

MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS INC
Form 10-Q
May 07, 2009
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(MARK ONE)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2009

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Transition Period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 000-50797

Momenta Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

04-3561634
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

675 West Kendall Street, Cambridge, MA
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

02142
(Zip Code)

Edgar Filing: MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-Q

(617) 491-9700

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the Registrant's classes of Common Stock as of May 1, 2009.

Class	Number of Shares
Common Stock \$0.0001 par value	39,889,050

Table of Contents

MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	3
<u>Item 1.</u>	
<u>Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 (unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (unaudited)</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (unaudited)</u>	5
<u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
<u>Item 2.</u>	
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	14
<u>Item 3.</u>	
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	22
<u>Item 4.</u>	
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	22
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	23
<u>Item 1A.</u>	
<u>Risk Factors</u>	23
<u>Item 6.</u>	
<u>Exhibits</u>	40
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	41

Our logo, trademarks and service marks are the property of Momenta Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Other trademarks or service marks appearing in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are the property of their respective holders.

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

(unaudited)

	March 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 27,611	\$ 55,070
Marketable securities	60,335	53,461
Accounts receivable	838	455
Unbilled collaboration revenue	3,480	2,372
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,299	1,217
Total current assets	93,563	112,575
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation	14,344	14,725
Intangible assets, net	3,015	3,111
Restricted cash	1,778	1,778
Other assets	12	12
Total assets	\$ 112,712	\$ 132,201
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 3,919	\$ 5,578
Accrued expenses	5,217	6,744
Deferred revenue	2,150	2,150
Line of credit obligations		17
Capital lease obligations	2,142	1,846
Lease financing liability	699	687
Deferred rent	70	70
Other current liabilities	2,000	2,000
Total current liabilities	16,197	19,092
Deferred revenue, net of current portion	7,533	8,063
Capital lease obligations, net of current portion	3,683	4,427
Lease financing liability, net of current portion	815	995
Other long term liabilities	102	119
Total liabilities	28,330	32,696
Commitments and contingencies (Note 7)		

Edgar Filing: MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-Q

Stockholders Equity:

Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 5,000 shares authorized at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, 100 shares of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value designated and no shares issued and outstanding				
Common stock, \$0.0001 par value; 100,000 shares authorized, 39,885 and 39,691 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively		4		4
Additional paid-in capital		359,039		356,124
Accumulated other comprehensive income		281		414
Accumulated deficit		(274,942)		(257,037)
Total stockholders equity		84,382		99,505
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$	112,712	\$	132,201

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited, condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2009	2008
Collaboration revenue	\$ 3,990	\$ 4,152
Operating expenses:		
Research and development*	15,818	12,913
General and administrative*	6,274	5,781
Total operating expenses	22,092	18,694
Loss from operations	(18,102)	(14,542)
Other income (expense):		
Interest income	359	1,428
Interest expense	(162)	(224)
Net loss	\$ (17,905)	\$ (13,338)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (0.46)	\$ (0.37)
Shares used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share	38,744	35,740

*Includes the following stock-based compensation expense:

Research and development	\$ 1,058	\$ 736
General and administrative	\$ 1,649	\$ 1,266

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited, condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(in thousands)

(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2009	2008
Cash Flows from Operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (17,905)	\$ (13,338)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,117	920
Stock-based compensation expense	2,707	2,002
Accretion of discount on investments	(295)	(759)
Realized gain on sale of marketable securities		(47)
Amortization of intangible assets	96	96
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(383)	747
Unbilled collaboration revenue	(1,108)	5,382
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(82)	580
Accounts payable	(1,659)	(5,404)
Accrued expenses	(1,527)	(1,898)
Deferred rent	(17)	(17)
Deferred revenue	(530)	(542)
Net cash used in operating activities	(19,586)	(12,278)
Cash Flows from Investing activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(736)	(448)
Purchases of marketable securities	(29,462)	(39,568)
Proceeds from maturities of marketable securities	22,750	40,250
Sales of marketable securities		8,341
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(7,448)	8,575
Cash Flows from Financing activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock under stock plans	208	171
Payments on financed leasehold improvements	(168)	(156)
Principal payments on capital lease obligations	(448)	(411)
Principal payments on line of credit	(17)	(233)
Net cash used in financing activities	(425)	(629)
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(27,459)	(4,332)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	55,070	33,038
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 27,611	\$ 28,706
Supplemental Cash Flow Information:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 162	\$ 224

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited, condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED, CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. The Company

Business

Momenta Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (the Company or Momenta) was incorporated in the state of Delaware on May 17, 2001 and began operations in early 2002. Its facilities are located in Cambridge, Massachusetts. Momenta is a biotechnology company specializing in the detailed structural analysis of complex mixture drugs, applying its technology to the development of generic or follow-on versions of complex drug products as well as to the discovery and development of novel drugs. The Company presently derives all of its revenue from research collaborations with pharmaceutical companies.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited, condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring accruals, considered necessary for a fair presentation of the results of these interim periods have been included. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year. These unaudited, condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, which was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, on March 13, 2009.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Marketable Securities

The Company invests its excess cash in bank deposits, money market accounts, corporate debt securities, commercial paper and U.S. government sponsored enterprise obligations. The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with maturities of three months or less from the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents are carried at fair value, which approximates cost, and primarily consist of money market funds maintained at major U.S. financial institutions. All marketable securities, which primarily represent marketable debt securities, have been classified as available-for-sale. Purchased premiums or discounts on debt securities are amortized to interest income through the stated maturities of the debt securities. Management determines the appropriate classification of its investments in marketable securities at the time of purchase and evaluates such designation as of each balance sheet date. Unrealized gains and losses are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), which is reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Realized gains and losses and declines in value judged to be other-than-temporary, if any, on available-for-sale securities are included in interest income. During the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded realized gains on marketable securities of zero and \$47,000, respectively. The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method. Interest earned on marketable securities is included in interest income.

Fair Value of Other Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of the Company's other financial instruments, which include other accrued expenses, approximate their fair values due to their short maturities. The carrying amount of the Company's line of credit and capital lease obligations approximate their fair values due to their variable interest rates.

Table of Contents

Long-Lived Assets

The Company evaluates the recoverability of its property, equipment and intangible assets when circumstances indicate that an event of impairment may have occurred in accordance with the provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board's, or FASB, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, or SFAS, No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, or SFAS 144, which provides that companies (1) recognize an impairment loss only if the carrying amount of a long-lived asset is not recoverable based on its undiscounted future cash flows and (2) measure an impairment loss as the difference between the carrying amount and fair value of the asset. Impairment is measured based on the difference between the carrying value of the related assets or businesses and the undiscounted future cash flows of such assets or businesses. In addition, SFAS 144 provides guidance on accounting and disclosure issues surrounding long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale. No impairment charges were recognized during the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue from research and development collaboration agreements in accordance with SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin, or SAB, No. 101, *Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements*, as amended by SAB No. 104, *Revenue Recognition*, Emerging Issues Task Force, or EITF, Issue No. 00-21, *Revenue Arrangements With Multiple Deliverables*, and EITF Issue No. 07-01, *Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements Related to the Development and Commercialization of Intellectual Property*.

Under the terms of collaboration agreements entered into by the Company, the Company may receive non-refundable, up-front license fees, funding or reimbursement of research and development efforts, milestone payments if specified objectives are achieved and/or profit-sharing or royalties on product sales. Agreements containing multiple elements are divided into separate units of accounting if certain criteria are met, including whether the delivered element has stand-alone value to the collaborative partner and whether there is objective and reliable evidence of fair value of the undelivered obligation(s). The consideration received is then allocated among the separate units based on either their respective fair values or the residual method, and the applicable revenue recognition criteria are applied to each of the separate units.

Revenues from non-refundable, up-front license fees are recognized on a straight-line basis over the contracted or estimated period of performance, which is typically the development term. Research and development funding is recognized as earned over the period of effort.

Any milestone payments are recognized as revenue upon achievement of the milestone only if (1) the milestone payment is non-refundable, (2) substantive effort is involved in achieving the milestone and (3) the amount of the milestone is reasonable in relation to the effort expended or the risk associated with achievement of the milestone. If any of these conditions are not met, the milestone payment is deferred and recognized as revenue over the estimated remaining period of performance under the contract as the Company completes its performance obligations. Royalty and/or profit-share revenue, if any, is recognized based upon actual and estimated net sales of licensed products in licensed territories as provided by the licensee and in the period the sales occur. The Company has not recognized any milestone, royalty or profit-share revenue to date.

Research and Development

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. Research and development costs include wages, benefits, facility and other research-related overhead expenses, as well as license fees and contracted research and development activities. Nonrefundable advance payments for goods or services to be received in the future for use in research and development activities are deferred and capitalized in accordance with EITF Issue No. 07-3, *Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services to Be Used in Future Research and Development Activities*. The capitalized amounts are expensed as the related goods are delivered or the services are performed.

Stock-Based Compensation

As discussed more fully in Note 6, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, or SFAS 123R, effective January 1, 2006 under the modified prospective transition method of adoption. Under this method, the provisions of SFAS 123R apply to all awards granted or modified after the date of adoption. In addition, the unrecognized expense of awards not yet vested at the date of adoption, determined under the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, is being recognized in the Company's statements of operations in the periods after the date of adoption over the remaining vesting periods, if any. SFAS 123R requires the recognition of the fair value of stock-based compensation in its statements of operations. Stock-based compensation expense primarily relates to stock options, restricted stock and stock issued under the Company's stock option plans and employee stock purchase plan. The Company recognizes stock-based compensation expense equal to the fair value of stock options on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period. Restricted stock awards are recorded as compensation cost, based on the market value on the date of the grant, on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period. The Company issues new shares to satisfy stock option exercises, the issuance of restricted stock and stock issued under the Company's employee stock purchase plan.

Table of Contents

In accordance with SFAS 123R, the fair value of each option award was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model. The Company considers, among other factors, the implied volatilities of its own currently traded options to provide an estimate of volatility based upon current trading activity. The Company concluded that a blended volatility rate based upon the most recent four-and-one-half year period of its own historical performance, as well as the implied volatilities of its own currently traded options, appropriately reflects the expected volatility of its stock going forward. The Company uses a blend of its own historical data and peer data to estimate option exercise and employee termination behavior, adjusted for known trends, to arrive at the estimated expected life of an option.

For purposes of identifying peer entities, the Company considers characteristics such as industry, stage of life cycle and financial leverage. The Company updates these assumptions as needed to reflect recent historical data. The risk-free interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

SFAS 123R requires the application of an estimated forfeiture rate to current period expense to recognize stock-based compensation expense only for those awards expected to vest. The Company estimates forfeitures based upon historical data, adjusted for known trends, and will adjust its estimate of forfeitures if actual forfeitures differ, or are expected to differ from such estimates. Subsequent changes in estimated forfeitures will be recognized through a cumulative adjustment in the period of change and will also impact the amount of stock-based compensation expense in future periods.

Unvested stock options held by consultants have been revalued using the Company's estimate of fair value at each balance sheet date pursuant to EITF Issue No. 96-18, *Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services*. Stock-based compensation expense is recorded in accordance with FASB Interpretation, or FIN, No. 28, *Accounting for Stock Appreciation Rights and Other Variable Stock Option or Award Plans*, or FIN 28.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, or SFAS 109. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is recorded when it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset will not be recovered.

The Company follows FIN No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*, or FIN 48, which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS 109. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition.

During the three months ended March 31, 2009, the Company had no material unrecognized tax benefits and no adjustments to its deferred tax assets under FIN 48. The Company's practice has been and continues to be to recognize interest and penalty expenses related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense, which was zero from the adoption date through the three months ended March 31, 2009.

The Company files income tax returns in the United States federal jurisdiction and multiple state jurisdictions. The Company is no longer subject to any tax assessment from an income tax examination for years before 2004, except to the extent that in the future it utilizes net operating losses or tax credit carryforwards that originated before 2004. The Company currently is not under examination by the Internal Revenue Service or other jurisdictions for any tax years.

Comprehensive Loss

The Company reports comprehensive loss in accordance with SFAS No. 130, *Reporting Comprehensive Income*, or SFAS 130. SFAS 130 establishes rules for the reporting and display of comprehensive income (loss) and its components. Accumulated other comprehensive income as of March 31, 2009 and 2008 consists entirely of unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities. Comprehensive loss for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$18.0 million and \$13.2 million, respectively.

Net Loss per Share

The Company computes net loss per share in accordance with SFAS No. 128, *Earnings per Share*, or SFAS 128. Under the provisions of SFAS 128, basic net loss per common share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted net loss per common share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted-average number of common shares and dilutive common share equivalents then outstanding. Potential common stock equivalent shares consist of the incremental common shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options. Since the Company has a net loss for all periods presented, the effect of all potentially dilutive securities is antidilutive. Accordingly, basic and diluted net loss per common share is the same.

Table of Contents**Segment Reporting**

SFAS No. 131, *Disclosure About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, requires companies to report selected information about operating segments, as well as enterprise-wide disclosures about products, services, geographical areas, and major customers. Operating segments are determined based on the way management organizes its business for making operating decisions and assessing performance. The Company has only one operating segment, the discovery, development and commercialization of drug products. All of the Company's revenues through March 31, 2009 have come from one collaborative partner.

3. Fair Value Measurements

The Company follows the provisions of SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, or SFAS 157. SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles and enhances disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. SFAS 157 establishes a three-level valuation hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements. The categorization of financial assets and financial liabilities within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the measurement of fair value. The three levels are defined as follows:

- Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.
- Level 2 inputs to the valuation methodology are other observable inputs, including quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active or non-active markets, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, and inputs that are not directly observable, but are corroborated by the observable market data.
- Level 3 inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable for the asset or liability.

A Level 1 classification is applied to any asset that has a readily available quoted price from an active market where there is significant transparency in the executed / quoted price. A Level 2 classification is applied to assets whose fair values are determined using quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset.

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis at March 31, 2009 are as follows (in thousands):

Description	March 31, 2009	Quoted Prices in Active Markets	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Other Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
-------------	-------------------	--	--	---

Edgar Filing: MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-Q

	(Level 1)		(Level 2)				
Assets:							
Cash equivalents	\$	26,858	\$	19,860	\$	6,998	\$
Marketable securities		60,335		60,335			
Total	\$	87,193	\$	19,860	\$	67,333	\$

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company implemented SFAS 157 for its nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are remeasured at fair value on a non-recurring basis. The adoption of SFAS 157 for the Company's nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are remeasured at fair value on a non-recurring basis did not impact its financial position or results of operations.

The Company follows the provisions of SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities - Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*, or SFAS 159. SFAS 159 allows the Company to choose to measure eligible assets and liabilities at fair value with changes in value recognized in earnings. Fair value treatment may be elected either upon initial recognition of an eligible asset or liability or, for an existing asset or liability, if an event triggers a new basis of accounting. The Company did not elect to re-measure any of its existing financial assets or liabilities under the provisions of SFAS 159.

Table of Contents**4. Intangible Assets**

As of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization, are as follows (in thousands):

	Estimated Life	March 31, 2009		December 31, 2008	
		Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Core technology	12 years	\$ 3,593	\$ (583)	\$ 3,593	\$ (508)
Non-compete agreement	2 years	170	(165)	170	(144)
Total intangible assets		\$ 3,763	\$ (748)	\$ 3,763	\$ (652)

Amortization is computed using the straight-line method over the useful lives of the respective intangible assets. Amortization expense was \$0.1 million for each of the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008.

The Company expects to incur amortization expense of approximately \$0.3 million per year for each of the next five years.

5. Collaboration Agreements***2003 Sandoz Collaboration***

In November 2003, the Company entered into a collaboration and license agreement (the 2003 Sandoz Collaboration) with Sandoz N.V. and Sandoz Inc. to jointly develop and commercialize M-Enoxaparin, a generic version of Lovenox®, a low molecular weight heparin. Sandoz N.V. later assigned its rights and obligations under the 2003 Sandoz Collaboration to Sandoz AG. Sandoz AG and Sandoz Inc. are collectively referred to as Sandoz. Under the 2003 Sandoz Collaboration, the Company granted Sandoz the exclusive right to manufacture, distribute and sell M-Enoxaparin in the United States. The Company agreed to provide development and related services on a commercially reasonable best-efforts basis, which includes developing a manufacturing process to make M-Enoxaparin, scaling up the process, contributing to the preparation of an Abbreviated New Drug Application, or ANDA, in Sandoz name to be filed with the Food & Drug Administration, or FDA, further scaling up the manufacturing process to commercial scale, and related development of intellectual property. The Company has the right to participate in a joint steering committee, which is responsible for overseeing development, legal and commercial activities and approves the annual collaboration plan. Sandoz is responsible for commercialization activities and will exclusively distribute and market the product.

As compensation under the 2003 Sandoz Collaboration, the Company received a \$0.6 million non-refundable up-front payment as reimbursement for certain specified vendor costs that were incurred prior to the effective date of the 2003 Sandoz Collaboration. The Company is paid at cost for external costs incurred for development and related activities and is paid for full time equivalents (FTEs) performing development and related services. In addition, Sandoz will, in the event there are no third party competitors marketing a Lovenox-Equivalent Product (as defined in the 2003 Sandoz Collaboration) share profits with the Company. Alternatively, in certain circumstances, if there are third party competitors marketing a Lovenox-Equivalent Product, Sandoz will pay royalties to the Company on net sales of injectable M-Enoxaparin.

Edgar Filing: MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-Q

If certain milestones are achieved with respect to injectable M-Enoxaparin under certain circumstances, Sandoz will make payments to the Company, which would reach \$55 million if all such milestones are achieved. A portion of the development expenses and certain legal expenses, which in the aggregate have exceeded a specified amount, will be offset against profit-sharing amounts, royalties and milestone payments. Sandoz also may offset a portion of any product liability costs and certain other expenses arising from patent litigation against any profit-sharing amounts, royalties and milestone payments. The Company has not earned any milestones, royalties or profit-share to date.

The Company recognized the \$0.6 million non-refundable up-front payment as revenue on a straight line basis over the estimated M-Enoxaparin development period of 5.5 years. The Company recognized revenue relating to this up-front payment of zero and approximately \$6,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The deferral period for the upfront payment associated with the 2003 Sandoz Collaboration was completed during 2008.

The Company recognizes revenue from FTE services and revenue from external development costs upon completion of the performance requirements (i.e., as the services are performed and the reimbursable costs are incurred). Revenues from external development costs are recorded on a gross basis as the Company contracts directly with, manages the work of and is responsible for payments to third-party vendors for such development and related services, except with respect to any amounts due Sandoz for manufacturing raw material purchases, which are recorded on a net basis as an offset to the related development expense pursuant to the provisions of EITF Issue No. 02-16, *Accounting by a Customer (Including a Reseller) for Certain Consideration Received from a Vendor*. There were no such manufacturing raw material purchases in the three months ended March 31, 2009 or during 2008.

Table of Contents

2006 Sandoz Collaboration

In July 2006, the Company entered into a series of agreements, including a Stock Purchase Agreement and an Investor Rights Agreement, each with Novartis Pharma AG, and a Memorandum of Understanding (the "MOU") with Sandoz AG, an affiliate of Novartis Pharma AG. On June 13, 2007, the Company and Sandoz AG executed a definitive collaboration and license agreement (the "Definitive Agreement"), which superseded the MOU. Together, this series of agreements is referred to as the "2006 Sandoz Collaboration."

Pursuant to the terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement, the Company sold 4,708,679 shares of common stock to Novartis Pharma AG at a per share price of \$15.93 (the closing price of the Company's common stock on the NASDAQ Global Market was \$13.05 on the date of the Stock Purchase Agreement) for an aggregate purchase price of \$75.0 million, resulting in a paid premium of \$13.6 million. The Company recognizes revenue from the \$13.6 million paid premium on a straight-line basis over the estimated development period of approximately six years beginning in June 2007. The Company recognized revenue relating to this paid premium of approximately \$0.5 million for each of the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. Under the 2006 Sandoz Collaboration, the Company and Sandoz AG expanded the M-Enoxaparin geographic markets covered by the 2003 Sandoz Collaboration to include the European Union and further agreed to exclusively collaborate on the development and commercialization of three other follow-on and complex generic products for sale in specified regions of the world. In December 2008, the Company and Sandoz AG terminated the collaborative program with regard to one of the follow-on products, M249, primarily due to the commercial prospects for M249. Each party has granted the other an exclusive license under its intellectual property rights to develop and commercialize such products for all medical indications in the relevant regions. The Company has agreed to provide development and related services on a commercially reasonable best-efforts basis, which includes developing a manufacturing process to make the products, scaling up the process, contributing to the preparation of regulatory filings, further scaling up the manufacturing process to commercial scale, and related development of intellectual property. The Company has the right to participate in a joint steering committee, which is responsible for overseeing development, legal and commercial activities and approves the annual collaboration plan. Sandoz AG is responsible for commercialization activities and will exclusively distribute and market the products.

The term of the Definitive Agreement extends throughout the development and commercialization of the products until the last sale of the products, unless earlier terminated by either party pursuant to the provisions of the Definitive Agreement. Sandoz AG has agreed to indemnify the Company for various claims, and a certain portion of such costs may be offset against certain future payments received by the Company.

Costs, including development costs and the cost of clinical studies, will be borne by the parties in varying proportions, depending on the type of expense and the related product. All commercialization responsibilities and costs will be borne by Sandoz AG. Under the 2006 Sandoz Collaboration, the Company is paid at cost for any external costs incurred in the development of products where development activities are funded solely by Sandoz AG, or partly in proportion where development costs are shared between the Company and Sandoz AG. The Company also is paid for FTEs performing development services where development activities are funded solely by Sandoz AG, or partly by proportion where development costs are shared between the Company and Sandoz AG. The parties will share profits in varying proportions, depending on the product. The Company is eligible to receive up to \$178.0 million in milestone payments if all milestones are achieved for the three product candidates remaining under collaboration. None of these payments, once received, are refundable and there are no general rights of return in the arrangement.

The Company recognizes revenue from FTE services and revenue from external development costs upon completion of the performance requirements (i.e., as the services are performed and the reimbursable costs are incurred). Revenue from external development costs are recorded on a gross basis as the Company contracts directly with, manages the work of and is responsible for payments to third party vendors for such development and related services, except with respect to any amounts due Sandoz for shared development costs, which are recorded on a net basis.

6. Stock-Based Compensation

2004 Stock Incentive Plan

The Company's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, allows for the granting of incentive and nonstatutory stock options, restricted stock awards, stock appreciation rights and other stock-based awards to employees, officers, directors, consultants and advisors. At December 31, 2008, the Company was authorized to issue up to 7,574,329 shares of common stock with annual increases (to be added on the first day of the Company's fiscal years during the period beginning in fiscal year 2005 and ending on the second day of fiscal year 2013) equal to the lowest of (i) 1,974,393 shares, (ii) 5% of the then outstanding number of common shares or (iii) such other amount as the Board of Directors may authorize. Effective January 1, 2009, the Company's Board of Directors increased the number of authorized shares by 1,846,116 shares. At March 31, 2009, the Company had 3,965,456 shares available for grant under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan and 364,946 shares available for grant under the 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

SFAS 123R Compensation Expense

Total compensation cost for all share-based payment arrangements, including employee, director and consultant stock options, restricted stock and the Company's employee stock purchase plan for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$2.7 million and \$2.0 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Stock-based compensation expense related to outstanding employee stock option grants and the Company's employee stock purchase plan for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$1.9 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, 517,815 stock options were granted, of which 482,615 were in connection with annual merit awards; the remainder were granted in conjunction with the hiring of new employees. Stock-based compensation expense, calculated using the accelerated method under FIN 28, related to outstanding consultant stock option grants for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was \$66,000. The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted to employees was calculated using the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model and the weighted average assumptions noted in the table below. The weighted average grant date fair value of option awards granted during the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$8.41 and \$5.39 per option, respectively.

The following table summarizes the weighted average assumptions the Company used in its fair value calculations at the date of grant:

	Weighted Average Assumptions			
	Three Months Ended March 31, 2009	Stock Options Three Months Ended March 31, 2008	Employee Stock Purchase Plan Three Months Ended March 31, 2009	Employee Stock Purchase Plan Three Months Ended March 31, 2008
Expected volatility	98%	83%	93%	79%
Expected dividends				
Expected life (years)	6.6	6	0.5	0.5
Risk-free interest rate	2.3%	3.2%	0.9%	3.3%

At March 31, 2009, the total remaining unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock option awards amounted to \$13.3 million, including estimated forfeitures, which will be recognized over the weighted average remaining requisite service period of 2.6 years.

During the three months ended March 31, 2009, holders of options issued under the Company's stock plans exercised their right to acquire an aggregate of 6,161 shares of common stock. Additionally, the Company issued 18,733 shares of common stock to employees under the Company's employee stock purchase plan during the three months ended March 31, 2009.

Restricted Stock Awards

The Company has also made awards of restricted common stock to certain employees, officers and directors. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, the Company awarded 169,350 shares of restricted common stock to certain employees and officers in connection with annual merit awards. Awards generally fully vest four years from the grant date, although certain awards have performance conditions, such as the commercial launch of M-Enoxaparin in the U.S.

A summary of the status of nonvested shares of restricted stock as of March 31, 2009, and the changes during the three months then ended, is presented below:

	Number of Shares (in thousands)		Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Nonvested at January 1, 2009	985	\$	16.89
Granted	169		10.43
Vested	(56)		7.61
Forfeited			
Nonvested at March 31, 2009	1,098	\$	16.37

Nonvested shares of restricted stock that have time-based or performance-based vesting schedules as of March 31, 2009 are summarized below:

Vesting Schedule	Nonvested Shares (in thousands)
Time-based	683
Performance-based	415
Nonvested at March 31, 2009	1,098

Table of Contents

The Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$0.7 million related to outstanding restricted stock awards during each of the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. As of March 31, 2009, the total remaining unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested restricted stock awards amounted to \$5.2 million, including estimated forfeitures, which is expected to be recognized over the weighted average remaining requisite service period of 1.6 years.

7. Legal Contingencies

In July 2008, the FDA accepted for review the ANDA containing a paragraph IV certification for generic Copaxone® submitted by Sandoz AG. Subsequently, in August 2008, Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. and related entities sued Sandoz AG, Novartis AG and the Company for patent infringement. While it is not possible to determine with any degree of certainty the ultimate outcome of the legal proceeding, the Company believes that it has meritorious defenses with respect to the claims asserted against it and intends to vigorously defend its position. In addition, under the terms of the 2006 Sandoz Collaboration, Sandoz AG agreed to indemnify the Company for various claims, including patent infringement claims based on the Company's activities related to partnered programs. The Company has not recorded any accrual for such matter as it is not probable that a loss has been incurred nor is a loss estimable.

8. Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 157-4, *Determining Whether a Market Is Not Active and a Transaction Is Not Distressed*, or FSP FAS 157-4, which provides guidelines for making fair value measurements more consistent with the principles presented in SFAS 157. FSP FAS 157-4 provides additional authoritative guidance in determining whether a market is active or inactive, and whether a transaction is distressed, is applicable to all assets and liabilities (*i.e.* financial and nonfinancial) and will require enhanced disclosures. This standard is effective for periods ending after June 15, 2009.

In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 115-2, FAS 124-2, and EITF 99-20-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*, which provides additional guidance to provide greater clarity about the credit and noncredit component of an other-than-temporary impairment event and to more effectively communicate when an other-than-temporary impairment event has occurred. This FASB Staff Position applies to debt securities. This standard is effective for periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company is evaluating the impact that this standard will have on its financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, which amends FASB Statement No. 107, *Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments in interim as well as in annual financial statements. This FASB Staff Position also amends APB Opinion No. 28, *Interim Financial Reporting*, to require those disclosures in all interim financial statements. This standard is effective for periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company is evaluating the impact that this standard will have on its financial statements.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations includes the identification of certain trends and other statements that may predict or anticipate future business or financial results. There are important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those indicated. See Risk Factors in Item 1A of Part II of this Quarterly Report Form 10-Q.

Business Overview

Momenta is a biotechnology company with a product pipeline of both complex mixture generic and novel drugs. This pipeline is derived from our proprietary, innovative technology platform for the detailed structural analysis of complex mixture drugs. We use this platform to study the *structure* (thorough characterization of chemical components), *structure-process* (design and control of manufacturing process), and *structure-activity* (relating structure to biological and clinical activity) of complex mixture drugs.

Our complex mixture generics and follow-on biologics effort is focused on building a thorough understanding of the *structure-process-activity* of complex mixture drugs to develop generic versions of marketed products. While we use a similar analytical and development approach across all of our product candidates, we tailor that approach for each specific product candidate. Our first objective is to apply our core analytical technology to thoroughly characterize the *structure* of the marketed product. By defining the chemical composition of multiple batches of the marketed product, we are able to develop an equivalence window, which captures the inherent variability of the innovator's manufacturing process. Using this information we then build an extensive understanding of the *structure-process* relationship to design and control our manufacturing process to reproducibly manufacture an equivalent version of the marketed product. Where necessary, and as required by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, we will supplement an application with additional supportive *structure-activity* data (e.g., immunogenicity, pharmacodynamics). Our goal is to obtain FDA approval for and commercialize generic or follow-on versions of complex mixture products, thereby providing high quality, safe and affordable medicines to patients in need.

Our two most advanced complex generic candidates target marketed products which were originally approved by the FDA as New Drug Applications, or NDAs. Therefore, we were able to access the existing generic regulatory pathway and submit an Abbreviated New Drug Application, or ANDA, for these generic candidates. *M-Enoxaparin* is designed to be a technology-enabled generic version of Lovenox® (enoxaparin sodium injection), a low molecular weight heparin, or LMWH, used to prevent and treat deep vein thrombosis, or DVT, and to support the treatment of acute coronary syndromes, or ACS. This drug is a complex mixture of polysaccharide chains derived from naturally sourced heparin. Our second major generic product candidate is *M356*, a technology-enabled generic version of Copaxone® (glatiramer acetate injection), a drug that is indicated for the reduction of the frequency of relapses in patients with Relapsing-Remitting Multiple Sclerosis, or RRMS. Copaxone consists of a complex mixture of polypeptide chains. With M356, we have extended our core characterization capabilities from the characterization of complex polysaccharide mixtures to include the characterization of complex polypeptide mixtures.

In addition to our two complex generic product candidates, which are both currently under review by FDA, we have further extended our analytical and development platform to pursue generic or follow-on versions of biologic drugs. Our efforts on our internal *Glycoprotein Research Program*, as well as *M178*, are focused on developing generic or follow-on versions of marketed therapeutic proteins, which are derived from natural or cell-based manufacturing processes. By thoroughly characterizing these biologic molecules, we seek to gain a deeper understanding of the relationship between their manufacturing processes and final product compositions. Our goal is to replicate our development approach with M-Enoxaparin and M356 and pursue the development and commercialization of multiple generic or follow-on versions of marketed therapeutics.

Our complex mixture novel drug research and development efforts leverage our analytical technology platform and *structure-process* knowledge to develop novel drugs by studying the *structure-activity* of complex mixtures and develop novel drugs. With our capabilities to thoroughly characterize complex mixtures, we are targeting our efforts to understand the relationship between structure and the biological and therapeutic activity of various complex mixture drugs. Our goal is to capitalize on the structural diversity and multi-targeting potential of these complex mixtures to engineer novel drugs that we believe will meet key unmet medical needs in various diseases. While we believe that our capabilities to engineer improved and novel complex mixture drugs can be applied across several product categories with significant therapeutic potential, such as polysaccharides, polypeptides and glycoproteins, our initial focus has been in the area of complex polysaccharide mixtures.

Our lead novel drug candidate, *M118*, has been engineered to possess what we believe will be an improved therapeutic profile compared with other currently marketed products to support the treatment of ACS. This quarter, *M402*, our second novel drug candidate, entered early development as a potential inhibitor of angiogenesis and tumor metastasis. We also are seeking to discover and develop novel therapeutics by applying our technology to better understand the function of these polysaccharide mixtures in biological processes, with an initial focus in oncology.

Since our inception in May 2001, we have incurred annual net losses. As of March 31, 2009, we had an accumulated deficit of \$274.9 million. We expect to incur substantial and increasing losses for the next several years as we develop our product candidates, expand our research and development activities and prepare for the commercial launch of our product candidates. Additionally, we plan to continue to

Table of Contents

evaluate possible acquisitions or licensing of rights to additional technologies, products or assets that fit within our growth strategy. Accordingly, we will need to generate significant revenues to achieve and then maintain profitability.

In November 2003, we entered into a collaboration and license agreement, or the 2003 Sandoz Collaboration, with Sandoz N.V. and Sandoz Inc. to jointly develop and commercialize M-Enoxaparin. Sandoz N.V. later assigned its rights in the 2003 Sandoz Collaboration to Sandoz AG. We refer to Sandoz AG and Sandoz Inc. together as Sandoz.

In July 2006, we entered into a series of agreements, including a Stock Purchase Agreement and an Investor Rights Agreement, each with Novartis Pharma AG, and a Memorandum of Understanding (the MOU) with Sandoz AG, an affiliate of Novartis Pharma AG. On June 13, 2007, we and Sandoz AG executed a definitive collaboration and license agreement (the Definitive Agreement), which superseded the MOU. Together, this series of agreements is referred to as the 2006 Sandoz Collaboration. Under the Definitive Agreement, we and Sandoz AG jointly develop, manufacture and commercialize M356.

Since our inception, we have had no revenues from product sales. Our revenues have all been derived from our 2003 Sandoz Collaboration and 2006 Sandoz Collaboration and primarily consist of amounts earned by us for reimbursement by Sandoz of research and development services and development costs for certain programs. In June 2004, we completed an initial public offering of 6,152,500 shares of common stock, the net proceeds of which were \$35.3 million after deducting underwriters discounts and expenses. In July 2005, we raised \$122.3 million in a follow-on public offering, net of expenses, from the sale and issuance of 4,827,300 shares of our common stock. In September 2006, in connection with the 2006 Sandoz Collaboration, we sold 4,708,679 shares of common stock to Novartis Pharma AG at a per share price of \$15.93 (the closing price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Market was \$13.05 on the date of purchase) for an aggregate purchase price of \$75.0 million, resulting in an equity premium of \$13.6 million. In December 2008, we raised \$24.1 million in a public offering, net of expenses, from the sale and issuance of 2,800,000 shares of our common stock. To date, we have devoted substantially all of our capital resource expenditures to the research and development of our product candidates.

Financial Operations Overview

Revenue

We have not yet generated any revenue from product sales and are uncertain whether or not we will generate any revenue from the sale of products over the next several years. We have recognized, in the aggregate, \$78.4 million of revenue from our inception through March 31, 2009. This revenue was derived entirely from our 2003 Sandoz Collaboration and 2006 Sandoz Collaboration. We will seek to generate revenue from a combination of research and development payments, profit sharing payments, milestone payments and royalties in connection with our 2003 Sandoz Collaboration and 2006 Sandoz Collaboration and similar future collaborative or strategic relationships. We expect that any revenue we generate will fluctuate from quarter to quarter as a result of the timing and amount of research and development and other payments received under our collaborative or strategic relationships, and the amount and timing of payments we receive upon the sale of our products, to the extent any are successfully commercialized.

Research and Development

Research and development expenses consist of costs incurred in identifying, developing and testing product candidates. These expenses consist primarily of salaries and related expenses for personnel, license fees, consulting fees, contract research and manufacturing, and the costs of laboratory equipment and facilities. We expense research and development costs as incurred. Due to the variability in the length of time necessary to develop a product, the uncertainties related to the estimated cost of the projects and ultimate ability to obtain governmental approval for commercialization, accurate and meaningful estimates of the ultimate cost to bring our product candidates to market are not available.

The following summarizes our primary research and development programs:

Development Programs

M-Enoxaparin

Our most advanced product candidate, M-Enoxaparin, is designed to be a generic version of Lovenox. Lovenox is a widely-prescribed LMWH used for the prevention and treatment of deep vein thrombosis, or DVT, and to support the treatment of acute coronary syndromes, or ACS. Under our 2003 Sandoz Collaboration, we work with Sandoz exclusively to develop, manufacture and commercialize M-Enoxaparin in the U.S. and Sandoz is responsible for funding substantially all of the U.S.-related M-Enoxaparin development, regulatory, legal and commercialization costs. The total cost of development and commercialization, and the timing of M-Enoxaparin product launch, are subject to uncertainties relating to the development, regulatory approval and legal processes. Our collaborative partner, Sandoz, submitted ANDAs in its name to the FDA for M-Enoxaparin in syringe and vial forms seeking approval to market M-Enoxaparin in the United States. Both ANDAs currently include a Paragraph IV certification stating that Sanofi-Aventis' patents listed in the Orange Book for Lovenox are, among other things, invalid and unenforceable.

The FDA is currently reviewing both M-Enoxaparin ANDAs, including our manufacturing data and technology and characterization methodology. In November 2007, Sandoz received a letter from the FDA stating that the syringe ANDA for M-Enoxaparin was not approvable in its current form because the ANDA did not adequately address the potential for immunogenicity of the drug product. Starting in early 2008, we and Sandoz conferred with the FDA concerning the design of studies to address the FDA's concerns in this area. These interactions led to the FDA's general concurrence with our proposed approach and to the submission of an immunogenicity amendment to the M-Enoxaparin ANDA in September, 2008. The ANDA review process is ongoing. We are in regular communication with the FDA to address any additional questions or requests that it may have as it continues the review of our application. The FDA has not requested human clinical trials at this time. However, there can be no assurances that the FDA will not require additional studies, including clinical studies, in the future and we cannot predict with a high degree of certainty the timing of any potential approval of the M-Enoxaparin ANDA by the FDA. We and Sandoz are also

Table of Contents

in active dialogue with the FDA regarding the sourcing and processing of our heparin supply. The FDA has inspected the Chinese facilities that supply heparin to Sandoz for the manufacture of M-Enoxaparin, although we have not yet received the FDA's final inspection reports. We and Sandoz are working together to prepare for the commercialization of M-Enoxaparin, if and when approved, by advancing manufacturing, supply chain, and sales and marketing objectives.

Our 2006 Sandoz Collaboration expanded our collaboration efforts related to M-Enoxaparin to include the European Union. Under the 2006 Sandoz Collaboration, we will share certain development, regulatory, legal and commercialization costs as well as a portion of the profits, if any.

M356

M356 is designed to be a technology-enabled generic version of Copaxone, a complex drug consisting of a mixture of polypeptide chains. Copaxone is indicated for reduction of the frequency of relapses in patients with Relapse-Remitting Multiple Sclerosis. Multiple sclerosis is a chronic disease of the central nervous system characterized by inflammation and neurodegeneration. In North America, Copaxone is marketed by Teva Neuroscience LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. In Europe, Copaxone is marketed by Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. and Sanofi-Aventis.

In December 2007, our collaborative partner, Sandoz AG, submitted to the FDA an ANDA in its name containing a Paragraph IV certification seeking approval to market M356 in the United States. In July 2008, the FDA notified Sandoz AG that it had accepted the ANDA for review as of December 27, 2007. In addition, the FDA's published database indicates that the first substantially complete ANDA submitted for glatiramer acetate injection containing a Paragraph IV certification was filed on December 27, 2007, making Sandoz AG's ANDA eligible for the grant of a 180-day generic exclusivity period upon approval.

M118

M118 is a novel anticoagulant that was rationally designed to capture, in a single therapy, the positive attributes of both unfractionated heparin (reversibility, monitorability and broad inhibition of the coagulation cascade) and LMWH (adequate bioavailability and predictable pharmacokinetics to allow for convenient subcutaneous administration). We believe that M118 has the potential to provide baseline anticoagulant therapy for patients diagnosed with ACS who are medically managed and who may or may not require coronary intervention in order to treat their condition, as well as for patients diagnosed with stable angina who require a coronary intervention. We believe that the properties of M118 observed to date in both preclinical and clinical investigations continue to support the design hypothesis and may provide physicians with a more flexible treatment option than is currently available. ACS includes several diseases ranging from unstable angina, which is characterized by chest pain at rest, to acute myocardial infarction, or heart attack, which is caused by a complete blockage of a coronary artery. Currently, a majority of patients are initially medically managed with an anti-clotting agent, such as LMWH or unfractionated heparin, or UFH, in combination with other therapies. An increasing proportion of ACS patients are also proceeding to early intervention with procedures such as angioplasty or coronary artery bypass grafting, or CABG. Both angioplasty and CABG require anticoagulant therapy to prevent clot formation during and immediately following the procedure. M118 is designed to be a LMWH that could be used in multiple settings, including initial medical management, angioplasty or CABG.

Edgar Filing: MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-Q

In July 2006, we filed an Investigational New Drug Application, or IND, with the FDA for our M118 intravenous injection product and in October 2006 began Phase 1 clinical trials to evaluate its human safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetic profile. In October 2007, we began a Phase 2a clinical trial to evaluate the feasibility of utilizing M118 intravenous injection as an anticoagulant in patients with stable coronary artery disease undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. Enrollment in the Phase 2a clinical trial concluded in April 2009.

In March 2007, we filed an IND for our M118 subcutaneous injection product, and in May 2007 began Phase 1 clinical trials to evaluate its human safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetic profile.

Table of Contents

M402

M402 is a heparan sulfate glycosaminoglycan, or HSGAG, mimetic engineered from low molecular weight heparin, or LMWH, to have potent anti-metastatic properties and low anticoagulant activity. M402, our second novel product candidate, is in preclinical development. HSGAGs are complex sugar-based molecules present in the tumor microenvironment that present growth factors, cytokines, and chemokines necessary for tumor cell growth, migration, and survival; M402 is designed to exploit the biology of HSGAGs. Data from preclinical studies have shown that M402 has the potential to modulate angiogenesis and tumor metastasis through a variety of HSGAG-binding proteins.

Glycoproteins

Glycoproteins are proteins to which sugar molecules are attached. Examples of glycoprotein drugs are erythropoietin, blood clotting factors and interferon beta. We are applying our technology to the development of generic or biosimilar glycoprotein drugs. We believe that this technology can further be used in assisting pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies in developing improved and next-generation versions of their branded products by analyzing and modifying the sugar structures contained in the branded products, and can also be used to engineer novel complex mixture drugs.

Our internal glycoprotein program and our collaboration with Sandoz AG on M178 are focused on extending our technology for the analysis of complex sugars to glycoproteins, and on facilitating the development of generic or biosimilar versions of major marketed glycoprotein drugs.

Discovery Program

We are also applying our analytical capabilities to drug discovery. Our discovery program is focused on the role that complex sugars play in biological systems, including regulating the development and progression of disease. We believe that our technology can provide us with a better understanding of the role of sugars in disease, enabling us to discover novel sugar therapeutics, as well as to discover new disease mechanisms that can be targeted with other small molecule and biologic drugs.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries and other related costs for personnel in executive, finance, legal, accounting, investor relations, business development and human resource functions. Other costs include facility and insurance costs not otherwise included in research and development expenses and professional fees for legal and accounting services and other general expenses.

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008

Revenue

Revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 were \$4.0 million and \$4.2 million, respectively. Revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 consist of (i) amounts earned by us under our 2003 Sandoz Collaboration for reimbursement of research and development services, reimbursement of development costs and amortization of the initial payment received and (ii) amounts earned by us under our 2006 Sandoz Collaboration for reimbursement of research and development services, reimbursement of development costs and amortization of the equity premium. Revenues for the three month period ended March 31, 2009 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2008 decreased by \$0.2 million due to a \$0.6 million decrease in reimbursable expenses associated with M-Enoxaparin, primarily for manufacturing and non-clinical study costs, and a \$0.6 million decrease in reimbursable expenses associated with our M178 program, as planned development activities on the M178 program have been completed, offset by an increase of \$1.0 million in reimbursable manufacturing expenses for our M356 program.

Research and Development

Research and development expense for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was \$15.8 million compared to \$12.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The increase of \$2.9 million, or 22%, from the 2008 period to the 2009 period resulted from increases of: \$1.4 million in clinical trial costs as we approach the completion of the Phase 2a clinical trial for our M118 program; \$0.5 million in manufacturing, process development and third-party research costs in support of our M356 program; \$0.3 million in stock-based compensation

Table of Contents

expense; \$0.3 million in laboratory equipment depreciation; \$0.2 million in research consultants; \$0.1 million in personnel and related costs associated with the growth in our research and development organization; and \$0.1 million in laboratory supplies.

The lengthy process of securing FDA approvals for new drugs requires the expenditure of substantial resources. Any failure by us to obtain, or any delay in obtaining, regulatory approvals would materially adversely affect our product development efforts and our business overall. Accordingly, we cannot currently estimate with any degree of certainty the amount of time or money that we will be required to expend in the future on our product candidates prior to their regulatory approval, if such approval is ever granted. As a result of these uncertainties surrounding the timing and outcome of any approvals, we are currently unable to estimate when, if ever, our product candidates will generate revenues and cash flows. We expect future research and development expenses to increase in support of our product candidates.

The following table summarizes the primary components of our research and development expenditures for our principal research and development programs for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, and shows the total external costs incurred by us for each of our major research and development projects. The table excludes costs incurred by our collaboration partner on such major research and development projects. We do not maintain or evaluate, and therefore do not allocate, internal research and development costs on a project-by-project basis. Consequently, the Company does not analyze internal research and development costs by project in managing its research and development activities.

Development programs (Status)	Research and Development Expense (in thousands)		
	Three Months Ended March 31, 2009	Three Months Ended March 31, 2008	Project Inception to March 31, 2009
M-Enoxaparin (ANDA Filed)	\$ 775	\$ 1,141	\$ 40,638
M356 (ANDA Filed)	2,105	819	18,110
M118 (Phase 2a)	2,742	1,162	32,370
Other development programs	179	324	1,703
Discovery programs	65	191	2,298
Research and development internal costs	9,952	9,276	
Total research and development expense	\$ 15,818	\$ 12,913	

The decrease of \$0.4 million in external expenditures related to our M-Enoxaparin program from the 2008 period to the 2009 period was primarily due to lower manufacturing activity and a shift to commercial activity being contracted directly with Sandoz. The increase of \$1.3 million in external expenditures related to our M356 program from the 2008 period to the 2009 period was primarily related to process development activities, manufacturing costs and third-party research. The increase of \$1.6 million in external expenditures on our M118 program from the 2008 period to the 2009 period was primarily attributable to the costs incurred as we approach the end of our Phase 2a clinical trial.

The research and development internal costs, which consist of compensation and other expense for research and development personnel, supplies and materials, facility costs and depreciation, increased \$0.7 million from the 2008 period to the 2009 period due to additional research and development headcount and related costs in support of our development programs.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expense for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was \$6.3 million, compared to \$5.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. General and administrative expense increased by \$0.5 million, or 9%, from the 2008 period to the 2009 period due to an increase of \$0.4 million in stock-based compensation expense resulting from the annual merit grant of stock options and an increase of \$0.2 million in professional fees due to an increase in legal activities, offset by a decrease of \$0.1 million in personnel and related costs.

We expect our general and administrative expenses, including internal and external legal and business development costs that support our various product development efforts, to vary from period to period in relation to our research and development activities.

Table of Contents

Interest Income and Expense

Interest income was \$0.4 million and \$1.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decrease of \$1.0 million from the 2008 period to the 2009 period was primarily due to lower average investment balances and lower interest rates.

Interest expense was \$0.2 million for each of the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. We did not draw any additional amounts from our equipment line of credit during 2008 or the three months ended March 31, 2009.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have financed our operations since inception primarily through the sale of equity securities, payments from our 2003 Sandoz Collaboration and 2006 Sandoz Collaboration and borrowings from our lines of credit and capital lease obligations. We expect to finance our current and planned operating requirements principally through our current cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities. We believe that these funds will be sufficient to meet our operating requirements through at least 2010. However, our forecast of the period of time through which our financial resources will be adequate to support our operations is a forward-looking statement that involves risks and uncertainties, and actual results could vary materially. We may, from time to time, seek additional funding through a combination of new collaborative agreements, strategic alliances and additional equity and debt financings or from other sources.

At March 31, 2009, we had \$87.9 million in cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities. In addition, we also hold \$1.8 million in restricted cash, which serves as collateral for a letter of credit related to our facility lease. Our funds at March 31, 2009 were invested in senior debt of government-sponsored enterprises, U.S. money market funds and high-grade corporate securities, directly or through managed funds, with remaining maturities of one year or less. Our cash is deposited in and invested through highly rated financial institutions in North America. The composition and mix of cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities may change frequently as a result of our evaluation of conditions in the financial markets, the maturity of specific investments, and our near term liquidity needs. We do not believe that our cash equivalents and marketable securities are subject to significant risk at March 31, 2009.

During the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, our operating activities used \$19.6 million and \$12.3 million, respectively. The use of cash for operating activities generally approximates our net loss adjusted for non-cash items and changes in operating assets and liabilities. Non-cash items include stock based compensation of \$2.7 million, depreciation and amortization of \$1.1 million and accretion of discount on investments of \$0.3 million. For the three months ended March 31, 2009, our net loss adjusted for non-cash items was \$14.3 million. In addition, the net change in our operating assets and liabilities used \$5.3 million and resulted from: an increase in accounts receivable of \$0.4 million, due to the timing of cash receipts from Sandoz; an increase in unbilled collaboration revenue of \$1.1 million, resulting from increased manufacturing and research costs for our M-356 program; a decrease in accounts payable of \$1.7 million, due to the payment of manufacturing and research costs for our M-Enoxaparin program and payments made to vendors for our M118 Phase 2a clinical trial; a decrease in deferred revenue of \$0.5 million, due to the amortization of the \$13.6 million equity premium paid by Novartis AG in connection with the 2006 Sandoz Collaboration; a decrease in accrued expenses of \$1.5 million, resulting from the payment of annual bonuses earned during 2008; and an increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets of \$0.1 million, due to the renewal of vendor maintenance agreements. For the three months ended March 31, 2008, our net loss adjusted for non-cash items was \$11.1 million. In addition, the net change in our operating assets and liabilities used \$1.2 million and resulted from: a decrease in accounts receivable of \$0.7 million, due to the timing of cash receipts from Sandoz; a decrease in unbilled collaboration revenue of \$5.4 million, resulting from decreased manufacturing and research costs for our M-Enoxaparin program; a decrease in accounts payable of \$5.4 million, due to the payment of manufacturing and research costs for our M-Enoxaparin program and Phase 2a clinical trial costs for our M118 program; a decrease in accrued expenses of \$1.9 million, resulting from

Edgar Filing: MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-Q

the payment of annual bonuses earned during 2007; and decreased manufacturing and research costs for our M-Enoxaparin program and decreased Phase 2a clinical trial costs for our M118 program.

Net cash used in investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was \$7.5 million. In the first three months of 2009, we used \$29.5 million of cash to purchase marketable securities and we received \$22.7 million from the maturities of marketable securities. Net cash provided by investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2008 was \$8.6 million. In the first three months of 2008, we used \$39.6 million of cash to purchase marketable securities, and we received \$48.6 million from sales and maturities of marketable securities. In the first three months of 2009 and 2008, we used \$0.7 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, to purchase laboratory equipment and leasehold improvements.

Net cash used in financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was \$0.4 million. We received proceeds of \$0.2 million from stock option exercises and purchases of shares of common stock through our employee stock purchase plan. These proceeds were offset by principal payments of \$0.4 million on our line of credit and lease agreement obligations and \$0.2 million on financed leasehold improvements related to our corporate facility. Net cash used in financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2008 was \$0.6 million. We received proceeds of \$0.2 million from stock option exercises and purchases of shares of common stock through our employee

Table of Contents

stock purchase plan. These proceeds were offset by principal payments of \$0.6 million on our line of credit and lease agreement obligations and \$0.2 million on financed leasehold improvements related to our corporate facility.

Contractual Obligations

Our major outstanding contractual obligations relate to license maintenance obligations, short and long-term line of credit obligations and capital and operating lease obligations. The disclosures relating to our contractual obligations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 have not materially changed since we filed that report.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates and judgments, including those related to revenue, accrued expenses and certain equity instruments. Prior to the initial public offering, we also evaluated our estimates and judgments regarding the fair valuation assigned to our common stock. We base our estimates on historical experience, known trends and events and various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our financial statements.

Revenue

We recognize revenue from research and development collaboration agreements in accordance with SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin, or SAB, No. 101, *Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements*, as amended by SAB No. 104, *Revenue Recognition*, Emerging Issues Task Force, or EITF, Issue No. 00-21, *Revenue Arrangements With Multiple Deliverables*, and EITF Issue No. 07-01, *Accounting for Collaborative Arrangements Related to the Development and Commercialization of Intellectual Property*.

We record revenue on an accrual basis as it is earned and when amounts are considered collectible. Revenues received in advance of performance obligations or in cases where we have a continuing obligation to perform services are deferred and recognized over the performance period. When we are required to defer revenue, the period over which such revenue is recognized is based on estimates by management and may change over the course of the performance period. At the inception of a collaboration agreement, we estimate the term of our performance obligation based on our development plans and our estimate of the regulatory review period. The development plans generally include designing a manufacturing process to make the drug product, scaling up the process, contributing to the preparation of regulatory filings,

further scaling up the manufacturing process to commercial scale and related development of intellectual property. Each reporting period we reassess our remaining performance obligations under the applicable collaboration arrangement by considering the time period over which any remaining development and related services to be provided prior to obtaining regulatory approval are expected to be completed. Changes in our estimate could occur due to changes in our development plans or due to changes in regulatory or legal requirements. We have deferred upfront payments of \$0.6 million and \$13.6 million in connection with our 2003 Sandoz Collaboration and 2006 Sandoz Collaboration, respectively. Such upfront payments are being recognized over our estimated period of performance obligation, which is approximately five and a half years and six years, respectively, from the applicable collaboration inception date. The deferral period for the upfront payment associated with our 2003 Sandoz Collaboration was completed during 2008.

Revenues from milestone payments that represent the culmination of a separate earnings process are recorded when the milestone is achieved.

Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Marketable Securities

We invest our excess cash in bank deposits, money market accounts, corporate debt securities, commercial paper and U.S. government sponsored enterprise obligations. We consider all highly liquid investments purchased with maturities of three months or less from the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents are carried at fair value, which approximates cost, and primarily consist of money market funds maintained at major U.S. financial institutions. All marketable securities, which primarily represent marketable debt securities, have been classified as available-for-sale. Purchased premiums or discounts on debt securities are amortized to interest income through the stated maturities of the debt securities. We determine the appropriate classification of our investments in marketable securities at the time of purchase and evaluate such designation as of each balance sheet date. Unrealized gains and losses are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), which is reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Realized gains and losses and declines in value judged to be other-than-temporary, if any, on available-for-sale securities are included in interest income. During the three months ended March 31, 2009

Table of Contents

and 2008, we recorded realized gains on marketable securities of zero and \$47,000, respectively. The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method. Interest earned on marketable securities is included in interest income.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of our financial instruments, which include cash equivalents and other accrued expenses, approximate their fair values due to their short maturities. The carrying amount of our line of credit and capital lease obligations approximate their fair values due to their variable interest rates.

Stock-Based Compensation

We adopted the Financial Accounting Standards Board's, or FASB, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, or SFAS, No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share Based Payment*, or SFAS 123R, effective January 1, 2006 under the modified prospective transition method. SFAS 123R requires the recognition of the fair value of stock-based compensation expense in our operations, and accordingly the adoption of SFAS 123R fair value method has had and will continue to have a significant impact on our results of operations, although it will have no impact on our overall financial position.

Prior to January 1, 2006, we accounted for employee stock options under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board, or APB, Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, or APB 25, and provided pro forma disclosures of net loss attributable and net loss per share allocable to common stockholders as if we had adopted the fair value based method of accounting in accordance with SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, as amended by SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123*.

We determine the fair value of each option award on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model. Option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions, including stock price volatility and expected term of an option. In determining our volatility, we have considered implied volatilities of currently traded options to provide an estimate of volatility based upon current trading activity in addition to our historical volatility. After considering other factors such as our stage of development and the length of time we have been public, we believe a blended volatility rate based upon historical performance, as well as the implied volatilities of currently traded options, best reflects the expected volatility of our stock going forward. Changes in market price directly affect volatility and could cause stock-based compensation expense to vary significantly in future reporting periods.

The expected term of awards represents the period of time that the awards are expected to be outstanding. We use a blend of our own historical employee exercise and post-vest termination behavior and expected term data from our peer group to arrive at the estimated expected life of an option. For purposes of identifying similar entities, we considered characteristics such as industry, stage of life cycle and financial leverage. We update these assumptions as needed to reflect recent historical data. Additionally, we are required to estimate forfeiture rates to approximate the number of shares that will vest in a period to which the fair value is applied. We will continually monitor employee exercise behavior and may further adjust the estimated term and forfeiture rates in future periods. Increasing the estimated life would result in an increase in the fair value to be recognized over the requisite service period, generally the vesting period. Estimated forfeitures will be adjusted to actual forfeitures upon the vest date of the cancelled options as a cumulative adjustment on a quarterly basis. The risk-free interest rates used in the Black-Scholes-Merton

Edgar Filing: MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-Q

option pricing model are based on the United States Treasury yield curve in effect for periods corresponding with the expected term of the stock option.

The value of our restricted stock awards is recognized as compensation cost in our consolidated statements of operations over each award's explicit or implicit service periods. We estimate an award's implicit service period based on our best estimate of the period over which an award's vesting conditions will be achieved. We reevaluate these estimates on a quarterly basis and will recognize any remaining unrecognized compensation as of the date of an estimate revision over the revised remaining implicit service period. In March 2009, we revised the implicit service period for certain performance-based restricted stock awards due to a change in the expected vesting date. The impact of this change in estimate on our net loss and net loss per share was immaterial for the three months ended March 31, 2009.

During the three months ended March 31, 2009, we recognized total stock-based compensation expense under SFAS 123R of \$2.7 million. As of March 31, 2009, the total remaining unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock option awards amounted to \$13.3 million, including estimated forfeitures, which will be amortized over the weighted-average remaining requisite service periods of 2.6 years. As of March 31, 2009, the total remaining unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested restricted stock awards amounted to \$5.2 million, including estimated forfeitures, which will be amortized over the weighted-average remaining requisite service periods of approximately 1.6 years.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

We are exposed to market risk related to changes in interest rates. Our current investment policy is to maintain an investment portfolio consisting mainly of U.S. money market and high-grade corporate securities, directly or through managed funds, with maturities of twenty-four months or less. Our cash is deposited in and invested through highly rated financial institutions in North America. Our marketable securities are subject to interest rate risk and will fall in value if market interest rates increase. If market interest rates were to increase immediately and uniformly by 10% from levels at March 31, 2009, we estimate that the fair value of our investment portfolio would decline by an immaterial amount. While our cash and investment balances have increased as a result of our initial and follow-on public offerings, we have the ability to hold our fixed income investments until maturity, and therefore we would not expect our operating results or cash flows to be affected to any significant degree by the effect of a change in market interest rates on our investments.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2009. The term disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is accumulated and communicated to the company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2009, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, occurred during the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Statements contained or incorporated by reference in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that are not based on historical fact are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. These forward-looking statements regarding future events and our future results are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts, projections, intentions, goals, strategies, plans, prospects and the beliefs and assumptions of our management including, without limitation, our expectations regarding results of operations, general and administrative expenses, research and development expenses, current and future development and manufacturing efforts, regulatory filings, clinical trial results and the sufficiency of our cash for future operations. Forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology such as anticipate, believe, could, could increase the likelihood, hope, target, project, goals, potential, predict, might, estimate, expect, intend, is planned, may, should, will, will enable, would be expected, look forward, may provide, would or similar terms, variations of such terms or the negative of those terms.

We cannot assure investors that our assumptions and expectations will prove to have been correct. Important factors could cause our actual results to differ materially from those indicated or implied by forward-looking statements. Such factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include those factors discussed below. We undertake no intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations would likely suffer.

Risks Relating to our Business

We have a limited operating history and have incurred a cumulative loss since inception. If we do not generate significant revenues, we will not be profitable.

We have incurred significant losses since our inception in May 2001. At March 31, 2009, our accumulated deficit was \$274.9 million. We have not generated revenues from the sale of any products to date. We expect that our annual operating losses will increase over the next several years as we expand our drug commercialization, development and discovery efforts. To become profitable, we must successfully develop and obtain regulatory approval for our existing drug candidates, and effectively manufacture, market and sell any drugs we successfully develop. Accordingly, we may never generate significant revenues and, even if we do generate significant revenues, we may never achieve profitability.

To become and remain profitable, we must succeed in developing and commercializing drugs with significant market potential. This will require us to be successful in a range of challenging activities: developing drugs; obtaining regulatory approval for them through either existing or new regulatory approval pathways; clearing allegedly infringing patent rights; and manufacturing, distributing, marketing and selling them. We may never succeed in these activities and may never generate revenues that are significant or large enough to achieve profitability. Even if we do achieve profitability, we may not be able to sustain or increase profitability on a quarterly or annual basis. Our failure to become and remain profitable would cause the market price of our common stock to decrease and could impair our ability to raise capital, expand our business, diversify our product offerings or continue our operations.

If we fail to obtain approval for and commercialize our most advanced product candidate, M-Enoxaparin, we may have to curtail our product development programs and our business would be materially harmed.

We have invested a significant portion of our time, financial resources and collaboration efforts in the development of our most advanced product candidate, M-Enoxaparin, a technology-enabled generic version of Lovenox. Our near-term ability to generate revenues and our future success, in large part, depend on the successful development and commercialization of M-Enoxaparin.

In accordance with our 2003 Sandoz Collaboration, Sandoz has submitted ANDAs to the FDA seeking approval to market M-Enoxaparin in the United States. In November 2007, Sandoz received a letter from the FDA stating that the syringe ANDA for M-Enoxaparin was not approvable because the ANDA did not adequately address the potential for immunogenicity of the drug product. In September 2008, Sandoz submitted an amendment to the M-Enoxaparin ANDA and the ANDA review process by FDA is ongoing. If any of the following occurs, we may never realize revenue from this product, we may have to curtail our other product development programs and, as a result, our business would be materially harmed:

Table of Contents

- if the response filed in September 2008 fails to answer the FDA's questions related to the potential for immunogenicity of the drug product;
- if we fail to answer any subsequent questions from the FDA to its satisfaction as it proceeds with its review of the M-Enoxaparin ANDA;
- if we are unable to satisfactorily demonstrate therapeutic equivalence of M-Enoxaparin to Lovenox;
- if the FDA disagrees with our characterization approach or does not agree that M-Enoxaparin is equivalent to Lovenox;
- if we otherwise fail to meet FDA requirements for the ANDA (including, but not limited to, manufacturing and bioequivalence requirements); or
- if we fail to obtain FDA approval for, and successfully commercialize, M-Enoxaparin.

If other generic versions of Lovenox are approved and successfully commercialized, our business would suffer.

In March 2003, Amphastar and Teva each submitted ANDAs for generic versions of Lovenox with the FDA. In 2007, Hospira, Inc. filed ANDAs for generic versions of Lovenox with the FDA. In addition, other third parties, including, without limitation, Sanofi-Aventis, may seek approval to market generic versions of Lovenox in the United States. If a competitor obtains FDA approval or if Sanofi-Aventis decides to market its drug as a generic or license it to another company to be sold as a generic, both known as authorized generics, the financial returns to us from the marketing of M-Enoxaparin would be materially adversely affected. Under these circumstances, we may not gain any competitive advantage and the resulting market price for our M-Enoxaparin product may be lower, our commercial launch may be delayed or we may not be able to launch our product at all. Also, we may never achieve significant market share for M-Enoxaparin if one or more third parties markets generic versions of Lovenox.

The 2003 Sandoz Collaboration contains terms which specify the sharing of commercial returns of M-Enoxaparin between us and Sandoz. Under circumstances when one or more third parties successfully commercialize a generic version of Lovenox, significantly less favorable economic terms for us would be triggered. Consequently, if other generic versions of Lovenox are approved and commercialized, our revenues from M-Enoxaparin would be reduced and, as a result, our business, including our near-term financial results and our ability to fund future discovery and development programs, would suffer.

Our patent litigation with Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., the innovator of Copaxone, may cause delays and additional expense in the commercialization of M356. If we are not successful in commercializing M356 or are significantly delayed in doing so, our business may be materially harmed.

In July 2008, the FDA accepted for review the ANDA containing a paragraph IV certification for generic Copaxone submitted by Sandoz. Subsequently, in August 2008, Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. and related entities sued Sandoz, Novartis AG and us for patent infringement. This litigation could significantly delay, impair or prevent our ability to commercialize M356, our second major generic product candidate. Litigation involves many risks and uncertainties, and there is no assurance that Novartis AG, Sandoz or we will prevail in any lawsuit with Teva Pharmaceutical Industries. In addition, Teva Pharmaceutical Industries has significant resources and any litigation with Teva Pharmaceutical Industries could last a number of years, potentially delaying or prohibiting the commercialization of M356. If we are not successful in commercializing M356 or are significantly delayed in doing so, our business may be materially harmed.

If other generic versions of our product candidates are approved and successfully commercialized, our business would suffer.

We expect that certain of our product candidates may face intense and increasing competition from other manufacturers of generic and/or branded products. As patents for branded products and related exclusivity periods expire, manufacturers of generic products may receive regulatory approval for generic equivalents and may be able to achieve significant market penetration. As this happens, or as branded manufacturers launch authorized generic versions of such products, market share, revenues and gross profit typically decline, in some cases, dramatically. If any of our generic product offerings, including M-Enoxaparin or M356, enter markets with a number of competitors, we may not achieve significant market share, revenues or gross profit. In addition, as other generic products are introduced to the markets in which we participate, the market share, revenues and gross profit of our generic products could decline.

Table of Contents

We utilize new technologies in the development of some of our products that have not been reviewed or accepted by regulatory authorities.

The approvals of some of our products in current or future development, including M-Enoxaparin and M356, are based upon new technologies that may have not previously been accepted by the FDA or other regulatory authorities. The FDA's review and acceptance of our technologies may take time and resources, require independent third-party analysis or may not be accepted by the FDA and other regulatory authorities. For some of our products, the regulatory approval path and requirements may not be clear, which could add significant delay and expense. Delays or failure to obtain regulatory approval of any of the products that we develop would adversely affect our business.

If the raw materials, including unfractionated heparin, or UFH, used in our products become difficult to obtain, significantly increase in cost or become unavailable, we may be unable to produce our products and this would have a material adverse impact on our business.

We and our collaborative partners and vendors obtain certain raw materials, including UFH, from suppliers who in turn source the materials from other countries, including China. In 2008, due to the occurrence of adverse events associated with the use of UFH, there were global recalls of UFH products, including in the United States. Based on investigation by the FDA into those adverse events, the FDA identified a heparin-like contaminant in the implicated UFH products and recommended that manufacturers and suppliers of UFH use additional tests to screen their UFH active pharmaceutical ingredient. The FDA has also placed restrictions on the import of some raw materials from China, and may in the future place additional restrictions and testing requirements on the use of raw materials, including UFH, in products intended for sale in the United States. As a result, the raw materials, including UFH, used in our products may become difficult to obtain, significantly increase in cost, or become unavailable to us. If any of these events occur, we and our collaborative partners may be unable to produce our products in sufficient quantities to meet the requirements for the commercial launch of the product or to meet future demand, which would have a material adverse impact on our business.

If we or our collaborative partners and other third parties are unable to satisfy FDA quality standards, experience manufacturing difficulties or are unable to manufacture sufficient quantities of our product candidates, including M-Enoxaparin, M356, and M118, our development and commercialization efforts may be materially harmed.

We have limited personnel with experience in, and we do not own facilities for, manufacturing any products. We depend upon our collaborative partners and other third parties to provide raw materials meeting FDA quality standards, manufacture the drug substance, produce the final drug product and provide certain analytical services with respect to our product candidates, including M-Enoxaparin. We, our collaborative partners or our third-party contractors may have difficulty meeting FDA manufacturing requirements, including, but not limited to, reproducibility, validation and scale-up, and continued compliance with current good manufacturing practices requirements. In addition, events such as the contamination of UFH may have an adverse impact on the supply of starting or raw materials for some of our product candidates, and we, our collaborative partners or our third-party contractors may have difficulty producing products in the quantities necessary to meet FDA requirements or meet anticipated market demand. If we, our collaborative partners or our third-party manufacturers or suppliers are unable to satisfy the FDA pre-approval manufacturing requirements for our product candidates, or are unable to produce our products in sufficient quantities to meet the requirements for the launch of the product or to meet future demand, our revenues and gross margins could be adversely affected.

We will require substantial additional funds to execute our business plan and, if additional capital is not available, we may need to limit, scale back or cease our operations.

Edgar Filing: MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-Q

As of March 31, 2009, we had cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities totaling \$87.9 million. For the three months ended March 31, 2009, we had a net loss of \$17.9 million and used cash in operating activities of \$19.6 million. We will continue to require substantial funds to conduct research and development, process development, manufacturing, preclinical testing and clinical trials of our drug candidates, as well as funds necessary to manufacture and market any products that are approved for commercial sale. Because successful development of our drug candidates is uncertain, we are unable to estimate the actual funds we will require to complete research and development and commercialize our products under development.

Our future capital requirements may vary depending on the following:

- the advancement of our generic product candidates and other development programs, including the timing of regulatory approvals;
- the timing of FDA approval of the products of our competitors;
- the cost of litigation, including with Teva Pharmaceuticals Industries relating to Copaxone, that is not otherwise covered by our collaboration agreement, or potential patent litigation with others, as well as any damages, including possibly treble damages, that may be owed to third parties should we be unsuccessful in such litigation;

Table of Contents

- the time and costs involved in obtaining regulatory approvals;
- the continued progress in our research and development programs, including completion of our preclinical studies and clinical trials;
- the potential acquisition and in-licensing of other technologies, products or assets; and
- the cost of manufacturing, marketing and sales activities, if any.

We may seek additional funding in the future and intend to do so through collaborative arrangements and public or private equity and debt financings. Any additional capital raised through the sale of equity may dilute your percentage ownership of our common stock. Capital raised through debt financing would require us to make periodic interest payments and may impose potentially restrictive covenants on the conduct of our business. Additional funds may not be available to us on acceptable terms or at all. In addition, the terms of any financing may adversely affect the holdings or the rights of our stockholders. If we are unable to obtain funding on a timely basis, we may be required to significantly curtail one or more of our research or development programs. We also could be required to seek funds through arrangements with collaborators or others that may require us to relinquish rights to some of our technologies, product candidates or products which we would otherwise pursue on our own.

We will need to develop or acquire additional technologies as part of our efforts to analyze the chemical composition of complex mixture drugs.

In order to adequately analyze other complex mixture drugs, such as glycoproteins, we will need to develop or acquire new technologies. Our inability to develop or acquire and apply these new technologies would impair our ability to develop improved, next-generation or follow-on versions of existing products. Our inability to develop or acquire additional technology for the characterization of complex mixtures could reduce the likelihood of our success developing additional products.

Competition in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries is intense, and if we are unable to compete effectively, our financial results will suffer.

The markets in which we intend to compete are undergoing, and are expected to continue to undergo, rapid and significant technological change. We expect competition to intensify as technological advances are made or new biotechnology products are introduced. New developments by competitors may render our current or future product candidates and/or technologies non-competitive, obsolete or not economical. Our competitors' products may be more efficacious or marketed and sold more effectively than any of our products.

Many of our competitors have:

- significantly greater financial, technical and human resources than we have at every stage of the discovery, development, manufacturing and commercialization process;
- more extensive experience in commercializing generic drugs, conducting preclinical studies, conducting clinical trials, obtaining regulatory approvals, challenging patents and manufacturing and marketing pharmaceutical products;
- products that have been approved or are in late stages of development; and
- collaborative arrangements in our target markets with leading companies and/or research institutions.

If we successfully develop and obtain approval for our drug candidates, we will face competition based on many different factors, including:

- the safety and effectiveness of our products;
- the differential availability of clinical data and experience between a brand manufacturer that conducts clinical trials and a generic manufacturer;
- the timing and scope of regulatory approvals for these products;
- the availability and cost of manufacturing, marketing, distribution and sales capabilities;
- the effectiveness of our marketing, distribution and sales capabilities;

Table of Contents

- the price of our products;
- the availability and amount of third-party reimbursement for our products; and
- the strength of our patent position.

Our competitors may develop or commercialize products with significant advantages in regard to any of these factors. Our competitors may therefore be more successful in commercializing their products than we are, which could adversely affect our competitive position and business.

If we are unable to establish and maintain key customer distribution arrangements, sales of our products, and therefore revenues, would decline.

Generic pharmaceutical products are sold through various channels, including retail, mail order, and to hospitals through group purchasing organizations, or GPOs. As enoxaparin is primarily a hospital-based product, we expect to derive a large percentage of our future revenue for M-Enoxaparin through contracts with GPOs. Currently, a relatively small number of GPOs control a substantial portion of generic pharmaceutical sales to hospital customers. In order to establish and maintain contracts with these GPOs, we believe that we, in collaboration with Sandoz, will need to maintain adequate drug supplies, remain price competitive, comply with FDA regulations and provide high-quality products. The GPOs with whom we hope to establish contracts may also have relationships with our competitors and may decide to contract for or otherwise prefer products other than ours, limiting access of M-Enoxaparin to certain hospital segments. Our sales could also be negatively affected by any rebates, discounts or fees that are required by our customers, including the GPOs, wholesalers, distributors, retail chains or mail order services, to gain and retain market acceptance for our products. We anticipate that M356 will be primarily distributed through retail channels and mail order services. If we are unable to establish and maintain distribution arrangements with all of these customers, future sales of our products, including M-Enoxaparin and M356, our revenues and our profits would suffer.

Even if we receive approval to market our drug candidates, the market may not be receptive to our drug candidates upon their commercial introduction, which could prevent us from being profitable.

Even if our drug candidates are successfully developed, our success and growth will also depend upon the acceptance of these drug candidates by physicians and third-party payors. Acceptance of our product development candidates will be a function of our products being clinically useful, being cost effective and demonstrating superior therapeutic effect with an acceptable side effect profile as compared to existing or future treatments. In addition, even if our products achieve market acceptance, we may not be able to maintain that market acceptance over time.

Factors that we believe will materially affect market acceptance of our drug candidates under development include:

Edgar Filing: MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-Q

- the timing of our receipt of any marketing approvals, the terms of any approval and the countries in which approvals are obtained;
- the safety, efficacy and ease of administration of our products;
- the competitive pricing of our products;
- the success and extent of our physician education and marketing programs;
- the clinical, medical affairs, sales, distribution and marketing efforts of competitors; and
- the availability and amount of government and third-party payor reimbursement.

If our products do not achieve market acceptance, we will not be able to generate sufficient revenues from product sales to maintain or grow our business.

If we are not able to retain our current management team or attract and retain qualified scientific, technical and business personnel, our business will suffer.

We are dependent on the members of our management team for our business success. Our employment arrangements with our executive officers are terminable by either party on short notice or no notice. We do not carry life insurance on the lives of any of our personnel. The loss of any of our executive officers would result in a significant loss in the knowledge and experience that we, as an organization, possess and could cause significant delays, or outright failure, in the development and approval of our product candidates. In addition, there is intense

Table of Contents

competition from numerous pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, universities, governmental entities and other research institutions, for human resources, including management, in the technical fields in which we operate, and we may not be able to attract and retain qualified personnel necessary for the successful development and commercialization of our product candidates.

There is a substantial risk of product liability claims in our business. If our existing product liability insurance is insufficient, a product liability claim against us that exceeds the amount of our insurance coverage could adversely affect our business.

Our business exposes us to significant potential product liability risks that are inherent in the development, manufacturing and marketing of human therapeutic products. Product liability claims could delay or prevent completion of our development programs. If we succeed in marketing products, such claims could result in a recall of our products or a change in the indications for which they may be used. While we currently maintain product liability insurance coverage that we believe is adequate for our current operations, we cannot be sure that such coverage will be adequate to cover any incident or all incidents. Furthermore, clinical trial and product liability insurance is becoming increasingly expensive. As a result, we may be unable to maintain sufficient insurance at a reasonable cost to protect us against losses that could have a material adverse effect on our business. These liabilities could prevent or interfere with our product development and commercialization efforts.

As we evolve from a company primarily involved in drug discovery and development into one that is also involved in the commercialization of drug products, we may have difficulty managing our growth and expanding our operations successfully.

As we advance our drug candidates through the development process, we will need to expand our development, regulatory, manufacturing, distribution, sales and marketing capabilities or contract with other organizations to provide these capabilities for us. As our operations expand, we expect that we will need to manage additional relationships with various collaborative partners, suppliers and other organizations. Our ability to manage our operations and growth requires us to continue to improve our operational, financial and management controls, reporting systems and procedures. For example, several jurisdictions such as the District of Columbia and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts have imposed new licensing requirements for sales representatives and new reporting requirements that would require public reporting of consulting and research fees to health care professionals. Because the reporting requirements vary in each jurisdiction, compliance will be complex and expensive. The need to build new systems as part of our growth could place a strain on our administrative and operational infrastructure. We may not be able to make improvements to our management information and control systems in an efficient or timely manner and may discover deficiencies in existing systems and controls.

We may acquire or make investments in companies or technologies that could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition or cash flows.

We may acquire or invest in companies, products and technologies. Such transactions involve a number of risks, including:

- we may find that the acquired company or assets does not further our business strategy, or that we overpaid for the company or assets, or that economic conditions change, all of which may generate a future impairment charge;

Edgar Filing: MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-Q

- difficulty integrating the operations and personnel of the acquired business, and difficulty retaining the key personnel of the acquired business;
- difficulty incorporating the acquired technologies;
- difficulties or failures with the performance of the acquired technologies or drug products;
- we may face product liability risks associated with the sale of the acquired company's products;
- disruption or diversion of management's attention by transition or integration issues and the complexity of managing diverse locations;
- difficulty maintaining uniform standards, internal controls, procedures and policies;
- the acquisition may result in litigation from terminated employees or third parties; and
- we may experience significant problems or liabilities associated with product quality, technology and legal contingencies.

These factors could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition or cash flows, particularly in the case of a larger acquisition or multiple acquisitions in a short period of time. From time to time, we may enter into negotiations for

Table of Contents

acquisitions that are not ultimately consummated. Such negotiations could result in significant diversion of management time, as well as out-of-pocket costs.

The consideration paid in connection with an acquisition also affects our financial results. If we were to proceed with one or more significant acquisitions in which the consideration included cash, we could be required to use a substantial portion of our available cash to consummate any acquisition. To the extent we issue shares of stock or other rights to purchase stock, including options or other rights, existing stockholders may be diluted and earnings per share may decrease. In addition, acquisitions may result in the incurrence of debt, large one-time write-offs and restructuring charges. They may also result in goodwill and other intangible assets that are subject to impairment tests, which could result in future impairment charges.

Risks Relating to Development and Regulatory Approval

If we are not able to obtain regulatory approval for commercial sale of our generic product candidates, including M-Enoxaparin and M356, as therapeutic equivalents to their corresponding reference listed drugs, our future results of operations will be adversely affected.

Our future results of operations depend to a significant degree on our ability to obtain regulatory approval for and commercialize generic versions of complex drugs, such as M-Enoxaparin and M356. We will be required to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the FDA, among other things, that our generic products:

- contain the same active ingredients as the branded products upon which they are based,
- are of the same dosage form, strength and route of administration as the branded products upon which they are based, and have the same labeling as the approved labeling for the branded products, with certain exceptions, and
- meet compendial or other applicable standards for strength, quality, purity and identity, including potency.

In addition, approval of a generic product generally requires demonstrating that the generic drug is bioequivalent to the reference listed drug upon which it is based, meaning that there are no significant differences with respect to the rate and extent to which the active ingredients are absorbed and become available at the site of drug action. However, the FDA may or may not waive the requirements for certain bioequivalence data (including clinical data) for certain drug products, including injectable solutions that have been shown to contain the same active and inactive ingredients in the same concentration as the reference listed drug.

Determination of therapeutic equivalence of our generic versions of complex drugs to the reference listed drugs will be based, in part, on our demonstration of the chemical equivalence of our versions to their respective reference listed drugs. The FDA may not agree that we have

Edgar Filing: MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-Q

adequately characterized our products or that our products and their respective branded drugs are chemical equivalents. In that case, the FDA may require additional information, including preclinical or clinical test results, to determine therapeutic equivalence or to confirm that any inactive ingredients or impurities do not compromise the product's safety and efficacy. Provision of sufficient information for approval may be difficult, expensive and lengthy. We cannot predict whether any of our generic product candidates will receive FDA approval.

In the event that the FDA modifies its current standards for therapeutic equivalence with respect to generic versions of Lovenox, Copaxone or other complex drug products, does not establish standards for interchangeability for generic versions of complex drug products, or requires us to conduct clinical trials or complete other lengthy procedures, the commercialization of some of our development candidates could be delayed or prevented or become more expensive. Delays in any part of the process or our inability to obtain regulatory approval for our products could adversely affect our operating results by restricting or significantly delaying our introduction of new products.

Table of Contents

If the United States Congress does not take action to create an abbreviated regulatory pathway for follow-on biologics, and if the FDA is not able to establish specific guidelines regarding the scientific analyses required for characterizing follow-on versions of biologics and complex protein drugs, then the uncertainty about the potential value of our glycoprotein program will be increased.

The regulatory climate in the United States for follow-on versions of biologics and complex protein products remains uncertain. Although there has been recent legislative activity, there is currently no established statutory or regulatory pathway for approval of follow-on versions of biologics and most protein drugs. The FDA has approved the majority of new protein products under the Public Health Service Act, or PHSA, through the use of Biologic License Applications, or BLAs. There is no provision in the PHSA for an abbreviated BLA approval pathway comparable to an ANDA under Section 505(j) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or the FDCA, and the FDA has stated it does not believe it has the authority to rely on prior BLA approvals or on their underlying data to approve follow-on products. Moreover, even for proteins originally approved as NDAs under Section 505(b) of the FDCA, there is uncertainty as to what data the FDA may require to demonstrate the sameness required for approval of an ANDA. In addition, there has been opposition to the FDA's use of section 505(b)(2), which allows an applicant to rely on information from published scientific literature and/or a prior approval of a similar drug, to approve follow-on versions of protein and other complex drug products approved under section 505(b)(1) of the FDCA.

Although the FDA has previously stated its intention to draft guidance that is broadly applicable to follow-on protein products, the agency has not issued such guidance to date and may never do so. Protracted timelines and failure of the FDA to establish standards for approval of follow-on protein products or failure of the United States Congress to enact legislation establishing an abbreviated pathway for approval of follow-on biologics could reduce the value of, or render obsolete, our glycoprotein program.

If our preclinical studies and clinical trials for our development candidates, including M118 and M402, are not successful, we will not be able to obtain regulatory approval for commercial sale of our novel or improved drug candidates.

To obtain regulatory approval for the commercial sale of our novel drug candidates, we are required to demonstrate through preclinical studies and clinical trials that our drug development candidates are safe and effective. Preclinical studies and clinical trials of new development candidates are lengthy and expensive and the historical failure rate for development candidates is high.

A failure of one or more of our preclinical studies or clinical trials can occur at any stage of testing. We may experience numerous unforeseen events during, or as a result of, preclinical studies and clinical trials that could delay or prevent our ability to receive regulatory approval or commercialize M118, M402 or our other drug candidates, including:

- regulators or institutional review boards may not authorize us to commence a clinical trial or conduct a clinical trial at a prospective trial site;
- our preclinical studies or clinical trials may produce negative or inconclusive results, and we may be required to conduct additional preclinical studies or clinical trials or we may abandon projects that we previously expected to be promising;

Edgar Filing: MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-Q

- enrollment in our clinical trials may be slower than we anticipate, resulting in significant delays, and participants may drop out of our clinical trials at a higher rate than we anticipate;
- we might have to suspend or terminate our clinical trials if the participants are being exposed to unacceptable health risks;
- regulators or institutional review boards may require that we hold, suspend or terminate clinical research for various reasons, including noncompliance with regulatory requirements;
- the cost of our clinical trials may be greater than we anticipate; and
- the effects of our drug candidates may not be the desired effects or may include undesirable side effects or our product candidates may have other unexpected characteristics.

The results from preclinical studies of a development candidate may not predict the results that will be obtained in human clinical trials. If we are required to conduct additional clinical trials or other testing of M118, M402 or our product candidates beyond those that we currently contemplate, if we are unable to successfully complete our clinical trials or other tests, or if the results of these trials are not positive or are only modestly positive, we may be delayed in obtaining marketing approval for our drug candidates or we may not be able to obtain marketing approval at all. Our product development costs will also increase if we experience delays in testing or approvals. Significant clinical trial delays could allow our competitors to bring products to market before we do and impair our ability to commercialize our products or potential products. If any of these events occur, our business will be materially harmed.

Table of Contents

Failure to obtain regulatory approval in foreign jurisdictions would prevent us from marketing our products abroad.

We intend in the future to market our products outside of the United States. In order to market our products in the European Union and many other foreign jurisdictions, we must obtain separate regulatory approvals and comply with the numerous and varying regulatory requirements of each jurisdiction. The approval procedure and requirements vary among countries, and can require, among other things, submitting or conducting additional testing in each jurisdiction. The time required to obtain approval abroad may differ from that required to obtain FDA approval. The foreign regulatory approval process may include all of the risks associated with obtaining FDA approval, and we may not obtain foreign regulatory approvals on a timely basis, if at all. Approval by the FDA does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other countries, and approval by one foreign regulatory authority does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in any other foreign country or by the FDA. We and our collaborators may not be able to file for regulatory approvals and may not receive necessary approvals to commercialize our products in any market outside of the United States. The failure to obtain these approvals could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Even if we obtain regulatory approvals, our marketed drugs will be subject to ongoing regulatory review. If we fail to comply with continuing United States and foreign regulations, we could lose our approvals to market drugs and our business would be seriously harmed.

Even after approval, any drug products we develop will be subject to ongoing regulatory review, including the review of clinical results which are reported after our drug products are made commercially available. In addition, the manufacturer and manufacturing facilities we use to produce any of our drug candidates will be subject to periodic review and inspection by the FDA. We will be required to report any serious and unexpected adverse experiences and certain quality problems with our products and make other periodic reports to the FDA. The discovery of any new or previously unknown problems with the product, manufacturer or facility may result in restrictions on the drug or manufacturer or facility, including withdrawal of the drug from the market. Certain changes to an approved product, including in the way it is manufactured or promoted, often require prior FDA approval before the product as modified may be marketed. If we fail to comply with applicable continuing FDA regulatory requirements, we may be subject to warning letters, civil penalties, suspension or withdrawal of regulatory approvals, product recalls and seizures, injunctions, operating restrictions and/or criminal prosecutions and penalties.

Similarly, we will be subject to comprehensive compliance obligations under state and federal reimbursement, anti-kickback and government pricing regulations. If we make false price reports, fail to implement adequate compliance controls or our employees violate the laws and regulations governing relationships with health care providers, we could also be subject to substantial fines and penalties, criminal prosecution and debarment from participation in the Medicare, Medicaid or other government reimbursement programs.

If third-party payors do not adequately reimburse customers for any of our approved products, they might not be purchased or used, and our revenues and profits will not develop or increase.

Our revenues and profits will depend heavily upon the availability of adequate reimbursement for the use of our approved product candidates from governmental and other third-party payors, both in the United States and in foreign markets. Reimbursement by a third-party payor may depend upon a number of factors, including the third-party payor's determination that use of a product is:

- a covered benefit under its health plan;

- safe, effective and medically necessary;
- appropriate for the specific patient;
- cost-effective; and
- neither experimental nor investigational.

Obtaining reimbursement approval for a product from each government or other third-party payor is a time-consuming and costly process that could require us to provide supporting scientific, clinical and cost-effectiveness data for the use of our products to each payor. We may not be able to provide data sufficient to gain acceptance with respect to reimbursement. There is substantial uncertainty whether any particular payor will reimburse the use of any drug product incorporating new technology. Even when a payor determines that a product is eligible for reimbursement, the payor may impose coverage limitations that preclude payment for some uses that are approved by the FDA or comparable authority. Moreover, eligibility for coverage does not imply that any product will be reimbursed in all cases or at a rate that allows us to make a profit or even cover our costs. Interim payments for new products, if applicable, may also not be sufficient to cover our costs and may not be made permanent. Reimbursement rates may vary according to the use of the product and the clinical setting in which it is used, may be based on payments allowed for lower-cost products that are already reimbursed, may be incorporated into existing payments for other products or services, and may reflect budgetary constraints and/or imperfections in Medicare, Medicaid or other data used to calculate these rates. Net prices for products may be reduced by mandatory discounts or rebates required by government health care programs or by any future

Table of Contents

relaxation of laws that restrict imports of certain medical products from countries where they may be sold at lower prices than in the United States.

There have been, and we expect that there will continue to be, federal and state proposals to constrain expenditures for medical products and services, which may affect payments for our products. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, frequently change product descriptors, coverage policies, product and service codes, payment methodologies and reimbursement values. Third-party payors often follow Medicare coverage policy and payment limitations in setting their own reimbursement rates, and both CMS and other third-party payors may have sufficient market power to demand significant price reductions. Due in part to actions by third-party payors, the health care industry is experiencing a trend toward containing or reducing costs through various means, including lowering reimbursement rates, limiting therapeutic class coverage and negotiating reduced payment schedules with service providers for drug products.

Our inability to promptly obtain coverage and profitable reimbursement rates from government-funded and private payors for our products could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and our overall financial condition.

If efforts by manufacturers of branded products to delay or limit the use of generics are successful, our sales of technology-enabled generic products may suffer.

Many manufacturers of branded products have increasingly used legislative, regulatory and other means to delay competition from manufacturers of generic drugs. These efforts have included:

- settling patent lawsuits with generic companies, resulting in such patents remaining an obstacle for generic approval by others;
- settling paragraph IV patent litigation with generic companies to prevent the expiration of the 180-day generic marketing exclusivity period or to delay the triggering of such exclusivity period;
- submitting Citizen Petitions to request the FDA Commissioner to take administrative action with respect to prospective and submitted generic drug applications;
- seeking changes to the United States Pharmacopeia, an industry recognized compilation of drug standards;
- pursuing new patents for existing products or processes which could extend patent protection for a number of years or otherwise delay the launch of generic drugs; and

- attaching special patent extension amendments to unrelated federal legislation.

In February 2003, Sanofi-Aventis filed a Citizen Petition with the FDA requesting that the FDA withhold approval of any ANDA for a generic version of Lovenox until and unless the FDA determines that the manufacturing process used by the generic applicant is equivalent to the process used to make Lovenox, or until the generic applicant demonstrates through clinical trials that its product is equally safe and effective as Lovenox, and unless the generic product is shown to contain a specific molecular structure. Teva, Amphastar, and others have filed comments opposing the Sanofi-Aventis Citizen Petition, and Sanofi-Aventis has filed numerous supplements and reply comments in support of its Citizen Petition. The FDA has yet to rule on the Sanofi-Aventis Citizen Petition, and if the FDA ultimately grants the Sanofi-Aventis Citizen Petition, we and Sandoz may be unable to obtain approval of our ANDA for M-Enoxaparin, which would materially harm our business.

In September 2008, Teva Neuroscience, Inc. (on behalf of Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.) filed a Citizen Petition with the FDA requesting that the FDA neither approve nor accept for filing any ANDA for a generic version of Copaxone because the complexity of Copaxone makes it impossible to demonstrate that the active ingredient in the generic version is the same as Copaxone. The FDA dismissed the petition in March 2009 without ruling on the merits. The FDA's policy is to rule on Citizens Petitions within 180 days that seek to prevent approval of an ANDA if the petition was filed after the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003, or MMA. If at the end of the 180 period, the ANDA is not ready for approval or rejection, then the FDA's policy is to dismiss the petition without acting on the petition. Teva Neuroscience, Inc. may seek to refile the petition, if it does, and if the FDA accepts the subsequent filing and ultimately grants the Citizen Petition, we and Sandoz may be unable to obtain approval of the ANDA for M356, which would materially harm our business.

Further, some manufacturers of branded products have engaged in state-by-state initiatives to enact legislation that restricts the substitution of some branded drugs with generic drugs. If these efforts to delay or block competition are successful, we may be unable to sell our generic products, which could have a material adverse effect on our sales and profitability.

Table of Contents

Federal legislation will increase the pressure to reduce prices of pharmaceutical products paid for by Medicare, which could adversely affect our revenues, if any.

The MMA changed the way Medicare covers and reimburses for pharmaceutical products. The legislation introduced a new reimbursement methodology based on average sales prices for drugs that are used in hospital settings or under the direct supervision of a physician and, starting in 2006, expanded Medicare coverage for drug purchases by the elderly. In addition, the MMA requires the creation of formularies for self-administered drugs, and provides authority for limiting the number of drugs that will be covered in any therapeutic class and provides for plan sponsors to negotiate prices with manufacturers and suppliers of covered drugs. As a result of the MMA and the expansion of federal coverage of drug products, we expect continuing pressure to contain and reduce costs of pharmaceutical products. Cost reduction initiatives and other provisions of this legislation could decrease the coverage and price that we receive for our products and could materially adversely affect our operating results and overall financial condition. While the MMA generally applies only to drug benefits for Medicare beneficiaries, private payors often follow Medicare coverage policy and payment limitations in setting their own reimbursement policies, and any reduction in coverage or payment that results from the MMA may result in a similar reduction in coverage or payments from private payors.

Congress has from time to time considered other legislation, which if enacted, would permit more widespread re-importation of drugs from foreign countries into the United States and which may include re-importation from foreign countries where drugs are frequently sold at lower prices than in the United States; other proposed legislation would have removed restrictions on CMS' ability to negotiate discounts directly with prescription drug manufacturers provided through the Medicare program. Such legislation, or similar regulatory changes, could decrease the reimbursement we receive for any approved products which, in turn, could materially adversely affect our operating results and our overall financial condition.

Foreign governments tend to impose strict price or reimbursement controls, which may adversely affect our revenues, if any.

In some foreign countries, particularly the countries of the European Union, the pricing and/or reimbursement of prescription pharmaceuticals is subject to governmental control. In these countries, pricing negotiations with governmental authorities can take considerable time after the receipt of marketing approval for a product. To obtain reimbursement or pricing approval in some countries, we may be required to conduct a clinical trial that compares the cost-effectiveness of our product candidate to other available therapies. If reimbursement of our products is unavailable or limited in scope or amount, or if pricing is set at unsatisfactory levels, our business could be adversely affected.

If we do not comply with laws regulating the protection of the environment and health and human safety, our business could be adversely affected.

Our research and development involves, and may in the future involve, the use of hazardous materials and chemicals and certain radioactive materials and related equipment. For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, we spent approximately \$65,000, \$64,000 and \$31,000, respectively, in order to comply with environmental and waste disposal regulations. Although we believe that our safety procedures for handling and disposing of these materials comply with the standards mandated by state and federal regulations, the risk of accidental contamination or injury from these materials cannot be eliminated. If an accident occurs, we could be held liable for resulting damages, which could be substantial. We are also subject to numerous environmental, health and workplace safety laws and regulations, including those governing laboratory procedures, exposure to blood-borne pathogens and the handling of biohazardous materials. Although we maintain workers compensation insurance as prescribed by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and, for claims not covered by workers' compensation insurance, employer's liability insurance, to cover us for costs and expenses we may incur due to injuries to our employees resulting from the use of these materials, this insurance may not provide adequate coverage against potential liabilities. We do not maintain insurance for environmental

liability or toxic tort claims that may be asserted against us. Additional federal, state and local laws and regulations affecting our operations may be adopted in the future. We may incur substantial costs to comply with, and substantial fines or penalties if we violate, any of these laws or regulations.

Risks Relating to Patents and Licenses

If we are not able to obtain and enforce patent protection for our discoveries, our ability to successfully commercialize our product candidates will be harmed and we may not be able to operate our business profitably.

Our success depends, in part, on our ability to protect proprietary methods and technologies that we develop under the patent and other intellectual property laws of the United States and other countries, so that we can prevent others from using our inventions and proprietary information. However, we may not hold proprietary rights to some patents related to our current or future product candidates. Because patent applications in the United States and many foreign jurisdictions are typically not published until 18 months after filing, or in some cases not at all, and because publications of discoveries in scientific literature lag behind actual discoveries, we cannot be certain that we were the first to make the inventions claimed in issued patents or pending patent applications, or that we were the first to file for protection of the inventions set forth in our patent applications. As a result, we may be required to obtain licenses under third-party patents to market our proposed products. If licenses are not available to us on acceptable terms, or at all, we will not be able to market the affected products.

Our strategy depends on our ability to rapidly identify and seek patent protection for our discoveries. This process is expensive and time consuming, and we may not be able to file and prosecute all necessary or desirable patent applications at a reasonable cost or in a timely

Table of Contents

manner. Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties may be able to obtain and use information that we regard as proprietary. The issuance of a patent does not guarantee that it is valid or enforceable, so even if we obtain patents, they may not be valid or enforceable against third parties. In addition, the issuance of a patent does not guarantee that we have the right to practice the patented invention. Third parties may have blocking patents that could be used to prevent us from marketing our own patented product and practicing our own patented technology.

Our pending patent applications may not result in issued patents. The patent position of pharmaceutical or biotechnology companies, including ours, is generally uncertain and involves complex legal and factual considerations. The standards which the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and its foreign counterparts use to grant patents are not always applied predictably or uniformly and can change. There is also no uniform, worldwide policy regarding the subject matter and scope of claims granted or allowable in pharmaceutical or biotechnology patents. The laws of some foreign countries do not protect proprietary information to the same extent as the laws of the United States, and many companies have encountered significant problems and costs in protecting their proprietary information in these foreign countries. Accordingly, we do not know the degree of future protection for our proprietary rights or the breadth of claims allowed in any patents issued to us or to others.

The allowance of broader claims may increase the incidence and cost of patent interference proceedings and/or opposition proceedings, and the risk of infringement litigation. On the other hand, the allowance of narrower claims may limit the value of our proprietary rights. Our issued patents may not contain claims sufficiently broad to protect us against third parties with similar technologies or products, or provide us with any competitive advantage. Moreover, once they have issued, our patents and any patent for which we have licensed or may license rights may be challenged, narrowed, invalidated or circumvented. If our patents are invalidated or otherwise limited, other companies will be better able to develop products that compete with ours, which could adversely affect our competitive business position, business prospects and financial condition.

We also rely on trade secrets, know-how and technology, which are not protected by patents, to maintain our competitive position. If any trade secret, know-how or other technology not protected by a patent were to be disclosed to or independently developed by a competitor, our business and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

Third parties may allege that we are infringing their intellectual property rights, forcing us to expend substantial resources in resulting litigation, the outcome of which would be uncertain. Any unfavorable outcome of such litigation could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position and results of operations.

If any party asserts that we are infringing its intellectual property rights or that our creation or use of proprietary technology infringes upon its intellectual property rights, we might be forced to incur expenses to respond to and litigate the claims. Furthermore, we may be ordered to pay damages, potentially including treble damages, if we are found to have willfully infringed a party's patent rights. In addition, if we are unsuccessful in litigation, or pending the outcome of litigation, a court could issue a temporary injunction or a permanent injunction preventing us from marketing and selling the patented drug or other technology for the life of the patent that we have allegedly or been deemed to have infringed. Litigation concerning intellectual property and proprietary technologies is becoming more widespread and can be protracted and expensive, and can distract management and other key personnel from performing their duties for us.

Any legal action against us or our collaborators claiming damages and seeking to enjoin any activities, including commercial activities relating to the affected products, and processes could, in addition to subjecting us to potential liability for damages, require us or our collaborators to obtain a license in order to continue to manufacture or market the affected products and processes. Any license required under any patent may not be made available on commercially acceptable terms, if at all. In addition, some licenses may be non-exclusive, and therefore, our

competitors may have access to the same technology licensed to us.

If we fail to obtain a required license or are unable to design around a patent, we may be unable to effectively market some of our technology and products, which could limit our ability to generate revenues or achieve profitability and possibly prevent us from generating revenue sufficient to sustain our operations.

Table of Contents

If we become involved in patent litigation or other proceedings to determine or enforce our intellectual property rights, we could incur substantial costs which could adversely affect our business.

We may need to resort to litigation to enforce a patent issued to us or to determine the scope and validity of third-party patent or other proprietary rights in jurisdictions where we intend to market our products, including the United States, the European Union, and many other foreign jurisdictions. The cost to us of any litigation or other proceeding relating to determining the validity of intellectual property rights, even if resolved in our favor, could be substantial and could divert our management's efforts. Some of our competitors may be able to sustain the costs of complex patent litigation more effectively than we can because they may have substantially greater resources. Moreover, the failure to obtain a favorable outcome in any litigation in a jurisdiction where there is a claim of patent infringement could significantly delay the marketing of our products in that particular jurisdiction. The costs and uncertainties resulting from the initiation and continuation of any litigation could limit our ability to continue our operations.

We in-license a significant portion of our proprietary technologies and if we fail to comply with our obligations under any of the related agreements, we could lose license rights that are necessary to develop our product candidates.

We are a party to and rely on a number of in-license agreements with third parties, such as those with the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, that give us rights to intellectual property that is necessary for our business. In addition, we expect to enter into additional licenses in the future. Our current in-license arrangements impose various diligence, development, royalty and other obligations on us. If we breach our obligations with regard to our exclusive in-licenses, they could be converted to non-exclusive licenses or the agreements could be terminated, which would result in our being unable to develop, manufacture and sell products that are covered by the licensed technology.

Risks Relating to Our Dependence on Third Parties

Our 2003 Sandoz Collaboration and 2006 Sandoz Collaboration are important to our business. If Sandoz fails to adequately perform under either collaboration, or if we or Sandoz terminate all or a portion of either collaboration, the development and commercialization of some of our drug candidates, including injectable enoxaparin, would be delayed or terminated and our business would be adversely affected.

2003 Sandoz Collaboration

Either we or Sandoz may terminate the 2003 Sandoz Collaboration for material uncured breaches or certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency by the other party. Sandoz may also terminate the 2003 Sandoz Collaboration if the injectable enoxaparin product or the market lacks commercial viability, if new laws or regulations are passed or court decisions rendered that substantially diminish our legal avenues for commercialization of M-Enoxaparin, or, in multiple cases, if certain costs exceed mutually agreed upon limits. If the 2003 Sandoz Collaboration is terminated other than due to our uncured breach or bankruptcy, we will be granted an exclusive license under certain intellectual property of Sandoz to develop and commercialize injectable enoxaparin in the United States. In that event, we would need to expand our internal capabilities or enter into another collaboration, which could cause significant delays that could prevent us from completing the development and commercialization of injectable enoxaparin. If Sandoz terminates the 2003 Sandoz Collaboration due to our uncured breach or bankruptcy, Sandoz would retain the exclusive right to develop and commercialize injectable enoxaparin in the United States. In that event, we would no longer have any influence over the development or commercialization strategy of injectable M-Enoxaparin in the United States. In addition,

Sandoz would retain its rights of first negotiation with respect to certain of our other products in certain circumstances and its rights of first refusal outside of the United States and the European Union. Accordingly, if Sandoz terminates the 2003 Sandoz Collaboration, our introduction of M-Enoxaparin may be significantly delayed, we may decide to discontinue the M-Enoxaparin project, or our revenues may be reduced, any one of which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

2006 Sandoz Collaboration

Either we or Sandoz may terminate the collaboration and license agreement, or Definitive Agreement, we executed with Sandoz in June 2007, as amended in April 2008, for material uncured breaches or certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency by the other party. In addition, the following termination rights apply to some of the products, on a product-by-product basis: if clinical trials are required; if the parties agree, or the relevant regulatory authority states in writing, that our intellectual property does not contribute to product approval; if Sandoz decides to permanently cease development and commercialization of a product; by either party with respect to certain products if, following a change of control of the other party, the other party fails to perform its material obligations with respect to such product. For some of the products, for any termination of the Definitive Agreement other than a termination by Sandoz due to our uncured breach or bankruptcy, or a termination by us alone due to the need for clinical trials, we will be granted an exclusive license under certain intellectual property of Sandoz to develop and commercialize the particular product. In that event, we would need to expand our internal capabilities or enter into another collaboration, which

Table of Contents

could cause significant delays that could prevent us from completing the development and commercialization of such product. For some products, if Sandoz terminates the Definitive Agreement due to our uncured breach or bankruptcy, or if there is a termination by us alone due to the need for clinical trials, Sandoz would retain the exclusive right to develop and commercialize the applicable product. In that event, we would no longer have any influence over the development or commercialization strategy of such product. In addition, for other products, if Sandoz terminates due to our uncured breach or bankruptcy, Sandoz retains a right to license certain of our intellectual property without the obligation to make any additional payments for such licenses. For certain products, if the Definitive Agreement is terminated other than due to our uncured breach or bankruptcy, neither party will have a license to the other party's intellectual property. In that event, we would need to expand our internal capabilities or enter into another collaboration, which could cause significant delays that could prevent us from completing the development and commercialization of such product. Accordingly, if the Definitive Agreement is terminated, our introduction of certain products may be significantly delayed, or our revenues may be significantly reduced either of which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We may need or elect to enter into alliances or collaborations with other companies to supplement and enhance our own capabilities or fund our development efforts. If we are unsuccessful in forming or maintaining these alliances on favorable terms, or if any collaborative partner terminates or fails to perform its obligations, our business could be adversely affected.

Because we have limited or no capabilities for manufacturing, sales, marketing and distribution, we may need to enter into alliances or collaborations with other companies that can assist with the development and commercialization of our drug candidates. In those situations, we would expect our alliance or collaborative partners to provide substantial capabilities in manufacturing, sales, marketing and distribution. We may not be successful in entering into any such alliances. Even if we do succeed in securing such alliances, we may not be able to maintain them.

Factors that may affect the success of our collaborations include the following:

- disputes may arise in the future with respect to the ownership of rights to technology developed with collaborators;
- our collaborators may pursue alternative technologies or develop alternative products, either on their own or in collaboration with others, that may be competitive with the products on which they are collaborating with us or which could affect our collaborators' commitment to our collaborations;
- our collaborators may terminate their collaborations with us, which could make it difficult for us to attract new collaborators or adversely affect how we are perceived in the business and financial communities;
- our collaborators may pursue higher-priority programs or change the focus of their development programs, which could affect the collaborators' commitment to us; and

- our collaborators with marketing rights may choose to devote fewer resources to the marketing of our product candidates, if any are approved for marketing, than to products from their own development programs.

In addition to relying on a third party for its capabilities, we may depend on our alliances with other companies to provide substantial additional funding for development and potential commercialization of our drug candidates. We may not be able to obtain funding on favorable terms from these alliances, and if we are not successful in doing so, we may not have sufficient funds to develop particular drug candidates internally, or to bring drug candidates to market. Failure or delays in bringing our drug candidates to market will reduce their competitiveness and prevent us from generating sales revenues, which may substantially harm our business.

Furthermore, in an effort to continually update and enhance our proprietary technology platform, we enter into agreements with other companies to develop, license, acquire and/or collaborate on various technologies. If we are unable to enter into the desired agreements, if the agreements do not yield the intended results or if the agreements terminate, we may need to find alternative approaches to such technology needs. If any of these occur, the development and commercialization of one or more drug candidates could be delayed, curtailed or terminated, any of which may adversely affect our business.

Table of Contents

We and our collaborative partners depend on third parties for the manufacture of products. If we encounter difficulties in our supply or manufacturing arrangements, our business may be materially adversely affected.

We have a limited number of personnel with experience in, and we do not own facilities for, manufacturing products. In addition, we do not have, and do not intend to develop, the ability to manufacture material for our clinical trials or at commercial scale. To develop our drug candidates, apply for regulatory approvals and commercialize any products, we or our collaborative partners need to contract for or otherwise arrange for the necessary manufacturing facilities and capabilities. If these contract manufacturers are unable to manufacture sufficient quantities of product, comply with regulatory requirements, or breach or terminate their manufacturing arrangements with us, the development and commercialization of the affected products or drug candidates could be delayed, which could have a material adverse effect on our business. In addition, any change in these manufacturers could be costly because the commercial terms of any new arrangement could be less favorable and because the expenses relating to the transfer of necessary technology and processes could be significant.

We have relied upon third parties to produce material for preclinical and clinical studies and may continue to do so in the future. We cannot be certain that we will be able to obtain and/or maintain long-term supply and supply arrangements of those materials on acceptable terms, if at all. If we are unable to arrange for third-party manufacturing, or to do so on commercially reasonable terms, we may not be able to complete development of our products or market them.

In addition, the FDA and other regulatory authorities require that our products be manufactured according to cGMP regulations and that proper procedures are implemented to assure the quality of our sourcing of raw materials and the manufacture of our products. Any failure by us, our collaborative partners or our third-party manufacturers to comply with cGMP, and/or our failure to scale-up our manufacturing processes could lead to a delay in, or failure to obtain, regulatory approval. In addition, such failure could be the basis for action by the FDA to withdraw approvals for drug candidates previously granted to us and for other regulatory action. To the extent we rely on a third-party manufacturer, the risk of non-compliance with cGMPs may be greater and the ability to effect corrective actions for any such noncompliance may be compromised or delayed.

If we are unable to establish sales and marketing capabilities or enter into agreements with third parties to market and sell our product candidates, we may be unable to generate product revenues.

We do not have a sales organization and have no experience as a company in the sale, marketing or distribution of pharmaceutical products. There are risks involved with establishing our own sales and marketing capabilities, as well as entering into arrangements with third parties to perform these services. For example, developing a sales force is expensive and time consuming and could delay any product launch. In addition, to the extent that we enter into arrangements with third parties to perform sales, marketing or distribution services, we will have less control over sales of our products and our future revenues would depend heavily on the success of the efforts of these third parties.

General Company Related Risks

Our directors, executive officers and major stockholders have substantial influence or control over matters submitted to stockholders for approval that could delay or prevent a change in corporate control.

Our directors, executive officers and principal stockholders, together with their affiliates and related persons, beneficially owned, in the aggregate, approximately 23.4% of our outstanding common stock as of March 31, 2009. As a result, these stockholders, if acting together, may have the ability to significantly influence matters submitted to our stockholders for approval, including the election and removal of directors and any merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets. In addition, these persons, acting together, may have the ability to control the management and affairs of our company. Accordingly, this concentration of ownership may harm the market price of our common stock by:

- delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of our company;

- entrenching our management and/or board of directors;

- impeding a merger, consolidation, takeover or other business combination involving our company; or

- discouraging a potential acquirer from making a tender offer or otherwise attempting to obtain control of our company.

Table of Contents

Anti-takeover provisions in our charter documents and under Delaware law could make an acquisition of us, which may be beneficial to our stockholders, more difficult and may prevent attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management.

Provisions in our certificate of incorporation and our by-laws may delay or prevent an acquisition of us or a change in our management. In addition, these provisions may frustrate or prevent any attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management by making it more difficult for stockholders to replace members of our board of directors. Because our board of directors is responsible for appointing the members of our management team, these provisions could in turn affect any attempt by our stockholders to replace current members of our management team. These provisions include:

- a classified board of directors;

- a prohibition on actions by our stockholders by written consent; and

- limitations on the removal of directors.

Moreover, because we are incorporated in Delaware, we are governed by the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which prohibits a person who owns in excess of 15% of our outstanding voting stock from merging or combining with us for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person acquired in excess of 15% of our outstanding voting stock, unless the merger or combination is approved in a prescribed manner. Finally, these provisions establish advance notice requirements for nominations for election to our board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted upon at stockholder meetings. These provisions would apply even if the offer may be considered beneficial by some stockholders.

Our stock price may be volatile, and purchasers of our common stock could incur substantial losses.

The stock market in general and the market prices for securities of biotechnology companies in particular have experienced extreme volatility that often has been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of these companies. The trading price of our common stock has been, and is likely to continue to be, volatile. Furthermore, our stock price could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to a variety of factors, including the following:

- failure to obtain FDA approval for the M-Enoxaparin or M356 ANDA;

- other adverse FDA decisions relating to M-Enoxaparin or M356 ANDA, including an FDA decision to require additional data, including requiring clinical trials as a condition to M-Enoxaparin or M356 ANDA approval;

Edgar Filing: MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-Q

- FDA approval of other companies' ANDAs for generic versions of Lovenox or Copaxone;
- litigation involving our company or our general industry or both;
- a decision in favor of or against Teva in the current patent litigation matter, or a settlement related to that case;
- failure of our other product applications to meet the requirements for regulatory review and/or approval;
- results or delays in our or our competitors' clinical trials or regulatory filings;
- failure to demonstrate therapeutic equivalence with respect to our technology-enabled generic product candidates;
- demonstration of or failure to demonstrate the safety and efficacy for our novel development product candidates;
- our inability to manufacture any products in conformance with cGMP or in commercial quantities;
- failure of any of our product candidates, if approved, to achieve commercial success;
- developments or disputes concerning our patents or other proprietary rights;
- changes in estimates of our financial results or recommendations by securities analysts;
- termination of any of our strategic partnerships;
- significant acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments by us or our competitors;

Table of Contents

- investors' general perception of our company, our products, the economy and general market conditions; and
- significant fluctuations in the price of securities generally or biotech company securities specifically.

If any of these factors causes an adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition, the price of our common stock could fall and investors may not be able to sell their common stock at or above their respective purchase prices.

We could be subject to class action litigation due to stock price volatility, which, if it occurs, will distract our management and could result in substantial costs or large judgments against us.

The stock market in general has recently experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations. In addition, the market prices of securities of companies in the biotechnology industry have been extremely volatile and have experienced fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of these companies. These fluctuations could adversely affect the market price of our common stock. In the past, securities class action litigation has often been brought against companies following periods of volatility in the market prices of their securities. We may be the target of similar litigation in the future. Securities litigation could result in substantial costs and divert our management's attention and resources, which could cause serious harm to our business, operating results and financial condition.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits.

- 10.1 Non-Employee Director Compensation Summary.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: May 7, 2009

Momenta Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

By: /s/ Craig A. Wheeler
Craig A. Wheeler, President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: May 7, 2009

By: /s/ Richard P. Shea
Richard P. Shea, Chief Financial Officer
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)