and 2009 in the statement of operations for share-based compensation was not material. The weighted average grant date fair value of options, granted in 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$12.48, \$9.81 and \$5.77 per share, respectively.

Included in the 2010 and 2009 expense is incremental expense for the acceleration of share-based compensation related to the announced workforce reduction plan was \$2 million and \$5 million respectively. In 2011 the expense for the acceleration of share-based compensation related to the announced workforce reduction plan was immaterial. Upon termination of the employees impacted by workforce reduction, the non-vested Agilent awards held by these employees immediately vest. Employees have a period of up to three months in which to exercise the Agilent options before such options are cancelled. In addition, in 2010, we reversed approximately \$3 million of expense for the cancellation of non-vested awards related to the separation of a senior executive.

Valuation Assumptions

For all periods presented, the fair value of share based awards for employee stock option awards was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. For all periods presented, shares granted under the LTPP were valued using a Monte Carlo simulation. The estimated fair value of restricted stock unit awards was determined based on the market price of Agilent's common stock on the date of grant. The ESPP allows eligible employees to purchase shares of our common stock at 85 percent of the purchase price and uses the purchase date to establish the fair market value.

The following assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of employee stock options and LTPP grants.

	Years Ended October 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Stock Option Plans:			
Weighted average risk-free interest rate	1.49%	2.19%	2.31%
Dividend yield	0%	0%	0%
Weighted average volatility	35%	37%	32%
Expected life	5.80 yrs	4.40 yrs	4.40 yrs
LTPP:			
Volatility of Agilent shares	40%	39%	33%
Volatility of selected peer-company shares	20%-76%	20%-80%	17%-62%
Price-wise correlation with selected peers	55%	53%	35%

Both the Black-Scholes and Monte Carlo simulation fair value models require the use of highly subjective and complex assumptions, including the option's expected life and the price volatility of the underlying stock. For all the years presented, the expected stock price volatility assumption was determined using the historical volatility of Agilent's stock options over the most recent historical period equivalent to the expected life.

In 2009 and 2010 the expected life of our employee stock options was 4.4 years. In the first quarter of 2011, we revised our estimate of the expected life of our employee stock options from 4.4 to 5.8 years. For the grants awarded under the 2009 stock plan after November 1, 2010, we increased the period available to retirement eligible employees to exercise their options from three years at

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

retirement date to the full contractual term of ten years. In developing our estimated life of our employee stock options of 5.8 years, we considered the historical option exercise behavior of our executive employees who were granted the majority of the options in the annual grants made during the three months ended January 31, 2011, which we believe is representative of future behavior.

Share-based Payment Award Activity*Employee Stock Options*

The following table summarizes employee stock option award activity made to our employees and directors for 2011:

	Options Outstanding (in thousands)	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at October 31, 2010	22,644	\$ 28
Granted	1,342	\$ 35
Exercised	(9,738)	\$ 27
Cancelled/Forfeited/Expired	(1,177)	\$ 42
Outstanding at October 31, 2011	13,071	\$ 28

Forfeited and expired options from total cancellations in 2011 were as follows:

	Options Cancelled (in thousands)	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Forfeited	12	\$ 29
Expired	1,165	\$ 43
Total Options Cancelled at October 31, 2011	1,177	\$ 42

The options outstanding and exercisable for equity share-based payment awards at October 31, 2011 were as follows:

Range of Exercise Prices	Number Outstanding (in thousands)	Options Outstanding			Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)	Number Exercisable (in thousands)	Options Exercisable		
		Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)			Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
\$ 0 - 25	4,353	3.4	\$ 19	\$ 79,658	3,563	2.6	\$ 19	\$ 64,884	
\$25.01 - 30	1,606	7.2	\$ 29	13,603	456	5.1	\$ 29	4,125	
\$30.01 - 40	7,112	4.8	\$ 33	32,243	5,551	3.8	\$ 33	28,637	
\$40.01 & over			\$				\$		
	13,071	4.7	\$ 28	\$ 125,504	9,570	3.4	\$ 27	\$ 97,646	

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value, based on the company's closing stock price of \$37.07 at October 31, 2011, which would have been received by

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

award holders had all award holders exercised their awards that were in-the-money as of that date. The total number of in-the-money awards exercisable at October 31, 2011 was approximately 9 million.

The following table summarizes the aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised and the fair value of options granted in 2011, 2010 and 2009:

	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Value Using Black-Scholes Model
Options exercised in fiscal 2009	\$ 7,836	\$ 20	
Black-Scholes value of options granted during fiscal 2009			\$ 6
Options exercised in fiscal 2010	\$ 72,325	\$ 25	
Black-Scholes value of options granted during fiscal 2010			\$ 10
Options exercised in fiscal 2011	\$ 164,738	\$ 27	
Black-Scholes value of options granted during fiscal 2011			\$ 12

As of October 31, 2011, the unrecognized share-based compensation costs for outstanding stock option awards, net of expected forfeitures, was approximately \$12 million which is expected to be amortized over a weighted average period of 2.4 years. The amount of cash received from the exercise of share-based awards granted was \$304 million in 2011, \$299 million in 2010 and \$71 million in 2009. See Note 5, "Provision for Income Taxes" for the tax impact on share-based award exercises.

Non-vested Awards

The following table summarizes non-vested award activity in 2011 primarily for our LTTP and restricted stock unit awards:

	Shares (in thousands)	Weighted Average Grant Price
Non-vested at October 31, 2010	3,284	\$ 29
Granted	1,594	\$ 37
Vested	(1,322)	\$ 33
Forfeited	(78)	\$ 33
FY2008 LTTP Incremental Issuance	126	\$ 35
Non-vested at October 31, 2011	3,604	\$ 31

As of October 31, 2011, the unrecognized share-based compensation costs for non-vested restricted stock awards, net of expected forfeitures, was approximately \$50 million which is expected to be amortized over a weighted average period of 2.5 years. The total fair value of restricted stock awards vested was \$43 million for 2011, \$35 million for 2010 and \$25 million for 2009.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

5. PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES

The domestic and foreign components of income (loss) before taxes are:

	Years Ended October 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
	(in millions)		
U.S. operations	\$ 88	\$ 163	\$ (226)
Non-U.S. operations	944	529	233
Total income before taxes	\$ 1,032	\$ 692	\$ 7

The provision for income taxes is comprised of:

	Years Ended October 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
	(in millions)		
U.S. federal taxes:			
Current	\$ (1)	\$ (40)	\$ (20)
Deferred		37	26
Non-U.S. taxes:			
Current	(6)	145	20
Deferred	28	(141)	6
State taxes, net of federal benefit:			
Current	(11)	12	10
Deferred	10	(5)	(4)
Total provision	\$ 20	\$ 8	\$ 38

The income tax provision does not reflect potential future tax savings resulting from excess deductions associated with our various share-based award plans.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The significant components of deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities included on the consolidated balance sheet are:

	Years Ended October 31,			
	2011		2010	
	Deferred Tax Assets	Deferred Tax Liabilities	Deferred Tax Assets	Deferred Tax Liabilities
	(in millions)			
Inventory	\$ 30	\$	\$ 36	\$
Intangibles		82		132
Property, plant and equipment		32	4	16
Warranty reserves	16		14	1
Retiree medical benefits	14		43	2
Pension benefits	110		199	49
Employee benefits, other than retirement	84		96	
Net operating loss, capital loss, and credit carryforwards	272		393	
Unrealized gains/losses on investments	47		47	
Unremitted earnings of foreign subsidiaries				88
Share-based compensation	48		51	
Deferred revenue	18		93	2
Other	56	36	52	3
Subtotal	695	150	1,028	293
Tax valuation allowance	(369)		(527)	
Total deferred tax assets or deferred tax liabilities	\$ 326	\$ 150	\$ 501	\$ 293

The breakdown between current and long-term deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities was as follows for the years 2011 and 2010:

	Years Ended October 31,	
	2011	2010
	(in millions)	
Current deferred tax assets (included within other current assets)	\$ 54	\$ 99
Long-term deferred tax assets (included within other assets)	168	190
Current deferred tax liabilities (included within other accrued liabilities)	(4)	(10)
Long-term deferred tax liabilities (included within other long-term liabilities)	(42)	(71)
Total	\$ 176	\$ 208

During 2003, we established valuation allowances for the deferred tax assets of the U.S. and certain entities in foreign jurisdictions. The valuation allowances require an assessment of both positive and negative evidence when determining whether it is more likely than not that deferred tax

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

assets are recoverable. Such assessment is required on a jurisdiction by jurisdiction basis. During 2011, 2010 and 2009, we continued to maintain a valuation allowance for U.S. federal and state deferred tax assets until sufficient positive evidence exists to support reversal. We currently have a valuation allowance of \$369 million of which \$308 million relates to U.S. jurisdictions. The reduction to the valuation allowance in 2011 is primarily due to the reduction in the deferred taxes relating to pension and post retirement medical benefits and the utilization of tax credits associated with the repatriation of foreign earnings. Due to improvements in the U.S. operating results over the past three years, management believes a reasonable possibility exists that, within the next year, sufficient positive evidence may become available to reach a conclusion that the U.S. valuation allowance will no longer be needed. In 2010, after consideration of all the available positive and negative evidence, we concluded that it is more likely than not that all of the U.K. deferred tax assets will be realized and reversed the entire U.K. valuation allowance. We intend to maintain a valuation allowance in these jurisdictions until sufficient positive evidence exists to support its reversal.

At October 31, 2011, we had federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$24 million and tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$121 million. The federal net operating losses expire in years beginning 2021 through 2026, and the federal tax credits begin to expire in 2018, if not utilized. At October 31, 2011, we had state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$219 million which expire in years beginning 2014 through 2031, if not utilized. In addition, we had state tax credit carryforwards of \$6 million that do not expire. All of the federal and some of the state net operating loss carryforwards are subject to change of ownership limitations provided by the Internal Revenue Code and similar state provisions. These annual loss limitations may result in the expiration or reduced utilization of the net operating losses. At October 31, 2011, we also had foreign net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$517 million. Of this foreign loss, \$271 million will expire in years beginning 2012 through 2021, if not utilized. The remaining \$246 million has an indefinite life. Some of the foreign losses are subject to annual loss limitation rules.

The authoritative guidance prohibits recognition of a deferred tax asset for excess tax benefits related to stock and stock option plans that have not yet been realized through reduction in income taxes payable. Such unrecognized deferred tax benefits totaled \$194 million as of October 31, 2011 and will be accounted for as a credit to shareholders' equity, if and when realized through a reduction in income taxes payable.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The differences between the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate and our effective tax rate are:

	Years Ended October 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
	(in millions)		
Profit before tax times statutory rate	\$ 361	\$ 242	\$ 2
State income taxes, net of federal benefit	(1)	4	6
Non-U.S. income taxed at different rates	(153)	(98)	47
Change in unrecognized non-U.S. tax benefits	(97)	32	(71)
Research credits	(5)	(1)	(7)
Hewlett Packard tax sharing agreement adjustment	(3)	(17)	
Nondeductible goodwill			2
Nondeductible employee stock purchase plan expense	1	1	2
Other, net	1	7	11
Valuation allowances	(84)	(162)	46
Provision for income taxes	\$ 20	\$ 8	\$ 38
Effective tax rate	2%	1%	543%

Agilent enjoys tax holidays in several different jurisdictions, most significantly in Singapore, and Malaysia. The tax holidays provide lower rates of taxation on certain classes of income and require various thresholds of investments and employment or specific types of income in those jurisdictions. The tax holidays are due for renewal between 2015 and 2023. As a result of the incentives, the impact of the tax holidays decreased income taxes by \$127 million, \$62 million and \$14 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The benefit of the tax holidays on net income (loss) per share (diluted) was approximately \$0.36, \$0.18 and \$0.04 in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

For 2011, the effective tax rate was 2 percent. The 2 percent effective tax rate reflects tax on earnings in jurisdictions that have low effective tax rates and includes a \$97 million net tax benefit primarily associated with a refund in Canada and the recognition of previously unrecognized tax benefits and the reversal of the related interest accruals due to the reassessment of certain uncertain tax positions. The income tax provision also includes a \$26 million out of period adjustment to reduce the carrying value of certain U.K. deferred tax assets for which the majority was recorded in the quarter ended April 30, 2011. The overstatement of these deferred tax assets resulted in an overstatement of the U.K. valuation allowance release in the fourth quarter of 2010. For the full year, this out of period adjustment was substantially offset by other out of period adjustments. The net impact of all out of period adjustments on the effective tax rate was immaterial. Without considering interest and penalties, the effective rate reflects taxes in all jurisdictions except the U.S. and certain foreign jurisdictions in which income tax expense or benefit continues to be offset by adjustments to valuation allowances. We intend to maintain valuation allowances in these jurisdictions until sufficient positive evidence exists to support its reversal.

For 2010, the effective tax rate was 1 percent. The 1 percent effective tax rate includes a \$101 million beneficial release of the U.K. valuation allowance, a \$32 million current year increase in prior year tax reserves, and tax on earnings in jurisdictions that have low effective tax rates. Also included is a \$17 million tax benefit related to a \$54 million non-taxable settlement payment received in connection with a tax sharing agreement between Agilent and Hewlett Packard Company. Without considering interest and penalties, the effective rate reflects taxes in all jurisdictions except the U.S.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

and certain foreign jurisdictions in which income tax expense or benefit continues to be offset by adjustments to valuation allowances. We intend to maintain a valuation allowance in these jurisdictions until sufficient positive evidence exists to support its reversal.

For 2009, the effective tax rate was 543 percent. The 543 percent effective tax rate reflects that our structure has a fixed component that results in unusual tax results on reduced levels of profitability. The tax rate also includes tax on earnings in jurisdictions that have low effective tax rates. In addition, net tax benefits totaling \$71 million relating primarily to the lapses of statutes of limitations and tax settlements in foreign jurisdictions are incorporated in the rate. Without considering interest and penalties, the rate reflects taxes in all jurisdictions except the U.S. and foreign jurisdictions where we have recorded valuation allowances.

Agilent records U.S. income taxes on the undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries unless the subsidiaries' earnings are considered indefinitely reinvested outside the U.S. As of October 31, 2011, the cumulative amount of undistributed earnings considered indefinitely reinvested is \$4,213 million. Because of the availability of U.S. foreign tax credits, the determination of the unrecognized deferred tax liability on these earnings is not practicable.

We are subject to ongoing tax examinations of our tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service and other tax authorities in various jurisdictions. In accordance with the guidance on the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes, we regularly assess the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from these examinations to determine the adequacy of our provision for income taxes. These assessments can require considerable estimates and judgments. If our estimate of income tax liabilities proves to be less than the ultimate assessment, then a further charge to expense would be required. If events occur and the payment of these amounts ultimately proves to be unnecessary, the reversal of the liabilities would result in tax benefits being recognized in the period when we determine the liabilities are no longer necessary.

We include interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits within the provision for income taxes on the consolidated statements of operations. As of October 31, 2011, we accrued and reported \$38.0 million of interest and penalties relating to unrecognized tax benefits. We recognized \$13.6 million of tax benefits in 2011. As of October 31, 2010, we accrued and reported \$53.8 million of interest and penalties relating to unrecognized tax benefits of which \$5.4 million was recognized in 2010.

Table of Contents**AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

A 2011 and 2010 rollforward of our uncertain tax benefits including all federal, state and foreign tax jurisdictions is as follows:

	2011	2010
	(in millions)	
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 656	\$ 930
Additions for acquisitions		15
Additions for tax positions related to the current year	41	46
Additions for tax positions from prior years	18	75
Reductions for tax positions from prior years	(170)	(284)
Settlements with taxing authorities	(67)	(119)
Statute of limitations expirations	(9)	(7)
Balance, end of year	\$ 469	\$ 656

In the U.S., tax years remain open back to the year 2006 for federal income tax purposes. Tax years remain open back to the year 2000 for significant states. In other major jurisdictions where we conduct business, the tax years generally remain open back to the year 2003. With these jurisdictions and the U.S., it is possible that there could be significant changes to our unrecognized tax benefits in the next twelve months due to either the expiration of a statute of limitation or a tax audit settlement. Because of the uncertainty as to the timing of a potential settlement or the completion of tax audits, an estimate cannot be made of the range of tax increases or decreases that could occur in the next twelve months.

On August 31, 2010 we reached an agreement with the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") for tax years 2000 through 2002. The adjustments were offset by applying available net operating losses and had no material impact on our statement of operations. In December 2010, we reached an agreement with the IRS for tax years 2003-2005. In addition, Agilent and the IRS reached an agreement on transfer pricing issues covering years 2003-2007. Tax adjustments resulting from these agreements will be offset with net operating losses and tax credit carryforwards. Agilent's U.S. federal income tax returns for 2006 through 2007 are currently under audit by the IRS. Primarily as a result of these agreements with the IRS and agreements with other tax jurisdictions, unrecognized tax benefits were reduced from \$656 million at October 31, 2010 to \$469 million at October 31, 2011.

6. NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE

The following is a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted net income (loss) per share computations for the periods presented below. As a result of the company's net

Table of Contents**AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

loss in 2009, the computation of diluted net loss per share for 2009 excludes the diluted impact of all common stock equivalents outstanding.

	Years Ended October 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
	(in millions)		
Numerator:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,012	\$ 684	\$ (31)
Denominators:			
Basic weighted average shares	347	347	346
Potentially dilutive common stock equivalents stock options and other employee stock plans	8	6	
Diluted weighted average shares	355	353	346

The dilutive effect of share-based awards is reflected in diluted net income (loss) per share by application of the treasury stock method, which includes consideration of unamortized share-based compensation expense and the dilutive effect of in-the-money options and non-vested restricted stock units. Under the treasury stock method, the amount the employee must pay for exercising stock options and unamortized share-based compensation expense are assumed proceeds to be used to repurchase hypothetical shares. An increase in the fair market value of the company's common stock can result in a greater dilutive effect from potentially dilutive awards. The total number of share-based awards issued in 2011, 2010 and 2009 were 12 million, 13 million and 5 million, respectively.

The following table presents options to purchase shares of common stock, which were not included in the computation of diluted net income (loss) per share because they were anti-dilutive.

	Years Ended October 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Options to purchase shares of common stock (in millions)	1	11	29

7. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Net cash paid for income taxes was \$22 million in 2011, \$48 million in 2010, and \$113 million in 2009. Cash paid for interest was \$95 million in 2011, \$89 million in 2010 and \$88 million in 2009.

8. INVENTORY

	October 31,	
	2011	2010
	(in millions)	
Finished goods	\$ 452	\$ 338
Purchased parts and fabricated assemblies	446	378
Inventory	\$ 898	\$ 716

Inventory-related excess and obsolescence charges of \$30 million, \$30 million and \$54 million were recorded in total cost of products in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. We record excess and

[Table of Contents](#)

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

obsolete inventory charges for both inventory on our site as well as inventory at our contract manufacturers and suppliers where we have non-cancelable purchase commitments.

9. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, NET

	October 31,	
	2011	2010
	(in millions)	
Land	\$ 138	\$ 137
Buildings and leasehold improvements	1,271	1,268
Machinery and equipment	833	793
Software	370	377
Total property, plant and equipment	2,612	2,575
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(1,606)	(1,595)
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 1,006	\$ 980

Asset impairments other than restructuring were \$7 million in 2011 and 2010 and zero in 2009. Depreciation expenses were \$142 million in 2011, \$124 million in 2010 and \$112 million in 2009.

10. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The goodwill balances at October 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 and the movements in 2011 and 2010 for each of our reportable segments are shown in the table below:

	Life Sciences	Chemical Analysis	Electronic Measurement	Total
	(in millions)			
Goodwill as of October 31, 2009	\$ 123	\$ 151	\$ 381	\$ 655
Foreign currency translation impact	5	17	23	45
Divestitures	(1)	(24)	(13)	(38)
Goodwill arising from acquisitions	184	603	7	794
Goodwill as of October 31, 2010	\$ 311	\$ 747	\$ 398	\$ 1,456
Foreign currency translation impact and other adjustments	3	7	37	47
Goodwill arising from acquisitions	53	11		64
Goodwill as of October 31, 2011	\$ 367	\$ 765	\$ 435	\$ 1,567

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The component parts of other intangible assets at October 31, 2011 and 2010 are shown in the table below:

	Other Intangible Assets		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization and Impairments	Net Book Value
	(in millions)		
As of October 31, 2010:			
Purchased technology	\$ 466	\$ 176	\$ 290
Backlog	12	12	
Trademark/Tradename	39	13	26
Customer relationships	236	77	159
Total amortizable intangible assets	\$ 753	\$ 278	\$ 475
In-Process R&D	19		19
Total	\$ 772	\$ 278	\$ 494
As of October 31, 2011:			
Purchased technology	\$ 510	\$ 246	\$ 264
Backlog	12	12	
Trademark/Tradename	40	20	20
Customer relationships	249	114	135
Total amortizable intangible assets	\$ 811	\$ 392	\$ 419
In-Process R&D	10		10
Total	\$ 821	\$ 392	\$ 429

In 2011, we recorded additions to goodwill of \$64 million relating to the purchase of three businesses. We also recorded a \$27 million addition to goodwill during the year in the electronic measurement segment relating to deferred taxes from a prior acquisition. In 2011, we recorded additions to other intangibles of \$42 million related to the purchase of three businesses. We also recorded \$7 million of foreign exchange translation impact to other intangibles for the year. In 2011, in-process research and development decreased \$9 million from the prior year as amounts for completed projects were reclassified to purchased technology and we began amortization.

In 2010, we recorded \$794 million of goodwill primarily relating to the Varian acquisition. The Varian acquisition is fully discussed in Note 3, "Acquisition of Varian". Goodwill was reduced by \$38 million due to divestitures of the network systems business and Hycor during 2010. We recorded \$422 million of additions to other intangible assets and recorded \$13 million of impairment charges to other intangible assets and reduced other intangible assets by \$13 million primarily related to divestiture of the Hycor business.

Amortization of intangible assets was \$111 million in 2011, \$76 million in 2010, and \$45 million in 2009. In addition, we recorded \$3 million of impairments of other intangibles related to an exited business during 2011. Future amortization expense related to existing purchased intangible assets is estimated to be \$95 million in 2012, \$78 million for 2013, \$68 million for 2014, \$58 million for 2015, \$51 million for 2016, and \$79 million thereafter.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

11. INVESTMENTS

Equity Investments

The following table summarizes the company's equity investments as of October 31, 2011 and 2010 (net book value):

	October 31,	
	2011	2010
	(in millions)	
Long-Term		
Cost method investments	\$ 65	\$ 80
Trading securities	49	52
Available-for-sale investments	3	10
Total	\$ 117	\$ 142

Cost method investments consist of non-marketable equity securities and two special funds and are accounted for at historical cost. Trading securities are reported at fair value, with gains or losses resulting from changes in fair value recognized currently in earnings. Investments designated as available-for-sale are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, included in stockholders' equity.

Investments in available-for-sale securities at estimated fair value were as follows as of October 31, 2011 and October 31, 2010:

	October 31, 2011			October 31, 2010			
	Gross Unrealized Cost	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
	(in millions)						
Equity securities	1	2	3	4	6		10
	\$ 1	\$ 2	\$ 3	\$ 4	\$ 6		\$ 10

All of our investments, excluding trading securities, are subject to periodic impairment review. The impairment analysis requires significant judgment to identify events or circumstances that would likely have significant adverse effect on the future value of the investment. We consider various factors in determining whether an impairment is other-than-temporary, including the severity and duration of the impairment, forecasted recovery, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the investee, and our ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value.

Table of Contents**AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Amounts included in other income (expense), net for realized gains and losses on the sale of available-for-sale securities and other than temporary impairments were as follows:

	Years Ended October 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
	(in millions)		
Available-for-sale investments realized gain	\$ 6	\$ 2	\$ 1
Other than temporary impairment on investments	\$	\$	\$ (9)

Net unrealized gains and losses on our trading securities portfolio were \$1 million of unrealized gains in 2011, \$6 million of unrealized gains in 2010 and \$6 million of unrealized losses in 2009.

Realized gains from the sale of cost method securities were zero for 2011 and 2010 and \$1 million realized gain for 2009.

Investments in Leases

In February 2001, we sold a parcel of surplus land in San Jose, California for \$287 million in cash. In August 2001, we acquired a long-term leasehold interest in several municipal properties in southern California. In 2002, we received \$237 million in non-refundable prepaid rent related to the leasehold interests described above. At October 31, 2011 the investment in direct-financing leases was \$279 million lease rent receivable, unamortized initial direct costs of \$4 million less \$202 million of unearned income. At October 31, 2010 the investment in the direct-financing leases was \$279 million, unamortized initial direct costs of \$4 million and unearned income of \$205 million. For the year ended October 31, 2011 and 2010 there were no impairments to our investment in direct-financing leases. Future minimum lease payments are to be received in more than five years from October 31, 2011.

12. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The authoritative guidance defines fair value as the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities required or permitted to be recorded at fair value, we consider the principal or most advantageous market and assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability.

Fair Value Hierarchy

The guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the use of inputs used in valuation techniques into three levels. A financial instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 applies to assets or liabilities for which there are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 applies to assets or liabilities for which there are inputs other than quoted prices included within level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, for the asset or liability such as: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

assets or liabilities in less active markets; or other inputs that can be derived principally from, or corroborated by, observable market data.

Level 3 applies to assets or liabilities for which there are unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology that are significant to the measurement of the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Financial Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of October 31, 2011 were as follows:

	October 31, 2011	Fair Value Measurement at October 31, 2011 Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
(in millions)				
Assets:				
Short-term				
Cash equivalents (money market funds)	\$ 1,972	\$ 1,972	\$	\$
Derivative instruments (foreign exchange and interest rate swap contracts)	37		37	
Long-term				
Trading securities	49	49		
Available-for-sale investments	3	3		
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 2,061	\$ 2,024	\$ 37	\$
Liabilities:				
Short-term				
Derivative instruments (foreign exchange contracts)	\$ 11		\$ 11	\$
Long-term				
Deferred compensation liability	46		46	
Total liabilities measured at fair value	\$ 57		\$ 57	\$

Our money market funds, trading securities investments, and available-for-sale investments are generally valued using quoted market prices and therefore are classified within level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Our derivative financial instruments are classified within level 2, as there is not an active market for each hedge contract, but the inputs used to calculate the value of the instruments are tied to active markets. Our deferred compensation liability is classified as level 2 because although the values are not directly based on quoted market prices, the inputs used in the calculations are observable.

Trading securities and deferred compensation liability are reported at fair value, with gains or losses resulting from changes in fair value recognized currently in net income. Investments designated as available-for-sale and certain derivative instruments are reported at fair value, with unrealized

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

gains and losses, net of tax, included in stockholders' equity. Realized gains and losses from the sale of these instruments are recorded in net income.

For assets measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (level 3), the following table summarizes the change in balances during 2011 and 2010:

	2011	2010
	(in millions)	
Balance, beginning of period	\$	\$ 6
Realized losses related to amortization of premium		(1)
Unrealized gains included in accumulated other comprehensive income		
Realized losses related to investment impairments		
Sales		(3)
Transfers into level 3		
Transfers out of level 3		(2)
Balance, end of period	\$	\$
Total losses included in net income attributable to change in unrealized losses relating to assets still held at the reporting date, reported in interest and other income, net	\$	\$

*Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis**Long-Lived Assets*

For assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, the following table summarizes the impairments included in net income for the years ended October 31, 2011 and 2010:

	Years Ended October 31,	
	2011	2010
	(in millions)	
Long-lived assets held and used	\$ 7	\$ 12
Long-lived assets held for sale	\$ 1	\$ 14

Long-lived assets held and used with a carrying amount of \$8 million were written down to their fair value of \$1 million, resulting in an impairment charge of \$7 million, which was included in net income for 2011. Long-lived assets held for sale with a carrying amount of \$4 million were written down to their fair value of \$3 million, resulting in an impairment charge of \$1 million, which was included in net income for 2011.

Long-lived assets held and used with a carrying amount of \$42 million were written down to their fair value of \$30 million, resulting in an impairment charge of \$12 million, which was included in net income for 2010. Long-lived assets held for sale with a carrying amount of \$30 million were written down to their fair value of \$16 million, resulting in an impairment charge of \$14 million, which was included in net income for 2010.

Fair values for the impaired long-lived assets were measured using level 2 inputs.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

13. DERIVATIVES

We are exposed to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations and interest rate changes in the normal course of our business. As part of risk management strategy, we use derivative instruments, primarily forward contracts, purchased options, and interest rate swaps, to hedge economic and/or accounting exposures resulting from changes in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates.

Fair Value Hedges

We are exposed to interest rate risk due to the mismatch between the interest expense we pay on our loans at fixed rates and the variable rates of interest we receive from cash, cash equivalents and other short-term investments. We have issued long-term debt in U.S. dollars at fixed interest rates based on the market conditions at the time of financing. We believe that the fair value of our fixed rate debt changes when the underlying market rates of interest change, and we may use interest rate swaps to modify such market risk. The interest rate swaps effectively change our fixed interest rate payments to U.S. dollar LIBOR-based variable interest expense to match the floating interest income from our cash, cash equivalents and other short term investments. By entering into these interest rate swaps we are also hedging the movements in the fair value of the fixed-rate debt on our balance sheet. However, not all of our fixed rate debt's fair value is hedged in this manner, and we may choose to terminate previously executed swaps. For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as fair value hedges, we recognize the gain or loss on the derivative instrument, as well as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk, in interest expense, in the consolidated statement of operations. These fair value hedges are 100 percent effective, and there is no impact on earnings due to hedge ineffectiveness. The fair value of the swaps is recorded on the consolidated balance sheet at each period end, with an offsetting entry in senior notes. As of October 31, 2011, there were 4 interest rate swap contracts designated as fair value hedges associated with our 2012 senior notes. The notional amount of these interest rate swap contracts, receive-fixed/pay-variable, was \$250 million. On November 25, 2008, we terminated two interest rate swap contracts associated with our 2017 senior notes that represented the notional amount of \$400 million. The asset value, including interest receivable, upon termination was approximately \$43 million and the amount to be amortized at October 31, 2011 was \$31 million. On June 6, 2011, we also terminated five interest rate swap contracts associated with our 2015 senior notes that represented the notional amount of \$500 million. The asset value, including interest accrual, upon termination was approximately \$31 million and the amount to be amortized at October 31, 2011 was \$24 million. On Aug 9, 2011, we terminated five interest rate swap contracts related to our 2020 senior notes that represented the notional amount of \$500 million. The asset value, including interest receivable, upon termination for these contracts was approximately \$34 million and the amount to be amortized at October 31, 2011 was \$32 million. The proceeds from all such terminated interest rate swaps are recorded as operating cash flows and the gain is being deferred and amortized over the remaining life of the respective senior notes.

Cash Flow Hedges

We enter into foreign exchange contracts to hedge our forecasted operational cash flow exposures resulting from changes in foreign currency exchange rates. These foreign exchange contracts, carried at fair value, have maturities between one and twelve months. These derivative instruments are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges under the criteria prescribed in the authoritative guidance. The changes in the value of the effective portion of the derivative instrument are recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income. Amounts associated with cash flow hedges are reclassified to cost of sales in the consolidated statement of operations when either the forecasted transaction

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

occurs or it becomes probable that the forecasted transaction will not occur. Changes in the fair value of the ineffective portion of derivative instruments are recognized in cost of sales in the consolidated statement of operations in the current period.

Other Hedges

Additionally, we enter into foreign exchange contracts to hedge monetary assets and liabilities that are denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of our subsidiaries. These foreign exchange contracts are carried at fair value and do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment and are not designated as hedging instruments. Changes in value of the derivative are recognized in other income (expense) in the consolidated statement of operations, in the current period, along with the offsetting foreign currency gain or loss on the underlying assets or liabilities.

Our use of derivative instruments exposes us to credit risk to the extent that the counterparties may be unable to meet the terms of the agreement. We do, however, seek to mitigate such risks by limiting our counterparties to major financial institutions which are selected based on their credit ratings and other factors. We have established policies and procedures for mitigating credit risk that include establishing counterparty credit limits, monitoring credit exposures, and continually assessing the creditworthiness of counterparties.

All of our derivative agreements contain threshold limits to the net liability position with counterparties and are dependent on our corporate credit rating determined by the major credit rating agencies. If our corporate credit rating were to fall below investment grade, the counterparties to the derivative instruments may request collateralization on derivative instruments in net liability positions.

The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that were in a net liability position as of October 31, 2011, was zero. The credit-risk-related contingent features underlying these agreements had not been triggered as of October 31, 2011.

There were 136 foreign exchange forward contracts and 7 foreign exchange option contracts open as of October 31, 2011 and designated as cash flow hedges. There were 207 foreign exchange forward

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

contracts open as of October 31, 2011 not designated as hedging instruments. The aggregated U.S. Dollar notional amounts by currency and designation as of October 31, 2011 were as follows:

Currency	Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships		Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments
	Forward Contracts Buy/(Sell)	Option Contracts Buy/(Sell)	Forward Contracts Buy/(Sell)
	(in millions)		
Euro	\$ (33)	\$	\$ 211
British Pound	(22)		117
Canadian Dollar	(41)		25
Australian Dollars	28		48
Malaysian Ringgit	118		33
Japanese Yen	(53)	(124)	56
Other	5		16
	\$ 2	\$ (124)	\$ 506

The gross fair values and balance sheet location of derivative instruments held in the consolidated balance sheet as of October 31, 2011 and October 31, 2010 were as follows:

Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value of Derivative Instruments		Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value of Derivative Instruments	
	Asset Derivatives	Liability Derivatives		Asset Derivatives	Liability Derivatives
	Fair Value October 31, 2011	Fair Value October 31, 2010		Fair Value October 31, 2011	Fair Value October 31, 2010
	(in millions)				
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:					
<i>Fair value hedges</i>					
Interest rate contracts					
Other current assets	\$ 3	\$	Other accrued liabilities	\$	\$
Other assets	\$	\$ 61	Other long-term liabilities	\$	\$
<i>Cash flow hedges</i>					
Foreign exchange contracts					
Other current assets	\$ 7	\$ 13	Other accrued liabilities	\$ 3	\$ 15
	\$ 10	\$ 74		\$ 3	\$ 15
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:					
Foreign exchange contracts					
Other current assets	\$ 27	\$ 11	Other accrued liabilities	\$ 8	\$ 7
Total derivatives	\$ 37	\$ 85		\$ 11	\$ 22

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The effect of derivative instruments for interest rate swap contracts and for foreign exchange contracts designated as hedging instruments and not designated as hedging instruments in our consolidated statement of operations were as follows:

	2011	2010	2009
	(in millions)		
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:			
<i>Fair Value Hedges</i>			
Gain on interest rate swap contracts, including interest accrual, recognized in interest expense	\$ 27	\$ 78	\$ 35
Loss on hedged item, recognized in interest expense	\$ (3)	\$ (57)	\$ (33)
<i>Cash Flow Hedges</i>			
Gain recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income	\$	\$ 4	\$ 1
Gain (loss) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income into cost of sales	\$ (5)	\$ 7	\$ (23)
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:			
Gain (loss) recognized in other income (expense), net	\$ 13	\$ (14)	\$ 82

The estimated net amount of existing loss at October 31, 2011 that is expected to be reclassified from other comprehensive income to the cost of sales within the next twelve months is \$3 million.

14. RESTRUCTURING COSTS, ASSET IMPAIRMENTS AND OTHER SPECIAL CHARGES

Our 2009 restructuring program, the ("FY 2009 Plan"), announced in the first half of 2009, was conceived in response to deteriorating economic conditions and was designed to deliver sufficient savings to enable our businesses to reach their profitability targets throughout the cycle. Workforce reduction payments, primarily severance, were largely complete in fiscal year 2010. Lease payments should primarily be complete by the end of fiscal 2014.

Special charges in 2009 related to inventory include estimated future payments that we are contractually obliged to make to our suppliers in connection with future inventory purchases and inventory on hand written down. In both cases, actions taken under our FY 2009 Plan, including exiting lines of business, have caused the value of this inventory to decrease below its cost.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

A summary of total restructuring activity and other special charges is shown in the table below:

	Workforce Reduction	Consolidation of Excess Facilities	Impairment of Building and Purchased Intangible Assets	Special Charges related to Inventory	Total
(in millions)					
Balance as of October 31, 2008	\$	\$	10	\$	\$ 10
Income statement expense	202	18	27	20	267
Asset impairments/inventory charges			(27)	(9)	(36)
Cash payments	(153)	(9)		(10)	(172)
Balance as of October 31, 2009	\$ 49	\$ 19	\$	\$ 1	\$ 69
Income statement expense	39	19	6		64
Asset impairments/inventory charges			(6)		(6)
Cash payments	(80)	(12)			(92)
Balance as of October 31, 2010	\$ 8	\$ 26	\$	\$ 1	\$ 35
Income statement expense	1	1			2
Asset impairments/inventory charges					
Cash payments	(9)	(12)		(1)	(22)
Balance as of October 31, 2011	\$	\$	15	\$	\$ 15

The restructuring and other special accruals for all plans, which totaled \$15 million at October 31, 2011, are recorded in other accrued liabilities and other long-term liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet. These balances reflect estimated future cash outlays.

A summary of the charges in the consolidated statement of operations resulting from all restructuring plans is shown below:

	Years Ended October 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
(in millions)			
Cost of products and services	\$	\$ 8	\$ 77
Research and development		3	35
Selling, general and administrative	2	53	155
Total restructuring, asset impairments and other special charges	\$ 2	\$ 64	\$ 267

15. RETIREMENT PLANS AND POST RETIREMENT PENSION PLANS

General. Substantially all of our employees are covered under various defined benefit and/or defined contribution retirement plans. Additionally, we sponsor post-retirement health care benefits for our eligible U.S. employees.

Agilent provides U.S. employees, who meet eligibility criteria under the Agilent Technologies, Inc. Retirement Plan ("RP"), defined benefits which are based on an employee's base or target pay during

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

the years of employment and on length of service. For eligible service through October 31, 1993, the benefit payable under the Agilent Retirement Plan is reduced by any amounts due to the eligible employee under our defined contribution Deferred Profit-Sharing Plan ("DPSP"), which was closed to new participants as of November 1993.

In addition, in the U.S., Agilent maintains the Supplemental Benefits Retirement Plan ("SBRP"), a supplemental unfunded non-qualified defined benefit plan to provide benefits that would be provided under the RP but for limitations imposed by the Internal Revenue Code. The RP and the SBRP comprise the "U.S. Plans".

As of October 31, 2011 and 2010, the fair value of plan assets of the DPSP for U.S. Agilent Employees was \$515 million and \$516 million, respectively. Note that the projected benefit obligation for the DPSP equals the fair value of plan assets.

Eligible employees outside the U.S. generally receive retirement benefits under various retirement plans based upon factors such as years of service and/or employee compensation levels. Eligibility is generally determined in accordance with local statutory requirements.

401(k) defined contribution plan. Eligible U.S. employees may participate in the Agilent Technologies, Inc. 401(k) Plan (the "401(k) Plan"). Enrollment in the 401(k) Plan is automatic for employees who meet eligibility requirements unless they decline participation. Under the 401(k) Plan, we provide matching contributions to employees up to a maximum of 4 percent of an employee's annual eligible compensation. The maximum contribution to the 401(k) Plan is 50 percent of an employee's annual eligible compensation, subject to regulatory limitations. The 401(k) Plan employer expense included in income from operations was \$24 million in 2011, \$21 million in 2010 and \$23 million in 2009.

Post-retirement medical benefit plans. In addition to receiving retirement benefits, U.S. employees who meet eligibility requirements as of their termination date may participate in the Agilent Technologies, Inc. Health Plan for Retirees. Eligible retirees who were less than age 50 as of January 1, 2005 and who retire after age 55 with 15 or more years of service are eligible for a fixed amount which can be utilized to pay for either Agilent sponsored plans and/or individual medicare plans. Eligible retirees who were at least age 50 as of January 1, 2005 and who retire after age 55 with 15 or more years of service currently choose from managed-care, indemnity options or individual medicare plans, with the company subsidization level or stipend dependent on a number of factors including eligibility and length of service. See *Plan Amendments* below for changes to these benefits.

Plan Amendments. On July 14, 2009 the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors approved design changes to Agilent's U.S. RP. Effective October 31, 2009, benefits under the previous U.S. RP. formula were frozen and all future benefit accruals for existing employees and new hires are calculated using the new formula. The new formula allocates a percentage of each month's eligible earnings to be payable as a lump sum at age 65 whereas the previous formula defined a monthly annuity payable at age 65. Due to these plan amendments, we recorded gains of \$117 million and \$15 million in accumulated other comprehensive loss in 2009 for the U.S. Plans and U.S. Post-Retirement Benefit Plans, respectively.

On April 1, 2011, changes to the Agilent Technologies, Inc. Health Plan for Retirees were approved. Effective January 1, 2012, employees who were at least age 50 as of January 1, 2005 and who retire

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

after age 55 with 15 or more years of service are eligible for fixed dollar subsidies and stipends. Grandfathered retirees receive a fixed monthly subsidy toward pre-65 premium costs (subsidy capped at 2011 levels) and a fixed monthly stipend post-65. The subsidy amounts will not increase. In connection with these changes, we reduced our Accumulated Prospective Benefit Obligation by \$194 million with the offset going to accumulated other comprehensive income.

Components of net periodic cost. The company uses alternate methods of amortization as allowed by the authoritative guidance which amortizes the actuarial gains and losses on a consistent basis for the years presented. For U.S. Plans, gains and losses are amortized over the average future working lifetime. For most Non-U.S. Plans and U.S. Post-Retirement Benefit Plans, gains and losses are amortized using a separate layer for each year's gains and losses. For the years ended October 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, components of net periodic benefit cost and other amounts recognized in other comprehensive income were comprised of:

	U.S. Plans			Pensions			U.S. Post-Retirement Benefit Plans		
	U.S. Plans		2009	Non-U.S. Plans			U.S. Post-Retirement Benefit Plans		
	2011	2010		2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
	(in millions)								
Net periodic benefit cost (benefit)									
Service cost benefits earned during the period	\$ 42	\$ 41	\$ 33	\$ 32	\$ 30	\$ 34	\$ 3	\$ 3	\$ 3
Interest cost on benefit obligation	28	27	43	72	72	73	21	26	29
Expected return on plan assets	(44)	(41)	(38)	(94)	(87)	(86)	(21)	(20)	(20)
Amortization of net actuarial loss	4	7	3	40	35	41	14	16	8
Amortization of prior service benefit	(12)	(12)	(3)	(1)	(1)	(2)	(26)	(14)	(15)
Net periodic benefit cost (benefit)	18	22	38	49	49	60	(9)	11	5
Curtailments and settlements	(1)					(3)			(13)
Total periodic benefit cost (benefit)	\$ 17	\$ 22	\$ 38	\$ 49	\$ 49	\$ 57	\$ (9)	\$ 11	\$ (8)
Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive (income) loss									
Net actuarial (gain) loss	\$ 31	\$ (25)	\$ 47	\$ 40	\$ 42	\$ 171	\$ 12	\$ (10)	\$ 125
Amortization of net actuarial loss	(4)	(7)	(3)	(40)	(35)	(41)	(14)	(16)	(8)
Prior service cost (benefit)			(114)	6			(194)		
Amortization of prior service benefit	12	12	3	1	1	2	26	14	15
Foreign currency				11	11	17			
Total recognized in other comprehensive (income) loss	\$ 39	\$ (20)	\$ (67)	\$ 18	\$ 19	\$ 149	\$ (170)	\$ (12)	\$ 132
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost (benefit) and other comprehensive (income) loss	\$ 56	\$ 2	\$ (29)	\$ 67	\$ 68	\$ 206	\$ (179)	\$ (1)	\$ 124

In 2009, as a result of reductions in workforce, we recorded a \$3 million curtailment gain and a \$13 million curtailment gain in the income statement for the Non-U.S. Plans and U.S. Post-Retirement Benefit Plans, respectively.

In 2010, due to reductions in workforce which impacted two non-U.S. plans, we recorded curtailment losses as required by authoritative guidance with no impact to the income statement.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

In 2011, due to payments exceeding the sum of service cost plus interest cost in the U.S. Supplemental Benefits Retirement Plan, we recorded a \$1 million settlement gain in the income statement as required by authoritative guidance.

Funded status. As of October 31, 2011 and 2010, the funded status of the defined benefit and post-retirement benefit plans was:

	U.S. Defined Benefit Plans		Non-U.S. Defined Benefit Plans		U.S. Post-Retirement Benefit Plans	
	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010
(in millions)						
Change in fair value of plan assets:						
Fair value beginning of year	\$ 538	\$ 482	\$ 1,598	\$ 1,475	\$ 263	\$ 251
Actual return on plan assets	37	68	35	115	18	35
Employer contributions	30	30	59	47		1
Participants' contributions			7	2		
Benefits paid	(27)	(42)	(46)	(57)	(23)	(24)
Currency impact			31	16		
Fair value end of year	\$ 578	\$ 538	\$ 1,684	\$ 1,598	\$ 258	\$ 263
Change in benefit obligation:						
Benefit obligation beginning of year	\$ 575	\$ 548	\$ 1,742	\$ 1,610	\$ 502	\$ 490
Service cost	42	41	32	30	3	4
Interest cost	28	27	72	72	21	26
Participants' contributions			7	2		
Plan amendment			6		(194)	
Actuarial (gain) loss	21	3	(20)	79	8	4
Benefits paid	(29)	(44)	(46)	(57)	(21)	(22)
Curtailments				(9)		
Currency impact			37	15		
Benefit obligation end of year	\$ 637	\$ 575	\$ 1,830	\$ 1,742	\$ 319	\$ 502
Overfunded (underfunded) status of PBO	\$ (59)	\$ (37)	\$ (146)	\$ (144)	\$ (61)	\$ (239)
Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheet consist of:						
Other assets	\$	\$	\$ 18	\$ 12	\$	\$
Employee compensation and benefits	(2)	(2)				
Retirement and post-retirement benefits	(57)	(35)	(164)	(156)	(61)	(239)
Net asset (liability)	\$ (59)	\$ (37)	\$ (146)	\$ (144)	\$ (61)	\$ (239)
Amounts Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (loss):						
Actuarial (gains) losses	\$ 66	\$ 39	\$ 524	\$ 513	\$ 188	\$ 191
Prior service costs (benefits)	(91)	(103)	(9)	(16)	(253)	(86)
Total	\$ (25)	\$ (64)	\$ 515	\$ 497	\$ (65)	\$ 105

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The amounts in accumulated other comprehensive income expected to be recognized as components of net expense during 2012 are as follows:

	U.S. Defined Benefit Plans	Non-U.S. Defined Benefit Plans	U.S. Post-Retirement Benefit Plans
	(in millions)		
Amortization of net prior service cost (benefit)	\$ (12)	\$ (1)	\$ (35)
Amortization of actuarial net loss (gain)	\$ 7	\$ 43	\$ 16

Investment policies and strategies as of October 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009. In the U.S., our Agilent Retirement Plan and post-retirement benefit target asset allocations are approximately 80 percent to equities and approximately 20 percent to fixed income investments. Our DPSP target asset allocation is approximately 60 percent to equities and approximately 40 percent to fixed income investments. Approximately, 5 percent of our U.S. equity portfolio consists of limited partnerships. The general investment objective for all our plan assets is to obtain the optimum rate of investment return on the total investment portfolio consistent with the assumption of a reasonable level of risk. The safety and protection of principal is a primary concern, and we believe that a well-diversified investment portfolio will result in the highest attainable investment return (income plus capital appreciation) with the lowest overall risk. Specific investment objectives for the plans' portfolios are to: maintain and enhance the purchasing power of the plans' assets; achieve investment returns consistent with the level of risk being taken; and earn performance rates of return in accordance with the benchmarks adopted for each asset class. Outside the U.S., our target asset allocation is from 40 to 60 percent to equities, from 40 to 60 percent to fixed income investments, and from zero to 10 percent to real estate investments, depending on the plan. All plans' assets are broadly diversified. Due to fluctuations in equity markets, our actual allocations of plan assets at October 31, 2011 and 2010 differ from the target allocation. Our policy is to bring the actual allocation in line with the target allocation.

Equity securities include exchange-traded common stock and preferred stock of companies from broadly diversified industries. Fixed income securities include corporate bonds of companies from diversified industries, government securities, mortgage-backed securities, asset-backed securities and other. Other investments include a group trust consisting primarily of private equity partnerships as well as other investments. Portions of the cash and cash equivalent, equity, and fixed income investments are held in commingled funds.

Fair Value. The measurement of the fair value of pension and post-retirement plan assets uses the valuation methodologies and the inputs as described in Note 12.

Cash and Cash Equivalents Cash and cash equivalents consist of short-term investment funds. The funds also invest in short-term domestic fixed income securities and other securities with debt-like characteristics emphasizing short-term maturities and quality. Cash and cash equivalents are classified as Level 1 investments except when the cash and cash equivalents are held in commingled funds, which have a daily net value derived from quoted prices for the underlying securities in active markets; these are classified as Level 2 investments.

Equity Some equity securities consisting of common and preferred stock are held in commingled funds, which have daily net asset values derived from quoted prices for the underlying securities in active markets; these are classified as Level 2 investments.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Fixed Income Some of the fixed income securities are held in commingled funds, which have daily net asset values derived from the underlying securities; these are classified as Level 2 investments.

Other Investments Other investments includes property based pooled vehicles which invest in real estate. Market net asset values are regularly published in the financial press or on corporate websites and so these investments are classified as Level 2. Other investments also includes partnership investments where, due to their private nature, pricing inputs are not readily observable. Asset valuations are developed by the general partners that manage the partnerships. These valuations are based on proprietary appraisals, application of public market multiples to private company cash flows, utilization of market transactions that provide valuation information for comparable companies and other methods. Holdings of limited partnerships are classified as Level 3.

The following table presents the fair value of U.S. Defined Benefit Plans assets classified under the appropriate level of the fair value hierarchy as of October 31, 2011.

	Fair Value Measurement at October 31, 2011 Using			
	October 31, 2011	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
	(in millions)			
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 28	\$	\$ 28	\$
Equity	405	141	264	
Fixed Income	119	5	114	
Other Investments	26			26
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 578	\$ 146	\$ 406	\$ 26

For U.S. Defined Benefit Plans assets measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (level 3), the following table summarizes the change in balances during 2011 and 2010:

	Years Ended October 31.	
	2011	2010
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 29	\$ 32
Realized gains	8	6
Unrealized gains/(losses)	(3)	
Purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements		
Transfers in (out)	(8)	(9)
Balance, end of year	\$ 26	\$ 29

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table presents the fair value of U.S. Post-Retirement Benefit Plans assets classified under the appropriate level of the fair value hierarchy as of October 31, 2011.

	October 31, 2011	Fair Value Measurement at October 31, 2011 Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
		(in millions)		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 14	\$ 2	\$ 12	\$
Equity	175	61	114	
Fixed Income	54	2	52	
Other Investments	15			15
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 258	\$ 65	\$ 178	\$ 15

For U.S. Post-Retirement Benefit Plans assets measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (level 3), the following table summarizes the change in balances during 2011 and 2010:

	Years Ended October 31,	
	2011	2010
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 16	\$ 19
Realized gains	5	3
Unrealized gains/(losses)	(2)	
Purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements		
Transfers in (out)	(4)	(6)
Balance, end of year	\$ 15	\$ 16

The following table presents the fair value of non-U.S. Defined Benefit Plans assets classified under the appropriate level of the fair value hierarchy as of October 31, 2011:

	October 31, 2011	Fair Value Measurement at October 31, 2011 Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
		(in millions)		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 15	\$ 6	\$ 9	\$
Equity	774	220	554	
Fixed Income	858	64	794	
Other Investments	37		37	
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 1,684	\$ 290	\$ 1,394	\$

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

For non-U.S. Defined Benefit Plans, there was no activity relating to assets measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (level 3) during fiscal year 2011 and 2010.

The table below presents the combined projected benefit obligation ("PBO"), accumulated benefit obligation ("ABO") and fair value of plan assets, grouping plans using comparisons of the PBO and ABO relative to the plan assets as of October 31, 2011 or 2010.

	2011		2010	
	Benefit Obligation PBO	Fair Value of Plan Assets	Benefit Obligation PBO	Fair Value of Plan Assets
	(in millions)			
U.S. defined benefit plans where PBO exceeds the fair value of plan assets	\$ 637	\$ 578	\$ 575	\$ 538
U.S. defined benefit plans where fair value of plan assets exceeds PBO				
Total	\$ 637	\$ 578	\$ 575	\$ 538
Non-U.S. defined benefit plans where PBO exceeds or is equal to the fair value of plan assets	\$ 1,760	\$ 1,598	\$ 1,669	\$ 1,513
Non-U.S. defined benefit plans where fair value of plan assets exceeds PBO	70	86	73	85
Total	\$ 1,830	\$ 1,684	\$ 1,742	\$ 1,598
	ABO		ABO	
U.S. defined benefit plans where ABO exceeds the fair value of plan assets	\$ 624	\$ 578	\$ 568	\$ 538
U.S. defined benefit plans where the fair value of plan assets exceeds ABO				
Total	\$ 624	\$ 578	\$ 568	\$ 538
Non-U.S. defined benefit plans where ABO exceeds or is equal to the fair value of plan assets	\$ 1,699	\$ 1,598	\$ 1,602	\$ 1,513
Non-U.S. defined benefit plans where fair value of plan assets exceeds ABO	67	86	70	85
Total	\$ 1,766	\$ 1,684	\$ 1,672	\$ 1,598

Contributions and estimated future benefit payments. During fiscal year 2012, we expect to contribute \$30 million to the U.S. defined benefit plans, \$52 million to plans outside the U.S., and zero

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

to the Post-retirement Medical Plans. The following table presents expected future benefit payments for the next 10 years.

	U.S. Defined Benefit Plans	Non-U.S. Defined Benefit Plans	U.S. Post-Retirement Benefit Plans
	(in millions)		
2012	\$ 52	\$ 47	\$ 26
2013	\$ 55	\$ 50	\$ 26
2014	\$ 55	\$ 52	\$ 26
2015	\$ 55	\$ 57	\$ 27
2016	\$ 56	\$ 62	\$ 27
2017 - 2021	\$ 241	\$ 404	\$ 130

Assumptions. The assumptions used to determine the benefit obligations and expense for our defined benefit and post-retirement benefit plans are presented in the tables below. The expected long-term return on assets below represents an estimate of long-term returns on investment portfolios consisting of a mixture of equities, fixed income and alternative investments in proportion to the asset allocations of each of our plans. We consider long-term rates of return, which are weighted based on the asset classes (both historical and forecasted) in which we expect our pension and post-retirement funds to be invested. Discount rates reflect the current rate at which pension and post-retirement obligations could be settled based on the measurement dates of the plans October 31. The U.S. discount rates at October 31, 2011 and 2010 were determined based on the results of matching expected plan benefit payments with cash flows from a hypothetically constructed bond portfolio. The U.S. discount rates at October 31, 2009 were determined by matching the expected plan benefit payments against an industry discount curve as well as reviewing the movement of industry benchmarks. The non-U.S. rates were generally based on published rates for high-quality corporate bonds. The range of assumptions that were used for the non-U.S. defined benefit plans reflects the different economic environments within various countries.

Assumptions used to calculate the net periodic cost in each year were as follows:

	For years ended October 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
U.S. defined benefit plans:			
Discount rate	5.0%	5.25%	8.5%
Average increase in compensation levels	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%
Expected long-term return on assets	8.25%	8.5%	8.5%
Non-U.S. defined benefit plans:			
Discount rate	2.0-5.25%	2.25-5.75%	2.25-6.5%
Average increase in compensation levels	2.5-3.75%	2.5-3.75%	2.5-4.0%
Expected long-term return on assets	4.0-6.75%	4.25-7.0%	4.5-7.25%
U.S. post-retirement benefits plans:			
Discount rate	5.5%	5.5%	8.5%
Expected long-term return on assets	8.25%	8.5%	8.5%
Current medical cost trend rate	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%
Ultimate medical cost trend rate	4.75%	5.0%	5.0%
Medical cost trend rate decreases to ultimate rate in year	2025	2019	2018

Table of Contents**AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Assumptions used to calculate the benefit obligation were as follows:

	As of the Years Ending October 31,	
	2011	2010
U.S. defined benefit plans:		
Discount rate	4.5%	5.0%
Average increase in compensation levels	3.5%	3.5%
Expected long-term return on assets	8.0%	8.25%
Non-U.S. defined benefit plans:		
Discount rate	2.0-5.5%	2.0-5.25%
Average increase in compensation levels	2.5-3.25%	2.5-3.75%
Expected long-term return on assets	4.0-6.5%	4.0-6.75%
U.S. post-retirement benefits plans:		
Discount rate	4.75%	5.5%
Expected long-term return on assets	8.0%	8.25%
Current medical cost trend rate	9.0%	10.0%
Ultimate medical cost trend rate	4.5%	4.75%
Medical cost trend rate decreases to ultimate rate in year	2026	2025

Due to the benefit changes discussed previously, health care trend rates do not have a significant effect on the total service and interest cost components or on the post-retirement benefit obligation amounts reported for the U.S. Post-Retirement Benefit Plan for the year ended October 31, 2011.

16. GUARANTEES*Standard Warranty*

A summary of the standard warranty accrual activity is shown in the table below. The standard warranty accrual balances are held in other accrued and other long-term liabilities.

	October 31,	
	2011	2010
	(in millions)	
Balance as of October 31, 2010 and 2009	\$ 45	\$ 28
Reserve acquired upon close of Varian acquisition		13
Accruals for warranties issued during the period	61	57
Changes in estimates	11	(4)
Settlements made during the period	(67)	(49)
Balance as of October 31, 2011 and 2010	\$ 50	\$ 45

Indemnifications to Avago

In connection with the sale of our semiconductor products business in December 2005, we agreed to indemnify Avago, its affiliates and other related parties against certain damages and expenses that it might incur in the future. The continuing indemnifications primarily cover damages and expenses relating to liabilities of the businesses that Agilent retained and did not transfer to Avago, as well as pre-closing taxes and other specified items. In our opinion, the fair value of these indemnification obligations was not material as of October 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Indemnifications to Verigy

In connection with the spin-off of Verigy, we agreed to indemnify Verigy and its affiliates against certain damages which it might incur in the future. These indemnifications primarily cover damages relating to liabilities of the businesses that Agilent did not transfer to Verigy, liabilities that might arise under limited portions of Verigy's IPO materials that relate to Agilent, and costs and expenses incurred by Agilent or Verigy to effect the IPO, arising out of the distribution of Agilent's remaining holding in Verigy ordinary shares to Agilent's stockholders, or incurred to effect the separation of the semiconductor test solutions business from Agilent to the extent incurred prior to the separation on June 1, 2006. On July 4, 2011, Verigy announced the completion by Advantest Corporation of its acquisition of Verigy. Verigy will operate as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Advantest and our indemnification obligations to Verigy should be unaffected. In our opinion, the fair value of these indemnification obligations was not material as of October 31, 2011.

Indemnifications to Hewlett-Packard

We have given multiple indemnities to Hewlett-Packard in connection with our activities prior to our spin-off from HP for the businesses that constituted Agilent prior to the spin-off. These indemnifications cover a variety of aspects of our business, including, but not limited to, employee, tax, intellectual property and environmental matters. The agreements containing these indemnifications have been previously disclosed as exhibits to our registration statement on Form S-1 filed on August 16, 1999. In our opinion, the fair value of these indemnification obligations was not material as of October 31, 2011.

Indemnifications to Varian Medical Systems and Varian Semiconductor Equipment Associates

In connection with our acquisition of Varian, we are subject to certain indemnification obligations to Varian Medical Systems (formerly Varian Associates, Inc. ("VAI")) and Varian Semiconductor Equipment Associates ("VSEA") in connection with the Instruments business as conducted by VAI prior to the Distribution (as described in Note 1 of Varian's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on November 25, 2009). These indemnification obligations cover a variety of aspects of our business, including, but not limited to, employee, tax, intellectual property, litigation and environmental matters. Certain of the agreements containing these indemnification obligations are disclosed as exhibits to Varian's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on November 25, 2009. On November 10, 2011, Applied Materials announced that it had completed the acquisition of VSEA, which is now a wholly-owned subsidiary of Applied Materials; our indemnification obligations to VSEA should be unaffected. In our opinion, the fair value of these indemnification obligations was not material as of October 31, 2011.

Indemnifications to Officers and Directors

Our corporate by-laws require that we indemnify our officers and directors, as well as those who act as directors and officers of other entities at our request, against expenses, judgments, fines, settlements and other amounts actually and reasonably incurred in connection with any proceedings arising out of their services to Agilent and such other entities, including service with respect to employee benefit plans. In addition, we have entered into separate indemnification agreements with each director and each board-appointed officer of Agilent which provide for indemnification of these directors and officers under similar circumstances and under additional circumstances. The indemnification obligations are more fully described in the by-laws and the indemnification agreements. We purchase standard insurance to cover claims or a portion of the claims made against

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

our directors and officers. Since a maximum obligation is not explicitly stated in our by-laws or in our indemnification agreements and will depend on the facts and circumstances that arise out of any future claims, the overall maximum amount of the obligations cannot be reasonably estimated. Historically, we have not made payments related to these obligations, and the fair value for these indemnification obligations was not material as of October 31, 2011.

Other Indemnifications

As is customary in our industry and as provided for in local law in the U.S. and other jurisdictions, many of our standard contracts provide remedies to our customers and others with whom we enter into contracts, such as defense, settlement, or payment of judgment for intellectual property claims related to the use of our products. From time to time, we indemnify customers, as well as our suppliers, contractors, lessors, lessees, companies that purchase our businesses or assets and others with whom we enter into contracts, against combinations of loss, expense, or liability arising from various triggering events related to the sale and the use of our products and services, the use of their goods and services, the use of facilities and state of our owned facilities, the state of the assets and businesses that we sell and other matters covered by such contracts, usually up to a specified maximum amount. In addition, from time to time we also provide protection to these parties against claims related to undiscovered liabilities, additional product liability or environmental obligations. In our experience, claims made under such indemnifications are rare and the associated estimated fair value of the liability was not material as of October 31, 2011.

In connection with the sale of several of our businesses, we have agreed to indemnify the buyers of such business, their respective affiliates and other related parties against certain damages that they might incur in the future. The continuing indemnifications primarily cover damages relating to liabilities of the businesses that Agilent retained and did not transfer to the buyers, as well as other specified items. In our opinion, the fair value of these indemnification obligations was not material as of October 31, 2011.

17. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Operating Lease Commitments: We lease certain real and personal property from unrelated third parties under non-cancelable operating leases. Future minimum lease payments under operating leases at October 31, 2011 were \$51 million for 2012, \$43 million for 2013, \$28 million for 2014, \$19 million for 2015, \$12 million for 2016 and \$12 million thereafter. Future minimum sublease income under leases at October 31, 2011 was \$7 million for 2012, \$6 million for 2013, \$5 million for 2014, \$3 million for 2015 and \$1 million thereafter. Certain leases require us to pay property taxes, insurance and routine maintenance, and include escalation clauses. Total rent expense, including charges relating to the consolidation of excess facilities was \$82 million in 2011, \$89 million in 2010 and \$95 million in 2009.

We are involved in lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings, including patent, commercial and environmental matters. There are no matters pending that we currently believe are reasonably possible of having a material impact to our business, consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

18. SHORT-TERM DEBT

Credit Facility

On October 20, 2011, we entered into a five-year credit agreement, which provides for a \$400 million unsecured credit facility that will expire on October 20, 2016. The company may use amounts borrowed under the facility for general corporate purposes. As of October 31, 2011 the company has no borrowings outstanding under the facility. The Credit Agreement replaced the Company's prior Five-Year Credit Agreement dated as of May 11, 2007, which was terminated upon the execution of the Credit Agreement. We were in compliance with the covenants for the credit facilities during the year ended October 31, 2011.

2012 Senior Notes

On September 9, 2009, the company issued an aggregate principal amount of \$250 million in senior notes maturing in 2012 ("2012 senior notes"). The 2012 senior notes were issued at 99.91% of their principal amount, bear interest at a fixed rate of 4.45% per annum, and mature on September 14, 2012. Interest is payable semi-annually on March 14th and September 14th of each year, and payments commenced on March 14, 2010.

Upon the closing of the offering of the 2012 senior notes, we entered into interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$250 million. Under the interest rate swaps, we will receive fixed-rate interest payments and will make payments based on the U.S. dollar LIBOR plus 258 basis points with respect to the 2012 senior notes. The economic effect of these swaps will be to convert the fixed-rate interest expense on the senior notes to a variable LIBOR-based interest rate. The hedging relationship qualifies for the shortcut method of assessing hedge effectiveness, and consequently we do not expect any ineffectiveness during the life of the swap and any movement in the value of the swap would be reflected in the movement in fair value of the senior notes. At October 31, 2011, the fair value of the swaps on 2012 senior notes was an asset of \$3 million, with a corresponding increase in the carrying value of senior notes.

All notes issued are unsecured and rank equally in right of payment with all of Agilent's other senior unsecured indebtedness. The company incurred issuance costs of \$2 million in connection with the 2012 senior notes. These costs were capitalized in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet and the costs are being amortized to interest expense over the term of the senior notes.

World Trade Debt

We satisfied the financing obligation of World Trade in its entirety on December 10, 2010 using the proceeds of our senior notes issued in July 2010 and existing cash on our balance sheet.

Short-Term Restricted Cash & Cash Equivalents

As of October 31, 2010, \$1,550 million was reported as short-term restricted cash and cash equivalents in our consolidated balance sheet which was held in commercial paper maintained in connection with our World Trade repurchase obligation. This restricted cash, held by one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, has been reclassified to cash and cash equivalents following the December 10, 2010 settlement of the World Trade repurchase obligation.

[Table of Contents](#)**AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****19. LONG-TERM DEBT****Senior Notes**

The following table summarizes the company's long-term senior notes and the related interest rate swaps:

	October 31, 2011			October 31, 2010		
	Amortized Principal	Swap	Total	Amortized Principal	Swap	Total
	(in millions)					
2012 Senior Notes	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
2013 Senior Notes	250		250	249	6	256
2015 Senior Notes	499	24	523	499	37	536
2017 Senior Notes	598	31	629	598	35	633
2020 Senior Notes	498	32	530	498	18	516
Total	\$	1,845	\$	87	\$	1,932
				\$	2,094	\$
					96	\$
						2,190

2012 Senior Notes

The 2012 senior notes are repayable within one year and have been reclassified to short-term debt, see Note 18, "Short-term debt".

2013 Senior Notes

In July 2010, the company issued an aggregate principal amount of \$250 million in senior notes ("2013 senior notes"). The 2013 senior notes were issued at 99.82% of their principal amount. The notes will mature on July 15, 2013, and bear interest at a fixed rate of 2.50% per annum. The interest is payable semi-annually on January 15th and July 15th of each year, payments commenced on January 15, 2011.

2015 Senior Notes

In September 2009, the company issued an aggregate principal amount of \$500 million in senior notes ("2015 senior notes"). The senior notes were issued at 99.69% of their principal amount. The notes will mature on September 14, 2015, and bear interest at a fixed rate of 5.50% per annum. The interest is payable semi-annually on March 14th and September 14th of each year, payments commenced on March 14, 2010.

On June 6, 2011, we terminated our interest rate swap contracts related to our 2015 senior notes that represented the notional amount of \$500 million. The asset value, including interest receivable, upon termination for these contracts was approximately \$31 million and the amount to be amortized at October 31, 2011 was \$24 million. The gain is being deferred and amortized to interest expense over the remaining life of the 2015 senior notes.

2017 Senior Notes

In October 2007, the company issued an aggregate principal amount of \$600 million in senior notes ("2017 senior notes"). The 2017 senior notes were issued at 99.60% of their principal amount. The notes will mature on November 1, 2017, and bear interest at a fixed rate of 6.50% per annum. The

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

interest is payable semi-annually on May 1st and November 1st of each year and payments commenced on May 1, 2008.

On November 25, 2008, we terminated two interest rate swap contracts associated with our 2017 senior notes that represented the notional amount of \$400 million. The asset value, including interest receivable, upon termination was approximately \$43 million and the amount to be amortized at October 31, 2011 was \$31 million. The gain is being deferred and amortized to interest expense over the remaining life of the 2017 senior notes.

2020 Senior Notes

In July 2010, the company issued an aggregate principal amount of \$500 million in senior notes ("2020 senior notes"). The 2020 senior notes were issued at 99.54% of their principal amount. The notes will mature on July 15, 2020, and bear interest at a fixed rate of 5.00% per annum. The interest is payable semi-annually on January 15th and July 15th of each year, payments commenced on January 15, 2011.

On August 9, 2011, we terminated our interest rate swap contracts related to our 2020 senior notes that represented the notional amount of \$500 million. The asset value, including interest receivable, upon termination for these contracts was approximately \$34 million and the amount to be amortized at October 31, 2011 was \$32 million. The gain is being deferred and amortized to interest expense over the remaining life of the 2020 senior notes.

All notes issued are unsecured and rank equally in right of payment with all of Agilent's other senior unsecured indebtedness. The company incurred issuance costs of \$5 million in connection with the 2017 senior notes, incurred \$3 million in connection with the 2015 senior notes and incurred \$5 million in connection with 2013 and 2020 senior notes. These costs were capitalized in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet and the costs are being amortized to interest expense over the term of the senior notes.

20. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Stock Repurchase Program

On November 19, 2009 our Board of Directors approved a share-repurchase program to reduce or eliminate dilution of basic outstanding shares in connection with issuances of stock under the company's equity incentive plans. The share-repurchase program does not require the company to acquire a specific number of shares and may be suspended or discontinued at any time. There is no fixed termination date for the new share-repurchase program. For the year ended October 31, 2011, we repurchased approximately 12 million shares for \$497 million. For the year ended October 31, 2010, we repurchased 13 million shares for \$411 million. All such shares and related costs are held as treasury stock and accounted for using the cost method.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Accumulated other comprehensive income

The following table summarizes the components of our accumulated other comprehensive income as of October 31, 2011 and 2010, net of tax effect:

	October 31,	
	2011	2010
	(in millions)	
Unrealized gain on equity securities, net of \$(8) of tax for 2011 and 2010	\$ (6)	\$ (2)
Foreign currency translation, net of \$(102) of tax for 2011 and 2010	452	358
Unrealized losses on defined benefit plans, net of tax of \$84 and \$88 for 2011 and 2010, respectively	(331)	(442)
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative instruments, net of tax of \$(2) and \$(1) for 2011 and 2010, respectively	1	(2)
Total accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 116	\$ (88)

21. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Description of segments. We are a measurement company, providing core bio-analytical and electronic measurement solutions to the life sciences, chemical analysis, communications and electronics industries. The three operating segments were determined based primarily on how the chief operating decision maker views and evaluates our operations. Operating results are regularly reviewed by the chief operating decision maker to make decisions about resources to be allocated to the segment and to assess its performance. Other factors, including market separation and customer specific applications, go-to-market channels, products and services and manufacturing are considered in determining the formation of these operating segments.

A description of our three reportable segments is as follows:

Our life sciences business provides application-focused solutions that include instruments, software, consumables, and services that enable customers to identify, quantify and analyze the physical and biological properties of substances and products. Key product categories in life sciences include: DNA and RNA microarrays and associated scanner, software, and reagents; microfluidics-based sample analysis systems; liquid chromatography systems, columns and components; liquid chromatography mass spectrometry systems; capillary electrophoresis systems; laboratory software and informatics systems; bio-reagents and related products; laboratory automation and robotic systems, dissolution testing; Nuclear Magnetic Resonance and Magnetic Resonance Imaging systems along with X-Ray crystallography, and services and support for the aforementioned products.

Our chemical analysis business provides application-focused solutions that include instruments, software, consumables, and services that enable customers to identify, quantify and analyze the physical and biological properties of substances and products. Key product categories in chemical analysis include: gas chromatography systems, columns and components; gas chromatography mass spectrometry systems; inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry instruments; atomic absorption instruments; inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry instruments; software and data systems; vacuum pumps and measurement technologies; services and support for our products.

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Our electronic measurement business provides electronic measurement instruments and systems, software design tools and related services that are used in the design, development, manufacture, installation, deployment and operation of electronics equipment, and microscopy products. Related services include start-up assistance, instrument productivity and application services and instrument calibration and repair. We also offer customization, consulting and optimization services throughout the customer's product lifecycle.

A significant portion of the segments' expenses arise from shared services and infrastructure that we have historically provided to the segments in order to realize economies of scale and to efficiently use resources. These expenses, collectively called corporate charges, include costs of centralized research and development, legal, accounting, real estate, insurance services, information technology services, treasury and other corporate infrastructure expenses. Charges are allocated to the segments, and the allocations have been determined on a basis that we consider to be a reasonable reflection of the utilization of services provided to or benefits received by the segments.

The following tables reflect the results of our reportable segments under our management reporting system. These results are not necessarily in conformity with U.S. GAAP. The performance of each segment is measured based on several metrics, including adjusted income from operations. These results are used, in part, by the chief operating decision maker in evaluating the performance of, and in allocating resources to, each of the segments.

The profitability of each of the segments is measured after excluding restructuring and asset impairment charges, investment gains and losses, interest income, interest expense, acquisition and integration costs, non-cash amortization and other items as noted in the reconciliations below.

	Life Sciences	Chemical Analysis	Electronic Measurement	Total Segments
	(in millions)			
Year ended October 31, 2011:				
Total segment revenue	\$ 1,792	\$ 1,518	\$ 3,316	\$ 6,626
Varian acquisition deferred revenue fair value adjustment	\$ (4)	\$ (7)		\$ (11)
Total net revenue	\$ 1,788	\$ 1,511	\$ 3,316	\$ 6,615
Income from operations	\$ 237	\$ 313	\$ 760	\$ 1,310
Depreciation expense	\$ 39	\$ 28	\$ 75	\$ 142
Share-based compensation expense	\$ 20	\$ 17	\$ 36	\$ 73
Year ended October 31, 2010:				
Total segment revenue	\$ 1,479	\$ 1,200	\$ 2,784	\$ 5,463
Varian acquisition deferred revenue fair value adjustment	\$ (15)	\$ (4)		\$ (19)
Total net revenue	\$ 1,464	\$ 1,196	\$ 2,784	\$ 5,444
Income from operations	\$ 221	\$ 279	\$ 438	\$ 938
Depreciation expense	\$ 34	\$ 24	\$ 66	\$ 124
Share-based compensation expense	\$ 17	\$ 13	\$ 34	\$ 64
Year ended October 31, 2009:				
Total net revenue	\$ 1,219	\$ 844	\$ 2,418	\$ 4,481
Income from operations	\$ 174	\$ 216	\$ 1	\$ 391
Depreciation expense	\$ 27	\$ 14	\$ 71	\$ 112
Share-based compensation expense	\$ 18	\$ 12	\$ 36	\$ 66

Table of Contents

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table reconciles reportable segments' income from operations to Agilent's total enterprise income before taxes:

	Years Ended October 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
	(in millions)		
Total reportable segments' income from operations	\$ 1,310	\$ 938	\$ 391
Restructuring related costs	(2)	(65)	(252)
Asset Impairments	(9)	(19)	(44)
Transformational programs	(51)	(39)	
Amortization of intangibles	(113)	(77)	(45)
Retirement plans net curtailment and settlement	1		16
Acquisition and integration costs	(54)	(102)	
Varian acquisition related fair value adjustments	(9)	(51)	
Other	(2)	(19)	(19)
Interest Income	14	20	29
Interest Expense	(86)	(96)	(88)
Gain on sale of network solutions division, net		132	
Other income (expense), net	33	70	19
Income before taxes, as reported	\$ 1,032	\$ 692	\$ 7

Major customers. No customer represented 10 percent or more of our total net revenue in 2011, 2010 or 2009.

The following table presents assets and capital expenditures directly managed by each segment. Unallocated assets primarily consist of cash, cash equivalents, accumulated amortization of other intangibles, the valuation allowance relating to deferred tax assets and other assets.

	Life Sciences	Chemical Analysis	Electronic Measurement	Total Segments
	(in millions)			
As of October 31, 2011:				
Assets	\$ 1,837	\$ 1,772	\$ 2,156	\$ 5,765
Capital expenditures	\$ 41	\$ 23	\$ 124	\$ 188
As of October 31, 2010:				
Assets	\$ 1,564	\$ 1,635	\$ 2,245	\$ 5,444
Capital expenditures	\$ 30	\$ 15	\$ 76	\$ 121

Table of Contents**AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table reconciles segment assets to Agilent's total assets:

	October 31,	
	2011	2010
	(in millions)	
Total reportable segments' assets	\$ 5,765	\$ 5,444
Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments	3,527	4,199
Prepaid expenses	107	118
Investments	114	135
Long-term and other receivables	221	283
Other, including valuation allowance	(677)	(483)
Total assets	\$ 9,057	\$ 9,696

The following table presents summarized information for net revenue and long-lived assets by geographic region for continuing operations. Long lived assets consist of property, plant, and equipment, long-term receivables and other long-term assets excluding intangible assets. The rest of the world primarily consists of Southeast Asia and Europe.

	United States	China	Japan	Rest of the World	Total
	(in millions)				
Net revenue:					
Year ended October 31, 2011	\$ 2,016	\$ 1,035	\$ 700	\$ 2,864	\$ 6,615
Year ended October 31, 2010	\$ 1,760	\$ 744	\$ 549	\$ 2,391	\$ 5,444
Year ended October 31, 2009	\$ 1,495	\$ 598	\$ 476	\$ 1,912	\$ 4,481

	United States	Japan	Rest of the World	Total
	(in millions)			
Long-lived assets:				
October 31, 2011	\$ 567	\$ 170	\$ 551	\$ 1,288
October 31, 2010	\$ 654	\$ 180	\$ 515	\$ 1,349

Table of Contents**QUARTERLY SUMMARY****(Unaudited)**

	Three Months Ended			
	January 31,	April 30,	July 31,	October 31,
	(in millions, except per share data)			
2011				
Net revenue	\$ 1,519	\$ 1,677	\$ 1,691	\$ 1,728
Gross profit	816	900	892	921
Income from operations	211	266	281	313
Net income	\$ 193	\$ 200	\$ 330	\$ 289
Net income per share Basic:	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.83
Net income per share Diluted:	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.92	\$ 0.82
Weighted average shares used in computing net income per share:				
Basic	347	347	348	347
Diluted	355	355	357	351
Range of stock prices on NYSE	\$ 34.38-44.45	\$ 39.94-50.68	\$ 41.29-55.33	\$ 28.67-42.78
2010				
Net revenue	\$ 1,213	\$ 1,271	\$ 1,384	\$ 1,576
Gross profit	660	711	725	834
Income from operations	94	154	115	203
Net income	\$ 79	\$ 108	\$ 205	\$ 292
Net income per share Basic:	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.84
Net income per share Diluted:	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.83
Weighted average shares used in computing net income per share:				
Basic	348	348	347	346
Diluted	354	354	352	352
Range of stock prices on NYSE	\$ 24.69-31.77	\$ 28.13-37.43	\$ 26.74-36.89	\$ 26.68-35.33

Table of Contents

Item 9. *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure*

None.

Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures*

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management has evaluated, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of October 31, 2011, pursuant to and as required by Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"). Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of October 31, 2011, the company's disclosure controls and procedures, as defined by Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act, were effective and designed to ensure that (i) information required to be disclosed in the company's reports filed under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and (ii) information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on the results of this evaluation, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of October 31, 2011.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2011 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during Agilent's last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. *Other Information*

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. *Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance*

Information regarding our directors appears under "Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors" in our Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders ("Proxy Statement"), to be held March 21, 2012. That portion of the Proxy Statement is incorporated by reference into this report. Information regarding our executive officers appears in Item 1 of this report under "Executive Officers of the Registrant." Information regarding our Audit and Finance Committee and our Audit and Finance Committee's financial expert appears under "Audit and Finance Committee Report" and "Board Structure and Compensation" in our Proxy Statement. That portion of the Proxy Statement is incorporated by reference into this report.

There were no material changes to the procedures by which security holders may recommend nominees to our Board of Directors. Information regarding our code of ethics (the company's Standards of Business Conduct) applicable to our principal executive officer, our principal financial officer, our controller and other senior financial officers appears in Item 1 of this report under "Investor Information." We will post amendments to or waivers from a provision of the Standards of Business Conduct with respect to those persons on our website at www.investor.agilent.com.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

Information about compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act appears under "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in the Proxy Statement. That portion of the Proxy Statement is incorporated by reference into this report.

Item 11. *Executive Compensation*

Information about compensation of our named executive officers appears under "Executive Compensation", "Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation" in the Proxy Statement. Information about compensation of our directors appears under "Director Compensation" and "Compensation Committee Report" and "Stock Ownership Guidelines" in the Proxy Statement. Those portions of the Proxy Statement are incorporated by reference into this report.

Item 12. *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters*

Information about security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management appears under "Common Stock Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" in the Proxy Statement. That portion of the Proxy Statement is incorporated by reference into this report.

Table of Contents**EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION**

The following table summarizes information about our equity compensation plans as of October 31, 2011. All outstanding awards relate to our common stock.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a)	Weighted-average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (b)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	16,536,243	\$ 28	54,277,743
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	16,536,243	\$ 28	54,277,743

- (1) The number of securities remaining available for future issuance in column (c) includes 33,577,991 shares of common stock authorized and available for issuance under the Agilent Technologies, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("423(b) Plan"). The number of shares authorized for issuance under the 423(b) Plan is subject to an automatic annual increase of the lesser of one percent of the outstanding common stock of Agilent or an amount determined by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors. Under the terms of the 423(b) Plan, in no event shall the aggregate number of shares issued under the Plan exceed 75 million shares. The number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights in column (a) does not include shares of common stock issued to participants in consideration of the aggregate participant contributions under the 423(b) Plan totaling \$24 million as of October 31, 2011.
- (2) We issue securities under our equity compensation plans in forms other than options, warrants or rights. On November 19, 2008 and March 11, 2009, the Board and the stockholders, respectively, approved the Agilent Technologies, Inc. 2009 Stock Plan ("2009 Plan") to replace the company's 1999 Plan and 1999 Non-Employee Director Stock Plan for awards of stock-based incentive compensation to our employees (including officers), directors and consultants. The 2009 Plan provides for the grant of awards in the form of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares and performance units with performance-based conditions to vesting or exercisability, and cash awards. The 2009 Plan has a term of ten years.
- (3) We issue securities under our equity compensation plans in forms which do not require a payment by the recipient to us at the time of exercise or vesting, including restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance units. Accordingly, the weighted-average exercise price in column (b) does not take these awards into account.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Information about certain relationships and related transactions appears under "Related Person Transaction Policy and Procedures" in the Proxy Statement. Information about director independence appears under the heading "Board Structure and Compensation Director Independence" in the Proxy Statement. Each of those portions of the Proxy Statement is incorporated by reference into this report.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Information about principal accountant fees and services as well as related pre-approval policies appears under "Fees Paid to PricewaterhouseCoopers" and "Policy on Audit and Finance Committee Preapproval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Registered Auditors" in the Proxy Statement. Those portions of the Proxy Statement are incorporated by reference into this report.

Table of Contents**PART IV****Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules**

(a)

The following documents are filed as part of this report:

1.

Financial Statements.

See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements under Item 8 on Page 63 of this report.

2.

Financial Statement Schedule.

The following additional financial statement schedule should be considered in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements. All other schedules have been omitted because the required information is either not applicable or not sufficiently material to require submission of the schedule:

SCHEDULE II

**SCHEDULE II
VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS**

Column A Description	Column B Balance at Beginning of Period	Column C Additions Charged to Costs, Expenses or Other Accounts*	Column D Deductions**	Column E Balance at End of Period
(in millions)				
2011				
Tax valuation allowance	\$ 527	\$ 3	\$ (161)	\$ 369
2010				
Tax valuation allowance	\$ 684	\$	\$ (157)	\$ 527
2009				
Tax valuation allowance	\$ 621	\$ 65	\$ (2)	\$ 684

*

Additions include current year valuation allowance build due to current year increase in net deferred tax assets, for return to provision true-ups, other adjustments, and OCI impact to deferred taxes.

**

Deductions include current year reduction in valuation allowance due to current year decrease in net deferred tax assets, for return to provision true-ups, other adjustments, and OCI impact to deferred taxes.

Table of Contents

3.

Exhibits.

Exhibits are incorporated herein by reference or are filed with this report as indicated below (numbered in accordance with Item 601 of Regulation S-K):

Exhibit Number	Description	Incorporation by Reference			Filed Herewith
		Form	Date	Exhibit Number	
2.1	Master Separation and Distribution Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and Agilent Technologies, Inc., effective as of August 12, 1999.	S-1/A	11/10/99	2.1	
2.2	General Assignment and Assumption Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and Agilent Technologies, Inc.	S-1/A	11/10/99	2.2	
2.3	Master Technology Ownership and License Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and Agilent Technologies, Inc.	S-1/A	11/10/99	2.3	
2.4	Master Patent Ownership and License Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and Agilent Technologies, Inc.	S-1/A	11/10/99	2.4	
2.5	Master Trademark Ownership and License Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and Agilent Technologies, Inc.	S-1/A	11/10/99	2.5	
2.6	ICBD Technology Ownership and License Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and Agilent Technologies, Inc.	S-1/A	11/10/99	2.6	
2.7	Employee Matters Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and Agilent Technologies, Inc.	S-1/A	11/10/99	2.7	
2.8	Tax Sharing Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and Agilent Technologies, Inc.	S-1/A	11/10/99	2.8	
2.9	Master IT Service Level Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and Agilent Technologies, Inc.	S-1/A	11/10/99	2.9	
2.10	Real Estate Matters Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and Agilent Technologies, Inc.	S-1/A	11/10/99	2.10	
2.11	Environmental Matters Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and Agilent Technologies, Inc.	S-1/A	11/10/99	2.11	
2.12	Master Confidential Disclosure Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and Agilent Technologies, Inc.	S-1/A	11/10/99	2.12	
2.13	Indemnification and Insurance Matters Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and Agilent Technologies, Inc.	S-1/A	11/10/99	2.13	
2.14	Non U.S. Plan.	S-1/A	11/10/99	2.14	

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description	Incorporation by Reference			Filed Herewith
		Form	Date	Exhibit Number	
2.15	Share Purchase Agreement, dated as of August 12, 2005, by and among Agilent Technologies, Inc. and Agilent LED International, Philips Lumileds Holding B.V. and Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V.	8-K	08/15/05	2.2	
2.16	Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of July 26, 2009, by and among Agilent Technologies, Inc., Cobalt Acquisition Corp. and Varian, Inc.	10-Q	09/04/09	2.1	
2.17	Asset Purchase Agreement, dated February 10, 2010, by and between Agilent Technologies, Inc. and JDS Uniphase Corporation (pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K, schedules to the Asset Purchase Agreement have been omitted; they will be supplementally provided to the SEC upon request)	10-Q	3/10/10	2.1	
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation.	S-1	08/16/99	3.1	
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws.	8-K	03/25/08	3.1	
4.1	Preferred Stock Rights Agreement between Agilent Technologies, Inc. and Harris Trust and Savings Bank dated as of May 12, 2000.	8-A12B/A	05/24/00	1	
4.2	Registration Rights Agreement between Agilent Technologies, Inc. and Credit Suisse First Boston Corporation, J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc. and Salomon Smith Barney, Inc, dated November 27, 2001.	8-K	11/27/01	99.3	
4.3	Indenture, dated October 24, 2007, between Agilent Technologies, Inc. and the trustee for the debt securities.	S-3ASR	10/24/07	4.01	
4.4	Form of First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 29, 2007, between Agilent Technologies, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association and Form of Global Note for Agilent Technologies, Inc. 6.50% Senior Notes due 2017.	8-K	10/26/07	4.01	
4.5	Form of Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 14, 2009, between Agilent Technologies, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association and Form of Global Note for Agilent Technologies, Inc. 4.45% Senior Notes due 2012.	8-K	09/14/09	4.01	

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description	Incorporation by Reference			Filed Herewith
		Form	Date	Exhibit Number	
4.6	Form of Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 14, 2009, between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association and Form of Global Note for Agilent Technologies, Inc. 5.50% Senior Notes due 2015.	8-K	09/14/09	4.02	
4.7	Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 20, 2010, between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association and Form of Global Note for the Company's 2.50% Senior Notes due 2013.	8-K	07/20/10	4.01	
4.8	Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 20, 2010, between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association and Form of Global Note for the Company's 5.00% Senior Notes due 2020.	8-K	07/20/10	4.02	
10.1	Agilent Technologies, Inc. 1999 Stock Plan (Amendment and Restatement Effective November 14, 2006).*	10-K	12/22/06	10.8	
10.2	Form of Award Agreement (U.S.) for grants under the Agilent Technologies, Inc. 1999 Stock Plan.*	8-K	11/12/04	10.1	
10.3	Form of Award Agreement (Non-U.S.) for grants under the Agilent Technologies, Inc. 1999 Stock Plan.*	8-K	11/12/04	10.2	
10.4	Form of Award Agreement (SAR) for grants under the Agilent Technologies, Inc. 1999 Stock Plan.*	10-K	12/21/04	10.37	
10.5	Form of Award Agreement (restricted stock) for grants under the Agilent Technologies, Inc. 1999 Stock Plan.*	10-K	12/21/04	10.39	
10.6	Agilent Technologies, Inc. 1999 Stock Plan Stock Award Agreement For Standard Awards Granted to Employees.*	10-Q	06/05/07	10.3	
10.7	Agilent Technologies, Inc. 1999 Stock Plan Stock Award Agreement Under The Long-Term Performance Program.*	10-Q	06/05/07	10.7	
10.8	Form of Amendment to the Form of Standard Long-Term Performance Program Award Agreement for awards granted under the Agilent Technologies, Inc. Stock Plan during FY07-09 and FY 08-10.*	10-K	12/19/08	10.22	
10.9	Form of Standard Long-Term Performance Program Award Agreement for awards granted under the Agilent Technologies, Inc. 1999 Stock Plan.*	10-K	12/19/08	10.23	

Edgar Filing: KRATOS DEFENSE & SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC. - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description	Incorporation by Reference			Filed Herewith
		Form	Date	Exhibit Number	
10.10	Form of Standard Stock Award Agreement for Restricted Stock Units granted under the Agilent Technologies, Inc. 1999 Stock Plan.*	10-K	12/19/08	10.24	
10.11	Form of Stock Award Agreement for awards granted to New Executives under the Agilent Technologies, Inc. 1999 Stock Plan.*	10-K	12/19/08	10.25	
10.12	Agilent Technologies, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan (Amended and Restated, effective November 1, 2008).*	10-Q	09/05/08	10.1	
10.13	Agilent Technologies, Inc. 1999 Non-Employee Director Stock Plan (Amended and Restated Effective November 14, 2007).*	10-K	12/21/07	10.23	
10.14	Form of Stock Option Agreement for grants under the Agilent Technologies, Inc. 1999 Non-Employee Director Stock Plan.*	8-K	11/12/04	10.3	
10.15	Form of Stock Option Award Agreement for grants under the Agilent Technologies, Inc. 1999 Non-Employee Director Stock Plan.*	10-Q	09/05/08	10.2	
10.16	Agilent Technologies, Inc. 2009 Stock Plan.*	DEF14A	01/27/09	Appendix A	
10.17	Form of Stock Option Award Agreement under the 2009 Stock Plan for U.S. Employees (for awards made after October 31, 2010).*	10-K	12/20/10	10.17	
10.18	Form of Stock Option Award Agreement under the 2009 Stock Plan for U.S. Employees.*	10-K	12/21/09	10.31	
10.19	Form of Stock Option Award Agreement under the 2009 Stock Plan for non-U.S. Employees (for awards made after October 31, 2010).*	10-K	12/20/10	10.19	
10.20	Form of Stock Option Award Agreement under the 2009 Stock Plan for non-U.S. Employees.*	10-K	12/21/09	10.32	
10.21	Form of Stock Award Agreement for Standard Awards granted to Employees (for awards made after October 31, 2010).*	10-K	12/20/10	10.21	
10.22	Form of Stock Award Agreement for Standard Awards granted to Employees.*	10-K	12/21/09	10.33	
10.23	Form of New Executive Stock Award Agreement under the 2009 Stock Plan.*	8-K	03/25/09	10.4	
10.24	Form of Non-Employee Director Stock Option Award Agreement under the 2009 Stock Plan.*	8-K	03/25/09	10.5	

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description	Incorporation by Reference			Filed Herewith
		Form	Date	Exhibit Number	
10.25	Form of Long-Term Performance Program Stock Award Agreement under the 2009 Stock Plan.*	10-K	12/21/09	10.36	
10.26	Agilent Technologies, Inc. Supplemental Benefit Retirement Plan (Amended and Restated Effective January 1, 2005).*	10-K	12/21/07	10.25	
10.27	Agilent Technologies, Inc. Long-Term Performance Program (Amended and Restated through November 1, 2005).*	10-Q	03/09/06	10.63	
10.28	Agilent Technologies, Inc. 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (Amended and Restated Effective November 18, 2009).*	10-K	12/21/09	10.39	
10.29	Agilent Technologies, Inc. 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan (Amended and Restated Effective January 1, 2011).*	10-K	12/20/10	10.29	
10.30	Agilent Technologies, Inc. 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan (Amended and Restated Effective October 28, 2009).*	10-K	12/21/09	10.40	
10.31	Agilent Technologies, Inc. 2010 Performance-Based Compensation Plan for Covered Employees.	10-K	12/20/10	10.31	
10.32	Agilent Technologies, Inc. Performance-Based Compensation Plan for Covered Employees (Amended and Restated December 18, 2008).*	10-K	12/19/08	10.34	
10.33	Form of Indemnification Agreement entered into by Agilent Technologies, Inc. with each of its directors and board-appointed officers.*	S-1	08/16/99	10.9	
10.34	Form of Amended and Restated Indemnification Agreement between Agilent Technologies, Inc. and Directors of the Company, Section 16 Officers and Board-elected Officers of the Company.*	8-K	04/10/08	10.1	
10.35	Form of Amended and Restated Change of Control Severance Agreement between Agilent Technologies, Inc. and the Chief Executive Officer.*	8-K	04/10/08	10.2	
10.36	Form of Amended and Restated Change of Control Severance Agreement between Agilent Technologies, Inc. and Section 16 Officers (other than the Company's Chief Executive Officer).*	8-K	04/10/08	10.3	
10.37	Form of Amended and Restated Change of Control Severance Agreement between Agilent Technologies, Inc. and specified executives of the Company.*	8-K	04/10/08	10.4	

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description	Incorporation by Reference			Filed Herewith
		Form	Date	Exhibit Number	
10.38	Form of New Section 16 Officer Change of Control Severance Agreement between Agilent Technologies, Inc. and Section 16 Officers (other than the Company's Chief Executive Officer) (for executives hired, elected or promoted after July 14, 2009).*	8-K	09/28/09	10.1	
10.39	Form of New Executive Officer Change of Control Severance Agreement between Agilent Technologies, Inc. and specified executives of the Company (for executives hired, elected or promoted after July 14, 2009).*	10-K	12/21/09	10.50	
10.40	Form of Change of Control Severance Agreement between Agilent Technologies, Inc. and certain other executive officers.*	10-K	12/21/07	10.79	
10.41	Separation Agreement and General Release between Agilent Technologies, Inc. and D. Craig Nordlund, dated as of May 28, 2009.*	10-Q	06/09/09	10.8	
10.42	Master Separation and Distribution Agreement between Agilent Technologies, Inc. and Verigy Ltd., dated as of May 31, 2006.	10-Q	06/06/06	10.66	
10.43	General Assignment and Assumption Agreement between Agilent Technologies, Inc. and Verigy Ltd., dated as of June 1, 2006.	10-Q	06/06/06	10.67	
10.44	Intellectual Property Matters Agreement between Agilent Technologies, Inc., Verigy Ltd., and Verigy (Singapore) Pte. Ltd., dated as of June 1, 2006.	10-Q	06/06/06	10.68	
10.45	Tax Sharing Agreement by and between Agilent Technologies, Inc. and Verigy Ltd., dated as of June 1, 2006.	10-Q	06/06/06	10.70	
10.46	Five-Year Credit Agreement, dated October 20, 2011, by and among Agilent Technologies, Inc., the Lenders party thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administration Agent and J.P. Morgan Europe Limited, as London Agent.	8-K	10/25/11	10.1	
10.47	Underwriting Agreement, dated October 24, 2007, by and among Agilent Technologies, Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., on behalf of the several underwriters named therein.	8-K	10/26/07	1.01	

Edgar Filing: KRATOS DEFENSE & SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC. - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description	Incorporation by Reference		Filed Herewith
		Form	Date	
10.48	Underwriting Agreement, dated September 9, 2009, by and among the Company, Barclays Capital Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, on behalf of the several underwriters named therein.	8-K	09/14/09	1.01
10.49	Underwriting Agreement, dated July 13, 2010, by and among the Company, Banc of America Securities LLC, Barclays Capital Inc. and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, on behalf of the several underwriters named therein.	8-K	07/19/10	1.01
11.1	See Note 6, "Net Income (Loss) Per Share", to our Consolidated Financial Statements on page 92.			X
12.1	Computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges.			X
14.1	See Investor Information in Item 1: Business on page 3 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.			X
21.1	Significant subsidiaries of Agilent Technologies, Inc. as of October 31, 2011.			X
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.			X
24.1	Powers of Attorney. Contained in the signature page of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.			X
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.			X
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.			X
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.			X
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.			X
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.			X
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.			X
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.			X
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.			X
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.			X

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description	Form	Incorporation by Reference		Filed Herewith
			Date	Exhibit Number	
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.				X

*
Indicates management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement.

Koh Boon Hwee

136

Table of Contents

Signature	Title	Date
<hr/> <i>/s/ DAVID M. LAWRENCE, M.D.</i> David M. Lawrence, M.D.	Director	December 16, 2011
<hr/> A. Barry Rand	Director	
<hr/> <i>/s/ TADATAKA YAMADA, M.D.</i> Tadataka Yamada, M.D.	Director	December 16, 2011