Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. Form 10-K February 29, 2016

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

- ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015
 OR
- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
 For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 001-33767

Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware 27-1310817

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

3000 John Deere Road, Toano, Virginia
(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)
(757) 259-4280

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer x Accelerated Filer o

(do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller Reporting Company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No x

As of June 30, 2015, the last business day of the registrant s most recent second quarter, the aggregate market value of the registrant s common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$542.6 million based on the closing sale price as reported on the New York Stock Exchange.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant s classes of common stock as of February 25, 2016:

Title of Class Common Stock, \$0.001 par value Number of Shares 27,088,460

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Part III incorporates certain information by reference from the registrant s proxy statement for the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders, which will be filed no later than 120 days after the close of the registrant s fiscal year ended December 31, 2015.

LUMBER LIQUIDATORS HOLDINGS, INC. ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Cautionary note regarding forward-looking statements	<u>1</u>
PART I <u>Item 1.</u>	
Business Item 1A.	2
Risk Factors	7
Item 1B.	10
<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> <u>Item 2.</u>	<u>19</u>
Properties Properties	<u>19</u>
Item 3.	20
Legal Proceedings Item 4.	<u>20</u>
Mine Safety Disclosures PART II Item 5.	<u>29</u>
Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities Item 6.	<u>30</u>
Selected Financial Data Item 7.	<u>32</u>
Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Item 7A.	<u>33</u>
Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Item 8.	<u>45</u>
Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Item 9.	<u>46</u>
Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	<u>82</u>
Item 9A.	<u>82</u>

Controls and Procedures Item 9B.	<u>84</u>
Other Information PART III Item 10.	
Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance Item 11.	<u>85</u>
Executive Compensation Item 12.	<u>85</u>
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters Lean 12	<u>85</u>
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Item 14.	<u>85</u>
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services PART IV	<u>85</u>
Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	<u>86</u>
Signatures	<u>88</u>

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENT

This report includes statements of the Company s expectations, intentions, plans and beliefs that constitute forward-looking statements within the meanings of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements, which may be identified by words such as may, will, should, expects, estimates. potential and other similar terms and phrases, are bas thinks. seeks. predicts, could. projects, beliefs of the Company s management, as well as assumptions made by, and information currently available to, the Company s management as of the date of such statements. These statements are subject to risks and uncertainties, all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond the Company s control. Forward-looking statements in this report may include, without limitation, statements regarding legal matters and settlement discussions, the terms of and compliance with the Plea Agreement with the Department of Justice (the Plea Agreement) and the associated environmental compliance plan (the Compliance Plan), the Company s ability to borrow under its asset-backed revolving credit facility, elevated levels of legal and professional fees, elevated levels of payroll and stock-based compensation expense, sales growth, comparable store net sales, number of stores providing installation services, impact of cannibalization, impact of inflation, price changes, inventory availability and inventory per store, inventory valuation, earnings performance, stock-based compensation expense, margins, return on invested capital, advertising costs, costs to administer the Company s indoor air quality testing program, intention to conduct additional investigation and reviews in connection with certain consumers indoor air quality tests, strategic direction, the scale of the expansion of and transition to the Company s laminate products sourced from Europe and North America, supply chain, the demand for the Company s products, benefits from an improving housing market, construction of engineered hardwood as to not be subject to anti-dumping and countervailing duties, ultimate resolution of governmental investigations, and store openings and remodels.

The Company s actual results could differ materially from those projected in or contemplated by the forward-looking statements as a result of potential risks, uncertainties and other factors including, but not limited to, changes in general economic and financial conditions, such as the rate of unemployment, consumer access to credit, and interest rate; the volatility in mortgage rates; the legislative/regulatory climate; political unrest in the countries of the Company s suppliers; the ability to retain and motivate Company employees; the availability of sufficient suitable hardwood; the impact on the Company if the Company is unable to maintain quality control over its products; the cost and effect on the Company s reputation of, and consumers purchasing decisions in connection with, unfavorable allegations surrounding the product quality of the Company s laminates sourced from China; the Company s suppliers ability to meet its quality assurance requirements; disruption in the Company s suppliers abilities to supply needed inventory; the impact on the Company s business of its expansion of laminate products sourced from Europe and North America and the flooring industry s demand for product from these regions; disruptions or delays in the production, shipment, delivery or processing through ports of entry; the strength of the Company s competitors and their ability to increase their market share; slower growth in personal income; the number of customers requesting and cost associated with addressing the Company s indoor air quality testing program; the ability to collect necessary additional information from applicable customers in connection with indoor air quality test results; changes in business and consumer spending and the demand for the Company s products; changes in transportation costs; the rate of growth of residential remodeling and new home construction; the Company s ability to offset the effects of the rate of inflation, if higher than expected; the demand for and profitability of installation services; changes in the scope or rates of any antidumping or countervailing duty rates applicable to the Company s products; the duration, costs and outcome of pending or potential litigation or governmental investigations; ability to successfully and timely implement the Compliance Plan; ability to make timely payments pursuant to the terms of the Plea Agreement; ability to borrow under its asset-backed revolving credit facility; ability to reach an appropriate resolution in connection with the

governmental investigations; and inventory levels. The Company specifically disclaims any obligation to update these statements, which speak only as of the dates on which such statements are made, except as may be required under the federal securities laws. These risks and other factors include those listed in this Item 1A. Risk Factors, and elsewhere in this report.

1

References to we, our, us, the Company and Lumber Liquidators generally refers to Lumber Liquidators Hold Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries collectively and, where applicable, individually.

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENT

PARTI

Item 1. Business.

Overview

Lumber Liquidators is the largest specialty retailer of hardwood flooring in North America, offering a complete purchasing solution across an extensive assortment of exotic and domestic hardwood species, engineered hardwood, laminate, resilient vinyl, bamboo and cork. We operate as a single operating segment, with our call center, website and customer service network supporting retail store operations.

We believe we have achieved a reputation for offering great value, superior service and a broad selection of high-quality hardwood flooring products. With a balance of price, selection, quality, availability and service, we believe our value proposition is the most complete within a highly-fragmented hardwood flooring market. The foundation for our value proposition is strengthened by our unique store model, the industry expertise of our people, our singular focus on hard-surface flooring and our expansion of our advertising reach and frequency.

Lumber Liquidators is a Delaware corporation with headquarters in Toano, Virginia. We were founded in 1994 and our initial public offering was in November 2007. Our common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol LL. We operate in a holding company structure with Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. serving as our parent company and certain direct and indirect subsidiaries, including Lumber Liquidators, Inc., Lumber Liquidators Services, LLC, Lumber Liquidators Production, LLC, and Lumber Liquidators Canada Inc., conducting our operations.

Our Business

Market

According to the July 2015 Issue of Floor Covering Weekly, U.S. installed floor covering product sales in 2014 were \$35.6 billion, excluding installation. Within this market, U.S. hardwood and laminate flooring sales accounted for 19.1% of the total. Flooring sales are driven by a number of factors including discretionary income and the housing market. Including installation, the overall flooring industry has grown at a compound annual growth rate of 5.1% from 2009 through 2014. Over the same period, hardwood and laminate flooring sales, including the cost of installation grew at a compound annual growth rate of 5.5%. We believe improvements in the quality and construction of certain products, ease of installation, and a broad range of retail price points, will drive continued hard surface flooring share gain versus soft surface flooring in the future.

Competition

We compete for customers in a highly fragmented marketplace, where we believe no one retailer has captured more than a 15% share of the market for hardwood flooring. Although the market includes the national home improvement warehouse chains, warehouse clubs and online retailers, we believe the majority of the industry consists of local one-store flooring retailers, small chains of stores that may specialize in one or two flooring categories and a limited number of regional chains. Catalina Research, Inc., a company providing market research on various flooring types, estimates there are approximately 9,000 specialty floor coverings stores in the U.S.

PART I 8

Customers

We target several distinct customer groups who each have varied needs with respect to their flooring purchase, including do-it-yourself (DIY) customers, do-it-for-me (DIFM) customers, and commercial customers. Each of the customer groups we serve are passionate about their flooring purchase and value our wide assortment of flooring products, availability, and the quality of those products. Each of these customer groups require a unique customer service approach based on the ability of our associates to share detailed product knowledge and preferred installation methods. We offer DIFM customers installation services, while our DIY and commercial customers receive additional support throughout their purchase, including dedicated call center resources. All customer groups are offered delivery services.

2

Customers 9

Products and Services

Product Selection

We offer an extensive assortment of wood flooring under 19 proprietary brand names, led by our flagship, Bellawood. We have invested significant resources developing these national brand names, as well as the Lumber Liquidators name. Our hardwood flooring products are available in various widths and lengths and are generally differentiated in terms of quality and price based on the species, wood grade, warranty and durability of finish. Prefinished floors are the dominant choice for residential customers over unfinished wood planks that have a finish applied after installation. We also offer an assortment of flooring enhancements, installation and accessories, including moldings, underlays and tools. Our revenue by major product category is included in Note 1 of our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 of this report.

Direct Sourcing

Sourcing directly from mills and other vendors enables us to offer a broad assortment of high-quality, proprietary products to our customers at a consistently low cost. We seek to establish strong, long-term relationships with our vendor partners around the world. In doing so, we look for vendors that have demonstrated an ability to meet our demanding specifications and the capability to provide sustainable and growing supplies of high-quality and innovative products.

Supply Chain

Our supply chain is focused on delivering a complete assortment of products to our customers in an efficient manner. In the first quarter of 2014, we began operating a 500,000 square foot leased distribution center in Pomona, California as the primary distribution center for our western stores. In 2014, we completed construction of a million square foot distribution center on 110 acres of land we own in Henrico County, Virginia to consolidate the distribution facilities located in Hampton Roads, Virginia, increase the efficiency of our East Coast operations and provide a foundation for future store base expansion. We began the transition of our operations from those facilities to the new facility in 2014, and the facility was fully operational in 2015.

During 2015, we leased third party consolidation services to break bulk shipments from mills into quantities and assortments that can be sent directly to our store locations. We terminated these services at the end of the second quarter of 2015 as we enhanced our utilization of our distribution centers. Additionally, a number of our vendors maintain certain inventory levels for shipment directly to our stores or our customers. Our product is generally transported boxed and palletized, and the weight of our product generally is correlated with our supply chain costs.

Compliance and Quality Control

The Company s compliance programs are designed to ensure the products we sell are safe, responsibly sourced, and meet all regulatory and statutory requirements, including without limitation requirements associated with the Lacey Act and the California Air Resources Board (CARB). We utilize a variety of due diligence processes and controls, including supplier audits, periodic on site visits, and product testing. The Company utilizes a risk-based approach to implement and operate the various aspects of its compliance program. Our compliance program considers, among other things, product risk, the level of vertical integration at our mills, legality concerns noted by both private and government parties, and the results of on-site audits performed by, or on behalf of, the Company. Our evaluation of

Products and Services 10

risk is a key component in our allocation of resources to ensure the Company meets its standards for product compliance and safety. We believe our Lacey Act Compliance program is one of the most stringent programs in the industry. Compliance and Quality Control teams located in the United States and in China are supplemented with external resources that provide independent analyses which are incorporated into our review processes and monitor our sourcing efforts across all areas from which we source product. Compliance programs are continually under review, updated and enhanced as appropriate to stay current with statutory and regulatory requirements.

Additionally, we began operating a 1,500 square foot lab within our new East Coast distribution facility during the first quarter of 2015. The lab features two temperature and humidity controlled conditioning rooms and two emission chambers correlated to a CARB-approved Third Party Certifier standard. We believe this equipment mirrors the capabilities of CARB and other state-of-the-art emission testing facilities. We believe

no other flooring retailer has comparable facilities. This new lab will complement and augment the capabilities of the facilities we operate in Toano, Virginia and in Shanghai, China, as well as those utilized by our suppliers to help ensure compliance with CARB requirements.

Installation

Historically, approximately one in 10 of our customers opt to utilize the fully-insured and licensed professional installation services which we make available to measure and install flooring at competitive prices at each of our stores. As of December 31, 2015, we utilized a network of associates to perform certain customer-facing, consultative services and coordinated the installation of our flooring products by third-party professional installers in 186 of our stores. Service revenue for installation transactions we control is included in net sales, with the corresponding costs in cost of sales. We believe our greater interaction with the customer and greater control over the third party services provided will ultimately result in a better customer experience and higher utilization by the customer. Installation services are offered in our remaining stores through a national arrangement with a third-party. Under this national arrangement, we receive certain reimbursements based on volume, which offset other expenses.

Store Model

As of December 31, 2015, we operated 374 retail stores, with 366 located throughout the United States and eight in Ontario, Canada, after closing one of our Canadian stores at the end of its lease term during the fourth quarter.

We generally seek locations with lower rent than retailers requiring high traffic or impulse purchases and are able to adapt a range of existing buildings to our format, from free-standing buildings to strip centers to small shopping centers. Generally, our stores are approximately 6,500 to 7,500 square feet, which includes a showroom format designed to emphasize our products and a small warehouse. Our real estate strategy considers total long-term share within a market over unit-based analysis. We enter into short leases, generally for a base term of five to seven years with renewal options, to maximize our real estate flexibility.

We routinely evaluate our store site selection criteria and are currently targeting retail corridors within a market over the more industrial locations we historically sought. We consistently monitor performance of current stores as well as the market opportunity for new locations, adjusting as needed to optimize the profitability and growth potential of our network.

Sales Approach

We have an integrated multi-channel sales model that enables our stores, call center, website and catalogs to work together in a coordinated manner. We believe that due to the average size of the sale and the general infrequency of a hardwood flooring purchase, many of our customers conduct extensive research using multiple channels before making a purchase decision. Though our customers utilize a range of these channels in the decision-making process, the final sale is most often completed in the store, working with our flooring experts. Our customers typically plan well in advance for the inconvenience of removing old flooring and installing new flooring. In larger, more complex projects, greater lead time and preparation is often required. Our research indicates that the length of a hardwood flooring purchase can vary significantly from initial interest to final sale, but averages approximately 100 days.

Our objective is to help the consumer through the entire purchase cycle from aspiration to installation, whether in our store or in their home. Our goal is to provide our customers with everything needed to complete their flooring

Installation 12

project to remove the existing floor, install the new floor with complementary moldings and accessories, and finally, maintain the floor for its lifetime.

Our sales strategy emphasizes customer service by providing superior, convenient, educational tools for our customers to learn about our products and the installation process. Flooring samples of most of the products we offer are available in our stores, or can be ordered through our call center and website. Once an order is placed, customers may choose to either have their purchases delivered or pick them up at a nearby store location.

We are committed to responding to our potential and existing customers in a timely manner. Our call center is staffed by flooring experts cross-trained in sales, customer service and product support. In addition to

4

Sales Approach 13

receiving telephone calls, our call center associates chat online with visitors to our website, respond to emails from our customers and engage in telemarketing activities. Customers can contact our call center to place an order, to make an inquiry or to order a catalog.

Knowledgeable Salespeople

A large segment of residential homeowners are in need of a trusted expert, whether as a guide through a range of flooring alternatives and services or as a resource to both DIY and DIFM customers. We train and position our store management and associates to establish these individual customer relationships, which often last beyond the current purchase to subsequent purchases for additional rooms in the existing house or even to the remodeling of a new home.

We place an emphasis on identifying, hiring and empowering employees who share a passion for our business philosophy. Many of our store managers have previous experience with the home improvement, retail flooring or flooring installation industries. We provide continuous training for our store associates, ranging from topic-specific modules offered through our online learning management system to participation in our Lumber Liquidators University (LLU) program. LLU is a training event for all of our regional and store managers that focuses on selling techniques and in-depth product training.

Digital

Our website contains a broad range of information on our products and services, including a comprehensive knowledge base of tools on wood flooring, product reviews, before and after photos from previous customers, product information and how-to installation videos. A consumer also has the ability to chat live with a flooring expert regarding questions about a flooring purchase or installation, either online or over the phone.

We continue to develop several new and responsive mobile, tablet and website functions to assist consumers with their flooring choice. We also have an active presence on Facebook, Pinterest, YouTube and Twitter.

Advertising and Financing

Advertising: We utilize a mix of traditional and online media, ecommerce, direct mail, social media, and financing offers to emphasize product credibility, value, brand awareness, customer education and direct selling. We increase brand awareness in a variety of ways, including through sports, celebrity endorsements and product placement opportunities. Overall, we actively manage the mix of our media to efficiently drive sales while building brand awareness of our value proposition.

Financing: We offer our residential customers a financing alternative through a proprietary credit card, the Lumber Liquidators credit card, underwritten by a third party financial institution, generally with no recourse to us. This program serves the dual function of providing financial flexibility to our customers and offering us promotional opportunities featuring deferred interest, which we often combine with product promotions. Our customers may also use their Lumber Liquidators credit card for installation services. Additionally, we offer our commercial customers a financing alternative. This program is also underwritten by a third party financial institution, generally at no recourse to us. The commercial credit program provides our professional customers a range of additional services that we believe add efficiency to their businesses.

Employees

As of December 31, 2015, we had 1,842 employees, 96% of whom were full-time and none of whom were represented by a union. Of these employees, 71% work in our stores, 17% work in corporate store support infrastructure or similar functions (including our call center employees) and 12% work either on our finishing line or in one of our distribution centers. We believe that we have good relations with our employees.

Seasonality and Quarterly Results

Our quarterly results of operations can fluctuate depending on the timing of our advertising expenses and the timing of, and income contributed by, our new stores. Our net sales fluctuate slightly as a result of seasonal factors, and we adjust merchandise inventories in anticipation of those factors, causing variations in

5

Employees 15

our build of merchandise inventories. Generally, we experience higher than average net sales in the spring and fall, when more home remodeling activities are taking place, and lower than average net sales in the colder winter months and during the hottest summer months.

Intellectual Property and Trademarks

We have a number of marks registered in the United States, including Lumber Liquidators®, Hardwood Floors For Less!®, Bellawood®, 1-800-HARDWOOD®, 1-800-FLOORING®, Quickclic®, Virginia Mill Works Co. Hand Scraped and Distressed Floors®, Morning Star Bamboo Flooring®, Dream Home Laminate Floors®, Builder s Pride®, Schön Engineered Floors®, Casa de Colour Collection® and other product line names. We have also registered certain marks in jurisdictions outside the United States, including the European Union, Canada, China, Australia and Japan. We regard our intellectual property as having significant value and these names are an important factor in the marketing of our brands. Accordingly, we take steps intended to protect our intellectual property including, where warranted, the filing of lawsuits and administrative actions to enforce our rights.

Government Regulation

We are subject to extensive and varied federal, provincial, state and local government regulations in the jurisdictions in which we operate, including laws and regulations relating to our relationships with our employees and customers, public health and safety, zoning, accommodations for persons with disabilities, and fire codes. We operate each of our stores, offices, finishing facility and distribution centers in accordance with standards and procedures designed to comply with applicable laws, codes and regulations. Certain of our operations and properties are also subject to federal, provincial, state and local laws and regulations relating to the use, storage, handling, generation, transportation, treatment, emission, release, discharge and disposal of hazardous materials, substances and wastes and relating to the investigation and cleanup of contaminated properties, including off-site disposal locations. We do not currently incur significant costs complying with the laws and regulations related to hazardous materials. However, we could be subject to material costs, liabilities or claims relating to compliance in the future, especially in the event of changes in existing laws and regulations or in their interpretation, as well as the passage of new laws and regulations.

Our suppliers are subject to the laws and regulations of their home countries, as well as those relative to the import of their products into the United States, including, in particular, laws regulating labor, forestry and the environment. Our suppliers are subject to periodic compliance audits, onsite visits and other reviews, as appropriate, in efforts to ensure that they are in compliance with all laws and regulations. We also support social and environmental responsibility among our supplier community and our suppliers agree to comply with our expectations concerning environmental, labor and health and safety matters. Those expectations include representations and warranties that our suppliers comply with the laws, rules and regulations of the countries in which they operate.

Products that we import into the United States and Canada are subject to laws and regulations imposed in conjunction with such importation, including those issued and/or enforced by U.S. Customs and Border Protection and the Canadian Border Services Agency. In addition, certain of our products are subject to laws and regulations relating to the importation, acquisition or sale of illegally harvested plants and plant products and the emissions of hazardous materials. We work closely with our suppliers to address the applicable laws and regulations in these areas.

Available Information

We maintain a website at *www.lumberliquidators.com*. The information on or available through our website is not, and should not be considered, a part of this report. You may access our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports, as well as other reports relating to us that are filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) free of charge on our website as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. In addition, you may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains an Internet site, *www.sec.gov*, which contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information that we file electronically with the SEC.

6

Available Information 17

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

The risks described below could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows. These risks are not the only risks that we face. Our business operations could also be affected by additional factors that apply to all companies operating in the U.S. and globally, as well as other risks that are not presently known to us or that we currently consider to be immaterial.

Risks Related to Our Operations

Unfavorable allegations, government investigations and legal actions surrounding our products or us could harm our reputation and impair our ability to grow or sustain our business.

We rely on our reputation for offering great value, superior service and a broad selection of high-quality, safe flooring products. We are currently involved in a number of government investigations and legal actions, many of which have resulted from unfavorable allegations regarding our products and us. Negative publicity surrounding these government investigations and legal actions could continue to harm our reputation and the demand for our products. Additional unfavorable allegations, government investigations and legal actions involving our products and us could also affect our perception in the market and our brands and negatively impact our business and financial condition. For instance, unfavorable allegations surrounding the product quality of our laminates sourced from China has and could continue to negatively affect our operations. If this negative impact is significant, our ability to maintain our liquidity and grow or sustain our business could be jeopardized.

We are involved in a number of legal proceedings and, while we cannot predict the outcomes of such proceedings and other contingencies with certainty, some of these outcomes could adversely affect our business and financial condition.

We are, or may become, involved in legal proceedings, government and agency investigations, and consumer, employment, tort and other litigation (see discussion of Legal Proceedings in Item 3 of this Annual Report and Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report). We cannot predict with certainty the outcomes of these legal proceedings. The outcome of some of these legal proceeding could require us to take, or refrain from taking, actions which could be costly to implement or otherwise negatively affect our operations or could require us to pay substantial amounts of money that could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations and could affect our ability to obtain capital or access our revolving line of credit and continue as a going concern. Additionally, defending against lawsuits and legal proceedings may involve significant expense and diversion of management's attention and resources.

Implementing our Environmental Compliance Plan will be costly to implement and the failure to implement the plan could adversely affect our ability to import and, therefore, our results of operations.

As disclosed on October 7, 2015, we reached a settlement with the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) regarding our compliance with the Lacey Act. In connection with that settlement, we agreed to implement an

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

environmental compliance plan (the Compliance Plan) and we will be subject to a probation period of five years. The implementation of the Compliance Plan will be costly and, if the implementation costs are more than we anticipate, it could adversely impact our operating results. Further, in the event we fail to implement the Compliance Plan as required and in accordance with set deadlines, the government may require us to cease the importation of hardwood flooring from China until the DOJ determines that the Compliance Plan has been satisfactorily implemented. If we have to cease the importation of hardwood flooring, our ability to operate would be substantially harmed and our business, including our results of operations, would be adversely affected.

Federal, provincial, state or local laws and regulations, or our failure to comply with such laws and regulations, could increase our expenses, restrict our ability to conduct our business and expose us to legal risks.

We are subject to a wide range of general and industry-specific laws and regulations imposed by federal, provincial, state and local authorities in the countries in which we operate including those related to customs, the environment, foreign operations (such as the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act), truth-in-advertising, consumer

protection, privacy, zoning and occupancy matters as well as the operation of retail stores and warehouse, production and distribution facilities and provision of installation services. In addition, various federal, provincial and state laws govern our relationship with and other matters pertaining to our employees, including wage and hour laws, requirements to provide meal and rest periods or other benefits, health care insurance issues, minimum wage standards, family leave mandates, requirements regarding working conditions and accommodations to certain employees, citizenship or work authorization and related requirements, insurance and workers—compensation rules and anti-discrimination laws. If we fail to comply with these laws and regulations, we could be subject to legal risk, our operations could be impacted negatively and our reputation could be damaged. Likewise, if such laws and regulations should change, including labor laws that impact exempt status and overtime eligibility, our costs of compliance may increase, thereby impacting our results and hurting our profitability.

Certain portions of our operations are subject to laws and regulations governing the use, storage, handling, generation, treatment, emission, release, discharge and disposal of certain hazardous materials and wastes, the remediation of contaminated soil and groundwater and the health and safety of employees. If we are unable to comply with, extend or renew a material approval, license or permit required by such laws, or if there is a delay in renewing any material approval, license or permit, our net sales and operating results could deteriorate or otherwise cause harm to our business.

With regard to our products, we may spend significant time and resources in order to comply with applicable advertising, importation, exportation, environmental, health and safety laws and regulations. If we should violate these laws and regulations, we could experience delays in shipments of our goods, be subject to fines, penalties, criminal charges, or other legal risks, be liable for costs and damages, or suffer reputational harm, which could reduce demand for our merchandise and hurt our business and results of operations. Further, if such laws and regulations should change, we may experience increased costs or incur decreased efficiency in order to adhere to the new standards.

Our growth strategy is impacted by our ability to open new stores and is subject to many unpredictable factors.

As of December 31, 2015, we had 374 stores throughout the United States and Canada, 152 of which we opened after January 1, 2011. Assuming the continued success of our store model and satisfaction of our internal criteria, we plan to open a significant number of new stores over the next several years. This growth strategy and the investment associated with the development of each new store may cause our operating results to fluctuate and be unpredictable or decrease our profits. Our future results and ability to implement our growth strategy will depend on various factors, including the following:

our ability to maintain our reputation of providing safe, compliant products;
consumer recognition of the quality of our products;
the successful resolution of the various pending government investigations and legal proceedings;
the successful selection of new markets and store locations;
the implementation of and results generated by our new showroom format;
our ability to negotiate leases on acceptable terms;
management of store opening costs;
the quality of our operations;
our ability to meet customer demand;
the continued popularity of hardwood flooring;
our cash flow, access to capital and business condition; and
general economic conditions.

In addition, the following may impact the net sales and performance of our new stores compared to prior years:

as we open more stores, our rate of expansion relative to the size of our store base will decline; we may not be able to identify suitable store locations in markets into which we seek to expand and may not be able to open as many stores as planned;

consumers in new markets may be less familiar with our brands, and we may need to increase brand awareness in those markets through additional investments in advertising;

new stores may have higher construction, occupancy or operating costs, or may have lower average store net sales, than stores opened in the past;

we may experience difficulties, delays or failures in obtaining the necessary licenses, permits or other approvals necessary to open and operate particular store locations;

we may incur higher maintenance costs than in the past;

newly opened stores may not succeed or may reach profitability more slowly than we expect, and the ramp-up to profitability may become longer in the future as we enter more mid-sized and smaller markets and add stores to larger markets where we already have a presence; and

future markets and stores may not be successful and, even if we are successful, our average store net sales and our comparable store net sales may not increase at historical rates.

Finally, our progress in opening new stores from quarter to quarter may occur at an uneven rate, which may result in quarterly net sales and profit growth falling short of market expectations in some periods.

Our net sales and profit growth could be adversely affected if comparable store net sales are less than we expect.

While future net sales growth will depend substantially on our plans for new store openings, the level of comparable store net sales (which represent the change in period-over-period net sales for stores beginning their thirteenth full month of operation) will also affect our net sales growth and business results. Among other things, increases in our baseline store volumes and the number of new stores opened in existing markets, which tend to open at a higher base level of net sales, will impact our comparable store net sales. As a result, it is possible that we will not achieve our targeted comparable store net sales growth or that the change in comparable store net sales could be negative. If this were to happen, net sales and profit growth would be adversely affected.

Increased transportation costs, particularly those relating to the cost of fuel, could harm our results of operations.

The efficient transportation of our products through our supply chain is a critical component of our operations. If the cost of fuel or other costs, such as import tariffs, duties and international container rates, rise, it could result in increases in our cost of sales due to additional transportation charges and in the fees delivery companies charge us to transport our products to our stores and customers. We may be unable to increase the price of our products to offset increased transportation charges, which could cause our operating results to deteriorate.

Business and operation risks exist in connection with our distribution centers.

In 2013, we purchased 110 acres of undeveloped land in Henrico County, Virginia upon which we constructed a million square foot distribution center. The facility became fully operational in January 2015. This was our first real estate purchase and is the first distribution center owned by us. The cost of operating and managing the East Coast distribution center may exceed our expectations and we may not achieve the benefits that we anticipate from

Our net sales and profit growth could be adversely affected if comparable store net sales are less than we expect.

consolidating our East Coast facilities into this East Coast distribution center.

In addition, since early 2014, we have leased and operated a 500,000 square foot distribution center in Pomona, California, our first distribution center located outside of Virginia. The costs of operating may exceed

our expectations and we may not achieve the benefits that we anticipate. Further, we may face challenges relating to the management of inventory in separate warehouse facilities located on opposite coasts and the impact of the East Coast distribution facility.

If either of these facilities or our inventory held in those locations were damaged or destroyed by fire, wood infestation or other causes, our distribution processes would be disrupted, which could cause significant delays in delivery. This could impede our ability to stock our stores and deliver products to our customers, and cause our net sales and operating results to deteriorate.

Damage, destruction or disruption of our Toano facility or equipment could significantly impact our operations and impede our ability to finish and distribute certain of our products.

Our Toano, Virginia facility serves as our corporate headquarters and, among other things, houses our primary computer systems, which control our management information and inventory management systems. In addition, in 2015, we finished approximately 79% of all Bellawood products, as well as small quantities of certain other products, there. In 2015, Bellawood flooring accounted for approximately 13% of our net sales. If the Toano facility or equipment were damaged or destroyed, it could harm our operations, cause significant lost production and impact our ability to fulfill customer demand.

The operation of stores in Canada may present increased legal and operational risks.

We opened our first stores in Canada in 2011 and currently operate eight store locations there. As a result of our limited penetration and operation history in the Canadian market, these stores may continue to be less successful than we expect. Additionally, greater investments in advertising and promotional activity may be required to continue to build brand awareness in that market. Furthermore, by comparison, we have limited experience with the legal and regulatory environments and market practices outside of the United States and cannot guarantee that we will be able to operate profitably in the Canadian market or in a manner and with results similar to our U.S. stores. We may also incur increased costs in complying with applicable Canadian laws and regulations as they pertain to both our products and our operations.

The operation of our Representative Office in China may present increased legal and operational risks.

We established a representative office in Shanghai, China to facilitate our product sourcing in Asia and we may incur additional costs associated with its operation. In addition, we may incur increased costs to comply with applicable Chinese laws and regulations that exceed our expectations. Further, if we fail to comply with applicable laws and regulations, we could be subject to, among other things, litigation and government and agency investigations.

Failure to effectively manage our third party installers may present increased legal and operational risks.

In certain geographical regions, we manage third party installers who provide installation services to some of our customers. In some of these jurisdictions, we are subject to regulatory requirements and risks applicable to general

Damage, destruction or disruption of our Toano facility or equipment could significantly impact our operations and in

contractors, which include management of licensing, permitting and quality of our third party installers. We have established processes and procedures designed to manage these requirements and ensure customer satisfaction with the services provided by our third party installers. If we fail to manage these processes effectively or provide proper oversight of these services, we may be subject to regulatory enforcement and litigation and our net sales and our profitability and reputation could be harmed.

Failure to manage our growth effectively could harm our business and operating results.

Our plans call for a significant number of new stores, and increased orders from our website, call center and catalogs. Our existing management information systems, including our store management systems, compliance procedures and financial and reporting controls, may be unable to support our expansion. Managing our growth effectively will require us to continue to enhance these systems, procedures and controls and to hire, train and retain regional and store managers and personnel for our compliance and financial and reporting departments. We may not respond quickly enough to the changing demands that our expansion will impose on us. Any failure to manage our growth effectively could harm our business and operating results.

Our insurance coverage and self-insurance reserves may not cover existing or future claims.

We maintain various insurance policies for employee health, workers compensation, general liability, property damage, cyber security and professional liability, including directors and officers insurance:

We are self-insured on certain health insurance plans and are responsible for losses up to a certain limit for these respective plans.

Beginning in 2013, we are self-insured with regard to workers compensation coverage, in which case we are responsible for losses up to certain retention limits on both a per-claim and aggregate basis.

We continue to be responsible for losses up to a certain limit for general liability and property damage insurance. Our professional liability and cyber security insurance contain limitations on the amount and scope of coverage. With the large number of cases and government investigations we have pending, we may be required to defend the Company, its officers, directors and former employees and we may be subject to financial harm in the event such cases or investigations are adversely determined and insurance coverage will not, or is not sufficient to, cover any related losses. For policies under which we are responsible for losses, we record a liability that represents our estimated cost of claims incurred and unpaid as of the balance sheet date. Our estimated liability is not discounted and is based on a number of assumptions and factors, including historical trends, actuarial assumptions and economic conditions, and is closely monitored and adjusted when warranted by changing circumstances. Fluctuating healthcare costs, our growth rate and changes from our past experience with workers compensation claims could affect the accuracy of estimates based on historical experience. Should a greater amount of claims occur compared to what was estimated or medical costs increase beyond what was expected, our accrued liabilities might not be sufficient and we may be required to record additional expense. Unanticipated changes may produce materially different amounts of expense than that reported under these programs, which could adversely impact our operating results.

We have entered into a number of lease agreements with companies controlled by our founder and this concentration of leases may pose certain business risks.

As of December 31, 2015, we lease our Toano facility, which includes a store location, a warehouse and 30 of our other store locations from entities owned, in whole or in part, by Tom Sullivan, our founder and a current member of our board of directors. Although our percentage of total stores leased from such entities has decreased over the last year, this concentration of leases subjects us to risk in the event action or inaction by Tom or such entities impacts our leasehold interests in the locations.

Risks Related to Our Suppliers, Products and Product Sourcing

If our suppliers do not use ethical business practices, comply with applicable laws and regulations and ensure that their products meet our quality standards, our reputation could be harmed due to negative publicity and we could be subject to legal risk.

While our suppliers agree to operate in compliance with applicable laws and regulations, including those relating to environmental and labor practices, we do not control our suppliers. Accordingly, despite our continued investment in quality control, we cannot guarantee that they comply with such laws and regulations or operate in a legal, ethical and

responsible manner. Violation of environmental, labor or other laws by our suppliers or their failure to operate in a legal, ethical and responsible manner could cause us to violate such laws and could reduce demand for our products if, as a result of such violation or failure, we were to attract negative publicity. Further, we require our suppliers to adhere to our quality standards. While we do monitor our suppliers adherence to such standards, there is no guarantee that we will be able to identify their non-compliance. Moreover, the failure of our suppliers to adhere to applicable legal requirements and the quality standards that we set for our products could lead to government investigations, litigation, write-offs and recalls, which could damage our reputation and our brands, increase our costs, and otherwise hurt our business.

Product liability claims could adversely affect our net sales, profitability and reputation.

We face an inherent risk of exposure to product liability claims in the event that the use of our products is alleged to have resulted in economic loss, personal injury or property damage or violated environmental or other laws. In the event that any of our products proves to be defective or otherwise in violation of applicable law, we may be required to recall or redesign such products. Further, in such instances, we may be subject to legal action. We maintain insurance against some forms of product liability claims, but such coverage may not be available or adequate for the liabilities actually incurred. A successful claim brought against us in excess of available insurance coverage, or any claim or product recall that results in significant adverse publicity against us, may have a material adverse effect on our net sales and operating results.

Our ability to obtain products from abroad and the operations of many of our international suppliers are subject to risks that are beyond our control and that could harm our operations.

We rely on a select group of international suppliers to provide us with flooring products that meet our specifications. In 2015, approximately 48% of our product was sourced from North America, approximately 39% of our product was sourced from Asia, approximately 8% was sourced from Europe and Australia and approximately 5% was sourced South America. As a result, we are subject to risks associated with obtaining products from abroad, including:

political unrest, terrorism and economic instability resulting in the disruption of trade from foreign countries where our products originate;

currency exchange fluctuations;

the imposition of new laws and regulations, including those relating to environmental matters and climate change issues; labor conditions; quality and safety standards; trade restrictions; and restrictions on funds transfers; the imposition of new or different duties (including antidumping and countervailing duties), tariffs, taxes and/or other charges on exports or imports, including as a result of errors in the classification of products upon entry or changes in the interpretation or application of rates or regulations relating to the import or export of our products; disruptions or delays in production, shipments, delivery or processing through ports of entry (including those resulting from strikes, lockouts, work-stoppages or slowdowns, or other forms of labor unrest);

changes in local economic conditions in countries where our suppliers are located; and differences in product standards, acceptable business practice and legal environments.

These and other factors beyond our control could disrupt the ability of our suppliers to ship certain products to us cost-effectively or at all, which could harm our operations.

Our ability to offer hardwood flooring, particularly products made of more exotic species, depends on the continued availability of sufficient suitable hardwood.

Our business strategy depends on offering a wide assortment of hardwood flooring to our customers. We sell flooring made from species ranging from domestic maple, oak and pine to imported cherry, koa, mahogany and teak. Some of these species are scarce, and we cannot be assured of their continued availability. Our ability to obtain an adequate volume and quality of hard-to-find species depends on our suppliers—ability to furnish those species, which, in turn, could be affected by many things including events such as forest fires, insect infestation, tree diseases, prolonged drought and other adverse weather and climate conditions. Government regulations relating to forest management

practices also affect our suppliers ability to harvest or export timber, and changes to regulations and forest management policies, or the implementation of new laws or regulations, could impede their ability to do so. If our suppliers cannot deliver sufficient hardwood and we cannot find replacement suppliers, our net sales and operating results may be negatively impacted.

Our dependence on certain suppliers makes us vulnerable to the extent we rely on them.

We rely on a concentrated number of suppliers for a significant portion of our supply needs. We generally do not have long-term contracts with our suppliers, and we typically obtain our hardwood supplies on an order-by-order basis, writing orders for future deliveries from 90 to 180 days before delivery. In the future, our suppliers may be unable to supply us, or supply us on acceptable terms, due to various factors, which could include political instability in the supplier s country, insufficient production capacity, product line failures, collusion, a supplier s financial instability, inability or refusal to comply with applicable laws, trade restrictions, tariffs or our standards, duties, insufficient transport capacity and other factors beyond our control. If we can no longer obtain merchandise from our larger suppliers, or they refuse to continue to supply us on commercially reasonable terms or at all, and we cannot find replacement suppliers, we could experience deterioration in our net sales and operating results.

If we fail to identify and develop relationships with a sufficient number of qualified suppliers, our ability to obtain products that meet our high quality standards could be harmed.

We purchase flooring directly from mills located around the world. We believe that these direct supplier relationships are important to our business. In order to retain the competitive advantage that we believe results from these relationships, we need to continue to identify, develop and maintain relationships with qualified suppliers that can satisfy our high standards for quality and our requirements for hardwood in a timely and efficient manner. The need to develop new relationships will be particularly important as we seek to expand our operations, enhance our product offerings, and expand our product assortment and geographic source of origin in the future. Any inability to do so could reduce our competitiveness, slow our plans for further expansion and cause our net sales and operating results to deteriorate.

Increased hardwood costs could harm our results of operations.

The cost of the various species of hardwood that are used in our products is important to our profitability. Hardwood lumber costs fluctuate as a result of a number of factors including changes in domestic and international supply and demand, labor costs, competition, market speculation, product availability, environmental restrictions, government regulation and trade policies, duties, weather conditions, processing and freight costs, and delivery delays and disruptions. We generally do not have long-term supply contracts or guaranteed purchase amounts. As a result, we may not be able to anticipate or react to changing hardwood costs by adjusting our purchasing practices, and we may not always be able to increase the selling prices of our products in response to increases in supply costs. If we cannot address changing hardwood costs appropriately, it could cause our operating results to deteriorate.

We may not be able to successfully anticipate consumer trends and our failure to do so may adversely impact our net sales and profitability.

As part of our business proposition, it is important for us to anticipate and respond to changing preferences and consumer demands in a timely manner. If we fail to identify and respond to emerging trends, consumer acceptance of the merchandise in our stores and our image with our customers may be harmed, which could reduce customer traffic in our stores and adversely affect our net sales. Moreover, consumer demand within our mix of products may shift and such change may negatively impact our net sales and operating results.

Risks Related to Economic Factors and Our Industry

Changes in economic conditions may adversely impact demand for our products, reduce access to credit and cause our customers and others with whom we do business to suffer financial hardship, all of which could adversely impact our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our business, financial condition and results of operations have and may continue to be affected by various economic factors. Changes in the current economic environment and uncertainty about the future could lead to reduced consumer and business spending, including by our customers. Such changes may also cause customers to shift their spending to products we either do not sell or do not sell as profitably. Further, a reduced access to credit may adversely affect the ability of consumers to purchase our products. This potential

reduction in access to credit may impact our ability to offer customers credit card financing through third party credit providers on terms similar to those offered previously, or at all. In addition, economic conditions, including decreased access to credit, may result in financial difficulties leading to restructurings, bankruptcies, liquidations and other unfavorable events for our customers, suppliers and other service providers. If such conditions deteriorate, our industry, business and results of operations may be severely impacted.

The hardwood flooring industry depends on the economy, home remodeling activity, the homebuilding industry and other important factors.

The hardwood flooring industry is highly dependent on the remodeling of existing homes and new home construction.

In turn, remodeling and new home construction depend on a number of factors which are beyond our control, including interest rates, tax policy, employment levels, consumer confidence, credit availability, real estate prices, demographic trends, weather conditions, natural disasters and general economic conditions. For example, discretionary consumer spending could be limited, spending on remodeling of existing homes could be reduced and purchases of new homes could decline if:

the national economy or any regional or local economy where we operate weakens;
interest rates rise;
credit becomes less available;
tax rates and health care costs increase;
regions where we operate experience unfavorable demographic trends;
fuel costs or utility expenses increase; or
home prices depreciate.

In the event of a decrease in discretionary spending, home remodeling activity or new home construction, demand for our products, including hardwood flooring, could be impacted negatively and our business and operating results could be harmed.

Competition could cause price declines, decrease demand for our products and decrease our market share.

We operate in the wood flooring industry, which is highly fragmented and competitive. We face significant competition from national and regional home improvement chains, national and regional specialty flooring chains, Internet-based companies and privately-owned single-site enterprises. We compete on the basis of price, customer service, store location and range, quality and availability of the hardwood flooring that we offer our customers. If our positioning with regard to one or more of these factors should erode, deteriorate, fail to resonate with consumers or misalign with demand or expectations, our business and results may be impacted negatively.

Our competitive position is also influenced by the availability, quality and cost of merchandise, labor costs, finishing, distribution and sales efficiencies and our productivity compared to that of our competitors. Further, as we expand into new and unfamiliar markets, we may face different competitive environments than in the past. Likewise, as we continue to enhance and develop our product offerings, we may experience new competitive conditions.

Some of our competitors are larger organizations, have existed longer, are more diversified in the products they offer and have a more established market presence with substantially greater financial, marketing, personnel and other resources than we have. In addition, our competitors may forecast market developments more accurately than we do, develop products that are superior to ours or produce similar products at a lower cost, or adapt more quickly to new technologies or evolving customer requirements than we do. Intense competitive pressures from one or more of our

Changes in economic conditions may adversely impact demand for our products, reduce access to credit 32d cause

competitors could cause price declines, decrease demand for our products and decrease our market share.

Hardwood flooring may become less popular as compared to other types of floor coverings in the future. For example, our products are made using various hardwood species, including rare exotic hardwood species, and concern over the environmental impact of tree harvesting could shift consumer preference towards

synthetic or inorganic flooring. In addition, hardwood flooring competes against carpet, vinyl sheet, vinyl tile, ceramic tile, natural stone and other types of floor coverings. If consumer preferences shift toward types of floor coverings other than hardwood flooring, we may experience decreased demand for our products.

All of these competitive factors may harm us and reduce our net sales and operating results.

If we are unable to access our credit facility or other sources of capital, our financial position, liquidity, and results of operations could suffer,

We have relied on a bank credit facility to fund seasonal needs for working capital. Our continued access to this facility depends on our ability to meet the conditions to borrowing, including that all representations are true and correct at the time of the borrowing. Our failure to meet these requirements or obtain alternative sources of capital could impact:

our ability to fund working capital, capital expenditures and other general corporate purposes; our ability to meet liquidity needs; and our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate.

Risks Related to Our Information Technology

If our management information systems experience disruptions, it could disrupt our business and reduce our net sales.

We depend on our management information systems to integrate the activities of our stores, website and call center, to process orders, to respond to customer inquiries, to manage inventory, to purchase merchandise and to sell and ship goods on a timely basis. We may experience operational problems with our information systems as a result of system failures, viruses, computer hackers or other causes. We may incur significant expenses in order to repair any such operational problems. Any significant disruption or slowdown of our systems could cause information, including data related to customer orders, to be lost or delayed, which could result in delays in the delivery of products to our stores and customers or lost sales. Moreover, our entire corporate network, including our telephone lines, is on an Internet-based network. Accordingly, if our network is disrupted, we may experience delayed communications within our operations and between our customers and ourselves, and may not be able to communicate at all via our network, including via telephones connected to our network.

The selection and implementation of information technology initiatives may impact our operational efficiency and productivity.

In order to better manage our business, we expect to invest in our information systems. In doing so, we must select the correct investments and implement them in an efficient manner. The costs, potential problems and interruptions associated with implementing technology initiatives could disrupt or reduce the efficiency of our operations. Furthermore, these initiatives might not provide the anticipated benefits or provide them in a delayed or more costly manner. Accordingly, issues relating to our selection and implementation of information technology initiatives may negatively impact our business and operating results.

Any disruption of our website or our call center could disrupt our business and lead to reduced net sales and reputational damage.

Our website and our call center are integral parts of our integrated multi-channel strategy. Customers use our website and our call center as information sources on the range of products available to them and to order our products, samples or catalogs. Our website, in particular, is vulnerable to certain risks and uncertainties associated with the Internet, including changes in required technology interfaces, website downtime and other technical failures, security breaches and consumer privacy concerns. If we cannot successfully maintain our website and call center in good working order, it could reduce our net sales and damage our reputation. Further, the costs associated with such maintenance may exceed our estimations.

We may incur costs and losses resulting from security risks we face in connection with our electronic processing, transmission and storage of confidential customer information.

We accept electronic payment cards for payment in our stores and through our call center. In addition, our online operations depend upon the secure transmission of confidential information over public networks, including information permitting cashless payments. As a result, we may become subject to claims for purportedly fraudulent transactions arising out of the actual or alleged theft of credit or debit card information, and we may also be subject to lawsuits or other proceedings relating to these types of incidents. Further, a compromise of our security systems that results in our customers personal information being obtained by unauthorized persons could adversely affect our reputation with our customers and others, as well as our operations, results of operations and financial condition, and could result in litigation against us or the imposition of penalties. A security breach could also require that we expend significant additional resources related to the security of information systems and could result in a disruption of our operations, particularly our online sales operations.

Additionally, privacy and information security laws and regulations change, and compliance with them may result in cost increases due to necessary systems changes and the development of new administrative processes. If we fail to comply with these laws and regulations or experience a data security breach, our reputation could be damaged, possibly resulting in lost future business, and we could be subjected to additional legal risk as a result of non-compliance.

Risks Related to Our Personnel

Our success depends substantially upon the continued retention of certain key personnel.

We believe that our success has depended and continues to depend to a significant extent on the efforts and abilities of our senior management team and other key personnel. The loss, for any reason, of the services of any of these key individuals and any negative market or industry perception arising from such loss, could damage our business and harm our reputation.

We announced the appointment of John M. Presley as our chief executive officer in November 2015. Mr. Presley replaced Thomas D. Sullivan, who had been serving as acting chief executive officer since Robert M. Lynch s resignation in May 2015. Earlier in 2015, we appointed Gregory A. Whirley, Jr. as our interim Chief Financial Officer to replace Daniel E. Terrell, who had served as our Chief Financial Officer since October 2006. Also in 2015, we integrated the leadership of our merchandising and marketing departments and promoted Marco Q. Pescara from Chief Marketing Officer to Chief Merchandising and Marketing Officer. Any significant leadership change or executive management transition involves inherent risk, and we could experience disruptions in our executive management transition, or any executive management transition that may occur in the future, which could hinder our strategic planning, execution and future performance.

Our success depends upon our ability to meet our labor needs.

Our success depends in part on our ability to attract, hire, train and retain qualified managers and associates. Buying hardwood flooring is an infrequent event, and typical consumers have very little knowledge of the range, characteristics and suitability of the products available to them before starting the purchasing process. Therefore,

We may incur costs and losses resulting from security risks we face in connection with our electronic processing, transfer in the connection with our electronic process.

consumers in the hardwood flooring market expect to have sales associates serving them who are knowledgeable about the entire assortment of products offered by the retailer and the process of choosing and installing hardwood flooring. As a result, competition for qualified store managers and sales associates among flooring retailers is intense. We may not succeed in attracting and retaining the personnel we require to conduct our current operations and support our potential future growth. In addition, as we expand into new markets, we may find it more difficult to hire, motivate and retain qualified employees.

Although none of our employees are currently covered under collective bargaining agreements, we cannot guarantee that our employees will not elect to be represented by labor unions in the future. If some or our entire workforce were to become unionized and collective bargaining agreement terms were significantly different from our current compensation arrangements or work practices, it could have a material adverse effect on our business and operating results.

Risks Relating to Our Marketing and Advertising

Our success depends on the effectiveness of our advertising strategy.

We believe that our growth was achieved in part through our successful investment in local and national advertising. Historically, we have used extensive advertising to encourage customers to drive to our stores, which were typically located some distance from population centers in areas that have lower rents than traditional retail locations. Further, a significant portion of our advertising was directed at the DIY and DIFM consumers. While our marketing strategy continues to support our real estate strategy and remains focused on retaining the DIY and DIFM customers, we have broadened the reach and frequency of our advertising to increase the recognition of our value proposition and the number of customers served. We may need to further increase our advertising expense to support our business strategies in the future. If our advertisements fail to draw customers in the future, or if the cost of advertising or other marketing materials increases significantly, we could experience declines in our net sales and operating results.

We may not be able to adequately protect our intellectual property, which could harm the value of our brands and impact our business.

Our intellectual property is material to the conduct of our business. The successful implementation of our business plan depends in part on our ability to further build brand recognition using our trademarks, service marks and other proprietary intellectual property, including our name and logo and the names and logos of our brands. We may incur significant costs and expenses relating to our efforts to enforce our intellectual property rights. If our efforts to protect our intellectual property are inadequate, or if any third party infringes on or misappropriates our intellectual property, the value of our brands may be harmed, which could adversely affect our business and might prevent our brands from achieving or maintaining market acceptance.

We may initiate claims or litigation against parties for infringement of our intellectual property rights or to establish the invalidity, non-infringement, or unenforceability of the proprietary rights of others. Likewise, we may have similar claims or litigation brought against us by competitors and others. Under either situation and regardless of any ultimate determination on the merits, we could incur significant expense and be forced to divert the efforts of key employees from our operations. Moreover, such claims or litigation could harm our image, brand or competitive position and cause us to incur significant penalties and costs.

Risks Relating to Our Common Stock

Our common stock price may be volatile and all or part of any investment in our common stock may be lost.

The market price of our common stock could fluctuate significantly. Those fluctuations could be based on various factors in addition to those otherwise described in this report, including:

rs in addition to those otherwise described in this report, including:

our operating performance and the performance of our competitors; the public s reaction to our filings with the SEC, our press releases and other public announcements; unfavorable market reactions to allegations regarding the safety of our products and the related litigation and/or government investigations resulting therefrom, as well as any payments, judgments or other losses in connection with such lawsuits and/or investigations;

changes in recommendations or earnings estimates by research analysts who follow Lumber Liquidators or other companies in our industry;

variations in general economic conditions;

actions of our current stockholders, including sales of common stock by our directors and executive officers; the arrival or departure of key personnel; and

other developments affecting us, our industry or our competitors.

In addition, the stock market may experience significant price and volume fluctuations. These fluctuations may be unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies but may cause declines in the market

price of our common stock. The price of our common stock could fluctuate based upon factors that have little or nothing to do with our company or its performance.

Our quarterly operating results may fluctuate significantly and could fall below the expectations of research analysts and investors due to various factors.

Our quarterly operating results may fluctuate significantly because of various factors, including:

changes in comparable store net sales and customer transactions, including as a result of declining consumer confidence or the introduction of new products;

the timing of new store openings and related net sales and expenses;
profitability and performance of our stores;
the timing of remodels and relocations of existing stores and related net sales and expenses;
the impact of inclement weather, natural disasters and other calamities;
variations in general economic conditions;

unfavorable customer reactions to allegations regarding the safety of our products, the impact of litigation and/or government investigations to which we are subject, as well as any payments, judgments or other losses in connection with such lawsuits and/or investigations;

the timing and scope of sales promotions and product introductions; changes in consumer preferences and discretionary spending; fluctuations in supply prices; and tax expenses, impairment charges and other non-operating costs.

Due to these factors, results for any one quarter are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for any other quarter or for any year. Average store net sales or comparable store net sales in any particular future period may decrease. In the future, operating results may fall below the expectations of research analysts and investors, which could cause the price of our common stock to fall.

Our anti-takeover defense provisions may cause our common stock to trade at market prices lower than it might absent such provisions.

Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws contain several provisions that may make it more difficult or expensive for a third party to acquire control of us without the approval of our board of directors. These provisions include a staggered board, the availability of blank check preferred stock, provisions restricting stockholders from calling a special meeting of stockholders or requiring one to be called or from taking action by written consent and provisions that set forth advance notice procedures for stockholders nominations of directors and proposals of topics for consideration at meetings of stockholders. Our certificate of incorporation also provides that Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which relates to business combinations with interested stockholders, applies to us. These provisions may delay, prevent or deter a merger, acquisition, tender offer, proxy contest or other transaction that might otherwise result in our stockholders receiving a premium over the market price for their common stock. In addition, these provisions may cause our common stock to trade at a market price lower than it might absent such provisions.

Risk Related to Accounting Standards

Changes in accounting standards and subjective assumptions, estimates and judgments by management related to complex accounting matters could significantly affect our financial results.

Generally accepted accounting principles and related accounting pronouncements, implementation guidelines and interpretations with regard to a wide range of matters that are relevant to our business, including but not limited to, consolidation, revenue recognition, stock-based compensation, lease accounting, sales returns reserves, inventories, self-insurance, income taxes, unclaimed property laws and litigation, are highly complex and involve many subjective assumptions, estimates and judgments by our management.

Changes in these rules or their interpretation or changes in underlying assumptions, estimates or judgments by our management could significantly change our reported or expected financial performance.

Failure to maintain effective systems of internal and disclosure control could have a material adverse effect on our results of operation and financial condition.

Effective internal and disclosure controls are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and effectively prevent fraud, and to operate successfully as a public company. If we cannot provide reliable financial reports or prevent fraud, our reputation and operating results would be harmed. As part of our ongoing monitoring of internal control, we may discover material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control that require remediation.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of a company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

We have in the past discovered, and may in the future discover, areas of its internal controls that need improvement. Even so, we continue to work to improve our internal controls. We cannot be certain that these measures will ensure that we implement and maintain adequate controls over our financial processes and reporting in the future. Any failure to maintain effective controls or to timely implement any necessary improvement of our internal and disclosure controls could, among other things, result in losses from fraud or error, harm our reputation, or cause investors to lose confidence in the reported financial information, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operation and financial condition.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties.

As of February 25, 2016, we operated 375 stores located in 46 states and Canada, including one opened since December 31, 2015. In addition to our eight stores in Ontario, Canada, the table below sets forth the locations (alphabetically by state) of our 367 U.S. stores in operation as of February 25, 2016.

State	Stores	State	Stores	State	Stores	State	Stores
Alabama	5	Iowa	3	Nevada	3	Rhode Island	1
Arizona	5	Kansas	3	New Hampshire	5	South Carolina	8
Arkansas	2	Kentucky	4	New Jersey	13	South Dakota	1
California	40	Louisiana	5	New Mexico	1	Tennessee	6
Colorado	7	Maine	3	New York	19	Texas	30
Connecticut	8	Maryland	10	North Carolina	12	Utah	2
Delaware	3	Massachusetts	10	North Dakota	1	Vermont	1
Florida	26	Michigan	10	Ohio	13	Virginia	13
Georgia	10	Minnesota	6	Oklahoma	3	Washington	7
Idaho	2	Mississippi	2	Oregon	5	West Virginia	3
Illinois	16	Missouri	5	Pennsylvania	20	Wisconsin	5

Indiana 8 Nebraska 2

We lease all of our stores and our corporate headquarters located in Toano, Virginia, which includes our call center, corporate offices, and distribution and finishing facility. Our corporate headquarters has 307,784 square feet, of which approximately 32,000 square feet are office space, and is located on a 74-acre plot. We own approximately 110 acres of land in Henrico County, Virginia where we constructed a million square foot distribution center that became fully operational in January 2015. We lease a 504,016 square feet facility in Pomona, California, which, along with our new facility in Virginia, serve as our primary distribution facilities.

As of February 25, 2016, our Toano facility, which includes a store location, a warehouse and 30 of our store locations are leased from related parties. See discussion of properties leased from related parties in Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report and within Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence in Item 13 of this report.

19

Item 2. Properties.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

Government Investigations

Lacey Act Related Matters

On September 26, 2013, sealed search warrants were executed at our corporate offices in Toano and Richmond, Virginia by the Department of Homeland Security's Immigration and Customs Enforcement and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The search warrants requested information, primarily documentation, related to the importation of certain of our wood flooring products in accordance with the Lacey Act. Since then, we have cooperated with the federal authorities, including the Department of Justice (DOJ), in their investigation.

On October 7, 2015, Lumber Liquidators, Inc. (LLI) reached a settlement with the DOJ in connection with this investigation. Under the terms of a Plea Agreement with the DOJ (the Plea Agreement) executed on October 7, 2015, LLI agreed to plead guilty to one felony count for entry of goods by means of false statements and four misdemeanor due care counts under the Lacey Act. These violations do not require LLI to have acted with a deliberate or willful intent to violate the law, and LLI did not stipulate that it acted with such deliberate or willful intent. As part of the settlement, LLI agreed to pay a combined total of \$10.0 million in fines, community service payments and forfeited proceeds. The payments include a \$7.8 million fine, community service contributions of \$0.9 million and \$0.3 million to the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation and the Rhinoceros and Tiger Conservation fund, respectively, and a \$1.0 million forfeiture payment. We had previously recorded this amount in SG&A expenses in the first quarter of 2015. At December 31, 2015, \$6.2 million was included in current liabilities and \$3.8 million was included in other long-term liabilities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. We paid \$6.2 million of the settlement amount in the first quarter of 2016, and we expect to pay \$2.0 million in the first quarter of 2017 and \$1.8 million in the first quarter of 2018.

LLI also agreed in the Plea Agreement to implement an environmental compliance plan (the Compliance Plan) for a probation period of five years. If LLI fails to implement the Compliance Plan within three months of sentencing, the government may require us to cease the importation of hardwood flooring from China until the DOJ determines that the Compliance Plan has been satisfactorily implemented. During the first four years, LLI has agreed to engage an outside consulting firm to conduct audits of compliance with the Compliance Plan and certain requirements of the Lacey Act.

We have agreed to guarantee all payments and performance due from LLI, including but not limited to payments for fines, community service, forfeited proceeds and special assessments and the performance of LLI s obligations under and compliance with the Compliance Plan and related audits.

In addition, as part of its internal compliance review procedures in the second quarter of 2015, we determined that there were Lacey Act compliance concerns related to a limited amount of our engineered hardwood flooring. As a result, we suspended sales of approximately \$4.1 million of this product pending further investigation, and brought this matter to the attention of the DOJ. During the investigation, we determined that there were no compliance concerns with respect to approximately \$0.9 million of the suspended engineered hardwood flooring. In connection with the Plea Agreement with the DOJ, we also reached a settlement with the DOJ related to the remaining \$3.2 million of suspended engineered hardwood flooring. Pursuant to a Complaint for Forfeiture *In Rem* and a Stipulation for Settlement and Joint Motion for Entry of Consent Order of Forfeiture (the Consent), the DOJ agreed to accept a \$3.2 million payment in lieu of a civil forfeiture of this product. We had previously recorded this amount in SG&A expenses in the second quarter of 2015. We paid this amount in October 2015 pending entry of the Consent and,

pursuant to a motion granted, are now permitted to sell the suspended engineered hardwood flooring and retain any proceeds of the sale. The Consent was entered by the court on January 7, 2016, and final judgment was entered on January 8, 2016.

The Plea Agreement was approved by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia on October 22, 2015. A sentencing hearing was held on February 1, 2016 and the court entered a final judgment on February 3, 2016. The terms of the final judgment are consistent with the Plea Agreement.

Securities Laws

In March 2015, we received a grand jury subpoena issued in connection with a criminal investigation being conducted by the U.S. Attorney s Office for the Eastern District of Virginia (the U.S. Attorney). In addition, on May 19, 2015 and July 13, 2015, the Company received subpoenas from the New York Regional Office of the SEC in connection with an inquiry by the SEC staff. Based on the subpoenas, we believe the focus of both the U.S. Attorney investigation and SEC investigation primarily relate to compliance with disclosure, financial reporting and trading requirements under the securities laws since 2011. We are fully cooperating with the U.S. Attorney s subpoena, the SEC s subpoenas and the related investigations by the U.S. Attorney and SEC staff. Given that the investigation by the U.S. Attorney and SEC staff are still ongoing, we cannot estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss that may result from this matter.

California Air Resources Board

We believe that the California Air Resources Board (CARB) is regularly looking at the entire industry to ensure compliance with its emissions standards. While conducting routine inspections of our products, CARB has performed deconstructive testing on our products as well as, we believe, products from others in the industry. In CARB s preliminary findings, some of the samples of our finished product that CARB deconstructed and tested exceeded the CARB limits for raw composite wood cores. This could occur for numerous reasons, including one or more of the variability factors associated with this type of testing. In May 2015, CARB notified us that additional samples of finished products were obtained in 2014, some of which, based on deconstructive testing, exceeded the CARB limits for raw composite wood cores. CARB has further informed us that it has performed additional deconstructive testing on certain finished products it obtained in March 2015, with certain of the samples of our products exceeding the CARB limits for raw composite wood cores.

We have been fully cooperative with CARB as CARB continues to work on this matter by, among other things, providing CARB with requested information related to the products CARB tested and removing laminate flooring sourced from China from our stores in California. Based on discussions with CARB, our best estimate of the probable loss that may result from this matter is approximately \$1.5 million, which we recorded in other current liabilities and selling, general and administrative expenses in the fourth quarter of 2015. We believe that there is at least a reasonable possibility that a loss greater than the amount accrued may be incurred, but we are unable to estimate the amount at this time.

Securities Litigation Matter

On or about November 26, 2013, Gregg Kiken (Kiken) filed a securities class action lawsuit (the Kiken Lawsuit), which was subsequently amended, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia against us, our founder, former Chief Executive Officer and President, former Chief Financial Officer and former Chief Merchandising Officer (collectively, the Kiken Defendants). On or about September 17, 2014, the City of Hallandale Beach Police Officers' and Firefighters' Personnel Retirement Trust (Hallandale) filed a securities class action lawsuit (the Hallandale Lawsuit) in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia against us, our former Chief Executive Officer and President and our former Chief Financial Officer (collectively, the Hallandale Defendants, and with the Kiken Defendants, the Defendants). On March 23, 2015, the court consolidated the Kiken Lawsuit with the Hallandale Lawsuit, appointed lead plaintiffs and lead counsel for the consolidated action, and captioned the consolidated action as *In re Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. Securities Litigation*.

The lead plaintiffs filed a consolidated amended complaint on April 22, 2015. The consolidated amended complaint alleges that the Defendants made material false and/or misleading statements that caused losses to investors. In

Securities Laws 46

particular, the lead plaintiffs allege that the Defendants made material misstatements or omissions related to our compliance with the Lacey Act, the chemical content of certain of our wood products, and our supply chain and inventory position. The lead plaintiffs do not quantify any alleged damages in their consolidated amended complaint but, in addition to attorneys' fees and costs, they seek to recover damages on behalf of themselves and other persons who purchased or otherwise acquired our stock during the putative class period at allegedly inflated prices and purportedly suffered financial harm as a result. The Defendants moved to dismiss the consolidated amended complaint but, on December 21, 2015, the court denied this motion. We dispute these claims and intend to defend the matter vigorously. Given the uncertainty of

litigation, the current status of the case, and the legal standards that must be met for, among other things, class certification and success on the merits, we cannot estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss that may result from this action.

NW Bamboo Matter

On February 27, 2014, NW Bamboo Trim, Inc. (NWBT) filed suit in the Circuit Court of the City of Richmond, Virginia against us and a supplier of bamboo trim products (the Supplier). In its complaint, NWBT alleges that (i) we breached a contract with NWBT by not purchasing certain products from NWBT, (ii) we tortiously interfered with NWBT s relationship with the Supplier, and (iii) we and the Supplier conspired to harm NWBT s business. We filed a motion seeking to dismiss the claims, which was granted as it pertained to the breach of contract claim. The case then proceeded on the two remaining causes of action.

On October 12, 2015, as part of its required discovery disclosures, NWBT identified a valuation of its business of approximately \$2.8 million as the basis for its compensatory damages claim. Subsequently, we filed a motion for summary judgment seeking dismissal of NWBT s case. On December 21, 2015, the Court granted our motion for summary judgment and dismissed the two remaining causes of action in NWBT s complaint. On January 20, 2016, NWBT filed a notice of appeal in connection with the trial court s dismissal of NWBT s case.

In light of the trial court s ruling and our views regarding the merits of NWBT s appeal, the likelihood of a material loss in connection with this matter is now remote.

TCPA Matter

On or about March 4, 2014, Richard Wade Architects, P.C. (RWA) filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois (the RWA Lawsuit), which was subsequently amended, alleging that we violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA), the Illinois Consumer Fraud Act and the common law by sending an unsolicited facsimile advertisement to RWA and a proposed class. RWA sought recourse on its own behalf as well as other similarly situated parties who are members of the proposed class that received unsolicited facsimile advertisements from us. The TCPA provides for recovery of actual damages or five hundred dollars for each violation, whichever is greater. If it is determined that a defendant acted willfully or knowingly in violating the TCPA, the amount of the award may be increased by up to three times the amount provided above.

Although we believed we had valid defenses to the claims asserted, we entered into a settlement of the claims in the RWA Lawsuit. On September 3, 2015, the Court entered an order granting final approval to the settlement and certifying a settlement class. Under the settlement agreement, we paid a total of \$0.3 million including the plaintiffs attorneys fees, class notice and administration costs, a sum to RWA and cash payments to members of the settlement class who file valid claims. The settlement amount was accrued in 2014 and was paid into an escrow fund on August 18, 2015. The settlement payment was released to class counsel on October 16, 2015 after the final approval order became a final and non-appealable order. Settlement payments to class members who submitted claims have now been issued by the claims administrator, with a final accounting of the settlement fund to be filed with the court by March 4, 2016.

Prop 65 Matter

On or about July 23, 2014, Global Community Monitor and Sunshine Park LLC (together, the Prop 65 Plaintiffs) filed a lawsuit, which was subsequently amended, in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Alameda,

NW Bamboo Matter 48

against us. In the amended complaint, the Prop 65 Plaintiffs allege that we violated California s Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.5, et seq. (Proposition 65). In particular, the Prop 65 Plaintiffs allege that we failed to warn consumers in California that certain of our products (collectively, the Products) emit formaldehyde in excess of the applicable safe harbor limits. The Prop 65 Plaintiffs did not quantify any alleged damages in their amended complaint but, in addition to attorneys fees and costs, the Prop 65 Plaintiffs seek (i) equitable relief involving the reformulation of the Products, additional warnings related to the Products, the issuance of notices to certain of the purchasers of the Products (the Customers) and the waiver of restocking fees for Customers who return the Products and (ii) civil penalties in the amount of two thousand five hundred dollars per day for each violation of Proposition 65.

22

Prop 65 Matter 49

We dispute the claims of the Prop 65 Plaintiffs and intend to defend the matter vigorously. Our best estimate of the probable loss that may result from this action is approximately \$0.9 million, which we accrued in the fourth quarter of 2015. We believe that there is at least a reasonable possibility that a loss may differ from the amount accrued, but we are unable to estimate the amount at this time.

Gold Matter

On or about December 8, 2014, Dana Gold (Gold) filed a purported class action lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California alleging that the Morning Star bamboo flooring (the Bamboo Product) that we sell is defective. On February 13, 2015, Gold filed an amended complaint that added three additional plaintiffs (collectively with Gold, Gold Plaintiffs). We moved to dismiss the amended complaint. After holding a hearing and taking the motion under submission, the court dismissed most of Gold Plaintiffs claims but allowed certain omission-based claims to proceed. Gold Plaintiffs filed a Second Amended Complaint on December 16, 2015, and then a Third Amended Complaint on January 20, 2016. In the Third Amended Complaint, Gold Plaintiffs allege that we have engaged in unfair business practices and unfair competition by falsely representing the quality and characteristics of the Bamboo Product and by concealing the Bamboo Product s defective nature. Gold Plaintiffs seek the certification of a class of individuals in the United States who purchased the Bamboo Product, as well as 7 state subclasses of individuals who are residents of California, New York, Illinois, West Virginia, Minnesota, Pennsylvania, and Florida, respectively, and purchased the Bamboo Product for personal, family, or household use. Gold Plaintiffs did not quantify any alleged damages in their complaint but, in addition to attorneys fees and costs, Gold Plaintiffs seek (i) a declaration that our actions violate the law and that we are financially responsible for notifying all purported class members, (ii) injunctive relief requiring us to replace and/or repair all of the Bamboo Product installed in structures owned by the purported class members, and (iii) a declaration that we must disgorge, for the benefit of the purported classes, all or part of our profits received from the sale of the allegedly defective Bamboo Product and/or to make full restitution to Gold Plaintiffs and the purported class members.

We filed our answer to the Third Amended Complaint on February 3, 2016, and discovery in the matter is now proceeding. We dispute the Gold Plaintiffs—claims and intend to defend the matter vigorously. Given the uncertainty of litigation, the preliminary stage of the case, and the legal standards that must be met for, among other things, class certification and success on the merits, we cannot estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss that may result from this action.

Litigation Relating to Products Liability

Beginning on or about March 3, 2015, numerous purported class action cases were filed in various U.S. federal district courts and state courts involving claims of excessive formaldehyde emissions from our flooring products (collectively, the Products Liability Cases). The plaintiffs in these various actions sought recovery under a variety of theories, which although not identical are generally similar, including negligence, breach of warranty, state consumer protection act violations, state unfair competition act violations, state deceptive trade practices act violations, false advertising, fraudulent concealment, negligent misrepresentation, failure to warn, unjust enrichment and similar claims. The purported classes consisted either or both of all U.S. consumers or state consumers that purchased the subject products in certain time periods. The plaintiffs also sought various forms of declaratory and injunctive relief and various damages, including restitution, actual, compensatory, consequential, and, in certain cases, punitive damages, and interest, costs, and attorneys fees incurred by the plaintiffs and other purported class members in connection with the alleged claims, and orders certifying the actions as class actions. Plaintiffs had not quantified damages sought from us in these class actions.

Gold Matter 50

On June 12, 2015, United States Judicial Panel on Multi District Litigation, (the MDL Panel) issued an order transferring and consolidating 10 of the related federal class actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia (the Virginia Court). In a series of subsequent conditional transfer orders, the MDL Panel has transferred the other cases to the Virginia Court. We continue to seek to have any newly filed cases transferred and consolidated in the Virginia Court and ultimately, we expect all federal class actions involving formaldehyde allegations, including any newly filed cases, to be transferred and consolidated in the Virginia Court. The consolidated case in the Virginia Court is captioned *In re: Lumber Liquidators Chinese-Manufactured Flooring Products Marketing, Sales, Practices and Products Liability Litigation*.

Pursuant to court order, plaintiffs filed a Representative Class Action Complaint in the Virginia Court on September 11, 2015. The complaint challenged the labeling of our flooring products and asserted claims under California, New York, Illinois, Florida and Texas law for fraudulent concealment, violation of consumer protection statutes, negligent misrepresentation and declaratory relief, as well as a claim for breach of implied warranty under California law.

Thereafter, on September 18, 2015, plaintiffs filed the First Amended Representative Class Action Complaint (FARC) in which they added implied warranty claims under New York, Illinois, Florida and Texas law, as well as a federal warranty claim. We filed a motion to dismiss and answered the FARC. The Virginia Court granted the motion as to claims for negligent misrepresentation filed on behalf of certain plaintiffs, deferred as to class action allegations, and otherwise denied the motion. We also filed a motion to strike nationwide class allegations and a motion to strike all claims of personal injury made in class action complaints, on which the Virginia court has not yet ruled. Discovery is now proceeding in this matter.

In addition, on or about April 1, 2015, Sarah Steele (Steele) filed a purported class action lawsuit in the Ontario, Canada Superior Court of Justice against us. In the complaint, Steele s allegations include (i) strict liability, (ii) breach of implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose, (iii) breach of implied warranty of merchantability, (iv) fraud by concealment, (v) civil negligence, (vi) negligent misrepresentation, and (vii) breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. Steele did not quantify any alleged damages in her complaint but, in addition to attorneys fees and costs, Steele seeks (i) compensatory damages, (ii) punitive, exemplary and aggravated damages, and (iii) statutory remedies related to our breach of various laws including the Sales of Goods Act, the Consumer Protection Act, the Competition Act, the Consumer Packaging and Labelling Act and the Canada Consumer Product Safety Act.

We dispute the plaintiffs—claims and intend to defend these matters vigorously. Given the uncertainty of litigation, the preliminary stage of these cases and the legal standards that must be met for, among other things, class certification and success on the merits, we cannot estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss that may result from these actions.

In connection with the Products Liability Cases, on April 22, 2015, five of our general and umbrella liability insurers brought an action in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, (the Virginia Action). Through the Virginia Action, these insurers sought a declaratory judgment that they were not obligated to defend or indemnify us in connection with the lawsuits asserted against us arising out of its sale of laminate flooring sourced from China. One insurer also asserted a claim seeking reformation of one policy to include a total pollution exclusion endorsement, contending that it was omitted from that policy as the result of a mutual mistake.

On April 27, 2015, we filed a similar but more comprehensive action against nine of our general, umbrella and excess insurers (including the five Plaintiffs in the Virginia Action) in the Circuit Court for Dane County, Wisconsin (where four of the insurers are domiciled) (the Wisconsin Action). In the Wisconsin Action, we asserted breach of contract claims against its general liability insurers, alleging that these insurers had wrongfully failed to defend us in connection with the Chinese-manufactured laminate flooring claims. We also asserted breach of contract and bad faith claims against two of its general liability insurers, arising out of the manner in which those insurers computed retrospective premiums under their policies in connection with the Chinese-manufactured laminate flooring lawsuits. Finally, we sought declaratory relief from the court as to its rights and the insurers responsibilities under their policies.

We moved to dismiss the Virginia Action, contending that the federal court should abstain from deciding the case in favor of the more comprehensive state-court Wisconsin Action. Thereafter, the four insurers who were not plaintiffs in the Virginia Action have filed motions to intervene as plaintiffs in the Virginia Action, in an effort to make the Virginia Action as comprehensive as the Wisconsin Action. We have opposed the motions to intervene. By order dated September 4, 2015, the court largely denied our motion to dismiss, allowing the Virginia Action to proceed. While the court dismissed the reformation claim without prejudice, as pled with insufficient specificity, the court

granted leave to amend, and an amended complaint was filed on September 15, 2015. On October 2, 2015, we stipulated to entry of judgment on the reformation claim, and moved to dismiss the remaining claims in favor of proceeding in Wisconsin. The defendant-insurers in the Wisconsin Action have filed motions to dismiss or stay the Wisconsin Action in favor of the Virginia Action.

The defendants in the Wisconsin Action have also moved for protective orders seeking to forestall their obligation to respond to discovery requests that we promulgated in the Wisconsin Action.

On February 1, 2016, the Wisconsin court stayed the Wisconsin Action in favor of the proceedings in Virginia. On February 5, 2016, we moved for reconsideration and that motion remains pending.

On February 9, 2016, the Virginia court denied our motion to dismiss. The Virginia court also granted the remaining insurers motion to intervene, but stayed proceedings on their excess and umbrella insurance policies pending resolution of the primary insurers claims.

Litigation Relating to Abrasion Claims

On May 20, 2015, a purported class action titled Abad v. Lumber Liquidators, Inc. was filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California and two amended complaints were subsequently filed. In the Second Amended Complaint (SAC), the plaintiffs (collectively, the Abrasion Plaintiffs)seek to certify a national class composed of All Persons in the United States who purchased Defendant s Dream Home brand laminate flooring products from Defendant for personal use in their homes, or, in the alternative, 32 statewide classes from California, North Carolina, Texas, New Jersey, Florida, Nevada, Connecticut, Iowa, Minnesota, Nebraska, Georgia, Maryland, Massachusetts, New York, West Virginia, Kansas, Kentucky, Mississippi, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, Washington, Maine, Michigan, Missouri, Ohio, Oklahoma, Wisconsin, Indiana, Illinois and Louisiana. The SAC alleges violations of each of these states consumer protections statutes and the federal Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, as well as breach of implied warranty and fraudulent concealment. The Abrasion Plaintiffs did not quantify any alleged damages in the SAC but, in addition to attorneys fees and costs, seek an order certifying the action as a class action, an order adopting the Abrasion Plaintiffs class definitions and finding that the Abrasion Plaintiffs are their proper representatives, an order appointing their counsel as class counsel, injunctive relief prohibiting us from continuing to advertise and/or sell laminate flooring products with false abrasion class ratings, restitution of all monies it received from the Abrasion Plaintiffs and class members, damages (actual, compensatory, and consequential) and punitive damages.

We filed a motion to dismiss the SAC and the Abrasion Plaintiffs filed a motion for leave to file a corrected SAC. Our motion was subsequently granted in part and denied in part. The court also denied the Abrasion Plaintiffs motion for leave to file a corrected SAC. The Abrasion Plaintiffs have until March 1, 2016, to file a Third Amended Complaint. We dispute the Abrasion Plaintiffs claims and intend to defend these matters vigorously. Given the uncertainty of litigation, the preliminary stage of these cases, the legal standards that must be met for, among other things, class certification and success on the merits, we cannot estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss that may result from these actions.

Morris Matter

On or about August 18, 2015, Kevin Morris (Morris) filed a purported class action lawsuit in the Circuit Court of the Twentieth Judicial Circuit in St. Clair County, Illinois alleging that the Casa de Colour Collection by Dura-Wood flooring (the Morris Product), a brand of solid wood flooring sold by us, is defective due to warping, cupping and buckling. Morris alleges that we have engaged in unfair business practices and unfair competition by falsely representing the quality and characteristics of the Morris Product and by concealing the Morris Product s defective nature. In particular, Morris s allegations include (i) common law fraud, (ii) breach of implied warranty, (iii) breach of express warranty, (iv) breach of contract, (v) breach of duty of good faith and fair dealing, (vi) violation of the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act (the ICFA) and (vii) violation of the Uniform Deceptive Trade

Practices Act (the UDTPA). Morris did not quantify any alleged damages in his complaint but, in addition to attorneys fees and costs, Morris seeks (i) certification of the purposed class, (ii) injunctive relief requiring us to replace and/or repair all Morris Products installed in structures owned by the purported class, (iii) an award of compensatory, consequential and statutory damages, pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest, (iv) a declaration that we must disgorge, for the benefit of the purported class, all or part of our profits received from the sale of the Morris Product and/or to make full restitution to Morris and the purported class, (v) a judgment for actual damages for injuries suffered by Morris and the purported class as a result of our violation of the ICFA and (vi) a judgment awarding Morris and the purported class reasonable attorneys fees and costs in accordance with the UDTPA. On September 25, 2015, we removed the action to the United States District Court for the

25

Morris Matter 55

Southern District of Illinois. Subsequently, we filed a motion to dismiss. Morris failed to respond to the motion and, as a result, the lawsuit was dismissed without prejudice on November 10, 2015.

Ross Matter

On or about February 23, 2016, Joseph Ross and Linda Ross (collectively, Ross) filed a purported class action lawsuit in the Second Judicial District Court, State of Nevada, County of Washoe. Ross seeks the certification of a class of individuals in the State of Nevada who purchased certain hardwood flooring products produced in China (the Ross Products). Ross alleges that the Ross Products are defective due to the Ross Products being contaminated with certain wood-boring insects. In particular, Ross sallegations include (i) breach of warranty, (ii) negligence, (iii) strict liability, (iv) negligent misrepresentation, (v) willful misconduct, and (vi) unjust enrichment. In the complaint, Ross seeks (i) general and special damages according to proof in excess of fifty thousand dollars, (ii) attorneys fees and costs according to proof, (iii) prejudgment and post-judgment interest on all sums awarded, according to proof at the maximum legal rate, (iv) costs of the lawsuit incurred, (v) restitution as authorized by law, (vi) punitive damages as authorized by law, and (vii) specific performance under our express warranties. We dispute Ross s claims and intend to defend the matter vigorously. Given the uncertainty of litigation, the preliminary stage of the case, and the legal standards that must be met for, among other things, class certification and success on the merits, we cannot estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss that may result from this action.

Derivative Litigation Matters

Consolidated Cases

On or about March 11, 2015, R. Andre Klein (Klein) filed a shareholder derivative suit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia against our directors at that time, as well as our Senior Vice President, Supply Chain, former Chief Merchandising Officer, and former Chief Financial Officer (collectively, the Klein Defendants). On or about April 1, 2015, Phuc Doan (Doan) filed a shareholder derivative suit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia against our directors at that time, as well as our Senior Vice President, Supply Chain, former Chief Merchandising Officer, and former Chief Financial Officer (collectively, the Doan Defendants). On or about April 15, 2015, Amalgamated Bank, as trustee for the Longview 600 Small Cap Index Fund, filed a shareholder derivative suit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia against our directors at that time, as well as our former Chief Merchandising Officer, former Chief Financial Officer, Senior Vice President, Supply Chain and its former Chief Executive Officer and President (collectively, the Amalgamated Defendants, and, with the Klein and Doan Defendants, the Individual Defendants). We were named as a nominal defendant only in these three suits.

On May 27, 2015, the court consolidated the Klein, Doan, and Amalgamated Bank suits, appointed lead plaintiffs and lead counsel for the consolidated action, and captioned the consolidated action as *In re Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation*. In the complaints, Klein s, Doan s and Amalgamated Bank s (collectively, Plaintiffs) allegations include (i) breach of fiduciary duties, (ii) abuse of control, (iii) gross mismanagement, (iv) unjust enrichment, (v) insider trading, (vi) corporate waste, (vii) common-law conspiracy, and (viii) statutory conspiracy. Plaintiffs did not quantify any alleged damages in their complaints but, in addition to attorneys fees and costs, Plaintiffs seek (1) a declaration that the Individual Defendants have breached and/or aided and abetted the breach of their fiduciary duties to us, (2) a determination and award to us of the damages sustained by us as a result of the violations of each of the Individual Defendants, jointly and severally, (3) a directive to us and the Individual Defendants to take all necessary actions to reform and improve our corporate governance and internal procedures to comply with applicable laws and to protect us and our shareholders from a repeat of the events that led to the filing of

Ross Matter 56

this action, (4) a determination and award to us of exemplary damages in an amount necessary to punish the Individual Defendants and to make an example of the Individual Defendants to the community according to proof of trial, (5) the awarding of restitution to us from the Individual Defendants, (6) a requirement that we establish corporate policies and procedures prohibiting the use of Chinese manufacturers of its products, (7) a prohibition against us using wood or wood products from the Russian Far East, (8) a requirement that we establish corporate policies and procedures to ensure compliance with CARB standards for all of our flooring products, and (9) disgorgement and payment to us of all compensation and profits made by the Individual

26

Consolidated Cases 57

Defendants, and each of them, at any time during which such Individual Defendants were breaching fiduciary duties owed to us and/or committing, or aiding and abetting the commitment of, corporate waste.

Additionally, in May 2015, we received a shareholder demand from Timothy Horton (Horton). The allegations and demands made by Horton overlap substantially with those raised in the consolidated action. On June 11, 2015, the Special Committee of the Board of Directors (the Special Committee) exercised its authority to create a three-person Demand Review Committee, which is comprised of three independent directors and tasked with investigating the claims made in the consolidated action and the Horton demand letter and making a recommendation to the board of directors as to whether it would be in the best interests of the Company to pursue any of those claims. Thereafter, the members of the Demand Review Committee filed a motion to stay the consolidated action pending completion by the Demand Review Committee of its investigation and recommendation to the board of directors.

Further, in the consolidated action, we filed a motion to dismiss based on the failure to make a demand upon our board of directors, and the Individual Defendants filed a motion to dismiss based on the failure to state a claim. These motions are fully briefed and pending before the court. Based on the uncertainty of litigation and the preliminary stage of the case, we cannot estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss that may result from this action.

Costello Matter

On or about March 6, 2015, James Costello (Costello) filed a shareholder derivative suit in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware against our directors at that time (the Costello Derivative Defendants). We were named as a nominal defendant only. On April 1, 2015, the case was voluntarily stayed. On June 19, 2015, the stay was lifted at Costello s request and Costello subsequently filed an amended complaint. The amended complaint added our Senior Vice President, Supply Chain, former Chief Merchandising Officer and former Chief Financial Officer as defendants (along with the Derivative Defendants, the Costello Defendants). Costello s allegations include (i) breach of fiduciary duties, (ii) gross mismanagement, (iii) unjust enrichment, and (iv) insider selling and the misappropriation of certain of our information in connection therewith. Costello did not quantify any alleged damages in the amended complaint but, in addition to attorneys fees and costs, Costello seeks (i) against the Costello Defendants and in our favor the amount of damages sustained by us as a result of the Costello Defendants breaches of fiduciary duties, gross mismanagement and unjust enrichment, (ii) extraordinary equitable and/or injunctive relief, including attaching, impounding, imposing a constructive trust on or otherwise restricting the proceeds of the Costello Defendants trading activities or their assets, (iii) awarding to us restitution from the Costello Defendants, and each of them, and ordering disgorgement of all profits, benefits and other compensation obtained by the Costello Defendants; and (iv) additional equitable and/or injunctive relief that would require us to institute certain compliance policies and procedures.

We filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint based on the failure to make a demand upon our board of directors and the Costello Defendants filed a motion to dismiss based on the failure to state a claim and the exculpatory provision in the Company s Certificate of Incorporation. On September 14, 2015, the parties entered into a stipulation voluntarily staying the case until the Demand Review Committee has an opportunity to investigate Costello s allegations and make a recommendation to our board of directors, and the board of directors has the opportunity to act on that recommendation. The court has approved the stipulation.

Based on the uncertainty of litigation and the preliminary stage of the case, we cannot estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss that may result from this action.

Costello Matter 58

McBride Matter

On or about March 27, 2015, James Michael McBride (McBride) filed a shareholder derivative suit in the Circuit Court of the City of Williamsburg and County of James City, Virginia against our directors at that time, as well as our former Chief Merchandising Officer and former Chief Financial Officer (collectively, the McBride Defendants). We were named as a nominal defendant only. In the complaint, McBride s allegations include (i) breach of fiduciary duties, (ii) gross mismanagement, (iii) abuse of control, (iv) insider trading, and (v) unjust enrichment. McBride did not quantify any alleged damages in his complaint but, in addition to attorneys fees and costs, McBride seeks (i) the awarding, against the McBride Defendants, and in favor of us, of damages sustained by us as a result of certain of the McBride Defendants breaches of their

27

McBride Matter 59

fiduciary duties and (ii) a directive to us to (a) take all necessary actions to reform and improve our corporate governance and internal procedures, (b) comply with our existing governance obligations and all applicable laws and (c) protect us and our investors from a recurrence of the events that led to the filing of this action. On July 6, 2015, McBride filed an amended complaint. The amended complaint added claims for statutory conspiracy and common law conspiracy and, in connection with the statutory conspiracy claim, seeks damages in the amount of three times the actual damages incurred by us as the result of the alleged wrongful acts. Pursuant to a voluntary agreement between the parties, the defendants have not yet responded to the amended complaint. Based on the uncertainty of litigation and the preliminary stage of the case, we cannot estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss that may result from this action.

Antidumping and Countervailing Duties Investigation

In October 2010, a conglomeration of domestic manufacturers of multilayered wood flooring filed a petition seeking the imposition of antidumping (AD) and countervailing duties (CVD) with the United States Department of Commerce (DOC) and the United States International Trade Commission (ITC) against imports of multilayered wood flooring from China. This ruling applies to our engineered hardwood imported from China, which accounted for approximately 10% of our flooring purchases in 2014 and approximately 6% of our flooring purchases in 2015.

The DOC made preliminary determinations regarding CVD and AD rates in April 2011 and May 2011, respectively. In December 2011, after certain determinations were made by the ITC and DOC, orders were issued setting final AD and CVD rates at 3.3% and 1.5%, respectively. These rates became effective in the form of additional duty deposits, which we have paid, and applied retroactively to the DOC preliminary determinations of April 2011 and May 2011.

Following the issuance of the orders, a number of appeals were filed by several parties, including us, with the Court of International Trade (CIT) challenging various aspects of the determinations made by both the ITC and DOC, including certain aspects that may impact the validity of the AD and CVD orders and the applicable rates. The appeal of the CVD order was dismissed in June 2015. On January 23, 2015, the CIT issued a decision rejecting the challenge of the AD rate for all but one Chinese exporter. This decision was finalized on July 6, 2015, appealed to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit on July 31, 2015 and may take a year to conclude.

As part of its processes in these proceedings, the DOC conducts annual reviews of the CVD and AD rates. In such cases, the DOC will issue preliminary rates that are not binding and were subject to comment by interested parties. After consideration of the comments received, the DOC will issue final rates for the applicable period, which may lag by a year or more. As rates are adjusted through the administrative reviews, we adjust our payments prospectively based on the final rate.

In the first DOC annual review in this matter, rates were modified for AD rates through November 2012 and for CVD rates through 2011. Specifically, the AD rate was set at 5.92% and the CVD rate was set at 0.83%. These rates are being appealed to the CIT by several parties, including us. Based on what has been paid by us to date for the periods covered by the first annual review, we believed our best estimate of the probable loss was approximately \$0.8 million for shipments during the applicable time periods covered by the first annual review, which we recorded as a long-term liability in our accompanying consolidated balance sheet and in cost of sales in our second quarter 2015 consolidated financial statements.

In January 2015, pursuant to the second annual review, the DOC issued a non-binding preliminary AD rate of 18.27% for purchases from December 2012 through November 2013 and a preliminary CVD rate of 0.97% for purchases in fiscal year 2012. The rates were finalized in early July 2015 with the AD rate set at 13.74% and the CVD rate set at

0.99%. We have appealed these rates. Notwithstanding our appeal, as these rates are now confirmed, we believe our best estimate of the probable loss was approximately \$4.1 million for shipments during the applicable time periods, which we recorded as a long-term liability in our accompanying consolidated balance sheet and in cost of sales in our second quarter 2015 consolidated financial statements. Beginning in July 2015, we began paying these rates on each applicable purchase.

The third annual review of the AD and CVD rates was initiated in February 2015. In January 2016, the DOC issued non-binding preliminary results in the third annual review. The preliminary AD rate was 13.34% and the CVD preliminary rate was 1.43%. These rates are expected to be finalized in the DOC s final results in May 2016. Any change in the applicable rates as a result of the third annual review would apply to imports occurring after the second period of review.

Based on the preliminary rates set in January 2016 in the third annual review for shipments subsequent to November 2013 (AD) and shipments subsequent to December 2012 (CVD), we would owe an additional \$5.3 million for all shipments through December 31, 2015. As no rates have been finalized for these periods, we have not recorded an accrual in our consolidated financial statements for the impact of higher rates for the time periods subsequent to the second annual review. Based on the information available, we believe there is at least a reasonable possibility that an additional charge may be incurred in the range of \$0 to \$5.3 million. A loss greater than this amount may be incurred, but we are unable to estimate the amount at this time.

In February 2016, the DOC initiated the fourth annual review of AD and CVD rates, which we expect will follow a similar schedule as the preceding review.

Other Matters

We are also, from time to time, subject to claims and disputes arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, while the outcome of any such claims and disputes cannot be predicted with certainty, our ultimate liability in connection with these matters is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

None.

29

Other Matters 62

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Market Information

Our common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the trading symbol LL. We are authorized to issue up to 35,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001. Total shares of common stock outstanding at February 25, 2016 were 27,088,460, and we had eight stockholders of record.

The following table sets forth the range of high and low sales prices per share as reported by the NYSE for each quarter during the last two fiscal years.

	Price Ran	Price Range		
	High	Low		
2015:				
Fourth Quarter	\$ 21.74	\$ 12.80		
Third Quarter	21.42	11.62		
Second Quarter	35.18	20.01		
First Quarter	69.99	27.15		
2014:				
Fourth Quarter	\$ 67.86	\$ 47.76		
Third Quarter	77.27	52.76		
Second Quarter	96.75	72.86		
First Quarter	111.74	86.26		

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table presents our share repurchase activity for the quarter ended December 31, 2015 (dollars in thousands, except per share amounts):

Period Number of Shares Pri	Number of Shares verage Purchased as ice Paid Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Dollar Value that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs ⁽²⁾
October 1, 2015 to October 31, 2015 \$		\$ 14,728
November 1, 2015 to November 30, 2015 581 December 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015	13.71	14,728 14,728

PART II 63

Total 581 \$ 13.71 \$ 14,728

- (1) We repurchased 581 shares of our common stock in connection with the net settlement of shares issued as a result of the vesting of restricted shares during the quarter ended December 31, 2015.

 Our initial stock repurchase program, which authorized the repurchase of up to \$50 million in common stock, was
 - our initial stock repurchase program, which authorized the repurchase of up to \$50 million in common stock, was authorized by our board of directors and publicly announced on February 22, 2012. Our board of directors
- (2) subsequently authorized two additional stock repurchase programs, each of which authorized the repurchase of up to an additional \$50 million in common stock. These programs were publicly announced on November 15, 2012 and February 19, 2014, respectively.

Dividend Policy

We have never paid any dividends on our common stock. Any future decision to pay cash dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will be dependent on our results of operations, financial condition, contractual restrictions and other factors that the board of directors considers relevant.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

See Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters for information regarding securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans.

Performance Graph

The following graph compares the performance of our common stock during the period beginning December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2015, to that of the total return index for the NYSE Composite, the Dow Jones US Furnishings Index and the S&P SmallCap 600 Index (which includes Lumber Liquidators) assuming an investment of \$100 on December 31, 2010. In calculating total annual stockholder return, reinvestment of dividends, if any, is assumed. The indices are included for comparative purpose only. They do not necessarily reflect management s opinion that such indices are an appropriate measure of the relative performance of our common stock.

	12/31/201	1012/31/201	1112/31/201	212/31/201	1312/31/201	1412/31/2015
Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc	\$ 100.00	\$70.90	\$212.08	\$413.05	\$266.20	\$69.69
Dow Jones US Furnishings Index	\$ 100.00	\$105.55	\$119.02	\$175.47	\$200.60	\$222.66
S&P Smallcap 600 Index	\$ 100.00	\$101.02	\$117.51	\$166.05	\$175.61	\$172.15
NYSE Composite	\$100.00	\$96.43	\$112.10	\$141.70	\$151.44	\$145.40

31

Dividend Policy 65

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The selected statements of income data for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 and the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this report. This information should be read in conjunction with those audited financial statements, the notes thereto, and Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this report.

The selected balance sheet data set forth below as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and income data for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements contained in reports previously filed with the SEC, which are not included herein. Our historical results are not necessarily indicative of our results for any future period.

	Year Ended December 31, 2015 ⁽¹⁾ 2014 2013						2012		2011	
	(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)									
Statement of Income Data										
Net Sales	\$978,776		\$1,047,419		\$1,000,240		\$813,327		\$681,587	
Comparable store net sales (decrease) increase ⁽²⁾	(11.1)%	(4.3)%	15.8	%	11.4	%	(2.0)%
Cost of Sales	699,918		629,252		589,257		504,542		440,912	
Gross Profit	278,858		418,167		410,983		308,785		240,675	
Selling, General and Administrative Expenses	362,051		314,094		284,960		230,439		198,237	
Operating (Loss) Income	(83,193)	104,073		126,023		78,346		42,438	
Interest expense	309		84		ŕ		•		•	
Other (Income) Expense ⁽³⁾	(75)	406		(442)	(140)	(587)
(Loss) Income Before Income Taxes	(83,427)	103,583		126,465		78,486	ŕ	43,025	ŕ
Provision for income taxes	(26,994)	40,212		49,070		31,422		16,769	
Net (Loss) Income	\$(56,433)	\$63,371		\$77,395		\$47,064		\$26,256	
Net (loss) income per common			•		•					
share:										
Basic	\$(2.08)	\$2.32		\$2.82		\$1.71		\$0.95	
Diluted	\$(2.08)	\$2.31		\$2.77		\$1.68		\$0.93	
Weighted average common shares										
outstanding:										
Basic	27,082,29	9	27,264,882	2	27,484,79	0	27,448,33	33	27,706,62	29
Diluted	27,082,29	9	27,485,852	2	27,914,32	2	28,031,45	53	28,379,69	93

Results for the year ended December 31, 2015 include pre-tax expenses of \$9.4 million related to the purchase of testing kits and professional fees in connection with our indoor air quality testing program, incremental legal and professional fees and settlement expenses, related to our defense of various legal matters of approximately \$37.0 million, asset impairment charges and other expenses related to the simplification of our business and employee retention totaling approximately \$16.2 million, and the write down of our laminates and associated moldings sourced from China totaling approximately \$22.5 million.

Performance Graph 66

(2) A store is generally considered comparable on the first day of the thirteenth full calendar month after opening.

(3) Includes interest income.

	Year Ended December 31,							
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011			
	(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)							
Balance Sheet Data								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$26,703	\$20,287	\$80,634	\$64,167	\$61,675			
Merchandise inventories	244,402	314,371	252,428	206,704	164,139			
Total assets	456,202	493,462	429,559	347,387	294,854			
Customer deposits and store credits	33,771	34,943	22,377	25,747	18,120			
Total debt and capital lease obligations,	20,000							
including current maturities	20,000							
Total stockholders' equity	277,568	332,054	309,329	234,541	215,084			
Working capital	195,044	213,030	245,207	187,118	167,248			
Other Data								
Total stores in operation	374	352	318	288	263			
Average sales	\$1,625	\$1,675	\$1,705	\$1,600	\$1,560			

⁽¹⁾ Working capital is defined as current assets minus current liabilities.

Average sale, calculated on a total company basis, is defined as the average invoiced sale per customer, measured (2) on a monthly basis and excluding transactions of less than \$250 (which are generally sample orders, or add-ons or fill-ins to previous orders) and of more than \$30,000 (which are usually contractor orders).

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Overview

Lumber Liquidators is the largest specialty retailer of hardwood flooring in North America, offering a complete purchasing solution across an extensive assortment of exotic and domestic hardwood species, engineered hardwood, laminate, resilient vinyl, bamboo and cork. At December 31, 2015, we sold our products through 374 Lumber Liquidators stores in 46 states in the United States (U.S.) and in Canada, a call center, websites and catalogs.

We believe we have achieved a reputation for offering great value, superior service and a broad selection of high-quality hardwood flooring products. With a balance of price, selection, quality, availability and service, we believe our value proposition is the most complete within a highly-fragmented hardwood flooring market. The foundation for our value proposition is strengthened by our unique store model, the industry expertise of our people, our singular focus on hard-surface flooring and our expansion of our advertising reach and frequency.

Executive Summary

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 we reported a net loss of \$56.4 million, or \$(2.08) per diluted share, compared to net income of \$63.4 million, or \$2.31 per diluted share in the year ended December 31, 2014. Our operating results for the year ended December 31, 2015 included:

Expenses of \$9.4 million related to the purchase of testing kits and professional fees in connection with our indoor air quality testing program,

Incremental legal and professional fees and settlement expenses, related to our defense of various legal matters of approximately \$37.0 million,

Asset impairment charges and other expenses related to the simplification of our business totaling approximately \$10.9 million,

Employee retention expenses totaling approximately \$5.3 million and Write down of our laminates and associated moldings sourced from China totaling approximately \$22.5 million. 33

Executive Summary 69

Our net sales for the year ended December 31, 2015 were \$978.8 million, a decrease of \$68.6 million from the \$1.05 billion recorded during the year ended December 31, 2014. Our comparable store sales decreased 11.1%, driven by a 8.0% decrease in the number of customers invoiced and a 3.1% decrease in our average sale.

We believe our net sales were negatively impacted by certain unfavorable allegations made surrounding the product quality, and subsequent suspension, of our laminates sourced from China. The allegations were part of a 60 Minutes episode that originally aired on March 1, 2015 (the Broadcast). During 2015, we responded to the impact of these allegations on our sales by focusing our efforts on getting back to basics, taking care of our customers, and executing on our value proposition. As a result of these efforts, we accomplished the following:

Reduced our inventory levels and improved the quality of our on hand inventory while conserving cash flow, Simplified our product assortment by eliminating approximately 140 flooring varieties and related moldings, which were identified as duplicative, discontinued or less popular products, to improve the customer shopping experience, Returned to the core of our business by ending planned vertical integration initiatives and tile expansions,

Improved our vendor relationships across the globe, and Distributed over 48,000 free indoor air quality screening kits as part of our voluntary commitment to help our customers better understand the air quality in their homes. Refer to *Other Matters* for further discussion of the indoor

air quality testing program.

Settlement of the Lacey Act Investigation

Additionally, in conjunction with the Special Committee of the Board of Directors (the Special Committee), we continue to address various legal matters and regulatory matters facing the Company. As previously disclosed, during the fourth quarter of 2015, we entered into a settlement with the DOJ related to our compliance with the Lacey Act. The settlement requires the Company to implement an Environmental Compliance Program (the Compliance Plan) and pay certain fines and forfeit assets totaling approximately \$13.2 million. Based on our current expectations, we anticipate implementation and ongoing compliance costs of these enhancements to cost up to \$3.5 million through 2016, of which approximately \$0.5 million was incurred in the fourth quarter of 2015. We believe the ongoing costs of our compliance program will be higher than historical levels as we implement the Compliance Plan, however, the scope of those costs will be determined by future sourcing initiatives and other factors. Refer to Part I, Item 3. Legal Proceedings for a complete description of legal and regulatory issues facing the Company.

Update on Laminate Flooring Sourced from China

On May 7, 2015, we suspended the sale of our laminate products sourced from China pending further assessment of the situation. As a part of our assessment, we considered expectations regarding customer sentiment, market conditions, findings by regulatory agencies, legal proceedings, channels for disposition and other factors. During the quarter ended December 31, 2015, we determined that we would not sell our current inventory of laminate flooring sourced from China in our stores as a result of strategic and operational considerations including the potential distraction these products could have on our employees and our business. As a result of this decision, we reduced the carrying value of this laminate flooring and related moldings to its net realizable value of zero, resulting in a charge of approximately \$22.5 million to cost of sales. We expect to incur certain costs in the first half of 2016 related to the consolidation of this laminate inventory to a central warehouse of between \$1.0 million and \$3.0 million. We may also incur additional costs in future periods related to the ultimate disposition of this product.

In order to meet customer demand, we shifted the sourcing of laminate products previously manufactured in China to suppliers located in Europe and North America. We believe our laminate assortment has been received positively by our customers and further believe the sales of such products will approach historical

levels as a percentage of our sales mix. Laminates represented approximately 15.7% of our sales in 2015 compared to 19.1% and 19.6% in 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Consumer Product Safety Commission Investigation

In March 2015, we received notice from the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) that it was opening an investigation into the safety of formaldehyde in our laminate flooring sourced from China. We have cooperated with the CPSC throughout its investigation. During its investigation, the CPSC shared test results related to its independent testing of our laminate flooring sourced from China with the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). On February 10, 2016, the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) published its findings regarding formaldehyde emissions from a limited sample set of our flooring that had been tested by the CPSC. The ATSDR report concluded that the 33 samples tested posed a low risk of cancer from exposure and that the amount of formaldehyde released could lead to health symptoms such as an increase in breathing problems in certain susceptible populations as well as short-term eye, nose or throat irritation. On February 18, 2016, the ATSDR issued a statement indicating its February 10, 2016 report contained certain errors in their calculations. In their statement, the ATSDR, after correcting their model, preliminarily revised its assessment of the possible health effects indicating that the samples tested could cause increased symptoms and other respiratory issues for people with asthma and COPD. Additionally, the statement noted that individuals could experience eye, nose and throat irritation at the lowest modeled levels of formaldehyde. Finally, the statement indicated the ATSDR had preliminarily increased the estimated risk of cancer from 2-9 cases per 100,000 people to 6-30 cases per 100,000 people but reconfirmed the model used was conservative and that the calculated cancer risk is likely lower. The ATSDR has indicated that its recommendations will likely remain the same, but the agency will conduct a quality review of the model and revised results before issuing its revised report.

The CPSC s investigation has not concluded, and we will continue to work with the CPSC.

Strategic Direction

We are focused on several key initiatives that we believe will strengthen our operations and provide an improved shopping experience to our customers. These initiatives focus the Company on getting back to the basics of what we do well and include:

Focusing on store performance: We believe our store model highlights our assortment in a good-better-best format and provides a competitive advantage by allowing our associates to maximize the amount of time they devote to assisting customers throughout the buying process. We intend to place additional focus on training our store associates and will also ensure our incentive programs appropriately align store goals to our corporate strategy.

Strengthening our value proposition: We offer a broad assortment of high quality flooring in varying widths, species, and constructions, as well as moldings and accessories, in addition to focusing on emerging product categories such as wood look tile and butcher block countertops. We seek to ensure that these products are available to meet customer demand at attractive retail prices and train our store associates to be experts in the products we sell. We have devoted significant resources to identify opportunities and execute corrective actions to improve underperforming stores. Additionally, we are placing an increased emphasis on associate training and store procedures.

Responsible, compliant sourcing activities: We continue to enhance certain compliance procedures, which we believe will allow the Company to confidently source products from anywhere in the world. **Opportunistically expanding our business to better serve our customers:** We serve both DIY customers as well as DIFM customers who choose to select their flooring products but prefer to have those products installed for them.

Since 2013, we have increased the number of stores which offer installation services coordinated by our associates, which increases our average sale as well as the gross profit generated from those customers.

35

Strategic Direction 73

We believe the selected sales data, the percentage relationship between Net Sales and major categories in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and the percentage change in the dollar amounts of each of the items presented below are important in evaluating the performance of our business operations.

	% of Net Year Ende	Sales ed December	31,	% Increase (Decrease) in Dollar Amounts
	2015	2014	2013	2015 2014 vs. 2014 vs. 2013
Net Sales	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-6.6 % 4.7 %
Gross Profit	28.5 %	39.9 %	41.1 %	-33.3 % 1.7 %
Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses	37.0 %	30.0 %	28.5 %	15.3 % 10.2 %
Operating Income (Loss)	(8.5)%	9.9 %	12.6 %	-179.9% -17.4 %
Other (Income) Expense	0.0 %	0.0 %	(0.0)%	-52.2 % -210.9 %
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	(8.5)%	9.9 %	12.6 %	-180.5% -18.1 %
Provision for Income Taxes	(2.8)%	3.8 %	4.9 %	-167.1% -18.1 %
Net Income (Loss)	(5.8)%	6.1 %	7.7 %	-189.1% -18.1 %
SELECTED SALES DATA				
Average Sale ⁽¹⁾	\$1,625	\$1,675	\$1,705	-3.1 % -1.8 %
Average Retail Price per Unit Sold ⁽²⁾	(6.0)%	(1.9)%	5.7 %	
Comparable Store Sales Increase (Decrease) (%)	(11.1)%	(4.3)%	15.8 %	
Number of Stores Open, end of period	374	352	318	
Number of Stores in Expanded Showroom Format	137	103	52	
Number of Stores Opened in Period	23	34	30	
Number of Stores Closed in Period	1			
Number of Stores Remodeled in Period ⁽³⁾ Comparable stores ⁽⁴⁾ :	12	17	22	
Customers invoiced ⁽⁵⁾	(8.0)%	(2.5)%	9.2 %	
Net sales of stores operating for 13 to 36 months	(6.2)%		21.8 %	
Net sales of stores operating for more than 36 months	(11.8)%	(5.1)%	14.9 %	
Net sales in markets with all stores comparable (no cannibalization)	(9.5)%	(0.3)%	18.2 %	
Net sales in cannibalized markets ⁽⁶⁾	9.9 %	17.2 %	45.2 %	

Average sale, calculated on a total company basis, is defined as the average invoiced sale per customer, measured (1) on a monthly basis and excluding transactions of less than \$250 (which are generally sample orders, or add-ons or fill-ins to previous orders) and of more than \$30,000 (which are usually contractor orders).

- (2) Average retail price per unit sold is calculated on a total company basis and excludes non-merchandise revenue.
 - A remodeled store remains a comparable store as long as it is relocated within the primary trade area.
- (4) A store is generally considered comparable on the first day of the thirteenth full calendar month after opening.
- Change in number of customers invoiced is calculated by applying the average sale to total net sales at comparable stores.
 - (6) A cannibalized market has at least one comparable store and one non-comparable store.

Strategic Direction 74

Strategic Direction 75

Results of Operations

For an understanding of the significant factors that influenced our performance during the past three fiscal years, the following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements presented in this report.

Fiscal 2015 Compared to Fiscal 2014

Net Sales

Net sales for 2015 decreased \$68.6 million, or 6.6%, from 2014 as net sales in comparable stores decreased \$116.2 million which was partially offset by an increase in non-comparable stores of \$47.6 million. Net sales in 2015 were impacted by a decrease of 8.0% attributable to the number of customers invoiced and a decrease of 3.1% in the average sale.

We believe the number of customers invoiced decreased as a result of a number of factors, including the impact of the Broadcast on our reputation, our suspension of sales of all laminate product sourced from China during a large portion of 2015, and disruptions in our supply chain related to certain engineered product.

The Company s average sale decreased as a result of a decrease in the average selling price of our products offset by slight increases in the volume of product sold. During 2015, the Company reduced the selling price of its products by 6.0% and focused on the sale of less productive inventory in order to drive traffic and reduce inventory levels, and in response to negative allegations impacting the Company s reputation. These price decreases were found across all of the products we sell.

Less than favorable net sales at comparable stores were offset by the expansion of the Company s installation program which increased 40.9% to \$30.0 million in fiscal year 2015.

Gross Profit

Gross profit decreased 33.3% to \$278.9 million from \$418.2 million in 2014. Gross profit decreased as a percentage of sales due to a number of factors including, costs and charges incurred as a result of changes in the Company s business in response to the Broadcast, reductions in the average selling price of our products, and other changes to our business. Notable items impacting gross margin include:

Certain planned reductions in retail prices implemented in late 2014 and greater promotional pricing beginning in March 2015 to drive customer traffic and reduce inventory levels.

We incurred costs of \$9.4 million for purchases of testing kits and professional fees related to the Company s indoor air quality testing program.

We recorded a write-off related to our suspension of the sale of Chinese laminate products totaling \$22.5 million. As more fully described in Part II, Item I Legal Proceedings, Antidumping and Countervailing Duties Investigation, we recorded \$4.9 million during fiscal 2015 as our best estimate of the probable loss for antidumping and countervailing duties owed on applicable shipments of engineered hardwood imported from China.

We incurred approximately \$1.6 million of incremental expenses in conjunction with the consolidation and transition of the East Coast distribution center, which was completed by the end of the first quarter of 2015.

Gross margin in 2015 included approximately \$6.6 million in costs related to our decision to discontinue certain non-core investments.

We incurred approximately \$1.2 million in additional expense during 2014 primarily related to our Bellawood Re-Launch and higher inventory levels.

Gross Profit 77

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses

Selling, General and Administrative expenses increased 15.3% to \$362.1 million from \$314.1 million in 2014.

Notable items impacting SG&A include:

We recorded employee retention incentives totaling approximately \$5.3 million.

We incurred costs of \$10.0 million related to our settlement with the Environment and Natural Resources Division of the DOJ related to our compliance with the Lacey Act and \$3.2 million related to our settlement regarding Lacey Act compliance concerns related to a limited amount of engineered hardwood flooring.

We recorded asset impairment charges totaling approximately \$4.3 million related to discontinuing certain non-core investments.

We incurred significant legal and professional fees related to our defense of various legal and regulatory matters of approximately \$23.8 million.

Operating Income (Loss)

Operating loss for 2015 was \$83.2 million compared to operating income of \$104.1 million in 2014. Operating (loss) income as a percent of net sales was (8.5)% for fiscal 2015 compared to 9.9% in 2014.

Provision for Income Taxes

The effective tax rates for 2015 and 2014 were 32.4% and 38.8%, respectively.

Diluted Earnings per Share

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$56.4 million, resulting in a loss of \$2.08 per diluted share compared to net income of \$63.4 million, or \$2.31 per diluted share, for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Fiscal 2014 Compared to Fiscal 2013

Net Sales

Net sales for 2014 increased \$47.2 million, or 4.7%, over 2013 as net sales in comparable stores decreased \$42.9 million and net sales in non-comparable stores increased \$90.1 million. Net sales in 2014 were impacted by a decrease of 2.5% attributable to the number of customers invoiced and a decrease of 1.8% in the average sale.

We believed the number of customers invoiced decreased partially due to lower inventory levels in certain key merchandise categories, primarily laminates, resilient vinyl and engineered hardwoods, overall weakness in customer demand for wood flooring and the adverse impact of unusually severe winter weather experienced in U.S. and Canada during 2014.

We also believed that lower average sale in 2014 was due to a 1.9% net decrease in the average retail price per unit sold, partially offset by an increase in the number of units sold. Changes in the sales mix of flooring, including clearance of products not a part of our continuing assortment, an increase in liquidation deals and greater ad-hoc discounting at the point of sale drove down the average retail price per unit sold. Partially offsetting this decrease were increases in sales mix of moldings and accessories, non-merchandise services and Bellawood products. Positive effect of the increase in net sales were attributable to the seven store locations serving communities recovering from the effects of Hurricane Sandy, store base expansion and the 2014 increase of \$16.2 million in sales related to delivery and installation services, up from \$16.1 million in 2013.

Net Sales 79

Gross Profit

Gross profit increased 1.7% to \$418.2 million from \$411.0 million in 2013. Notable items attributing to increasing gross profit as a percentage of sales include:

Increases in merchandise sales mix related to moldings and accessories, which generally produce a gross margin higher than flooring.

Changes in our supply chain structure, changes in international and domestic transportation rates and certain operational efficiencies as well as greater costs of merchandise obsolescence and shrink, including increased inventory reserves, and our increased investment in quality control and assurance, offset by lower sample and customer satisfaction costs.

These increases were partially offset by adverse factors related to net shifts in our sales mix of flooring products, greater ad-hoc discounting at the point of sale, sourcing initiatives and increases in customers choosing installation and delivery services, which have average gross margins less than our average merchandise transaction.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, General and Administrative expenses increased 10.2% to \$314.1 million from \$285.0 million in 2013. Notable items impacting SG&A include:

Salaries, commissions and benefits in 2014 increased primarily due to store base growth, corporate support, including global compliance, our test of installation services management, the start-up and operations of the West Coast distribution center and higher net cost of benefits. These expenses were partially offset in 2014 by lower commission rates earned by our store management and lower accruals related to our management bonus plan, as compared to 2013.

We incurred cost increases in occupancy and depreciation and amortization primarily due to store base expansion and incremental expense related to the West Coast distribution center, which became fully operational during the first quarter of 2014.

Our stock-based compensation costs, which included a special grant of restricted stock to certain members of management in March 2013 which fully vested in March 2014 and a special award to our former chief executive officer Rob Lynch, resulted in approximately \$0.8 million and \$0.6 million of expense in 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Operating Income

Operating income for 2014 decreased \$21.9 million over 2013 as the \$7.2 million increase in gross profit was more than fully offset by a \$29.1 million increase in SG&A expenses.

Provision for Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for both 2014 and 2013 was 38.8%.

Diluted Earnings per Share

Net income for the year ended December 31, 2014 was \$63.4 million, or \$2.31 per diluted share compared to net income of \$77.4 million, or \$2.77 per diluted share, for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Gross Profit 80

Our principal capital requirements are for capital expenditures to maintain and grow our business, working capital and general corporate purposes. We periodically use excess cash flow to repurchase shares of our common stock under our stock repurchase program, however, we have suspended our share repurchase plan until we are better able to evaluate the long-term customer demand and assess our estimates of operations and cash flow. Our principal sources of liquidity at December 31, 2015 were \$26.7 million of cash and cash equivalents, our cash flow from operations and, including potential limitations, \$67.2 million of availability under our revolving credit facility.

During 2015, we reduced our inventory levels which generated significant operating cash flow. In the near term, we do not expect significant further declines in inventory levels. As such, our ability to produce operating cash flow will be dependent upon our ability to generate net sales and operating income in future periods. Additionally, there are significant uncertainties associated with the extent of the negative impact of the unfavorable product allegations against us and unresolved government investigations and legal matters. However, we believe that cash flow from operations, together with existing liquidity sources, will be sufficient to fund our operations and anticipated capital expenditures for the foreseeable future. If the impact of these allegations is more negative than anticipated or the outcome of legal matters is unfavorable, we may need to seek additional sources of liquidity.

In 2016, we currently expect capital expenditures to total between \$10 million and \$20 million, but we will continue to assess and adjust our level of capital expenditures based on changing circumstances. Included in our capital requirements, we expect to selectively evaluate the opening of new stores and the remodeling and relocating of existing stores while continuing to focus on our current store base.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

In 2015, cash and cash equivalents increased \$6.4 million to \$26.7 million. The increase of cash and cash equivalents was primarily due to \$9.2 million of net cash provided by operating activities and \$20.0 million borrowed under the revolving credit facility, which were partially offset by the use of \$22.5 million for capital expenditures.

In 2014, cash and cash equivalents decreased \$60.3 million to \$20.3 million. The decrease of cash and cash equivalents was primarily due to \$53.3 million of net cash used to repurchase common stock and \$71.1 million for capital expenditures, including the construction of our East Coast distribution center, partially offset by net cash provided by operating activities of \$57.1 million.

In 2013, cash and cash equivalents increased \$16.5 million to \$80.6 million. The increase of cash and cash equivalents was primarily due to \$53.0 million of net cash provided by operating activities and \$27.4 million of proceeds received from stock option exercises which was partially offset by the use of \$34.8 million to repurchase common stock and \$28.6 million for capital expenditures.

Merchandise Inventories

Merchandise inventory is our most significant asset, and is considered either available for sale or inbound in-transit, based on whether we have physically received and inspected the products at an individual store location, in our distribution centers or in another facility where we control and monitor inspection.

Merchandise inventories and available inventory per store in operation on December 31 were as follows:

		2015	2014	2013
		(in thousand		
Inventory Av	ailable for Sale	\$ 215,903	\$ 265,949	\$ 212,617
Inventory Inb	ound In-Transit	28,499	48,422	39,811
Total Merchand	lise Inventories	\$ 244,402	\$ 314,371	\$ 252,428
Available Inver	ntory Per Store	\$ 577	\$ 756	\$ 669

Available inventory per store at December 31, 2015 was lower than both December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The simplification of our product assortment was part of our strategy to get back to basics and allowed us to reduce

our inventory levels while ensuring that each store location has the right mix of product available for the customer. We believe this will enhance the shopping experience for our customers. We recorded a lower of cost or market adjustment of approximately \$22.5 million related to our inventory of laminate products sourced from China.

Inbound in-transit inventory generally varies due to the timing of certain international shipments and certain seasonal factors, including international holidays, rainy seasons and specific merchandise category planning.

40

Merchandise Inventories

Cash Flows

Operating Activities. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$9.2 million for 2015, \$57.1 million for 2014 and \$53.0 million for 2013. The \$47.9 million decrease in net cash flow from operating activities comparing 2015 to 2014 is primarily due to unprofitable operations, a decrease in merchandise inventories of \$42.8 million, and a decrease in accounts payable of \$21.5 million. The \$4.1 million increase in net cash flow from operating activities comparing 2014 to 2013 is primarily due to our profitable operations, an increase in customer deposits and store credits of \$12.6 million, an increase in merchandise inventories of \$62.1 million, and an increase in accounts payable of \$21.5 million.

Investing Activities. Net cash used in investing activities was \$22.5 million for 2015, \$71.1 million for 2014 and \$28.6 million for 2013. Net cash used in investing activities in each year included capital purchases for store base expansion, and investments in and maintenance of forklifts, our integrated information technology solution, our finishing lines and our Corporate Headquarters. In 2014 and 2013, capital expenditures also included remodeling of existing stores to our expanded showroom format and \$37.6 million and \$8.4 million, respectively, for land, buildings and equipment for the East Coast distribution facility and \$1.2 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, for equipment and leasehold improvements for the West Coast distribution facility.

Financing Activities. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$19.7 million in 2015. Net cash used in financing activities was \$46.2 million in 2014 and \$7.4 million in 2013. We used cash of \$0.3 million, \$53.3 million and \$34.8 million in 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively, to repurchase our common stock, primarily under our stock repurchase program initiated in February 2012. Stock option exercises provided \$7.2 million and \$27.3 million in 2014 and 2013, respectively. During 2015, we had net borrowings of \$20.0 million under our revolving credit facility to fund capital expenditures and inventory purchases.

Revolving Credit Agreement

On April 24, 2015, the Company, exclusive of its non-domestic subsidiaries, entered into a Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (as amended on May 21, 2015 and November 20, 2015, the Credit Agreement) with Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent, collateral agent and lender (the Bank). The Credit Agreement amended and restated the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement that was entered into between Lumber Liquidators, Inc. and the Bank on February 21, 2012 and amended on March 27, 2015. Under the Credit Agreement, the Bank agreed to provide the Company with an asset-based revolving credit facility (the Revolving Credit Facility) under which the Company may obtain loans and letters of credit from the Bank up to a maximum aggregate outstanding principal amount of the lesser of \$100.0 million or a calculated borrowing base. Letters of credit are subject to a sublimit of \$20.0 million (subject to the borrowing base). The Credit Agreement expires on April 24, 2020, is guaranteed by the Company and certain of its domestic subsidiaries and is secured primarily by the Company s inventory, including certain in-transit inventory, and credit card receivables.

The Revolving Credit Facility has no mandated payment provisions and a fee of 0.15% per annum on any unused portion, paid quarterly in arrears. Loans outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility can bear interest based on the Base Rate or the LIBOR Rate, each as defined in the Credit Agreement. Interest on Base Rate loans is charged at varying per annum rates computed by applying a margin ranging from 0.125% to 0.375% (dependent on the Company s average daily excess borrowing availability during the most recently completed fiscal quarter) over the applicable base interest rate (defined as the greatest of the prime rate, a specified federal funds rate plus 0.50%, or the one-month LIBOR Rate plus 1.00%). Interest on LIBOR Rate loans and fees for standby letters of credit are charged at varying per annum rates computed by applying a margin ranging from 1.125% to 1.375% (dependent on the

Cash Flows 84

Company s average daily excess borrowing availability during the most recently completed fiscal quarter) over the applicable LIBOR rate for one, two, three or six month interest periods as selected by the Company. At December 31, 2015, the applicable interest rate for outstanding borrowings was 1.4375%. For the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company paid cash for interest in the amount of \$0.2 million.

The Credit Agreement contains a fixed charge coverage ratio covenant that becomes effective in the event that the Company s excess borrowing availability under the Revolving Credit Facility at any time during the term of the Revolving Credit Facility falls below the greater of \$10.0 million or 10% of the borrowing base.

At December 31, 2015, the Company had approximately \$67.2 million available to borrow under the Revolving Credit Facility, \$20.0 million in outstanding borrowings and supported \$2.8 million and \$2.7 million of letters of credit at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Related Party Transactions

See the discussion of related party transactions in Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report and within Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence in Item 13 of this report.

Contractual Commitments and Contingencies

Our significant contractual obligations and commitments as of December 31, 2015 are summarized in the following table:

	Payments Due by Period				
	Total	Less Than 1 to 3 1 Year Years		3 to 5 Years	5+ Years
Contractual obligations					
Operating lease obligations ⁽¹⁾	\$ 131,904	\$ 30,285	\$48,729	\$ 30,841	\$ 22,049
Purchase obligations ⁽²⁾	436	436			
Borrowings on revolving credit facility	20,000			20,000	
Total contractual obligations	\$ 152,340	\$ 30,721	\$ 48,729	\$ 50,841	\$ 22,049

⁽¹⁾ Included in this table is the base period or current renewal period for our operating leases. The operating leases generally contain varying renewal provisions.

2) Purchase obligations represent capital expenditure commitments.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements or other financing activities with special-purpose entities.

Inflation

Inflationary factors such as increases in the cost of our product and overhead costs may adversely affect our operating results. Although we do not believe that inflation has had a material impact on our financial position or results of operations to date, a high rate of inflation in the future may have an adverse effect on our ability to maintain current levels of gross profit and SG&A expenses as a percentage of net sales if the selling prices of our products do not increase with these increased costs.

Other Matters

Legal Matters

We are involved in various lawsuits, claims, investigations, and proceedings. See the discussion of commitments and contingencies in Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report for a discussion of these matters.

Indoor Air Quality Testing Program Results

In early March 2015, we began voluntarily offering free indoor air quality screening to certain of our flooring customers, predominately those who had purchased laminate flooring sourced from China, to address customer questions about the air quality in their homes. Home air test kits were selected as a quick, effective way to measure the total level of formaldehyde in indoor air from all sources, not just from the flooring.

We retained Building Health Check, LLC (BHC) to coordinate our indoor air quality testing program. The customer receives the home test kit from BHC and controls the sampling process, with clear instructions on how to conduct the sampling. The samples are then sent by the customer to EDLab at Pure Air Control Services, Inc. (EDLab) where EDLab then forwards them to one of EDLab s partner laboratories, which are all accredited for formaldehyde analysis by the American Industrial Hygiene Association s Laboratory

42

Legal Matters 87

Accreditation Programs, LLC. We have also engaged independent monitoring resources to review the work flow process in connection with the testing program and to perform quality review services relating to the compilation and dissemination of testing results.

In 2015, over 48,000 testing kits were sent to Lumber Liquidators customers through the program. In total, approximately 30,500 of testing kits have been returned (Phase 1). Of those returned, over 90% had indicated indoor air concentrations of formaldehyde that were within the guidelines set by the World Health Organization (WHO) as protective against sensory irritation and long-term health effects. While various groups have recommended higher or lower levels, there is currently no national standard for recommended indoor home air concentrations of formaldehyde in the United States. We have chosen to use the guideline established by the WHO, which is an international consensus standard that draws on recent research and the expertise of the many governments, academic institutions and researchers that have studied formaldehyde emissions.

We have been and continue to directly contact the customers whose test results indicate an indoor formaldehyde level in excess of the WHO guideline for additional investigation and next steps. These Phase 2 steps primarily consist of independent third-party laboratory testing of flooring samples from these customers. Throughout the third and fourth quarters of 2015, we facilitated customers with elevated Phase 1 testing results to complete Phase 2. If the results of this testing indicates that the flooring is contributing to formaldehyde levels in a customer s home at elevated levels, we will work with the customer, at the Company s discretion, to replace the customer s floor or compensate the customer for the cost of the floor as part of Phase 3. We did not record a reserve for Phase 3 based on the results we have received to date from the testing of customer flooring samples. We believe the test results and number of tests obtained to date provided a reasonable basis to support our assertion that a material reserve related to the replacement of customer floors was not warranted. We will, however, continue to evaluate the results of each phase of the indoor air quality testing program. Should our results differ from current trends, we could record a material charge in future periods.

Costs related to this testing program and subsequent follow-up with customers were included in cost of sales for the year ended December 31, 2015. At December 31, 2015, we adjusted the reserve for an estimate of actual and future costs related to the air quality testing program. The reserve was based on actual experience to date, estimated using information through the filing date of the financial statements and was included in other current liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet as a portion of the total warranty and customer satisfaction reserve.

Cost of
Sales Reserve
Year As of
Ended
December 31,
2015

Phase 1: Cost and administration for test kits which were probable of being sent to the Company s customers as part of the air quality testing program

\$7,307 \$

Phase 2: Costs to service certain customers not satisfied by the results of the air

quality testing program, primarily consisting of testing of flooring samples

2,138 809

Phase 3: Costs to satisfy remaining customer concerns based on results of floor sample testing results received to date

\$9,445 \$ 809

Should our actual experience related to results of our indoor air quality testing program and subsequent follow-up with customers differ from these estimates, additional reserves may be recorded in the future.

Total

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Critical accounting policies are those that we believe are both significant and that require us to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often because we need to estimate the effect of inherently uncertain matters. We base our estimates and judgments on historical experiences and various other factors that we believe to be appropriate under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates, and we

might obtain different estimates if we used different assumptions or conditions. We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our financial statements:

Recognition of Net Sales

We recognize net sales for products purchased at the time the customer takes possession of the merchandise. We recognize service revenue, which consists primarily of installation revenue and freight charges for in-home delivery, when the service has been rendered. We report sales exclusive of sales taxes collected from customers and remitted to governmental taxing authorities. Net sales are reduced by an allowance for anticipated sales returns that we estimate based on historical and current sales trends and experience. We believe that our estimate for sales returns is an accurate reflection of future returns. Any reasonably likely changes that may occur in the assumptions underlying our allowance estimates would not be expected to have a material impact on our financial condition or operating performance. Actual sales returns did not vary materially from estimated amounts for 2015, 2014 or 2013.

In addition, customers who do not take immediate delivery of their purchases are generally required to pay a deposit, equal to approximately half of the retail sales value, with the balance payable when the customer takes possession of the merchandise. These customer deposits benefit our cash flow and return on investment capital, because we receive partial payment for our customers purchases immediately. We record these deposits as a liability on our balance sheet in customer deposits and store credits until the customer takes possession of the merchandise.

Merchandise Inventories

We value our merchandise inventories at the lower of merchandise cost or market value. We determine merchandise cost using the average cost method. All of the hardwood flooring we purchase from suppliers is either prefinished or unfinished, and in immediate saleable form. To the extent that we finish and box unfinished products, we include those costs in the average unit cost of related merchandise inventory. In determining market value, we make judgments and estimates as to the market value of our products, based on factors such as historical results and current sales trends. Any reasonably likely changes that may occur in those assumptions in the future may require us to record charges for losses or obsolescence against these assets, but would not be expected to have a material impact on our financial condition or operating performance. Actual losses and obsolescence charges did not vary materially from estimated amounts for 2015, 2014 or 2013.

Stock-Based Compensation

We currently utilize a single equity incentive plan under which we may grant non-qualified stock options, restricted shares, stock appreciation rights and other equity awards to employees, non-employee directors and other service providers. We recognize expense for our stock-based compensation based on the fair value of the awards that are granted. Compensation expense is recognized only for those awards expected to vest, with forfeitures estimated at the date of grant based on our historical experience and future expectations. Measured compensation cost is recognized ratably over the service period of the entire related stock-based compensation award.

The fair value of stock options was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton valuation model. In order to determine the related stock-based compensation expense, we used the following assumptions for stock options granted during 2015:

Expected life of 5.5 years;

Expected stock price volatility of 50%; Risk-free interest rate of 1.7%; and Dividends are not expected to be paid in any year.

The expected stock price volatility is based on the historical volatility of our stock price. The volatility is estimated for a period of time equal to the expected term of the related option. The risk-free interest rate is based on the implied yield of U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with an equivalent remaining term. The

expected term of the options represents the estimated period of time until exercise and is determined by considering the contractual terms, vesting schedule and expectations of future employee behavior. Had we arrived at different assumptions of stock price volatility or expected terms of our options, our stock-based compensation expense and results of operations could have been different.

Loss Contingencies

We are involved in various lawsuits, claims, investigations, and proceedings. Certain of these matters include speculative claims for substantial or indeterminate amounts of damages. We record a liability when we believe that it is both probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated. If we determine that a loss is reasonably possible and a loss or range of the loss can be estimated, we disclose such amounts. Significant judgment is required to determine both probability and the estimated amount of any loss or range of loss. We assess each legal matter and any related provisions at least quarterly and adjust them accordingly to reflect the impact of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel, and updated information.

Until a final resolution related to loss contingencies for legal and other contingencies is reached, there may be an exposure to loss in excess of the amount we have recorded, and such amounts could be material, either individually or in the aggregate, to our business, consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. Therefore, if one or more of these matters were resolved against us for amounts in excess of management's expectations, our results of operations and financial condition, including in a particular reporting period, could be materially adversely affected.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Interest Rate Risk.

We are exposed to interest rate risk through the investment of our cash and cash equivalents. We invest our cash in short-term investments with maturities of three months or less. Changes in interest rates affect the interest income we earn, and therefore impact our cash flows and results of operations. In addition, borrowings under our revolving credit agreement are exposed to interest rate risk due to the variable rate of the facility. As of December 31, 2015, we had \$20.0 million outstanding under our revolving credit agreement.

We currently do not engage in any interest rate hedging activity and currently have no intention to do so in the foreseeable future. However, in the future, in an effort to mitigate losses associated with these risks, we may at times enter into derivative financial instruments, although we have not historically done so. We do not, and do not intend to, engage in the practice of trading derivative securities for profit.

Exchange Rate Risk.

Less than two percent of our revenue, expense and capital purchasing activities are transacted in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, including the Euro, Canadian dollar, Chinese yuan and Brazilian real.

We currently do not engage in any exchange rate hedging activity and currently have no intention to do so in the foreseeable future. However, in the future, in an effort to mitigate losses associated with these risks, we may at times engage in transactions involving various derivative instruments to hedge revenues, inventory purchases, assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies.

Loss Contingencies 92

Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

	Page
Index to Consolidated Financial Statements	
Report of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>47</u>
Report of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, on Internal	<u>48</u>
Control over Financial Reporting	40
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2015 and 2014	<u>49</u>
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013	<u>50</u>
Consolidated Statements of Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013	<u>51</u>
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013	<u>52</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013	<u>53</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>54</u>

Report of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations comprehensive income, stockholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015. Our audits also included Financial Statement Schedule II Analysis of Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. at December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated February 29, 2016 expressed an adverse opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Richmond, Virginia February 29, 2016

Report of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.

We have audited Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (the COSO criteria). Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company s annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. The following material weakness has been identified and included in management s assessment. Management has identified a material weakness related to the design and operating effectiveness of user access controls related to the Company s enterprise resource planning system that are relevant to the preparation of the consolidated financial statements and system of internal control over financial reporting. We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight

Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, stockholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015. This material weakness was considered in determining the nature, timing, and extent of audit tests applied in our audit of the 2015 financial statements, and this report does not affect our report dated February 29, 2016, which expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

In our opinion, because of the effect of the material weakness described above on the achievement of the objectives of the control criteria, Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. has not maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on the COSO criteria.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Richmond, Virginia February 29, 2016

Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.

Consolidated Balance Sheets (in thousands, except share data)

	December 31,	December 31,
	2015	2014
Assets		
Current Assets:		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$26,703	\$20,287
Merchandise Inventories	244,402	314,371
Prepaid Expenses	5,931	5,575
Refundable Income Taxes	19,596	
Deferred Tax Asset	21,045	8,901
Other Current Assets	5,111	8,143
Total Current Assets	322,788	357,277
Property and Equipment, net	121,997	124,867
Goodwill	9,693	9,693
Other Assets	1,724	1,625
Total Assets	\$456,202	\$493,462
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts Payable	\$55,247	\$80,303
Customer Deposits and Store Credits	33,771	34,943
Accrued Compensation	6,057	3,693
Sales and Income Tax Liabilities	3,914	7,472
Other Current Liabilities	28,755	17,836
Total Current Liabilities	127,744	144,247
Other Long-Term Liabilities	20,252	6,603
Deferred Tax Liability	10,638	10,558
Revolving Credit Facility	20,000	
Total Liabilities	178,634	161,408
Stockholders Equity:		
Common Stock (\$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 shares authorized; 27,088,460	30	30
and 27,069,307 shares outstanding, respectively)	30	30
Treasury Stock, at cost (2,824,814 and 2,816,780 shares, respectively)	(138,987)	(138,692)
Additional Capital	180,590	177,479
Retained Earnings	237,600	294,033
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	(1,665)	(796)
Total Stockholders Equity	277,568	332,054
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$456,202	\$493,462

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Operations (in thousands, except share data and per share amounts)

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2015	2014	2013		
Net Sales	\$978,776	\$1,047,419	\$1,000,240		
Cost of Sales	699,918	629,252	589,257		
Gross Profit	278,858	418,167	410,983		
Selling, General and Administrative Expenses	362,051	314,094	284,960		
Operating (Loss) Income	(83,193)	104,073	126,023		
Other Expense (Income)	234	490	(442)		
(Loss) Income Before Income Taxes	(83,427)	103,583	126,465		
Income Tax (Benefit) Expense	(26,994)	40,212	49,070		
Net (Loss) Income	\$(56,433)	\$63,371	\$77,395		
Net (Loss) Income per Common Share Basic	\$(2.08)	\$2.32	\$2.82		
Net (Loss) Income per Common Share Diluted	\$(2.08)	\$2.31	\$2.77		
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding:					
Basic	27,082,299	27,264,882	27,484,790		
Diluted	27,082,299	27,485,852	27,914,322		

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2015	2014	2013		
Net (Loss) Income	\$ (56,433)	\$ 63,371	\$ 77,395		
Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income:					
Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	(869)	(234)	(635)		
Total Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income	(869)	(234)	(635)		
Comprehensive (Loss) Income	\$ (57,302)	\$ 63,137	\$ 76,760		

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity (in thousands, except share data)

	Common Stoo	ck	Treasury St	ock			Accumula Other	ated Total
	Shares	Par Valu	Shares	Value	Additional Capital	Retained Earnings		enStockholders Equity
December 31, 2012	27,214,144	\$29	1,719,706	\$(50,552)	\$131,724	\$153,267	\$ 73	\$234,541
Stock-Based Compensation Expense					5,471			5,471
Exercise of Stock Options	718,665	1			10,254			10,255
Excess Tax Benefits on					17,132			17,132
Stock Option Exercises Release of Restricted					,			,
Shares	38,362							
Common Stock	(413,601)		413,601	(34,830)				(34,830)
Repurchased Translation Adjustment	(110,001)		.10,001	(0.,000)			(635)	(635)
Net Income						77,395	(033)	77,395
December 31, 2013	27,557,570	\$30	2,133,307	\$(85,382)	\$164,581	\$230,662	\$(562)	\$309,329
Stock-Based					5,744			5,744
Compensation Expense Exercise of Stock Options	149,707				3,150			3,150
Excess Tax Benefits on	- 12 ,1 2 1				4,004			4,004
Stock Option Exercises					4,004			4,004
Release of Restricted Shares	45,503							
Common Stock	(60 2 472)		602 452	(50.010.)				(52.210.)
Repurchased	(683,473)		683,473	(53,310)				(53,310)
Translation Adjustment						(2.271	(234)	(234)
Net Income December 31, 2014	27,069,307	\$30	2 816 780	\$(138,692)	\$177,479	63,371 \$294,033	\$ (796)	63,371 \$332,054
Stock-Based	21,000,501	ΨΟυ	2,010,700	ψ(130,072)	•	Ψ2/4,033	φ(170)	•
Compensation Expense					4,080			4,080
Tax Effect of Stock-Based					(969)			(969)
Compensation Release of Restricted								
Shares	19,153							
Common Stock Repurchased			8,034	(295)				(295)

Translation Adjustment

Net Loss

December 31, 2015

27,088,460 \$30 2,824,814 \$(138,987) \$180,590 \$237,600 \$(1,665) \$277,568

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31, 2015 2014 2013				
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:	2013	2014	2013		
Net (Loss) Income	\$(56,433)	\$63 371	\$77,395		
Adjustments to Reconcile Net (Loss) Income to Net Cash Provided	Ψ(30,133)	ψ03,371	Ψ 11,333		
by Operating Activities:					
Depreciation and Amortization	17,392	14,714	11,666		
Deferred Income Taxes	(12,064)	(152)	(846)		
Stock-Based Compensation Expense	3,941	5,593	5,974		
Impairment Charges related to Property and Equipment	4,392	3,373	3,571		
Inventory Lower of Cost or Market Adjustments	26,162				
Deconsolidation of Variable Interest Entity	1,457				
Changes in Operating Assets and Liabilities:	1,107				
Merchandise Inventories	42,773	(62,140)	(45,834)		
Accounts Payable	(21,450)	21,478	(15,03.)		
Customer Deposits and Store Credits	(1,075)	•	(3,354)		
Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets	(18,385)	•	(257)		
Other Assets and Liabilities	22,494	3,436	8,271		
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	9,204	57,087	53,000		
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:	- ,=	27,007	22,000		
Purchases of Property and Equipment	(22,478)	(71,138)	(28,585)		
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	(22,478)	(71,138)	(28,585)		
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:	(,::-)	(,)	(==,===)		
Payments for Stock Repurchases	(295)	(53,310)	(34,830)		
Proceeds from the Exercise of Stock Options	(=>=)	3,150	10,255		
Excess Tax Benefit from Stock-Based Compensation		4,004	17,132		
Borrowings on Revolving Credit Facility	39,000	53,000	, -		
Payments on Revolving Credit Facility	(19,000)	(53,000)			
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	19,705	(46,156)	(7,443)		
Effect of Exchange Rates on Cash and Cash Equivalents	(15)	(140)	(505)		
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	6,416	(60,347)	16,467		
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Year	20,287	80,634	64,167		
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Year	\$26,703	\$20,287	\$80,634		

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (amounts in thousands, except share data and per share amounts)

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Business

Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. and its direct and indirect subsidiaries (collectively and, where applicable, individually, the Company) engage in business as a multi-channel specialty retailer of hardwood flooring, and hardwood flooring enhancements and accessories, operating as a single operating segment. The Company offers an extensive assortment of exotic and domestic hardwood species, engineered hardwood, laminate and resilient vinyl flooring direct to the consumer. The Company also features the renewable flooring products, bamboo and cork, and provides a wide selection of flooring enhancements and accessories, including moldings, noise-reducing underlay, adhesives and flooring tools. The Company also provides in-home delivery and installation services to certain of its customers. The Company sells primarily to homeowners or to contractors on behalf of homeowners through a network of 366 store locations in primary or secondary metropolitan areas in 46 states and eight store locations in Canada at December 31, 2015. In addition to the store locations, the Company s products may be ordered, and customer questions/concerns addressed, through both its call center in Toano, Virginia, and its website, www.lumberliquidators.com. The Company finishes the majority of the Bellawood products on its finishing lines in Toano, Virginia, which along with the call center, corporate offices, and a distribution center, represent the Corporate Headquarters.

Organization and Basis of Financial Statement Presentation

The consolidated financial statements of Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, include the accounts of its wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

In 2014, the Company entered into an arrangement to begin to vertically integrate its domestic hardwood supply to feed its finishing lines. During the quarter ended June 30, 2015, the Company decided to discontinue certain of these vertical integration initiatives, which were previously consolidated as a variable interest entity, and terminated its prior arrangement. As a result, the Company has recorded a charge of \$1,457 in cost of sales in its consolidated statements of income upon deconsolidation of the variable interest entity. The charge was measured as the difference between the fair value of the assets received upon termination and the carrying value of the related net assets.

In order to conform to current year presentation, the Company has reclassified the deferred tax asset on the accompanying December 31, 2014 consolidated balance sheet to a separate line item from other current assets.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company had cash equivalents of \$8,551 and \$12,700 at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity date of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents, of which there was nil at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The Company accepts a range of debit and credit cards, and these transactions are generally transmitted to a bank for reimbursement within 24 hours. The payments due from the banks for these debit and credit card transactions are generally received, or settled, within 24 to 48 hours of the transmission date. The Company considers all debit and credit card transactions that settle in less than seven days to be cash and cash equivalents. Amounts due from the banks for these transactions classified as cash and cash equivalents totaled \$8,551 and \$12,700 at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

54

Use of Estimates 107

Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (amounts in thousands, except share data and per share amounts)

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Credit Programs

Credit is offered to the Company s customers through a proprietary credit card, underwritten by a third party financial institution and generally at no recourse to the Company. A credit line is offered to the Company s professional customers through the Lumber Liquidators Commercial Credit Program. This commercial credit program is underwritten by a third party financial institution, generally with no recourse to the Company.

As part of the credit program, the Company s customers may tender their Lumber Liquidators credit card to receive installation services provided by the Company s third party installation provider, who is responsible for all credits and program fees for the related transactions. The Company has agreed to indemnify the financial institution against any losses related to these credits or fees. There are no maximum potential future payments under the guarantee. The Company is able to seek recovery from the installation provider of any amounts paid on its behalf. The Company believes that the risk of significant loss from the guarantee of these obligations is remote.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of financial instruments such as cash and cash equivalents, accounts payable and other liabilities approximate fair value because of the short-term nature of these items and the carrying amount of obligations under our revolving credit facility approximate fair value due to the variable rate of interest. Of these financial instruments, the cash equivalents are classified as Level 1 as defined in the Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification (FASB ASC) 820 fair value hierarchy.

Certain non-financial assets, including property and equipment, have been written down and measured in the consolidated financial statements at fair value. Fair value was based on expected future cash flows using Level 3 inputs under ASC 820.

Merchandise Inventories

The Company values merchandise inventories at the lower of cost or market value. Merchandise cost is determined using the average cost method. All of the hardwood flooring purchased from vendors is either prefinished or unfinished, and in immediate saleable form. The Company adds the finish to, and boxes, various species of unfinished

Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (amounts in thousands, except shar

product, to produce certain proprietary products, primarily Bellawood, at its finishing facility. These finishing and boxing costs are included in the average unit cost of related merchandise inventory. The Company maintains an inventory reserve for loss or obsolescence based on historical results and current sales trends. This reserve was \$26,882 and \$3,242 at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

On May 7, 2015, the Company suspended the sale of laminate products sourced from China after certain allegations were made regarding these products. This inventory has been held in stores and distribution centers as the Company has continued to evaluate and assess alternatives for the disposition of these products and the potential implications these alternatives could have on the net realizable value of the laminate flooring inventory sourced from China. During the quarter ended June 30, 2015, the Company recorded a charge of approximately \$339 related to its laminate flooring sourced from China, primarily for flooring with less than job-lot quantities on hand as the Company did not intend to purchase additional quantities of such product. During the quarter ended December 31, 2015, in connection with changes in the executive management team and based on the most recent evaluation of the alternatives for disposal, which considered strategic and operational considerations including potential distractions these products could have on the Company s employees and business, the Company determined that it would not sell the current inventory of laminate flooring sourced from China in its stores. As a result of this decision, the Company recorded a charge to reduce the remaining carrying value of this laminate flooring and related moldings to its net realizable value of zero. The Company recorded total charges related to laminate flooring sourced from China of \$22,499 in cost of sales for the year ended December 31, 2015 in the accompanying consolidated statements of

55

Merchandise Inventories 109

Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (amounts in thousands, except share data and per share amounts)

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

operations. The Company is considering its options for disposal of this product. Costs related to shipping and disposal will be recognized as incurred.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2015, the Company appointed its founder as acting chief executive officer. In connection with this and other management changes, the Company determined that it would refocus on its core business and it would not pursue an expansion into the tile flooring business in the near term. In 2014, the Company had begun to sell tile flooring and related accessories in three stores as a potential growth opportunity. As a result, in the second quarter of 2015, the Company recorded a lower of cost or market adjustment of \$3,663 for certain tile flooring and related accessories, which is recorded in cost of sales for the year ended December 31, 2015 in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company evaluates potential impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations when events and circumstances indicate that the assets may be impaired, and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the carrying amounts of those assets. If impairment exists and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the carrying amount of those assets, an impairment loss is recorded based on the difference between the carrying value and fair value of the assets.

In the third quarter of 2015, the Company finalized the termination of its agreement relating to certain vertical integration initiatives which changed the Company's expectations of future cash flows from related long-lived assets. As a result, the Company tested certain long-lived assets for impairment. The Company recorded a \$3,043 impairment charge within selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses for the three months ended September 30, 3015 in its accompanying consolidated statements of income. The impairment charge was measured under an income approach utilizing forecasted discounted cash flows. Fair value was based on expected future cash flows using Level 3 inputs under ASC 820. The most significant unobservable input used in the fair value analysis relates to the estimated sales price of the long-lived assets.

In the second quarter of 2015, the Company concluded that its decision not to pursue an expansion into the tile flooring business in the near term was a triggering event requiring assessment of recoverability for certain of its long-lived assets. As a result, the Company tested the long-lived assets for impairment related to its store locations

selling a significant assortment of tile flooring. In the second quarter of 2015, the Company recorded a \$1,350 impairment charge, which is recorded within SG&A expenses for the year ended December 31, 3015 in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. The impairment charge was measured under an income approach utilizing forecasted discounted cash flows. Fair value was based on expected future cash flows using Level 3 inputs under ASC 820. The most significant unobservable input used in the fair value analysis relates to the estimated sales price of the long-lived assets.

No impairment charges were recognized in 2014 or 2013.

Goodwill and Other Indefinite-Lived Intangibles

Goodwill represents the costs in excess of the fair value of net assets acquired associated with acquisitions by the Company. Other assets include \$800 for an indefinite-lived intangible asset for the phone number 1-800-HARDWOOD and related internet domain names. The Company evaluates these assets for impairment on an annual basis, or whenever events or changes in circumstance indicate that the asset carrying value exceeds its fair value. Based on the analysis performed, the Company has concluded that no impairment in the value of these assets has occurred.

Self-Insurance

The Company is self-insured for certain employee health benefit claims and for certain workers compensation claims.

The Company estimates a liability for aggregate losses below stop-loss coverage limits

Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (amounts in thousands, except share data and per share amounts)

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

based on estimates of the ultimate costs to be incurred to settle known claims and claims incurred but not reported as of the balance sheet date. The estimated liability is not discounted and is based on a number of assumptions and factors including historical and industry trends and economic conditions. This liability could be affected if future occurrences and claims differ from these assumptions and historical trends. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, an accrual of \$1,976 and \$1,585 related to estimated claims was included in other current liabilities, respectively.

Recognition of Net Sales

The Company recognizes net sales for products purchased at the time the customer takes possession of the merchandise. Service revenue, primarily in