

FIRST NORTHERN COMMUNITY BANCORP  
Form DEF 14A  
April 21, 2009

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the  
Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
(Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant  Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

FIRST NORTHERN COMMUNITY BANCORP  
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

April 17, 2009

Dear Shareholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of First Northern Community Bancorp (the "Company") on Tuesday, May 19, 2009, at 5:30 p.m. The meeting will be held at First Northern Bank's Operations Center located at 210 Stratford Avenue in Dixon, California. A reception will follow the meeting.

At the meeting, shareholders will be asked to elect as directors the ten individuals nominated by the Board of Directors, approve non-binding advisory proposal on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, to ratify the appointment by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Moss Adams LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2009, and to approve such other matters as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment thereof. The following Proxy Statement provides detailed information about the nominees for director, the independent registered public accounting firm and other matters regarding the Annual Meeting. Included with this Proxy Statement is the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote "FOR" the election of the directors nominated, "FOR" approval of a non-binding advisory proposal on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers; and "FOR" ratification of the appointment by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Moss Adams LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2009.

It is very important that as many shares as possible be represented at the meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we respectfully ask that you sign and return the enclosed proxy in the postage-paid envelope as soon as possible. So that we may provide adequate seating and refreshments, please be sure to indicate whether or not you plan to attend by completing the bottom portion of the proxy form.

We look forward to seeing you at the meeting on May 19th.

Sincerely,

Owen J. Onsum  
President and Chief Executive Officer

Enclosures

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

May 19, 2009

To the Shareholders of First Northern Community Bancorp:

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders of First Northern Community Bancorp will be held at the First Northern Bank Operations Center, 210 Stratford Avenue, Dixon, California 95620, on Tuesday, May 19, 2009, at 5:30 p.m. to:

1. Elect the following ten (10) directors to serve until the next Annual Meeting of Shareholders and until their successors are elected and qualified:

Lori J. Aldrete	John F. Hamel	David W. Schulze
Frank J. Andrews, Jr.	Diane P. Hamlyn	Andrew S. Wallace
John M. Carbahal	Foy S. McNaughton	
Gregory DuPratt	Owen J. Onsum	

2. Approval of a non-binding advisory proposal on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers; and
3. Ratify the appointment by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Moss Adams LLP to act as the independent registered public accounting firm of First Northern Community Bancorp for the year ending December 31, 2009.
4. Act upon such other matters as may properly come before such meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

All of the above matters are more fully described in the accompanying Proxy Statement.

Shareholders of record at the close of business on March 31, 2009, are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting or any postponement or adjournment thereof.

You are strongly encouraged to attend the Annual Meeting and also to complete, sign, date and return as promptly as possible, the proxy submitted herewith in the return envelope provided for your use whether or not you plan to attend the meeting in person. The giving of such proxy will not affect your right to revoke such proxy or to vote in person, should you later decide to attend the Annual Meeting.

BY ORDER OF THE  
BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Gregory DuPratt  
Chairman of the Board

Owen J. Onsum  
President and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: April 17, 2009

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT  
YOU ARE URGED TO COMPLETE, SIGN, DATE AND PROMPTLY RETURN YOUR  
PROXY SO  
THAT YOUR SHARES MAY BE VOTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH YOUR WISHES.

---

Table of Contents

Annual Meeting Of Shareholders	1
Voting Rights and Vote Required	1
Voting of Proxies—Quorum	2
Revocability of Proxy	3
Proposal 1 Nomination and Election of Directors	3
Nominees	3
Committees of the Board of Directors of the Company and the Bank	5
Board of Directors Meetings	7
Director Independence	7
Director Compensation	8
Director Retirement Benefits	10
Report of Audit Committee	11
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	16
Executive Compensation Implications of EESA	16
Effect of the American Reinvestment and Recovery Act of 2009	17
Compensation-Related Governance and Role of the Compensation Committee	19
Compensation Framework	20
Executive Compensation	31
Narrative to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table	35
Proposal 2 Approval of a Non-binding Advisory Proposal on the Compensation of our Named Executive Officers	43
Proposal 3 Ratification of the Company’s Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	44
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	44
Transactions with Related Persons	44
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	45
Information Available to Shareholders	45
Shareholder Proposals	45
Other Matters	46

FIRST NORTHERN COMMUNITY BANCORP

195 North First Street, Dixon, California 95620

PROXY STATEMENT

Annual Meeting Of Shareholders

This Proxy Statement is furnished to the shareholders of First Northern Community Bancorp (“the “Company”) in connection with the solicitation of proxies to be used in voting at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Company to be held on May 19, 2009, at First Northern Bank’s Operations Center located at 210 Stratford Avenue, Dixon, California at 5:30 p.m., and at any adjournment or postponement thereof. The solicitation of the proxy accompanying this Proxy Statement is made by the Board of Directors of the Company, and the costs of such solicitation, including the expense of preparing, assembling, printing and mailing this Proxy Statement and the material used in this solicitation of proxies, will be borne by the Company. It is contemplated that proxies will be solicited through the mail, but officers and staff of the Company may solicit proxies personally. The Company may, at its discretion, engage the services of a proxy solicitation firm to assist in the solicitation of proxies. The total expense of this solicitation will be borne by the Company and will include reimbursement paid to brokerage firms and others for their expenses in forwarding soliciting material and such expenses as may be paid to any proxy solicitation firm engaged by the Company.

It is expected that this Proxy Statement and accompanying Notice will be mailed to shareholders on or about April 17, 2009.

A proxy for the Annual Meeting is enclosed. Any shareholder who executes and delivers a proxy has the right to revoke it at any time before it is voted by filing with the Corporate Secretary of the Company an instrument revoking it or a duly executed proxy bearing a later date. In addition, a proxy will be revoked if the person executing the proxy is present at the Annual Meeting and advises the Chairman of his or her election to vote in person.

The proxy also confers discretionary authority to vote the shares represented thereby on any matter that was not known at the time this Proxy Statement was mailed which may properly be presented for action at the Annual Meeting, including approval of minutes of the prior Annual Meeting which will not constitute ratification of the actions taken at such meeting; action with respect to procedural matters pertaining to the conduct of the Annual Meeting; and election of any person to any office for which a bona fide nominee is named herein, if such nominee is unable or unwilling to serve.

Voting Rights and Vote Required

Only shareholders of record at the close of business on the record date of March 31, 2009 will be entitled to vote in person at the Annual Meeting or by proxy. On the record date, there were 9,002,862 shares of common stock of the Company issued and outstanding and entitled to vote.

Shareholders of common stock of the Company are entitled to one vote for each share held, except that in the election of Directors, under California law and the bylaws of the Company, each shareholder may be eligible to exercise cumulative voting rights and may be entitled to as many votes as shall equal the number of shares of common stock of the Company held by such shareholder multiplied by the number of directors to be elected, and such shareholder may cast all of such votes for a single nominee or may distribute them among two or more nominees. No shareholder, however, shall be entitled to cumulate votes (in other words, cast for any candidate a number of votes greater than the

number of shares of common stock held by such shareholder multiplied by the number of directors to be elected)  
unless the name(s) of the candidate(s) has (have) been placed in nomination prior to voting in accordance



with Article III, Section 23 of the Company's bylaws (which requires that nominations made other than by the Board of Directors be made at least 30 and not more than 60 days prior to any meeting of shareholders) and a shareholder has given notice to the Company of an intention to cumulate votes prior to the voting in accordance with Article II, Section 13 of the Company's bylaws. If any shareholder has given such notice, all shareholders may cumulate their votes for candidates in nomination, in which event votes represented by proxies delivered pursuant to this Proxy Statement may be cumulated, in the discretion of the proxyholders, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Directors. Discretionary authority to cumulate votes in such event is, therefore, solicited in this Proxy Statement.

The vote required to approve each proposal is as follows:

In the election of directors, the ten nominees receiving the highest number of votes will be elected.

Approval of a non-binding advisory proposal on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares represented and voting at the Meeting.

Ratification of the appointment by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of the independent registered public accounting firm will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares represented and voting at the Meeting.

If you hold your shares in "street name," you must vote your shares in the manner prescribed by your brokerage firm, bank or other nominee. Your brokerage firm, bank or other nominee has enclosed or otherwise provided a voting instruction card for you to use in directing the brokerage firm, bank or other nominee how to vote your shares. If you hold your shares in street name and you want to vote in person at the Annual Meeting, you must obtain a legal proxy from your broker and present it at the Annual Meeting.

#### Voting of Proxies—Quorum

The shares of common stock of the Company represented by all properly executed proxies received in time for the Meeting will be voted in accordance with the shareholders' choices specified therein; provided, however, that where no choices have been specified, the shares will be voted "FOR" the election of the ten nominees for director recommended by the Board of Directors, "FOR" the non-binding advisory proposal on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers; "FOR" the ratification of the appointment by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Moss Adams LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2009 and at the proxyholder's discretion, on such other matters, if any, which may properly come before the Meeting (including any proposal to adjourn the Meeting). A majority of the shares entitled to vote, represented either in person or by a properly executed proxy, will constitute a quorum at the Meeting.

Brokers that have sent proxy soliciting materials to a beneficial owner but have not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner may nevertheless vote on routine matters, including the election of directors and the ratification of the appointment by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Moss Adams LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm. Abstentions and broker "non-votes" are each included in the determination of the number of shares present and voting for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum. A broker "non-vote" occurs when a nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner does not have discretionary voting power with respect to that item or such item is not routine and the nominee has not received instructions from the beneficial owner.

## Revocability of Proxy

A shareholder using the enclosed proxy may revoke the authority conferred by the proxy at any time before it is exercised by delivering written notice of revocation to the Secretary of the Company or a duly executed proxy bearing a later date, or by appearing and voting by ballot in person at the Meeting.

Proposal 1  
Nomination and Election of Directors

At the Annual Meeting it will be proposed to elect ten directors of the Company, each to hold office until the next annual meeting and until their successors shall be elected and qualified. It is the intention of the proxyholders named in the enclosed proxy to vote such proxies (except those containing contrary instructions) for the ten nominees named below.

The Board of Directors does not anticipate that any of the nominees will be unable to serve as a director of the Company, but if that should occur before the Meeting, the proxyholders, in their discretion, upon the recommendation of the Company's Board of Directors, reserve the right to substitute as nominee and vote for another person of their choice in the place and stead of any nominee unable so to serve. The proxyholders reserve the right to cumulate votes for the election of directors and cast all of such votes for any one or more of the nominees, to the exclusion of the others, and in such order of preference as the proxyholders may determine in their discretion, based upon the recommendation of the Board of Directors.

Nominees

The following table sets forth each of the nominees for election as a director, their age, their position with the Company, and the period during which they have served as a director of the Company and the Bank.

Name	Age	Position with the Company	Director of Bank Since	Director of the Company Since
Lori J. Aldrete	62	Director	1995	2000
Frank J. Andrews, Jr.	60	Director	1993	2000
John M. Carbahal	54	Director	1996	2000
Gregory DuPratt	55	Chairman of the Board	1996	2000
John F. Hamel	68	Director	1975	2000
Diane P. Hamlyn	65	Director	1985	2000
Foy S. McNaughton	58	Director	2000	2000
Owen J. Onsum	64	President, CEO and Director	1996	2000
David W. Schulze	64	Director	1978	2000
Andrew S. Wallace	45	Director	2007	2007

Lori J. Aldrete is a principal of ACS Quantum Strategies, LLC ("ACS"), a public affairs, marketing and communications firm headquartered in Sacramento, California. Ms. Aldrete also is the Executive Vice President of Aldrete Enterprises based in Davis, California, and a partner of Aldrete and Yates Consulting. Ms. Aldrete has worked in the business and communications fields for more than 30 years. She has been a resident of Davis since 1979. Ms. Aldrete is the Chairman of the Bank's Compensation Committee, and a member of the Bank's Loan and Management/Governance Committees.



Frank J. Andrews, Jr. is President of Andrews, Lando & Associates, a real estate development firm established in 1990, and Manager of Gainsborough–Classics LLC since January 1999. Prior to that time, Mr. Andrews was President of Andrews Management Services for three years and Vice President of Amos & Andrews, Inc., for fifteen years. Andrews Management Services and Amos & Andrews, Inc. are also real estate development companies. Mr. Andrews is a member of the Bank's Asset Management and Trust, Compensation, and Loan Committees.

John M. Carbahal is a Certified Public Accountant and since 1984 has been a principal and shareholder of Carbahal & Company, Inc., an Accountancy Corporation. Mr. Carbahal is the Chairman of the Bank's Audit Committee, and a member of the Bank's Asset Management and Trust, and Management/Governance Committees.

Gregory DuPratt has been Vice President of Ron DuPratt Ford, an automobile dealership and family business located in Dixon since 1997. Mr. DuPratt is Chairman of the Board, and Chairman of the Bank's Management/Governance Committee, and a member of the Bank's Compensation, and Loan Committees.

John F. Hamel served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of First Northern Bank of Dixon from 1975 to 1996. Mr. Hamel is presently managing family agricultural properties. Mr. Hamel is the Chairman of the Bank's Loan Committee, and a member of the Bank's Asset Management and Trust, Asset/Liability, Management/Governance, and Profit Sharing Committees.

Diane P. Hamlyn is the Founder of Davisville Travel, a full service travel agency. Davisville Travel was established in 1977. Ms. Hamlyn is a member of the Bank's Audit, Compensation, Information Services Steering, and Management/Governance Committees.

Foy S. McNaughton is the President and Chief Executive Officer of McNaughton Newspapers—Davis Enterprise, Daily Republic, Mountain Democrat (Placerville), Winters Express and Life Newspapers (El Dorado Hills, Folsom, and Cameron Park), a position he has held since 1985. He has served as the Publisher of the Fairfield Daily Republic since 1995. Mr. McNaughton has been a resident of Davis since 1973. Mr. McNaughton is a member of the Bank's Audit, Compensation, Loan, and Management/Governance Committees.

Owen J. Onsum has been President and Chief Executive Officer of First Northern Bank of Dixon since January 1, 1997. He served as Executive Vice President of First Northern Bank of Dixon from 1982 to 1996. Mr. Onsum has worked for First Northern Bank of Dixon since 1972 and has lived in Dixon since 1971. Mr. Onsum is a member of the Bank's Asset Management and Trust, Loan, Management/Governance, and Profit Sharing Committees.

David W. Schulze has been the owner/operator of a family farming operation since 1967. Prior to assuming that position, Mr. Schulze was involved in property management and apartment ownership. Mr. Schulze is the Chairman of the Bank's Asset Management and Trust Committee, and is a member of the Bank's Audit, and Loan Committees.

Andrew S. Wallace is the Chief Financial and Chief Operating Officer of Wallace-Kuhl & Associates, Inc., an Engineering and Environmental Consulting Firm established in 1984. Prior to assuming that position, Mr. Wallace acted as Controller of Wallace-Kuhl & Associates, Inc. from 1995 to 2000. Mr. Wallace is a member of the Bank's Asset/Liability, Asset Management and Trust, Information Services Steering, and Profit Sharing Committees.

None of the directors of the Company were selected pursuant to arrangements or understandings other than with the directors and shareholders of the Company acting within their capacity as such. There are no family relationships between any of the directors, and none of the directors serve as a director of any company which has a class of securities registered under, or subject to periodic reporting requirements of, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as

amended, or any company registered as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940.

4

---

Committees of the Board of Directors of the Company and the Bank

The Company does not have Audit, Nominating or Compensation Committees or committees performing similar functions. However, the Board of Directors of the Bank has several standing committees, as discussed below, including an Asset/Liability, Audit, Compensation, IS Steering, and Management/Governance which perform the functions of such committees for the Company. The directors of the Company are also directors of the Bank. As such, the Bank committees supervise and review the activities of the Bank, which constitute substantially all of the assets of the Company on a consolidated basis. Information regarding the committees of the Bank, and the members thereof, follows.

The Bank has a standing Asset/Liability Committee composed of John F. Hamel and Andrew S. Wallace of which Louise A. Walker, Senior Executive Vice President/Chief Financial Officer, is Committee Chairman. The Asset/Liability Committee reviews and oversees the management of the Bank's assets and liabilities. The Asset/Liability Committee added Directors as members in 2009. The Asset/Liability Committee held two meetings with Director participation so far in 2009.

The Bank has a standing Audit Committee composed of John M. Carbahal, Diane P. Hamlyn, Foy S. McNaughton and David W. Schulze. John M. Carbahal is the Audit Committee Chairman. The Audit Committee reviews and oversees the internal audit results for the Bank. The Audit Committee of the Bank held seven meetings during 2008.

The Bank has a standing Management/Governance Committee composed of Lori J. Aldrete, John M. Carbahal, Gregory DuPratt, John F. Hamel, Diane P. Hamlyn, Foy S. McNaughton, and Owen J. Onsum. Gregory DuPratt is the Management/Governance Committee Chairman. The Management/Governance Committee held one meeting during 2008 for the purpose of considering the Bank's strategic and personnel issues and reviewing the annual budget.

The Bank has a standing Loan Committee composed of Lori J. Aldrete, Frank J. Andrews, Jr., Gregory DuPratt, John F. Hamel, Foy S. McNaughton, Owen J. Onsum and David W. Schulze. John F. Hamel is the Loan Committee Chairman. The Loan Committee held 20 meetings during 2008 for the purpose of approving loans and loan policy.

The Bank has a standing Profit Sharing Committee composed of John F. Hamel, Owen J. Onsum, and Andrew S. Wallace. The Profit Sharing Committee held three meetings during 2008 for the purpose of considering plan administration and investments.

The Bank has a standing Compensation Committee composed of Lori J. Aldrete, Frank J. Andrews, Jr., Gregory DuPratt, Diane P. Hamlyn and Foy S. McNaughton. Lori J. Aldrete is the Compensation Committee Chairman. The Compensation Committee held ten meetings during 2008 for the purpose of reviewing and recommending to the Bank's Board of Directors the Bank's compensation objectives and policies and administering the Company's stock plans.

The Bank has a standing Asset Management and Trust Committee composed of Frank J. Andrews, John M. Carbahal, John F. Hamel, Owen J. Onsum, David W. Schulze, and Andrew S. Wallace. David W. Schulze is Chairman of the Asset Management and Trust Committee. The Asset Management and Trust Committee held four meetings during 2008 for the purpose of reviewing the general status of the Bank's Asset Management and Trust Department.

The Board of Directors will consider candidates nominated by the Company's shareholders, directors, officers and from other sources. In evaluating candidates, the Board of Directors considers the attributes of the candidate (including skills, experience, diversity, age and legal and regulatory requirements) and the needs of the Board of Directors, and will review all candidates in the same manner, regardless of the source of the recommendation. The

Board of Directors will consider candidates nominated by the shareholders of the Company if the nomination is made in writing in accordance with the procedures for nominating directors of the Company, as described above in this Proxy Statement. These nomination procedures are designed to give the Board of Directors advance notice of competing nominations, if any, and the qualifications of nominees, and may have the effect of precluding third-party nominations if the nomination procedures are not followed.

The Bank has a standing Director Selection Committee composed of John F. Hamel, Diane P. Hamlyn, Foy S. McNaughton, Owen J. Onsum, and David W. Schulze. The Director Selection Committee held no meetings during 2008. The purpose of the committee is to review and nominate potential candidates for directors of the Bank and the Company as needed. This committee fulfills the responsibilities of a director nominating committee for the Company. The Director Selection Committee does not have a charter.

The Director Selection Committee will consider candidates nominated by the Company's shareholders, directors, officers, and from other sources. In evaluating candidates, the Board of Directors considers the attributes of the candidate (including skills, experience, diversity, age, and legal and regulatory requirements), and the needs of the Board of Directors, and will review all candidates in the same manner, regardless of the source of the recommendation.

The Board of Directors will consider candidates nominated by the shareholders of the Company if the nomination is made in writing in accordance with the procedures for nominating directors of the Company, as described below. These nomination procedures are designed to give the Board of Directors advance notice of competing nominations, if any, and the qualifications of nominees, and may have the effect of precluding third-party nominations if the nomination procedures are not followed.

Pursuant to Article III, Section 23 of the bylaws of the Company, director nominations, other than those made by the Board of Directors, shall be made by notification in writing delivered or mailed to the President of the Company, not less than 30 days or more than 60 days prior to any meeting of shareholders called for election of directors. The provision also requires that the notice contain detailed information necessary to determine if the nominee is qualified under our bylaws. Under our bylaws, no person may be a member of the Board of Directors:

- (a) who has not been a resident for a period of at least two years immediately prior to his or her election of a county in which any subsidiary of the Company maintains an office unless the election of such person is approved by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the members of the Board of Directors of the Company then in office,
- (b) who owns, together with his or her family residing with him or her, directly or indirectly, more than one percent of the outstanding shares of any banking corporation, affiliate or subsidiary thereof, bank holding company, industrial loan company, savings bank or association or finance company, other than the Company or any affiliate or subsidiary of the Company,
- (c) who is a director, officer, employee, agent, nominee, or attorney of any banking corporation, affiliate, or subsidiary thereof, bank holding company, industrial loan company, savings bank or association or finance company, other than the Company or any affiliate or subsidiary of the Company, or
- (d) who has or is the nominee of anyone who has any contract, arrangement or understanding with any banking corporation, or affiliate or subsidiary thereof, bank holding company, industrial loan company, savings bank or association or finance company, other than the Company or any affiliate or subsidiary of the Company, or with any officer, director, employee, agent, nominee, attorney or other representative of such covered entity, that he or she will reveal or in any way utilize information obtained as a director of the Company or that he or she will, directly or indirectly, attempt to effect or encourage any action of the Company.



Nominations not made in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Company's bylaws may, in the discretion of the Chairman of the Meeting, be disregarded, and, upon his instruction, the inspector(s) of election shall disregard all votes cast for such nominee(s). A copy of Sections 22 and 23 of Article III of the Company's bylaws may be obtained by sending a written request to: Ms. Lynn Campbell, Corporate Secretary, First Northern Community Bancorp, 195 North First Street, Dixon, California 95620.

The Bank has several other committees that meet on an as needed basis.

If you wish to communicate with the Board of Directors you may send correspondence to the Corporate Secretary, First Northern Community Bancorp, 195 North First Street, Dixon, California 95620. The Corporate Secretary will submit your correspondence to the Board of Directors or the appropriate committee, as applicable.

#### Board of Directors Meetings

In 2008, the Board of Directors of the Bank held 12 regularly scheduled meetings, one special teleconference meeting and eight joint meetings with the Board of Directors of the Company. Each director attended at least 75% of the aggregate of: (1) the total number of meetings of the Boards of Directors held during the period for which he or she has been a director; and (2) the total number of meetings of committees of the Boards of Directors on which he or she served during the period for which he or she served. The Company has a policy to encourage directors to attend the Annual Meeting. All directors attended the Annual Meeting of Shareholders in 2008.

#### Director Independence

The Board of Directors has determined that (1) a majority of the Company's directors, (2) each member of the Compensation Committee, (3) each member of the Audit Committee, and (4) each member of the Management/Governance Committee except for Mr. Onsum, is "independent" under the applicable standards set forth in the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules. The Board of Directors has determined that all directors except Mr. Onsum are independent under the applicable standards set forth in the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules.

## Director Compensation

## 2008 Director Compensation

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in		Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred	Total (\$)
	Cash (\$ (1))	Stock Awards (\$ (2))	Compensation Earnings (\$ (3))	
Lori J. Aldrete	25,550	7,498	13,900	46,948
Frank J. Andrews, Jr.	23,650	7,498	9,283	40,431
John M. Carbahal	19,700	7,498	4,682	31,880
Gregory DuPratt	29,950	7,498	4,913	42,361
John F. Hamel	23,700	7,498	-	31,198
Diane P. Hamlyn	21,950	7,498	18,856	48,304
David W. Schulze	23,900	7,498	17,229	48,627
Foy S. McNaughton	20,950	7,498	7,488	35,936
Andrew S. Wallace	22,050	7,498	1,502	31,050

The Board of Directors of the Company and the Bank are comprised of the same ten people. Each director who is

- not an officer or employee of the Company or the Bank received \$1,000 for each jointly-held and regularly scheduled meeting of the Boards of Directors attended, with the exception of the Board Chairman who received \$1,400, \$400 per special meeting of the Board of Directors, \$150 per Directors Loan Committee or Special teleconference meeting, and \$500 per Committee meeting attended with the Chairman of Committee meetings receiving \$600, with the exception of the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee members received \$500 per meeting with the Chairman of the Audit Committee receiving \$700 per meeting. Mr. Onsum is the only director who is an employee and he receives no additional compensation for his services as a director.

This column includes the value of all stock awards, which is restricted common stock granted on February 15,

- 2008 under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan. The value is the amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to fiscal year 2008 in accordance with FAS 123R. The assumptions used in the valuation of stock awards are included in Note 13 to First Northern Community Bancorp's audited financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008.

The shares vest over a period of one year upon grant. The number of shares issued was 460 based on a grant date stock price of \$16.30 on February 15, 2008.

- Amounts reflected in this column are attributable to the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of each director's accumulated benefit under the Company's Director.

The Company has Director Retirement Agreements with each of its non-employee directors. The agreements are intended to encourage existing directors to remain directors, providing the Company with the benefit of the directors' experience and guidance in the years ahead. The Company believes it is advisable and appropriate to reward director service with a competitive compensation package, including board fees and post-retirement benefits.

For retirement on or after the normal retirement age of 65, the Director Retirement Agreements provide a benefit for ten years ranging from \$10,000 annually for a director with ten years of service to a maximum of \$15,000 annually for a director with 15 or more years of service, including years of service prior to the effective date of the Director Retirement Agreements. There are four directors who have served more than 15 years as a director. Benefits under the Director Retirement Agreements are payable solely to those directors who have served for at least ten years, unless the director terminates service because of death or disability or unless the director's service terminates within two years after a change in control.

In the case of early termination of a director's service before age 65 for reasons other than death or disability or within two years after a change in control, he or she will receive over a period of ten years aggregate payments equal to the retirement-liability balance accrued by the Company at the end of the year before the year in which the director's service terminated. However, early termination benefits will not be payable unless the director is at least 55 years of age and has served as a director for at least ten years, including years of service prior to the effectiveness of the Agreements. If a director becomes disabled before age 65, the director will receive a lump-sum payment in an amount equal to the retirement-liability balance accrued by the Company at the end of the year before the year in which disability occurred regardless of whether the director has ten years of service or has reached age 55. If a change in control occurs and a director's service terminates within 24 months after the change in control, the director will receive a lump-sum payment equal to the retirement-liability balance accrued by the Company at the end of the year before the year in which termination occurred, regardless of whether the director has ten years of service or has reached age 55. For this purpose, the term "change in control" means:

- A merger occurs and as a consequence the Company's shareholders prior to the merger own less than 50% of the resulting company's voting stock;
- A beneficial ownership report is required to be filed under Section 13(d) or 14(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by a person (or group of persons acting in concert) to report ownership of 20% or more of the Company's voting securities; or
- During any period of two consecutive years, individuals who constituted the Company's Board of Directors at the beginning of the two-year period cease for any reason to constitute a majority of the Board. Directors elected during the two-year period are treated as if they were directors at the beginning of the period if they were nominated by at least two-thirds of the directors in office at the beginning of the period.

No benefits are payable under the Director Retirement Agreements to a director's beneficiaries after the director's death. A director forfeits all benefits under the Director Retirement Agreement if his or her director service terminates because of neglect of duties, commission of a felony or misdemeanor, or acts of fraud, disloyalty, or willful violation of significant Bank policies, or if the director is removed by order of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

The Company has also purchased insurance policies on the lives of the directors who entered into Director Retirement Agreements, paying the premiums for these insurance policies with one lump-sum premium payment of approximately \$1.99 million. The Company expects to recover the premium in full from its portion of the policies'

death benefits. The Company purchased the policies as an informal financing mechanism for the post-retirement payment obligations under the Director Retirement Agreements.

Although the Company expects these policies to serve as a source of funds for benefits payable under the Director Retirement Agreements, the contractual entitlements arising under the Director Retirement Agreements are not funded and remain contractual liabilities of the Company, payable on or after each director's termination of service.

Under the Split Dollar Agreements and Split Dollar Policy Endorsements with the directors, which were entered into on the same date the Director Retirement Agreements were executed, the policy interests are divided between the Company and each director. The Split Dollar Agreements provide that a director's designated beneficiary(ies) will be entitled to receive at the director's death life insurance proceeds in the amount of:

- (a) \$120,000 if the director dies before age 65,
- (b) \$60,000 if the director dies after reaching age 65 but before age 75, and
- (c) \$30,000 if the director dies thereafter

The director's beneficiary(ies) would receive no further benefits under the Director Retirement Agreement, and the Company's obligations under that agreement would be extinguished. The Company is entitled to any insurance policy death benefits remaining after payment to the director's beneficiary(ies).

Director Retirement Benefits. The following table shows the present value of benefits payable under the Director Retirement Agreements to the directors, assuming certain events:

Director Retirement Benefits

Name (a)	Plan Name (b)	Number of Years of Credited Service (#) (c)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$) (d)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$) (e)
Lori Aldrete	Director Retirement Agreement	14.0	77,278	-
Frank Andrews	Director Retirement Agreement	15.7	52,211	-
John Carbahal	Director Retirement Agreement	12.5	26,975	-
Greg DuPratt	Director Retirement Agreement	12.5	28,256	-
John Hamel	Director Retirement Agreement	33.6	116,405	-
Diane Hamlyn	Director Retirement Agreement	23.9	115,670	-
Foy McNaughton	Director Retirement Agreement	8.9	42,410	-
David Schulze	Director Retirement Agreement	30.3	95,274	-
Andrew Wallace	Director Retirement Agreement	1.9	1,502	-

1. The assumptions used to calculate the present value of accumulated benefits are the same as those used under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 87

“Employers’ Accounting for Pensions,” as of December 31, 2008, assuming that all Directors continued to serve until their normal retirement age of 65, or their current age, if

later. The present value of accrued benefits as of December 31, 2008, is calculated assuming the Director commences his or her accrued benefit earned through December 31,

2008, at normal retirement age, or his or her current age, if earlier. For the December 31, 2008, calculation, the discount rate assumption was 5.60% and each director is assumed

to survive until all scheduled payments have been received. The present value of accumulated benefit is impacted by age and years of service.

#### Director NonQualified Deferred Compensation

The Company has implemented an elective deferred director fee plan, a nonqualified plan providing unfunded deferred benefits for participating directors. Under the Plan, deferred director fees earn interest at a rate determined annually by the Company. Under the Plan, Ms. Aldrete elected to defer \$1,200 of her 2008 director fees. In 2008, her deferred director fees earned interest at 5.52% per annum. Ms. Aldrete's aggregate earnings in the plan are \$1,378 and the aggregate balance at December 31, 2008 was \$26,210. In addition, Ms. Aldrete has elected to defer 10% of her board meeting director fees in 2009. Deferred fees and interest earned will be paid out to Ms. Aldrete at her retirement. If she dies before her retirement age, her beneficiary(ies) will receive the deferred fees and interest earned at the time of her death. The Company is entitled to any insurance policy death benefits from an insurance policy purchased by the Company with a lump-sum premium payment of \$75,000.

#### Report of Audit Committee

The Audit Committee oversees relevant accounting, risk assessment, risk management and regulatory matters. It meets with the Bank's and the Company's internal auditors and its independent registered public accounting firm to review the scope of their work as well as to review quarterly and annual financial statements and regulatory and public disclosures with the officers in charge of financial reporting, control and disclosure functions. After reviewing the independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications, partner rotation and independence, the Audit Committee appoints the independent registered public accounting firm subject to shareholder ratification, if required or sought. In addition, the Audit Committee reviews reports of examination conducted by regulatory agencies and follows up with management concerning any recommendations and required corrective action, or to assess the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

The Audit Committee reports regularly to the Boards of Directors of the Bank and the Company and has the authority to select, retain, terminate and approve the fees and other retention terms of special counsel or other experts or consultants, as it deems appropriate and necessary to perform its duties.

In performing its functions, as outlined in the Audit Committee Charter (available for review on the Bank's website at [www.thatsmybank.com](http://www.thatsmybank.com)) approved annually by the Bank's Board of Directors, the Audit Committee of the Bank acts only in an oversight capacity and necessarily relies on the work and assurances of management, which has the primary responsibility for financial statements and reports, and of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, who, in their report, express an opinion on the conformity of the Company's annual financial statements to generally accepted accounting principles.

In connection with the December 31, 2008, financial statements of the Company, the Audit Committee of the Bank: (1) reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management; (2) discussed with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm the matters required by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as may be modified or supplemented; and (3) received the written disclosures and the letter from the Company's independent registered accounting firm required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, as may be modified or supplemented, and has discussed with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm such firm's independence. Based upon these reviews and discussions, the Audit Committee of the Bank recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements of the Company be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008.

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in any of the Company's previous or future filings under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that might incorporate this Proxy Statement or future filings with the SEC, in whole or in part, this report of the Audit Committee of the Bank's Board of Directors shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any such filings except to the extent that it is specifically incorporated by reference therein.

The Audit Committee of the Bank's Board of Directors consists of four members who are each "independent directors," under the standards set forth in the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules. The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Carbahal is an audit committee financial expert under the rules of the SEC.

Respectfully submitted,

Audit Committee

John M. Carbahal, Chairman

Diane P. Hamlyn

Foy S. McNaughton

David W. Schulze



## Audit and Non-Audit Fees

### Audit Fees

The aggregate fees billed by Moss Adams, LLP for professional services rendered for the audit of the Company's financial statements for fiscal year 2007 and the reviews of financial statements included in the Company's Forms 10-Q during 2007 were \$264,087. The total fees billed by Moss Adams LLP for professional services rendered for the audit of the Company's financial statements for fiscal year 2008 and the reviews of the financial statements included in the Company's Forms 10-Q during 2008 were \$280,606. Moss Adams LLP did not provide any services other than audit services during 2007 or 2008.

### Audit-Related Fees

The fees billed by Moss Adams LLP for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit and review of the Company's quarterly and annual financial statements, including audits of financial statements of certain employee benefit plans, review of registration statements, and permitted internal audit outsourcing, for fiscal year 2007 and 2008 were \$21,198 and \$17,428 respectively.

### Pre-Approval Policy for Services Provided by our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Audit Committee has adopted a policy for pre-approval of audit and permitted non-audit services by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee will consider annually and, if appropriate, approve the provision of audit services by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm and consider and, if appropriate, pre-approve the provision of certain defined audit and non-audit services. The Audit Committee will also consider on a case-by-case basis and, if appropriate, approve specific engagements that are not otherwise pre-approved. There were no non-audit services performed by Moss Adams LLP in 2008.

Any proposed engagement that does not fit within the definition of a pre-approved service may be presented to the Audit Committee for consideration at its next regular meeting or, if earlier consideration is required, to the Audit Committee or one or more of its members. The member or members to whom such authority is delegated shall report any specific approval of services at the Company's next regular meeting. The Audit Committee will regularly review summary reports detailing all services being provided by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm.

### Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

According to filings made with the SEC, as of the record date, other than as described below, no person or entity was the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the Company's common stock. Mr. Onsum filed a Schedule 13D with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 8, 2003 reporting ownership in the aggregate of 6.5% of the Company's common stock; however, with respect to 259,499 shares which has been adjusted to reflect 6% stock dividends for 2005, 2006, and 2007 and a 2 for 1 stock split in 2005 (approximately 3.1% of the outstanding Company common stock) included in such report, Mr. Onsum is a successor trustee of The Lowell H. Morris and Muriel M. Morris Revocable Trust, a private trust formed under the laws of the State of California (the "Trust") of which he is not a named beneficiary and in which he has no pecuniary interest. Mr. Onsum, Ms. Walker, and Mr. Walker are



trustees of 85,223 shares of common stock held in the First Northern Bank of Dixon Profit Sharing Plan and share voting and investment power with respect to the named shares. These shares represent .95% of the Company's outstanding common stock and each trustee disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by the Profit Sharing Plan which were not allocated to such trustee pursuant to the terms of the Profit Sharing Plan. The following table reflects the beneficial ownership of the Company's common stock by each director, nominee and each executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table, and by all directors and executive officers as a group. The figures in the table are based on beneficial ownership as of February 27, 2009, and have been adjusted for the 4% stock dividend paid by the Company on March 31, 2009. Except as indicated by footnotes and subject to community property laws, where applicable, the persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by them.

Name	Shares beneficially owned	Shares acquirable within 60 days by exercise of options	Percent of stock
Lori J. Aldrete (1)	23,802	0	*
Frank J. Andrews, Jr. (2)	22,389	0	*
John M. Carbahal (3)	46,211	0	*
Patrick S. Day (4)	7,878	3,180	*
Gregory DuPratt (5)	25,297	0	*
John F. Hamel (6)	99,326	0	1.1%
Diane P. Hamlyn (7)	84,412	0	*
Foy S. McNaughton (8)	35,635	0	*
Owen J. Onsum (9)	224,492	77,062	3.3%
David W. Schulze (10)	221,666	0	2.5%
Andrew S. Wallace	1,315	0	*
Louise A. Walker (11)	133,320	151,436	3.2%
Robert M. Walker (12)	99,027	74,012	1.9%
All directors and executive officers as a group (13 people)	1,024,730	305,690	14.7%

\*Less than 1%.

(1) Includes 19,502 shares held jointly with Ms. Aldrete's spouse.

(2) Includes 17,368 shares held separately in an IRA for Mr. Andrews' spouse.

Includes 14,023 shares held jointly with Mr. Carbahal's spouse, 27,794 shares held by the Carbahal & Company (3) Annual Accumulation, an accountancy corporation of which Mr. Carbahal is a principal and shareholder, and 1,917 shares held separately by Mr. Carbahal's spouse.

(4) Includes 2 shares held jointly with Mr. Day's spouse.

(5) Includes 9,813 shares held separately by Mr. DuPratt's spouse.

(6) Includes 72,405 shares held by the R/J Hamel Family Trust, of which Mr. Hamel is a co-trustee and shares voting and investment power with respect to such shares.

Includes 167 shares held by Ms. Hamlyn as custodian for Catherine S. Lindley, 102 shares held by Ms. Hamlyn as (7) custodian for Stephen A. Lindley, 33,635 shares held separately in the name of Ms. Hamlyn's spouse, 26,515

shares held jointly with Ms. Hamlyn's spouse.

(8)Includes 11,899 shares held by The McNaughton Family Trust of which Mr. McNaughton is a co-trustee and shares voting and investment power with respect to such shares.

Includes 131,839 shares held jointly with Mr. Onsum's spouse, 85,223 shares held by the First Northern Bank of (9) Dixon Profit Sharing Plan, of which Mr. Onsum is a trustee and shares voting and investment power with respect to such shares of which beneficial ownership of 80,971 shares is disclaimed by Mr. Onsum, and 2 shares held by a Trust, of which beneficial ownership is disclaimed by Mr. Onsum.

(10)Includes 221,160 shares held by The Schulze Family Trust, of which Mr. Schulze is a co-trustee and shares voting and investment power with respect to such shares.

Includes 43,724 shares held jointly with Ms. Walker's spouse, and 1,475 shares held by Ms. Walker as custodian (11) for Jonathan Walker, 269 shares held by Ms. Walker as custodian for Steven Walker, 107 shares held by Ms. Walker as custodian for James R. Robinson, and 85,223 shares held by the First Northern Bank of Dixon Profit Sharing Plan, of which Ms. Walker is a trustee and shares voting and investment power with respect to such shares of which beneficial ownership of 83,101 shares is disclaimed by Ms. Walker. Ms. Walker and Mr. Walker are not related.

Includes 11,456 shares held by The Walker Family Trust, of which Mr. Walker is a co-trustee and shares voting (12) and investment power with respect to such shares, 967 shares held separately by Mr. Walker's spouse and 85,223 shares held by the First Northern Bank of Dixon Profit Sharing Plan, of which Mr. Walker is a trustee and shares voting and investment power of which beneficial ownership of 82,684 shares is disclaimed by Mr. Walker. Mr. Walker and Ms. Walker are not related.

#### Executive Officers

Set forth below is certain information regarding our executive officers.

Name and Title	Age	Principal Occupation During the Past Five Years
Owen J. Onsum, CEO/President/ Director	64	Chief Executive Officer, President and Director of the Company.
Louise A. Walker, SEVP / Chief Financial Officer (1)	48	Senior Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Cashier of the Company.
Patrick S. Day, EVP, Chief Credit Officer	59	Senior Regional Credit Officer of First Bank from October 1999 to May 2006 and Executive Vice President and Chief Credit Officer of the Company from June 2006.
Robert M. Walker, EVP / Commercial, Retail and Trust Divisions (1)	58	Executive Vice President, Commercial, Retail & Trust Divisions of the Company.

(1)Ms. Walker and Mr. Walker are not related.

## Compensation Discussion and Analysis

### Executive Summary

The Company operated in a difficult economic environment during 2008 and our management of compensation reflected the unique circumstances presented to us during the year. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors believes that it made responsible and well-balanced compensation decisions taking into consideration the interests of both the named executive officers (NEOs) and the Company's shareholders. These decisions are described throughout this Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

The items below summarize the key events and compensation initiatives during 2008.

1. The Compensation Committee has determined that based on the performance of the Company, the Chief Executive Officer and the other NEOs will not be eligible for an increase in salary for the 2009 fiscal year.
2. The Company did not achieve the threshold level of performance required to fund the cash incentive pools. As a result, there were no cash incentive awards paid to the NEOs for 2008 performance results.
3. With the extraordinary circumstances surrounding a very challenging market for financial institutions, the Compensation Committee determined that the retention of quality key executive talent is important to successfully navigate through this environment. Part of this retention strategy includes the granting of stock options and restricted stock in 2009 for year 2008 for the NEOs as described later in this narrative. The Chief Executive Officer will not receive a grant.
4. In December 2008, the Committee and the Chief Executive Officer adopted a performance-based equity granting methodology whereby equity grants for 2009 performance will be based on performance relative to predefined goals. The Company believes that performance-based grants help focus its executives on the achievement of Company's goals while providing alignment with the interests of shareholders.
5. In December 2008, the Company received approval to participate in the federal government's Capital Purchase Program ("CPP") created under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 ("EESA"). Subsequently, in March 2009, the Company received approximately \$17.3 million in capital under the CPP. Participation in the CPP results in new restrictions on executive compensation which are detailed below.

### Executive Compensation Implications of EESA

On March 13, 2009, the Company sold a series of its preferred stock and a warrant to the U.S. Department of the Treasury ("Treasury") under the CPP. Pursuant to the CPP Securities Purchase Agreement entered into by the Company in connection with that transaction, during the period that the Treasury holds equity or debt securities of the Company, the compensation of our Senior Executive Officers (the "SEOs") will be subject to executive compensation requirements under EESA. Presently, our SEOs are the same individuals who are our NEOs. Those requirements are:

- Prohibition on Compensation that Provides an Incentive to Take Unnecessary and Excessive Risks. EESA prohibits us from providing incentive compensation arrangements that encourage our SEOs to take unnecessary and excessive risks that threaten the value of the financial institution.



- **Risk Review.** U.S. Treasury regulations require the Committee to review SEO incentive compensation arrangements with our senior risk officers to ensure that SEOs are not encouraged to take excessive risks. The regulations also require the Committee to meet at least annually with our senior risk officers to discuss and review the relationship between our risk management policies and practices and the SEO incentive compensation arrangements. The Compensation Committee is currently performing this review and its conclusions will be included in its report in the next Proxy Statement.
- **Clawback.** EESA requires the Company to recover any bonus or incentive compensation paid to an SEO where the payment was later found to have been based on statements of earnings, gains, or other criteria which prove to be materially inaccurate. Each SEO has contractually agreed to abide by this provision.
- **Golden Parachutes.** The Company contractually agreed to abide by a provision of EESA which limits the amounts that can be paid under change in control and similar agreements which provide payments upon separation of service. EESA also amended Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code by expanding the definition of a parachute payment to include certain severance payments paid by reason of an involuntary termination or in connection with bankruptcy, liquidation or receivership of the employer. Each SEO has contractually agreed to abide by the limits imposed by EESA for so long as the limit applies to the Company and to the SEO. The changes to our Change in Control agreements as a result of EESA and Section 280(G) are being reviewed and changes will be implemented to bring them into compliance with EESA and Section 280(G) requirements.
- **Limit on Tax Deduction.** We contractually agreed to abide by a provision of EESA and Treasury Department regulations which limits our tax deduction for compensation pay to any SEO to \$500,000 annually. The provision of EESA amended the Internal Revenue Code by adding Section 162(m)(5). Section 162(m)(5) imposes a \$500,000 deduction limit.
- **Binding SEO Agreements.** Each of our SEOs has executed an agreement with the Treasury which reduces his or her compensation and other benefits to the extent necessary to comply with these EESA requirements. These agreements will remain effective for so long as Treasury owns any of the Company's preferred stock or warrants.

#### Effect of the American Reinvestment and Recovery Act of 2009

On February 17, 2009, President Barack Obama signed the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 ("ARRA") into law. ARRA includes provisions directing the Secretary of the Treasury and the SEC to impose additional limits on compensation of executives of companies that participate in the CPP as long as the Treasury owns preferred stock and/or stock purchase warrants of such companies under the CPP.

Key features of the ARRA as they apply to the Company are:

- A prohibition of the payment of any bonus, retention award, or incentive compensation to our most highly compensated employee for as long as any CPP related obligations are outstanding. Currently, this prohibition would apply to our Chief Executive Officer. The prohibition does not apply to bonuses payable pursuant to "employment agreements" in effect prior to February 11, 2009.



- “Long-term” restricted stock is excluded from ARRA’s bonus prohibition, but only to the extent the value of the stock does not exceed one-third of the total amount of annual compensation of the employee receiving the stock, the stock does not “fully vest” until after all CPP obligations have been satisfied, and any other conditions where the Treasury may specify have been met.
- Prohibition on making any severance/golden parachute payments to any CEO or any of the next five most highly compensated employees upon termination of employment for any reason for as long as any CPP obligations remain outstanding.
- Recovery of any bonus or other incentive payments paid to any CEO or the next 20 most highly compensated employees that were made based on financial statements or other criteria that are later found to be materially inaccurate.
  - Prohibition on compensation plans that encourage earnings manipulation.
- A requirement that the chief executive officer and chief financial officer provide a written certification of compliance with the executive compensation restrictions in ARRA in the Company’s Annual Report.
  - Implementation of a company-wide policy regarding excessive or luxury expenditures.
- The Treasury may review bonuses, retention awards, and other compensation paid to the CEOs and the next 20 most highly compensated employees of each company receiving CPP financing before ARRA was enacted, and may seek to negotiate with the Company and affected employees for reimbursement if it finds any such payments were inconsistent with the CPP or otherwise in conflict with the public interest.

In accordance with the ARRA and based on recent guidance issued by the SEC, the Board of Directors authorized a non-binding advisory shareholder vote on the Company’s executive compensation plans, programs and arrangements. See “Proposal 2—Approval of a Non-binding Advisory Proposal on the Compensation of our Named Executive Officers.”

#### Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

The Company believes that the purpose of executive compensation is to attract, motivate, and retain talented executives. Furthermore, the Company believes that executive compensation should be designed in a manner that aligns employee interests with those of the Company’s shareholders.

The Company’s compensation structure is designed to position a named executive officer’s (NEO) base salary at approximately the 50th percentile of competitive practice (as discussed under “Pay Level and Benchmarking”). Bonus or incentive compensation is targeted between the 50th and 75th percentiles of competitive practice and is based on individual, unit, and/or total Company performance, with at least 50 percent of the NEO’s bonus tied directly to overall Company results. Long-term incentives in the form of options, restricted stock, or other equity awards are granted at the discretion of the Compensation Committee in accordance with the Company’s shareholder-approved 2006 Stock Incentive Plan.

The Company’s compensation framework seeks to balance current cash (through vehicles such as salary and annual incentives) with the alignment of the NEOs’ long-term interests with those of shareholders (through vehicles such as equity grants). These components seek to provide some degree of stability at the fixed level of compensation, while aligning the NEOs with shareholder interests and motivating NEOs to focus on the strategic goals that will produce outstanding Company financial performance. An additional part of this goal is our philosophy that a significant

portion of an NEO's total compensation should be at risk and tied to performance.

## Compensation-Related Governance and Role of the Compensation Committee

### Committee Charter

The Compensation Committee's purpose is to:

- review and recommend compensation objectives and policies to the Board of Directors,
- administer the Company's stock plans, long-term incentive plans and certain employee benefit plans,
- review and recommend to the Board the total compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and other NEOs, and
- oversee preparation of executive compensation disclosures for inclusion in the Company's proxy statement. The Committee also oversees, adheres to and recommends any changes necessary to ensure compliance with legislation and any other compensatory programs and obligations impacting the Bank's and the Company's policies and programs.

The Charter of the Compensation Committee is available on the Company's website ([www.thatsmybank.com](http://www.thatsmybank.com)), and is also available in print upon request (submit requests for copies of the Charter to First Northern Community Bancorp., Attn: Investor Relations, 195 North First Street, P.O. Box 547, Dixon, CA 95620).

### Interaction with Consultants

The Compensation Committee has historically engaged a compensation consultant to provide input on executive compensation issues. In 2008, the Compensation Committee retained Amalfi Consulting, an independent compensation consulting firm, to assist with several projects. Amalfi Consulting reports directly to the Compensation Committee and the Committee discusses, reviews, and approves all consulting projects performed by Amalfi Consulting. The Compensation Committee periodically reviews the relationship with Amalfi Consulting and considers competitive proposals from other firms. Amalfi Consulting provided no other services to the Company in 2008.

Also in 2008, the Company retained Mike Higgins & Associates to assist with the design and administration of the Bank's annual incentive plan. The consultant worked with the Bank's executive management on this initiative and reported to the Compensation Committee when requested. Amalfi Consulting, at the request of the Compensation Committee, reviewed the annual incentive plan as it pertained to the NEOs.

### Role of Executive Officers in Compensation Committee Deliberations

The Compensation Committee frequently requests the Chief Executive Officer and other NEOs to be present at Committee meetings to discuss executive compensation. Executive officers in attendance may provide their insights and suggestions, but only independent Compensation Committee members may vote on decisions regarding executive compensation.

The Compensation Committee discusses the Chief Executive Officer's compensation with him, but final deliberations and all votes regarding his compensation are made in executive session, without the Chief Executive Officer present. The Committee also reviews and approves the Chief Executive Officer's recommendations and input from the compensation consultant regarding the other NEOs' compensation.



## Compensation Framework

Summary of Pay Components. The Company uses the pay components listed below to balance short-term and long-term objectives. To attract talented executive officers, maintain a stable team of effective leaders, and provide non-competition and other protections for the Company, the compensation framework includes components such as equity-based awards, employment agreements, and retirement plans.

The Company's executive compensation program consists of the following components:

- Salary - fixed base pay that reflects each NEO's position, individual performance, experience, and expertise.
- Annual Cash Incentive - pay that varies based on performance against annual business objectives; the Company communicates the associated performance, goals, and award opportunities (expressed as a percentage of salary) to the NEOs at the beginning of the year.
- Long-Term Incentives – equity-based awards intended to reward NEOs for bank-wide and individual performance, provide retention benefits, and to align the interests of management and stockholders.
- Profit Sharing/401(k) Plan - qualified defined contribution retirement benefit to provide employees with savings opportunities and financial security during retirement.
- Executive Retirement Benefits - each NEO has a Salary Continuation Plan or Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP). NEOs may also elect to defer a portion of their annual salary and annual cash incentive under the 2001 Executive Deferral Plan.
- Other Compensation - NEOs participate in broad-based employee benefits such as medical, dental, disability, and life insurance coverage. Some NEOs also participate in the Company's Split Dollar Life Insurance Plan.

The Company does not anticipate material modifications in 2009 to its compensation programs or any of the specific components listed above, aside from those discussed above in connection with our EESA-required review of existing compensation arrangements and under the detailed description of each compensation component below.

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors will review the design and operation of the Bank's incentive compensation arrangements, including the performance objectives and target levels used in connection with the incentive awards, with management and determine whether these arrangements do or do not provide the Bank's executives with incentive to engage in business activities or other behavior that would present unnecessary and excessive risks that would threaten the value of the Bank or the investments to shareholders. This process will be completed within 90 days of March 13, 2009.

Salary. The Company pays its NEOs cash salaries intended to be competitive and takes into account the individual's experience, performance, responsibilities, and past and potential contributions to the Company. There is no specific weighting applied to the factors considered, and the Compensation Committee, based on industry information provided by outside experts and its own judgment, determines appropriate salaries within the parameters of the compensation philosophy. The Company targets salaries for each NEO at the 50th percentile of competitive practice based on a review of industry surveys and similar positions at peer institutions. The salaries are at a lower competitive position than total compensation (which is targeted between the 50th and 75th percentiles), reflecting the Company's philosophy of placing a significant portion of total compensation at risk and linking it to performance.



Salary decisions also take into account the positioning of projected total compensation with target-level performance incentives. Because incentive opportunities are defined as a percentage of salary, changes in salary have an effect on total compensation. Prior to recommending salary increases for the Chief Executive Officer to the Board, the Compensation Committee reviews the projected total compensation based on the proposed salary. For all other NEOs, the Compensation Committee considers the recommendations of the Chief Executive Officer and reviews information provided by outside consultants prior to establishing their salaries.

During 2008, the Compensation Committee reviewed salary levels for each NEO based on market compensation information from its peer group and industry surveys. After reviewing this information and taking into consideration the performance of the Bank, the Compensation Committee recommended and the Company's Board of Directors approved on December 18, 2008 no increase in compensation for the Chief Executive Officer or the other NEOs for 2009. This leaves the salary level for the Chief Executive Officer at \$282,480; and the NEOs salaries as follows: Ms. Walker-\$162,000; Mr. Day-\$156,000; and Mr. Walker-\$137,100.

The table below shows the positioning of 2007 salaries for each NEO relative to the 50th percentile of salaries for similar positions based upon the Company's peer group and industry survey data. While the Company targets each NEO's salary at the 50th percentile compared to competitive data it considers a 15% variance on either side of the 50th percentile to be within a normal range. Variances from the targeted 50th percentile, however slight, may reflect tenure in a position, role and responsibilities. The peer group and surveys utilized in the Compensation Committee's review of NEO compensation in 2007 are discussed in more detail under "Pay Level and Benchmarking".

Name	FNRN 2007 Salary to the 50th Percentile of the Compensation Group
Owen J. Onsum	+6%
Louise A. Walker	-6%
Patrick S. Day	0%
Robert M. Walker	0%
Average Difference	0%

Annual Cash Incentive. The Company uses annual incentives to focus attention on current strategic priorities and drive achievement of short-term corporate objectives. Awards are provided under the terms of the Company's Incentive Compensation Plan. All NEOs are eligible to receive annual cash incentive compensation following the end of each year, if performance targets are achieved. Per the compensation philosophy, at least 50% of the NEO's annual incentive compensation is tied directly to overall Company results.

In 2008, 100% of annual incentive compensation was tied directly to overall Company results for each NEO. The overall incentive compensation pool is created based on the maximum percentages of each employee's base salary that can be earned as incentive compensation. The annual incentive opportunities are targeted between the 50th and 75th percentiles of the selected peer group levels.

The 2008 awards were contingent on performance relative to return on assets (ROA) and return on equity (ROE) performance targets. To achieve 100% of targeted performance the Bank would have to reach the ROA and ROE goal as defined by the strategic plan. Typically budget is approximately 90% of targeted performance; maximum is 120% for targeted

performance. The plan is not funded if actual results fall below 80% of targeted performance. The non-equity incentive plan compensation is calculated for every 2% variance from targeted performance, the incentive payout changes by 5%, e.g., a 6% variance from target would result in a 15% change in payout. The ROA and ROE performance measures create a pool for the annual incentive plan; once the pool is funded additional performance metrics are reviewed and adjustments are made for individual plan participants. After taking into account the ROA and ROE performance measures, .95% and 10.29% respectively, the Compensation Committee determined that the annual Company incentive objectives for 2008 were not achieved, reflecting the fact that the Company did not meet its budgeted performance during the year nor the minimum threshold of 80% of targeted performance to create the incentive compensation pool. This is principally related to the general decline experienced by the banking industry. The 2008 actual results were ROA of (.20%) and ROE of (2.15%). The table below shows the award opportunities at threshold, target, and maximum, as well as each NEO's actual award as a percentage of salary.

The Company believes that its NEOs have significant influence and control on company-wide performance. Therefore, annual incentive compensation for each NEO was based solely on the Company's performance relative to these goals and was not subject to discretionary increases or decreases. It is a standard practice for the CEO's award opportunity to be set at a higher percentage of salary than the other NEOs due to a higher degree of responsibility, liability, and the skills required to perform this role.

Executive Officer	2008 Annual Incentive Opportunity as a Percent of Salary			
	Threshold	Target	Max	Actual Award
Owen J. Onsum	37.5%	75%	112.5%	0%
Louise A. Walker	20%	40%	60%	0%
Patrick S. Day	20%	40%	60%	0%
Robert M. Walker	20%	40%	60%	0%

The Company has determined that the annual incentive opportunity levels as a percent of salary for performance in 2009 will be identical to the values used for 2008 performance. The Company has adopted ROA and ROE performance metrics as overarching goals to measure 2009 performance. Once the 2009 ROA and ROE performance metrics are met, individual goals will apply that will be predetermined and based on NEOs' roles and responsibilities.

**Long-Term Incentives.** The Company uses long-term incentives to encourage focus on key long-range objectives, foster retention, and directly align interests with the long-term interests of shareholders.

The 2000 Stock Option Plan terminated in February of 2007 and was replaced by the shareholder approved 2006 Stock Incentive Plan. The 2006 Stock Incentive Plan provides for the following types of awards: incentive and nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and restricted stock units.

On February 15, 2008, the NEOs received stock option grants for 2007 performance results, according to the levels recommended by the Chief Executive Officer on December 6, 2007, and approved by the Board of Directors. Also in February 2008, options were awarded to the Chief Executive Officer at the discretion of the Compensation Committee on December 6, 2007, and approved by the Board of Directors on January 24, 2008.



The economic value of the equity awards made to Ms. Walker, Mr. Day and Mr. Walker on February 15, 2008, were comprised of approximately 50% stock options and 50% restricted stock, which were made as a part of the Company's retention strategy. The economic value of the equity award made to Mr. Onsum on February 15, 2008, was comprised of 100% restricted stock which was made a part of the Company's retention strategy.

On January 17, 2009, the Compensation Committee approved a total equity award dollar amount of up to \$125,000 for the Chief Executive Officer to distribute across the Company's management employees according to his judgment and discretion. This amount represents 50% of the amount approved for grants in 2008. The equity is earmarked to be used in 2009 for discretionary recognition or retention grants, and to be available for use in the hiring or promotion of key talent. Equity granted will be per the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan; the type of equity granted will be determined at the time of grant based on financial and legislative considerations, motivation and retention implications, dilutive considerations and cost to the Company.

As a result of 2008 performance, on January 22, 2009, the Compensation Committee recommended that the Board of Directors not award any long-term incentive options to the Chief Executive Officer. This decision is consistent with the similar action by the Board to not award equity grants to outside Directors in 2009. Outside Directors normally receive equity grants as part of their annual compensation. These decisions were made to reflect accountability for the Bank's performance.

While awards granted in 2008 and 2009 were discretionary and not tied to specific predetermined goals, the Committee and the Chief Executive Officer considered company-wide performance during 2008, retention objectives, and the value of awards provided to similar executive positions in the Company's peer group. These amounts were further adjusted based on individual performance and contributions to the Bank. These included significant additional effort attributable to increased regulatory oversight given national economic conditions, capital management and developing additional products and sources of revenue. Also associated with the grant awards were the increased effort required to manage the loan portfolio and our realignment of branches, departments and staff. The Compensation Committee also has determined and established that for fiscal year 2009 the Chief Executive Office and the NEOs will have predetermined specific performance metrics that must be achieved to be eligible to receive any long-term incentive awards.

The Chief Executive Officer will have goals related to Asset Quality, Earnings per Share, Core Deposit Growth, and expense control as the categories that have performance measures. These measures are aligned with the Company's strategic plan and 2009 key initiatives. Each measure has a threshold, target and maximum goal, and each goal is weighted equally at 25%. The award opportunity for achieving target level performance is valued at 40% of the Chief Executive Officer's base salary. The NEO performance measures are Asset Quality (Nonperforming Assets/Assets) with a weighting of 25%; Earnings per share (Core EPS growth) with a weight of 15%; Core Deposit Growth with a weight of 25%; Expense Control (variance to budget) with a weight of 25%; and Leadership with a weight of 10% equaling 100%. Each goal has an attributable threshold, target and maximum. The executive can achieve 100% of the award amount at target performance.

All stock options granted to NEOs during 2008 and 2009 vest 25% at the end of the first year and 25% on each of the three years thereafter. Stock option grants include a mixture of incentive stock options (based on eligibility) and non-qualified stock options. Restricted stock awards do not vest until the fourth anniversary of the grant date or upon retirement at age 65 at which point the stock awards are fully vested; for the CEO restricted stock awards vest upon the third anniversary of the grant date or upon retirement at age 65 (normal retirement is defined as age of 65 or later), subject to continuous Service through such date.

Profit Sharing/401(k) Plan and Trust. The Company has an interest in providing financial security and retirement savings opportunities to its employees and views its retirement plans as a means of attracting and retaining employees in a competitive labor market. To accomplish these goals, the Company sponsors a Profit Sharing/401(k) Plan and Trust Agreement pursuant to which the Company makes annual profit-sharing contributions as determined by the Bank's Board of Directors depending on the profitability of the Bank during the year, subject to certain limitations on contributions under the Internal Revenue Code ("IRC") and the Profit Sharing Plan.

Employees with a minimum of one year of service with the Company are eligible to participate in the profit sharing portion of the Profit Sharing Plan. The Company's profit sharing contribution is allocated among participating employees, including the NEOs, in the proportion which each participant's compensation for the fiscal year bears to the total compensation for all participating employees for such year. Contributions to a participant's account vest after five years, and participants may receive distributions from their profit sharing accounts only upon retirement, termination of employment, disability or death.

The Company generally contributes annually to the Profit Sharing Plan an amount equal to the lesser of (a) 10% of the Company's net income before taxes, net of loan loss experiences or (b) a percentage as defined for defined contributions in Section 415(c) of the Internal Revenue Code ("IRC") of the total annual compensation of all Profit Sharing Plan participants. The Bank did not produce a profit for year 2008, as such there was no profit sharing contribution for year 2008.

Executive Retirement Benefits. There are currently two forms of retirement benefits provided to the NEOs. Two NEOs have Salary Continuation Agreements and two NEOs participate in the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP). Both of these retirement benefits help support the objective of maintaining a stable, committed, and qualified team of key executives.

Prior to 2006, all four NEOs were covered under Salary Continuation Agreements. However, in 2006, the Board changed the manner in which retirement benefits were delivered to NEOs. The Board's intent was to coordinate the various forms of retirement benefits provided to NEOs in order to enhance internal equity and to better target the overall level of retirement benefits provided. As part of this plan, the Board adopted a new SERP in December 2006 and offered each NEO the option to move from their Salary Continuation Plan into the new SERP. At that time Mr. Walker and Mr. Day elected to remain covered under their Salary Continuation Agreements, and Mr. Onsum and Ms. Walker elected to replace their previous Salary Continuation Agreements and enter into Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan Agreements.

The intent of the Board is that new NEOs will enter the SERP. In the Board's opinion, the integration of all forms of retirement benefits better balances the competing goals of controlling costs and attracting and retaining executive talent.

Description of Salary Continuation Agreement. Under the terms of the Salary Continuation Agreements, the Company will provide an annual benefit payable for 10 years at age 65 equal to \$100,000 for Mr. Walker and \$50,000 for Mr. Day. The Salary Continuation Agreements provide for reduced benefits in the case of early termination on or after reaching an early retirement threshold age (the later of the NEO's 55th birthday or the age at which the NEO has at least 10 years of service with the Company), or in the case of termination due to disability occurring at any age. Mr. Walker was the only NEO eligible for early termination benefits as of December 31, 2008. Benefits are also payable under the Salary Continuation Agreements if the officer's service with the Bank terminates at any age but within 24 months after a change in control. The change in control benefit is determined by vesting the NEO in the normal retirement age accrual balance using a discount rate equal to the 10-year US Treasury bill rate at the plan year ending immediately before the date on which the termination of employment occurs. In addition to this benefit, the NEO

receives benefits from his profit sharing plan.

Description of Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan. The new SERP impacts each NEO differently. The ultimate benefits provided by the new SERP are dependent on a number of factors outside direct NEO control including the level of future profit sharing contributions made by the Company, future 10-year Treasury rates, future salary increases and cash bonuses, retirement age, and other factors impacting social security retirement benefits.

Under the terms of the SERP for Mr. Onsum and Ms. Walker, the agreements are intended to provide the NEOs with a fixed annual benefit for 10 years plus 6 months for each full year of service over 10 years (limited to 180 months total), subsequent to retirement on or after the normal retirement age of 65. The agreements provide for reduced benefits in the case of early retirement (the later of the NEO's 55th birthday or the age at which the NEO has at least 10 years of service with the Company). The early commencement factor is 1.0 minus the product of 0.41667% multiplied by the number of full calendar months that early retirement precedes age 65. Benefits are also payable in the event of death, disability, or termination within 24 months following a change in control.

Mr. Onsum's SERP will provide him with an annual benefit upon normal retirement (age 65) equal to his final average compensation multiplied by a target percentage of 2.5% times the years of service, with a maximum target percentage of 50%. The Company will provide a similar benefit for Ms. Walker, with the exception that her target percentage is equal to 2.0% times her years of service, with a maximum percentage of 50%. For SERP participants, benefits are then reduced by the participant's social security and profit sharing benefits.

Benefit payments commence on the first day of the month after the month in which the participant's separation from service occurs. If at the time a participant's separation from service occurs, the participant is a specified employee within the meaning of IRC Section 409A, benefits for the first six months after separation from service will be delayed and paid on the first day of the seventh month after the month in which separation from service occurs. Should benefit payments be delayed due to the requirements of law or administration, the first payment will be the accumulated value of the delayed payments with interest to the payment date using the Treasury Rate, plus the payment due in that month.

Deferred Compensation Plan. Consistent with the desire to provide retirement and savings opportunities for its employees, the Company provides a deferred compensation plan for its NEOs called the "2001 Executive Deferral Plan." This plan provides a way to offset the effect of tax law limitations on benefits under tax-qualified plans. The plan is a nonqualified plan providing the NEOs with an unfunded, deferred compensation program. Under the plan, the NEOs may elect to defer a portion of their current compensation. Deferred amounts earn interest at an annual rate determined by the Bank's Compensation Committee and approved by the Board of Directors. In 2008, deferred amounts earned interest at 5.52%, representing 120% of the Federal Reserve ten-year Treasury weekly rate. In 2008, no executives elected to defer any compensation.

#### Other Compensation

The NEOs participate in the Company's broad-based employee benefit plans, such as medical, dental, disability and term life insurance programs. However, NEOs are not allowed to participate in the Company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

The Company has also purchased life insurance policies with respect to the NEOs, making a single premium payment in 2001 aggregating \$3.5 million, of which \$3.1 million is attributable to insurance purchased on the lives of the NEOs, and an additional single premium payment of \$500,000 was made in 2006. In addition to \$50,000 of life insurance from the Company's group term life insurance policy, split dollar life insurance benefits will provide following death benefit:

- Mr. Walker - Pays for death while actively employed and provides post-employment death benefit - \$800,000 if death occurs before age 65; \$400,000 if death occurs at 65 or older, but before age 75; and \$200,000 for death at age 75 or older.

On February 15, 2007, the Compensation Committee approved a change to the split dollar benefits for the NEOs in favor of death benefit only (DBO) plans, with the exception of Mr. Walker. The Committee determined the DBO agreements are preferable since taxes may only be due when benefits are actually paid and the Company receives a corresponding deduction for all benefits that are taxable. For additional details, see the Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment Table.

#### Pay Level and Benchmarking

The Company's compensation structure is designed to position an NEO's base salary at approximately the 50th percentile of competitive practice, and total compensation between the 50th and 75th percentiles. In 2007, the Compensation Committee worked with Amalfi Consulting to review total compensation levels for each of the NEOs. This review included salary, cash compensation (salary and annual cash incentives), direct compensation (cash compensation and all forms of equity compensation), and total compensation (direct compensation and all other forms of compensation).

The primary data source used in setting competitive market levels for the NEOs is the information publicly disclosed by banks in the Company's compensation peer group. The peer group shown below was created in 2007 and was used in coordination with the Company's compensation philosophy to help establish the 2008 compensation structure. These companies include banks of comparable size, performance, and geographic location. The table below lists each company in the peer group, along with the reported financial measures used in the peer selection process at the time the peers were chosen. The 2007 Peer Group was selected by the Compensation Committee based on information provided by Amalfi Consulting; a formal study was not conducted in 2008. In 2008, the Company reviewed executive compensation information from published industry surveys including: California Banker's Association and Western Management Group's Financial Institutions Compensation Survey. The Compensation Committee determined that given economic conditions, there would be no changes made to NEO salaries for 2009. This leaves the salary level for the Chief Executive Officer at \$282,480; and the NEOs salaries as follows: Ms. Walker-\$162,000; Mr. Day-\$156,000; and Mr. Walker-\$137,100.

Company Name	Ticker	City	State	Total		
				Assets 2006Y (\$000)	ROAA 2006Y (%)	ROAE 2006Y (%)
1Temecula Valley Bancorp Inc.	TMCV	Temecula	CA	1,238,189	1.64%	23.9%
2Sierra Bancorp	BSRR	Porterville	CA	1,215,074	1.70%	22.8%
3Horizon Financial Corp.	HRZB	Bellingham	WA	1,116,728	1.48%	14.3%
4Heritage Commerce Corp	HTBK	San Jose	CA	1,037,138	1.57%	14.6%
5PremierWest Bancorp	PRWT	Medford	OR	1,034,511	1.52%	13.3%
6Columbia Bancorp	CBBO	The Dalles	OR	1,033,188	1.79%	18.7%
7Bank of Marin Bancorp	BMRC	Novato	CA	876,578	1.38%	13.8%
8Alliance Bancshares California	ABNS	Culver City	CA	875,762	1.02%	26.3%
9San Joaquin Bancorp	SJQU	Bakersfield	CA	748,930	1.26%	19.5%
10Pacific Premier Bancorp, Inc.	PPBI	Costa Mesa	CA	730,874	1.07%	13.5%
11Bridge Capital Holdings	BBNK	San Jose	CA	721,979	1.42%	19.3%
12United Security Bancshares	UBFO	Fresno	CA	678,314	2.04%	21.0%
13American River Bankshares	AMRB	Rancho Cordova	CA	604,003	1.50%	14.5%
14Bank of Commerce Holdings	BOCH	Redding	CA	583,442	1.20%	15.6%
15FNB Bancorp	FNBG	S. San Francisco	CA	581,270	1.32%	12.9%
161st Centennial Bancorp	FCEN	Redlands	CA	551,127	1.49%	19.5%
17Community Valley Bancorp	CVLL	Chico	CA	550,037	1.38%	16.0%
18Heritage Oaks Bancorp	HEOP	Paso Robles	CA	541,774	1.32%	14.1%
19Community West Bancshares	CWBC	Goleta	CA	516,615	1.12%	11.9%
20First California Financial Group	FCAL	Los Angeles	CA	501,563	1.16%	13.8%
Average				786,855	1.42%	17.0%
25th Percentile				573,734	1.25%	13.8%
50th Percentile				726,427	1.40%	15.1%
75th Percentile				1,033,519	1.53%	19.5%
First Northern Community Banc.	FNRN	Dixon	CA	685,225	1.32%	14.9%
Percent Rank				43%	32%	49%

After consideration of the data collected on external competitive levels of compensation and internal relationships within the executive group, the Compensation Committee makes decisions regarding an individual NEOs target total compensation opportunities based on the need to attract, motivate and retain an experienced and effective management

team.

Although the Committee gains considerable knowledge about the competitiveness of the Company's compensation programs through the benchmarking process and by conducting periodic studies, the Committee recognizes that each financial institution is unique and that significant differences between institutions in regard to executive compensation practices exist.

27

---

#### Review of Prior Amounts Granted and Realized

The Company desires to motivate and reward executives to achieve superior future performance and consider prior gains (or losses) from stock compensation to determine future compensation arrangements that effectively and efficiently support retention and continued alignment with shareholder interests.

#### Timing of Equity Grants

The Company does not time the awards of equity grants to coincide with the release of material information or fluctuations in the Company's stock price. The Company does not grant "in-the-money" stock options or stock options with exercise prices below market value on the date of grant. All equity grants were made after formal Compensation Committee approval. Grants are typically made in January and all awards have an exercise price equal to the closing price of the Company stock on the grant date.

#### Adjustment or Recovery of Awards

We have not adopted a formal policy regarding recovery, or "clawback", of incentive awards in the event of misstated or restated financial results. Section 304 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act provides the ability to recover incentive awards if the Company is required to restate its financial statements due to noncompliance with any financial reporting requirements as a result of misconduct. In that case, the CEO and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") must reimburse the Company for (1) any bonus or other incentive- or equity-based compensation received during the 12 months following the first public issuance of the non-complying document, and (2) any profits realized from the sale of our securities during those 12 months.

Under EESA we are required to adopt a clawback policy to recover any bonus, retention award or incentive compensation paid to our named executive officers which is based on materially inaccurate financial statements or other criteria. Although we have not yet adopted such a policy, we intend to do so within the applicable deadline.

#### Employment Agreements and Change-In-Control Payments

The Company entered into a separate Employment Agreement with each of the NEOs. Agreements were entered into on July 23, 2001, with Messrs. Onsum and Walker and Ms. Walker, and on May 15, 2006, with Mr. Day. The agreements have initial three-year terms and renew automatically for consecutive three-year terms unless the executive or the Bank notifies the other in writing at least six months before the end of the then current term. The agreements are largely identical, except for base salaries and potential termination payments.

During 2008 the Compensation Committee conducted a review of the Executive Employment Agreements to ensure they were compliant with 409(a) regulations which were generally effective January 1, 2009. In 2004 Congress enacted Section 409(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, which sets forth a new tax regime for deferred compensation. Section 409(a) can apply to a wide variety of arrangements with deferred compensation components, including executive employment and severance agreements, as well as traditional deferred compensation plans.

Under our current plans if an executive's employment is terminated due to death, disability, voluntary termination, or termination for cause, the executive is entitled to his or her base salary through the date of termination, any incentive compensation earned but not yet paid, reimbursement of expenses incurred but not yet reimbursed, and whatever rights are specified in the Stock Option Agreements, Salary Continuation Agreements, and Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan Agreements, as discussed elsewhere in this Proxy Statement.





If an executive's employment is terminated within two years following a change in control, the Company will pay the executive 150% percent of annual base salary as is in effect on the date the Term of Employment ends; and the average of the annual bonuses awarded to the Executive by the Bank for the three most recent consecutive years prior to the date the Term of Employment ends plus any expenses incurred but not yet reimbursed. Each executive will also be entitled to continuation coverage (as provided by the plans in accordance with the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1986 and California's Cal-COBRA provisions) under the group insurance plans maintained by the Bank, including life, disability and health insurance programs, for up to thirty-six (36) months, subject to the terms, conditions and limitations set forth in such plans. During the first eighteen (18) months of continuation coverage, the Bank shall pay the same portion of group insurance premiums for the Executive's continued coverage as is paid for other executives who are current employees. If the Executive becomes eligible for comparable group insurance coverage in connection with new employment, the Bank shall no longer be responsible for the cost of continuation coverage; coverage may be continued at the Executive's own expense. The change in control payments to the NEOs also provide for payment of a tax gross-up benefit if any of these four officers' benefits are subject to excise taxes under IRC Sections 280G and 4999.

If an executive's employment is terminated for good reason (as defined on page 40 of this Proxy Statement), and a change in control had not occurred in the past two years, the Company will pay the executive a benefit similar to the change in control benefit described above, with the exception of the compensation multiple and time period of welfare benefit coverage. Following termination for good reason, Mr. Onsum will still be entitled to a benefit equal to 150% of his base salary and average three-year bonus, and Messrs. Walker and Day and Ms. Walker will be entitled to 100% of their base salary and average three-year bonus. Each executive will also be entitled to continuation coverage (as provided by the plans in accordance with the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1986 and California's Cal-COBRA provisions) under the group insurance plans maintained by the Bank, including life, disability and health insurance programs, for up to thirty-six (36) months, subject to the terms, conditions and limitations set forth in such plans. During the first eighteen (18) months of continuation coverage, the Bank shall pay the same portion of group insurance premiums for the Executive's continued coverage as is paid for other executives who are current employees. If the Executive becomes eligible for comparable group insurance coverage in connection with new employment, the Bank shall no longer be responsible for the cost of continuation coverage; coverage may be continued at the Executive's own expense.

#### Tax and Accounting Considerations

The Company takes into account tax and accounting implications in the design of its compensation programs. For example, in the selection of long-term incentive instruments, the Compensation Committee reviews the projected expense amounts and expense timing associated with alternative types of awards. Under FAS 123R, the Company must expense the grant-date fair value of share-based grants such as restricted stock, performance shares, and SARs settled in stock. The grant-date value is amortized and expensed over the service period or vesting period of the grant. In contrast, awards that are not share-based (e.g., phantom stock) are expensed based on a value that may fluctuate widely over the vesting period and is not fixed at grant date. In selecting appropriate incentive devices, the Compensation Committee reviews extensive modeling analyses and considers the related tax and accounting issues.

Section 162(m) of the IRC provides that public companies cannot deduct non-performance based compensation paid to certain NEOs in excess of \$1 million per year. These NEOs include any employee who, as of the close of the taxable year, is the principal executive officer, and any employee whose total compensation for the taxable year is required to be reported to shareholders under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by reason of such employee being among the three highest compensated officers for that taxable year, other than the principal executive officer or the principal financial officer. All of the compensation the Company paid in 2008 to the NEOs is expected to be deductible under Section 162(m). The Committee retains the flexibility, however, to pay non-deductible compensation if it believes doing so is in the best interests of the Company.

As a CPP participant, we are subject to additional restrictions on the deductibility of executive compensation as set forth in EESA. Please refer to “Executive Compensation Implications of EESA” above.

#### Compensation Committee Report

In performing its oversight role, the Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis (“CD&A”) with executive management. Based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the CD&A be included in its 2009 Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference in its 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The Compensation Committee intends to conduct the review of CEO compensation required by Section 111 of EESA and the regulations issued by the Treasury within 90 days of March 13, 2009.

Respectfully submitted,

Compensation Committee

Lori J. Aldrete (Chair)  
Gregory DuPratt  
Diane P. Hamlyn  
Foy S. McNaughton  
Frank J. Andrews Jr.

## Executive Compensation

The following table sets forth, for the years ended December 31, 2008, December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, a summary of the compensation earned by the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and the Company's two other executive officers who earned over \$100,000 in total compensation in 2008.

2008 Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)(1)	Stock Awards (\$)(2)(4)	Option Awards (\$)(3)(4)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(5)	Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)(6)	All Other Compensation (\$)(7)	Total (\$)
Owen J. Onsum	2008	282,480	15,746	57,259	0	227,768	0	583,253
President, Chief Executive Officer and Director of the Bank and Company	2007	271,560	0	76,634	0	220,069	27,777	596,040
	2006	261,120	0	120,495	242,401	244,738	35,273	904,027
Louise A. Walker	2008	162,000	4,287	47,646	0	0	0	213,933
Senior Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer of the Bank and Company	2007	157,800	0	53,731	0	149	27,777	239,457
	2006	150,000	0	51,404	74,302	195	35,273	311,174
Patrick S. Day	2008	156,000	3,586	27,727	0	35,642	0	222,955
Executive Vice President, Chief Credit Officer of the Bank (8)	2007	150,000	0	24,367	0	33,768	23,694	231,829
	2006	84,630	0	7,805	41,921	18,147	-	152,503

Robert M. Walker	2008	137,100	2,869	32,151	0	44,543	0	216,663
Executive	2007	130,985	0	38,504	0	45,815	23,876	239,180
Vice President, Commercial/ Retail/ Trust Division of the Bank	2006	126,000	0	35,803	62,414	40,395	29,933	294,578

1. Includes amounts contributed to the Company's Profit Sharing/401(k) Plan at the election of the named executive officers.

2. The amounts indicated represent the aggregate dollar amount of compensation expense related to restricted stock awards granted to each of the named executive officers in 2008 that was recognized by the Company during 2008. The expense was recognized in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 123 (revised 2004) (SFAS 123(R)), "Share-Based Payment." For awards granted in 2008, see the "Grants of Plan-Based Awards" table below. The February 15, 2008, stock awards granted have been adjusted to reflect 6% stock dividend issued by the Company on February 29, 2008. February 15, 2008, restricted stock awards for the four named executive officers who received them were: Owen Onsum – 4,664 shares, Louise Walker – 1,272 shares, Patrick Day – 1,060 shares, Robert Walker – 848 shares. The expense associated with these awards in 2008 was \$15,746, \$4,287, \$3,586 and 2,869, respectively.

The amounts indicated represent the aggregate dollar amount of compensation expense related to stock options 3. awards to each of the named executive officers that was recognized by the Company during 2008. The determination of this stock option expense is based on the methodology set forth in Note 13 to the Financial Statements of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, which was filed with the SEC on March 13, 2009.

Pursuant to the Company's 2000 Employee Stock Option Plan, incentive and non-qualified stock options were granted on January 20, 2004 the shares are fully vested after four years. The compensation costs recognized in 2008 on this grant represents a portion of the values in this column, before reflecting forfeitures for fiscal year 2008, as described in FAS 123R. The January 20, 2004, stock options were granted at an exercise price of \$9.81, which has been adjusted to reflect 6% stock dividends issued by the Company on February 28, 2004, February 28, 2005, February 28, 2006, February 28, 2007, and February 29, 2008 and the effect of the two-for-one stock split effective May 10, 2005. The stock options were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model which uses the following assumptions: expected volatility of 23.80%, risk-free interest rate of 3.76%, expected life of 6 years, and expected dividend of 0%. The resulting Black-Scholes grant value for the 2004 stock option awards is \$3.33 per share. January 20, 2004, stock option awards for the three named executive officers who received them were: Owen Onsum – 40,137 stock options, Louise Walker -17,394 stock options, Robert Walker – 12,040 stock options. The stock option expense associated with these options in 2008 was \$429, \$749 and \$519, respectively.

Pursuant to the Company's 2000 Employee Stock Option Plan, incentive and non-qualified stock options were granted on January 6, 2005; the shares are fully vested after four years. The compensation costs recognized in 2008 on this grant represents a portion of the values in this column, before reflecting forfeitures for fiscal year 2008, as described in FAS 123R. The January 6, 2005, stock options were granted at an exercise price of \$11.47, which has been adjusted to reflect 6% stock dividends issued by the Company on February 28, 2005, February 28, 2006, February 28, 2007, and February 29, 2008 and the effect of the two-for-one stock split effective May 10, 2005. The stock options were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model which uses the following assumptions: expected volatility of 23.04%, risk-free interest rate of 3.73%, expected life of 6 years, and expected dividend of 0%. The resulting Black-Scholes grant value for the 2005 stock option awards is \$3.81 per share. January 6, 2005, stock option awards for the three named executive officers who received them were: Owen Onsum – 37,863 stock options, Louise Walker -16,409 stock options, Robert Walker – 11,360 stock options. The stock option expense associated with these options in 2008 was \$8,705, \$14,758 and \$10,215, respectively.

Pursuant to the Company's 2000 Employee Stock Option Plan, incentive and nonqualified stock options were granted on January 30, 2006; the shares are fully vested after four years. The compensation costs recognized in 2008 on this grant represents a portion of the values in this column, before reflecting forfeitures for fiscal year 2008, as described in FAS 123R. The January 30, 2006, stock options were granted at an exercise price of \$21.83, which has been adjusted to reflect 6% stock dividends issued by the Company on February 28, 2006, February 28, 2007 and February 29, 2008. The stock options granted to Mr. Onsum were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model which uses the following assumptions: expected volatility of 26.11%, risk-free interest rate of 4.58%, expected life of 4.67 years, and expected dividend of 0%. The resulting Black-Scholes grant value for the 2006 stock option awards is \$6.52 per share. The stock options granted to Ms. Walker and Mr. Walker were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model which uses the following assumptions: expected volatility of 26.39%, risk-free interest rate of 4.59%, expected life of 4.67 years and expected dividend of 0%. The resulting Black-Scholes grant value for the 2007 stock option awards is \$7.50 per share. January 30, 2006, stock option awards for the three named executive officers who received them

were: Owen Onsum – 17,863 stock options, Louise Walker -7,739 stock options, Robert Walker – 5,357 stock options. The stock option expense associated with these options in 2008 was \$27,477, \$13,557 and \$9,484, respectively.

Our Chief Credit Officer, Patrick Day, was hired on June 1, 2006, and pursuant to the Company's 2000 Employee Stock Option Plan, incentive and nonqualified stock options were granted on June 1, 2006; the shares are fully vested after four years. The compensation costs recognized in 2008 on this grant represents the value in this column, before reflecting forfeitures for fiscal year 2008, as described in FAS 123R. The June 1, 2006, stock options were granted at an exercise price of \$24.48, which has been adjusted to reflect 6% stock dividends issued by the Company on February 28, 2007 and February 29, 2008. The stock options were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model which uses the following assumptions: expected volatility of 26.53%, risk-free interest rate of 4.57%, expected life of 4.67 years, and expected dividend of 0%. The resulting Black-Scholes grant value for the June 1, 2006, stock option award is \$8.41 per share. The June 1, 2006, stock option award for Mr. Day was 6,741 stock options. The stock option expense associated with this option in 2008 was \$13,399.

Pursuant to the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, incentive and nonqualified stock options were granted on January 3, 2007, the shares are fully vested after four years. The compensation costs recognized in 2008 on this grant represents a portion of the values in this column, before reflecting forfeitures for fiscal year 2008 as described in FAS 123R. The January 3, 2007, stock options were granted at an exercise price of \$20.25, which has been adjusted to reflect 6% stock dividends issued by the Company on February 28, 2007 and February 29, 2008. The stock options granted to Mr. Onsum were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model which uses the following assumptions: expected volatility of 26.21%, risk-free interest rate of 4.66%, expected life of 3 years, and expected dividend of 0%. The resulting Black-Scholes grant value for the 2007 stock option awards is \$5.12 per share. The stock options granted to Ms. Walker, Mr. Day and Mr. Walker were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model which uses the following assumptions: expected volatility of 26.39%, risk-free interest rate of 4.57%, expected life of 4.67 years and expected dividend of 0%. The resulting Black-Scholes grant value for the 2007 stock option awards is \$7.00. January 3, 2007, stock option awards for the four named executive officers who received them were: Owen Onsum – 16,853 stock options, Louise Walker – 7,864 stock options, Patrick Day – 6,741 stock options and Robert Walker – 5,617 stock options. The stock option expense associated with these options in 2008 was \$20,647, \$12,980, \$11,126 and \$9,271 respectively.

Pursuant to the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, incentive and nonqualified stock options were granted on February 15, 2008, the shares are fully vested after four years. The compensation costs recognized in 2008 on this grant represents a portion of the values in this column, before reflecting forfeitures for fiscal year 2008 as described in FAS 123R. The February 15, 2008, stock options were granted at an exercise price of \$15.38, which has been adjusted to reflect 6% stock dividends issued by the Company on February 29, 2008. The stock options granted to Ms. Walker, Mr. Day and Mr. Walker were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model which uses the following assumptions: expected volatility of 27.92%, risk-free interest rate of 2.76%, expected life of 5.0 years and expected dividend of 0%. The resulting Black-Scholes grant value for the 2008 stock option awards is \$4.88. January 3, 2007, stock option awards for the three named executive officers who received them were: Louise Walker – 3,709 stock options, Patrick Day – 3,180 stock options and Robert Walker – 2,649 stock options. The stock option expense associated with these options in 2008 was \$5,602, \$3,198 and \$2,662 respectively.



4. Stock options and stock awards are expensed over four years beginning on the date of the grant and thereafter, annually at a rate of 25% per year.

5. Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation consists of payments under the Incentive Compensation Plan. No incentive compensation was paid for Plan year 2008 in 2009.

The amounts in this column reflect the actuarial increase in the present value of the named executive officer's benefits under all pension plans established by the Company determined using interest rate and mortality rate assumptions consistent with those used in the Company's financial statements and includes amounts which the named executive officer may not be entitled to receive because such amounts are not vested.

7. The aggregate amount of perquisites and other personal benefits or property in 2008 did not exceed \$10,000 for any named executive officer.

8. Mr. Day was hired as Executive Vice President and Chief Credit Officer effective June 1, 2006.

2008 Grants of Plan-Based Awards

Name	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)		
	Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)
Owen J. Onsum	101,835	203,670	305,505
Louise A. Walker	31,560	63,120	94,680
Patrick S. Day	30,000	60,000	90,000
Robert M. Walker	26,197	52,394	78,591

1. The non-equity incentive plan compensation is calculated for every 2% variance from targeted performance, the incentive payout changes by 5%, e.g. a 6% variance from target would result in a 15% change in payout. Payouts are capped at achievement of 120% of target performance which results in a payout cap of 150% of targeted payout; and there is no payout when performance is less than 80% of target performance. For example, Mr. Onsum is eligible to receive 75% of his annual salary at 100% of target performance. If performance was at 115% of target performance, then he would be eligible for 137.5% of 75% or 103.125% of his 2008 salary. Ms. Walker, Mr. Day and Mr. Walker were eligible to receive 40% of salary at 100% of target performance. Actual payouts for these awards are set forth in the Summary Compensation Table and further described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

## 2008 GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS - CONTINUED

Name	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Under- lying Options (#) (3)	Grant Date	Award Date Approval (3)	Exercise or Grant Date Base Price of Option Awards (\$ / S h ) (1)	F a i r Value (\$) (1)	rFully Vested (2)	All Other Stock Awards: Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Plan Incentive Awards (\$)(4)	All Other Awards: Date Fair value (\$)(5)	Stock Grant
Owen J. Onsum	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4,664	71,720	
Louise A. Walker	3,709	2-15-08	12-06-07	15.38	17,080	2-15-12	1,272	19,560	
Patrick S. Day	3,180	2-15-08	12-06-07	15.38	14,640	2-15-12	1,060	16,300	
Robert M. Walker	2,649	2-15-08	12-06-07	15.38	12,200	2-15-12	848	13,040	

The exercise price of each option is the estimated fair market value of Company common stock on the grant date.

1. The fair market value of Company common stock is the closing price on the first trading day immediately preceding the date on which the fair market value is determined as quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board.
2. Stock options are vested over four years beginning on the anniversary date of the grant and thereafter, annually at a rate of 25% per year.
3. Typically Grants are approved by the Board of Directors at year end for issuance in the next year.
4. Represents the number of shares covered by time-based restricted stock awards that vest in their entirety on the fourth anniversary of the grant date. The grant date was February 15, 2008.
5. Awards are valued at the closing price on the date of grant, February 15, 2008, of \$15.38, which has been adjusted to reflect a 6% stock dividend issued by the Company on February 29, 2008.

## Narrative to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

## Named Executive Officers

The Summary Compensation Table, Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table and the tables which follow provide compensation information for Mr. Onsum as the President and Chief Executive Officer, Ms. Walker as the Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, and Messrs. Day and Walker as the two other executive officers of the Company, other than the President and Chief Executive Officer and Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, who received more than \$100,000 in total compensation during 2008.

## Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation

The Company paid no annual bonuses under the Incentive Compensation Plan in 2008. These bonuses would have been reported in the "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" column of the Summary Compensation Table at their actual payout value. The threshold, target and maximum potential bonus payouts at the time the Management

Committee established performance targets for the Incentive Compensation Plan are reported under the “Estimated Future Payouts under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards” columns of the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table.

However, at the time this proxy statement was prepared, the actual payouts had been determined and the amounts reflected in the Summary Compensation Table are final.

#### Stock Option and restricted stock Awards

In 2008, three named executive officers received grants of stock options and restricted stock awards under the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan. All stock options awarded to named executive officers during 2008 vest 25% at the end of the first year and 25% on each of the three years thereafter. All restricted stock awards to named executive officers during 2008 fully vest after four years. The amounts, if any, actually realized by the named executive officers will vary depending on the vesting of the award and the price of the Company's common stock in relation to the exercise price at the time of exercise.

The numbers of stock options and restricted stock and exercise prices with respect to 2008 awards are set forth in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table. Detail regarding the number of options and restricted stock held by each named executive officer at year-end is set forth in the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table. Detail regarding option exercises for each named executive officer during 2008 is set forth in the Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table following this narrative.

The 2000 Stock Option Plan terminated in February 2007. At the Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on April 27, 2006, the Company's shareholders approved the First Northern Community Bancorp 2006 Stock Incentive Plan. Effective as of February 2007, the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan has replaced the 2000 Stock Option Plan. All option grants and restricted stock awards to named executive officers in 2008 were made under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan.

#### Change in Pension Value

The Company and the Bank do not have a defined benefit pension plan providing benefits based on final compensation and years of service. However, the Bank has entered into a Salary Continuation Agreement or Supplemental Employee Retirement Plan Agreement with each of the named executive officers. The year-over-year increase in the actuarial present value of these retirement benefits is included in the amounts reported in the "Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings" column of the Summary Compensation Table. Additional detail regarding these benefits for each named executive officer is set forth below in the Pension Benefits Table and accompanying narrative.

#### Employment Agreements

In July 2001, the Bank entered into employment agreements with Messrs. Onsum and Walker, and with Ms. Walker. Except for base salaries and potential termination payments, the employment agreements are largely identical. The 2001 agreements have three-year terms which expired on December 31, 2003, but the agreements renew automatically for consecutive three-year terms unless the executive officer or the Bank gives advance notice that the agreement will not renew. None of the named executive officers or the Bank gave advance notice that the employment agreements would not be renewed and the terms of such employment agreements were extended to December 31, 2009, on December 31, 2006. The annual base salaries stated in the employment agreements are \$205,020 for Mr. Onsum, \$109,500 for Ms. Walker, and \$103,260 for Mr. Walker. The named executive officers' base annual salaries have been adjusted on an annual basis since 2001, and may continue to be adjusted at the beginning of each year based on the named executive officer's performance in the preceding year, as determined by the Board of Directors in Mr. Onsum's case or by Mr. Onsum in the case of the other executive officers. The executives' annual base salaries for 2008 are set forth above in the Summary Compensation Table.



In May 2006, the Bank entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Day. Except for base salaries and potential termination payments, the employment agreement is largely identical to those of the three other executive officers named above. The agreement has a three-year term which expires on May 15, 2009, but the agreement renews automatically for consecutive three-year terms unless Mr. Day or the Bank gives advance notice that the agreement will not renew. The annual base salary stated in the employment agreement is \$145,000.

## 2008 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares That Have Not Vested (#) (5)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares That Have Not Vested (#) (6)
Owen J. Onsum	40,137	-	9.81	01/20/14	4,664	27,984
	28,400	9,463 (1)	11.47	01/06/15		
	8,931	8,932 (2)	21.83	01/30/16		
	4,213	12,640 (3)	20.25	01/03/17		
Louise A. Walker	27,023	-	4.03	01/03/10	1,272	7,632
	38,243	-	5.33	01/02/11		
	27,060	-	8.65	01/02/12		
	19,855	-	8.29	01/08/13		
	17,394	-	9.81	01/20/14		
	12,305	7,741 (1)	11.47	01/06/15		
	3,869	3,870 (2)	21.83	01/30/16		
	1,966	5,898 (3)	20.25	01/03/17		
	0	3,709 (4)	15.38	02/15/18		
Patrick S. Day	3,370	3,371(2)	24.48		1,060	6,360
	1,685	5,056 (3)	20.25	06/01/16		
	0	3,180 (4)	15.38	01/03/17		
				02/15/18		
Robert M. Walker	5,316	-	4.03	01/03/10	848	5,088
	24,056	-	5.33	01/02/01		
	13,085	-	8.65	01/02/12		
	11,347	-	8.29	01/08/13		
	12,040	-	9.81	01/20/14		
	8,519	2,841 (1)	11.47	01/06/15		
	2,679	2,678 (2)	21.83	01/30/16		

1,404	4,213 (3)	20.25	01/03/17
-	2,649 (4)	15.38	02/15/18

1. All remaining unexercisable options will vest and become exercisable on January 6, 2010.
2. Remaining unexercisable options will vest and become exercisable in two equal installments on January 30, 2010, and January 30, 2011.
3. Remaining unexercisable options will vest and become exercisable in three equal installments on January 3, 2010, January 3, 2011, and January 3, 2012.
4. These options will vest and become exercisable in four equal installments on February 15 2009, February 15, 2010, February 15, 2011, and February 15, 2012.
5. These awards represent time based restricted stock awards that vest in their entirety on the fourth anniversary of grant date. These awards were granted on February 15, 2008.

6. The fair value was determined using the closing price of First Northern Community Bancorp stock on December 31, 2008. The closing stock price on that date was \$6.00.

## 2008 Option Exercises and Stock Vested

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized On Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized On Vesting (\$)
Owen J. Onsum	-	-	-	-
Louise A. Walker	-	-	-	-
Patrick S. Day	-	-	-	-
Robert M. Walker	-	-	-	-

## 2008 Pension Benefits

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)(1)
Owen J. Onsum	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	37.00	1,110,860
Louise A. Walker	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	29.24	0
Patrick S. Day	Salary Continuation Plan	2.58	87,557
Robert M. Walker	Salary Continuation Plan	25.65	263,844

- The assumptions used to calculate the present value of accumulated benefits are the same as those used under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 87 "Employers' Accounting for Pensions," as of December 31, 2008, assuming that all named executive officers continued to work until their normal retirement age, or their current age, if later. The present value of accrued benefits as of December 31, 2008, is calculated assuming the executive commences his or her accrued benefit earned through December 31, 2008, at normal retirement age, or his or her current age, if earlier. For the December 31, 2008 calculation, the discount rate assumption was 5.60% and each executive is assumed to survive until all scheduled payments have been received.

## Salary Continuation Agreements and Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan Agreements

The Company does not have a qualified defined benefit pension plan providing benefits based on final compensation and years of service. The Company has entered into Salary Continuation Agreements and Supplemental Executive



Retirement Plan Agreements (“SERP”) with each of the named executive officers. There are two different plans. The four named executive officers had only Salary Continuation Agreements since 2002. In 2006, the Board changed the manner in which retirement benefits are provided to executive officers. The Board’s intent was to coordinate the various forms of retirement benefits provided to executives in order to enhance internal equity and to better target the overall level of retirement benefits provided. The result was the adoption of a new SERP that provides a total benefit of 50% of average compensation from three sources: social security retirement benefits, the profit sharing plan, and the new SERP.

The Salary Continuation Agreements included a provision limiting changes to the agreement without executive written consent. In order to comply with this provision, the Board provided each executive officer the option to move from their Salary Continuation Plan into the new SERP. Mr. Onsum and Ms. Walker moved into the new SERP plan. Mr. Day and Mr. Walker decided to keep their Salary Continuation Agreements.

The Salary Continuation Agreements are intended to provide the officers with a fixed annual benefit for 10 years subsequent to retirement on or after the normal retirement age of 65.

The Salary Continuation Agreements provide for reduced benefits at early retirement age, which is the later of age 55 or the age at which the executive will have had 10 years of service. Mr. Walker was the only executive officer eligible for early termination benefits at December 31, 2008.

The Salary Continuation Agreements also provide for payments prior to normal retirement or early retirement in certain cases, including disability and termination following a change in control. These payments and circumstances, as well as payments under the agreements upon retirement, death and termination, are described below under the caption "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control."

The new SERP was adopted in 2006. Mr. Onsum and Ms. Walker participate in the plan.

The plan benefit is calculated using 3-year average salary plus 7-year average bonus (average compensation). For each year of service the benefit formula credits 2% of average compensation (2.5% for the Chief Executive Officer) up to a maximum of 50%. Therefore, for an executive serving 25 years (20 for the Chief Executive Officer), the target benefit is 50% of average compensation.

The target benefit is reduced for other forms of retirement income. Reductions are made for 50% of the social security benefit expected at age 65 and for the accumulated value of contributions the Bank makes to the executive's profit sharing plan. For purposes of this reduction, contributions to the profit sharing plan are accumulated each year at a 3-year average of the yields on 10-year treasury securities. Retirement benefits are paid monthly for 120 months plus 6 months for each full year of service over 10 years, up to a maximum of 180 months.

The agreements provide for reduced benefits in the case of early retirement (the later of the executive officer's 55th birthday or the age at which the executive officer has at least 10 years of service with the Company). The early commencement factor is 1.0 minus the product of 0.41667% multiplied by the number of full calendar months that early retirement precedes age 65. Benefits are also payable in the event of death, disability, or termination within 24 months following a change in control.

Eligibility to participate in the Plan is limited to a select group of management or highly compensated employees of the Bank that are designated by the Board.

The following table sets forth contributions, earnings and year-end balance for 2008 with respect to nonqualified deferred compensation plans for named executive officers.

2008 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

Name	Executive Contributions in last FY (\$ (1))	Aggregate Earnings in last FY (\$) (3)	Aggregate Balance at last FYE (\$) (1) (2)
Owen J. Onsum	--	41,765	777,368
Louise A. Walker	--	4,705	87,565

Robert M. Walker	--	12,031	223,927
------------------	----	--------	---------

Named executive officers may elect to defer a portion of their annual salary and bonus (non-equity incentive plan compensation). There were no contributions made in 2008. The aggregate account balances include past deferrals which have also been reported as compensation in the Summary Compensation Tables of proxy statements for the years in which such compensation was deferred, together with accrued earnings on such account balances.

Upon termination of employment, the named executive officer would receive the aggregate account balance which may be paid in a lump sum, or in installments over a period of 60, 120, or 180 months (based on the reason for termination).

3. The deferred compensation plan does not offer any above-market or preferential interest rates.

#### Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change In Control

The estimated payouts under a variety of termination scenarios for the named executive officers are shown below. For all termination scenarios, the figures reflect unvested long-term equity-based incentive compensation awards as of December 31, 2008, using that date's closing stock price (\$6.00).

**Voluntary.** The Company has employment contracts with its named executive officers that require the executive to give at least three (3) months written notice of desire to terminate employment. If employment is terminated, the executive will receive base salary through the date the term of employment ends and any incentive compensation earned but not yet paid. The named executive officer's termination would not result in enhanced retirement benefits beyond the benefits described in the Pension Benefits table and Salary Continuation Agreements and Supplemental Retirement Plan Agreements. Eligibility for other payments would be determined in a manner consistent with all officers of the Company.

**Involuntary With Cause.** The Company currently has employment contracts with its named executive officers that would require base salary through the date the term of employment ends and any incentive compensation earned but not yet paid. The named executive officer's termination would not result in enhanced retirement benefits beyond the benefits described in the Pension Benefits table and Salary Continuation Agreements and Supplemental Retirement Plan Agreements. Eligibility for other payments would be determined in a manner consistent with all officers of the Company.

**Death and Disability.** The Company has employment contracts with its named executive officers that would require base salary through the date the term of employment ends and any incentive compensation earned but not yet paid. The named executive officer's termination would not result in enhanced retirement benefits beyond the benefits described in the Pension Benefits table and Salary Continuation Agreements and Supplemental Retirement Plan Agreements. Eligibility for other payouts would be determined in a manner consistent with all other officers of the Company.

**Involuntary or Good Reason Termination.** If the Company terminates employment or the Executive terminates employment for "good reason", and a change in control had not occurred in the past two years, the Company has employment contracts with its named executive officers that would require 100% (150% for Chief Executive Officer) base salary and the average of the annual incentive compensation awarded to the executive for the three most recent consecutive years, any incentive compensation earned but not yet paid, and upon commencing termination of employment 12 months (18 months for Chief Executive Officer) of participation in group insurance plan benefits. The named executive officer's termination would not result in enhanced retirement benefits beyond the benefits described in the Pension Benefits table and Salary Continuation Agreements and Supplemental Retirement Plan Agreements. Good reason is defined as (a) a material reduction in the executive's compensation, (b) a material

reduction in the executive's title or responsibilities, (c) a relocation of the executive's principal office so that the executive's one-way commute distance from the executive's residence is increased by more than 40 miles, or (d) failure of the Bank's successor to assume and perform under the employment agreement.

Change in Control. As described in “Employment Agreements and Change-in-Control Payments” in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, the Company has implemented a number of agreements with all four of the named executive officers, providing for the payment of benefits upon termination following a change in control (a “triggering event”). If within two years following a change of control, the executive’s employment is terminated, the executive will receive 200% (250% for Chief Executive Officer) of the executive’s annual base salary and the average of the annual incentive compensation awarded to the executive by the Bank for the most recent three consecutive years prior to the date the term of employment ends.

Upon a change in control, under the 2000 Stock Option Plan, outstanding equity awards (stock options, stock appreciation rights, and restricted stock) will vest immediately. This is true for all equity award recipients, not just for the Company’s named executive officers.

During the 18-month (24-month for Chief Executive Officer) period commencing on the date the executive’s term of employment ends, the executive is entitled to continue to receive medical, dental and life and disability insurance benefits (which are reflected in the Other Benefits and Tax Gross-Ups category below) upon a triggering event. The named executive officer’s termination would not result in enhanced retirement benefits, beyond the benefits described in the Pension Benefits table and Salary Continuation Agreements and Supplemental Retirement Plan Agreements. In the event that the change-in-control benefits subject the named executive officer to excise tax on excess parachute payments as outlined under IRC Sections 280G and 4999, the Company will make a tax gross-up payment to reimburse the named executive officer for the excise tax and associated income taxes.

CPP Limitations. In connection with our CPP financing, each of our named executive officers entered into a waiver and consent under which each agreed that the aggregate value of the involuntary separation payments and benefits that he or she could receive would be reduced as required by the terms of the EESA or the CPP rules. Payments to the named executive officers were limited to 2.99 times the individual’s average annual taxable compensation for the five-year period preceding the year in which the terminations occur. The executive compensation standards to be established by the Secretary of the Treasury pursuant to ARRA may further limit, or even eliminate, payments upon termination.

## Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment

The table below contains the total potential payments for each termination scenario under the employment agreements with the Company's named executive officers as of December 31, 2008.

Named Executive Officer Current	Pension Benefit Value (\$) (1)	Multiple of Acceleration Base Salary & Incentive & (\$) (2)	of Stock Options (\$) (3)	Other Benefits & of Tax Gross-ups (\$) (4)	Net Impact Termination Payments (\$)
Owen J. Onsum					
· Voluntary Retirement	1,155,693				1,155,693
· Disability	1,155,693				1,155,693
· Death	1,606,120				1,606,120
· Voluntary Termination	1,155,693	-			1,155,693
· Involuntary or Good Reason Termination	1,155,693	639,972			1,795,664
· Involuntary Termination for cause Termination following Change in Control (within 2 years)	1,309,764	1,066,619	0	9,647	2,386,029
Louise A. Walker					
· Voluntary Retirement					-
· Disability	49,295				49,295
· Death	1,284,798				1,284,798
· Voluntary Termination		-			-
· Involuntary or Good Reason Termination		206,199			206,199
· Involuntary Termination for cause Termination following Change in Control (within 2 years)	359,819	412,397	0	339,393	1,111,609
Robert M. Walker					
· Voluntary Retirement	174,991				174,991
· Disability	174,991				174,991
· Death	800,000				800,000
· Voluntary Termination	174,991				174,991
· Involuntary or Good Reason Termination	174,991	174,201			349,192
· Involuntary Termination for cause Termination following Change in Control (within 2 years)	524,404	348,401	0	314,341	1,187,146
Patrick S. Day					
· Voluntary Retirement					-
· Disability	75,726				75,726

· Death	750,000			750,000	
· Voluntary Termination				-	
· Involuntary or Good Reason Termination	156,000			156,000	
· Involuntary Termination for cause				-	
· Involuntary or Good Reason Termination following Change in Control (within 2 years)	275,275	312,000	-	252,725	840,000

This column represents the present value of pension benefits assuming the termination event had occurred on 1. December 31, 2008. First Northern Bank has purchased bank-owned life insurance (“BOLI”) on the life of each named executive. Had any of the named executives died on December 31, 2008, the death benefit received by First Northern Bank would have been sufficient to cover the after-tax present value of pension benefits shown.

2. This column represents the multiple of base salary paid. If within two years following a change of control, the executive would receive 200% (250% for the CEO) of annual base salary and the average of the annual bonuses awarded to the executive for the most recent three consecutive years prior to the date the term of employment ends. If employment is terminated and it is not within two years following a change of control, the executive will receive 100% (150% for the CEO) of the sum of executive’s annual base salary and the average of the annual bonuses awarded to the executive for the three most recent consecutive years prior to the date the term of employment ends.



3. The unvested stock options were valued at \$6.00 using December 31, 2008, closing stock price.

The NEOs are not receiving any enhanced payments regarding their Other Benefits as a result of the termination  
4. trigger. The amounts related to Other Benefits include health and disability continuation benefit, outside employment assistance and tax gross-ups under applicable employment agreements.

## Proposal 2

### Approval of a Non-binding Advisory Proposal on the Compensation of our Named Executive Officers

EESA, which was recently amended by the 2009 Recovery Act, requires all recipients of financial assistance under the CPP to permit their shareholders to cast a non-binding advisory vote on executive compensation during the period in which financial obligations provided under the CPP remain outstanding. This proposal is commonly known as a “Say on Pay” proposal. Accordingly, as a current CPP participant, we are providing our shareholders at the annual meeting with the opportunity to cast a non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of the Company’s named executive officers contained in this proxy statement through the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the holders of the Company’s common stock approve the compensation of the Company’s executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table, as disclosed in the Company’s 2009 proxy statement in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the tabular compensation tables and the related footnotes and narrative.

Because your vote is advisory, it will not be binding on the Board and will not overrule any decision by the Board or require the Board to take any action. However, the Compensation Committee will take into account the outcome of the vote when considering future executive compensation decisions for named executives.

As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Company seeks to provide compensation to its named executive officers that align their interests with those of our shareholders and motivate the named executive officers to focus on the strategic goals that will produce outstanding Company financial performance. An additional part of the Company’s compensation philosophy is that a significant portion of a named executive officer’s compensation should be at risk and tied to performance.

Your Board of Directors Recommends a vote “FOR” this Proposal on the  
Compensation of our Named Executive Officers.

### Proposal 3

#### Ratification of the Company's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

At the Annual Meeting a vote will be taken on a proposal to ratify the appointment of Moss Adams LLP by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors to act as the independent registered public accounting firm of the Bank and the Company for the year ending December 31, 2009. Although the appointment of independent public accountants is not required to be approved by shareholders, the Audit Committee believes shareholders should participate in such selection through ratification.

It is anticipated that a representative of Moss Adams LLP will be present at the Meeting, and will have the opportunity to make a statement if he or she desires and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Ratification of the appointment by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of the independent registered public accounting firm will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares represented and voting at the Meeting.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF MOSS ADAMS LLP BY THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AS THE BANK'S AND THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2009.

#### Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Bank consists of Messrs. Andrews, DuPratt and McNaughton and Mmes. Hamlyn and Aldrete—Committee Chairman, none of whom is or has been an officer or employee of the Bank or the Company. During 2008, members of the Compensation Committee had loans or other extensions of credit outstanding from the Bank. These loans were made in the ordinary course of business and on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other persons. These loans are exempt from the loan prohibitions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and did not involve more than the normal risk of collectability or present other unfavorable features.

#### Transactions with Related Persons

Certain directors and executive officers of the Bank and the Company and corporations and other organizations associated with them and members of their immediate families were customers of and engaged in banking transactions, including loans, with the Bank in the ordinary course of business in 2008. Such loans were made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other persons. These loans did not involve more than the normal risk of collectability or present other unfavorable features.

Under the Bank's written Code of Conduct, the Bank's President, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Controller and members of the Board of Directors must receive approval of the Board of Directors or a committee of the Board of Directors prior to taking any action or entering into any relationship that creates, or appears to create, a conflict of interest.



### Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act, as administered by the SEC, requires the Company's directors and executive officers and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of the Company equity securities to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of common stock of the Company. Executive officers, directors and greater than ten percent shareholders are required by the SEC to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based solely upon a review of such reports, the Company believes that all reports required by Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act to be filed by its executive officers and directors during the last fiscal year were filed on a timely basis, except that: (1) Mr. Andrews reported the sale of 3,000 shares which occurred on November 13, 2008 and was reported on November 19, 2008; (2) Mr. Andrews reported the sale of 9,000 shares which occurred on November 14, 2008 and was reported on November 19, 2008; and (3) Mr. Andrews reported the purchase of 9,000 shares on November 14, 2008 and 7,700 shares on November 17, 2008 which were purchased to fund his spouses' IRA which is held in street name with a broker and was reported on March 12, 2009.

### Information Available to Shareholders

A copy of First Northern Community Bancorp's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2008 as filed with The Securities and Exchange Commission is included with this mailing. Any additional copies will be furnished without charge to Shareholders upon written request to: Lynn Campbell, Corporate Secretary, First Northern Community Bancorp, 195 North First Street, Dixon, California 95620.

First Northern Community Bancorp is required to file periodic reports and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and rules thereunder. Copies of the public portions of reports to the SEC may be inspected and copied at the headquarters of the SEC, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20549. Certain information is available electronically at the SEC's internet web site at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). You can also obtain a copy of the Company's annual report on Form 10-K, this Proxy Statement and other periodic filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission through our website at [www.thatsmybank.com](http://www.thatsmybank.com). The link to the Company's Securities and Exchange Commission filings is on the Investor Relations page of the Company's website. No information contained on our website is incorporated by reference into this proxy statement.

### Shareholder Proposals

Under the rules of the SEC, if a shareholder wants to include a proposal in the Company's proxy statement and form of proxy for presentation at the 2010 annual meeting of shareholders, the proposal must be received by the Company at its principal executive offices by December 16, 2009.

Under the Company's bylaws, certain procedures are provided which a shareholder must follow to nominate persons for election as directors or to introduce an item of business at an annual meeting of shareholders.

Nomination of directors must be made by notification in writing delivered or mailed to the President of the Company at the Company's principal executive offices not less than 30 days or more than 60 days prior to any meeting of shareholders called for election of directors and must contain certain information about the director nominee. The Company's annual meeting of shareholders is generally held in April or May. If the Company's 2010 annual meeting of shareholders that is due to be held May 18, 2010, is held on schedule, the Company must receive notice of any nomination no earlier than March 19, 2010, and no later than April 18, 2010. The Chairman of the meeting may disregard the nomination of any person not made in compliance with the foregoing procedures.



Notice of any business item proposed to be brought before an annual meeting by a shareholder must be received by the Secretary of the Company not less than 70 days or more than 90 days prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting unless the date of the 2010 annual meeting is advanced by more than 20 days or delayed by more than 70 days in which case notice must be received not more than 90 days and not less than the later of 70 days prior to the meeting or 10 days after the public announcement of the meeting date. Assuming no such advance or delay, the Company must receive notice of any proposed business item no earlier than February 18, 2010, and no later than March 10, 2010. If the Company does not receive timely notice, the Company's bylaws preclude consideration of the business item at the annual meeting. With respect to notice of a proposed item of business, the bylaws provide that the notice must include a brief description of the business desired to be brought before the meeting, the reasons for conducting such business at the meeting and certain information regarding the shareholder giving the notice.

A copy of the Company's bylaws may be obtained upon written request to the Secretary of the Company at the Company's principal executive offices.

#### Other Matters

The management of the Company is not aware of any other matters to be presented for consideration at the Meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof. If any other matters should properly come before the Meeting, it is intended that the persons named in the enclosed proxy will vote the shares represented thereby in accordance with their best business judgment, pursuant to the discretionary authority granted therein.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Owen J. Onsum  
President and  
Chief Executive Officer

Operations Center Map

47