Spectra Energy Corp. Form 10-Q August 13, 2007 Index to Financial Statements

## **UNITED STATES**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM	10-Q

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_

Commission file number 1-33007

# **SPECTRA ENERGY CORP**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

**Delaware** (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)

20-5413139 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

5400 Westheimer Court

Houston, Texas 77056

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

713-627-5400

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934).

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Yes "No x

Number of shares of Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, outstanding as of August 3, 2007: 632,124,577

## SPECTRA ENERGY CORP

## FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTER ENDED

## June 30, 2007

## **INDEX**

PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page
Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	4
	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006	4
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006	5
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006	7
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders</u> / <u>Member s Equity and Comprehensive Income for the six months ended June</u> 30, 2007 and 2006	8
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	10
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	34
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	47
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	48
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	49
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	49
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	49
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	49
	Signatures	49

#### CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This document includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements are based on management s beliefs and assumptions. These forward-looking statements are identified by terms and phrases such as anticipate, believe, intend, estimate, expect, continue, should, could, may predict, will, potential, forecast, and similar expressions. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to be materially different from the results predicted. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated in any forward-looking statement include, but are not limited to:

state, federal and foreign legislative and regulatory initiatives that affect cost and investment recovery, have an effect on rate structure, and affect the speed at and degree to which competition enters the natural gas industries;

the outcomes of litigation and regulatory investigations, proceedings or inquiries;

the weather and other natural phenomena, including the economic, operational and other effects of hurricanes and storms;

the timing and extent of changes in commodity prices, interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates;

general economic conditions, including any potential effects arising from terrorist attacks and any consequential hostilities or other hostilities;

changes in environmental, safety and other laws and regulations;

the results of financing efforts, including the ability to obtain financing on favorable terms, which can be affected by various factors, including credit ratings and general economic conditions;

declines in the market prices of equity securities and resulting funding requirements for defined benefit pension plans;

growth in opportunities, including the timing and success of efforts to develop domestic and international pipeline, storage, gathering, processing and other infrastructure projects and the effects of competition;

the performance of natural gas transmission and storage, distribution, and gathering and processing facilities;

the extent of success in connecting natural gas supplies to gathering, processing and transmission systems and in connecting to expanding gas markets;

the effect of accounting pronouncements issued periodically by accounting standard-setting bodies;

conditions of the capital markets and equity markets during the periods covered by the forward-looking statements;

the ability to successfully complete merger, acquisition or divestiture plans; regulatory or other limitations imposed as a result of a merger, acquisition or divestiture; and the success of the business following a merger, acquisition or divestiture; and

the ability to operate effectively as a stand-alone, publicly-traded company.

In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the events described in the forward-looking statements might not occur or might occur to a different extent or at a different time than Spectra Energy Corp has described. Spectra Energy Corp undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

## SPECTRA ENERGY CORP

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)

(In millions, except per share amounts)

	Three I	Months		
	Ended J 2007	June 30, 2006	Six Mont June 2007	
Operating Revenues				
Transportation and storage of natural gas	\$ 513	\$ 506	\$ 1,032	\$ 1,021
Distribution of natural gas	296	269	954	991
Other	176	206	400	454
Total operating revenues	985	981	2,386	2,466
Operating Expenses				
Natural gas and petroleum products purchased	237	226	799	866
Operating, maintenance and other	269	272	527	579
Depreciation and amortization	128	122	250	245
Property and other taxes	49	41	89	99
Total operating expenses	683	661	1,665	1,789
Gains on Sales of Other Assets, net		1	1	29
Operating Income	302	321	722	706
Other Income and Expenses				
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	129	159	219	313
Other income and expenses, net	10	9	26	16
Total other income and expenses	139	168	245	329
Interest Expense	156	148	311	291
Minority Interest Expense	15	11	31	22
<b>Earnings From Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes</b>	270	330	625	722
Income Tax Expense From Continuing Operations	85	73	204	221
meome run Zapense rrom communic Operations	0.5	13	204	221
Income From Continuing Operations	185	257	421	501
Income From Discontinued Operations, net of tax	11	63	11	41
Net Income	\$ 196	\$ 320	\$ 432	\$ 542

Edgar Filing: Spectra Energy Corp. - Form 10-Q

Common Stock Data				
Weighted-average shares outstanding				
Basic	632	$n/a_{(a)}$	631	n/a <sub>(a)</sub>
Diluted	635	n/a	635	n/a
Earnings per share from continuing operations				
Basic and Diluted	\$ 0.29	n/a	\$ 0.66	n/a
Earnings per share from discontinued operations				
Basic and Diluted	\$ 0.02	n/a	\$ 0.02	n/a
Earnings per share total				
Basic and Diluted	\$ 0.31	n/a	\$ 0.68	n/a
Dividends per share	\$ 0.22	n/a	\$ 0.44	n/a

<sup>(</sup>a) not applicable

## SPECTRA ENERGY CORP

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

(In millions)

	June 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 529	\$ 299
Receivables	701	779
Inventory	278	397
Other	107	150
Total current assets	1,615	1,625
Investments and Other Assets		
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	1,742	1,618
Goodwill	3,755	3,507
Other	401	221
Total investments and other assets	5,898	5,346
Property, Plant and Equipment		
Cost	16,725	15,639
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	3,549	3,245
Net property, plant and equipment	13,176	12,394
Regulatory Assets and Deferred Debits	1,075	980
Total Assets	\$ 21,764	\$ 20,345

## SPECTRA ENERGY CORP

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

## (Unaudited)

(In millions, except per-share amounts)

		December 3	1,
	June 30, 2007	2006	
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS / MEMBER S EQUITY			
Current Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$ 343	\$ 24	6
Notes payable and commercial paper	715	34	.9
Taxes accrued	167	21	4
Interest accrued	155	14	9
Current maturities of long-term debt	829	55	0
Other	576	85	0
Total current liabilities	2,785	2,35	8
	,	,	
Long-term Debt	7,734	7,72	6
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities			
Deferred income taxes	2,934	2,98	0
Other	1,547	1,07	7
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	4,481	4,05	.7
Commitments and Contingencies			
Minority Interests	594	56	5
Stockholders / Member s Equity			
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par, 22 million shares authorized, no shares outstanding at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006			
Common stock, \$0.001 par, one billion shares authorized, 631 million and one thousand shares outstanding at			
June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively	1		
Additional paid-in capital	4,601		
Retained earnings	119		
Member s equity		4,59	
Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,449	1,04	1
Total stockholders / member s equity	6,170	5,63	9
Total Liabilities and Stockholders / Member s Equity	\$ 21,764	\$ 20,34	5

## SPECTRA ENERGY CORP

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

(In millions)

Six Months

	Ended <b>2007</b>	June 30, 2006
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 432	\$ 542
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	254	317
Gains on sales of investments in commercial and multi-family real estate		(171)
Gains on sales of equity investments and other assets		(7)
Impairment charges		20
Deferred income taxes	88	206
Minority interest	31	30
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	(219)	(364)
Dividends received from unconsolidated affiliates	119	290
Contribution to company-sponsored pension plans	(13)	(23)
Other	(38)	(654)
Net cash provided by operating activities	654	186
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Capital expenditures	(424)	(422)
Investment expenditures	(94)	(61)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired		(89)
Purchases of available-for-sale securities		(7,090)
Proceeds from sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities		7,432
Net proceeds from the sales of equity investments and other assets	14	1,597
Proceeds from the sales of commercial and multi-family real estate		221
Settlement of net investment hedges and other investing derivatives		(89)
Other		(78)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(504)	1,421
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt		10
Payments for the redemption of long-term debt	(38)	(665)
Increase (decrease) in notes payable and commercial paper	366	(85)
Distributions to minority interests	(23)	(231)
Contributions from minority interests		184
Dividends paid	(279)	
Advances to parent	,	(86)
Distributions to parent		(590)
Distribution of third party cash to parent		(113)
Other	3	26
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	29	(1,550)

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	51	8
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents  Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	230 299	65 491
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 529	\$ 556

#### SPECTRA ENERGY CORP

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS $\,$ / MEMBER $\,$ S EQUITY

## AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Unaudited)

(In millions)

**Accumulated Other** 

						Comprehensive Income Foreign							
								Cummonov		Gains ses) on			
	Common		dditional Paid-in		tained		ember s		F	ash low		AS 158	
December 21, 2006	Stock \$	\$	Capital	Ear \$	rnings		_	Adjustments	He \$	dges (6)	Adj \$	ustment	Total
December 31, 2006	Ф	Ф		Ф		Ф	4,598	\$ 1,156	Ф	(0)	Ф	(109)	\$ 5,639
Net income					432								432
Other comprehensive income													
Foreign currency translation adjustment								478					478
Reclassification into earnings from cash flow													
hedges										1			1
													044
Total comprehensive income			4.505				(4.500)						911
Conversion to Spectra Energy Corp	1		4,597		(2.6)		(4,598)						(2.6)
FIN 48 implementation					(26)								(26)
Transfer of net assets and liabilities from			(2)									(100)	(100)
Duke Energy			(3)		(270)							(100)	(103)
Common stock dividends					(279)							20	(279)
FAS 158 implementation			_		(8)							29	21
Stock-based compensation			7										7
June 30, 2007	\$ 1	\$	4,601	\$	119	\$		\$ 1,634	\$	(5)	\$	(180)	\$ 6,170

		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Foreign Minimum						ie			
		Cui	rrency	Net G (Losse		Per	sion				
	Member s	Trai	nslation	Ca Flo	)W	Lia	bility				
	Equity		stments	Hed	ges	-	stment		ther	Total	ı
December 31, 2005	\$ 10,848	\$	783	\$	(86)	\$	(60)	\$	19	\$ 11,50	04
Net income	542									54	42
Other comprehensive income											
Foreign currency translation adjustment			311							3	11
Reclassification into earnings from cash flow hedges					19						19
Unrealized losses on cash flow hedges					(7)						(7)
Transfer of taxes on net investment hedge and other hedges to											
Duke Capital			62		7					(	69
Transfer of Midwestern assets to Cincinnati Gas & Electric											
Company					40					4	40
Other									9		9
Total comprehensive income										99	83
Transfer of Midwestern assets to Cincinnati Gas & Electric											
Company	(1,452)									(1,45	52)
Transfer of Bison to Duke Energy	(60)									((	60)
Forgiveness of advances to Duke Energy	(503)									(50	03)
Distributions to parent, net	(553)									(5.5	53)
Other, net	(16)									(	16)
June 30, 2006	\$ 8,806	\$ 3	1,156	\$	(27)	\$	(60)	\$	28	\$ 9,90	03

#### SPECTRA ENERGY CORP

#### **Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

(Unaudited)

#### 1. General

Nature of Operations. Spectra Energy Corp, through its subsidiaries and equity affiliates (collectively, Spectra Energy), owns and operates a large and diversified portfolio of complementary natural gas-related energy assets and is one of North America's leading midstream natural gas companies. Spectra Energy operates in three key areas of the natural gas industry: transmission and storage, distribution, and gathering and processing. Spectra Energy provides transportation and storage of natural gas to customers in various regions of the Eastern and Southeastern United States, the Maritime Provinces and the Pacific Northwest in the United States and Canada, and in the province of Ontario, Canada. Spectra Energy also provides natural gas sales and distribution services to retail customers in Ontario, and natural gas gathering and processing services to customers in Western Canada. In addition, Spectra Energy owns a 50% interest in DCP Midstream, LLC (DCP Midstream, formerly Duke Energy Field Services, LLC), one of the largest natural gas gatherers and processors in the United States.

Spin-off from Duke Energy Corporation. On January 2, 2007, Duke Energy Corporation (Duke Energy) completed the spin-off of its natural gas businesses, primarily comprised of the Natural Gas Transmission and Field Services business segments of Duke Energy that were owned through Duke Energy s then wholly-owned subsidiary, Spectra Energy Capital, LLC (Spectra Capital, formerly Duke Capital LLC). Duke Energy contributed its ownership interests in Spectra Capital to Spectra Energy and all of the outstanding common stock of Spectra Energy was distributed to Duke Energy s shareholders. Duke Energy s shareholders received one share of Spectra Energy common stock for every two shares of Duke Energy common stock, resulting in the issuance of approximately 631 million shares of Spectra Energy on January 2, 2007.

Basis of Presentation. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Spectra Energy Corp, its majority-owned subsidiaries where Spectra Energy has control and those variable interest entities where Spectra Energy is the primary beneficiary. As a result of the spin-off of the natural gas businesses of Duke Energy to Duke Energy s shareholders, Spectra Capital is treated as the predecessor entity to Spectra Energy for financial statement and reporting purposes. Accordingly, the 2006 information presented herein for Spectra Energy is that of Spectra Capital. Additionally, in anticipation of the spin-off, and as further described in Note 3, Spectra Capital implemented an internal reorganization in December 2006 in which the operations and assets of Spectra Capital that were not associated with the natural gas businesses were contributed by Spectra Capital to Duke Energy or its subsidiaries. The 2006 results of operations of most of these transferred businesses are included in Income From Discontinued Operations, net of tax in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. Corporate service companies that were transferred to Duke Energy in December 2006 are reported within continuing operations since corporate services continue to be provided at Spectra Energy to support operations. Information presented for 2006 in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows does not include any reclassifications or adjustments to amounts historically reported for these transferred businesses.

These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements included in Spectra Energy s Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 3, 2007, in which Spectra Energy s historical financial statements for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006 were re-cast to conform the business segment disclosures to those adopted effective with the spin-off from Duke Energy. These interim financial statements reflect all normal recurring adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary to fairly present Spectra Energy s results of operations and financial position. Amounts reported in the interim Consolidated Statements of Operations are not necessarily indicative of amounts expected for the respective annual periods due to the effects of seasonal temperature variations on energy consumption primarily in the gas distribution operations of Spectra Energy, as well as changing commodity prices on certain of the processing operations and other factors.

**Use of Estimates.** To conform with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States, management makes estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Although these estimates are based on management s best available knowledge at the time, actual results could differ.

**Reclassifications.** As a result of the spin-off and the related realignment of Spectra Energy s business segments as discussed in Note 2, the components of Operating Revenues on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the 2006 period have been reclassified to conform to the current reporting presentation. In addition, \$112 million of balancing gas has been reclassified from Deferred Debits to Other Assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2006 to conform to the current reporting presentation.

#### 2. Business Segments

As a result of the reorganization and spin-off of Spectra Energy from Duke Energy on January 2, 2007, Spectra Energy now manages its business in four reportable segments: U.S. Transmission, Distribution, Western Canada Transmission & Processing and Field Services. The remainder of Spectra Energy s business operations is presented as Other, and consists of unallocated corporate costs, wholly-owned captive insurance subsidiaries, employee benefit plan assets and liabilities, and other miscellaneous activities.

Spectra Energy s chief operating decision maker regularly reviews financial information about each of these business units in deciding how to allocate resources and evaluate performance. All of the business units are considered reportable segments under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information. There is no aggregation within Spectra Energy s defined business segments. Comparative 2006 data has been revised to conform to Spectra Energy s new business segments.

U.S. Transmission segment provides transportation and storage of natural gas for customers in various regions of the Eastern and Southeastern United States and the Maritime Provinces in Canada. The natural gas transmission and storage operations in the U.S. are primarily subject to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission s (FERC s) rules and regulations.

Distribution provides retail natural gas distribution service in Ontario, Canada, as well as natural gas transportation and storage services to other utilities and energy market participants in Ontario, Quebec and the United States. These services are provided by Union Gas Limited (Union Gas), and are primarily subject to the rules and regulations of the Ontario Energy Board (OEB).

Western Canada Transmission & Processing provides transportation of natural gas, natural gas gathering and processing services, and natural gas liquid (NGL) extraction, fractionation, transportation, storage and marketing to customers in Western Canada and the northern tier of the United States. This segment conducts business primarily through the BC Pipeline and Field Services operations, which are primarily subject to the rules and regulations of Canada s National Energy Board (NEB), the Empress System and the Midstream business, which owns a 46% interest in the operations of the Spectra Energy Income Fund (the Income Fund).

Field Services gathers, compresses, processes, transports, trades and markets, and stores natural gas; and fractionates, transports, gathers, treats, processes, trades and markets, and stores NGLs. It conducts operations primarily through DCP Midstream, which is owned 50% by ConocoPhillips and 50% by Spectra Energy. Field Services gathers raw natural gas through gathering systems located in seven major natural gas producing regions: Permian, Mid-Continent, East Texas-North Louisiana, South Texas, Central Texas, Rocky Mountain and Gulf Coast.

Spectra Energy s reportable segments offer different products and services and are managed separately as business units. Management evaluates segment performance based on earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) from continuing operations, after deducting minority interest expense related to those profits.

On a segment basis, EBIT excludes discontinued operations, represents all profits from continuing operations (both operating and non-operating) before deducting interest and taxes, and is net of the minority interest expense related to those profits. Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments are managed centrally by Spectra Energy, so the associated realized and unrealized gains and losses from foreign currency transactions and interest and dividend income on those balances are excluded from the segments EBIT.

Transactions between reportable segments are accounted for on the same basis as transactions with unaffiliated third parties.

## Business Segment Data (in millions) (a)

Segment EBIT /

Consolidated

**Earnings** 

from Continuing

								8
	Unaffiliated		Inters	Intersegment		Γotal	Operations before	
Three Months Ended June 30, 2007	Re	evenues	Rev	enues	Re	venues	Income Taxes	
U.S. Transmission	\$	369	\$	1	\$	370	\$	223
Distribution		351				351		54
Western Canada Transmission & Processing		262				262		48
Field Services								123
Total reportable segments		982		1		983		448
Other		3		5		8		(26)
Eliminations				(6)		(6)		
Interest expense								156
Interest income and other (b)								4
Total consolidated	\$	985	\$		\$	985	\$	270
Three Months Ended June 30, 2006								
U.S. Transmission	\$	381	\$	(10)	\$	371	\$	230
Distribution		316				316		39
Western Canada Transmission & Processing		290		(2)		288		89
Field Services								148
Total reportable segments		987		(12)		975		506
Other		(6)		31		25		(35)
Eliminations				(19)		(19)		
Interest expense								148
Interest income and other (b)								7
Total consolidated	\$	981	\$		\$	981	\$	330
Six Months Ended June 30, 2007								
U.S. Transmission	\$	745	\$	2	\$	747	\$	443
Distribution	-	1,064	-		-	1,064	-	198
Western Canada Transmission & Processing		573				573		122
Field Services								205
Total reportable segments		2,382		2		2,384		968
Other		4		11		15		(41)
Eliminations				(13)		(13)		
Interest expense								311
Interest income and other (b)								9
Total consolidated	\$	2,386	\$		\$	2,386	\$	625

Six Months Ended June 30, 2006				
U.S. Transmission	\$ 778	\$ (10)	\$ 768	\$ 465
Distribution	1,085		1,085	157
Western Canada Transmission & Processing	602	(2)	600	171
Field Services				292
Total reportable segments	2,465	(12)	2,453	1,085
Other	1	31	32	(84)
Eliminations		(19)	(19)	
Interest expense				291
Interest income and other (b)				12
Total consolidated	\$ 2,466	\$	\$ 2,466	\$ 722

<sup>(</sup>a) Segment results exclude results of any discontinued operations.

<sup>(</sup>b) Other includes foreign currency transaction gains and losses, and additional minority interest expense not allocated to the segment results.

#### **Segment Assets**

	June 30, 2007	Dec	ember 31, 2006	
	(in 1	(in millions)		
U.S. Transmission	\$ 7,968	\$	7,611	
Distribution	4,692		4,420	
Western Canada Transmission & Processing	4,285		3,960	
Field Services	1,261		1,231	
Total reportable segments	18,206		17,222	
Other (a)	3,860		3,299	
Reclassifications (b)	(302)		(176)	
Total consolidated	\$ 21,764	\$	20,345	

- (a) Primarily goodwill associated with the acquisitions of Westcoast Energy, Inc. in 2002.
- (b) Primarily the netting of cash pools at the consolidated level, where appropriate.

#### 3. Discontinued Operations

In the second quarter of 2007, Spectra Energy recorded \$18 million of income (\$11 million, net of tax), classified as Income From Discontinued Operations, net of tax, in the Consolidated Statements of Operations, related to a settlement of the Sonatrach/Sonatrading Amsterdam B.V. (Sonatrading) 2001 arbitration proceeding. See Note 14 for further discussion.

In anticipation of the spin-off from Duke Energy, Spectra Capital implemented an internal reorganization in December 2006 in which the operations and assets of Spectra Capital that were not associated with the natural gas businesses were contributed by Spectra Capital to Duke Energy or its subsidiaries. Operations transferred include International Energy, Spectra Capital s effective 50% interest in Crescent and certain operations within Other, primarily Duke Energy Trading and Marketing, LLC, DukeNet Communications, LLC, Duke Energy Merchants, LLC and Spectra Capital s 50% interest in Duke/Fluor Daniel. In April 2006, Spectra Capital transferred its ownership interest in Duke Energy North America s (DENA s) Midwestern generation assets to a Duke Energy subsidiary. In addition, in 2005, Duke Energy s Board of Directors authorized and directed management to execute the sale or disposition of substantially all of former DENA s remaining assets and contracts outside the Midwestern United States and certain contractual positions related to the Midwestern assets. Spectra Energy does not anticipate significant continuing involvement in any of the businesses transferred to Duke Energy or sold to third parties. Therefore, the results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 for these operations have been reflected as discontinued operations in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. No gain or loss was recognized on the transfer of operations to Duke Energy as the transfers were among entities under common control. Information presented for 2006 in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows does not include any reclassifications or adjustments to amounts historically reported for these transferred businesses.

The following table summarizes the results classified as Income From Discontinued Operations, net of tax, in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

	Operating  Revenues		Earnings		Pre-tax Ex		Income Tax Expense (Benefit)		Income (Los From Discontinue Operations Net of Tax	
			`		million					
Three Months Ended June 30, 2007										
Other	\$		\$	18	\$	7	\$	11		
Total consolidated	\$		\$	18	\$	7	\$	11		
Three Months Ended June 30, 2006										
Commercial Power	\$	(1)	\$	(4)	\$	(2)	\$	(2)		
International Energy		250		20		28		(8)		
Crescent		85		168		65		103		
Other		240		(82)		(52)		(30)		
Total consolidated	\$	574	\$	102	\$	39	\$	63		
Six Months Ended June 30, 2007										
Other	\$		\$	18	\$	7	\$	11		
Total consolidated	\$		\$	18	\$	7	\$	11		
Six Months Ended June 30, 2006										
Commercial Power	\$	14	\$	(16)	\$	(1)	\$	(15)		
International Energy		481		76		52		24		
Crescent		156		203		78		125		
Other		720		(188)		(95)		(93)		
Total consolidated	\$ 1	,371	\$	75	\$	34	\$	41		

The following significant transactions of Spectra Energy, the impacts of which are included in Income From Discontinued Operations, net of tax on the Consolidated Statements of Operations, occurred during the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006.

**Acquisitions**. During the first quarter of 2006, International Energy closed on two transactions which resulted in the acquisition of an additional 27% interest in the Aguaytia Integrated Energy Project, located in Peru, for \$31 million (\$18 million net of cash acquired). Also during the first quarter of 2006, DENA acquired the remaining one-third interest in Bridgeport Energy LLC from United Bridgeport Energy LLC for \$71 million.

**Dispositions.** Transactions to sell or terminate certain of DENA s contract portfolio, including transportation contracts, during the first quarter of 2006 resulted in pre-tax losses of approximately \$160 million. Also during the first quarter of 2006, DENA paid cash consideration of \$600 million to Barclays, the purchaser of substantially all of the remaining commodity, energy marketing and management contracts of DENA, and Barclays returned to Spectra Capital the net cash collateral of \$540 million that had been posted by DENA. These net payments are reflected within Cash Flows from Operating Activities in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

In May 2006, a transaction to sell former DENA s fleet of power generation assets outside the Midwest to LS Power closed. Total proceeds from the sale were approximately \$1.6 billion.

For the three months ended June 30, 2006, Crescent s commercial and multi-family real estate sales resulted in \$165 million of proceeds that are included in Cash Flows from Investing Activities within the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and \$145 million of net pre-tax gains in Income From Discontinued Operations on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, Crescent s real estate sales resulted in \$221 million of proceeds and \$171 million of net pre-tax gains.

**Impairments.** In the first quarter of 2006, based on management s best estimate of recoverability, International Energy recorded a pre-tax allowance of \$19 million (\$12 million after tax) against a receivable due from the 2003 purchaser of International Energy s European operations. As a result of a settlement, a pre-tax write-up of the receivable of \$9 million (\$5 million after tax) was recorded in the second quarter of 2006 as a reduction in the valuation allowance. In July 2006, International Energy received the settlement proceeds.

In the second quarter of 2006, International Energy recorded a \$55 million other-than-temporary impairment charge related to an investment in Compañía de Servicios de Compresión de Campeche, S.A. de C.V. (Campeche), a natural gas compression facility in the Cantarell oil field in the Gulf of Mexico. Based on ongoing discussions with the Mexican National Oil Company at that time, it was determined that there was a limited future need for Campeche s gas compression services and that it was probable that the Campeche investment would ultimately be sold or the gas compression services agreement would be renewed for a significantly lower rate.

#### 4. Dispositions

There were no significant sales of assets during the six months ended June 30, 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, U.S.

Transmission s sale of certain Stone Mountain natural gas gathering system assets resulted in proceeds of \$18 million, reflected in Net Proceeds from the Sales of Equity Investments and Other Assets in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and a pre-tax gain of \$5 million which was recorded in Gains on Sales of Other Assets, net in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. Also in 2006, U.S.

Transmission s sale of certain stock received as consideration for the settlement of a customer s transportation contracts resulted in proceeds of \$24 million, reflected in Other Cash Flows from Operating Activities in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and a pre-tax gain of \$24 million, of which \$23 million was recorded in Gains on Sales of Other Assets, net in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. See Note 8 for further discussion.

#### 5. Income Taxes

Spectra Energy adopted the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation (FIN) No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, (FIN 48), on January 1, 2007. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, Spectra Energy recognized an increase of \$26 million in the liability for uncertain tax benefits, which was accounted for as a cumulative effect decrease to the January 1, 2007 balance of retained earnings. As of the date of adoption and after the impact of recognizing the increase in the liability noted above, Spectra Energy surrecognized tax benefits totaled \$75 million. Of this, \$59 million would reduce the annual effective income tax rate if recognized. No material increases or decreases in unrecognized tax benefits were recorded in the six months ended June 30, 2007.

Prior to January 1, 2007, Spectra Energy was included in the consolidated federal income tax return and certain combined and unitary state tax returns of Duke Energy. In connection with the spin-off, Spectra Energy indemnified Duke Energy for Spectra Energy s share of taxes on such returns. Accordingly, obligations of \$28 million for uncertain federal and state income tax positions for periods in which Spectra Energy was included in a Duke Energy consolidated, combined or unitary filing have been recorded as guarantee obligations under FIN 45, Guarantor s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others, an Interpretation of FASB Statements No. 5, 57 and 107 and Rescission of FASB Interpretation No. 34, within Other Deferred Credits on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2007. Spectra Energy has no liability to Duke Energy for federal income tax liabilities prior to 1999 and for state income tax liabilities prior to 1997 as those tax years have been closed.

Spectra Energy also files numerous returns in Canada and in a number of states where it is directly liable to the tax jurisdictions for tax assessments. Spectra Energy is no longer open to Canadian tax issues prior to 2001 or for state income tax matters prior to 1997 as those tax years have been closed. The Canadian tax authorities commenced audits of certain Canadian income tax returns in the first quarter of

2005 for tax years ranging from 2002 through 2004. To date, there are no proposed adjustments that will have a material impact on Spectra Energy s consolidated results of operations or financial position. Spectra Energy does not anticipate a change to the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits due to the settlement of audits or the expiration of statutes of limitations prior to June 30, 2008 that would be material to its consolidated financial position.

Spectra Energy recognizes potential accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits within its global operations as interest expense and as other expense, respectively. In conjunction with the adoption of FIN 48, Spectra Energy accrued \$13 million for the payment of cumulative interest and penalties at January 1, 2007.

The effective tax rate for income from continuing operations for the three months ended June 30, 2007 was 31.5% as compared to 22.1% for the same period in 2006. The lower effective tax rate in the prior period primarily relates to a reduction of \$30 million in tax expense created by a decrease in the unitary state tax rate resulting from Duke Energy s merger with Cinergy.

The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2007 was 32.6% as compared to 30.6% for the same period in 2006. The lower effective tax rate in the prior period primarily relates to the reduction in unitary state tax rate referred to above, partially offset by taxable foreign dividends realized in the 2006 first quarter as well as certain corporate and debt costs in the 2006 first quarter for which no tax benefit was received by Spectra Capital since there was not a tax-sharing arrangement in place with Duke Energy until April 2006.

### 6. Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income includes net income and all other non-owner changes in equity. Components of comprehensive income are presented below:

		Months June 30, 2006 (in mi	Six M Ended J 2007 llions)	Ionths June 30, 2006
Net income	\$ 196	\$ 320	\$ 432	\$ 542
Other comprehensive income				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	450	252	478	311
Reclassification into earnings from cash flow hedges (a)	1	5	1	19
Unrealized losses on cash flow hedges (b)		(7)		(7)
Transfer of taxes on net investment hedge and other hedges to Duke Capital		69		69
Transfer of Midwestern assets to Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company (c)		40		40
Other (d)		(7)		9
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	451	352	479	441
Total comprehensive income	\$ 647	\$ 672	\$ 911	\$ 983

<sup>(</sup>a) Net of \$7 million and \$12 million tax expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively.

<sup>(</sup>b) Net of \$10 million tax benefit for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2006.

<sup>(</sup>c) Net of \$24 million tax expense for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2006.

<sup>(</sup>d) Net of \$4 million tax benefit and \$4 million tax expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively.

#### 7. Earnings per Common Share

Basic earnings per common share (EPS) is computed by dividing earnings available for common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing earnings available for common stockholders by the diluted weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other agreements to issue common stock, such as stock options, stock-based performance unit awards and phantom stock awards, were exercised, settled or converted into common stock.

The following table presents Spectra Energy s basic and diluted EPS calculations and reconciles the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding to the diluted weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007.

		Average			
	Income	Shares (in millions, excep	per	arnings er Share	
		per-share amount	ts)		
Three Months Ended June 30, 2007					
Income from continuing operations basic	\$ 185	632	\$	0.29	
Effect of dilutive securities:					
Stock options and unvested stock		3			
Income from continuing operations diluted	\$ 185	635	\$	0.29	
Income from discontinued operations basic	\$ 11	632	\$	0.02	
Effect of dilutive securities:					
Stock options and unvested stock		3			
Income from discontinued operations diluted	\$ 11	635	\$	0.02	
Earnings per share basic and diluted	\$ 196		\$	0.31	
Six Months Ended June 30, 2007					
Income from continuing operations basic	\$ 421	631	\$	0.66	
Effect of dilutive securities:					
Stock options and unvested stock		4			
Income from continuing operations diluted	\$ 421	635	\$	0.66	
Income from discontinued operations basic	\$ 11	631	\$	0.02	
Effect of dilutive securities:					
Stock options and unvested stock		4			
Income from discontinued operations diluted	\$ 11	635	\$	0.02	
Earnings per share basic and diluted	\$ 432		\$	0.68	

Weighted-average shares outstanding and earnings per share data for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 are not presented since Spectra Capital, the predecessor entity of Spectra Energy for financial reporting purposes, was a wholly owned subsidiary of Duke Energy during 2006. As discussed in Note 1, approximately 631 million shares of Spectra Energy common stock were issued to Duke Energy shareholders on January 2, 2007 in connection with the spin-off.

Certain options and unvested stock awards related to approximately six million shares were not included in the effect of dilutive securities in the above table because either the option exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common shares during those periods, or performance measures related to the awards had not yet been met.

#### 8. Marketable Securities

On January 2, 2007, Duke Energy distributed to Spectra Energy certain corporate assets and liabilities, including \$96 million of marketable securities held in a grantor trust account associated with captive insurance losses of approximately the same amount transferred to Spectra Energy. These securities are classified as Other Assets and the associated insurance loss reserves are classified in Other Deferred Credits on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

In 2006, Spectra Energy held various debt and equity securities, including securities held in the captive insurance investment portfolio, that were classified as available-for-sale. In the aggregate, during the six-month period ended June 30, 2006, Spectra Energy purchased \$7,090 million of such securities and received proceeds on sales of \$7,432 million. At December 31, 2006, there were no available-for-sale securities held by Spectra Energy and there have been no purchases or sales of similar securities through the six months ended June 30, 2007.

During the first quarter of 2006, Spectra Energy s U.S. Transmission business unit received shares of stock as consideration for settlement of a customer s transportation contracts. The market value of the equity securities, determined by quoted market prices on the date of receipt, of approximately \$23 million is reflected in Gains on Sales of Other Assets, net in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Also during the first quarter of 2006, these securities were sold and an additional gain of \$1 million was recognized in Other Income and Expenses, net in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

#### 9. Inventory

Natural gas inventories primarily relate to the distribution business in Canada and are valued at costs approved by the OEB. The difference between the approved price and the actual cost of gas purchased is recorded in either accounts receivable or other current liabilities for future disposition with customers, subject to approval by the OEB. The remaining inventory is recorded at cost, primarily using average cost.

	June 30	, Dec	cember 31,
	2007		2006
		(in millio	ons)
Natural gas	\$ 151	\$	290
Materials and supplies	100		90
Petroleum products	27		17
Total inventory	\$ 278	\$	397

#### 10. Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates

Spectra Energy s most significant investment in unconsolidated affiliates is the 50% investment in DCP Midstream, which is accounted for under the equity method of accounting. The following represents summary financial information for DCP Midstream, presented at the 100% ownership level.

		Three Months Ended June 30,		Ionths June 30,
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Operating revenues	\$ 3,209	\$ 3,002	llions) \$ 6.099	\$ 6,311
Operating revenues Operating expenses	2.931	2,657	5.617	5,651
Operating income	278	345	482	660

Net income 245 299 409 590

18

#### 11. Goodwill

As a result of the change in reportable segments discussed in Note 2 resulting from the spin-off from Duke Energy, Spectra Energy has allocated the outstanding goodwill amounts between the new reportable segments as follows:

	June 30,	Dece	ember 31,
	2007		2006
	(in	millions	5)
U.S. Transmission	\$ 2,232	\$	2,098
Distribution	823		762
Western Canada Transmission & Processing	700		647
Total	\$ 3,755	\$	3,507

Changes in goodwill since December 31, 2006 primarily related to foreign currency translation impacts on the goodwill arising from the 2002 acquisition of Westcoast Energy, Inc.

#### 12. Debt and Credit Facilities

	Emination	Credit	Outstandi Commercial	ng as of Jun Letters	e 30, 2007
Credit Facilities Summary	Expiration Date	Facilities Capacity	Paper (in mil	of Credit llions)	Total
Spectra Energy Capital, LLC	May 2012	\$ 1,500(a)	\$ 715	\$ 16	\$ 731
Westcoast Energy, Inc.	June 2011	188(b)			
Union Gas Limited	July 2007	375(c)			
Total		\$ 2,063	\$ 715	\$ 16	\$ 731

<sup>(</sup>a) Credit facility contains a covenant that requires the debt-to-total capitalization ratio to not exceed 65%.

Spectra Capital entered into a new \$1.5 billion credit facility in May 2007 that replaced two existing facilities that totaled \$950 million. In July 2007, Union Gas replaced the existing \$400 million Canadian 364-day credit facility with a \$500 million Canadian five-year credit facility. Also in July 2007, in conjunction with the public offering associated with Spectra Energy Partners, LP (SEP) discussed further in Note 20, SEP entered into a five-year \$500 million facility that includes both term and revolving borrowing capacity. Obligations under the revolving portion of its credit facility are unsecured and the term borrowings are secured by qualifying investment grade securities in an amount equal to or greater than the outstanding principal amount of the loan.

Spectra Energy s debt and credit agreements contain various financial and other covenants. Failure to meet those covenants beyond applicable grace periods could result in accelerated maturity and/or termination of the agreements. As of June 30, 2007, Spectra Energy believes it was in compliance with those covenants. In addition, some agreements may allow for acceleration of payments or termination of the agreements due to an event of default of other significant indebtedness of the borrower or some of its subsidiaries. None of the debt or credit agreements contain material adverse change clauses.

<sup>(</sup>b) Credit facility is denominated in Canadian dollars totaling 200 million Canadian dollars and contains a covenant that requires the debt-to-total capitalization ratio to not exceed 75%.

<sup>(</sup>c) Credit facility is denominated in Canadian dollars totaling 400 million Canadian dollars and contains a covenant that requires the debt-to-total capitalization ratio to not exceed 75%. The facility also contains an option at maturity allowing for the conversion of all outstanding loans to a term loan repayable up to one year after maturity date, not to exceed 18 months from the date of draw.

Certain debt agreements of Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline L.P. (78% owned by Spectra Energy), of which \$244 million was outstanding at June 30, 2007, require that a deliverability report prepared by an Independent Reserve Engineer on the status of natural gas reserves be provided to the lender s collateral agent and note trustee prior to November 27, 2007. The reserve analysis is currently underway. Should this report demonstrate a sufficient level of natural gas reserves, no action will be required. If the report indicates an insufficient level of reserves, the

loan agreements require that certain amounts be escrowed until sufficient cash balances have accumulated to retire the full amount of the associated outstanding debt at maturity or until a later report is provided indicating that reserves are available in quantities sufficient to eliminate the need to maintain such amounts in escrow.

#### 13. Regulatory Matters

*Union Gas.* In April 2007, Union Gas applied to the OEB for the annual disposition of its non-commodity deferral account balances including the proposed disposition of \$11 million in earnings sharing reported for 2006. A hearing was completed on July 20, 2007 with a decision expected later this year. Commodity-related deferral account balances are addressed quarterly through a separate OEB process.

On November 7, 2006, Union Gas received a decision from the OEB on the regulation of rates for gas storage services in Ontario. The OEB determined that it would not regulate the rates for storage services to customers outside Union Gas franchise area or the rates for new storage services to customers within its franchise area. Since the issuance of the decision, five parties who participated in the storage regulation proceeding have appealed various aspects of the decision to the OEB. The OEB heard submissions during a hearing held in March 2007 regarding whether those parties had met the threshold for an appeal review. In May 2007, the OEB determined that only two of the storage regulation issues were eligible for review. A final decision on the two issues was rendered by the OEB on July 30, 2007. No changes were made to the OEB s original decision.

In June 2007, four parties petitioned the Lieutenant Governor in Council (LGIC) of Ontario to direct the OEB to review and change this decision. Submissions to the LGIC on these petitions from other parties were made at the end of July 2007. The Attorney General of Ontario has set August 13, 2007 as the date for any responding submissions from the four petitioning parties. The timing of a response from the LGIC, if any, cannot be determined. Union Gas continues to act in accordance with the OEB s forbearance decision.

In May 2007, at the request of the OEB, Union Gas filed an application to set rates effective January 1, 2008 and beyond under a multi-year incentive regulation framework. The incentive regulation framework would establish new rates at the beginning of each year through the use of a pricing formula rather than through the examination of revenue and cost forecasts. For 2008, the pricing formula requested by Union Gas would increase rates by an average of 1.84%. The OEB intends to conduct a proceeding later this year to establish the incentive regulation frameworks for both Union Gas and Enbridge Gas Distribution (an unaffiliated company).

#### 14. Commitments and Contingencies

#### **Environmental**

Spectra Energy is subject to international, federal, state and local regulations regarding air and water quality, hazardous and solid waste disposal and other environmental matters. These regulations can be changed from time to time, imposing new obligations on Spectra Energy.

Remediation activities. Like others in the energy industry, Spectra Energy and its affiliates are responsible for environmental remediation at various contaminated sites. These include some properties that are part of ongoing Spectra Energy operations, sites formerly owned or used by Spectra Energy entities, and sites owned by third parties. Remediation typically involves management of contaminated soils and may involve groundwater remediation. Managed in conjunction with relevant international, federal, state/provincial and local agencies, activities vary with site conditions and locations, remedial requirements, complexity and sharing of responsibility. If remediation activities involve statutory joint and several liability provisions, strict liability, or cost recovery or contribution actions, Spectra Energy or its affiliates could potentially be held responsible for contamination caused by other parties. In some instances, Spectra Energy may share liability associated with contamination with other potentially responsible parties, and may also benefit from insurance policies or contractual indemnities that cover some or all cleanup costs. All of these sites generally are managed in the normal course of business or affiliate operations. Management believes that completion or resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on Spectra Energy s consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows

Extended Environmental Activities, Accruals. Included in Other Current Liabilities and Other Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets were accruals related to extended environmental-related activities totaling \$21 million at both June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006. These accruals represent Spectra Energy s provisions for costs associated with remediation activities at some of its current and former sites, as well as other relevant environmental contingent liabilities. Management believes that completion or resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on Spectra Energy s consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

#### Litigation

In connection with the transfer of certain businesses from Spectra Energy to Duke Energy in December 2006 as described in Note 3, certain litigation matters that had previously involved Spectra Energy were transferred to Duke Energy. Spectra Energy does not have any future exposure or obligations related to such matters, and accordingly, such matters are not discussed below.

Sonatrach/Sonatrading Arbitration. In an arbitration proceeding that commenced in January 2001 in London, England, Duke Energy LNG Sales Inc., now Spectra Energy LNG Sales Inc., (Spectra Energy LNG), claimed that Sonatrach, the Algerian state-owned energy company, together with its subsidiary Sonatrading, breached their shipping obligations under a liquefied natural gas (LNG) purchase agreement and related transportation agreements (the LNG Agreements) relating to Spectra Energy LNG s purchase of LNG from Algeria and its transportation by LNG tanker to Lake Charles, Louisiana. Spectra Energy LNG sought damages of approximately \$27 million. Sonatrading and Sonatrach claimed that Spectra Energy LNG had repudiated the LNG Agreements by allegedly failing to diligently perform LNG marketing obligations. Sonatrading and Sonatrach sought damages of approximately \$250 million. In 2003, the arbitration tribunal issued a Partial Award on liability issues and found that Sonatrach and Sonatrading breached their obligations to provide shipping. The tribunal also found that Spectra Energy LNG breached the LNG Purchase Agreement by failing to perform marketing obligations. A hearing on damages was concluded in March 2006, and the tribunal issued its award on damages on November 30, 2006. Spectra Energy LNG s rights and obligations pertaining to this matter were retained by Spectra Energy in connection with the spin-off in January 2007. In the second quarter of 2007, the parties reached a settlement agreement on claims which accrued on or prior to May 24, 2002. Under the settlement agreement, Spectra Energy LNG received \$18 million, which was recorded as \$11 million of Income From Discontinued Operations, net of tax in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The parties continue settlement discussions that address Spectra Energy LNG s claims for the period after May 24, 2002.

Duke Energy Retirement Cash Balance Plan. A class action lawsuit was filed in federal court in South Carolina against Duke Energy and the Duke Energy Retirement Cash Balance Plan. Six causes of action are alleged, including violations of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) and the Age Discrimination in Employment Act. These allegations arise out of the conversion of the Duke Power Company Employees Retirement Plan into the Duke Power Company Retirement Cash Balance Plan. The plaintiffs seek to represent present and former participants in the Duke Energy Retirement Cash Balance Plan. This group is estimated to include approximately 36,000 persons. Duke Energy filed its answer in March 2006. A second class action lawsuit was filed in federal court in South Carolina, alleging similar claims and seeking to represent the same class of defendants. The second case has been voluntarily dismissed, without prejudice. In connection with the spin-off from Duke Energy in January 2007, Spectra Energy has agreed to share any liabilities or damages associated with this matter with Duke Energy. It is not possible to predict with certainty whether Spectra Energy will incur any liability or to estimate the damages, if any, that might be incurred in connection with this matter.

Other Litigation and Legal Proceedings. Spectra Energy and its subsidiaries are involved in other legal, tax and regulatory proceedings in various forums arising in the ordinary course of business, including matters regarding contract, royalty, measurement and payment claims, some of which involve substantial monetary amounts. Spectra Energy has insurance coverage for certain of these losses should they be incurred. Management believes that the final disposition of these proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on Spectra Energy s consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Spectra Energy has exposure to certain legal matters that are described herein. Spectra Energy had recorded reserves of \$100 million as of December 31, 2006 related to certain litigation matters that were resolved and paid in January 2007, and had no material reserves as of June 30, 2007. These reserves represent management s best estimate of probable loss as defined by SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies.

Legal costs related to the defense of loss contingencies are expensed as incurred.

#### **Other Commitments and Contingencies**

Spectra Energy Islander East Pipeline Company, LLC (Spectra Islander), a wholly owned subsidiary, is a 50% equity partner in the Islander East pipeline project, a proposed pipeline that would connect natural gas supplies to markets on Long Island, New York beginning in late 2008. This project has received FERC and other approvals but has been denied a Section 401 Water Quality Certificate by the State of Connecticut and is the subject of an appeal before the 2<sup>nd</sup> Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals. Oral arguments on the appeal were heard in April 2007. Management believes that there are sufficient factual and legal bases supporting Spectra Islander s position that the State s denial of certificate was improper. However, if the State s position is ultimately upheld, Islander East may be unable to proceed with the project as it is currently configured. Subject to the outcome of the appeal and other factors, management expects to be able to decide whether to proceed with the project as currently configured during the third or fourth quarter of 2007. As of June 30, 2007, Islander East, owned 50% by Spectra Islander, had incurred and capitalized cumulative development costs of approximately \$66 million. Algonquin Gas Transmission, LLC (Algonquin), a wholly owned subsidiary, also has a companion project, the AGT Islander East Lease Project. As of June 30, 2007 Algonquin had incurred and capitalized cumulative development costs of approximately \$20 million associated with the AGT Islander East Lease Project. Management expects that certain of the development costs incurred to date, primarily purchased materials, could be utilized by other capital projects of Spectra Energy.

As part of its normal business, Spectra Energy is a party to various financial guarantees, performance guarantees and other contractual commitments to extend guarantees of credit and other assistance to various subsidiaries, investees and other third parties. To varying degrees, these guarantees involve elements of performance and credit risk, which are not included on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The possibility of Spectra Energy having to honor its contingencies is largely dependent upon future operations of various subsidiaries, investees and other third parties, or the occurrence of certain future events. See Note 15 for further discussion.

#### 15. Guarantees and Indemnifications

Spectra Energy and its subsidiaries have various financial and performance guarantees and indemnifications which are issued in the normal course of business. As discussed below, these contracts include performance guarantees, stand-by letters of credit, debt guarantees, surety bonds and indemnifications. Spectra Energy and its subsidiaries enter into these arrangements to facilitate a commercial transaction with a third party by enhancing the value of the transaction to the third party.

Spectra Capital has issued performance guarantees to customers and other third parties that guarantee the payment and performance of other parties, including certain non-wholly owned entities. In connection with the spin-off of Spectra Energy to Duke Energy shareholders, certain guarantees that were previously issued by Spectra Capital were assigned to, or replaced by, Duke Energy in 2006. For any remaining guarantees of other Duke Energy obligations, Duke Energy has indemnified Spectra Energy against any losses incurred under these guarantee arrangements.

The maximum potential amount of future payments Spectra Capital could have been required to make under these performance guarantees of non-wholly owned entities and third-party entities as of June 30, 2007 was approximately \$878 million, of which approximately \$478 million has been indemnified by Duke Energy, as discussed above. Approximately \$44 million of the total performance guarantees will expire between July 1, 2007 and 2009, with the remaining performance guarantees expiring after 2009 or having no contractual expiration. See also Note 5 for further discussion regarding income tax matters between Spectra Energy and Duke Energy.

Additionally, Spectra Capital has issued joint and several guarantees to some of the Duke/Fluor Daniel (D/FD) project owners, guaranteeing the performance of D/FD under its engineering, procurement and construction contracts and other contractual commitments. Substantially all of these guarantees have no contractual expiration and no stated maximum amount of future payments that Spectra Capital could be required to make. Fluor Enterprises Inc., as 50% owner in D/FD, has issued similar joint and several guarantees to the same D/FD project owners. In accordance with the D/FD partnership agreement, each of the partners is responsible for 50% of any payments to be made under those guarantees.

Westcoast Energy, Inc. (Westcoast), a wholly owned subsidiary, has issued performance guarantees to third parties guaranteeing the performance of unconsolidated entities, such as equity method investments, and of entities previously sold by Westcoast to third parties. These guarantees require Westcoast to make payment to the guaranteed third party upon the failure of such unconsolidated or sold entity to make payment under some of its contractual obligations, such as debt, purchase contracts and leases. Certain guarantees that were previously issued by Westcoast for obligations of entities that remained a part of Duke Energy are considered guarantees of third-party performance, however, Duke Energy has indemnified Spectra Energy against any losses incurred under these guarantee arrangements.

The maximum potential amount of future payments Westcoast could have been required to make under these performance guarantees of non-wholly owned entities and third-party entities as of June 30, 2007 was \$103 million, of which \$40 million has been indemnified by Duke Energy, as discussed above. Of the total Westcoast amount, \$18 million relates to guarantees associated with the debt at Maritimes & Northeast Limited Partnership, a non-wholly owned consolidated entity. Approximately \$10 million of the guarantees expire in 2007, with the remainder expiring after 2009 or having no contractual expiration.

Spectra Energy uses bank-issued stand-by letters of credit to secure the performance of non-wholly owned entities to a third party or customer. Under these arrangements, Spectra Energy has payment obligations to the issuing bank which are triggered by a draw by the third party or customer due to the failure of the non-wholly owned entity to perform according to the terms of its underlying contract. The maximum potential amount of future payments Spectra Energy could have been required to make under these letters of credit was \$16 million as of June 30, 2007.

Spectra Energy has entered into various indemnification agreements related to purchase and sale agreements and other types of contractual agreements with vendors and other third parties. These

agreements typically cover environmental, tax, litigation and other matters, as well as breaches of representations, warranties and covenants. Typically, claims may be made by third parties for various periods of time, depending on the nature of the claim. Spectra Energy s potential exposure under these indemnification agreements can range from a specified amount, such as the purchase price, to an unlimited dollar amount, depending on the nature of the claim and the particular transaction. Spectra Energy is unable to estimate the total potential amount of future payments under these indemnification agreements due to several factors, such as the unlimited exposure under certain guarantees.

At June 30, 2007, the amounts recorded for the guarantees and indemnifications described above, including the indemnifications by Duke Energy to Spectra Energy, are not material, both individually and in the aggregate.

#### 16. Stock-Based Compensation

Spectra Energy accounts for stock-based awards under the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment, which establishes accounting for stock-based awards exchanged for employee and certain non-employee services. Accordingly, for employee awards, equity classified stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period.

#### Impact of Spin-off on Equity Compensation Awards of Employees

In connection with the spin-off, on December 19, 2006, Spectra Energy adopted, and Duke Energy as its sole stockholder prior to the spin-off approved, the Spectra Energy Corp 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 2007 LTIP). The 2007 LTIP provides for the granting of stock options, restricted stock awards and units, unrestricted stock awards and units, and other equity-based awards, to employees and other key individuals who perform services for Spectra Energy. Terms and key provisions of the 2007 LTIP are substantially similar to the terms of the Duke Energy 2006 Long-term Incentive Plan. A maximum of 30 million shares of common stock may be awarded under the 2007 LTIP.

Options granted under the 2007 LTIP are issued with exercise prices equal to the fair market value of Spectra Energy common stock on the grant date, have 10-year terms, and vest immediately or over terms not to exceed five years. Compensation expense related to stock options is recognized over the requisite service period. The requisite service period for stock options is the same as the vesting period, with the exception of retirement eligible employees, who have shorter requisite service periods ending when the employees become retirement eligible.

Restricted, performance and phantom stock awards granted under the 2007 LTIP typically become 100% vested on the three-year anniversary of the grant date. The fair value of the awards granted is measured based on the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant, and the related compensation expense is recognized over the requisite service period which is the same as the vesting period.

At the time of the spin-off, Duke Energy converted stock options, restricted stock awards, performance awards and phantom stock awards (collectively, Stock-Based Awards) on Duke Energy common stock held by Duke Energy and Spectra Energy employees. One replacement Duke Energy Stock-Based Award and one-half Spectra Energy Stock-Based Award were distributed to each holder of Duke Energy Stock-Based Awards for each award held at the time of the spin-off. In the case of stock options, in accordance with the separation agreements, the option price conversion was based on the pre-distribution Duke Energy closing price of \$19.14 relative to the Spectra Energy when-issued closing price of \$28.62 on January 3, 2007. The revised awards therefore maintained both the pre-conversion aggregate intrinsic value of each award and the ratio of the exercise price per share to the fair market value per share. Substantially all converted Stock-Based Awards are subject to the terms and conditions applicable to the original Duke Energy stock options, restricted stock awards, performance awards and phantom stock awards. The Spectra Energy Stock-Based Awards resulting from the conversion are considered to have been issued under the 2007 LTIP.

The conversion of Duke Energy stock awards to Spectra Energy stock awards constituted a modification of those stock awards under the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R). However, under the provisions of FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. 123(R)-5, since the modification was made to stock awards issued to employees for instruments that were originally issued as compensation and then modified, and that modification was made to the terms of the instrument solely to reflect an equity restructuring that occurs when the holders are no longer employees, no change in the recognition or the measurement (due to a change in classification) of those instruments occurred as (a) there was no increase in fair value of the awards (the holders were made whole) and (b) all holders of the same class of equity instruments (for example, stock options) were treated in the same manner.

After the spin-off, Spectra Energy receives all cash proceeds related to the exercise of Spectra Energy stock options held by Duke Energy employees; however, Duke Energy will recognize all associated expense and resulting tax benefits relating to such stock options. Similarly, Spectra Energy will recognize all associated expense and tax benefits relating to Duke Energy awards held by Spectra Energy employees. Spectra Energy recognizes compensation expense, receives all cash proceeds and retains all tax benefits relating to Spectra Energy awards held by Spectra Energy employees.

Stock-based compensation expense follows, the components of which are further described below:

		Three Months Ended June 30,			Month d June		
	2007	200	06 (a) 2007		200	6 (a)	
		(in millions)					
Stock options	\$ 2	\$	1	\$3	\$	2	
Stock appreciation rights						1	
Phantom stock	2		9	3		13	
Performance awards	2		5	3		9	
Total	\$ 6	\$	15	\$9	\$	25	

(a) Allocated from Duke Energy and includes amounts recognized in Income From Discontinued Operations, net of tax on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

	Options (in thousands)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Life (in years)	Aggre Intrinsi (in mil	c Value
Stock Option Activity					
Outstanding at December 31, 2006 (a)	13,466	\$ 25	4.3	\$	75
Granted	2,163	26	9.7		
Exercised	(470)	19			
Forfeited or expired	(172)	31			
Outstanding at June 30, 2007	14,987	25	5.3		50
Exercisable at June 30, 2007	12,844	25	4.6		50

<sup>(</sup>a) Represents the Spectra Energy stock awards resulting from the spin-off conversion ratio, currently held by both Duke Energy and Spectra Energy employees, and reflects the related adjustments to the associated exercise prices.

As of June 30, 2007, Spectra Energy expects to recognize \$14 million of future compensation cost related to stock options over a weighted-average period of three years.

#### **Performance Awards**

Stock-based performance awards outstanding as of the spin-off of Spectra Energy generally vest over three years. Vesting for certain converted stock-based performance awards can occur in three years, at the earliest, if performance is met. The unvested and outstanding performance awards granted contain market conditions based on the total shareholder return (TSR) of Duke Energy stock relative to a pre-defined peer group (relative TSR). Coincident with the spin-off, each outstanding Duke Energy Performance award was converted into a Spectra Energy Performance Share and a Duke Energy Performance Share. Measurement of the TSR will now be based upon the two equity components, weighted 50% each, consisting of Duke Energy common stock and Spectra Energy common stock, using the post-distribution Duke Energy stock price and the post-distribution Spectra Energy stock price, respectively, as the basis of measurement.

In addition to the conversion of the Duke Energy stock options noted above, Spectra Energy granted 2,162,600 non-qualified stock options (fair value of approximately \$16 million based on a Black-Scholes model valuation) during the six months ended June 30, 2007. Under the terms of the LTIP, the exercise price of a non-qualified stock option shall not be less than 100% of the fair market value of Spectra Energy common stock on the date of grant, and the maximum option term is 10 years. The options issued in 2007 vest ratably over three years. Spectra Energy issues new shares upon exercising or vesting of share-based awards.

The following table summarizes information about outstanding stock-based performance awards:

Weighted-Average Grant

	Shares	Date Fair Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2006 (a)	2,063,067	\$ 23
Vested	(715,224)	22
Forfeited	(84,302)	22
Outstanding at June 30, 2007	1,263,541	23

<sup>(</sup>a) Represents the Spectra Energy stock awards resulting from the spin-off conversion ratio, currently held by both Duke Energy and Spectra Energy employees.

The total fair value of the shares vested during the six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$16 million. As of June 30, 2007, Spectra Energy expects to recognize \$5 million of future compensation cost related to performance awards over a weighted-average period of less than one year.

#### **Phantom Stock Awards**

Phantom stock awards outstanding as of the spin-off generally vest over periods from immediate to five years.

Stock-based phantom awards granted under the 2007 LTIP generally vest over three years. Spectra Energy awarded 352,000 phantom awards (fair value of approximately \$9 million) to employees of Spectra Energy during the six months ended June 30, 2007. The total fair value of the shares vested during the six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$13 million. As of June 30, 2007, Spectra Energy expects to recognize \$13 million of future compensation cost related to phantom stock awards over a weighted-average period of three years.

The following table summarizes information about outstanding phantom stock awards:

Weighted-Average Grant

	Shares	Date Fair Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2006 (a)	1,338,431	\$ 27
Granted	352,000	26
Vested	(536,625)	24
Forfeited	(25,767)	24
Outstanding at June 30, 2007	1,128,039	28

<sup>(</sup>a) Represents the Spectra Energy stock awards resulting from the spin-off conversion ratio, currently held by both Duke Energy and Spectra Energy employees.

#### Other Stock Awards

Other stock awards outstanding as of the spin-off of Spectra Energy generally vest over periods from three to five years.

The following table summarizes information about outstanding other stock awards:

	Shares	 Average Grant air Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2006 (a)	213,252	\$ 27
Vested	(22,852)	23
Forfeited	(17,684)	27
Outstanding at June 30, 2007	172,716	28

<sup>(</sup>a) Represents the Spectra Energy stock awards resulting from the spin-off conversion ratio, currently held by both Duke Energy and Spectra Energy employees.

The total fair value of the shares vested during the six months ended June 30, 2007 was less than \$1 million. As of June 30, 2007, Spectra Energy expects to recognize less than \$1 million of future compensation cost related to other stock awards over a weighted-average period of one year.

#### 17. Post-retirement Benefit Obligations

**Retirement Plans.** Up until the January 2, 2007 spin-off of the natural gas businesses by Duke Energy, Spectra Energy and its U.S. subsidiaries participated in Duke Energy s qualified non-contributory defined benefit retirement plan. The plan covered U.S. employees using a cash balance formula. In addition, Spectra Energy s Westcoast subsidiary maintains retirement plans that cover substantially all employees of Spectra Energy s Canadian operations.

Effective with the separation from Duke Energy, Spectra Energy established a new non-contributory defined benefit retirement plan for its U.S. employees. Benefits provided are substantially the same as those previously provided by Duke Energy. In accordance with the separation agreement with Duke Energy, net plan assets of \$49 million were transferred to Spectra Energy. The preliminary allocation transferred \$58 million as of January 2, 2007. However, \$9 million of plan assets were returned to Duke Energy in the second quarter of 2007 following the actuaries final separation analysis.

The following tables show the components of the net periodic pension costs for Spectra Energy s U.S. and Canadian retirement plans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, and the Canadian retirement plans for three and six months ended June 30, 2006. Spectra Energy s net periodic pension benefit as allocated by Duke Energy for its U.S. plans was \$3 million and \$7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively.

## Components of Net Periodic Pension Costs: Qualified Pension Benefits (Income)

		Months June 30, 2006	ne 30, Ended J		
U.S.		(111 1111	iliolis)		
Service cost	\$ 2	n/a	\$ 5	n/a	
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	7	n/a	13	n/a	
Expected return on plan assets	(9)	n/a	(18)	n/a	
Amortization of loss	2	n/a	3	n/a	
Net periodic pension costs	\$ 2	n/a	\$ 3	n/a	
Canada					
Service cost	\$ 3	\$ 3	\$ 7	\$ 6	
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	9	8	17	16	
Expected return on plan assets	(10)	(8)	(20)	(16)	
Amortization of loss	2	3	4	5	
Net periodic pension costs	\$ 4	\$ 6	\$ 8	\$ 11	

n/a indicates not applicable

## Components of Net Periodic Pension Costs: Non-Qualified Pension Benefits

	Three			
	Ended <b>2007</b>	June 30, 2006 (in m		June 30, 2006
U.S.				
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	\$ 1	n/a	\$ 1	n/a
Amortization of loss		n/a		n/a
Net periodic pension costs	\$ 1	n/a	\$ 1	n/a
Canada				
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 2	\$ 2
Amortization of loss			1	1
Net periodic pension costs	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 3	\$ 3

In accordance with the separation agreement, liabilities associated with various executive retirement and savings plans totaling \$52 million (including \$18 million for the U.S. non-qualified pension plan) were transferred to Spectra Energy upon separation from Duke Energy. The preliminary allocation transferred was \$48 million (including \$14 million for the U.S. non-qualified pension plan) as of January 2, 2007; however, an additional \$4 million for the U.S. non-qualified pension plan was transferred in the second quarter of 2007 following the actuaries final separation analysis.

Spectra Energy s policy is to fund amounts for U.S. retirement plans on an actuarial basis to provide assets sufficient to meet benefits to be paid to plan participants. Spectra Energy did not make contributions to its U.S. retirement plan in the six months ended June 30, 2007 and does not

anticipate making any contribution to the U.S. retirement plan for the remainder of 2007.

Spectra Energy s policy is to fund its defined benefit (DB) retirement plans in Canada on an actuarial basis and in accordance with Canadian pension standards legislation in order to accumulate assets sufficient to meet benefit payments. Contributions to the defined contribution (DC) retirement plans are determined

in accordance with the terms of the plans. Spectra Energy contributed \$11 million to the Canadian DB plans during each of the three-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, and \$21 million during each of the six month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. Spectra Energy anticipates that it will make total contributions of approximately \$43 million to the Canadian DB plans in 2007. Spectra Energy contributed \$1 million to the Canadian DC plans during each of the three-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, and \$2 million during each of the six-month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. Spectra Energy anticipates that it will make total contributions of approximately \$4 million to the Canadian DC plans in 2007.

Other Post-Retirement Benefit Plans. Spectra Energy and most of its subsidiaries provide certain health care and life insurance benefits for retired employees on a contributory and non-contributory basis. Employees are eligible for these benefits if they have met age and service requirements at retirement, as defined in the plans. In accordance with the separation agreement, \$194 million in liabilities associated with other post-retirement benefits were transferred to Spectra Energy upon separation from Duke Energy. The preliminary allocation transferred \$181 million in the first quarter of 2007; however, an additional \$13 million was transferred in the second quarter of 2007 following the actuaries final separation analysis.

The following table shows the components of the net periodic post-retirement benefit costs for Spectra Energy s U.S. and Canadian other post-retirement benefit plans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, and the net periodic post-retirement benefit costs for the Canadian plans for three and six months ended June 30, 2006. Spectra Energy s net periodic post-retirement benefit costs as allocated by Duke Energy for its U.S. plans was \$5 million and \$10 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively.

#### **Components of Net Periodic Post-Retirement Benefit Costs**

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 2006		Six M Ended J 2007	onths June 30, 2006
		(in mi	illions)	
U.S.				
Interest cost on accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation	\$ 4	n/a	\$8	n/a
Expected return on plan assets	(1)	n/a	(2)	n/a
Amortization of net transition liability	1	n/a	2	n/a
Amortization of prior service cost		n/a	(1)	n/a
Amortization of loss	1	n/a	2	n/a
Net periodic post-retirement benefit costs	\$ 5	n/a	\$ 9	n/a
Canada				
Service cost benefit	\$	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 2
Interest cost on accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation	1	2	2	3
Amortization of loss				1
	ф <b>1</b>	ф 2	Ф 2	Φ
Net periodic post-retirement benefit costs	\$ 1	\$ 3	\$ 3	\$ 6

n/a indicates not applicable

#### 18. Separation from Duke Energy

Spectra Energy and Duke Energy entered into various agreements associated with the separation of the natural gas businesses and related rights, obligations and assets from Duke Energy. As a result of the separation that was effective on January 2, 2007, Spectra Energy and Duke Energy are no longer considered to be related parties.

On January 2, 2007, Duke Energy transferred to Spectra Energy the assets and liabilities, including related tax impacts, associated with Spectra Energy s employee benefits and captive insurance positions, as well as miscellaneous corporate assets and liabilities. The net impact of these non-cash transfers is reflected as a decrease of \$3 million to Additional Paid-in Capital and a decrease of \$100 million to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in the Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity as of June 30, 2007. The following summarizes the impact on the Consolidated Balance Sheet in 2007 as a result of the transfers:

Increase (Decrease) to Equity	(in n	nillions)
Receivables	\$	(9)
Other assets		182
Taxes accrued		(5)
Other current liabilities		(65)
Deferred income taxes		83
Other liabilities		(289)
Net equity decrease	\$	(103)

See also Notes 8 and 17 for further discussion of captive insurance and employee benefit plans. Certain of these transfers from Duke Energy are subject to change, primarily as a result of final actuarial analyses of the separation of the benefit plans.

Subsidiaries of Spectra Energy and Duke Energy continue to conduct business pursuant to ongoing contracts and arrangements in the normal course of those operations, primarily services for the transportation of natural gas. In addition, as a result of the separation from Duke Energy, Spectra Energy, primarily through Spectra Capital, has newly staffed various corporate and other support functions, such as treasury, tax, cash management, payroll, accounts payable, information technology, human resources, and legal and compliance that are required for Spectra Energy to operate as a stand-alone public company. Primarily during the first year following the separation, it is expected that Duke Energy will provide certain transition services to Spectra Energy until Spectra Energy can create all of the necessary stand-alone functions. The Duke Energy corporate costs included in Spectra Capital s historical financial statements are being replaced in 2007 by Spectra Energy s independent operating costs, including the new corporate functions, and also includes transition service fees paid to Duke Energy pursuant to the transition service arrangements that are expected to occur primarily in 2007. Such transition fees totaled \$3 million in the three months ended June 30, 2007 and \$6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2007.

# 19. New Accounting Pronouncements

The following new accounting pronouncements were adopted by Spectra Energy during the periods presented subsequent to June 30, 2006:

SFAS No. 158, Employer s Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R). In October 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, which changes the recognition and disclosure provisions and measurement date requirements for an employer s accounting for defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans. The recognition and disclosure provisions require an employer to (1) recognize the funded status of a benefit plan, measured as the difference between plan assets at fair value and the benefit obligation, in its statement of financial position, (2) recognize as a component of Other Comprehensive Income (OCI), net of tax, the

gains or losses and prior service costs or credits that arise during the period but are not recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost, and (3) disclose in the notes to financial statements certain additional information. SFAS No. 158 does not change the amounts recognized in the income statement as net periodic benefit cost. Spectra Energy was required to initially recognize the funded status of its defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans and to provide the required additional disclosures as of December 31, 2006. Retrospective application is not permitted. The adoption of SFAS No. 158 recognition and disclosure provisions resulted in an increase in total assets of approximately \$21 million (consisting of an increase in deferred tax assets of \$27 million, offset by a decrease in intangible assets of \$6 million), an increase in total liabilities of approximately \$69 million and an increase in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, net of tax, of approximately \$48 million as of December 31, 2006.

Under the measurement date requirements of SFAS No. 158, an employer is required to measure defined benefit plan assets and obligations as of the date of the employer's fiscal year-end statement of financial position (with limited exceptions). Historically, Spectra Energy measured its plan assets and obligations up to three months prior to the fiscal year-end as allowed under the authoritative accounting literature. The measurement date requirement is effective for the year ending December 31, 2008, and early application is encouraged. Spectra Energy adopted the change in measurement date effective January 1, 2007 by re-measuring plan assets and benefit obligations as of that date pursuant to the transition requirements of SFAS No. 158. Net periodic benefit cost for the three-month period between September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2006 was recognized, net of tax, as a separate adjustment of retained earnings as of January 1, 2007. Additionally, changes in plan assets and plan obligations between September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2006 not related to net periodic benefit cost were recognized, net of tax, as an adjustment to OCI.

FIN 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. In July 2006, the FASB issued FIN 48, which provides guidance on accounting for income tax positions about which Spectra Energy has concluded there is a level of uncertainty with respect to the recognition in its financial statements. FIN 48 prescribes a minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet. Tax positions are defined very broadly and include not only tax deductions and credits but also decisions not to file in a particular jurisdiction, as well as the taxability of transactions. Spectra Energy implemented FIN 48 effective January 1, 2007. As discussed further in Note 5, the implementation resulted in a cumulative effect decrease of \$26 million to beginning Retained Earnings on the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive Income. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, Spectra Energy reflects interest expense related to taxes as Interest Expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. In addition, subsequent accounting for FIN 48 (after January 1, 2007) will involve an evaluation to determine if any changes have occurred that would impact the existing uncertain tax positions as well as determining whether any new tax positions are uncertain. Any impacts resulting from the evaluation of existing uncertain tax positions on consolidated or combined tax returns filed by Duke Energy which are now indemnified by Spectra Energy, were recorded as payables to Duke Energy.

FSP No. FAS 123(R)-5, Amendment of FASB Staff Position FAS 123(R)-1. In October 2006, the FASB staff issued FSP No. FAS 123-5 to address whether a modification of an instrument in connection with an equity restructuring should be considered a modification for purposes of applying FSP No. FAS 123(R)-1, Classification and Measurement of Freestanding Financial Instruments Originally Issued in Exchange for Employee Services under FASB Statement No. 123(R) (FSP No. FAS 123(R)-1). In August 2005, the FASB issued FSP FAS 123(R)-1 to defer indefinitely the effective date of paragraphs A230 A232 of SFAS No. 123(R), and thereby require entities to apply the recognition and measurement provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) throughout the life of an instrument, unless the instrument is modified when the holder is no longer an employee. The recognition and measurement of an instrument that is modified when the holder is no longer an employee should be determined by other applicable generally accepted accounting principles. FSP No. FAS 123(R)-5 addresses modifications of stock-based awards made in connection with an equity restructuring and clarifies that for instruments that were originally issued as employee compensation and then modified, and that modification is made to the terms of the instrument solely to reflect an equity restructuring that occurs when the holders are no longer employees,

no change in the recognition or the measurement (due to a change in classification) of those instruments will result if certain conditions are met. The guidance in this FSP was effective for Spectra Energy as of January 1, 2007. The adoption of FSP No. FAS 123(R)-5 did not have a material impact on consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

FSP FIN 48-1 Definition of Settlement in FASB Interpretation No. 48. In May 2007, the FASB issued FSP FIN 48-1 to provide guidance on how an enterprise should determine whether a tax position is effectively settled for the purpose of recognizing previously unrecognized tax benefits. The guidance in this FSP was effective for Spectra Energy as of January 1, 2007. The adoption of FSP FIN 48-1 did not have a material impact on consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

The following new accounting pronouncements have been issued, but have not yet been adopted by Spectra Energy as of June 30, 2007:

SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements. However, in some cases, the application of SFAS No. 157 may change Spectra Energy s current practice for measuring and disclosing fair values under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. For Spectra Energy, SFAS No. 157 is effective as of January 1, 2008 and must be applied prospectively except in certain cases. Spectra Energy is currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS No. 157 and cannot currently estimate the impact that SFAS No. 157 will have on its consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities. In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, which permits entities to choose to measure certain financial instruments at fair value. For Spectra Energy, SFAS No. 159 is effective as of January 1, 2008 and will have no impact on amounts presented for periods prior to the effective date. Spectra Energy cannot currently estimate the impact that SFAS No. 159 will have on its consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows and has not yet determined whether or not it will choose to measure items subject to SFAS No. 159 at fair value.

#### 20. Subsequent Event

In July 2007, Spectra Energy completed its initial public offering of Spectra Energy Partners, LP (SEP), a newly formed midstream energy master limited partnership. Spectra Energy contributed to SEP 100% of the ownership of East Tennessee Natural Gas, LLC, 50% of the ownership of Market Hub Partners Moss Bluff and Egan natural gas storage operations and a 24.5% interest in Gulfstream Natural Gas System, LLC. SEP issued 11.5 million common units to the public in the offering, representing 17% of SEP s outstanding equity. Spectra Energy retained an 83% equity interest in SEP, including its common units, subordinated units and a 2% general partner interest and received total proceeds of approximately \$345 million as a result of the transaction, including the debt issued as discussed below. Net cash of approximately \$230 million was received by SEP upon closing of the IPO. Approximately \$26 million of these proceeds was distributed to Spectra Energy, \$194 million was used by SEP to purchase qualifying investment grade securities, and \$10 million was retained by SEP to meet working capital requirements. SEP borrowed \$194 million in term debt using the investment grade securities as collateral and borrowed an additional \$125 million of revolving debt. Proceeds from these borrowings, totaling \$319 million, were distributed to Spectra Energy.

In accordance with SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 51, Accounting for Sales of Stock by a Subsidiary, recognition of a gain associated with such a sale is only appropriate if the class of securities sold by the subsidiary does not contain any preference over the subsidiary s other classes of securities. Since the common units of SEP have preferential cash distribution rights as compared to the subordinated units, Spectra Energy will defer any gain recognition associated with the sale of SEP common units until the subordinated units owned by Spectra Energy are converted into common units with rights equivalent to the remaining unitholders.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

#### INTRODUCTION

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### **Executive Overview**

On January 2, 2007, Duke Energy completed the spin-off of its natural gas businesses, primarily comprised of the Natural Gas Transmission and Field Services business segments of Duke Energy that were owned through Duke Energy s then wholly-owned subsidiary, Spectra Capital. Duke Energy contributed its ownership interests in Spectra Capital to Spectra Energy and all of the outstanding common stock of Spectra Energy was distributed to Duke Energy s shareholders.

For the three months ended June 30, 2007, Spectra Energy reported net income of \$196 million as compared to net income of \$320 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006. For the six months ended June 30, 2007, Spectra Energy reported net income of \$432 million as compared to net income of \$542 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The decrease in net income was primarily due to lower earnings associated with the Field Services and Western Canada Transmission & Processing segments, a \$30 million tax rate benefit in the second quarter of 2006 and net earnings from discontinued operations in 2006, partially offset by higher earnings at Distribution. The highlights for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 include:

U.S. Transmission s earnings decreased over the same periods in the prior year primarily due to a gain on a settlement of a customer s transportation contracts in 2006 and lower gas processing volumes;

Distribution results benefited from increased customer usage due to a return to more normal winter weather, increased distribution rates, and higher storage prices, partially offset by higher fuel costs;

Western Canada Transmission & Processing had lower earnings due to lower NGL sales and higher operating expenses primarily as a result of major maintenance turnarounds performed at two plants in the second quarter of 2007 and lower processing activities;

Field Services experienced lower earnings primarily from the negative impact of weather related plant operational issues, and higher operating and maintenance costs. Prior year results also included a gain on the sale of assets;

The net costs reported within Other decreased primarily due to lower corporate costs and the 2006 mark-to-market losses on corporate hedge contracts associated with Field Services equity earnings, partially offset by management fees earned in the 2006 periods;

Income From Discontinued Operations, net of tax decreased in 2007 compared to the same periods in the prior year primarily due to 2006 net earnings from businesses transferred to Duke Energy prior to the spin-off, partially offset by the Sonatrach settlement in 2007.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

	Three Months Ended June 30, Increase											
Results of Operations and Variances	2007	2006	(Decr	(Decrease) (in mil		2006	(De	ecrease)				
Operating revenues	\$ 985	\$ 981	\$	4	\$ 2,386	\$ 2,466	\$	(80)				
Operating expenses	683	661		22	1,665	1,789		(124)				
Gains on sales of other assets, net		1		(1)	1	29		(28)				
Operating income	302	321		(19)	722	706		16				
Other income and expenses, net	139	168		(29)	245	329		(84)				
Interest expense	156	148		8	311	291		20				
Minority interest expense	15	11		4	31	22		9				
Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes	270	330		(60)	625	722		(97)				
Income tax expense from continuing operations	85	73		12	204	221		(17)				
Income from continuing operations	185	257		(72)	421	501		(80)				
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	11	63		(52)	11	41		(30)				
Net income	\$ 196	\$ 320	\$	(124)	\$ 432	\$ 542	\$	(110)				

#### **Operating Revenues**

*Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006.* Operating revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$4 million compared to the same period in 2006. This change was driven primarily by:

a \$35 million increase at Distribution from higher gas usage primarily due to weather that was colder than the same period in 2006, partially offset by lower natural gas prices passed through to customers, partially offset by

a \$26 million decrease at Western Canada Transmission & Processing primarily due to lower NGL sales from the Empress facility mainly as a result of the plant maintenance turnaround in June 2007.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006. Operating revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2007 decreased \$80 million, or 3%, compared to the same period in 2006. This change was driven primarily by:

- a \$27 million decrease at Western Canada Transmission & Processing primarily due to lower processing revenues in the Fort Nelson area and lower NGL sales from the Empress facility mainly as a result of the plant maintenance turnaround in 2007,
- a \$21 million decrease at Distribution from lower natural gas prices passed through to customers, partially offset by higher gas usage primarily due to weather that was colder than the same period in 2006,
- a \$21 million decrease at U.S. Transmission due to lower processing volumes associated with pipeline operations in 2007, partially offset by increased revenue from expansion projects placed in service, and
- a \$17 million decrease at Other driven by reduced portfolio size of Spectra Energy s captive insurance entities in 2007 as compared to the underwriting portfolio of the former Duke Energy captive insurance entity.

#### **Operating Expenses**

Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006. Operating expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$22 million, or 3%, compared to the same period in 2006. This change was driven primarily by:

- a \$15 million increase in gas purchase costs at Distribution resulting from increased customer gas usage due to colder winter weather, partially offset by lower gas prices passed through to customers, and
- a \$9 million increase in operating, maintenance and other costs at Western Canada Transmission & Processing primarily as a result of the scheduled maintenance turnarounds performed at the Empress and Pine River facilities in 2007.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006. Operating expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2007 decreased \$124 million, or 7%, compared to the same period in 2006. This change was driven primarily by:

- a \$65 million decrease in gas purchase costs at Distribution resulting from the lower gas prices passed through to customers, partially offset by increased customer gas usage primarily due to colder winter weather,
- a \$52 million decrease in operating costs at Other due to the reduced level of corporate services as compared to the level previously required to support operations that were transferred to Duke Energy in December 2006 and the costs to achieve the Cinergy merger allocated from Duke Energy in 2006, and
- a \$35 million decrease in operating, maintenance and other costs at U.S. Transmission due to the capitalization of Northeast project development costs that were previously expensed, lower costs associated with plant processing fees and higher pipeline compressor fuel recoveries, partially offset by higher labor and outside services cost for pipeline and storage operations, partially offset by
- a \$16 million increase in operating and maintenance costs at Western Canada Transmission & Processing primarily due to higher operating and maintenance costs as a result of the turnarounds performed at the Empress and Pine River facilities.

# Gains on Sales of Other Assets, net

Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006. Gains on sales of other assets, net for the six months ended June 30, 2007 decreased \$28 million compared to the same period in 2006. The 2006 period included a gain on sale of stock associated with the settlement of a customer s transportation contracts.

### **Operating Income**

Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006. Operating income for the three months ended June 30, 2007 decreased \$19 million, or 6%, compared to the same period in 2006. Decreased operating income was primarily driven by a \$34 million decrease at Western Canada Transmission & Processing as a result of the plant maintenance turnarounds in the second quarter of 2007, partially offset by a \$15 million increase at Distribution related to colder weather and higher rates than the previous year.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006. Operating income for the six months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$16 million, or 2%, compared to the same period in 2006. Increased operating income was primarily driven by a \$41 million increase at Distribution mainly as a result of the colder weather and a \$35 million increase at Other resulting from lower corporate costs, partially offset by a \$41 million decrease at Western Canada Transmission & Processing primarily due to the plant maintenance turnarounds in 2007 and a \$17 million decrease at U.S. Transmission primarily due to a gain in 2006 on the settlement of a customer s transportation contracts.

#### Other Income and Expenses, net

Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006. Other income and expenses, net for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 decreased \$29 million and \$84 million, respectively, compared to the same period in 2006 representing lower equity earnings from the Field Services segment, primarily due to the negative impact of weather related plant operational issues and higher operating and maintenance costs.

### **Interest Expense**

Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006. Interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$8 million and \$20 million, respectively, compared to the same period in 2006 primarily due to interest costs capitalized in the prior year period related to capital projects of businesses that were transferred to Duke Energy.

#### **Minority Interest Expense**

Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006. Minority interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$4 million compared to the same period in 2006 as a result of a decrease in the ownership of the operations of the Income Fund in the third quarter of 2006, from 58% to 46%, when additional trust units were sold by the Income Fund.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006. Minority interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$9 million compared to the same period in 2006 as a result of higher earnings at Maritimes & Northeast pipeline, primarily due to the capitalization of project development costs, and a decrease in the ownership of the operations of the Income Fund in the third quarter of 2006.

#### **Income Tax Expense from Continuing Operations**

Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006. Income tax expense from continuing operations for the three months ended June 30, 2007 increased \$12 million, compared to the same period in 2006, primarily from a higher effective tax rate for the second quarter 2007 of 31.5% compared to 22.1% for the same period in 2006. The unusually low tax rate in the prior period resulted from a \$30 million unitary state tax rate benefit associated with Duke Energy s merger with Cinergy.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006. Income tax expense from continuing operations for the six months ended June 30, 2007 decreased \$17 million, compared to the same period in 2006, primarily from lower earnings from continuing operations. The effective tax rate increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2007 to 32.6% compared to the same period in 2006 of 30.6% due primarily to reduction in the unitary state tax rate in 2006 resulting from Duke Energy s merger with Cinergy, partially offset by taxable foreign dividends realized in the 2006 first quarter as well as certain corporate and debt costs in the 2006 first quarter for which no tax benefit was received by Spectra Capital since there was not a tax-sharing arrangement in place with Duke Energy until April 2006.

### Income From Discontinued Operations, net of tax

Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006. Income from discontinued operations, net of tax for the three months ended June 30, 2007 decreased \$52 million. The decrease is primarily due to the absence of the earnings from real estate operations of Duke Energy, partially offset by 2006 losses from Duke Energy s international energy, unregulated power plant operations, marketing and trading activities of various energy services and commodities, and the Sonatrach settlement in 2007.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006. Income from discontinued operations, net of tax for the six months ended June 30, 2007 decreased \$30 million. This net income was recognized by the operations transferred to Duke Energy in December 2006 prior to the spin-off, including earnings from the international energy and real estate operations of Duke Energy, partially offset by losses from Duke Energy s unregulated power plant operations, marketing and trading activities of various energy services and commodities, and the Sonatrach settlement in 2007.

#### **Segment Results**

Management evaluates segment performance based on earnings before interest and taxes from continuing operations, after deducting minority interest expense related to those earnings. On a segment basis, EBIT excludes discontinued operations, represents all profits from continuing operations (both operating and non-operating) before deducting interest and taxes, and is net of the minority interest expense related to those earnings. Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments are managed centrally by Spectra Energy, so the gains and losses on foreign currency re-measurements, and interest and dividend

income on those balances, are excluded from the segments EBIT. Management considers segment EBIT to be a good indicator of each segment s operating performance from its continuing operations, as it represents the results of Spectra Energy s ownership interest in operations without regard to financing methods or capital structures.

Spectra Energy s segment EBIT may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies because other companies may not calculate EBIT in the same manner. Segment EBIT is summarized in the following table, and detailed discussions follow.

As discussed in Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Spectra Energy adopted a new business segment structure in the first quarter of 2007 and, therefore, the 2006 periods presented have been revised to conform to this structure.

	Three M	Three Months Ended June 30, Six Months Ended June 30, Increase							30,
EBIT by Business Segment	2007	2006	(Dec	rease) (in m	2007 illions)	2006			crease crease)
U.S. Transmission	\$ 223	\$ 230	\$	(7)	\$ 443	\$	465	\$	(22)
Distribution	54	39		15	198		157		41
Western Canada Transmission & Processing	48	89		(41)	122		171		(49)
Field Services	123	148		(25)	205		292		(87)
Total reportable segment EBIT	448	506		(58)	968	1	,085		(117)
Other	(26)	(35)		9	(41)		(84)		43
Total reportable segment and other EBIT	422	471		(49)	927	1	,001		(74)
Interest expense	156	148		8	311		291		20
Interest income and other (a)	4	7		(3)	9		12		(3)
Consolidated earnings from continuing operations before income taxes	\$ 270	\$ 330	\$	(60)	\$ 625	\$	722	\$	(97)

<sup>(</sup>a) Other includes foreign currency transaction gains and losses, additional minority interest expense not allocated to the segment results and intersegment eliminations.

Minority interest expense as presented in the following segment-level discussions includes only minority interest expense related to EBIT of non-wholly owned entities. It does not include minority interest expense related to interest and taxes of those operations. The amounts discussed below include intercompany transactions that are eliminated in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

	Three Months Ended June 30, Increase				Six Mo	nths Ende	_	e 30, crease
U.S. Transmission	2007	2006	(Dec	rease)	2007 cept where n	2006 oted)		crease)
Operating revenues	\$ 370	\$ 371	\$	(1)	\$ 747	\$ 768	\$	(21)
Operating expenses								
Operating, maintenance and other	97	96		1	202	237		(35)
Depreciation and amortization	52	50		2	105	101		4
Gains on sales of other assets, net					1	28		(27)
Operating income	221	225		(4)	441	458		(17)
Other income and expenses, net	11	13		(2)	22	22		
Minority interest expense	9	8		1	20	15		5
•								
EBIT	\$ 223	\$ 230	\$	(7)	\$ 443	\$ 465	\$	(22)
Proportional throughput, Tbtu (a)	502	416		86	1,110	974		136

<sup>(</sup>a) Trillion British thermal units. Revenues are not significantly impacted by pipeline throughput fluctuations since revenues are primarily composed of demand charges.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006

Operating Revenues. The \$1 million decrease included the following activity:

an \$8 million decrease in processing revenues associated with the pipeline operations, primarily from lower volumes as compared to the prior year period when the utilization of the U.S. Transmission facilities was higher than normal due to hurricane impacts, partially offset by

a \$5 million increase from higher volumes and rates on Maritimes & Northeast pipeline, and

a \$5 million increase from expansion projects placed into service.

Operating, Maintenance and Other. The \$1 million increase included the following activity:

an \$11 million increase from higher labor and outside services costs for pipeline and storage operations, partially offset by

a \$9 million decrease due to higher pipeline compressor fuel recoveries by the East Tennessee pipeline, and

a \$6 million decrease in project development costs charged to operations primarily as a result of an increase in the capitalization of Northeast expansion project costs.

EBIT. The \$7 million decrease was primarily due to lower gas processing revenues as a result of lower volumes compared to the 2006 period.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006

Operating Revenues. The \$21 million decrease was driven primarily by:

a \$31 million decrease in processing revenues associated with the pipeline operations, primarily from lower volumes as compared to the prior year period when the utilization of the U.S. Transmission facilities was higher than normal due to hurricane impacts in 2006, partially offset by

a \$9 million increase from expansion projects placed in service, and

a \$7 million increase from higher volumes and rates on Maritimes & Northeast pipeline. *Operating, Maintenance and Other.* The \$35 million decrease was driven primarily by:

a \$26 million decrease in project development costs charged to operations primarily as a result of the increased capitalization of Northeast expansion project costs in the 2007 period as compared to 2006,

a \$25 million decrease due to lower costs primarily associated with lower plant processing fees (\$12 million) and higher recoveries of pipeline compressor fuel by the East Tennessee pipeline (\$9 million), partially offset by

a \$22 million increase from higher labor and outside services costs for pipeline and storage operations, and

a \$3 million increase from pipeline expansion projects placed in service.

Gains on Sales of Other Assets, net. The \$27 million decrease was driven primarily by a \$23 million gain on the settlement of a customer s transportation contracts in 2006. As discussed further in Note 8 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, an additional \$1 million gain related to this matter was recognized in Other Income and Expenses, net.

Minority Interest Expense. The \$5 million increase was driven primarily by higher earnings at Maritimes & Northeast pipeline, primarily due to the capitalization of project development costs.

*EBIT*. The \$22 million decrease in EBIT was primarily due to the gain on the settlement of a customer s transportation contracts in 2006 and lower gas processing margins, partially offset by higher volumes and rates on Maritimes & Northeast pipeline and earnings from expansion projects placed into service.

Three Months Ended June 30, Increase				Six Mo	Ended June 3 Incre		
2007	2006	(Decreas	e)	2007	2006	(Dec	rease)
	(1	in millions	, ex	cept wher	e noted)		
\$ 351	\$ 316	\$ 3	5	\$ 1,064	\$ 1,085	\$	(21)
174	159	1	5	628	693		(65)
83	81		2	161	163		(2)
40	37		3	77	72		5
\$ 54	\$ 39	\$ 1	5	\$ 198	\$ 157	\$	41
				1,276	1,255		21
947	869	7	8	4,635	4,149		486
153	146		7	453	404		49
	2007 \$ 351 174 83 40 \$ 54	2007 2006 \$ 351 \$ 316 174 159 83 81 40 37 \$ 54 \$ 39	2007 2006 (Decrease (in millions.) \$ 351 \$ 316 \$ 3  174 159 1 83 81 40 37  \$ 54 \$ 39 \$ 1  947 869 7	Increase   2007   2006   (Decrease)   (in millions, ex.   \$351   \$316   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35   \$35	Increase   2007   2006   (Decrease)   2007   (in millions, except where   \$351   \$316   \$ 35   \$1,064	Increase   2007   2006   (Decrease)   2007   2006   (in millions, except where noted)   \$351   \$316   \$   35   \$1,064   \$1,085     174   159   15   628   693   83   81   2   161   163   40   37   3   77   72     54   \$39   \$   15   \$198   \$157     1,276   1,255   947   869   78   4,635   4,149	Increase   2007   2006   (Decrease)   2007   2006   (Decrease)   2007   2006   (Decrease)   351   \$316   \$ 35   \$1,064   \$1,085   \$

Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006

Operating Revenues. The \$35 million increase was primarily driven by:

- a \$36 million increase in customer usage of natural gas primarily associated with colder weather early in the second quarter of 2007,
- a \$6 million increase caused by foreign exchange impacts as a result of the strengthening Canadian dollar,
- a \$5 million increase due to higher distribution rates, and
- a \$5 million increase in storage and transmission revenues primarily from higher long-term storage rates and transmission volume growth, partially offset by
- a \$17 million decrease from lower natural gas prices passed through to customers without a mark-up. *Natural Gas Purchased.* The \$15 million increase was primarily driven by:

- a \$26 million increase in customer usage of natural gas associated with colder weather in the 2007 period, and
- a \$3 million increase caused by foreign exchange impacts, partially offset by
- a \$17 million decrease related to lower natural gas prices passed through to customers. *EBIT*. The increase in EBIT of \$15 million was primarily driven by a higher gas distribution margin associated with colder weather, increased distribution rates, higher storage prices and transmission volume growth as compared to the second quarter of 2006.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006

Operating Revenues. The \$21 million decrease was primarily driven by:

- a \$162 million decrease from lower natural gas prices passed through to customers without a mark-up, and
- a \$4 million decrease caused by foreign exchange impacts, partially offset by
- a \$126 million increase in customer usage of natural gas primarily associated with winter weather that was approximately 12% colder than the previous year,
- a \$13 million increase in storage and transmission revenues primarily due to higher storage prices and growth of the transmission system, and
- an \$8 million increase due to higher distribution rates.

  Natural Gas Purchased. The \$65 million decrease was primarily driven by:
  - a \$162 million decrease related to decreased natural gas prices passed through to customers, and
  - a \$4 million decrease caused by foreign exchange impacts, partially offset by
  - a \$98 million increase in customer usage of natural gas associated with colder winter weather than the previous year, and
- a \$12 million increase in gas used for operations, correlated to increased usage due to colder winter weather. *EBIT*. The increase in EBIT of \$41 million was primarily driven by a higher gas distribution margin associated with colder weather and higher storage prices, partially offset by higher fuel costs as compared to 2006.

		Six	Months	Ende	ed			
	Three Months Ended June 30,					June 3	30,	
	Increase					Inc	rease	
Western Canada Transmission & Processing	2007	2006		rease)	2007	2006	(Dec	crease)
		(in	millio	ns, exce	pt where	noted)		
Operating revenues	\$ 262	\$ 288	\$	(26)	\$ 573	\$ 600	\$	(27)
Operating expenses								
Natural gas and petroleum products purchased	64	66		(2)	173	173		
Operating, maintenance and other	109	100		9	204	188		16
Depreciation and amortization	34	33		1	66	68		(2)
Operating income	55	89		(34)	130	171		(41)
Other income and expenses, net	(3)	1		(4)	1	4		(3)

Minority interest expense	4	1	3	9	4	5
ЕВІТ	\$ 48	\$ 89	\$ (41)	\$ 122	\$ 171	\$ (49)
	121	1.40	(15)	202	200	<b>(5</b> )
Pipeline throughput, Tbtu Volumes processed, Tbtu	131 169	148 188	(17) (19)	292 348	299 358	(7) (10)
Empress inlet volumes, Tbtu Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006	141	217	(76)	333	418	(85)

Operating Revenues. The \$26 million decrease was primarily driven by:

a \$28 million impact from lower sales volumes of NGLs from the Empress facility mainly as a result of the plant maintenance turnaround work in June 2007 that caused the plant to be unavailable or at less than full capacity for four weeks, and

a \$7 million decrease mainly as a result of lower processing activities in the Fort Nelson area of northeastern British Columbia, partially offset by

a \$6 million increase in the sales price of NGLs from the Empress facility, and

a \$4 million increase caused by foreign exchange impacts.

Natural Gas and Petroleum Products Purchased. The \$2 million decrease was driven primarily by:

a \$16 million decrease due to lower volumes of natural gas purchased for the Empress facility mainly as a result of the turnaround in 2007, partially offset by

a \$10 million increase in the price of natural gas purchased for the Empress facility.

*Operating, Maintenance and Other.* The \$9 million increase was primarily driven by a \$15 million increase due to plant maintenance turnarounds performed at the Empress and Pine River facilities in 2007.

Minority Interest Expense. The \$3 million increase was driven primarily by the decrease in the ownership of the operations of the Income Fund in the third quarter of 2006, from 58% to 46%, when additional trust units were sold by the Income Fund.

EBIT. The \$41 million decrease in EBIT was driven primarily by the two major plant turnarounds performed in the 2007 second quarter.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006

Operating Revenues. The \$27 million decrease was primarily driven by:

a \$15 million decrease mainly as a result of lower processing activities in the Fort Nelson area of northeastern British Columbia, and

a \$14 million decrease associated with the Empress operations, primarily resulting from lower NGL volumes, mainly as a result of the turnaround in June 2007, partially offset by

a \$4 million increase in the sales price of NGLs from the Empress facility.

*Operating, Maintenance and Other.* The \$16 million increase was primarily driven by a \$15 million increase due to turnarounds performed at the Empress and Pine River facilities in 2007.

Minority Interest Expense. The \$5 million increase was driven primarily by the decrease in the ownership of the operations of the Income Fund in the third quarter of 2006, from 58% to 46%, when additional trust units were sold by the Income Fund.

EBIT. The \$49 million decrease in EBIT was driven primarily by lower natural gas processing activities, lower NGL sales and higher operating expenses resulting from the maintenance turnarounds performed.

During the period 2004 through 2006, Western Canada experienced historic levels of natural gas drilling activity. Beginning in late 2006, a reduction in Western Canadian drilling has been occurring when compared to the levels generally experienced during the previous three years. Overall, exploration and development activity in Western Canada Transmission & Processing s core areas has been relatively steady with the most significant impact being in the Fort Nelson region of Northeast British Columbia, where there has been a slowdown in activity levels.

	Three Months Ended June 30, Increase			Six Months Ended June 30, Increase																						
Field Services	2007 2006								6 (Decrease) (in millions, exce		(		(		(		(						2007 ept where	2006 noted)	(De	ecrease)
Operating expenses	\$	\$ 1	\$	(1)	\$	\$ 3	\$	(3)																		
Operating loss		(1)		1		(3)		3																		
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	123	149		(26)	205	295		(90)																		
EBIT	\$ 123	\$ 148	\$	(25)	\$ 205	\$ 292	\$	(87)																		
Natural gas gathered and processed/transported, Tbtu/d (a)	6.9	6.7		0.2	6.7	6.8		(0.1)																		
NGL production, MBbl/d (a,b)	362	365		(3)	354	361		(7)																		
Average natural gas price per MMBtu (c)	\$ 7.55	\$ 6.79	\$	0.76	\$ 7.16	\$ 7.88	\$	(0.72)																		
Average NGL price per gallon	\$ 1.05	\$ 0.98	\$	0.07	\$ 0.96	\$ 0.93	\$	0.03																		

<sup>(</sup>a) Reflects 100% of volumes

Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006

*EBIT.* The \$25 million decrease is primarily due to the following variances in equity earnings of DCP Midstream, each representing Spectra Energy s 50% ownership portion of the earnings drivers at DCP Midstream:

a \$23 million decrease resulting from increased depreciation and amortization expense of \$3 million; higher operating and maintenance expenses of \$13 million, attributable to asset acquisitions, increased asset integrity costs, higher contract services, higher repairs and maintenance costs, and higher materials and supplies; and increased general and administrative expenses of \$7 million, including increased labor and benefits costs and increased consulting services, which includes an increase of \$3 million in costs associated with DCP Midstream s initiative to create stand-alone corporate functions,

a \$16 million decrease in gathering and processing margins, primarily attributable to lower NGL volumes resulting from unexpected plant outages caused by severe thunderstorms and related power outages, as well as gas-cost competitive pressures, and

an \$8 million decrease in marketing margins, including a \$6 million loss on derivatives accounted for under mark-to-market that were executed to mitigate future commodity exposures, partially offset by

a \$12 million increase as a result of commodity-sensitive processing arrangements, mainly due to higher natural gas and NGL prices, and

an \$8 million increase resulting from lower income tax expense due to a 2006 adjustment for the new Texas margin tax. Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006

*EBIT.* The \$87 million decrease is primarily due to the following variances in equity earnings of DCP Midstream, each representing Spectra Energy s 50% ownership portion of the earnings drivers at DCP Midstream:

<sup>(</sup>b) Thousand barrels per day

<sup>(</sup>c) Million British thermal units. Average price based on NYMEX Henry Hub.

a \$32 million decrease resulting from increased depreciation and amortization expense of \$4 million; increased operating and maintenance expenses of \$13 million, attributable to asset acquisitions, increased asset integrity costs, higher materials and supplies, higher contract services and higher labor and benefits costs; and increased general and administrative expenses of \$15 million, including increased labor and benefits and increased outside consulting fees, which includes an increase of \$6 million in costs associated with DCP Midstream s initiative to create stand-alone corporate functions,

- a \$28 million decrease in gathering and processing margins, primarily attributable to decreased natural gas and NGL volumes resulting from severe weather impacts in the first and second quarters of 2007, as well as gas-cost competitive pressures,
- a \$14 million decrease as a result of a gain in the 2006 period on the sale of gathering assets,
- a \$13 million decrease in marketing margins, including a \$7 million loss on derivatives accounted for under mark-to-market that were executed to mitigate future commodity exposures, and
- a \$7 million decrease attributable to decreased physical volume related to natural gas asset based trading and marketing, partially offset by
- a \$7 million increase as result of lower income tax expense due to a 2006 adjustment for the new Texas margin tax, and
- a \$4 million increase as a result of commodity sensitive processing arrangements, mainly due to favorable differences between the value of the NGLs extracted from processing and the value of the Btu equivalent of the residue natural gas (the frac spread).

  Spectra Energy s equity in earnings of DCP Midstream for the full year 2007 is expected to be impacted by estimated costs of \$15 million for DCP Midstream s stand-alone initiative.

	Three M	lonths En	ded Jun Incre		Six Mo	nths End	_	ne 30, rease
Other	2007	2006 (in	(Decre		2007 ept where	2006 noted)	(Dec	rease)
Operating revenues	\$ 8	\$ 25	\$	(17)	\$ 15	\$ 32	\$	(17)
Operating expenses	34	59		(25)	60	112		(52)
Operating loss	(26)	(34)		8	(45)	(80)		35
Other income and expenses, net		(1)		1	4	(4)		8
EBIT	\$ (26)	\$ (35)	\$	9	\$ (41)	\$ (84)	\$	43

Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006

*Operating Revenues*. The decrease of \$17 million is a result of a reclassification of intercompany eliminations in the 2006 period from interest income to other. The offset of this elimination is reflected in operating expenses below.

*Operating Expenses*. The decrease of \$25 million was the result of Cinergy merger costs incurred in 2006 for approximately \$9 million as well as the impact of a reclassification of intercompany eliminations as described above.

Costs incurred to create stand-alone corporate functions, to implement required information systems and to perform other stand-alone activities around human resources, communications and other new corporate areas were \$7 million in the second quarter of 2007 and \$2 million for the prior period.

Other Income and Expenses, net. The \$1 million increase resulted from 2006 mark-to-market losses of \$21 million for hedge positions associated with the earnings of the Field Services segment, mostly offset by management fees billed to certain Duke Energy operations in 2006 of approximately \$19 million. Spectra Energy no longer provides these management services to Duke Energy affiliates.

EBIT. The \$9 million reduction in EBIT loss was primarily attributable to the lower corporate costs.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 as Compared to June 30, 2006

*Operating Revenues*. The \$17 million decrease was primarily driven by the smaller portfolio size of Spectra Energy s captive insurance entities in 2007 as compared to the underwriting portfolio of the former Duke Energy captive insurance entity that was owned by Spectra Energy through March 2006.

Operating Expenses. The \$52 million decrease was primarily driven by reduced corporate services required as a result of the transfer to Duke Energy of the international, real estate and non-regulated merchant operations, lower operating expenses as a result of the reduced portfolio and activity of the U.S. captive insurance entity, and \$9 million of costs allocated from Duke Energy associated with the Cinergy merger in 2006, partly offset by \$9 million higher gas spin-off separation costs comparing the periods. Total spin-off costs that are expected to affect operating expenses of Other approximate \$20 million for 2007 and are not expected to be material thereafter.

Other Income and Expenses, net. The \$8 million increase resulted from the 2006 mark-to-market losses of \$45 million for hedge positions associated with the earnings of the Field Services segment, mostly offset by management fees billed to certain Duke Energy operations in 2006 of approximately \$36 million. Spectra Energy no longer provides these management services to Duke Energy affiliates.

*EBIT.* The \$43 million increase was primarily due to reduced governance costs as a result of the Duke Energy transfer and 2006 mark-to-market losses on hedge contracts associated with the Field Services equity earnings, partially offset by the absence in 2007 of management fees charged to Duke Energy affiliates.

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

# **Operating Cash Flows**

Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$468 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006. This change was driven primarily by:

a \$600 million payment to Barclays in 2006 in connection with the sale of certain commodity, energy marketing and management contracts of DENA, and

approximately \$400 million of net settlement cash outflows in 2006 related to remaining DENA contracts, partially offset by

collateral of \$540 million received by Spectra Energy in 2006 from Barclays, and

a January 2007 payment of \$100 million, which was accrued at December 31, 2006, to resolve certain litigation matters associated with discontinued LNG operations.

Net working capital was negative \$1,170 million as of June 30, 2007, which included notes payable and commercial paper outstanding balances totaling \$715 million and current maturities of long-term debt of \$829 million. Spectra Energy will rely upon cash flows from operations and additional financing transactions to fund its liquidity and capital requirements for the next 12 months, including the issuances of short-term and new long-term debt. See Financing Cash Flows and Liquidity Other Financing Matters for discussions of the MLP transaction, effective shelf registrations and a new \$1.5 billion credit facility.

#### **Investing Cash Flows**

Net cash flows used in investing activities totaled \$504 million in the first six months of 2007 compared to net cash flows provided by investing activities of \$1,421 million during the same period in 2006. This \$1,925 million decrease was driven primarily by:

approximately \$1.6 billion in proceeds received from the sale of DENA assets in 2006,

net proceeds of \$342 million from the sales and purchases of marketable securities in 2006,

proceeds totaling \$221 million in the 2006 period from real estate sales activity of operations transferred to Duke Energy in December 2006, and

a \$225 million increase in capital and investment expenditures in 2007 associated with the U.S. Transmission, Distribution and Western Canada Transmission & Processing segments, partially offset by

capital expenditures of \$279 million in the first six months of 2006 associated with the operations that were transferred to Duke Energy in December 2006.

Six Months

Capital and Investment Expenditures	2007	June 30, 2006 illions)
U.S. Transmission	\$ 318	\$ 101
Distribution	114	121
Western Canada Transmission & Processing	68	53
Other	18	297
Total	\$ 518	\$ 572

Capital and investment expenditures for the six months ended June 30, 2007 totaled \$518 million and included \$340 million for expansion projects and \$178 million for maintenance and other projects.

Spectra Energy projects 2007 capital and investment expenditures of approximately \$1.7 billion, consisting of approximately \$1.2 billion for U.S. Transmission, \$0.3 billion for Distribution and \$0.2 billion for Western Canada Transmission & Processing. Total projected 2007 capital and investment expenditures include approximately \$1.2 billion of expansion capital expenditures and \$0.5 billion for maintenance and upgrades of existing plants, pipelines and infrastructure to serve growth. Project development activities continued to escalate in the second quarter of 2007 on significant expansion opportunities. Significant expansion projects underway include:

Northeast Gateway - A 16-mile, 24-inch offshore pipeline in Massachusetts Bay that will connect Excelerate Energy s deepwater LNG port to Spectra Energy s Algonquin pipeline system. This project is expected to be completed and in-service by year-end 2007.

Maritimes & Northeast Phase IV - Expansion of capacity on the U.S. portion of the Maritimes & Northeast pipeline to move additional gas coming online in November 2008 from the Canaport LNG terminal in New Brunswick, Nova Scotia for deliveries into the northeast U.S.

Texas Eastern Transmission Time II - Involves new pipeline looping and compression to deliver an additional 150 million cubic feet per day from Lebanon, Ohio to New Jersey. Phase I of this project is expected to be placed into service by the end of 2007 and will provide the capacity from western Pennsylvania. Phase II will extend the capacity back to Lebanon, Ohio and is expected to be placed into service by the end of 2008.

Southeast Supply Header (SESH) - This joint venture with CenterPoint Energy, Inc. involves the construction of approximately 270 miles of new pipeline from the Perryville Hub in northern Louisiana to connect near Mobile, Alabama with the Gulfstream Natural Gas System, in which Spectra Energy and SEP own a combined 50% interest. It will connect the natural gas supply basins of east Texas and north Louisiana to southeast markets and will interconnect with numerous interstate pipelines. FERC approval is expected later in 2007, and the project is expected to be in-service in the summer of 2008,

Dawn-Trafalgar - Phase II of this Union Gas pipeline expansion consists of 11 miles of new 48-inch pipeline and new compression, with total capacity added of 480 million cubic feet per day. It is expected to be placed into service in November 2007.

## **Financing Cash Flows and Liquidity**

Net cash provided by financing activities totaled \$29 million in the first six months of 2007 compared to \$1,550 million net cash used in financing activities in the first six months of 2006. This change was driven primarily by:

approximately \$655 million in redemptions of long-term debt net of issuances in 2006,

2006 distributions to Duke Energy of \$590 million,

net short-term borrowings of \$366 million in the 2007 period, and

distributions to minority interests of \$231 million in 2006 as compared to \$23 million in the 2007 period, partially offset by

dividends paid on common stock of \$279 million in the 2007 period as compared to \$86 million of advances made to Duke Energy in the 2006 period.

Available Credit Facilities and Restrictive Debt Covenants. See Note 12 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of available credit facilities and related financial and other covenants. Spectra Capital entered into a \$1.5 billion credit facility in May 2007. In July 2007, Union Gas replaced the existing \$400 million Canadian 364-day credit facility with a \$500 million Canadian five-year credit facility. Also in July 2007, in conjunction with the public offering associated with SEP discussed further in Note 20 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, SEP entered into a five-year \$500 million facility that includes both term and revolving borrowing capacity. Obligations under the revolving portion of its credit facility are unsecured and the term borrowings are secured by qualifying investment grade securities in an amount equal to or greater than the outstanding principal amount of the loan.

Credit Ratings. The short-term and long-term debt of Spectra Energy and certain subsidiaries are rated by Standard & Poor s (S&P), Moody s Investors Service (Moody s) and Dominion Bond Rating Service (DBRS).

Credit Ratings Summary as of July 18, 2007	Standard and Poor s	Moody s Investor Service	Dominion Bond Rating Service
Spectra Energy Capital, LLC (a)	BBB	Baa1	Not applicable
Texas Eastern Transmission, LP (a)	BBB+	A3	Not applicable
Westcoast Energy, Inc. (a)	BBB+	Not applicable	A (low)
Union Gas (a)	BBB+	Not applicable	A
Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline, LLC (b)	A -	A2	A
Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline, LP (b)	A	A2	A

<sup>(</sup>a) Represents senior unsecured credit rating

These entities credit ratings are dependent upon, among other factors, the ability to generate sufficient cash to fund capital and investment expenditures, while maintaining the strength of their current balance sheets. These credit ratings could be negatively impacted if as a result of market conditions or other factors, they are unable to maintain their current balance sheet strength, or if earnings or cash flow outlooks deteriorate materially.

Dividends. Spectra Energy currently anticipates a dividend payout ratio of approximately 60% of estimated annual net income per share of common stock. The declaration and payment of dividends will be subject to the sole discretion of Spectra Energy s Board of Directors and will depend upon many factors, including the financial condition, earnings and capital requirements of our operating subsidiaries, covenants associated with certain debt obligations, legal requirements, regulatory constraints and other factors deemed relevant by the Board of Directors. A second quarter dividend of \$0.22 per share was declared on April 4, 2007 and paid on June 18, 2007. A third quarter dividend of \$0.22 per share was declared on July 2, 2007 and will be paid on September 17, 2007.

Other Financing Matters. As of June 30, 2007, subsidiaries of Spectra Energy had 810 million Canadian dollars (approximately U.S. \$703 million) available under shelf registrations for issuances in the Canadian market. Of the 810 million Canadian dollars available under these shelf registrations, 500 million expires in May 2008 and 310 million expires in August 2008. Spectra Energy and Spectra Capital have an automatic shelf registration statement on file with the SEC to register the issuance of an unspecified amount of various equity securities by Spectra Energy and various debt securities by Spectra Capital.

In July 2007, Spectra Energy completed its initial public offering of Spectra Energy Partners, LP (SEP) and received total proceeds of approximately \$345 million as a result of the transaction, including the debt issued as discussed below. Net cash of approximately \$230 million was received by SEP upon closing of the IPO. Approximately \$26 million of these proceeds was distributed to Spectra Energy, \$194 million was used by SEP to purchase qualifying investment grade securities, and \$10 million was retained by SEP to meet working capital requirements. SEP borrowed \$194 million in term debt using the investment grade securities as collateral and borrowed an additional \$125 million of revolving debt. Proceeds from these borrowings, totaling \$319 million, were distributed to Spectra Energy.

#### OTHER ISSUES

# **New Accounting Standards**

See Note 19 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

# Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Spectra Energy s exposure to market risk is described in Item 7A of its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. Management believes the exposure to market risk has not changed materially at June 30, 2007.

<sup>(</sup>b) Represents senior secured credit rating

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

#### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by Spectra Energy in the reports it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported, within the time periods specified by the Securities and Exchange Commission s (SEC) rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by Spectra Energy in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Spectra Energy has evaluated the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of June 30, 2007, and, based upon this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that these controls and procedures are effective.

# **Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Spectra Energy has evaluated changes in internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2007 and found no change that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, internal control over financial reporting, other than the changes which occurred in connection with the spin-off of Spectra Energy from Duke Energy on January 2, 2007, as discussed below.

In connection with the spin-off, Spectra Energy created new corporate functions, including those that affect internal control over financial reporting. Spectra Energy is also relying on Duke Energy during 2007 for financial system processing support, primarily in the first quarter of 2007, and other services primarily around corporate information systems, human resource and employee benefit functions. During 2007, as part of its analysis of internal control over financial reporting, Spectra Energy will maintain internal control over corporate and other functions created as a result of the spin-off of Spectra Energy and will, to the extent necessary, evaluate Duke Energy processes that impact Spectra Energy s internal control over financial reporting. See Note 1 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information related to the spin-off of Spectra Energy from Duke Energy.

#### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## **Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

For information regarding material legal proceedings, see Notes 13 and 14 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, careful consideration should be given to the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in Spectra Energy s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, which could materially affect Spectra Energy s financial condition or future results. There were no changes to those risk factors at June 30, 2007.

#### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

#### Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits

#### **Exhibit**

N	um	ber
---	----	-----

31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.2 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

The total amount of securities of the registrant or its subsidiaries authorized under any instrument with respect to long-term debt not filed as an exhibit does not exceed 10% of the total assets of the registrant and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. The registrant agrees, upon request of the Securities and Exchange Commission, to furnish copies of any or all of such instruments to it.

# **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SPECTRA ENERGY CORP

/s/ Fred J. Fowler Fred J. Fowler

President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Gregory L. Ebel Gregory L. Ebel

Group Executive and Chief Financial Officer

Date: August 13, 2007

Date: August 13, 2007