GRAFTECH INTERNATIONAL LTD Form S-1 August 06, 2018

Use these links to rapidly review the document <a href="Table of contents">Table of contents</a>
Index to financial statements

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 6, 2018

Registration No. 333-

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

## FORM S-1

REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

## GRAFTECH INTERNATIONAL LTD.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

#### Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

#### 3620

(Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code Number) 982 Keynote Circle Brooklyn Heights, OH 44131 (216) 676-2000 27-2496053 (LR S. Employ

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

 $(Address, including\ zip\ code, and\ telephone\ number, including\ area\ code, of\ registrant's\ principal\ executive\ offices)$ 

David J. Rintoul Chief Executive Officer GrafTech International Ltd. 982 Keynote Circle Brooklyn Heights, OH 44131 (216) 676-2000

 $(Name, address, including \ zip \ code, and \ telephone \ number, including \ area \ code, of \ agent \ for \ service)$ 

 $(Copies\ of\ all\ communications, including\ communications\ sent\ to\ agent\ for\ service)$ 

Sandra L. Flow, Esq. Adam Fleisher, Esq. Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP William V. Fogg, Esq. D. Scott Bennett, Esq. Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP

One Liberty Plaza New York, New York 10006 (212) 225-2000 825 Eighth Avenue New York, New York 10019 (212) 474-1000

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public: As soon as practicable after this registration statement becomes effective.

If any of the securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933 check the following box: o

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company" and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated	Accelerated	Non-accelerated filer ý	Smaller reporting
filer o	filer o	(Do not check if a	company o
		smaller reporting	
		company)	Emerging growth
			company ý

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 7(a)(2)(B) of the Securities Act.  $\circ$ 

#### CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

Title of each class of securities being registered	Amount to be registered(1)	Proposed maximum offering per unit(2)	Proposed maximum aggregate offering price(2)	Amount of registration fee
Common stock, \$0.01 par value per share	23,000,000	\$22.30	\$512,785,000	\$63,841.73

(1) Includes 3,000,000 shares of common stock that the underwriters have the option to purchase from the selling stockholder.

(2) Estimated solely for the purpose of calculating the amount of registration fee in accordance with Rule 457(c) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, based upon the average of the high and low sales prices of the registrant's common stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange on August 1, 2018.

The registrant hereby amends this registration statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this registration statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act or until the registration statement shall become effective on such date as the Securities and Exchange Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

#### **Table of Contents**

Subject to completion, dated August 6, 2018

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted.

**Preliminary Prospectus** 

## 20,000,000 shares

The selling stockholder identified in this prospectus is selling 20,000,000 shares of our common stock. We will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of shares of our common stock by the selling stockholder.

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol "EAF." On August 3, 2018, the last reported sale price of our common stock on the NYSE was \$21.04 per share.

Subject to the completion of the offering, we intend to concurrently repurchase directly from the selling stockholder approximately \$225 million of our common stock. The price per share to be paid by us will equal the price at which the underwriters will purchase the shares from the selling stockholder in the offering, net of underwriting commissions and discounts. The offering is not conditioned upon the completion of the share repurchase.

We are an "emerging growth company" as defined in Section 2(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (or the Securities Act) and are subject to reduced public company reporting requirements. See "Prospectus Summary Implications of Being an Emerging Growth Company."

Investing in our common stock involves risks. See "Risk Factors" beginning on page 23.

	Per share	Total
Public offering price	\$	\$
Underwriting discount <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	\$
Proceeds to the selling stockholder	\$	\$

(1) See "Underwriting" beginning on page 169 of the prospectus for additional information regarding total underwriting compensation.

The selling stockholder has granted the underwriters the right to purchase up to 3,000,000 additional shares of common stock at the public offering price less underwriting discounts and commissions, for 30 days after the date of this prospectus.

, 2018.

The underwriters expect to deliver the shares of common stock to investors on or about

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission (or SEC) nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

J.P. Morgan Credit Suisse

Citigroup	RBC Capital Markets	HSBC	BMO Capital Markets
The date of this prospectus is	, 2018.		

### Table of Contents

We are responsible for the information contained in this prospectus and in any related free-writing prospectus we may prepare or authorize to be delivered to you. We have not authorized anyone to give you any other information, and we take no responsibility for any other information that others may give you. We and the selling stockholder are not, and the underwriters are not, making an offer of these securities in any jurisdiction where the offer is not permitted. You should not assume that the information contained in this prospectus is accurate as of any date other than the date on the front of this prospectus.

## **Table of contents**

Market and industry data and forecasts	<u>ii</u>
<u>Trademarks</u>	<u>ii</u> ii 1 23
Prospectus summary	<u>1</u>
Risk factors	<u>23</u>
Special note regarding forward-looking statements	<u>47</u>
<u>Use of proceeds</u>	<u>50</u>
Market price of common stock	<u>51</u>
Dividend policy	<u>52</u>
Capitalization	<u>53</u>
Selected historical consolidated financial and other data	<u>54</u>
Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations	<u>59</u>
Business	<u>97</u>
<u>Industry</u>	<u>122</u>
<u>Management</u>	<u>136</u>
Executive compensation	<u>143</u>
Certain relationships and related party transactions	<u>152</u>
Principal stockholders and selling stockholder	<u>156</u>
<u>Description of capital stock</u>	<u>158</u>
Shares eligible for future sale	<u>164</u>
Material U.S. federal income tax considerations to non-U.S. holders	<u>166</u>
<u>Underwriting</u>	<u>169</u>
<u>Legal matters</u>	<u>179</u>
<u>Experts</u>	<u>179</u>
Where you can find more information	<u>180</u>
<u>Index to financial statements</u>	<u>F-1</u>
i	

#### **Table of Contents**

## Market and industry data and forecasts

Certain market and industry data included in this prospectus has been obtained from third party sources that we believe to be reliable. Market estimates are calculated by using independent industry publications, government publications and third party forecasts in conjunction with our assumptions about our markets. We have not independently verified such third party information. While we are not aware of any misstatements regarding any market, industry or similar data presented herein, such data involves risks and uncertainties and is subject to change based on various factors, including those discussed under the headings "Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" and "Risk Factors" in this prospectus.

## **Trademarks**

We own or otherwise have rights to the trademarks, service marks, copyrights and trade names, including those mentioned in this prospectus, used in conjunction with the marketing and sale of our products and services. This prospectus includes trademarks, which are protected under applicable intellectual property laws and are our property and/or the property of our subsidiaries. This prospectus may also contain trademarks, service marks, copyrights and trade names of other companies, which are the property of their respective owners. We do not intend our use or display of other companies' trademarks, service marks, copyrights or trade names to imply a relationship with, or endorsement or sponsorship of us by, any other companies. Solely for convenience, our trademarks, service marks and trade names referred to in this prospectus may appear without the ®, , or SM symbols, but such references are not intended to indicate, in any way, that we will not assert, to the fullest extent under applicable law, our rights to these trademarks, service marks and trade names.

ii

Table of Contents

## **Prospectus summary**

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this prospectus. It may not contain all the information that may be important to you. You should read the entire prospectus carefully, including the section entitled "Risk Factors" and our financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus, before making an investment decision to purchase shares of our common stock.

Unless the context suggests otherwise, references in this prospectus to "GrafTech," the "Company," "we," "us," and "our" refer to GrafTech International Ltd., a Delaware corporation, and its consolidated subsidiaries. See "Our company" below for more information. References in this prospectus to the "selling stockholder" refer to BCP IV GrafTech Holdings LP, an affiliate of Brookfield Asset Management Inc. and Brookfield Business Partners L.P., and the direct owner of GrafTech. References in this prospectus to "Brookfield" refer to Brookfield Asset Management Inc. and its affiliates. All dollar amounts in this prospectus are in U.S. dollars and are expressed in thousands unless specified otherwise. The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (or GAAP).

#### Our company

We are a leading manufacturer of high quality graphite electrode products essential to the production of electric arc furnace (or EAF) steel and other ferrous and non-ferrous metals. We believe that we have the most competitive portfolio of low-cost graphite electrode manufacturing facilities in the industry, including three of the five highest capacity facilities in the world (excluding China). We are the only large scale graphite electrode producer that is substantially vertically integrated into petroleum needle coke, the primary raw material for graphite electrode manufacturing, which is currently in limited supply. This unique position provides us with competitive advantages in product quality and cost. Founded in 1886, we have over 125 years of experience in the research and development (or R&D) of graphite and carbon-based solutions, and our intellectual property portfolio is extensive. We currently have graphite electrode manufacturing facilities in Calais, France, Pamplona, Spain, Monterrey, Mexico and St. Marys, Pennsylvania. Our customers include major steel producers and other ferrous and non-ferrous metal producers in Europe, the Middle East and Africa (or EMEA), the Americas and Asia-Pacific (or APAC), which sell their products into the automotive, construction, appliance, machinery, equipment and transportation industries. Our vision is to be the lowest cost, highest quality producer of graphite electrodes while providing the best customer service. Based on the high quality of our graphite electrodes, reliability of our petroleum needle coke supply and our excellent customer service, we believe that we are viewed as the preferred supplier to the global EAF steel producer market.

Graphite electrodes are an industrial consumable product used primarily in EAF steel production, one of the two primary methods of steel production and the steelmaking technology used by all "mini-mills." Electrodes act as conductors of electricity in the furnace, generating sufficient heat to melt scrap metal, iron ore or other raw materials used to produce steel or other metals. We estimate that, on average, the cost of graphite electrodes represents only approximately 1% to 5% of the total production cost of steel in a typical EAF, but they are essential to EAF steel production. Graphite electrodes are currently the only known commercially available products that have the high levels of electrical conductivity and the capability to sustain the high levels of heat generated in EAF steel production. As a result, EAF steel manufacturers have been willing to pay a premium for a reliable supply of high quality graphite electrodes, and, in some cases, to pass on this premium to their customers in the form of surcharges. Graphite

1

#### **Table of Contents**

electrodes are also used in steel refining in ladle furnaces and in other processes, such as the production of titanium dioxide, stainless steel, aluminum, silicon metals and other ferrous and non-ferrous metals.

Petroleum needle coke, a crystalline form of carbon derived from decant oil, is the primary raw material used in the production of graphite electrodes. We achieved substantial vertical integration with this critical raw material source through our acquisition of Seadrift Coke LP (or Seadrift) in November 2010, significantly reducing our reliance on other suppliers. The petroleum needle coke industry is highly concentrated, with what we believe to be the largest producer, Phillips 66, controlling approximately 50% of capacity. We believe Seadrift is the second largest petroleum needle coke producer in the world. We also believe that the quality of Seadrift's petroleum needle coke is superior for graphite electrode production compared to most of the petroleum needle coke available to our peers on the open market, allowing us to produce higher quality electrodes in a cost-efficient manner. Additionally, we believe that this vertical integration provides a significant cost advantage relative to our competitors in periods of tight petroleum needle coke supply, such as the current market environment. We believe this cost advantage will grow as demand for petroleum needle coke increases for use in lithium-ion batteries in electric vehicles. The demand for petroleum needle coke in lithium-ion batteries is growing rapidly, with usage going from approximately 1,000 MT in 2014 to 60,000 MT in 2017 (representing approximately 9% of 2017 petroleum needle coke demand). This rapidly growing alternative source of demand is a significant development for the petroleum needle coke industry and is contributing to the global shortage in petroleum needle coke.

According to the World Steel Association (or WSA), EAFs accounted for 45%, or 367 million metric tons (or MT), of global crude steel production (excluding China) in 2016. Between 1984 and 2011, EAF steelmaking was the fastest-growing segment of the steel sector, with production increasing at an average rate of 3.5% per year, based on WSA data. Historically, EAF steel production has grown faster than the overall steel market due to the greater resilience, more variable cost structure, lower capital intensity and more environmentally friendly nature of EAF steelmaking. This trend was partially reversed between 2011 and 2015 due to global steel production overcapacity driven largely by Chinese blast furnace (or BOF) steel production. Beginning in 2016, efforts by the Chinese government to restructure China's domestic steel industry have led to limits on Chinese BOF steel production and lower export levels. In addition, developed economies, which typically have much larger EAF steel industries, have instituted a number of trade policies in support of domestic steel producers. As a result, since 2016, the EAF steel market has rebounded strongly and resumed its long-term growth trajectory. This revival in EAF steel production has resulted in increased demand for our graphite electrodes.

At the same time, two supply-side structural changes have contributed to recent record high prices of graphite electrodes. First, ongoing consolidation and rationalization of graphite electrode production capacity have limited the ability of graphite electrode producers to meet demand. We estimate that approximately 20% of graphite electrode industry production capacity (excluding China) has been closed or repurposed since the beginning of 2014, and we believe the majority of these closures represent permanent reductions. Second, demand for petroleum needle coke has outpaced supply due to increasing demand for petroleum needle coke for lithium-ion batteries used in electric vehicles. As a result, graphite electrode prices have recently reached record high prices. Historically, between 2006 and 2016, our weighted average realized price of graphite electrodes was approximately \$4,500 per MT (on an inflation-adjusted basis using constant 2017 dollars) and fell to a historic low of approximately \$2,500 per MT in 2016. With the renewed demand for, and constrained supply of, graphite electrodes, industry spot prices have recently reached record high prices. Graphite electrode industry spot prices during the second quarter of 2018 have averaged approximately \$15,000 to \$20,000 per MT. In light of improved market conditions, the long lead time required to produce our products, our position as one of the market's largest producers

#### **Table of Contents**

and our ability, through our substantial vertical integration with Seadrift, to provide customers with a reliable long-term supply of graphite electrodes despite the market shortage of petroleum needle coke, we have implemented a new commercial strategy to sell 60% to 65% of our production capacity to our strategic customers through three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts.

GrafTech historical weighted average realized prices and signed three- to five-year weighted average contract prices for graphite electrodes

As a leading producer of graphite electrodes, we believe we are well-positioned to benefit from this industry transformation. In 2017, based on our three currently operating facilities, we had the capability, depending on product demand and mix, to manufacture approximately 167,000 MT of graphite electrodes per year. We are also in the process of an operational improvement and debottlenecking initiative and are on target to grow our production capacity at these facilities by approximately 21% to approximately 202,000 MT of production capacity by the end of 2018. If we were then to restart our currently idled St. Marys facility, our overall production capacity would increase by another approximately 14% to 230,000 MT per year. This total production capacity would be comparable to our largest competitor, which we estimate currently has a total of approximately 230,000 MT of production capacity (excluding China). We believe the total worldwide graphite electrode production capacity was approximately 800,000 MT (excluding China), with a capacity utilization of approximately 90% (excluding China), in 2017. Electrode production globally (excluding China) is focused on the manufacture of ultra-high power (or UHP) electrodes for EAFs, while the majority of Chinese production is of ladle electrodes for BOFs. The production of UHP electrodes requires an extensive proprietary manufacturing process and material science knowledge, including the use of superior needle coke blends. As a result, graphite electrode producers inside and outside of China are generally not in direct competition with each other for major product lines.

<sup>(1)</sup> Weighted average realized price for a period reflects the total revenues from sales of graphite electrodes for the period divided by the graphite electrode sales volume for that period. The weighted average realized prices in this chart are shown in constant 2017 dollars for comparability. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Key Operating Metrics."

<sup>(2)</sup> Weighted average contract price for a period reflects the volume-weighted average price for graphite electrodes to be delivered under the three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts we have entered into in 2018. All of these contracts have fixed prices and either fixed volumes (85% of the portfolio) or a specified volume range (15% of the portfolio). For those contracts with a specified volume range, weighted average contract prices are computed using the volume midpoint. The aggregate difference between the volume midpoint and the minimum and maximum volumes across our cumulative portfolio of take-or-pay contracts with specified volume ranges is approximately 5,000 MT per year in 2019-2022. See "Business Contracts and Customers."

#### **Table of Contents**

On August 15, 2015, we became an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Brookfield through a tender offer to shareholders and subsequent merger transaction. Brookfield is an experienced operator of industrial, natural resource and other tangible asset businesses. This transaction has provided us with a stable equity partner with experience in industrial sectors.

On April 23, 2018, we completed our initial public offering (or IPO) of 35,000,000 shares of our common stock held by the selling stockholder at a price of \$15.00 per share. On April 26, 2018, we closed the sale of an additional 3,097,525 shares of common stock held by the selling stockholder at a price to the public of \$15.00 per share, as a result of the partial exercise by the underwriters in our IPO of their overallotment option. We did not receive any proceeds related to the IPO. Our common stock is listed on the NYSE under the symbol "EAF."

Our executive offices are located at 982 Keynote Circle, Brooklyn Heights, Ohio 44131 and our telephone number is (216) 676-2000. Our Internet website address is www.graftech.com. Information on, or accessible through, our website is not part of this prospectus. We have included our website address only as an inactive textual reference and do not intend it to be an active link to our website.

## **Key developments**

Three major developments have repositioned GrafTech and the graphite electrode industry for long-term growth and significantly improved our financial and operating results:

the restructuring and repositioning of GrafTech;

the return of the EAF steel industry to long-term growth, leading to improved demand for graphite electrodes; and

structural changes in the graphite electrode and petroleum needle coke industries.

# We have restructured and repositioned GrafTech for a sustainable leadership position in the graphite electrode industry

Since 2012, we have executed a three-part transformation plan to improve our competitive position and allow us to better serve our customers.

We have achieved annual fixed manufacturing cost improvements and capital expenditure reductions of approximately \$190 million since 2012, while also improving the productivity of our plant network

We have strategically shifted production from our lowest to our highest production capacity facilities to increase fixed cost absorption. In 2018, we expect to produce a greater quantity of graphite electrodes from our three operating facilities in Calais, France, Pamplona, Spain and Monterrey, Mexico, than we did from our six operating facilities in 2012. As a result, we have achieved significant operating leverage at higher capacity utilizations. In our experience, high capacity manufacturing facilities can have operating costs of more than \$1,000 per MT lower than low capacity manufacturing facilities. In addition, we have streamlined fixed costs across our plant network, including a 50% headcount reduction at Seadrift since 2014 and an optimization of Seadrift's systems and manufacturing process to reduce capital expenditure requirements. As a result of these actions, by the end of 2016, we had reduced our annual fixed manufacturing costs by approximately \$80 million and our maintenance capital expenditure requirements by approximately \$45 million since 2012.

#### **Table of Contents**

By the end of 2016, we had also reduced our annual overhead expenses by approximately \$65 million since 2012 by simplifying our corporate structure from a conglomerate model to a centralized business focused exclusively on the production of graphite electrodes and petroleum needle coke. In addition, we have streamlined and combined our workforce and various administrative functions for efficiency, and eliminated R&D functions unrelated to graphite electrodes.

In addition to our fixed cost reductions, we have been able to achieve significant productivity improvements and variable cost reductions across our plants since 2014. We have improved our manufacturing processes and made strategic investments across our plant network, which have improved productivity, including improvements of approximately 20% at both our Seadrift and Monterrey plants, while also reducing our energy and raw material consumption. Our more efficient graphite electrode plants produced at record breaking levels in 2017. In 2017, the Calais and Pamplona plants exceeded previous annual record production levels by 15% and 12%, respectively, and production at the Monterrey plant was 12% higher than the highest annual production level during the past 10 years. We have achieved these production increases by exploiting latent capacity in our plants, which historically have had uneven levels of capacity across each manufacturing process step, by removing artificial constraints on cycle times and improving scheduling processes. The next stage of our operational improvement and debottlenecking initiative is a small capital program concentrated on the graphitizing stage of production at our plants, which we expect will increase our current operating capacity by approximately 21%, or 35,000 MT, by the end of 2018, allowing us to achieve further improvements in our cost structure. As a result of our prior operational improvement activities, we are able to achieve this large capacity increase with specific, highly targeted capital investments. We expect the capital investment for this initiative to be \$42 million, slightly higher than previous estimates due mainly to currency impacts. The majority of costs associated with this initiative will be expended in 2018. We believe that the optimization of our plant network will continue to drive improved fixed cost absorption and meaningfully lower variable costs.

#### We have reoriented our commercial strategy

In light of improved market conditions, the long lead time required to produce our products, our position as one of the market's largest producers and our ability, through our substantial vertical integration with Seadrift, to provide customers with a reliable long-term supply of graphite electrodes despite the market shortage of petroleum needle coke, we have implemented a new commercial strategy to sell approximately 60% to 65% of our production capacity to our strategic customers through three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts. These contracts define volumes and prices, along with price-escalation mechanisms for inflation, and include significant termination payments (typically, 50% to 70% of remaining contracted revenue) and, in certain cases, parent guarantees and collateral arrangements to manage our customer credit risk. These new commercial initiatives have led to approximately 636,000 MT, or 60% to 65% of our cumulative production capacity from 2018 to 2022, being contracted as of the date hereof. Approximately 132,000 MT of this contracted volume is for 2018. Together with sales volume committed by purchase orders, most of our 2018 production capacity is contracted or committed by purchase orders. For future years, our strategy is to retain approximately 35% to 40% of our production capacity for sales on a shorter term or spot basis. Prices in the spot market have currently reached a level three to four times higher than our historical weighted average realized price of \$4,500 per MT (on an inflation-adjusted basis using constant 2017 dollars) between 2006 and 2016. We expect the incremental volume from our operational improvement and debottlenecking initiative to be available to customers on a spot basis, further increasing our exposure to spot prices. Seadrift produces sufficient needle coke to supply 100% of the graphite electrode production that we have contracted under our new take-or-pay contracts. In the first half of 2018, the estimated cost of goods sold (excluding depreciation) for electro

#### **Table of Contents**

needle coke was approximately \$2,600 per MT. To align with our three- to five-year contract profile, we have hedged the decant oil required to produce all of the graphite electrodes sold under these contracts, providing us with substantial visibility into our future raw material costs. We intend to match the volume and term of our shorter term and spot sales with our third party needle coke purchases. As our currently operating facilities are now operating at or near full production capacity, we also have reviewed our product portfolio and restructured our sales force incentives to maximize the profitability of our product mix.

#### We are focused on being the industry's leading producer of the highest performing electrodes

The divestiture of our non-core legacy Engineered Solutions businesses in 2016 and 2017 has allowed our management team to focus on our core competency of graphite electrode production and generated approximately \$60 million in cash proceeds and release of working capital. By focusing our management's attention and R&D spending exclusively on the graphite electrode business, we have been able to meaningfully improve the quality of our graphite electrodes, repositioning ourselves as an industry quality leader and improving our relationships with strategic customers. Our focus on improving the quality of petroleum needle coke through R&D has led to our petroleum needle coke production at Seadrift now being best-in-class for use in the manufacturing of highly durable UHP electrodes. Our customers have responded favorably to the increased quality of our graphite electrodes, and we have increased our market share with leading EAF steel manufacturers as a result.

#### The EAF steel industry has strengthened, improving demand for our graphite electrodes

Historically, EAF steel production has grown faster than the overall steel market due to the greater resilience, more variable cost structure, lower capital intensity and more environmentally friendly nature of EAF steelmaking. This trend was partially reversed between 2011 and 2015 due to global steel production overcapacity driven largely by Chinese BOF steel production. Beginning in 2016, efforts by the Chinese government to eliminate excess steelmaking production capacity and improve environmental and health conditions have led to limits on Chinese BOF steel production, including the closure of over 200 million MT of its steel production capacity, based on data from S&P Global Platts and the Ministry of Commerce of the People's Republic of China. In 2017, Chinese steel exports fell by more than 30% from 2016, including 17 consecutive months of year-over-year declines, according to the National Bureau of Statistics of China. Reflecting the reduction in steelmaking production capacity, as of October 2017, Chinese steel imports had increased significantly year-over-year, including a 64% year-over-year increase in semi-finished steel billet imports. Further, developed economies, which typically have much larger EAF steel industries, have instituted a number of trade policies in support of domestic steel producers. Declining Chinese steel exports and increasing steel imports should provide additional opportunity for EAF producers outside of China to increase production, thereby increasing demand for graphite electrodes.

We estimate that in 2017, EAF steel production grew at an annual pace of at least 8% to 10% compared with 5% for steelmaking overall. We believe EAF steel producers will continue to take market share from BOF steel producers. As of 2016, according to the WSA, EAF steel production had grown to 67% of total U.S. steel production from 47% in 2000, 44% of total EMEA steel production from 33% in 2000 and 40% of total APAC (excluding China) steel production from 36% in 2000. Over the same period, global EAF production increased from 287 million MT in 2000 to 418 million MT in 2016, while non-EAF steel production (excluding China) was flat at 453 million MT in both 2000 and 2016.

#### Table of Contents

We estimate that at least 105 new EAFs, reflecting 66 million MT of new annual steelmaking production capacity, have been installed or have commenced construction in China in 2017, compared to only 52 million MT of Chinese EAF steel production in 2016. As a result of significantly increased steel production since 2000, the supply of Chinese scrap has increased substantially, providing the Chinese EAF steel manufacturing industry with local scrap feedstock that was not historically available. We believe continued Chinese government environmental actions and an increasing domestic scrap supply will support the ongoing global shift towards EAF steelmaking. Assuming completion of new EAF construction and full EAF capacity utilization, we estimate total graphite electrode demand in China could increase in 2018 by over 100,000 MT from 2017.

# The recent restructuring of the graphite electrode industry and changes in the petroleum needle coke industry have reduced supply as demand is recovering

Significant amounts of graphite electrode industry production capacity have recently been removed from the market globally. We estimate that approximately 20% of industry production capacity (excluding China) has been closed or repurposed since the beginning of 2014. Some of these closed manufacturing facilities have sold off equipment, been demolished, undertaken long-term environmental remediation or been repurposed for other manufacturing uses. Accordingly, we believe the majority of these closures represent permanent reductions. As part of this overall industry rationalization, we permanently shut down two plants and temporarily idled our St. Marys plant, reducing our electrode manufacturing from six operating facilities in 2012 to three operating facilities in 2017. Also, in October 2017, the third largest graphite electrode producer acquired the second largest producer.

Further affecting the availability of graphite electrodes, supplies of petroleum needle coke and coal tar (or pitch) needle coke, a less favorable substitute for petroleum needle coke, have been limited starting in the second half of 2017. Demand for petroleum needle coke has outpaced supply due to increasing demand for petroleum needle coke in the production of lithium-ion batteries used in electric vehicles. Supply of pitch for pitch needle coke production has fallen as a result of decreasing coke production for the BOF steel industry. These graphite electrode supply constraints have coincided with the recovery in EAF demand for graphite electrodes, resulting in stronger market conditions for our products.

#### Table of Contents

The table below summarizes these key changes in the industry.

#### 2011 - 2015

2017

## **EAF Steel Industry**

Electrode Demand

EAF steel production declined approximately 10% from 2011 to 2015 after growing faster than the overall steel market for more than 25 years.

EAFs regained market share and resumed faster growth than the overall steel market.

## **Graphite Electrodes** Electrode Supply

China net exports of BOF steel displaced EAF production worldwide. in demand and production capacity China steel exports are down more than 30% in 2017 from 2016 and are continuing to fall, according to the National Bureau of Statistics of China.

Oversupply driven by historic trough additions.

We estimate global production capacity (excluding China) was approximately 1,000,000 MT at 30 plants in 2013.

We estimate that approximately 20% of graphite electrode production capacity (excluding China) has been closed or repurposed since the beginning of 2014.

We estimate current global graphite electrode production capacity (excluding China) is 800,000 MT at

## Petroleum Needle Coke

Electrode Supply

Excess production capacity and cost disadvantage versus pitch needle coke.

Tight supply due to new demand from lithium-ion batteries for electric vehicles and improving graphite electrode demand.

Reduced demand from graphite electrodes.

Increased demand has led to pricing increases of four to six times for petroleum needle coke in the current market compared to one year ago.

During the most recent demand trough, the combination of decreased demand from the EAF steel industry and overcapacity in the graphite electrode industry had an adverse effect on the profitability of our operations, including a net loss of \$235.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. We also experienced a net loss from continuing operations of \$108.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. However, as a result of the recent developments in the industry summarized above, we expect to experience significant improvement in our 2018 financial results relative to these prior results. We also expect a high degree of stability in our future operating results due to our recent three- to five-year contracting initiative. We have entered into three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts to sell approximately 132,406, 138,446, 134,831, 117,600 and 112,883 MT in 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021 and 2022, respectively.

Industry spot prices during the second quarter of 2018 have averaged approximately \$15,000 to \$20,000 per MT. However, as a result of our recent three- to five-year contracting initiative and other sales commitments, most of our 2018 production capacity is now contracted or committed by purchase orders.

We expect the results of our operational improvement and debottlenecking initiative to increase our production capacity by approximately 21% beginning in the fourth quarter of 2018. We expect the majority

8

#### Table of Contents

of the incremental volume from our capacity expansion to be available for sale to customers on a spot basis going forward.

We expect to experience higher input raw material purchase costs in 2018, which will be reflected in cost of goods sold in future quarters.

#### **Competitive strengths**

We are one of the two largest producers of graphite electrodes outside of China, accounting for approximately 21% of global production capacity (excluding China), and we believe our strategically positioned global footprint provides us with competitive advantages

We believe our facilities are among the most strategically located and lowest cost large-scale graphite electrode manufacturing plants in the world. Of the 21 graphite electrode manufacturing facilities currently operating outside of China, we estimate that our three operating manufacturing facilities represent approximately 21% of estimated production capacity for graphite electrodes, making us a critical supplier to global EAF steel manufacturers. Our manufacturing facilities are located in the Americas and EMEA, providing us with access to low-cost and reliable energy sources, logistical and freight advantages in sourcing raw materials and shipping our graphite electrodes to our customers compared to our competitors, and excellent visibility into the large North American and European EAF steelmaking markets. Our experience in producing graphite electrodes for a varied global customer base positions us to meet customer requirements across a range of product types and quality levels, including support and technical services, further distinguishing us from our competitors.

# We are a pure-play provider of an essential consumable for EAF steel producers, the fastest-growing sector of the steel industry

We estimate that EAF steelmaking grew at an annual pace of at least 8% to 10% in 2017, compared with 5% for steelmaking overall. As a result of the increasing global availability of steel scrap and the more resilient, high-variable cost and environmentally friendly EAF model, we expect EAF producers to continue to grow at a faster rate than BOF producers globally. Additionally, EAF producers are increasingly able to utilize higher quality scrap and iron units, their two primary raw materials, to produce higher quality steel grades and capture market share from BOF producers, while maintaining a favorable cost structure. According to the WSA, in EMEA and the Americas, which together made up 92% of our 2017 net sales, EAF producers have increased market share from approximately 37% in 2000 to 48% in 2016, reflecting growth from 190 million MT to 237 million MT. In APAC, which made up approximately 9% of our 2017 net sales, government initiatives in China are expected to result in a greater use of the EAF method in steelmaking despite the historical dominance of BOF producers. These initiatives are the result of efforts to eliminate excess steelmaking production capacity and to improve environmental conditions. The EAF method produces approximately 25% of the carbon dioxide (or CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions of a BOF facility and does not require the smelting of virgin iron ore or the burning of coal. Additionally, as a result of significantly increased steel production in China since 2000, the supply of Chinese scrap is expected to increase substantially, which may result in lower scrap prices and provide the Chinese steel manufacturing industry with local scrap feedstock that was not historically available. We believe these trends will allow EAF steel producers to increase their market share and grow at a faster rate than BOF steel producers, resulting in increasing demand for graphite electrodes.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### We have capital-efficient growth opportunities available to us

The graphite electrode industry responded to oversupplied markets from 2011 to 2015 with production capacity rationalization and consolidation, and after the normalization of the market for EAF steel in 2017, we expect the resulting graphite electrode supply deficit could last for some time. Additionally, we believe the lead time from initial permitting to full production of a greenfield graphite electrode manufacturing facility would be approximately five to ten years and cost approximately \$10,000 per MT. Similarly, brownfield development is complicated by significant capital costs and space and process constraints. Only one new greenfield graphite electrode facility outside of China has been built since the 1980s and only one significant brownfield expansion has occurred, reflecting the historical difficulty of adding further graphite electrode production capacity. As a result of this long and uncertain time horizon to build new plants, we believe only a few companies have the necessary technology and expertise to meet the rising demand for graphite electrodes.

Our current facilities are modern, strategically located and well-maintained, providing us with ample operational optimization capabilities. We are in the process of expanding our current production capacity of 167,000 MT by approximately 21%, or 35,000 MT, by the end of 2018 through strategic capital investments and operational improvements in baking cycles and the graphitization process. We estimate that the capital cost to achieve this production capacity expansion is approximately \$42 million. As a result of our prior operational improvement activities, we are able to achieve this large capacity increase with specific, highly targeted capital investments. We expect these expansions to provide additional fixed cost absorption and drive further efficiencies of scale across our manufacturing base. We also can increase production by resuming production at our currently idled St. Marys facility, depending on market conditions, which would add 28,000 MT, or an increase of approximately 14%, to our expected production capacity at the end of 2018. We believe that resuming production at our St. Marys facility, which we believe is cost-competitive with facilities currently operated by our competitors, would cost approximately \$5 million to \$11 million in capital expenditures and start-up staffing requirements, depending on our targeted production capacity.

# We believe we have the industry's most efficient production platform of high production capacity assets with substantial vertical integration

Based on our experience, high capacity manufacturing facilities can have operating costs of more than \$1,000 per MT lower than low capacity manufacturing facilities. Our recent restructuring activities have included the closures of our lower capacity manufacturing facilities in South Africa and Brazil and the idling of our St. Marys facility, which together accounted for approximately 35% of our previous production capacity. Our restructuring actions have eliminated approximately \$125 million of annual fixed manufacturing costs and maintenance capital expenditure requirements since 2012. These actions allow us to run our Calais, Pamplona and Monterrey plants at or near 100% capacity utilization. Since 2014, we have also improved our manufacturing processes and made strategic investments across our plant network, which have improved productivity while also reducing our energy and raw material consumption. Following our footprint optimization, we expect to produce a greater quantity of graphite electrodes in 2018 from our three operating facilities than we did from our six operating facilities in 2012. In 2017, the Calais and Pamplona plants exceeded previous annual record production levels by 15% and 12%, respectively, and production at the Monterrey plant was 12% higher than the highest annual production level during the past 10 years. We believe that the optimization of our plant network will continue to drive improved fixed cost absorption and meaningfully lower variable costs.

#### **Table of Contents**

Moreover, our Seadrift, Calais, Pamplona, Monterrey and St. Marys facilities each provide unique advantages for us. On average, petroleum needle coke represents 25% to 45% of our graphite electrode manufacturing costs, with labor representing only 5% to 10%. Seadrift provides a substantial portion of our petroleum needle coke supply needs internally and at a competitive cost and allows us to maximize capacity utilization more efficiently than competitors, who may be more constrained by petroleum needle coke supply. Seadrift is one of only five petroleum needle coke facilities in the world, excluding a small facility in China, and we believe it is the second largest petroleum needle coke producer in the world. We also believe that Calais, Pamplona and Monterrey are three of the five highest capacity graphite electrode facilities in the world (excluding China), allowing for significant operating leverage. We believe our facilities have significant cost advantages given their scale and access to low cost, reliable energy sources. While much of the production capacity rationalized during the downturn was permanently shut down, we temporarily idled our St. Marys facility and retain the option to restart it. We believe that our St. Marys facility could be cost-competitive with facilities currently operated by our competitors, and we continue to monitor petroleum needle coke availability to assess restarting the plant.

## We are the only petroleum needle coke producer in the world specifically focused on the production of graphite electrodes

Our production of petroleum needle coke specifically for graphite electrodes provides us the opportunity to produce super premium petroleum needle coke of the highest quality and allows us to tailor graphite electrodes for customer requirements. Seadrift has 140,000 MT of petroleum needle coke production capacity, which we believe makes it the second largest petroleum needle coke producer in the world. We believe that no petroleum needle coke production capacity has been added outside of China for at least 10 years, given high capital costs and technological barriers. Additionally, the growing petroleum needle coke demand from manufacturers of lithium-ion batteries for electric vehicles has created a shortage of petroleum needle coke available to graphite electrode manufacturers. Sourcing the majority of our petroleum needle coke internally allows us to offer our customers certainty of supply, further enhancing our competitive position and supporting our new three- to five-year, take-or-pay contracts strategy. To align with our three- to five-year contract profile, we have hedged the decant oil required to produce all of the graphite electrodes sold under these contracts, providing us with substantial visibility into our future raw material costs. We believe our use of petroleum needle coke is a further competitive advantage, as the use of pitch needle coke, an alternative raw material, results in longer bake times during graphite electrode production, significantly affecting graphite electrode production rates and cost. Finally, the decline in the price of oil and increase in the price of coal tar pitch in recent years has further improved the competitive advantage of using petroleum needle coke relative to pitch needle coke.

#### Our graphite electrodes and petroleum needle coke are among the highest quality in the industry

After the divestiture of our non-core legacy Engineered Solutions businesses in 2016 and 2017, we focused on our core competency of graphite electrode production and generated approximately \$60 million in cash proceeds and release of working capital from these divestitures. Our restructured and simplified business model has reduced our annual overhead expenses by approximately \$65 million since 2012, allowing us to redeploy the savings into our graphite electrode business. We have identified and implemented mechanical and chemical improvements to our electrodes, invested in the capability to produce super premium petroleum needle coke needed for high-margin UHP graphite electrodes, and optimized our production of pins at our Monterrey plant, which are a critical component used to connect and fasten graphite electrodes together in a furnace. By producing pins at our Monterrey plant, we are able to realize meaningful

#### **Table of Contents**

fixed-cost synergies with our graphite electrode production on site. As a result, we believe the quality and the consistency of our electrodes is unrivaled in North America and EMEA and on par with that of any producer globally. We have seen customer satisfaction rise to ten-year highs at a time when the industry has been focused on production capacity rationalization rather than quality. We believe the durability and infrequent breakage of our graphite electrodes create operating efficiencies and value opportunities for our customers. We also believe we have a competitive advantage in offering customers our ArchiTech Furnace Productivity System (or ArchiTech), which we believe is the most advanced support and technical service platform in the graphite electrode industry. ArchiTech, which has been installed in 145 customer furnaces, enables our engineers to work with our customers seamlessly to maximize the performance of their furnaces and provide real-time diagnostics and troubleshooting. We believe our customers value our high quality products and customer service, and have provided us with opportunities to expand our business with them as a result.

# Our experienced executive leadership and general managers and flexible workforce have positioned us for future earnings growth

Our seasoned leadership is committed to earnings growth. We have undertaken strategic investments to increase our production capacity in a capital-efficient manner while reducing our cost position. Our executive and manufacturing leadership have led manufacturing companies through many cycles and are focused on positioning us for profitable growth in any environment. We expect to grow our production capacity by approximately 21%, or 35,000 MT, in 2018 as a result of our operational improvement and debottlenecking initiative and a further 14%, or 28,000 MT, if we restart production at our currently idled St. Marys facility.

Additionally, since our acquisition by Brookfield, we have reorganized our manufacturing facilities as profit centers. We use LEAN manufacturing techniques, which focus on the constant elimination of waste from the manufacturing process. We also rely on Six Sigma methods, a set of management techniques intended to improve quality by significantly reducing the probability that an error or defect will occur. We believe the LEAN and Six Sigma initiatives have increased overall utilization by optimizing our plant production capacity and controlled costs while also improving quality. We also redesigned general manager incentive plans to reward efficiency gains. Similarly, our labor force is incentivized to drive efficiencies through country-specific labor incentive plans. Further, we believe our positive relations with our labor force allow for increased flexibility.

### **Business strategies**

#### Implement our new commercial strategy

We believe our customers value certainty of supply of high quality graphite electrodes due to their mission-critical nature in the EAF steelmaking process and relatively low cost compared to the total cost of steelmaking. In light of improved market conditions, the long lead time required to produce our products, our position as one of the market's largest producers and our ability, through our substantial vertical integration with Seadrift, to provide customers with a reliable long-term supply of graphite electrodes despite the market shortage of petroleum needle coke, we have implemented a new commercial strategy to sell 60% to 65% of our production capacity to our strategic customers through three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts. In the new supply-constrained market environment, we have secured minimum sales volume under three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts for approximately 636,000 MT, or approximately 60% to 65% of our cumulative production capacity from 2018 through 2022. 13% of these contracts are

#### **Table of Contents**

three- and four-year contracts and 87% are five-year contracts. Furthermore, many of our customers have sought to purchase greater volumes from us than they have historically because of our reliable source of petroleum needle coke and the high quality of our graphite electrodes. This new commercial strategy reflects a shift from our historic approach to sales, which were negotiated annually and on a non-binding basis.

# Grow production capacity through capital-efficient operational improvements and the restart of our St. Marys facility

We believe our well-maintained facilities provide us with opportunities to improve our production capacity by approximately 21% from current production capacity levels with relatively low capital investments. We have improved our manufacturing processes and made strategic investments across our plant network, which have improved productivity, including improvements of approximately 20% at both our Seadrift and Monterrey facilities, while also reducing our energy and raw material consumption. We have achieved these production increases by exploiting latent capacity in our plants, which historically have had uneven levels of capacity across each manufacturing process step, by removing artificial constraints on cycle times and improving scheduling processes. These improvements have had the additional advantage of reducing the capital expenditures required to achieve further production capacity increases through debottlenecking. We plan to invest approximately \$42 million to optimize our bake schedules and graphitization processes as part of our operational improvement and debottlenecking initiative. We expect these upgrades at our three operational facilities to include:

Calais: adding graphitizing furnaces and increasing graphitizing production capacity are expected to increase annual production capacity from 46,000 MT to 65,000 MT.

Pamplona: optimizing graphitization cycles, adding a new extrusion press to unlock graphitizing production capacity and adding a new impregnation facility are expected to increase annual production capacity from 66,000 MT to 76,000 MT.

Monterrey: adding a new bake car, bigger furnace, second crane and additional longitudinal furnaces are expected to increase annual production capacity from 55,000 MT to 61,000 MT.

As a result of our prior operational improvement activities, we are able to achieve this large capacity increase with specific, highly targeted capital investments. We also continue to evaluate restarting production at our St. Marys facility. Restarting our St. Marys facility would provide an additional 28,000 MT of production capacity, or an incremental 14%. Our St. Marys facility has access to low-cost natural gas and electricity, providing what we believe to be a significant cost advantage relative to our competitors. Additionally, its greater proximity to U.S. EAF and non-ferrous metals producers provides it with a further freight cost advantage.

#### Utilize our production efficiency program to support our focus on cost efficiency

As part of our corporate restructuring, we have reduced corporate overhead expenses by approximately \$65 million, or approximately 60%, from 2012 levels through a strategic realignment of our corporate structure and the elimination of the legacy Engineered Solutions R&D expenses and overhead. We temporarily idled our St. Marys facility and reconfigured our production footprint by closing our Brazil and South Africa manufacturing facilities to drive higher capacity utilizations at our three largest, most strategically located and lowest-cost manufacturing facilities. Additionally, we continue to optimize our

#### **Table of Contents**

capital investment opportunities through rigorous quantitative analysis and deploy simultaneous work process improvements at our manufacturing facilities through LEAN and Six Sigma techniques.

#### Continue to be a reliable, preferred supplier for mission-critical graphite electrodes

We believe that improvements in overall quality create significant operating efficiencies and value opportunities for our customers, and provide us with the opportunity to increase sales volumes and market share. We continue to work closely with key customers to enhance the durability of our graphite electrodes, reducing the frequency of graphite electrode breaks and enhancing the usable life of our graphite electrodes, to make us their preferred supplier. We will continue to use our petroleum needle coke facility to help secure customer orders of mission-critical graphite electrodes. We believe that at a time of supply uncertainty for many competitors, we will continue to see high demand from our customers.

### Maintain balance sheet discipline and strong liquidity to provide strategic flexibility

We plan to maintain a solid balance sheet in order to provide flexibility to grow and invest in our business in all market environments. As of June 30, 2018, we had approximately \$2,210.0 million of indebtedness outstanding and total liquidity of approximately \$409.6 million, consisting of \$243.5 million available for borrowing under the 2018 Revolving Credit Facility (taking into account approximately \$6.5 million of outstanding letters of credit issued thereunder) and cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$166.1 million.

#### Risk factors

Our business is subject to numerous risks. See "Risk Factors" beginning on page 23. In particular, our business may be adversely affected by, among other factors:

our history of net losses and the possibility that we may not achieve or maintain profitability in the future;

our inability to implement our business strategies, including our initiative to secure and maintain long-term, take-or-pay customer contracts, in an effective manner;

the fact that pricing for graphite electrodes has historically been cyclical and, in the future, the price of graphite electrodes will likely decline from recent record highs;

the sensitivity of our business and operating results to economic conditions;

our dependence on the global steel industry generally and the EAF steel industry in particular;

the possibility that global graphite electrode overcapacity may adversely affect graphite electrode prices;

the competitiveness of the graphite electrode industry;

our dependence on the supply of petroleum needle coke;

our dependence on supplies of raw materials (in addition to petroleum needle coke) and energy; and

the legal, economic, social and political risks associated with our substantial operations in multiple countries.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Implications of being an emerging growth company

We qualify as an "emerging growth company" as defined in Section 2(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (or the Securities Act), as modified by the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012 (or the JOBS Act). As an emerging growth company, we may take advantage of specified reduced disclosure and other requirements that are otherwise applicable generally to public companies, which are not emerging growth companies.

We may take advantage of these exemptions until such time that we are no longer an emerging growth company. We will remain an "emerging growth company" until the earliest of (1) the last day of the fiscal year following the fifth anniversary of the completion of our IPO, (2) the last day of the fiscal year in which we have total annual gross revenue of at least \$1.07 billion, (3) the date on which we are deemed to be a large accelerated filer under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (or the Exchange Act), which means the market value of our common stock that is held by non-affiliates exceeds \$700.0 million as of the prior June 30, and (4) the date on which we have issued more than \$1.0 billion in non-convertible debt during the prior three-year period. We have taken advantage of reduced disclosure regarding executive compensation arrangements in this prospectus, and we may choose to take advantage of some but not all of these reduced disclosure obligations in future filings. If we do, the information that we provide to stockholders may be different than you might get from other public companies in which you hold stock.

Under the JOBS Act, emerging growth companies can also delay adopting new or revised accounting standards until such time as those standards apply to private companies. We have irrevocably elected not to avail ourselves of this exemption from new or revised accounting standards and, therefore, will be subject to the same new or revised accounting standards as other public companies that are not emerging growth companies.

### **Share Repurchase**

Subject to the completion of the offering, we intend to concurrently repurchase directly from the selling stockholder approximately \$225 million of our common stock. The price per share to be paid by us will equal the price at which the underwriters will purchase the shares from the selling stockholder in the offering, net of underwriting commissions and discounts. We refer to this transaction as the "share repurchase." At an assumed purchase price of \$21.04 per share, the last reported sale price of our common stock on the NYSE on August 3, 2018, the number of shares repurchased would be 10,693,916. The closing of the share repurchase will be conditioned on the closing of the offering and therefore there can be no assurance that the share repurchase will be completed. The offering will not be conditioned upon the completion of the share repurchase.

The terms and conditions of the share repurchase were reviewed and approved by the audit committee of our board of directors, which is comprised solely of independent directors. We expect to fund the share repurchase primarily with cash on hand; we do not intend to incur indebtedness to fund the share repurchase. Any shares of our common stock that we will repurchase in the share repurchase will be retired.

The description of, and the other information in this prospectus regarding, the share repurchase are included in this prospectus for informational purposes only. Nothing in this prospectus should be construed as an offer to sell, or the solicitation of an offer to buy, any of our common stock subject to the share repurchase.

#### Table of Contents

## The offering

Common stock offered by the selling stockholder

20,000,000 shares, assuming no exercise by the underwriters of their options to purchase an additional 3,000,000 shares of common stock from the selling stockholder.

Concurrent share repurchase

Subject to the completion of the offering, we intend to concurrently repurchase directly from the selling stockholder approximately \$225 million of our common stock. The price per share to be paid by us will equal the price at which the underwriters will purchase the shares from the selling stockholder in the offering. The closing of the share repurchase will be conditioned on the closing of the offering. The offering is not conditioned upon the completion of the share repurchase. The terms and conditions of the share repurchase were reviewed and approved by the audit committee of our board of directors, which is comprised solely of independent directors.

Common stock to be issued and outstanding after this offering

302,225,923 shares (or 291,532,007 shares after giving effect to the retirement of the shares in connection with the concurrent share repurchase).

Use of proceeds

We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of our common stock by the selling stockholder named in this prospectus.

Dividend policy

We currently pay a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.085 per share, or an aggregate of \$0.34 per share on an annualized basis. See "Dividend Policy."

We cannot assure you, however, that we will pay dividends in the future in these amounts or at all. Our board of directors may change the timing and amount of any future dividend payments or eliminate the payment of future dividends in its sole discretion, without any prior notice to our stockholders. Our ability to pay dividends will depend upon many factors, including our financial position and liquidity, results of operations, legal requirements, restrictions that may be imposed by the terms of our current and future credit facilities and other debt obligations and other factors deemed relevant by our board of directors. For further discussion of the factors that may affect our business and our ability to pay dividends, see "Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business and Industry" and "Risk Factors Risks Related to our Common Stock We may not pay cash dividends on our common stock."

16

#### Table of Contents

Risk factors

Please read the section entitled "Risk Factors" beginning on page 23 for a discussion of some of the factors you should carefully consider before deciding to invest in our common stock.

NYSE listing and symbol

Our common stock is listed on the NYSE under the symbol "EAF."

The number of shares of common stock to be issued and outstanding after the completion of this offering is based on 302,225,923 shares of common stock issued and outstanding as of August 3, 2018, or 291,532,007 shares of common stock after giving effect to the retirement of 10,693,916 shares in connection with the concurrent share repurchase (assuming a purchase price of \$21.04 per share, which was the last reported sale price on the NYSE on August 3, 2018), and excludes an additional 15,000,000 shares reserved for future issuance under our Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan.

Except as otherwise indicated, all information in this prospectus assumes no exercise by the underwriters of their option to purchase up to an additional 3,000,000 shares of common stock from the selling stockholder.

17

Table of Contents

## Summary historical consolidated financial and other data

The following tables present selected consolidated financial information of the Company. You should read these tables along with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," "Business" and our audited and unaudited consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The summary consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 (January 1, 2015 to August 14, 2015, Predecessor Period, and August 15, 2015 to December 31, 2015, Successor Period) and the summary consolidated balance sheet data at December 31, 2017 and 2016 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. The summary consolidated statement of operations data for the six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 and the summary consolidated balance sheet data at June 30, 2018 have been derived from our unaudited financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. Our historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in the future.

As a result of business combination accounting resulting from our acquisition by Brookfield (see Note 2, Preferred Share Issuance and Merger, of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this prospectus), our financial statements are separated into two distinct periods, the period before the consummation of our acquisition by Brookfield (labeled "Predecessor") and the period after that date (labeled "Successor"), to indicate the application of the different basis of accounting between the periods presented. There were no operational activities that changed as a result of our acquisition by Brookfield.

## Table of Contents

						Successor	Predecessor
		six mo	For the nths ended June 30,		e year ended ecember 31,I	For the period August 15 through December 31,	For the period January 1 through August 14,
		2018	2017	2017	2016	2015	2015
				(in thou	sands, except	t share and pe	r share data)
Statement of Operations Data:				, i	, 1	•	ĺ
Net sales	\$	908,231 \$	221,053	\$ 550,771	\$ 437,963	\$ 193,133	\$ 339,907
Income (loss) from continuing operations		423,810	(35,611)	14,212	(108,869)	(28,625)	(101,970)
Net income (loss)		425,121	(43,727)	7,983	(235,843)	(33,551)	(120,649)
Basic income (loss) per common share(a):							
Income (loss) from continuing operations per share	\$	1.40 \$	6 (0.12)	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.36)	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.74)
Weighted average common shares outstanding	30	2,225,923 3	302,225,923	302,225,923	302,225,923	302,225,923	137,152,430
Balance Sheet Data (at period end):				<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>		
Total assets	\$				\$ 1,172,276		
Other long-term obligations(b)		71,006	91,177	68,907	82,148	94,318	
Total long-term debt		2,103,628	363,975	322,900	356,580	362,455	
Other Financial Data:							
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	377,765 \$	3,473	\$ 36,573	\$ 22,815	\$ 23,115	\$ 28,323
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities		(27,984)	(10,289)	(2,199)	(10,471)	(17,484)	(39,918)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities		(195,822)	7,073	(32,995)	(8,317)	(23,072)	20,824

- (a) Successor period per share data gives effect to the 3,022,259.23-for-1 stock split on our common stock effected on April 12, 2018.
- (b) Represents pension and OPEB and related costs and miscellaneous other long-term obligations.

					Successor	Predecessor
					For the period	
	]	For the			August 15	
	six months	ended	For the yea	ar ended	through	through
	J	une 30,	Decer	nber 3 <b>1</b> De	ecember 31,	August 14,
	2018	2017	2017	2016	2015	2015
					(i	n thousands)
Other Financial Information:						

EBITDA from continuing operations(1)	\$ 532,911 \$	11,844 \$	97,884 \$	(12,251)\$	12,674	\$ (32,197)
Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations(1)	602,295	16,452 \$	95,806 \$	(2,898) \$	14,396	\$ 31,628

	six mo	nth	For the s ended une 30,		•		year ended ecember 31,	
(in thousands, except price data)	2018		2017	2017		2016		2015
Sales volume (MT)(2)	88		85	172		163		145
Weighted average realized price(3)	\$ 10,027	\$	2,362	\$ 2,945	\$	2,459	\$	3,344
Production volume (MT)(4)	88		84	166		151		137
Production capacity (MT)(5)	103		99	195		195		195
Production capacity excluding St. Marys during idle period (MT)(6)	89		85	167		176		195
Capacity utilization(7)	85%		85%	85%		77%		70%
Capacity utilization excluding St. Marys during idle period(6)	99%		99%	99%		85%		70%

- (1) See below for more information and a reconciliation of EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA to net income (loss), the most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP.
- (2) Sales volume reflects the total volume of graphite electrodes sold for which revenue has been recognized during the period. See below for more information on our key operating metrics.
- (3) Weighted average realized price reflects the total revenues from sales of graphite electrodes for the period divided by the graphite electrode sales volume for that period. See below for more information on our key operating metrics.
- (4) Production volume reflects graphite electrodes produced during the period. See below for more information on our key operating metrics.
- (5) Production capacity reflects expected maximum production volume during the period under normal operating conditions, standard product mix and expected maintenance downtime. Actual production may vary. See below for more information on our key operating metrics.
- (6) The St. Marys, Pennsylvania facility was temporarily idled effective the second quarter of 2016, except for the machining of semi-finished products sourced from other plants.
- (7) Capacity utilization reflects production volume as a percentage of production capacity. See below for more information on our key operating metrics.

#### **Non-GAAP** financial measures

In addition to providing results that are determined in accordance with GAAP, we have provided certain financial measures that are not in accordance with GAAP. EBITDA from continuing operations and adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations are non-GAAP financial measures. We define EBITDA from continuing operations, a non-GAAP financial measure, as net income or loss plus interest expense, minus interest income, plus income taxes, discontinued operations and depreciation and amortization. We define adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations as EBITDA from continuing operations plus any pension and OPEB plan expenses, rationalization-related charges, costs related to our IPO, non-cash gains or losses from foreign currency remeasurement of non-operating liabilities in our foreign subsidiaries where the functional currency is the U.S. dollar, stock-based compensation, non-cash fixed asset write-offs and related party TRA expense. Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations is the primary metric used by our management and our board of directors to establish budgets and operational goals for managing our business and evaluating our performance.

We monitor adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations as a supplement to our GAAP measures, and believe it is useful to present to investors, because we believe that it facilitates evaluation of our period-to-period operating performance by eliminating items that are not operational in nature, allowing comparison of our recurring core business operating results over multiple periods unaffected by differences in capital structure, capital investment cycles and fixed asset base. In addition, we believe adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations and similar measures are widely used by investors, securities analysts, ratings

#### Table of Contents

agencies, and other parties in evaluating companies in our industry as a measure of financial performance and debt-service capabilities.

Our use of adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations has limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations are:

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect our cash expenditures for capital equipment or other contractual commitments, including any capital expenditures for future capital expenditure requirements to augment or replace our capital assets;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect the interest expense or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments on our indebtedness;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect tax payments that may represent a reduction in cash available to us;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect expenses relating to our pension and OPEB plans;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect the non-cash gains or losses from foreign currency remeasurement of non-operating liabilities in our foreign subsidiaries where the functional currency is the U.S. dollar;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect stock-based compensation expense;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect rationalization-related charges, acquisition costs, costs related to the proxy contests, costs related to our IPO or the non-cash write-off of fixed assets;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect tax-related expense for future payment to the selling stockholder under the Tax Receivable Agreement for tax assets that we expect to be utilized; and

other companies, including companies in our industry, may calculate EBITDA from continuing operations and adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations differently, which reduces its usefulness as a comparative measure.

In evaluating EBITDA from continuing operations and adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations, you should be aware that in the future, we will incur expenses similar to the adjustments in this presentation. Our presentations of EBITDA from continuing operations and adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations should not be construed as suggesting that our future results will be unaffected by these expenses or any unusual or non-recurring items. When evaluating our performance, you should consider EBITDA from continuing operations and adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations alongside other financial performance measures, including our net income (loss) and other GAAP measures.

### Table of Contents

The following table reconciles our non-GAAP key financial measures to the most directly comparable GAAP measures:

				s	buccessor	Predecessor
		For the months ended June 30,	For the ye		For the period ugust 15 through mber 31,	For the period January 1 through August 14,
	2018	2017	2017	2016	2015	2015
					•	n thousands)
Net income (loss)	\$ 425,121 \$	(43,727)\$	5 7,983 \$	(235,843)\$	(33,551)	\$ (120,649)
Add:	(1.211)	0.116	6 220	126 074	4.026	10.670
Discontinued operations  Depreciation and amortization	(1,311) 31,696	8,116 30,983	6,229 64,025	126,974 77,614	4,926 24,424	18,679 37,473
Interest expense	66,532	15,448	30,823	26,914	9,999	26,211
Interest income	(506)	(262)	(395)	(358)	(6)	(363)
Income taxes	11,379	1,286	(10,781)	(7,552)	6,882	6,452
	,	,	( - ) - )	( ) )	-,	-, -
<b>EBITDA</b> from continuing operations	532,911	11,844	97,884	(12,251)	12,674	(32,197)
Adjustments:						
Pension and OPEB plan (gain) expenses(1)	995	1,525	(1,611)	(626)	2,397	2,973
Impairments(2)	0	0		2,843		35,381
Rationalization-related (gains)/charges(3)	1	(779)	(3,970)	2,366	387	3,049
Acquisition and proxy contests costs(4)	0	0	886	8,036	961	22,618
Initial public offering ("IPO") expenses(5)	5,121					
Non-cash loss (gain) on foreign currency	222	2.062	1 501	(5.465)	(2.022)	(100)
remeasurement(6)	223	3,862	1,731	(5,465)	(2,023)	(196)
Stock-based compensation	181		886	2 100		
Non-cash fixed asset write-off	1,062		880	2,199		
Related party Tax Receivable Agreement	61,801					
expense(7)	01,001					
Adjusted EBITDA from continuing						
operations	\$ 602,295 \$	16,452 \$	\$ 95,806 \$	(2,898)\$	14,396	\$ 31,628
				-		

- (1) Service and interest cost of our pension and OPEB plans. Also includes a mark to market loss (gain) for plan assets as of December of each year.
- (2) Goodwill impairment in the first quarter of 2015 for the needle coke reporting unit.
- (3) Costs associated with rationalizations in our graphite electrode manufacturing operations and in the corporate structure. They include severance charges, contract termination charges, write off of equipment and (gain)/loss on sale of manufacturing sites.

- (4) Legal costs associated with the proxy contests in early 2015; transaction costs associated with the merger transaction with Brookfield in August 2015, resulting in change in control compensation expenses, including the acceleration of stock-based compensation in the period of January 1 through August 14, 2015.
- (5) Legal, accounting, printing and registration fees associated with the IPO
- (6) Non cash (gain) loss from foreign currency remeasurement of non operating liabilities of our non U.S. subsidiaries where the functional currency is the U.S. dollar.
- (7) Tax-related expense for future payment to the selling stockholder for tax assets that are expected to be utilized.

#### **Key Operating Metrics**

Key operating metrics consist of sales volume, weighted average realized price, production volume, production capacity and capacity utilization. Sales volume reflects the total volume of graphite electrodes sold for which revenue has been recognized during the period. For a discussion of our revenue recognition policy, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies Revenue Recognition." Under our policy, volume discounts and rebates are recorded as a reduction of revenue in conjunction with the sale of the graphite electrodes. Weighted average realized price reflects the total revenues from sales of graphite electrodes for the period divided by the graphite electrode sales volume for that period. Production volume reflects graphite electrodes produced during the period. Production capacity reflects expected maximum production volume during the period under normal operating conditions, standard product mix and expected maintenance downtime. Capacity utilization reflects production volume as a percentage of production capacity.

#### Table of Contents

### **Risk factors**

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the following risk factors, as well as other information contained in this prospectus, before deciding to invest in our common stock. The occurrence of any of the following risks could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flow, in which case the trading price of our common stock could decline and you could lose all or part of your investment.

## Risks related to our business and industry

We have a history of net losses and may not achieve or maintain profitability in the future.

We have a history of significant net operating losses, including a net loss of \$235.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. We may not be able to achieve or maintain profitability for the current or any future fiscal year. Our ability to achieve and maintain profitability depends on a number of factors, including the growth rate of the graphite electrode industry, the price of our products, the cost to produce our products, the competitiveness of our products and the production capacity at our existing plants. We may incur significant losses in the future for a number of reasons, including due to the other risks described in this prospectus, and we may encounter unforeseen expenses, difficulties, complications and delays and other unknown events. In addition, as a public company, we incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses that we did not incur as a private company. As a result, our operations may not achieve profitability in the future and, even if we do achieve profitability, we may not be able to maintain or increase it.

We may be unable to implement our business strategies, including our initiative to secure and maintain three- to five-year take-or-pay customer contracts, in an effective manner.

Our future financial performance and success largely depend on our ability to implement our business strategies for growth successfully. We have undertaken, and will continue to undertake, various business strategies to sell a significant portion of our production capacity through three-to five-year, take-or-pay contracts, grow our production capacity, and improve operating efficiencies and generate cost savings. We cannot assure you that we will successfully implement our business strategies or that implementing these strategies will sustain or improve and not harm our results of operations. In particular, our ability to implement our new strategy to enter into three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts successfully is subject to certain risks, including customers seeking to renegotiate key terms of their contracts, such as pricing and specified volume commitments, in the event market conditions change during the contract term; our inability to extend contracts when they expire; and a disruption in our access to Seadrift-produced petroleum needle coke, which we will rely on to deliver the contracted volumes under the contracts. As a result, we cannot assure you that we will successfully implement this strategy or realize the anticipated benefits of these contracts. In addition, the costs involved in implementing our strategies may be significantly greater than we currently anticipate. For example, our ability to complete production capacity expansions or make other operational improvements as planned may be delayed or interrupted by the need to obtain environmental and other regulatory approvals, the availability of labor and materials, unforeseen hazards, such as weather conditions, and other risks customarily associated with construction projects. Moreover, the cost of expanding production capacity could have a negative impact on our financial results until capacity utilization is sufficient to absorb the incremental costs associated with the expansion.

#### Table of Contents

Our business strategies are based on our assumptions about future demand for our products and on our continuing ability to produce our products profitably. Each of these factors depends, among other things, on our ability to finance our operations, maintain high-quality and efficient manufacturing operations, respond to competitive and regulatory changes, access quality raw materials in a cost-effective and timely manner, and retain and attract highly skilled technical, managerial, marketing and finance personnel. Any failure to develop, revise or implement our business strategies in a timely and effective manner may adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Pricing for graphite electrodes has historically been cyclical and, in the future, the price of graphite electrodes will likely decline from recent record highs.

Pricing for graphite electrodes has historically been cyclical, reflecting the demand trends of the global EAF steelmaking industry and the supply of graphite electrodes. In addition, as petroleum needle coke reflects a significant percentage of the raw material cost of graphite electrodes, graphite electrodes have historically been priced at a spread to petroleum needle coke, which in the past has increased in tight demand markets. Historically, between 2006 and 2016, our weighted average realized price of graphite electrodes was approximately \$4,500 per MT (on an inflation-adjusted basis using constant 2017 dollars).

During the most recent demand trough, our weighted average realized price of graphite electrodes fell to approximately \$2,500 per MT in 2016, on an inflation-adjusted basis using constant 2017 dollars. Following the significant rationalization of graphite electrode production globally, the resumption of growth in EAF steel production, falling scrap prices, reductions in Chinese steel production and constrained supply of needle coke, graphite electrode prices have recently reached record highs. Graphite electrode industry spot prices during the second quarter of 2018 have averaged approximately \$15,000 to \$20,000 per MT. We have executed three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts, representing approximately \$60% to 65% of our production capacity from 2018 through 2022. The weighted average contract price for the contracted volumes over the next five years is approximately \$9,700 per MT. If spot prices remain above our contract prices, our profitability may be negatively impacted compared to what it would have been if we had sold the contracted volume in the spot market. However, due to the cyclical nature of graphite electrode pricing, this recent upward pricing trend is likely not sustainable and, as a result, the price for graphite electrodes will likely decline in the future. Our business, financial condition and operating results could be materially and adversely affected to the extent prices for graphite electrodes decline in the future to or below our historical weighted average realized price levels.

Our business and operating results have been and will continue to be sensitive to economic conditions and a downturn in economic conditions may materially adversely affect our business.

Our operations and performance are materially affected by global and regional economic conditions. As described further below, we are dependent on the steel industry, which historically has been highly cyclical and is affected by general economic conditions. An economic downturn may reduce customer demand, reduce prices for our products or inhibit our ability to produce our products, which would negatively affect our operating results. Our business and operating results have also been and will continue to be sensitive to declining consumer and business confidence; fluctuating commodity prices; volatile exchange rates and other challenges that can affect the economy. Our customers may experience deterioration of their businesses, cash flow shortages and difficulty obtaining financing, leading them to delay or cancel plans to purchase our products or seek to renegotiate terms of their supply contracts, and they may not be able to fulfill their obligations to us in a timely fashion. Further, suppliers and other business partners may experience similar conditions, which could impact their ability to fulfill their obligations to us. Also, it could

#### Table of Contents

be difficult to find replacements for business partners without incurring significant delays or cost increases. These events would negatively impact our revenues and results of operations.

We are dependent on the global steel industry generally and the EAF steel industry in particular, and a downturn in these industries may materially adversely affect our business.

We sell our products primarily to the EAF steel production industry. The steel industry historically has been highly cyclical and is affected significantly by general economic conditions. Significant customers for the steel industry include companies in the automotive, construction, appliance, machinery, equipment and transportation industries, which are industries that were negatively affected by the general economic downturn and the deterioration in financial markets, including severely restricted liquidity and credit availability, in the recent past. In particular, EAF steel production declined approximately 17% from 2008 to 2009 as a result of that general economic downturn and deterioration in financial markets.

In addition, EAF steel production declined approximately 10% from 2011 to 2015 due to global steel production overcapacity driven largely by Chinese BOF steel production. Since 2016, however, the EAF steel market has rebounded strongly and resumed its long-term growth trajectory. Our customers, including major steel producers, have in the past experienced and may again experience downturns or financial distress that could adversely impact our ability to collect our accounts receivable on a timely basis or at all.

Global graphite electrode overcapacity has adversely affected graphite electrode prices in the past, and may adversely affect them again in the future, which could negatively impact our sales, margins and profitability.

Overcapacity in the graphite electrode industry has adversely affected pricing and may do so again. The rapid growth of Chinese steel production after 2010, which was primarily produced from BOF steelmaking, created a significant global oversupply of steel. Chinese steel exports gained market share from EAF producers, creating graphite electrode industry oversupply and inventory de-stocking in this period. Historically, between 2006 and 2016, our weighted average realized price of graphite electrodes was approximately \$4,500 per MT (on an inflation-adjusted basis using constant 2017 dollars). During the most recent demand trough, our weighted average realized price fell to approximately \$2,500 per MT in 2016. Although Chinese steel production has decreased since 2016 as a result of the enactment of certain Chinese governmental initiatives, any significant future growth in Chinese BOF steel production could once again lead to an oversupply of steel, which would adversely affect the price of graphite electrodes.

An increase in global graphite electrode production capacity that outpaces an increase in demand for graphite electrodes could adversely affect the price of graphite electrodes. Excess production capacity may result in manufacturers producing and exporting electrodes at prices that are lower than prevailing domestic prices, and sometimes at or below their cost of production. Excessive imports into the Americas and EMEA, which collectively make up over 90% of our market, can also exert downward pressure on graphite electrode prices, which negatively affects our sales, margins and profitability.

The graphite industry is highly competitive. Our market share, net sales or net income could decline due to vigorous price and other competition.

Competition in the graphite industry (other than, generally, with respect to new products) is based primarily on price, product differentiation and quality, delivery reliability and customer service. Graphite electrodes, in particular, are subject to rigorous price competition. Competition with respect to new

#### **Table of Contents**

products is, and is expected to continue to be, based primarily on price, performance and cost effectiveness, customer service as well as product innovation. Competition could prevent implementation of price increases, require price reductions or require increased spending on research and development, marketing and sales that could adversely affect us. In such a competitive market, changes in market conditions, including customer demand and technological development, could adversely affect our competitiveness, sales and/or profitability.

We are dependent on the supply of petroleum needle coke. Our results of operations could deteriorate if recent disruptions in the supply of petroleum needle coke continue or worsen for an extended period.

Petroleum needle coke is the primary raw material used in the production of graphite electrodes. The supply of petroleum needle coke has been limited starting in the second half of 2017 as the demand for petroleum needle coke has outpaced supply due to increasing demand for petroleum needle coke for use in the production of lithium-ion batteries used in electric vehicles. Seadrift currently provides approximately 75% of our current petroleum needle coke requirements, and we purchase the remaining 25% from a variety of external sources. We plan to rely on Seadrift-produced petroleum needle coke to support the production of the contracted volumes of graphite electrodes under our three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts. As a result, a disruption in Seadrift's production of petroleum needle coke could adversely affect our ability to achieve the anticipated benefits of these contracts if we are forced to purchase petroleum needle coke from external sources at a higher cost to support the production of these contracted volumes. Moreover, although estimates vary as to the duration of this period of tight petroleum needle coke supply, if the current market shortage of petroleum needle coke continues or worsens, we may be unable to acquire sufficient amounts of petroleum needle coke from external sources to support the 25% of our needle coke requirements currently used in the production of graphite electrodes for sale in the spot market. As a result, a continued or worsening disruption in the supply of petroleum needle coke could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We are dependent on supplies of raw materials (in addition to petroleum needle coke) and energy. Our results of operations could deteriorate if those supplies increase in cost or are substantially disrupted for an extended period.

We purchase raw materials and energy from a variety of sources. In many cases, we purchase them under short-term contracts or on the spot market, in each case at fluctuating prices. The availability and price of raw materials and energy may be subject to curtailment or change due to:

limitations, which may be imposed under new legislation or regulation;

suppliers' allocations to meet demand from other purchasers during periods of shortage (or, in the case of energy suppliers, extended hot or cold weather);

interruptions or cessations in production by suppliers; and

market and other events and conditions.

Petroleum and coal products, including decant oil and coal tar pitch, which are our principal raw materials other than petroleum needle coke, and energy, particularly natural gas, have been subject to significant price fluctuations. For example, Seadrift may not always be able to obtain an adequate quantity of suitable low-sulfur decant oil for the manufacture of petroleum needle coke, and capital may not be available to

#### **Table of Contents**

install equipment to allow use of higher sulfur decant oil (which is more readily available in the United States) if supplies of low-sulfur decant oil become more limited in the future.

We have in the past entered into, and may continue in the future to enter into, derivative contracts and short-duration fixed rate purchase contracts to effectively fix a portion of our exposure to certain products. These strategies may not be available or successful in eliminating our exposure. A substantial increase in raw material or energy prices that cannot be mitigated or passed on to customers or a continued interruption in supply, particularly in the supply of decant oil or energy, would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We are subject to a variety of legal, economic, social and political risks associated with our substantial operations in multiple countries, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial and business operations.

A substantial majority of our net sales are derived from sales outside the United States, and a majority of our operations and our total property, plant and equipment and other long-lived assets are located outside the United States. As a result, we are subject to risks associated with operating in multiple countries, including:

currency fluctuations and devaluations in currency exchange rates, including impacts of transactions in various currencies, translation of various currencies into dollars for U.S. reporting and financial covenant compliance purposes, and impacts on results of operations due to the fact that the costs of our non-U.S. operations are primarily incurred in local currencies while their products are primarily sold in dollars and euros;

imposition of or increase in customs duties and other tariffs;

imposition of or increases in currency exchange controls, including imposition of or increases in limitations on conversion of various currencies into dollars, euros, or other currencies, making of intercompany loans by subsidiaries or remittance of dividends, interest or principal payments or other payments by subsidiaries;

imposition of or increases in revenue, income or earnings taxes and withholding and other taxes on remittances and other payments by subsidiaries;

inflation, deflation and stagflation in any country in which we have a manufacturing facility;

imposition of or increases in investment or trade restrictions by the United States or other jurisdictions or trade sanctions adopted by the United States;

inability to determine or satisfy legal requirements, effectively enforce contract or legal rights, including our rights under our three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts, and obtain complete financial or other information under local legal, judicial, regulatory, disclosure and other systems; and

nationalization or expropriation of assets, and other risks that could result from a change in government or government policy, or from other political, social or economic instability.

Any of these risks could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows, and we may not be able to mitigate these effects.

#### **Table of Contents**

## The fluctuation of foreign currency exchange rates could materially harm our financial results.

Changes in foreign currency exchange rates have in the past resulted, and may in the future result, in significant gains or losses. When the currencies of non-U.S. countries in which we have a manufacturing facility decline (or increase) in value relative to the U.S. dollar, this has the effect of reducing (or increasing) the U.S. dollar equivalent cost of sales and other expenses with respect to those facilities. In certain countries in which we have manufacturing facilities, and in certain instances where we price our products for sale in export markets, we sell in currencies other than the dollar. Accordingly, increases (or declines) in value in these currencies relative to the U.S. dollar have the effect of increasing (or reducing) our net sales. The result of these effects is to increase (or decrease) operating profit and net income. Additionally, as part of our cash management, we have non-U.S. dollar-denominated intercompany loans between our subsidiaries. These loans are deemed to be temporary and, as a result, remeasurement gains and losses on these loans are recorded as currency gains and losses in other income (expense), net, on the Consolidated Statements of Income. We have in the past entered into, and may in the future enter into, foreign currency derivatives to attempt to manage exposure to changes in currency exchange rates. These hedges may be insufficient or ineffective in protecting against the impact of these fluctuations. We also may purchase or sell these financial instruments, and open and close hedges or other positions, at any time. Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates could materially harm our financial results.

Our results of operations could deteriorate if our manufacturing operations were substantially disrupted for an extended period for any reason, including equipment failure, climate change, natural disasters, public health crises, political crises or other catastrophic events.

Our manufacturing operations are subject to disruption due to equipment failure, extreme weather conditions, floods, hurricanes and tropical storms and similar events, major industrial accidents, including fires or explosions, cybersecurity attacks, strikes and lockouts, adoption of new laws or regulations, changes in interpretations of existing laws or regulations or changes in governmental enforcement policies, civil disruption, riots, terrorist attacks, war, public health crises and other events. These events may also impact the operations of one or more of our suppliers. For example, the potential physical impacts of climate change on our operations are uncertain and will likely be particular to the geographic circumstances. These physical impacts may include changes in rainfall and storm patterns, shortages of water or other natural resources, changing sea levels, and changing global average temperatures. For instance, our Seadrift facility in Texas and our Calais facility in France are located in geographic areas less than 50 feet above sea level. As a result, any future rising sea levels could have an adverse impact on their operations and on their suppliers. In addition, our three operating manufacturing facilities are currently operating at or near full production capacity. As a result, in the event manufacturing operations are substantially disrupted at one of our operating facilities, we will not have the ability to increase production at our remaining operating facilities in order to compensate. To the extent any of these events occur, our business, financial condition and operating results could be materially and adversely affected.

#### Plant production capacity expansions may be delayed or may not achieve the expected benefits.

Our ability to complete currently planned or future production capacity expansions, including our operational improvement and debottlenecking initiative and the potential restart of our St. Marys plant, may be delayed, interrupted or otherwise limited by the need to obtain environmental and other regulatory approvals, unexpected cost increases, availability of labor and materials, unforeseen hazards such as weather conditions, and other risks customarily associated with construction projects. For example, the potential restart of our St. Marys plant will be substantially dependent on the availability of external

#### **Table of Contents**

sources of petroleum needle coke. Moreover, the costs of these activities could have a negative impact on our results of operations, particularly until capacity utilization at the facility is sufficient to absorb the incremental costs of expansion. In addition, completed capacity expansions may not achieve the expected benefits as a result of changes in market conditions, raw material shortages or other unforeseen contingencies.

#### We depend on third parties for certain construction, maintenance, engineering, transportation, warehousing and logistics services.

We contract with third parties for certain services relating to the design, construction and maintenance of various components of our production facilities and other systems. If these third parties fail to comply with their obligations, we may experience delays in the completion of expansions of existing facilities or the facilities may not operate as intended, which may result in delays in the production of our products and materially adversely affect our ability to meet our production targets and satisfy customer requirements or we may be required to recognize impairment charges. In addition, production delays could cause us to miss deliveries and breach our contracts, which could damage our relationships with our customers and subject us to claims for damages under our contracts. Any of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We also rely primarily on third parties for the transportation of the products we manufacture. In particular, a significant portion of the goods we manufacture are transported to different countries, which requires sophisticated warehousing, logistics and other resources. If any of the third parties that we use to transport products are unable to deliver the goods we manufacture in a timely manner, we may be unable to sell these products at full value or at all, which could cause us to miss deliveries and breach our contracts, which could damage our relationships with our customers and subject us to claims for damages under our contracts. Any of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

#### We may not be able to recruit or retain key management and plant operating personnel.

Our success is dependent on the management and leadership skills of our key management and plant operating personnel. Following the completion of our acquisition by Brookfield, our management team has been reorganized, including the establishment of new positions reporting directly to the chief executive officer, and significant competencies have been added to the management team to further strengthen our business. The loss of any member of our reorganized key management team and personnel or an inability to attract, retain, develop and maintain additional personnel could prevent us from implementing our business strategy. In addition, our future growth and success also depend on our ability to attract, train, retain and motivate skilled managerial, sales, administration, operating and technical personnel. The loss of one or more members of our key management or plant operating personnel, or the failure to attract, retain and develop additional key personnel, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

If we are unable to successfully negotiate with the representatives of our employees, including labor unions, we may experience strikes and work stoppages.

We are party to collective bargaining agreements and similar agreements with our employees. As of June 30, 2018, approximately 738 employees, or 54%, of our worldwide employees, are covered by collective bargaining or similar agreements. As of June 30, 2018, approximately 19 employees, or 1%, of our worldwide employees, were covered by agreements that expire, or are subject to renegotiation, at

#### **Table of Contents**

various times through December 31, 2018. Although we believe that, in general, our relationships with our employees are good, we cannot predict the outcome of current and future negotiations and consultations with employee representatives, which could have a material adverse effect on our business. We may not succeed in renewing or extending these agreements on terms satisfactory to us. Although we have not had any material work stoppages or strikes during the past decade, they may occur in the future during renewal or extension negotiations or otherwise. A material work stoppage, strike or other union dispute could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

#### We may divest or acquire businesses, which could require significant management attention or disrupt our business.

We may divest or acquire businesses to rationalize or expand our businesses and enhance our cash flows. For example, on February 26, 2016, we announced a strategic review of our Engineered Solutions businesses to better direct its resources and simplify its operations. The disposition of those businesses was substantially complete by the end of the third quarter of 2017.

Any acquisitions that we are able to identify and complete may involve a number of risks, including:

our inability to successfully or profitably integrate, operate, maintain and manage our newly acquired operations or employees;

the diversion of our management's attention from our existing business;

possible material adverse effects on our results of operations during the integration process;

becoming subject to contingent or other liabilities, including liabilities arising from events or conduct predating the acquisition that were not known to us at the time of the acquisition; and

our possible inability to achieve the intended objectives of the transaction, including the inability to achieve cost savings and synergies.

Any divestitures may also involve a number of risks, including the diversion of management's attention, significant costs and expenses, the loss of customer relationships and cash flow, and the disruption of the affected business or business operations. Failure to timely complete or to consummate an acquisition or a divestiture may negatively affect the valuation of the affected business or business operations or result in restructuring charges.

We have significant goodwill on our balance sheet that is sensitive to changes in the market, which could result in impairment charges.

We have \$171.1 million of goodwill on our balance sheet as of June 30, 2018. Our annual impairment test of goodwill was performed in the fourth quarter of 2017. The estimated fair values of our reporting units were based on discounted cash flow models derived from internal earnings forecasts and assumptions. The assumptions and estimates used in these valuations incorporated the current and expected economic environment. In that annual impairment test, our graphite electrode reporting unit's fair value exceeded its carrying value. During the first quarter of 2015, as a result of our ongoing monitoring of triggering events, we recorded a goodwill impairment charge in our petroleum needle coke reporting unit totaling \$35.4 million. A deterioration in the global economic environment or in any of the input assumptions in our calculation could adversely affect the fair value of our reporting units and result in further impairment of some or all of the goodwill on the balance sheet.

#### **Table of Contents**

We may be subject to information technology systems failures, cybersecurity attacks, network disruptions and breaches of data security, which could compromise our information and expose us to liability.

Our information technology systems are an important element for effectively operating our business. Information technology systems failures, including risks associated with any failure to maintain or upgrade our systems, network disruptions and breaches of data security could disrupt our operations by impeding our processing of transactions, our ability to protect customer or company information or our financial reporting, leading to increased costs. It is possible that future technological developments could adversely affect the functionality of our computer systems and require further action and substantial funds to prevent or repair computer malfunctions. Our computer systems, including our back-up systems, could be damaged or interrupted by power outages, computer and telecommunications failures, computer viruses, cybercrimes, internal or external security breaches, events such as fires, earthquakes, floods, tornadoes and hurricanes, or errors by our employees. Although we have taken steps to address these concerns by implementing network security, back-up systems and internal control measures, these steps may be insufficient or ineffective and a system failure or data security breach could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Further, we collect data, including personally identifiable information of our employees, in the course of our business activities and transfer such data between our affiliated entities, to and from our business partners and to third-party service providers, which may be subject to global data privacy laws and cross-border transfer restrictions. While we take steps to comply with these legal requirements, any changes to such laws may impact our ability to effectively transfer data across borders in support of our business operations and any breach of such laws may lead to administrative, civil or criminal liability, as well as reputational harm to the Company and its employees. For example, the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), which became enforceable on May 25, 2018, introduced a number of new obligations for subject companies, including obligations relating to data transfers and the security of personal data they process. We take steps to protect the security and integrity of the information we collect, but there is no guarantee that the steps we have taken will prevent inadvertent or unauthorized use or disclosure of such information, or prevent third parties from gaining unauthorized access to this information despite our efforts. Any such incident could result in legal claims or proceedings, liability under laws that protect the privacy of personally identifiable information (including the GDPR) and damage to our reputation.

The cost of ongoing compliance with global data protection and privacy laws and the potential fines and penalties levied in the event of a breach of such laws may have an adverse effect on our business and operations. For example, the GDPR currently provides that supervisory authorities in the European Union may impose administrative fines for non-compliance of up to &20,000,000 or 4% of the subject company's annual, group-wide turnover (whichever is higher) and individuals who have suffered damage as a result of a subject company's non-compliance with the GDPR also have the right to seek compensation from such company. We will need to continue dedicating financial resources and management time to compliance efforts with respect to global data protection and privacy laws, including the GDPR.

#### Table of Contents

Our ability to grow and compete effectively depends on protecting our intellectual property. Failure to protect our intellectual property could adversely affect our business.

We believe that our intellectual property, consisting primarily of patents and proprietary know-how and information, is important to our growth. Failure to protect our intellectual property may result in the loss of the exclusive right to use our technologies. We rely on patent, trademark, copyright and trade secret laws and confidentiality and restricted use agreements to protect our intellectual property. However, some of our intellectual property is not covered by any patent or patent application or any such agreement. Intellectual property protection does not protect against technological obsolescence due to developments by others or changes in customer needs.

Patents are subject to complex factual and legal considerations. Accordingly, the validity, scope and enforceability of any particular patent can be uncertain. Therefore, we cannot assure you that:

any of the U.S. or non-U.S. patents now or hereafter owned by us, or that third parties have licensed to us or may in the future license to us, will not be circumvented, challenged or invalidated;

any of the U.S. or non-U.S. patents that third parties have non-exclusively licensed to us, or may non-exclusively license to us in the future, will not be licensed to others; or

any of the patents for which we have applied or may in the future apply will be issued at all or with the breadth of claim coverage we seek.

Moreover, patents, even if valid, only provide protection for a specified limited duration. In addition, effective patent, trademark and trade secret protection may be limited or unavailable or we may not apply for it in the United States or in any of the other countries in which we operate.

The protection of our intellectual property rights may be achieved, in part, by prosecuting claims against others who we believe have misappropriated our technology or have infringed upon our intellectual property rights, as well as by defending against misappropriation or infringement claims brought by others against us. Our involvement in litigation to protect or defend our rights in these areas could result in a significant expense to us, adversely affect the development of sales of the related products, and divert the efforts of our technical and management personnel, regardless of the outcome of such litigation.

We cannot assure you that agreements designed to protect our proprietary know-how and information will not be breached, that we will have adequate remedies for any such breach, or that our strategic alliance suppliers and customers, consultants, employees or others will not assert rights against us with respect to intellectual property arising out of our relationships with them.

Third parties may claim that our products or processes infringe their intellectual property rights, which may cause us to pay unexpected litigation costs or damages or prevent us from selling our products or services.

From time to time, we may become subject to legal proceedings, including allegations and claims of alleged infringement or misappropriation by us of the patents and other intellectual property rights of third parties. We cannot assure you that the use of our patented technology or proprietary know-how or information does not infringe the intellectual property rights of others. In addition, attempts to enforce our own intellectual property claims may subject us to counterclaims that our intellectual property rights are invalid, unenforceable or are licensed to the party against whom we are asserting the claim or that we are infringing that party's alleged intellectual property rights. We may also be obligated to indemnify affiliates or other partners who are accused of violating third parties' intellectual property rights by virtue of those

#### **Table of Contents**

affiliates or partners' agreements with us, and this could increase our costs in defending such claims and our damages.

Legal proceedings involving intellectual property rights, regardless of merit, are highly uncertain and can involve complex legal and scientific analyses, can be time consuming, expensive to litigate or settle and can significantly divert resources, even if resolved in our favor. Our failure to prevail in such matters could result in loss of intellectual property rights or judgments awarding substantial damages and injunctive or other equitable relief against us. If we were to be held liable or discover or be notified that our products or processes potentially infringe or otherwise violate the intellectual property rights of others, we may face a loss of reputation and may not be able to exploit some or all of our intellectual property rights or technology. If necessary, we may seek licenses to intellectual property of others. However, we may not be able to obtain the necessary licenses on terms acceptable to us or at all. Our failure to obtain a license from a third party for that intellectual property necessary for the production or sale of any of our products could cause us to incur substantial liabilities and/or suspend the production or shipment of products or the use of processes requiring the use of that intellectual property. We may be required to substantially re-engineer our products or processes to avoid infringement.

Any of the foregoing may require considerable effort and expense, result in substantial increases in operating costs, delay or inhibit sales or preclude us from effectively competing in the marketplace, which in turn could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.

#### Our operations are subject to hazards which could result in significant liability to us.

Our operations are subject to hazards associated with manufacturing and the related use, storage, transportation and disposal of raw materials, products and wastes. These hazards include explosions, fires, severe weather (including but not limited to hurricanes or other adverse weather that may be increasing as a result of climate change) and natural disasters, industrial accidents, mechanical failures, discharges or releases of toxic or hazardous substances or gases, transportation interruptions, human error and terrorist activities. These hazards can cause personal injury and loss of life, severe damage to or destruction of property and equipment as well as environmental damage, and may result in suspension of operations and the imposition of civil and criminal liabilities, including penalties and damage awards. While we believe our insurance policies are in accordance with customary industry practices, such insurance may not cover all risks associated with the hazards of our business and is subject to limitations, including deductibles and maximum liabilities covered. We may incur losses beyond the limits, or outside the coverage, of our insurance policies. In the future, we may not be able to obtain coverage at current levels, and our premiums may increase significantly on coverage that we maintain. Costs associated with unanticipated events in excess of our insurance coverage could have a material adverse effect on our business, competitive or financial position or our ongoing results of operations.

Stringent health, safety and environmental regulations applicable to our manufacturing operations and facilities could result in substantial costs related to compliance, sanctions or material liabilities and may affect the availability of raw materials.

We are subject to stringent environmental, health and safety laws and regulations relating to our current and former properties (including former onsite landfills over which we have retained ownership), other properties that neighbor ours or to which we sent wastes for treatment or disposal, as well as our current raw materials, products, and operations. Some of our products (including our raw materials) are subject to extensive environmental and industrial hygiene regulations governing the registration and safety analysis of their component substances. Coal tar pitch, which is classified as a substance of very high concern under

#### **Table of Contents**

REACH, is used in certain of our processes but in a manner that does not currently require us to obtain a specific authorization from the European Chemicals Agency (or ECHA). Violations of these laws and regulations, or of the terms and conditions of permits required for our operations, can result in damage claims, in the imposition of substantial fines and criminal sanctions and sometimes require the installation of costly pollution control or safety equipment or costly changes in operations to limit pollution or decrease the likelihood of injuries. In addition, we are currently conducting remediation and/or monitoring at certain current and former properties and may become subject to material liabilities in the future for the investigation and cleanup of contaminated properties, including properties on which we have ceased operations. We have been in the past, and could be in the future, subject to claims alleging personal injury, death or property damage resulting from exposure to hazardous substances, accidents or otherwise for conditions creating an unsafe workplace. Further, alleged noncompliance with or stricter enforcement of, or changes in interpretations of, existing laws and regulations, adoption of more stringent new laws and regulations, discovery of previously unknown contamination or imposition of new or increased requirements could require us to incur costs or become the basis of new or increased liabilities that have a material adverse impact on our operations, costs or results of operations. It is also possible that the impact of safety and environmental regulations on our suppliers could affect the availability and cost of our raw materials.

For example, legislators, regulators and others, as well as many companies, are considering ways to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases (or GHGs) due to scientific, political and public concern that GHG emissions are altering the atmosphere in ways that are affecting, and are expected to continue to affect, the global climate. The EU has established GHG regulations and is revising its emission trading system for the period after 2020 in a manner that may require us to incur additional costs. The United States required reporting of greenhouse gas emissions from certain large sources beginning in 2011. Further measures, in the EU and many other countries, may be enacted in the future. In particular, in December 2015, more than 190 countries participating in the United National Framework Convention on Climate Change reached an international agreement related to curbing GHG emissions (or Paris Agreement). Further GHG regulations under the Paris Agreement or otherwise may take the form of a national or international cap-and-trade emissions permit system, a carbon tax, emissions controls, reporting requirements, or other regulatory initiatives. For more information, see the section entitled "Business Environment."

It is possible that some form of regulation of GHG emissions will also be introduced in the future in other countries in which we operate or market our products. Regulation of GHG emissions could impose additional costs, both direct and indirect, on our business, and on the businesses of our customers and suppliers, such as increased energy and insurance rates, higher taxes, new environmental compliance program expenses, including capital improvements, environmental monitoring and the purchase of emission credits, and other administrative costs necessary to comply with current and potential future requirements or limitations that may be imposed, as well as other unforeseen or unknown costs. To the extent that similar requirements and limitations are not imposed globally, this regulation may impact our ability to compete with companies located in countries that do not have these requirements or limitations. We may also experience a change in competitive position relative to industry peers, changes in prices received for products sold and changes to profit or loss arising from increased or decreased demand for our products. The impact of any future GHG regulatory requirements on our global business will be dependent upon the design of the regulatory schemes that are ultimately adopted and, as a result, we are unable to predict their significance to our operations at this time.

#### **Table of Contents**

Significant changes in our jurisdictional earnings mix or in the tax laws of those jurisdictions could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results or operations and cash flows.

Our future tax rates may be adversely affected by a number of factors, including the enactment of new tax legislation, other changes in tax laws or the interpretation of tax laws, changes in the estimated realization of our net deferred tax assets (arising, among other things, from tax loss carry forwards and our acquisition by Brookfield), changes to the jurisdictions in which profits are determined to be earned and taxed, adjustments to estimated taxes upon finalization of various tax returns, increases in expenses that are not deductible for tax purposes, including write-offs of acquired in-process R&D and impairment of goodwill in connection with acquisitions, changes in available tax credits and additional tax or interest payments resulting from tax audits with various tax authorities. Losses for which no tax benefits can be recorded could materially impact our tax rate and its volatility from period to period. Any significant change in our jurisdictional earnings mix or in the tax laws in those jurisdictions could increase our tax rates and adversely impact our financial results in those periods.

#### New tax legislation could adversely affect us or our shareholders

New tax legislation, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (or the Tax Act), was enacted on December 22, 2017. The Tax Act significantly revises the U.S. corporate income tax regime by, among other things:

lowering corporate income tax rates;

temporarily allowing for immediate expensing of expenditures for certain tangible property;

repealing the corporate alternative minimum tax;

implementing a 100% dividends-received deduction on certain dividends from 10% or greater owned foreign subsidiaries;

imposing an income tax on deemed repatriated earnings of foreign subsidiaries generally as of December 31, 2017 (payable at reduced rates and potentially over an eight year period);

imposing tax at a reduced rate on certain income derived by foreign corporate subsidiaries in excess of a deemed return on tangible assets (i.e., tax on "global intangible low-taxed income" or GILTI);

imposing limitations on the ability to deduct interest expense and utilize net operating losses (or NOLs), and

instituting certain proposals to limit base erosion (including the "base erosion anti-abuse tax" or BEAT, and limitations on the deductibility of certain related-party payments).

Although we currently anticipate that the Tax Act and the accompanying changes in the corporate tax rate and calculation of taxable income will have a favorable effect on our financial condition, profitability and cash flows, the overall implications of the Tax Act at this time are uncertain, and it is not possible to predict the full effect of the Tax Act on our business and operations. Thus, the Tax Act and future implementing regulations, administrative guidance or interpretations of the legislation may have unanticipated adverse effects on us or our shareholders.

#### **Table of Contents**

We are required to make payments under a tax receivable agreement for certain tax benefits we may claim in the future, and the amounts we may pay could be significant.

In connection with the completion of our IPO, we entered into a tax receivable agreement (or the TRA) that provides the right to receive future payments from us to certain of our pre-IPO stockholders (or the Existing Stockholders) of 85% of the amount of cash savings, if any, in U.S. federal income tax and Swiss tax that we and our subsidiaries realize as a result of the utilization of certain tax assets attributable to periods prior to our IPO, including certain federal net operating losses (or NOLs), previously taxed income under Section 959 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended from time to time (or the Code), foreign tax credits, and certain NOLs in GrafTech Switzerland S.A. (or, collectively, the Pre-IPO Tax Assets). In addition, we will pay interest on the payments we will make to the Existing Stockholders with respect to the amount of this cash savings from the due date (without extensions) of our tax return where we realize this savings to the payment date at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 1.00% per annum. The term of the TRA commenced on April 23, 2018 and will continue until there is no potential for any future tax benefit payments.

We expect that, based on current tax laws and taking into account changes under the Tax Act, future payments under the TRA relating to the Pre-IPO Tax Assets will be approximately \$61.8 million, which was recognized as an expense in the second quarter of 2018, with a maximum amount of approximately \$100 million. This figure does not account for our Pre-IPO Tax Assets attributable to previously taxed income under Section 959 of the Code, the value of which is highly speculative, and certain NOLs in GrafTech Switzerland S.A., which we expected to have nominal value at the time of the IPO. Any payments made by us to our counterparties under the TRA will generally reduce the amount of overall cash flow that might have otherwise been available to us.

For more information about the TRA, see "Certain relationships and related party transactions" Tax Receivable Agreement."

## Risks related to our indebtedness

Our indebtedness could limit our financial and operating activities and adversely affect our ability to incur additional debt to fund future needs and our ability to fulfill our obligations under our existing and future indebtedness.

On February 12, 2018, we entered a credit agreement (or, as amended from time to time, the 2018 Credit Agreement) among us, various of our subsidiaries, the lenders and issuing banks party thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as administrative agent and as collateral agent, which provides for (i) a \$1,500 million senior secured term loan facility (or the 2018 Term Loan Facility) and (ii) a \$250 million senior secured revolving credit facility (or the 2018 Revolving Credit Facility and, together with the 2018 Term Loan Facility, as amended by the First Amendment, the Senior Secured Credit Facilities). The 2018 Revolving Credit Facility may be used from time to time for revolving credit borrowings denominated in dollars or Euro, the issuance of one or more letters of credit denominated in dollars, Euro, Pounds Sterling or Swiss Francs and one or more swing line loans denominated in dollars. On February 12, 2018, our wholly owned subsidiary, GrafTech Finance Inc., a Delaware corporation (or GrafTech Finance), borrowed \$1,500 million aggregate principal under the 2018 Term Loan Facility (or the 2018 Term Loans). The 2018 Term Loans mature on February 12, 2025. The maturity date for the 2018 Revolving Credit Facility is February 12, 2023.

On June 15, 2018, we entered into a first amendment (or the First Amendment) to the 2018 Credit Agreement among us, GrafTech Finance, various of our other subsidiaries and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

#### **Table of Contents**

as administrative agent and incremental term lender. The First Amendment amended the 2018 Credit Agreement to provide for an additional \$750 million in aggregate principal amount of incremental term loans (or the Incremental Term Loans) to GrafTech Finance, increasing the aggregate principal amount of term loans incurred by GrafTech Finance under the 2018 Credit Agreement from \$1,500 million to \$2,250 million. The Incremental Term Loans have the same terms as those applicable to the 2018 Term Loans, including interest rate, payment and prepayment terms, representations and warranties and covenants. The Incremental Term Loans mature on February 12, 2025, the same date as the 2018 Term Loans.

As of June 30, 2018, we had approximately \$2,210 million of indebtedness outstanding, with \$243.5 million available for borrowing under the 2018 Revolving Credit Facility (taking into account approximately \$6.5 million of outstanding letters of credit issued thereunder).

Applying an interest rate of one month LIBOR as of June 30, 2018, our interest expense for the borrowings under the 2018 Term Loans and the Incremental Term Loans, had the 2018 Term Loans and the Incremental Term Loans been in place since January 1, 2017, would have totaled approximately \$130 million for the year ended December 31, 2017. Actual interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2017 and the six months ended June 30, 2018 was approximately \$30.8 million and \$66.5 million, respectively.

This substantial amount of indebtedness could:

require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow to the payment of principal and interest, thereby reducing the funds available for operations and future business opportunities;

make it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations;

limit our ability to borrow additional money if needed for other purposes, including working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements, acquisitions and general corporate or other purposes, on satisfactory terms or at all;

limit our ability to adjust to changing economic, business and competitive conditions;

place us at a competitive disadvantage with competitors who may have less indebtedness or greater access to financing;

make us more vulnerable to an increase in interest rates, a downturn in our operating performance or a decline in general economic conditions; and

make us more susceptible to changes in credit ratings, which could impact our ability to obtain financing in the future and increase the cost of such financing.

Compliance with our debt obligations under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities could materially limit our financial or operating activities, or hinder our ability to adapt to changing industry conditions, which could result in our losing market share, a decline in our revenue or a negative impact on our operating results.

#### **Table of Contents**

The 2018 Credit Agreement includes covenants that could restrict or limit our financial and business operations.

The 2018 Credit Agreement contains a number of restrictive covenants that, subject to certain exceptions and qualifications, restrict or limit our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to, among other things:

incur, repay or refinance indebtedness;
create liens on or sell our assets;
engage in certain fundamental corporate changes or changes to our business activities;
make investments or engage in mergers or acquisitions;
pay dividends or repurchase stock;
engage in certain affiliate transactions;
enter into agreements or otherwise restrict our subsidiaries from making distributions or paying dividends to the borrowers under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities or to us or certain of our subsidiaries, as applicable; and
repay intercompany indebtedness or make intercompany distributions or pay intercompany dividends.

The 2018 Credit Agreement also contains certain affirmative covenants and contains a financial covenant that requires us to maintain a senior secured first lien net leverage ratio not greater than 4.00:1.00 when the aggregate principal amount of borrowings under the 2018 Revolving Credit Facility and outstanding letters of credit issued under the 2018 Revolving Credit Facility (except for undrawn letters of credit in an aggregate amount equal to or less than \$35 million), taken together, exceed 35% of the total amount of commitments under the 2018 Revolving Credit Facility.

These covenants and restrictions could affect our ability to operate our business, and may limit our ability to react to market conditions or take advantage of potential business opportunities as they arise. Additionally, our ability to comply with these covenants may be affected by events beyond our control, including general economic and credit conditions and industry downturns.

If we fail to comply with the covenants in the 2018 Credit Agreement and are unable to obtain a waiver or amendment, an event of default would result, and the lenders and noteholders could, among other things, declare outstanding amounts due and payable or refuse to lend additional amounts to us, or require deposit of cash collateral in respect of outstanding letters of credit. If we were unable to repay or pay the amounts due, the lenders under the 2018 Credit Agreement could, among other things, proceed against the collateral granted to them to secure the indebtedness, which includes substantially all of our and our U.S. subsidiaries' assets and certain assets of certain of our non-U.S. subsidiaries.

Our cash flows may not be sufficient to service our indebtedness, and if we are unable to satisfy our obligations under our indebtedness, we may be required to seek other financing alternatives, which may not be successful.

Our ability to make timely payments of principal and interest on our debt obligations, including our obligations under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, depends on our ability to generate positive cash flows from operations, which is subject to general economic conditions, competitive pressures and certain financial, business and other factors beyond our control. If our cash flows and capital resources are

#### **Table of Contents**

insufficient to make these payments, we may be required to seek additional financing sources, reduce or delay capital expenditures, sell assets or operations or refinance our indebtedness. These actions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial conditions and results of operations. In addition, we may not be able to take any of these actions, and, even if successful, these actions may not permit us to meet our scheduled debt service obligations. Our ability to restructure or refinance the debt under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities will depend on, among other things, the condition of the capital markets and our financial condition at the time. We may not be able to restructure or refinance any of our indebtedness on commercially reasonable terms or at all. If we cannot make scheduled payments on our debt, we will be in default and the outstanding principal and interest on our debt could be declared to be due and payable, in which case we could be forced into bankruptcy or liquidation or required to substantially restructure or alter our business operations or debt obligations.

Borrowings under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities bear interest at a variable rate, which subjects us to interest rate risk, which could cause our debt service obligations to increase significantly.

All of our borrowings under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities are at variable rates of interest and expose us to interest rate risk. If interest rates increase, our debt service obligations on this variable rate indebtedness would increase even if the amount borrowed remains the same.

Additionally, we have in the past entered into, and may in the future enter into, interest rate swaps and caps to attempt to manage interest rate expense. We may purchase or sell these financial instruments, and open and close hedges or other positions, at any time. Changes in interest rates have in the past resulted, and may in the future result, in significant gains or losses. These instruments are marked-to-market monthly and related gains and losses are recorded in Other Comprehensive Income on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

A lowering or withdrawal of the ratings assigned to our debt by rating agencies may increase our future borrowing costs and reduce our access to capital.

Any rating assigned to our debt could be lowered or withdrawn entirely by a rating agency if, in that rating agency's judgment, future circumstances relating to the basis of the rating, such as adverse changes, so warrant. Any future lowering of our ratings likely would make it more difficult or more expensive for us to obtain additional debt financing. Additionally, we enter into various forms of hedging arrangements against currency, interest rate or decant oil price fluctuations. Financial strength and credit ratings are also important to the availability and pricing of these hedging activities, and a downgrade of our credit ratings may make it more costly for us to engage in these activities.

Disruptions in the capital and credit markets, which may occur at any time, could adversely affect our results of operations, cash flows and financial condition, or those of our customers and suppliers.

Disruptions in the capital and credit markets as a result of uncertainty, changing or increased regulation, reduced alternatives or failures of significant financial institutions could adversely affect our access to liquidity needed to conduct or expand our businesses or conduct acquisitions or make other discretionary investments, as well as our ability to effectively hedge our currency or interest rate risks and exposures, which could adversely impact our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows. These disruptions may also adversely impact the financial position of our customers and suppliers, which, in turn, could adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Risks related to our common stock

If the ownership of our common stock continues to be highly concentrated, it may prevent minority stockholders from influencing significant corporate decisions and may result in conflicts of interest.

Following the completion of this offering, Brookfield will own approximately 81% of our outstanding common stock, or 80% if the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares is fully exercised. As a result, Brookfield will own shares sufficient for the majority vote over all matters requiring a stockholder vote, including the election of directors; mergers, consolidations and acquisitions; the sale of all or substantially all of our assets and other decisions affecting our capital structure; the amendment of our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (or Amended Certificate of Incorporation) and our Amended and Restated By-Laws (or Amended By-Laws); and our winding up and dissolution. This concentration of ownership may delay, deter or prevent acts that would be favored by our other stockholders. The interests of Brookfield may not always coincide with our interests or the interests of our other stockholders. This concentration of ownership may also have the effect of delaying, preventing or deterring a change in control. Also, Brookfield may seek to cause us to take courses of action that, in its judgment, could enhance its investment in us, but that might involve risks to our other stockholders or adversely affect us or our other stockholders, including investors in this offering. As a result, the market price of our common stock could decline or stockholders might not receive a premium over the then-current market price of our common stock upon a change in control. In addition, this concentration of share ownership may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock because investors may perceive disadvantages in owning shares in a company with significant stockholders.

## Certain of our stockholders have the right to engage or invest in the same or similar businesses as us.

Brookfield has other investments and business activities in addition to their ownership of us. Brookfield has the right, and has no duty to abstain from exercising such right, to engage or invest in the same or similar businesses as us, do business with any of our clients, customers or vendors or employ or otherwise engage any of our officers, directors or employees. If Brookfield or any of its officers, directors or employees acquire knowledge of a potential transaction that could be a corporate opportunity, they have no duty, to the fullest extent permitted by law, to offer such corporate opportunity to us, our stockholders or our affiliates.

In the event that any of our directors and officers who is also a director, officer or employee of Brookfield acquires knowledge of a corporate opportunity or is offered a corporate opportunity, provided that this knowledge was not acquired solely in such person's capacity as our director or officer and such person acts in good faith, then to the fullest extent permitted by law such person is deemed to have fully satisfied such person's fiduciary duties owed to us and is not liable to us, if Brookfield pursues or acquires the corporate opportunity or if Brookfield does not present the corporate opportunity to us.

## We may not pay cash dividends on our common stock.

We currently pay cash dividends on our common stock in accordance with our dividend policy. We cannot assure you, however, that we will pay dividends in the future in these amounts or at all. Our board of directors may change the timing and amount of any future dividend payments or eliminate the payment of future dividends in its sole discretion, without any prior notice to our stockholders. Our ability to pay dividends will depend upon many factors, including our financial position and liquidity, results of operations, legal requirements, restrictions that may be imposed by the terms of our current and future credit facilities and other debt obligations and other factors deemed relevant by our board of directors.

#### **Table of Contents**

For example, we may or may not be able to, or may decide not to, pay dividends if we are unable, for any reason, to continue our new three-to five-year take-or-pay contracts strategy in the future or we experience a significant disruption in our manufacturing operations or our production of petroleum needle coke at Seadrift that, in either case, inhibits our ability to deliver the contracted volumes under our three-to five-year take-or-pay contracts. In addition, adverse market conditions may lead us to prioritize repaying the principal on our outstanding indebtedness. Our ability to pay dividends on our common stock is also limited as a practical matter by the terms of the 2018 Credit Agreement. In the future, we may also enter into other credit agreements or other borrowing arrangements or issue debt securities that, in each case, restrict or limit our ability to pay cash dividends on our common stock. In addition, since we are a holding company with no operations of our own, our ability to pay dividends is dependent on the ability of our subsidiaries to make distributions to us. Their ability to make such distributions will be subject to their operating results, cash requirements and financial condition. Any change in the level of our dividends or the suspension of the payment thereof could adversely affect the market price of our common stock. See "Dividend Policy."

Certain provisions, including in our Amended Certificate of Incorporation and our Amended By-Laws could hinder, delay or prevent a change in control, which could adversely affect the price of our common stock.

Our Amended Certificate of Incorporation and Amended By-Laws contain provisions that could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us without the consent of our board of directors or Brookfield, including:

provisions in our Amended Certificate of Incorporation and Amended By-Laws that prevent stockholders from calling special meetings of our stockholders, except where the Delaware General Corporation Law (or the DGCL) confers the right to fix the date of such meetings upon shareholders;

advance notice requirements by stockholders with respect to director nominations and actions to be taken at annual meetings;

certain rights of Brookfield with respect to the designation of directors for nomination and election to our board of directors;

no provision in our Amended Certificate of Incorporation or Amended By-Laws provides for cumulative voting in the election of directors, which means that the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock can elect all the directors standing for election:

under our Amended Certificate of Incorporation, our board of directors have authority to cause the issuance of preferred stock from time to time in one or more series and to establish the terms, preferences and rights of any such series of preferred stock, all without approval of our stockholders; and

nothing in our Amended Certificate of Incorporation precludes future issuances without stockholder approval of the authorized but unissued shares of our common stock.

These provisions may make it difficult and expensive for a third party to pursue a tender offer, change in control or takeover attempt that is opposed by Brookfield, our management or our board of directors. Public shareholders who might desire to participate in these types of transactions may not have an opportunity to do so, even if the transaction is favorable to shareholders. These anti-takeover provisions could substantially impede the ability of public shareholders to benefit from a change in control or to change our management and board of directors and, as a result, may adversely affect the market price of our common stock and your ability to realize any potential change of control premium.

#### Table of Contents

In addition, in the event of certain changes in control, including if Brookfield's ownership of our outstanding common stock were to fall below 30%, payments to certain of our senior management may be triggered under certain of our compensation arrangements, which could have an adverse impact on us.

Our Amended Certificate of Incorporation provides that the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware will be the exclusive forum for substantially all disputes between us and our stockholders, which could limit our stockholders' ability to obtain a favorable judicial forum for disputes with us or our directors, officers, or employees.

Our Amended Certificate of Incorporation provides that the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware is the exclusive forum for:

any derivative action or proceeding brought on our behalf;

any action asserting a breach of fiduciary duty;

any action asserting a claim against us arising under the DGCL, our Amended Certificate of Incorporation, or our Amended By-Laws; and

any action asserting a claim against us that is governed by the internal-affairs doctrine.

This exclusive forum provision may limit a stockholder's ability to bring a claim in a judicial forum that it finds favorable for disputes with us or our directors, officers, or other employees, which may discourage lawsuits against us and our directors, officers, and other employees. If a court were to find the exclusive forum provision in our Amended Certificate of Incorporation to be inapplicable or unenforceable in an action, we may incur additional costs associated with resolving the dispute in other jurisdictions, which could harm our business.

We are a "controlled company" within the meaning of the NYSE corporate governance standards and qualify for exemptions from certain corporate governance requirements.

Because Brookfield owns a majority of our outstanding common stock, we are a "controlled company" as that term is set forth in the NYSE corporate governance standards. Under these rules, a company of which more than 50% of the voting power is held by another person or group of persons acting together is a "controlled company" and may elect not to comply with certain corporate governance requirements, including:

the requirement that a majority of our board of directors consist of independent directors;

the requirement that our governance committee be composed entirely of independent directors with a written charter addressing the committee's purpose and responsibilities; and

the requirement that our compensation committee be composed entirely of independent directors with a written charter addressing the committee's purpose and responsibilities.

These requirements will not apply to us as long as we remain a "controlled company." We may utilize some or all of these exemptions. Accordingly, you may not have the same protections afforded to stockholders of companies that are subject to all of the NYSE corporate governance requirements. Brookfield's significant ownership interest could adversely affect investors' perceptions of our corporate governance.

#### Table of Contents

The reduced disclosure requirements applicable to us as an "emerging growth company" under the JOBS Act may make our common stock less attractive to investors.

We are an "emerging growth company" under the JOBS Act until the earliest of:

the last day of the fiscal year during which we had total annual gross revenues of \$1.07 billion or more;

the last day of the fiscal year following the fifth anniversary of the completion of our IPO;

the date on which we have issued more than \$1.0 billion in non-convertible debt during the previous three-year period; or

the date on which we are deemed a "large accelerated filer" as defined under the federal securities laws.

For so long as we remain an "emerging growth company," we may take advantage of certain exemptions from various reporting requirements that are applicable to other public companies, including, but not limited to, not being required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation in our periodic reports and proxy statements and exemptions from the requirements of holding a nonbinding advisory vote on certain executive compensation matters, such as "say on pay" and "say on frequency." As a result, our stockholders may not have access to certain information that they may deem important.

Investors may find our common stock less attractive as a result of our taking advantage of these exemptions. If they do, there may be a less active trading market for our common stock and our stock price may be more volatile.

The market price and trading volume of our common stock may be volatile, which could result in rapid and substantial losses for our stockholders.

The market price of our common stock may be highly volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations. In addition, the trading volume in our common stock may fluctuate and cause significant price variations to occur. If the market price of our common stock declines significantly, you may be unable to resell your shares at or above your purchase price, if at all. The market price of our common stock may fluctuate or decline significantly in the future. Some of the factors that could negatively affect our share price or result in fluctuations in the price or trading volume of our common stock include:

variations in our quarterly or annual operating results;

changes in our earnings estimates (if provided) or differences between our actual financial and operating results and those expected by investors and analysts;

the contents of published research reports about us or our industry or the failure of securities analysts to cover our common stock after this offering;

additions or departures of key management personnel;

any increased indebtedness we may incur in the future;

announcements by us or others and developments affecting us;

actions by institutional stockholders;

#### Table of Contents

litigation and governmental investigations;
changes in market valuations of similar companies;
speculation or reports by the press or investment community with respect to us or our industry in general;
increases in market interest rates that may lead purchasers of our shares to demand a higher yield;
announcements by us or our competitors of significant contracts, acquisitions, dispositions, strategic relationships, joint ventures or capital commitments; and
general market, political and economic conditions, including any such conditions and local conditions in the markets in which our customers are located.

These broad market and industry factors may decrease the market price of our common stock, regardless of our actual operating performance. The stock market in general has from time to time experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations, including in recent months. In addition, in the past, following periods of volatility in the overall market and the market price of a company's securities, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against these companies. This litigation, if instituted against us, could result in substantial costs and a diversion of our management's attention and resources.

## Future offerings of debt or equity securities by us may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

In the future, we may attempt to obtain financing or to further increase our capital resources by issuing additional shares of our common stock or offering debt or other equity securities, including commercial paper, medium-term notes, senior or subordinated notes, debt securities convertible into equity or shares of preferred stock. Future acquisitions could require substantial additional capital in excess of cash from operations. We would expect to finance any future acquisitions through a combination of additional issuances of equity, corporate indebtedness, asset-backed acquisition financing and/or cash from operations.

Issuing additional shares of our common stock or other equity securities or securities convertible into equity may dilute the economic and voting rights of our existing stockholders or reduce the market price of our common stock or both. Upon liquidation, holders of such debt securities and preferred shares, if issued, and lenders with respect to other borrowings would receive a distribution of our available assets prior to the holders of our common stock. Debt securities convertible into equity could be subject to adjustments in the conversion ratio pursuant to which certain events may increase the number of equity securities issuable upon conversion. Preferred shares, if issued, could have a preference with respect to liquidating distributions or a preference with respect to dividend payments that could limit our ability to pay dividends to the holders of our common stock. Our decision to issue securities in any future offering will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control, which may adversely affect the amount, timing or nature of our future offerings. Thus, holders of our common stock bear the risk that our future offerings may reduce the market price of our common stock and dilute their stockholdings in us.

The market price of our common stock could be negatively affected by sales of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public markets.

After this offering and the concurrent share repurchase, there will be 291,532,007 shares of common stock outstanding (assuming 10,693,916 shares are repurchased from the selling stockholder at a purchase price

#### **Table of Contents**

of \$21.04, which was the last reported sale price of our common stock on the NYSE on August 3, 2018). This number includes the 20,000,000 shares that Brookfield is selling in this offering (or 23,000,000 shares if the underwriters exercise their option to purchase additional shares in full), which will be freely transferable. Following completion of this offering, approximately 81% of our outstanding common stock (or 80% if the underwriters exercise their option to purchase additional shares in full) will be held by Brookfield and can be resold into the public markets in the future in accordance with the requirements of Rule 144. See "Shares Eligible For Future Sale."

We and our executive officers, directors and Brookfield (who will hold in the aggregate approximately 81% of our outstanding common stock immediately after the completion of this offering or 80% if the underwriters exercise their option to purchase additional shares in full) have agreed with the underwriters that, subject to certain exceptions, for a period of 90 days after the date of this prospectus, we and they will not directly or indirectly offer, pledge, sell, contract to sell, sell any option or contract to purchase or otherwise dispose of any common stock or any securities convertible into or exercisable or exchangeable for common stock, or in any manner transfer all or a portion of the economic consequences associated with the ownership of common stock, or cause a registration statement covering any common stock to be filed, without the prior written consent of J.P. Morgan Securities LLC and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC. See "Underwriting." J.P. Morgan Securities LLC and Credit Suisse Securities at their discretion.

The market price of our common stock may decline significantly when the restrictions on resale by our existing stockholders lapse. A decline in the price of our common stock might impede our ability to raise capital through the issuance of additional common stock or other equity securities.

The future issuance of additional common stock in connection with our incentive plans, acquisitions or otherwise will dilute all other stockholdings.

We have an aggregate of 2,682,774,077 shares of common stock authorized but unissued and not reserved for issuance under our incentive plans. We may issue all of these shares of common stock without any action or approval by our stockholders, subject to certain exceptions. We also intend to continue to evaluate acquisition opportunities and may issue common stock in connection with these acquisitions. Any common stock issued in connection with our incentive plans, acquisitions, the exercise of outstanding stock options or otherwise would dilute the percentage ownership held by the investors who purchase common stock in this offering.

As a public company, we incur additional costs and face increased demands on our management.

Since our acquisition by Brookfield in 2015, we have continued to comply with certain provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and regulations of the SEC. However, as a public company with shares listed on a U.S. exchange, we now must comply with additional rules and regulations that have not applied to us since 2015. We expect these rules and regulations to increase our legal and financial compliance costs and to make some activities more time-consuming and costly. For example, as a result of becoming a public company, we added independent directors and created additional board committees. In addition, we are incurring additional costs associated with our public company reporting requirements and maintaining directors' and officers' liability insurance. Any changes with respect to these rules may impose additional costs on us and materially affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

## Table of Contents

If securities or industry analysts do not publish research or publish inaccurate or unfavorable research about our business, our stock price and trading volume could decline.

The trading market for our common stock depends in part on the research and reports that securities or industry analysts publish about us or our business. If one or more of the analysts who covers us downgrades our common stock or publishes inaccurate or unfavorable research about our business, our stock price would likely decline. If one or more of these analysts ceases coverage of us or fails to publish reports on us regularly, demand for our common stock could decrease, which could cause our stock price and trading volume to decline.

#### **Table of Contents**

# Special note regarding forward-looking statements

Some of the statements under "Prospectus Summary," "Risk Factors," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," "Business," "Industry" and elsewhere in this prospectus may contain forward-looking statements that reflect our current views with respect to, among other things, future events and financial performance. You can identify these forward-looking statements by the use of forward-looking words such as "will," "may," "plan," "estimate," "project," "believe," "anticipate," "expect," "intend," "should," "would," "could," "target," "goal," "continue to," "positioned to" or the negative version of those words or other comparable words. Any forward-looking statements contained in this prospectus are based upon our historical performance and on our current plans, estimates and expectations in light of information currently available to us. The inclusion of this forward-looking information should not be regarded as a representation by us, the selling stockholder, the underwriters or any other person that the future plans, estimates or expectations contemplated by us will be achieved. These forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties and assumptions relating to our operations, financial results, financial condition, business, prospects, growth strategy and liquidity. Accordingly, there are or will be important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those indicated in these statements. We believe that these factors include, but are not limited to:

our history of net losses and the possibility that we may not achieve or maintain profitability in the future; the possibility that we are unable to implement our business strategies, including our initiative to secure and maintain three- to five-year take-or-pay customer contracts, in an effective manner; the possibility that new tax legislation could adversely affect us or our shareholders; the fact that pricing for graphite electrodes has historically been cyclical and, in the future, the price of graphite electrodes will likely decline from recent record highs; the sensitivity of our business and operating results to economic conditions; our dependence on the global steel industry generally and the EAF steel industry in particular; the possibility that global graphite electrode overcapacity may adversely affect graphite electrode prices; the competitiveness of the graphite electrode industry; our dependence on the supply of petroleum needle coke; our dependence on supplies of raw materials (in addition to petroleum needle coke) and energy; the legal, economic, social and political risks associated with our substantial operations in multiple countries;

the possibility that our results of operations could deteriorate if our manufacturing operations were substantially disrupted for an extended period, including as a result of equipment failure, climate change, natural disasters, public health crises, political crises or other catastrophic events;

the possibility that fluctuation of foreign currency exchange rates could materially harm our financial results;

#### **Table of Contents**

the possibility that plant capacity expansions may be delayed or may not achieve the expected benefits;

our dependence on third parties for certain construction, maintenance, engineering, transportation, warehousing and logistics services;

the possibility that we are unable to recruit or retain key management and plant operating personnel or successfully negotiate with the representatives of our employees, including labor unions;

the possibility that we may divest or acquire businesses, which could require significant management attention or disrupt our business;

the sensitivity of goodwill on our balance sheet to changes in the market;

the possibility that we are subject to information technology systems failures, cybersecurity attacks, network disruptions and breaches of data security;

our dependence on protecting our intellectual property;

the possibility that third parties may claim that our products or processes infringe their intellectual property rights;

the possibility that our manufacturing operations are subject to hazards;

changes in, or more stringent enforcement of, health, safety and environmental regulations applicable to our manufacturing operations and facilities;

the possibility that significant changes in our jurisdictional earnings mix or in the tax laws of those jurisdictions could adversely affect our business;

the possibility that our indebtedness could limit our financial and operating activities or that our cash flows may not be sufficient to service our indebtedness;

the possibility that restrictive covenants in our financing agreements could restrict or limit our operations;

the possibility that our cash flows are insufficient to service our indebtedness;

the fact that borrowings under certain of our existing financing agreements subjects us to interest rate risk;

the possibility of a lowering or withdrawal of the ratings assigned to our debt;

the possibility that disruptions in the capital and credit markets adversely affect our results of operations, cash flows and financial condition, or those of our customers and suppliers;

the possibility that highly concentrated ownership of our common stock may prevent minority stockholders from influencing significant corporate decisions;

the fact that certain of our stockholders have the right to engage or invest in the same or similar businesses as us;

the possibility that we may not pay cash dividends on our common stock in the future;

the fact that certain provisions of our Amended Certificate of Incorporation and our Amended By-Laws could hinder, delay or prevent a change of control;

## Table of Contents

the fact that the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware will be the exclusive forum for substantially all disputes between us and our stockholders:

our status as a "controlled company" within the meaning of the NYSE corporate governance standards, which allows us to qualify for exemptions from certain corporate governance requirements; and

other risks described in the "Risk Factors" section of this prospectus beginning on page 23.

These factors should not be construed as exhaustive and should be read in conjunction with the other cautionary statements that are included in this prospectus. The forward-looking statements made in this prospectus relate only to events as of the date on which the statements are made. We do not undertake any obligation to publicly update or review any forward-looking statement except as required by law, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise.

If one or more of these or other risks or uncertainties materialize, or if our underlying assumptions prove to be incorrect, our actual results may vary materially from what we may have expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. We caution that you should not place undue reliance on any of our forward-looking statements. You should specifically consider the factors identified in this prospectus that could cause actual results to differ before making an investment decision to purchase our common stock. Furthermore, new risks and uncertainties arise from time to time, and it is impossible for us to predict those events or how they may affect us.

## Table of Contents

# Use of proceeds

The selling stockholder will receive all of the net proceeds from the sale of shares of our common stock it is offering pursuant to this prospectus. The aggregate proceeds to the selling stockholder from the sale of shares of common stock will be the purchase price of the shares of common stock less discounts and commissions, if any. We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of these shares of common stock, including from any exercise by the underwriters of their option to purchase additional shares. We will bear all costs, fees and expenses in connection with this offering, which are estimated to be \$1 million, except that the selling stockholder will pay all underwriting discounts. See "Principal Stockholders and Selling Stockholder."

50

## Table of Contents

# Market price of common stock

Our common stock has been listed on the NYSE under the symbol "EAF" since April 18, 2018. Prior to that date, there was no public trading market for our common stock. The following table sets forth for the periods indicated the high and low intra-day per share sale prices for our common stock as reported on the NYSE:

	High	Low
Year Ending December 31, 2018		
Second quarter (from April 18, 2018)	\$ 20.53	\$ 14.45
Third quarter (through August 3, 2018)	\$ 24.36	\$ 17.11

On August 3, 2018, the last reported sale price of our common stock on the NYSE was \$21.04 per share. As of June 30, 2018, we had 2 stockholders of record of our common stock. The actual number of stockholders is greater than this number of stockholders of record, and includes stockholders who are beneficial owners, but whose shares are held in street name by brokers, trusts and other nominees.

#### **Table of Contents**

## **Dividend policy**

In 2017, we reoriented our commercial strategy around a three- to five-year take-or-pay contract framework. We expect a high degree of stability in our future operating results due to these contracts. We have executed three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts representing approximately 636,000 MT at a weighted average contract price over the next five years of approximately \$9,700 per MT. In addition, we believe our ability to source all of our petroleum needle coke requirements for these contracts from our Seadrift facility and our hedging of our purchases of decant oil mitigates the impact of periodic shortages and price fluctuations of raw materials on our sales and provides us with substantial visibility into our future raw material costs. See "Business Contracts and customers." As a result of this recent contract initiative, we currently pay a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.085 per share, or an aggregate of \$0.34 per share on an annualized basis. We expect to continue to pay this dividend out of cash generated from operations; we do not intend to incur indebtedness to fund regular, quarterly dividend payments.

We cannot assure you, however, that we will pay dividends in the future in these amounts or at all. Our board of directors may change the timing and amount of any future dividend payments or eliminate the payment of future dividends in its sole discretion, without any prior notice to our stockholders. Our ability to pay dividends will depend upon many factors, including our financial position and liquidity, results of operations, legal requirements, restrictions that may be imposed by the terms of our current and future credit facilities and other debt obligations and other factors deemed relevant by our board of directors.

For example, we may or may not be able to, or may decide not to, pay dividends if we are unable, for any reason, to continue our contract strategy in the future or we experience a significant disruption in our manufacturing operations or our production of petroleum needle coke at Seadrift that, in either case, inhibits our ability to deliver the contracted volumes under our three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts. In addition, adverse market conditions may lead us to prioritize repaying the principal on our outstanding indebtedness. Our ability to pay dividends on our common stock is also limited as a practical matter by the terms of the 2018 Credit Agreement. In the future, we may also enter into other credit agreements or other borrowing arrangements or issue debt securities that, in each case, restrict or limit our ability to pay cash dividends on our common stock. In addition, since we are a holding company with no operations of our own, our ability to pay dividends is dependent on the ability of our subsidiaries to make distributions to us. Their ability to make such distributions will be subject to their operating results, cash requirements and financial condition. For further discussion of the factors that may affect our business and our ability to pay dividends, see "Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business and Industry" And "Risk Factors Risks Related to our Common Stock We may not pay cash dividends on our common stock."

## Table of Contents

# Capitalization

The following sets forth our cash and cash equivalents and capitalization as of June 30, 2018

You should read this table in conjunction with "Use of Proceeds," "Selected Consolidated Historical Financial Data" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes and other financial information included elsewhere in this prospectus.

	June 30, 2018
	(thousands)
Cash and cash equivalents(1)	\$ 166,140
Debt:	
2018 Credit Agreement	2,209,075
Other debt	931
Total debt	2,210,006
Stockholders' equity:	
Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 3,000,000,000 shares authorized, 302,225,923 shares issued	3,022
Additional paid-in capital	851,496
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	32,250
Accumulated deficit	(1,877,787)
Total stockholders' deficit(1)	(991,019)
•	
Total capitalization(1)	\$ 1,218,987

(1) We expect to use cash on hand to fund the share repurchase, including cash generated between June 30, 2018 and the date of this offering; we do not intend to incur indebtedness to fund the share repurchase. Any repurchased shares will no longer be outstanding but will be retired. As a result of the share repurchase, the amounts of our cash and cash equivalents, stockholders' equity and total capitalization will be adjusted accordingly. We intend to concurrently repurchase directly from the selling stockholder approximately \$225 million of our common stock.

#### **Table of Contents**

## Selected historical consolidated financial and other data

The following tables present selected consolidated financial information of the Company. You should read these tables along with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," "Business" and our audited and unaudited consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The summary consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 (January 1, 2015 to August 14, 2015, Predecessor Period, and August 15, 2015 to December 31, 2015, Successor Period) and the summary consolidated balance sheet data at December 31, 2017 and 2016 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. The summary consolidated statement of operations data for the six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 and the summary consolidated balance sheet data at June 30, 2018 have been derived from our unaudited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. Our historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in the future.

As a result of business combination accounting resulting from our acquisition by Brookfield (see Note 2, Preferred Share Issuance and Merger, of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this prospectus), our financial statements are separated into two distinct periods, the period before the consummation of our acquisition by Brookfield (labeled "Predecessor") and the period after that date (labeled "Successor"), to indicate the application of the different basis of accounting between the periods presented. There were no operational activities that changed as a result of our acquisition by Brookfield.

## Table of Contents

						Successor	Pred	ecessor
		For the six months ended June 30,			e year ended Jecember 31,I	For the period August 15 through December 31,	Jai t	For the period nuary 1 hrough gust 14,
		2018	2017	2017	2016	2015		2015
				(in thou	isands, except	t share and pe	r shar	re data)
Statement of Operations Data:					, <u> </u>	•		
Net sales	\$	908,231	\$ 221,053	\$ 550,771	\$ 437,963	\$ 193,133	\$ 3	339,907
Income (loss) from continuing operations		423,810	(35,611)	14,212	(108,869)	(28,625)	(	101,970)
Net income (loss)		425,121	(43,727)	7,983	(235,843)	(33,551)	(	120,649)
Basic income (loss) per common share(a):								
Income (loss) from continuing operations per share	\$	1.40 5	\$ (0.12)	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.36)	\$ (0.09)	\$	(0.74)
Weighted average common shares outstanding	30	2,225,923	302,225,923	302,225,923	302,225,923	302,225,923	137,	152,430
Balance Sheet Data (at period end):	_							
Total assets	\$	, ,	. , ,	. , ,	\$ 1,172,276			
Other long-term obligations(b)		71,006	91,177	68,907	82,148	94,318		
Total long-term debt		2,103,628	363,975	322,900	356,580	362,455		
Other Financial Data:								
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	377,675	3,473	\$ 36,573	\$ 22,815	\$ 23,115	\$	28,323
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities		(27,894)	(10,289)	(2,199)	(10,471)	(17,484)		(39,918)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities		(195,822)	7,073	(32,995)	(8,317)	(23,072)		20,824

- (a) Successor period per share data gives effect to the 3,022,259.23-for-1 stock split on our common stock effected on April 12, 2018.
- (b) Represents pension and OPEB and related costs and miscellaneous other long-term obligations.

					G	
					Successor	Predecessor
	six month	For the as ended June 30,	For the yea		For the period August 15 through ecember 31,	January 1 through
	2018	2017	2017	2016	2015	2015
					(i	n thousands)
Other Financial Information:						

EBITDA from continuing operations(1)	\$ 532,911 \$	11,844 \$	97,884 \$	(12,251)\$	12,674	\$ (32,197)
Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations(1)	602,295	16,452 \$	95,806 \$	(2,898) \$	14,396	\$ 31,628

	For the six months ended June 30,					For the	•	r ended lber 31,	
(in thousands, except price data)		2018		2017		2017	2016		2015
Sales volume (MT)(2)		88		85		172	163		145
Weighted average realized price(3)	\$	10,027	\$	2,362	\$	2,945	\$ 2,459	\$	3,344
Production volume (MT)(4)		88		84		166	151		137
Production capacity (MT)(5)		103		99		195	195		195
Production capacity excluding St. Marys during idle period (MT)(6)		89		85		167	176		195
Capacity utilization(7)		85%		85%		85%	77%		70%
Capacity utilization excluding St. Marys during idle period(6)		99%		99%		99%	85%		70%

- (1) See below for more information and a reconciliation of EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA to net income (loss), the most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP.
- (2) Sales volume reflects the total volume of graphite electrodes sold for which revenue has been recognized during the period. See below for more information on our key operating metrics.
- (3) Weighted average realized price reflects the total revenues from sales of graphite electrodes for the period divided by the graphite electrode sales volume for that period. See below for more information on our key operating metrics.
- (4) Production volume reflects graphite electrodes produced during the period. See below for more information on our key operating metrics.
- (5) Production capacity reflects expected maximum production volume during the period under normal operating conditions, standard product mix and expected maintenance downtime. Actual production may vary. See below for more information on our key operating metrics.
- (6) The St. Marys, Pennsylvania facility was temporarily idled effective the second quarter of 2016, except for the machining of semi-finished products sourced from other plants.
- (7) Capacity utilization reflects production volume as a percentage of production capacity. See below for more information on our key operating metrics.

## **Non-GAAP** financial measures

In addition to providing results that are determined in accordance with GAAP, we have provided certain financial measures that are not in accordance with GAAP. EBITDA from continuing operations and adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations are non-GAAP financial measures. We define EBITDA from continuing operations, a non-GAAP financial measure, as net income or loss plus interest expense, minus interest income, plus income taxes, discontinued operations and depreciation and amortization. We define adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations as EBITDA from continuing operations plus any pension and OPEB plan expenses, rationalization-related charges, costs related to our IPO, non-cash gains or losses from foreign currency remeasurement of non-operating liabilities in our foreign subsidiaries where the functional currency is the U.S. dollar, stock-based compensation, non-cash fixed asset write-offs and related party TRA expense. Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations is the primary metric used by our management and our board of directors to establish budgets and operational goals for managing our business and evaluating our performance.

We monitor adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations as a supplement to our GAAP measures, and believe it is useful to present to investors, because we believe that it facilitates evaluation of our period-to-period operating performance by eliminating items that are not operational in nature, allowing comparison of our recurring core business operating results over multiple periods unaffected by differences in capital structure, capital investment cycles and fixed asset base. In addition, we believe adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations and similar measures are widely used by investors, securities analysts, ratings

#### **Table of Contents**

agencies, and other parties in evaluating companies in our industry as a measure of financial performance and debt-service capabilities.

Our use of adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations has limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations are:

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect our cash expenditures for capital equipment or other contractual commitments, including any capital expenditures for future capital expenditure requirements to augment or replace our capital assets;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect the interest expense or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments on our indebtedness;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect tax payments that may represent a reduction in cash available to us;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect expenses relating to our pension and OPEB plans;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect the non-cash gains or losses from foreign currency remeasurement of non-operating liabilities in our foreign subsidiaries where the functional currency is the U.S. dollar;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect stock-based compensation expense;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect rationalization-related charges, acquisition costs, costs related to the proxy contests, costs related to our IPO or the non-cash write-off of fixed assets;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect tax-related expense for future payment to the selling stockholder under the TRA for tax assets that we expect to be utilized; and

other companies, including companies in our industry, may calculate EBITDA from continuing operations and adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations differently, which reduces its usefulness as a comparative measure.

In evaluating EBITDA from continuing operations and adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations, you should be aware that in the future, we will incur expenses similar to the adjustments in this presentation. Our presentations of EBITDA from continuing operations and adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations should not be construed as suggesting that our future results will be unaffected by these expenses or any unusual or non-recurring items. When evaluating our performance, you should consider EBITDA from continuing operations and adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations alongside other financial performance measures, including our net income (loss) and other GAAP measures.

## Table of Contents

For a reconciliation of these measures to the most directly comparable GAAP measures, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Non-GAAP Financial Measures."

# **Key Operating Metrics**

Key operating metrics consist of sales volume, weighted average realized price, production volume, production capacity and capacity utilization.

Sales volume reflects the total volume of graphite electrodes sold for which revenue has been recognized during the period. For a discussion of our revenue recognition policy, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies Revenue Recognition." Under our policy, volume discounts and rebates are recorded as a reduction of revenue in conjunction with the sale of the graphite electrodes. Weighted average realized price reflects the total revenues from sales of graphite electrodes for the period divided by the graphite electrode sales volume for that period. Production volume reflects graphite electrodes produced during the period. Production capacity reflects expected maximum production volume during the period under normal operating conditions, standard product mix and expected maintenance downtime. Capacity utilization reflects production volume as a percentage of production capacity.

#### **Table of Contents**

# Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read together with our Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying notes and other financial information appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. Information in this section is intended to assist the reader in obtaining an understanding of our Consolidated Financial Statements, the changes in certain key items in those financial statements from year-to-year, the primary factors that accounted for those changes, any known trends or uncertainties that we are aware of that may have a material effect on our future performance, as well as how certain accounting principles affect our Consolidated Financial Statements. This discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. See "Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements." Our actual results could differ materially from those forward-looking statements as a result of many factors, including those discussed in "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this prospectus.

#### Overview

We are a leading manufacturer of high quality graphite electrode products essential to the production of EAF steel and other ferrous and non-ferrous metals. We believe that we have the most competitive portfolio of low-cost graphite electrode manufacturing facilities in the industry, including three of the five highest capacity facilities in the world (excluding China). We are the only large scale graphite electrode producer that is substantially vertically integrated into petroleum needle coke, the primary raw material for graphite electrode manufacturing, which is currently in limited supply. Between 1984 and 2011, EAF steelmaking was the fastest-growing segment of the steel sector, with production increasing at an average rate of 3.5% per year, based on WSA data. Historically, EAF steel production has grown faster than the overall steel market due to the greater resilience, more variable cost structure, lower capital intensity and more environmentally friendly nature of EAF steelmaking. This trend was partially reversed between 2011 and 2015 due to global steel production overcapacity driven largely by Chinese BOF steel production. Beginning in 2016, efforts by the Chinese government to restructure China's domestic steel industry have led to limits on Chinese BOF steel production and lower export levels, and developed economies, which typically have much larger EAF steel industries, have instituted a number of trade policies in support of domestic steel producers. As a result, since 2016, the EAF steel market has rebounded strongly and resumed its long-term growth trajectory. This revival in EAF steel production has resulted in increased demand for our graphite electrodes.

At the same time, two supply-side structural changes have contributed to recent record high prices of graphite electrodes. First, ongoing consolidation and rationalization of graphite electrode production capacity have limited the ability of graphite electrode producers to meet demand. We estimate that approximately 20% of graphite electrode industry production capacity (excluding China) has been closed or repurposed since the beginning of 2014, and we believe the majority of these closures represent permanent reductions. Second, demand for petroleum needle coke has outpaced supply due to increasing demand for petroleum needle coke for lithium-ion batteries used in electric vehicles. As a result, graphite electrode prices have recently reached record high prices. We have implemented a new commercial strategy to sell 60% to 65% of our production capacity through three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts. These contracts define volumes and prices, along with price-escalation mechanisms for inflation, and include significant termination payments (typically, 50% to 70% of remaining contracted revenue) and, in certain cases, parent guarantees and collateral arrangements to manage our customer credit risk. We

#### Table of Contents

expect a high degree of stability in our future operating results due to these contracts. We have entered into three-to-five-year take-or-pay contracts to sell approximately 132,406, 138,446, 134,831, 117,600 and 112,883 MT in 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021 and 2022, respectively. See "Business Our company We have reoriented our commercial strategy" and "Business Contracts and customers."

#### **GrafTech's transformation**

Since 2012, we have executed a three-part transformation plan to improve our competitive position and allow us to better serve our customers. Since 2012, we have achieved annual fixed manufacturing cost improvements of \$80 million, annual capital expenditure requirement reductions of \$45 million and annual overhead expense reductions of approximately \$65 million, all while also improving the productivity of our plant network. We have strategically shifted production from our lowest to our highest production capacity facilities to increase fixed cost absorption. This, coupled with a recovery in customer demand, resulted in a steady increase in our capacity utilization, reaching 100% in the second quarter of 2018 (excluding the temporarily idled St. Marys facility). We have also reduced our annual overhead expenses by approximately \$65 million since 2012 by simplifying our corporate structure from a conglomerate model to a centralized business focused exclusively on the production of graphite electrodes and petroleum needle coke, and we have streamlined and combined our workforce and various administrative functions for efficiency, and eliminated R&D functions unrelated to graphite electrodes. In 2018, we expect to have maintenance capital expenditures of approximately \$35 million. In addition to our fixed cost reductions, we have been able to achieve significant productivity improvements and variable cost reductions across our plants since 2014. Finally, we are currently implementing an operational improvement and debottlenecking initiative, which we expect will increase our currently operating production capacity by approximately 21%, or 35,000 MT, by the end of 2018, allowing us to achieve further improvements in our cost structure. As a result of our prior operational improvement activities, we are able to achieve this large capacity increase with specific, highly targeted capital investments. This debottlenecking initiative is expected to result in approximately \$42 million of capital expenditures, slightly higher than previous estimates due mainly to currency impacts. The majority of costs associated with this initiative will be expended in 2018. We expect our debottlenecking initiative along with our maintenance capital expenditures to result in approximately \$65 million to \$70 million of total capital expenditures in 2018.

In light of improved market conditions, the long lead time required to produce our products, our position as one of the market's largest producers and our ability, through our substantial vertical integration with Seadrift, to provide customers with a reliable long-term supply of graphite electrodes despite the market shortage of petroleum needle coke, we have implemented a new commercial strategy to sell 60% to 65% of our production capacity through three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts. For more information on our new commercial strategy, see "Business Contracts and Customers." Additionally, the divestiture of our non-core legacy Engineered Solutions businesses in 2016 and 2017 has allowed our management team to focus on our core competency of graphite electrode production and generated approximately \$60 million in cash proceeds and release of working capital. By focusing our management's attention and R&D spending exclusively on the graphite electrode business, we have been able to meaningfully improve the quality of our graphite electrodes, repositioning ourselves as an industry quality leader and improving our relationships with strategic customers.

## Global economic conditions and outlook

The graphite electrode industry has historically followed the growth of the EAF steel industry and, to a lesser extent, the steel industry as a whole, which has been highly cyclical and affected significantly by

60

#### Table of Contents

general economic conditions. Historically, EAF steel production has grown faster than the overall steel market due to the greater resilience, more variable cost structure, lower capital intensity and more environmentally friendly nature of EAF steelmaking.

This growth trend has resumed after a decline in EAF steelmaking between 2011 and 2015, as Chinese steel production, which is predominantly BOF-based, grew significantly, taking market share from EAF steel producers. Throughout 2015 and 2016, our business faced significant headwinds in the major industries that we served, including slow economic growth and stagnation in steel production year-over-year. These factors exerted continued downward pressure on prices for our products, which negatively impacted our recent historical profitability. Additionally, in 2015, steel producers utilized BOFs over EAFs at rates higher than we had historically seen, pressuring the prices of and demand for graphite electrodes, as steel consumers in the United States and Europe, our largest markets, increased imports of low-cost steel products, primarily from China. Additionally, prices for iron ore, the key raw material for BOFs, declined faster than scrap steel, the key raw material in EAF production. While a decline in the price of oil benefited our cost structure overall, it contributed to lower prices for petroleum needle coke and, indirectly, graphite electrodes.

Graphite electrodes saw further pricing pressure in the first half of 2016, but EAF production started to recover during the second half of 2016, which indicated a potential bottoming out in prices. Costs of the key raw materials used to run BOFs increased, and the price of scrap steel decreased, re-balancing the economics of EAF mills relative to BOFs. These developments resulted in an increase in our sales volume over the prior year; however, the decline in prices more than offset the volume increase. Because customers historically negotiated annual agreements in the third and fourth quarters of each calendar year for graphite electrodes to be delivered the following year, increases in price often lag behind increases in volume. Nonetheless, a decline in the price of oil and our rationalization initiatives significantly improved our cost structure and positioned us to benefit from a potential recovery.

The outlook for general economic and industry-specific growth brightened in 2017. In its January 2018 report, the IMF increased its estimated global growth rate 2018 and 2019 estimates by 0.2% to 3.9%. The IMF maintained these estimates recently in its June 2018 World Economic Outlook Publication. The WSA's April 2018 Short Range Outlook estimated global steel production outside of China would grow by 3.4% over 2017 levels to 879 million MT in 2018 and by 2.9% to 904 million MT in 2019. The WSA noted that "in the next couple of years, the global economic situation is expected to remain favourable with high confidence and strengthening recovery of investment levels in advanced economies."

Other recent macroeconomic and industry trends have created significant increases in demand for graphite electrodes. Beginning in 2016, efforts by the Chinese government to eliminate excess steelmaking production capacity and improve environmental and health conditions have led to limits on Chinese BOF steel production, including the closure of over 200 million MT of its steel production capacity, based on data from S&P Global Platts and the Ministry of Commerce of the People's Republic of China. In 2017, Chinese steel exports fell by more than 30% from 2016, including 17 consecutive months of year-over-year declines, according to the National Bureau of Statistics of China. Reflecting the reduction in steel production capacity, as a result, the historical growth trend of EAF steelmaking relative to the overall steel market resumed and has led to increased demand for our graphite electrodes. At the same time, ongoing consolidation and rationalization of graphite electrode production capacity has limited the ability of graphite electrode producers to meet this demand. Prior to this improvement in demand, the electrode industry experienced an extended, five-year downturn, resulting in a reduction of production capacity outside of China of approximately 200,000 MT (or approximately 20%) since the beginning of 2014.

#### **Table of Contents**

Petroleum needle coke, which is the primary raw material for graphite electrode manufacturing, and coal tar pitch, which is a raw material used in our manufacturing processes, are currently in limited supply. Demand for petroleum needle coke has outpaced supply due to increasing demand for petroleum needle coke in the production of lithium-ion batteries used in electric vehicles. Increased demand has led to pricing increases of four to six times for petroleum needle coke in the current market compared to one year ago. While we believe that our substantial vertical integration into petroleum needle coke through our ownership of Seadrift provides a significant cost advantage relative to our competitors in periods of tight petroleum needle coke supply, such as the current market environment, we currently purchase approximately 25% of our petroleum needle coke requirements from external sources. As a result, we expect to incur increased costs purchasing that portion of our petroleum needle coke supply. Additionally, supply of coal tar pitch, a byproduct of coking metallurgical coal used in BOF steelmaking, has fallen as a result of the reduced demand for metallurgical coke for BOF steel furnaces. Consequently, prices for coal tar pitch have increased starting in the second half of 2017. The higher raw material purchase costs for both petroleum needle coke and coal tar pitch are expected to contribute to an increase in our costs of goods sold in future quarters of 2018.

These factors have led to supply constraints for our products. There are indications that this demand and supply imbalance could persist for some time. As a result, graphite electrode prices have recently reached record high prices.

Industry spot prices during the second quarter of 2018 have averaged approximately \$15,000 to \$20,000 per MT. As a result of our recent three-to five-year contracting initiative and other sales commitments, most of our 2018 production capacity is now contracted or committed by purchase orders.

We expect the results of our operational improvement and debottlenecking initiative to our annual production capacity by approximately 21% during the fourth quarter of 2018. This incremental volume from our capacity expansion will be available for sale to customers on a spot basis going forward.

We expect to experience higher input raw material purchase costs in 2018, which will be reflected in cost of goods sold in future quarters.

#### Tax Cuts and Jobs Act

On December 22, 2017, the U.S. government enacted the Tax Act, which significantly revises the U.S. corporate income tax system. These changes include a federal statutory rate reduction from 35% to 21%, the elimination or reduction of certain domestic deductions and credits and limitations on the deductibility of interest expense and executive compensation. The Tax Act also transitions international taxation from a worldwide system to a modified territorial system and includes base erosion prevention measures which have the effect of subjecting certain earnings of our foreign subsidiaries to U.S. taxation as global intangible low-taxed income (or GILTI). In general, these changes will be effective beginning in 2018. The Tax Act also includes a one-time mandatory deemed repatriation or transition tax on the accumulated previously untaxed foreign earnings of our foreign subsidiaries.

As a result of the Tax Act, we recorded a charge in 2017 totaling \$54.1 million, reflecting our current estimate of the impact of the Tax Act. This charge included a \$52.2 million charge related to the revaluation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities due to the reduction of the U.S. corporate tax rate and \$39.6 million of transition tax, partially offset by \$37.7 million of additional foreign tax credit related to the transition tax. However, this \$54.1 million charge was offset by a release of valuation allowance reserve on the deferred tax assets. Our accounting for the impacts of the Tax Act is provisional and

#### **Table of Contents**

amounts may be revised in future periods as described in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 118, which was issued on December 22, 2017 to provide guidance on the accounting for the effects of the Tax Act.

## **Components of results of operations**

Net sales

Net sales reflect sales of our products, including graphite electrodes and associated by-products. Several factors affect net sales in any period, including general economic conditions, competitive conditions, scheduled plant shutdowns by customers, national vacation practices, changes in customer production schedules in response to seasonal changes in energy costs, weather conditions, strikes and work stoppages at customer plants and changes in customer order patterns including those in response to the announcement of price increases or price adjustments.

Revenue from sales of our commercial products is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, title has passed, the amount is determinable and collection is reasonably assured. Sales are recognized when both title and the risks and rewards of ownership are transferred to the customer or services have been rendered and fees have been earned in accordance with the contract.

Volume discounts, rebates, and returns are recorded as a reduction of revenue in conjunction with the sale of the related products. Returns are highly infrequent as graphite electrodes are consumed upon their use in the steel production process. Changes to estimates are recorded when they become probable.

#### Cost of sales

Cost of sales includes the costs associated with products invoiced during the period as well as non-inventoried manufacturing overhead costs and outbound transportation costs. Cost of sales includes all costs incurred at our production facilities to make products saleable, such as raw materials, energy costs, direct labor and indirect labor and facilities costs, including purchasing and receiving costs, plant management, inspection costs, product engineering and internal transfer costs. In addition, all depreciation associated with assets used to produce products and make them saleable is included in cost of sales. Direct labor costs consist of salaries, benefits and other personnel-related costs for employees engaged in the manufacturing of our products.

## Inventory valuation

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is principally determined using the "first-in first-out" (or FIFO) and average cost, which approximates FIFO, methods. Elements of cost in inventory include raw materials, energy costs, direct labor, manufacturing overhead and depreciation of the manufacturing fixed assets. We allocate fixed production overheads to the costs of conversion based on normal capacity of the production facilities. We recognize abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted materials (spoilage) as current period charges. Market, or net realizable value, is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal and transportation.

## Research and development

We conduct our research and development both independently and in conjunction with our strategic suppliers, customers and others. Expenditures relating to the development of new products and processes, including significant improvements to existing products, are expensed as incurred.

#### **Table of Contents**

Selling and administrative expenses

Selling and administrative expenses include salaries, benefits and other personnel related costs for employees engaged in sales and marketing, customer technical services, engineering, finance, information technology, human resources and executive management. Other costs include outside legal and accounting fees, risk management (insurance), global operational excellence, global supply chain, in-house legal, share-based compensation and certain other administrative and global resources costs. Our "mark-to-market adjustment" refers to our accounting policy regarding pension and OPEB plans, where we immediately recognize the change in the fair value of plan assets and net actuarial gains and losses annually in the fourth quarter of each year.

Other expense (income)

Other expense (income) consists primarily of foreign currency impacts on non-operating assets and liabilities and miscellaneous income and expense.

Interest expense

Interest expense consists primarily of interest expense on our Old Revolving Credit Facility and the Senior Notes, accretion of the fair value adjustment on the Senior Notes and amortization of debt issuance costs.

Income (loss) from discontinued operations

As of June 30, 2016, the Engineered Solutions segment qualified for reporting as discontinued operations, and the disposition of the segment was substantially complete by the end of the third quarter of 2017. All results are reported as gain or loss from discontinued operations, net of tax.

Business combination accounting

As a result of business combination accounting resulting from our acquisition by Brookfield (see Note 2, Preferred Share Issuance and Merger, of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this prospectus), our financial statements are separated into two distinct periods, the period before the consummation of our acquisition by Brookfield (labeled "Predecessor") and the period after that date (labeled "Successor"), to indicate the application of the different basis of accounting between the periods presented. There were no operational activities that changed as a result of our acquisition by Brookfield. Our consolidated statements of operations subsequent to our acquisition by Brookfield include amortization expense relating to the fair value adjustment of intangibles and depreciation expense based on the fair value of our property, plant and equipment that had previously been carried at historical cost less accumulated depreciation.

## Effects of changes in currency exchange rates

When the currencies of non-U.S. countries in which we have a manufacturing facility decline (or increase) in value relative to the U.S. dollar, this has the effect of reducing (or increasing) the U.S. dollar equivalent cost of sales and other expenses with respect to those facilities. In certain countries in which we have manufacturing facilities, and in certain export markets, we sell in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Accordingly, when these currencies increase (or decline) in value relative to the U.S. dollar, this has the effect of increasing (or reducing) net sales. The result of these effects is to increase (or decrease) operating profit and net income.

Many of the non-U.S. countries in which we have a manufacturing facility have been subject to significant economic and political changes, which have significantly impacted currency exchange rates. We cannot

#### **Table of Contents**

predict changes in currency exchange rates in the future or whether those changes will have net positive or negative impacts on our net sales, cost of sales or net income.

The impact of these changes in the average exchange rates of other currencies against the U.S. dollar on our net sales was an increase of \$4.5 million, \$0.4 million and a decrease of \$37.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

The impact of these changes in the average exchange rates of other currencies against the U.S. dollar on our net sales was an increase of \$2.7 million and \$12.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2017. The impact of these changes on our cost of sales was an increase of \$3.3 million and \$10.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2017.

As part of our cash management, we also have intercompany loans between our subsidiaries. These loans are deemed to be temporary and, as a result, remeasurement gains and losses on these loans are recorded as currency gains or losses in other income (expense), net, on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

We have in the past and may in the future use various financial instruments to manage certain exposures to risks caused by currency exchange rate changes, as described under "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risks."

## Key metrics used by management to measure performance

In addition to measures of financial performance presented in our Consolidated Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP, we use certain other financial measures and operating metrics to analyze the performance of our company. The "non-GAAP" financial measures consist of EBITDA from continuing operations and adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations, which help us evaluate growth trends, establish budgets, assess operational efficiencies and evaluate our overall financial performance. The key operating metrics consist of sales volume, weighted average realized price, production volume, production capacity and capacity utilization.

## **Kev financial measures**

				S	Successor	Predecessor	,
	For the six ended	a months June 30,	For the ye		For the period august 15 through ember 31,	For the period January 1 through August 14,	<u> </u>
(in thousands)	2018	2017	2017	2016	2015	2015	,
Net sales Net income (loss) EBITDA from continuing operations(1) Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations(1)	\$ 908,231 \$ \$ 425,121 \$ \$ 532,911 \$ \$ 602,295 \$	(43,727) \$ 11,844 \$ 16,452 \$	7,983 \$ 97,884 \$	437,963 \$ (235,843)\$ (12,251)\$ (2,898)\$	193,133 (33,551) 12,674 14,396	\$ 339,907 \$ (120,649) \$ (32,197) \$ 31,628	) ')
operations(1)	\$ 602,295 \$	16,452 \$ 65	95,806\$	(2,898)\$	14,396	\$	31,628

## **Key operating metrics**

	For the six months ended June 30,					For the year ende December 3				
(in thousands, except price data)		2018		2017		2017		2016		2015
Sales volume (MT)(2)		88		85		172		163		145
Weighted average realized price(3)	\$	10,027	\$	2,362	\$	2,945	\$	2,459	\$	3,344
Production volume (MT)(4)		88		84		166		151		137
Production capacity (MT)(5)		103		99		195		195		195
Production capacity excluding St. Marys during idle period (MT)(6)		89		85		167		176		195
Capacity utilization(7)		85%		85%		85%		77%		70%
Capacity utilization excluding St. Marys during idle period(6)		99%		99%		99%		85%		70%

- (1) See below for more information and a reconciliation of EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA to net income (loss), the most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP.
- (2) Sales volume reflects the total volume of graphite electrodes sold for which revenue has been recognized during the period. See below for more information on our key operating metrics.
- (3) Weighted average realized price reflects the total revenues from sales of graphite electrodes for the period divided by the graphite electrode sales volume for that period. See below for more information on our key operating metrics.
- (4) Production volume reflects graphite electrodes produced during the period. See below for more information on our key operating metrics.
- (5) Production capacity reflects expected maximum production volume during the period under normal operating conditions, standard product mix and expected maintenance downtime. Actual production may vary. See below for more information on our key operating metrics.
- (6) The St. Marys, Pennsylvania facility was temporarily idled effective the second quarter of 2016, except for the machining of semi-finished products sourced from other plants.
- (7) Capacity utilization reflects production volume as a percentage of production capacity. See below for more information on our key operating metrics.

## **Non-GAAP** financial measures

In addition to providing results that are determined in accordance with GAAP, we have provided certain financial measures that are not in accordance with GAAP. EBITDA from continuing operations and adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations are non-GAAP financial measures. We define EBITDA from continuing operations, a non-GAAP financial measure, as net income or loss plus interest expense, minus interest income, plus income taxes, discontinued operations and depreciation and amortization from continuing operations. We define adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations as EBITDA from continuing operations plus any pension and OPEB plan expenses, rationalization-related charges, costs related to our IPO, non-cash gains or losses from foreign currency remeasurement of non-operating liabilities in our foreign subsidiaries where the functional currency is the U.S. dollar, stock-based compensation, non-cash fixed asset write-offs and related party TRA expense. Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations is the primary metric used by our management and our board of directors to establish budgets and operational goals for managing our business and evaluating our performance.

#### Table of Contents

We monitor adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations as a supplement to our GAAP measures, and believe it is useful to present to investors, because we believe that it facilitates evaluation of our period-to-period operating performance by eliminating items that are not operational in nature, allowing comparison of our recurring core business operating results over multiple periods unaffected by differences in capital structure, capital investment cycles and fixed asset base. In addition, we believe adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations and similar measures are widely used by investors, securities analysts, ratings agencies, and other parties in evaluating companies in our industry as a measure of financial performance and debt-service capabilities.

Our use of adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations has limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations are:

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect our cash expenditures for capital equipment or other contractual commitments, including any capital expenditures for future capital expenditure requirements to augment or replace our capital assets;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect the interest expense or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments on our indebtedness;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect tax payments that may represent a reduction in cash available to us;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect expenses relating to our pension and OPEB plans;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect the non-cash gains or losses from foreign currency remeasurement of non-operating liabilities in our foreign subsidiaries where the functional currency is the U.S. dollar;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect stock-based compensation expense;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect rationalization-related charges, acquisition costs, costs related to the proxy contests, costs related to our IPO or the non-cash write-off of fixed assets;

adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations does not reflect tax-related expense for future payment to the selling stockholder under the Tax Receivable Agreement for tax assets that we expect to be utilized; and

other companies, including companies in our industry, may calculate EBITDA from continuing operations and adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations differently, which reduces its usefulness as a comparative measure.

In evaluating EBITDA from continuing operations and adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations, you should be aware that in the future, we will incur expenses similar to the adjustments in this presentation.

## Table of Contents

Our presentations of EBITDA from continuing operations and adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations should not be construed as suggesting that our future results will be unaffected by these expenses or any unusual or non-recurring items. When evaluating our performance, you should consider EBITDA from continuing operations and adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations alongside other financial performance measures, including our net income (loss) and other GAAP measures.

The following table reconciles our non-GAAP key financial measures to the most directly comparable GAAP measures:

				S	uccessor	Pre	decessor
		For the months ended June 30,	For the ye		For the period august 15 through mber 31,		For the period inuary 1 through igust 14,
	2018	2017	2017	2016	2015		2015
							ousands)
Net income (loss)	\$ 425,121 \$	\$ (43,727)\$	5 7,983 \$	(235,843)\$	(33,551)	\$ (	(120,649)
Add: Discontinued operations	(1,311)	8,116	6,229	126,974	4,926		18,679
Depreciation and amortization	31,696	30,983	64,025	77,614	24,424		37,473
Interest expense	66,532	15,448	30,823	26,914	9,999		26,211
Interest income	(506)	(262)	(395)	(358)	(6)		(363)
Income taxes	11,379	1,286	(10,781)	(7,552)	6,882		6,452
EBITDA from continuing operations	532,911	11,844	97,884	(12,251)	12,674		(32,197)
Adjustments:							
Pension and OPEB plan (gain) expenses(1)	995	1,525	(1,611)	(626)	2,397		2,973
Impairments(2)	0	0	(2.050)	2,843	207		35,381
Rationalization-related (gains)/charges(3)	1	(779)	(3,970)	2,366	387		3,049
Acquisition and proxy contests costs(4)	5 121	0	886	8,036	961		22,618
Initial public offering ("IPO") expenses(5) Non-cash loss (gain) on foreign currency	5,121						
remeasurement(6)	223	3,862	1,731	(5,465)	(2,023)		(196)
Stock-based compensation	181	3,002	1,731	(3,403)	(2,023)		(170)
Non-cash fixed asset write-off	1,062		886	2,199			
Related party Tax Receivable Agreement	1,002		000	2,177			
expense(7)	61,801						
Adjusted EBITDA from continuing							
operations	\$ 602,295 \$	16,452 \$	5 95,806 \$	(2,898)\$	14,396	\$	31,628

- (1) Service and interest cost of our pension and OPEB plans. Also includes a mark to market loss (gain) for plan assets as of December of each year.
- (2) Goodwill impairment in the first quarter of 2015 for the needle coke reporting unit.

- (3) Costs associated with rationalizations in our graphite electrode manufacturing operations and in the corporate structure. They include severance charges, contract termination charges, write off of equipment and (gain)/loss on sale of manufacturing sites.
- (4) Legal costs associated with the proxy contests in early 2015; transaction costs associated with the merger transaction with Brookfield in August 2015, resulting in change in control compensation expenses, including the acceleration of stock-based compensation in the period of January 1 through August 14, 2015.
- (5) Legal, accounting, printing and registration fees associated with the IPO
- (6) Non cash (gain) loss from foreign currency remeasurement of non operating liabilities of our non U.S. subsidiaries where the functional currency is the U.S. dollar.
- (7) Tax-related expense for future payment to the selling stockholder for tax assets that are expected to be utilized.

# **Key Operating Metrics**

Key operating metrics consist of sales volume, weighted average realized price, production volume, production capacity and capacity utilization.

68

#### **Table of Contents**

Sales volume reflects the total volume of graphite electrodes sold for which revenue has been recognized during the period. For a discussion of our revenue recognition policy, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies Revenue Recognition." Under our policy, volume discounts and rebates are recorded as a reduction of revenue in conjunction with the sale of the graphite electrodes. Weighted average realized price reflects the total revenues from sales of graphite electrodes for the period divided by the graphite electrode sales volume for that period. Sales volume and price help investors understand the factors that drive our net sales.

Production volume reflects graphite electrodes produced during the period. Production capacity reflects expected maximum production volume during the period under normal operating conditions, standard product mix and expected maintenance downtime. Capacity utilization reflects production volume as a percentage of production capacity. Production volume, production capacity and capacity utilization help us understand the efficiency of our production, evaluate cost of sales and consider how to approach our contract initiative.

#### **Customer base**

We are a global company and sell our products in every major geographic market. Sales of these products to buyers outside the United States accounted for approximately 79% in the first six months of 2018, 81% in 2017 and 83% in 2016 of our net sales.

In the six months ended June 30, 2018, six of our ten largest customers were based in Europe, two in the United States and one each in Brazil and Qatar. In 2017, five of our ten largest customers were based in Europe, two in the United States and one each in Brazil, Russia and Mexico. However, all of these customers are multi-national operators.

The following table summarizes information as to our operations in different geographical areas:

	For the six months ended June 30,	For the year end December 3			
(in thousands)	2018		2017		2016
Net sales:					
United States	\$ 192,354	\$	103,890	\$	74,526
Americas (excluding the United States)	183,724		129,103		116,944
Asia Pacific	61,970		46,329		41,302
Europe, Middle East, Africa	470,183		271,449		205,191
-					
Total	\$ 908.231	\$	550,771	\$	437,963

In the six months ended June 30, 2018 and in the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, one customer accounted for more than 10% of our net sales. Due to the increased demand for our products, we believe this customer does not pose a significant risk, as sales to this customer could be replaced by demand from other customers.

#### **Table of Contents**

Results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2018 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2017

# For the six months ended June 30,

	2018	2017	Increase/ decrease	% change
			(Dollars in th	ousands)
Net sales	\$ 908,231	\$ 221,053	\$ 687,178	311%
Cost of sales	311,059	209,887	101,172	48%
Gross profit	597,172	11,166	586,006	5,248%
Research and development	1,010	1,754	(744)	(42)%
Selling and administrative expenses	32,115	23,824	8,291	35%
Operating income (loss)	564,047	(14,412)	578,459	(4,014)%
Other expense (income), net	1,031	4,727	(3,696)	(78)%
Related party Tax Receivable Agreement expense	61,801		61,801	N/A
Interest expense	66,532	15,448	51,084	331%
Interest income	(506)	(262)	(244)	93%
Income (loss) from continuing operations before provision for income				
taxes	435,189	(34,325)	469,514	(1,368)%
Provision for income taxes	11,379	1,286	10,093	785%
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	423,810	(35,611)	459,421	(1,290)%
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	1,311	(8,116)	9,427	(116)%
Net income (loss)	\$ 425,121	\$ (43,727)	\$ 468,848	(1,072)%

Net sales increased by \$687.2 million, or 311%, from \$221.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2017 to \$908.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2018. This increase was driven by a 318% increase in the weighted average realized price for graphite electrodes and a 2.9% increase in sales volume in the six months ended June 30, 2018 compared to the same period in 2017. The weighted average realized price increased to \$10,027 per MT in the six months ended June 30, 2018 compared to \$2,362 per MT in the same period of the prior year. These increases in weighted average realized price and sales volume were driven by increased demand for graphite electrodes due to growth in EAF steel manufacturing, combined with a constrained graphite electrode supply due to reductions in graphite electrode manufacturing capacity over the past several years and a limited supply of our key raw material, petroleum needle coke.

We have successfully implemented our strategy entering into take-or-pay sales agreements with our customers that range from three to five years in duration. For the six months ended June 30, 2018, approximately 68% of our revenues were generated from these contracts, with the remainder generated from other graphite electrode sales and by-product sales.

Cost of sales. Cost of sales increased by \$101.2 million, or 48%, from \$209.9 million in the six months ended June 30, 2017 to \$311.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2018. This increase was primarily the result of the higher input costs of needle coke and pitch. Cost of sales was also negatively impacted by \$10.4 million of foreign currency impact.

*Selling and administrative expenses.* Selling and administrative expenses increased by \$8.3 million, or 35%, from \$23.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2017 to \$32.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2018. This increase was driven primarily by \$5.1 million of additional costs related to our IPO and financing costs.

#### Table of Contents

Other (income) expense. Other expense decreased by \$3.7 million, or 78%, from \$4.7 million in six months ended June 30, 2017 to \$1.0 million in the six months ended June 30, 2018. This decrease was primarily due to non cash foreign currency impacts on non operating assets and liabilities.

Related party tax receivable agreement expense. This \$61.8 million of expense is the result of our tax receivable agreement liability recorded after it was estimated we would realize certain deferred tax assets.

Interest expense. Interest expense increased by \$51.1 million, or 331%, from \$15.4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2017 to \$66.5 million in the six months ended June 30, 2018, due to increased interest expense primarily due to additional borrowings and the early extinguishment of our Senior Notes. These early extinguishment charges included accelerated accretion of the fair value adjustment on the Senior Notes of \$18.7 million and a premium redemption of \$4.8 million.

Provision for income taxes. The following table summarizes the expense/(benefit) for income taxes:

# For the six months ended June 30,

2018 2017

	(Dollars	ousands)	
Tax expense	\$ 11,379	\$	1,286
Pretax income (loss)	435,189		(34,325)
Effective tax rates	2.6%		(3.7)%

For the six months ended June 30, 2017, the effective tax rate of (3.7)% differs from the previous U.S. statutory rate of 35% primarily due to recent losses in the U.S. and Switzerland where we receive no tax benefit due to a full valuation allowance and worldwide earnings from various countries taxed at different rates. The recognition of the valuation allowance does not result in or limit the Company's ability to utilize these tax assets in the future.

For the six months ended June 30, 2018, the effective tax rate of 2.6% differs from the current U.S. statutory rate of 21% primarily due to the partial release of a valuation allowance recorded against the deferred tax asset related to U.S. tax attributes and worldwide earnings from various countries taxed at different rates. The recognition of the valuation allowance does not result in or limit the Company's ability to utilize these tax assets in the future.

The tax expense changed from a charge of \$1.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017 to a tax charge of \$11.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2018. This change is primarily due to the partial release of a valuation allowance recorded against the deferred tax asset related to U.S. tax attributes and shift in the jurisdictional mix of earnings and losses from year to year. Certain jurisdictions shifted from pre-tax losses in the first half of 2017 to pretax earnings in the first half of 2018.

Income (loss) from discontinued operations. Income (loss) from our discontinued operations increased by \$9.4 million, or 116%, from a loss of \$8.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2017 to income of \$1.3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2018. The loss in the six months ended June 30, 2017 included an impairment of \$5.3 million. The remaining increase was due to the elimination of operations in 2017 and the wind-down of remaining assets into 2018.

#### **Table of Contents**

## Results of operations for 2017 as compared to 2016

	For the Year Decem			
(in thousands)	2017	2016		
Net sales	\$ 550,771	\$ 437,963		
Cost of sales	461,339	448,016		
Additions to lower of cost or market inventory reserve	1,509	18,974		
	2,200	- 0,2 7 1		
Gross profit (loss)	87,923	(29,027)		
Research and development	2,951	2,399		
Selling and administrative expenses	49,479	57,784		
Impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill		2,843		
Operating income (loss)	35,493	(92,053)		
Other expense (income), net	1,634	(2,188)		
Interest expense	30,823	26,914		
Interest income	(395)	(358)		
Income (loss) from continuing operations before provision for income taxes	3,431	(116,421)		
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	(10,781)	(7,552)		
· / 1	, , ,			
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 14,212	\$ (108,869)		
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	(6,229)	(126,974)		
Net income (loss)	\$ 7,983	\$ (235,843)		

Net sales. Net sales increased by \$112.8 million, or 26%, from \$438.0 million in 2016 to \$550.8 million in 2017. This increase was driven by a 19% increase in weighted average realized price for graphite electrodes and a 6.5% increase in sales volume in 2017 compared to 2016. The increases in weighted average sales price and sales volume were driven by increased demand for graphite electrodes due to recent general economic and industry conditions. In particular, prices decreased throughout 2016 and into the first quarter of 2017, but began to increase in the third quarter of 2017. The weighted average sales price increased an additional 42% from the third quarter to the fourth quarter of 2017.

Cost of sales. Cost of sales increased by \$13.3 million, or 3%, from \$448.0 million in 2016 to \$461.3 million in 2017. Increased sales volume of graphite electrodes was the primary driver of this increase resulting in additional cost of sales of \$15.1 million.

Lower of cost or market inventory adjustment. We incurred an inventory adjustment to reduce inventory to the lower of cost or market of \$19.0 million in 2016 for certain product lines within our graphite electrode business. Improved pricing and lower costs in 2017 lessened the need for these charges.

Research and development. Research and development expenses increased by \$0.6 million, or 23% from \$2.4 million in 2016 to \$3.0 million in 2017, primarily due to the write-off of certain research and development fixed assets in connection with our decision to stop research on a project. These charges were partially offset by an increased benefit of \$0.3 million in 2017 from our annual mark-to-market adjustment for pension and OPEB plans.

*Selling and administrative expenses.* Selling and administrative expenses decreased by \$8.3 million, or 14%, from \$57.8 million in 2016 to \$49.5 million in 2017. This decrease was driven primarily by continued cost reduction efforts, which were achieved by simplifying our corporate structure. We also experienced an

#### **Table of Contents**

increased benefit of \$1.9 million in 2017 compared to 2016 from our annual mark-to-market adjustment for pension and OPEB plans.

Other (income) expense. Other expense increased by \$3.8 million, or 175%, from income of \$2.2 million in 2016 to expense of \$1.6 million in 2017. This increase was primarily due to non-cash foreign currency impacts on non-operating assets and liabilities and was partially offset by interest income received as part of the resolution of a value added tax (or VAT) dispute in a foreign jurisdiction.

*Interest expense.* Interest expense increased by \$3.9 million, or 15%, from \$26.9 million in 2016 to \$30.8 million in 2017, primarily due to the increased effective interest rate on our Old Revolving Credit Facility.

Loss from discontinued operations. Loss from our discontinued operations decreased by \$120.7 million, or 95%, from \$127.0 million in 2016 to \$6.2 million in 2017. The decrease in loss was primarily due to a \$119.9 million impairment charge to align the carrying value of assets held for sale to their estimated fair value in 2016.

Benefit from income taxes. The following table summarizes the benefit for income taxes in 2017 and 2016:

The effective tax rate for fiscal 2017 was (314)%. It reflects the release of \$16 million of valuation allowance reserve established against our GrafTech Switzerland net deferred tax assets. A \$54.1 million charge related to the impact of the Tax Act was recorded in the fourth quarter of 2017 but was offset by a release of a valuation allowance reserve on the deferred tax assets. The \$54.1 million charge includes a \$52.2 million charge related to the revaluation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities due to the reduction of the U.S. corporate tax rate and \$39.6 million of transition tax, partially offset by \$37.7 million of additional foreign tax credit related to the transition tax on unrepatriated earnings.

During 2016 and 2017 the effective tax rates differed from the U.S. statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the losses incurred in the United States (and in Switzerland in 2016), where we receive no tax benefit due to a full valuation allowance, as well as taxes on worldwide earnings from various countries. The recognition of the valuation allowance does not result in or limit our ability to utilize these tax assets in the future.

The tax expense changed from a benefit of \$7.6 million, for an effective tax rate of 6.5% for the year ended December 31, 2016 to a tax benefit of \$10.8 million for a (314)% effective rate for the year ended December 31, 2017. This change in the effective tax rate is primarily related to a shift in the jurisdictional mix of earnings and losses from year to year. Certain foreign jurisdictions shifted from pre-tax losses in 2016 to pre-tax earnings in 2017 (including Switzerland, where a tax benefit was reflected in the 2017 effective tax rate) while the contribution of U.S. pre-tax losses, for which we receive no tax benefit, decreased from 2016 to 2017.

#### Table of Contents

We are still evaluating the impact of the Tax Act on our future U.S. tax liability, but at this time, we expect that the overall impact of the Tax Act on our effective tax rate will be a decrease over more normalized levels from 2016. This decrease is expected due to certain new provisions included in the Tax Act, specifically the reduction in the U.S. income tax rate offset by the new GILTI rules.

#### Production Capacity

Our graphite electrode plant production capacity in 2017 was 195,000 MT including our St. Marys facility, which has been temporarily idled since the second quarter of 2016. Due to the idling of St. Marys, our production capacity declined to 176,000 MT in 2016 and 167,000 MT in 2017. This production capacity reduction concentrated our manufacturing capabilities at our lowest cost, highest efficiency facilities. This, coupled with a recovery in customer demand, resulted in an increase to our capacity utilization, excluding the St. Marys facility, from 85% in 2016 to 99% in 2017.

## Results of operations for 2016 as compared to 2015

		Successor		Predecessor	
	For the y		For the period ust 15 through J		r the period ry 1 through
(in thousands)	Decembe	er 31, 20 <b>16</b> ece	ember 31, 2015	Aug	gust 14, 2015
Net sales	\$	437,963 \$	193,133	\$	339,907
Cost of sales		448,016	180,845		305,001
Additions to lower of cost or market inventory reserve		18,974			
Gross profit (loss)		(29,027)	12,288		34,906
Research and development		2,399	1,083		3,377
Selling and administrative expenses		57,784	23,768		64,397
Impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill		2,843			35,381
Operating loss		(92,053)	(12,563)		(68,249)
Other expense (income), net		(2,188)	(813)		1,421
Interest expense		26,914	9,999		26,211
Interest income		(358)	(6)		(363)
Loss from continuing operations before provision for income taxes		(116,421)	(21,743)		(95,518)
(Benefit) provision for income taxes		(7,552)	6,882		6,452
Net loss from continuing operations	\$	(108,869) \$	(28,625)	\$	(101,970)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax		(126,974)	(4,926)		(18,679)
Net loss	\$	(235,843) \$	(33,551)	\$	(120,649)

Net sales. Net sales decreased from \$339.9 million in the period January 1 through August 14, 2015 and \$193.1 million in the period August 15 through December 31, 2015 to \$438.0 million in 2016. This decrease was driven by a 27% decrease in the weighted average realized price for graphite electrodes, which was largely due to overcapacity within the graphite electrode industry. The decrease in price was partially offset by a 12% increase in sales volume due to our customers restocking on electrodes as EAF industry production levels began to recover.

#### **Table of Contents**

Cost of sales. We experienced a decrease in cost of sales from \$305.0 million in the period January 1 through August 14, 2015 and \$180.8 million in the period August 15 through December 31, 2015 to \$448.0 million in 2016. We achieved this reduction despite the 12% increase in sales volumes and increased depreciation expense resulting from the increase in fixed asset carrying value due to the step-up in value after our acquisition by Brookfield. Decreased oil prices during 2016 drove down the price of decant oil, the key raw material in our petroleum needle coke production platform, which decreased our cost of sales. Cost savings resulting from our rationalization initiatives over the previous three years generated the remainder of the favorable impact to cost of sales, as we shifted production from our smaller production facilities to our largest production facilities to increase fixed cost absorption, resulting in an increase to our capacity utilization, excluding the St. Marys facility, from 70% for the year ended December 31, 2015 to 85% for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Lower of cost or market inventory adjustment. In 2016, we incurred an inventory adjustment of \$19.0 million to reduce inventory to the lower of cost or market in certain product lines within our graphite electrode business reflecting the decreased prices for graphite electrodes.

*Research and development.* Research and development expenses decreased from \$3.4 million in the period January 1 through August 14, 2015 and \$1.1 million in the period August 15 through December 31, 2015 to \$2.4 million in 2016. This decrease was primarily driven by headcount reductions and cost-cutting measures.

Selling and administrative expenses. Selling and administrative expenses decreased from \$64.4 million in the period January 1 through August 14, 2015 and \$23.8 million in the period August 15 through December 31, 2015 to \$57.8 million in 2016. This decrease was primarily driven by a reduction in non-recurring charges. Fees associated with our proxy contests and acquisition-related costs represented \$23.6 million in 2015, while they were approximately \$8.0 million in 2016. Additionally, we incurred a \$2.9 million decrease in our 2016 mark-to-market adjustment as compared to 2015. The remainder of the decrease was the result of headcount reductions and cost-cutting measures, which were achieved by simplifying our corporate structure.

Impairments. As a result of the margin contraction for petroleum needle coke due to the price decreases, we recorded a goodwill impairment charge in our petroleum needle coke reporting unit totaling \$35.4 million during the first quarter of 2015. During the fourth quarter of 2016, we recorded an impairment in the value of assets held for sale at our facility in Brazil totaling \$2.8 million to align its fair value to offers at lower prices than previously estimated.

Other expense (income). Other expense (income) decreased from \$1.4 million of expense in the period January 1 through August 14, 2015 and \$0.8 million of income in the period August 15 through December 31, 2015 to \$2.2 million of income in 2016. The decrease was due to advantageous foreign currency impacts on non-operating assets and liabilities.

*Interest expense.* Interest expense decreased from \$26.2 million in the period January 1 through August 14, 2015 and \$10.0 million in the period August 15 through December 31, 2015 to \$26.9 million in 2016. The decrease was due to prepayment of our senior subordinated notes issued for an aggregate total face amount of \$200 million (or the Senior Subordinated Notes) in 2015.

Loss from discontinued operations. Loss from discontinued operations increased from \$18.7 million in the period January 1 through August 14, 2015 and \$4.9 million in the period August 15 through December 31, 2015 to \$127.0 million in 2016. This increase was primarily due to a \$119.9 million impairment charge to align the carrying value of assets held for sale to their estimated fair value.

#### Table of Contents

Provision for income taxes. The following table summarizes the expense for income taxes in 2016 and 2015:

Successor Predecessor

For the

period For the period For the year enthegust 15 through January 1 through

December 31, **20t6**mber 31, 2015 August 14, 2015

(Dollars in thousands)

		(2011	•	
Tax (benefit) expense	\$ (7,552) \$	6,882	\$	6,452
Loss from continuing operations before provision for income taxes	(116,421)	(21,743)		(95,518)
Effective tax rates	6.5%	(31.7)%		(6.8)%

During 2015 and 2016, the effective tax rate differed from the U.S. statutory rate of 35% primarily due to losses incurred in the United States (and in Switzerland in 2016), where we receive no tax benefit due to a full valuation allowance, and taxes on worldwide earnings from various other countries. The recognition of the valuation allowance does not result in or limit our ability to utilize these tax assets in the future.

The tax expense decreased from a \$6.5 million expense, for a (6.8)% effective tax rate, in the period January 1 to August 14, 2015 and a \$6.9 million expense, for a (31.7)% effective tax rate, in the period August 15 to December 31, 2015 to a benefit of \$7.5 million, for an effective tax rate of 6.5%, in 2016. The change in the effective tax rate from the year 2015 to the year 2016 is primarily related to a shift in the jurisdictional mix of earnings and losses from year to year. Certain foreign jurisdictions shifted from a pre-tax earnings basis in 2015 to a pre-tax loss basis in 2016 while the contribution of the U.S. and Switzerland pre-tax losses, for which we receive no tax benefit, decreased from 2015 to 2016.

## Production capacity

Our graphite electrode plant production capacity in 2015 was 195,000 MT including our St. Marys facility, which has been temporarily idled since the second quarter of 2016. Due to the wind down and ultimate idling of St. Marys, our production capacity, excluding the St. Marys facility, declined to 176,000 MT in 2016. This production capacity reduction concentrated our manufacturing capabilities at our lowest cost, highest efficiency facilities. This, coupled with a recovery in customer demand, resulted in an increase to our capacity utilization, excluding the St. Marys facility, from 70% in 2015 to 85% in 2016.

## **Effects of inflation**

We incur costs in the United States and each of the non-U.S. countries in which we have a manufacturing facility. In general, our results of operations, cash flows and financial condition are affected by the effects of inflation on our costs incurred in each of these countries.

## **Currency translation and transactions**

We translate the assets and liabilities of our non-U.S. subsidiaries into U.S. dollars for consolidation and reporting purposes in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 830, Foreign Currency Matters. Foreign currency translation adjustments are generally recorded as part of stockholders' equity and identified as part of accumulated other comprehensive loss on the Consolidated Balance Sheets until such time as their operations are sold or substantially or completely liquidated.

#### Table of Contents

We account for our Russian, Swiss, Luxembourg and Mexican subsidiaries using the dollar as the functional currency, as sales and purchases are predominantly dollar-denominated. Our remaining subsidiaries use their local currency as their functional currency.

We also record foreign currency transaction gains and losses from non-permanent intercompany balances as part of other (income) expense, net.

Significant changes in currency exchange rates impacting us are described under "Effects of Changes in Currency Exchange Rates" and "Results of Operations."

## Liquidity and capital resources

Our sources of funds have consisted principally of cash flow from operations and debt, including our credit facilities (subject to continued compliance with the financial covenants and representations). Our uses of those funds (other than for operations) have consisted principally of dividends, capital expenditures, cash paid for acquisitions and associated expenses, debt reduction payments and other obligations. Disruptions in the U.S. and international financial markets could adversely affect our liquidity and the cost and availability of financing to us in the future.

We believe that we have adequate liquidity to meet our needs. As of June 30, 2018, we had liquidity of \$409.6 million consisting of \$243.5 million of availability on our 2018 Revolving Facility (subject to continued compliance with the financial covenants and representations) and cash and cash equivalents of \$166.1 million. We had long term debt of \$2,103.6 million, short term debt of \$106.4 million and stockholder's deficit of \$(991.0) million as of June 30, 2018. As of December 31, 2017, we had liquidity of \$165.2 million consisting of \$151.8 million available on our Old Revolving Facility (subject to continued compliance with the financial covenants and representations and adjusting for the \$25 million minimum liquidity requirement) and cash and cash equivalents of \$13.4 million. We had long term debt of \$322.9 million, short term debt of \$16.5 million and stockholders' equity of \$613.2 million as of December 31, 2017.

As of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, \$62.6 million and \$12.6 million, respectively, of our cash and cash equivalents were located outside of the United States. We repatriate funds from our foreign subsidiaries through dividends. All of our subsidiaries face the customary statutory limitation that distributed dividends do not exceed the amount of retained and current earnings. In addition, for our subsidiary in South Africa, the South Africa Central Bank imposes that certain solvency and liquidity ratios remain above defined levels after the dividend distribution, which historically has not materially affected our ability to repatriate cash from this jurisdiction. The cash and cash equivalents balances in South Africa were \$6.5 million and \$1.8 million as of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. Upon repatriation to the United States, the foreign source portion of dividends we receive from our foreign subsidiaries is no longer subject to U.S. federal income tax as a result of the Tax Act.

Cash flow and plans to manage liquidity. Our cash flow typically fluctuates significantly between quarters due to various factors. These factors include customer order patterns, fluctuations in working capital requirements, timing of capital expenditures, acquisitions, divestitures and other factors. We had positive cash flow from operating activities during 2017, 2016 and 2015. Although the global economic environment experienced significant swings in these periods, our working capital management and cost control initiatives allowed us to remain operating cash flow positive in both times of declining and improving operating results. Cash from operations is expected to return to positive sustained levels due to the predictable earnings generated by our three-to-five-year sales contracts with our customers.

#### **Table of Contents**

As of June 30, 2018, we had access to the \$250 million 2018 Revolving Facility, which was undrawn. We had \$6.5 million of letters of credit, for a total availability on the 2018 Revolving Facility of \$243.5 million. As of December 31, 2017, we had \$39.5 million of borrowings and \$8.7 million of letters of credit, for a total of \$48.2 million drawn against the Old Revolving Credit Facility. We also had \$0.5 million of surety bonds outstanding as of both June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017.

On February 12, 2018, we entered into the 2018 Credit Agreement, which provides for the 2018 Revolving Facility and the 2018 Term Loan Facility. On February 12, 2018, our wholly owned subsidiary, GrafTech Finance, borrowed \$1,500 million under the 2018 Term Loan Facility. The funds received were used to pay off our outstanding debt, including borrowings under our Old Credit Agreement and the Senior Notes and accrued interest relating to those borrowings and the Senior Notes, declare and pay a dividend of \$1,112.0 million to the selling stockholder, pay fees and expenses incurred in connection therewith and for other general corporate purposes.

On April 19, 2018, we declared a dividend in the form of the Brookfield Promissory Note to the selling stockholder. The \$750 million Brookfield Promissory Note was conditioned upon (i) the Senior Secured First Lien Net Leverage Ratio (as defined in the 2018 Credit Agreement), as calculated based on our final financial results for the first quarter of 2018, being equal to or less than 1.75 to 1.00, (ii) no Default or Event of Default (each as defined in the 2018 Credit Agreement) having occurred and continuing or that would result from the \$750 million Brookfield Promissory Note and (iii) the satisfaction of the conditions described in (i) and (ii) above occurring within 60 days from the dividend record date. Upon publication of our first quarter report on Form 10-Q, these conditions were met and, as a result, the Brookfield Promissory Note became payable.

The Brookfield Promissory Note had a maturity of eight years from the date of issuance and bore interest at a rate equal to the Adjusted LIBO Rate (as defined in the Brookfield Promissory Note) plus an applicable margin equal to 4.50% per annum, with an additional 2.00% per annum starting from the third anniversary from the date of issuance. We were permitted to make voluntary prepayments at any time without premium or penalty. All obligations under the Brookfield Promissory Note were unsecured and guaranteed by all of our existing and future domestic wholly owned subsidiaries that guarantee, or are borrowers under, the Senior Secured Credit Facilities. No funds were lent or otherwise contributed to us by the selling stockholder in connection with the Brookfield Promissory Note. As a result, we received no consideration in connection with its issuance. As described below, the Brookfield Promissory Note was repaid, in full, on June 15, 2018.

On April 19, 2018, we declared a \$160 million cash dividend payable to Brookfield, the sole pre-IPO stockholder. Payment of this dividend was conditional upon (i) the Senior Secured First Lien Net Leverage Ratio, as calculated based on our final financial results for the first quarter of 2018, being equal to or less than 1.75 to 1.00, (ii) no Default or Event of Default having occurred and continuing or that would result from the payment of the dividend and (iii) the payment occurring within 60 days from the dividend record date. The conditions of this dividend were met upon filing of our first quarter report on Form 10-Q and the dividend was paid on May 8, 2018.

On June 15, 2018, we entered into the First Amendment to the 2018 Credit Agreement. The First Amendment amends the 2018 Credit Agreement to provide for an additional \$750 million in aggregate principal amount of Incremental Term Loans to GrafTech Finance. The Incremental Term Loans increase the aggregate principal amount of term loans incurred by GrafTech Finance under the 2018 Credit Agreement from \$1,500 million to \$2,250 million. The Incremental Term Loans have the same terms as those applicable to the 2018 Term Loans, including interest rate, payment and prepayment terms,

#### Table of Contents

representations and warranties and covenants. The Incremental Term Loans mature on February 12, 2025, the same date as the 2018 Term Loans. We paid an upfront fee of 1.00% of the aggregate principal amount of the Incremental Term Loans on the effective date of the First Amendment.

The proceeds of the Incremental Term Loans were used to repay, in full, the \$750 million of principal outstanding on the Brookfield Promissory Note.

We currently pay a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.085 per share, or an aggregate of \$0.34 per share on an annualized basis. For the quarterly period ending June 30, 2018, we paid a prorated cash dividend for the period beginning on the closing date of the IPO and ending on the last day of that period. On July 31, 2018 the Board of Directors declared a dividend for the third quarter of 2018 of \$0.085 per share, payable to stockholders of record as of August 31, 2018 to be paid on September 28, 2018.

We cannot assure you, however, that we will pay dividends in the future in these amounts or at all. Our board of directors may change the timing and amount of any future dividend payments or eliminate the payment of future dividends in its sole discretion, without any prior notice to our stockholders. Our ability to pay dividends will depend upon many factors, including our financial position and liquidity, results of operations, legal requirements, restrictions that may be imposed by the terms of our current and future credit facilities and other debt obligations and other factors deemed relevant by our board of directors.

Potential uses of our liquidity include dividends, share repurchases, capital expenditures, acquisitions, debt repayments and other general purposes. Continued volatility in the global economy may require additional borrowings under the 2018 Revolving Facility. An improving economy, while resulting in improved results of operations, could increase our cash requirements to purchase inventories, make capital expenditures and fund payables and other obligations until increased accounts receivable are converted into cash. A downturn could significantly and negatively impact our results of operations and cash flows, which, coupled with increased borrowings, could negatively impact our credit ratings, our ability to comply with debt covenants, our ability to secure additional financing and the cost of such financing, if available.

In the event that operating cash flows fail to provide sufficient liquidity to meet our business needs, including capital expenditures, any such shortfall would need to be made up by increased borrowings under our 2018 Revolving Facility, to the extent available.

In order to seek to minimize our credit risks, we may reduce our sales of, or refuse to sell (except for cash on delivery or under letters of credit or parent guarantees), our products to some customers and potential customers. In the current economic environment, our customers may experience liquidity shortages or difficulties in obtaining credit, including letters of credit. Our unrecovered trade receivables worldwide have not been material during the last two years individually or in the aggregate.

We manage our capital expenditures by taking into account quality, plant reliability, safety, environmental and regulatory requirements, prudent or essential maintenance requirements, global economic conditions, available capital resources, liquidity, long-term business strategy and return on invested capital for the relevant expenditures, cost of capital and return on invested capital of the Company as a whole and other factors. We expect to have maintenance capital expenditures of approximately \$35 million in 2018. Our de-bottlenecking initiative is expected to result in approximately \$42 million of capital expenditures, slightly higher than previous estimates due mainly to currency impacts. The majority of costs associated with this initiative will be expended in 2018. We expect our debottlenecking initiative along with our maintenance capital expenditures to result in approximately \$65 million to \$70 million of total capital expenditures in 2018.

#### **Table of Contents**

In addition to the items discussed above, future financing activities and uses of our liquidity could include outflows related to the payment of the quarterly cash dividend to stockholders, stock repurchases and debt repayment.

**Related Party Transactions.** We have engaged in the following transactions with affiliates or related parties during the six months ended June 30, 2018: payment of dividends to Brookfield and entrance into and repayment of the Brookfield Promissory Note, TRA, Stockholders Rights Agreement and Registration Rights Agreement with Brookfield. Additionally, during 2016, Brookfield purchased on the open market in aggregate approximately \$53 million of the Senior Notes. We redeemed our Senior Notes on February 12, 2018.

We have also reimbursed certain costs incurred by Brookfield as required under the Investment Agreement dated May 4, 2015 between Brookfield and GrafTech, including in connection with, transactions with our current or former subsidiaries, compensatory transactions with directors and officers including employee benefits (including reimbursement to Brookfield for compensation costs incurred by it for certain personnel who devote substantially all of their working time to us), stock option and restricted stock grants, compensation deferral, stock purchases, and customary indemnification and expense advancement arrangements.

One of our independent directors purchased an aggregate of 5,000 shares of common stock in April 2018 in our IPO.

Cash flows

Cash flows include cash flows from both continuing and discontinued operations.

The following table summarizes our cash flow activities:

					Successor 1	essor Predeces		
	For the six months ended June 30,		For	the year Decem	ended	For the period August 15 through tember 31,	pe Janua thro	rough
	2018	2017	:	2017	2016	2015	2	2015
						(Dollars	in milli	ions)
Cash flow provided by (used in):								
Operating activities	\$ 377.7	\$ 3.5	\$	36.6 \$	22.8	\$ 23.1	\$	28.3
Investing activities	(27.9)	(10.3)		(2.2)	(10.5)	(17.5)	(	(39.9)
Financing activities	(195.8)	7.1	(	33.0)	(8.3)	(23.1)		20.8

## **Operating activities**

Cash flow from operating activities represents cash receipts and cash disbursements related to all of our activities other than investing and financing activities. Operating cash flow is derived by adjusting net income (loss) for:

Non-cash items such as depreciation and amortization; impairment, post-retirement obligations and pension plan changes;

#### **Table of Contents**

Gains and losses attributed to investing and financing activities such as gains and losses on the sale of assets and unrealized currency transaction gains and losses; and

Changes in operating assets and liabilities which reflect timing differences between the receipt and payment of cash associated with transactions and when they are recognized in results of operations.

The net impact of the changes in working capital (operating assets and liabilities) include the impact of changes in: receivables, inventories, prepaid expenses, accounts payable, accrued liabilities, accrued taxes, interest payable and payments of other current liabilities.

During the six months ended June 30, 2018, changes in working capital resulted in a net use of funds of \$158.6 million which was impacted by:

net cash outflows in accounts receivable of \$110.7 million from the increase in accounts receivable due to increased sales driven by higher weighted average realized prices;

net cash outflows from increases in inventory of \$82.6 million, due primarily to higher priced raw materials;

net cash inflows from increases in accounts payable of \$21.1 million, due primarily to higher priced raw materials;

net cash inflows from decreased prepaid expense of \$8.3 million due to the reduction of advanced payments; and

net cash inflows from increased interest payable of \$5.3 million due to increased borrowings.

Other uses of cash in the six months ended June 30, 2018 included contributions to pension and other benefit plans of \$3.7 million, cash paid for interest of \$35.2 million and taxes paid of \$11.7 million.

During the six months ended June 30, 2017, changes in working capital resulted in a net source of funds of \$0.2 million which was impacted by:

net cash inflows in accounts receivable of \$1.1 million from the decrease in accounts receivable due to the collection of customer sales;

net cash inflows from decreases in inventory of \$6.0 million, due primarily to inventory management initiatives;

net cash outflows from increased prepaid expense of \$1.5 million due to advanced payments; and

net cash outflows due to decrease in accounts payable and accruals of \$5.5 million primarily resulting from timing of payments.

Other uses of cash in the six months ended June 30, 2017 included contributions to pension and other benefit plans of \$4.8 million.

In the year ended December 31, 2017, changes in working capital resulted in a net use of funds of \$20.0 million which was impacted by:

use of funds of \$29.8 million from the increase in accounts receivable, which was due primarily to increased sales driven by higher sales prices;

#### Table of Contents

use of funds from increases in inventory of \$15.6 million primarily due to the increased price of raw materials;

use of funds of \$10.6 million from increased prepaid and other current assets resulting from increased value-added tax receivables in foreign jurisdictions; and

source of funds of \$36.4 million from increases in accounts payable and other accruals primarily driven by customer deposits associated with our new three-to five-year take-or-pay contracts and the timing of payments for other liabilities.

Other uses of cash in the year ended December 31, 2017 included contributions to pension and other benefit plans of \$8.8 million, cash paid for interest of \$25.3 million and \$3.5 million of cash paid for taxes. In the year ended December 31, 2016, changes in working capital resulted in a net source of funds of \$68.6 million which was impacted by:

source of funds of \$3.4 million from the decrease in accounts receivable, which was due primarily to the timing of sales and payment collections during the year;

source of funds from inventory reductions of \$53.5 million primarily due to the planned reduction of inventory levels built up in prior years;

source of funds of \$15.8 million from increases in accounts payable; and

use of funds of \$2.8 million for the settlement of rationalization related liabilities.

Other uses of cash in the year ended December 31, 2016 included contributions to pension and other benefit plans of \$11.0 million, cash paid for interest of \$23.6 million and \$3.3 million of cash paid for taxes.

In the period August 15 through December 31, 2015, changes in working capital resulted in a net source of funds of \$26.8 million which was impacted by:

use of funds of \$9.5 million from the increase in accounts receivable, which was due primarily to the timing of sales and payment collections during the year;

source of funds from prepaid and other asset reductions of \$14.2 million primarily related to VAT receivable collections;

source of funds from inventory reductions of \$47.9 million primarily due to the planned reduction of inventory levels built up in prior years; and

use of funds of \$19.8 million from a decreases in accounts payable.

Other uses of cash in the period August 15 through December 31, 2015 included contributions to pension and other benefit plans of \$3.4 million, cash paid for interest of \$10.9 million and \$1.6 million of cash paid for taxes.

In the period January 1 through August 14, 2015, changes in working capital resulted in a net source of funds of \$45.6 million which was impacted by:

net cash inflows in accounts receivable of \$61.0 million from the decrease in accounts receivable due to the timing and collection of customer sales payments;

#### Table of Contents

net cash outflows from decreases in accounts payable and accruals of \$18.7 million, due primarily to changes in tax accruals and payables; and

an increase in interest payable of \$2.3 million.

Other uses of cash in the period January 1 through August 14, 2015 included contributions to pension and other benefit plans of \$11.2 million, cash paid for interest of \$10.7 million and \$5.0 million of cash paid for taxes.

## **Investing activities**

Net cash used in investing activities was \$27.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2018 resulting from capital expenditures of \$28.7 million partially offset by proceeds from the sale of fixed assets of \$0.8 million.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$10.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2017 and included capital expenditures of \$13.4 million and proceeds from the sale of fixed assets of \$3.2 million.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$2.2 million in the year ended December 31, 2017 and included capital expenditures of \$34.7 million, of which \$0.5 million were maintenance capital expenditures for discontinued operations. This use of cash was partially offset by cash proceeds from the sale of our Engineered Solutions businesses of \$27.3 million and proceeds from the sale of fixed assets of \$5.2 million.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$10.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2016 and included capital expenditures of \$27.9 million, of which \$4.7 million were maintenance capital expenditures for discontinued operations, proceeds from the sale of fixed assets of \$1.1 million and cash inflows of \$15.9 million from the divestiture of our Fiber Materials Inc. business.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$17.5 million in the period of August 15 through December 31, 2015 and included capital expenditures of \$18.4 million, of which \$4.4 million were maintenance capital expenditures for discontinued operations, and cash inflows of \$0.6 million related to the sale of fixed assets.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$39.9 million in the period of January 1 through August 14, 2015 and included capital expenditures of \$32.3 million, of which \$10.1 million were maintenance capital expenditures for discontinued operations, payments for derivative instruments of \$8.3 million and cash inflows of \$0.6 million related to the sale of fixed assets.

## **Financing activities**

Net cash outflow from financing activities was \$195.8 million during the six months ended June 30, 2018, which was the net impact of our February 12, 2018 refinancing and subsequent amendment, proceeds of which were used to repay outstanding debt, pay a dividend of \$1,291.5 million, and repay the \$750 million Brookfield Promissory Note to Brookfield.

Net cash inflow from financing activities was \$7.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2017, resulting from net borrowings under the Old Revolving Facility.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$33.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, resulting from net payments on our Old Revolving Credit Facility.

#### **Table of Contents**

Net cash used in financing activities was \$8.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2016 and included net payments on our Old Revolving Credit Facility of \$7.1 million and net payments of \$0.9 million for refinancing fees.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$23.1 million for the period August 15 through December 31, 2015 and included net payments on our Old Revolving Credit Facility of \$21.5 million and cash outflows of \$1.4 million for issuance costs related to our preferred share issuance.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$20.8 million in the period January 1 through August 14, 2015 and included cash proceeds of \$150.0 million from our issuance of preferred shares, cash inflows for net borrowings on our Old Revolving Credit Facility of \$79.5 million, \$200 million cash outflow for the prepayment of our Senior Subordinated Notes, cash outflows of \$5.1 million for refinancing fees and cash outflows of \$3.4 million for issuance costs related to our preferred share issuance.

As a part of our cash management activities, we manage accounts receivable credit risk, collections, and accounts payable vendor terms to maximize our free cash at any given time and minimize accounts receivable losses.

Financing transactions

#### Senior Notes

On November 20, 2012, we issued \$300 million principal amount of the Senior Notes. These Senior Notes were our senior unsecured obligations and ranked pari passu with all of our existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness. The Senior Notes were guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by each of our existing and future subsidiaries that guarantee certain of our or another guarantor's other indebtedness. The Senior Notes bore interest at a rate of 6.375% per year, payable semi-annually in arrears on May 15 and November 15 of each year. The Senior Notes were scheduled to mature on November 15, 2020.

We were entitled to redeem some or all of the Senior Notes at any time at the redemption prices set forth in the related indenture.

If, prior to maturity, a change in control (as defined in the indenture) of us occurred and thereafter certain downgrades of the ratings of the Senior Notes as specified in the indenture occurred, we would have been required to offer to repurchase any or all of the Senior Notes at a repurchase price equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount of the Senior Notes, plus any accrued and unpaid interest.

The indenture also contained covenants that, among other things, limited our ability and that of certain of our subsidiaries to: (i) create liens or use assets as security in other transactions; (ii) engage in certain sale/leaseback transactions; and (iii) merge, consolidate or sell, transfer, lease or dispose of substantially all of their assets.

The indenture also contained customary events of default, including (i) failure to pay principal or interest on the Senior Notes when due and payable, (ii) failure to comply with covenants or agreements in the indenture or the Senior Notes if not cured or waived as provided in the indenture, (iii) failure to pay our indebtedness or indebtedness of any Subsidiary Guarantor or Significant Subsidiary (as each term is defined in the indenture) in excess of \$50.0 million within any applicable grace period after maturity or acceleration, (iv) certain events of bankruptcy, insolvency, or reorganization, (v) failure to pay any judgment or decree for an amount in excess of \$50.0 million against us, any Subsidiary Guarantor or any Significant Subsidiary that was not discharged, waived or stayed as provided in the indenture, and (vi) cessation of any subsidiary guarantee to be in full force and effect or denial or disaffirmance by any Subsidiary Guarantor of its obligations under its subsidiary guarantee. In the case of an event of default,

#### Table of Contents

the principal amount of the Senior Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest could have been accelerated. The Senior Notes were redeemed on February 12, 2018, as described below under "Long-Term Contractual, Commercial and Other Obligations and Commitments."

## Old Revolving Credit Facility and Old Term Loan Facility

On April 23, 2014, we and certain of our subsidiaries entered into the Old Credit Agreement with a borrowing capacity of \$400 million and a maturity date of April 2019. On February 27, 2015, we and certain of our subsidiaries entered into a further amended and restated credit agreement that provided for, among other things, greater financial flexibility and a \$40 million senior secured delayed draw term loan facility (the Old Term Loan Facility). The Old Revolving Credit Facility and the Old Term Loan Facility both had maturity dates of April 2019.

On July 28, 2015, we and certain of our subsidiaries entered into an amendment to the Old Credit Agreement to change the terms regarding the occurrence of a default upon a change in control (which is defined thereunder to include the acquisition by any person of more than 25% of our outstanding shares) to exclude the acquisition of shares by Brookfield (see Note 2, Preferred Share Issuance and Merger, of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this prospectus). In addition, effective upon such acquisition, the financial covenants were eased, resulting in increased availability under the Old Revolving Credit Facility. The size of the Old Revolving Credit Facility was also reduced from \$400 million to \$375 million. The size of the Old Term Loan Facility remained at \$40 million.

On April 27, 2016, we and certain of our subsidiaries entered into an amendment to the Old Revolving Credit Facility. The size of the Old Revolving Credit Facility was permanently reduced from \$375 million to \$225 million. New covenants were also added to the Old Revolving Credit Facility, including a requirement to make mandatory repayments of outstanding amounts under the Old Revolving Credit Facility and the Old Term Loan Facility with the proceeds of any sale of all or any substantial part of the assets included in the Engineered Solutions segment and a requirement to maintain minimum liquidity (consisting of domestic cash, cash equivalents and availability under the Old Revolving Credit Facility) in excess of \$25 million. The covenants were also modified to provide for: the elimination of certain exceptions to our negative covenants limiting our ability to make certain investments, sell assets, make restricted payments, incur liens and incur debt; a restriction on the amount of cash and cash equivalents permitted to be held on the balance sheet at any one time without paying down the Old Revolving Credit Facility and the Old Term Loan Facility; and changes to our financial covenants so that until the earlier of March 31, 2019 or we had \$75 million in trailing twelve month EBITDA (as defined in the Old Credit Agreement), we were required to maintain trailing twelve month EBITDA from continuing operations above certain minimums ranging from (\$40 million) to \$35 million after which our existing financial covenants under the Old Revolving Credit Facility would apply.

With this amendment, we had full access to the \$225 million Old Revolving Credit Facility, subject to the \$25 million minimum liquidity requirement. As of December 31, 2017, we had \$39.5 million of borrowings on the Old Revolving Credit Facility and \$8.7 million of letters of credit drawn against the Old Revolving Credit Facility. As of December 31, 2016, we had \$61.2 million of borrowings and \$12.3 million of letters of credit, for a total of \$73.5 million drawn against the Old Revolving Credit Facility.

The \$40 million Old Term Loan Facility was fully drawn on August 11, 2015, in connection with the repayment of the Senior Subordinated Notes. We had \$18.7 million outstanding on its Old Term Loan Facility as of December 31, 2017.

#### **Table of Contents**

The interest rate applicable to the Old Revolving Credit Facility and Old Term Loan Facility was LIBOR plus a margin ranging from 2.25% to 4.75% (depending on our total senior secured leverage ratio). The borrowers were required to pay a per annum fee ranging from 0.35% to 0.70% (depending on our senior secured leverage ratio) on the undrawn portion of the commitments under the Old Revolving Credit Facility.

As of December 31, 2017, we were in compliance with all financial and other covenants contained in the Old Revolving Credit Facility, as applicable. As described below, the outstanding indebtedness under the Old Revolving Credit Facility has since been repaid and all commitments thereunder have been terminated.

#### 2018 Credit Agreement

On February 12, 2018, we entered into the 2018 Credit Agreement among us, GrafTech Finance, GrafTech Switzerland SA, a Swiss corporation and an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of GrafTech (or Swissco), GrafTech Luxembourg II S.à.r.l., a Luxembourg société à responsabilité limitée and an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of GrafTech (or Luxembourg Holdco) and, together with GrafTech Finance and Swissco, the Co-Borrowers), the lenders and issuing banks party thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as administrative agent and as collateral agent, which provides for (i) the 2018 Term Loan Facility and (ii) the 2018 Revolving Credit Facility, which may be used from time to time for revolving credit borrowings denominated in dollars or Euro, the issuance of one or more letters of credit denominated in dollars, Euro, Pounds Sterling or Swiss Francs and one or more swing line loans denominated in dollars. GrafTech Finance is the sole borrower under the 2018 Term Loan Facility while GrafTech Finance, Swissco and Lux Holdco are Co-Borrowers under the 2018 Revolving Credit Facility. On February 12, 2018, GrafTech Finance borrowed \$1,500 million under the 2018 Term Loans. The 2018 Term Loans mature on February 12, 2025. The maturity date for the 2018 Revolving Credit Facility is February 12, 2023.

The proceeds of the 2018 Term Loans were used to (i) repay in full all outstanding indebtedness of the Co-Borrowers under the Old Credit Agreement and terminate all commitments thereunder, (ii) redeem in full the Senior Notes at a redemption price of 101.594% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption, (iii) pay fees and expenses incurred in connection with (i) and (ii) above and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and related expenses, and (iv) declare and pay a dividend to the selling stockholder of \$1,112 million, with any remainder to be used for general corporate purposes. In connection with the repayment of the Old Credit Agreement and redemption of the Senior Notes, all guarantees of obligations under the Old Credit Agreement, the indenture and the Senior Notes were terminated, all mortgages and other security interests securing obligations under the Old Credit Agreement were released and the Old Credit Agreement and the indenture were terminated.

Borrowings under the 2018 Term Loan Facility bear interest, at GrafTech Finance's option, at a rate equal to either (i) the Adjusted LIBO Rate (as defined in the 2018 Credit Agreement), plus an applicable margin initially equal to 3.50% per annum or (ii) the ABR Rate (as defined in the 2018 Credit Agreement), plus an applicable margin initially equal to 2.50% per annum, in each case with one step down of 25 basis points based on achievement of certain public ratings of the 2018 Term Loans.

Borrowings under the 2018 Revolving Credit Facility bear interest, at the applicable Co-Borrower's option, at a rate equal to either (i) the Adjusted LIBO Rate, plus an applicable margin initially equal to 3.75% per annum or (ii) the ABR Rate, plus an applicable margin initially equal to 2.75% per annum, in each case with two 25 basis point step downs based on achievement of certain senior secured first lien net leverage ratios. In addition, the Co-Borrowers will be required to pay a quarterly commitment fee on the unused commitments under the 2018 Revolving Credit Facility in an amount equal to 0.25% per annum.

#### **Table of Contents**

All obligations under the 2018 Credit Agreement are guaranteed by GrafTech, GrafTech Finance and each domestic subsidiary of GrafTech, subject to certain customary exceptions, and all obligations under the 2018 Credit Agreement of each foreign subsidiary of GrafTech that is a Controlled Foreign Corporation are guaranteed by GrafTech Luxembourg I S.à.r.l., a Luxembourg société à responsabilité limitée and an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of GrafTech (or Luxembourg Parent), Luxembourg Holdco, and Swissco (collectively, the Guarantors).

All obligations under the 2018 Credit Agreement are secured, subject to certain exceptions and Excluded Assets (as defined in the 2018 Credit Agreement), by: (i) a pledge of all of the equity securities of GrafTech Finance and each domestic Guarantor (other than GrafTech) and of each other direct, wholly owned domestic subsidiary of GrafTech and any Guarantor, (ii) a pledge on no more than 65% of the equity interests of each subsidiary that is a Controlled Foreign Corporation (within the meaning of Section 956 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended from time to time), and (iii) security interests in, and mortgages on, personal property and material real property of GrafTech Finance and each domestic Guarantor, subject to permitted liens and certain exceptions specified in the 2018 Credit Agreement. The obligations of each foreign subsidiary of GrafTech that is a Controlled Foreign Corporation under the 2018 Revolving Credit Facility are secured by (i) a pledge of all of the equity securities of each Guarantor that is a Controlled Foreign Corporation, and (ii) security interests in certain receivables and personal property of each Guarantor that is a Controlled Foreign Corporation, subject to permitted liens and certain exceptions specified in the 2018 Credit Agreement.

The 2018 Term Loans amortize at a rate equal to 5% per annum of the original principal amount of the 2018 Term Loans payable in equal quarterly installments, with the remainder due at maturity. The Co-Borrowers are permitted to make voluntary prepayments at any time without premium or penalty, except in the case of prepayments made in connection with certain repricing transactions with respect to the 2018 Term Loans effected within twelve months of the closing date of the 2018 Credit Agreement, to which a 1.00% prepayment premium applies. GrafTech Finance is required to make prepayments under the 2018 Term Loans (without payment of a premium) with (i) net cash proceeds from non-ordinary course asset sales (subject to customary reinvestment rights and other customary exceptions and exclusions), and (ii) commencing with the Company's fiscal year ending December 31, 2019, 75% of Excess Cash Flow (as defined in the 2018 Credit Agreement), subject to step-downs to 50% and 0% of Excess Cash Flow based on achievement of a senior secured first lien net leverage ratio greater than 1.25 to 1.00 but less than or equal to 1.75 to 1.00 and less than or equal to 1.25 to 1.00, respectively. Scheduled quarterly amortization payments of the 2018 Term Loans during any calendar year reduce, on a dollar-for-dollar basis, the amount of the required Excess Cash Flow prepayment for such calendar year, and the aggregate amount of Excess Cash Flow prepayments for any calendar year reduce subsequent quarterly amortization payments of the 2018 Term Loans as directed by GrafTech Finance. The 2018 Credit Agreement contains customary representations and warranties and customary affirmative and negative covenants applicable to GrafTech and restricted subsidiaries, including, among other things, restrictions on indebtedness, liens, investments, fundamental changes, dispositions, and dividends and other distributions. The 2018 Credit Agreement contains a financial covenant that requires GrafTech to maintain a senior secured first lien net leverage ratio not greater than 4.00:1.00 when the aggregate principal amount of borrowings under the 2018 Revolving Credit Facility and outstanding letters of credit issued under the 2018 Revolving Credit Facility (except for undrawn letters of credit in an aggregate amount equal to or less than \$35 million), taken together, exceed 35% of the total amount of commitments under the 2018 Revolving Credit Facility. The 2018 Credit Agreement also contains customary events of default.

#### **Table of Contents**

On June 15, 2018, we entered into the First Amendment to the 2018 Credit Agreement among us, GrafTech Finance, various of our other subsidiaries and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as administrative agent and incremental term lender. The First Amendment amended the 2018 Credit Agreement to provide for an additional \$750 million in aggregate principal amount of Incremental Term Loans to GrafTech Finance. The Incremental Term Loans increased the aggregate principal amount of term loans incurred by GrafTech Finance under the 2018 Credit Agreement from \$1,500 million to \$2,250 million. The Incremental Term Loans have the same terms as those applicable to the 2018 Term Loans, including interest rate, payment and prepayment terms, representations and warranties and covenants. The Incremental Term Loans mature on February 12, 2025, the same date as the 2018 Term Loans. We paid an upfront fee of 1.00% of the aggregate principal amount of the Incremental Term Loans on the effective date of the First Amendment. The proceeds of the Incremental Term Loans were used to repay in full the Brookfield Promissory Note.

## **Brookfield Promissory Note**

On April 19, 2018 we declared a dividend in the form of a \$750 million promissory note to the selling stockholder. The issuance of the Brookfield Promissory Note as a dividend was conditioned upon (i) the Senior Secured First Lien Net Leverage Ratio (as defined in the 2018 Credit Agreement), as calculated based on our final financial results for the first quarter of 2018, being equal to or less than 1.75 to 1.00, (ii) no Default or Event of Default (each as defined in the 2018 Credit Agreement) having occurred and continuing or that would result from the issuance of the Brookfield Promissory Note and (iii) the issuance occurring within 60 days from the dividend record date. Upon publication of our Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2018 (or "Form 10-Q") on May 7, 2018, these conditions were met and, as a result, the Brookfield Promissory Note was outstanding in the amount of \$750 million. The proceeds of the Incremental Term Loans were used to repay in full the Brookfield Promissory Note.

#### Fixed rate obligations

As of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, approximately 83% and 75% of our debt, respectively, consisted of fixed rate or zero interest rate obligations.

## Long-Term Contractual, Commercial and Other Obligations and Commitments.

The following tables summarize our long-term contractual obligations and other commercial commitments as of December 31, 2017:

an actual basis; and

on a pro forma basis reflect: (A)(i) our entrance into the 2018 Credit Agreement and the borrowing of \$1,500 million of 2018 Term Loans thereunder in February 2018; and (ii) the use of proceeds therefrom to, among other things, repay in full all outstanding indebtedness under the Old Credit Agreement and redeem in full the Senior Notes at a redemption price of 101.594% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption; (B) the issuance of the Brookfield Promissory Note in May 2018; (C)(i) our entrance into the First Amendment to the 2018 Credit Agreement and the borrowing of the \$750 million of Incremental Term Loans thereunder in June 2018; and (ii) the repayment in full of the \$750 million in principal amount outstanding on the Brookfield Promissory Note; and (D) additional purchase obligations for 2018, primarily for raw materials, that were entered into since the filing of our year-end financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2017.

#### Table of Contents

	Pay	Payments Due by Year Ending December 31,				
	Total	2018	2019-2020 2	2023+		
			(Do	llars in th	ousands)	
Contractual and Other Obligations						
Long-term debt(a)	\$ 359,364	\$ 16,784	\$ 341,950 \$	280	\$ 350	
Interest on long-term debt(a)	56,578	19,125	37,453			
Leases	6,188	2,180	2,646	713	649	
Total contractual obligations	422,130	38,089	382,049	993	999	
Postretirement, pension and related benefits(b)	115,557	11,717	22,986	22,853	58,001	
Committed purchase obligations(c)	17,935	17,935				
Other long-term obligations	8,463	6,721	723	392	627	
Uncertain income tax provisions	2,492	379	1,990	123		
Total contractual and other obligations(d)	\$ 566,577	\$ 74,841	\$ 407,748 \$	3 24,361	\$ 59,627	
Other Commercial Commitments						
Guarantees(e)	525	525				
Total other commercial commitments	\$ 525	\$ 525	\$ \$	`	\$	

Pro forma contractual obligations
Payments Due by Year Ending December 31,
Total 2018 2019-2020 2021-2022 2023+

				(dollars in	thousands)
Contractual and Other Obligations					
2018 Term Loan Facility(a)	\$ 2,250,000	\$ 56,250	\$ 225,000	\$ 225,000	\$ 1,743,750
Pro Forma Interest on Long-term Debt(a)	\$ 819,130	\$ 105,140	\$ 258,226	\$ 235,281	\$ 220,483
Leases	6,188	2,180	2,646	713	649
Total contractual obligations	3,075,318	163,570	485,872	460,994	1,964,882
Postretirement, pension and related benefits(b)	115,557	11,717	22,986	22,853	58,001
Committed purchase obligations(c)	140,785	140,785			
Other long-term obligations	8,463	6,721	723	392	627
Uncertain income tax provisions	2,492	379	1,990	123	
Total contractual and other obligations(d)	\$ 3,342,615	\$ 323,172	\$ 511.571	\$ 484.362	\$ 2.023.510
Total Contactant and Cales conganions(a)	ψ <i>ε,ε</i> . <b>2</b> ,στε	ψ 020,172 ·	φ U11,U/1	· .0.,502	\$ <b>2</b> ,0 <b>2</b> 0,010
Other Commercial Commitments					
Guarantees(e)	525	525			
Gununces(c)	323	323			
Total other commercial commitments	\$ 525	\$ 525	\$	\$	\$
Total other commercial communication	Ψ 525	Ψ 323	Ψ	Ψ	Ψ

(a) On February 12, 2018, we entered into the 2018 Credit Agreement, which provided for the 2018 Term Loan Facility and 2018 Revolving Credit Facility. On February 12, 2018, GrafTech Finance borrowed \$1,500 million of 2018 Term Loans under the 2018 Term Loan Facility. The proceeds of the 2018 Term Loans were used, among other things, to pay off our outstanding debt, including borrowings under the Old Credit Agreement and the Senior Notes

and related interest. On April 19, 2018, we declared a dividend in the form of the \$750 million Brookfield Promissory Note to the selling stockholder. On June 15, 2018, we entered into the First Amendment to the 2018 Credit Agreement, which provided for an additional \$750 million in aggregate principal amount of Incremental Term Loans. We borrowed \$750 million in Incremental Term Loans on June 15, 2018. The proceeds of the Incremental Term Loans were used to repay the Brookfield Promissory Note in full. The 2018 Term Loans and the Incremental Term Loans mature on February 12, 2025 and bear interest at a rate equal to either the Adjusted LIBO Rate, plus an applicable margin initially equal to 3.50% per annum, or the ABR Rate, plus an applicable margin initially equal to 2.50% per annum, in each case with one step down of 25 basis points based on achievement of certain public ratings of the 2018 Term Loans, as applicable (see "Liquidity and Capital Resources" for details). The pro forma interest on indebtedness under the 2018 Credit Agreement was estimated using a monthly LIBOR yield curve through February 2025.

- (b) Represents estimated postretirement, pension and related benefits obligations based on actuarial calculations.
- (c) Represents commitments made for purchases related to our ongoing plant expansion projects and committed purchases of raw materials. Includes committed purchases of raw materials for the second half of 2018 for which pricing was established in June and July 2018.

89

#### Table of Contents

- (d) In addition, letters of credit of \$8.7 million were issued under the Old Revolving Facility as of December 31, 2017. These letters of credit were rolled over to the 2018 Revolving Facility in February 2018.
- (e) Represents surety bonds which are renewed annually. If rates were unfavorable, we would use letters of credit under our revolving facility.

Off-Balance sheet arrangements and commitments. We have not undertaken or been a party to any material off-balance-sheet financing arrangements or other commitments (including non-exchange traded contracts), other than:

The notional amount of foreign exchange and commodity contracts;

Commitments under non-cancelable operating leases that, as of December 31, 2017, totaled no more than \$2.2 million in each year and \$6.2 million in the aggregate and as of December 31, 2017;

Letters of credit outstanding under the Old Revolving Credit Facility of \$8.7 million as of December 31, 2017 and \$12.3 million as of December 31, 2016 (these letters of credit were rolled over to the 2018 Revolving Facility in February 2018); and

Surety bonds and letters of credit with other banks totaling \$0.5 million.

We are not affiliated with or related to any special purpose entity other than GrafTech Finance.

#### Costs relating to protection of the environment

We have been and are subject to increasingly stringent environmental protection laws and regulations. In addition, we have an on-going commitment to rigorous internal environmental protection standards. Environmental considerations are part of all significant capital expenditure decisions. The following table sets forth certain information regarding environmental expenses and capital expenditures.

For the year ended December 31, 2017 2016 2015

(Dollars in thousands) \$ 7,973 \$ 8,255 \$ 6,507 2,080 1,693 2,082

Expenses relating to environmental protection
Capital expenditures related to environmental protection

**Critical accounting policies** 

# Critical accounting policies are those that require difficult, subjective or complex judgments by management, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain and may change in subsequent periods. We use and rely on estimates in determining the economic useful lives of our assets, obligations under our employee benefit plans, provisions for doubtful accounts, provisions for restructuring charges and contingencies, tax valuation allowances, evaluation of goodwill, other intangible assets, pension and postretirement benefit obligations and various other recorded or disclosed amounts, including inventory valuations. Estimates require us to use our judgment. While we believe that our estimates for these matters are reasonable, if the actual amount is significantly different than the estimated amount, our assets, liabilities or results of operations may be overstated or understated. The following accounting policies are deemed to be critical.

#### Table of Contents

Business combinations and goodwill. The application of the purchase method of accounting for business combinations requires the use of significant estimates and assumptions in the determination of the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in order to properly allocate purchase price consideration between goodwill and assets that are depreciated and amortized. Our estimates of the fair values of assets and liabilities acquired are based on assumptions believed to be reasonable and, when appropriate, include assistance from independent third-party appraisal firms.

As a result of our acquisition by Brookfield, we have a significant amount of goodwill. Goodwill is tested for impairment annually or more frequently if an event or circumstance indicates that an impairment loss may have been incurred. Application of the goodwill impairment test requires judgment, including the identification of reporting units, assignment of assets and liabilities to reporting units, assignment of goodwill to reporting units and determination of the fair value of each reporting unit. We estimate the fair value of each reporting unit using a discounted cash flow methodology. This requires us to use significant judgment including estimation of future cash flows, which is based upon relevant market data, internal forecasts, estimation of the long-term growth for our business, the useful life over which cash flows will occur and determination of the weighted average cost of capital for purposes of establishing a discount rate.

As a result of our ongoing monitoring of triggering events, we recorded a goodwill impairment charge in our petroleum needle coke reporting unit totaling \$35.4 million during the first quarter of 2015.

Refer to Note 1, Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this prospectus for information regarding our goodwill impairment testing.

*Employee benefit plans.* We sponsor various retirement and pension plans, including defined benefit and defined contribution plans and postretirement benefit plans that cover most employees worldwide. Excluding the defined contribution plans, accounting for these plans requires assumptions as to the discount rate, expected return on plan assets, expected salary increases and health care cost trend rate. See Note 12, Retirement Plans and Postretirement Benefits, of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this prospectus for further details.

Impairments of long-lived assets. We record impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations when events and circumstances indicate that the assets might be impaired and the future undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the carrying amount of those assets. Assets to be disposed are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less estimated costs to sell. Estimates of the future cash flows are subject to significant uncertainties and assumptions. If the actual value is significantly less than the estimated fair value, our assets may be overstated. Future events and circumstances, some of which are described below, may result in an impairment charge:

new technological developments that provide significantly enhanced benefits over our current technology;							
significant negative economic or industry trends;							
changes in our business strategy that alter the expected usage of the related assets; and							
changes in our business strategy that after the expected usage of the related assets, and							

future economic results that are below our expectations used in the current assessments.

91

#### **Table of Contents**

Accounting for income taxes. When we prepare the Consolidated Financial Statements, we are required to estimate our income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. This process requires us to make the following assessments:

estimate our actual current tax liability in each jurisdiction;

estimate our temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items for tax and accounting purposes (which result in deferred tax assets and liabilities that we include within the Consolidated Balance Sheets); and

assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income and, if we believe that recovery is not more likely than not, a valuation allowance is established.

If our estimates are incorrect, our deferred tax assets or liabilities may be overstated or understated.

As of June 30, 2018, we had a valuation allowance of \$58.5 million against certain deferred tax assets. Our losses in certain tax jurisdictions in recent periods represented sufficient negative evidence to require a full valuation allowance. Until we determine that we will generate sufficient jurisdictional taxable income to realize our net operating losses and deferred tax assets, we continue to maintain a valuation allowance.

Revenue recognition. Revenue from sales of our commercial products is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, title has passed, the amount is determinable and collection is reasonably assured. Sales are recognized when both title and the risks and rewards of ownership are transferred to the customer or services have been rendered and fees have been earned in accordance with the contract.

Volume discounts and rebates are recorded as a reduction of revenue in conjunction with the sale of the related products. Changes to estimates are recorded when they become probable. Shipping and handling revenues relating to products sold are included as an increase to revenue. Shipping and handling costs related to products sold are included as an increase to cost of sales.

We adopted FASB ASC 606 effective January 1, 2018 and have elected the modified retrospective transition method. Under this method, any cumulative effect of applying the new revenue standard for contracts not yet complete is recorded as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings as of the beginning of 2018. The comparative information for prior years will not be revised and will continue to be reported under the accounting standards in effect for the period presented. See "Recent Accounting Pronouncements."

Under ASC 606, an entity recognizes revenue when its customer obtains control of promised goods or services, in an amount that reflects the consideration which the entity expects to receive in exchange for those goods or services.

To determine revenue recognition for arrangements that we determine are within the scope of ASC 606, the following five steps are performed: (i) identify the contract(s) with a customer; (ii) identify the performance obligations in the contract; (iii) determine the transaction price; (iv) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract; and (v) recognize revenue when (or as) we satisfy a performance obligation. We only apply the five-step model to contracts when it is probable that we will collect the consideration we are entitled to in exchange for the goods or services we transfer to the customer. At contract inception, once the contract is determined to be within the scope of ASC 606, we assess the goods or services promised within each contract and determine those that are performance obligations, and assess whether each promised good or service is distinct. We then recognize as revenue

#### **Table of Contents**

the amount of the transaction price that is allocated to the respective performance obligation when (or as) the performance obligation is satisfied.

In 2018, our revenue streams consist of three- to five-year take-or-pay supply contracts and short-term binding and non-binding purchase orders (deliveries within the year) directly with steel manufacturers. In 2017, our revenue streams consisted primarily of annual non-binding purchase orders. The promises of delivery of graphite electrodes represent the distinct performance obligations to which the contract consideration is allocated, based upon the electrode stand-alone selling prices for the class of customers at the time the agreements are entered into. The performance obligations are considered to be satisfied at a point in time when control of the electrodes has been transferred to the customer. The company has elected to treat the transportation of the electrodes from our premises to the customer's facilities as a fulfilment activity, and outbound freight cost is accrued when the graphite electrode performance obligation is satisfied. Any variable consideration is recognized up to its unconstrained amount, i.e., up to the amount for which it is probable that a significant reversal of the variable revenue will not happen.

Discontinued operations and assets held for sale. When management commits to a plan to sell assets or asset groups and a sale is probable, we reclassify those assets or asset groups into "Assets Held for Sale." Upon reclassification to assets held for sale, we evaluate the book value of the disposal groups against their fair value, less costs to sell, and as a result may impair the assets or asset groups. As and if new information becomes available on the fair value of the assets or asset groups, we may adjust the impairment accordingly. For example, during 2016, we evaluated the fair value of the Engineered Solutions business segment utilizing the market approach (Level 3 measure). As a result, we incurred an impairment charge to our Engineered Solutions business segment of \$119.9 million to align the carrying value with estimated fair value. We continued to update this estimate and during 2017, we further reduced the estimated fair value by \$5.3 million based upon current information.

Once the assets of a business have been classified as held for sale, we evaluate if the divestiture represents a strategic shift in operations and if so, we exclude the results of this business from continuing operations. All results are reported as gain or loss from discontinued operations, net of tax. During the second quarter of 2016, our Engineered Solutions segment qualified as discontinued operations and as such, all results from that segment have been excluded from operations. See Note 3, Discontinued Operations and Related Assets Held for Sale, of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

#### **Recent accounting pronouncements**

Recently adopted accounting standards

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (or ASU) No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* (Topic 606). The Company adopted ASU 2014-09 and its related amendments (collectively known as ASC 606) effective on January 1, 2018 using the modified retrospective method. Please see Note 3 "Revenue from Contracts with Customers" for the required disclosures related to the impact of adopting this standard and a discussion of the Company's updated policies related to revenue recognition.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-15, *Statement of Cash Flows* (Topic 230), Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Payments, clarifying guidance on the classification of certain cash receipts and payments in the statement of cash flows. The adoption of ASU 2016-15 on January 1, 2018 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

#### **Table of Contents**

In March 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-07, *Compensation-Retirement Benefits* (Topic 715). This standard requires an entity to report the service cost component in the same line item as other compensation costs. The other components of net (benefit) cost including our annual mark-to-market re-measurement, will be presented in the income statement separately from the service cost component and outside a subtotal of income from operations. The adoption of ASU No. 2017-07 on January 1, 2018 changed the presentation of benefit expenses, but did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. The components of the net (benefit) cost are shown in Note 4, "Retirement Plans and Postretirement Benefits." The adjustments made to conform prior period classifications to the new guidance are set forth in Note 1, "Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" of our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Note 17, "Summary of Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited)" of our Audited Consolidated Financial Statements.

In August 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-12, *Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Targeted Improvements to Accounting for Hedging Activities*. The new standard simplifies hedge accounting through changes to both designation and measurement requirements. For hedges that qualify as highly effective, the new standard eliminates the requirement to separately measure and record hedge ineffectiveness resulting in better alignment between the presentation of the effects of the hedging instrument and the hedged item in the financial statements. We elected to early adopt ASU No. 2017-12 for the year ended December 31, 2017. The adoption of this standard required retrospective adoption, but did not impact prior-period financial results.

Accounting standards not yet adopted

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, *Leases* (Topic 842). Under this new guidance, a company will now recognize most leases on its balance sheet as lease liabilities with corresponding right-of-use assets. This ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018. The Company has compiled its lease inventory and is currently evaluating the contracts and the impact of the adoption of this standard on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-04, *Intangibles-Goodwill and Other* (Topic 350). This guidance was issued to simplify the accounting for goodwill impairment. The guidance removes the second step of the goodwill impairment test, which requires that a hypothetical purchase price allocation be performed to determine the amount of impairment, if any. Under this new guidance, a goodwill impairment charge will be based on the amount by which a reporting unit's carrying value exceeds its fair value, not to exceed the carrying amount of goodwill. The guidance will become effective on a prospective basis for the Company on January 1, 2020 with early adoption permitted for interim or annual goodwill impairment tests performed on testing dates after January 1, 2017. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this standard on its results of operations.

#### Quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk

We are exposed to market risks primarily from changes in interest rates, currency exchange rates, energy commodity prices and commercial energy rates. We, from time to time, routinely enter into various transactions that have been authorized according to documented policies and procedures to manage these well-defined risks. These transactions relate primarily to financial instruments described below. Since the counterparties to these financial instruments are large commercial banks and similar financial institutions, we do not believe that we are exposed to material counterparty credit risk. We do not use financial instruments for trading purposes.

#### **Table of Contents**

Our exposure to changes in interest rates results primarily from floating rate long-term debt tied to LIBOR or Euro LIBOR. Our exposure to changes in currency exchange rates results primarily from:

sales made by our subsidiaries in currencies other than local currencies;

raw material purchases made by our foreign subsidiaries in currencies other than local currencies; and

investments in and intercompany loans to our foreign subsidiaries and our share of the earnings of those subsidiaries, to the extent denominated in currencies other than the dollar.

Our exposure to changes in energy commodity prices and commercial energy rates results primarily from the purchase or sale of refined oil products and the purchase of natural gas and electricity for use in our manufacturing operations.

Currency rate management. We enter into foreign currency derivatives from time to time to attempt to manage exposure to changes in currency exchange rates. These foreign currency derivatives, which include, but are not limited to, forward exchange contracts and purchased currency options, attempt to hedge global currency exposures. Forward exchange contracts are agreements to exchange different currencies at a specified future date and at a specified rate. Purchased foreign currency options are instruments which give the holder the right, but not the obligation, to exchange different currencies at a specified rate at a specified date or over a range of specified dates. Forward exchange contracts and purchased currency options are carried at market value.

The outstanding foreign currency derivatives represented no net unrealized gain or loss as of June 30, 2018, a net unrealized loss as of \$0.1 million as of December 31, 2017 and a loss of \$0.2 million as of December 31, 2016.

*Energy commodity management.* We have entered into commodity derivative contracts to effectively fix some or all of our exposure to refined oil products. The outstanding commodity derivative contracts represented a net unrealized gain of \$27.8 million as of June 30, 2018 and a net unrealized gain of \$4.7 million as of December 31, 2017.

*Interest rate risk management.* We periodically implement interest rate management initiatives to seek to minimize our interest expense and the risk in our portfolio of fixed and variable interest rate obligations.

We periodically enter into agreements with financial institutions that are intended to limit, or cap, our exposure to incurrence of additional interest expense due to increases in variable interest rates. These instruments effectively cap our interest rate exposure. We currently do not have any such instruments outstanding.

Sensitivity analysis. We use sensitivity analysis to quantify potential impacts that market rate changes may have on the fair values of our foreign currency derivatives and our commodity derivatives.

The sensitivity analysis represents the hypothetical changes in value of the hedge position and does not reflect the related gain or loss on the forecasted underlying transaction. As of December 31, 2017, a 10% appreciation or depreciation in the value of the U.S. dollar against foreign currencies from the prevailing market rates would result in a corresponding decrease of \$0.7 million or a corresponding increase of \$0.7 million, respectively, in the fair value of the foreign currency hedge portfolio. A 10% increase or decrease in the value of the underlying commodity prices that we hedge would result in a corresponding increase or decrease of \$14.4 million in the fair value of the commodity hedge portfolio as of December 31,

#### **Table of Contents**

2017. As of June 30, 2018, a 10% appreciation or depreciation in the value of the U.S. dollar against foreign currencies from the prevailing market rates would result in a corresponding decrease of \$0.7 million or a corresponding increase of \$0.7 million, respectively, in the fair value of the foreign currency hedge portfolio. A 10% increase or decrease in the value of the underlying commodity prices that we hedge would result in a corresponding increase or decrease of \$16.8 million in the fair value of the commodity hedge portfolio as of June 30, 2018. Because of the high correlation between the hedging instrument and the underlying exposure, fluctuations in the value of the instruments are generally offset by reciprocal changes in the value of the underlying exposure.

We had no interest rate derivative instruments outstanding as of June 30, 2018 or December 31, 2017. A hypothetical increase in interest rates of 100 basis points (1%) would have increased our interest expense by \$6.9 million and \$0.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2018 and the year ended December 31, 2017, respectively.

#### **Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012**

We qualify as an "emerging growth company" as defined in Section 2(a) of the Securities Act, as modified by the JOBS Act. As an emerging growth company, we may take advantage of specified reduced disclosure and other requirements that are otherwise applicable generally to public companies, which are not emerging growth companies.

We may take advantage of these exemptions until such time that we are no longer an emerging growth company. We will remain an "emerging growth company" until the earliest of (1) the last day of the fiscal year following the fifth anniversary of the completion of our IPO, (2) the last day of the fiscal year in which we have total annual gross revenue of at least \$1.07 billion, (3) the date on which we are deemed to be a large accelerated filer under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which means the market value of our common stock that is held by non-affiliates exceeds \$700.0 million as of the prior June 30, and (4) the date on which we have issued more than \$1.0 billion in non-convertible debt during the prior three-year period. We have taken advantage of reduced disclosure regarding executive compensation arrangements in this prospectus, and we may choose to take advantage of some but not all of these reduced disclosure obligations in future filings. If we do, the information that we provide to stockholders may be different than you might get from other public companies in which you hold stock.

Under the JOBS Act, emerging growth companies can also delay adopting new or revised accounting standards until such time as those standards apply to private companies. We have irrevocably elected not to avail ourselves of this exemption from new or revised accounting standards and, therefore, will be subject to the same new or revised accounting standards as other public companies that are not emerging growth companies.

**Table of Contents** 

#### **Business**

#### Our company

We are a leading manufacturer of high quality graphite electrode products essential to the production of EAF steel and other ferrous and non-ferrous metals. We believe that we have the most competitive portfolio of low-cost graphite electrode manufacturing facilities in the industry, including three of the five highest capacity facilities in the world (excluding China). We are the only large scale graphite electrode producer that is substantially vertically integrated into petroleum needle coke, the primary raw material for graphite electrode manufacturing, which is currently in limited supply. This unique position provides us with competitive advantages in product quality and cost. Founded in 1886, we have over 125 years of experience in the R&D of graphite- and carbon-based solutions, and our intellectual property portfolio is extensive. We currently have graphite electrode manufacturing facilities in Calais, France, Pamplona, Spain, Monterrey, Mexico and St. Marys, Pennsylvania. Our customers include major steel producers and other ferrous and non-ferrous metal producers in EMEA, the Americas and APAC, which sell their products into the automotive, construction, appliance, machinery, equipment and transportation industries. Our vision is to be the lowest cost, highest quality producer of graphite electrodes while providing the best customer service. Based on the high quality of our graphite electrodes, reliability of our petroleum needle coke supply and our excellent customer service, we believe that we are viewed as the preferred supplier to the global EAF steel producer market.

Graphite electrodes are an industrial consumable product used primarily in EAF steel production, one of the two primary methods of steel production and the steelmaking technology used by all "mini-mills." Electrodes act as conductors of electricity in the furnace, generating sufficient heat to melt scrap metal, iron ore or other raw materials used to produce steel or other metals. We estimate that, on average, the cost of graphite electrodes represents only approximately 1% to 5% of the total production cost of steel in a typical EAF, but they are essential to EAF steel production. Graphite electrodes are currently the only known commercially available products that have the high levels of electrical conductivity and the capability to sustain the high levels of heat generated in EAF steel production. As a result, EAF steel manufacturers have been willing to pay a premium for a reliable supply of high quality graphite electrodes, and, in some cases, to pass on this premium to their customers in the form of surcharges. Graphite electrodes are also used in steel refining in ladle furnaces and in other processes, such as the production of titanium dioxide, stainless steel, aluminum, silicon metals and other ferrous and non-ferrous metals.

Petroleum needle coke, a crystalline form of carbon derived from decant oil, is the primary raw material used in the production of graphite electrodes. We achieved substantial vertical integration with this critical raw material source through our acquisition of Seadrift in November 2010, significantly reducing our reliance on other suppliers. The petroleum needle coke industry is highly concentrated, with what we believe to be the largest producer, Phillips 66, controlling approximately 50% of capacity. We believe Seadrift is the second largest petroleum needle coke producer in the world. We also believe that the quality of Seadrift's petroleum needle coke is superior for graphite electrode production compared to most of the petroleum needle coke available to our peers on the open market, allowing us to produce higher quality electrodes in a cost-efficient manner. Additionally, we believe that this vertical integration provides a significant cost advantage relative to our competitors in periods of tight petroleum needle coke supply, such as the current market environment. We believe this cost advantage will grow as demand for petroleum needle coke increases for use in lithium-ion batteries in electric vehicles. The demand for petroleum needle coke in lithium-ion batteries is growing rapidly, with usage going from approximately 1,000 MT in 2014 to 60,000 MT in 2017 (representing approximately 9% of 2017 petroleum needle coke

#### **Table of Contents**

demand). This rapidly growing alternative source of demand is a significant development for the petroleum needle coke industry and is contributing to the global shortage in petroleum needle coke.

According to the WSA, EAFs accounted for 45%, or 367 million MT, of global crude steel production (excluding China) in 2016. Between 1984 and 2011, EAF steelmaking was the fastest-growing segment of the steel sector, with production increasing at an average rate of 3.5% per year, based on WSA data. Historically, EAF steel production has grown faster than the overall steel market due to the greater resilience, more variable cost structure, lower capital intensity and more environmentally friendly nature of EAF steelmaking. This trend was partially reversed between 2011 and 2015 due to global steel production overcapacity driven largely by Chinese BOF steel production. Beginning in 2016, efforts by the Chinese government to restructure China's domestic steel industry have led to limits on Chinese BOF steel production and lower export levels. In addition, developed economies, which typically have much larger EAF steel industries, have instituted a number of trade policies in support of domestic steel producers. As a result, since 2016, the EAF steel market has rebounded strongly and resumed its long-term growth trajectory. This revival in EAF steel production has resulted in increased demand for our graphite electrodes.

At the same time, two supply-side structural changes have contributed to recent record high prices of graphite electrodes. First, ongoing consolidation and rationalization of graphite electrode production capacity have limited the ability of graphite electrode producers to meet demand. We estimate that approximately 20% of graphite electrode industry production capacity (excluding China) has been closed or repurposed since the beginning of 2014, and we believe the majority of these closures represent permanent reductions. Second, demand for petroleum needle coke has outpaced supply due to increasing demand for petroleum needle coke for lithium-ion batteries used in electric vehicles. As a result, graphite electrode prices have recently reached record high prices. Historically, between 2006 and 2016, our weighted average realized price of graphite electrodes was approximately \$4,500 per MT (on an inflation-adjusted basis using constant 2017 dollars) and fell to a historic low of approximately \$2,500 per MT in 2016. With the renewed demand for, and constrained supply of, graphite electrodes, industry spot prices during the second quarter of 2018 have averaged approximately \$15,000 to \$20,000 per MT. In light of improved market conditions, the long lead time required to produce our products, our position as one of the market's largest producers and our ability, through our substantial vertical integration with Seadrift, to provide customers with a reliable long-term supply of graphite electrodes despite the market shortage of petroleum needle coke, we have implemented a new commercial strategy to sell 60% to 65% of our production capacity to our strategic customers through three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts.

<b>m</b>	. 1		c	$\sim$			
Tal	hl	e	Ωt	( :(	าท	te	nts

<u>GrafTech historical weighted average realized prices and signed three- to five-year weighted average contract</u> prices for graphite electrodes

As a leading producer of graphite electrodes, we believe we are well-positioned to benefit from this industry transformation. In 2017, based on our three currently operating facilities, we had the capability, depending on product demand and mix, to manufacture approximately 167,000 MT of graphite electrodes per year. We are also in the process of an operational improvement and debottlenecking initiative and are on target to grow our production capacity at these facilities by approximately 21% to approximately 202,000 MT of production capacity by the end of 2018. If we were then to restart our currently idled St. Marys facility, our overall production capacity would increase by another approximately 14% to 230,000 MT per year. This total production capacity would be comparable to our largest competitor, which we estimate currently has a total of approximately 230,000 MT of production capacity (excluding China). We believe the total worldwide graphite electrode production capacity was approximately 800,000 MT (excluding China), with a capacity utilization of approximately 90% (excluding China), in 2017. Electrode production globally (excluding China) is focused on the manufacture of UHP electrodes for EAFs, while the majority of Chinese production is of ladle electrodes for BOFs. The production of UHP electrodes requires an extensive proprietary manufacturing process and material science knowledge, including the use of superior needle coke blends. As a result, graphite electrode producers inside and outside of China are generally not in direct competition with each other for major product lines.

On August 15, 2015, we became an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Brookfield through a tender offer to shareholders and subsequent merger transaction. Brookfield is an experienced operator of industrial, natural resource and other tangible asset businesses. This transaction has provided us with a stable equity partner with experience in industrial sectors.

<sup>(1)</sup> Weighted average realized price for a period reflects the total revenues from sales of graphite electrodes for the period divided by the graphite electrode sales volume for that period. The weighted average realized prices in this chart are shown in constant 2017 dollars for comparability. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Key Operating Metrics."

<sup>(2)</sup> Weighted average contract price for a period reflects the volume-weighted average price for graphite electrodes to be delivered under the three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts we have entered into in 2018. All of these contracts have fixed prices and either fixed volumes (85% of the portfolio) or a specified volume range (15% of the portfolio). For those contracts with a specified volume range, weighted average contract prices are computed using the volume midpoint. The aggregate difference between the volume midpoint and the minimum and maximum volumes across our cumulative portfolio of take-or-pay contracts with specified volume ranges is approximately 5,000 MT per year in 2019-2022. See "Business Contracts and Customers."

#### **Table of Contents**

On April 23, 2018, we completed our IPO of 35,000,000 shares of our common stock held by the selling stockholder at a price of \$15.00 per share. On April 26, 2018, we closed the sale of an additional 3,097,525 shares of common stock held by the selling stockholder at a price to the public of \$15.00 per share, as a result of the partial exercise by the underwriters in our IPO of their overallotment option. We did not receive any proceeds related to the IPO. Our common stock is listed on the NYSE under the symbol "EAF."

Our executive offices are located at 982 Keynote Circle, Brooklyn Heights, Ohio 44131 and our telephone number is (216) 676-2000. Our Internet website address is www.graftech.com. Information on, or accessible through, our website is not part of this prospectus. We have included our website address only as an inactive textual reference and do not intend it to be an active link to our website.

#### **Key developments**

Three major developments have repositioned GrafTech and the graphite electrode industry for long-term growth and significantly improved our financial and operating results:

the restructuring and repositioning of GrafTech;

the return of the EAF steel industry to long-term growth, leading to improved demand for graphite electrodes; and

structural changes in the graphite electrode and petroleum needle coke industries.

### We have restructured and repositioned GrafTech for a sustainable leadership position in the graphite electrode industry

Since 2012, we have executed a three-part transformation plan to improve our competitive position and allow us to better serve our customers.

We have achieved annual fixed manufacturing cost improvements and capital expenditure reductions of approximately \$190 million since 2012, while also improving the productivity of our plant network

We have strategically shifted production from our lowest to our highest production capacity facilities to increase fixed cost absorption. In 2018, we expect to produce a greater quantity of graphite electrodes from our three operating facilities in Calais, France, Pamplona, Spain and Monterrey, Mexico, than we did from our six operating facilities in 2012. As a result, we have achieved significant operating leverage at higher capacity utilizations. In our experience, high capacity manufacturing facilities can have operating costs of more than \$1,000 per MT lower than low capacity manufacturing facilities. In addition, we have streamlined fixed costs across our plant network, including a 50% headcount reduction at Seadrift since 2014 and an optimization of Seadrift's systems and manufacturing process to reduce capital expenditure requirements. As a result of these actions, by the end of 2016, we had reduced our annual fixed manufacturing costs by approximately \$80 million and our maintenance capital expenditure requirements by approximately \$45 million since 2012.

By the end of 2016, we had also reduced our annual overhead expenses by approximately \$65 million since 2012 by simplifying our corporate structure from a conglomerate model to a centralized business focused exclusively on the production of graphite electrodes and petroleum needle coke. In addition, we have streamlined and combined our workforce and various administrative functions for efficiency, and eliminated R&D functions unrelated to graphite electrodes.

#### **Table of Contents**

In addition to our fixed cost reductions, we have been able to achieve significant productivity improvements and variable cost reductions across our plants since 2014. We have improved our manufacturing processes and made strategic investments across our plant network, which have improved productivity, including improvements of approximately 20% at both our Seadrift and Monterrey plants, while also reducing our energy and raw material consumption. Our more efficient graphite electrode plants produced at record breaking levels in 2017. In 2017, the Calais and Pamplona plants exceeded previous annual record production levels by 15% and 12%, respectively, and production at the Monterrey plant was 12% higher than the highest annual production level during the past 10 years. We have achieved these production increases by exploiting latent capacity in our plants, which historically have had uneven levels of capacity across each manufacturing process step, by removing artificial constraints on cycle times and improving scheduling processes. The next stage of our operational improvement and debottlenecking initiative is a small capital program concentrated on the graphitizing stage of production at our plants, which we expect will increase our current operating capacity by approximately 21%, or 35,000 MT, by the end of 2018, allowing us to achieve further improvements in our cost structure. As a result of our prior operational improvement activities, we are able to achieve this large capacity increase with specific, highly targeted capital investments. We expect the capital investment for this initiative to be \$42 million, slightly higher than previous estimates due mainly to currency impacts. The majority of costs associated with this initiative will be expended in 2018. We believe that the optimization of our plant network will continue to drive improved fixed cost absorption and meaningfully lower variable costs.

#### We have reoriented our commercial strategy

In light of improved market conditions, the long lead time required to produce our products, our position as one of the market's largest producers and our ability, through our substantial vertical integration with Seadrift, to provide customers with a reliable long-term supply of graphite electrodes despite the market shortage of petroleum needle coke, we have implemented a new commercial strategy to sell approximately 60% to 65% of our production capacity to our strategic customers through three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts. These contracts define volumes and prices, along with price-escalation mechanisms for inflation, and include significant termination payments (typically, 50% to 70% of remaining contracted revenue) and, in certain cases, parent guarantees and collateral arrangements to manage our customer credit risk. These new commercial initiatives have led to approximately 636,000 MT, or 60% to 65% of our cumulative production capacity from 2018 to 2022, being contracted as of the date hereof. Approximately 132,000 MT of this contracted volume is for 2018. Together with sales volume committed by purchase orders, most of our 2018 production capacity is contracted or committed by purchase orders. For future years, our strategy is to retain approximately 35% to 40% of our production capacity for sales on a shorter term or spot basis. Prices in the spot market have currently reached a level three to four times higher than our historical weighted average realized price of \$4,500 per MT (on an inflation-adjusted basis using constant 2017 dollars) between 2006 and 2016. We expect the incremental volume from our operational improvement and debottlenecking initiative to be available to customers on a spot basis, further increasing our exposure to spot prices. Seadrift produces sufficient needle coke to supply 100% of the graphite electrode production that we have contracted under our new take-or-pay contracts. In the first half of 2018, the estimated cost of goods sold (excluding depreciation) for electrodes produced with Seadrift needle coke was approximately \$2,600 per MT. To align with our three- to five-year contract profile, we have hedged the decant oil required to produce all of the graphite electrodes sold under these contracts, providing us with substantial visibility into our future raw material costs. We intend to match the volume and term of our shorter term and spot sales with our third party needle coke purchases. As our currently operating facilities are now operating at or near full production capacity, we also have reviewed our

101

#### **Table of Contents**

product portfolio and restructured our sales force incentives to maximize the profitability of our product mix.

Management estimates of 1H 2018 graphite electrode all-in cost of goods sold (COGS) using petroleum needle coke produced at Seadrift compared to the market price of one MT of petroleum needle coke

(1) COGS excludes depreciation and amortization

#### We are focused on being the industry's leading producer of the highest performing electrodes

The divestiture of our non-core legacy Engineered Solutions businesses in 2016 and 2017 has allowed our management team to focus on our core competency of graphite electrode production and generated approximately \$60 million in cash proceeds and release of working capital. By focusing our management's attention and R&D spending exclusively on the graphite electrode business, we have been able to meaningfully improve the quality of our graphite electrodes, repositioning ourselves as an industry quality leader and improving our relationships with strategic customers. Our focus on improving the quality of petroleum needle coke through R&D has led to our petroleum needle coke production at Seadrift now being best-in-class for use in the manufacturing of highly durable UHP electrodes. Our customers have responded favorably to the increased quality of our graphite electrodes, and we have increased our market share with leading EAF steel manufacturers as a result.

#### The EAF steel industry has strengthened, improving demand for our graphite electrodes

Historically, EAF steel production has grown faster than the overall steel market due to the greater resilience, more variable cost structure, lower capital intensity and more environmentally friendly nature of EAF steelmaking. This trend was partially reversed between 2011 and 2015 due to global steel production overcapacity driven largely by Chinese BOF steel production. Beginning in 2016, efforts by the Chinese government to eliminate excess steelmaking production capacity and improve environmental and health conditions have led to limits on Chinese BOF steel production, including the closure of over 200 million MT of its steel production capacity, based on data from S&P Global Platts and the Ministry of Commerce of the People's Republic of China. In 2017, Chinese steel exports fell by more than 30% from 2016, including 17 consecutive months of year-over-year declines, according to the National Bureau of Statistics of China. Reflecting the reduction in steelmaking production capacity, as of October 2017, Chinese steel imports had

102

#### **Table of Contents**

increased significantly year-over-year, including a 64% year-over-year increase in semi-finished steel billet imports. Further, developed economies, which typically have much larger EAF steel industries, have instituted a number of trade policies in support of domestic steel producers. Declining Chinese steel exports and increasing steel imports should provide additional opportunity for EAF producers outside of China to increase production, thereby increasing demand for graphite electrodes.

We estimate that in 2017, EAF steel production grew at an annual pace of at least 8% to 10%, compared with 5% for steelmaking overall. We believe EAF steel producers will continue to take market share from BOF steel producers. As of 2016, according to the WSA, EAF steel production had grown to 67% of total U.S. steel production from 47% in 2000, 44% of total EMEA steel production from 33% in 2000 and 40% of total APAC (excluding China) steel production from 36% in 2000. Over the same period, global EAF production increased from 287 million MT in 2000 to 418 million MT in 2016, while non-EAF steel production (excluding China) was flat at 453 million MT in both 2000 and 2016.

We estimate that at least 105 new EAFs, reflecting 66 million MT of new annual steelmaking production capacity, have been installed or have commenced construction in China in 2017, compared to only 52 million MT of Chinese EAF steel production in 2016. As a result of significantly increased steel production since 2000, the supply of Chinese scrap has increased substantially, providing the Chinese EAF steel manufacturing industry with local scrap feedstock that was not historically available. We believe continued Chinese government environmental actions and an increasing domestic scrap supply will support the ongoing global shift towards EAF steelmaking. Assuming completion of new EAF construction and full EAF capacity utilization, we estimate total graphite electrode demand in China could increase in 2018 by over 100,000 MT from 2017.

### The recent restructuring of the graphite electrode industry and changes in the petroleum needle coke industry have reduced supply as demand is recovering

Significant amounts of graphite electrode industry production capacity have recently been removed from the market globally. We estimate that approximately 20% of industry production capacity (excluding China) has been closed or repurposed since the beginning of 2014. Some of these closed manufacturing facilities have sold off equipment, been demolished, undertaken long-term environmental remediation or been repurposed for other manufacturing uses. Accordingly, we believe the majority of these closures represent permanent reductions. As part of this overall industry rationalization, we permanently shut down two plants and temporarily idled our St. Marys plant, reducing our electrode manufacturing from six operating facilities in 2012 to three operating facilities in 2017. Also, in October 2017, the third largest graphite electrode producer acquired the second largest producer.

Further affecting the availability of graphite electrodes, supplies of petroleum needle coke and pitch needle coke, a less favorable substitute for petroleum needle coke, have been limited starting in the second half of 2017. Demand for petroleum needle coke has outpaced supply due to increasing demand for petroleum needle coke in the production of lithium-ion batteries used in electric vehicles. Supply of pitch for pitch needle coke production has fallen as a result of decreasing coke production for the BOF steel industry. These graphite electrode supply constraints have coincided with the recovery in EAF demand for graphite electrodes, resulting in stronger market conditions for our products.

#### Table of Contents

**EAF Steel Industry** 

Electrode Demand

The table below summarizes these key changes in the industry.

#### 2011 - 2015

EAF steel production declined EAFs regained market share and resumed faster growth than the overall approximately 10% from 2011 to 2015

steel market.

after growing faster than the overall steel

market for more than 25 years.

China net exports of BOF steel displaced EAF production worldwide.

China steel exports are down more than 30% in 2017 from 2016 and are continuing to fall, according to the National Bureau of Statistics of China.

**Graphite Electrodes** 

Electrode Supply

Oversupply driven by historic trough in demand and production capacity additions.

We estimate that approximately 20% of graphite electrode production capacity (excluding China) has been closed or repurposed since the beginning of 2014.

2017

We estimate global production capacity (excluding China) was approximately

1,000,000 MT at 30 plants in 2013.

We estimate current global graphite electrode production capacity (excluding China) is 800,000 MT at 21 plants.

Tight supply due to new demand from lithium-ion batteries for electric vehicles and improving graphite electrode demand.

**Petroleum Needle Coke** Electrode Supply

Excess production capacity and cost disadvantage versus pitch needle coke.

Reduced demand from graphite electrodes.

Increased demand has led to pricing increases of four to six times for petroleum needle coke in the current market compared to one year ago.

During the most recent demand trough, the combination of decreased demand from the EAF steel industry and overcapacity in the graphite electrode industry had an adverse effect on the profitability of our operations, including a net loss of \$235.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. We also experienced a net loss from continuing operations of \$108.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. However, as a result of the recent developments in the industry summarized above, we expect to experience significant improvement in our 2018 financial results relative to these prior results. We also expect a high degree of stability in our future operating results due to our recent three- to five-year contracting initiative. We have entered into three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts to sell approximately 132,406, 138,446, 134,831, 117,600 and 112,883 MT in 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021 and 2022, respectively.

Table of Contents

#### **Competitive strengths**

We are one of the two largest producers of graphite electrodes outside of China, accounting for approximately 21% of global production capacity (excluding China), and we believe our strategically positioned global footprint provides us with competitive advantages

We believe our facilities are among the most strategically located and lowest cost large-scale graphite electrode manufacturing plants in the world. Of the 21 graphite electrode manufacturing facilities currently operating outside of China, we estimate that our three operating manufacturing facilities represent approximately 21% of estimated production capacity for graphite electrodes, making us a critical supplier to global EAF steel manufacturers. Our manufacturing facilities are located in the Americas and EMEA, providing us with access to low-cost and reliable energy sources, logistical and freight advantages in sourcing raw materials and shipping our graphite electrodes to our customers compared to our competitors, and excellent visibility into the large North American and European EAF steelmaking markets. Our experience in producing graphite electrodes for a varied global customer base positions us to meet customer requirements across a range of product types and quality levels, including support and technical services, further distinguishing us from our competitors.

### We are a pure-play provider of an essential consumable for EAF steel producers, the fastest-growing sector of the steel industry

We estimate that EAF steelmaking grew at an annual pace of at least 8% to 10% in 2017, compared with 5% for steelmaking overall. As a result of the increasing global availability of steel scrap and the more resilient, high-variable cost and environmentally friendly EAF model, we expect EAF producers to continue to grow at a faster rate than BOF producers globally. Additionally, EAF producers are increasingly able to utilize higher quality scrap and iron units, their two primary raw materials, to produce higher quality steel grades and capture market share from BOF producers, while maintaining a favorable cost structure. According to the WSA, in EMEA and the Americas, which together made up 92% of our 2017 net sales, EAF producers have increased market share from approximately 37% in 2000 to 48% in 2016, reflecting growth from 190 million MT to 237 million MT. In APAC, which made up approximately 9% of our 2017 net sales, government initiatives in China are expected to result in a greater use of the EAF method in steelmaking despite the historical dominance of BOF producers. These initiatives are the result of efforts to eliminate excess steelmaking production capacity and to improve environmental conditions. The EAF method produces approximately 25% of the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of a BOF facility and does not require the smelting of virgin iron ore or the burning of coal. Additionally, as a result of significantly increased steel production in China since 2000, the supply of Chinese scrap is expected to increase substantially, which may result in lower scrap prices and provide the Chinese steel manufacturing industry with local scrap feedstock that was not historically available. We believe these trends will allow EAF steel producers to increase their market share and grow at a faster rate than BOF steel producers, resulting in increasing demand for graphite electrodes.

#### We have capital-efficient growth opportunities available to us

The graphite electrode industry responded to oversupplied markets from 2011 to 2015 with production capacity rationalization and consolidation, and after the normalization of the market for EAF steel in 2017, we expect the resulting graphite electrode supply deficit could last for some time. Additionally, we believe the lead time from initial permitting to full production of a greenfield graphite electrode manufacturing

#### **Table of Contents**

facility would be approximately five to ten years and cost approximately \$10,000 per MT. Similarly, brownfield development is complicated by significant capital costs and space and process constraints. Only one new greenfield graphite electrode facility outside of China has been built since the 1980s and only one significant brownfield expansion has occurred, reflecting the historical difficulty of adding further graphite electrode production capacity. As a result of this long and uncertain time horizon to build new plants, we believe only a few companies have the necessary technology and expertise to meet the rising demand for graphite electrodes.

Our current facilities are modern, strategically located and well-maintained, providing us with ample operational optimization capabilities. We are in the process of expanding our current production capacity of 167,000 MT by approximately 21%, or 35,000 MT, by the end of 2018 through strategic capital investments and operational improvements in baking cycles and the graphitization process. We estimate that the capital cost to achieve this production capacity expansion is approximately \$42 million. As a result of our prior operational improvement activities, we are able to achieve this large capacity increase with specific, highly targeted capital investments. We expect these expansions to provide additional fixed cost absorption and drive further efficiencies of scale across our manufacturing base. We also can increase production by resuming production at our currently idled St. Marys facility, depending on market conditions, which would add 28,000 MT, or an increase of approximately 14%, to our expected production capacity at the end of 2018. We believe that resuming production at our St. Marys facility, which we believe is cost-competitive with facilities currently operated by our competitors, would cost approximately \$5 million to \$11 million in capital expenditures and start-up staffing requirements, depending on our targeted production capacity.

### We believe we have the industry's most efficient production platform of high production capacity assets with substantial vertical integration

Based on our experience, high capacity manufacturing facilities can have operating costs of more than \$1,000 per MT lower than low capacity manufacturing facilities. Our recent restructuring activities have included the closures of our lower capacity manufacturing facilities in South Africa and Brazil and the idling of our St. Marys facility, which together accounted for approximately 35% of our previous production capacity. Our restructuring actions have eliminated approximately \$125 million of annual fixed manufacturing costs and maintenance capital expenditure requirements since 2012. These actions allow us to run our Calais, Pamplona and Monterrey plants at or near 100% capacity utilization. Since 2014, we have also improved our manufacturing processes and made strategic investments across our plant network, which have improved productivity while also reducing our energy and raw material consumption. Following our footprint optimization, we expect to produce a greater quantity of graphite electrodes in 2018 from our three operating facilities than we did from our six operating facilities in 2012. In 2017, the Calais and Pamplona plants exceeded previous annual record production levels by 15% and 12%, respectively, and production at the Monterrey plant was 12% higher than the highest annual production level during the past 10 years. We believe that the optimization of our plant network will continue to drive improved fixed cost absorption and meaningfully lower variable costs.

Moreover, our Seadrift, Calais, Pamplona, Monterrey and St. Marys facilities each provide unique advantages for us. On average, petroleum needle coke represents 25% to 45% of our graphite electrode manufacturing costs, with labor representing only 5% to 10%. Seadrift provides a substantial portion of our petroleum needle coke supply needs internally and at a competitive cost and allows us to maximize capacity utilization more efficiently than competitors, who may be more constrained by petroleum needle coke supply. Seadrift is one of only five petroleum needle coke facilities in the world, excluding a small facility in China, and we believe it is the second largest petroleum needle coke producer in the world. We

106

#### **Table of Contents**

also believe that Calais, Pamplona and Monterrey are three of the five highest capacity graphite electrode facilities in the world (excluding China), allowing for significant operating leverage. We believe our facilities have significant cost advantages given their scale and access to low cost, reliable energy sources. While much of the production capacity rationalized during the downturn was permanently shut down, we temporarily idled our St. Marys facility and retain the option to restart it. We believe that our St. Marys facility could be cost-competitive with facilities currently operated by our competitors, and we continue to monitor petroleum needle coke availability to assess restarting the plant.

### We are the only petroleum needle coke producer in the world specifically focused on the production of graphite electrodes

Our production of petroleum needle coke specifically for graphite electrodes provides us the opportunity to produce super premium petroleum needle coke of the highest quality and allows us to tailor graphite electrodes for customer requirements. Seadrift has 140,000 MT of petroleum needle coke production capacity, which we believe makes it the second largest petroleum needle coke producer in the world. We believe that no petroleum needle coke production capacity has been added outside of China for at least 10 years, given high capital costs and technological barriers. Additionally, the growing petroleum needle coke demand from manufacturers of lithium-ion batteries for electric vehicles has created a shortage of petroleum needle coke available to graphite electrode manufacturers. Sourcing the majority of our petroleum needle coke internally allows us to offer our customers certainty of supply, further enhancing our competitive position and supporting our new three- to five-year, take-or-pay contracts strategy. To align with our three- to five-year contract profile, we have hedged the decant oil required to produce all of the graphite electrodes sold under these contracts, providing us with substantial visibility into our future raw material costs. We believe our use of petroleum needle coke is a further competitive advantage, as the use of pitch needle coke, an alternative raw material, results in longer bake times during graphite electrode production, significantly affecting graphite electrode production rates and cost. Finally, the decline in the price of oil and increase in the price of coal tar pitch in recent years has further improved the competitive advantage of using petroleum needle coke relative to pitch needle coke.

#### Our graphite electrodes and petroleum needle coke are among the highest quality in the industry

After the divestiture of our non-core legacy Engineered Solutions businesses in 2016 and 2017, we focused on our core competency of graphite electrode production and generated approximately \$60 million in cash proceeds and release of working capital from these divestitures. Our restructured and simplified business model has reduced our annual overhead expenses by approximately \$65 million since 2012, allowing us to redeploy the savings into our graphite electrode business. We have identified and implemented mechanical and chemical improvements to our electrodes, invested in the capability to produce super premium petroleum needle coke needed for high-margin UHP graphite electrodes, and optimized our production of pins at our Monterrey plant, which are a critical component used to connect and fasten graphite electrodes together in a furnace. By producing pins at our Monterrey plant, we are able to realize meaningful fixed-cost synergies with our graphite electrode production on site. As a result, we believe the quality and the consistency of our electrodes is unrivaled in North America and EMEA and on par with that of any producer globally. We have seen customer satisfaction rise to ten-year highs at a time when the industry has been focused on production capacity rationalization rather than quality. We believe the durability and infrequent breakage of our graphite electrodes create operating efficiencies and value opportunities for our customers. We also believe we have a competitive advantage in offering customers ArchiTech, which we believe is the most advanced support and technical service platform in the graphite electrode industry.

#### **Table of Contents**

ArchiTech, which has been installed in 145 customer furnaces, enables our engineers to work with our customers seamlessly to maximize the performance of their furnaces and provide real-time diagnostics and troubleshooting. We believe our customers value our high quality products and customer service, and have provided us with opportunities to expand our business with them as a result.

### Our experienced executive leadership and general managers and flexible workforce have positioned us for future earnings growth

Our seasoned leadership is committed to earnings growth. We have undertaken strategic investments to increase our production capacity in a capital-efficient manner while reducing our cost position. Our executive and manufacturing leadership have led manufacturing companies through many cycles and are focused on positioning us for profitable growth in any environment. We expect to grow our production capacity by approximately 21%, or 35,000 MT, in 2018 as a result of our operational improvement and debottlenecking initiative and a further 14%, or 28,000 MT, if we restart production at our currently idled St. Marys facility.

Additionally, since our acquisition by Brookfield, we have reorganized our manufacturing facilities as profit centers. We use LEAN manufacturing techniques, which focus on the constant elimination of waste from the manufacturing process. We also rely on Six Sigma methods, a set of management techniques intended to improve quality by significantly reducing the probability that an error or defect will occur. We believe the LEAN and Six Sigma initiatives have increased overall utilization by optimizing our plant production capacity and controlled costs while also improving quality. We also redesigned general manager incentive plans to reward efficiency gains. Similarly, our labor force is incentivized to drive efficiencies through country-specific labor incentive plans. Further, we believe our positive relations with our labor force allow for increased flexibility.

#### **Business strategies**

#### Implement our new commercial strategy

We believe our customers value certainty of supply of high quality graphite electrodes due to their mission-critical nature in the EAF steelmaking process and relatively low cost compared to the total cost of steelmaking. In light of improved market conditions, the long lead time required to produce our products, our position as one of the market's largest producers and our ability, through our substantial vertical integration with Seadrift, to provide customers with a reliable long-term supply of graphite electrodes despite the market shortage of petroleum needle coke, we have implemented a new commercial strategy to sell 60% to 65% of our production capacity to our strategic customers through three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts. In the new supply-constrained market environment, we have secured minimum sales volume under three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts for approximately 636,000 MT or approximately 60% to 65% of our cumulative production capacity from 2018 through 2022. 13% of these contracts are three- and four-year contracts and 87% are five-year contracts. Furthermore, many of our customers have sought to purchase greater volumes from us than they have historically because of our reliable source of petroleum needle coke and the high quality of our graphite electrodes. This new commercial strategy reflects a shift from our historic approach to sales, which were negotiated annually and on a non-binding basis.

108

#### **Table of Contents**

### Grow production capacity through capital-efficient operational improvements and the restart of our St. Marys facility

We believe our well-maintained facilities provide us with opportunities to improve our production capacity by approximately 21% from current production capacity levels with relatively low capital investments. We have improved our manufacturing processes and made strategic investments across our plant network, which have improved productivity, including improvements of approximately 20% at both our Seadrift and Monterrey facilities, while also reducing our energy and raw material consumption. We have achieved these production increases by exploiting latent capacity in our plants, which historically have had uneven levels of capacity across each manufacturing process step, by removing artificial constraints on cycle times and improving scheduling processes. These improvements have had the additional advantage of reducing the capital expenditures required to achieve further production capacity increases through debottlenecking. We plan to invest approximately \$42 million to optimize our bake schedules and graphitization processes as part of our operational improvement and debottlenecking initiative. We expect these upgrades at our three operational facilities to include:

Calais: adding graphitizing furnaces and increasing graphitizing production capacity are expected to increase annual production capacity from 46,000 MT to 65,000 MT.

Pamplona: optimizing graphitization cycles, adding a new extrusion press to unlock graphitizing production capacity and adding a new impregnation facility are expected to increase annual production capacity from 66,000 MT to 76,000 MT.

Monterrey: adding a new bake car, bigger furnace, second crane and additional longitudinal furnaces are expected to increase annual production capacity from 55,000 MT to 61,000 MT.

As a result of our prior operational improvement activities, we are able to achieve this large capacity increase with specific, highly targeted capital investments. We also continue to evaluate restarting production at our St. Marys facility. Restarting our St. Marys facility would provide an additional 28,000 MT of production capacity, or an incremental 14%. Our St. Marys facility has access to low-cost natural gas and electricity, providing what we believe to be a significant cost advantage relative to our competitors. Additionally, its greater proximity to U.S. EAF and non-ferrous metals producers provides it with a further freight cost advantage.

#### Utilize our production efficiency program to support our focus on cost efficiency

As part of our corporate restructuring, we have reduced corporate overhead expenses by approximately \$65 million, or approximately 60%, from 2012 levels through a strategic realignment of our corporate structure and the elimination of the legacy Engineered Solutions R&D expenses and overhead. We temporarily idled our St. Marys facility and reconfigured our production footprint by closing our Brazil and South Africa manufacturing facilities to drive higher capacity utilizations at our three largest, most strategically located and lowest-cost manufacturing facilities. Additionally, we continue to optimize our capital investment opportunities through rigorous quantitative analysis and deploy simultaneous work process improvements at our manufacturing facilities through LEAN and Six Sigma techniques.

#### Continue to be a reliable, preferred supplier for mission-critical graphite electrodes

We believe that improvements in overall quality create significant operating efficiencies and value opportunities for our customers, and provide us with the opportunity to increase sales volumes and market share. We continue to work closely with key customers to enhance the durability of our graphite

#### **Table of Contents**

electrodes, reducing the frequency of graphite electrode breaks and enhancing the usable life of our graphite electrodes, to make us their preferred supplier. We will continue to use our petroleum needle coke facility to help secure customer orders of mission-critical graphite electrodes. We believe that at a time of supply uncertainty for many competitors, we will continue to see high demand from our customers.

#### Maintain balance sheet discipline and strong liquidity to provide strategic flexibility

We plan to maintain a solid balance sheet in order to provide flexibility to grow and invest in our business in all market environments. As of June 30, 2018, we had approximately \$2,210.0 million of indebtedness outstanding and total liquidity of approximately \$409.6 million, consisting of \$243.5 million available for borrowing under the 2018 Revolving Credit Facility (taking into account approximately \$6.5 million of outstanding letters of credit issued thereunder) and cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$166.1 million.

#### **Facilities and manufacturing**

Facilities. Below is a summary of our material facilities:

		Owned
		or
Location of facility	Primary use	leased
Americas		
Brooklyn Heights, Ohio	Corporate Headquarters, Innovation and Technology	T 1
	Center	Leased
Monterrey, Mexico	Graphite Electrode Manufacturing Facility and Sales Office	Owned
St. Marys, Pennsylvania	Graphite Electrode Manufacturing Facility (currently	
	idled)	Owned
Port Lavaca, Texas	Petroleum Needle Coke Manufacturing Facility	
	(Seadrift)	Owned
Europe		
Bussigny, Switzerland	Sales Office	Leased
Calais, France	Graphite Electrode Manufacturing Facility	Owned
Moscow, Russia	Sales Office	Leased
Pamplona, Spain	Graphite Electrode Manufacturing Facility and Sales	
	Office	Owned
Other International		
Beijing, China	Sales Office	Leased
Hong Kong, China	Sales Office	Leased
Hong Kong, Cilina	Saics Office	Leaseu

We currently manufacture our graphite electrodes in three manufacturing facilities strategically located in the Americas and EMEA, two of the largest EAF steelmaking markets. Our locations allow us to serve our customers in the Americas and EMEA efficiently and are located near low-cost and reliable energy sources with essential logistical infrastructure in place. In addition to these three facilities, we have a fourth graphite electrode manufacturing site in St. Marys, Pennsylvania that is currently idled. We estimate that the 167,000 MT of graphite electrode production capacity at our three currently operating sites represents approximately 21% of estimated global graphite electrode production capacity (excluding China). Due to our productivity improvement efforts, and because of the increased demand for graphite electrodes, we have achieved near 100% capacity utilization at our Calais, France, Pamplona, Spain and Monterrey, Mexico plants in 2017. In 2017, the Calais and Pamplona plants exceeded previous annual record production levels by 15% and 12%, respectively, and production at the Monterrey plant was 12% higher than the highest annual production level during the past 10 years. We believe our business has the lowest manufacturing

#### **Table of Contents**

cost structure of all of our major competitors, primarily due to the large scale of our manufacturing facilities.

Our manufacturing facilities significantly benefit from their size and scale, work force flexibility, access to attractively-priced sources of power and other key raw materials, and our substantial vertical integration with Seadrift. By operating three of the five highest capacity graphite electrode production facilities in the world, we are able to achieve meaningful operating leverage relative to our competitors. Because of the attractive cost of labor available to our Monterrey facility, we believe we have a significant cost advantage in the production of pins, which are used to connect and fasten graphite electrodes together in a furnace and are more labor-intensive to produce than other graphite electrodes. Our Calais, Pamplona and Monterrey facilities have access to low-cost sources of electricity, a significant element of our manufacturing costs. Our Seadrift facility currently produces approximately 75% of our petroleum needle coke requirements for our graphite electrode production, allowing us to source our primary raw material internally and at cost, a significant advantage relative to our peers. Seadrift also produces sufficient needle coke to supply 100% of the graphite electrode production that we have contracted under our new take-or-pay contracts.

The table below summarizes the location and production capacity of our plants.

Plant	Calais, France	Pamplona, Spain	Needle coke (Seadrift) Port Lavaca, USA			
2017 Production Capacity						
(MT)	46,000	66,000	55,000	28,000	195,000	140,000
2018 YE Production						
Capacity (MT)	65,000	76,000	61,000	28,000	230,000	140,000
Year Built	1975	1969	1957	1930		1983

#### (1) The St. Marys, Pennsylvania facility is currently idled.

We are currently in the process of increasing our production capacity by approximately 21%, or 35,000 MT, by the end of 2018 through an operational improvement and debottlenecking initiative we commenced in 2017. We are installing a new bake car, a larger furnace, a second crane, and additional longitudinal furnaces in our Monterrey facility, which will increase graphite electrode production capacity to 61,000 MT. Our Pamplona facility will benefit from a new extrusion press to unlock graphitizing production capacity and a new impregnation facility which will increase graphite electrode production capacity to 76,000 MT. We are also adding graphitizing furnaces in our Calais facility, which will increase graphite electrode capacity at that facility to 65,000 MT.

The recent transformation in the industry was driven in part by substantial production capacity rationalization and consolidation. We estimate that, at the beginning of 2014, the graphite electrode industry globally (excluding China) had capacity to produce approximately 1.0 million MT of graphite electrodes across 30 graphite electrode manufacturing facilities. Since then, we estimate that the industry outside of China has closed or repurposed approximately 20% of production capacity, reducing production capacity to approximately 800,000 MT of electrodes at 21 plants. Moreover, the third-largest producer has acquired the second-largest producer. As part of this overall industry rationalization, we permanently shut down two plants and temporarily idled our St. Marys plant, reducing our electrode manufacturing from six operating facilities in 2012 to three operating facilities in 2017. Despite this, we expect to produce a greater quantity of graphite electrodes in 2018 from our three operating facilities than we did in 2012 from our six facilities. By the end of 2018, we believe the five largest plants in the industry including our facilities in Calais, Pamplona and Monterrey will represent approximately 43% of the graphite electrode

#### Table of Contents

industry's installed production capacity (excluding China), representing a significant cost advantage over the remaining 16 plants.

Manufacturing. We manufacture graphite electrodes ranging in size up to 30 inches in diameter, over 11 feet in length, and weighing as much as 5,900 pounds (2.6 MT). The manufacturing process includes six main processes: screening of raw materials (needle coke) and blending with coal tar pitch followed by forming, or extrusion, of the electrode, baking the electrode, impregnating the electrode with a special pitch that improves strength, re-baking the electrode, graphitizing the electrode using electric resistance furnaces, and machining. The first baking process converts the pitch into hard coke. During the baking process, the electrode pitch volatiles are removed, leaving porosities inside. To improve graphite electrode quality, the electrode is then impregnated with additional coal tar pitch to fill the porosities and baked a second time. After impregnation and re-baking, the manufacturing process continues with graphitization as the electrodes are heated at 5000°F in a special longitudinal furnace to convert the carbon into graphite. The graphitization cycle removes additional impurities and improves the electrodes' key qualities: thermal and electrical conductivity, thermal shock resistance performance, lubricity, and abrasion resistance. Graphitization is energy intensive, and, according to CRU International Ltd (or CRU), requires around 3200 to 4800 kWh electricity per MT, representing 20% to 35% of the total electrode costs.

High quality graphite electrodes have low electrical resistivity and strong durability. Resistivity is enhanced by removing impurities during the production process, while durability is determined by the coefficient of thermal expansion (or CTE) of the raw material used to produce the graphite electrode. Lower CTE needle coke produces higher quality electrodes. UHP electrodes used in harsh EAF melter applications have low resistivity and low CTE to maximize efficient use of electricity in the EAF and minimize electrode consumption. The total manufacturing time of a graphite electrode and its associated connecting pin is on average approximately six months from needle coke production to customer delivery. We believe that the period of time required to produce a graphite electrode meaningfully constrains the ability of graphite electrode producers to react to real-time changes in steel market environments and acts as a barrier to entry.

Production of a graphite electrode begins with the production of either petroleum needle coke, our primary raw material, or pitch needle coke, a less favorable substitute for petroleum needle coke. Petroleum needle coke is produced through a manufacturing process very similar to a refinery. The production process converts decant oil, a byproduct of the gasoline refining process, into petroleum needle coke and generally takes two months to produce. Needle coke takes its name from the needle-like shape of the coke particles. We produce calcined petroleum needle coke at Seadrift. Seadrift is not dependent on any single refinery for decant oil. While Seadrift has purchased a substantial majority of its raw material inventory from a limited number of suppliers in recent years, we believe that there is a large supply of suitable decant oil in the United States available from a variety of sources. In addition, we have hedged the decant oil required to produce all of the graphite electrodes sold under our three- to five-year take or pay contracts, providing us with substantial visibility into our future raw material costs. Seadrift is one of only five petroleum needle coke facilities in the world, excluding a small facility in China, and we believe it is the second largest petroleum needle coke producer in the world.

We purchase the electric power used in our manufacturing processes from local suppliers under contracts with pricing based on rate schedules or price indices. Our electricity costs can vary significantly depending on these rates and usage. Natural gas used in the baking and re-baking processes is purchased from local suppliers primarily under annual volume contracts with pricing based on various natural gas price indices.

#### Table of Contents

#### Sales and customer service

We differentiate and sell the value of our graphite electrodes primarily based on price, product quality and performance, delivery reliability and customer technical service.

We have a large customer technical service organization, with supporting application engineering and scientific groups and more than 60 engineers and specialists around the world. We believe that we are one of the industry leaders in providing value added technical services to our customers.

Our direct sales force currently operates from 10 sales offices located around the world. We sell our graphite electrodes primarily through our direct sales force, independent sales representatives and distributors, all of whom are trained and experienced with our products.

We have customer technical service personnel based around the world to assist customers to maximize their production and minimize their costs. A portion of our engineers and technicians provide technical service and advice to key steel and other metals customers. These services relate to furnace applications and operation, as well as furnace upgrades to reduce energy consumption, improve raw material costs and increase output.

We believe we have a competitive advantage in offering customers ArchiTech, which we believe is the most advanced support and technical service platform in the graphite electrode industry. ArchiTech, which has been installed in 145 customer furnaces, enables our engineers to work with our customers seamlessly to maximize the performance of their furnaces and provide real-time diagnostics and troubleshooting.

#### **Distribution**

We deploy various demand management and inventory management techniques to seek to ensure that we can meet our customers' delivery requirements while still maximizing the capacity utilization of our production capacity. We can experience significant variation in our customers' delivery requirements as their specific needs vary and change through the year. We generally seek to maintain appropriate inventory levels, taking into account these factors as well as the significant differences in manufacturing cycle times for graphite electrode products and our customers' products.

Finished products are usually stored at our manufacturing facilities. Limited quantities of some finished products are also stored at local warehouses around the world to meet customer needs.

#### **Contracts and Customers**

In 2017, we reoriented our commercial strategy around a three- to five-year take-or-pay contract framework and restructured our sales force incentives. As graphite electrodes are an essential consumable in the EAF steel production process and require a long lead time to manufacture, our strategic customers are highly focused on securing certainty of supply of reliable, high quality graphite electrodes. Prior to our three- to five-year take-or-pay contract initiative, our sales of graphite electrodes were generally negotiated annually through purchase orders on an uncontracted, nonbinding basis. The majority of our customers sought to secure orders for a supply of their anticipated volume requirements each upcoming year. The remaining, small balance of our graphite electrode customers purchased their electrodes as needed throughout the year at industry spot prices.

We believe we are uniquely capable among graphite electrode producers to pursue our three- to five-year take-or-pay contracting strategy due to our substantial vertical integration into petroleum needle coke

#### **Table of Contents**

production. Substantially all of our petroleum needle coke production is used internally and is not sold to external customers. Demand for petroleum needle coke is increasing due to the use of needle coke in lithium-ion batteries for electric vehicles, as well as the recovering demand for graphite electrodes, and we expect demand to exceed global production capacity in 2018. Consequently, this limited availability of petroleum needle coke will restrict new graphite electrode production. Seadrift, our wholly owned subsidiary acquired in 2010, currently provides approximately 75% of our petroleum needle coke requirements and produces sufficient needle coke to supply 100% of the graphite electrode production that we have contracted under our take-or-pay contracts. We have hedged the decant oil required to produce all of the graphite electrodes sold under these contracts, providing us substantial visibility into our future raw material costs.

Because the market price of graphite electrodes may be based, in part, on the current or forecasted costs of key raw materials, periods of raw material price volatility may have an impact on the market price. In particular, as petroleum needle coke represents a significant percentage of the raw material cost of graphite electrodes, the price of graphite electrodes has historically been influenced by the price of petroleum needle coke. See "Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business and Industry Pricing for graphite electrodes has historically been cyclical and, in the future, the price of graphite electrodes will likely decline from recent record highs." The fixed prices under our contracts prevent us from passing along changes related to our costs of raw materials to our customers. See "Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business and Industry We are dependent on the supply of petroleum needle coke. Our results of operations could deteriorate if recent disruptions in the supply of petroleum needle coke continue or worsen for an extended period." However, as described above, we believe our ability to source all of our petroleum needle coke requirements for these contracts from our Seadrift facility and our hedging of our purchases of decant oil mitigates the impact of periodic shortages and price fluctuations of raw materials.

We have executed three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts, representing approximately 636,000 MT, or approximately 60% to 65% of our cumulative expected production capacity from 2018 through 2022. Approximately 87% of the contracted volumes have five year terms and approximately 13% of the contracted volumes have three and four year terms. We have contracted to sell approximately 132,406, 138,446, 134,831, 117,600 and 112,883 MT in 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021 and 2022, respectively. Approximately 85% of these volumes are under pre-determined fixed annual volume contracts, while approximately 15% of the volumes are under contracts with a specified volume range. The aggregate difference between the minimum and maximum volumes across our cumulative portfolio of take-or-pay contracts with specified volume ranges is approximately 5,000 MT per year in 2019, 2020, 2021 and 2022. We have also retained significant upside by reserving the remaining approximately 35% to 40% of our production capacity from 2019 to 2022 for sales on a shorter term or spot basis.

## Edgar Filing: GRAFTECH INTERNATIONAL LTD - Form S-1 Table of Contents Management estimates of annual production capacity All of our take-or-pay contracts have fixed prices. The weighted average contract price for the contracted volumes over the next five years is approximately \$9,700 per MT, with the weighted average contract prices for contracts with a specified volume range computed using the volume midpoint. Weighted average contract prices for our contracted volume will differ from the weighted average realized prices that we will actually realize for all MT of graphite electrodes we sell in these years because contracted volumes represent only a portion of our production capacity. Three-to Five-Year Take-or-Pay Contract Volume and Price Profile

<sup>(1)</sup> Contract volume reflects volumes contracted under three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts. Contract volume in the above graph reflects the midpoint of the contracts with a specified volume range.

#### Table of Contents

- (2) Weighted average contract price reflects the volume-weighted average price for graphite electrodes at which we have entered into three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts as of the date hereof. For those contracts with a specified volume range, weighted average contract prices are computed using the volume midpoint.
- (3) Contracted revenue reflects the product of the weighted average contract price and the contracted volume for the period.

Within this contract framework, our customers agree to purchase a specified volume of product at the price under the contract. Contract customers are unable to renegotiate or adjust the price under the contract and order. These fixed prices under the contracts also prevent us from passing along any changes related to the costs of raw materials to contract customers. As a result of the take-or-pay obligation of the contracts, the customer must purchase the annual contracted volume (or annual volume within the specified range). In the event the customer does not take delivery of the annual volume specified in the contract, our contracts provide for a capacity payment equal to the product of the number of MTs short of the annual volume specified in the contract multiplied by the price under the contract for that contract year.

In addition to defining annual volumes and prices, these three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts include significant termination payments (typically, 50% to 70% of remaining contracted revenue) and, in certain cases, parent guarantees and collateral arrangements to manage our customer credit risk. In most cases, the customer can only terminate the contract unilaterally: (i) upon certain bankruptcy events; (ii) if we materially breach certain anti-corruption legislation; (iii) if we are affected by a force majeure event that precludes the delivery of the agreed-to graphite electrodes for more than a six-month period; or (iv) if we fail to ship certain minimum levels during a specified period of time. The customer will also be able to temporarily suspend obligations under the contract due to a force majeure event, as will we, with the contract term being extended by a period equal to the duration of such suspension.

Our contracts provide our customers with certain remedies in the event that we are unable to deliver the contracted volumes of graphite electrodes on a quarterly basis. Our substantially vertically integrated Seadrift plant is particularly important to our ability to provide our customers with a reliable supply of graphite electrodes. Therefore, the likelihood that we will fail to deliver the contracted volume is significantly reduced due to our substantial vertical integration. For a discussion of certain risks related to our take-or-pay contracting initiative, see "Risk Factors Risks related to our business and industry We may be unable to implement our business strategies, including our initiative to secure and maintain three- to five-year take-or-pay customer contracts, in an effective manner."

We aim to be the leading producer of the highest performing graphite electrodes in order to enhance customer production efficiency. Our global manufacturing network, vertical integration with needle coke and R&D team provide us with competitive advantages in product quality, product costs, and operational flexibility. We continuously work to improve the consistent overall quality of our products and services, including the performance characteristics of each product, and the uniformity of the products manufactured at different facilities. We believe our efforts have succeeded, as in 2017 we improved our quality and performance metrics to the highest levels in ten years and added new, leading steelmakers as customers.

Approximately 89% of our graphite electrodes were purchased by EAF steel producers in 2017. The remaining portion is primarily used in various other ferrous and non-ferrous melting applications, BOF production, fused materials, chemical processing, and alloy metals. In 2017, only one customer accounted for more than 10% of our net sales. We sell our products in every major geographic region globally. Sales of our products to buyers outside the United States accounted for approximately 80% of net sales in 2015, approximately 83% of net sales in 2016 and approximately 81% of net sales in 2017. Overall, in 2017, we generated more than 92% of our net sales from EMEA and the Americas.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### 2017 Revenue and production by region and end market

2017 Revenue by region

2017 Production by end market

We believe our three- to five-year take-or-pay contracting strategy provides cash flow visibility and stability to our customers and, as a result, has secured a high quality customer base. We perform financial and credit reviews of all eligible potential customers prior to entering into these contracts. Less creditworthy customers are required to post a bank guarantee, letter of credit or significant cash prepayment. As a result, our contracted customers as of March 1, 2018 have strong balance sheets, with a weighted average cost of debt of 4.2%. Based on total revenues over the life of the contracts, our ten largest customers represent 41% of total revenue, while the next ten customers and all other customers represent 18% and 41% of total revenue, respectively, and approximately 85% of contracted revenue from EMEA and the Americas. Approximately 92% of contracted production has been placed with long-time recurring strategic customers, representing approximately 95% of their 2017 electrode purchases from us.

#### Research and development

We have over 125 years of experience in the R&D of graphite- and carbon-based solutions. By focusing our management's attention and R&D spending exclusively on the graphite electrode business, we have been able to meaningfully improve the quality of our graphite electrodes, repositioning ourselves as an industry quality leader and improving our relationships with strategic customers. Our focus on improving the quality of petroleum needle coke through R&D has led to our petroleum needle coke production at Seadrift now being best-in-class for use in the manufacturing of highly durable UHP electrodes.

R&D expenses amounted to \$1.0 million and \$1.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively. We believe that our technological and manufacturing strengths and capabilities provide us with a significant growth opportunity as well as a competitive advantage.

#### **Intellectual property**

We believe that our intellectual property, consisting primarily of patents and proprietary know-how, provides us with competitive advantages and is important to our growth opportunities. Our intellectual property portfolio is extensive, with approximately 173 carbon and graphite U.S. and foreign patents and published patent applications, which we believe is more than any of our major competitors in the businesses in which we operate.

#### Table of Contents

We own or have obtained licenses for various trade names and trademarks used in our businesses. For example, the trade name and trademark UCAR are owned by Union Carbide Corporation (which was acquired by Dow Chemical Company) and are licensed to us on a worldwide, exclusive and royalty-free basis until 2025. This particular license automatically renews for successive ten-year periods. It permits non-renewal by Union Carbide at the end of any renewal period upon five years' notice of non-renewal.

We rely on patent, trademark, copyright and trade secret laws, as well as appropriate agreements to protect our intellectual property. Among other things, we seek to protect our proprietary know-how and information, by requiring employees, consultants, strategic partners and others who have access to such proprietary information and know-how to enter into confidentiality or restricted use agreements.

#### **Insurance**

We maintain insurance against civil liabilities relating to personal injuries to third parties, for loss of or damage to property, for business interruptions and for certain environmental matters, that provides coverage, subject to the applicable coverage limits, deductibles and retentions, and exclusions, that we believe are appropriate upon terms and conditions and for premiums that we consider fair and reasonable in the circumstances. We cannot assure you, however, that we will not incur losses beyond the limits of or outside the coverage of our insurance.

#### **Environment**

Our facilities and operations are subject to a wide variety of federal, state, local and foreign environmental laws and regulations. These laws and regulations relate to air emissions, water discharges and solid and hazardous waste generation, treatment, storage, handling, transportation and disposal; the presence of wastes and other substances; the reporting of, responses to and liability for, releases of hazardous substances into the environment; and the import, production, packaging, labeling and transportation of products that are defined as hazardous or toxic or otherwise believed to have potential to harm the environment or human health. These laws and regulations (and the enforcement thereof) are periodically changed and are becoming increasingly stringent. We have incurred substantial costs in the past, and will continue to incur additional costs in the future, to comply with these legal requirements.

We believe that we are currently in compliance in all material respects with the federal, state, local and foreign environmental laws and regulations to which we are subject. We have experienced some level of regulatory scrutiny at most of our current and former facilities and, in some cases, have been required to take or are continuing to take corrective or remedial actions and incur related costs, and may experience further regulatory scrutiny, and may be required to take further corrective or remedial actions and incur additional costs, in the future. Although it has not been the case in the past, these costs could have a material adverse effect on us in the future.

Further, laws and regulations in various jurisdictions impose or may impose, as the case may be, environmental monitoring, reporting and/or remediation requirements if operations cease or property is transferred or sold. We have sold or closed a number of facilities that had operated solid waste management units on-site. In most cases where we divested the properties, we have retained ownership of on-site landfills. When our landfills were or are to be sold, we negotiate for contractual provisions providing for financial assurance to be maintained, which we believe will be adequate to protect us from any potential future liability associated with these landfills. When we have closed landfills, we believe that we have done so in material compliance with applicable laws and regulations. We continue to monitor these landfills and observe any reporting obligations we may have with respect to them pursuant to

#### **Table of Contents**

applicable laws and regulations. To date, the costs associated with the retained landfills have not had, and we do not anticipate that future costs will have, a material adverse effect on us.

We have received and may in the future receive notices from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (or U.S. EPA) or state environmental protection agencies, as well as claims from other parties, alleging that we are a potentially responsible party (or PRP) under the Superfund Act and similar state laws for past and future remediation costs at waste disposal sites and other contaminated properties. Although Superfund Act liability is joint and several, in general, final allocation of responsibility at sites where there are multiple PRPs is made based on each PRP's relative contribution of hazardous substances to the site. Based on information currently available to us, we believe that any potential liability we may have as a PRP will not have a material adverse effect on us.

Certain of our U.S. facilities have been or will be required to comply with reporting requirements under the Federal Clean Air Act and standards for air emissions that have been or may be adopted by the U.S. EPA and state environmental protection agencies pursuant to new and revised regulations, including the possible promulgation of future maximum achievable control technology standards that apply specifically to our manufacturing sector(s), or more generally to our operation(s) or equipment. Achieving compliance with the regulations that have been promulgated to date has resulted in the need for additional administrative and engineered controls, changes to certain manufacturing processes, and increased monitoring and reporting obligations. Similar foreign laws and regulations have been or may also be adopted to establish new standards for air emissions, which may also require best available control technology on our manufacturing operations outside the United States. Based on information currently available to us, we believe that compliance with these regulations will not have a material adverse effect on us.

International accords, foreign laws and regulations, and U.S. federal, state and local laws and regulations have been enacted to address concerns about the effects that CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and other identified GHGs may have on the environment and climate worldwide. These effects are widely referred to as Climate Change. The international community has taken actions to address Climate Change issues on a global basis. In particular, in December 2015, the 21st Conference of Parties for the UNFCC concluded with more than 190 countries adopting the Paris Agreement, which then came into force and was legally binding on the parties in November 2016. The Paris Agreement sets a goal of limiting the increase in global average temperature and consists of two elements: a legally binding commitment by each participating country to set an emissions reduction target, referred to as "nationally determined contributions" (or NDCs), with a review of the NDCs that could lead to updates and enhancements every five years beginning in 2023, and a transparency commitment requiring participating countries to disclose in full their progress. Our activities in the EU are subject to the EU Emissions Trading Scheme (or ETS), and it is likely that requirements relating to GHG emissions will become more stringent and will continue to expand to other jurisdictions in the future as NDCs under the Paris Agreement are implemented. In the United States, although the current Administration has announced its intent to withdraw from the Paris Agreement, the EPA currently requires reporting of GHG emissions from certain sources and, in the future, the EPA or states may impose permitting obligations on new sources or existing sources that seek to modify their operations that would otherwise result in an increase in certain GHG emissions.

In the EU, the ETS, which was initially enacted under the provisions of the 1997 Kyoto Protocol, requires certain listed energy-intensive industries to participate in an international "cap and trade" system of GHG emission allowances. A third phase of the EU ETS under Directive 2009/29/EC, covers the period 2013 to 2020 and instituted a number of program changes. EU Member States brought into force the necessary laws, regulations and administrative provisions to comply with this EU Directive. Carbon and graphite

#### **Table of Contents**

manufacturing is still not a covered industry sector in the revised Annex 1 of this Directive. However, one of our European manufacturing operations was required to comply with these provisions under a more general fuel combustion category, because its combustion units met the applicability levels. The operations subject to these provisions was eligible to receive free CO<sub>2</sub> emission allowances under the member state allocation program. On November 9, 2017, to implement the EU's NDC under the Paris Agreement and other GHG commitments, the European Parliament and Council announced a provisional agreement to revise and make more stringent the ETS during the Phase 4 period of 2021 to 2030. Among other changes, the Phase 4 provisions would further accelerate reduction in the current oversupply of allowances in the ETS market and establish further protections against the risks of carbon leakage. Final agreement is expected to be approved and a new EU Directive published during 2018. The EU's current target for 2030 is to achieve a GHG reduction of at least 40% compared to 1990 levels. Implementation of Phase 4 could increase the cost of our current GHG allowances and require us to obtain additional allowances. Based on information currently available to us, we believe that compliance with international accords, U.S. and foreign laws and regulations concerning Climate Change which have been promulgated, or that could be promulgated in the future, including Phase 4 of the ETS, will not have a material adverse effect on us.

Some of our products (including our raw materials) are subject to extensive environmental and industrial hygiene regulations governing the registration and safety analysis of their component substances. For example, in connecting with the REACH or the EU's Classification, Labelling and Packaging Regulation, any key raw material, chemical or substance, including our products, could be classified as having a toxicological or health-related impact on the environment, users of our products, or our employees. We process and use coal tar pitch, which is classified as a substance of very high concern under REACH, is used in certain of our processes but in a manner that does not currently require us to obtain a specific authorization from ECHA.

Estimates of future costs for compliance with U.S. and foreign environmental protection laws and regulations, and for environmental liabilities, are necessarily imprecise due to numerous uncertainties, including the impact of potential new laws and regulations, the availability and application of new and diverse technologies, the extent of insurance coverage, the potential discovery of contaminated properties, or the identification of new hazardous substance disposal sites at which we may be a PRP and, in the case of sites subject to the Superfund Act and similar state and foreign laws, the final determination of remedial requirements and the ultimate allocation of costs among the PRPs. Subject to the inherent imprecision in estimating such future costs, but taking into consideration our experience to date regarding environmental matters of a similar nature and facts currently known, we estimate that our costs and capital expenditures (in each case, before adjustment for inflation) for environmental protection regulatory compliance programs and for remedial response actions will not be material over the next several years. Furthermore, we establish accruals for environmental liabilities when it is probable that a liability has been or will be incurred, and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated. We adjust the accrual as new remedial actions or other commitments are made, as well as when new information becomes available that changes the prior estimates previously made and we believe our existing accruals are reasonable.

#### Table of Contents

#### **Employee relations**

As of June 30, 2018, we had 1,371 employees (excluding contractors). A total of 468 employees were in Europe (including Russia), 689 were in Mexico and Brazil, 3 were in South Africa, 204 were in the United States and 7 were in the Asia Pacific region. As of June 30, 2018, 819 of our employees were hourly employees.

As of June 30, 2018, approximately 738 employees, or 54%, of our worldwide employees, are covered by collective bargaining or similar agreements. As of June 30, 2018, approximately 19 employees, or 1%, of our worldwide employees, were covered by agreements that expire, or are subject to renegotiation, at various times through December 31, 2018. We believe that, in general, our relationships with our unions are satisfactory and that we will be able to renew or extend our collective bargaining or similar agreements on reasonable terms as they expire. We cannot assure, however, that renewed or extended agreements will be reached without a work stoppage or strike or will be reached on terms satisfactory to us. As of June 30, 2018, none of the employees in our Seadrift plant or St. Marys facility were covered by collective bargaining or similar agreements.

We have not had any material work stoppages or strikes during the past decade.

#### Legal proceedings

We are involved in various investigations, lawsuits, claims, demands, labor disputes and other legal proceedings, including with respect to environmental and human exposure or other personal injury matters, arising out of or incidental to the conduct of our business. While it is not possible to determine the ultimate disposition of each of these matters and proceedings, we do not believe that their ultimate disposition will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Litigation has been pending in Brazil brought by employees seeking to recover additional amounts and interest thereon under certain wage increase provisions applicable in 1989 and 1990 under collective bargaining agreements to which employers in the Bahia region of Brazil were a party (including our subsidiary in Brazil). Prior to October 1, 2015, we were not party to such litigation. Companies in Brazil have recently settled claims arising out of these provisions and, in May 2015, the litigation was remanded, in favor of the employees, by the Brazil Supreme Court to the lower courts for further proceedings which included procedural aspects of the case, such as admissibility of instruments filed by the parties. On October 1, 2015, an action was filed by current and former employees against our subsidiary in Brazil to recover amounts under such provisions, plus interest thereon, which amounts together with interest could be material to us. In the first quarter of 2017, the state court ruled in favor of the employees. We have appealed this ruling and intend to vigorously defend it. As of June 30, 2018, we are unable to assess the potential loss associated with these proceedings as the claims do not currently specify the number of employees seeking damages or the amount of damages being sought.

**Table of Contents** 

# **Industry**

## **Graphite electrode industry**

Graphite electrodes are an industrial consumable product used primarily in EAF steel production. EAFs and BOFs are the two primary technologies for steel production. In the EAF method, steel scrap is melted and recycled to produce liquid steel, while in the BOF method, virgin iron ore is smelted with metallurgical coke, a carbon product derived from metallurgical coal. According to the WSA, in 2016, EAF steel producers accounted for 45%, or 367 million MT, of global crude steel production (excluding China, which almost exclusively uses the BOF method), an increase of 37% since 2000. EAFs have historically been the fastest-growing segment of the global steel industry due to their greater resilience, more variable cost structure, lower capital intensity and more environmentally friendly nature.

EAF steel producers are the primary consumers of graphite electrodes, and use them to conduct electricity in a furnace, generating an electric arc of sufficient heat to melt scrap metal, iron ore or other raw materials used to produce steel or other metals. EAFs operate using either alternating electric current or direct electric current. The vast majority of EAFs use alternating electric current and typically use nine electrodes (in three columns of three electrodes each) at one time. Direct electric current EAFs typically use one column of three electrodes. Graphite electrodes are the only known commercially available products that have both the capacity to handle high levels of electrical current and the capability to sustain the high levels of heat generated in EAF steel production, making graphite electrodes essential to the EAF process.

The size of the electrodes varies depending on the size of the furnace, the size of the furnace's electric transformer and the planned productivity of the furnace. In a typical furnace using alternating electric current and operating at a typical number of production cycles per day, three electrodes are fully consumed (requiring the addition of new electrodes), on average, every 8 to 10 operating hours. Graphite electrodes are consumed at a rate of approximately 1.7 kilograms per MT of steel production.

The actual rate of consumption and addition of electrodes for a particular furnace depends primarily on the efficiency and productivity of the furnace. Therefore, demand for graphite electrodes is directly related to the amount and efficiency of EAF steel production. EAF steel production requires significant heat (as high as 5,000° F) to melt the raw materials, primarily scrap metal, in the furnace. Heat is generated as electricity (as much as 150,000 amps) passes through the electrodes and creates an electric arc between the electrodes and the raw materials.

### Market size and major producers

Electrode production globally (excluding China) is focused on the manufacture of UHP electrodes for EAFs, while the majority of Chinese production is of ladle electrodes for BOFs. UHP electrodes must be able to endure more harsh operating environments than ladle electrodes, as EAFs melt solid scrap steel to a liquid state whereas BOF ladle electrodes are used to maintain the temperature of steel already in a liquid state. UHP electrodes are more difficult to make and are sold at a premium relative to ladle electrodes because their production requires an extensive proprietary manufacturing process and material science knowledge, including the use of superior needle coke blends. As a result, graphite electrode producers outside of China and electrode producers in China are generally not in direct competition for major product lines.

We believe the worldwide graphite electrode production capacity (excluding China) was approximately 800,000 MT in 2016. We estimate the graphite electrode industry (excluding China) produced

## Table of Contents

approximately 730,000 MT of electrodes in 2017, resulting in a capacity utilization of approximately 90%. However, we believe that plants are currently running at or near full effective capacity, with the industry further constrained by a limited supply of petroleum needle coke. The industry is fairly consolidated with the top five players producing approximately 83% of the total volume according to management estimates. The five largest producers in the industry are Showa Denko K.K., GrafTech, Graphite India Limited, Tokai Carbon Co., Ltd. and HEG Ltd.

The charts below shows management estimates for expected GrafTech and industry production capacity in the fourth quarter of 2018, following our operational improvement and debottlenecking initiative.

Management estimates of 2017 graphite electrode industry production capacity and recent closures (excluding China), including estimated GrafTech capacity expansions to be achieved by Q4 2018

### **Table of Contents**

Management	estimates of grap	hite electrode in	dustry produc	ction capacity	(excluding	China)	by Compai	ny at
2018 YE			• •					

- (1) GrafTech production capacity of 230,000 MT assumes restart of currently idled St. Marys facility
- (2) Excludes production capacity figures related to Chinese operations

Source: Public filings of competitors and management estimates

Customers of UHP graphite electrode producers primarily include EAF steel producers across the Americas and EMEA. As the capacity utilization and production levels for EAF steel producers have increased over the course of 2017, demand for graphite electrodes has exceeded current production capacity. Customers have historically procured graphite electrodes through annual agreements negotiated in the third and fourth quarters of each calendar year for graphite electrodes to be delivered the following year. In light of recent market trends described below, certainty of supply of graphite electrodes has become a critical concern for EAF steelmakers.

We believe that greenfield graphite electrode manufacturing projects have been difficult to develop due to significant capital costs, long lead times, technical know-how, and cumbersome permitting and regulatory regimes. We believe the lead time from initial permitting to full production of a greenfield graphite electrode manufacturing facility would be approximately five to ten years and potentially cost as much as approximately \$10,000 per MT. Similarly, brownfield graphite electrode manufacturing development is complicated by significant capital costs. Only one greenfield project and one brownfield expansion have been completed since the 1980s outside of China. Therefore, we believe that the industry does not currently have the ability to increase production capacity easily to meet rising demand, resulting in a shortage of graphite electrodes. Additionally, graphite electrodes require petroleum needle coke, which is an essential raw material for production. Needle coke is in short supply due to demand constraints explained below.

## Restructuring of industry production capacity

### Supply trends

According to the WSA, between 1984 and 2011, EAF steel production has grown at 3.5% per year, encouraging the growth of the graphite electrode industry. The rapid growth of Chinese steel production, primarily by the BOF method, created an oversupply in steel which led graphite electrode producers to rationalize production capacity and consolidate between 2014 and 2016, a phase which we believe has concluded with renewed EAF steel demand. In 2013, the graphite electrode industry (excluding China) had capacity to produce approximately 1.0 million MT of graphite electrodes across 30 graphite electrode

### **Table of Contents**

plants. We estimate that, since the beginning of 2014, the industry has closed or repurposed approximately 20% of global production capacity outside of China, consisting of smaller, higher cost facilities. Based on our experience, high capacity manufacturing facilities can have operating costs of more than \$1,000 per MT lower than low capacity manufacturing facilities, encouraging producers to consolidate facilities in order to reduce costs.

Following this rationalization, we estimate that as of November 2017, global production capacity (outside of China) has fallen to approximately 800,000 MT across 21 graphite electrode plants operating at or near full capacity. We believe the majority of this production capacity reduction is permanent due to the demolition, long-term environmental remediation and repurposing of most of these lower capacity facilities. Additionally, in October 2017, Showa Denko, the industry's third largest producer, acquired SGL Carbon, the second largest producer. The consolidation and production capacity reductions in the graphite electrode industry, along with the EAF industry's recovery since 2016, lead us to believe that the graphite electrode industry has recovered from the downturn and will resume its long-term growth trajectory.

#### **Demand trends**

Our graphite electrodes are primarily used in the EAF steelmaking process, and global growth in that market has driven increasing demand for graphite electrodes. EAF steelmaking has historically been the fastest-growing segment of the global steel market. According to the WSA, EAF steelmaking grew at an average annual rate of 3.5% from 1984, the first year for which data is available for all relevant countries, until 2011, while overall steel production (using all methods) grew at an average annual rate of 2.9%. After average annual declines in EAF steel production of 2.7% from 2011 through 2015, EAF steel production grew by 2.8% in 2016. We estimate EAF steel production grew at least 8% to 10% in 2017. CRU forecasts that EAF steel production will grow at a compound annual growth rate of 4.9% from 2017 to 2019, which should provide additional support for graphite electrode demand.

<b>GrafTe</b>	<u>ch is a m</u>	arket le	ader in a	consolio	dated s	supply	chain	with a	fragm	<u>iented</u>	customer	base:	the to	p 10	EAF
steel pr	oducers s	globally	accounte	d for 19	% of	global	produ	ction c	apacit	y in 20	<u>)17</u>				

Source: Wood MacKenzie

This growth has resulted from the development by EAF steelmakers of diversified raw material sources, including non-scrap materials rich in iron content, such as direct reduced iron and hot briquetted iron. These high quality sources of iron, in addition to technological advances in the EAF process, have enabled EAF steel producers to produce higher quality grades of steel traditionally produced by BOF steelmakers and to enter new markets as a result. EAF steelmakers have therefore been able to increase both market

### **Table of Contents**

share and overall production. According to the WSA, as of 2016, EAF steel production has grown to 67% of total U.S. steel production from 47% in 2000, 44% of total EMEA steel production from 33% in 2000, and 40% of total APAC (excluding China) steel production from 36% in 2000. Over the same period, global EAF production increased from 287 million MT in 2000 to 418 million MT in 2016, while non-EAF steel production (excluding China) was flat at 453 million MT in both 2000 and 2016. This ongoing shift toward EAF steelmaking has resulted in increasing demand globally for graphite electrodes.

We believe there is a particular opportunity for EAF steelmaking to take further market share in China as well. China's 12<sup>th</sup> Five-Year Plan, released in 2011, called for EAFs to constitute 20% of overall steel production by 2020. According to the WSA, in 2016, Chinese EAF production was approximately 52 million MT, or approximately 6% of China's total steel production of 808 million MT. If Chinese EAF steelmaking production capacity were to reach 20%, based on 2016 production levels, that would add approximately 110 million MT of additional EAF production, compared to 2016 EAF production in the next largest regions of approximately 64 million MT in the EU, 55 million MT in India and 53 million MT in the United States.

While China's 13th Five-Year Plan, released in March 2016, did not explicitly address the EAF target, it did emphasize the importance of environmental efforts, such that 10 of 25 targets in the plan were related to the environment. The Chinese government's increasing focus on the environment may eventually incentivize steelmakers to convert from BOFs to EAFs in order to continue operating. Significant BOF capacity in the country has been shuttered since 2016 given increasing government-mandated environmental efforts. We estimate that at least 105 new EAFs, reflecting 66 million MT of annual steelmaking production capacity, have been installed or have commenced construction in China in 2017, compared to only 52 million MT of Chinese EAF steel production in 2016. Assuming completion of new EAF construction and full EAF capacity utilization, we estimate total graphite electrode demand in China could increase in 2018 by over 100,000 MT from 2017. China's rapid increase in BOF steel production between 2000 and 2016 has created a significant new source of scrap, and China may become an exporter of steel scrap if it cannot develop its own EAF industry. Chinese exports of scrap would introduce a new source of the key raw material for EAF steelmakers, further benefiting EAF steelmakers' cost advantage relative to BOF producers, both in China and globally. Additional EAF production would increase demand for graphite electrodes.

Management estimates of electrode industry capacity utilization (excluding China)

### Table of Contents

### **Pricing trends**

Pricing for graphite electrodes is determined through contract negotiations and spot transactions between producers and consumers. Pricing has historically been cyclical, reflecting the demand trends of the global EAF steelmaking industry and supply of graphite electrodes. Moreover, as petroleum needle coke reflects a significant percentage of the raw material cost of graphite electrodes, graphite electrodes have typically been priced at a spread to petroleum needle coke. Over the period from 2006 to 2016, the average graphite electrode spread over petroleum needle coke was approximately \$3,000 per MT, on an inflation-adjusted basis using constant 2017 dollars. In tight demand markets, this spread has increased, resulting in higher graphite electrode prices. We believe that the new source of demand for petroleum needle coke presented by lithium-ion battery producers for electric vehicles will place upward pressure on petroleum needle coke pricing compared to historical levels. While there is no widely accepted graphite electrode reference price, we believe that our weighted average realized prices are indicative of prices in the overall industry. Historically, between 2006 and 2016, our weighted average realized price of graphite electrodes was approximately \$4,500 per MT (on an inflation-adjusted basis using constant 2017 dollars).

During the most recent demand trough, our weighted average realized price of graphite electrodes fell to approximately \$2,500 per MT in 2016. Following the significant rationalization of graphite electrode production globally, the resumption of growth in EAF steel production, falling scrap prices, reductions in Chinese steel, and constrained supply of needle coke, graphite electrode spot prices increased to \$15,000 to \$20,000 per MT in the second quarter of 2018. Looking beyond 2017, CRU expects graphite electrode prices to remain at elevated levels due to supply constraints and the market to remain tighter for longer as forecasts suggest that inventories will not be fully restocked until 2023. Nevertheless, because of the critical role of graphite electrodes to our customers' operations and the relatively low cost of graphite electrodes to EAF producers (typically approximately 1% to 5% of the cost of steel production), our customers have been willing to pay a premium for a reliable supply of high quality graphite electrodes, and, in some cases, to pass on the price of this premium to their customers in the form of surcharges, particularly in markets where EAF steelmakers have significant market share.

<u>GrafTech his</u>	storical weighte	<u>d average realiz</u>	<u>ed prices an</u>	<u>d signed thre</u>	<u>ee- to five-yea</u>	r weighted :	average	<u>contract</u>
prices for gra	aphite electrode	<u>-</u>	_	_	_	_	_	

<sup>(1)</sup> Weighted average realized price for a period reflects the total revenues from sales of graphite electrodes for the period divided by the graphite electrode sales volume for that period. The weighted average realized prices in this chart are shown in constant 2017 dollars for comparability. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Key Operating Metrics."

### **Table of Contents**

(2) Weighted average contract price for a period reflects the volume-weighted average price for graphite electrodes to be delivered under the three- to five-year take-or-pay contracts we have entered into in 2018. All of these contracts have fixed prices and either fixed volumes (85% of the portfolio) or a specified volume range (15% of the portfolio). For those contracts with a specified volume range, weighted average contract prices are computed using the volume midpoint. The aggregate difference between the volume midpoint and the minimum and maximum volumes across our cumulative portfolio of take-or-pay contracts with specified volume ranges is approximately 5,000 MT per year in 2019-2022. See "Business Contracts and Customers."

## Needle coke industry

### Introduction

Needle coke is the primary raw material for the production of graphite electrodes used by EAF steelmakers and producers of aluminum, stainless steel, silicon metals and other ferrous and non-ferrous metals, and is also a key raw material in the production of lithium-ion batteries used to power electric vehicles. Needle coke is derived from two carbon sources. Petroleum needle coke is produced through a manufacturing process very similar to a refinery. The production process converts decant oil, a byproduct of the gasoline refining process, into petroleum needle coke and generally takes two months to produce. Pitch needle coke, used principally by Asian graphite electrode manufacturers, is made from coal tar pitch, a byproduct of coking metallurgical coal used in BOF steelmaking.

Graphite electrode producers combine petroleum and pitch needle coke with binders and other ingredients to form graphite electrodes. Petroleum and pitch needle coke, relative to other varieties of coke, are distinguished by their needle-like structure and their quality, which is measured by the presence of impurities, principally sulfur, nitrogen and ash. Petroleum and pitch needle coke are typically low in these impurities. Additionally, the needle-like structure of petroleum and pitch needle coke creates expansion along the length of the electrode, rather than the width, which reduces the likelihood of fractures. In order to minimize fractures caused by disproportionate expansion over the width of an electrode, and minimize the effect of impurities, large-diameter graphite electrodes (18 inches to 32 inches) employed in high-intensity EAF applications are comprised almost exclusively of petroleum and pitch needle coke.

The process map below shows the raw materials required to make graphite electrodes, the various consumers of these raw materials, as well as the consumers of graphite electrodes.

Edgar Filing:	GRAFTECH	INTERNATIONAL	LTD -	Form S-1
---------------	----------	---------------	-------	----------

			~	
Tab	le	ot	Con	itents

Granhite	electrode	industry	production	nrocess
OI apilite	ciccii ouc	muusu y	production	DI OCCSS

(1) Graphite electrode sales represent sales outside of China

Source: Management estimates as of November 30, 2017

Needle coke has historically accounted for 25% to 45% of our graphite electrode production cost and is the key input for graphite electrode production, with roughly 1 MT of needle coke required for 1 MT of graphite electrode production. Previously, producers of petroleum needle coke typically agreed to supply petroleum needle coke in twelve-month contracts; however, in 2017, producers of petroleum needle coke only agreed to six-month contracts, and we have received indications that in 2018, suppliers may offer only three-month contracts. As a result, our competitors must continually renegotiate supply agreements in response to changing market conditions. We are substantially vertically integrated through our ownership of our Seadrift facility, which provides approximately 75% of our needle coke requirements and insulates us from rapid changes in the needle coke market.

## Market size and major producers

We believe that the global market production for needle coke is approximately 820,000 MT annually. Petroleum needle coke contributes approximately 80% to 85% of the production (or approximately 700,000 MT) and pitch needle coke provides the remaining 15% to 20% of production (approximately 120,000 MT). Petroleum needle coke is generally preferred by graphite electrode producers and manufacturers of lithium-ion batteries for electric vehicles in North America and Western Europe. Graphite electrode manufacturers prefer petroleum needle coke because of the meaningfully longer bake and graphitizing time required for pitch needle coke and the subsequent impact on graphite electrode production throughput. Electric vehicle manufacturers prefer petroleum needle coke in lithium-ion batteries because of its greater energy density, providing batteries with longer driving ranges and longevity. As a result of this preference by both graphite electrode and electric vehicle manufacturers, demand for petroleum needle coke is expected to exceed supply beginning in 2018 and result in increased prices for

### **Table of Contents**

both petroleum needle coke and graphite electrodes, as well as constrain manufacturing capacity of graphite electrodes.

The needle coke industry is highly concentrated with approximately ten major producers of needle coke and only four major producers of petroleum needle coke (excluding one small facility in China). These firms include Phillips 66 (U.S.), Seadrift (GrafTech), Petrocokes Japan Limited (Japan), JX Nippon Oil & Energy Co., Ltd. (Japan) and Petrochina International Jinzhou Co., Ltd. (China), which produce petroleum needle coke, and Mitsubishi Chemical Company, Baosteel Group (China), C-Chem Co., Ltd. (Japan), Indian Oil Company Limited (India), JX Holdings Inc. (Japan), Petrochina International Jinzhou Co., Ltd. (China) and Anshan Kaitan Thermo-Energy New Materials Co. Ltd (China), which produce pitch needle coke. We believe that Phillips 66 and Seadrift are the largest and second largest needle coke producers in the world, respectively. We estimate that Seadrift has approximately 19% global market share for petroleum needle coke.

Petroleum n	eedle coke	industry	production	capacity (	(excluding	China) i	n 2017 by	company

Source: Management estimates

### **Industry trends**

Petroleum needle coke production capacity, excluding China, has remained unchanged for at least the last 10 years due to the capital intensity, technical know-how and long lead times required to build greenfield needle coke production facilities and the stringent regulatory process associated with building new needle coke production capacity. Furthermore, we believe that brownfield expansion opportunities are generally not available as petroleum needle coke manufacturing is a continuous process with significant costs associated with shutting down and restarting facilities for maintenance or capital investment.

Supply of pitch needle coke is also becoming constrained as coke batteries shut down. In the Americas, reduced operations or closures of BOF steel furnaces have reduced demand for metallurgical coke, impacting the availability of supplies of coal tar pitch, used to produce pitch needle coke. Additionally, some producers of pitch needle coke for other metals industries, like aluminum, have chosen to reduce their operations or exit the market. The remaining supply in North America has been inadequate to meet demand from the aluminum, graphite and other coal tar pitch end use sectors. We believe similar trends are taking place in China, where rationalization of excess BOF steelmaking production capacity has reduced demand for coking coal, resulting in less coal tar pitch produced as a byproduct. These closures have constrained supply within China and in countries that are dependent on Chinese exports of pitch needle coke.

### Table of Contents

While supply has become constrained, demand for petroleum needle coke is increasing due to the use of needle coke in lithium-ion batteries used in electric vehicles. The International Energy Agency (or IEA) estimates that the global electric car stock exceeded two million vehicles in 2016, doubling from 2015 levels. The IEA further projects that the global electric car stock may range between 9 million and 20 million by 2020, and between 40 million and 70 million by 2025. Most electric vehicles rely on lithium-ion batteries as their key performance component. In the last two years, manufacturers of lithium-ion batteries for electric vehicles have begun using needle coke instead of other forms of graphite as a raw material for carbon anodes in their batteries due to technological advances and the consistent quality that needle coke provides. We expect that lithium-ion battery manufacturers will continue to prefer petroleum needle coke instead of pitch needle coke for the majority of their supply, due to petroleum needle coke's better energy density and superior energy storage. These qualities provide lithium-ion batteries made with petroleum needle coke with longer potential driving ranges and battery lives. Currently, we estimate that electric vehicles comprised approximately 60,000 MT or 9% of the total demand for petroleum needle coke in 2017, and we expect this percentage to increase to approximately 34% by 2020. Electric car sales increased 40% in 2017 and total needle coke demand is expected to reach approximately 340,000 MT by 2020. Of the approximately 80,000 MT of needle coke used in electric cars in 2017, approximately 60,000 MT was petroleum needle coke. As a result of the increased use of petroleum needle coke in lithium-ion batteries for electric vehicles, as well as the recovering demand for graphite electrodes, we expect demand to exceed production capacity beginning in 2018. Based on IEA's estimates of growth in electric vehicle stock to 2025, requirements for petroleum needle coke to fulfill demand would grow exponentially. Given the constraint of approximately 700,000 MT of petroleum needle coke production capacity in 2017, we expect the dynamic of demand outpacing production capacity to continue well beyond 2018.

# Illustrative electrical vehicle global annual deliveries

٦	Га	h	م1	οf	C	٦n	tei	nte

Management estimates of 1	4 1 11	1 1 1 1		1 4.	• 4
Managamant actimates at i	aatralaiim naadia c	MUZA INGILIETEV A	iamona ona	nraduation	conocity

Source: Electric vehicle forecast based on IEA data (Global EV Outlook 2017); petroleum needle coke demand reflects management estimates.

Note: Estimates of electric vehicle deliveries are based on the midpoint of IEA's estimate of electric vehicle stock range of 9 million and 20 million in 2020, 40 million and 70 million in 2025, and 56 million and 160 million in 2030; petroleum needle coke demand for lithium ion batteries in electric vehicles is based on management estimates of 40 kilograms of anode powder per electric vehicle (anode powder consumes 2 MT of needle coke per MT, with at least 75% of needle coke supply provided by petroleum needle coke).

As demand for both petroleum needle coke and pitch needle coke is outpacing supply in the intermediate term, needle coke prices have risen substantially. Contracted petroleum needle coke prices increased significantly over the past two years and are reported to be four to six times higher in the current market compared to one year ago. Pitch needle coke prices have increased at a faster rate relative to petroleum needle coke prices over the last year, however, as pitch needle coke suppliers have reduced or scaled back operations as reduced supply of coal tar pitch has driven pitch needle coke raw material costs higher, while lower oil prices have made petroleum needle coke less expensive. In light of the constrained market and new growth in demand from lithium-ion batteries, CRU estimates that 2017 needle coke prices have risen above \$3,000 per MT in 2017 and will remain above \$2,000 per MT through 2027, compared to an average needle coke price of approximately \$500 per MT in 2016.

## EAF steel industry

# **Emergence and initial period of growth**

According to the WSA, global EAF production grew at a 3.5% compound annual growth rate from 1984 to 2011, while taking share from other methods of steelmaking in most regions of the world, outside of China. From 1984 to 2016, EAF production increased from 178 million MT to 418 million MT while, during the same period, steel produced by all other methods outside of China declined from 498 million MT to 453 million MT. EAFs benefit from their flexibility in sourcing iron units, being able to make steel from either scrap or alternative sources of iron like direct reduced iron and hot briquetted iron, both made

### **Table of Contents**

directly from iron ore. Most of the growth in EAF steelmaking has taken place in Western Europe and North America, two regions with substantial amounts of scrap available for use in EAFs.

## **Global EAF steel production**

Source: World Steel Association

## **Industry disruption 2011 to 2015**

According to the WSA, EAF steel production declined approximately 10% from 2011 to 2015, reversing a trend of annual growth from 1984 to 2011, largely due to substantial increases in Chinese steel production. In 1984, China produced 21 million MT of BOF steel, which by 2016 had grown to 757 million MT, representing approximately 94% of its total steel production. Growth in production capacity surpassed growth in demand, resulting in significant excess capacity within China and increased exports into global markets. China net steel exports peaked at 112 million MT in 2015. These exports negatively affected steel prices and led EAF producers to reduce production. In 2011, EAF production globally was 454 million MT representing 30% of global steel production, but by 2015, EAF production had declined to 407 million MT, representing 25% of global steel production respectively. Declining EAF production significantly impacted demand for our graphite electrode products.

## EAFs recovering and positioned for long-term growth

The EAF steel industry has recovered since the downturn from 2011 to 2015. EAF production started to recover in 2016 with growth of 2.8%, according to the WSA. EAF production is now rebounding very strongly and we estimate that 2017 growth will be at least 8% to 10%. This recovery has taken place since China began in 2015 to restructure its steel industry by encouraging consolidation and shutting down excess capacity. China has also begun to implement increased environmental regulations to improve air quality, which has been impacted by CO<sub>2</sub> emissions associated with the burning of coal in BOF steelmaking. Additionally, developed economies such as North America and Western Europe have implemented trade decisions against BOF steel-producing countries to protect their domestic steel industries against imports.

### **Table of Contents**

Since 2015, Chinese steel producers, which predominately use BOFs, have significantly reduced steel production due to government-mandated consolidation and elimination of excess steel production capacity and increased environmental regulations. In September 2016, China's State Council called for 60% of steelmaking production capacity to be concentrated in the top 10 producers by 2020. The first step in consolidation was the formation of China Baowu Steel Group Corp., Ltd., a merger of BaoSteel Group Corp. and Wuhan Iron & Steel (Group) Corp. (WISCO) in 2016. Since the steps taken by China's State Council, it is estimated that China has decreased its official production capacity, primarily BOFs, by over 100 million MT, and eliminated illegal induction furnace capacity of 120 million MT, based on data from S&P Global Platts and the Ministry of Commerce of the People's Republic of China. These two steps together represent closure of approximately 20% of Chinese steelmaking production capacity. As a result of Chinese regulatory reform and trade actions taken by developed markets (discussed below), in 2017, Chinese steel exports had declined by more than 30% from 2016 levels. According to the U.S. International Trade Administration, Chinese steel exports have also fallen as a percentage of overall Chinese steel production for the year-to-date 2017 (as of June), as exports as a share of Chinese production fell from 14.0% to 9.5% year over year. As of October 2017, Chinese steel imports had increased significantly year-over-year, including a 64% year-over-year increase in semi-finished steel billet imports. Declining Chinese steel exports and increasing steel imports may provide additional opportunity for EAF producers outside of China to increase production.

$\alpha$ .	4	• 4	4.	• .
L'hinese	STEEL	capacity	rationa	lization

**Chinese monthly steel exports** 

Sources: Reuters; S&P Global Platts; GrafTech estimates

Global anti-dumping actions taken by developed markets against BOF producing countries, such as China, Japan, Brazil and Korea, have also reduced exports of BOF steel from these markets into North America and Western Europe. According to the U.S. Department of Commerce, through June 1, 2017, more than 94 anti-dumping duties, 23 countervailing duties, and four suspension agreements and undertakings, have been imposed against Chinese steel mill products by 17 countries and economic zones. Similarly, there have been 53 similar actions applied to Korean steel mill products, 30 actions applied to Japanese steel mill products, and 12 actions applied to Brazilian steel mill products. These anti-dumping and countervailing duty investigations and decisions have increased the cost of imported steel, benefiting domestic steel producers. As the steel-exporting countries primarily rely on the BOF method, and North America and Western Europe are regions with the greatest share of EAF steel production, these trade decisions have supported demand for EAF steel and graphite electrodes.

### Table of Contents

As a result of policy and structure changes in China and trade policy actions globally, EAFs are regaining market share. We estimate that EAF steelmaking grew at an annual pace of at least 8% to 10% in 2017, compared with 5% for steelmaking overall.

#### Outlook

We expect EAF steel production to continue to grow globally. In 2000, according to the WSA, EAF steel production represented 47% of total U.S. steel production, increasing to 67% of total U.S. steel production by 2016. In absolute terms, total EAF production in the United States increased from 48 million MT in 2000 to 53 million MT in 2016. In 2000, EAF steel production reflected 33% of total EMEA steel production, increasing to 44% of total EMEA steel production by 2016. This represented an increase in total EAF production in EMEA from 111 million MT in 2000 to 152 million MT in 2016. In 2000, 36% of total APAC (excluding China) steel production was by the EAF method, representing 77 million MT of EAF production. This share of production increased only to 40% of total APAC (excluding China) steel production by 2016; however, this represents 129 million MT of EAF production, or an incremental increase of 52 million MT. As a result, we believe there is substantial opportunity for trends in the U.S. and EMEA steel markets to replicate elsewhere.

China also represents a significant opportunity for EAF growth and market share expansion. Chinese steel production today is substantially through the BOF method, but increasing focus on environmental protection within China may encourage conversion from BOFs to EAFs. In its 12th Five-Year Plan, the Chinese government set a goal of achieving 20% EAF market share by 2020. While China's 13th Five-Year Plan, released in March 2016, did not explicitly address the EAF target, it did emphasize the importance of environmental efforts, such that 10 of 25 targets in the plan were related to the environment. The government's increasing focus on the environment may eventually incentivize steelmakers to convert from BOFs to EAFs in order to continue operating. Additionally, the rapid historical increase in Chinese steel production has resulted in increasing supplies of scrap, making EAFs more cost attractive relative to BOFs. According to McKinsey, so much scrap is expected to become available that EAF production capacity in China would need to triple by 2020 to keep pace. Steel producers may conclude that lower-capital intensity mini-mills are more attractive investment opportunities than rebuilding blast furnaces as they age, further supporting demand for graphite electrodes. This conversion may already be underway. We estimate that at least 105 new EAFs, reflecting 66 million MT of annual steelmaking production capacity, have been installed or have commenced construction in China in 2017, compared to only 52 million MT of Chinese EAF steel production in 2016. Assuming completion of new EAF construction and full EAF capacity utilization, we estimate total graphite electrode demand in China could increase in 2018 by over 100,000 MT from 2017.

Chinese scrap availability 2000-2030E

Sources: World Steel Association; McKinsey; GrafTech estimates

### **Table of Contents**

# Management

### **Directors and executive officers**

The following table sets forth the name, age and position of our directors and executive officers. The following also includes certain information regarding our directors' and executive officers' individual experience, qualifications, attributes and skills, and brief statements of those aspects of our directors' backgrounds that led us to conclude that they should serve as directors.

Name	Age	Position
Denis A. Turcotte	57	Chairman and Director
David J. Rintoul	61	Director, President and Chief Executive Officer (or CEO)
Jeffrey C. Dutton	55	Director
Ron A. Bloom	62	Director
Quinn J. Coburn	54	Vice President, Chief Financial Officer (or CFO) and
		Treasurer
Brian L. Acton	67	Director
Michel J. Dumas	60	Director
Anthony R. Taccone	57	Director

Denis A. Turcotte was elected to the board of directors in August 2015 and became Chairman of the Company's board of directors in March 2018. Mr. Turcotte is currently a Managing Partner at Brookfield. Prior to joining Brookfield in 2017, Mr. Turcotte was president and chief executive officer of North Channel Management and North Channel Capital Partners, business consulting and private investing firms, from 2008 to 2017. He was also a member of the board of directors of the general partner of Brookfield Business Partners L.P., an affiliate of Brookfield, from 2016 until he joined Brookfield in 2017. From 2002 to 2008, Mr. Turcotte was the president and CEO and a director of Algoma Steel Inc., a publicly listed North American steel company, and from 1992 to 2002 held a number of senior executive positions with companies in the pulp and paper industry, including president of the paper group and executive vice-president of corporate development and strategy of Tembec Inc., a leading integrated forest products company with operations in North America and France. Since 2012 and 2007, Mr. Turcotte has been a member of the board of directors for Norbord Inc. and Domtar Corporation, respectively. He was previously a member of the board of directors for Coalspur Mines, Ltd. from 2010 to 2015 and Algoma Steel Inc. from 2002 to 2008.

David J. Rintoul became President and CEO and was elected to the board of directors in March 2018. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Rintoul served as President of U.S. Steel Tubular Products and as a Senior Vice President of United States Steel Corporation (or U.S. Steel). Before that, Mr. Rintoul has served in various roles at U.S. Steel since 2007, including oversight of U.S. Steel's Slovak and Serbian operations. Mr. Rintoul's career in the steel industry spans 38 years with positions at both integrated and mini mill producers in the United States, Europe and Canada, including extensive mini-mill operational experience at North Star Bluescope Steel in Delta, Ohio from 2001 to 2005 and from construction through full operations at Acme Steel Company in Riverdale, Illinois from 1995 to 2001. Mr. Rintoul holds an Associate's degree in Mechanical Engineering Technology from Sault College of Applied Arts and Technology, a Bachelor's degree in Business Administration from Lake Superior State University and a Master's degree in Business Administration from the University of Notre Dame.

### **Table of Contents**

Jeffrey C. Dutton was elected to the board of directors in 2017. Previously, Mr. Dutton served as President and CEO of the Company from January 2017 until March 2018 and Vice President & Chief Operating Officer of the Company from August 2015 until January 2017. In these roles, Mr. Dutton oversaw all aspects of both the Industrial Materials and Engineered Solutions businesses. Mr. Dutton has served as Senior Vice President of Brookfield since 2013. Brookfield became GrafTech's indirect parent company in August 2015. Mr. Dutton served as the CEO and President of Twin Rivers Paper Company, from 2010 to 2013. Mr. Dutton served in various executive capacities at Fraser Papers Inc. from 2008 to 2010 and as General Manager of East Papers operations at Fraser Papers Inc. from 2006 to 2008. He served as President of Republic Paperboard Company of Eagle Materials Inc. from 2004 to 2006. Mr. Dutton served as a director of Twin Rivers Paper Company in 2013 and has served as a director of the Hammerstone Corporation since 2014. Mr. Dutton received his Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering Technology from the University of Maine.

Ron A. Bloom was elected to the board of directors in February 2017. Since 2016, Mr. Bloom has been a Managing Partner and Vice Chairman at Brookfield, where he focuses on managing the firm's private equity investments. Prior to joining Brookfield in 2016, from 2012 to 2016, Mr. Bloom was Vice Chairman, U.S. Investment Banking, at Lazard, focused on restructurings, and mergers and acquisitions. Prior to joining Lazard, Mr. Bloom served as Assistant to the President for Manufacturing Policy from February 2011 to August 2011 where he provided leadership on policy development and strategic planning for the Administration's agenda to revitalize the manufacturing sector. He led the discussions with the auto industry which resulted in the industry's support for new fuel economy standards. Prior to joining the White House, Mr. Bloom served as Senior Advisor to the Secretary of the Treasury from 2009 to 2011 where he helped lead the restructuring of General Motors and Chrysler LLC, and then led the Treasury's oversight of the companies thereafter, including General Motors' initial public offering. Mr. Bloom received his undergraduate degree from Wesleyan University and graduated with distinction from the Harvard Graduate School of Business Administration.

Quinn J. Coburn became CFO in September 2015. Mr. Coburn served as interim CFO beginning in May 2015 after previously serving as Vice President of Finance and Treasurer. He joined the Company in August 2010 after working at NCR Corporation from December 1992 until August 2010, including service as that company's Vice President and Treasurer. Mr. Coburn graduated with a B.S. in Accounting from Utah State University in 1988. He received an MBA from University of Pennsylvania's The Wharton School in 1992.

Brian L. Acton was elected to the board of directors in April 2018. Mr. Acton has more than 25 years' experience in the mining and bulk materials distribution industry, primarily devoted to marketing coal, petroleum coke and other raw materials. Mr. Acton has extensive experience as a distribution and logistics provider to the coal industry throughout Canada and South America, Asia and Europe. Since August 2013, Mr. Acton has served as President at Pac Basin Resources LLC, a mining and metals company. From July 2010 to June 2013, Mr. Acton served as a consultant to Oxbow Carbon & Minerals Holdings, Inc. From 1996 to 2009, Mr. Acton served as President and COO of Oxbow Carbon and Minerals Holdings, Inc. and as President and Chief Operating Officer at Oxbow Energy Solutions, LLC from 1985 until 2010. Mr. Acton has more than 25 years' experience in the mining and bulk materials distribution industry, primarily devoted to marketing coal, petroleum coke and other raw materials. Mr. Acton has extensive experience as a distribution and logistics provider to the coal industry throughout Canada and South America, Asia and Europe. Mr. Acton served on the board of directors of Adriana Resources Inc. from March 2012 to February 2017. While on the board of directors, Mr. Acton served as Chair of the Compensation Committee from 2012 to 2017 and as a member of the Audit Committee from 2014 to 2017. Mr. Acton is a graduate of

### **Table of Contents**

Queen's University with both a Bachelors of Science in Mining Engineering and Applied Science and a Masters in Business Administration.

Michel J. Dumas was elected to the board of directors in April 2018. Mr. Dumas has over thirty years of experience in the lumber, pulp and paper industries. From 1997 until 2017, Mr. Dumas served as the Executive Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Tembec, Inc., based in Quebec. Mr. Dumas also served on the board of directors of Tembec, Inc. from January 2011 to February 2017 and from July 2001 to September 2008. Mr. Dumas served as a director of Marathon Pulp Inc. from February 2000 to February 2009 and of Jager Building Systems from August 2001 to September 2008. From 1991 to 1997, Mr. Dumas was Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer at Spruce Falls Inc., a newsprint mill. Prior to joining Spruce Falls Inc., from 1985 to 1991, Mr. Dumas served as Controller at Tembec, Inc. Mr. Dumas received his undergraduate degree in Commerce from University of Ottawa.

Anthony R. Taccone was elected to the board of directors in April 2018. Mr. Taccone has over thirty years of experience consulting to companies in the global steel industry and companies with interests in the steel industry, including suppliers, customers and investors. Since March 1998, Mr. Taccone has served as a Founding Partner and co-owner of First River LLC, a boutique strategy consulting firm. While at First River, Mr. Taccone has worked with senior management teams, boards of directors, investors and government agencies on challenging and complex issues facing companies in the steel industry, including financial restructurings, capacity rationalizations, mergers and acquisitions, major capital investment decisions, raw material integration strategies, and investments in downstream businesses. Prior to joining First River, Mr. Taccone was a strategy consultant at Beddows & Company from 1988 to 1998. From 1994 until 1998, Mr. Taccone was the North American practice leader and served on Beddows and Company's Board of Directors. Prior to his career as a steel industry consultant, Mr. Taccone worked as a Country Risk Economist from 1985 to 1987 and an Industry Economist from 1987 to 1988 at Mellon Bank. Mr. Taccone received his undergraduate degree in economics from Washington & Jefferson College and a Masters degree in economics from Duke University.

### **Board of directors**

Our business and affairs are managed under the direction of our board of directors. Under our Amended Certificate of Incorporation, the number of directors is fixed by our board of directors but will not be fewer than three directors. The board of directors currently consists of seven numbers. Within one year of the consummation of our IPO, our board of directors will consist of eight members.

Our Amended Certificate of Incorporation provides that our board of directors be divided into three classes of directors, with the classes to be as nearly equal in number as possible, and with the directors serving three-year terms. Only one class of directors will be elected at each annual meeting of our stockholders, with the other classes continuing for the remainder of their respective three-year terms. Our directors are divided among the three classes as follows:

the Class I directors are Denis A. Turcotte and Michel J. Dumas, and their terms will expire at the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2019;

the Class II directors are Ron A. Bloom, Brian L. Acton and David J. Rintoul, and their terms will expire at the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2020; and

the Class III directors are Jeffrey C. Dutton and Anthony R. Taccone and their terms will expire at the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2021.

### **Table of Contents**

Any increase or decrease in the number of directors will be distributed among the three classes so that, as nearly as possible, each class will consist of one-third of the directors. While Brookfield owns more than 50% of our outstanding common stock, the classification of the board of directors and the other provisions of the Amended Certificate of Incorporation may be amended by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the voting power of our outstanding common stock. After the selling stockholder ceases to own more than 50% of our outstanding common stock, these provisions may be amended only by the affirmative vote of the holders of  $66^2/3\%$  or more of the voting power of our outstanding common stock.

If the total number of shares voted in favor of a director nominee in an uncontested election represents less than a majority of the total shares voted or withheld for such director nominee, the director nominee will tender his or her resignation immediately after the shareholder meeting and our board will determine whether to accept the resignation within 90 days of the shareholder meeting.

We and the selling stockholder entered into a stockholder rights agreement (or the Stockholder Rights Agreement) in connection with our IPO. Under the Stockholder Rights Agreement, for so long as the selling stockholder owns or controls at least 25% of our outstanding common stock, the selling stockholder will have the right to nominate the higher of 37.5% of the members of the board of directors and three members of the board of directors (which we refer to as the Brookfield directors), and one Brookfield director will be in each class. The selling stockholder will also have the right to select the chairman of the board of directors. In the event the selling stockholder owns or controls less than 25% of our outstanding common stock, the Brookfield directors will promptly tender their resignations. The board of directors (excluding the Brookfield directors) will have the option, but not the obligation, to accept the Brookfield directors' resignations. If the board of directors (excluding the Brookfield directors) votes to accept these resignations, the Brookfield directors will cease to be members of the board