REALOGY CORP Form 10-Q August 03, 2011 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

OR

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

to

Commission File Nos. 333-173250, 333-173254 and 333-148153

DOMUS HOLDINGS CORP. REALOGY CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrants as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

20-8050955 and 20-4381990 (I.R.S. Employer

Identification Numbers)

One Campus Drive

Parsippany, NJ (Address of principal executive offices)

07054 (Zip Code)

(973) 407-2000

(Registrants telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 of 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrants were required to file such reports), and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants have submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrants are large accelerated filers, accelerated filers, non-accelerated filers, or smaller reporting companies. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer "

Accelerated filer "

Non-accelerated filer x

Smaller reporting company "

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrants are shell companies (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

There were 105,000 shares of Class A Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, and 200,426,906 shares of Class B Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, of Domus Holdings Corp. outstanding as of August 1, 2011. There were 100 shares of Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, of Realogy Corporation outstanding as of August 1, 2011.

Table of Contents

| Introducto | ry Note and Forward-Looking Statements | Page 1 |
|------------|---|-----------|
| PART I | FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. | Financial Statements | 4 |
| | Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 4 |
| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 | 5 |
| | Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 | 6 |
| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 | 7 |
| | Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | 8 |
| Item 2. | Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 42 |
| Item 3. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risks | 75 |
| Item 4. | Controls and Procedures | 75 |
| PART II | OTHER INFORMATION | 77 |
| Item 1. | Legal Proceedings | 77 |
| Item 5. | Other Information | 78 |
| Item 6. | <u>Exhibits</u> | 78 |
| | <u>Signatures</u> | 79 |

INTRODUCTORY NOTE

Except as otherwise indicated or unless the context otherwise requires, the terms we, us, our, our company and the Company refer to Domus Holdings Corp. (Holdings) and its consolidated subsidiaries, including Domus Intermediate Holdings Corp., a Delaware limited liability company (Intermediate) and Realogy Corporation, a Delaware corporation (Realogy). Holdings is not a party to the senior secured credit facility and certain references in this report to our consolidated indebtedness exclude Holdings with respect to indebtedness under the senior secured credit facility. In addition, while Holdings is a guarantor of Realogy sobligations under the Unsecured Notes and the First and a Half Lien Notes, Holdings is not subject to the restrictive covenants in the agreements governing such indebtedness. Holdings, the indirect parent of Realogy, does not conduct any operations other than with respect to its indirect ownership of Realogy. Intermediate, the parent of Realogy, does not conduct any operations other than with respect to its ownership of Realogy. As a result, the condensed consolidated financial positions, results of operations and cash flows of Holdings, Intermediate and Realogy are the same.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Forward-looking statements in our public filings or other public statements are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements or other public statements. These forward-looking statements were based on various facts and were derived utilizing numerous important assumptions and other important factors, and changes in such facts, assumptions or factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include the information concerning our future financial performance, business strategy, projected plans and objectives, as well as projections of macroeconomic trends, which are inherently unreliable due to the multiple factors that impact economic trends, and any such variations may be material. Statements preceded by, followed by or that otherwise include the words believes, expects, anticipates, intends, projects, estimate plans, and similar expressions or future or conditional verbs such as will, should, would, may and could are generally forward looking in and not historical facts. You should understand that the following important factors could affect our future results and cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements:

we have substantial leverage as a result of our April 2007 acquisition by affiliates of Apollo Management VI, L.P. and the related financings (the Merger Transactions). In addition since the Merger Transactions, we have needed to incur additional debt in order to fund negative cash flows, principally due to the significant level of interest expense arising from our substantial leverage. As of June 30, 2011, our total debt (excluding the securitization obligations) was \$7,133 million. The housing industry and economy have experienced significant declines since the time of the Merger Transactions that have negatively impacted our operating results. As a result, we have been, and continue to be, challenged by our heavily leveraged capital structure and significant level of interest expense;

variable rate indebtedness subjects us to interest rate risk, which could cause our debt service obligations to increase. At June 30, 2011, \$2,318 million of our total debt (excluding the securitization obligations and net of interest rate hedges) were at variable rates of interest. Were interest rates to increase 100 basis points (1% change in the interest rate) on our variable rate borrowings, our interest expense would increase by approximately \$23 million;

under our senior secured credit facility, our senior secured leverage ratio of total senior secured net debt to trailing 12-month EBITDA, as those terms are defined in the senior secured credit facility, calculated on a proforma basis pursuant to the senior secured credit facility, may not exceed 4.75 to 1 on the last day of each fiscal quarter. For the twelve months ended June 30, 2011, we were in compliance with the senior secured leverage ratio covenant with a ratio of 4.38 to 1.0. While the housing market has shown signs of stabilization, there remains substantial uncertainty with respect to the timing and scope of a housing recovery and if a housing recovery is delayed or is weak, we may be subject to additional pressure in maintaining compliance with our senior secured leverage ratio;

1

if we experience an event of default under our senior secured credit facility, including but not limited to a failure to meet our cash interest obligations under such facility, or under our indentures or relocation securitization facilities, or a failure to maintain, or a failure to cure a default of, the applicable senior secured leverage ratio under such instruments, or other lack of liquidity caused by substantial leverage and the adverse conditions in the housing market, such an event would materially and adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and business;

adverse developments or the absence of sustained improvement in general business, economic, employment and political conditions;

adverse developments or the absence of improvement in the U.S. residential real estate markets, either regionally or nationally, including but not limited to:

a lack of sustained improvement in the number of homesales, further declines in home prices and/or a deterioration in other economic factors that particularly impact the residential real estate market and the business segments in which we operate;

a lack of improvement in consumer confidence;

the impact of this recession or future recessions, slow economic growth and high levels of unemployment in the U.S. and abroad:

increasing mortgage rates and down payment requirements and/or reduced availability of mortgage financing, including but not limited to the potential impact of various provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act and regulations which may be promulgated thereunder relating to mortgage financing, including restrictions imposed on mortgage originators as well as retention levels required to be maintained by sponsors to securitize mortgages;

legislative, tax or regulatory changes that would adversely impact the residential real estate market, including but not limited to potential reform relating to Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and other government sponsored entities that provide liquidity to the U.S. housing and mortgage markets, as well as, any impact of the April 2011 orders issued by U.S. regulators to 14 financial institutions requiring tighter processes and controls relating to foreclosures as well as any future related actions taken by Federal and state regulators;

negative trends and/or a negative perception of the market trends in value for residential real estate;

continuing high levels of foreclosure activity including but not limited to the release of homes for sale by financial institutions;

excessive or insufficient regional home inventory levels;

the inability or unwillingness of homeowners to enter into homesale transactions due to negative equity in their existing homes;

lower homeownership rates due to various factors, including, but not limited to, high unemployment levels, reduced demand or preferred use by households of rental housing due in part to uncertainty regarding future home values;

our geographic and high-end market concentration relating in particular to our company-owned brokerage operations; and

local and regional conditions in the areas where our franchisees and brokerage operations are located;

our inability to access capital and/or to securitize certain assets of our relocation business, either of which would require us to find alternative sources of liquidity, which may not be available, or if available, may not be on favorable terms;

limitations on flexibility in operating our business due to restrictions contained in our debt agreements;

our inability to sustain the improvements we have realized during the past several years in our operating efficiency through cost savings and business optimization efforts;

any remaining resolutions or outcomes with respect to Cendant s (as defined herein) contingent and corporate tax liabilities under the Separation and Distribution Agreement and the Tax Sharing Agreement, including any adverse impact on our future cash flows;

competition in our existing and future lines of business, including, but not limited to, higher costs to retain or attract sales agents for residential real estate brokerages, and the financial resources of competitors;

our failure to comply with laws and regulations and any changes in laws and regulations;

adverse effects of natural disasters or environmental catastrophes;

our failure to enter into or renew franchise agreements, maintain franchisee satisfaction with our brands or the inability of franchisees to survive the ongoing challenges of the real estate market;

disputes or issues with entities that license us their trade names for use in our business that could impede our franchising of those brands:

actions by our franchisees that could harm our business or reputation, non-performance of our franchisees or controversies with our franchisees;

seasonal fluctuations in the residential real estate brokerage business could adversely affect our business, financial condition and liquidity, particularly during periods in which we have significant fixed cash obligations;

the loss of any of our senior management or key managers or employees;

the cumulative effect of adverse litigation, governmental proceedings or arbitration awards against us and the adverse effect of new regulatory interpretations, rules and laws, including any changes that would (1) require classification of independent contractors to employee status, (2) place additional limitations or restrictions on affiliated transactions, which would have the effect of limiting or restricting collaboration among our business units, (3) interpret the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA) in a manner that would adversely affect our operations and business arrangements, or (4) require significant changes in the manner in which we support our franchisees; and

new types of taxes or increases in state, local or federal taxes that could diminish profitability or liquidity.

Other factors not identified above, including those described under the headings Forward-Looking Statements and Risk Factors in our Final Prospectus dated June 16, 2011 covering the resale of the Realogy Corporation 11.00% Senior Subordinated Convertible Notes (the Convertible Notes) and the Class A Common Stock of Domus Holdings Corp. issuable upon conversion of the Convertible Notes (the June 2011 Final Prospectus), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), may also cause actual results to differ materially from those described

in our forward-looking statements. Most of these factors are difficult to anticipate and are generally beyond our control. You should consider these factors in connection with considering any forward-looking statements that may be made by us and our businesses generally.

Except for our ongoing obligations to disclose material information under the federal securities laws, we undertake no obligation to release publicly any revisions to any forward-looking statements, to report events or to report the occurrence of unanticipated events unless we are required to do so by law. For any forward-looking statement contained in our public filings or other public statements, we claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

3

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements. REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Domus Holdings Corp. and Realogy Corporation:

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets of Domus Holdings Corp. and its subsidiaries and Realogy Corporation and its subsidiaries as of June 30, 2011, and the related condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2010. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, equity (deficit) and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated April 1, 2011, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2011, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Florham Park, New Jersey August 3, 2011

4

DOMUS HOLDINGS CORP. AND REALOGY CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In millions)

(Unaudited)

| | Three Mor | Three Months Ended Six Months En | | |
|--|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|
| | June | | | 30, |
| D | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Revenues Cross commission income | ¢ 972 | \$ 941 | ¢ 1 // 0 | \$ 1,529 |
| Gross commission income Service revenue | \$ 873 192 | \$ 941 185 | \$ 1,448 356 | 321 |
| Franchise fees | 70 | 81 | 121 | 136 |
| Other | 44 | 46 | 85 | 86 |
| Other | 44 | 40 | 83 | 80 |
| Net revenues | 1,179 | 1,253 | 2,010 | 2,072 |
| Expenses | | | | |
| Commission and other agent-related costs | 577 | 612 | 951 | 989 |
| Operating | 317 | 310 | 635 | 610 |
| Marketing | 54 | 50 | 97 | 96 |
| General and administrative | 56 | 57 | 127 | 135 |
| Former parent legacy costs (benefit), net | (12) | (314) | (14) | (309) |
| Restructuring costs | 3 | 4 | 5 | 10 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 47 | 49 | 93 | 99 |
| Interest expense/(income), net | 161 | 155 | 340 | 307 |
| Loss on the early extinguishment of debt | | | 36 | |
| Other (income)/expense, net | | (3) | | (6) |
| Total expenses | 1,203 | 920 | 2,270 | 1,931 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes, equity in earnings and noncontrolling interests | (24) | 333 | (260) | 141 |
| Income tax expense | 1 | 118 | 2 | 124 |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities | (4) | (8) | (4) | (9) |
| -1, | (.) | (0) | (1) | (-) |
| Net income (loss) | (21) | 223 | (258) | 26 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Domus Holdings and Realogy | \$ (22) | \$ 222 | \$ (259) | \$ 25 |
| Earnings (loss) per share attributable to Domus Holdings: | | | | |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share: | \$ (0.11) | \$ 1.11 | \$ (1.29) | \$ 0.12 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share: | \$ (0.11) | \$ 1.11 | \$ (1.29) | \$ 0.12 |
| Domus Holdings weighted average common and common equivalent shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic: | 200.4 | 200.4 | 200.4 | 200.3 |
| Diluted: | 200.4 | 200.4 | 200.4 | 200.3 |
| See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial S | Statamanta | | | |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

5

DOMUS HOLDINGS CORP. AND REALOGY CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In millions)

(Unaudited)

| | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 154 | \$ 192 |
| Trade receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$66 and \$67) | 149 | 114 |
| Relocation receivables | 428 | 386 |
| Relocation properties held for sale | 19 | 21 |
| Deferred income taxes | 68 | 76 |
| Other current assets | 104 | 109 |
| Total current assets | 922 | 898 |
| Property and equipment, net | 174 | 186 |
| Goodwill | 2,612 | 2,611 |
| Trademarks | 732 | 732 |
| Franchise agreements, net | 2,875 | 2,909 |
| Other intangibles, net | 461 | 478 |
| Other non-current assets | 204 | 215 |
| Total assets | \$ 7,980 | \$ 8,029 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT) | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 199 | \$ 203 |
| Securitization obligations | 328 | 331 |
| Due to former parent | 80 | 104 |
| Revolving credit facilities and current portion of long-term debt | 294 | 194 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 519 | 525 |
| Total current liabilities | 1,420 | 1,357 |
| Long-term debt | 6,839 | 6,698 |
| Deferred income taxes | 880 | 883 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 157 | 163 |
| Total liabilities | 9,296 | 9,101 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Notes 9 and 10) | | |
| Equity (deficit): | | |
| Domus Holdings common stock: \$.01 par value; 4,450,000,000 shares authorized, 105,000 Class A shares outstanding, 200,426,906 Class B shares outstanding at June 30, 2011 and 200,430,906 Class B shares outstanding at December 31, 2010 (Realogy common stock: \$.01 par value, 100 shares | | |
| authorized, issued and outstanding) | 2 | 2 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 2,028 | 2,024 |
| Accumulated deficit | (3,329) | (3,070) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (18) | (30) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive toss | (10) | (30) |

Edgar Filing: REALOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

| Total Holdings and Realogy stockholder s deficit | (1,317) | (1,074) |
|--|----------|----------|
| Noncontrolling interests | 1 | 2 |
| Total equity (deficit) | (1,316) | (1,072) |
| Total liabilities and equity (deficit) | \$ 7,980 | \$ 8,029 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

DOMUS HOLDINGS CORP. AND REALOGY CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In millions)

(Unaudited)

| | | ths Ended e 30, |
|--|----------|--------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Operating Activities | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (258) | \$ 26 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 93 | 99 |
| Deferred income taxes | (1) | 120 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs and discount on unsecured notes | 9 | 15 |
| Loss on the early extinguishment of debt | 36 | |
| Equity in (earnings) losses of unconsolidated entities | (4) | (9) |
| De-designation of cash flow interest rate swaps | 17 | |
| Other adjustments to net loss | 10 | 9 |
| Net change in assets and liabilities, excluding the impact of acquisitions and dispositions: | | |
| Trade receivables | (32) | (26) |
| Relocation receivables and advances | (41) | (29) |
| Relocation properties held for sale | 2 | 24 |
| Other assets | (8) | (7) |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | (1) | 58 |
| Due (to) from former parent | (23) | (311) |
| Other, net | 7 | (7) |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (194) | (38) |
| Investing Activities | (25) | (21) |
| Property and equipment additions | (25) | (21) |
| Net assets acquired (net of cash acquired) and acquisition-related payments | (4) | (2) |
| Net proceeds from sale of assets | 0 | 5 |
| Proceeds from (purchases of) certificates of deposits, net | 9 | (10) |
| Change in restricted cash | 1 | 2 |
| Other, net | (5) | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (24) | (26) |
| Financing Activities | | |
| Net change in revolving credit facilities | 125 | 110 |
| Proceeds from issuance of First and a Half Lien Notes | 700 | 110 |
| Proceeds from term loan extension | 98 | |
| Repayments of term loan credit facility | (703) | (16) |
| Net change in securitization obligations | (4) | (13) |
| Debt issuance costs | (34) | (13) |
| Other, net | (3) | (7) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 179 | 74 |
| Effect of changes in exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents | 1 | |
| • | | |

| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (38) | 10 |
|---|--------|--------|
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 192 | 255 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 154 | \$ 265 |
| Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information | | |
| Interest payments (including securitization interest expense) | \$ 287 | \$ 274 |
| Income tax payments (refunds), net | \$ 2 | \$ 5 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

DOMUS HOLDINGS CORP. AND REALOGY CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unless otherwise noted, all amounts are in millions)

(Unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Domus Holdings Corp., a Delaware corporation (Holdings) is a holding company for its wholly owned subsidiary, Domus Intermediate Holdings Corp. (Intermediate). Intermediate is a holding company for its wholly owned subsidiary, Realogy Corporation, a Delaware corporation (Realogy), and its subsidiaries (Holdings, Intermediate and Realogy and its subsidiaries being referred to herein collectively as the Company). Holdings derives all of its operating income and cash flows from Realogy and its subsidiaries.

Holdings was incorporated on December 14, 2006. On December 15, 2006, Holdings and its wholly owned subsidiary Domus Acquisition Corp., entered into an agreement and plan of merger (the Merger) with Realogy which was consummated on April 10, 2007 with Holdings becoming the indirect parent company of Realogy. Holdings is owned by investment funds affiliated with, or co-investment vehicles managed by, Apollo Management VI, L.P., an entity affiliated with Apollo Management, L.P. (collectively referred to as Apollo) and members of the Company s management. As of June 30, 2011, all of Realogy s issued and outstanding common stock was currently owned by Intermediate, a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of Holdings.

Realogy is a global provider of real estate and relocation services. Realogy was incorporated on January 27, 2006 to facilitate a plan by Cendant Corporation (now known as Avis Budget Group, Inc.) to separate into four independent companies one for each of Cendant s business units real estate services or Realogy, travel distribution services (Travelport), hospitality services, including timeshare resorts (Wyndham Worldwide), and vehicle rental (Avis Budget Group). On July 31, 2006, the separation (Separation) from Cendant became effective.

Realogy incurred indebtedness in connection with the Merger which included borrowings under Realogy s senior secured credit facility (the Senior Secured Credit Facility) and the issuance of unsecured notes. See Note 6, Short and Long-Term Debt for additional information on the indebtedness incurred related to the Merger and for additional information related to the senior secured leverage ratio that Realogy is required to maintain. An equity contribution to the Company of \$2,001 million was also made by Apollo as well as members of Realogy s management who purchased Holdings common stock with cash or through rollover equity. Realogy also refinanced the credit facilities covering the relocation securitization facilities (the Securitization Facilities Refinancing). The term Merger Transactions refer to, collectively, (1) the Merger, (2) the issuance of unsecured notes, (3) the initial borrowings under the Senior Secured Credit Facility, including the synthetic letter of credit facility, (4) the equity investment, and (5) the Securitization Facilities Refinancing.

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the financial statements of both Holdings and Realogy and these statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and with Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Interim results may not be indicative of full year performance because of seasonal and short-term variations. The Company has eliminated all material intercompany transactions and balances between entities consolidated in these financial statements. In presenting the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, management makes estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported and the related disclosures. Estimates, by their nature, are based on judgment and available information. Accordingly, actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Holdings only asset is its investment in the common stock of Intermediate, and Intermediate s only asset is its investment in the common stock of Realogy. Holdings only obligations are its guarantees of certain borrowings of Realogy. All expenses incurred by Holdings and Intermediate are for the benefit of Realogy and have been reflected in Realogy s consolidated financial statements. All issuances of Holdings equity securities,

including grants of stock options and restricted stock by Holdings to employees and directors of Realogy and its subsidiaries have been reflected in Realogy s condensed consolidated financial statements. As a result, the condensed consolidated financial positions, results of operations and cash flows of Holdings, Intermediate and Realogy are the same. Total equity (deficit) for Holdings is equal to Realogy, however, the common stock and additional paid-in capital components are different by \$2 million. Holdings has \$2 million for the par value of common stock and \$2 million less additional paid in capital as compared to Realogy. In management s opinion, the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements reflect all normal and recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly the Realogy and Holdings financial position as of June 30, 2011 and the results of operations and cash flows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

As the interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared using the same accounting principles and policies used to prepare the annual financial statements, they should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2010 included in the June 2011 Final Prospectus.

Refinancing Transactions

In January and February 2011, the Company refinanced certain of its outstanding indebtedness by (1) consummating private debt exchange offers exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), for its existing unsecured notes pursuant to which Realogy issued new unsecured notes due in 2017 and 2018 and convertible notes due in 2018 that are convertible at the holder s option into Class A Common Stock of Holdings which has a par value of \$0.01 per share (Class A Common Stock) (the Debt Exchange Offering), (2) amending and extending Realogy s senior secured credit facility (the Senior Secured Credit Facility Amendment) which, among other things, extended the maturity of a significant portion of the first lien term loans and revolving commitments thereunder, and (3) issuing \$700 million principal amount of 7.875% senior secured notes due in 2019 (the First and a Half Lien Notes and, together with the Debt Exchange Offering and the Senior Secured Credit Facility Amendment, the Refinancing Transactions), the net proceeds of which were used to prepay outstanding term loans under the Senior Secured Credit Facility. The Refinancing Transactions, among other things, reduced the Company s total senior secured debt for purposes of calculating the financial covenant under the Senior Secured Credit Facility, which requires that Realogy maintain a senior secured leverage ratio of total senior secured net debt to trailing 12-month Adjusted EBITDA (as defined in Note 6, Short and Long-Term Debt), that may not exceed a maximum amount on the last day of each fiscal quarter. At June 30, 2011, the maximum permitted ratio was 4.75 to 1 and Realogy was in compliance with the senior secured leverage covenant with a senior secured leverage ratio of 4.38 to 1. See Note 6, Short and Long-Term Debt for additional information related to the Refinancing Transactions.

Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation

On January 5, 2011, in connection with the consummation of the Debt Exchange Offering, Holdings amended and restated its certificate of incorporation. Under its amended and restated certificate of incorporation, Holdings has the authority to issue up to 4,500,000,000 shares, of which Holdings has the authority to issue 4,200,000,000 shares of Class A Common Stock, \$0.01 par value (the Class A Common Stock), 250,000,000 shares of Class B Common Stock, \$0.01 par value and 50,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value. Pursuant to Holdings amended and restated certificate of incorporation, the outstanding shares of common stock of Holdings were reclassified on a share-for-share basis into shares of Class B Common Stock, the voting of which is controlled by Apollo.

The Convertible Notes are convertible to shares of Class A Common Stock upon conversion. Each share of Class A Common Stock has one vote per share, and each share of Class B Common Stock has five votes per share. The Class B Common Stock will automatically convert into Class A Common Stock on a share-for-share basis once (i) Apollo converts all of the Convertible Notes it received in the Debt Exchange Offering into shares of Class A Common Stock or (ii) upon a Qualified Public Offering, provided that such conversion would not result in a change of control of Realogy under the Senior Secured Credit Facility or any of Realogy s other debt arrangements.

9

Earnings (loss) per share attributable to Holdings:

Basic earnings per share is computed based upon weighted-average shares outstanding during the period. Dilutive earnings per share is computed consistently with the basic computation while giving effect to all dilutive potential common shares and common share equivalents that were outstanding during the period. Holdings uses the treasury stock method to reflect the potential dilutive effect of unvested stock awards and unexercised options.

The Company was in a net loss position for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and therefore the impact of stock options, restricted stock and the three series of convertible notes were excluded from the computation of dilutive earnings (loss) per share as the inclusion of such amounts would be anti-dilutive. The number of shares of common stock issuable under the stock options, restricted stock and the convertible notes that were excluded from the computation was 17 million, 0.1 million and 2,026 million, respectively.

Derivative Instruments

The Company uses foreign currency forward contracts largely to manage its exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates associated with its foreign currency denominated receivables and payables. The Company primarily manages its foreign currency exposure to the Swiss Franc, Canadian Dollar, British Pound and Euro. The Company has elected not to utilize hedge accounting for these forward contracts; therefore, any change in fair value is recorded in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. However, the fluctuations in the value of these forward contracts generally offset the impact of changes in the value of the underlying risk that they are intended to economically hedge. As of June 30, 2011, the Company had outstanding foreign currency forward contracts with a fair value of less than \$1 million and a notional value of \$20 million. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had outstanding foreign currency forward contracts with a fair value of less than \$1 million and a notional value of \$18 million.

The Company also enters into interest rate swaps to manage its exposure to changes in interest rates associated with its variable rate borrowings. The Company has two interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional value of \$425 million to hedge the variability in cash flows resulting from the term loan facility. One swap, with a notional value of \$225 million, expires in July 2012 and the other swap, with a notional value of \$200 million, expires in December 2012. The Company is utilizing pay fixed interest swaps (in exchange for floating LIBOR rate based payments) to perform this hedging strategy. The derivatives were being accounted for as cash flow hedges in accordance with the FASB s derivative and hedging guidance and the unfavorable fair market value of the swaps was recorded within Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) (AOCI) at December 31, 2010. Following the completion of the Refinancing Transactions, the Company was not able to maintain hedge effectiveness in accordance with the accounting guidance. As a result, the interest rate swaps were de-designated as cash flow hedging instruments and the fair value of \$17 million was reclassified from AOCI and recognized in interest expense in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations during the first quarter of 2011.

The fair value of derivative instruments was as follows:

Liability Derivatives

| | | June 30, | December 31, | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------|-------|
| | | 2011 | 20 | 10 |
| Designated as Hedging Instruments | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Fair | Value |
| Interest rate swap contracts | Other non-current liabilities | \$ | \$ | 17 |
| | | | | |
| Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | | | | |
| Interest rate swap contracts | Other non-current liabilities | \$ 13 | \$ | |

10

The effect of derivative instruments on earnings was as follows:

| | | | | | Gain | or (Loss) |
|---------------------------------|---|-------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income | | | | Rec | elassified |
| | | | | | from AO | CI into Income |
| | | | | Location of Gain or | | |
| | Three | | | (Loss) Reclassified | Three | |
| Derivatives in Cash Flow | Months Ended June | | Three ths Ended | from AOCI into | Months Ended June | Three Months Ended |
| | 30, | J | une 30, | | 30, | June 30, |
| Hedge Relationships | 2011 | | 2010 | Income (Effective Portion) | 2011 | 2010 |
| Interest rate swap contracts | \$ | \$ | 3 | Interest expense | \$ | \$ (6) |
| | Gain or (Loss) Other Compre | 0 | | Location of Gain or | * | s) Reclassified I into Income |
| | Six | | | (Loss) Reclassified | Six | |
| | Months Ended | S | ix | | Months Ended | Six |
| Derivatives in Cash Flow | June | Month | s Ended | from AOCI into | June | Months Ended |
| | 30, | Jun | e 30, | | 30, | June 30, |
| Hedge Relationships | 2011 | 20 |)10 | Income (Effective Portion) | 2011 | 2010 |
| Interest rate swap contracts | \$ | \$ | 6 | Interest expense | \$ (17) | \$ (13) |
| | | | | | | |

| | | Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| Derivative Instruments Not | Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized | Three Months Ended June | Three Months Ended | |
| | | 30, | June 30, | |
| Designated as Hedging Instruments | in Income for Derivative Instruments | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Interest rate swap contracts | Interest expense | \$ 2 | \$ | |
| Foreign exchange contracts | Operating expense | \$ | \$ 1 | |

| | | Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------|--|
| Derivative Instruments Not | Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized | Six Months Ended June | Six Months Ended | |
| | | 30, | June 30, | |
| Designated as Hedging Instruments | in Income for Derivative Instruments | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Interest rate swap contracts | Interest expense | \$ 4 | \$ | |
| Foreign exchange contracts | Operating expense | \$ (1) | \$ 1 | |
| Financial Instruments | | | | |

The following tables present the Company s assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis and are categorized using the fair value hierarchy. The fair value hierarchy has three levels based on the reliability of the inputs used to determine fair value.

Level Input: Input Definitions:

Level I Inputs are unadjusted, quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets at the measurement date.

Level II Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level I that are observable for the asset or liability through corroboration with

market data at the measurement date.

Level III Unobservable inputs that reflect management s best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or

liability at the measurement date.

The availability of observable inputs can vary from asset to asset and is affected by a wide variety of factors, including, for example, the type of asset, whether the asset is new and not yet established in the marketplace, and other characteristics particular to the transaction. To the extent that valuation is based on models or inputs that are less observable or unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised by the Company in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized

11

Table of Contents

in Level III. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

The fair value of financial instruments is generally determined by reference to quoted market values. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques, as appropriate. The fair value of interest rate swaps is determined based upon a discounted cash flow approach that incorporates counterparty and performance risk and therefore is categorized in Level III.

The following table summarizes fair value measurements by level at June 30, 2011 for assets/liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

| | Level I | Level II | Level III | Total |
|---|---------|----------|-----------|-------|
| Derivatives | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps (primarily included in other non-current liabilities) | \$ | \$ | \$ 13 | \$ 13 |
| Deferred compensation plan assets (included in other non-current assets) | 2 | | | 2 |

The following table summarizes fair value measurements by level at December 31, 2010 for assets/liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

| | Level I | Level II | Level | III | Total |
|---|---------|----------|-------|-----|-------|
| Derivatives | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps (primarily included in other non-current liabilities) | \$ | \$ | \$ | 17 | \$ 17 |
| Deferred compensation plan assets (included in other non-current assets) | 1 | | | | 1 |

The following table presents changes in Level III financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

| Fair value at December 31, 2010 | \$ 17 |
|---------------------------------------|-------|
| Changes reflected in interest expense | (4) |
| | |
| Fair value at June 30, 2011 | \$ 13 |

The following table summarizes the carrying amount of the Company s indebtedness compared to the estimated fair value, primarily determined by quoted market values, at:

| | June 3 | 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Debt | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value | |
| Senior Secured Credit Facility: | | | | | |
| Non-extended revolving credit facility | \$ 79 | \$ 79 | \$ | \$ | |
| Extended revolving credit facility | 101 | 101 | | | |
| Non-extended term loan facility | 633 | 570 | 3,059 | 2,903 | |
| Extended term loan facility | 1,822 | 1,567 | | | |
| First and a Half Lien Notes | 700 | 697 | | | |
| Second Lien Loans | 650 | 683 | 650 | 720 | |
| Other bank indebtedness | 108 | 108 | 163 | 163 | |
| Existing Notes: | | | | | |
| 10.50% Senior Notes | 64 | 65 | 1,688 | 1,656 | |
| 11.00%/11.75% Senior Toggle Notes | 52 | 52 | 468 | 449 | |
| 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes | 187 | 175 | 864 | 806 | |
| Extended Maturity Notes: | | | | | |
| 11.50% Senior Notes | 488 | 479 | | | |
| 12.00% Senior Notes | 129 | 132 | | | |
| 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes | 10 | 12 | | | |
| 11.00% Convertible Notes | 2,110 | 2,160 | | | |
| Securitization obligations | 328 | 328 | 331 | 331 | |
| Income Taxes | | | | | |

The Company s provision for income taxes in interim periods is computed by applying its estimated annual effective tax rate against the income (loss) before income taxes for the period. In addition, non-recurring or discrete items are recorded during the period in which they occur. No Federal income tax benefit was recognized for the current period loss due to the recognition of a full valuation allowance for domestic operations. Income tax expense for the six months ended June 30 2011 was \$2 million. This expense included \$6 million for an increase in deferred tax liabilities associated with indefinite-lived intangible assets and \$3 million was recognized for foreign and state income taxes for certain jurisdictions offset by a \$7 million benefit due to the de-designation of the interest rate swaps.

Supplemental Cash Flow Information

In the second quarter of 2011 and 2010, pursuant to the terms of the Senior Toggle Notes, the Company elected to satisfy the interest payment obligation by issuing \$3 million and \$25 million, respectively, of Senior Toggle Notes which resulted in a non-cash transfer between accrued interest and long term debt.

Defined Benefit Pension Plan

The net periodic pension cost for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was \$1 million and was comprised of interest cost and amortization of amounts previously recorded as other comprehensive income of \$3 million offset by a benefit of \$2 million for the expected return on assets. The net periodic pension cost for the three months ended June 30, 2010 was less than \$1 million and was comprised of interest cost and amortization of amounts previously recorded as other comprehensive income of \$2 million offset by a benefit of \$1 million for the expected return on assets.

The net periodic pension cost for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$2 million and was comprised of interest cost and amortization of amounts previously recorded as other comprehensive income of \$5 million offset

by a benefit of \$3 million for the expected return on assets. The net periodic pension cost for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$1 million and was comprised of interest cost and amortization of amounts previously recorded as other comprehensive income of \$4 million offset by a benefit of \$3 million for the expected return on assets.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the FASB amended the guidance on comprehensive income to allow companies an option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income (OCI) either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. Companies are also required to present on the face of the financial statements reclassification adjustments between OCI and net income. The amendments do not change the items that must be reported in OCI or when an item of OCI must be reclassified to net income, nor do they change how earnings per share is calculated and presented. In addition, companies continue to have the option to present the OCI components net of tax or one amount reported for the tax effects of all OCI items. The amendments are effective retrospectively for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011 with early adoption permitted. The Company intends to adopt the amendments on January 1, 2012 and does not expect the adoption to have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB amended the guidance on Fair Value Measurement that result in common measurement of fair value and disclosure requirements between U.S. GAAP and the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). The amendments mainly change the wording used to describe many of the requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The amendments are effective prospectively for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company intends to adopt the amendments on January 1, 2012 and does not expect the adoption to have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the FASB issued an amendment to the accounting and disclosure for revenue recognition. The amendment modifies the criteria for recognizing revenue in multiple element arrangements. Under the guidance, in the absence of vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE) or other third party evidence (TPE) of the selling price for the deliverables in a multiple-element arrangement, this amendment requires companies to use the best estimated selling price (BESP) for the individual deliverables. Companies shall apply the relative-selling price model for allocating an arrangement s total consideration to its individual deliverables. Under this model, the BESP is used for both the delivered and undelivered elements that do not have VSOE or TPE of the selling price. The guidance is effective for the fiscal year beginning on or after June 15, 2010, and will be applied prospectively to revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified after the effective date. The Company adopted the new guidance beginning January 1, 2011 and determined that the guidance did not have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB expanded the disclosure requirements for fair value measurements relating to the transfers in and out of Level 2 measurements and amended the disclosures for the Level 3 activity reconciliation to be presented on a gross basis. In addition, valuation techniques and inputs should be disclosed for both Levels 2 and 3 recurring and nonrecurring measurements. The new requirements are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about the Level 3 activity reconciliation which are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. The Company adopted the new disclosure requirements on January 1, 2010 except for the disclosure related to the Level 3 reconciliation, which was adopted on January 1, 2011. The adoption did not have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued guidance to clarify when to perform step two of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. In certain situations, a reporting unit

14

may have a negative carrying amount, particularly for companies that only have a single reporting unit and have significant debt. In that case, since the first step is passed, the negative carrying amount may shield a potential impairment. The guidance requires that reporting units with a zero or negative carrying value should proceed to step two of the impairment test if there are qualitative factors indicating that it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. This guidance is effective for all interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The Company adopted the guidance beginning January 1, 2011 and determined that the adoption did not have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued guidance to clarify the disclosure of supplementary pro forma information for business combinations. Previous guidance on Business Combinations requires disclosure of revenue and earnings of the combined entity as if the acquisition had occurred as of the beginning of both the current period and the comparable prior year reporting period. However, presenting pro forma results as if the acquisition occurred at the beginning of each annual period inappropriately results in certain adjustments, such as amortization expense of intangible assets with useful lives of less than two years, being included in the pro forma results of both reporting periods. The new guidance therefore requires pro forma information to be prepared as if the acquisition occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior period and is applied prospectively for acquisitions consummated after the beginning of the fiscal year beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The Company adopted the guidance beginning January 1, 2011 and determined that the adoption did not have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

2. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

Comprehensive income (loss) consisted of the following:

| | | Three Months Ended June 30, | | hs Ended |
|--|---------|--------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (21) | \$ 223 | \$ (258) | \$ 26 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 1 | 1 | 2 | (1) |
| Change in fair value of interest rate hedges, net | | 1 | | 3 |
| Reclassification of interest rate hedges to interest expense, net ⁽¹⁾ | | | 10 | |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | (20) | 225 | (246) | 28 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| Total comprehensive income (loss)attributable to Holdings and Realogy | \$ (21) | \$ 224 | \$ (247) | \$ 27 |

(1) The interest rate swaps were being accounted for as cash flow hedges in accordance with the FASB s derivative and hedging guidance and the unfavorable fair market value of the swaps was recorded within AOCI at December 31, 2010. However, following the completion of the Refinancing Transactions in early 2011, the Company was not able to maintain hedge effectiveness. As a result, the interest rate swaps were de-designated and \$10 million (\$17 million excluding the tax impact of \$7 million) was reclassified and recognized in interest expense in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. See Note 1, Basis of Presentation for additional information.

3. ACQUISITIONS

Assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business combinations were recorded in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of the respective acquisition dates based upon their estimated fair values at such dates. The results of operations of businesses acquired by the Company have been included in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations since their respective dates of acquisition.

2011 Acquisitions

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company acquired seven real estate brokerage operations through its wholly-owned subsidiary, NRT, for total consideration of \$2 million. These acquisitions resulted in goodwill of \$1 million that was assigned to the Company Owned Brokerage Services segment.

None of the 2011 acquisitions were significant to the Company s results of operations, financial position or cash flows individually or in the aggregate.

2010 Acquisitions

On January 21, 2010, the Company completed the stock acquisition of Primacy Relocation, LLC, (Primacy) for the assumption of approximately \$26 million of indebtedness (excluding \$9 million of indebtedness related to the sale of relocation receivables). Primacy was a relocation and global assignment management services company headquartered in the U.S. with international locations in Europe and Asia. The acquisition of Primacy increased goodwill by \$16 million, customer relationships intangibles by \$62 million and other intangibles by \$5 million. Effective January 1, 2011, the Primacy business operates under the Cartus name.

4. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill by segment and changes in the carrying amount are as follows:

| | Real Estate | Company Owned | | Title and | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | Franchise Services | Brokerage Services | Relocation Services | Settlement Services | Total Company |
| Gross goodwill as of December 31, 2010 | \$ 2,265 | \$ 780 | \$ 641 | \$ 397 | \$ 4,083 |
| Accumulated impairment losses | (709) | (158) | (281) | (324) | (1,472) |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 1,556 | 622 | 360 | 73 | 2,611 |
| Goodwill acquired | | 1 | | | 1 |
| Balance at June 30, 2011 | \$ 1,556 | \$ 623 | \$ 360 | \$ 73 | \$ 2,612 |

Intangible assets are as follows:

| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accu | me 30, 201 mulated tization | Net Carrying Amount | As Gross Carrying Amount | of December 31, Accumulated Amortization | 2010 Net Carrying Amount |
|--|-----------------------------|------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| Franchise Agreements | | | | | | | |
| Amortizable Franchise agreements | \$ 2,019 | \$ | 289 | \$ 1,730 | \$ 2,019 | \$ 255 | \$ 1,764 |
| Unamortizable Franchise agreement | 1,145 | | | 1,145 | 1,145 | | 1,145 |
| Total Franchise Agreements | \$ 3,164 | \$ | 289 | \$ 2,875 | \$ 3,164 | \$ 255 | \$ 2,909 |
| Unamortizable Trademark® | \$ 732 | \$ | | \$ 732 | \$ 732 | \$ | \$ 732 |
| Other Intangibles Amortizable License agreement® | \$ 45 | \$ | 4 | \$ 41 | \$ 45 | \$ 3 | \$ 42 |

Edgar Filing: REALOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

| Amortizable Customer relationship® | 529 | 126 | 403 | 529 | 107 | 422 |
|------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Amortizable Pendings and listing® | | | | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Unamortizable Title plant shareg) | 10 | | 10 | 10 | | 10 |
| Amortizable Other | 17 | 10 | 7 | 12 | 9 | 3 |
| | | | | | | |
| Total Other Intangibles | \$ 601 | \$ 140 | \$ 461 | \$ 598 | \$ 120 | \$ 478 |

- (a) Generally amortized over a period of 30 years.
- (b) Relates to the Real Estate Franchise Services franchise agreement with NRT, which is expected to generate future cash flows for an indefinite period of time.
- (c) Relates to the Century 21, Coldwell Banker, ERA, The Corcoran Group, Coldwell Banker Commercial and Cartus tradenames, which are expected to generate future cash flows for an indefinite period of time.
- (d) Relates to the Sotheby's International Realty and Better Homes and Gardens Real Estate agreements which are being amortized over 50 years (the contractual term of the license agreements).
- (e) Relates to the customer relationships at the Title and Settlement Services segment and the Relocation Services segment. These relationships are being amortized over a period of 5 to 20 years.
- (f) Amortized over the estimated closing period of the underlying contracts (in most cases five months).
- (g) Primarily related to the Texas American Title Company title plant shares. Ownership in a title plant is required to transact title insurance in certain states. The Company expects to generate future cash flows for an indefinite period of time.
- (h) Generally amortized over periods ranging from 2 to 10 years.

Intangible asset amortization expense is as follows:

| | | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|--|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Franchise agreements | \$ 17 | \$ 17 | \$ 34 | \$ 34 | |
| Customer relationships | 10 | 10 | 19 | 19 | |
| Other | 1 | | 3 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 28 | \$ 27 | \$ 56 | \$ 53 | |

Based on the Company s amortizable intangible assets as of June 30, 2011, the Company expects related amortization expense for the remainder of 2011, the four succeeding years and thereafter to approximate \$55 million, \$108 million, \$105 million, \$105 million, \$95 million and \$1,713 million, respectively.

5. ACCRUED EXPENSES AND OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities consisted of:

| | June 30, 2011 | Decem 20 | |
|---|------------------|-------------|-----|
| Accrued payroll and related employee costs | \$ 65 | \$ | 93 |
| Accrued volume incentives | 12 | | 17 |
| Accrued commissions | 26 | | 15 |
| Restructuring accruals | 27 | | 36 |
| Deferred income | 76 | | 76 |
| Accrued interest | 138 | | 112 |
| Relocation services home mortgage obligations | 14 | | 16 |
| Other | 161 | | 160 |
| | | | |
| | \$ 519 | \$ | 525 |

Table of Contents 27

17

6. SHORT AND LONG TERM DEBT

Total indebtedness is as follows:

| | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Senior Secured Credit Facility: | | |
| Non-extended revolving credit facility | \$ 79 | \$ |
| Extended revolving credit facility | 101 | |
| Non-extended term loan facility | 633 | 3,059 |
| Extended term loan facility | 1,822 | |
| First and a Half Lien Notes | 700 | |
| Second Lien Loans | 650 | 650 |
| Other bank indebtedness | 108 | 163 |
| Existing Notes: | | |
| 10.50% Senior Notes | 64 | 1,688 |
| 11.00%/11.75% Senior Toggle Notes | 52 | 468 |
| 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes | 187 | 864 |
| Extended Maturity Notes: | | |
| 11.50% Senior Notes | 488 | |
| 12.00% Senior Notes | 129 | |
| 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes | 10 | |
| 11.00% Convertible Notes | 2,110 | |
| Securitization Obligations: | | |
| Apple Ridge Funding LLC | 292 | 296 |
| Cartus Financing Limited | 36 | 35 |
| | \$ 7,461 | \$ 7,223 |
| | φ /, + 01 | Ψ 1,223 |

Refinancing Transactions

In January and February of 2011, Realogy completed a series of transactions, referred to herein as the Refinancing Transactions, to refinance both its secured and unsecured indebtedness.

Senior Secured Credit Facility

In connection with the closing of the Merger Transactions on April 10, 2007, Realogy entered into the Senior Secured Credit Facility consisting of (i) a \$3,170 million term loan facility, (ii) a \$750 million revolving credit facility, (iii) a \$525 million synthetic letter of credit facility (the facilities described in clauses (i), (ii) and (iii), as amended by the Senior Secured Credit Facility Amendment, collectively referred to as the First Lien Facilities), and (iv) a \$650 million incremental (or accordion) loan facility, which was utilized in connection with the incurrence of Second Lien Loans in 2009 described below.

Effective February 3, 2011, Realogy entered into the Senior Secured Credit Facility Amendment and an incremental assumption agreement, which resulted in the following:

certain lenders extended the maturity of a significant portion of first lien term loans, revolving commitments and synthetic letter of credit commitments to October 10, 2016, April 10, 2016, and October 10, 2016, respectively, resulting in approximately \$2,424 million aggregate principal amount of extended term loans, approximately \$461 million aggregate principal amount of commitments in respect of extended revolving loans and approximately \$171 million aggregate principal amount of extended synthetic letter of credit commitments;

certain lenders simultaneously converted approximately \$98 million aggregate principal amount of revolving commitments in respect of extended revolving loans to extended term loans, thereby reducing the commitments under the revolving credit facility to \$652 million;

18

Table of Contents

the net proceeds of the \$700 million aggregate principal amount of First and a Half Lien Notes together with cash on hand were used to prepay \$700 million of the outstanding extended term loans, thereby reducing the aggregate principal amount of extended term loans to \$1,822 million;

the interest rate with respect to the extended term loans was increased by 1.25% from the rate applicable to the non-extended term loans:

the interest rate with respect to the extended revolving loans was increased by 1.0% from the rate applicable to the non-extended revolving loans; and

the fee with respect to the synthetic letter of credit facility was increased by 1.25% from the fee applicable to the non-extending synthetic letter of credit facility.

The Senior Secured Credit Facility Amendment also provides for the incurrence of additional incremental term loans that are secured on a junior basis to the second lien loans in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$350 million.

The extended term loans do not require any scheduled amortization of principal. The non-extended term loan facility will continue to provide for quarterly amortization payments totaling 1% per annum of the principal amount of the non-extended first lien term loans.

Interest rates with respect to term loans under the Senior Secured Credit Facility are based on, at Realogy s option, (a) adjusted LIBOR plus 3.0% (or with respect to the extended term loans, 4.25%) or (b) the higher of the Federal Funds Effective Rate plus 0.5% (or with respect to the extended term loans 1.75%) and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. s prime rate (ABR) plus 2.0% (or with respect to the extended term loans, 3.25%).

The Senior Secured Credit Facility provides for a six-year, \$652 million revolving credit facility, which includes a \$200 million letter of credit sub-facility and a \$50 million swingline loan sub-facility. Realogy uses the revolving credit facility for, among other things, working capital and other general corporate purposes, including permitted acquisitions and investments. Interest rates with respect to revolving loans under the Senior Secured Credit Facility are based on, at Realogy s option, adjusted LIBOR plus 2.25% (or with respect to the extended revolving loans, 3.25%) or ABR plus 1.25% (or with respect to the extended revolving loans, 2.25%) in each case subject to reductions based on the attainment of certain leverage ratios.

The Senior Secured Credit Facility initially provided for a six-and-a-half-year \$525 million synthetic letter of credit facility which is for: (1) the support of Realogy s obligations with respect to Cendant contingent and other liabilities assumed under the Separation and Distribution Agreement and (2) general corporate purposes in an amount not to exceed \$100 million. In light of the reduction in Cendant s contingent and other liabilities, on January 5, 2011, Realogy reduced the capacity of the synthetic letter of credit facility to \$223 million. As of June 30, 2011, most of the capacity was being utilized by a \$100 million letter of credit with Cendant for any remaining potential contingent obligations and \$100 million of letters of credit for general corporate purposes.

The loans under the First Lien Facilities (the First Lien Loans) are secured to the extent legally permissible by substantially all of the assets of Realogy, Intermediate and the subsidiary guarantors, including but not limited to (a) a first-priority pledge of substantially all capital stock held by Realogy or any subsidiary guarantor (which pledge, with respect to obligations in respect of the borrowings secured by a pledge of the stock of any first-tier foreign subsidiary, is limited to 100% of the non-voting stock (if any) and 65% of the voting stock of such foreign subsidiary), and (b) perfected first-priority security interests in substantially all tangible and intangible assets of Realogy and each subsidiary guarantor, subject to certain exceptions.

In late 2009, Realogy incurred \$650 million of Second Lien Loans. The Second Lien Loans are secured by liens on the assets of Realogy and by the guarantors that secure the First Lien Loans. However, such liens are junior in priority to the First Lien Loans. The Second Lien Loans bear interest at a rate of 13.50% per year and interest payments are payable semi-annually in arrears on April 15 and October 15 of each year. The Second Lien Loans mature on October 15, 2017 and there are no required amortization payments.

Table of Contents

The Company's Senior Secured Credit Facility contains financial, affirmative and negative covenants and requires the Company to maintain a senior secured leverage ratio not to exceed a maximum amount on the last day of each fiscal quarter. Specifically, the Company's total senior secured net debt to trailing twelve month EBITDA (as such terms are defined in the Senior Secured Credit Facility), calculated on a proforma basis pursuant to the Senior Secured Credit Facility, may not exceed 4.75 to 1. Total senior secured net debt does not include the First and a Half Lien Notes, Second Lien Loans, other bank indebtedness not secured by a first lien on the Company's assets, securitization obligations or the Unsecured Notes (as defined below). At June 30, 2011, the Company's senior secured leverage ratio was 4.38 to 1. EBITDA, as defined in the Senior Secured Credit Facility, includes certain adjustments and also is calculated on a proforma basis for purposes of calculating the senior secured leverage ratio. In this report, the Company refers to the term' Adjusted EBITDA to mean EBITDA as so defined and calculated for purposes of determining compliance with the senior secured leverage covenant.

Based upon the Company s financial forecast, the Company believes that it will continue to be in compliance with the senior secured leverage ratio during the next twelve months. While the housing market has shown signs of stabilization, there remains substantial uncertainty with respect to the timing and scope of a housing recovery and if a housing recovery is delayed or is weak, the Company may be subject to additional pressure in maintaining compliance with its senior secured leverage ratio. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the detailed covenant calculation.

The Company has the right to cure an event of default of the senior secured leverage ratio in three of any of the four consecutive quarters through the issuance of additional Holdings equity for cash, which would be infused as capital into the Company. The effect of such infusion would be to increase Adjusted EBITDA for purposes of calculating the senior secured leverage ratio for the applicable twelve-month period and reduce net senior secured indebtedness upon actual receipt of such capital. If the Company is unable to maintain compliance with the senior secured leverage ratio and fails to remedy a default through an equity cure as described above, there would be an event of default under the Senior Secured Credit Facility. Other events of default under the Senior Secured Credit Facility include, without limitation, nonpayment, material misrepresentations, insolvency, bankruptcy, certain material judgments, change of control and cross-events of default on material indebtedness.

If an event of default occurs under the Senior Secured Credit Facility, and the Company fails to obtain a waiver from the lenders, the Company s financial condition, results of operations and business would be materially adversely affected. Upon the occurrence of an event of default under the Senior Secured Credit Facility, the lenders:

would not be required to lend any additional amounts to the Company;

could elect to declare all borrowings outstanding, together with accrued and unpaid interest and fees, to be due and payable;

could require the Company to apply all of its available cash to repay these borrowings; or

could prevent the Company from making payments on the First and a Half Lien Notes or the Unsecured Notes; any of which could result in an event of default under the First and a Half Lien Notes, the Unsecured Notes and the Company s Apple Ridge Funding LLC securitization program.

If the Company were unable to repay those amounts, the lenders under the Senior Secured Credit Facility could proceed against the collateral granted to them to secure that indebtedness. The Company has pledged the majority of its assets as collateral under the Senior Secured Credit Facility. If the lenders under the Senior Secured Credit Facility were to accelerate the repayment of borrowings, then the Company may not have sufficient assets to repay the Senior Secured Credit Facility and its other indebtedness, including the First and a

Table of Contents 31

20

Half Lien Notes and the Unsecured Notes, or be able to borrow sufficient funds to refinance such indebtedness. Even if the Company is able to obtain new financing, it may not be on commercially reasonable terms, or terms that are acceptable to the Company.

First and a Half Lien Notes

On February 3, 2011, Realogy issued \$700 million aggregate principal amount of First and a Half Lien Notes in a private offering exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act. The First and a Half Lien Notes mature on February 15, 2019 and bear interest at a rate per annum of 7.875% payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on February 1 or August 1 immediately preceding the interest payment dates of February 15 and August 15 of each year. The First and a Half Lien Notes are secured by substantially the same collateral as Realogy s existing secured obligations under the Senior Secured Credit Facility, but the priority of the collateral liens securing the First and a Half Lien Notes is (i) junior to the collateral liens securing Realogy s first lien obligations under the Senior Secured Credit Facility and (ii) senior to the collateral liens securing Realogy s second lien obligations under the Senior Secured Credit Facility.

As discussed above, the net proceeds from the offering of the First and a Half Lien Notes, along with cash on hand, were used to prepay \$700 million of certain of Realogy s first lien term loans that were extended in connection with the Senior Secured Credit Facility Amendment.

Other Bank Indebtedness

During 2010, Realogy entered into five separate revolving U.S. credit facilities to borrow up to \$155 million and an additional revolving U.K. credit facility to borrow up to £5 million. These facilities are not secured by assets of Realogy or any of its subsidiaries but are supported by letters of credit issued under the Senior Secured Credit Facility. The facilities have interest payments payable either monthly or quarterly and generally have a one-year term with certain options for renewal, though one facility has a term expiring in January 2013. As of December 31, 2010, Realogy borrowed \$163 million under these facilities and in the beginning of 2011, repaid \$55 million of the outstanding borrowings and terminated the borrowing capacity under these revolving credit facilities. As of June 30, 2011, Realogy had outstanding borrowings of \$100 million under the U.S. credit facilities at a weighted average interest rate of 2.9% and \$8 million under the U.K. credit facility at an interest rate of 2.5%.

On June 30, 2011, Realogy completed an amendment to one of the revolving U.S. credit facilities to increase the capacity from \$50 million to \$75 million and extend the facility through July 2012. Realogy borrowed the additional \$25 million in July 2011.

Unsecured Notes

On April 10, 2007, Realogy issued \$1,700 million aggregate principal amount of 10.50% Senior Notes due 2014 (the 10.50% Senior Notes), \$550 million aggregate principal amount of 11.00%/11.75% Senior Toggle Notes due 2014 (the Senior Toggle Notes and, together with the 10.50% Senior Notes, the Existing Senior Notes) and \$875 million aggregate principal amount of 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015 (the 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes and, together with the Existing Senior Notes, the Existing Notes).

On January 5, 2011, Realogy consummated the Debt Exchange Offering for its Existing Notes pursuant to which Realogy issued 11.50% Senior Notes due 2017 (the 11.50% Senior Notes), 12.00% Senior Notes due 2017 (the 12.00% Senior Notes and, together with the 11.50% Senior Notes, the Extended Maturity Senior Notes and, together with the Existing Senior Notes, the Senior Notes), 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2018 (the 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes and, together with the Extended Maturity Senior Notes, the Extended Maturity Notes) and 11.00% Series A Convertible Notes due 2018, the 11.00% Series B Convertible Notes due 2018 and the 11.00% Series C Convertible Notes due 2018 (collectively, the Convertible Notes). The term Senior Subordinated Notes refers to the 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes and the 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes, collectively; and the term Unsecured Notes refers to the Senior Notes, the Senior Subordinated Notes and the Convertible Notes, collectively.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the Debt Exchange Offering, approximately \$2,110 million aggregate principal amount of the Existing Notes were tendered for Convertible Notes, which are convertible at the holder s option into Class A Common Stock, and approximately \$632 million aggregate principal amount of the Existing Notes were tendered for the Extended Maturity Notes.

On January 5, 2011, Realogy issued:

\$492 million aggregate principal amount of 11.50% Senior Notes and \$1,144 million aggregate principal amount of Series A Convertible Notes in exchange for \$1,636 million aggregate principal amount of outstanding 10.50% Senior Notes;

\$130 million aggregate principal amount of 12.00% Senior Notes and \$291 million aggregate principal amount of Series B Convertible Notes in exchange for \$421 million aggregate principal amount of outstanding Senior Toggle Notes; and

\$10 million aggregate principal amount of 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes and \$675 million aggregate principal amount of Series C Convertible Notes in exchange for \$685 million aggregate principal amount of outstanding 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes.

As a result of the Debt Exchange Offering, Realogy extended the maturity of approximately \$2,742 million aggregate principal amount of the Unsecured Notes to 2017 and 2018, leaving approximately \$303 million aggregate principal amount of Existing Notes that mature in 2014 and 2015. In addition, pursuant to the terms of the indenture governing the terms of the Convertible Notes, the Convertible Notes are redeemable at Realogy s option at a price equal to 90% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption upon a Qualified Public Offering.

The 10.50% Senior Notes mature on April 15, 2014 and bear interest at a rate per annum of 10.50% payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on April 1 or October 1 immediately preceding the interest payment dates of April 15 and October 15 of each year. The 11.50% Senior Notes mature on April 15, 2017 and bear interest at a rate per annum of 11.50% payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on April 1 or October 1 immediately preceding the interest payment dates of April 15 and October 15 of each year.

The Senior Toggle Notes mature on April 15, 2014. Interest is payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on April 1 or October 1 immediately preceding the interest payment date on April 15 and October 15 of each year. For any interest payment period after the initial interest payment period and through October 15, 2011, Realogy may, at its option, elect to pay interest on the Senior Toggle Notes (1) entirely in cash (Cash Interest), (2) entirely by increasing the principal amount of the outstanding Senior Toggle Notes or by issuing Senior Toggle Notes (PIK Interest), or (3) 50% as Cash Interest and 50% as PIK Interest. Cash Interest on the Senior Toggle Notes accrues at a rate of 11.00% per annum. PIK Interest on the Senior Toggle Notes accrues at the Cash Interest rate per annum plus 0.75%. In the absence of an election for any interest period, interest on the Senior Toggle Notes is payable according to the method of payment for the previous interest period.

Beginning with the interest period which ended October 2008, Realogy elected to satisfy its interest payment obligations by issuing additional Senior Toggle Notes. This PIK Interest election was the default election for future interest periods until March 2011 when Realogy elected to pay Cash Interest for the interest period commencing April 15, 2011. After October 15, 2011, Realogy is required to make all interest payments on the Senior Toggle Notes entirely in cash.

Realogy would be subject to certain interest deduction limitations if the Senior Toggle Notes were treated as applicable high yield discount obligations (AHYDO) within the meaning of Section 163(i)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended. In order to avoid such treatment, Realogy is required to redeem for cash a portion of each Senior Toggle Note then outstanding at the end of the accrual period ending in April 2012. The portion of a

22

Senior Toggle Note required to be redeemed is an amount equal to the excess of the accrued original issue discount as of the end of such accrual period, less the amount of interest paid in cash on or before such date, less the first-year yield (the issue price of the debt instrument multiplied by its yield to maturity). The redemption price for the portion of each Senior Toggle Note so redeemed would be 100% of the principal amount of such portion plus any accrued interest on the date of redemption. For the periods that Realogy elected to pay PIK Interest, Realogy will be required to repay approximately \$11 million in April 2012 in accordance with the indenture governing the Senior Toggle Notes.

The 12.00% Senior Notes mature on April 15, 2017 and bear interest at a rate per annum of 12.00% payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on April 1 or October 1 immediately preceding the interest payment dates of April 15 and October 15 of each year.

The 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes mature on April 15, 2015 and bear interest at a rate per annum of 12.375% payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on April 1 or October 1 immediately preceding the interest payment date on April 15 and October 15 of each year. The 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes mature on April 15, 2018 and bear interest at a rate per annum of 13.375% payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on April 1 or October 1 immediately preceding the interest payment date on April 15 and October 15 of each year.

The Senior Notes are guaranteed on an unsecured senior basis, and the Senior Subordinated Notes are guaranteed on an unsecured senior subordinated basis, in each case, by each of Realogy s existing and future U.S. subsidiaries that is a guaranter under the Senior Secured Credit Facility or that guarantees certain other indebtedness in the future, subject to certain exceptions. The Senior Notes are guaranteed by Holdings on an unsecured senior subordinated basis and the Senior Subordinated Notes are guaranteed by Holdings on an unsecured junior subordinated basis.

On June 24, 2011, Realogy completed offers of exchange notes for Extended Maturity Notes issued in the Debt Exchange Offering. The term exchange notes refers to the 11.50% Senior Notes due 2017, the 12.00% Senior Notes due 2017 and the 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2018, all as registered under the Securities Act, pursuant to a Registration Statement on Form S-4 (File No. 333-173254 declared effective by the SEC on May 20, 2011). Each series of the exchange notes are substantially identical in all material respects to the Extended Maturity Notes of the applicable series issued in the Debt Exchange Offering (except that the new registered exchange notes do not contain terms with respect to additional interest or transfer restrictions). Unless the context otherwise requires, the term Extended Maturity Notes refers to the exchange notes.

Convertible Notes

The Series A Convertible Notes, Series B Convertible Notes and Series C Convertible Notes mature on April 15, 2018 and bear interest at a rate per annum of 11.00% payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on April 1 or October 1 immediately preceding the interest payment dates of April 15 and October 15 of each year. The Convertible Notes are convertible into Class A Common Stock at any time prior to April 15, 2018. The Series A Convertible Notes and Series B Convertible Notes are initially convertible into 975.6098 shares of Class A Common Stock per \$1,000 aggregate principal amount of Series A Convertible Notes and Series B Convertible Notes, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$1.025 per share, and the Series C Convertible Notes, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$1.000 aggregate principal amount of Series C Convertible Notes, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$1.079 per share, subject to adjustment if specified distributions to holders of the Class A Common Stock are made or specified corporate transactions occur, in each case as set forth in the indenture governing the Convertible Notes. The Convertible Notes are guaranteed on an unsecured senior subordinated basis by each of Realogy s existing and future U.S. subsidiaries that is a guarantor under the Senior Secured Credit Facility or that guarantees certain other indebtedness in the future, subject to certain exceptions. The Convertible Notes are guaranteed on an unsecured junior subordinated basis by Holdings.

23

Table of Contents

Following a Qualified Public Offering, Realogy may, at its option, redeem the Convertible Notes, in whole or in part, at a redemption price, payable in cash, equal to 90% of the principal amount of the Convertible Notes to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon to, but excluding, the redemption date.

On June 16, 2011, the SEC declared effective a Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-173250) of Holdings and Realogy, registering for resale the outstanding Convertible Notes and the Class A Common Stock of Holdings issuable upon conversion of the Convertible Notes. Offers and sales of the Convertible Notes and Class A Common Stock may be made by selling securityholders pursuant to the June 2011 Final Prospectus as amended or supplemented from time to time.

Loss on the early extinguishment of debt and write-off of deferred financing costs

As a result of the Refinancing Transactions, the Company recorded a loss on the extinguishment of debt of \$36 million and wrote off deferred financing costs of \$7 million to interest expense as a result of debt modifications during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Securitization Obligations

The Company has secured obligations through Apple Ridge Funding LLC, a securitization program with a five-year term which expires in April 2012. On May 13, 2011, the Company elected to reduce the capacity of the Apple Ridge securitization program from \$500 million to \$400 million.

In 2010, the Company, through a special purpose entity, Cartus Financing Limited, entered into agreements providing for a £35 million revolving loan facility which expires in August 2015 and a £5 million working capital facility which expires in August 2012. These Cartus Financing Limited facilities are secured by relocation assets of a U.K. government contract in a special purpose entity and are therefore classified as permitted securitization financings as defined in the Company senior secured credit facility and the indentures governing the Unsecured Notes. The total amount outstanding on these facilities was \$328 million at June 30, 2011.

The Apple Ridge entities and Cartus Financing Limited entity are consolidated special purpose entities that are utilized to securitize relocation receivables and related assets. These assets are generated from advancing funds on behalf of clients of the Company s relocation business in order to facilitate the relocation of their employees. Assets of these special purpose entities are not available to pay the Company s general obligations. Under the Apple Ridge program, provided no termination or amortization event has occurred, any new receivables generated under the designated relocation management agreements are sold into the securitization program and as new relocation management agreements are entered into, the new agreements may also be designated to the program.

Certain of the funds that the Company receives from relocation receivables and related assets must be utilized to repay securitization obligations. These obligations were collateralized by \$412 million and \$393 million of underlying relocation receivables and other related relocation assets at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Substantially all relocation related assets are realized in less than twelve months from the transaction date. Accordingly, all of the Company s securitization obligations are classified as current in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Interest incurred in connection with borrowings under these facilities amounted to \$2 million and \$3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively and \$1 million and \$3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. This interest is recorded within net revenues in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations as related borrowings are utilized to fund the Company s relocation business where interest is generally earned on such assets. These securitization obligations represent floating rate debt for which the average weighted interest rate was 1.9% and 2.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

24

AVAILABLE CAPACITY

As of June 30, 2011, the total capacity, outstanding borrowings and available capacity under the Company s borrowing arrangements were as follows:

| | Expiration Date | Total Capacity | Outstanding Borrowings | Available Capacity |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Senior Secured Credit Facility: | | | | |
| Non-extended revolving credit facility ⁽¹⁾ | April 2013 | \$ 289 | \$ 79 | \$ 163 |
| Extended revolving credit facility ⁽¹⁾ | April 2016 | 363 | 101 | 203 |
| Non-extended term loan facility | October 2013 | 633 | 633 | |
| Extended term loan facility | October 2016 | 1,822 | 1,822 | |
| First and a Half Lien Notes | February 2019 | 700 | 700 | |
| Second Lien Loans | October 2017 | 650 | 650 | |
| Other bank indebtedness ⁽²⁾ | Various | 133 | 108 | 25 |
| Existing Notes | | | | |
| 10.50% Senior Notes | April 2014 | 64 | 64 | |
| 11.00%/11.75% Senior Toggle Notes | April 2014 | 52 | 52 | |
| 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes ⁽³⁾ | April 2015 | 190 | 187 | |
| Extended Maturity Notes | | | | |
| 11.50% Senior Notes ⁽⁴⁾ | April 2017 | 492 | 488 | |
| 12.00% Senior Notes ⁽⁵⁾ | April 2017 | 130 | 129 | |
| 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes | April 2018 | 10 | 10 | |
| 11.00% Convertible Notes | April 2018 | 2,110 | 2,110 | |
| Securitization obligations: ⁽⁶⁾ | | | | |
| Apple Ridge Funding LLC | April 2012 | 400 | 292 | 108 |
| Cartus Financing Limited ⁽⁷⁾ | Various | 64 | 36 | 28 |
| | | | | |
| | | \$ 8,102 | \$ 7,461 | \$ 527 |

- (1) The available capacity under these facilities was reduced by \$47 million and \$59 million of outstanding letters of credit on the non-extended and the extended revolving credit facility, respectively at June 30, 2011.
- (2) Consists of revolving credit facilities that are supported by letters of credit issued under the Senior Secured Credit Facility, \$75 million due in July 2012, \$8 million due in August 2012 and \$50 million due in January 2013.
- (3) Consists of \$190 million of 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015, less a discount of \$3 million.
- (4) Consists of \$492 million of 11.50% Senior Notes due 2017, less a discount of \$4 million.
- 5) Consists of \$130 million of 12.00% Senior Notes due 2017, less a discount of \$1 million.
- (6) Available capacity is subject to maintaining sufficient relocation related assets to collateralize these securitization obligations.
- (7) Consists of a £35 million facility which expires in August 2015 and a £5 million working capital facility which expires in August 2012.

7. RESTRUCTURING COSTS

2011 Restructuring Program

During the first six months of 2011, the Company committed to various initiatives targeted principally at reducing costs, enhancing organizational efficiencies and consolidating existing facilities. The Company currently expects to incur restructuring charges of \$10 million in 2011. As of June 30, 2011, the Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment recognized \$3 million of facility related expenses and \$1 million of personnel related expenses. The Title and Settlement Services segment recognized \$1 million of facility and personnel related expenses. At June 30, 2011 \$3 million remains as a liability.

25

2010 Restructuring Program

During 2010, the Company committed to various initiatives targeted principally at reducing costs, enhancing organizational efficiencies and consolidating facilities. The Company recognized \$10 million of restructuring expense in the first six months of 2010 and \$21 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The table below shows restructuring expense by category and the corresponding payments and other reductions from inception to June 30, 2011:

| | Person Relat | | cility lated | sset irments | To | otal |
|--|-----------------|-----|-----------------|-----------------|----|------|
| Restructuring expense and other additions ^(a) | \$ | 5 | \$ 16 | \$ 1 | \$ | 22 |
| Cash payments and other reductions | | (4) | (6) | (1) | 1 | (11) |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | | 1 | 10 | | | 11 |
| Cash payments and other reductions | | (1) | (5) | | | (6) |
| Balance at June 30, 2011 | \$ | | \$ 5 | \$ | \$ | 5 |

(a) Includes \$1 million of unfavorable lease liability recorded in purchase accounting for Primacy which was reclassified to restructuring liability as a result of the Company restructuring certain facilities after the acquisition date.

8. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Incentive Equity Awards Granted by Holdings

In connection with the closing of the Merger Transactions on April 10, 2007, Holdings adopted the Domus Holdings Corp. 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (the Plan) under which non-qualified stock options, rights to purchase shares of common stock, restricted stock and other awards settleable in, or based upon, Holdings common stock may be issued to employees, consultants or directors of the Company or any of its subsidiaries. The stock options and restricted stock granted are either time vesting or performance based awards with an exercise price equal to the grant date fair price of the underlying shares and a contractual term of 10 years. The time vesting options are subject to ratable vesting over the requisite service period. The performance based options are cliff vested upon the achievement of certain internal rate of return (IRR) targets which are measured based upon distributions made to the stockholders of Holdings. The restricted stock was granted at the grant date fair value and has a three-year requisite service period with one-half cliff vesting after 18 months of service and one-half cliff vesting at the end of the three-year service period.

During the first six months of 2011, the Holdings Board granted 0.8 million of time vesting stock options and 0.1 million shares of time vesting restricted stock to senior management employees and an independent director of the Company, as well as 0.7 million of performance based stock options granted under the Phantom Value Plan (see discussion below). As of June 30, 2011, the total number of shares available for future grant was approximately 0.5 million shares.

The fair value of the time vesting options and Phantom Plan options was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model utilizing the following assumptions. Expected volatility was based on historical volatilities of comparable companies. The expected term of the options granted represents the period of time that options were expected to be outstanding. The risk-free interest rate was based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of the grant, which corresponds to the expected term of the options.

| Time | Phanton |
|---------|---------|
| Vesting | Plan |
| Options | Options |

Edgar Filing: REALOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

| Weighted average grant date fair value | \$ 0.47 | \$ 0.46 |
|--|---------|------------|
| Expected volatility | 55.5% | 61.5% |
| Expected term (years) | 6.25 | 4.75 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 2.6% | 2.0% |
| Dividend yield | | |

Equity Award Activity

A summary of option and restricted share activity is presented below (number of shares in millions):

| | | Performance | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | Time-vesting Options | based Options | Restricted Stock |
| Outstanding at January 1, 2011 | 12.73 | 2.52 | |
| Granted | 0.84 | 0.71 | 0.11 |
| Exercised | | | |
| Vested | | | |
| Forfeited | (0.20) | | |
| | | | |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2011 | 13.37 | 3.23 | 0.11 |

| | | | Weighted | |
|------------------------------|---------|----------------|------------------|-----------|
| | | Weighted | Average | Aggregate |
| | Options | Average | Remaining | Intrinsic |
| | Vested | Exercise Price | Contractual Term | Value |
| Exercisable at June 30, 2011 | 1.55 | \$ 10.00 | 6.4 years | \$ |

As of June 30, 2011, there was approximately \$9 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to the time vesting options and restricted stock under the Plan and \$5 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to the performance based options. Unrecognized cost for the time vesting options and restricted stock will be recorded in future periods as compensation expense as the awards vest over the next four years with a weighted average period of approximately 2.2 years. The unrecognized cost for the performance based options will be recorded as compensation expense when an IPO or significant capital transaction is probable of occurring.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

The Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$1 million and \$3 million related to the incentive equity awards granted by Holdings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and \$1 million and \$3 million related to the incentive equity awards granted by Holdings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

Phantom Value Plan

On January 5, 2011, the Board of Directors of the Company approved the Realogy Corporation Phantom Value Plan (the Phantom Value Plan), which is intended to provide certain of Realogy s executive officers, with an incentive (the Incentive Awards) to remain in the service of Realogy, increased interest in the success of Realogy and the opportunity to receive compensation based upon Realogy s success. On January 5, 2011, the Board of Directors of the Company made initial grants of Incentive Awards in three series in an aggregate amount of \$22 million to certain executive officers of Realogy.

Under the Phantom Value Plan, each participant is eligible to receive a payment with respect to an Incentive Award relating to the three series of Convertible Notes at such time and from time to time that Apollo receives cash upon the discharge or third-party sale of not less than \$267 million of the aggregate principal amount of the Convertible Notes (the Plan Notes) (or on any non-cash consideration into which any series of Plan Notes may have been exchanged or converted). The payment with respect to a particular series of an Incentive Award would be an amount which bears the same ratio to the dollar amount of the Incentive Award relating to such series of the aggregate amount of cash received by Affiliate Holders bears to the aggregate principal amount of such series of Plan Notes held by Affiliate Holders on the date of grant of such Incentive Award. In addition, participants may be eligible to receive additional amounts based upon cash received by the Affiliate Holders pursuant to the terms of any non-cash consideration into which any such series of Plan Notes may have been exchanged or converted. Any cash payments made under the Phantom Value Plan will be recorded as compensation expense when Apollo receives cash upon the discharge or third-party sale of the Convertible Notes.

In the event that a payment is to be made with respect to an Incentive Award in conjunction with or subsequent to a qualified public offering of common stock of Realogy or its direct or indirect parent company, a participant may elect to receive stock in lieu of the cash payment in a number of unrestricted shares of common stock with a fair market value, as determined in good faith by the Compensation Committee, equal to the dollar amount then due on such Incentive Award, plus a number of restricted shares of such common stock with a fair market value, as determined in good faith by the Compensation Committee, equal to the amount then due multiplied by 0.15. The restricted shares of common stock will vest, based on continued employment, on the first anniversary of issuance. Compensation expense for the restricted shares of common stock will be recorded over a one-year vesting period upon issuance, while compensation expense for the unrestricted shares of common stock will be recorded on the issuance date. In addition, Incentive Awards will be subject to acceleration and payment upon a change of control as specified in the Phantom Value Plan.

On each date the Affiliate Holders receive cash interest on the Plan Notes, certain executive officers of Realogy may be granted stock options under the Holdings 2007 Stock Incentive Plan. The aggregate value of stock options granted (determined by the Holdings Board or its Compensation Committee in its sole discretion) is equal to an amount which bears the same ratio to the aggregate dollar amount of the participant s Incentive Award as the aggregate amount of cash interest received by Affiliate Holders on such date bears to the aggregate principal amount of the Plan Notes held by the Affiliate Holders on the date of grant of the Incentive Award. The stock option grants to Realogy s CEO, however, would be limited to 50% of the foregoing stock option amount. Generally, each grant of stock options will have a three year vesting schedule, subject to the participant s continued employment, and vested stock options will become exercisable one year following a qualified public offering. As such, compensation expense will be recorded after a public offering becomes probable of occurring. The stock options have a term of 7.5 years. In April 2011, Holdings issued approximately 0.7 million stock options under the Phantom Value Plan when Affiliate Holders received cash interest on the Plan Notes.

Incentive Awards are immediately cancelable and forfeitable in the event of the termination of a participant s employment for any reason. The Incentive Awards also terminate 10 years following the date of grant.

9. SEPARATION ADJUSTMENTS, TRANSACTIONS WITH FORMER PARENT AND SUBSIDIARIES AND RELATED PARTIES

Transfer of Cendant Corporate Liabilities and Issuance of Guarantees to Cendant and Affiliates

The Company has certain guarantee commitments with Cendant (pursuant to the assumption of certain liabilities and the obligation to indemnify Cendant, Wyndham Worldwide and Travelport for such liabilities) and guarantee commitments related to deferred compensation arrangements with Cendant and Wyndham Worldwide. These guarantee arrangements primarily relate to certain contingent litigation liabilities, contingent tax liabilities, and other corporate liabilities, of which the Company assumed and is generally responsible for 62.5%. Upon separation from Cendant, the liabilities assumed by the Company were comprised of certain Cendant corporate liabilities which were recorded on the historical books of Cendant as well as additional liabilities which were established for guarantees issued at the date of Separation related to certain unresolved contingent matters and certain others that could arise during the guarantee period. Regarding the guarantees, if any of the companies responsible for all or a portion of such liabilities were to default in its payment of costs or expenses related to any such liability, the Company would be responsible for a portion of the defaulting party or parties obligation. To the extent such recorded liabilities are in excess or are not adequate to cover the ultimate payment amounts, such deficiency or excess will be reflected in the results of operations in future periods.

The due to former parent balance was \$80 million and \$104 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. At June 30, 2011, the due to former parent balance was comprised of the Company s portion of the following: (i) Cendant s remaining state and foreign contingent tax liabilities, (ii) accrued interest on contingent tax liabilities, (iii) potential liabilities related to Cendant s terminated or divested businesses, and (iv) potential liabilities related to the residual portion of accruals for Cendant operations.

28

Transactions with PHH Corporation

In January 2005, Cendant completed the spin-off of its former mortgage, fleet leasing and appraisal businesses in a tax-free distribution of 100% of the common stock of PHH to its stockholders. In connection with the spin-off, the Company entered into a venture, PHH Home Loans, with PHH for the purpose of originating and selling mortgage loans primarily sourced through the Company s real estate brokerage and relocation businesses. The Company owns 49.9% of the venture. In connection with the venture, the Company entered into an agreement with PHH and PHH Home Loans regarding the operation of the venture and a marketing agreement with PHH whereby PHH is the recommended provider of mortgage products and services promoted by the Company to its independently owned and operated franchisees. The Company also entered into a license agreement with PHH whereby PHH Home Loans was granted a license to use certain of the Company s real estate brand names. The Company maintains a relocation agreement with PHH whereby PHH outsources its employee relocation function to the Company and the Company subleases office space to PHH Home Loans.

In connection with these agreements, the Company recorded revenues of \$2 million and \$3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively and \$1 million and \$3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. The Company recorded equity earnings of \$3 million and \$3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively and \$7 million and \$8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. The Company received \$12 million and \$5 million in dividend distributions from PHH Home Loans during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Transactions with Related Parties

The Company has entered into certain transactions in the normal course of business with entities that are owned by affiliates of Apollo. For the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company recognized revenue and expenses related to these transactions of less than \$1 million in the aggregate in each period.

10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES Litigation

The Company is involved in claims, legal proceedings and governmental inquiries related to alleged contract disputes, business practices, intellectual property and other commercial, employment, regulatory and tax matters. Examples of such matters include but are not limited to allegations: (i) concerning adverse impacts to franchisees related to purported changes made to the Century 21° system and its National Advertising Fund after the Company acquired it in 1995, which is referred to elsewhere in this report as the Cooper Litigation; (ii) that the Company is vicariously liable for the acts of franchisees under theories of actual or apparent agency; (iii) by former franchisees, that franchise agreements were improperly terminated, (iv) that residential real estate agents engaged by NRT are potentially common law employees instead of independent contractors, and therefore may bring claims against NRT for breach of contract, wrongful discharge and negligent supervision and obtain benefits available to employees under various state statutes; (v) that NRT s legal assistance program constitutes the illegal sale of insurance; (vi) concerning claims generally against the company-owned brokerage operations for negligence or breach of fiduciary duty in connection with the performance of real estate brokerage or other professional services; (vii) concerning claims generally against the title company contending that, as the escrow company, the company knew or should have known that a transaction was fraudulent and (viii) concerning claims for alleged RESPA violations including but not limited to claims concerning administrative fees under RESPA as well as the validity of sales associates indemnification and administrative fees.

Frank K. Cooper Real Estate #1, Inc. v. Cendant Corp. and Century 21 Real Estate Corporation (N.J. Super. Ct. L. Div., Morris County, New Jersey). In 2002, Frank K. Cooper Real Estate #1, Inc. filed a putative class action against Cendant and Cendant s subsidiary, Century 21 Real Estate Corporation (Century 21). The complaint alleges breach of certain provisions of the Real Estate Franchise Agreement entered into between Century 21 and the plaintiffs, breach of the implied duty of good faith and fair dealing, violation of the New Jersey Consumer Fraud

Table of Contents

Act and breach of certain express and implied fiduciary duties. The complaint alleges, among other things, that Cendant diverted money and resources from Century 21 franchisees and allotted them to NRT owned brokerages and otherwise improperly charged expenses to advertising funds. The complaint seeks unspecified compensatory and punitive damages, injunctive relief, interest, attorney s fees and costs. The New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, if applicable, provides for treble damages, attorney s fees and costs as remedies for violation of the Act. On August 17, 2010, the court granted plaintiffs renewed motion to certify a class. The certified class includes Century 21 franchisees at any time between August 1, 1995 and April 17, 2002 whose franchise agreements contain New Jersey choice of law and venue provisions and who have not executed releases releasing the claim (unless the release was a provision of a franchise renewal agreement).

A case management order was entered on November 29, 2010 that includes, among other deadlines, a trial date of April 16, 2012. On December 20, 2010, the court held a status conference to address plaintiffs motion regarding notice to be issued to the class, the language of the notice, publication of the notice and how class members can opt out of the class. As directed by a court order, Century 21 has delivered to plaintiffs counsel and Rust Consulting, Inc. (the Notice Administrator) lists of the names and contact information for (1) franchisees that meet the class definition and (2) franchisees that would have met the class definition but for the fact that they signed a waiver of claims against Century 21. Pursuant to the court order, the Notice Administrator has advised us that the notice of pendency of the action was mailed to possible class members on March 4, 2011, and a summary of that notice has been published in various print and online media. Following many months of effort directed at class identification, the case has now moved to very active discovery on the merits. Motions were made seeking to enjoin certain Century 21 contractual practices associated with amendments or financial settlements that result in franchisees signing waivers of claims asserted on their behalf as class members in the Cooper Litigation. On June 3, 2011, the court denied these motions. Plaintiffs have filed a motion to extend discovery by 120 days and have filed a motion seeking to invalidate two categories of releases that we relied upon in excluding approximately 1,750 former franchisees from the class. Specifically, plaintiffs seek to include 250 franchisees who had signed term extension documents that the plaintiffs allege were obtained as a provision of a franchise renewal agreement. Plaintiffs also seek to include about 1,750 franchisees (including the aforementioned 250), alleging that they did not release claims against Cendant because the releases included the word affiliate rather than parent as a released party. We opposed these motions. At a hearing held on July 22, 2011, the court largely denied the motion to extend discovery and, following a hearing held on July 25, 2011, is reviewing the motion relating to the invalidation of certain releases. This class action involves substantial, complex litigation. Class action litigation is inherently unpredictable and subject to significant uncertainties. The resolution of the Cooper Litigation could result in substantial losses and there can be no assurance that such resolution will not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

Legal Cendant Corporate Litigation

Pursuant to the Separation and Distribution Agreement dated as of July 27, 2006 among Cendant, Realogy, Wyndham Worldwide and Travelport, each of Realogy, Wyndham Worldwide and Travelport have assumed certain contingent and other corporate liabilities (and related costs and expenses), which are primarily related to each of their respective businesses. In addition, Realogy has assumed 62.5% and Wyndham Worldwide has assumed 37.5% of certain contingent and other corporate liabilities (and related costs and expenses) of Cendant or its subsidiaries, which are not primarily related to any of the respective businesses of Realogy, Wyndham Worldwide, Travelport and/or Cendant s vehicle rental operations, in each case incurred or allegedly incurred on or prior to the date of the separation of Travelport from Cendant.

The Company believes that it has adequately accrued for legal matters as appropriate or, for matters not requiring accrual, believes that they will not have a material adverse effect on its results of operations, financial position or cash flows based on information currently available. However, litigation and other disputes are inherently unpredictable and subject to substantial uncertainties and unfavorable resolutions could occur. In addition, class action lawsuits can be costly to defend and, depending on the class size and claims, could be costly to

30

Table of Contents

settle. Lastly, there may be greater risk of unfavorable resolutions in the current economic environment due to various factors including the absence of other defendants (due to business failures) that may be the real cause of the liability and greater negative sentiment toward corporate defendants. As such, the Company could incur judgments or enter into settlements of claims with liability that are materially in excess of amounts accrued and these settlements could have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial condition, results of operations or cash flows in any particular period.

Tax Matters

The Company is subject to income taxes in the United States and several foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgment is required in determining the worldwide provision for income taxes and in recording the related assets and liabilities. In the ordinary course of business, there are many transactions and calculations where the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. The Company is regularly under audit by tax authorities whereby the outcome of the audits is uncertain.

Under the Tax Sharing Agreement with Cendant, Wyndham Worldwide and Travelport, the Company is generally responsible for 62.5% of tax liabilities that relate to income taxes imposed on Cendant and certain of its subsidiaries with respect to tax periods ending on or prior to December 31, 2006.

At June 30, 2011, the due to former parent balance of \$80 million was comprised of the Company s portion of the following: (i) Cendant s remaining state and foreign contingent tax liabilities, (ii) accrued interest on contingent tax liabilities, (iii) potential liabilities related to Cendant s terminated or divested businesses, and (iv) potential liabilities related to the residual portion of accruals for Cendant operations.

With respect to the residual legacy Cendant tax liabilities, the Company and its former parent believe there is appropriate support for the positions taken on Cendant s tax returns. Similarly, with respect to the Company s tax liabilities, the Company believes there is appropriate support for positions taken on its own tax returns. The liabilities that have been recorded represent the best estimates of the probable loss on certain positions. The Company believes that the accruals for tax liabilities are adequate for all open years based on an assessment of many factors including past experience and interpretations of tax law applied to the facts of each matter; however, the outcome of tax audits are inherently uncertain. Such tax audits and any related litigation, including disputes or litigation on the allocation of tax liabilities between parties under the Tax Sharing Agreement, could result in outcomes for the Company that are different from those reflected in the Company s historical financial statements.

Contingent Liability Letter of Credit

In April 2007, the Company established a standby irrevocable letter of credit for the benefit of Avis Budget Group in accordance with the Separation and Distribution Agreement. The synthetic letter of credit was utilized to support the Company s payment obligations with respect to its share of Cendant contingent and other corporate liabilities. The stated amount of the standby irrevocable letter of credit is subject to periodic adjustment to reflect the then current estimate of Cendant contingent and other liabilities. In 2010, the Company entered into agreements with Avis Budget Group and Wyndham to reduce the letter of credit from \$446 million to \$123 million primarily due to Cendant s IRS tax settlement for the taxable years 2003 through 2006 and other liability adjustments. On June 23, 2011, Realogy further reduced the letter of credit to \$100 million. The standby irrevocable letter of credit will be terminated if (i) the Company s senior unsecured credit rating is raised to BB by Standard and Poor s or Ba2 by Moody s or (ii) the aggregate value of the former parent contingent liabilities falls below \$30 million.

Apollo Management Fee Agreement

In connection with the Merger Transaction, Apollo entered into a management fee agreement with the Company which allows Apollo and its affiliates to provide certain management consulting services to the Company

31

through the end of 2016 (subject to possible extension). The agreement may be terminated at any time upon written notice to the Company from Apollo. The Company pays Apollo an annual management fee for this service up to the sum of the greater of \$15 million or 2.0% of the Company s annual Adjusted EBITDA for the immediately preceding year, plus out-of-pocket costs and expenses in connection therewith. If Apollo elects to terminate the management fee agreement, as consideration for the termination of Apollo s services under the agreement and any additional compensation to be received, the Company has agreed to pay to Apollo the net present value of the sum of the remaining payments due to Apollo and any payments deferred by Apollo.

In addition, in the absence of an express agreement to the contrary, at the closing of any merger, acquisition, financing and similar transaction with a related transaction or enterprise value equal to or greater than \$200 million, Apollo will receive a fee equal to 1% of the aggregate transaction or enterprise value paid to or provided by such entity or its stockholders (including the aggregate value of (x) equity securities, warrants, rights and options acquired or retained, (y) indebtedness acquired, assumed or refinanced and (z) any other consideration or compensation paid in connection with such transaction). The Company has agreed to indemnify Apollo and its affiliates and their directors, officers and representatives for potential losses relating to the services to be provided under the management fee agreement. Apollo waived any fees payable to it pursuant to the management fee agreement in connection with the Refinancing Transactions.

Escrow and Trust Deposits

As a service to the Company s customers, it administers escrow and trust deposits which represent undisbursed amounts received for settlements of real estate transactions. With the passage of the Dodd-Frank Act in July 2010, deposits at FDIC-insured institutions are permanently covered up to \$250 thousand. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act temporarily provides unlimited coverage for noninterest-bearing transaction accounts from December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2012. These escrow and trust deposits totaled approximately \$388 million and \$190 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. These escrow and trust deposits are not assets of the Company and, therefore, are excluded from the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. However, the Company remains contingently liable for the disposition of these deposits.

11. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The reportable segments presented below represent the Company s operating segments for which separate financial information is available and which is utilized on a regular basis by its chief operating decision maker to assess performance and to allocate resources. In identifying its reportable segments, the Company also considers the nature of services provided by its operating segments. Management evaluates the operating results of each of its reportable segments based upon revenue and EBITDA, which is defined as net income (loss) before depreciation and amortization, interest (income) expense, net (other than Relocation Services interest for secured assets and obligations) and income taxes, each of which is presented in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company s presentation of EBITDA may not be comparable to similar measures used by other companies.

| | | Rever | nues ^(a) | |
|--|---|----------|---------------------|----------|
| | \$ 160 \$ 173 \$ 278 \$ 884 956 1,471 1 110 106 197 90 86 173 (65) (68) (109) | | | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Real Estate Franchise Services | \$ 160 | \$ 173 | \$ 278 | \$ 295 |
| Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services | 884 | 956 | 1,471 | 1,557 |
| Relocation Services | 110 | 106 | 197 | 182 |
| Title and Settlement Services | 90 | 86 | 173 | 151 |
| Corporate and Other ^(b) | (65) | (68) | (109) | (113) |
| | | | | |
| Total Company | \$ 1,179 | \$ 1,253 | \$ 2,010 | \$ 2,072 |

- (a) Transactions between segments are eliminated in consolidation. Revenues for the Real Estate Franchise Services segment include intercompany royalties and marketing fees paid by the Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment of \$65 million and \$109 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, and \$68 million and \$113 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. Such amounts are eliminated through the Corporate and Other line. Revenues for the Relocation Services segment include \$11 million and \$18 million of intercompany referral and relocation fees paid by the Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, and \$10 million and \$17 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. Such amounts are recorded as contra-revenues by the Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment. There are no other material inter-segment transactions.
- (b) Includes the elimination of transactions between segments.

| | | EBI | TDA | |
|--|---------|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| | | nths Ended 30, ^(a) | Six Month June 3 | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Real Estate Franchise Services | \$ 97 | \$ 123 | \$ 159 | \$ 188 |
| Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services | 48 | 84 | 11 | 50 |
| Relocation Services | 32 | 27 | 42 | 31 |
| Title and Settlement Services | 12 | 11 | 14 | 6 |
| Corporate and Other | (2) | 299 | (50) | 280 |
| | | | | |
| Total Company | 187 | 544 | 176 | 555 |
| Less: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 47 | 49 | 93 | 99 |
| Interest expense, net | 161 | 155 | 340 | 307 |
| Income tax expense | 1 | 118 | 2 | 124 |
| - | | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Realogy | \$ (22) | \$ 222 | \$ (259) | \$ 25 |

- (a) Includes \$3 million of restructuring costs offset by a net benefit of \$12 million of former parent legacy items for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$4 million of restructuring costs offset by a net benefit of \$314 million of former parent legacy items primarily as a result of tax and other liability adjustments for the three months ended June 30, 2010.
- (b) Includes \$5 million of restructuring costs and \$36 million related to loss on the early extinguishment of debt, partially offset by a net benefit of \$14 million of former parent legacy items for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$10 million of restructuring costs offset by a net benefit of \$309 million of former parent legacy items primarily as a result of tax and other liability adjustments for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

12. GUARANTOR/NON-GUARANTOR SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following consolidating financial information presents the Consolidating Balance Sheets and Consolidating Statements of Operations and Cash Flows for: (i) Domus Holdings Corp. (Holdings); (ii) its direct wholly owned subsidiary Domus Intermediate Holdings Corp. (Intermediate); (iii) its indirect wholly owned subsidiary, Realogy Corporation (Realogy); (iv) the guarantor subsidiaries of Realogy; (vi) elimination entries necessary to consolidate Holdings, Intermediate, Realogy and the guarantor and non-guarantor subsidiaries; and (vii) the Company on a consolidated basis. The guarantor subsidiaries of Realogy are comprised of 100% owned entities. Guarantor and non-guarantor subsidiaries are 100% owned by Realogy, either directly or indirectly. Non-guarantor entities are comprised of securitization entities, foreign subsidiaries, unconsolidated entities, insurance underwriter subsidiaries and qualified foreign holding corporations. The guarantor and non-guarantor financial information is prepared using the same basis of accounting as the consolidated financial statements except for the investments in consolidated subsidiaries which are accounted for using the equity method.

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations

Three Months Ended June 30, 2011

(In millions)

| | | | | | | | | | uarantor | | | | |
|---|-----|-------|-------|---------|---------|-----|--------------|----|----------|-------|---------|------|----------|
| n. | Hol | dings | Inter | mediate | Realogy | Sub | Subsidiaries | | diaries | Elimi | nations | Cons | olidated |
| Revenues | Ф | | ф | | ф | ф | 072 | Ф | | ф | | ф | 072 |
| Gross commission income | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | \$ | 873 | \$ | (0 | \$ | | \$ | 873 |
| Service revenue | | | | | | | 130 | | 62 | | | | 192 |
| Franchise fees | | | | | | | 70 | | _ | | | | 70 |
| Other | | | | | | | 42 | | 2 | | | | 44 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net revenues | | | | | | | 1,115 | | 64 | | | | 1,179 |
| Expenses | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Commission and other agent-related costs | | | | | | | 577 | | | | | | 577 |
| Operating | | | | | | | 273 | | 44 | | | | 317 |
| Marketing | | | | | | | 53 | | 1 | | | | 54 |
| General and administrative | | | | | 13 | | 39 | | 4 | | | | 56 |
| Former parent legacy costs (benefit), net | | | | | (12) | | | | | | | | (12) |
| Restructuring costs | | | | | | | 3 | | | | | | 3 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | | | 3 | | 44 | | | | | | 47 |
| Interest expense/(income), net | | | | | 160 | | 1 | | | | | | 161 |
| Intercompany transactions | | | | | 1 | | (1) | | | | | | |
| 1 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total expenses | | | | | 165 | | 989 | | 49 | | | | 1,203 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes, | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| equity in earnings and noncontrolling | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| interests | | | | | (165) | | 126 | | 15 | | | | (24) |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | | | | | (50) | | 46 | | 5 | | | | 1 |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated | | | | | () | | | | | | | | |
| entities | | | | | | | | | (4) | | | | (4) |
| Equity in (earnings) losses of subsidiaries | | 22 | | 22 | (93) | | (13) | | () | | 62 | | |
| | | | | | () | | (-) | | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | | (22) | | (22) | (22) | | 93 | | 14 | | (62) | | (21) |
| Less: Net income attributable to | | () | | () | () | | - 1 | | | | (=-) | | () |
| noncontrolling interests | | | | | | | | | (1) | | | | (1) |
| noncontrolling interests | | | | | | | | | (1) | | | | (1) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | • | (22) | ¢ | (22) | ¢ (22) | • | 02 | ¢ | 12 | Ф | (62) | ¢ | (22) |
| Holdings and Realogy | \$ | (22) | \$ | (22) | \$ (22) | \$ | 93 | \$ | 13 | \$ | (62) | \$ | (22) |

34

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations

Three Months Ended June 30, 2010

(In millions)

| | Holdings | Inter | mediate | Realogy | | uarantor bsidiaries | Non-Gu Subsic | | Elin | inations | Cons | olidated |
|---------------------------------------|------------|-------|---------|------------|----|------------------------|------------------|-----|------|----------|------|----------|
| Revenues | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross commission income | \$ | \$ | | \$ | \$ | 941 | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | 941 |
| Service revenue | | | | | | 134 | | 51 | | | | 185 |
| Franchise fees | | | | | | 81 | | | | | | 81 |
| Other | | | | | | 45 | | 1 | | | | 46 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net revenues | | | | | | 1,201 | | 52 | | | | 1,253 |
| Expenses | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Commission and other agent-related | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| costs | | | | | | 612 | | | | | | 612 |
| Operating | | | | | | 272 | | 38 | | | | 310 |
| Marketing | | | | | | 50 | | | | | | 50 |
| General and administrative | | | | 15 | | 39 | | 3 | | | | 57 |
| Former parent legacy costs (benefit), | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| net | | | | (314) | | | | | | | | (314) |
| Restructuring costs | | | | , , | | 4 | | | | | | 4 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | | 1 | | 47 | | 1 | | | | 49 |
| Interest expense/(income), net | | | | 153 | | 2 | | | | | | 155 |
| Other (income)/expense, net | | | | | | (3) | | | | | | (3) |
| Intercompany transactions | | | | 1 | | (1) | | | | | | ì |
| 1 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total expenses | | | | (144) | | 1,022 | | 42 | | | | 920 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes, | | | | (144) | | 1,022 | | 72 | | | | 720 |
| equity in earnings and noncontrolling | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| interests | | | | 144 | | 179 | | 10 | | | | 333 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | | | | (180) | | 291 | | 7 | | | | 118 |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated | | | | (100) | | 271 | | , | | | | 110 |
| entities | | | | | | | | (8) | | | | (8) |
| Equity in (earnings) losses of | | | | | | | | (0) | | | | (0) |
| subsidiaries | (222) | | (222) | 102 | | (10) | | | | 352 | | |
| | (===) | | (===) | 102 | | (10) | | | | 202 | | |
| Net income (loss) | 222 | | 222 | 222 | | (102) | | 11 | | (352) | | 223 |
| Less: Net income attributable to | <i>LLL</i> | | LLL | <i>LLL</i> | | (102) | | 11 | | (332) | | 223 |
| noncontrolling interests | | | | | | | | (1) | | | | (1) |
| noncontrolling interests | | | | | | | | (1) | | | | (1) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to | Ф. 222 | Ф | 222 | Φ 222 | Φ. | (100) | Ф | 10 | Ф | (252) | Ф | 222 |
| Holdings and Realogy | \$ 222 | \$ | 222 | \$ 222 | \$ | (102) | \$ | 10 | \$ | (352) | \$ | 222 |

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations

Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

(In millions)

| | Holdings | Inter | rmediate | Realogy | arantor sidiaries | iarantor diaries | Elimir | nations | Cons | solidated |
|---|----------|-------|----------|----------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------|---------|------|-----------|
| Revenues | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross commission income | \$ | \$ | | \$ | \$ 1,448 | \$ | \$ | | \$ | 1,448 |
| Service revenue | | | | | 235 | 121 | | | | 356 |
| Franchise fees | | | | | 121 | | | | | 121 |
| Other | | | | | 82 | 3 | | | | 85 |
| Net revenues | | | | | 1,886 | 124 | | | | 2,010 |
| Expenses | | | | | | | | | | |
| Commission and other agent-related costs | | | | | 951 | | | | | 951 |
| Operating | | | | | 547 | 88 | | | | 635 |
| Marketing | | | | | 96 | 1 | | | | 97 |
| General and administrative | | | | 28 | 92 | 7 | | | | 127 |
| Former parent legacy costs (benefit), net | | | | (14) | | | | | | (14) |
| Restructuring costs | | | | | 5 | | | | | 5 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | | 4 | 88 | 1 | | | | 93 |
| Interest expense/(income), net | | | | 337 | 3 | | | | | 340 |
| Loss on the early extinguishment of debt | | | | 36 | | | | | | 36 |
| Intercompany transactions | | | | 2 | (2) | | | | | |
| Total expenses | | | | 393 | 1,780 | 97 | | | | 2,270 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes, | | | | | -,, -, | | | | | _,_, |
| equity in earnings and noncontrolling | | | | | | | | | | |
| interests | | | | (393) | 106 | 27 | | | | (260) |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | | | | (45) | 39 | 8 | | | | 2 |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated | | | | (-) | | | | | | |
| entities | | | | | | (4) | | | | (4) |
| Equity in (earnings) losses of subsidiaries | 259 | | 259 | (89) | (22) | | | (407) | | |
| Net income (loss) | (259) | | (259) | (259) | 89 | 23 | | 407 | | (258) |
| Less: Net income attributable to | | | | | | | | | | |
| noncontrolling interests | | | | | | (1) | | | | (1) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to | | | | | | | | | | |
| Holdings and Realogy | \$ (259) | \$ | (259) | \$ (259) | \$ 89 | \$ 22 | \$ | 407 | \$ | (259) |

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations

Six Months Ended June 30, 2010

(In millions)

| | Holdings | Interme | ediate | Realogy | | arantor osidiaries | | arantor liaries | Elimi | Climinations | | solidated |
|---------------------------------------|----------|---------|--------|---------|----|-----------------------|----|--------------------|-------|--------------|----|-----------|
| Revenues | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross commission income | \$ | \$ | | \$ | \$ | 1,529 | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | 1,529 |
| Service revenue | | | | | | 228 | | 93 | | | | 321 |
| Franchise fees | | | | | | 136 | | | | | | 136 |
| Other | | | | | | 84 | | 2 | | | | 86 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net revenues | | | | | | 1,977 | | 95 | | | | 2,072 |
| Expenses | | | | | | ĺ | | | | | | Í |
| Commission and other agent-related | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| costs | | | | | | 989 | | | | | | 989 |
| Operating | | | | | | 539 | | 71 | | | | 610 |
| Marketing | | | | | | 95 | | 1 | | | | 96 |
| General and administrative | | | | 30 | | 100 | | 5 | | | | 135 |
| Former parent legacy costs (benefit), | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| net | | | | (309) | | | | | | | | (309) |
| Restructuring costs | | | | | | 10 | | | | | | 10 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | | | 3 | | 95 | | 1 | | | | 99 |
| Interest expense/(income), net | | | | 304 | | 3 | | | | | | 307 |
| Other (income)/expense, net | | | | (1) | | (5) | | | | | | (6) |
| Intercompany transactions | | | | 2 | | (2) | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total expenses | | | | 29 | | 1,824 | | 78 | | | | 1,931 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes, | | | | | | 1,02 | | | | | | 1,501 |
| equity in earnings and | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| noncontrolling interests | | | | (29) | | 153 | | 17 | | | | 141 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | | | | (162) | | 276 | | 10 | | | | 124 |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated | | | | () | | | | | | | | |
| entities | | | | | | | | (9) | | | | (9) |
| Equity in (earnings) losses of | | | | | | | | (-) | | | | (-) |
| subsidiaries | (25) | | (25) | 108 | | (15) | | | | (43) | | |
| | (-) | | (-) | | | (-) | | | | (-) | | |
| Net income (loss) | 25 | | 25 | 25 | | (108) | | 16 | | 43 | | 26 |
| Less: Net income attributable to | | | | | | (100) | | | | | | |
| noncontrolling interests | | | | | | | | (1) | | | | (1) |
| | | | | | | | | (-) | | | | (-) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Holdings and Realogy | \$ 25 | \$ | 25 | \$ 25 | \$ | (108) | \$ | 15 | \$ | 43 | \$ | 25 |
| moralings and realugy | Ψ 43 | Ψ | 23 | Ψ 43 | Ψ | (100) | Ψ | 13 | Ψ | 7.5 | Ψ | 23 |

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet

As of June 30, 2011

(In millions)

| | Holdings | Into | ermediate | R | Realogy | uarantor bsidiaries | Guarantor sidiaries | Eliı | minations | Con | solidated |
|---|------------|------|-----------|----|---------|------------------------|------------------------|------|-----------|-----|-----------|
| Assets | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | \$ | | \$ | 4 | \$ 80 | \$ 71 | \$ | (1) | \$ | 154 |
| Trade receivables, net | | | | | | 106 | 43 | | | | 149 |
| Relocation receivables | | | | | | 23 | 405 | | | | 428 |
| Relocation properties held for sale | | | | | | 19 | | | | | 19 |
| Deferred income taxes | | | | | 7 | 63 | (2) | | | | 68 |
| Intercompany note receivable | | | | | | 32 | 19 | | (51) | | |
| Other current assets | | | | | 12 | 70 | 22 | | | | 104 |
| Total current assets | | | | | 23 | 393 | 558 | | (52) | | 922 |
| Property and equipment, net | | | | | 19 | 151 | 4 | | ì | | 174 |
| Goodwill | | | | | | 2,612 | | | | | 2,612 |
| Trademarks | | | | | | 732 | | | | | 732 |
| Franchise agreements, net | | | | | | 2,875 | | | | | 2,875 |
| Other intangibles, net | | | | | | 461 | | | | | 461 |
| Other non-current assets | | | | | 77 | 84 | 43 | | | | 204 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | (1,316) | | (1,316) | | 8,101 | 171 | | | (5,640) | | |
| Total assets | \$ (1,316) | \$ | (1,316) | \$ | 8,220 | \$ 7,479 | \$ 605 | \$ | (5,692) | \$ | 7,980 |
| Liabilities and Equity (Deficit) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | \$ | | \$ | 9 | \$ 177 | \$ 14 | \$ | (1) | \$ | 199 |
| Securitization obligations | | | | | | | 328 | | | | 328 |
| Intercompany note payable | | | | | | 19 | 32 | | (51) | | |
| Due to former parent | | | | | 80 | | | | | | 80 |
| Revolving credit facilities and current portion of long-term debt | | | | | 236 | 50 | 8 | | | | 294 |
| Accrued expenses and other current | | | | | | | | | | | |
| liabilities | | | | | 183 | 299 | 37 | | | | 519 |
| Intercompany payables | | | | | 2,000 | (1,998) | (2) | | | | |
| Total current liabilities | | | | | 2,508 | (1,453) | 417 | | (52) | | 1,420 |
| Long-term debt | | | | | 6,839 | | | | | | 6,839 |
| Deferred income taxes | | | | | (617) | 1,498 | (1) | | | | 880 |
| Other non-current liabilities | | | | | 81 | 58 | 18 | | | | 157 |
| Intercompany liabilities | | | | | 725 | (725) | | | | | |
| Total liabilities | | | | | 9,536 | (622) | 434 | | (52) | | 9,296 |
| Total equity (deficit) | (1,316) | | (1,316) | | (1,316) | 8,101 | 171 | | (5,640) | | (1,316) |
| Total liabilities and equity (deficit) | \$ (1,316) | \$ | (1,316) | \$ | 8,220 | \$ 7,479 | \$ 605 | \$ | (5,692) | \$ | 7,980 |

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet

As of December 31, 2010

(In millions)

| | Holdings | Int | ermediate | R | ealogy | uarantor bsidiaries | duarantor sidiaries | Eliı | minations | Con | solidated |
|---|------------|-----|-----------|----|---------|------------------------|----------------------------|------|-----------|-----|-----------|
| Assets | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | \$ | | \$ | 69 | \$ 74 | \$ 51 | \$ | (2) | \$ | 192 |
| Trade receivables, net | | | | | | 79 | 35 | | | | 114 |
| Relocation receivables | | | | | | | 386 | | | | 386 |
| Relocation properties held for sale | | | | | | 21 | | | | | 21 |
| Deferred income taxes | | | | | 15 | 63 | (2) | | | | 76 |
| Intercompany note receivable | | | | | | 13 | 19 | | (32) | | |
| Other current assets | | | | | 9 | 69 | 31 | | | | 109 |
| Total current assets | | | | | 93 | 319 | 520 | | (34) | | 898 |
| Property and equipment, net | | | | | 21 | 162 | 3 | | () | | 186 |
| Goodwill | | | | | | 2,611 | | | | | 2,611 |
| Trademarks | | | | | | 732 | | | | | 732 |
| Franchise agreements, net | | | | | | 2,909 | | | | | 2,909 |
| Other intangibles, net | | | | | | 478 | | | | | 478 |
| Other non-current assets | | | | | 80 | 83 | 52 | | | | 215 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | (1,072) | | (1,072) | | 8,014 | 152 | | | (6,022) | | |
| Total assets | \$ (1,072) | \$ | (1,072) | \$ | 8,208 | \$ 7,446 | \$ 575 | \$ | (6,056) | \$ | 8,029 |
| Liabilities and Equity (Deficit) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | \$ | | \$ | 25 | \$ 168 | \$ 12 | \$ | (2) | \$ | 203 |
| Securitization obligations | | | | | | | 331 | | | | 331 |
| Intercompany note payable | | | | | | 19 | 13 | | (32) | | |
| Due to former parent | | | | | 104 | | | | | | 104 |
| Revolving credit facilities and current | | | | | | | | | | | |
| portion of long-term debt | | | | | 132 | 55 | 7 | | | | 194 |
| Accrued expenses and other current | | | | | | | | | | | |
| liabilities | | | | | 178 | 316 | 31 | | | | 525 |
| Intercompany payables | | | | | 1,949 | (1,962) | 13 | | | | |
| Total current liabilities | | | | | 2,388 | (1,404) | 407 | | (34) | | 1,357 |
| Long-term debt | | | | | 6,698 | | | | | | 6,698 |
| Deferred income taxes | | | | | (614) | 1,497 | | | | | 883 |
| Other non-current liabilities | | | | | 86 | 61 | 16 | | | | 163 |
| Intercompany liabilities | | | | | 722 | (722) | | | | | |
| Total liabilities | | | | | 9,280 | (568) | 423 | | (34) | | 9,101 |
| Total equity (deficit) | (1,072) | | (1,072) | | (1,072) | 8,014 | 152 | | (6,022) | | (1,072) |
| Total liabilities and equity (deficit) | \$ (1,072) | \$ | (1,072) | \$ | 8,208 | \$ 7,446 | \$ 575 | \$ | (6,056) | \$ | 8,029 |

39

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows

Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

(In millions)

| | Holdings | Intermediate | Realogy | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|----------|--------------|----------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Net cash provided by (used in) | Holdings | intermediate | Realogy | Substaties | Subsidiaries | Elilillations | Consolidated |
| operating activities | \$ | \$ | \$ (347) | \$ 142 | \$ 14 | \$ (3) | \$ (194) |
| • | | | | | | | |
| Investing activities | | | | | | | |
| Property and equipment additions | | | (2) | (22) | (1) | | (25) |
| Net assets acquired (net of cash | | | | | | | |
| acquired) and acquisition-related | | | | | | | |
| payments | | | | (4) | | | (4) |
| Proceeds from (purchases of) certificates | | | | | | | |
| of deposits, net | | | | | 9 | | 9 |
| Change in restricted cash | | | | | 1 | | 1 |
| Intercompany note receivable | | | | (18) | | 18 | |
| Other, net | | | | (5) | | | (5) |
| | | | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) | | | | | | | |
| investing activities | | | (2) | (49) | 9 | 18 | (24) |
| Financing activities | | | | | | | |
| Net change in revolving credit facility | | | 130 | (5) | | | 125 |
| Proceeds from issuance of First and a | | | | | | | |
| Half Lien Notes | | | 700 | | | | 700 |
| Proceeds from term loan extension | | | 98 | | | | 98 |
| Repayments made for term loan facility | | | (703) | | | | (703) |
| Net change in securitization obligations | | | , í | | (4) | | (4) |
| Debt issuance costs | | | (34) | | | | (34) |
| Intercompany dividend | | | ` / | | (4) | 4 | , |
| Intercompany note payable | | | | | 18 | (18) | |
| Intercompany transactions | | | 94 | (77) | (17) | , , | |
| Other, net | | | (1) | (5) | 3 | | (3) |
| · | | | . , | , , | | | . , |
| Net cash provided by (used in) | | | | | | | |
| financing activities | | | 284 | (87) | (4) | (14) | 179 |
| and the second | | | | (07) | (.) | (11) | 1,7 |
| Effect of changes in exchange rates on | | | | | | | |
| cash and cash equivalents | | | | | 1 | | 1 |
| cash and cash equivalents | | | | | 1 | | 1 |
| Net decrease in cash and cash | | | | | | | |
| equivalents | | | (65) | 6 | 20 | 1 | (38) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of | | | (03) | U | 20 | 1 | (38) |
| period | | | 69 | 74 | 51 | (2) | 192 |
| period | | | Už | 74 | 31 | (2) | 172 |
| Cosh and each aguivalents and of | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ | \$ | \$ 4 | \$ 80 | \$ 71 | \$ (1) | \$ 154 |
| periou | φ | Φ | φ 4 | φ δ 0 | φ /1 | э (1) | Ф 134 |

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows

Six Months Ended June 30, 2010

(In millions)

| | Holdings | Intermediate | Realogy | arantor sidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | | Elimi | Eliminations | | olidated |
|--|----------|--------------|----------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------|-------|--------------|----|----------|
| Net cash provided by (used in) | S | | | | | | | | | |
| operating activities | \$ | \$ | \$ (294) | \$ 250 | \$ | 8 | \$ | (2) | \$ | (38) |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Investing activities | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property and equipment additions | | | (2) | (18) | | (1) | | | | (21) |
| Net assets acquired (net of cash | | | | | | | | | | |
| acquired) and acquisition-related | | | | | | | | | | |
| payments | | | | (2) | | | | | | (2) |
| Net proceeds from sale of assets | | | | 5 | | | | | | 5 |
| Purchases of certificates of deposits, net | | | | | | (10) | | | | (10) |
| Change in restricted cash | | | | | | 2 | | | | 2 |
| Intercompany note receivable | | | | (26) | | | | 26 | | |
| Other, net | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) | | | | | | | | | | |
| investing activities | | | (2) | (41) | | (9) | | 26 | | (26) |
| Financing activities | | | () | () | | (-) | | | | (-) |
| Net change in revolving credit facility | | | 75 | 35 | | | | | | 110 |
| Repayments made for term loan facility | | | (16) | | | | | | | (16) |
| Net change in securitization obligations | | | (-) | | | (13) | | | | (13) |
| Intercompany dividend | | | | | | (5) | | 5 | | (-) |
| Intercompany note payable | | | | | | 26 | | (26) | | |
| Intercompany transactions | | | 202 | (192) | | (10) | | (-) | | |
| Other, net | | | (1) | (4) | | (2) | | | | (7) |
| , | | | . , | . , | | . , | | | | . , |
| Net cash provided by (used in) | | | | | | | | | | |
| financing activities | | | 260 | (161) | | (4) | | (21) | | 74 |
| infancing activities | | | 200 | (101) | | (1) | | (21) | | , . |
| N-4 (d) : :hh | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash | | | (26) | 40 | | (5) | | 2 | | 10 |
| equivalents | | | (36) | 48 | | (5) | | 3 | | 10 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of | | | 194 | 24 | | 42 | | (5) | | 255 |
| period | | | 194 | 24 | | 42 | | (5) | | 255 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of | | | A 4 7 C | | | | | (2) | | |
| period | \$ | \$ | \$ 158 | \$ 72 | \$ | 37 | \$ | (2) | \$ | 265 |

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying Notes thereto included elsewhere herein and with our Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements and accompanying Notes included in the June 2011 Final Prospectus. Unless otherwise noted, all dollar amounts in tables are in millions. Holdings, the indirect parent of Realogy, does not conduct any operations other than with respect to its indirect ownership of Realogy. All expenses incurred by Holdings and Intermediate are for the benefit of Realogy and have been reflected in Realogy s consolidated financial statements. All issuances of Holdings equity securities, including grants of stock options and restricted stock by Holdings to employees and directors of Realogy and its subsidiaries have been reflected in Realogy s condensed consolidated financial statements. As a result, the condensed consolidated financial positions, results of operations and cash flows of Holdings, Intermediate and Realogy are the same. This Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains forward-looking statements. See Forward-Looking Statements and Risk Factors in this report and Forward-Looking Statements and Risk Factors in our June 2011 Final Prospectus for a discussion of the uncertainties, risks and assumptions associated with these statements. Actual results may differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements.

OVERVIEW

We are a global provider of real estate and relocation services and report our operations in the following four segments:

Real Estate Franchise Services (known as Realogy Franchise Group or RFG) franchises the Century 21®, Coldwell Banker®, ERA®, Sotheby s International Realty®, Coldwell Banker Commercial® and Better Homes and Gardens® Real Estate brand names. As of June 30, 2011, we had approximately 14,400 franchised and company owned offices and 256,000 independent sales associates operating under our brands in the U.S. and 99 other countries and territories around the world, which included approximately 740 of our company owned and operated brokerage offices with approximately 43,300 independent sales associates.

Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services (known as NRT) operates a full-service real estate brokerage business principally under the Coldwell Banker[®], ERA[®], Corcoran Group[®] and Sotheby s International Realt[®] brand names. In addition, we operate a large independent real estate owned (REO) residential asset manager, which focuses on bank-owned properties.

Relocation Services (known as Cartus) primarily offers clients employee relocation services such as homesale assistance, home finding and other destination services, expense processing, relocation policy counseling and other consulting services, arranging household goods moving services, visa and immigration support, intercultural and language training, and group move management services.

Title and Settlement Services (known as Title Resource Group or TRG) provides full-service title, settlement and vendor management services to real estate companies, affinity groups, corporations and financial institutions with many of these services provided in connection with the Company s real estate brokerage and relocation services business.

July 2006 Separation from Cendant

Realogy was incorporated on January 27, 2006 to facilitate a plan by Cendant Corporation (now known as Avis Budget Group, Inc.) to separate into four independent companies one for each of Cendant s business units real estate services or Realogy, travel distribution services (Travelport), hospitality services, including timeshare resorts (Wyndham Worldwide), and vehicle rental (Avis Budget Group). On July 31, 2006, the separation (Separation) from Cendant became effective.

Before the Separation, Realogy entered into a Separation and Distribution Agreement, a Tax Sharing Agreement and several other agreements with Cendant and Cendant s other businesses to effect the separation and distribution and provide a framework for Realogy s relationships with Cendant and Cendant s other businesses after

the Separation. These agreements govern the relationships among Realogy, Cendant, Wyndham Worldwide and Travelport subsequent to the completion of the separation plan and provided for the allocation among Realogy, Cendant, Wyndham Worldwide and Travelport of Cendant s assets, liabilities and obligations attributable to periods prior to the Separation.

April 2007 Merger Agreement with Affiliates of Apollo

On December 15, 2006, Realogy entered into an agreement and plan of merger with Domus Holdings Corp. (Holdings) and Domus Acquisition Corp. which are affiliates of Apollo Management VI, L.P., an entity affiliated with Apollo Global Management, LLC. Under the merger agreement, Holdings acquired the outstanding shares of Realogy pursuant to the merger of Domus Acquisition Corp. with and into Realogy, with Realogy being the surviving entity (the Merger). The Merger was consummated on April 10, 2007. All of Realogy s issued and outstanding common stock is currently owned by Intermediate, which is a direct wholly owned subsidiary of Holdings.

Realogy incurred substantial indebtedness in connection with the Merger, the aggregate proceeds of which were used to pay the aggregate merger consideration, repay a portion of Realogy s then outstanding indebtedness and pay fees and expenses related to the Merger. Specifically, Realogy entered into the Senior Secured Credit Facility, issued unsecured notes and refinanced the credit facilities governing Realogy s relocation securitization programs (the Merger and the related financing transactions being referred to as the Merger Transactions). In addition, investment funds affiliated with, or co-investment vehicles managed by, Apollo Management VI, L.P. or one of its affiliates (together with Apollo Global Management, LLC and its subsidiaries, Apollo), as well as members of management who purchased Holdings common stock with cash or through rollover equity, contributed \$2,001 million to Realogy to complete the Merger Transactions, which was treated as a contribution to Realogy s equity. Holdings common stock is currently owned or controlled solely by Apollo, although others parties own Convertible Notes that may be converted into Holdings common stock.

Refinancing Transactions

In January and February of 2011, Realogy completed a series of transactions, referred to herein as the Refinancing Transactions, to refinance both its secured and unsecured indebtedness. The Refinancing Transactions, among other things, have:

extended the maturities on more than 90% of Realogy s Existing Notes by at least three years;

provided a mechanism for a potential deleveraging of the Company s debt through the issuance of \$2.1 billion aggregate principal amount of Convertible Notes that mature in 2018 and that are convertible at any time, at the holder s option, into Class A Common Stock of Holdings;

extended the maturities of a significant portion of its first lien senior secured indebtedness from 2013 to 2016 (including 79% of its \$3.1 billion term loan facility);

replaced \$700 million of its first lien secured debt with secured indebtedness due in 2019 that is not included in the numerator of its senior secured leverage ratio, thereby significantly improving the Company s operating cushion under such ratio and mitigating concerns regarding the Company maintaining compliance with such ratio for at least the next twelve months; and

maintained access to \$650 million of borrowing under its senior secured revolving credit facilities.

Our annual cash interest will increase as a result of the Refinancing Transactions due to an increase in interest expense under our Senior Secured Credit Facility as well as an increase in the interest rate on the \$700 million of First and a Half Lien Notes compared to the first lien secured debt . For additional information related to the Refinancing Transactions, see Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources Financial Obligations .

Industry Trends

Our businesses compete primarily in the domestic residential real estate market. This market is cyclical in nature and although it has shown strong growth over several decades, it has been in a significant and prolonged downturn, which initially began in the second half of 2005. Based upon data published by the National Association of Realtors (NAR) from 2005 to 2010, the number of annual U.S. existing homesale units has declined by 31% and the median price has declined by 21%.

In response to the housing downturn, the U.S. government implemented certain actions during the past several years to assist in the stabilization and/or recovery of the residential real estate market. These measures have included: (1) the placement of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac in conservatorship in September 2008 and the funding of approximately \$165 billion to these entities to backstop shortfalls in their capital requirements; (2) the establishment, and subsequent expansion and extension, of a federal homebuyer tax credit for qualified buyers (that, as extended, required signed contracts on or before April 30, 2010 and completion by September 2010); (3) as part of a broader plan to bring stability to credit markets and stimulate the housing market, the purchase of mortgage-backed securities by the Federal Reserve in an attempt to maintain low mortgage rates (the first phase which ended on March 31, 2010 and the second phase which ended on June 30, 2011); (4) the continuation of the 2008 higher loan limits for FHA, Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae loans through September 30, 2011 when they are set to expire; and (5) the availability of low-cost refinancing through Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to certain homeowners negatively impacted by falling home prices, as well as, encouraging lenders through government financial incentives to modify loan terms with borrowers at risk of foreclosure or already in foreclosure. The residential real estate market has benefited from these government actions.

During the second half of 2009, homesale transactions increased on a year-over-year basis due in part to modest economic growth, an improvement in the stock market from its March 2009 lows, gradually improving consumer confidence (although it remained at relatively low levels) and the effect of government stimulus including the homebuyer tax credit and monetary policies. The increase in homesale transactions continued in the first half of 2010 and was positively impacted by the extension of the federal homebuyer tax credit, historically low mortgage rates and a high housing affordability index. After June 30, 2010, we saw a substantial decrease in consumer buying activity, particularly in the low and moderate price ranges. We believe this was due to the pull-forward of activity from the third quarter of 2010 into the second quarter and continuing economic uncertainty, high unemployment and relatively low levels of consumer confidence. These factors adversely impacted our results in both the third and fourth quarters of 2010.

Interest rates continue to be at low levels by historical standards, which we believe has helped stimulate demand in the residential real estate market, thereby partially mitigating the rate of sales volume decline. According to Freddie Mac, interest rates on commitments for fixed-rate first mortgages have decreased from an annual average of 6.0% in 2008 to an annual average of 4.7% in 2010. Offsetting some of the favorable impact of lower interest rates are conservative mortgage underwriting standards, increased down payment requirements and homeowners having limited or negative equity in homes in certain markets.

According to NAR, the inventory of existing homes for sale is 3.8 million homes at June 2011 compared to 3.6 million homes at December 2010. The June 2011 inventory level represents a seasonally adjusted 9.5 months supply which is up from 8.2 months supply as of December 2010. The supply remains higher than the historical average and could increase due to the release of homes for sale by financial institutions. These factors could continue to add downward pressure on the price of existing homesales.

Recent Legislative and Regulatory Matters

Dodd-Frank Act. On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law for the express purpose of regulating the financial services industry. The Dodd-Frank Act establishes an independent federal bureau of consumer financial protection to enforce laws involving consumer financial products and services, including mortgage finance. The bureau is empowered with examination and enforcement authority. The Dodd-Frank Act also

44

Table of Contents

establishes new standards and practices for mortgage originators, including determining a prospective borrower s ability to repay their mortgage, removing incentives for higher cost mortgages, prohibiting prepayment penalties for non-qualified mortgages, prohibiting mandatory arbitration clauses, requiring additional disclosures to potential borrowers and restricting the fees that mortgage originators may collect. While we are continuing to evaluate all aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act, such legislation and regulations promulgated pursuant to such legislation as well as other legislation that may be enacted to reform the U.S. housing finance market could materially and adversely affect the mortgage and housing industries, result in heightened federal regulation and oversight of the mortgage and housing industries, disrupt mortgage availability, increase down payment requirements, increase mortgage costs and result in potential litigation for housing market participants.

Certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act may impact the operation and practices of Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and other government sponsored entities, or GSEs, and require sponsors of securitizations to retain a portion of the economic interest in the credit risk associated with the assets securitized by them. Substantial reduction in, or the elimination of, GSE demand for mortgage loans by reducing qualifying mortgages could have a material adverse effect on the mortgage industry and the housing industry in general and these provisions may reduce the availability of mortgages to certain individuals.

Potential Reform of U.S. Housing Finance Market and Potential Wind-down of Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae. On February 11, 2011, the Obama Administration issued a report to the U.S. Congress outlining proposals to reform the U.S. housing finance market, including, among other things, reform designed to reduce government support for housing finance and the winding down of Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae over a period of years. Numerous pieces of legislation seeking various types of reform for the GSEs have been introduced recently in Congress. Two significant questions that need to be addressed in any such reform are: (1) will banks and other private sources of capital be able to fill homebuyers needs as the government seeks to pull back some of the housing mortgage market support and (2) will these other sources of capital be available at rates which are reasonably attractive to potential homebuyers. Legislation, if enacted, which curtails Freddie Mac and/or Fannie Mae s activities and/or results in the wind down of these entities could increase mortgage costs and could result in more stringent underwriting guidelines imposed by lenders, either of which could have a materially adverse affect on the housing market in general and our operations in particular. Given the current uncertainty with respect to the extent, if any, of such reform, it is difficult to predict either the long-term or short-term impact of government action that may be taken.

Potential Downgrade of U.S. Triple-A Rating. On August 2, 2011, legislation was enacted to increase the federal debt ceiling and to reduce future spending levels by as much as \$2.4 trillion over the next decade. Notwithstanding the passage of this legislation, a risk remains that Moody s and/or Standard & Poor s could reduce the U.S. triple-A debt ratings if the legislation is not deemed sufficient to address the country s growing debt burden. If the triple-A rating is reduced, it could raise borrowing costs for Americans and adversely impact the mortgage and housing markets.

Mortgage Interest Deduction. Certain lawmakers are looking into a variety of tax law changes in order to achieve additional tax revenues and reduce the federal deficit. One possible change would reduce the amount taxpayers would be allowed to deduct for home mortgage interest and possibly limit the deduction to one s primary residence. Any reduction in the mortgage interest deduction could have an adverse effect on the housing market by reducing incentives for buying or refinancing homes and could negatively affect property values.

We believe that long-term demand for housing and the growth of our industry is primarily driven by affordability, the economic health of the domestic economy, positive demographic trends such as population growth, increasing household formation, interest rate trends and locally based dynamics such as employment levels and housing demand relative to housing supply. While the housing market has shown signs of stabilization, there remains substantial uncertainty with respect to the timing and scope of a housing recovery. Factors that may negatively affect a housing recovery include:

higher mortgage rates as well as reduced availability of mortgage financing;

lower unit sales, due to the reluctance of first time homebuyers to purchase a home and move-up buyers having limited or negative equity in homes;

45

lower average homesale price, particularly if banks and other mortgage servicers liquidate foreclosed properties that they are currently holding;

continuing high levels of unemployment and associated lack of consumer confidence;

unsustainable economic recovery in the U.S. or a weak recovery resulting in only modest economic growth;

a lack of stability or improvement in home ownership levels in the U.S.; and

legislative or regulatory reform, including but not limited to reform that materially adversely impacts the financing of the U.S. housing market.

Consequently, we cannot predict when the residential real estate industry will return to a period of stabilization and sustainable growth. Moreover, if the residential real estate market or the economy as a whole does not improve, we may experience further adverse effects on our business, financial condition and liquidity, including our ability to access capital.

Many of the trends impacting our businesses that derive revenue from homesales also impact our Relocation Services business, which is a global provider of outsourced employee relocation services. In addition to general residential housing trends, key drivers of our Relocation Services business are corporate spending and employment trends which have shown signs of stabilization; however, there can be no assurance that corporate spending on relocation services will return to previous levels following any economic recovery.

Homesales

There was an unusual pattern of activity in 2010 which creates atypical year over year quarterly comparisons in 2011. The number of homesale transactions in the second quarter of 2010 was positively impacted as a result of the homebuyer tax credit and, as a result, the second quarter of 2011 does not present a positive comparison. In addition, we believe the third quarter and fourth quarter of 2010 were weak which we believe was due to the pull-forward of sales into the second quarter due to the expiration of the 2010 tax credit combined with continued weak economic conditions and high unemployment. Therefore, we expect the year over year quarterly comparisons in the second half of 2011 to improve. This is consistent with NAR and Fannie Mae s forecasts.

| | | | 2011 vs. 2010 | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Full Year 2010 vs. 2009 | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter Forecast | Fourth Quarter Forecast | Full Year 2011 vs. 2010 Forecast | | | | |
| Number of Homesales | | | | | | | | | | |
| Industry | | | | | | | | | | |
| $NAR^{(a)}$ | (5%) | (1%) | (13%) | 18% | 7% | 2% | | | | |
| Fannie Mae ^(a) | (5%) | (1%) | (12%) | 23% | 11% | 4% | | | | |
| Realogy | | | | | | | | | | |
| Real Estate Franchise Services | (6%) | (4%) | (13%) | | | | | | | |
| Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services | (7%) | (3%) | (13%) | | | | | | | |

(a) Existing homesale data is as of the most recent NAR and Fannie Mae press release. Existing homesale transactions were reported by NAR to be 4.9 million homes for 2010 compared to 5.2 million homes in 2009. NAR estimates that existing homesale transactions will increase to 5.0 million for 2011 reflecting a 2% increase in homesale transactions compared to 2010.

As of their most recent releases, NAR and Fannie Mae are forecasting an increase of 6% and 8%, respectively, in existing homesale transactions for 2012 compared to 2011.

Homesale Price

In 2010, the percentage increase in the average price of homes brokered by our franchisees and company owned offices significantly outperformed the percentage change in median home price reported by NAR, due to the geographic areas they serve as well as a greater impact from increased activity in the mid and higher price point areas and less REO activity in our company owned offices compared to the prior year. In its most recent release on second quarter 2011 homesale activity, NAR reported median home price declines of 3% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to that same period in 2010. We believe the continued drop in median price on a national basis is due to the high level of distressed sales. NAR reported that distressed sales accounted for a 35% market share for the six months ended June 2010. Realogy s results for 2010 and the first half of 2011 continued to outperform the broader market for the reasons mentioned above as it relates to average sales price, NRT average homesales price was up 3% and RFG average homesales price was up 2% in the first six months of 2011. For the full year 2011, NAR and Fannie Mae are forecasting median price to be down compared to 2010 with a decrease of 2% and 4%, respectively, again reflecting the impact of distressed sales activity on the residential real estate market as a whole.

| | Full Year 2010 vs. 2009 | First Quarter | Second Quarter | 2011 vs. 2010 Third Quarter Forecast | Fourth Quarter Forecast | Full Year 2011 vs. 2010 Forecast |
|--|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Homesales Price | | | | | | |
| Industry (median) | | | | | | |
| $NAR^{(a)}$ | % | (5%) | (3%) | (1%) | 1% | (2%) |
| Fannie Mae ^(a) | % | (5%) | (4%) | (4%) | (3%) | (4%) |
| Realogy (average) | | | | | | |
| Real Estate Franchise Services | 4% | 3% | 2% | | | |
| Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services | 11% | (1%) | 5% | | | |

(a) Existing homesale price data is as of the most recent NAR and Fannie Mae press release. As of their most recent releases, NAR is forecasting an increase of 2% in median homesale prices for 2012 compared to 2011, while Fannie Mae is forecasting a decrease of 1% in median homesale prices for 2012 compared to 2011.

While data provided by NAR and Fannie Mae are two indicators of the direction of the residential housing market, we believe that homesale statistics will continue to vary between us and NAR and Fannie Mae because they use survey data in their historical reports and forecasting models whereas we use data based on actual reported results. In addition to the differences in calculation methodologies, there are geographical differences and concentrations in the markets in which we operate versus the national market. For instance, comparability is impaired due to NAR s utilization of seasonally adjusted annualized rates whereas we report actual period over period changes and their use of median price for their forecasts compared to our average price. Additionally, NAR data is subject to periodic review and revision. NAR has recently issued a press release disclosing that it is engaged in a review of its sampling and methodology processes with respect to existing homesale data to ensure accuracy. NAR expects to issue preliminary data based on this review in August that will result in a downward revision to sales volume but they expect that these revisions will not affect median prices and there will be no notable change to NAR s previous characterizations of the market in terms of sales trends or monthly percentage changes. These revisions will have no impact on our reported financial results or key business driver information. While we believe that the industry data presented herein are derived from the most widely recognized sources for reporting U.S. residential housing market statistical data, we do not endorse or suggest reliance on this data alone. We also note that forecasts are inherently uncertain or speculative in nature and actual results for any period may materially differ.

Housing Affordability Index

According to NAR, the housing affordability index has continued to improve as a result of the homesale price declines which began in 2007. An index above 100 signifies that a family earning the median income has more than enough income to qualify for a mortgage loan on a median-priced home, assuming a 20 percent down payment. The housing affordability index improved to 174 for 2010 compared to 169 for 2009 and 138 for 2008. The May 2011 index of 183 increased from the 2010 index and the overall improvement in this index could favorably impact a housing recovery.

Other Factors

Due to the prolonged downturn in the residential real estate market, certain franchisees have experienced operating difficulties. As a result, many of our franchisees with multiple offices have reduced overhead and consolidated offices in an attempt to remain competitive in the marketplace. In addition, we have had to terminate franchisees due to non-reporting and non-payment which could adversely impact reported transaction volumes in the future. Due to the factors noted above, we significantly increased our bad debt and note reserves in prior years and continue to actively monitor the collectability of receivables and notes from our franchisees.

The real estate industry generally benefits from rising home prices and increased volume of homesales and conversely is harmed by falling prices and falling volume of homesales. The housing industry is also affected by mortgage rate volatility as well as strict mortgage underwriting criteria which may limit certain customers—ability to qualify for a mortgage. Typically, if mortgage rates fall or remain low, the number of homesale transactions increase as homeowners choose to move or renters decide to purchase a home because financing appears affordable. If mortgage rates rise, the number of homesale transactions may decrease as potential home sellers choose to stay with their current mortgage and potential home buyers choose to rent rather than pay these higher mortgage rates.

Key Drivers of Our Businesses

Within our Real Estate Franchise Services segment and our Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment, we measure operating performance using the following key operating statistics: (i) closed homesale sides, which represents either the buy side or the sell side of a homesale transaction, (ii) average homesale price, which represents the average selling price of closed homesale transactions and (iii) average homesale broker commission rate, which represents the average commission rate earned on either the buy side or sell side of a homesale transaction. Our Real Estate Franchise Services segment is also impacted by the net effective royalty rate which represents the average percentage of our franchisees commission revenues payable to our Real Estate Franchise Services segment, net of volume incentives achieved. The net effective royalty rate does not include the effect of non-standard incentives granted to some franchisees.

Prior to 2006, the average homesale broker commission rate was declining several basis points per year, the effect of which was more than offset by increases in homesale prices. From 2007 through the second quarter of 2011, the average broker commission rate remained fairly stable; however, we expect that, over the long term, the modestly declining trend in average brokerage commission rates will continue.

The net effective royalty rate has been declining over the past three years. We would expect that, over the near future, the net effective royalty rate will continue to modestly decline due to an increased concentration of business in larger franchisees which earn higher volume rebates as well as the Company s focus on strategic growth through relationships with larger established real estate companies. The net effective rate can also be affected by a shift in volume amongst our brands which operate under different royalty rate arrangements.

Our Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment has a significant concentration of real estate brokerage offices and transactions in geographic regions where home prices are at the higher end of the U.S. real

estate market, particularly the east and west coasts, while our Real Estate Franchise Services segment has franchised offices that are more widely dispersed across the United States. Accordingly, operating results and homesale statistics may differ between our Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment and our Real Estate Franchise Services segment based upon geographic presence and the corresponding homesale activity in each geographic region.

Within our Relocation Services segment, we measure operating performance using the following key operating statistics: (i) initiations, which represent the total number of transferees we serve and (ii) referrals, which represent the number of referrals from which we earn revenue from real estate brokers. In our Title and Settlement Services segment, operating performance is evaluated using the following key metrics: (i) purchase title and closing units, which represent the number of title and closing units we process as a result of home purchases, (ii) refinance title and closing units, which represent the number of title and closing units we process as a result of homeowners refinancing their home loans, and (iii) average price per closing unit, which represents the average fee we earn on purchase title and refinancing title sides.

The decline in the number of homesale transactions and the decline in homesale prices has and could continue to adversely affect our results of operations by: (i) reducing the royalties we receive from our franchisees and company owned brokerages, (ii) reducing the commissions our company owned brokerage operations earn, (iii) reducing the demand for our title and settlement services, and (iv) reducing the referral fees we earn in our relocation services business. Our results could also be negatively affected by a decline in commission rates charged by brokers.

The following table presents our drivers for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. See Results of Operations for a discussion as to how the key drivers affected our business for the periods presented.

| | Three I | Months Ended J | June 30, | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|---|------------|----------------|----------|---------------------------|------------|----------|--|
| | 2011 | 2010 | % Change | 2011 | 2010 | % Change | |
| Real Estate Franchise Services ^(a) | | | | | | | |
| Closed homesale sides | 251,045 | 288,479 | (13%) | 435,688 | 481,820 | (10%) | |
| Average homesale price | \$ 202,045 | \$ 197,637 | 2% | \$ 198,513 | \$ 193,962 | 2% | |
| Average homesale broker commission rate | 2.55% | 2.54% | 1bps | 2.55% | 2.54% | 1bps | |
| Net effective royalty rate | 4.83% | 5.04% | (21bps) | 4.85% | 5.04% | (19bps) | |
| Royalty per side | \$ 258 | \$ 261 | (1%) | \$ 255 | \$ 258 | (1%) | |
| Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services | | | | | | | |
| Closed homesale sides | 73,061 | 83,583 | (13%) | 124,261 | 136,115 | (9%) | |
| Average homesale price | \$ 445,550 | \$ 424,442 | 5% | \$ 432,618 | \$ 421,872 | 3% | |
| Average homesale broker commission rate | 2.49% | 2.49% | bps | 2.49% | 2.49% | bps | |
| Gross commission income per side | \$ 11,931 | \$ 11,247 | 6% | \$ 11,625 | \$ 11,214 | 4% | |
| Relocation Services | | | | | | | |
| Initiations | 46,433 | 46,189 | 1% | 81,541 | 78,618 | 4% | |
| Referrals | 20,282 | 21,770 | (7%) | 33,095 | 33,879 | (2%) | |
| Title and Settlement Services | | | | | | | |
| Purchase title and closing units | 26,219 | 30,133 | (13%) | 45,190 | 50,080 | (10%) | |
| Refinance title and closing units | 10,840 | 10,378 | 4% | 27,666 | 22,313 | 24% | |
| Average price per closing unit | \$ 1,525 | \$ 1,472 | 4% | \$ 1,457 | \$ 1,419 | 3% | |

(a) Includes all franchisees except for our Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment.

Table of Contents 67

49

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Discussed below are our condensed consolidated results of operations and the results of operations for each of our reportable segments. The reportable segments presented below represent our operating segments for which separate financial information is available and which is utilized on a regular basis by our chief operating decision maker to assess performance and to allocate resources. In identifying our reportable segments, we also consider the nature of services provided by our operating segments. Management evaluates the operating results of each of our reportable segments based upon revenue and EBITDA. Our presentation of EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly-titled measures used by other companies. As discussed above under Industry Trends, our results of operations are significantly impacted by industry and economic factors that are beyond our control.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 vs. Three Months Ended June 30, 2010

Our consolidated results comprised the following:

| | | Three Months End June 30, | led |
|--|----------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Change |
| Net revenues | \$ 1,179 | \$ 1,253 | \$ (74) |
| Total expenses ⁽¹⁾ | 1,203 | 920 | 283 |
| Net income (loss) before income taxes, equity in earnings and noncontrolling interests | (24) | 333 | (357) |
| Income tax expense | 1 | 118 | (117) |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities | (4) | (8) | 4 |
| | | | |
| Net income (loss) | (21) | 223 | (244) |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (1) | (1) | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Holdings and Realogy | \$ (22) | \$ 222 | \$ (244) |

(1) Total expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2011 include \$3 million of restructuring costs offset by a net benefit of \$12 million of former parent legacy items. Total expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2010 include \$4 million of restructuring costs, offset by a net benefit of \$314 million of former parent legacy items primarily as a result of tax and other liability adjustments.

Net revenues decreased \$74 million (6%) for the second quarter of 2011 compared with the second quarter of 2010 principally due to decreases in homesale transaction volume at the Real Estate Franchise Services segment and Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment as a result of the absence of the homebuyer tax credit in 2011 and general economic conditions, partially offset by an increase in revenues for the Title and Settlement Services segment due to higher refinance and title insurance premiums and the Relocation Services segment due to volume increases.

Total expenses increased \$283 million (31%) primarily due to a net benefit of \$314 million of parent legacy items as a result of tax and other liability adjustments which occurred in the second quarter of 2010 compared to a net benefit of \$12 million of former parent legacy items during the same period in 2011. Absent the impact of former parent legacy items, total expenses decreased \$19 million which was primarily driven by a \$35 million decrease in commission expenses paid to real estate agents due to a decrease in homesale transaction volume, partially offset by a \$7 million increase in operating expenses related to volume increases in the Title and Settlement Services segment and a \$6 million increase in interest expense as a result of the Refinancing Transactions.

The Company s provision for income taxes in interim periods is computed by applying its estimated annual effective tax rate against the income (loss) before income taxes for the period. In addition, non-recurring or discrete items are recorded during the period in which they occur. No Federal income tax benefit was recognized for the

50

current period loss due to the recognition of a full valuation allowance for domestic operations. Income tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was \$1 million, related to foreign and state income taxes for certain jurisdictions.

Following is a more detailed discussion of the results of each of our reportable segments during the three months ended June 30:

| | | Revenues | | EBITDA(t |) | Margin | | | |
|--|----------|----------|--------|----------|--------|--------|------|------|--------|
| | | | % | | | % | | | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | Change | 2011 | 2010 | Change | 2011 | 2010 | Change |
| Real Estate Franchise Services | \$ 160 | \$ 173 | (8%) | \$ 97 | \$ 123 | (21%) | 61% | 71% | (10) |
| Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage | | | | | | | | | |
| Services | 884 | 956 | (8) | 48 | 84 | (43) | 5 | 9 | (4) |
| Relocation Services | 110 | 106 | 4 | 32 | 27 | 19 | 29 | 25 | 4 |
| Title and Settlement Services | 90 | 86 | 5 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 13 | 13 | |
| Corporate and Other ^(a) | (65) | (68) | * | (2) | 299 | * | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Company | \$ 1,179 | \$ 1,253 | (6%) | \$ 187 | \$ 544 | (66%) | 16% | 43% | (27) |
| . , | | | ` ′ | | | , , | | | , , |
| Less: Depreciation and amortization | | | | 47 | 49 | | | | |
| Interest expense, net | | | | 161 | 155 | | | | |
| Income tax expense | | | | 1 | 118 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Holdings | | | | | | | | | |
| and Realogy | | | | \$ (22) | \$ 222 | | | | |

- * not meaningful
- (a) Includes the elimination of transactions between segments, which consists of intercompany royalties and marketing fees paid by our Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment of \$65 million and \$68 million during the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively and unallocated corporate overhead.
- (b) Includes \$3 million of restructuring costs offset by a net benefit of \$12 million of former parent legacy items for the three months ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$4 million of restructuring costs offset by a net benefit of \$314 million of former parent legacy items primarily as a result of tax and other liability adjustments for the three months ended June 30, 2010.

As described in the aforementioned table, EBITDA margin for Total Company expressed as a percentage of revenues decreased 27 percentage points for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 primarily due to a net benefit of \$314 million of former parent legacy items resulting from tax and other liability adjustments in the second quarter of 2010 compared to a net benefit of \$12 million of former parent legacy items for the same period in 2011. In addition, there was a decrease in EBITDA at the Real Estate Franchise Services segment and Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment due to lower homesale transaction volume which was partially offset by an improvement in EBITDA for the Relocation Services segment due to volume increases.

On a segment basis, the Real Estate Franchise Services segment margin decreased 10 percentage points to 61% from 71% in the comparable prior period due to a decrease in the number of homesale transactions, a decrease in the net effective royalty rate and an increase in bad debt and note reserves expense, partially offset by the impact of an increase in average homesale price. The Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment margin decreased 4 percentage points to 5% from 9% in the comparable prior period due to a decrease in the number of homesale transactions and a decrease in equity earnings related to our investment in PHH Home Loans, partially offset by an increase in the average homesale price and lower operating expenses primarily as a result of restructuring and cost-saving activities. The Relocation Services segment margin increased 4 percentage points to 29% from 25% in the comparable prior period primarily due to volume increases. The Title and Settlement Services segment margin remained consistent at 13%.

Table of Contents

The Corporate and Other EBITDA for the three months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$301 million to a negative \$2 million primarily due to a net benefit of \$314 million of former parent legacy items resulting from tax and other liability adjustments in the second quarter of 2010 compared to a net benefit of \$12 million of former parent legacy items for the same period in 2011.

Real Estate Franchise Services

Revenues decreased \$13 million to \$160 million and EBITDA decreased \$26 million to \$97 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared with the same period in 2010.

The decrease in revenue was driven by an \$11 million decrease in third-party domestic franchisee royalty revenue due to:

- a 13% decrease in the number of homesale transactions;
- a lower net effective royalty rate as our larger affiliates are achieving higher volume levels: and

an increase in Sotheby s International Realty volume which is at a lower net effective royalty rate.

These decreases were partially offset by a 2% increase in the average homesale price primarily driven by Sotheby s International Realty.

The decrease in revenue was also attributable to a \$5 million decrease in royalties received from our Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment which pays royalties to our Real Estate Franchise Services segment. These intercompany royalties of \$59 million and \$64 million during the second quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively, are eliminated in consolidation. See Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services for a discussion of the drivers related to this period over period revenue decrease for Real Estate Franchise Services segment. In addition, marketing revenue and related marketing expenses increased \$4 million, respectively, compared to the same period in 2010.

The decrease in EBITDA was principally due to the decrease in revenues discussed above, an increase in bad debt and note reserves expense of \$5 million compared to the same period in the prior year, a \$4 million increase in legal expenses primarily due to a reversal of litigation accruals in connection with a favorable outcome and an insurance reimbursement in the second quarter of 2010 and incremental expenses of \$2 million related to international business conferences for all of our brands in 2011.

Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services

Revenues decreased \$72 million to \$884 million and EBITDA decreased \$36 million to \$48 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared with the same period in 2010.

The decrease in revenues, excluding REO revenues, of \$69 million was due to decreased commission income earned on homesale transactions which was primarily driven by a 13% decrease in the number of homesale transactions, partially offset by a 5% increase in the average price of homes sold. We believe the 13% decrease in homesale transactions and 5% increase in the average price of homes sold is reflective of industry trends in the markets we serve. Separately, revenues from our REO asset management company decreased by \$3 million to \$7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 due to reduced inventory levels of foreclosed properties being made available for sale. Our REO operations facilitate the maintenance and sale of foreclosed homes on behalf of lenders.

EBITDA decreased \$36 million due to the decrease in revenues discussed above, as well as, \$5 million of additional operating costs related to late 2010 acquisitions and a \$4 million decrease in equity earnings related to our investment in PHH Home Loans, partially offset by a \$35 million decrease in commission expenses paid to real estate agents as a result of the decrease in revenues and an \$11 million decrease in operating expenses, net of inflation, primarily due to restructuring and cost-saving activities as well as reduced employee costs.

Relocation Services

Revenues increased \$4 million to \$110 million and EBITDA increased \$5 million to \$32 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared with the same period in 2010.

The increase in revenues was primarily driven by \$6 million of incremental international revenue due to increased transaction volume, partially offset by a \$1 million decrease in referral fee revenue.

EBITDA increased \$5 million as a result of the increase in revenues discussed above and \$2 million related to favorable foreign exchange rate movement in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter of 2010.

Title and Settlement Services

Revenues increased \$4 million to \$90 million and EBITDA increased \$1 million to \$12 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared with the same period in 2010.

The increase in revenues was primarily driven by a \$6 million increase in underwriter revenue and a \$2 million increase in volume from refinancing transactions, partially offset by a \$4 million decrease in resale volume. EBITDA increased \$1 million as a result of the increase in revenues discussed above partially offset by a net increase of \$3 million in variable operating costs as a result of the revenue volume changes noted above.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 vs. Six Months Ended June 30, 2010

Our consolidated results comprised the following:

| | Six Mo | nths Ended Ju | me 30, |
|--|----------|---------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Change |
| Net revenues | \$ 2,010 | \$ 2,072 | \$ (62) |
| Total expenses ⁽¹⁾ | 2,270 | 1,931 | 339 |
| Net income (loss) before income taxes, equity in earnings and noncontrolling interests | (260) | 141 | (401) |
| Income tax expense | 2 | 124 | (122) |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities | (4) | (9) | 5 |
| | | | |
| Net income (loss) | (258) | 26 | (284) |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (1) | (1) | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Holdings and Realogy | \$ (259) | \$ 25 | \$ (284) |

Net revenues decreased \$62 million (3%) for the first half of 2011 compared with the first half of 2010 principally due to decreases in homesale transaction volume at the Real Estate Franchise Services segment and Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment as a result of the absence of the homebuyer tax credit in 2011, partially offset by an increase in revenues for the Title and Settlement Services segment due to higher refinance and title insurance premiums and the Relocation Services segment due to volume increases.

⁽¹⁾ Total expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2011 include \$5 million of restructuring costs and \$60 million related to the Refinancing Transactions, partially offset by a net benefit of \$14 million of former parent legacy items. Total expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2010 include \$10 million of restructuring costs offset by a net benefit of \$309 million of former parent legacy benefits primarily as a result of tax and other liability adjustments.

Total expenses increased \$339 million (18%) primarily due to:

The absence of a net benefit of \$309 million of parent legacy items as a result of tax and other liability adjustments which occurred in the first half of 2010 compared to a net benefit of \$14 million of former parent legacy items in the first half of 2011;

the impact of the Refinancing Transactions which resulted in a \$36 million loss on the early extinguishment of debt as well as an increase in interest expense of \$17 million as a result of the de-designation of interest rate swaps and \$7 million due to the write-off of financing costs; and

an \$18 million increase in operating, marketing and general and administrative expenses primarily due to an increase in operating costs as a result of volume increases in the Title and Settlement Services segment and the Relocation Services segment. partially offset by:

a \$38 million decrease in commission expenses paid to real estate agents due to a decrease in homesale transaction volume. The Company s provision for income taxes in interim periods is computed by applying its estimated annual effective tax rate against the income (loss) before income taxes for the period. In addition, non-recurring or discrete items are recorded during the period in which they occur. No Federal income tax benefit was recognized for the current period loss due to the recognition of a full valuation allowance for domestic operations. Income tax expense for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$2 million. This expense included \$6 million for an increase in deferred tax liabilities associated with indefinite-lived intangible assets and \$3 million was recognized for foreign and state income taxes for certain jurisdictions offset by a \$7 million benefit due to the de-designation of the interest rate swaps.

Following is a more detailed discussion of the results of each of our reportable segments during the six months ended June 30:

| | | Revenues | % | I | EBITDA(b | % | | Margin | |
|--|----------|----------|--------|----------|----------|--------|------|--------|--------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Change | 2011 | 2010 | Change | 2011 | 2010 | Change |
| Real Estate Franchise Services | \$ 278 | \$ 295 | (6%) | \$ 159 | \$ 188 | (15%) | 57% | 64% | (7) |
| Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services | 1,471 | 1,557 | (6) | 11 | 50 | (78) | 1 | 3 | (2) |
| Relocation Services | 197 | 182 | 8 | 42 | 31 | 35 | 21 | 17 | 4 |
| Title and Settlement Services | 173 | 151 | 15 | 14 | 6 | 133 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Corporate and Other ^(a) | (109) | (113) | * | (50) | 280 | * | | | |
| Total Company | \$ 2,010 | \$ 2,072 | (3%) | \$ 176 | \$ 555 | (68%) | 9% | 27% | (18) |
| Less: Depreciation and amortization | | | | 93 | 99 | | | | |
| Interest expense, net(c) | | | | 340 | 307 | | | | |
| Income tax expense | | | | 2 | 124 | | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Holdings and Realogy | | | | \$ (259) | \$ 25 | | | | |

not meaningful

⁽a) Includes the elimination of transactions between segments, which consists of intercompany royalties and marketing fees paid by our Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment of \$109 million and \$113 million during the six months ended June 30, 2011

and 2010, respectively, and unallocated corporate overhead.

(b) Includes \$5 million of restructuring costs and \$36 million loss on the early extinguishment of debt, partially offset by a net benefit of \$14 million of former parent legacy items for the six months ended June 30, 2011,

54

Table of Contents

- compared to \$10 million of restructuring costs, offset by a net benefit of \$309 million of former parent legacy items primarily as a result of tax and other liability adjustments for the six months ended June 30, 2010.
- (c) Includes \$33 million of higher interest expense in 2011, due to the de-designation of interest rate swaps and write-off of deferred financing costs and an increase in the interest rate on a portion of our indebtedness as a result of the Refinancing Transactions.

As described in the aforementioned table, EBITDA margin for Total Company expressed as a percentage of revenues decreased 18 percentage points for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 primarily due to a net benefit of \$309 million of former parent legacy items resulting from tax and other liability adjustments in the first half of 2010 compared to a net benefit of \$14 million of former parent legacy items for the same period in 2011. In addition, there was a decrease in EBITDA due to a \$36 million loss on the early extinguishment of debt as well as a decrease in homesale transaction volume at the Real Estate Franchise Services segment and Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment, partially offset by an improvement in EBITDA for the Relocation Services segment due to volume increases.

On a segment basis, the Real Estate Franchise Services segment margin decreased 7 percentage points to 57% from 64% in the comparable prior period due to a decrease in the number of homesale transactions, a decrease in the net effective royalty rate and an increase in bad debt and note reserves expense, partially offset by an increase in average homesale price. The Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment margin decreased 2 percentage points to 1% from 3% in the comparable prior period due to a decrease in the number of homesale transactions and a decrease in equity earnings related to our investment in PHH Home Loans, partially offset by an increase in the average homesale price and lower operating expenses primarily as a result of restructuring and cost-saving activities. The Relocation Services segment margin increased 4 percentage points to 21% from 17% in the comparable prior period primarily due to volume increases. The Title and Settlement Services segment margin increased 4 percentage points to 8% from 4% in the prior period due to higher refinance and underwriter transaction volume.

The Corporate and Other EBITDA for the six months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$330 million to negative \$50 million primarily due to a net benefit of \$309 million in 2010 of former parent legacy items resulting from tax and other liability adjustments compared to a net benefit of \$14 million in 2011 from former parent legacy items for the same comparable period and a \$36 million loss on the early extinguishment of debt as a result of the Refinancing Transactions.

Real Estate Franchise Services

Revenues decreased \$17 million to \$278 million and EBITDA decreased \$29 million to \$159 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared with the same period in 2010.

The decrease in revenue was driven by a \$13 million decrease in third-party domestic franchisee royalty revenue due to:

- a 10% decrease in the number of homesale transactions;
- a lower net effective royalty rate as our larger affiliates are achieving higher volume levels; and

an increase in Sotheby s International Realty volume which is at a lower net effective royalty rate.

These decreases were partially offset by a 2% increase in the average homesale price primarily due to increased Sotheby s International Realty volume.

The decrease in revenue was also attributable to a \$6 million decrease in royalties received from our Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment which pays royalties to our Real Estate Franchise Services segment. These intercompany royalties of \$101 million and \$107 million during the first half of 2011

55

and 2010, respectively, are eliminated in consolidation. See Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services for a discussion of the drivers related to this period over period revenue decrease for Real Estate Franchise Services segment. In addition, marketing revenue increased \$2 million compared to the same period in 2010.

The decrease in EBITDA was principally due to the decrease in revenues discussed above, as well as an increase in bad debt and note reserves expense of \$5 million compared to the same period in the prior year, incremental expenses of \$4 million related to the international business conferences for all of our brands in 2011 and a \$3 million increase in legal expenses primarily due to the reversal of litigation accruals in connection with a favorable outcome and an insurance reimbursement in the second quarter of 2010.

Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services

Revenues decreased \$86 million to \$1,471 million and EBITDA decreased \$39 million to \$11 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared with the same period in 2010.

The decrease in revenues, excluding REO revenues, of \$80 million was due to decreased commission income earned on homesale transactions which was primarily driven by a 9% decrease in the number of homesale transactions, partially offset by a 3% increase in the average price of homes sold. We believe the 9% decrease in homesale transactions and 3% increase in the average price of homes sold is reflective of industry trends in the markets we serve. Separately, revenues from our REO asset management company decreased by \$6 million to \$14 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 due to reduced inventory levels of foreclosed properties being made available for sale. Our REO operations facilitate the maintenance and sale of foreclosed homes on behalf of lenders.

EBITDA decreased \$39 million due to the decrease in revenues discussed above as well as \$9 million related to additional operating costs related to late 2010 acquisitions and a \$5 million decrease in equity earnings related to our investment in PHH Home Loans, partially offset by a \$38 million decrease in commission expenses paid to real estate agents as a result of the decrease in revenue and a \$23 million decrease in operating expenses, net of inflation, primarily due to restructuring and cost-saving activities as well as reduced employee costs.

Relocation Services

Revenues increased \$15 million to \$197 million and EBITDA increased \$11 million to \$42 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared with the same period in 2010.

The increase in revenues was primarily driven by:

\$10 million of incremental international revenue due to increased transaction volume as well as 20 additional days of Primacy operations in the first half of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010;

\$3 million increase in relocation service fee revenues primarily due to higher domestic transaction volume; and

a \$1 million increase in referral fee revenue primarily due to increased domestic transaction volume, partially offset by decreased home values.

EBITDA increased \$11 million primarily as a result of the increase in revenues discussed above and a \$2 million decrease in restructuring expenses, partially offset by a \$3 million increase in operating expenses as a result of 20 additional days of Primacy operations compared to the same period in 2010 and a \$2 million increase in legal expenses as a result of the absence of an insurance recovery received in 2010.

Title and Settlement Services

Revenues increased \$22 million to \$173 million and EBITDA increased \$8 million to \$14 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 compared with the same period in 2010.

56

The increase in revenues was primarily driven by an \$18 million increase in underwriter revenue and an \$8 million increase in volume from refinancing transactions, partially offset by a \$4 million decrease in resale volume. EBITDA increased \$8 million as a result of the increase in revenues discussed above partially offset by an increase of \$15 million in variable operating costs as a result of the increase in underwriter and refinancing volume as a result of the revenue volume changes noted above.

2011 Restructuring Program

During the first six months of 2011, the Company committed to various initiatives targeted principally at reducing costs, enhancing organizational efficiencies and consolidating existing facilities. The Company currently expects to incur restructuring charges of \$10 million in 2011. As of June 30, 2011, the Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment recognized \$3 million of facility related expenses and \$1 million of personnel related expenses. The Title and Settlement Services segment recognized \$1 million of facility and personnel related expenses. At June 30, 2011 \$3 million remains as a liability.

2010 Restructuring Program

During 2010, the Company committed to various initiatives targeted principally at reducing costs, enhancing organizational efficiencies and consolidating facilities. The Company recognized \$10 million of restructuring expense in the first six months of 2010 and \$21 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The table below shows restructuring expense by category and the corresponding payments and other reductions from inception to June 30, 2011:

| | Perso Rela | | cility ated | set rments | To | otal |
|--|---------------|-----|----------------|---------------|----|------|
| Restructuring expense and other additions ^(a) | \$ | 5 | \$ 16 | \$ 1 | \$ | 22 |
| Cash payments and other reductions | | (4) | (6) | (1) | (| (11) |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | | 1 | 10 | | | 11 |
| Cash payments and other reductions | | (1) | (5) | | | (6) |
| Balance at June 30, 2011 | \$ | | \$ 5 | \$ | \$ | 5 |

FINANCIAL CONDITION, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

FINANCIAL CONDITION

| | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | Change |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Total assets | \$ 7,980 | \$ 8,029 | \$ (49) |
| Total liabilities | 9,296 | 9,101 | 195 |
| Total equity (deficit) | (1,316) | (1,072) | (244) |

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, total assets decreased \$49 million primarily as a result of a decrease in cash and cash equivalents of \$38 million, a decrease in franchise agreements intangible assets, other intangibles and property and equipment of \$34 million, \$17 million and \$12 million, respectively, due to amortization and depreciation, partially offset by an increase in trade receivables and relocation receivables of \$35 million and \$42 million, respectively. Total liabilities increased \$195 million principally due to a \$241 million increase in long term

⁽a) Includes \$1 million of unfavorable lease liability recorded in purchase accounting for Primacy which was reclassified to restructuring liability as a result of the Company restructuring certain facilities after the acquisition date.

57

debt, primarily as a result of the refinancing transactions, partially offset by a \$24 million decrease in due to former parent. Total equity (deficit) decreased \$244 million primarily due to the net loss attributable to Holdings and Realogy of \$259 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our liquidity position has been and may continue to be negatively affected by the ongoing unfavorable conditions in the real estate market, the substantial interest expense on our debt obligations and potential adverse changes in interest rates. Our liquidity position would also be adversely impacted by our inability to access our relocation securitization programs and could be adversely impacted by our inability to access the capital markets. In addition, our short-term liquidity position from time to time has been and may continue to be negatively affected by seasonal fluctuations in the residential real estate brokerage business. See Liquidity Risks Seasonality for additional information.

Although we have seen improvement in affordability and average homesale price, we are not certain whether these signs of stabilization will lead to a recovery. Factors that may negatively affect a housing recovery include:

higher mortgage rates as well as reduced availability of mortgage financing;

lower unit sales, due to the reluctance of first time homebuyers to purchase a home and move-up buyers having limited or negative equity in homes;

lower average homesale price, particularly if banks and other mortgage servicers liquidate foreclosed properties that they are currently holding;

continuing high levels of unemployment and associated lack of consumer confidence;

unsustainable economic recovery in the U.S. or a weak recovery resulting in only modest economic growth;

a lack of stability or improvement in home ownership levels in the U.S.; and

legislative or regulatory reform, including but not limited to reform that materially adversely impacts the financing of the U.S. housing market.

Consequently, we cannot predict when the residential real estate industry will return to a period of sustainable growth. Moreover, if the residential real estate market or the economy as a whole does not improve, we may experience further adverse effects on our business, financial condition and liquidity, including our ability to access capital.

At June 30, 2011, our primary sources of liquidity are cash flows from operations and funds available under the revolving credit facilities and our securitization facilities. Our primary liquidity needs will be to service our debt and finance our working capital and capital expenditures. Based upon the Company s financial forecast, the Company believes that it will meet its cash flow needs during the next twelve months.

We may need to incur additional debt or issue equity. Future indebtedness may impose various additional restrictions and covenants on us which could limit our ability to respond to market conditions, to provide for unanticipated capital investments or to take advantage of business opportunities. There can be no assurance that financing will be available to us on acceptable terms or at all. Our ability to make payments to fund working capital, capital expenditures, debt service, and strategic acquisitions will depend on our ability to generate cash in the future, which is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control.

We will continue to evaluate potential transactions to refinance certain tranches of our indebtedness, extend maturities and/or reduce first lien debt. There can be no assurance as to which, if any, of these alternatives we may pursue in the future as the pursuit of any alternative will depend upon numerous factors such as market conditions, our financial performance and the limitations applicable to such transactions under our existing financing agreements.

58

Cash Flows

At June 30, 2011, we had \$154 million of cash and cash equivalents, a decrease of \$38 million compared to the balance of \$192 million at December 31, 2010. The following table summarizes our cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

| | Six Mo | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|--|----------|---------------------------|----------|--|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Change | |
| Cash provided by (used in): | | | | |
| Operating activities | \$ (194) | \$ (38) | \$ (156) | |
| Investing activities | (24) | (26) | 2 | |
| Financing activities | 179 | 74 | 105 | |
| Effect of changes in exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents | 1 | | 1 | |
| | | | | |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | \$ (38) | \$ 10 | \$ (48) | |

For the six months ended June 30, 2011 we used \$156 million of additional cash in operations compared to the same period in 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, \$194 million of cash was used in operating activities due to negative cash flows from operating results of \$121 million after \$287 million of cash interest payments, an increase in trade receivables and relocation receivables of \$32 million and \$41 million, respectively and a reduction of due to former parent liabilities of \$23 million. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, \$38 million of cash was used in operating activities related to negative cash flows from operating results of \$51 million after \$274 million of cash interest payments and increases in trade receivables and relocation receivables of \$26 million and \$29 million, respectively, partially offset by an increase in accounts payable and a decrease in relocation properties held for sale of \$58 million and \$24 million, respectively.

For the six months ended June 30, 2011 we used \$2 million less cash for investing activities compared to the same period in 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, \$24 million of cash was used in investing activities primarily due to \$25 million of property and equipment additions and acquisition related payments of \$4 million, partially offset by net proceeds from certificates of deposit of \$9 million. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 \$26 million of cash was used in investing activities and was primarily due to \$21 million of property and equipment additions and the net purchase of certificates of deposit for \$10 million, partially offset by proceeds from the sale of assets of \$5 million.

For the six months ended June 30, 2011 we provided \$105 million more cash from financing activities compared to the same period in 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, \$179 million of cash was provided by financing activities and was comprised of \$700 million of proceeds from the issuance of the First and a Half Lien Notes, \$98 million related to the proceeds from the extension of the term loan facility and an increase in incremental revolver borrowings of \$125 million, partially offset by \$703 million of term loan facility repayments and the payment of \$34 million of debt issuance costs. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, \$74 million of cash was provided by financing activities and was comprised of \$110 million of proceeds from drawings on our unsecured revolving credit facilities, partially offset by \$16 million of term loan facility repayments and a \$13 million repayment of securitization obligations.

Financial Obligations

In connection with the closing of the Merger Transactions on April 10, 2007, Realogy entered into the Senior Secured Credit Facility consisting of (i) a \$3,170 million term loan facility, (ii) a \$750 million revolving credit facility, (iii) a \$525 million synthetic letter of credit facility (the facilities described in clauses (i), (ii) and (iii), as amended by the Senior Secured Credit Facility Amendment, collectively referred to as the First Lien Facilities), and (iv) a \$650 million incremental (or accordion) loan facility, which was utilized in connection with the incurrence of Second Lien Loans in 2009 described below.

Effective February 3, 2011, Realogy entered into the Senior Secured Credit Facility Amendment and an incremental assumption agreement, which resulted in the following:

certain lenders extended the maturity of a significant portion of first lien term loans, revolving commitments and synthetic letter of credit commitments to October 10, 2016, April 10, 2016, and October 10, 2016, respectively, resulting in approximately \$2,424 million aggregate principal amount of extended term loans, approximately \$461 million aggregate principal amount of commitments in respect of extended revolving loans and approximately \$171 million aggregate principal amount of extended synthetic letter of credit commitments;

certain lenders simultaneously converted approximately \$98 million aggregate principal amount of revolving commitments in respect of extended revolving loans to extended term loans, thereby reducing the commitments under the revolving credit facility to \$652 million;

the net proceeds of the \$700 million aggregate principal amount of First and a Half Lien Notes together with cash on hand were used to prepay \$700 million of the outstanding extended term loans, thereby reducing the aggregate principal amount of extended term loans to \$1,822 million;

the interest rate with respect to the extended term loans was increased by 1.25% from the rate applicable to the non-extended term loans;

the interest rate with respect to the extended revolving loans was increased by 1.0% from the rate applicable to the non-extended revolving loans; and

the fee with respect to the synthetic letter of credit facility was increased by 1.25% from the fee applicable to the non-extending synthetic letter of credit facility.

The Senior Secured Credit Facility Amendment also provides for the following:

allows for the incurrence of additional incremental term loans that are secured on a junior basis to the second lien loans in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$350 million;