

ALTRIA GROUP, INC.  
Form 10-Q  
July 28, 2011  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 1-8940

**Altria Group, Inc.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: ALTRIA GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Virginia</b><br>(State or other jurisdiction of<br>incorporation or organization)          | <b>13-3260245</b><br>(I.R.S. Employer<br>Identification No.) |
| <b>6601 West Broad Street, Richmond, Virginia</b><br>(Address of principal executive offices) | <b>23230</b><br>(Zip Code)                                   |
| <b>Registrant's telephone number, including area code <u>(804) 274-2200</u></b>               |  |

**Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

At July 18, 2011, there were 2,071,234,300 shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.33 1/3 per share.

**Table of Contents**

ALTRIA GROUP, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   | Page No. |
|---|----------|
| PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION  |          |
| Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)  |          |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at<br/>June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u>   | 3 4      |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the<br/>Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u>  | 5        |
| <u>Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u>  | 6        |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders<br/>Equity for the Year Ended December 31, 2010 and the<br/>Six Months Ended June 30, 2011</u> | 7        |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the<br/>Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u>  | 8 9      |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>   | 10 71    |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>  | 72 115   |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>  | 116      |
| PART II - OTHER INFORMATION   |          |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>  | 117      |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>  | 117      |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>  | 117      |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>   | 118      |
| <u>Signature</u>  | 119      |

**Table of Contents**

## PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements.

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(in millions of dollars)

(Unaudited)

|  | June 30,<br>2011 | December 31,<br>2010 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| <b>ASSETS</b>                          |                  |                      |
| <b>Consumer products</b>               |                  |                      |
| Cash and cash equivalents              | \$ 2,064         | \$ 2,314             |
| Receivables                            | 166              | 85                   |
| Inventories:                           |                  |                      |
| Leaf tobacco                           | 802              | 960                  |
| Other raw materials                    | 188              | 160                  |
| Work in process                        | 279              | 299                  |
| Finished product                       | 404              | 384                  |
|  | 1,673            | 1,803                |
| Deferred income taxes                  | 1,163            | 1,165                |
| Other current assets                   | 591              | 614                  |
| <b>Total current assets</b>            | <b>5,657</b>     | <b>5,981</b>         |
| Property, plant and equipment, at cost | 4,737            | 5,150                |
| Less accumulated depreciation          | 2,432            | 2,770                |
|  | 2,305            | 2,380                |
| Goodwill                               | 5,174            | 5,174                |
| Other intangible assets, net           | 12,107           | 12,118               |
| Investment in SABMiller                | 5,927            | 5,367                |
| Other assets                           | 1,774            | 1,851                |
| <b>Total consumer products assets</b>  | <b>32,944</b>    | <b>32,871</b>        |
| <b>Financial services</b>              |                  |                      |
| Finance assets, net                    | 3,869            | 4,502                |
| Other assets                           | 19               | 29                   |
| <b>Total financial services assets</b> | <b>3,888</b>     | <b>4,531</b>         |
| <b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>                    | <b>\$ 36,832</b> | <b>\$ 37,402</b>     |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Continued



**Table of Contents**

## Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Continued)

(in millions of dollars, except share and per share data)

(Unaudited)

|  | June 30,<br>2011 | December 31,<br>2010 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| <b>LIABILITIES</b>   |                  |                      |
| <b>Consumer products</b>   |                  |                      |
| Accounts payable   | \$ 252           | \$ 529               |
| Accrued liabilities:   |                  |                      |
| Marketing  | 418              | 447                  |
| Taxes, except income taxes   | 357              | 231                  |
| Employment costs   | 138              | 232                  |
| Settlement charges   | 2,137            | 3,535                |
| Other  | 1,310            | 1,069                |
| Income taxes   | 42               |                      |
| Dividends payable  | 792              | 797                  |
| Total current liabilities  | 5,446            | 6,840                |
| Long-term debt   | 13,688           | 12,194               |
| Deferred income taxes  | 4,861            | 4,618                |
| Accrued pension costs  | 986              | 1,191                |
| Accrued postretirement health care costs   | 2,420            | 2,402                |
| Other liabilities  | 807              | 949                  |
| Total consumer products liabilities  | 28,208           | 28,194               |
| <b>Financial services</b>  |                  |                      |
| Deferred income taxes  | 3,351            | 3,880                |
| Other liabilities  | 605              | 101                  |
| Total financial services liabilities   | 3,956            | 3,981                |
| Total liabilities  | 32,164           | 32,175               |
| Contingencies (Note 11)  |                  |                      |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interest   | 33               | 32                   |
| <b>STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>  |                  |                      |
| Common stock, par value \$0.33 1/3 per share<br>(2,805,961,317 shares issued)            | 935              | 935                  |
| Additional paid-in capital   | 5,627            | 5,751                |
| Earnings reinvested in the business  | 23,256           | 23,459               |
| Accumulated other comprehensive losses   | (1,279)          | (1,484)              |
| Cost of repurchased stock<br>(734,711,777 shares in 2011 and 717,221,651 shares in 2010) | (23,906)         | (23,469)             |
| Total stockholders' equity attributable to Altria Group, Inc.                            | 4,633            | 5,192                |
| Noncontrolling interests   | 2                | 3                    |
| Total stockholders' equity   | 4,635            | 5,195                |
| <b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>  | <b>\$ 36,832</b> | <b>\$ 37,402</b>     |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

## Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings

(in millions of dollars, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

|   | For the Six Months Ended |           |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|
|   | June 30,                 |           |
|   | 2011                     | 2010      |
| Net revenues  | \$ 11,563                | \$ 12,034 |
| Cost of sales   | 3,825                    | 3,834     |
| Excise taxes on products                                      | 3,618                    | 3,742     |
| Gross profit  | 4,120                    | 4,458     |
| Marketing, administration and research costs                  | 1,272                    | 1,292     |
| Reduction of Kraft and PMI tax-related receivables            |                          | 169       |
| Asset impairment and exit costs                               | 3                        | 28        |
| Amortization of intangibles                                   | 11                       | 10        |
| Operating income  | 2,834                    | 2,959     |
| Interest and other debt expense, net                          | 572                      | 577       |
| Earnings from equity investment in SABMiller                  | (344)                    | (251)     |
| Earnings before income taxes                                  | 2,606                    | 2,633     |
| Provision for income taxes                                    | 1,224                    | 777       |
| Net earnings  | 1,382                    | 1,856     |
| Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests         | (1)                      | (1)       |
| Net earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc.               | \$ 1,381                 | \$ 1,855  |
| Per share data:   |                          |           |
| Basic earnings per share attributable to Altria Group, Inc.   | \$ 0.66                  | \$ 0.89   |
| Diluted earnings per share attributable to Altria Group, Inc. | \$ 0.66                  | \$ 0.89   |
| Dividends declared  | \$ 0.76                  | \$ 0.70   |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.



**Table of Contents**

## Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings

(in millions of dollars, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

|   | For the Three Months Ended<br>June 30, |          |
|---|--|----------|
|   | 2011                                   | 2010     |
| Net revenues  | \$ 5,920                               | \$ 6,274 |
| Cost of sales   | 2,030                                  | 1,967    |
| Excise taxes on products                                      | 1,918                                  | 1,933    |
| Gross profit  | 1,972                                  | 2,374    |
| Marketing, administration and research costs                  | 671                                    | 651      |
| Reduction of Kraft and PMI tax-related receivables            |  | 169      |
| Asset impairment and exit costs                               | 1                                      | 21       |
| Amortization of intangibles                                   | 5                                      | 4        |
| Operating income  | 1,295                                  | 1,529    |
| Interest and other debt expense, net                          | 294                                    | 290      |
| Earnings from equity investment in SABMiller                  | (155)                                  | (113)    |
| Earnings before income taxes                                  | 1,156                                  | 1,352    |
| Provision for income taxes                                    | 712                                    | 309      |
| Net earnings  | 444                                    | 1,043    |
| Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests         |  | (1)      |
| Net earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc.               | \$ 444                                 | \$ 1,042 |
| Per share data:   |  |          |
| Basic earnings per share attributable to Altria Group, Inc.   | \$ 0.21                                | \$ 0.50  |
| Diluted earnings per share attributable to Altria Group, Inc. | \$ 0.21                                | \$ 0.50  |
| Dividends declared  | \$ 0.38                                | \$ 0.35  |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity

for the Year Ended December 31, 2010 and

the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

(in millions of dollars, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

|  | Common<br>Stock | Additional<br>Paid-in<br>Capital | Attributable to Altria Group, Inc.<br>Earnings<br>Reinvested<br>in the<br>Business | Accumulated<br>Other<br>Comprehen-<br>sive<br>Losses | Cost of<br>Repurchased<br>Stock | Compre-<br>hensive<br>Earnings | Non-controlling<br>Interests | Total<br>Stock-<br>holders<br>Equity |
|--|-----------------|----------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Balances, December 31, 2009                                  | \$ 935          | \$ 5,997                         | \$ 22,599  | \$ (1,561)   | \$ (23,901)                     | \$                             | \$ 3                         | \$ 4,072                             |
| Comprehensive earnings:                                      |                 |                                  |  |  |                                 |                                |                              |                                      |
| Net earnings <sup>(1)</sup>                                  |                 |                                  | 3,905  |  |                                 | 3,905                          | 1                            | 3,906                                |
| Other comprehensive earnings, net of<br>income taxes:        |                 |                                  |  |  |                                 |                                |                              |                                      |
| Currency translation adjustments                             |                 |                                  |  | 1  |                                 | 1                              |                              | 1                                    |
| Change in net loss and prior service cost                    |                 |                                  |  | 35   |                                 | 35                             |                              | 35                                   |
| Ownership share of SABMiller other<br>comprehensive earnings |                 |                                  |  | 41   |                                 | 41                             |                              | 41                                   |
| Total other comprehensive earnings                           |                 |                                  |  |  |                                 | 77                             |                              | 77                                   |
| Total comprehensive earnings <sup>(2)</sup>                  |                 |                                  |  |  |                                 | 3,982                          | 1                            | 3,983                                |
| Exercise of stock options and other stock<br>award activity  |                 | (246)                            |  |  | 432                             |                                |                              | 186                                  |
| Cash dividends declared (\$1.46 per share)                   |                 |                                  | (3,045)  |  |                                 |                                |                              | (3,045)                              |
| Other  |                 |                                  |  |  |                                 |                                | (1)                          | (1)                                  |
| Balances, December 31, 2010                                  | 935             | 5,751                            | 23,459   | (1,484)  | (23,469)                        |                                | 3                            | 5,195                                |
| Comprehensive earnings:                                      |                 |                                  |  |  |                                 |                                |                              |                                      |
| Net earnings <sup>(1)</sup>                                  |                 |                                  | 1,381  |  |                                 | 1,381                          |                              | 1,381                                |
| Other comprehensive earnings, net of<br>income taxes:        |                 |                                  |  |  |                                 |                                |                              |                                      |
| Currency translation adjustments                             |                 |                                  |  | 1  |                                 | 1                              |                              | 1                                    |
| Change in net loss and prior service cost                    |                 |                                  |  | 64   |                                 | 64                             |                              | 64                                   |
| Ownership share of SABMiller other<br>comprehensive earnings |                 |                                  |  | 140  |                                 | 140                            |                              | 140                                  |
| Total other comprehensive earnings                           |                 |                                  |  |  |                                 | 205                            |                              | 205                                  |
| Total comprehensive earnings <sup>(2)</sup>                  |                 |                                  |  |  |                                 | 1,586                          |                              | 1,586                                |
|  |                 | (124)                            |  |  | 179                             |                                |                              | 55                                   |

Edgar Filing: ALTRIA GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Exercise of stock options and other stock

award activity

|  |         |  |  |  |       |     |         |
|--|---------|--|--|--|-------|-----|---------|
| Cash dividends declared (\$0.76 per share) | (1,584) |  |  |  |       |     | (1,584) |
| Stock repurchased                          |         |  |  |  | (616) |     | (616)   |
| Other                                      |         |  |  |  |       | (1) | (1)     |

|                         |        |          |           |            |             |    |   |          |
|-------------------------|--------|----------|-----------|------------|-------------|----|---|----------|
| Balances, June 30, 2011 | \$ 935 | \$ 5,627 | \$ 23,256 | \$ (1,279) | \$ (23,906) | \$ | 2 | \$ 4,635 |
|-------------------------|--------|----------|-----------|------------|-------------|----|---|----------|

- (1) Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 exclude \$1 million due to the redeemable noncontrolling interest related to Stag's Leap Wine Cellars, which is reported in the mezzanine equity section in the condensed consolidated balance sheets at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. See Note 11.
- (2) Total comprehensive earnings were \$556 million and \$957 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, respectively, all of which were comprehensive earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc. Total comprehensive earnings for the six months ended June 30, 2010 were \$1,840 million, all of which were comprehensive earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc.

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(in millions of dollars)

(Unaudited)

|  | For the Six Months Ended<br>June 30, |          |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------|
|  | 2011                                 | 2010     |
| <b>CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>         |                                      |          |
| Net earnings (loss) - Consumer products                        | \$ 1,962                             | \$ 1,824 |
| - Financial services   | (580)                                | 32       |
| Net earnings   | 1,382                                | 1,856    |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to operating cash flows: |                                      |          |
| <b>Consumer products</b>                                       |                                      |          |
| Depreciation and amortization                                  | 121                                  | 137      |
| Deferred income tax provision                                  | 132                                  | 120      |
| Earnings from equity investment in SABMiller                   | (344)                                | (251)    |
| Asset impairment and exit costs, net of cash paid              | (24)                                 | (119)    |
| Cash effects of changes:                                       |                                      |          |
| Receivables, net   | (12)                                 | 16       |
| Inventories  | 130                                  | 160      |
| Accounts payable   | (94)                                 | (41)     |
| Income taxes   | 5                                    | (80)     |
| Accrued liabilities and other current assets                   | 58                                   | (89)     |
| Accrued settlement charges                                     | (1,398)                              | (1,485)  |
| Pension plan contributions                                     | (209)                                | (12)     |
| Pension provisions and postretirement, net                     | 122                                  | 113      |
| Other  | 121                                  | 32       |
| <b>Financial services</b>                                      |                                      |          |
| Deferred income tax benefit                                    | (529)                                | (194)    |
| PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge                                    | 490                                  |          |
| Other  | 528                                  | 128      |
| Net cash provided by operating activities                      | 479                                  | 291      |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Continued

**Table of Contents**

## Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Continued)

(in millions of dollars)

(Unaudited)

|  | For the Six Months Ended<br>June 30, |         |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------|
|  | 2011                                 | 2010    |
| <b>CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b> |                                      |         |
| <b>Consumer products</b>                               |                                      |         |
| Capital expenditures                                   | \$ (40)                              | \$ (69) |
| Other  | 1                                    | 18      |
| <b>Financial services</b>                              |                                      |         |
| Proceeds from finance assets                           | 129                                  | 72      |
| Net cash provided by investing activities              | 90                                   | 21      |
| <b>CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b> |                                      |         |
| <b>Consumer products</b>                               |                                      |         |
| Issuances of short-term borrowings                     |                                      | 200     |
| Long-term debt issued                                  | 1,494                                | 797     |
| Long-term debt repaid                                  |                                      | (775)   |
| Repurchases of common stock                            | (575)                                |         |
| Dividends paid on common stock                         | (1,589)                              | (1,435) |
| Issuances of common stock                              | 29                                   | 39      |
| Financing fees and debt issuance costs                 | (23)                                 | (5)     |
| Other  | (155)                                | (150)   |
| Net cash used in financing activities                  | (819)                                | (1,329) |
| <b>Cash and cash equivalents:</b>                      |                                      |         |
| Decrease   | (250)                                | (1,017) |
| Balance at beginning of period                         | 2,314                                | 1,871   |
| Balance at end of period                               | \$ 2,064                             | \$ 854  |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

---

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

**Note 1. Background and Basis of Presentation:**

*Background*

At June 30, 2011, Altria Group, Inc.'s wholly-owned subsidiaries included Philip Morris USA Inc. ( PM USA ), which is engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes and certain smokeless products in the United States; UST LLC ( UST ), which through its direct and indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries including U.S. Smokeless Tobacco Company LLC ( USSTC ) and Ste. Michelle Wine Estates Ltd. ( Ste. Michelle ), is engaged in the manufacture and sale of smokeless products and wine; and John Middleton Co. ( Middleton ), which is engaged in the manufacture and sale of machine-made large cigars and pipe tobacco. Philip Morris Capital Corporation ( PMCC ), another wholly-owned subsidiary of Altria Group, Inc., maintains a portfolio of leveraged and direct finance leases. In addition, Altria Group, Inc. held a 27.1% economic and voting interest in SABMiller plc ( SABMiller ) at June 30, 2011, which is accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Altria Group, Inc.'s access to the operating cash flows of its wholly-owned subsidiaries consists of cash received from the payment of dividends and distributions, and the payment of interest on intercompany loans by its subsidiaries. In addition, Altria Group, Inc. receives cash dividends on its interest in SABMiller, if and when SABMiller pays such dividends on its stock. At June 30, 2011, Altria Group, Inc.'s principal wholly-owned subsidiaries were not limited by long-term debt or other agreements in their ability to pay cash dividends or make other distributions with respect to their common stock.

In April 2011, Altria Group, Inc. began repurchasing shares of its common stock under its previously announced \$1.0 billion one-year share repurchase program authorized by Altria Group, Inc.'s Board of Directors in January 2011. During the second quarter of 2011, Altria Group, Inc. repurchased 22.8 million shares of its common stock at an aggregate cost of approximately \$616 million, or an average price of \$27.07 per share, under this share repurchase program. Share repurchases under this program depend upon marketplace conditions and other factors; and this share repurchase program remains subject to the discretion of Altria Group, Inc.'s Board of Directors.

*Basis of Presentation*

The interim condensed consolidated financial statements of Altria Group, Inc. are unaudited. It is the opinion of Altria Group, Inc.'s management that all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the interim results presented have been reflected therein. All such adjustments were of a normal recurring nature. Net revenues and net earnings for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for the entire year.

These statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes, which appear in Altria Group, Inc.'s Annual Report to Shareholders and which are incorporated by reference into Altria Group, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Balance sheet accounts are segregated by two broad types of businesses. Consumer products assets and liabilities are classified as either current or non-current, whereas financial services assets and liabilities are unclassified, in accordance with respective industry practices.

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

**Note 2. Asset Impairment, Exit, Implementation and Integration Costs:**

For the six and three months ended June 30, 2011, total pre-tax asset impairment and exit costs were \$3 million and \$1 million, respectively, all of which were reported in the cigarettes segment. In addition, total pre-tax integration costs of \$2 million were reported in the smokeless products segment for both the six and three months ended June 30, 2011. There were no implementation costs incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Pre-tax asset impairment, exit, implementation and integration costs for the six and three months ended June 30, 2010 consisted of the following:

|                    | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010   |                         |                      |              |
|--------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
|                    | Asset Impairment<br>and<br>Exit<br>Costs | Implementation<br>Costs | Integration<br>Costs | Total        |
|                    | (in millions)                            |                         |                      |              |
| Cigarettes         | \$ 25                                    | \$ 49                   | \$                   | \$ 74        |
| Smokeless products | 2  |                         | 11                   | 13           |
| Cigars             |  |                         | 1                    | 1            |
| Wine               |  |                         | 1                    | 1            |
| General corporate  | 1  |                         |                      | 1            |
| <b>Total</b>       | <b>\$ 28</b>                             | <b>\$ 49</b>            | <b>\$ 13</b>         | <b>\$ 90</b> |

|                    | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 |                         |                      |              |
|--------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
|                    | Asset Impairment<br>and<br>Exit<br>Costs | Implementation<br>Costs | Integration<br>Costs | Total        |
|                    | (in millions)                            |                         |                      |              |
| Cigarettes         | \$ 20                                    | \$ 25                   | \$                   | \$ 45        |
| Smokeless products |  |                         | 4                    | 4            |
| General corporate  | 1  |                         |                      | 1            |
| <b>Total</b>       | <b>\$ 21</b>                             | <b>\$ 25</b>            | <b>\$ 4</b>          | <b>\$ 50</b> |

The movement in the severance liability and details of asset impairment and exit costs for Altria Group, Inc. for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was as follows:

|  | Severance | Other<br>(in millions) | Total |
|--|-----------|------------------------|-------|
| Severance liability balance, December 31, 2010 | \$ 26     | \$                     | \$ 26 |

Edgar Filing: ALTRIA GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

|  |      |     |      |
|--|------|-----|------|
| Charges                                    |      | 3   | 3    |
| Cash spent                                 | (21) | (7) | (28) |
| Other                                      |      | 4   | 4    |
| Severance liability balance, June 30, 2011 | \$ 5 | \$  | \$ 5 |

-11-



**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

*Manufacturing Optimization Program:*

PM USA ceased production at its Cabarrus, North Carolina manufacturing facility and completed the consolidation of its cigarette manufacturing capacity into its Richmond, Virginia facility on July 29, 2009. PM USA took this action to address ongoing cigarette volume declines including the impact of the federal excise tax increase enacted in early 2009. In April 2011, PM USA completed the de-commissioning of the Cabarrus facility.

PM USA continues to market for sale the Cabarrus facility and land. The future sale of the Cabarrus facility and land is not expected to have a material impact on the financial results of Altria Group, Inc.

As a result of this program, which commenced in 2007, PM USA expects to incur total pre-tax charges of approximately \$800 million, which consist of employee separation costs of \$325 million, accelerated depreciation of \$275 million and other charges of \$200 million, primarily related to the relocation of employees and equipment, net of estimated gains on sales of land and buildings. Total pre-tax charges incurred for the program through June 30, 2011 of \$827 million, which are reflected in the cigarettes segment, do not reflect estimated gains from the future sales of land and buildings.

PM USA recorded pre-tax charges for this program as follows:

|                                 | For the Six Months |                           | For the Three Months |                           |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
|                                 | 2011               | Ended<br>June 30,<br>2010 | 2011                 | Ended<br>June 30,<br>2010 |
|                                 | (in millions)      |                           |                      |                           |
| Asset impairment and exit costs | \$ 3               | \$ 25                     | \$ 1                 | \$ 20                     |
| Implementation costs            |                    | 49                        |                      | 25                        |
| <b>Total</b>                    | <b>\$ 3</b>        | <b>\$ 74</b>              | <b>\$ 1</b>          | <b>\$ 45</b>              |

Pre-tax implementation costs related to this program were primarily related to accelerated depreciation and were included in cost of sales in the condensed consolidated statements of earnings for the six and three months ended June 30, 2010.

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

**Note 3. Benefit Plans:**

Subsidiaries of Altria Group, Inc. sponsor noncontributory defined benefit pension plans covering substantially all employees of Altria Group, Inc. In certain subsidiaries, however, employees hired on or after a date specific to their employee group are eligible to participate in an enhanced defined contribution plan. This transition for new hires occurred from October 1, 2006 to January 1, 2008. In addition, effective January 1, 2010, certain employees of UST and Middleton who were participants in noncontributory defined benefit pension plans ceased to earn additional benefit service under those plans and became eligible to participate in an enhanced defined contribution plan. Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries also provide health care and other benefits to the majority of retired employees.

*Pension Plans***Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost**

Net periodic pension cost consisted of the following:

|                                  | For the Six Months |              | For the Three Months |              |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|
|                                  | Ended<br>June 30,  |              | Ended<br>June 30,    |              |
|                                  | 2011               | 2010         | 2011                 | 2010         |
|                                  | (in millions)      |              |                      |              |
| Service cost                     | \$ 38              | \$ 42        | \$ 19                | \$ 21        |
| Interest cost                    | 175                | 178          | 88                   | 89           |
| Expected return on plan assets   | (211)              | (208)        | (105)                | (104)        |
| Amortization:                    |                    |              |                      |              |
| Net loss                         | 86                 | 66           | 43                   | 33           |
| Prior service cost               | 7                  | 6            | 3                    | 3            |
| <b>Net periodic pension cost</b> | <b>\$ 95</b>       | <b>\$ 84</b> | <b>\$ 48</b>         | <b>\$ 42</b> |

**Employer Contributions**

Altria Group, Inc. makes contributions to the extent that they are tax deductible, and to pay benefits that relate to plans for salaried employees that cannot be funded under Internal Revenue Service ( IRS ) regulations. On January 7, 2011, Altria Group, Inc. made a voluntary \$200 million contribution to its pension plans. Additional employer contributions of \$9 million were made to Altria Group, Inc.'s pension plans during the six months ended June 30, 2011. Currently, Altria Group, Inc. anticipates making additional employer contributions to its pension plans of approximately \$21 million to \$41 million during the remainder of 2011, based on current tax law. However, these estimates are subject to change as a result of changes in tax and other benefit laws, as well as asset performance significantly above or below the assumed long-term rate of return on pension assets, or changes in interest rates.

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

*Postretirement Benefit Plans*

Net postretirement health care costs consisted of the following:

|                                      | For the Six Months Ended<br>June 30, |       | For the Three Months Ended<br>June 30, |       |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|--|-------|
|                                      | 2011                                 | 2010  | 2011                                   | 2010  |
|                                      | (in millions)                        |       |  |       |
| Service cost                         | \$ 17                                | \$ 17 | \$ 8                                   | \$ 8  |
| Interest cost                        | 69                                   | 71    | 35                                     | 36    |
| Amortization:                        |                                      |       |  |       |
| Net loss                             | 19                                   | 16    | 10                                     | 8     |
| Prior service credit                 | (11)                                 | (7)   | (6)                                    | (3)   |
| Net postretirement health care costs | \$ 94                                | \$ 97 | \$ 47                                  | \$ 49 |

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

**Note 4. Earnings from Equity Investment in SABMiller:**

Pre-tax earnings from Altria Group, Inc.'s equity investment in SABMiller consisted of the following:

|   | For the Six Months<br>Ended<br>June 30, |        | For the Three Months<br>Ended<br>June 30, |        |
|---|---|--------|---|--------|
|   | 2011                                    | 2010   | 2011                                      | 2010   |
|   | (in millions)                           |        |   |        |
| Equity earnings   | \$ 333                                  | \$ 215 | \$ 148                                    | \$ 109 |
| Gains resulting from issuances of common stock by SABMiller | 11                                      | 36     | 7   | 4      |
|   | \$ 344                                  | \$ 251 | \$ 155                                    | \$ 113 |

**Note 5. Earnings Per Share:**

Basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS) were calculated using the following:

|  | For the Six Months Ended<br>June 30, |          | For the Three Months Ended<br>June 30, |          |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------|--|----------|
|  | 2011                                 | 2010     | 2011                                   | 2010     |
|  | (in millions)                        |          |  |          |
| Net earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc.  | \$ 1,381                             | \$ 1,855 | \$ 444                                 | \$ 1,042 |
| Less: Distributed and undistributed earnings attributable to unvested restricted and deferred shares | (5)                                  | (7)      | (1)                                    | (4)      |
| Earnings for basic and diluted EPS   | \$ 1,376                             | \$ 1,848 | \$ 443                                 | \$ 1,038 |
| Weighted-average shares for basic EPS  | 2,080                                | 2,075    | 2,076                                  | 2,076    |
| Add: Incremental shares from stock options   |                                      | 3        |  | 3        |
| Weighted-average shares for diluted EPS  | 2,080                                | 2,078    | 2,076                                  | 2,079    |

For the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 computations, there were no antidilutive stock options.

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

**Note 6. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Losses:**

The following table sets forth the changes in each component of accumulated other comprehensive losses, net of income taxes, attributable to Altria Group, Inc.:

|                             | Currency<br>Translation<br>Adjustments | Changes in Net<br>Loss and<br>Prior<br>Service Cost | Ownership<br>Share of<br>SABMiller's<br>Other<br>Comprehensive<br>Earnings<br>(in millions) | Accumulated<br>Other<br>Comprehensive<br>Losses |
|-----------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Balances, December 31, 2009 | \$ 3                                   | \$ (1,846)  | \$ 282  | \$ (1,561)                                      |
| Period Change               | 1                                      | 35  | 41  | 77  |
| Balances, December 31, 2010 | 4                                      | (1,811)   | 323   | (1,484)   |
| Period Change               | 1                                      | 64  | 140   | 205   |
| Balances, June 30, 2011     | \$ 5                                   | \$ (1,747)  | \$ 463  | \$ (1,279)                                      |

-16-

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

**Note 7. Segment Reporting:**

The products of Altria Group, Inc.'s consumer products subsidiaries include cigarettes manufactured and sold by PM USA, smokeless products manufactured and sold by or on behalf of USSTC and PM USA, machine-made large cigars and pipe tobacco manufactured and sold by Middleton, and wine produced and/or distributed by Ste. Michelle. Another subsidiary of Altria Group, Inc., PMCC, maintains a portfolio of leveraged and direct finance leases. The products and services of these subsidiaries constitute Altria Group, Inc.'s reportable segments of cigarettes, smokeless products, cigars, wine and financial services.

Altria Group, Inc.'s chief operating decision maker reviews operating companies income to evaluate segment performance and allocate resources. Operating companies income for the segments excludes general corporate expenses and amortization of intangibles. Interest and other debt expense, net (consumer products), and provision for income taxes are centrally managed at the corporate level and, accordingly, such items are not presented by segment since they are excluded from the measure of segment profitability reviewed by Altria Group, Inc.'s chief operating decision maker.

Segment data were as follows:

|  | For the Six Months<br>Ended June 30, |                  | For the Three Months<br>Ended June 30, |                 |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------|--|-----------------|
|  | 2011                                 | 2010             | 2011                                   | 2010            |
|  | (in millions)                        |                  |  |                 |
| <b>Net revenues:</b>                               |                                      |                  |  |                 |
| Cigarettes   | \$ 10,735                            | \$ 10,712        | \$ 5,709                               | \$ 5,589        |
| Smokeless products                                 | 783                                  | 771              | 404                                    | 390             |
| Cigars   | 266                                  | 290              | 149                                    | 155             |
| Wine   | 217                                  | 201              | 116                                    | 106             |
| Financial services                                 | (438)                                | 60               | (458)                                  | 34              |
| <b>Net revenues</b>                                | <b>\$ 11,563</b>                     | <b>\$ 12,034</b> | <b>\$ 5,920</b>                        | <b>\$ 6,274</b> |
| <b>Earnings before income taxes:</b>               |                                      |                  |  |                 |
| <b>Operating companies income (loss):</b>          |                                      |                  |  |                 |
| Cigarettes   | \$ 2,883                             | \$ 2,680         | \$ 1,536                               | \$ 1,450        |
| Smokeless products                                 | 415                                  | 376              | 222                                    | 198             |
| Cigars   | 69                                   | 103              | 47                                     | 56              |
| Wine   | 31                                   | 19               | 19                                     | 12              |
| Financial services                                 | (442)                                | 60               | (463)                                  | 39              |
| Amortization of intangibles                        | (11)                                 | (10)             | (5)                                    | (4)             |
| General corporate expenses                         | (111)                                | (99)             | (61)                                   | (52)            |
| Reduction of Kraft and PMI tax-related receivables |                                      | (169)            |  | (169)           |
| Corporate exit costs                               |                                      | (1)              |  | (1)             |
| <b>Operating income</b>                            | <b>2,834</b>                         | <b>2,959</b>     | <b>1,295</b>                           | <b>1,529</b>    |
| Interest and other debt expense, net               | (572)                                | (577)            | (294)                                  | (290)           |
| Earnings from equity investment in SABMiller       | 344                                  | 251              | 155                                    | 113             |
| <b>Earnings before income taxes</b>                | <b>\$ 2,606</b>                      | <b>\$ 2,633</b>  | <b>\$ 1,156</b>                        | <b>\$ 1,352</b> |



**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

Items affecting the comparability of net revenues and/or operating companies income (loss) for the segments were as follows:

*PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge* During the second quarter of 2011, Altria Group, Inc. recorded a one-time charge of \$627 million related to the tax treatment of certain leveraged lease transactions entered into by PMCC ( *PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge* ). Included in this charge was a pre-tax charge of \$490 million that was recorded as a decrease to PMCC's net revenues and operating companies income (see Note 8. *Finance Assets, net*, Note 10. *Income Taxes* and Note 11. *Contingencies* for further discussion of matters related to this charge).

*Asset Impairment, Exit, Implementation and Integration Costs* - See Note 2. *Asset Impairment, Exit, Implementation and Integration Costs* for a breakdown of asset impairment, exit, implementation and integration costs by segment.

**Note 8. Finance Assets, net:**

At June 30, 2011, finance assets, net, of \$3,869 million were comprised of investments in finance leases of \$4,071 million, reduced by the allowance for losses of \$202 million. At December 31, 2010, finance assets, net, of \$4,502 million were comprised of investments in finance leases of \$4,704 million, reduced by the allowance for losses of \$202 million. Finance assets, net, as of June 30, 2011 reflect the impact of the PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge.

During the second quarter of 2011, Altria Group, Inc. recorded the PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge. Approximately 50% of the charge (\$315 million), which does not include potential penalties, represents a reduction in cumulative lease earnings recorded to date that will be recaptured over the remainder of the affected lease terms. The remaining portion of the charge (\$312 million) primarily represents a permanent charge for interest on tax underpayments. The one-time charge was recorded in Altria Group, Inc.'s condensed consolidated statements of earnings for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 as follows:

|  | Net<br>Revenues | Provision<br>for<br>Income Taxes<br>(in millions) | Total         |
|--|-----------------|---|---------------|
| Reduction to cumulative lease earnings | \$ 490          | \$ (175)  | \$ 315        |
| Interest on tax underpayments          |                 | 312   | 312           |
| <b>Total</b>                           | <b>\$ 490</b>   | <b>\$ 137</b>                                     | <b>\$ 627</b> |

See Note 10. *Income Taxes* and Note 11. *Contingencies* for further discussion of matters related to this charge.

PMCC has assessed its allowance for losses for its entire portfolio, and believes that the allowance for losses of \$202 million is adequate. PMCC continues to monitor economic and credit conditions, and the individual situations of its lessees and their respective industries, and may have to increase its allowance for losses if such conditions worsen. All PMCC lessees were current on their lease payment obligations as of June 30, 2011.

The credit quality of PMCC's investments in finance leases as assigned by Standard & Poor's Ratings Services ( *Standard & Poor's* ) and Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ( *Moody's* ) at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was as follows:



**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

|  | June 30, 2011   | December 31, 2010 |
|--|-----------------|-------------------|
|  | (in millions)   |                   |
| <b>Credit Rating by Standard &amp; Poor's/Moody's:</b> |                 |                   |
| AAA/Aaa to A-/A3                                       | \$ 1,789        | \$ 2,343          |
| BBB+/Baa1 to BBB-/Baa3                                 | 1,129           | 1,148             |
| BB+/Ba1 and Lower                                      | 1,153           | 1,213             |
| <b>Total</b>   | <b>\$ 4,071</b> | <b>\$ 4,704</b>   |

The activity in the allowance for losses on finance assets for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was as follows:

|                                  | For the Six Months<br>Ended<br>June 30, |               |
|----------------------------------|---|---------------|
|                                  | 2011                                    | 2010          |
|                                  | (in millions)                           |               |
| Balance at beginning of the year | \$ 202                                  | \$ 266        |
| Amounts written-off              |   | (64)          |
| <b>Balance at June 30</b>        | <b>\$ 202</b>                           | <b>\$ 202</b> |

During the first quarter of 2010, leases with General Motors Corporation ( GM ) were restructured as a result of its bankruptcy reorganization and \$64 million was written off against PMCC's allowance for losses. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, PMCC's investment in finance leases from General Motors LLC, which is the successor to GM's North American automotive business, was \$101 million.

**Note 9. Debt:***Short-Term Borrowings and Borrowing Arrangements*

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, Altria Group, Inc. had no short-term borrowings.

On June 30, 2011, Altria Group, Inc. entered into a senior unsecured 5-year revolving credit agreement (the Credit Agreement ). The Credit Agreement provides for borrowings up to an aggregate principal amount of \$3.0 billion and expires on June 30, 2016. The Credit Agreement replaced Altria Group, Inc.'s \$0.6 billion senior unsecured 364-day revolving credit agreement, which was to expire on November 16, 2011 (the 364-Day Agreement ) and Altria Group, Inc.'s \$2.4 billion senior unsecured 3-year revolving credit agreement, which was to expire on November 20, 2012 (together with the 364-Day Agreement, the Terminated Agreements ). The Terminated Agreements were terminated effective June 30, 2011. Pricing for interest and fees under the Credit Agreement may be modified in the event of a change in the rating of Altria Group, Inc.'s long-term senior unsecured debt. Interest rates on borrowings under the Credit Agreement are expected to be based on the London Interbank Offered Rate ( LIBOR ) plus a percentage equal to Altria Group, Inc.'s credit default swap spread subject to certain minimum rates and maximum rates based on the higher of the rating of Altria Group, Inc.'s long-term senior unsecured debt from Standard & Poor's and Moody's. The applicable minimum and maximum rates based on Altria Group, Inc.'s long-term senior unsecured debt ratings at June 30, 2011 for borrowings under the Credit Agreement are 0.75% and 1.75%, respectively, which are lower than those in the Terminated Agreements. The Credit Agreement does not include any other rating triggers, nor does it contain any provisions that could require the posting of collateral.

## Edgar Filing: ALTRIA GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

The Credit Agreement is used for general corporate purposes and to support Altria Group, Inc.'s commercial paper issuances. As in the Terminated Agreements, the Credit Agreement requires that Altria

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

Group, Inc. maintain (i) a ratio of debt to consolidated EBITDA of not more than 3.0 to 1.0 and (ii) a ratio of consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense of not less than 4.0 to 1.0, each calculated as of the end of the applicable quarter on a rolling four quarters basis. At June 30, 2011, the ratios of debt to consolidated EBITDA and consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense, calculated in accordance with the Credit Agreement, were 1.9 to 1.0 and 6.4 to 1.0, respectively. Altria Group, Inc. expects to continue to meet its covenants associated with the Credit Agreement. The terms consolidated EBITDA, debt and consolidated interest expense, as defined in the Credit Agreement, include certain adjustments.

Any commercial paper issued by Altria Group, Inc. and borrowings under the Credit Agreement are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by PM USA (see Note 12. *Condensed Consolidating Financial Information*).

*Long-Term Debt*

On May 5, 2011, Altria Group, Inc. issued \$1.5 billion (aggregate principal amount) of 4.75% senior unsecured long-term notes due May 5, 2021, with interest payable semi-annually. The net proceeds from the issuance of these senior unsecured notes were added to Altria Group, Inc.'s general funds and will be used for general corporate purposes.

The notes are Altria Group, Inc.'s senior unsecured obligations and rank equally in right of payment with all of Altria Group, Inc.'s existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness. Upon the occurrence of both (i) a change of control of Altria Group, Inc. and (ii) the notes ceasing to be rated investment grade by each of Moody's, Standard & Poor's and Fitch Ratings Ltd. within a specified time period, Altria Group, Inc. will be required to make an offer to purchase the notes at a price equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount of such notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of repurchase as and to the extent set forth in the terms of the notes.

The obligations of Altria Group, Inc. under the notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by PM USA (see Note 12. *Condensed Consolidating Financial Information*).

The aggregate fair value, based substantially on readily available quoted market prices, of Altria Group, Inc.'s total debt at June 30, 2011, was \$17.0 billion, as compared with its carrying value of \$13.7 billion. The aggregate fair value, based substantially on readily available quoted market prices, of Altria Group, Inc.'s total debt at December 31, 2010, was \$15.5 billion, as compared with its carrying value of \$12.2 billion.

---

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

**Note 10. Income Taxes:**

The income tax rate of 47.0% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased 17.5 percentage points from 29.5% for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The income tax rate of 61.6% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 increased 38.7 percentage points from 22.9% for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The increases in the income tax rate were due primarily to a \$312 million charge, that primarily represents a permanent charge for interest on tax underpayments, associated with the previously discussed PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge which was recorded during the second quarter of 2011 (see Note 8. *Finance Assets, net* and Note 11. *Contingencies* for further discussion of matters related to this charge). Also contributing to the income tax rate increases was the reversal during 2010 of tax reserves and associated interest following the resolution of certain federal and state audits discussed below.

As discussed in Note 11. *Contingencies*, Altria Group, Inc. and the IRS executed a closing agreement during the second quarter of 2010 in connection with the IRS's examination of Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated federal income tax returns for the years 2000-2003, which resolved various tax matters for Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries, including its former subsidiaries Kraft Foods Inc. (Kraft) and Philip Morris International Inc. (PMI). As a result of this closing agreement, in the second quarter of 2010, Altria Group, Inc. recorded (i) a \$47 million income tax benefit primarily attributable to the reversal of tax reserves and associated interest related to Altria Group, Inc. and its current subsidiaries; and (ii) an income tax benefit of \$169 million attributable to the reversal of federal income tax reserves and associated interest related to the resolution of certain Kraft and PMI tax matters.

Under the Tax Sharing agreements entered into in connection with the spin-offs between Altria Group, Inc. and its former subsidiaries, Kraft and PMI are responsible for their respective pre-spin-off tax obligations. Altria Group, Inc., however, remains severally liable for Kraft's and PMI's pre-spin-off federal tax obligations pursuant to regulations governing federal consolidated income tax returns. As a result, Altria Group, Inc. continues to include the pre-spin-off federal income tax reserves of Kraft and PMI in its liability for uncertain tax positions, and also includes corresponding receivables from Kraft and PMI in other assets. The tax benefit of \$169 million was offset by a reduction to the corresponding receivables from Kraft and PMI, which was recorded as a reduction to operating income on Altria Group, Inc.'s condensed consolidated statements of earnings for the six and three months ended June 30, 2010. As a result, there was no impact on Altria Group, Inc.'s net earnings associated with the resolution of the Kraft and PMI tax matters.

Altria Group, Inc. is subject to income taxation in many jurisdictions. Uncertain tax positions reflect the difference between tax positions taken or expected to be taken on income tax returns and the amounts recognized in the financial statements. Resolution of the related tax positions with the relevant tax authorities may take many years to complete, since such timing is not entirely within the control of Altria Group, Inc. During the next twelve months, it is reasonably possible that the liability for uncertain tax positions could decrease by as much as \$230 million, including uncertain tax positions related to Kraft and PMI.

---

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

**Note 11. Contingencies:**

Legal proceedings covering a wide range of matters are pending or threatened in various United States and foreign jurisdictions against Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries, including PM USA and UST and its subsidiaries, as well as their respective indemnitees. Various types of claims are raised in these proceedings, including product liability, consumer protection, antitrust, tax, contraband shipments, patent infringement, employment matters, claims for contribution and claims of distributors.

Litigation is subject to uncertainty and it is possible that there could be adverse developments in pending or future cases. An unfavorable outcome or settlement of pending tobacco-related or other litigation could encourage the commencement of additional litigation. Damages claimed in some tobacco-related and other litigation are or can be significant and, in certain cases, range in the billions of dollars. The variability in pleadings in multiple jurisdictions, together with the actual experience of management in litigating claims, demonstrate that the monetary relief that may be specified in a lawsuit bears little relevance to the ultimate outcome. In certain cases, plaintiffs claim that defendants' liability is joint and several. In such cases, Altria Group, Inc. or its subsidiaries may face the risk that one or more co-defendants decline or otherwise fail to participate in the bonding required for an appeal or to pay their proportionate or jury-allocated share of a judgment. As a result, Altria Group, Inc. or its subsidiaries under certain circumstances may have to pay more than their proportionate share of any bonding- or judgment-related amounts.

Although PM USA has historically been able to obtain required bonds or relief from bonding requirements in order to prevent plaintiffs from seeking to collect judgments while adverse verdicts have been appealed, there remains a risk that such relief may not be obtainable in all cases. This risk has been substantially reduced given that 44 states now limit the dollar amount of bonds or require no bond at all. As discussed below, however, tobacco litigation plaintiffs have challenged the constitutionality of Florida's bond cap statute in several cases and plaintiffs may challenge other state bond cap statutes. Although we cannot predict the outcome of such challenges, it is possible that the consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc., or one or more of its subsidiaries, could be materially affected in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year by an unfavorable outcome of one or more such challenges.

Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries record provisions in the consolidated financial statements for pending litigation when they determine that an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. At the present time, while it is reasonably possible that an unfavorable outcome in a case may occur, except as discussed elsewhere in this Note 11. *Contingencies*: (i) management has concluded that it is not probable that a loss has been incurred in any of the pending tobacco-related cases; (ii) management is unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome in any of the pending tobacco-related cases; and (iii) accordingly, management has not provided any amounts in the consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes, if any. Legal defense costs are expensed as incurred.

Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries have achieved substantial success in managing litigation. Nevertheless, litigation is subject to uncertainty and significant challenges remain. It is possible that the consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc., or one or more of its subsidiaries, could be materially affected in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year by an unfavorable outcome or settlement of certain pending litigation. Altria Group, Inc. and each of its subsidiaries named as a defendant believe, and each has been so advised by counsel handling the respective cases, that it has valid defenses to the litigation pending against it, as well as valid bases for appeal of adverse verdicts. Each of the companies has defended, and will continue to defend, vigorously against litigation challenges. However, Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries may enter into settlement discussions in particular cases if they believe it is in the best interests of Altria Group, Inc. to do so.

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

**Overview of Altria Group, Inc. and/or PM USA Tobacco-Related Litigation***Types and Number of Cases*

Claims related to tobacco products generally fall within the following categories: (i) smoking and health cases alleging personal injury brought on behalf of individual plaintiffs; (ii) smoking and health cases primarily alleging personal injury or seeking court-supervised programs for ongoing medical monitoring and purporting to be brought on behalf of a class of individual plaintiffs, including cases in which the aggregated claims of a number of individual plaintiffs are to be tried in a single proceeding; (iii) health care cost recovery cases brought by governmental (both domestic and foreign) and non-governmental plaintiffs seeking reimbursement for health care expenditures allegedly caused by cigarette smoking and/or disgorgement of profits; (iv) class action suits alleging that the uses of the terms Lights and Ultra Lights constitute deceptive and unfair trade practices, common law fraud, or violations of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ( RICO ); and (v) other tobacco-related litigation described below. Plaintiffs theories of recovery and the defenses raised in pending smoking and health, health care cost recovery and Lights/Ultra Lights cases are discussed below.

The table below lists the number of certain tobacco-related cases pending in the United States against PM USA and, in some instances, Altria Group, Inc. as of July 25, 2011, July 26, 2010 and July 27, 2009.

| Type of Case   | Number of Cases<br>Pending as of<br>July 25,<br>2011 | Number of Cases<br>Pending as<br>of<br>July 26,<br>2010 | Number of Cases<br>Pending as<br>of<br>July 27,<br>2009 |
|--|--|---|---|
| Individual Smoking and Health Cases (1)                                  | 81   | 83  | 96  |
| Smoking and Health Class Actions and Aggregated Claims<br>Litigation (2) | 8  | 9   | 7   |
| Health Care Cost Recovery Actions  | 2  | 3   | 3   |
| Lights/Ultra Lights Class Actions (3)                                    | 19   | 29  | 29  |
| Tobacco Price Cases  | 1  | 2   | 2   |

- (1) Does not include 2,588 cases brought by flight attendants seeking compensatory damages for personal injuries allegedly caused by exposure to environmental tobacco smoke ( ETS ). The flight attendants allege that they are members of an ETS smoking and health class action, which was settled in 1997 (*Broin*). The terms of the court-approved settlement in that case allow class members to file individual lawsuits seeking compensatory damages, but prohibit them from seeking punitive damages. Certain *Broin* plaintiffs have filed a motion seeking approximately \$50 million in sanctions for alleged interference by R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company ( R.J. Reynolds ) and PM USA with Lorillard, Inc.'s acceptance of offers of settlement in the *Broin* progeny cases. On May 23, 2011, the trial court denied this motion. Plaintiffs have appealed.

Also, does not include approximately 6,613 individual smoking and health cases (3,327 state court cases and 3,286 federal court cases) brought by or on behalf of approximately 8,213 plaintiffs in Florida (4,928 state court plaintiffs and 3,285 federal court plaintiffs) following the decertification of the *Engle* case discussed below. It is possible that some of these cases are duplicates and that additional cases have been filed but not yet recorded on the courts' dockets.

(2)

Edgar Filing: ALTRIA GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Includes as one case the 638 civil actions (of which 366 are actions against PM USA) that are proposed to be tried in a single proceeding in West Virginia (*In re: Tobacco Litigation*). Middleton and USSTC were named as defendants in this action but they,

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

along with other non-cigarette manufacturers, have been severed from this case. The West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals has ruled that the United States Constitution does not preclude a trial in two phases in this case. Under the current trial plan, issues related to defendants' conduct and plaintiffs' entitlement to punitive damages would be determined in the first phase. The second phase would consist of individual trials to determine liability, if any, as well as compensatory and punitive damages, if any. The case is currently scheduled for trial on October 17, 2011.

- (3) Includes the *Cabbat* case, a *Lights* case filed in federal district court that was never served on PM USA. See *Lights/Ultra Lights Cases Federal Multidistrict Proceeding* below for a discussion of this case.
- International Tobacco-Related Cases*

As of July 25, 2011, PM USA is a named defendant in Israel in one *Lights* class action. PM USA is a named defendant in four health care cost recovery actions in Canada, three of which also name Altria Group, Inc. as a defendant. PM USA and Altria Group, Inc. are also named defendants in six smoking and health class actions filed in various Canadian provinces. See *Guarantees* for a discussion of the Distribution Agreement between Altria Group, Inc. and PMI that provides for indemnities for certain liabilities concerning tobacco products.

*Pending and Upcoming Tobacco-Related Trials*

As of July 25, 2011, 13 *Engle* progeny cases and 4 individual smoking and health cases against PM USA are set for trial in 2011. Cases against other companies in the tobacco industry are also scheduled for trial in 2011. Trial dates are subject to change.

*Trial Results*

Since January 1999, excluding the *Engle* progeny cases (separately discussed below), verdicts have been returned in 49 smoking and health, *Lights/Ultra Lights* and health care cost recovery cases in which PM USA was a defendant. Verdicts in favor of PM USA and other defendants were returned in 33 of the 49 cases. These 33 cases were tried in California (5), Florida (9), Louisiana (1), Massachusetts (1), Mississippi (1), Missouri (3), New Hampshire (1), New Jersey (1), New York (4), Ohio (2), Pennsylvania (1), Rhode Island (1), Tennessee (2), and West Virginia (1). A motion for a new trial was granted in one of the cases in Florida.

Of the 16 non-*Engle* progeny cases in which verdicts were returned in favor of plaintiffs, twelve have reached final resolution and one case (*Williams* see below) has reached partial resolution. A verdict against defendants in one health care cost recovery case (*Blue Cross/Blue Shield*) has been reversed and all claims were dismissed with prejudice. In addition, a verdict against defendants in a purported *Lights* class action in Illinois (*Price*) was reversed and the case was dismissed with prejudice in December 2006. In December 2008, the plaintiff in *Price* filed a motion with the state trial court to vacate the judgment dismissing this case in light of the United States Supreme Court's decision in *Good* (see below for a discussion of developments in *Good* and *Price*).

As of July 25, 2011, twenty-four *Engle* progeny cases involving PM USA have resulted in verdicts since the Florida Supreme Court's *Engle* decision. Thirteen verdicts were returned in favor of plaintiffs and eleven verdicts were returned in favor of PM USA. See *Smoking and Health Litigation - Engle Progeny Trial Results* below for a discussion of these verdicts.



**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

After exhausting all appeals in those cases resulting in adverse verdicts (*Engle* progeny and non-*Engle* progeny), PM USA has paid judgments totaling \$116.4 million and interest totaling \$70.6 million.

The chart below lists the verdicts and post-trial developments in the non-*Engle* progeny cases that were pending during 2011 in which verdicts were returned in favor of plaintiffs. A chart listing the verdicts for plaintiffs in the *Engle* progeny cases can be found in *Smoking and Health Litigation - Engle Progeny Trial Results*.

| <b>Date</b>  | <b>Location of Court/Name of Plaintiff</b>               | <b>Type of Case</b>             | <b>Verdict</b>  | <b>Post-Trial Developments</b>  |
|--------------|--|---------------------------------|---|---|
| August 2006  | District of Columbia/<br><i>United States of America</i> | Health Care Cost Recovery       | Finding that defendants, including Altria Group, Inc. and PM USA, violated the civil provisions of RICO. No monetary damages were assessed, but the court made specific findings and issued injunctions. See <i>Federal Government's Lawsuit</i> below. | See <i>Federal Government's Lawsuit</i> below.  |
| May 2004     | Louisiana/<br><i>Scott</i>                               | Smoking and Health Class Action | Approximately \$590 million against all defendants, including PM USA, jointly and severally, to fund a 10-year smoking cessation program.   | See <i>Scott Class Action</i> below.  |
| October 2002 | California/<br><i>Bullock</i>                            | Individual Smoking and Health   | \$850,000 in compensatory damages and \$28 billion in punitive damages against PM USA.  | See discussion (1) below.   |
| March 2002   | Oregon/<br><i>Schwarz</i>                                | Individual Smoking and Health   | \$168,500 in compensatory damages and \$150 million in punitive damages against PM USA.   | In May 2002, the trial court reduced the punitive damages award to \$100 million. In October 2002, PM |

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
 Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
 (Unaudited)

| Date | Location of Court/Name of Plaintiff | Type of Case | Verdict | Post-Trial Developments   |
|------|-------------------------------------|--------------|---------|---|
|      |                                     |              |         | <p>USA posted an appeal bond of approximately \$58.3 million. In May 2006, the Oregon Court of Appeals affirmed the compensatory damages verdict, reversed the award of punitive damages and remanded the case to the trial court for a second trial to determine the amount of punitive damages, if any. In June 2006, plaintiff petitioned the Oregon Supreme Court to review the portion of the court of appeals decision reversing and remanding the case for a new trial on punitive damages. In June 2010, the Oregon Supreme Court affirmed the court of appeals decision and remanded the case to the trial court for a new trial limited to the question of punitive damages. In July 2010, plaintiff filed a petition for rehearing with the Oregon Supreme Court. In December 2010, the Oregon Supreme Court reaffirmed its earlier ruling, clarified that the only issue for retrial is the amount of punitive damages, if any, and awarded PM USA approximately \$500,000 in costs. In</p> |

**Table of Contents**

## Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

| Date       | Location of Court/Name of Plaintiff | Type of Case                  | Verdict  | Post-Trial Developments   |
|------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| March 1999 | Oregon/<br><i>Williams</i>          | Individual Smoking and Health | \$800,000 in compensatory damages (capped statutorily at \$500,000), \$21,500 in medical expenses and \$79.5 million in punitive damages against PM USA. | January 2011, the trial court issued an order releasing PM USA's appeal bond. In March 2011, PM USA filed a claim against the plaintiff for its costs and disbursements on appeal, plus interest. Trial has been set for January 30, 2012.<br><br>See discussion (2) below. |

- (1) *Bullock*: In December 2002, the trial court reduced the punitive damages award to \$28 million. In April 2006, the California Court of Appeal affirmed the \$28 million punitive damages award. In August 2006, the California Supreme Court denied plaintiffs' petition to overturn the trial court's reduction of the punitive damages award and granted PM USA's petition for review challenging the punitive damages award. The court granted review of the case on a grant and hold basis under which further action by the court was deferred pending the United States Supreme Court's 2007 decision on punitive damages in the *Williams* case described below. In February 2007, the United States Supreme Court vacated the punitive damages judgment in *Williams* and remanded the case to the Oregon Supreme Court for proceedings consistent with its decision. In May 2007, the California Supreme Court transferred the case to the Second District of the California Court of Appeal with directions that the court vacate its 2006 decision and reconsider the case in light of the United States Supreme Court's decision in *Williams*. In January 2008, the California Court of Appeal reversed the judgment with respect to the \$28 million punitive damages award, affirmed the judgment in all other respects, and remanded the case to the trial court to conduct a new trial on the amount of punitive damages. In March 2008, plaintiffs and PM USA appealed to the California Supreme Court. In April 2008, the California Supreme Court denied both petitions for review. In July 2008, \$43.3 million of escrow funds were returned to PM USA. The case was remanded to the superior court for a new trial on the amount of punitive damages, if any. In August 2009, the jury returned a verdict, and in December 2009, the superior court entered a judgment, awarding plaintiff \$13.8 million in punitive damages, plus costs. In December 2009, PM USA filed a motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict that seeks a reduction of the punitive damages award, which motion was denied in January 2010. PM USA noticed an appeal in February 2010 and posted an appeal bond of approximately \$14.7 million. Argument before the California Court of Appeal was

**Table of Contents**

## Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

heard on June 14, 2011. As of June 30, 2011, PM USA has recorded a provision of approximately \$1.8 million for compensatory damages, costs and interest.

- (2) *Williams*: The trial court reduced the punitive damages award to approximately \$32 million, and PM USA and plaintiff appealed. In June 2002, the Oregon Court of Appeals reinstated the \$79.5 million punitive damages award. In October 2003, the United States Supreme Court set aside the Oregon appellate court's ruling and directed the Oregon court to reconsider the case in light of the 2003 *State Farm* decision by the United States Supreme Court, which limited punitive damages. In June 2004, the Oregon Court of Appeals reinstated the \$79.5 million punitive damages award. In February 2006, the Oregon Supreme Court affirmed the Court of Appeals' decision. The United States Supreme Court granted PM USA's petition for *writ of certiorari* in May 2006. In February 2007, the United States Supreme Court vacated the \$79.5 million punitive damages award, holding that the United States Constitution prohibits basing punitive damages awards on harm to non-parties. The Court also found that states must assure that appropriate procedures are in place so that juries are provided with proper legal guidance as to the constitutional limitations on awards of punitive damages. Accordingly, the Court remanded the case to the Oregon Supreme Court for further proceedings consistent with this decision. In January 2008, the Oregon Supreme Court affirmed the Oregon Court of Appeals' June 2004 decision, which in turn, upheld the jury's compensatory damages award and reinstated the jury's award of \$79.5 million in punitive damages. In March 2008, PM USA filed a petition for *writ of certiorari* with the United States Supreme Court, which was granted in June 2008. In March 2009, the United States Supreme Court dismissed the *writ of certiorari* as being improvidently granted. Subsequent to the United States Supreme Court's dismissal, PM USA paid \$61.1 million to the plaintiffs, representing the compensatory damages award, forty percent of the punitive damages award and accrued interest. Oregon state law requires that sixty percent of any punitive damages award be paid to the state. However, PM USA believes that, as a result of the Master Settlement Agreement (MSA), it is not liable for the sixty percent that would be paid to the state. Oregon and PM USA are parties to a proceeding in Oregon state court that seeks a determination of PM USA's liability for that sixty percent. If PM USA prevails, its obligation to pay punitive damages will be limited to the forty percent previously paid to the plaintiff. The court has consolidated that MSA proceeding with *Williams*, where plaintiff seeks to challenge the constitutionality of the Oregon statute apportioning the punitive damages award and claims that any punitive damages award released by the state reverts to plaintiff. In February 2010, the trial court ruled that the state is not entitled to collect its sixty percent share of the punitive damages award. In June 2010, after hearing argument, the trial court held that, under the Oregon statute, PM USA is not required to pay the sixty percent share to plaintiff. In October 2010, the trial court rejected plaintiff's argument that the Oregon statute regarding allocation of punitive damages is unconstitutional. The combined effect of these rulings is that PM USA would not be required to pay the state's sixty percent share of the punitive damages award. Both the plaintiff in *Williams* and the state appealed these rulings to the Oregon Court of Appeals. In December 2010, on its own motion, the Oregon Court of Appeals certified the appeals to the Oregon Supreme Court and the Oregon Supreme Court accepted certification. Argument on the merits of the appeals is set for September 19, 2011.

---

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

*Security for Judgments*

To obtain stays of judgments pending current appeals, as of June 30, 2011, PM USA has posted various forms of security totaling approximately \$47 million, the majority of which has been collateralized with cash deposits that are included in other assets on the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

**Smoking and Health Litigation**

*Overview*

Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in smoking and health cases are based on various theories of recovery, including negligence, gross negligence, strict liability, fraud, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, nuisance, breach of express and implied warranties, breach of special duty, conspiracy, concert of action, violations of deceptive trade practice laws and consumer protection statutes, and claims under the federal and state anti-racketeering statutes. Plaintiffs in the smoking and health actions seek various forms of relief, including compensatory and punitive damages, treble/multiple damages and other statutory damages and penalties, creation of medical monitoring and smoking cessation funds, disgorgement of profits, and injunctive and equitable relief. Defenses raised in these cases include lack of proximate cause, assumption of the risk, comparative fault and/or contributory negligence, statutes of limitations and preemption by the Federal Cigarette Labeling and Advertising Act.

*Engle Class Action*

In July 2000, in the second phase of the *Engle* smoking and health class action in Florida, a jury returned a verdict assessing punitive damages totaling approximately \$145 billion against various defendants, including \$74 billion against PM USA. Following entry of judgment, PM USA posted a bond in the amount of \$100 million and appealed.

In May 2001, the trial court approved a stipulation providing that execution of the punitive damages component of the *Engle* judgment will remain stayed against PM USA and the other participating defendants through the completion of all judicial review. As a result of the stipulation, PM USA placed \$500 million into a separate interest-bearing escrow account that, regardless of the outcome of the judicial review, will be paid to the court and the court will determine how to allocate or distribute it consistent with Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. In July 2001, PM USA also placed \$1.2 billion into an interest-bearing escrow account, which was returned to PM USA in December 2007. In addition, the \$100 million bond related to the case has been discharged. In connection with the stipulation, PM USA recorded a \$500 million pre-tax charge in its consolidated statement of earnings for the quarter ended March 31, 2001. In May 2003, the Florida Third District Court of Appeal reversed the judgment entered by the trial court and instructed the trial court to order the decertification of the class. Plaintiffs petitioned the Florida Supreme Court for further review.

In July 2006, the Florida Supreme Court ordered that the punitive damages award be vacated, that the class approved by the trial court be decertified, and that members of the decertified class could file individual actions against defendants within one year of issuance of the mandate. The court further declared the following Phase I findings are entitled to *res judicata* effect in such individual actions brought within one year of the issuance of the mandate: (i) that smoking causes various diseases; (ii) that nicotine in cigarettes is addictive; (iii) that defendants' cigarettes were defective and unreasonably dangerous; (iv) that defendants concealed or omitted material information not otherwise known or available knowing that the material was false or misleading or failed to disclose a material fact concerning the health effects or addictive nature of smoking; (v) that defendants agreed to misrepresent information regarding the health effects or addictive nature of cigarettes with the intention of causing the public to rely on this information to their detriment;

---

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

(vi) that defendants agreed to conceal or omit information regarding the health effects of cigarettes or their addictive nature with the intention that smokers would rely on the information to their detriment; (vii) that all defendants sold or supplied cigarettes that were defective; and (viii) that defendants were negligent. The court also reinstated compensatory damages awards totaling approximately \$6.9 million to two individual plaintiffs and found that a third plaintiff's claim was barred by the statute of limitations. In February 2008, PM USA paid a total of \$2,964,685, which represents its share of compensatory damages and interest to the two individual plaintiffs identified in the Florida Supreme Court's order.

In August 2006, PM USA sought rehearing from the Florida Supreme Court on parts of its July 2006 opinion, including the ruling (described above) that certain jury findings have *res judicata* effect in subsequent individual trials timely brought by *Engle* class members. The rehearing motion also asked, among other things, that legal errors that were raised but not expressly ruled upon in the Third District Court of Appeal or in the Florida Supreme Court now be addressed. Plaintiffs also filed a motion for rehearing in August 2006 seeking clarification of the applicability of the statute of limitations to non-members of the decertified class. In December 2006, the Florida Supreme Court refused to revise its July 2006 ruling, except that it revised the set of Phase I findings entitled to *res judicata* effect by excluding finding (v) listed above (relating to agreement to misrepresent information), and added the finding that defendants sold or supplied cigarettes that, at the time of sale or supply, did not conform to the representations of fact made by defendants. In January 2007, the Florida Supreme Court issued the mandate from its revised opinion. Defendants then filed a motion with the Florida Third District Court of Appeal requesting that the court address legal errors that were previously raised by defendants but have not yet been addressed either by the Third District Court of Appeal or by the Florida Supreme Court. In February 2007, the Third District Court of Appeal denied defendants' motion. In May 2007, defendants' motion for a partial stay of the mandate pending the completion of appellate review was denied by the Third District Court of Appeal. In May 2007, defendants filed a petition for *writ of certiorari* with the United States Supreme Court. In October 2007, the United States Supreme Court denied defendants' petition. In November 2007, the United States Supreme Court denied defendants' petition for rehearing from the denial of their petition for *writ of certiorari*.

The deadline for filing *Engle* progeny cases, as required by the Florida Supreme Court's decision, expired in January 2008. As of July 25, 2011, approximately 6,613 cases (3,327 state court cases and 3,286 federal court cases) were pending against PM USA or Altria Group, Inc. asserting individual claims by or on behalf of approximately 8,213 plaintiffs (4,928 state court plaintiffs and 3,285 federal court plaintiffs). It is possible that some of these cases are duplicates. Some of these cases have been removed from various Florida state courts to the federal district courts in Florida, while others were filed in federal court. In July 2007, PM USA and other defendants requested that the multi-district litigation panel order the transfer of all such cases pending in the federal courts, as well as any other *Engle* progeny cases that may be filed, to the Middle District of Florida for pretrial coordination. The panel denied this request in December 2007. In October 2007, attorneys for plaintiffs filed a motion to consolidate all pending and future cases filed in the state trial court in Hillsborough County. The court denied this motion in November 2007. In February 2008, the trial court decertified the class except for purposes of the May 2001 bond stipulation, and formally vacated the punitive damages award pursuant to the Florida Supreme Court's mandate. In April 2008, the trial court ruled that certain defendants, including PM USA, lacked standing with respect to allocation of the funds escrowed under the May 2001 bond stipulation and will receive no credit at this time from the \$500 million paid by PM USA against any future punitive damages awards in cases brought by former *Engle* class members.

In May 2008, the trial court, among other things, decertified the limited class maintained for purposes of the May 2001 bond stipulation and, in July 2008, severed the remaining plaintiffs' claims except for those of Howard Engle. The only remaining plaintiff in the *Engle* case, Howard Engle, voluntarily dismissed his claims with prejudice. In July 2008, attorneys for a putative former *Engle* class member petitioned the

---

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

Florida Supreme Court to permit members of the *Engle* class additional time to file individual lawsuits. The Florida Supreme Court denied this petition in January 2009.

*Federal Engle Progeny Cases*

Three federal district courts (in the *Merlob*, *Brown* and *Burr* cases) ruled that the findings in the first phase of the *Engle* proceedings cannot be used to satisfy elements of plaintiffs' claims, and two of those rulings (*Brown* and *Burr*) were certified by the trial court for interlocutory review. The certification in both cases was granted by the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit and the appeals were consolidated. In February 2009, the appeal in *Burr* was dismissed for lack of prosecution. In July 2010, the Eleventh Circuit ruled in *Brown* that, as a matter of Florida law, plaintiffs do not have an unlimited right to use the findings from the original *Engle* trial to meet their burden of establishing the elements of their claims at trial. The Eleventh Circuit did not reach the issue of whether the use of the *Engle* findings violates the defendants' due process rights. Rather, plaintiffs may only use the findings to establish those specific facts, if any, that they demonstrate with a reasonable degree of certainty were actually decided by the original *Engle* jury. The Eleventh Circuit remanded the case to the district court to determine what specific factual findings the *Engle* jury actually made. In the *Burr* case, PM USA filed a motion seeking a ruling from the district court regarding the preclusive effect of the *Engle* findings pursuant to the Eleventh Circuit's decision in *Brown*. On May 19, 2011, the district court denied that motion on procedural grounds.

Since the remand of *Brown*, the Eleventh Circuit's ruling on Florida state law is currently superseded by a state appellate ruling in *Martin*, an *Engle* progeny case against R.J. Reynolds in Escambia County. In *Martin*, the Florida First District Court of Appeals rejected the *Brown* ruling as a matter of state law and upheld the use of the *Engle* findings to relax plaintiffs' burden of proof. R. J. Reynolds had sought Florida Supreme Court review in that case but, on July 19, 2011, the Florida Supreme Court declined to hear the appeal. In the meantime, the federal courts are obliged to follow *Martin*, and not *Brown*, on the Florida law issue.

*Engle* progeny cases pending in the federal district courts in the Middle District of Florida asserting individual claims by or on behalf of approximately 3,200 plaintiffs remain stayed. There are currently 12 active cases pending in federal court.

*Florida Bond Cap Statute*

In June 2009, Florida amended its existing bond cap statute by adding a \$200 million bond cap that applies to all *Engle* progeny lawsuits in the aggregate and establishes individual bond caps for individual *Engle* progeny cases in amounts that vary depending on the number of judgments in effect at a given time. The legislation, which became effective in June 2009, applies to judgments entered after the effective date and was set to expire on December 31, 2012. On May 26, 2011, Florida amended its bond cap statute to eliminate the statute's December 31, 2012 expiration date.

Plaintiffs in three *Engle* progeny cases against R.J. Reynolds in Alachua County, Florida (*Alexander*, *Townsend* and *Hall*) and one case in Escambia County (*Clay*) have challenged the constitutionality of the bond cap statute. The Florida Attorney General has intervened in these cases in defense of the constitutionality of the statute.

Trial court rulings have been rendered in *Clay*, *Alexander*, *Townsend* and *Hall* rejecting the plaintiffs' bond cap statute challenges in those cases. The plaintiffs have appealed these rulings. In *Clay* and *Hall*, the District Court of Appeals for the First District of Florida has affirmed the trial court decisions and has certified the decision in *Hall* for appeal to the Florida Supreme Court.

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

*Engle Progeny Trial Results*

As of July 25, 2011, twenty-four *Engle* progeny cases involving PM USA have resulted in verdicts since the Florida Supreme Court *Engle* decision. Thirteen verdicts (see *Hess, Barbanell, F. Campbell, Naugle, Douglas, R. Cohen, Putney, Tate, Piendle, Hatziyannakis, Huish, Tullo* and *Allen* descriptions in the table below) were returned in favor of plaintiffs and eleven verdicts were returned in favor of PM USA (*Gelep, Kalyvas, Gil de Rubio, Warrick, Willis, Frazier, C. Campbell, Rohr, Espinosa, Oliva* and *Weingart*). In addition, there have been a number of mistrials, only some of which have resulted in new trials as of July 25, 2011.

In *Lukacs*, a case that was tried to verdict before the Florida Supreme Court *Engle* decision, the Florida Third District Court of Appeal in March 2010 affirmed *per curiam* the trial court decision without issuing an opinion. Under Florida procedure, further review of a *per curiam* affirmance without opinion by the Florida Supreme Court is generally prohibited. Subsequently in 2010, after defendants' petition for rehearing with the Court of Appeal was denied, defendants paid the judgment.

In October 2010, juries in five *Engle* progeny cases (*Warrick, Willis, Frazier, C. Campbell* and *Rohr*) returned verdicts in favor of PM USA. With the exception of *Frazier*, these cases have concluded.

In March 2011, the jury in the *Oliva* case returned a verdict in favor of PM USA. In April 2011, the trial court in the *B. Allen* case declared a mistrial after the jury failed to reach a verdict.

On July 19, 2011, the jury in the *Weingart* case returned a verdict in favor of PM USA.

The chart below lists the verdicts and post-trial developments in the *Engle* progeny cases that were pending during 2011 in which verdicts were returned in favor of plaintiffs.

| Date          | Plaintiff    | Verdict   | Post-Trial Developments   |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|
| April 2011    | <i>Allen</i> | A Duval County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiffs and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds. The jury awarded a total of \$6 million in compensatory damages and allocated 15% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of \$900,000). The jury also awarded \$17 million in punitive damages against each of the defendants. | On May 6, 2011, the defendants filed various post-trial motions.  |
| April 2011    | <i>Tullo</i> | A Palm Beach County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA, Lorillard Tobacco Company and Liggett Group. The jury awarded a total of \$4.5 million in compensatory damages and allocated 45% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of \$2,025,000).   | In April 2011, the trial court entered final judgment. On July 21, 2011, PM USA filed its notice of appeal. |
| February 2011 | <i>Huish</i> | An Alachua County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA. The jury awarded  | In March 2011, the trial court entered final judgment. PM USA filed post-trial motions, which               |



**Table of Contents**

## Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

| <b>Date</b>   | <b>Plaintiff</b>     | <b>Verdict</b>   | <b>Post-Trial Developments</b>  |
|---------------|----------------------|--|---|
|               |                      | \$750,000 in compensatory damages and allocated 25% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of \$187,500). The jury also awarded \$1.5 million in punitive damages against PM USA.   | were denied in April 2011. In May 2011, PM USA filed its notice of appeal and posted a \$1.7 million appeal bond.   |
| February 2011 | <i>Hatziyannakis</i> | A Broward County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA. The jury awarded approximately \$270,000 in compensatory damages and allocated 32% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of approximately \$86,000).  | In April 2011, the trial court denied PM USA's post-trial motions for a new trial and to set aside the verdict. In June 2011, PM USA filed its notice of appeal and posted an \$86,000 appeal bond.           |
| August 2010   | <i>Piendle</i>       | A Palm Beach County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds. The jury awarded \$4 million in compensatory damages and allocated 27.5% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of approximately \$1.1 million). The jury also awarded \$90,000 in punitive damages against PM USA.                           | In September 2010, the trial court entered final judgment. In January 2011, the trial court denied the parties' post-trial motions. PM USA filed its notice of appeal and posted a \$1.2 million appeal bond. |
| July 2010     | <i>Tate</i>          | A Broward County jury in the <i>Tate</i> trial returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff and against PM USA. The jury awarded \$8 million in compensatory damages and allocated 64% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of approximately \$5.1 million). The jury also awarded approximately \$16.3 million in punitive damages against PM USA. | In August 2010, the trial court entered final judgment, and PM USA filed its notice of appeal and posted a \$5 million appeal bond.   |
| April 2010    | <i>Putney</i>        | A Broward County jury in the <i>Putney</i> trial returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff and against PM USA, R.J. Reynolds and Liggett Group. The jury awarded approximately \$15.1 million in compensatory damages and allocated 15% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of approximately \$2.3 million). The jury also                      | In August 2010, the trial court entered final judgment. PM USA filed its notice of appeal and posted a \$1.6 million appeal bond.   |

-33-

**Table of Contents**

## Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

| <b>Date</b>   | <b>Plaintiff</b>   | <b>Verdict</b>   | <b>Post-Trial Developments</b>   |
|---------------|--------------------|--|--|
|               |                    | awarded \$2.5 million in punitive damages against PM USA.  |  |
| March 2010    | <i>R. Cohen</i>    | A Broward County jury in the <i>R. Cohen</i> trial returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds. The jury awarded \$10 million in compensatory damages and allocated 33 1/3% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of approximately \$3.3 million). The jury also awarded a total of \$20 million in punitive damages, assessing separate \$10 million awards against each defendant. | In July 2010, the trial court entered final judgment and, in August 2010, PM USA filed its notice of appeal. In October 2010, PM USA posted a \$2.5 million appeal bond.   |
| March 2010    | <i>Douglas</i>     | The jury in the <i>Douglas</i> trial (conducted in Hillsborough County) returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff and against PM USA, R.J. Reynolds and Liggett Group. The jury awarded \$5 million in compensatory damages. Punitive damages were dismissed prior to trial. The jury allocated 18% of the fault to PM USA, resulting in an award of \$900,000.   | In June 2010, PM USA filed its notice of appeal and posted a \$900,000 appeal bond. In September 2010, the plaintiff filed with the trial court a challenge to the constitutionality of the Florida bond cap statute.  |
| November 2009 | <i>Naugle</i>      | A Broward County jury in the <i>Naugle</i> trial returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff and against PM USA. The jury awarded approximately \$56.6 million in compensatory damages and \$244 million in punitive damages. The jury allocated 90% of the fault to PM USA.  | In March 2010, the trial court entered final judgment reflecting a reduced award of approximately \$13 million in compensatory damages and \$26 million in punitive damages. In April 2010, PM USA filed its notice of appeal and posted a \$5 million appeal bond. In August 2010, upon the motion of PM USA, the trial court entered an amended final judgment of approximately \$12.3 million in compensatory damages and approximately \$24.5 million in punitive damages to correct a clerical error. The case remains on appeal. |
| August        | <i>F. Campbell</i> | The jury in the <i>F. Campbell</i> trial   | In January 2010, defendants  |

**Table of Contents**

## Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

| Date          | Plaintiff        | Verdict   | Post-Trial Developments  |
|---------------|------------------|---|--|
| 2009          |                  | (conducted in Escambia County) returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff and against R.J. Reynolds, PM USA and Liggett Group. The jury awarded \$7.8 million in compensatory damages. In September 2009, the trial court entered final judgment and awarded the plaintiff \$156,000 in damages against PM USA due to the jury allocating only 2% of the fault to PM USA.   | filed their notice of appeal, and PM USA posted a \$156,000 appeal bond. In March 2011, the Florida First District Court of Appeals affirmed <i>per curiam</i> (with citation) the trial court's decision without issuing an opinion. PM USA's motion to certify its decision to the Florida Supreme Court as a matter of public importance was denied by the Court of Appeals on May 13, 2011. On June 13, 2011, PM USA filed a petition for discretionary review with the Florida Supreme Court, and on June 24, 2011, the Florida Supreme Court stayed the case pending its disposition of the <i>Martin</i> case against R. J. Reynolds described above and below. On July 19, 2011, the Florida Supreme Court declined to hear R.J. Reynolds appeal in <i>Martin</i> and, on July 21, 2011, declined to hear PM USA's appeal in <i>Campbell</i> . |
| August 2009   | <i>Barbanell</i> | A Broward County jury in the <i>Barbanell</i> trial returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiff, awarding \$5.3 million in compensatory damages. The judge had previously dismissed the punitive damages claim. In September 2009, the trial court entered final judgment and awarded plaintiff \$1.95 million in actual damages. The judgment reduced the jury's \$5.3 million award of compensatory damages due to the jury allocating 36.5% of the fault to PM USA. | A notice of appeal was filed by PM USA in September 2009, and PM USA posted a \$1.95 million appeal bond. Argument on the merits of the appeal is set for September 7, 2011.   |
| February 2009 | <i>Hess</i>      | A Broward County jury in the <i>Hess</i> trial found in favor of plaintiffs and against PM USA. The jury awarded \$3 million in compensatory damages and \$5 million in punitive damages. In June 2009, the trial   | PM USA noticed an appeal to the Fourth District Court of Appeal in July 2009. In April 2010, the trial court signed an order releasing a previously posted bond pursuant to an   |

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

| Date | Plaintiff | Verdict   | Post-Trial Developments   |
|------|-----------|---|---|
|      |           | court entered final judgment and awarded plaintiffs \$1,260,000 in actual damages and \$5 million in punitive damages. The judgment reduced the jury's \$3 million award of compensatory damages due to the jury allocating 42% of the fault to PM USA. | agreement between the parties. The case remains on appeal to the Florida District Court of Appeals for the Fourth District. Argument was heard in March 2011. |

*Appeals of Engle Progeny Verdicts*

Plaintiffs in various *Engle* progeny cases have appealed adverse rulings or verdicts, and in some cases, PM USA has cross-appealed. PM USA's appeals of adverse verdicts are discussed in the chart above.

In December 2010, in the *Martin* case against R.J. Reynolds, the Florida First District Court of Appeals issued the first ruling by a Florida intermediate appellate court to substantively address the *Brown* decision of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit. The state district court of appeals affirmed the final judgment entered in plaintiff's favor imposing both compensatory and punitive damages. The panel held that the trial court correctly construed the Florida Supreme Court's 2006 decision in *Engle* in instructing the jury on the preclusive effect of the first phase of the *Engle* proceedings, expressly disagreeing with certain aspects of the *Brown* decision. In February 2011, the state district court of appeals denied R.J. Reynolds' request for *en banc* review and certification of the appeal to the Florida Supreme Court. In March 2011, R.J. Reynolds filed a petition for discretionary review with the Florida Supreme Court, which was denied on July 19, 2011.

*Other Smoking and Health Class Actions*

Since the dismissal in May 1996 of a purported nationwide class action brought on behalf of allegedly addicted smokers, plaintiffs have filed numerous putative smoking and health class action suits in various state and federal courts. In general, these cases purport to be brought on behalf of residents of a particular state or states (although a few cases purport to be nationwide in scope) and raise addiction claims and, in many cases, claims of physical injury as well.

Class certification has been denied or reversed by courts in 60 smoking and health class actions involving PM USA in Arkansas (1), California (2), the District of Columbia (2), Florida (2), Illinois (3), Iowa (1), Kansas (1), Louisiana (1), Maryland (1), Michigan (1), Minnesota (1), Nevada (29), New Jersey (6), New York (2), Ohio (1), Oklahoma (1), Pennsylvania (1), Puerto Rico (1), South Carolina (1), Texas (1) and Wisconsin (1).

PM USA and Altria Group, Inc. are named as defendants, along with other cigarette manufacturers, in six actions filed in the Canadian provinces of Alberta, Manitoba, Nova Scotia, Saskatchewan and British Columbia. In Saskatchewan and British Columbia, plaintiffs seek class certification on behalf of individuals who suffer or have suffered from various diseases including chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, emphysema, heart disease or cancer after smoking defendants' cigarettes. In the actions filed in Alberta, Manitoba and Nova Scotia, plaintiffs seek certification of classes of all individuals who smoked defendants' cigarettes. See *Guarantees* for a discussion of the Distribution Agreement between Altria Group, Inc. and PMI that provides for indemnities for certain liabilities concerning tobacco products.

---

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

*Scott Class Action*

In July 2003, following the first phase of the trial in the *Scott* class action, in which plaintiffs sought creation of a fund to pay for medical monitoring and smoking cessation programs, a Louisiana jury returned a verdict in favor of defendants, including PM USA, in connection with plaintiffs' medical monitoring claims, but also found that plaintiffs could benefit from smoking cessation assistance. The jury also found that cigarettes as designed are not defective but that the defendants failed to disclose all they knew about smoking and diseases and marketed their products to minors. In May 2004, in the second phase of the trial, the jury awarded plaintiffs approximately \$590 million against all defendants jointly and severally, to fund a 10-year smoking cessation program.

In June 2004, the court entered judgment, which awarded plaintiffs the approximately \$590 million jury award plus prejudgment interest accruing from the date the suit commenced. PM USA's share of the jury award and prejudgment interest was not allocated. Defendants, including PM USA, appealed. Pursuant to a stipulation of the parties, the trial court entered an order setting the amount of the bond at \$50 million for all defendants in accordance with an article of the Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure, and a Louisiana statute (the bond cap law), fixing the amount of security in civil cases involving a signatory to the MSA. Under the terms of the stipulation, plaintiffs reserve the right to contest, at a later date, the sufficiency or amount of the bond on any grounds including the applicability or constitutionality of the bond cap law. In September 2004, defendants collectively posted a bond in the amount of \$50 million (\$12.5 million of which was posted by PM USA).

In February 2007, the Louisiana Fourth Circuit Court of Appeal issued a ruling on defendants' appeal that, among other things: affirmed class certification but limited the scope of the class; struck certain of the categories of damages included in the judgment, reducing the amount of the award by approximately \$312 million; vacated the award of prejudgment interest, which totaled approximately \$444 million as of February 15, 2007; and ruled that the only class members who are eligible to participate in the smoking cessation program are those who began smoking before, and whose claims accrued by, September 1, 1988. As a result, the Louisiana Court of Appeal remanded the case for proceedings consistent with its opinion, including further reduction of the amount of the award based on the size of the new class. In March 2007, the Louisiana Court of Appeal rejected defendants' motion for rehearing and clarification. In January 2008, the Louisiana Supreme Court denied plaintiffs' and defendants' petitions for *writ of certiorari*. In March 2008, plaintiffs filed a motion to execute the approximately \$279 million judgment plus post-judgment interest or, in the alternative, for an order to the parties to submit revised damages figures. Defendants filed a motion to have judgment entered in favor of defendants based on accrual of all class member claims after September 1, 1988 or, in the alternative, for the entry of a case management order. In April 2008, the Louisiana Supreme Court denied defendants' motion to stay proceedings and the defendants filed a petition for *writ of certiorari* with the United States Supreme Court. In June 2008, the United States Supreme Court denied the defendants' petition. Plaintiffs filed a motion to enter judgment in the amount of approximately \$280 million (subsequently changed to approximately \$264 million) and defendants filed a motion to enter judgment in their favor dismissing the case entirely or, alternatively, to enter a case management order for a new trial. In July 2008, the trial court entered an Amended Judgment and Reasons for Judgment denying both motions, but ordering defendants to deposit into the registry of the court the sum of \$263,532,762 plus post-judgment interest.

In September 2008, defendants filed an application for *writ of mandamus* or *supervisory writ* to secure the right to appeal with the Louisiana Fourth Circuit Court of Appeal, and in December 2008, the trial court entered an order permitting the appeal and approving a \$50 million bond for all defendants in accordance with the Louisiana bond cap law discussed above. In April 2009, plaintiffs filed a cross-appeal seeking to reinstate the June 2004 judgment and to award the medical monitoring rejected by the jury.

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
 Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
 (Unaudited)

In April 2010, the Louisiana Fourth Circuit Court of Appeal issued a decision that affirmed in part prior decisions ordering the defendants to fund a statewide 10-year smoking cessation program. In its decision, the Court of Appeal amended and, as amended, affirmed the amended 2008 trial court judgment and ruled that, although the trial court erred, the defendants have no right to a trial to determine, among other things, those class members with valid claims not barred by Louisiana law. After conducting its own independent review of the record, the Court of Appeal made its own factual findings with respect to liability and the amount owed, lowering the amount of the judgment to approximately \$241 million, plus interest commencing July 21, 2008, the date of entry of the amended judgment (which as of June 30, 2011 is approximately \$36 million). In its decision, the Court of Appeal disallowed approximately \$80 million in post-judgment interest. In addition, the Court of Appeal declined plaintiffs' cross appeal requests for a medical monitoring program and reinstatement of other components of the smoking cessation program. The Court of Appeal specifically reserved to the defendants the right to assert claims to any unspent or unused surplus funds at the termination of the smoking cessation program. In June 2010, defendants and plaintiffs filed separate *writ of certiorari* applications with the Louisiana Supreme Court. The Louisiana Supreme Court denied both sides' applications. In September 2010, upon defendants' application, the United States Supreme Court granted a stay of the judgment pending the defendants' filing and the Court's disposition of the defendants' petition for a *writ of certiorari*. The defendants filed their petition for a *writ of certiorari* in December 2010. On June 27, 2011, the United States Supreme Court denied the defendants' petition.

Arrangements are now being made for PM USA to pay its share of the judgment. As of March 31, 2011, PM USA had recorded a provision of \$26 million in connection with the case and had recorded additional provisions of approximately \$3.7 million related to accrued interest. After the United States Supreme Court denial of defendants' petition for *certiorari*, PM USA recorded an additional provision of approximately \$36 million related to the judgment and approximately \$5 million related to interest. As of June 30, 2011, PM USA has recorded a total of approximately \$71 million in connection with the case.

*Other Medical Monitoring Class Actions*

In addition to the *Scott* class action discussed above, three purported medical monitoring class actions are pending against PM USA. A fourth case (*Xavier*) was recently dismissed. These cases were brought in New York (*Caronia*, filed in January 2006 in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York), Massachusetts (*Donovan*, filed in December 2006 in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts), California (*Xavier*, filed in May 2010 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California), and Florida (*Gargano*, filed in November 2010 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida) on behalf of each state's respective residents who: are age 50 or older; have smoked the *Marlboro* brand for 20 pack-years or more; and have neither been diagnosed with lung cancer nor are under investigation by a physician for suspected lung cancer. Plaintiffs in these cases seek to impose liability under various product-based causes of action and the creation of a court-supervised program providing members of the purported class Low Dose CT Scanning in order to identify and diagnose lung cancer. Plaintiffs in these cases do not seek punitive damages.

In *Caronia*, in February 2010, the district court granted in part PM USA's summary judgment motion, dismissing plaintiffs' strict liability and negligence claims and certain other claims, granted plaintiffs leave to amend their complaint to allege a medical monitoring cause of action and requested further briefing on PM USA's summary judgment motion as to plaintiffs' implied warranty claim and, if plaintiffs amend their complaint, their medical monitoring claim. In March 2010, plaintiffs filed their amended complaint and PM USA moved to dismiss the implied warranty and medical monitoring claims. In January 2011, the district court granted PM USA's motion, dismissed plaintiffs' claims and declared plaintiffs' motion for class certification moot in light of the dismissal of the case. The plaintiffs have appealed that decision to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

---

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

In *Donovan*, the Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts, in answering questions certified to it by the district court, held in October 2009 that under certain circumstances state law recognizes a claim by individual smokers for medical monitoring despite the absence of an actual injury. The court also ruled that whether or not the case is barred by the applicable statute of limitations is a factual issue to be determined by the trial court. The case was remanded to federal court for further proceedings. In June 2010, the district court granted in part the plaintiffs' motion for class certification, certifying the class as to plaintiffs' claims for breach of implied warranty and violation of the Massachusetts Consumer Protection Act, but denying certification as to plaintiffs' negligence claim. In July 2010, PM USA petitioned the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit for appellate review of the class certification decision. The petition was denied in September 2010. As a remedy, plaintiffs have proposed a 28-year medical monitoring program with an approximate cost of \$190 million. In April 2011, plaintiffs moved to amend their class certification to extend the cut-off date for individuals to satisfy the class membership criteria from December 14, 2006 to August 1, 2011. The district court granted this motion on May 3, 2011. Trial has been postponed. On June 20, 2011, plaintiffs filed various motions for summary judgment and to strike affirmative defenses.

In *Xavier*, in October 2010, the trial court granted PM USA's motion to dismiss plaintiffs' unfair competition claim and independent medical monitoring cause of action. In February 2011, plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification, and PM USA filed various motions, including several motions for summary judgment. In April 2011, the district court denied PM USA's motion for judgment on the pleadings, granted in part PM USA's motion for summary judgment and denied plaintiffs' motion for class certification. Plaintiffs petitioned the United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit for permission to appeal the trial court's order denying class certification. The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeal issued an order declining to hear plaintiffs' appeal on June 29, 2011. On July 5, 2011, plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed their case, concluding this litigation.

In *Gargano*, PM USA filed a motion to dismiss in December 2010. In January 2011, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint with the trial court's permission. In February 2011, PM USA filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint. The district court granted this motion on June 21, 2011, with leave for plaintiffs to replead. On July 11, 2011, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint.

Evolving medical standards and practices could have an impact on the defense of medical monitoring claims. For example, the first publication of the findings of the National Cancer Institute's National Lung Screening Trial (NLST) in June 2011 reported a 20% reduction in lung cancer deaths among certain long term smokers receiving Low Dose CT Scanning for lung cancer. It is possible that some agencies and organizations could consider lung cancer screening recommendations based on these and other findings.

### **Health Care Cost Recovery Litigation**

#### *Overview*

In health care cost recovery litigation, governmental entities and non-governmental plaintiffs seek reimbursement of health care cost expenditures allegedly caused by tobacco products and, in some cases, of future expenditures and damages as well. Relief sought by some but not all plaintiffs includes punitive damages, multiple damages and other statutory damages and penalties, injunctions prohibiting alleged marketing and sales to minors, disclosure of research, disgorgement of profits, funding of anti-smoking programs, additional disclosure of nicotine yields, and payment of attorney and expert witness fees.

The claims asserted include the claim that cigarette manufacturers were unjustly enriched by plaintiffs' payment of health care costs allegedly attributable to smoking, as well as claims of indemnity, negligence, strict liability, breach of express and implied warranty, violation of a voluntary undertaking or special duty, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, public nuisance, claims under federal and state statutes

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

governing consumer fraud, antitrust, deceptive trade practices and false advertising, and claims under federal and state anti-racketeering statutes.

Defenses raised include lack of proximate cause, remoteness of injury, failure to state a valid claim, lack of benefit, adequate remedy at law, unclean hands (namely, that plaintiffs cannot obtain equitable relief because they participated in, and benefited from, the sale of cigarettes), lack of antitrust standing and injury, federal preemption, lack of statutory authority to bring suit, and statutes of limitations. In addition, defendants argue that they should be entitled to set off any alleged damages to the extent the plaintiffs benefit economically from the sale of cigarettes through the receipt of excise taxes or otherwise. Defendants also argue that these cases are improper because plaintiffs must proceed under principles of subrogation and assignment. Under traditional theories of recovery, a payor of medical costs (such as an insurer) can seek recovery of health care costs from a third party solely by standing in the shoes of the injured party. Defendants argue that plaintiffs should be required to bring any actions as subrogees of individual health care recipients and should be subject to all defenses available against the injured party.

Although there have been some decisions to the contrary, most judicial decisions have dismissed all or most health care cost recovery claims against cigarette manufacturers. Nine federal circuit courts of appeals and eight state appellate courts, relying primarily on grounds that plaintiffs claims were too remote, have ordered or affirmed dismissals of health care cost recovery actions. The United States Supreme Court has refused to consider plaintiffs appeals from the cases decided by five circuit courts of appeals.

In March 1999, in the first health care cost recovery case to go to trial, an Ohio jury returned a verdict in favor of defendants on all counts. In addition, a \$17.8 million verdict against defendants (including \$6.8 million against PM USA) was reversed in a health care cost recovery case in New York, and all claims were dismissed with prejudice in February 2005 (*Blue Cross/Blue Shield*).

In the health care cost recovery case brought by the City of St. Louis, Missouri and approximately 40 Missouri hospitals, in which PM USA is a defendant (*City of St. Louis*), the trial court in July 2010, granted defendants motion for summary judgment with respect to certain of plaintiffs claims on the grounds that they were preempted. The court had earlier denied a number of other summary judgment motions by defendants and denied plaintiffs motion for summary judgment claiming collateral estoppel from the findings in the case brought by the Department of Justice (see *Federal Government's Lawsuit* described below). The court also had previously granted defendants motion for partial summary judgment on plaintiffs claim for future damages (although in November 2010, the trial court ruled that the damages period for the case would extend through December 31, 2010). In September 2010, the trial court denied several of defendants summary judgment motions, but granted defendants motion seeking to prevent plaintiffs from recovering the present value of their damages, which are alleged to amount to approximately \$300 million. In October 2010, the trial court granted defendants summary judgment with respect to plaintiffs fraud and negligent misrepresentation claims. On April 8, 2011, USSTC and Altria Group, Inc. were dismissed from the case. On April 29, 2011, the jury returned a verdict in favor of the defendants on all counts. On June 10, 2011, the parties to the litigation and the trial court entered into a consent judgment pursuant to which the plaintiffs waived all rights to appeal in exchange for the defendants waiver of any claim for costs. This litigation has now concluded.

Individuals and associations have also sued in purported class actions or as private attorneys general under the Medicare as Secondary Payer (MSP) provisions of the Social Security Act to recover from defendants Medicare expenditures allegedly incurred for the treatment of smoking-related diseases. Cases have been brought in New York (2), Florida (2) and Massachusetts (1). All were dismissed by federal courts.

In addition to the cases brought in the United States, health care cost recovery actions have also been brought against tobacco industry participants, including PM USA and Altria Group, Inc., in Israel (1), the



**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
 Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
 (Unaudited)

Marshall Islands (dismissed), and Canada (4), and other entities have stated that they are considering filing such actions. In the case in Israel, the defendants' appeal of the district court's denial of their motion to dismiss was heard by the Israel Supreme Court in March 2005. On July 13, 2011, the Israel Supreme Court reversed the trial court's decision and dismissed the case. The plaintiff has advised that it intends to file a motion for rehearing. In September 2005, in the first of the four health care cost recovery cases filed in Canada, the Canadian Supreme Court ruled that legislation passed in British Columbia permitting the lawsuit is constitutional, and, as a result, the case, which had previously been dismissed by the trial court, was permitted to proceed. PM USA's and other defendants' challenge to the British Columbia court's exercise of jurisdiction was rejected by the Court of Appeals of British Columbia and, in April 2007, the Supreme Court of Canada denied review of that decision. In December 2009, the Court of Appeals of British Columbia ruled that certain defendants can proceed against the Federal Government of Canada as third parties on the theory that the Federal Government of Canada negligently misrepresented to defendants the efficacy of a low tar tobacco variety that the Federal Government of Canada developed and licensed to defendants. In May 2010, the Supreme Court of Canada granted leave to the Federal Government of Canada to appeal this decision and leave to defendants to cross-appeal the Court of Appeals' decision to dismiss claims against the Federal Government of Canada based on other theories of liability. The Supreme Court of Canada heard the appeal in February 2011. During 2008, the Province of New Brunswick, Canada, proclaimed into law previously adopted legislation allowing reimbursement claims to be brought against cigarette manufacturers, and it filed suit shortly thereafter. In September 2009, the Province of Ontario, Canada, filed suit against a number of cigarette manufacturers based on previously adopted legislation nearly identical in substance to the New Brunswick health care cost recovery legislation. In February 2011, the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador filed a case substantially similar to the ones brought by New Brunswick and Ontario. PM USA is named as a defendant in the British Columbia case, while Altria Group, Inc. and PM USA are named as defendants in the New Brunswick, Ontario and Newfoundland cases. Several other provinces and territories in Canada have enacted similar legislation or are in the process of enacting similar legislation. See *Guarantees* for a discussion of the Distribution Agreement between Altria Group, Inc. and PMI that provides for indemnities for certain liabilities concerning tobacco products.

*Settlements of Health Care Cost Recovery Litigation*

In November 1998, PM USA and certain other United States tobacco product manufacturers entered into the MSA with 46 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, the United States Virgin Islands, American Samoa and the Northern Marianas to settle asserted and unasserted health care cost recovery and other claims. PM USA and certain other United States tobacco product manufacturers had previously settled similar claims brought by Mississippi, Florida, Texas and Minnesota (together with the MSA, the State Settlement Agreements). The State Settlement Agreements require that the original participating manufacturers make substantial annual payments of approximately \$9.4 billion each year, subject to adjustments for several factors, including inflation, market share and industry volume. In addition, the original participating manufacturers are required to pay settling plaintiffs' attorneys' fees, subject to an annual cap of \$500 million. For the three months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, the aggregate amount recorded in cost of sales with respect to the State Settlement Agreements and the Fair and Equitable Tobacco Reform Act of 2004 (FETRA) was approximately \$1.3 billion and \$1.2 billion, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, the aggregate amount recorded in cost of sales with respect to the State Settlement Agreements and FETRA was approximately \$2.4 billion for each period.

The State Settlement Agreements also include provisions relating to advertising and marketing restrictions, public disclosure of certain industry documents, limitations on challenges to certain tobacco control and underage use laws, restrictions on lobbying activities and other provisions.

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

*Possible Adjustments in MSA Payments for 2003 to 2010*

Pursuant to the provisions of the MSA, domestic tobacco product manufacturers, including PM USA, who are original signatories to the MSA (the Original Participating Manufacturers or OPMs) are participating in proceedings that may result in downward adjustments to the amounts paid by the OPMs and the other MSA-participating manufacturers to the states and territories that are parties to the MSA for each of the years 2003 to 2009. The proceedings relate to an MSA payment adjustment (the NPM Adjustment) based on the collective loss of market share for the relevant year by all participating manufacturers who are subject to the payment obligations and marketing restrictions of the MSA to non-participating manufacturers (NPMs) who are not subject to such obligations and restrictions.

As part of these proceedings, an independent economic consulting firm jointly selected by the MSA parties or otherwise selected pursuant to the MSA's provisions is required to determine whether the disadvantages of the MSA were a significant factor contributing to the participating manufacturers' collective loss of market share for the year in question. If the firm determines that the disadvantages of the MSA were such a significant factor, each state may avoid a downward adjustment to its share of the participating manufacturers' annual payments for that year by establishing that it diligently enforced a qualifying escrow statute during the entirety of that year. Any potential downward adjustment would then be reallocated to any states that do not establish such diligent enforcement. PM USA believes that the MSA's arbitration clause requires a state to submit its claim to have diligently enforced a qualifying escrow statute to binding arbitration before a panel of three former federal judges in the manner provided for in the MSA. A number of states have taken the position that this claim should be decided in state court on a state-by-state basis.

An independent economic consulting firm, jointly selected by the MSA parties, determined that the disadvantages of the MSA were a significant factor contributing to the participating manufacturers' collective loss of market share for each of the years 2003-2005. A different independent economic consulting firm, jointly selected by the MSA parties, determined that the disadvantages of the MSA were a significant factor contributing to the participating manufacturers' collective loss of market share for the year 2006. Following the determination for 2006, the OPMs and the states agreed that the states would not contest that the disadvantages of the MSA were a significant factor contributing to the participating manufacturers' collective loss of market share for the years 2007, 2008 and 2009. Accordingly, the OPMs and the states have agreed that no significant factor determination by an independent economic consulting firm will be necessary with respect to the participating manufacturers' collective loss of market share for the years 2007, 2008 and 2009. This agreement became effective for 2007 and 2008 on February 1, 2010 and February 1, 2011, respectively, and will become effective for 2009 on February 1, 2012. The MSA's Independent Auditor has determined that the participating manufacturers collectively lost market share for 2010. A proceeding before an economic consulting firm with respect to a significant factor determination for the loss of market share for 2010 cannot be commenced until April 2012.

Following the significant factor determination with respect to 2003, thirty-eight states filed declaratory judgment actions in state courts seeking a declaration that the state diligently enforced its escrow statute during 2003. The OPMs and other MSA-participating manufacturers responded to these actions by filing motions to compel arbitration in accordance with the terms of the MSA, including filing motions to compel arbitration in eleven MSA states and territories that did not file declaratory judgment actions. Courts in all but one of the forty-six MSA states and the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico have ruled that the question of whether a state diligently enforced its escrow statute during 2003 is subject to arbitration. Several of these rulings may be subject to further review. One state court (in *State of Montana*) has ruled that the diligent enforcement claims of that state may be litigated in state court, rather than in arbitration. In January 2010, the OPMs filed a petition for a *writ of certiorari* in the United States Supreme Court seeking further review of the Montana decision holding that a state's diligent enforcement claims may be litigated in state court, rather than in arbitration. The petition was denied in June 2010. Following the denial of this

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

petition, Montana renewed an action in its state court seeking a declaratory judgment that it diligently enforced its escrow statute during 2003 and other relief. The OPMs moved to stay that action and in January 2011 the state court granted the OPMs' motion. Montana has filed a petition in the Montana Supreme Court requesting that the stay be lifted. The petition was granted on May 24, 2011, and the case is now proceeding in the trial court.

PM USA, the other OPMs and approximately twenty-five other MSA-participating manufacturers have entered into an agreement regarding arbitration with forty-five MSA states concerning the 2003 NPM Adjustment, including the states' claims of diligent enforcement for 2003. The agreement further provides for a partial liability reduction for the 2003 NPM Adjustment for states that entered into the agreement by January 30, 2009 and are determined in the arbitration not to have diligently enforced a qualifying escrow statute during 2003. Based on the number of states that entered into the agreement by January 30, 2009 (forty-five), the partial liability reduction for those states is 20%. The partial liability reduction would reduce the amount of PM USA's 2003 NPM Adjustment by up to a corresponding percentage. The selection of the arbitration panel for the 2003 NPM Adjustment was completed in July 2010, and the arbitration is currently ongoing. Proceedings to determine state diligent enforcement claims for the years 2004 through 2009 have not yet been scheduled.

Once a significant factor determination in favor of the participating manufacturers for a particular year has been made by an economic consulting firm, or the states' agreement not to contest significant factor for a particular year has become effective, PM USA has the right under the MSA to pay the disputed amount of the NPM Adjustment for that year into a disputed payments account or withhold it altogether. PM USA has made its full MSA payment due in each year from 2006 - 2010 to the states (subject to a right to recoup the NPM Adjustment amount in the form of a credit against future MSA payments), even though it had the right to deduct the disputed amounts of the 2003 - 2007 NPM Adjustments, as described above, from such MSA payments. PM USA paid its share of the amount of the disputed 2008 NPM Adjustment shown below into the MSA's disputed payments account in connection with its MSA payment due in 2011. The approximate maximum principal amounts of PM USA's share of the disputed NPM Adjustment for the years 2003 through 2009, as currently calculated by the MSA's Independent Auditor, are as follows (the amounts shown below do not include the interest or earnings thereon to which PM USA believes it would be entitled in the manner provided in the MSA):

|   |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Year for which NPM Adjustment calculated                            | 2003   | 2004   | 2005   | 2006   | 2007   | 2008   | 2009   |
| Year in which deduction for NPM Adjustment may be taken             | 2006   | 2007   | 2008   | 2009   | 2010   | 2011   | 2012   |
| PM USA's Approximate Share of Disputed NPM Adjustment (in millions) | \$ 337 | \$ 388 | \$ 181 | \$ 154 | \$ 207 | \$ 267 | \$ 211 |

---

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

As indicated above, the MSA's Independent Auditor has determined that the participating manufacturers have collectively lost market share for 2010, but a significant factor proceeding for a 2010 NPM Adjustment cannot be commenced until April 2012. Based on the Independent Auditor's current calculations, the approximate maximum principal amount of PM USA's share of any NPM Adjustment for 2010 would be \$209 million.

The foregoing amounts may be recalculated by the Independent Auditor if it receives information that is different from or in addition to the information on which it based these calculations, including, among other things, if it receives revised sales volumes from any participating manufacturer. Disputes among the manufacturers could also reduce the foregoing amounts. The availability and the precise amount of any NPM Adjustment for 2003-2010 will not be finally determined until 2012 or thereafter. There is no certainty that the OPMs and other MSA-participating manufacturers will ultimately receive any adjustment as a result of these proceedings, and the amount of any adjustment received for a year could be less than the amount for that year listed above. If the OPMs do receive such an adjustment through these proceedings, the adjustment would be allocated among the OPMs pursuant to the MSA's provisions. It is expected that PM USA would receive its share of any adjustments for 2003-2007 in the form of a credit against future MSA payments and its share of any adjustment for 2008 in the form of a withdrawal from the disputed payments account.

PM USA intends to pursue vigorously the disputed NPM Adjustments for 2003-2010 through the proceedings described above. PM USA would be willing, however, to enter into a settlement of those disputed NPM adjustments if it determined that such a settlement were in its best interests.

*Other MSA-Related Litigation*

PM USA was named as a defendant in an action (*Vibo*) brought in October 2008 in federal court in Kentucky by an MSA participating manufacturer that is not an OPM. Other defendants include various other participating manufacturers and the Attorneys General of all 52 states and territories that are parties to the MSA. The plaintiff alleged that certain of the MSA's payment provisions discriminate against it in favor of certain other participating manufacturers in violation of the federal antitrust laws and the United States Constitution. The plaintiff also sought injunctive relief, alteration of certain MSA payment provisions as applied to it, treble damages under the federal antitrust laws, and/or rescission of its joinder in the MSA. The plaintiff also filed a motion for a preliminary injunction enjoining the states from enforcing the allegedly discriminatory payment provisions against it during the pendency of the action. In January 2009, the district court dismissed the complaint and denied plaintiff's request for preliminary injunctive relief. In January 2010, the court entered final judgment dismissing the case. Plaintiff has appealed this decision to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit.

Without naming PM USA or any other private party as a defendant, NPMs and/or their distributors or customers have filed several legal challenges to the MSA and related legislation. New York state officials were defendants in a lawsuit (*Freedom Holdings*) filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York in which cigarette importers allege that the MSA and/or related legislation violates federal antitrust laws and the Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution. In a separate proceeding pending in the same court (*Pryor*), plaintiffs assert the same theories against not only New York officials but also the Attorneys General for thirty other states. The United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit has held that the allegations in both actions, if proven, establish a basis for relief on antitrust and Commerce Clause grounds and that the trial courts in New York have personal jurisdiction sufficient to enjoin other states' officials from enforcing their MSA-related legislation. On remand in *Freedom Holdings*, the trial court granted summary judgment for the New York officials and lifted a preliminary injunction against New York officials' enforcement against plaintiffs of the state's allocable share amendment to the

---

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

MSA's Model Escrow Statute. The United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit affirmed that decision in October 2010. Plaintiffs petition before the United States Supreme Court for a *writ of certiorari* was denied in March 2011. The *Freedom Holdings* litigation has thus concluded. On remand in *Pryor*, the trial court held that plaintiffs are unlikely to succeed on the merits and refused to enjoin the enforcement of New York's allocable share amendment to the MSA's Model Escrow Statute. That decision was affirmed by the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. The parties in that case have filed cross-motions for summary judgment, and the trial court heard oral argument on those motions in April 2010. In March 2011, the *Pryor* trial court granted summary judgment on all claims for the New York state officials. Plaintiffs have filed a motion to modify the judgment and a notice of appeal.

In another action (*Xcaliber*), the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit reversed a trial court's dismissal of challenges to MSA-related legislation in Louisiana under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. On remand in that case, and in another case filed against the Louisiana Attorney General (*S&M Brands*), the trial courts granted summary judgment for the Louisiana Attorney General. The United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit affirmed those judgments in decisions issued in July 2010 and August 2010. Plaintiffs in the *S&M Brands* case filed a petition for a *writ of certiorari* in the United States Supreme Court in November 2010, which petition was denied in March 2011. The *Xcaliber* and *S&M Brands* cases are both now concluded.

In addition to the Second and Fifth Circuit decisions above, the United States Courts of Appeals for the Sixth, Eighth, Ninth and Tenth Circuits have affirmed dismissals or grants of summary judgment in favor of state officials in four other cases asserting antitrust and constitutional challenges to the allocable share amendment legislation in those states.

Another proceeding (*Grand River*) had been initiated before an international arbitration tribunal under the provisions of the North American Free Trade Agreement. A hearing on the merits concluded in February 2010. In January 2011, the arbitration tribunal rejected the claims against the United States challenging MSA-related legislation in various states. This litigation has concluded.

*Federal Government's Lawsuit*

In 1999, the United States government filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia against various cigarette manufacturers, including PM USA, and others, including Altria Group, Inc. asserting claims under three federal statutes, namely the Medical Care Recovery Act (MCRA), the MSP provisions of the Social Security Act and the civil provisions of RICO. Trial of the case ended in June 2005. The lawsuit sought to recover an unspecified amount of health care costs for tobacco-related illnesses allegedly caused by defendants' fraudulent and tortious conduct and paid for by the government under various federal health care programs, including Medicare, military and veterans' health benefits programs, and the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program. The complaint alleged that such costs total more than \$20 billion annually. It also sought what it alleged to be equitable and declaratory relief, including disgorgement of profits which arose from defendants' allegedly tortious conduct, an injunction prohibiting certain actions by the defendants, and a declaration that the defendants are liable for the federal government's future costs of providing health care resulting from defendants' alleged past tortious and wrongful conduct. In September 2000, the trial court dismissed the government's MCRA and MSP claims, but permitted discovery to proceed on the government's claims for relief under the civil provisions of RICO.

The government alleged that disgorgement by defendants of approximately \$280 billion is an appropriate remedy. In May 2004, the trial court issued an order denying defendants' motion for partial summary judgment limiting the disgorgement remedy. In February 2005, a panel of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit held that disgorgement is not a remedy available to the government under the civil provisions of RICO and entered summary judgment in favor of defendants with

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

respect to the disgorgement claim. In April 2005, the Court of Appeals denied the government's motion for rehearing. In July 2005, the government petitioned the United States Supreme Court for further review of the Court of Appeals' ruling that disgorgement is not an available remedy, and in October 2005, the Supreme Court denied the petition.

In June 2005, the government filed with the trial court its proposed final judgment seeking remedies of approximately \$14 billion, including \$10 billion over a five-year period to fund a national smoking cessation program and \$4 billion over a ten-year period to fund a public education and counter-marketing campaign. Further, the government's proposed remedy would have required defendants to pay additional monies to these programs if targeted reductions in the smoking rate of those under 21 are not achieved according to a prescribed timetable. The government's proposed remedies also included a series of measures and restrictions applicable to cigarette business operations including, but not limited to, restrictions on advertising and marketing, potential measures with respect to certain price promotional activities and research and development, disclosure requirements for certain confidential data and implementation of a monitoring system with potential broad powers over cigarette operations.

In August 2006, the federal trial court entered judgment in favor of the government. The court held that certain defendants, including Altria Group, Inc. and PM USA, violated RICO and engaged in 7 of the 8 sub-schemes to defraud that the government had alleged. Specifically, the court found that:

defendants falsely denied, distorted and minimized the significant adverse health consequences of smoking;

defendants hid from the public that cigarette smoking and nicotine are addictive;

defendants falsely denied that they control the level of nicotine delivered to create and sustain addiction;

defendants falsely marketed and promoted low tar/light cigarettes as less harmful than full-flavor cigarettes;

defendants falsely denied that they intentionally marketed to youth;

defendants publicly and falsely denied that ETS is hazardous to non-smokers; and

defendants suppressed scientific research.

The court did not impose monetary penalties on the defendants, but ordered the following relief: (i) an injunction against committing any act of racketeering relating to the manufacturing, marketing, promotion, health consequences or sale of cigarettes in the United States; (ii) an injunction against participating directly or indirectly in the management or control of the Council for Tobacco Research, the Tobacco Institute, or the Center for Indoor Air Research, or any successor or affiliated entities of each; (iii) an injunction against making, or causing to be made in any way, any material false, misleading, or deceptive statement or representation or engaging in any public relations or marketing endeavor that is



---

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

disseminated to the United States public and that misrepresents or suppresses information concerning cigarettes ; (iv) an injunction against conveying any express or implied health message through use of descriptors on cigarette packaging or in cigarette advertising or promotional material, including lights, ultra lights and low tar, which the court found could cause consumers to believe one cigarette brand is less hazardous than another brand; (v) the issuance of corrective statements in various media regarding the adverse health effects of smoking, the addictiveness of smoking and nicotine, the lack of any significant health benefit from smoking low tar or light cigarettes, defendants manipulation of cigarette design to ensure optimum nicotine delivery and the adverse health effects of exposure to environmental tobacco smoke; (vi) the disclosure on defendants public document websites and in the Minnesota document repository of all documents produced to the government in the lawsuit or produced in any future court or administrative action concerning smoking and health until 2021, with certain additional requirements as to documents withheld from production under a claim of privilege or confidentiality; (vii) the disclosure of disaggregated marketing data to the government in the same form and on the same schedule as defendants now follow in disclosing such data to the Federal Trade Commission ( FTC ) for a period of ten years; (viii) certain restrictions on the sale or transfer by defendants of any cigarette brands, brand names, formulas or cigarette businesses within the United States; and (ix) payment of the government s costs in bringing the action.

The defendants appealed and, in May 2009, a three judge panel of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit issued a *per curiam* decision largely affirming the trial court s judgment against defendants and in favor of the government. Although the panel largely affirmed the remedial order that was issued by the trial court, it vacated the following aspects of the order:

its application to defendants subsidiaries;

the prohibition on the use of express or implied health messages or health descriptors, but only to the extent of extraterritorial application;

its point-of-sale display provisions; and

its application to Brown & Williamson Holdings.

The Court of Appeals panel remanded the case for the trial court to reconsider these four aspects of the injunction and to reformulate its remedial order accordingly.

Furthermore, the Court of Appeals panel rejected all of the government s and intervenors cross appeal arguments and refused to broaden the remedial order entered by the trial court. The Court of Appeals panel also left undisturbed its prior holding that the government cannot obtain disgorgement as a permissible remedy under RICO.

In July 2009, defendants filed petitions for a rehearing before the panel and for a rehearing by the entire Court of Appeals. Defendants also filed a motion to vacate portions of the trial court s judgment on the grounds of mootness because of the passage of the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act ( FSPTCA ), granting the United States Food and Drug Administration broad authority over the regulation of tobacco products. In September 2009, the Court of Appeals entered three *per curiam* rulings. Two of them denied defendants petitions for panel rehearing or for rehearing *en banc*. In the third *per curiam* decision, the Court of Appeals denied defendants suggestion of mootness and motion for partial *vacatur*.



---

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

The Court of Appeals subsequently granted motions staying the issuance of its mandate pending the filing and disposition of petitions for *writs of certiorari* to the United States Supreme Court. In February 2010, PM USA and Altria Group, Inc. filed their *certiorari* petitions with the United States Supreme Court. In addition, the federal government and the intervenors filed their own *certiorari* petitions, asking the court to reverse an earlier Court of Appeals decision and hold that civil RICO allows the trial court to order disgorgement as well as other equitable relief, such as smoking cessation remedies, designed to redress continuing consequences of prior RICO violations. In June 2010, the United States Supreme Court denied all of the parties' petitions. In July 2010, the Court of Appeals issued its mandate lifting the stay of the trial court's judgment and remanding the case to the trial court. As a result of the mandate, except for those matters remanded to the trial court for further proceedings, defendants are now subject to the injunction discussed above and the other elements of the trial court's judgment.

In December 2010, the trial court issued an order that, among other things, confirmed that the Council for Tobacco Research and the Tobacco Institute are dismissed from the case. In February 2011, the government submitted its proposed corrective statements and the trial court referred issues relating to a document repository to a special master. In March 2011, the defendants filed a response to the government's proposed corrective statements and filed a motion to vacate the trial court's injunction in light of the FSPTCA. In April 2011, the defendants filed a motion on the exclusivity of the court's jurisdiction to enforce the injunction.

On June 1, 2011, the trial court denied the defendants' motion to vacate the trial court's injunction in light of the FSPTCA. The defendants have appealed the trial court's ruling to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. On June 22, 2011, the trial court denied defendants' motion on the exclusivity of the court's jurisdiction to enforce the injunction.

**Lights/Ultra Lights Cases**

*Overview*

Plaintiffs in certain pending matters seek certification of their cases as class actions and allege, among other things, that the uses of the terms "Lights" and/or "Ultra Lights" constitute deceptive and unfair trade practices, common law fraud, or RICO violations, and seek injunctive and equitable relief, including restitution and, in certain cases, punitive damages. These class actions have been brought against PM USA and, in certain instances, Altria Group, Inc. or its subsidiaries, on behalf of individuals who purchased and consumed various brands of cigarettes, including *Marlboro* Lights, *Marlboro* Ultra Lights, *Virginia Slims* Lights and Superslims, *Merit* Lights and *Cambridge* Lights. Defenses raised in these cases include lack of misrepresentation, lack of causation, injury, and damages, the statute of limitations, express preemption by the Federal Cigarette Labeling and Advertising Act ( "FCLAA" ) and implied preemption by the policies and directives of the FTC, non-liability under state statutory provisions exempting conduct that complies with federal regulatory directives, and the First Amendment. As of July 25, 2011, a total of nineteen such cases were pending in the United States. Four of these cases, as well as a fifth case (*Cabbat*) that was never served, were pending in a multidistrict litigation proceeding in a single U.S. federal court as discussed below. The other cases were pending in various U.S. state courts. In addition, a purported "Lights" class action is pending against PM USA in Israel. Other entities have stated that they are considering filing such actions against Altria Group, Inc. and PM USA.

In the one "Lights" case pending in Israel, hearings on plaintiffs' motion for class certification were held in November and December 2008. An additional hearing on class certification is scheduled for November 2011. See *Guarantees* for a discussion of the Distribution Agreement between Altria Group, Inc. and PMI that provides for indemnities for certain liabilities concerning tobacco products.

---

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

*The Good Case*

In May 2006, a federal trial court in Maine granted PM USA's motion for summary judgment in *Good*, a purported Lights class action, on the grounds that plaintiffs' claims are preempted by the FCLAA and dismissed the case. In August 2007, the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit vacated the district court's grant of PM USA's motion for summary judgment on federal preemption grounds and remanded the case to district court. The district court stayed the case pending the United States Supreme Court's ruling on defendants' petition for writ of certiorari with the United States Supreme Court, which was granted in January 2008. The case was stayed pending the United States Supreme Court's decision. In December 2008, the United States Supreme Court ruled that plaintiffs' claims are not barred by federal preemption. Although the Court rejected the argument that the FTC's actions were so extensive with respect to the descriptors that the state law claims were barred as a matter of federal law, the Court's decision was limited: it did not address the ultimate merits of plaintiffs' claim, the viability of the action as a class action, or other state law issues. The case was returned to the federal court in Maine and consolidated with other federal cases in the multidistrict litigation proceeding discussed below. On June 22, 2011, the plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed the case without prejudice after the district court denied plaintiffs' motion for class certification.

*Federal Multidistrict Proceeding*

Since the December 2008 United States Supreme Court decision in *Good*, and through July 25, 2011, twenty-four purported Lights class actions were served upon PM USA and, in certain cases, Altria Group, Inc. These cases were filed in 14 states, the U.S. Virgin Islands and the District of Columbia. All of these cases either were filed in federal court or were removed to federal court by PM USA.

A number of purported Lights class actions were transferred and consolidated by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (JPMDL) before the United States District Court for the District of Maine for pretrial proceedings (MDL proceeding). These cases, and the states in which each originated, included: *Biundo* (Illinois), *Calistro* (U.S. Virgin Islands), *Corse* (Tennessee), *Domaingue* (New York), *Good* (Maine), *Haubrich* (Pennsylvania), *McClure* (Tennessee), *Mirick* (Mississippi), *Mulford* (New Mexico), *Parsons* (District of Columbia), *Phillips* (Ohio), *Slater* (District of Columbia), *Tang* (New York), *Tyrer* (California), *Williams* (Arkansas) and *Wyatt* (Wisconsin).

In November 2010, the district court in the MDL proceeding denied plaintiffs' motion for class certification in four cases, covering the jurisdictions of California, the District of Columbia, Illinois and Maine. These jurisdictions were selected by the parties as sample cases, with two selected by plaintiffs and two selected by defendants. Plaintiffs sought appellate review of this decision but, in February 2011, the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit denied plaintiffs' petition for leave to appeal. On June 22, 2011, plaintiffs in twelve cases voluntarily dismissed their cases. Plaintiffs in five cases (*McClure*, *Phillips*, *Tang*, *Wyatt* and *Cabbat* (a case that was never served on PM USA)) have requested the transfer of their cases back to the courts in which the suits originated.

*Lights Cases Dismissed, Not Certified or Ordered De-Certified*

To date, in addition to the district court in the MDL proceeding, 15 courts in 16 Lights cases have refused to certify class actions, dismissed class action allegations, reversed prior class certification decisions or have entered judgment in favor of PM USA.

Trial courts in Arizona, Illinois, Kansas, New Jersey, New Mexico, Oregon, Tennessee and Washington have refused to grant class certification or have dismissed plaintiffs' class action allegations. Plaintiffs

---

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

voluntarily dismissed a case in Michigan after a trial court dismissed the claims plaintiffs asserted under the Michigan Unfair Trade and Consumer Protection Act.

Several appellate courts have issued rulings that either affirmed rulings in favor of Altria Group, Inc. and/or PM USA or reversed rulings entered in favor of plaintiffs. In Florida, an intermediate appellate court overturned an order by a trial court that granted class certification in *Hines*. The Florida Supreme Court denied review in January 2008. The Supreme Court of Illinois has overturned a judgment that awarded damages to a certified class in the *Price* case. See *The Price Case* below for further discussion. In Louisiana, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit dismissed a purported Lights class action brought in Louisiana federal court (*Sullivan*) on the grounds that plaintiffs' claims were preempted by the FCLAA. In New York, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit overturned a decision by a New York trial court in *Schwab* that denied defendants' summary judgment motions and granted plaintiffs' motion for certification of a nationwide class of all United States residents that purchased cigarettes in the United States that were labeled Light or Lights. In July 2010, plaintiffs in *Schwab* voluntarily dismissed the case with prejudice. In Ohio, the Ohio Supreme Court overturned class certifications in the *Marrone* and *Phillips* cases. Plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed both cases in August 2009. The Supreme Court of Washington denied a motion for interlocutory review filed by the plaintiffs in the *Davies* case that sought review of an order by the trial court that refused to certify a class. Plaintiffs subsequently voluntarily dismissed the *Davies* case with prejudice. Plaintiffs in the New Mexico case (*Mulford*) renewed their motion for class certification, which motion was denied by the federal district court in March 2009, with leave to file a new motion for class certification.

In Oregon (*Pearson*), a state court denied plaintiff's motion for interlocutory review of the trial court's refusal to certify a class. In February 2007, PM USA filed a motion for summary judgment based on federal preemption and the Oregon statutory exemption. In September 2007, the district court granted PM USA's motion based on express preemption under the FCLAA, and plaintiffs appealed this dismissal and the class certification denial to the Oregon Court of Appeals. Argument was held in April 2010.

In *Cleary*, which was pending in an Illinois federal court, the district court dismissed plaintiffs' Lights claims against one defendant and denied plaintiffs' request to remand the case to state court. In September 2009, the court issued its ruling on PM USA's and the remaining defendants' motion for summary judgment as to all Lights claims. The court granted the motion as to all defendants except PM USA. As to PM USA, the court granted the motion as to all Lights and other low tar brands other than *Marlboro* Lights. As to *Marlboro* Lights, the court ordered briefing on why the 2002 state court order dismissing the *Marlboro* Lights claims should not be vacated based upon *Good*. In January 2010, the court vacated the previous dismissal. In February 2010, the court granted summary judgment in favor of defendants as to all claims except for the *Marlboro* Lights claims, based on the statute of limitations and deficiencies relating to the named plaintiffs. In June 2010, the court granted summary judgment in favor of all defendants on all remaining claims, dismissing the case. In July 2010, plaintiffs filed a motion for reconsideration with the district court, which was denied. In August 2010, plaintiffs filed an appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. Argument on the appeal was heard in April 2011.

*Other Developments*

In December 2009, the state trial court in the *Carroll* (formerly known as *Holmes*) case (pending in Delaware), denied PM USA's motion for summary judgment based on an exemption provision in the Delaware Consumer Fraud Act. In January 2011, the trial court allowed the plaintiffs to file an amended complaint substituting class representatives and naming Altria Group, Inc. and PMI as additional defendants. See *Guarantees* for a discussion of the Distribution Agreement between Altria Group, Inc. and PMI that provides for indemnities for certain liabilities concerning tobacco products.

---

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

In June 2007, the United States Supreme Court reversed the lower court rulings in the *Watson* case that denied plaintiffs' motion to have the case heard in a state, as opposed to federal, trial court. The Supreme Court rejected defendant's contention that the case must be tried in federal court under the federal officer statute. The case was removed to federal court in Arkansas and the case was transferred to the MDL proceeding discussed above. In November 2010, the district court in the MDL proceeding remanded the *Watson* case to Arkansas state court.

*The Price Case*

Trial in the *Price* case commenced in state court in Illinois in January 2003, and in March 2003, the judge found in favor of the plaintiff class and awarded \$7.1 billion in compensatory damages and \$3 billion in punitive damages against PM USA. In December 2005, the Illinois Supreme Court issued its judgment, reversing the trial court's judgment in favor of the plaintiffs and directing the trial court to dismiss the case. In May 2006, the Illinois Supreme Court denied plaintiffs' motion for re-hearing; in November 2006, the United States Supreme Court denied plaintiffs' petition for *writ of certiorari* and, in December 2006, the Circuit Court of Madison County enforced the Illinois Supreme Court's mandate and dismissed the case with prejudice. In January 2007, plaintiffs filed a motion to vacate or withhold judgment based upon the United States Supreme Court's grant of the petition for *writ of certiorari* in *Watson* (described above). In May 2007, PM USA filed applications for a *writ of mandamus* or a supervisory order with the Illinois Supreme Court seeking an order compelling the lower courts to deny plaintiffs' motion to vacate and/or withhold judgment. In August 2007, the Illinois Supreme Court granted PM USA's motion for supervisory order and the trial court dismissed plaintiffs' motion to vacate or withhold judgment.

In December 2008, plaintiffs filed with the trial court a petition for relief from the final judgment that was entered in favor of PM USA. Specifically, plaintiffs sought to vacate the 2005 Illinois Supreme Court judgment, contending that the United States Supreme Court's December 2008 decision in *Good* demonstrated that the Illinois Supreme Court's decision was inaccurate. PM USA filed a motion to dismiss plaintiffs' petition and, in February 2009, the trial court granted PM USA's motion. In March 2009, the *Price* plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal with the Fifth Judicial District of the Appellate Court of Illinois. In February 2011, the intermediate appellate court reversed the trial court's dismissal of the plaintiffs' petition. PM USA has petitioned the Illinois Supreme Court for further review.

In June 2009, the plaintiff in an individual smoker lawsuit (*Kelly*) brought on behalf of an alleged smoker of Lights cigarettes in Madison County, Illinois state court filed a motion seeking a declaration that (1) his claims under the Illinois Consumer Fraud Act are not barred by the exemption in that statute based on his assertion that the Illinois Supreme Court's decision in *Price* is no longer good law in light of the decisions by the United States Supreme Court in *Good* and *Watson*, and (2) their claims are not preempted in light of the United States Supreme Court's decision in *Good*. In September 2009, the court granted plaintiff's motion as to federal preemption, but denied it with respect to the state statutory exemption.

*State Trial Court Class Certifications*

State trial courts have certified classes against PM USA in Massachusetts (*Aspinall*), Minnesota (*Curtis*), Missouri (*Larsen*) and New Hampshire (*Lawrence*). Significant developments in these cases include:

*Aspinall*: In August 2004, the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court affirmed the class certification order. In August 2006, the trial court denied PM USA's motion for summary judgment and granted plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment on the defenses of federal preemption and a state law exemption to Massachusetts' consumer protection statute. On motion of the parties, the trial court subsequently reported its decision to deny summary judgment to the appeals court for review and stayed further proceedings pending completion of the appellate review. In December 2008,

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

subsequent to the United States Supreme Court's decision in *Good*, the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court issued an order requesting that the parties advise the court within 30 days whether the *Good* decision is dispositive of federal preemption issues pending on appeal. In January 2009, PM USA notified the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court that *Good* is dispositive of the federal preemption issues on appeal, but requested further briefing on the state law statutory exemption issue. In March 2009, the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court affirmed the order denying summary judgment to PM USA and granting the plaintiffs cross-motion. In January 2010, plaintiffs moved for partial summary judgment as to liability claiming collateral estoppel from the findings in the case brought by the Department of Justice (see *Federal Government's Lawsuit* described above). Argument on plaintiffs' motion was held on July 26, 2011.

*Curtis*: In April 2005, the Minnesota Supreme Court denied PM USA's petition for interlocutory review of the trial court's class certification order. In October 2009, the trial court denied plaintiffs' motion for partial summary judgment, filed in February 2009, claiming collateral estoppel from the findings in the case brought by the Department of Justice (see *Federal Government's Lawsuit* described above). In October 2009, the trial court granted PM USA's motion for partial summary judgment, filed in August 2009, as to all consumer protection counts and, in December 2009, dismissed the case in its entirety. In December 2010, the Minnesota Court of Appeals reversed the trial court's dismissal of the case and affirmed the trial court's prior certification of the class under Minnesota's consumer protection statutes. The Court of Appeals also reversed the trial court's denial of Altria Group, Inc.'s motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction, thereby removing Altria Group, Inc. from the case, and affirmed the trial court's denial of the plaintiffs' motion for partial summary judgment claiming collateral estoppel from the findings in the case brought by the Department of Justice. PM USA's petition for review with the Minnesota Supreme Court was granted in March 2011.

*Larsen*: In August 2005, a Missouri Court of Appeals affirmed the class certification order. In December 2009, the trial court denied plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration of the period during which potential class members can qualify to become part of the class. The class period remains 1995-2003. In June 2010, PM USA's motion for partial summary judgment regarding plaintiffs' request for punitive damages was denied. In April 2010, plaintiffs moved for partial summary judgment as to an element of liability in the case, claiming collateral estoppel from the findings in the case brought by the Department of Justice (see *Federal Government's Lawsuit* described above). The plaintiffs' motion was denied in December 2010. On June 10, 2011, PM USA filed various summary judgment motions challenging the plaintiffs' claims. The case is currently set for trial to begin on September 6, 2011.

*Lawrence*: In November 2010, the trial court certified a class consisting of all persons who purchased *Marlboro Lights* cigarettes in the state of New Hampshire at any time from the date the brand was introduced into commerce until the date trial in the case begins. PM USA's motion for reconsideration of this decision was denied in January 2011. PM USA is seeking further review before the New Hampshire Supreme Court.

**Certain Other Tobacco-Related Litigation**

*Tobacco Price Case*: As of July 25, 2011, one case remains pending in Kansas (*Smith*) in which plaintiffs allege that defendants, including PM USA and Altria Group, Inc., conspired to fix cigarette prices in violation of antitrust laws. Plaintiffs' motion for class certification has been granted. No trial date has been set.

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

*Case Under the California Business and Professions Code:* In June 1997, a lawsuit (*Brown*) was filed in California state court alleging that domestic cigarette manufacturers, including PM USA and others, have violated California Business and Professions Code Sections 17200 and 17500 regarding unfair, unlawful and fraudulent business practices. Class certification was granted as to plaintiffs' claims that class members are entitled to reimbursement of the costs of cigarettes purchased during the class periods and injunctive relief. In September 2004, the trial court granted defendants' motion for summary judgment as to plaintiffs' claims attacking defendants' cigarette advertising and promotion and denied defendants' motion for summary judgment on plaintiffs' claims based on allegedly false affirmative statements. Plaintiffs' motion for rehearing was denied. In March 2005, the court granted defendants' motion to decertify the class based on a California law, which *inter alia* limits the ability to bring a lawsuit to only those plaintiffs who have suffered injury in fact and lost money or property as a result of defendants' alleged statutory violations ( Proposition 64 ). In two July 2006 opinions, the California Supreme Court held Proposition 64 applicable to pending cases. Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration of the order that decertified the class was denied, and plaintiffs appealed.

In September 2006, an intermediate appellate court affirmed the trial court's order decertifying the class. In May 2009, the California Supreme Court reversed the trial court decision that was affirmed by the appellate court and remanded the case to the trial court. Defendants filed a rehearing petition in June 2009. In August 2009, the California Supreme Court denied defendants' rehearing petition and issued its mandate. In March 2010, the trial court granted reconsideration of its September 2004 order granting partial summary judgment to defendants with respect to plaintiffs' Lights' claims on the basis of judicial decisions issued since its order was issued, including the United States Supreme Court's ruling in *Good*, thereby reinstating plaintiffs' Lights' claims. Since the trial court's prior ruling decertifying the class was reversed on appeal by the California Supreme Court, the parties and the court are treating all claims currently being asserted by the plaintiffs as certified, subject, however, to defendants' challenge to the class representatives' standing to assert their claims. The class is defined as people who, at the time they were residents of California, smoked in California one or more cigarettes between June 10, 1993 and April 23, 2001, and who were exposed to defendants' marketing and advertising activities in California. In July 2010, plaintiffs filed a motion seeking collateral estoppel effect from the findings in the case brought by the Department of Justice (see *Federal Government's Lawsuit* described above). In September 2010, plaintiffs filed a motion for preliminary resolution of legal issues regarding restitutionary relief. The trial court denied both of plaintiffs' motions in November 2010. In November 2010, defendants filed a motion seeking a determination that *Brown* class members who were also part of the class in *Daniels* (a previously disclosed consumer fraud case in which the California Supreme Court affirmed summary judgment in PM USA's favor based on preemption and First Amendment grounds) are precluded by the *Daniels* judgment from recovering in *Brown*. This motion was denied in December 2010. Defendants sought review of this decision before the Fourth District Court of Appeal but were denied review on March 11, 2011. In December 2010, defendants filed a motion for a determination that the class representatives lack standing and are not typical or adequate to represent the class. In February 2011, the trial court ruled on this motion in the defendants' favor and vacated the previously scheduled trial date. Plaintiffs filed a motion for reconsideration, which was denied in April 2011. In addition, in April 2011, defendants filed a motion to dismiss the action on the grounds that plaintiffs should not be permitted to amend the complaint. On June 22, 2011, the trial court granted plaintiffs' motion to file a new complaint to add new putative class representatives and denied the defendants' motion to dismiss. On July 1, 2011, plaintiffs filed a new amended complaint.

*Ignition Propensity Cases:* PM USA is currently a defendant in two wrongful death actions in which plaintiffs contend that fires caused by cigarettes led to other individuals' deaths. In one case pending in federal court in Massachusetts (*Sarro*), the district court in August 2009 granted in part PM USA's motion to dismiss, but ruled that two claims unrelated to product design could go forward. In November 2010, PM USA filed a motion for summary judgment. Argument was heard in March 2011. In a Kentucky federal court case (*Walker*), the court dismissed plaintiffs' claims in February 2009 and plaintiffs subsequently filed

---

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

a notice of appeal. The appeal is pending before the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. Argument was held in October 2010.

**UST Litigation**

Claims related to smokeless tobacco products generally fall within the following categories:

First, UST and/or its tobacco subsidiaries has been named in certain health care cost reimbursement/third-party recoupment/class action litigation against the major domestic cigarette companies and others seeking damages and other relief. The complaints in these cases on their face predominantly relate to the usage of cigarettes; within that context, certain complaints contain a few allegations relating specifically to smokeless tobacco products. These actions are in varying stages of pretrial activities.

Second, UST and/or its tobacco subsidiaries has been named in certain actions in West Virginia brought on behalf of individual plaintiffs against cigarette manufacturers, smokeless tobacco manufacturers, and other organizations seeking damages and other relief in connection with injuries allegedly sustained as a result of tobacco usage, including smokeless tobacco products. Included among the plaintiffs are five individuals alleging use of USSTC's smokeless tobacco products and alleging the types of injuries claimed to be associated with the use of smokeless tobacco products. USSTC, along with other non-cigarette manufacturers, has remained severed from such proceedings since December 2001.

Third, UST and/or its tobacco subsidiaries has been named in a number of other individual tobacco and health suits. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery, such as negligence, strict liability, fraud, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, breach of implied warranty, addiction, and breach of consumer protection statutes. Plaintiffs seek various forms of relief, including compensatory and punitive damages, and certain equitable relief, including but not limited to disgorgement. Defenses raised in these cases include lack of causation, assumption of the risk, comparative fault and/or contributory negligence, and statutes of limitations. USSTC is currently named in one such action in Florida (*Vassallo*).

**Certain Other Actions**

*IRS Challenges to PMCC Leases*

**Background.** The IRS has concluded its examination of Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated federal income tax returns for the years 1996 through 2003, and for each year has disallowed tax benefits pertaining to certain leveraged lease transactions entered into by PMCC (referred to by the IRS as lease-in/lease-out ( LILO ) and sale-in/lease-out ( SILO ) transactions). For financial reporting purposes, PMCC accounted for LILO and SILO transactions as leveraged lease transactions under the guidance in Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ) 840, *Leases* ( ASC 840 ). For income tax purposes, PMCC treated these transactions as leases under case law and applicable IRS administrative guidance.

**Refund Claims and Litigation.** Altria Group, Inc. believes that its tax treatment of PMCC's LILO and SILO transactions on federal and state income tax returns filed to date was proper and complied with applicable tax laws in effect during the relevant periods. Altria Group, Inc. has contested the disallowances, filed claims for refunds of federal income tax and associated interest paid and is pursuing refund litigation in federal court with respect to certain of the refund claims, as discussed below.

In October 2006, Altria Group, Inc. filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York to claim a refund on a portion of these federal income tax payments and associated interest for the years 1996 and 1997. In July 2009, the jury returned a unanimous verdict in favor of the IRS and, in April 2010, after denying Altria Group, Inc.'s post-trial motions, the district court entered final

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

judgment in favor of the IRS. Altria Group, Inc. filed an appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in June 2010. Argument on the merits of this appeal occurred on June 22, 2011.

In March 2008, Altria Group, Inc. filed a second complaint in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York seeking a refund of the federal income tax payments and associated interest for the years 1998 and 1999 attributable to the disallowance of tax benefits claimed in those years with respect to the LILO and SILO transactions subject to the jury verdict and with respect to the additional LILO and SILO transactions entered into in 1998 and 1999. In May 2009, the district court granted a stay pending the decision by the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in the case involving the 1996 and 1997 years.

In March 2011, Altria Group, Inc. filed claims for a refund with the IRS for the years 2000 through 2003 of the tax and associated interest paid with respect to the LILO and SILO transactions that PMCC entered into during the 1996-2003 years. The IRS disallowed the claims on July 7, 2011, and Altria Group, Inc. intends to commence litigation in federal court.

In a closing agreement entered into in May 2010, Altria Group, Inc. and the IRS agreed that, with the exception of the LILO and SILO transactions, the tax treatment reported by Altria Group, Inc. on its consolidated federal income tax returns for the 2000-2003 years, as amended by the agreed-upon adjustments in the closing agreement, is appropriate and final. The IRS may not assess against Altria Group, Inc. any further taxes or additions to tax (including penalties) with respect to these years.

As a prerequisite to commencing in federal court the refund litigation described above following the IRS disallowance of tax benefits of the LILO/SILO transactions for the 1996-1999 audit cycle, in 2006 Altria Group, Inc. paid approximately \$150 million related to disallowed tax benefits and associated interest. Similarly, following the IRS disallowance of tax benefits of the LILO/SILO transactions for the 2000-2003 audit cycle, also described above, in 2010, Altria Group, Inc. paid approximately \$945 million in disallowed tax benefits and associated interest in order to pursue its legal challenge to the disallowances in federal court.

**Second Quarter 2011 Earnings Charge.** Altria Group, Inc. has continually re-evaluated the likelihood of sustaining its tax position on PMCC's LILO and SILO transactions, as required by ASC 740, *Income Taxes* (ASC 740). In the second quarter of 2011, in accordance with ASC 840 and ASC 740, Altria Group, Inc. recorded a one-time charge of \$627 million against its 2011 reported earnings related to the tax treatment of the LILO and SILO transactions that PMCC entered into between 1996 and 2003, as announced on June 9, 2011. Altria Group, Inc.'s decision to record the charge was based on the Federal Circuit's April 2011 adverse decision in *Wells Fargo & Co. v. United States*, involving SILO transactions entered into by another taxpayer. Altria Group, Inc. concluded that the decision introduced incremental risk to its tax analysis and, as a result, that it was no longer more likely than not that it would prevail in its defense of its tax position on PMCC's LILO and SILO transactions.

The charge of \$627 million reflects the re-characterization of PMCC's LILO and SILO transactions as loans (as opposed to leases) for income tax purposes, which changes the timing of income recognition for tax purposes over the term of the deemed loan. This change, in turn, impacts the income of the leases recorded pursuant to leverage lease accounting (ASC 840) resulting in a lowering of the cumulative income from the transactions that had been recorded from inception of the transactions to the date of the charge. This earnings charge is incremental to \$146 million recorded as a reduction to stockholders' equity upon the adoption of new accounting standards for leases (FAS 13-2) and for uncertainty in income taxes (FIN 48) on January 1, 2007, and approximately \$95 million recorded to the statements of earnings from January 1, 2007 through March 31, 2011. In quantifying the reduction in cumulative leveraged lease income to include in the second quarter 2011 earnings charge, Altria Group, Inc. was required to make assumptions regarding a potential settlement of these matters with the IRS. To the extent the assumptions change, there may be additional impact on Altria Group, Inc.'s earnings but Altria Group, Inc. does not expect such impact, if any, to be significant.



---

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

Approximately 50% of the \$627 million charge represents the effects of re-characterization of the transactions as loans and the resulting reduction in cumulative leveraged lease income described above. This reduction in income will be recaptured over the remaining terms of the respective transactions. The remaining portion of the charge primarily represents a permanent charge for interest on tax underpayments. The charge does not include potential penalties as Altria Group, Inc. believes that it met the applicable standards to avoid any associated penalties at the time it claimed the deductions on its tax returns.

**Payments to the IRS.** As indicated in *Refund Claims and Litigation* above, Altria Group, Inc. has paid a total of approximately \$1.1 billion in federal income tax payments and interest with respect to the LILO and SILO transactions for the 1996 through 2003 tax years. The tax component of this amount represents an acceleration of taxes that Altria Group, Inc. would have otherwise paid over the later stages of the LILO and SILO transactions. Altria Group, Inc. treated the amounts paid to the IRS for these years as deposits for financial reporting purposes pending the ultimate outcomes of the litigation and included such amounts in other assets on its consolidated balance sheets. If Altria Group, Inc. prevails in its refund litigation, it would receive a refund of the amounts previously paid to the IRS plus interest. If the IRS's position with respect to the LILO and SILO transactions is ultimately sustained, Altria Group, Inc. would reduce its tax liabilities and eliminate the asset discussed above.

**Anticipated Future Disallowances and Additional Payments to the IRS.** Altria Group, Inc. further expects the IRS and impacted states to disallow income tax benefits claimed in years 2004 through 2009 related to the LILO and SILO transactions that PMCC entered into from 1996 through 2003. The disallowance of federal and state income tax benefits for the 2004 through 2009 tax years and associated interest through the 2011 tax year, as well as additional tax payments due for the 2010 and 2011 tax years, would result in additional payments of approximately \$1.0 billion, excluding potential penalties. The tax component of this amount represents an acceleration of taxes that Altria Group, Inc. would have otherwise paid over the later stages of the LILO and SILO transactions. This amount is net of federal and state income taxes paid or payable on gains associated with sales of leased assets from January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2011; thus, while the initial amount payable may be greater than \$1.0 billion, such taxes paid or payable on gains associated with sales of leased assets will be subsequently recovered no later than the closing of the audits for the cycles in which the sales have occurred.

The payments of disallowed tax benefits, if any, would depend upon the timing and outcome of future IRS audits and any related administrative challenges or litigation. The IRS is currently auditing the 2004-2006 tax years.

**Other.** At this time, Altria Group, Inc. does not intend to claim tax benefits pertaining to PMCC's LILO and SILO transactions on its federal and state income tax returns for 2010 and future years. Altria Group, Inc., however, intends to preserve its right to file amended returns for these years claiming the tax benefits pertaining to PMCC's LILO and SILO transactions if Altria Group, Inc. is successful in the current and/or anticipated litigation discussed above.

As of June 30, 2011, the LILO and SILO transactions represented approximately 33% of the Net Finance Assets of PMCC's lease portfolio. PMCC has not entered into any LILO or SILO transactions since 2003.

***Kraft Thrift Plan Case:*** Four participants in the Kraft Foods Global, Inc. Thrift Plan ( *Kraft Thrift Plan* ), a defined contribution plan, filed a class action complaint on behalf of all participants and beneficiaries of the Kraft Thrift Plan in July 2008 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois alleging breach of fiduciary duty under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ( *ERISA* ). Named defendants in this action include Altria Corporate Services, Inc. (now Altria Client Services Inc.) and certain company committees that allegedly had a relationship to the Kraft Thrift Plan. Plaintiffs request, among other remedies, that defendants restore to the Kraft Thrift Plan all losses improperly incurred. The Altria

---

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

Group, Inc. defendants deny any violation of ERISA or other unlawful conduct and are defending the case vigorously.

In December 2009, the court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motion to dismiss plaintiffs' complaint. In addition to dismissing certain claims made by plaintiffs for equitable relief under ERISA as to all defendants, the court dismissed claims alleging excessive administrative fees and mismanagement of company stock funds as to one of the Altria Group, Inc. defendants. In February 2010, the court granted a joint stipulation dismissing the fee and stock fund claims without prejudice as to the remaining defendants, including Altria Corporate Services, Inc. Accordingly, the only claim remaining at this time relates to the alleged negligence of plan fiduciaries for including the Growth Equity Fund and Balanced Fund as Kraft Thrift Plan investment options. Plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification in March 2010, which the court granted in August 2010. Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment in January 2011, and plaintiffs filed a motion for partial summary judgment. In March 2011, defendants filed a motion to vacate the class certification in light of recent federal judicial precedent. On July 18, 2011, the court granted defendants' summary judgment motion in part, finding that claims for periods prior to July 2, 2002 were time barred, and that the defendants properly monitored the funds. On July 20, 2011, the court denied plaintiffs' motion for partial summary judgment. Remaining in the case are claims after July 2, 2002 relating to whether it was prudent to retain actively managed investments (Growth Equity Fund and Balanced Fund) in the Kraft Thrift Plan after 1999. On July 20, 2011, the court also granted defendants' motion to vacate the class certification, and allowed plaintiffs leave to file a new motion for class certification in light of recent precedent and the court's summary judgment findings.

Under the terms of a Distribution Agreement between Altria Group, Inc. and Kraft, the Altria Group, Inc. defendants may be entitled to indemnity against any liabilities incurred in connection with this case.

**Environmental Regulation**

Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (and former subsidiaries) are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations concerning the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise related to environmental protection, including, in the United States: The Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (commonly known as Superfund), which can impose joint and several liability on each responsible party. Subsidiaries (and former subsidiaries) of Altria Group, Inc. are involved in several matters subjecting them to potential costs of remediation and natural resource damages under Superfund or other laws and regulations. Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries expect to continue to make capital and other expenditures in connection with environmental laws and regulations. Altria Group, Inc. provides for expenses associated with environmental remediation obligations on an undiscounted basis when such amounts are probable and can be reasonably estimated. Such accruals are adjusted as new information develops or circumstances change. Other than those amounts, it is not possible to reasonably estimate the cost of any environmental remediation and compliance efforts that subsidiaries of Altria Group, Inc. may undertake in the future. In the opinion of management, however, compliance with environmental laws and regulations, including the payment of any remediation costs or damages and the making of related expenditures, has not had, and is not expected to have, a material adverse effect on Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated results of operations, capital expenditures, financial position or cash flows.

**Guarantees**

In the ordinary course of business, certain subsidiaries of Altria Group, Inc. have agreed to indemnify a limited number of third parties in the event of future litigation. At June 30, 2011, subsidiaries of Altria Group, Inc. were also contingently liable for \$29 million of guarantees related to their own performance, consisting primarily of surety bonds. These items have not had, and are not expected to have, a significant

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

impact on Altria Group, Inc.'s liquidity.

Under the terms of a distribution agreement between Altria Group, Inc. and PMI, entered into as a result of the PMI spin-off, liabilities concerning tobacco products will be allocated based in substantial part on the manufacturer. PMI will indemnify Altria Group, Inc. and PM USA for liabilities related to tobacco products manufactured by PMI or contract manufactured for PMI by PM USA, and PM USA will indemnify PMI for liabilities related to tobacco products manufactured by PM USA, excluding tobacco products contract manufactured for PMI. Altria Group, Inc. does not have a related liability recorded on its condensed consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2011 as the fair value of this indemnification is insignificant.

As more fully discussed in Note 12. *Condensed Consolidating Financial Information*, PM USA has issued guarantees relating to Altria Group, Inc.'s obligations under its outstanding debt securities, borrowings under its Credit Agreement and amounts outstanding under its commercial paper program.

**Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest**

In September 2007, Ste. Michelle completed the acquisition of Stag's Leap Wine Cellars through one of its consolidated subsidiaries, Michelle-Antinori, LLC (Michelle-Antinori), in which Ste. Michelle holds an 85% ownership interest with a 15% noncontrolling interest held by Antinori California (Antinori). In connection with the acquisition of Stag's Leap Wine Cellars, Ste. Michelle entered into a put arrangement with Antinori. The put arrangement, as later amended, provides Antinori with the right to require Ste. Michelle to purchase its 15% ownership interest in Michelle-Antinori at a price equal to Antinori's initial investment of \$27 million. The put arrangement became exercisable on September 11, 2010 and has no expiration date. As of June 30, 2011, the redemption value of the put arrangement did not exceed the noncontrolling interest balance. Therefore, no adjustment to the value of the redeemable noncontrolling interest was recognized in the condensed consolidated balance sheet for the put arrangement.

The noncontrolling interest put arrangement is accounted for as mandatorily redeemable securities because redemption is outside of the control of Ste. Michelle. As such, the redeemable noncontrolling interest is reported in the mezzanine equity section in the condensed consolidated balance sheets at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

---

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

**Note 12. Condensed Consolidating Financial Information:**

PM USA, which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Altria Group, Inc., has issued guarantees relating to Altria Group, Inc.'s obligations under its outstanding debt securities, borrowings under its Credit Agreement and amounts outstanding under its commercial paper program (the Guarantees). Pursuant to the Guarantees, PM USA fully and unconditionally guarantees, as primary obligor, the payment and performance of Altria Group, Inc.'s obligations under the guaranteed debt instruments (the Obligations).

The Guarantees provide that PM USA fully and unconditionally guarantees the punctual payment when due, whether at stated maturity, by acceleration or otherwise, of the Obligations. The liability of PM USA under the Guarantees is absolute and unconditional irrespective of: any lack of validity, enforceability or genuineness of any provision of any agreement or instrument relating thereto; any change in the time, manner or place of payment of, or in any other term of, all or any of the Obligations, or any other amendment or waiver of or any consent to departure from any agreement or instrument relating thereto; any exchange, release or non-perfection of any collateral, or any release or amendment or waiver of or consent to departure from any other guarantee, for all or any of the Obligations; or any other circumstance that might otherwise constitute a defense available to, or a discharge of, Altria Group, Inc. or PM USA.

The obligations of PM USA under the Guarantees are limited to the maximum amount as will, after giving effect to such maximum amount and all other contingent and fixed liabilities of PM USA that are relevant under Bankruptcy Law, the Uniform Fraudulent Conveyance Act, the Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act or any similar federal or state law to the extent applicable to the Guarantees, result in PM USA's obligations under the Guarantees not constituting a fraudulent transfer or conveyance. For this purpose, Bankruptcy Law means Title 11, U.S. Code, or any similar federal or state law for the relief of debtors.

PM USA will be unconditionally released and discharged from its obligations under each of the Guarantees upon the earliest to occur of:

the date, if any, on which PM USA consolidates with or merges into Altria Group, Inc. or any successor;

the date, if any, on which Altria Group, Inc. or any successor consolidates with or merges into PM USA;

the payment in full of the Obligations pertaining to such Guarantees; or

the rating of Altria Group, Inc.'s long-term senior unsecured debt by Standard & Poor's of A or higher.

At June 30, 2011, the respective principal wholly-owned subsidiaries of Altria Group, Inc. and PM USA were not limited by long-term debt or other agreements in their ability to pay cash dividends or make other distributions with respect to their common stock.

The following sets forth the condensed consolidating balance sheets as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, condensed consolidating statements of earnings for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, and condensed consolidating statements of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 for Altria Group, Inc., PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.'s other subsidiaries that are not

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

guarantors of Altria Group, Inc.'s debt instruments (the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries). The financial information is based on Altria Group, Inc.'s understanding of the SEC interpretation and application of Rule 3-10 of SEC Regulation S-X.

The financial information may not necessarily be indicative of results of operations or financial position had PM USA and the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries operated as independent entities. Altria Group, Inc. and PM USA account for investments in their subsidiaries under the equity method of accounting.

-60-

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets

June 30, 2011

(in millions of dollars)

|  | Altria<br>Group, Inc. | PM USA   | Non-<br>Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Total<br>Consolidating<br>Adjustments | Consolidated |
|--|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| <b>ASSETS</b>                                |                       |          |                                   |                                       |              |
| <b>Consumer products</b>                     |                       |          |                                   |                                       |              |
| Cash and cash equivalents                    | \$ 2,041              | \$       | \$ 23                             | \$                                    | \$ 2,064     |
| Receivables                                  | 86                    | 15       | 65                                |                                       | 166          |
| Inventories:                                 |                       |          |                                   |                                       |              |
| Leaf tobacco                                 |                       | 445      | 357                               |                                       | 802          |
| Other raw materials                          |                       | 144      | 44                                |                                       | 188          |
| Work in process                              |                       | 7        | 272                               |                                       | 279          |
| Finished product                             |                       | 168      | 236                               |                                       | 404          |
|  |                       | 764      | 909                               |                                       | 1,673        |
| Due from Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries | 551                   | 1,843    | 1,367                             | (3,761)                               |              |
| Deferred income taxes                        | 18                    | 1,189    |                                   | (44)                                  | 1,163        |
| Other current assets                         | 120                   | 466      | 151                               | (146)                                 | 591          |
| Total current assets                         | 2,816                 | 4,277    | 2,515                             | (3,951)                               | 5,657        |
| Property, plant and equipment, at cost       | 2                     | 3,310    | 1,425                             |                                       | 4,737        |
| Less accumulated depreciation                | 2                     | 1,965    | 465                               |                                       | 2,432        |
|  |                       | 1,345    | 960                               |                                       | 2,305        |
| Goodwill                                     |                       |          | 5,174                             |                                       | 5,174        |
| Other intangible assets, net                 |                       | 2        | 12,105                            |                                       | 12,107       |
| Investment in SABMiller                      | 5,927                 |          |                                   |                                       | 5,927        |
| Investment in consolidated subsidiaries      | 7,228                 | 331      |                                   | (7,559)                               |              |
| Due from Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries | 6,500                 |          |                                   | (6,500)                               |              |
| Other assets                                 | 1,473                 | 634      | 110                               | (443)                                 | 1,774        |
| Total consumer products assets               | 23,944                | 6,589    | 20,864                            | (18,453)                              | 32,944       |
| <b>Financial services</b>                    |                       |          |                                   |                                       |              |
| Finance assets, net                          |                       |          | 3,869                             |                                       | 3,869        |
| Due from Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries |                       |          | 728                               | (728)                                 |              |
| Other assets                                 |                       |          | 19                                |                                       | 19           |
| Total financial services assets              |                       |          | 4,616                             | (728)                                 | 3,888        |
| TOTAL ASSETS                                 | \$ 23,944             | \$ 6,589 | \$ 25,480                         | \$ (19,181)                           | \$ 36,832    |



**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets (Continued)

June 30, 2011

(in millions of dollars)

|   | Altria<br>Group, Inc. | PM USA       | Non-<br>Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Total<br>Consolidating<br>Adjustments | Consolidated  |
|---|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| <b>LIABILITIES</b>                          |                       |              |                                   |                                       |               |
| <b>Consumer products</b>                    |                       |              |                                   |                                       |               |
| Accounts payable                            | \$                    | \$ 118       | \$ 134                            | \$                                    | \$ 252        |
| Accrued liabilities:                        |                       |              |                                   |                                       |               |
| Marketing                                   |                       | 397          | 21                                |                                       | 418           |
| Taxes, except income taxes                  |                       | 342          | 15                                |                                       | 357           |
| Employment costs                            | 27                    | 13           | 98                                |                                       | 138           |
| Settlement charges                          |                       | 2,132        | 5                                 |                                       | 2,137         |
| Other                                       | 340                   | 663          | 351                               | (44)                                  | 1,310         |
| Income taxes                                |                       | 188          |                                   | (146)                                 | 42            |
| Dividends payable                           | 792                   |              |                                   |                                       | 792           |
| Due to Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries  | 2,821                 | 237          | 1,431                             | (4,489)                               |               |
| <b>Total current liabilities</b>            | <b>3,980</b>          | <b>4,090</b> | <b>2,055</b>                      | <b>(4,679)</b>                        | <b>5,446</b>  |
| Long-term debt                              | 12,789                |              | 899                               |                                       | 13,688        |
| Deferred income taxes                       | 1,994                 |              | 3,310                             | (443)                                 | 4,861         |
| Accrued pension costs                       | 204                   |              | 782                               |                                       | 986           |
| Accrued postretirement health care costs    |                       | 1,497        | 923                               |                                       | 2,420         |
| Due to Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries  |                       |              | 6,500                             | (6,500)                               |               |
| Other liabilities                           | 344                   | 286          | 177                               |                                       | 807           |
| <b>Total consumer products liabilities</b>  | <b>19,311</b>         | <b>5,873</b> | <b>14,646</b>                     | <b>(11,622)</b>                       | <b>28,208</b> |
| <b>Financial services</b>                   |                       |              |                                   |                                       |               |
| Deferred income taxes                       |                       |              | 3,351                             |                                       | 3,351         |
| Other liabilities                           |                       |              | 605                               |                                       | 605           |
| <b>Total financial services liabilities</b> |                       |              | <b>3,956</b>                      |                                       | <b>3,956</b>  |
| <b>Total liabilities</b>                    | <b>19,311</b>         | <b>5,873</b> | <b>18,602</b>                     | <b>(11,622)</b>                       | <b>32,164</b> |
| Contingencies                               |                       |              |                                   |                                       |               |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interest          |                       |              | 33                                |                                       | 33            |
| <b>STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>                 |                       |              |                                   |                                       |               |
| Common stock                                | 935                   |              | 9                                 | (9)                                   | 935           |
| Additional paid-in capital                  | 5,627                 | 408          | 8,217                             | (8,625)                               | 5,627         |
| Earnings reinvested in the business         | 23,256                | 577          | 4                                 | (581)                                 | 23,256        |
| Accumulated other comprehensive losses      | (1,279)               | (269)        | (1,387)                           | 1,656                                 | (1,279)       |
| Cost of repurchased stock                   | (23,906)              |              |                                   |                                       | (23,906)      |



Edgar Filing: ALTRIA GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

|   |                  |                 |                  |                    |                  |
|---|------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Total stockholders' equity attributable to Altria Group, Inc. | 4,633            | 716             | 6,843            | (7,559)            | 4,633            |
| Noncontrolling interests                                      |                  |                 | 2                |                    | 2                |
| Total stockholders' equity                                    | 4,633            | 716             | 6,845            | (7,559)            | 4,635            |
| <b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>             | <b>\$ 23,944</b> | <b>\$ 6,589</b> | <b>\$ 25,480</b> | <b>\$ (19,181)</b> | <b>\$ 36,832</b> |

-62-

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets

December 31, 2010

(in millions of dollars)

|  | Altria<br>Group, Inc. | PM USA          | Non-<br>Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Total<br>Consolidating<br>Adjustments | Consolidated     |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| <b>ASSETS</b>                                |                       |                 |                                   |                                       |                  |
| <b>Consumer products</b>                     |                       |                 |                                   |                                       |                  |
| Cash and cash equivalents                    | \$ 2,298              | \$              | \$ 16                             | \$                                    | \$ 2,314         |
| Receivables                                  | 1                     | 9               | 75                                |                                       | 85               |
| Inventories:                                 |                       |                 |                                   |                                       |                  |
| Leaf tobacco                                 |                       | 594             | 366                               |                                       | 960              |
| Other raw materials                          |                       | 121             | 39                                |                                       | 160              |
| Work in process                              |                       |                 | 299                               |                                       | 299              |
| Finished product                             |                       | 145             | 239                               |                                       | 384              |
|  |                       | 860             | 943                               |                                       | 1,803            |
| Due from Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries | 429                   | 2,902           | 1,556                             | (4,887)                               |                  |
| Deferred income taxes                        | 18                    | 1,190           |                                   | (43)                                  | 1,165            |
| Other current assets                         | 64                    | 420             | 130                               |                                       | 614              |
| Total current assets                         | 2,810                 | 5,381           | 2,720                             | (4,930)                               | 5,981            |
| Property, plant and equipment, at cost       | 2                     | 3,749           | 1,399                             |                                       | 5,150            |
| Less accumulated depreciation                | 2                     | 2,343           | 425                               |                                       | 2,770            |
|  |                       | 1,406           | 974                               |                                       | 2,380            |
| Goodwill                                     |                       |                 | 5,174                             |                                       | 5,174            |
| Other intangible assets, net                 |                       | 2               | 12,116                            |                                       | 12,118           |
| Investment in SABMiller                      | 5,367                 |                 |                                   |                                       | 5,367            |
| Investment in consolidated subsidiaries      | 7,561                 | 325             |                                   | (7,886)                               |                  |
| Due from Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries | 6,500                 |                 |                                   | (6,500)                               |                  |
| Other assets                                 | 1,511                 | 680             | 98                                | (438)                                 | 1,851            |
| Total consumer products assets               | 23,749                | 7,794           | 21,082                            | (19,754)                              | 32,871           |
| <b>Financial services</b>                    |                       |                 |                                   |                                       |                  |
| Finance assets, net                          |                       |                 | 4,502                             |                                       | 4,502            |
| Due from Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries |                       |                 | 690                               | (690)                                 |                  |
| Other assets                                 |                       |                 | 29                                |                                       | 29               |
| Total financial services assets              |                       |                 | 5,221                             | (690)                                 | 4,531            |
| <b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>                          | <b>\$ 23,749</b>      | <b>\$ 7,794</b> | <b>\$ 26,303</b>                  | <b>\$ (20,444)</b>                    | <b>\$ 37,402</b> |



**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets (Continued)

December 31, 2010

(in millions of dollars)

|  | Altria<br>Group, Inc. | PM USA | Non-<br>Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Total<br>Consolidating<br>Adjustments | Consolidated |
|--|-----------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| <b>LIABILITIES</b>                         |                       |        |                                   |                                       |              |
| <b>Consumer products</b>                   |                       |        |                                   |                                       |              |
| Accounts payable                           | \$                    | \$ 215 | \$ 314                            | \$                                    | \$ 529       |
| Accrued liabilities:                       |                       |        |                                   |                                       |              |
| Marketing                                  |                       | 347    | 100                               |                                       | 447          |
| Taxes, except income taxes                 |                       | 212    | 19                                |                                       | 231          |
| Employment costs                           | 30                    | 18     | 184                               |                                       | 232          |
| Settlement charges                         |                       | 3,531  | 4                                 |                                       | 3,535        |
| Other                                      | 312                   | 467    | 333                               | (43)                                  | 1,069        |
| Dividends payable                          | 797                   |        |                                   |                                       | 797          |
| Due to Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries | 3,674                 | 454    | 1,449                             | (5,577)                               |              |
| Total current liabilities                  | 4,813                 | 5,244  | 2,403                             | (5,620)                               | 6,840        |
| Long-term debt                             | 11,295                |        | 899                               |                                       | 12,194       |
| Deferred income taxes                      | 1,800                 |        | 3,256                             | (438)                                 | 4,618        |
| Accrued pension costs                      | 204                   |        | 987                               |                                       | 1,191        |
| Accrued postretirement health care costs   |                       | 1,500  | 902                               |                                       | 2,402        |
| Due to Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries |                       |        | 6,500                             | (6,500)                               |              |
| Other liabilities                          | 445                   | 335    | 169                               |                                       | 949          |
| Total consumer products liabilities        | 18,557                | 7,079  | 15,116                            | (12,558)                              | 28,194       |
| <b>Financial services</b>                  |                       |        |                                   |                                       |              |
| Deferred income taxes                      |                       |        | 3,880                             |                                       | 3,880        |
| Other liabilities                          |                       |        | 101                               |                                       | 101          |
| Total financial services liabilities       |                       |        | 3,981                             |                                       | 3,981        |
| Total liabilities                          | 18,557                | 7,079  | 19,097                            | (12,558)                              | 32,175       |
| <b>Contingencies</b>                       |                       |        |                                   |                                       |              |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interest         |                       |        | 32                                |                                       | 32           |
| <b>STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>                |                       |        |                                   |                                       |              |
| Common stock                               | 935                   |        | 9                                 | (9)                                   | 935          |
| Additional paid-in capital                 | 5,751                 | 408    | 8,217                             | (8,625)                               | 5,751        |
| Earnings reinvested in the business        | 23,459                | 583    | 385                               | (968)                                 | 23,459       |
| Accumulated other comprehensive losses     | (1,484)               | (276)  | (1,440)                           | 1,716                                 | (1,484)      |
| Cost of repurchased stock                  | (23,469)              |        |                                   |                                       | (23,469)     |

Edgar Filing: ALTRIA GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

|   |           |          |           |             |           |
|---|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| Total stockholders' equity attributable to Altria Group, Inc. | 5,192     | 715      | 7,171     | (7,886)     | 5,192     |
| Noncontrolling interests                                      |           |          | 3         |             | 3         |
| Total stockholders' equity                                    | 5,192     | 715      | 7,174     | (7,886)     | 5,195     |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY                    | \$ 23,749 | \$ 7,794 | \$ 26,303 | \$ (20,444) | \$ 37,402 |

-64-

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Earnings

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

(in millions of dollars)

|   | Altria<br>Group, Inc. | PM USA    | Non-<br>Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Total<br>Consolidating<br>Adjustments | Consolidated |
|---|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Net revenues  | \$                    | \$ 10,698 | \$ 877                            | \$ (12)                               | \$ 11,563    |
| Cost of sales   |                       | 3,455     | 382                               | (12)                                  | 3,825        |
| Excise taxes on products  |                       | 3,452     | 166                               |                                       | 3,618        |
| Gross profit  |                       | 3,791     | 329                               |                                       | 4,120        |
| Marketing, administration and research costs                            | 84                    | 1,052     | 136                               |                                       | 1,272        |
| Asset impairment and exit costs   |                       | 3         |                                   |                                       | 3            |
| Amortization of intangibles   |                       |           | 11                                |                                       | 11           |
| Operating (expense) income  | (84)                  | 2,736     | 182                               |                                       | 2,834        |
| Interest and other debt expense, net                                    | 340                   | 4         | 228                               |                                       | 572          |
| Earnings from equity investment in SABMiller                            | (344)                 |           |                                   |                                       | (344)        |
| (Loss) earnings before income taxes and equity earnings of subsidiaries | (80)                  | 2,732     | (46)                              |                                       | 2,606        |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes                                    | (71)                  | 1,016     | 279                               |                                       | 1,224        |
| Equity earnings of subsidiaries   | 1,390                 | 28        |                                   | (1,418)                               |              |
| Net earnings (loss)   | 1,381                 | 1,744     | (325)                             | (1,418)                               | 1,382        |
| Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests                   |                       |           | (1)                               |                                       | (1)          |
| Net earnings (loss) attributable to Altria Group, Inc.                  | \$ 1,381              | \$ 1,744  | \$ (326)                          | \$ (1,418)                            | \$ 1,381     |

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Earnings

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010

(in millions of dollars)

|   | Altria<br>Group, Inc. | PM USA    | Non-<br>Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Total<br>Consolidating<br>Adjustments | Consolidated |
|---|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Net revenues  | \$                    | \$ 10,725 | \$ 1,309                          | \$                                    | \$ 12,034    |
| Cost of sales   |                       | 3,494     | 340                               |                                       | 3,834        |
| Excise taxes on products  |                       | 3,578     | 164                               |                                       | 3,742        |
| Gross profit  |                       | 3,653     | 805                               |                                       | 4,458        |
| Marketing, administration and research costs                            | 60                    | 1,045     | 187                               |                                       | 1,292        |
| Reduction of Kraft and PMI tax-related receivables                      | 169                   |           |                                   |                                       | 169          |
| Asset impairment and exit costs   |                       | 25        | 3                                 |                                       | 28           |
| Amortization of intangibles   |                       | 5         | 5                                 |                                       | 10           |
| Operating (expense) income  | (229)                 | 2,578     | 610                               |                                       | 2,959        |
| Interest and other debt expense, net                                    | 277                   | 7         | 293                               |                                       | 577          |
| Earnings from equity investment in SABMiller                            | (251)                 |           |                                   |                                       | (251)        |
| (Loss) earnings before income taxes and equity earnings of subsidiaries | (255)                 | 2,571     | 317                               |                                       | 2,633        |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes                                    | (255)                 | 914       | 118                               |                                       | 777          |
| Equity earnings of subsidiaries   | 1,855                 |           |                                   | (1,855)                               |              |
| Net earnings  | 1,855                 | 1,657     | 199                               | (1,855)                               | 1,856        |
| Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests                   |                       |           | (1)                               |                                       | (1)          |
| Net earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc.                         | \$ 1,855              | \$ 1,657  | \$ 198                            | \$ (1,855)                            | \$ 1,855     |

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Earnings

For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011

(in millions of dollars)

|   | Altria<br>Group, Inc. | PM USA   | Non-<br>Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Total<br>Consolidating<br>Adjustments | Consolidated |
|---|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Net revenues  | \$                    | \$ 5,687 | \$ 239                            | \$ (6)                                | \$ 5,920     |
| Cost of sales   |                       | 1,838    | 198                               | (6)                                   | 2,030        |
| Excise taxes on products  |                       | 1,833    | 85                                |                                       | 1,918        |
| Gross profit (loss)   |                       | 2,016    | (44)                              |                                       | 1,972        |
| Marketing, administration and research costs                            | 39                    | 554      | 78                                |                                       | 671          |
| Asset impairment and exit costs   |                       | 1        |                                   |                                       | 1            |
| Amortization of intangibles   |                       |          | 5                                 |                                       | 5            |
| Operating (expense) income  | (39)                  | 1,461    | (127)                             |                                       | 1,295        |
| Interest and other debt expense, net                                    | 175                   | 6        | 113                               |                                       | 294          |
| Earnings from equity investment in SABMiller                            | (155)                 |          |                                   |                                       | (155)        |
| (Loss) earnings before income taxes and equity earnings of subsidiaries | (59)                  | 1,455    | (240)                             |                                       | 1,156        |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes                                    | (41)                  | 543      | 210                               |                                       | 712          |
| Equity earnings of subsidiaries   | 462                   | 15       |                                   | (477)                                 |              |
| Net earnings (loss) attributable to Altria Group, Inc.                  | \$ 444                | \$ 927   | \$ (450)                          | \$ (477)                              | \$ 444       |



**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Earnings

For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010

(in millions of dollars)

|   | Altria<br>Group, Inc. | PM USA   | Non-<br>Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Total<br>Consolidating<br>Adjustments | Consolidated |
|---|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Net revenues  | \$                    | \$ 5,592 | \$ 682                            | \$                                    | \$ 6,274     |
| Cost of sales   |                       | 1,791    | 176                               |                                       | 1,967        |
| Excise taxes on products  |                       | 1,847    | 86                                |                                       | 1,933        |
| Gross profit  |                       | 1,954    | 420                               |                                       | 2,374        |
| Marketing, administration and research costs                            | 24                    | 536      | 91                                |                                       | 651          |
| Reduction of Kraft and PMI tax-related receivables                      | 169                   |          |                                   |                                       | 169          |
| Asset impairment and exit costs   |                       | 20       | 1                                 |                                       | 21           |
| Amortization of intangibles   |                       | 2        | 2                                 |                                       | 4            |
| Operating (expense) income  | (193)                 | 1,396    | 326                               |                                       | 1,529        |
| Interest and other debt expense, net                                    | 138                   | 6        | 146                               |                                       | 290          |
| Earnings from equity investment in SABMiller                            | (113)                 |          |                                   |                                       | (113)        |
| (Loss) earnings before income taxes and equity earnings of subsidiaries | (218)                 | 1,390    | 180                               |                                       | 1,352        |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes                                    | (228)                 | 480      | 57                                |                                       | 309          |
| Equity earnings of subsidiaries   | 1,032                 |          |                                   | (1,032)                               |              |
| Net earnings  | 1,042                 | 910      | 123                               | (1,032)                               | 1,043        |
| Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests                   |                       |          | (1)                               |                                       | (1)          |
| Net earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc.                         | \$ 1,042              | \$ 910   | \$ 122                            | \$ (1,032)                            | \$ 1,042     |

**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

(in millions of dollars)

|  | Altria<br>Group, Inc. | PM USA  | Non-<br>Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Total<br>Consolidating<br>Adjustments | Consolidated |
|--|-----------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| <b>CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>             |                       |         |                                   |                                       |              |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities                | \$ (427)              | \$ 967  | \$ (61)                           | \$                                    | \$ 479       |
| <b>CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>             |                       |         |                                   |                                       |              |
| <b>Consumer products</b>   |                       |         |                                   |                                       |              |
| Capital expenditures   |                       | (10)    | (30)                              |                                       | (40)         |
| Other  |                       | 1       |                                   |                                       | 1            |
| <b>Financial services</b>  |                       |         |                                   |                                       |              |
| Proceeds from finance assets                                       |                       |         | 129                               |                                       | 129          |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities                |                       | (9)     | 99                                |                                       | 90           |
| <b>CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>             |                       |         |                                   |                                       |              |
| <b>Consumer products</b>   |                       |         |                                   |                                       |              |
| Long-term debt issued  | 1,494                 |         |                                   |                                       | 1,494        |
| Repurchases of common stock  | (575)                 |         |                                   |                                       | (575)        |
| Dividends paid on common stock                                     | (1,589)               |         |                                   |                                       | (1,589)      |
| Issuances of common stock  | 29                    |         |                                   |                                       | 29           |
| Changes in amounts due to/from Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries | (975)                 | 880     | 95                                |                                       |              |
| Financing fees and debt issuance costs                             | (23)                  |         |                                   |                                       | (23)         |
| Cash dividends received from/(paid by) subsidiaries                | 1,783                 | (1,728) | (55)                              |                                       |              |
| Other  | 26                    | (110)   | (71)                              |                                       | (155)        |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities                | 170                   | (958)   | (31)                              |                                       | (819)        |
| <b>Cash and cash equivalents:</b>                                  |                       |         |                                   |                                       |              |
| (Decrease) Increase  | (257)                 |         | 7                                 |                                       | (250)        |
| Balance at beginning of period                                     | 2,298                 |         | 16                                |                                       | 2,314        |
| Balance at end of period   | \$ 2,041              | \$      | \$ 23                             | \$                                    | \$ 2,064     |



**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010

(in millions of dollars)

|  | Altria<br>Group, Inc. | PM USA  | Non-<br>Guarantor<br>Subsidiaries | Total<br>Consolidating<br>Adjustments | Consolidated |
|--|-----------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| <b>CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>             |                       |         |                                   |                                       |              |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities                | \$ (201)              | \$ 300  | \$ 192                            | \$                                    | \$ 291       |
| <b>CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>             |                       |         |                                   |                                       |              |
| <b>Consumer products</b>   |                       |         |                                   |                                       |              |
| Capital expenditures   |                       | (22)    | (47)                              |                                       | (69)         |
| Other  |                       | 2       | 16                                |                                       | 18           |
| <b>Financial services</b>  |                       |         |                                   |                                       |              |
| Proceeds from finance assets                                       |                       |         | 72                                |                                       | 72           |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities                |                       | (20)    | 41                                |                                       | 21           |
| <b>CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>             |                       |         |                                   |                                       |              |
| <b>Consumer products</b>   |                       |         |                                   |                                       |              |
| Issuances of short-term borrowings                                 | 200                   |         |                                   |                                       | 200          |
| Long-term debt issued  | 797                   |         |                                   |                                       | 797          |
| Long-term debt repaid  | (775)                 |         |                                   |                                       | (775)        |
| Dividends paid on common stock                                     | (1,435)               |         |                                   |                                       | (1,435)      |
| Issuances of common stock  | 39                    |         |                                   |                                       | 39           |
| Changes in amounts due to/from Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries | (1,441)               | 1,501   | (60)                              |                                       |              |
| Financing fees and debt issuance costs                             | (5)                   |         |                                   |                                       | (5)          |
| Cash dividends received from/(paid by) subsidiaries                | 1,766                 | (1,700) | (66)                              |                                       |              |
| Other  | 27                    | (80)    | (97)                              |                                       | (150)        |
| Net cash used in financing activities                              | (827)                 | (279)   | (223)                             |                                       | (1,329)      |
| Cash and cash equivalents:   |                       |         |                                   |                                       |              |
| (Decrease) Increase  | (1,028)               | 1       | 10                                |                                       | (1,017)      |
| Balance at beginning of period                                     | 1,862                 |         | 9                                 |                                       | 1,871        |
| Balance at end of period   | \$ 834                | \$ 1    | \$ 19                             | \$                                    | \$ 854       |



**Table of Contents**

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

**Note 13. Recent Accounting Guidance Not Yet Adopted:**

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued authoritative guidance that will eliminate the option of presenting components of other comprehensive earnings as part of the statement of stockholders' equity. The guidance will instead require the reporting of other comprehensive earnings in a single continuous statement of comprehensive earnings or in a separate statement immediately following the statement of earnings. The new guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011; however, early adoption is permitted. Altria Group, Inc. is currently evaluating the authoritative guidance and intends to comply with all new reporting requirements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued authoritative guidance relating to fair value measurement and disclosure requirements. The new guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is not permitted. Altria Group, Inc. does not anticipate that the adoption of this guidance will have a significant impact on Altria Group, Inc.'s existing fair value measurements or disclosures.

**Table of Contents**

Item 2.

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF  
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

**Description of the Company**

At June 30, 2011, Altria Group, Inc.'s wholly-owned subsidiaries included Philip Morris USA Inc. ( "PM USA" ), which is engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes and certain smokeless products in the United States; UST LLC ( "UST" ), which through its direct and indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries including U.S. Smokeless Tobacco Company LLC ( "USSTC" ) and Ste. Michelle Wine Estates Ltd. ( "Ste. Michelle" ), is engaged in the manufacture and sale of smokeless products and wine; and John Middleton Co. ( "Middleton" ), which is engaged in the manufacture and sale of machine-made large cigars and pipe tobacco. Philip Morris Capital Corporation ( "PMCC" ), another wholly-owned subsidiary of Altria Group, Inc., maintains a portfolio of leveraged and direct finance leases. In addition, Altria Group, Inc. held a 27.1% economic and voting interest in SABMiller plc ( "SABMiller" ) at June 30, 2011, which is accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Altria Group, Inc.'s access to the operating cash flows of its wholly-owned subsidiaries consists of cash received from the payment of dividends and distributions, and the payment of interest on intercompany loans by its subsidiaries. In addition, Altria Group, Inc. receives cash dividends on its interest in SABMiller, if and when SABMiller pays such dividends on its stock. At June 30, 2011, Altria Group, Inc.'s principal wholly-owned subsidiaries were not limited by long-term debt or other agreements in their ability to pay cash dividends or make other distributions with respect to their common stock.

The products and services of Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries constitute Altria Group, Inc.'s reportable segments of cigarettes, smokeless products, cigars, wine and financial services.

**Table of Contents**

**Executive Summary**

The following executive summary is intended to provide significant highlights of the *Discussion and Analysis* that follows.

*Consolidated Results of Operations for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011* The changes in Altria Group, Inc.'s net earnings and diluted earnings per share (EPS) attributable to Altria Group, Inc. for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from the six months ended June 30, 2010, were due primarily to the following:

|   | Net Earnings<br>(in millions, except per share data) | Diluted EPS |
|---|--|-------------|
| For the six months ended June 30, 2010                            | \$ 1,855   | \$ 0.89     |
| 2010 Asset impairment, exit, implementation and integration costs | 59   | 0.03        |
| 2010 UST acquisition-related costs                                | 6  |             |
| 2010 SABMiller special items                                      | 41   | 0.02        |
| 2010 Tax items  | (46)   | (0.02)      |
| Subtotal 2010 items   | 60   | 0.03        |
| 2011 Asset impairment, exit and integration costs                 | (3)  |             |
| 2011 UST acquisition-related costs                                | (3)  |             |
| 2011 SABMiller special items                                      | (16)   | (0.01)      |
| 2011 PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge*                                 | (627)  | (0.30)      |
| Subtotal 2011 items   | (649)  | (0.31)      |
| Operations  | 115  | 0.05        |
| For the six months ended June 30, 2011                            | \$ 1,381   | \$ 0.66     |

\* Includes the tax impact of the PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge.

See discussion of events affecting the comparability of statement of earnings amounts in the *Consolidated Operating Results* section of the following *Discussion and Analysis*.

*Operations* The increase of \$115 million shown in the table above was due primarily to the following:

Higher income from the cigarettes and smokeless products segments; and

Higher ongoing equity earnings from SABMiller;  
partially offset by:

Lower income from the cigars and financial services segments.

For further details, see the *Consolidated Operating Results* and *Operating Results by Business Segment* sections of the following *Discussion and Analysis*.





**Table of Contents**

*Consolidated Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011* The changes in Altria Group, Inc.'s net earnings and diluted EPS attributable to Altria Group, Inc. for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from the three months ended June 30, 2010, were due primarily to the following:

|   | Net Earnings<br>(in millions, except per share data) | Diluted EPS |
|---|--|-------------|
| For the three months ended June 30, 2010                          | \$ 1,042   | \$ 0.50     |
| 2010 Asset impairment, exit, implementation and integration costs | 32   | 0.02        |
| 2010 UST acquisition-related costs                                | 3  |             |
| 2010 SABMiller special items                                      | 30   | 0.01        |
| 2010 Tax items  | (58)   | (0.03)      |
| Subtotal 2010 items   | 7  |             |
| 2011 Asset impairment, exit and integration costs                 | (2)  |             |
| 2011 SABMiller special items                                      | (37)   | (0.02)      |
| 2011 PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge*                                 | (627)  | (0.30)      |
| Subtotal 2011 items   | (666)  | (0.32)      |
| Operations  | 61   | 0.03        |
| For the three months ended June 30, 2011                          | \$ 444   | \$ 0.21     |

\*Includes the tax impact of the PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge.

See discussion of events affecting the comparability of statement of earnings amounts in the Consolidated Operating Results section of the following Discussion and Analysis.

*Operations* The increase of \$61 million shown in the table above was due primarily to the following:

Higher income from the cigarettes and smokeless products segments; and

Higher ongoing equity earnings from SABMiller;  
partially offset by:

Lower income from the financial services and cigars segments.

For further details, see the Consolidated Operating Results and Operating Results by Business Segment sections of the following Discussion and Analysis.

**Table of Contents**

**2011 Forecasted Results** In June 2011, Altria Group, Inc. revised its 2011 full-year guidance for reported diluted EPS to a range of \$1.70 to \$1.76 from a range of \$2.00 to \$2.06, reflecting the one-time charge associated with the PMCC leveraged lease transactions discussed further below. In July 2011, Altria Group, Inc. reaffirmed this revised forecast. This forecast includes estimated net charges of \$0.31 per share as detailed in the table below, as compared with 2010 full-year reported diluted EPS of \$1.87, which included \$0.03 per share of net charges, as detailed in the table below. In June and July 2011, Altria Group, Inc. reaffirmed its 2011 full-year guidance for adjusted diluted EPS, which excludes the net charges in the table below, representing a growth rate of 6% to 9% over 2010 full-year adjusted diluted EPS. Altria Group, Inc.'s 2011 first-half results reflect in part the favorable impact of trade inventory dynamics. PM USA believes that the trade has begun to deplete cigarette inventories built in the first half of 2011, and expects this depletion to negatively impact PM USA's 2011 third-quarter cigarette shipment volume and income. As a result, Altria Group, Inc. expects its quarterly adjusted diluted EPS growth to be stronger in the fourth quarter of 2011 than in the third quarter of 2011. Altria Group, Inc. anticipates that 2011 second-half adjusted diluted EPS growth will be higher than the first half of 2011.

The business environment for 2011 is likely to remain challenging, as adult consumers remain under economic pressure and face high unemployment. Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco operating companies continue to face significant competitive activity. The factors described in the *Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results* section of the following *Discussion and Analysis* represent continuing risks to this forecast.

**Net Charges Included In Reported Diluted EPS**

|  | <b>2011</b> | <b>2010</b> |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Asset impairment, exit, implementation and integration costs | \$          | \$ 0.04     |
| UST acquisition-related costs                                |             | 0.01        |
| SABMiller special items                                      | 0.01        | 0.03        |
| PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge                                  | 0.30        |             |
| Tax items*   |             | (0.05)      |
|  | \$ 0.31     | \$ 0.03     |

\*Excludes the tax impact of the 2011 PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge.

Adjusted diluted EPS is a financial measure that is not consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( U.S. GAAP ). Certain income and expense items that management believes are not part of underlying operations are excluded from adjusted diluted EPS because such items can obscure underlying business trends. Management believes it is appropriate to disclose this non-GAAP financial measure to help investors analyze underlying business performance and trends. This adjusted measure is regularly provided to Altria Group, Inc.'s chief operating decision maker for use in the evaluation of segment performance and allocation of resources. This information should be considered as supplemental in nature and is not meant to be considered in isolation or as a substitute for the related financial information prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

**Table of Contents****Discussion and Analysis****Consolidated Operating Results**

See pages 111-115 for a discussion of *Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results*.

|   | For the Six Months Ended<br>June 30, |                  | For the Three Months Ended<br>June 30, |                 |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------|--|-----------------|
|   | 2011                                 | 2010             | 2011                                   | 2010            |
|   | (in millions)                        |                  |  |                 |
| <b>Net revenues:</b>                      |                                      |                  |  |                 |
| Cigarettes                                | \$ 10,735                            | \$ 10,712        | \$ 5,709                               | \$ 5,589        |
| Smokeless products                        | 783                                  | 771              | 404                                    | 390             |
| Cigars                                    | 266                                  | 290              | 149                                    | 155             |
| Wine                                      | 217                                  | 201              | 116                                    | 106             |
| Financial services                        | (438)                                | 60               | (458)                                  | 34              |
| <b>Net revenues</b>                       | <b>\$ 11,563</b>                     | <b>\$ 12,034</b> | <b>\$ 5,920</b>                        | <b>\$ 6,274</b> |
| <b>Excise taxes on products:</b>          |                                      |                  |  |                 |
| Cigarettes                                | \$ 3,452                             | \$ 3,578         | \$ 1,833                               | \$ 1,847        |
| Smokeless products                        | 53                                   | 53               | 27                                     | 27              |
| Cigars                                    | 105                                  | 103              | 54                                     | 55              |
| Wine                                      | 8                                    | 8                | 4                                      | 4               |
| <b>Excise taxes on products</b>           | <b>\$ 3,618</b>                      | <b>\$ 3,742</b>  | <b>\$ 1,918</b>                        | <b>\$ 1,933</b> |
| <b>Operating income:</b>                  |                                      |                  |  |                 |
| <b>Operating companies income (loss):</b> |                                      |                  |  |                 |
| Cigarettes                                | \$ 2,883                             | \$ 2,680         | \$ 1,536                               | \$ 1,450        |
| Smokeless products                        | 415                                  | 376              | 222                                    | 198             |
| Cigars                                    | 69                                   | 103              | 47                                     | 56              |
| Wine                                      | 31                                   | 19               | 19                                     | 12              |
| Financial services                        | (442)                                | 60               | (463)                                  | 39              |
| Amortization of intangibles               | (11)                                 | (10)             | (5)                                    | (4)             |
| General corporate expenses                | (111)                                | (99)             | (61)                                   | (52)            |
| <b>Reduction of Kraft and PMI</b>         |                                      |                  |  |                 |
| tax-related receivables                   |                                      | (169)            |  | (169)           |
| Corporate exit costs                      |                                      | (1)              |  | (1)             |
| <b>Operating income</b>                   | <b>\$ 2,834</b>                      | <b>\$ 2,959</b>  | <b>\$ 1,295</b>                        | <b>\$ 1,529</b> |

As discussed further in Note 7, *Segment Reporting* to the condensed consolidated financial statements, Altria Group, Inc.'s chief operating decision maker reviews operating companies income, which is defined as operating income before general corporate expenses and amortization of intangibles, to evaluate segment performance and allocate resources. Management believes it is appropriate to disclose this measure to help investors analyze the business performance and trends of the various business segments.

**Table of Contents**

The following events that occurred during the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 affected the comparability of statement of earnings amounts.

*Asset Impairment, Exit, Implementation and Integration Costs* For the six and three months ended June 30, 2011, total pre-tax asset impairment and exit costs were \$3 million and \$1 million, respectively, all of which were reported in the cigarettes segment. In addition, total pre-tax integration costs of \$2 million were reported in the smokeless products segment for both the six and three months ended June 30, 2011. There were no implementation costs incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Pre-tax asset impairment, exit, implementation and integration costs for the six and three months ended June 30, 2010 consisted of the following:

|                    | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010   |                         |                      |       |
|--------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|-------|
|                    | Asset Impairment<br>and<br>Exit<br>Costs | Implementation<br>Costs | Integration<br>Costs | Total |
|                    | (in millions)                            |                         |                      |       |
| Cigarettes         | \$ 25                                    | \$ 49                   | \$                   | \$ 74 |
| Smokeless products | 2  |                         | 11                   | 13    |
| Cigars             |  |                         | 1                    | 1     |
| Wine               |  |                         | 1                    | 1     |
| General corporate  | 1  |                         |                      | 1     |
| Total              | \$ 28                                    | \$ 49                   | \$ 13                | \$ 90 |

|                    | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 |                         |                      |       |
|--------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|-------|
|                    | Asset Impairment<br>and<br>Exit<br>Costs | Implementation<br>Costs | Integration<br>Costs | Total |
|                    | (in millions)                            |                         |                      |       |
| Cigarettes         | \$ 20                                    | \$ 25                   | \$                   | \$ 45 |
| Smokeless products |  |                         | 4                    | 4     |
| General corporate  | 1  |                         |                      | 1     |
| Total              | \$ 21                                    | \$ 25                   | \$ 4                 | \$ 50 |

For further details on asset impairment, exit, implementation and integration costs, see Note 2. *Asset Impairment, Exit, Implementation and Integration Costs* to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Altria Group, Inc. achieved cost savings of \$115 million and \$80 million during the six and three months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. Altria Group, Inc. expects to achieve at least \$30 million in additional cost savings by the end of 2011 and is expected to exceed its goal of \$1.5 billion in cost reductions since 2006.

Altria Group, Inc. had a severance liability balance of \$5 million at June 30, 2011 related to restructuring programs.

*SABMiller Special Items* Altria Group, Inc.'s earnings from its equity investment in SABMiller for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 included costs for SABMiller's business capability programme and asset impairment charges related to the disposal of a distribution business in Italy. The costs and charges for the six months ended June 30, 2011 were partially offset by gains resulting

## Edgar Filing: ALTRIA GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

from SABMiller's hotel and gaming transaction. For the six and three months ended June 30, 2010, Altria Group, Inc.'s earnings from its equity investment in SABMiller included costs for SABMiller's transaction to promote sustainable economic and social development in South Africa, and costs for SABMiller's business capability programme.

-77-

**Table of Contents**

*PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge* During the second quarter of 2011, Altria Group, Inc. recorded a one-time charge of \$627 million related to the tax treatment of certain leveraged lease transactions entered into by PMCC ( *PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge* ). Approximately 50% of the charge (\$315 million), which does not include potential penalties, represents a reduction in cumulative lease earnings recorded to date that will be recaptured over the remainder of the affected lease terms. The remaining portion of the charge (\$312 million) primarily represents a permanent charge for interest on tax underpayments. The one-time charge was recorded in Altria Group, Inc.'s condensed consolidated statements of earnings for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 as follows:

|  | Net<br>Revenues | Provision for<br>Income Taxes<br>(in millions) | Total         |
|--|-----------------|--|---------------|
| Reduction to cumulative lease earnings | \$ 490          | \$ (175)                                       | \$ 315        |
| Interest on tax underpayments          |                 | 312  | 312           |
| <b>Total</b>                           | <b>\$ 490</b>   | <b>\$ 137</b>                                  | <b>\$ 627</b> |

For further discussion, see Note 11. *Contingencies* to the condensed consolidated financial statements ( *Note 11* ).

*Tax Items* The income tax rate for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 included the impact of the interest on tax underpayments associated with the PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge discussed above. The income tax rate for the six and three months ended June 30, 2010 included the reversal of tax reserves and associated interest following the resolution of certain federal and state audits in the second quarter of 2010. For further discussion, see Note 10. *Income Taxes* to the condensed consolidated financial statements ( *Note 10* ).

---

**Table of Contents**

*Consolidated Results of Operations for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011*

The following discussion compares consolidated operating results for the six months ended June 30, 2011, with the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, decreased \$471 million (3.9%), due primarily to lower net revenues from the financial services segment as a result of the PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge discussed above.

Excise taxes on products decreased \$124 million (3.3%), due primarily to lower cigarettes volume.

Cost of sales decreased \$9 million (0.2%), due primarily to lower cigarettes volume and 2010 implementation costs, partially offset by higher per unit settlement charges, higher user fees imposed by the United States Food and Drug Administration ( FDA ) and higher manufacturing costs.

Marketing, administration and research costs decreased \$20 million (1.5%), primarily reflecting the cost reduction initiatives discussed above and lower integration costs, partially offset by a \$36 million charge for the *Scott* case in 2011 (See Note 11).

Operating income decreased \$125 million (4.2%), due primarily to lower operating results from the financial services segment (reflecting the impact to net revenues associated with the PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge) and cigars segment, partially offset by higher operating results from the cigarettes, smokeless products and wine segments (which included lower asset impairment, exit, implementation and integration costs) and the 2010 reduction in tax-related receivables from Kraft Foods Inc. ( Kraft ) and Philip Morris International Inc. ( PMI ). As discussed in Note 10, the reduction of the Kraft and PMI tax-related receivables was fully offset by a tax benefit associated with Kraft and PMI.

Earnings from Altria Group, Inc. 's equity investment in SABMiller increased \$93 million (37.1%), due primarily to higher ongoing equity earnings and higher net charges in 2010 for SABMiller special items, partially offset by lower gains resulting from issuances of common stock by SABMiller.

Altria Group, Inc. 's income tax rate increased 17.5 percentage points to 47.0%, due primarily to the \$312 million portion of the PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge, that primarily represents a permanent charge for interest on tax underpayments, and the reversal of tax reserves and associated interest following the resolution of certain federal and state audits in the second quarter of 2010.

Net earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc. of \$1,381 million decreased \$474 million (25.6%), due primarily to lower operating income and a higher tax rate, partially offset by higher earnings from Altria Group, Inc. 's equity investment in SABMiller. Diluted and basic EPS attributable to Altria Group, Inc. of \$0.66, each decreased by 25.8%.



**Table of Contents**

*Consolidated Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011*

The following discussion compares consolidated operating results for the three months ended June 30, 2011, with the three months ended June 30, 2010.

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, decreased \$354 million (5.6%), due primarily to lower net revenues from the financial services segment as a result of the PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge discussed above, partially offset by higher revenues from the cigarettes segment.

Excise taxes on products decreased \$15 million (0.8%), due primarily to lower cigarettes volume.

Cost of sales increased \$63 million (3.2%), due primarily to higher per unit settlement charges, higher FDA user fees and higher manufacturing costs, partially offset by 2010 implementation costs.

Marketing, administration and research costs increased \$20 million (3.1%), due primarily to a \$36 million charge for the *Scott* case in 2011 (see Note 11), partially offset by the cost reduction initiatives discussed above.

Operating income decreased \$234 million (15.3%), due primarily to lower operating results from the financial services segment (reflecting the impact to net revenues associated with the PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge), partially offset by higher operating results from the cigarettes and smokeless products segments (which included lower asset impairment, exit, implementation and integration costs), and the 2010 reduction in tax-related receivables from Kraft and PMI. As discussed in Note 10, the reduction of the Kraft and PMI tax-related receivables was fully offset by a tax benefit associated with Kraft and PMI.

Earnings from Altria Group, Inc.'s equity investment in SABMiller increased \$42 million (37.2%), due primarily to higher ongoing equity earnings.

Altria Group, Inc.'s income tax rate increased 38.7 percentage points to 61.6%, due primarily to the \$312 million portion of the PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge, that primarily represents a permanent charge for interest on tax underpayments, and the reversal of tax reserves and associated interest following the resolution of certain federal and state audits in the second quarter of 2010.

Net earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc. of \$444 million decreased \$598 million (57.4%), due primarily to lower operating income and a higher tax rate, partially offset by higher earnings from Altria Group, Inc.'s equity investment in SABMiller. Diluted and basic EPS attributable to Altria Group, Inc. of \$0.21, each decreased by 58.0%.

**Table of Contents**

**Operating Results by Business Segment**

**Tobacco Space**

**Business Environment**

**Summary**

The United States tobacco industry faces a number of business and legal challenges that have adversely affected and may adversely affect the business and sales volume of our tobacco subsidiaries and our consolidated results of operations, cash flows and financial position. These challenges, some of which are discussed in more detail below, in Note 11. *Contingencies* to the condensed consolidated financial statements ( Note 11 ) and in *Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results*, include:

pending and threatened litigation and bonding requirements as discussed in Note 11;

restrictions imposed by the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act (the FSPTCA ) enacted in June 2009, and restrictions that have been, and in the future may be, imposed by the FDA under this statute;

actual and proposed excise tax increases, as well as changes in tax structures and tax stamping requirements;

bans and restrictions on tobacco use imposed by governmental and private entities;

other federal, state and local government actions, including:

restrictions on the sale of tobacco products by certain retail establishments, the use of characterizing flavors and the sale of tobacco products in certain package sizes;

additional restrictions on the advertising and promotion of tobacco products;

additional requirements setting ignition propensity standards for cigarettes;

other actual and proposed tobacco product legislation and regulation; and

governmental investigations;

the diminishing prevalence of cigarette smoking and increased efforts by tobacco control advocates and others to further restrict tobacco use;

price gaps and changes in price gaps between premium and lowest price brands;

competitive disadvantages related to cigarette price increases attributable to the settlement of certain litigation;

illicit trade practices, including the sale of counterfeit tobacco products by third parties; the sale of tobacco products by third parties over the Internet and by other means designed to avoid the collection of applicable taxes; diversion into one market of products intended for sale in another; the potential assertion of claims and other issues relating to contraband shipments of tobacco products;

**Table of Contents**

and the imposition of additional legislative or regulatory requirements related to illicit trade practices; and

potential adverse changes in tobacco leaf price, availability and quality.

We have provided additional detail on the following topics below:

FSPTCA and FDA Regulation;

Excise Taxes;

International Treaty on Tobacco Control;

State Settlement Agreements;

Other Federal, State and Local Regulation and Activity;

Illicit Trade;

Tobacco Price, Availability and Quality; and

Timing of Sales.

**FSPTCA and FDA Regulation**

***The Regulatory Framework***

The FSPTCA expressly establishes certain restrictions and prohibitions on our cigarette and smokeless tobacco businesses and authorizes or requires further FDA action. Under the FSPTCA, the FDA has broad authority to regulate the design, manufacture, packaging, advertising, promotion, sale and distribution of cigarettes, cigarette tobacco and smokeless tobacco products; the authority to require disclosures of related information; and the authority to enforce the FSPTCA and related regulations. The law also grants the FDA authority to extend its application, by regulation, to other tobacco products, including cigars. The FDA has indicated that regulation of cigars and other tobacco products is on its agenda of items to consider for possible rule-making.

Among other measures, the FSPTCA:

imposes restrictions on the advertising, promotion, sale and distribution of tobacco products, including at retail;

prohibits cigarettes with characterizing flavors other than menthol and tobacco;

bans descriptors such as light, mild or low or similar descriptors unless expressly authorized by the FDA;

requires extensive ingredient disclosure to the FDA and may require more limited public ingredient disclosure;

prohibits any express or implied claims that a tobacco product is or may be less harmful than other tobacco products without FDA authorization;

## **Table of Contents**

imposes reporting obligations relating to contraband activity and grants the FDA authority to impose other recordkeeping and reporting obligations to address counterfeit and contraband products;

changes the language of the cigarette and smokeless tobacco product health warnings, enlarges their size and requires the development by the FDA of graphic warnings for cigarettes, which it published in June 2011, and gives the FDA the authority to require new warnings;

authorizes the FDA to adopt product regulations and related actions, including:

to impose tobacco product standards that are appropriate for the protection of the public health through a regulatory process including, among other possibilities, restrictions on ingredients, constituents or other properties, performance or design criteria as well as to impose testing, measurement, reporting and disclosure requirements;

to subject tobacco products that are modified or first introduced into the market after March 22, 2011 to application and premarket review and authorization requirements (the New Product Application Process ) if the FDA does not find them to be substantially equivalent to products commercially marketed prior to February 15, 2007, and to deny any such new product application thus preventing the distribution and sale of any product affected by such denial;

to determine that certain existing tobacco products modified or introduced into the market for the first time between February 15, 2007 and March 22, 2011 are not substantially equivalent to products commercially marketed prior to February 15, 2007, in which case the FDA could require the removal of such products or subject them to the New Product Application Process and, if any such applications are denied, prevent the continued distribution and sale of such products (see *FDA Regulatory Actions* below);

to restrict or otherwise regulate menthol cigarettes, as well as other tobacco products with characterizing flavors;

to regulate nicotine yields and to reduce or eliminate harmful constituents or harmful ingredients or other components of tobacco products;

to impose manufacturing standards for tobacco products; and

equips the FDA with a variety of investigatory and enforcement tools, including the authority to inspect tobacco product manufacturing and other facilities.

### ***Implementation Timing***

The implementation of the FSPTCA began in 2009 and will continue over time. Some provisions took effect immediately, some provisions have taken effect since the enactment of the FSPTCA and other provisions will not take effect for some time. Those provisions that require the FDA to take action through rulemaking generally involve consideration of public comment and, for some issues, scientific review. Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco subsidiaries are participating actively in processes established by the FDA to develop and implement its regulatory framework, including submission of comments to FDA proposals and draft guidelines and participation in public hearings and engagement sessions.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Impact on Our Business; Compliance Costs***

Regulations imposed by the FDA under the FSPTCA could have a material adverse impact on the business and sales volume of Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco businesses in a number of different ways. For example, actions by the FDA could:

impact the consumer acceptability of tobacco products;

delay or prevent the sale or distribution of existing, new or modified tobacco products;

limit adult consumer choices;

restrict communications to adult consumers;

create a competitive advantage or disadvantage for certain tobacco companies;

impose additional manufacturing, labeling or packaging requirements;

impose restrictions at retail; or

otherwise significantly increase the cost of doing business.

The failure to comply with FDA regulatory requirements, even by inadvertence, and FDA enforcement actions could have a material adverse effect on the business, financial condition and results of operations of Altria Group, Inc. and its tobacco subsidiaries.

The law imposes fees on tobacco product manufacturers and importers to pay for the cost of regulation and other matters. The cost of the FDA user fee is allocated first among tobacco product categories subject to FDA regulation according to a process set out in the statute, and then among manufacturers and importers within each respective class based on their relative market shares. The impact of the user fee on Altria Group, Inc. is discussed in *Debt and Liquidity*. In addition, compliance with the law's regulatory requirements will result in additional costs for our tobacco businesses. The amount of additional compliance and related costs is unknown and will depend substantially on the nature of the requirements imposed by the FDA under the new statute. Those compliance and other related costs, however, could be substantial.

### ***Investigation and Enforcement***

The FDA has a number of investigatory and enforcement tools available to it, including document requests and other required information submissions, facility inspections, examinations and investigations, injunction proceedings, money penalties, product withdrawals and recalls, and product seizures. The use of any of these investigatory or enforcement tools by the FDA could result in significant costs to the tobacco businesses of Altria Group, Inc. or otherwise have a material adverse effect on the business, financial condition and results of operations of Altria Group, Inc. and its tobacco subsidiaries.

For example, in June 2010, the FDA issued a document request regarding changes to *Marlboro* Gold Pack cigarette packaging in connection with the FSPTCA's ban of certain descriptors. PM USA submitted documents in response to the FDA's request.

---

**Table of Contents**

***TPSAC***

***The Role of the TPSAC***

As required by the FSPTCA, the FDA has established a tobacco product scientific advisory committee (the TPSAC), which consists of both voting and non-voting members, to provide advice, reports, information and recommendations to the FDA on scientific and health issues relating to tobacco products. The TPSAC:

advises the FDA about modified risk products (products marketed with reduced risk claims), good manufacturing practices, the effects of the alteration of nicotine yields from tobacco products and nicotine dependence thresholds; and

makes reports and recommendations to the FDA on menthol cigarettes, including the impact of the use of menthol in cigarettes on the public health, and the nature and impact of dissolvable tobacco products on the public health.

The FDA may seek advice from the TPSAC about other safety, dependence or health issues relating to tobacco products, including tobacco product standards and applications to market new tobacco products.

***TPSAC Membership***

PM USA and USSTC have raised with the FDA their concerns that certain of the voting members of the TPSAC have financial and other conflicts (including services as paid experts for plaintiffs in tobacco litigation) that could hamper the full and fair consideration of issues by the TPSAC and requested that their appointments be withdrawn. The FDA declined PM USA's and USSTC's requests, stating that the FDA had satisfied itself, after inquiry, that the TPSAC members did not have disqualifying conflicts of interest. The FDA stated further that it would continue to screen, in accordance with relevant statutory and regulatory provisions and FDA guidance, all TPSAC members for potential conflicts of interest for matters that the TPSAC would be considering. The FDA also has engaged two individuals to serve as consultants to a TPSAC subcommittee who also have served as paid experts for plaintiffs in tobacco litigation. PM USA and USSTC raised similar concerns related to the engagement of these individuals and the FDA similarly declined to terminate these engagements. In February 2011, Lorillard Tobacco Company and R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company filed suit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia against the United States Department of Health and Human Services and individual defendants (sued in their official capacities) asserting that the composition of the TPSAC and the composition of the Constituents Subcommittee of the TPSAC violates several federal laws, including the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

***TPSAC Action on Menthol***

As mandated by the FSPTCA, on March 18, 2011, the TPSAC submitted to the FDA a report on the impact of the use of menthol in cigarettes on the public health and related recommendations. The TPSAC report stated that [m]enthol cigarettes have an adverse impact on public health in the United States. The TPSAC report recommended that the [r]emoval of menthol cigarettes from the marketplace would benefit public health in the United States. The report noted the potential that any ban on menthol cigarettes could lead to an increase in contraband cigarettes and other potential unintended consequences and suggested that the FDA consult with appropriate experts on this matter. The TPSAC report also recommended that additional research could address gaps in understanding menthol cigarettes.

On March 22, 2011, PM USA submitted a report to the FDA outlining its position that neither science nor other evidence demonstrates that regulatory actions or restrictions related to the use of menthol cigarettes are warranted. The report noted PM USA's belief that significant restrictions on the use of menthol cigarettes would have unintended consequences detrimental to public health and society.



---

## **Table of Contents**

On July 21, 2011, the TPSAC revised and approved its March 18, 2011 report. The revisions were editorial in nature and did not change the substantive conclusions and recommendations of the TPSAC.

The FSPTCA does not set a deadline or required timeline for the FDA to act on the TPSAC report. The FDA has stated that the TPSAC report is only a recommendation, that the FDA's receipt of the TPSAC's menthol report will not have an immediate effect on the availability of menthol cigarettes, and that the FDA will review the various submitted reports and available scientific information on menthol to determine what future regulatory action, if any, is warranted. Such future action could include restrictions, or a possible ban, on the manufacturing, marketing and sale of menthol cigarettes. As part of its 90-day progress report on menthol, the FDA announced that it plans to submit its draft review of the science on menthol to an external peer review panel. The FDA indicated that it plans to eventually make the results of this peer review and of the FDA's preliminary scientific assessment available for public comment. Any future action taken by the FDA to regulate the manufacture, marketing or sale of menthol cigarettes will require formal rulemaking that includes public notice and the opportunity for public comment.

### ***Final Tobacco Marketing Rule***

As required by the FSPTCA, the FDA re-promulgated in March 2010 certain advertising and promotion restrictions in substantially the same form as regulations that were previously adopted in 1996 (but never imposed on tobacco manufacturers due to a United States Supreme Court ruling) (the Final Tobacco Marketing Rule). The Final Tobacco Marketing Rule:

bans the use of color and graphics in tobacco product labeling and advertising;

prohibits the sale of cigarettes and smokeless tobacco to underage persons;

requires the sale of cigarettes and smokeless tobacco in direct, face-to-face transactions;

prohibits sampling of cigarettes and prohibits sampling of smokeless tobacco products except in qualified adult-only facilities;

prohibits gifts or other items in exchange for buying cigarettes or smokeless tobacco products;

prohibits the sale or distribution of items such as hats and tee shirts with tobacco brands or logos; and

prohibits brand name sponsorship of any athletic, musical, artistic, or other social or cultural event, or any entry or team in any event. Subject to the limitations imposed by the injunction in the *Commonwealth Brands* case described below, the Final Tobacco Marketing Rule took effect on June 22, 2010. At the time of the re-promulgation of the Final Tobacco Marketing Rule, the FDA also issued an advance notice of proposed rulemaking regarding the so-called 1000 foot rule, which would establish restrictions on the placement of outdoor tobacco advertising in relation to schools and playgrounds. PM USA and USSTC submitted comments on this advance notice.

Since enactment, several lawsuits have been filed challenging various provisions of the FSPTCA and the Final Tobacco Marketing Rule, including their constitutionality and the scope of the FDA's authority thereunder. Altria Group, Inc. and its tobacco subsidiaries are not parties to any of these lawsuits. In January 2010, in one such challenge (*Commonwealth Brands*), the United States District Court of the Western District of Kentucky struck down as unconstitutional, and enjoined enforcement of, the portion of the Final Tobacco Marketing Rule that bans the use of color and graphics in labeling and advertising and claims implying that a tobacco product is safer because of FDA regulation. The parties have appealed. The FDA has indicated that it does not intend to enforce the ban on the use of color and graphics in labeling and advertising for the duration of the injunction. It is not possible to predict the outcome of any such litigation or its effect on the extent of the FDA's authority to regulate tobacco products.



## **Table of Contents**

### ***Contraband***

The FSPTCA imposes on manufacturers reporting obligations relating to knowledge of suspected contraband activity and also grants the FDA the authority to impose certain other recordkeeping and reporting obligations to address counterfeit and contraband tobacco products. The FSPTCA also empowers the FDA to assess whether additional tools should be employed to track and trace tobacco products through the distribution chain.

### ***FDA Regulatory Actions***

#### ***Graphic Warnings***

On June 22, 2011, as required by the FSPTCA, the FDA issued its final rule to modify the required warnings that appear on cigarette packages and in cigarette advertisements. The FSPTCA requires the warnings to consist of nine new textual warning statements accompanied by color graphics depicting the negative health consequences of smoking. The graphic health warnings will (i) be located beneath the cellophane, and comprise the top 50 percent of the front and rear panels of cigarette packages and (ii) occupy 20 percent of a cigarette advertisement and be located at the top of the advertisement. Cigarette packaging manufactured after September 22, 2012 must contain the new graphic warnings and all cigarette advertising must contain the new warnings by that date.

#### ***New Product Marketing Authorization Processes***

In January 2011, the FDA issued guidance concerning reports that manufacturers must submit for certain FDA-regulated tobacco products that the manufacturer modified or introduced for the first time into the market after February 15, 2007. These reports must be reviewed by the agency to determine if such tobacco products are substantially equivalent to products commercially available as of February 15, 2007. In general, in order to continue marketing these products sold before March 22, 2011, manufacturers of FDA-regulated tobacco products were required to send to the FDA a report demonstrating substantial equivalence by March 22, 2011. PM USA and USSTC submitted timely reports. PM USA and USSTC can continue marketing these products unless the FDA makes a determination that a specific product is not substantially equivalent. If the FDA ultimately makes such a determination, it could require the removal of such products or subject them to the New Product Application Process and, if any such applications are denied, prevent the continued distribution and sale of such products. PM USA and USSTC believe all of their current products meet the statute's requirements but cannot predict how the FDA will respond to their reports.

Manufacturers intending to introduce new products and certain modified products into the market after March 22, 2011 must submit a report to the FDA and obtain a substantial equivalence order from the agency before introducing the products into the market. If the FDA declines to issue a so-called substantial equivalence order for a product or if the manufacturer itself determines that the product does not meet the substantial equivalence requirements, the product would need to undergo the New Product Application Process. At this time, it is not possible to predict how long agency reviews of either substantial equivalence reports or new product applications will take.

The FDA also published a final regulation on July 5, 2011, establishing a process for requesting an exemption from the substantial equivalence requirements for certain minor modifications to tobacco additives. The final rule becomes effective on August 4, 2011.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### **Excise Taxes**

Tobacco products are subject to substantial excise taxes in the United States. Significant increases in tobacco-related taxes or fees have been proposed or enacted and are likely to continue to be proposed or enacted at the federal, state and local levels within the United States.

Federal, state and local excise taxes have increased substantially over the past decade, far outpacing the rate of inflation. For example, in 2009, the federal excise tax ( FET ) on cigarettes increased from 39 cents per pack to approximately \$1.01 per pack and on July 1, 2010, the New York state excise tax increased \$1.60 to \$4.35 per pack. Between the end of 1998 and July 25, 2011, the weighted-average state and certain local cigarette excise taxes increased from \$0.36 to \$1.37 per pack. As of July 25, 2011, three states (Connecticut, Hawaii and Vermont) increased their cigarette excise taxes this year and one state (New Hampshire) decreased its cigarette excise tax.

Tax increases are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on sales of tobacco products by our tobacco subsidiaries, due to lower consumption levels and to a potential shift in consumer purchases from the premium to the non-premium or discount segments or to other low-priced or low-taxed tobacco products or to counterfeit and contraband products.

A majority of states currently tax smokeless tobacco products using an *ad valorem* method, which is calculated as a percentage of the price of the product, typically the wholesale price. This *ad valorem* method results in more tax being paid on premium products than is paid on lower-priced products of equal weight. Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries support legislation to convert *ad valorem* taxes on smokeless tobacco to a weight-based methodology because, unlike the *ad valorem* tax, a weight-based tax subjects cans of equal weight to the same tax. As of July 25, 2011, twenty-one states, Washington, D.C. and Philadelphia, Pennsylvania have adopted a weight-based tax methodology for smokeless tobacco.

### **International Treaty on Tobacco Control**

*The World Health Organization's Framework Convention on Tobacco Control* (the FCTC ) entered into force in February 2005. As of July 25, 2011, 174 countries, as well as the European Community, have become parties to the FCTC. While the United States is a signatory of the FCTC, it is not currently a party to the agreement, as the agreement has not been submitted to, or ratified by, the United States Senate. The FCTC is the first international public health treaty and its objective is to establish a global agenda for tobacco regulation with the purpose of reducing initiation of tobacco use and encouraging cessation. The treaty recommends (and in certain instances, requires) signatory nations to enact legislation that would, among other things:

establish specific actions to prevent youth tobacco product use;

restrict or eliminate all tobacco product advertising, marketing, promotion and sponsorship;

initiate public education campaigns to inform the public about the health consequences of tobacco consumption and exposure to tobacco smoke and the benefits of quitting;

implement regulations imposing product testing, disclosure and performance standards;

impose health warning requirements on packaging;

adopt measures intended to combat tobacco product smuggling and counterfeit tobacco products;

restrict smoking in public places;



---

**Table of Contents**

implement fiscal policies (tax and price increases);

adopt and implement measures that ensure that descriptive terms do not create the false impression that one brand of tobacco product is safer than another;

phase out duty-free tobacco product sales;

encourage litigation against tobacco product manufacturers; and

adopt and implement guidelines for testing and measuring the contents and emissions of tobacco products.

In addition, there are a number of proposals currently under consideration by the governing body of the FCTC, some of which call for substantial restrictions on the manufacture and marketing of tobacco products. It is not possible to predict the outcome of these proposals or the impact of any FCTC actions on legislation or regulation in the United States, either directly as a result of the United States becoming a party to the FCTC, or whether or how these actions might indirectly influence FDA regulation and enforcement.

**State Settlement Agreements**

As discussed in Note 11, during 1997 and 1998, PM USA and other major domestic tobacco product manufacturers entered into agreements with states and various United States jurisdictions settling asserted and unasserted health care cost recovery and other claims (collectively, the State Settlement Agreements ). These settlements require participating manufacturers to make substantial annual payments. For a discussion of the impact of these payments on Altria Group, Inc., see *Debt and Liquidity*. The settlements also place numerous requirements and restrictions on participating manufacturers' business operations, including prohibitions and restrictions on the advertising and marketing of cigarettes and smokeless tobacco products. Among these are prohibitions of outdoor and transit brand advertising, payments for product placement, and free sampling (except in adult-only facilities). Restrictions are also placed on the use of brand name sponsorships and brand name non-tobacco products. The State Settlement Agreements also place prohibitions on targeting youth and the use of cartoon characters. In addition, the State Settlement Agreements require companies to affirm corporate principles directed at reducing underage use of cigarettes; impose requirements regarding lobbying activities; mandate public disclosure of certain industry documents; limit the industry's ability to challenge certain tobacco control and underage use laws; and provide for the dissolution of certain tobacco-related organizations and place restrictions on the establishment of any replacement organizations.

In November 1998, USSTC entered into the Smokeless Tobacco Master Settlement Agreement (the STMSA ) with the attorneys general of various states and United States territories to resolve the remaining health care cost reimbursement cases initiated against USSTC. The STMSA required USSTC to adopt various marketing and advertising restrictions and make certain payments over a minimum of ten years. USSTC is the only smokeless tobacco manufacturer to sign the STMSA.

**Other Federal, State and Local Regulation and Activity**

***Federal, State and Local Laws***

***State and Local Laws Addressing Certain Characterizing Flavors***

In a growing number of states and localities, legislation has been enacted or proposed that prohibits or would prohibit the sale of certain tobacco products with certain characterizing flavors. The legislation varies in terms of the type of tobacco products subject to prohibition, the conditions under which the sale of such products is or would be prohibited, and exceptions to the prohibitions. For example, a number of proposals

## **Table of Contents**

would prohibit characterizing flavors in smokeless tobacco products, with no exception for mint- or wintergreen-flavored products.

To date, the following states have enacted legislation that prohibits certain tobacco products with certain characterizing flavors:

*Maine* has enacted legislation that prohibits the sale of certain flavored cigar and cigarette products. As implemented, including the application of certain statutory exemptions, this prohibition does not ban any PM USA, USSTC, or Middleton product. In 2010, Maine amended the characterizing flavor prohibition. The amendment allows the continued sale of cigars that obtained favorable exemption rulings under the previous statute but does not provide for the possibility of further exemptions, such as for future products with characterizing flavors.

*New Jersey* has enacted legislation banning the sale and marketing of cigarettes with a characterizing flavor other than menthol, mint or clove. This legislation does not ban any PM USA, USSTC or Middleton product.

In addition, such legislation has been enacted or is being considered in a number of localities. For example:

*New York City* has adopted an ordinance that prohibits the sale of certain flavored tobacco products other than cigarettes. This legislation affects certain USSTC and Middleton products. The ordinance and related final regulations took effect in August 2010. Certain subsidiaries of USSTC have filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York challenging the New York City legislation on the grounds that it is preempted by the FSPTCA. In March 2010, the trial court denied plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction against enforcement of the ordinance. Plaintiffs and the City have moved for summary judgment on the preemption claim. USSTC and Middleton are complying with the ordinance pending resolution of the litigation.

Whether other states or localities will enact legislation in this area, and the precise nature of such legislation if enacted, cannot be predicted. See *FDA Regulation* above for a summary of the FSPTCA's regulation of certain tobacco products with characterizing flavors.

### *State and Local Laws Imposing Certain Speech Requirements and Restrictions*

In several jurisdictions, legislation or regulations have been enacted or proposed that would require the disclosure of health information separate from or in addition to federally-mandated health warnings or that would restrict commercial speech in certain respects. For example, New York City has adopted a regulation requiring retailers selling tobacco products to display a sign, issued by the New York City Board of Health, depicting graphic images of the potential health consequences of smoking and urging smokers to quit. In June 2010, PM USA and other plaintiffs filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York challenging New York City's graphic health warnings regulation and filed a motion seeking to preliminarily enjoin the regulation. In December 2010, the district court declared the regulation null and void, finding that such requirements were preempted by federal law. The City has appealed the decision to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

### *Federal Tobacco Quota Buy-Out*

In October 2004, the Fair and Equitable Tobacco Reform Act of 2004 ( FETRA ) was signed into law. PM USA, Middleton and USSTC are subject to the requirements of FETRA. FETRA eliminated the federal tobacco quota and price support program through an industry-funded buy-out of tobacco growers and quota holders. The cost of the buy-out is approximately \$9.5 billion and is being paid over 10 years by manufacturers and importers of each kind of tobacco product. The cost is being allocated based on the relative market shares of manufacturers and importers of each kind of tobacco product.

---

**Table of Contents**

In February 2011, PM USA filed a lawsuit in federal court challenging the United States Department of Agriculture's (the "USDA") method for calculating the 2011 and future tobacco product class shares that are used to allocate liability for the industry payments that fund the FETRA buy-out described above and are used by the FDA to calculate the industry's FDA user fees. PM USA asserts in this litigation that the USDA violated FETRA and its own regulations by failing to apply the most current FET rates enacted by Congress, which became effective in April 2009, in calculating the class share allocations. PM USA also filed administrative appeals of its FETRA assessments for the first and second quarters of fiscal year 2011 (both of which have been denied by the USDA) and has submitted a petition for rulemaking with USDA (which petition is still pending), in each case asserting that USDA erroneously failed to base the FETRA class share allocations on the current FET rates.

For a discussion of the impact of the State Settlement Agreement, FETRA and FDA user fee payments on Altria Group, Inc., see *Debt and Liquidity Payments Under State Settlement and Other Tobacco Agreements, and FDA Regulation*. We do not anticipate that the quota buy-out will have a material adverse impact on our consolidated results in 2011 and beyond.

*Health Effects of Tobacco Consumption and Exposure to Environmental Tobacco Smoke ( ETS )*

It is the policy of Altria Group, Inc. and its tobacco subsidiaries to defer to the judgment of public health authorities as to the content of warnings in advertisements and on product packaging regarding the health effects of tobacco consumption, addiction and exposure to ETS. Altria Group, Inc. and its tobacco subsidiaries believe that the public should be guided by the messages of the United States Surgeon General and public health authorities worldwide in making decisions concerning the use of tobacco products.

Reports with respect to the health effects of smoking have been publicized for many years, including in a June 2006 United States Surgeon General report on ETS entitled "The Health Consequences of Involuntary Exposure to Tobacco Smoke." Many jurisdictions within the United States have restricted smoking in public places. The pace and scope of public smoking bans have increased significantly. Some public health groups have called for, and various jurisdictions have adopted or proposed, bans on smoking in outdoor places, in private apartments and in cars with minors in them. It is not possible to predict the results of ongoing scientific research or the types of future scientific research into the health risks of tobacco exposure.

*Reduced Cigarette Ignition Propensity Legislation*

Legislation or regulation requiring cigarettes to meet reduced ignition propensity standards (first adopted by New York State in 2004) has been adopted and implemented in all states. PM USA has converted all cigarette production to meet reduced ignition propensity standards.

PM USA continues to support the enactment of federal legislation mandating a uniform and technically feasible national standard for reduced ignition propensity cigarettes that would preempt state standards that are different from the federal standard.

*Other Legislation or Governmental Initiatives*

In addition to the actions discussed above, other regulatory initiatives affecting the tobacco industry have been adopted or are being considered at the federal level and in a number of state and local jurisdictions. For example, in recent years, legislation has been introduced or enacted at the state or local level to subject tobacco products to various reporting requirements and performance standards; establish educational campaigns relating to tobacco consumption or tobacco control programs, or provide additional funding for governmental tobacco control activities; restrict the sale of tobacco products in certain retail establishments and the sale of tobacco products in certain packing sizes; require tax stamping of moist smokeless tobacco products; require the use of state tax stamps using data encryption technology; and further restrict the sale, marketing and advertising of cigarettes and other tobacco products.



## **Table of Contents**

It is not possible to predict what, if any, additional legislation, regulation or other governmental action will be enacted or implemented relating to the manufacturing, design, packaging, marketing, advertising, sale or use of tobacco products, or the tobacco industry generally. It is possible, however, that legislation, regulation or other governmental action could be enacted or implemented in the United States that might materially adversely affect the business and volume of our tobacco subsidiaries and our consolidated results of operations and cash flows.

### ***Governmental Investigations***

From time to time, Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries are subject to governmental investigations on a range of matters. Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries cannot predict whether new investigations may be commenced.

### ***Illicit Trade***

Altria Group, Inc. and its tobacco subsidiaries support appropriate regulations and enforcement measures to prevent illicit trade in tobacco products. For example, Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco subsidiaries are engaged in a number of initiatives to help prevent trade in contraband tobacco products, including: enforcement of wholesale and retail trade programs and policies on trade in contraband tobacco products; engagement with and support of law enforcement and regulatory agencies; litigation to protect their trademarks; and support for a variety of federal and state legislative initiatives. Legislative initiatives to address trade in contraband tobacco products are designed to protect the legitimate channels of distribution, impose more stringent penalties for the violation of illegal trade laws and provide additional tools for law enforcement. Regulatory measures and related governmental actions to prevent the illicit manufacture and trade of tobacco products are being considered by a number of jurisdictions. For example, in March 2010, the President signed into law the Prevent All Cigarette Trafficking (PACT) Act, which addresses illegal Internet sales by, among other things, imposing a series of restrictions and requirements on the delivery sale of cigarettes and smokeless tobacco products and makes such products non-mailable to consumers through the United States Postal Service, subject to limited exceptions. Certain Internet cigarette sellers have filed lawsuits challenging the constitutionality of this statute in the United States District Courts for the District of Columbia (in which the court is reconsidering a motion for temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction), the Western District of New York (in which the court granted plaintiffs' motions for temporary restraining orders and motions for preliminary injunction are pending), and the Eastern District of Pennsylvania (in which a request for preliminary injunction is pending). An appeal in the Western District of New York case is pending.

### ***Tobacco Price, Availability and Quality***

Shifts in crops driven by economic conditions and adverse weather patterns, government mandated prices and production control programs may increase or decrease the cost or reduce the quality of tobacco and other agricultural products used to manufacture our products. As with other agriculture commodities, the price of tobacco leaf can be influenced by economic conditions and imbalances in supply and demand and crop quality and availability can be influenced by variations in weather patterns. Tobacco production in certain countries is subject to a variety of controls, including government mandated prices and production control programs. Changes in the patterns of demand for agricultural products and the cost of tobacco production could cause tobacco leaf prices to increase and could result in farmers growing less tobacco. Any significant change in tobacco leaf prices, quality or availability could affect our tobacco subsidiaries' profitability and business.

**Table of Contents**

**Timing of Sales**

In the ordinary course of business, our tobacco subsidiaries are subject to many influences that can impact the timing of sales to customers, including the timing of holidays and other annual or special events, the timing of promotions, customer incentive programs and customer inventory programs, as well as the actual or speculated timing of pricing actions and tax-driven price increases.

**Table of Contents****Operating Results**

The following discussion compares tobacco space operating results for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011, with the six and three months ended June 30, 2010.

|                     | For the Six Months Ended June 30, |           |                            |          |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|----------|
|                     | Net Revenues                      |           | Operating Companies Income |          |
|                     | 2011                              | 2010      | 2011                       | 2010     |
|                     | (in millions)                     |           |                            |          |
| Cigarettes          | \$ 10,735                         | \$ 10,712 | \$ 2,883                   | \$ 2,680 |
| Smokeless products  | 783                               | 771       | 415                        | 376      |
| Cigars              | 266                               | 290       | 69                         | 103      |
| Total tobacco space | \$ 11,784                         | \$ 11,773 | \$ 3,367                   | \$ 3,159 |

|                     | For the Three Months Ended June 30, |          |                            |          |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------|----------|
|                     | Net Revenues                        |          | Operating Companies Income |          |
|                     | 2011                                | 2010     | 2011                       | 2010     |
|                     | (in millions)                       |          |                            |          |
| Cigarettes          | \$ 5,709                            | \$ 5,589 | \$ 1,536                   | \$ 1,450 |
| Smokeless products  | 404                                 | 390      | 222                        | 198      |
| Cigars              | 149                                 | 155      | 47                         | 56       |
| Total tobacco space | \$ 6,262                            | \$ 6,134 | \$ 1,805                   | \$ 1,704 |

**Cigarettes segment**

The cigarettes segment's results for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 were driven by *Marlboro*'s performance. *Marlboro* grew its 2011 second-quarter retail share sequentially as the brand benefited from recent new product introductions and the strength of its menthol business. Additionally, shipment volume in the first half and the second quarter of 2011 benefited from trade inventory movements.

PM USA reports volume and retail share performance as follows: *Marlboro*; Other Premium brands, such as *Virginia Slims*, *Parliament* and *Benson & Hedges*; and Discount brands, which include *Basic*, *L&M* and other discount brands.

The following table summarizes cigarettes segment volume performance, which includes units sold as well as promotional units, but excludes Puerto Rico, U.S. Territories, Overseas Military and Philip Morris Duty Free Inc., none of which, individually or in the aggregate, is material to the cigarettes segment:

|                 | Shipment Volume                   |      |                                     |      |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------|-------------------------------------|------|
|                 | For the Six Months Ended June 30, |      | For the Three Months Ended June 30, |      |
|                 | 2011                              | 2010 | 2011                                | 2010 |
|                 | (in billion units)                |      |                                     |      |
| <i>Marlboro</i> | 59.5                              | 60.9 | 31.6                                | 31.3 |
| Other Premium   | 4.7                               | 5.1  | 2.5                                 | 2.7  |
| Discount        | 3.9                               | 4.6  | 2.1                                 | 2.5  |

Edgar Filing: ALTRIA GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

|                  |      |      |      |      |
|------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Total Cigarettes | 68.1 | 70.6 | 36.2 | 36.5 |
|------------------|------|------|------|------|

**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes cigarettes segment retail share performance:

|                  | Retail Share             |       |                            |       |
|------------------|--------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|
|                  | For the Six Months Ended |       | For the Three Months Ended |       |
|                  | June 30,                 |       | June 30,                   |       |
|                  | 2011                     | 2010  | 2011                       | 2010  |
| <i>Marlboro</i>  | 42.4%                    | 42.7% | 42.6%                      | 42.8% |
| Other Premium    | 3.7                      | 4.0   | 3.7                        | 4.0   |
| Discount         | 3.0                      | 3.5   | 3.0                        | 3.4   |
| Total Cigarettes | 49.1%                    | 50.2% | 49.3%                      | 50.2% |

Cigarettes segment retail share results are based on data from SymphonyIRI Group/Capstone, which is a retail tracking service that uses a sample of stores to project market share performance in retail stores selling cigarettes. The panel was not designed to capture sales through other channels, including the Internet and direct mail.

During 2011 and 2010, PM USA executed the following pricing and promotional allowance actions:

Effective July 8, 2011, PM USA increased the list price on all of its cigarette brands by \$0.09 per pack.

Effective December 6, 2010, PM USA increased the list price on all of its cigarette brands by \$0.08 per pack.

Effective May 10, 2010, PM USA increased the list price on all of its cigarette brands by \$0.08 per pack. In addition, PM USA cancelled its wholesale promotional allowance of \$0.21 per pack on *Basic*.

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, for the six months ended June 30, 2011, increased \$23 million (0.2%) versus the prior-year period due primarily to higher list prices (\$463 million), mostly offset by lower volume (\$439 million). Operating companies income for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased \$203 million (7.6%) versus the prior-year period, due primarily to higher list prices (\$463 million), lower asset impairment, exit and implementation costs related to the closure of the Cabarrus, North Carolina manufacturing facility (\$71 million) and marketing, administration, and research savings reflecting the cost reduction initiatives discussed above, partially offset by lower volume (\$217 million), higher per unit settlement charges (\$65 million), higher FDA user fees (\$46 million) and a \$36 million charge for the *Scott* case in 2011 (See Note 11).

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, for the three months ended June 30, 2011, increased \$120 million (2.1%) versus the prior-year period due primarily to higher list prices (\$209 million), partially offset by lower volume (\$53 million) and higher promotional allowances (\$36 million). Operating companies income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 increased \$86 million (5.9%) versus the prior-year period, due primarily to higher list prices (\$209 million) and lower asset impairment, exit and implementation costs related to the closure of the Cabarrus, North Carolina manufacturing facility (\$44 million), partially offset by higher per unit settlement charges (\$50 million), higher promotional allowances (\$36 million), lower volume (\$33 million), higher FDA user fees (\$21 million) and a \$36 million charge for the *Scott* case in 2011 (See Note 11).

For the six and three months ended June 30, 2011, PM USA's domestic cigarette shipment volume declined 3.5% and 0.7%, respectively, versus the prior-year periods due primarily to different trade inventory dynamics. PM USA believes that the trade built inventory levels in both the first half and the second quarter of 2011, which benefited PM USA's shipment volume. In 2010, PM USA believes the trade increased inventories in the first quarter, and following PM USA's list price increases in the second

**Table of Contents**

quarter of 2010, decreased inventory levels on PM USA's brands. After adjusting primarily for changes in trade inventories, PM USA's domestic cigarette shipment volume for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 was estimated to be down approximately 5.0% and 4.5%, respectively, versus the prior-year periods. For both the six and three months ended June 30, 2011, total cigarette category volume was estimated to be down approximately 3.5% versus the prior-year periods when adjusted primarily for changes in trade inventories.

PM USA's total premium brands (*Marlboro* and Other Premium brands) shipment volume decreased 2.7% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and increased 0.4% for the three months ended June 30, 2011 versus the prior-year periods. *Marlboro* shipment volume decreased 1.4 billion units (2.2%) for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and increased 0.3 billion units (1.1%) for the three months ended June 30, 2011 versus the prior-year periods. In the Discount brands, PM USA's shipment volume for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 decreased 14.6% and 15.5%, respectively, versus the prior-year periods, reflecting brand support strategies. Shipments of premium cigarettes accounted for 94.3% of PM USA's total volume for both the six and three months ended June 30, 2011, up from 93.5% and 93.3% for the six and three months ended June 30, 2010, respectively.

On a sequential basis, *Marlboro*'s 2011 second-quarter retail share grew 0.4 share points versus the first quarter of 2011, due primarily to retail share growth on *Marlboro* Menthol and its new *Marlboro* Special Blend products. For the six and three months ended June 30, 2011, *Marlboro*'s retail share decreased 0.3 and 0.2 share points, respectively, versus its record retail share results in the prior-year periods.

On a sequential basis, PM USA's 2011 second-quarter retail share grew 0.3 share points versus the first quarter of 2011. PM USA's retail share for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 decreased 1.1 and 0.9 share points, respectively, versus the prior-year periods due to share losses on most of PM USA's portfolio brands and *Marlboro*.

**Table of Contents****Smokeless products segment**

The smokeless products segment's results for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 were driven by its leading premium brands. *Copenhagen* grew its second-quarter retail share both sequentially and versus the year-ago period, and *Skoal* grew its retail share for the second consecutive quarter as the brand responded to brand-building initiatives. Comparisons for the smokeless products segment's shipment volume and retail share were impacted primarily by new product launches and the de-listing of seven stock-keeping units (SKUs) on *Skoal*.

The following table summarizes smokeless products segment volume performance:

|                                 | Shipment Volume                      |              |  |              |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------|
|                                 | For the Six Months<br>Ended June 30, |              | For the Three Months<br>Ended June 30, |              |
|                                 | 2011                                 | 2010         | 2011                                   | 2010         |
|                                 | (cans and packs in millions)         |              |  |              |
| <i>Copenhagen</i>               | 168.5                                | 164.0        | 87.1                                   | 80.2         |
| <i>Skoal</i>                    | 144.0                                | 138.9        | 68.0                                   | 71.2         |
| <i>Copenhagen and Skoal</i>     | 312.5                                | 302.9        | 155.1                                  | 151.4        |
| Other                           | 49.3                                 | 65.1         | 23.0                                   | 30.5         |
| <b>Total Smokeless products</b> | <b>361.8</b>                         | <b>368.0</b> | <b>178.1</b>                           | <b>181.9</b> |

Volume includes cans and packs sold, as well as promotional units but excludes international volume, which is not material to the smokeless products segment. Other includes certain USSTC and PM USA smokeless products.

New types of smokeless products, as well as new packaging configurations of existing smokeless products, may or may not be equivalent to existing moist smokeless tobacco (MST) products on a can for can basis. USSTC and PM USA have assumed the following equivalent ratios to calculate volumes of cans and packs shipped:

One pack of snus, irrespective of the number of pouches in the pack, is equivalent to one can of MST;

One can of *Skoal* Slim Can pouches is equivalent to a 0.53 can of MST; and

All other products are considered to be equivalent on a can for can basis.

If assumptions regarding these equivalent ratios change, it may result in a change to these reported results.

**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes smokeless products segment retail share performance (excluding international volume):

|                                 | Retail Share                         |              |  |              |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------|
|                                 | For the Six Months Ended<br>June 30, |              | For the Three Months Ended<br>June 30, |              |
|                                 | 2011                                 | 2010         | 2011                                   | 2010         |
| <i>Copenhagen</i>               | 25.5%                                | 24.7%        | 25.8%                                  | 24.7%        |
| <i>Skoal</i>                    | 23.0                                 | 23.7         | 23.1                                   | 23.4         |
| <i>Copenhagen and Skoal</i>     | 48.5                                 | 48.4         | 48.9                                   | 48.1         |
| Other                           | 6.3                                  | 7.2          | 6.2                                    | 7.7          |
| <b>Total Smokeless products</b> | <b>54.8%</b>                         | <b>55.6%</b> | <b>55.1%</b>                           | <b>55.8%</b> |

Other includes certain USSTC and PM USA smokeless products. New types of smokeless products, as well as new packaging configuration of existing smokeless products, may or may not be equivalent to existing MST products on a can for can basis. USSTC and PM USA have made the following assumptions for calculating retail share:

One pack of snus, irrespective of the number of pouches in the pack, is equivalent to one can of MST; and

All other products are considered to be equivalent on a can for can basis.

If assumptions regarding these equivalent ratios change, it may result in a change to these reported results.

Smokeless products segment retail share performance is based on data from the SymphonyIRI Group ( SymphonyIRI ) InfoScan Smokeless Tobacco Database 2011 for Food, Drug, Mass Merchandisers (excluding Wal-Mart) and Convenience trade classes ( InfoScan Smokeless Tobacco Database ), which tracks smokeless products market share performance based on the number of cans and packs sold. Smokeless products is defined by SymphonyIRI as moist smokeless and spit-less tobacco products. It is SymphonyIRI s standard practice to periodically refresh its InfoScan syndicated services, which could restate retail share results that were previously released.

SymphonyIRI performed a restatement of its InfoScan Smokeless Tobacco Database in the second quarter of 2011. As a result of the InfoScan Smokeless Tobacco Database restatement, USSTC and PM USA s previously released quarterly and year-to-date retail share results for smokeless products were restated. Restated retail share results from the first quarter of 2010 through the first quarter of 2011 are summarized below.

|                                 | Retail Share               |              |              |              |              |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|                                 | For the Three Months Ended |              |              |              |              |
|                                 | 3/31/11                    | 12/31/10     | 9/30/10      | 6/30/10      | 3/31/10      |
| <i>Copenhagen</i>               | 25.1%                      | 24.9%        | 24.5%        | 24.7%        | 24.8%        |
| <i>Skoal</i>                    | 22.9                       | 22.6         | 23.4         | 23.4         | 24.1         |
| <i>Copenhagen and Skoal</i>     | 48.0                       | 47.5         | 47.9         | 48.1         | 48.9         |
| Other                           | 6.5                        | 6.9          | 7.4          | 7.7          | 6.4          |
| <b>Total Smokeless products</b> | <b>54.5%</b>               | <b>54.4%</b> | <b>55.3%</b> | <b>55.8%</b> | <b>55.3%</b> |



**Table of Contents**

|                                 | For the<br>Year-<br>ended<br>12/31/10 | Retail Share<br>For the<br>Nine<br>Months<br>Ended<br>9/30/10 | For the<br>Six<br>Months<br>Ended<br>6/30/10 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| <i>Copenhagen</i>               | 24.7%                                 | 24.7%   | 24.7%  |
| <i>Skoal</i>                    | 23.3                                  | 23.6  | 23.7   |
| <i>Copenhagen and Skoal</i>     | 48.0                                  | 48.3  | 48.4   |
| Other                           | 7.2                                   | 7.2   | 7.2  |
| <b>Total Smokeless products</b> | <b>55.2%</b>                          | <b>55.5%</b>  | <b>55.6%</b>                                 |

USSTC and PM USA executed the following pricing actions in 2011 and 2010:

Effective May 22, 2011, USSTC increased the list prices on its MST brands by \$0.10 per can and *Skoal* Snus by \$0.31 per can.

Effective May 18, 2011, PM USA increased the list price on *Marlboro* Snus tins by \$0.31 per tin.

Effective May 28, 2010, USSTC increased the list price on substantially all of its brands by \$0.10 per can.

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, for the six months ended June 30, 2011, increased \$12 million (1.6%) versus the prior-year period, due primarily to higher pricing (\$40 million), partially offset by lower volume (\$20 million) and higher promotional allowances. Operating companies income for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased \$39 million (10.4%) versus the prior-year period, due primarily to higher pricing (\$40 million), lower marketing, administration and research costs (\$27 million) reflecting the cost reduction initiatives discussed above, and lower asset impairment, exit and integration costs, partially offset by lower volume (\$20 million) and higher manufacturing costs.

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, for the three months ended June 30, 2011, increased \$14 million (3.6%) versus the prior-year period, due primarily to higher pricing (\$23 million), partially offset by lower volume (\$11 million). Operating companies income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 increased \$24 million (12.1%) versus the prior-year period, due primarily to higher pricing (\$23 million) and lower marketing, administration and research costs (\$15 million), partially offset by lower volume (\$12 million) and higher manufacturing costs.

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, USSTC and PM USA's combined domestic smokeless products shipment volume decreased 1.7% versus the prior-year period, due primarily to trade inventory changes and a negative comparison on portfolio brands including *Marlboro* Snus, partially offset by volume growth on *Copenhagen* and *Skoal*.

USSTC and PM USA's combined domestic smokeless products shipment volume for the three months ended June 30, 2011 decreased 2.1% versus the prior-year period, due primarily to trade inventory changes, a negative comparison on portfolio brands including *Marlboro* Snus, and volume declines on *Skoal*, partially offset by volume growth on *Copenhagen*. *Copenhagen*'s volume benefited primarily from recent new product introductions and strength of its core Natural business. *Skoal*'s 2011 second-quarter volume was negatively impacted by *Skoal* X-tra new product volume that shipped at the end of the first quarter of 2011 and began selling through trade channels in the second quarter of 2011, and the de-listing of seven *Skoal* SKUs in the second quarter of 2011. *Marlboro* Snus' 2011 second-quarter volume was negatively impacted by significantly lower levels of promotional activity compared to last

**Table of Contents**

year's national expansion. *Marlboro* Snus comparisons were also negatively impacted by the shift in mix from packages with six pouches to tins with fifteen pouches.

After adjusting for new product pipeline volume, trade inventories, discontinued SKUs and other factors, USSTC and PM USA's combined domestic smokeless products shipment volume for both the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 was estimated to be up approximately 4%. USSTC and PM USA believe that the smokeless category's volume in the first half of 2011 grew at an estimated rate of approximately 5%.

*Copenhagen*'s retail share for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 increased 0.8 and 1.1 share points, respectively, versus the prior-year periods. On a sequential basis, *Copenhagen*'s 2011 second-quarter retail share grew 0.7 share points versus the first quarter of 2011. The brand's retail share results continued to benefit from recent product introductions, as well as strength in its core Natural business.

On a sequential basis, *Skoal* grew its 2011 second-quarter retail share 0.2 share points versus the first quarter of 2011 as the brand benefited from its brand-building initiatives, including the introduction of eight new *Skoal* X-tra products and two new *Skoal* Snus variants in the first quarter of 2011, partially offset by the second quarter de-listing of seven SKUs. *Skoal*'s retail share for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011, decreased 0.7 and 0.3 share points, respectively, versus the prior-year periods.

On a sequential basis, USSTC and PM USA's 2011 second-quarter combined retail share of smokeless products increased 0.6 share points versus the first quarter of 2011, due primarily to sequential retail share growth on both *Copenhagen* and *Skoal*, partially offset by share losses in the balance of the portfolio. USSTC and PM USA's combined retail share of smokeless products for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 decreased 0.8 and 0.7 share points, respectively, versus the prior-year periods, due primarily to share losses on unsupported discount brands, *Marlboro* Snus and *Skoal*, partially offset by share gains on *Copenhagen*.

**Table of Contents****Cigars segment**

The cigars segment's results for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 were impacted by promotional investments to defend *Black & Mild*'s marketplace position. Middleton invested in new products and brand-building initiatives in the second quarter of 2011 that enabled *Black & Mild* to deliver retail share growth versus the prior-year period. Middleton continued to observe significant competitive activity, including higher levels of imported, low-priced machine-made large cigars.

The following table summarizes cigars segment volume performance:

|                         | Shipment Volume                      |            |  |            |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|--|------------|
|                         | For the Six Months Ended<br>June 30, |            | For the Three Months Ended<br>June 30, |            |
|                         | 2011                                 | 2010       | 2011                                   | 2010       |
|                         | (units in millions)                  |            |  |            |
| <i>Black &amp; Mild</i> | 599                                  | 592        | 317                                    | 316        |
| Other                   | 10                                   | 13         | 5                                      | 7          |
| <b>Total Cigars</b>     | <b>609</b>                           | <b>605</b> | <b>322</b>                             | <b>323</b> |

The following table summarizes cigars segment retail share performance:

|                         | Retail Share                         |              |  |              |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------|
|                         | For the Six Months Ended<br>June 30, |              | For the Three Months Ended<br>June 30, |              |
|                         | 2011                                 | 2010         | 2011                                   | 2010         |
| <i>Black &amp; Mild</i> | 29.0%                                | 28.2%        | 28.8%                                  | 27.9%        |
| Other                   | 0.3                                  | 0.4          | 0.3                                    | 0.5          |
| <b>Total Cigars</b>     | <b>29.3%</b>                         | <b>28.6%</b> | <b>29.1%</b>                           | <b>28.4%</b> |

Cigars segment retail share results are based on data from the SymphonyIRI InfoScan Cigar Database 2011 for Food, Drug, Mass Merchandisers (excluding Wal-Mart) and Convenience trade classes ( InfoScan Cigar Database ), which tracks machine-made large cigars market share performance. Middleton defines machine-made large cigars as cigars made by machine that weigh greater than three pounds per thousand, except cigars sold at retail in packages of 20 cigars. This service was developed to provide a representation of retail business performance in key trade channels. It is SymphonyIRI's standard practice to periodically refresh its InfoScan syndicated services, which could restate retail share results that were previously released.

SymphonyIRI performed a restatement of its InfoScan Cigar Database in the second quarter of 2011. As a result of the InfoScan Cigar Database restatement, Middleton's previously released quarterly and year-to-date retail share results for machine-made large cigars were restated. Restated retail share results from the first quarter of 2010 through the first quarter of 2011 are summarized below.

|                         | Retail Share               |              |              |              |              |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|                         | For the Three Months Ended |              |              |              |              |
|                         | 3/31/11                    | 12/31/10     | 9/30/10      | 6/30/10      | 3/31/10      |
| <i>Black &amp; Mild</i> | 29.3%                      | 29.9%        | 29.7%        | 27.9%        | 28.4%        |
| Other                   | 0.2                        | 0.3          | 0.3          | 0.5          | 0.4          |
| <b>Total Cigars</b>     | <b>29.5%</b>               | <b>30.2%</b> | <b>30.0%</b> | <b>28.4%</b> | <b>28.8%</b> |



**Table of Contents**

|                         | For the Year-ended 12/31/10 | Retail Share For the Nine Months Ended 9/30/10 | For the Six Months Ended 6/30/10 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| <i>Black &amp; Mild</i> | 29.0%                       | 28.7%  | 28.2%                            |
| Other                   | 0.4                         | 0.4  | 0.4                              |
| <b>Total Cigars</b>     | <b>29.4%</b>                | <b>29.1%</b>                                   | <b>28.6%</b>                     |

During 2010, Middleton executed the following pricing actions:

Effective November 15, 2010, Middleton executed various list price increases across substantially all of its brands resulting in a weighted-average increase of approximately \$0.09 per five-pack.

Effective January 11, 2010, Middleton executed various list price increases across substantially all of its brands resulting in a weighted-average increase of approximately \$0.18 per five-pack.

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011, decreased \$24 million (8.3%) and \$6 million (3.9%), respectively, versus the prior-year periods, due primarily to higher promotional investments, partially offset by higher pricing. Operating companies income for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$34 million (33.0%) and \$9 million (16.1%), respectively, versus the prior-year periods, due primarily to these higher promotional investments, partially offset by higher pricing.

Middleton's cigar volume increased 0.7% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 versus the prior-year period, as shipment volume gains for *Black & Mild* were offset by volume declines in the balance of the portfolio. Middleton's cigar volume was essentially unchanged for the three months ended June 30, 2011 versus the prior-year period.

*Black & Mild*'s retail share of machine-made large cigars for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 increased 0.8 and 0.9 share points, respectively, versus the prior-year periods, as *Black & Mild* benefited from its brand-building initiatives, including its 2011 second-quarter national launch of untipped cigarillo varieties in both Classic and Sweets blends.

Middleton plans to continue building *Black & Mild*'s marketplace position in 2011 with new products and other initiatives. During the third quarter of 2011, Middleton plans to expand *Black & Mild* Shorts nationally. Middleton also entered into a contract manufacturing arrangement to source the production of a portion of its cigars overseas. Middleton entered into this arrangement to access additional production capacity in an uncertain competitive environment and a tax environment that potentially benefits imported large cigars over those manufactured domestically.

**Table of Contents**

**Wine segment**

**Business Environment**

Ste. Michelle is a leading producer of Washington state wines, primarily *Chateau Ste. Michelle* and *Columbia Crest*, and owns wineries in or distributes wines from several other wine regions. As discussed in Note 11, Ste. Michelle holds an 85% ownership interest in Michelle-Antinori, LLC, which owns *Stag's Leap Wine Cellars* in Napa Valley. Ste. Michelle also owns *Conn Creek* in Napa Valley and *Erath* in Oregon. In addition, Ste. Michelle distributes *Antinori* and *Villa Maria Estate* wines and *Champagne Nicolas Feuillatte* in the United States. A key element of Ste. Michelle's strategy is expanded domestic distribution of its wines, especially in certain account categories such as restaurants, wholesale clubs, supermarkets, wine shops and mass merchandisers.

Ste. Michelle's business is subject to significant competition, including competition from many larger, well-established domestic and international companies, as well as from many smaller wine producers. Wine segment competition is primarily based on quality, price, consumer and trade wine tastings, competitive wine judging, third-party acclaim and advertising.

Federal, state and local governmental agencies regulate the alcohol beverage industry through various means, including licensing requirements, pricing, labeling and advertising restrictions, and distribution and production policies. Further regulatory restrictions or additional excise or other taxes on the manufacture and sale of alcoholic beverages may have an adverse effect on Ste. Michelle's wine business.

**Table of Contents****Operating Results**

The wine segment's financial results for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 were driven by its continued focus on increasing premium volume and mix. The following discussion compares wine segment results for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011, with the six and three months ended June 30, 2010.

|                            | For the Six Months |        | For the Three Months |        |
|----------------------------|--------------------|--------|----------------------|--------|
|                            | Ended<br>June 30,  |        | Ended<br>June 30,    |        |
|                            | 2011               | 2010   | 2011                 | 2010   |
|                            | (in millions)      |        |                      |        |
| Net revenues               | \$ 217             | \$ 201 | \$ 116               | \$ 106 |
| Operating companies income | \$ 31              | \$ 19  | \$ 19                | \$ 12  |

The following table summarizes wine segment case shipment volume performance:

|                              | Shipment Volume                      |       |  |       |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|--|-------|
|                              | For the Six Months Ended<br>June 30, |       | For the Three Months Ended<br>June 30, |       |
|                              | 2011                                 | 2010  | 2011                                   | 2010  |
|                              | (cases in thousands)                 |       |  |       |
| <i>Chateau Ste. Michelle</i> | 1,113                                | 1,068 | 598                                    | 532   |
| <i>Columbia Crest</i>        | 877                                  | 961   | 455                                    | 528   |
| Other                        | 1,135                                | 991   | 629                                    | 522   |
| Total Wine                   | 3,125                                | 3,020 | 1,682                                  | 1,582 |

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 increased \$16 million (8.0%) and \$10 million (9.4%), respectively, versus the prior-year periods, due primarily to higher premium shipment volume. Operating companies income for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 increased \$12 million (63.2%) and \$7 million (58.3%), respectively, versus the prior-year periods, due primarily to higher premium shipment volume and lower integration and UST acquisition-related costs.

Ste. Michelle's 2011 wine shipment volume benefited from higher off- and on-premise channel premium volume, partially offset by changes in trade inventories. For the six and three months ended June 30, 2011, Ste. Michelle's wine shipment volume increased 3.5% and 6.3%, respectively, versus the prior-year periods. Shipments in the second quarter of 2011 also benefited from the timing of the Easter holiday. Ste. Michelle believes that wholesalers depleted inventories in the first half of 2011. In the first half of 2010, wholesalers increased inventory levels, creating a difficult shipment comparison.

During the six and three months ended June 30, 2011, Ste. Michelle's retail unit volume increased 2.0% and 1.6% respectively, versus the prior-year periods. The total wine industry's retail unit volume for both the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 increased 3.2% versus the prior-year periods. The total wine industry's retail unit volume percentage change is based on data from The Nielsen Company (Nielsen) and its Nielsen Total Wine Database - U.S. Food, Drug & Liquor, which tracks retail metrics in selected trade classes that sell wine. Wine sales in representative retail outlets in the supermarket, drug and liquor trade classes are included in the data while wine sales in on-premise channels, and the wholesale club, mass merchandiser and convenience trade classes are excluded from the data. It is Nielsen's standard practice to periodically refresh its syndicated databases, which could restate retail metrics that were previously released.

**Table of Contents****Financial services segment****Business Environment**

In 2003, PMCC ceased making new investments and began focusing exclusively on managing its existing portfolio of finance assets in order to maximize gains and generate cash flow from asset sales and related activities. Accordingly, PMCC's operating companies income will fluctuate over time as investments mature or are sold. During the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, proceeds from asset management activities totaled \$129 million and \$72 million, respectively, and gains included in operating companies income totaled \$12 million and \$30 million, respectively. During the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, proceeds from asset management activities totaled \$125 million and \$32 million, respectively, and gains included in operating companies income totaled \$12 million and \$24 million, respectively.

As discussed previously, during the second quarter of 2011, Altria Group, Inc. recorded the PMCC Leverage Lease Charge. Approximately 50% of the charge (\$315 million), which does not include potential penalties, represents a reduction in cumulative lease earnings recorded to date that will be recaptured over the remainder of the affected lease terms. The remaining portion of the charge (\$312 million) primarily represents a permanent charge for interest on tax underpayments. See Note 8. *Finance Assets, net* to the condensed consolidated financial statements ( Note 8 ), Note 10 and Note 11 for further discussion of matters related to this charge.

PMCC has assessed its allowance for losses for its entire portfolio, and believes that the allowance for losses of \$202 million is adequate. PMCC continues to monitor economic and credit conditions, and the individual situations of its lessees and their respective industries, and may have to increase its allowance for losses if such conditions worsen. All PMCC lessees were current on their lease payment obligations as of June 30, 2011. For further discussion of finance assets, see Note 8.

**Operating Results**

The following discussion compares financial services segment results for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011, with the six and three months ended June 30, 2010.

|                                      | For the Six Months Ended<br>June 30, |       | For the Three Months Ended<br>June 30, |       |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|--|-------|
|                                      | 2011                                 | 2010  | 2011                                   | 2010  |
|                                      | (in millions)                        |       |  |       |
| Net revenues                         | \$ (438)                             | \$ 60 | \$ (458)                               | \$ 34 |
| Operating companies (loss)<br>income | \$ (442)                             | \$ 60 | \$ (463)                               | \$ 39 |

PMCC's net revenues for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$498 million (100+%) and \$492 million (100+%), respectively, from the prior-year periods. Operating companies income decreased \$502 million (100+%) for both the six and three months ended June 30, 2011, as compared with the prior-year periods. The decreases were due primarily to the PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge and lower gains on asset sales.



**Table of Contents**

**Financial Review**

**Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities**

During the first six months of 2011, net cash provided by operating activities was \$479 million compared with \$291 million during the first six months of 2010. This increase was due primarily to lower payments related to exit and integration costs and State Settlement Agreements, and the timing of payments related to accrued liabilities, partially offset by a voluntary \$200 million contribution made to Altria Group, Inc.'s pension plan during the first quarter of 2011.

Altria Group, Inc. had a working capital deficit at December 31, 2010, which was funded with cash provided by operating activities and its short-term borrowings availability as discussed in the *Debt and Liquidity* section.

**Net Cash Provided by Investing Activities**

During the first six months of 2011, net cash provided by investing activities was \$90 million compared with \$21 million during the first six months of 2010. This increase was due primarily to higher proceeds from finance asset sales in the first six months of 2011.

**Net Cash Used in Financing Activities**

During the first six months of 2011, net cash used in financing activities was \$819 million compared with \$1.3 billion during the first six months of 2010. This decrease was due primarily to higher net issuances of debt during the first six months of 2011, partially offset by Altria Group, Inc.'s repurchase of 22.8 million shares of its common stock during the second quarter of 2011 under its previously announced \$1.0 billion one-year share repurchase program, and a higher dividend rate in 2011.

**Table of Contents**

**Debt and Liquidity**

*Credit Ratings* Altria Group, Inc.'s cost and terms of financing and its access to commercial paper markets may be impacted by applicable credit ratings. Under the terms of certain of Altria Group, Inc.'s existing debt instruments, a change in a credit rating could result in an increase or a decrease of the cost of borrowings. For instance, the interest rate payable on certain of Altria Group, Inc.'s outstanding notes is subject to adjustment from time to time if the rating assigned to the notes of such series by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's) or Standard & Poor's Ratings Services (Standard & Poor's) is downgraded (or subsequently upgraded) as and to the extent set forth in the notes. The impact of credit ratings on the cost of borrowings under Altria Group, Inc.'s credit agreements is discussed below.

At June 30, 2011, the credit ratings and outlook for Altria Group, Inc.'s indebtedness by major credit rating agencies were:

|                   | Short-term<br>Debt | Long-term<br>Debt | Outlook |
|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Moody's           | P-2                | Baa1              | Stable  |
| Standard & Poor's | A-2                | BBB               | Stable  |
| Fitch             | F2                 | BBB+              | Stable  |

*Credit Lines* From time to time, Altria Group, Inc. has short-term borrowing needs to meet its working capital requirements and generally uses its commercial paper program to meet those needs. At June 30, 2011, Altria Group, Inc. had no short-term borrowings. At June 30, 2010, Altria Group, Inc. had \$200 million of short-term borrowings.

For the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, Altria Group, Inc.'s average daily short-term borrowings, peak short-term borrowings outstanding and weighted-average interest rate on short-term borrowings were as follows:

|   | Six Months<br>Ended June 30, |          | Three Months<br>Ended June 30, |          |
|---|------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|----------|
|   | 2011                         | 2010     | 2011                           | 2010     |
|   | (dollars in millions)        |          |                                |          |
| Average daily short-term borrowings                     | \$ 137                       | \$ 301   | \$ 273                         | \$ 594   |
| Peak short-term borrowings outstanding                  | \$ 865                       | \$ 1,419 | \$ 865                         | \$ 1,419 |
| Weighted-average interest rate on short-term borrowings | 0.40%                        | 0.37%    | 0.40%                          | 0.37%    |

Short-term borrowings were repaid with cash provided by operating activities. Peak borrowings for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were due primarily to payments related to State Settlement Agreements as further discussed in *Payments Under State Settlement and Other Tobacco Agreements, and FDA Regulation, Tobacco Space Business Environment* and Note 11.

**Table of Contents**

At June 30, 2011, the credit line available to Altria Group, Inc. was \$3.0 billion and there were no short-term borrowings. As discussed further below, Altria Group, Inc.'s credit line provides support for its commercial paper program.

On June 30, 2011, Altria Group, Inc. entered into a senior unsecured 5-year revolving credit agreement (the *Credit Agreement*). The Credit Agreement provides for borrowings up to an aggregate principal amount of \$3.0 billion and expires on June 30, 2016. The Credit Agreement replaced Altria Group, Inc.'s \$0.6 billion senior unsecured 364-day revolving credit agreement, which was to expire on November 16, 2011 (the *364-Day Agreement*), and Altria Group, Inc.'s \$2.4 billion senior unsecured 3-year revolving credit agreement, which was to expire on November 20, 2012 (together with the 364-Day Agreement, the *Terminated Agreements*). The Terminated Agreements were terminated effective June 30, 2011. Pricing for interest and fees under the Credit Agreement may be modified in the event of a change in the rating of Altria Group, Inc.'s long-term senior unsecured debt. Interest rates on borrowings under the Credit Agreement are expected to be based on the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus a percentage equal to Altria Group, Inc.'s credit default swap spread subject to certain minimum rates and maximum rates based on the higher of the rating of Altria Group, Inc.'s long-term senior unsecured debt from Standard & Poor's and Moody's. The applicable minimum and maximum rates based on Altria Group, Inc.'s long-term senior unsecured debt ratings at June 30, 2011 for borrowings under the Credit Agreement are 0.75% and 1.75%, respectively, which are lower than those in the Terminated Agreements. The Credit Agreement does not include any other rating triggers, nor does it contain any provisions that could require the posting of collateral.

The Credit Agreement is used for general corporate purposes and to support Altria Group, Inc.'s commercial paper program. As in the Terminated Agreements, the Credit Agreement requires that Altria Group, Inc. maintain (i) a ratio of debt to consolidated EBITDA of not more than 3.0 to 1.0 and (ii) a ratio of consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense of not less than 4.0 to 1.0, each calculated as of the end of the applicable quarter on a rolling four quarters basis. At June 30, 2011, the ratios of debt to consolidated EBITDA and consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense, calculated in accordance with the Credit Agreement, were 1.9 to 1.0 and 6.4 to 1.0, respectively. Altria Group, Inc. expects to continue to meet its covenants associated with the Credit Agreement. The terms consolidated EBITDA, debt and consolidated interest expense as defined in the Credit Agreement include certain adjustments. Exhibit 99.3 sets forth the definitions of these terms as they appear in the Credit Agreement.

Any commercial paper issued by Altria Group, Inc. and borrowings under the Credit Agreement are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by PM USA as further discussed in Note 12. *Condensed Consolidating Financial Information* to the condensed consolidated financial statements (Note 12).

*Financial Market Environment* Altria Group, Inc. believes it has adequate liquidity and access to financial resources to meet its anticipated obligations and ongoing business needs in the foreseeable future. Altria Group, Inc. continues to monitor the credit quality of its bank group and is not aware of any potential non-performing credit provider in that group. Altria Group, Inc. believes the lenders in its bank group will be willing and able to advance funds in accordance with their legal obligations.

*Debt* At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, Altria Group, Inc.'s total debt, all of which is consumer products debt, was \$13.7 billion and \$12.2 billion, respectively.

As discussed in Note 9. *Debt* to the condensed consolidated financial statements, on May 5, 2011, Altria Group, Inc. issued \$1.5 billion (aggregate principal amount) of 4.75% senior unsecured long-term notes due May 5, 2021, with interest payable semi-annually. The net proceeds from the issuance of these senior unsecured notes were added to Altria Group, Inc.'s general funds and will be used for general corporate purposes.

---

**Table of Contents**

The obligations of Altria Group, Inc. under the notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by PM USA. For further discussion, see Note 12.

*Guarantees and Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest* As discussed in Note 11, Altria Group, Inc. had guarantees (including third-party guarantees) and a redeemable noncontrolling interest outstanding at June 30, 2011. In addition, as discussed above and in Note 12, PM USA has issued guarantees related to Altria Group, Inc.'s indebtedness.

*Payments Under State Settlement and Other Tobacco Agreements, and FDA Regulation* As discussed previously and in Note 11, PM USA has entered into State Settlement Agreements with the states and territories of the United States. PM USA also entered into a trust agreement to provide certain aid to U.S. tobacco growers and quota holders, but PM USA's obligations under this trust expired on December 15, 2010 (these obligations had been offset by the obligations imposed on PM USA by FETRA, which expires in 2014). USSTC and Middleton are also subject to obligations imposed by FETRA. In addition, in June 2009, PM USA and a subsidiary of USSTC became subject to quarterly user fees imposed by the FDA as a result of the FSPTCA. The State Settlement Agreements, FETRA, and the FDA user fees call for payments that are based on variable factors, such as volume, market share and inflation, depending on the subject payment. Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries account for the cost of the State Settlement Agreements, FETRA and FDA user fees as a component of cost of sales. As a result of the State Settlement Agreements, FETRA and FDA user fees, Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries recorded charges to cost of sales of \$2.5 billion for each of the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, and \$1.3 billion for each of the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

Based on current agreements, 2010 market share, and historical annual industry volume decline rates, the estimated amounts that Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries may charge to cost of sales for these payments will approximate \$5 billion in 2011 and each year thereafter.

The estimated amounts due under the State Settlement Agreements and FETRA charged to cost of sales in each year would generally be paid in the following year. The amounts charged to cost of sales for the FDA user fees are generally paid in the quarter in which the fees are incurred. As previously stated, the payments due under the terms of the State Settlement Agreements, FETRA and FDA user fees are subject to adjustment for several factors, including volume, inflation and certain contingent events and, in general, are allocated based on each manufacturer's market share. Future payment amounts are estimates, and actual amounts will differ as underlying assumptions differ from actual future results. See Note 11 for a discussion of proceedings that may result in a downward adjustment of amounts paid under State Settlement Agreements for the years 2003 to 2010.

*Litigation Escrow Deposits* With respect to certain adverse verdicts currently on appeal, as of June 30, 2011, PM USA has posted various forms of security totaling approximately \$47 million, the majority of which have been collateralized with cash deposits, to obtain stays of judgments pending appeals. These cash deposits are included in other assets on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Although litigation is subject to uncertainty and could result in material adverse consequences for the financial condition, cash flows or results of operations of PM USA, UST or Altria Group, Inc. in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year as more fully disclosed in Note 11 and in *Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results*, management expects cash flow from operations, together with Altria Group, Inc.'s access to capital markets, to provide sufficient liquidity to meet ongoing business needs.

*Leases* PMCC's investment in leases is included in the line item finance assets, net, on the condensed consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. At June 30, 2011, PMCC's net finance receivables of approximately \$3.8 billion in leveraged leases, which are included in finance assets, net on Altria Group, Inc.'s condensed consolidated balance sheet, consisted of rents receivable (\$12.3 billion) and the residual value of assets under lease (\$1.3 billion), reduced by third-party nonrecourse debt (\$7.8 billion) and unearned income (\$2.0 billion). The repayment of the nonrecourse debt is collateralized

## Table of Contents

by lease payments receivable and the leased property, and is nonrecourse to the general assets of PMCC. The third-party nonrecourse debt has been offset against the related rents receivable and has been presented on a net basis within finance assets, net on Altria Group, Inc.'s condensed consolidated balance sheets. Finance assets, net, at June 30, 2011, also included net finance receivables for direct finance leases (\$0.3 billion) and an allowance for losses (\$0.2 billion).

See Note 11 for a discussion of the Internal Revenue Service's (IRS) disallowance of certain tax benefits pertaining to several PMCC leveraged lease transactions. See Note 8, Note 10 and Note 11 for a discussion of the PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge.

## Equity and Dividends

On January 25, 2011, Altria Group, Inc. granted 2.2 million shares of restricted and deferred stock to eligible employees. Restrictions on these shares lapse in the first quarter of 2014. The market value per share was \$24.33 on the date of grant.

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, 2.2 million shares of restricted and deferred stock vested. The total fair value of restricted and deferred stock vested during the six months ended June 30, 2011 was approximately \$53 million. The weighted-average grant date fair value per share of these awards was \$22.66.

Dividends paid in the first six months of 2011 and 2010 were approximately \$1.6 billion and \$1.4 billion, respectively, an increase of 10.7%, primarily reflecting a higher dividend rate. Altria Group, Inc. continues to maintain a dividend payout ratio target of approximately 80% of its adjusted diluted EPS. The current annualized dividend rate is \$1.52 per Altria Group, Inc. common share.

In January 2011, Altria Group, Inc.'s Board of Directors authorized a \$1.0 billion one-year share repurchase program. As of June 30, 2011, Altria Group, Inc. had repurchased 22.8 million shares of its common stock at an aggregate cost of approximately \$616 million, or an average price of \$27.07 per share, under this share repurchase program. Share repurchases under this program depend upon marketplace conditions and other factors.

Altria Group, Inc. does not expect the PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge to impact its dividend payments or its \$1.0 billion share repurchase program. Future dividend payments and the share repurchase program remain subject to the discretion of Altria Group, Inc.'s Board of Directors.

## Recent Accounting Guidance Not Yet Adopted

See Note 13. *Recent Accounting Guidance Not Yet Adopted* to the condensed consolidated financial statements for a discussion of recent accounting guidance issued but not yet adopted.

## Contingencies

See Note 11 for a discussion of contingencies.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results**

*Forward-Looking and Cautionary Statements*

We<sup>1</sup> may from time to time make written or oral forward-looking statements, including earnings guidance and other statements contained in filings with the SEC, in reports to security holders and in press releases and investor webcasts. You can identify these forward-looking statements by use of words such as strategy, expects, continues, plans, anticipates, believes, will, estimates, forecasts, intends, targets and other words of similar meaning. You can also identify them by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts.

We cannot guarantee that any forward-looking statement will be realized, although we believe we have been prudent in our plans and assumptions. Achievement of future results is subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions that may prove to be inaccurate. Should known or unknown risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove inaccurate, actual results could vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or projected. Investors should bear this in mind as they consider forward-looking statements and whether to invest in or remain invested in Altria Group, Inc.'s securities. In connection with the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, we are identifying important factors that, individually or in the aggregate, could cause actual results and outcomes to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements made by us; any such statement is qualified by reference to the following cautionary statements. We elaborate on these and other risks we face throughout this document, particularly in the Business Environment sections preceding our discussion of operating results of our subsidiaries' businesses. You should understand that it is not possible to predict or identify all risk factors. Consequently, you should not consider the following to be a complete discussion of all potential risks or uncertainties. We do not undertake to update any forward-looking statement that we may make from time to time.

**Tobacco-Related Litigation.** Legal proceedings covering a wide range of matters are pending or threatened in various United States and foreign jurisdictions against Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries, including PM USA and UST, as well as their respective indemnitees. Various types of claims are raised in these proceedings, including product liability, consumer protection, antitrust, tax, contraband shipments, patent infringement, employment matters, claims for contribution and claims of competitors and distributors.

Litigation is subject to uncertainty and it is possible that there could be adverse developments in pending cases. An unfavorable outcome or settlement of pending tobacco-related litigation could encourage the commencement of additional litigation. Damages claimed in some tobacco-related litigation are significant and, in certain cases, range in the billions of dollars. The variability in pleadings, together with the actual experience of management in litigating claims, demonstrate that the monetary relief that may be specified in a lawsuit bears little relevance to the ultimate outcome. In certain cases, plaintiffs claim that defendants' liability is joint and several. In such cases, Altria Group, Inc. or its subsidiaries may face the risk that one or more co-defendants decline or otherwise fail to participate in the bonding required for an appeal or to pay their proportionate or jury-allocated share of a judgment. As a result, Altria Group, Inc. or its subsidiaries under certain circumstances may have to pay more than their proportionate share of any bonding- or judgment-related amounts.

Although PM USA has historically been able to obtain required bonds or relief from bonding requirements in order to prevent plaintiffs from seeking to collect judgments while adverse verdicts have been appealed, there remains a risk that such relief may not be obtainable in all cases. This risk has been substantially reduced given that 44 states now limit the dollar amount of bonds or require no bond at all. Tobacco litigation plaintiffs, however, have challenged the constitutionality of Florida's bond cap statute in several

<sup>1</sup> This section uses the terms we, our and us when it is not necessary to distinguish among Altria Group, Inc. and its various operating subsidiaries or when any distinction is clear from the context.

---

**Table of Contents**

cases and plaintiffs may challenge other state bond cap statutes. Although we cannot predict the outcome of such challenges, it is possible that the consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc., or one or more of its subsidiaries, could be materially affected in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year by an unfavorable outcome of one or more such challenges.

Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries have achieved substantial success in managing litigation. Nevertheless, litigation is subject to uncertainty and significant challenges remain. It is possible that the consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc., or one or more of its subsidiaries, could be materially affected in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year by an unfavorable outcome or settlement of certain pending litigation. Altria Group, Inc. and each of its subsidiaries named as a defendant believe, and each has been so advised by counsel handling the respective cases, that it has valid defenses to the litigation pending against it, as well as valid bases for appeal of adverse verdicts. Each of the companies has defended, and will continue to defend, vigorously against litigation challenges. However, Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries may enter into settlement discussions in particular cases if they believe it is in the best interests of Altria Group, Inc. to do so. See Note 11 and Exhibits 99.1 and 99.2 for a discussion of pending tobacco-related litigation.

Tobacco Regulation and Control Action in the Public and Private Sectors. Our tobacco subsidiaries face significant governmental action, including efforts aimed at reducing the incidence of tobacco use, restricting marketing and advertising, imposing regulations on packaging, warnings and disclosure of flavors or other ingredients, prohibiting the sale of tobacco products with certain characterizing flavors or other characteristics, limiting or prohibiting the sale of tobacco products by certain retail establishments and the sale of tobacco products in certain packing sizes, and seeking to hold them responsible for the adverse health effects associated with both smoking and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke.

PM USA, USSTC and other Altria Group, Inc. subsidiaries are subject to regulation, and may become subject to additional regulation, by the FDA, as discussed in detail in *Tobacco Space Business Environment FSPTCA and FDA Regulation*. We cannot predict how the FDA will implement and enforce its statutory authority, including by promulgating additional regulations and pursuing possible investigatory or enforcement actions.

Governmental actions, combined with the diminishing social acceptance of smoking and private actions to restrict smoking, have resulted in reduced cigarette industry volume, and we expect that these factors will continue to reduce cigarette consumption levels. Actions by the FDA or other federal, state or local governments or agencies may impact the consumer acceptability of tobacco products, limit adult consumer choices, delay or prevent the launch of new or modified tobacco products, restrict communications to adult consumers, restrict the ability to differentiate tobacco products, create a competitive advantage or disadvantage for certain tobacco companies, impose additional manufacturing, labeling or packing requirements, require the recall or removal of tobacco products from the marketplace or otherwise significantly increase the cost of doing business, all or any of which may have a material adverse impact on the results of operations or financial condition of Altria Group, Inc.

Excise Taxes. Tobacco products are subject to substantial excise taxes, and significant increases in tobacco product-related taxes or fees have been proposed or enacted and are likely to continue to be proposed or enacted within the United States at the state, federal and local levels. Tax increases are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on sales of our tobacco products due to lower consumption levels and to a potential shift in consumer purchases from the premium to the non-premium or discount segments or to other low-priced or low-taxed tobacco products or to counterfeit and contraband products. For further discussion, see *Tobacco Space Business Environment Excise Taxes*.

Increased Competition in the United States Tobacco Categories. Each of Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco subsidiaries operates in highly competitive tobacco categories. Settlements of certain tobacco litigation in the United States have resulted in substantial cigarette price increases. PM USA faces competition from lowest priced brands sold by certain United States and foreign manufacturers that have cost advantages

## Table of Contents

because they are not parties to these settlements. These manufacturers may fail to comply with related state escrow legislation or may avoid escrow deposit obligations on the majority of their sales by concentrating on certain states where escrow deposits are not required or are required on fewer than all such manufacturers' cigarettes sold in such states. Additional competition has resulted from diversion into the United States market of cigarettes intended for sale outside the United States, the sale of counterfeit cigarettes by third parties, the sale of cigarettes by third parties over the Internet and by other means designed to avoid collection of applicable taxes, and increased imports of foreign lowest priced brands. USSTC faces significant competition in the smokeless tobacco category, both from existing competitors and new entrants, and has experienced consumer down-trading to lower-priced brands. In the cigar category, additional competition has resulted from increased imports of machine-made large cigars manufactured offshore.

Governmental Investigations. From time to time, Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries are subject to governmental investigations on a range of matters. We cannot predict whether new investigations may be commenced or the outcome of such investigations, and it is possible that our subsidiaries' businesses could be materially affected by an unfavorable outcome of future investigations.

New Tobacco Product Technologies. Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco subsidiaries continue to seek ways to develop and to commercialize new tobacco product technologies that may reduce the health risks associated with current tobacco products, while continuing to offer adult tobacco consumers products that meet their taste expectations. Potential solutions being researched include tobacco products that reduce or eliminate exposure to cigarette smoke and/or constituents identified by public health authorities as harmful. Our tobacco subsidiaries may not succeed in these efforts. If they do not succeed, but one or more of their competitors does, our subsidiaries may be at a competitive disadvantage. Further, we cannot predict whether regulators, including the FDA, will permit the marketing or sale of tobacco products with claims of reduced risk to consumers or whether consumers' purchase decisions would be affected by such claims, which could affect the commercial viability of any tobacco products that might be developed.

Adjacency Strategy. Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries have adjacency growth strategies involving moves and potential moves into complementary products or processes. We cannot guarantee that these strategies, or any products introduced in connection with these strategies, will be successful.

Tobacco Price, Availability and Quality. Any significant change in tobacco leaf prices, quality or availability could affect our tobacco subsidiaries' profitability and business. For a discussion of factors that influence leaf prices, availability and quality, see *Tobacco Space Business Environment - Tobacco Price, Availability and Quality*.

Tobacco Key Facilities; Supply Security. Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco subsidiaries face risks inherent in reliance on a few significant facilities and a small number of significant suppliers. A natural or man-made disaster or other disruption that affects the manufacturing facilities of any of Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco subsidiaries or the facilities of any significant suppliers of any of Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco subsidiaries could adversely impact the operations of the affected subsidiaries. An extended interruption in operations experienced by one or more Altria Group, Inc. subsidiaries or significant suppliers could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations and financial condition of Altria Group, Inc.

Attracting and Retaining Talent. Our ability to implement our strategy of attracting and retaining the best talent may be impaired by the decreasing social acceptance of tobacco usage. The tobacco industry competes for talent with the consumer products industry and other companies that enjoy greater societal acceptance. As a result, our tobacco subsidiaries may be unable to attract and retain the best talent.



---

**Table of Contents**

**Competition, Evolving Consumer Preferences and Economic Downturns.** Each of our tobacco and wine subsidiaries is subject to intense competition, changes in consumer preferences and changes in economic conditions. To be successful, they must continue to:

promote brand equity successfully;

anticipate and respond to new and evolving consumer preferences;

develop new products and markets and to broaden brand portfolios in order to compete effectively with lower-priced products;

improve productivity; and

protect or enhance margins through cost savings and price increases.

The willingness of adult consumers to purchase premium consumer product brands depends in part on economic conditions. In periods of economic uncertainty, adult consumers may purchase more discount brands and/or, in the case of tobacco products, consider lower-priced tobacco products. The volumes of our tobacco and wine subsidiaries could suffer accordingly.

Our finance subsidiary, PMCC, holds investments in finance leases, principally in transportation (including aircraft), power generation and manufacturing equipment and facilities. Its lessees are also subject to intense competition and economic conditions. If parties to PMCC's leases fail to manage through difficult economic and competitive conditions, PMCC may have to increase its allowance for losses, which would adversely affect our earnings.

**Acquisitions.** Altria Group, Inc. from time to time considers acquisitions. From time to time we may engage in confidential acquisition negotiations that are not publicly announced unless and until those negotiations result in a definitive agreement. Although we seek to maintain or improve our credit ratings over time, it is possible that completing a given acquisition or other event could impact our credit ratings or the outlook for those ratings. Furthermore, acquisition opportunities are limited, and acquisitions present risks of failing to achieve efficient and effective integration, strategic objectives and anticipated revenue improvements and cost savings. There can be no assurance that we will be able to continue to acquire attractive businesses on favorable terms, that we will realize any of the anticipated benefits from an acquisition or that acquisitions will be quickly accretive to earnings.

**Capital Markets.** Access to the capital markets is important for us to satisfy our liquidity and financing needs. Disruption and uncertainty in the capital markets and any resulting tightening of credit availability, pricing and/or credit terms may negatively affect the amount of credit available to us and may also increase our costs and adversely affect our earnings or our dividend rate.

**Exchange Rates.** For purposes of financial reporting, the equity earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc.'s investment in SABMiller are translated into U.S. dollars from various local currencies based on average exchange rates prevailing during a reporting period. During times of a strengthening U.S. dollar against these currencies, our reported equity earnings in SABMiller will be reduced because the local currencies will translate into fewer U.S. dollars.

**Asset Impairment.** We periodically calculate the fair value of our goodwill and intangible assets to test for impairment. This calculation may be affected by general economic conditions, regulatory developments,

**Table of Contents**

changes in category growth rates as a result of changing consumer preferences, success of planned new product introductions, competitive activity and tobacco-related taxes. If an impairment is determined to exist, we will incur impairment losses, which will reduce our earnings.

**IRS Challenges to PMCC Leases.** The IRS has challenged and is expected to further challenge the tax treatment of certain of PMCC's leveraged leases. As discussed in Note 11, should Altria Group, Inc. not prevail in any one or more of these matters, Altria Group, Inc. will have to accelerate the payment of significant amounts of federal and state income tax and pay associated interest costs and penalties, if imposed. In the second quarter of 2011, Altria Group, Inc. recorded the PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge, which is discussed in Note 8, Note 10 and Note 11. The PMCC Leveraged Lease Charge excludes potential penalties because Altria Group, Inc. believes that it met the applicable standards to avoid any associated penalties at the time it claimed the deductions on its tax returns.

**Wine Competition; Grape Supply; Regulation and Excise Taxes.** Ste. Michelle's business is subject to significant competition, including from many large, well-established national and international organizations. The adequacy of Ste. Michelle's grape supply is influenced by consumer demand for wine in relation to industry-wide production levels as well as by weather and crop conditions, particularly in eastern Washington state. Supply shortages related to any one or more of these factors could increase production costs and wine prices, which ultimately may have a negative impact on Ste. Michelle's sales. In addition, federal, state and local governmental agencies regulate the alcohol beverage industry through various means, including licensing requirements, pricing, labeling and advertising restrictions, and distribution and production policies. New regulations or revisions to existing regulations, resulting in further restrictions or taxes on the manufacture and sale of alcoholic beverages, may have an adverse effect on Ste. Michelle's wine business. For further discussion, see *Wine Segment Business Environment*.

**Table of Contents**

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Altria Group, Inc. carried out an evaluation, with the participation of Altria Group, Inc.'s management, including Altria Group, Inc.'s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of Altria Group, Inc.'s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, Altria Group, Inc.'s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that Altria Group, Inc.'s disclosure controls and procedures are effective. There have been no changes in Altria Group, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting during the most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Altria Group, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting.

**Table of Contents**

Part II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

See Note 11. *Contingencies*, of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this report for a discussion of legal proceedings pending against Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries. See also Exhibits 99.1 and 99.2 to this report.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Information regarding Risk Factors appears in MD&A *Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results*, in Part I Item 2 of this Form 10-Q and in Part I Item 1A. *Risk Factors* of our Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Other than as set forth in Part I Item 2 of this Form 10-Q, there have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in our Report on Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Altria Group, Inc.'s share repurchase activity for each of the three months in the period ended June 30, 2011, was as follows:

| Period                              | Total Number of Shares Purchased <sup>(1)</sup> | Average Price Paid Per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs <sup>(2) (3)</sup> | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs <sup>(2)</sup> |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|---|
| April 1-30, 2011                    | 3,596,999                                       | \$ 26.44                     | 3,596,999   | \$ 904,897,387  |
| May 1-31, 2011                      | 9,468,681                                       | \$ 27.41                     | 13,046,999  | \$ 645,832,562  |
| June 1-30, 2011                     | 9,712,971                                       | \$ 26.97                     | 22,756,999  | \$ 383,926,410  |
| For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2011 | 22,778,651                                      | \$ 27.07                     |   |   |

(1) The total number of shares purchased include: (i) shares purchased under Altria Group, Inc.'s previously announced \$1.0 billion one-year share repurchase program (which totaled 3,596,999 shares in April, 9,450,000 shares in May and 9,710,000 shares in June) and (ii) shares tendered to Altria Group, Inc. by employees who vested in restricted and deferred stock, or exercised stock options, and used shares to pay all, or a portion of, the related taxes and/or option exercise price (which totaled 18,681 shares in May and 2,971 shares in June).

(2) In January 2011, Altria Group, Inc.'s Board of Directors authorized a \$1.0 billion one-year share repurchase program. Share repurchases under this program depend upon marketplace conditions and other factors. Activity is reported on a trade date basis. The share repurchase program remains subject to the discretion of Altria Group, Inc.'s Board of Directors.

(3) Aggregate number of shares repurchased under the share repurchase program as of the end of the period presented.

---

**Table of Contents**

Item 6. Exhibits.

- 4.1 5-Year Revolving Credit Agreement among Altria Group, Inc., the Initial Lenders named therein, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agents, Barclays Capital, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Goldman Sachs Bank USA, The Bank of Nova Scotia and The Royal Bank of Scotland plc, as Syndication Agents and Sovereign Bank, HSBC Bank USA, National Association, Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., Wells Fargo Bank, National Association and U.S. Bank National Association, as Documentation Agents, dated as of June 30, 2011. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 30, 2011 (File No. 1-08940).
  
- 10.1 Survivor Income Benefit Equalization Plan, effective as of January 1, 1985, as amended.
  
- 10.2 Guarantee made by Philip Morris USA Inc., in favor of the lenders party to the 5-Year Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of June 30, 2011, among Altria Group, Inc., the lenders named therein, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agents, dated as of June 30, 2011. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 30, 2011 (File No. 1-08940).
  
- 12 Statement regarding computation of ratios of earnings to fixed charges.
  
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
  
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
  
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
  
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
  
- 99.1 Certain Litigation Matters.
  
- 99.2 Trial Schedule for Certain Cases.
  
- 99.3 Definitions of Terms Related to Financial Covenants included in Altria Group, Inc.'s 5-Year Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of June 30, 2011.
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase

Edgar Filing: ALTRIA GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

-118-

**Table of Contents**

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ALTRIA GROUP, INC.

/s/ HOWARD A. WILLARD III

Howard A. Willard III

Executive Vice President and

Chief Financial Officer

July 28, 2011

-119-