STAG Industrial, Inc. Form 10-Q August 06, 2014 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q
x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2014
OR
o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGI ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from to .
Commission file number 1-34907

STAG INDUSTRIAL, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

27-3099608 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

One Federal Street, 23rd Floor Boston, Massachusetts

(Address of principal executive offices)

02110 (Zip Code)

(617) 574-4777

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Check one:

Large accelerated filer x

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common and preferred stock as of the latest practicable date.

Class

Outstanding at August 1, 2014

55,274,255

9.0~% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (\$0.01 par value) 6.625~% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (\$0.01 par value)

2,760,000 2,800,000

STAG INDUSTRIAL, INC.

Table of Contents

PART I.	Financial Information	
Item 1.	Financial Statements (unaudited)	3
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013	3
	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013	4
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013	5
	Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013	6
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013	7
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	8
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	26
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	45
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	46
PART II.	Other Information	46
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	46
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	46
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	46
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	46
<u>Item 4.</u>	Mine Safety Disclosures	46
Item 5.	Other Information	46
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	47
	<u>SIGNATURE</u>	48
	2	

Part I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

STAG Industrial, Inc.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(unaudited, in thousands, except share data)

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Assets		
Rental Property:		
Land	\$ 145,931	\$ 134,399
Buildings	944,057	871,422
Tenant improvements	39,625	36,994
Building and land improvements	46,454	36,231
Less: accumulated depreciation	(88,138)	(71,653)
Total rental property, net	1,087,929	1,007,393
Cash and cash equivalents	6,031	6,690
Restricted cash	6,525	6,806
Tenant accounts receivable, net	14,387	13,790
Prepaid expenses and other assets	4,687	2,594
Interest rate swaps	1,077	3,924
Deferred financing fees, net	6,245	5,467
Leasing commissions, net	3,607	3,542
Goodwill	4,923	4,923
Due from related parties	156	185
Deferred leasing intangibles, net of accumulated amortization of \$121,060 and \$95,201,		
respectively	214,586	214,967
Total assets	\$ 1,350,153	\$ 1,270,281
Liabilities and Equity		
Liabilities:		
Mortgage notes payable	\$ 223,331	\$ 225,591
Unsecured credit facility	35,500	80,500
Unsecured term loans	300,000	250,000
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	17,386	18,574
Interest rate swaps	412	
Tenant prepaid rent and security deposits	10,040	8,972
Dividends and distributions payable	6,003	5,166
Deferred leasing intangibles, net of accumulated amortization of \$5,373 and \$4,520,		
respectively	7,586	6,914
Total liabilities	600,258	595,717
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity:		
Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized,		
Series A, 2,760,000 shares (liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share) issued and		
outstanding at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013	69,000	69,000
Series B, 2,800,000 shares (liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share) issued and		
outstanding at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013	70,000	70,000
Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 55,153,982 and 44,764,377 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013,	551	447

respectively 744,248 577,039 Additional paid-in capital Common stock dividends in excess of earnings (155,911) (116,877) Accumulated other comprehensive income 3,440 489 603,049 Total stockholders equity 728,377 Noncontrolling interest 71,515 21,518 **Total equity** 749,895 674,564 Total liabilities and equity 1,350,153 \$ 1,270,281

STAG Industrial, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(unaudited, in thousands, except per share data)

	Three months 6	ended J	une 30, 2013	Six months er 2014	ided Ju	ne 30, 2013
Revenue						
Rental income	\$ 35,203	\$	28,105	\$ 69,321	\$	54,039
Tenant recoveries	6,279		3,476	11,695		7,134
Other income	200		262	409		658
Total revenue	41,682		31,843	81,425		61,831
Expenses						
Property	3,194		2,316	7,244		5,011
General and administrative	8,283		4,477	13,758		8,983
Real estate taxes and insurance	5,412		3,244	9,347		5,872
Property acquisition costs	688		1,269	1,247		1,845
Depreciation and amortization	20,769		16,244	40,623		31,642
Other expenses	193		161	430		245
Total expenses	38,539		27,711	72,649		53,598
Other income (expense)						
Interest income	4		3	8		6
Interest expense	(5,813)		(4,846)	(11,479)		(9,497)
Offering costs			(27)			(27)
Total other income (expense)	(5,809)		(4,870)	(11,471)		(9,518)
Net loss from continuing operations	\$ (2,666)	\$	(738)	\$ (2,695)	\$	(1,285)
Discontinued operations						
Income attributable to discontinued operations			90			219
Gain on sales of real estate			464			464
Total income attributable to discontinued						
operations	\$	\$	554	\$	\$	683
Gain on sale of real estate	\$	\$		\$ 50	\$	
Net loss	\$ (2,666)	\$	(184)	\$ (2,645)	\$	(602)
Less: loss attributable to noncontrolling interest						
after preferred stock dividends	(310)		(357)	(766)		(623)
Net income (loss) attributable to STAG						
Industrial, Inc.	\$ (2,356)	\$	173	\$ (1,879)	\$	21
Less: preferred stock dividends	2,712		2,519	5,424		4,071
Less: amount allocated to unvested restricted						
stockholders	83		64	171		133
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$ (5,151)	\$	(2,410)	\$ (7,474)	\$	(4,183)
Weighted average common shares outstanding						
basic and diluted	52,865,801		42,006,954	49,023,985		41,265,070
Loss per share basic and diluted						
Loss from continuing operations attributable to						
common stockholders	\$ (0.10)	\$	(0.07)	\$ (0.15)	\$	(0.11)
Income from discontinued operations						
attributable to common stockholders		\$	0.01		\$	0.01
Loss per share basic and diluted	\$ (0.10)	\$	(0.06)	\$ (0.15)	\$	(0.10)

STAG Industrial, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(unaudited, in thousands)

	Three months 6	ended J	June 30, 2013	Six months en 2014	ded Ju	ne 30, 2013
Net loss	\$ (2,666)	\$	(184) \$	(2,645)	\$	(602)
Other comprehensive income (loss):						
Unrealized gain (loss) on interest rate swaps	(2,177)		3,655	(3,260)		3,666
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(2,177)		3,655	(3,260)		3,666
Comprehensive income (loss)	(4,843)		3,471	(5,905)		3,064
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest						
after preferred stock dividends	310		357	766		623
Other comprehensive (income) loss attributable						
to noncontrolling interest	125		(482)	309		(489)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to						
STAG Industrial, Inc.	\$ (4,408)	\$	3,346 \$	(4,830)	\$	3,198

STAG Industrial, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Equity

(unaudited, in thousands, except share data)

	Prefe	erred Stock	Common Shares			C Additional Paid-in Capital		k Accumulated Othe Comprehensive S Income (Loss)	r Total	Noncontrolling Interest Unit holders in Operating Partnership T	otal Equity
Six months ended											
June 30, 2014 Balance,											
December 31, 2013	\$	139 000	44,764,377	\$	447 \$	577,039	(116,877	7)\$ 3,440	\$ 603,049	\$ 71,515 \$	674,564
Proceeds from sale of	Ψ	157,000	44,704,577	Ψ		211,000	(110,077	, φ 3,440	p 005,042	Ψ /1,515 4	074,204
common stock			5,188,072		52	119,313			119,365		119,365
Offering costs			,,			(1,944)			(1,944))	(1,944)
Issuance of restricted											
stock, net			101,934		1	(1)					
Issuance of common											
stock			6,015								
Dividends and		(5.40.4)					(21.521	`	(25.155)	(2.002)	(40.055)
distributions, net		(5,424)					(31,731	.)	(37,155)	(2,902)	(40,057)
Non-cash compensation						1.098			1,098	3,116	4,214
Conversion of						1,096			1,090	3,110	4,214
operating partnership											
units to common stock			5,093,584		51	54,425			54,476	(54,476)	
Redemption of			, ,			,			ĺ	, , ,	
operating partnership											
units for cash										(342)	(342)
Rebalancing of											
noncontrolling interest						(5,682)			(5,682)	5,682	
Other comprehensive								(2.051)	(2.051)	(200)	(2.260)
loss		5 404					(7.202	(2,951)	(2,951)		(3,260)
Net income (loss)		5,424					(7,303	5)	(1,879)	(766)	(2,645)
Balance, June 30, 2014	\$	139 000	55,153,982	\$	551 \$	744,248	(155,911) \$ 489	\$ 728,377	\$ 21,518 \$	749,895
Six months ended	Ψ	157,000	55,155,762	Ψ	331 φ	744,240	(133,711	1)ψ 402	p 120,511	φ 21,510 4	140,000
June 30, 2013											
Balance,											
December 31, 2012	\$	69,000	35,698,582	\$	357 \$	419,643	61,024	(371)	\$ 427,605	\$ 61,855 \$	489,460
Proceeds from sales of	•										
common stock			6,433,352		64	117,675			117,739		117,739
Issuance of series B											
preferred stock		70,000				(5.0.45)			70,000		70,000
Offering costs Issuance of restricted						(7,847)			(7,847)		(7,847)
stock, net			96,287		1	(1)					
Issuance of common			70,207		1	(1)					
stock			5,269								
Dividends and			5,207								
distributions, net		(4,071)					(25,324	4)	(29,395)	(4,198)	(33,593)

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Non-cash									
compensation				680			680	805	1,485
Issuance of units								11,499	11,499
Conversion of									
operating partnership									
units to common stock		2,186		23			23	(23)	
Rebalancing of									
noncontrolling interest				(2,196)			(2,196)	2,196	
Other comprehensive									
income						3,177	3,177	489	3,666
Net income (loss)	4,071				(4,050)		21	(623)	(602)
Balance, June 30,									
2013	\$ 139,000	42,235,676	\$ 422 \$	527,977 \$	(90,398)\$	2,806 \$	579,807 \$	72,000 \$	651,807

STAG Industrial, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(unaudited, in thousands)

Six months ended June 30,

	2014		
			2013
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$ (2,645)	\$	(602)
Adjustment to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	40,623		32,045
Non-cash portion of interest expense	658		515
Intangible amortization in rental income, net	3,019		2,875
Straight-line rent adjustments, net	(1,622)		(1,507)
Dividends on forfeited equity compensation	128		
Gain on sale of real estate	(50)		(464)
Non-cash compensation expense	4,245		1,485
Change in assets and liabilities:			
Tenant accounts receivable, net	1,267		(77)
Leasing commissions, net	(444)		(1,420)
Restricted cash	(500)		(421)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(1,994)		(1,633)
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	(2,968)		969
Tenant prepaid rent and security deposits	1,068		1,429
Due from related parties	29		550
Total adjustments	43,459		34,346
Net cash provided by operating activities	40,814		33,744
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Additions of land and building improvements	(96,213)		(120,458)
Proceeds from sale of rental property, net	473		4,843
Restricted cash	781		(837)
Cash paid for deal deposits, net	(178)		(100)
Additions to lease intangibles	(25,472)		(38,422)
Net cash used in investing activities	(120,609)		(154,974)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from sale of Series B Preferred stock			70,000
Redemption of operating partnership units for cash	(342)		
Proceeds from unsecured credit facility	51,500		65,000
Repayment of unsecured credit facility	(96,500)		(164,300)
Proceeds from unsecured term loans	50,000		75,000
Repayment of mortgage notes payable	(2,208)		(1,965)
Payment of loan fees and costs	(1,386)		(1,487)
Dividends and distributions	(39,349)		(27,634)
Proceeds from sales of common stock	119,365		117,739
Offering costs	(1,944)		(7,847)
Restricted cash - escrow for dividends			(2,519)
Net cash provided by financing activities	79,136		121,987
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(659)		757
Cash and cash equivalents beginning of period	6,690		19,006
Cash and cash equivalents end of period	\$ 6,031	\$	19,763

TD 1	1		0			
Tal	٦le	• U.	† ('	on	ten	ŧ٩

	STAG	Industrial.	. Inc.
--	------	-------------	--------

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(unaudited)

1. Organization and Description of Business

STAG Industrial, Inc. (the Company) is an industrial real estate operating company focused on the acquisition and management of single-tenant industrial properties throughout the United States. The Company was formed as a Maryland corporation on July 21, 2010 and has elected to be treated as a real estate investment trust (REIT) under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). The Company is structured as an umbrella partnership REIT, commonly called an UPREIT, and owns substantially all of its assets and conducts substantially all of its business through its operating partnership, STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the Operating Partnership). The Company intends to continue to qualify as a REIT. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company owned a 96.48% and 86.65%, respectively, limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership. As used herein, the Company refers to STAG Industrial, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries and partnerships except where context otherwise requires.

As of June 30, 2014, the Company owned 221 buildings in 34 states with approximately 41.2 million square feet, consisting of 153 warehouse/distribution buildings, 48 light manufacturing buildings and 20 flex/office buildings. The Company also owned two vacant land parcels adjacent to two of the Company s buildings. The Company s buildings were 94.5% leased to 202 tenants as of June 30, 2014.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Interim Financial Information

The accompanying interim financial statements have been presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Regulation S-X for interim financial information. Accordingly, these statements do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, the accompanying interim financial statements include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring items, necessary for their fair presentation in conformity with GAAP. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. The year-end consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP. The information included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Company s consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

Basis of Presentation

The Company s consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, the Operating Partnership and their subsidiaries. The equity interests of other limited partners in the Operating Partnership held in the form of common units (Noncontrolling Common Units or Common Units) are reflected as noncontrolling interest. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidation of entities. The financial statements of the Company are presented on a consolidated basis, for all periods presented.

Adoption of New Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2014-09 Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) (ASU 2014-09). ASU 2014-09 is a comprehensive new revenue recognition model requiring a company to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of goods or services to a customer at an amount reflecting the consideration it expects to receive in exchange for those goods or services. Revenue from a lease contract with a tenant is not within the scope of this revenue standard. In adopting ASU 2014-09, companies may use either a full retrospective or a modified retrospective approach. Additionally, this guidance requires improved disclosures regarding the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. ASU 2014-09 is effective for the first interim period within annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and early adoption is not permitted. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the impact the adoption of ASU 2014-09 will have on the Company s financial position or results of operations.

8

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-08, *Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity*, which prospectively changed the definition of a discontinued operation to the disposal of a component or group of components that is disposed of or is classified as held for sale and represents a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity s operations and financial results. The guidance also provides for additional disclosure requirements in connection with both discontinued operations and other dispositions not qualifying as discontinued operations. While the new guidance is not effective until annual periods beginning December 15, 2014, and interim periods within those years, companies are permitted to early adopt the provision. The Company has elected to early adopt this standard effective with the interim period beginning January 1, 2014. Prior to January 1, 2014, properties identified as held for sale and/or disposed of were presented in discontinued operations for all periods presented.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Supplemental Disclosures

The following table provides supplemental disclosures related to the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (in thousands):

	Six months ended	Six months ended
	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013
Supplemental cash flow information		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 10,858	\$ 8,720
Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing		
activities		
Non-cash investing activities included in additions of land and		
building improvements	\$ (1,405)	\$ (11,277)
Issuance of units for acquisitions of properties	\$	\$ 11,499
Non-cash financing activities included in additions of deferred		
financing fees	\$ (102)	\$
Dividends and distributions declared but not paid	\$ 6,003	\$ 17,259

Tenant Accounts Receivable, net

Tenant accounts receivable, net on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, includes both tenant accounts receivable, net and accrued rental income, net. The Company provides an allowance for doubtful accounts against the portion of tenant accounts receivable that is estimated to be uncollectible. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$48 thousand and \$19 thousand, respectively.

The Company accrues rental revenue earned, but not yet receivable, in accordance with GAAP. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had accrued rental revenue of \$11.2 million and \$9.3 million, respectively. The Company maintains an allowance for estimated losses that may result from those revenues. If a tenant fails to make contractual payments beyond any allowance, the Company may recognize additional bad debt expense in future periods equal to the amount of unpaid rent and accrued rental revenue. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had an allowance on accrued rental revenue of \$0 and \$0, respectively.

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had a total of approximately \$5.0 million and \$4.9 million, respectively, of total lease security deposits available in existing letters of credit, which are not reflected on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets; and \$3.0 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, of lease security deposits available in cash.

Deferred Costs

Deferred financing fees include costs incurred in obtaining debt that are capitalized. The deferred financing fees are amortized to interest expense over the life of the respective loans on a basis which approximates the effective interest method. Any unamortized amounts upon early repayment of debt are written off in the period of repayment. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, amortization of deferred financing fees included in interest expense was \$0.4 million, \$0.7 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. Fully amortized deferred charges are removed upon maturity of the underlying debt.

Tah	le	οf	Con	tents
1 au	ı	OI.	-con	wiito

Revenue Recognition

By the terms of their leases, certain tenants are obligated to pay directly the costs of their buildings insurance, real estate taxes, ground lease payments, and certain other expenses and these costs are not reflected on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements. To the extent any tenant responsible for these costs under its lease defaults on its lease or it is deemed probable that the tenant will fail to pay for such costs, the Company will record a liability for such obligations. The Company estimates that real estate taxes, which are the responsibility of these certain tenants, were approximately \$2.5 million, \$5.0 million, \$2.3 and \$4.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. This would have been the maximum liability of the Company had the tenants not met its contractual obligations. The Company does not recognize recovery revenue related to leases where the tenant has assumed the cost for real estate taxes, insurance, ground lease payments and certain other expenses.

Income Taxes

The Company elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code commencing with its taxable year ended December 31, 2011 and intends to continue to qualify as a REIT. As a REIT, the Company is required to distribute at least 90% of its REIT taxable income to its stockholders and meet the various other requirements imposed by the Code relating to such matters as operating results, asset holdings, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership. The Company is generally not subject to corporate level income tax on the earnings distributed currently to its stockholders that it derives from its REIT qualifying activities. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, and is unable to avail itself of certain savings provisions set forth in the Code, all of the Company s taxable income would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates, including any applicable alternative minimum tax.

The Company will not be required to make distributions with respect to income derived from the activities conducted through subsidiaries that the Company elects to treat as taxable REIT subsidiaries (TRS) for federal income tax purposes. Certain activities that the Company undertakes must be conducted by a TRS, such as performing non-customary services for its tenants and holding assets that it cannot hold directly. A TRS is subject to federal and state income taxes. The Company s TRS did not have any activity during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013.

The Company and certain of its subsidiaries are subject to certain state and local income, excise and franchise taxes. Taxes in the amount of \$0.1 million, \$0.3 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million have been recorded in other expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively.

The Company currently has no liabilities for uncertain tax positions.

3. Real Estate

The following table summarizes the acquisitions of the Company during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and the year ended December 31, 2013:

Six Months Ended June 30, 2014

Property Location	Date Acquired	Square Feet	Buildings
Allentown, PA	2/27/2014	289,900	1
Nashua, NH	2/28/2014	337,391	1
Strongsville, OH	3/14/2014	161,984	1
Columbus, OH	3/26/2014	186,000	1
Savannah, GA	4/15/2014	504,200	1
Garland, TX	4/17/2014	253,900	1
West Chester, OH	4/29/2014	245,000	1
Calhoun, GA	5/14/2014	151,200	1
Hebron, KY	5/15/2014	109,000	1
Houston, TX	5/29/2014	151,260	1
East Troy, WI	6/24/2014	149,624	1
Jefferson City, TN	6/30/2014	486,109	1
New Berlin, WI	6/30/2014	80,665	1
Total		3,106,233	13

Year Ended December 31, 2013

	Date	Square	
Property Location	Acquired	Feet	Buildings
Orangeburg, SC	2/7/2013	319,000	1
Golden, CO	2/27/2013	227,500	1
Columbia, SC	2/28/2013	273,280	1
DeKalb, IL	3/15/2013	146,740	1
Ocala, FL	3/26/2013	619,466	1
Londonderry, NH	3/28/2013	125,060	1
Marion, IA	3/28/2013	95,500	1
Mishawaka, IN	4/5/2013	308,884	1
Southfield, MI(1)	4/9/2013	113,000	1
Houston, TX	4/9/2013	201,574	1
Idaho Falls, ID	4/11/2013	90,300	1
Mt. Prospect, IL	5/14/2013	87,380	1
Williamsport, PA	5/31/2013	250,000	1
Belvidere, IL(2)	6/19/2013	1,006,960	8
Kentwood, MI	6/26/2013	85,157	1
Marshall, MI	6/26/2013	57,025	1
Nashville, TN	7/18/2013	150,000	1
Catoosa, OK	7/31/2013	100,100	1
New Berlin, WI	8/16/2013	205,063	1

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Hampstead, MD	8/21/2013	1,035,249	1
New Hope, MN	9/20/2013	107,348	1
Springfield, OH	9/26/2013	350,500	1
Orlando, FL	10/8/2013	215,900	1
North Jackson, OH	11/6/2013	209,835	1
Mebane, NC	11/14/2013	383,500	1
Shannon, GA	11/26/2013	568,516	1
Lansing, MI	12/11/2013	160,000	1
Harvard, IL	12/17/2013	126,304	1
Sauk Village, IL	12/17/2013	375,785	1
South Holland, IL	12/17/2013	202,902	1
Mascot, TN	12/19/2013	130,560	1
Janesville, WI	12/27/2013	700,000	1
Total		9,028,388	39

⁽¹⁾ The Company owns a 5.4 acre vacant land parcel adjacent to this building.

⁽²⁾ The Company owns a 2.0 acre vacant land parcel adjacent to one of these buildings. Title to the land was conveyed to its own legal entity within the Company for nominal consideration during the three months ended June 30, 2014.

The following table (in thousands) summarizes the allocation of the consideration paid during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and the year ended December 31, 2013, respectively, for the acquired assets and liabilities in connection with the acquisitions of buildings at the date of acquisition identified in the tables above:

	Six months Ended June 30, 2014	Weighted Average Amortization Period (years) Lease Intangibles	Year Ended December 31, 2013	Weighted Average Amortization Period (years) Lease Intangibles
Land	\$ 11,591	N/A	\$ 31,310	N/A
Buildings	73,071	N/A	223,420	N/A
Tenant improvements	2,485	N/A	2,526	N/A
Building and land improvements	5,255	N/A	9,133	N/A
Above market leases	2,837	4.9	8,219	5.8
Below market leases	(1,868)	4.7	(2,538)	7.2
In-place leases	16,797	4.8	50,005	5.8
Tenant relationships	7,706	7.7	21,257	8.2
Net assets acquired	\$ 117,874		\$ 343,332	

As partial consideration for eight buildings acquired on June 19, 2013, the Company granted 555,758 Common Units in the Operating Partnership with a fair value of approximately \$11.5 million based on the Company s New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) closing stock price on June 19, 2013. The issuance of the Common Units was effected in reliance upon an exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Company relied on the exemption based on representations given by the holders of the Common Units. The remaining purchase price of approximately \$40.1 million was paid in cash.

The Company has included the results of operations for each of the 13 buildings acquired during the three months ended June 30, 2014 in its Consolidated Statements of Operations from the date of acquisition. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the entities acquired during the six months ended June 30, 2014 contributed \$2.1 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, to total revenue and \$0.6 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, to net loss, including \$0.7 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, to property acquisition costs.

The following tables set forth pro forma information for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013. The below pro forma information does not purport to represent what the actual results of operations of the Company would have been had the acquisitions outlined above occurred on the first day of the applicable reporting period, nor do they purport to predict the results of operations of future periods. The pro forma information has not been adjusted for property sales.

		Six months ended
		June 30, 2014
Pro Forma	(in th	ousands, except share data) (1)
Total revenue	\$	85,385
Net loss (2)	\$	(284)
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$	(5,337)
Weighted average shares outstanding		49,023,985
Loss per share attributable to common stockholders	\$	(0.11)

Pro Forma Six months ended

June 30, 2013

	(in tho	usands, except share data) (3)
Total revenue	\$	74,138
Net income (2)	\$	1,027
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$	(2,771)
Weighted average shares outstanding		41,265,070
Loss per share attributable to common stockholders	\$	(0.07)

- (1) The proforma information for the six months ended June 30, 2014 is presented as if the acquisition of the buildings acquired during the six months ended June 30, 2014 had occurred at January 1, 2013, the beginning of the reporting period prior to acquisition.
- The net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2014 excludes \$1.2 million of property acquisition costs related to the acquisition of buildings that closed during the six months ended June 30, 2014, and the net income for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was adjusted to include these acquisition costs. Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2013 excludes \$1.7 million of property acquisition costs related to the acquisition of buildings that closed during the six months ended June 30, 2013.
- (3) The pro forma information for the six months ended June 30, 2013 is presented as if the acquisition of the buildings acquired during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and the buildings acquired during the six months ended June 30, 2013 had occurred at January 1, 2013 and January 1, 2012, respectively, the beginning of the reporting period prior to acquisition.

On March 25, 2014, the Company sold a 15,085 square feet warehouse/distribution building located in Lexington, VA. The carrying value of the building prior to sale was \$0.4 million. The sales price was \$0.5 million and the Company received net proceeds of \$0.5 million. A gain on sale of real estate of \$50 thousand was recognized at closing under the full accrual method of gain recognition. Based on the early adoption of the new discontinued operations guidance, the sale of this property did not represent a strategic shift by the Company and it has not been reflected as part of discontinued operations.

On June 12, 2013, the Company sold a 53,183 square feet flex/office building located in Pittsburgh, PA. The carrying value of the building prior to sale was \$4.4 million. The sales price was \$5.1 million and the Company received net proceeds of \$4.8 million. A gain on sale of real estate of \$0.5 million was recognized at closing under the full accrual method of gain recognition. The building contributed \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million to total revenue and \$0.5 and \$0.6 to net income during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. The results of operations and the gain on sale are included in income (loss) attributable to discontinued operations on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

4. Deferred Leasing Intangibles

Deferred leasing intangibles included in total assets consisted of the following (in thousands):

	J	une 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
In-place leases	\$	155,239 \$	142,518
Less: Accumulated amortization		(63,443)	(49,756)
In-place leases, net		91,796	92,762
Above market leases		59,882	57,283
Less: Accumulated amortization		(21,210)	(17,232)
Above market leases, net		38,672	40,051
Tenant relationships		84,585	77,260
Less: Accumulated amortization		(24,400)	(18,693)
Tenant relationships, net		60,185	58,567
Leasing commissions		35,940	33,107
Less: Accumulated amortization		(12,007)	(9,520)
Leasing commissions, net		23,933	23,587
Total deferred leasing intangibles, net	\$	214.586 \$	214,967

Table of Contents

Deferred leasing intangibles included in total liabilities consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Below market leases	\$ 12,959	\$ 11,434
Less: Accumulated amortization	(5,373)	(4,520)
Total deferred leasing intangibles, net	\$ 7,586	\$ 6,914

Amortization expense, inclusive of results from discontinued operations, related to in-place leases, leasing commissions and tenant relationships of deferred leasing intangibles was \$12.0 million, \$23.5 million, \$9.7 million and \$19.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. Rental income, inclusive of results from discontinued operations, related to net amortization of above and below market leases decreased by \$1.5 million, \$3.0 million, \$1.5 million and \$2.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively.

Amortization related to deferred leasing intangibles over the next five years is as follows (in thousands):

	Estimated Net Amortization of In-Place Leases, Leasing Commissions and Tenant Relationships	Net Decrease to Rental Income Related to Above and Below Market Leases
Remainder of 2014	\$ 22,906	\$ 3,075
2015	37,656	6,427
2016	30,939	5,824
2017	24,783	4,462
2018	18,364	3,103

5. Debt

Payments on mortgage notes are generally due in monthly installments of principal amortization and interest. Payments on the Unsecured Term Loans and the Unsecured Credit Facility (each defined below) are generally due in monthly installments of interest.

The following table sets forth a summary of the Company s outstanding indebtedness, including mortgage notes payable and borrowings under the Company s Unsecured Term Loans and Unsecured Credit Facility as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

		Principal outstanding as of June 30,	Principal outstanding as of December 31,	Current
Loan	Interest Rate (1)	2014	2013	Maturity
Mortgage notes payable:	,			

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Sun Life(2)	6.05%	\$ 3,682	\$ 3,817	Jun-1-2016
Webster Bank(3)	4.22%	5,755	5,834	Aug-4-2016
Union Fidelity(4)	5.81%	6,371	6,551	Apr-30-2017
Webster Bank(5)	3.66%	3,078	3,121	May-29-2017
Webster Bank(6)	3.64%	3,315	3,360	May-31-2017
CIGNA-1 Facility(7)	6.50%	58,468	58,874	Feb-1-2018
CIGNA-2 Facility(8)	5.75%	59,534	59,990	Feb-1-2018
CIGNA-3 Facility(9)	5.88%	16,765	16,879	Oct-1-2019
Wells Fargo CMBS Loan(10)	4.31%	66,363	67,165	Dec-1-2022
Total mortgage notes payable		\$ 223,331	\$ 225,591	
Unsecured credit facility:				
Bank of America Unsecured Credit Facility(11)	LIBOR + 1.45%	35,500	80,500	Sept-10-2016
Total unsecured credit facility		\$ 35,500	\$ 80,500	
Unsecured term loans:				
Unsecured term loans: Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan(12)	LIBOR + 1.40%	150,000	150,000	Sept-10-2017
	LIBOR + 1.40% LIBOR + 2.15%	150,000 150,000	150,000 100,000	Sept-10-2017 Feb-14-2020
Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan(12)				
Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan(12) Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan A(13)	LIBOR + 2.15%	\$	\$ 	Feb-14-2020

Table of Contents

(1) Current interest rate as of June 30, 2014. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the one-month LIBOR rate was 0.1552% and 0.1677%, respectively.
(2) This loan with Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.) (Sun Life) was assumed on October 14, 2011 in connection with the acquisition of a building located in Gahanna, OH. The property is collateral for this loan. The principal outstanding includes an unamortized fair market value premium of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.
(3) This loan with Webster Bank, National Association (Webster Bank) was entered into on August 4, 2011 in connection with the acquisition of a building located in Norton, MA. The property is collateral for this loan.
(4) This loan with Union Fidelity Life Insurance Co. (Union Fidelity) was assumed on July 28, 2011 in connection with the acquisition of a building in St. Louis, MO. The property is collateral for this loan. The principal outstanding includes an unamortized fair market value premium of \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.
(5) This loan with Webster Bank was entered into on May 29, 2012 in connection with the acquisition of a building located in Portland, ME. The property is collateral for this loan.
(6) This loan with Webster Bank was entered into on May 31, 2012 in connection with the acquisition of a building located in East Windsor, CT. The property is collateral for this loan.
(7) This Connecticut General Life Insurance Company (CIGNA) credit facility was entered into in July 2010 (the CIGNA-1 Facility). This loan has various buildings serving as collateral and has no remaining borrowing capacity as of June 30, 2014.
(8) This CIGNA credit facility was entered into in October 2010 (the CIGNA-2 Facility). This loan has various buildings serving as collateral and has no remaining borrowing capacity as of June 30, 2014.
(9) This CIGNA credit facility was entered into in July 2011 (CIGNA-3 Facility). This loan has various buildings serving as collateral and has no remaining borrowing capacity as of June 30, 2014.
(10) This Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Wells Fargo) loan (CMBS Loan) was entered into on November 8, 2012 and is a non-recourse loan with 28 buildings serving as collateral.

The spread over LIBOR for this Bank of America, N.A. (Bank of America) unsecured revolving credit facility (Unsecured Credit Facility) is based on the Company s consolidated leverage. The spread was 1.45% as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The Company paid unused fees of \$0.1 million, \$0.2 million, \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. The borrowing capacity as of June 30, 2014 was \$164.3 million, assuming current leverage levels.

15

Table of Contents

(12)	This Bank of America unsecured term loan (Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan) was entered into on September 10,
2012. Th	ne spread over LIBOR is based on the Company s consolidated leverage ratio. The spread was 1.40% as of June 30, 2014 and
Decembe	er 31, 2013. The Company swapped the one-month LIBOR for a fixed rate for \$100.0 million of the \$150.0 million outstanding on the
Bank of	America Unsecured Term Loan. The net settlements of the swaps commenced on the effective date of the swaps, October 10, 2012 (see
Note 6 fo	or further details). There was no remaining borrowing capacity as of June 30, 2014.

- This Wells Fargo unsecured term loan (Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan A) was entered into on February 14, 2013. The spread over LIBOR is based on the Company's consolidated leverage. The spread was 2.15% as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. As of June 30, 2014, the Company swapped the one-month LIBOR for a fixed rate for \$125.0 million of the \$150.0 million outstanding on the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan A (see Note 6 for further details). There was no remaining borrowing capacity as of June 30, 2014 as the Company drew upon the remaining \$50.0 million on January 30, 2014. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and the period February 14, 2013 to June 30, 2013, the Company incurred an unused commitment fee of \$14 thousand, \$14 thousand and \$0.2 million, respectively.
- (14) This Wells Fargo unsecured term loan (Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan B) was entered into on March 21, 2014. The spread over LIBOR is based on the Company s consolidated leverage. The spread was 1.70% as of June 30, 2014. The remaining capacity as of June 30, 2014 was \$150.0 million.

On March 21, 2014, the Company closed the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan B, a \$150.0 million unsecured term loan with Wells Fargo with a maturity date of March 21, 2021. Borrowings under the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan B bear interest at a floating rate equal to the one-month LIBOR plus a spread that will range from 1.70% to 2.30%, based on the Company's consolidated leverage ratio. The Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan B has an accordion feature that allows the Company to increase its borrowing capacity to \$250.0 million, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. The Company incurred \$1.2 million in deferred financing fees associated with the closing of the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan B, which will be amortized over its seven year term. The Company also incurs an annual fee of \$50 thousand, which is amortized over each respective year of the term. The Company has one year from the closing date to draw the funds. As of June 30, 2014, the Company has not drawn funds on this unsecured term loan. The Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan B has an unused commitment fee equal to 0.225% of its unused portion, which is paid monthly in arrears. The unused commitment fee began to accrue on May 21, 2014. During the period May 21, 2014 to June 30, 2014, the Company incurred an unused commitment fee of \$39 thousand.

On April 16, 2014, the Company entered into a Note Purchase Agreement (NPA) for a \$100.0 million private placement by the Operating Partnership of \$50.0 million Series A 10-Year Unsecured Senior Notes (Series A Unsecured Senior Notes) and \$50.0 million Series B 12-Year Unsecured Senior Notes (Series B Unsecured Senior Notes) (together, the Series A Unsecured Senior Notes and the Series B Unsecured Senior Notes are referred to herein as, the Unsecured Senior Notes). Pursuant to the NPA, borrowings under the Unsecured Senior Notes bear interest at a fixed rate of 4.98% and, subject to customary closing conditions, must be issued (i) between July 1, 2014 and July 3, 2014 for the Series B Unsecured Senior Notes and (ii) between October 1, 2014 and October 3, 2014 for the Series A Unsecured Senior Notes. Upon the funds being drawn, Bank of America, as agent, will receive a placement fee equal to 0.4% of the principal amount of the securities purchased by investors. Subsequent to June, 30, 2014, on July 1, 2014, the Company issued the Series B Unsecured Senior Notes. The Company and certain wholly owned subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership are guarantors of the Unsecured Senior Notes and the obligations under the Unsecured Senior Notes rank pari passu to the Company s unsecured senior indebtedness, which includes the Unsecured Credit Facility and Unsecured Term Loans (as defined below).

Table of Contents

Finan	cial	Covenant	Consid	lerations

The Company s ability to borrow under the Unsecured Credit Facility, the Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan, Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan A and the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan B (collectively, the Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan, the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan A and the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan B are the Unsecured Term Loans) is subject to its ongoing compliance with a number of customary financial covenants, including:

- a maximum consolidated leverage ratio of not greater than 0.60:1.00;
- a maximum secured leverage ratio of not greater than 0.45:1.00;
- a maximum unencumbered leverage ratio of not greater than 0.60:100;
- a maximum secured recourse debt level of not greater than 7.5%;
- a minimum fixed charge ratio of not less than 1.50:1.00;
- a minimum tangible net worth covenant test; and
- various thresholds on Company level investments.

The Unsecured Senior Notes are also subject to the above covenants as well as a minimum interest coverage ratio of not less than 1.50:1.00. The Company was in compliance with all such applicable restrictions and financial covenants as of June 30, 2014. In the event of a default under the Unsecured Credit Facility or Unsecured Term Loans, the Company s dividend distributions are limited to the minimum amount necessary for the Company to maintain its status as a REIT. The total borrowing capacity on the combined Unsecured Credit Facility and the Unsecured Term Loans as of June 30, 2014 was \$270.1 million, assuming current leverage levels.

Each of the Sun Life loan, the Webster Bank loans, the Union Fidelity loan, the CIGNA-1 Facility, the CIGNA-2 Facility, the CIGNA-3 Facility and the CMBS Loan have specific properties and assignments of rents from leases that are collateral for these loans. These debt facilities contain certain financial and other covenants. The Company was in compliance with all financial covenants as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

The 21 properties held as collateral for the CIGNA-1, CIGNA-2, and CIGNA-3 facilities are cross-defaulted and cross-collateralized among the respective facilities.

Fair Value of Debt

The fair value of the Company s debt was determined by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings for loans with similar remaining maturities, similar terms, and similar loan-to-value ratios. The discount rates ranged from 1.56% to 5.15% and 1.57% to 5.24% at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, and were applied to each individual debt instrument. The fair value of the Company s debt is based on Level 3 inputs. The following table presents the aggregate carrying value of the Company s debt and the corresponding estimate of fair value as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2014					December 31, 2013			
		Carrying Amount		Fair Value		Carrying Amount		Fair Value	
Mortgage notes payable	\$	223,331	\$	228,845	\$	225,591	\$	228,996	
Unsecured Credit Facility	\$	35,500	\$	35,500	\$	80,500	\$	80,500	
Bank of America Unsecured Term									
Loan	\$	150,000	\$	149,186	\$	150,000	\$	148,781	
Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan A	\$	150,000	\$	150,050	\$	100,000	\$	97,302	

6. Use of Derivative Financial Instruments

Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives

The Company s use of derivative instruments is limited to the utilization of interest rate swaps to manage interest rate risk exposures and not for speculative purposes. The principal objective of such arrangements is to minimize the risks and/or costs associated with the Company s operating and financial structure, as well as to hedge specific transactions.

The following table details the Company s outstanding interest rate swaps as of June 30, 2014 (collectively, the Unsecured Term Loan Swaps) (in thousands):

Interest Rate Derivative Counterparty	Trade Date	Notional Amount	Fixed Interest Rate	Variable Interest Rate	Maturity Date
PNC Bank, National Association	Sept-14-2012	\$ 10,000(1)	0.7945%	One-month LIBOR	September 10, 2017
Bank of America	Sept-14-2012	\$ 10,000(1)	0.7945%	One-month LIBOR	September 10, 2017
UBS AG	Sept-14-2012	\$ 10,000(1)	0.7945%	One-month LIBOR	September 10, 2017
Royal Bank of Canada	Sept-14-2012	\$ 10,000(1)	0.7945%	One-month LIBOR	September 10, 2017
RJ Capital Services, Inc.	Sept-14-2012	\$ 10,000(1)	0.7975%	One-month LIBOR	September 10, 2017
Bank of America	Sept-20-2012	\$ 25,000(1)	0.7525%	One-month LIBOR	September 10, 2017
RJ Capital Services, Inc.	Sept-24-2012	\$ 25,000(1)	0.727%	One-month LIBOR	September 10, 2017
Regions Bank	March-1-2013	\$ 25,000(2)	1.33%	One-month LIBOR	February 14, 2020
Capital One, N.A.	June-13-2013	\$ 25,000(2)	1.703%	One-month LIBOR	February 14, 2020
Capital One, N.A.	June-13-2013	\$ 50,000(2)	1.681%	One-month LIBOR	February 14, 2020
Regions Bank	Sept-30-2013	\$ 25,000(2)	1.9925%	One-month LIBOR	February 14, 2020

⁽¹⁾ Fixes the interest rate of the Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan

The fair value of the interest rate swaps outstanding as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was as follows (in thousands):

	Balance Sheet Location	Notional Amount June 30, 2014	Fair Value June 30, 2014	Notional Amount December 31, 2013	Fair Value ecember 31, 2013
Unsecured Term Loan Swaps	Interest Rate Swaps-Asset	\$ 175,000	\$ 1,077	\$ 225,000	\$ 3,924
Unsecured Term Loan Swaps	Interest Rate Swaps-Liability	\$ 50,000	\$ (412)	\$	\$

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

⁽²⁾ Fixes the interest rate of the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan A

The Company s objectives in using interest rate swaps are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the Company making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and qualified as cash flow hedges is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, the Company did not record any hedge ineffectiveness related to the hedged derivatives.

Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) related to derivatives will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the Company s variable-rate debt. The Company estimates that an additional \$2.5 million will be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as an increase to interest expense over the next 12 months.

The table below details the location in the financial statements of the gain or loss recognized on interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively (in thousands):

		Three months 2014	ended J	une 30, 2013		Six months ended June 30, 2014 2013		
Amount of income (loss) recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) on interest rate swaps (effective portion)	\$	(2,815)	\$	3.440	\$	(4,482)	\$	3,286
Amount of loss reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) into income (loss) as interest	Ф			, .	·		\$,
expense (effective portion) Amount of loss recognized in income on swaps (ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness		638	\$	215		1,222		380
testing)	\$		\$		\$		\$	

The Company is exposed to credit risk in the event of non-performance by the counterparties to the interest rate swaps. The Company attempts to minimize this risk by limiting counterparties to major banks and investment brokers who meet established credit and capital guidelines.

Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

As of June 30, 2014, the fair values of ten of the 11 of the Company s interest rate swaps were in an asset position of \$1.2 million and one interest rate swap was in a liability position of \$0.4 million, excluding any adjustment for nonperformance risk related to these agreements. After adjusting the Company s interest rate swaps for nonperformance risk, the fair values of nine of the 11 interest rate swaps were in an asset position and two were in a liability position. The adjustment for nonperformance risk included in the fair value of the Company s net asset position and net liability position was \$0.1 million and \$34 thousand, respectively, as of June 30, 2014. Accrued interest expense for all 11 swaps was \$0.2 million as of June 30, 2014. As of June 30, 2014, the Company has not posted any collateral related to these agreements. If the Company had breached any of its provisions at June 30, 2014, it could have been required to settle its obligations under the agreement of the interest rate swap in a liability position at its termination value of \$0.4 million.

Fair Value of Interest Rate Swaps

The valuation of the interest rate swaps is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs including interest rate curves. The fair values of interest rate swaps are determined by using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash payments and the discounted expected variable cash receipts. The variable cash receipts are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company applied the provisions of this standard to the valuation of its interest rate swaps.

The following sets forth the Company s financial instruments that are accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

					easurements as a 2014 Using:	of	
	J	une 30, 2014	Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	(Obs	nificant Other servable nputs evel 2)		observable Inputs Level 3)
Assets (liabilities):							
Interest Rate Swaps	\$	1,077	\$	\$	1,077	\$	
Interest Rate Swaps	\$	(412)	\$	\$	(412)	\$	

]	Fair Value N	leasurements as	of
				December	31, 2013 Using:	
			Quoted Prices	Sig	gnificant	
			In Active		Other	
			Markets for	Ob	servable	Unobservable
	Dec	ember 31,	Identical Assets]	Inputs	Inputs
		2013	(Level 1)	(I	Level 2)	(Level 3)
Assets:						
Interest Rate Swaps	\$	3,924	\$	\$	3,924	\$

19

7. Stockholders Equity

Preferred Stock

Pursuant to its charter, the Company is authorized to issue 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share. On November 2, 2011, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of 2,760,000 shares (including 360,000 shares issued pursuant to the full exercise of the underwriters option) of 9.0% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share (the Series A Preferred Stock), at a price to the public of \$25.00 per share. On April 16, 2013, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of 2,800,000 shares (including 300,000 shares issued pursuant to the full exercise of the underwriters option) of 6.625% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share (the Series B Preferred Stock), at a price to the public of \$25.00 per share. Dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock are payable quarterly in arrears on or about the last day of March, June, September and December of each year. The Series A Preferred Stock ranks senior to the Company s common stock with respect to dividend rights and rights upon the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company. The Series B Preferred Stock ranks senior to the Company s common stock and on parity with the Company s Series A Preferred Stock with respect to dividend rights and rights upon the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company. The Series B Preferred Stock have no stated maturity date and are not subject to mandatory redemption or any sinking fund. Generally, the Company is not permitted to redeem the Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock prior to November 2, 2016 and April 16, 2018, respectively, except in limited circumstances relating to the Company s ability to qualify as a REIT and in certain other circumstances related to a change of control (as defined in the articles supplementary for the Series A Preferred Stock and Series B Preferred Stock).

The table below sets forth the dividends attributable to the Series A Preferred Stock during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and the year ended December 31, 2013:

Amount Declared During Quarter Ended 2014	Declaration Date	Per Share	Date Paid
June 30	May 5, 2014 \$	0.5625	June 30, 2014
March 31	February 21, 2014	0.5625	March 31, 2014
Total 2014	\$	8 1.125	

Amount Declared During Quarter Ended 2013	Declaration Date	Per Share	Date Paid
December 31	November 1, 2013 \$	0.5625	December 31, 2013
September 30	August 2, 2013	0.5625	September 30, 2013
June 30	May 6, 2013	0.5625	July 1, 2013
March 31	March 1, 2013	0.5625	April 1, 2013
Total 2013	\$	2.25	•

The table below sets forth the dividends attributable to the Series B Preferred Stock during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and the year ended December 31, 2013:

Amount Declared During Quarter Ended 2014	Declaration Date	Per Share	Date Paid
June 30	May 5, 2014	\$ 0.4140625	June 30, 2014
March 31	February 21, 2014	0.4140625	March 31, 2014
Total 2014	•	\$ 0.828125	

Amount Declared During Quarter Ended 2013	Declaration Date	Per Share	Date Paid
December 31	November 1, 2013	\$ 0.4140625	December 31, 2013
September 30	August 2, 2013	0.4140625	September 30, 2013
June 30 (prorated for April 16, 2013 to June 30, 2013)	May 6, 2013	0.3450500	July 1, 2013
Total 2013		\$ 1.1731750	
	20		

Common Stock

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company sold 189,735 and 661,930 shares of common stock, respectively, under its at the market stock offering program that commenced on December 14, 2012 (2012 ATM). During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company received net proceeds of \$4.4 million and \$14.7 million, reflecting gross proceeds of \$4.5 million and \$14.9 million, net of the sales agents fees of approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. The Company used the net proceeds to fund acquisitions, to repay indebtedness, and for working capital and other general corporate purposes. As of June 30, 2014, there was no remaining common stock available to be sold under the 2012 ATM.

On March 10, 2014, the Company established a new at the market stock offering program (2014 ATM) through which it may sell from time to time up to an aggregate of \$150.0 million of its common stock through sales agents. During the three months ended June 30, 2014 and the period March 10, 2014 through June 30, 2014, the Company sold 1,566,878 and 4,526,142 shares of common stock, respectively, under its 2014 ATM resulting in net proceeds of \$36.7 million and \$102.9 million, reflecting gross proceeds of \$37.3 million and \$104.5 million, net of the sales agents fees of approximately \$0.6 million and \$1.6 million, respectively. The Company used the net proceeds for acquisitions, repayment of debt, and general corporate purposes. As of June 30, 2014, there was approximately \$45.5 million of common stock available to be sold under the 2014 ATM.

The table below sets forth the dividends attributable to the common stock during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and the year ended December 31, 2013:

Amount Declared During 2014	Declaration Date	Per Share	Date Paid
Month ended June 30	February 21, 2014	\$ 0.105	July 15, 2014
Month ended May 31	February 21, 2014	0.105	June 16, 2014
Month ended April 30	February 21, 2014	0.105	May 15, 2014
Month ended March 31	December 18, 2013	0.105	April 15, 2014
Month ended February 28	December 18, 2013	0.105	March 17, 2014
Month ended January 31	December 18, 2013	0.105	February 17, 2014
Total 2014		\$ 0.63	

Amount Declared During 2013	Declaration Date	Per Shar	e	Date Paid
Month ended December 31	September 24, 2013	\$	0.10	January 15, 2014
Month ended November 30	September 24, 2013		0.10	December 16, 2013
Month ended October 31	September 24, 2013		0.10	November 15, 2013
Quarter ended September 30	August 2, 2013		0.30	October 15, 2013
Quarter ended June 30	May 6, 2013		0.30	July 15, 2013
Quarter ended March 31	March 1, 2013		0.30	April 15, 2013
Total 2013		\$	1.20	

On May 5, 2014, the Company s board of directors declared the common stock dividend for the months ending July 31, 2014, August 31, 2014 and September 30, 2014 at a monthly rate of \$0.11 per share of common stock, which represented a 5% increase over the prior monthly dividend.

All of the Company s independent directors elected to receive shares of common stock in lieu of cash for their fees for serving as members of the board and/or chairmen of various committees during 2014 and 2013. The shares of common stock are issued to the independent directors pursuant to the STAG Industrial, Inc. 2011 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended (the 2011 Plan). The number of shares of common stock granted is calculated based on the trailing 10-day average common stock price ending on the third business day preceding the grant date. The fair value of the shares of the common stock granted is calculated based on the closing stock price per the NYSE on the grant date multiplied by the number of shares of common stock granted. The table below sets forth the grants of common stock for the members service during quarters ended in 2014 and 2013 as below:

Service During Quarter Ended 2014	Grant Date	Shares	Fair Value
June 30	July 15, 2014	3,473	\$ 83,000
March 31	April 15, 2014	3,471	83,000
Total 2014		6,944	\$ 166,000

Service During Quarter Ended 2013	Grant Date	Shares	Fair Value
December 31	January 15, 2014	2,554 \$	52,000
September 30	October 15, 2013	2,607	53,000
June 30	July 15, 2013	2,602	53,000
March 31	April 15, 2013	2,418	52,000
Total 2013	· ·	10,181 \$	210,000

Restricted Stock-Based Compensation

On January 2, 2014, the Company granted 103,149 shares of time-based restricted common stock to certain employees of the Company pursuant to the 2011 Plan, with a fair value at issuance of \$2.1 million (based on the Company s NYSE closing stock price on January 2, 2014 of \$20.13 per share).

During the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, 51,885 and 32,012 shares of restricted common stock had vested with a fair value at vesting of \$1.1 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. The Company recognizes non-cash compensation expense ratably over the vesting period, and accordingly, the Company recognized \$0.3 million, \$0.6 million, \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million in non-cash compensation expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. Unrecognized compensation expense for the remaining life of the awards was \$4.0 million and \$2.5 million as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, there were 1,215 and 9,981 forfeitures of shares of restricted common stock, respectively.

8. Noncontrolling Interest

Noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership are interests in the Operating Partnership that are not owned by the Company. As of June 30, 2014, noncontrolling interests consisted of 1,189,813 Common Units and 823,888 LTIP units, which in total represented an approximately 3.52% limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership. As of December 31, 2013, noncontrolling interests consisted of 6,299,186 Common Units and 599,464 LTIP units, which in total represented an approximately 13.35% limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership. The Company adjusts the carrying value of noncontrolling interest to reflect its share of the book value of the Operating Partnership when there has been a change in the Company s ownership of the Operating Partnership. Such adjustments are recorded to additional paid-in capital as a rebalancing of noncontrolling interest on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Equity.

Common Units

Common Units and shares of the Company s common stock have essentially the same economic characteristics in that Common Units and shares of the Company s common stock share equally in the total net income or loss distributions of the Operating Partnership. Investors who own Common Units have the right to cause the Operating Partnership to redeem any or all of their Common Units for cash equal to the then-current market value of one share of the Company s common stock, or, at the Company s election, shares of common stock on a one-for-one basis. Each Common Unit will receive the same monthly distribution as a share of common stock. During the six months ended June 30, 2014, 5,093,584 Common Units were redeemed on a one-for-one basis for shares of common stock and 15,789 Common Units were redeemed for \$0.3 million. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, 2,186 Common Units were redeemed on a one-for-one basis for shares of common stock.

As partial consideration for eight buildings acquired on June 19, 2013, the Company granted 555,758 Common Units in the Operating Partnership with a fair value of approximately \$11.5 million based on the Company s NYSE closing stock price on June 19, 2013. The issuance of the Common Units was effected in reliance upon an exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Company relied on the exemption based on representations given by the holders of the Common Units.

Table of Contents

LTIP Units

Pursuant to the 2011 Plan, the Company may grant LTIP units in the Operating Partnership. LTIP units are valued by reference to the value of the Company s common stock and are subject to such conditions and restrictions as the compensation committee may determine, including continued employment or service, computation of financial metrics and achievement of pre-established performance goals and objectives. Vested LTIP units can be converted to Common Units in the Operating Partnership on a one-for-one basis once a material equity transaction has occurred that results in the accretion of the member s capital account to the economic equivalent of the Common Unit. As of June 30, 2014, all of the outstanding LTIP units have met the aforementioned criteria and holders have the ability to convert the LTIP units to Common Units. All LTIP units, whether vested or not, will receive the same monthly per unit distributions as Common Units, which equal per share dividends on common stock.

On January 2, 2014, the Company granted a total of 203,691 LTIP units to certain executive officers and 20,733 LTIP units to its non-employee, independent directors pursuant to the 2011 Plan. The fair value of the LTIP units at issuance was approximately \$4.3 million, which was determined by a lattice binomial option-pricing model based on a Monte Carlo simulation using a volatility factor of 40%, a risk-free interest rate of 0.79%, an expected annual dividend yield of 6.0% and terms of ten years.

On January 3, 2013, the Company granted a total of 173,044 LTIP units to certain executive officers and 14,525 LTIP units to its non-employee, independent directors pursuant to the 2011 Plan. The fair value of the LTIP units at issuance was approximately \$3.3 million, which was determined by a lattice binomial option-pricing model based on a Monte Carlo simulation using a volatility factor of 45%, a risk-free interest rate of 1.97%, an expected annual dividend yield of 6.0% and a term of ten years.

On February 7, 2014, Gregory W. Sullivan, the Company s former Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President and Treasurer, notified the Company of his intention not to renew his contract at its expiration on April 20, 2014 and he tendered his resignation from his position on April 21, 2014. On April 21, 2014, Mr. Sullivan and the Company executed a Consulting Agreement, which had an effective date of April 29, 2014, pursuant to which Mr. Sullivan will act as a Senior Financial Advisor to the Company for one year. The Consulting Agreement modified the vesting terms of Mr. Sullivan s LTIP units previously granted to him as well as the vesting provisions of his share of the Company s 2011 Outperformance Program (OPP) that will be measured on September 19, 2014. At the time of Mr. Sullivan s contract expiration, he had 82,804 unvested LTIP units and a 14% allocation of the OPP. The modification to the terms of Mr. Sullivan s LTIP units and his share of the previously unrecognized compensation expense associated with the OPP were considered a Type III modification, with non-substantive services. Accordingly, his unvested LTIP units and his share of the previously unrecognized compensation expense associated with OPP were valued on the effective date of the Consulting Agreement for \$2.0 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, and these amounts were expensed upon the effective date of the Consulting Agreement and included in general and administrative expenses on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company expensed dividends in the amount of \$0.1 million previously paid to Mr. Sullivan on the unvested LTIP units and this amount is also included in general and administrative expenses during the three months ended June 30, 2014 related to his salary, bonus and other benefits that will be received over the term of the Consulting Agreement.

During the six months ended June 30, 2014, there were no LTIP units redeemed for Common Units. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, there were 1,656 LTIP units redeemed for Common Units. During the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, 108,728 and 59,926 LTIP units had vested with fair values at vesting of \$2.6 million and \$1.2 million, respectively. The Company recognized \$1.2 million and \$0.8 million in non-cash compensation expense for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively, exclusive of \$2.0 million of non-cash compensation during the six months ended June 30, 2014 associated with the accounting for Mr. Sullivan s Consulting Agreement discussed above. Unrecognized compensation expense was \$7.0 million and \$5.2 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

9. Earnings Per Share

A participating security is defined by GAAP as an unvested stock-based payment award containing non-forfeitable rights to dividends and must be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. Unvested restricted stock awards are considered participating securities as these stock-based awards contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends irrespective of whether the awards ultimately vest or expire. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, there were 267,659, 273,626, 217,806 and 223,555, respectively, unvested shares of restricted stock on a weighted average basis that were considered participating securities, which were not dilutive. For purposes of calculating basic and diluted earnings per share, awards under the OPP are considered contingently issuable shares. Because the OPP awards require the Company to outperform absolute and relative return thresholds, unless such thresholds have been met by the end of the applicable reporting period, the Company excludes the awards from the basic and diluted earnings per share calculation. As of June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, the absolute and relative return thresholds were met; however, the OPP awards have been excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 as they were anti-dilutive. In no event will the 2011 OPP awards be earned and paid out unless the absolute and relative performance thresholds are met on September 19, 2014.

The following tables set forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively (in thousands, except share data).

	Three months ended			
	June 30, 2014			
Numerator				
Net loss from continuing operations	\$	(2,666)		
Less: preferred stock dividends		2,712		
Less: amount allocated to unvested restricted stockholders		83		
Less: loss attributable to noncontrolling interest after preferred stock dividends		(310)		
Loss from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders	\$	(5,151)		
Denominator				
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic and diluted		52,865,801		
Loss per share basic and diluted	\$	(0.10)		

	om monens ended
	June 30, 2014
Numerator	
Net loss from continuing operations	\$ (2,695)
Add: gain on sale of real estate	50
Less: preferred stock dividends	5,424
Less: amount allocated to unvested restricted stockholders	171
Less: loss attributable to noncontrolling interest after preferred stock dividends	(766)
Loss from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders	\$ (7,474)
Denominator	
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic and diluted	49,023,985
Loss per share basic and diluted	\$ (0.15)

	Three mo	Three months ended		
	June :	30, 2013		
Numerator				
Net loss from continuing operations	\$	(738)		

Six months ended

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Less: preferred stock dividends	2,519
Less: amount allocated to unvested restricted stockholders	64
Less: loss attributable to noncontrolling interest after preferred stock dividends	(430)
Loss from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders	\$ (2,891)
Income attributable to discontinued operations	\$ 554
Less: income attributable to noncontrolling interest after preferred stock dividends	73
Income from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders	\$ 481
Denominator	
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic and diluted	42,006,954
Loss from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders	\$ (0.07)
Income from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders	\$ 0.01
Loss per share basic and diluted	\$ (0.06)

Six months ended June 30, 2013 Numerator Net loss from continuing operations \$ (1,285)Less: preferred stock dividends 4,071 Less: amount allocated to unvested restricted stockholders 133 Less: loss attributable to noncontrolling interest after preferred stock dividends (714)Loss from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders \$ (4,775)Income attributable to discontinued operations \$ 683 Less: income attributable to noncontrolling interest after preferred stock dividends 91 Income from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders \$ 592 **Denominator** Weighted average common shares outstanding basic and diluted 41,265,070 \$ Loss from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders (0.11)Income from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders \$ 0.01 Loss per share basic and diluted (0.10)

10. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is subject to various legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. These matters are generally covered by insurance subject to deductible requirements. Management believes that the ultimate settlement of these actions will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company is subject to a one-time incentive fee based on aggregate performance thresholds of the acquired buildings sourced by Columbus Nova Real Estate Acquisition Group, LLC. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the fair value of the incentive fee was zero.

11. Concentrations of Credit Risk

Concentrations of credit risk arise when a number of tenants related to the Company s investments or rental operations are engaged in similar business activities, are located in the same geographic region, or have similar economic features that would cause their inability to meet contractual obligations, including those to the Company, to be similarly affected. The Company regularly monitors its tenant base to assess potential concentrations of credit risk. Management believes the current credit risk portfolio is reasonably well diversified and does not contain any unusual concentration of credit risk. No single tenant accounted for more than 5% of rental income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013.

12. Subsequent Events

GAAP requires an entity to disclose events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued (subsequent events) as well as the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events. There are two types of subsequent events. The first type consists of events or transactions that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the balance

sheet, including the estimates inherent in the process of preparing financial statements (recognized subsequent events). No significant recognized subsequent events were noted. The second type consists of events that provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at the date of the balance sheet but arose subsequent to that date (non-recognized subsequent events).

The following non-recognized subsequent events are noted:

On May 12, 2014, the Company executed an Employment Agreement with Geoffrey G. Jervis to serve as the Company s Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President and Treasurer for a term of three years. On July 1, 2014, pursuant to the 2011 Plan, the Company awarded an initial LTIP unit grant equal in value to approximately \$0.3 million, which equated to 14,850 LTIP units that will vest over five years in equal installments on a quarterly basis beginning on September 30, 2014. Additionally on July 1, 2014, pursuant to the 2011 Plan, Mr. Jervis was granted LTIP units equal in value to \$1.2 million, which equated to 52,106 LTIP units, which will vest at the end of a three-year term, running concurrently with the initial term of the Employment Agreement. The fair value at issuance of each grant was determined by a lattice binomial option-pricing model based on a Monte Carlo simulation using a volatility factor of 40%, a risk-free interest rate of 0.79%, an expected annual dividend yield of 6.0% and terms of ten years.

On July 1, 2014, the Company issued the Series B Unsecured Senior Notes in the amount of \$50.0 million (see Note 5 for further details).

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

You should read the following discussion with the financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in Item 1 of this report and the audited financial statements as of December 31, 2013, and related notes thereto included in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K.

As used herein, Company, we, our and us, refer to STAG Industrial, Inc. and our consolidated subsidiaries and partnerships, except where the context otherwise requires. The consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 include the financial information of the Company, STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, L.P. (operating partnership) and our subsidiaries.

Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the safe harbor from civil liability provided for such statements by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (set forth in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)). You can identify forward-looking statements by the use of words such as estimates, expects, intends, may, plans, projects, seeks, should, believes, will, and variations of such words or Forward-looking statements in this report include, among others, statements about our future financial condition, results of operations, our business strategy and objectives, including our acquisition strategy, occupancy and leasing rates and trends, and expected liquidity needs and sources (including capital expenditures and the ability to obtain financing or raise capital). Our forward-looking statements reflect our current views about our plans, intentions, expectations, strategies and prospects, which are based on the information currently available to us and on assumptions we have made. Although we believe that our plans, intentions, expectations, strategies and prospects as reflected in or suggested by our forward-looking statements are reasonable, we can give no assurance that our plans, intentions, expectations, strategies or prospects will be attained or achieved and you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Furthermore, actual results may differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements and may be affected by a variety of risks and factors including, without limitation:

- the factors included in this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on February 26, 2014, including those set forth under the headings Business, Risk Factors, and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations;
- the competitive environment in which we operate;
- real estate risks, including fluctuations in real estate values and the general economic climate in local markets and competition for tenants in such markets;
- decreased rental rates or increasing vacancy rates;

•	potential defaults on or non-renewal of leases by tenants;
•	potential bankruptcy or insolvency of tenants;
•	acquisition risks, including failure of such acquisitions to perform in accordance with projections;
•	the timing of acquisitions and dispositions;
•	potential natural disasters;
•	international, national, regional and local economic conditions;
	26

Table of Contents

•	the general level of interest rates;
	potential changes in the law or governmental regulations that affect us and interpretations of those laws and regulations, including real estate and zoning or real estate investment trust (REIT) tax laws, and potential increases in real property tax rates;
	financing risks, including the risks that our cash flows from operations may be insufficient to meet required payments of principal t and we may be unable to refinance our existing debt upon maturity or obtain new financing on attractive terms or at all;
•	credit risk in the event of non-performance by the counterparties to the interest rate swaps;
•	lack of or insufficient amounts of insurance;
•	our failure to complete acquisitions;
•	our failure to successfully integrate acquired buildings;
•	our ability to maintain our qualification as a REIT;
•	our ability to maintain key personnel;
•	litigation, including costs associated with prosecuting or defending claims and any adverse outcomes; and
	possible environmental liabilities, including costs, fines or penalties that may be incurred due to necessary remediation of ion of buildings presently owned or previously owned by us.

Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made. New risks and uncertainties arise over time, and it is not possible for us to predict those events or how they may affect us. Except as required by law, we are not obligated to, and do not intend to, update or

v forward-looking			

Overview

We are an industrial real estate operating company focused on the acquisition and management of single-tenant industrial properties throughout the United States.

As of June 30, 2014, we owned 221 buildings in 34 states with approximately 41.2 million square feet, consisting of 153 warehouse/distribution buildings, 48 light manufacturing buildings and 20 flex/office buildings. We also owned two vacant land parcels adjacent to two of our buildings. Our buildings were 94.5% leased to 202 tenants, with no single tenant accounting for more than 2.6% of our total annualized rent and no single industry accounting for more than 13.0% of our total annualized rent, as of June 30, 2014. As used herein, the definition of annualized rent is the contractual monthly base rent as of June 30, 2014 multiplied by 12. If a tenant is in a free rent period as of June 30, 2014, the annualized rent is calculated based on the first contractual monthly base rent amount multiplied by 12.

We were formed as a Maryland corporation on July 21, 2010 and our operating partnership, of which we, through our wholly owned subsidiary, STAG Industrial GP, LLC, are the sole general partner, was formed as a Delaware limited partnership on December 21, 2009. On April 20, 2011, we completed our formation transactions and became a public company. At June 30, 2014, we owned a 96.48% limited partnership interest in our operating partnership. We are organized and conduct our operations to qualify as a REIT under the Code, and generally are not subject to federal income tax to the extent we distribute our income to our stockholders and maintain our qualification as a REIT.

Factors That May Influence Future Results of Operations

Outlook

The lack of speculative development in our markets may improve occupancy levels and rental rates in our owned portfolio. In addition, our acquisition activity is expected to enhance our overall financial performance. The continuation of low interest rates combined with the availability of attractively priced properties should allow us to continue to deploy our capital on an attractive spread investing basis. In general, the economic environment for our tenants appears to be improving due in particular to the increasing availability of financing accessible by mid-sized companies. Additionally, based on various real estate publications, the

outlook for the industrial real estate sector is positive as the U.S. economy continues to improve and as retailers and manufacturers have made the shortening of the supply chain their top priority for the foreseeable future.

Rental Revenue

We receive income primarily from rental revenue from our buildings. The amount of rental revenue generated by the buildings in our portfolio depends principally on occupancy and rental rates. As of June 30, 2014, our buildings were approximately 94.5% leased. The amount of rental revenue generated by us also depends on our ability to maintain or increase rental rates at our buildings. Future economic downturns or regional downturns affecting our submarkets that impair our ability to renew or re-lease space and the ability of our tenants to fulfill their lease commitments, as in the case of tenant bankruptcies, could adversely affect our ability to maintain or increase rental rates at our buildings.

Certain leases entered into by us contain tenant concessions. Any such rental concessions are accounted for on a straight line basis over the term of the lease.

The following table provides a summary of our leasing activity for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014. As of June 30, 2014, we owned 221 buildings with approximately 41.2 million square feet. The table does not include month to month leases or leases with terms less than 12 months.

	Number of Leases Signed	Square Feet Signed (in 000 s)	;	Net Effective Rent Per Square Foot (1)(2)	GAAP Basis Rent Growth (1)(3)	Weighted Average Lease Term (4)	Т	urnover Costs Per Square Foot (5)
Three months ended June 30, 2014								
New Leases	1	204,952	\$	3.68	13.6%	3.2	\$	0.71
Renewal Leases	6	506,432	\$	4.83	10.7%	2.0	\$	0.21
Total / Weighted								
Average	7	711,384	\$	4.50	11.3%	2.3	\$	0.35
Six months ended June 30, 2014								
New Leases	4	300,352	\$	2.51	13.6%	3.9	\$	0.70
Renewal Leases Total / Weighted	11	1,505,974	\$	3.54	11.0%	3.1	\$	0.24
Average	15	1,806,326	\$	3.37	11.3%	3.3	\$	0.32

⁽¹⁾ New leases where there were no prior comparable leases, due to extended downtime or materially different lease structures are excluded.

⁽²⁾ Net effective rent is the average net rent calculated in accordance with GAAP, over the term of the lease.

- (3) GAAP basis rent growth is a ratio of the change in net effective rent (on a GAAP basis, including straight-line rent adjustments as required by GAAP) compared to the net effective rent (on a GAAP basis) of the comparable lease.
- (4) The lease term is expressed in years. Assumes no exercise of lease renewal option, if any.
- (5) Turnover costs are comprised of the costs incurred or capitalized for improvements of vacant and renewal spaces, as well as the commissions paid and costs capitalized for leasing transactions. Turnover costs per square foot represent the total turnover costs expected to be incurred on the leases signed during the period and do not reflect actual expenditures for the period.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, of the new leases signed, one lease for 0.2 million square feet included free rent totaling \$47 thousand. Additionally, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, none of the renewal leases signed had free rent periods during the lease terms.

Table of Contents

Scheduled Lease Expirations

Our ability to re-lease space subject to expiring leases will impact our results of operations and is affected by economic and competitive conditions in our markets and by the desirability of our individual buildings. As of June 30, 2014, leases which comprise approximately 2.7% percent of our annualized base rent are scheduled to expire during the remainder of 2014. With the change in rental rates in our markets over the past few years, as the leases which comprise approximately 2.7% of our annualized base rent expire in 2014, assuming no further changes in current market rental rates after considering a 12 to 24 month downtime, we expect that the rental rates on the respective new leases will generally be lower than the rates currently being paid, thereby resulting in less revenue from the same space. As a result of the above factors, our future earnings and cash flows may be negatively impacted by current market conditions affecting our properties.

As of June 30, 2014, we had approximately 2.3 million rentable square feet of currently available space in our buildings. Of the 0.8 million square feet and 1.7 million square feet of leases that have expired during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, we have renewed 0.3 million square feet and 1.0 million square feet of leases, respectively, resulting in a 35.1% and 55.7% tenant retention rate for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively. The 0.8 million square feet and 1.7 million square feet of expiring leases during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 represented only 2% and 4%, respectively, of the 41.2 million square feet in our 221 buildings as of June 30, 2014. As of June 30, 2014, for the period July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015, only one of our top ten leases based on June 30, 2014 annualized revenue will be expiring. This lease is scheduled to expire on December 31, 2014, and our leasing team has been in preliminary discussions on possible renewals for this tenant.

Conditions in Our Markets

The buildings in our portfolio are located in markets throughout the United States. Positive or negative changes in economic or other conditions, adverse weather conditions and natural disasters in these markets may affect our overall performance.

Rental Expenses

Our rental expenses generally consist of utilities, real estate taxes, management fees, insurance and site repair and maintenance costs. For the majority of our tenants, our rental expenses are controlled, in part, by the triple net provisions in tenant leases. In our triple net leases, the tenant is responsible for all aspects of and costs related to the building and its operation during the lease term, including utilities, taxes, insurance and maintenance costs. However, we also have modified gross leases and gross leases in our building portfolio. The terms of those leases vary and on some occasions we may absorb building related expenses of our tenants. In our modified gross leases, we are responsible for some building related expenses during the lease term, but the cost of most of the expenses is passed through to the tenant for reimbursement to us. In our gross leases, we are responsible for all aspects of and costs related to the building and its operation during the lease term. Our overall performance will be affected by the extent to which we are able to pass-through rental expenses to our tenants.

Results of Operations

The following discussion of our results of operations should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the accompanying footnotes. We consider our same store (as defined below) portfolio to consist of only those buildings owned and operated at the beginning and at the end of both of the applicable periods presented. Same store results are considered to be useful to investors in evaluating our performance because they provide information relating to changes in building-level operating performance without taking into account the effects of acquisitions or dispositions.

Comparison of three months ended June 30, 2014 to the three months ended June 30, 2013

Our results of operations are affected by the acquisition and disposition activity during the 2014 and 2013 periods as described below. On April 1, 2013, we owned 179 buildings including 119 warehouse/distribution buildings, 39 light manufacturing buildings and 21 flex/office buildings. Subsequent to April 1, 2013, we sold three buildings for which the results of operations are included in disposition or loss from discontinued operations and in the table below are not considered part of our same store portfolio. Therefore, there are 176 buildings which are considered our same store portfolio (three month same store) in the analysis below. Three month same store occupancy decreased 0.7% to 92.9% as of June 30, 2014 compared to 93.6% as of June 30, 2013. The results of operations from acquisitions relates to the 45 buildings acquired after April 1, 2013 for an aggregate cost of approximately \$400.7 million.

The following table summarizes selected operating information for our three month same store portfolio and our total portfolio for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands). This table includes a reconciliation from our same store portfolio to our total portfolio by also providing information for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 with respect to the buildings acquired and disposed of after April 1, 2013. In April 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2014-08, Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity, which prospectively changed the definition of a discontinued operation to the disposal of a component or group of components that is disposed of or is classified as held for sale and represents a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity s operations and financial results. We early adopted the provision effective January 1, 2014. The Lexington, VA building that was sold on March 25, 2014 did not meet the definition of a discontinued operation under this new definition and is therefore included within dispositions in the table below. The results from buildings sold prior to January 1, 2014 are included in discontinued operations within the table below.

ר	Three	month 2014		nde		e Portfolio 30, Change			Acqu months 2014	end		Disponding the 2014	e T Hree	æm 0 nths 2014	end	Total P led June 2013	30,		% Change
Revenue																			
Operating revenue																			
Rental income	\$	26,645	5	\$ 2	27,073	\$ (428)		-1.6%\$	8,558	\$	1,014	\$	\$ 18 \$	35,203	\$	28,105	\$	7,098	25.3%
Tenant recoveries		4,540	6		3,282	1,264		38.5%	1,733		194			6,279		3,476		2,803	80.6%
Other income (1)		4	7		7	40	5	71.4%	2					49		7		42	600.0%
Total operating																			
revenue		31,238	8		30,362	876		2.9%	10,293		1,208		18	41,531		31,588		9,943	31.5%
Expenses																			
Operating expenses																			
Property		2,692	2		2,267	425		18.7%	502		49			3,194		2,316		878	37.9%
Real estate taxes																			
and insurance		3,790	6		3,048	748		24.5%	1,616		196			5,412		3,244		2,168	66.8%
Total operating																			
expenses		6,488	8		5,315	1,173		22.1%	2,118		245			8,606		5,560		3,046	54.8%
Net operating incom	e	0, .0.			0,010	1,170		22.17,0	2,110		2.0			0,000		2,200		2,010	2 110 70
(2)		24,750	0	\$ 2	25,047	\$ (297)		-1.2%\$	8,175	\$	963	\$	\$ 18 \$	32,925	\$	26,028	\$	6,897	26.5%
Other expenses																			
(income)																			
General and administrative														8,283		4,477		3,806	85.0%
Asset management																			
fees income														(151))	(255)		104	40.8%
Property																			
acquisition costs														688		1,269		(581)	-45.8%
Depreciation and																			
amortization														20,769		16,244		4,525	27.9%
Other expenses														193		161		32	19.9%
Total other														20.502		21.006		= 006	24.00
expenses (income)														29,782		21,896		7,886	36.0%
Total expenses														38,388		27,456		10,932	39.8%
Other income																			
(expense)																			
Interest income														4		3		1	33.3%
Interest expense														(5,813)		(4,846)		(967)	-20.0%
Offering costs														(0,010)		(27)		27	100.0%
Total other income																(=1)			222.070
(expense)														(5,809))	(4,870)		(939)	19.3%
Discontinued																			
operations																			
Income attributable to																90		(90)	-100.0%

discontinued				
operations				
Gain on sales of				
real estate		464	(464)	-100.0%
Total income				
attributable to				
discontinued				
operations	\$ \$	554 \$	(554)	-100.0%
Gain on sale of				
real estate	\$ \$	\$	1	0.0%
Net loss	\$ (2,666) \$	(184) \$	(2,482)	1348.9%
Less: loss				
attributable to				
noncontrolling				
interest after				
preferred stock				
dividends	(310)	(357)	47	-13.2%
Net income (loss)				
attributable to				
STAG				
Industrial, Inc.	\$ (2,356) \$	173 \$	(2,529)	-1461.8%

⁽¹⁾ Other income excludes asset management fee income, which is included below in Other expenses (income) for purposes of calculating net operating income.

Net operating income excludes the results of discontinued operations in the table above. For a detailed discussion of net operating income, including the reasons management believes net operating income is useful to investors, see Non-GAAP Financial Measures below.

Table of Contents

Same Store Total Operating Revenue

Same store operating revenue consists primarily of rental income from our properties, lease termination fees and tenant reimbursements for insurance, real estate taxes and certain other expenses.

Same store rental income consisting of base rent, termination income, straight-line rent and above and below market lease amortization decreased by \$0.4 million or 1.6% to \$26.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$27.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013. Approximately \$1.2 million of the change was primarily attributable to tenants downsizing their spaces and vacancies. These decreases were offset by \$0.5 million of rental increases due primarily to tenant expansions and new leases. There was also a net increase of \$0.1 million primarily related to changes in rental rates on lease renewals. Same store rental income also increased \$0.1 million related to a decrease in amortization of net above market leases.

Same store tenant recoveries increased by \$1.3 million or 38.5% to \$4.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$3.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013. The increase was primarily due to one building where we began paying the real estate taxes on behalf of a tenant that previously paid its taxes directly. As a result, we recognized approximately \$0.9 million of tenant recovery income. Approximately \$0.3 million of the increase related to a property where the tenant reimbursed us for deferred repair and maintenance that was necessary upon its vacating the space at lease expiration. Approximately \$0.3 million of the increase related to several properties with primarily increases in recoverable expenses. This was partially offset by a \$0.2 million decrease in tenant recoveries related to changes in lease terms where tenants began paying expenses directly to third parties; therefore, the expenses and related recoveries are no longer recognized by our Company.

Same store other income increased by \$40 thousand or 571.4% to \$47 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$7 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2013. During the three months ended June 30, 2014, we received \$25 thousand related to a settlement from a prior tenant for reimbursement of damages under the lease agreement.

Same Store Operating Expenses

Same store operating expenses consist primarily of property operating expenses and real estate taxes and insurance.

Total same store expenses increased by \$1.2 million or 22.1% to \$6.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$5.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013. The increase was primarily due to one building where we began paying the real estate taxes on behalf of a tenant that previously paid its taxes directly. As a result, we recognized approximately \$0.9 million of tenant recovery income. Approximately \$0.3 million of the increase related to a property where the tenant reimbursed us for deferred repair and maintenance that was necessary upon its vacating the space at lease expiration. Approximately \$0.2 million of the increase related to increases in property expenses at previously vacant properties. This was offset by a \$0.2 million decrease in tenant recoverable expenses related to changes in lease terms where tenants began paying expenses directly to third parties; therefore, the expenses and related recoveries are no longer recognized by our Company.

Total Other Expenses (Income)

Total other expenses (income) consist of general and administrative expense, asset management fee income, property acquisition costs, depreciation and amortization, and other expenses.

Total other expenses (income) increased \$7.9 million or 36.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2014 to \$29.8 million compared to \$21.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013. The increase was primarily related to an increase of \$4.5 million in depreciation and amortization as a result of the buildings acquired which increased the depreciable asset base. The increase was also attributable to a \$3.8 million increase in general and administrative expenses, which was primarily related to approximately \$3.0 million of general and administrative expenses associated with our former Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President and Treasurer s decision to not renew his contract and enter into a one-year Consulting Agreement with our Company. The one-time charge of \$3.0 million related to the acceleration of his share of our 2011 Outperformance Program (OPP) during the three months ended June 30, 2014, as well as the accounting for his unvested LTIP units and associated dividends paid on unvested LTIP units, salary, bonus and other benefits that will be provided to him during the term of his Consulting Agreement. The increase also related to rental expense for our new principal executive offices that we began to occupy on January 27, 2014 as well as the increase in payroll and other costs related to an increased number of employees. The increase was offset by a decrease of \$0.6 million to property acquisition costs due to the closing of nine buildings during the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to the closing of 16 buildings during the three months ended June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

Total Other Income (Expense)

Total other income (expense) consists of interest income and interest expense. Interest expense includes interest paid and accrued during the period as well as adjustments related to amortization of financing fees and amortization of fair market value adjustments associated with the assumption of debt.

Total other expense increased \$0.9 million, or 19.3%, to \$5.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$4.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013. The increase was primarily attributable to a \$1.0 million increase in interest expense related to the increase in total debt outstanding of \$558.8 million as of June 30, 2014 compared to \$452.9 million as of June 30, 2013. During the three months ended June 30, 2014, the average debt balance increased compared to the average debt balance during the three months ended June 30, 2013. This increase was primarily a result of the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan A (as defined in *Indebtedness Outstanding* below) entered into on February 14, 2013 and was not fully drawn upon until January 30, 2014.

Total Income Attributable to Discontinued Operations

The total income attributable to discontinued operations decreased by \$0.6 million, or 100.0%, to \$0 for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$0.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013. The income attributable to discontinued operations reflects the results of operations during the three months ended June 30, 2013 related to two buildings located in Creedmoor, NC and Pittsburgh, PA that were sold during the year ended December 31, 2013. As previously mentioned, the only building sold in 2014 was not classified as a discontinued operation as a result of our early adopting ASU 2014-08, *Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity*.

Total Net Loss

The total net loss increased by \$2.5 million, or 1,348.9%, to net loss of \$2.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to a net loss of \$0.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013. The increase is attributable to all of the aforementioned factors.

Comparison of six months ended June 30, 2014 to the six months ended June 30, 2013

Our results of operations are affected by the acquisition and disposition activity during the 2014 and 2013 periods as described below. On January 1, 2013, we owned 172 buildings including 112 warehouse/distribution buildings, 39 light manufacturing buildings and 21 flex/office buildings. Subsequent to January 1, 2013, we sold three buildings for which the results of operations are included in disposition or loss from discontinued operations and in the table below are not considered part of our same store portfolio. Therefore, there are 169 buildings which are considered our same store portfolio (six month same store) in the analysis below. Six month same store occupancy decreased 0.8% to 92.4% as of June 30, 2014 compared to 93.2% as of June 30, 2013. The results of operations from acquisitions relates to the 52 buildings acquired after January 1, 2013 for an aggregate cost of approximately \$461.2 million.

The following table summarizes selected operating information for our six month same store portfolio and our total portfolio for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands). This table includes a reconciliation from our same store portfolio to our total portfolio by also providing information for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 with respect to the buildings acquired and disposed of after January 1, 2013. The Lexington, VA building that was sold on March 25, 2014 did not meet the definition of a discontinued operation under ASU 2014-08, *Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity*, and is therefore included within dispositions in the table below. The results from buildings sold prior to January 1, 2014 are included in discontinued operations within the table below.

	Same Store Portfolio Six months ended June 30,					Acquisitions Dispositions Six months ended Sixen300ths ended S															
	SIX	2014	IIu	2013		Change	% (Change	2014	enu	2013	201)13	2014	201			hange	% Change
Revenue																					
Operating revenue																					
Rental income	\$	50,490	\$	51,079	\$	(589)		-1.2%\$	18,81	5 \$	\$ 2,924	\$	16	\$	36 \$	69,321	\$ 54	,039	\$	15,282	28.3%
Tenant recoveries		8,139		6,676		1,463		21.9%	3,55	5	458		1			11,695	7	,134		4,561	63.9%
Other income (1)		83		144		(61)		-42.4%	(5						89		144		(55)	-38.2%
Total operating																					
revenue		58,712		57,899		813		1.4%	22,37	5	3,382		17		36	81,105	61	,317		19,788	32.3%
Expenses																					
Operating expense.	s																				
Property		5,992		4,922		1,070		21.7%	1,243	3	89		9			7,244	5	,011		2,233	44.6%
Real estate taxes ar	nd	-,,,,		-,,, ===		-,-,-			-,		-					.,		,		_,	
insurance		6,334		5,431		903		16.6%	3,012).	441		1			9,347	5	,872		3,475	59.2%
Total operating		0,00.		5,.51		, 00		10.070	2,01				•			,,,,,,,		,0,2		2,	0,1270
expenses		12,326		10,353		1,973		19.1%	4,25	5	530		10			16,591	10	.883		5,708	52.4%
Net operating		12,320		10,555		1,773		17.170	1,23		330		10			10,571	10	,005		3,700	32.170
income (2)	\$	46,386	\$	47,546	\$	(1,160)		-2.4%\$	18,12	1 9	\$ 2,852	\$	7	\$	36 \$	64,514	\$ 50	434	\$	14 080	27.9%
Other expenses	Ψ	40,500	Ψ	77,570	Ψ	(1,100)		Δ. τ /0 ψ	10,12	1 4	Ψ 2,032 (Ψ	,	Ψ	50 ψ	04,514	Ψ 50	, 757	Ψ	14,000	21.770
(income)																					
General and																					
administrative																13,758	0	,983		4,775	53.2%
Asset management																13,736	0	,903		4,773	33.270
fees income																(220)		(514)		194	37.7%
	_															(320)		(514)		194	31.1%
Property acquisitio	n															1 247		0.15		(500)	22.40
costs																1,247	1	,845		(598)	-32.4%
Depreciation and																40.600	21	(10		0.001	20.46
amortization																40,623	31	,642		8,981	28.4%
Other expenses																430		245		185	75.5%
Total other expense	es																40	201			22.1~
(income)																55,738		,201		13,537	32.1%
Total expenses																72,329	53	,084		19,245	36.3%
Other income																					
(expense)																					
Interest income																8		6		2	33.3%
Interest expense																(11,479)	(9	,497)		(1,982)	-20.9%
Offering costs																, , , , ,	-	(27)		27	100.0%
Total other income																		, ,			
(expense)																(11,471)	(9	,518))	(1,953)	20.5%
Discontinued																(, . , 1)	()	,)		(-,, 00)	20.2 70
operations																					
Income attributable																					
to discontinued	-																				
operations																		219		(219)	-100.0%
Gain on sales of rea	al																	21)		(21))	100.070
estate	uı																	464		(464)	-100.0%
Total income															\$		\$	683	¢	(683)	-100.0%
attributable to discontinued															Ф		Ψ	000	φ	(003)	-100.0 %

operations				
Gain on sale of real				
estate	\$ 50	\$	\$ 50	100.0%
Net loss	\$ (2,645)	\$ (602)	\$ (2,043)	339.4%
Less: loss				
attributable to				
noncontrolling				
interest after				
preferred stock				
dividends	(766)	(623)	(143)	23.0%
Net income (loss)				
attributable to				
STAG				
Industrial, Inc.	\$ (1,879)	\$ 21	\$ (1,900)	-9047.6%

⁽¹⁾ Other income excludes asset management fee income, which is included below in Other expenses (income) for purposes of calculating net operating income.

⁽²⁾ Net operating income excludes the results of discontinued operations in the table above. For a detailed discussion of net operating income, including the reasons management believes net operating income is useful to investors, see Non-GAAP Financial Measures below.

Table of Contents

Same Store Total Operating Revenue

Same store operating revenue consists primarily of rental income from our properties, lease termination fees and tenant reimbursements for insurance, real estate taxes and certain other expenses.

Same store rental income consisting of base rent, termination income, straight-line rent and above and below market lease amortization decreased by \$0.6 million or 1.2% to \$50.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$51.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. Approximately \$2.0 million of the change was primarily attributable to vacancies and tenants downsizing their spaces. These decreases were offset by \$0.9 million of rental increases due to tenant expansions and new leases. There was also a net increase of \$0.2 million primarily related to changes in rental rates on lease renewals. Same store rental income also increased \$0.3 million related to a decrease in amortization of net above market leases.

Same store tenant recoveries increased by \$1.5 million or 21.9% to \$8.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$6.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The increase was primarily due to one building where we began paying the real estate taxes on behalf of a tenant that previously paid its taxes directly. As a result, we recognized approximately \$0.9 million of tenant recovery income. Approximately \$0.3 million of the increase related to a property where the tenant reimbursed us for deferred repair and maintenance that was necessary upon its vacating the space at lease expiration. Approximately \$0.4 million of the increase related to several properties with increases in recoverable expenses primarily as a result of the harsh winter conditions in 2014. This was partially offset by approximately \$0.1 million decrease in tenant recoveries related to changes in lease terms where tenants began paying expenses directly to third parties; therefore, the expenses and related recoveries are no longer recognized by our Company.

Same store other income decreased by \$0.1 million or 42.4% to \$0.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$0.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we received a reimbursement of \$51 thousand for make ready repair costs from a tenant that previously vacated, which resulted in a higher same store other income during the six months ended June 30, 2013.

Same Store Operating Expenses

Same store operating expenses consist primarily of property operating expenses and real estate taxes and insurance.

Total same store expenses increased by \$2.0 million or 19.1% to \$12.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$10.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The increase was primarily due to one building where we began paying the real estate taxes on behalf of a tenant that previously paid its taxes directly. As a result, we recognized approximately \$0.9 million of real estate tax expense. Approximately \$0.3 million of the increase related to a property where the tenant reimbursed us for deferred repair and maintenance that was necessary upon its vacating the space at lease expiration. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase of \$0.5 million related to the increased gas usage and snow removal costs due to the harsh winter conditions in 2014. There was also an increase of \$0.3 million related to repair and maintenance expenses and increased utility usage.

Total Other Expenses (Income)

Total other expenses (income) consist of general and administrative expense, asset management fee income, property acquisition costs, depreciation and amortization, and other expenses.

Total other expenses (income) increased \$13.5 million or 32.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2014 to \$55.7 million compared to \$42.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The increase was primarily related to an increase of \$9.0 million in depreciation and amortization as a result of the buildings acquired which increased the depreciable asset base. The increase was also attributable to a \$4.8 million increase in general and administrative expenses related primarily to approximately \$3.0 million of general and administrative expense for the accounting associated with our former Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President and Treasurer s decision to not renew his contract and enter into a one-year consulting agreement with our Company. The one-time charge of \$3.0 million related to the acceleration of his share of our OPP as well as the accounting for his unvested LTIP units and associated dividends paid on unvested LTIP units, his salary, bonus and other benefits that will be provided to him during the term of his Consulting Agreement. The increase also related to rental expense for our new principal executive offices that we began to occupy on January 27, 2014 as well as the increase in payroll and other costs related to an increased number of employees. The increase was offset by a decrease of \$0.6 million to property acquisition costs due to the closing of 13 buildings during the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to the closing of 23 buildings during the six months ended June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

Total Other Income (Expense)

Total other income (expense) consists of interest income and interest expense. Interest expense includes interest paid and accrued during the period as well as adjustments related to amortization of financing fees and amortization of fair market value adjustments associated with the assumption of debt.

Total other expense increased \$2.0 million, or 20.5%, to \$11.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$9.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The increase was primarily attributable to a \$1.0 million increase in interest expense related to the increase in total debt outstanding of \$558.8 million as of June 30, 2014 compared to \$452.9 million as of June 30, 2013. During the six months ended June 30, 2014, the average debt balance increased compared to the average debt balance during the six months ended June 30, 2013. This increase was primarily a result of the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan A (as defined in *Indebtedness Outstanding* below) entered into on February 14, 2013, and was not fully drawn upon until January 30, 2014.

Total Income Attributable to Discontinued Operations

The total income attributable to discontinued operations decreased by \$0.7 million, or 100.0%, to \$0 for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$0.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The income attributable to discontinued operations reflects the results of operations during the six months ended June 30, 2013 related to two buildings located in Creedmoor, NC and Pittsburgh, PA that were sold during the year ended December 31, 2013. As previously mentioned, the only building sold in 2014 was not classified as a discontinued operation as a result of our early adopting ASU 2014-08, *Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity*.

Gain on sale of real estate

The total gain on sale of real estate increased by \$0.1 million, or 100.0%, to \$0.1 for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$0 for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The gain on sale of real estate is related to the property located in Lexington, VA that was sold during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and the early adoption of ASU 2014-08, *Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity*, as referenced above.

Total Net Loss

The total net loss increased by \$2.0 million, or 339.4%, to net loss of \$2.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to a net loss of \$0.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The increase is attributable to all of the aforementioned factors.

Cash Flows

Comparison of the six months ended June 30, 2014 to the six months ended June 30, 2013

The following table summarizes our cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

	Six months ended June 30,								
		2014		2013		Change	% Change		
Cash provided by operating activities	\$	40,814	\$	33,744	\$	7,070	21.0%		
Cash used in investing activities		120,609		154,974		(34,365)	-22.2%		
Cash provided by financing activities		79,136		121,987		(42,851)	-35.1%		

Net cash provided by operating activities. Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$7.1 million to \$40.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$33.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The increase in cash provided by operating activities was primarily attributable to the increase in cash revenue net of expenses due in large part to the acquisition activity. We had a net loss of \$2.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to a net loss of \$0.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, but after adjusting the net income (loss) to reconcile to net cash provided by operating activities (excluding changes in assets and liabilities) the increase is \$10.0 million. This is primarily a result of adding back depreciation and amortization of \$40.6 million during the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$32.0 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

Net cash used in investing activities. Net cash used in investing activities decreased by \$34.4 million to \$120.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to \$155.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013. The change is primarily attributable to the acquisition of 13 buildings with an aggregate purchase price of \$117.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to the acquisition of 23 buildings with an aggregate purchase price of \$168.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013.

Net cash provided by financing activities. Net cash provided by financing activities decreased \$42.9 million to \$79.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013. The change is attributable to a decrease of \$13.5 million in proceeds from the unsecured credit facility, a decrease of \$25.0 million in proceeds from the unsecured term loans and is offset by an increase of \$1.6 million in proceeds from sales of common stock in comparison to prior periods. Additionally, the change is also attributable to an increase in dividends and distributions paid of \$11.7 million as a result of the increased number of shares and units outstanding as well as a \$0.055 increase in the dividend paid per share during the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2013. Proceeds from the sale of the Series B Preferred Stock decreased by \$70.0 million as a result of the stock being issued on April 16, 2013. The remaining overall decrease is due to a decrease of \$5.9 million of offering costs, a decrease of \$2.5 million of restricted cash and a decrease of \$67.8 million of the repayment of the unsecured credit facility for the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2013.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of June 30, 2014, we had no material off-balance sheet arrangements other than those disclosed in the table under Liquidity and Capital Resources Contractual Obligations below.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We believe that our liquidity needs will be satisfied through cash flows generated by operations and financing activities. Rental revenue, expense recoveries from tenants, and other income from operations are our principal sources of cash that we use to pay operating expenses, debt service, recurring capital expenditures and the minimum distributions required to maintain our REIT qualification. We seek to increase cash flows from our buildings by maintaining quality standards for our buildings that promote high occupancy rates and permit increases in rental rates while reducing tenant turnover and controlling operating expenses. We believe that our revenue, together with proceeds from building sales and debt financings, will continue to provide funds for our short-term liquidity needs.

Our long-term liquidity needs consist primarily of funds necessary to pay for acquisitions, non-recurring capital expenditures and scheduled debt maturities. We intend to satisfy our long-term liquidity needs through cash flow from operations, long-term secured and unsecured borrowings, the issuance of equity or debt securities, building dispositions, joint venture transactions, or in connection with acquisitions of additional buildings, the issuance of common units in the operating partnership.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, we sold 189,735 and 661,930 shares of common stock, respectively, under our at the market stock offering program that commenced on December 14, 2012 (2012 ATM) resulting in net proceeds of \$4.4 million and \$14.7 million, reflecting gross proceeds of \$4.5 million and \$14.9 million, net of the sales agents fees of approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. We used the net proceeds to fund acquisitions, to repay indebtedness, and for working capital and other general corporate

purposes. As of June 30, 2014, there was no remaining common stock available to be sold under the 2012 ATM.

Table of Contents

On March 10, 2014, we established a new at the market stock offering program (2014 ATM) through which we may sell from time to time up to an aggregate of \$150.0 million of our common stock through sales agents. During the three months ended June 30, 2014 and the period March 10, 2014 through June 30, 2014, we sold 1,566,878 and 4,526,142 shares of common stock, respectively, under the 2014 ATM resulting in net proceeds of \$36.7 million and \$102.9 million, reflecting gross proceeds of \$37.3 million and \$104.5 million, net of the sales agents fees of approximately \$0.6 million and \$1.6 million, respectively. We used the net proceeds to fund acquisitions, to repay indebtedness, and for working capital and other general corporate purposes. As of June 30, 2014, there was approximately \$45.5 million of common stock available to be sold under the 2014 ATM.

As partial consideration for eight buildings acquired on June 19, 2013, we granted 555,758 common units in the operating partnership with a fair value of approximately \$11.5 million based on the NYSE closing stock price on June 19, 2013. The issuance of the common units was effected in reliance upon an exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. We relied on the exemption based on representations given by the holders of the common units. The remaining purchase price of approximately \$40.1 million was paid in cash.

Our short-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds to pay for operating expenses and other expenditures directly associated with our buildings, including:

- interest expense and scheduled principal payments on outstanding indebtedness,
- funding of property acquisitions under contract,
- general and administrative expenses, and
- capital expenditures for tenant improvements and leasing commissions.

In addition, we require funds for future dividends and distributions to be paid to our common and preferred stockholders and common unit holders in our operating partnership. The table below sets forth the dividends and distributions on our common stock during the six months ended June 30, 2014:

Amount Declared During 2014	Declaration Date	Per Share	Date Paid
Month ended June 30	February 21, 2014	\$ 0.105	July 15, 2014
Month ended May 31	February 21, 2014	0.105	June 16, 2014
Month ended April 30	February 21, 2014	0.105	May 15, 2014
Month ended March 31	December 18, 2013	0.105	April 15, 2014
Month ended February 28	December 18, 2013	0.105	March 17, 2014
Month ended January 31	December 18, 2013	0.105	February 17, 2014

Total 2014 \$ 0.63

On May 5, 2014, our board of directors declared the common stock dividend for the months ending July 31, 2014, August 31, 2014, and September 30, 2014 at a monthly rate of \$0.11 per share of common stock, which represented a 5% increase over the prior monthly dividend.

We pay quarterly cumulative dividends on the 9.0% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (the Series A Preferred Stock) at a rate of 9.0% per annum of the \$25.00 liquidation preference per share (equivalent to the fixed annual rate of \$2.25 per share). The table below sets forth the dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock during the six months ended June 30, 2014:

Amount Declared During Quarter Ended 2014	Declaration Date	Per Share	Date Paid
June 30	May 5, 2014	\$ 0.5625	June 30, 2014
March 31	February 21, 2014	0.5625	March 31, 2014
Total 2014		\$ 1.125	

37

We pay quarterly cumulative dividends on the 6.625% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (the Series B Preferred Stock) at a rate of 6.625% per annum of the \$25.00 liquidation preference per share (equivalent to the fixed annual rate of \$1.65625 per share). The table below sets forth the dividends on the Series B Preferred Stock during the six months ended June 30, 2014:

Amount Declared During Quarter Ended 2014	Declaration Date	Per Share	Date Paid
June 30	May 5, 2014	\$ 0.4140625	June 30, 2014
March 31	February 21, 2014	0.4140625	March 31, 2014
Total 2014		\$ 0.828125	

Indebtedness Outstanding

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the indebtedness outstanding as of June 30, 2014 (dollars in thousands):

Mortgage notes payable:					
			7 1	1.00%	1.0016
Webster Bank(3)		5,755	Fixed	4.22%	Aug-4-2016
Webster Bank(5)		3,078	Fixed	3.66%	May-29-2017
CIGNA-1 Facility(7)		58,468	Fixed	6.50%	Feb-1-2018
CIGNA-3 Facility(9)		16,765	Fixed	5.88%	Oct-1-2019
Total mortgage notes payable	\$	223,331			
Unsecured credit facility:					
Total unsecured credit facility	\$	35,500			-
Unsecured term loans:					
Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan A(13)		150,000	Variable	LIBOR + 2.15%	Feb-14-2020
Total unsecured term loans	\$	300,000			
	Ψ	200,000			

⁽¹⁾ Current interest rate as of June 30, 2014. At June 30, 2014, the one-month LIBOR rate was 0.1552%.

⁽²⁾ This loan with Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.) (Sun Life) was assumed on October 14, 2011 in connection with the acquisition of a building located in Gahanna, OH. The property is collateral for this loan. Principal outstanding under this loan includes an unamortized fair market value premium of \$0.1 million as of June 30, 2014, which is not included in the calculation of the weighted average

interest rate.

(3) the acquisition of a b	This loan with Webster Bank, National Association (Webster Bank) was entered into on August 4, 2011 in connection with building located in Norton, MA. The property is collateral for this loan.
acquisition of a build	This loan with Union Fidelity Life Insurance Co. (Union Fidelity) was assumed on July 28, 2011 in connection with the ding St. Louis, MO. The property is collateral for this loan. The principal outstanding includes an unamortized fair market 0.1 million as of June 30, 2014, which is not included in the calculation of the weighted average interest rate.

This loan with Webster Bank was entered into on May 29, 2012 in connection with the acquisition of a building located in

Table of Contents

Portland, ME. The property is collateral for this loan.

(6) This loan with Webster Bank was entered into on May 31, 2012 in connection with the acquisition of a building located in East Windsor, CT. The property is collateral for this loan.
(7) The Connecticut General Life Insurance Company (CIGNA) credit facility was entered into in July 2010 (the CIGNA-1 facility). This loan has various buildings serving as collateral and has no remaining borrowing capacity.
(8) The CIGNA credit facility was entered into in October 2010 (the CIGNA-2 facility). This loan has various buildings serving as collateral and has no remaining borrowing capacity.
(9) The CIGNA credit facility was entered into in July 2011 (the CIGNA-3 facility). This loan has various buildings serving as collateral and has no remaining borrowing capacity.
(10) This loan with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Wells Fargo) was entered into on November 8, 2012 (CMBS loan and is a non-recourse loan with 28 buildings serving as collateral.
(11) The spread over LIBOR for this Bank of America, N.A (Bank of America) unsecured credit facility is based on our consolidated leverage. The spread was 1.45% as of June 30, 2014. The borrowing capacity as of June 30, 2014 was \$164.3 million, assuming current leverage levels.
The Bank of America unsecured term loan (Bank of America unsecured term loan) was entered into on September 10, 2012. The spread over LIBOR is based on our consolidated leverage ratio. The spread was 1.40% as of June 30, 2014. There was no remaining borrowing capacity as of June 30, 2014. We swapped the one-month LIBOR for a fixed rate for \$100.0 million of the \$150.0 million outstanding on the Bank of America unsecured term loan. The swaps were effective beginning on October 10, 2012. For further details refer to Interest Rate Risk below.
(13) This Wells Fargo unsecured term loan (the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan A) was entered into on February 14, 2013. The spread over LIBOR is based on our consolidated leverage. The spread was 2.15% as of June 30, 2014. As of June 30, 2014, we swapped the one-month LIBOR for a fixed rate for \$125.0 million of the \$150.0 million outstanding on the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan A. For further details refer to Interest Rate Risk below. There was no remaining borrowing capacity as of June 30, 2014 as we drew upon the remaining \$50.0 million on January 30, 2014.

39	_
Unsecured Credit Facility and Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan: On September 10, 2012, we closed a credit agreement (credit agreement) for an unsecured corporate revolving credit facility of up to \$200.0 million with Bank of America as administrative agent and McLynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated as lead arranger (unsecured credit facility). The credit agreement also provides for the \$150.0 million Bank of America unsecured term loan with a maturity date of September 10, 2017.	
Unsecured Credit Facility, Unsecured Term Loans and Unsecured Senior Notes	
Our debt is subject to certain financial and other covenants. As of June 30, 2014, we were in compliance with the financial covenants in the credit agreement and loan agreements.	
The CMBS loan agreement is a commercial mortgage-backed security that provides for a secured loan. There are 28 properties located in eight states that are collateral for the CMBS loan. The operating partnership guarantees the obligations under the CMBS loan.	ıt
The CIGNA-1facility, CIGNA-2 facility and CIGNA-3 facility contain provisions that cross-default the loans and cross-collateralize the 21 properties held as collateral under each loan.	
We regularly pursue new financing opportunities to ensure an appropriate balance sheet position. As a result of these dedicated efforts, we are confident in our ability to meet future debt maturities and building acquisition funding needs. We believe that our current balance sheet is in a adequate position at the date of this filing, despite possible volatility in the credit markets.	
The weighted average interest rate was calculated using the swapped rate for the \$225.0 million of the \$300.0 million outstanding on the Bank of America unsecured term loan and the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan A.	
This Wells Fargo unsecured term loan (Wells Fargo unsecured term loan B) was entered into on March 21, 2014. The spover LIBOR is based on our consolidated leverage. The spread was 1.70% as of June 30, 2014. The borrowing capacity was \$150.0 million as June 30, 2014.	

Table of Contents

Amounts outstanding under the unsecured credit facility and the Bank of America unsecured term loan bear interest at a floating rate equal to, at our election, the one-month Eurodollar Rate or the Base Rate (each as defined in the credit agreement) plus a spread. The spread is based on our consolidated leverage ratio and, for borrowing under the unsecured credit facility, ranges from 1.45% to 2.05% for one-month Eurodollar Rate based borrowings and from 0.45% to 1.05% for Base Rate based borrowings and, for borrowing under the Bank of America unsecured term loan, ranges from 1.40% to 2.00% for Eurodollar Rate based borrowings and from 0.40% to 1.00% for Base Rate based borrowings. At June 30, 2014, the spread on the unsecured credit facility and Bank of America unsecured term loan was 1.45% and 1.40%, respectively, and the floating rate interest on \$100.0 million of the \$150.0 million Bank of America unsecured term loan was fixed utilizing seven interest rate swaps with rates ranging from 0.727% to 0.7975%. These swaps were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk (See Interest Rate Risk below for further details). In addition, the unused fee is 0.25% of the unused balance of the unsecured credit facility if usage is less than 50% of the capacity and 0.20% if usage is greater than or equal to 50%. We also will pay certain other customary fees and expense reimbursements. The unsecured credit facility will mature on September 10, 2016, subject to a one-year extension option which we may exercise at our election, subject to the satisfaction of certain terms and conditions, including the payment of an extension fee, contained in the credit agreement.

The amount available for us to borrow under the unsecured credit facility is based on (a) the lesser of (i) 60.0% of the Borrowing Base Values (as defined in the credit agreement) of our buildings that form the borrowing base for the facility, and (ii) the amount that would create a debt service coverage ratio of not less than 1.6 based on a 30-year amortization period, less (b) any other unsecured indebtedness (as defined in the credit agreement) then outstanding.

Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan A: On February 14, 2013, we entered into the seven-year term Wells Fargo unsecured term loan A with Wells Fargo and certain other lenders, in the original principal amount of up to \$150.0 million. Additionally, the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan A has a feature that allows us to request an increase in total commitments of up to \$250.0 million, subject to certain conditions. Unless otherwise terminated pursuant to the terms of loan agreement, the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan A will mature on February 14, 2020.

The amount available for us to borrow under the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan A is based on (a) the lesser of (i) 60% of the Borrowing Base Values (as defined in the loan agreement) of our buildings that form the borrowing base of the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan A, and (ii) the amount that would create a debt service coverage ratio of not less than 1.6 based on a 30-year amortization period, less (b) any other unsecured indebtedness (as defined in loan agreement) then outstanding.

Borrowings under the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan A bear interest at a floating rate equal to, at our election, the Eurodollar Rate or the Base Rate (each as defined in the loan agreement) plus a spread. The spread depends upon our consolidated leverage ratio and ranges from 2.15% to 2.70% for Eurodollar Rate based borrowings and from 1.15% to 1.70% for Base Rate based borrowings.

As of June 30, 2014, the spread on the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan A was 2.15% and the floating rate interest on \$125.0 million of the \$150.0 million outstanding principal balance was fixed utilizing four interest rate swaps with rates from 1.33% to 1.9925%. These swaps were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk (See Interest Rate Risk below for further details).

Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan B: On March 21, 2014 we entered into the seven-year term Wells Fargo unsecured term loan B with Wells Fargo and certain other lenders, in the original principal amount of up to \$150.0 million (collectively, the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan B, the Bank of America unsecured loan and the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan A, the unsecured term loans). Additionally, the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan B has a feature that allows us to request an increase in total commitments of up to \$250.0 million, subject to certain conditions. Unless otherwise terminated pursuant to the terms of loan agreement B, the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan will mature on March 21, 2021.

The amount available for us to borrow under the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan B is based on (a) the lesser of (i) 60% of the Borrowing Base Values (as defined in the loan agreement) of our buildings that form the borrowing base of the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan B, and (ii) the amount that would create a debt service coverage ratio of not less than 1.6 based on a 30-year amortization period, less (b) any other unsecured indebtedness (as defined in loan agreement) then outstanding.

Borrowings under the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan B bear interest at a floating rate equal to, at our election, the Eurodollar Rate or the Base Rate (each as defined in the loan agreement) plus a spread. The spread depends upon our consolidated leverage ratio and ranges from 1.70% to 2.30% for Eurodollar Rate based borrowings and from 0.70% to 1.30% for Base Rate based borrowings. As of June 30, 2014, the spread on the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan B was 1.70%

We also pay customary fees and expense reimbursements, including an unused fee equal to 0.35% of the unused portion of the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan A and 0.225% of the unused portion of the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan B, which is paid

Table of Contents

monthly in arrears. The Wells Fargo unsecured term loan B unused commitment fee begins to accrue on May 21, 2014. The Wells Fargo unsecured term loan B have prepayment fees as outlined in the loan agreement.

Unsecured Senior Notes

On April 16, 2014, we entered into a Note Purchase Agreement (NPA) for a \$100.0 million private placement by the Operating Partnership of \$50.0 million Series A 10-Year Unsecured Senior Notes (Series A Unsecured Senior Notes) and \$50.0 million Series B 12-Year Unsecured Senior Notes (Series B Unsecured Senior Notes) (collectively, the Series A Unsecured Senior Notes and the Series B Unsecured Senior Notes are referred to herein as, the Unsecured Senior Notes). Borrowings under the Unsecured Senior Notes will bear interest at a fixed rate of 4.98% and, subject to customary closing conditions, must be issued (i) between July 1, 2014 and July 3, 2014 for the Series B Unsecured Senior Notes and (ii) between October 1, 2014 and October 3, 2014 for the Series A Unsecured Senior Notes. Upon the funds being drawn, Bank of America, as agent, will receive a placement fee equal to 0.4% of the principal amount of the securities purchased by investors. As of June 30, 2014, we incurred \$0.3 million in deferred financing fees associated with the unsecured senior notes, which will be amortized over the respective 10 and 12 year terms. On July 1, 2014, we issued the Series B Unsecured Senior Notes. Our Company and certain wholly owned subsidiaries of the operating partnership are guarantors of the unsecured senior notes and the obligations under the unsecured senior notes rank pari passu to the our unsecured senior indebtedness, which includes the unsecured credit facility and unsecured term loans.

Financial Covenants: Our ability to borrow under the unsecured credit facility and the unsecured term loans is subject to our ongoing compliance with a number of customary financial covenants, including:

- a maximum consolidated leverage ratio of not greater than 0.60:1.00;
- a maximum secured leverage ratio of not greater than 0.45:1.00;
- a maximum unencumbered leverage ratio of not greater than 0.60:100;
- a maximum secured recourse debt level of not greater than 7.5%;
- a minimum fixed charge ratio of not less than 1.50:1.00;
- a minimum tangible net worth covenant test; and

various thresholds on company level investments.

The unsecured senior notes are also subject to the above covenants as well as a minimum interest coverage ratio of not less than 1.50:1.00. The unsecured credit facility and unsecured term loans contain financial and operating covenants and restrictions. We were in compliance with all such restrictions and financial covenants as of June 30, 2014. In the event of a default under the unsecured credit facility or the unsecured term loans, our dividend distributions are limited to the minimum amount necessary for us to maintain our status as a REIT. The borrowing capacity on the unsecured credit facility and the unsecured term loans as of June 30, 2014 was \$270.1 million, assuming current leverage levels.

Events of Default: The credit agreement and our loan agreements contain customary events of default, including but not limited to non-payment of principal, interest, fees or other amounts, defaults in the compliance with the covenants contained in the documents evidencing the unsecured credit facility and the unsecured term loans, cross- defaults to other material debt and bankruptcy or other insolvency events.

The Company and certain of our subsidiaries guarantee the obligations under the unsecured credit facility and unsecured term loans.

Contractual Obligations

The following table reflects our contractual obligations as of June 30, 2014, specifically our obligations under long-term debt agreements and ground lease agreements (dollars in thousands):

				Paym	ents by Period				
]	Remaining						
Contractual Obligations(1)(2)	Total		2014	20	015 - 2016	2	2017 - 2018	T	'hereafter
Principal payments(3)	\$ 558,596	\$	2,240	\$	53,465	\$	278,884	\$	224,007
Interest payments Fixed rate debt (4)(5)	88,123		9,548		37,345		25,850		15,380
Interest payments Variable rate debt (4)(6)	6,971		962		3,672		1,690		647
Operating lease and ground leases(4)	14,756		713		2,634		2,163		9,246
Other(4)(7)	450		75		300		75		
Total	\$ 668,896	\$	13,538	\$	97,416	\$	308,662	\$	249,280

⁽¹⁾ From time-to-time in the normal course of our business, we enter into various contracts with third parties that may obligate us to make payments, such as maintenance agreements at our buildings. Such contracts, in the aggregate, do not represent material obligations, are typically short-term and cancellable within 90 days and are not included in the table above.

- The terms of the loan agreements for each of the CIGNA-1 facility, CIGNA-2 facility and CIGNA-3 facility also stipulate that general reserve escrows be funded monthly in an amount equal to eight basis points of the principal of the loans outstanding at the time. Additionally, the CMBS Loan calls for a monthly leasing escrow payment of approximately \$0.1 million and the balance of the reserve is capped at \$2.1 million. The cap was not met at June 30, 2014 and the balance at June 30, 2014 was \$1.6 million. The funding of these reserves is not included in the table above.
- (3) The total payments do not include approximately \$0.2 million of unamortized fair market value premium associated with two loans assumed (the Sun Life loan and the Union Fidelity loan).
- (4) Not included in our Consolidated Balance Sheets included in this report.
- (5) Amounts include interest rate payments on the \$225.0 million of the \$300.0 million unsecured term loans that have been swapped to a fixed rate.
- (6) Assumes the variable interest rate as of June 30, 2014 is applied to all future interest payments for the unsecured credit facility and the \$75.0 million of the \$300.0 million unsecured term loans that have not been swapped to a fixed rate.

(7) Amounts relate to a fee paid to the affiliates of Columbus Nova Real Estate Acquisition Group, Inc. (Columbus Nova). We entered into an agreement with Columbus Nova to source sale leaseback transactions for potential acquisition.

Interest Rate Risk

ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*, requires us to recognize all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. Derivatives that are not designated as hedges must be adjusted to fair value and the changes in fair value must be reflected as income or expense. If the derivative is designated as a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of derivatives are either offset against the change in fair value of the hedged assets, liabilities, or firm commitments through earnings or recognized in other comprehensive loss, which is a component of equity. The ineffective portion of a derivative s change in fair value is immediately recognized in earnings.

On September 14, 2012, we commenced a program of utilizing designated derivatives to hedge the variable cash flows associated with a portion of the unsecured term loans. The following table details our outstanding interest rate swaps as of June 30, 2014 (collectively, the unsecured term loan swaps) (in thousands):

Interest Rate Derivative Counterparty	Trade Date	Notional Amount	Fixed Interest Rate	Variable Interest Rate	Maturity Date
					•
PNC Bank, National Association	Sept-14-2012	\$ 10,000(1)	0.7945%	One-month LIBOR	September 10, 2017
Bank of America	Sept-14-2012	\$ 10,000(1)	0.7945%	One-month LIBOR	September 10, 2017
UBS AG	Sept-14-2012	\$ 10,000(1)	0.7945%	One-month LIBOR	September 10, 2017
Royal Bank of Canada	Sept-14-2012	\$ 10,000(1)	0.7945%	One-month LIBOR	September 10, 2017
RJ Capital Services, Inc.	Sept-14-2012	\$ 10,000(1)	0.7975%	One-month LIBOR	September 10, 2017
Bank of America	Sept-20-2012	\$ 25,000(1)	0.7525%	One-month LIBOR	September 10, 2017
RJ Capital Services, Inc.	Sept-24-2012	\$ 25,000(1)	0.727%	One-month LIBOR	September 10, 2017
Regions Bank	March-1-2013	\$ 25,000(2)	1.33%	One-month LIBOR	February 14, 2020
Capital One, N.A.	June-13-2013	\$ 25,000(2)	1.703%	One-month LIBOR	February 14, 2020
Capital One, N.A.	June-13-2013	\$ 50,000(2)	1.681%	One-month LIBOR	February 14, 2020
Regions Bank	Sept-30-2013	\$ 25,000(2)	1.9925%	One-month LIBOR	February 14, 2020

⁽¹⁾ Fixes the interest rate of the Bank of America unsecured term loan

The swaps outlined in the above table were all designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk, and all are valued as Level 2 financial instruments. As of June 30, 2014, the fair values of nine of the 11 of our interest rate swaps were in an asset position of \$1.1 million and two interest rate swaps were in a liability position of \$0.4 million. As of December 31, 2013, the swaps were in an asset position of \$3.9 million. The decrease in value was due to lower forward interest rate curves as of June 30, 2014 as compared to December 31, 2013.

As of June 30, 2014, we had \$335.5 million of debt with interest at a variable rate. Of the \$300.0 million of variable rate debt on the unsecured term loans, interest on \$225.0 million has been fixed with swaps as discussed above. The \$50.0 million and \$35.5 million of variable rate debt related to the Bank of America unsecured term loan and the unsecured credit facility, respectively, were both priced at one-month LIBOR plus a spread of 1.40% and 1.45%, respectively, as of June 30, 2014. The remaining \$25.0 million of variable rate debt related to the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan A, which was priced at one-month LIBOR plus a spread of 2.15% as of June 30, 2014. To the extent interest rates continue to increase, interest costs on our variable rate debt also will increase, which could adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to pay principal and interest on our debt and our ability to make distributions to our security holders. From time to time, we may enter into interest rate swap agreements and other interest rate hedging contracts, including swaps, caps and floors. In addition, an increase in interest rates could decrease the amounts third-parties are willing to pay for our assets, thereby limiting our ability to change our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions.

Inflation

The majority of our leases is either triple net or provide for tenant reimbursement for costs related to real estate taxes and operating expenses. In addition, the majority of the leases provide for fixed rent increases. We believe that inflationary increases may be partially offset by the contractual rent increases and tenant payment of taxes and expenses described above. We do not believe that inflation has had a material impact on our historical financial position or results of operations.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

⁽²⁾ Fixes the interest rate of the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan A

In this report, we disclose and discuss funds from operations (FFO) and net operating income (NOI), which meet the definition of non-GAAP financial measure—as set forth in Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the SEC. As a result we are required to include in this report a statement of why management believes that presentation of these measures provide useful information to investors.

Funds From Operations

FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income (determined in accordance with GAAP) as an indication of our performance, and we believe that to understand our performance further, FFO should be compared with our reported net income or net loss in accordance with GAAP, as presented in our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this report.

We calculate FFO in accordance with the standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT). FFO represents net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable operating buildings, impairment write-downs of depreciable real estate, real estate related depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of deferred financing costs and fair market value of debt adjustment) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

Management uses FFO as a supplemental performance measure because, in excluding real estate related depreciation and amortization and gains and losses from building dispositions, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year-over-year, captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs. We also believe that, as a widely recognized measure of the performance of REITs, FFO will be used by investors as a basis to compare our operating performance with that of other REITs.

However, because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization and captures neither the changes in the value of our buildings that result from use or market conditions nor the level of capital expenditures and leasing commissions necessary maintain the operating performance of our buildings, all of which have real economic effects and could materially impact our results from operations, the utility of FFO as a measure of our performance is limited. Other equity REITs may not calculate FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition as we do, and, accordingly, our FFO may not be comparable to such other REITs FFO. FFO should not be used as a measure of our liquidity, and is not indicative of funds available for our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of our FFO attributable to common stockholders and unit holders for the periods presented to net income (loss), the nearest GAAP equivalent (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,			Six months ended June 30,			ine 30,	
		2014		2013		2014		2013
Net loss	\$	(2,666)	\$	(184)	\$	(2,645)	\$	(602)
Rental property depreciation and amortization		20,727		16,435		40,544		32,045
Gain on sales of real estate				(464)		(50)		(464)
FFO	\$	18,061	\$	15,787	\$	37,849	\$	30,979
Preferred stock dividends		(2,712)		(2,519)		(5,424)		(4,071)
Amount allocated to unvested restricted								
stockholders		(83)		(64)		(171)		(133)
FFO attributable to common stockholders and								
unit holders	\$	15,266	\$	13,204	\$	32,254	\$	26,775

Net Operating Income

We consider net operating income (NOI) to be an appropriate supplemental performance measure to net income because we believe it helps investors and management understand the core operations of our buildings. NOI is defined as rental revenue, including reimbursements, less property expenses and real estate taxes and insurance, which excludes depreciation, amortization, general and administrative expenses, interest expense, interest income, gain on interest rate swaps, asset management fee income, property acquisition costs, and other expenses. NOI should not be viewed as an alternative measure of our financial performance since it excludes expenses which could materially impact our results of operations. Further, our NOI may not be comparable to that of other real estate companies, as they may use different methodologies for calculating NOI.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of our NOI for the periods presented to net loss, the nearest GAAP equivalent (in thousands):

	Three months 6	ended J	une 30, 2013	Six months ended June 30, 2014 2013			
Net loss	\$ (2,666)	\$	(184) \$	(2,645)	\$	(602)	
Asset management fee income	(151)		(255)	(320)		(514)	
General and administrative	8,283		4,477	13,758		8,983	
Property acquisition costs	688		1,269	1,247		1,845	
Depreciation and amortization	20,769		16,435	40,623		32,045	
Interest income	(4)		(3)	(8)		(6)	
Interest expense	5,813		4,846	11,479		9,497	
Offering costs			27			27	
Gain on sales of real estate			(464)	(50)		(464)	
Other expenses	193		161	430		245	
Net Operating Income (1)	\$ 32,925	\$	26,309 \$	64,514	\$	51,056	

⁽¹⁾ Includes the results of discontinued operations. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, excluding the results of discontinued operations, NOI was approximately \$32.9 million, \$64.5 million, \$26.0 million and \$50.4 million, respectively.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments are dependent upon prevailing market interest rates. Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. The primary market risk we are exposed to is interest rate risk. We have used derivative financial instruments to manage, or hedge, interest rate risks related to our borrowings, primarily through interest rate swaps.

As of June 30, 2014, we had \$35.5 million outstanding under the unsecured credit facility and \$300.0 million of borrowings outstanding under the unsecured term loans bearing interest at a variable rate. Of the \$300.0 million outstanding on the unsecured term loans, \$225.0 million is subject to interest rate swaps. The remaining \$50.0 million and \$25.0 million are related to the Bank of America unsecured term loan and the

Wells Fargo unsecured term loan A, respectively, which were priced at one-month LIBOR plus 1.40% and 2.15%, respectively, as of June 30, 2014. To the extent we undertake variable rate indebtedness, if interest rates increase, then so will the interest costs on our unhedged variable rate debt, which could adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to pay principal and interest on our debt and our ability to make distributions to our security holders. Further, rising interest rates could limit our ability to refinance existing debt when it matures or significantly increase our future interest expense. From time to time, we enter into interest rate swap agreements and other interest rate hedging contracts, including swaps, caps and floors. While these agreements are intended to lessen the impact of rising interest rates on us, they also expose us to the risk that the other parties to the agreements will not perform, we could incur significant costs associated with the settlement of the agreements, the agreements will be unenforceable and the underlying transactions will fail to qualify as highly-effective cash flow hedges under guidance included in ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*. In addition, an increase in interest rates could decrease the amounts third-parties are willing to pay for our assets, thereby limiting our ability to change our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions. If interest rates increased by 100 basis points and assuming we had an outstanding balance of \$35.5 million on the unsecured credit facility and \$75.0 million on the unsecured term loans (the portion of outstanding amounts at June 30, 2014 not fixed by interest rate swaps) for the entire six months ended June 30, 2014, our interest expense would have increased by \$0.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014.

Т	ab	le	of	Cor	itents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As previously disclosed, our former Chief Financial Officer resigned effective April 21, 2014. On May 12, 2014, we executed an Employment Agreement with Geoffrey G. Jervis, effective as of July 1, 2014, to serve as our Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President and Treasurer.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b), we have evaluated, under the supervision of and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act, as of June 30, 2014. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures for the periods covered by this report were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by our Company in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Controls

Our former Chief Financial Officer s resignation from our Company effective April 21, 2014 did not have a material impact on our internal controls over financial reporting. There was no change to our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2014 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. On May 12, 2014, we executed an Employment Agreement with Mr. Jervis, effective as of July 1, 2014, to serve as our Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President and Treasurer.

PART II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we are a party to various lawsuits, claims and other legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of our business. We are not currently a party, as plaintiff or defendant, to any legal proceedings which, individually or in the aggregate, would be expected to have a material effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations if determined adversely to our Company.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 filed with the SEC on February 26, 2014.
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
None.
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities
None.
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures
Not applicable.
Item 5. Other Information
None.
46

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.1	Note Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 16, 2014, by and among STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, L.P., STAG Industrial, Inc. and the purchasers named therein (1)
10.2	Consulting Agreement dated as of April 21, 2014, by and between STAG Industrial Management, LLC and Gregory W. Sullivan (2)
10.3	Employment Agreement with Geoffrey G. Jervis, effective as of July 1, 2014 (3)
31.1 *	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1 *	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101 *	The following materials from STAG Industrial, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (vi) related notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

 ^{*} Filed herewith.

⁽¹⁾ Incorporated by reference to STAG Industrial, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 22, 2014.

⁽²⁾ Incorporated by reference to STAG Industrial, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 24, 2014.

⁽³⁾ Incorporated by reference to STAG Industrial, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 16, 2014.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

STAG INDUSTRIAL, INC.

Date: August 6, 2014 BY: /s/ GEOFFREY G. JERVIS

Geoffrey G. Jervis

Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President and Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer)

48

Exhibit Index

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.1	Note Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 16, 2014, by and among STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, L.P., STAG Industrial, Inc. and the purchasers named therein (1)
10.2	Consulting Agreement dated as of April 21, 2014, by and between STAG Industrial Management, LLC and Gregory W. Sullivan (2)
10.3	Employment Agreement with Geoffrey G. Jervis, effective as of July 1, 2014 (3)
31.1 *	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1 *	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101 *	The following materials from STAG Industrial, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (vi) related notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

 ^{*} Filed herewith.

- (1) Incorporated by reference to STAG Industrial, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 22, 2014.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to STAG Industrial, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 24, 2014.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to STAG Industrial, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 16, 2014.