MARTEN TRANSPORT LTD Form DEF 14A March 27, 2008

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant \(\)	Ý
-------------------------------	---

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant O

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)) o

Definitive Proxy Statement ý **Definitive Additional Materials** o

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Marten Transport, Ltd.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

	`					
Payment of	Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):					
ý	No fee required.					
0	Fee computed on table below per I	Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.				
	(1)	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:				
	(2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:				
	(3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):				
	(4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:				
	(5)	Total fee paid:				
0	Fee paid previously with prelimina	ary materials.				
0	Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and					

the date of its filing.

Amount Previously Paid: (1)

Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: (2)

Filing Party: (3)

Date Filed: (4)

> Persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Dear Stockholder:	
You are cordially invited to attend the 2008 Annual Meeting of 2008, at 4:00 p.m. local time, at The Plaza Hotel & Suites, 1202	Stockholders of Marten Transport, Ltd. The meeting will be held on May 6, West Clairemont Avenue, Eau Claire, Wisconsin.
We suggest you carefully read the enclosed Notice of Annual M	leeting and Proxy Statement.
We hope you will attend the Annual Meeting. Whether or not y card in the enclosed envelope in order to have your shares represent	ou attend, we urge you to complete, sign, date and return the enclosed proxy sented and voted at the Annual Meeting.
	Very truly yours,
	Randolph L. Marten Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer
March 27, 2008	

MARTEN TRANSPORT, LTD.

129 Marten Street		
Mondovi, Wisconsin 54	755	
NOTICE OF ANNUAL	MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS	
TO BE HELD MAY 6,	2008	
TO THE STOCKHOLDI	ERS OF MARTEN TRANSPORT, LTD.:	
	Stockholders of Marten Transport, Ltd. will be held on May 6, 2008 mont Avenue, Eau Claire, Wisconsin, for the following purposes:	3, at 4:00 p.m. local time, at The Plaza Hotel &
1.	To elect six directors to serve for the next year or until	their successors are elected and qualified
2. public accountants fo	To consider and vote on a proposal to confirm the selector 2008.	ction of KPMG LLP as our independent
3. thereof.	To transact other business if properly brought before the	ne Annual Meeting or any adjournment
Only stockholders of reco	ord as shown on the books of the Company at the close of business djournment thereof.	on March 11, 2008, will be entitled to vote at the

By Order of the Board of Directors

To elect six directors to serve for the next year or until their successors are elected and of

1.

Thomas A. Letscher *Secretary*

March 27, 2008

MARTEN TRANSPORT, LTD.

129 Marten Street		
Mondovi, Wisconsin 54755		
PROXY STATEMENT FOR		
ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS		
MAY 6, 2008		
INTRODUCTION		

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Marten Transport, Ltd. will be held on May 6, 2008, at 4:00 p.m. local time, at The Plaza Hotel and Suites, 1202 West Clairemont Avenue, Eau Claire, Wisconsin. See the Notice of Meeting for the purposes of the meeting.

A proxy card is enclosed for your use. You are solicited on behalf of the Board of Directors of Marten Transport, Ltd. to MARK, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE PROXY CARD IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED. Postage is not required if mailed in the United States. We will pay the cost of soliciting proxies, including preparing, assembling and mailing the proxies. We will also pay the cost of forwarding such material to the beneficial owners of our common stock, par value \$.01 per share. Our directors, officers and regular employees may, for no additional compensation, solicit proxies by telephone or personal conversation. We may reimburse brokerage firms and others for the expenses of forwarding proxy material to the beneficial owners of our common stock.

Any proxy given in accordance with this solicitation and received in time for the Annual Meeting will be voted in accordance with the instructions given in the proxy. Any stockholder giving a proxy may revoke it at any time before its use at the Annual Meeting by giving written notice of revocation to our Secretary. The revocation notice may be given before the Annual Meeting, or a stockholder may appear at the Annual Meeting and give written notice of revocation before use of the proxy.

We expect to mail this Proxy Statement, the proxy card and Notice of Meeting to stockholders on or about March 27, 2008.

The terms we, us, our, or the Company or similar terms refer to Marten Transport, Ltd.

1

VOTING OF SHARES

Only holders of common stock of record at the close of business on March 11, 2008, will be entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. On March 11, 2008, we had 21,816,719 shares of common stock outstanding. For each share of common stock that you own of record at the close of business on March 11, 2008, you are entitled to one vote on each matter voted on at the Annual Meeting. Holders of shares of common stock are not entitled to cumulative voting rights.

Presence at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of common stock on March 11, 2008 (10,908,360 shares) is required for a quorum to conduct business. In general, shares of common stock represented by a properly signed and returned proxy card will count as shares present at the Annual Meeting to determine a quorum. This is the case regardless of whether the proxy card reflects votes withheld from the election of director nominees or abstentions (or is left blank) or reflects a broker non-vote on a matter. A proxy card reflecting a broker non-vote is any that is returned by a broker on behalf of its beneficial owner customer and not voted on a particular matter, because voting instructions have not been received and the broker has no discretionary authority to vote.

Assuming a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting, any business, except for the election of directors, that may properly come before the Annual Meeting requires the approval of a majority of the shares voting in person or by proxy on that proposal. With respect to the election of directors, the six director nominees receiving the greatest number of votes cast for the election of directors will be elected as directors. You may vote for or against a proposal, or may abstain from voting on a proposal. Shares voted as abstaining on a proposal will be treated as votes against the proposal. You may vote for all nominees for director, or withhold authority to vote for all or certain nominees. Votes withheld from the election of director nominees, therefore, will be excluded entirely from the vote and will have no effect. Broker non-votes on a proposal will be treated as shares not entitled to vote on that proposal and, therefore, will not be counted as voted shares.

Shares of common stock represented by properly executed proxy cards will be voted as directed on the proxy cards. Proxies signed by stockholders but lacking any voting instructions will be voted in favor of each of the proposals. The proxies named on the proxy cards will use their judgment to vote such proxies on any other business that may properly come before the Annual Meeting.

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Proposal 1

Nomination

Our Bylaws provide that the Board shall have at least one member, or a different number of members as may be determined by the Board of Directors or the stockholders. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee has recommended to our Board of Directors that the six persons listed below be nominated for election at the Annual Meeting, and our Board has nominated the six persons listed below. If elected, the individuals will serve until the next

Annual Meeting of Stockholders or until their successors are duly elected and qualified. All of the nominees are members of the present Board of Directors, and all were elected at last year s Annual Meeting of Stockholders, except for Robert L. Demorest and G. Larry Owens, who were appointed to the Board of Directors in August 2007, with initial terms that began at the December 18, 2007 Board meeting. Mr. Demorest was introduced by Company counsel and Mr. Owens was introduced by a current director.

The Board recommends a vote **FOR** the election of each of the nominees listed below. The six nominees for election as directors at the Annual Meeting who receive the greatest number of votes cast will be elected as directors. If, before the Annual Meeting, the Board learns that any nominee will be unable to serve because of death, incapacity or other unexpected occurrence, the proxies that would have been voted for the nominee will be voted for a substitute nominee recommended by the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee and selected by the Board. The proxies may also, at the Board s discretion, be voted for the remaining nominees. The Board believes that all nominees will be able to serve at the time of the Annual Meeting. No arrangements or understandings exist between any nominee and any other person under which such nominee was selected.

Information About Nominees

The following information has been furnished by the respective nominees for director.

Name of Nominee	Age	Principal Occupation	Director Since
Randolph L. Marten	55	Our Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer	1980
Larry B. Hagness	58	President of Durand Builders Service, Inc., Durand, Wisconsin	1991
Thomas J. Winkel	65	Management Consultant	1994
Jerry M. Bauer	56	President of Bauer Built, Inc., Durand, Wisconsin	1997
Robert L. Demorest	62	President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of MOCON, Inc., Minneapolis, Minnesota	2007
G. Larry Owens	70	Retired Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer, President and Secretary of Smithway Motor Xpress Corp., Fort Dodge, Iowa	2007

Other Information About Nominees

Randolph L. Marten has been a full-time employee of ours since 1974. Mr. Marten has been a Director since October 1980, our President since June 1986, our Chairman of the Board since August 1993 and our Chief Executive Officer since January 2005. Mr. Marten also served as our Chief Operating Officer from June 1986 until August 1998 and as a Vice President from October 1980 to June 1986.

Larry B. Hagness has been a Director since July 1991. Mr. Hagness has been the President of Durand Builders Service, Inc., a retail lumber/home center outlet and general contractor, since 1978. Mr. Hagness has been an officer and owner of Main Street Graphics, a commercial printing company, since 1985, and has also served on the Board of Directors of Chippewa Valley Technical College since 2007.

Thomas J. Winkel has been a Director since April 1994. Since January 1994, Mr. Winkel has been a management and financial consultant and private investor. From 1990 to 1994, Mr. Winkel was the majority owner, Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President of Road Rescue, Inc., a manufacturer of emergency response vehicles. Mr. Winkel is a certified public accountant and, from 1977 to 1990, he was a partner in a national accounting firm. Mr. Winkel has also served on the National Board of Directors of the Alzheimer s Association since 2007 and served as a director of Featherlite, Inc., a manufacturer of specialty trailers and luxury motorcoaches from 1994 through October 2006 upon the sale of Featherlite.

Jerry M. Bauer has been a Director since January 1997. Mr. Bauer has been the President of Bauer Built, Inc. since 1976. Bauer Built is a distributor of new and retreaded tires and related products and services throughout the Midwest, and a distributor of petroleum products in west central Wisconsin. Mr. Bauer has also served on the Boards of Directors of Security Financial Bank, Durand, Wisconsin, and Mason Companies, Inc., Chippewa Falls, Wisconsin, since 1992 and 1999, respectively.

Robert L. Demorest has been a Director since December 2007. Mr. Demorest has served as the President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of MOCON, Inc., a publicly traded company that provides consulting services and designs, manufactures, markets and services measurement and analytical instruments primarily for food, beverage and pharmaceutical companies world-wide, since April 2000. Prior to that time, Mr. Demorest had been President of MOCON, Inc. for more than five years.

G. Larry Owens has been a Director since December 2007. Mr. Owens served as the Chief Executive Officer, President and Secretary of Smithway Motor Xpress Corp., a formerly publicly traded truckload carrier that provides nationwide transportation of diversified freight, concentrating primarily on the flatbed segment of the truckload market, from March 2004 to December 2007, and served as the Chairman of the Board of Smithway Motor Xpress Corp. from April 2004 to December 2007, at which time he retired. Mr. Owens had served prior to that time as the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Smithway Motor Xpress Corp. from January 1993 and Chief Administrative Officer from August 2001. Mr. Owens also served as the Chief Operating Officer of Smithway Motor Xpress Corp. from May 1998 to August 2001. Prior to joining Smithway Motor Xpress Corp., Mr. Owens spent twenty-five years in the banking industry, most recently from 1982 through 1992 as President of Boatmen s Bancshares regional banks in Spencer and Fort Dodge, Iowa.

Corporate Governance

Our Board of Directors has adopted an Audit Committee Charter, a Compensation Committee Charter, a Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee Charter, Corporate Governance Standards, Policy Regarding Related Party Transactions, a Code of Ethics for Senior

posted all	Management and a Code of Ethics/Conduct that applies to all officers, directors, employees and independent contractors. We have of these documents on our website at www.marten.com . The information contained in or connected to our website is not incorporated ce into or considered a part of this Proxy Statement.
Corporate	Governance Standards
consistent applicable	orate Governance Standards provide guidelines which govern the qualifications and conduct of our Board. Our standards are with the corporate governance requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and the corporate governance listing requirements to companies whose securities are listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market. Our Corporate Governance Standards address, the following:
•	regular meetings of our Board of Directors;
•	attendance by directors at annual meetings of stockholders;
•	conduct of Board meetings;
•	meetings of independent directors;
•	director access to executive officers and employees;
•	the composition, membership and selection of our Board of Directors;
•	the compensation and evaluation of performance of our Board of Directors and its committees;
•	the organization and basic function of Board committees;
•	the evaluation of the performance of our Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer; and

stockholder communications with directors.

Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Management

Our Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Management applies to all of our executive officers, including our Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, along with our Controller and other employees performing similar functions who have been identified by the Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer, and meets the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission. We have posted our Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Management on our website at www.marten.com. We intend to disclose any amendments to and any waivers from a provision of our Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Management on our website within five business days following the amendment or waiver.

Code of Ethics/Conduct

Our Code of Ethics/Conduct applies to all officers, directors, non-driver employees, driver employees and independent contractors of the Company. The Code is intended to promote honest and ethical conduct and to provide guidance for the appropriate handling of various business situations. The Code addresses, among other matters, legal and regulatory compliance, insider trading, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, competition and fair dealing, financial reporting and record-keeping, protection and proper use of Company assets, and the reporting of illegal or unethical behavior. Employees may anonymously report possible violations of the Code via a toll free telephone number. Waivers of the Code for officers and directors may be made only by our Board and will be promptly disclosed if and as required by law or NASDAQ listing requirements. We have posted our Code of Ethics/Conduct on our website at www.marten.com.

Board and Board Committees

We continue to monitor the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and NASDAQ to ensure that a majority of our Board remains composed of independent directors. Mr. Winkel, Mr. Hagness, Mr. Bauer, Mr. Demorest and Mr. Owens are all independent directors, as defined by current NASDAQ listing standards. Our independent directors hold meetings, referred to as executive sessions, on a periodic basis and at least two times each year, at which only the independent directors are present. We have appointed Mr. Winkel as our lead independent director to preside at executive sessions of our independent directors, as well as perform other duties applicable to that position including, among other things, providing guidance to the Chair regarding agendas for Board and committee meetings, advising the Chair as to the information to be provided the Board for its meetings, and acting as principal liaison between the independent directors and the Chair.

Our Board of Directors held five meetings during 2007, and each then-current director attended all Board meetings, as well as all meetings of committees of the Board on which they served, except Mr. Hagness was not in attendance at one Board meeting and Mr. Bauer was not in attendance at one Audit Committee meeting. The Board of Directors has established an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee and a Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee. Our Board of Directors adopted a policy that all directors are expected to attend our annual meeting of stockholders, and we generally schedule a meeting of the Board on the same day as our annual meeting of stockholders in order to facilitate attendance of all directors at the annual meeting. All directors attended last year s annual meeting of stockholders, except for Mr. Demorest and Mr. Owens who were not in office at that time.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee provides assistance to the Board in satisfying its fiduciary responsibilities for our accounting, auditing, operating and reporting practices. The committee oversees the financial reporting process, has the sole authority to appoint, compensate, retain and oversee the work of our independent registered public accounting firm, reviews and pre-approves

6

all audit services and permissible non-audit services performed by our independent registered public accounting firm, establishes procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters and for the confidential, anonymous submission by our employees of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters, oversees the establishment and administration of a written code of ethics for our senior financial management, reviews and either approves or disapproves of all related party transactions and performs other related duties delegated to it by the Board. The responsibilities and functions of the Audit Committee are further described in the Audit Committee Report beginning on page 8 of the Proxy Statement. From January 2007 to December 2007, the Audit Committee consisted of Mr. Winkel (Chair), Mr. Hagness and Mr. Bauer. In December 2007, Mr. Demorest and Mr. Owens replaced Mr. Bauer and Mr. Hagness as members of the Audit Committee, with Mr. Winkel remaining on the Committee as Chairman. All of the members of the Audit Committee are independent as defined by current NASDAQ listing standards and the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. In addition, our Board has determined that Mr. Winkel is an audit committee financial expert as defined by the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. During 2007, the Audit Committee met eight times.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee establishes the compensation philosophy and policy for our executive officers and other key employees, which includes reviewing and approving corporate goals and objectives relevant to their compensation, reviewing and evaluating their performance, monitoring the effectiveness of our benefit plans and, where appropriate, approving changes, reviewing and approving, or recommending to the full Board of Directors, executive incentive compensation plans and stock based plans, supervising and overseeing the administration of our incentive compensation and stock based programs and reviewing the compensation levels of independent directors from time to time. The committee also serves as the disinterested administrator of our 2005 Stock Incentive Plan. The responsibilities and functions of the Compensation Committee, including the use of compensation consultants and the involvement of management in compensation decisions, are further described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 13 of the Proxy Statement. From January 2007 to December 2007, the Compensation Committee consisted of Mr. Winkel (Chair), Mr. Hagness and Mr. Bauer. In December 2007, Mr. Owens joined the Compensation Committee. All of the members of the Compensation Committee are independent directors, as defined by current NASDAQ listing standards. During 2007, the Compensation Committee met four times.

Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee reviews and makes recommendations to the Board regarding the size and composition of the Board, considers and recruits candidates for director nominees based upon recommendations from current outside directors, members of management, outside consultants or search firms and stockholders, recommends on an annual basis a slate of director nominees for approval by the Board and the stockholders, reviews our committee structure and membership, reviews and advises the Board regarding our corporate governance standards, advises the Board on emerging corporate governance matters, develops

7

and recommends to the Board for its approval an annual self-evaluation process of the Board and its committees and provides suitable programs for the orientation and continuing education of directors. From January 2007 to December 2007, the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee consisted of Mr. Hagness (Chair), Mr. Winkel and Mr. Bauer. In December 2007, Mr. Demorest joined the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee. All of the members of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee are independent directors, as defined by current NASDAQ listing standards. During 2007, the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee met six times.

In evaluating and determining whether to recommend a person as a candidate for election as a director, the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee s criteria reflects the requirements of the NASDAQ definitions with respect to independence and financial literacy and the following factors: the needs of the Company with respect to the particular talents and experience of its directors; personal and professional integrity of the candidate; level of education and/or business experience; broad-based business acumen; the level of understanding of the Company s business and the transportation industry; strategic thinking and a willingness to share ideas; and diversity of experiences, expertise and background. The Committee will use these criteria to evaluate potential nominees and will not evaluate proposed nominees differently depending upon who has made the recommendation.

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee will consider proposed nominees whose names are submitted to it by stockholders; however, it does not have a formal process for that consideration. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee has not adopted a formal process because it believes that its informal consideration process has been adequate because historically stockholders have not proposed any nominees. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee intends to review periodically whether a more formal policy should be adopted.

Any stockholder who desires to recommend a nominee for director must submit a letter, addressed to the Chairman of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, Marten Transport, Ltd., 129 Marten Street, Mondovi, Wisconsin 54755, and which is clearly identified as a Director Nominee Recommendation. All recommendation letters must identify the author as a stockholder and provide a brief summary of the candidate s qualifications, as well as contact information for both the candidate and the stockholder. Stockholders who wish to make a recommendation for a nominee to be elected at our 2009 Annual Meeting must submit their recommendation by November 27, 2008 to assure time for meaningful consideration and evaluation of the nominees by the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee.

Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors is composed of three directors and acts under a written charter adopted and approved by the Board of Directors. A copy of the Audit Committee Charter, which was amended on February 26, 2008, is included as Appendix A to this Proxy Statement as well as being posted on our website at *www.marten.com*. All members of the Audit Committee meet the SEC and the NASDAQ definitions of independence and financial literacy for audit committee members. The Audit Committee will periodically review the Audit Committee Charter in light of new developments and may make additional recommendations to

the Board of Directors for further revision of the Audit Committee Charter to reflect evolving best practices and changes in applicable laws and regulations.

Management is primarily responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of our Company s consolidated financial statements, accounting and financial reporting processes, and internal controls and procedures designed to assure compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. KPMG LLP, our Company s independent registered public accounting firm, is responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company s annual consolidated financial statements and of the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), and to issue their reports thereon. KPMG also reviews our Company s interim consolidated financial statements in accordance with Statement on Auditing Standards No. 100 (Interim Financial Information). The Audit Committee s responsibility is to monitor and oversee these processes on behalf of the Board of Directors.

In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed with management and KPMG the audited consolidated financial statements, including Management s Discussion and Analysis, included in our Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K and the results of KPMG s review of our Company s interim consolidated financial statements. These reviews included a discussion of:

- critical accounting policies of our Company;
- the reasonableness of significant financial reporting judgments made in connection with our consolidated financial statements, including the quality (and not just the acceptability) of our Company s accounting principles;
- the clarity and completeness of financial disclosures;
- the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting, including management s and KPMG s reports thereon, the basis for the conclusions expressed in those reports and changes made to the Company s internal control over financial reporting during 2007;
- matters noted by KPMG during its audit of the Company s consolidated financial statements and other material written communications between management and KPMG; and
- the potential effects of regulatory and accounting initiatives on our Company s consolidated financial statements.

In connection with its audit of our Company s annual consolidated financial statements, the Audit Committee also discussed with KPMG other matters required to be discussed with the auditors under Statement on Auditing Standards No. 114 (*The Auditor s Communication with those Charged with Governance*) and, with and without management present, reviewed and discussed the results of KPMG s audit of our Company s annual consolidated financial statements.

The Audit Committee also received from KPMG the written disclosures required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1 (*Independence Discussions with Audit Committees*) and discussed with KPMG its independence from management and Marten Transport, including a consideration of the compatibility of non-audit services with its independence.

Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

THOMAS J. WINKEL (CHAIR) ROBERT L. DEMOREST G. LARRY OWENS

Director Compensation

We do not pay fees to directors who are our full-time employees, nor do we reimburse them for out-of-pocket expenses of attending Board or committee meetings. In 2007, we paid non-employee directors a fee of \$1,000 for each Board meeting attended, \$500 for each committee meeting attended, and reimbursed them for out-of-pocket expenses of attending meetings. In 2007, in addition to per-meeting fees and expense reimbursements, we paid each non-employee director an annual retainer of \$20,000. We also paid the Chair of our Audit Committee, who is also our lead independent director, an additional annual retainer of \$20,000, the Chair of our Compensation Committee an additional annual retainer of \$7,500, and the Chair of our Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee an additional annual retainer of \$2,500. No other director received any cash compensation for services as a director in 2007.

On March 1, 2006, our Compensation Committee approved a non-employee director option program similar to the program that was suspended in 2004. Under the new program, each non-employee director will receive an automatic grant of an option to purchase 2,500 shares of common stock annually upon re-election to the Board by the stockholders. These options will be issued at a per share exercise price equal to the fair market value of one share of common stock on the grant date, vest immediately, and expire ten years from the grant date.

The following table provides summary information concerning the compensation of each individual who served as a director of our Company during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, other than Randolph L. Marten, our Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer, whose compensation is set forth below under the heading Compensation and Other Benefits.

	Fees Earned or		
Name	Paid in Cash	Option Awards (6)(7)	Total
Thomas J. Winkel	\$ 62,500(1) \$	\$ 20,925	\$ 83,425
Larry B. Hagness	36,500(2)	20,925	57,425
Jerry M. Bauer	34,500(3)	20,925	55,425
Christine K. Marten	26,000(4)	20,925	46,925
Robert L. Demorest	4,253(5)		4,253
G. Larry Owens	4,253(5)		4,253

⁽¹⁾ Fees paid consists of \$20,000 as an annual retainer, \$20,000 for services as the lead director and Audit Committee Chair, \$7,500 for services as the Compensation Committee Chair and \$15,000 for attending 24 Board and committee meetings.

- (2) Fees paid consists of \$20,000 as an annual retainer, \$2,500 for services as the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee Chair and \$14,000 for attending 23 Board and committee meetings.
- (3) Fees paid consists of \$20,000 as an annual retainer and \$14,500 for attending 23 Board and committee meetings.
- (4) Fees paid consists of \$20,000 as an annual retainer and \$6,000 for attending six Board meetings.
- (5) Fees paid consists of \$753 as a retainer from December 18, 2007 through December 31, 2007, and \$3,500 for attending two Board and three committee meetings.
- (6) This column reflects the compensation cost for the year ended December 31, 2007 of options granted to Mr. Winkel, Mr. Hagness, Mr. Bauer and Ms. Marten, calculated in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R, Share-Based Payment, and using a Black-Scholes valuation model. See note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of the assumptions made by the Company in determining the grant date fair value and compensation costs of our equity awards. The dollar amount for each of the four directors reflects the compensation cost of an option award of 2,500 shares granted on May 1, 2007 at a Black-Scholes fair value of \$8.37 per share of common stock.
- As of December 31, 2007, each director had the following number of options outstanding: Mr. Winkel 64,285; Mr. Hagness 80,942; Mr. Bauer 17,657; Ms. Marten 118,910; Mr. Demorest zero; Mr. Owens zero. All of these option shares were issued under non-statutory stock option agreements and were fully-vested as of December 31, 2007.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN

BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table gives information on the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of February 29, 2008, unless otherwise indicated. The information is given by (a) each stockholder who we know to beneficially own more than 5% of our outstanding common stock, (b) each director, (c) each named executive officer and (d) all of our directors and executive officers as a group.

		Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned (1) Percentage	
Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount	of Class	
Randolph L. Marten			
129 Marten Street			
Mondovi, WI 54755	5,126,257(2)	23.6%	
FMR Corp.			
82 Devonshire Street			
Boston, MA 02109	3,061,046(3)	14.0%	
NWQ Investment Management Company, LLC			
2049 Century Park East, 16th Floor			
Los Angeles, CA 90067	2,774,491(4)	12.7%	
Dimensional Fund Advisors LP			
1299 Ocean Avenue			
Santa Monica, CA 90401	1,688,863(5)	7.7%	
Christine K. Marten			
831 Jefferson Street			
Mondovi, WI 54755	1,487,160(2)	6.8%	
Larry B. Hagness	94,517(2)	*	
Thomas J. Winkel	63,158(2)	*	
James J. Hinnendael	24,800(6)	*	
Jerry M. Bauer	17,657(6)	*	
Robert G. Smith	9,638(6)	*	
Timothy P. Nash	7,000(6)	*	
John H. Turner	1,000(6)	*	
Robert L. Demorest		*	
G. Larry Owens		*	
Donald J. Hinson (7)		*	
All Directors and Executive Officers			
as a Group (11 persons)	6,831,187(8)	30.9%	

^{*} Less than 1% of the outstanding shares

Unless otherwise noted, the stockholders have sole voting and investment power for the shares shown. Shares not outstanding, but considered beneficially owned because of the right of a person or member of a group to purchase them within 60 days, are treated as outstanding only when calculating the amount and percent owned by such person or group.

Includes shares that the stockholder may acquire under outstanding options: for Mr. Marten, 9,600 shares; for Ms. Marten, 118,910 shares; for Mr. Hagness, 80,717 shares; and for Mr. Winkel, 59,628 shares.
On January 10, 2008, FMR LLC reported in a Schedule 13G/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission that as of December 31, 2007, Fidelity Management & Research Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of FMR LLC, beneficially owned 3,061,046 shares as a result of acting as investment advisor to various investment companies.
On February 14, 2008, NWQ Investment Management Company, LLC reported in a Schedule 13G/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission that as of December 31, 2007, it is an investment advisor that has the sole power to vote or direct the vote of 2,332,266 shares, has the sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition of 2,774,491 shares and that all such shares are beneficially owned by clients of the advisor.
On February 6, 2008, Dimensional Fund Advisors LP reported in a Schedule 13G/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission that as of December 31, 2007, Dimensional Fund Advisors LP furnishes investment advice to four investment companies and serves as investment manager to certain other commingled group trusts and separate accounts, and as such, Dimensional Fund Advisors LP possesses investment and/or voting power over the Company's securities that are owned by such investment companies, trusts and separate accounts. According to the Schedule 13G/A, the investment companies, trusts and separate accounts beneficially own all such shares of the Company's stock and Dimensional Fund Advisors LP expressly disclaimed any beneficial ownership of such securities.
(6) Consists entirely of shares that such person may acquire under outstanding options.
On December 11, 2007, the Company terminated the employment of Mr. Hinson.
(8) Includes a total of 328,950 shares that directors and executive officers may acquire under outstanding options.
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Overview

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis describes the material elements of the compensation awarded to, earned by and paid to our Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer and our other executive officers included in the Summary Compensation Table on page 27 below. These individuals are referred to in this Proxy Statement as our named executive officers. The discussion below focuses on the information contained in the tables and related footnotes and narrative primarily for 2007 under the heading Compensation and Other Benefits below, but also describes actions taken during 2005 and 2006 to the extent it enhances the understanding of our executive compensation disclosure for 2007.

Compensation Objectives and Philosophy
Our executive compensation program is designed to:
• attract, motivate, retain and reward executive officers and other key employees who are likely to contribute to our long-term success;
• provide a team approach where executive officers and key employees with differing functional responsibilities work together to achieve overall strategic objectives;
• create a performance-based environment with variable compensation based upon achieving annual and long-term business results;
• focus management on maximizing stockholder value through stock-based compensation aligned to stockholder returns;
• provide compensation opportunities depending upon our performance relative to our competitors and changes in our performance over time; and
• ensure that our compensation program is competitive in the industry.
Our executive compensation program and decisions of the Compensation Committee are based on the following philosophy and principles:
• As a performance driven company, we favor having a significant component of variable compensation tied to attainment of Company objectives over solely fixed compensation.

• In order to foster cooperation and communication among our executives and among their respective teams, the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors places primary emphasis on Company performance (rather than

individual performance) as measured against goals approved by the Compensation Committee.

- We differentiate individual compensation among our executives based on scope and nature of responsibility, education and experience, job performance and potential.
- We seek to align the interests of our executives with the interests of our stockholders through the use of long-term, equity-based incentive compensation, primarily in the form of stock options.
- We use discretionary bonuses to reward individual performance.
- We seek to reward achievement of aggressive performance objectives that are aligned with the interests of our stockholders. Our incentive compensation programs are designed to provide increased earnings potential for our executives as aggressive performance targets are met or surpassed.

14

Setting Executive Compensation

In determining the amount of compensation to pay our named executive officers, the Compensation Committee considers factors, such as: the executive s position within the Company and the level of responsibility, skills and experiences required by the executive s position; the executive s experience and qualifications; our ability to replace such individual and the overall competitive environment for executive talent; the attainment of or failure to attain Company objectives and the difficulty in achieving desired Company objectives; individual performance of the executive as measured by the impact of such performance on the attainment of Company objectives; current and historical compensation levels; the executive s length of service to our Company; the Compensation Committee s view of internal equity and consistency; and other considerations it deems relevant. In analyzing some of these factors, the Compensation Committee from time to time reviews competitive compensation data gathered in comparative surveys and collected by independent consultants, as well as publicly available comparable public company information.

In November 2005, we hired a compensation consulting firm to conduct a competitive assessment of the base salaries, short-term and long-term incentives, benefits and perquisites paid to our executive officers and to review the option holdings of our executive officers in comparison to similar executives of other companies in our industry sector. The Compensation Committee uses this information to assist it in determining the amount of base salary, annual incentive compensation, total compensation and the form and amount of long-term equity-based incentive compensation to pay our named executive officers. Although the Compensation Committee did not retain a compensation consulting firm in 2006 or 2007, it believes the information from the compensation consulting firm s November 2005 analysis not only confirmed the Company s approach to structuring its compensation programs, but is still valuable in assisting in the determination of compensation on an ongoing basis. The Compensation Committee intends to periodically use compensation consulting firms to evaluate the overall effectiveness and competitiveness of its executive compensation program.

Our executive compensation program as a whole and each individual element of the program is designed to be competitive in order to attract, motivate and retain executives necessary to the achievement of our Company objectives. We generally target total compensation and each element of total compensation within a reasonable range of our competitive market. In November 2005, we worked with a compensation consulting firm to define our industry sector as a peer group of 10 other then-publicly held long-haul truckload carriers that have between approximately \$250 million and approximately \$3 billion in revenue. We also chose these companies for inclusion in our peer group based on other business characteristics similar to ours and because we believe we compete with these or similar companies for executive talent. The companies in our peer group consist of the following:

• Celadon Group, Inc.	
• Covenant Transport, Inc.	
• Frozen Food Express Industries, Inc.	
• Heartland Express, Inc.	
Knight Transportation, Inc.	
• P.A.M. Transportation Services, Inc.	
Swift Transportation Company, Inc.	
• Transport Corporation of America, Inc.	
• USA Truck, Inc.	
• Werner Enterprises, Inc.	
While the Compensation Committee recognizes that benchmarking may not always be appropriate as a stand-alone tool for setting compendue to the aspects of our business and objectives that may be unique to our Company, the Compensation Committee, nonetheless, believes gathering this information is an important part of its compensation-related decision-making process.	
Executive Compensation Components	
The principal elements of our executive compensation program include:	
• base salary compensation;	
• annual incentive compensation;	
• stock-based compensation; and	
 executive benefits and perquisites. 	

In addition, our executive compensation program also includes certain change in control arrangements.

Except as described below, the Compensation Committee has not adopted any formal or informal policies or guidelines for allocating compensation between long-term and currently paid-out compensation, between cash and non-cash compensation, or among different forms of non-cash compensation. However, the Compensation Committee s philosophy is to make a significant percentage of an executive s compensation performance-based, and therefore at risk, as the executive s position and responsibility increases given the influence more senior-level executives generally have on Company performance. It is also the Compensation Committee s view to keep cash compensation at a competitive level while providing the opportunity to be well rewarded through long-term equity-based incentive compensation, in the form of stock options, if the Company s stock price performs well over time. Thus, individuals with greater roles and responsibilities associated with achieving our Company s objectives should bear a greater proportion of the risk that those goals are not achieved and should receive a greater proportion of the rewards if objectives are met or surpassed.

Base Salary Compensation

We provide a base salary for our named executive officers, which, unlike some of the other elements of our executive compensation program, is not subject to Company performance risk. We recognize the need for most executives to receive at least a portion of their total compensation in the form of a guaranteed base salary that is paid in cash regularly throughout the year to support a reasonable standard of living.

We initially fix base salaries for our executives at a level we believe enables us to hire and retain them in a competitive environment and to reward satisfactory individual performance and a satisfactory level of contribution to our overall business objectives. We also take into account the base compensation paid by companies in our peer group.

The Compensation Committee reviews base salaries for our named executive officers each year beginning in April and generally approves any increases at its May meeting held in conjunction with our Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Any increases in base salaries are typically effective as of April 1 of that year. This decision is made in May because the Compensation Committee takes the results of the first quarter of each fiscal year into consideration when deciding whether to increase base salaries.

In determining the amount of base salaries for our named executive officers, the Compensation Committee strives to target base salaries within the range of salaries for executives in similar positions and with similar responsibilities at companies in our peer group. The Compensation Committee s determinations regarding the base salaries of our named executive officers are also based on a number of other factors, including: the executive s position within the Company and the level of responsibility, the skills and experience required by the executive s position; the executive s experience and qualifications; our ability to replace such individual and the overall competitive environment for executive talent; the executive s current base salary; the executive s length of service to our Company, the executive s past performance and the impact of such performance on the attainment of Company objectives; competitive compensation data; the Compensation Committee s view of internal equity and consistency; and other considerations it deems relevant. Following these factors, we typically increase base salaries for executive officers modestly from year to year consistent with our general philosophy of favoring variable, performance-based compensation.

Annualized salary rates for 2006, 2007 and 2008 for the named executive officers are as follows:

	2006(1)	2007(2)	2008(3)
Randolph L. Marten	\$ 429,231	\$ 465,577	\$ 475,000
Robert G. Smith	216,326	234,722	239,500
Timothy P. Nash	216,326	234,722	239,500
James J. Hinnendael	173,981	185,231	189,000
John H. Turner		170,962	175,000
Donald J. Hinson	170,764	186,698	

- On May 4, 2006, the Compensation Committee approved the following increases to base salary, retroactive to April 1, 2006: Mr. Marten from \$400,000 to \$440,000; Mr. Smith from \$201,594 to \$221,753; Mr. Nash from \$201,594 to \$221,753; and Mr. Hinson from \$159,135 to \$175,049. Mr. Hinnendael became our Chief Financial Officer on January 1, 2006 at a base salary of \$175,000.
- On May 1, 2007, the Compensation Committee approved the following increases to base salary, retroactive to April 1, 2007: Mr. Marten from \$440,000 to \$475,000; Mr. Smith from \$221,753 to \$239,500; Mr. Nash from \$221,753 to \$239,500; Mr. Hinnendael from \$175,000 to \$189,000; and Mr. Hinson from \$175,049 to \$189,000. Mr. Turner was hired on January 1, 2007 with a salary of \$175,000.
- The Compensation Committee reviews base salaries for our named executive officers each year beginning in April and generally approves any increases at its May meeting held in conjunction with our Annual Meeting of Stockholders. On December 11, 2007, the Company terminated the employment of Mr. Hinson.

For 2007, base salaries accounted for approximately 84% of total compensation for our Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer and 73% on average for the other named executive officers. We typically increase base salaries for executive officers modestly from year to year consistent with our general philosophy of favoring variable, performance-based compensation. The Compensation Committee approved a 10% increase for our named executive officers, except for Mr. Hinnendael, effective as of April 1, 2006. Mr. Hinnendael did not receive an increase due to the recent establishment of his base salary. The Compensation Committee approved an 8% increase for our named executive officers effective as of April 1, 2007, at which time Mr. Turner was not an executive officer. These increases were based on the Compensation Committee a determination to maintain the Company s competitive total compensation position in the marketplace.

Annual Incentive Compensation

Prior to 2006, the Compensation Committee adopted incentive compensation plans on an annual basis providing for bonuses in varying percentages of annual base compensation to all non-driver employees, including our executive officers, in connection with exceeding targeted earnings per share performance metrics. Our 2005 incentive compensation program for executive officers provided for bonuses of up to 50% of annual base salary (target bonus) if we exceeded targeted earnings per share, or EPS. If our performance was no more than 1% above our targeted EPS, each officer would receive a bonus of 5% of annual base salary and if our performance was 110% or more of targeted EPS, each officer would receive their target bonus of 50% of annual base salary. Bonuses were prorated for performance falling between these achievement percentages. While we missed our targeted EPS in 2005 of \$1.24 per diluted share, the Compensation Committee approved bonuses to certain executive officers equal to 50% of their target bonus under the 2005 Incentive Compensation Plan in recognition of our significant improvement in EPS from 2004 to 2005. Accordingly, certain executive officers were paid bonuses equal to 25% of their 2005 base salaries. In addition, each executive officer had the opportunity to earn an additional discretionary bonus of up to 10% of annual base salary; however, no discretionary bonuses were awarded in 2005.

In 2006, the Compensation Committee adopted our Executive Officer Performance Incentive Bonus Plan and Performance Incentive Bonus Plan to provide more continuity in our compensation structure and performance metrics. Pursuant to an ongoing program, the Board has authorized Mr. Marten, our Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer, to award a discretionary bonus of up to 10% of each executive officer s base salary, except with respect to his own position. Each of the incentive bonus plans and the discretionary bonus program were also in effect in 2007.

Executive Officer Performance Incentive Bonus Plan.

Under the terms of our Executive Officer Performance Incentive Bonus Plan, our named executive officers are eligible to earn annual cash incentive payments based on our annual financial performance. This plan is designed to provide a direct financial incentive to our named executive officers for the achievement of specific performance goals of our Company.

The Executive Officer Performance Incentive Bonus Plan provides for cash awards equal to the percentage increase in the award year s net income over the prior year s net income multiplied by the executive officer s base salary, provided the award year s net income after the amount of such cash award must be at least 110% of the prior year s net income and the award year s return on equity after the amount of such bonus is at least equal to the return on equity set forth in the operating budget approved by the Board for the award year. Participants are determined annually by the Compensation Committee from among Marten s eligible executive officers. In 2006 and 2007, all of our named executive officers participated in the plan, except for Mr. Turner in 2006. Under the terms of the plan, there was no cash award for 2006 or 2007 since our 2006 and 2007 net income decreased by 2.2% and 39.0%, respectively, from the prior year s net income. In February 2007, the Board amended the Executive Officer Performance Incentive Bonus Plan. The amendment changed the return on equity threshold for the award year from at least 15% to at least equal to the return on equity set forth in the operating budget approved by the Board for the award year.

Whether we will achieve the Company performance measures set forth above cannot be predicted with any certainty. However, our intention is to set goals that require superior performance to achieve but are, nevertheless, achievable. The Executive Officer Performance Incentive Bonus Plan was adopted in 2006, so we do not have any other historical experience regarding the likelihood of achieving the goals. However, if the plan was in effect for fiscal year 2005, our executive officers would not have been eligible for a cash award, since the Company met the net income threshold, but failed to meet the return on equity threshold.

Performance Incentive Bonus Plan.

Under the terms of our Performance Incentive Bonus Plan, our named executive officers, as well as other non-driver employees of our Company, are eligible to earn annual cash incentive payments based upon our annual financial performance. This plan is designed to provide a direct financial incentive to our executive officers and other non-driver employees for the achievement of specific performance goals of our Company.

The Performance Incentive Bonus Plan provides for cash awards equal to 50% of an executive officer s salary (target bonus) multiplied by an award percentage ranging from 5% to 150% based upon the percentage that a net income performance objective is achieved. Award percentages range from a percentage of 5%, for achieving 96% of the net income performance objective, to 150%, for achieving 120% or more of the net income performance objective. No cash awards will be earned unless our net income for an award year is at least 96% of the established objective. Participants are determined annually by the Compensation Committee from among our eligible employees. In 2006 and 2007, all of our named executive officers participated in the plan.

Whether we will achieve the Company performance measures set forth above cannot be predicted with any certainty. However, as with all of our incentive plans, our intention is to set goals that require superior performance to achieve but are, nevertheless, achievable. Under the terms of the plan, there was no cash award in 2006 or 2007 because our net income in each of the two years was below our targeted net income in 2006 of \$32.5 million and in 2007 of \$30.3 million. The Performance Incentive Bonus Plan was formally adopted in 2006, however, we previously approved awards on similar terms on an annual basis in prior years. For fiscal year 2005, under a similar plan, our executive officers failed to achieve the net income performance objective.

Discretionary Bonus Program.

Pursuant to an ongoing program, the Board has authorized Mr. Marten, our Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer, to award a discretionary bonus of up to 10% of each executive officer s base salary, except with respect to his own position. No discretionary bonuses were awarded in 2005, 2006 or 2007.

Stock-based Compensation

The third component of our executive compensation program consists of stock-based compensation. We award stock options to align the interests of our executive officers and key personnel with our stockholders and to increase our long-term value. Through deferred vesting, this component of our compensation program creates an incentive for individuals to remain with us. We generally grant an option to purchase shares of common stock to our director-level employees and our executive officers upon their promotion to such positions. In addition, from time to time we grant additional options to purchase shares of common stock to our executive officers and key personnel based primarily upon the individual s actual and/or potential contribution and our financial performance. To date, all stock options have been granted at fair market value. Generally, these options vest over a period of several years.

In 2005, our Board of Directors and stockholders approved the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, pursuant to which our named executive officers (as well as other employees) are eligible to receive equity compensation awards, including stock options and restricted stock awards, stock appreciation rights, performance unit awards and stock bonuses. For more information concerning the terms of this plan, we refer you to Compensation and Other Benefits Grants of Plan-based Awards. Currently, we provide named executive officers (and many of our other key employees) with stock options, which are either service-based or performance-based.

A stock option becomes valuable only if our common stock price increases above the option exercise price and the holder of the option remains employed during the period required for the option to vest. This provides an incentive for an option holder to remain employed by us. In addition, stock options link a portion of an employee s compensation to stockholders interests by providing an incentive to achieve corporate goals and increase the market price of our stock.

We did not grant any stock options to executive officers in 2005. In January 2006, the Compensation Committee recommended, and our Board of Directors approved, the granting of a non-statutory stock option under our 2005 Stock Incentive Plan to our Chief Financial Officer to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock in connection with his appointment to that position. In March 2006, based upon certain recommendations of our compensation consultant, the Compensation Committee granted the Company s five executive officers non-statutory stock options under our 2005 Stock Incentive Plan to purchase a total of 156,000 shares of our common stock. One half of the option shares become exercisable in equal installments of one-fifth on each of the first five anniversaries of the grant date, while the other half of the shares become exercisable upon achievement of certain performance criteria from 2006 through 2010. The amount of shares underlying each grant was tied to the level of each executive officer s base compensation.

In January 2007, the Compensation Committee recommended, and our Board of Directors approved, the granting of a non-statutory stock option under our 2005 Stock Incentive Plan to Mr. Turner to purchase 5,000 shares of our common stock in connection with his hiring as our Vice President of Sales. In August 2007, the Compensation Committee granted Mr. Turner options under our 2005 Stock Incentive Plan to purchase a total of 24,000 shares of our common stock. One half of the option shares become exercisable in equal installments of one-fifth on each of the first five anniversaries of the grant date, while the other half of the shares become exercisable upon achievement of certain performance criteria from 2007 through 2010, which we disclose in footnote 3 to the Grants of Plan-based Awards table below.

Each performance-based option granted in 2006 and 2007 has a term of ten years, and will become exercisable, on a cumulative basis, upon our achievement of certain targeted operating ratios for any full fiscal year beginning with 2006, or 2007, and ending with 2010. In February 2007, our Board of Directors approved an amendment to the performance based stock options that were originally granted in March 2006, which changed the operating ratio thresholds for vesting. The operating ratio will be the percentage that our operating expenses, prior to the effect of performance-based stock option compensation expense and any other expense determined by the Compensation Committee, bears to our operating revenue set forth in our audited financial statements for that particular fiscal year. The actual operating ratio achieved as

compared to the targeted operating ratio will be determined for each fiscal year on the date our Form 10-K is filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The exercise prices of the stock options granted to our named executive officers during 2007 are shown in the Grants of Plan-based Awards Table on page 30. Additional information on these grants, including the number of shares subject to each grant, also is shown in the Grants of Plan-based Awards Table. For additional information concerning the terms of outstanding options, we refer you to Compensation and Other Benefits Grants of Plan-based Awards.

The long-term incentive compensation information for our named executive officers during 2006 and 2007 is included in the Summary Compensation Table on page 27. Additional information on long-term incentive awards is shown in the Grants of Plan-based Awards Table on page 30 and the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-end Table on page 33.

Executive Benefits and Perquisites; Other Compensation Arrangements

It is generally our policy not to extend significant perquisites to our executives that are not available to our employees generally. The only significant perquisites that we provide to our named executive officers are the personal use of Company aircraft by our executive officers and the use of a Company car by our Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer. Also, beginning in 2000, we reimburse executive officers for their current accumulated vacation pay upon appointment as an executive officer.

On May 3, 2005, the Compensation Committee approved a general policy regarding use of our corporate aircraft by our executive officers. If any executive officer uses our corporate aircraft for combined business/personal use under applicable IRS regulations, we will include in the executive officer s taxable income the sum of the value of such personal use in accordance with IRS regulations and the related income taxes on such value, which are paid by us. The personal use of our corporate aircraft is generally limited to spouses or guests accompanying executive officers on business trips.

Our executives receive benefits, which are also received by our other employees, including 401(k) matching contributions, and health, dental and life insurance benefits. We do not provide pension arrangements or post-retirement health coverage for our executives or employees. We also do not provide any nonqualified defined contribution or other deferred compensation plans.

All of our employees, including our executive officers, are employed at will and do not have employment agreements. We have, however, entered into written change in control agreements with each of our executive officers, which provide for certain cash and other benefits upon the termination of the executive officer s employment with us under certain circumstances, as described below.

Change in Control and Post-termination Severance Arrangements

Our 2005 Stock Incentive Plan provides that in the event a change in control occurs, then, if approved by the Committee in its sole discretion either at the time of the grant of the incentive award or at any time after such grant, all options and stock appreciation rights will become

immediately exercisable in full and will remain exercisable for the remainder of their terms; all outstanding restricted stock awards will become immediately fully vested and non-forfeitable; and all outstanding performance unit awards and stock bonuses will vest or continue to vest in accordance with their agreement. Using discretionary authority under the plan, our Compensation Committee approved Non-Statutory Stock Option Agreements for both service-based and performance-based option awards which provide that such options become immediately exercisable in full in the event of a change in control with respect to options that have been outstanding for at least six months. In addition, the Committee in its sole discretion may determine that some or all participants holding outstanding options will receive cash in an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of such shares immediately prior to the effective date of such change in control over the exercise price per share of the options (or, in the event that there is no excess, that such options will be terminated), and that some or all participants holding performance unit awards will receive, with respect to some or all of the shares subject to the performance unit awards, cash in an amount equal to the fair market value of such shares immediately prior to the effective date of such change in control.

On March 29, 2006, the Compensation Committee approved the execution of Change in Control Severance Agreements with each then-current named executive officer pursuant to which we agreed to provide certain benefits to these executives if they are terminated in connection with a change in control. These Change in Control Severance Agreements were effective upon execution and continue until the termination of the executive s employment or until we terminate the agreement upon fifteen months prior written notice. On August 13, 2007, the Company s Board of Directors approved Amended and Restated Change in Control Severance Agreements for Mr. Marten, Mr. Smith, Mr. Nash, Mr. Hinnendael and Mr. Hinson. The Amended and Restated Change in Control Severance Agreements made changes to the Change in Control Severance Agreements previously entered into between the Company and these officers, in order to comply with final regulations published by the Internal Revenue Service under an exception to Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and there were no changes to the economic terms of the Change in Control Severance Agreements. The Board of Directors also approved on the same date a Change in Control Severance Agreement with Mr. Turner, a newly appointed executive officer.

Under these agreements, these executive officers are entitled to certain benefits if they are terminated either within 24 months of the effective date of a change in control or before the effective date of the change in control if the termination was either a condition to the change in control or was at the request or insistence of a person related to the change in control. These executives will not be considered terminated for purposes of these agreements if they die or are terminated for cause. They will, however, be considered terminated if they voluntarily leave our employ for good reason.

Upon a termination in connection with a change in control, these executives will be entitled to receive a lump sum cash payment of 100% of their base salary (except for Mr. Marten, who will receive 200% of his base salary), plus one times the executive s highest bonus in the preceding three calendar years (except for Mr. Marten, who will receive two times such amount). In addition, these executives will receive welfare benefits for a period of twelve months (except for Mr. Marten, who will receive such benefits for twenty-four months). These arrangements, including the quantification of the payment and benefits provided under these

arrangements, are described in more detail elsewhere in this Proxy Statement under the heading Compensation and Other Benefits Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control.

In order for our named executive officers to receive any other payments or benefits as a result of a change in control of our Company, there must be a termination event, such as a termination of the executive s employment by our successor without cause or a termination of the executive s employment by the executive for good reason. The termination of the executive s employment by the executive without good reason will not give rise to additional payments or benefits either in a change in control situation or otherwise. Thus, these additional payments and benefits will not just be triggered by a change in control, but will also require a termination event described above, and thus are known as double trigger change in control arrangements.

We believe that the change in control protections provided in the agreements described above are relevant and an important part of our executive compensation program. We believe that these arrangements mitigate some of the risk that exists for executives working in a smaller company, where there is a meaningful likelihood that the company may be acquired. These arrangements are intended to attract and retain qualified executives who may have employment alternatives that may appear to them, in light of a possible change in control, to be less risky absent these arrangements. We also believe similar protections are typically provided by other companies, including companies with which we compete for executive talent, and thus believe we must continue to offer such protections in order to be competitive.

Except for our Change in Control Severance Agreements, our named executive officers are not party to any employment or severance agreements and are not entitled to any particular severance benefit upon their involuntary termination of employment by the Company. The Compensation Committee may, however, provide severance on a case by case basis in its discretion.

Total Compensation Mix

The table below illustrates how total compensation for our named executive officers for 2007 was allocated between performance and non-performance based components, how performance-based compensation is allocated between annual and long-term components and how total compensation is allocated between cash and equity components:

	Total Compensation with								
	(Base Salary, Annual Cash Incentives and Long-term Equity Incentives)								
	% of T	'otal	% of Perfor	rmance-Based	% of T	otal			
	Compensati	on that is:	Total Compe	ensation that is:	Compensatio	on that is:			
		Not							
	Performance	Performance			Cash-	Equity-			
	-Based (1)	-Based (2)	Annual (3)	Long-Term (4)	Based (5)	Based (6)			
Randolph L. Marten	8.5%	91.5%	%	100.0%	91.5%	8.5%			
James J. Hinnendael	37.6%	62.4%	%	100.0%	62.4%	37.6%			
Robert G. Smith	19.0%	81.0%	%	100.0%	81.0%	19.0%			
Timothy P. Nash	10.8%	89.2%	%	100.0%	89.2%	10.8%			
Donald J. Hinson	1.5%	98.5%	%	100.0%	98.5%	1.5%			
John H. Turner	6.8%	93.2%	%	100.0%	93.2%	6.8%			

Total Compensation Mix

- (1) The sum of annual cash incentives and long-term equity incentives divided by total compensation.
- (2) The sum of base salary and all other compensation divided by total compensation.
- (3) Annual cash incentives divided by the sum of annual cash incentives and long-term equity incentives.
- (4) Long-term equity incentives divided by the sum of annual cash incentives and long-term equity incentives.
- (5) The sum of base salary, annual cash incentives and all other compensation divided by total compensation.
- (6) Long-term equity incentives divided by total compensation.

The performance-based component of the total compensation mix was a smaller percentage of the total compensation mix in 2007 than projected by our Compensation Committee primarily due to the failure to achieve the performance thresholds under our non-equity based performance plans in 2007.

Accounting and Tax Considerations	
Section 162(m)	
Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code requires that we meet specific criteria, including stockholder approval o incentive plans, in order to deduct, for federal income tax purposes, compensation over \$1 million per individual paid officers. Since none of our named executive officers received compensation over \$1 million during 2007, we will not limitations of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. We intend generally to structure our executive compensations of section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code; the right to offer compensation arrangements as may from time to time be necessary to attract and retain top-quality may be not to attract and reta	to our named executive be affected by the ation arrangements, where however, we do reserve
Accounting for Stock-based Compensation	
Beginning on January 1, 2006, we began accounting for stock-based payments, including our 2005 Stock Incentive Plarequirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R, Share-Based Payment.	an, in accordance with the
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT	
Our Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis so with our management. Based on this review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to our Board Compensation Discussion and Analysis section be included in this Proxy Statement for filing with the Securities and	d of Directors that the
This report is dated as of February 26, 2008.	
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE	
THOMAS J. WINKEL (CHAIR) LARRY B. HAGNESS JERRY M. BAUER	

The foregoing Compensation Committee Report shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in any of our previous filings under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that might incorporate future filings, including this Proxy Statement, in whole or in part, the foregoing Compensation Committee Report shall not be incorporated by reference into any such filings.

G. LARRY OWENS

COMPENSATION AND OTHER BENEFITS

Summary Compensation Table

The table below provides information relating to compensation for fiscal years 2007 and 2006 for the Company s Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and the four most highly compensated executive officers of the Company other than the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer. The executives named in this table are referred to in this Proxy Statement as our named executive officers. The details of our named executive officers compensation are discussed in detail in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 13.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Option Awards (1)	All Other Compensation (2)	Total
Randolph L. Marten					
Chairman, President and	2007	\$ 465,577	\$ 47,184	\$ 41,668	\$ 554,429
Chief Executive Officer	2006	429,231	39,320	42,321	510,872
James J. Hinnendael	2007		113,992	4,057	303,280
Chief Financial Officer	2006	185,231 173,981	102,527	17,881	294,389
Robert G. Smith	2007		57,077	8,146	299,945
Chief Operating Officer	2006	234,722 216,326	47,565	10,092	273,983
Timothy P. Nash					
Executive Vice President	2007		29,490	9,843	274,055
of Sales and Marketing	2006	234,722 216,326	24,575	5,431	246,332
Donald J. Hinson					
Former Vice President of	2007		3,932	76,863	267,493
Operations	2006	186,698 170,764	19,660	3,813	194,237
John H. Turner	2007	170,962	16,290	51,705	238,957
Vice President of Sales (3)	2006				

This column reflects the compensation cost for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 of each named executive officer s options, calculated in accordance with SFAS 123(R) and using a Black-Scholes valuation model. See note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of the assumptions made by us in determining the grant date fair value and compensation costs of our equity awards. The individual awards reflected in the summary compensation table are summarized below:

	Grant Date	Number of Shares	Amount Recognized in Financial Statements in 2007	Amount Recognized in Financial Statements in 2006
Randolph L. Marten	March 1, 2006	24,000	\$ 47,184	\$ 39,320
James J. Hinnendael	January 25, 2006	50,000	90,400	82,867
	March 1, 2006	12,000	23,592	19,660
Robert G. Smith	March 1, 2006	15,000	57,077(a)	47,565(a)
Timothy P. Nash	March 1, 2006	15,000	29,490	24,575
Donald J. Hinson	March 1, 2006	12,000	3,932	19,660
John H. Turner	January 1, 2007	5,000	8,570	
	August 13, 2007	12,000	7,720	

⁽a) The amount of compensation expense recorded in the income statement in 2007 and 2006 was based on a vesting period through September 28, 2008, Mr. Smith s retirement-eligibility date.

(2) Included in this column are the following items:

	Year	Life Insurance Premiums	Use of Aircraft (a)	Personal Commercial Travel	401(k) Match (b)	PTO Payout	Use of Company Car (c)	Moving Allowance	Severance Pay (d)
Randolph L. Marten	2007 2006	\$ 1,290 690	\$ 16,837 18,160	\$	\$ 3,150 3,080	\$	\$ 20,391 20,391		\$
James J. Hinnendael	2007 2006	167 150			3,890 3,654	14,077			
Robert G. Smith	2007 2006	1,505 1,362	3,173	1,916 1,014	4,725 4,543				
Timothy P. Nash	2007 2006	980 888		4,195	4,668 4,543				
Donald J. Hinson	2007 2006	250 227			3,921 3,586				72,692
John H. Turner	2007 2006	225		1,158	141	6,731		43,450	

(a) The incremental cost to the Company of personal use of Company aircraft is calculated based on the variable operating costs to the Company. Variable costs include fuel, maintenance, crew travel expenses, trip related fees and storage costs, and other miscellaneous variable costs. The methodology excludes fixed costs that do not change on usage, such as purchase or lease costs of the aircraft and non-trip related hanger expenses. The variable costs to the Company were

28

anocated pro-rata to guests or spouses accompanying named executive officers on business trips to derive the incremental costs.
(b) We sponsor a defined contribution retirement savings plan under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. Employees, including executive officers, are eligible for the plan after one year of service. Participants are able to contribute up to the limit set by law, which in 2007 was \$15,500 for participants less than age 50 and \$20,500 for participants age 50 and above. We contribute 35% of each participant s contribution, up to a total of 6% contributed. Our contribution vests at the rate of 20% per year for the second through sixth years of service. In addition, we may make elective contributions as determined by our Board of Directors. Elective contributions were not made in 2007 or 2006.
(c) Represents the depreciation expense of a Company car.
(d) On December 11, 2007, the Company terminated the employment of Mr. Hinson. On December 28, 2007, Mr. Hinson and the Company entered into a Separation Agreement and Release. Pursuant to the Separation Agreement, Mr. Hinson received a one-time severance payment of \$72,692.31. The Separation Agreement also contains a release and other covenants by Mr. Hinson.
(3) Mr. Turner returned to our Company as our Vice President of Sales in January 2007 and has been an executive officer since August 2007. Mr. Turner was also an employee of our Company from October 1990 to February 2005.
Grants of Plan-based Awards
The following table provides information concerning grants of plan-based awards to each of our named executive officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, which were granted under our 2005 Stock Incentive Plan. Our named executive officers were not granted any plan-based awards under our other plans in 2007.
29

Name	Grant Date	Estimated F Non-equity I Threshold	Suture Payou Incentive Plan Target			Future Payor ncentive Plan Target		All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options	Exercise d Base Prior	EStock and
Randolph L. Marten	N/A N/A	\$ 11,875(1) \$ (2)	59,375(1) (2)	\$ 356,250(1) (2)					\$	\$
James J. Hinnendael	N/A N/A	4,725(1) (2)	23,625(1) (2)	141,750(1) (2)						
Robert G. Smith	N/A N/A	5,988(1) (2)	29,938(1) (2)	179,625(1) (2)						
Timothy P. Nash	N/A N/A	5,988(1) (2)	29,938(1) (2)	179,625(1) (2)						
Donald J. Hinson	N/A N/A									
John H. Turner	January 1, 2007 August 13, 2007 August 13, 2007 N/A N/A	4,375(1) (2)	21,875(1) (2)	131,250(1) (2)	3,000	(3)	12,000	5,000(12,000(42,850(5) 92,640(5) 92,640(5)

Represents potential performance-based non-equity awards under our Performance Incentive Bonus Plan, which is described in greater detail in Compensation Discussion and Analysis. We based the threshold on achieving 96% of the net income performance objective, with the target based on 100%, and the maximum based on 120% achievement. We calculated the threshold, target and maximum as follows: threshold - current annual base salary multiplied by 50% multiplied by 5%; target - current annual base salary multiplied by 50% multiplied by 25%; maximum - current annual base salary multiplied by 50% multiplied by 50% multiplied by 50% multiplied by 50% multiplied by 50%. For fiscal year 2007, we did not meet our threshold net income performance objective. Accordingly, no named executive officer received a bonus payment under the Performance Incentive Bonus Plan for 2007.

Represents potential performance-based non-equity awards under our Executive Officer Performance Incentive Bonus Plan, which is described in greater detail in Compensation Discussion and Analysis. The Executive Officer Performance Incentive Bonus Plan provides for cash awards equal to the percentage increase in the award year s net income over the prior year s net income multiplied by the executive officer s base salary, provided the award year s net income after the amount of such cash award must be at least 110% of the prior year s net income and the award year s return on equity after the amount of such bonus is at least 15%. Since potential payouts range based on our ability to incrementally exceed the performance threshold, there is no threshold or maximum payout. Under the terms of the plan, there was no award in 2007 since our net income failed to meet the threshold. The plan was subsequently amended in February 2007. The amendment changes the return on equity threshold for the award year from at least 15% to at least equal to the return on equity set forth in the operating budget approved by the Board for the award year.

Represents performance-based options granted under our 2005 Stock Incentive Plan. The options will become exercisable, on a cumulative basis, upon our achievement of certain operating ratios for any full fiscal year beginning with 2007 and ending with 2010. The option award will continue to vest so long as Mr. Turner remains continuously employed by the Company. The

option shares under the award vest in increments of 25% upon our achievement of operating ratios of equal to or less than 91.0%, 90.5%, 90.0% and 89.5%. For fiscal year 2007, we did not meet the operating ratio threshold of 91%. Accordingly, no options vested in fiscal year 2007 under these performance options. All of the option shares will vest when a combination of operating ratios will equal a 100% vesting, which is the maximum.

- Represents service-based options granted under our 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, the material terms of which are described in more detail below under the heading 2005 Stock Incentive Plan. The options will be exercisable, on a cumulative basis, in five installments of 20% of the total option shares under the grant on each of the first five anniversaries of the grant date, so long as Mr. Turner remains continuously employed by us. As of the end of fiscal year 2007, none of Mr. Turner s service-based options have vested because it has been less than one year since the grant date.
- (5) See note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of the assumptions made by the Company in determining the grant date fair value of our equity awards.

Performance Incentive Bonus Plan, Executive Officer Performance Incentive Bonus Plan and Discretionary Bonus Program

The material terms of our Performance Incentive Bonus Plan, Executive Officer Performance Incentive Bonus Plan and Discretionary Bonus Program are described in Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 13.

2005 Stock Incentive Plan

Under the terms of our 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, all of our named executive officers, as well as other employees and any subsidiary employees (including officers and directors who are also employees), are eligible to receive incentive awards. To date, only stock options have been granted under the plan. The plan contains an overall limit on the number of shares of our common stock that may be issued, as well as individual and other grant limits, which are subject to change in our corporate structure or shares.

The exercise price of an option may not be less than 100% of the fair market value of a share of our common stock on the option grant date (or, if the option is an incentive stock option, 110% if the participant beneficially owns more than 10% of our outstanding stock). Under the plan, fair market value means the closing sale price of a share of our common stock on the grant date as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

The aggregate fair market value of shares of common stock with respect to which incentive stock options may become exercisable by a participant for the first time during any calendar year may not exceed \$100,000. Any incentive stock options in excess of this amount will be treated as non-statutory options.

Options may be exercised in whole or in installments, as determined by the Board or its committee, and may impose conditions or restrictions to the exercisability of an option, including that the participant remain continuously employed by us or a subsidiary for a certain period. An option may not remain exercisable after 10 years from its date of grant (or, if the option is an incentive stock option, five years from its date of grant if the participant beneficially owns more than 10% of our outstanding stock).

Shares of common stock that are issued under the plan or that are subject to outstanding incentive awards reduce the number of shares remaining available under the plan, but any shares of common stock subject to an incentive award that lapses, expires, is forfeited or for any reason terminates unexercised or unvested and any shares of common stock that are subject to an incentive award that is settled or paid in cash or any other form other than shares of common stock will automatically again become available for issuance under the plan.

In the event of any reorganization, merger, consolidation, recapitalization, liquidation, reclassification, stock dividend, stock split, combination of shares, rights offering, divestiture or extraordinary dividend (including a spin-off) or any other change in our corporate structure or shares, appropriate adjustment will be made to:

- the number and kind of securities available for issuance under the plan; and
- in order to prevent dilution or enlargement of the rights of participants, the number, kind and, where applicable, the exercise price of securities subject to outstanding incentive awards.

The plan is administered by our Compensation Committee, which has the authority to determine all necessary or desirable provisions of incentive awards, including the eligible recipients who will be granted one or more incentive awards under the plan, the nature and extent of the incentive awards to be made to each participant, the time or times when incentive awards will be granted, the duration of each incentive award, and payment or vesting restrictions and other conditions. The Compensation Committee has the authority to pay the economic value of any incentive award in the form of common stock and may amend or modify the terms of outstanding incentive awards (except for any prohibited re-pricing of options, discussed below) so long as the amended or modified terms are permitted under the plan and any affected participant has consented to the amendment or modification.

The Board may suspend or terminate the plan or any portion of the plan at any time, and may amend the plan from time to time to conform incentive awards to any change in applicable laws or regulations or in any other respect that the Board may deem to be in our best interests. However, no amendments to the plan will be effective without stockholder approval if it is required under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code, Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code or the rules of the NASDAQ Global Select Market, or if the amendment seeks to modify the prohibitions on underwater option re-pricing discussed above.

Termination, suspension or amendment of the plan will not adversely affect any outstanding incentive award without the consent of the affected participant, except for adjustments in the event of changes in capitalization or a change in control, discussed below.

In general, no right or interest in any incentive award may be assigned or transferred by a participant, except by will or the laws of descent and distribution, or subjected to any lien or encumbrance. However, the Board or its committee may permit a participant to transfer all or a portion of a non-statutory stock option, other than for value, to certain family members or related family trusts, foundations or partnerships. Any permitted transferee of a non-statutory stock

option will remain subject to all the terms and conditions of the incentive award applicable to the participant.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-end

The following table provides information regarding outstanding equity incentive plan awards for each of our named executive officers that remained outstanding at December 31, 2007.

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable	Option Awards Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options	Option Exercise Pri	Option ce Expiration Date
Randolph L. Marten	4,800(2)	19,200(2)	6,000(1)		3.59 March 1, 2016 3.59 March 1, 2016
James J. Hinnendael	10,000(3) 2,400(2)	40,000(3) 9,600(2)	3,000(1)	2	January 25, 2016 3.59 March 1, 2016 3.59 March 1, 2016
Robert G. Smith	3,638(4) 3,000(2)	12,000(2)	3,750(1)	2	4.36 January 24, 2010 3.59 March 1, 2016 3.59 March 1, 2016
Timothy P. Nash	1,000(4) 3,000(2)	12,000(2)	3,750(1)	2	4.36 January 24, 2010 3.59 March 1, 2016 3.59 March 1, 2016
John H. Turner		5,000(5) 12,000(6)	3,000(1)	1	8.62 January 1, 2017 6.56 August 13, 2017 6.56 August 13, 2017
Donald J. Hinson (7)	2,400			2	3.59 March 10, 2008

The option shares become exercisable, on a cumulative basis, upon our achievement of the operating ratios set forth below for any full fiscal year beginning with 2006 (2007 for Mr. Turner) and ending with 2010. The number of shares provided in the table above represents the threshold performance goal as set forth below. On February 12, 2007, our Board of Directors approved an amendment to the performance based stock options that were originally granted on March 1, 2006, which changed the operating ratio thresholds for vesting. The following table sets forth the operating ratio thresholds as of December 31, 2006 and as amended on February 12, 2007.

Operating Ratio December 31, 2006	Operating Ratio February 12, 2007	Vesting Percentage	
90.0%	91.0%		25%
89.5%	90.5%		25%
89.0%	90.0%		25%
88.5%	89.5%		25%

This stock option award was granted March 1, 2006 and vests, on a cumulative basis, in five installments of 20% on each of the first five anniversaries of the option grant date.

- This stock option award was granted January 25, 2006 and vests, on a cumulative basis, in five installments of 20% on each of the first five anniversaries of the option grant date.
- This stock option award was granted January 24, 2000 and vests, on a cumulative basis, in five installments of 20% on each of the first five anniversaries of the option grant date.
- This stock option award was granted January 1, 2007 and vests, on a cumulative basis, in five installments of 20% on each of the first five anniversaries of the option grant date.
- This stock option award was granted August 13, 2007 and vests, on a cumulative basis, in five installments of 20% on each of the first five anniversaries of the option grant date.
- On December 11, 2007, the Company terminated the employment of Mr. Hinson. Pursuant to his Separation Agreement, only those stock option shares that had vested as of Mr. Hinson s termination date vested, and all unvested shares were forfeited. Mr. Hinson had 90 days from his termination date to exercise his vested options, which then expired.

Option Exercise and Stock Vested - 2007

The following table provides information regarding the exercise of stock options during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 for each of Robert G. Smith and Timothy P. Nash on an aggregated basis.

Option Awards

Name Value Realized on Exercise

Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise

	Acquired on Exercise	
Robert G. Smith	1,800	\$ 25,452
Timothy P. Nash	688	9,901

None of our other named executive officers exercised stock options during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

All of our named executive officers are employed at will and, other than as described below, are not entitled to any severance or other payments under any agreement, contract, plan or arrangement upon their termination of employment without cause or otherwise. We have entered into agreements with our named executive officers that require us to provide compensation to them in the event of termination of their employment without cause in connection with or within a certain period of time after a change in control of our Company. Under these agreements, these executive officers are entitled to certain benefits if they are terminated either within 24 months of the effective date of a change in control or before the effective date of the change in control if the termination was either a condition to the change in control or was at the request or insistence of a person related to the change in control. These executives will not be considered terminated for purposes of these agreements if they die or are terminated for cause. They will, however, be considered terminated if they voluntarily leave Marten s employ for good reason.

Upon a termination in connection with a change in control, the named executive officers will be entitled to receive a lump sum cash payment of 100% of their base salary except for Mr. Marten, who will receive 200% of his base salary, plus one times the executive s highest bonus in the preceding three calendar years except for Mr. Marten, who will receive two times such amount. In addition, these executives will receive welfare benefits for a period of twelve months except for Mr. Marten, who will receive such benefits for twenty-four months.

Our 2005 Stock Incentive Plan provides that in the event a change in control occurs, then, if approved by the committee in its sole discretion either at the time of the grant of the incentive award or at any time after such grant, all options and stock appreciation rights will become immediately exercisable in full and will remain exercisable for the remainder of their terms; all outstanding restricted stock awards will become immediately fully vested and non-forfeitable; and all outstanding performance unit awards and stock bonuses will vest or continue to vest in accordance with their agreement. Using discretionary authority under the plan, our Compensation Committee approved form Non-Statutory Stock Option Agreements for both service-based and performance-based option awards that provide such options become immediately exercisable in full in the event of a change in control with respect to options that have been outstanding for at least six months. In addition, the committee in its sole discretion may determine that some or all participants holding outstanding options will receive cash in an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of such shares immediately prior to the effective date of such change in control over the exercise price per share of the options (or, in the event that there is no excess, that such options will be terminated), and that some or all participants holding performance unit awards will receive, with respect to some or all of the shares subject to the performance unit awards, cash in an amount equal to the fair market value of such shares immediately prior to the effective date of such change in control.

For purposes of the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, a Change in Control generally occurs if:

• all or substantially all of our assets are sold, leased, exchanged or transferred to any successor;

- our stockholders approve any plan or proposal to liquidate or dissolve us;
- we are a party to a merger or consolidation that results in our stockholders beneficially owning securities representing less than 50% of the combined voting power ordinarily having the right to vote at elections of directors of the surviving corporation (regardless of any approval by the continuity directors); or
- any successor, other than the Company, any trustee or other fiduciary holding securities under any employee benefit plan of the Company or Randolph L. Marten or Christine K. Marten or their affiliates, becomes the beneficial owner of more than 50% of our outstanding securities ordinarily having the right to vote at elections of directors.

If a named executive officer s employment or other service with the Company and all its subsidiaries terminates for any reason other than death, disability or retirement, all rights of the named executive officer under the Company s 2005 Stock Incentive Plan and its stock option agreements granted thereunder will immediately terminate without notice of any kind, and the stock option will no longer be exercisable. However, if such termination is due to any reason other than termination by the Company or its subsidiaries for cause, the option will remain exercisable to the extent exercisable as of such termination for a period of three months after such termination. Cause means (i) dishonesty, fraud, misrepresentation, embezzlement or deliberate injury or attempted injury, in each case related to the Company or any of its subsidiaries, (ii) any unlawful or criminal activity of a serious nature, (iii) any intentional and deliberate breach of a duty or duties that, individually or in the aggregate, are material in relation to the named executive officer s overall duties, or (iv) any material breach of any confidentiality or noncompete agreement entered into with the Company or any of its subsidiaries. In no event will an option be exercisable after the tenth anniversary of its grant date.

Potential Payments to Named Executive Officers. The following table describes the payments that each of our named executive officers would have received if a change in control of the Company occurred on December 31, 2007 in connection with a termination of their employment on December 31, 2007:

Name	Executive Benefits and Payments	I	Payment
Randolph L. Marten	Lump Sum Payment Based on Two Times Base Salary Two Times Highest Bonus in Three Preceding Years Welfare Benefits(1)	\$	950,000 193,366 9,505
	Total:	\$	1,152,871
Robert G. Smith	Lump Sum Payment Based on Base Salary Highest Bonus in Three Preceding Years Welfare Benefits(1)	\$	239,500 50,009 9,733
	Total:	\$	299,242
Timothy P. Nash	Lump Sum Payment Based on Base Salary Highest Bonus in Three Preceding Years Welfare Benefits(1)	\$	239,500 50,009 8,137
	Total:	\$	297,646
James J. Hinnendael	Lump Sum Payment Based on Base Salary Highest Bonus in Three Preceding Years(2) Welfare Benefits(1)	\$	189,000 8,776 2,830
	Total:	\$	200,606
John H. Turner	Lump Sum Payment Based on Base Salary Highest Bonus in Three Preceding Years	\$	175,000
	Welfare Benefits(1) Total:	\$	7,436 182,436

⁽¹⁾ The value of the welfare benefits is based on the named executive officer s estimated cost for medical insurance along with the named executive officer s cost for life insurance premiums, after income tax gross-up.

⁽²⁾ Mr. Hinnendael became our Chief Financial Officer on January 1, 2006. Before becoming the Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Hinnendael was our Controller. We are using his bonus from 2005 when he was our Controller.

Note - The value of the gross-up payment to cover excise taxes under Section 4999 of the Code for parachute payments under Section 280G of the Code is based on the named executive officer s average taxable compensation from 2002 to 2006. Such number is then multiplied by three to determine the base amount. If the change in control payment exceeds such base amount then the excise tax provisions of Section 4999 and 280G are triggered. However, none of the named executive officer s base amounts exceed the change in control payment. Because the named executive officers do not need to pay the excise taxes under Section 4999 of the Code, no gross-up payment is required and the value of the Section 4999 gross-up for each named executive officer is zero.

Note - Each of the presented named executive officer s outstanding option awards would have automatically accelerated and become immediately exercisable in full upon a change in control if they were held for six or more months, and these options were held for such a period on December 31, 2007, except for the August 13, 2007 option award to Mr. Turner. The value of the automatic acceleration of the vesting of unvested stock options held by a named executive officer is based on the difference between: (i) the market price of the shares of our common stock underlying the unvested stock options held by such officer as of December 31, 2007, which is based on the closing sale price of our common stock on December 31, 2007 (\$13.95), and (ii) the exercise price of the options, as adjusted for any stock splits. Because the exercise price of the options exceeded the closing sale price on December 31, 2007, the value of the automatic acceleration and vesting of the unvested stock options is zero for each named executive officer.

Note Mr. Hinson was excluded from the table describing potential payments to each of our named executive officers as of December 31, 2007 because his employment with the Company was terminated on December 11, 2007.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table summarizes our equity compensation plan information as of December 31, 2007:

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in the First Column)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	616,897	\$ 12.46	2,591,600
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	616,897	\$ 12.46	2,591,600

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

From January 2007 to December 2007, the Compensation Committee was comprised of Thomas J. Winkel, Larry B. Hagness, and Jerry M. Bauer, with Mr. Winkel serving as Chairman. In December 2007, G. Larry Owens joined the Compensation Committee. None of the members of the Compensation Committee has ever been an officer or employee of the Company. During 2007, no executive officer of ours served as a member of the Board of Directors or compensation committee of any entity that had an executive officer serving as a member of our Board of Directors or Compensation Committee. See Related Party Transactions for a description of transactions between us and Bauer Built, Inc., of which Mr. Bauer is the president and a

stockholder, and between us and Durand Builders Service, Inc., of which Mr. Hagness is the president and owner.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

We purchase fuel and obtain tires and related services from Bauer Built, Inc., or BBI. Jerry M. Bauer, one of our directors, is the president and a stockholder of BBI. We paid BBI \$1.1 million in 2007, \$1.3 million in 2006 and \$1.2 million in 2005 for fuel and tire services. In addition, we paid \$2.4 million in 2007, \$2.4 million in 2006 and \$2.0 million in 2005 to tire manufacturers for tires that we purchased from the tire manufacturers but were provided by BBI. BBI received commissions from the tire manufacturers related to these purchases. Other than any benefit received from his ownership interest, Mr. Bauer receives no compensation or other benefits from our business with BBI.

We paid Durand Builders Service, Inc. \$547,000 in 2007 and \$142,000 in 2005, respectively, for various construction projects. Larry B. Hagness, one of our directors, is the president and owner of Durand Builders Service, Inc. Other than any benefit received from his ownership interest, Mr. Hagness receives no compensation or other benefits from these transactions.

We believe that the transactions with related parties noted above are on reasonable terms which, based upon market rates, are comparable to terms available from unaffiliated third parties.

Our Board of Directors has delegated to our Audit Committee, pursuant to the terms of a written policy, the authority to review, approve and ratify related party transactions. If it is not feasible for our Audit Committee to take an action with respect to a proposed related party transaction, the committee may review and, in its discretion, ratify it at the next Audit Committee meeting. The Chief Financial Officer may present a related person transaction arising in the time period between meetings of the Audit Committee to the Chair of the committee, who shall review and may approve the related person transaction, subject to ratification by the committee at the next meeting of the committee. In addition, any related person transaction previously approved by the committee or otherwise already existing that is ongoing in nature shall be reviewed by the committee annually to ensure that such related person transaction has been conducted in accordance with the previous approval granted by the committee, if any, and that all required disclosures regarding the related person transaction are made.

Any related person transaction proposed to be entered into by the Company must be reported to the Company s Chief Financial Officer and shall be reviewed and approved by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors pursuant to this policy, prior to effectiveness or consummation of the transaction, whenever practicable. If the Chief Financial Officer determines that advance approval of a related person transaction is not practicable under the circumstances, the Audit Committee shall review and, in its discretion, may ratify the related person transaction at the next meeting of the Audit Committee, or at the next meeting following the date that the related person transaction comes to the attention of the Chief Financial Officer; provided, however, that the Chief Financial Officer may present a related person transaction arising in the time period between meetings of the Audit Committee to the Chair of the

committee, who shall review and may approve the related person transaction, subject to ratification by the Audit Committee at the next Audit Committee meeting.

Our policy defines a related person transaction as a transaction, arrangement or relationship (or any series of similar transactions, arrangements or relationships) in which we (including any of our subsidiaries) were, are or will be a participant, the amount involved exceeds \$25,000 and in which any related party had, has or will have a direct or indirect interest. The Board has determined that certain interests do not create a material direct or indirect interest on behalf of the related person, and are, therefore, not related person transactions for purposes of the policy, including interests arising only from (a) the related person s position as a director of another corporation or organization that is a party to the transaction, and /or (b) from the direct or indirect ownership by the related person and all other related persons in the aggregate of less than a 10% equity interest (other than a general partnership interest) in another entity which is a party to the transaction.

A related person transaction reviewed under the policy will be considered approved or ratified if it is authorized by the committee in accordance with the standards set forth in the policy after full disclosure of the related person s interests in the transaction. As appropriate for the circumstances, the committee shall review and consider:

- the related person's relationship to the Company and interest in the related person transaction (as an approximate dollar value, without regard to profit or loss);
- the approximate total dollar value involved in the related person transaction;
- whether the transaction was undertaken in the ordinary course of business of the Company;
- whether the transaction with the related person is proposed to be, or was, entered into on terms no less favorable to the Company than terms that could have been reached with an unrelated third party;
- whether the related person transaction would impair the independence of an outside director;
- whether the transaction with the related person would require a waiver of the Company s Code of Ethics;
- the terms on which the related person offers the products or services involved in the related person transaction to unrelated parties;

- the purpose of, and the potential benefits to the Company of, the transaction; and
- any other information regarding the related person transaction or the related person in the context of the proposed transaction that would be material to investors in light of the circumstances of the particular transaction.

40

The Audit Committee will review all relevant information available to it about the related person transaction. The committee may approve or ratify the related person transaction only if the committee determines that, under all of the circumstances, the transaction is in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders. The committee may, in its sole discretion, impose such conditions as it deems appropriate on the Company or the related person in connection with approval of the related person transactions.

SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Proposal 2

The Audit Committee of our Board has approved the engagement of KPMG LLP to audit our consolidated financial statements for the 2008 fiscal year. KPMG LLP has been our independent public accountants since 2002.

Although not required to do so, the Board of Directors wishes to submit the selection of KPMG LLP to the stockholders for confirmation because the Board believes it is good corporate practice. The Board recommends a vote **FOR** the confirmation of KPMG LLP as our independent public accountants for 2008. Unless a different choice is given, proxies received by the Board will be voted **FOR** the confirmation of KPMG LLP. If the selection of KPMG LLP is not confirmed, the Board of Directors will reconsider its selection but may retain KPMG LLP.

We expect at least one representative of KPMG LLP to be present at the Annual Meeting. Such representative(s) will have the opportunity to make a statement at the meeting if they desire to do so. We also expect such representative(s) will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

FEES OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

The following table presents the aggregate fees billed or estimated to be billed for audit services and fees billed for all other services rendered by KPMG LLP for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. All services rendered by KPMG LLP were permissible under applicable laws and regulations, and all services provided in 2007 and 2006 were approved in advance by the Audit Committee in accordance with the rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission in order to implement requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Our Audit Committee has adopted policies and procedures pursuant to which audit, audit-related and tax services, and all permissible non-audit services, are pre-approved, and our Audit Committee is informed of each service actually rendered that was approved through its pre-approval process.

	Aggregate Amount Billed by KPMG LLP			
Services Rendered	2007		2006	
Audit Fees (1)	\$	335,000	\$	300,000
Audit-Related Fees (2)				5,600
Tax Fees				
All Other Fees				

- (1) These fees consisted of the annual audit of our consolidated financial statements for the applicable year, including an audit of our internal control over financial reporting and the reviews of our consolidated financial statements included in our Form 10-Q s for the first, second and third quarters of the applicable year.
- (2) These fees related to accounting and financial reporting services.

SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our directors and executive officers, and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of our common stock, to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our common stock. Directors, executive officers and greater than 10% stockholders are required by SEC regulations to give us copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file. To our knowledge, our directors, executive officers and greater than 10% stockholders complied with all applicable Section 16(a) filing requirements.