

EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES INC

Form 10-K

March 16, 2006

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2005 or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 000-30293

EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware

*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

68-0310015

*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*

100 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 1200

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

(Address of principal executive offices, zip code)

(415) 834-3131

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12 (b) of the Act:

NONE

(Title of Class)

NONE

(Names of Each Exchange on which Registered)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12 (g) of the Act:

Common Stock, \$0.001 Par Value

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Edgar Filing: EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a shell company as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act.

Yes No

Aggregate market value of the voting stock held on June 30, 2005 by non-affiliates of the registrant: \$120,345,630. Number of shares of Common Stock outstanding at March 3, 2006: 25,841,198.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the definitive proxy statement for the Registrant's 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III hereof.

Table of Contents

EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| PART I. | |
| Item 1. <u>Business</u> | 1 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 6 |
| Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 13 |
| Item 2. <u>Properties</u> | 13 |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 13 |
| Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 14 |
| PART II. | |
| Item 5. <u>Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 14 |
| Item 6. <u>Selected Consolidated Financial Data</u> | 15 |
| Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 16 |
| Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 31 |
| Item 8. <u>Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 32 |
| Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 57 |
| Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 57 |
| PART III. | |
| Item 10. <u>Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant</u> | 59 |
| Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 59 |
| Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 59 |
| Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u> | 59 |
| Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 59 |
| PART IV. | |
| Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 59 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 61 |

Table of Contents

All statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws that relate to future events or our future financial performance. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as may, will, should, expect, plan, anticipate, believe, estimate, intend, potential, or continue or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. Such statements are only predictions. Risks and uncertainties and the occurrence of other events could cause actual results to differ materially from these predictions. The risks and uncertainties discussed below under Item 1A. Risk Factors and elsewhere in this report should be considered carefully in evaluating our business. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements. Moreover, we assume no responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of these statements. We are under no duty to update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this report or to adjust these statements to reflect actual results.

PART I

Item 1. Business

Embarcadero Technologies, Inc. is a leading provider of strategic data management solutions that help companies to improve the availability, integrity, accessibility, and security of corporate data. The company develops, markets, sells and supports software that helps customers to manage corporate data more effectively. Since its founding in 1993, Embarcadero has built a broad customer base with over 11,000 customers, including 97 of the Fortune 100. The company is headquartered in San Francisco, CA and distributes its software in the U.S. and abroad through its sales force as well as through distributors and resellers.

The fundamental goals of Embarcadero's software are to help customers achieve higher returns from their investments in corporate data and to make the value of that data more evident to the business. In order to meet the demands of large enterprises and to attract the broadest possible customer base, the company's software supports a variety of popular database platforms from major vendors, including Oracle[®], IBM[®], Microsoft[®] and Sybase[®]. In addition to its multi-platform capability, Embarcadero's software also supports the lifecycle requirements of corporate databases as they move through the process of being designed, developed, deployed and managed in production. The company organizes its product line around three key disciplines in data management architecture, availability and security to focus attention on those areas where the company believes that customers have the most opportunity to extract greater value from their data infrastructure.

Industry Background

Business is becoming increasingly information driven, as more organizations rely on the productive use of data to compete effectively, to operate efficiently, and to achieve higher customer satisfaction. As part of this trend, the adoption of database technology has proliferated, facilitating the automation of many business processes and the adoption of packaged applications, such as ERP and CRM systems. Over the years, corporate databases have emerged as a principal storehouse of knowledge needed to support business decision-making.

As corporate databases have grown in importance, so have pressures for data management professionals to deliver reliable service levels. Today, businesses expect IT organizations to manage the data infrastructure cost effectively while ensuring the availability, utility, and security of the underlying data. Despite years of investment, however, data management remains a challenge for even well-funded IT organizations due to the confluence of several factors:

Large Scale: Many large businesses make widespread use of database technology. Today, it is not unusual for large multinationals to operate their businesses on top of hundreds or even thousands of databases, which can be spread around the world and across many different business units. Managing data on such a large, distributed scale poses significant hurdles, as it becomes more difficult to operate efficiently while remaining responsive to changing business demands.

Rapid Growth: The challenge of managing corporate databases on a large scale is exacerbated by the rapid growth of corporate data. Such high growth rates make it difficult to keep database systems performing at high levels, challenge data professionals to manage larger volumes of data,

Table of Contents

and stretch the ability of IT organizations to absorb the growth without increasing cost structures.

Technical Complexity: Most companies, particularly larger ones, have failed to standardize on a single database platform. This introduces technical challenges that make it more difficult to manage databases and to deliver cohesive information derived from different systems.

De-centralized Management: Data management teams are often aligned with business units or specific applications. While this gives business units greater flexibility and control over their databases, opportunities to gain global visibility over all data assets, to establish broader data standards, and to benefit from greater economies of scale may not be realized due to data inconsistencies and redundant data resulting in lower productivity.

Increasing Compliance Requirements: At a time when businesses are driven to make information more accessible, concerns about data privacy and security are escalating. Numerous, well publicized data breaches have prompted governments to enact a variety of laws that impose substantial new compliance requirements on businesses to tighten data privacy and access controls. These new compliance requirements place a significant burden on data management professionals.

Failing to manage a data infrastructure effectively can result in higher costs and data that is not useful to the business. The large scale, rapid growth and technical complexity of the data infrastructure make it difficult for many organizations to achieve global visibility and control of their data. Lack of visibility into existing data assets limits the ability of IT organizations to be responsive to business demands. Similarly, the lack of global standards can lead to inconsistent data definitions and redundancies, which can result in higher costs, lower productivity, poorer data quality and additional effort to integrate incompatible data. Without the effective implementation of an overall strategy for managing data, organizations may incur higher costs for managing a large and growing data infrastructure without realizing commensurate business value from such investments.

Embarcadero Solutions

Since its founding, Embarcadero has focused on designing and developing software that meets the evolving requirements of data management professionals. The company organizes its product line around three key disciplines of data management in a framework defined as Strategic Data Management (SDM). SDM, which is designed to be a more comprehensive approach to managing data on a broad scale, has the primary goals of helping customers extract greater business value from corporate data while achieving lower unit costs in managing their data infrastructure. The three key disciplines that comprise SDM and the rationale for their importance are:

Data Architecture. The premise for embracing data architecture is to establish a blueprint for how data will support a business over time. By establishing a data architecture practice, an organization can gain broader visibility over its data assets and therefore be better equipped to leverage them to support key business initiatives. It facilitates the adoption of enterprise data standards that can improve data quality, promote data re-use, streamline integration requirements, and support the consolidation of redundant data, databases and servers.

Data Availability. Over time, the cost of managing databases becomes a primary cost of ownership, eventually exceeding the initial cost of acquiring the software and hardware. Considering the high growth rate of corporate data, IT organizations are motivated to pursue process improvements that would enable them to achieve higher productivity in managing their data infrastructure. Today, data management professionals are responsible for the efficient administration of corporate databases while sustaining high service levels and remaining responsive to changing business requirements. In order to scale to meet these demands, IT organizations must examine opportunities to automate, streamline and simplify database administration on a broader scale.

Data Security. Businesses have a growing requirement to tighten data security in an effort to protect the confidentiality of sensitive information and to control access to applications and databases. Regulations, such as the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act and Basel II, are forcing businesses around the world to comply with new mandates to safeguard data privacy and to tighten data access controls. Corporate databases are often the primary repository for sensitive and valuable information, such as social security numbers, credit card numbers, and patient records. Accordingly, in order to secure data effectively, companies must adopt a comprehensive strategy to strengthen database server

Table of Contents

security, regardless of platform, and undertake a series of measures to protect the data.

To appeal to a wide variety of customers, our products work in multi-platform environments incorporating a variety of database platforms, including Oracle[®], IBM[®] DB2[®] UDB, Microsoft[®] SQL Server[®] and MySQL[®]. Another element of our product strategy is to support the lifecycle requirements of databases as they progress through the process of being designed, developed, tested, deployed, and managed in production. When designing our products, we strive to make them easy to use so that both novice and expert users can become productive in using them quickly.

Our key products and their core functionality are summarized below:

| Product Category | Embarcadero Product | Description |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Data Architecture | ER/Studio [®] | Software to capture, design and document database schemas for a variety of popular database platforms. It serves as a mechanism for gaining visibility and control over corporate database designs and for managing the metadata that describes the underlying data structures. |
| | DT/Studio [®] | Software to extract, transform, move and load data from a wide variety of data sources. It helps customers to integrate data from disparate sources in order to deliver more meaningful information to business users. |
| | Describe [®] | Software to architect applications, particularly those that utilize databases to store application data. It supports the UML industry standard and helps developers to develop a blueprint for an application intended to facilitate a more productive development process. |
| Data Availability | DBArtisan [®] | Software to administer databases for a variety of popular database platforms. It helps database administrators and other data professionals with key tasks in managing databases, including security administration, disaster recovery, space management and schema management. |
| | Rapid SQL [®] | Software to develop database code for a variety of popular database platforms. It helps to improve the productivity and quality of work from database developers as they write, test and debug SQL to be deployed against a database. |
| | Embarcadero Performance Center | Software to monitor databases on a variety of popular database platforms. It helps customers to guard against unplanned downtime of critical databases by providing insight into internal performance and availability metrics of the target databases. |
| | Embarcadero Change Manager | Software to manage the release of changes to database schema. It serves as a change control mechanism to ensure that code changes are reviewed, tested and approved before they are released into production. |
| Data Security | DSAuditor | DSAuditor can be purchased as software or packaged in an appliance. The product audits how users access and manipulate data for a variety of popular database platforms. It helps customers to tighten data privacy and access control by providing a detailed audit trail of how sensitive data is accessed and used across the enterprise. |

Sales and Marketing

North American sales. We sell our software in the United States and Canada primarily through a direct sales force comprised of a telesales group and a field sales organization. Our sales model has enabled us to efficiently build a broad customer base. Our telesales group is complemented by a field sales organization that targets major accounts. The field sales organization facilitates penetration into major customer accounts and focuses on driving larger sales transactions and enterprise-wide implementations of our products. Sales cycles range between two to three months for departmental sales and up to six to twelve months for larger-scale enterprise-wide implementations. Embarcadero intends to build its channel sales by working closely with OEM partners, service partners and value-added resellers. In 2005, channel sales accounted for approximately 15.4% of total revenue in North America.

International sales. International sales represented 27.0% and 19.8 % of our total revenues in 2005 and

Table of Contents

2004, respectively, and were generated primarily by Embarcadero Technologies Europe Ltd., which manages the sales, marketing, and support of our products in Europe, the Middle East, and Africa. In other overseas markets, we sell our products through independent distributors and through our sales office in Australia. We have agreements with distributors in various countries in Central and Latin America, as well as the Asia Pacific region. Our international distributors perform sales, marketing, and technical support functions for their local customers. We intend to continue to increase our international distribution by expanding direct selling efforts through Embarcadero Technologies Europe Ltd. and our existing distributors, as well as by developing relationships with additional international distributors.

For a geographic breakdown of our revenue and long-lived assets, see Note 12 of our consolidated financial statements included in this report.

Marketing. Our marketing efforts are focused on driving the core business for Embarcadero Technologies while helping the new enterprise-scale products reach critical momentum in their segments. Key activities in 2005 included lead generation programs, building relationships with our customers, researching competition and market environments, delivering more comprehensive sales support materials, and enhancing the positioning and brand of our company and products. We intend to continue our marketing efforts to increase account penetration throughout our existing customer base, extend our customer base in the areas of our new product offerings, and build market share in data management.

Customer Service and Technical Support

Most customers purchase a one-year maintenance and support contract upon purchase of a software license. Maintenance and support contracts entitle customers to all product upgrades and technical support during the term of the contract. Our standard maintenance contract covers a 12-month period, is payable in advance, and is renewable at the customer's option.

In 2005, we introduced 24/7 tiered support services to better meet the needs of our enterprise and global customers. Embarcadero Technologies provides three different Support Services programs—Standard, Extended, and Premium:

Standard Support: Standard Support provides access to the knowledge and tools needed to successfully implement and use Embarcadero products. It includes phone, email, and web support from our support team during standard support hours; software upgrades and updates; and access to online resources including a user community that exchanges ideas and information on the use of our products.

Extended Support: Extended Support includes all of the benefits of Standard Support, and adds 24/7 access to phone, email, and web-based interaction with our support team.

Premium Support: Premium Support adds the benefits of having a named account executive responsible for overseeing the support relationship, annual advisory sessions with Embarcadero product management staff, and priority case administration. Our international distributors are generally responsible for providing customer service and technical support. Our European subsidiary, Embarcadero Technologies Europe Ltd., based in Maidenhead, United Kingdom, provides English support for its customers from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Greenwich Mean Time, Monday through Friday.

Research and Development

During fiscal years 2005, 2004, and 2003, research and development expenses were \$16.2 million, \$15.6 million, and \$15.6 million respectively. These amounts represented 28.1%, 27.8%, and 30.0% respectively, of our total revenues in each of those years. Our research and development efforts are focused on enhancing our existing products as well as developing new applications that enable organizations to manage their corporate data and the systems that support and house that data. Members of our research and development group have extensive experience in databases, database management software, design, performance management, and Internet technologies. We organize our research and development staff into discrete engineering teams responsible for specific products, for both new development and enhancements to existing products, in each of our product segments. These engineering teams are located in California, Colorado, and Ontario, Canada. We supplement our internal

Edgar Filing: EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

software development efforts by using outside contractors and/or purchasing technology when we believe that utilizing such outside resources will help us to complete discrete programming tasks more effectively or efficiently than we can accomplish internally.

Table of Contents

Our future success depends largely upon our ability to enhance existing products and develop new solutions that reinforce our competitive position and increase our value proposition to customers. We have made and will continue to make financial and organizational investments in research and development. Product development input is obtained through customer feedback, by monitoring evolving user requirements, and by evaluating competing products. Our product management group is responsible for translating customer requirements and market opportunities into product development initiatives. Our engineering teams are in turn responsible for executing on these product development initiatives.

Proprietary Rights

We rely on copyright and trademark laws, trade secrets, confidentiality procedures, and contractual provisions to establish and protect our proprietary rights. We also enter into confidentiality agreements with employees and consultants and attempt to restrict access to proprietary information on a need-to-know basis.

We license our software products primarily under shrink-wrap licenses delivered electronically with the software products. Shrink-wrap licenses are not negotiated with or signed by individual licensees and purport to take effect upon installation of the product. These measures afford only limited protection. Policing unauthorized use of our products is difficult. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect our proprietary rights as well as United States laws.

We may have to enter into litigation to enforce our intellectual property rights or to determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others with respect to our rights. We are not aware of any case in which we are infringing on the proprietary rights of others.

Competition

The market for our products is highly competitive, dynamic, and subject to rapidly changing technology. We compete primarily against other providers of data and database management, data performance and availability, enterprise data design and modeling, and data movement technologies, which include Computer Associates, Quest Software, BMC Software, IBM Borland Software Corporation, Informatica Corporation, and other independent software vendors.

Our database products also compete with products offered by the manufacturers of the database services with which they are compatible, including Oracle, Microsoft, Sybase, MySQL, and IBM. Some of these competing products are provided at no charge to the database customers. We expect that companies such as Oracle, Microsoft, Sybase, and IBM will continue to develop and incorporate into their products applications which compete with our products and may take advantage of their substantial financial, technical, marketing, and distribution resources in those efforts.

We presently compete on numerous factors, including product functionality and heterogeneity, reliability, ease-of-use, performance, scalability, time-to-market, customer support, and total cost of ownership. We believe that we currently compete favorably overall. However, the market for our products is dynamic and we may not compete successfully in the future with respect to one or more of these factors.

Employees

As of December 31, 2005, we had 288 employees, 126 of whom were engaged in research and development, 111 in sales and marketing, 23 in customer service and support, and 28 in general and administration. Our future performance depends largely on our continuing ability to attract, train and retain highly qualified technical, sales, service, marketing, and managerial personnel. None of our employees is represented by a collective bargaining agreement. We have not experienced any work stoppages and consider our relations with our employees to be good.

Executive Officers

Our executive officers as of March 3, 2006 are shown below

Edgar Filing: EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

| Name | Age | Position |
|--------------------|-----|--|
| Stephen R. Wong | 46 | President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board |
| Michael Shahbazian | 59 | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer |
| Raj P. Sabhlok | 42 | Senior Vice President of Operations |
| Robert Lamvik | 49 | Senior Vice President of Sales |

Table of Contents

Stephen R. Wong is one of our co-founders and has served as the Chairman of our board of directors since July 1993. From July 1993 until October 1999, Mr. Wong served as our Chief Executive Officer and since June 2000, Mr. Wong has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer. From May 1985 to May 1990, Mr. Wong served as an associate, and subsequently as a partner, of Montgomery Medical Ventures, a venture capital firm, where he specialized in technology transfer and early stage investments. Mr. Wong holds a B.A. degree from Harvard College and an M.B.A. degree from the Harvard Business School.

Michael Shahbazian has served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since October 2005. From January 2003 to August 2005, Mr. Shahbazian was Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Niku Corporation. He also served as CFO of ANDA Networks from November 2000 to November 2002, Inventa Technologies from February 2000 to November 2000, and Walker Interactive prior to February 2000. Prior to these roles, Mr. Shahbazian spent nearly 20 years with Amdahl Corporation in a variety of senior finance positions. Mr. Shahbazian holds a B.S. degree in Business from California State University, Fresno, and an M.B.A. degree from the University of Southern California.

Raj P. Sabhlok has served as our Senior Vice President of Operations since October 2005. He served as our Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President of Corporate Development from January 2000 to October 2005. From March 1995 until January 2000, Mr. Sabhlok was employed by BMC Software, Inc., an enterprise software company, where he served as the Director of Business Development from April 1997. From February 1988 until February 1995, Mr. Sabhlok held a number of technical, marketing and sales management positions with The Santa Cruz Operation, Inc., a UNIX software development company. Mr. Sabhlok holds a B.A. degree in Mathematics from the University of California, Santa Cruz and an M.B.A. degree from Duke University.

Robert Lamvik has served as our Senior Vice President of Sales since February 2004. Mr. Lamvik has over twenty-five years of experience in high technology sales leadership. He was formerly vice president of America field operations for Sun Microsystems Software Division including iPlanet, Java Enterprise System, and Solaris sales. He has also held management and executive roles at Advanced Micro Devices, the Santa Cruz Operation, and Okidata Corporation. He holds a B.S. degree in Mathematical Sciences from Oregon State University.

Additional Information

The address of our internet website is www.embarcadero.com. We make available, free of charge through our website, our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, Section 16 filings, and other periodic SEC reports, along with amendments to all of those reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after we file the reports with the SEC.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to other information in this report, the following factors should be considered carefully in evaluating the Company. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties that we are unaware of or currently deem immaterial may also become important factors that may harm our business.

Our quarterly operating results may fluctuate in future periods, and, as a result, our stock price may fluctuate or decline.

Our operating results have fluctuated from quarter to quarter. We believe that quarter-to-quarter comparisons of our historical results of operations are not indicative of our future performance. Our revenues and operating results may continue to vary significantly from quarter to quarter due to a number of factors, including the factors discussed below. Seasonal variations in orders for our products also contribute to fluctuations in our quarterly operating results. These fluctuations are likely to cause corresponding volatility in our stock price, particularly if our operating results vary from analysts' expectations.

Future changes in financial accounting standards may adversely affect our reported results of operations.

A change in accounting standards can have a significant effect on our reported results. New accounting pronouncements and varying interpretations of accounting pronouncements have occurred and may occur in the future. These new accounting pronouncements may adversely affect our reported financial results.

Table of Contents

For example, currently we calculate employee stock-based compensation expenses using the intrinsic value method, and recorded approximately \$1.6 million and \$2.3 million in employee compensation expense in each of fiscal years 2005 and 2004. To date, we have provided disclosure on pro forma net income and net income per share as if we had applied the fair value method of accounting only in the notes to our financial statements. Under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), Share Based Payment (SFAS 123(R)), we will be required, starting from our first quarter of fiscal year 2006, to calculate compensation expense related to all share-based awards and recognize the expense in our financial statements. We expect such compensation expenses to be significant and will cause our net income and net income per share to be significantly reduced.

Increased costs associated with corporate governance compliance may significantly impact the results of our operation.

Changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance, public disclosure and compliance practices, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, new SEC regulations and NASDAQ National Market rules, are creating uncertainty for companies such as ours in understanding and complying with these laws, regulations and standards. As a result of this uncertainty and other factors, devoting the necessary resources to comply with evolving corporate governance and public disclosure standards has resulted in increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management time and attention to compliance activities. Our compliance costs in 2005 were lower than in 2004 as we were able to better control our costs in our second year of compliance. However, these costs could increase in the future. In addition, these developments may make it more difficult and more expensive for us to obtain director and officer liability insurance, and we may be required to accept reduced coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain such coverage. Moreover, we may not be able to comply with these more stringent rules and regulations on a timely basis. These developments could make it more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified members of our board of directors or qualified executive officers.

Additionally, in fiscal year 2005 we have incurred \$0.2 million of additional legal, accounting and consulting costs in connection with our Audit Committee investigation completed in January 2005. As a result of the investigation, our results of operations for 2005 were adversely affected. In addition, we will continue to incur higher general and administrative expenses as we implement, test and refine our internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

We are required to undertake an annual evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting (ICFR) that may identify internal control weaknesses requiring remediation, which could harm our reputation and confidence in our financial reporting.

Sarbanes-Oxley imposes duties on us, our executives, and directors. We completed our fiscal year 2005 evaluation of the design, remediation and testing of effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting required to comply with the management certification and attestation by our independent registered public accounting firm as required by Section 404 of Sarbanes-Oxley (Section 404). As of December 31, 2004, we reported that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective and identified certain material weaknesses. During 2005, we took actions to remediate those weaknesses. While our assessment, testing and evaluation of the design and operating effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting resulted in our conclusion that as of December 31, 2005, our ICFR were effective, we cannot predict the outcome of our testing in future periods. If we conclude in future periods that our ICFR is not effective, we may be required to change our ICFR to remediate deficiencies, investors may lose confidence in the reliability of our financial statements, and we may be subject to investigation and/or sanctions by regulatory authorities. Also, if we identify areas of our ICFR that require improvement, we could incur additional expenses to implement enhanced processes and controls to address such issues. Any such events could adversely affect our financial results and/or may result in a negative reaction in the stock market.

If sales of DBArtisan fall, our revenues and income may decline.

A significant portion of our revenues is derived from sales of our DBArtisan product. For the year ended December 31, 2005, DBArtisan and DBArtisan add-on products accounted for more than 39% of our license revenues. We expect that sales of DBArtisan will continue to represent a substantial portion of our license revenues for the foreseeable future. In addition,

Table of Contents

many of our customers initiate a relationship with us by purchasing DBArtisan. If demand for DBArtisan declines due to competition, technological change or other factors, our revenues and income may decline significantly.

If our products do not perform as expected, we may lose customers, our costs will increase and revenues may be delayed or lost.

Computer software such as ours often contains undetected errors and may contain design flaws. Errors may be found in current versions, new versions, or enhancements of our products after we make commercial shipments. If our software contains undetected errors, performs unreliably, or if we otherwise fail to meet our customers' expectations, we may suffer:

- loss of revenues, market share or customers;
- negative publicity and harm to our reputation;
- diversion of research and development and management resources;
- increased maintenance and warranty costs;
- legal actions by customers against us; and
- increased insurance costs.

If we do not generate new business from our existing customers and add new customers from our new or existing products, we will not be able to sustain or increase our revenues.

Our license arrangements generally do not provide for substantial ongoing license or maintenance payments. Therefore, our future revenue growth depends on our success in expanding our relationships with existing customers and attracting new customers. Our ability to expand our relationships with existing customers and attract new customers will depend on a variety of factors, including the performance, quality, breadth, and depth of our current and future products and maintenance. Our failure to expand relationships with existing customers or to add new customers would reduce our future license and maintenance revenues. In addition, if our existing customers do not renew their support contracts, our future maintenance revenues will be adversely affected.

Our operating results would be harmed if the recovery of information technology spending does not continue.

The markets into which we sell our products are cyclical and are subject to general economic conditions. The information technology market has generally improved since the second half of 2003. However, economic conditions remain uncertain and this market may decline in the future. During the first quarter of 2005, we experienced a slowdown in sales closure rates in the United States that may have been Company specific or a result of macroeconomic changes. While our closure rates improved through the remainder of 2005, any renewed slowdown in the database market or in general economic conditions would likely result in a reduction in demand for our products and our results of operations would be harmed.

If we are not able to attract and retain qualified personnel, our business will not be able to grow.

Our success depends on the continued service of our executive officers and other key administrative, sales and marketing, research and development, and support personnel. None of our executive officers or key employees is bound by an employment agreement for any specific term, and we do not maintain any key person life insurance policies.

We have added, and we continue to recruit, new personnel into our organizations. Our business will not be able to grow if we cannot continue to fill these positions and attract, retain, and motivate other qualified personnel. Competition for qualified employees remains intense and we may not be able to attract, assimilate, or retain highly qualified personnel in the future. There has been in the past and there may also be in the future a shortage of personnel that possess the technical background necessary to sell, support, and develop our products effectively. It has also become more difficult to recruit qualified financial personnel since the implementation of the new laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance, public disclosure and financial reporting.

Our financial statements have been and could again be impacted by unauthorized and improper actions of our personnel.

As we have experienced with our recent restatements, our financial statements can be adversely impacted by our employees' errant or improper actions. For instance, revenue recognition depends on, among other criteria, the terms negotiated in our

Edgar Filing: EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

contracts with our customers. Our personnel may act outside of their authority and negotiate additional terms without our knowledge which could impact our ability to recognize revenue in a timely manner. For instance, in the event

Table of Contents

that our sales personnel have negotiated terms that do not appear in the contract and of which we are unaware, whether the additional terms are written or oral, we could be prevented from recognizing revenue in accordance with our policy. Furthermore, depending on when we learn of unauthorized actions and the size of transactions involved, we may have to restate our financial statements for a previously reported period, which could seriously harm our business, operating results and financial condition.

The expansion of our international operations exposes us to risks.

We expect to continue to expand our international sales and research and development operations. As a result, we could face a number of risks from our expanding international operations:

- staffing and managing foreign operations;
- complying with increased financial accounting and reporting complexities, including implementing effective internal controls across international operations;
- complying with foreign redundancy notification and severance payment obligations;
- potentially adverse tax consequences;
- the loss of revenues and net income resulting from currency fluctuations;
- compliance with a wide variety of complex foreign laws and treaties;
- the impact of war or terrorist activities;
- reduced protection for intellectual property rights in some countries;
- licenses, tariffs and other trade barriers;
- longer sales and payment cycles; and
- costs and difficulties of customizing products for foreign countries.

Further expansion of our international operations may require significant management attention and financial resources and may place burdens on our management, administrative, operational and financial infrastructure. Our possible investments to establish facilities in other countries may not produce desired levels of revenues or profitability, which would negatively affect our stock price.

We invest heavily in research and development with no guarantee of return from the investments that we make.

We have invested significant resources in the development of new products. If our new products are not accepted in the marketplace, we may not achieve future revenue growth and may have limited return on the investments that we have made. In addition, we plan to continue to invest in research and development and could fail to achieve expected returns from future investments.

An unfavorable government review of our tax returns or changes in our effective tax rates could adversely affect our operating results.

Our operations are subject to income and transaction taxes in the United States and in multiple foreign jurisdictions. Our tax filings are subject to review or audit by the Internal Revenue Service and state, local and foreign taxing authorities. We exercise judgment in determining our worldwide provision for income taxes and, in the ordinary course of our business, there may be transactions and calculations where the ultimate tax determination is uncertain.

We are currently under Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) audit of our United States federal income tax return for the fiscal year ended 2003. As of December 31, 2005, the IRS has issued only one proposed adjustments to the amounts reflected by us on our return for \$88,000 for which we have already provided a reserve. However, the calculation of our tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax regulations. If the IRS audit results in assessment of additional taxes due, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the results in operations of the period in which the matter is ultimately resolved or an unfavorable outcome becomes probable and reasonably estimable.

Acquisitions of companies or technologies may result in disruptions to our business.

In October 2005, we completed the acquisition of Ambeo, a privately-held company providing database auditing and activity monitoring products, as disclosed in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements. We may make additional strategic acquisitions of companies, products, or technologies as necessary in order to implement our business strategy. If we are unable to successfully integrate Ambeo or other acquisitions with our existing operations, we may not receive the intended benefits of such acquisitions

and the revenues and operating results of the combined company may decline. Any acquisition may temporarily disrupt our operations and divert management's attention from day-to-day operations.

Table of Contents

In addition, acquisitions may subject us to unanticipated liabilities or risks, including litigation and the costs and uncertainties related to legal proceedings. For example, we recently settled litigation related to our acquisition of Engineering Performance, Inc, in November 2000, incurring litigation settlement costs of approximately \$573,000 in 2005.

While we have financed our acquisitions to date primarily through our working capital, we may incur debt or issue equity securities to finance future acquisitions. The issuance of equity securities for any acquisition could be substantially dilutive to our stockholders. In addition, our profitability may suffer due to acquisition-related expenses.

Large sales of our products and maintenance involve a lengthy sales cycle, which could cause delays in recognizing revenue or the failure to obtain revenue.

Our field sales focus on large sales of our products where the product packages are larger with higher starting prices and longer evaluation periods than our traditional products. These large sales typically involve sales cycles between six and twelve months. This lengthy sales cycle is due to the need to educate and convince prospective customers of the value of our products and to gain approval from more constituencies within a prospective account, including key management personnel.

The timing of our revenues has become more difficult to forecast because of this lengthy sales cycle for large sales. We may expend substantial time and resources to negotiate transactions with prospective customers but then be delayed in concluding, or be unable to conclude, sales of our products and maintenance. Any delay in, or failure to complete, sales in a particular period would reduce our revenues in that period as well as in subsequent periods over which revenues for the sale may be recognized. If we were to experience a delay on one or more large orders, it could harm our ability to meet our forecasts for a given period. If our sales cycle unexpectedly lengthens in general or for one or more large sales, it could negatively affect the timing of our revenues and may cause our revenues and operating results to vary significantly from period to period.

Our high fixed operating expenses might adversely affect our profitability if future revenue expectations are not met.

The majority of our operating expenses consist of personnel and related expenses and facility related costs. These costs are relatively fixed in the short-term, and our operating decisions such as when to hire additional personnel and when to expand our facilities and infrastructure are based in large part on expectations about expected future revenues. If we hire personnel and enter into facilities contracts based on our expectations about future revenues, and then fail to meet those revenue expectations, we would likely have lower than expected earnings, which would negatively affect our stock price.

If we are not able to enhance our existing products to adapt to rapid technological change, or if we introduce new products that do not achieve market acceptance, our revenues and earnings may suffer and we may experience loss of market share.

The market for our products is characterized by rapid technological change, frequent product introductions and enhancements, uncertain product lifecycles, and changes in customer demands and industry standards. Our success depends on our ability to continue to:

- enhance our current products;
- introduce new products that keep pace with technological developments and market conditions;
- satisfy increasingly complicated customer requirements;
- integrate our products with multiple database platforms; and
- modify our products as database platforms change.

However, due to the nature of computing environments, new products and product enhancements could require longer development and testing periods than we currently anticipate. Moreover, if we develop new products that do not achieve market acceptance, we may not be able to recoup development and marketing expenses, which could harm our operating results.

The introduction of new technologies and the emergence of new industry standards may render our existing products obsolete and unmarketable. Delays in the general availability of new releases or problems in the installation or implementation of new releases could harm our business and operating results. We may not be successful in developing and marketing, on a timely

Table of Contents

and cost-effective basis, new products or new product enhancements that respond to technological change, evolving industry standards, or customer requirements. Our failure to do so would render our products obsolete and could harm our ability to compete. In addition, our products and product enhancements may not achieve market acceptance.

International political instability may increase our cost of doing business and disrupt our business.

Continued international political instability, evidenced by the threat or occurrence of terrorist attacks, enhanced national security measures, sustained military action in Afghanistan and Iraq, strained international relations with foreign governments and other international conflicts, may halt or hinder our ability to do business, may increase our costs, and may adversely affect our stock price. This continued instability may, for example, negatively impact the reliability and cost of transportation, negatively affect the desire of our employees and customers to travel, adversely affect our ability to obtain adequate insurance at reasonable rates, or require us to take extra security precautions for our domestic and international operations. In addition, this international political instability has had and may continue to have negative effects on financial markets, including significant price and volume fluctuations in securities markets. If this international political instability continues or escalates, our business and results of operations could be harmed and the market price of our common stock could decline.

We may have future non-recurring charges in the event of goodwill impairment.

We adopted SFAS No. 142 on January 1, 2002, and, as a result, we ceased to amortize goodwill. We now test our goodwill for impairment on an annual basis or in the event of a significant change in our business. We performed our impairment test in September 2005 and determined that there had been no impairment to our goodwill. As of December 31, 2005, our goodwill balance was \$13.9 million. In the future, if we determine that this goodwill has been impaired, we will be required to take a non-recurring charge to write down this asset, which would adversely affect our earnings and book value.

We may lose market share and be required to reduce prices as a result of competition.

The market for our products is highly competitive, dynamic, and subject to rapidly changing technology. Pricing pressure in the market has increased as competitors have lowered prices and engaged in more aggressive discounting. If such pricing pressure continues, it could have an adverse effect on our margins.

We compete primarily against other providers of data and database management, data performance and availability, enterprise data design and modeling, and data movement technologies, which include Computer Associates, Quest Software, BMC Software, IBM Borland Software Corporation, Informatica Corporation, and other independent software vendors. Our products also compete with products offered by database software manufacturers, including Oracle, Microsoft, Sybase and IBM. Some of these competing products are provided at no charge to their customers. We expect that companies such as Oracle, Microsoft, Sybase, and IBM will continue to develop and incorporate into their products applications which compete with our products and may take advantage of their substantial technical, financial, marketing and distribution resources in those efforts. We may not be able to compete effectively with those products or efforts, which could significantly harm our business and operating results.

There has continued to be consolidation in our industry, such as IBM's acquisition of Ascential Software. This and any future acquisitions may have the effect of improving the competitive positions of the acquired companies and weakening our competitive situation. To effectively compete as the industry consolidates, we may need to seek alliances with other companies in order to gain better acceptance of our products. We may not be able to enter into such alliances on terms favorable to us or at all.

In addition, with our acquisition of SHC Ambeo Acquisition Corp. in 2005, we anticipate competing in the data security market. While industry analysts project the market for data security to grow rapidly, we anticipate that competition will be strong and that in addition to our traditional competitors, we likely see additional competition from new competitors with broad security expertise. If the market for strategic data management solutions grows, some of our competitors may increase their focus on offering software directly competitive with ours, whether by internal development, external development, or acquisition. Our competitors may also attempt to keep us from integrating our software with theirs, making it more difficult for our customers to adopt our software. If

Table of Contents

such increased competition were to result in resistance to integration of our software with the software of these competitors, we may have difficulty entering markets where our competitors have strong market positions.

Many of our competitors have longer operating histories, substantially greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources, and greater name recognition than we do. They also may be able to respond more quickly than we can to changes in technology or customer requirements. Competition could seriously impede our ability to sell additional products on acceptable terms. Our competitors may pursue the following actions:

develop and market new technologies that render our products obsolete, unmarketable or otherwise less competitive; make strategic acquisitions or establish cooperative relationships among themselves or with other companies, thereby enhancing the functionality of their products; or establish or strengthen cooperative relationships with channel or strategic partners which limit our ability to sell or to co-market products through these channels.

Competitive pressures could reduce our market share, reduce customer orders, reduce gross margins, or require us to reduce our prices, any of which would harm our operating results.

Our proprietary rights may be inadequately protected and infringement claims or independent development of competing technologies could harm our competitive position.

We rely on copyright and trademark laws, trade secrets, confidentiality procedures, and contractual provisions to establish and protect our proprietary rights. We also enter into confidentiality agreements with employees and consultants and attempt to restrict access to proprietary information on a need-to-know basis. Despite such precautions, unauthorized third parties may be able to copy aspects of our products or obtain and use information that we consider as proprietary.

We license our software products primarily under shrink-wrap licenses delivered electronically with our software products. Shrink-wrap licenses are not negotiated with or signed by individual licensees and purport to take effect upon installation of the product or downloading of the product from the Internet. These measures afford only limited protection. Policing unauthorized use of our products is difficult and we are unable to determine the extent to which piracy of our software exists. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect our proprietary rights as well as the laws of the United States. We may have to enter into litigation to enforce our intellectual property rights or to determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others with respect to our rights. Litigation is generally very expensive and can divert the attention of management from daily operations. Accordingly, any intellectual property litigation could disrupt our operations and harm our operating results. Further, the cost we may need to incur in connection with the defense of such lawsuits, if significant, could harm our financial condition.

We are not aware of any case in which we are infringing the proprietary rights of others. However, third parties may bring infringement claims against us. Any such claim is likely to be time consuming and costly to defend, could cause product delays and could force us to enter into unfavorable royalty or license agreements with third parties. A successful infringement claim against us could require us to enter into a license or royalty agreement with the claimant or develop alternative technology. However, we may not be able to negotiate favorable license or royalty agreements, if any, in connection with such claims and we may fail to develop alternative technology on a timely basis. Accordingly, a successful product infringement claim against us could harm our business and operating results.

We are susceptible to business interruptions that could harm our business.

Our operations are vulnerable to damage or interruption from computer viruses, human errors, natural disasters, telecommunications failures, intentional acts of vandalism, and other similar events. In particular, our corporate headquarters are located in San Francisco, which is known for its seismic activities. Although we have a disaster recovery plan for critical data and business applications, this does not provide assurance that we would not suffer a business interruption. A significant business interruption would result to losses or damages to our operation and harm our business. Our business interruption insurance may not be adequate to compensate us for losses that might occur, which would result in increased expenses and harm our operating results.

Table of Contents

Certain persons have substantial control over us, which could impede stockholder approval of certain transactions.

Our executive officers and directors, in the aggregate, beneficially held 24% of our outstanding common stock as of December 31, 2005. These stockholders, if acting together, can significantly influence all matters requiring approval by our stockholders, including the approval of equity compensation plans, the election of directors and the approval of mergers or other business combination transactions.

We expect the price of our common stock to remain volatile, making it difficult for our stockholders to predict the return on their investment.

Since our initial public offering, the market price of our common stock has fluctuated significantly in response to a number of factors, including:

- market reactions to our announcement of the restatements of our financial statements for the years 2000, 2001, 2002 and 2003, the inability of the investing public to rely on our previously issued financial information for those years and the quarters ended March 31, June 30, and September 30, 2004 and 2003, and the delayed filing of the Form 10-K for 2004;
- market reaction to our announcement of the delayed filing of our Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004, and the inability of the investing public to rely on our previously issued financial statements for the quarters ended March 31 and June 30, 2004;
- changes in market valuation of software and technology companies;
- quarterly variations in our operating results;
- global and domestic economic and political conditions;
- changes in financial estimates by securities analysts;
- announcements that we or our competitors make related to significant contracts, acquisitions, capital commitments, strategic partnerships or product introductions or enhancements;
- additions or departures of key personnel;
- stock market price and volume fluctuations, which are particularly volatile among securities of software and Internet companies; and
- sales of significant amounts of our common stock or other securities in the open market.

Provisions of our charter and bylaws and Delaware law could deter takeover attempts that might be beneficial to our stockholders.

Provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws as well as Delaware law could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if doing so would be beneficial to our stockholders. We are subject to the provisions of Delaware law that restrict business combinations with interested stockholders, which may have the effect of inhibiting a non-negotiated merger or other business combinations.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

Item 2. Properties

Our headquarters currently occupy approximately 24,300 square feet in San Francisco, California, pursuant to a lease we executed in April 2004, and which expires in June 2009. Ongoing costs associated with our former San Francisco facilities are included in our restructuring reserve, as discussed in Note 6 of the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 hereof. Our Colorado office occupies approximately 8,600 square feet in Littleton, Colorado pursuant to a lease that expires in August 2006. In addition, we maintain a research and development facility of approximately 6,500 square feet in Monterey, California pursuant to a lease that expired in November 2005 and that was extended month-to-month. Subsequently, we have negotiated a new lease for

Edgar Filing: EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

our Monterey, California office for 6,100 square feet of space starting in March 2006 and expiring in March 2011. We have additional field sales and software development offices in the United States, Canada, the United Kingdom, and Australia.

We believe that our facilities are adequate and that, if required, we would be able to lease additional space to accommodate expansion.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We have no material pending legal proceedings. However, from time to time, we may become a party to other legal proceedings arising in the normal course of our business. We may also be indirectly affected by administrative or court proceedings or actions in which we are not involved but which have general applicability

Table of Contents

to the software industry. Although occasional adverse opinions or settlements may occur, we believe that the final disposition of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of the year ended December 31, 2005.

PART II**Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities****Common Stock Market Price**

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ National Market under the symbol EMBT. Our common stock began trading on NASDAQ on April 20, 2000, the date of our initial public offering. The following table presents, for the periods indicated, the high and low intra-day sale prices per share of our common stock during the fiscal quarters indicated, as reported on NASDAQ.

| | | | |
|----------------|----|-------|----------|
| Fiscal 2004 | | High | Low |
| First Quarter | \$ | 16.11 | \$ 12.01 |
| Second Quarter | | 14.49 | 10.63 |
| Third Quarter | | 12.45 | 5.79 |
| Fourth Quarter | | 9.91 | 7.16 |
| Fiscal 2005 | | High | Low |
| First Quarter | \$ | 9.41 | \$ 6.59 |
| Second Quarter | | 6.72 | 4.85 |
| Third Quarter | | 6.74 | 5.50 |
| Fourth Quarter | | 8.17 | 6.45 |

We had approximately 64 stockholders of record as of December 31, 2005. However, we believe there are significantly more beneficial holders of our common stock.

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our capital stock. We currently intend to retain future earnings, if any, for development of our business and do not anticipate that we will declare or pay cash dividends on our capital stock in the foreseeable future.

We made no unregistered sales of our securities during the year ended December 31, 2005.

Pursuant to our publicly announced stock repurchase program approved by our Board of Directors in September 2001 and amended as of July 2002, July 2004 and October 2004, we are authorized to repurchase up to an aggregate of 4,230,000 shares of common stock. Under this stock repurchase program, depending on market conditions and other factors, we may make repurchases from time to time in the open market and in negotiated transactions, including block transactions. As of December 31, 2005, 995,000 shares of our common stock remained available for repurchase under our stock repurchase program. This stock repurchase program may be terminated at any time. We made no repurchases under the program during the quarter ended December 31, 2005.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data**

The following selected condensed consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Item 8, Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS**

| <i>Year Ended December 31,</i> | <i>(In thousands, except per share data)</i> | | | | |
|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 |
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| License | \$ 27,513 | \$ 28,208 | \$ 27,151 | \$ 27,486 | \$ 32,018 |
| Maintenance | 30,039 | 28,086 | 24,772 | 21,811 | 19,476 |
| Total revenues | 57,552 | 56,294 | 51,923 | 49,297 | 51,494 |
| Cost of revenues: | | | | | |
| License | 1,388 | 999 | 614 | 589 | 774 |
| Amortization of acquired technology | 841 | 2,105 | 2,223 | 1,684 | 808 |
| Maintenance | 2,173 | 2,362 | 2,286 | 2,245 | 2,228 |
| Total cost of revenues | 4,402 | 5,466 | 5,123 | 4,518 | 3,810 |
| Gross profit | 53,150 | 50,828 | 46,800 | 44,779 | 47,684 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | |
| Research and development | 16,178 | 15,637 | 15,600 | 14,526 | 14,670 |
| Purchased research and development | | | | 1,100 | |
| Sales and marketing | 22,950 | 21,077 | 18,977 | 19,317 | 21,142 |
| General and administrative | 8,596 | 8,777 | 5,238 | 5,432 | 6,572 |
| Litigation settlement charge, net | 573 | | | | |
| Amortization of goodwill and other intangible assets | | | | 1,321 | 5,502 |
| Restructuring and impairment charges | | 4,032 | | 160 | 1,490 |
| Total operating expenses | 48,297 | 49,523 | 39,815 | 41,856 | 49,376 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 4,853 | 1,305 | 6,985 | 2,923 | (1,692) |
| Other income, net | 1,239 | 791 | 423 | 720 | 1,176 |
| Expenses related to proposed public offering | | | | | (350) |
| Income (loss) before provision for income taxes | 6,092 | 2,096 | 7,408 | 3,643 | (866) |
| Provision for income taxes | (1,755) | (108) | (2,695) | (906) | (479) |
| Income (loss) before share in loss of joint venture and affiliated company | 4,337 | 1,988 | 4,713 | 2,737 | (1,345) |
| Share in loss of joint venture and affiliated company, net | | | | (448) | (579) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 4,337 | \$ 1,988 | \$ 4,713 | \$ 2,289 | \$ (1,924) |
| Basic | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.08 | \$ (0.07) |
| Diluted | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.08 | \$ (0.07) |
| Weighted average shares used in per share calculation: | | | | | |
| Basic | 25,866 | 26,788 | 26,618 | 27,046 | 27,045 |
| Diluted | 27,294 | 28,502 | 28,654 | 28,879 | 27,045 |
| Non-cash stock based compensation included in the above expenses: | | | | | |
| Cost of revenues | \$ 17 | \$ 16 | \$ | \$ 2 | \$ 12 |
| Research and development | 395 | 595 | 61 | 30 | 128 |
| Sales and marketing | 547 | 849 | 285 | 803 | 1,557 |
| General and administrative | 656 | 858 | 356 | 1,236 | 2,658 |
| | \$ 1,615 | \$ 2,318 | \$ 702 | \$ 2,071 | \$ 44,355 |

Table of Contents

- (1) Operating expenses for 2001 included goodwill amortization of \$ 2,740. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, effective January 1, 2002, we ceased amortization of goodwill.

(in thousands)

| As of December 31, | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Consolidated Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | |
| Cash, cash equivalent and short term investments | \$ 59,969 | \$ 59,907 | \$ 57,967 | \$ 43,763 | \$ 39,903 |
| Working capital | 49,545 | 49,767 | 49,764 | 39,101 | 33,666 |
| Total assets | 93,156 | 90,123 | 90,005 | 78,262 | 76,157 |
| Capital lease obligation | 248 | 409 | | | |
| Total stockholders' equity | 69,757 | 67,094 | 70,737 | 63,464 | 61,200 |

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. This report contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. All of these statements are based on information available to us as of the date hereof, and we assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statements. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements as a result of certain risk factors including, but not limited to, those discussed above under Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Executive Overview

Embarcadero Technologies, Inc. is a leading provider of strategic data management solutions that help companies to improve the availability, integrity, accessibility, and security of corporate data. We earn revenues from the worldwide sale of these software solutions and related maintenance and support services to corporations, government agencies, educational institutions, and other entities. Information technology (IT) budgets, as well as macroeconomic conditions, affect demand for our products. In addition, our sales are impacted by competitive conditions, market acceptance of our product offerings, and our ability to execute our sales plans successfully. We have historically derived a significant percentage of our revenues from our DBArtisan product line. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2005, DBArtisan and DBArtisan add-on products accounted for more than 39% of our license revenues. This product line is expected to continue to account for a significant portion of our net revenues for the foreseeable future. As a result of this revenue concentration, our business could be harmed by a decline in demand for this product or its related product line.

Our products support the most widely used database and OS platforms, including Oracle, Microsoft SQL Server, IBM DB2 Universal Database, MySQL, and Sybase, running in Unix, Windows NT, and Linux environments.

From 2001 to the first half of 2003, global economic conditions had a negative impact on IT spending which affected sales of our products. In the second half of 2003 and in 2004, there were improvements in the fundamentals of our market and broader acceptance of our core and new products. However, in the first quarter of 2005, primarily due to an unexpected slowdown in closure rates of sales transactions in the United States that may have been Company specific or a result of macroeconomic changes, and also due to the organizational disruption in our U.K. subsidiary related to our Audit Committee investigation, we did not meet our revenue projections and total revenues decreased to \$13.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005. But, with the stabilization of our U.K. operation in the second quarter, total revenue increased to \$14.0 million. With the improvement in enterprise and commercial sales in North America, total revenue reached \$14.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. In the fourth quarter, owing to better sales execution in North America coupled with higher closure rates internationally, revenue for the three months ended December 31, 2005 increased to \$15.6 million and \$57.6 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2005 compared to \$14.5 million and \$56.3 million for the corresponding period in 2004.

Most of our operating expenses are related to personnel and related overhead costs, facilities, outside research and development contractors, and legal and other professional service costs. The operating expenditures for the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2005 were \$13.0 million and \$48.3 million, respectively, compared to \$13.4 million and \$49.5 million for the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2004,

Table of Contents

respectively. Included in the twelve month period ended 2005 and 2004, is a litigation settlement charge of \$573,000 and a restructuring charge of \$4.0 million, respectively.

Our cash flow from operations was approximately \$1.5 million and \$9.6 million for the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2005, respectively. Cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments were \$60.0 million at December 31, 2005. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2005, we repurchased \$3.2 million of the Company's common stock under the Company's stock repurchase program.

Our license and maintenance revenues, results of operations, cash flows from operations, and financial condition could be adversely affected in future periods by a renewed downturn in global economic conditions, increased competitive pressures or our own inability to execute on our sales plans.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

A detailed discussion of our significant accounting policies can be found in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements. The impacts and risks associated with our accounting policies are discussed throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K and in the notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements. Critical accounting policies and estimates are those items that require significant judgment and/or estimates by management at the time that financial statements are prepared such that materially different results might have been reported if other assumptions had been made. Below is further discussion of the items that we consider to be our critical accounting policies and estimates.

Revenue Recognition. Our revenues are principally derived from software license sales and related maintenance and support contracts. Customers that license our software products also generally purchase maintenance and support contracts that provide software updates and technical support over a stated term, usually one year. Revenues from maintenance and support contracts are paid for in advance and are recognized ratably over the contract term. We primarily sell packaged software products that generally do not require us to perform any special installation or integration services.

We recognize revenues in accordance with Statement of Position (SOP) 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition, as amended by SOP 98-4 and SOP 98-9. We recognize revenues when all of the following criteria are met: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; delivery of the product has occurred, typically upon shipment, when terms are F.O.B. shipping point; no significant implementation obligations by us remain; the fee is fixed or determinable; and collectibility is probable.

We use a purchase order, a pre-payment via check, wire or credit card, a signed license agreement, or other persuasive evidence as substantiation of an arrangement.

When processing a sales transaction, we assess whether the fee associated with the transaction is fixed or determinable and whether or not collection is probable. We assess whether the fee is fixed or determinable based on the payment terms associated with the transaction. If a significant portion of a fee is due after our normal payment terms, which are typically 30 days from invoice date but in some cases may be 45 or 60 days, we account for the fee as not being fixed or determinable. In these cases, we recognize revenue as the fees become due. We assess collectibility based on a number of factors, including past transaction history with the customer and the credit-worthiness of the customer. We do not request collateral from our customers. If we determine that collection of a fee is not probable, we defer the fee and recognize revenue at the time collection becomes probable, which is generally upon receipt of cash. Most customers are granted payment terms, but some payments are collected via check, wire, or credit card at the time an order is placed.

For arrangements with multiple elements (e.g., hardware and software products, undelivered maintenance and support contracts and consulting and training services bundled with licenses), we allocate revenue to the delivered elements of the arrangement using the residual value method based on their fair value. Under the residual value method, the fair value of the undelivered elements is deferred and the remaining portion of the arrangement fee is recognized as revenue. The vendor specific objective evidence of fair

Table of Contents

value for the ongoing maintenance and support obligations for the licenses is based upon the prices paid for separate renewal of those services by similar customers. Vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE) of fair value for other services, primarily consulting and training services, is based upon separate sales of such services.

Deferred revenue, which consists primarily of maintenance and support services that customers have contracted for in advance is recognized ratably over the maintenance term, typically one year. Other deferred revenue typically relates to consulting and training services and is recognized as services are rendered or as other requirements requiring deferral under SOP 97-2 are satisfied.

Our products are typically sold under a perpetual license model. Updates and upgrades to our products are made available to customers who have purchased maintenance and support contracts. We do not recognize revenues for refundable fees or agreements with cancellation rights until such rights to refund or cancellation have expired.

Our products may be sold through distributors or resellers in the United States and certain international markets. Revenues from software license fees sold through distributors or resellers are recognized on the sell-through basis. Maintenance revenue sold through distributors and resellers is recognized ratably over the contractual post contract service (PCS) period. Distributors and resellers purchase products to fulfill specific customer orders and generally do not hold inventory of our products. We also enter into arrangements with OEMs that provide for license fees based on inclusion of our products in their products. These arrangements often provide for non-refundable and upfront minimum royalty payments which are recognized as revenue either immediately or on a sell-through basis when due, assuming all other revenue recognition criteria are met. The OEM arrangements usually include maintenance and support contracts. We allocate revenues to the delivered elements of the arrangements using the residual value method based on the VSOE for undelivered items. We intend to continue to expand our international sales activities in an effort to increase revenues from foreign sales.

We sell our software and related maintenance services directly through our telesales and field sales organizations in North America, the United Kingdom and Australia and indirectly through our distribution partners worldwide.

Significant management judgment and estimates must be made in connection with the determination of the revenue to be recognized in any accounting period. If we made different judgments or utilized different estimates for any period, material differences in the amount and timing of revenue recognized could result

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts and Returns. We establish allowances for doubtful accounts, returns and discounts based on our review of credit profiles of our customers, contractual terms and conditions, current economic trends and historical payment, return and discount experience. We reassess the allowances for doubtful accounts, returns and discounts each period. Historically, our actual losses and credits have been consistent with these provisions. However, unexpected events or significant future changes in trends could result in a material impact to our future statements of operations and of cash flows. If we made different judgments or utilized different estimates for any period, material differences in the amount and timing of revenue or bad debt expense recognized could result. Our allowances for doubtful accounts, returns and discounts as a percentage of net revenues were 0.3%, 0.1% and 0.2% in fiscal years 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. See Note 5 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a summary of activities during the years reported. Based on our results for the year ended December 31, 2005, a one-percentage point deviation in our allowances for doubtful accounts, returns and discounts as a percentage of net revenues would have resulted in an increase or decrease in revenue and/or expense of approximately \$576,000.

Capitalized Research and Development Costs. Capitalized research and development costs include certain costs associated with acquiring and developing technology used in our licensed products.

Research and development costs are capitalized in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 86, Accounting for Costs of Computer Software to be Sold, Leased or Otherwise Marketed, which requires capitalization of research and development costs once technological feasibility has been established through such time as the related product is generally available for sale. We consider technological feasibility to have been established when a working model that includes

Table of Contents

all significant planned features and functionality exists. Accordingly, purchased technology is capitalized if it has reached technological feasibility at the time of acquisition; costs of developed technology are capitalized after technological feasibility is reached.

Costs of purchased and developed technology are capitalized and amortized on a product-by-product basis over their remaining estimated useful lives at the greater of straight-line or the ratio that current gross revenues for a product bear to the total of current and anticipated future gross revenues for that product. Amortization begins when technology is available for sale. Estimated useful lives of capitalized technology are generally three years.

Our capitalized software costs were \$2.2 million, net at December 31, 2005. A discussion of our policies related to capitalized software can be found in Note 1 to our Consolidated Financial Statements under the heading "Capitalized software development costs" and further detail can be found in Note 4 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Lease Related Impairment Loss. As discussed in Note 6 to our Consolidated Financial Statements, in 2001 we recorded a restructuring charge in connection with our abandonment of certain operating leases as part of a program to restructure our operations and related facilities. Lease abandonment costs were estimated to include the impairment of leasehold improvements, remaining net present value of the Company's future contractual lease obligations, net of any sublease income and brokerage fees. In 2002, based on changes in the commercial real estate market in San Francisco, we recorded an additional impairment charges to cover lower than estimated sublease income. Further, in 2004, we recorded a second restructuring and impairment charge of \$4.0 million related to the consolidation of our office leases in San Francisco. The restructuring charge is primarily based on the net present value of the Company's future contractual lease obligations net of any sublease income and any incidental expenses related to restructuring. Estimates related to sublease costs and income are based on assumptions about the time period required to locate and contract with suitable sub-lessees as well as the sublease rates that can be achieved, as determined by market trend information analyses provided by a commercial real estate brokerage we retained. We will continue to review these estimates each reporting period. To the extent that these assumptions change due to unfavorable market conditions, the ultimate restructuring expenses for these abandoned facilities could increase.

Accounting for Income Taxes. In conjunction with preparing our consolidated financial statements, we must estimate our income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. This process involves estimating our actual current tax expense as well as assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items, such as depreciation and amortization, for financial reporting and tax reporting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included in our consolidated balance sheet. We must then assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income and to the extent we believe that recovery is not likely, we must establish a valuation allowance against our U.S. net deferred tax assets. To the extent we establish a valuation allowance or increase this allowance in a given period, we must increase the tax provision in the statement of operations.

Significant management judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes, our deferred tax assets and liabilities, and any valuation allowance recorded against our net deferred tax assets. At December 31, 2005, we had gross deferred tax assets of \$5.1 million. Due to uncertainties related to our ability to utilize some of our deferred tax assets, consisting of Colorado net operating loss and Canadian R&D credit carryforwards, we established a valuation allowance of approximately \$192,000. The valuation allowance is based on our estimates of current and future taxable income by jurisdiction in which we operate and the period over which our deferred tax assets will be recoverable. In the event that actual results differ from these estimates or we adjust these estimates in future periods, we may need to establish an additional valuation allowance which could materially impact our financial position and results of operations. A detailed discussion of our income tax provision and the components of our deferred tax assets and liabilities can be found in Note 7 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Accounting for Deferred Stock-Based Compensation. Our stock-based employee compensation plans are described more fully in Note 10 to our Consolidated Financial Statements. We account for those plans under the recognition and measurement

Table of Contents

principles of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations. Deferred stock-based compensation cost is reflected in net income (loss), as some options and stock awards granted under those plans had an exercise price less than the fair value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant, which is described more fully in Note 10 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

We amortize stock-based compensation using the multiple option method over the remaining vesting periods of the related options and stock awards, which are generally four years. Pro forma information regarding net income (loss) and earnings per share is required in order to show our net income (loss) as if we had accounted for employee stock options and awards under the fair value method of SFAS No. 123, as amended by SFAS No. 148, and is contained in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements.

The fair value of options and stock awards issued pursuant to the option plans at the grant date were estimated using the Black-Scholes model. The Black-Scholes option-pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option-pricing models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility. We use projected volatility rates, which are based upon historical volatility rates trended into future years. Because our employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of our options.

The effects of applying pro forma disclosures of net income (loss) and earnings per share are not likely to be representative of the pro forma effects on net income (loss) and earnings per share in the future years for the following reasons: 1) the number of future shares to be issued under these plans is not known and 2) the assumptions used to determine the fair value can vary significantly.

Starting January 2006, the Company will adopt Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*. The new pronouncement issued in December 2004 replaces the existing requirements under SFAS No. 123 and APB 25. According to SFAS No. 123 (R), all forms of share-based payments to employees, including employee stock options and employee stock purchase plans, would be treated the same as any other form of compensation by recognizing the related cost in the Statement of Operations. This pronouncement eliminates the ability to account for stock-based compensation transactions using APB No. 25 and generally would require instead that such transactions be accounted for using a fair-value based method. FASB concluded that for public companies SFAS No. 123 (R) is effective for awards and stock options granted, modified or settled in cash in interim or annual periods beginning after June 15, 2005. SFAS No. 123 (R) provides transition alternatives for public companies to restate prior interim periods or prior years. The Company expects the adoption of SFAS 123 (R) will have a material adverse impact on our net income and net income per share. We cannot quantify the amount of such impact at this time.

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following condensed table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the percentage relationship of certain items from our consolidated statements of operations to total revenues:

| <i>Year Ended December 31,</i> | <i>(in thousands)</i> | | |
|--|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| Revenues: | | | |
| License | 47.8% | 50.1% | 52.3% |
| Maintenance | 52.2 | 49.9 | 47.7 |
| Total revenues | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Cost of revenues: | | | |
| License | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.2 |
| Amortization of acquired technology | 1.5 | 3.7 | 4.3 |
| Maintenance | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.4 |
| Total cost of revenues | 7.7 | 9.7 | 9.9 |
| Gross profit | 92.3 | 90.3 | 90.1 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Research and development | 28.1 | 27.8 | 30.0 |
| Sales and marketing | 39.9 | 37.4 | 36.5 |
| General and administrative | 14.9 | 15.6 | 10.1 |
| Litigation settlement charge | 1.0 | | |
| Restructuring and impairment charges | | 7.2 | |
| Total operating expenses | 83.9 | 88.0 | 76.6 |
| Income from operations | 8.4 | 2.3 | 13.5 |
| Other income, net | 2.2 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 10.6 | 3.7 | 14.3 |
| Provision for income taxes | (3.0) | (0.2) | (5.2) |
| Net income | 7.6% | 3.5% | 9.1% |

Table of Contents**Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003****Revenues**

Total revenues and year over year changes are as follows (in thousands, except for percentages):

| Year ended December 31, | 2005 | 2004 | Change | | 2003 | Change | | |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------|-----------|----------|---------|--|
| | | | Amount | Percent | | Amount | Percent | |
| Revenues: | | | | | | | | |
| License | \$ 27,513 | \$ 28,208 | \$ (695) | (2.5)% | \$ 27,151 | \$ 1,057 | 3.9% | |
| Maintenance | 30,039 | 28,086 | 1,953 | 7.0 | 24,772 | 3,314 | 13.4 | |
| Total revenues | \$ 57,552 | \$ 56,294 | \$ 1,258 | 2.2% | \$ 51,923 | \$ 4,371 | 8.4% | |

Total Revenues. Total revenues increased 2.2% for fiscal year 2005 compared to fiscal year 2004, primarily attributable to the growth in maintenance revenue related to the growth in our installed base. The increase in total revenue from 2003 to 2004 is due to a general increase in information technology spending and better sales execution in North America.

License. License revenue decreased 2.5% in 2005 compared to 2004. The decrease was due to a significant decrease in license revenues generated by our U.K. subsidiary in 2005. The 3.9% increase in license revenue in 2004 compared to 2003 is a result of better information technology spending environment and increased license revenue generated in the North America region. We expect that license revenues will remain relatively consistent compared to prior years, as a percentage of total revenue in 2006.

Maintenance. Maintenance revenue increased 7.0% in 2005 compared to 2004, primarily due to the increase in the cumulative number of licenses sold, a growing installed base, and constant maintenance renewals. Maintenance revenue increased 13.4% in 2004 compared to 2003, primarily as a result of additional maintenance revenue related to the growth in our installed base. We expect that maintenance revenue will remain relatively consistent compared to prior years, as a percentage of total revenue in 2006.

Cost of Revenues

Total Cost of Revenues. Total cost of revenues and year over year changes are as follows (in thousands, except percentages):

| Year ended December, 31 | 2005 | 2004 | Change | | 2003 | Change | | |
|--|----------|----------|------------|---------|----------|--------|---------|--|
| | | | Amount | Percent | | Amount | Percent | |
| Cost of revenues: | | | | | | | | |
| License | \$ 1,388 | \$ 999 | \$ 389 | 38.9% | \$ 614 | \$ 385 | 62.7% | |
| Amortization of acquired technology and capitalized software | 841 | 2,105 | (1,264) | (60.0) | 2,223 | (118) | (5.3) | |
| Maintenance | 2,173 | 2,362 | (189) | (8.0) | 2,286 | 76 | 3.3 | |
| Total cost of revenues | \$ 4,402 | \$ 5,466 | \$ (1,064) | (19.5)% | \$ 5,123 | \$ 343 | 6.7% | |

License. Cost of license revenues consists primarily of amortization of capitalized research and development expenses, royalties, credit card merchant fees, product media and packaging and shipping. Cost of license revenues were \$1.4 million, \$1.0 million, and \$614,000 for 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The absolute dollar and percentage of total cost of license revenues increased from 2004 to 2005 primarily due to a write off of approximately \$473,000 in capitalized research and development expenses pursuant to a discontinued product, offset by a decrease bank card fees. The absolute dollar increase from 2003 to 2004 was due

Edgar Filing: EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

to an increase of approximately \$300,000 in amortization expense related to capitalized research and development expenses, and an increase of approximately \$135,000 for royalties, offset by a

Table of Contents

decrease of approximately \$17,000 related to lower product media and packaging costs.

Amortization of Acquired Technology and Capitalized Software. Amortization of acquired technology expense was \$0.8 million, \$2.1 million and \$2.2 million in 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively. The expense in 2005 is primarily related to technology acquired in the acquisition of Ambeo in October 2005 and other technologies purchased in 2003 and 2001. In 2004, the expense was primarily related to technology acquired in the acquisition of Advanced Software Technologies, Inc. in 2000 and additional technology acquisitions in 2001 and 2002. Amortization of Advanced Software Technologies, Inc. ceased in 2004. The decrease in 2004 compared to 2003 was due to the completion of amortization in the fourth quarter of 2004 compared to a full year of amortization. We expect there to be amortization of acquired technology expense in 2006 related to Ambeo and technology purchased in 2001.

Maintenance. Cost of maintenance revenues consists primarily of customer support personnel and related expenses, including payroll, employee benefits, and allocated overhead. The decrease in cost of maintenance from 2004 to 2005 was due to decreased salaries and wages resulting from lower head count. The decrease in cost of maintenance as a percentage of revenues from 2003 to 2004 was due primarily to the increase in maintenance revenues from period to period while the number of related personnel remained flat, allowing us to realize economies of scale through increased utilization of our customer support personnel. We expect to hire more support personnel to support our expanding product line, increased level of services and customer base. When we hire such personnel, we expect cost of maintenance revenues to increase in absolute dollars and potentially to increase as a percentage of maintenance revenues if the additional personnel are hired in advance of an increase in the related revenues.

Operating Expenses

Total Operating Expense. Total operating expenses were \$48.3 million, \$49.5 million, and \$39.8 million for 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively, representing a decrease of 2.5% from 2005 to 2004 and an increase of 24.4% from 2003 to 2004.

(in thousands)

| Year ended December 31, | 2005 | 2004 | Amount | Change Percent | 2003 | Amount | Change Percent |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------------|-----------|----------|-------------------|
| Operating expenses: | | | | | | | |
| Research and development | \$ 16,178 | \$ 15,637 | \$ 541 | 3.5% | \$ 15,600 | \$ 37 | 0.2% |
| Sales and marketing | 22,950 | 21,077 | 1,873 | 8.9 | 18,977 | 2,100 | 11.1 |
| General and administrative | 8,596 | 8,777 | (181) | (2.1) | 5,238 | 3,539 | 67.6 |
| Litigation settlement charge | 573 | | 573 | 100 | | | |
| Restructuring and impairment charges | | 4,032 | (4,032) | (100) | | 4,032 | |
| Total operating expenses | \$ 48,297 | \$ 49,523 | \$ (1,226) | (2.5)% | \$ 39,815 | \$ 9,708 | 24.4% |

Research and Development. Research and development expenses consist primarily of personnel and related expenses, including payroll, employee benefits, allocated overhead, and non-cash stock-based compensation, as well as expenses related to outside software development contractors. The increase of 3.5% from 2004 to 2005 was a result of increased headcount and recruiting related in both domestic and Canadian development teams as well as a write-off of \$280,000 of in-progress R&D acquired as part of the Ambeo acquisition completed in October 2005. Research and develop expenses increased 0.2% as headcount shifted to Canada. The slight increase in 2004 compared to 2003 is due to decrease of \$320,000 in domestic costs from lower headcount and a reduced overhead expense allocation based on our restructuring in the second quarter of 2004, offset by \$369,000 higher Canadian development lab costs due to increased operating costs including an unfavorable currency exchange cost of \$135,000. We anticipate that we will continue to invest significant resources into research and development activities in order to develop new products and advance the technology of our existing products. We believe that continued investment in research and development is critical to attaining our strategic objectives and, as such, we expect that spending on research and development in 2006 will be consistent in absolute dollars with 2005.

Table of Contents

Sales and Marketing. Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of personnel and related expenses, commissions earned by sales personnel, non-cash stock-based compensation, trade shows, travel, and other marketing communication costs, such as advertising and other marketing programs. The increase in absolute dollars from 2004 to 2005 was primarily due to a \$1.1 million increase in sales wages and related costs, \$100,000 increase in marketing program spending, \$175,000 in increased travel expenses resulting from market expansion, \$250,000 increase in meetings and promotional expenses, and \$248,000 of amortization expense related to intangibles acquired from Ambeo in October 2005. Intangible amortization consisted of \$160,000 related to the write-off of trademark, \$28,000 of amortization of customer relationships and \$60,000 of amortization of customer backlog. The increase in absolute dollars from 2003 to 2004 was primarily due to a \$1.2 million increase in wages and related costs, including a \$564,000 increase in non-cash stock based compensation. Additionally, international sales and marketing costs attributable to the U.K. and Australia increased \$990,000 due to increased headcount and unfavorable currency exchange rates.

We intend to selectively increase staff in our sales organization and to create select marketing programs and, accordingly, expect that sales and marketing expenditures will increase in absolute dollars in 2006.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries and related personnel expenses, general operating expenses, and non-cash stock-based compensation. The decrease in absolute dollars from 2004 to 2005 was primarily due to a decrease of \$1.5 million in the costs incurred in the Audit Committee investigation that was completed in January 2005 offset by increases in higher salaries and related costs of \$730,000, increased tax consulting fees of \$189,000, increased legal fees of \$194,000, higher franchise tax and property taxes of \$96,000 and increased travel costs of \$60,000.

The increase in absolute dollars from 2003 to 2004 was primarily due to \$1.8 million in costs incurred related to the Audit Committee investigation that led to a restatement of our financial results for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and the three and six months ended June 30, 2004, an increase in non-cash stock based compensation of approximately \$500,000, a \$500,000 increase in litigation expense, and \$250,000 in professional services related to Sarbanes-Oxley compliance requirements. International general and administrative expenses increased by \$385,000 related to professional services fees for accounting, tax services, and personnel recruitment.

As a percentage of revenues, we expect general and administrative costs to remain relatively consistent with 2005 in 2006 as we continue to build out the necessary infrastructure for Sarbanes-Oxley Act compliance and other related rules and regulations.

Litigation Settlement Charge. In May 2005, the Company entered into a settlement related to the suit filed against the Company and others by The Client Server Factory Inc. in October 2002. The Company's share of the payments made pursuant to the settlement was \$573,000, which was recorded in the statement of operations in the second quarter of 2005.

Restructuring and Impairment Charges. In 2001, we recorded an impairment loss of \$1.5 million related to office leases in San Francisco and Boston when we decided to abandon and sublease these office spaces in order to streamline operations. The impairment loss consists of approximately \$1.0 million in contractual obligations under the facilities leases, net of estimated sublease income from the projected date of abandonment to the end of the lease term. The remaining \$500,000 consists of write-downs to leasehold improvements and other assets attributable to the abandoned space, as well as other expenses related to securing the sublease arrangements. When we recorded the lease related impairment loss, we made estimates about sublease costs and income. In 2002, based on changes in the commercial real estate market in San Francisco, we recorded an additional \$160,000 in impairment loss to cover lower than expected sublease income. At December 31, 2003, we had a balance of \$413,000 in accrued restructuring costs for future facilities lease charges. In April 2004, we entered into a non-cancelable five-year operating lease agreement for our headquarters in San Francisco, California. The objectives of entering into this new lease agreement were to consolidate our two offices in San Francisco, eliminate excess office space within San Francisco and improve our operating efficiencies. In conjunction with the execution of the new lease, we subleased our other San Francisco long-term lease contract. The sublease agreements co-terminate along with the master lease to which they are subject in July 2008. As a result, we recorded restructuring and impairment charges of \$4.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2004. The

Table of Contents

charges were comprised of three elements: the net present value of approximately \$2.8 million relating to the future contractual lease obligation, an impairment loss of approximately \$680,000 relating to abandoned leasehold improvements; and approximately \$566,000 related to other contractual restructuring expenses, including tenant improvement allowances and brokerage and legal fees. If our subtenants were to stop paying the sublease income, we could be required to record another restructuring charge. The amount of that charge cannot be estimated at this time.

A summary of the restructuring accrual is as follows (in thousands):

| | Facility Leases, Net of Sublease Income | Write Down Of Leasehold Improvements | Other Restructuring Expenses | Total |
|--|---|---|------------------------------------|----------|
| Accrual as of December 31, 2003 | \$ 413 | \$ | \$ | \$ 413 |
| Restructuring and impairment charges during the three months ended June 30, 2004 | 2,822 | 680 | 566 | 4,068 |
| Non-cash reductions of accrual | | (680) | (36) | (716) |
| Net cash payments during the year ended December 31, 2004 | (807) | | (499) | (1,306) |
| Accrual as of December 31, 2004 | 2,428 | | 31 | 2,459 |
| Net cash payments during the year ended December 31, 2005 | (736) | | (27) | (763) |
| Accrual as of December 31, 2005 | \$ 1,692 | \$ | \$ 4 | \$ 1,696 |

Other Income, Net. Other income, net consists primarily of interest income and was \$1,239,000, \$791,000, and \$423,000 in 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively. The increases from 2003 to 2004 and to 2005 were due to an increase in market interest rates and investments in longer term maturities yielding higher interest rates.

Provision for Income Taxes. Under SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* (SFAS No. 109), deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between the financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities and are measured using enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. SFAS No. 109 provides for the recognition of deferred tax assets if realization of such assets is more likely than not. Provision for income taxes was \$1.8 million, \$108,000 and \$2.7 million in 2005, 2004 and 2003 respectively. The effective income tax rate was 28.8%, 5.2% and 36.4% in 2005, 2004 and 2003 respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate from 2004 to 2005 is primarily due to an increase in income before income taxes, and amortization expenses resulting from acquisition and stock-based compensation amortization deductible for book but not tax purposes.

The decrease from 2003 to 2004 was primarily due to the decrease in income before taxes and a benefit received from disqualifying dispositions of employee stock options for which stock-based compensation was recognized in previous periods.

The exercise and sale of incentive stock options typically creates disqualifying dispositions which benefits the overall corporate tax rate. It is impossible to forecast the exercise of our outstanding common stock options or the disqualifying dispositions associated with them. The benefits received from the disqualifying dispositions can only be considered in calculating a Company's tax rate in the period in which they are realized. In the past, our effective tax rate has been reduced due to the occurrence of such disqualifying dispositions.

We had net deferred tax assets totaling \$2.9 million and \$4.7 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. In addition, at December 31, 2005, we had federal and state net operating loss carry forwards (NOLs) of approximately \$4.9 million and \$7.2 million, respectively. These NOLs can be carried forward to offset future taxable income, if any. Our federal and state net operating loss carry forwards expire in 2006 through 2020, if not utilized. At December 31, 2005, we had federal, state and foreign research and development credits of approximately \$1.5 million, \$75,000, and \$769,000 respectively. The federal credits expire in 2020

through 2025. The state credits and foreign credits do not expire.

We will continue to evaluate our ability to realize deferred tax assets on a quarterly basis. Significant management judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes and our deferred tax assets and liabilities. In the event that actual results differ from our estimates, we will adjust such estimates in the future periods, and we may also need to adjust the effective rate for the current year.

Table of Contents**LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

We have funded our business to date from cash generated by our operations and proceeds from sales of equity. In April 2000, we completed our initial public offering of common stock, generating net proceeds to us of approximately \$43.0 million. As of December 31, 2005, we had cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments of \$60.0 million.

Operating Activities

The table aggregates certain line items from the cash flow statement to present the key items affecting our operating activities (in thousands):

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| Net income | \$ 4,337 | \$ 1,988 | \$ 4,713 |
| Non-cash adjustments | 5,227 | 6,618 | 7,422 |
| Decrease (increase) in operating assets | (277) | 519 | (1,075) |
| Increase in operating liabilities | 277 | 3,086 | 3,616 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 9,564 | \$ 12,211 | \$ 14,676 |

Cash provided by operating activities was \$9.6 million, \$12.2 million, and \$14.7 million for 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively.

In 2005, cash provided by operating activities resulted from \$4.3 million in net income, \$5.2 million in non-cash adjustments primarily consists of depreciation, amortization of developed technology and acquired technology and other intangible assets, amortization of deferred stock-based compensation charges. The \$0.3 million increase in operating assets is offset by an equal increase in operating liabilities.

In 2004, cash provided by operating activities resulted from \$2.0 million in net income, \$6.6 million in non-cash adjustments primarily comprised of depreciation, amortization and deferred stock-based compensation charges, as well as a \$3.6 million net change in operating assets and liabilities. The net change in operating assets and liabilities is primarily due to an increase in accrued restructuring charge of \$2.0 million related to our restructuring in the second quarter of 2004 and an increase of \$1.1 million in deferred revenue due a larger installed customer base and related increase in maintenance renewals.

To the extent that non-cash items increase or decrease our future operating results, there will be no corresponding impact on our cash flows. The non-cash adjustments include depreciation and amortization of property and equipment and intangible assets, as well as amortization of deferred stock-based compensation and tax benefits for the exercise of certain employee stock options. These non-cash adjustments may increase or decrease and, as a result, positively or negatively impact our future operating results. In 2005, the non-cash adjustments were mainly due to \$1.6 million in non-cash stock-based compensation expense, \$0.4 million in amortization of developed technology, \$0.8 million in amortization of acquired technology, \$0.09 million in amortization of other intangibles, and \$0.9 million of write-down of acquired intangibles and internally developed software.

Other than non-cash items, our primary source of operating cash flows is the collection of accounts receivable from our customers. We measure the effectiveness of our collections efforts by an analysis of average accounts receivable daily sales outstanding (DSO). Collection of accounts receivable and related DSO could fluctuate in the future periods due to timing and amount of our revenues and the effectiveness of our collection efforts. In the future, collections could also fluctuate based upon the payment terms we extend to our customers. In the past, our payment terms have typically been net thirty days. Our DSO for the three months ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 were 54 days, 52 days and 53 days, respectively.

Our operating cash flows may be impacted in the future by the timing of payments to our vendors for accounts payable. We typically pay our vendors and service providers in accordance with their invoice terms and conditions. The timing of cash payments

in future periods will be impacted by the nature of accounts payable arrangements and management's assessment of our cash inflows.

Table of Contents**Investing Activities**

The table aggregates certain line items from the cash flow statement to present the key items affecting our investing activities (in thousands):

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|-------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| Proceeds from sales or maturities of investments | \$ 79,030 | \$ 76,014 | \$ 47,781 |
| Purchase of investments | (78,571) | (81,972) | (57,606) |
| Purchase of property and equipment | (674) | (1,533) | (1,111) |
| Technology acquired and developed | | (60) | (290) |
| Acquisitions of business | (5,750) | | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | \$ (5,965) | \$ (7,551) | \$ (11,226) |

Net cash used in investing activities was \$6.0 million, \$7.6 million, and \$11.2 million for 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively.

The main source of cash for investing activities is the maturities of, or proceeds from, the sales of short-term investments, primarily tax-exempt municipal auction rate securities. In 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, \$79.0 million, \$76.0 million and \$47.8 million was provided by sales and maturities of investments. The main use of cash for investing activities is the purchase of short-term investments. In 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, \$78.6 million, \$82.0 million and \$57.6 million, were used to purchase short-term investments. We intend to be consistent with our historical investment practices and invest in high quality short-term investments. We expect to continue to acquire such investments in the future and receive proceeds from them as they mature or are sold.

Also included in cash used for investing activities were purchases of property and equipment, payments of principal under capital lease obligations, and technology acquired and developed. For the year ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, purchases of property and equipment were \$0.7 million, \$1.5 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. We entered into a \$499,000 three-year capital lease agreement in June 2004 with a financial institution to finance acquisition of certain furniture and fixtures and computer equipment. The capital lease agreement bears interest of 6.1% per annum and has a \$1 buyout at its expiration in June 2007. It is likely we will enter into additional capital lease arrangements as long as interest rates remain attractive.

Additionally, in 2005, we used approximately \$5.8 million (net of cash acquired) to acquire SHC Ambeo Acquisition Corp. (see Note 3 to our Consolidated Financial Statements). In 2004 and 2003, \$60,000 and \$290,000, respectively, were used to acquire technology.

Financing Activities

The table below presents the key items affecting our financing activities (in thousands):

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| Payments for repurchase of common stock | \$ (3,196) | \$ (11,182) | \$ (1,171) |
| Payments of principal under capital lease obligation | (161) | (90) | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 501 | 2,529 | 1,928 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | \$ (2,856) | \$ (8,743) | \$ 757 |

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities was \$(2.9) million, \$(8.7) million, and \$757,000 in 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively.

Edgar Filing: EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

In September 2001, our Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program of up to 1,000,000 shares of our common stock. In July 2002, our Board of Directors amended the stock repurchase program, allowing us to repurchase of 1,230,000 shares in addition to the shares previously repurchased. The Board of Directors increased the number of shares authorized for purchase

Table of Contents

by 1,000,000 shares in both July and October 2004. In 2005, we repurchased 554,000 shares for \$3.2 million under the stock repurchase program. In 2004 and 2003, we repurchased approximately 1.5 million and 231,000 shares of our outstanding stock, respectively, for \$11.2 million and \$1.2 million respectively. Therefore, including the increase in authorized shares to repurchase in October 2004, we have approximately 1.0 million shares available for repurchase at December 31, 2005. The timing of future repurchases and the price paid for the shares could have a material impact on our liquidity. We have no immediate plans for use of our previously repurchased shares.

A summary of the repurchase program is as follows:

| | <i>(thousands)</i> | <i>(thousands)</i> | <i>(thousands)</i> |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | Number of Shares | Repurchase | Average Cost |
| Initial repurchase program authorized in September 2001 | 1,000 | | |
| Increased in repurchase program authorized in July 2002 | 1,230 | | |
| Increased in repurchase program authorized in July 2004 | 1,000 | | |
| Increased in repurchase program authorized in October 2004 | 1,000 | | |
| Total authorized for repurchase | 4,230 | | |
| Shares repurchased in 2001 | 219 | \$ 1,781 | \$ 8.13 |
| Shares repurchased in 2002 | 712 | 3,335 | 4.68 |
| Shares repurchased in 2003 | 231 | 1,171 | 5.07 |
| Shares repurchased in 2004 | 1,519 | 11,182 | 7.36 |
| Shares repurchased in 2005 | 554 | 3,195 | 5.76 |
| Total shares repurchased | 3,235 | \$ 20,664 | \$ 6.39 |
| Remaining shares available for repurchase at December 31, 2005 | 995 | | |

Exercise of stock options under our equity compensation plan offset this use of cash by \$0.5 million, \$2.5 million, and \$1.9 million, in 2005, 2004, and 2003 respectively. Our future liquidity and capital resources could be impacted by the exercise of outstanding common stock options and the cash proceeds we receive upon exercise of these securities. Further, we have approximately 0.6 million shares available to issue under our current plans. The timing of the issuance, the duration of their vesting provision and the grant price will all impact the timing of any proceeds. However, we cannot estimate the amount of such proceeds at this time. Furthermore, the Company suspended all exercises of stock options under each of its equity compensation plans from November 16, 2004 through January 19, 2005. This suspension was required because the Company's periodic reports are incorporated by reference into the registration statements for the securities issuable under each such equity compensation plan. The Company extended the exercisability of all options that would otherwise have terminated during that period for a period of time equal to that during which the options were not exercisable due to the suspension. This extension did not have a material impact on our cash flows.

In August 2004, we terminated our \$3.0 million revolving credit facility with a financial institution. We did not intend to utilize this line of credit due to our liquidity position. The financial institution issued an irrevocable standby letter of credit for approximately \$120,000 in relation to a real estate lease agreement executed in April 2004. The standby letter of credit expires on June 1, 2006.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

Our contractual obligations consisted of facility lease commitments, operating leases for office equipment, and capital leases for property and equipment. In April 2004, we announced a restructuring plan, and, as a part of that plan, we entered in to a new five-year lease commitment and subleased the remainder of our long-term San Francisco office leases. Gross lease payment obligations under the non-cancelable operating and capital lease are as follows (in thousands):

| | Capital | Operating | Bank Letter | |
|---|---------|-----------|-------------|----------|
| <i>Year ending December 31,</i> | Lease | Leases | Of Credit | Total |
| 2006 | \$ 182 | \$ 1,531 | \$ 120 | \$ 1,833 |
| 2007 | 76 | 1,385 | | 1,461 |
| 2008 | | 881 | | 881 |
| 2009 | | 482 | | 482 |
| 2010 | | 164 | | 164 |
| Thereafter | | 306 | | 306 |
| Total minimum payments | 258 | \$ 4,749 | \$ 120 | \$ 5,127 |
| Less: amount representing interest | 11 | | | |
| Present value of net minimum lease payments | \$ 247 | | | |

We believe that our existing cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments, and cash generated from operations will be sufficient to finance our operations through at least the next 12 to 18 months. If we fail to generate cash flow from operations in the future due to an unexpected decline in revenues or due to a sustained increase in cash expenditures in excess of revenues generated, our cash balances may not be sufficient to fund continuing operations without obtaining additional financing. If additional financing is needed, there can be no assurance that such financing will be available to us on commercially reasonable terms, or at all.

IMPACT OF RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In November 2005, FASB issued FSP FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1, *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments* (FSP FAS 115-1), which provides guidance on determining when investments in certain debt and equity securities are considered impaired, whether that impairment is other-than-temporary, and on measuring such impairment loss. FSP FAS 115-1 also includes accounting considerations subsequent to the recognition of an other-than temporary impairment and requires certain disclosures about unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than-temporary impairments. FSP FAS 115-1 is required to be applied to reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2005. We are required to adopt FSP FAS 115-1 in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. We do not expect that the adoption of the statement will have a material impact on our consolidated results or financial condition.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections* (SFAS 154) which replaces Accounting Principles Board Opinions No. 20 *Accounting Changes* and SFAS No. 3, *Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements An Amendment of APB Opinion No. 28*. SFAS 154 provides guidance on the accounting for and reporting of accounting changes and error corrections. It establishes retrospective application, or the latest practicable date, as the required method for reporting a change in accounting principle and the reporting of a correction of an error. SFAS 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005 and are required to be adopted by the Company in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of SFAS 154 will have on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition but does not expect it to have a material impact.

In March 2005, the FASB issued FIN 47, *Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations*, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143 (FIN 47), which requires an entity to recognize a liability for the fair value of a conditional asset retirement obligation when incurred if the liability's fair value can be reasonably estimated. FIN 47 is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2005. The Company is currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of FIN 47 will have on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition but does not expect it to have a material impact.

Table of Contents

In December 2004, FASB issued SFAS 123(R), Share-Based Payment (Revised 2004) , which replaces SFAS 123 and supersedes APB 25. In March 2005, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 107, providing supplemental implementation guidance for SFAS 123(R). In April 2005, the SEC issued Amendment to Rule 04-01(a) of Regulation S-X Regarding the Compliance Date for Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No.123(R), Share-Based Payment (the Amendment to Rule 4-01(a)), changing the effective date for most public companies to adopt SFAS 123(R) to the first interim reporting period of a company s fiscal year that begins on or after June 15, 2005. Under the Amendment to Rule 4-01(a), we are now required to adopt SFAS 123(R) in our first quarter of fiscal year 2006.

SFAS 123(R) requires that compensation costs relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements. The pro forma disclosure previously permitted under SFAS 123 will no longer be an acceptable alternative to recognition of expense in the financial statements. SFAS 123(R) also provides three alternative transition methods for its first adoption. Up to the end of fiscal year 2005, we had measured compensation costs related to share-based payments under APB 25 and as allowed by SFAS 123, and provided pro forma disclosure in notes to our financial statements as required by SFAS 123. On adoption of SFAS 123(R), we will use the modified prospective application transition method. SFAS 123(R) also requires a classification change in the statement of cash flows, whereby a portion of the tax benefits from stock options will be moved from cash from operating activities to cash from financing activities, without changing the total cash flows. We are still in the process of finalizing our study on the impact of applying various other provisions of SFAS 123(R). We expect the adoption of SFAS 123(R), SAB 107 and related pronouncements, will have a materially adverse impact on our net income and net income per share. However, we currently cannot accurately estimate the effect of such impact, as such costs will fluctuate as the fair market value of our common stock fluctuates.

In December 2004, FASB issued SFAS 153, Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets an amendment to APB Opinion No. 29 . This statement amends APB 29 to eliminate the exception for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets and replaces it with a general exception for exchanges of nonmonetary assets that do not have commercial substance. A nonmonetary exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. Adoption of this statement is not expected to have a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition.

In December 2004, FASB Staff Position No. FAS 109-2, Accounting and Disclosure Guidance for the Foreign Earnings Repatriation Provision within the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (FSP FAS 109-2) was issued, providing guidance under SFAS 109, Accounting for Income Taxes for recording the potential impact of the repatriation provisions of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (Jobs Act), enacted on October 22, 2004. FSP FAS 109-2 allows time beyond the financial reporting period of enactment to evaluate the effects of the Jobs Act before applying the requirements of FSP FAS 109-2. The Company did not repatriate any accumulated income earned abroad during the period in which the Jobs Act was effective.

Table of Contents

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk
Interest Rate Risk

Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates primarily relates to our investment portfolio, which at December 31, 2005 included fixed-income securities with a fair value of approximately \$52.0 million. The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal while at the same time maximizing the income we receive from our investments without significantly increasing risk. From time to time, some of the securities in which we invest may be subject to market risk. This means that a change in prevailing interest rates may cause the principal amount of the investment to fluctuate. For example, if we hold a security that was issued with an interest rate fixed at the then-prevailing rate and the prevailing interest rate later rises, the principal amount of our investment will probably decline. To minimize this risk in the future, we intend to maintain our portfolio of cash equivalents, marketable securities in money market funds, tax-exempt municipal auction rate securities and tax exempt municipal bonds. The risk associated with fluctuating interest rates is limited to our investment portfolio. Based on our portfolio at December 31, 2005, if interest rates were to change by 10%, interest income could be impacted positively or negatively by approximately \$317,000 on an annualized basis. There would be no material impact on the fair market value of the portfolio. As of December 31, 2005, all of our investments were in money market accounts, tax-exempt municipal auction rate securities and tax exempt municipal bonds.

Foreign Exchange Risk

Our operations are conducted primarily in the United States and are denominated in United States dollars. A small but growing portion of our sales originate through our European subsidiary and are denominated in Pounds Sterling. With respect to such sales, revenues are collected and operating expenses are generally paid in Pounds Sterling. Accordingly, we are exposed to volatility in sales and earnings within Europe due to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates.

Our exposure to foreign exchange risk is related to the magnitude of foreign net profits and losses denominated in Pound Sterling, as well as our net position of monetary assets and monetary liabilities in Pound Sterling. These exposures have the potential to produce either gains or losses within our consolidated results.

In addition, our European operations in some instances act as a natural hedge because both operating expenses and sales are denominated in local currency. In these instances, although an unfavorable change in the exchange rate of Pound Sterling against the U.S. dollar will result in lower sales when translated to U.S. dollars, operating expenses will also be lower.

Our international business is subject to risks, including, but not limited to changing economic conditions, changes in political climate, differing tax structures, other regulations and restrictions, and foreign exchange rate volatility when compared to the United States. Accordingly, our future results could be materially adversely impacted by changes in these or other factors.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data
Index to Financial Statements

| | Page |
|---|------|
| <i>Embarcadero Technologies, Inc.</i> | |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 33 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2005 and 2004</u> | 34 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003</u> | 35 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003</u> | 36 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003</u> | 37 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 38 |
| Supplementary Financial Data: | |
| <u>Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited) for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004</u> | 55 |

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Embarcadero Technologies, Inc.:

We have completed integrated audits of Embarcadero Technologies, Inc.'s 2005 and 2004 consolidated financial statements and of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, and an audit of its 2003 consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Our opinions, based on our audits, are presented below.

Consolidated financial statements

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Embarcadero Technologies, Inc and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit of financial statements includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Internal control over financial reporting

Also, in our opinion, management's assessment, included in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A, that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on those criteria. Furthermore, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the COSO. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on management's assessment and on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We conducted our audit of internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. An audit of internal control over financial reporting includes obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP

San Jose, California

March 13, 2006

Table of Contents**EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS***(in thousands, except share data)*

| <i>December 31,</i> | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 7,943 | \$ 7,422 |
| Short-term investments | 52,026 | 52,485 |
| Trade accounts receivable, net | 9,407 | 8,339 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 1,863 | 1,634 |
| Deferred income taxes | 377 | 732 |
| Total current assets | 71,616 | 70,612 |
| Property and equipment, net | 2,059 | 2,922 |
| Goodwill | 13,920 | 10,950 |
| Other intangibles assets, net | 3,037 | 1,548 |
| Deferred income taxes | 2,500 | 3,967 |
| Other assets, net | 24 | 124 |
| Total assets | \$ 93,156 | \$ 90,123 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 817 | \$ 1,004 |
| Accrued liabilities | 5,291 | 5,167 |
| Capital lease obligations | 161 | 171 |
| Deferred revenue | 15,802 | 14,503 |
| Total current liabilities | 22,071 | 20,845 |
| Long-term deferred revenue | 180 | 199 |
| Long-term capital lease obligations | 87 | 238 |
| Long-term restructuring accrual | 1,061 | 1,747 |
| Total liabilities | 23,399 | 23,029 |
| Commitments and Contingencies (Note 8) | | |
| Stockholders' Equity: | | |
| Convertible preferred stock: \$0.001 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized and no shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2005 and 2004 | | |
| Common stock: \$0.001 par value; 60,000,000 shares authorized at December 31, 2005 and 2004; 26,112,364 and 26,318,813 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively | 26 | 26 |
| Treasury stock: 3,235,312 and 2,680,932 shares at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively | (20,664) | (17,467) |
| Additional paid-in capital | 87,295 | 85,462 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 333 | 825 |
| Deferred stock-based compensation | (1,948) | (2,130) |
| Retained earnings | 4,715 | 378 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 69,757 | 67,094 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 93,156 | \$ 90,123 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(in thousands, except per share data)

| <i>December 31,</i> | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Revenues: | | | |
| License | \$ 27,513 | \$ 28,208 | \$ 27,151 |
| Maintenance | 30,039 | 28,086 | 24,772 |
| Total revenues | 57,552 | 56,294 | 51,923 |
| Cost of revenues: | | | |
| License | 1,388 | 999 | 614 |
| Amortization of acquired technology | 841 | 2,105 | 2,223 |
| Maintenance | 2,173 | 2,362 | 2,286 |
| Total cost of revenues | 4,402 | 5,466 | 5,123 |
| Gross profit | 53,150 | 50,828 | 46,800 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Research and development | 16,178 | 15,637 | 15,600 |
| Sales and marketing | 22,950 | 21,077 | 18,977 |
| General and administrative | 8,596 | 8,777 | 5,238 |
| Restructuring and impairment charges | | 4,032 | |
| Litigation settlement charge, net | 573 | | |
| Total operating expenses | 48,297 | 49,523 | 39,815 |
| Income from operations | 4,853 | 1,305 | 6,985 |
| Other income, net | 1,239 | 791 | 423 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 6,092 | 2,096 | 7,408 |
| Provision for income taxes | (1,755) | (108) | (2,695) |
| Net income | \$ 4,337 | \$ 1,988 | \$ 4,713 |
| Net income per share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.18 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.16 |
| Weighted average shares used in per share calculation: | | | |
| Basic | 25,866 | 26,788 | 26,618 |
| Diluted | 27,294 | 28,502 | 28,654 |
| Non-cash stock-based compensation included in the above expenses: | | | |
| Cost of revenues | \$ 17 | \$ 16 | \$ |
| Research and development | 395 | 595 | 61 |
| Sales and marketing | 547 | 849 | 285 |
| General and administrative | 656 | 858 | 356 |
| | \$ 1,615 | \$ 2,318 | \$ 702 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**

(in thousands)

| | Common Stock | | Treasury Stock | Additional Paid Capital | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | Deferred Compensation | Retained Earnings (Deficit) | Total Stockholders' Equity | Comprehensive Income |
|---|--------------|--------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | | | | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2002 | 26,712 | \$ 27 | \$ (5,116) | \$ 75,268 | \$ 206 | \$ (598) | \$ (6,323) | \$ 63,464 | |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | | | | 4,713 | 4,713 | \$ 4,713 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | | | | | 344 | | | 344 | 344 |
| Unrealized gain on available-for-sale investments, net | | | | | 18 | | | 18 | 18 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | | | | \$ 5,075 |
| Exercise of common stock options | 500 | 1 | | 1,927 | | | | 1,928 | |
| Repurchase of common stock | (231) | | (1,171) | | | | | (1,171) | |
| Tax benefit arising from disqualifying disposition of stock options | | | | 739 | | | | 739 | |
| Issuance of restricted stock awards | | | | 1,560 | | (1,560) | | | |
| Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation | | | | | | 639 | | 639 | |
| Issuance of options in exchange for services | | | | 63 | | | | 63 | |
| Balance at December 31, 2003 | 26,981 | 28 | (6,287) | 79,557 | 568 | (1,519) | (1,610) | 70,737 | |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | | | | 1,988 | 1,988 | \$ 1,988 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | | | | | 270 | | | 270 | 270 |
| Unrealized loss on available-for-sale investments, net | | | | | (13) | | | (13) | (13) |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | | | | \$ 2,245 |
| Issuance of restricted stock awards | 446 | | | 3,687 | | (3,687) | | | |
| Exercise of common stock options | 469 | | | 2,529 | | | | 2,529 | |
| Repurchase of common stock | (1,519) | (2) | (11,180) | | | | | (11,182) | |
| Tax benefit arising from disqualifying disposition of stock options | | | | 447 | | | | 447 | |
| Cancellation of deferred stock-based compensation | (58) | | | (816) | | 816 | | | |
| Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation | | | | | | 2,260 | | 2,260 | |
| Issuance of options in exchange for services | | | | 58 | | | | 58 | |
| Balance at December 31, 2004 | 26,319 | 26 | (17,467) | 85,462 | 825 | (2,130) | 378 | 67,094 | |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | | | | 4,337 | 4,337 | \$ 4,337 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | | | | | (484) | | | (484) | (484) |
| Unrealized loss on available-for-sale investments, net | | | | | (8) | | | (8) | (8) |

Edgar Filing: EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|-------|-------------|-----------|--------|------------|----------|-----------|--|----|---------|
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | | | | | \$ | 3,845 |
| Issuance of restricted stock awards | 302 | | | 2,032 | | (2,032) | | | | | |
| Exercise of common stock options | 115 | | | 503 | | | | | | | 503 |
| Repurchase of common stock | (554) | | (3,197) | | | | | | | | (3,197) |
| Tax benefit arising from disqualifying disposition of stock options | | | | (107) | | | | | | | (107) |
| Cancellation of deferred stock-based compensation | (69) | | | (282) | | 286 | | | | | 4 |
| Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation | | | | (323) | | 1,928 | | | | | 1,605 |
| Issuance of options in exchange for services | | | | 10 | | | | | | | 10 |
| Balance at December 31, 2005 | 26,113 | \$ 26 | \$ (20,664) | \$ 87,295 | \$ 333 | \$ (1,948) | \$ 4,715 | \$ 69,757 | | | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS***(in thousands)*

| <i>Year ended December 31,</i> | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|---|----------|----------|-----------|
| <i>Cash from Operating Activities:</i> | | | |
| Net income | \$ 4,337 | \$ 1,988 | \$ 4,713 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,443 | 1,785 | 2,071 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 30 | 77 | 110 |
| Impairment charges | | 680 | |
| Amortization of developed technology | 405 | 1,951 | 1,656 |
| Amortization of acquired technology | 834 | | |
| Amortization of other intangible assets | 88 | 692 | 808 |
| Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation | 1,605 | 2,260 | 639 |
| Issuance of options in exchange for services | 10 | 58 | 63 |
| Write-down of other intangible assets, internally developed software costs and acquired in-process research and development costs | 912 | | |
| Loss on disposal | 7 | | |
| Deferred income taxes, net | 970 | (1,332) | 1,336 |
| Tax benefit arising from disqualifying disposition of stock options | (107) | 447 | 739 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Trade accounts receivable | (1,031) | 36 | (775) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | (216) | 483 | (300) |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (801) | 1,994 | 1,038 |
| Deferred revenue | 1,078 | 1,092 | 2,578 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 9,564 | 12,211 | 14,676 |
| <i>Cash from Investing Activities:</i> | | | |
| Purchase of investments | (78,571) | (81,972) | (57,606) |
| Maturities and sale of investments | 79,030 | 76,014 | 47,781 |
| Purchase of property and equipment | (674) | (1,533) | (1,111) |
| Technology acquired and developed | | (60) | (290) |
| Acquisition of business, net of cash acquired | (5,750) | | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (5,965) | (7,551) | (11,226) |
| <i>Cash from Financing Activities:</i> | | | |
| Payments for repurchase of common stock | (3,195) | (11,182) | (1,171) |
| Payments of principal under capital lease obligation | (162) | (90) | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 501 | 2,529 | 1,928 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (2,856) | (8,743) | 757 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (222) | 78 | 28 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 521 | (4,005) | 4,235 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the period | 7,422 | 11,427 | 7,192 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the period | \$ 7,943 | \$ 7,422 | \$ 11,427 |
| <i>Supplemental Cash Flow Information:</i> | | | |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$ 531 | \$ 1,080 | \$ 541 |
| <i>Supplemental Non-cash investing and Financing Activities:</i> | | | |
| Equipment purchased under capital leases | \$ | \$ 499 | \$ |
| Deferred stock-based compensation | \$ 2,032 | \$ 3,687 | \$ 1,560 |
| Purchases of property and equipment in accounts payable | \$ | \$ 57 | \$ |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Description of business

Embarcadero Technologies, Inc. (with its subsidiaries, collectively referred to as the Company) was incorporated in California on July 23, 1993 and reincorporated in Delaware on February 15, 2000. The Company provides strategic data management solutions that help organizations cost-effectively build, optimize, test, and manage their critical data, database, and application infrastructures. The Company is headquartered in San Francisco, California and has international operations in Maidenhead, United Kingdom; Toronto, Canada; and Melbourne, Australia.

The Company markets its software and related maintenance services directly through telesales and field sales organizations in North America, the United Kingdom and Australia, and indirectly through original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) and independent distributors and resellers worldwide.

The Company has historically derived a significant percentage of its revenues from its DBArtisan product line. This product line is expected to continue to account for a significant portion of net revenues for the foreseeable future. As a result of this revenue concentration, the Company's business could be harmed by a decline in demand for, or in the prices of, this product line resulting from any change in pricing model, maturation in the market for this product, increased price competition or a failure by the Company to keep up with technological change, among other factors.

Basis of presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Embarcadero Technologies, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries: Embarcadero Technologies Europe Ltd., Embarcadero Canada Ltd., Embarcadero Australia Ltd. The Company has eliminated all inter-company accounts and transactions.

Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Reclassification

The Company reclassified \$1.5 million from Other Assets, net to Other Intangible Assets, net in its 2004 balance sheet in order to conform to the current period presentation. These reclassifications have no impact on previously reported net income (loss) or cash flows.

Revenue recognition

The Company's revenues are primarily derived from software license fees and related maintenance and support contracts. Revenues from software license fees are recognized upon shipment, when terms of the contracts are Freight on Board (F.O.B.) shipping point, provided that evidence of an arrangement exists, the fee is fixed or determinable and collection of the resulting receivable is probable. Maintenance and support contracts generally cover a one-year term and are paid for in advance. Revenues from maintenance and support contracts are recognized ratably over the term of the contract. License revenues include the nominal shipping and handling charges associated with most of the license orders. The actual shipping costs that we incur are included in the cost of revenue.

The Company uses purchase orders, signed contracts and pre-payments via check, wire or credit card as persuasive evidence for substantiation of arrangements. For arrangements with multiple obligations (e.g., hardware and software products, undelivered

Edgar Filing: EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

maintenance and support contracts or consulting and training services bundled with licenses), the Company allocates revenues to the delivered elements of the arrangement using the residual value method based on the vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE) of fair value for the undelivered items. The VSOE for post contract services (PCS) is determined based upon prices paid by the customers for the separate renewal or sale of such services. If the Company cannot determine the VSOE for PCS, then the entire arrangement fee is recognized ratably over the contractual PCS period (explicit rights to PCS) or the period during which PCS is expected to be provided (implicit rights to PCS).

Table of Contents

The Company typically grants its customers net 30 payment terms, but some payments are collected in advance via check, wire or credit card upon receipt of an order.

Products may be sold through distributors or resellers in the United States and certain international markets. Revenues from software license fees sold through distributors or resellers are recognized on the sell-through basis. Maintenance revenue sold through distributors and resellers is recognized ratably over the contractual PCS period. Distributors and resellers purchase products to fulfill specific customer orders and generally do not hold inventory of our products. The Company also enters into arrangements with OEMs that provide for license fees based on inclusion of the Company's products in their products. These arrangements often provide for non-refundable and upfront minimum royalty payments which are recognized as revenue either immediately or on a sell-through basis when due, assuming all other revenue recognition criteria are met. The OEM arrangements usually include maintenance and support contracts. The Company allocates revenues to the delivered elements of the arrangements using the residual value method based on the VSOE for undelivered items.

The Company sells its software and related maintenance services directly through our telesales and field sales organizations in North America, the United Kingdom and Australia and indirectly through our distribution partners worldwide.

The Company does not have a return or refund policy and its practice is to enforce the terms of the contracts and not allow its customers to return their software products, and as such past sales returns have been insignificant. However, the Company has allowed a small number of its customers to return their software products, and therefore has recorded an allowance for sales return based on historical experience.

Accumulated Comprehensive income

Accumulated comprehensive income consists of net income, net unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments, and unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale investments, and is presented in the consolidated statements of stockholders equity. The components of accumulated other comprehensive income are as follows (in thousands):

| | Unrealized Gain (Loss) in Available for Sale Securities, Net | | Foreign Currency Translation | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income |
|---------------------------------|--|------|------------------------------------|---|
| Balance as of December 31, 2002 | \$ | | \$ 206 | \$ 206 |
| Change during period | | 18 | 344 | 362 |
| Balance as of December 31, 2003 | | 18 | 550 | 568 |
| Change during period | | (13) | 270 | 257 |
| Balance as of December 31, 2004 | | 5 | 820 | 825 |
| Change during period | | (8) | (484) | (492) |
| Balance as of December 31, 2005 | \$ | (3) | \$ 336 | \$ 333 |

Cost of license and maintenance

Cost of license includes costs associated with the delivery of software products, royalties for third party embedded software, and amortization of capitalized research and development costs and acquired technologies. Cost of maintenance revenues includes costs to provide product customer support, largely consisting of salaries and related expenses, including stock-based compensation, for customer support personnel.

Capitalized software development costs

The Company expenses costs associated with the research and development of new software products as incurred until technological feasibility is established. Research and development costs include salaries and benefits of development personnel, costs of research and development services and other expenses incurred for research and development efforts. Software development costs, including purchased software, incurred subsequent to the establishment of technological feasibility are capitalized and amortized over the estimated lives of the related products. If the

Table of Contents

Company determines that certain purchased software development has not yet reached technological feasibility, the cost of the software is expensed in the period of acquisition. The Company determines technological feasibility to be established upon release of a working model. In addition, the model must have been successfully tested for functionality and all high-risk development issues must have been resolved. Capitalized software costs also consist of amounts paid for purchased software that has reached technological feasibility at the time of acquisition. Upon the general release of a product to customers, development costs for that product are amortized at the greater of the amount computed using (a) the ratio of current gross revenues from the product to the total of current and anticipated future gross revenues for that product or (b) the straight-line method over the remaining estimated economic life of the product. At each balance sheet date, unamortized capitalized software development costs are compared to their net realizable value. Any unamortized capitalized costs that exceed the net realizable value of the product are written off. The net realizable value is the estimated future gross revenues of a product reduced by the estimated future costs of completing and disposing of the product, including the costs of performing maintenance and customer support required to satisfy the enterprise's responsibility set forth at the time of sale.

| | As of December 31, 2005 | | | As of December 31, 2004 | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|----------|-------------------------|--------------|----------|
| | Gross | Net | | Gross | Net | |
| | Carrying | Accumulated | Carrying | Carrying | Accumulated | Carrying |
| | Amount | Amortization | Amount | Amount | Amortization | Amount |
| Capitalized software development costs: | | | | | | |
| Capitalized software costs | \$ 6,356 | \$ 6,199 | \$ 157 | \$ 4,366 | \$ 3,706 | \$ 660 |
| Acquired technology | 2,190 | 122 | 2,068 | 1,917 | 1,029 | 888 |
| Total | \$ 8,546 | \$ 6,321 | \$ 2,225 | \$ 6,283 | \$ 4,735 | \$ 1,548 |

Capitalized software development costs are recorded as other intangible assets, net on the Company's balance sheet. Amortization expense for capitalized software development was \$1.5 million, \$2.0 million, and \$1.7 million in the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively. There were \$0, \$60,000, and \$290,000 of software development costs capitalized in the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively. In 2005, the Company acquired \$2.2 million in developed core technology in connection with the acquisition of SHC Ambeo Acquisition Corp.

Property and equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization, computed using the straight-line method based on the estimated useful lives of the assets, generally ranging from three to five years. Depreciation commences upon placing the asset in service. Capital leases are recorded at the lesser of the fair value of the leased asset at the inception of the lease or the present value of the minimum lease payments as of the beginning of the lease term. Leased assets are amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the estimated useful life of the asset or the lease term. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the useful life or the remaining lease term. The Company reviews its property and equipment for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable.

Goodwill

The Company performs an annual impairment review of its goodwill balance in accordance with SFAS No. 142. The impairment review performed by the Company involves a two-step process as follows:

Step 1 The Company compares the fair value of its reporting unit to the carrying value, including goodwill of the unit. If the carrying value of the reporting unit, including goodwill, exceeds the unit's fair value, the Company moves on to step 2. If the market value of the reporting unit exceeds the carrying value, no further work is performed and no impairment charge is necessary.

Step 2 The Company performs an allocation of the fair value of the reporting unit to its identifiable tangible and non-goodwill intangible assets and liabilities. This derives an implied fair value for the reporting unit's goodwill. The Company then

Edgar Filing: EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill is greater than the implied fair value of its goodwill, an impairment charge is recognized for the excess.

Table of Contents

In addition, the Company initiates a review whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of goodwill may not be recoverable.

Other intangible assets

Other intangible assets are presented at cost, net of accumulated amortization. Amortization is calculated using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives of the assets, which are three years for purchased technology and five years for customer relationships acquired.

Impairment of long-lived assets

The Company reviews its long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable or that the useful lives of the assets are no longer appropriate. Factors considered important which could trigger an impairment review include, but are not limited to, significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results and significant changes in the manner of use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the Company's overall business. When the Company determines that the carrying value of long-lived assets may not be recoverable based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment, then it measures any impairment based on a projected discounted cash flow method using a discount rate commensurate with the risk inherent in the Company's current business model.

Financial instruments

The Company's consolidated balance sheets include the following financial instruments: cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, all of which approximate fair value given their short maturities.

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with remaining maturities of three months or less at the purchase date to be cash equivalents.

Most of the Company's short-term investments are in auction rate securities. The Company has categorized its short-term investments as available-for-sale and carries them at fair value, which generally approximates cost given the relatively short reset periods and nature of the investments. Management has the ability and intent, if necessary, to liquidate any of these investments in order to meet the Company's liquidity needs within the normal operating cycle. Realized gains and losses on investment sales are computed using the specific identification method and are reported as other income or expense in the statement of operations.

Realized gains and losses were not significant during the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004. Unrealized gains and losses are reported as a part of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), a separate component of stockholders' equity, and were \$8,000 and \$13,000 for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The Company's short-term investments consist principally of auction rate securities of \$52.0 million and \$52.5 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, as detailed in the table below.

Short-term investments (in thousands):

| | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| <i>As of December 31,</i> | 2005 | 2004 |
| Cost basis | \$ 52,026 | \$ 52,480 |
| Net unrealized gain | 0 | 5 |
| Fair market value | \$ 52,026 | \$ 52,485 |

Contractual maturities of short-term investments as of December 31, 2005 were as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|------|--------|
| Cost | Market |
|------|--------|

Edgar Filing: EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | Value |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Due in one year or less | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Due after ten years | 52,026 | 52,026 |
| Fair market value | \$ 52,026 | \$ 52,026 |

Stock-based compensation

The Company has adopted stock-based compensation plans that provide for the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, and cash awards to employees and directors of the Company. All options granted under these plans typically vest over terms of three to four years. The restricted stock is subject to transfer restrictions that lapse over time, typically one to two years. To date, the Company has only granted options and restricted stock to its employees.

The Company accounts for stock-based employee compensation using the intrinsic value method in accordance with Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations, which

Table of Contents

generally requires deferred compensation to be recorded for stock-based employee compensation grants based on the excess of the market value of the common stock on the measurement date over the exercise price. The deferred compensation is amortized to expense over the vesting period of each unit of stock-based employee compensation granted. If the exercise price of the stock-based compensation is equal to or exceeds the market price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant, no compensation expense is recorded.

Alternatively, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, employs fair value-based measurement and generally results in the recognition of compensation expense for all stock-based awards to employees. SFAS No. 123 does not require an entity to adopt those provisions, but rather, permits continued application of APB Opinion No. 25. The Company has elected not to adopt the recognition and measurement provisions of SFAS No. 123 and continues to account for its stock-based employee compensation plans under APB Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations and have adopted the disclosure provisions of SFAS 123.

For the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company issued restricted stock grants with an associated deferred compensation expense of \$2.0 million and \$3.7 million, respectively. The associated deferred compensation expense will be amortized to expense over the period that the transfer restrictions are in effect. For the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company recorded compensation expense related to restricted stock grants of \$1.6 million and \$2.3 million, respectively.

Had the compensation cost for the Company's employee stock option and restricted stock grants been determined based on the grant date fair values of awards estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, which is consistent with the method described in SFAS No. 123, the Company's reported net income and net income per share would have been reduced to the following pro forma amounts (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| <i>Year ended December 31,</i> | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|---|----------|-----------|----------|
| Net income | \$ 4,337 | \$ 1,988 | \$ 4,713 |
| Add: Employee stock-based compensation expense included in reported net income, net of tax | 1,009 | 1,339 | 499 |
| Less: Total employee stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value, net of tax | (2,740) | (3,797) | (2,656) |
| Pro forma net income (loss) | \$ 2,606 | \$ (470) | \$ 2,556 |
| Basic net income (loss) per share: | | | |
| As reported | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.18 |
| Pro forma | \$ 0.10 | \$ (0.02) | \$ 0.10 |
| Diluted net income (loss) per share: | | | |
| As reported | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.16 |
| Pro forma | \$ 0.10 | \$ (0.02) | \$ 0.09 |

See Note 10 for a summary of the assumptions used to estimate the fair value of equity instruments granted to employees.

In December 2004, FASB issued SFAS 123(R), Share-Based Payment (Revised 2004), which replaces SFAS 123 and supersedes APB 25. From the first quarter of fiscal year 2006, we will be required to adopt SFAS 123(R) and measure all employee share-based compensation awards using a fair value based method and record the share-based compensation expenses in our consolidated statements of operations if the requisite service to earn the award is provided. The above disclosed pro forma results and assumptions used in fiscal years 2005, 2004 and 2003 were based solely on historical volatility of our common stock over the most recent period commensurate with the estimated expected life of our stock options, and will not be indicative of the effect for future periods or years after adoption of SFAS 123(R). Upon adoption of SFAS 123(R), we will change the computation of expected volatility used in the Black-Scholes calculations for new grants from being solely based on historical volatility to being based on a combination of historical and implied volatilities. In addition, we will also refine the expected life assumptions by excluding certain options, such as pre-IPO options and very highly priced options, for the purpose of deriving the normalized exercise behavior.

Table of Contents**Net income per share**

Basic net income per share excludes the effect of potentially dilutive securities and is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted net income per share reflects the potential dilution of securities by adding dilutive stock options and non-vested shares to the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period.

A reconciliation of the numerator and denominator used in the calculation of basic and diluted net income per share is as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

| <i>Year ended December 31,</i> | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Calculation of basic net income per share: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 4,337 | \$ 1,988 | \$ 4,713 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 25,866 | 26,788 | 26,618 |
| Net income per share, basic | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.18 |
| Calculation of diluted net income per share: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 4,337 | \$ 1,988 | \$ 4,713 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 25,866 | 26,788 | 26,618 |
| Dilutive securities common stock options and non-vested shares | 1,428 | 1,714 | 2,036 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding and potentially dilutive common shares | 27,294 | 28,502 | 28,654 |
| Net income per share, diluted | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.16 |
| Anti-dilutive common stock and non-vested common stock, not included in diluted net income per share calculation: | 2,408 | 1,380 | 1,497 |

Concentration of credit risk

Cash, cash equivalents, investments, and accounts receivable are financial instruments that potentially expose the Company to credit risk. The Company maintains its cash, cash equivalents, and investments with high credit quality financial institutions, limits the amount of concentration in any one issuer. The Company has not experienced any losses on its deposits of cash or cash equivalents.

Trade accounts receivable are recorded at invoiced or to be invoiced amounts and do not bear interest. We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers' financial condition and, generally, require no collateral from our customers. Allowances for doubtful accounts and returns were established based on various factors including credit profiles of our customers, contractual terms and conditions, historical payments, returns and discounts experience, and current economic trends. We review our allowances monthly by assessing individual accounts receivable over a specific aging and amount, and all other balances on a pooled basis based on historical collection experience. Accounts receivable are written off on a case-by-case basis, net of any amounts that may be collected.

The Company records an allowance for doubtful accounts receivable for credit losses at the end of each period based on analysis of individual aged accounts receivable balances. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and to date has not incurred any material losses. The Company does not require collateral from any of its customers prior to granting credit.

No single customer accounted for ten percent or more of the Company's accounts receivable at December 31, 2005 and 2004 or revenues in the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003.

Income taxes

The Company uses the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes based on Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. Under the asset and liability method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between financial statement carrying amounts and the tax basis of existing assets and liabilities. The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce tax assets to an amount for which realization is more likely than not. There are certain charges that are not deductible for tax purposes.

Foreign currency translation

The functional currencies of the Company's foreign subsidiaries are their respective local currencies. The

Table of Contents

Company translates the assets and liabilities of international subsidiaries into the U.S. Dollar at the current rates of exchange in effect at the end of the accounting period. Revenues and expenses are translated using rates that approximate the average of those in effect during the period. Gains and losses from translation adjustments are included in stockholders' equity in the consolidated balance sheet captioned Accumulated other comprehensive income.

Foreign currency transaction gains and losses are included in the Company's consolidated statement of operations in Other Income, net. Foreign currency transaction gains (losses) were \$(89,000), \$(26,000) and \$95,000 in the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Advertising costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. These costs included in sales and marketing, were \$556,000, \$561,000, and \$586,000 in the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively.

NOTE 2 Recent accounting pronouncements

In November 2005, FASB issued FSP FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments (FSP FAS 115-1), which provides guidance on determining when investments in certain debt and equity securities are considered impaired, whether that impairment is other-than-temporary, and on measuring such impairment loss. FSP FAS 115-1 also includes accounting considerations subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment and requires certain disclosures about unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than-temporary impairments. FSP FAS 115-1 is required to be applied to reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2005. We are required to adopt FSP FAS 115-1 in the second quarter of fiscal 2006. We do not expect that the adoption of the statement will have a material impact on our consolidated results or financial condition.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections (SFAS 154) which replaces Accounting Principles Board Opinions No. 20 Accounting Changes and SFAS No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements An Amendment of APB Opinion No. 28. SFAS 154 provides guidance on the accounting for and reporting of accounting changes and error corrections. It establishes retrospective application, or the latest practicable date, as the required method for reporting a change in accounting principle and the reporting of a correction of an error. SFAS 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005 and are required to be adopted by the Company in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of SFAS 154 will have on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition but does not expect it to have a material impact.

In March 2005, the SEC staff issued guidance on SFAS 123(R). Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 (SAB 107) was issued to assist preparers by simplifying some of the implementation challenges of SFAS 123(R) while enhancing the information that investors receive. SAB 107 creates a framework that is premised on two overarching themes: (a) considerable judgment will be required by preparers to successfully implement SFAS 123(R), specifically when valuing employee stock options; and (b) reasonable individuals, acting in good faith, may conclude differently on the fair value of employee stock options. Key topics covered by SAB 107 include: (a) valuation models SAB 107 reinforces the flexibility allowed by SFAS 123(R) to choose an option-pricing model that meets the standard's fair value measurement objective; (b) expected volatility SAB 107 provides guidance on when it would be appropriate to rely exclusively on either historical or implied volatility in estimating expected volatility; and (c) expected term the new guidance includes examples and some simplified approaches to determining the expected term under certain circumstances. The Company will apply the principles of SAB 107 in conjunction with its adoption of SFAS 123(R).

In March 2005, the FASB issued FIN 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143 (FIN 47), which requires an entity to recognize a liability for the fair value of a conditional asset retirement obligation when incurred if the liability's fair value can be reasonably estimated. FIN 47 is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2005. The Company is currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of FIN 47 will have on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition but does not expect it to have a material impact.

Table of Contents

In December 2004, FASB issued SFAS 123(R), Share-Based Payment (Revised 2004) , which replaces SFAS 123 and supersedes APB 25. In March 2005, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 107, providing supplemental implementation guidance for SFAS 123(R). In April 2005, the SEC issued Amendment to Rule 04-01(a) of Regulation S-X Regarding the Compliance Date for Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No.123(R), Share-Based Payment (the Amendment to Rule 4-01(a)), changing the effective date for most public companies to adopt SFAS 123(R) to the first interim reporting period of a company s fiscal year that begins on or after June 15, 2005. Under the Amendment to Rule 4-01(a), we are now required to adopt SFAS 123(R) in our first quarter of fiscal year 2006.

SFAS 123(R) requires that compensation costs relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements. The pro forma disclosure previously permitted under SFAS 123 will no longer be an acceptable alternative to recognition of expense in the financial statements. SFAS 123(R) also provides three alternative transition methods for its first adoption. Up to the end of fiscal year 2005, we had measured compensation costs related to share-based payments under APB 25 and as allowed by SFAS 123, and provided pro forma disclosure in notes to our financial statements as required by SFAS 123. On adoption of SFAS 123(R), we will use the modified prospective application transition method. SFAS 123(R) also requires a classification change in the statement of cash flows, whereby a portion of the tax benefits from stock options will be moved from cash from operating activities to cash from financing activities, without changing the total cash flows. We are still in the process of finalizing our study on the impact of applying various other provisions of SFAS 123(R). We expect the adoption of SFAS 123(R), SAB 107 and related pronouncements, will have a materially adverse impact on our net income and net income per share. However, we currently cannot accurately estimate the effect of such impact, as such costs will fluctuate as the fair market value of our common stock fluctuates.

In December 2004, FASB issued SFAS 153, Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets an amendment to APB Opinion No. 29 . This statement amends APB 29 to eliminate the exception for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets and replaces it with a general exception for exchanges of nonmonetary assets that do not have commercial substance. A nonmonetary exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. Adoption of this statement is not expected to have a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition.

In December 2004, FASB Staff Position No. FAS 109-2, Accounting and Disclosure Guidance for the Foreign Earnings Repatriation Provision within the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (FSP FAS 109-2) was issued, providing guidance under SFAS 109, Accounting for Income Taxes for recording the potential impact of the repatriation provisions of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (Jobs Act), enacted on October 22, 2004. FSP FAS 109-2 allows time beyond the financial reporting period of enactment to evaluate the effects of the Jobs Act before applying the requirements of FSP FAS 109-2. The Company did not repatriate any accumulated income earned abroad during the period in which the Jobs Act was effective.

NOTE 3 Business Combination

SHC Ambeo Acquisition Corp.

On October 24, 2005, we acquired substantially all of the assets and certain liabilities of SHC Ambeo Acquisition Corp. (Ambeo), privately-held leader in database auditing and activity monitoring company. The total purchase price was approximately \$6.3 million, comprised of \$6.1 million in cash and \$0.2 million in direct transactions costs, including legal and valuation fees. The Ambeo acquisition was accounted for under SFAS 141 Business Combinations and certain specified provision of SFAS 142 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets . The assets acquired and liabilities assumed are based on their fair values at the date of the acquisition. Ambeo s technology had not reached technological feasibility at the time of the acquisition, therefore, we recorded a charge related to the in-process research and development. The acquired trade name was also written off in December 2005 resulting from Company s decision not to continue to use Ambeo s name. The results of the operations of Ambeo have been included in our Consolidated Statement of Operations from October 25, 2005. As the effect of this acquisition would not have been material to our results of operations in past fiscal years, pro forma results as if we had acquired Ambeo at the beginning of any reported fiscal years, are not

Table of Contents

presented in this report. The following table summarizes the allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair value on the date of the acquisition (in thousands):

| | | | |
|---|----|-------|----------|
| Cash acquired | | \$ | 568 |
| Tangible assets acquired: | | | |
| Account receivable and other current assets | \$ | 267 | |
| Property and equipment and other non-current assets | | 16 | |
| Deferred tax assets | | 594 | |
| Total tangible assets acquired | | 877 | 877 |
| Amortizable intangible assets: | | | |
| Developed technology | | 2,190 | |
| Customer Relationships | | 840 | |
| Customer Backlog | | 60 | |
| Trade name | | 160 | |
| Total amortizable intangible assets | | 3,250 | 3,250 |
| In-Process research and development | | 280 | 280 |
| Goodwill | | 3,324 | 3,324 |
| Liabilities assumed: | | | |
| Accrued liabilities | | (153) | |
| Deferred revenue | | (427) | |
| | | (580) | (580) |
| Deferred tax liability for acquired intangibles | | | (1,401) |
| Total purchase price | | | \$ 6,318 |

We assessed the potential benefits from this acquisition, including the broadening of our database security products, improvement of our competitive position and the possibility of increased revenues, and determined that the purchase price represented appropriate consideration for the acquisition. As the purchase price exceeded the fair value of the assets purchased, we recorded \$3.3 million of goodwill in connection with this transaction. In accordance with SFAS 142, goodwill is not being amortized and is tested for impairment at least annually or sooner if circumstances indicate that impairment may have occurred.

In-process research and development, relating to development projects which had not reached technological feasibility and that were of no future alternative use, were expensed upon consummation of the acquisition. Developed technology and in-process research and development were identified and valued through extensive interviews, analysis of data provided by Ambeo concerning development projects, their stage of development, the time and resources needed to complete them, if applicable, and their expected income generating ability and associated risks. Where development projects had reached technological feasibility, they were classified as developed technology and the value assigned to developed technology was capitalized. The income approach, which includes an analysis of the cash flows and risks associated with achieving such cash flows, was the primary technique utilized in valuing acquired intangible assets. Key assumptions included a discount rate of 27% and estimates of revenue growth, maintenance contract renewal rates, cost of sales, operating expenses and taxes.

Amounts allocated to developed core technology, and customer relationships are amortized over their estimated useful lives of three and five years, respectively. Customer backlog has a useful life of three months. Maintenance agreements are amortized over their estimated useful lives of twelve months.

NOTE 4 Accounting for Business Combinations, Goodwill, and Other Intangible Assets

As required by SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, the Company performed its annual goodwill impairment test on September 1, 2005. The results of Step 1 of the goodwill impairment analysis

Table of Contents

showed that goodwill was not impaired as the fair value of its one reporting unit exceeded its carrying value, including goodwill. Accordingly, Step 2 was not performed. The fair value of the reporting unit was estimated by multiplying the number of shares outstanding on the analysis date by the most recent stock market closing price. The Company will continue to test for impairment on an annual basis and on an interim basis if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the Company's reporting unit below its carrying amounts.

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 are as follows (in thousands):

| | Goodwill |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2004 | \$ 10,950 |
| Acquisition of Ambeo | 3,324 |
| Foreign currency translation | (354) |
| Balance as of December 31, 2005 | \$ 13,920 |

At December 31, 2005 and 2004, other intangible assets subject to amortization consists of capitalized software development costs, acquired technology, and customer relationship that are being amortized over a period of three years, three years and five years, respectively. The components of intangible assets, excluding goodwill, are as follows (in thousands):

| | As of December 31, 2005 | | | Gross Carrying Amount | As of December 31, 2004 | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Carrying Amount | | Accumulated Amortization | Carrying Amount |
| Other intangible assets: | | | | | | |
| Capitalized software development costs | \$ 8,546 | \$ 6,321 | \$ 2,225 | \$ 6,283 | \$ 4,735 | \$ 1,548 |
| Customer relationship | 840 | 28 | 812 | | | |
| Total | \$ 9,386 | \$ 6,349 | \$ 3,037 | \$ 6,283 | \$ 4,735 | \$ 1,548 |

Amortization expense for acquired technology was \$695,000 in 2005 and \$692,000 in 2004 and \$808,000 in 2003.

As of December 31, 2005, we expect the amortization of intangible assets for the future years to be as follows (in thousands):

| | Estimated Amortization Expense |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Year ending December 31, 2006 | \$ 964 |
| Year ending December 31, 2007 | 964 |
| Year ending December 31, 2008 | 801 |
| Year ending December 31, 2009 | 168 |
| Year ending December 31, 2010 | 140 |
| Total | \$ 3,037 |

NOTE 5 Balance Sheet Accounts (in thousands):

| | Year ended December 31, |
|------|----------------------------|
| 2005 | 2004 |

Edgar Filing: EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| <i>Trade accounts receivable, net:</i> | | |
| Trade accounts receivable | \$ 9,598 | \$ 8,505 |
| Less: allowance for doubtful accounts and returns | 191 | 166 |
| | \$ 9,407 | \$ 8,339 |

The following is a summary of activities in allowance for doubtful accounts and returns for the periods indicated (in thousands):

| | Beginning | Charged | Charged to | Write-offs | Ending |
|------------------------------|-----------|---------|------------|------------|---------|
| | Balance | Against | Expense | Net of | Balance |
| | | Revenue | | Recovery | |
| Year ended December 31, 2003 | \$ 189 | \$ | \$ 110 | \$ (142) | \$ 157 |
| Year ended December 31, 2004 | 157 | 50 | 27 | (68) | 166 |
| Year ended December 31, 2005 | \$ 166 | \$ | \$ 54 | \$ (29) | \$ 191 |

Table of Contents

The following is a detailed summary of balance in fixed assets for the periods indicated (in thousands):

| <i>As of December 31,</i> | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|----------|----------|
| <i>Property and equipment, net:</i> | | |
| Computer equipment and software | \$ 6,282 | \$ 6,905 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 341 | 329 |
| Capital lease, furniture, fixtures and computer equipment | 499 | 499 |
| Leasehold improvements | 439 | 553 |
| | 7,561 | 8,286 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (5,341) | (5,306) |
| Accumulated amortization capital lease | (161) | (58) |
| | \$ 2,059 | \$ 2,922 |

Depreciation and amortization expense for 2005, 2004, and 2003 was \$1.4 million, \$1.8 million, and \$2.1 million, respectively.

| <i>As of December 31,</i> | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|----------|----------|
| <i>Accrued liabilities:</i> | | |
| Restructuring accrual, current portion | \$ 635 | \$ 712 |
| Payroll and related expenses | 1,553 | 1,591 |
| Professional fees | 340 | |
| Accrued income taxes | 635 | 336 |
| Other | 2,128 | 2,528 |
| | \$ 5,291 | \$ 5,167 |

NOTE 6 Restructuring and Impairment Charges

During the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company recorded a restructuring and impairment charge of \$4.0 million related to the consolidation of our office leases in San Francisco. The restructuring charge consists of \$2.8 million representing the net present value of the Company's future contractual lease obligations net of any sublease income and \$566,000 of other expenses, principally lease commissions, legal fees and other subtenant allowances.

In addition to the restructuring provision, the Company abandoned approximately \$680,000 of leasehold improvements and excess furniture and fixtures.

A summary of the restructuring accrual is as follows (in thousands):

| | Facility Leases, Net of Sublease Income | Write Down Of Leasehold Improvements | Other Restructuring Expenses | Total |
|--|---|---|------------------------------------|----------|
| Accrual as of December 31, 2003 | \$ 413 | \$ | \$ | \$ 413 |
| Restructuring and impairment charges during the three months ended June 30, 2004 | 2,822 | 680 | 566 | 4,068 |
| Non-cash reductions of accrual | | (680) | (36) | (716) |
| Net cash payments during the year ended December 31, 2004 | (807) | | (499) | (1,306) |
| Accrual as of December 31, 2004 | 2,428 | | 31 | 2,459 |
| Net cash payments during the year ended December 31, 2005 | (736) | | (27) | (763) |
| Accrual as of December 31, 2005 | \$ 1,692 | \$ | \$ 4 | \$ 1,696 |

Edgar Filing: EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, the restructuring accrual included long-term components of \$1.1 million and \$1.7 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**NOTE 7 Income Taxes**

Income before provision for income taxes consists of the following (in thousands):

| <i>As of December 31,</i> | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Domestic | \$ 5,336 | \$ 1,438 | \$ 6,922 |
| Foreign | 756 | 658 | 486 |
| Total | \$ 6,092 | \$ 2,096 | \$ 7,408 |

The provision for income taxes consists of the following (in thousands):

| <i>Year ended December 31,</i> | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|----------------------------------|----------|------------|----------|
| Current: | | | |
| Federal | \$ 771 | \$ 1,075 | \$ 841 |
| State | 62 | 58 | 155 |
| Foreign | 244 | 307 | 363 |
| | \$ 1,077 | \$ 1,440 | \$ 1,359 |
| Deferred (benefit): | | | |
| Federal | \$ 393 | \$ (1,329) | \$ 1,347 |
| State | 285 | (3) | 344 |
| Foreign | | | (355) |
| | 678 | (1,332) | 1,336 |
| Total provision for income taxes | \$ 1,755 | \$ 108 | \$ 2,695 |

The effective tax rate for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003 differs from the applicable U.S. statutory federal income tax rate as follows:

| <i>Year ended December 31,</i> | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|---|--------|--------|-------|
| U.S. statutory federal tax rate | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| State taxes, net of federal benefit | 4.5% | 2.3 | 4.9 |
| Amortization of stock-based compensation | 1.8% | (19.5) | 1.1 |
| Tax exempt interest and other permanent differences | (10.6) | (12.6) | (4.6) |
| Research and development credits | (1.9) | | |
| Effective tax rate | 28.8% | 5.2% | 36.4% |

The primary components of the net deferred tax assets are as follows (in thousands):

| | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|----------|----------|
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Net operating losses, federal and state | \$ 1,721 | \$ 1,789 |
| Research and other credits | 1,109 | 1,379 |
| Non-qualified stock options and restricted stock grants | 922 | 1,147 |
| Allowances and accruals | 1,376 | 1,217 |
| Gross deferred tax assets | 5,128 | 5,532 |
| Valuation allowance | (192) | (15) |
| Net realizable deferred tax assets | 4,936 | 5,517 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | | |
| Non-goodwill intangibles | (2,059) | (818) |
| Deferred tax liabilities | (2,059) | (818) |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ 2,877 | \$ 4,699 |

Edgar Filing: EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

The Company had net deferred tax assets totaling \$2.9 million and \$4.7 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. In addition, at December 31, 2005 the Company had federal and state net operating loss carry forwards (NOLs) of approximately \$4.9 million and \$7.2 million, respectively. These NOLs can be carried forward to offset future taxable income, if any. The federal and state NOLs expire in 2006 through 2020, if not utilized. At December 31, 2005 the Company had federal, state and foreign research and development credits of approximately \$1.5 million, \$75,000 and \$769,000, respectively. The federal credits expire in 2020 through 2025. The state and foreign credits do not expire. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, the amount of benefits from NOLs available to the Company may be limited.

Due to uncertainties related to our ability to utilize some of our deferred tax assets, consisting of Colorado net operating loss carry forwards and Canadian R&D credits, we established a valuation allowance of \$192,000. The valuation allowance is based on our estimates of current and future taxable income by jurisdiction in which we operate and the period over which our deferred tax assets will be recoverable.

Table of Contents**NOTE 8 Commitments and Contingencies****Bank Credit Facility**

In August 2004, the Company terminated its \$3.0 million revolving credit facility.

A financial institution issued an irrevocable standby letter of credit for approximately \$120,000 in relation to a real estate lease agreement executed in April 2004. The standby letter of credit will expire on June 1, 2006.

Leases

The Company leases office space and equipment under non-cancelable operating lease agreements that expire at various dates through 2011. The Company recognizes rent expense on a straight-line basis over the lease period and has accrued for rent expense incurred but not paid. Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003, and 2002 was \$1.8 million, \$2.1 million, and \$2.2 million, respectively. Some of the lease agreements have renewal options ranging from one to five years. In April 2004, the Company announced a restructuring plan. As a part of that plan, the Company entered into a new five-year lease commitment and subleased the remainder of its long-term San Francisco office leases. The lease and subleases were executed in April 2004. The subleases will expire in July 2008. The Company's net contractual sublease income over the duration of the subleases is \$694,000 payable as follows: years 2006 through 2007, \$269,000 per year and \$155,000 in 2008.

The Company entered into a three-year capital lease agreement in June 2004, for approximately \$499,000 to finance the acquisition of certain furniture and fixtures and computer equipment. The capital lease agreement bears an interest rate of 6.1% per annum and has a \$1 buyout option at its expiration in June 2007.

Gross lease payment obligations under the non-cancelable operating and capital lease are as follows (in thousands):

| <i>Year ending December 31,</i> | Capital Lease | Operating Leases | Bank Letter Of Credit | Total |
|---|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| 2006 | \$ 182 | \$ 1,531 | \$ 120 | \$ 1,833 |
| 2007 | 76 | 1,385 | | 1,461 |
| 2008 | | 881 | | 881 |
| 2009 | | 482 | | 482 |
| 2010 | | 164 | | 164 |
| Thereafter | | 306 | | 306 |
| Total minimum payments | 258 | \$ 4,749 | \$ 120 | \$ 5,127 |
| Less: amount representing interest | 11 | | | |
| Present value of net minimum lease payments | \$ 247 | | | |

Other

In May 2005, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with the other parties in the litigation against the Company and its President and Chief Executive Officer and other parties by The Client Server Factory Inc. filed in October 2002. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, none of the parties made any admission regarding fault or liability and all outstanding issues among the parties were resolved. The Company's share of the payments made pursuant to the settlement was \$573,000 and has been recorded in the statement of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The case was dismissed with prejudice on June 30, 2005.

We have no material pending legal proceedings. However, the Company may, from time to time, become a party to other legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business. The Company may also be indirectly affected by administrative or court proceedings or actions in which the Company is not involved but which have general applicability to the software industry.

Edgar Filing: EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

The Company provides general indemnification provisions in its license agreements. In these agreements, the Company states that it will defend or settle, at its own expense, any claim against the customer asserting a patent, copyright, trademark, trade secret or proprietary right violation related to any

Table of Contents

products that the Company has licensed to the customer. The Company agrees to indemnify its customers against any loss, expense or liability, including reasonable attorney's fees, from any damages alleged against the customer in its course of using products sold by the Company. The Company has not received any claims under this indemnification and does not know of any instances in which such a claim may be brought against the Company in the future. The Company believes that the fair value of these agreements is minimal and has not recorded any liabilities in connection with them.

NOTE 9 Stockholders' Equity**Convertible Preferred Stock**

As of December 31, 2005 and 2004 there were 5,000,000 shares of convertible preferred stock authorized at a par value of \$0.001 and no shares outstanding.

Common Stock

The common stockholders are entitled to one vote per share. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, there were 60,000,000 shares authorized at a par value of \$0.001. At December 31, 2005, the Company had reserved approximately 633,000 shares for issuance of common stock under its 2004 Equity Incentive Plan and its 2000 Non-employee Directors Stock Option Plan.

Treasury Stock

In September 2001, the Company's Board of Directors authorized an initial stock repurchase program of up to 1,000,000 shares of its common stock. In July 2002, the Board of Directors amended the Company's stock repurchase program to increase the number of shares authorized for repurchase by an additional 1,230,000 shares. In both July and October 2004, the Board of Directors further amended the Company's stock repurchase program to increase the number of shares authorized for repurchase by an additional 1,000,000 shares. Depending on market conditions and other factors, repurchases can be made from time to time in the open market and in negotiated transactions, including block transactions, and this program may be discontinued at any time. Authorizations and repurchases by year are as follows:

| | Number of Shares | Cost of | |
|--|------------------|-------------|---------|
| | (thousands) | Repurchase | Average |
| | (thousands) | (thousands) | Cost |
| Initial repurchase program authorized in September 2001 | 1,000 | | |
| Increased in repurchase program authorized in July 2002 | 1,230 | | |
| Increased in repurchase program authorized in July 2004 | 1,000 | | |
| Increased in repurchase program authorized in October 2004 | 1,000 | | |
| Total authorized for repurchase | 4,230 | | |
| Shares repurchased in 2001 | 219 | \$ 1,781 | \$ 8.13 |
| Shares repurchased in 2002 | 712 | 3,335 | 4.68 |
| Shares repurchased in 2003 | 231 | 1,171 | 5.07 |
| Shares repurchased in 2004 | 1,519 | 11,182 | 7.36 |
| Shares repurchased in 2005 | 554 | 3,195 | 5.76 |
| Total shares repurchased | 3,235 | \$ 20,664 | \$ 6.39 |
| Remaining shares available for repurchase at December 31, 2005 | 995 | | |

NOTE 10 Equity Compensation Plans**2004 Equity Incentive Plan**

In June 2004, the Company's stockholders approved the adoption of the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (the "Plan"). The Plan provides for the granting of stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock awards and cash awards to employees and consultants of the

Edgar Filing: EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

Company. Options granted under the Plan may be either incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options. Incentive stock options (ISO) may be granted only to Company employees (including officers and directors who are also employees). Nonqualified stock options (NSO), may be granted to Company employees and consultants.

Table of Contents

A total of 2,000,000 shares of common stock are currently authorized for issuance under the Plan of which a maximum of 400,000 may be issued as stock awards. As of December 31, 2005, there were 1,438,437 options and awards issued and outstanding, and 557,813 shares still available for issuance under the Plan.

Options under the Plan may be granted for periods of up to ten years and at prices no less than 100% of the estimated fair value of the shares on the date of grant as determined by the Board of Directors, provided however, that the exercise price of any option granted to a 10% stockholder shall not be less than 110% of the estimated fair value of the shares on the date of grant. Options are exercisable at such times and under such conditions as determined by the Board of Directors and generally vest over three to four years.

Restricted stock awards under the Plan may be granted for periods of up to ten years and at prices no less than the par value of the shares. Stock awards are exercisable at such times and under such conditions as determined by the Board of Directors, and generally vest over one to two years.

Amended and Restated Embarcadero Technologies, Inc. 1993 Stock Option Plan

Upon adoption of the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, the 1993 Stock Option Plan was terminated and no further options or awards can be granted under the plan. As of December 31, 2005, there were 3,281,722 options and awards outstanding under this plan.

Amended 2000 Non-employee Directors Stock Option Plan

In February 2000, the Company's Board of Directors and stockholders adopted the 2000 Non-employee Directors Stock Option Plan under which non-employee directors are automatically granted options to purchase shares of common stock on their election and on the date of each annual stockholders meeting.

In April 2003, the Company's stockholders approved an amendment to the 2000 Non-employee Directors Stock Option Plan to increase the frequency of automatic stock option grants to non-employee directors to quarterly grants of 5,000 shares on the first regular meeting of the Board on or after the first day of each calendar quarter to each non-employee director then in office for longer than three months and to increase the aggregate number of shares reserved for issuance under the plan by 200,000 shares.

A total of 400,000 shares of common stock have been authorized for issuance under the amended 2000 Non-employee Directors Stock Option Plan. As of December 31, 2005, 325,000 shares have been issued.

Option activity under the equity compensation plans is set forth as follows (options in thousands):

| | Number of Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Balances, December 31, 2002 | 4,419 | \$ 8.23 |
| Options granted | 1,161 | 9.04 |
| Options exercised | (500) | 3.85 |
| Options cancelled | (456) | 19.67 |
| Balances, December 31, 2003 | 4,624 | 7.77 |
| Options granted | 778 | 9.57 |
| Options exercised | (469) | 5.40 |
| Options cancelled | (572) | 12.30 |
| Balances, December 31, 2004 | 4,361 | 7.78 |
| Options granted | 1,402 | 6.57 |
| Options exercised | (115) | 4.37 |
| Options cancelled | (799) | 11.84 |
| Balances, December 31, 2005 | 4,849 | \$ 6.84 |

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2005 (options in thousands):

| Range of Exercise Price | Options Outstanding | | | Options Exercisable | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Number | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| \$0.05 | 29 | 1.79 | \$ 0.05 | 29 | \$ 0.05 |
| \$0.25 | 1,100 | 3.41 | 0.25 | 1,100 | 0.25 |
| \$0.50 - \$5.00 | 510 | 5.74 | 3.52 | 417 | 3.31 |
| \$5.24 - \$6.76 | 1,204 | 6.59 | 6.22 | 193 | 5.91 |
| \$6.77 - \$7.15 | 505 | 6.51 | 7.01 | 273 | 6.97 |
| \$7.16 - \$8.52 | 490 | 6.65 | 7.96 | 160 | 8.09 |
| \$8.53 - \$12.50 | 557 | 5.43 | 11.36 | 358 | 11.23 |
| \$12.51 - \$20.53 | 246 | 5.67 | 15.62 | 147 | 15.80 |
| \$20.54 - \$35.63 | 205 | 4.54 | 28.85 | 205 | 28.85 |
| \$43.00 | 3 | 4.78 | 43.00 | 3 | 43.00 |
| \$0.05 - 43.00 | 4,849 | 5.48 | \$ 6.84 | 2,885 | \$ 6.36 |

At December 31, 2005 and 2004, approximately 2.9 million and 2.8 million options were exercisable at weighted average exercise prices of \$6.36 and \$7.30, respectively.

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the fair value method, using the following weighted average assumptions:

| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Risk-free interest rate | 4.05% | 3.15% | 2.40% |
| Expected life | 3 years | 4 years | 4 years |
| Expected dividends | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Volatility | 66% | 86% | 99% |

The weighted average per share fair value of common stock options granted during 2005, 2004, and 2003 was \$3.07, \$5.92, and \$6.29.

Stock-based Compensation

For financial reporting purposes, the Company has determined that the estimated value of common stock determined in anticipation of the Company's initial public offering was in excess of the exercise price, which was deemed to be the fair market value as of the dates of grant. In connection with the grants of such options, the Company recorded deferred stock-based compensation of approximately \$6.8 million in 2000 and \$14.2 million in 1999. Deferred stock-based compensation was amortized over the vesting periods utilizing the multiple option method. The amortization of deferred compensation related to these grants was completed in 2003; approximately \$598,000 was expensed in the year ended 2003.

In December 2003, the Company awarded 100,000 shares of restricted stock, at fair value of \$15.60 per share, that vest in two equal annual installments to two key executives. The Company recorded \$1.6 million in deferred stock-based compensation and is amortizing this expense over the vesting period using the accelerated method. In March 2004, one of the executives who received a restricted stock grant left the Company before any of his restricted stock had vested. Accordingly, in the Company's financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company reversed \$760,000 in deferred stock-based compensation related

to the unvested shares granted to that executive.

In 2004, the Company issued approximately 346,000 shares of restricted stock, at weighted average fair value of \$10.63 per share, that vest in one- or two-year installments. In relation to the 2004 awards, the Company recorded \$3.7 million in deferred stock-based compensation and is amortizing this expense over the vesting period using the accelerated method. Of the awards issued in 2004, 69,000 and 58,000 were subsequently cancelled by the Company upon the employee's termination in 2005 and 2004, respectively. The Company reversed \$321,000 of deferred stock-based compensation related to these cancellations in the year ended December 31, 2005.

Table of Contents

In 2005, the Company issued approximately 302,000 shares of restricted stock, at weighted average fair value of \$6.73 per share, that vest in one- or two-year installments of which 225,000 shares were issued as inducement grants outside of the Plan. The Company recorded \$2.0 million in deferred stock-based compensation related to the 2005 stock awards and is amortizing this expense over the vesting period using the accelerated method.

Amortization expense related to the restricted stock awards was approximately \$1.6 million and \$2.2 million in the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The following table summarizes information about restricted stock awards outstanding at December 31, 2005 (awards in thousands):

| | Number of Awards |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| | Outstanding |
| Balances, December 31, 2002 | |
| Awards granted | 100 |
| Awards cancelled | |
| Balances, December 31, 2003 | 100 |
| Awards granted | 346 |
| Awards cancelled | (58) |
| Balances, December 31, 2004 | 388 |
| Awards granted | 302 |
| Awards cancelled | (69) |
| Balances, December 31, 2005 | 621 |

In connection with the issuance of 6,000 and 12,000 fully vested stock options to non-employees in the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$10,000 and \$58,000, respectively.

In connection with the restatement of the interim financial statements for the first and second quarters of 2004, and as a result of the delayed filing of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004, the Company suspended all exercises of stock options under each of its equity compensation plans from November 16, 2004 through January 18, 2005, the filing date of that report. This suspension was required because the Company's periodic reports are incorporated by reference into the registration statements for the securities issuable under each such equity compensation plan.

The Company extended the exercisability of all options that would otherwise have terminated during that period for a period of time equal to that during which the options were not exercisable due to the suspension. Accordingly, the Company recorded stock-based compensation of \$12,000 in the quarter ended December 31, 2004. In 2005, the Company incurred \$19,000 additional stock-based compensation with respect to the delayed filing of the Form 10-Q.

NOTE 11 Employee Benefit Plans

The Company sponsors a 401(k) defined contribution plan covering all employees. Under the plan, employees are permitted to contribute a portion of gross compensation not to exceed standard limitations provided by the Internal Revenue Service. The Company has not made any contributions to this plan in prior years. Starting in 2005, we provide matching to employee contributions up to 6% of an employee's base pay. Our matching contributions to the 401(k) Plan totaled \$44,000, \$0 and \$0 in fiscal years 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

NOTE 12 Segment Reporting

Operating segments are components of a business enterprise that its chief operating decision maker considers when allocating resources to its different segments and making assessments on their performances. By this definition, the Company operates only in one reportable operating segment: the design, development, marketing, sales and support of software for database and application development and management.

The Company's geographic sales data is based on customer location as defined by the following regions: North America, United Kingdom and Other. The Company's wholly owned subsidiary, Embarcadero Technologies Europe Ltd., transacts all sales in

Edgar Filing: EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

Europe, the Middle East, Australia and Africa. Various distributors and resellers handle sales in regions outside Europe, the Middle East, Australia, Africa and North America.

Revenues and long-lived assets by geographic region are as follows (in thousands):

| | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Revenues: | | | |
| North America (1) | \$ 46,322 | \$ 45,152 | \$ 40,985 |
| United Kingdom | 4,824 | 4,284 | 3,801 |
| Other | 6,406 | 6,858 | 7,137 |
| Total | \$ 57,552 | \$ 56,294 | \$ 51,923 |

Table of Contents

(1) Includes gross revenue related to Canada of \$2.5 million, \$2.6 million and \$2.4 million for 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively.

| <i>As of December 31,</i> | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Long lived assets: | | | |
| United States | \$ 1,696 | \$ 2,356 | \$ 2,788 |
| Canada | 229 | 269 | 271 |
| United Kingdom | 118 | 268 | 183 |
| Australia | 16 | 29 | 17 |
| Total | \$ 2,059 | \$ 2,922 | \$ 3,259 |

NOTE 13 Unaudited Quarterly Results of Operations

The following table sets forth our quarterly results of operation for fiscal year 2004 (in thousands, except per share data):

| | Mar. 31, 2004 | June 30, 2004 | Sept. 30, 2004 | Dec. 31, 2004 |
|---|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| <i>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations Data (unaudited):</i> | | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| License | 7,207 | 6,260 | 7,097 | 7,644 |
| Maintenance | 7,037 | 7,227 | 6,928 | 6,894 |
| Total revenues | 14,244 | 13,487 | 14,025 | 14,538 |
| Cost of revenues: | | | | |
| License | 209 | 213 | 283 | 294 |
| Amortization of acquired technology | 555 | 556 | 556 | 438 |
| Maintenance | 622 | 620 | 572 | 548 |
| Total cost of revenues | 1,386 | 1,389 | 1,411 | 1,280 |
| Gross profit | 12,858 | 12,098 | 12,614 | 13,258 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Research and development | 3,774 | 3,906 | 3,915 | 4,042 |
| Sales and marketing | 5,111 | 5,096 | 5,204 | 5,666 |
| General and administrative | 1,213 | 1,543 | 2,260 | 3,761 |
| Restructuring charges | | 4,068 | | (36) |
| Total operating expenses | 10,098 | 14,613 | 11,379 | 13,433 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 2,760 | (2,515) | 1,235 | (175) |
| Other income (expense), net | 212 | 143 | 203 | 233 |
| Income (loss) before provision for income taxes | 2,972 | (2,372) | 1,438 | 58 |
| Benefit from (provision for) income taxes | (924) | 1,132 | (559) | 243 |
| Net income (loss) | 2,048 | (1,240) | 879 | 301 |
| Net income (loss) per share: | | | | |
| Basic | 0.08 | (0.05) | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| Diluted | 0.07 | (0.05) | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| Weighted average shares used in per share calculation: | | | | |
| Basic | 27,122 | 27,394 | 26,604 | 25,955 |
| Diluted | 29,103 | 27,394 | 28,078 | 27,610 |

Table of Contents

The following sets forth our quarterly results of operations for fiscal year 2005 (in thousands, except per share data):

| | March 31, 2005 | June 30, 2005 | Sept. 30, 2005 | Dec. 31, 2005 |
|---|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| <i>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations Data (unaudited):</i> | | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| License | \$ 6,123 | \$ 6,478 | \$ 7,136 | \$ 7,776 |
| Maintenance | 7,056 | 7,498 | 7,639 | 7,846 |
| Total revenues | 13,179 | 13,976 | 14,775 | 15,622 |
| Cost of revenues: | | | | |
| License | 113 | 216 | 232 | 692 |
| Amortization of acquired technology | 507 | 192 | 145 | 132 |
| Maintenance | 558 | 504 | 526 | 585 |
| Total cost of revenues | 1,178 | 912 | 903 | 1,409 |
| Gross profit | 12,001 | 13,064 | 13,872 | 14,213 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Research and development | 3,942 | 3,840 | 3,868 | 4,528 |
| Sales and marketing | 5,748 | 5,513 | 5,218 | 6,471 |
| General and administrative | 2,653 | 2,221 | 1,676 | 2,046 |
| Litigation settlement charge | | 573 | | |
| Restructuring charges | | | | |
| Total operating expenses | 12,343 | 12,147 | 10,762 | 13,045 |
| Income (loss) from operations | (342) | 917 | 3,110 | 1,168 |
| Other income, net | 278 | 294 | 351 | 316 |
| Income (loss) before provision for income taxes | (64) | 1,211 | 3,461 | 1,484 |
| Benefit from (provision for) income taxes | 23 | (408) | (1,106) | (264) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (41) | \$ 803 | \$ 2,355 | \$ 1,220 |
| Net income (loss) per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.05 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.04 |
| Weighted average shares used in per share calculation: | | | | |
| Basic | 25,982 | 26,200 | 25,749 | 25,768 |
| Diluted | 25,982 | 27,482 | 27,087 | 27,307 |

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the disclosure controls and procedures are met. Additionally, in designing disclosure controls and procedures, our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and we cannot be certain that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

Based on their evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective, at the reasonable assurance level.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

We assessed the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005. In making this assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework*. Based on our assessment using those criteria, we concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2005.

Our management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

Remediation of Material Weaknesses

As previously discussed in Item 9A of our 2004 Annual Report on Form 10K/A, as of December 31, the Company did not maintain effective controls over the revenue recognition processes at its subsidiary in the United Kingdom (UK). Specifically, during an internal investigation of the Company's revenue recognition practices for transactions with certain of its distributors and resellers in the UK, management determined that there was inadequate monitoring and oversight of the UK revenue processes. This control deficiency resulted in management's failure to detect errant and improper actions by certain employees with regard to revenue recognition on certain transactions where the fees were not fixed and determinable, impacting license and maintenance revenue, deferred revenue and accounts receivable. This control deficiency resulted in the restatement of the quarterly results of operations data for the first and second quarter of 2004.

Additionally, as of December 31, 2004, the Company did not maintain effective controls over its accounting for income taxes including the determination of deferred income tax assets and liabilities and the related income tax provision. Specifically, effective controls were not in place to monitor the differences between the income tax basis and the financial reporting basis of

Table of Contents

assets and liabilities and reconcile the differences to the deferred income tax assets and liabilities. This control deficiency resulted in the restatement of the Company's consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003 and the restatement of the Company's quarterly results of operations data for each of the quarters in the year ended December 31, 2003 and for the first three quarters in the year ended December 31, 2004.

Furthermore, as of December 31, 2004, the Company did not maintain effective controls over its accounting for foreign currency translation and foreign currency transactions. Specifically, effective controls were not in place to recognize and classify foreign currency translation adjustments and foreign currency transaction gains and losses. As a result, the foreign currency translation effects related to intercompany balances were recorded as a component of the cumulative translation adjustment within stockholders' equity rather than in the statement of operations. Additionally, the Company failed to record certain foreign currency translation adjustments, primarily related to goodwill, which should have been recorded as a component of the cumulative translation adjustment within stockholders' equity. This control deficiency resulted in the restatement of the Company's consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, the restatement of the Company's quarterly results of operations data for each of the quarters in the year ended December 31, 2003 and for the first three quarters in the year ended December 31, 2004, and an audit adjustment to the financial statements for the fourth quarter of 2004.

Additionally, each of the control deficiencies described above could have resulted in misstatements to the aforementioned accounts that would result in material misstatements to the annual or interim financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Accordingly, management determined that each of the control deficiencies constituted material weaknesses at December 31, 2004.

During 2005, in connection with our remediation plan, management: (i) identified the control objectives and new controls, that result in the material weaknesses being eliminated; (ii) obtained sufficient evidence of the design and operating effectiveness of the new controls including documentation of the new controls; and (iii) determined the new controls have been in effect for a sufficient period of time to permit the assessment of their design and operating effectiveness. Specifically, our remediation efforts relating to the design of our internal control over financial reporting included the following:

We have re-emphasized to our worldwide employee base the importance of our code of conduct, code of ethics and sales policies through a re-certification process. We have implemented a financial code of ethics, which is designed to promote ethical conduct and full, fair and accurate disclosure in our periodic financial reports. We have established a procedure for conducting internal training sessions for employees on applicable policies and procedures.

We have enhanced finance oversight and controls for significant financial activities for foreign subsidiaries, such as cash management, manual journal entries and daily sales postings.

We have enhanced our controls to ensure that products sold through distributors and resellers are shipped to end users.

We have revised our policy to require finance approval of the formal credit check process before granting credit to distributors and resellers. We have also revised our policies to require approval by corporate finance of all credit memorandums, including those for end users.

We strengthened our internal controls in the area of tax preparation, primarily by engaging external tax advisors to assist in the preparation and review of our income tax calculations.

We have enhanced our capabilities in the area of accounting for foreign currency translation and foreign currency transactions by hiring a new Finance Director in the UK and a Vice President of Finance and a new Controller with expertise in these areas.

We have recruited new personnel to our finance organization to enhance our oversight of international operations and improve our internal control function. We have replaced certain employees of our UK subsidiary and enhanced our financial controls by appointing a new Finance Director in the UK and a Vice President of Finance and a new Controller in the US.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2005, as a result of the implementation of the above mentioned changes and testing of operating effectiveness, management has concluded that as of December 31, 2005, we have remediated the material weaknesses described above.

Table of Contents

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Other than the changes described in the preceding paragraph, there were no other changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2005 that materially affected, or were reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

Certain information regarding our executive officers and required under this Item is included under the heading Executive Officers in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-K.

Other information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the information in the Company's proxy statement for the 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders that we will file with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or before May 1, 2006 (the Proxy Statement).

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference from the information in the Proxy Statement.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference from the information in the Proxy Statement.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference from the information in the Proxy Statement.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the information in the Proxy Statement.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

The following documents are being filed as part of this report on Form 10-K:

(a) Financial Statements

Edgar Filing: EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

| | |
|---|----|
| Embarcadero Technologies, Inc. | |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 33 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2005 and 2004</u> | 34 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003</u> | 35 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003, and 2002</u> | 36 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003</u> | 37 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 38 |
| (b) Exhibits | |

Exhibit

| Number | Description of Document |
|---------------------|---|
| 2.1 ⁽⁹⁾ | Agreement and Plan of Merger and Reorganization dated as of October 13, 2005, by and among Embarcadero Technologies, Inc., DSEC Merger Company, SHC Ambeo Acquisition Corp., and Valerie Anderson as Stockholder Representative |
| 3.1 ⁽¹⁾ | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (Exhibit 3.2). |
| 3.2 ⁽¹⁾ | Amended and Restated Bylaws (Exhibit 3.4). |
| 4.1 ⁽¹⁾ | Specimen Common Stock Certificate. |
| 10.1 ⁽⁵⁾ | Amended and Restated 1993 Stock Option Plan (amended June 23, 2003 to be effective November 1, 2003). |
| 10.2 ⁽⁵⁾ | Amended and Restated 2000 Nonemployee Directors Stock Option Plan (as amended through April 17, 2003). |
| 10.3 ⁽¹⁾ | Form of Indemnification Agreement. |
| 10.4 ⁽¹⁾ | Office Lease between Metropolitan Life Insurance Company and Embarcadero Technologies, Inc. dated April 23, 1999, as amended. |
| 10.5 ⁽¹⁾ | Lease Agreement between NewCon Software, Inc. and Embarcadero Technologies, Inc., dated as of August 1, 1999. |
| 10.6 ⁽¹⁾ | Lease between Wallace J. Getz and Embarcadero Technologies, Inc., dated as of December 6, 1999. |

Table of Contents

Exhibit

| Number | Description of Document |
|-----------------------|--|
| 10.7 ⁽¹⁾ | Employment Offer Letter to Raj P. Sabhlok dated January 24, 2000 (Exhibit 10.8). |
| 10.8 ⁽⁸⁾ | Employment Agreement by and between Robert Lamvik and Embarcadero Technologies, Inc. dated May 4, 2004. |
| 10.9 ⁽²⁾ | Lease Agreement between Burger Investments F.L.P. and Advanced Software Technologies, Inc., dated June 4, 1998 (Exhibit 10.13). |
| 10.10 ⁽³⁾ | Lease, dated as of November 15, 2000, between Forty-Five Fremont Associates and Embarcadero Technologies, Inc (Exhibit 10.14). |
| 10.11 ⁽⁶⁾ | Lease Agreement for 100 California Street between WB 100 California and Embarcadero Technologies, Inc., dated April 19, 2004 |
| 10.12 ⁽⁷⁾ | 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (Exhibit 99.1) |
| 10.13 ⁽¹⁰⁾ | Form of Restricted Stock Agreement (Exhibit 99.1) |
| 10.14 [*] | Employment Offer Letter to Michael Shahbazian dated October 20, 2005 |
| 21.1 ⁽⁴⁾ | List of Subsidiaries |
| 23.1 | Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, independent registered public accounting firm |
| 24.1 | Power of Attorney (reference is made to the signature page). |
| 31.1 | Certification of Stephen Wong pursuant to rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Certification of Michael Shahbazian pursuant to rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley act of 2002 |
| 32 | Certification of Stephen Wong and Michael Shahbazian pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

* *Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.*

- (1) *Incorporated by reference to the corresponding or indicated exhibit to Embarcadero s Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-30805) and declared effective on April 19, 2000.*
- (2) *Incorporated by reference to the corresponding or indicated exhibit to Embarcadero s Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-55730), as filed on February 15, 2001.*
- (3) *Incorporated by reference to the corresponding or indicated exhibit to Embarcadero s Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed on March 20, 2001.*
- (4) *Incorporated by reference to the corresponding or indicated exhibit to Embarcadero s Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed on March 26, 2002.*
- (5) *Incorporated by reference to the corresponding or indicated exhibit to Embarcadero s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed August 11, 2003.*
- (6) *Incorporated by reference to the corresponding or indicated exhibit to Embarcadero s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed May 10, 2004.*
- (7) *Incorporated by reference to the corresponding or indicated exhibit to Embarcadero s Registration Statement on Form S-8 as filed on August 5, 2004.*
- (8) *Incorporated by reference to the corresponding or indicated exhibit to Embarcadero s Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed on April 7, 2005.*
- (9) *Incorporated by reference to the corresponding or indicated exhibit to Embarcadero s Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 14, 2005.*
- (10) *Incorporated by reference to the corresponding or indicated exhibit to Embarcadero s Registration Statement on Form S-8 as filed on November 14, 2005.*

(c) Financial Statement Schedule

Schedules not listed above have been omitted because the information required to be set forth therein is not applicable or is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in San Francisco, California, on the th day of March 2006.

EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

By: /s/ MICHAEL SHAHBAZIAN
Michael Shahbazian
Chief Financial Officer
Date: March 14, 2006

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below hereby constitutes and appoints Stephen R. Wong and Michael Shahbazian, and each of them acting individually, as his true and lawful attorneys-in-fact and agents, each with full power of substitution, for him in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this report on Form 10-K and to file the same, with exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorneys-in-fact and agents, with full power of each to act alone, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in connection therewith, as fully for all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents, or his or their substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report on Form 10-K has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

| <i>Signature</i> | Title | Date |
|------------------------|---|----------------|
| /s/ STEPHEN R. WONG | | |
| Stephen R. Wong | Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) | March 14, 2006 |
| /s/ MICHAEL SHAHBAZIAN | | |
| Michael Shahbazian | Chief Financial Officer | March 14, 2006 |
| /s/ TIMOTHY C.K. CHOU | | |
| Timothy C.K. Chou | Director | March 14, 2006 |
| /s/ GARY HAROIAN | | |
| Gary Haroian | Director | March 14, 2006 |
| /s/ FRANK J. POLESTRA | | |
| Frank J. Polestra | Director | March 14, 2006 |
| /s/ MICHAEL J. ROBERTS | | |
| | Director | March 14, 2006 |

Edgar Filing: EMBARCADERO TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

Michael J. Roberts

/s/ SAMUEL SPADAFORA

Samuel Spadafora

Director

March 14, 2006

61