SOTHEBYS Form 10-Q August 04, 2011 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)

OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2011

Commission File Number 1-9750

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 38-2478409 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1334 York Avenue 10021 New York, New York 10021 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code) Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (212) 606-7000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No $\ddot{}$

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of the Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes "No"

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filerxAccelerated filer"Non-accelerated filer" (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)Smaller reporting company"Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).Yes"No x

As of July 26, 2011, there were 67,553,008 outstanding shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of the registrant.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| Item 1. | Financial Statements (UNAUDITED): | |
|--------------------------------|---|----------|
| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 | 3 |
| | Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010 | 4 |
| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 | 5 |
| | Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | 6 |
| Item 2. | Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 21 |
| Item 3. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 37 |
| Item 4. | Controls and Procedures | 38 |
| | | |
| PART II: | OTHER INFORMATION | |
| PART II: Item 1. | OTHER INFORMATION Legal Proceedings | 38 |
| | | 38 39 |
| Item 1. | Legal Proceedings | |
| Item 1. Item 1A. | Legal Proceedings Risk Factors Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K | 39 |
| Item 1. Item 1A. Item 6. | Legal Proceedings <u>Risk Factors</u> <u>Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K</u> <u>E</u> | 39 43 |

PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SOTHEBY S

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(UNAUDITED)

(Thousands of dollars, except per share data)

| | Three Mor June 30, 2011 | nths Ended June 30, 2010 | Six Mont June 30, 2011 | hs Ended June 30, 2010 |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Auction and related revenues | \$ 353,564 | \$ 269,801 | \$ 463,925 | \$ 365,428 |
| Finance revenues | 3,184 | 2,023 | 6,099 | 4,636 |
| Dealer revenues | 11,642 | 8,337 | 16,257 | 10,857 |
| License fee revenues | 1,270 | 1,129 | 2,711 | 1,834 |
| Other revenues | 135 | 120 | 385 | 534 |
| Total revenues | 369,795 | 281,410 | 489,377 | 383,289 |
| Expenses: | | | | |
| Direct costs of services | 26,293 | 21,159 | 35,212 | 28,030 |
| Dealer cost of sales | 8,312 | 6,527 | 14,255 | 8,315 |
| Marketing expenses | 3,296 | 3,251 | 7,836 | 6,216 |
| Salaries and related costs | 96,504 | 74,321 | 148,462 | 120,939 |
| General and administrative expenses | 40,258 | 32,376 | 73,384 | 63,619 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 4,241 | 4,081 | 8,342 | 8,452 |
| Restructuring charges, net | 2,226 | (21) | 2,268 | 98 |
| Total expenses | 181,130 | 141,694 | 289,759 | 235,669 |
| Operating income | 188,665 | 139,716 | 199,618 | 147,620 |
| Interest income | 547 | 385 | 3,137 | 738 |
| Interest expense | (10,559) | (11,717) | (20,947) | (23,336) |
| Other expense | (765) | (933) | (369) | (872) |
| Income before taxes | 177,888 | 127.451 | 181,439 | 124,150 |
| Equity in earnings of investees, net of taxes | 152 | 36 | 53 | 244 |
| Income tax expense | 50,806 | 41,248 | 51,837 | 40,332 |
| Net income | \$ 127,234 | \$ 86,239 | \$ 129,655 | \$ 84,062 |
| Basic earnings per share - Sotheby s common shareholders (see Note 3) | \$ 1.87 | \$ 1.27 | \$ 1.91 | \$ 1.24 |
| Diluted earnings per share - Sotheby s common shareholders (see Note 3) | \$ 1.81 | \$ 1.26 | \$ 1.85 | \$ 1.22 |

| Weighted average basic shares outstanding | | 67,405 | | 66,337 | | 67,188 | 66,167 |
|---|----|--------|----|--------|----|--------|------------|
| Weighted average diluted shares outstanding | | 69,491 | | 67,041 | | 69,252 | 66,972 |
| Cash dividends paid per common share | \$ | 0.05 | \$ | 0.05 | \$ | 0.10 | \$ 0.10 |
| See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | | | | | | | |

SOTHEBY S

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Thousands of dollars)

| | June 30, 2011 (UNAUDITED) | December 31, 2010 | June 30, 2010 (UNAUDITED) |
|--|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| ASSETS | | | |
| Current Assets: | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 666,181 | \$ 483,663 | \$ 462,549 |
| Restricted cash | 50,286 | 18,812 | 31,864 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$4,984, \$4,716 and \$4,996 | 1,021,460 | 681,800 | 666,766 |
| Notes receivable, net of allowance for credit losses of \$834, \$971 and \$1,148 | 32,330 | 101,850 | 61,182 |
| Inventory | 126,687 | 126,534 | 143,416 |
| Deferred income taxes | 9,079 | 15,057 | 14,021 |
| Income tax receivable | 16.039 | 5,108 | 5,948 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 27,012 | 17,580 | 16,634 |
| Total Current Assets | 1,949,074 | 1,450,404 | 1,402,380 |
| | 1,949,074 | 1,430,404 | 1,+02,500 |
| Notes receivable | 162,691 | 175,423 | 91,951 |
| Fixed assets, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$155,428, \$147,280 and \$138,691 | 375,410 | 372,692 | 364,508 |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$6,532, | , | , | |
| \$5,846 and \$5,193 | 15,214 | 15,012 | 14,822 |
| Equity method investments | 14,931 | 15,154 | 16,337 |
| Deferred income taxes | 32,403 | 39,703 | 44,269 |
| Trust assets related to deferred compensation liability | 42,587 | 39,024 | 35,875 |
| Pension asset | 61,654 | 55,180 | 15,125 |
| Other long-term assets | 15,488 | 16,036 | 17,185 |
| Total Assets | \$ 2,669,452 | \$ 2,178,628 | \$ 2,002,452 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | | |
| Due to consignors | \$ 981,431 | \$ 675,586 | \$ 638,074 |
| Convertible debt, net | 16,611 | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 98,164 | 66,846 | 69,538 |
| Accrued salaries and related costs | 61,764 | 85,471 | 46,710 |
| Accrued income taxes | 47,580 | 40,109 | 31,849 |
| Deferred income taxes | 757 | 282 | 603 |
| Other current liabilities | 13,269 | 9,090 | 14,763 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 1,219,576 | 877,384 | 801,537 |
| Long-term debt, net | 460,640 | 472,862 | 516,883 |
| Deferred income taxes | 8,485 | 8,913 | 452 |
| Accrued income taxes | 4,640 | 4,932 | 12,083 |
| | .,010 | .,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | |

| Deferred compensation liability Other long-term liabilities | 40,254 6,899 | 36,176 6,853 | 32,394 6,854 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Total Liabilities | 1,740,494 | 1,407,120 | 1,370,203 |
| Commitments and contingencies (see Note 9) | | | |
| Shareholders Equity: | | | |
| Common Stock, \$0.01 par value | 675 | 673 | 668 |
| Authorized shares 200,000,000 | | | |
| Issued and outstanding shares 67,553,008, 67,320,667 and 67,007,643 | | | |
| Additional paid-in capital | 350,023 | 345,066 | 327,366 |
| Retained earnings | 567,502 | 444,717 | 374,568 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | 10,758 | (18,948) | (70,353) |
| Total Shareholders Equity | 928,958 | 771,508 | 632,249 |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity | \$ 2,669,452 | \$ 2,178,628 | \$ 2,002,452 |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

SOTHEBY S

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(UNAUDITED)

(Thousands of dollars)

| | Six Mont June 30, 2011 | hs Ended June 30, 2010 |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Operating Activities: Net income | \$ 129,655 | \$ 84,062 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 8,342 | 8,452 |
| Equity in earnings of investees | (53) | (244) |
| Deferred income tax expense | 10,273 | 3,783 |
| Share-based compensation | 10,399 | 10,636 |
| Net pension benefit | (2,797) | (1,826) |
| Asset provisions | 4,738 | 2,956 |
| Amortization of discount on debt | 5,838 | 5,483 |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based payments | (7,242) | 0,100 |
| Other | 439 | 302 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (336,013) | (316,942) |
| Due to consignors | 303,347 | 298,649 |
| Inventory | 68 | (10,147) |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | (2,021) | 1,814 |
| Other long-term assets | (3,226) | 3,598 |
| Income tax receivable and deferred income tax assets | (1,736) | (962) |
| Accrued income taxes and deferred income tax liabilities | 6,730 | 29,469 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities and other liabilities | 15,013 | 27,171 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 141,754 | 146,254 |
| Investing Activities: | | |
| Funding of notes receivable | (76,460) | (104,170) |
| Collections of notes receivable | 164,444 | 121,070 |
| Capital expenditures | (8,546) | (6,954) |
| Distributions from equity investees | 320 | 1,160 |
| Increase in restricted cash | (30,637) | (9,868) |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 49,121 | 1,238 |
| Financing Activities: | | |
| Dividends paid | (6,871) | (6,881) |
| Repayments of York Property Mortgage | (1,302) | (-,-,-) |
| Proceeds from exercise of employee stock options | 2,566 | 9,347 |
| | 7,242 | |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based payments | 1,242 | |

Net cash used by financing activities

Table of Contents

(3, 834)

(16,816)

| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | 8,459 | (2,688) |
|--|------------|------------|
| Increase in cash and cash equivalents | 182,518 | 140,970 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 483,663 | 321,579 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 666,181 | \$ 462,549 |

Supplemental information on non-cash financing activities:

See Note 6 for information regarding the \$8.1 million current asset related to Sotheby s Convertible Note Hedges and the \$4 million current liability related to the embedded conversion option in Sotheby s 3.125% Convertible Notes. These amounts were recorded in the second quarter of 2011, with offsetting amounts recorded in Shareholders Equity and Deferred Income Taxes.

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

SOTHEBY S

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

1. Basis of Presentation

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included herein have been prepared by Sotheby s pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States of America (the U.S.) have been condensed or omitted from this report, as is permitted by such rules and regulations; however, management of Sotheby s believes that the disclosures herein are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. It is suggested that these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in Sotheby s 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

2. Seasonality of Business

The worldwide art auction market has two principal selling seasons, which generally occur in the second and fourth quarters of the year. Accordingly, Sotheby s auction business is seasonal, with peak revenues and operating income generally occurring in those quarters. Consequently, first and third quarter results have historically reflected a lower volume of auction activity when compared to the second and fourth quarters and, typically, a net loss due to the fixed nature of many of Sotheby s operating expenses.

3. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share Basic earnings per share attributable to Sotheby s common shareholders is computed under the two-class method using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. The two-class method requires that the amount of net income attributable to participating securities be deducted from consolidated net income in the computation of basic earnings per share. In periods with a net loss, the net loss attributable to participating securities is not deducted from consolidated net loss in the computation of basic loss per share as the impact would be anti-dilutive. Sotheby s participating securities include unvested share-based payments to employees that have non-forfeitable rights to dividends such as restricted stock and restricted stock units (see Note 12).

Diluted earnings per share Diluted earnings per share attributable to Sotheby s common shareholders is computed in a similar manner to basic earnings per share under the two-class method, using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period and, if dilutive, potential common shares outstanding during the period. Sotheby s potential common shares include the following:

Unvested performance share units and incremental common shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options. Such potential common shares are included in the computation of diluted earnings per share using the treasury stock method.

Deferred stock units issued pursuant to the Sotheby s Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors.

In reporting periods during which the average trading price of Sotheby s Common Stock exceeds the \$34 per share conversion price of its 3.125% Convertible Notes (the Convertible Notes), the net shares that would be delivered to settle the conversion premium assuming all of the Convertible Notes are redeemed.

In reporting periods during which the average trading price of Sotheby s Common Stock exceeds the \$44.905 per share exercise price of the warrants that were sold in conjunction with the issuance of the Convertible Notes (the Warrants), the net shares that would be delivered to settle the Warrants as calculated under the treasury stock method.

During the first and second quarters of 2011, the average trading price of Sotheby s Common Stock exceeded the \$34 per share conversion price of the Convertible Notes. As a result, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, 1.4 million shares of Sotheby s Common Stock were

included in the calculation of weighted average diluted shares outstanding related to the Convertible Notes. (See Note 6 for additional information related to the Convertible Notes.)

During the first quarter of 2011, the average trading price of Sotheby s Common Stock exceeded the \$44.905 per share exercise price of the Warrants. However, the average trading price of Sotheby s Common Stock did not exceed the exercise price of the Warrants in the second quarter of 2011. As a result, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, zero and 31,000 shares of Sotheby s Common Stock, respectively, were included in the calculation of weighted average diluted shares outstanding related to the Warrants. (See Note 6 for additional information related to the Warrants.)

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, no potential common shares were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share.

The table below summarizes the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands of dollars, except per share amounts):

| | Th | ree Months H 2011 | Ended | l June 30, 2010 | Si | x Months Ei 2011 | nded . | June 30, 2010 |
|---|----|----------------------|-------|--------------------|----|---------------------|--------|------------------|
| Basic: | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator: | | | | | | | | |
| Net income attributable to Sotheby s | \$ | 127,234 | \$ | | \$ | 129,655 | \$ | 84,062 |
| Less: Net income attributable to participating securities | | 1,237 | | 1,905 | | 1,602 | | 2,176 |
| Net income attributable to Sotheby s common shareholders | \$ | 125,997 | \$ | 84,334 | \$ | 128,053 | \$ | 81,886 |
| Denominator: | | | | | | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | | 67,405 | | 66,337 | | 67,188 | | 66,167 |
| Basic earnings per share - Sotheby s common shareholders | \$ | 1.87 | \$ | 1.27 | \$ | 1.91 | \$ | 1.24 |
| Diluted: | | | | | | | | |
| Numerator: | | | | | | | | |
| Net income attributable to Sotheby s | \$ | 127,234 | \$ | 86,239 | \$ | 129,655 | \$ | 84,062 |
| Less: Net income attributable to participating securities | | 1,201 | | 1,886 | | 1,556 | | 2,152 |
| Net income attributable to Sotheby s common shareholders | \$ | 126,033 | \$ | 84,353 | \$ | 128,099 | \$ | 81,910 |
| Denominator: | | | | | | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | | 67,405 | | 66,337 | | 67,188 | | 66,167 |
| Weighted average dilutive potential common shares outstanding | | 2,086 | | 704 | | 2,064 | | 805 |
| Denominator for calculation of diluted earnings per share | | 69,491 | | 67,041 | | 69,252 | | 66,972 |
| Diluted earnings per share - Sotheby s common shareholders | \$ | 1.81 | \$ | 1.26 | \$ | 1.85 | \$ | 1.22 |

4. Segment Reporting

Sotheby s operations are organized under three segments Auction, Finance and Dealer. The table below presents Sotheby s revenues and income (loss) before taxes by segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands of dollars):

| | Auction | Finance | Dealer | All Other | Reconciling items | Total |
|------------------------------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|------------|
| Three months ended June 30, 2011 | ruction | Tinunce | Deuter | | items | Iou |
| Revenues | \$ 353,564 | \$ 4,401 | \$ 11,642 | \$ 1,405 | (\$1,217) | \$ 369,795 |
| Segment income before taxes | \$ 170,688 | \$ 4,885 | \$ 1,508 | \$ 1,083 | (\$276) | \$ 177,888 |
| Three months ended June 30, 2010 | | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 269,801 | \$ 4,565 | \$ 8,337 | \$ 1,249 | (\$2,542) | \$281,410 |
| Segment income before taxes | \$ 123,854 | \$ 2,759 | \$ 3 | \$ 890 | (\$55) | \$ 127,451 |
| Six months ended June 30, 2011 | | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 463,925 | \$ 8,686 | \$ 16,257 | \$ 3,096 | (\$2,587) | \$ 489,377 |
| Segment income (loss) before taxes | \$ 171,823 | \$ 8,323 | (\$973) | \$ 2,363 | (\$97) | \$ 181,439 |
| Six months ended June 30, 2010 | | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 365,428 | \$ 8,741 | \$ 10,857 | \$ 2,368 | (\$4,105) | \$ 383,289 |
| Segment income (loss) before taxes | \$ 118,444 | \$ 6,009 | (\$1,325) | \$ 1,396 | (\$374) | \$ 124,150 |

The reconciling item related to Revenues represents charges from the Finance segment to the Auction segment for short-term, interest-free or other below market consignor advances with maturities typically ranging between 3 and 6 months (see Note 5). The reconciling item related to segment income (loss) before taxes represents Sotheby s pre-tax share of earnings related to its equity investees, which is included in the Dealer segment.

The table below presents assets for Sotheby s segments, as well as a reconciliation of segment assets to consolidated assets as of June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010 (in thousands of dollars):

| | June 30, 2011 | Dece | mber 31, 2010 | June 30, 2010 |
|---|---------------|------|---------------|---------------|
| Auction | \$ 2,306,823 | \$ | 1,784,045 | \$ 1,682,993 |
| Finance | 197,933 | | 244,463 | 153,010 |
| Dealer | 105,595 | | 88,845 | 100,516 |
| All Other | 1,580 | | 1,407 | 1,695 |
| Total segment assets | 2,611,931 | | 2,118,760 | 1,938,214 |
| Unallocated amounts: | | | | |
| Deferred tax assets and income tax receivable | 57,521 | | 59,868 | 64,238 |
| Consolidated assets | \$ 2,669,452 | \$ | 2,178,628 | \$ 2,002,452 |

5. <u>Receivables</u>

Accounts Receivable In its role as auctioneer, Sotheby s represents sellers of artworks by accepting property on consignment and by matching sellers to buyers through the auction process. Sotheby s invoices the buyer for the purchase price of the property (including the commission owed by the buyer), collects payment from the buyer and remits to the seller the net sale proceeds after deducting its commissions, expenses and applicable taxes and royalties. Sotheby s commission include those paid by the buyer (buyer s premium) and those paid by the seller (seller s commission) (collectively, auction commission revenue), both of which are calculated as a percentage of the hammer price of the property sold at auction.

Under Sotheby s standard payment terms, payments from buyers are due no more than 30 days from the sale date and consignor payments are made 35 days from the sale date. However, extended payment terms are sometimes provided to buyers in order to support and market a sale. Such terms typically extend the payment due date from 30 days to a date that is no greater than one year from the sale date. When providing extended payment terms, Sotheby s attempts to match the timing of receipt from the buyer with payment to the consignor, but is not always

Table of Contents

successful in doing so.

Under the standard terms and conditions of its auction sales, Sotheby s is not obligated to pay consignors for property that has not been paid for by buyers. If a buyer defaults on payment, the sale may be cancelled and the property will be returned to the consignor. Alternatively, the consignor may reoffer the property at a future auction or negotiate a private sale. However, in certain limited instances and subject to approval under Sotheby s policy, the consignor may be paid before payment is collected from the buyer and/or the buyer is allowed to take possession of the property before payment is received. In situations when the buyer takes possession of the property before payment is received, Sotheby s is liable to the seller for the net sale proceeds whether or not the buyer makes payment. As of June 30, 2011, net Accounts Receivable of approximately \$1 billion includes \$83.4 million related to situations in which buyers have taken possession of the property before making payment to Sotheby s. Management believes that adequate allowances have been established to provide for potential losses on any uncollected amounts.

Notes Receivable Through its Finance segment, Sotheby s provides certain collectors and art dealers with financing secured by works of art that it either has in its possession or permits borrowers to possess. The Finance segment generally makes two types of secured loans: (1) advances secured by consigned property to borrowers who are contractually committed, in the near term, to sell the property at auction (a consignor advance); and (2) general purpose term loans secured by property not presently intended for sale (a term loan). A consignor advance allows a seller to receive funds upon consignment for an auction that will typically occur up to one year in the future, while preserving for the benefit of the seller the potential of the auction process. Term loans allow Sotheby s to establish or enhance mutually beneficial relationships with borrowers and are intended to generate future auction consignments, although such loans do not always result in consignments. Secured loans are typically made with full recourse against the borrower.

The collection of secured loans can be adversely impacted by a decline in the art market in general or in the value of the particular collateral. In addition, in situations when there are competing claims on the collateral and/or when a borrower becomes subject to bankruptcy or insolvency laws, Sotheby s ability to realize on its collateral may be limited or delayed.

Sotheby s target loan-to-value ratio (LTV), which is defined as the principal loan amount divided by the low auction estimate of the collateral, is 50% or lower. However, loans are sometimes made at an initial LTV higher than 50%. In addition, as a result of the periodic revaluation of loan collateral, the LTV of certain loans may increase above the 50% target due to decreases in the low auction estimates of the collateral. The revaluation of loan collateral is performed by Sotheby s specialists on an annual basis or more frequently if there is a material change in circumstances related to the loan or the disposal plans for the collateral. Management believes that the LTV ratio is the critical credit quality indicator for Finance segment secured loans. As of June 30, 2011 and 2010, the aggregate LTV of Finance segment secured loans with an LTV above 50% totaled \$90 million and represented 46% of net Notes Receivable. The collateral related to these loans had a low auction estimate of \$156.9 million. As of June 30, 2010, Finance segment secured loans with an LTV above 50% totaled \$60.5 million, and the collateral related to these loans had a low auction estimate of \$156.9 million.

From 2008 through June 30, 2011, Sotheby s recognized \$0.1 million of cumulative losses related to specific impaired Finance segment secured loans. The tables below provide other credit quality information regarding Finance segment secured loans as of June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands of dollars):

| | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | June 30, 2010 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Total secured loans | \$ 192,717 | \$ 241,631 | \$ 144,425 |
| Loans past due | \$ 20,183 | \$ 23,888 | \$ 31,209 |
| Loans more than 90 days past due accruing interest | \$ 17,290 | \$ 19,663 | \$ 21,550 |
| Non-accrual loans | \$ 893 | \$ 1,028 | \$ 1,142 |
| Impaired loans | \$ 0 | \$ 137 | \$ 100 |
| | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | June 30, 2010 |
| Allowance for credit losses - impaired loans | \$ 0 | \$ 137 | \$ 100 |
| Allowance for credit losses based on historical data | 834 | 834 | 1,048 |
| Total allowance for credit losses - secured loans | \$ 834 | \$ 971 | \$ 1,148 |

Management considers a loan to be past due when principal payments are not paid in accordance with the stated terms of the loan. As of June 30, 2011, \$18.2 million of the net Notes Receivable balance was considered to be past due, of which almost the entire balance was more than 90 days past due and still accruing interest. The collateral related to these secured loans has a low auction estimate of approximately \$57.4 million. In consideration of the value of the collateral related to these loans, current collateral disposal plans and negotiations with the borrowers, management believes that the principal and interest amounts due for these loans will be collected.

If a loan is considered to be impaired, it is placed on non-accrual status, and Finance Revenue is no longer recognized. The recognition of Finance Revenue may resume on a non-accrual loan if sufficient additional collateral is provided by the borrower. If sufficient additional collateral is not provided by the borrower, any cash receipts subsequently received thereafter on impaired loans are directly applied to reduce the recorded investment in the loan.

During the period January 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011, activity related to the allowance for credit losses is as follows (in thousands of dollars):

| Allowance for credit losses as of January 1, 2010 | \$ 1,028 |
|---|----------|
| Change in loan loss provision | (57) |
| | |
| Allowance for credit losses at December 31, 2010 | 971 |
| Change in loan loss provision | |
| Write-offs | (137) |
| | |
| Allowance for credit losses as of June 30, 2011 | \$ 834 |

Sotheby s is obligated under the terms of certain auction guarantees (see Note 10) to advance a portion of the guaranteed amount prior to auction. Such Auction segment advances are recorded on Sotheby s balance sheet within Notes Receivable. As of June 30, 2011 and 2010, there were no such advances outstanding. On rare occasions, in order to attract future consignments, Sotheby s also makes unsecured loans to clients. The table above does not include unsecured loans of \$3.1 million and \$9.7 million as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The Finance segment s loans are predominantly variable interest rate loans; however, short-term, interest-free advances with maturities typically ranging between 3 and 6 months are provided to certain consignors in order to secure high-value property for auctions and can represent a significant portion of the client loan portfolio as of the end of certain quarterly reporting periods in advance of peak selling seasons. For the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the weighted average interest rates earned on Notes Receivable were 5.4% and 4.2%, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the weighted average interest rates earned on Notes Receivable were 5% and 5.2%, respectively. The carrying value of the loan portfolio approximates its fair value.

As of June 30, 2011, loans to entities related to one borrower totaled \$50.2 million, which comprised approximately 26% of the net Notes Receivable balance. As of June 30, 2011, loans to two other borrowers of \$27.7 million and \$20 million comprised approximately 14% and 10%, respectively, of the net Notes Receivable balance.

6. <u>Debt</u>

Revolving Credit Facility On August 31, 2009, Sotheby s and certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries (collectively, the Borrowers) entered into a credit agreement (the Credit Agreement) with an international syndicate of lenders led by General Electric Capital Corporation (GE Capital). The Credit Agreement was amended by Sotheby s, GE Capital and the lenders named therein (collectively, the Lenders) on November 23, 2010. This amendment, among other things, extended the maturity date of the Credit Agreement, reduced borrowing costs and fees, and provided additional flexibility to Sotheby s.

The following summary does not purport to be a complete summary of the Credit Agreement, as amended, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Credit Agreement and each of the respective amendments, copies of which were filed as exhibits to previous SEC filings. Terms used, but not defined in this summary, have the meanings set forth in the Credit Agreement and each of the respective amendments.

The Credit Agreement, as amended, provides for a \$200 million revolving credit facility (the Revolving Credit Facility), subject to a borrowing base, and has a feature whereby any time on or before March 1, 2014 the Borrowers may request, with Lenders approval, to increase the borrowing capacity of the Credit Agreement, as amended, by an amount not to exceed \$50 million. In addition, up to \$10 million of the

Revolving Credit Facility may be used to issue letters of credit. Sotheby s has not borrowed or issued any letters of credit under the Revolving Credit Facility since its inception. As of June 30, 2011, the amount of available borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility was approximately \$98.3 million, as calculated under the borrowing base provided by the Credit Agreement, as amended.

Borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility are available in either Dollars to U.S. Borrowers or Pounds Sterling to United Kingdom (U.K.) Borrowers. The U.S. Borrowers and, subject to certain limitations, the U.K. Borrowers, are jointly and severally liable for all obligations under the Credit Agreement, as amended. In addition, certain subsidiaries of the Borrowers guarantee the obligations of the Borrowers under the Credit Agreement, as amended. The obligations under the Credit Agreement, as amended, are secured by liens on all or substantially all of the personal property of the Borrowers and the Guarantors.

Borrowings are, at the Borrowers option, either Dollar Index Rate Loans (for U.S. Borrowers only) or LIBOR Rate Loans. Dollar Index Rate Loans bear interest at a rate per annum equal to (a) the highest of (i) the Prime Rate as quoted in *The Wall Street Journal*, (ii) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.50%, or (iii) the LIBOR Rate plus 1.0%, *plus* (b) the Applicable Margin, as defined in the Credit Agreement, as amended, and which is generally 1.50% to 2.00% based upon the level of outstanding borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility. The LIBOR Rate for Dollars or Sterling, as the case may be, for an interest period is equal to (x) the offered rate for deposits in such currency for a period equal to such interest period on the Reuters Screen LIBOR01 Page, plus (y) the Applicable Margin, as defined in the Credit Agreement, as amended, and which is generally 2.50% to 3.00% based upon the level of outstanding borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility.

The Credit Agreement, as amended, contains certain customary affirmative and negative covenants including, but not limited to, limitations on capital expenditures, limitations on net outstanding auction guarantees and limitations on the use of proceeds from borrowings under the Credit Agreement, as amended. The Credit Agreement, as amended, also restricts quarterly dividend payments to the lesser of \$0.10 per share or \$8 million. However, the maximum level of quarterly dividend payments may be increased depending upon Sotheby s achievement of a certain Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio in any period. Management believes that Sotheby s is in compliance with the covenants and terms of the Credit Agreement, as amended.

The Credit Agreement, as amended, also contains certain financial covenants, which are only applicable during certain compliance periods. These financial covenants were not applicable for the twelve month period ended June 30, 2011.

The maturity date of the Credit Agreement, as amended, is September 1, 2014, subject to Sotheby s meeting certain liquidity requirements during the period commencing on December 15, 2012 and ending on the date Sotheby s 3.125% Convertible Notes (the Convertible Notes) are converted or discharged.

Long-Term Debt As of June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010, Long-Term Debt consisted of the following (in thousands of dollars):

| | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | June 30, 2010 |
|---|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| York Property Mortgage, net of unamortized discount | | | |
| of \$14,257, \$16,039 and \$17,822 | \$ 218,020 | \$ 217,538 | \$ 217,178 |
| Senior Notes, net of unamortized discount of \$829, | | | |
| \$898 and \$1,398 | 79,143 | 79,073 | 126,852 |
| Convertible Notes, net of unamortized discount of | | | |
| \$16,678, \$20,665 and \$24,404 | 183,222 | 179,335 | 175,596 |
| Less current portion: | | | |
| York Property Mortgage | (3,134) | (3,084) | (2,743) |
| Convertible Notes, net of unamortized discount of | | | |
| \$1,521 | (16,611) | | |
| | | | |
| Total Long-Term Debt | \$ 460,640 | \$ 472,862 | \$ 516,883 |

(See the captioned sections below for detailed information related to the York Property Mortgage, Senior Notes and Convertible Notes.)

York Property Mortgage On February 6, 2009, Sotheby s purchased the land and building located at 1334 York Avenue, New York, New York (the York Property) from RFR Holding Corp. (RFR) for a purchase price of \$370 million. The York Property is home to Sotheby s sole North American auction salesroom and its principal North American exhibition space, as well as its corporate headquarters.

Sotheby s financed the \$370 million purchase price through an initial \$50 million cash payment made in conjunction with the signing of the related purchase and sale agreement on January 11, 2008, an \$85 million cash payment made when the purchase was consummated on February 6, 2009 and the assumption of an existing \$235 million mortgage on the York Property (the York Property Mortgage). Sotheby s also agreed to give the principals of RFR favorable consignment terms for the future sale of art at Sotheby s auctions. Management estimated the value of these terms to be approximately \$3.8 million.

The York Property Mortgage matures on July 1, 2035, but has an optional pre-payment date of July 1, 2015 and bears an annual rate of interest of approximately 5.6%, which increases subsequent to July 1, 2015. It is Sotheby s current intention to either pre-pay or refinance the mortgage on or about July 1, 2015. In conjunction with the final accounting for the York Property purchase in February 2009, the York Property Mortgage was recorded on Sotheby s balance sheet at its \$212.1 million fair value. The fair value of the York Property Mortgage was computed using a discounted cash flow approach based on a market rate of interest, which was estimated by management. The resulting \$22.9 million debt discount is being amortized to interest expense over the remaining expected term of the loan. Sotheby s paid fees of \$2.4 million in conjunction with the assumption of the York Property Mortgage, which are also being amortized to Interest Expense over the remaining expected term of the loan.

As of June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010, the carrying value of the York Property Mortgage was \$218 million, \$217.5 million and \$217.2 million, respectively. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the current liability related to the York Property Mortgage was \$3.1 million. As of June 30, 2010, the current liability related to the York Property Mortgage was \$2.7 million. The current portion of the York Property Mortgage is reflected on Sotheby s balance sheet within Other Current Liabilities. As of June 30, 2011, the fair value of the York Property Mortgage was approximately \$232 million.

The York Property and the York Property Mortgage are held by 1334 York, LLC, a separate legal entity of Sotheby s that maintains its own books and records and whose results are ultimately consolidated into Sotheby s financial statements. The assets of 1334 York, LLC are not available to satisfy the obligations of other Sotheby s affiliates or any other entity.

Senior Notes On June 17, 2008, Sotheby s issued \$150 million aggregate principal amount of 7.75% Senior Notes, due June 15, 2015. The net proceeds from the issuance of the Senior Notes were approximately \$145.9 million, after deducting the initial purchasers discounts and fees. The Senior Notes have an effective interest rate of 8%. Interest on the Senior Notes is payable semi-annually in cash on June 15 and December 15 of each year.

Through December 31, 2010, Sotheby s had repurchased an aggregate principal amount of \$70 million of its Senior Notes for a purchase price of \$65.3 million. These repurchases have resulted in a life-to-date non-cash net gain of approximately \$2. 5million, net of fees, consisting of a \$7.8 million gain recognized in the fourth quarter of 2008, a \$1 million gain recognized in the first quarter of 2009 and a \$6.3 million loss recognized in the fourth quarter of 2010. There have been no Senior Notes repurchases in 2011. As of June 30, 2011, the Senior Notes had a fair value of approximately \$87.6 million based on a broker quoted price.

Convertible Notes On June 17, 2008, Sotheby s issued \$200 million aggregate principal amount of 3.125% Convertible Notes, due June 15, 2013. The net proceeds from the issuance of the Convertible Notes were approximately \$194.3 million, after deducting transaction costs. Each of Sotheby s existing and future domestic subsidiaries have jointly, severally, fully and unconditionally guaranteed the Convertible Notes on a senior unsecured basis to the extent such subsidiaries guarantee borrowings under the Credit Agreement, as amended.

Interest on the Convertible Notes is payable semi-annually in cash on June 15 and December 15 of each year. So theby s may not redeem the Convertible Notes prior to their stated maturity date. As of June 30, 2011, the Convertible Notes had a fair value of approximately \$283.3 million based on a broker quoted price.

Upon conversion, the principal amount of the Convertible Notes is payable in cash, shares of Sotheby s Common Stock, or a combination thereof, at the option of Sotheby s, based on an initial conversion rate of 29.4122 shares of Common Stock per \$1,000 principal amount of Convertible Notes, which is equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$34 per share (the Conversion Price). The maximum number of shares of Common Stock that may be issued upon conversion is approximately 5.8 million shares. The conversion rate for the Convertible Notes is subject to adjustment for certain events.

The Convertible Notes may be converted at any time beginning on March 15, 2013 and ending on the close of business on June 14, 2013. Prior to March 15, 2013, the Convertible Notes may only be converted under certain conditions, including, if during any fiscal quarter (and only during such fiscal quarter), the closing price of Sotheby s Common Stock exceeds \$44.20 per share (i.e., 130% of the Conversion Price) for at least 20 trading days in the period of 30 consecutive trading days at the end of the previous quarter (the Stock Price Trigger). Upon conversion, Sotheby s will pay or deliver, as the case may be, cash, shares of Common Stock, or a combination thereof, at its election. It is Sotheby s current intent and policy to settle up to the principal amount of the Convertible Notes in cash. However, depending on the level of available cash and Sotheby s liquidity, as well as the amount of conversion requests received at any one time, management may elect to settle the entire Conversion Obligation (i.e., principal amount plus conversion premium) in cash.

On April 1, 2011, the trustee for the Convertible Notes notified bondholders that the Convertible Notes would be convertible at their option for a period beginning on April 1, 2011 and ending on June 30, 2011 as a result of the closing price of Sotheby s Common Stock exceeding \$44.20 for 20 trading days in the 30 consecutive trading days ending on March 31, 2011.

In June 2011, Sotheby s received conversion requests totaling a principal amount of \$18.1 million from holders of the Convertible Notes. Sotheby s has notified these holders that it will pay the entire Conversion Obligation in cash. In accordance with the terms of the Convertible Notes, the Conversion Obligation will be based on a formula, which is the sum of 1/30th of the product of the applicable conversion rate and the daily per share volume weighted average stock price for 30 consecutive trading days beginning three trading days after the conversion request is received from the trustee. Management estimates that the Conversion Obligation related to these conversion requests will be approximately \$24 million (\$18.1 million related to principal and approximately \$6 million related to the conversion premium). Sotheby s will simultaneously recover the cash paid to fund the conversion premium through its exercise of a portion of the Convertible Note Hedges (see discussion below). The settlement of the June 2011 conversion requests and the corresponding Convertible Note Hedges will occur in August 2011. Management does not expect the settlement of these transactions to have a material effect on Sotheby s future earnings.

Upon the adoption of Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 470-20, *Debt Debt With Conversion and Other Options*, the liability and equity components of the Convertible Notes were separately accounted for in Sotheby's financial statements. The liability component was initially valued at \$161.8 million using Sotheby's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate, which was estimated to be 7.75% at the date of adoption, and was accounted for as Long-Term Debt. The equity component (i.e., the embedded conversion option) was initially valued at \$38.2 million (\$21 million, net of taxes) and was accounted for as a component of Additional Paid-In Capital within Shareholders Equity. The corresponding debt discount is being amortized to Interest Expense over the life of the Convertible Notes using the effective interest rate method. The amount recorded in Shareholders Equity is not remeasured as long as it continues to meet the conditions for equity classification. As of June 30, 2011, the unamortized discount related to the Convertible Notes was \$16.8 million. To the extent that any Convertible Notes are converted prior to June 15, 2013, a pro rata portion of the unamortized discount and deferred transaction costs relating to such conversions would be written off in the period during which the conversions are settled.

As of June 30, 2011, management evaluated the embedded conversion option in the Convertible Notes and concluded that a portion of the amount recorded in Shareholders Equity no longer met the conditions for equity classification as a result of Sotheby s irrevocable election to settle the Conversion Obligation related to the June 2011 conversion requests solely in cash. Accordingly, as of June 30, 2011, the \$4.1 million fair value of this portion of the embedded conversion option was recorded in Other Current Liabilities, with a corresponding reduction to Shareholders Equity of \$2.6 million, net of taxes. Management evaluated the portion of the embedded conversion option that will remain after the settlement of the June 2011 conversion requests as of June 30, 2011 and concluded that it should not be accounted for separately as a derivative financial instrument because it continues to be indexed to Sotheby s Common Stock. Accordingly, this portion of the embedded conversion option in the Convertible Notes was not remeasured and remains recorded as a component of Additional Paid-In Capital within Shareholders Equity. As of June 30, 2011, the amount recorded in Additional Paid-In Capital related to the embedded conversion option was \$34.1 million (\$18.4 million, net of taxes). As of December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010, this amount was \$38.2 million (\$21 million, net of taxes).

The Stock Price Trigger was not met during the second quarter of 2011. Therefore, as of June 30, 2011, \$16.6 million of the Convertible Notes (representing the principal amount of the Convertible Notes to be redeemed in the third quarter of 2011, net of unamortized discount) is reflected within Current Liabilities and the remaining carrying amount of Convertible Notes (\$166.6 million) is reported within Long-Term Debt on Sotheby s balance sheet. The Convertible Notes may again be classified within Current Liabilities if the Stock Price Trigger is met in future fiscal quarters.

Convertible Note Hedge and Warrant Transactions On June 11, 2008, in conjunction with the issuance of the Convertible Notes, Sotheby s entered into convertible note hedge transactions (the Convertible Note Hedges) that allow Sotheby s to purchase its Common Stock from affiliates of Bank of America and Goldman Sachs & Co. (collectively, the Counterparties) at a price equal to the Conversion Price of the Convertible Notes. The Convertible Note Hedges cover, subject to customary anti-dilution adjustments, approximately 5.8 million shares of Common Stock. The Convertible Note Hedges may be settled in cash, Sotheby s Common Stock, or a combination thereof, and are intended to offset the impact of any conversion premium payable upon potential future conversions of the Convertible Note Hedges will allow Sotheby s to recover this amount. In the event that Sotheby s elects to settle the conversion premium related to as settle the conversion premium related to any Convertible Note Hedges will offset the dilutive impact of any shares issued. The Convertible Note Hedges will offset the dilutive impact of any shares issued. The Convertible Note Hedges will offset the dilutive impact of any shares issued. The Convertible Note Hedges expire upon the maturity of the Convertible Notes.

As discussed above, in June 2011, Sotheby s received conversion requests totaling a principal amount of \$18.1 million from holders of the Convertible Notes. As a result, in June 2011, Sotheby s exercised the portion of the Convertible Note Hedges related to these conversion requests and will recover the cash paid to fund the conversion premium. The settlement of the June 2011 conversion requests and the corresponding Convertible Note Hedges will occur in August 2011. Management does not expect the settlement of these transactions to have a material effect on Sotheby s future earnings.

The Convertible Note Hedges initially met all of the applicable criteria for equity classification and, as a result, in June 2008, the related \$40.6 million cost (\$22.5 million, net of taxes) was recorded on Sotheby s balance sheet as a component of Additional Paid-In Capital within Shareholders Equity. As of June 30, 2011, management evaluated the Convertible Note Hedges and concluded that a portion of the amount recorded in Shareholders Equity no longer met the conditions for equity classification as a result of the irrevocable election to settle the June 2011 exercises of the Convertible Note Hedges solely in cash. Accordingly, as of June 30, 2011, the \$8.2 million fair value of this portion of the Convertible Note Hedges was recorded in Other Current Assets, with a corresponding increase to Shareholders Equity of \$5.3 million, net of taxes. Management evaluated the portion of the Convertible Note Hedges that will remain after the settlement of the June 2011 exercises as of June 30, 2011 and concluded that it should not be accounted for separately as a derivative financial instrument because it continues to be indexed to Sotheby s Common Stock. Accordingly, this portion of the Convertible Note Hedges was not remeasured and remains recorded as a component of Additional Paid-In Capital within Shareholders Equity.

On June 11, 2008, Sotheby s also entered into warrant transactions, whereby it sold to the Counterparties Warrants to acquire, subject to customary anti-dilution adjustments, approximately 5.8 million shares of Common Stock at \$44.905 per share that may only be exercised beginning on the June 15, 2013 maturity date of the Convertible Notes. As of June 11, 2008, the Warrants met all of the applicable criteria for equity classification and, as a result, the \$22.3 million in net proceeds received from the sale of the Warrants was recorded on Sotheby s balance sheet within Additional Paid-In Capital in Shareholders Equity. As of June 30, 2011, management evaluated the Warrants and concluded that they should not be accounted for separately as a derivative financial instrument because they continue to be indexed to Sotheby s Common Stock. Accordingly, the \$22.3 million in net proceeds received from the sale of the Warrants remains recorded as a component of Additional Paid-In Capital within Shareholders Equity.

Future Principal and Interest Payments As of June 30, 2011, the aggregate future principal and interest payments due under the York Property Mortgage, the Convertible Notes and the Senior Notes are as follows (in thousands of dollars):

| July 2011 to June 2012 | \$ 45,851 |
|------------------------|-----------|
| July 2012 to June 2013 | 209,769 |
| July 2013 to June 2014 | 22,202 |
| July 2014 to June 2015 | 102,173 |
| July 2015 to June 2016 | 219,516 |

Total future principal and interest payments

Interest Expense For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, Interest Expense consisted of the following (in thousands of dollars):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | Six Months Ene June 30, | | ded | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------|------|----------------------------|---------|-------|-----|-------|
| | 2 | 011 | 2010 | | 2010 20 | | 2 | 2010 |
| Revolving credit facility: | | | | | | | | |
| Amortization of amendment and arrangement fees | \$ | 436 | \$ | 674 | \$ | 881 | \$ | 1,345 |
| Commitment fees | | 316 | | 506 | | 622 | | 989 |
| Sub-total | | 752 | | 1,180 | | 1,503 | | 2,334 |
| York Property Mortgage | | 4,213 | | 4,240 | | 8,401 | | 8,444 |
| Senior Notes | | 1,585 | | 2,537 | | 3,169 | | 5,073 |
| Convertible Notes | | 3,524 | | 3,379 | | 7,012 | | 6,723 |
| Other interest expense | | 485 | | 381 | | 862 | | 762 |
| Total interest expense | \$1 | 0,559 | \$1 | 1,717 | \$2 | 0,947 | \$2 | 3,336 |

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, Other interest expense consisted primarily of the amortization of debt issuance costs related to the Senior Notes and Convertible Notes.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, Interest Expense related to the Convertible Notes consisted of the following (in thousands of dollars):

| | | nths Ended e 30, | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|---------------------|------------------------------|----------|--|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Contractual coupon interest expense | \$ 1,562 | \$ 1,562 | \$ 3,125 | \$ 3,125 | |
| Discount amortization | 1,962 | 1,817 | 3,887 | 3,598 | |
| Total | \$ 3,524 | \$ 3,379 | \$ 7,012 | \$ 6,723 | |

7. Defined Benefit Pension Plan

So the by s sponsors a defined benefit pension plan covering a portion of its U.K. employees (the U.K. Pension Plan). For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the components of the net pension benefit related to the U.K. Pension Plan are (in thousands of dollars):

| | | nths Ended e 30, | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|---------------------|------------------------------|------------|--|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Service cost | \$ 1,011 | \$ 929 | \$ 2,013 | \$ 1,902 | |
| Interest cost | 3,441 | 3,351 | 6,850 | 6,859 | |
| Expected return on plan assets | (5,857) | (5,175) | (11,660) | (10,593) | |
| Amortization of prior service cost | | 3 | | 6 | |
| Net pension benefit | \$ (1,405) | \$ (892) | \$ (2,797) | \$ (1,826) | |

In 2011, Sotheby s expects to contribute approximately \$3 million to the U.K. Pension Plan, of which \$1.5 million has been contributed through June 30, 2011.

8. Restructuring Charges, Net

On June 27, 2011, the Executive Committee of Sotheby's Board of Directors approved a restructuring plan (the Restructuring Plan) impacting Sotheby's operations in Italy and the Netherlands. The Restructuring Plan will streamline Sotheby's European selling operations, with a renewed emphasis on relationships with key clients and the sourcing of important collections. The Restructuring Plan will also allow Sotheby's global management to focus resources on growing markets, especially China, and other strategic priorities. In the Netherlands, the Restructuring Plan will result in the cessation of all local auction sales. In Italy, Sotheby's will significantly reduce its auction sales calendar, but plans to continue to conduct auctions of Contemporary and Modern Art. Sotheby's streamlined European operations will continue to source property to its other selling locations throughout the world, as well as pursue private sale opportunities.

| 1 | 5 |
|---|---|
| 1 | 2 |

The Restructuring Plan will reduce staff by 23, which represents approximately 45% of Sotheby s current headcount in Italy and the Netherlands and 2% of its global headcount. The Restructuring Plan will also allow Sotheby s to completely exit its leased Amsterdam salesroom facility, replacing it with a smaller local office, and significantly reduce the cost associated with its Milan premises.

The Restructuring Plan is expected to result in total Restructuring Charges of approximately \$4.1 million in 2011, consisting of \$1.7 million in employee termination benefits recognized in the second quarter of 2011 and \$2.4 million in lease exit costs, of which \$0.5 million was recognized in the second quarter of 2011. The remaining \$1.9 million in lease exit costs are expected to be recognized in the second half of 2011 upon the completion of negotiations with the lessor. Accrued restructuring costs of \$2.2 million are recorded within Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities in the June 30, 2011 Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. Total cash expenditures related to the Restructuring Plan are expected to be approximately \$4.6 million and are expected to be made in the second half of 2011.

9. Commitments and Contingencies

Employment Arrangements As of June 30, 2011, Sotheby s had employment arrangements with certain senior employees, which expire at various points between February 2012 and March 2017. Such arrangements may provide, among other benefits, for minimum salary levels and for incentive compensation under Sotheby s incentive compensation programs which is payable only if specified company and individual goals are attained. Additionally, certain of these arrangements provide annual equity grants, the accelerated vesting of certain equity grants, severance payments, other cash compensation, and continuation of benefits upon termination of employment under certain circumstances. The aggregate remaining commitment for salaries and other cash compensation related to these employment arrangements, excluding any participation in Sotheby s incentive compensation and share-based payment programs, was approximately \$23.7 million as of June 30, 2011.

Lending Commitments Sotheby s enters into legally binding arrangements to lend, primarily on a collateralized basis and subject to certain limitations and conditions, to potential consignors and other individuals who have collections of art. Unfunded commitments to extend additional credit were \$11.6 million as of June 30, 2011, of which \$0.7 million is committed to an employee of Sotheby s who is not an Executive Officer.

Legal Actions Sotheby s becomes involved in various claims and lawsuits incidental to the ordinary course of its business, including the matter described below. While it is not possible to predict the outcome of litigation, management does not believe that the outcome of any of these pending claims or proceedings will have a material adverse effect on Sotheby s consolidated results of operations, financial condition and/or cash flows.

Friesland Bank NV (the Bank) *v. Sotheby s Financial Services Ltd. and Sotheby s* (the Sotheby s Parties) was a dispute between the Bank, which is located in the Netherlands, and the Sotheby s Parties regarding the priority of their respective pledges over a U.K. collection of books and manuscripts (the U.K. Collection) owned by Joost Ritman, a Dutch national. Pursuant to a Loan, Private Treaty Sale and Consignment Agreement dated May 29, 2009 between Mr. Ritman (and Ritman owned entities) and the Sotheby s Parties (the Sotheby s Agreement), Mr. Ritman pledged the U.K. Collection to Sotheby s Financial Services Ltd. as collateral for a loan made by Sotheby s Financial Services Ltd. in the sum of 5 million. In November 2010, the Bank notified the Sotheby s Parties that in July 2005 Mr. Ritman had entered into a prior Deed of Pledge with the Bank and that the U.K. Collection formed part of the collateral for the Bank s loan to Mr. Ritman in 2005 in the sum of approximately 20 million. In April 2011, the Bank commenced legal proceedings against the Sotheby s Parties. The issues between the Bank and the Sotheby s Parties were whether (i) the later English possessory pledge to the Sotheby s Parties had priority over an earlier Dutch non-possessory pledge to the Bank at the time that they entered into the Sotheby s Agreement in 2009. The litigation commenced by the Bank against the Sotheby s Parties was settled on June 3, 2011 and the legal proceedings have been discontinued. As a result of the settlement, the loan and any related interest have been paid in-full.

(See Notes 5, 10 and 13 for information on other contingencies. See Notes 6, 7 and 8 for information on other commitments.)

10. Auction Guarantees

From time to time in the ordinary course of its business, Sotheby s will guarantee to consignors a minimum sale price in connection with the sale of property at auction (an auction guarantee). In the event that the property sells for less than the guaranteed price, Sotheby s must perform under the auction guarantee by funding the difference between the sale price at auction and the amount of the auction guarantee. Sotheby s is generally entitled to a share of the excess proceeds (the overage) if the property under the auction guarantee sells above the guaranteed price. If the property does not sell, the amount of the auction guarantee must be paid, but Sotheby s has the right to recover such amount through the future sale of the property. In these situations, the guaranteed property is recorded as Inventory on Sotheby s balance sheet at the lower of cost (i.e., the amount paid under the auction guarantee) or management s estimate of the property s net realizable value (i.e., expected sale price upon disposition). The sale proceeds ultimately realized by Sotheby s in these situations may equal, exceed or be less than the amount recorded as Inventory on Sotheby s balance sheet.

Sotheby s may reduce its financial exposure under auction guarantees through risk and reward sharing arrangements, which include:

Contractual arrangements under which a counterparty contractually commits to bid a predetermined price for the guaranteed property (an irrevocable bid). If the irrevocable bid is the winning bid, the counterparty purchases the property at the predetermined price plus the applicable buyer s premium, which is the same amount that any other successful bidder would pay at that price. If the irrevocable bid is not the winning bid, the counterparty is generally entitled to receive a share of the auction commission earned on the sale and/or a share of any overage.

Contractual arrangements under which a counterparty contractually commits to fund: (i) a share of the difference between the sale price at auction and the amount of the auction guarantee, if the property sells for less than the guaranteed price, or (ii) a share of the guaranteed price, if the property does not sell while taking ownership of a proportionate share of the unsold property. In exchange for accepting a share of the financial exposure under the auction guarantee, if the property sells, the counterparty is entitled to receive a share of the auction commission earned on the sale and/or a share of any overage.

The counterparties to these auction guarantee risk and reward sharing arrangements are typically major international art dealers or major art collectors. So theby s could be exposed to losses in the event any of these counterparties do not perform according to the terms of these contractual arrangements.

As of June 30, 2011 and August 3, 2011, Sotheby s had an outstanding auction guarantee of \$7.5 million. The minimum sales price related to this auction guarantee is within the presale estimates for the property. Sotheby s financial exposure under this auction guarantee is eliminated by an irrevocable bid from an unaffiliated third party. The property related to this auction guarantee will be offered at auction in the fourth quarter of 2011.

11. Comprehensive Income

Sotheby s comprehensive income includes the net income for the period, as well as other comprehensive income (loss) which consists almost entirely of the change in the foreign currency translation adjustment account. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, comprehensive income consists of the following (in thousands of dollars):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | hs Ended e 30, |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net income | \$ 127,234 | \$ 86,239 | \$ 129,655 | \$ 84,062 |
| Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) : | | | | |
| Foreign currency exchange rate gains (losses) | 13,137 | (13,063) | 29,706 | (32,010) |
| Amortization of prior service cost related to the U.K. Pension Plan, | | | | |
| net of taxes | | 2 | | 4 |
| | | | | |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | 13,137 | (13,061) | 29,706 | (32,006) |

Comprehensive income

12. Share-Based Payments and Dividends

Share-Based Payments Share-based payments to employees include performance-based equity compensation, restricted stock, restricted stock units and stock options, as discussed in more detail below. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, compensation expense related to share-based payments, which is reflected within Salaries and Related Costs in Sotheby s Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, is summarized as follows (in thousands of dollars):

| | | Three Months Ended June 30, | | hs Ended e 30, |
|-----------|----------|--------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Pre-Tax | \$ 5,669 | \$ 4,786 | \$ 10,399 | \$ 10,636 |
| After-Tax | \$ 3,806 | \$ 3,045 | \$ 7,340 | \$ 6,959 |

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, Sotheby s realized \$7.2 million of excess tax benefits related to share-based payment arrangements. These excess tax benefits represent the amount by which the tax deduction ultimately received by Sotheby s as a result of the exercise or vesting of share-based payments exceeds the tax benefit initially recognized upon the amortization of compensation expense for these awards. Such excess tax benefits are recognized in Additional Paid-in Capital in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and are classified within Cash Provided (Used) by Financing Activities in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

As of June 30, 2011, unrecognized compensation expense related to the unvested portion of share-based payments was approximately \$29.6 million. This compensation expense is expected to be amortized over a weighted-average period of approximately 3.3 years. So theby s does not capitalize any compensation expense related to share-based payments to employees.

Sotheby s Restricted Stock Unit Plan The Sotheby s Restricted Stock Unit Plan (the Restricted Stock Unit Plan) provides for the issuance of unvested shares of Common Stock (Restricted Stock) and Restricted Stock Units (RSU s) to employees, subject to the approval of the Compensation Committee of Sotheby s Board of Directors (the Compensation Committee). In making awards under the Restricted Stock Unit Plan, the Compensation Committee takes into account the nature of the services rendered by employees, their present and potential contributions to Sotheby s success, and such other factors as the Compensation Committee in its discretion deems relevant.

Restricted Stock and RSU s generally vest evenly over a four year service period. Prior to vesting, holders of Restricted Stock have voting rights and are entitled to receive dividends, while holders of RSU s do not have voting rights, but are entitled to receive dividend equivalents. Dividends and dividend equivalents paid to holders of unvested Restricted Stock and RSU s are not forfeitable. Restricted Stock and RSU s may not be sold, assigned, transferred, pledged or otherwise encumbered until they vest.

Performance Share Units Performance Share Units (or PSU s) are RSU s issued pursuant to the Restricted Stock Unit Plan which vest ratably, generally over four years, if Sotheby s achieves certain profitability targets. Prior to vesting, holders of PSU s do not have voting rights and are not entitled to receive dividends or dividend equivalents. Dividend equivalents are credited to holders of PSU s and are only paid for the portion of PSU s that vest. PSU s may not be sold, assigned, transferred, pledged or otherwise encumbered until they vest. The Compensation Committee believes that PSU s better align Sotheby s variable compensation strategy with its financial performance and the cyclical nature of the art market and further align the interests of Sotheby s management with its shareholders. Accordingly, Sotheby s intends to grant future equity awards in the form of PSU s with performance and service conditions, rather than RSU s with service conditions only.

Effective September 1, 2010, Sotheby s entered into a new employment agreement with William F. Ruprecht, its President and Chief Executive Officer. This employment agreement is for a four-year term ending on August 31, 2014, with one year renewals thereafter unless Sotheby s or Mr. Ruprecht provides notice of non-renewal at least five months prior to the end of the term or an annual extension. Among other things, Mr. Ruprecht s employment agreement entitles him to annual PSU grants beginning in 2011 subject to minimum (\$3.5 million) and maximum (\$4.5 million) levels, the value of which are determined at the discretion of the Compensation Committee.

Summary of Restricted Stock, RSU s and PSU s For the six months ended June 30, 2011, changes in the number of outstanding Restricted Stock, RSU s and PSU s were as follows (shares in thousands):

| | Restricted Stock, RSU s and PSU s | Aver Da | eighted age Grant ite Fair Value |
|--------------------------------|---|------------|---|
| Outstanding at January 1, 2011 | 2,370 | \$ | 18.86 |
| Granted | 628 | \$ | 41.80 |
| Vested | (988) | \$ | 23.17 |
| Canceled | (1) | \$ | 30.87 |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2011 | 2,009 | \$ | 23.91 |

In the first quarter of 2011, Sotheby s issued 627,566 PSU s with a grant date fair value of 26.2 million as follows: (i) 526,903 PSU s with a fair value of 22 million related to Sotheby s incentive compensation programs and (ii) 100,663 PSU s with a fair value of 4.25 million issued to Mr. Ruprecht in relation to his September 2010 employment agreement, as discussed above.

In March 2011, 242,539 PSU s awarded in February 2010 vested as a result of the achievement of the corresponding profitability target for the year ended December 31, 2010. In addition, in March 2011, 120,000 Restricted Stock shares granted to Mr. Ruprecht in April 2006 vested as a result of the achievement of a five-year profitability target. The aggregate fair value of Restricted Stock, RSU s and PSU s that vested during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$44.9 million and \$17.8 million, respectively, based on the closing price of Sotheby s Common Stock on the dates the shares vested.

As of June 30, 2011, 1.6 million shares were available for future awards pursuant to the Restricted Stock Unit Plan.

Stock Options Stock options issued pursuant to the Sotheby s 1997 Stock Option Plan are exercisable into authorized but unissued shares of Common Stock. Stock options vest evenly over four years and expire ten years after the date of grant. Changes in the number of stock options outstanding for the six months ended June 30, 2011 were as follows (options and aggregate intrinsic value in thousands):

| | | | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual | | |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|--|----|-----------------------|
| | Options | ted Average cise Price | Term (in years) | 0 | gregate nsic Value |
| Outstanding at January 1, 2011 | 512 | \$ 21.82 | · • | | |
| Granted | | \$ | | | |
| Exercised | (121) | \$ 21.44 | | | |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2011 | 391 | \$ 21.94 | 8.4 | \$ | 8,444 |
| Exercisable at June 30, 2011 | 16 | \$ 17.84 | 6.5 | \$ | 404 |

The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, was \$3.2 million and \$2 million, respectively. Cash received from the exercise of stock options that were exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$2.6 million. Cash received from the exercise of stock options that were exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2010 totaled \$5.3 million. Sotheby s also received \$4.1 million in cash in January 2010 for stock options that were exercised in late December 2009. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the excess tax benefits realized from the exercise of stock options totaled \$0.8 million and \$0.6 million, respectively.

Noortman Master Paintings (NMP) On June 7, 2006, Sotheby s entered into a sale and purchase agreement (the Purchase Agreement) with Arcimboldo S.A. (Arcimboldo) pursuant to which Sotheby s acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of capital stock of NMP. Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, Sotheby s paid initial consideration (the Initial Consideration) in the form of 1,946,849 shares of Sotheby s Common

Stock. Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, if NMP failed to achieve a minimum level of financial performance during the five years following the closing of the transaction, up to 20% of the Initial Consideration will be transferred back to Sotheby s. The minimum level of financial performance was not achieved as of June 30, 2011, and as a result, approximately 150,000 shares of Sotheby s Common Stock will be transferred back to Sotheby s in the third quarter of 2011. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, Sotheby s recorded expense of \$0.2 million and a net benefit of \$0.6 million, respectively, to compensation expense as the result of adjustments to management s prior estimates of the number of shares of Common Stock that will be transferred back to Sotheby s.

Dividends During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, Sotheby s paid dividends of \$3.4 million and \$6.9 million, respectively. On August 3, 2011, Sotheby s Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.05 per share (approximately \$3.4 million) to be paid to shareholders of record as of September 1, 2011 on September 15, 2011.

The declaration and payment of future dividends to shareholders is at the discretion of Sotheby s Board of Directors and will depend on many factors, including Sotheby s financial condition, cash flows, legal requirements and other factors as the Board of Directors deems relevant.

13. Uncertain Tax Positions

As of June 30, 2011, the liability for unrecognized tax benefits, excluding interest and penalties, was \$31.8 million, representing an increase of \$2.6 million when compared to December 31, 2010. As of June 30, 2010, the liability for unrecognized tax benefits was \$51.4 million. As of June 30, 2011 and 2010, the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would favorably affect Sotheby s effective tax rate is \$15.0 million and \$31.6 million, respectively.

Sotheby s believes it is reasonably possible that a decrease of \$0.5 million in the balance of unrecognized tax benefits can occur within 12 months of the June 30, 2011 balance sheet date as a result of the expiration of the statute of limitations and an expected settlement of a currently ongoing tax audit.

Sotheby s is subject to taxation in the U.S. and various state and foreign jurisdictions. Years still open for audit are, for federal purposes, from 2007, and for the following major state and local jurisdictions: New York State from 2007; New York City from 2007; and California from 2005. Sotheby s foreign subsidiaries file income tax returns in the countries in which they have operations. Years still open to examination by foreign tax authorities include Hong Kong from 2005 and the U.K. from 2005.

Sotheby s recognizes interest expense and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of Income Tax Expense. Sotheby s accrual for such interest and penalties increased by \$0.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Sotheby s policy is to record interest expense related to sales, value added and other taxes as Interest Expense in its statements of operations. Penalties related to such taxes are recorded as General and Administrative Expenses in its statements of operations. Interest expense and penalties related to income taxes are recorded as a component of Income Tax Expense in Sotheby s statements of operations.

14. Related Party Transactions

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, Sotheby s recognized aggregate auction commission revenues of \$1.8 million and \$5 million, respectively, related to the purchase of property by related parties. These revenues represent approximately 1% of total revenues through the first six months of 2011. As of June 30, 2011, net Accounts Receivable of \$1 billion includes \$13 million associated with related party transactions, representing approximately 1% of net Accounts Receivable.

15. Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2009 13, *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements*, which is codified in ASC 605, *Revenue Recognition*. This update addresses the unit of accounting for arrangements involving multiple deliverables and how to allocate arrangement consideration to one or more units of accounting. It eliminates the criteria that objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of any undelivered items must exist for the delivered items to be considered separate units of accounting. This update was effective starting in the first quarter of 2011 and did not impact Sotheby s financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011 05, *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*, which is codified in ASC 220, *Comprehensive Income*. This update eliminates the option for entities to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in shareholder s equity and requires entities to report the components of comprehensive income (i.e., net income and other comprehensive income) in either: (1) a single, continuous statement of comprehensive income or (2) two separate, but consecutive, statements. ASU 2011-05 does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income and does not require incremental disclosures in addition to those already required by ASC 220. This update will be effective for Sotheby s quarterly and annual financial statements beginning in the first quarter of 2012. Management is currently evaluating the adoption alternatives provided by ASU 2011-05.

ITEM 2: MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Seasonality

The worldwide art auction market has two principal selling seasons, which generally occur in the second and fourth quarters of the year. Accordingly, Sotheby s auction business is seasonal, with peak revenues and operating income generally occurring in those quarters. Consequently, first and third quarter results have historically reflected a lower volume of auction activity when compared to the second and fourth quarters and, typically, a net loss due to the fixed nature of many of Sotheby s operating expenses.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

This discussion should be read in conjunction with Note 4 (Segment Reporting) of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

GAAP refers to generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America. Included in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (or MD&A) are financial measures presented in accordance with GAAP and also on a non-GAAP basis. EBITDA and EBITDA Margin, as presented in MD&A under Key Performance Indicators, are supplemental measures of Sotheby s performance that are not required by or presented in accordance with GAAP.

EBITDA and EBITDA Margin should not be considered as an alternative to net income (loss) or any other performance measures derived in accordance with GAAP or as an alternative to cash flows from operating activities as a measure of Sotheby s liquidity.

Sotheby s defines EBITDA as net income, excluding income tax expense, interest expense, interest income and depreciation and amortization expense. Sotheby s defines EBITDA Margin as EBITDA as a percentage of total revenues. Management cautions users of Sotheby s financial statements that amounts presented in accordance with its definitions of EBITDA and EBITDA Margin may not be comparable to similar measures disclosed by other companies, because not all companies and analysts calculate such measures in the same manner. Management believes that EBITDA and EBITDA Margin provide important supplemental measures of Sotheby s performance and that these measures may be used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of Sotheby s. Management also utilizes EBITDA in analyzing Sotheby s performance and in the determination of annual incentive compensation. A reconciliation of EBITDA to net income derived in accordance with GAAP is presented below in Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures.

Overview

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, Sotheby s net income increased by 48% and 54%, respectively, when compared to the same periods in the prior year. This improvement is principally due to significantly higher auction and private sale commission revenues, partially offset by an increase in operating expenses that is due, in part, to higher incentive compensation costs. Sotheby s current period results reflect the continuing strength of the global art market, with first half Net Auction Sales of \$2.5 billion exceeding the total achieved in the most recent peak years between 2006 and 2008. Importantly, these results were achieved with a significantly lower risk profile, as Sotheby s continued to be highly selective in its use of auction guarantees and has only issued auction guarantees in tandem with risk sharing arrangements that greatly reduce its financial exposure.

Outlook

Management is encouraged by the level of Net Auction Sales to date in 2011 and remains encouraged by the continuing strength of the global art market, the buying activity of clients from emerging markets and the level and quality of consignments for Sotheby s remaining auctions scheduled for the second half of 2011. Sotheby s continues to experience a greater level of price competition, especially for the highest quality collections, which is likely to continue to impact the Auction Commission Margin earned on future sales. (See statement on Forward Looking Statements.)

Results of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

The following tables provide a summary of Sotheby s results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, as well as a comparison between the two periods (in thousands of dollars):

| Three Months Ended June 30, | | 2011 | | 2010 | | avorable/(U Change | nfavorable) % Change |
|---|------|-----------|----|-----------|------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Revenues: | | 2011 | | 2010 | фV | Change | % Change |
| Auction and related revenues | \$ | 353,564 | \$ | 269,801 | \$ | 83,763 | 31.0% |
| Finance revenues | | 3,184 | Ŧ | 2.023 | Ŧ | 1.161 | 57.4% |
| Dealer revenues | | 11,642 | | 8,337 | | 3,305 | 39.6% |
| License fee revenues | | 1,270 | | 1,129 | | 141 | 12.5% |
| Other revenues | | 135 | | 120 | | 15 | 12.5% |
| Total revenues | | 369,795 | | 281,410 | | 88,385 | 31.4% |
| Expenses | | 181,130 | | 141,694 | (| (39,436) | (27.8%) |
| Operating income | | 188,665 | | 139,716 | | 48,949 | 35.0% |
| Net interest expense | | (10,012) | | (11,332) | | 1,320 | 11.6% |
| Other expense | | (765) | | (933) | | 168 | 18.0% |
| Income before taxes | | 177,888 | | 127,451 | | 50,437 | 39.6% |
| Equity in earnings of investees, net of taxes | | 152 | | 36 | | 116 | * |
| Income tax expense | | 50,806 | | 41,248 | | (9,558) | (23.2%) |
| Net income | \$ | 127,234 | \$ | 86,239 | \$ | 40,995 | 47.5% |
| Key performance indicators: | | | | | | | |
| Aggregate Auction Sales (a) | \$ 1 | 2,263,323 | \$ | 1,588,882 | \$6 | 574,441 | 42.4% |
| Net Auction Sales (b) | \$ | 1,925,965 | \$ | 1,349,247 | \$ 5 | 576,718 | 42.7% |
| Private Sales (c) | \$ | 339,561 | \$ | 109,113 | \$ 2 | 230,448 | * |
| Consolidated Sales (d) | \$ 2 | 2,614,526 | \$ | 1,706,332 | \$9 | 08,194 | 53.2% |
| Auction Commission Margin (e) | | 16.4% | | 18.7% | | N/A | (12.6%) |
| Direct Costs as a percentage of Net Auction Sales | | 1.4% | | 1.6% | | N/A | 13.0% |
| Average loan portfolio (f) | \$ | 224,274 | \$ | 188,702 | | 35,572 | 18.9% |
| EBITDA (g) | \$ | 192,417 | \$ | 142,919 | \$ | 49,498 | 34.6% |
| EBITDA Margin (g) (h) | | 52.0% | | 50.8% | | N/A | 2.5% |

| | | | | | | Favorable/(Unfavorable) | | |
|---|------|-----------|------|-----------|------|-------------------------|----------|--|
| Six Months Ended June 30, | | 2011 | | 2010 | \$ | Change | % Change | |
| Revenues: | | | | | | | | |
| Auction and related revenues | \$ | 463,925 | \$ | 365,428 | \$ | 98,497 | 27.0% | |
| Finance revenues | | 6,099 | | 4,636 | | 1,463 | 31.6% | |
| Dealer revenues | | 16,257 | | 10,857 | | 5,400 | 49.7% | |
| License fee revenues | | 2,711 | | 1,834 | | 877 | 47.8% | |
| Other revenues | | 385 | | 534 | | (149) | (27.9%) | |
| Total revenues | | 489,377 | | 383,289 | | 106,088 | 27.7% | |
| Expenses | | 289,759 | | 235,669 | | (54,090) | (23.0%) | |
| Operating income | | 199,618 | | 147,620 | | 51,998 | 35.2% | |
| Net interest expense | | (17,810) | | (22,598) | | 4,788 | 21.2% | |
| Other expense | | (369) | | (872) | | 503 | 57.7% | |
| Income before taxes | | 181,439 | | 124,150 | | 57,289 | 46.1% | |
| Equity in earnings of investees, net of taxes | | 53 | | 244 | | (191) | (78.3%) | |
| Income tax expense | | 51,837 | | 40,332 | | (11,505) | (28.5%) | |
| Net income | \$ | 129,655 | \$ | 84,062 | \$ | 45,593 | 54.2% | |
| Key performance indicators: | | | | | | | | |
| Aggregate Auction Sales (a) | \$ 2 | 2,963,838 | \$ 2 | 2,154,184 | \$ | 809,654 | 37.6% | |
| Net Auction Sales (b) | \$ 2 | 2,523,650 | \$1 | ,834,559 | \$ | 689,091 | 37.6% | |
| Private Sales (c) | \$ | 448,414 | \$ | 209,892 | \$ | 238,522 | * | |
| Consolidated Sales (d) | \$ 3 | 3,428,509 | \$ 2 | 2,374,933 | \$ 1 | ,053,576 | 44.4% | |
| Auction Commission Margin (e) | | 16.4% | | 18.3% | | N/A | (10.7%) | |
| Direct Costs as a percentage of Net Auction Sales | | 1.4% | | 1.5% | | N/A | 8.7% | |
| Average loan portfolio (f) | \$ | 227,434 | \$ | 164,295 | \$ | 63,139 | 38.4% | |
| EBITDA (g) | \$ | 207,687 | \$ | 155,576 | \$ | 52,111 | 33.5% | |
| EBITDA Margin (g) (h) | | 42.4% | | 40.6% | | N/A | 4.6% | |
| ıd: | | | | | | | | |

Legend:

* Represents a change in excess of 100%.

(a) Represents the hammer (sale) price of property sold at auction plus buyer s premium.

(b) Represents the hammer (sale) price of property sold at auction.

(c) Represents the total purchase price of property sold in private sales brokered by Sotheby s.

(d) Represents the sum of Aggregate Auction Sales, Private Sales and Dealer revenues.

(e) Represents total auction commission revenues as a percentage of Net Auction Sales.

(f) Represents the average loan portfolio of Sotheby s Finance segment.

(g) See Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures above and Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures below.

(h) Represents EBITDA as a percentage of total revenues.

Revenues

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, revenues consisted of the following (in thousands of dollars):

| | | | Favorable/(Unfavorable) | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|----------|
| Three Months Ended June 30, | 2011 | 2010 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Auction and related revenues: | | | | |
| Auction commission revenues | \$ 315,693 | \$ 252,705 | \$ 62,988 | 24.9% |
| Private sale commissions | 29,920 | 10,832 | 19,088 | * |
| Principal activities | 1,147 | (795) | 1,942 | * |
| Other auction and related revenues** | 6,804 | 7,059 | (255) | (3.6%) |
| Total auction and related revenues | 353,564 | 269,801 | 83,763 | 31.0% |
| Other revenues: | | | | |
| Finance revenues | 3,184 | 2,023 | 1,161 | 57.4% |
| Dealer revenues | 11,642 | 8,337 | 3,305 | 39.6% |
| License fee revenues | 1,270 | 1,129 | 141 | 12.5% |
| Other | 135 | 120 | 15 | 12.5% |
| Total other revenues | 16,231 | 11,609 | 4,622 | 39.8% |
| Total revenues | \$ 369,795 | \$ 281,410 | \$ 88,385 | 31.4% |
| | | | | |

| | | | Favorable/(Unfavorable) | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|----------|
| Six Months Ended June 30, | 2011 | 2010 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Auction and related revenues: | | | | |
| Auction commission revenues | \$413,524 | \$ 336,159 | \$ 77,365 | 23.0% |
| Private sale commissions | 38,188 | 19,356 | 18,832 | 97.3% |
| Principal activities | 1,361 | (1,176) | 2,537 | * |
| Other auction and related revenues** | 10,852 | 11,089 | (237) | (2.1%) |
| Total auction and related revenues | 463,925 | 365,428 | 98,497 | 27.0% |
| Other revenues: | | | | |
| Finance revenues | 6,099 | 4,636 | 1,463 | 31.6% |
| Dealer revenues | 16,257 | 10,857 | 5,400 | 49.7% |
| License fee revenues | 2,711 | 1,834 | 877 | 47.8% |
| Other | 385 | 534 | (149) | (27.9%) |
| Total other revenues | 25,452 | 17,861 | 7,591 | 42.5% |
| Total revenues | \$ 489,377 | \$ 383,289 | \$ 106,088 | 27.7% |

* Represents a change in excess of 100%.

** Principally includes fees charged for auction expenses and catalogue subscription revenues.

Auction and Related Revenues

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, auction and related revenues improved \$83.8 million (31%) and \$98.5 million (27%), respectively, when compared to the same periods in the prior year, almost entirely due to increased auction and private sale commission revenues. The comparison to the prior periods is also favorably impacted by changes in foreign currency exchange rates, which contributed approximately \$18.3 million and \$19.9 million, respectively, to the improvement versus the prior periods. See the discussion below for a more detailed explanation of the factors contributing to the improvement in auction and related revenues.

Auction Commission Revenues In its role as auctioneer, Sotheby s represents sellers of artworks by accepting property on consignment and by matching sellers to buyers through the auction process. Sotheby s invoices the buyer for the purchase price of the property (including the commission owed by the buyer), collects payment from the buyer and remits to the seller the net sale proceeds after deducting its commissions, expenses and applicable taxes and royalties. Sotheby s commissions include those paid by the buyer (buyer s premium) and those paid by the seller (seller s commission) (collectively, auction commission revenue), both of which are calculated as a percentage of Net Auction Sales.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, auction commission revenues increased \$63 million (25%) and \$77.4 million (23%), respectively, due to a significant improvement in Net Auction Sales partially offset by a decline in Auction Commission Margin. The comparison to the prior periods is also favorably impacted by changes in foreign currency exchange rates, which contributed \$16.7 million and \$18.3 million, respectively, to the improvement versus the prior periods. See Net Auction Sales and Auction Commission Margin below for a more detailed discussion of these key performance indicators.

Net Auction Sales For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, Net Auction Sales increased \$576.7 million (43%) and \$689.1 million (38%), respectively, when compared to the same periods in the prior year. This increase reflects the continued strength of the global art market, which has been supported, in part, by a surge of activity from buyers and sellers from emerging markets, especially China. The increase in Net Auction Sales was also driven by a number of significant single-owner sales conducted in the current periods. Also, Net Auction Sales were favorably impacted by changes in foreign currency exchange rates, which contributed \$92.7 million and \$103.5 million to the overall increases, respectively.

Excluding the impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates, the increases in Net Auction Sales for these periods is specifically attributable to:

Second quarter and year-to-date increases of \$275.7 million (163%) and \$350.2 million (192%), respectively, in worldwide sales of Asian Art primarily due to several unprecedented second quarter sales of single-owner collections conducted in Hong Kong, including The Meiyingtang Collection of Chinese Porcelain (\$76.5 million), The Mei Yun Tang Collection of Paintings by Chang Dai-chien (\$76.5 million) and The Ullens Collection of Contemporary Chinese Art (\$46.7 million). Recurring various-owner sales of Asian Art also performed well, with second quarter and June year-to-date increases of \$100.3 million (68%) and \$133 million (83%), respectively.

Second quarter and year-to-date increases of \$54.7 million and \$47.5 million, respectively, in sales of Impressionist Art and Contemporary Art.

Second quarter and year-to-date increases of \$105 million and \$125.2 million, respectively, in single-owner sales in other collecting categories, including the sales of the Evill/Frost Collection of 20th Century British Art (\$59.8 million) and The Stuart Cary Welch Collection of Arts of the Islamic World and Arts of India (\$40.9 million).

Auction Commission Margin Auction Commission Margin represents total auction commission revenues as a percentage of Net Auction Sales. Typically, the Auction Commission Margin is higher for lower value works of art or collections, while higher valued property earns a lower Auction Commission Margin. For example, in salesrooms in the U.S., the buyer s premium rate structure is 25% on the first \$50,000 of hammer (sale) price; 20% on the portion of hammer price above \$50,000 up to and including \$1 million; and 12% on any remaining amount above \$1 million.

In certain situations, the Auction Commission Margin is adversely impacted by arrangements whereby Sotheby s auction commission is shared with a consignor. In such situations, Sotheby s may share its auction commission with a consignor in order to secure a high value consignment without issuing an auction guarantee.

Auction Commission Margin may also be adversely impacted by the use of auction guarantees. Sotheby s may enter into a risk and reward sharing arrangement with a counterparty whereby Sotheby s reduces its financial exposure under the auction guarantee in exchange for sharing its auction commission. Also, in situations when the guaranteed property sells for less than the guaranteed price, all or a portion of the auction commissions earned are used to reduce Sotheby s principal loss on the transaction. (See Note 10 in Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.)

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, Auction Commission Margin was 16.4%, compared to 18.7% and 18.3%, respectively, in the same periods of the prior year. The decreases in Auction Commission Margin are attributable to competitive pressures to win high value consignments, which resulted in lower levels of seller s commission and a higher level of auction commissions shared with consignors when compared to the prior periods. For example, as shown in the following table, there was a material increase in the number and value of lots sold with a hammer (sale) price over \$1 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 (in thousands of dollars):

| | | | | Favorable/(Unfavorable) | |
|---|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|--|
| Three Months Ended June 30, | 2011 | 2010 | \$ Change | % Change | |
| Lots with a hammer (sale) price of greater than \$1 million | 350 | 211 | 139 | 66% | |
| Total hammer (sale) price | \$ 1,077,707 | \$ 725,712 | \$ 351,995 | 49% | |

| | | | Favorable/(Un | favorable) |
|---|--------------|--------------|---------------|------------|
| | | | | % |
| Six Months Ended June 30, | 2011 | 2010 | \$ Change | Change |
| Lots with a hammer (sale) price of greater than \$1 million | 451 | 273 | 178 | 65% |
| Total hammer (sale) price | \$ 1,427,461 | \$ 1,031,631 | \$ 395,830 | 38% |

Private Sale Commissions

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, private sale commissions increased \$19.1 million (176%) and \$18.8 million (97%), respectively, when compared to the same periods in the prior year. These increases are attributable to a significantly higher volume of high value property sold privately, primarily in the second quarter of 2011 and reflect Sotheby s continued strategic focus on private selling activities.

Dealer Revenues and Cost of Sales

Dealer revenues consist of revenues earned from the sale of Dealer inventory, which includes property owned by Noortman Master Paintings and artworks purchased for investment purposes. To a lesser extent, Dealer revenues also include commissions earned by Noortman Master Paintings through the brokering of private art sales. Dealer cost of sales includes the net book value of Dealer inventory sold during the period and any writedowns to the carrying value of Dealer inventory.

The table below summarizes Dealer revenues, cost of sales and gross profit for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands of dollars):

| | | | Favorable/(Unfavorable) | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|-------------------------|----------|
| Three Months Ended June 30, | 2011 | 2010 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Dealer revenues | \$ 11,642 | \$ 8,337 | \$ 3,305 | 39.6% |
| Dealer cost of sales | (8,312) | (6,527) | (1,785) | 27.3% |
| Dealer gross profit | \$ 3,330 | \$ 1.810 | \$ 1,520 | 84.0% |

| | | Favor | | ole/(Unfavorable) | |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------------|--|
| | | | \$ | % | |
| Six Months Ended June 30, | 2011 | 2010 | Change | Change | |
| Dealer revenues | \$ 16,257 | \$ 10,857 | \$ 5,400 | 49.7% | |
| Dealer cost of sales | (14,255) | (8,315) | (5,940) | 71.4% | |
| | | | | | |
| Dealer gross profit | \$ 2,002 | \$ 2,542 | \$ (540) | (21.2%) | |

For the three months ended June 30, 2011, Dealer gross profit improved by \$1.5 million due to the performance of Noortman Master Paintings, which earned a higher profit margin on sales of inventory, and a higher level of commissions from the brokering of private art sales.

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the decrease in Dealer gross profit is attributable to a higher level of inventory writedowns, which occurred in the first quarter of 2011, the impact of which is largely offset by the performance of Noortman Master Paintings, as discussed above. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, dealer inventory writedowns totaled \$2.6 million and \$0.6 million, respectively.

Expenses

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, expenses consisted of the following (in thousands of dollars):

| | | | Favorable / (U | J nfavorable) |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Three Months Ended June 30, | 2011 | 2010 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Direct costs of services | \$ 26,293 | \$ 21,159 | \$ (5,134) | (24.3%) |
| Dealer cost of sales | 8,312 | 6,527 | (1,785) | (27.3%) |
| Marketing expenses | 3,296 | 3,251 | (45) | (1.4%) |
| Salaries and related costs | 96,504 | 74,321 | (22,183) | (29.8%) |
| General and administrative expenses | 40,258 | 32,376 | (7,882) | (24.3%) |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 4,241 | 4,081 | (160) | (3.9%) |
| Restructuring charges, net | 2,226 | (21) | (2,247) | * |
| | | | | |
| Total expenses | \$ 181,130 | \$ 141,694 | \$ (39,436) | (27.8%) |

| | | | Favorable /(U | nfavorable) % |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|---------------|------------------|
| Six Months Ended June 30, | 2011 | 2010 | \$ Change | Change |
| Direct costs of services | \$ 35,212 | \$ 28,030 | \$ (7,182) | (25.6%) |
| Dealer cost of sales | 14,255 | 8,315 | (5,940) | (71.4%) |
| Marketing expenses | 7,836 | 6,216 | (1,620) | (26.1%) |
| Salaries and related costs | 148,462 | 120,939 | (27,523) | (22.8%) |
| General and administrative expenses | 73,384 | 63,619 | (9,765) | (15.3%) |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 8,342 | 8,452 | 110 | 1.3% |
| Restructuring charges, net | 2,268 | 98 | (2,170) | * |
| | | | | |
| Total expenses | \$ 289,759 | \$ 235,669 | \$ (54,090) | (23.0%) |

* Represents a change in excess of 100% **Direct Costs of Services**

Direct costs of services consists largely of sale specific marketing costs such as auction catalogue production and distribution expenses, sale advertising and promotion expenses, and traveling exhibition costs. Also included in direct costs of services are sale-related shipping expenses. The level of direct costs incurred in any period is generally dependent upon the volume and composition of Sotheby s auction offerings. For example, direct costs attributable to single-owner or other high-value collections are typically higher than those associated with standard various-owner sales, mainly due to higher promotional costs for catalogues, special events and traveling exhibitions, as well as higher shipping expenses.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, direct costs of services increased \$5.1 million (24%), and \$7.2 million (26%), respectively, when compared to the same periods in the prior year. These increases are consistent with the level and composition of Sotheby s auction offerings in the current periods. In particular, the costs to promote the substantially higher level of single-owner sales increased \$3 million and \$4.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. Additionally, the level of direct costs of services in 2011 reflects fees associated with the increased usage of debit and credit cards by buyers from China. Direct costs for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 were also unfavorably impacted by changes in foreign currency exchange rates, which increased direct costs \$1.3 million and \$1.4 million, respectively.

The overall increase in direct costs of services for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 is partially offset by an unexpected recovery of \$0.9 million recognized in the second quarter of 2011 related to a property damage loss initially recognized in the first quarter of 2010.

Marketing Expenses

Table of Contents

Marketing expenses are costs related to the promotion of the Sotheby s brand and consist of costs related to Sotheby s corporate marketing activities, client service initiatives and strategic sponsorships of cultural institutions. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, marketing expenses increased by \$1.6 million (26%) principally due to increased sponsorships of museums and other cultural institutions, as well as a higher level of costs incurred to support certain strategic initiatives, including brand promotion activities in China and other emerging markets and the enhancement of the sothebys.com website.

Salaries and Related Expenses

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, salaries and related costs consisted of the following (in thousands of dollars):

| | | | Favorable / (U | nfavorable) |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-------------|
| Three Months Ended June 30, | 2011 | 2010 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Full-time salaries | \$ 32,590 | \$ 27,692 | (\$4,898) | (17.7%) |
| Payroll taxes | 7,071 | 5,552 | (1,519) | (27.4%) |
| Employee benefits | 4,134 | 2,910 | (1,224) | (42.1%) |
| Incentive compensation expense | 42,489 | 28,908 | (13,581) | (47.0%) |
| Share-based payments | 5,669 | 4,786 | (883) | (18.4%) |
| Other * | 4,551 | 4,473 | (78) | (1.7%) |
| | | | | |
| Total salaries and related costs | \$ 96,504 | \$ 74,321 | (\$22,183) | (29.8%) |

| | | | Favorable / (U | nfavorable) % |
|---|------------|------------|----------------|------------------|
| Six Months Ended June 30, | 2011 | 2010 | \$ Change | Change |
| Full-time salaries | \$ 63,997 | \$ 55,750 | (\$8,247) | (14.8%) |
| Payroll taxes | 12,626 | 9,753 | (2,873) | (29.5%) |
| Employee benefits | 9,124 | 6,690 | (2,434) | (36.4%) |
| Incentive compensation expense | 43,637 | 31,024 | (12,613) | (40.7%) |
| Share-based payments | 10,399 | 10,636 | 237 | 2.2% |
| Other * | 8,679 | 7,086 | (1,593) | (22.5%) |
| Total salaries and related costs | \$ 148,462 | \$ 120,939 | (\$27,523) | (22.8%) |
| Key Performance Indicator: | | | | |
| Salaries and related costs as a % of total revenues | 30.3% | 31.6% | N/A | 3.9% |

* Principally includes expense related to the cost of temporary labor and overtime, as well as expense related to certain employment arrangements.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, salaries and related costs increased \$22.2 million (30%) and \$27.5 million (23%), respectively, primarily due to higher incentive compensation costs and higher full-time salaries, as well as the unfavorable impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates. See below for a detailed discussion of the significant factors contributing to the increases in salaries and related costs.

Incentive Compensation The amount of incentive compensation expense recorded in a period is largely dependent upon the level of Sotheby s earnings, as measured by EBITDA, and is ultimately paid at the discretion of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors only after assessing Sotheby s full year financial results. In addition, incentive compensation includes amounts specifically awarded to employees for the brokering of certain eligible private sale transactions. The increase in incentive compensation expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 is due to the significant improvement in Sotheby s earnings, and, to a lesser extent, the significant increase in private sale commissions.

Full-Time Salaries For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, full-time salaries increased \$4.9 million (18%) and \$8.2 million (15%), respectively, due to strategic headcount and salary increases and the unfavorable impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates, which contributed \$1.6 million and \$2 million to the quarter and year-to-date increases, respectively.

Payroll Taxes For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, payroll taxes increased \$1.5 million (27%) and \$2.9 million (30%), respectively, primarily as a result of higher incentive compensation and full-time salaries, as discussed above, a higher value of share-based payment awards vesting during the first quarter of 2011 and the unfavorable impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates, which contributed \$0.5 million and \$0.6 million to the second quarter and year-to-date increases, respectively.

Employee Benefits Employee benefits include the cost of Sotheby s retirement plans and health and welfare programs, as well as non-restructuring related employee severance costs. Sotheby s material retirement plans include defined benefit and defined contribution pension plans for employees in the U.K. and defined contribution and deferred compensation plans for U.S. employees.

Generally, the amount of employee benefit costs is dependent upon headcount and overall compensation levels, as well as Sotheby s financial performance. Additionally, the level of expense related to Sotheby s defined benefit pension plan in the U.K. (the U.K. Pension Plan) is significantly influenced by interest rates, investment performance in the debt and equity markets and actuarial assumptions. Also, the expense recorded for Sotheby s Deferred Compensation Plan (the DCP) is dependent upon changes in the fair value of the DCP liability during a period, which result from gains and losses in deemed participant investments. Gains in deemed participant investments increase the DCP liability and, therefore, increase employee benefit costs. Losses in deemed participant investments decrease the DCP liability and, therefore, decrease employee benefit costs.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, employee benefit costs increased \$1.2 million (42%) and \$2.4 million (36%), respectively, primarily due to the increases in full-time salaries and incentive compensation discussed above, as well as higher expenses related to the DCP and Sotheby s U.S. health and welfare programs, and higher non-restructuring related severance costs. Partially offsetting the overall unfavorable variance in employee benefit costs are increases of \$0.5 million and \$1 million, respectively, in the net pension credit related to the U.K. Pension Plan due to favorable changes in the market-based assumptions used in the annual actuarial valuation. In 2011, the net pension credit related to the U.K. Pension Plan is expected to increase by approximately \$1.9 million when compared to 2010, primarily as a result of these updated assumptions. (See statement on Forward Looking Statements.)

General and Administrative Expenses

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, general and administrative expenses increased \$7.9 million (24%) and \$9.8 million (15%), respectively, when compared to the same periods in the prior year. These increases are primarily attributable to the following factors:

Increases of \$2.9 million (29%) and \$4.1 million (21%), respectively, in professional fees, primarily attributable to a higher level of consulting fees in furtherance of Sotheby s strategic initiatives, including development of Sotheby s presence in emerging markets such as China and the enhancement of Sotheby s website.

Increases of \$1.9 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, in litigation claims and client goodwill gestures.

Increases of \$0.9 million (16%) and \$1.8 million (16%) respectively, in travel and entertainment expenses in response to a higher level of consignment opportunities through the first six months of 2011.

Increase of \$1.7 million and \$2 million due to unfavorable changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Restructuring Charges, Net

On June 27, 2011, the Executive Committee of Sotheby's Board of Directors approved a restructuring plan (the Restructuring Plan) impacting Sotheby's operations in Italy and the Netherlands. The Restructuring Plan will streamline Sotheby's European selling operations, with a renewed emphasis on relationships with key clients and the sourcing of important collections. The Restructuring Plan will also allow Sotheby's global management to focus resources on growing markets, especially China, and other strategic priorities. In the Netherlands, the Restructuring Plan will result in the cessation of all local auction sales. In Italy, Sotheby's will significantly reduce its auction sales calendar, but plans to continue to conduct auctions of Contemporary and Modern Art. Sotheby's streamlined European operations will continue to source property to its other selling locations throughout the world, as well as pursue private sale opportunities.

The Restructuring Plan will reduce staff by 23, which represents approximately 45% of Sotheby s current headcount in Italy and the Netherlands and 2% of its global headcount. The Restructuring Plan will also allow Sotheby s to completely exit its leased Amsterdam salesroom facility, replacing it with a smaller local office, and significantly reduce the cost associated with its Milan premises.

The Restructuring Plan is expected to result in total Restructuring Charges of approximately \$4.1 million in 2011, consisting of \$1.7 million in employee termination benefits recognized in the second quarter of 2011 and \$2.4 million in lease exit costs, of which \$0.5 million was recognized in the second quarter of 2011. The remaining \$1.9 million in lease exit costs are expected to be recognized in the second half of 2011 upon the completion of negotiations with the lessor. In total, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, Sotheby s recorded Restructuring Charges of \$2.2 million (\$0.02 per diluted share). These accrued restructuring costs are recorded within Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities in the June 30, 2011 Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. Total cash expenditures related to the Restructuring Plan are expected to be approximately \$4.6 million and are expected to be made in the second half of 2011.

Following its implementation, beginning in 2012, the Restructuring Plan is expected to result in reductions to Net Auction Sales, Revenues and Expenses of approximately \$50 million, \$10 million and \$7 million, respectively, when compared to 2010. Accordingly, the Restructuring Plan is not expected to result in incremental profitability in 2012 as compared to 2010 and in fact, these actions would have had a dilutive impact of approximately \$3 million on 2010 pre-tax income. However, 2010 results for the Netherlands included Net Auction Sales and Revenues of \$19 million and \$3 million, respectively, related to unique sales of single-owner collections not likely to be repeated in the near term. Accordingly, excluding restructuring charges, these actions are not expected to have a dilutive impact on Sotheby s future results.

(See statement on Forward Looking Statements.)

Net Interest Expense

For the three months ended June 30, 2011, net interest expense decreased \$1.3 million, or 12%, primarily due to a \$1 million decrease in interest expense resulting from the repurchase of an aggregate principal amount of \$48.3 million of Sotheby s 7.75% Senior Notes in the fourth quarter of 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, net interest expense decreased \$4.8 million, or 21%, primarily due to \$2.2 million of interest income recognized in the first quarter of 2011 as a result of the resolution of a legal matter related to a delinquent client account and a year-to-date \$1.9 million decrease in interest expense related to Sotheby s 7.75% Senior Notes. The repurchase of Sotheby s 7.75% Senior Notes in the fourth quarter of 2010 will reduce annual interest expense by approximately \$3.7 million in each of the years in the periods 2011 to 2014 and approximately \$1.8 million in 2015. (See statement on Forward Looking Statements.)

Income Tax Expense

Quarterly tax expense (benefit) is calculated using an estimated annual effective income tax rate for the period using actual historical information and forward looking estimates. The estimated annual effective income tax rate may fluctuate due to changes in forecasted annual pre-tax income, changes in the jurisdictional mix of forecasted pre-tax income and changes to actual or forecasted permanent book to tax differences (i.e., non-deductible expenses). Furthermore, the effective income tax rate may fluctuate as the result of positive or negative changes to the valuation allowance for net deferred tax assets and the impact of future tax settlements with state, federal or foreign tax authorities; or the impact of tax law changes. Management identifies items which are unusual and non-recurring in nature and treats these as discrete events. The tax effect of discrete items is booked entirely in the quarter in which the discrete event occurs.

As discussed above, changes in the jurisdictional mix of forecasted pre-tax income could result in pre-tax income being higher or lower than anticipated in countries with lower statutory tax rates than the U.S., which could cause Sotheby s effective income tax rate to fluctuate. The impact of such changes could be meaningful in countries with statutory tax rates that are significantly lower than the U.S. statutory tax rate of 35%. This is particularly true in countries where Sotheby s has significant auction operations such as the U.K., Hong Kong and Switzerland where the statutory tax rates are approximately 27%, 17% and 23%, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2010, pre-tax income of the U.K., Hong Kong and Switzerland was \$87.3 million, \$47.1 million and \$18.5 million, respectively, which cumulatively comprised approximately 81% of foreign pre-tax income and approximately 68% of consolidated pre-tax income.

Management anticipates that Sotheby s full year effective income tax rate for 2011, excluding discrete items, will be approximately 29%. This anticipated full year effective income tax rate is lower than the U.S. statutory tax rate of 35% primarily due to foreign earnings taxed at lower rates, partially offset by state and local income taxes and additional U.S. tax on earnings from foreign subsidiaries which are not able to be fully offset by foreign tax credits. (See statement on Forward Looking Statements.)

Sotheby s effective income tax rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 is 28.6% compared to 32.4% and 32.5%, respectively, in the prior year. The decrease in Sotheby s anticipated full year effective income tax rate is primarily due to a decrease in forecasted permanent book to tax differences relative to forecasted pre-tax income levels. This decrease is principally related to U.S. tax regulations governing the treatment of income earned by controlled foreign corporations. These tax regulations, which expired on December 31, 2009 and had an adverse impact on the anticipated 2010 full year effective income tax rate, were subsequently extended in December 2010. This extension is to be applied retroactively from January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2011. The anticipated full year effective income tax rate was also reduced by the impact of the increase in the proportionate share of forecasted pre-tax income in countries with lower statutory rates than the U.S. when compared to the prior year. The decrease in the income tax expense rate is partially offset by the impact of a benefit recognized in the prior year related to the release of a valuation allowance previously recorded for state and local net operating losses.

Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 740, *Income Taxes*, requires a valuation allowance to be established when it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. In circumstances where there is sufficient negative evidence, establishment of a valuation allowance must be considered. A cumulative loss in the most recent three-year period represents sufficient negative evidence to consider a valuation allowance under the provisions of ASC 740. In 2009, as a result of cumulative three year losses in certain state and local jurisdictions and management s assessment of Sotheby s ability to utilize certain state and local deferred tax assets, including net operating losses against projected income, a valuation allowance of \$14.8 million was established.

Management continues to monitor Sotheby s cumulative three-year loss position for state purposes, together with all other available evidence, in its determination of whether it is more likely than not that Sotheby s will realize its state deferred tax assets. If Sotheby s continues to meet its forecast of future earnings, management anticipates being in a three-year cumulative income position and may have the requisite evidence to reverse all or a portion of the valuation allowance related to state deferred tax assets within the next 12 months. This would have a favorable impact on the effective tax rate in future reporting periods. (See statement on Forward Looking Statements.)

Impact of Changes in Foreign Currency Exchange Rates

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, changes in foreign currency exchange rates had a net favorable impact of approximately \$10.5 million and \$11.2 million, respectively, on Sotheby s results. The components of the impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates are as follows (in thousands of dollars):

| | Favorable/ |
|--|---------------|
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | (Unfavorable) |
| Total revenues | \$ 19,249 |
| Total expenses | (8,468) |
| Operating income | 10,781 |
| Net interest expense and other | (257) |
| Impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates | \$ 10,524 |
| | Favorable/ |

| | 014010 |
|-------|------------|
| (Unfa | avorable) |
| \$ | 21,022 |
| | (9,601) |
| | 11,421 |
| | (240) |
| \$ | 11,181 |
| | <u>`</u> . |

Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

The following is a reconciliation of net income to EBITDA for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands of dollars):

| Three Months Ended June 30, | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|------------|-----------|
| Net income | \$ 127,234 | \$ 86,239 |
| Income tax expense | 50,806 | 41,248 |
| Income tax expense related to earnings from equity investees | 124 | 19 |
| Net interest expense | 10,012 | 11,332 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 4,241 | 4,081 |

| EBITDA | \$ 192,417 | \$ 142,919 |
|--|------------|------------|
| | | |
| Six Months Ended June 30, | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net income | \$ 129,655 | \$ 84,062 |
| Income tax expense | 51,837 | 40,332 |
| Income tax expense related to earnings from equity investees | 43 | 132 |
| Net interest expense | 17,810 | 22,598 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 8,342 | 8,452 |
| | | |

\$ 207,687 \$ 155,576

31

EBITDA

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMITMENTS

The following table summarizes Sotheby s material contractual obligations and commitments as of June 30, 2011 (in thousands of dollars):

| | | Payments Due by Period Less Than | | | After 5 |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| | Total | One Year | 1 to 3 Years | 3 to 5 Years | Years |
| York Property Mortgage (1): | | | | | |
| Principal | \$ 232,259 | \$ 3,134 | \$ 6,877 | \$ 222,248 | \$ |
| Interest | 51,272 | 12,870 | 25,130 | 13,272 | |
| | | | | | |
| Sub-total | 283,531 | 16,004 | 32,007 | 235,520 | |
| | | | | | |
| Unsecured debt (2): | | | | | |
| Principal payments | 279,971 | 18,132 | 181,868 | 79,971 | |
| Interest payments | 36,009 | 11,715 | 18,096 | 6,198 | |
| | | | | | |
| Sub-total | 315,980 | 29,847 | 199,964 | 86,169 | |
| | | | * | | |
| Other commitments: | | | | | |
| Operating lease obligations (3) | 122,829 | 16,141 | 30,685 | 26,636 | 49,367 |
| Employment arrangements (4) | 23,706 | 9,359 | 10,868 | 2,878 | 601 |
| Uncertain tax positions (5) | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Sub-total | 146,535 | 25,500 | 41,553 | 29,514 | 49,968 |
| | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 746,046 | \$ 71,351 | \$ 273,524 | \$ 351,203 | \$ 49,968 |
| | ÷ . 10,0 10 | 1,001 | ÷ =:0,0 = : | ÷ :::1, 2 00 | ÷,> 00 |

- (1) Represents the outstanding principal and monthly interest payments due on the York Property Mortgage. The York Property Mortgage matures on July 1, 2035, has an optional pre-payment date of July 1, 2015, and bears an annual interest rate of approximately 5.6%, which increases subsequent to July 1, 2015. It is Sotheby s current intention to either pre-pay or refinance the mortgage on or about July 1, 2015.
- (2) Represents the aggregate outstanding principal and semi-annual interest payments due on Sotheby s \$80 million 7.75% Senior Notes (the Senior Notes) and \$200 million 3.125% Convertible Notes (the Convertible Notes). The Senior Notes are due on June 15, 2015. The Convertible Notes have a stated maturity date of June 15, 2013, but may be exercised during any fiscal quarter if the closing price of Sotheby s Common Stock exceeds \$44.20 per share (i.e., 130% of the conversion price of the Convertible Notes) for at least 20 trading days in the period of 30 consecutive trading days at the end of the previous quarter (the Stock Price Trigger). The Convertible Notes were convertible at the option of the holders for a period beginning on April 1, 2011 and ending on June 30, 2011 as a result of the Stock Price Trigger being met in the first quarter of 2011. In June 2011, Sotheby s received conversion requests totaling a principal amount of \$18.1 million from holders of the Convertible Notes. Sotheby s has notified these holders that it will pay the entire Conversion Obligation (i.e., principal amount plus conversion premium) in cash. Management estimates that the Conversion Obligation related to these conversion requests will be approximately \$24 million (\$18.1 million related to principal and approximately \$6 million related to the conversion premium). The conversion premium is not reflected in the table above as this amount will not be fixed until just before the settlement date in August 2011. The Stock Price Trigger was not met in the second quarter of 2011 and, as a result, the Convertible Notes will not be convertible in the third quarter of 2011. (See Note 6 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, Liquidity and Capital Resources below and statement on Forward Looking Statements.)
- (3) Represents rental payments due under Sotheby s operating lease obligations.

- (4) Represents the remaining commitment for future salaries and other cash compensation (excluding any participation in Sotheby s incentive compensation and share-based payment programs) related to employment arrangements with certain senior employees, which expire at various points between February 2012 and March 2017. Such arrangements may provide, among other benefits, for minimum salary levels and for incentive compensation under Sotheby s incentive compensation programs which is payable only if specified company and individual goals are attained. Additionally, certain of these arrangements provide for annual equity grants, the accelerated vesting of certain equity grants, severance payments, other cash compensation, and continuation of benefits upon termination of employment under certain circumstances.
- (5) Excludes the \$19.9 million liability recorded for uncertain tax positions that would be settled by cash payments to the respective taxing authorities, which are classified as long-term liabilities in Sotheby s June 30, 2011 Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. This liability is excluded from the table above because management is unable to make reliable estimates of the period of settlement with the respective taxing authorities. (See Note 13 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for more detailed information on uncertain tax positions.)

OFF BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

Auction Guarantees

From time to time in the ordinary course of its business, Sotheby s will guarantee to consignors a minimum sale price in connection with the sale of property at auction (an auction guarantee). In the event that the property sells for less than the guaranteed price, Sotheby s must perform under the auction guarantee by funding the difference between the sale price at auction and the amount of the auction guarantee. Sotheby s is generally entitled to a share of the excess proceeds (the overage) if the property under the auction guarantee sells above the guaranteed price. If the property does not sell, the amount of the auction guarantee must be paid, but Sotheby s has the right to recover such amount through the future sale of the property. In these situations, the guaranteed property is recorded as Inventory on Sotheby s balance sheet at the lower of cost (i.e., the amount paid under the auction guarantee) or management s estimate of the property s net realizable value (i.e., expected sale price upon disposition). The sale proceeds ultimately realized by Sotheby s in these situations may equal, exceed or be less than the amount recorded as Inventory on Sotheby s balance sheet.

Sotheby s may reduce its financial exposure under auction guarantees through risk and reward sharing arrangements, which include:

Contractual arrangements under which a counterparty contractually commits to bid a predetermined price for the guaranteed property (an irrevocable bid). If the irrevocable bid is the winning bid, the counterparty purchases the property at the predetermined price plus the applicable buyer s premium, which is the same amount that any other successful bidder would pay at that price. If the irrevocable bid is not the winning bid, the counterparty is generally entitled to receive a share of the auction commission earned on the sale and/or a share of any overage.

Contractual arrangements under which a counterparty contractually commits to fund: (i) a share of the difference between the sale price at auction and the amount of the auction guarantee, if the property sells for less than the guaranteed price, or (ii) a share of the guaranteed price, if the property does not sell while taking ownership of a proportionate share of the unsold property. In exchange for accepting a share of the financial exposure under the auction guarantee, if the property sells, the counterparty is entitled to receive a share of the auction commission earned on the sale and/or a share of any overage.

The counterparties to these auction guarantee risk and reward sharing arrangements are typically major international art dealers or major art collectors. So theby s could be exposed to losses in the event any of these counterparties do not perform according to the terms of these contractual arrangements.

As of June 30, 2011 and August 3, 2011, Sotheby s had an outstanding auction guarantee of \$7.5 million. The minimum sales price related to this auction guarantee is within the presale estimates for the property. Sotheby s financial exposure under this auction guarantee is eliminated by an irrevocable bid from an unaffiliated third party. The property related to this auction guarantee will be offered at auction in the fourth quarter of 2011.

CONTINGENCIES

For information related to Contingencies, see Notes 5, 9, 10 and 13 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

UNCERTAIN TAX POSITIONS

Table of Contents

For information related to uncertain tax positions, see Note 13 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

FINANCIAL CONDITION AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

Cash Provided by Operating Activities The amount of cash provided or used by Sotheby's operating activities in a period is generally a reflection of the volume of Net Auction Sales, the timing of auction sale settlements (see Note 5 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements), the timing of payments made to vendors, the amount and timing of compensation-related payments and the timing of the collection and/or payment of tax-related amounts. Net cash provided by operating activities of \$141.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 is principally a reflection of the volume of Net Auction Sales during the period, as well as the timing of the settlement of auction sales.

Cash Provided by Investing Activities Net cash provided by investing activities of \$49.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 is principally attributable to net cash inflows of \$88 million related to notes receivable in the period, as there was a higher level of loan collections than issuances during the period. This net cash inflow is partially offset by a \$30.6 million increase in restricted cash, which is primarily the result of a higher balance of net auction proceeds owed to consignors that are held in segregated accounts due to the statutory requirements of certain foreign entities. Net cash provided by operating activities is also partially offset by \$8.5 million in capital expenditures, a large portion of which were made to support certain Sotheby s strategic initiatives, including the further enhancement of the sothebys.com website and investments made to enhance Sotheby s private sale exhibition space.

Cash Used by Financing Activities Net cash used by financing activities of \$16.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 is principally due to the funding of employee tax obligations upon the vesting of equity awards during the period (\$18.5 million). To a lesser extent, net cash used by financing activities was impacted by \$6.9 million in dividend payments. These financing cash outflows are partially offset by \$7.2 million in excess tax benefits resulting from the vesting and exercise of employee equity awards during the period. Due to the significant appreciation of Sotheby s stock price over the last three years, Sotheby s equity awards vested at values significantly higher than the value at which such awards had been expensed in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. Such circumstances result in a higher tax deduction for Sotheby s. (See Note 12 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.)

NET CASH (DEBT)

The table below summarizes Sotheby s net cash (debt) position as of June 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010 (in thousands of dollars):

| | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | June 30, 2010 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 666,181 | \$ 483,663 | \$ 462,549 |
| Debt: | | | |
| Convertible Notes (\$18 million principal due August 2011 and | | | |
| \$182 million principal due June 2013) | (183,222) | (179,335) | (175,596) |
| Senior Notes (\$80 million principal due June 2015) | (79,143) | (79,073) | (126,852) |
| York Property Mortgage (\$232 million principal due July 2015) | (218,020) | (217,538) | (217,178) |
| Total debt | (480,385) | (475,946) | (519,626) |
| Net cash (debt) | \$ 185,796 | \$ 7,717 | \$ (57,077) |

The improvement in Sotheby s net cash (debt) position as of June 30, 2011 when compared to June 30, 2010 is primarily attributable to a significantly higher level of cash, which is principally a function of Sotheby s higher operating results during the twelve months ended June 30, 2011.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Cash and Cash Equivalents As of June 30, 2011, Sotheby s had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$666.2 million, of which \$89.5 million was held in the U.S. and \$576.7 million was held by foreign subsidiaries. The focus of Sotheby s current investment policy is to preserve principal and ensure short-term liquidity. Accordingly, current cash balances are invested in overnight deposits at the highest rated banks.

Revolving Credit Facility On August 31, 2009, Sotheby s and certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries (collectively, the Borrowers) entered into a credit agreement (the Credit Agreement) with an international syndicate of lenders led by General Electric Capital Corporation (GE Capital). The credit agreement was amended by Sotheby s, GE Capital and the lenders named therein (collectively, the Lenders). The amendment, among other things, extended the maturity date of the Credit Agreement, reduced borrowing costs and fees, and provided additional flexibility to Sotheby s.

The following summary does not purport to be a complete summary of the Credit Agreement, as amended, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Credit Agreement and each of the respective amendments, copies of which were filed as exhibits to previous SEC filings. Terms used, but not defined in this summary, have the meanings set forth in the Credit Agreement and each of the respective amendments.

The Credit Agreement, as amended, provides for a \$200 million revolving credit facility (the Revolving Credit Facility), subject to a borrowing base, and has a feature whereby any time on or before March 1, 2014 the Borrowers may request, with Lenders approval, to increase the borrowing capacity of the Credit Agreement, as amended, by an amount not to exceed \$50 million. In addition, up to \$10 million of the Revolving Credit Facility may be used to issue letters of credit. Sotheby s has not borrowed or issued any letters of credit under the Revolving Credit Facility since its inception. As of June 30, 2011, the amount of available borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility was approximately \$98.3 million, as calculated under the borrowing base provided by the Credit Agreement, as amended.

Borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility are available in either Dollars to U.S. Borrowers or Pounds Sterling to U.K. Borrowers. The U.S. Borrowers and, subject to certain limitations, the U.K. Borrowers, are jointly and severally liable for all obligations under the Credit Agreement, as amended. In addition, certain subsidiaries of the Borrowers guarantee the obligations of the Borrowers under the Credit Agreement, as amended. The obligations under the Credit Agreement, as amended. The obligations under the Credit Agreement, as amended, are secured by liens on all or substantially all of the personal property of the Borrowers and the Guarantors. Borrowings are, at the Borrowers option, either Dollar Index Rate Loans (for U.S. Borrowers only) or LIBOR Rate Loans. Dollar Index Rate Loans bear interest at a rate per annum equal to (a) the highest of (i) the Prime Rate as quoted in *The Wall Street Journal*, (ii) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.50%, or (iii) the LIBOR Rate plus 1.0%, *plus* (b) the Applicable Margin, as defined in the Credit Facility. The LIBOR Rate for Dollars or Sterling, as the case may be, for an interest period is equal to (x) the offered rate for deposits in such currency for a period equal to such interest period on the Reuters Screen LIBOR01 Page, plus (y) the Applicable Margin, as defined in the Credit Agreement, as amended, and which is generally 2.50% to 3.00% based upon the level of outstanding borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility.

The Credit Agreement, as amended, contains certain customary affirmative and negative covenants including, but not limited to, limitations on capital expenditures, limitations on net outstanding auction guarantees and limitations on the use of proceeds from borrowings under the Credit Agreement, as amended. The Credit Agreement, as amended, also restricts quarterly dividend payments to the lesser of \$0.10 per share or \$8 million. However, the maximum level of quarterly dividend payments may be increased depending upon Sotheby s achievement of a certain Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio in any period. Management believes that Sotheby s is in compliance with the covenants and terms of the Credit Agreement, as amended.

The Credit Agreement, as amended, also contains certain financial covenants, which are only applicable during certain compliance periods. These financial covenants were not applicable for the twelve month period ended June 30, 2011.

The maturity date of the Credit Agreement, as amended, is September 1, 2014, subject to Sotheby s meeting certain liquidity requirements during the period commencing on December 15, 2012 and ending on the date Sotheby s 3.125% Convertible Notes (the Convertible Notes) are converted or discharged.

Liquidity and Capital Requirements Sotheby s generally relies on operating cash flows and existing cash balances, supplemented by Revolving Credit Facility borrowings, if needed, to meet its liquidity and capital requirements. The timing and extent of any borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility is dependent upon a number of factors including, but not limited to, the cyclical nature of the global art market, the seasonality of Sotheby s business, the timing of auction sale settlements and the funding of notes receivable and consignor advances.

As discussed above, as of June 30, 2011, Sotheby s had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$666.2 million, of which \$89.5 million was held in the U.S. and \$576.7 million was held by foreign subsidiaries. Of the \$576.7 million in foreign cash balances, approximately \$516.7 million would be subject to additional income taxes if repatriated. Based on current projections and management s planned use of foreign cash balances, it is expected that this \$516.7 million in foreign cash will be indefinitely reinvested outside of the U.S. and will not need to be repatriated to fund Sotheby s U.S. operations or debt repayments. (See statement on Forward Looking Statements.)

Sotheby s short-term operating needs and capital requirements include the funding of working capital, the funding of notes receivable and consignor advances, the funding of debt repayments, the funding of capital expenditures and the payment of dividends, as well as the funding of the other short-term commitments due on or before June 30, 2012 as summarized in the table of contractual obligations and commitments above. Capital expenditures are expected to be in the range of \$20 million to \$24 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The expected higher level of capital expenditures as compared to 2010 is due, in part, to expenditures to support certain of Sotheby s strategic initiatives, including investments in the expansion of Sotheby s facilities in Hong Kong and in the enhancement of Sotheby s private sale exhibition space. (See statement on Forward Looking Statements.)

Sotheby s long-term operating needs and capital requirements include the funding of working capital, the funding of notes receivable and consignor advances, the funding of capital expenditures, as well as the funding of the presently anticipated long-term contractual obligations and commitments summarized in the table of contractual obligations and commitments above.

Management believes that operating cash flows and existing cash balances, supplemented by Revolving Credit Facility borrowings, if needed, will be adequate to meet Sotheby s anticipated short-term and long-term commitments, operating needs and capital requirements through the September 1, 2014 expiration of the Credit Agreement, as amended.

Sotheby s 3.125% Convertible Notes were convertible at the option of the holders during the fiscal quarter beginning on April 1, 2011 and ending on June 30, 2011. In June 2011, Sotheby s received conversion requests totaling a principal amount of \$18.1 million from holders of the Convertible Notes. Sotheby s has notified these holders that it will pay the entire Conversion Obligation (i.e., principal amount plus conversion premium) in cash. Management estimates that the Conversion Obligation related to these conversion requests will be approximately \$24 million (\$18.1 million related to principal and \$6 million related to the conversion premium). Sotheby s will simultaneously recover the cash paid to fund the conversion premium through its exercise of a portion of the convertible note hedges that were entered into in June 2008, which are intended to offset the impact of any conversion premium associated with Convertible Note redemptions. The settlement of the June 2011 conversion requests and the corresponding convertible note hedges will occur in August 2011. Management does not expect the settlement of these transactions to have a material effect on Sotheby s future earnings. (See statement on Forward Looking Statements. See Contractual Obligations and Commitments above and Note 6 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.)

The Stock Price Trigger related to the Convertible Notes was not met during the second quarter of 2011. Therefore, the \$182 million in principal amount of the Convertible Notes that will remain outstanding following the conversions discussed above will have a maturity date of June 15, 2013 through at least September 30, 2011.

In light of Sotheby s significant cash balances and continued improved liquidity position, management will continue to assess opportunities to invest its excess liquidity in operations, as well as in traditional corporate finance alternatives, including further repurchases of the 7.75% Senior Notes, some action with respect to the dividend and/or a repurchase of shares of Common Stock, subject to the amount and timing of any further Convertible Note redemptions before the original June 15, 2013 maturity date.

FUTURE IMPACT OF RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2009 13, *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements*, which is codified in ASC 605, *Revenue Recognition*. This update addresses the unit of accounting for arrangements involving multiple deliverables and how to allocate arrangement consideration to one or more units of accounting. It eliminates the criteria that objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of any undelivered items must exist for the delivered items to be considered separate units of accounting. This update was effective starting in the first quarter of 2011 and did not impact Sotheby s financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011 05, *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*, which is codified in ASC 220, *Comprehensive Income*. This update eliminates the option for entities to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in shareholder s equity and requires entities to report the components of comprehensive income (i.e., net income and other comprehensive income) in either: (1) a single, continuous statement of comprehensive income or (2) two separate, but consecutive, statements. ASU 2011-05 does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income and does not require incremental disclosures in addition to those already required by ASC 220. This update will be effective for Sotheby s quarterly and annual financial statements beginning in the first quarter of 2012. Management is currently evaluating the adoption alternatives provided by ASU 2011-05.

RECENT LEGISLATION

In March 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 were signed into law in the U.S. This legislation expands health care coverage to many uninsured individuals and expands coverage to those already insured. The changes required by this legislation could result in additional health care and other costs for Sotheby s. Management does not expect any material short-term impact on Sotheby s financial results as a result of the legislation but will continue to assess the extent of any future impact. Sotheby s does not provide retiree health benefits, and therefore will not be impacted by the elimination of the tax deductibility of the Medicare Part D subsidy resulting from this legislation. (See statement on Forward Looking Statements.)

In July 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act) was signed into law in the U.S. This legislation will significantly change the current banking and financial institution regulatory structure and affect the lending, deposit, investment, trading and operating activities of such financial institutions. Management continues to review the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act, and its associated regulations, many of which will be phased-in over time, and to assess their impact on Sotheby s operations.

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 10-Q contains certain forward looking statements; as such term is defined in Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, relating to future events and the financial performance of Sotheby s. Such statements are only predictions and involve risks and uncertainties, resulting in the possibility that the actual events or performance will differ materially from such predictions. Major factors which could cause the actual results to differ materially from the predicted results in the forward looking statements include, but are not limited to, the factors listed below under Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors, which are not ranked in any particular order.

ITEM 3: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Sotheby s continually evaluates the market risk associated with its financial instruments in the normal course of its business. As of June 30, 2011, Sotheby s material financial instruments include: (i) cash and cash equivalents, (ii) restricted cash, (iii) notes receivable, (iv) the trust assets related to its deferred compensation liability, (v) the York Property Mortgage, (vi) its 7.75% Senior Notes, (vii) its 3.125% Convertible Notes, (viii) the current liability related to the embedded conversion option in its Convertible Notes, (ix) the current asset related to the Convertible Note Hedges, (x) its deferred compensation liability and (xi) its outstanding forward exchange contracts.

Management believes that the interest rate risk associated with its financial instruments is minimal as a hypothetical 10% increase or decrease in interest rates is immaterial to its cash flow, earnings, and the fair value related to its financial instruments.

As of June 30, 2011, a hypothetical 10% strengthening or weakening of the U.S. dollar relative to all other currencies would result in a decrease or increase in cash flow of approximately \$57.6 million.

Sotheby s utilizes forward exchange contracts to hedge cash flow exposures related to foreign currency exchange rate movements, which primarily arise from short-term foreign currency denominated intercompany balances and, to a lesser extent when necessary, foreign currency denominated client payable balances. Such forward exchange contracts are typically short-term with settlement dates less than six months from their inception. Additionally, on rare occasions, Sotheby s purchases foreign currency option contracts to hedge foreign currency risks associated with amounts payable to consignors as a result of the sale of property at auction. As of June 30, 2011, the notional value of forward exchange contracts outstanding was \$31.7 million. Notional amounts do not quantify risk or represent assets or liabilities, but are used in the calculation of cash settlements under such contracts. Sotheby s is exposed to credit-related risks in the event of nonperformance by the three counterparties to its forward exchange contracts. Sotheby s does not expect any counterparties to fail to meet their obligations, given their high credit ratings.

ITEM 4: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of June 30, 2011, Sotheby s has carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of Sotheby s management, including Sotheby s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of Sotheby s disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, Sotheby s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that Sotheby s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)) were effective as of June 30, 2011.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in Sotheby s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during Sotheby s most recent fiscal quarter that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, Sotheby s internal control over financial reporting.

PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1: LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

So the by s becomes involved in various claims and lawsuits incidental to the ordinary course of its business, including the matter described below. While it is not possible to predict the outcome of litigation, management does not believe that the outcome of any of these pending claims or proceedings will have a material adverse effect on So the by s consolidated results of operations, financial condition and/or cash flows.

Friesland Bank NV (the Bank) *v. Sotheby s Financial Services Ltd. and Sotheby s* (the Sotheby s Parties) was a dispute between the Bank, which is located in the Netherlands, and the Sotheby s Parties regarding the priority of their respective pledges over a U.K. collection of books and manuscripts (the U.K. Collection) owned by Joost Ritman, a Dutch national. Pursuant to a Loan, Private Treaty Sale and Consignment Agreement dated May 29, 2009 between Mr. Ritman (and Ritman owned entities) and the Sotheby s Parties (the Sotheby s Agreement), Mr. Ritman pledged the U.K. Collection to Sotheby s Financial Services Ltd. as collateral for a loan made by Sotheby s Financial Services Ltd. in the sum of 5 million. In November 2010, the Bank notified the Sotheby s Parties that in July 2005 Mr. Ritman had entered into a prior Deed of Pledge with the Bank and that the U.K. Collection formed part of the collateral for the Bank s loan to Mr. Ritman in 2005 in the sum of approximately 20 million. In April 2011, the Bank commenced legal proceedings against the Sotheby s Parties. The issues between the Bank and the Sotheby s Parties were whether (i) the later English possessory pledge to the Sotheby s Parties had priority over an earlier Dutch non-possessory pledge to the Bank at the time that they entered into the Sotheby s Agreement in 2009. The litigation commenced by the Bank against the Sotheby s Parties was settled on June 3, 2011 and the legal proceedings have been discontinued. As a result of the settlement, the loan and any related interest have been paid in-full.

ITEM 1A: RISK FACTORS

So theby s operating results and liquidity are significantly influenced by a number of risk factors, many of which are not within its control. These factors, which are not ranked in any particular order, are discussed below.

The global economy and the financial markets and political conditions of various countries may negatively affect Sotheby s business and customers, as well as the supply of and demand for works of art.

The international art market is influenced over time by the overall strength and stability of the global economy and the financial markets of various countries, although this correlation may not be immediately evident. In addition, global political conditions and world events may affect Sotheby s business through their effect on the economies of various countries, as well as on the willingness of potential buyers and sellers to purchase and sell art in the wake of economic uncertainty. Sotheby s business can be particularly influenced by the economies, financial markets and political conditions of the U.S., the U.K., China and the other major countries or territories of Europe and Asia. Accordingly, weakness in those economies and financial markets can adversely affect the supply of and demand for works of art and Sotheby s business. Furthermore, global political conditions may also influence the enactment of legislation that could adversely impact Sotheby s business.

Government laws and regulation may restrict or limit Sotheby s business.

Many of Sotheby s activities are subject to laws and regulations including, but not limited to, import and export regulations, cultural property ownership laws, data protection and privacy laws, anti-money laundering laws, antitrust laws and value added sales taxes. In addition, Sotheby s is subject to local auction regulations, such as New York City Auction Regulations Subchapter M of Title 6 §§ 2-121 2-125, et. seq. Such regulations do not impose a material impediment to the worldwide business of Sotheby s, but do affect the market generally, and a material adverse change in such regulations could affect its business. Additionally, export and import laws and cultural property ownership laws could affect the availability of certain kinds of property for sale at Sotheby s principal auction locations or could increase the cost of moving property to such locations.

Foreign currency exchange rate movements can significantly increase or decrease Sotheby s results of operations.

Sotheby s has operations throughout the world, with approximately 59% of its revenues earned outside of the U.S. in 2010. Revenues and expenses relating to Sotheby s foreign operations are translated using weighted average monthly exchange rates during the period in which they are recognized. Accordingly, fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, particularly for the Pound Sterling and the Euro, can significantly increase or decrease Sotheby s results of operations.

Competition in the international art market is intense and may adversely impact Sotheby s results of operations.

So the by s competes with other auctioneers and art dealers to obtain valuable consignments to offer for sale either at auction or through private sale. The level of competition is intense and can adversely impact So the by s ability to obtain valuable consignments for sale, as well as the commission margins achieved on such consignments.

Sotheby s cannot be assured of the amount and quality of property consigned for sale at auction, which may cause significant variability in its financial results.

The amount and quality of property consigned for sale is influenced by a number of factors not within Sotheby s control. Many major consignments, and specifically single-owner sale consignments, often become available as a result of the death or financial or marital difficulties of the owner, all of which are unpredictable and may cause significant variability in Sotheby s financial results from period to period.

The demand for art is unpredictable, which may cause significant variability in Sotheby s financial results.

The demand for art is influenced not only by overall economic conditions, but also by changing trends in the art market as to which collecting categories and artists are most sought after and by the collecting preferences of individual collectors, all of which are difficult to predict and which may adversely impact the ability of Sotheby s to obtain and sell consigned property, potentially causing significant variability in Sotheby s financial results from period to period.

The loss of key personnel could adversely impact Sotheby s ability to compete.

Sotheby s is largely a service business in which the ability of its employees to develop and maintain relationships with potential sellers and buyers of works of art is essential to its success. Moreover, Sotheby s business is unique, making it important to retain key specialists and members of management. Accordingly, Sotheby s business is highly dependent upon its success in attracting and retaining qualified personnel.

Sotheby s ability to conduct business at its New York City office could be disrupted by a work stoppage involving unionized employees.

On June 30, 2011, the collective bargaining agreement applicable to 42 property handlers employed at Sotheby s New York City office expired. During the process of negotiations with the union representing the property handlers, the union made statements to the media threatening a strike. In order to avoid the impact of the union s threatened strike during the upcoming sales season and in support of Sotheby s bargaining proposals, Sotheby s locked out members of the bargaining unit on July 29, 2011. Although management cannot predict the ultimate outcome of the negotiations and any associated work disruption, Sotheby s is committed to a good faith bargaining process and resolution of this matter. In the interim, Sotheby s ability to conduct business at its New York City office could be disrupted by the lockout, though management has contingency plans in place that could minimize any potential disruption that could be caused by the lockout.

The strategic initiatives and restructuring plan being implemented by Sotheby s may not succeed.

Sotheby s is implementing certain strategic initiatives and a restructuring plan, which are being relied on to improve client service, reallocate resources to emerging markets and ultimately improve long-term profitability. Accordingly, Sotheby s future operating results are dependent in part on management s success in implementing these initiatives. Additionally, the implementation of Sotheby s strategic plans could unfavorably impact its short-term operating results. (See statement on Forward Looking Statements.)

Sotheby s relies on a small number of clients who make a significant contribution to its revenues, profitability and operating cash flows.

Sotheby s relies on a small number of clients who make a significant contribution to its revenues, profitability and operating cash flows. Accordingly, Sotheby s revenues, profitability and operating cash flows are highly dependent upon its ability to develop and maintain relationships with this small group of clients, as well as the financial strength of these clients.

In certain limited circumstances, Sotheby s will pay the consignor before payment is collected from the buyer and/or allows the buyer to take possession of the property before payment is received.

Under the standard terms and conditions of its auction sales, Sotheby s is not obligated to pay consignors for property that has not been paid for by buyers. However, in certain limited instances, Sotheby s will pay the consignor before payment is collected from the buyer while retaining possession of the property. In such situations, if the buyer does not make payment, Sotheby s will take title to the property, but could be exposed to losses if the value of the property declines. In other certain limited instances, Sotheby s allows the buyer to take possession of the property before payment is received. In these situations, Sotheby s is liable to the seller for the net sale proceeds whether or not the buyer makes payment and would incur losses in the event of buyer default.

Sotheby s ability to collect auction receivables may be adversely impacted by the banking and foreign currency laws and regulations, as well as the judicial systems, of the countries in which it operates and in which its clients reside.

Sotheby s operates in 40 countries and has a worldwide client base. The collection of auction receivables may be adversely impacted by the banking and foreign currency laws and regulations regarding the movement of funds out of certain countries, as well by Sotheby s ability to enforce its rights as a creditor in jurisdictions where the applicable laws and regulations may be less defined, particularly in emerging markets.

Demand for art-related financing is unpredictable, which may cause variability in Sotheby s financial results.

Sotheby s business is, in part, dependent on the demand for art-related financing, which can be significantly influenced by overall economic conditions and by the often unpredictable financial requirements of owners of major art collections. Accordingly, the financial results of Sotheby s Finance segment are subject to variability from period to period.

The ability of Sotheby s to realize proceeds from the sale of collateral for Finance segment loans may be limited or delayed.

In situations when there are competing claims on the collateral for Finance segment loans and/or when a borrower becomes subject to bankruptcy or insolvency laws, Sotheby s ability to realize proceeds from the sale of its collateral may be limited or delayed.

The value of art is subjective and often fluctuates, exposing Sotheby s to losses in the value of its inventory and loan collateral and significant variability in its financial results.

The art market is not a highly liquid trading market. As a result, the valuation of art is inherently subjective, and the realizable value of art often fluctuates over time. Accordingly, Sotheby s is at risk both as to the realizable value of art held in inventory and as to the realizable value of art pledged as collateral for Finance segment loans.

In estimating the realizable value of art, management relies upon the opinions of Sotheby s specialists, who consider the following complex array of factors when valuing art: (i) whether the artwork is expected to be offered at auction or sold privately; (ii) the supply and demand for works of art, taking into account economic conditions and changing trends in the art market as to which collecting categories and artists are most sought after; and (iii) recent sale prices achieved in the art market for comparable works of art within a particular collecting category and/or by a particular artist.

If the estimated realizable value of a specific artwork held in inventory is less than its carrying value, a loss is recorded to reduce the carrying value of the artwork to management s estimate of realizable value. In addition, if the estimated realizable value of the art pledged as collateral for Finance segment loans is less than the corresponding loan balance, management assesses whether it is necessary to record a loss to reduce the carrying value of the loan, after taking into account the ability of the borrower to repay any shortfall between the value of the collateral and the amount of the loan. These factors may cause significant variability in Sotheby s financial results from period to period.

Sotheby s could be exposed to losses in the event of title or authenticity claims arising from sales or auctions of works of art.

The assessment of works of art offered for sale or auction can involve potential claims regarding title and authenticity. Items sold by Sotheby s may be subject to statutory warranties as to title and to a limited guarantee as to authenticity under the Conditions of Sale and Terms of Guarantee that are published in Sotheby s auction sale catalogues. In the event of a title or authenticity claim against Sotheby s, the Company may have recourse against the seller of the property and may have the benefit of insurance, but a claim could nevertheless expose Sotheby s to losses and to reputational risk.

Auction guarantees create the risk of loss resulting from the potential inaccurate valuation of art.

As discussed above, the art market is not a highly liquid trading market and, as a result, the valuation of art is inherently subjective. Accordingly, Sotheby s is at risk with respect to management s ability to estimate the likely selling prices of works of art offered with auction guarantees. If management s judgments about the likely selling prices of works of art offered with auction guarantees, there could be a significant adverse impact on Sotheby s results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

Sotheby s could be exposed to losses in the event of nonperformance by its counterparties in auction guarantee risk and reward sharing arrangements.

In certain situations, Sotheby s reduces its financial exposure under auction guarantees through risk and reward sharing arrangements. Sotheby s counterparties to these risk and reward sharing arrangements are typically major international art dealers or major art collectors. Sotheby s could be exposed to losses in the event any of these counterparties do not perform according to the terms of these arrangements.

Sotheby s Convertible Notes have early conversion features that, if triggered, could reduce its liquidity in the short-term.

Sotheby s 3.125% Convertible Notes have a stated maturity date of June 15, 2013, but may be exercised during any fiscal quarter if the closing price of Sotheby s Common Stock exceeds \$44.20 per share (i.e., 130% of the conversion price of the Convertible Notes) for at least 20 trading days in the period of 30 consecutive trading days at the end of the previous quarter (the Stock Price Trigger). It is Sotheby s current intent and policy to settle up to the principal amount of the Convertible Notes in cash. The Stock Price Trigger was not met during the second quarter of 2011 and, as a result, the Convertible Notes will not be convertible for the fiscal quarter beginning on July 1, 2011 and ending on September 30, 2011. However, if the Convertible Notes were to become exercisable during any fiscal quarter subsequent to September 30, 2011, and if any holders of the Convertible Notes elected to exercise during such periods, Sotheby s liquidity would be reduced.

Future costs and obligations related to the Sotheby s U.K. Pension Plan are dependent on unpredictable factors, which may cause significant variability in employee benefit costs.

Future costs and obligations related to Sotheby s defined benefit pension plan in the U.K. are heavily influenced by changes in interest rates, investment performance in the debt and equity markets and actuarial assumptions, each of which are unpredictable and may cause significant variability in Sotheby s employee benefit costs.

Tax matters may cause significant variability in Sotheby s financial results.

Sotheby s operates in many tax jurisdictions throughout the world and the provision for income taxes involves a significant amount of management judgment regarding interpretation of relevant facts and laws in the jurisdictions in which Sotheby s operates. Sotheby s effective income tax rate can vary significantly between periods due to a number of complex factors including, but not limited to: (i) future changes in applicable laws; (ii) projected levels of taxable income; (iii) pre-tax income being lower than anticipated in countries with higher statutory rates; (iv) increases to valuation allowances recorded against deferred tax assets; (v) tax audits conducted by various tax authorities; (vi) adjustments to income taxes upon finalization of income tax returns; (vii) the ability to claim foreign tax credits; (viii) the repatriation of non-U.S. earnings for which Sotheby s has not previously provided for income taxes; and (ix) tax planning.

So the s clients reside in various tax jurisdictions throughout the world. To the extent that there are changes to tax laws or tax reporting obligations in any of these jurisdictions, such changes could adversely impact the ability and/or willingness of clients to purchase or sell works of art through So the s.

Insurance coverage for artwork may become more difficult to obtain, exposing Sotheby s to losses for artwork in Sotheby s possession.

Sotheby s maintains insurance coverage for the works of art it owns and for works of art consigned to it by its clients, which are stored at Sotheby s facilities around the world. An inability to adequately insure such works of art due to limited capacity of the global art insurance market in the future could have an adverse impact on Sotheby s business.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

- (a) Exhibits
 - 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 - 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 - 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 - 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- (b) Reports on Form 8-K
 - On April 1, 2011, the Company filed a current report on Form 8-K under Item 2.04, Triggering Events That Accelerate or Increase a Direct Financial Obligation or an Obligation under an Off-Balance Sheet Arrangement, Item 8.01, Other Events, and Item 9.01, Financial Statements and Exhibits.
 - On May 9, 2011, the Company filed a current report on Form 8-K under Item 2.02, Results of Operations and Financial Condition, and Item 9.01, Financial Statements and Exhibits.
 - On June 30, 2011, the Company filed a current report on Form 8-K under Item 2.05, Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SOTHEBY S

By:

/s/ KEVIN M. DELANEY Kevin M. Delaney Senior Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer

Date: August 4, 2011

Exhibit Index

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|----------------|---|
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |