

OPEN TEXT CORP
Form 10-K
August 20, 2010
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission files number 0-27544

OPEN TEXT CORPORATION

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

Canada
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

275 Frank Tompa Drive,

Waterloo, Ontario, Canada
(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (519) 888-7111

98-0154400
(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

N2L 0A1
(Zip code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Common stock without par value | NASDAQ Global Select Market |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulations S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to item 405 of Regulations S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

Aggregate market value of the Registrant's Common Shares held by non-affiliates, based on the closing price of the Common Shares as reported by the NASDAQ Global Select Market (NASDAQ) on December 31, 2009, the end of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, was approximately \$1.9 billion. The number of the Registrant's Common Shares outstanding as of August 16, 2010 was 56,847,607.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None.

Table of Contents**Table of Contents**

| | Page # |
|-------------------|---------------|
| <u>Part I</u> | |
| Item 1 | 3 |
| Item 1A | 10 |
| Item 1B | 20 |
| Item 2 | 20 |
| Item 3 | 21 |
| Item 4 | 21 |
| <u>Part II</u> | |
| Item 5 | 22 |
| Item 6 | 26 |
| Item 7 | 26 |
| Item 7A | 48 |
| Item 8 | 49 |
| Item 9 | 49 |
| Item 9A | 49 |
| Item 9B | 50 |
| <u>Part III</u> | |
| Item 10 | 51 |
| Item 11 | 56 |
| Item 12 | 83 |
| Item 13 | 84 |
| Item 14 | 85 |
| <u>Part IV</u> | |
| Item 15 | 86 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 135 |

Table of Contents

PART I

Forward-Looking Statements

In addition to historical information, this Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and is subject to the safe harbours created by those sections. Words such as anticipates, expects, intends, plans, believes, seeks, estimates, may, could, would, might, will and variations of these words or similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. In addition, any statements that refer to expectations, beliefs, plans, projections, objections, performance or other characterizations of future events or circumstances, including any underlying assumptions, are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks as well as uncertainties, including those discussed herein and in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended June 30, 2010, which are set forth in Part II, Item 8 of this report. The actual results that we achieve may differ materially from any forward-looking statements, which reflect management's opinions only as of the date hereof. We undertake no obligation to revise or publicly release the results of any revisions to these forward-looking statements. You should carefully review Part I, Item 1A Risk Factors and other documents we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission. A number of factors may materially affect our business, financial condition, operating results and prospects. These factors include, but are not limited to, those set forth in Part I, Item 1A Risk Factors and elsewhere in this report. Any one of these factors may cause our actual results to differ materially from recent results or from our anticipated future results. You should not rely too heavily on the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, because these forward-looking statements are relevant only as of the date they were made.

Item 1. Business Overview

Open Text Corporation was incorporated on June 26, 1991. References herein to the Company, Open Text, we or us refer to Open Text Corporation and, unless context requires otherwise, its subsidiaries. Our current principal office is at 275 Frank Tompa Drive, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 0A1, and our telephone number at that location is (519) 888-7111. Our internet address is www.opentext.com. Throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K: (i) the term Fiscal 2010 means our fiscal year beginning on July 1, 2009 and ending June 30, 2010; (ii) the term Fiscal 2009 means our fiscal year beginning on July 1, 2008 and ending June 30, 2009; and (iii) the term Fiscal 2008 means our fiscal year beginning on July 1, 2007 and ending June 30, 2008. Our Consolidated Financial Statements are presented in U.S. dollars and, unless otherwise indicated, all amounts included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are expressed in U.S. dollars.

Access to our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to these reports filed or furnished to the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) may be obtained free of charge through the Investor Relations section of our website at www.opentext.com as soon as is reasonably practical after we electronically file or furnish these reports. Information on our Investor Relations page and our website is not part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or any other securities filings of ours unless specifically incorporated herein or therein by reference. In addition, our filings with the SEC may be accessed through the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. All statements made in any of our securities filings, including all forward-looking statements or information, are made as of the date of the document in which the statement is included, and we do not assume or undertake any obligation to update any of those statements or documents unless we are required to do so by law.

Our operations fall into one dominant industry segment: Enterprise Content Management (ECM) software. Unless otherwise indicated, the information presented in this Item 1 reflects material details regarding the business of Open Text as a consolidated, unified entity.

Table of Contents

For information regarding our revenue and assets by geography for Fiscal 2010, Fiscal 2009 and Fiscal 2008, see Note 15 Segment Information in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

General

We are an independent company providing ECM software solutions that help people to work, interact, and innovate in a secure, engaging and productive way. We build software that combines collaboration with content management. We focus on ECM software solutions and generally expand our product and service offerings both internally and through strategic acquisitions, with the view of striving to become recognized as The Content Experts in the ECM industry.

Our flagship offering is the Open Text ECM Suite which brings together the content management capabilities needed to manage various types of enterprise content including business documents, vital records, Web content, digital assets (images, audio, and video), email, forms and reports, while aiming to foster team collaboration with project and community workspaces, forums, blogs, wikis, and real-time instant messaging and collaboration. The Open Text ECM Suite also provides business process management tools that are designed to allow organizations to build the processes that connect their people and content.

This year we unveiled Open Text Everywhere, a new application designed to allow the Open Text ECM suite to be available via mobile devices and to add many unique capabilities for our ECM customers.

We also provide ECM software, solutions and expertise for governments, Global 2000 organizations and mid-market companies. Our software helps customers to respond to regulatory and operational compliance requirements and leverage their strategic investments in enterprise applications from Microsoft, SAP and Oracle.

Open Text ECM Suite

The Open Text ECM Suite represents the core Open Text offering. It brings together the content management capabilities needed to manage a wide range of enterprise content, including business documents, vital records, Web content, digital assets (images, audio, and video), email, forms and reports. The Open Text ECM Suite provides all of the components of a comprehensive ECM suite based on the modular, flexible, and integrated Open Text ECM Suite Shared Services. This architecture provides the customer with the benefits of common integration layers, improving suite communication and information sharing, while at the same time offering flexibility and agility aimed to address the specific requirements of the customer's business.

The Open Text ECM Suite is comprised of the following components:

Document Management provides the repository for business documents (Microsoft Office, CAD, PDF, etc.) and allows for the organizing, displaying, classifying, access control, version control, event auditing, rendition, and search services for documents and their content.

Collaboration offers a range of tools designed to better facilitate people working with each other, with content, and with processes. These tools include project and community workspaces, real-time instant messaging, instant online meetings, screen sharing, wikis, polls, blogs, and discussion forums.

Web Content Management provides tools for authoring, maintaining, and administering sophisticated Web sites designed to offer a visitor experience that integrates content from internal and external sources.

Records Management enables control of the complete lifecycle of content objects by associating robust retention and disposition rules with each content asset. These rules aim to control if and when content can or must be deleted or archived on less costly storage media.

Table of Contents

Email Management services are designed to enable the archiving, control, and monitoring of email to reduce the size of the email database, improve email server performance, control the lifecycle of email content, and monitor email content to improve compliance.

Archiving helps reduce storage expenses through optimization of storage use. It manages content storage policies according to business context, harnessing the rich metadata of IT applications to optimize storage use including de-duplicating and migrating data automatically through multiple storage tiers, and leveraging less expensive media while providing high-end storage services to further reduce storage demands.

Capture & Delivery tools provide the means of converting documents from analog sources such as paper or facsimile (fax) to electronic documents and applying value-added functions to them, such as optical/intelligent character recognition (OCR/ICR), barcode scanning etc., and then releasing them into the Open Text ECM Suite repository where they can be stored, managed, and searched.

Digital Asset Management provides a specialized set of content management services for ingesting, browsing, searching, viewing, assembling, and delivering rich media content such as images, audio and video.

Business Process Management (BPM) provides the tools for analyzing, deploying, executing, and monitoring the daily business processes in which content is referenced to make decisions and in which people make the decisions. BPM often involves interaction with other enterprise applications, such as those from SAP and Oracle.

Content Reporting provides tools for analyzing content and generating reports on virtually any set of data and organizing and formatting data output for distribution to channels such as print, email, fax, Web sites, and portals.

Open Text Everywhere allows the Open Text ECM Suite to be available via mobile devices. It is designed to deliver an improved view of business processes, and allow access to content and workplace social collaboration tools, through the use of one's mobile device. The first applications have been introduced for BlackBerry smart phones.

We also offer customers industry-specific solutions based on the foundation of the Open Text ECM Suite for the following sectors: government, high-technology/manufacturing, energy, financial services, pharmaceutical and life sciences, legal, and media.

Open Text ECM Suite Shared Services are designated to enable information workers to manage and exploit content types in a unified way at three critical levels and are comprised of the following core elements:

Open Text Enterprise Library provides a repository designed to enforce and manage retention schedules, corporate governance, and regulatory compliance policies for various types of content enterprise-wide.

Open Text Enterprise Process Services are a comprehensive set of business process management (BPM) and workflow services aimed to help organizations automate business processes spanning any of the ECM Suite components as well as any other relevant application.

Open Text User Experience Services provides capabilities designed to deliver consistent user experience via Web interfaces, portals, the desktop applications, and mobile devices.

Open Text Support program

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

Through our Open Text Support Program, customers receive access to software upgrades, a support knowledge base, discussions, product information and an on-line mechanism to post and review trouble tickets . In addition our support teams handle questions on the use, configuration, and functionality of Open Text products and can help identify software issues, develop solutions, and document enhancement requests for consideration in future product releases.

Table of Contents

Open Text Consulting, Learning and Hosting Services

Our Consulting Services help customers build solutions that enable them to leverage their investments in our technology and in existing enterprise systems. The implementation of these services can range from simple modifications to meet specific departmental needs to enterprise applications that integrate with multiple existing systems.

Our Learning Services consultants analyze our customers' education and training needs, focusing on key learning outcomes and timelines, with a view to creating an appropriate education plan for the employees of our customers who work with our products. Education plans are designed to be flexible and can be applied to any phase of implementation: pilot, roll-out, upgrade or refresher. Open Text's learning services employs a blended approach by combining mentoring, instructor-led courses, webinars, eLearning and focused workshops.

Our Hosting Services provide an alternative method of deployment and aim to achieve optimum performance without the administrative and implementation costs associated with installing and managing an in-house system.

Marketing and Sales

Customers

Our customer base consists of a number of Global 2000 organizations, mid-market companies and government agencies. Historically, including Fiscal 2010, no single customer has accounted for 10% or more of our revenues.

Global Distribution Channels

We operate on a global basis and in Fiscal 2010 we generated approximately 48% of our revenues from outside North America. A significant portion of our sales of products and services is direct, primarily through our subsidiary sales and service organizations. In North America, our sales and service employees are based in our headquarters and in field offices throughout the United States and Canada. Outside of North America, our international subsidiaries license our software in their local countries as well as within other foreign countries where we do not have a sales subsidiary.

Open Text Global Partner Program

We also market our products worldwide through indirect channels. We partner with prominent organizations in enterprise software and hardware in an effort to enhance the value of our ECM solutions and the investments our customers have made in their existing systems. We create mutually beneficial relationships with systems integrators, consultants, and software and hardware developers that augment and extend our products and services. Through these relationships, we and our partners are better able to fulfill key market objectives, drive new business, establish a competitive advantage, and create demonstrable business value. We have two broad categories of partnerships: Global Strategic Alliances and Global Systems Integrators.

Global Strategic Alliances

These alliances are strategic partnerships, cultivated over time and often involve close collaboration of the partner's solution and our solutions to create an extended and integrated solution for the customer.

Open Text and SAP

Open Text and SAP have shared years of partnership and close collaboration. Our solutions help customers improve the way they manage content from SAP systems in order to assist them to improve efficiency in key processes, manage compliance and reduce costs. Our targeted solutions let customers create, access, manage and

Table of Contents

securely archive all content for SAP systems, including data and documents. In addition, our solutions for SAP allow customers to address stringent requirements for risk reduction, operational efficiency and information technology consolidation. Open Text products are typically used by SAP customers as part of their business processes.

Open Text and Microsoft Corporation

Our strategic alliance with Microsoft offers improved integration between our ECM solutions and Microsoft's desktop and server products, such as Microsoft SharePoint. We provide support for Microsoft platforms such as Windows 7 and SQL Server and integration with many Microsoft products such as Exchange, Rights Management and Windows Azure. The integration of our solutions with Microsoft Office and SharePoint allows an Open Text customer to work with information from ERP, CRM, ECM and other enterprise applications from within the Microsoft SharePoint or Microsoft Office interface.

Microsoft SharePoint provides functionality for team collaboration and document sharing. We offer solutions that better allow our customers to realize SharePoint's ease of use, while seamlessly tying into established regulatory and compliance policies for enterprise content consistently applied to all sources of content in the enterprise through use of the Open Text ECM Suite.

Open Text and Oracle Corporation

This partnership extends our enterprise solutions framework, and builds upon the Oracle-Fusion based integration between Open Text and Oracle. The partnership with Oracle allows us to focus more on building content-enabled solutions that better solve complex, industry-specific problems. Our alliance with Oracle enables our customers to fortify their existing investments in Oracle applications, particularly in accounts payable, and report and output management solutions. We provide a comprehensive portfolio of solutions that enhance Oracle applications such as PeopleSoft Enterprise, JD Edwards EnterpriseOne, JD Edwards World, Oracle E-Business Suite, and Siebel.

Global Systems Integrators

Our Systems Integrator partners create an extended organization to develop technologies, repeatable service offerings, and turnkey solutions that enhance the way our customers leverage our software. We work closely with our Systems Integrator partners to support and implement new and evolving industry standards.

Accenture Ltd (Accenture), a global management consulting, technology services and outsourcing company, is one of our Systems Integrator partners. Together we provide strategic ECM solutions. Accenture's extensive experience with enterprise-rollout planning and design, combined with our ECM technology, provides solutions designed to address an organization's ECM requirements.

Other Open Text Systems Integrator partners include Deloitte Consulting LLP, Cap Gemini Inc. and Logica Holding Inc.

International Markets

We provide our ECM services worldwide, with a primary focus on Europe and North America. Our geographic coverage allows us to draw on business and technical expertise from a geographically diverse workforce, providing greater stability to our operations and revenue streams by diversifying our portfolio to better mitigate against the risks of a single geographically focused business.

There are inherent risks to conducting operations internationally. For more information about these risks, see "Risk Factors" included in Item 1A to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Competition

The market for our products is highly competitive, and we expect competition will continue to intensify as the ECM markets consolidate. We compete with a large number of ECM providers, management companies, web content management businesses, as well as management, workflow, document imaging and electronic document management companies. International Business Machines Corporation (IBM) is the largest company that competes directly with us in the ECM market. Another significant competitor is EMC Corporation (EMC), a large storage technology company and Autonomy plc (Autonomy), an infrastructure software company based in the United Kingdom. In addition to the competition posed by IBM, EMC, and Autonomy, numerous smaller software vendors also compete in each product area. We also face competition from systems integrators who configure hardware and software into customized systems.

Large infrastructure vendors such as Oracle and Microsoft have developed products, or plan to offer products, in the content management market. Other large infrastructure vendors may follow in due course.

Vendors such as Iron Mountain Incorporated and Symantec Corporation have approached the ECM market from their distinct, individual market segments, and each company may compete more intensely with us in the future. Additionally, new competitors or alliances among existing competitors may emerge and rapidly acquire significant market share. We also expect that competition will increase as a result of ongoing software industry consolidation.

We believe that the principal competitive factors affecting the market for our software products and services include: (i) vendor and product reputation; (ii) product quality, performance and price; (iii) the availability of software products on multiple platforms; (iv) product scalability; (v) product integration with other enterprise applications; (vi) software functionality and features; (vii) software ease of use; (viii) the quality of professional services, customer support services and training, and (ix) the ability to address specific customer business problems. We believe the relative importance of each of these factors depends upon the concerns and needs of each specific customer.

Research and Development of Our ECM Solutions

The industry in which we compete is subject to rapid technological developments, evolving industry standards, changes in customer requirements and competitive new products and features. As a result, our success, in part, depends on our ability to continue to enhance our existing products in a timely and efficient manner and to develop and introduce new products that meet customer needs while reducing total cost of ownership. To achieve these objectives we have made and expect to continue to make investments in research and development, through internal and third-party development activities, third-party licensing agreements and potentially through technology acquisitions. Our research and development expenses were \$129.4 million for Fiscal 2010, \$116.2 million for Fiscal 2009 and \$107.2 million for Fiscal 2008. We believe our spending on research and development is in line with our mission to be generally recognized as *The Content Experts* in the ECM marketplace. We expect to continue to invest in research and development.

Acquisitions during the last five fiscal years

Our competitive position in the marketplace requires us to maintain a complex and evolving array of technologies, products, services and capabilities. In light of the continually evolving marketplace in which we operate, we regularly evaluate various acquisition opportunities within the ECM marketplace and elsewhere in the high technology industry.

In Fiscal 2010, we made the following acquisitions:

On May 27, 2010, we completed our acquisition of Burtsand Inc., (Burtsand) for \$10.8 million, inclusive of cash acquired. Burtsand, based in Toronto, Ontario, Canada, is a provider of technology consulting services for customers with complex information processing and information management

Table of Contents

requirements, focusing in particular in areas such as Enterprise Content Management, Collaboration and Service Management. We believe Burnsand will complement and enhance our current service offerings to further strengthen our position in the ECM market.

On April 16, 2010, we acquired for \$4.0 million, the key assets of New Generation Consulting, Inc., (New Generation), a Chicago, Illinois based professional services company that delivers content enabled solutions to various U.S. based customers. Of this amount, \$0.5 million has been held back and not paid to the seller, and pending the resolution of certain post closing purchase price adjustments, will be paid in and around the second quarter of Fiscal 2011. This acquisition is expected to enhance our professional services capabilities for content enabled solutions on Oracle business applications.

On April 1, 2010, we acquired Nstein Technologies Inc., (Nstein) a software company based in Montreal, Quebec, Canada, for \$33.9 million, inclusive of cash acquired, and consideration paid in Open Text shares. Nstein provides content management solutions which help enterprises centralize, understand and manage large amounts of content. Nstein's solutions include its patented Text Mining Engine which allows users to more easily search through different content and data. Our goal is to use Nstein's solutions to leverage and better enhance our own product offering, thus further strengthening our position as an independent leader in the ECM market.

On July 21, 2009, we acquired, by way of merger, all of the issued and outstanding shares of Vignette, an Austin, Texas based company that provides and develops software used for managing and delivering business content for \$321.4 million, inclusive of cash acquired, equity consideration provided and the fair value of shares already owned prior to acquisition date. Pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, each share of common stock of Vignette (not already owned by Open Text) issued and outstanding immediately prior to the effective date of the merger (July 21, 2009) was converted into the right to receive \$8.00 in cash and 0.1447 of one Open Text common share (equivalent to a value of \$5.33 as of July 21, 2009). The acquisition of Vignette is expected to strengthen our ability to offer an expanded portfolio of Enterprise Content Management (ECM) solutions to further consolidate our position as an independent leader in the ECM marketplace.

Prior to Fiscal 2010, we completed the following acquisitions

In April 2009, we completed the acquisition of Toronto-based Vizible Corporation (Vizible), a privately held maker of digital media interface solutions for \$0.9 million. The addition of Vizible expands our Digital Media solutions.

In October, 2008, we completed the acquisition of Captaris Inc. (Captaris), a provider of software products that automate document-centric processes, for \$101.0 million, net of cash acquired. The acquisition of Captaris has strengthened our ability to offer an expanded portfolio of solutions that integrate with SAP, Microsoft and Oracle solutions.

In July 2008, we completed the acquisition of eMotion LLC from Corbis Corporation, for \$4.2 million, net of cash acquired. This acquisition enhances our capabilities in the digital asset management market, providing us a broader portfolio of offerings for marketing and advertising agencies, adding capabilities that complement our existing enterprise asset-management solutions.

In July 2008, we completed the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of a division of Spicer Corporation (Spicer), (a privately held company) that specializes in file format viewer solutions for desktop applications, integrated business process management (BPM) systems, and reprographics. We purchased the assets for \$11.4 million.

In March 2007, we completed the acquisition of Momentum Inc. (Momentum), a privately held company that specializes in providing ECM solutions to U.S. government agencies, for \$4.1 million, net of cash acquired. The acquisition of Momentum has enhanced our ability to provide services to the U.S. government. Established in 1993 and based in Arlington, Virginia, Momentum had been serving the government sector for more than 12 years prior to our acquisition, by providing technical expertise to automate business processes.

Table of Contents

In October 2006, we completed the acquisition of Hummingbird Corporation (Hummingbird), an enterprise software solutions company that specialized in the development of decision enabling web-based environments, for \$412.5 million, net of cash acquired. The acquisition of Hummingbird has strengthened our ability to offer an expanded portfolio of solutions.

We believe our acquisitions support our long-term strategic direction, strengthen our competitive position, expand our customer base and provide greater scale to accelerate innovation, grow our earnings and increase shareholder value. We expect to continue to strategically acquire companies, products, services and technologies to augment our existing business.

Intellectual Property Rights

Our success and ability to compete depends on our ability to develop and maintain our intellectual property and proprietary technology and to operate without infringing on the proprietary rights of others. Our software products are generally licensed to our customers on a non-exclusive basis for internal use in a customer's organization. We also grant rights in our intellectual property to third parties that allow them to market certain of our products on a non-exclusive or limited-scope exclusive basis for a particular application of the product(s) or to a particular geographic area.

We rely on a combination of copyright, patent, trademark and trade secret laws, non-disclosure agreements and other contractual provisions to establish and maintain our proprietary rights. We have obtained or applied for trademark registration for most strategic product names in most major markets. We have a number of United States and foreign patents and pending applications, including patents and rights to patent applications acquired through strategic transactions, which relate to various aspects of our products and technology. The duration of our patents is determined by the laws of the country of issuance and for the U.S. is typically 17 years from the date of issuance of the patent or 20 years from the date of filing of the patent application resulting in the patent. While we believe our intellectual property is valuable and our ability to maintain and protect our intellectual property rights is important to our success, we also believe that our business as a whole is not materially dependent on any particular patent, trademark, license, or other intellectual property right.

Employees

As of June 30, 2010, we employed a total of 3,861 individuals. The composition of this employee base is as follows: (i) 756 employees in sales and marketing, (ii) 1,097 employees in product development, (iii) 832 employees in professional services, (iv) 612 employees in customer support, and (v) 564 employees in general and administrative roles. We believe that relations with our employees are strong. None of our employees are represented by a labour union, nor do we have collective bargaining arrangements with any of our employees. However, in certain international jurisdictions that we operate in, a Workers' Council represents our employees.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following important factors could cause our actual business and financial results to differ materially from our current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections. These forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K or made elsewhere by management from time to time are subject to important risks, uncertainties and assumptions, which are difficult to predict. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only risks and uncertainties facing us. Additional risks not currently known to us or that we currently believe are immaterial may also impair our operating results, financial condition and liquidity. Our business is also subject to general risks and uncertainties that affect many other companies.

Table of Contents

Weakened economic conditions and uncertainty could adversely affect our operating results

Our overall performance depends in part on worldwide economic conditions. The United States, the European Union and other key international economies have experienced a prolonged downturn as a result of a multitude of factors, including, but not limited to, turmoil in the credit and financial markets, concerns regarding the stability and viability of major financial institutions, declines in gross domestic product, increases in unemployment and volatility in commodity prices and worldwide stock markets, and excessive government debt. The severity and length of time that the downturn in economic and financial market conditions may persist, as well as the timing, strength and sustainability of any temporary recovery, are unknown and are beyond our control. During such downturns, many customers may delay or reduce technology purchases. Contract negotiations may become more protracted or conditions could result in reductions in sales of our products, longer sales cycles, pressure on our margins, difficulties in collection of accounts receivable or delayed payments, slower adoption of new technologies and increased price competition. In addition, continued deterioration of the global credit markets could adversely impact our ability to complete sales of our solutions and services, including maintenance and support renewals. Any of these prolonged events, as well as a general weakening of, or declining corporate confidence in, the global economy, or a curtailment in government or corporate spending could delay or decrease customer purchases.

Stress in the global financial system may adversely affect our finances and operations in ways that may be hard to predict or to defend against

Recent events in the financial markets have demonstrated that businesses and industries throughout the world are very tightly connected to each other. Thus, financial developments seemingly unrelated to us or to our industry may adversely affect us over the course of time. For example, material increases in LIBOR or other applicable interest rate benchmarks may increase the debt payment costs for the portion of our credit facilities that we have not hedged. Credit contraction in financial markets may hurt our ability to access credit in the event that we identify an acquisition opportunity or require significant access to credit for other reasons. Similarly, volatility in our stock price due to seemingly unrelated financial developments could hurt our ability to raise capital for the financing of acquisitions or other reasons. Potential price inflation caused by an excess of liquidity in countries where we conduct business may increase the cost we incur to provide our solutions and may reduce profit margins on agreements that govern our provision of products or services to customers over a multi-year period. A reduction in credit, combined with reduced economic activity, may adversely affect businesses and industries that collectively constitute a significant portion of our customer base. As a result, these customers may need to reduce their purchases of our products or services, or we may experience greater difficulty in receiving payment for the products or services that these customers purchase from us. Any of these events, or any other events caused by turmoil in world financial markets, may have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, and financial condition.

The length of our sales cycle can fluctuate significantly which could result in significant fluctuations in license revenue being recognized from quarter to quarter

The decision by a customer to purchase our products often involves a comprehensive implementation process across the customer's network or networks. As a result, licenses of these products may entail a significant commitment of resources by prospective customers, accompanied by the attendant risks and delays frequently associated with significant expenditures and lengthy sales cycle and implementation procedures. Given the significant investment and commitment of resources required by an organization to implement our software, our sales cycle may be longer compared to other companies within our own industry, as well as companies in other industries. Over the past several fiscal years, we have experienced a lengthening of our sales cycle as customers include more personnel in their decisions and focus on more enterprise-wide licensing arrangements. In the current economic environment it is not uncommon to see reduced information technology spending. It may take several months, or even several quarters, for marketing opportunities to materialize. If a customer's decision to license our software is delayed or if the installation of our products takes longer than originally anticipated, the date on which we may recognize revenue from these licenses would be delayed. Such delays could cause our revenues to be lower than expected in a particular period.

Table of Contents

Our success depends on our relationships with strategic partners and with distributors and any reduction in the sales efforts by distributors, or cooperative efforts from our partners, could materially impact our revenues

We rely on close cooperation with partners for sales and product development as well as for the optimization of opportunities that arise in our competitive environment. Also, a portion of our license revenues is derived from the licensing of our products through third parties. Our success will depend, in part, upon our ability to maintain access to existing channels of distribution and to gain access to new channels if and when they develop. We may not be able to retain a sufficient number of our existing distributors or develop a sufficient number of future distributors. Distributors may also give higher priority to the sale of products other than ours (which could include competitors' products) or may not devote sufficient resources to marketing our products. The performance of third party distributors is largely outside of our control, and we are unable to predict the extent to which these distributors will be successful in marketing and licensing our products. A reduction in partner cooperation or sales efforts, a decline in the number of distributors, or a decision by our distributors to discontinue the sale of our products could materially reduce revenue.

If we do not continue to develop new technologically advanced products that successfully integrate with the software products and enhancements used by our customers, future revenues and our operating results may be negatively affected

Our success depends upon our ability to design, develop, test, market, license and support new software products and enhancements of current products on a timely basis in response to both competitive threats and marketplace demands. In addition, software products and enhancements must remain compatible with standard platforms and file formats. Often, we must integrate software licensed or acquired from third parties with our proprietary software to create or improve our products. If we are unable to achieve a successful integration with third party software, we may not be successful in developing and marketing our new software products and enhancements. If we are unable to successfully integrate third party software to develop new software products and enhancements to existing products, or to complete products currently under development which we license or acquire from third parties, our operating results will materially suffer. In addition, if the integrated or new products or enhancements do not achieve acceptance by the marketplace, our operating results will materially suffer. Also, if new industry standards emerge that we do not anticipate or adapt to, our software products could be rendered obsolete and, as a result, our business and operating results, as well as our ability to compete in the marketplace, would be materially harmed.

If our products and services do not gain market acceptance, our operating results may be negatively affected

We intend to pursue our strategy of growing the capabilities of our ECM software offerings through our proprietary research and the development of new product offerings, as well as through acquisitions. In response to customer demand, it is important to our success that we continue: (i) to enhance our products; and (ii) to seek to set the standard for ECM capabilities. The primary market for our software and services is rapidly evolving which means that the level of acceptance of products and services that have been released recently or that are planned for future release by the marketplace is not certain. If the markets for our products and services fail to develop, develop more slowly than expected or become subject to increased competition, our business may suffer. As a result, we may be unable to: (i) successfully market our current products and services, (ii) develop new software products, services and enhancements to current products and services, (iii) complete customer installations on a timely basis, or (iv) complete products and services currently under development. In addition, increased competition could put significant pricing pressures on our products which could negatively impact our margins and profitability. If our products and services are not accepted by our customers or by other businesses in the marketplace, our business and operating results will be materially affected.

Table of Contents

Our investment in our current research and development efforts may not provide a sufficient, timely return

The development of ECM software products is a costly, complex and time-consuming process, and the investment in ECM software product development often involves a long wait until a return is achieved on such an investment. We make and will continue to make significant investments in software research and development and related product opportunities. Investments in new technology and processes are inherently speculative. Commercial success depends on many factors including the degree of innovation of the products developed through our research and development efforts, sufficient support from our strategic partners, and effective distribution and marketing. Accelerated product introductions and short product life cycles require high levels of expenditures for research and development. These expenditures may adversely affect our operating results if they are not offset by revenue increases. We believe that we must continue to dedicate a significant amount of resources to our research and development efforts in order to maintain our competitive position. However, significant revenue from new product and service investments may not be achieved for a number of years, if at all. Moreover, new products and services may not be profitable, and even if they are profitable, operating margins for new products and businesses may not be as high as the margins we have experienced for our current or historical products and services.

Product development is a long, expensive and uncertain process, and we may terminate one or more of our development programs.

We may determine that certain product candidates or programs do not have sufficient potential to warrant the allocation of resources. Accordingly, we may elect to terminate one or more of our programs for such product candidates. If we terminate a product in development which we have invested significant resources, our prospects may suffer, as we will have expended resources on a project that may not provide a return on our investment and may have missed the opportunity to have allocated those resources to potentially more productive uses.

Failure to protect our intellectual property could harm our ability to compete effectively

We are highly dependent on our ability to protect our proprietary technology. We rely on a combination of copyright, patent, trademark and trade secret laws, as well as non-disclosure agreements and other contractual provisions to establish and maintain our proprietary rights. We intend to protect our rights vigorously; however, there can be no assurance that these measures will, in all cases, be successful. Enforcement of our intellectual property rights may be difficult, particularly in some nations outside of North America in which we seek to market our products. While U.S. and Canadian copyright laws, international conventions and international treaties may provide meaningful protection against unauthorized duplication of software, the laws of some foreign jurisdictions may not protect proprietary rights to the same extent as the laws of Canada or of the United States. The absence of internationally harmonized intellectual property laws makes it more difficult to ensure consistent protection of our proprietary rights. Software piracy has been, and is expected to be, a persistent problem for the software industry, and piracy of our products represents a loss of revenue to us. Where applicable, certain of our license arrangements have required us to make a limited confidential disclosure of portions of the source code for our products, or to place such source code into escrow for the protection of another party. Despite the precautions we have taken, unauthorized third parties, including our competitors, may be able to: (i) copy certain portions of our products; or (ii) reverse engineer or obtain and use information that we regard as proprietary. Also, our competitors could independently develop technologies that are perceived to be substantially equivalent or superior to our technologies. Our competitive position may be adversely affected by our possible inability to effectively protect our intellectual property.

Other companies may claim that we infringe their intellectual property, which could materially increase costs and materially harm our ability to generate future revenue and profits

Claims of infringement are becoming increasingly common as the software industry develops and as related legal protections, including patents are applied to software products. Although we do not believe that our products infringe on the rights of third parties, third parties may assert infringement claims against us in the

Table of Contents

future. Although most of our technology is proprietary in nature, we do include certain third party software in our products. In these cases, this software is licensed from the entity holding the intellectual property rights. Although we believe that we have secured proper licenses for all third-party software that is integrated into our products, third parties may assert infringement claims against us in the future. Any such assertion may result in litigation or may require us to obtain a license for the intellectual property rights of third parties. Such licenses may not be available, or they may not be available on reasonable terms. In addition, such litigation could be disruptive to our ability to generate revenue or enter into new market opportunities and may result in significantly increased costs as a result of our defense against those claims or our attempt to license the patents or rework our products to ensure they comply with judicial decisions. Any of the foregoing could have a significant adverse impact on our business and operating results as well as our ability to generate future revenue and profits.

The loss of licenses to use third-party software or the lack of support or enhancement of such software could adversely affect our business

We currently depend upon a limited number of third-party software products. If such software products were not available, we might experience delays or increased costs in the development of our products. For a limited number of product modules, we rely on software products that we license from third-parties, including software that is integrated with internally developed software and which is used in our products to perform key functions. These third-party software licenses may not continue to be available to us on commercially reasonable terms, and the related software may not continue to be appropriately supported, maintained, or enhanced by the licensors. The loss by us of the license to use, or the inability by licensors to support, maintain, and enhance any of such software, could result in increased costs or in delays or reductions in product shipments until equivalent software is developed or licensed and integrated with internally developed software. Such increased costs or delays or reductions in product shipments could adversely affect our business.

Current and future competitors could have a significant impact on our ability to generate future revenue and profits

The markets for our products are intensely competitive, and are subject to rapid technological change and other pressures created by changes in our industry. The convergence of many technologies has resulted in unforeseen competitors arising from companies that were traditionally not viewed as threats to our marketplace. We expect competition to increase and intensify in the future as the pace of technological change and adaptation quickens and as additional companies enter our markets, including those competitors who offer similar solutions as we do, but offer it through a different form of delivery. Numerous releases of competitive products have occurred in recent history and are expected to continue in the future. We may not be able to compete effectively with current competitors and potential entrants into our marketplace. We could lose market share if our current or prospective competitors: (i) introduce new competitive products, (ii) add new functionality to existing products, (iii) acquire competitive products, (iv) reduce prices, or (v) form strategic alliances with other companies. If other businesses were to engage in aggressive pricing policies with respect to competing products, or if the dynamics in our marketplace resulted in increasing bargaining power by the consumers of our products and services, we would need to lower the prices we charge for the products we offer. This could result in lower revenues or reduced margins, either of which may materially and adversely affect our business and operating results. Additionally, if prospective consumers choose other methods of ECM delivery, different from that which we offer, our business and operating results could also be materially and adversely affected.

Consolidation in the industry, particularly by large, well-capitalized companies, could place pressure on our operating margins which could, in turn, have a material adverse affect on our business

Acquisitions by large, well-capitalized technology companies have changed the marketplace for our goods and services by replacing competitors which are comparable in size to our company with companies that have more resources at their disposal to compete with us in the marketplace. In addition, other large corporations with considerable financial resources either have products that compete with the products we offer, or have the ability

Table of Contents

to encroach on our competitive position within our marketplace. These companies have considerable financial resources, channel influence, and broad geographic reach; thus, they can engage in competition with our products and services on the basis of sale price, marketing, services or support. They also have the ability to introduce items that compete with our maturing products and services. The threat posed by larger competitors and the goods and services that these companies may be able to produce to our target customers at a lower cost may materially reduce the profit margins we earn on the goods and services we provide to the marketplace. Any material reduction in our profit margin may have an adverse material affect on the operations or finances of our business, which could hinder our ability to raise capital in the public markets at opportune times for strategic acquisitions or general operational purposes, which may prevent effective strategic growth or scale or put as at a disadvantage to our better capitalized competitors.

Acquisitions, investments, joint ventures and other business initiatives may negatively affect our operating results

The growth of our company through the successful acquisition and integration of complementary businesses is a critical component of our corporate strategy. Thus, we continue to seek opportunities to acquire or invest in businesses, products and technologies that expand, complement or otherwise relate to our current or future business. We may also consider, from time to time, opportunities to engage in joint ventures or other business collaborations with third parties to address particular market segments. These activities create risks such as: (i) the need to integrate and manage the businesses and products acquired with our own business and products, (ii) additional demands on our resources, systems, procedures and controls, (iii) disruption of our ongoing business, and (iv) diversion of management's attention from other business concerns. Moreover, these transactions could involve: (a) substantial investment of funds or financings by issuance of debt or equity securities; (b) substantial investment with respect to technology transfers and operational integration; and (c) the acquisition or disposition of product lines or businesses. Also, such activities could result in one-time charges and expenses and have the potential to either dilute the interests of existing shareholders or result in the issuance of, or assumption of debt. Such acquisitions, investments, joint ventures or other business collaborations may involve significant commitments of financial and other resources of our company. Any such activity may not be successful in generating revenue, income or other returns to us, and the resources committed to such activities will not be available to us for other purposes. Moreover, if we are unable to access capital markets on acceptable terms or at all, we may not be able to consummate acquisitions, or may have to do so on the basis of a less than optimal capital structure. Our inability: (i) to take advantage of growth opportunities for our business or for our products, or (ii) to address risks associated with acquisitions or investments in businesses, may negatively affect our operating results. Additionally, any impairment of goodwill or other intangible assets acquired in an acquisition or in an investment, or charges to earnings associated with any acquisition or investment activity, may materially reduce our earnings which, in turn, may have an adverse material affect on the price of our Common Shares.

Our acquisition activity may lead to a material increase in the incurrence of debt which may adversely affect our finances

We may borrow money to provide the funds necessary to pay for companies we seek to acquire, if we deem such financing activity to be appropriate. The interest costs generated under any such debt obligations may materially increase our interest expense which may materially and adversely affect our profitability as well as the price of our Common Shares. Our ability to pay the interest and repay the principal for the indebtedness we incur as a result of our acquisition activity depends upon our ability to manage our business operations and our financial resources. In addition, the agreements related to such borrowings may contain covenants requiring us to meet certain financial performance targets and operating covenants, and limiting our discretion with respect to certain business matters, such as, among other things, any future payment of dividends, the borrowing of additional amounts and the making of investments.

Table of Contents

Businesses we acquire may have disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls over financial reporting that are weaker than or otherwise not in conformity with ours

We have a history of acquiring complementary businesses of varying size and organizational complexity. Upon consummating an acquisition, we seek to implement our disclosure controls and procedures as well as our internal controls over financial reporting at the acquired company as promptly as possible. Depending upon the nature of the business acquired, the implementation of our disclosure controls and procedures as well as the implementation of our internal controls over financial reporting at an acquired company may be a lengthy process. Typically, we conduct due diligence prior to consummating an acquisition; however, such diligence may not identify all material issues and our integration efforts may periodically expose deficiencies in the disclosure controls and procedures as well as in internal controls over financial reporting of an acquired company. If such deficiencies exist, we may not be in a position to comply with our periodic reporting requirements and, as a result, our business and financial condition may be materially harmed.

We must continue to manage our internal resources during periods of company growth or our operating results could be adversely affected

The ECM market has continued to evolve at a rapid pace. Moreover, we have grown significantly through acquisitions in the past and expect to continue to review acquisition opportunities as a means of increasing the size and scope of our business. Our growth, coupled with the rapid evolution of our markets, has placed, and will continue to place, significant strains on our administrative and operational resources and increased demands on our internal systems, procedures and controls. Our administrative infrastructure, systems, procedures and controls may not adequately support our operations. In addition, our management may not be able to achieve the rapid, effective execution of the product and business initiatives necessary to successfully implement our operational and competitive strategy. If we are unable to manage growth effectively our operating results will likely suffer which may, in turn, adversely affect our business.

If we are not able to attract and retain top employees, our ability to compete may be harmed

Our performance is substantially dependent on the performance of our executive officers and key employees. The loss of the services of any of our executive officers or other key employees could significantly harm our business. We do not maintain key person life insurance policies on any of our employees. Our success is also highly dependent on our continuing ability to identify, hire, train, retain and motivate highly qualified management, technical, sales and marketing personnel. In particular, the recruitment of top research developers and experienced salespeople remains critical to our success. Competition for such people is intense, substantial and continuous, and we may not be able to attract, integrate or retain highly qualified technical, sales or managerial personnel in the future. In addition, in our effort to attract and retain critical personnel, we may experience increased compensation costs that are not offset by either improved productivity or higher prices for our products or services.

Our compensation structure may hinder our efforts to attract and retain vital employees

A portion of our total compensation program for our executive officers and key personnel includes the award of options to buy our Common Shares. If the price of our Common Shares performs poorly, such performance may adversely affect our ability to retain or attract critical personnel. In addition, any changes made to our stock option policies, or to any other of our compensation practices, which are made necessary by governmental regulations or competitive pressures could adversely affect our ability to retain and motivate existing personnel and recruit new personnel. For example, any limit to total compensation which may be proscribed by the government or any significant increases in personal income tax levels levied in countries where we have a significant operational presence, may hurt our ability to attract or retain our executive officers or other employees whose efforts are vital to our success. Additionally, payments under our long-term incentive plan (the details of which are described in Item 11 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K) are dependent to a significant extent upon the future performance of our company both in absolute terms and in comparison to similarly

Table of Contents

situated companies. Any failure to achieve the targets set under the long-term incentive plan could significantly reduce or eliminate payments made under this plan, which may, in turn, materially and adversely affect our ability to retain the key personnel who are subject to this plan.

We may not generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy our unfunded pension obligations

Through one of our acquisitions, we assumed its unfunded pension plan liabilities. We will be required to use the operating cash flow that we generate in the future to meet these obligations. As a result, our future net pension liability and cost may be materially affected by the discount rate used to measure these pension obligations and by the longevity and actuarial profile of the relevant workforce. A change in the discount rate may result in a significant increase or decrease in the valuation of these pension obligations, and these changes may affect the net periodic pension cost in the year the change is made and in subsequent years. We cannot assure that we will generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy these obligations. Any inability to satisfy these pension obligations may have a material adverse effect on the operational and financial health of our business.

Unexpected events may materially harm our ability to align when we incur expenses with when we recognize revenues

We incur operating expenses based upon anticipated revenue trends. Since a high percentage of these expenses are relatively fixed, a delay in recognizing revenue from transactions related to these expenses (such a delay may be due to the factors described elsewhere in this risk factor section or it may be due to other factors) could cause significant variations in operating results from quarter to quarter, and such a delay could materially reduce operating income. If these expenses are not subsequently followed by revenues, our business, financial condition, or results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

We may fail to achieve our financial forecasts due to inaccurate sales forecasts or other factors

Our revenues and particularly our new software license revenues are difficult to forecast, and, as a result, our quarterly operating results can fluctuate substantially. We use a pipeline system, a common industry practice, to forecast sales and trends in our business. Our sales personnel monitor the status of all proposals and estimate when a customer will make a purchase decision and the dollar amount of the sale. These estimates are aggregated periodically to generate a sales pipeline. Our pipeline estimates can prove to be unreliable both in a particular quarter and over a longer period of time, in part because the conversion rate or closure rate of the pipeline into contracts can be very difficult to estimate. A contraction in the conversion rate, or in the pipeline itself, could cause us to plan or budget incorrectly and adversely affect our business or results of operations. In particular, a slowdown in IT spending or economic conditions generally can unexpectedly reduce the conversion rate in particular periods as purchasing decisions are delayed, reduced in amount or cancelled. The conversion rate can also be affected by the tendency of some of our customers to wait until the end of a fiscal period in the hope of obtaining more favorable terms, which can also impede our ability to negotiate and execute these contracts in a timely manner. In addition, for newly acquired companies, we have limited ability to immediately predict how their pipelines will convert into sales or revenues following the acquisition and their conversion rate post-acquisition may be quite different from their historical conversion rate.

The restructuring of our operations may, adversely affect our business or our finances

We often undertake initiatives to restructure or streamline our operations. We may incur costs associated with implementing a restructuring initiative beyond the amount contemplated when we first developed the initiative, and these increased costs may be substantial. As well such costs would decrease our net income and earnings per share for the periods in which those adjustments are made. We will continue to evaluate our operations, and may propose future restructuring actions as a result of changes in the marketplace, including the exit from less profitable operations or the decision to terminate services which are not valued by our customers. Any failure to successfully execute these initiatives on a timely basis may have a material adverse impact on our operations.

Table of Contents

Our international operations expose us to business risks that could cause our operating results to suffer

We intend to continue to make efforts to increase our international operations and anticipate that international sales will continue to account for a significant portion of our revenue. These international operations are subject to certain risks and costs, including the difficulty and expense of administering business and compliance abroad, compliance with domestic and foreign laws (including without limitation domestic and international import and export laws and regulations), costs related to localizing products for foreign markets, and costs related to translating and distributing products in a timely manner. International operations also tend to be subject to a longer sales and collection cycle. In addition, regulatory limitations regarding the repatriation of earnings may adversely affect the transfer of cash earned from foreign operations. Significant international sales may also expose us to greater risk from political and economic instability, unexpected changes in Canadian, United States or other governmental policies concerning import and export of goods and technology, regulatory requirements, tariffs and other trade barriers. Additionally, international earnings may be subject to taxation by more than one jurisdiction, which may materially adversely affect our effective tax rate. Also, international expansion may be more difficult, time consuming, and costly. As a result, if revenues from international operations do not offset the expenses of establishing and maintaining foreign operations, our operating results will suffer. Moreover, in any given quarter, a change in foreign exchange rates may adversely affect our revenue, earnings or other financial measures.

Our products may contain defects that could harm our reputation, be costly to correct, delay revenues, and expose us to litigation

Our products are highly complex and sophisticated and, from time to time, may contain design defects or software errors that are difficult to detect and correct. Errors may be found in new software products or improvements to existing products after commencement of shipments to our customers. If these defects are discovered, we may not be able to successfully correct such errors in a timely manner. In addition, despite the extensive tests we conduct on all our products, we may not be able to fully simulate the environment in which our products will operate and, as a result, we may be unable to adequately detect the design defects or software errors which may become apparent only after the products are installed in an end-user's network. The occurrence of errors and failures in our products could result in the delay or the denial of market acceptance of our products; alleviating such errors and failures may require us to make significant expenditure of our resources. The harm to our reputation resulting from product errors and failures may be materially damaging. Since we regularly provide a warranty with our products, the financial impact of fulfilling warranty obligations may be significant in the future. Our agreements with our strategic partners and end-users typically contain provisions designed to limit our exposure to claims. These agreements regularly contain terms such as the exclusion of all implied warranties and the limitation of the availability of consequential or incidental damages. However, such provisions may not effectively protect us against claims and the attendant liabilities and costs associated with such claims. Although we maintain errors and omissions insurance coverage and comprehensive liability insurance coverage, such coverage may not be adequate to cover all such claims. Accordingly, any such claim could negatively affect our financial condition.

Our products rely on the stability of infrastructure software that, if not stable, could negatively impact the effectiveness of our products, resulting in harm to our reputation and business

Our developments of Internet and intranet applications depend and will depend on the stability, functionality and scalability of the infrastructure software of the underlying intranet, such as the infrastructure software produced by Hewlett-Packard Company, Oracle, Microsoft and others. If weaknesses in such infrastructure software exist, we may not be able to correct or compensate for such weaknesses. If we are unable to address weaknesses resulting from problems in the infrastructure software such that our products do not meet customer needs or expectations, our reputation, and consequently, our business may be significantly harmed.

Table of Contents

Business disruptions may adversely affect our operations

Our business and operations are highly automated and a disruption or failure of our systems may delay our ability to complete sales and to provide services. A major disaster or other catastrophic event that results in the destruction or disruption of any of our critical business or information technology systems could severely affect our ability to conduct normal business operations. This possible disruption may materially and adversely affect our future operating results.

Our revenues and operating results are likely to fluctuate which could materially impact the price of our Common Shares

We experience, and we are likely to continue to experience, significant fluctuations in revenues and operating results caused by many factors, including:

Changes in the demand for our products and for the products of our competitors;

The introduction or enhancement of products by us and by our competitors;

Market acceptance of enhancements or products;

Delays in the introduction of products or enhancements by us or by our competitors;

Customer order deferrals in anticipation of upgrades and new products;

Changes in the lengths of sales cycles;

Changes in our pricing policies or those of our competitors;

Delays in product installation with customers;

Change in the mix of distribution channels through which products are licensed;

Change in the mix of products and services sold;

Change in the mix of international and North American revenues;

Changes in foreign currency exchange rates and LIBOR rates;

Acquisitions and the integration of acquired businesses;

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

Restructuring charges taken in connection with any completed acquisition or otherwise;

Changes in general economic and business conditions; and

Changes in general political developments, such as international trade policies and policies taken to stimulate or to preserve national economies.

A general weakening of the global economy, or economic or business uncertainty created by North American or international political developments, could cancel or delay customer purchases. A cancellation or deferral of even a small number of licenses or delays in the installation of our products could have a material adverse effect on our operations. As a result of the timing of product introductions and the rapid evolution of our business as well as of the markets we serve, we cannot predict whether patterns or trends experienced in the past will continue. For these reasons, you should not rely upon period-to-period comparisons of our financial results to forecast future performance. Our revenue and operating results may vary significantly and this possible variance could materially reduce the market price of our Common Shares.

The volatility of our stock price could lead to losses by shareholders

The market price of our Common Shares has been subject to wide fluctuations. Such fluctuations in market price may continue in response to: (i) quarterly and annual variations in operating results; (ii) announcements of technological innovations or new products that are relevant to our industry; (iii) changes in financial estimates by

Table of Contents

securities analysts; or (iv) other events or factors. In addition, financial markets experience significant price and volume fluctuations that particularly affect the market prices of equity securities of many technology companies. These fluctuations have often resulted from the failure of such companies to meet market expectations in a particular quarter, and thus such fluctuations may or may not be related to the underlying operating performance of such companies. Broad market fluctuations or any failure of our operating results in a particular quarter to meet market expectations may adversely affect the market price of our Common Shares. Occasionally, periods of volatility in the market price of a company's securities may lead to the institution of securities class action litigation against a company. Due to the volatility of our stock price, we may be the target of such securities litigation in the future. Such legal action could result in substantial costs to defend our interests and a diversion of management's attention and resources, each of which would have a material adverse effect on our business and operating results.

We may become involved in litigation that may materially adversely affect us

From time to time in the ordinary course of our business, we may become involved in various legal proceedings, including commercial, product liability, employment, class action and other litigation and claims, as well as governmental and other regulatory investigations and proceedings. Such matters can be time-consuming, divert management's attention and resources and cause us to incur significant expenses. Furthermore, because litigation is inherently unpredictable, the results of any such actions may have a material adverse effect on our business, operations or financial condition.

Our provision for income taxes and effective income tax rate may vary significantly and may adversely affect our results of operations and cash resources.

Significant judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes. Various internal and external factors may have favorable or unfavorable effects on our future provision for income taxes, income taxes receivable, and our effective income tax rate. These factors include, but are not limited to, changes in tax laws, regulations and/or rates, results of audits by tax authorities, changing interpretations of existing tax laws or regulations, changes in estimates of prior years' items, the impact of transactions we complete, future levels of research and development spending, changes in the overall mix of income among the different jurisdictions in which we operate, and changes in overall levels of income before taxes. Furthermore, new accounting pronouncements or new interpretations of existing accounting pronouncements (such as those described in Note 2 Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements), and/or any internal restructuring initiatives we may implement from time to time to streamline our operations, can have a material impact on our effective income tax rate.

Tax examinations are often complex as tax authorities may disagree with the treatment of items reported by us, the result of which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Although we believe our estimates are reasonable, the ultimate outcome with respect to the taxes we owe may differ from the amounts recorded in our financial statements, and this difference may materially affect our financial results in the period or periods for which such determination is made.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties

Our properties consist of owned and leased office facilities for sales, support, research and development, consulting and administrative personnel, totaling approximately 112,000 square feet of owned facilities and 1,226,222 square feet of leased facilities.

Table of Contents

Owned Facilities

Our headquarters is located in Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, and it consists of approximately 112,000 square feet. We currently utilize the entire facility for our operations. The land upon which the building stands is leased from the University of Waterloo (the University), for a period of 49 years beginning in December 2005, with an option to renew for an additional term of 49 years. The option to renew is exercisable by us upon providing written notice to the University not earlier than the 40th anniversary and not later than the 45th anniversary of the lease commencement date.

We have obtained a mortgage from a Canadian chartered bank which has been secured by a lien on our headquarters in Waterloo. For more information regarding this mortgage please refer to Note 10 Long-term Debt to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, under Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

In May 2009, we received approval from our Board of Directors to start construction of a facility on an adjacent parcel of land to our existing Waterloo facility which will consist of 120,000 square feet. We have not commenced the construction of this facility as of yet, however we expect that the construction thereof will begin in Fiscal 2011 and will take at least 12 months.

Leased Facilities

We lease 1,226,222 square feet both domestically and internationally. Our significant leased facilities include the following:

Grasbrunn facility, located in Germany, totaling 339,195 square feet;

Richmond Hill facility, located in Toronto, Ontario, Canada, totaling 101,458 square feet;

Austin facility, located in Texas, United States, totaling 85,898 square feet;

Bellevue facility, located in Washington, United States, totaling 54,855 square feet;

Hyderabad facility, located in India, totaling 66,838 square feet; and

Konstanz facility, located in Germany, totaling 50,747 square feet.

Due to restructuring and merger integration initiatives, we have vacated 415,906 square feet of our leased properties. The vacated space has either been sublet or is being actively marketed for sublease or disposition.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

In the normal course of business, we are subject to various legal claims, as well as potential legal claims. While the results of litigation and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, we believe that the final outcome of these matters will not have a materially adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations or financial conditions.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

Our Common Shares have traded on the NASDAQ stock market since 1996 under the symbol "OTEX" and our Common Shares have traded on the Toronto Stock Exchange ("TSX") since 1998 under the symbol "OTC". The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices for our Common Shares, as reported by the TSX and NASDAQ, respectively, for the periods indicated below.

| | NASDAQ (in USD) | | TSX (in CAD) | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|----------|-----------------|----------|
| | High | Low | High | Low |
| Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2010: | | | | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 50.97 | \$ 37.07 | \$ 51.25 | \$ 39.02 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 49.55 | \$ 39.37 | \$ 51.92 | \$ 41.42 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 41.44 | \$ 35.82 | \$ 42.99 | \$ 38.39 |
| First Quarter | \$ 40.19 | \$ 33.69 | \$ 43.69 | \$ 37.13 |
| Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2009: | | | | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 37.20 | \$ 29.89 | \$ 44.58 | \$ 35.00 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 36.40 | \$ 28.93 | \$ 44.60 | \$ 35.76 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 36.46 | \$ 22.01 | \$ 39.00 | \$ 27.01 |
| First Quarter | \$ 39.09 | \$ 28.70 | \$ 41.14 | \$ 29.60 |

On July 7, 2010, the closing price of our Common Shares on the NASDAQ was \$38.44 per share, and on the TSX was Canadian \$40.25 per share.

As at July 7, 2010, we had 360 shareholders of record holding our Common Shares of which 315 were U.S. shareholders.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

None.

Dividend Policy

We have historically not paid cash dividends on our capital stock. We currently intend to retain earnings, if any, for use in our business, and we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

Stock Purchases

The following table provides details of Common Shares purchased by the Company during the three months ended June 30, 2010:

**PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES OF THE COMPANY FOR THE THREE
MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010**

| Period | (a) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased | (b) Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit) | (c) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or | (d) Maximum Number of Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or |
|--------|--|---|---|---|
|--------|--|---|---|---|

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | Programs | Programs |
|---------------------|---------|----|-----------------|-----------------|
| 04/1/10 to 04/30/10 | | \$ | | |
| 05/1/10 to 05/31/10 | 307,579 | \$ | 45.52 | 153,790 |
| 06/1/10 to 06/30/10 | | \$ | | |
| Total | 307,579 | \$ | 45.52 | 153,790 |

Table of Contents

The above represents Common Shares issuable, in the future, in connection with performance share units granted under our Fiscal 2010 long-term incentive plan. For more details of this repurchase, please see Treasury Stock under Note 11 Share Capital, Option Plans and Share-based Payments , under Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The price paid for the Common Shares was at the prevailing market price at the time of repurchase.

Stock Performance Graph and Cumulative Total Return

The following graph compares for each of the five fiscal years ended June 30, 2010, the yearly percentage change in the cumulative total shareholder return on our Common Shares with the cumulative total return on:

An index of companies in the internet and software services industry which is maintained by Hemscott, Inc. (herein referred to as the Hemscott Group Index);

the NASDAQ Market Index; and

the S&P/TSX Composite Index

Table of Contents

The graph illustrates the cumulative return on a \$100 investment in our Common Shares made on June 30, 2005, as compared with the cumulative return on a \$100 investment in the Hemscoff Index, the NASDAQ Market Index and the S&P/TSX Composite Index (collectively referred to as the Indices) made on the same day. Dividends declared on securities comprising the respective Indices are assumed to be reinvested. The performance of our Common Shares, as set out in the graph is based upon historical data and is not indicative of, nor intended to forecast, future performance of our Common Shares. The graph lines merely connect measurement dates and do not reflect fluctuations between those dates.

The chart below provides information with respect to the value of \$100 invested on June 30, 2005, in our Common Shares as well as in the other Indices, assuming dividend reinvestment when applicable:

| | June 30, | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Open Text Corporation | \$ 100.00 | \$ 101.91 | \$ 153.56 | \$ 226.53 | \$ 257.02 | \$ 264.93 |
| Hemscoff Group Index | \$ 100.00 | \$ 102.18 | \$ 127.71 | \$ 122.05 | \$ 99.54 | \$ 111.30 |
| NASDAQ Market Index | \$ 100.00 | \$ 106.44 | \$ 127.60 | \$ 113.21 | \$ 91.52 | \$ 106.15 |
| S&P/TSX Composite | \$ 100.00 | \$ 131.51 | \$ 169.24 | \$ 188.60 | \$ 122.81 | \$ 150.70 |

To the extent that this Annual Report on Form 10-K has been or will be specifically incorporated by reference into any filing by us under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, the foregoing Stock Performance Graph and Cumulative Total Return shall not be deemed to be soliciting materials or to be so incorporated, unless specifically otherwise provided in any such filing.

Table of Contents**Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans**

The following table sets forth summary information relating to our various stock compensation plans as of June 30, 2010:

| Plan Category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants, and rights (a) | Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants, and rights (b) | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column a) (c) |
|--|--|--|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders: | 1,658,391 | \$ 18.20 | 1,382,345 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | | | |
| Under restricted stock awards (1) | 8,886 | n/a | |
| Under deferred / performance stock awards | 4,299 | n/a | 307,579 |
| Total | 1,671,576 | \$ n/a | 1,689,924 |

(1) These restricted stock awards were assumed in connection with our acquisitions. No additional awards were or can be granted under the plan that originally issued these awards.

Canadian Tax Matters**Dividends**

Under the 1980 U.S.-Canada Income Tax Convention (the Convention), a Canadian withholding tax of 15% applies to the gross amount of dividends (including stock dividends) paid or credited to beneficial owners of our Common Shares: who are resident in the U.S. for the purposes of the Convention; and who do not hold the shares in connection with a business carried on through a permanent establishment or a fixed location in Canada.

The Convention provides an exemption from withholding tax on dividends paid or credited to certain tax-exempt organizations that are resident in the U.S. for purposes of the Convention. Persons who are subject to the U.S. federal income tax on dividends may be entitled, subject to certain limitations, to either a credit or deduction with respect to Canadian income taxes withheld with respect to dividends paid or credited on our Common Shares.

The Fifth Protocol to the 1980 tax treaty between Canada and the U.S. entered into force on December 15, 2008 and is generally effective in respect of taxes withheld at source on February 1, 2009 (and in respect of other taxes for taxation years beginning after December 31, 2008).

Under the Protocol, dividends are subject to a 5% withholding tax where the beneficial owner is a company (including fiscally transparent entities as from 1 January 2010) that holds at least 10% of the voting stock of the company paying the dividends; otherwise, the rate is 15%.

We have never paid cash dividends on our capital stock, and we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

Sales or Other Dispositions of Shares

Gains on sales or other dispositions of our Common Shares by a non-resident of Canada are generally not subject to Canadian income tax, unless the holder realizes the gains in connection with a business carried on in Canada. A gain realized upon the disposition of our Common Shares by a resident of the U.S. that is otherwise subject to Canadian tax may be exempt from Canadian tax under the Convention.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following table summarizes our selected consolidated financial data for the periods indicated. The selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The selected consolidated statement of income and balance sheet data for each of the five years indicated below has been derived from our audited financial statements. Over the last five fiscal years we have acquired a number of companies including Hummingbird Ltd., Captaris Inc., and Vignette Corporation. The results of these companies and all of our other acquired companies have been included herein and have contributed to the growth in our revenues, net income and net income per share.

| | Fiscal Year Ended June 30, | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (in thousands, except per share data) | | | | |
| Statement of Income Data: | | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 912,023 | \$ 785,665 | \$ 725,532 | \$ 595,664 | \$ 409,562 |
| Net income | \$ 87,554 | \$ 56,938 | \$ 53,006 | \$ 21,660 | \$ 4,978 |
| Net income per share, basic | \$ 1.56 | \$ 1.09 | \$ 1.04 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.10 |
| Net income per share, diluted | \$ 1.53 | \$ 1.07 | \$ 1.01 | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.10 |
| Weighted average number of Common Shares outstanding, basic | 56,280 | 52,030 | 50,780 | 49,393 | 48,666 |
| Weighted average number of Common Shares outstanding, diluted | 57,385 | 53,271 | 52,604 | 50,908 | 49,950 |

| | As of June 30, | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 1,714,024 | \$ 1,507,236 | \$ 1,434,676 | \$ 1,326,845 | \$ 678,035 |
| Long-term liabilities | \$ 404,912 | \$ 500,070 | \$ 491,980 | \$ 513,140 | \$ 57,108 |
| Cash dividends per Common Share | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, including this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains forward-looking statements regarding future events and our future results that are subject to the safe harbors within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and created under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. All statements other than statements of historical facts are statements that could be deemed forward-looking statements.

Certain statements in this report may contain words such as anticipates, expects, intends, plans, believes, seeks, estimates, may, could, would and other similar language and are considered forward looking statements or information under applicable securities laws. In addition, any information or statements that refer to expectations, beliefs, plans, projections, objectives, performance or other characterizations of future events or circumstances, including any underlying assumptions, are forward-looking, and based on our current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections about the operating environment, economies and markets in which we operate. Such forward-looking information or statements are subject to important assumptions, risks and uncertainties that are difficult to predict, and the actual outcome may be materially different. Our assumptions, although considered reasonable by us at the date of this report, may prove to be inaccurate and consequently our actual results could differ materially from the expectations set out herein.

Table of Contents

You should not rely too heavily on the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, because these forward-looking statements are relevant only as of the date they were made. We undertake no obligation to revise or publicly release the results of any revisions to these forward-looking information or statements. You should carefully review Part I, Item 1A Risk Factors and other documents we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission and other applicable securities regulators. A number of factors may materially affect our business, financial condition, operating results and prospects. These factors include but are not limited to those set forth in Part I, Item 1A Risk Factors and elsewhere in this report. Any one of these factors, and other factors that we are unaware of, or currently deem immaterial, may cause our actual results to differ materially from recent results or from our anticipated future results.

The following MD&A is intended to help readers understand our results of operations and financial condition, and is provided as a supplement to, and should be read in conjunction with, our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (the Notes) under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

All percentage comparisons made herein under the section titled Fiscal 2010 Compared to Fiscal 2009, refer to the twelve months ended June 30, 2010 (Fiscal 2010) compared with the twelve months ended June 30, 2009 (Fiscal 2009). All percentage comparisons made herein under the section titled Fiscal 2009 Compared to Fiscal 2008, refer to Fiscal 2009 compared with the twelve months ended June 30, 2008 (Fiscal 2008).

Where we say we, us, our, Open Text or the Company, we mean Open Text Corporation or Open Text Corporation and its subsidiaries, as applicable.

BUSINESS OVERVIEW

Open Text

We are an independent company providing Enterprise Content management (ECM) software solutions. ECM is the set of technologies used to capture, manage, store, preserve, find and retrieve structured and unstructured content. We focus solely on ECM software solutions with a view to being recognized as The Content Experts in the software industry. We endeavor to be at the leading edge of content management technology, by regularly upgrading and improving on our product offerings. We have endeavored to achieve this objective internally and through acquisitions of companies that own technologies we feel will benefit our clients.

Our initial public offering was on the NASDAQ in 1996 and we were subsequently listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange in 1998. We are a multinational company and currently employ approximately 3,900 people worldwide.

Fiscal 2010 Highlights and Features:

Fiscal 2010 was overall a successful year for us. In terms of our operating results:

Total revenue increased by 16.1% on a year over year basis to \$912.0 million.

License revenue increased to \$238.1 million, a 3.6% increase over Fiscal 2009.

Customer support revenue increased to \$507.5 million, a 25.2% increase over Fiscal 2009.

Operating cash flows increased to \$180.2 million, a 2.3% increase over Fiscal 2009.

Our overall cash and cash equivalents balance at June 30, 2010 increased by \$50.4 million over June 30, 2009. Other Fiscal 2010 highlights and features were as follows:

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

For the June 2010 G-20 summit held in Toronto, Ontario, Canada, where leaders from around the world gathered to discuss key economic issues, Open Text provided a secure social networking

Table of Contents

application which would allow the summit delegates to communicate and collaborate with one another, both in advance of and during the summit. The application Open Text provided supported multiple languages and allowed the delegates to access secure networks from different sources, including through their mobile devices.

In May 2010, Open Text was awarded a 2010 SAP Pinnacle award, presented by SAP to partners whom SAP believes have excelled in enhancing the customer experience. Winners were selected based on over 230 nominations in 27 categories received from partners and SAP employees. This is the third year in a row in which we have received this award.

In April 2010, we acquired Nstein Technologies Inc. (Nstein). See Acquisitions below for more details. We also announced in April that we would start to integrate Nstein's technology with our own to make content analytics more pervasive across our entire ECM suite of products. The content analytics capabilities will enhance the existing information retrieval capabilities provided by the native search function inherent in our ECM suite.

In April 2010, we were recognized as one of the top 25 Canadian software companies, based on growth in revenue, as published on the Branham300 list, put out by the Branham Group, a Canadian industry analyst and strategic consulting firm serving the global information technology marketplace.

In March 2010, we announced the unveiling of a new application called Open Text Everywhere, which is an application that will make the Open Text ECM Suite available via mobile devices. The Open Text Everywhere application aligns with our strategy to continue to help organizations manage content to improve productivity, which now includes managing information sent or received from mobile devices.

In December 2009, we released version 14 of Connectivity Solutions, which leverages the new productivity and security features of Microsoft Windows 7 and offers organizations the ability to smoothly transition to the latest Microsoft platform.

In October 2009, we announced the expansion of our SAP reseller agreement to include SAP Extended ECM by Open Text. This marks a further expansion of our relationship with SAP.

In October 2009, we announced the latest release of Open Text Enterprise Connect. The new version enhances Enterprise Connect as a content service that facilitates the blending of content and processes within users' preferred working environments.

Acquisitions

Our competitive position in the marketplace requires us to maintain a complex and evolving array of technologies, products, services and capabilities. In light of the continually evolving marketplace in which we operate, we regularly evaluate various acquisition opportunities within the ECM marketplace and elsewhere in the high technology industry. We believe our acquisitions support our long-term strategic direction, and are intended to strengthen our competitive position, expand our customer base, provide greater scale to accelerate innovation, and increase shareholder value. We expect to continue to strategically acquire companies, products, services and technologies to augment our existing business.

During Fiscal 2010 we have continued our acquisition activity with the following acquisitions:

Burntsand Inc. (Burntsand)

On May 27, 2010, we completed our acquisition of Burntsand Inc. (Burntsand) for \$10.8 million, inclusive of cash acquired. Burntsand, based in Toronto, Ontario, Canada, is a provider of technology consulting services for customers with complex information processing and information management requirements, focusing in particular in areas such as Enterprise Content Management, Collaboration and Service Management. We believe

Table of Contents

Burntsand will complement and enhance our current service offerings to further strengthen our position in the ECM market. For more details relating to the acquisition of Burntsand, see Note 14 Acquisitions to our consolidated financial statements.

Nstein Technologies Inc.

On April 1, 2010, we acquired Nstein Technologies Inc., a software company based in Montreal, Quebec, Canada, for \$33.9 million, inclusive of cash acquired, and consideration paid in Open Text shares. Nstein provides content management solutions which help enterprises centralize, understand and manage large amounts of content. Nstein’s solutions include its patented Text Mining Engine which allows users to more easily search through different content and data. Our goal is to use Nstein’s solutions to leverage and better enhance our own product offering, thus further strengthening our position as an independent leader in the ECM market. For more details relating to the acquisition of Nstein, see Note 14 Acquisitions to our consolidated financial statements.

Vignette Corporation (Vignette)

On July 21, 2009, we acquired Vignette, a provider of ECM software products for \$321.4 million, inclusive of cash acquired, equity consideration provided and the fair value of shares already owned prior to acquisition date. Vignette is based in Austin, Texas with worldwide operations. We believe that this acquisition will further consolidate our position in the ECM marketplace and help strengthen our Web Content Management product offering in conjunction with our existing RedDot products. For more details relating to the acquisition of Vignette, see Note 14 Acquisitions to our consolidated financial statements.

Partners

Partnerships are fundamental to the Open Text business. We have developed strong and mutually beneficial relationships with key technology partners, including major software vendors, systems integrators, and storage vendors, which we believe gives us leverage to deliver customer-focused solutions. Key partnership alliances of Open Text include, but are not limited to, Oracle®, Microsoft®, and SAP®. We rely on close cooperation with partners for sales and product development, as well as for the optimization of opportunities which arise in our competitive environment. We aim to strengthen our global partner program, with emphasis on developing strategic relations and achieving close integration with partners. Our partners continue to generate business in key areas such as archiving, records management and compliance.

Outlook for Fiscal 2011

We believe that we have a strong position in the ECM market and that the market for content solutions remains generally stable. We think that our diversified geographic profile helps strengthen our position, in that approximately half of our revenues comes from outside of North America and thus helps cushion us from a downturn in any one specific region. Additionally, we believe that our focus on compliance based products also helps to partially insulate us from downturns in the macroeconomic environment. We also believe we have a strong position in the ECM market because over 50% of our revenues are from customer support revenues, which are generally a recurring source of income, and we expect this trend will continue.

We expect our revenue mix for Fiscal 2011 to be in the following ranges:

| <i>(% of total revenue)</i> | |
|-----------------------------|------------|
| License | 25% to 30% |
| Customer support | 50% to 55% |
| Services and other | 20% to 25% |

Table of Contents**FISCAL 2010 COMPARED TO FISCAL 2009****Revenues****Revenue by Product Type and Geography:**

The following tables set forth our revenues by product, and as a percentage of total revenue as well as revenue by major geography and as a percentage of total revenue for each of the periods indicated:

Revenue by product type

| (In thousands) | 2010 | 2009 | Change/ increase (decrease) |
|--------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| License | \$ 238,074 | \$ 229,818 | \$ 8,256 |
| Customer support | 507,452 | 405,310 | 102,142 |
| Services and Other | 166,497 | 150,537 | 15,960 |
| Total | \$ 912,023 | \$ 785,665 | \$ 126,358 |

| (% of total revenue) | 2010 | 2009 |
|----------------------|--------|--------|
| License | 26.1% | 29.3% |
| Customer support | 55.6% | 51.6% |
| Services and Other | 18.3% | 19.1% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Revenue by Geography

| (In thousands) | 2010 | 2009 | Change/ increase (decrease) |
|----------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| North America | \$ 472,157 | \$ 391,855 | \$ 80,302 |
| Europe | 372,819 | 351,384 | 21,435 |
| Other | 67,047 | 42,426 | 24,621 |
| Total | \$ 912,023 | \$ 785,665 | \$ 126,358 |

| % of total revenue | 2010 | 2009 |
|--------------------|--------|--------|
| North America | 51.8% | 49.9% |
| Europe | 40.9% | 44.7% |
| Other | 7.3% | 5.4% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

License Revenues consists of fees earned from the licensing of software products to customers. Our license revenues are impacted by the strength of general economic and industry conditions, the competitive strength of our software products, and our acquisitions.

License revenues increased by \$8.3 million in Fiscal 2010 as compared to Fiscal 2009. The increase in license revenue is geographically attributable to an increase in North America license sales of \$5.8 million and an increase in license sales in other geographies of \$5.5 million. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in Europe of \$3.0 million.

Table of Contents

Overall our increase in license revenue is primarily due to the impact of our Fiscal 2010 acquisitions and the result of a larger quantity of deals in excess of \$1 million achieved in Fiscal 2010 compared to Fiscal 2009. (19 large deals achieved in Fiscal 2010 compared to 15 large deals achieved in Fiscal 2009).

Customer Support Revenue consists of revenue from our customer support and maintenance agreements. These agreements allow our customers to receive technical support, enhancements and upgrades to new versions of our software products when and if available. Customer support revenue is generated from support and maintenance relating to current year sales of software products and from the renewal of existing maintenance agreements for software licenses sold in prior periods. Therefore, changes in customer support revenue do not always correlate directly to the changes in license revenue from period to period. The terms of support and maintenance agreements are typically twelve months, with customer renewal options.

Customer support revenues increased by approximately \$102.1 million in Fiscal 2010 as compared to Fiscal 2009. This was partially due to the impact of our Fiscal 2010 acquisitions and growth of our North America operations.

The increase in customer support revenues was attributable to an increase in North America customer support sales of \$58.8 million, an increase in Europe customer support sales of \$27.3 million and the remainder of the change due to sales generated in other geographies.

Service and Other Revenues. Service revenue consists of revenues from consulting contracts and contracts to provide implementation, training and integration services (Professional Services). Other revenue consists of hardware revenue. These revenues are grouped within the Service and Other category because they are relatively immaterial. Professional Services, if purchased, are typically performed after the purchase of new software licenses.

Service and other revenues increased by approximately \$16.0 million in Fiscal 2010 as compared to Fiscal 2009. The increase in service and other revenues is partially due to the impact of our Fiscal 2010 acquisitions. Geographically, the increase is due to an increase in North America of \$15.7 million, partially offset by a decrease in revenues from Europe of \$2.9 million. The remainder of the difference was due to increased revenue generated in other geographies.

Cost of Revenue and Gross Margin by Product Type

The following tables set forth the changes in cost of revenues and gross margin by product type for the periods indicated:

| (In thousands) | 2010 | 2009 | Change/ increase (decrease) |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| License | \$ 16,922 | \$ 16,204 | \$ 718 |
| Customer Support | 83,741 | 68,902 | 14,839 |
| Service and Other | 135,396 | 118,998 | 16,398 |
| Amortization of acquired technology-based intangible assets | 60,472 | 47,733 | 12,739 |
| Total | \$ 296,531 | \$ 251,837 | \$ 44,694 |

| Gross Margin | 2010 | 2009 |
|-------------------|-------|-------|
| License | 92.9% | 92.9% |
| Customer Support | 83.5% | 83.0% |
| Service and Other | 18.7% | 21.0% |

Table of Contents

Cost of license revenues consists primarily of royalties payable to third parties and product media duplication, instruction manuals and packaging expenses.

Cost of license revenue increased marginally by \$0.7 million during Fiscal 2010 as compared to Fiscal 2009 and overall gross margin on cost of license revenue has remained stable at approximately 93%.

Cost of customer support revenues is comprised primarily of technical support personnel and related costs, as well as third party royalty costs.

Cost of customer support revenues increased by \$14.8 million during Fiscal 2010 as compared to Fiscal 2009. The increase in costs is primarily due to an increase in direct costs associated with the corresponding increase in customer support revenues.

Overall gross margin on customer support revenue has remained relatively stable at approximately 83%.

Cost of service and other revenues consists primarily of the costs of providing integration, customization and training with respect to our various software products. The most significant components of these costs are personnel-related expenses, travel costs and third party subcontracting.

Cost of services and other revenues increased by \$16.4 million during Fiscal 2010, primarily as a result of higher training and support costs associated with an increase in service and other revenues. Of this increase approximately \$0.7 million, was the result of our Hardware costs (and sales) commencing in the second quarter of Fiscal 2009.

Overall gross margin on cost of services and other revenues has decreased compared to the prior fiscal year primarily on account of an increase in direct labour and labour-related costs.

Amortization of acquired technology-based intangible assets increased by \$12.7 million due to the increase in intangible assets on account of acquisitions during Fiscal 2010.

Operating Expenses

The following table sets forth total operating expenses by function and as a percentage of total revenue for the periods indicated:

| (In thousands) | 2010 | 2009 | Change/ increase (decrease) |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| Research and development | \$ 129,378 | \$ 116,164 | \$ 13,214 |
| Sales and marketing | 198,208 | 186,533 | 11,675 |
| General and administrative | 83,295 | 73,842 | 9,453 |
| Depreciation | 17,425 | 12,012 | 5,413 |
| Amortization of acquired customer-based intangible assets | 35,940 | 33,259 | 2,681 |
| Special charges | 43,666 | 14,434 | 29,232 |
| Total | \$ 507,912 | \$ 436,244 | \$ 71,668 |

| (in % of total revenue) | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Research and development | 14.2% | 14.8% |
| Sales and marketing | 21.7% | 23.7% |
| General and administrative | 9.1% | 9.4% |
| Depreciation | 1.9% | 1.5% |
| Amortization of acquired customer-based intangible assets | 3.9% | 4.2% |
| Special charges | 4.8% | 1.8% |

Table of Contents

Research and development expenses consist primarily of personnel expenses, contracted research and development expenses, and facility costs. Research and development enables organic growth and as such we dedicate extensive efforts to update and upgrade our product offering. The primary driver is typically budgeted software upgrades and software development.

Research and development expenses increased by \$13.2 million primarily due to an increase in direct labour and labour-related benefits and expenses of \$15.9 million, partially offset by a reduction in consulting-related expenses.

Overall, our research and development expenses, as a percentage of total revenues, have remained relatively stable at roughly 14%.

Headcount at June 30, 2010 related to research and development activities, increased by 211 employees compared to June 30, 2009.

Our expectation for Fiscal 2011 is that research and development expenses will be in the range of 14% - 16% of total revenue.

Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of personnel expenses and costs associated with advertising and trade shows.

Sales and marketing expenses increased by \$11.7 million primarily due to an increase in direct labour and labour-related benefits and expenses of \$10.8 million. The remainder of the difference is principally due to changes in other miscellaneous sales and marketing-related expenses.

Overall, our sales and marketing expenses, as a percentage of total revenues, have decreased as a result of efficiencies achieved.

Headcount at June 30, 2010 related to sales and marketing activities increased by 9 employees compared to June 30, 2009.

Our expectation for Fiscal 2011 is that sales and marketing expenses will be in the range of 21% -23% of total revenue.

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of personnel expenses, related overhead, audit fees, other professional fees, consulting expenses and public company costs.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$9.5 million primarily due to an increase in direct labour and labour-related benefits and expenses of \$9.3 million. The remainder of the difference can be attributed to changes in other miscellaneous items.

Overall, our general and administrative expenses, as a percentage of total revenues, have remained relatively stable at roughly 9%.

Headcount at June 30, 2010 related to general and administrative activities increased by 7 employees compared to June 30, 2009.

Our expectation for Fiscal 2011 is that general and administrative expenses will be in the range of 8% -10% of total revenue.

Depreciation expenses increased by \$5.4 million in Fiscal 2010, as a result of capital asset acquisitions made by us in Fiscal 2010.

Table of Contents

Amortization of acquired customer-based intangible assets increased by \$2.7 million due to an increase in intangible assets resulting from acquisitions made by us in Fiscal 2010.

Special charges typically relate to amounts that we expect to pay in connection with restructuring plans relating to employee workforce reduction and abandonment of excess facilities, impairment of long-lived assets, acquisition related costs (with effect from July 1, 2009 and onwards) and other similar charges. Generally, we implement such plans in the context of streamlining existing Open Text operations with that of acquired entities. Actions related to such restructuring plans are, more often than not, completed within a period of one year. In certain limited situations, if the planned activity does not need to be implemented, or an expense lower than anticipated is paid out, we record a recovery of the originally recorded expense to special charges.

In accordance with the new business combination accounting rules which are applicable to us with effect from July 1, 2009, acquisition-related expenses are required to be included in the determination of income and may not, as was permitted earlier, be capitalized as part of the cost of the acquisition. As a result, we recorded an additional expense (within Special charges) of \$3.2 million during Fiscal 2010 on account of expenses related to these acquisitions.

For more details on Special charges, see Note 13 to our consolidated financial statements.

Other income (expense) relates to certain non-operational charges consisting primarily of foreign exchange gains (losses), changes in the market value of financial assets/hedges, and tax-related penalties.

For Fiscal 2010, net other expense increased by \$5.1 million, as compared to the prior fiscal year primarily due to the impact of transactional foreign currency adjustments, offset by a gain of \$4.4 million resulting from re-measuring to fair value our investment in Vignette common shares held before the date of acquisition (see Note 14 to our consolidated financial statements).

Net interest expense is primarily made up of cash interest paid on our debt facilities offset by interest income earned on our cash and cash equivalents.

Interest expense relates primarily to interest paid on our long-term debt obtained for the purpose of partially financing our Hummingbird acquisition (the term loan). The term loan bears floating-rate interest at LIBOR plus a fixed rate which is currently set at 2.25% per annum. The carrying value of the term loan, as of June 30, 2010, is approximately \$288.0 million.

Net interest expense decreased by \$3.3 million primarily due to a decrease in the interest paid on the term loan on account of lower interest rates in Fiscal 2010.

For more details on interest expenses see Note 10 to our consolidated financial statements, and also the discussion under Long-term Debt and Credit Facilities under the Liquidity and Capital Resources section of this MD&A.

Income taxes: The reduction in tax expense in Fiscal 2010 compared to Fiscal 2009 was primarily due to the impacts of an internal reorganization of our international subsidiaries initiated to consolidate our intellectual property within certain jurisdictions and to effect an operational reduction of our global subsidiaries with a view to, eventually, having a single operating legal entity in each jurisdiction.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The following table sets forth changes in cash flow from operating, investing and financing activities for the periods indicated:

| (In thousands) | 2010 | 2009 | Change/ increase (decrease) |
|---|------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| Cash provided by operating activities | \$ 180,191 | \$ 176,170 | \$ 4,021 |
| Cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (109,821) | (160,829) | 51,008 |
| Cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (7,395) | 24,798 | (32,193) |
| <i>Cash flows provided by operating activities</i> | | | |

Cash flows from operating activities increased marginally by \$4.0 million in Fiscal 2010 due to an increase in net income of \$30.6 million and non-cash adjustments of \$14.6 million. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in operating assets and liabilities of \$41.2 million.

The increase in non-cash adjustments was primarily due to (i) an increase in depreciation and amortization expense of \$20.8 million, largely as the result of the impact of acquisition-related activities and fixed asset purchases, (ii) an increase in excess tax benefits on share based compensation expense of \$7.5 million and (iii) an increase in share based compensation expense of \$4.7 million. These increases were offset by (i) a decrease in pension expense of \$1.2 million, (ii) a release of an unrealized gain on marketable securities to income of \$4.4 million, and (iii) a decrease in deferred taxes of \$14.3 million. The remaining change in non-cash adjustments relates to miscellaneous items.

The decrease in operating assets and liabilities was primarily due to, (i) a decrease in cash flows of \$19.2 million relating to a higher accounts receivable balance, (ii) a decrease in cash flows of \$20.6 million as a result of a net increase in other assets, and (iii) a decrease in cash flows of \$18.2 million related to a lower net income taxes payable balance. These decreases were offset by (i) an increase in cash flows of \$9.9 million relating to a higher deferred revenue balance, (ii) an increase in cash flows of \$4.7 million relating to a higher accounts payable balance, and (iii) an increase in cash flows of \$2.3 million due to a lower prepaid and other assets balance. The remaining change in operating assets and liabilities relates to miscellaneous items.

Overall, the year over year growth in operating cash flows was adversely impacted by increased restructuring costs in Fiscal 2010. Additionally, starting in Fiscal 2010 acquisition-related costs are required to be recorded as a reduction of operating cash flow, whereas in Fiscal 2009 these could be capitalized as part of the acquisition and hence included under investing costs. Absent the incremental impact of such costs and the internal reorganization costs incurred in Fiscal 2010, operating cash flow for Fiscal 2010 was approximately \$206 million.

Cash flows used in investing activities

Our cash flows used in investing activities are primarily on account of business acquisitions.

In Fiscal 2010, cash flows used in investing activities decreased by approximately \$51.0 million. This was primarily due to the maturity of short-term investments we held, of \$45.5 million which occurred in Fiscal 2010 and an overall reduction in acquisition related spending of approximately \$3.7 million. The remainder of the decrease was due to changes in miscellaneous items.

Cash flows from financing activities

Our cash flows from financing activities consist of long-term debt financing and monies received from shares exercised by our employees. These inflows are typically offset by scheduled and non-scheduled repayments of our long-term debt financing and, when applicable, the repurchases of our shares.

During Fiscal 2010, cash flow from financing activities decreased by \$32.2 million primarily due to (i) a decrease in the proceeds from common shares exercised by our employees in the amount of \$9.6 million, (ii) a

Table of Contents

decrease in excess tax benefits on share-based compensation expense in the amount of \$7.5 million, and (iii) a repurchase of our shares in the amount of \$14.0 million (compared to nil in Fiscal 2009), to fund an executive long-term incentive compensation plan. The remainder of the decrease was due to other financing related activities. We did not enter into any new or additional long-term debt arrangements during the year.

Long-term Debt and Credit Facilities

On October 2, 2006, we entered into a \$465.0 million credit agreement (the credit agreement) with a Canadian chartered bank (the bank) consisting of the term loan facility in the amount of \$390.0 million and a \$75.0 million committed revolving long-term credit facility (the revolver). The term loan was used to partially finance the Hummingbird acquisition and the revolver will be used for general business purposes, if necessary. No amount has been drawn under the revolver to date. The credit agreement is guaranteed by us and certain of our subsidiaries. For details relating to this and our other credit facilities, see Note 10 to our consolidated financial statements.

The material financial covenants under our term loan agreement are that:

We must maintain a consolidated leverage ratio of no more than 3:1 at the end of each financial quarter. Consolidated leverage ratio is defined for this purpose as the proportion of our total debt, including guarantees and letters of credit, over our trailing twelve months net income before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA); and

We must maintain a consolidated interest coverage ratio of 3:1 or more at the end of each financial quarter. Consolidated interest coverage ratio is defined for this purpose as our consolidated EBITDA over our consolidated interest expense. As of June 30, 2010, the carrying value of the term loan was \$288.0 million and we were in compliance with all loan covenants relating to this facility.

We anticipate that our cash and cash equivalents, as well as available credit facilities and committed loan facilities will be sufficient to fund our anticipated cash requirements for working capital, contractual commitments, and capital expenditures for the foreseeable future. Any material acquisition related activities may require additional sources of financing.

Pensions

As part of the acquisition of Captaris, we acquired an unfunded pension plan and certain long-term employee benefit plans. As of June 30, 2010, our total unfunded pension plan obligation was \$16.4 million, of which \$0.5 million is payable within the next 12 months. We expect to be able to make the long term and short term payments related to these obligations, in the normal course. For a detailed discussion see Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements.

Commitments and Contractual Obligations

We have entered into the following contractual obligations with minimum annual payments for the indicated fiscal periods as follows:

| | Total | Period ending June 30, 2011 | Payments due by | | |
|-------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2013 | July 1, 2013 - June 30, 2015 | July 1, 2015 and beyond |
| Long-term debt obligations | \$ 325,074 | \$ 23,267 | \$ 20,956 | \$ 280,851 | \$ |
| Operating lease obligations * | 120,372 | 27,091 | 33,377 | 24,419 | 35,485 |
| Purchase obligations | 6,255 | 4,316 | 1,924 | 15 | |
| | \$ 451,701 | \$ 54,674 | \$ 56,257 | \$ 305,285 | \$ 35,485 |

* Net of \$6.6 million of non-cancelable sublease income to be received from properties which we have subleased to other parties.

Table of Contents

The long-term debt obligations are comprised of interest and principal payments on our term loan agreement and a five-year mortgage on our headquarters in Waterloo, Ontario, Canada. See Note 10 to our consolidated financial statements. As of June 30, 2010 there were no borrowings outstanding under our revolver, however if the revolver is drawn down, it would increase our contractual obligations.

Litigation

We are subject from time to time to legal proceedings and claims, either asserted or unasserted, that arise in the ordinary course of business. While the outcome of these proceedings and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, our management does not believe that the outcome of any of these legal matters will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not enter into off-balance sheet financing as a matter of practice except for the use of operating leases for office space, computer equipment, and vehicles. None of the operating leases described in the previous sentence has, or potentially may have, a material current or future effect on our financial condition, revenue, expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, neither the lease liability nor the underlying asset is carried on the balance sheet, as the terms of the leases do not meet the criteria for capitalization.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires us to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements. These estimates, judgments and assumptions are evaluated on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable at that time, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ materially from those estimates. In particular, significant estimates, judgments and assumptions include those related to: (i) revenue recognition, (ii) allowance for doubtful accounts, (iii) testing goodwill for impairment, (iv) the valuation of acquired intangible assets, (v) the valuation of long-lived assets, (vi) the recognition of contingencies, (vii) restructuring accruals, (viii) acquisition accruals and pre-acquisition contingencies, (ix) asset retirement obligations, (x) realization of investment tax credits, (xi) the valuation of stock options granted and liabilities related to share-based payments, including the valuation of our long-term incentive plan, (xii) the valuation of financial instruments, (xiii) the valuation of pension assets and obligations, and (xiv) accounting for income taxes.

Revenue recognition

a) License revenues

We recognize revenue in accordance with Statement of Position (SOP) 97-2, *Software Revenue Recognition* (SOP 97-2) issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) in October 1997, as amended by SOP 98-9 issued in December 1998.

We record product revenue from software licenses and products when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the software product has been shipped, there are no significant uncertainties surrounding product acceptance by the customer, the fees are fixed and determinable, and collection is considered probable. We use the residual method to recognize revenue on delivered elements when a license agreement includes one or more elements to be delivered at a future date if evidence of the fair value of all undelivered elements exists. If an undelivered element for the arrangement exists under the license arrangement, revenue related to the undelivered element is deferred based on vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE) of the fair value of the undelivered element.

Table of Contents

Our multiple-element sales arrangements include arrangements where software licenses and the associated post contract customer support (PCS) are sold together. We have established VSOE of the fair value of the undelivered PCS element based on the contracted price for renewal PCS included in the original multiple element sales arrangement, as substantiated by contractual terms and our significant PCS renewal experience, from our existing worldwide base. Our multiple element sales arrangements generally include irrevocable rights for the customer to renew PCS after the bundled term ends. The customer is not subject to any economic or other penalty for failure to renew. Further, the renewal PCS options are for services comparable to the bundled PCS and cover similar terms.

It is our experience that customers generally exercise their renewal PCS option. In the renewal transaction, PCS is sold on a stand-alone basis to the licensees one year or more after the original multiple element sales arrangement. The exercised renewal PCS price is consistent with the renewal price in the original multiple element sales arrangement, although an adjustment to reflect consumer price changes is not uncommon.

If VSOE of fair value does not exist for all undelivered elements, all revenue is deferred until sufficient evidence exists or all elements have been delivered.

We assess whether payment terms are customary or extended in accordance with normal practice relative to the market in which the sale is occurring. Our sales arrangements generally include standard payment terms. These terms effectively relate to all customers, products, and arrangements regardless of customer type, product mix or arrangement size. Exceptions are only made to these standard terms for certain sales in parts of the world where local practice differs. In these jurisdictions, our customary payment terms are in line with local practice.

b) Service revenues

Service revenues consist of revenues from consulting, implementation, training and integration services. These services are set forth separately in the contractual arrangements such that the total price of the customer arrangement is expected to vary as a result of the inclusion or exclusion of these services. For those contracts where the services are not essential to the functionality of any other element of the transaction, we determine VSOE of fair value for these services based upon normal pricing and discounting practices for these services when sold separately. These consulting and implementation services contracts are primarily time and materials based contracts that are, on average, less than six months in length. Revenue from these services is recognized at the time such services are rendered as the time is incurred by us.

We also enter into contracts that are primarily fixed fee arrangements wherein the services are not essential to the functionality of a software element. In such cases, the proportional performance method is applied to recognize revenue.

Revenues from training and integration services are recognized in the period in which these services are performed.

c) Customer support revenues

Customer support revenues consist of revenue derived from contracts to provide PCS to license holders. These revenues are recognized ratably over the term of the contract. Advance billings of PCS are not recorded to the extent that the term of the PCS has not commenced and payment has not been received.

Deferred revenue

Deferred revenue primarily relates to support agreements which have been paid for by customers prior to the performance of those services. Generally, the services will be provided in the twelve months from signing of the agreement.

Table of Contents

Long-term sales contracts

We entered into certain long-term sales contracts involving the sale of integrated solutions that include the modification and customization of software and the provision of services that are essential to the functionality of the other elements in this arrangement. As prescribed by ASC Topic 985-605, Software-Revenue Recognition (ASC Topic 985-606), we recognize revenue from such arrangements in accordance with the contract accounting guidelines in ASC Topic 605-35, Construction-Type and Production-Type Contracts (ASC Topic 605-35), after evaluating for separation of any non-ASC Topic 605-35 elements in accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 605-25, Multiple-Element Arrangements (ASC Topic 605-25).

When circumstances exist that allow us to make reasonably dependable estimates of contract revenues, contract costs and the progress of the contract to completion, we account for sales under such long-term contracts using the percentage-of-completion (POC) method of accounting. Under the POC method, progress towards completion of the contract is measured based upon either input measures or output measures. We measure progress towards completion based upon an input measure and calculate this as the proportion of the actual hours incurred compared to the total estimated hours. For training and integration services rendered under such contracts, revenues are recognized as the services are rendered. We will review, on a quarterly basis, the total estimated remaining costs to completion for each of these contracts and apply the impact of any changes on the POC prospectively. If at any time we anticipate that the estimated remaining costs to completion will exceed the value of the contract, the loss will be recognized immediately.

When circumstances exist that prevent us from making reasonably dependable estimates of contract revenues, we account for sales under such long-term contracts using the completed contract method.

Sales to resellers and channel partners

We execute certain sales contracts through resellers and distributors (collectively, resellers) and also large, well-capitalized partners such as SAP AG and Accenture Inc. (collectively, channel partners).

We recognize revenue relating to sales through resellers when all the recognition criteria have been met in other words, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred in the reporting period, the fee is fixed and determinable, and collectability is probable. Typically, we recognize revenue to resellers only after the reseller communicates the occurrence of end-user sales to us, since we do not have privity of contract with the end-user. In addition we assess the creditworthiness of each reseller and if the reseller is newly formed, undercapitalized or in financial difficulty any revenues expected to emanate from such resellers are deferred and recognized only when cash is received and all other revenue recognition criteria are met.

We recognize revenue relating to sales through channel partners in the reporting period in which we receive evidence, from the channel partner, of end user sales (collectively, the documentation) and all other revenue recognition criteria have been met. As a result, if the documentation is not received within a given reporting period we recognize the revenue in a period subsequent to the period in which the channel partner completes the sale to the end user.

Rights of return and other incentives

We do not generally offer rights of return or any other incentives such as concessions, product rotation, or price protection and, therefore, do not provide for or make estimates of rights of return and similar incentives.

Allowance for product returns

We provide allowances for estimated returns and return rights that exist for certain legacy Captaris customers. In general, our customers are not granted return rights at the time of sale. However, Captaris has

Table of Contents

historically accepted returns and, has therefore, reduced recognized revenue for estimated product returns. For those customers to whom we do grant return rights, we reduce revenue by an estimate of these returns. If we cannot reasonably estimate these returns, we defer the revenue until the return rights lapse. For software sold to resellers for which we have granted exchange rights, we defer the revenue until the reseller sells the software through to end-users. When customer acceptance provisions are present and we cannot reasonably estimate returns, we recognize revenue upon the earlier of customer acceptance or expiration of the acceptance period.

Business combinations

In Fiscal 2010, we adopted Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 805, *Business Combinations* (ASC Topic 805), which revised the accounting guidance that we were required to apply for our acquisitions in comparison to prior fiscal years. The underlying principles are similar to the previous guidance and require that we recognize separately from goodwill the identifiable assets acquired and the liabilities assumed, generally at their acquisition date fair values. Goodwill as of the acquisition date is measured as the excess of consideration transferred over the net of the acquisition date fair values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed. While we use our best estimates and assumptions as a part of the purchase price allocation process to accurately value assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date, our estimates are inherently uncertain and subject to refinement. As a result, during the measurement period, which may be up to one year from the acquisition date, we record adjustments to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, with the corresponding offset to goodwill to the extent that we identify adjustments to the preliminary purchase price allocation. Upon the conclusion of the measurement period or final determination of the values of assets acquired or liabilities assumed, whichever comes first, any subsequent adjustments are recorded to our Consolidated Statements of Income.

As a result of adopting the revised accounting guidance provided for by ASC Topic 805 as of the beginning of Fiscal 2010, certain of our policies differ when accounting for acquisitions in Fiscal 2010 and future periods in comparison to the accounting for acquisitions in Fiscal 2009 and prior periods, including:

The direct transaction costs associated with the business combination are expensed as incurred (prior to Fiscal 2010, direct transaction costs were included as a part of the purchase price);

The costs to exit or restructure certain activities of an acquired company are accounted for separately from the business combination (prior to Fiscal 2010, these restructuring and exit costs were included as a part of the assumed obligations in deriving the purchase price allocation); and

Changes in estimates associated with income tax valuation allowances or uncertain tax positions after the measurement period are generally recognized as income tax expense with application of this policy also applied prospectively to all of our business combinations regardless of the acquisition date (prior to Fiscal 2010, any such changes were generally included as a part of the purchase price allocation indefinitely).

Costs to exit or restructure certain activities of an acquired company or our internal operations are accounted for as one-time termination and exit costs pursuant to ASC Topic 420, *Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations* (ASC Topic 420), and, as noted above, are accounted for separately from the business combination.

Uncertain tax positions and tax related valuation allowances assumed in connection with a business combination are initially estimated as of the acquisition date and we reevaluate these items quarterly with any adjustments to our preliminary estimates being recorded to goodwill provided that we are within the measurement period and we continue to collect information relating to facts and circumstances that existed at acquisition date. Changes to these uncertain tax positions and tax related valuation allowances made subsequent to the measurement period or if they relate to facts and circumstances that do not exist at acquisition date, are recorded in our provision for income taxes in our Consolidated Statement of Income.

Table of Contents

Acquired intangibles

Acquired intangibles consist of acquired technology and customer relationships associated with various acquisitions.

Acquired technology is initially recorded at fair value based on the present value of the estimated net future income-producing capabilities of software products acquired on acquisitions. We amortize acquired technology over its estimated useful life on a straight-line basis.

Customer relationships represent relationships that we have with customers of the acquired companies and are either based upon contractual or legal rights or are considered separable, that is, capable of being separated from the acquired entity and being sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged. These customer relationships are initially recorded at their fair value based on the present value of expected future cash flows. We amortize customer relationships on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives.

We continually evaluate the remaining estimated useful life of our intangible assets being amortized to determine whether events and circumstances warrant a revision to the remaining period of amortization.

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price in a business combination over the fair value of net tangible and intangible assets acquired.

ASC Topic 350 Intangibles Goodwill and Other (ASC Topic 350) requires the carrying amounts of these assets be periodically reviewed for impairment (at least annually for goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets) and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of these assets may not be recoverable. The goodwill impairment analysis is comprised of two steps. In the first step, we compare the fair value of each reporting unit to its carrying value. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds the carrying value of the net assets assigned to that unit, goodwill is not considered impaired and we are not required to perform further testing. If the carrying value of the net assets assigned to the reporting unit exceeds the fair value of the reporting unit, then we must perform the second step of the impairment test in order to determine the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill. If the carrying value of a reporting unit's goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, then we would record an impairment loss equal to the difference. Recoverability of indefinite lived intangible assets is measured by comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to the future discounted cash flows the asset is expected to generate. If the asset is considered to be impaired, the amount of any impairment is measured as the difference between the carrying value and the fair value of the impaired asset.

We allocate goodwill to reporting units on a geographical basis comprising of three reporting segments: North America, Europe, and Other ; Other primarily consists of Australia, Japan, Singapore and the United Arab Emirates. The primary valuation method selected was the income approach (discounted cash flow) to estimate the fair value of the reporting units and have also considered the market approach to test the reasonableness of the conclusions reached through the primary approach. Significant management judgment is required in the forecasting of future operating results and related assumptions that are used in the preparation of the projected discounted cash flows. Should different conditions prevail, material write-downs of net intangible assets could occur. There have been no significant changes in management's valuation assumptions from the prior year assessment. The fair value of the reporting units have been determined based upon the present value of future cash flows for each of the reporting units and based upon a discount rate that represents a risk adjusted rate of return. For this purpose we have used a discount rate of 11% based upon our estimate of the weighted average cost of our capital.

Our annual impairment analysis of goodwill was performed as of April 1, 2010. The analysis indicated that carrying values were substantially above their fair values and therefore there was no impairment for goodwill in any of our reporting units during Fiscal 2010. (No impairments were recorded for Fiscal 2009 and Fiscal 2008).

Table of Contents

Impairment of long-lived assets

We account for the impairment and disposition of long-lived assets in accordance with ASC Topic 360, *Property, Plant, and Equipment* (ASC Topic 360). We test long-lived assets or asset groups, such as capital assets and definite lived intangible assets, for recoverability when events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable. Circumstances which could trigger a review include, but are not limited to: significant adverse changes in the business climate or legal factors; current period cash flow or operating losses combined with a history of losses or a forecast of continuing losses associated with the use of the asset; and a current expectation that the asset will more likely than not be sold or disposed of before the end of its estimated useful life.

Recoverability is assessed based on comparing the carrying amount of the asset to the aggregate pre-tax undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposal of the asset or asset group. Impairment is recognized when the carrying amount is not recoverable and exceeds the fair value of the asset or asset group. The impairment loss, if any, is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds fair value, which for this purpose is based upon the discounted projected future cash flows of the asset or asset group. We have not recorded any impairment charges for long-lived assets during Fiscal 2009 and Fiscal 2008. During Fiscal 2010 we recorded an impairment charge to intangible assets of \$0.3 million. See Note 13 to our consolidated financial statements for further details.

Allowance for doubtful accounts

We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of customers to make payments. We evaluate the creditworthiness of our customers prior to order fulfillment and based on these evaluations, we adjust our credit limit to the respective customer. In addition to these evaluations, we conduct on-going credit evaluations of our customers' payment history and current creditworthiness. The allowance is maintained for 100% of all accounts deemed to be uncollectible and, for those receivables not specifically identified as uncollectible, an allowance is maintained for a specific percentage of those receivables based upon the aging of accounts, our historical collection experience and current economic expectations. To date, the actual losses have been within our expectations. No single customer accounted for more than 10% of the accounts receivable balance as of June 30, 2010 and 2009.

Income taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with ASC Topic 740, *Income Taxes* (ASC Topic 740). Deferred tax assets and liabilities arise from temporary differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years. These temporary differences are measured using enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance is recorded to reduce deferred tax assets to the extent that we consider it is more likely than not that a deferred tax asset will not be realized. In determining the valuation allowance, we consider factors such as the reversal of deferred income tax liabilities, projected taxable income, and the character of income tax assets and tax planning strategies. A change to these factors could impact the estimated valuation allowance and income tax expense.

We account for our uncertain tax provisions by using a two-step approach to recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates it is more likely than not, based solely on the technical merits, that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. The second step is to measure the appropriate amount of the benefit to recognize. The amount of benefit to recognize is measured as the maximum amount which is more likely than not to be realized. The tax position is derecognized when it is no longer more likely than not capable of being sustained. On subsequent recognition and measurement the maximum amount which is more likely than not to be recognized at each reporting date will represent the Company's best estimate, given the information available at the reporting date, although the outcome of the tax

Table of Contents

position is not absolute or final. Upon adopting the revisions in ASC Topic 740, we elected to follow an accounting policy to classify accrued interest related to liabilities for income taxes within the Interest expense line and penalties related to liabilities for income taxes within the Other expense line of our Consolidated Statements of Income (see Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements for more details).

Restructuring charges

We record restructuring charges relating to contractual lease obligations and other exit costs in accordance with ASC Topic 420, Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations (ASC Topic 420). ASC Topic 420 requires that a liability for a cost associated with an exit or disposal activity be recognized and measured initially at its fair value in the period in which the liability is incurred. In order to incur a liability pursuant to ASC Topic 420, our management must have established and approved a plan of restructuring in sufficient detail. A liability for a cost associated with involuntary termination benefits is recorded when benefits have been communicated and a liability for a cost to terminate an operating lease or other contract is incurred when the contract has been terminated in accordance with the contract terms or we have ceased using the right conveyed by the contract, such as vacating a leased facility.

The recognition of restructuring charges requires us to make certain judgments regarding the nature, timing and amount associated with the planned restructuring activities, including estimating sub-lease income and the net recoverable amount of equipment to be disposed of. At the end of each reporting period, we evaluate the appropriateness of the remaining accrued balances. For details, see Note 13 to our consolidated financial statements.

Litigation

We are currently involved in various claims and legal proceedings. Quarterly, we review the status of each significant matter and assess our potential financial exposure. If the potential loss from any claim or legal proceeding is considered probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated, we accrue a liability for the estimated loss in accordance with ASC Topic 450 Contingencies .

Share-based payment

We measure share-based compensation costs, in accordance with ASC Topic 718, Compensation Stock Compensation (ASC Topic 718) on the grant date, based on the calculated fair value of the award. We have elected to treat awards with graded vesting as a single award when estimating fair value. Compensation cost is recognized on a straight-line basis over the employee requisite service period, which in our circumstances is the stated vesting period of the award, provided that total compensation cost recognized at least equals the pro rata value of the award that has vested. Compensation cost is initially based on the estimated number of options for which the requisite service is expected to be rendered. This estimate is adjusted in the period once actual forfeitures are known. For details, see Note 11 to our consolidated financial statements.

Accounting for Pensions, post-retirement and post-employment benefits

Pension expense is accounted for in accordance with ASC Topic 715, Compensation Retirement Benefits (ASC Topic 715), based upon management's assumptions. Pension expense consists of: actuarially computed costs of pension benefits in respect of the current year of service, imputed returns on plan assets (for funded plans) and imputed interest on pension obligations. The expected costs of post retirement benefits, other than pensions, are accrued in the financial statements based upon actuarial methods and assumptions. The over-funded or under-funded status of defined benefit pension and other post retirement plans are recognized as an asset or a liability (with the offset to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income within Shareholders' equity), respectively, on the balance sheet. See Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements for details relating to our pension plans.

Table of Contents**FISCAL 2009 COMPARED TO FISCAL 2008****Revenues****Revenue by Product Type and Geography:**

The following tables set forth our revenues by product, and as a percentage of total revenue as well as revenue by major geography and as a percentage of total revenue for each of the periods indicated:

Revenue by product type

| (In thousands) | 2009 | 2008 | Change/ increase (decrease) |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| License | \$ 229,818 | \$ 219,103 | \$ 10,715 |
| Customer support | 405,310 | 363,580 | 41,730 |
| Services | 150,537 | 142,849 | 7,688 |
| Total | \$ 785,665 | \$ 725,532 | \$ 60,133 |

| (% of total revenue) | 2009 | 2008 |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| License | 29.3% | 30.2% |
| Customer support | 51.6% | 50.1% |
| Services | 19.1% | 19.7% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Revenue by Geography

| (In thousands) | 2009 | 2008 | Change/ increase (decrease) |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| North America | \$ 391,855 | \$ 338,508 | \$ 53,347 |
| Europe | 351,384 | 350,094 | 1,290 |
| Other | 42,426 | 36,930 | 5,496 |
| Total | \$ 785,665 | \$ 725,532 | \$ 60,133 |

| % of total revenue | 2009 | 2008 |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| North America | 49.9% | 46.7% |
| Europe | 44.7% | 48.2% |
| Other | 5.4% | 5.1% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% |

License Revenues consists of fees earned from the licensing of software products to customers. Our license revenues are affected by the strength of general economic and industry conditions, the competitive strength of our software products, and our acquisitions. Our license business is also characterized by long sales cycles whereby the timing of a few large software license transactions can substantially affect our quarterly new software license revenues.

License revenue increased by approximately \$10.7 million primarily as the result of increased revenues from our North America operations and the impact of increased partner influenced sales.

Table of Contents

The increase in license revenue is geographically attributable to an increase in North America license sales of \$10.8 million and an increase in license sales in other geographies of \$2.4 million offset by a decrease in Europe license sales of \$2.5 million.

Overall, our average license transaction size (for sales in excess of \$75,000) increased in Fiscal 2009 compared to Fiscal 2008 as set out in the table below.

| Fiscal Year | Fourth Quarter | Third Quarter | Second Quarter | First Quarter |
|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 2009 | \$ 320,000 | \$ 370,000 | \$ 240,000 | \$ 310,000 |
| 2008 | \$ 300,000 | \$ 290,000 | \$ 240,000 | \$ 220,000 |

Customer Support Revenues consists of revenues from our customer support and maintenance agreements. These agreements allow our customers to receive technical support, enhancements and upgrades to new versions of our software products when and if available. Customer support revenue is generated from support and maintenance relating to current year sales of software products and from the renewal of existing maintenance agreements for software licenses sold in prior periods. Therefore changes in customer support revenues do not necessarily correlate directly to the changes in license revenues from period to period. The terms of support and maintenance agreements are typically twelve months, with customer renewal options.

Customer support revenues increased in Fiscal 2009 by approximately \$41.7 million. This was largely due to the growth of our North America operations.

The increase in customer support revenues was attributable to an increase in North America customer support sales of \$34.2 million, an increase in Europe Customer support sales of \$6.0 million and the remainder of the increase is due to sales generated in other geographies.

Service and Other Revenues. Service revenue consists of revenues from consulting contracts and contracts to provide implementation, training and integration services (Professional Services). Other revenue consists of hardware revenue. These revenues are grouped within the Service and Other category because they are relatively immaterial. Professional Services, if purchased, are typically performed after the purchase of new software licenses.

The increase in Services and other revenues is due to an increase in North America Service and other revenues of \$8.5 million, offset by a decrease in Europe Service and other revenues by \$2.2 million. The remainder of the change in Service and other revenues is from revenue generated in other geographies.

Cost of Revenue and Gross Margin by Product Type

The following tables set forth the changes in cost of revenues and gross margin by product type for the periods indicated:

| (In thousands) | 2009 | 2008 | Change/ increase (decrease) |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| License | \$ 16,204 | \$ 15,415 | \$ 789 |
| Customer Support | 68,902 | 58,764 | 10,138 |
| Service and Other | 118,998 | 117,037 | 1,961 |
| Amortization of acquired technology-based intangible assets | 47,733 | 41,515 | 6,218 |
| Total | \$ 251,837 | \$ 232,731 | \$ 19,106 |

| Gross Margin | 2009 | 2008 |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------|
| License | 92.9% | 93.0% |
| Customer Support | 83.0% | 83.8% |

Service and Other

21.0%

18.1%

45

Table of Contents

Cost of license revenues consists primarily of royalties payable to third parties and product media duplication, instruction manuals and packaging expenses.

Cost of license revenue increased slightly by \$0.8 million primarily due to an increase in direct costs associated with increased license revenues for Fiscal 2009. Overall gross margin on cost of license revenue has remained relatively stable at approximately 93%.

Cost of customer support revenues is comprised primarily of technical support personnel and related costs, as well as third party royalty costs.

Cost of customer support revenues increased by \$10.1 million, which was primarily due to an increase in direct costs, associated with increased customer support revenues for Fiscal 2009. Overall gross margin on customer support revenue has remained relatively stable at approximately 83%.

Cost of service and other revenues consists primarily of the costs of providing integration, customization and training with respect to our various software products. The most significant components of these costs are personnel-related expenses, travel costs and third party subcontracting. Also, starting in the second quarter of Fiscal 2009, the cost of selling hardware was grouped within this category.

Overall gross margins on service and other revenues have improved as a result of higher margins related to hardware sales.

Amortization of acquired technology-based intangible assets increased by \$6.2 million due to the increase in intangible assets in Fiscal 2009 on account of the acquisitions made by us in Fiscal 2009.

Operating Expenses

The following table sets forth total operating expenses by function and as a percentage of total revenue for the periods indicated:

| (In thousands) | 2009 | 2008 | Change/ increase (decrease) |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Research and development | \$ 116,164 | \$ 107,206 | \$ 8,958 |
| Sales and marketing | 186,533 | 172,873 | 13,660 |
| General and administrative | 73,842 | 69,985 | 3,857 |
| Depreciation | 12,012 | 12,017 | (5) |
| Amortization of acquired customer-based intangible assets | 33,259 | 30,759 | 2,500 |
| Special charges (recoveries) | 14,434 | (418) | 14,852 |
| Total | \$ 436,244 | \$ 392,422 | \$ 43,822 |

| (in % of total revenue) | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-------|--------|
| Research and development | 14.8% | 14.8% |
| Sales and marketing | 23.7% | 23.8% |
| General and administrative | 9.4% | 9.6% |
| Depreciation | 1.5% | 1.7% |
| Amortization of acquired customer-based intangible assets | 4.2% | 4.2% |
| Special charges (recoveries) | 1.8% | (0.1)% |

Research and development expenses consist primarily of personnel expenses, contracted research and development expenses, and facility costs. Research and development enables organic growth and as such we dedicate extensive efforts every quarter to update and upgrade our product offering. The primary driver is typically budgeted software upgrades and software development.

Table of Contents

Research and development expenses as a percentage of total revenue remained stable at 14.8% for both Fiscal 2009 and 2008.

Research and development expenses increased by approximately \$9.0 million, due to an increase in direct labour and labour-related benefits and expenses of \$11.2 million as well as an increase in consulting expenses of \$3.2 million offset by a decrease in overhead expenses of \$2.7 million and a decrease in miscellaneous expenses of \$2.2 million. The remainder of the difference was due to a decrease in miscellaneous research and development related expenses.

Headcount at June 30, 2009 related to research and development activities increased by 164 employees compared to June 30, 2008.

Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of personnel expenses and costs associated with advertising and trade shows.

Sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of total revenue remained relatively stable at 23.7% and 23.8% for Fiscals 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Sales and marketing expenses increased by \$13.7 million primarily due to an increase in direct labour and labour-related benefits and expenses of \$9.5 million and an increase in consulting expenses of \$2.2 million. The remainder of the difference was due to an increase in miscellaneous sales and marketing related expenses.

Headcount at June 30, 2009 related to sales and marketing activities increased by 101 employees compared to June 30, 2008.

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of personnel expenses, related overhead, audit fees, other professional fees, consulting expenses and public company costs.

General and administrative expenses as a percentage of total revenue remained relatively stable at 9.4% and 9.6% for Fiscal 2009 and 2008, respectively.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$3.9 million, which was primarily due to an increase in direct labour and labour-related benefits and expenses in the amount of \$4.9 million, offset by a decrease in travel expenses in the amount of \$1.1 million, and a decrease in overhead expenses in the amount of \$1.0 million. The remainder of the difference was due to an increase in miscellaneous general and administrative expenses.

Headcount at June 30, 2009, related to general and administrative activities increased by 111 employees compared to June 30, 2008.

Depreciation expenses remained consistent in Fiscal 2009 as compared to Fiscal 2008.

Amortization of acquired intangible customer-based assets increased by \$2.5 million due to the increase in intangible assets in Fiscal 2009 on account of the acquisitions made by us in Fiscal 2009.

Special charges (recoveries) typically relate to amounts that we expect to pay on account of restructuring plans relating to employee workforce reduction and abandonment of excess facilities, impairment of long-lived assets and other similar charges. Generally, we implement such plans in the context of streamlining existing Open Text operations that get impacted by significant acquisitions. Actions related to such restructuring plans are, more often than not, completed within a period of one year. In certain limited situations, if the planned activity does not need to be implemented, or an expense lower than anticipated is paid out, we record a recovery of the originally recorded expense to Special charges. Prior to July 1, 2009, restructuring plans relating to legacy

Table of Contents

employee workforce reduction and abandonment of legacy excess facilities of the acquired company were not included within Special charges, but were accounted for as part of the cost of the acquisition, unless such charges relate to additional accruals recorded after the purchase price allocation period.

The increase in Special charges was due to the implementation of the Fiscal 2009 Restructuring Plan which was announced in the second quarter of Fiscal 2009. The Plan is designed to restructure our workforce and to rationalize and consolidate our excess facilities.

Net interest expense is primarily made up of cash interest paid on our term loan and the unrealized gain (loss) on our interest rate collar, offset by interest income earned on our cash and cash equivalents.

Interest expense relates primarily to interest paid on our \$390.0 million long-term debt obtained in October 2006, (the term loan), for the purpose of partially financing our Hummingbird acquisition. The term loan bears floating-rate interest at LIBOR plus a fixed rate which was currently set at 2.25% per annum in Fiscal 2009.

Net interest expense decreased by \$9.2 million, which is primarily due to a decrease in the interest expense of the long term loan in the amount of \$10.1 million with the remainder of the change due to miscellaneous items.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We are primarily exposed to market risks associated with fluctuations in interest rates on our term loan and foreign currency exchange rates.

Interest rate risk

Our exposure to interest rate fluctuations relate primarily to our term loan, as we had no borrowings outstanding under our revolver as of June 30, 2010. As of June 30, 2010, we had an outstanding balance of \$288.0 million on the term loan. The term loan bears a floating interest rate of LIBOR plus a fixed rate of 2.25%. As of June 30, 2010, an adverse change in LIBOR of 100 basis points (1.0%) would have the effect of increasing our annual interest payment on the term loan by approximately \$2.9 million, assuming that the loan balance as of June 30, 2010, is outstanding for the entire period.

Foreign currency risk

Our reporting currency is the U.S. dollar. On account of our international operations, a substantial portion of our cash and cash equivalents is held in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. As of June 30, 2010, this balance represented approximately 52% of our total cash and cash equivalents. A 10% adverse change in foreign exchange rates versus the U.S. dollar would have decreased our reported cash and cash equivalents by approximately 5%.

Our international operations expose us to foreign currency fluctuations. Revenues and related expenses generated from subsidiaries, other than those located in the U.S., are generally denominated in the functional currencies of the local countries. These functional currencies include Euros, Canadian Dollars, Australian dollars and British Pounds. The income statements of our international operations are translated into U.S. dollars at the average exchange rates in each applicable period. To the extent the U.S. dollar strengthens against foreign currencies, the foreign currency conversion of these foreign currency denominated transactions into U.S. dollars results in reduced revenues, operating expenses and net income (loss) for our international operations. Similarly, our revenues, operating expenses and net income (loss) will increase for our international operations, if the U.S. dollar weakens against foreign currencies. We cannot predict the effect foreign exchange fluctuations will have on our results going forward. However, if there is a change in foreign exchange rates versus the U.S. dollar, it could have a material effect on our results of operations.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The response to this Item 8 is submitted as a separate section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. See Part IV, Item 15.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

(A) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that material information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(B) Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (ICFR), as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). ICFR is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer and effected by our Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of our financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. ICFR includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of assets; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with the authorizations of our management and our directors; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

Our management assessed our ICFR as of June 30, 2010, the end of our most recent fiscal year. In making our assessment, our management used the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our assessment, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that our ICFR was effective as of June 30, 2010.

Our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, do not expect that our disclosure controls or our ICFR will prevent or detect all error or all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Further, because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud

Table of Contents

will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Any evaluation of prospective control effectiveness, with respect to future periods, is subject to risks. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures.

(C) Attestation Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

KPMG LLP, our independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, has issued a report under Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Auditing Standard No. 5 which includes a report on the effectiveness of our ICFR. See Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(D) Changes in ICFR

As a result of the evaluation completed by us, in which our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer participated, we have concluded that there were no changes in our ICFR during our fourth fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our ICFR.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

Table of Contents**PART III****Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance**

The following table sets forth certain information as to our directors and executive officers as of August 1, 2010.

| Name | Age | Office and Position Currently Held With Company |
|----------------------------|------------|--|
| P. Thomas Jenkins | 50 | Executive Chairman and Chief Strategy Officer |
| John Shackleton | 63 | President and Chief Executive Officer |
| Paul McFeeters | 55 | Chief Financial Officer |
| Randy Fowlie (2)(3) | 50 | Director |
| Brian J. Jackman (1)(3) | 69 | Director |
| Stephen J. Sadler | 59 | Director |
| Michael Slaunwhite (2) | 49 | Director |
| Gail E. Hamilton (1) | 60 | Director |
| Katharine B. Stevenson (2) | 48 | Director |
| Deborah Weinstein (1)(3) | 50 | Director |
| Gordon Davies | 48 | Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary |
| Sujeet Kini | 48 | Vice President, Controller |
| David Wareham | 44 | General Manager, EMEA |
| Eugene Roman | 52 | Chief Technology Officer |
| Tony Preston | 56 | SVP Global Human Resources |
| Paul O. Donnell | 52 | General Manager, Americas |
| James Latham | 52 | Chief Marketing Officer |

(1) Member of the Compensation Committee.

(2) Member of the Audit Committee.

(3) Member of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee.

P. Thomas Jenkins

P. Thomas Jenkins is Executive Chairman and Chief Strategy Officer for Open Text Corporation. From 1994 to 2005, Mr. Jenkins was President, then Chief Executive Officer and then from 2005 to present, Chief Strategy Officer of Open Text. Mr. Jenkins has served as a Director of Open Text since 1994 and as its Chairman since 1998. In addition to his Open Text responsibilities, Mr. Jenkins is the Chair of the federal centre of excellence Canadian Digital Media Network (CDMN). He is also an appointed member of the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (SSHRC), past appointed member of the Government of Canada's Competition Policy Review Panel and past appointed member of the Province of Ontario's Ontario Commercialization Network Review Committee (OCN). Mr. Jenkins is also a member of the board of BMC Software, Inc. a software corporation based in Houston, Texas. He is also a member of the University of Waterloo Engineering Dean's Advisory Council, GRAND, the federal research centre of excellence for digital media, a director of the C.D. Howe Institute, a director of the Canadian International Council (CIC) and a director of the Canadian Council of Chief Executives (CCCE). Mr. Jenkins received an M.B.A. in entrepreneurship & technology management from Schulich School of Business at York University, an M.A.Sc. in electrical engineering from the University of Toronto and a B.Eng. & Mgt. in Engineering Physics and Commerce from McMaster University.

Table of Contents

John Shackleton

Mr. Shackleton has served as a director of Open Text since January 1999 and as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Open Text since July 2005. Mr. Shackleton has more than thirty years of software and services management experience, which includes IT, consulting, product development and sales management roles. Mr. Shackleton joined Open Text from Platinum Technologies, Inc., where he was President of the Platinum Solutions Division from July 1996 to July 1998. This division provided consulting services to Global 2000 customers. Prior to that he served as Vice President of Professional Services for the Central U.S. and South America at Sybase Inc., and served as Vice President of Worldwide Consulting at View Star Corporation, a document management imaging company. In the last five years, Mr. Shackleton also served as a director of BioWisdom Ltd.

Paul McFeeters

Mr. McFeeters was appointed Chief Financial Officer of Open Text in June 2006. Mr. McFeeters has more than twenty years of business experience, including previous employment as Chief Financial Officer of Platform Computing Inc., a grid computing software vendor from 2003 to 2006, and of Kintana Inc., a privately-held IT governance software provider, from 2000 to 2003. Mr. McFeeters also held President and CEO positions at both MD Private Trust from 1997 to 2000 and Municipal Financial Corporation from 1981 to 1996. Since 2009 Mr. McFeeters is also a member of the board of Blueprint Software Systems Inc., an enterprise requirements software solutions provider. Mr. McFeeters holds a Certified Management Accountant designation and attained a B.B.A (Honours) from Wilfrid Laurier University and an MBA from York University, Canada.

Gordon A. Davies

Mr. Davies has been the Company's Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary since September 2009. He also serves as the Corporation's Compliance Officer. Prior to joining Open Text, Mr. Davies was the Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary of Nortel Networks Corporation. During his sixteen years at Nortel, Mr. Davies acted as Deputy General Counsel and Corporate Secretary during 2008, and as interim Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary in 2005 and again in 2007. He led the Corporate Securities legal team as General Counsel Corporate from 2003, with responsibility for providing legal support on all corporate and securities law matters, and spent five years in Europe supporting all aspects of the Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA) business, ultimately as General Counsel, EMEA. Prior to joining Nortel, Mr. Davies practiced securities law at a major Toronto law firm. Mr. Davies holds an LL.B and an MBA from the University of Ottawa, and a BA from the University of British Columbia. He is a member of the Law Society of Upper Canada, the Canadian Bar Association and the Society of Corporate Secretaries and Governance Professionals.

Sujeet Kini

Mr. Kini joined Open Text in August 2004 as Director, External Reporting. In January 2007, Mr. Kini was appointed to the position of Vice President, External Reporting and in December 2009 was appointed to the position of Vice President, Controller. Prior to joining Open Text, Mr. Kini was the Controller of Financial Reporting and Technical Accounting for Direct Energy Marketing Limited (Direct Energy), a supplier of electricity and natural gas products from March 2003 until August 2004. From March 2001 until March 2003, Mr. Kini was Senior Manager, External Reporting at GT Group Telecom Inc. (GT), a company which marketed and sold telecommunication products and services in fibre-optic infrastructure. Prior to working with GT, Mr. Kini worked with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP at their Toronto office from October 1997 to March 2001. Mr. Kini is a Chartered Accountant (Ontario) and a Certified Public Accountant (Colorado). He is also a member of the Financial Executive International Canada's (FEIC) Committee for Corporate Reporting. This is a committee that formulates FEIC statements and positions on matters pertaining to financial accounting, auditing and corporate reporting.

Table of Contents

David Wareham

Mr. Wareham joined Open Text in July 1999 as Senior Vice President, Global Services and Support. At present, since February 2009, Mr. Wareham has been General Manager for Europe, the Middle East, and Africa (EMEA). Mr. Wareham has more than two decades of global experience in the software industry. He began his career as an analyst programmer, supporting finance and human resource systems for the Mars Corporation from 1985 to 1988. He went on to a variety of customer support and professional services management positions for Pansophic Systems Inc., a software development and consulting company (from 1990 to 1992) and Management Science America Inc., an application software company (from 1988 to 1990). Mr. Wareham also held the role of VP Global Support in the United States for Seer Technologies Inc., a provider of information engineering and middleware technologies, from 1992 to 1999.

James Latham

Mr. Latham was appointed Chief Marketing Officer of Open Text in July 2009, focusing on integration and collaboration between various marketing groups. Mr. Latham has more than twenty years of executive leadership and global marketing experience in both start-up and large public software development and integration organizations. Prior to joining Open Text, Mr. Latham led the Marketing Strategy team for worldwide brand management, awareness, perception, and digital relationship marketing at McCann World group, a global marketing communications company and a division of Interpublic Group (IPG) from March 2006 to June 2009. Over the past seven years, Mr. Latham has helped plan, build, execute, optimize and analyze digital advertising campaigns across a wide variety of Microsoft business to business products and services including Enterprise Content Management, Digital Asset Management, and communications software products. Mr. Latham was also Vice President of Marketing and Marketing Strategy for several software companies including IBM (from September 1981 to July 1984) and Lotus Development (from August 1984 to August 1989).

Paul O Donnell

Mr. O Donnell joined Open Text as General Manager, Americas, in March 2008. He is responsible for all customer-facing functions relating to Sales, Professional Service, and Support for Open Text customers in Canada, the United States, and Latin America. With thirty years in the international information technology sector, Mr. O Donnell has conducted business in Western and Eastern Europe, the Eastern Mediterranean, and the Americas. At the age of 28, Mr. O Donnell co-founded a UK software development and services company, Advanced Software Products, and was a director/ proprietor of this company until 1990, when the company was sold. Since the sale of his company, Mr. O Donnell has held various positions in Sales, Sales Management, and General Management for companies such as Lotus Development Corporation (from 1990-1995), Fujitsu/ICL, an enterprise class information and technology manufacturer and solutions provider, (from 1996-1998) and Peak Technologies Inc., a U.S based mobile computing solutions provider (from 2002-2007). Mr. O Donnell holds a degree in Computer Science from Bell College of Technology, Scotland.

Tony Preston

Mr. Preston joined Open Text in October of 2005 as Senior Vice President, Global Human Resources (HR) bringing more than thirty years of HR and leadership experience in direct and indirect management, organizational change, executive development, cultural diversity, and international human resources within the high-technology industry. Mr. Preston has held senior management and executive HR management roles with Nortel Networks Corporation from 1997 to 2003, Andrew Corporation, a supplier of communications, systems and services, during 2004, and was a consultant with Leading Edge Management Systems from 1991 to 1993. Mr. Preston holds a B.S. degree from Greenville College, Illinois, and attended the University of Western Ontario's Ivey Business School in Hong Kong for his Executive Master of Business Administration.

Eugene Roman

Mr. Roman joined Open Text in October 2008 as Chief Information Officer. In February 2010, Mr. Roman was appointed as Chief Technology Officer. Mr. Roman started his career with Nortel Networks Corporation in

Table of Contents

1981 upon graduation from the Faculty of Management Studies at the University of Toronto. Mr. Roman has also worked in various groups within Bell Canada Enterprises Inc. (Bell), a Canadian communications company, where he was Group President Bell Systems & Technology, from 2005 to 2008, and led the effort to enable breakthroughs in productivity and performance and to deliver current and next generation services more efficiently by harnessing the power of Bell's network. From 2002 to 2005, Mr. Roman held the role of Chief Information and Technology Officer at Bell, integrating the critical resources of Information Systems/ Information Technology, technology and processes to better deliver innovative programs. Currently Mr. Roman is on the Board of Directors of a community-based financial institution. He holds a Bachelor's Degree in Economics, a Master's Degree in Business Administration, and is a Certified Management Accountant. In the last five years, Mr. Roman also served as a director of Ukrainian Credit Union Ltd.

Randy Fowlie

Mr. Fowlie has served as a director of Open Text since March 1998. Mr. Fowlie has operated a consulting practice since July 2006. From January 2005 until July 2006, Mr. Fowlie held the position of Vice President and General Manager, Digital Media, of Harris Corporation, formerly Leitch Technology Corporation (Leitch), a company that was engaged in the design, development, and distribution of audio and video infrastructure to the professional video industry. Leitch was acquired in August 2005 by Harris Corporation. From June 1999 to January 2005, Mr. Fowlie held the position of Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Inscribe Technology Corporation (Inscribe), a computer software company; from February 1998 to June 1999 Mr. Fowlie was the Chief Financial Officer of Inscribe. Inscribe was acquired by Leitch in January 2005. Prior to working at Inscribe Mr. Fowlie was a partner with KPMG LLP, Chartered Accountants, where he worked from 1984 to May 1999. Currently, Mr. Fowlie is also a director at Semcan Inc., and Dalsa Corporation. Mr. Fowlie received a B.B.A. (Honours) from Wilfrid Laurier University and he is a Chartered Accountant. In the last five years, Mr. Fowlie also served as a director of Virtek Vision International Inc.

Brian J. Jackman

Mr. Jackman has served as a director of Open Text since December 2002. Mr. Jackman is the President of the Jackman Group Inc., a private consulting firm he founded in 2005. From 1982 until his retirement in September 2001, Mr. Jackman held various positions with Tellabs Inc., a U.S. based manufacturer of telecommunications equipment, most recently as Executive Vice President, President, Global Systems and Technologies and as a member of the board of directors of the company. Prior to joining Tellabs Inc., Mr. Jackman worked for IBM Corporation from 1965 to 1982, in a variety of systems, sales and marketing positions. Mr. Jackman also serves as a director of the following public companies: (i) PC-TEL, Incorporated, and (ii) Keithley Instruments, Incorporated. Mr. Jackman received a B.A from Gannon University and an M.B.A from The Pennsylvania State University.

Stephen J. Sadler

Mr. Sadler has served as a director of Open Text since September 1997. From April 2000 to present, Mr. Sadler has served as the Chairman and CEO of Enhouse Systems Limited, a public software engineering company that develops geographic information systems as well as contact center systems. Mr. Sadler was previously Chief Financial Officer, President and Chief Executive Officer of GEAC. Prior to Mr. Sadler's involvement with GEAC, he held executive positions with Phillips Electronics Limited and Loblaw's Companies Limited. Currently, Mr. Sadler is also a director of the following public companies: i) Enhouse Systems Limited and ii) Belzberg Technologies Inc. In addition, Mr. Sadler is also the Chairman of Helix Investments (Canada) Inc., a position he has held since early 1998. Mr. Sadler holds a B.A. Sc. (Honours) in industrial engineering and an M.B.A. (Dean's List) and he is a Chartered Accountant.

Michael Slaunwhite

Mr. Slaunwhite has served as a director of Open Text since March 1998. Mr. Slaunwhite is presently the Executive Chairman of Halogen Software Inc. Mr. Slaunwhite had served as CEO and Chairman of Halogen

Table of Contents

Software Inc., a provider of employee performance management software, from 2000 to August 2006, and as President and Chairman from 1995 to 2000. From 1994 to 1995, Mr. Slaunwhite was an independent consultant to a number of companies, assisting them with strategic and financing plans. Mr. Slaunwhite was the Chief Financial Officer of Corel Corporation from 1988 to 1993. Mr. Slaunwhite holds B.A. Commerce (Honours) from Carleton University.

Gail E. Hamilton

Ms. Hamilton has served as a director of Open Text since December 2006. For the five years prior thereto, Ms. Hamilton led a team of over 2,000 employees worldwide as Executive Vice President at Symantec Corp (Symantec), an infrastructure software company, and most recently had P&L responsibility for their global services and support business. During her five years at Symantec, Ms. Hamilton helped steer the company through an aggressive acquisition strategy. In 2003 Information Security magazine recognized Ms. Hamilton as one of the 20 Women Luminaries shaping the security industry. Ms. Hamilton has over 20 years of experience growing leading technology and services businesses in the enterprise market. She has extensive management experience at Compaq and Hewlett Packard, as well as Microtec Research. Ms. Hamilton received both a BSEE from the University of Colorado and an MSEE from Stanford University. Currently, Ms. Hamilton is also a director of the following public companies: (i) Ixia (a provider of IP network testing solutions), and (ii) Arrow Electronics, Inc. (a distributor of components and computer systems). In addition Ms. Hamilton also holds directorship at Surgient, Inc. (a supplier of virtualization technology). In the last five years, Ms Hamilton also served as a director of Washington Group International.

Katharine B. Stevenson

Ms. Stevenson has served as a director of Open Text since December of 2008. Ms. Stevenson is a corporate director, serving on both public and not for profit boards. She is a director of CAE Inc., and, until the sale to Astellas in June 2010, Ms. Stevenson served as director and chair of the audit committee of OSI Pharmaceuticals Inc. Both OSI Pharmaceuticals Inc. and CAE Inc. are publicly listed companies. Ms. Stevenson is a Governor of the University of Guelph. As Past Chair of the Board of Governors of The Bishop Strachan School, she continues to serve as a Governor. She is certified with the professional designation ICD.D, granted by the Institute of Corporate Directors (ICD). She was formerly a senior finance executive of Nortel Networks Corporation from 1995 to 2007, serving as global treasurer from 1998 to 2007. From 1984 to 1995, she held a variety of positions in investment and corporate banking at JP Morgan Chase & Co. From 1989 to 1995, Ms. Stevenson was Vice President, providing financial advice to major multinational companies. Ms. Stevenson holds a B.A. (Magna Cum Laude) from Harvard University.

Deborah Weinstein

Ms. Weinstein has served as a director of Open Text since December 2009. Ms. Weinstein is a co-founder and partner of LaBarge Weinstein Professional Corporation, a business law firm based in Ottawa, Ontario, since 1997. Ms. Weinstein's legal practice specializes in corporate finance, securities law, mergers and acquisitions and business law representation of public and private companies, primarily in knowledge-based growth industries. Prior to founding LaBarge Weinstein Professional Corporation, Ms. Weinstein was a partner of the law firm Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP, where she practiced from 1990 to 1997 in Ottawa, and in Toronto from 1985 to 1987. Ms. Weinstein also serves as a director of Dynex Semiconductor Inc., a manufacturer of power semi conductors, as well as a number of not-for-profit Boards. Ms. Weinstein holds an LL.B. from Osgoode Hall Law School, of York University.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

Ms. Stevenson served as the Treasurer of Nortel Networks Corporation from 1998 to August 2007. Mr. Davies served as the Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary of Nortel Networks Corporation during 2007 and from January to September 2009. In January 2009, Nortel filed petitions under applicable bankruptcy and insolvency laws of the United States, Canada and the United Kingdom.

Table of Contents

Mr. Jenkins was a director of Slater Steel Inc. (Slater) from June 2001 to June 2003. In June 2003, Slater filed petitions under applicable bankruptcy and insolvency laws of Canada and the United States to develop a restructuring plan.

Mr. Fowlie was a Director of Meikle Group Inc. (Meikle Group), a private company, from June 2009 to April 2010. Subsequent to Mr. Fowlie's resignation, as part of a restructuring, creditors appointed a receiver to sell the business assets and transfer employees of Meikle Group, as a going concern, to a newly financed company.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee currently consists of three directors, Messrs Fowlie and Slaunwhite, and Ms. Stevenson, with Mr. Fowlie serving as Chairman, all of whom have been determined by the Board of Directors to be independent as that term is defined in NASDAQ Rule S605(a)(2) and in Rule 10A-3 promulgated by the SEC under the Exchange Act, and within the meaning of our director independence standards and those of any exchange, quotation system or market upon which our securities are traded.

The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Fowlie qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as such term is defined in SEC Regulation S-K, Item 407(d)(5)(ii).

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

We have a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (the Code) that applies to all of our directors, officers and employees. The Code incorporates our guidelines designed to deter wrongdoing and to promote honest and ethical conduct, including the ethical handling of actual or apparent conflicts of interest between personal and professional relationships, and compliance with all applicable laws and regulations. The Code also incorporates our expectations of our employees that enable us to provide fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission and other public commissions.

The full text of the Code is published on our web site at www.opentext.com under the Company/Investors section.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

Our Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with our management the following Compensation Discussion and Analysis. Based on this review and discussion, our Compensation Committee has recommended to the Board that the following Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2010.

This report is provided by the following independent directors, who comprise our Compensation Committee:

Gail Hamilton (Chair), Brian J. Jackman, Deborah Weinstein.

To the extent that this Annual Report on Form 10-K has been or will be specifically incorporated by reference into any filing by us under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, this Compensation Committee Report shall not be deemed to be so incorporated soliciting materials, unless specifically otherwise provided in any such filing.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following discussion and analysis of compensation arrangements of our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and our three most highly compensated executive officers, other than our principal executive officer and principal financial officer (collectively, the Named Executive Officers) for the year which ended on June 30, 2010 (Fiscal 2010) should be read together with the compensation tables and related disclosures set forth below. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that are based on our current plans, considerations, expectations and projections regarding future compensation programs. Actual compensation programs that we adopt in the future may differ materially from the various planned programs summarized in this discussion.

Table of Contents

Payments in Canadian dollars included herein, unless otherwise specified, are converted to U.S. dollars using an average annual exchange rate of 0.93903. Payments made in British Pounds included herein, unless otherwise specified, are converted to U.S. dollars using an average annual exchange rate of 1.588925.

Overview of Compensation Program

The Compensation Committee of Open Text's board of directors (the Compensation Committee or the Committee) is responsible for making recommendations to Open Text's board of directors (the Board) with respect to the compensation of our Named Executive Officers. Our Compensation Committee makes recommendations to the Board in line with our goal to provide total compensation to our Named Executive Officers that is fair and reasonable and consistent with our compensation philosophy to achieve our short-term and long-term business goals, and to provide market competitive compensation, the majority of which is based on the achievement of performance goals. The Named Executive Officers who are the subject of this Compensation Discussion and Analysis include:

John Shackleton President and Chief Executive Officer (CEO);

P. Thomas Jenkins Executive Chairman and Chief Strategy Officer (Executive Chairman);

Paul McFeeters Chief Financial Officer (CFO);

Gordon A. Davies Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary; and

David Wareham General Manager, EMEA.

Compensation Oversight Process

Our Compensation Committee has responsibility for the oversight of executive compensation and recommends plans and compensation payable to our Named Executive Officers to the Board for final approval.

The Board, our Compensation Committee and our management have instituted a set of detailed procedures to evaluate the performance of each of our Named Executive Officers to help determine the amount of the variable short-term incentives and long-term incentives to award to each Named Executive Officer.

The Board of Directors in consultation with the Compensation Committee sets the annual corporate financial targets for each of our Named Executive Officers. The personal strategic goals for Mr. Jenkins are set by the Board. The personal strategic goals for Mr. Shackleton are set by the Board, which includes Mr. Jenkins in his capacity as chairman of the Board. Mr. Shackleton, along with the Compensation Committee, sets the personal strategic goals for his direct reports which include the other Named Executive Officers. In discussing corporate financial targets, the Board initially does so in the absence of management.

We also seek the advice of an outside compensation consultant to provide assistance and guidance on compensation issues. This consultant is screened and chosen by our Compensation Committee in discussion with our management. The consultant provides our Compensation Committee with relevant information pertaining to market compensation levels, alternative compensation plan designs, market trends and best practices. The consultant assists our Compensation Committee with respect to determining the appropriate benchmarks for each Named Executive Officer's compensation. The Compensation Committee engaged Mercer (Canada) Limited (Mercer), a human resources consulting services provider to provide compensation analysis and advice on an ongoing basis, which includes analysis of compensation for Fiscal 2010. In deciding to engage Mercer, the Committee reviewed the proposed scope of Mercer's services to the Committee, including those services provided by Mercer affiliates to the Company, assessed Mercer's objectivity in providing executive compensation consulting advice, and concluded that Mercer was an independent consultant appropriate for this role.

Table of Contents

During Fiscal 2010 our Compensation Committee instructed Mercer to provide the Compensation Committee with analysis and advice regarding current executive compensation practices. Such analysis and advice included:

Executive Compensation Review Mercer benchmarked our compensation practices and policies with respect to our seven most senior positions against similar-sized Canadian and U.S. technology companies in order to allow us to place our compensation practices for these seven positions in a market context. This benchmarking included a review of base salary, short-term incentives, total cash compensation levels, long-term incentives and total direct compensation. See below for a more detailed discussion of the peer group used for this benchmarking.

Long-Term Incentive Plan Mercer provided assistance in reviewing our existing Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) and assisted in the development of the third phase of our LTIP. In particular, Mercer was asked to review our granting practices under the LTIP and compare these granting practices to the grants made under other long-term incentive plans implemented by comparable companies throughout North America.

In reaching its decisions, the Compensation Committee has considered Mercer's analysis and advice, as well as any other factors the Committee considers appropriate. Decisions made by the Compensation Committee, however, are the responsibility of the Committee and may reflect factors and considerations other than the information and recommendations provided by Mercer.

Our Compensation Committee considers the impact of tax, accounting treatments and applicable regulatory requirements when approving compensation programs.

Our Compensation Committee met seven times during Fiscal 2010; Mercer attended all or part of all seven meetings. Management assists in the coordination and preparation of the meeting agenda and materials for each meeting. The agenda is reviewed and approved by the Chairman of our Compensation Committee. The meeting materials are generally mailed to the other Committee members and invitees, if any, for review approximately one week in advance of each meeting.

Role of Executive Officers in the Compensation Process

Our Compensation Committee recommends all compensation plans and awards with respect to our executive officers to the Board for the Board's final approval. While our Compensation Committee alone makes all recommendations with respect to Mr. Shackleton's and Mr. Jenkins' compensation, our Compensation Committee does consider the input of Mr. Shackleton when making compensation recommendations regarding all other Named Executive Officers. Management also works with Mercer to provide internal information, as necessary, to facilitate comparisons of our compensation programs to those programs of our peers and competitors.

Compensation Philosophy

We believe that compensation plays an important role in achieving short and long-term business objectives that ultimately drives business success in alignment with long-term shareholder goals.

Our compensation philosophy is based on three fundamental principles:

Strong link to business strategy Open Text's short and long-term goals should be reflected in our overall compensation program;

Performance sensitive Compensation should be linked to the operating and market performance of our organization and should fluctuate with such performance; and

Table of Contents

Market relevant Our compensation program should provide market competitive pay in terms of value and structure in order to retain current employees who are performing according to their objectives and to attract new recruits of the highest caliber.

Our reward package is based primarily on results achieved by the Company as a whole. In addition, the Named Executive Officers may have a minority element of their reward package determined by their fulfillment of personal strategic goals.

Compensation Objectives

The objectives of our compensation program are to:

Attract and retain highly qualified executive officers who have a history of proven success;

Align the interests of executive officers with our shareholders' interests and with the execution of our business strategy;

Evaluate executive performance on the basis of key financial measurements which we believe closely correlate to long-term shareholder value; and

Tie compensation awards directly to key financial measurements with evaluations based on achieving and overachieving predetermined objectives.

Attracting and Retaining Highly Qualified Executive Officers

We seek to attract and retain high performing executive officers by offering:

Competitive compensation; and

An appropriate mix and level of short-term and long-term financial incentives.

Competitive Compensation

Aggregate compensation for each Named Executive Officer is designed to be competitive. The Company researches and refers to the compensation practices of similarly situated companies in determining the Company's compensation policy. Although the Company reviews each element of compensation for market competitiveness, and the Company may weigh a particular element more heavily based on the Named Executive Officer's role within the Company, the Company is primarily focused on remaining competitive in the market with respect to total compensation.

Prior to making its recommendations to the Board of Directors, the Compensation Committee reviews data related to compensation levels and programs of companies that are similar to Open Text with respect to geography, industry and annual revenue (the "Software peer group"). The Software peer group is made up of 22 internet software and services providers, whose size of revenue range from slightly less to approximately one and one-half to 2-times that of Open Text. The Software peer group is comprised of 19 United States-based organizations, with 1 United Kingdom based company that does considerable business in the United States, and 2 Canadian-based organizations chosen to represent the North American software and service providers within this revenue range. The Company also considered the market capitalization and results of operation of these companies in determining that they are appropriate comparators.

Table of Contents

Mercer performed an assessment of the compensation of the Company's executive officers. In April 2009, Mercer benchmarked base salary, total cash compensation (base salary plus target short-term incentives), and total direct compensation (total cash compensation plus long-term incentives) for the Fiscal 2009 Named Executive Officers, to the following companies, which collectively comprise the Company's Software peer group:

| <i>All values in \$US millions</i> | | <i>Period Ending March 31, 2009 (3)</i> | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Company Name | Country of Organization | Revenue (1) | Mkt. Cap. (2) | Net Income (Loss) | 1-yr TSR | 3-yr TSR | 5-yr TSR |
| Broadridge Financial Solutions | US | \$ 2,227 | \$ 2,612 | \$ 192 | 8% | n/a | n/a |
| MPS Group Inc. | US | 2,222 | 540 | (236) | (50)% | (27)% | (12)% |
| SRA International Inc. | US | 1,507 | 623 | 73 | (40)% | (27)% | (4)% |
| Axiom Corp | US | 1,384 | 578 | (8) | (37)% | (33)% | (19)% |
| Synopsys Inc. | US | 1,337 | 2,973 | 190 | (9)% | (2)% | (6)% |
| Gartner Inc. | US | 1,279 | 1,034 | 104 | (43)% | (8)% | (1)% |
| Global Payments Inc. | US | 1,274 | 2,684 | 163 | (19)% | (14)% | 8% |
| Softchoice Corp | CAN | 1,257 | 27 | (15) | (89)% | (44)% | (22)% |
| Sybase Inc. | US | 1,132 | 2,460 | 139 | 15% | 13% | 8% |
| Parametric Technology Corp | US | 1,070 | 1,154 | 80 | (38)% | (15)% | (2)% |
| Moduslink Global Solutions | US | 1,068 | 118 | 9 | (80)% | (44)% | (36)% |
| Cadence Design Systems Inc. | US | 1,039 | 1,107 | (1,854) | (61)% | (39)% | (22)% |
| MacDonald Dettwiler & Assoc | CAN | 965 | 885 | 43 | (46)% | (18)% | 0% |
| Savvis Inc | US | 857 | 332 | (9) | (62)% | (35)% | (28)% |
| Akamai Technologies Inc. | US | 791 | 3,308 | 145 | (31)% | (16)% | 8% |
| Mentor Graphics Corp | US | 789 | 418 | (89) | (50)% | (26)% | (24)% |
| Fair Isaac Corp | US | 745 | 687 | 84 | (34)% | (29)% | (17)% |
| Henry (Jack) & Associates | US | 743 | 1,375 | 104 | (33)% | (10)% | (2)% |
| United Online Inc. | US | 669 | 366 | (95) | (55)% | (25)% | (19)% |
| Valueclick Inc | US | 626 | 738 | (214) | (51)% | (20)% | (5)% |
| Realnetworks Inc | US | 605 | 313 | (244) | (59)% | (34)% | (17)% |
| Autonomy Corp plc (4) | UK | 503 | 2,049 | 132 | (59)% | (34)% | (17)% |
| 75th %ile | | 1,278 | 1,880 | 125 | (33)% | (15)% | (2)% |
| 50th %ile | | 1,053 | 812 | 58 | (45)% | (26)% | (12)% |
| 25th %ile | | 756 | 449 | (70) | (58)% | (34)% | (19)% |
| Average | | 1,095 | 1,199 | (59) | (42)% | (23)% | (11)% |
| Open Text Corporation (5) | | \$ 725 | \$ 1,787 | \$ 53 | 10% | 28% | 3% |

(1) Most recently reported annual revenue available as of March 31, 2009.

(2) Market Capitalization at March 31, 2009.

(3) TSR denotes annualized Total Shareholder Return, or change in share price adjusted for dividends.

(4) UK based company (traded on the London Stock Exchange), that does significant business in the U.S.

(5) For Open Text Corporation, Revenue and Net Income (Loss) above reflects information for the year ended June 30, 2008, however, Total Shareholder Return reflects annualized information for the period ending March 31, 2009.

Compensation for the Chief Legal Officer, Mr. Davies, was set at his date of hire in September 2009, and for the General Manager, EMEA, Mr. David Wareham, compensation was set at the time he was promoted into this role in February 2009.

Table of Contents

Due to limited matches among the Software peer group for the Executive Chairman and Chief Strategy Officer, Mr. Jenkins, this position was matched to a General Industry group comprised of publicly-traded North American companies with revenue between approximately \$600 million and \$2.0 billion as follows:

| Company Name | Country of Organization | All Values in \$US millions | | | Period Ending March 31, 2009 (3) | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| | | Revenue (1) | Mkt. Cap. (2) | Net Income (Loss) | 1-yr TSR | 3-yr TSR | 5-yr TSR |
| Gaz Metropolitan | CAN | \$ 2,021 | \$ 1,512 | \$ 136 | 0% | (4)% | (1)% |
| Boyd Gaming Corp. | US | 1,781 | 324 | (223) | (81)% | (57)% | (30)% |
| Martinrea International Inc. | CAN | 1,762 | 182 | 53 | (62)% | (31)% | (15)% |
| Hologic Inc | US | 1,674 | 3,358 | (386) | (53)% | (22)% | 21% |
| Alberto-Culver Co | US | 1,443 | 2,217 | 228 | (17)% | 11% | 7% |
| Kimball International | US | 1,352 | 171 | 0 | (35)% | (20)% | (12)% |
| Tetra Tech Inc | US | 1,246 | 1,226 | 61 | 4% | 2% | (1)% |
| Sherritt International | CAN | 1,179 | 761 | 326 | (79)% | (34)% | (15)% |
| Devry Inc | US | 1,092 | 3,450 | 126 | 15% | 29% | 10% |
| Corinthian Colleges Inc | US | 1,069 | 1,677 | 21 | 169% | 11% | (10)% |
| Vse Corp | US | 1,044 | 137 | 19 | (5)% | 9% | 24% |
| Cadence Design Systems Inc | US | 1,039 | 1,107 | (1,854) | (61)% | (39)% | (22)% |
| Enerflex Systems Ltd | CAN | 974 | 360 | 57 | (5)% | (5)% | 1% |
| Fraser Papers Inc | CAN | 741 | 20 | (77) | (81)% | (61)% | n/a |
| Corus Entertainment Inc | CAN | 693 | 919 | 114 | (21)% | (6)% | 2% |
| Navigators Group Inc | US | 684 | 796 | 52 | (13)% | (2)% | 10% |
| Leons Furniture Ltd. | CAN | 651 | 592 | 56 | (17)% | 0% | 8% |
| Valueclick Inc. | US | 626 | 738 | (214) | (51)% | (20)% | (5)% |
| 75th %ile | | 1,421 | 1,440 | 101 | (5)% | 2% | 8% |
| 50th %ile | | 1,080 | 778 | 52 | (19)% | (6)% | (1)% |
| 25th %ile | | 799 | 333 | (58) | (59)% | (29)% | (12)% |
| Average | | 1,171 | 1,086 | (84) | (22)% | (13)% | (2)% |
| Open Text Corporation (4) | | \$ 725 | \$ 1,787 | \$ 53 | 10% | 28% | 3% |

- (1) Most recently reported annual revenue available as of March 31, 2009.
- (2) Market Capitalization at March 31, 2009.
- (3) TSR denotes annualized Total Shareholder Return, or change in share price adjusted for dividends.
- (4) For Open Text Corporation, Revenue and Net Income (Loss) above reflects information for the year ended June 30, 2008, however, Total Shareholder Return reflects annualized information for the period ending March 31, 2009.

The purpose of this benchmarking process was to:

Understand the competitiveness of the Company's current pay levels for each executive position relative to companies with similar revenues and business characteristics;

Identify and understand any gaps that may exist between the Company's actual compensation levels and market compensation levels; and

Serve as a basis for developing salary adjustments and short-term and long-term incentive award programs for the Compensation Committee's approval.

Our general philosophy is to be positioned in the 50th percentile for:

Base salary;

Total cash compensation (base salary + target annual incentives); and

Total direct compensation (base salary + target annual incentives + long-term compensation).

With respect to total cash compensation and total direct compensation, we may target to be in the 50th to 75th percentile in circumstances where we believe the Named Executive Officer's specific role and performance merit it.

Table of Contents

The research indicated that our compensation for each of the benchmarked Named Executive Officers was positioned in the 25th to 50th percentile with respect to base salary, with the exception of Mr. Wareham, who fell below the 25th percentile. With respect to total cash compensation, all Named Executive officers were positioned in the 25th to 50th percentile, with the exception of Mr. McFeeters, who fell below the 25th percentile, and Mr. Jenkins who was above the 50th percentile. As a result of the benchmarking, Mr. McFeeters and Mr. Wareham received an adjustment to total cash compensation and base salary, respectively. With respect to total direct compensation, our benchmarking indicated that all Named Executive Officers were between the 25th to 50th percentile, with the exception of Mr. Jenkins and Mr. Davies being between the 50th to 75th percentiles. Due to the nature of Mr. Shackleton's and Mr. Jenkins' role within the Company, it was felt appropriate to increase their target annual incentives slightly. No adjustments were made to Mr. Davies' base salary or other elements of compensation.

In addition to being competitive to the relevant peer groups, the Company's executive compensation levels were deemed appropriate given Open Text's performance relative to these groups. Specifically, relative to the Software peer group, Open Text's relative Total Shareholder Return (TSR) was in the top quartile for the 1, 3 and 5 year periods ending March 31, 2009. Similarly, relative to the General Industry peer group, TSR was above median and, for most periods, in the top quartile.

Aligning Officers' Interests with Shareholders' Interests

We believe that transparent, objective and easily verified corporate goals, combined with applicable individual performance goals, play an important role in creating and maintaining an effective compensation strategy for our Named Executive Officers. Our objective is to facilitate an increase in shareholder value through the achievement of these corporate goals under the leadership of the Named Executive Officers working in conjunction with all of our valued employees.

We use a combination of fixed and variable compensation to motivate our executive officers to achieve our corporate goals. For Fiscal 2010, the basic components of our executive officer compensation program were:

Fixed salary and benefits;

Variable short-term incentives; and

The LTIP.

Fixed salary and benefits comprise a portion of the total compensation; however, variable short-term incentives and the LTIP also represent a significant component of total compensation. When we make decisions regarding executive compensation, we often use the term "at risk". Compensation that is "at risk" means compensation that may or may not be paid to an executive officer depending on whether the company and such executive officer is able to meet or exceed his or her applicable performance targets. Although LTIP compensation and stock options meet this definition of compensation which is at risk, they are an additional incentive used to promote long-term value, and therefore do not represent compensation that is "at risk" in the short-term. The greater the Named Executive Officer's influence is upon our financial or operational results, the higher is the risk/reward portion of his or her compensation. The chart below provides the approximate percentage of short-term, cash-based compensation provided to each Named Executive Officer currently with the Company, that were fixed salary and "at risk" for Fiscal 2010:

| Named Executive Officer | Fixed Salary Percentage | Short-Term Incentive |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | (Not At Risk) | Percentage (at 100% target) (At Risk) |
| John Shackleton | 44% | 56% |
| Tom Jenkins | 44% | 56% |
| Paul McFeeters | 67% | 33% |
| Gordon A. Davies | 75% | 25% |
| Dave Wareham | 57% | 43% |

Table of Contents

For amounts relating to awards of stock options and LTIP awards, please see the detailed discussions in the sections entitled "Variable Long-Term Incentives- Stock Options" and "LTIP" respectively, which may be found below.

Our Compensation Committee annually reviews the percentage of each Named Executive Officer's total short-term compensation that is at risk depending on the Named Executive Officer's responsibilities and objectives.

Fixed Salary and Benefits

Fixed salary and benefits include:

Base salary;

Perquisites; and

Other benefits.

Base Salary

Base salary for our Named Executive Officers, other than for Mr. Jenkins and for Mr. Shackleton, is reviewed annually by Mr. Shackleton, and then reviewed by our Compensation Committee before any approval is made by the Board. Base salary for Mr. Jenkins and for Mr. Shackleton is recommended annually by our Compensation Committee and approved by the Board. The base salary review for each Named Executive Officer takes into consideration factors such as current competitive market conditions and particular skills (such as leadership ability and management effectiveness, experience, responsibility and proven or expected performance) of the particular individual. Our Compensation Committee obtains information regarding competitive market conditions through the assistance of our management and of the outside compensation consultant.

The performance of each of the Named Executive Officers, other than Mr. Shackleton and Mr. Jenkins is assessed by Mr. Shackleton, in his capacity as the direct supervisor of the other Named Executive Officers. The performance of each of Mr. Shackleton and Mr. Jenkins is assessed by the Board. The Board conducts the initial discussions and makes the initial decisions with respect to the performance of each of Mr. Shackleton and Mr. Jenkins in a special session from which management is absent.

Perquisites

Named Executive Officers receive a minimal amount of non-cash compensation in the form of executive perquisites. In order to remain competitive in the market place, our executive officers are entitled to some benefits that are not otherwise available to all of our employees. These benefits are provided in the form of a base allowance per year that each Named Executive Officer may choose to use for the purposes of:

Participating in an annual executive medical physical examination;

Maintaining membership in a health club;

Car allowances; and

Purchasing financial advice and related services.

Other Benefits

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

We provide various employee benefit programs on the same terms to all our employees, including our Named Executive Officers, such as, but not limited to:

Medical health insurance;

Dental insurance;

Life insurance;

Tuition reimbursement programs; and

Tax based retirement savings plans matching contributions.

Table of Contents*Variable Short-Term Incentives*

All of our Named Executive Officers are able to participate in our variable short-term incentive plan, designed to motivate achievement of our short-term corporate goals. Awards made under the short-term incentive plan are made by way of cash payments only.

The amount of the variable short-term incentive payable to each Named Executive Officer is based on the ability of each Named Executive Officer to meet pre-established, qualitative and quantitative corporate objectives related to improving shareholder and company value, as applicable, which are approved by the Board. These objectives consist of worldwide revenue, worldwide adjusted operating income, personal strategic goals and, in the case of certain Named Executive Officers, regional targets.

Worldwide revenue is derived from the Total Revenues line of our audited income statement with no adjustments or other alterations made to this figure. Worldwide revenue is an important variable that helps us to assess the Named Executive Officer's role in helping us to grow and manage our business.

Worldwide adjusted operating income, which is intended to reflect the operational effectiveness of the Company's leadership, is calculated as total revenue less the total cost of revenue and operating expenses excluding amortization of intangible assets, special charges and stock-based compensation expense.

Regional targets help us to assess the contributions of the subject Named Executive Officer in helping us to grow and manage our business with respect to each of their geographic responsibilities.

Personal strategic goals for each of the Named Executive Officers are goals which are specific to the Named Executive Officers' role and assess important objectives related to how the company operates and grows, and may include matters such as succession planning, corporate development initiatives, and specific operational objectives.

We determine targeted amounts of short-term incentives for each Named Executive Officer at the beginning of the fiscal year. We also determine short-term performance measures and associated weightings for each Named Executive Officer at the beginning of the fiscal year, based on the Named Executive Officers' specific roles. These weightings indicate the percentage of the short-term incentive award that will be received if the Named Executive Officer meets the target set for each performance-based measure. The target amounts are calculated as a percentage of the Named Executive Officer's annual salary and are also determined by an individual's ability to influence our overall business prospects. We believe that each element of our short-term incentive compensation program requires strong performance from each of our Named Executive Officers in order for the relevant Named Executive Officer to receive the target awards.

For Fiscal 2010 the following target percentages of base salary, performance measures and associated weightings, determined by the Board, for each Named Executive Officer were:

| Named Executive Officer | Total Target Award as % of Base Salary | Worldwide Revenue | Worldwide Adjusted Operating Income | Regional Revenue | Regional Adjusted Operating Income | Personal Strategic Goals |
|-------------------------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| John Shackleton | 125% | 40% | 50% | N/A | N/A | 10% |
| Tom Jenkins | 125% | 35% | 35% | N/A | N/A | 30% |
| Paul McFeeters | 50% | 35% | 35% | N/A | N/A | 30% |
| Gordon A. Davies | 34% | 35% | 35% | N/A | N/A | 30% |
| Dave Wareham | 75% | 25% | 25% | 25% | 25% | N/A |

For the short-term incentive award amounts that would be earned at each of threshold, target and maximum levels of performance, for applicable objectives, please see Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal 2010 below.

Table of Contents

For the corporate financial objectives, the Board sets a threshold and target level of performance. The Board also establishes an objective formula for determining the percentage payout under awards for levels of performance above and below threshold and target, although the Board reserves the right in limited circumstances to make positive or negative adjustments if it considers them to be reasonably appropriate. To the extent target performance is exceeded, the award will be proportionately greater, although payout in regard to worldwide revenue and worldwide adjusted operating income is capped at 150% of the amount the Named Executive Officer would realize upon achievement of target performance. The threshold and target levels and payout formula are set forth below as well as actual performance and payout percentages achieved in Fiscal 2010.

| Objectives (in millions) | Threshold Target (80% payout) | Target (100% payout) | Fiscal 2010 Actual | % of Target Actually Achieved | % of Payment per Fiscal 2010 Payout Table |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Worldwide revenue | \$ 743 | \$ 934 | \$ 912 | 98% | 80% |
| Worldwide adjusted operating income | \$ 194 | \$ 243 | \$ 254 | 105% | 122% |
| Regional revenue | \$ 275 | \$ 344 | \$ 341 | 99% | 80% |
| Regional adjusted operating income | \$ 152 | \$ 191 | \$ 191 | 100% | 100% |

The following tables set forth below illustrate the percentage of the target award that is paid to our Named Executives Officers, in accordance with the Company's actual results achieved for Fiscal 2010.

| Worldwide Revenue and Adjusted Operating Income Calculation | | | |
|---|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| % Attainment | % Payment | % Attainment | % Payment |
| 0 - 79% | 0% | 104% | 117% |
| 80 - 84% | 20% | 105% | 122% |
| 85 - 89% | 40% | 106% | 127% |
| 90 - 94% | 60% | 107% | 132% |
| 95 - 99% | 80% | 108% | 137% |
| 100% | 100% | 109% | 142% |
| 101% | 104% | 110% | 150% |
| 102% | 108% | Over 110% | 150% Maximum |
| 103% | 112% | | |

Formula:

Actual / Budget = % of Attainment

Example: attainment of 103% results

in a % payment of 112%

For instance, in Fiscal 2010, the Company achieved 98% of its worldwide revenue target. The Worldwide Revenue and Adjusted Operating Income Calculation table above illustrates under the % Attainment column that an achievement of 95-99% of target for this performance criteria results in an award payment of 80% of the target award amount. The same methodology can be used for determining the percentage payout related to the other performance criteria, using the tables below.

| Regional Revenue | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|
| % Attainment | % Payment |
| 0 - 79% | 0% |
| 80 - 84% | 20% |
| 85 - 89% | 40% |
| 90 - 94% | 60% |
| 95 - 99% | 80% |
| 100% | 100% |
| Over 100% | Additional 2% per 1% (no cap) |
| Example: 103% | 106% |

Formula:

Actual / Budget = % of Attainment

Table of Contents

| % Attainment | Regional Adjusted Operating Income Calculation | | | |
|--------------|--|-----------|--------------|---------------|
| | % Payment | | % Attainment | |
| 0 - 79% | 0% | 91% | | 55% |
| 80 - 81% | 5% | 92% | | 60% |
| 82% | 10% | 93% | | 65% |
| 83% | 15% | 94% | | 70% |
| 84% | 20% | 95% | | 75% |
| 85% | 25% | 96% | | 80% |
| 86% | 30% | 97% | | 85% |
| 87% | 35% | 98 % | | 90% |
| 88% | 40% | 99% | | 95 |
| 89% | 45 | 100% | | 100 |
| 90% | 50 | Over 100% | | Additional 2% |

per 1% (no cap)

Formula:

Actual / Budget = % of Attainment

The actual short-term incentive award earned by each Named Executive Officer for Fiscal 2010 was determined in accordance with the calculation formulas described above and the Board made no adjustments. We have set forth below for each Named Executive Officer the award amount actually paid for Fiscal 2010, the percentage of target award amount represented by the actual award paid and the percentage of base salary represented by the actual award paid broken out by performance measure as follows:

Mr. John Shackleton:

| Performance Measure: | Payable at Target | Payable at Threshold | Actual Paid (\$) | Actual Paid (% of Target) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| Worldwide Revenue | \$ 250,000 | \$ 50,000 | \$ 200,000 | 80% |
| Worldwide Adjusted Operating Income | \$ 312,500 | \$ 62,500 | \$ 381,250 | 122% |
| Personal Strategic Goals | \$ 62,500 | \$ 37,500 | \$ 62,500 | 100% |
| Total | \$ 625,000 | \$ 150,000 | \$ 643,750 | 103% |

Mr. Tom Jenkins:

| Performance Measure: | Payable at Target | Payable at Threshold | Actual Paid (\$) | Actual Paid (% Target) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Worldwide Revenue | \$ 205,413 | \$ 41,083 | \$ 164,330 | 80% |
| Worldwide Adjusted Operating Income | \$ 205,413 | \$ 41,083 | \$ 250,604 | 122% |
| Personal Strategic Goals | \$ 176,068 | \$ 105,641 | \$ 176,068 | 100% |
| Total | \$ 586,894 | \$ 187,807 | \$ 591,002 | 101% |

Mr. Paul McFeeters:

| Performance Measure: | Payable at Target | Payable at Threshold | Actual Paid (\$) | Actual Paid (% Target) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Worldwide Revenue | \$ 65,732 | \$ 13,146 | \$ 52,586 | 80% |
| Worldwide Adjusted Operating Income | \$ 65,732 | \$ 13,146 | \$ 80,193 | 122% |

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|------|
| Personal Strategic Goals | \$ 56,342 | \$ 33,805 | \$ 56,342 | 100% |
| Total | \$ 187,806 | \$ 60,097 | \$ 189,121 | 101% |

Table of Contents*Mr. Gordon A. Davies:*

| Performance Measure: | Payable at Target* | Payable at Threshold* | Actual Paid* (\$) | Actual Paid (% Target) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Worldwide Revenue | \$ 34,236 | \$ 6,847 | \$ 27,389 | 80% |
| Worldwide Adjusted Operating Income | \$ 34,236 | \$ 6,847 | \$ 41,767 | 122% |
| Personal Strategic Goals | \$ 29,345 | \$ 17,607 | \$ 29,344 | 100% |
| Total | \$ 97,817 | \$ 31,301 | \$ 98,500 | 101% |

* The amounts in the table above reflect prorated amounts to the date of hire for Mr. Davies.

Mr. Dave Wareham:

| Performance Measure: | Payable at Target | Payable at Threshold | Actual Paid (\$) | Actual Paid (% Target) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Worldwide Revenue | \$ 59,585 | \$ 11,917 | \$ 49,694 | 83% |
| Worldwide Adjusted Operating Income | \$ 59,585 | \$ 11,917 | \$ 51,243 | 86% |
| Regional Revenue | \$ 59,585 | \$ 11,917 | \$ 66,854 | 112% |
| Regional Adjusted Operating Income | \$ 59,585 | \$ 2,979 | \$ 53,507 | 90% |
| Total | \$ 238,340 | \$ 38,730 | \$ 221,298 | 93% |

Mr. Wareham had five payments related to the relevant metrics during Fiscal 2010, based on quarter-end performance and fiscal year-end performance so his payouts were slightly different from the payouts of the other Named Executive Officers with respect to common performance objectives, and to the percentages illustrated under the annual payout tables above, although the formula for calculation of payments to all Named Executive Officers was consistently applied. Due to his more direct influence on revenue generation, Mr. Wareham had calculations performed each quarter on quarterly achievement versus quarterly target and an annual calculation of annual achievement versus annual target. The consequence of summing the five individual calculations is a final number that is different from a single calculation on the year.

*Variable Long-Term Incentives**Stock options*

As with many growing North American-based technology companies, our general practice is to use the measured granting of stock options as an appropriate part of an overall market competitive, variable long-term incentive package for our Named Executive Officers. Although we do not have a formal policy of enshrining annual stock option grants, stock options may be granted from time to time to certain Named Executive Officers in amounts commensurate with their performance, and, in the case of new strategic hires and promotions, in amounts consistent with a market competitive compensation package. Our stock options generally vest over 4 years and do not have any specific performance-based vesting criteria. With respect to stock option grants, the Board, based upon the recommendation of our Compensation Committee, makes the following determinations:

The Named Executive Officers and others who are entitled to participate in the stock option plan;

The number of options to be granted under the plan in general and to each recipient in particular;

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

The date on which each option is granted; and

The other material terms and conditions of each stock option grant.

The Board makes these determinations subject to the provisions of our currently existing stock option plans, and is guided by a table of annual ranges for grants of our stock options. Gains from prior option grants are not considered when setting the amount of long-term incentive awards, or any other compensation elements, to any Named Executive Officer.

Table of Contents

During each quarter, the Board conducts meetings in which it reviews and approves grants of options. The grant dates for these options abide by the provisions of our insider trading policy, which states, in part, that stock options may not be granted while a trading window is closed. Generally, the trading window is closed during the period beginning on the fifteenth day of the last month of each quarter and ending at the beginning of the second trading day following the date on which our quarterly or annual financial results, as applicable, have been publicly released. If the Board approves the issuance of stock options while a trading window is closed, these stock options are not granted until the trading window reopens.

Our stock options are generally granted:

On the second trading day for the NASDAQ market following the date on which our quarterly or annual financial results, as applicable, are released; and

At a price that is not less than the closing price of our Common Shares on the trading day for the NASDAQ market immediately preceding the applicable grant date.

LTIP

We also provide long-term compensation to our Named Executive Officers in the form of the LTIP. The LTIP was first approved by the Board during Fiscal 2008 and endeavors, in addition to stock units, to encourage and reward superior performance by aligning an increase in the Named Executive Officer's compensation with improvements in our corporate performance and with an increase in the value of our shareholders investment. The goal of the LTIP is to reward our executive officers who have significantly contributed to the growth of our company through their performance and to provide our executive officers with a stake in our future. Accordingly, the LTIP represents a significant component of each Named Executive Officer's total compensation. The LTIP is a rolling three-year program, which means that assessment of a Named Executive Officer's performance under each grant is made continuously over the period, but payments on that grant may only be made at the end of the applicable three-year term in either cash or stock, at the discretion of the Board. The LTIP payments may also be subject to certain payment limitations in the event of early termination of employment or change of control of the Company at the beginning of the participation period, as well mandatory repayment in the event of fraud, willful misconduct or gross negligence on behalf of plan participants. For instance, for grants made under the Fiscal 2010 LTIP, in the event that an eligible employee's termination date is before the commencement of the nineteenth month in the applicable performance period, an LTIP payment will not be made.

Fiscal 2010 LTIP

Grants made in Fiscal 2010, under the LTIP (Fiscal 2010 LTIP) were set using a percentage of the Named Executive Officer's total on-target compensation. Fiscal 2010 LTIP awards were made as performance share units (PSU's). The number of PSU's granted on March 31, 2010, issued to each Named Executive Officer was based on converting the U.S dollar equivalent of the total on-target compensation at the fair market value of the Company's stock, as of June 30, 2009. For each Named Executive Officer, the compensation awarded at target under the LTIP was determined by the Named Executive Officer's overall compensation and by his ability to influence our financial or operational performance. The criteria and targets used to measure each Named Executive Officer's performance over the relevant three-year period for the Fiscal 2010 LTIP are as follows:

Relative total shareholder return if, over the three year period, the relative cumulative Total Shareholder Return of the Company compared to the cumulative Total Shareholder Return of the corporations comprising the Index is greater than the 60th percentile, the relative total shareholder return target will be achieved in full. If it is negative over the three year period, no payout will be made; and

Average adjusted earnings per share if the average of the adjusted earnings per share over the latter two years of the three-year period reaches \$3.16, the average adjusted earnings per share target will be met in full (adjusted earnings per share means adjusted net income, which is calculated as net income,

Table of Contents

excluding where applicable, i) the amortization of acquired intangible assets, ii) other income or expense, iii) share-based compensation expense and iv) special charges, all net of tax, divided by the total number of Common Shares outstanding on a diluted basis).

The two performance criteria carry the following weightings:

Relative total shareholder return = 60%; and

Average adjusted earnings per share = 40%.

The weightings, which are reviewed each year for any new LTIP plans, were recommended by the Compensation Committee and approved by the Board. In making this recommendation, the Compensation Committee's intention was to align the Named Executive Officer's interests with what we believe are our shareholders' interests. Awards made will equal either 50% or 100% or 150% of target for each criterion independently, based upon Open Text's performance over the three year period. The most that a Named Executive Officer may receive with regard to any single performance criterion under the Fiscal 2010 LTIP awards is 1.5 times the target award for that criterion. If Open Text does not meet the minimum target set for a particular performance criterion, each Named Executive Officer would not receive any award with respect to that criterion. Attainment of each criterion is independent of the attainment of the other. For example, if Open Text failed to meet the target set for relative total shareholder return, and met the target set for average adjusted earnings per share, each Named Executive Officer would receive a total reward equal to 40% times such Named Executive Officer's target LTIP award.

The amounts that may be realized for awards under the Fiscal 2010 LTIP grants for achievement of the targets over the three-year period ending June 30, 2012 are as follows, calculated based on the market price of Open Text shares as of June 30, 2010, applied to the number of equivalent performance share units issued to the Named Executive Officers.

| Named Executive Officer | Fiscal 2010 LTIP | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | 50% Achievement at June 30, 2012 | 100% Achievement at June 30, 2012 | 150% Achievement at June 30, 2012 |
| John Shackleton | \$ 1,159,592 | \$ 2,319,184 | \$ 3,478,776 |
| Tom Jenkins | \$ 1,007,048 | \$ 2,014,096 | \$ 3,021,144 |
| Paul McFeeters | \$ 268,543 | \$ 537,085 | \$ 805,628 |
| Gordon A. Davies | \$ 223,795 | \$ 447,589 | \$ 671,384 |
| Dave Wareham | \$ 299,213 | \$ 598,425 | \$ 897,638 |

Amounts granted in Fiscal 2010 under the LTIP were in addition to the amounts granted in Fiscal 2008 and in Fiscal 2009. The LTIP commencing in Fiscal 2010 shall be settled, in shares and/or cash, as determined by the Compensation Committee following the completion of the performance period.

Table of Contents*Fiscal 2009 LTIP*

Awards granted in Fiscal 2009 under the LTIP may be settled in cash or stock. The amount, which may be realized for awards under the LTIP that were granted in Fiscal 2009 will equal either 0% or 100% of target for each criterion independently, based upon Open Text's performance over the three year period ending June 30, 2011, as follows. Awards that are expected to be settled in Canadian dollars and British Pounds included herein have been converted to U.S. dollars using an exchange rate as of June 30, 2010 of 0.967399 and 1.509722, respectively.

Fiscal 2009 LTIP

| Named Executive Officer | 100% Achievement at June 30, 2011 |
|--------------------------------|--|
| John Shackleton | \$ 1,500,000 |
| Tom Jenkins | \$ 1,451,098 |
| Paul McFeeters | \$ 483,699 |
| Gordon A. Davies | N/A |
| Dave Wareham | \$ 422,722 |

The criteria used to evaluate the Fiscal 2009 LTIP included i) absolute share price, ii) relative total shareholder return and iii) average adjusted earnings per share. For more information regarding the criteria and targets used to evaluate performance with respect to the LTIP awards granted during Fiscal 2009, please refer to Item 11 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2009.

Fiscal 2008 LTIP

Awards granted for the Fiscal 2008 LTIP have been settled in cash. The target awards under the LTIP which were granted in Fiscal 2008 had a 100% threshold and a 150% maximum achievement over the three-year period ending June 30, 2010. The actual amounts settled for the performance period are included in the Summary Compensation Table and represented 150% achievement on the relative total shareholder return and average adjusted earnings per share criteria, and approximately 141% achievement on the absolute share price criteria.

The criteria used to evaluate the Fiscal 2008 LTIP included i) absolute share price, ii) relative total shareholder return and iii) average adjusted earnings per share. For more information regarding the criteria used to evaluate performance with respect to the LTIP awards granted during Fiscal 2008, please refer to Item 11 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2008.

Executive Change of Control and Severance Benefits

Our severance benefit agreements are designed to provide reasonable compensation to departing senior executive officers under certain circumstances. While we do not believe that the severance benefits would be a determinative factor in a senior executive's decision to join, or remain with the Company, the absence of such benefits, we believe, would present a distinct competitive disadvantage in the market for talented executive officers. Furthermore, we believe that it is important to set forth the benefits payable in triggering circumstances in advance in an attempt to avoid future disputes or litigation.

We believe that the severance benefits we offer to our senior executive officers are competitive with similarly situated individuals and companies. With respect to termination of employment absent a change in control, we believe that the benefits we offer are in line with the markets in which we compete. Regarding change in control benefits, we have structured these benefits as a double trigger meaning that the benefits are only paid in the event of, first, a change in control transaction, and second, the loss of employment within one year after the transaction. These benefits attempt to provide an incentive to our senior executive officers to remain employed with the Company in the event of such a transaction.

Table of Contents

When determining the amounts and the type of compensation and benefits to provide to Named Executive Officers in the event of a termination or change in control, we considered available information with respect to amounts payable to similarly positioned officers of our peer group that is listed in the section entitled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis - Attracting and Retaining Highly Qualified Executive Officers - Competitive Compensation", found above, upon the occurrence of similar events.

Other Information With Respect to Our Compensation Program

Pension Plans

We do not provide pension benefits or any non-qualified deferred compensation to any of our Named Executive Officers.

Share Ownership Guidelines

Open Text currently has equity ownership guidelines (or "Share Ownership Guidelines"), the objective of which is to encourage our senior management, including the Named Executive Officers, to buy and hold stock in the Company based upon an investment target. The Company believes that the Share Ownership Guidelines help align the financial interests of our senior management team with the financial interests of the shareholders of the Company.

The equity ownership levels are as follows:

| | |
|-------------------------|----------------|
| Executive Chairman | 4x base salary |
| CEO/President | 4x base salary |
| Other senior management | 1x base salary |

Named Executive Officers may achieve these Share Ownership Guidelines through the exercise of stock option awards, purchases under the Open Text Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP), through open market purchases made in compliance with applicable securities laws or through any equity plan(s) we may adopt from time to time providing for the acquisition of Open Text shares. Until the Share Ownership Guidelines are met, it is recommended that a Named Executive Officer retain a portion of any stock option exercise or LTIP award in shares of Open Text stock to contribute to the achievement of the Share Ownership Guidelines. Shares of the Company stock issuable pursuant to the unexercised options shall not be counted towards meeting the equity ownership target. For purposes of the Share Ownership Guidelines, each of the CEO, Executive Chairman, and other Named Executive Officers, as applicable, are deemed to hold all securities over which he/ she is the registered or beneficial owner thereof under the rules of Section 13(d) of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934 through any contract, arrangement, understanding, relationship or otherwise in which such person has or shares:

voting power which includes the power to vote, or to direct the voting of, such security; and/or

investment power which includes the power to dispose, or to direct the disposition of, such security.

For purposes of the Share Ownership Guidelines, the shares will be valued at the greater of their book value (i.e., purchase price) or the current market value. The Compensation Committee of the Board will review the recommended achievement levels under the Share Ownership Guidelines on an annual basis.

The Share Ownership Guidelines were adopted in October 2009 and the Board recommends that the equity ownership levels be achieved by October 31, 2014. Alternatively, for someone who becomes senior management after the date these Share Ownership Guidelines were adopted, the Board recommends that the equity ownership levels be achieved within five (5) years of becoming subject to the Share Ownership Guidelines and that he/she

Table of Contents

hold the number of Open Text shares, or share equivalents recommended, for so long as they remain within senior management. As of the date of this report, both the CEO and the Executive Chairman comply with the Share Ownership Guidelines for Fiscal 2010.

Tax Deductibility of Compensation

Under Section 162(m) of the United States Internal Revenue Code (or Section 162(m)) publicly-held corporations cannot deduct compensation paid in excess of \$1,000,000 to certain executive officers in any taxable year. Certain compensation paid under plans that are performance-based (which means compensation paid only if the individual's performance meets pre-established objective goals based upon performance criteria approved by shareholders) are not subject to the \$1,000,000 annual limit. Although our compensation policy is designed to link compensation to performance, payments in excess of \$1,000,000 made pursuant to any of our compensation plans may not be deductible. This is because none of our compensation plans have been presented to our stockholders for their approval.

We have determined that it is not appropriate at this time to limit our discretion to design any of our compensation arrangements for the Named Executive Officers who are subject to Section 162(m), to qualify such compensation for exemption from the deduction limits of Section 162(m). Therefore, we reserve the right to use our judgment to authorize compensation payments that do not comply with the exemptions in Section 162(m) when we believe such payments are appropriate and in the best interests of the stockholders, after taking into consideration changing business conditions or the applicable Named Executive Officer's performance.

Although the tax and accounting implications are considered by our Compensation Committee in designing compensation programs with respect to our Named Executive Officers, these factors do not comprise a material factor in the decisions made with respect to the compensation of our Named Executive Officers.

Table of Contents**Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth summary information concerning the annual compensation of our Named Executive Officers. All numbers are rounded to the nearest dollar or whole share. Changes in exchange rates will impact payments illustrated below that are made in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Any Canadian dollar payments included herein: (i) for Fiscal 2010 have been converted to U.S. dollars at an annual average rate of 0.93903, (ii) for Fiscal 2009 have been converted to U.S. dollars at an annual average rate of 0.861366; and (iii) for Fiscal 2008 have been converted to U.S. dollars at an annual average conversion rate of 0.995820. Any payments made in British Pounds, included herein for Fiscal 2010 have been converted to U.S. dollars at an annual average rate of 1.588925.

| | Fiscal Year | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$) | Stock Awards (\$ (1)) | Option Awards (\$ (2)) | Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$ (3)) | Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) | All Other Compensation (\$ (4)) | Total (\$) |
|---|-------------|-------------|------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------|
| John Shackleton | 2010 | \$ 500,000 | | \$ 2,697,644 | \$ | \$ 3,578,350 | N/A | \$ 18,567(5) | \$ 6,794,561 |
| President and Chief Executive Officer | 2009 | \$ 500,000 | | N/A | \$ 1,366,370 | \$ 387,500 | N/A | \$ 20,673 | \$ 2,274,543 |
| | 2008 | \$ 500,000 | | N/A | \$ | \$ 542,000 | N/A | \$ 13,231 | \$ 1,055,231 |
| Paul McFeeters | 2010 | \$ 375,612 | | \$ 624,731 | \$ | \$ 1,068,181 | N/A | (6) | \$ 2,068,524 |
| Chief Financial Officer | 2009 | \$ 323,012 | | N/A | \$ 683,185 | \$ 85,598 | N/A | \$ | \$ 1,091,795 |
| | 2008 | \$ 373,432 | | N/A | \$ | \$ 130,950 | N/A | \$ | \$ 504,382 |
| P. Thomas Jenkins | 2010 | \$ 469,515 | | \$ 2,342,770 | \$ | \$ 3,291,565 | N/A | \$ 17,441(7) | \$ 6,121,291 |
| Executive Chairman and Chief Strategy Officer | 2009 | \$ 430,683 | | N/A | \$ 1,366,370 | \$ 355,313 | N/A | \$ 18,998 | \$ 2,171,364 |
| | 2008 | \$ 497,910 | | N/A | \$ | \$ 539,734 | N/A | \$ 19,072 | \$ 1,056,716 |
| Gordon A. Davies (9) | 2010 | \$ 286,900 | | \$ 520,628 | \$ 978,660 | \$ 98,500 | N/A | (6) | \$ 1,884,688 |
| Chief Legal Officer & Corporate Secretary | | | | | | | | | |
| Dave Wareham | 2010 | \$ 317,785 | | \$ 696,077 | \$ | \$ 874,098 | N/A | \$ 24,536(8) | \$ 1,912,496 |
| General Manager, EMEA | | | | | | | | | |

- (1) Performance Stock Units (PSU s) were granted pursuant to the Fiscal 2010 LTIP. The amounts set forth in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value, as computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718 Compensation Stock Compensation (ASC Topic 718) based on the probable outcome of the applicable performance conditions and excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures during the applicable vesting periods. For a discussion of the assumptions used in this valuation, see Note 11 Share Capital, Option Plan and Share-based Payments to our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. For the maximum value that may be awarded under stock awards, see the Maximum column under Estimated Future Payouts under Equity Incentive Plan Awards under the Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal 2010 table below.
- (2) Amounts set forth in this column represent the amount recognized as the aggregate grant date fair value of equity-based compensation awards, as calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 718 for the fiscal year in which the awards were granted. These amounts do not reflect whether the recipient has actually realized a financial benefit from the exercise of the awards. For a discussion of the assumptions used in this valuation, see Note 11 Share Capital, Option Plan and Share-based Payments to our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.
- (3) For Messrs. Shackleton, McFeeters, Jenkins, and Wareham, amounts set forth in this column for Fiscal 2010 represent payments under the variable short-term incentive plan (\$643,750, \$189,121, \$591,002, and \$221,298, respectively) and under the Fiscal 2008 LTIP (\$2,934,600, \$879,060, \$2,700,563, and 652,800, respectively). For Mr. Davies, it represents only the former payment as he was not an employee of the Company in Fiscal 2008 and thus not eligible for the latter payment.
- (4) The amounts in All Other Compensation primarily include (i) medical examinations, (ii) car allowances, (iii) club memberships reimbursed, and (iv) tax preparation and financial advisory fees paid. All Other Compensation does not include benefits received by the Named Executive Officers which are generally available to all our salaried employees.
- (5) Represents amounts we paid or reimbursed for:
 - a. Car allowances (\$11,400);
 - b. Club membership fees (\$2,700)
 - c. Life insurance premiums (\$2,300); and

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

- d. Other miscellaneous expenses or benefits that are less than 10% of the total amount of perquisites and personal benefits related to Mr. Shackleton.
- (6) The total value of all perquisite and personal benefits for this Named Executive Officer was less than \$10,000, and, therefore, excluded.

Table of Contents

(7) Represents amounts we paid or reimbursed for:

- a. Car allowances (\$13,522); and
- b. Club membership fees (\$3,919).

(8) Represents amounts we paid or reimbursed for:

- a. Car allowances (\$19,068); and
- b. Club membership fees (\$5,468).

(9) The amounts set forth for Mr. Davies salary and non-equity incentive awards represent a prorated amount based on Mr. Davies date of hire with the Company.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal 2010

The following table sets forth certain information concerning grants of awards made to each Named Executive Officer during Fiscal 2010.

| Name | Grant Date | Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1) | | | All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying (2) Options | Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Share) | Grant Date Fair Value of Option Awards (\$) |
|-------------------|------------------|---|------------|------------|--|--|---|
| | | Threshold | Target | Maximum | | | |
| John Shackleton | N/A | \$ 150,000 | \$ 625,000 | \$ 906,250 | N/A | \$ N/A | \$ N/A |
| Paul McFeeters | N/A | \$ 60,097 | \$ 187,806 | \$ 253,538 | N/A | \$ N/A | \$ N/A |
| P. Thomas Jenkins | N/A | \$ 187,807 | \$ 586,894 | \$ 792,307 | N/A | \$ N/A | \$ N/A |
| Gordon A. Davies | October 29, 2009 | \$ 31,301 | \$ 97,817 | \$ 132,052 | 75,000 | \$ 37.33 | \$ 978,660 |
| Dave Wareham (3) | N/A | \$ 38,730 | \$ 238,340 | \$ N/A | N/A | \$ N/A | \$ N/A |

| Name | Grant Date | Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards (4) | | | All Other Stock Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options | Grant Date Fair Value of Stock Awards (\$) |
|-------------------|----------------|---|--------------|--------------|---|--|
| | | Threshold | Target | Maximum | | |
| John Shackleton | March 31, 2010 | \$ 1,159,592 | \$ 2,319,184 | \$ 3,478,776 | N/A | \$ 2,697,644 |
| Paul McFeeters | March 31, 2010 | \$ 268,543 | \$ 537,085 | \$ 805,628 | N/A | \$ 624,731 |
| P. Thomas Jenkins | March 31, 2010 | \$ 1,007,048 | \$ 2,014,096 | \$ 3,021,144 | N/A | \$ 2,342,770 |
| Gordon A. Davies | March 31, 2010 | \$ 223,795 | \$ 447,589 | \$ 671,384 | N/A | \$ 520,628 |
| Dave Wareham | March 31, 2010 | \$ 229,213 | \$ 598,425 | \$ 897,638 | N/A | \$ 696,077 |

- (1) Represents the threshold, target and maximum estimated payouts under our short-term incentive plan for Fiscal 2010. For further information, please see Compensation Discussion and Analysis Aligning Officers Interests with Shareholders Interests Variable Short-Term Incentives above.
- (2) For further information regarding our options granting procedures, please see Compensation Discussion and Analysis Aligning Officers Interests with Shareholders Interests Variable Long-Term Incentives Stock Options above.
- (3) Two of Mr. Wareham's performance objectives do not have a maximum cap. For further information, please see Compensation Discussion and Analysis Aligning Officers Interests with Shareholders Interests Variable Short-Term Incentives above.
- (4) Represents the threshold, target and maximum estimated payouts under our Fiscal 2010 LTIP. For further information, please see Compensation Discussion and Analysis Aligning Officers Interests with Shareholders Interests Variable Long-Term Incentives LTIP above.

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards at End of Fiscal 2010**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding outstanding equity awards held by each Named Executive Officer as of June 30, 2010.

| Name | Grant Date | Option Awards | | | | Stock Awards (1) | |
|-------------------|-------------------|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| | | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable | Option Exercise Price (\$) | Option Expiration Date | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of unearned shares, units or other rights that have not vested (#) | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or payout value of unearned shares, units or other rights that have not vested (\$) |
| John Shackleton | August 19, 2003 | 80,000 | | 17.04 | August 19, 2013 | | |
| | December 9, 2004 | 55,000 | | 16.92 | December 9, 2011 | | |
| | February 12, 2007 | 27,500 | 12,500 | 22.80 | February 12, 2014 | | |
| | August 21, 2008 | 25,000 | 75,000 | 34.50 | August 21, 2015 | | |
| | March 31, 2010 | | | | | 61,779 | \$ 2,319,184 |
| Paul McFeeters | June 1, 2006 | 190,000 | 50,000 | 14.02 | June 1, 2013 | | |
| | August 21, 2008 | 12,500 | 37,500 | 34.50 | August 21, 2015 | | |
| | March 31, 2010 | | | | | 14,307 | \$ 537,085 |
| P. Thomas Jenkins | December 3, 2001 | 300,000 | | 14.10 | December 3, 2011 | | |
| | August 7, 2002 | 200,000 | | 10.39 | August 7, 2012 | | |
| | December 9, 2004 | 100,000 | | 16.92 | December 9, 2011 | | |
| | February 12, 2007 | 37,500 | 12,500 | 22.80 | February 12, 2014 | | |
| | August 21, 2008 | 25,000 | 75,000 | 34.50 | August 21, 2015 | | |
| | March 31, 2010 | | | | | 53,652 | \$ 2,014,096 |
| Gordon A. Davies | October 29, 2009 | | 75,000 | 37.33 | October 29, 2016 | | |
| | March 31, 2010 | | | | | 11,923 | \$ 447,589 |
| Dave Wareham | August 21, 2008 | 3,750 | 11,250 | 34.50 | August 21, 2015 | | |
| | March 31, 2010 | | | | | 15,941 | \$ 598,425 |

(1) Represents each Named Executive Officer's target number of PSU's granted pursuant to the Fiscal 2010 LTIP and the market value as of June 30, 2010 based upon the closing price for the Company's Common Shares as traded on NASDAQ on such date of \$37.54

(2) All options in the table above vest annually over a period of 4 years starting from the time of grant.

Option Exercises in Fiscal 2010

The following table sets forth certain details regarding options exercised in Fiscal 2010 by each of the Named Executive Officers indicated below:

| Name | Option Awards | |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| | Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#) | Value realized on Exercise (2) (\$) |
| John Shackleton | | \$ |
| Paul McFeeters | | \$ |

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|-------------------|-------|----|---------|
| P. Thomas Jenkins | | \$ | |
| Gordon A. Davies | | \$ | |
| Dave Wareham | 7,500 | \$ | 216,375 |

- (1) In Fiscal 2010, none of our Named Executive Officers had stock awards that vested.
- (2) Value realized upon exercise is the excess of the market price, at date of exercise, of the shares underlying the options over the exercise price of the options.

Table of Contents

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL

We have entered into employment contracts with each of our Named Executive Officers. These contracts may require us to make certain types of payments and provide certain types of benefits to the Named Executive Officers upon the occurrence of any of these events:

If the Named Executive Officer is terminated without cause;

A change of control in the ownership of Open Text; and

A change in the relationship between Open Text and the Named Executive Officer.

When determining the amounts and the type of compensation and benefits to provide in the event of a termination or change in control described above, we considered available information with respect to amounts payable to similarly situated officers of our peer group. Differences in such payments, if any, are driven by the position held by the Named Executive Officer and by the Named Executive Officer's length of service with Open Text. The amounts payable upon termination or change in control represent the amounts determined by the Company and are not the result of any individual negotiations between us and any of our Named Executive Officers.

Termination Without Cause

If the Named Executive Officer is terminated without cause, we may be obligated to make payments or provide benefits to the Named Executive Officer. A termination without cause means a termination of a Named Executive Officer for any reason other than the following, each of which provides "Just Cause" for termination:

The failure by the Named Executive Officer to perform his or her duties according to the terms of his or her employment agreement or to perform in a manner satisfactory to the Board after Open Text has given the Named Executive Officer reasonable notice of this failure as well as a reasonable opportunity to correct this failure; however, any such failure:

that follows a diminution in his or her position or duties or responsibilities, or

that results from a disability of the Named Executive Officer,
is not considered a failure for purposes of this section;

The engagement by the Named Executive Officer in any act that is materially harmful to us;

The engagement by the Named Executive Officer in any illegal conduct or any act of dishonesty which benefits the Named Executive Officer at our expense including but not limited to the failure by the Named Executive Officer to:

honor his or her fiduciary duties to us; and

fulfill his or her duty to act in our best interests;

The failure of the Named Executive Officer to abide by the terms of any resolution passed by the Board; or

The failure of the Named Executive Officer to abide by our policies, procedures and codes of conduct.

Change in Control

If there is a merger, acquisition or other change in control of the ownership of Open Text, we may be obligated to provide payments or benefits to the Named Executive Officer. A change in control includes the following events:

The sale of all or substantially all of the assets of Open Text;

Any transaction in which any person or group, acquires ownership of more than 50% of the shares of Open Text's common stock on a fully diluted basis; or

Table of Contents

Any transaction which results in more than 50% of the shares of Open Text's common stock, on a fully diluted basis, being held by any person or group who were not shareholders of Open Text as of the date of the applicable contract between Open Text and the Named Executive Officer.

Change in the Relationship between Open Text and the Named Executive Officer

If there is a change in the relationship between Open Text and the Named Executive Officer without the Named Executive Officer's written consent, following a change in control of Open Text, we may be obligated to provide payments or benefits to the Named Executive Officer, unless such a change is in connection with the termination of the Named Executive Officer either for Just Cause or due to the death or disability of the Named Executive Officer. Examples of such a change in the relationship between the Named Executive Officer and Open Text are:

A change in control described in the previous section which results in a material change of the Named Executive Officer's position, duties, responsibilities, title or office which were in effect immediately prior to such a change in control (except for a change in any position or duties as an Open Text director or for any other material change that is the result of a promotion), which includes any removal of the Named Executive Officer from, or any failure to re-elect or re-appoint the Named Executive Officer to, any positions or offices he or she held immediately prior to such a change in control;

A material reduction by either Open Text or by any of Open Text's subsidiaries of the Named Executive Officer's salary, benefits or any other form of remuneration payable by either Open Text or by Open Text's subsidiaries;

Any material failure by either Open Text or by any of Open Text's subsidiaries to provide any:

benefit, bonus, profit sharing, incentive, remuneration or compensation plan;

stock ownership or purchase plan; or

pension plan or retirement plan, in which the Named Executive Officer is participating or entitled to participate immediately prior to any change in control described in the previous section, or if Open Text or any of Open Text's subsidiaries take any action or fail to take any action, and as a result, the Named Executive Officer's participation in any such plan would be materially and adversely affected or the Named Executive Officer's rights or benefits under or pursuant to any such plan would be materially and adversely affected; or

Any other material breach of the employment agreement between Open Text and the Named Executive Officer which is committed by Open Text.

Amounts Payable Upon Termination or Change of Control

In addition to the amounts payable upon termination of employment as described above, upon the instance of change in control, we are required to make LTIP payments to any participating Named Executive Officer in an amount equal to 50% of the target bonus if the change of control occurs after the commencement of the seventh (7th) month following the LTIP Performance period commencement date (such date, the LTIP Start Date) but before the completion of the eighteenth (18th) month following the LTIP Start Date, or 100% of the target bonus if the change of control occurs after the commencement of the nineteenth (19th) month following the LTIP Start Date. Also, in the event of termination by the Company other than for Just Cause as described in Termination Without Cause above, the affected Named Executive Officer shall have the right to exercise any options which are vested as of the date of termination at any time within 90 days following such date of termination (such period of time, the 90 Day Period). Any unvested options which would have otherwise vested during such 90 Day Period shall continue to vest during that period and to the extent any unvested options have vested during such 90 Day Period, the Named Executive Officer shall also be entitled to exercise those options within a rolling 90 day period after the date of vesting of such options, which period will not exceed 180 days following the date of termination. In the instance of a change in control as described in Change of Control above, all options outstanding are deemed to vest.

Table of Contents

John Shackleton

Upon any instance of termination or change in control described above, we are required to pay Mr. John Shackleton an amount equal to 19 months salary. Likewise, upon any such event of termination or change in control, we are required to pay Mr. Shackleton the equivalent of 19 months of variable short-term incentive payable to him assuming 100% achievement of the expected targets for the fiscal year in which the triggering event occurred. We are also required to provide Mr. Shackleton with the employee benefits we provided to Mr. Shackleton immediately prior to the occurrence of the event which triggered our obligation for a period of 19 months after the date when such event occurred. We are required to make these payments and provide these benefits over a period of 19 months or less from the date of the event which triggered our obligation. In all events, the Company will make all payments to the Executive not later than 2 1/2 months after the end of the later of the fiscal year or calendar year in which the payments are no longer subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture.

In return for receiving the payments and the benefits described in this section, Mr. Shackleton must execute a non-compete, non-solicitation, non-disparagement and confidentiality agreement. The terms of this agreement must last for a period of at least 19 months. Waiver of any breach by Mr. Shackleton of any provision of this agreement may only be made upon the review and approval of the Board.

P. Thomas Jenkins

Upon any instance of termination or change in control described above, we are required to pay Mr. P. Thomas Jenkins an amount equal to 23 months salary. Likewise, upon any such event of termination or change in control, we are required to pay Mr. Jenkins the equivalent of 23 months of variable short-term incentive payable to him assuming 100% achievement of the expected targets for the fiscal year in which the triggering event occurred. We are also required to provide Mr. Jenkins the employee benefits we provided to Mr. Jenkins immediately prior to the occurrence of the event which triggered our obligation for a period of 23 months after the date when such event occurred. We are required to make these payments and provide these benefits over a period of 23 months from the date of the event which triggered our obligation.

In return for receiving the payments and the benefits described in this section, Mr. Jenkins must execute a non-compete, non-solicitation, non-disparagement and confidentiality agreement. The terms of this agreement must last for a period of at least 23 months. Waiver of any breach by Mr. Jenkins of any provision of this agreement may only be made upon the review and approval of the Board.

Paul McFeeters, Gordon A. Davies, David Wareham

Upon any instance of termination or change in control described above, we are required to pay each of the other Named Executive Officers an amount equal to 12 months salary plus the equivalent of 12 months of variable short-term incentive payment each earned for the fiscal year prior to the date of the event which triggered our obligation. We are also required to provide each of them with the employee benefits we provided to them immediately prior to the occurrence of the event which triggered our obligation and for a period of 12 months after the date when such event occurred. We are required to make these payments and provide these benefits over a period of 12 months from the date of the event which triggered our obligation.

In return for receiving the payments and the benefits described in this section, the Named Executive Officer must execute a non-compete, non-solicitation, non-disparagement and confidentiality agreement. The terms of this agreement must last for a period of at least 12 months. Waiver of any breach by the Named Executive Officers of any provision of this agreement may only be made upon the review and approval of the Board.

Quantitative Estimates of Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

Further information regarding payments to our Named Executive Officers in the event of a termination or a change in control may be found in the table below. This table sets forth the estimated amount of payments and other benefits each Named Executive Officer would be entitled to receive upon the occurrence of the indicated

Table of Contents

event, assuming that the event occurred on June 30, 2010. Amounts potentially payable under plans which are generally available to all salaried employees, such as life and disability insurance, are excluded from the table. The values related to vesting of stock options and awards are based upon the fair market value of our common stock of \$37.54 per share as reported on the NASDAQ on June 30, 2010, the last trading day of our fiscal year. The other material assumptions made with respect to the numbers reported in the table below are:

Payments in Canadian dollars included herein are converted to U.S dollars using an exchange rate, as of June 30, 2010 of 0.967399

Payments in British Pounds included herein are converted to U.S dollars using an exchange rate, as of June 30, 2010 of 1.509722

The salary and incentive payments are calculated based on the amounts of salary and incentive payments which were payable to each Named Executive Officer as of June 30, 2010; and

Payment under the LTIP is calculated as though 50% of the 2010 target bonus has vested and 100% of the 2009 target bonus has vested; and

The number of options available for vesting is equal to:

the number of options outstanding and exercisable as of June 30, 2010, plus

the number of options which were scheduled to be outstanding and exercisable by September 30, 2010, plus

with respect only to a change in control in the ownership of Open Text, the number of options which are subject to the acceleration of their vesting dates as a result of such change in control.

Actual payments made at any future date may vary, including the amount the Named Executive Officer would have accrued under the applicable benefit or compensation plan as well as the price of our Common Shares.

| | | Salary | Short-term Incentive Payment | LTIP | Gain on Vesting of Stock Options | Employee Benefits | Total |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|------------------------------------|-----------|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | (\$) | (\$) | (\$) | (\$) | (\$) | (\$) |
| Named Executive Officer | | | | | | | |
| John Shackleton | Termination | | | | | | |
| | Without Cause | 791,667 | 989,583 | | 3,331,850 | 29,398 | 5,142,498 |
| | Change in Control/ Relationship | 791,667 | 989,583 | 2,659,592 | 3,668,100 | 29,398 | 8,138,340 |
| Tom Jenkins | Termination | | | | | | |
| | Without Cause | 927,090 | 1,158,863 | | 15,228,750 | 34,440 | 17,349,143 |
| | Change in Control/ Relationship | 927,090 | 1,158,863 | 2,458,146 | 15,565,000 | 34,440 | 20,143,539 |
| Paul McFeeters | Termination | 386,959 | 96,135 | | 4,544,800 | | 5,027,894 |

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|---------|---------|---------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| | Without Cause Change in Control/ Relationship | 386,959 | 96,135 | 752,241 | 5,796,800 | | 7,032,135 |
| Gordon A. Davies | Termination | | | | | | |
| | Without Cause Change in Control/ Relationship | 362,774 | 71,245 | | | | 434,019 |
| | | 362,774 | 71,245 | 223,795 | 15,750 | | 673,564 |
| Dave Wareham | Termination | | | | | | |
| | Without Cause Change in Control/ Relationship | 301,944 | 198,239 | | 22,800 | 23,313 | 546,296 |
| | | 301,944 | 198,239 | 721,935 | 45,600 | 23,313 | 1,291,031 |

Table of Contents**Director Compensation for Fiscal 2010**

The following table sets forth summary information concerning the annual compensation received by each of the non-employee directors of Open Text Corporation for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010.

| | Fees earned or paid in cash (\$)(1) | Stock Awards (\$)(2) | Option Awards (\$)(3) | Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) | Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) | All Other Compensation (\$) | Total (\$) |
|----------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Randy Fowlie (4) | \$ 80,375 | \$ 24,500 | \$ 99,977 | | | N/A | \$ 204,852 |
| Brian Jackman (5) | \$ 70,500 | N/A | \$ 99,977 | | | N/A | \$ 170,477 |
| Stephen Sadler (6) | \$ 45,000 | \$ 58,850 | \$ | | | N/A | \$ 686,850 |
| Michael Slaunwhite (7) | \$ 43,250 | \$ 28,750 | \$ 99,977 | | | N/A | \$ 171,977 |
| Gail E. Hamilton (8) | \$ 70,000 | N/A | \$ 99,977 | | | N/A | \$ 169,977 |
| Katharine B. Stevenson (9) | \$ 72,000 | \$ 58,850 | \$ | | | N/A | \$ 130,850 |
| Deborah Weinstein (10) | \$ 17,820 | \$ 36,180 | \$ 99,977 | | | N/A | \$ 153,977 |
| H. Garfield Emerson (11) | \$ 10,500 | N/A | \$ | | | N/A | \$ 10,500 |

- (1) Non-management directors may elect to defer all or a portion of their retainer and/or fees in the form of common stock equivalent units under our Directors' Deferred Share Unit Plan (DSU Plan) based on the value of the Company's shares as of the date fees would otherwise be paid. The DSU Plan became effective February 2, 2010, is available to any non-employee director of the Company and is designed to promote greater alignment of long-term interests between directors of the Company and its shareholders. An eligible director's DSU's will vest at the date of the Company's next annual general meeting.
- (2) In Fiscal 2010, Messrs. Fowlie, Sadler, and Slaunwhite and Ms. Stevenson and Weinstein, received 508, 1,220, 598, 1,220 and 753 DSU's, respectively. The amounts set forth in this column represents the amount recognized as the aggregate grant date fair value of equity-based compensation awards, as calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 718. These amounts do not reflect whether the recipient has actually realized a financial benefit from the exercise of the awards. For a discussion of the assumptions used in this valuation, see Note 11 Share Capital, Option Plan and Share-based Payments to our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.
- (3) In Fiscal 2010, each director, with the exception of Mr. Emerson, Mr. Sadler and Ms. Stevenson were awarded options for 6,100 common shares. Mr. Sadler and Ms. Stevenson elected to receive DSU's instead of option awards. Mr. Emerson was no longer a director with the Company at the time the awards were granted. The amounts set forth in this column represents the amount recognized as the aggregate grant date fair value of equity-based compensation awards, as calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 718. These amounts do not reflect whether the recipient has actually realized a financial benefit from the exercise of the awards. For a discussion of the assumptions used in this valuation, see Note 11 Share Capital, Option Plan and Share-based Payments to our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.
- (4) As of June 30, 2010 Mr. Fowlie holds 64,400 options and 508 DSU's.
- (5) As of June 30, 2010 Mr. Jackman holds 52,400 options.
- (6) As of June 30, 2010 Mr. Sadler holds 82,300 options and 1,220 DSU's.
- (7) As of June 30, 2010 Mr. Slaunwhite holds 106,400 options and 598 DSU's.
- (8) As of June 30, 2010 Ms. Hamilton holds 40,400 options.
- (9) As of June 30, 2010 Ms. Stevenson holds 10,300 options and 1,220 DSU's.
- (10) As of June 30, 2010 Ms. Weinstein holds 6,100 options outstanding and 753 DSU's. Ms. Weinstein became a director in December 2009.
- (11) As of December 3, 2009 Mr. Emerson no longer serves as a member of the Board. His term ended in the normal course. All compensation Mr. Emerson earned in our first half of Fiscal 2010 was for his role as a non-employee director.
- (12) During Fiscal 2010, Mr. Stephen Sadler received \$583,000 in consulting fees for assistance with acquisition-related business activities. Mr. Sadler abstained from voting on all transactions from which he would potentially derive consulting fees.

Table of Contents

Directors who are salaried officers or employees receive no compensation for serving as directors. The material terms of our director compensation arrangements are as follows:

| Description | Amount and frequency of payment |
|---|---|
| Annual retainer fee payable to each non-employee director | \$45,000 per director payable at the beginning of the calendar year |
| Annual Independent Lead Director fee payable to the Independent Lead Director | \$10,000 payable at the beginning of the calendar year |
| Annual Audit Committee retainer fee payable to each member of the Audit Committee | \$25,000 per year payable at \$6,250 at the beginning of each quarterly period. |
| Annual Audit Committee Chair retainer fee payable to the Chair of the Audit Committee | \$10,000 per year payable at \$2,500 at the beginning of each quarterly period. |
| Annual Compensation Committee retainer fee payable to each member of the Compensation Committee | \$10,000 per year payable at \$2,500 at the beginning of each quarterly period. |
| Annual Compensation Committee Chair retainer fee payable to the Chair of the Compensation Committee | \$10,000 per year payable at \$2,500 at the beginning of each quarterly period. |
| Annual Corporate Governance Committee retainer fee payable to each member of the Corporate Governance Committee | \$8,000 per year payable at \$2,000 at the beginning of each quarterly period. |
| Annual Corporate Governance Committee Chair retainer fee payable to the Chair of the Corporate Governance Committee | \$6,000 per year payable at \$1,500 at the beginning of each quarterly period. |

Unlike the scheduled fee arrangements set forth above, equity awards are made to non-management directors on a discretionary basis by the Board. As with its employees, the Company believes that granting compensation to directors in the form of equity promotes a greater alignment of long-term interests between directors of the Company and the shareholders of the Company. Historically, grants have been made solely in the form of stock options which vest over one year until the Company's next Annual General Meeting. Effective February 2, 2010, the Board adopted the DSU Plan, which is available to any non-employee director of the Company. As a result, in Fiscal 2010, certain directors elected to receive DSU's instead of stock options and as well directors were offered the opportunity to elect to receive DSU's in lieu of stock options and fees otherwise payable in cash.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Prior to December 2009, the members of our Compensation Committee included Ms. Hamilton, Mr. Jackman and Mr. Emerson. Post December 2009, the members of our Compensation Committee consists of Ms. Hamilton, Mr. Jackman and Ms. Weinstein. None of the members of the Compensation Committee have been or are an officer or employee of Open Text Corporation, or any of our subsidiaries, or had any relationship requiring disclosure herein. None of our executive officers served as a member of the compensation committee of another entity (or other committee of the board of directors performing equivalent functions, or in the absence of any such committee, the entire Board) one of whose executive officers served as a director of ours.

Board's Role in Risk Oversight

Although the Board as a whole has responsibility for risk oversight, the Board exercises its oversight of our risk management policies and practices primarily through its committees, which activities include reporting back to the Board on risk oversight.

Table of Contents

The Audit Committee oversees risks related to our accounting, financial statements and financial reporting process.

The Compensation Committee oversees risks which may be associated with our compensation policies, practices and programs, in particular with respect to our executive officers. The Compensation Committee assesses such risks with the review and assistance of the Company's management and the Compensation Committee's external compensation consultants.

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee monitors risk and potential risks with respect to the effectiveness of the Board, and considers aspects such as director succession, Board composition and the principal policies that guide the Company's overall corporate governance.

The members of each of the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, and the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee are all independent Directors within the meaning ascribed to it in Multilateral Instrument 52-110 Audit Committees as well as the listing standards of the NASDAQ, and, in the case of the Audit Committee, the additional independence requirements set out by the SEC.

All of our directors are kept informed of our business through open discussions with our management team, including our two management directors. The Board also receives documents, such as quarterly and periodic management reports and financial statements, as well our directors have access to all books, records and reports upon request, and members of management are available at all times to answer any questions which Board members may have.

Table of Contents**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters**

The following table sets forth certain information as of June 30, 2010 regarding Common Shares beneficially owned by the following persons or companies: (i) each person or company known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our outstanding Common Shares, (ii) each director and nominee for director of our company, (iii) each Named Executive Officer, and (iv) all directors and executive officers as a group. Except as otherwise indicated, we believe that the beneficial owners of the Common Shares listed below have sole investment and voting power with respect to such Common Shares, subject to community property laws where applicable.

The number and percentage of shares beneficially owned is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC, and is not necessarily indicative of beneficial ownership for any other purpose. Under these rules, beneficial ownership includes any shares as to which a person has sole or shared voting or investment power and also any shares of Common Shares underlying options or warrants that are exercisable by that person within 60 days of June 30, 2010. Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each person or entity named in the table is care of Open Text Corporation, 275 Frank Tompa Drive, Waterloo Ontario, Canada, N2L 0A1.

| Name and Address of Beneficial Owner | Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership | Percent of Common Shares Outstanding |
|--|--|---|
| FMR LLC (1) | 7,459,372 | 13.13% |
| 82 Devonshire Street | | |
| Boston, Massachusetts, 02109 | | |
| Ameriprise Financial Inc. (1) | 4,111,815 | 7.24% |
| 145 Ameriprise Financial Center | | |
| Minneapolis, MN 55474 | | |
| Greystone Managed Investments Inc. (1) | 3,323,719 | 5.85% |
| 300-1230 Blackfoot Drive | | |
| Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada S4S 7G4 | | |
| McLean Budden Limited. (1) | 5,667,900 | 9.97% |
| 145 King Street West, 25th Floor, | | |
| Toronto, Ontario | | |
| P. Thomas Jenkins (2) | 1,584,340 | 2.72% |
| John Shackleton (3) | 289,515 | * |
| Stephen J. Sadler (4) | 364,800 | * |
| Michael Slaunwhite (5) | 108,700 | * |
| Randy Fowlie (6) | 89,800 | * |
| Brian J. Jackman (7) | 58,300 | * |
| Gail E. Hamilton (8) | 34,300 | * |
| Katharine B. Stevenson (9) | 13,400 | * |
| Deborah Weinstein | | * |
| Paul McFeeters (10) | 217,000 | * |
| David Wareham (11) | 7,500 | * |
| Gordon A. Davies | | * |
| All executive officers and directors as a group (12) | 2,788,121 | 4.78% |

* Less than 1%

- (1) Information regarding the shares outstanding is based on information filed in Schedule 13G, 13F, or Schedule 13G/A with the SEC. The percentage of Common Shares outstanding is calculated using the total beneficial shares outstanding as of June 30, 2010.
- (2) Includes 896,840 Common Shares owned, options for 662,500 Common Shares which are exercisable, and options for 25,000 Common Shares which will become exercisable within 60 days of June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

- (3) Includes 77,015 Common Shares owned, options for 187,500 Common Shares which are exercisable, and options for 25,000 Common Shares which will become exercisable within 60 days of June 30, 2010.
- (4) Includes 282,500 Common Shares owned and options for 82,300 Common Shares which are exercisable.
- (5) Includes 8,400 Common Shares owned and options for 100,300 Common Shares which are exercisable.
- (6) Includes 31,500 Common Shares owned and options for 58,300 Common Shares which are exercisable.
- (7) Includes 12,000 Common Shares owned and options for 46,300 Common Shares which are exercisable.
- (8) Includes options for 34,300 Common Shares which are exercisable.
- (9) Includes 3,100 Common Shares owned and options for 10,300 Common Shares which are exercisable.
- (10) Includes 2,000 Common Shares owned, options for 202,500 Common Shares which are exercisable, and options for 12,500 Common Shares which will become exercisable within 60 days of June 30, 2010.
- (11) Includes options for 3,750 Common Shares which are exercisable and options for 3,750 Common Shares which will become exercisable within 60 days of June 30, 2010.
- (12) Includes 1,313,821 Common Shares owned, options for 1,398,050 Common Shares which are exercisable and options for 76,250 Common Shares which will become exercisable within 60 days of June 30, 2010.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence
Related Transaction Policy

We have adopted a written policy that all transactional agreements between us and our officers, directors and affiliates will be first approved by a majority of the independent directors. Once these agreements are approved, payments made pursuant to the agreements are approved by the members of our audit committee.

Our procedure regarding the approval of any related party transaction is that the material facts of such transaction shall be reviewed by the independent members of our Board and the transaction approved by a majority of the independent members of our Board. The Board reviews all transactions wherein we are, or will be a participant and any related party has or will have a direct or indirect interest. In determining whether to approve a related party transaction, the Board generally takes into account, among other facts it deems appropriate: whether the transaction is on terms no less favorable than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third-party under the same or similar circumstances; the extent and nature of the related person's interest in the transaction; the benefits to the company of the proposed transaction; if applicable, the effects on a director's independence; and if applicable, the availability of other sources of comparable services or products.

The Board has determined that all directors, except Mr. Jenkins, our Executive Chairman and Chief Strategy Officer, Mr. Shackleton, our President and Chief Executive Officer, and Mr. Sadler, meet the independence requirements under the NASDAQ Listing Rules and qualify as independent directors under those Listing Rules. Each of the members of our Compensation Committee, Audit Committee and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is an independent director.

Related Transactions

Mr. Stephen Sadler, a director, received consulting fees for assistance with acquisition-related business activities pursuant to a consulting agreement with the company. Mr. Sadler's consulting agreement is for an indefinite period. The material terms of the agreement are as follows: Mr. Sadler is paid at the rate of \$2,000 per day for services relating to this agreement. In addition, he is eligible to receive a bonus fee equivalent to 1.0% of the acquired company's revenue, up to \$10.0 million in revenue, plus an additional amount of 0.5% of the acquired company's revenue above \$10.0 million. The total bonus fee payable, for any given fiscal year, is subject to an annual limit of \$250,000 per single acquisition and an aggregate annual limit of \$480,000. The acquired company's revenue, for this purpose, is equal to the acquired company's revenue for the 12 months prior to the date of acquisition.

During Fiscal 2010, Mr. Stephen Sadler received approximately \$0.6 million in consulting fees from Open Text, inclusive of bonus fees aggregating \$480,000, for assistance with acquisition-related business activities. Mr. Sadler abstained from voting on all transactions from which he would potentially derive consulting fees.

Table of Contents

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The aggregate fees for professional services rendered by our independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP for Fiscal 2010 and Fiscal 2009 were:

Audit Fees

Audit fees were \$1.8 million, for Fiscal 2010 and \$1.7 million for Fiscal 2009. Such fees were for professional services rendered for (a) the annual audits of our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying attestation report regarding our ICFR contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, and (b) the review of quarterly financial information included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q.

Audit-Related Fees

Audit-related fees were approximately \$0.2 million for Fiscal 2010 and \$0.2 million for Fiscal 2009. Audit-related fees include (a) services related to statutory audits where applicable, and (b) review of filings with the SEC.

Tax Fees

The total fees for tax services were approximately \$0.3 million for Fiscal 2010 and \$0.3 million for Fiscal 2009. These fees were for services related to tax compliance, including the preparation of tax returns, tax planning and tax advice.

All Other Fees

Not applicable for Fiscal 2010 and Fiscal 2009.

Pre-Approval Policy

Open Text's Audit Committee has established a policy of reviewing, in advance, and either approving or not approving, all audit, audit-related, tax and other non-audit service that our independent registered public accounting firm provides to us. This policy requires that all services received from our independent registered public accounting firm be approved in advance by the Audit Committee or a delegate of the Audit Committee (in this regard). The Audit Committee has delegated the pre-approval responsibility to the Chair of the Audit Committee. All services that KPMG LLP provided to us in Fiscal 2010 and Fiscal 2009 have been pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee has determined that the provision of the services as set out above is compatible with the maintaining of KPMG LLP's independence in the conduct of its auditing functions.

Table of Contents**PART IV****Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules**

(a) Financial Statements and Schedules

| | |
|--|--------------------|
| Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data (Item 8) | Page Number |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 90 |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 91 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2010 and 2009</u> | 92 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009, and 2008</u> | 93 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009, and 2008</u> | 94 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009, and 2008</u> | 95 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 96 |

(b) The following documents are filed as a part of this report:

1) Consolidated financial statements and Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm and the related notes thereto are included under Item 8, in Part II.

2) Valuation and Qualifying Accounts; see Note 3 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Item 8, in Part II.

3) Exhibits: The following exhibits are filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or are incorporated by reference to exhibits previously filed with the SEC.

Exhibit

| Number | Description of Exhibit |
|---------------|---|
| 2.1 | Agreement and Plan of Merger between Open Text Corporation, Open Text Inc., Oasis Merger Corporation and Captaris Inc., dated September 3, 2008. (18) |
| 2.2 | Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of May 5, 2009 by and among Open Text Corporation, Scenic Merger Corporation and Vignette Corporation. (19) |
| 3.2 | Articles of Amalgamation of the Company. (1) |
| 3.3 | Articles of Amendment of the Company. (1) |
| 3.4 | By-law No. 1 of the Company. (1) |
| 3.5 | Articles of Amendment of the Company. (1) |
| 3.6 | By-law No. 2 of the Company. (1) |
| 3.7 | By-law No. 3 of the Company. (1) |
| 3.8 | Articles of Amalgamation of the Company. (1) |
| 3.9 | Articles of Amalgamation of the Company, dated July 1, 2001. (2) |
| 3.10 | Articles of Amalgamation of the Company, dated July 1, 2002. (3) |
| 3.11 | Articles of Amalgamation of the Company, dated July 1, 2003. (4) |
| 3.12 | Articles of Amalgamation of the Company, dated July 1, 2004. (5) |
| 3.13 | Articles of Amalgamation of the Company, dated July 1, 2005. (6) |

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

- 3.14 Open Text Corporation By-law, dated December 15, 2005. (7)
- 3.15 Articles of Continuance of the Company, dated December 29, 2005. (7)

Table of Contents

Exhibit

| Number | Description of Exhibit |
|---------------|---|
| 4.1 | Form of Common Share Certificate. (1) |
| 4.2 | Amended and Restated Shareholders Rights Plan Agreement between Open Text Corporation and Computershare Investor Services, Inc. dated December 6, 2007 (amending and restating the Shareholder Rights Plan Agreement dated as of November 1, 2004 filed as an exhibit to Open Text's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on November 12, 2004). (8) |
| 10.5 | Amendment to Agreement, dated June 27, 1997 between INSO Corporation and the Company. (9) |
| 10.6 | 1998 Stock Option Plan. (10) |
| 10.9* | Indemnity Agreement with Walter Koehler dated August 8, 2005. (12) |
| 10.10* | Indemnity Agreement with Peter Lipps dated August 19, 2005. (12) |
| 10.11 | 2004 Employee Stock Option Plan. (12) |
| 10.12 | Artesia Stock Option Plan. (12) |
| 10.13 | Vista Stock Option Plan. (12) |
| 10.14* | Employment Agreement, dated September 23, 2005 between P. Thomas Jenkins and the Company. (12) |
| 10.15* | Employment Agreement, dated September 23, 2005 between John Shackleton and the Company. (12) |
| 10.20 | Demand operating credit facility between the Company and Royal Bank of Canada, dated February 2, 2006. (13) |
| 10.21* | Employment Agreement, dated May 3, 2006 between Paul J. McFeeters and the Company. (14) |
| 10.22* | Employment Agreement, dated June 30, 2006 between Kirk Roberts and the Company. (15) |
| 10.23* | Employment Agreement, dated June 30, 2006 between Tony Preston and the Company. (15) |
| 10.24* | Employment Agreement, dated July 17, 2006 between John Wilkerson and the Company. (15) |
| 10.25 | Arrangement Agreement between the Company, 6575064 Canada Inc., and Hummingbird Ltd., dated August 4, 2006. (15) |
| 10.26* | Form of Indemnity Agreement between the Company and certain of its officers dated September 7, 2006. (15) |
| 10.27* | Open Text Corporation Long-Term Incentive Plan dated September 10, 2007. (16) |
| 10.28 | Consulting Agreement between Steven Sadler and SJS Advisors Inc. and the Company, dated May 3, 2005. (17) |
| 10.29 | Second Amendment to the Credit Agreement between Open Text Corporation and Royal Bank of Canada and others, dated September 24, 2009. (20) |
| 10.30* | (Revised) Employment Agreement, dated July 1, 2009 between John Wilkerson and the Company. (21) |
| 10.31* | Severance Agreement, dated December 4, 2009 between Kirk Roberts and the Company. (22) |
| 10.32 | Open Text Corporation Directors' Deferred Share Unit Plan effective February 2, 2010. (23) |
| 10.33* | Employment Agreement dated September 8, 2009 between Gordon A. Davies and the Company. |
| 10.34* | Revised employment Agreement dated April 2, 2009 between Dave Wareham and the Company. |
| 21.1 | List of the Company's Subsidiaries as of June 30, 2010. |

Table of Contents**Exhibit**

| Number | Description of Exhibit |
|---------------|---|
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. |
| 24.1 | Power of Attorney (contained on Signature Page). |
| 31.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.INS | XBRL instance document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL taxonomy extension schema |
| 101.CAL | XBRL taxonomy extension calculation linkbase |
| 101.DEF | XBRL taxonomy extension definition linkbase |
| 101.LAB | XBRL taxonomy extension label linkbase |
| 101.PRE | XBRL taxonomy extension presentation linkbase |
| 101.REF | XBRL taxonomy extension reference linkbase |

* Indicates management contract relating to compensatory plans or arrangements.

- (1) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form F-1 (Registration Number 33-98858) as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on November 1, 1995 or Amendments 1, 2 or 3 thereto (filed on December 28, 1995, January 22, 1996 and January 23, 1996 respectively), and incorporated herein by reference.
- (2) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed with the SEC on September 28, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (3) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed with the SEC on September 28, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (4) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed with the SEC on September 29, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (5) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed with the SEC on September 13, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (6) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed with the SEC on September 27, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (7) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as filed with the SEC on February 3, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (8) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4, as filed with the SEC on May 27, 2009, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (9) Filed as an Exhibit in the Company's Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on June 16, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (10) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed with the SEC on August 20, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (11) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed with the SEC on September 13, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

- (12) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed with the SEC on September 27, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (13) Filed as an Exhibit in the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as filed with the SEC on February 3, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (14) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as filed with the SEC on May 5, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (15) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed with the SEC on September 12, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (16) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on September 13, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (17) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed with the SEC on August 26, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (18) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on September 4, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (19) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on May 6, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (20) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the SEC on September 30, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (21) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as filed with the SEC on October 28, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (22) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as filed with the SEC on February 4, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (23) Filed as an Exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as filed with the SEC on April 30, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Open Text Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Open Text Corporation and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended June 30, 2010. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the *consolidated* financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Open Text Corporation and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended June 30, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted the requirements of FASB ASC Topic 805 *Business Combinations* (ASC Topic 805), as of July 1, 2009, the provisions of FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2009-05, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820) Measuring Liabilities at Fair Value*, as of July 1, 2009 and the provisions of FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-06, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820) Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements* as of January 1, 2010.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Open Text Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated August 20, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Chartered Accountants, Licensed Public Accountants

Toronto, Canada

August 20, 2010

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Open Text Corporation

We have audited Open Text Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Open Text Corporation's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Part II, Item 9A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Open Text Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Open Text Corporation and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended June 30, 2010, and our report dated August 20, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Chartered Accountants, Licensed Public Accountants

Toronto, Canada

August 20, 2010

Table of Contents**OPEN TEXT CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except share data)**

| | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2009 |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 326,192 | \$ 275,819 |
| Accounts receivable trade, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$4,868 as of June 30, 2010 and \$4,208 as of June 30, 2009 (note 3) | 132,143 | 115,802 |
| Income taxes recoverable (note 12) | 44,509 | 4,496 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 21,086 | 18,172 |
| Deferred tax assets (note 12) | 15,714 | 20,621 |
| Total current assets | 539,644 | 434,910 |
| Investments in marketable securities | | 13,103 |
| Capital assets (note 4) | 54,286 | 45,165 |
| Goodwill (note 5) | 671,624 | 576,111 |
| Acquired intangible assets (note 6) | 328,193 | 315,048 |
| Deferred tax assets (note 12) | 27,405 | 69,877 |
| Other assets (note 7) | 44,454 | 13,064 |
| Long-term income taxes recoverable (note 12) | 48,418 | 39,958 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,714,024 | \$ 1,507,236 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities (note 8) | \$ 119,604 | \$ 116,992 |
| Current portion of long-term debt (note 10) | 15,486 | 3,449 |
| Deferred revenues | 219,752 | 189,397 |
| Income taxes payable (note 12) | 39,666 | 10,356 |
| Deferred tax liabilities (note 12) | 28,384 | 508 |
| Total current liabilities | 422,892 | 320,702 |
| Long-term liabilities: | | |
| Accrued liabilities (note 8) | 15,755 | 21,099 |
| Pension liability (note 9) | 15,888 | 15,803 |
| Long-term debt (note 10) | 285,026 | 299,234 |
| Deferred revenues | 10,085 | 7,914 |
| Long-term income taxes payable | 64,699 | 47,131 |
| Deferred tax liabilities (note 12) | 13,459 | 108,889 |
| Total long-term liabilities | 404,912 | 500,070 |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Share capital (note 11) | | |
| 56,825,995 and 52,716,751 Common Shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009, respectively; Authorized Common Shares: unlimited | 602,868 | 457,982 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 61,298 | 52,152 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 44,021 | 71,851 |
| Retained earnings | 192,033 | 104,479 |
| Treasury stock, at cost (307,579 and nil shares, respectively at June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009) | (14,000) | |

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| Total shareholders' equity | 886,220 | 686,464 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 1,714,024 | \$ 1,507,236 |
| Guarantees and contingencies (note 16) | | |
| Related party transactions (note 20) | | |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**OPEN TEXT CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME****(In thousands of U.S. dollars, except per share data)**

| | Year ended June 30, | | |
|---|---------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Revenues: | | | |
| License | \$ 238,074 | \$ 229,818 | \$ 219,103 |
| Customer support | 507,452 | 405,310 | 363,580 |
| Service and other | 166,497 | 150,537 | 142,849 |
| Total revenues | 912,023 | 785,665 | 725,532 |
| Cost of revenues: | | | |
| License | 16,922 | 16,204 | 15,415 |
| Customer support | 83,741 | 68,902 | 58,764 |
| Service and other | 135,396 | 118,998 | 117,037 |
| Amortization of acquired technology-based intangible assets | 60,472 | 47,733 | 41,515 |
| Total cost of revenues | 296,531 | 251,837 | 232,731 |
| Gross profit | 615,492 | 533,828 | 492,801 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Research and development | 129,378 | 116,164 | 107,206 |
| Sales and marketing | 198,208 | 186,533 | 172,873 |
| General and administrative | 83,295 | 73,842 | 69,985 |
| Depreciation | 17,425 | 12,012 | 12,017 |
| Amortization of acquired customer-based intangible assets | 35,940 | 33,259 | 30,759 |
| Special charges (recoveries) (note 13) | 43,666 | 14,434 | (418) |
| Total operating expenses | 507,912 | 436,244 | 392,422 |
| Income from operations | 107,580 | 97,584 | 100,379 |
| Other expense, net (note 18) | (8,349) | (3,238) | (1,521) |
| Interest expense, net | (10,366) | (13,620) | (22,859) |
| Income before income taxes | 88,865 | 80,726 | 75,999 |
| Provision for income taxes (note 12) | 1,311 | 23,788 | 22,993 |
| Net income for the year | \$ 87,554 | \$ 56,938 | \$ 53,006 |
| Net income per share basic (note 19) | \$ 1.56 | \$ 1.09 | \$ 1.04 |
| Net income per share diluted (note 19) | \$ 1.53 | \$ 1.07 | \$ 1.01 |
| Weighted average number of Common Shares outstanding basic | 56,280 | 52,030 | 50,780 |

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| Weighted average number of Common Shares outstanding | | | |
| diluted | 57,385 | 53,271 | 52,604 |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**OPEN TEXT CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY**

(In thousands of U.S. dollars)

| | Comprehensive Income | Common Shares | | Treasury Stock | | Additional Paid in Capital | Accumulated Retained Earnings (Deficit) | Accumulated Comprehensive Income | Other Comprehensive Income | Total |
|---|-------------------------|---------------|------------|----------------|----------|----------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|------------|
| | | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | | | | | |
| Balance as of June 30, 2007 | | 50,180 | \$ 426,188 | | \$ | \$ 35,311 | \$ (5,465) | \$ 68,034 | | \$ 524,068 |
| Issuance of Common Shares | | | | | | | | | | |
| Under employee stock option plans | | 942 | 11,558 | | | | | | | 11,558 |
| Under employee stock purchase plans | | 30 | 725 | | | | | | | 725 |
| Stock compensation | | | | | | 3,789 | | | | 3,789 |
| Income tax effect related to stock options exercised | | | | | | 230 | | | | 230 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | \$ 42,341 | | | | | | | 42,341 | | 42,341 |
| Change in actuarial gain (loss) relating to defined benefit pension plans | 444 | | | | | | | 444 | | 444 |
| Net income for the year | 53,006 | | | | | | 53,006 | | | 53,006 |
| Total comprehensive income | 95,791 | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance as of June 30, 2008 | | 51,152 | 438,471 | | | 39,330 | 47,541 | 110,819 | | 636,161 |
| Issuance of Common Shares | | | | | | | | | | |
| Under employee stock option plans | | 1,539 | 18,727 | | | | | | | 18,727 |
| Under employee stock purchase plans | | 26 | 784 | | | | | | | 784 |
| Stock compensation | | | | | | 5,032 | | | | 5,032 |
| Income tax effect related to stock options exercised | | | | | | 7,790 | | | | 7,790 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (44,944) | | | | | | | (44,944) | | (44,944) |
| Change in actuarial gain (loss) relating to defined benefit pension plan | 813 | | | | | | | 813 | | 813 |
| Unrealized gain on cash flow hedges | 990 | | | | | | | 990 | | 990 |
| Unrealized holding gain on available-for-sale securities, net of tax | 4,173 | | | | | | | 4,173 | | 4,173 |
| Net income for the year | 56,938 | | | | | | 56,938 | | | 56,938 |
| Total comprehensive income | 17,970 | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance as of June 30, 2009 | | 52,717 | 457,982 | | | 52,152 | 104,479 | 71,851 | | 686,464 |
| Issuance of Common Shares | | | | | | | | | | |
| Under employee stock option plans | | 474 | 8,941 | | | | | | | 8,941 |
| Under employee stock purchase plans | | 27 | 997 | | | | | | | 997 |
| In connection with acquisitions | | 3,608 | 134,948 | | | | | | | 134,948 |
| Stock compensation | | | | | | 8,003 | | | | 8,003 |
| Income tax effect related to stock options exercised | | | | | | 1,143 | | | | 1,143 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | | | | (308) | (14,000) | | | | | (14,000) |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (20,393) | | | | | | | (20,393) | | (20,393) |
| Change in actuarial gain (loss) relating to defined benefit pension plan | (2,274) | | | | | | | (2,274) | | (2,274) |
| Release of unrealized gain on cash flow hedges | (990) | | | | | | | (990) | | (990) |
| Release of unrealized gain on available for sale securities | (4,173) | | | | | | | (4,173) | | (4,173) |

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------|------------|-------|-------------|-----------|------------|----|--------|------------|
| Net income for the year | 87,554 | | | | | 87,554 | | | | 87,554 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 59,724 | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance as of June 30, 2010 | | 56,826 | \$ 602,868 | (308) | \$ (14,000) | \$ 61,298 | \$ 192,033 | \$ | 44,021 | \$ 886,220 |

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**OPEN TEXT CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(In thousands of U.S. dollars)**

| | Year ended June 30, | | |
|---|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income for the year | \$ 87,554 | \$ 56,938 | \$ 53,006 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 113,837 | 93,004 | 84,291 |
| In-process research and development | | 121 | 500 |
| Share-based compensation expense | 9,765 | 5,032 | 3,789 |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation | (1,143) | (8,631) | (1,079) |
| Pension expense | 211 | 1,377 | |
| Amortization of debt issuance costs | 1,390 | 1,099 | 1,220 |
| Unrealized (gain) loss on financial instruments | (878) | (1,682) | 3,178 |
| Loss on sale and write down of capital assets | 136 | 130 | |
| Release of unrealized gain on marketable securities to income | (4,353) | | |
| Deferred taxes | (24,219) | (9,914) | (24,326) |
| Impairment and other non cash charges | 577 | 223 | |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 24,521 | 43,761 | (5,626) |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | (814) | (3,080) | (168) |
| Income taxes | 5,066 | 23,274 | 12,600 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (11,340) | (15,999) | 3,566 |
| Deferred revenue | 3,077 | (6,861) | 33,751 |
| Other assets | (23,196) | (2,622) | 1,274 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 180,191 | 176,170 | 165,976 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Additions of capital assets-net | (19,314) | (12,150) | (6,895) |
| Purchase of Burntsand Inc., net of cash acquired | (8,163) | | |
| Purchase of Nstein Technologies Inc., net of cash acquired | (20,370) | | |
| Purchase of New Generation Consulting Inc. | (3,500) | | |
| Purchase of Vignette Corporation, net of cash acquired | (90,600) | | |
| Purchase of Vizible Corporation | | (850) | |
| Purchase of Captaris Inc., net of cash acquired | | (101,033) | |
| Purchase of eMotion LLC, net of cash acquired | (556) | (3,635) | |
| Purchase of a division of Spicer Corporation | | (11,437) | |
| Purchase consideration for prior period acquisitions | (12,843) | (22,794) | (21,522) |
| Investments in marketable securities | | (8,930) | |
| Maturity of short-term investments | 45,525 | | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (109,821) | (160,829) | (28,417) |
| Cash flow from financing activities: | | | |
| Excess tax benefits on share-based compensation expense | 1,143 | 8,631 | 1,079 |
| Proceeds from issuance of Common Shares | 9,971 | 19,593 | 12,272 |
| Purchase of Treasury Stock | (14,000) | | |
| Repayment of long-term debt | (3,485) | (3,426) | (63,616) |
| Debt issuance costs | (1,024) | | (349) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (7,395) | 24,798 | (50,614) |
| Foreign exchange gain (loss) on cash held in foreign currencies | (12,602) | (19,236) | 17,992 |

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| Increase in cash and cash equivalents during the year | 50,373 | 20,903 | 104,937 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year | 275,819 | 254,916 | 149,979 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of the year | \$ 326,192 | \$ 275,819 | \$ 254,916 |

Supplementary cash flow disclosures (note 17)

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

OPEN TEXT CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Tabular amounts in thousands, except per share data)

NOTE 1 NATURE OF OPERATIONS

We develop, market, sell, and support Enterprise Content Management (ECM) solutions. We offer solutions both as end-user stand alone products and as fully integrated modules. We market and license our products and services primarily in North America and Europe.

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of presentation and consideration

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Open Text Corporation and our wholly owned subsidiaries, collectively referred to as Open Text or the Company. All inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated.

These consolidated financial statements are expressed in U.S. dollars and are prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP). The information furnished reflects all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the periods presented and includes the financial results of Burnsand Inc. (Burnsand), with effect from May 27, 2010, Nstein Technologies Inc. (Nstein), with effect from April 1, 2010, and Vignette Corporation (Vignette), with effect from July 22, 2009 (see Note 14).

Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires us to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements. These estimates, judgments and assumptions are evaluated on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable at that time, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from those estimates. In particular, significant estimates, judgments and assumptions include those related to: (i) revenue recognition, (ii) allowance for doubtful accounts, (iii) testing goodwill for impairment, (iv) the valuation of acquired intangible assets, (v) the valuation of long-lived assets, (vi) the recognition of contingencies, (vii) restructuring accruals, (viii) acquisition accruals and pre-acquisition contingencies, (ix) asset retirement obligations, (x) realization of investment tax credits, (xi) the valuation of stock options granted and liabilities related to share-based payments, including the valuation of our long-term incentive plan, (xii) the valuation of financial instruments, (xiii) the valuation of pension assets and obligations, and (xiv) accounting for income taxes.

Basis of Presentation

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement No. 168, The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162 (the Codification). The Codification has become the single source of authoritative non-government U.S. GAAP, superseding various existing authoritative accounting pronouncements. The Codification eliminates the GAAP hierarchy contained in Statement No. 162 and establishes one level of authoritative U.S. GAAP. All other U.S. GAAP literature is considered non-authoritative. This Codification is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. We adopted the Codification in our first quarter of Fiscal 2010. There was no change to our consolidated financial statements due to the implementation of the Codification other than changes in reference to various authoritative accounting pronouncements in our Notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents***Cash and cash equivalents***

Cash and cash equivalents include investments that have terms to maturity of three months or less. Cash equivalents are recorded at cost and typically consist of term deposits, commercial paper, certificates of deposit and short-term interest bearing investment-grade securities of major banks in the countries in which we operate.

Capital assets

Capital assets are stated at cost and are depreciated on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the related assets. Gains and losses on asset disposals are taken into income in the year of disposition. The following represents the estimated useful lives of capital assets:

| | |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Furniture and fixtures | 5 to 10 years |
| Office equipment | 5 years |
| Computer hardware | 3 to 7 years |
| Computer software | 3 years |
| Leasehold improvements | Over the term of the lease |
| Building | 40 years |

Business combinations

In Fiscal 2010, we adopted Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 805, *Business Combinations* (ASC Topic 805), which revised the accounting guidance that we were required to apply for our acquisitions in comparison to prior fiscal years. The underlying principles are similar to the previous guidance and require that we recognize separately from goodwill the identifiable assets acquired and the liabilities assumed, generally at their acquisition date fair values. Goodwill as of the acquisition date is measured as the excess of consideration transferred over the net of the acquisition date fair values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed. While we use our best estimates and assumptions as a part of the purchase price allocation process to accurately value assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date, our estimates are inherently uncertain and subject to refinement. As a result, during the measurement period, which may be up to one year from the acquisition date, we record adjustments to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, with the corresponding offset to goodwill to the extent that we identify adjustments to the preliminary purchase price allocation. Upon the conclusion of the measurement period or final determination of the values of assets acquired or liabilities assumed, whichever comes first, any subsequent adjustments are recorded to our Consolidated Statements of Income.

As a result of adopting the revised accounting guidance provided for by ASC Topic 805 as of the beginning of Fiscal 2010, certain of our policies differ when accounting for acquisitions in Fiscal 2010 and future periods in comparison to the accounting for acquisitions in Fiscal 2009 and prior periods, including:

The direct transaction costs associated with the business combination are expensed as incurred (prior to Fiscal 2010, direct transaction costs were included as a part of the purchase price);

The costs to exit or restructure certain activities of an acquired company are accounted for separately from the business combination (prior to Fiscal 2010, these restructuring and exit costs were included as a part of the assumed obligations in deriving the purchase price allocation); and

Changes in estimates associated with income tax valuation allowances or uncertain tax positions after the measurement period are generally recognized as income tax expense with application of this policy also applied prospectively to all of our business combinations regardless of the acquisition date (prior to Fiscal 2010, any such changes were generally included as a part of the purchase price allocation indefinitely).

Costs to exit or restructure certain activities of an acquired company or our internal operations are accounted for as one-time termination and exit costs pursuant to ASC Topic 420, *Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations* (ASC Topic 420), and, as noted above, are accounted for separately from the business combination.

Table of Contents

Uncertain tax positions and tax related valuation allowances assumed in connection with a business combination are initially estimated as of the acquisition date and we reevaluate these items quarterly with any adjustments to our preliminary estimates being recorded to goodwill provided that we are within the measurement period and we continue to collect information relating to facts and circumstances that existed at acquisition date. Changes to these uncertain tax positions and tax related valuation allowances made subsequent to the measurement period or if they relate to facts and circumstances that do not exist at acquisition date, are recorded in our provision for income taxes in our Consolidated Statement of Income.

Acquired intangibles

Acquired intangibles consist of acquired technology and customer relationships associated with various acquisitions.

Acquired technology is initially recorded at fair value based on the present value of the estimated net future income-producing capabilities of software products acquired on acquisitions. We amortize acquired technology over its estimated useful life on a straight-line basis.

Customer relationships represent relationships that we have with customers of the acquired companies and are either based upon contractual or legal rights or are considered separable; that is, capable of being separated from the acquired entity and being sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged. These customer relationships are initially recorded at their fair value based on the present value of expected future cash flows. We amortize customer relationships on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives.

We continually evaluate the remaining estimated useful life of our intangible assets being amortized to determine whether events and circumstances warrant a revision to the remaining period of amortization.

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price in a business combination over the fair value of net tangible and intangible assets acquired.

ASC Topic 350 Intangibles Goodwill and Other (ASC Topic 350) requires the carrying amounts of these assets be periodically reviewed for impairment (at least annually for goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets) and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of these assets may not be recoverable. The goodwill impairment analysis is comprised of two steps. In the first step, we compare the fair value of each reporting unit to its carrying value. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds the carrying value of the net assets assigned to that unit, goodwill is not considered impaired and we are not required to perform further testing. If the carrying value of the net assets assigned to the reporting unit exceeds the fair value of the reporting unit, then we must perform the second step of the impairment test in order to determine the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill. If the carrying value of a reporting unit's goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, then we would record an impairment loss equal to the difference. Recoverability of indefinite lived intangible assets is measured by comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to the future discounted cash flows the asset is expected to generate. If the asset is considered to be impaired, the amount of any impairment is measured as the difference between the carrying value and the fair value of the impaired asset.

We allocate goodwill to reporting units on a geographical basis comprising of three reporting segments: North America, Europe, and Other ; Other primarily consists of Australia, Japan, Singapore and the United Arab Emirates. The primary valuation method selected was the income approach (discounted cash flow) to estimate the fair value of the reporting units and have also considered the market approach to test the reasonableness of the conclusions reached through the primary approach. Significant management judgment is required in the forecasting of future operating results and related assumptions that are used in the preparation of the projected discounted cash flows. Should different conditions prevail, material write-downs of net intangible

Table of Contents

assets could occur. There have been no significant changes in management's valuation assumptions from the prior year assessment. The fair value of the reporting units have been determined based upon the present value of future cash flows for each of the reporting units and based upon a discount rate that represents a risk adjusted rate of return. For this purpose we have used a discount rate of 11% based upon our estimate of the weighted average cost of our capital.

Our annual impairment analysis of goodwill was performed as of April 1, 2010. The analysis indicated that carrying values were substantially above their fair values and therefore there was no impairment for goodwill in any of our reporting units during Fiscal 2010. (No impairments were recorded for Fiscal 2009 and Fiscal 2008).

Impairment of long-lived assets

We account for the impairment and disposition of long-lived assets in accordance with ASC Topic 360, *Property, Plant, and Equipment* (ASC Topic 360). We test long-lived assets or asset groups, such as capital assets and definite lived intangible assets, for recoverability when events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable. Circumstances which could trigger a review include, but are not limited to: significant adverse changes in the business climate or legal factors; current period cash flow or operating losses combined with a history of losses or a forecast of continuing losses associated with the use of the asset; and a current expectation that the asset will more likely than not be sold or disposed of before the end of its estimated useful life.

Recoverability is assessed based on comparing the carrying amount of the asset to the aggregate pre-tax undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposal of the asset or asset group. Impairment is recognized when the carrying amount is not recoverable and exceeds the fair value of the asset or asset group. The impairment loss, if any, is measured as the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds fair value, which for this purpose is based upon the discounted projected future cash flows of the asset or asset group. We have not recorded any impairment charges for long-lived assets during Fiscal 2009 and Fiscal 2008. During Fiscal 2010 we recorded an impairment charge to intangible assets of \$0.3 million. See Note 13 for further details.

Allowance for doubtful accounts

We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of customers to make payments. We evaluate the creditworthiness of our customers prior to order fulfillment and based on these evaluations, we adjust our credit limit to the respective customer. In addition to these evaluations, we conduct on-going credit evaluations of our customers' payment history and current creditworthiness. The allowance is maintained for 100% of all accounts deemed to be uncollectible and, for those receivables not specifically identified as uncollectible, an allowance is maintained for a specific percentage of those receivables based upon the aging of accounts, our historical collection experience and current economic expectations. To date, the actual losses have been within our expectations. No single customer accounted for more than 10% of the accounts receivable balance as of June 30, 2010 and 2009.

Asset retirement obligations

We account for asset retirement obligations in accordance with ASC Topic 410, *Asset Retirement and Environmental Obligations* (ASC Topic 410), which applies to certain obligations associated with leasehold improvements within our leased office facilities. ASC Topic 410 requires that a liability be initially recognized for the estimated fair value of the obligation when it is incurred. The associated asset retirement cost is capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset and depreciated over the remaining life of the underlying asset and the associated liability is accreted to the estimated fair value of the obligation at the settlement date through periodic accretion charges recorded within general and administrative expenses. When the obligation is settled, any difference between the final cost and the recorded amount is recognized as income or loss on settlement.

Table of Contents

Revenue recognition

a) License revenues

We recognize revenue in accordance with Statement of Position (SOP) 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition (SOP 97-2) issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) in October 1997, as amended by SOP 98-9 issued in December 1998.

We record product revenue from software licenses and products when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the software product has been shipped, there are no significant uncertainties surrounding product acceptance by the customer, the fees are fixed and determinable, and collection is considered probable. We use the residual method to recognize revenue on delivered elements when a license agreement includes one or more elements to be delivered at a future date if evidence of the fair value of all undelivered elements exists. If an undelivered element for the arrangement exists under the license arrangement, revenue related to the undelivered element is deferred based on vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE) of the fair value of the undelivered element.

Our multiple-element sales arrangements include arrangements where software licenses and the associated post contract customer support (PCS) are sold together. We have established VSOE of the fair value of the undelivered PCS element based on the contracted price for renewal PCS included in the original multiple element sales arrangement, as substantiated by contractual terms and our significant PCS renewal experience, from our existing worldwide base. Our multiple element sales arrangements generally include irrevocable rights for the customer to renew PCS after the bundled term ends. The customer is not subject to any economic or other penalty for failure to renew. Further, the renewal PCS options are for services comparable to the bundled PCS and cover similar terms.

It is our experience that customers generally exercise their renewal PCS option. In the renewal transaction, PCS is sold on a stand-alone basis to the licensees one year or more after the original multiple element sales arrangement. The exercised renewal PCS price is consistent with the renewal price in the original multiple element sales arrangement, although an adjustment to reflect consumer price changes is not uncommon.

If VSOE of fair value does not exist for all undelivered elements, all revenue is deferred until sufficient evidence exists or all elements have been delivered.

We assess whether payment terms are customary or extended in accordance with normal practice relative to the market in which the sale is occurring. Our sales arrangements generally include standard payment terms. These terms effectively relate to all customers, products, and arrangements regardless of customer type, product mix or arrangement size. Exceptions are only made to these standard terms for certain sales in parts of the world where local practice differs. In these jurisdictions, our customary payment terms are in line with local practice.

b) Service revenues

Service revenues consist of revenues from consulting, implementation, training and integration services. These services are set forth separately in the contractual arrangements such that the total price of the customer arrangement is expected to vary as a result of the inclusion or exclusion of these services. For those contracts where the services are not essential to the functionality of any other element of the transaction, we determine VSOE of fair value for these services based upon normal pricing and discounting practices for these services when sold separately. These consulting and implementation services contracts are primarily time and materials based contracts that are, on average, less than six months in length. Revenue from these services is recognized at the time such services are rendered as the time is incurred by us.

We also enter into contracts that are primarily fixed fee arrangements wherein the services are not essential to the functionality of a software element. In such cases, the proportional performance method is applied to recognize revenue.

Table of Contents

Revenues from training and integration services are recognized in the period in which these services are performed.

c) Customer support revenues

Customer support revenues consist of revenue derived from contracts to provide PCS to license holders. These revenues are recognized ratably over the term of the contract. Advance billings of PCS are not recorded to the extent that the term of the PCS has not commenced and payment has not been received.

Deferred revenue

Deferred revenue primarily relates to support agreements which have been paid for by customers prior to the performance of those services. Generally, the services will be provided in the twelve months after the signing of the agreement.

Long-term sales contracts

We entered into certain long-term sales contracts involving the sale of integrated solutions that include the modification and customization of software and the provision of services that are essential to the functionality of the other elements in this arrangement. As prescribed by ASC Topic 985-605, Software-Revenue Recognition (ASC Topic 985-606), we recognize revenue from such arrangements in accordance with the contract accounting guidelines in ASC Topic 605-35, Construction-Type and Production-Type Contracts (ASC Topic 605-35), after evaluating for separation of any non-ASC Topic 605-35 elements in accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 605-25, Multiple-Element Arrangements (ASC Topic 605-25).

When circumstances exist that allow us to make reasonably dependable estimates of contract revenues, contract costs and the progress of the contract to completion, we account for sales under such long-term contracts using the percentage-of-completion (POC) method of accounting. Under the POC method, progress towards completion of the contract is measured based upon either input measures or output measures. We measure progress towards completion based upon an input measure and calculate this as the proportion of the actual hours incurred compared to the total estimated hours. For training and integration services rendered under such contracts, revenues are recognized as the services are rendered. We will review, on a quarterly basis, the total estimated remaining costs to completion for each of these contracts and apply the impact of any changes on the POC prospectively. If at any time we anticipate that the estimated remaining costs to completion will exceed the value of the contract, the loss will be recognized immediately.

When circumstances exist that prevent us from making reasonably dependable estimates of contract revenues, we account for sales under such long-term contracts using the completed contract method.

Sales to resellers and channel partners

We execute certain sales contracts through resellers and distributors (collectively, resellers) and also large, well-capitalized partners such as SAP AG and Accenture Inc. (collectively, channel partners).

We recognize revenue relating to sales through resellers when all the recognition criteria have been met in other words, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred in the reporting period, the fee is fixed and determinable, and collectability is probable. Typically, we recognize revenue to resellers only after the reseller communicates the occurrence of end-user sales to us, since we do not have privity of contract with the end-user. In addition we assess the creditworthiness of each reseller and if the reseller is newly formed, undercapitalized or in financial difficulty any revenues expected to emanate from such resellers are deferred and recognized only when cash is received and all other revenue recognition criteria are met.

Table of Contents

We recognize revenue relating to sales through channel partners in the reporting period in which we receive evidence, from the channel partner, of end user sales (collectively, the documentation) and all other revenue recognition criteria have been met. As a result, if the documentation is not received within a given reporting period we recognize the revenue in a period subsequent to the period in which the channel partner completes the sale to the end user.

Rights of return and other incentives

We do not generally offer rights of return or any other incentives such as concessions, product rotation, or price protection and, therefore, do not provide for or make estimates of rights of return and similar incentives.

Allowance for product returns

We provide allowances for estimated returns and return rights that exist for certain legacy Captaris customers. In general, our customers are not granted return rights at the time of sale. However, Captaris has historically accepted returns and, has therefore, reduced recognized revenue for estimated product returns. For those customers to whom we do grant return rights, we reduce revenue by an estimate of these returns. If we cannot reasonably estimate these returns, we defer the revenue until the return rights lapse. For software sold to resellers for which we have granted exchange rights, we defer the revenue until the reseller sells the software through to end-users. When customer acceptance provisions are present and we cannot reasonably estimate returns, we recognize revenue upon the earlier of customer acceptance or expiration of the acceptance period.

Research and development costs

Research and development costs internally incurred in creating computer software to be sold, licensed or otherwise marketed are expensed as incurred unless they meet the criteria for deferral and amortization, as described in ASC Topic 985-20, *Costs of Software to be Sold, Leased, or Marketed* (ASC Topic 985-20). In accordance with ASC Topic 985-20, costs related to research, design and development of products are charged to expenses as incurred and capitalized between the dates that the product is considered to be technologically feasible and is considered to be ready for general release to customers. In our historical experience, the dates relating to the achievement of technological feasibility and general release of the product have substantially coincided. In addition, no significant costs are incurred subsequent to the establishment of technological feasibility. As a result, we do not capitalize any research and development costs relating to internally developed software to be sold, licensed or otherwise marketed.

Income taxes

We account for income taxes in accordance with ASC Topic 740, *Income Taxes* (ASC Topic 740). Deferred tax assets and liabilities arise from temporary differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years. These temporary differences are measured using enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance is recorded to reduce deferred tax assets to the extent that we consider it is more likely than not that a deferred tax asset will not be realized. In determining the valuation allowance, we consider factors such as the reversal of deferred income tax liabilities, projected taxable income, and the character of income tax assets and tax planning strategies. A change to these factors could impact the estimated valuation allowance and income tax expense.

We account for our uncertain tax provisions by using a two-step approach to recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates it is more likely than not, based solely on the technical merits, that the position

Table of Contents

will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. The second step is to measure the appropriate amount of the benefit to recognize. The amount of benefit to recognize is measured as the maximum amount which is more likely than not to be realized. The tax position is derecognized when it is no longer more likely than not capable of being sustained. On subsequent recognition and measurement the maximum amount which is more likely than not to be recognized at each reporting date will represent the Company's best estimate, given the information available at the reporting date, although the outcome of the tax position is not absolute or final. Upon adopting the revisions in ASC Topic 740, we elected to follow an accounting policy to classify accrued interest related to liabilities for income taxes within the Interest expense line and penalties related to liabilities for income taxes within the Other expense line of our Consolidated Statements of Income (see Note 12 for more details).

Fair value of financial instruments

Carrying amounts of certain financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable (trade and accrued liabilities) approximate their fair value due to the relatively short period of time between origination of the instruments and their expected realization.

The fair value of our total long-term debt approximates its carrying value.

Foreign currency translation

Our consolidated financial statements are presented in U.S. dollars. In general, the functional currency of our subsidiaries is the local currency. For such subsidiary, assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollars at the exchange rates in effect at balance sheet dates and revenue and expenses are translated at the average exchange rates prevailing during the month of the transaction. The effect of foreign currency translation adjustments not affecting net income are included in Shareholders' equity under the Cumulative translation adjustment account as a component of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Transactional foreign currency gains (losses) are included in the Consolidated Statements of Income under the line item Other income (expense) (For details see Note 18).

Restructuring charges

We record restructuring charges relating to contractual lease obligations and other exit costs in accordance with ASC Topic 420, Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations (ASC Topic 420). ASC Topic 420 requires that a liability for a cost associated with an exit or disposal activity be recognized and measured initially at its fair value in the period in which the liability is incurred. In order to incur a liability pursuant to ASC Topic 420, our management must have established and approved a plan of restructuring in sufficient detail. A liability for a cost associated with involuntary termination benefits is recorded when benefits have been communicated and a liability for a cost to terminate an operating lease or other contract is incurred when the contract has been terminated in accordance with the contract terms or we have ceased using the right conveyed by the contract, such as vacating a leased facility.

The recognition of restructuring charges requires us to make certain judgments regarding the nature, timing and amount associated with the planned restructuring activities, including estimating sub-lease income and the net recoverable amount of equipment to be disposed of. At the end of each reporting period, we evaluate the appropriateness of the remaining accrued balances. For details, see Note 13.

Litigation

We are currently involved in various claims and legal proceedings. Quarterly, we review the status of each significant matter and assess our potential financial exposure. If the potential loss from any claim or legal proceeding is considered probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated, we accrue a liability for the estimated loss in accordance with ASC Topic 450, Contingencies.

Table of Contents***Net income per share***

Basic net income per share is computed using the weighted average number of Common Shares outstanding including contingently issuable shares where the contingency has been resolved. Diluted net income per share is computed using the weighted average number of Common Shares and stock equivalents outstanding using the treasury stock method during the year (for details see Note 19).

Share-based payment

We measure share-based compensation costs, in accordance with ASC Topic 718, Compensation – Stock Compensation (ASC Topic 718) on the grant date, based on the calculated fair value of the award. We have elected to treat awards with graded vesting as a single award when estimating fair value. Compensation cost is recognized on a straight-line basis over the employee requisite service period, which in our circumstances is the stated vesting period of the award, provided that total compensation cost recognized at least equals the pro rata value of the award that has vested. Compensation cost is initially based on the estimated number of options for which the requisite service is expected to be rendered. This estimate is adjusted in the period once actual forfeitures are known. For details, see Note 11.

Accounting for Pensions, post-retirement and post-employment benefits

Pension expense is accounted for in accordance with ASC Topic 715, Compensation – Retirement Benefits (ASC Topic 715). Pension expense consists of: actuarially computed costs of pension benefits in respect of the current year of service, imputed returns on plan assets (for funded plans) and imputed interest on pension obligations. The expected costs of post retirement benefits, other than pensions, are accrued in the financial statements based upon actuarial methods and assumptions. The over-funded or under-funded status of defined benefit pension and other post retirement plans are recognized as an asset or a liability (with the offset to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income within Shareholders' equity), respectively, on the balance sheet. See Note 9 for details relating to our pension plans.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements***Revenue Recognition***

In October 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2009-13, Revenue Recognition (ASC Topic 605): Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements (ASU 2009-13). ASU 2009-13 applies to multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements that are currently within the scope of FASB ASC Subtopic 605-25 (previously included in Emerging Issues Task Force Issue no. 00-21, Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables). ASU 2009-13 provides principles and application guidance on whether multiple deliverables exist, how the arrangement should be separated, and the consideration allocated. It also requires an entity to allocate revenue in an arrangement using estimated selling prices of deliverables if a vendor does not have VSOE or third-party evidence of selling price. The guidance eliminates the use of the residual method, requires entities to allocate revenue using the relative-selling-price method, and significantly expands the disclosure requirements for multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. Additionally, in October 2009 the FASB also issued Accounting Standards Update 2009-14 (ASC Topic 985): Certain Revenue Arrangements that Include Software Arrangements (ASU 2009-14). ASU 2009-14 focuses on determining which arrangements are within the scope of the software revenue guidance in ASC Topic 985 (previously included in AICPA Statement of Position no. 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition) and those that are not. ASU 2009-14 removes tangible products from the scope of the software revenue guidance if the products contain both software and non-software components that function together to deliver a product's essential functionality and provides guidance on determining whether software deliverables in an arrangement that includes a tangible product are within the scope of the software revenue guidance. Both of these updates are effective on a prospective basis for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. We have adopted these updates beginning July 1, 2010 and the adoption thereof is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Disclosure Requirements Related to Fair Value Measurements**

In August 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2009-05, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC Topic 820) Measuring Liabilities at Fair Value (ASU 2009-05). ASU 2009-05 provides clarification that in circumstances in which a quoted price in an active market for the identical liability is not available, a reporting entity is required to measure fair value of such liability using one or more of the techniques prescribed by the update. We adopted ASU 2009-05 in our first quarter of Fiscal 2010 and its adoption did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC Topic 820) Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements (ASU 2010-06). ASU 2010-06 includes certain additional disclosures about the different classes of assets and liabilities measured at fair value. ASU 2010-06 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009 except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. We adopted this new accounting standards update in our third quarter of Fiscal 2010 and the adoption thereof did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 3 ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS

| | |
|--|----------|
| Balance of allowance for doubtful accounts as of June 30, 2007 | \$ 2,089 |
| Bad debt expense for the year | 2,855 |
| Write-off /adjustments | (970) |
| Balance of allowance for doubtful accounts as of June 30, 2008 | 3,974 |
| Bad debt expense for the year | 4,562 |
| Write-off /adjustments | (4,328) |
| Balance of allowance for doubtful accounts as of June 30, 2009 | 4,208 |
| Bad debt expense for the year | 4,683 |
| Write-off /adjustments | (4,023) |
| Balance of allowance for doubtful accounts as of June 30, 2010 | \$ 4,868 |

Included in accounts receivable are unbilled receivables in the amount of \$11.7 million as of June 30, 2010 (June 30, 2009 \$7.0 million).

NOTE 4 CAPITAL ASSETS

| | As of June 30, 2010 | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| | Cost | Accumulated Depreciation | Net |
| Furniture and fixtures | \$ 13,600 | \$ 9,197 | \$ 4,403 |
| Office equipment | 6,542 | 5,630 | 912 |
| Computer hardware | 89,191 | 73,789 | 15,402 |
| Computer software | 31,244 | 24,047 | 7,197 |
| Leasehold improvements | 23,679 | 13,570 | 10,109 |
| Buildings* | 18,399 | 2,136 | 16,263 |
| | \$ 182,655 | \$ 128,369 | \$ 54,286 |

Table of Contents

| | As of June 30, 2009 | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| | Cost | Accumulated Depreciation | Net |
| Furniture and fixtures | \$ 11,472 | \$ 7,677 | \$ 3,795 |
| Office equipment | 8,696 | 7,674 | 1,022 |
| Computer hardware | 77,813 | 66,118 | 11,695 |
| Computer software | 28,094 | 20,679 | 7,415 |
| Leasehold improvements | 19,662 | 13,074 | 6,588 |
| Building | 16,163 | 1,513 | 14,650 |
| | \$ 161,900 | \$ 116,735 | \$ 45,165 |

* Included in the cost of the building is an amount which relates to the Company's construction of a new building in Waterloo, Ontario, Canada. Additions to the building amounted to \$0.3 million during the year ended June 30, 2010. Construction of the building is in progress and therefore depreciation has not yet commenced.

NOTE 5 GOODWILL

Goodwill is recorded when the consideration paid for an acquisition of a business exceeds the fair value of identifiable net tangible and intangible assets. The following table summarizes the changes in goodwill since June 30, 2008:

| | |
|---|------------|
| Balance, June 30, 2008 | \$ 564,648 |
| Acquisition of Vizible Corporation (note 14) | 253 |
| Acquisition of Captaris Inc. (note 14) | 65,508 |
| Acquisition of a division of Spicer Corporation (note 14) | 4,791 |
| Adjustments relating to prior acquisitions* | (33,120) |
| Adjustments on account of foreign exchange | (25,969) |
| Balance, June 30, 2009 | 576,111 |
| Acquisition of Burtsand Inc. (note 14) | 5,485 |
| Acquisition of New Generation Consulting Inc. (note 14) | 3,062 |
| Acquisition of Nstein Technologies Inc. (note 14) | 3,282 |
| Acquisition of Vignette Corporation (note 14) | 109,956 |
| Adjustments relating to prior acquisitions** | (751) |
| Adjustments on account of foreign exchange | (25,521) |
| Balance, June 30, 2010 | \$ 671,624 |

* Adjustments relating to prior acquisitions relate primarily to: (i) adjustments to plans formulated in accordance with the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 95-3, "Recognition of Liabilities in Connection with a Purchase Business Combination" (EITF 95-3), relating to employee termination and abandonment of excess facilities and (ii) the evaluation of the tax attributes of acquisition-related operating loss carry forwards and deductions, including reductions in previously recognized valuation allowances, originally assessed at the various dates of acquisition.

** Adjustments relating to prior acquisitions relate to EITF 95-3 adjustments made in relation to acquisitions consummated prior to the adoption of ASC Topic 805 (pre Fiscal 2010 acquisitions).

Table of Contents**NOTE 6 ACQUIRED INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

| | Technology Assets | Customer Assets | Total |
|--|----------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Net book value, June 30, 2008 | \$ 141,703 | \$ 140,121 | \$ 281,824 |
| Acquisition of Vizible Corporation (note 14) | 374 | | 374 |
| Acquisition of Captaris Inc. (note 14) | 73,600 | 32,900 | 106,500 |
| Acquisition of eMotion LLC (note 14) | 2,823 | 1,411 | 4,234 |
| Acquisition of a division of Spicer Corporation (note 14) | 5,529 | 1,777 | 7,306 |
| Purchase of an asset group constituting a business (note 14) | | 2,081 | 2,081 |
| Amortization expense | (47,733) | (33,259) | (80,992) |
| Foreign exchange and other impacts | (2,749) | (3,530) | (6,279) |
| Net book value, June 30, 2009 | 173,547 | 141,501 | 315,048 |
| Acquisition of Burnsand Inc.(note 14) | | 753 | 753 |
| Acquisition of New Generation Consulting Inc.(note 14) | | 440 | 440 |
| Acquisition of Nstein Technologies Inc. (note 14) | 17,310 | 2,919 | 20,229 |
| Acquisition of Vignette Corporation (note 14) | 68,200 | 22,700 | 90,900 |
| Amortization expense | (60,472) | (35,940) | (96,412) |
| Impairment of intangible assets (note 13) | (281) | | (281) |
| Foreign exchange and other impacts | (308) | (2,176) | (2,484) |
| Net book value, June 30, 2010 | \$ 197,996 | \$ 130,197 | \$ 328,193 |

The weighted average amortization period for acquired technology and customer intangible assets is approximately 6 years and 7 years, respectively.

The following table shows the estimated future amortization expense for the fiscal years indicated below. This calculation assumes no future adjustments to acquired intangible assets:

| | Fiscal years ending June 30, |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| 2011 | \$ 96,265 |
| 2012 | 94,018 |
| 2013 | 90,739 |
| 2014 | 31,576 |
| 2015 and beyond | 15,595 |
| Total | \$ 328,193 |

NOTE 7 OTHER ASSETS

| | As of June 30, 2010 | As of June 30, 2009 |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|
| Debt issuance costs | \$ 4,362 | \$ 4,728 |
| Deposits and restricted cash | 8,486 | 4,615 |
| Long-term prepaid expenses and other long-term assets | 3,858 | 3,130 |
| Pension assets | 190 | 591 |
| Deferred charges | 27,558 | |

\$ 44,454 \$ 13,064

Debt issuance costs relate primarily to costs incurred for the purpose of obtaining long-term debt used to partially finance our acquisition of Hummingbird Ltd. in 2006 (the Hummingbird acquisition) and are being

Table of Contents

amortized over the life of the long-term debt. Deposits and restricted cash relate to security deposits provided to landlords in accordance with facility lease agreements and cash restricted per the terms of contractual-based agreements. Long-term prepaid expenses and other long-term assets primarily relate to certain advance payments on long-term licenses that are being amortized over the applicable terms of the licenses. Pension assets relate to defined benefit pension plans for legacy IXOS Software AG (IXOS) employees and directors (see Note 9), recognized under ASC Topic 715, Compensation Retirement Benefits. Deferred charges relate to cash taxes payable and the elimination of deferred tax balances on account of legal entity consolidations done as part of an internal reorganization of international subsidiaries in Fiscal 2010 (see Note 12).

NOTE 8 ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES**Current liabilities**

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities are comprised of the following:

| | As of June 30, 2010 | As of June 30, 2009 |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|
| Accounts payable trade | \$ 12,247 | \$ 15,465 |
| Accrued salaries and commissions | 34,062 | 31,973 |
| Accrued liabilities | 53,844 | 49,527 |
| Amounts payable in respect of restructuring (note 13) | 11,498 | 5,061 |
| Amounts payable in respect of acquisitions and acquisition related accruals | 4,417 | 12,992 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 3,536 | 1,974 |
| | \$ 119,604 | \$ 116,992 |

Long-term accrued liabilities

| | As of June 30, 2010 | As of June 30, 2009 |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|
| Amounts payable in respect of restructuring (note 13) | \$ 582 | \$ 849 |
| Amounts payable in respect of acquisitions and acquisition related accruals | 2,514 | 7,128 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 9,982 | 7,936 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 2,677 | 5,186 |
| | \$ 15,755 | \$ 21,099 |

Asset retirement obligations

We are required to return certain of our leased facilities to their original state at the conclusion of our lease. We have accounted for such obligations in accordance with ASC Topic 410, Asset Retirement and Environmental Obligations. As of June 30, 2010 the present value of this obligation was \$6.2 million (June 30, 2009 \$7.2 million), with an undiscounted value of \$6.8 million (June 30, 2009 \$8.7 million).

Accruals relating to acquisitions

In relation to our acquisitions made before July 1, 2009, the date on which we adopted ASC Topic 805, we have accrued for costs relating to legacy workforce reductions and abandonment of excess legacy facilities. Such accruals were capitalized as part of the cost of the subject acquisition and in the case of abandoned facilities, have been recorded at present value less our best estimate for future sub-lease income and costs incurred to

Table of Contents

achieve sub-tenancy. The accrual for workforce reductions is extinguished against the payments made to the employees and in the case of excess facilities, will be discharged over the term of the respective leases. Any excess of the difference between the present value and actual cash paid for the abandoned facility will be charged to income and any deficits will be reversed to goodwill. The provisions for abandoned facilities are expected to be paid by February 2015.

The following table summarizes the activity with respect to our acquisition accruals during the year ended June 30, 2010.

| | Balance June 30, 2009 | Initial Accruals | Usage/ Foreign Exchange | Subsequent Adjustments to Goodwill | Balance June 30, 2010 |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| Captaris | | | | | |
| Employee termination costs | \$ 4,916 | \$ | \$ (4,264) | \$ (590) | \$ 62 |
| Excess facilities | 6,123 | | (2,333) | 147 | 3,937 |
| Transaction-related costs | | | (49) | 49 | |
| | 11,039 | | (6,646) | (394) | 3,999 |
| Hummingbird | | | | | |
| Employee termination costs | 25 | | (25) | | |
| Excess facilities | 1,463 | | (998) | (251) | 214 |
| Transaction-related costs | | | | | |
| | 1,488 | | (1,023) | (251) | 214 |
| IXOS | | | | | |
| Employee termination costs | | | | | |
| Excess facilities | 7,483 | | (4,624) | (196) | 2,663 |
| Transaction-related costs | | | | | |
| | 7,483 | | (4,624) | (196) | 2,663 |
| Centrinity | | | | | |
| Employee termination costs | | | | | |
| Excess facilities | 110 | | (55) | | 55 |
| Transaction-related costs | | | | | |
| | 110 | | (55) | | 55 |
| Totals | | | | | |
| Employee termination costs | 4,941 | | (4,289) | (590) | 62 |
| Excess facilities | 15,179 | | (8,010) | (300) | 6,869 |
| Transaction-related costs | | | (49) | 49 | |
| | \$ 20,120 | \$ | \$ (12,348) | \$ (841) | \$ 6,931 |

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the activity with respect to our acquisition accruals during the year ended June 30, 2009.

| | Balance June 30, 2008 | Initial Accruals | Usage/ Foreign Exchange | Subsequent Adjustments to Goodwill | Balance June 30, 2009 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| Captaris | | | | | |
| Employee termination costs | \$ | \$ 9,276 | \$ (6,870) | \$ 2,510 | \$ 4,916 |
| Excess facilities | | 3,347 | (1,060) | 3,836 | 6,123 |
| Transaction-related costs | | 797 | (1,109) | 312 | |
| | | 13,420 | (9,039) | 6,658 | 11,039 |
| Division of Spicer Corporation | | | | | |
| Employee termination costs | | | | | |
| Excess facilities | | | | | |
| Transaction-related costs | | 262 | (240) | (22) | |
| | | 262 | (240) | (22) | |
| Hummingbird | | | | | |
| Employee termination costs | 310 | | (189) | (96) | 25 |
| Excess facilities | 4,249 | | (1,991) | (795) | 1,463 |
| Transaction-related costs | 815 | | (120) | (695) | |
| | 5,374 | | (2,300) | (1,586) | 1,488 |
| IXOS | | | | | |
| Employee termination costs | | | | | |
| Excess facilities | 15,255 | | (7,772) | | 7,483 |
| Transaction-related costs | | | (45) | 45 | |
| | 15,255 | | (7,817) | 45 | 7,483 |
| Eloquent | | | | | |
| Employee termination costs | | | | | |
| Excess facilities | | | | | |
| Transaction-related costs | 243 | | (243) | | |
| | 243 | | (243) | | |
| Centrinity | | | | | |
| Employee termination costs | | | | | |
| Excess facilities | 211 | | (101) | | 110 |
| Transaction-related costs | | | | | |
| | 211 | | (101) | | 110 |
| Artesia | | | | | |
| Employee termination costs | | | | | |
| Excess facilities | 24 | | (24) | | |
| Transaction-related costs | | | | | |
| | 24 | | (24) | | |
| Totals | | | | | |
| Employee termination costs | 310 | 9,276 | (7,059) | 2,414 | 4,941 |
| Excess facilities | 19,739 | 3,347 | (10,948) | 3,041 | 15,179 |
| Transaction-related costs | 1,058 | 1,059 | (1,757) | (360) | |
| | \$ 21,107 | \$ 13,682 | \$ (19,764) | \$ 5,095 | \$ 20,120 |

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

The adjustments to goodwill primarily relate to adjustments to amounts accrued for employee termination costs and excess facilities accounted for in accordance with EITF 95-3. The goodwill adjustments relating to amounts accrued for transaction costs are accounted for in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No.141, Business Combinations, as they relate to acquisitions consummated prior to the adoption of ASC Topic 805 (on July 1, 2009).

Table of Contents**NOTE 9 PENSION PLANS AND OTHER POST RETIREMENT BENEFITS*****CDT Defined Benefit Plan and CDT Long-term Employee Benefit Obligations:***

On November 1, 2008, the following unfunded defined benefit pension plan and long-term employee benefit obligations were acquired, relating to legacy Captaris employees of a wholly owned subsidiary of Captaris called Captaris Document Technologies GmbH (CDT). As of June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009, the balances relating to these obligations were as follows:

| | Total benefit obligation | Current portion of benefit obligation* | Noncurrent portion of benefit obligation |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|
| CDT defined benefit plan | \$ 15,507 | \$ 405 | \$ 15,102 |
| CDT Anniversary plan | 524 | 89 | 435 |
| CDT early retirement plan | 351 | | 351 |
| Total as of June 30, 2010 | \$ 16,382 | \$ 494 | \$ 15,888 |
| | | | |
| | Total benefit obligation | Current portion of benefit obligation* | Noncurrent portion of benefit obligation |
| CDT defined benefit plan | \$ 14,828 | \$ 362 | \$ 14,466 |
| CDT Anniversary plan | 960 | 214 | 746 |
| CDT early retirement plan | 591 | | 591 |
| Total as of June 30, 2009 | \$ 16,379 | \$ 576 | \$ 15,803 |

* The current portion of the benefit obligation has been included within Accounts payable and accrued liabilities within the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

CDT Defined Benefit Plan

CDT sponsors an unfunded defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all CDT employees (CDT pension plan) which provides for old age, disability and survivors benefits. Benefits under the CDT pension plan are generally based on age at retirement, years of service and the employee's annual earnings. The net periodic cost of this pension plan is determined using the projected unit credit method and several actuarial assumptions, the most significant of which are the discount rate and estimated service costs.

The following are the components of net periodic benefit costs for the CDT pension plan and the details of the change in the benefit obligation for the periods indicated:

| | As of June 30, 2010 | As of June 30, 2009 |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Benefit obligation beginning | \$ 14,828* | \$ 13,489** |
| Service cost | 403 | 349 |
| Interest cost | 862 | 585 |
| Benefits paid | (340) | (134) |
| Curtailement gain | (308)*** | (271) |
| Actuarial (gain) loss | 2,064 | (734) |
| Foreign exchange (gain) loss | (2,002) | 1,544 |
| Benefit obligation ending | 15,507 | 14,828 |
| Less: current portion | (405) | (362) |

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Noncurrent portion of benefit obligation | \$ 15,102 | \$ 14,466 |
|--|-----------|-----------|

* Benefit obligation as of June 30, 2009.

** Benefit obligation as of November 1, 2008 (date of acquisition).

*** Includes portion charged to the purchase price adjustment in accordance with ASC Topic 715, paragraph 30-15.

Table of Contents

The following are the details of net pension expense for the CDT pension plan for the periods indicated:

| | Year ended June 30, 2010 | Year ended June 30, 2009 |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Pension expense: | | |
| Service cost | \$ 403 | \$ 349 |
| Interest cost | 862 | 585 |
| Curtailement gain | (403) | |
| Net pension expense | \$ 862 | \$ 934 |

The CDT pension plan is an unfunded plan and therefore no contributions have been made since the inception of the plan.

In determining the fair value of the CDT pension plan benefit obligations as of June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009, respectively, we used the following weighted-average key assumptions:

| | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2009 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Assumptions: | | |
| Salary increases | 2.25% | 2.25% |
| Pension increases | 1.50% | 1.50% |
| Discount rate | 5.00% | 6.00% |
| Employee fluctuation rate: | | |
| to age 30 | 1.00% | 1.00% |
| to age 35 | 0.50% | 0.50% |
| to age 40 | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| to age 45 | 0.50% | 0.50% |
| to age 50 | 0.50% | 0.50% |
| from age 51 | 1.00% | 1.00% |

Anticipated pension payments under the CDT pension plan for the fiscal years indicated below are as follows:

| | |
|--------------|-----------------|
| 2011 | \$ 405 |
| 2012 | 427 |
| 2013 | 474 |
| 2014 | 524 |
| 2015 | 590 |
| 2016 to 2019 | 3,882 |
| Total | \$ 6,302 |

CDT Long-term Employee Benefit Obligations.

CDT's long-term employee benefit obligations arise under CDT's Anniversary plan and an early retirement plan. The obligation is unfunded and carried at a fair value of \$0.5 million for the Anniversary plan and \$0.4 million for the early retirement plan as of June 30, 2010 (\$1.0 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, as of June 30, 2009).

The Anniversary plan is a defined benefit plan for long-tenured CDT employees. The plan provides for a lump-sum payment to employees of two months of salary upon reaching the anniversary of twenty-five years of service and three months of salary upon reaching the anniversary of forty years of service. The early retirement

Table of Contents

plan is designed to create an incentive for employees, within a certain age group, to transition from (full or part-time) employment into retirement before their legal retirement age. This plan allows employees, upon reaching a certain age, to elect to work full-time for a period of time and be paid 50% of their full-time salary. After working within this arrangement for a designated period of time, the employee is eligible to take early retirement and receive payments from the earned but unpaid salaries until they are eligible to receive payments under the postretirement benefit plan discussed above. Benefits under the early retirement plan are generally based on the employee's compensation and the number of years of service.

IXOS AG Defined Benefit Plans

Included within Other Assets are net pension assets of \$0.2 million (June 30, 2009 \$0.6 million) relating to two IXOS defined benefit pensions plans (IXOS pension plans) in connection with certain former members of the IXOS Board of Directors and certain IXOS employees, respectively (See Note 7 Other Assets). The net periodic pension cost with respect to the IXOS pension plans is determined using the projected unit credit method and several actuarial assumptions, the most significant of which are the discount rate and the expected return on plan assets. The fair value of our total plan assets under the IXOS pension plans, as of June 30, 2010, is \$3.3 million (June 30, 2009 \$3.5 million). The fair value of our total pension obligation under the IXOS pension plans as of June 30, 2010 is \$3.1 million (June 30, 2009 \$2.9 million).

NOTE 10 LONG-TERM DEBT**Long-term debt**

Long-term debt is comprised of the following:

| | As of June 30, 2010 | As of June 30, 2009 |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|
| Long-term debt | | |
| Term loan | \$ 288,019 | \$ 291,012 |
| Mortgage | 12,493 | 11,671 |
| | 300,512 | 302,683 |
| Less: | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt | | |
| Term loan | 2,993 | 2,993 |
| Mortgage | 12,493 | 456 |
| | 15,486 | 3,449 |
| Long-term portion of long-term debt | \$ 285,026 | \$ 299,234 |

Term loan and Revolver

On October 2, 2006, we entered into a \$465.0 million credit agreement (the credit agreement) with a Canadian chartered bank (the bank) consisting of a \$390.0 million term loan facility (the term loan) and a \$75.0 million committed revolving long-term credit facility (the revolver). The term loan was used to finance a portion of our Hummingbird acquisition. We have not drawn down any amounts under the revolver to date.

Term loan

The term loan has a seven year term, expires on October 2, 2013 and bears interest at a floating rate of LIBOR plus 2.25%. The quarterly scheduled term loan principal repayments are equal to 0.25% of the original principal amount, due each quarter with the remainder due at the end of the term, less ratable reductions for any non-scheduled prepayments made. From October 2, 2006 (the inception of the loan) to June 30, 2010, we have made total non-scheduled prepayments of \$90.0 million towards the principal on the term loan. Our current quarterly scheduled principal payment is approximately \$0.7 million.

Table of Contents

For the year ended June 30, 2010, we recorded interest expense of \$7.4 million (June 30, 2009-\$11.2 million and June 30, 2008- \$21.3 million) relating to the term loan.

Revolver

The revolver has a five year term and expires on October 2, 2011. Borrowings under this facility bear interest at rates specified in the credit agreement. The revolver is subject to a stand-by fee ranging between 0.30% and 0.50% per annum depending on our consolidated leverage ratio. There were no borrowings outstanding under the revolver as of June 30, 2010.

For the year ended June 30, 2010, we recorded an expense of \$0.2 million (June 30, 2009 \$0.2 million and June 30, 2008 \$0.3 million), on account of stand-by fees relating to the revolver.

Mortgage

The mortgage consists of a five year mortgage agreement entered into during December 2005 with the bank. The original principal amount of the mortgage was Canadian \$15.0 million. The mortgage: (i) has a fixed term of five years, (ii) matures on January 1, 2011, and (iii) is secured by a lien on our headquarters in Waterloo, Ontario, Canada. Interest accrues monthly at a fixed rate of 5.25% per annum. Principal and interest are payable in monthly installments of Canadian \$0.1 million with a final lump sum principal payment of Canadian \$12.6 million due on maturity. As of June 30, 2010, the carrying value of the mortgage was \$12.5 million (June 30, 2009 \$11.7 million).

As of June 30, 2010, the carrying value of the existing Waterloo building was \$15.9 million (June 30, 2009 \$14.7 million).

For the year ended June 30, 2010, we recorded interest expense of \$0.6 million (June 30, 2009 \$0.6 million and June 30, 2008 \$0.7 million) relating to the mortgage.

NOTE 11 SHARE CAPITAL, OPTION PLANS AND SHARE-BASED PAYMENTS

Share Capital

Our authorized share capital includes an unlimited number of Common Shares and an unlimited number of first preference shares. No preference shares have been issued.

Treasury Stock

During the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2010, we repurchased 307,579 Open Text shares, in the amount of \$14.0 million, for the purpose of future reissuance under our Fiscal 2010 Long-term Incentive Plan (LTIP). No such purchases were made during Fiscal 2009 and Fiscal 2008.

As of June 30, 2010 we have not reissued any shares from treasury.

Table of Contents

Option Plans

A summary of stock options outstanding under our various Stock Option Plans is set forth below. All numbers shown in the chart below have been adjusted, where applicable, to account for the two-for-one stock split that occurred on October 22, 2003.

| | 1998 Stock Option Plan | 2004 Stock Option Plan | Artesia Stock Option Plan | Centrinity Stock Option Plan | Gauss Stock Option Plan | Hummingbird Option Plan | IXOS Stock Option Plan | Vista Stock Option Plan |
|---------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| Date of inception | Jun-98 | Oct-04 | Sep-04 | Jan-03 | Jan-04 | Oct-06 | Mar-04 | Sep-04 |
| Eligibility | Eligible | Eligible | Eligible | Eligible | Eligible | Eligible | Eligible | Former |
| | employees | employees, as | employees, and | employees, | employees as | employees, and | employees as | employees, and |
| | and directors, | determined by | consultants of | consultants | determined by | consultants of | determined by | consultants of |
| | as determined | the Board of | Artesia | and directors, | the Board of | Hummingbird | the Board of | Vista |
| | by the Board | Directors | Technologies | as determined | Directors | Inc. | Directors | Inc. |
| | of Directors | | Inc. | by the Board | | | | |
| | | | | of Directors | | | | |
| Options granted to date | 7,914,290 | 3,122,100 | 20,000 | 414,968 | 51,000 | 355,675 | 210,000 | 43,500 |
| Options exercised to date | (4,457,680) | (974,850) | | (395,641) | (3,000) | (18,579) | (57,250) | (19,250) |
| Options cancelled to date | (2,555,110) | (463,625) | (10,000) | (13,500) | (13,000) | (318,531) | (144,750) | (17,625) |
| Options outstanding | 901,500 | 1,683,625 | 10,000 | 5,827 | 35,000 | 18,565 | 8,000 | 6,625 |
| Termination grace periods | Immediately | Immediately | Immediately | Immediately | Immediately | Immediately | Immediately | Immediately |
| | for cause ; | for cause ; | for cause ; | for cause ; | for cause ; | for cause ; | for cause ; | for cause ; |
| | 90 days for | 90 days for | 90 days for | 90 days for | 90 days for | 90 days for | 90 days for | 90 days for |
| | any other | any other | any other | any other | any other | any other | any other | any other |
| | reason; 180 | reason; 180 | reason; 180 | reason; 180 | reason; 180 | reason; 180 | reason; 180 | reason; 180 |
| | days due to | days due | days due | days due to | days due to | days due | days due | days due |
| | death | to death | to death | death | death | to death | to death | to death |
| | 25% per year, | 25% per | 25% per | 25% per | 25% per | 25% per | 25% per | 25% per |

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

| Vesting schedule | unless otherwise specified | year, unless otherwise specified | year, unless otherwise specified | year, unless otherwise specified | year, unless otherwise specified | year, unless otherwise specified | year, unless otherwise specified | year, unless otherwise specified | year, unless otherwise specified | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Exercise price range | \$8.44 | \$31.35 | \$14.02 | \$48.39 | \$17.99 | \$17.99 | \$13.50 | \$13.50 | \$26.24 | \$26.24 | \$18.36 | \$27.75 | \$26.24 | \$26.24 | \$17.99 | \$17.99 |
| Expiration dates | 7/31/2010 to 2/3/2016 | 12/9/2011 to 5/3/2017 | 9/3/2010 to 9/3/2013 | 1/28/2013 to 1/28/2013 | 1/27/2014 to 1/27/2014 | 10/2/2013 to 10/2/2013 | 1/27/2014 to 1/27/2014 | 9/3/2010 to 9/3/2013 | | | | | | | | |

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes information regarding stock options outstanding at June 30, 2010:

| Range of Exercise Prices | | Number of options Outstanding as of June 30, 2010 | Options Outstanding | | Options Exercisable | |
|--------------------------|---------|---|---|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| | | | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (years) | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number of options Exercisable as of June 30, 2010 | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| \$ 8.44 | \$14.02 | 500,327 | 2.46 | \$ 12.32 | 450,327 | \$ 12.14 |
| \$14.10 | \$14.94 | 317,750 | 1.48 | 14.15 | 317,750 | 14.15 |
| \$14.94 | \$17.01 | 320,775 | 1.29 | 16.85 | 214,525 | 16.78 |
| \$17.04 | \$19.85 | 289,342 | 2.88 | 18.53 | 253,983 | 18.36 |
| \$20.00 | \$27.70 | 273,100 | 4.47 | 24.25 | 230,600 | 24.40 |
| \$27.75 | \$31.35 | 201,098 | 5.04 | 29.93 | 82,456 | 30.71 |
| \$34.50 | \$34.75 | 397,500 | 4.10 | 34.51 | 90,000 | 34.51 |
| \$34.75 | \$42.14 | 218,750 | 6.13 | 38.36 | 18,750 | 37.22 |
| \$43.43 | \$48.39 | 150,500 | 6.62 | 44.44 | | |
| \$ 8.44 | \$48.39 | 2,669,142 | 3.43 | \$ 23.55 | 1,658,391 | \$ 18.20 |

Share-Based Payments

Total share-based compensation cost for the periods indicated below is detailed as follows:

| | Year ended June 30, | | |
|--|---------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Stock options | \$ 7,293* | \$ 5,032 | \$ 3,789 |
| Restricted stock units (legacy Vignette employees) | 869 | | |
| Deferred stock units (Directors) | 127 | | |
| Performance stock units (Fiscal 2010 LTIP) | 1,476 | | |
| Total share-based compensation expense | \$ 9,765 | \$ 5,032 | \$ 3,789 |

* Inclusive of charges of \$3.2 million booked to Special charges (see Note 13).

Summary of Outstanding Stock Options

As of June 30, 2010, options to purchase an aggregate of 2,669,142 Common Shares were outstanding and 1,382,345 Common Shares were available for issuance under our stock option plans. Our stock options generally vest over four years and expire between seven and ten years from the date of the grant. The exercise price of the options we grant is set at an amount that is not less than the closing price of our Common Shares on the trading day on NASDAQ immediately preceding the applicable grant date.

A summary of option activity under our stock option plans for the year ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

| Options | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$ 000s) |
|---------|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
|---------|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|----|-------|------|-----------|
| Outstanding at June 30, 2009 | 2,828,989 | \$ | 20.71 | | |
| Granted | 328,000 | | 41.29 | | |
| Exercised | (474,444) | | 18.86 | | |
| Forfeited or expired | (13,403) | | 24.61 | | |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2010 | 2,669,142 | \$ | 23.55 | 3.43 | \$ 38,589 |
| Exercisable at June 30, 2010 | 1,658,391 | \$ | 18.20 | 2.75 | \$ 32,073 |

Table of Contents

| | Options | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$ 000s) |
|------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Outstanding at June 30, 2008 | 3,763,665 | \$ 15.22 | | |
| Granted | 716,100 | 32.66 | | |
| Exercised | (1,538,572) | 12.17 | | |
| Forfeited or expired | (112,204) | 29.95 | | |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2009 | 2,828,989 | \$ 20.71 | 4.27 | \$ 44,476 |
| Exercisable at June 30, 2009 | 1,625,975 | \$ 16.64 | 3.49 | \$ 32,173 |

We estimate the fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, consistent with the provisions of ASC Topic 718, Compensation Stock Compensation (ASC Topic 718), and SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107. The option-pricing models require input of subjective assumptions including the estimated life of the option and the expected volatility of the underlying stock over the estimated life of the option. We use historical volatility as a basis for projecting the expected volatility of the underlying stock and estimate the expected life of our stock options based upon historical data.

We believe that the valuation technique and the approach utilized to develop the underlying assumptions are appropriate in calculating the fair value of our stock option grants. Estimates of fair value are not intended, however, to predict actual future events or the value ultimately realized by employees who receive equity awards.

For the periods indicated, the following weighted-average fair value of options and weighted-average assumptions used were as follows:

| | Year ended June 30, | | |
|--|---------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Weighted average fair value of options granted | \$ 14.26 | \$ 12.47 | \$ 12.72 |
| Weighted-average assumptions used: | | | |
| Expected volatility | 39% | 42% | 43% |
| Risk free interest rate | 2.2% | 2.9% | 3.9% |
| Expected dividend yield | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Expected life (in years) | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| Forfeiture rate (based on historical rates) | 5% | 5% | 5% |

As of June 30, 2010, the total compensation cost related to the unvested stock awards not yet recognized was \$7.8 million, which will be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 2 years.

No cash was used by us to settle equity instruments granted under share-based compensation arrangements.

We have not capitalized any share-based compensation costs as part of the cost of an asset in any of the periods presented.

For the year ended June 30, 2010, cash in the amount of \$8.9 million was received as the result of the exercise of options granted under share-based payment arrangements. The tax benefit realized by us during the year ended June 30, 2010 from the exercise of options eligible for a tax deduction was \$1.9 million, which was recorded as additional paid-in capital.

For the year ended June 30, 2009, cash in the amount of \$18.7 million was received as the result of the exercise of options granted under share-based payment arrangements. The tax benefit realized by us during the year ended June 30, 2009 from the exercise of options eligible for a tax deduction was \$8.6 million, which was recorded as additional paid-in capital.

Table of Contents

For the year ended June 30, 2008, cash in the amount of \$11.6 million was received as the result of the exercise of options granted under share-based payment arrangements. The tax benefit realized by us during the year ended June 30, 2008 from the exercise of options eligible for a tax deduction was \$1.1 million, which was recorded as additional paid-in capital.

Deferred Stock Units (DSUs) and Performance Stock Units (PSUs)

During the year ended June 30, 2010, we granted 4,299 deferred stock units (DSUs) to certain nonemployee directors (June 30, 2009 – nil, June 30, 2008 – nil). The DSUs were issued under the Company’s Deferred Share Unit Plan that came into effect on February 2, 2010 and will vest at the date of the Company’s next annual general meeting (expected to be in December, 2010).

During the year ended June 30, 2010 we also granted 307,579 performance stock units (PSUs) under our Fiscal 2010 LTIP (June 30, 2009-nil, June 30, 2008-nil). Awards achieved under the Fiscal 2010 LTIP will be settled over the three year period ending June 30, 2012.

Restricted Stock Awards (RSAs)

On July 21, 2009, we granted, as part of our acquisition of Vignette, 574,767 Open Text RSAs to certain legacy Vignette employees and directors as replacement for similar restricted stock awards held by these employees and directors when they were employed by Vignette. These awards were valued at \$13.33 per RSA on July 21, 2009, and a portion has been allocated to the purchase price of Vignette. The remaining portion is amortized, as part of share-based compensation expense, over the vesting period of these awards.

Long Term Incentive Plans

On September 10, 2007, our Board of Directors approved the implementation of a LTIP called the Open Text Corporation Long-Term Incentive Plan. The LTIP is a rolling three year program whereby we make a series of annual grants, each of which covers a three year performance period, to certain of our employees, and which vests upon the employee and/or the Company meeting pre-determined performance and market-based criteria.

Grants made in Fiscal 2008 under the LTIP (LTIP 1) took effect in Fiscal 2008, starting on July 1, 2007. Awards under LTIP 1 will be settled in cash.

Grants made in Fiscal 2009 under the LTIP (LTIP 2) took effect in Fiscal 2009 starting on July 1, 2008. Awards under LTIP 2 may be equal to 100% of the target. We expect to settle LTIP 2 awards in cash.

Grants made in Fiscal 2010 under the LTIP (LTIP 3) took effect in Fiscal 2010 starting on July 1, 2009. Awards under LTIP 3 may be equal to 50%, 100% or 150% of the target. We expect to settle LTIP 3 awards in stock.

Consistent with the provisions of ASC Topic 718, we have measured the fair value of the liability under LTIP 1 and LTIP 2 as of June 30, 2010 and recorded an expense relating to such liability to compensation cost in the amount of \$14.2 million for the year ended June 30, 2010 (June 30, 2009 – \$3.9 million, June 30, 2008 – \$2.2 million). The outstanding liability under the LTIP as of June 30, 2010 was \$15.4 million (June 30, 2009 \$6.2 million) and is re-measured based upon the change in the fair value of the liability, as of the end of every reporting period, and a cumulative adjustment to compensation cost for the change in fair value is recognized. The cumulative compensation expense recognized upon completion of the LTIP will be equal to the payouts made.

PSUs granted under LTIP 3 have been measured at fair value, consistent with ASC Topic 718 and will be charged to share-based compensation expense over the remaining life of the plan. During Fiscal 2010 an amount of \$1.5 million has been charged to share-based compensation expense on account of LTIP 3.

Table of Contents**NOTE 12 INCOME TAXES**

We operate in several tax jurisdictions. Our income is subject to varying rates of tax, and losses incurred in one jurisdiction cannot be used to offset income taxes payable in another. The effective tax rate represents the net effect of the mix of income earned in various tax jurisdictions which are subject to a wide range of income tax rates.

The following is a geographical breakdown of income before the provision for income taxes:

| | Year Ended June 30, | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Domestic income | \$ 44,296 | \$ 28,493 | \$ 55,385 |
| Foreign income | 44,569 | 52,284 | 21,112 |
| Income before income taxes* | \$ 88,865 | \$ 80,777 | \$ 76,497 |

* Excludes minority interest of nil, \$51,000 and \$498,000, respectively, for Fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008.
The provision for income taxes consisted of the following:

| | Year Ended June 30, | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Current income taxes: | | | |
| Domestic | \$ 2,649 | \$ 5,450 | \$ 11,874 |
| Foreign | 22,881 | 28,252 | 35,445 |
| | 25,530 | 33,702 | 47,319 |
| Deferred income taxes (recoveries): | | | |
| Domestic | 16,001 | (60) | 2,064 |
| Foreign | (40,220) | (9,854) | (26,390) |
| | (24,219) | (9,914) | (24,326) |
| Provision for income taxes | \$ 1,311 | \$ 23,788 | \$ 22,993 |

A reconciliation of the combined Canadian federal and provincial income tax rate with our effective income tax rate is as follows:

| | Year Ended June 30, | | |
|--|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Expected statutory rate | 32.50% | 33.25% | 34.81% |
| Expected provision for income taxes | \$ 28,881 | \$ 26,858 | \$ 26,629 |
| Effect of permanent differences | (2,130) | (4,850) | (2,971) |
| Effect of foreign tax rate differences | (8,275) | (7,296) | (1,189) |
| Effect of change in tax rates | (6,768) | (1,540) | (1,603) |
| Benefit of losses | | | (1,082) |
| Change in valuation allowance | 814 | 6,823 | 1,809 |
| Difference in tax filings from provision | 1,590 | 177 | 1,880 |

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Withholding taxes and other items | 8,401 | 3,616 | (480) |
| Impact of internal reorganization of subsidiaries and legal entity reductions | (21,202) | | |
| | \$ 1,311 | \$ 23,788 | \$ 22,993 |

Table of Contents

As a result of an internal reorganization of our international subsidiaries we recorded a tax recovery of \$21.2 million during Fiscal 2010. This initiative was undertaken to consolidate our intellectual property within certain jurisdictions and to effect an operational reduction of our global subsidiaries with a view to, eventually, having a single operating legal entity in each jurisdiction.

We have approximately \$39.7 million of domestic non-capital loss carryforwards. In addition, we have \$169.2 million of foreign non-capital loss carryforwards of which \$129.9 million have no expiry date. The remainder of the foreign losses expires between 2011 and 2030. In addition, investment tax credits of \$25.9 million will expire between 2011 and 2030.

The primary components of the deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows, for the periods indicated below:

| | 2010 | June 30, 2009 |
|---|-----------|------------------|
| Deferred tax assets | | |
| Non-capital loss carryforwards | \$ 63,589 | \$ 106,858 |
| Capital loss carryforwards | | 5,993 |
| Undeducted scientific research and development expenses | 7,859 | 11,242 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 15,510 | 22,252 |
| Restructuring costs and other reserves | 10,690 | 13,927 |
| Other | 22,923 | 24,165 |
| | | |
| Total deferred tax asset | 120,571 | 184,437 |
| Valuation allowance | (63,991) | (81,767) |
| Deferred tax liabilities | | |
| Scientific research and development tax credits | (8,252) | (10,282) |
| Deferred credits | (861) | (772) |
| Acquired intangibles | (11,028) | (108,798) |
| Intercompany debt reserve | (22,418) | |
| Other | (12,745) | (1,717) |
| | | |
| Deferred tax liabilities | (55,304) | (121,569) |
| | | |
| Net deferred tax asset (liability) | \$ 1,276 | \$ (18,899) |
| | | |
| Comprised of: | | |
| Current assets | \$ 15,714 | \$ 20,621 |
| Long-term assets | 27,405 | 69,877 |
| Current liabilities | (28,384) | (508) |
| Long-term liabilities | (13,459) | (108,889) |
| | | |
| | \$ 1,276 | \$ (18,899) |

We believe that sufficient uncertainty exists regarding the realization of certain deferred tax assets that a valuation allowance is required. We continue to evaluate our taxable position quarterly and consider factors by taxing jurisdiction, including but not limited to factors such as estimated taxable income, any historical experience of losses for tax purposes and the future growth of Open Text.

Table of Contents

The aggregate changes in the balance of our gross unrecognized tax benefits (including interest and penalties) were as follows:

| | |
|--|------------|
| Unrecognized tax benefits as of July 1, 2008 | \$ 89,324 |
| Increases on account of current year positions | 4,819 |
| Increases on account of prior year positions | 2,346 |
| Decreases due to settlements with tax authorities | (201) |
| Decreases due to lapses of statutes of limitations | (4,935) |
| | |
| Unrecognized tax benefits as of July 1, 2009 | 91,353 |
| Increases on account of current year positions | 540 |
| Increases on account of prior year positions* | 22,429 |
| Decreases due to settlements with tax authorities | (671) |
| Decreases due to lapses of statutes of limitations | (6,153) |
| | |
| Unrecognized tax benefits as of June 30, 2010 | \$ 107,498 |

* Included in these balances as of June 30, 2010, are acquired balances of \$4.4 million, relating to the acquisition of Vignette. Included in the above tabular reconciliation are unrecognized tax benefits of \$42.1 million relating to deferred tax assets in jurisdictions in which these deferred tax assets are offset with valuation allowances. The net unrecognized tax benefit excluding these deferred tax assets is \$65.4 million as of June 30, 2010 (\$47.0 million as of June 30, 2009).

Upon adoption of FIN 48 we elected to follow an accounting policy to classify interest related to liabilities for income tax expense under the Interest income (expense), net line and penalties related to liabilities for income tax expense under the Other income (expense) line of our Consolidated Statements of Income. For the year ended June 30, 2010, we recognized interest in the amount of \$1.4 million (June 30, 2009 \$1.0 million, June 30, 2008 \$1.1 million) and penalties reversed in the amount of \$1.1 million (June 30, 2009 penalties recognized- \$0.2 million, June 30, 2008 penalties recognized \$0.4 million). The amount of interest and penalties accrued as of June 30, 2010 was \$6.8 million (\$4.1 million as of June 30, 2009) and \$12.0 million (\$9.4 million as of June 30, 2009), respectively. Included in these balances as of June 30, 2010, are accrued interest and penalties of \$0.8 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, relating to the acquisition of Vignette (see Note 14).

We believe that it is reasonably possible that the gross unrecognized tax benefits, as of June 30, 2010 could increase tax expense in the next 12 months by \$4.0 million (June 30, 2009, decrease by \$0.2 million), relating primarily to the expiration of competent authority relief and tax years becoming statute barred for purposes of future tax examinations by local taxing jurisdictions.

Our three most significant tax jurisdictions are Canada, the United States and Germany. Our tax filings remain subject to examination by applicable tax authorities for a certain length of time following the tax year to which those filings relate. Tax years that remain open to examinations by local taxing authorities vary by jurisdiction up to ten years.

We are subject to tax examinations in all major taxing jurisdictions in which we operate and currently have examinations open in Canada, the United States, France, and Spain. On a quarterly basis we assess the status of these examinations and the potential for adverse outcomes to determine the adequacy of the provision for income and other taxes.

We believe that we have adequately provided for any reasonably foreseeable outcomes related to our tax examinations and that any settlement will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations. However, we cannot predict with any level of certainty the exact nature of any future possible settlements.

Table of Contents**NOTE 13 SPECIAL CHARGES (RECOVERIES)**

Special charges are primarily costs related to certain restructuring initiatives that we have undertaken from time to time under our various restructuring plans. In addition, with effect from July 1, 2009, Special charges also include acquisition-related costs with respect to acquisitions made on or after July 1, 2009.

The following tables summarize total Special charges incurred during the periods indicated below:

| | Year ended June 30, | | |
|---|---------------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Fiscal 2010 Restructuring Plan (cash liability portion) | \$ 33,799 | \$ | \$ |
| Fiscal 2010 Restructuring Plan (share-based compensation expense) | 3,164 | | |
| Total Fiscal 2010 Restructuring Plan | 36,963 | | |
| Fiscal 2009 Restructuring Plan | 2,878 | 14,211 | |
| Other Restructuring Plans* | | | (418) |
| Acquisition-related costs | 3,248 | | |
| Impairment charges and other impacts | 577 | 223 | |
| Total special charges (recoveries) | \$ 43,666 | \$ 14,434 | \$ (418) |

* Relates to restructuring plans implemented prior to Fiscal 2008.

Total costs to be incurred in conjunction with the Fiscal 2010 restructuring plan are expected to be approximately \$32 million to \$40 million, of which \$37.0 million has been recorded within Special charges to date. Reconciliations of the liability relating to each of our outstanding restructuring plans are provided hereunder:

Fiscal 2010 Restructuring Plan (cash liability portion)

In the first quarter of Fiscal 2010, our Board approved, and we began to implement, restructuring activities to streamline our operations and consolidate certain excess facilities (Fiscal 2010 restructuring plan). These charges relate to workforce reductions and other miscellaneous direct costs. The provision related to workforce reduction is expected to be paid by December 2010. On a quarterly basis, we will conduct an evaluation of the remaining balances relating to workforce reduction and revise our assumptions and estimates as appropriate.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending liability for year ended June 30, 2010 is shown below.

| Fiscal 2010 Restructuring Plan | Workforce reduction | Facility costs | Other* | Total |
|---|------------------------|----------------|---------|----------|
| Balance as of June 30, 2009 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Accruals and adjustments | 28,875 | 2,274 | 2,650 | 33,799 |
| Cash payments | (20,068) | (1,057) | (2,650) | (23,775) |
| Noncash draw-downs and foreign exchange | (76) | 4 | | (72) |
| Balance as of June 30, 2010 | \$ 8,731 | \$ 1,221 | \$ | \$ 9,952 |

* Other costs relate to one-time legal and consulting fees incurred on account of an internal reorganization of our international subsidiaries initiated to consolidate our intellectual property within certain jurisdictions and to effect an operational reduction of our global subsidiaries

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

with a view to, eventually, having a single operating legal entity in each jurisdiction (see Note 12).

Fiscal 2009 Restructuring Plan

In the second quarter of Fiscal 2009, our Board approved, and we began to implement, restructuring activities to streamline our operations and consolidate certain excess facilities (Fiscal 2009 restructuring plan).

Table of Contents

The total costs incurred in conjunction with the Fiscal 2009 restructuring were \$17.1 million, which has been recorded within Special charges since the commencement of the plan. The \$17.1 million charge consisted primarily of costs associated with workforce reduction in the amount of \$12.4 million and abandonment of excess facilities in the amount of \$4.7 million. The provision related to workforce reduction has been substantially paid and the provision relating to facility costs is expected to be paid by April 2012.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending liability for the years ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009 are shown below.

| Fiscal 2009 Restructuring Plan | Workforce reduction | Facility costs | Total |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Balance as of June 30, 2009 | \$ 2,718 | \$ 2,933 | \$ 5,651 |
| Accruals and adjustments | 2,158 | 720 | 2,878 |
| Cash payments | (4,585) | (2,588) | (7,173) |
| Noncash draw-downs and foreign exchange | 38 | 563 | 601 |
| Balance as of June 30, 2010 | \$ 329 | \$ 1,628 | \$ 1,957 |

| Fiscal 2009 Restructuring Plan | Workforce reduction | Facility costs | Total |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Balance as of June 30, 2008 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Accruals and adjustments | 10,250 | 3,961 | 14,211 |
| Cash payments | (7,177) | (1,082) | (8,259) |
| Foreign exchange and other adjustments | (355) | 54 | (301) |
| Balance as of June 30, 2009 | \$ 2,718 | \$ 2,933 | \$ 5,651 |

Fiscal 2006 Restructuring Plan

In the first quarter of Fiscal 2006, our Board approved, and we began to implement restructuring activities to streamline our operations and consolidate our excess facilities (Fiscal 2006 restructuring plan). These charges related to workforce reductions, abandonment of excess facilities and other miscellaneous direct costs. The total cost incurred in conjunction with the Fiscal 2006 restructuring plan was \$20.9 million which has been recorded within Special charges since the commencement of the plan. The actions related to workforce reduction were completed as of September 30, 2007. The provisions relating to facility costs are expected to be paid by January 2014.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending liability for the years ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009 are shown below.

| Fiscal 2006 Restructuring Plan | Facility costs |
|---|-----------------------|
| Balance as of June 30, 2009 | \$ 259 |
| Accruals and adjustments | |
| Cash payments | (94) |
| Noncash draw-downs and foreign exchange | 6 |
| Balance as of June 30, 2010 | \$ 171 |

| Fiscal 2006 Restructuring Plan | Facility costs |
|--|-----------------------|
| Balance as of June 30, 2008 | \$ 906 |
| Accruals and adjustments | |
| Cash payments | (560) |
| Foreign exchange and other adjustments | (87) |

Balance as of June 30, 2009

\$ 259

Table of Contents**Impairment Charges and Other Impacts**

Included within Special charges for the year ended June 30, 2010 is a charge of (i) \$0.4 million relating to the write down of certain prepaid royalties in connection with the discontinuance of certain of our product lines, (ii) a charge of \$0.5 million, relating to certain capital assets that were written down in connection with various leasehold improvements and redundant office equipment at abandoned facilities, (iii) a charge of \$0.3 million relating to an impairment of intangible assets and (iv) a recovery of \$0.5 million relating to a reduction in an asset retirement obligation associated with a facility that has been partially vacated.

Included within Special charges for the year ended June 30, 2009 is a charge of \$0.2 million relating to certain capital assets that were written down in connection with various leasehold improvements and redundant office equipment at abandoned facilities.

NOTE 14 ACQUISITIONS**Fiscal 2010*****Burntsand Inc.***

On May 27, 2010, we acquired Burntsand Inc. (Burntsand), a provider of technology consulting services for customers with complex information processing and information management requirements, focusing in particular in areas such as ECM, Collaboration and Service Management. Burntsand was based in Toronto, Ontario, Canada. We believe the acquisition of Burntsand will complement and enhance our current service offerings to further strengthen our position in the ECM market. In accordance with ASC Topic 805, this acquisition was accounted for as a business combination.

The results of operations of Burntsand have been consolidated with those of Open Text beginning May 27, 2010.

The following tables summarize the consideration paid for Burntsand and the amount of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, as well as the goodwill recorded as of the acquisition date:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Cash consideration paid | \$ 10,792 |
| Acquisition related costs (included in Special charges in the Consolidated Statements of Income) for the year ended June 30, 2010 | \$ 303 |

The recognized amounts of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed, based upon their fair values as of May 27, 2010 are set forth below:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Current assets (inclusive of cash acquired of \$2,629) | \$ 6,627 |
| Long-term assets | 813 |
| Intangible customer assets | 753 |
| Total liabilities assumed | (2,886) |
| Total identifiable net assets | 5,307 |
| Goodwill | 5,485 |
| | \$ 10,792 |

The factors that impact the deductibility of goodwill are currently being assessed.

The fair value of current assets acquired includes accounts receivable with a fair value of \$3.3 million. The gross amount receivable was \$3.3 million, all of which is expected to be collectible.

Table of Contents

The fair value of the acquired intangible customer assets and goodwill is provisional, pending any tax related impacts on the valuations of these items.

The amount of Burntsand's revenue and net income (loss) included in Open Text's consolidated statement of operations for the year ended June 30, 2010, and the unaudited pro forma revenue and net income of the combined entity had the acquisition date been consummated as of July 1, 2009 and July 1, 2008, are set forth below:

| | Revenue | Net Income (loss) |
|---|----------|-------------------|
| Actual from May 27, 2010 to June 30, 2010 | \$ 1,661 | \$ (513) |

| | Year ended June 30, | |
|---|---------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| <i>Supplemental Unaudited Pro forma Information</i> | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 929,033 | \$ 808,449 |
| Net income* | \$ 83,397 | \$ 56,742 |

* Included within net income for the periods reported above are the estimated amortization charges relating to the preliminary allocated values of intangible assets.

The unaudited pro forma financial information in the table above is presented for informational purposes only and is not indicative of the results of operations that would have been achieved if the acquisition had taken place at the beginning of the period presented or the result that may be realized in the future.

Nstein Technologies Inc.

On April 1, 2010, we acquired Nstein Technologies Inc. (Nstein), a software company based in Montreal, Quebec, Canada. Nstein provides content management solutions which help enterprises centralize, understand and manage large amounts of content. Nstein's solutions include its patented Text Mining Engine which allows users to more easily search through different content and data. We acquired Nstein to leverage and enhance our product offerings. In accordance with ASC Topic 805, this acquisition was accounted for as a business combination.

The results of operations of Nstein have been consolidated with those of Open Text beginning April 1, 2010.

The following tables summarize the consideration paid for Nstein and the amount of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, as well as the goodwill recorded as of the acquisition date:

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Equity consideration paid | \$ 8,548 |
| Cash consideration paid | 25,326 |
| Fair value of total consideration transferred | 33,874 |
| Acquisition related costs (included in Special charges in the Consolidated Statements of Income) for the year ended June 30, 2010 | \$ 958 |

Table of Contents***Vignette Corporation.***

On July 21, 2009, we acquired, by way of merger, all of the issued and outstanding shares of Vignette, an Austin, Texas based company that provides and develops software used for managing and delivering business content. Pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, each share of common stock of Vignette (not already owned by Open Text) issued and outstanding immediately prior to the effective date of the merger (July 21, 2009) was converted into the right to receive \$8.00 in cash and 0.1447 of one Open Text common share (equivalent to a value of \$5.33 as of July 21, 2009). We acquired Vignette to strengthen our ability to offer an expanded portfolio of Enterprise Content Management (ECM) solutions to further consolidate our position as an independent leader in the ECM marketplace. In accordance with ASC Topic 805, this acquisition was accounted for as a business combination.

The results of operations of Vignette have been consolidated with those of Open Text beginning July 22, 2009.

The following tables summarize the consideration paid for Vignette and the amount of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, as well as the goodwill recorded as of the acquisition date:

| | |
|---|----------------|
| Equity consideration paid | \$ 125,223 |
| Cash consideration paid | 182,909 |
| | |
| Fair value of total consideration transferred | 308,132 |
| Vignette shares already owned by Open Text through open market purchases (at fair value) | 13,283 |
| | \$ 321,415 |
| | |
| Acquisition related costs (included in Special charges in the Consolidated Statements of Income) for the year ended June 30, 2010 | \$ 1,931 |

The recognized amounts of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed, based upon their fair values as of July 21, 2009, are set forth below:

| | |
|---|----------------|
| Current assets (inclusive of cash acquired of \$92,309) | \$ 171,616 |
| Long-term assets | 17,484 |
| Intangible customer assets | 22,700 |
| Intangible technology assets | 68,200 |
| Total liabilities assumed | (68,541) |
| | |
| Total identifiable net assets | 211,459 |
| Goodwill | 109,956 |
| | \$ 321,415 |

The fair value of Common shares issued as part of the consideration was determined based upon the closing price of Open Text's common shares on NASDAQ on acquisition date.

The portion of the purchase price allocated to goodwill has been assigned in the ratio of 59%, 35% and 6% to our North America, Europe and Other reporting units, respectively.

The fair value of current assets acquired includes accounts receivable with a fair value of \$27.1 million. The gross amount receivable was \$28.3 million, of which \$1.2 million was expected to be uncollectible.

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

We recognized a gain of \$4.4 million as a result of re-measuring to fair value our investment in Vignette held before the date of acquisition. The gain was recognized in Other income in our consolidated financial statements during Fiscal 2010.

Table of Contents

The amount of Vignette's revenue and net income included in Open Text's consolidated statement of operations for the year ended June 30, 2010, and the unaudited pro forma revenue and net income of the combined entity had the acquisition date been consummated as of July 1, 2009 and July 1, 2008, are set forth below. Non-recurring charges of \$11.9 million are included in the unaudited pro forma information. These charges relate primarily to one-time business combination and share-based compensation costs incurred by Vignette prior to our acquisition.

| | Revenue | Net Income |
|--|----------------|-------------------|
| Actual from July 22, 2009 to June 30, 2010 | \$ 118,100 | \$ 4,510 |

| | Year ended June 30, | |
|---|----------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| <i>Supplemental Unaudited Pro forma Information</i> | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 918,230 | \$ 936,237 |
| Net income* | \$ 70,213 | \$ 41,509 |

* Included within net income for the periods reported above are the amortization charges relating to the allocated values of intangible assets. The unaudited pro forma financial information in the table above is presented for informational purposes only and is not indicative of the results of operations that would have been achieved if the acquisition had taken place at the beginning of the period presented or the result that may be realized in the future.

Fiscal 2009***Vizible Corporation.***

On April 8, 2009 we acquired Vizible Corporation (Vizible), a Toronto-based privately held maker of digital media interface solutions. We acquired Vizible to help expand our suite of digital asset management solutions. Vizible was acquired prior to the adoption of ASC Topic 805 becoming effective for the Company. In accordance with SFAS 141, this acquisition is accounted for as a business combination.

Total purchase consideration for this acquisition was approximately \$0.9 million, of which approximately \$0.4 million has been allocated to technology assets, \$0.3 million has been allocated to deferred tax assets, and the remainder to goodwill.

Captaris Inc.

On October 31, 2008, we acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of Captaris, a provider of software products that automate document-centric processes. We acquired Captaris to strengthen our ability to offer an expanded portfolio of solutions that integrate with SAP, Microsoft and Oracle solutions. In accordance with SFAS 141, this acquisition is accounted for as a business combination.

The results of operations of Captaris have been consolidated with those of Open Text beginning November 1, 2008.

Total consideration for this acquisition was \$102.1 million, which consisted of \$101.0 million in cash, net of cash acquired, and approximately \$1.1 million of direct acquisition related costs.

Table of Contents*Purchase Price Allocation*

The purchase price allocation set forth below represents our final allocation of the purchase price and the fair value of net assets acquired.

| | |
|--|-------------------|
| Current assets (net of cash acquired of \$30,043) | \$ 28,971 |
| Long-term assets | 26,252 |
| Intangible customer assets | 32,900 |
| Technology assets | 73,600 |
| In-process research and development* | 121 |
| Goodwill | 65,646 |
| | |
| Total assets acquired | 227,490 |
| Total liabilities assumed and acquisition-related accruals | (125,300) |
| | |
| | \$ 102,190 |

* Included as part of research and development expense in the quarter ended December 31, 2008.

The useful lives of intangible customer assets have been estimated to be between six and eight years. The useful lives of technology assets have been estimated to be between four and five years.

No amount of the goodwill is expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The portion of the purchase price allocated to goodwill has been assigned in the ratio of 51%, 37% and 12% to our North America, Europe and Other reporting units, respectively.

As part of the purchase price allocation, we recognized liabilities in connection with this acquisition of approximately \$19.4 million relating to employee termination charges, costs relating to abandonment of excess Captaris facilities and accruals for unpaid direct acquisition related costs. This was the result of our management approved and initiated plans to restructure the operations of Captaris by way of workforce reduction and abandonment of excess legacy facilities.

Proforma financial information (unaudited)

The unaudited proforma financial information in the table below summarizes the combined result of Open Text and Captaris, on a pro forma basis, as though the companies had been combined as of July 1, 2008. This information is presented for informational purposes only and is not indicative of the results of operations that would have been achieved if the acquisition had taken place at the beginning of the period presented or the result that may be realized in the future.

The unaudited pro forma information included hereunder does not include the financial impacts of the restructuring initiatives relating to former Captaris activities, as these have been capitalized as part of the preliminary purchase allocation but does include the estimated amortization charges relating to the allocation of values to acquired intangible assets.

| | Year ended June 30, 2009 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Total revenues | \$ 831,793 |
| Net income* | \$ 41,768 |
| Basic net income (loss) per share | \$ 0.80 |
| Diluted net income (loss) per share | \$ 0.78 |

*

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

Included herein are non-recurring charges in the amount of \$9.3 million, recorded by Captaris in relation to business combination costs incurred by Captaris and the acceleration of the vesting of Captaris employee stock options.

Table of Contents***eMotion LLC***

In July 2008, we acquired 100% ownership of eMotion LLC (eMotion), a division of Corbis Corporation. eMotion specializes in managing and distributing digital media assets and marketing content. We acquired eMotion to enhance our capabilities in the digital asset management market, giving us a broader portfolio of offerings for marketing and advertising agencies, adding capabilities that complement our existing enterprise asset-management solutions. eMotion is based in Seattle, Washington. In accordance with SFAS 141, this acquisition is accounted for as a business combination.

The results of operations of eMotion have been consolidated with those of Open Text beginning July 3, 2008.

Total consideration for this acquisition was \$4.4 million which consisted of \$4.2 million in cash, net of cash acquired, and approximately \$0.2 million in costs directly related to this acquisition. An amount of \$0.5 million which was originally accrued and held back, as provided for in the purchase agreement, was released in Fiscal 2010.

Purchase Price Allocation

Under business combination accounting the total purchase price, was allocated to eMotion's net assets based on their estimated fair values as of July 3, 2008, as set forth below. The excess of the purchase price over the net assets was recorded as goodwill.

The purchase price allocation set forth below represents our final allocation of the purchase price and the fair value of net assets acquired.

| | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Current assets | \$ 648 |
| Long-term assets | 238 |
| Intangible customer assets | 1,411 |
| Technology assets | 2,823 |
| Total assets acquired | 5,120 |
| Liabilities assumed | (751) |
| | \$ 4,369 |

The useful lives of intangible customer and technology assets have been estimated to be five and seven years, respectively.

Division of Spicer Corporation

In July 2008, we acquired 100% ownership of a division of Spicer Corporation (Spicer), a privately-held company based in Kitchener, Ontario, Canada. Spicer specializes in file format viewer solutions for desktop applications, integrated business process management systems and reprographics. We acquired a division of Spicer to complement and extend our existing enterprise content management suite, providing flexible document viewing options and enhanced document security functionality. In accordance with SFAS 141, this acquisition is accounted for as a business combination.

The results of operations of Spicer have been consolidated with those of Open Text beginning July 1, 2008.

Total consideration for this acquisition was \$11.7 million which consisted of \$11.4 million in cash, and approximately \$0.3 million in costs directly related to this acquisition. In addition, a further amount of \$0.2 million has been held back from the purchase price and will be recorded as part of the purchase only upon the resolution of certain contingencies.

Table of Contents*Purchase Price Allocation*

Under business combination accounting the total purchase price, excluding the amount of \$0.2 million which has been held back, was allocated to Spicer's net assets, based on their estimated fair values as of July 1, 2008, as set forth below. The excess of the purchase price over the net assets was recorded as goodwill.

The purchase price allocation set forth below represents our final allocation of the purchase price and the fair value of net assets acquired.

| | |
|----------------------------|---------------|
| Current assets | \$ 953 |
| Long-term assets | 23 |
| Intangible customer assets | 1,777 |
| Technology assets | 5,529 |
| Goodwill | 4,791 |
| | |
| Total assets acquired | 13,073 |
| Liabilities assumed | (1,330) |
| | \$ 11,743 |

The useful life of the intangible customer and technology assets has been estimated to be five and seven years, respectively.

The portion of the purchase price allocated to goodwill has been assigned in the ratio of approximately 48% and 52% to our North America and Europe reporting units, respectively, and a portion of it is deductible for tax purposes.

NOTE 15 SEGMENT INFORMATION

ASC Topic 280, *Segment Reporting* (ASC Topic 280), establishes standards for reporting, by public business enterprises, information about operating segments, products and services, geographic areas, and major customers. The method of determining what information, under ASC Topic 280, to report is based on the way that an entity organizes operating segments for making operational decisions and how the entity's management and chief operating decision maker (CODM) assess an entity's financial performance. Our operations are analyzed by management and our CODM as being part of a single industry segment: the design, development, marketing and sales of enterprise content management software and solutions.

The following table sets forth the distribution of revenues, determined by location of customer, by significant geographic area, for the periods indicated:

| | Year ended June 30, | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Revenues: | | | |
| Canada | \$ 70,968 | \$ 53,782 | \$ 55,494 |
| United States | 401,189 | 338,073 | 283,014 |
| United Kingdom | 97,756 | 78,575 | 90,385 |
| Germany | 114,011 | 126,645 | 116,869 |
| Rest of Europe | 161,052 | 146,164 | 142,840 |
| All other countries | 67,047 | 42,426 | 36,930 |
| | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 912,023 | \$ 785,665 | \$ 725,532 |

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the distribution of long-lived assets, representing capital assets and intangible assets, by significant geographic area, as of the periods indicated below.

| | As of June 30, 2010 | As of June 30, 2009 |
|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Long-lived assets: | | |
| Canada | \$ 69,487 | \$ 53,367 |
| United States | 209,060 | 193,636 |
| United Kingdom | 24,571 | 27,487 |
| Germany | 27,607 | 39,942 |
| Rest of Europe | 33,573 | 41,231 |
| All other countries | 18,181 | 4,550 |
| Total | \$ 382,479 | \$ 360,213 |

NOTE 16 GUARANTEES AND CONTINGENCIES

We have entered into the following contractual obligations with minimum annual payments for the indicated fiscal periods as follows:

| | Total | Period ending June 30, 2011 | Payments due by July 1, 2011 June 30, 2013 | July 1, 2013 June 30, 2015 | July 1, 2015 and beyond |
|------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Long-term debt obligations | \$ 325,074 | \$ 23,267 | \$ 20,956 | \$ 280,851 | \$ |
| Operating lease obligations* | 120,372 | 27,091 | 33,377 | 24,419 | 35,485 |
| Purchase obligations | 6,255 | 4,316 | 1,924 | 15 | |
| | \$ 451,701 | \$ 54,674 | \$ 56,257 | \$ 305,285 | \$ 35,485 |

* Net of \$6.6 million of non-cancelable sublease income to be received from properties which we have subleased to other parties.

The long-term debt obligations are comprised of interest and principal payments on our term loan agreement and a five-year mortgage on our headquarters in Waterloo, Ontario, Canada. See Note 10. As of June 30, 2010 there were no borrowings outstanding under our revolver, however if the revolver is drawn down, it would increase our contractual obligations.

We do not enter into off-balance sheet financing arrangements as a matter of practice except for the use of operating leases for office space, computer equipment and vehicles. In accordance with U.S GAAP, neither the lease liability nor the underlying asset is carried on the balance sheet, as the terms of the leases do not meet the criteria for capitalization.

Guarantees and indemnifications

We have entered into license agreements with customers that include limited intellectual property indemnification clauses. Generally, we agree to indemnify our customers against legal claims that our software products infringe certain third party intellectual property rights. In the event of such a claim, we are generally obligated to defend our customers against the claim and either settle the claim at our expense or pay damages that our customers are legally required to pay to the third-party claimant. These intellectual property infringement indemnification clauses generally are subject to limits based upon the amount of the license sale. In Fiscal 2010 we have not made any indemnification payments in relation to these indemnification clauses.

In connection with certain facility leases, we have guaranteed payments on behalf of our subsidiaries either by providing a security deposit with the landlord or through unsecured bank guarantees obtained from local banks.

Table of Contents

We have not accrued a liability for guarantees, indemnities or warranties described above in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets since no material payments are expected to be made. The maximum amount of potential future payments under such guarantees, indemnities and warranties is not determinable.

Litigation

We are subject from time to time to legal proceedings and claims, either asserted or unasserted, that arise in the ordinary course of business, and accrue for these items where appropriate. While the outcome of these proceedings and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, we do not believe that the outcome of any of these legal matters will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

NOTE 17 SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW DISCLOSURES

| | Year ended June 30, | | |
|--|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | | |
| Cash paid during the year for interest | \$ 10,701 | \$ 15,175 | \$ 23,082 |
| Cash received during the year for interest | \$ 1,141 | \$ 4,245 | \$ 5,641 |
| Cash paid during the year for income taxes | \$ 32,946 | \$ 3,591 | \$ 19,622 |

NOTE 18 OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)

Included in Other income (expense) for the year ended June 30, 2010, is primarily transactional foreign exchange losses of approximately \$15.4 million, (June 30, 2009 foreign exchange losses of \$2.3 million and June 30, 2008 foreign exchange losses of \$0.9 million). This amount was offset by a gain of \$4.4 million resulting from re-measuring to fair value our investment in Vignette held before the date of acquisition (see Note 14).

NOTE 19 NET INCOME PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share are computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of Common Shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share are computed by dividing net income by the shares used in the calculation of basic net income per share plus the dilutive effect of common share equivalents, such as stock options, using the treasury stock method. Common share equivalents are excluded from the computation of diluted net income per share if their effect is anti-dilutive.

| | Year ended June 30, | | |
|--|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Basic earnings per share | | | |
| Net income | \$ 87,554 | \$ 56,938 | \$ 53,006 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 1.56 | \$ 1.09 | \$ 1.04 |
| Diluted earnings per share | | | |
| Net income | \$ 87,554 | \$ 56,938 | \$ 53,006 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 1.53 | \$ 1.07 | \$ 1.01 |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding | | | |
| Basic | 56,280 | 52,030 | 50,780 |
| Effect of dilutive securities | 1,105 | 1,241 | 1,824 |
| Diluted | 57,385 | 53,271 | 52,604 |

Excluded as anti-dilutive*

577

87

* Represents options to purchase Common Shares excluded from the calculation of diluted net income per share because the exercise price of the stock options was greater than or equal to the average price of the Common Shares during the period.

Table of Contents

NOTE 20 RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Our procedure regarding the approval of any related party transaction is that the material facts of such transaction shall be reviewed by the independent members of our Board and the transaction approved by a majority of the independent members of our Board. The Board reviews all transactions wherein we are, or will be a participant and any related party has or will have a direct or indirect interest. In determining whether to approve a related party transaction, the Board generally takes into account, among other facts it deems appropriate: whether the transaction is on terms no less favorable than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third-party under the same or similar circumstances; the extent and nature of the related person's interest in the transaction; the benefits to the company of the proposed transaction; if applicable, the effects on a director's independence; and if applicable, the availability of other sources of comparable services or products.

During the year ended June 30, 2010, Mr. Stephen Sadler, a director, earned approximately \$0.6 million (June 30, 2009 \$0.5 million, June 30, 2008 \$0.08 million), inclusive of bonus fees aggregating \$480,000, in consulting fees from Open Text for assistance with acquisition-related business activities. Mr. Sadler abstained from voting on all transactions from which he would potentially derive consulting fees.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

OPEN TEXT CORPORATION

Date: August 20, 2010

By: /s/ JOHN SHACKLETON
John Shackleton

President and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

Date: August 20, 2010

/s/ JOHN SHACKLETON
John Shackleton

President and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ PAUL McFEETERS
Paul McFeeters

Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

/s/ SUJEET KINI
Sujeet Kini

Vice President, Controller

(Principal Accounting Officer)

DIRECTORS

| Signature | Title | Date |
|---|--|-----------------|
| /s/ JOHN SHACKLETON John Shackleton | Director, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) | August 20, 2010 |
| /s/ P. THOMAS JENKINS P. Thomas Jenkins | Director, Executive Chairman and Chief Strategy Officer | August 20, 2010 |

Edgar Filing: OPEN TEXT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|-------------------------|----------|-----------------|
| /s/ RANDY FOWLIE | Director | August 20, 2010 |
| Randy Fowlie | | |
| /s/ GAIL E. HAMILTON | Director | August 20, 2010 |
| Gail E. Hamilton | | |
| /s/ BRIAN J. JACKMAN | Director | August 20, 2010 |
| Brian J. Jackman | | |

Table of Contents

| Signature | Title | Date |
|--|--------------|-----------------|
| <i>/s/</i> DEBORAH WEINSTEIN Deborah Weinstein | Director | August 20, 2010 |
| <i>/s/</i> STEPHEN J. SADLER Stephen J. Sadler | Director | August 20, 2010 |
| <i>/s/</i> MICHAEL SLAUNWHITE Michael Slaunwhite | Director | August 20, 2010 |
| <i>/s/</i> KATHARINE B. STEVENSON Katharine B. Stevenson | Director | August 20, 2010 |