GOODRICH PETROLEUM CORP

Form 4 May 27, 2008

FORM 4

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF

SECURITIES

OMB Number:

3235-0287 January 31,

OMB APPROVAL

Expires:

2005

0.5

Estimated average burden hours per

6. Ownership 7. Nature of

(Instr. 4)

Form: Direct Indirect

(Instr. 4)

response...

if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5

obligations

Check this box

Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section may continue.

30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

1(b).

1.Title of

Security

(Print or Type Responses)

See Instruction

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person * 5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to 2. Issuer Name and Ticker or Trading **CALLAGHAN JOHN** Issuer Symbol GOODRICH PETROLEUM CORP (Check all applicable) [GDP] (Last) (First) (Middle) 3. Date of Earliest Transaction X_ Director 10% Owner Officer (give title Other (specify (Month/Day/Year) C/O GOODRICH 05/22/2008 PETROLEUM, 808 TRAVIS, **SUITE 1320** (Street) 4. If Amendment, Date Original 6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check Filed(Month/Day/Year) Applicable Line) _X_ Form filed by One Reporting Person Form filed by More than One Reporting HOUSTON, TX 77002 (City) (State) (Zip) Table I - Non-Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned

(Instr. 3) Code (Instr. 3, 4 and 5) Beneficially Beneficial (D) or (Month/Day/Year) (Instr. 8) Owned Indirect (I) Ownership

> Following Reported (A) Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4) Code V Amount (D) Price

4. Securities Acquired

Transaction(A) or Disposed of (D)

Common 05/22/2008 2,000 179,594 D 36 41 Stock

3.

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

Execution Date, if

2. Transaction Date 2A. Deemed

(Month/Day/Year)

Persons who respond to the collection of **SEC 1474** information contained in this form are not (9-02)required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

5. Amount of

Securities

Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned (e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

1. Title of Derivative Security (Instr. 3)	2. Conversion or Exercise Price of Derivative Security	3. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	3A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	4. Transactic Code (Instr. 8)	5. onNumber of Derivative Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D)		ate	7. Title Amount Underly Securitic (Instr. 3	t of ring es	8. Price of Derivative Security (Instr. 5)	9. Nu Deriv Secur Bene Own Follo Repo Trans (Instr
				Code V	(Instr. 3, 4, and 5) (A) (D)	Date Exercisable	Expiration Date	Title N	Number		

Reporting Owners

Reporting Owner Name / Address	Relationships							
•	Director	10% Owner	Officer	Other				
CALLAGHAN JOHN C/O GOODRICH PETROLEUM 808 TRAVIS, SUITE 1320 HOUSTON, TX 77002	X							

Signatures

/s/Leslee M. Ranly, attorney-in-fact

05/27/2008

**Signature of Reporting Person

Date

Explanation of Responses:

- * If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, see Instruction 4(b)(v).
- ** Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, *see* Instruction 6 for procedure. Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. le="border-bottom: medium none;">

Three Months

Six Months

Ended June 30,

Ended June 30,

2006

2005

2006

Reporting Owners 2

2005

OPERATING REVENUES

Explanation of Responses:

Gas utility	
\$	159.1
\$	186.0
\$	
\$	731.8
	702.7
Electric utility	
	96.0
	96.9
	201.2
	191.6
Energy services & other	
	62.4
	43.3
	159.0
	109.1
Total operating revenues	
zom opozumej	317.5
	326.2
	1,092.0
	1,003.4
OPERATING EXPENSES	

Cost of gas sold	
	88.5
	116.3
	517.5
	487.2
Cost of fuel & purchased power	
	30.9
	33.0
	69.0
	62.2
Cost of energy services & other	
	47.6
	31.4
	126.0
	83.0
Other operating	
	68.3
	65.8
	141.1
	136.9
Depreciation & amortization	
	41.9
	38.5
	83.5
	75.6

Taxes other than income taxes

	11.8
	12.0
	34.9
	34.1
Total operating expenses	
	289.0
	297.0
	972.0
	879.0
OPERATING INCOME	
	28.5
	29.2
	120.0
	124.4
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE) - NET	
Equity in (losses) earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	
\	(2.2
	0.7
	11.5
	7.1
Other income (expense) – net	7.1
Other meome (expense) – net	(8.2
)	(6.2
	1.6
	(6.1
Explanation of Responses:	5

)	
	4.0
Total other (expense) income - net	
)	(10.4
	2.3
	5.4
	11.1
Interest expense	
	21.9
	19.7
	45.7
	39.8
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES	
)	(3.8
	11.8
	79.7
	95.7
Income taxes	
)	(8.1
	(1.6
)	
	17.8
NET INCOME	26.2
S	

Explanation of Responses:

	4.3
\$	13.4
\$	61.9
\$	69.5
AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING	<i>•</i>
	75.7
	75.6
	75.7 75.6
DILUTED COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING	73.0
	76.0
	76.1
	76.1
EADWINGS DED GWADE OF GOLDAON STOCK	76.2
EARNINGS PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK: BASIC	
\$	
\$	0.06
φ	0.18
\$	0.82
\$	0.92
DILUTED	_
\$	0.06
	0.00

7

Explanation of Responses:

\$	0.18
\$	0.81
\$	0.91
DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER SHARE OF	0.91
COMMON STOCK	
\$	0.31
\$	0.30
\$	
\$	0.61
	0.59
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.	
6	

Table of Contents

VECTREN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited - In millions)

	S		s Ei 30,	nded June
	2	2006		2005
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Net income	\$	61.9	\$	69.5
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash from operating activities:				
Depreciation & amortization		83.5		75.6
Deferred income taxes & investment tax credits		0.6		(0.1)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates		(11.5)		(7.1)
Provision for uncollectible accounts		8.0		9.9
Expense portion of pension & postretirement periodic benefit cost		5.4		6.5
Other non-cash charges - net		12.8		(1.7)
Changes in working capital accounts:				
Accounts receivable & accrued unbilled revenue		268.1		189.7
Inventories		19.0		2.1
Recoverable fuel & natural gas costs		42.7		30.8
Prepayments & other current assets		(1.9)		39.9
Accounts payable, including to affiliated companies		(183.6)		(113.3)
Accrued liabilities		(32.2)		4.8
Unconsolidated affiliate dividends		32.1		7.0
Changes in noncurrent assets		(17.8)		(3.3)
Changes in noncurrent liabilities		(11.2)		(9.3)
Net cash flows from operating activities		275.9		301.0
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Proceeds from stock option exercises & other stock plans		0.1		-
Requirements for:				
Dividends on common stock		(46.2)		(45.2)
Redemption of preferred stock of subsidiary		-		(0.1)
Net change in short-term borrowings		(124.4)		(159.5)
Net cash flows from financing activities		(170.5)		(204.8)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Proceeds from:				
Unconsolidated affiliate distributions		0.3		0.2
Notes receivable & other collections		2.8		0.8
Requirements for:				
Capital expenditures, excluding AFUDC equity		(107.6)		(90.5)
Unconsolidated affiliate investments		(14.2)		(6.8)
Notes receivable & other investments		(0.4)		-
Net cash flows from investing activities		(119.1)		(96.3)
Net decrease in cash & cash equivalents		(13.7)		(0.1)
Cash & cash equivalents at beginning of period		20.4		9.6

Cash & cash equivalents at end of period

\$ 6.7 \$ 9.5

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

VECTREN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

1. Organization and Nature of Operations

Vectren Corporation (the Company or Vectren), an Indiana corporation, is an energy holding company headquartered in Evansville, Indiana. The Company's wholly owned subsidiary, Vectren Utility Holdings, Inc. (Utility Holdings), serves as the intermediate holding company for three operating public utilities: Indiana Gas Company, Inc. (Indiana Gas), Southern Indiana Gas and Electric Company (SIGECO), and the Ohio operations. Utility Holdings also has other assets that provide information technology and other services to the three utilities. Utility Holdings' consolidated operations are collectively referred to as the Utility Group. Vectren and Utility Holdings are holding companies as defined by the Energy Policy Act of 2005.

Indiana Gas provides energy delivery services to approximately 562,000 natural gas customers located in central and southern Indiana. SIGECO provides energy delivery services to approximately 140,000 electric customers and approximately 112,000 gas customers located near Evansville in southwestern Indiana. SIGECO also owns and operates electric generation to serve its electric customers and optimizes those assets in the wholesale power market. Indiana Gas and SIGECO generally do business as Vectren Energy Delivery of Indiana. The Ohio operations provide energy delivery services to approximately 318,000 natural gas customers located near Dayton in west central Ohio. The Ohio operations are owned as a tenancy in common by Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. (VEDO), a wholly owned subsidiary, (53% ownership) and Indiana Gas (47% ownership). The Ohio operations generally do business as Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio.

The Company, through Vectren Enterprises, Inc. (Enterprises), is also involved in nonutility activities in three primary business areas: Energy Marketing and Services, Coal Mining and Energy Infrastructure Services. Energy Marketing and Services markets and supplies natural gas and provides energy management services. Coal Mining mines and sells coal and generates synfuel tax credits relating to the production of coal-based synthetic fuels. Energy Infrastructure Services provides underground construction and repair, facilities locating, and energy performance contracting services. In addition, there are other businesses that invest in broadband communication services, energy-related opportunities and services, real estate, and leveraged leases, among other investments. These operations are collectively referred to as the Nonutility Group. Enterprises supports the Company's regulated utilities pursuant to service contracts by providing natural gas supply services, coal, infrastructure services, and other services.

2. Basis of Presentation

The interim consolidated condensed financial statements included in this report have been prepared by the Company, without audit, as provided in the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States have been omitted as provided in such rules and regulations. The Company believes that the information in this report reflects all adjustments necessary to fairly state the results of the interim periods reported. These consolidated condensed financial statements and related notes should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited annual consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005, accepted by the SEC on February 17, 2006, on Form 10-K. Because of the seasonal nature of the Company's utility operations, the results shown on a quarterly basis are not necessarily indicative of annual results. Certain amounts from the prior period reported in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q have been reclassified to conform to the 2006 financial statement presentation. These reclassifications had no impact on reported net income.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Table of Contents

3. Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income consists of the following:

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,			
(In millions)		2006		2005	2006		2005
Net income	\$	4.3	\$	13.4	\$ 61.9	\$	69.5
Comprehensive (loss) income of							
unconsolidated affiliates- net of tax		(11.9)		0.2	(7.3)		5.2
Cash flow hedges & other - net of tax		(0.2)		-	(3.3)		-
Total comprehensive income	\$	(7.8)	\$	13.6	\$ 51.3	\$	74.7

Other comprehensive income of unconsolidated affiliates is the Company's portion of ProLiance Energy, LLC's and Reliant Services, LLC's accumulated other comprehensive income related to their use of cash flow hedges, including commodity contracts and interest rate swaps, and the Company's portion of Haddington Energy Partners, LP's accumulated other comprehensive income related to its unrealized gains and losses of "available for sale securities," as defined by SFAS 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities."

4. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share assumes the conversion of stock options into common shares and the lifting of restrictions on issued restricted shares using the treasury stock method to the extent the effect would be dilutive. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share calculations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005:

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,		
(In millions, except per share data)		2006		2005	2006		2005
Numerator: Numerator for basic and diluted EPS - Net income	\$	4.3	\$	13.4 \$	61.9	\$	69.5
Denominator: Denominator for basic EPS - Weighted average							
common shares outstanding Conversion of stock options and lifting of		75.7		75.6	75.7		75.6
restrictions on issued restricted stock Denominator for diluted EPS - Adjusted weighted average shares outstanding and assumed		0.3		0.5	0.4		0.6

conversions outstanding	76.0	76.1	76.1	76.2
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.18 \$	0.82 \$	0.92
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.18 \$	0.81 \$	0.91

Options to purchase an additional 2,894 shares of the Company's common stock were outstanding, but were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share, for all the periods presented. These options were excluded from the earnings per share computation because their effect would have been antidilutive. The exercise price for options excluded from the computations was \$27.15.

Table of Contents

5. Retirement Plans & Other Postretirement Benefits

The Company maintains three qualified defined benefit pension plans, a nonqualified supplemental executive retirement plan (SERP), and three other postretirement benefit plans. The qualified pension plans and the SERP are aggregated under the heading "Pension Benefits." Other postretirement benefit plans are aggregated under the heading "Other Benefits."

Net Periodic Benefit Costs

A summary of the components of net periodic benefit cost follows:

Three Months Ended June 30, Pension Benefits Other Benefits 2005 2006 2005 (In millions) 2006 \$ \$ 1.4 \$ \$ Service cost 1.5 0.10.3 Interest cost 3.5 3.5 0.9 1.3 Expected return on plan assets (3.4)(3.3)(0.1)(0.1)Amortization of prior service cost 0.5 0.4 (0.2)Amortization of transitional obligation 0.3 0.7 (0.1)Amortization of actuarial loss (gain) 0.6 0.4 Net periodic benefit cost \$ \$ 1.0 2.1 2.7 2.4

	Six	Months	Ended	June	30,
--	-----	--------	-------	------	-----

		Pension B	enefi	ts	Other Benefits				
(In millions)	2006			2005		2006	2005		
Service cost	\$	3.0	\$	2.8	\$	0.3	\$	0.6	
Interest cost		7.1		6.9		1.9		2.6	
Expected return on plan assets		(6.8)		(6.6)		(0.3)		(0.3)	
Amortization of prior service cost		0.9		0.8		(0.4)		-	
Amortization of transitional									
obligation		-		-		0.6		1.4	
Amortization of actuarial loss (gain)		1.2		0.9		-		(0.1)	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	5.4	\$	4.8	\$	2.1	\$	4.2	

Employer Contributions to Qualified Pension Plans

Currently, the Company expects to contribute approximately \$5.2 million to its pension plan trusts for 2006. Through June 30, 2006, approximately \$3.2 million has been contributed to its pension plan trusts.

6. Miller Pipeline Corporation Acquisition

In July 2006, the Company agreed to purchase from Duke Energy Corporation (Duke) its ownership in Miller Pipeline Corporation (Miller), effective July 1, 2006. Prior to this transaction, Miller was 100% owned by Reliant Services, LLC (Reliant). Reliant is a 50% strategic alliance between Vectren and Duke, formerly Cinergy Corporation, formed in 1998. Miller, originally founded in 1953, performs natural gas and water distribution, transmission, and construction repair and rehabilitation primarily in the Midwest and the repair and rehabilitation of gas, water, and waste water facilities nationwide. In addition, Vectren and Duke have announced that Reliant is exiting the meter reading business.

7. Transactions with ProLiance Energy, LLC

ProLiance Energy, LLC (ProLiance), a nonutility energy marketing affiliate of Vectren and Citizens Gas and Coke Utility (Citizens Gas), provides services to a broad range of municipalities, utilities, industrial operations, schools, and healthcare institutions located throughout the Midwest and Southeast United States. ProLiance's primary customers include Vectren's utilities and nonutility gas supply operations as well as Citizens Gas. ProLiance's businesses include gas marketing, gas portfolio optimization, and other portfolio and energy management services. Consistent with its ownership percentage, Vectren is allocated 61% of ProLiance's profits and losses; however, governance and voting rights remain at 50% for each member; and therefore, the Company accounts for its investment in ProLiance using the equity method of accounting.

Table of Contents

Transactions with ProLiance

The Company, including its retail gas supply operations, contracted for approximately 77% of its natural gas purchases through ProLiance during the six months ended June 30, 2006. In the period ended June 30, 2005, the Company, including its retail gas supply operations, contracted for all of its natural gas purchases through ProLiance. Purchases from ProLiance for resale and for injections into storage for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, totaled \$152.4 million and \$218.7 million, respectively, and for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, totaled \$443.3 million and \$472.1 million, respectively. Amounts owed to ProLiance at June 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005, for those purchases were \$40.8 million and \$159.1 million, respectively, and are included in *Accounts payable to affiliated companies*. Amounts charged by ProLiance for gas supply services are established by supply agreements with each utility.

Vectren received regulatory approval on April 25, 2006, from the IURC for ProLiance to provide natural gas supply services to the Company's Indiana utilities through March 2011.

As required by a June 2005, PUCO order, VEDO solicited bids for its gas supply/portfolio administration services and selected a third party provider under a one year contract. ProLiance's obligation to supply these services to VEDO ended October 31, 2005.

Summarized Financial Information

ProLiance is considered a significant subsidiary for the purposes of Regulation S-X, paragraph 3.09 as promulgated by the SEC. Summarized financial information is presented below:

	Three 1	Mont		Six Months			
	Ended.	June :		Ended	ie 30,		
(in millions)	2006		2005	2006			2005
Summarized Statement of Income							
information:							
Revenues	\$ 498.3	\$	600.7	\$	1,474.1	\$	1,410.2
Margin	12.4		11.4		55.7		37.3
Operating income	5.1		5.2		38.9		23.0
Net income	5.7		5.8		39.1		23.6

(In millions)	June 30,	Dec	As of cember 31, 2005
Summarized balance sheet information:			
Current assets	\$ 380.9	\$	870.2
Noncurrent assets	61.7		50.7
Current liabilities	251.4		698.2
Noncurrent liabilities	5.3		3.3
Equity	185.9		219.4

Vectren's share of ProLiance's earnings, after income taxes and allocated interest expense, was \$1.2 million and \$2.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively and was \$12.5 million and \$8.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

ProLiance Contingency

In 2002, a civil lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama by the City of Huntsville, Alabama d/b/a Huntsville Utilities, Inc. (Huntsville Utilities) against ProLiance. Huntsville Utilities

asserted claims based on alleged breach of contract with respect to the provision of portfolio services and/or pricing advice, fraud, fraudulent inducement, and other theories, including conversion and violations under the Racketeering, Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO). These claims related generally to: (1) alleged breach of contract in providing advice and/or administering portfolio arrangements; (2) alleged promises to provide gas at a below-market rate; (3) the creation and repayment of a "winter levelizing program" instituted by ProLiance in conjunction with the Manager of Huntsville's Gas Utility to allow Huntsville Utilities to pay its natural gas bills from the winter of 2000-2001 over an extended period of time coupled with the alleged ignorance about the program on the part of Huntsville Utilities' Gas Board and other management, and; (4) conversion of Huntsville Utilities' gas storage supplies to repay the balance owed on the winter levelizing program and the alleged lack of authority of Huntsville Utilities' gas manager to approve those sales.

Table of Contents

In early 2005, a jury trial commenced and on February 10, 2005, the jury returned a verdict largely in favor of Huntsville Utilities and awarded Huntsville Utilities compensatory damages of \$8.2 million and punitive damages of \$25.0 million. The jury rejected Huntsville Utilities' claim of conversion. The jury also rejected a counter claim by ProLiance for payment of amounts due from Huntsville Utilities. Following that verdict, there were a number of issues presented to the judge for resolution. Huntsville made a claim under federal law that it was entitled to have the compensatory damage award trebled. The judge rejected that request. ProLiance made a claim against Huntsville for unjust enrichment, which was also rejected by the judge. The judge also determined that attorneys' fees and prejudgment interest are owed by ProLiance to Huntsville Utilities. The verdict, as affected by the judge's subsequent rulings, totals \$38.9 million, and ProLiance has posted an appeal bond for that estimated amount. ProLiance's management believed there were reasonable grounds for appeal that offer a basis for reversal of the entire verdict, and initiated the appeal process on July 26, 2005. The appeal was fully briefed on April 27, 2006, and is scheduled to be heard before the 11th Circuit Court of Appeals in Atlanta, Georgia on October 4, 2006. At the earliest, the case will be decided late in 2006.

While it is reasonably possible that a liability has been incurred by ProLiance, it is not possible to predict the ultimate outcome of an appeal of the verdict. ProLiance recorded a reserve of \$3.9 million as of December 31, 2004, reflective of their assessment of the lower end of the range of potential exposure on certain issues identified in the case and inclusive of estimated ongoing litigation costs. As of June 30, 2006, the reserve is \$3.3 million, which reflects the payment of some legal fees. Amounts due from Huntsville Utilities were fully reserved by ProLiance in 2003.

As an equity investor in ProLiance, the Company reflected its share of the charge, or \$1.4 million after tax, in its 2004 fourth quarter results. That charge does not reflect the possibility that some actual losses might be recovered from insurance carriers, as to which there can be no assurance. It is not expected that an unfavorable outcome on appeal will have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or its liquidity, but an unfavorable outcome could be material to the Company's earnings.

8. Synfuel Recent Developments

Pace Carbon Synfuels, LP (Pace Carbon) is a Delaware limited partnership formed to develop, own, and operate four projects to produce and sell coal-based synthetic fuel (synfuel) utilizing Covol technology. The Company has an 8.3 percent interest in Pace Carbon which is accounted for using the equity method of accounting. In addition Vectren Fuels, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary involved in coal mining, receives synfuel-related fees from a synfuel producer unrelated to Pace Carbon for a portion of its coal production. Under current tax laws, these synfuel related credits and fees end after 2007.

The Internal Revenue Code provides for manufacturers, such as Pace Carbon, to receive a tax credit for every ton of synthetic fuel sold. To qualify for the credits, the synthetic fuel must meet three primary conditions: 1) there must be a significant chemical change in the coal feedstock, 2) the product must be sold to an unrelated person, and 3) the production facility must have been placed in service before July 1, 1998. The Internal Revenue Service has issued private letter rulings, which concluded that the synthetic fuel produced at the Pace Carbon facilities should qualify for tax credits. The IRS has completed tax audits of Pace Carbon for the years 1998 through 2001 without challenging tax credit calculations.

Table of Contents

Synfuel tax credits are only available when the price of oil is less than a base price specified by the Internal Revenue Code, as adjusted for inflation. An average NYMEX price of approximately \$61 per barrel for the 2006 year could begin to limit synfuel tax credits, with a total phase out occurring at approximately \$76 per barrel. The current forward curve for oil prices indicates that a significant phase out in 2006 is likely, absent federal legislative intervention which may favorably impact the reference price for 2006.

On July 18, 2006, the Company opted out of its current participation in the production of synthetic fuel due to the high price of oil and the uncertainty of federal legislation that would favorably impact the reference price of oil governing the phase out of synfuel tax credits. Based on the lack of legislative action during the current congressional session, a significant phase out in 2006 of the synfuels tax credits is likely.

Accordingly, the Company has estimated a 65 percent phase out of the credits generated to date in 2006 based on current oil prices and other factors, and has recorded a reserve of \$4.4 million, after considering insurance proceeds, against those credits generated year to date in 2006 in *Income tax expense*, of which \$2.1 million was recorded during the three months ended June 30, 2006. In addition, and consistent with the Company's decision to cease its participation in synfuel production activities, the Company has recorded a charge to impair its \$2.9 million investment in Pace Carbon. Further, the Company is obligated to fund the partnership on an installment basis for working capital and as tax credits are earned. Based on the current estimate of the expected phase out of the tax credits, the Company has estimated the remaining funding obligation and other costs to be \$6.6 million and has recorded that amount and the impairment charge related to its investment, all totaling \$9.5 million, or \$5.7 million after tax, in the second quarter of 2006.

Following is additional information related to synfuels-related results included in the Consolidated Condensed Statement of Income.

	Three N		Six Months				
	Ended J	une 3	0,	Ended June 30,			
(in millions)	2006		2005	2006		2005	
Vectren Fuels synfuel processing fees	\$ 0.2	\$	0.7 \$	0.2	\$	1.7	
Pace Carbon equity method losses	(6.1)		(3.9)	(12.0)		(7.2)	
Impairment charge & other costs	(9.5)		-	(9.5)		-	
Interest Expense	(0.6)		(0.3)	(1.2)		(0.7)	
Other expenses	(1.0)		(0.7)	(1.4)		(1.5)	
Losses Before Income Taxes	(17.0)		(4.2)	(23.9)		(7.7)	
Income Taxes							
Synfuel tax credits	7.2		5.9	14.3		11.1	
Phase out reserve	(2.1)		-	(4.4)		-	
Benefit associated with pre-tax losses	6.9		1.7	9.7		3.1	
Net Earnings (Losses) from Synfuel							
Operations	\$ (5.0)	\$	3.4 \$	(4.3)	\$	6.5	

9. Utilicom-Related Investments

The Company has an approximate 2% equity interest and a convertible subordinated debt investment in Utilicom Networks, LLC (Utilicom) that if converted would bring the Company's ownership interest up to 16%. The Company also has an approximate 19% equity interest in SIGECOM Holdings, Inc. (Holdings), which was formed by Utilicom to hold interests in SIGECOM, LLC (SIGECOM). SIGECOM provides broadband services, such as cable television, high-speed internet, and advanced local and long distance phone services, to the greater Evansville, Indiana area. The

Company accounts for its investments in Utilicom and Holdings using the cost method of accounting.

In 2004, the Company determined to make no further investments in broadband strategies and recorded a \$6.0 million charge to write its Utilicom-related investments down to an estimated fair value. The Company's Utilicom-related investments total \$45.6 million at June 30, 2006. Certain events such as disposal of the investment by the majority owner or other market events could impact the recoverability of the Company's investment.

Table of Contents

10. Commitments & Contingencies

Legal Proceedings

The Company is party to various legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, other than those issues discussed elsewhere herein, there are no legal proceedings pending against the Company that are likely to have a material adverse effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Guarantees & Product Warranties

Vectren Corporation issues guarantees to third parties on behalf of its unconsolidated affiliates. Such guarantees allow those affiliates to execute transactions on more favorable terms than the affiliate could obtain without such a guarantee. Guarantees may include posted letters of credit, leasing guarantees, and performance guarantees. As of June 30, 2006, guarantees issued and outstanding on behalf of unconsolidated affiliates approximated \$4 million. The Company has accrued no liabilities for these guarantees as they were issued on behalf of a related party.

In 2006, the Company issued a guarantee with an approximate \$5 million maximum risk related to the residual value of an operating lease that expires in 2011. As of June 30, 2006, Vectren Corporation has a \$0.1 million liability representing inception date fair value of the guarantee. Liabilities accrued for, and activity related to, product warranties are not significant.

11. Environmental Matters

Clean Air Interstate Rule & Clean Air Mercury Rule

In March of 2005 USEPA finalized two new air emission reduction regulations. The Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) is an allowance cap and trade program requiring further reductions in Nitrogen Oxides (NOx) and Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂) emissions from coal-burning power plants. The Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) is an allowance cap and trade program requiring further reductions in mercury emissions from coal-burning power plants. Both sets of regulations require emission reductions in two phases. The first phase deadline for both rules is 2010 (2009 for NOx under CAIR), and the second phase deadline for compliance with the emission reductions required under CAIR is 2015, while the second phase deadline for compliance with the emission reduction requirements of CAMR is 2018. The Company is evaluating compliance options and fully expects to be in compliance by the required deadlines.

In February 2006, the IURC approved a multi-emission compliance plan filed by the Company's utility subsidiary, SIGECO. Once the plan is implemented, SIGECO's coal-fired plants will be 100% scrubbed for SQ, 90% scrubbed for NOx, and mercury emissions will be reduced to meet the new mercury reduction standards. The order, as previously agreed to by the OUCC and Citizens Action Coalition, allows SIGECO to recover an approximate 8% return on up to \$110 million in capital investments through a rider mechanism which is updated every six months for actual costs incurred. The Company will also recover through a rider its operating expenses, including depreciation, once the equipment is placed into service. The order also stipulates that SIGECO study renewable energy alternatives and include a carbon forecast in future filings with regard to new generation and further environmental compliance plans, among other initiatives. As of June 30, 2006, the Company has made capital investments of approximately \$15.3 million related to this initiative.

Manufactured Gas Plants

In the past, Indiana Gas, SIGECO, and others operated facilities for the manufacture of gas. Given the availability of natural gas transported by pipelines, these facilities have not been operated for many years. Under currently applicable environmental laws and regulations, Indiana Gas, SIGECO, and others may now be required to take remedial action if certain byproducts are found above the regulatory thresholds at these sites.

Indiana Gas has identified the existence, location, and certain general characteristics of 26 gas manufacturing and storage sites for which it may have some remedial responsibility. Indiana Gas has completed a remedial

investigation/feasibility study (RI/FS) at one of the sites under an agreed order between Indiana Gas and the IDEM, and a Record of Decision was issued by the IDEM in January 2000. Although Indiana Gas has not begun an RI/FS at additional sites, Indiana Gas has submitted several of the sites to the IDEM's Voluntary Remediation Program (VRP) and is currently conducting some level of remedial activities, including groundwater monitoring at certain sites, where deemed appropriate, and will continue remedial activities at the sites as appropriate and necessary.

Table of Contents

In conjunction with data compiled by environmental consultants, Indiana Gas has accrued the estimated costs for further investigation, remediation, groundwater monitoring, and related costs for the sites. While the total costs that may be incurred in connection with addressing these sites cannot be determined at this time, Indiana Gas has recorded costs that it reasonably expects to incur totaling approximately \$20.4 million.

The estimated accrued costs are limited to Indiana Gas' proportionate share of the remediation efforts. Indiana Gas has arrangements in place for 19 of the 26 sites with other potentially responsible parties (PRP), which serve to limit Indiana Gas' share of response costs at these 19 sites to between 20% and 50%.

With respect to insurance coverage, Indiana Gas has received and recorded settlements from all known insurance carriers in an aggregate amount approximating \$20.4 million.

Environmental matters related to manufactured gas plants have had no material impact on earnings since costs recorded to date approximate PRP and insurance settlement recoveries. While Indiana Gas has recorded all costs which it presently expects to incur in connection with activities at these sites, it is possible that future events may require some level of additional remedial activities which are not presently foreseen.

In October 2002, the Company received a formal information request letter from the IDEM regarding five manufactured gas plants owned and/or operated by SIGECO and not currently enrolled in the IDEM's VRP. In response, SIGECO submitted to the IDEM the results of preliminary site investigations conducted in the mid-1990's. These site investigations confirmed that based upon the conditions known at the time, the sites posed no risk to human health or the environment. Follow up reviews have been initiated by the Company to confirm that the sites continue to pose no such risk.

On October 6, 2003, SIGECO filed applications to enter four of the manufactured gas plant sites in IDEM's VRP. The remaining site is currently being addressed in the VRP by another Indiana utility. SIGECO added those four sites into the renewal of the global Voluntary Remediation Agreement that Indiana Gas has in place with IDEM for its manufactured gas plant sites. That renewal was approved by the IDEM on February 24, 2004. On July 13, 2004, SIGECO filed a declaratory judgment action against its insurance carriers seeking a judgment finding its carriers liable under the policies for coverage of further investigation and any necessary remediation costs that SIGECO may accrue under the VRP program. Costs of remediation at the four SIGECO sites, as well as the amount of any PRP or insurance recoveries, cannot be determined at this time. The total costs accrued to date, including investigative costs, have been immaterial.

12. Rate & Regulatory Matters

Indiana and Ohio Lost Margin Recovery/Conservation Filings

On October 25, 2005, Vectren Energy Delivery of Indiana filed with the IURC for approval of a conservation program and a conservation adjustment rider in its two Indiana service territories. If approved, the plan outlined in the petition will better align the interests of the Company with its customers through the promotion of natural gas conservation. The petition requests the use of a tracker mechanism to recover certain costs of funding the design and implementation of conservation efforts, such as consumer education programs and rebates for high efficiency equipment. The conservation tracker works in tandem with a lost margin recovery mechanism. This mechanism would allow the Company to recover the distribution portion of its rates from residential and commercial customers based on the level of customer usage established in each utility's last general rate case.

On May 5, 2006, the Company and the OUCC filed a settlement with the IURC that provides for a 5 year energy efficiency program to be implemented at both Indiana Gas and SIGECO. Further, as part of the settlement, Indiana Gas will recover 85% of all lost margin since its last rate case. Recovery of lost margin at SIGECO will not commence until approval of new base rates in its next general rate case. A hearing before the IURC on the settlement

occurred on July 18, 2006, post-hearing filings will be completed in August 2006 and an order is anticipated during the fourth quarter.

Table of Contents

Similarly, on November 28, 2005, Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio filed with the PUCO for approval of a conservation program and a conservation adjustment rider that would accomplish the same objectives, including lost margin recovery. On April 10, 2006, Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio filed with the PUCO, a settlement agreement between the OCC, the Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy, and the Company that provides for at least two years of comprehensive energy efficiency programs for Ohio customers. A hearing has been conducted and an order by the PUCO is expected in the third quarter.

If the agreements are approved, these efficiency programs should be available to customers before the 2006 winter heating season.

Gas Cost Recovery (GCR) Audit Proceedings

On June 14, 2005, the PUCO issued an order disallowing the recovery of approximately \$9.6 million of gas costs relating to the two year audit period ended November 2002. That audit period provided the PUCO staff its initial review of the portfolio administration arrangement between VEDO and ProLiance. The disallowance includes approximately \$1.3 million relating to pipeline refunds and penalties and approximately \$4.5 million of costs for winter delivery services purchased by VEDO to ensure reliability over the two year period. The PUCO also held that ProLiance should have credited to VEDO an additional \$3.8 million more than credits actually received for the right to use VEDO's gas transportation capacity periodically during the periods when it was not required for serving VEDO's customers. The PUCO also directed VEDO to either submit its receipt of portfolio administration services to a request for proposal process or to in-source those functions. During 2003, the Company recorded a reserve of \$1.1 million for this matter. An additional pretax charge of \$4.2 million was recorded in *Cost of Gas Sold* in 2005, of which \$3.0 million was recorded in June 2005. The reserve reflects management's assessment of the impact of the PUCO decisions, an estimate of any current impact that decision may have on subsequent audit periods, and an estimate of a sharing in any final disallowance by Vectren's partner in ProLiance.

VEDO filed its request for rehearing on July 14, 2005, and on August 10, 2005, the PUCO granted rehearing to further consider the \$3.8 million portfolio administration issue and all interest on the findings, but denied rehearing on all other aspects of the case. On October 7, 2005, the Company filed an appeal with the Ohio Supreme Court requesting that the \$4.5 million disallowance related to the winter delivery service issue be reversed. On December 21, 2005, the PUCO granted in part VEDO's rehearing request, and reduced the \$3.8 million disallowance related to portfolio administration to \$1.98 million. The Company has filed an appeal on that decision as well. However, as part of the Ohio lost margin/conservation settlement agreement awaiting a PUCO decision, the Company has proposed to use the \$1.98 million disallowance as a funding source for Ohio conservation programs. If the settlement is approved, the appeal of the \$1.98 million disallowance amount would be withdrawn. Briefings of all matters were completed by July 31, 2006.

In addition, the Company solicited and received bids for VEDO's gas supply and portfolio administration services and has selected a third party provider, who began providing services to VEDO on November 1, 2005, under a one year contract.

Commodity Prices

Commodity prices for natural gas purchases have remained above historic levels and have become more volatile. Subject to compliance with applicable state laws, the Company's utility subsidiaries are allowed recovery of such changes in purchased gas costs from their retail customers through commission-approved gas cost adjustment mechanisms, and margin on gas sales are not expected to be impacted. Nevertheless, it is possible regulators may disallow recovery of a portion of gas costs for a variety of reasons, including, but not limited to, a finding by the regulator that natural gas was not prudently procured. In addition, as a result of this near term change in the natural gas commodity price, the Company's utility subsidiaries have been experiencing, and may continue to experience, increased interest expense due to higher working capital requirements; increased uncollectible accounts expense and unaccounted for gas; and some level of price sensitive reduction in volumes sold or delivered. In response to higher

gas prices, the Company increased its utility-related credit facilities in November 2005.

13. Share Based Compensation and Adoption of SFAS 123R

The Company has various share-based compensation programs to encourage executives, key non-officer employees, and non-employee directors to remain with the Company and to more closely align their interests with those of the Company's shareholders. Under these programs, the Company issues stock options and non-vested shares (herein referred to as restricted stock). All share-based compensation programs are shareholder approved. In addition, the Company maintains a deferred compensation plan for executives and non-employee directors where participants have the option to invest earned compensation and vested restricted stock in phantom stock units. Certain option and share awards provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control or upon the participant's retirement.

Table of Contents

On January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS 123R "Share Based Compensation" (SFAS 123R) using the modified prospective method. Accordingly, information prior to the adoption has not been restated. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, the Company accounted for these programs using APB Opinion 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" (APB 25), and its related interpretations. From the Company's perspective, the primary cost recognition difference between SFAS 123R and APB 25 is that costs related to stock options were not recognized in the financial statements in those years prior to SFAS 123R's adoption.

Following is a reconciliation of the total cost associated with share-based awards recognized in the Company's financial statements to its after tax effect on net income:

	Three Months						Six Months				
		Ended J	Ended June 30,								
(in millions)		2006		2005			2006		2005		
Total cost of share-based compensation	\$	0.2	\$		1.0	\$	0.7	\$		2.5	
Less capitalized cost		-			0.2		0.3			0.4	
Total in other operating expense		0.2			0.8		0.4			2.1	
Less income tax benefit in earnings		0.1			0.3		0.2			0.9	
After tax effect of share-based											
compensation	\$	0.1	\$		0.5	\$	0.2	\$		1.2	

Following is the effect on net income and earnings per share as if the fair value based method prescribed in SFAS 123 had been applied to all of the Company's share-based compensation plans:

(In millions, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended June 30, 2005		Six Months Ended June 30, 2005	
Net Income:				
As reported	\$	13.4	\$	69.5
Add: Share-based employee compensation included		0.7		
in reported net income - net of tax		0.5		1.2
Deduct: Total share-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based				
method for all awards - net of tax		0.7		1.7
Pro forma net income	\$	13.2	\$	69.0
Basic Earnings Per Share: As reported	\$	0.18	\$	0.92
Pro forma	T	0.17	T	0.91
Diluted Earnings Per Share: As reported Pro forma	\$	0.18 0.17	\$	0.91 0.91
17				

Table of Contents

Restricted Stock Related Matters

The Company periodically grants executives and other key non-officer employees restricted stock whose vesting is contingent upon meeting a total return and/or return on equity performance objectives. In addition non-employee directors receive a portion of their fees in restricted stock. Grants to executives and key non-officer employees generally vest at the end of a four year period, with performance measured at the end of the third year. Based on that performance, awards could double or could be entirely forfeited. Awards to non-employee directors are not performance based and generally vest over one year. Because executives and non-employee directors have the choice of settling vested restricted stock awards in shares or deferring their receipt into a deferred compensation plan (where the value is eventually withdrawn in cash), these awards are accounted for as liability awards at their settlement date fair value. Upon adoption of SFAS 123R, the Company reclassified the earned value of these awards, which totaled \$4.1 million on January 1, 2006, from equity to other long-term liabilities. Awards to key non-officer employees must be settled in shares and are therefore accounted for in equity at their grant date fair value.

A summary of the status of the Company's restricted stock awards separated between those accounted for as liabilities and equity as of June 30, 2006, and changes during the six months ended June 30, 2006, is presented below:

	Equity A	Wards Wtd. Avg. Grant Date Fair value	Liability Shares	Awards Wtd. Avg. Fair value
Restricted at January 1, 2006	17,369	\$ 26.42	336,216	\$ 27.16
Granted Vested Forfeited	10,690 - 350	26.60 - 29.00	185,027 13,500	27.54 26.60 -
Restricted at June 30, 2006	27,709	\$ 26.46	507,743	\$ 27.25

As of June 30, 2006, there was \$8.1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock awards. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.4 years.

Stock Option Related Matters

Option awards are generally granted to executives with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company's stock at the date of grant; those option awards generally require 3 years of continuous service and have 10-year contractual terms. Share awards generally vest on a pro-rata basis over 3 years. No options have been granted through June 30, 2006, and the Company does not intend on issuing options during the remainder of 2006.

The fair value of option awards granted in prior years was estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option valuation model that uses the assumptions noted below. Expected volatilities are based on historical volatility of the Company's stock and other factors. The Company uses historical data to estimate the expected term and forfeiture patterns of the options. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. For the six months ended June 30, 2005, the Company used these weighted average assumptions: expected volatility of 20.60%, a dividend yield of 4.3%, an expected option term of 8 years, and a risk-free rate of return of 4.19%. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2005, was \$4.35.

Table of Contents

A summary of the status of the Company's stock option awards as of June 30, 2006, and changes during the period ended June 30, 2006, is presented below.

			Weight	ted average	Ag	gregate	
	Shares	Exercise		Remaining Contractual Term		trinsic Value (In	
]	Price	(years)	millions)		
Outstanding at January 1, 2006	2,123,579	\$	23.18				
Granted	-		-				
Exercised	67,056	\$	19.71				
Forfeited or expired	7,736	\$	21.99				
Outstanding at June 30, 2006	2,048,787	\$	23.30	5.75	\$	8.1	
Exercisable at June 30, 2006	1,784,905	\$	22.89	5.39	\$	7.8	

The total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$0.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and \$0.8 million during the six months ended June 30, 2005. As of June 30, 2006, there was \$0.2 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to vesting stock options. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.3 years. The actual tax benefit realized for tax deductions from option exercises was \$0.1 million in 2006 and less than \$0.1 million in 2005.

The Company periodically issues new shares and also from time to time will repurchase shares on the open market to satisfy share option exercises. During the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the Company received value upon exercise of stock options totaling approximately \$1.2 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. During those periods, the Company repurchased shares totaling \$1.6 million in 2006 and \$1.2 million in 2005. The Company does not expect future period repurchase activity to be materially different.

Deferred Compensation Plan Matters

As discussed above, the Company has nonqualified deferred compensation plans that include an option to invest in Company phantom stock. Of the activity related to investments in Vectren phantom stock associated with these plans, a benefit of \$0.4 million and a cost of \$0.6 million was recorded in earnings during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

14. Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Guidance

FIN 48

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48) "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" an interpretation of SFAS 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for financial statement recognition and measurement of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in an income tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance related to reversal of tax positions, balance sheet classification, interest and penalties, interim period accounting, disclosure and transition. The interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently assessing the impact this interpretation will have on its financial statements.

15. Segment Reporting

The Company segregates its operations into three groups: 1) Utility Group, 2) Nonutility Group, and 3) Corporate and Other Group.

The Utility Group is comprised of Vectren Utility Holdings, Inc.'s operations, which consist of the Company's regulated operations (the Gas Utility Services and Electric Utility Services operating segments), and other operations that provide information technology and other support services to those regulated operations. In total, there are three operating segments of the Utility Group as defined by SFAS 131 "Disclosure About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information" (SFAS 131). Gas Utility Services provides natural gas distribution and transportation services in nearly two-thirds of Indiana and to west central Ohio. Electric Utility Services provides electricity primarily to southwestern Indiana, and includes the Company's power generating and marketing operations. For these regulated operations the Company uses after tax operating income as a measure of profitability, consistent with regulatory reporting requirements. The Company cross manages its regulated operations as separated between Energy Delivery, which includes the gas and electric transmission and distribution functions, and Power Supply, which includes the power generating and marketing operations. For the Utility Group's other operations, net income is used as the measure of profitability.

Table of Contents

The Nonutility Group is comprised of one operating segment as defined by SFAS 131 that includes various subsidiaries and affiliates offering and investing in energy marketing and services, coal mining and energy infrastructure services, among other broadband and energy-related opportunities.

The Corporate and Other Group is comprised of one operating segment as defined by SFAS 131 that includes unallocated corporate expenses such as institutional advertising and charitable contributions, among other activities, that benefit the Company's other operating segments. Information related to the Company's business segments is summarized below:

		Three M Ended J		30,	Six Months Ended June 30,		
(In millions)		2006		2005	2006		2005
Revenues							
Utility Group							
Gas Utility Services	\$	159.1	\$	186.0 \$	731.8	\$	702.7
Electric Utility Services		96.0		96.9	201.2		191.6
Other Operations		9.2		9.1	18.3		18.2
Eliminations		(8.7)		(9.0)	(17.4)		(17.9)
Total Utility Group		255.6		283.0	933.9		894.6
Nonutility Group		93.9		68.4	221.9		157.9
Corporate & Other		-		-	-		-
Eliminations		(32.0)		(25.2)	(63.8)		(49.1)
Consolidated Revenues	\$	317.5	\$	326.2 \$	1,092.0	\$	1,003.4
Profitability Measure							
Utility Group: Regulated Operating							
Income							
(Operating Income Less Applicable							
Income Taxes)							
Gas Utility Services	\$	6.5	\$	5.7 \$	49.9	\$	50.8
Electric Utility Services		14.4		14.5	30.5		31.8
Total Regulated Operating Income		20.9		20.2	80.4		82.6
Regulated other income - net		1.0		0.3	0.9		0.2
Regulated interest expense &							
preferred dividends		(15.8)		(15.2)	(33.0)		(31.1)
Regulated Net Income		6.1		5.3	48.3		51.7
Other Operations Net Income		1.0		2.5	2.2		4.2
Utility Group Net Income		7.1		7.8	50.5		55.9
Nonutility Group Net Income		(2.8)		5.7	11.4		14.6
Corporate & Other Net Loss		-		(0.1)	-		(1.0)
Consolidated Net Income	\$	4.3	\$	13.4 \$	61.9	\$	69.5
	Ť		7	Ψ	7 - 7	7	
20							

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND FINANCIAL CONDITION

Description of the Business

Vectren Corporation (the Company or Vectren), an Indiana corporation, is an energy holding company headquartered in Evansville, Indiana. The Company's wholly owned subsidiary, Vectren Utility Holdings, Inc. (Utility Holdings), serves as the intermediate holding company for three operating public utilities: Indiana Gas Company, Inc. (Indiana Gas), Southern Indiana Gas and Electric Company (SIGECO), and the Ohio operations. Utility Holdings also has other assets that provide information technology and other services to the three utilities. Utility Holdings' consolidated operations are collectively referred to as the Utility Group. Vectren and Utility Holdings are holding companies as defined by the Energy Policy Act of 2005.

Indiana Gas provides energy delivery services to approximately 562,000 natural gas customers located in central and southern Indiana. SIGECO provides energy delivery services to approximately 140,000 electric customers and approximately 112,000 gas customers located near Evansville in southwestern Indiana. SIGECO also owns and operates electric generation to serve its electric customers and optimizes those assets in the wholesale power market. Indiana Gas and SIGECO generally do business as Vectren Energy Delivery of Indiana. The Ohio operations provide energy delivery services to approximately 318,000 natural gas customers located near Dayton in west central Ohio. The Ohio operations are owned as a tenancy in common by Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. (VEDO), a wholly owned subsidiary, (53% ownership) and Indiana Gas (47% ownership). The Ohio operations generally do business as Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio.

The Utility Group generates revenue primarily from the delivery of natural gas and electric service to its customers. The primary source of cash flow for the Utility Group results from the collection of customer bills and the payment for goods and services procured for the delivery of gas and electric services. The results of the Utility Group are impacted by weather patterns in its service territory and general economic conditions both in its Indiana and Ohio service territories as well as nationally.

The Company, through Vectren Enterprises, Inc. (Enterprises), is also involved in nonutility activities in three primary business areas: Energy Marketing and Services, Coal Mining and Energy Infrastructure Services. Energy Marketing and Services markets and supplies natural gas and provides energy management services. Coal Mining mines and sells coal and generates synfuel tax credits relating to the production of coal-based synthetic fuels. Energy Infrastructure Services provides underground construction and repair, facilities locating, and energy performance contracting services. In addition, there are other businesses that invest in broadband communication services, energy-related opportunities and services, real estate, and leveraged leases, among other investments. These operations are collectively referred to as the Nonutility Group. Enterprises supports the Company's regulated utilities pursuant to service contracts by providing natural gas supply services, coal, infrastructure services, and other services.

The Nonutility Group generates revenue or earnings from the provision of services to customers. The activities of the Nonutility Group are closely linked to the utility industry, and the results of those operations are generally impacted by factors similar to those impacting the overall utility industry.

In this discussion and analysis of results of operations, the results of the Utility Group and Nonutility Group are presented on a per share basis. Such per share amounts are based on the earnings contribution of each group included in Vectren's consolidated results divided by Vectren's average shares outstanding during the period. The earnings per share of the groups do not represent a direct legal interest in the assets and liabilities allocated to either group but rather represent a direct equity interest in Vectren Corporation's assets and liabilities as a whole.

The Company has in place a disclosure committee that consists of senior management as well as financial management. The committee is actively involved in the preparation and review of the Company's SEC filings.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary of Consolidated Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

		Three N	M ontl	hs		Six Months			
		Ended J		Ended June 30,					
(In millions, except per share data)	2	2006	2005		2006		2005		
Net income	\$	4.3	\$	13.4	\$	61.9	\$	69.5	
Attributed to:									
Utility Group	\$	7.1	\$	7.8	\$	50.5	\$	55.9	
Nonutility Group		(2.8)		5.7		11.4		14.6	
Corporate & other		-		(0.1)		-		(1.0)	
Basic earnings per share	\$	0.06	\$	0.18	\$	0.82	\$	0.92	
Attributed to:									
Utility Group	\$	0.09	\$	0.10	\$	0.67	\$	0.74	
Nonutility Group		(0.03)		0.08		0.15		0.19	
Corporate & other		-		-		-		(0.01)	

Results

For the three months ended June 30, 2006, net income was \$4.3 million, or \$0.06 per share, compared to \$13.4 million, or \$0.18 per share for the three months ended June 30, 2005. Before Synfuels-related results, earnings for the quarter were \$0.12 per share compared to \$0.13 in the prior year. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, reported earnings were \$61.9 million, or \$0.82 per share, compared to \$69.5 million, or \$0.92 per share, for the same period in 2005. Losses recognized from Synfuels-related activities were \$0.06 per share, compared to earnings of \$0.09 per share over the same period last year. Before Synfuels-related results, year to date earnings were \$0.87 per share compared to \$0.83 in the prior year.

Utility Group earnings were \$7.1 million for the quarter compared to \$7.8 million in the prior year quarter and \$50.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$55.9 million in 2005. The \$0.7 million quarter over quarter decrease, as well as the year over year \$5.4 million decrease, is primarily a result of a decline in customer usage, due largely to price sensitivity. Higher depreciation and interest costs largely offset margin increases associated with higher gas base rate revenues, a 2005 second quarter Ohio gas cost disallowance, and higher electric revenues associated with recovery of pollution control investments. Both quarterly and year to date results also reflect lower wholesale power marketing margins compared to the prior year period due largely to mark-to-market gains recognized in 2005. Warmer than normal weather, net of the normal temperature adjustment (NTA) mechanism implemented in the Company's Indiana natural gas service territories in the fourth quarter of 2005, had a minimal impact compared to the prior year periods. Year to date, management estimates the effect of weather on all utilities, net of the NTA's impact, was unfavorable \$3.0 million after tax, or \$0.04 per share, in 2006 and unfavorable \$3.6 million after tax, or \$0.05 per share, in 2005.

For the six months ended June 30, 2006, Nonutility Group earnings were \$11.4 million compared to \$14.6 million in 2005. Nonutility earnings, excluding Synfuels-related results, were \$15.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$8.1 million in the prior year. Of the \$7.6 million increase, the Company's primary nonutility business groups, Energy Marketing and Services, Coal Mining Operations, and Energy Infrastructure Services, contributed \$6.7 million. The earnings increase is primarily driven by results from Energy Marketing and Services companies, which include ProLiance Energy, LLC and Vectren Source. They contributed additional earnings of \$4.0

million and \$1.4 million respectively. Energy Infrastructure Services' lowered its seasonal loss by \$1.5 million in the current year. Coal Mining Operations are generally flat year over year. Quarterly results, excluding Synfuels-related results, are flat compared to the prior year. Increases in Energy Infrastructure Services were offset by lower Mining Operations and Energy Marketing and Services results.

Finally, and separate from the ongoing operations, year to date Synfuels-related losses of \$4.3 million reflect the impairment of the investment, the estimated year to date required funding of the partnership and other costs resulting from Vectren's decision to opt out of the production of synthetic fuels. In 2005, earnings from Synfuels-related activities were \$6.5 million. Quarterly Synfuels-related losses are \$5.0 million in 2006 compared to earnings of \$3.4 million in 2005.

Reduced Corporate and Other expenses reflect lower contributions to the Vectren Foundation.

Table of Contents

Dividends

Dividends declared for the three months ended June 30, 2006, were \$0.305 per share compared to \$0.295 per share for the same period in 2005. Dividends declared for the six months ended June 30, 2006, were \$0.610 per share compared to \$0.590 per share for the same period in 2005.

Detailed Discussion of Results of Operations

Following is a more detailed discussion of the results of operations of the Company's Utility and Nonutility operations. The detailed results of operations for these operations are presented and analyzed before the reclassification and elimination of certain intersegment transactions necessary to consolidate those results into the Company's Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

Results of Operations of the Utility Group

Utility operations are comprised of Vectren Utility Holdings, Inc.'s operations, which consist of the Company's regulated operations and other operations that provide information technology and other support services to those regulated operations. The Company segregates its regulated operations into a Gas Utility Services operating segment and an Electric Utility Services operating segment. The Gas Utility Services segment provides natural gas distribution and transportation services to nearly two-thirds of Indiana and to west central Ohio. The Electric Utility Services segment provides electric distribution services primarily to southwestern Indiana, and includes the Company's power generating and marketing operations. In total, these regulated operations supply natural gas and/or electricity to more than one million customers. Utility operating results before certain intersegment eliminations and reclassifications for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, follow:

	Three Months		Six Months			
	Ended June 30,		Ended		e 30,	
(In millions, except per share amounts)		2006	2005	2006		2005
OPERATING REVENUES						
Gas revenues	\$	159.1	\$ 186.0	\$ 731.8	\$	702.7
Electric revenues		96.0	96.9	201.2		191.6
Other revenues		0.5	0.1	0.9		0.3
Total operating revenues		255.6	283.0	933.9		894.6
OPERATING EXPENSES						
Cost of gas		88.5	116.3	517.5		487.2
Cost of fuel & purchased power		30.9	33.0	69.0		62.2
Other operating		59.6	59.2	121.2		120.8
Depreciation & amortization		37.7	34.5	74.8		67.9
Taxes other than income taxes		11.6	11.7	34.4		33.5
Total operating expenses		228.3	254.7	816.9		771.6
OPERATING INCOME		27.3	28.3	117.0		123.0
OTHER INCOME - NET		1.9	1.1	2.8		3.3
INTEREST EXPENSE		18.2	16.4	38.2		33.3
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES		11.0	13.0	81.6		93.0
INCOME TAXES		3.9	5.2	31.1		37.1
NET INCOME	\$	7.1	\$ 7.8	\$ 50.5	\$	55.9
CONTRIBUTION TO VECTREN BASIC EPS Significant Fluctuations	\$	0.09	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.67	\$	0.74

Utility Group Margin

Throughout this discussion, the terms Gas Utility margin and Electric Utility margin are used. Gas Utility margin is calculated as *Gas utility revenues* less the *Cost of gas*. Electric Utility margin is calculated as *Electric utility revenues* less the *Cost of fuel & purchased power*. These measures exclude *Other operating expenses*, *Depreciation and amortization*, and *Taxes other than income taxes*, which are included in the calculation of operating income. The Company believes Gas Utility and Electric Utility margins are better indicators of relative contribution than revenues since gas prices and fuel costs can be volatile and are generally collected on a dollar-for-dollar basis from customers.

Margin generated from the sale of natural gas and electricity to residential and commercial customers is seasonal and impacted by weather patterns in the Company's service territories. The weather impact in the Company's Indiana gas utility service territories is mitigated somewhat by a normal temperature adjustment mechanism, which was implemented in the fourth quarter of 2005. Margin generated from sales to large customers (generally industrial, other contract, and firm wholesale customers) is primarily impacted by overall economic conditions. Margin is also impacted by the collection of state mandated taxes, which fluctuate with gas costs, as well as other tracked expenses and is also impacted by some level of price sensitivity in volumes sold or delivered. Electric generating asset optimization activities are primarily affected by market conditions, the level of excess generating capacity, and electric transmission availability. Following is a discussion and analysis of margin generated from regulated utility operations.

Table of Contents

Gas Utility Margin (Gas Utility Revenues less Cost of Gas Sold)
Gas Utility margin and throughput by customer type follows:

	Three Months			Six Months			
	Ended June 30,			Ended June 30,			
(In millions)		2006		2005	2006		2005
Gas utility revenues	\$	159.1	\$	186.0	\$ 731.8	\$	702.7
Cost of gas sold		88.5		116.3	517.5		487.2
Total gas utility margin	\$	70.6	\$	69.7	\$ 214.3	\$	215.5
Margin attributed to:							
Residential & Commercial	\$	57.0	\$	57.9	\$ 181.7	\$	185.6
Industrial		9.8		9.7	24.7		25.3
Other		3.8		2.1	7.9		4.6
Sold & transported volumes in MMDth attributed							
to:							
To residential & commercial customers		11.4		13.5	56.7		68.5
To industrial customers		18.0		19.2	43.5		46.0
Total sold & transported volumes		29.4		32.7	100.2		114.5

Even though warm weather and price sensitivity negatively impacted usage and tracked expenses recovered dollar for dollar in margin have decreased, gas utility margins are generally flat compared to the prior year periods. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, margin decreases were offset by base rate increases implemented in the Company's Ohio service territory, a \$3.0 million disallowance of Ohio gas costs reflected in the second quarter of 2005, and the effects of the NTA. With the current outlook for continued high gas commodity prices, management expects continued usage decline throughout 2006. If the conservation-oriented rate mechanisms discussed below are approved in Indiana and Ohio, the impact on margin of additional usage decline will be mitigated. The average cost per dekatherm of gas purchased for the six months ended June 30, 2006, was \$9.35 compared to \$7.41 in 2005, an increase of 26 percent.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to 2005, incremental revenues associated with gas base rate increases increased margin approximately \$0.7 million and \$4.2 million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, it is estimated that weather 17 percent warmer than normal and 10 percent warmer than prior year would have decreased margins \$8.2 million compared to the prior year, had the NTA not been in effect. Weather, net of the NTA, increased margins \$1.2 million quarter over quarter and increased margins \$1.6 million year over year. Further, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, margin associated with tracked expenses and revenue taxes decreased \$0.9 million and \$1.9 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Electric Utility Margin (Electric Utility Revenues less Fuel Cost of Fuel & Purchased Power) Electric Utility margin by revenue type follows:

	Three Months				Six Months			
	Ended June 30,				Ended June 30,			
(In millions)		2006		2005		2006		2005
Electric utility revenues	\$	96.0	\$	96.9	\$	201.2	\$	191.6
Cost of fuel & purchased power		30.9		33.0		69.0		62.2
Total electric utility margin	\$	65.1	\$	63.9	\$	132.2	\$	129.4
Residential & commercial	\$	38.4	\$	39.7	\$	76.3	\$	76.8
Industrial		17.9		16.3		34.5		31.6
Municipalities & other		6.8		5.1		12.3		9.2
Subtotal: retail & firm wholesale	\$	63.1	\$	61.1	\$	123.1	\$	117.6
Asset optimization	\$	2.0	\$	2.8	\$	9.1	\$	11.8
Electric volumes sold in GWh attributed to:								
Residential & commercial customers		648.4		665.5		1,305.4		1,331.4
Industrial customers		671.3		651.1		1,309.2		1,270.0
Municipalities & other		161.3		173.7		311.8		328.1
Total retail & firm wholesale volumes sold		1,481.0		1,490.3		2,926.4		2,929.5

Retail & Firm Wholesale Margin

Electric retail and firm wholesale utility margins were \$63.1 million and \$123.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006. This represents an increase over the prior year periods of \$2.0 million and \$5.5 million, respectively. The recovery of pollution control related investments and associated operating expenses and related depreciation increased margins \$1.4 million quarter over quarter and \$4.0 million year over year. Large customer margin, driven primarily by higher volumes, increased an additional \$1.4 million in the quarter and \$1.9 million year to date compared to the prior year periods. These increases were partially offset by mild weather and other factors. The estimated decrease in margin due to weather was \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million for the three and six month periods, respectively, compared to the prior year.

Margin from Asset Optimization Activities

Periodically, generation capacity is in excess of that needed to serve native load and firm wholesale customers. The Company markets and sells this unutilized generating and transmission capacity to optimize the return on its owned assets. On an annual basis, a majority of the margin generated from these activities is associated with wholesale off-system sales, and beginning in April 2005, substantially all off-system sales occur into the MISO day-ahead market. Following is a reconciliation of asset optimization activity.

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,			
(In millions)		2006		2005	2006		2005
Beginning of Period Net Balance Sheet Position	\$	1.2	\$	2.4	\$ 1.3	\$	(0.6)
Statement of Income Activity							
Net mark-to-market gains/(losses)		(0.6)		0.3	(1.2)		2.8
Other unrealized losses		(0.5)		-	(0.1)		-
Net realized gains		3.1		2.5	10.4		9.0

Net activity in electric utility margin	2.0	2.8	9.1	11.8
Net cash received & other adjustments	(1.1)	(2.0)	(8.3)	(8.0)
End of Period Net Balance Sheet Position	\$ 2.1	\$ 3.2 \$	2.1 \$	3.2

Table of Contents

For the three and six month periods ended June 30 2006, net asset optimization margins were \$2.0 million and \$9.1 million, which represents a decrease of \$0.8 million and \$2.7 million, as compared to 2005. The decrease was due primarily to prior year mark-to-market gains which were partially offset by increased margin from non-firm wholesale volumes sold off-system. Year to date off-system sales totaled 648.3 GWh in 2006, compared to 548.0 GWh in 2005.

Utility Group Operating Expenses

Other Operating

Other operating expenses for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, increased only \$0.4 million compared to 2005. Though largely offset by other cost decreases, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, bad debt expense in the Company's Indiana service territories increased \$1.5 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, due in part to higher gas costs.

Depreciation & Amortization

Depreciation expense increased \$3.2 million and \$6.9 million for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2006, as compared to 2005. In addition to depreciation on additions to plant in service, incremental depreciation expense associated with environmental compliance equipment placed into service in 2005 of \$1.0 million for the quarter and \$2.4 million for the year to date period also contributed to the increase.

Utility Group Interest Expense

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, interest expense increased \$1.8 million and \$4.9 million, respectively, compared to the prior year periods. The increases are primarily driven by rising interest rates and also include the impact of permanent financing transactions completed in the fourth quarter of 2005 in which \$150 million in debt-related proceeds were received and used to retire short-term borrowings and other long-term debt.

Utility Group Income Taxes

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, federal and state income taxes decreased \$1.3 million and \$6.0 million, respectively, compared to the prior year periods. The decreases are primarily due to lower pre-tax income as compared to the prior year. Year to date results also reflect the impact of an Indiana tax law change that resulted in the recalculation of certain state deferred income tax liabilities.

Environmental Matters

Clean Air Interstate Rule & Clean Air Mercury Rule

In March of 2005 USEPA finalized two new air emission reduction regulations. The Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) is an allowance cap and trade program requiring further reductions in Nitrogen Oxides (NOx) and Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂) emissions from coal-burning power plants. The Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) is an allowance cap and trade program requiring further reductions in mercury emissions from coal-burning power plants. Both sets of regulations require emission reductions in two phases. The first phase deadline for both rules is 2010 (2009 for NOx under CAIR), and the second phase deadline for compliance with the emission reductions required under CAIR is 2015, while the second phase deadline for compliance with the emission reduction requirements of CAMR is 2018. The Company is evaluating compliance options and fully expects to be in compliance by the required deadlines.

In February 2006, the IURC approved a multi-emission compliance plan filed by the Company's utility subsidiary, SIGECO. Once the plan is implemented, SIGECO's coal-fired plants will be 100% scrubbed for SQ, 90% scrubbed for NOx, and mercury emissions will be reduced to meet the new mercury reduction standards. The order, as previously agreed to by the OUCC and Citizens Action Coalition, allows SIGECO to recover an approximate 8%

return on up to \$110 million in capital investments through a rider mechanism which is updated every six months for actual costs incurred. The Company will also recover through a rider its operating expenses, including depreciation, once the equipment is placed into service. The order also stipulates that SIGECO study renewable energy alternatives and include a carbon forecast in future filings with regard to new generation and further environmental compliance plans, among other initiatives. As of June 30, 2006, the Company has made capital investments of approximately \$15.3 million related to this initiative.

<u>Table of Contents</u> Manufactured Gas Plants

In the past, Indiana Gas, SIGECO, and others operated facilities for the manufacture of gas. Given the availability of natural gas transported by pipelines, these facilities have not been operated for many years. Under currently applicable environmental laws and regulations, Indiana Gas, SIGECO, and others may now be required to take remedial action if certain byproducts are found above the regulatory thresholds at these sites.

Indiana Gas has identified the existence, location, and certain general characteristics of 26 gas manufacturing and storage sites for which it may have some remedial responsibility. Indiana Gas has completed a remedial investigation/feasibility study (RI/FS) at one of the sites under an agreed order between Indiana Gas and the IDEM, and a Record of Decision was issued by the IDEM in January 2000. Although Indiana Gas has not begun an RI/FS at additional sites, Indiana Gas has submitted several of the sites to the IDEM's Voluntary Remediation Program (VRP) and is currently conducting some level of remedial activities, including groundwater monitoring at certain sites, where deemed appropriate, and will continue remedial activities at the sites as appropriate and necessary.

In conjunction with data compiled by environmental consultants, Indiana Gas has accrued the estimated costs for further investigation, remediation, groundwater monitoring, and related costs for the sites. While the total costs that may be incurred in connection with addressing these sites cannot be determined at this time, Indiana Gas has recorded costs that it reasonably expects to incur totaling approximately \$20.4 million.

The estimated accrued costs are limited to Indiana Gas' proportionate share of the remediation efforts. Indiana Gas has arrangements in place for 19 of the 26 sites with other potentially responsible parties (PRP), which serve to limit Indiana Gas' share of response costs at these 19 sites to between 20% and 50%.

With respect to insurance coverage, Indiana Gas has received and recorded settlements from all known insurance carriers in an aggregate amount approximating \$20.4 million.

Environmental matters related to manufactured gas plants have had no material impact on earnings since costs recorded to date approximate PRP and insurance settlement recoveries. While Indiana Gas has recorded all costs which it presently expects to incur in connection with activities at these sites, it is possible that future events may require some level of additional remedial activities which are not presently foreseen.

In October 2002, the Company received a formal information request letter from the IDEM regarding five manufactured gas plants owned and/or operated by SIGECO and not currently enrolled in the IDEM's VRP. In response, SIGECO submitted to the IDEM the results of preliminary site investigations conducted in the mid-1990's. These site investigations confirmed that based upon the conditions known at the time, the sites posed no risk to human health or the environment. Follow up reviews have been initiated by the Company to confirm that the sites continue to pose no such risk.

On October 6, 2003, SIGECO filed applications to enter four of the manufactured gas plant sites in IDEM's VRP. The remaining site is currently being addressed in the VRP by another Indiana utility. SIGECO added those four sites into the renewal of the global Voluntary Remediation Agreement that Indiana Gas has in place with IDEM for its manufactured gas plant sites. That renewal was approved by the IDEM on February 24, 2004. On July 13, 2004, SIGECO filed a declaratory judgment action against its insurance carriers seeking a judgment finding its carriers liable under the policies for coverage of further investigation and any necessary remediation costs that SIGECO may accrue under the VRP program. Costs of remediation at the four SIGECO sites, as well as the amount of any PRP or insurance recoveries, cannot be determined at this time. The total costs accrued to date, including investigative costs, have been immaterial.

Rate & Regulatory Matters

Indiana and Ohio Lost Margin Recovery/Conservation Filings

On October 25, 2005, Vectren Energy Delivery of Indiana filed with the IURC for approval of a conservation program and a conservation adjustment rider in its two Indiana service territories. If approved, the plan outlined in the petition will better align the interests of the Company with its customers through the promotion of natural gas conservation. The petition requests the use of a tracker mechanism to recover certain costs of funding the design and implementation of conservation efforts, such as consumer education programs and rebates for high efficiency equipment. The conservation tracker works in tandem with a lost margin recovery mechanism. This mechanism would allow the Company to recover the distribution portion of its rates from residential and commercial customers based on the level of customer usage established in each utility's last general rate case.

On May 5, 2006, the Company and the OUCC filed a settlement with the IURC that provides for a 5 year energy efficiency program to be implemented at both Indiana Gas and SIGECO. Further, as part of the Settlement, Indiana Gas will recover 85% of all lost margin since its last rate case. Recovery of lost margin at SIGECO will not commence until approval of new base rates in its next general rate case. A hearing before the IURC on the settlement occurred on July 18, 2006, post-hearing filings will be completed in August 2006 and an order is anticipated during the fourth quarter.

Similarly, on November 28, 2005, Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio filed with the PUCO for approval of a conservation program and a conservation adjustment rider that would accomplish the same objectives, including lost margin recovery. On April 10, 2006, Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio filed with the PUCO, a settlement agreement between the OCC, the Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy, and the Company that provides for at least two years of comprehensive energy efficiency programs for Ohio customers. A hearing has been conducted and an order by the PUCO is expected in the third quarter.

If the agreements are approved, these efficiency programs should be available to customers before the 2006 winter heating season.

Gas Cost Recovery (GCR) Audit Proceedings

On June 14, 2005, the PUCO issued an order disallowing the recovery of approximately \$9.6 million of gas costs relating to the two year audit period ended November 2002. That audit period provided the PUCO staff its initial review of the portfolio administration arrangement between VEDO and ProLiance. The disallowance includes approximately \$1.3 million relating to pipeline refunds and penalties and approximately \$4.5 million of costs for winter delivery services purchased by VEDO to ensure reliability over the two year period. The PUCO also held that ProLiance should have credited to VEDO an additional \$3.8 million more than credits actually received for the right to use VEDO's gas transportation capacity periodically during the periods when it was not required for serving VEDO's customers. The PUCO also directed VEDO to either submit its receipt of portfolio administration services to a request for proposal process or to in-source those functions. During 2003, the Company recorded a reserve of \$1.1 million for this matter. An additional pretax charge of \$4.2 million was recorded in *Cost of Gas Sold* in 2005. The reserve reflects management's assessment of the impact of the PUCO decisions, an estimate of any current impact that decision may have on subsequent audit periods, and an estimate of a sharing in any final disallowance by Vectren's partner in ProLiance.

VEDO filed its request for rehearing on July 14, 2005, and on August 10, 2005, the PUCO granted rehearing to further consider the \$3.8 million portfolio administration issue and all interest on the findings, but denied rehearing on

all other aspects of the case. On October 7, 2005, the Company filed an appeal with the Ohio Supreme Court requesting that the \$4.5 million disallowance related to the winter delivery service issue be reversed. On December 21, 2005, the PUCO granted in part VEDO's rehearing request, and reduced the \$3.8 million disallowance related to portfolio administration to \$1.98 million. The Company has filed an appeal on that decision as well. However, as part of the Ohio lost margin/conservation settlement agreement awaiting a PUCO decision, the Company has proposed to use the \$1.98 million disallowance as a funding source for Ohio conservation programs. If the settlement is approved, the appeal of the \$1.98 million disallowance amount would be withdrawn. Briefings of all matters were completed by July 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

In addition, the Company solicited and received bids for VEDO's gas supply and portfolio administration services and has selected a third party provider, who began providing services to VEDO on November 1, 2005, under a one year contract.

Commodity Prices

Commodity prices for natural gas purchases have remained above historic levels and have become more volatile. Subject to compliance with applicable state laws, the Company's utility subsidiaries are allowed recovery of such changes in purchased gas costs from their retail customers through commission-approved gas cost adjustment mechanisms, and margin on gas sales are not expected to be impacted. Nevertheless, it is possible regulators may disallow recovery of a portion of gas costs for a variety of reasons, including, but not limited to, a finding by the regulator that natural gas was not prudently procured. In addition, as a result of this near term change in the natural gas commodity price, the Company's utility subsidiaries have been experiencing, and may continue to experience, increased interest expense due to higher working capital requirements; increased uncollectible accounts expense and unaccounted for gas; and some level of price sensitive reduction in volumes sold or delivered. In response to higher gas prices, the Company increased its utility-related credit facilities in November 2005.

Results of Operations of the Nonutility Group

The Nonutility Group is comprised of three primary business areas: Energy Marketing and Services, Coal Mining, and Energy Infrastructure Services. Energy Marketing and Services markets natural gas and provides energy management services. Coal Mining mines and sells coal and generates IRS Code Section 45K (formerly Section 29) investment tax credits relating to the production of coal-based synthetic fuels. Energy Infrastructure Services provides underground construction and repair, facilities locating, meter reading services, and energy performance contracting services. In addition, there are other businesses that invest in broadband communication services, energy-related opportunities, real estate, and leveraged leases, among other activities. The Nonutility Group supports the Company's regulated utilities pursuant to service contracts by providing natural gas supply services, coal, infrastructure services, and other services. Nonutility Group earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 follow:

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,			
(In millions, except per share amounts)		2006		2005	2006		2005
NET INCOME	\$	(2.8)	\$	5.7	\$ 11.4	\$	14.6
CONTRIBUTION TO VECTREN BASIC EPS	\$	(0.03)	\$	0.08	\$ 0.15	\$	0.19
NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTED TO:							
Energy Marketing & Services	\$	0.3	\$	1.0	\$ 13.2	\$	8.1
Coal Mining		0.9		1.3	2.7		2.6
Energy Infrastructure		0.8		0.2	(0.4)		(1.9)
Synfuel-related results		(5.0)		3.4	(4.3)		6.5
Other Businesses		0.2		(0.2)	0.2		(0.7)

Energy Marketing & Services

Energy Marketing and Services is comprised of the Company's gas marketing operations and retail gas supply operations.

ProLiance Energy LLC (ProLiance), a nonutility energy marketing affiliate of Vectren and Citizens Gas and Coke Utility (Citizens Gas), provides services to a broad range of municipalities, utilities, industrial operations, schools, and healthcare institutions located throughout the Midwest and Southeast United States. ProLiance's customers include Vectren's utilities and nonutility gas supply operations and Citizens Gas. ProLiance's primary businesses include gas marketing, gas portfolio optimization, and other portfolio and energy management services. Consistent with its ownership percentage, Vectren is allocated 61% of ProLiance's profits and losses; however, governance and voting rights remain at 50% for each member; and therefore the Company accounts for its investment in ProLiance using the equity method of accounting.

Vectren received regulatory approval on April 25, 2006, from the IURC for ProLiance to continue to provide natural gas supply services to the Company's Indiana utilities through March 2011.

As required by a June 14, 2005, PUCO order, VEDO solicited bids for its gas supply/portfolio administration services and has selected a third party provider under a one year contract. ProLiance's obligation to supply these services to VEDO ended October 31, 2005. The Company believes this change has not materially affected ProLiance's or Vectren's earnings, financial position, or cash flows.

Vectren Retail, LLC (d/b/a Vectren Source), a wholly owned subsidiary, provides natural gas and other related products and services in the Midwest and Southeast United States to nearly 150,000 customers opting for choice among energy providers. Vectren Source began serving choice customers in 2002.

Table of Contents

Net income generated by Energy Marketing and Services for the six months ended June 30, 2006, was \$13.2 million compared to \$8.1 million in 2005. The gas marketing operations, performed through ProLiance, provided the majority of the year to date earnings contribution, totaling \$12.5 million year to date, an increase of \$4.0 million compared to the prior year. The significant increase in earnings in 2006 compared to 2005 was made possible by storage transactions and continued volatility in the natural gas market. ProLiance's quarterly earnings of \$1.2 million are \$0.8 million lower than the prior year primarily due to higher allocated interest costs. Energy Marketing and Services' quarterly earnings of \$0.3 million are \$0.7 million lower than the prior year.

Vectren Source operations have also provided earnings growth. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, Vectren Source's earnings totaled \$1.7 million compared to earnings of \$0.3 million in 2005. Through June 30, 2006, Vectren Source added approximately 33,000 customers compared to the prior year period. During the quarter, Vectren Source incurred a seasonal loss of \$0.2 million in 2006 compared to a loss of \$0.5 million in the prior year.

ProLiance Contingency

In 2002, a civil lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama by the City of Huntsville, Alabama d/b/a Huntsville Utilities, Inc. (Huntsville Utilities) against ProLiance. Huntsville Utilities asserted claims based on alleged breach of contract with respect to the provision of portfolio services and/or pricing advice, fraud, fraudulent inducement, and other theories, including conversion and violations under the Racketeering, Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO). These claims related generally to: (1) alleged breach of contract in providing advice and/or administering portfolio arrangements; (2) alleged promises to provide gas at a below-market rate; (3) the creation and repayment of a "winter levelizing program" instituted by ProLiance in conjunction with the Manager of Huntsville's Gas Utility to allow Huntsville Utilities to pay its natural gas bills from the winter of 2000-2001 over an extended period of time coupled with the alleged ignorance about the program on the part of Huntsville Utilities' Gas Board and other management, and; (4) conversion of Huntsville Utilities' gas storage supplies to repay the balance owed on the winter levelizing program and the alleged lack of authority of Huntsville Utilities' gas manager to approve those sales.

In early 2005, a jury trial commenced and on February 10, 2005, the jury returned a verdict largely in favor of Huntsville Utilities and awarded Huntsville Utilities compensatory damages of \$8.2 million and punitive damages of \$25.0 million. The jury rejected Huntsville Utilities' claim of conversion. The jury also rejected a counter claim by ProLiance for payment of amounts due from Huntsville Utilities. Following that verdict, there were a number of issues presented to the judge for resolution. Huntsville made a claim under federal law that it was entitled to have the compensatory damage award trebled. The judge rejected that request. ProLiance made a claim against Huntsville for unjust enrichment, which was also rejected by the judge. The judge also determined that attorneys' fees and prejudgment interest are owed by ProLiance to Huntsville Utilities. The verdict, as affected by the judge's subsequent rulings, totals \$38.9 million, and ProLiance has posted an appeal bond for that estimated amount. ProLiance's management believed there were reasonable grounds for appeal that offer a basis for reversal of the entire verdict, and initiated the appeal process on July 26, 2005. The appeal was fully briefed on April 27, 2006, and is scheduled to be heard before the 11th Circuit Court of Appeals in Atlanta, Georgia on October 4, 2006. At the earliest, the case will be decided late in 2006.

While it is reasonably possible that a liability has been incurred by ProLiance, it is not possible to predict the ultimate outcome of an appeal of the verdict. ProLiance recorded a reserve of \$3.9 million as of December 31, 2004, reflective of their assessment of the lower end of the range of potential exposure on certain issues identified in the case and inclusive of estimated ongoing litigation costs. As of June 30, 2006, the reserve is \$3.3 million, which reflects the payment of some legal fees. Amounts due from Huntsville Utilities were fully reserved by ProLiance in 2003.

As an equity investor in ProLiance, the Company reflected its share of the charge, or \$1.4 million after tax, in its 2004 fourth quarter results. That charge does not reflect the possibility that some actual losses might be recovered from insurance carriers, as to which there can be no assurance. It is not expected that an unfavorable outcome on appeal will

have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or its liquidity, but an unfavorable outcome could be material to the Company's earnings.

Table of Contents

Coal Mining Operations

Coal Mining Operations mine and sell coal to the Company's utility operations and to third parties through its wholly owned subsidiary Vectren Fuels, Inc. (Fuels).

Mining Operations' year to date earnings were \$2.7 million in 2006 compared to \$2.6 million in 2005. Despite higher revenue and tax benefits from depletion, the contribution from mining operations was flat year over year due to unfavorable geologic conditions and rising costs of commodities used in operations. During the second quarter, earnings were \$0.4 million lower than the prior year due to reduced yield and high sulfur content of the coal mined.

New Mine Development Activities

In April 2006, Fuels secured the rights to open two new underground mines near Vincennes, Indiana. The first mine is expected to be fully operational by early 2009, with the second mine to open the following year. Reserves at the two mines are estimated at 80 million tons of recoverable number-five coal at 11,200 BTU (British thermal units) and 6-pound sulfur dioxide. Management estimates a \$125 million investment to access the reserves. Once in production, the two new mines are expected to produce 5 million tons of coal per year.

Energy Infrastructure Services

Energy Infrastructure Services provides performance contracting operations through Energy Systems Group, LLC (ESG), and underground construction and repair to gas, water, and telecommunications companies through its investment in Reliant Services, LLC (Reliant) and Reliant's 100 percent ownership in Miller Pipeline. Reliant is a 50 percent owned strategic alliance with an affiliate of Cinergy Corporation (now Duke Energy) and is accounted for using the equity method of accounting. ESG, a wholly owned subsidiary, provides energy performance contracting and facility upgrades through its design and installation of energy-efficient equipment throughout the Midwest and Southeast United States.

For the three months ended June 30, 2006, Infrastructure's operations contributed earnings of \$0.8 million, an increase of \$0.6 million compared to the prior year due to the monetizing of backlog at ESG. Earnings from ESG increased \$1.3 million in 2006 due to increased revenues and construction projects. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, Infrastructure's operations have operated at a loss of \$0.4 million compared to a loss of \$1.9 million in 2005. The lower seasonal loss reflects a contribution from ESG which is \$1.6 million higher than the prior year and a contribution from Reliant which is \$0.1 million higher than the prior year. Reliant's earnings reflect increased results from Miller, Reliant's gas construction operations, offset by lower meter reading and locating results.

Miller Pipeline Corporation Acquisition

In July 2006, the Company agreed to purchase from Duke Energy Corporation (Duke) its ownership in Miller Pipeline Corporation (Miller), effective July 1, 2006. Prior to this transaction, Miller was 100% owned by Reliant Services, LLC (Reliant). Reliant is a 50% strategic alliance between Vectren and Duke, formerly Cinergy Corporation, formed in 1998. Miller, originally founded in 1953, performs natural gas and water distribution, transmission, and construction repair and rehabilitation primarily in the Midwest and the repair and rehabilitation of gas, water, and waste water facilities nationwide. In addition, Vectren and Duke have announced that Reliant is exiting the meter reading business and the remainder of the Reliant operations are under evaluation.

Other Businesses

The Other Businesses Group includes a variety of operations and investments including investments in Broadband and the Haddington Energy Partnerships (Haddington). Broadband invests in communication services, such as cable television, high-speed internet, and advanced local and long distance phone services. The earnings contribution from Other Businesses increased \$0.4 million during the quarter and \$0.9 million year to date primarily as a result of lower allocated interest expense.

<u>Table of Contents</u> Utilicom Investments

The Company has an approximate 2% equity interest and a convertible subordinated debt investment in Utilicom Networks, LLC (Utilicom) that if converted would bring the Company's ownership interest up to 16%. The Company also has an approximate 19% equity interest in SIGECOM Holdings, Inc. (Holdings), which was formed by Utilicom to hold interests in SIGECOM, LLC (SIGECOM). SIGECOM provides broadband services, such as cable television, high-speed internet, and advanced local and long distance phone services, to the greater Evansville, Indiana area. The Company accounts for its investments in Utilicom and Holdings using the cost method of accounting.

In 2004, the Company determined to make no further investments in broadband strategies and recorded a \$6.0 million charge to write its Utilicom-related investments down to an estimated fair value. The Company's Utilicom-related investments total \$45.6 million at June 30, 2006. Certain events such as disposal of the investment by the majority owner or other market events could impact the recoverability of the Company's investment.

Synfuels-Related Results

The Coal Mining Group also generates synfuel tax credits resulting from the production of coal-based synthetic fuels through its 8.3% ownership interest in Pace Carbon Synfuels, LP (Pace Carbon). In addition, Fuels receives processing fees from synfuel producers unrelated to Pace Carbon for a portion of its coal production. Under current tax laws, these synfuel-related credits and fees end after 2007.

Synfuel tax credits are only available when the price of oil is less than a base price specified by the Internal Revenue Code, as adjusted for inflation. An average NYMEX price of approximately \$61 per barrel for the 2006 year could begin to limit synfuel tax credits, with a total phase out occurring at approximately \$76 per barrel. The current forward curve for oil prices indicates that a significant phase out in 2006 is likely, absent federal legislative intervention which may favorably impact the reference price for 2006.

On July 18, 2006, the Company opted out of its current participation in the production of synthetic fuel due to the high price of oil and the uncertainty of federal legislation that would favorably impact the reference price of oil governing the phase out of synfuel tax credits. Based on the lack of legislative action during the current congressional session, a significant phase out in 2006 of the synfuels tax credits is likely.

Accordingly, the Company has estimated a 65 percent phase out of the credits generated to date in 2006 based on current oil prices and other factors, and has recorded a reserve of \$4.4 million, after considering insurance proceeds, against those credits generated year to date in 2006 in *Income tax expense*, of which \$2.1 million was recorded during the three months ended June 30, 2006. In addition, and consistent with the Company's decision to cease its participation in synfuel production activities, the Company has recorded a charge to impair its \$2.9 million investment in Pace Carbon. Further, the Company is obligated to fund the partnership on an installment basis for working capital and as tax credits are earned. Based on the current estimate of the expected phase out of the tax credits, the Company has estimated the remaining funding obligation and other costs to be \$6.6 million and has recorded that amount and the impairment charge related to its investment, all totaling \$9.5 million, or \$5.7 million after tax, in the second quarter of 2006.

Synfuels-related results for the quarter, which include losses from Pace Carbon and synfuel processing fees earned by Fuels, were a loss of \$5.0 million, a decrease of \$8.4 million, compared to the same period in 2005. Year to date Synfuels-related losses were \$4.3 million, a decrease of \$10.8 million compared to the prior year. Both the quarter and year to date results for 2006 include the effect of the charges described above.

Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Guidance

FIN 48

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48) "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" an interpretation of SFAS 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for financial statement recognition and measurement of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in an income tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance related to reversal of tax positions, balance sheet classification, interest and penalties, interim period accounting, disclosure and transition. The interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently assessing the impact this interpretation will have on its financial statements.

Financial Condition

Within Vectren's consolidated group, Utility Holdings funds the short-term and long-term financing needs of the Utility Group, and Vectren Capital Corp. (Vectren Capital) funds short-term and long-term financing needs of the Nonutility Group and corporate operations. Vectren Corporation guarantees Vectren Capital's debt, but does not guarantee Utility Holdings' debt. Vectren Capital's long-term and short-term obligations outstanding at June 30, 2006, totaled \$200.0 million and \$45.1 million, respectively. Utility Holdings' outstanding long-term and short-term borrowing arrangements are jointly and severally guaranteed by Indiana Gas, SIGECO, and VEDO. Utility Holdings' long-term and short-term obligations outstanding at June 30, 2006, totaled \$700.0 million and \$130.4 million, respectively. Additionally, prior to Utility Holdings' formation, Indiana Gas and SIGECO funded their operations separately, and therefore, have long-term debt outstanding funded solely by their operations.

The Company's common stock dividends are primarily funded by utility operations. Nonutility operations have demonstrated sustained profitability, and the ability to generate cash flows. These cash flows are primarily reinvested in other nonutility ventures, but are also used to fund a portion of the Company's dividends, and from time to time may be reinvested in utility operations or used for corporate expenses.

The credit ratings on outstanding senior unsecured debt of Utility Holdings and Indiana Gas, at June 30, 2006, are A-/Baa1 as rated by Standard and Poor's Ratings Services (Standard and Poor's) and Moody's Investors Service (Moody's), respectively. SIGECO's credit ratings on outstanding secured debt are A/A3. Utility Holdings' commercial paper has a credit rating of A-2/P-2. The current outlook of both Moody's and Standard and Poor's is stable. These ratings and outlooks have not changed since December 31, 2005. A security rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold securities. The rating is subject to revision or withdrawal at any time, and each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating. Standard and Poor's and Moody's lowest level investment grade rating is BBB- and Baa3, respectively.

The Company's consolidated equity capitalization objective is 45-55% of long-term capitalization. This objective may have varied, and will vary, depending on particular business opportunities, capital spending requirements, execution of long-term financing plans and seasonal factors that affect the Company's operations. The Company's equity component was 48% of long-term capitalization at both June 30, 2006, and December 31, 2005. Long-term capitalization includes long-term debt, including current maturities and debt subject to tender, as well as common shareholders' equity and any outstanding preferred stock.

The Company expects the majority of its capital expenditures, investments, and debt security redemptions to be provided by internally generated funds. However, due to significant capital expenditures and expected growth in nonutility operations, the Company may require additional permanent financing.

<u>Table of Contents</u> **Sources & Uses of Liquidity**

Operating Cash Flow

The Company's primary and historical source of liquidity to fund working capital requirements has been cash generated from operations. Cash flow from operating activities decreased \$25.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to 2005 primarily as a result of changes in working capital accounts, which is reflective of the impact of higher gas costs. Working capital changes generated cash of \$112.1 million in 2006 compared to \$154.0 million in 2005. Net income before non-cash charges of \$160.7 million increased \$8.1 million compared to the prior year. Additionally, distributions from equity method investments, which principally consist of dividends from ProLiance and includes a \$10.4 million special dividend from ProLiance in 2006, offset the increased working capital requirements.

Financing Cash Flow

Although working capital requirements are generally funded by cash flow from operations, the Company uses short-term borrowings to supplement working capital needs when accounts receivable balances are at their highest and gas storage is refilled. Additionally, short-term borrowings are required for capital projects and investments until they are permanently financed.

Cash flow required for financing activities of \$170.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006, includes a net decrease in short-term borrowings of \$124.4 million, \$35.1 million less than amounts repaid during the six months ended June 30, 2005. The decreased debt repayments were principally the result of increased working capital requirements. Common stock dividends have increased over the prior period due to board authorized increases in the dividend rate.

Investing Cash Flow

Cash flow required for investing activities was \$119.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006, an increase of \$22.8 million over the prior year. The increase is attributable to the timing of payments for capital expenditures.

Available Sources of Liquidity

At June, 30, 2006, the Company has \$780 million of short-term borrowing capacity, including \$520 million for the Utility Group and \$260 million for the wholly owned Nonutility Group and corporate operations, of which approximately \$389.6 million is available for the Utility Group operations and approximately \$214.9 million is available for the wholly owned Nonutility Group and corporate operations.

In response to higher natural gas prices, Utility Holdings increased its available consolidated short-term borrowing capacity in the fourth quarter of 2005 to \$520 million, a \$165 million increase over previous levels. In addition, Utility Holdings extended the maturity of its largest credit facility, which totals \$515 million, through November, 2010. Vectren Capital also extended the maturity of its largest facility, which totals \$255 million, through November, 2010. The amendments were completed on November 10, 2005.

Potential Uses of Liquidity

Planned Capital Expenditures & Investments

Investments in nonregulated unconsolidated affiliates and total company capital expenditures for the remainder of 2006 are estimated to be approximately \$226 million.

Other Guarantees and Letters of Credit

Vectren Corporation issues guarantees to third parties on behalf of its unconsolidated affiliates. Such guarantees allow those affiliates to execute transactions on more favorable terms than the affiliate could obtain without such a guarantee. Guarantees may include posted letters of credit, leasing guarantees, and performance guarantees. As of June 30, 2006, guarantees issued and outstanding on behalf of unconsolidated affiliates approximated \$4 million. The Company has accrued no liabilities for these guarantees as they were issued on behalf of a related party.

Table of Contents

In 2006, the Company issued a guarantee with an approximate \$5 million maximum risk related to the residual value of an operating lease that expires in 2011. As of June 30, 2006, Vectren Corporation has a \$0.1 million liability representing inception date fair value of the guarantee. Liabilities accrued for, and activity related to, product warranties are not significant.

Forward-Looking Information

A "safe harbor" for forward-looking statements is provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (Reform Act of 1995). The Reform Act of 1995 was adopted to encourage such forward-looking statements without the threat of litigation, provided those statements are identified as forward-looking and are accompanied by meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause the actual results to differ materially from those projected in the statement. Certain matters described in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition are forward-looking statements. Such statements are based on management's beliefs, as well as assumptions made by and information currently available to management. When used in this filing, the words "believe", "anticipate", "endeavor", "estimate", "expect", "objective", "projection", "forecast", "goal" and sim are intended to identify forward-looking statements. In addition to any assumptions and other factors referred to specifically in connection with such forward-looking statements, factors that could cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from those contemplated in any forward-looking statements include, among others, the following:

- Factors affecting utility operations such as unusual weather conditions; catastrophic weather-related damage; unusual maintenance or repairs; unanticipated changes to fossil fuel costs; unanticipated changes to gas supply costs, or availability due to higher demand, shortages, transportation problems or other developments; environmental or pipeline incidents; transmission or distribution incidents; unanticipated changes to electric energy supply costs, or availability due to demand, shortages, transmission problems or other developments; or electric transmission or gas pipeline system constraints.
 - · Increased competition in the energy environment including effects of industry restructuring and unbundling.
- · Regulatory factors such as unanticipated changes in rate-setting policies or procedures, recovery of investments and costs made under traditional regulation, and the frequency and timing of rate increases.
- · Financial or regulatory accounting principles or policies imposed by the Financial Accounting Standards Board; the Securities and Exchange Commission; the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission; state public utility commissions; state entities which regulate electric and natural gas transmission and distribution, natural gas gathering and processing, electric power supply; and similar entities with regulatory oversight.
- · Economic conditions including the effects of an economic downturn, inflation rates, commodity prices, and monetary fluctuations.
- · Increased natural gas commodity prices and the potential impact on customer consumption, uncollectible accounts expense, unaccounted for gas, and interest expense.
- · Changing market conditions and a variety of other factors associated with physical energy and financial trading activities including, but not limited to, price, basis, credit, liquidity, volatility, capacity, interest rate, and warranty risks.
- The performance of projects undertaken by the Company's nonutility businesses and the success of efforts to invest in and develop new opportunities, including but not limited to, the realization of synfuel income tax credits and the Company's coal mining, gas marketing, and broadband strategies.
- Direct or indirect effects on the Company's business, financial condition or liquidity resulting from a change in credit ratings, changes in interest rates, and/or changes in market perceptions of the utility industry and other energy-related industries.
- · Employee or contractor workforce factors including changes in key executives, collective bargaining agreements with union employees, or work stoppages.
- · Legal and regulatory delays and other obstacles associated with mergers, acquisitions, and investments in joint ventures.
- · Costs and other effects of legal and administrative proceedings, settlements, investigations, claims, and other matters, including, but not limited to, those described in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition.
- · Changes in federal, state or local legislature requirements, such as changes in tax laws or rates, environmental laws and regulations.

The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of changes in actual results, changes in assumptions, or other factors affecting such statements.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company is exposed to various business risks associated with commodity prices, interest rates, and counter-party credit. These financial exposures are monitored and managed by the Company as an integral part of its overall risk management program. The Company's risk management program includes, among other things, the use of derivatives. The Company also executes derivative contracts in the normal course of operations while buying and selling commodities to be used in operations and optimizing its generation assets.

The Company has in place a risk management committee that consists of senior management as well as financial and operational management. The committee is actively involved in identifying risks as well as reviewing and authorizing risk mitigation strategies.

These risks are not significantly different from the information set forth in Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk included in the Vectren 2005 Form 10-K and is therefore not presented herein.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

During the quarter ended June 30, 2006, there have been no changes to the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of June 30, 2006, the Company conducted an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the effectiveness and the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as defined by Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act), are effective at providing reasonable assurance that material information relating to the Company required to be disclosed by the Company in its filings under the Exchange Act is brought to their attention on a timely basis.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is party to various legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, there are no legal proceedings pending against the Company that are likely to have a material adverse effect on its financial position. See the notes to the consolidated financial statements regarding investments in unconsolidated affiliates, commitments and contingencies, environmental matters, and rate and regulatory matters. The consolidated condensed financial statements are included in Part 1 Item 1.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Other than the risk factor presented below, these risks are not significantly different from the information set forth in Item 1A Risk Factors included in the Vectren 2005 Form 10-K and are therefore not presented herein.

The Company's synfuel investment may be adversely affected if synfuel credits are limited or disallowed.

Under existing law, synfuel tax credits expire at the end of 2007 and are only available prior to the end of 2007 when the price of oil is less than a base price specified by the Internal Revenue Code, as adjusted for inflation. An average NYMEX price of approximately \$61 per barrel for the 2006 year could begin to limit synfuel tax credits, with a total phase out occurring at approximately \$76 per barrel. The current forward curve for oil prices indicates that a significant phase out in 2006 is likely, given the lack of legislative action during the current congressional session. Accordingly, the Company has estimated the potential exposure to credits generated to date in 2006, given the current market conditions and other factors, and has recorded a reserve of \$4.4 million against those credits generated to date in 2006 in Income tax expense, of which \$2.1 million was recorded in the second quarter.

Table of Contents

Due to the high price of oil and the uncertainty as to whether any federal legislation will be adopted which would favorably impact the reference price of oil governing the phase out of synfuel tax credits, Vectren, along with other partners, elected to opt out of its participation in the production of synthetic fuel. This decision is in accordance with the Pace Carbon partnership agreement. While synthetic fuel production may resume at the election of the partners, it is doubtful that any such election would be made in the absence of favorable federal legislation.

As of June 30, 2006, the Company's investment in Pace Carbon totaled \$2.9 million. Consistent with the company's decision to cease its participation in synfuel production activities, the Company has recorded an impairment charge of \$2.9 million representing the entire asset carrying value of its investment in Pace Carbon. Also, the Company is obligated to fund the partnership on an installment basis for working capital and as tax credits are earned. The Company has estimated the year to date required funding obligation and other costs to be \$6.6 million and has recorded that amount and the impairment charge related to its investment, all totaling \$9.5 million, or \$5.7 million after tax, in the second quarter of 2006.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Periodically, the Company purchases shares from the open market to satisfy share requirements associated with the Company's share-based compensation plans. The following chart contains information regarding open market purchases made by the Company to satisfy share-based compensation requirements during the quarter ended June 30, 2006.

			Total Number of	Maximum Number
	Number		Shares Purchased as	of Shares That May
	of			
	Shares	Average Price	Part of Publicly	Be Purchased
				Under
Period	Purchased	Paid Per Share	Announced Plans	These Plans
April 1-30	-	-	-	-
May 1-31	38,327	\$ 26.73	-	-
June 1-30	3,662	\$ 26.65	-	-

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

Vectren's Annual Meeting of Stockholders was held on April 26, 2006. At said Annual Meeting, the stockholders voted on the following three proposals:

1. The election of four directors of the Company, each to serve for up to a three-year term or until their successors are duly qualified and elected:

D	oirector John M.	Votes For 68,052,630	Votes Against 987,034
Dunn			
	Niel C.	67,957,751	1,081,913
Ellerbro	ok		
	Anton H.	67,722,415	1,317,249
George			
	Robert L.	66,086,267	2,953,397
Koch II			

The terms of office of John D. Engelbrecht, William G. Mays, J. Timothy McGinley and Richard P. Rechter will expire in 2007. The terms of office of Michael L. Smith, R. Daniel Sadlier, Richard W. Shymanski, and Jean L. Wojtowicz will expire in 2008.

2. The reapproval of the Company's At-Risk Compensation Plan, as amended and restated:

The stockholders approved the At-Risk Compensation Plan, as amended and restated, by the following votes:

Votes For Votes Against Abstentions Broker Non-Votes 48,413,308 3,315,630 1,682,290 -

3. The ratification of the reappointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP (Deloitte) as the independent accountants for the Company and its subsidiaries for 2006:

The stockholders approved Deloitte as the independent accountants by the following votes:

Votes For Votes Against Abstentions Broker Non-Votes 68,103,184 634,085 302,395 -

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibits and Certifications

- 31.1 Certification Pursuant To Section 302 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act Of 2002- Chief Executive Officer
- 31.2 Certification Pursuant To Section 302 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act Of 2002- Chief Financial Officer
- 32 Certification Pursuant To Section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act Of 2002

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

VECTREN CORPORATION

Registrant

August 4, 2006 /s/ Jerome A. Benkert, Jr.

Jerome A. Benkert, Jr. Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

/s/ M. Susan

Hardwick

M. Susan Hardwick Vice President & Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)