ING U.S., Inc. Form S-1/A January 23, 2013 Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 23, 2013

Registration No. 333-184847

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Amendment No. 1

to

FORM S-1

REGISTRATION STATEMENT

UNDER

THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

ING U.S., INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware (State or Other Jurisdiction of

Incorporation or Organization)

6311 (Primary Standard Industrial 52-1222820 (I.R.S. Employer

Classification Code Number) 230 Park Avenue Identification Number)

New York, New York 10169

(212) 309-8200

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant s principal executive offices)

Bridget M. Healy

Executive Vice President and

Chief Legal Officer

ING U.S., Inc.

230 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10169

(212) 309-8200

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

Copies to:

Robert G. DeLaMaterJoseph A. HallSullivan & Cromwell LLPDavis Polk & Wardwell LLP125 Broad Street450 Lexington AvenueNew York, NY 10004New York, NY 10017(212) 558-4000(212) 450-4000

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public:

As soon as practicable after this registration statement becomes effective.

If any of the securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, check the following box.

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer x (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

The registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, or until this Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

Accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

The information in this preliminary prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We and the Selling Stockholder may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This preliminary prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting offers to buy these securities in any jurisdiction where such offer or sale is not permitted.

SUBJECT TO COMPLETION, DATED JANUARY 23, 2013

Preliminary Prospectus

Shares

Common Stock

This is an initial public offering (the offering) of shares of the common stock of ING U.S., Inc.

The offering may consist of both a primary and a secondary component. In the primary component, ING U.S., Inc. may offer of the shares to be sold in this offering. In the secondary component, ING Insurance International B.V. (the Selling Stockholder), a wholly owned subsidiary of ING Groep N.V. (ING Group), may offer shares in this offering. ING U.S., Inc. will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of the shares sold by the Selling Stockholder.

It is currently estimated that the initial public offering price per share will be between \$ and \$

We intend to apply to list our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol

Investing in our common stock involves risk. See <u>Risk Factors</u> on page 16 to read about factors you should consider before buying shares of our common stock.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any other regulatory body has approved or disapproved of these securities or passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of this prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

| | | Per Share | Total |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| Initial public offering price | | \$ | \$ |
| Underwriting discount | | \$ | \$ |
| Proceeds, before expenses, to ING U. | .S., Inc. | \$ | \$ |
| Proceeds, before expenses, to the Sell | ling Stockholder | \$ | \$ |
| To the extent that the underwriters sell more than | shares, the underwriters have | ve the option to purchase up | to an additional |
| from at the initial public offering price less the | underwriting discount. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

The underwriters expect to deliver the shares against payment in New York, New York on

, 2013.

Morgan Stanley

Goldman, Sachs & Co.

Prospectus dated

, 2013.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements | ii |
| Market Data | iii |
| <u>Summary</u> | 1 |
| Risk Factors | 16 |
| Recapitalization | 61 |
| <u>Use of Proceeds</u> | 63 |
| Dividend Policy | 64 |
| Capitalization | 65 |
| Selected Consolidated Financial Data | 66 |
| Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition | 68 |
| Investments | 163 |
| Organizational History and Structure | 192 |
| Business | 194 |
| Regulation | 240 |
| <u>Management</u> | 257 |
| Compensation of Executive Officers and Directors | 263 |
| Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions | 288 |
| Beneficial Ownership of Common Stock and Selling Stockholder | 299 |
| Description of Capital Stock | 300 |
| Shares Eligible for Future Sale | 305 |
| Material U.S. Federal Tax Considerations for Non-U.S. Holders of Our Common Stock | 307 |
| Underwriting | 311 |
| Validity of Common Stock | 318 |
| Experts | 318 |
| Where You Can Find More Information | 318 |
| <u>Glossary</u> | 319 |
| Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedules | F-1 |
| | |

None of ING U.S., Inc., the Selling Stockholder, or the underwriters have authorized anyone to provide any information or to make any representations other than those contained in this prospectus or in any free writing prospectuses prepared by, or on behalf of, ING U.S., Inc. or to which ING U.S., Inc. has referred you. ING U.S., Inc., the Selling Stockholder and the underwriters take no responsibility for, and can provide no assurance as to the reliability of, any other information that others may give you. This prospectus is an offer to sell only the shares offered hereby, but only under circumstances and in jurisdictions where it is lawful to do so. The information contained in this prospectus is current only as of its date.

-i-

NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus contains forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include statements relating to future developments in our business or expectations for our future financial performance and any statement not involving a historical fact. Forward-looking statements use words such as anticipate, believe, estimate, expect, intend, plan, and other words and terms of similar meaning in connection with a discurfuture operating or financial performance. Actual results, performance or events may differ materially from those projected in any forward-looking statement due to, among other things, (i) general economic conditions, particularly economic conditions in our core markets, (ii) performance of financial markets, including emerging markets, (iii) the frequency and severity of insured loss events, (iv) mortality and morbidity levels, (v) persistency and lapse levels, (vi) interest rates, (vii) currency exchange rates, (viii) general competitive factors, (ix) changes in laws and regulations and (x) changes in the policies of governments and/or regulatory authorities. Factors that may cause actual results to differ from those in any forward-looking statement also include those described under Risk Factors, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition Trends and Uncertainties and Business Closed Blocks Closed Block Variable Annuity.

-ii-

MARKET DATA

In this prospectus, we present certain market and industry data and statistics. This information is based on third-party sources which we believe to be reliable. Market ranking information is generally based on industry surveys and therefore the reported rankings reflect the rankings only of those companies who voluntarily participate in these surveys. Accordingly, our market ranking among all competitors may be lower than the market ranking set forth in such surveys. In some cases, we have supplemented these third-party survey rankings with our own information, such as where we believe we know the market ranking of particular companies who do not participate in the surveys.

In this prospectus, the term customers refers to retirement plan sponsors, retirement plan participants, institutional investment clients, retail investors, corporations or professional groups offering employee benefits solutions, insurance policyholders, annuity contract holders, individuals with contractual relationships with our financial advisors and holders of Individual Retirement Accounts (IRAs) or other individual retirement, investment or insurance products sold by us.

Market data sources used with respect to our various segments include:

Retirement

Our Retirement segment sources our market segment leadership positions within the retirement industry from market surveys conducted by LIMRA, an insurance and financial services industry organization, and industry-recognized publications such as *Pensions & Investments*, *PlanSponsor Magazine* and *InvestmentNews.com*. Retirement tracks market segment leadership positions by assets under management (AUM) or assets under administration (AUA), number of defined contribution plans, number of defined contribution plan participants and sales (takeover assets and contributions).

Annuities

Our Annuities segment sources our market segment leadership positions within the annuities industry primarily from LIMRA market surveys. Annuities tracks market segment leadership positions by assets under management.

Investment Management

Our Investment Management segment sources our market segment leadership positions within the investment management industry from *Morningstar* fund data and industry-recognized publications such as *Cogent Research* and *Pension & Investments*. Investment Management tracks market segment leadership positions by AUM; percentage of mutual funds that exceed their Morningstar category average (asset weighted, five-year basis); percentage of mutual funds that have lower volatility than their Morningstar competitor average (asset weighted, five-year basis); and survey ranking on loyalty, favorable impression and nine brand attributes by clients (plan sponsors) among defined contribution investment managers.

Individual Life

Our Individual Life segment sources our market segment leadership positions within the individual life insurance industry primarily from LIMRA market surveys. Individual Life tracks market segment leadership positions by premiums sold.

Employee Benefits

Our Employee Benefits segment sources our market segment leadership positions within the employee benefits industry from LIMRA market surveys and *MyHealthguide* newsletter rankings. Stop loss market rankings are derived from *MyHealthguide*, which does not include managed healthcare providers in their market positions survey. Employee Benefits tracks market segment leadership positions by new premiums and in-force premiums.

SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this prospectus and does not contain all of the information that you should consider before deciding to invest in our common stock. Before investing in our common stock, you should carefully read this entire prospectus, including our Consolidated Financial Statements and the related notes thereto and the information set forth under the sections Risk Factors and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition, in each case included in this prospectus. Unless the context otherwise requires, we use in this prospectus the term ING U.S., Inc. to refer to ING U.S., Inc., and we use the terms Company, we, us and our to refer to ING U.S., Inc. together with its consolidated subsidiaries.

Our Company

We are a premier retirement, investment and insurance company serving the financial needs of approximately 13 million individual and institutional customers in the United States as of December 31, 2011. Our vision is to be America's Retirement CompanyOur approximately 7,150 employees are focused on executing our mission to make a secure financial future possible one person, one family and one institution at a time. Through our retirement, investment management and insurance businesses, we help our customers save, grow, protect and enjoy their wealth to and through retirement. We offer our products and services through a broad group of financial intermediaries, independent producers, affiliated advisors and dedicated sales specialists throughout the United States.

Our extensive scale and breadth of product offerings are designed to help Americans achieve their retirement savings, investment income and protection goals. Our strategy is centered on preparing customers for Retirement Readiness being emotionally and economically secure and ready for their retirement. We believe that the rapid aging of the U.S. population, weakening of traditional social safety nets, shifting of responsibility for retirement planning from institutions to individuals and growth in total retirement account assets will drive significant demand for our products and services going forward. We believe that we are well positioned to deliver on this Retirement Readiness need.

We believe that we help our customers achieve four essential financial goals, as they prepare for, enter and enjoy their retirement years.

Save. Our products enable our customers to save for retirement by establishing investment accounts through their employers or individually.

Grow. We provide advisory programs, Individual Retirement Accounts (IRAs), fixed annuities, brokerage accounts, mutual funds and accumulation insurance products to help our customers achieve their financial objectives.

Protect. Our specialized retirement and insurance products, such as universal life (UL), indexed universal life (IUL), term life and stable value products, allow our customers to protect against unforeseen life events and mitigate market risk.

Enjoy. Our retirement income products such as target date funds, guaranteed income funds, fixed annuities, IRAs, mutual funds and accumulation insurance products enable our customers to meet income needs through post primary working years and achieve wealth transfer objectives.

We tailor our products to meet the unique needs of our individual and institutional customers. Our individual businesses are primarily focused on the middle and mass affluent markets; however we serve customers across the full income spectrum, especially in our Institutional Retirement Plans business, Retail and Alternative Fund businesses, and Employee Benefits segment. Similarly, our institutional businesses serve a broad range of customers, with customized offerings to the small-mid, large and mega market segments.

We believe that with our leading market positions, investment expertise, and distribution reach we are well positioned to generate attractive risk-adjusted returns and earnings growth for our shareholders over time.

We operate our principal businesses through three business lines: Retirement Solutions, Investment Management and Insurance Solutions. We refer to these business lines as our ongoing business. In addition, we also have Closed Blocks and Corporate reporting segments. Closed Blocks consists of three businesses where we have placed our portfolios in run-off Closed Block Variable Annuity, Closed Block Institutional Spread Products and Closed Block Other. Our Corporate segment includes our corporate activities and corporate-level assets and financial obligations.

The following chart presents the key products we offer across each of our businesses.

Retirement Solutions. We are a leading provider of retirement services and products in the United States, with approximately \$107.2 billion in assets under management (AUM) and \$208.2 billion of assets under administration (AUA) as of December 31, 2011. We provide an extensive product range addressing both the accumulation and income distribution needs of customers, through a broad distribution footprint of nearly 2,500 affiliated representatives and thousands of non-affiliated agents and third party administrators (TPAs). Our Retirement Solutions business comprises two financial reporting segments: Retirement and Annuities.

Retirement provides tax-deferred, employer-sponsored retirement savings plans and administrative services to more than 49,000 plan sponsors covering approximately 5.3 million plan participants in corporate, education, healthcare and government markets. Retirement also provides rollover IRAs, and other retail financial products as well as comprehensive financial advisory services to individual customers. We serve a broad spectrum of employers ranging from small companies to the very largest of corporations and government entities. We rank second in the U.S. defined contribution plan market by number of record kept plan sponsors and number of plan participants served, and fourth by assets under management and administration at December 31, 2011. We also rank second in the K-12 education market and fourth in the higher education market by assets at December 31, 2011. Retirement had \$287.7 billion of AUM and AUA at December 31, 2011, of which \$71.8 billion was full service business, \$213.8 billion was recordkeeping and stable value business and \$2.1 billion was Individual Markets business.

Annuities provides fixed and indexed annuities, tax-qualified mutual fund custodial products and payout annuities for pre-retirement wealth accumulation and post-retirement income management sold through multiple channels, and had \$27.7 billion of AUM at December 31, 2011.

-2-

Investment Management. We are a prominent full-service asset manager with \$166.1 billion of AUM and \$59.0 billion of AUA as of December 31, 2011, delivering client-oriented investment solutions and advisory services. We serve both individual and institutional customers, offering them domestic and international fixed income, equity, multi-asset and alternative investment products and solutions across a range of geographies, investment styles and capitalization spectrums.

As of December 31, 2011, we managed \$87.2 billion in our commercial business (comprised of \$55.7 billion for third-party institutions and individual investors, and \$31.5 billion in separate account assets for our Retirement Solutions, Insurance Solutions and Closed Block businesses) and \$78.9 billion in general account assets. We are particularly focused on growing our commercial business, in which we achieved 7.0% organic AUM growth in 2011.

We have a highly scalable business model and are among the twenty largest managers of institutional tax-exempt assets in the U.S. and ranked number one among defined contribution investment managers in client loyalty and favorability in 2011.

As of December 31, 2011, our retail mutual fund portfolio assets totaled \$18.6 billion. On a five-year asset-weighted basis, 77% of our mutual funds beat their Morningstar category average and 80% had lower volatility than their Morningstar competitor average as of December 31, 2011.

Insurance Solutions. We are one of the top providers of life insurance in the United States. In our focus individual products, term and universal life, we currently rank fourth and eleventh, respectively, based on premiums sold. We are also the fifth ranked provider of medical stop loss coverage in the United States based on in-force premiums. Our Insurance Solutions business comprises two financial reporting segments: Individual Life and Employee Benefits.

Individual Life provides wealth protection and transfer opportunities through universal, variable, and term products, distributed through independent channels to meet the needs of a broad range of customers from the middle-market through affluent market segments. The Individual Life distribution model is supported by independent life sales agents (over 2,200 independent general agents with access to over 91,000 producers), strategic distribution (over 30 independent managing directors supporting approximately 6,800 additional producers) and specialty markets (approximately 75 general agents with access to over 7,400 producers).

Employee Benefits provides stop loss, group life, voluntary employee-paid and disability products to mid-sized and large businesses. The Company has 58 employee benefits sales representatives, across 19 sales offices, with average industry experience of 16 years. Approximately 62.5%, 16.3% and 12.4% of 2011 Employee Benefit sales were attributed to stop loss, life and voluntary products, respectively.

Closed Blocks. We separated our Closed Block Variable Annuity and Closed Block Institutional Spread Products segments from our other operations, placing them in run-off, and made a strategic decision to stop actively writing new retail variable annuity products with substantial guarantee features and to run-off the institutional spread products portfolio over time. Accordingly, these segments have been classified as closed blocks and are managed separately from our ongoing business.

Closed Block Variable Annuity. In 2009, we decided to cease sales of retail variable annuity products with substantial guarantee features (the last policies were issued in early 2010 and placed this portfolio in run-off). Subsequently, we refined our hedging program to dynamically protect regulatory reserves and rating agency capital of the variable annuities block for adverse equity market movements. In addition, since 2010, we have increased statutory reserves considerably, added significant interest rate risk protection and have more closely aligned our policyholder behavior assumptions with experience. Our focus in managing our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment is on protecting regulatory

reserves and rating agency capital from equity market movements via hedging and judiciously looking for opportunities to accelerate the run-off of the block, where possible. We believe that our hedging program combined with our Statutory reserves of \$7.7 billion at September 30, 2012, related to the variable annuity block, provides adequate resources to fund a wide range of, but not all, possible market scenarios as well as a margin for adverse policyholder behavior.

Closed Block Institutional Spread Products. In 2009, we also placed the institutional spread products portfolio in run-off. As of September 30, 2012, remaining assets in the institutional spread products portfolio had an amortized cost of \$4.8 billion, down from a peak of \$14.3 billion in 2008.

As of December 31, 2011, we had \$437.9 billion in total AUM and AUA and total shareholder s equity, excluding accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss) (AOCI) and noncontrolling interests, of \$9.8 billion. In 2011, we generated \$277.8 million of income before income taxes, (\$88.1) million in net loss available to ING U.S., Inc. s common shareholder and \$1.1 billion of operating income before income taxes. As of September 30, 2012, we had \$456.8 billion in total AUM and AUA. In the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we generated \$714.1 million of income before income taxes, \$495.7 million in net income available to ING U.S., Inc. s common shareholder and \$714.1 million of operating income before income taxes. Operating income before income taxes is a non-GAAP financial measure. For a reconciliation of operating income before income taxes to income (loss) before income taxes, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition Results of Operations Company Consolidated.

The following table presents the relative contributions of each of our reporting segments to our AUM and AUA, and Total operating income (loss) before income taxes for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition Results of Operations Company Consolidated for a reconciliation of Operating income (loss) before income taxes to Income (loss) before income taxes.

| | IM and AUA ptember 30, 2012) | Total Operating Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes (Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012) \$ | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---------|--|--|
| Business Line and Segments | \$ in millions | in millions | % | | |
| Retirement Solutions: | | | | | |
| Retirement | \$ 302,951 | \$ 340.4 | 48.0% | | |
| Annuities | 26,252 | 95.9 | 13.5% | | |
| Investment Management | 231,943 | 103.3 | 14.6% | | |
| Insurance Solutions: | | | | | |
| Individual Life | 15,275 | 141.6 | 20.0% | | |
| Employee Benefits | 1,767 | 80.8 | 11.4% | | |
| Eliminations | (170,284) | | | | |
| Total Ongoing Business | \$ 407,904 | \$ 762.0 | 107.5% | | |
| Corporate | | (138.7) | (19.6)% | | |
| Closed Blocks | 48,911 | 85.9(1) | 12.1% | | |
| Total ING U.S. | \$ 456,815 | \$ 709.2 | 100.0% | | |

(1) Our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment is managed to focus on protecting regulatory and rating agency capital rather than achieving operating metrics and, therefore, its results of operations are not reflected within Operating income (loss) before income taxes.

Market Environment and Opportunities

The current macroeconomic backdrop and financial market uncertainty, as well as the weakening of historical safety nets provided by governments and employers, such as Social Security and defined benefit plans, are increasing the need for Americans to plan for their own long-term financial security. Our products and services are designed to help individuals achieve their retirement savings, investment income and protection goals. We believe that we are uniquely positioned to benefit from a number of significant demographic and market trends, including the following:

Rapid growth in aging U.S. population. The U.S. Census Bureau estimates that the number of Americans aged 65 and older will more than double over the next 40 years, increasing from 40.2 million in 2010 to 88.5 million in 2050. By 2050, it is estimated that over 20% of the U.S. population will be aged 65 or older, as compared to 13.0% in 2010.

Fraying of traditional social safety nets. The U.S. Government Accountability Office has indicated that increasing life expectancy has created a risk that many retirees will outlive their retirement assets. Additionally, employer-sponsored private sector pension plans face severe funding deficits. According to a report by Mercer Consulting, a consulting and research firm, the aggregate funding deficit for pension plans sponsored by companies included on the Standard & Poor s 1500 Index (S&P 1500) was \$484 billion as of December 31, 2011. Americans realize that funding deficits in government and employer-sponsored pension plans leave them exposed to retirement income shortfalls. According to a LIMRA study, more than 62% of individuals aged 55 to 70 do not expect to receive enough income from Social Security and employer pensions to cover their basic living expenses through their retirement years.

Growth in the retirement savings market. The U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics estimates that private sector participation in defined benefit plans declined from 80% of full time employees in 1985 to 22% in 2011, while employee participation in defined contribution plans increased from 41% to 50% over the same period. Between 2000 and 2011, total assets held in defined contribution plans grew from \$3.1 trillion to \$5.0 trillion and total assets held in IRAs grew from \$2.6 trillion in 2000 to \$4.8 trillion in 2011, while total private sector defined benefit plan assets only grew from \$2.0 trillion to \$2.3 trillion. According to Cerulli Associates, a financial services research firm, total U.S. retirement account assets are expected to grow 38% from \$16 trillion in 2011 to \$22 trillion by 2016. The paradigm shift in savings responsibilities from institutions to individuals will drive much of this growth into the defined contribution and IRA markets, with defined contribution plan assets expected to grow from \$4.8 trillion to \$5.8 trillion and IRA assets expected to grow from \$5.2 trillion to \$7.6 trillion between 2011 and 2016. In addition, the anticipated growth of the rollover market presents a considerable long-term opportunity: according to LIMRA, assets rolled into IRAs exceeded \$400 billion per year in 2011 (up 118% from 10 years ago) and are expected to reach approximately \$600 billion per year by 2015.

Insufficient life insurance coverage. According to the most recent study available by LIMRA, 58 million or approximately half of all U.S. households do not believe they have sufficient life insurance coverage. The average U.S. household with life insurance coverage only owns enough to replace 3.6 years of income, as compared to the 7- to 12- year average recommended range as sourced by LIMRA.

We believe these market trends will drive increasing demand for our Retirement Solutions, Investment Management and Insurance Solutions businesses, and highlight the value of our holistic investment advisory approach as a means to help customers realize their retirement savings and income goals.

Our Competitive Strengths

We believe that we have a number of competitive strengths which will allow us to capitalize on attractive market opportunities as we develop and grow our business in a consistent and prudent manner.

Leadership positions in our ongoing business with a broad range of product offerings capable of meeting the evolving financial needs of customers throughout their lives. We have leading positions in our Retirement Solutions and Insurance Solutions businesses and a prominent Investment Management business with top-tier investment performance across an array of asset classes. Few of our competitors have the breadth and scale across savings and financial protection products that customers will need throughout their lives.

Our Retirement Solutions business ranks as the number two provider of defined contribution retirement plans in the U.S., as measured by the number of plan sponsors and number of plan participants for which we provide recordkeeping services. We are one of the few retirement services providers in the U.S. capable of using our industry presence and scale to efficiently support small, mid, large and mega-sized employers in the 401(k), 403(b) and 457 market segments.

Our Investment Management business is a leading U.S. based asset manager, with 77% of our mutual funds beating their Morningstar category average and 80% having lower volatility than their Morningstar competitor average on a five-year asset-weighted basis as of December 31, 2011.

Our Insurance Solutions business provides a full range of product capabilities and is the fourth largest writer of term life, the eleventh largest writer of universal life based on premiums sold in the United States, and the fifth largest provider of medical stop loss coverage based on premiums in force.

Relationships with over 13 million customers as of December 31, 2011. We believe the size, scope and long-standing market presence of our businesses provide us with access to millions of individual customers, relationships with and relevance to distributors across the financial services landscape, economies of scale, and an understanding of and ability to leverage best practices across our organization. We can offer customers with whom we have built a relationship, either through their employer or directly, a suite of products that can meet most of their lifetime protection and accumulation needs.

Our institutional businesses provide us with the ability to access millions of individual customers in a cost-effective manner, and our comprehensive product suite gives us the opportunity to convert these touch points into long-term customer relationships.

Our access to individuals at critical points in their lives and our ability to offer tailored protection, retirement, investment and savings products enables us to cultivate deep, long-lasting and profitable customer relationships. Our product suite includes roll-over IRAs, mutual funds and annuities which enables us to maintain a relationship with individuals entering retirement or exiting their current plan for any other reason. According to LIMRA, approximately 75% of roll-over assets are captured by an institution with which the customer had a prior relationship.

Extensive, multi-channel distribution network with strong producer relationships. We offer customers access to our products and services through a national, multi-channel distribution network that includes approximately 200,000 individual points of contact associated with both affiliated and unaffiliated distributors.

We cultivate long-standing, loyal relationships with our distributors by providing innovative products, highly responsive service and efficient technology solutions.

Each of our businesses maintains its own distribution base, tailored by the nature of its products and preferences of its customers.

We have established extensive, multi-channel distribution networks in each of our ongoing businesses and believe these strong relationships are a key aspect of achieving our long term goals.

Scalable operating platform. We have developed a highly scalable business model which positions us well for future growth opportunities. Our operating platform supports both current and significantly higher volumes of business, positioning us favorably for margin expansion in the future.

Our Retirement Solutions business has operational centers of excellence that are leveraged across the Institutional Retirement Plans (full service and recordkeeping) and Individual Markets businesses to efficiently and cost effectively provide high quality services to all clients.

Our Investment Management business has developed product manufacturing capabilities that would enable the business to manage a significant amount of additional assets with limited increase in costs.

Our Insurance Solutions business has scalable operational models that provide us the capability to add new business at attractive marginal costs and to quickly increase capacity to take advantage of attractive market conditions.

Renewed financial strength. We have taken decisive actions to strengthen our balance sheet over the last four years by repositioning and reducing the risk of our investment portfolio, hedging our closed block against market-related volatility, deleveraging our capital structure and bolstering our holding company liquidity position.

Our U.S. insurance subsidiaries have maintained an estimated combined company action level risk-based capital ratio (RBC ratio) at or above 425% as of the end of each quarter during 2011 and 2012.

Our investment portfolio of \$92.8 billion as of December 31, 2011, is comprised of approximately 78.4% fixed maturity securities, of which 94.2% have been assigned credit quality ratings of 1 or 2 by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC).

Between December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2011, we reduced our Alt-A exposure 89.6% from \$4.5 billion to \$470.8 million, our subprime holdings 66.7% from \$3.6 billion to \$1.2 billion and our commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) exposure 42.6% from \$9.4 billion to \$5.4 billion based on amortized cost. As of September 30, 2012, we had no direct sovereign exposure to Greece, Ireland, Portugal, Spain or Italy (peripheral Europe) and no direct exposure to financial institutions based in those countries.

We decided to cease sales of retail variable annuity products with substantial guarantee features (the last policies were issued in early 2010) and placed this portfolio and the institutional spread products portfolio in run-off. Subsequently, we refined our hedging program to dynamically protect regulatory reserves and rating agency capital of the variable annuities block for adverse equity market movements. In addition, since 2010, we have increased statutory reserves considerably, added significant interest rate risk protection and have more closely aligned our policyholder behavior assumptions with experience.

We enhanced our capital structure and significantly reduced financial leverage.

Stringent risk management approach. Over the past few years, we have become increasingly focused on risk management and risk control. We have established an independent risk management function with responsibility for all risk management across the organization enabling clear separation of duties between risk, finance and investment functions.

We have comprehensive risk management and control procedures at all levels of our organization that support business strategies, formulate risk appetite, implement risk related policies and monitor limits.

We adhere to a strong policy and reporting framework that guides a multi-tiered risk governance structure in the assessment and management of risk and includes a daily feedback mechanism.

We follow disciplined processes to assess, measure, report and manage risks, including product development and pricing, asset-liability management (ALM), capital management and risk mitigating activities such as hedging and reinsurance.

We maintain a dynamic hedging program that protects against select equity market and interest rate risks as illustrated by the recent extension of our Retirement stable value hedge to 80% coverage.

Highly experienced management team, supported by deep bench of talent. Our senior management team has extensive experience in the retirement, investment management and insurance sectors and is supported by a diverse group of talented executives throughout the Company.

Our 10 executive officers average over 25 years of financial services experience and are actively instilling a performance-driven, execution-oriented culture across our organization.

6 of our 10 executive officers have joined the Company since the financial crisis of 2008-2009, and have successfully put in place a set of strategies that are helping to define our Company today, including risk management initiatives, balance sheet discipline, and product portfolio improvements.

Summary Risk Factors

Our business is subject to numerous risks described in the section entitled Risk Factors and elsewhere in this prospectus. You should carefully consider these risks before making an investment. Some of these risks include:

Continued difficult conditions in the global capital markets and the economy generally have affected and may continue to affect our business and results of operations;

Interest rate volatility may adversely affect our profitability;

A downgrade or a potential downgrade in our financial strength or credit ratings could result in a loss of business and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition;

The inability of counterparties to meet their financial obligations could have an adverse effect on our results of operations;

Our investment portfolio is subject to several risks that may diminish the value of our invested assets and the investment returns credited to customers, which could reduce our sales, revenues, AUM and results of operations;

We may face significant losses if mortality rates, morbidity rates, persistency rates or other underwriting assumptions differ significantly from our pricing expectations;

We expect that our ability to use beneficial U.S. tax attributes will be subject to limitations;

-8-

The performance of our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment depends on assumptions that may not be accurate;

Our Closed Block Variable Annuity hedging programs may not be effective and may be more costly than anticipated;

Our businesses and those of our parent company and its affiliates are heavily regulated and changes in regulation or the application of regulation may reduce our profitability;

ING Group s continuing significant interest in us following this offering may result in conflicts of interest;

Our continuing relationship with ING Group, our ultimate parent, and with affiliates of ING Group, may affect our ability to operate and finance our business as we deem appropriate and changes with respect to ING Group could negatively impact us;

Our separation from ING Group could adversely affect our business and profitability due to ING Group s strong brand and reputation;

We expect to incur incremental costs as a standalone public company; and

The ability of our insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends and other distributions to ING U.S., Inc. and Lion Holdings will depend on their earnings, tax considerations, covenants contained in financing agreements and is limited by state insurance laws. **Our Business Strategy**

Building on our core strengths, we intend to pursue strategies to deliver consistent earnings growth with attractive risk-adjusted returns while maintaining a strong balance sheet. The immediate focus of our strategy is to improve the operating return on capital (ROC) of our ongoing business. We have identified more than thirty ROC-enhancing projects across our businesses and functions intended to improve operating ROC of our ongoing business from % in 2011 to % in 2012, and to a goal in the range of % to % by 2016. Operating ROC is a non-GAAP financial measure. For additional detail on our ROC expansion goal and the calculation of operating ROC and reconciliations, see Business Operating Return on Capital Goal. The cornerstones of our prudent ROC-expansion strategy are the following strategies:

Improve the profitability of our existing franchises. We have identified and are actively pursuing several initiatives to improve profitability across our businesses. These initiatives include maintaining strict pricing discipline for new sales, re-pricing existing blocks of business that do not meet our return hurdles, allowing the run-off of unprofitable books that cannot be re-priced and adjusting policyholder crediting rates. For instance, we recently instituted price increases across certain term and universal life products, positioning them to earn double-digit returns. We are working to reduce our operating and information technology overhead by leveraging our procurement capabilities to reduce expenses, increasing our use of business process outsourcing services and employing Six Sigma statistical management techniques. We believe these initiatives will enhance our margins and support improved earnings and increased cash flow distributions from our operating subsidiaries to ING U.S., Inc. going forward.

Focus on capital management across all businesses. We are highly focused on effectively managing the demands for capital across our businesses. We have prioritized growth in our higher return, less capital intensive Retirement Solutions and Investment Management businesses. Our Insurance Solutions business is focused on selling capital-efficient products such as indexed products in Individual Life and Employee Benefits products. The overall objective of these policies is to realign our businesses in a manner that will maximize free cash flow generation.

Leverage leading market positions, investment performance, and distribution strength to drive profitable growth in select markets. Within Retirement Solutions, we are focused on growing in the small-mid corporate and higher education retirement plan markets, which offer stronger growth and return potential than other sectors of the market. We are also seeking to cross-sell multiple products and services to our large recordkeeping-only clients. Within Investment Management, we are focused on leveraging our strong investment track record and historical performance to attract new institutional and individual customers in our third party business and to increase the share of proprietary assets under the management of Retirement Solutions. Given our scalable operating platform we believe our growth will produce margin expansion in these segments. Also, although we are deemphasizing parts of our Insurance Solutions business, it provides key capabilities, broad distribution and seasoned underwriting that complement Retirement Solutions and Investment Management in helping customers attain their financial goals.

Transcend boundaries between workplace benefits and personal financial products. We aim to deliver comprehensive solutions across our customer base by combining the capabilities of our three ongoing businesses. This combination of capabilities differentiates us from other financial services firms and allows us to capitalize on favorable demographic and social trends. For individuals, we intend to provide value-added services and increase the number of our products they consume. In Retirement Solutions, we have been seeking greater access to employees in employer-sponsored plans. We believe that such direct access will allow us to convert institutional relationships into individual ones and enable us to offer individuals entering retirement or exiting their current employer-sponsored plan for any other reason suitable products in which they can invest their retirement plan assets. In Insurance Solutions, we have been working with employer clients to offer a broader array of voluntary products to address the needs of their employees. Ultimately, we aspire to bridge the gap between workplace benefits and personal financial products in order to benefit our customers.

Protect our balance sheet by prudently managing risks. Risk management is pervasive in everything we do as a Company. The coordination of our strategic, financial and risk functions have been critical to helping us focus on risk reduction initiatives as well as determining where to invest for the future. We have substantially reduced the risk of our investment portfolio since 2008 and intend to continue managing it conservatively. On the liability side, we have significantly deleveraged our capital structure, are keenly focused on managing tail risks and have implemented a hedging program designed to substantially mitigate the effect of market shocks on our regulatory and rating agency capital adequacy, especially as it relates to the Closed Block Variable Annuity segment. Our hedging program is constantly evaluated and revised in light of changing market conditions and to manage the trade-offs between capital preservation, cash flow, earnings and underlying economics.

Our Principal Stockholder and Selling Stockholder

Following the offering, ING Group will indirectly own approximately % of our outstanding common stock. ING Group is selling shares of our outstanding common stock in this offering through ING Insurance International B.V., its wholly-owned subsidiary. ING Group has informed us that it will divest its remaining holdings of our common stock in line with ING Group s restructuring plan as agreed with the European Commission (the EC). See ING Group Restructuring Plan with European Commission.

ING Group Restructuring Plan with European Commission

Prior to this offering, we are a wholly owned subsidiary of ING Group. In October 2009, ING Group submitted a restructuring plan (the 2009 Restructuring Plan) to the EC in order to receive approval for state aid (the Dutch State Transactions) granted to ING Group by the Kingdom of the Netherlands (the Dutch State) in November 2008 and March 2009. To receive approval for this state aid, ING Group was required to divest its insurance and investment management businesses, including the Company. In this prospectus, we refer to any

sale or other divestment of all or a portion of ING U.S., Inc. common stock by ING Group, including this offering, as a Divestment Transaction. On November 19, 2012, ING Group and the EC announced that the EC approved amendments to the 2009 Restructuring Plan (the 2012 Amended Restructuring Plan).

The 2012 Amended Restructuring Plan requires ING Group to divest at least 25% of the Company by December 31, 2013, more than 50% of the Company by December 31, 2014, and 100% of the Company by December 31, 2016. The divestment of 50% of the Company is measured in terms of a divestment of over 50% of the shares of ING U.S., Inc., the loss of ING Group s majority of directors on ING U.S., Inc. s board of directors and the accounting deconsolidation of the Company (in line with IFRS accounting rules). In case ING Group does not satisfy its commitment to divest the Company as agreed with the EC, the Dutch State will renotify the recapitalization measure to the EC. In such a case, the EC may require additional restructuring measures or take enforcement actions against ING Group, or, at the request of ING Group and the Dutch State, could allow ING Group more time to complete the divestment. For additional information on the separation from ING Group, see Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Separation from, and Continuing Relationship with, ING Group.

-11-

Our Corporate Information

Prior to this offering, we are a wholly owned subsidiary of ING Group, a global financial institution of Dutch origin offering banking, retirement, insurance and investment management services. ING Group entered the United States life insurance market in 1975 through the acquisition of Wisconsin National Life Insurance Company, followed in 1976 with its acquisition of Midwestern United Life Insurance Company and Security Life of Denver Insurance Company in 1977. ING Group significantly expanded its presence in the United States in the late 1990s and 2000s with the acquisitions of Equitable Life Insurance Company of Iowa (1997), Furman Selz, an investment advisory company (1997), ReliaStar Life Insurance Company (including Pilgrim Capital Corporation) (2000), Aetna Life Insurance and Annuity Company (including Aeltus Investment Management) (2000) and CitiStreet (2008).

ING U.S., Inc. is a holding company incorporated in Delaware on April 7, 1999. It changed its name from ING America Insurance Holdings, Inc. to ING U.S., Inc. on June 14, 2012. Our principal executive office is located at 230 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10169 and our telephone number is (212) 309-8200. Our website address is *ing.us*. The information contained on, or that can be accessed through, our website is not part of, and is not incorporated into, this prospectus.

We operate our businesses through a number of direct and indirect subsidiaries. The following organizational chart presents the ownership and jurisdiction of incorporation of our principal subsidiaries:

The chart above presents:

ING U.S., Inc.

Our principal intermediate holding company, Lion Connecticut Holdings Inc. (Lion Holdings), which is the direct parent of a number of our insurance and non-insurance operating entities.

Our principal operating entities that will be the primary sources of cash distributions to ING U.S., Inc. Specifically, these entities are our principal insurance operating companies (ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company (ILIAC), ING USA Annuity and Life Insurance Company (ING USA), Security Life of Denver Insurance Company (SLD) and ReliaStar Life Insurance Company (RLI)) and ING Investment Management LLC, the holding company for entities that operate our Investment Management business.

Security Life of Denver International Limited (SLDI), our insurance subsidiary domiciled in the Cayman Islands.

-12-

THE OFFERING

| Common stock offered by us | shares |
|---|---|
| Common stock offered by the Selling Stockholder | shares |
| Common stock to be outstanding immediately after this offering | r shares |
| Option to purchase additional shares | The underwriters have an option for a period of 30 days to purchase up to additional shares of our common stock from . |
| Voting rights | Each share of our common stock entitles its holder to one vote on all matters to be voted on by stockholders generally. See Description of Capital Stock Authorized Capital Stock Common Stock. |
| Use of proceeds | We estimate that the net proceeds to us from this offering will be approximately \$ (based on the midpoint of the range listed on the cover page of this prospectus, and after deducting estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us). |
| | See Recapitalization for a discussion of our recapitalization plan and our plans for the use of the proceeds of this offering. |
| | We will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of shares by the Selling Stockholder. See Use of Proceeds. |
| Dividend policy | We intend to pay quarterly cash dividends on our common stock at an initial amount of approximately \$ per share, at the discretion of the Board of Directors. See Dividend Policy. |
| Listing | We intend to apply to list our common stock on the NYSE. |

Proposed ticker symbol

The number of shares of our common stock that will be outstanding after this offering is based on the outstanding as of , 2013 and excludes issuance of stock under equity compensation arrangements.

Unless otherwise indicated, all information in this prospectus assumes:

the filing of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation upon completion of this offering; and

no exercise by the underwriters of their right to purchase up to an additional

shares of our common stock from

-13-

SUMMARY CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following summary consolidated financial data for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 and as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 are derived from our audited Consolidated Financial Statements that are included elsewhere in this prospectus, except for other supplementary data. The following summary consolidated financial data for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 and as of September 30, 2012 have been derived from our unaudited condensed Consolidated Financial Statements that are included elsewhere in this prospectus and, in the opinion of the management of the Company, reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for the fair presentation of such data for the respective interim periods. The results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that might be expected for future interim periods or for the full year ended December 31, 2012.

Prospective investors should read these summary consolidated financial data together with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition and our Consolidated Financial Statements and the related notes.

| (\$ in millions, except ratios) | As of or fo Months Ended 2012 | r the Nine September 30, 2011 | As of 2011 | or for the Year En December 31, 2010 | 1ded 2009 |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|--|--------------|
| (\$ in himons, except failos) | (unaudited) | (unaudited) | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Consolidated Operating Results | , í | | | | |
| Net investment income | \$ 3,642.5 | \$ 3,756.4 | \$ 4,968.8 | \$ 4,987.0 | \$ 5,568.6 |
| Fee income | 2,624.8 | 2,721.0 | 3,603.6 | 3,516.5 | 3,325.1 |
| Premiums | 1,389.9 | 1,320.6 | 1,770.0 | 1,707.5 | 1,985.5 |
| Net realized capital gains (losses) | (896.6) | 308.0 | (1,531.4) | (1,678.0) | (2,178.7) |
| Total revenues | 7,411.5 | 8,858.2 | 9,718.8 | 9,274.2 | 9,364.2 |
| Interest credited and other benefits to contract | | | | | |
| owners/policyholders | 3,636.3 | 4,397.2 | 5,742.0 | 5,027.3 | 5,629.9 |
| Operating expenses | 2,330.9 | 2,132.2 | 3,030.8 | 3,033.5 | 3,352.2 |
| Net amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | | | | | |
| and value of business acquired | 539.9 | 404.6 | 387.0 | 746.6 | 1,052.3 |
| Interest expense | 109.0 | 108.8 | 139.3 | 332.5 | 385.5 |
| Total benefits and expenses | 6,697.4 | 7,147.8 | 9,441.0 | 9,236.4 | 10,472.8 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 714.1 | 1,710.4 | 277.8 | 37.8 | (1,108.6) |
| Net income (loss) | 718.1 | 1,825.5 | 102.8 | (133.2) | (810.6) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling | | | | | |
| interest | 222.4 | 123.0 | 190.9 | (10.3) | (207.4) |
| Net income (loss) available to ING U.S., Inc. s | | | | | |
| common shareholder | 495.7 | 1,702.5 | (88.1) | (122.9) | (603.2) |
| Consolidated Financial Position | (unaudited) | | | | (unaudited) |
| Total investments | \$ 95,194.7 | | \$ 92,819.2 | \$ 86,886.1 | \$ 83,128.8 |
| Assets held in separate accounts | 96,312.2 | | 88,714.5 | 95,588.1 | 88,849.4 |
| Total assets | 214,210.6 | | 203,572.8 | 204,376.5 | 194,621.2 |
| Future policy benefits and contract owner account | 211,210.0 | | 203,372.0 | 201,570.5 | 191,021.2 |
| balances | 86,294.8 | | 88,358.4 | 83,642.8 | 84,402.0 |
| Short-term debt | 774.9 | | 1,054.6 | 5,464.6 | 4,811.6 |
| Long-term debt | 3.642.7 | | 1,343.1 | 2,784.0 | 7,001.3 |
| Liabilities related to separate accounts | 96,312.2 | | 88,714.5 | 95,588.1 | 88,849.4 |
| ING U.S., Inc. shareholder s equity, excluding | 70,312.2 | | | 75,500.1 | 00,017.1 |
| AOCI ⁽¹⁾ | 10,209.0 | | 9,758.9 | 5,857.5 | 2,310.0 |
| Total ING U.S., Inc. shareholder s equity | 13,910.5 | | 12,353.9 | 6.830.8 | 967.1 |
| round to capit, not shareholder b equity | 10,710.0 | | 12,000.0 | 0,000.0 | 207.1 |

| | As of or for the Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | As of or for the Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------|-------|---|-----|----------|-----|----------|-----|----------|
| (\$ in millions, except ratios) | | 2012 audited) | | 2011 naudited) | | 2011 | | 2010 | | 2009 |
| Segment Data ⁽²⁾ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating income (loss) before income taxes | | | | | | | | | | |
| Retirement Solutions | | | | | | | | | | |
| Retirement | \$ | 340.4 | \$ | 371.9 | \$ | 441.9 | \$ | 469.6 | \$ | 358.3 |
| Annuities | | 95.9 | | 187.8 | | 387.6 | | 115.0 | | 48.7 |
| Investment Management | | 103.3 | | 74.4 | | 87.5 | | 50.1 | | 44.4 |
| Insurance Solutions | | | | | | | | | | |
| Individual Life | | 141.6 | | 240.6 | | 279.3 | | 313.5 | | 301.1 |
| Employee Benefits | | 80.8 | | 62.3 | | 83.3 | | 82.0 | | 37.2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Ongoing Business | | 762.0 | | 937.0 | | 1,279.6 | | 1,030.2 | | 789.7 |
| Corporate | | (138.7) | | (134.2) | | (230.2) | | (399.1) | | (470.5) |
| Closed Blocks | | , , | | . , | | . , | | | | |
| Closed Block Institutional Spread Products | | 41.0 | | 68.3 | | 83.2 | | (3.8) | | 1.8 |
| Closed Block Other | | 44.9 | | (13.2) | | (13.0) | | (6.7) | | 6.9 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Closed Blocks ⁽³⁾ | | 85.9 | | 55.1 | | 70.2 | | (10.5) | | 8.7 |
| Total Closed Dioeks | | 05.7 | | 55.1 | | 70.2 | | (10.5) | | 0.7 |
| Total operating income (loss) before income taxes | \$ | 709.2 | \$ | 857.9 | \$ | 1,119.6 | \$ | 620.6 | \$ | 327.9 |
| Other Supplementary Data (unaudited) | | | | | | | | | | |
| AUM and AUA | \$45 | 56,815.3 | \$ 42 | 21,626.6 | \$4 | 37,929.4 | \$4 | 45,655.3 | \$4 | 23,887.6 |
| $TAC^{(4)}$ | | | | | | 8,071.0 | | 6,998.0 | | 6,515.0 |
| RBC ratio ⁽⁵⁾ | | | | | | 488% | | 426% | | 362% |

⁽¹⁾ ING U.S., Inc. shareholder s equity, excluding AOCI, is derived by subtracting AOCI from ING U.S., Inc. shareholder s equity both components of which are presented in the respective Consolidated Balance Sheets. For a description of AOCI, see the Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) note to the Consolidated Financial Statements. We provide shareholder s equity, excluding AOCI, because it is a common measure used by insurance analysts and investment professionals in their evaluations.

⁽²⁾ Operating income (loss) before income taxes is a non-GAAP financial measure. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition Operating Measures for more details and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition Results of Operations Company Consolidated for a reconciliation to Income (loss) before income taxes.

⁽³⁾ Our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment is managed to focus on protecting regulatory and rating agency capital rather than achieving operating metrics and, therefore, its results of operations are not reflected within operating income (loss) before income taxes.

⁽⁴⁾ Estimated total adjusted capital (TAC) of our U.S. insurance subsidiaries on a combined basis.

⁽⁵⁾ Estimated combined RBC ratio for our U.S. insurance subsidiaries.

-15-

RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the following risks and other information in this prospectus, including our Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes, before you decide to purchase our common stock. Additional risks and uncertainties of which we are not presently aware or that we currently deem immaterial could also affect our business operations and financial condition. If any of these risks actually occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially affected. As a result, the trading price of our common stock could decline and you could lose part or all of your investment.

Risks Related to Our Business General

Continued difficult conditions in the global capital markets and the economy generally have affected and may continue to affect our business and results of operations.

Our business and results of operations are materially affected by conditions in the global capital markets and the economy generally. Concerns over the slow economic recovery, the level of U.S. national debt, the European sovereign debt crisis, the ability of certain countries to remain in the euro zone, unemployment, the availability and cost of credit, the U.S. housing market, inflation levels, energy costs and geopolitical issues have contributed to increased volatility and diminished expectations for the economy and the markets. In 2011, Standard & Poor s Ratings Services (S&P) lowered its long term sovereign credit rating on the United States from AAA to AA+. In addition, significant concerns regarding the sovereign debt of Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain, as well as certain other countries, are ongoing and in some cases have required countries to obtain emergency financing. The financial turmoil in Europe continues to be a threat to global capital markets and remains a challenge to global financial stability. If these or other countries require additional financial support or if sovereign credit may become more limited. Additionally, the possibility of capital market volatility spreading through a highly integrated and interdependent banking system remains elevated. In the event of any default or similar event with respect to a sovereign issuer, some financial institutions may suffer significant losses for which they would require additional capital, which may not be available. These factors, combined with volatile oil prices, reduced business and consumer confidence and continued high unemployment, have negatively impacted the U.S. economy. Our results of operations, investment portfolio and AUM are exposed to these risks and may be adversely affected as a result. In addition, in the event of extreme prolonged market events, such as the recent global credit crisis, we could incur significant losses.

Even in the absence of a market downturn, our insurance, annuity, retirement and investment products, as well as our investment returns and our access to and cost of financing, are sensitive to equity, fixed income, real estate and other market fluctuations and general economic and political conditions. These fluctuations and conditions could materially and adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity, including in the following respects:

We provide a number of insurance, annuity, retirement and investment products that expose us to risks associated with fluctuations in interest rates, market indices, securities prices, default rates, the value of real estate assets, currency exchange rates and credit spreads. The profitability of many of our insurance, annuity, retirement and investment products depends in part on the value of the general accounts and separate accounts supporting them, which may fluctuate substantially depending on the foregoing conditions.

Volatility or downturns in the equity markets can cause a reduction in fee income we earn from managing investment portfolios for third parties and fee income on certain annuity, retirement and investment products. Because these products and services generate fees related primarily to the value of AUM, a decline in the equity markets could reduce our revenues because of the reduction in the value of the investments we manage.

-16-

A change in market conditions, including prolonged periods of high or low inflation or interest rates, could cause a change in consumer sentiment and adversely affect sales and could cause the actual persistency of these products to vary from their anticipated persistency (the probability that a product will remain in force from one period to the next) and adversely affect profitability. Changing economic conditions or adverse public perception of financial institutions can influence customer behavior, which can result in, among other things, an increase or decrease in claims, lapses, withdrawals, deposits or surrenders in certain products, any of which could adversely affect profitability.

An equity market decline or decreases in prevailing interest rates could result in the value of guaranteed minimum benefits contained in certain of our life insurance, annuity and retirement products being higher than current account values or higher than anticipated in our pricing assumptions, requiring us to materially increase reserves for such products, and may result in a decrease in customer lapses, thereby increasing the cost to us. In addition, such a scenario could lead to increased amortization and/or unfavorable unlocking of our deferred acquisition cost (DAC) and value of business acquired (VOBA).

We believe a continuation of the current low interest rate environment would also negatively affect our financial performance. For example, should the 10-year Treasury yield remain at % through the end of 2015, we estimate that the operating income of our ongoing business would be reduced by % in 2013, 2014 and 2015 from our current expectations. See Business Operating Return on Capital Goal. This estimated reduction in operating income primarily reflects (1) lower investment income, as we invest new premiums and reinvest proceeds from maturing investments at rates lower than the yield on our current investment portfolio, and (2) higher amortization of DAC/VOBA. We believe reduced crediting rates offset the lower investment income, but that such reductions would only be partially effective due to the presence of minimum credited rates on many of our products. Under this scenario, we do not currently expect that loss recognition testing will result in charges to net income. These estimates do not assume any changes to our long-term DAC assumptions and do not reflect significant management actions, other than reductions to crediting rates.

Reductions in employment levels of our existing employer customers may result in a reduction in underlying employee participation levels, contributions, deposits and premium income for certain of our retirement products. Participants within the retirement plans for which we provide certain services may elect to effect withdrawals from these plans, or reduce or stop their payroll deferrals to these plans, which would reduce assets under management or administration and our revenues.

We have significant investment and derivative portfolios that include, among other investments, corporate securities, asset-backed securities (ABS), equities and commercial mortgages. Economic conditions as well as adverse capital market and credit conditions, interest rate changes, changes in mortgage prepayment behavior or declines in the value of underlying collateral will impact the credit quality, liquidity and value of our investment and derivative portfolios, potentially resulting in higher capital charges and unrealized or realized losses and decreased investment income. The value of our investments and derivative portfolios may also be impacted by reductions in price transparency, changes in the assumptions or methodology we use to estimate fair value and changes in investor confidence or preferences, which could potentially result in higher realized or unrealized losses and have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition. Market volatility may also make it difficult to value certain of our securities if trading becomes less frequent.

Market conditions determine the availability and cost of the reinsurance protection we purchase and may result in additional expenses for reinsurance or an inability to obtain sufficient reinsurance on acceptable terms, which could adversely affect the profitability of future business and the availability of capital to support new sales.

-17-

Hedging instruments we use to manage product and other risks might not perform as intended or expected, which could result in higher realized losses and unanticipated cash needs to collateralize or settle such transactions. Adverse market conditions can limit the availability and increase the costs of hedging instruments, and such costs may not be recovered in the pricing of the underlying products being hedged. In addition, hedging counterparties may fail to perform their obligations resulting in unhedged exposures and losses on positions that are not collateralized.

Regardless of market conditions, certain investments we hold, including privately placed fixed income investments, investments in private equity funds and commercial mortgages, are relatively illiquid. If we need to sell these investments, we may have difficulty selling them in a timely manner or at a price equal to what we could otherwise realize by holding the investment to maturity.

We are exposed to interest rate and equity risk based upon the discount rate and expected long-term rate of return assumptions associated with our pension and other retirement benefit obligations. Sustained declines in long-term interest rates or equity returns could have a negative effect on the funded status of these plans and/or increase our future funding costs.

Fluctuations in our operating results and our investment portfolio may impact our tax profile, our ability to optimally utilize tax attributes and our deferred income tax assets. See We expect that our ability to use beneficial U.S. tax attributes will be subject to limitations.

A default by any financial institution or by a sovereign could lead to additional defaults by other market participants. The failure of a sufficiently large and influential institution could disrupt securities markets or clearance and settlement systems and lead to a chain of defaults, because the commercial and financial soundness of many financial institutions may be closely related as a result of credit, trading, clearing or other relationships. Even the perceived lack of creditworthiness of a counterparty may lead to market-wide liquidity problems and losses or defaults by us or by other institutions. This risk is sometimes referred to as systemic risk and may adversely affect financial intermediaries, such as clearing agencies, clearing houses, banks, securities firms and exchanges with which we interact on a daily basis. Systemic risk could have a material adverse effect on our ability to raise new funding and on our business, results of operations, financial condition, liquidity and/or business prospects. In addition, such a failure could impact future product sales as a potential result of reduced confidence in the financial services industry.

Widening credit spreads, if not offset by equal or greater declines in the risk-free interest rate, would also cause the total interest rate payable on newly issued securities to increase, and thus would have the same effect as an increase in underlying interest rates with respect to the valuation of our current portfolio.

Continuing market turmoil has resulted in, and may continue to raise the possibility of, legislative, regulatory and governmental actions. We cannot predict whether or when such actions may occur, or what impact, if any, such actions could have on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Adverse capital and credit market conditions may impact our ability to access liquidity and capital, as well as the cost of credit and capital.

Adverse capital market conditions may affect the availability and cost of borrowed funds, thereby impacting our ability to support or grow our businesses. We need liquidity to pay our operating expenses, interest on our debt and dividends on our capital stock, maintain our securities lending activities and replace certain maturing liabilities. Without sufficient liquidity, we will be forced to curtail our operations and our business will suffer. As a holding company with no direct operations, our principal assets are the capital stock of our subsidiaries. Payments of dividends and advances or repayment of funds to us by our insurance subsidiaries are restricted by the applicable laws and regulations of their respective jurisdictions, including laws establishing minimum solvency and liquidity thresholds.

For our insurance and other subsidiaries, the principal sources of liquidity are insurance premiums and fees, annuity deposits and cash flow from investments and assets. At the holding company level, sources of liquidity in normal markets also include a variety of short-term liquid investments and short- and long-term instruments, including credit facilities, commercial paper, equity securities and medium- and long-term debt.

In the event current resources do not satisfy our needs, we may have to seek additional financing. The availability of additional financing will depend on a variety of factors such as market conditions, the general availability of credit, the volume of trading activities, the overall availability of credit to the financial services industry and our credit ratings and credit capacity, as well as the possibility that customers or lenders could develop a negative perception of our long- or short-term financial prospects. Similarly, our access to funds may be limited if regulatory authorities or rating agencies take negative actions against us. If our internal sources of liquidity prove to be insufficient, there is a risk that we may not be able to successfully obtain additional financing on favorable terms, or at all. Any actions we might take to access financing may cause rating agencies to reevaluate our ratings.

Disruptions, uncertainty or volatility in the capital and credit markets, such as that experienced over the past few years, may also limit our access to capital. Such market conditions may in the future limit our ability to raise additional capital to support business growth, or to counter-balance the consequences of losses or increased regulatory reserves and rating agency capital requirements. This could force us to (1) delay raising capital, (2) reduce, cancel or postpone interest payments on our debt, (3) issue capital of different types or under different terms than we would otherwise or (4) incur a higher cost of capital than in a more stable market environment. This would have the potential to decrease both our profitability and our financial flexibility. Our results of operations, financial condition, liquidity, statutory capital and rating agency capital position could be materially and adversely affected by disruptions in the financial markets.

Interest rate volatility may adversely affect our profitability.

Changes in prevailing interest rates may negatively affect our business including the level of net interest margin we earn. In a period of changing interest rates, interest expense may increase and interest credited to policyholders may change at different rates than the interest earned on assets. Accordingly, changes in interest rates could decrease net interest margin. Changes in interest rates may negatively affect the value of our assets and our ability to realize gains or avoid losses from the sale of those assets, all of which also ultimately affect earnings. In addition, our insurance and annuity products and certain of our retirement and investment products are sensitive to inflation rate fluctuations. A sustained increase in the inflation rate in our principal markets may also negatively affect our business, financial condition and results of operation. For example, a sustained increase in the inflation rate may result in an increase in nominal market interest rates. A failure to accurately anticipate higher inflation and factor it into our product pricing assumptions may result in mispricing of our products, which could materially and adversely impact our results of operations.

During periods of declining interest rates, life insurance and annuity products may be relatively more attractive to consumers due to minimum guarantees that are frequently mandated by regulators, resulting in increased premium payments on products with flexible premium features and a higher percentage of insurance and annuity contracts remaining in force from year-to-year than we anticipated in our pricing, potentially resulting in greater claims costs than we expected and asset liability cash flow mismatches. A decrease in interest rates may also require additional provisions for guarantees included in life insurance and annuity contracts, as the guarantees become more valuable to policyholders. During a period of decreasing interest rates, our investment earnings may decrease because the interest earnings on our recently purchased fixed income investments will likely have declined in parallel with market interest rates. In addition, a prolonged low interest rate period may result in higher costs for certain derivative instruments that may be used to hedge certain of our product risks. Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) and callable fixed income securities in our investment portfolios will be more likely to be prepaid or redeemed as borrowers seek to borrow at lower interest rates. Consequently, we may be required to reinvest the proceeds in securities bearing lower interest rates. Accordingly, during periods of declining interest rates, our profitability may suffer as the result of a decrease in

-19-

the spread between interest rates credited to policyholders and contract owners and returns on our investment portfolios. An extended period of declining interest rates may also cause us to change our long-term view of the interest rates that we can earn on our investments. Such a change in our view would cause us to change the long-term interest rate that we assume in our calculation of insurance assets and liabilities under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). This revision would result in increased reserves, accelerated amortization of DAC and other unfavorable consequences. In addition, certain statutory capital and reserve requirements are based on formulas or models that consider interest rates, and an extended period of low interest rates may increase the statutory capital we are required to hold and the amount of assets we must maintain to support statutory reserves.

Conversely, in periods of rapidly increasing interest rates, policy loans, withdrawals from, and/or surrenders of, life insurance and annuity contracts and certain guaranteed investment contracts (GICs) may increase as policyholders choose to seek higher investment returns. Obtaining cash to satisfy these obligations may require us to liquidate fixed income investments at a time when market prices for those assets are depressed because of increases in interest rates. This may result in realized investment losses. Regardless of whether we realize an investment loss, such cash payments would result in a decrease in total invested assets and may decrease our net income and capitalization levels. Premature withdrawals may also cause us to accelerate amortization of DAC, which would also reduce our net income. An increase in market interest rates could also have a material adverse effect on the value of our investment portfolio by, for example, decreasing the estimated fair values of the fixed income securities within our investment portfolio. An increase in market interest rates could also create a significant collateral posting requirement associated with our interest rate hedge programs, which could materially and adversely affect liquidity. In addition, an increase in market interest rates could require us to pay higher interest rates on debt securities we may issue in the financial markets from time to time to finance our operations, which would increase our interest expenses and reduce our results of operations. Lastly, an increase in interest rates could result in decreased fee income associated with a decline in the value of variable annuity account balances invested in fixed income funds.

A downgrade or a potential downgrade in our financial strength or credit ratings could result in a loss of business and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Ratings are important to our business. Credit ratings represent the opinions of rating agencies regarding an entity s ability to repay its indebtedness. Our credit ratings are important to our ability to raise capital through the issuance of debt and to the cost of such financing. Financial strength ratings, which are sometimes referred to as claims-paying ratings, represent the opinions of rating agencies regarding the financial ability of an insurance company to meet its obligations under an insurance policy. Financial strength ratings are important factors affecting public confidence in insurers, including our insurance company subsidiaries. The financial strength ratings of our insurance subsidiaries are important to our ability to sell our products and services to our customers. Ratings are not recommendations to buy our securities. Each of the rating agencies reviews its ratings periodically, and our current ratings may not be maintained in the future.

Our ratings could be downgraded at any time and without notice by any rating agency. For example, in December 2011, both S&P and Moody s Investors Service, Inc. (Moody s) downgraded the financial strength ratings of our insurance companies as a result of the announcement by ING Group regarding the financial impact of the change in policyholder behavior assumptions in our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment, which resulted in a charge of 1.1 billion against the results of that segment, as reflected in ING Group s 2011 financial statements reported under International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). For a description of material rating actions that have occurred from the beginning of 2011 through the date of this filing, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition Liquidity and Capital Resources Ratings.

We receive explicit guarantees of our commercial paper program and certain credit facilities from ING Verzekeringen N.V. (ING V), a wholly owned subsidiary of ING Group and our indirect parent. A downgrade of the credit rating of ING V could impact our ability to issue commercial paper or increase the amount of

-20-

collateral that we are required to provide under these credit facilities. Also, ING Bank N.V. (ING Bank), an affiliate, provides certain letter of credit (LOC) facilities to the Company, including without limitation, a \$1.5 billion contingent capital LOC. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition Liquidity and Capital Resources Contingent Capital Letter of Credit. A downgrade of ING Bank could negatively impact our ability to utilize these facilities as reinsurance collateral. On June 15, 2012, Moody s downgraded the long-term debt ratings of ING Group from A1 to A3 with negative outlook and ING Bank from Aa3 to A2 with negative outlook. At the same time, Moody s took negative ratings actions with respect to a number of European-based banking organizations. On November 16, 2012, S&P lowered their starting point for ratings for commercial banks operating in the Netherlands to bbb from a- and therefore revised the outlook on ING Bank to negative and affirmed the respective A+ and A counterparty credit ratings. At the same time S&P took various ratings actions on Dutch banks. For information on additional collateral requirements in case of a downgrade of our or ING V s ratings, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition Liquidity and Capital Resources Potential Impact of a Ratings Downgrade.

A downgrade of the financial strength rating of one of our principal insurance subsidiaries could affect our competitive position by making it more difficult for us to market our products as potential customers may select companies with higher financial strength ratings and by leading to increased withdrawals by current customers seeking companies with higher financial strength ratings. This could lead to a decrease in AUM and result in lower fee income. Furthermore, sales of assets to meet customer withdrawal demands could also result in losses, depending on market conditions. In addition, a downgrade in either our financial strength or credit ratings could potentially, among other things, increase our borrowing costs and make it more difficult to access financing; adversely affect access to the commercial paper market or the availability of LOCs and other financial guarantees; result in additional collateral requirements, or other required payments or termination rights under derivative contracts or other agreements; and/or impair, or cause the termination of, our relationships with creditors, broker-dealers, distributors, reinsurers or trading counterparties, which could potentially negatively affect our profitability, liquidity and/or capital. In addition, we use assumptions of market participants in estimating the fair value of our liabilities, including insurance liabilities that are classified as embedded derivatives under GAAP. These assumptions include our nonperformance risk (i.e., the risk that the obligations will not be fulfilled). Therefore, changes in our credit or financial strength ratings may affect the fair value of our liabilities.

As rating agencies continue to evaluate the financial services industry, it is possible that rating agencies will heighten the level of scrutiny that they apply to financial institutions, increase the frequency and scope of their credit reviews, request additional information from the companies that they rate and potentially adjust upward the capital and other requirements employed in the rating agency models for maintenance of certain ratings levels. It is possible that the outcome of any such review of us would have additional adverse ratings consequences, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. We may need to take actions in response to changing standards or capital requirements set by any of the rating agencies which could cause our business and operations to suffer. We cannot predict what additional actions rating agencies may take, or what actions we may take in response to the actions of rating agencies.

Because we operate in highly competitive markets, we may not be able to increase or maintain our market share, which may have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

In each of our businesses we face intense competition, including from domestic and foreign insurance companies, broker-dealers, financial advisors, asset managers and diversified financial institutions, both for the ultimate customers for our products and for distribution through independent distribution channels. We compete based on a number of factors including brand recognition, reputation, quality of service, quality of investment advice, investment performance of our products, product features, scope of distribution, price, perceived financial strength and credit ratings. A decline in our competitive position as to one or more of these factors could adversely affect our profitability. In addition, we may in the future sacrifice our competitive or market position in order to improve our profitability. Many of our competitors are large and well-established and some have greater market share or breadth of distribution, offer a broader range of products, services or features, assume a greater level of risk, or have higher claims-paying or credit ratings than we do.

-21-

In recent years, there has been substantial consolidation among companies in the financial services industry resulting in increased competition from large, well-capitalized financial services firms. Future economic turmoil may accelerate additional consolidation activity. Many of our competitors also have been able to increase their distribution systems through mergers or contractual arrangements. Furthermore, larger competitors may have lower operating costs and have an ability to absorb greater risk, while maintaining financial strength ratings, allowing them to price products more competitively. These competitive pressures could result in increased pressure on the pricing of certain of our products and services, and could harm our ability to maintain or increase profitability. In addition, if our financial strength and credit ratings are lower than our competitors, we may experience increased surrenders and/or a significant decline in sales. The competitive landscape in which we operate may be further affected by the government sponsored programs in the United States and similar governmental actions outside of the United States in response to the dislocations in financial markets. Competitors that receive governmental financing, guarantees or other assistance, or that are not subject to the same regulatory constraints, may have or obtain pricing or other competitive advantages. Due to the competitive nature of the financial services industry, there can be no assurance that we will continue to effectively compete within the industry or that competition will not have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our risk management policies and procedures, including hedging programs, may prove inadequate for the risks we face, which could negatively affect our business or result in losses.

We have developed risk management policies and procedures, including hedging programs that utilize derivative financial instruments, and expect to continue to do so in the future. Nonetheless, our policies and procedures to identify, monitor and manage risks may not be fully effective, particularly during extremely turbulent times. Many of our methods of managing risk and exposures are based upon observed historical market behavior or statistics based on historical models. As a result, these methods may not predict future exposures, which could be significantly greater than historical measures indicate. Other risk management methods depend on the evaluation of information regarding markets, customers, catastrophe occurrence or other matters that is publicly available or otherwise accessible to us. This information may not always be accurate, complete, up-to-date or properly evaluated. Management of operational, legal and regulatory risks requires, among other things, policies and procedures to record and verify large numbers of transactions and events. These policies and procedures may not be fully effective.

We employ various strategies, including hedging and reinsurance, with the objective of mitigating risks inherent in our business and operations. These risks include current or future changes in the fair value of our assets and liabilities, current or future changes in cash flows, the effect of interest rates, equity markets and credit spread changes, the occurrence of credit defaults, currency fluctuations and changes in mortality and longevity. We seek to control these risks by, among other things, entering into reinsurance contracts and derivative instruments, such as swaps, options, futures and forward contracts. See Reinsurance subjects us to the credit risk of reinsurers and may not be available, affordable or adequate to protect us against losses for a description of risks associated with our use of reinsurance. Developing an effective strategy for dealing with these risks is complex, and no strategy can completely insulate us from such risks. Our hedging strategies also rely on assumptions and projections regarding our assets, liabilities, general market factors and the creditworthiness of our counterparties that may prove to be incorrect or prove to be inadequate. Accordingly, our hedging activities may not have the desired beneficial impact on our results of operations or financial condition. Hedging strategies involve transaction costs and other costs, and if we terminate a hedging arrangement, we may also be required to pay additional costs, such as transaction fees or breakage costs. We may incur losses on transactions after taking into account our hedging strategies. In particular, certain of our hedging strategies focus on the protection of regulatory reserves and rating agency capital, rather than GAAP earnings. Because our regulatory reserves and the variable annuity guarantee hedge program target react differently to changes in market movements, in addition to our variable annuity guarantee hedge program, we have executed a capital hedge overlay (CHO) program to generally target this differential. As GAAP accounting differs from the methods used to determine regulatory reserves and rating agency capital requirements, our hedge programs may create earnings volatility in

-22-

our GAAP financial statements. Further, the nature, timing, design or execution of our hedging transactions could actually increase our risks and losses. Our hedging strategies and the derivatives that we use, or may use in the future, may not adequately mitigate or offset the hedged risk and our hedging transactions may result in losses.

Past or future misconduct by our employees, registered representatives of our broker-dealer subsidiaries or employees of our vendors could result in violations of law by us or our subsidiaries, regulatory sanctions and/or serious reputational or financial harm and the precautions we take to prevent and detect this activity may not be effective in all cases. Although we employ controls and procedures designed to monitor associates business decisions and to prevent us from taking excessive or inappropriate risks, associates may take such risks regardless of such controls and procedures. Our compensation policies and practices are reviewed by us as part of our overall risk management program, but it is possible that such compensation policies and practices could inadvertently incentivize excessive or inappropriate risk taking. If our associates take excessive or inappropriate risks, those risks could harm our reputation and have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

The inability of counterparties to meet their financial obligations could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Third parties that owe us money, securities or other assets may not pay or perform under their obligations. These parties include the issuers or guarantors of securities we hold, customers, reinsurers, trading counterparties, securities lending and repurchase counterparties, counterparties under swaps, credit default and other derivative contracts, clearing agents, exchanges, clearing houses and other financial intermediaries. Defaults by one or more of these parties on their obligations to us due to bankruptcy, lack of liquidity, downturns in the economy or real estate values, operational failure or other factors, or even rumors about potential defaults by one or more of these parties, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

We routinely execute a high volume of transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including brokers and dealers, commercial and investment banks, mutual and hedge funds, institutional clients, insurance companies and other institutions, resulting in large daily settlement amounts and significant credit exposure. As a result, we face concentration risk with respect to specific counterparties and customers. A default by, or even concerns about the creditworthiness of, one or more of these counterparties or customers could have an adverse effect on our results of operations or liquidity. We also have exposure to a number of financial institutions in the form of unsecured debt instruments, derivative transactions and equity investments. There is no assurance that losses on, or impairments to the carrying value of, these assets would not materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition.

In addition, we enter into a variety of derivative instruments with a number of counterparties in order to hedge various risks, including equity and interest rate market risk features within many of our insurance and annuity products. Amounts that we expect to collect under current and future contracts are subject to counterparty risk. Our obligations under our products are not changed by our hedging activities and we are liable for our obligations even if our derivative counterparties do not pay us.

We are also subject to the risk that our rights against third parties may not be enforceable in all circumstances. The deterioration or perceived deterioration in the credit quality of third parties whose securities or obligations we hold could result in losses and/or adversely affect our ability to rehypothecate or otherwise use those securities or obligations for liquidity purposes. While in many cases we are permitted to require additional collateral from counterparties that experience financial difficulty, disputes may arise as to the amount of collateral we are entitled to receive and the value of pledged assets. Our credit risk may also be exacerbated when the collateral we hold cannot be realized or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the loan or derivative exposure that is due to us, which is most likely to occur during periods of illiquidity and depressed asset valuations, such as those experienced during the recent financial crisis. The termination of

-23-

contracts and the foreclosure on collateral may subject us to claims for the improper exercise of rights under the contracts. Bankruptcies, downgrades and disputes with counterparties as to the valuation of collateral tend to increase in times of market stress and illiquidity.

Requirements to post collateral or make payments related to changes in market value of specified assets may adversely affect liquidity.

The amount of collateral we may be required to post under short-term financing agreements and derivative transactions may increase under certain circumstances. Pursuant to the terms of some transactions, we could be required to make payment to our counterparties related to any change in the market value of the specified collateral assets. Such requirements could have an adverse effect on liquidity. Furthermore, with respect to any such payments, we may have unsecured risk to the counterparty as these amounts may not be required to be segregated from the counterparty s other funds, may not be held in a third-party custodial account and may not be required to be paid to us by the counterparty until the termination of the transaction. Additionally, the implementation of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act) and the resultant changes in collateral requirements may increase the need for liquidity and eligible collateral assets in excess of what is already being held.

For a discussion on certain obligations we have with respect to the posting of collateral upon the occurrence of certain events, see Management s

For a discussion on certain obligations we have with respect to the posting of collateral upon the occurrence of certain events, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition Liquidity and Capital Resources Potential Impact of a ratings Downgrade.

Our investment portfolio is subject to several risks that may diminish the value of our invested assets and the investment returns credited to customers, which could reduce our sales, revenues, AUM and results of operations.

Fixed income securities represent a significant portion of our investment portfolio. We are subject to the risk that the issuers, or guarantors, of fixed income securities we own may default on principal and interest payments they owe us. We are also subject to the risk that the underlying collateral within ABS, including mortgage-backed securities, may default on principal and interest payments causing an adverse change in cash flows. The occurrence of a major economic downturn, acts of corporate malfeasance, widening mortgage or credit spreads, or other events that adversely affect the issuers, guarantors or underlying collateral of these securities could cause the estimated fair value of our fixed income securities portfolio and our earnings to decline and the default rate of the fixed income securities in our investment portfolio to increase. A ratings downgrade affecting issuers or guarantors of securities in our investment portfolio, or similar trends that could worsen the credit quality of such issuers, or guarantors could also have a similar effect. Similarly, a ratings downgrade affecting a security we hold could indicate the credit quality of that security has deteriorated and could increase the capital we must hold to support that security to maintain our RBC ratio. See

A decrease in the RBC ratio (as a result of a reduction in statutory surplus and/or increase in risk-based capital (RBC) requirements) of our insurance subsidiaries could result in increased scrutiny by insurance regulators and rating agencies and have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. We are also subject to the risk that cash flows resulting from the payments on pools of mortgages that serve as collateral underlying the mortgage-backed securities we own may differ from our expectations in timing or size. Cash flow variability arising from an unexpected acceleration in mortgage prepayment behavior can be significant, and could cause a decline in the estimated fair value of certain interest-only securities within our mortgage-backed securities portfolio. Any event reducing the estimated fair value of these securities, other than on a temporary basis, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We derive operating revenues from providing investment management and related services. Our revenues depend largely on the value and mix of AUM. Our investment management related revenues are derived primarily from fees based on a percentage of the value of AUM. Any decrease in the value or amount of our AUM because of market volatility or other factors negatively impacts our revenues and income. Global economic

-24-

conditions, changes in the equity markets, currency exchange rates, interest rates, inflation rates, the yield curve, defaults by derivative counterparties and other factors that are difficult to predict affect the mix, market values and levels of our AUM. The funds we manage may be subject to an unanticipated large number of redemptions as a result of such events, causing the funds to sell securities they hold, possibly at a loss, or draw on any available lines of credit to obtain cash, or use securities held in the applicable fund, to settle these redemptions. We may, in our discretion, also provide financial support to a fund to enable it to maintain sufficient liquidity in such an event. Additionally, changing market conditions may cause a shift in our asset mix towards fixed-income products and a related decline in our revenue and income, as we generally derive higher fee revenues and income from equity products than from fixed-income products we manage. Any decrease in the level of our AUM resulting from price declines, interest rate volatility or uncertainty, increased redemptions or other factors could negatively impact our revenues and income.

From time to time we invest our capital to seed a particular investment strategy or investment portfolio. We may also co-invest in funds or take an equity ownership interest in certain structured finance/investment vehicles that we manage for our customers. Any decrease in the value of such investments could negatively affect our revenues and income.

Our investment performance is critical to the success of our investment management and related services business, as well as to the profitability of our insurance, annuity and retirement products. Poor investment performance as compared to third-party benchmarks or competitor products could lead to a decrease in sales of investment products we manage and lead to redemptions from existing products, generally lowering the overall level of AUM and reducing the management fees we earn. We cannot assure you that past or present investment performance in the investment products we manage will be indicative of future performance. Any poor investment performance may negatively impact our revenues and income.

Some of our investments are relatively illiquid and are in asset classes that have been experiencing significant market valuation fluctuations.

We hold certain assets that may lack liquidity, such as privately placed fixed income securities, commercial mortgage loans, policy loans and limited partnership interests. These asset classes represented 27.7% of the carrying value of our total cash and invested assets as of September 30, 2012. If we require significant amounts of cash on short notice in excess of normal cash requirements or are required to post or return collateral in connection with our investment portfolio, derivatives transactions or securities lending activities, we may have difficulty selling these investments in a timely manner, be forced to sell them for less than we otherwise would have been able to realize, or both.

The reported values of our relatively illiquid types of investments do not necessarily reflect the current market price for the asset. If we were forced to sell certain of our assets in the current market, there can be no assurance that we would be able to sell them for the prices at which we have recorded them and we might be forced to sell them at significantly lower prices.

We invest a portion of our invested assets in investment funds, many of which make private equity investments. The amount and timing of income from such investment funds tends to be uneven as a result of the performance of the underlying investments, including private equity investments. The timing of distributions from the funds, which depends on particular events relating to the underlying investments, as well as the funds schedules for making distributions and their needs for cash, can be difficult to predict. As a result, the amount of income that we record from these investments can vary substantially from quarter to quarter. Recent equity and credit market volatility may reduce investment income for these types of investments.

Our CMO-B portfolio exposes us to market and behavior risks.

We manage a portfolio of various collateralized mortgage obligation (CMO) tranches in combination with financial derivatives as part of a proprietary strategy we refer to as CMO-B, as described under Investments

-25-

CMO-B Portfolio. As of September 30, 2012, our CMO-B portfolio had \$3.9 billion in total assets, consisting of notional or principal securities backed by mortgages secured by single-family residential real estate, and including interest-only securities, principal-only securities, inverse-floating rate (principal) securities and inverse interest-only securities. The CMO-B portfolio is subject to a number of market and behavior risks, including interest rate risk and prepayment risk. Interest rate risk represents the potential for adverse changes in portfolio value resulting from changes in the general level of interest rates. Prepayment risk represents the potential for adverse changes in portfolio value resulting from changes in residential mortgage prepayment speed, which in turn depends on a number of factors, including conditions in both credit markets and housing markets. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, approximately 30.0% and 32.8%, respectively, of the Company s CMO holdings were invested in those types of CMOs, such as interest-only or principal-only strips, which are subject to more prepayment and extension risk than traditional CMOs. In addition, government policy changes affecting residential housing and residential housing finance, such as government agency reform and government sponsored refinancing programs, and Federal Reserve Bank purchases of agency mortgage securities, or OE3, could alter prepayment behavior and result in adverse changes to portfolio values. While we actively monitor our exposure to these and other risks inherent in this strategy, we cannot assure you that our hedging and risk management strategies will be effective; any failure to manage these risks effectively could materially and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. In addition, although we believe our CMO-B portfolio has performed well for a number of years, and particularly well since the recent financial crisis, primarily due to persistently low levels of short-term interest rates and mortgage prepayments in an atmosphere of tightened housing-related credit availability, this portfolio may not continue to perform as well in the future.

Defaults or delinquencies in our commercial mortgage loan portfolio may adversely affect our profitability.

The commercial mortgage loans we hold face both default and delinquency risk. We establish loan specific valuation allowances for estimated impairments at the balance sheet date. These valuation allowances are based on the excess carrying value of the loan over the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan 's original effective interest rate, the estimated fair value of the loan 's collateral if the loan is in the process of foreclosure or otherwise collateral dependent, or the loan 's observable market price. We also establish valuation allowances for loan losses when, based on past experience, it is probable that a credit event has occurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. These valuation allowances are based on loan risk characteristics, historical default rates and loss severities, real estate market fundamentals and outlook as well as other relevant factors. As of September 30, 2012, our commercial loan portfolio included \$16.7 million (0.2%) of commercial loans that were in the process of foreclosure. No other commercial mortgage loans were 90 or more days past due. The performance of our commercial mortgage loan investments may fluctuate in the future. In addition, legislative proposals that would allow or require modifications to the terms of commercial mortgage loans could be enacted. We cannot predict whether these proposals will be adopted, or what impact, if any, such laws, if enacted, could have on our business or investments. An increase in the delinquency and default rate of our commercial mortgage loan portfolio could adversely impact our results of operations and financial condition.

Further, any geographic or sector concentration of our commercial mortgage loans may have adverse effects on our investment portfolios and consequently on our results of operations or financial condition. While we generally seek to mitigate the risk of sector concentration by having a broadly diversified portfolio, events or developments that have a negative effect on any particular geographic region or sector may have a greater adverse effect on the investment portfolios to the extent that the portfolios are concentrated, which could affect our results of operations and financial condition.

In addition, liability under environmental protection laws resulting from our commercial mortgage loan portfolio and real estate investments could affect our results of operations or financial condition. Under the laws of several states, contamination of a property may give rise to a lien on the property to secure recovery of the costs of cleanup. In some states, such a lien has priority over the lien of an existing mortgage against the property, which would impair our ability to foreclose on that property should the related loan be in default. In addition, under the laws of some states and under the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, we may be liable for costs of addressing releases or threatened releases

-26-

of hazardous substances that require remedy at a property securing a mortgage loan held by us, regardless of whether or not the environmental damage or threat was caused by the obligor, which could harm our results of operations and financial condition. We also may face this liability after foreclosing on a property securing a mortgage loan held by us.

Our investment management business operations are complex and a failure to properly perform services could have an adverse effect on our revenues and income.

Our investment management and related services include, among other things, portfolio management, investment advice, fund administration, shareholder services, transfer agency, underwriting, distribution, custodial, trustee and other fiduciary services. In order to be competitive, we must properly perform our administrative and related responsibilities, including recordkeeping and accounting, security pricing, corporate actions, compliance with investment restrictions, daily net asset value computations, account reconciliations and required distributions to fund shareholders. Further, certain of our subsidiaries may act as general partner for various investment partnerships, which may subject them to liability for the partnerships liabilities. If we fail to properly perform and monitor our investment management operations, our business could suffer and our revenues and income could be adversely affected.

Our products and services are complex and are frequently sold through intermediaries, and a failure to properly perform services or the misrepresentation of our products or services could have an adverse effect on our revenues and income.

Many of our products and services are complex and are frequently sold through intermediaries. In particular, our insurance businesses are reliant on intermediaries to describe and explain their products to potential customers. The intentional or unintentional misrepresentation of our products and services in advertising materials or other external communications, or inappropriate activities by our personnel or an intermediary, could adversely affect our reputation and business prospects, as well as lead to potential regulatory actions or litigation.

Revenues, earnings and income from our investment management business operations could be adversely affected if the terms of our asset management agreements are significantly altered or the agreements are terminated.

Our revenues from our investment management business operations are dependent on fees earned under asset management and related services agreements that we have with the clients and funds we advise. Operating revenues for this segment were \$403.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and \$491.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, and could be adversely affected if these agreements are altered significantly or terminated. The decline in revenue that might result from alteration or termination of our asset management services agreements could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations or financial condition. Operating income (loss) before income taxes was \$103.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and \$87.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. In addition, under certain laws, most notably the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the Investment Company Act) and the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the Investment or change in control of the investment adviser. Were a transaction to result in an assignment or change in control, the inability to obtain consent or approval from clients or shareholders of mutual funds or other investment funds could result in a significant reduction in advisory fees.

The valuation of many of our financial instruments includes methodologies, estimations and assumptions that are subject to differing interpretations and could result in changes to investment valuations that may materially and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

The following financial instruments are carried at fair value in our financial statements: fixed income securities, equity securities, derivatives, embedded derivatives, assets and liabilities related to consolidated

-27-

investment entities, and separate account assets. We have categorized these instruments into a three-level hierarchy, based on the priority of the inputs to the respective valuation technique. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3), while quoted prices in markets that are not active or valuation techniques requiring inputs that are observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability are Level 2.

Factors considered in estimating fair values of securities, and derivatives and embedded derivatives related to our securities include coupon rate, maturity, principal paydown including prepayments, estimated duration, call provisions, sinking fund requirements, credit rating, industry sector of the issuer and quoted market prices of comparable securities. Factors considered in estimating the fair values of embedded derivatives and derivatives related to product guarantees (collectively, guaranteed benefit derivatives) include risk-free interest rates, long-term equity implied volatility, interest rate implied volatility, correlations among mutual funds associated with variable annuity contracts and actuarial assumptions such as mortality rates, lapse rates and benefit utilization, as well as the amount and timing of policyholder deposits and partial withdrawals. The impact of our risk of nonperformance is also reflected in the estimated fair value of guaranteed benefit derivatives. In many situations, inputs used to measure the fair value of an asset or liability may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In these situations, we will determine the level in which the fair value falls based upon the lowest level input that is significant to the determination of the fair value.

The determinations of fair values are made at a specific point in time, based on available market information and judgments about financial instruments, including estimates of the timing and amounts of expected future cash flows and the credit standing of the issuer or counterparty. The use of different methodologies and assumptions may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

During periods of market disruption, including periods of rapidly changing credit spreads or illiquidity, it has been and will likely continue to be difficult to value certain of our securities, such as certain mortgage-backed securities, if trading becomes less frequent and/or market data becomes less observable. There may be certain asset classes that were in active markets with significant observable data that could become illiquid in a difficult financial environment. In such cases, more securities may fall to Level 3 and thus require more subjectivity and management judgment in determining fair value. As such, valuations may include inputs and assumptions that are less observable or require greater estimation, thereby resulting in values that may differ materially from the value at which the investments may be ultimately sold. Further, rapidly changing and unprecedented credit and equity market conditions could materially impact the valuation of securities as reported within the financial statements, and the period-to-period changes in value could vary significantly. Decreases in value could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. As of September 30, 2012, 7.4%, 91.3% and 1.3% of our available-for-sale securities were considered to be Level 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

The determination of the amount of allowances and impairments taken on our investments is subjective and could materially and adversely impact our results of operations or financial condition. Gross unrealized losses may be realized or result in future impairments, resulting in a reduction in our net income (loss).

We evaluate investment securities held by us for impairment on a quarterly basis. This review is subjective and requires a high degree of judgment. For fixed income securities held, an impairment loss is recognized if the fair value of the debt security is less than the carrying value and we no longer have the intent to hold the debt security; if it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of the amortized cost basis; or if a credit loss has occurred.

When we do not intend to sell a security in an unrealized loss position, potential credit related other-than-temporary impairments are considered using a variety of factors, including the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, adverse conditions specifically related to the industry, geographic area in which the issuer conducts business, financial condition of the issuer or underlying collateral of a security,

-28-

payment structure of the security, changes in credit rating of the security by the rating agencies, volatility of the fair value changes and other events that adversely affect the issuer. In addition, we take into account relevant broad market and economic data in making impairment decisions.

As part of the impairment review process, we utilize a variety of assumptions and estimates to make a judgment on how fixed income securities will perform in the future. It is possible that securities in our fixed income portfolio will perform worse than our expectations. There is an ongoing risk that further declines in fair value may occur and additional other-than- temporary impairments may be recorded in future periods, which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. Furthermore, historical trends may not be indicative of future impairments or allowances.

Fixed income and equity securities classified as available-for-sale are reported at their estimated fair value. Unrealized gains or losses on available-for-sale securities are recognized as a component of other comprehensive income (loss) and are therefore excluded from net income (loss). The accumulated change in estimated fair value of these available-for-sale securities is recognized in net income (loss) when the gain or loss is realized upon the sale of the security or in the event that the decline in estimated fair value is determined to be other-than-temporary (OTTI) and an impairment charge to earnings is taken. Such realized losses or impairments may have a material adverse effect on our net income (loss) in a particular quarterly or annual period. For example, for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we recorded OTTI of \$25.8 million in net realized capital losses, compared to \$399.4 million in OTTI in the comparable 2011 period. We recorded OTTI of \$502.7 million, \$890.8 million and \$1,618.6 million in net realized capital losses in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Our participation in a securities lending program and a reverse repurchase program subjects us to potential liquidity and other risks.

We participate in a securities lending program whereby blocks of securities, which are included in fixed income securities and short-term investments, are loaned to third-party borrowers, primarily major brokerage firms and commercial banks. We generally obtain cash collateral in an amount equal to 102% of the estimated fair value of the loaned securities, which is obtained at the inception of a loan and maintained at a level greater than or equal to 100% for the duration of the loan. The cash collateral received is typically invested in fixed income securities. A return of loaned securities by a borrower would require us to liquidate the investments held as collateral and return the cash collateral associated with such loaned securities.

We also participate in a reverse repurchase program for our general account whereby we sell fixed income securities to third-party repurchase counterparties, primarily major brokerage firms and commercial banks, with a concurrent agreement to repurchase those same securities at a determined future date. Our policy requires that, at all times during the term of the reverse repurchase agreements, cash or other types of collateral types provided is sufficient to allow the counterparty to fund substantially all of the cost of purchasing replacement assets. The cash proceeds received under the reverse repurchase program are typically invested in fixed income securities and cannot be returned prior to the scheduled repurchase date; however, market conditions on the repurchase date may limit our ability to enter into new agreements. The repurchase of securities or our inability to enter into new reverse repurchase agreements would require us to return the cash collateral proceeds associated with such transactions on the repurchase or maturity date.

For both securities lending and reverse repurchase transactions, in some cases, the maturity of the securities held as invested collateral (i.e., securities that we have purchased with cash collateral received) may exceed the term of the related securities on loan and the estimated fair value may fall below the amount of cash received as collateral and invested. If we are required to return significant amounts of cash collateral on short notice and we are forced to sell securities in a volatile or illiquid market for less than we otherwise would have been able to realize under normal market conditions, or both. In addition, under adverse capital market and economic conditions, liquidity may broadly deteriorate, which would further restrict

-29-

our ability to sell securities. If we decrease the amount of our securities lending and reverse repurchase activities over time, the amount of net investment income generated by these activities will also likely decline. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition Liquidity and Capital Resources Securities Lending.

Differences between actual claims experience and reserving assumptions may adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition.

We establish and hold reserves to pay future policy benefits and claims. Our reserves do not represent an exact calculation of liability, but rather are actuarial or statistical estimates based on data and models that include many assumptions and projections, which are inherently uncertain and involve the exercise of significant judgment, including assumptions as to the levels and/or timing of receipt or payment of premiums, benefits, claims, expenses, interest credits, investment results (including equity market returns), retirement, mortality, morbidity and persistency. We periodically review the adequacy of reserves and the underlying assumptions. We cannot, however, determine with precision the amounts that we will pay for, or the timing of payment of, actual benefits, claims and expenses or whether the assets supporting our policy liabilities, together with future premiums, will grow to the level assumed prior to payment of benefits or claims. If actual experience differs significantly from assumptions or estimates, reserves may not be adequate. If we conclude that our reserves, together with future premiums, are insufficient to cover future policy benefits and claims, we would be required to increase our reserves and incur income statement charges for the period in which we make the determination, which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

We may face significant losses if mortality rates, morbidity rates, persistency rates or other underwriting assumptions differ significantly from our pricing expectations.

We set prices for many of our insurance and annuity products based upon expected claims and payment patterns, using assumptions for mortality rates, or likelihood of death, and morbidity rates, or likelihood of sickness, of our policyholders. In addition to the potential effect of natural or man-made disasters, significant changes in mortality or morbidity could emerge gradually over time due to changes in the natural environment, the health habits of the insured population, technologies and treatments for disease or disability, the economic environment, or other factors. The long-term profitability of our insurance and annuity products depends upon how our actual mortality rates, and to a lesser extent actual morbidity rates, compare to our pricing assumptions. In addition, prolonged or severe adverse mortality or morbidity experience could result in increased reinsurance costs, and ultimately, reinsurers might not offer coverage at all. If we are unable to maintain our current level of reinsurance or purchase new reinsurance protection in amounts that we consider sufficient, we would have to accept an increase in our net risk exposures, revise our pricing to reflect higher reinsurance premiums, or otherwise modify our product offering.

Pricing of our insurance and annuity products is also based in part upon expected persistency of these products, which is the probability that a policy will remain in force from one period to the next. Persistency of our annuity products may be significantly and adversely impacted by the increasing value of guaranteed minimum benefits contained in many of our variable annuity products due to poor equity market performance or extended periods of low interest rates as well as other factors. The minimum interest rate guarantees in our fixed annuities may also be more valuable in extended periods of low interest rates. Persistency could be adversely affected generally by developments adversely affecting customer perception of us. Results may also vary based on differences between actual and expected premium deposits and withdrawals for these products. Many of our deferred annuity products also contain optional benefits that may be exercised at certain points within a contract. We set prices for such products using assumptions for the rate of election of deferred annuity living benefits and other optional benefits offered to our contract owners. The profitability of our deferred annuity products may be less than expected, depending upon how actual contract owner decisions to elect or delay the utilization of such benefits compare to our pricing assumptions. The development of a secondary market for life insurance, including stranger-owned life insurance, life settlements or viaticals and investor-owned life insurance, and the potential development of third-party investor strategies in the annuities business, could also adversely affect the

-30-

profitability of existing business and our pricing assumptions for new business. Actual persistency that is lower than our persistency assumptions could have an adverse effect on profitability, especially in the early years of a policy, primarily because we would be required to accelerate the amortization of expenses we defer in connection with the acquisition of the policy. Actual persistency that is higher than our persistency assumptions could have an adverse effect on profitability in the later years of a block of business because the anticipated claims experience is higher in these later years. If actual persistency is significantly different from that assumed in our current reserving assumptions, our reserves for future policy benefits may prove to be inadequate. Although some of our products permit us to increase premiums or adjust other charges and credits during the life of the policy, the adjustments permitted under the terms of the policies may not be sufficient to maintain profitability. Many of our products, however, do not permit us to increase premiums or adjust charges and credits during the life of the policy. Even if permitted under the policy, we may not be able or willing to raise premiums or adjust other charges for regulatory or competitive reasons.

Pricing of our products is also based on long-term assumptions regarding interest rates, investment returns and operating costs. Management establishes target returns for each product based upon these factors, the other underwriting assumptions noted above and the average amount of regulatory and rating agency capital that we must hold to support in-force contracts. We monitor and manage pricing and sales to achieve target returns. Profitability from new business emerges over a period of years, depending on the nature and life of the product, and is subject to variability as actual results may differ from pricing assumptions. Our profitability depends on multiple factors, including the comparison of actual mortality, morbidity and persistency rates and policyholder behavior to our assumptions; the adequacy of investment margins; our management of market and credit risks associated with investments; our ability to maintain premiums and contract charges at a level adequate to cover mortality, benefits and contract administration expenses; the adequacy of contract charges and availability of revenue from providers of investment options offered in variable contracts to cover the cost of product features and other expenses; and management of operating costs and expenses.

Unfavorable developments in interest rates, credit spreads and policyholder behavior can result in adverse financial consequences related to our stable value products, and our hedging program and risk mitigation features may not successfully offset these consequences.

We offer stable value products primarily as a fixed rate, liquid asset allocation option for employees of our plan sponsor customers within the defined contribution funding plans offered by our Retirement business. These products are designed to provide a guaranteed annual credited rate (currently between zero and three percent) on the invested assets in addition to enabling participants the right to withdraw and transfer funds at book value.

The sensitivity of our statutory reserves and surplus established for the stable value products to changes in interest rates, credit spreads and policyholder behavior will vary depending on the magnitude of these changes, as well as on the book value of assets, the market value of assets, the guaranteed credited rates available to customers and other product features. Realization or re-measurement of these risks may result in an increase in the reserves for stable value products, and could materially and adversely affect our financial position or results of operations. In particular, in low interest rate environments, we bear exposure to the risk that the credited rate exceeds the earned rate on guaranteed annual credited rate products, and, in a rising interest rate environment, we are exposed to the risk of financial disintermediation through a potential increase in the level of book value withdrawals.

To the extent that our hedging program and other risk mitigating features do not operate as intended or are not fully effective, we remain exposed to the risks described above.

We may be required to accelerate the amortization of DAC, deferred sales inducements (DSI) and/or VOBA, any of which could adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition.

DAC represents the incremental costs related directly to the acquisition of new and renewal insurance and annuity contracts. DSI represents amounts that are credited to a policyholder s account balance as an inducement

-31-

to purchase a contract. VOBA represents the present value of estimated cash flows embedded in acquired business, plus renewal commissions and certain other costs on such acquired business. Capitalized costs associated with DAC, DSI and VOBA are amortized in proportion to actual and estimated gross profits, gross premiums or gross revenues depending on the type of contract. Management, on an ongoing basis, tests the DAC, DSI and VOBA recorded on our balance sheets to determine if these amounts are recoverable under current assumptions. In addition, management regularly reviews the estimates and assumptions underlying DAC, DSI and VOBA. The projection of estimated gross profits, gross premiums or gross revenues requires the use of certain assumptions, principally related to separate account fund returns in excess of amounts credited to policyholders, policyholder behavior such as surrender and lapse rates, interest margin, expense margin, mortality, future impairments and hedging costs. Estimating future gross profits, gross premiums or gross revenues is a complex process requiring considerable judgment and the forecasting of events well into the future. If these assumptions prove to be inaccurate, if an estimation technique used to estimate future gross profits, gross premiums or gross revenues is changed, or if significant or sustained equity market declines occur and/or persist, we could be required to accelerate the amortization of DAC, DSI and VOBA, which would result in a charge to earnings. Such adjustments could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Reinsurance subjects us to the credit risk of reinsurers and may not be available, affordable or adequate to protect us against losses.

We cede life insurance policies and annuity contracts to other insurance companies through reinsurance. However, we remain liable to the underlying policyholders, even if the reinsurer defaults on its obligations with respect to the ceded business. If a reinsurer fails to meet its obligations under the reinsurance contract, we will be forced to cover the claims on the reinsured policies. In addition, a reinsurer insolvency may cause us to lose our reserve credits on the ceded business, in which case we would be required to establish additional reserves.

In addition, if a reinsurer loses its accredited reinsurer status in any state where were we are licensed to do business, we will not be entitled to take credit for reinsurance in that state if the reinsurer does not post sufficient qualifying assets in a qualifying trust or post qualifying LOCs, and we would be required to establish additional reserves. Similarly, the credit for reinsurance taken by our insurance subsidiaries under affiliated and unaffiliated offshore reinsurance agreements is, under certain conditions, dependent upon the offshore reinsurer s ability to obtain and provide sufficient qualifying assets in a qualifying trust or qualifying letters of credit issued by qualifying lending banks. The cost of letters of credit, when available, continues to be very expensive in the current economic environment. Because of this, our affiliated offshore reinsurer has established and will continue to pursue alternative sources for qualifying reinsurance collateral. If these steps are unsuccessful, or if unaffiliated non-accredited reinsurers that have reinsured business from our insurance subsidiaries are unsuccessful in obtaining sources of qualifying reinsurance collateral, our insurance subsidiaries might not be able to obtain full reserve credit. Loss of reserve credit by an insurance subsidiary would require it to establish additional reserves and would result in a decrease in the level of its capital, which could have a material adverse effect on our profitability, results of operations and financial condition.

We had \$467.2 million and \$609.6 million of unsecured unaffiliated reinsurance recoverable balances at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. These reinsurance recoverable balances are periodically assessed for uncollectability and there were no significant allowances for uncollectible reinsurance as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

The collectability of reinsurance recoverables is subject to uncertainty arising from a number of factors, including whether the insured losses meet the qualifying conditions of the reinsurance contract, whether reinsurers or their affiliates have the financial capacity and willingness to make payments under the terms of the reinsurance contract, and the degree to which our reinsurance balances are secured by sufficient qualifying assets in qualifying trusts or qualifying LOCs issued by qualifying lender banks. Although a substantial portion of our reinsurance exposure is secured by assets held in trusts or LOCs, the inability to collect a material recovery from a reinsurer could have a material adverse effect on our profitability, results of operation and financial condition.

-32-

The premium rates and other fees that we charge are based, in part, on the assumption that reinsurance will be available at a certain cost. Some of our reinsurance contracts contain provisions that limit the reinsurer s ability to increase rates on in-force business; however, some do not. If a reinsurer raises the rates that it charges on a block of in-force business, our profitability may be negatively impacted if we are not able to pass the increased costs on to our customers. If reinsurers raise the rates that they charge on new business, we may be forced to raise the premiums that we charge, which could have a negative impact on our competitive position.

A decrease in the RBC ratio (as a result of a reduction in statutory surplus and/or increase in risk-based capital (RBC) requirements) of our insurance subsidiaries could result in increased scrutiny by insurance regulators and rating agencies and have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The NAIC has established regulations that provide minimum capitalization requirements based on RBC formulas for insurance companies. The RBC formula for life insurance companies establishes capital requirements relating to asset, insurance, interest rate and business risks, including equity, interest rate and expense recovery risks associated with variable annuities and group annuities that contain guaranteed minimum death and living benefits. Each of our insurance subsidiaries is subject to RBC standards and/or other minimum statutory capital and surplus requirements imposed under the laws of its respective jurisdiction of domicile.

In any particular year, statutory surplus amounts and RBC ratios may increase or decrease depending on a variety of factors, including the amount of statutory income or losses generated by the insurance subsidiary (which itself is sensitive to equity market and credit market conditions), the amount of additional capital such insurer must hold to support business growth, changes in equity market levels, the value and credit ratings of certain fixed-income and equity securities in its investment portfolio, the value of certain derivative instruments that do not receive hedge accounting and changes in interest rates, as well as changes to the RBC formulas and the interpretation of the NAIC s instructions with respect to RBC calculation methodologies. Many of these factors are outside of our control. Our financial strength and credit ratings are significantly influenced by statutory surplus amounts and RBC ratios. In addition, rating agencies may implement changes to their own internal models, which differ from the RBC capital model that have the effect of increasing or decreasing the amount of statutory capital we or our insurance subsidiaries should hold relative to the rating agencies expectations. In extreme scenarios of equity market declines, sustained periods of low interest rates, rapidly rising interest rates or credit spread widening, the amount of additional statutory reserves that an insurance subsidiary is required to hold for certain types of GICs and variable annuity guarantees and stable value contracts may increase at a greater than linear rate. This increase in reserves would decrease the statutory surplus available for use in calculating the subsidiary s RBC ratios. To the extent that an insurance subsidiary is RBC ratios are deemed to be insufficient, we may seek to take actions either to increase the capitalization of the insurer or to reduce the capitalization requirements. If we were unable to accomplish such actions, the rating agencies may view this as a reason for a ratings downgrade.

The failure of any of our insurance subsidiaries to meet its applicable RBC requirements or minimum capital and surplus requirements could subject it to further examination or corrective action imposed by insurance regulators, including limitations on its ability to write additional business, supervision by regulators or seizure or liquidation. Any corrective action imposed could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. A decline in RBC ratios also limits the ability of an insurance subsidiary to make dividends or distributions to us and could be a factor in causing ratings agencies to downgrade the insurer s financial strength ratings, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our statutory reserve financings may be subject to cost increases and new financings may be subject to limited market capacity.

We have financing facilities in place for our previously written business and have remaining capacity in existing facilities to support writings through the end of 2012 or later. However certain of these facilities mature

-33-

prior to the run off of the reserve liability so that we are subject to cost increases or unavailability of capacity upon the refinancing. If we are unable to refinance such facilities, or if the cost of such facilities were to significantly increase, we would be required to increase statutory reserves or incur higher operating or tax costs. For more details, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition Liquidity and Capital Resources Letter of Credit Facilities and Subsidiary Credit Support Arrangements.

A significant portion of our institutional funding originates from two Federal Home Loan Banks, which subjects us to liquidity risks associated with sourcing a large concentration of our funding from two counterparties.

A significant portion of our institutional funding agreements originates from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Topeka and the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines (each an FHLB), which primarily serve as a source of funding for our Closed Block Institutional Spread Products segment. As of September 30, 2012, we had issued \$3.1 billion of non-putable funding agreements and obtained a \$265 million LOC in exchange for eligible collateral in the form of cash, mortgage backed securities and U.S. Treasury securities. Should the FHLBs choose to change their definition of eligible collateral, or if the market value of the pledged collateral decreases in value due to changes in interest rates or credit ratings, we may be required to post additional amounts of collateral in the form of cash or other eligible collateral. Additionally, we may be required to find other sources to replace this funding if we lose access to FHLB funding. This could occur if our creditworthiness falls below either of the FHLB s requirements or if legislative or other political actions cause changes to the FHLBs mandate or to the eligibility of life insurance companies to be members of the FHLB system.

Any failure to protect the confidentiality of customer information could adversely affect our reputation and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operation.

Our businesses and relationships with customers are dependent upon our ability to maintain the confidentiality of our and our customers trade secrets and confidential information (including customer transactional data and personal data about our employees, our customers and the employees and customers of our customers). Pursuant to federal laws, various federal regulatory and law enforcement agencies have established rules protecting the privacy and security of personal information. In addition, most states have enacted laws, which vary significantly from jurisdiction to jurisdiction, to safeguard the privacy and security of personal information. Certain of our employees and contractors and many sales representatives of our broker-dealer subsidiaries have access to and routinely process personal information of customers through a variety of media, including the internet and software applications. We rely on various internal processes and controls to protect the confidentiality of customer information. If we fail to maintain adequate internal controls, including any failure to implement newly-required additional controls, or if our employees, contractors or sales representatives fail to comply with our policies and procedures, misappropriation or intentional or unintentional inappropriate disclosure or misuse of customer information could occur. Such internal control inadequacies or non-compliance could materially damage our reputation, result in regulatory action or lead to civil or criminal penalties, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Changes in accounting standards could adversely impact our reported results of operations and our reported financial condition.

Our financial statements are subject to the application of GAAP, which is periodically revised or expanded. Accordingly, from time to time we are required to adopt new or revised accounting standards issued by recognized authoritative bodies, including the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). For example, the adoption of the provision of Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2010-26, Financial Services: Insurance (Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 944): Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or

-34-

Renewing Insurance Contracts decreased our retained earnings by \$1.2 billion as of January 1, 2011. It is possible that future accounting standards we are required to adopt could change the current accounting treatment that we apply to our consolidated financial statements and that such changes could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

In addition, FASB is working on several projects with the International Accounting Standards Board, which could result in significant changes as GAAP converges with IFRS, including how we account for our insurance policies, annuity contracts and financial instruments and how our financial statements are presented. Furthermore, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) is considering whether and how to incorporate IFRS into the U.S. financial reporting system. The changes to GAAP and ultimate conversion to IFRS, if undertaken, could affect the way we account for and report significant areas of our business, could impose special demands on us in the areas of governance, employee training, internal controls and disclosure and will likely affect how we manage our business.

We may be required to establish an additional valuation allowance against the deferred income tax asset if our business does not generate sufficient taxable income or if our tax planning strategies are modified. Increases in the deferred tax valuation allowance could have a material adverse effect on results of operations and financial condition.

Deferred income tax represents the tax effect of the differences between the book and tax basis of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets represent the tax benefit of future deductible temporary differences, operating loss carryforwards and tax credits carryforward. We periodically evaluate and test our ability to realize our deferred tax assets. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance if, based on the weight of evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion, or all, of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. In assessing the more likely than not criteria, we consider future taxable income as well as prudent tax planning strategies. Future facts, circumstances, tax law changes and FASB developments may result in an increase in the valuation allowance. An increase in the valuation allowance could have a material adverse effect on the Company s results of operations and financial condition.

As of December 31, 2011, we have recognized deferred tax assets based on tax planning related to unrealized gains on investment assets. To the extent these unrealized gains decrease, the tax benefit will be reduced by increasing the tax valuation allowance. For example, if interest rates increase, the amount of the unrealized gains will, most likely, decrease, with all other things constant. The decrease in the deferred tax asset may be recorded as a tax expense in tax on continuing operations based on the intra period tax allocation rules described in ASC Topic 740, Income Taxes .

We expect that our ability to use beneficial U.S. tax attributes will be subject to limitations.

Section 382 (Section 382) and Section 383 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Internal Revenue Code) operate as anti-abuse rules, the general purpose of which is to prevent trafficking in tax losses and credits, but which can apply without regard to whether a loss trafficking transaction occurs or is intended. These rules are triggered when an ownership change generally defined as when the ownership of a company, or its parent, changes by more than 50% (measured by value) on a cumulative basis in any three year period occurs. If triggered, the amount of the taxable income for any post-change year which may be offset by a pre-change loss is subject to an annual limitation. Generally speaking, this limitation is derived by multiplying the fair market value of the stock of the taxapyer immediately before the date of the ownership change by the applicable federal long-term tax-exempt rate. In addition, to the extent that a company has a net unrealized built-in loss or deduction at the time of an ownership change, sections 382 and 383 limit the utilization of any such loss or deduction which is realized and recognized during the 5-year period following the ownership change.

Based on the expected size of this offering, we do not believe an ownership change will occur at the time of the offering. Under the current base case for ING Group s divestiture of its remaining ownership stake in the

-35-

Company, however, it is likely that an ownership change will occur by December 31, 2014. As discussed in Summary ING Group Restructuring Plan with European Commission, ING Group is required, under the terms of the 2012 Amended Restructuring Plan, to fully divest its ownership of the Company by the end of 2016.

In addition, in November 2008, ING Group issued 10 billion of core Tier 1 securities to the Dutch State in connection with a capital infusion that would need to be taken into account for purposes of determining if an ownership change has occurred. ING Group redeemed approximately half (5 billion) of these securities in December 2009 and issued new shares to the public at that time, and an additional 20% (2 billion) in May 2011 and, 7.5% (0.75 billion) in November 2012. As part of the 2012 Amended Restructuring Plan, ING Group has committed to repay the remaining 2.25 billion of Core Tier I securities, plus a 50% premium in three equal tranches in the next three years. The redemption by ING Group of an additional amount of these securities may, depending on the facts and circumstances, trigger an ownership change, as described above.

Under GAAP, as of December 31, 2011, our tax attributes included gross deferred tax assets of \$5.8 billion, against which there was an offsetting valuation allowance of \$2.9 billion, and gross deferred tax liabilities of \$3.4 billion. Although we are uncertain as to the ultimate financial impact of an ownership change, we estimate that the deferred tax asset potentially subject to an additional tax valuation allowance would have been approximately \$850.0 million if measured at September 30, 2012. Such an additional tax valuation allowance may be recorded as a tax expense in tax on continuing operations. The actual impact on the valuation allowance is dependent mainly on the level of unrealized capital gains and losses at the time of the ownership change, the calculated limitation, the estimated reversal pattern of capital losses otherwise supported by tax planning strategies, the estimated reversal pattern of unrealized capital gains comprising such strategies, and the estimated reversal pattern of unrealized built-in capital losses subject to the limitation.

Under statutory accounting, we estimate that the deferred tax asset potentially subject to an additional tax valuation allowance would be approximately \$201 million if measured at September 30, 2012. The reduction in the deferred tax asset as a consequence of such an additional tax valuation allowance could adversely impact our insurance company subsidiaries ability to pay dividends or other distributions (directly or indirectly) to ING U.S., Inc. This in turn could negatively impact our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders and to service our debt. The actual impact on the valuation allowance is dependent mainly on the level of unrealized gains and losses at the time of the ownership change and the calculated Section 382 limitation.

Numerous aspects of the application of Section 382 are subject to potential challenge by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS). Among these is our calculation of the value of the Company at the time of an ownership change. If the IRS were to successfully challenge this valuation, the annual limitation calculated for purposes of Section 382 could be reduced.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation will contain provisions designed to preserve our ability to use beneficial U.S. tax attributes and avoid triggering the Section 382 limitation prior to the time when ING Group s divestment of its remaining ownership stake in the Company would otherwise trigger the limitation, thus limiting the amount of our common stock that an investor can acquire. See Description of Capital Stock Ownership Limitations.

We are unable to offset our U.S. taxable income against the losses of one of our reinsurance subsidiaries.

As described in Risks Related to Our Closed Block Variable Annuity Segment and Business Closed Blocks Closed Block Variable Annuity, we may incur losses in the future in our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment. We expect that a significant portion of any such loss would be realized in SLDI, a subsidiary domiciled in the Cayman Islands. SLDI has made an election to be treated as a U.S. corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes. However, U.S. federal income tax law does not allow the operating losses of a foreign company making such an election to offset the taxable income of its U.S. affiliates. Through a reinsurance arrangement, SLDI is obligated to indemnify one of our other U.S. subsidiaries in the event of losses. To the extent SLDI remains a

-36-

foreign entity and has operating losses that exceed its taxable income, the losses would not be available to offset taxable income for U.S. federal income tax purposes and would increase our effective tax rate.

Our business may be negatively affected by adverse publicity or increased governmental and regulatory actions with respect to us, other well-known companies or the financial services industry in general.

Governmental scrutiny with respect to matters relating to compensation and other business practices in the financial services industry has increased dramatically in the past several years and has resulted in more aggressive and intense regulatory supervision and the application and enforcement of more stringent standards. The recent financial crisis and the current political and public sentiment regarding financial institutions has resulted in a significant amount of adverse press coverage, as well as adverse statements or charges by regulators and elected officials. Press coverage and other public statements that assert some form of wrongdoing, regardless of the factual basis for the assertions being made, could result in some type of inquiry or investigation by regulators, legislators and/or law enforcement officials or in lawsuits. Responding to these inquiries, investigations and lawsuits, regardless of the ultimate outcome of the proceeding, is time-consuming and expensive and can divert the time and effort of our senior management from its business. Future legislation or regulation or governmental views on compensation may result in us altering compensation practices in ways that could adversely affect our ability to attract and retain talented employees. Adverse publicity, governmental scrutiny, pending or future investigations by regulators or law enforcement agencies and/or legal proceedings involving us or our affiliates, including ING Group, can also have a negative impact on our reputation and on the morale and performance of employees, and on business retention and new sales, which could adversely affect our businesses and results of operations.

Litigation may adversely affect our profitability and financial condition.

We are, and may be in the future, subject to legal actions in the ordinary course of insurance, investment management and other business operations. Some of these legal proceedings may be brought on behalf of a class. Plaintiffs may seek large or indeterminate amounts of damage, including compensatory, liquidated, treble and/or punitive damages. Our reserves for litigation may prove to be inadequate. It is possible that our results of operations or cash flow in a particular quarterly or annual period could be materially affected by an ultimate unfavorable resolution of pending litigation depending, in part, upon the results of operations or cash flow for such period. Given the large or indeterminate amounts sometimes sought, and the inherent unpredictability of litigation, it is also possible that in certain cases an ultimate unfavorable resolution of one or more pending litigation matters could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

A loss of, or significant change in, key product distribution relationships could materially affect sales.

We distribute certain products under agreements with affiliated distributors and other members of the financial services industry that are not affiliated with us. We compete with other financial institutions to attract and retain commercial relationships in each of these channels, and our success in competing for sales through these distribution intermediaries depends upon factors such as the amount of sales commissions and fees we pay, the breadth of our product offerings, the strength of our brand, our perceived stability and financial strength ratings, and the marketing and services we provide to, and the strength of the relationships we maintain with, individual distributors. An interruption or significant change in certain key relationships could materially affect our ability to market our products and could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. Distributors may elect to alter, reduce or terminate their distribution relationships with us, including for such reasons as changes in our distribution strategy, adverse developments in our business, adverse rating agency actions or concerns about market-related risks. Alternatively, we may terminate one or more distribution agreements due to, for example, a loss of confidence in, or a change in control of, one of the distributors, which could reduce sales.

We are also at risk that key distribution partners may merge or change their business models in ways that affect how our products are sold, either in response to changing business priorities or as a result of shifts in

-37-

regulatory supervision or potential changes in state and federal laws and regulations regarding standards of conduct applicable to distributors when providing investment advice to retail and other customers.

The occurrence of natural or man-made disasters may adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

We are exposed to various risks arising from natural disasters, including hurricanes, climate change, floods, earthquakes, tornadoes and pandemic disease, as well as man-made disasters and core infrastructure failures, including acts of terrorism, military actions, power grid and telephone/internet infrastructure failures, which may adversely affect AUM, results of operations and financial condition by causing, among other things:

losses in our investment portfolio due to significant volatility in global financial markets or the failure of counterparties to perform;

changes in the rate of mortality, claims, withdrawals, lapses and surrenders of existing policies and contracts, as well as sales of new policies and contracts; and

disruption of our normal business operations due to catastrophic property damage, loss of life, or disruption of public and private infrastructure, including communications and financial services.

There can be no assurance that our business continuation and crisis management plan or insurance coverages would be effective in mitigating any negative effects on operations or profitability in the event of a disaster, nor can we provide assurance that the business continuation and crisis management plans of the independent distributors and outside vendors on whom we rely for certain services and products would be effective in mitigating any negative effects on the provision of such services and products in the event of a disaster.

Claims resulting from a catastrophic event could also materially harm the financial condition of our reinsurers, which would increase the probability of default on reinsurance recoveries. Our ability to write new business could also be adversely affected.

In addition, the jurisdictions in which our insurance subsidiaries are admitted to transact business require life insurers doing business within the jurisdiction to participate in guaranty associations, which raise funds to pay contractual benefits owed pursuant to insurance policies issued by impaired, insolvent or failed insurers. It is possible that a catastrophic event could require extraordinary assessments on our insurance companies, which may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The loss of key personnel could negatively affect our financial results and impair our ability to implement our business strategy.

Our success depends in large part on our ability to attract and retain key people. Intense competition exists for key employees with demonstrated ability, and we may be unable to hire or retain such employees. Our key employees include investment professionals, such as portfolio managers, sales and distribution professionals, actuarial and finance professionals and information technology professionals. While we do not believe that the departure of any particular individual would cause a material adverse effect on our operations, the unexpected loss of several of our senior management, portfolio managers or other key employees could have a material adverse effect on our operations due to the loss of their skills, knowledge of our business, and their years of industry experience as well as the potential difficulty of promptly finding qualified replacement employees. We also rely upon the knowledge and experience of employees involved in functions that require technical expertise in order to provide for sound operational controls for our overall enterprise, including the accurate and timely preparation of required regulatory filings and GAAP and statutory financial statements and operation of internal controls. A loss of such employees could adversely impact our ability to execute key operational functions and could adversely affect our operational controls, including internal controls over financial reporting.

Interruption or other operational failures in telecommunication, information technology and other operational systems, or a failure to maintain the security, integrity, confidentiality or privacy of sensitive data residing on such systems, including as a result of human error, could harm our business.

We are highly dependent on automated and information technology systems to record and process our internal transactions and transactions involving our customers, as well as to calculate reserves, value invested assets and complete certain other components of our GAAP and statutory financial statements. We could experience a failure of one of these systems, our employees or agents could fail to monitor and implement enhancements or other modifications to a system in a timely and effective manner, or our employees or agents could fail to complete all necessary data reconciliation or other conversion controls when implementing a new software system or implementing modifications to an existing system. Despite the implementation of security and back-up measures, our information technology systems may be vulnerable to physical or electronic intrusions, viruses or other attacks, programming errors and similar disruptions. We may also be subject to disruptions of any of these systems arising from events that are wholly or partially beyond our control (for example, natural disasters, acts of terrorism, epidemics, computer viruses and electrical/telecommunications outages). All of these risks are also applicable where we rely on outside vendors to provide services to us and our customers. The failure of any one of these systems for any reason, or errors made by our employees or agents, could in each case cause significant interruptions to our operations, which could harm our reputation, adversely affect our internal control over financial reporting, or have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We retain confidential information in our information technology systems, and we rely on industry standard commercial technologies to maintain the security of those systems. Anyone who is able to circumvent our security measures and penetrate our information technology systems could access, view, misappropriate, alter, or delete information in the systems, including personally identifiable customer information and proprietary business information. Information security risks also exist with respect to the use of portable electronic devices, such as laptops, which are particularly vulnerable to loss and theft. In addition, an increasing number of jurisdictions require that customers be notified if a security breach results in the disclosure of personally identifiable customer information. Any compromise of the security of our information in the marketplace, deter purchases of our products, subject us to heightened regulatory scrutiny or significant civil and criminal liability and require us to incur significant technical, legal and other expenses.

We may not be able to protect our intellectual property and may be subject to infringement claims.

We rely on a combination of contractual rights with third parties and copyright, trademark, patent and trade secret laws to establish and protect our intellectual property. Although we endeavor to protect our rights, third parties may infringe or misappropriate our intellectual property. We may have to litigate to enforce and protect our copyrights, trademarks, patents, trade secrets and know-how or to determine their scope, validity or enforceability. This would represent a diversion of resources that may be significant and our efforts may not prove successful. The inability to secure or protect our intellectual property assets could have a material adverse effect on our business and our ability to compete.

We may also be subject to claims by third parties for (i) patent, trademark or copyright infringement, (ii) breach of copyright, trademark or license usage rights, or (iii) misappropriation of trade secrets. Any such claims and any resulting litigation could result in significant expense and liability for damages. If we were found to have infringed or misappropriated a third-party patent or other intellectual property right, we could in some circumstances be enjoined from providing certain products or services to our customers or from utilizing and benefiting from certain methods, processes, copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets or licenses. Alternatively, we could be required to enter into costly licensing arrangements with third parties or implement a costly work around. Any of these scenarios could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

-39-

We may incur further liabilities in respect of our defined benefit retirement plans if the value of plan assets is not sufficient to cover potential obligations, including as a result of differences between results underlying actuarial assumptions and models.

We operate various defined benefit retirement plans covering a significant number of our employees. The liability recognized in our consolidated balance sheet in respect of our defined benefit plans is the present value of the defined benefit obligations at the balance sheet date, less the fair value of each plan s assets, together with adjustments for unrecognized actuarial gains and losses and unrecognized past service costs. We determine our defined benefit plan obligations based on external actuarial models and calculations using the projected unit credit method. Inherent in these actuarial models are assumptions including discount rates, rates of increase in future salary and benefit levels, mortality rates, consumer price index and the expected return on plan assets. These assumptions may differ significantly from actual results due to changes in market conditions, economic and mortality trends and other assumptions. Any changes in these assumptions could have a significant impact on our present and future liabilities to and costs associated with our defined benefit retirement plans and may result in increased expenses and reduce our profitability.

When contributing to the plan, we will take into consideration the minimum and maximum amounts required by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), the attained funding target percentage of the plan, the variable-rate premiums that may be required by the U.S. Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (PBGC), and any funding relief that might be enacted by Congress, such as the interest rate stabilization corridor rules used for discounting pension liabilities contained in the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21). Based on our actuarial assumptions, if we were to incorporate the provisions of MAP-21, we expect that it would reduce the required contributions to the plan in 2013; however, using the MAP-21 funding relief in the near term could lead to increased PBGC variable-rate premiums and/or increases in plan funding in the years following 2013.

Although our retail variable annuity products are now managed within our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment, we continue to offer variable annuity products and other products with similar features in our ongoing business.

In 2009, we decided to cease sales of retail variable annuities with substantial guarantee features and now manage that business within our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment. However, we continue to offer variable annuity products in our ongoing business as well as products that have some of the features of variable annuities such as guaranteed benefits. For example, certain of the deferred annuities sold by our Retirement segment are on group and individual variable annuity policy forms, since these product types allow customers to allocate their retirement savings to a variety of different investment options. These products may contain guaranteed death benefit features, but they do not offer guaranteed living benefit features of the type found within the Closed Block Variable Annuity segment.

The Retirement segment has recently introduced an optional guaranteed retirement income portfolio (GRIP) feature that, if elected by an employee of one of our plan sponsor customers, provides guaranteed lifetime withdrawal benefits (GLWB) to such employees. The GLWB is offered through a multi-insurer model, whereby we and two unaffiliated insurers provide GLWB coverage to participating employees. In contrast to the retail guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits for life (GMWBL) provisions formerly offered by the Closed Block Variable Annuity segment, the GLWB provisions within GRIP do not offer rollup benefits; furthermore, we reprice the GLWB amount purchased by contributions to the GRIP feature on a quarterly basis. In addition, the investment elections available to participating employees have substantially less flexibility than the elections offered to retail customers of the Closed Block Variable Annuity segment. We also have the right to cease accepting new contributions to the GRIP feature, subject to providing 180 days advance notice to the plan sponsor.

Our Annuities segment also offers optional living benefit provisions on its indexed annuity products.

-40-

To the extent that these risk-control provisions do not mitigate the risks of the GLWB and to the extent that we continue to offer variable annuity products and products with similar features in our ongoing business, the risks described below under Risks Related to Our Closed Block Variable Annuity Segment will impact our ongoing business.

Risks Related to Our Closed Block Variable Annuity Segment

Although we no longer actively market retail variable annuities, our business, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity will continue to be affected by our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment for the foreseeable future.

Our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment consists of retail variable annuity insurance policies sold primarily from 2001 to early 2010, when the block entered run-off. This segment represented 18.2% of our total AUM as of September 30, 2012, income (loss) before income taxes was (\$525.0) million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, and (\$564.5) million, (\$220.2) million and (\$1,864.8) million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Revenues for the segment were (\$138.1) million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and \$794.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. See Business Closed Blocks Closed Block Variable Annuity. These products offered long-term savings vehicles in which customers (policyholders) made deposits that were invested, largely at the customer s direction, in a variety of U.S. and international equity, fixed income, real estate and other investment options. In addition, these products provided customers with the option to purchase living benefit riders, including GMWBL, guaranteed minimum income benefits (GMIB), guaranteed minimum accumulation benefits (GMAB) and guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits (GMWB). All retail variable annuity products include guaranteed minimum death benefits (GMDB). In 2009, we decided to cease sales of retail variable annuity products with substantial guarantee features. In early 2010, we ceased all new sales of these products with substantial guarantees, although we continue to accept new deposits in accordance with, and subject to the limitations of, the provisions of existing contracts.

Market movements and actuarial assumption changes (including, with respect to policyholder behavior and mortality) can result in material adverse impacts to our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. Because policyholders have various contractual rights to defer withdrawals, annuitization and/or maturity of their contracts, the nature and period of contract maturity is subject to policyholder behavior and is therefore indeterminate. Future market movements and changes in actuarial assumptions can result in significant earnings and liquidity impacts, as well as increases in regulatory reserve and capital requirements for the Closed Block Variable Annuity segment. The latter may necessitate additional capital contributions into the business and/or adversely impact dividend capacity.

Our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment is subject to market risks.

Our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment is subject to a number of market risks, primarily associated with U.S. and other global equity market values and interest rates. For example, declining equity market values, increasing equity market volatility and declining interest rates can result in an increase in the valuation of future policy benefits, reducing our net income. Declining market values for bonds and equities also reduce the account balances of our variable annuity contracts, and since we collect fees and risk charges based on these account balances, our net income may be further reduced.

Declining interest rates, increased equity market volatility and declining equity market values may also subject us to increased hedging costs. Market events can cause an increase in the amount of statutory reserves that our insurance subsidiaries are required to hold for variable annuity guarantees, lowering their statutory surplus, which would adversely impact their ability to pay dividends to us.

-41-

The performance of our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment depends on assumptions that may not be accurate.

Our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment is subject to risks associated with the future behavior of policyholders and future claims payment patterns, using assumptions for mortality experience, lapse rates, GMIB annuitization rates, and GMWB/GMWBL withdrawal rates. We are required to make assumptions about these behaviors and patterns, which may not reflect the actual behaviors and patterns we experience in the future.

In particular, we have only minimal experience on policyholder behavior for our GMIB and GMWBL products and, as a result, future experience could lead to significant changes in our assumptions. Our GMIB contracts have a ten-year waiting period before annuitization is available, with most of these GMIB contracts issued during the period 2004 to 2006. These contracts first become eligible to annuitize during the period 2014 to 2016, but contain significant incentives to delay annuitization beyond the first eligibility date. As a result, to date we have only a statistically small sample of experience used to set annuitization rates. Therefore, we anticipate that observable experience data will become statistically credible later this decade, when a large volume of GMIB benefits begin to reach their maximum benefit over the four-year period from 2019 to 2022. It is possible, however, that policyholders may choose to annuitize soon after the first annuitization date, rather than delay annuitization to receive increased guarantee benefits, in which case we may have statistically credible experience as early as in the period from 2014 to 2016.

Similarly, most of our GMWBL contracts are still in the first three to five policy years, so our assumptions for withdrawal from contracts with GMWBL benefits may change as experience emerges over the next five to seven years. In addition, like our GMIB contracts, many of our GMWBL contracts contain significant incentives to delay withdrawal. We expect customer decisions on annuitization and withdrawal will be influenced by customers financial plans and needs as well as by interest rate and market conditions over time and by the availability and features of competing products. If emerging experience deviates from our assumptions on either GMIB annuitization or GMWBL withdrawal, we could experience losses and a significant increase to reserve and capital requirements.

We also make estimates of expected lapse of these products, which is the probability that a policy will not remain in force from one period to the next. Lapse rates of our annuity products may be significantly impacted by the value of guaranteed minimum benefits relative to the value of the underlying separate accounts (account value or account balance). In general, policies with guarantees that are in the money (i.e., where the notional benefit amount is in excess of the account value) are assumed to be less likely to lapse. Conversely, out of the money guarantees are assumed to be more likely to lapse as the policyholder has less incentive to retain the policy. Lapse rates could also be adversely affected generally by developments that affect customer perception of us.

We make estimates of expected election rates of living benefits for these products and of the rate of election of certain optional benefits that may be exercised. The profitability of our deferred annuity products depends upon actual contract owner decisions to elect or delay the utilization of such benefits. The development of a secondary market for third-party investor strategies in the annuities business could also adversely affect the profitability of existing business by reducing lapse rates of in-the-money contracts in excess of current expectations or by causing living benefits to be elected at points in time that are more unfavorable than our current expectations. Actual lapse rates that are lower than our lapse rate assumptions could have an adverse effect on profitability in the later years of a block of business because the anticipated claims experience may be higher than expected in these later years. If actual lapse rates are significantly different from that assumed in our current reserving assumptions, our reserves for future policy benefits may prove to be inadequate.

Our variable annuity lapse rate experience has varied significantly over the period from 2006 to the present, reflecting among other factors, both pre- and post-financial crisis experience. During the early years of this period, our variable annuity policyholder lapse rate experience was higher than our current best estimate of policyholder lapse behavior would have indicated; in the later part of this period, after mid-2009, it was lower. Management s current best estimate of variable annuity policyholder lapse behavior incorporates actual

-42-

experience over the entire period, as we believe that over the duration of the Closed Block Variable Annuity policies we will experience the full range of policyholder behavior and market conditions. If our future experience were to approximate our lapse experience from later in the period, we would likely need to increase reserves by an amount that could be material.

We review overall policyholder experience annually (including lapse, annuitization, withdrawal and mortality), or more frequently if necessary. As customer experience continues to materialize, we may adjust our assumptions. The magnitude of any required changes could be material and adverse to the results of operations or financial condition of the Company. We increased reserves in the fourth quarter of 2011 after a comprehensive review of our assumptions relating to lapses, mortality, annuitization of income benefits and utilization of withdrawal benefits. The review in 2011 included an analysis of a larger body of actual experience than was previously available, including a longer period with low equity markets and interest rates, which we believe provided greater insight into anticipated policyholder behavior for contracts that are in the money. This resulted in an increase of GAAP reserves of \$741 million and gross U.S. statutory reserves of \$2,776 million in the fourth quarter of 2011. It is possible that future assumption changes could produce reserve changes of this magnitude or even greater.

During the third quarter of 2012 we conducted a periodic review of actuarial assumptions, including policyholder behavior assumptions. As a result of this review, we increased GAAP reserves by \$114.6 million as of September 30, 2012, driven primarily by an update to lapse rates on variable annuity contracts with lifetime living benefit guarantees. The same update to lapse rates, implemented in isolation, would have increased U.S. statutory reserves by approximately \$150 million. However, the net change for U.S. statutory reserves was not material, due to offsetting revisions to projection model inputs. This change in lapse assumptions, taken together with the update to lapse assumptions we made in late 2011, moved our assumptions to be in line with lapse experience over the study period of 2006 to present. Although we believe it is appropriate to consider actual experience over that entire period in setting our assumptions, this recent change also causes our assumption to move considerably closer to our actual lapse experience for the period from mid-2009 to present. We will continue to monitor the emergence of experience. We review our assumptions at least annually, and, if necessary, update our assumptions more frequently as additional information becomes available. If adjustments to assumptions are necessary, which is ordinary course for interest-sensitive long-dated liabilities, we anticipate that the financial impact of such a change will likely be in a range, either up or down, that is generally consistent with the impact experience updates could be material and adverse to the results of operations or financial condition of the Company. Any such increase to reserves could require us to make material additional capital contributions to one or more of our insurance company subsidiaries or could otherwise be material and adverse to the results of operations or financial condition of the Company.

Our Closed Block Variable Annuity hedging program currently focuses on the protection of regulatory reserves and rating agency capital from market movements and less on the GAAP earnings impact of this block, which could result in materially lower or more volatile GAAP earnings.

Our Closed Block Variable Annuity hedging program currently focuses on the protection of regulatory reserves and rating agency capital from equity market movements and less on the GAAP earnings impact of this block. GAAP accounting differs from the methods used to determine regulatory and rating agency capital measures. Therefore our Closed Block Variable Annuity hedge program may create earnings volatility in our GAAP financial statements, or produce lower GAAP income or even GAAP losses compared to what our unhedged results would have been. In general, in any given period rising equity market values can produce losses in our Closed Block Variable Annuity hedging program that substantially exceed the benefit we derive from the associated decrease in valuation of the future policy benefits associated with Closed Block Variable Annuity hedging program that substantially exceed the loss we derive from the associated increase in valuation of the future policy benefits on a GAAP basis, and the impact of declining equity markets can produce gains in our Closed Block Variable Annuity hedging program that substantially exceed the loss we derive from the associated increase in valuation of the future policy benefits on a GAAP basis, related to incurred

-43-

guaranteed benefits and guaranteed benefit hedging, including the CHO program, but excluding the effect of nonperformance risk, of (\$1,209.8) million and (\$541.5) million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and (\$2,192.2) million, (\$1,493.9) million and (\$693.4) million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition Results of Operations Company Consolidated.

Our Closed Block Variable Annuity hedging program may not be effective and may be more costly than anticipated.

We periodically re-evaluate our Closed Block Variable Annuity hedging program to respond to changing market conditions and balance the trade-offs among several important factors, including regulatory reserves, rating agency capital, underlying economics, earnings and other factors. While our Closed Block Variable Annuity hedging program is intended to balance numerous critical metrics, we are subject to the risk that our strategies and other management decisions may prove ineffective or that unexpected policyholder behavior, alone or in combination with unfavorable market events, may produce losses or unanticipated cash needs beyond the scope of the risk management strategies employed. In addition, our Closed Block Variable Annuity hedging program does not hedge certain non-market risks inherent in this segment, including business, credit, insurance and operational risks; any of these risks could cause us to experience unanticipated losses or cash needs. For example, hedging counterparties may fail to perform their obligations resulting in unhedged exposures and losses on positions that are not collateralized. Finally, the cost of the Closed Block Variable Annuity hedging program itself may be greater than anticipated as adverse market conditions can limit the availability and increase the costs of the hedging instruments we employ, and such costs may not be recovered in the pricing of the underlying products being hedged. For example, the cost of hedging guaranteed minimum benefits increases as market volatilities increase and/or interest rates decrease, resulting in a reduction to net income.

Risks Related to Regulation

Our businesses and those of our parent company and its affiliates are heavily regulated and changes in regulation or the application of regulation may reduce our profitability.

We are subject to detailed insurance, asset management and other financial services laws and government regulation. In addition to the insurance, asset management and other regulations and laws specific to the industries in which we operate, regulatory agencies have broad administrative power over many aspects of our business, which may include ethical issues, money laundering, privacy, recordkeeping and marketing and sales practices. Also, bank regulators and other supervisory authorities in the United States and elsewhere continue to scrutinize payment processing and other transactions under regulations governing such matters as money-laundering, prohibited transactions with countries subject to sanctions, and bribery or other anti-corruption measures. The financial market dislocations we have experienced have produced, and are expected to continue to produce, extensive changes in existing laws and regulations applicable to our businesses.

Compliance with applicable laws and regulations is time consuming and personnel-intensive, and changes in laws and regulations may materially increase the cost of compliance and other expenses of doing business. There are a number of risks that may arise where applicable regulations may be unclear, subject to multiple interpretations or under development or where regulations may conflict with one another, where regulators revise their previous guidance or courts overturn previous rulings, which could result in our failure to meet applicable standards. Regulators and other authorities have the power to bring administrative or judicial proceedings against us, which could result, among other things, in suspension or revocation of our licenses, cease and desist orders, fines, civil penalties, criminal penalties or other disciplinary action which could materially harm our results of operations and financial condition. If we fail to address, or appear to fail to address, appropriately any of these matters, our reputation could be harmed and we could be subject to additional legal risk, which could increase the size and number of claims and damages asserted against us or subject us to enforcement actions, fines and penalties. See Regulation for further discussion of the impact of regulations on our businesses.

-44-

As long as we remain affiliated with ING Group, we may be subject to laws, regulations and restrictions to which we would not be subject as a standalone enterprise. These restrictions could be extensive and include limitations on the activities we may conduct and the way in which we organize and operate our businesses. Various jurisdictions in which ING Group and its subsidiaries operate, including the United States, apply prudential and other regulations to the holding companies and affiliates of financial institutions. If the applicable laws and regulations in any of these jurisdictions, or the application or interpretation of such laws and regulations by applicable regulators and other authorities, were to change, or if ING Group or one of its subsidiaries (other than the Company) were to change the nature of the regulated activities they conduct, we could in the future become subject to restrictions to which we are not currently subject, and to which we would not be subject as a standalone enterprise. This could require us to incur material compliance, reporting or other costs or to forego certain types of material revenues or could otherwise be material and adverse to us. We do not have any control over the activities conducted by ING Group or its subsidiaries (other than the Company). As one source of potential change in the regulations applied to ING Group and its subsidiaries, we expect that in March 2014 the European Central Bank will assume responsibility for part of the prudential supervision of ING Bank and its holding company ING Group that is currently exercised by the Dutch Central Bank (*De Nederlandsche Bank*, DNB). It is uncertain if and how this new supervisory structure will impact the Company.

Our insurance businesses are heavily regulated, and changes in regulation in the United States, enforcement actions and regulatory investigations may reduce profitability.

Our insurance operations are subject to comprehensive regulation and supervision throughout the United States. State insurance laws regulate most aspects of our insurance businesses, and our insurance subsidiaries are regulated by the insurance departments of the states in which they are domiciled and the states in which they are licensed. The primary purpose of state regulation is to protect policyholders, and not necessarily to protect creditors and investors. See Regulation Insurance Regulation.

State insurance guaranty associations have the right to assess insurance companies doing business in their state in order to help pay the obligations of insolvent insurance companies to policyholders and claimants. Because the amount and timing of an assessment is beyond our control, liabilities we have currently established for these potential assessments may not be adequate.

State insurance regulators and the NAIC regularly reexamine existing laws and regulations applicable to insurance companies and their products. Changes in these laws and regulations, or in interpretations thereof, are often made for the benefit of the consumer at the expense of the insurer and could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition. For example, in October 2011, the NAIC established a subgroup to study insurers use of captives and special purpose vehicles to transfer insurance risk in relation to existing state laws and regulators, and to establish appropriate regulatory requirements to address concerns identified in the study. We cannot predict what actions and regulatory changes will result from this study and what impact such changes will have on our financial condition and results of operations.

Insurance regulators have implemented, or begun to implement significant changes in the way in which insurers must determine statutory reserves and capital, particularly for products with contractual guarantees such as variable annuities and universal life policies, and are considering further potentially significant changes in these requirements. The NAIC is currently working on comprehensive reforms related to life insurance reserves and the accounting for such reserves. The timing and extent of further changes to statutory reserves and reporting requirements are uncertain.

In addition, state insurance regulators are becoming more active in adopting and enforcing suitability standards with respect to sales of fixed, indexed and variable annuities. In particular, the NAIC has adopted a revised Suitability in Annuity Transactions Model Regulation (SAT), which will, if enacted by the states, place new responsibilities upon issuing insurance companies with respect to the suitability of annuity sales, including responsibilities for training agents. Several states have already enacted laws based on the SAT.

-45-

In addition to the foregoing risks, the financial services industry is the focus of increased regulatory scrutiny as various state and federal governmental agencies and self-regulatory organizations conduct inquiries and investigations into the products and practices of the financial services industries. See the Note for *Commitments and Contingencies* in our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 for a description of certain regulatory inquiries affecting the Company. It is possible that future regulatory inquiries or investigations involving the insurance industry generally, or the Company specifically, could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition.

In some cases, this regulatory scrutiny has led to legislation and regulation, or proposed legislation and regulation that could significantly affect the financial services industry, or has resulted in regulatory penalties, settlements and litigation. New laws, regulations and other regulatory actions aimed at the business practices under scrutiny could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition. The adoption of new laws and regulations, enforcement actions, or litigation, whether or not involving us, could influence the manner in which we distribute our products, result in negative coverage of the industry by the media, cause significant harm to our reputation and materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Our products are subject to extensive regulation and failure to meet any of the complex product requirements may reduce profitability.

Our insurance, annuity, retirement and investment products are subject to a complex and extensive array of state and federal tax, securities, insurance and employee benefit plan laws and regulations, which are administered and enforced by a number of different governmental and self-regulatory authorities, including state insurance regulators, state securities administrators, state banking authorities, the SEC, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), the Department of Labor (DOL), the IRS and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC).

For example, U.S. federal income tax law imposes requirements relating to insurance and annuity product design, administration and investments that are conditions for beneficial tax treatment of such products under the Internal Revenue Code. Additionally, state and federal securities and insurance laws impose requirements relating to insurance and annuity product design, offering and distribution and administration. Failure to administer product features in accordance with contract provisions or applicable law, or to meet any of these complex tax, securities, or insurance requirements could subject us to administrative penalties imposed by a particular governmental or self-regulatory authority, unanticipated costs associated with remedying such failure or other claims, harm to our reputation, interruption of our operations or adversely impact profitability.

The Dodd-Frank Act, its implementing regulations and other financial regulatory reform initiatives could have adverse consequences for the financial services industry, including us and/or materially affect our results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law. It effects comprehensive changes to the regulation of financial services in the United States. The Dodd-Frank Act directs existing and newly-created government agencies and bodies to perform studies and promulgate a multitude of regulations implementing the law, a process that is underway and is expected to continue over the next few years. While some studies have already been completed and the rule-making process is well underway, there continues to be significant uncertainty regarding the results of ongoing studies and the ultimate requirements of regulations that have not yet been adopted. We cannot predict with certainty how the Dodd-Frank Act and such regulations will affect the financial markets generally, or impact our business, ratings, results of operations, financial condition or liquidity. Key aspects we have identified to date of the Dodd-Frank Act s potential impact on us include:

If designated by the Financial Stability Oversight Council (FSOC) as a nonbank financial company subject to supervision by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve), we would become subject to a comprehensive system of prudential regulation, including, among other matters, minimum capital requirements, liquidity standards, credit exposure requirements, overall risk

management requirements, management interlock prohibitions, a requirement to maintain a plan for rapid and orderly dissolution in the event of severe financial distress, stress testing, additional fees and assessments and restrictions on proprietary trading and certain investments. The exact scope and consequences of these standards are subject to ongoing rulemaking activity by various federal banking regulators and therefore are currently unclear. However, this comprehensive system of prudential regulation, if applied to us, would significantly impact the manner in which we operate and could materially and adversely impact the profitability of one or more of our business lines or the level of capital required to support our activities. In designating non-bank financial companies for heightened prudential regulation by the Federal Reserve, the FSOC considers, among other matters, their size and potential impact on the financial stability of the United States. As long as the Company continues to be controlled by ING Group, the FSOC may consider the Company together with ING Group s other operations in the United States for purposes of making this determination. Therefore, while we believe it is unlikely that the Company, either on a standalone basis or together with ING Group s other operations in the United States, will ultimately receive this designation, there is a greater likelihood of such a designation being made for as long as we are controlled by ING Group.

Title II of the Dodd-Frank Act provides that a financial company, such as us, may be subject to a special orderly liquidation process outside the federal bankruptcy code, administered by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation as receiver, upon a determination that it is in default or in danger of default and presents a systemic risk to U.S. financial stability. We cannot predict how rating agencies, or creditors of us or our subsidiaries, will evaluate this potential or whether it will impact our financing or hedging costs.

Title VII of the Dodd-Frank Act creates a new framework for regulation of the over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives markets. New margin and capital requirements on market participants contained in final regulations to be adopted by the SEC and the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) could substantially increase the cost of hedging and related operations, affect the profitability of our products or their attractiveness to our customers, or cause us to alter our hedging strategy or change the composition of the risks we do not hedge.

Pursuant to requirements of the Dodd-Frank Act, the SEC and CFTC are currently considering whether stable value contracts should be regulated as swap derivative contracts. In the event that stable value contracts become subject to such regulation, certain aspects of our business could be adversely impacted, including issuance of stable value contracts and management of assets pursuant to stable value mandates.

The Dodd-Frank Act establishes a Federal Insurance Office within the United States Department of the Treasury (Treasury Department) to be headed by a director appointed by the Secretary of the Treasury. While not having a general supervisory or regulatory authority over the business of insurance, the director of this office would perform various functions with respect to insurance, including participating in the FSOC s decisions regarding insurers to be designated for stricter regulation by the Federal Reserve. The Federal Insurance Office may recommend enhanced regulations to the states.

The Dodd-Frank Act also includes various securities law reforms that may affect our business practices. See Changes in U.S. federal and state securities laws and regulations may affect our operations and our profitability below.

The Dodd-Frank Act could result in various ex-post assessments being imposed on us, the costs of which we are unable to estimate at this time.

Although the full impact of the Dodd-Frank Act cannot be determined until the various studies mandated by the law are conducted and implementing regulations are adopted, many of the legislation s requirements could have profound and/or adverse consequences for the financial services industry, including for us. The Dodd-Frank Act could make it more expensive for us to conduct business, require us to make changes to our business model

or satisfy increased capital requirements, subject us to greater regulatory scrutiny or to potential increases in whistleblower claims in light of the increased awards available to whistleblowers under the Act and have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

See Regulation for further discussion of the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on our businesses.

In addition to the Dodd-Frank Act, regulators and lawmakers in non-U.S. jurisdictions are engaged in addressing the causes of the recent financial crisis and means of avoiding such crises in the future. Although currently we are not directly subject to non-U.S. regulation, we may be significantly affected by foreign regulatory actions, due to our being under the control of ING Group. We are unable to predict how any such regulations could affect the way ING Group conducts its business and manages capital, or to what extent any resulting changes in the way ING Group conducts its business or manages capital could affect our business, our relationship with ING Group or our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. For a further discussion of foreign regulation and its potential effect on us while we are controlled by ING Group, including the impact of the Solvency II Directive, see Regulation International and National Regulatory Initiatives that May Affect Us as a Consequence of our Affiliation with ING Group.

Changes in U.S. federal and state securities laws and regulations may affect our operations and our profitability.

U.S. federal and state securities laws apply to sales of our mutual funds and to our variable annuity and variable life insurance products (which are considered to be both insurance products and securities) as well as to sales of third-party investment products. As a result, some of our subsidiaries and the products they offer are subject to regulation under these federal and state securities laws. Our insurance subsidiaries separate accounts are registered as investment companies under the Investment Company Act. Some variable annuity contracts and variable life insurance policies issued by our insurance subsidiaries also are registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act). Other subsidiaries are registered as broker-dealers under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), are members of, and subject to, regulation by FINRA, and are also registered as broker-dealers in various states, as applicable. In addition, some of our subsidiaries are registered as investment advisers under the Investment Advisers Act.

Securities laws and regulations are primarily intended to ensure the integrity of the financial markets and to protect investors in the securities markets or investment advisory or brokerage clients. These laws and regulations generally grant supervisory agencies broad administrative powers, including the power to limit or restrict the conduct of business for failure to comply with those laws and regulations. A number of changes have recently been proposed to the laws and regulations that govern the conduct of our variable insurance products business and our distributors that could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. For example, the Dodd-Frank Act authorizes the SEC to establish a standard of conduct applicable to brokers and dealers when providing personalized investment advice to retail customers. This standard of conduct would be to act in the best interest of the customer without regard to the financial or other interest of the broker or dealer providing the advice. Further, proposals have been made that the SEC establish a self-regulatory organization with respect to registered investment advisers, which could increase the level of regulatory oversight over them. Changes to these laws or regulations that restrict the conduct of our business could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Changes to regulations under ERISA could adversely affect our distribution model by restricting our ability to provide customers with advice.

The prohibited transaction rules of ERISA and the Internal Revenue Code generally restrict the provision of investment advice to ERISA plans and participants and IRAs if the investment recommendation results in fees paid to the individual advisor, his or her firm or their affiliates that vary according to the investment recommendation chosen. In March 2010, the DOL issued proposed regulations which provide limited relief from

-48-

these investment advice restrictions. The DOL issued final rules in October of 2011 and did not provide additional relief regarding these restrictions. As a result, the ability of our investment advisory subsidiaries and their advisory representatives to provide investment advice to ERISA plans and participants, and with respect to IRAs, will likely be significantly restricted. Also, the fee and revenue arrangements of certain advisory programs may be required to be revenue neutral, resulting in potential lost revenues for these investment advisers and their affiliates.

Other proposed regulatory initiatives under ERISA may negatively impact our broker-dealer subsidiaries. In particular, the DOL issued a proposed regulation in October 2010 that would, if adopted as proposed, significantly broaden the circumstances under which a person or entity providing investment advice with respect to ERISA plans or IRAs would be deemed a fiduciary under ERISA or the Internal Revenue Code. Although the DOL has withdrawn this proposal, it has indicated its intent to re-propose the regulation in a modified form. If adopted, the proposed regulations may make it easier for the DOL in enforcement actions, and for plaintiffs attorneys in ERISA litigation, to attempt to extend fiduciary status to advisors who would not be deemed fiduciaries under current regulations.

In addition, the DOL has issued a number of regulations recently, and may issue additional similar regulations, that increase the level of disclosure that must be provided to plan sponsors and participants. These ERISA disclosure requirements will likely increase the regulatory and compliance burden upon us, resulting in increased costs.

Changes in U.S. pension laws and regulations may affect our results of operations and our profitability.

Congress from time to time considers pension reform legislation that could decrease the attractiveness of certain of our retirement products and services to retirement plan sponsors and administrators or have an unfavorable effect on our ability to earn revenues from these products and services. In this regard, the Pension Protection Act of 2006 made significant changes in employer pension funding obligations associated with defined benefit pension plans that are likely to increase sponsors costs of maintaining these plans and imposed certain requirements on defined contribution plans. Over time, these changes could negatively impact our sales of defined benefit or defined contribution plan products and services and cause sponsors to discontinue existing plans for which we provide insurance, asset management, administrative, or other services. Certain tax-favored savings initiatives that have been proposed could hinder sales and persistency of our products and services that support employment based retirement plans.

The Preservation of Access to Care for Medicare Beneficiaries and Pension Relief Act of 2010 also includes certain provisions for defined benefit pension plan funding relief. These provisions may impact the likelihood of corporate plan sponsors terminating their plans and/or engaging in transactions to partially or fully transfer pension obligations to an insurance company. As part of our retirement services segment, we offer general account and separate account group annuity products that enable a plan sponsor to transfer these risks, often in connection with the termination of defined benefit pension plans. Consequently, this legislation could indirectly affect the mix of our business, with fewer closeouts and more non-guaranteed funding products, and adversely impact our results of operations.

We may not be able to mitigate the reserve strain associated with Regulation XXX and NAIC Actuarial Guideline 38, potentially resulting in a negative impact on our capital position or in a need to increase prices and/or reduce sales of term or universal life products.

The NAIC Model Regulation entitled Valuation of Life Insurance Policies, commonly known as Regulation XXX or XXX, requires insurers to establish additional statutory reserves for certain term life insurance policies with long-term premium guarantees and for certain universal life policies with secondary guarantees. In addition, NAIC Actuarial Guideline 38 (AG38) clarifies the application of XXX with respect to certain universal life insurance policies with secondary guarantees. Many of our newly issued term insurance products and an increasing number of our universal life insurance products are affected by XXX and AG38,

-49-

respectively. The application of both XXX and AG38 involves numerous interpretations. At times, there may be differences of opinion between management and state insurance departments regarding the application of these and other actuarial standards. Such differences of opinion may lead to a state insurance regulator requiring greater reserves to support insurance liabilities than management estimated.

The NAIC has adopted revisions to AG38, specifically regarding reserving for certain universal life secondary guarantee products. Reserves on in-force business as of December 31, 2012 are now subject to a floor calculation based on assumptions consistent with a new principles-based reserving framework developed by the NAIC. Reserves on business written after December 31, 2012 will be calculated using a modified formulaic approach. We have not completed our analysis of the impact of these revisions on our reserves, and the revisions may require us to increase our statutory reserves for universal life policies with secondary guarantees. Further, changes in the method of calculating reserves may also impact the future profitability and sales of our universal life policies with secondary guarantees.

We have implemented reinsurance and capital management actions to mitigate the capital impact of XXX and AG38, including the use of LOCs and the implementation of other transactions that provide acceptable collateral to support the reinsurance of the liabilities to wholly owned reinsurance captives or to third party reinsurers. These arrangements are subject to review by state insurance regulators and rating agencies. For example, the NAIC has recently established a subgroup to study the use of captives and special purpose vehicles to transfer insurance risk in relation to existing state laws and regulations. Rating agencies may include a portion of these LOCs or other collateral in their calculation of leverage calculations, which could increase their assessment of our leverage ratios and potentially impact our ratings. We cannot provide assurance that there will not be regulatory or rating agency challenges to the reinsurance and capital management actions we have taken to date or that acceptable collateral obtained through such transactions will continue to be available or available on a cost-effective basis. The result of those potential challenges, as well as the inability to obtain acceptable collateral, could require us to increase statutory reserves, incur higher operating and/or tax costs or reduce sales.

Certain of the reserve financing facilities we have put in place will mature prior to the run off of the liabilities they support. As a result, we cannot provide assurance that we will be able to continue to implement actions either to mitigate the impact of XXX and AG38 on future sales of term and universal life insurance products or maintain collateral support related to our captives or existing third party reinsurance arrangements to which one of our captive reinsurance subsidiaries is a party. If we are unable to continue to implement those actions or maintain existing collateral support, we may be required to increase statutory reserves or incur higher operating costs than we currently anticipate. Because term and universal life insurance are particularly price-sensitive products, any increase in premiums charged on these products to compensate us for the increased statutory reserve requirements or higher costs of reinsurance may result in a significant loss of volume and materially and adversely affect our life insurance business.

The full NAIC membership adopted a new Valuation Manual (VM) in December 2012. VM will change the reserving methodology for life insurance by giving greater credence to an insurer s realized past experience, anticipated future experience and current economic conditions. The NAIC is expected to increase the use of Principles-Based Reserving (PBR) approaches such as VM in the future. We, along with other life insurers, have studied the impact of PBR, but since VM is still subject to change as it is adopted by the various states, we are unable to predict its impact on the future profitability and sales of our life insurance policies, however, it is possible that this approach will result in more volatility in our financial results given the greater weight it places on current economic conditions. See Regulation Insurance Regulation Financial Regulation.

Changes in tax laws could increase our tax costs, impact the ability of our insurance company subsidiaries to make distributions to ING U.S., Inc. or make our insurance, annuity and investment products less attractive to customers.

Changes in tax laws could increase our taxes and our effective tax rates. For example, the Obama Administration has proposed modifying the dividends received deduction for life insurance company separate

-50-

accounts, and such a modification could significantly reduce the dividends received deduction that we are able to claim for dividends received in separate accounts. We have also entered into agreements with the IRS to resolve issues related to tax accounting matters, such as whether certain derivative transactions qualify for hedge treatment, the proper treatment of valid tax hedge gains and losses and other than temporary impairment losses, which agreements may be superseded by future enacted laws, regulations or public guidance that increases our taxes and our effective tax rates. Further, changes in tax rates could affect the amount of our deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities. One such change relates to the current debate over corporate tax reform and corporate tax rates. A reduction in the top federal tax rate would result in lower statutory deferred tax assets. Such a reduction in the statutory deferred tax asset may impact the ability of the affected insurance subsidiaries to make distributions to us and consequently could negatively impact our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders and to service our debt.

Changes in tax laws could make some of our insurance, annuity and investment products less attractive to customers. Current U.S. federal income tax law permits tax-deferred accumulation of income earned under life insurance and annuity products, and permits exclusion from taxation of death benefits paid under life insurance contracts. Changes in tax laws that restrict these tax benefits could make some of our products less attractive to customers. Reductions in individual income tax rates or estate tax rates could also make some of our products less advantageous to customers.

The American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 was recently passed to avert the fiscal cliff and made permanent the marginal income tax rates for individuals, as well as the estate tax threshold and applicable rate. Although we do not consider it likely that Congress will revisit these rates in the short term, it is likely to pursue spending cuts (which may take the form of reducing or eliminating tax preferences associated with our industry and products) to offset mandatory spending cuts, as part of any negotiations to raise the federal borrowing limit, and as part of funding the federal government when the current continuing resolution expires. Congress may also consider the same types of spending cuts and revenue raising options on an even larger scale later in 2013 or 2014 if it pursues comprehensive tax reform premised on the notion of reducing corporate and personal rates by reducing tax preferences. We also believe that states that stand to lose tax revenue of their own will exert pressure on the federal government not to enact additional measures as part of comprehensive tax reform that would negatively impact them further. Such a situation may result in even more pressure on raising revenue from tax preferences associated with our Company and products.

Risks Related to Our Separation from, and Continuing Relationship with, ING Group

ING Group s continuing significant interest in us following this offering may result in conflicts of interest.

Upon the completion of this offering, ING Group will beneficially own approximately % of our outstanding common stock (% if the underwriters option to purchase additional shares is exercised in full). For as long as ING Group continues to beneficially own more than 50% of our outstanding voting stock, ING Group generally will be able to determine the outcome of many corporate actions requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors and the amendment of the certificate of incorporation and bylaws of ING U.S., Inc. ING Group is currently required pursuant to the 2012 Amended Restructuring Plan to divest all of its global insurance and investment management business. See Summary ING Group Restructuring Plan with European Commission. It is thus expected that ING Group will sell its controlling ownership interest in ING U.S., Inc. through one or more additional public offerings of our stock or, possibly, through one or more privately negotiated sales of our stock.

We will elect to be treated as a controlled company for purposes of the NYSE corporate governance rules, and accordingly, for as long as ING Group owns more than 50% of our outstanding common stock, we will not be subject to the requirement that a majority of our directors be independent as defined under such rules and that we have a compensation committee and a nominating and governance committee that meet the required director independence requirements. In addition, under the provisions of a shareholder agreement that we will enter into with ING Group prior to or concurrently with the completion of this offering, ING Group will have consent rights with respect to certain corporate and business activities that we may undertake, including during

-51-

periods where ING Group holds less than a majority of our common stock. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Relationship with ING Group Following the Offering Shareholder Agreement.

Because ING Group s interests may differ from those of other stockholders, actions ING Group takes or omits to take with respect to us, for as long as it is our controlling stockholder, including those corporate or business actions requiring its prior affirmative written consent or vote described above, may not be as favorable to other stockholders as they are to ING Group.

Conflicts of interest may arise between us and ING Group in a number of areas relating to our past and ongoing relationships. All of our directors immediately following the offering will have been designated to our Board of Directors by ING Group. of these directors are also officers of ING Group. Because of their current or former positions with ING Group, these directors and a number of our officers own substantial amounts of ING Group stock and options to purchase ING Group, may create, or may create the appearance of, conflicts of interest when a director is faced with a decision that could have different implications for the two companies. These potential conflicts could arise, for example, over matters such as the desirability of an acquisition opportunity, employee retention or recruiting, capital management or our dividend policy.

Our continuing relationship with ING Group, our ultimate parent, and with affiliates of ING Group, may affect our ability to operate and finance our business as we deem appropriate and changes with respect to ING Group could negatively impact us.

Following this offering, ING Group will continue to own a substantial majority of our common stock and we will be a consolidated subsidiary of ING Group for purposes of its financial reporting. Circumstances affecting ING Group may have an impact on us and we cannot be certain how further changes in circumstances affecting ING Group may impact us.

In November 2008, the Dutch State purchased non-voting core Tier 1 securities from ING Group for a total consideration of 10 billion and in the first quarter of 2009 ING Group entered into an Alt-A Back-up Facility with the Dutch State (see Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Alt-A Back-up Facility). In connection with the Dutch State Transactions, ING Group accepted certain restrictions regarding the compensation of certain of its senior management positions. In addition, the Dutch State was granted the right to nominate two candidates for appointment to ING Group s Supervisory Board (the Supervisory Board) and the Dutch State s nominees have veto rights over certain material transactions, including the issuance or repurchase by ING Group of its shares.

In 2009, ING Group was required to submit a restructuring plan to the EC to obtain EC approval for the Dutch State Transactions under the EC state aid rules. On October 26, 2009, ING Group announced its 2009 Restructuring Plan, pursuant to which ING Group is required to divest its insurance and investment management businesses, including the Company. On November 19, 2012, ING Group and the EC announced that the EC approved the 2012 Amended Restructuring Plan. The 2012 Amended Restructuring Plan requires ING Group to divest at least 25% of the Company by December 31, 2013, more than 50% of the Company by December 31, 2014, and 100% of the Company by December 31, 2016. The divestment of 50% of the Company is measured in terms of a divestment of over 50% of the shares of ING U.S., Inc., the loss of ING Group s majority of directors on ING U.S., Inc. s board of directors and the accounting deconsolidation of the Company (in line with IFRS accounting rules). In case ING Group does not satisfy its commitment to divest the Company as agreed with the EC, the Dutch State will renotify the recapitalization measure to the EC. In such a case, the EC may require additional restructuring measures or take enforcement action against ING Group, or, at the request of ING Group and the Dutch State, could allow ING Group more time to complete the divestment.

We cannot accurately predict whether any restrictions and limitations imposed on ING Group on account of the Dutch State Transactions, or the implementation of the 2012 Amended Restructuring Plan (or any further

-52-

amendment thereof), will have a negative effect on our businesses and financial flexibility or result in conflicts between the interests of ING Group and our interests. In addition, it is difficult for us to predict whether any changes to, or termination of, the Dutch State Transactions could occur as a result of the 2012 Amended Restructuring Plan (or any further amendment thereof) and whether any effect on our business would result from that. We also note that we cannot predict the possible effect of ING Group not satisfying its commitment to divest the Company as agreed with the EC, for instance, by having a remaining ownership interest in the Company and its subsidiaries beyond any deadline agreed with the EC.

Our separation from ING Group could adversely affect our business and profitability due to ING Group s strong brand and reputation.

Prior to the completion of this offering, as a wholly owned subsidiary of ING Group, we have marketed our products and services using the ING brand name and logo. We believe the association with ING Group has provided us with preferred status among our customers, vendors and other persons due to ING Group s globally recognized brand, perceived high quality products and services and strong capital base and financial strength.

This offering could adversely affect our ability to attract and retain customers, which could result in reduced sales of our products. In connection with this offering, we expect to enter into an IP licensing agreement, pursuant to which we will have a license to use certain trademarks (including the ING name and logo) for a limited period of time following the completion of the offering. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Relationship with ING Group Following the Offering Transitional Intellectual Property Agreement. Shortly after the consummation of this offering, we intend to begin operational and legal work to rebrand to , the process of changing all marketing materials, operating materials and legal entity names containing the word ING or Lion to our new brand name will take approximately 24 months and, together with our anticipated advertising campaigns will cost between \$ and \$. Some of our existing policyholders, contract owners and other customers may choose to stop doing business with us, which could increase the rate of surrenders and withdrawals in our policies and contracts. In addition, other potential policyholders and contract owners may decide not to purchase our products because we no longer will be a part of ING Group.

Our separation from ING Group could prompt some third parties to re-price, modify or terminate their distribution or vendor relationships with us. Our ability to attract and retain highly qualified independent sales intermediaries and dedicated sales specialists for our products may also be negatively affected. We may be required to lower the prices of our products, increase our sales commissions and fees, change long-term selling and marketing agreements and take other action to maintain our relationship with our sales intermediaries and distribution partners, all of which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. We cannot accurately predict the effect that our separation from ING Group will have on our business, sales intermediaries, customers or employees.

The risks relating to our separation from ING Group could materialize or evolve at any time, including:

immediately upon the completion of this offering, when ING Group s beneficial ownership in our common stock will decrease to % (% if the underwriters option to purchase additional shares is exercised in full);

when ING Group reduces its ownership in our common stock to a level below 50%; and

when we cease using the ING name and logo in our sales and marketing materials, particularly when we deliver notices to our distributors and customers that the names of some of our insurance subsidiaries will change.

The terms of our arrangements with ING Group may be more favorable than we will be able to obtain from an unaffiliated third-party. We may be unable to replace the services ING Group provides us in a timely manner or on comparable terms.

As a subsidiary of ING Group, we have benefited, and after this offering will continue to benefit, from certain contractual arrangements between ING Group and ING Bank and various third party vendors. These contractual arrangements permit ING Group affiliates such as the Company to make use of the software licenses and related services provided thereunder. There is no assurance that, once we are no longer entitled to benefit from these arrangements as a result of a Divestment Transaction, we will be able to obtain these services at the same levels or obtain the same benefits through new, independent relationships with third party vendors. Likewise, we may not be able to replace these services and arrangements in a timely manner or on terms and conditions, including cost, as favorable as those we have previously received as a subsidiary of ING Group.

In addition, as described in Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Historical Related Party Transactions Financing Arrangements Guarantees, immediately following this offering we expect that certain of our indebtedness and other obligations will continue to benefit from guarantees provided by ING Group or ING V. As this indebtedness and these obligations mature or are terminated, to the extent we replace them with new indebtedness or other obligations, we do not expect such new indebtedness or other obligations to be guaranteed by ING Group or ING V. Therefore, such new indebtedness or other obligations may be on terms that are less favorable to us than the indebtedness or other obligations being replaced.

ING Group and its directors and officers will have limited liability to us or you for breach of fiduciary duty.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, to be effective upon completion of this offering, will provide that none of our directors will be personally liable to us or our stockholders for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty, except for liability for breach of a director s duty of loyalty, acts or omissions by a director not in good faith or which involve intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law, dividend payments or stock repurchases that are unlawful under Delaware law or any transaction in which a director has derived an improper personal benefit. See Description of Capital Stock Limitation of Liability and Indemnification of Directors and Officers.

If ING Group sells a controlling interest in our company to a third-party in a private transaction, you may not realize any change-of-control premium on shares of our common stock and we may become subject to the control of a presently unknown third-party.

Following the completion of this offering, ING Group will own a substantial majority of our common stock. ING Group will have the ability, should it choose to do so, to sell some or all of its shares of our common stock in a privately negotiated transaction, which, if sufficient in size, could result in a change of control of the Company. The ability of ING Group to privately sell such shares of our common stock, with no requirement for a concurrent offer to be made to acquire all of the shares of our common stock that will be publicly traded hereafter, could prevent you from realizing any change-of-control premium on your shares of our common stock that may otherwise accrue to ING Group upon its private sale of our common stock. Additionally, if ING Group privately sells a significant equity interest in us, we may become subject to the control of a presently unknown third-party. Such third-party may have conflicts of interest with the interests of other stockholders.

We expect to incur incremental costs as a standalone public company.

We will need to replicate or replace certain functions, systems and infrastructure to which we will no longer have the same access after this offering. We will also need to make infrastructure investments in order to operate without the same access to ING Group s existing operational and administrative infrastructure. These initiatives may be costly to implement. Due to the scope and complexity of the underlying projects relative to these efforts, the amount of total costs could be materially higher than our estimate, and the timing of the incurrence of these costs may be subject to change.

-54-

ING Group currently performs or supports many important corporate functions for our operations, including investor relations, advertising and brand management, corporate audit, certain risk management functions, corporate insurance, corporate governance and other services. Our Consolidated Financial Statements reflect charges for these services. There is no assurance that, following the completion of this offering, these services will be sustained at the same levels as when we were receiving such services from ING Group or that we will obtain the same benefits. When we begin to operate these functions independently, if we do not have our own adequate systems and business functions in place, or are unable to obtain them from other providers, we may not be able to operate our business effectively or at comparable costs and our profitability may decline. In addition, our business has benefited from ING Group s purchasing power when procuring goods and services. As a standalone company, we may be unable to obtain such goods and services at comparable prices or on terms as favorable as those obtained prior to this offering, which could decrease our overall profitability.

As a separate public company, we expect to expend additional time and resources to comply with rules and regulations that do not currently apply to us.

As a separate public company, the various rules and regulations of the SEC, as well as the rules of the exchange on which we list our common stock, will require us to implement additional corporate governance practices and adhere to a variety of reporting requirements. Compliance with these public company obligations will increase our legal and financial compliance costs and could place additional demands on our finance and accounting staff and on our financial, accounting and information systems.

In particular, as a public company, our management will be required to conduct an annual evaluation of our internal controls over financial reporting and include a report of management on our internal controls in our annual reports on Form 10-K. In addition, we will be required to have our independent registered public accounting firm attest to the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting pursuant to Auditing Standard No. 5. Under current rules, we would be subject to these requirements beginning with our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ending December 31, 2014. If we are unable to conclude that we have effective internal controls over financial reporting, or if our registered public accounting firm is unable to provide us with an attestation and an unqualified report as to the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting, investors could lose confidence in the reliability of our financial statements, which could result in a decrease in the value of our common stock.

Our historical consolidated financial data are not necessarily representative of the results we would have achieved as a standalone company and may not be a reliable indicator of our future results.

Our historical consolidated financial data included in this prospectus do not necessarily reflect the financial condition, results of operations or cash flows we would have achieved as a standalone company during the periods presented or those we will achieve in the future. For example, as described in Recapitalization, we are in the process of adjusting our capital structure to more closely align with peer U.S. public companies. As a result, financial metrics that are influenced by our capital structure, such as interest expense and return on equity, will not necessarily be indicative for historical periods of the performance we may achieve as a standalone company following this offering. In addition, significant increases may occur in our cost structure as a result of this offering, including costs related to public company reporting, investor relations and compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Also, as described in Our separation from ING Group could adversely affect our business and profitability due to ING Group s strong brand and reputation, we anticipate incurring substantial expenses in connection with rebranding our Company following this offering.

As a result of these matters, among others, it may be difficult for investors to compare our future results to historical results or to evaluate our relative performance or trends in our business.

-55-

Risks Related to This Offering and Ownership of Our Common Stock

In addition to the risks included in this section, see We expect that our ability to use beneficial U.S. tax attributes will be subject to limitations relating to provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation that limit the amount of our common stock that an investor can acquire.

Our common stock has no prior public market, and we cannot assure you that an active trading market will develop.

Prior to this offering, there has been no public market for our common stock. Although we intend to apply for listing on the NYSE, an active trading market for shares of our common stock may never develop or be sustained following this offering. If an active trading market does not develop, you may have difficulty selling your shares of common stock at an attractive price, or at all. The price for our common stock in this offering will be determined by negotiations among us, the Selling Stockholder and representatives of the underwriters, and it may not be indicative of prices that will prevail in the open market following this offering. Consequently, you may not be able to sell your shares of our common stock at or above the initial public offering price or at any other price, or at the time that you would like to sell. An inactive market may also impair our ability to raise capital by selling our common stock, our ability to motivate our employees and sales representatives through equity incentive awards, and our ability to acquire other companies, products or technologies by using our common stock as consideration.

The price of our common stock may be volatile and may be affected by market conditions beyond our control.

Some factors that may cause the market price of our common stock to fluctuate, in addition to the other risks mentioned in this section of the prospectus, are:

our operating and financial performance and prospects;

our announcements or our competitors announcements regarding new products or services, enhancements, significant contracts, acquisitions or strategic investments;

changes in earnings estimates or recommendations by securities analysts who cover our common stock;

fluctuations in our quarterly financial results or the quarterly financial results of companies perceived to be similar to us;

changes in our capital structure, such as future issuances of securities, sales of large blocks of common stock by our stockholders, including ING Group, or the incurrence of additional debt;

departure of key personnel;

reputational issues;

changes in general economic and market conditions;

changes in industry conditions or perceptions or changes in the market outlook for the insurance industry; and

Edgar Filing: ING U.S., Inc. - Form S-1/A

changes in applicable laws, rules or regulations, regulatory actions affecting us and other dynamics.

The stock market has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations in recent years. The market prices of securities of insurance and financial services companies have experienced fluctuations that often have been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating results of these companies. These market fluctuations could result in extreme volatility in the price of shares of our common stock, which could cause a decline in the value of your investment. You should also be aware that price volatility may be greater if the public float and trading volume of shares of our common stock is low.

-56-

Future sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock may depress the price of our shares.

If our stockholders sell a large number of shares of our common stock, or if we issue a large number of shares of our common stock in connection with future acquisitions, financings, or other circumstances, the market price of shares of our common stock could decline significantly. Moreover, the perception in the public market that our stockholders might sell shares of our common stock could depress the market price of those shares. In addition, sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock by ING Group pursuant to the 2012 Amended Restructuring Plan could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

All the shares sold in this offering will be freely tradable without restriction, except for shares acquired by any of our affiliates, including ING Group. Immediately after this offering, the public market for our common stock will include only the shares of common stock that are being sold in this offering, or shares if the underwriters exercise their option to purchase additional shares in full. After the offering, we intend to register shares of common stock, which are reserved for issuance under our employee benefit plans. Once we register these shares, they can be sold in the public market upon issuance, subject to restrictions under the securities laws applicable to resales by affiliates. In addition, we expect to enter into a registration rights agreement with ING Group pursuant to which we will be obligated to register ING Group s shares of our common stock for public resale upon request by ING Group, beginning days following the date of this prospectus. See Shares Eligible for Future Sale Registration Rights Agreement.

We expect that we, ING Group and our directors and executive officers will enter into lock-up arrangements under which we and they will agree that we and they will not sell, directly or indirectly, any common stock for a period of certain exceptions) without the prior written consent of Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC and Goldman, Sachs & Co. See Underwriting.

Provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws, of Delaware corporate and of state insurance laws, may prevent or delay an acquisition of us, which could decrease the trading price of our common stock.

State laws, provisions of ING U.S. s certificate of incorporation and by-laws may delay, deter, prevent or render more difficult a takeover attempt that our stockholders might consider in their best interests. For example, such laws or provisions may prevent our stockholders from receiving the benefit from any premium to the market price of our common stock offered by a bidder in a takeover context. Even in the absence of a takeover attempt, the existence of these provisions may adversely affect the prevailing market price of our common stock if they are viewed as discouraging takeover attempts in the future.

The insurance laws and regulations of the various states in which our insurance subsidiaries are organized may delay or impede a business combination involving the Company. State insurance laws prohibit an entity from acquiring control of an insurance company without the prior approval of the domestic insurance regulator. Under most states statutes, an entity is presumed to have control of an insurance company if it owns, directly or indirectly, 10% or more of the voting stock of that insurance company or its parent company. These regulatory restrictions may delay, deter or prevent a potential merger or sale of our company, even if our Board of Directors decides that it is in the best interests of stockholders for us to merge or be sold. These restrictions also may delay sales by us or acquisitions by third parties of our insurance subsidiaries. In addition, the Investment Company Act would require approval by the contract owners of our variable contracts in order to effectuate a change of control of any affiliated investment adviser to a mutual fund underlying our variable contracts. Further, FINRA approval would be necessary for a change of control of any FINRA registered broker-dealer that is a direct or indirect subsidiary of the Company.

Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law (DGCL) may affect the ability of an interested stockholder to engage in certain business combinations, including mergers, consolidations or acquisitions of additional shares, for a period of three years following the time that the stockholder becomes an interested stockholder. An interested stockholder is defined to include persons owning directly or indirectly 15% or more of the outstanding voting stock of a corporation.

-57-

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and by-laws will include provisions that may have anti-takeover effects and may delay, deter or prevent a takeover attempt that our stockholders might consider in their best interests. For example, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and by-laws will prohibit stockholders from calling special meetings of our stockholders and, from and after such time as ING Group ceases to beneficially own at least % of our outstanding common stock, from taking action by written consent.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation will also include provisions designed to preserve the benefit of certain tax attributes of the Company, which will limit the amount of our common stock that an investor can acquire. See Description of Capital Stock Ownership Limitations.

Risks Related to Our Holding Company Structure

As holding companies, ING U.S., Inc. and Lion Holdings depend on the ability of their subsidiaries to transfer funds to them to meet their obligations.

ING U.S., Inc. is the holding company for all our operations, and dividends, returns of capital and interest income on intercompany indebtedness from ING U.S., Inc. s subsidiaries are the principal sources of funds available to ING U.S., Inc. to pay principal and interest on its outstanding indebtedness, to pay corporate operating expenses, to pay any stockholder dividends and to meet its other obligations. These subsidiaries are legally distinct from ING U.S., Inc. and, except in the case of Lion Holdings, which is the guarantor of certain of our outstanding indebtedness, have no obligation to pay amounts due on the debt of ING U.S., Inc. or to make funds available to ING U.S., Inc. for such payments. The ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or other distributions to ING U.S., Inc. in the future will depend on their earnings, tax considerations, covenants contained in any financing or other agreements and applicable regulatory restrictions. In addition, such payments may be limited as a result of claims against our subsidiaries by their creditors, including suppliers, vendors, lessors and employees. The ability of our insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends and make other distributions to ING U.S., Inc. will further depend on their ability to meet applicable regulatory standards and receive regulatory approvals, as discussed below under The ability of our insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends and other distributions to ING U.S., Inc. or Lion Holdings, and therefore such insurance subsidiaries must obtain prior approval or notices of non-objection, as the case may be, from their respective state insurance commissioners in order to pay such dividends or other distributions.

Lion Holdings is wholly owned by ING U.S., Inc. and is also a holding company, and accordingly its ability to make payments under its guarantees of such indebtedness is subject to restrictions and limitations similar to ING U.S., Inc. Neither ING U.S., Inc., nor Lion Holdings, has significant sources of cash flow other than from our subsidiaries that do not guarantee such indebtedness.

If the ability of our insurance or non-insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends or make other distributions or payments to ING U.S., Inc. and Lion Holdings is materially restricted by regulatory requirements, other cash needs, bankruptcy or insolvency, or our need to maintain the financial strength ratings of our insurance subsidiaries, or is limited due to operating results or other factors, we may be required to raise cash through the incurrence of debt, the issuance of equity or the sale of assets. However, there is no assurance that we would be able to raise cash by these means. This could materially and adversely affect the ability of ING U.S., Inc. and Lion Holdings to pay their obligations.

The ability of our insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends and other distributions to ING U.S., Inc. and Lion Holdings is further limited by state insurance laws.

The payment of dividends and other distributions to ING U.S., Inc. and Lion Holdings by our insurance subsidiaries is regulated by state insurance laws and regulations. See At present, our principal insurance subsidiaries have no capacity to make ordinary dividend payments to ING U.S., Inc. or Lion Holdings, and

therefore such insurance subsidiaries must obtain prior approval or notices of non-objection, as the case may be, from their respective state insurance commissioners in order to pay such dividends or other distributions.

The jurisdictions in which our insurance subsidiaries are domiciled impose certain restrictions on the ability to pay dividends to their respective parents. These restrictions are based, in part, on the prior year s statutory income and surplus. In general, dividends up to specified levels are considered ordinary and may be paid without prior regulatory approval. Dividends in larger amounts, or extraordinary dividends, are subject to approval by the insurance commissioner of the relevant state of domicile. Under the insurance laws applicable to our insurance subsidiaries domiciled in Colorado, Connecticut, Indiana, Iowa and Minnesota, an extraordinary dividend or distribution is defined as a dividend or distribution that, together with other dividends and distributions made within the preceding twelve months, exceeds the greater of (1) 10% of the insurer s policyholder surplus as of the preceding December 31, or (2) the insurer s net gain from operations for the twelve-month period ending the preceding December 31, in each case determined in accordance with statutory accounting principles. New York has similar restrictions, except that New York s statutory definition of extraordinary dividend or distribution is an aggregate amount in any calendar year that exceeds the lesser of (1) 10% of policyholder s surplus for the twelve-month period ending the preceding December 31, or (2) the insurer s net gain from operations for the twelve-month period ending the preceding December 31, not including realized capital gains. In addition, under the insurance laws of the states of domicile of our principal insurance subsidiaries, no dividend or other distribution exceeding an amount equal to an insurance company s earned surplus may be paid without the domiciliary insurance regulator s prior approval. From time to time, the NAIC and various state insurance regulators have considered, and may in the future consider, proposals to further limit dividend payments that an insurance company may make without regulatory approval. No assurance is given that more stringent restrictions will not be adopted from time to time by jurisdictions in which our insurance subsidiaries are domiciled, and such restrictions could have the effect, under certain circumstances, of significantly reducing dividends or other amounts payable to ING U.S., Inc. or Lion Holdings by our insurance subsidiaries without prior approval by regulatory authorities. In addition, in the future, we may become subject to debt instruments or other agreements that limit the ability of our insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends or make other distributions. The ability of our insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends or make other distributions is also limited by our need to maintain the financial strength ratings assigned to such subsidiaries by the rating agencies. These ratings depend to a large extent on the capitalization levels of our insurance subsidiaries.

The payment of dividends by our special purpose financial captive insurance company subsidiaries domiciled in South Carolina and Missouri is regulated by their respective governing licensing orders and restrictions in their respective insurance securitization agreements. Generally, our special purpose financial captive insurance subsidiaries may not declare or pay dividends in any form to their parent companies other than in accordance with their respective insurance securitization transaction agreements and their respective governing licensing orders, and in no event may the dividends decrease the capital of the captive below the minimum capital requirement applicable to it, and, after giving effect to the dividends, the assets of the captive paying the dividend must be sufficient to satisfy its domiciliary insurance regulator that it can meet its obligations. Similarly, our insurance subsidiary in the Cayman Islands is subject to minimum net worth and solvency requirements that limit its ability to pay dividends.

At present, our principal insurance subsidiaries have no capacity to make ordinary dividend payments to ING U.S., Inc. or Lion Holdings, and therefore such insurance subsidiaries must obtain prior approval or notices of non-objection, as the case may be, from their respective state insurance commissioners in order to pay such dividends or other distributions.

As of December 31, 2011, each of our insurance subsidiaries domiciled in Colorado, Iowa and Minnesota had negative earned surplus and did not have capacity to make ordinary dividend payments to ING U.S., Inc. or Lion Holdings without regulatory approval. Our Connecticut-domiciled insurance company, ILIAC, had positive earned surplus as of December 31, 2011 and could have paid a maximum amount of \$190.0 million of ordinary dividends to Lion Holdings without regulatory approval at March 31, 2012, but ILIAC s 2012 distribution

-59-

request exceeded its year-end 2011 earned surplus and therefore required domiciliary regulatory approval. In the second quarter of 2012, our principal insurance subsidiaries that are domiciled in Colorado, Connecticut, Iowa and Minnesota received regulatory approvals or notices of non-objection, as the case may be, from their respective domiciliary state insurance regulators to make extraordinary distributions to ING U.S., Inc. or Lion Holdings in the aggregate amount of \$800.0 million in response to 2012 extraordinary distribution requests. The approved distributions of \$800.0 million (including the \$190.0 million ordinary dividend capacity of ILIAC) were made on June 26, 2012.

Following payment of such distributions, our principal insurance subsidiaries domiciled in Colorado, Iowa and Minnesota each had negative earned surplus accounts and therefore at the date of this prospectus have no current ordinary dividend capacity. ILIAC s 2012 extraordinary distribution exceeded its year end 2011 earned surplus and therefore at the date of this prospectus it has no current ordinary dividend capacity. Any further dividends or distributions paid by any of these insurance subsidiaries will be on an extraordinary basis (and, therefore, subject to prior regulatory approval or notice of non-objection, as the case may be) until ordinary dividend capacity is developed. The ability to pay ordinary dividends will require the development by each insurance company of a positive earned surplus account and will be limited to a distribution amount that does not exceed the insurance company s prior year-end positive earned surplus account and its applicable state insurance ordinary dividend threshold, after taking into account dividends and distributions made within the preceding twelve months.

As of the date of this prospectus, we expect the primary future sources of funds available to meet ongoing cash needs of ING U.S., Inc. and Lion Holdings, including debt service on our outstanding indebtedness, will be extraordinary dividends and distributions from our insurance company subsidiaries (for which the prior approval or notice of non-objection, as the case may be, of our state insurance regulators is required), and dividends and distributions from our non-insurance company subsidiaries. We also expect that, in the near term, ILIAC, one of our principal insurance company subsidiaries, will have some limited ordinary dividend capacity (for which prior regulatory approval is not required). We are in the process of engaging with the state insurance regulators of our principal insurance subsidiaries to seek approval for additional extraordinary dividend and distributions to be paid to ING U.S., Inc. or Lion Holdings, as the case may be, immediately prior to this offering. In addition, we are engaging with such regulators to seek approval for enhanced ordinary dividend and distribution paying capacity from our principal insurance company subsidiaries following this offering.

There can be no assurance that any of our insurance subsidiaries will receive approval for any extraordinary distribution payments or enhanced ordinary dividend or distribution paying capacity in the future or that the ability of our insurance subsidiaries, including ILIAC, to pay ordinary dividends will otherwise be restored. Factors that could cause state insurance regulators to deny requests for the payment of extraordinary distributions or for enhanced ordinary dividend or distribution paying capacity could include, for example, concerns over the actual or future financial health of our insurance subsidiaries, increases (whether actual or forecasted) in loss ratios experienced by our insurance subsidiaries and regulatory concerns with the conduct of our insurance subsidiaries businesses. Similarly, operating results or other factors outside our control could have a negative adverse effect on the ability of our insurance subsidiaries to regain their ability to pay ordinary dividends.

-60-

RECAPITALIZATION

We have historically operated with a capital structure that reflected our status as a wholly owned subsidiary of ING Group, and have not historically relied on direct access to the capital markets for our financing needs. To prepare for our separation from ING Group and operation as a standalone public company, we have undertaken various recapitalization initiatives to more closely align our capital structure both at the ING U.S., Inc. holding company level and on a consolidated basis with other U.S. public companies. In undertaking this recapitalization plan, we have focused on several goals:

Maintaining and strengthening our credit ratings;

Migrating the Company towards our target of 25% financial leverage to capital ratio;

Meeting our target combined RBC ratio of our U.S. insurance company subsidiaries of 425%;

Replacing significant amounts of our financing that are provided or guaranteed by ING Group, ING V or ING Bank with financing that is supported solely on the basis of our standalone credit, and entering into new financing arrangements only on that basis; and

Increasing liquidity at the ING U.S., Inc. holding company level. We have already completed the following steps in connection with this recapitalization:

\$5.0 billion senior unsecured credit facility. On April 20, 2012, we entered into a \$5.0 billion senior unsecured credit facility with a syndicate of banks, which replaced financing that was either internally funded or guaranteed by ING V. The credit facility was established on the basis of our standalone credit profile. As part of the senior unsecured credit facility, we entered into a three-year committed revolving credit agreement (the Revolving Credit Agreement), which provides for issuance of up to \$3.5 billion of LOC with a \$1.5 billion sublimit for cash borrowings (reduced, as required by the terms of the Revolving Credit Agreement, to \$1.075 billion in connection with the inaugural senior notes offering discussed in the following paragraph). We also entered into a \$1.5 billion two-year syndicated term loan agreement (the Term Loan Agreement and, together with the Revolving Credit Agreement, the Senior Unsecured Credit Facility).

\$850.0 million inaugural senior notes offering. On July 13, 2012, we issued \$850.0 million principal amount of 5.5% Senior Notes due 2022 (the 2022 Notes) in a private placement to institutional investors. Like the Senior Unsecured Credit Facility, these notes are not guaranteed by ING Group or ING V.

Receipt of cash distributions. In the second quarter of 2012, our insurance subsidiaries domiciled in Colorado, Connecticut, Iowa and Minnesota made distributions to ING U.S., Inc. or Lion Holdings in the aggregate amount of \$800.0 million pursuant to regulatory approvals or notices of non-objection, as the case may be, from their respective domiciliary insurance regulators. We contributed \$500.0 million of such distributions to our Cayman Islands insurance subsidiary, SLDI, through repayment of \$100.0 million of intercompany loans and a capital contribution to SLDI of \$400.0 million.

Contribution of intercompany loans from ING V. During 2010 and 2011, ING V caused to be contributed to the Company \$7.0 billion of borrowings made by the Company under certain intercompany loan agreements. As a result of the contribution, the debt was immediately extinguished. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Historical Related Party Transactions Financing Arrangements Intercompany Loans.

Edgar Filing: ING U.S., Inc. - Form S-1/A

We have historically relied on certain funding sources that have been provided by or guaranteed by ING Group, ING V or ING Bank. Immediately following the completion of this offering, we expect that approximately \$ of our consolidated outstanding indebtedness will be provided by or continue to benefit from a guarantee provided by ING Group or ING V, and that ING Bank will provide financing facilities or other financial instruments or ING Group or ING V will also guarantee an additional \$ of our obligations under various financing facilities or other financial instruments. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Historical Related Party Transactions Financing Arrangements Guarantees. We expect to refinance approximately \$ of such amount following this offering with financing that is based solely on our standalone

-61-

credit. An additional \$ of such amount is expected to mature or expire according to its terms. As the remaining indebtedness, facilities or instruments mature or expire, we would expect to replace such financing, where necessary, with financing that is also based solely on our standalone credit.

There is a degree of flexibility as to how we achieve the balance of our recapitalization initiatives consistent with the goals set forth above. While the exact manner in which we complete our recapitalization initiatives and our capital structure after giving effect to this offering will be informed by market conditions, interest rates and other factors, the steps we may take to achieve our capitalization goals include the following:

Issuance of senior notes of various maturities;

Issuance of hybrid securities;

Repayment of borrowings under the Term Loan Agreement;

Repayment of amounts outstanding under our commercial paper program;

Repayment of \$500.0 million of borrowings from ING V;

Repayment by ING U.S., Inc. of borrowings from subsidiaries; and/or

Contribution of capital to SLDI, our Cayman Islands insurance subsidiary, and cancellation of the \$1.5 billion contingent capital LOC with ING Bank.

The following presents an overview of the sources and uses of funds in connection with our recapitalization (shown from the holding company perspective of ING U.S., Inc.), showing certain recapitalization steps we have completed to date, as well as the further steps we anticipate completing prior to, concurrently with or within a reasonable period of time following this offering.

| (\$ in millions) | Completed | To be Completed | Total |
|---|-----------|--------------------|-------|
| Sources of Funds | | | |
| Debt Issuance | | | |
| Proceeds from Term Loan Agreement | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Proceeds from Revolving Credit Agreement | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of 2022 Notes | | | |
| Proceeds from future issuance of senior notes and hybrid securities | | | |
| | | | |

Total debt issuance

Equity Issuance Proceeds of this offering

Internal Resources

Proceeds of distributions from operating subsidiaries

Edgar Filing: ING U.S., Inc. - Form S-1/A

| Total Sources of Funds | \$ | \$ | \$ |
|--|----|----|----|
| | | | |
| Uses of Funds | | | |
| Debt Repayment | | | |
| Repayment of Revolving Credit Agreement borrowings | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Repayment of Term Loan Agreement borrowings | | | |
| Repayment of commercial paper | | | |
| Repayment of borrowings from parent | | | |
| Repayment of borrowings from subsidiaries | | | |
| | | | |
| Total debt repayment | | | |
| | | | |
| Increase in Liquidity | | | |
| Increase in cash balance | | | |
| | | | |
| Other | | | |
| Capital contribution to SLDI | | | |
| Transaction and break costs | | | |
| Interest and other financing costs | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| Other | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Uses of Funds | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | Ψ | Ψ | Ψ |

-62-

USE OF PROCEEDS

We estimate that the net proceeds we will receive from this offering will be approximately \$million, assuming an initial public offering price of \$per share, which is the midpoint of the range listed on the cover page of this prospectus, and after deducting estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us. We will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of shares by the Selling Stockholder.

A \$1.00 increase (decrease) in the assumed initial public offering price of \$ per share, which is the midpoint of the range listed on the cover page of this prospectus, would increase (decrease) the net proceeds to us from this offering by \$ million, assuming the number of shares offered by us, as set forth on the cover page of this prospectus, remains the same and after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated expenses payable by us.

See Recapitalization for a discussion of our recapitalization plan and our plans for the use of the proceeds of this offering.

-63-

DIVIDEND POLICY

We intend to pay quarterly cash dividends on our common stock at an initial amount of approximately \$ per share, although any declaration of dividends will be at the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend on our financial condition, earnings, cash needs, regulatory constraints, capital requirements (including requirements of our subsidiaries) and any other factors that the Board of Directors deems relevant in making such a determination. Therefore, there can be no assurance that we will pay any dividends to holders of our common stock, or as to the amount of any such dividends.

Delaware law requires that dividends be paid only out of surplus, which is defined as the fair market value of our net assets, minus our stated capital; or out of the current or the immediately preceding year s earnings. We are a holding company, and we have no direct operations. All of our business operations are conducted through our subsidiaries. The states in which our insurance subsidiaries are domiciled impose certain restrictions on our insurance subsidiaries ability to pay dividends to us. These restrictions are based in part on the prior year s statutory income and surplus. Such restrictions, or any future restrictions adopted by the states in which our insurance subsidiaries are domiciled, could have the effect, under certain circumstances, of significantly reducing dividends or other amounts payable to us by our subsidiaries without affirmative approval of state regulatory authorities. For more details, see Risk Factors Risks Related to our Holding Company Structure.

-64-

CAPITALIZATION

The following table presents our capitalization as of September 30, 2012, on an actual basis and on an as adjusted basis after giving effect to the sale by us of shares of common stock in this offering at an assumed initial public offering price of \$ per share, the midpoint of the range listed on the cover page of this prospectus, and our receipt of the estimated net proceeds from that sale after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us.

The as adjusted information presented in the table below is illustrative only and will adjust based on the actual initial public offering price and other terms of this offering determined at pricing.

You should read this table together with the sections of this prospectus entitled Selected Consolidated Financial Data , Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition and our Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus.

| | | As of Septen | nber 30, 2012 As |
|--|----|--------------------|-----------------------|
| (unaudited) | | Actual (\$ in m | Adjusted nillions) |
| Short-term debt: | | | |
| Short-term debt | \$ | 299.2 | \$ |
| Current portion of long-term debt | | 475.7 | |
| Total short-term debt | \$ | 774.9 | \$ |
| Long-term debt: | | | |
| Long-term debt, capital leases and notes payable, net of current portion | \$ | 2,555.2 | \$ |
| Senior Unsecured Credit Facility | | 1,087.5 | |
| Total long-term debt | \$ | 3,642.7 | \$ |
| Shareholder s equity: | | | |
| Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share; 200,000 shares authorized, 100,207 shares issued and outstanding, actual;shares authorized, shares issued and outstanding, as adjustedAdditional paid-in capital | \$ | 22,900.0 | \$ |
| Retained earnings (deficit): | | | |
| Appropriated-consolidated investment entities | | 48.4 | |
| Unappropriated | (| (12,739.4) | |
| Total shareholder s equity (excluding AOCI and non-controlling interest) | \$ | 10,209.0 | \$ |
| Total capitalization (total debt plus shareholder s equity excluding items noted above) | \$ | 14,626.6 | \$ |

The as adjusted number of shares of our common stock set forth in the table above excludes issuance of stock under equity compensation arrangements.

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected consolidated financial data for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 and as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 are derived from our audited Consolidated Financial Statements that are included elsewhere in this prospectus. The selected unaudited consolidated financial data for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, and as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 are derived from our unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements for such periods and dates, which are not included in this prospectus. The following selected consolidated financial data for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 and as of September 30, 2012 have been derived from the unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company and, in the opinion of the management of the Company, reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for the fair presentation of such data for the respective interim periods. The results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that might be expected for future interim periods or for the full year ended December 31, 2012.

Prospective investors should read these selected consolidated financial data together with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition and our Consolidated Financial Statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus.

| (\$ in millions, except for share data) | Septen | mber 30, Year Ended December 31, | | mber 30, Year Ended Decembe | | Nine Months EndedSeptember 30,Yes2012201120112010 | | | September 30, Year Ended December 31, | | | , | | | 30, Year Ended December 31, | | r 30, Year Ended December 31, | | 2007 |
|---|-------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---|----------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|------|
| | (Unaudited) | (Unaudited) | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | (Unaudited) | (Unaudited) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Consolidated Operating Results | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net investment income | \$ 3,642.5 | \$ 3,756.4 | \$ 4,968.8 | \$ 4,987.0 | \$ 5,568.6 | \$ 5,404.0 | \$ 5,063.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fee income | 2,624.8 | 2,721.0 | 3,603.6 | 3,516.5 | 3,325.1 | 3,506.9 | 3,423.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Premiums | 1,389.9 | 1,320.6 | 1,770.0 | 1,707.5 | 1,985.5 | 2,198.7 | 2,040.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net realized capital gains (losses) | (896.6) | 308.0 | (1,531.4) | (1,678.0) | (2,178.7) | (6,700.0) | (1,344.1) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total revenues | 7,411.5 | 8,858.2 | 9,718.8 | 9,274.2 | 9,364.2 | 5,472.8 | 10,882.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest credited and other benefits to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| contract owners/policyholders | 3,636.3 | 4,397.2 | 5,742.0 | 5,027.3 | 5,629.9 | 6,866.7 | 5,724.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating expenses | 2,330.9 | 2,132.2 | 3,030.8 | 3,033.5 | 3,352.2 | 4,129.6 | 3,506.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net amortization of deferred policy | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| acquisition costs and value of business | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| acquired | 539.9 | 404.6 | 387.0 | 746.6 | 1,052.3 | 1,327.9 | 585.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest expense | 109.0 | 108.8 | 139.3 | 332.5 | 385.5 | 426.6 | 462.6 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Goodwill impairment | | | | | | 696.6 ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total benefits and expenses | 6,697.4 | 7,147.8 | 9,441.0 | 9,236.4 | 10,472.8 | 13,514.7 | 10,319.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 714.1 | 1,710.4 | 277.8 | 37.8 | (1,108.6) | (8,041.9) | 562.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from discontinued | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| operations, net of income tax | | | | | | $(416.8)^{(2)}$ | 166.9 ⁽²⁾ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | 718.1 | 1,825.5 | 102.8 | (133.2) | (810.6) | (8,082.8) | 736.7 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| noncontrolling interest | 222.4 | 123.0 | 190.9 | (10.3) | (207.4) | (67.3) | 352.3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) available to ING | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| U.S., Inc. s common shareholder | 495.7 | 1,702.5 | (88.1) | (122.9) | (603.2) | (8,015.5) | 384.4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Earnings Per Share | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| operations (excluding noncontrolling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| interest), net of income tax, per | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| common share | \$ 4,946.76 | \$ 16.989.83 | \$ (879.18) | \$ (1,226.46) | \$ (6,019.54) | \$ (75,830.03) | \$ 2,170.51 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from discontinued | φ 1,9 10.70 | φ10,707.05 | φ (07).10) | φ(1,220.10) | φ(0,01).51) | φ(15,050.05) | φ 2,170.51 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| operations, net of income tax, per | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| common share | | | | | | \$ (4,159.39) | \$ 1,665.55 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) available to ING | | | | | | Ψ (1,137.37) | φ 1,00 <i>5.55</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| U.S., Inc. s common shareholder per | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| common share | \$ 4,946.76 | \$ 16,989.83 | \$ (879.18) | \$ (1,226.46) | \$ (6,019.54) | \$ (79,989.42) | \$ 3,836.06 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Common shares outstanding | 100,207 | 100,207 | 100,207 | 100,207 | 100,207 | 100,207 | 100,207 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ion bhares catolanding | 100,207 | 100,207 | 100,207 | 100,207 | 100,207 | 100,207 | 100,207 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: ING U.S., Inc. - Form S-1/A

| (\$ in millions) | Ser | As of otember 30, | | Α | s of December 3 | 1. | |
|--|-----|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| | J) | Jnaudited) | | | (Unaudited) | (Unaudited) | (Unaudited) |
| Consolidated Financial Position | | | | | | | |
| Total investments | \$ | 95,194.7 | \$ 92,819.2 | \$ 86,886.1 | \$ 83,128.8 | \$ 79,767.6 | \$104,313.7 |
| Assets held in separate accounts | | 96,312.2 | 88,714.5 | 95,588.1 | 88,849.4 | 73,928.0 | 100,565.6 |
| Total assets | | 214,210.6 | 203,572.8 | 204,376.5 | 194,621.2 | 204,775.5 | 230,217.8 |
| Future policy benefits and contract owner | | | | | | | |
| account balances | | 86,294.8 | 88,358.4 | 83,642.8 | 84,402.0 | 91,634.4 | 103,805.5 |
| Short-term debt | | 774.9 | 1,054.6 | 5,464.6 | 4,811.6 | 4,635.2 | 6,413.1 |
| Long-term debt | | 3,642.7 | 1,343.1 | 2,784.0 | 7,001.3 | 7,078.5 | 5,097.0 |
| Liabilities related to separate accounts | | 96,312.2 | 88,714.5 | 95,588.1 | 88,849.4 | 73,928.0 | 100,565.6 |
| Total ING U.S., Inc. shareholder s equity, | | | | | | | |
| excluding AOCI ⁽³⁾ | | 10,209.0 | 9,758.9 | 5,857.5 | 2,310.0 | 372.7 | 6,140.2 |
| Total ING U.S., Inc. shareholder s equity | | 13,910.5 | 12,353.9 | 6,830.8 | 967.1 | (3,517.3) | 6,259.9 |

⁽¹⁾ Represents the impairment of goodwill related to the acquisition of CitiStreet.

(2) Represents amounts related to our ownership and disposition of the Taiwanese life insurance business, which was owned by ING U.S., Inc. but managed by an affiliate. The sale of the business was announced in October 2008, recorded at fair value as of December 31, 2008 and classified as Discontinued operations. The transaction closed on February 11, 2009.

(3) Shareholder s equity, excluding AOCI, is derived by subtracting Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) from ING U.S., Inc. shareholder s equity both components of which are presented in the respective Consolidated Balance Sheets. For a description of AOCI, see the Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) note to the Consolidated Financial Statements. We provide shareholder s equity, excluding AOCI, because it is a common measure used by insurance analysts and investment professionals in their evaluations.

-67-

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND FINANCIAL CONDITION

The following discussion and analysis of our results of operations and financial condition should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. In addition to historical data, this discussion contains forward-looking statements about our business, operations and financial performance based on current expectations that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Actual results may differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors. See Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.

Overview

We provide our principal products and services in three ongoing businesses Retirement Solutions, Investment Management and Insurance Solutions and report our results for these ongoing businesses through five segments.

The Retirement Solutions business provides its products and services through two segments: Retirement and Annuities:

Our *Retirement* segment provides tax-deferred, employer-sponsored retirement savings plans and administrative services in corporate, education, healthcare and government markets. Our Retirement segment also provides rollover IRAs and other retail financial products as well as comprehensive financial advisory services to individual customers. Our retirement products and services are distributed through multiple intermediary channels, including TPAs, independent and national wirehouse affiliated brokers and registered investment advisors, in addition to independent sales agents and consulting firms. We also have a direct sales team for large defined contribution plans and stable value business, as well as a team of affiliated brokers who sell our products both in person and via telephone.

Our *Annuities* segment provides fixed and indexed annuities, tax-qualified mutual fund custodial products and payout annuities for pre-retirement wealth accumulation and post-retirement income management. Annuity products are primarily distributed by independent marketing organizations, independent broker-dealers, banks, independent insurance agents, pension professionals and affiliated broker-dealers.

The Investment Management business provides its products and services through a single segment, also called Investment Management:

Our *Investment Management* business provides investment products and retirement solutions to both individual and institutional customers by offering domestic and international fixed income, equity, multi-asset and alternative products and solutions across a range of geographies, market sectors, investment styles and capitalization spectrums. Investment Management products and services are primarily marketed to institutional clients, including public, corporate and union retirement plans, endowments and foundations and insurance companies, as well as individual investors and the general accounts of our insurance company subsidiaries. Investment Management products and services are distributed through a combination of our direct sales force, consultant channel and intermediary partners (such as banks, broker-dealers and independent financial advisers).

The Insurance Solutions business provides its products and services through two segments: Individual Life and Employee Benefits:

Our *Individual Life* segment provides wealth protection and transfer opportunities through universal, variable and term life products. Our customers range across a variety of age groups and income levels. We distribute our product offering through three main channels: our independent sales channel, our strategic distribution channel and our specialty markets channel. Our independent sales channel consists of a large network of independent general agents and marketing companies who interact with

the majority of licensed independent life insurance agents in the United States. Our strategic distribution channel encompasses a network of independent managing directors who support a large team of producers who engage with our broker dealers to sell a range of products including our branded life, annuity and mutual funds. Finally, our specialty markets channel focuses on alternative distribution and consists of a large team of producers, in addition to banks, life insurance quote agencies and internet direct marketers.

Our *Employee Benefits* segment provides group life, stop loss, disability and voluntary employee-paid products to mid-sized and large businesses. We reinsure substantially all of our new disability sales to a third-party. To distribute our products, we utilize brokers, consultants and third party administrators. In the voluntary market, policies are marketed to employees at the worksite through enrollment firms, technology partners and brokers.

In addition to our ongoing business, we also have Closed Blocks and Corporate reporting segments. Corporate includes our corporate operations and corporate level assets and financial obligations. The Corporate segment includes investment income on assets backing surplus in excess of amounts held at the segment level, financing and interest expenses, other items not allocated to segments, such as certain expenses and liabilities of employee benefit plans and intercompany eliminations.

Closed Blocks consists of three separate reporting segments that include run-off and legacy business lines that are no longer being actively marketed or sold and that we manage to minimize capital risk as they run-off. The Closed Block Variable Annuity segment consists of variable annuity contracts that were designed to offer long-term savings products in which individual contract owners made deposits that are maintained in separate accounts. These products included options for policyholders to purchase living benefit riders. In 2009, we separated our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment from our other operations, placing it in run-off, and made a strategic decision to stop actively writing new retail variable annuity products with substantial guarantee features (the last policies were issued in 2010 and the block shifted to run-off). The Closed Block Institutional Spread Products segment historically issued GICs and funding agreements and invested amounts raised to earn a spread. While the business in the Closed Block Institutional Spread Products segment is being managed in active run-off, we continue to issue liabilities from time to time to replace liabilities that are maturing. The Closed Block Other segment consists primarily of retained and run-off activity related to divestments, including our group reinsurance and individual reinsurance businesses, three broker dealers and Life Insurance Company of Georgia. Closed Block Other also includes certain unreimbursed expenses related to ING Group s Latin America business, which was sold in December 2011. Accordingly, these segments have been classified as closed blocks and are managed separately from our ongoing business.

Trends and Uncertainties

The following factors represent some of the key trends and uncertainties that have influenced the development of our business and our historical financial performance and that we believe will continue to influence our business and financial performance in the future.

Market Conditions

The recent increase in market volatility, which we believe may continue for some time, has affected and may continue to affect our business and financial performance in varying ways. In the short to medium-term, this increased volatility, coupled with prevailing low interest rates, can pressure sales and reduce demand as consumers hesitate to make financial decisions. In addition, this environment makes it difficult to manufacture products that are both attractive to customers and profitable. In the long-term, however, we believe the recent financial crisis and resultant lingering uncertainty will motivate individuals to seek solutions combining elements of capital preservation, income and growth. Thus, as a company with strong retirement, investment management and insurance capabilities, we believe current market conditions may ultimately enhance the attractiveness of our broad

-69-

portfolio of products and services. We will need to continue to monitor the behavior of our customers, as evidenced by mortality rates, morbidity rates, annuitization rates and lapse rates, which adjusts in response to changes in market conditions in order to ensure that our products and services remain attractive as well as profitable.

The Impact of our Closed Block Variable Annuity Segment on GAAP Earnings

Our ongoing management of our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment is focused on preserving our current capitalization status through careful risk management and hedging. Because GAAP accounting differs from the methods used to determine regulatory and rating agency capital measures, our hedge programs may create earnings volatility in our GAAP financial statements.

Governmental and Public Policy Impact on Demand for Our Products

The demand for our products is influenced by a dynamic combination of governmental and public policy factors. We anticipate that legislative and other governmental activity and our ability to flexibly respond to changes resulting from such activity will be crucial to our long-term financial performance. In particular, the demand for our products is influenced by the following factors:

Availability and quality of public retirement solutions: The lack of comprehensive or sufficient government-sponsored retirement solutions has been a significant driver of the popularity of private sector retirement products. We believe that concerns regarding Social Security and the reduced enrollment in defined benefit retirement plans may further increase the demand for private sector retirement solutions. The impact of any legislative actions or new government programs relating to retirement solutions on our business and financial performance will depend substantially on the level of private sector involvement and our ability to participate in any such programs. We believe we are well positioned to take advantage of any future developments involving participation in any such programs by private sector providers.

Tax-advantaged status: Many of the retirement savings, accumulation and protection products we sell qualify for tax-advantaged status. Changes in U.S. tax laws that alter the tax benefits of certain investment vehicles could have a material effect on demand for our products.

Aging of the U.S. Population

We believe that the aging of the U.S. population will affect both the demand for our products and the levels of our AUM and AUA. As the baby boomer generation prepares for retirement, we believe that demand for retirement savings, growth and income products will grow. The impact of this growth may be offset to some extent by asset outflows as an increasing percentage of the population begins withdrawing assets to convert their savings into income.

Competition

Our ongoing business operates in highly competitive markets. We face a variety of large and small industry participants, including diversified financial institutions, investment managers and insurance companies. These companies compete in one form or another for the growing pool of retirement assets driven by a number of exogenous factors such as the continued aging of the U.S. population and the reduction in safety nets provided by governments and corporations. In many segments, product differentiation is difficult as product development and life cycles have shortened. In addition, we have experienced pressure on fees as product unbundling and lower cost alternatives have emerged. As a result, scale and the ability to provide value-added services and build long-term relationships are important factors to compete effectively. We believe that our leading presence in the retirement market and resulting relationships with millions of participants, diverse range of capabilities (as a provider of retirement, investment management and insurance products and services) and broad distribution network uniquely position us to effectively serve consumers increasing demand for retirement savings, income and protection solutions.

Operating Measures

This management s discussion and analysis includes discussion of operating income (loss) before income taxes and operating revenues, each of which is a measure that is not determined in accordance with GAAP, because our management uses these measures to manage our businesses and allocate our resources. We also discuss these measures generally because we believe that they provide our investors with useful information regarding our financial performance. In particular, these measures facilitate a comparison of period-to-period results without the effect of the volatility created by certain changes in the financial markets that affect our financial results as reported under GAAP. Other companies may use similarly titled non-GAAP financial measures that are calculated differently from the way we calculate such measures, and accordingly, our non-GAAP financial measures may not be comparable to similar measures used by other companies.

We also discuss certain operating measures, described below, which provide useful information about our businesses and the operational factors underlying our financial performance.

Operating Income (Loss) before Income Taxes

Operating income (loss) before income taxes is an internal measure we use to evaluate segment performance. Operating income (loss) before income taxes does not replace net income (loss) as the GAAP measure of the consolidated results of operations and consists of operating revenues less operating benefits and expenses. Each segment s operating income (loss) before income taxes is calculated by adjusting income (loss) before income taxes for the following items:

Net investment gains (losses), net of related amortization of DAC, VOBA, sales inducements and unearned revenue. Net investment gains (losses) include gains (losses) on the sale of securities, impairments, changes in the fair value of investments using the fair value option (FVO) unrelated to the implied loan-backed security income recognition for certain mortgage-backed obligations and changes in the fair value of derivative instruments, excluding realized gains (losses) associated with swap settlements and accrued interest;

Net guaranteed benefit hedging gains (losses), which include changes in the fair value of derivatives related to guaranteed benefits, net of related reserve increases (decreases) and net of related amortization of DAC, VOBA and sales inducements, less the estimated cost of these benefits. The estimated cost, which is reflected in operating results, reflects the expected cost of these benefits if markets perform in line with our long-term expectations and includes the cost of hedging. All other derivative and reserve changes related to guaranteed benefits are excluded from operating results, including the impacts related to changes in our nonperformance spread;

Income (loss) related to business exited through reinsurance or divestment;

Income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests;

Income (loss) related to early extinguishment of debt;

Impairment of goodwill, value of management contract rights and value of customer relationships acquired;

Immediate recognition of net actuarial gains (losses) related to our pension and other post-employment benefit obligations and gains (losses) from plan amendments and curtailments; and

Edgar Filing: ING U.S., Inc. - Form S-1/A

Other items, including restructuring expenses (severance, lease write-offs, etc.), integration expenses related to our acquisition of CitiStreet and certain third-party expenses related to the anticipated Divestment Transaction.

Our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment is managed to focus on protecting regulatory and rating agency capital rather than achieving operating metrics and, therefore, its results of operations are not reflected within

operating income (loss) before income taxes. When we present the adjustments to Income (loss) before income taxes on a consolidated basis, each adjustment excludes the relative portions attributable to our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment.

The most directly comparable GAAP measure to operating income (loss) before income taxes is income (loss) before income taxes. For a reconciliation of operating income (loss) before income taxes to income (loss) before income taxes, see Results of Operations Company Consolidated below.

Operating Revenues

Operating revenues is a measure of our segment revenues. We calculate operating revenues by adjusting each segment s revenue for the following items:

Net realized investment gains (losses) and related charges and adjustments, which include gains (losses) on the sale of securities, impairments, changes in the fair value of investments using the FVO unrelated to the implied loan-backed security income recognition for certain mortgage-backed obligations and changes in the fair value of derivative instruments, excluding realized gains (losses) associated with swap settlements and accrued interest. These items are net of related amortization of unearned revenue;

Loss on change in fair value of derivatives related to guaranteed benefits, which include changes in the fair value of derivatives related to guaranteed benefits, less the estimated cost of these benefits. The estimated cost, which is reflected in operating results, reflects the expected cost of these benefits if markets perform in line with our long-term expectations and includes the cost of hedging. All other derivative and reserve changes related to guaranteed benefits are excluded from operating revenues, including the impacts related to changes in our nonperformance spread;

Revenues related to businesses exited through reinsurance or divestment;

Revenues attributable to noncontrolling interests;

Other adjustments to operating revenues primarily reflect fee income earned by our broker dealers for sales of non-proprietary products, which are reflected net of commission expense in our segments operating revenues.

Operating revenues also excludes the revenues of our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment, since this segment is managed to focus on protecting regulatory reserves and rating agency capital rather than achieving operating metrics. When we present the adjustments to Total revenues on a consolidated basis, each adjustment excludes the relative portions attributable to our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment.

The most directly comparable GAAP measure to operating revenues is total revenues. For a reconciliation of operating revenue to total revenues, see Results of Operations Company Consolidated below.

AUM and AUA

A substantial portion of our fees, other charges and margins are based on AUM. AUM represents on-balance sheet assets supporting customer account values/liabilities and surplus as well as off-balance sheet institutional/mutual funds. Customer account values reflect the amount of policyholder equity that has accumulated within retirement, annuity and universal life products. AUM includes general account assets managed by our Investment Management segment in which we bear the investment risk, separate account assets in which the contract owner bears the investment risk and institutional/mutual funds, which are excluded from our balance sheet. AUM-based revenues increase or decrease with a rise or fall in the amount of AUM, whether caused by changes in capital markets or by net flows.

AUM is principally affected by net deposits (i.e., new deposits, less surrenders and other outflows) and investment performance (i.e., interest credited to contract owner accounts for assets that earn a fixed return or

-72-

market performance for assets that earn a variable return). Separate account AUM and institutional/mutual fund AUM include assets managed by our Investment Management segment, as well as assets managed by third-party investment managers. Our Investment Management segment reflects the revenues earned for managing affiliated assets for our other segments (based on arm s length agreements) as well as assets managed for third parties. Our consolidated AUM includes eliminations of AUM managed by our Investment Management segment that is also reflected in other segments AUM and adjustments for AUM not reflected in any segments.

AUA represents accumulated assets on contracts pursuant to which we either provide administrative services or product guarantees for assets managed by third parties. These contracts are not insurance contracts and the assets are excluded from the Consolidated Financial Statements. Fees earned on AUA can be based on the number of participants, asset levels and/or the level of services or product guarantees that are provided.

Sales Statistics

In our discussion of our segment results under Results of Operations Segment by Segment, we sometimes refer to sales activity for various products. The term sales is used differently for different products, as described more fully below. These sales statistics do not correspond to revenues under GAAP and are used by us as operating measures underlying our financial performance.

Net flows are deposits less redemptions (including benefits and other product charges).

Sales for Individual Life products are based on a calculation of weighted average annual premiums (WAP). *Sales* for Employee Benefits products are based on a calculation of annual premiums, which represents regular premiums on new policies, plus a portion of new single premiums.

Weighted average annual premiums (WAP) is defined as the amount of premium for a policy s first year that is eligible for the highest first year commission rate, plus a varying portion of any premium in excess of this base amount, depending on the product. WAP is a key measure of recent sales performance of our products and is an indicator of the general growth or decline in certain lines of business. WAP is not equal to premium revenue under GAAP. Renewal premiums on existing policies are included in GAAP premium revenue in addition to first year premiums and thus changes in persistency of existing in-force business can potentially offset growth from current year sales.

Total gross premiums and deposits are defined as premium revenue and deposits for policies written and assumed. This measure provides information as to growth and persistency trends related to premium and deposits.

Other Measures

Total annualized in-force premiums are defined as a full year of premium at the rate in effect at the end of the period. This measure provides information as to the growth and persistency trends in premium revenue.

Interest adjusted loss ratios are defined as the ratio of benefits expense to premium revenue exclusive of the discount component in the change in benefit reserve. This measure reports the loss ratio related to mortality on life products and morbidity on health products.

In-force face amount is defined as the total life insurance coverage in effect as of the end of the period presented for business written and assumed. This measure provides information as to changes in policy growth and persistency with respect to death benefit coverage.

In-force policy count is defined as the number of policies written and assumed with coverage in effect as of the end of the period. This measure provides information as to policy growth and persistency.

New business policy count (paid) is defined as the number of policies issued during the period for which initial premiums have been paid by the policyholder. This measure provides information as to policy growth from sales during the period.

Results of Operations Company Consolidated

The following table presents summary consolidated financial information for the periods indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | September 30, Year Ended Decem | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|----------------|------------|--|
| Revenues: | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Net investment income | \$ 3,642.5 | \$ 3,756.4 | \$ 4,968.8 | \$ 4,987.0 | \$ 5,568.6 | |
| Fee income | 2.624.8 | 2,721.0 | 3.603.6 | 3.516.5 | 3,325.1 | |
| Premiums | 1,389.9 | 1,320.6 | 1,770.0 | 1,707.5 | 1,985.5 | |
| Net realized capital gains (losses) | (896.6) | 308.0 | (1,531.4) | (1,678.0) | (2,178.7) | |
| Other revenue | 286.7 | 319.6 | 428.2 | 547.0 | 947.8 | |
| Income (loss) related to consolidated investment entities: | 200.7 | 517.0 | 120.2 | 51710 | 217.0 | |
| Net investment income (loss) | 435.5 | 621.6 | 528.4 | 316.0 | (284.1) | |
| Changes in fair value related to collateralized loan obligations | (71.3) | (189.0) | (48.8) | (121.8) | (2011) | |
| | | | | | | |
| Total revenues | 7,411.5 | 8,858.2 | 9,718.8 | 9,274.2 | 9,364.2 | |
| | 7,111.0 | 0,000.2 | >,,10.0 | >,271.2 | ,501.2 | |
| Benefits and expenses: | | | | | | |
| Interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders | 3,636.3 | 4,397.2 | 5,742.0 | 5,027.3 | 5,629.9 | |
| Operating expenses | 2,330.9 | 2,132.2 | 3,030.8 | 3,033.5 | 3,352.2 | |
| Net amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and value of | , | , | , | , | , | |
| business acquired | 539.9 | 404.6 | 387.0 | 746.6 | 1,052.3 | |
| Interest expense | 109.0 | 108.8 | 139.3 | 332.5 | 385.5 | |
| Operating expenses related to consolidated investment entities: | | | | | | |
| Interest expense | 74.0 | 47.1 | 68.4 | 49.8 | | |
| Other expense | 7.3 | 57.9 | 73.5 | 46.7 | 52.9 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Total benefits and expenses | 6,697.4 | 7,147.8 | 9,441.0 | 9,236.4 | 10,472.8 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 714.1 | 1,710.4 | 277.8 | 37.8 | (1,108.6) | |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | (4.0) | (115.1) | 175.0 | 171.0 | (298.0) | |
| | | | | | (| |
| Net income (loss) | 718.1 | 1,825.5 | 102.8 | (133.2) | (810.6) | |
| Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest | 222.4 | 123.0 | 190.9 | (10.3) | (207.4) | |
| | | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) available to the Company s common shareholder | \$ 495.7 | \$ 1,702.5 | \$ (88.1) | \$ (122.9) | \$ (603.2) | |
| The mean (1055) available to the company is common shareholder | φ τ/3./ | ψ1,702.5 | φ (00.1) | ψ (122.9) | φ (003.2 | |

-74-

The following table presents AUM and AUA as of the dates indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | As of Sept 2012 | ember 30, 2011 | 2011 | As of December 31, 2010 | 2009 |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| AUM and AUA | | | | | |
| Retirement Solutions: | | | | | |
| Retirement | \$ 302,951.3 | \$ 273,294.6 | \$ 287,726.7 | \$ 290,811.8 | \$ 271,925.4 |
| Annuities | 26,251.9 | 27,961.9 | 27,690.2 | 27,849.3 | 26,368.7 |
| Investment Management | 231,943.6 | 219,175.8 | 225,114.0 | 223,140.9 | 215,459.2 |
| Insurance Solutions: | | | | | |
| Individual Life | 15,274.9 | 14,655.7 | 14,769.8 | 14,846.3 | 14,750.6 |
| Employee Benefits | 1,767.2 | 1,734.9 | 1,741.2 | 1,736.4 | 1,823.7 |
| Eliminations/Other | (170,284.2) | (162,357.7) | (167,939.3) | (168,316.3) | (163,089.1) |
| | | | | | |
| Total Ongoing Business | 407,904.7 | 374,465.2 | 389,102.6 | 390,068.4 | 367,238.5 |
| Closed Blocks: | | | | | |
| Closed Block Variable Annuity | 43,842.2 | 40,845.9 | 42,645.5 | 47,978.0 | 46,644.0 |
| Closed Block Institutional Spread Products | 4,494.2 | 5,745.9 | 5,581.7 | 7,002.4 | 8,715.8 |
| Closed Block Other | 574.2 | 569.6 | 599.6 | 606.5 | 1,289.3 |
| T-t-1 Class d Dissis | 49.010.6 | 47 161 4 | 49.976.9 | 55 596 0 | 56 640 1 |
| Total Closed Blocks | 48,910.6 | 47,161.4 | 48,826.8 | 55,586.9 | 56,649.1 |
| Total AUM and AUA | \$ 456,815.3 | \$ 421,626.6 | \$ 437,929.4 | \$ 445,655.3 | \$ 423,887.6 |
| AUM | \$ 241,532.5 | \$ 222,846.5 | \$ 229,680.4 | \$ 231,381.3 | \$ 220,847.3 |
| AUA | 215,282.8 | 198,780.1 | 208,249.0 | 214,274.0 | 203,040.3 |
| Total AUM and AUA | \$ 456,815.3 | \$ 421,626.6 | \$ 437,929.4 | \$ 445,655.3 | \$ 423,887.6 |
| | | | | | |

-75-

The following table presents the relative contributions of each segment to operating income (loss) before income taxes for the periods indicated, and a reconciliation of operating income (loss) before income taxes to income (loss) before income taxes:

| (\$ in millions) | | nths Ended nber 30, | Year | ver 31, | |
|--|----------|------------------------|------------|----------|--------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Retirement Solutions: | | | | | |
| Retirement | \$ 340.4 | \$ 371.9 | \$ 441.9 | \$ 469.6 | \$ 358.3 |
| Annuities | 95.9 | 187.8 | 387.6 | 115.0 | 48.7 |
| Investment Management | 103.3 | 74.4 | 87.5 | 50.1 | 44.4 |
| Insurance Solutions: | | | | | |
| Individual Life | 141.6 | 240.6 | 279.3 | 313.5 | 301.1 |
| Employee Benefits | 80.8 | 62.3 | 83.3 | 82.0 | 37.2 |
| Total Ongoing Business | 762.0 | 937.0 | 1,279.6 | 1,030.2 | 789.7 |
| | | | | , | |
| Corporate | (138.7) | (134.2) | (230.2) | (399.1) | (470.5) |
| Closed Blocks: | | | | | |
| Closed Block Institutional Spread Products | 41.0 | 68.3 | 83.2 | (3.8) | 1.8 |
| Closed Block Other | 44.9 | (13.2) | (13.0) | (6.7) | 6.9 |
| | | | | | |
| Total Closed Blocks ⁽¹⁾ | 85.9 | 55.1 | 70.2 | (10.5) | 8.7 |
| Total operating income (loss) before income taxes | \$ 709.2 | \$ 857.9 | \$ 1,119.6 | \$ 620.6 | \$ 327.9 |
| Adjustments: | | | | | |
| Closed Block Variable Annuity | (525.0) | 944.6 | (564.5) | (220.2) | (1,864.8) |
| Net investment gains (losses) and related charges and adjustments | 400.8 | 39.9 | 71.8 | (96.4) | 538.0 |
| Net guaranteed benefit hedging gains (losses) and related charges | | | | . , | |
| and adjustments | 113.9 | (178.2) | (269.4) | (30.0) | 186.4 |
| Loss related to businesses exited through reinsurance or divestment | (34.1) | (24.9) | (35.1) | (3.3) | (20.4) |
| Income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests | 222.4 | 123.0 | 190.9 | (10.3) | (207.4) |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | | | | (108.3) | |
| Immediate recognition of net actuarial gains (losses) related to pension | | | | | |
| and other post-employment benefit obligations and gains (losses) from | | | | | |
| plan amendments and curtailments | (108.4) | | (157.8) | (47.5) | 2.6 |
| Other adjustments to operating income | (64.7) | (51.9) | (77.7) | (66.8) | (70.9) |
| | | | | | |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | \$ 714.1 | \$ 1,710.4 | \$ 277.8 | \$ 37.8 | \$ (1,108.6) |

⁽¹⁾ Our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment is managed to focus on protecting regulatory and rating agency capital rather than achieving operating metrics and, therefore, its results of operations are not reflected within operating income (loss) before income taxes.

-76-

The following table presents the relative contributions of each segment to operating revenues for the periods indicated, and a reconciliation of operating revenues to Total revenues:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Mon Septem | | Year | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
|---|--------------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|--|--|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | | |
| Retirement Solutions: | | | | | | | |
| Retirement | \$ 1,701.4 | \$ 1,727.1 | \$ 2,225.4 | \$ 2,179.0 | \$ 2,024.5 | | |
| Annuities | 989.6 | 1,083.1 | 1,401.4 | 1,482.5 | 1,442.7 | | |
| Investment Management | 403.0 | 381.8 | 491.9 | 454.5 | 392.0 | | |
| Insurance Solutions: | | | | | | | |
| Individual Life | 2,099.7 | 2,083.4 | 2,785.0 | 2,613.4 | 2,546.6 | | |
| Employee Benefits | 937.6 | 941.6 | 1,246.2 | 1,277.8 | 1,357.2 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total Ongoing Business | 6,131.3 | 6,217.0 | 8,149.9 | 8,007.2 | 7,763.0 | | |
| Corporate | 46.7 | (31.0) | (13.7) | (132.3) | (73.8) | | |
| Closed Blocks: | | , í | | . , | ~ / | | |
| Closed Block Institutional Spread Products | 103.2 | 149.3 | 188.1 | 167.6 | 308.6 | | |
| Closed Block Other | 29.6 | 42.1 | 52.2 | 64.3 | 88.4 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total Closed Blocks ⁽¹⁾ | 132.8 | 191.4 | 240.3 | 231.9 | 397.0 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total operating revenues | \$ 6,310.8 | \$ 6,377.4 | \$ 8,376.5 | \$ 8,106.8 | \$ 8,086.2 | | |
| Adjustments: | | | | | | | |
| Closed Block Variable Annuity | (138.1) | 2,073.7 | 794.9 | 677.7 | (325.3) | | |
| Net realized investment gains (losses) and related charges and | | | | | | | |
| adjustments | 553.9 | 134.4 | 219.2 | 47.7 | 358.1 | | |
| Loss on change in fair value of derivatives related to guaranteed | | | | | | | |
| benefits | 112.6 | (268.1) | (399.0) | (66.9) | 138.6 | | |
| Revenues related to businesses exited through reinsurance or | | | | | | | |
| divestment | 63.5 | 101.6 | 116.1 | 137.6 | 1,049.4 | | |
| Revenues (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests | 347.8 | 279.7 | 399.1 | 143.2 | (99.7) | | |
| Other adjustments to operating revenues | 161.0 | 159.5 | 212.0 | 228.1 | 156.9 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 7,411.5 | \$ 8,858.2 | \$ 9,718.8 | \$ 9,274.2 | \$ 9,364.2 | | |

⁽¹⁾ Our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment is managed to focus on protecting regulatory and rating agency capital rather than achieving operating metrics and, therefore, its results of operations are not reflected within operating revenues.

-77-

Notable Items

We believe the following table will help investors identify more easily some of the larger causes of changes in our operating income (loss) before income taxes during the periods discussed. The table presents notable items that are included in operating income (loss) before income taxes from the following categories: (1) large gains or losses (e.g., the reserve increase related to use of U.S. Social Security Death Master File (SSDMF)) that are not indicative of performance in the period; (2) significant gains (losses) resulting from transactions to change our capital structure; and (3) items that typically recur but can be volatile from period to period (e.g., DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking). In addition, we included the historic interest expense because interest expense has declined meaningfully over the period given the change in debt. There may be other items not included in the following table that caused increases (decreases) in operating income (loss) before taxes for the periods presented. See the descriptions within the Results of Operations section for a more comprehensive discussion of the causes of changes in operating income (loss) before income taxes.

| | En | Aonths ded | | | 24 |
|--|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|
| (\$ in millions) | Septem 2012 | ber 30, 2011 | Year F 2011 | Ended Decemb 2010 | er 31, 2009 |
| Interest expanse (including interest rate swan settlements) | | | | | |
| Interest expense (including interest rate swap settlements) | \$ (88.6) | \$ (164.5) | \$ (185.7) | \$ (383.5) | \$ (506.3) |
| DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking | (28.3) | 116.6 | 303.8 | 175.8 | 22.8 |
| Loss on sale of certain alternative investments ⁽¹⁾ | (92.0) | | | | |
| Reserve increase related to use of SSDMF | | | (68.9) | | |

⁽¹⁾ See Investments Sale of Certain Alternative Investments for description of certain alternative investments. The following table presents the adjustment to income (loss) before taxes related to total investment gains (losses) and the related net amortization of DAC/VOBA and other intangibles:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Mon Septem | | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|--------------|--|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Other than temporary impairments | \$ (25.8) | \$ (399.4) | \$ (502.7) | \$ (890.8) | \$ (1,618.6) | |
| CMO-B fair value adjustments ⁽¹⁾ | 209.3 | 286.3 | 326.5 | 431.7 | 309.3 | |
| Gains (losses) on the sale of securities | 394.8 | 456.6 | 568.4 | 546.5 | 1,186.1 | |
| Other, including changes in the fair value of derivatives | (14.0) | (148.5) | (119.3) | 37.8 | 277.4 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Total investment gains (losses) | 564.3 | 195.0 | 272.9 | 125.2 | 154.2 | |
| Net amortization of DAC/VOBA and other intangibles on above | (134.4) | (89.6) | (137.6) | (139.0) | 180.1 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Net investment gains (losses), including Closed Block Variable Annuity | \$ 429.9 | \$ 105.4 | \$ 135.3 | \$ (13.8) | \$ 334.3 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Less: Closed Block Variable Annuity net investment gains (losses) and | | | | | | |
| related charges and adjustments | 29.1 | 65.5 | 63.5 | 82.6 | (203.7) | |
| | | | | | | |
| Net investment gains (losses) | \$ 400.8 | \$ 39.9 | \$ 71.8 | \$ (96.4) | \$ 538.0 | |

⁽¹⁾ For a description of our CMO-B portfolio, see Investments CMO-B Portfolio.

The following table presents the adjustment to income (loss) before taxes related to guaranteed benefit hedging gains (losses) net of DAC/VOBA and other intangible amortization. This table excludes Closed Block Variable Annuity.

| | | /Ionths ded | | | |
|---|----------|----------------|------------|--------------|----------|
| (\$ in millions) | Septen | ıber 30, | Year I | Ended Decemb | er 31, |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Gain (loss), excluding nonperformance risk | \$ 143.6 | \$ (341.1) | \$ (377.9) | \$ (264.8) | \$ 513.2 |
| Decrease (increase) due to nonperformance risk | (42.4) | 72.9 | (21.3) | 197.9 | (285.9) |
| Net gain (loss) prior to related amortization of DAC/VOBA and sales | | | | | |
| inducements | 101.2 | (268.2) | (399.2) | (66.9) | 227.3 |
| Net amortization of DAC/VOBA and sales inducements | 12.7 | 90.0 | 129.8 | 36.9 | (40.9) |
| Net guaranteed benefit hedging gains (losses) and related charges and adjustments | \$ 113.9 | \$ (178.2) | \$ (269.4) | \$ (30.0) | \$ 186.4 |

Terminology Definitions

Net realized capital gains (losses), net realized investment gains (losses) and related charges and adjustments and net guaranteed benefit hedging losses and related charges and adjustments include changes in the fair value of derivatives. Increases in the fair value of derivative assets or decreases in the fair value of derivative liabilities result in gains. Decreases in the fair value of derivative assets or increases in the fair value of derivative sets or increases s

In addition, we have certain products that contain guarantees that are embedded derivatives related to guaranteed benefits, while other products contain such guarantees that are considered derivatives (collectively guaranteed benefit derivatives).

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

Net Income (Loss)

Net investment income decreased \$113.9 million from \$3,756.4 million to \$3,642.5 million, primarily due to a \$91.9 million loss related to an agreement to sell certain private equity limited partnership investments interest holdings (sale of certain alternative investments) (see table below). The transaction is discussed below under Investments Sale of Certain Alternative Investments. Further decreases were due to a decline in average assets in our Closed Block Institutional Spread Products segment and due to lapses in Multi-Year Guarantee Annuities (MYGAs). Certain MYGAs, mostly sold in 2002, will reach the end of their current guarantee period in 2012. Most of these MYGAs have high crediting rates and the supporting assets generate returns below the targets set when the contracts were issued, negatively impacting returns in our Annuities segment. During the current year, approximately \$2.7 billion of the MYGAs reached the end of their current guarantee period, and approximately 67% of those policies up for renewal lapsed. The high lapse rate was expected as renewal crediting rates offered are lower than the credited rates during the initial term. The run-off of these MYGA contracts is expected to enhance the margin of our Annuities segment in future periods. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in assets in our Retirement segment driven by positive net flows, including customer transfers from variable separate accounts.

-79-

The following table presents the net loss on the sale of certain alternative investments as reflected in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and as included in the segment *Operating income (loss) before income taxes*:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 | |
|--|---|-----------------------|
| Net investment income (loss) | \$ | (97.5) |
| Income (loss) related to consolidated investment entities Net investment income (loss) | | 28.6 |
| Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest | | (23.0) |
| Net loss available to ING U.S., Inc. s common shareholder | \$ | (91.9) |
| Retirement | \$ | (48.1) |
| Annuities | | (18.0) |
| Investment Management | | 2.2 |
| Individual Life | | (13.1) |
| Employee Benefits | | (5.1) |
| Closed Block Institutional Spread Products | | (8.0) |
| Closed Block Other | | (1.9) |
| Net loss included in segment operating income (loss) before income taxes | \$ | (92.0) ⁽¹⁾ |

⁽¹⁾ Amount does not include net gain for the Closed Block Variable Annuity segment of \$0.1 million.

Fee income decreased \$96.2 million from \$2,721.0 million to \$2,624.8 million, primarily due to a decline in average AUM in the Closed Block Variable Annuity segment and lower fee income in our Retirement segment due to a reduction in recordkeeping fees as a result of an increase in terminated recordkeeping contracts.

Premiums increased \$69.3 million from \$1,320.6 million to \$1,389.9 million, primarily due to growth in our Individual Life segment.

Net realized capital losses changed \$1,204.6 million from a gain of \$308.0 million to a loss of \$896.6 million, primarily due to changes in fair value of guaranteed benefit derivatives due to nonperformance risk, changes in gains/losses on derivatives from the Closed Block Variable Annuity segment liability hedges, and losses on the CHO program. Changes in the fair value of guaranteed benefit derivatives in the Retirement, Annuities and Closed Block Variable Annuity segments due to nonperformance risk resulted in a decrease in income of \$816.0 million. The changes in derivative gains (losses) from the Closed Block Variable Annuity segment liability hedges reduced income by \$3,067.7 million. This decrease was driven by significant gains in 2011 due to equity market and interest rate decreases during that period compared to significant losses in 2012 due to the equity market increase during that period. In addition, the CHO program resulted in a decrease to income of \$411.3 million due to losses in 2012 as a result of the equity market increase in 2011. The hedge program in the Closed Block Variable Annuity segment focuses on protecting regulatory reserves and rating agency capital rather than mitigating earnings volatility and, as a result, the losses in 2012 are only partially offset by a \$2,092.7 million increase in income from changes in the gain/loss on guaranteed benefit derivatives, excluding nonperformance risk, primarily related to certain Stabilizer contracts in our Retirement segment. The gains in 2012 on guaranteed benefit derivatives excluding nonperformance risk, primarily due to a reduction in expected future guaranteed interest rates on certain Stabilizer contracts, compared to losses in 2011 due to declining interest rates.

Other revenue decreased \$32.9 million from \$319.6 million to \$286.7 million due to changes in contractual amounts paid to/from retirement plan customers upon surrender, lower surrender fees on the Individual Life segment as we experienced higher persistency with the in-force block, lower surrender fees on the Closed Block Variable Annuity segment as that business declined and a reduction in the deferred gain amortization on the divested group reinsurance business.

Interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders decreased \$760.9 million from \$4,397.2 million to \$3,636.3 million, primarily due to an increase in reserves in the Closed Block Variable Annuity segment in the prior year due to the significant decrease in the equity market in that period, and a reduction in interest credited due to declining contract owner account balances for the Closed Block Institutional Spread Products segment and declining reserves for MYGAs. A reduction in average crediting rates across several product lines also contributed to the decrease. These reductions were partially offset by reserve changes and claim experience in our Individual Life segment due to a combination of growth in the business and adverse mortality results, net of reinsurance and reserve changes. Growth in general account assets in our Retirement segment also contributed to an increase.

Operating expenses increased \$198.7 million from \$2,132.2 million to \$2,330.9 million, primarily due to a \$108.4 million net loss from remeasurement of our Retirement Plan s assets and obligations, and a curtailment gain related to the employees transitioned to Cognizant Technology Solutions U.S. Corporation (Cognizant) on August 16, 2012. See Business Employees for more details. A remeasurement is normally performed only in the fourth quarter, but was required in third quarter of 2012 due to the curtailment. The remeasurement resulted in a loss due to the decrease in discount rate of plan liabilities. Higher LOC costs related to the contingent capital LOC for our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment and for our Individual Life segment, a reduction in incentive compensation expense in 2011 that did not recur in 2012, and an increase in expenses in our Individual Life segment due to growth in the business also contributed to the increase. Partially offsetting these increases was a \$22.0 million reimbursement of expenses by ING Group in 2012. These expenses were paid in 2011 by ING U.S., Inc. on behalf of ING Group s Latin America business. In the current year, operating expenses included \$15.1 million of previously unreimbursed expenses. Lower expenses in our Retirement and Investment Management business due to a reduction in recordkeeping cases and lower incentive compensation, respectively, also contributed to the decrease.

Net amortization of DAC/VOBA increased \$135.3 million from \$404.6 million to \$539.9 million. The increase is primarily related to favorable unlocking in 2011 and unfavorable unlocking in 2012, primarily in our Annuities segment, due to higher than expected gross profits in 2011 and prospective assumption changes in 2012, respectively.

Income (loss) before income taxes decreased \$996.3 million from \$1,710.4 million to \$714.1 million, primarily due to \$816.0 million in changes in the fair value of guaranteed benefit derivatives related to nonperformance risk, increased losses of \$411.3 million related to the CHO program, and the \$91.9 million loss on the sale of certain alternative investments. Adverse mortality and reserve changes in our Individual Life segment and unfavorable changes in DAC and VOBA and other intangibles unlocking also contributed to the decrease. These decreases were partially offset by a \$373.6 million decrease in OTTI, higher assets and margins in our Retirement segment, improved spreads in our Annuities segment, and favorable claim results in our Employee Benefit segment.

Income tax expense (benefit) for the current year was (\$4.0) million. We anticipate an effective tax rate of approximately 0%, as the tax expense (benefit) on net income (loss) before income taxes should be offset by increases/decreases in valuation allowances. The income tax expense (benefit) for the prior year was (\$115.1) million, which is lower than the tax at the statutory rate, primarily as a result of the release of valuation allowances due to positive income before income taxes.

-81-

Operating Income (Loss) before Income Taxes

Operating income before income taxes decreased \$148.7 million from \$857.9 million to \$709.2 million, primarily due to unfavorable DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking in the current year of \$28.3 million compared to favorable unlocking in 2011 of \$116.6 million, the \$92.0 million loss in the current year related to the sale of certain alternative investments, lower level of investment income on alternative assets compared to the prior year, and adverse mortality and reserve changes in our Individual Life segment. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in assets in our Retirement segment, improved investment margins in our Annuities segment and improved claim results in our Employee Benefits segment.

Adjustments from Income (Loss) before Income Taxes to Operating Income (Loss) before Income Taxes

Closed Block Variable Annuity is discussed in Results of Operations Segment by Segment Closed Block Variable Annuity.

Net investment gains increased \$360.9 million from \$39.9 million to \$400.8 million, primarily due to a \$373.6 million reduction in OTTI, partially offset by a reduction in gains on CMO-B fair value adjustments and gains on sales of securities.

Net guaranteed benefit hedging gains (losses) and related charges and adjustments changed by \$292.1 million from a loss of \$178.2 million to a gain of \$113.9 million. Excluding nonperformance risk, we incurred a \$341.1 million loss in 2011 primarily due to the decrease in interest rates during the prior year, compared to a gain of \$143.6 million in the current year, primarily due to a reduction in expected future guaranteed interest rates in certain Stabilizer contracts in our Retirement segment. This favorable impact was partially offset by a decrease in the fair value of guaranteed benefits related to nonperformance risk from a \$72.9 million gain in 2011 to a \$42.4 million loss in 2012. DAC/VOBA amortization related to the respective gain (loss) accounted for the remaining \$77.3 million change.

Losses related to businesses exited through reinsurance or divestment increased \$9.2 million from \$24.9 million to \$34.1 million primarily due to a reduction in the amortization of a deferred gain on the group reinsurance business that was divested at the end of 2009, partially offset by higher LOC costs on the individual reinsurance business that was divested in prior years but where we remained responsible for a portion of the LOC costs.

Immediate recognition of net actuarial gains (losses) related to pension and other post-employment benefit obligations and losses from plan adjustments and curtailments was (\$108.4) million in the current year due to the remeasurement loss resulting from the revaluation of our Retirement Plan s assets and obligations, partially offset by a curtailment gain related to the employees transitioned to Cognizant on August 16, 2012. The remeasurement, which was required due to the curtailment, resulted in a loss due primarily to the decrease in discount rate of plan liabilities since the last remeasurement date in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Other adjustments to operating income increased \$12.8 million from (\$51.9) million to (\$64.7) million due to increased expenses related to the anticipated Divestment Transaction.

Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010

Net Income (Loss)

Net investment income decreased \$18.2 million from \$4,987.0 million to \$4,968.8 million due to a decline in assets in our Closed Block Institutional Spread Products segment and lower earned rates driven by the low interest rate environment. This decline was partially offset by an increase in assets in our Retirement segment, which was driven by positive net flows, including customer transfers from variable separate accounts and the favorable impact of reinvesting short-term investments into longer duration fixed income securities.

Fee income increased \$87.1 million from \$3,516.5 million to \$3,603.6 million primarily due to growth in our Retirement full service products, as well as our Investment Management and Individual Life segments due to a combination of strong sales and an improvement in the equity market, partially offset by a reduction in large Retirement recordkeeping cases resulting from terminated contracts and the continuing run-off of the Closed Block Other segment.

Premiums increased \$62.5 million from \$1,707.5 million to \$1,770.0 million primarily due to growth in our Individual Life segment, partially offset by decreases in Employee Benefits due to competitor pricing actions and sales of immediate annuities with life contingencies in our Annuities segment.

Net realized capital losses decreased \$146.6 million from \$1,678.0 million to \$1,531.4 million primarily due to a reduction of \$388.1 million in OTTI, partially offset by a \$242.5 million increase in net derivative losses as follows. Net gains on derivatives increased \$1,662.1 million from a loss of \$1,243.5 million to a gain of \$418.6 million. Our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment was the largest driver of this variance. Our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment reported a net gain of \$945.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to a net loss of \$908.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. Losses on equity derivative contracts were \$513.5 million lower due to the relative equity market movements in each year and changes in notional amounts. Gains on interest rate derivative contracts were \$1,331.8 million higher in 2011 primarily due to decreasing interest rates and changes in notional amounts. These gains were largely offset by losses on guaranteed benefit derivatives, which increased \$1,872.4 million from 2010 to 2011, primarily in Closed Block Variable Annuity, but also in our Retirement Solutions business (stable value products and fixed indexed annuities (FIAs)).

Other revenue decreased \$118.8 million from \$547.0 million to \$428.2 million primarily due to the reduction in the amortization of a deferred gain on the divested group reinsurance business caused by the continuing run-off of the business and the divestment of three broker dealers in early 2010.

Interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders increased \$714.7 million from \$5,027.3 million to \$5,742.0 million primarily due to an increase in reserves for the Closed Block Variable Annuity segment, which was largely due to updating lapse and other policyholder behavior assumptions in the fourth quarter of 2011. We increased these reserves in the fourth quarter of 2011 after a comprehensive review of our assumptions relating to lapses, mortality, annuitization of income benefits and utilization of withdrawal benefits. We review overall policyholder experience annually, or more frequently if necessary. The review in 2011 included an analysis of a larger body of actual experience than was previously available, including a longer period with low equity markets and interest rates, which we believe provided greater insight into anticipated policyholder behavior for contracts that are in the money. Unfavorable claims experience in the Individual Life segment, an incurred-but-not-reported reduction in 2010 and an increase of \$68.9 million in 2011 related to our use of the SSDMF to accrue for unfiled death claims also contributed to the increase. These increases were partially offset by a reduction in credited rates, a decrease in Employee Benefits reserves resulting from lower premiums, declining contract account balances in the Closed Block Institutional Spread Products segment and a decline in sales of immediate annuities with life contingencies in our Annuities segment.

Operating expenses decreased \$2.7 million from \$3,033.5 million to \$3,030.8 million. Significant expense decreased due to restructuring initiatives, a reduction in incentive compensation expense, the divestment of three broker dealers in early 2010 and the continuing run-off of our Closed Block Other segment were entirely offset by a \$110.3 million increase in the portion of our pension expense that is related to the immediate recognition of actuarial losses due primarily to changes in interest rates.

Net amortization of DAC/VOBA decreased \$359.6 million from \$746.6 million to \$387.0 million due to favorable unlocking in 2011, which was primarily due to prospective assumption changes related to investment margins, which caused favorable unlocking in our Annuities segment, primarily on our FIAs. In 2011, our investment margins were better than expected on FIAs despite the low interest rate environment due to lower

-83-

costs of credited rates. Thus, we changed our estimates of the costs of future credited rates to reflect the anticipated increased margins. Unlocking was minimal in 2010 with unfavorable unlocking in our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment due to loss recognition being offset by favorable unlocking in our Retirement segment.

Interest expense decreased \$193.2 million from \$332.5 million to \$139.3 million primarily due to the conversion of \$4.0 billion of debt to equity in 2011.

Income before income taxes increased \$240.0 million from \$37.8 million to \$277.8 million primarily due to growth in our ongoing business, reduction in impairments, reduction in interest cost and favorable DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking, partially offset by an increase in reserves for our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment.

Income tax expense (benefit) for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$77.8 million greater than the tax at the statutory rate primarily due to an increase in the valuation allowance of \$175.0 million, the tax impact of non-deductible expenses of \$32.0 million, offset by the \$74.0 million favorable impact of the dividends received deduction and \$67.0 million of favorable impact from net income noncontrolling interests. The increase in the valuation allowance was due primarily to continued tax losses, the benefit of which is uncertain. The income tax expense (benefit) for 2010 was \$157.8 million greater than the tax at the statutory rate primarily due to an increase in the valuation allowance of \$547.0 million and the \$38.0 million tax effect of a loss from early extinguishment of debt. These increases in tax expense were partially offset by \$312.0 million release of tax liabilities related to settlement of IRS examinations and the \$108.0 million favorable impact of the dividends received deduction. The increase in the valuation allowance was primarily due to continued tax losses, the benefit of which is uncertain.

Operating Income (Loss) before Income Taxes

Operating income before income taxes increased \$499.0 million from \$620.6 million to \$1,119.6 million primarily due to growth in our ongoing business, improved investment margins (investment income less credited interest), expense reduction initiatives, reduction in interest expense as a result of an aggregate \$4.0 billion of debt to equity conversion during 2011. Furthermore, favorable DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking was \$303.8 million in 2011 compared to a favorable impact of \$175.8 million in 2010.

Adjustments from Income (Loss) before Income Taxes to Operating Income before Taxes

Closed Block Variable Annuity is discussed in Results of Operations Segment by Segment Closed Block Variable Annuity.

Net investment gains (losses) and related charges and adjustments increased \$168.2 million from a loss of \$96.4 million to a gain of \$71.8 million due to reductions in impairments, partially offset by lower realized trading gains net of applicable and lower derivative fair value adjustments and fair value adjustments on our CMO-B portfolio and DAC/VOBA amortization.

Net guaranteed benefit hedging gains (losses) and related charges and adjustments increased \$239.4 million from \$30.0 million to \$269.4 million due to guaranteed benefit derivative losses in our Retirement and Annuities segments driven by low interest rates and an unfavorable change in fair value due to nonperformance risk of \$21.3 million in 2011 compared to a favorable change in fair value due to nonperformance risk of \$21.3 million in 2011 compared to a favorable change in fair value due to nonperformance risk in 2010 of \$197.9 million excluding the impacts of DAC/VOBA and other intangibles. The guaranteed benefit derivatives on Retirement s stable value products decreased from a gain of \$9.0 million in 2010 to a loss of \$212.5 million in 2011, while the guaranteed benefit derivatives in our fixed indexed annuity products increased from a loss of \$75.9 million in 2010 to a loss of \$186.6 million in 2011, net of hedging gains (losses).

-84-

Losses related to businesses exited through reinsurance or divestment increased \$31.8 million from \$3.3 million to \$35.1 million primarily due to a reduction in the deferred gain amortization on the divested group reinsurance business.

Other adjustments to operating income changed (\$10.9) million from (\$66.8) million to (\$77.7) million due to increased third-party expenses related to the anticipated Divestment Transaction.

Losses related to early extinguishment of debt was \$108.3 million due to a \$3.0 billion debt to equity conversion in 2010.

Immediate recognition of net actuarial gains (losses) related to pension and other post-employment benefit obligations and gains (losses) from plan amendments and curtailments changed \$110.3 million from a loss of \$47.5 million to a loss of \$157.8 million due primarily to changes in interest rates.

Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009

Net Income (Loss)

Net investment income decreased \$581.6 million from \$5,568.6 million to \$4,987.0 million primarily due to the run-off of assets in our Closed Block Institutional Spread Products segment, the divestment of the group reinsurance business and lower earned rates due to a combination of changes in asset mix to reduce risk in the portfolio and the impacts related to the low interest rate environment. These reductions were partially offset by an increase in assets in our Retirement and Annuities segments.

Fee income increased \$191.4 million from \$3,325.1 million to \$3,516.5 million primarily due to higher AUM in the Closed Block Variable Annuity, Retirement and Investment Management segments. The increase in AUM was primarily driven by an improvement in the equity markets in 2010 compared to 2009. These increases were partially offset by a reduction in large Retirement recordkeeping cases due to terminated contracts and the continuing run-off of the Closed Block Other segment.

Premiums decreased \$278.0 million from \$1,985.5 million to \$1,707.5 million due to the divestment of the group reinsurance business and a significant reduction in Employee Benefits premiums, primarily related to the reinsurance of long-term disability business written after September 1, 2009. These decreases were partially offset by growth in the sale of term life products in our Individual Life segment and an increase in sales of annuities with life contingencies in our Annuities segment.

Net realized capital losses decreased \$500.7 million from \$2,178.7 million to \$1,678.0 million primarily due to lower OTTI of \$727.8 million driven by the improved economic and interest rate environment, offset by a \$639.6 million decrease in trading gains. Trading gains in 2009 included gains of \$844.0 million associated with assets in the Alt-A Back-up Facility transaction described further in Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Alt-A Back-up Facility . An OTTI loss of \$889.5 million was recorded on these assets in 2008 since we did not have the intent to hold the assets until full recovery. In addition, we experienced lower losses on derivatives of \$693.1 million, consisting of \$1,814.8 million in derivatives, (\$1,448.9) million in guaranteed benefit derivatives, and \$327.2 million on embedded derivatives on fixed income instruments. Our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment was the largest driver of this \$1,814.8 million change. Our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment was the largest driver of this \$1,814.8 million change. Our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment reported a net loss on derivatives of \$908.7 million for 2010 compared to a net loss on derivatives of \$2,717.4 million for 2009. Equity contracts accounted for \$996.8 million of the Closed Block Variable Annuity losses in 2010 and \$2,621.4 million in 2009, offset by gains on interest rate contracts, which accounted for \$103.3 million in 2010 and losses of \$86.3 million in 2009. Gains (losses) on guaranteed benefit derivatives changed by (\$1,448.9) million (from a gain of \$1,376.2 million to a loss of \$72.7 million).

Other revenue decreased \$400.8 million from \$947.8 million to \$547.0 million primarily due to the divestment of three broker dealers in early 2010.

Interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders decreased \$602.6 million from \$5,629.9 million to \$5,027.3 million due to the divestment of the group reinsurance business, a smaller increase in reserves for our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment compared to 2009, the run-off of our Closed Block Institutional Spread Products segment, improved Employee Benefits disability claim development in 2010 compared to 2009, reinsurance of long-term disability business written after September 1, 2009 and a reduction in average credited rates in our Retirement and Annuities segments. These decreases were partially offset by growth in our Individual Life segment and an increase in sales of annuities with life contingencies.

Operating expenses decreased \$318.7 million from \$3,352.2 million to \$3,033.5 million due to the divestment of three broker dealers and the group reinsurance business, the continuing run-off of our Closed Block Other segment and a decline in commission expense in our Employee Benefits segment due to a decline in premiums. These decreases were partially offset by higher commissions due to the increase in AUM and mutual fund sales, costs of restructuring within the Retirement segment that resulted in a significant reduction in headcount in the fourth quarter of 2010, an increase in pension expense related to the immediate recognition of actuarial losses primarily due to changes in interest rates, differences in incentive compensation and retention expenses between 2009 and 2010 and growth in our Individual Life segment.

Net amortization of DAC/VOBA decreased \$305.7 million from \$1,052.3 million to \$746.6 million primarily due to a smaller DAC/VOBA write-down in our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment. Both years reflected charges primarily related to loss recognition. Sharp declines in equity markets in the first quarter of 2009 and the second quarter of 2010 caused a portion of our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment DAC/VOBA to become unrecoverable from the present value of expected future gross profits. The write-down related to unlocking/loss recognition in the second quarter of 2010 was \$158.6 million compared to \$423.8 million in the first quarter of 2009.

Interest expense decreased \$53.0 million from \$385.5 million to \$332.5 million primarily due to the conversion of \$3.0 billion of debt to equity in 2010, reflecting the reduction in interest expense net of prepayment fees.

Income (loss) before income taxes increased \$1,146.4 million from a loss of \$1,108.6 million to income of \$37.8 million due to a reduction in investment losses, a smaller loss recognition in Closed Block Variable Annuity segment, an increase in fee income due to improved equity markets, lower interest expense, improved disability claim development in 2010 compared to 2009 and growth in our ongoing business.

Income tax expense (benefit) for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$157.8 million greater than the tax at the statutory rate as described above. The income tax expense (benefit) for the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$90.0 million less than the benefit at the statutory rate primarily due to the establishment of \$90.0 million for valuation allowance for net operating losses, the benefit of which is uncertain. All other items were allocated to Other comprehensive income in accordance with the exception described in ASC Topic 740-20-45-7.

Operating Income (Loss) before Income Taxes

Operating income before income taxes increased \$292.7 million from \$327.9 million to \$620.6 million primarily due to improving equity markets, which increased fee income and investment returns on alternative investments, a reduction in interest expense and favorable DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking in our Retirement and Individual Life segments.

Adjustments from Income (Loss) before Income Taxes to Operating Income before Taxes

Closed Block Variable Annuity is discussed in Results of Operations Segment by Segment Closed Block Variable Annuity.

-86-

Net investment gains (losses) and related charges and adjustments decreased \$634.4 million from a gain of \$538.0 million to a loss of \$96.4 million due to reduction in gains on the sale of securities and were partially offset by a reduction in impairments.

Net guaranteed benefit hedging gains (losses) and related charges and adjustments decreased \$216.4 million from a gain of \$186.4 million to a loss of \$30.0 million. Excluding the impacts of nonperformance risk, guaranteed benefit derivative losses of \$264.8 million in our Retirement and Annuities segments were driven by low interest rates during 2010 compared with \$513.2 million in gains in 2009. These were somewhat offset by \$483.8 million in favorable changes in fair value due to the impacts of nonperformance risk (gains of \$197.9 million in 2010 compared to losses of \$285.9 million in 2009).

Losses related to businesses exited through reinsurance or divestment decreased \$17.1 million from \$20.4 million to \$3.3 million primarily due to the deferred gain amortization on the group reinsurance business that was divested at the end of 2009, partially offset by higher LOC costs on the individual reinsurance business that was divested in prior years, but where we remain responsible for a portion of the LOC costs.

Other adjustments to operating income changed (\$4.1) million from (\$70.9) million to (\$66.8) million due to reduction in projects related to the CitiStreet integration, which was acquired in 2008.

Losses related to early extinguishment of debt was \$108.3 million in 2010 due to the difference in the book value versus market value of \$3.0 billion of debt that was converted to equity in 2010. There was no similar conversion in 2009.

Immediate recognition of net actuarial gains (losses) related to pension and other post-employment benefit obligations and gains (losses) from plan adjustments and curtailments increased \$50.1 million from a gain of \$2.6 million to a loss of \$47.5 million due primarily to changes in interest rates.

Results of Operations Ongoing Business

We consider the Retirement, Annuities, Investment Management, Individual Life, and Employee Benefits segments as our ongoing business. The following table presents operating income before income taxes of our ongoing business for the periods presented:

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------|----|-------|-------------------------|------------|----------|
| (\$ in millions) | 2012 | | | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Operating income (loss) before income taxes ⁽¹⁾ | \$ | 762.0 | \$ | 937.0 | \$ 1,279.6 | \$ 1,030.2 | \$ 789.7 |

⁽¹⁾ For a reconciliation of Operating income (loss) before income taxes to Income (loss) before income taxes, see the table presented in Results of Operations Company Consolidated.

We analyze our ongoing business performance based on the sources/drivers of profitability. We believe this supplemental information is useful in order to gain a better understanding of our operating income (loss) before income taxes for the following reasons: (1) we analyze our business using this information and (2) this presentation can be helpful for investors to understand the main drivers of operating income (loss) before income taxes of our ongoing business. The sources/drivers of profitability are defined as such:

Investment spread and other investment income consists of net investment income and net realized investment gains (losses) associated with swap settlements and accrued interest, less interest credited to policyholder reserves.

Fee based margin consists primarily of fees earned on AUM, AUA and transaction based recordkeeping fees.

Net underwriting gain (loss) and other revenue contains the following: the difference between fees charged for insurance risks and incurred benefits, including mortality, morbidity and surrender results, contractual charges for universal life and annuity contracts, the change in the unearned revenue reserve (URR) for universal life contracts and that portion of traditional life insurance premiums intended to cover expenses and profits. Certain contract charges for universal life insurance are not recognized in income immediately, but are deferred as unearned revenues and are amortized into income in a manner similar to the amortization of DAC.

Administrative expenses are operating expenses, net of amounts capitalized as acquisition expenses.

Trail commissions are commissions paid that are not deferred and thus recorded directly to expense.

For a detail explanation of DAC/VOBA and other intangibles amortization/unlocking see Unlocking of DAC/VOBA and other Contract Owner/Policyholder Intangibles.

The following table presents a supplemental presentation of operating income (loss) before income taxes for our ongoing business based on sources / drivers of profitability:

| | | onths Ended ember 30, | Ye | Year Ended December | | |
|--|------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------|--|
| (\$ in millions) | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Sources of Operating Income (Loss) before Income | | | | | | |
| Taxes: | | | | | | |
| Investment spread and other investment income | \$ 1,086.6 | \$ 1,129. | 0 \$ 1,341.6 | \$ 1,334.3 | \$ 1,033.0 | |
| Fee based margin | 987.5 | 983. | 1 1,298.0 | 1,247.4 | 1,190.6 | |
| Net underwriting gain (loss) and other revenue | 572.7 | 629. | 0 825.4 | 859.2 | 909.6 | |
| Administrative expenses | (1,247.2) | (1,272. | 0) (1,691.9) | (1,748.9) | (1,597.0) | |
| Trail commissions | (185.7) | (173. | 3) (232.6) | (230.8) | (212.1) | |
| DAC/VOBA and other intangibles amortization, excluding | | | | | | |
| unlocking | (423.6) | (475. | 4) (564.7) | (606.8) | (557.2) | |
| DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking | (28.3) | 116. | 6 303.8 | 175.8 | 22.8 | |
| - 0 | | | | | | |
| Operating income (loss) before income taxes | \$ 762.0 | \$ 937. | 0 \$ 1,279.6 | \$ 1,030.2 | \$ 789.7 | |

The following table presents certain notable items that resulted in volatility in operating income (loss) before income taxes:

| | Nine Months Ended | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|----|-------|----------------------|----------|---------|--|--|
| | September 30, | | | Year Ended December, | | | | |
| (\$ in millions) | 2012 | | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | | |
| DAC/VOBA, and other intangibles unlocking | \$ (28.3) | \$ | 116.6 | \$ 303.8 | \$ 175.8 | \$ 22.8 | | |
| Loss on sale of alternative investments | (82.1) | | | | | | | |

Ongoing Business Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

Operating income before income taxes decreased \$175.0 million from \$937.0 million to \$762.0 million primarily due to unfavorable DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking in the current year of \$28.3 million compared to favorable unlocking in the prior year of \$116.6 million, the loss of \$82.1 million in the current year related to the sale of certain alternative investments, lower level of investment income on alternative assets

compared to the prior year, and adverse mortality and reserve changes in our Individual Life segment. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in assets and margins in our Retirement segment, improved investment margins in our Annuities segment and improved claim results in our Employee Benefits segment. See Results of Operations Segment by Segment.

Ongoing Business Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010

Operating income before income taxes increased \$249.4 million from \$1,030.2 million to \$1,279.6 million primarily due to higher favorable DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking, expense reduction initiatives, and an increase in fee income and premiums. Favorable DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking was \$303.8 million in 2011 compared to a favorable impact of \$175.8 million in 2010. Higher revenues resulted from in-force growth in our Individual Life segment as well as an increase in AUM in our Investment Management segment. See Results of Operations Segment by Segment.

Ongoing Business Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009

Operating income (loss) before income taxes increased \$240.5 million from \$789.7 million to \$1,030.2 million primarily due to improving equity markets, which increased fee income and investment returns on alternative investments and favorable DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking in our Retirement and Individual Life segments. Offsetting these items was an increase in operating expenses primarily due to higher expenses in our Retirement segment resulting from the transfer of the wholesale distribution force in early 2010 from our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment, higher incentive compensation and retention expenses in 2010, and growth in our Individual Life segment. See Results of Operations Segment by Segment.

Results of Operations Segment by Segment

Retirement Solutions Retirement

The following table presents operating income before income taxes of our Retirement segment for the periods indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine | e Months End | led Sep | tember 30, | Year | Ended Decembe | 10 2009 05.2 \$ 1,304.4 | | |
|---|------|--------------|---------|------------|------------|---------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| | | 2012 | • | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | | |
| Operating revenues: | | | | | | | | | |
| Net investment income and net realized gains (losses) | \$ | 1,119.8 | \$ | 1,123.0 | \$ 1,435.9 | \$ 1,405.2 | \$ 1,304.4 | | |
| Fee income | | 532.3 | | 543.8 | 713.5 | 711.4 | 657.0 | | |
| Premiums | | 4.0 | | 7.9 | 8.1 | 3.0 | 2.4 | | |
| Other revenue | | 45.3 | | 52.4 | 67.9 | 59.4 | 60.7 | | |
| Total operating revenues | | 1,701.4 | | 1,727.1 | 2,225.4 | 2,179.0 | 2,024.5 | | |
| Operating benefits and expenses: | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest credited and other benefits to contract | | | | | | | | | |
| owners/policyholders | | 629.3 | | 613.0 | 826.2 | 797.9 | 781.9 | | |
| Operating expenses | | 620.3 | | 630.4 | 844.5 | 900.3 | 821.8 | | |
| Net amortization of DAC/VOBA | | 110.3 | | 110.5 | 111.1 | 9.2 | 60.4 | | |
| Interest expense | | 1.1 | | 1.3 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.1 | | |
| Total operating benefits and expenses | | 1,361.0 | | 1,355.2 | 1,783.5 | 1,709.4 | 1,666.2 | | |
| Operating income (loss) before income taxes | \$ | 340.4 | \$ | 371.9 | \$ 441.9 | \$ 469.6 | \$ 358.3 | | |

The following table presents a supplemental presentation of operating income (loss) before income taxes based on the sources/drivers of profitability:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Months Ende | ed September 30, 2011 | Yea1 2011 | Year Ended December 31, 2011 2010 | | |
|--|------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|----------|--|
| Sources of Operating Income (Loss) Before Income | 2012 | | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Taxes: | | | | | | |
| Investment spread and other investment income | \$ 504.4 | \$ 534.0 | \$ 638.0 | \$ 627.7 | \$ 509.1 | |
| Fee based margin | 578.0 | 580.3 | 762.6 | 757.1 | 714.7 | |
| Net underwriting gain (loss) and other revenue | (13.5) | (2.0) | (6.4) | 0.5 | 4.6 | |
| Administrative expenses | (524.7) | (537.4) | (716.3) | (782.9) | (709.1) | |
| Trail commissions | (85.0) | (85.0) | (115.4) | (111.5) | (98.1) | |
| DAC/VOBA and other intangibles amortization, | | | | | | |
| excluding unlocking | (133.5) | (133.8) | (164.8) | (181.7) | (130.1) | |
| DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking | 14.7 | 15.8 | 44.2 | 160.4 | 67.2 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Operating income (loss) before income taxes | \$ 340.4 | \$ 371.9 | \$ 441.9 | \$ 469.6 | \$ 358.3 | |

The following table presents certain notable items that resulted in volatility in operating income (loss) before income taxes:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | Year | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--------|----|------|-------------------------|----------|---------|
| | | 2012 | 2 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking | \$ | 14.7 | \$ | 15.8 | \$44.2 | \$ 160.4 | \$ 67.2 |
| Loss on sale of certain alternative investments | | (48.1) | | | | | |

The following tables present AUM and AUA for our Retirement segment at the dates indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | As of Sept | , | | As of December 31, | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | | | |
| Corporate market | \$ 32,609.4 | \$ 27,127.3 | \$ 29,134.4 | \$ 29,486.0 | \$ 26,749.5 | | | |
| Tax exempt market | 46,226.6 | 40,628.4 | 42,691.3 | 43,221.9 | 39,942.7 | | | |
| Total full service plans | 78,836.0 | 67,755.7 | 71,825.7 | 72,707.9 | 66,692.2 | | | |
| Stable value ⁽¹⁾ | 6,473.1 | 4,758.0 | 5,560.9 | 1,987.7 | 810.0 | | | |
| Individual Markets | 2,359.4 | 2,000.8 | 2,091.1 | 1,842.2 | 1,382.9 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Total AUM | 87,668.5 | 74,514.5 | 79,477.7 | 76,537.8 | 68,885.1 | | | |
| AUA | 215,282.8 | 198,780.1 | 208,249.0 | 214,274.0 | 203,040.3 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Total AUM and AUA | \$ 302,951.3 | \$ 273,294.6 | \$ 287,726.7 | \$ 290,811.8 | \$ 271,925.4 | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Consists of assets where we are the investment manager.

| (\$ in millions) | As of Sept | ember 30, | As of December 31, | | | |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| General Account | \$ 26,634.6 | \$ 24,970.7 | \$ 25,528.3 | \$ 23,588.1 | \$ 22,755.4 | |
| Separate Account | 47,905.2 | 39,845.4 | 42,920.8 | 43,284.1 | 38,585.0 | |

Edgar Filing: ING U.S., Inc. - Form S-1/A

| Mutual Funds/Institutional Funds | 13,128.7 | 9,698.4 | 11,028.6 | 9,665.6 | 7,544.7 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| AUA | 215,282.8 | 198,780.1 | 208,249.0 | 214,274.0 | 203,040.3 |
| Total AUM and AUA | \$ 302,951.3 | \$ 273,294.6 | \$ 287,726.7 | \$ 290,811.8 | \$ 271,925.4 |

The following table presents a rollforward of AUM for our Retirement segment for the periods indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Mont Septem | | Year | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|--|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Balance as of beginning of period | \$ 79,477.7 | \$ 76,537.8 | \$ 76,537.8 | \$68,885.1 | \$ 58,273.5 | |
| Deposits | 8,976.1 | 8,682.3 | 11,927.4 | 11,210.9 | 9,597.6 | |
| Surrenders, benefits and product charges | (7,291.7) | (6,964.9) | (8,926.4) | (9,765.5) | (8,740.0) | |
| | | | | | | |
| Net flows | 1,684.4 | 1,717.4 | 3,001.0 | 1,445.4 | 857.6 | |
| Interest credited and investment performance | 6,506.4 | (3,740.7) | (61.1) | 6,207.3 | 9,754.0 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Balance as of end of period | \$ 87,668.5 | \$ 74,514.5 | \$ 79,477.7 | \$ 76,537.8 | \$ 68,885.1 | |

Retirement Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

Operating revenues

Net investment income and net realized gains (losses) decreased \$3.2 million from \$1,123.0 million to \$1,119.8 million primarily due to the \$48.1 million loss on the sale of certain alternative investments. We also reduced the fair value of our investments in Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC) which had an unfavorable impact of \$4.6 million. These losses were partially offset by an increase in investment income of general account assets. General account assets increased from \$25.0 billion to \$26.6 billion in the current year compared to the same period in the prior year. The volatility in the equity market during the second half of 2011 resulted in participants transferring funds from variable investment options into the fixed investment option, which contributed to an increase in average general account assets.

Fee income decreased \$11.5 million from \$543.8 million to \$532.3 million. The decrease in fee income was primarily due to a reduction in recordkeeping fees as a result of an increase in terminated contracts. The decrease was partially offset by an increase in fees earned on full service retirement plans due to positive equity market performance.

Premiums decreased \$3.9 million from \$7.9 million to \$4.0 million primarily due to a decline in the issuance of single premium immediate annuities with life contingencies.

Other revenue decreased \$7.1 million from \$52.4 million to \$45.3 million primarily due to a change in contractual amounts paid to/from retirement plan customers upon surrender.

Operating benefits and expenses

Interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders increased \$16.3 million from \$613.0 million to \$629.3 million primarily due to an increase in general account liabilities, which corresponded to the increase in general account assets as described above. The increase was partially offset by a decrease in average credited rates on general account liabilities due to actions taken in January, April and July 2012 to reflect the low interest rate environment.

Operating expenses decreased \$10.1 million from \$630.4 million to \$620.3 million primarily driven by expenses of the recordkeeping business, which were in line with the reduction in revenue.

Operating income (loss) before income taxes was lower for the current year. Lower fee income and lower other revenue combined with the loss on the sale of certain alternative investments and reduction in fair value of investments in LIHTC had an adverse effect on operating income. In addition, higher interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders contributed to the decline as a result of an increase in general account liabilities.

Retirement Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010

Operating revenues

Net investment income and net realized gains (losses) increased \$30.7 million from \$1,405.2 million to \$1,435.9 million primarily due to an increase in account values (\$1.9 billion increase in general account assets as provided in the table above), partially offset by a \$34.1 million decrease in alternative investment income. New sales, customer transfers from variable to fixed investment options in qualified and nonqualified annuity and funding agreement products and positive net flows through improved persistency contributed to the increase in general account assets. Overall yields for the general account, net of investment expense and excluding alternative investment results, remained consistent with 2010 and were approximately 5.7%. The decrease in alternative investment returns reflects the market declines and volatility in 2011.

Fee income increased \$2.1 million from \$711.4 million to \$713.5 million. Increases in full service retirement plan and individual retirement product revenues of \$17.8 million which were driven by net increases in separate account and institutional /mutual fund AUM were offset by a \$17.8 million decrease in recordkeeping fees primarily due to terminated contracts.

Premiums increased \$5.1 million from \$3.0 million to \$8.1 million primarily due to the timing of the sale of immediate annuity products with lifetime contingencies.

Other revenue increased \$8.5 million from \$59.4 million to \$67.9 million primarily due to increases in broker dealer revenue.

Operating benefits and expenses

Interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders increased \$28.3 million from \$797.9 million to \$826.2 million primarily due to a \$1.9 billion increase in general account AUM as provided in the table above. The increase was partially offset by a slight decrease in average credited rates on fixed fund options in qualified and nonqualified annuity and funding agreement products compared to 2010 due to management actions. Most of our fixed fund options contain guaranteed minimum credited rates ranging from 1% to 4%. As of December 31, 2011, approximately 70% of these funds were at the minimum credited rates.

Operating expenses decreased \$55.8 million from \$900.3 million to \$844.5 million primarily driven by a \$33.6 million decrease as a result of a restructuring effort in late 2010, which included the elimination of the wholesale distribution channel. Expenses in the recordkeeping business decreased \$24.7 million commensurate with terminated contracts.

Net amortization of DAC/VOBA increased \$101.9 million from \$9.2 million to \$111.1 million primarily as a result of \$116.2 million of lower favorable DAC unlocking in 2011. The 2011 results include a favorable impact of \$44.2 million compared to a favorable impact of \$160.4 million in 2010 due to unlocking. Favorable unlocking in 2011 was driven by future assumption changes and greater than expected net flows into fixed investment option funds. Favorable unlocking in 2010 was driven by equity market growth above expectations and assumption updates resulting in an increase in future gross profit projections. Excluding the impact from the unlocking of DAC/VOBA, net amortization of DAC/VOBA decreased \$14.3 million due to lower amortization rates resulting from favorable assumption updates.

Operating income (loss) before income taxes

Full-service retirement plan sales growth, together with our emphasis on strengthening net flows and implementing cost reductions, were the primary underlying drivers of improved results, excluding DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking. Favorable net flows of \$3.0 billion in 2011 resulted in higher levels of AUM leading to both additional net investment income (loss) and fee income. The implementation of expense

reduction initiatives resulted in lower operating expenses in 2011 as further distribution efficiencies were realized. However, the drivers of 2011 results were offset by a lower favorable DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking of \$116.2 million compared to 2010 resulting in a decrease in operating income before income taxes.

Retirement Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009

Operating revenues

Net investment income and net realized gains (losses) increased \$100.8 million from \$1,304.4 million to \$1,405.2 million primarily due to \$137.1 million of higher alternative investment income, as the equity markets improved in 2010. This was partially offset by a decline in yields on general account assets as a result of the low interest rate environment. Overall yields on general account assets, net of investment expense and excluding alternative investments were approximately 5.7% in 2010 compared to 5.9% in 2009.

Fee income increased \$54.4 million from \$657.0 million to \$711.4 million primarily due to a \$76.8 million increase in fee revenue associated with full service retirement plans and individual retirement products. This was driven by higher average separate account and institutional/mutual fund AUM due to improved equity market performance. This increase was partially offset by a \$23.9 million decrease in recordkeeping fees primarily due to an increase in terminated contracts.

Operating benefits and expenses

Interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders increased \$16.0 million from \$781.9 million to \$797.9 million due to an increase in account values (\$0.8 billion in general account AUM as provided in the table above) along with higher premium and interest bonuses paid on accounts. The increase was partially offset by a slight decrease in average credited rates on fixed fund options in qualified and nonqualified annuity and funding agreement products compared to 2009 due to management actions.

Operating expenses increased \$78.5 million from \$821.8 million to \$900.3 million due to the transfer of the wholesale distribution force in early 2010 from our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment to support sales of the individual retirement rollover products and non-deferrable project spending to improve the then current infrastructure and prepare for anticipated future growth. Subsequently, the individual retirement product business was restructured in late 2010, which resulted in a significant reduction in headcount in the fourth quarter of 2010 and a reduction in the expense run rate heading into 2011. The remaining increase relates to a \$13.6 million increase in AUM-based commissions driven by higher AUM levels.

Net amortization of DAC/VOBA decreased \$51.2 million from \$60.4 million to \$9.2 million primarily due to \$93.2 million in favorable unlocking. The 2010 results included a favorable unlocking impact of \$160.4 million compared to \$67.2 million in 2009. Favorable unlocking in both 2010 and 2009 was driven by higher than expected equity market appreciation, as well as assumption updates resulting in an increase in future gross profit projections. Excluding the impact from the unlocking of DAC/VOBA, net amortization of DAC/VOBA increased \$42.0 million due primarily to a higher level of gross profits in 2010.

Operating income (loss) before income taxes

Markets continued their recovery into 2010, laying the groundwork for improvement in operating income. The higher equity market levels in 2010 compared to early 2009 contributed to higher favorable DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking and improved AUM-based fee income. The increase in operating income also reflected better net investment income, as returns on alternative investments improved.

-93-

Retirement Solutions Annuities

The following table presents operating income before income taxes of the Annuities segment for the periods indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | | nths Ended nber 30, | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
|---|----------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|--|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Operating revenues: | | | | | | |
| Net investment income and net realized gains (losses) | \$ 924.9 | \$ 1,018.9 | \$ 1,321.9 | \$ 1,369.4 | \$ 1,381.8 | |
| Fee income | 25.1 | 22.4 | 29.8 | 24.1 | 14.9 | |
| Premiums | 29.6 | 27.3 | 34.1 | 67.3 | 34.5 | |
| Other revenue | 10.0 | 14.5 | 15.6 | 21.7 | 11.5 | |
| Total operating revenues | 989.6 | 1,083.1 | 1,401.4 | 1,482.5 | 1,442.7 | |
| Operating benefits and expenses: | | | | | | |
| Interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders | 661.8 | 756.8 | 978.0 | 1,091.9 | 1,100.4 | |
| Operating expenses | 94.4 | 94.8 | 126.7 | 131.0 | 114.4 | |
| Net amortization of DAC/VOBA | 137.1 | 43.2 | (91.5) | 143.9 | 178.4 | |
| Interest expense | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | |
| Total operating benefits and expenses | 893.7 | 895.3 | 1,013.8 | 1,367.5 | 1,394.0 | |
| Operating income (loss) before income taxes | \$ 95.9 | \$ 187.8 | \$ 387.6 | \$ 115.0 | \$ 48.7 | |

The following table presents a supplemental presentation of operating income (loss) before income taxes based on the sources /drivers of profitability:

| (\$ in millions) | Septen | nths Ended nber 30, | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
|--|----------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|--|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Sources of Operating Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes: | | | | | | |
| Investment spread and other investment income | \$ 320.0 | \$ 299.3 | \$ 362.8 | \$ 367.8 | \$ 330.9 | |
| Fee based margin | 26.3 | 22.1 | 30.2 | 18.9 | 18.0 | |
| Net underwriting gain (loss) and other revenue | 9.2 | 17.4 | 19.6 | 27.1 | 17.6 | |
| Administrative expenses | (68.4) | (76.3) | (104.1) | (101.3) | (103.5) | |
| Trail commissions | (24.5) | (14.5) | (16.2) | (21.1) | (7.8) | |
| DAC/VOBA and other intangibles amortization, excluding unlocking | (124.9) | (148.4) | (170.7) | (166.2) | (212.5) | |
| DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking | (41.8) | 88.2 | 266.0 | (10.2) | 6.0 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Operating income (loss) before income taxes | \$ 95.9 | \$ 187.8 | \$ 387.6 | \$ 115.0 | \$ 48.7 | |

The following table presents certain notable items that resulted in volatility in operating income (loss) before income taxes:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | Year E | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--------|----|--------|-------------------------|-----------|-------|
| | | 2012 | 2 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking | \$ | (41.8) | \$ | 88.2 | \$ 266.0 | \$ (10.2) | \$6.0 |
| Loss on sale of certain alternative investments | | (18.0) | | | | | |

The following table presents AUM for our Annuities segment at the dates indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | As of Sept | tember 30, | A | As of December 31, | | | |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|--|--|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | | |
| AUM | | | | | | | |
| General account | \$ 23,185.7 | \$ 25,694.2 | \$ 25,198.5 | \$ 25,925.0 | \$ 25,302.9 | | |
| Separate account | 774.5 | 688.0 | 730.4 | 835.3 | 805.4 | | |
| Mutual funds | 2,291.7 | 1,579.7 | 1,761.3 | 1,089.0 | 260.4 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total AUM | \$ 26,251.9 | \$ 27,961.9 | \$ 27,690.2 | \$ 27,849.3 | \$ 26,368.7 | | |

The following table presents a rollforward of AUM for our Annuities segment for the periods indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Mon Septem | | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Balance as of beginning of period | \$27,690.2 | \$27,849.3 | \$27,849.3 | \$ 26,368.7 | \$ 25,150.5 | |
| Deposits | 1,798.0 | 2,176.4 | 2,716.8 | 2,855.6 | 3,204.6 | |
| Surrenders, benefits and product charges | (4,134.1) | (2,750.1) | (3,935.1) | (2,897.1) | (3,069.7) | |
| | | | | | | |
| Net flows | (2,336.1) | (573.7) | (1,218.3) | (41.5) | 134.9 | |
| Interest credited and investment performance | 897.8 | 686.3 | 1,059.2 | 1,522.1 | 1,083.3 | |
| - | | | | | | |
| Balance as of end of period | \$ 26,251.9 | \$ 27,961.9 | \$ 27,690.2 | \$ 27,849.3 | \$ 26,368.7 | |

Annuities Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

Operating revenues

Net investment income and net realized gains (losses) decreased \$94.0 million from \$1,018.9 million to \$924.9 million primarily due to lower general account assets, the \$18.0 million loss on sale of certain alternative investments and lower income on alternative investments. General account assets decreased as a result of MYGAs lapsing at the end of their initial terms, largely due to crediting rates that were lower than the crediting rates during their initial term.

Fee income increased \$2.7 million from \$22.4 million to \$25.1 million due to growth in assets of mutual fund products which are sold by the annuity distribution force as an alternative retirement product. The balance of assets increased from \$1.6 billion to \$2.3 billion.

Operating benefits and expenses

Interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders decreased \$95.0 million from \$756.8 million to \$661.8 million. The decrease was primarily due to lapses of MYGAs which resulted in a decrease to average account values. Lower option costs of FIAs and lower reserve charges from life contingent supplemental contracts also contributed to the decrease. The decline in interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders was partially offset by higher amortization on sales inducements.

Net amortization of DAC/VOBA increased \$93.9 million from \$43.2 million to \$137.1 million primarily due to an unfavorable change in unlocking of DAC/VOBA that was partially offset by a lower amortization rate of DAC/VOBA. The unfavorable unlocking of DAC/VOBA in the current year was primarily due to a decrease in projected margins on the MYGA policies. This compared to favorable unlocking in the prior year which resulted from higher than expected gross profits. The favorable change in DAC/VOBA amortization was due to a decrease in the amortization rate partially offset by higher amortization due to higher gross profits in the current year.

Edgar Filing: ING U.S., Inc. - Form S-1/A

-95-

Operating income before income taxes

Operating income before income taxes decreased \$91.9 million from \$187.8 million to \$95.9 million which was primarily driven by changes in DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking and a decline in net investment income, which were partially offset by lower *interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders*.

Annuities Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010

Operating revenues

Net investment income and net realized gains (losses) decreased \$47.5 million from \$1,369.4 million to \$1,321.9 million due to lower yields. The decrease in yield reflects the impact of lower interest rates in 2011.

Fee income increased \$5.7 million from \$24.1 million to \$29.8 million due to growth in assets of mutual fund products, which are sold by the annuity distribution force as an alternative retirement product. Sales of mutual fund products increased from \$859.9 million to \$977.6 million during 2011, or a growth of 13.7%.

Premiums declined by \$33.2 million from \$67.3 million to \$34.1 million due to lower sales of immediate annuities with life contingencies.

Operating benefits and expenses

Interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders decreased \$113.9 million from \$1,091.9 million to \$978.0 million primarily due to a decrease in average crediting rates resulting from contracts with higher rates reaching maturity. The decrease also reflects lower sales of annuities with life contingencies, which results in a decrease in the related reserve associated with those products. In addition, amortization of sales inducements decreased due to an increase in estimated gross profits.

Operating expenses decreased \$4.3 million from \$131.0 million to \$126.7 million due to slightly lower commission expenses in 2011.

Net amortization of DAC/VOBA decreased \$235.4 million from \$143.9 million to (\$91.5) million primarily due to a favorable change in unlocking in 2011 compared to unfavorable unlocking in 2010. The favorable unlocking of DAC/VOBA in 2011 resulted primarily from prospective assumption changes related to investment margins on FIAs, or earned investment income less credited interest. In 2011, our investment margins were better than expected on FIAs despite the low interest rate environment due to lower costs of credited rates. Thus, we changed our estimates of the costs of future credited rates to reflect the anticipated increased margins.

Operating income before income taxes

Operating income before income taxes in 2011 increased \$272.6 million from \$115.0 million to \$387.6 million primarily impacted by increased investment margins as well as updated actuarial assumptions and resulted in favorable unlocking of DAC/VOBA and other intangibles as described above.

Annuities Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009

Operating revenues

Net investment income and net realized gains (losses) decreased \$12.4 million from \$1,381.8 million to \$1,369.4 million primarily due to lower yields reflecting a portfolio restructuring that we conducted in mid-2009 to early 2010 in order to maintain a strong liquidity profile. See Investments Investment Strategy.

Fee income increased \$9.2 million from \$14.9 million to \$24.1 million due to growth in assets of custodial mutual fund products, which the annuity distribution channel sells as an alternative retirement product.

Premiums increased \$32.8 million from \$34.5 million to \$67.3 million due to higher sales of immediate annuities with life contingencies.

Operating benefits and expenses

Interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders decreased \$8.5 million from \$1,100.4 million to \$1,091.9 million primarily due to a decrease in average crediting rates as more contracts were established at lower rates as a result of the low interest rate environment, partially offset by an increase in annuities with life contingencies reserves due to higher sales.

Operating expenses increased \$16.6 million from \$114.4 million to \$131.0 million as a result of higher commissions driven by a growth in sales of custodial mutual fund products as well as higher trail commissions on indexed annuities.

Net amortization of DAC/VOBA decreased \$34.5 million from \$178.4 million to \$143.9 million primarily due to lower amortization rates and lower gross profits.

Operating income before income taxes

Operating income before income taxes increased \$66.3 million from \$48.7 million to \$115.0 million. The increase was primarily driven by lower net amortization of DAC/VOBA, being partially offset by a decrease in investment margins as a result of the portfolio restructuring described above.

Investment Management

The following table presents operating income before income taxes of our Investment Management segment for the periods indicated:

| | Nine N | Aonths | | | |
|---|--|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| (\$ in millions) | EndedYear EndedSeptember 30,December 31,201220112010 | | | 2009 | |
| Operating revenues: | | | | | |
| Net investment income and net realized gains (losses) | \$ 36.0 | \$ 18.1 | \$ 8.8 | \$ 2.2 | \$ (46.4) |
| Fee income | 352.1 | 352.3 | 469.3 | 446.4 | 434.2 |
| Other revenue | 14.9 | 11.4 | 13.8 | 5.9 | 4.2 |
| Total operating revenues | 403.0 | 381.8 | 491.9 | 454.5 | 392.0 |
| Operating benefits and expenses: | | | | | |
| Operating expenses | 299.7 | 307.4 | 404.4 | 404.4 | 347.6 |
| Total operating benefits and expenses | 299.7 | 307.4 | 404.4 | 404.4 | 347.6 |
| Operating income (loss) before income taxes | \$ 103.3 | \$ 74.4 | \$ 87.5 | \$ 50.1 | \$ 44.4 |

The following table presents a supplemental presentation of operating income (loss) before income taxes based on the sources/drivers of profitability:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Mont Septem | | Year l | Year Ended December 31. | | |
|---|---------------------|---------|---------|-------------------------|-----------|--|
| (+) | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Sources of Operating Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes: | | | | | | |
| Investment spread and other investment income | \$ 34.6 | \$ 17.0 | \$ 7.5 | \$ 4.0 | \$ (46.4) | |
| Fee based revenue | 368.4 | 364.8 | 484.4 | 450.5 | 438.4 | |
| Administrative expenses | (299.7) | (307.4) | (404.4) | (404.4) | (347.6) | |
| | | | | | | |
| Operating income (loss) before income taxes | \$ 103.3 | \$ 74.4 | \$ 87.5 | \$ 50.1 | \$ 44.4 | |

The following table presents certain notable items that resulted in volatility in operating income (loss) before income taxes:

| | Nine Months | |
|---|---------------|-------------------------|
| | Ended | |
| (\$ in millions) | September 30, | Year Ended December 31, |
| | 2012 2011 | 2011 2010 2009 |
| Gain on sale of certain alternative investments | \$ 2.2 | |

Our Investment Management operating segment revenues include the following intersegment revenues, primarily consisting of asset-based management and administration fees.

| | Nine N | Ionths | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|--------------|----------|--|--|
| ÷ 2 | Enc | ded | | Year Ended | | | |
| (\$ in millions) | Septem | ber 30, | | December 31, | | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | | |
| Investment Management intersegment revenues | \$ 117.3 | \$ 123.9 | \$ 164.1 | \$ 156.8 | \$ 170.8 | | |

The following table presents AUM and AUA for our Investment Management segment at the dates indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | As of Sept | tember 30, | | As of December 31 | , |
|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| AUM | | | | | |
| Institutional/Retail | | | | | |
| Investment Management sourced | \$ 50,761.4 | \$ 48,352.1 | \$ 49,391.5 | \$ 47,302.6 | \$ 48,602.2 |
| Affiliate sourced ⁽¹⁾ | 46,376.8 | 35,284.4 | 37,851.8 | 33,907.3 | 31,700.3 |
| General account | 80,082.1 | 78,419.7 | 78,878.3 | 77,277.8 | 75,059.8 |
| | | | | | |
| Total AUM | 177,220.3 | 162,056.2 | 166,121.6 | 158,487.7 | 155,362.3 |
| AUA | | | | | |
| Affiliate sourced ⁽²⁾ | 54,723.3 | 57,119.6 | 58,992.4 | 64,653.2 | 60,096.9 |
| | | | | | |
| Total AUM and AUA | \$231,943.6 | \$ 219,175.8 | \$ 225,114.0 | \$ 223,140.9 | \$ 215,459.2 |
| AUA Affiliate sourced ⁽²⁾ | 54,723.3 | 57,119.6 | 58,992.4 | 64,653.2 | 60,09 |

Edgar Filing: ING U.S., Inc. - Form S-1/A

- ⁽¹⁾ Affiliate sourced AUM includes assets sourced by other segments and also reported as AUM by such other segments.
- ⁽²⁾ Affiliate sourced AUA includes assets sourced by other segments and also reported as AUA or AUM by such other segments.

The following table presents institutional and retail net flows for our Investment Management segment for the periods indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | | /Ionths tember 30, | Year | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|-------------------------|--------------|--|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Net Flows | | | | | | |
| Investment Management sourced | \$ 177.6 | \$ 2,189.7 | \$ 2,398.8 | \$ (932.7) | \$ (5,266.8) | |
| Affiliate sourced | 5,185.4 | 2,832.8 | 3,303.5 | (521.8) | (2,532.8) | |
| | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 5,363.0 | \$ 5,022.5 | \$ 5,702.3 | \$ (1,454.5) | \$ (7,799.6) | |

Investment Management Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

Operating revenues

Net investment income and net realized gains (losses) increased \$17.9 million from \$18.1 million to \$36.0 million primarily due to recognizing an accumulation of \$13.3 million of carried interest in the current year and improved performance of funds and partnership investments resulting from improved market conditions.

Other revenue increased \$3.5 million from \$11.4 million to \$14.9 million primarily due to an increase in service fees earned as part of services provided in connection with the sale by ING Group of its ING Direct U.S. business. Partially offsetting the increases were lower levels of mortgage and private placement production fees.

Operating benefits and expenses

Operating expenses decreased \$7.7 million from \$307.4 million to \$299.7 million as a result of lower variable compensation costs.

Operating income before income taxes

The overall increase in operating income of \$28.9 million was primarily driven by recognizing an accumulation of carried interest and lower variable compensation costs.

Investment Management Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010

Operating revenues

Net investment income and net realized gains (losses) increased \$6.6 million from \$2.2 million to \$8.8 million primarily due to improved performance of funds and partnership investments resulting from improved market conditions.

Fee income increased \$22.9 million from \$446.4 million to \$469.3 million primarily due to an increase in AUM resulting in higher management and administrative fees earned. The increase in AUM was also due to the re-assignment of several large mutual fund management contracts to us based on our performance. We previously serviced these contracts and reported the assets as AUA.

Other revenue increased \$7.9 million from \$5.9 million to \$13.8 million primarily due to an increase in production fees from a higher level of mortgage loan and private placement production activity as well as an increase in mortgage loan servicing fees. This was partially offset by a decrease in performance fees compared to 2010.

Operating benefits and expenses

Operating expenses were level with 2010 expenses at \$404.4 million, the result of slightly higher compensation expense offset by cost reductions in other categories.

Operating income before income taxes

The overall increase in operating income in 2011 was primarily driven by an increase in AUM that we managed on behalf of institutions and retail investors. The increase in AUM was the result of higher equity markets as well as the re-assignment of several large mutual fund management contracts, which has resulted in additional fee income to us. We previously serviced these contracts and reported the assets as AUA. Operating expenses remained level with 2010.

Investment Management Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009

Operating revenues

Net investment income and net realized gains (losses) increased \$48.6 million from (\$46.4) million to \$2.2 million primarily due to improved performance of funds, partnership investments and hedging strategies compared to losses reported on these investments in 2009. The primary driver of this increase was related to losses incurred on principal investing activities in 2009 that did not recur in 2010.

Fee income increased \$12.2 million from \$434.2 million to \$446.4 million primarily due to an increase in AUM and AUA, which resulted in a \$30.0 million increase in management and administration fees. This was partially offset by a \$17.8 million decrease in fees earned in connection with our management of general account assets due to asset mix changes which resulted in lower management fees.

Other revenue increased \$1.7 million from \$4.2 million to \$5.9 million primarily due to an increase in production fees from a higher level of mortgage loan and private placement production activity. The increase was also due to an increase in mortgage loan servicing fees which was partially offset by a decrease in performance fees.

Operating benefits and expenses

Operating expenses increased \$56.8 million from \$347.6 million to \$404.4 million primarily due to retention-based revisions affecting certain incentive compensation awards earned beginning in 2009. The increase was due in part to the deferral of \$36.7 million of incentive compensation awards in 2009 and the amortization of these deferrals over a three-year period beginning in 2010.

Operating income before income taxes

The overall increase in operating earnings was driven primarily by an increase in net investment income (loss). The primary driver of this increase was related to losses incurred on principal investing activities in 2009 that did not recur in 2010. The increase in operating earnings was also driven by higher fee income which was the result of an increase in average AUM and AUA during 2010. The increase in revenues was offset by an increase in operating expenses, which was primarily the result of the decision to defer a significant portion of incentive compensation in 2009 and amortize these deferrals over a three-year period beginning in 2010.

-100-

Insurance Solutions Individual Life

The following table presents operating income before income taxes of our Individual Life segment for the periods indicated:

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | | Year ended Decem | ber 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------|----|---------|------------------|----------|----------|
| (\$ in millions) | 20 |)12 | | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Operating revenues: | | | | | | | |
| Net investment income and net realized gains (losses) | \$ | 700.7 | \$ | 730.4 | 950.0 | \$ 942.8 | \$ 932.6 |
| Fee income | | 833.7 | | 847.0 | 1,139.2 | 1,078.1 | 1,097.8 |
| Premiums | | 546.1 | | 480.2 | 660.9 | 539.1 | 448.6 |
| Other revenue | | 19.2 | | 25.8 | 34.9 | 53.4 | 67.6 |
| Total operating revenues | 2, | 099.7 | | 2,083.4 | 2,785.0 | 2,613.4 | 2,546.6 |
| Operating benefits and expenses: | | | | | | | |
| Interest credited and other benefits to contract | | | | | | | |
| owners/policyholders | 1, | 545.3 | | 1,402.0 | 1,855.1 | 1,731.7 | 1,668.2 |
| Operating expenses | | 289.5 | | 245.0 | 332.8 | 325.0 | 299.0 |
| Net amortization of DAC/VOBA | | 114.6 | | 182.1 | 298.9 | 221.2 | 255.6 |
| Interest expense | | 8.7 | | 13.7 | 18.9 | 22.0 | 22.7 |
| Total operating benefits and expenses | 1, | 958.1 | | 1,842.8 | 2,505.7 | 2,299.9 | 2,245.5 |
| Operating income (loss) before income taxes | \$ | 141.6 | \$ | 240.6 | \$ 279.3 | \$ 313.5 | \$ 301.1 |

The following table presents a supplemental presentation of operating income (loss) before income taxes based on the sources/drivers of profitability:

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | Year | ended December 31, | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---------|----|---------|--------------------|----------|----------|--|
| (\$ in millions) | 2012 | | | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Sources of Operating Income (Loss) Before Income | | | | | | | | |
| Taxes: | | | | | | | | |
| Investment spread and other investment income | \$ | 188.9 | \$ | 228.0 | \$ 274.8 | \$ 274.1 | \$ 213.5 | |
| Fee based margin | | 14.8 | | 15.9 | 20.8 | 20.9 | 19.5 | |
| Net underwriting gain (loss) and other revenue | | 349.0 | | 418.5 | 542.3 | 557.7 | 628.7 | |
| Administrative expenses | | (230.4) | | (229.7) | (308.2) | (295.5) | (276.0) | |
| Trail commissions | | (24.4) | | (21.9) | (30.7) | (29.8) | (29.0) | |
| DAC/VOBA and other intangibles amortization, | | | | | | | | |
| excluding unlocking | | (155.1) | | (182.8) | (213.3) | (241.5) | (205.2) | |
| DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking | | (1.2) | | 12.6 | (6.4) | 27.6 | (50.4) | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Operating income (loss) before income taxes | \$ | 141.6 | \$ | 240.6 | \$ 279.3 | \$ 313.5 | \$ 301.1 | |

-101-

The following table presents certain notable items that resulted in volatility in operating income (loss) before income taxes:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | | | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--------|-----|------|----------|-------------------------|-----------|--|--|
| | 2012 \$ (1.2) | | - 2 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | | |
| DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking | \$ | (1.2) | \$ | 12.6 | \$ (6.4) | \$ 27.6 | \$ (50.4) | | |
| Loss on sale of certain alternative investments | | (13.1) | | | | | | | |

The following table presents sales, gross premiums, in-force and policy count for our Individual Life segment for the periods indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | Ni | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | | Ye | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|----|---------------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|--|
| Sales by Product Line | | 2012 | | 2011 | | 2011 | | 2010 | | 2009 | |
| Universal life: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Guaranteed | \$ | 65.0 | \$ | 48.8 | \$ | 68.1 | \$ | 27.2 | \$ | 50.2 | |
| Accumulation | | 19.9 | | 21.0 | | 28.7 | | 36.6 | | 25.8 | |
| Indexed | | 21.7 | | 18.7 | | 28.3 | | 20.7 | | 8.5 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total universal life | | 106.6 | | 88.5 | | 125.1 | | 84.5 | | 84.5 | |
| Variable life | | 4.7 | | 10.6 | | 12.3 | | 11.5 | | 14.3 | |
| Term | | 97.1 | | 119.3 | | 155.5 | | 127.7 | | 147.0 | |
| Total sales by product line | \$ | 208.4 | \$ | 218.4 | \$ | 292.9 | \$ | 223.7 | \$ | 245.8 | |
| Total gross premiums and deposits End of Period: | \$ | 1,785.0 | \$ | 1,561.0 | \$ | 2,140.7 | \$ | 1,912.5 | \$ | 1,898.2 | |
| In-force face amount | \$ | 604,858.3 | \$ | 552,196.1 | \$ | 567,718.1 | \$ | 496,711.7 | \$ 4 | 434,804.9 | |
| In-force policy count | | 1,351,879 | | 1,297,935 | | 1,313,057 | | 1,237,165 | | 1,185,765 | |
| New business policy count (paid) | | 99,465 | | 121,620 | | 156,650 | | 132,856 | | 159,391 | |

Individual Life Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

Operating revenues

Net investment income and net realized gains (losses) decreased \$29.7 million from \$730.4 million to \$700.7 million primarily due to a \$13.1 million loss on the sale of certain alternative investments, lower income on CMO-Bs and lower yields on fixed income investments.

Fee income decreased \$13.3 million from \$847.0 million to \$833.7 million primarily related to the emergence of gross profits for a particular block that caused accelerated unearned revenue amortization in 2011, which was partially offset by higher DAC/VOBA amortization as discussed in Net amortization of DAC/VOBA below and growth in net contractual charges and cost of insurance fees due to higher universal life sales.

Premiums increased \$65.9 million from \$480.2 million to \$546.1 million due to continued growth in renewal premiums for term life business partially offset by lower first year premiums as a result of term sales declining 18%.

Interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders increased \$143.3 million from \$1,402.0 million to \$1,545.3 million primarily due to unfavorable mortality net of reinsurance and an increase in reserves related to the guaranteed universal life block. The universal life block has experienced a higher number of gross claims and reinsurance recoveries on the term block have provided less benefit.

Operating expenses increased \$44.5 million from \$245.0 million to \$289.5 million primarily due to increased LOC fees supporting reinsurance transactions. The higher LOC fees are the results of higher rates and

general growth in LOCs supporting the reinsured block. Also contributing to this increase were higher premium tax assessments as a result of higher collected premiums along with higher overall growth in policy administration and other non-deferrable expenses to support the business growth.

Net amortization of DAC/VOBA decreased \$67.5 million from \$182.1 million to \$114.6 million primarily due to lower amortization resulting from lower gross profits on universal life products and the emergence of gross profits for a particular block that caused accelerated amortization in 2011 offset by higher unearned revenue liability amortization as discussed in Fee Income above and higher amortization on term products as a result of the continued growth of this block of business.

Operating income (loss) before income taxes

Operating income (loss) before income taxes decreased due to unfavorable mortality results net of reinsurance and lower investment income on alternative investments and CMO-Bs, increased reinsurance expenses and favorable unlocking in 2011 that did not repeat in 2012. Partially offsetting these items were lower DAC/VOBA amortization resulting from lower gross profits on universal life products, higher term life renewal premiums and higher net contractual charges and cost of insurance fees due to higher universal life sales.

Individual Life Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010

Operating revenues

Net investment income and net realized gains (losses) increased \$7.2 million from \$942.8 million to \$950.0 million primarily due to higher yields on our CMO-B portfolio and higher alternative investment income, partially offset by lower prepayment fees.

Fee income increased \$61.1 million from \$1,078.1 million to \$1,139.2 million primarily due to a growth in cost of insurance, consistent with in-force growth, and other policyholder charges as a result of strong sales of universal life and term products. Lower lapse rates also helped the in-force block grow on a net basis.

Premiums increased \$121.8 million from \$539.1 million to \$660.9 million due to continued growth in term sales and favorable lapse experience on in-force term policies. Term sales increased \$27.8 million in 2011 primarily due to the distribution strategy targeting more affluent customers. This resulted in higher sales per policy and increased overall sales. In addition, term policies renewed at a higher than expected rate, particularly on policies issued in 2010, and thus provided for higher premiums due to higher persistency.

Other revenue decreased \$18.5 million from \$53.4 million to \$34.9 million primarily as a result of lower surrender fees, as we experienced higher persistency on the in-force block.

Operating benefits and expenses

Interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders increased \$123.4 million from \$1,731.7 million to \$1,855.1 million primarily due to a decrease in recoveries on gross claims on the universal life block, an increase in direct claims on the term block in 2011 and continued growth in the term business. In addition, 2010 results included a favorable reserve development of \$27.4 million associated with certain universal life products. The absence of a similar reserve development in 2011 resulted in lower earnings.

Operating expenses increased \$7.8 million from \$325.0 million to \$332.8 million as a result of an increase in information technology and project-related expenses to support business growth and process efficiency.

Net Amortization of DAC/VOBA increased \$77.7 million from \$221.2 million to \$298.9 million primarily driven by unfavorable DAC/VOBA unlocking largely due to annual assumption changes. Excluding the impact from the unlocking of DAC/VOBA, net amortization of DAC/VOBA increased due to the continued growth in the term life block and the manner in which profits emerged on the universal life block in 2011.

Operating income (loss) before income taxes

Operating income before income taxes declined primarily due to unfavorable DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking in 2011 compared to favorable DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking in 2010. In addition, fee income increased as a result of the growth in universal life sales in 2011. Increases in fee income, due to growth in universal life sales, and premium income, due to term sales, were offset by increases in policyholder benefits on term business and less favorable reserve development on universal life business.

Individual Life Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009

Operating revenues

Net investment income and net realized gains (losses) increased \$10.2 million from \$932.6 million to \$942.8 million primarily due to higher returns on alternative investments, larger returns on our CMO-B portfolio and the related derivative activity and higher prepayment fee income. These increases are partially offset by the impact of lower interest rates and the restructuring of the investment portfolio.

Fee income decreased \$19.7 million from \$1,097.8 million to \$1,078.1 million primarily driven by lower amortization of unearned revenues, due to lower actual gross profits and the impact of unlocking of assumptions used in the estimate of future gross profits.

Premiums increased \$90.5 million from \$448.6 million to \$539.1 million due to growth of the term life block. Sales of term life products were \$127.7 million in 2010 compared to \$147.0 million in 2009. However, the periods prior to 2010 experienced significant growth in term life product sales prior to 2010 and renewals of these products were a key driver of the increase in 2010. We decreased our prices of term life in 2010 in order to strengthen our position in this highly competitive market.

Other revenue decreased \$14.2 million from \$67.6 million to \$53.4 million primarily as a result of lower surrender fees as we experienced higher persistency with the in-force block resulting in lower fees on surrenders.

Operating benefits and expenses

Interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders increased \$63.5 million from \$1,668.2 million to \$1,731.7 million due primarily to a \$90.3 million increase in mortality experience net of reinsurance. The change was primarily due to favorable mortality experience, net of reinsurance, in 2009 that did not repeat in 2010. In addition, growth in term insurance premiums contributed to the increase in reserves.

Operating expenses increased \$26.0 million from \$299.0 million to \$325.0 million due to overall growth in policy administration and other non-deferrable expenses to support the growth in the business.

Net Amortization of DAC/VOBA decreased \$34.4 million from \$255.6 million to \$221.2 million primarily due to favorable unlocking in 2010 as compared to 2009, partially offset by increased amortization on the term life block due to higher premiums as a result of the continued growth of this block.

Operating income (loss) before income taxes

Operating income before income taxes in 2010 was higher primarily due to favorable DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking in 2010 compared to unfavorable DAC/VOBA and other intangible unlocking in 2009. Higher premiums due to strong prior year term sales also contributed to the favorable results. Partially offsetting these favorable impacts was favorable mortality, net of reinsurance and higher surrender fee income in 2009 that did not repeat in 2010. Surrender fees were higher in 2009 due to the financial crisis which generated higher than normal surrender on the universal life block.

Insurance Solutions Employee Benefits

The following table presents operating income before income taxes of the Employee Benefits segment for the periods indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 2011 | | Yea 2011 | ar Ended December 3 2010 | 31, 2009 |
|---|--|----------|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| Operating revenues: | | | | | |
| Net investment income and net realized gains | | | | | |
| (losses) | \$ 87.3 | \$ 100.3 | \$ 124.3 | \$ 128.3 | \$ 95.0 |
| Fee income | 46.6 | 46.5 | 61.8 | 61.0 | 59.6 |
| Premiums | 806.5 | 802.9 | 1,063.4 | 1,091.5 | 1,200.8 |
| Other revenue | (2.8) | (8.1) | (3.3) | (3.0) | 1.8 |
| Total operating revenues | 937.6 | 941.6 | 1,246.2 | 1,277.8 | 1,357.2 |
| Operating benefits and expenses: | | | | | |
| Interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders | 671.2 | 696.5 | 917.7 | 943.5 | 1,067.7 |
| Operating expenses | 175.5 | 172.4 | 229.3 | 232.9 | 242.9 |
| Net amortization of DAC/VOBA | 10.1 | 10.4 | 15.9 | 19.4 | 9.4 |
| Total operating benefits and expenses | 856.8 | 879.3 | 1,162.9 | 1,195.8 | 1,320.0 |
| Operating income (loss) before income taxes | \$ 80.8 | \$ 62.3 | \$ 83.3 | \$ 82.0 | \$ 37.2 |

The following table presents a supplemental presentation of operating income (loss) before income taxes based on the sources / drivers of profitability:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Months Ende | • / | | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|--|------------------|---------|---------|-------------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | | | | |
| Sources of Operating Income (Loss) Before Income | | | | | | | | | |
| Taxes: | | | | | | | | | |
| Investment spread and other investment income | \$ 38.7 | \$ 50.7 | \$ 58.5 | \$ 60.7 | \$ 25.9 | | | | |
| Net underwriting gain (loss) and other revenue | 228.0 | 195.1 | 269.9 | 273.9 | 258.7 | | | | |
| Administrative expenses | (124.0) | (121.2) | (158.9) | (164.8) | (160.8) | | | | |
| Trail commissions | (51.8) | (51.9) | (70.3) | (68.4) | (77.2) | | | | |
| DAC/VOBA and other intangibles amortization, | | | | | | | | | |
| excluding unlocking | (10.1) | (10.4) | (15.9) | (17.4) | (9.4) | | | | |
| DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking | | | | (2.0) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating income (loss) before income taxes | \$ 80.8 | \$ 62.3 | \$ 83.3 | \$ 82.0 | \$ 37.2 | | | | |

The following table presents certain notable items that resulted in volatility operating income (loss) before income taxes:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Months End | led September 30, | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------|------|--|--|--|--|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | | | | |
| DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ (2.0) | \$ | | | | |
| Loss on sale of certain alternative investments | (5.1) | | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: ING U.S., Inc. - Form S-1/A

-105-

The following table presents sales, gross premiums and in-force for our Employee Benefits segment for the periods indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Months Ende | • / | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|--|--|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | | |
| Sales by Product Line | | | | | | | |
| Group life | \$ 45.9 | \$ 30.7 | \$ 36.8 | \$ 41.6 | \$ 48.0 | | |
| Group stop loss | 143.8 | 128.4 | 140.9 | 170.9 | 134.3 | | |
| Other group products | 16.6 | 12.7 | 19.8 | 22.6 | 19.0 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total group products | 206.3 | 171.8 | 197.5 | 235.1 | 201.3 | | |
| Voluntary products | 16.2 | 18.7 | 28.0 | 28.9 | 29.2 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total sales by product line | \$ 222.5 | \$ 190.5 | \$ 225.5 | \$ 264.0 | \$ 230.5 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total gross premiums and deposits | \$ 937.8 | \$ 944.0 | \$ 1,244.6 | \$ 1,278.7 | \$ 1,345.6 | | |
| Total annualized in-force premiums | 1,301.1 | 1,268.0 | 1,259.5 | 1,320.8 | 1,363.7 | | |
| Loss Ratios | | | | | | | |
| Group life (interest adjusted) | 76.5% | 78.6% | 77.5% | 81.0% | 79.0% | | |
| Group stop loss | 74.1% | 83.4% | 82.9% | 83.7% | 80.2% | | |
| Employee Renefits Nine Months Ended Ser | tember 30, 2012 Compared | d to Nine Months F | nded Sentember 3 | 80 2011 | | | |

Employee Benefits Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

Operating revenues

Net investment income and net realized gains (losses) decreased \$13.0 million from \$100.3 million to \$87.3 million primarily due to the \$5.1 million loss on the sale of certain alternative investments, lower investment income on alternative investments and lower yields on fixed income investments.

Premiums increased \$3.6 million from \$802.9 million to \$806.5 million primarily due to higher stop loss premiums resulting from higher sales and in-force blocks. Annualized in-force stop loss premiums increased 4.9% over the prior year. In addition, group life premiums decreased due to a decline in the in-force block driven by low persistency in 2011 adversely impacting 2012.

Other revenue increased \$5.3 million from (\$8.1) million to (\$2.8) million primarily related to negative Other revenue in the prior year relating to ceding voluntary disability business.

Operating benefits and expenses

Interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders decreased \$25.3 million from \$696.5 million to \$671.2 million primarily due to favorable claims experience in our stop loss and group life businesses. This decrease was partially offset by less favorable experience with the run-off block of retained disability products.

Operating expenses increased \$3.1 million from \$172.4 million to \$175.5 million due mainly to slightly higher administrative expenses and higher net commissions due to higher sales and better retention.

Operating income (loss) before income taxes

Growth of the in-force stop loss business and improved loss ratios on stop loss and group life businesses contributed to improved operating income, partially offset by less favorable experience with the run-off block of retained disability products and lower alternative investment income.

Employee Benefits Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010

Operating revenues

Net investment income and net realized gains (losses) decreased \$4.0 million from \$128.3 million to \$124.3 million primarily due to lower invested assets as a result of the decline in size of the group life in-force block.

Premiums decreased \$28.1 million from \$1,091.5 million to \$1,063.4 million primarily due to a 10.6% decline in group life in-force and a 26.3% decline in disability in-force. In addition, reinsured premiums increased due to reinsurance of short-term and voluntary disability business beginning April 1, 2011. The group life in-force decline reflects tighter competitor pricing in the market where we have chosen not to relax our risk and profitability requirements in pricing. The disability in-force decline reflects more selective underwriting and pricing actions by our reinsurer, which is driving higher lapse rates and lower sales. The reinsurance was structured in similar manner to our long-term disability reinsurance program entered into in 2009. Accordingly, we reinsure substantially all the risk for new claims on existing in-force business beginning April 1, 2011 and for new business written after that date. These policies contributed to direct premium revenue for the full year for 2010, but only the first quarter of 2011.

Operating benefits and expenses

Interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders decreased \$25.8 million from \$943.5 million to \$917.7 million primarily due to the decline in total in-force insurance policies as evidenced by a 2.7% decrease in gross premiums. Improved loss ratios on group stop loss products also contributed to the decline. The improved loss ratios were partially offset by unfavorable mortality results in the voluntary products, particularly the whole life block and less favorable experience on claims associated with the run-off block of the retained long-term disability products relative to 2010.

Operating expenses decreased \$3.6 million from \$232.9 million to \$229.3 million due to a combination of factors. Operating expenses declined by \$6.0 million primarily driven by lower costs resulting from reinsuring the disability business. Reinsurance allowances increased \$1.8 million in 2011 due to the new reinsurance contract covering the short-term disability and voluntary disability business which became effective on April 1, 2011. These positive impacts were offset by lower capitalized commissions of \$3.7 million.

Net amortization of DAC/VOBA decreased \$3.5 million primarily from \$19.4 million to \$15.9 million due to a decline in amortization on universal life products due to lower gross profits; this decrease was partially offset by a growth in amortization on short-term disability and voluntary disability products due to the impact of the aforementioned reinsurance transaction. Unfavorable prospective unlocking in 2010 of \$2.0 million resulted in lower DAC amortization in 2011. The reinsurance transaction also resulted in a \$2.5 million adjustment of DAC.

Operating income (loss) before income taxes

Growth of the in-force stop loss business and improved loss ratios on stop loss contributed significantly to improved operating income relative to 2010, despite a reduction in new sales. Significant initiatives in 2011 focused on improving the quality of our group stop loss business through more selective underwriting and reducing our retained risk on short-term disability and voluntary disability products through a new reinsurance arrangement. New long-term disability business is substantially reinsured and our in-force is in run-off. The retained claims experienced favorable development, partially due to case management initiatives. The favorable development on the run-off long term disability block was approximately \$20.0 million more in 2010 than in 2011. The net effect of these results on stop loss and long-term disability, respectively, largely offset each other resulting in essentially flat operating income in 2011 relative to 2010.

-107-

Employee Benefits Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009

Operating revenues

Net investment income and net realized gains (losses) increased \$33.3 million from \$95.0 million to \$128.3 million primarily due to higher yields on invested assets.

Premiums decreased \$109.3 million from \$1,200.8 million to \$1,091.5 million primarily due to lower in-force annualized premiums in disability and group life, partially offset by higher stop loss premiums. Long-term disability premiums decreased significantly from 2009 due to the reinsurance of a substantial portion of the business written after September 1, 2009. Group stop loss sales increased \$36.6 million, attributable primarily to improved rates. The group life market, however, continued to be highly competitive and sales decreased \$6.4 million. The overall growth in the group sector was partially offset by a decrease in voluntary product sales.

Operating Benefits and Expenses

Interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders decreased \$124.2 million from \$1,067.7 million to \$943.5 million due to a combination of factors, including a decline in premiums associated with a decrease in total insurance in-force and thus less exposure to claims expense. Group disability benefits also decreased as a result of more active case management on retained long-term disability claims, including recovery of overpayments on targeted claims. This contributed to favorable run-off experience on the retained long-term disability business. Partially offsetting these favorable variances were higher loss ratios on the stop loss block due to higher claims expense.

Operating expenses decreased \$10.0 million from \$242.9 million to \$232.9 million primarily due to \$3.8 million lower commissions from the continued decline in total annualized in-force premiums in disability and group life. In addition, reinsurance expense reimbursements were \$9.8 million in 2010 from the implementation of reinsurance on the long-term disability products which resulted in an increase in expense allowances. The reinsurance expense reimbursements were offset by \$4.9 million of higher operating expenses in 2009, including \$2.1 million related to the positive effects of certain compensation adjustments and legal fee reimbursements recognized in 2009 but not in 2010.

Net amortization of DAC/VOBA increased \$10.0 million from \$9.4 million to \$19.4 million due to \$4.4 million of higher amortization on universal life products and \$3.5 million of higher amortization on voluntary health products. There was \$2.0 million unfavorable unlocking during 2010 related to prospective changes in lapse and maintenance expense assumptions.

Operating income (loss) before income taxes

Improvements in market conditions during 2010 anchored an increase of \$44.8 million, due in part from higher returns on alternative investments, which increased by \$33.2 million. In addition, we focused on reducing risk through product initiatives, including the reduction of long-term disability claim expense through more active case management. Coupled with favorable development on reserves, our long-term disability business results were \$37.2 million higher. Partially offsetting these items was decreased operating income of \$21.2 million due to lower premiums on the group life products.

-108-

Corporate

The following table presents operating income before income taxes of our Corporate segment for the periods presented:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|---------|----|---------|-------------------------|------------|------------|--|
| | | 2012 | | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Interest expense (including interest rate swap settlements) | \$ | (88.6) | \$ | (164.5) | \$ (185.7) | \$ (383.5) | \$ (506.3) | |
| Closed Block Variable Annuity contingent capital LOC | | | | | | | | |
| costs | | (43.6) | | | | | | |
| Amortization of intangibles | | (26.2) | | (25.3) | (34.4) | (33.6) | (33.5) | |
| Reserve increase related to the use of SSDMF | | | | | (68.9) | | | |
| Other | | 19.7 | | 55.6 | 58.8 | 18.0 | 69.3 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Operating income (loss) before income taxes | \$ | (138.7) | \$ | (134.2) | \$ (230.2) | \$ (399.1) | \$ (470.5) | |

Our Corporate segment operating results include investment income on assets backing surplus in excess of amounts held at the operating segment level, financing and interest expenses, amortization of intangibles, and other items not allocated to operating segments.

Corporate Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

Operating loss before income taxes increased \$4.5 million from \$134.2 million to \$138.7 million as a result of several factors. Increased operating expenses were primarily driven by LOC costs in 2012 related to the \$1.5 billion contingent capital LOC facility issued to support our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment, as well as lower compensation expenses in the first quarter of 2011, which resulted from payments related to 2010 performance which were less than the accrual. This accrual release was not allocated to our segments. These increased operating expenses were mostly offset by a reduction in interest costs due to a \$2.7 billion and a \$1.3 billion debt-to-equity conversion in the second quarter and fourth quarter of 2011, respectively, lower swap interest expense, partially offset by additional interest expense and debt issuance costs associated with the \$5.0 billion revolving credit facility entered into in the second quarter of 2012.

Corporate Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010

Operating loss before income taxes decreased \$168.8 million from \$399.1 million to \$230.2 million primarily driven by a \$199.2 million reduction in interest costs as a result of the 2011 debt-to-equity conversions described above. In addition, operating expenses in 2010 included a charge of \$24.0 million related to an insurance industry insolvency fund for Executive Life Insurance Company of New York (ELNY) compared to a charge of \$4.0 million in 2011. Offsetting these favorable items was a 2011 charge of \$68.9 million, net of associated DAC, to increase reserves in connection with our use of the SSDMF to identify potential life insurance claims that have not yet been presented to us.

Corporate Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009

Operating loss before income taxes declined by \$71.4 million from \$470.5 million to \$399.1 million primarily due to a \$121.4 million reduction in interest expenses due to a \$3.0 billion debt to equity conversion in January 2010. This was partially offset by a charge of \$24.0 million related to an insurance industry insolvency fund for ELNY and a \$12.6 million reduction in investment income backing surplus due to changes in yields on our CMO-B portfolio.

-109-

Closed Blocks

The following table presents operating income (loss) before income taxes of our Closed Blocks for the periods presented:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Months End 2012 | led September 30, 2011 | Yea 2011 | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | | |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Closed Block Institutional Spread Products Closed Block Other | \$ 41.0 44.9 | \$ 68.3 (13.2) | \$ 83.2 (13.0) | \$ (3.8) (6.7) | 2009 \$ 1.8 6.9 | |
| Operating income (loss) before income taxes | \$ 85.9 | \$ 55.1 | \$ 70.2 | \$ (10.5) | \$ 8.7 | |

The following table presents operating income (loss) before income taxes of our Closed Block Institutional Spread Products segment for the periods presented:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Months I | Ended September 30, | Year ended December 31, | | | |
|---|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|--|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Operating revenues: | | | | | | |
| Net investment income and net realized gains (losses) | \$ 102.6 | \$ 150.2 | \$188.8 | \$ 168.0 | \$ 307.0 | |
| Fee income | | | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | |
| Premiums | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | |
| Other revenue | (1.1) | (2.6) | (3.1) | (3.0) | (1.2) | |
| Total operating revenues | 103.2 | 149.3 | 188.1 | 167.6 | 308.6 | |
| Operating benefits and expenses: | | | | | | |
| Interest credited and other benefits to contract | | | | | | |
| owners/policyholders | 51.4 | 70.7 | 89.0 | 152.8 | 275.8 | |
| Operating expenses | 8.6 | 8.0 | 11.3 | 13.8 | 19.4 | |
| Net amortization of DAC/VOBA | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | |
| Interest expense | 1.8 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 11.0 | |
| Total operating benefits and expenses | 62.2 | 81.0 | 104.9 | 171.4 | 306.8 | |
| Operating income (loss) before income taxes | \$ 41.0 | \$ 68.3 | \$ 83.2 | \$ (3.8) | \$ 1.8 | |

The following table presents operating income (loss) before income taxes of our Closed Block Other segment for the periods presented:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 2011 | | | | Year ended December 31, | | | |
|---|--|------|----|------|-------------------------|---------|---------|--|
| Onerating reconnect | 20 |)12 | 2 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Operating revenues: | | | | | | | | |
| Net investment income and net realized gains (losses) | \$ | 24.1 | \$ | 31.7 | \$ 39.0 | \$ 36.4 | \$ 41.1 | |
| Fee income | | 0.1 | | 4.5 | 5.7 | 18.1 | 35.4 | |
| Premiums | | 4.2 | | 2.8 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 5.5 | |
| Other revenue | | 1.2 | | 3.1 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 6.4 | |
| Total operating revenues | | 29.6 | | 42.1 | 52.2 | 64.3 | 88.4 | |
| | | | | | 0212 | 0.110 | | |
| Operating benefits and expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| | | 3.0 | | 31.1 | 29.0 | 22.8 | 7.8 | |

Edgar Filing: ING U.S., Inc. - Form S-1/A

| Interest credited and other benefits to contract | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--------|----|--------|---|----------|---|-------|---|------|
| owners/policyholders | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating expenses | | (18.3) | | 24.1 | | 36.1 | | 48.8 | | 73.5 |
| Net amortization of DAC/VOBA | | | | | | | | (0.7) | | |
| Interest expense | | | | 0.1 | | 0.1 | | 0.1 | | 0.2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total operating benefits and expenses | | (15.3) | | 55.3 | | 65.2 | | 71.0 | | 81.5 |
| | | () | | | | | | | | |
| Operating income (loss) before income taxes | ¢ | 44.9 | \$ | (13.2) | ¢ | 6 (13.0) | ¢ | (6.7) | ¢ | 6.9 |
| Operating income (1055) before income taxes | φ | 44.7 | ф | (13.2) | Ļ | \$(15.0) | φ | (0.7) | ¢ | 0.9 |

-110-

Closed Blocks Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

Closed Block Institutional Spread Products

Operating income (loss) before income taxes decreased \$27.3 million from \$68.3 million to \$41.0 million as a result of the reduction of block size and the \$8.0 million loss on the sale of certain alternative investments. The average block size based on AUM declined approximately 21.9% from \$6.4 billion to \$5.0 billion.

Closed Block Other

Operating income (loss) before income taxes increased \$58.1 million from (\$13.2) million to \$44.9 million as a result of several factors. Favorable reserve development in the retained portion of the group reinsurance business was partially offset by a reduction in net investment income. In addition to the impact from the group reinsurance business, a \$42.4 million decline in operating expenses resulted primarily from the elimination of certain Corporate functions that supported ING Group s Latin America business, as well as a \$22.0 million reimbursement of expenses by ING Group. These expenses were paid in 2011 by ING U.S., Inc. on behalf of ING Group s Latin American business. In 2011, operating expenses included \$15.1 million of previously unreimbursed Latin America expenses. The continuing run-off of this segment contributed to a decline in fee income and a corresponding decrease in operating expenses.

Closed Blocks Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010

Closed Block Institutional Spread Products

Operating income before income taxes increased \$87.0 million from (\$3.8) million to \$83.2 million as a result of the following factors. Net investment income was \$20.8 million higher due to higher yields on the CMO-B portfolio, partially offset by a decrease in block size assets. See Investments CMO-B Portfolio. The average block size based on AUM declined approximately 20.2% from \$7.9 billion in 2010 to \$6.3 billion in 2011. In addition, interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders decreased \$63.8 million primarily due the decrease in the block size, as well as declines in the overall contract costs. In the second half of 2010, a significant block of fixed rate contracts were restructured to floating rate contracts which resulted in lower interest crediting costs in 2011.

Closed Block Other

Operating loss before income taxes increased \$6.3 million from (\$6.7) million to (\$13.0) million as a result of several factors. Fee income decreased \$12.4 million primarily due to the continued decline in fees associated with the run-off of the health and welfare business. This decrease is representative of run-off due to the strategic decision to discontinue active marketing of these services. Interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders increased \$6.2 million due to an increase in reserves for exposure to worker s compensation claims associated with the retained group reinsurance business. This reserve strengthening was the result of our ongoing review of experience and expectations of claims development on this business. Operating expenses decreased \$12.8 million due to the decline in expenses associated with continued run-off of this segment.

Closed Blocks Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009

Closed Block Institutional Spread Products

Operating income (loss) before income taxes decreased \$5.6 million from \$1.8 million to (\$3.8) million as a result of the following factors. Net investment income (loss) decreased \$139.0 million primarily due to a \$3.8 billion decrease in the average size of the block, resulting from the shift to a legacy business with a run-off strategy and due to lower investment yields. The lower investment yields were the result of the continued decline in interest rates and a change in the mix of assets in the portfolio. Interest credited and other benefits to contract

owners/policyholders decreased \$123.0 million due to the decrease in the size of the block and lower interest crediting rates in 2010. In the second half of 2010, some fixed rate contracts were restructured to floating rate contracts which reduced the interest crediting costs.

Closed Block Other

Operating income (loss) before income taxes decreased \$13.6 million from \$6.9 million to (\$6.7) million as a result of the following factors. We sold our Advisors Network business, which provided brokerage, advisory and insurance and trust services, in January 2010. Fee income declined by \$17.3 million due to the continued decline in fees associated with the management of the health and welfare business. Interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders increased \$15.0 million due to an increase in reserves for exposure to worker s compensation claims associated with the retained group reinsurance business. This growth in reserves is attributable to the accumulation of required interest during the period on incurred claims. Operating expenses decreased \$24.6 million due to the decline in expenses associated with the continued run-off of this segment.

Closed Block Variable Annuity

The following table presents Income (loss) before income taxes of our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment for the periods indicated:

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 | | | | | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|---|-----------|----|---------|----|-------------------------|----|---------|-----|----------|
| (\$ in millions) | | 2012 | | | | 2011 | | 2010 | | 2009 |
| Revenues: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net investment income | \$ | 35.5 | \$ | 55.1 | \$ | 85.8 | \$ | 52.0 | \$ | 37.9 |
| Fee income | | 923.0 | | 977.3 | | 1,280.7 | | 1,285.7 | | 1,133.0 |
| Net realized capital gains (losses) | | (1,115.5) | | 1,009.7 | | (609.7) | | (705.8) | (| 1,538.2) |
| Other revenue and premiums | | 18.9 | | 31.6 | | 38.1 | | 45.8 | | 42.0 |
| Total revenues | | (138.1) | | 2,073.7 | | 794.9 | | 677.7 | | (325.3) |
| Benefits and expenses: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest credited and other benefits to contract | | | | | | | | | | |
| owners/policyholders | | 6.0 | | 747.6 | | 882.9 | | 240.2 | | 476.8 |
| Operating expenses and interest expense | | 337.1 | | 320.7 | | 421.2 | | 405.1 | | 415.0 |
| Net amortization of DAC/VOBA | | 43.8 | | 60.8 | | 55.3 | | 252.6 | | 647.7 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total benefits and expenses | | 386.9 | | 1,129.1 | | 1,359.4 | | 897.9 | | 1,539.5 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | \$ | (525.0) | \$ | 944.6 | \$ | (564.5) | \$ | (220.2) | \$(| 1,864.8) |

The following table presents certain notable items that result in volatility in income (loss) before income taxes:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Months End | ed September 30, | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|-----------------|------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | | |
| Net gains (losses) related to incurred guaranteed | | | | | | | |
| benefits and guarantee hedge program, excluding | | | | | | | |
| nonperformance risk ⁽¹⁾ | \$ (840.3) | \$ (583.3) | \$ (2,062.3) | \$ (1,491.6) | \$ 389.7 | | |
| Gains (losses) related to CHO program ⁽¹⁾ | (369.5) | 41.8 | (129.9) | (2.3) | (1,083.1) | | |
| Embedded derivative decrease (increase) due to | | | | | | | |
| nonperformance risk ⁽¹⁾ | (42.2) | 658.5 | 517.0 | 448.2 | (1,017.7) | | |
| Net investment gains (losses) ⁽¹⁾ | 27.2 | 65.5 | 63.5 | 82.6 | (219.7) | | |
| DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking and | | | | | | | |
| loss recognition | 1.5 | (3.0) | 21.1 | (200.7) | (545.5) | | |

⁽¹⁾ Amounts exclude net amortization of DAC/VOBA and other intangibles.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

The income (loss) before income taxes decreased \$1,469.6 million, from \$944.6 million to (\$525.0) million, primarily as a result of changes in fair value of guaranteed benefit derivatives related to nonperformance risk; an increase in net losses related to the incurred guaranteed benefits and our guarantee hedge program; and losses on our CHO program in 2012 compared to gains in 2011. The change in the fair value of guaranteed benefit derivatives related to nonperformance risk changed by (\$700.7) million, from a gain of \$658.5 million to a loss of (\$42.2) million. The net gain (loss) of our incurred guaranteed benefits and the results of our variable annuity guarantee hedge program will vary from period to period primarily because our variable annuity guarantee hedge program is set based on market consistent valuation techniques for equity risks and for certain interest rate risks, rather than mitigating earnings volatility. Losses resulting from our incurred guaranteed benefits and variable annuity guaranteed hedge program increased \$257.0 million over the period, which included a \$114.6 million increase in reserves in 2012 as a result of updating policyholder behavior assumptions and net losses of \$37.1 million related to changes in cash flow projection and volatility assumptions on certain products. The change to policyholder behavior assumptions consisted primarily of an update to lapse rates on variable annuity contracts with lifetime living benefit guarantees.

The results on our CHO program, designed to protect regulatory reserves and rating agency capital, changed by (\$411.3) million, from a gain of \$41.8 million to a loss of (\$369.5) million. This was primarily due to an increase in equity markets in 2012, compared to a decrease in 2011. In addition, we increased the notional position of our CHO program in 2012, partly as a result of assumption changes made in late 2011. Lower fee income from the continued run off of the business also contributed to the decrease.

Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010

The income (loss) before income taxes increased \$344.3 million, from (\$220.2) million to (\$564.5) million, as a result of several factors. The net loss related to incurred guaranteed benefits and guaranteed hedge program increased \$570.7 million due primarily to policyholder behavior assumption changes, which resulted in an increase in reserves of \$741.2 million. We increased reserves in the fourth quarter of 2011 after a comprehensive review of our assumptions relating to lapses, mortality, annuitization of income benefits and utilization of withdrawal benefits. We review overall policyholder experience annually or more frequently if necessary. The review in 2011 included an analysis of a larger body of actual experience than was previously available, including a longer period with low equity market and interest rates, which we believe provided greater insight into anticipated policyholder behavior for contracts that are in the money. Also contributing to the higher loss was an increase of \$127.6 million in the loss on our CHO program. Partially offsetting these impacts was a \$221.8 million change in DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking, from unfavorable unlocking of \$200.7 million to favorable unlocking of \$21.1 million, a \$68.8 million decrease in the change in fair value of guaranteed benefit derivatives due to the change in nonperformance risks, and an increase in net investment income due primarily to increased yields on assets backing reserves.

Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009

The income (loss) before income taxes decreased \$1,644.6 million, from (\$1,864.8) million to (\$220.2) million, as a result of several factors. The financial crisis had large unfavorable impacts on our results in 2009 which did not recur in 2010. We incurred a \$1,083.1 million loss in 2009 on our CHO program from equity market appreciation, which did not repeat in 2010. Sharp declines in the equity markets in early 2009 caused unfavorable DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking of \$545.5 million in 2009 compared to unfavorable DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking of \$219.7 million in 2009 and fee income increased \$152.7 million due primarily to higher equity markets. Furthermore, losses decreased \$1,465.9 million on changes in fair value of guaranteed benefit derivatives due to changes in nonperformance risk (from a loss of \$1,017.7 million to a gain of \$448.2 million). Offsetting the decreased losses was the increase of \$1,881.3 million in higher net losses of \$1,491.6 million).

Alternative Investment Income

Investment income on certain alternative investments can be volatile due to changes in market conditions. The following table presents the amount of investment income (loss) on certain alternative investments that is included in segment operating income (loss) before income taxes and the average level of assets in each segment, prior to intercompany eliminations. These alternative investments are carried at fair value, which is estimated based on the NAV of these funds. The investment income on alternative investments shown below for the current year excludes the \$92.0 million net loss on the sale of certain alternative investments during the period. The transaction is discussed below under Investments Sale of Certain Alternative Investments.

While investment income on these assets can be volatile, based on current plans, we expect to earn 9% to 10% on these assets over the long-term.

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 2011 | | Yea 2011 | 31, 2009 | |
|---------------------------------|--|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Retirement | | | | | |
| Alternative investment income | \$ 42.3 | \$ 80.8 | \$ 42.6 | \$ 72.3 | \$ (50.4) |
| Average alternative investments | 600.0 | 712.2 | 726.8 | 669.0 | 642.3 |
| Annuities | | | | | |
| Alternative investment income | 23.8 | 38.4 | 22.6 | 20.8 | (11.3) |
| Average alternative investments | 316.3 | 304.9 | 315.5 | 219.6 | 197.3 |
| Investment Management | | | | | |
| Alternative investment income | 36.0 | 18.1 | 9.0 | 2.2 | (46.4) |
| Average alternative investments | 100.2 | 101.1 | 98.1 | 121.6 | 153.0 |
| Individual Life | | | | | |
| Alternative investment income | 12.4 | 30.9 | 19.5 | 21.6 | (21.0) |
| Average alternative investments | 218.8 | 238.4 | 244.8 | 202.6 | 191.1 |
| Employee Benefits | | | | | |
| Alternative investment income | 4.4 | 10.5 | 6.8 | 9.5 | (23.8) |
| Average alternative investments | 62.4 | 77.2 | 78.3 | 74.6 | 181.7 |
| | | | | | |
| Total Ongoing Business | | | | | |
| Alternative investment income | 118.9 | 178.7 | 100.5 | 126.4 | (152.9) |
| Average alternative investments | 1,297.7 | 1,433.8 | 1,463.5 | 1,287.4 | 1,365.4 |
| | | | | | |
| Corporate | | | | | |
| Alternative investment income | 18.3 | 13.2 | 15.0 | | |
| Average alternative investments | 93.5 | 84.0 | 84.6 | | |
| Closed Blocks ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | |
| Alternative investment income | 7.6 | 11.6 | 10.7 | 5.0 | (6.4) |
| Average alternative investments | 104.1 | 120.8 | 97.9 | 109.6 | 128.4 |
| | | | | | |
| Total ING U.S. | | | | | |
| Alternative investment income | 144.8 | 203.5 | 126.2 | 131.4 | (159.3) |
| Average alternative investments | \$ 1,495.3 | \$ 1,638.6 | \$ 1,646.0 | \$ 1,397.0 | \$ 1,493.8 |
| | | | | | |

(1) Our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment is managed to focus on protecting regulatory and rating agency capital rather than achieving operating metrics and, therefore, its results of operations are not reflected within investment income.

Unlocking of DAC/VOBA and other Contract Owner/Policyholder Intangibles

Changes in operating income (loss) before income taxes and net income (loss) are influenced by increases and decreases in amortization of DAC, VOBA, DSI, and URR. The DAC asset represents policy acquisition costs that have been capitalized and are subject to amortization and interest. Capitalized costs are direct incremental costs of contract acquisition, as well as certain costs related directly to acquisition activities.

Such costs consist

-114-

principally of certain commissions, underwriting, sales, and contract issuance and processing expenses directly related to the successful acquisition of new and renewal business. The VOBA asset represents the outstanding value of in-force business acquired and is subject to amortization and interest. The value is based on the present value of estimated net cash flows embedded in the insurance contracts at the time of the acquisition and increased for subsequent deferrable expenses on purchased policies. We amortize VOBA over the estimated life of the contracts using the same methodology and assumptions employed to amortize DAC. The DSI asset represents benefits paid to contract owners for a specified period that are incremental to the amounts we credit on similar contracts without sales inducements and are higher than the contracts using the same methodology and assumptions employed to amortize DAC. The amortization and unlocking of sales inducements is included in *Interest credited and other benefits to contract owners/policyholders*. In addition, a URR liability is recorded related to variable universal life products and represents policy charges for services to be provided in future periods. These policy charges are deferred as unearned revenue and amortized over the expected life of the contracts in proportion to the estimated gross profits in a manner consistent with DAC for these products. The change in URR is included in *Fee income*.

Generally, we amortize DAC/VOBA, DSI, and URR related to fixed and variable universal life contracts, variable deferred annuity contracts over the estimated lives of the contracts in relation to the emergence of estimated gross profits. For variable deferred annuity contracts within the Closed Block Variable Annuity segment, we amortize DAC, VOBA, and DSI in relation to the emergence of estimated gross revenue. Assumptions as to mortality, persistency, interest crediting rates, returns associated with separate account performance, impact of hedge performance, expenses to administer the business, and certain economic variables, such as inflation, are based on our experience and our overall short-term and long-term future expectations for returns available in the capital markets. At each valuation date, actual historical gross profits are reflected and estimated gross profits, and related assumptions, are evaluated for continued reasonableness. Adjustments to estimated gross profits require that amortization rates be revised retroactively to the date of the contract issuance, which is referred to as unlocking. As a result of this process, the cumulative balances of DAC/VOBA, DSI, and URR are adjusted with an offsetting benefit or charge to income to reflect changes in the period of the revision. An unlocking event that results in a benefit (favorable unlocking) generally occurs as a result of actual experience or future expectations being favorable compared to previous estimates. An unlocking event that results in a charge (unfavorable unlocking) generally occurs as a result of actual experience or future expectations being favorable compared to previous estimates. An unlocking as DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking. As a result of unlocking, the amortization schedules for future periods are also adjusted.

We also review the estimated gross profits for each of these blocks of business to determine the recoverability of DAC, VOBA, and DSI balances each period. These assets are deemed to be unrecoverable if the estimated gross profits do not exceed these balances and a write-down is recorded that is referred to as loss recognition. We experienced loss recognition write-downs in first quarter 2009 and second quarter 2010 in our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment as a result of sharp equity declines.

The following table presents the amount of DAC, VOBA, DSI, and URR (DAC/VOBA and other intangibles) unlocking that is included in segment operating income (loss) before income taxes:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|------------------------------------|----|-------|----------------------------|----------|---------|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Retirement | \$ 14.7 | \$ | 15.8 | \$ 44.2 | \$ 160.4 | \$ 67.2 |
| Annuities | (41.8) | | 88.2 | 266.0 | (10.2) | 6.0 |
| Individual Life | (1.2) | | 12.6 | (6.4) | 27.6 | (50.4) |
| Employee Benefits | | | | | (2.0) | . , |
| Total DAC/VOBA and other intangibles unlocking | \$ (28.3) | \$ | 116.6 | \$ 303.8 | \$ 175.8 | \$ 22.8 |

See Note for *Business, Basis of Presentation and Significant Account Policies* and Note for *Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs and Value of Business Acquired* to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is our ability to generate sufficient cash flows to meet the cash requirements of operating, investing and financing activities. Capital refers to our long-term financial resources available to support the business operations and contribute to future growth. Our ability to generate and maintain sufficient liquidity and capital depends on the profitability of the businesses, timing of cash flows on investments and products, general economic conditions and access to the capital markets and the alternate sources of liquidity and capital described herein.

Consolidated Sources and Uses of Liquidity and Capital

Our principal available sources of liquidity are product charges, investment income, proceeds from the maturity and sale of investments, proceeds from debt issuance and borrowing facilities, repurchase agreements, contract deposits and securities lending. Primary uses of these funds are payments of policyholder benefits commissions and operating expenses, interest credits, investment purchases and contract maturities, withdrawals and surrenders.

Parent Company Sources and Uses of Liquidity

In evaluating liquidity it is important to distinguish the cash flow needs of ING U.S., Inc. from the cash flow needs of the Company as a whole. ING U.S., Inc. is largely dependent on cash flows from its operating subsidiaries to meet its obligations. The principal sources of funds available to ING U.S., Inc. include dividends and returns of capital from its operating subsidiaries, as well as cash and short-term investments. These sources of funds are currently supplemented by ING U.S., Inc. s access to the \$1,075.0 million revolving credit sublimit of its Revolving Credit Agreement, ING U.S., Inc. s \$3.0 billion commercial paper program and reciprocal borrowing facilities maintained with its subsidiaries as well as other alternate sources of liquidity described below either directly or indirectly through its insurance subsidiaries.

-116-

ING U.S., Inc. s primary sources and uses of cash for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 are presented in the following table:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine Months Ended September 30 2012 20 | |
|--|--|---------|
| Beginning cash balance | \$ 1.3 | \$ 3.0 |
| Sources: | 7 | 7 |
| Proceeds from borrowings from ING V | | 263.0 |
| Dividends and returns of capital from subsidiaries | 813.0 | 200.0 |
| Repayments of loans to subsidiaries, net of new issuances | 22.5 | 863.8 |
| Proceeds from credit facility borrowings, net of repayments | 1,425.0 | |
| Proceeds from 2022 Notes offering | 849.5 | |
| Amounts received from subsidiaries under tax sharing arrangements, net | | 416.7 |
| Other, net | 64.9 | |
| Total sources | 3,174.9 | 1,743.5 |
| Uses: | | |
| Payments under interest rate swap contracts, net | | 410.4 |
| Payment of interest expense | 25.3 | 44.7 |
| Capital provided to subsidiaries | 400.0 | 377.0 |
| Repayments of loans from subsidiaries, net of new issuances | 2,144.3 | 485.8 |
| Repayment of commercial paper, net of issuances | 255.5 | 418.1 |
| Amounts paid to subsidiaries under tax sharing arrangements, net | 175.8 | |
| Other, net | | 9.9 |
| Total uses | 3,000.9 | 1,745.9 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 174.0 | (2.4) |
| Ending cash balance | \$ 175.3 | \$ 0.6 |

ING U.S., Inc. s primary sources and uses of cash for the year ended December 31, 2011 are presented in the following table:

| (\$ in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2011 |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Beginning cash balance | \$ 3.0 |
| Sources: | |
| Proceeds from borrowings from ING V | 263.0 |
| Dividends and returns of capital from subsidiaries | 200.0 |
| Repayments of loans to subsidiaries, net of new issuances | 870.2 |
| Amounts received from subsidiaries under tax sharing arrangements, net | 205.7 |
| Total sources | 1,538.9 |
| Uses: | |
| Payment of interest expense | 52.6 |
| Capital provided to subsidiaries | 377.0 |
| Payments under interest rate swap contracts, net | 410.4 |
| Repayment of commercial paper, net of new issuance | 649.0 |
| Repayments of loans from subsidiaries, net of new issuances | 40.8 |

Table of Contents

Other, net

10.8

Edgar Filing: ING U.S., Inc. - Form S-1/A

| Total uses | 1,540.6 |
|--|-----------|
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (1.7) |
| Ending cash balance | \$ 1.3 |

-117-

Liquidity

We manage liquidity through access to substantial investment portfolios as well as a variety of other sources of liquidity including committed credit facilities, commercial paper, securities lending and repurchase agreements. Our ALM process takes into account the expected maturity of investments and expected benefit payments as well as the specific nature and risk profile of the liabilities, including variable products with guarantees. As part of our liquidity management process, we model different scenarios to determine whether existing assets are adequate to meet projected cash flows. Key variables in the modeling process include interest rates, equity market movements, quantity and type of interest and equity market hedges, anticipated contract owner behavior, market value of general account assets, variable separate account performance and implications of rating agency actions.

Restrictions on Dividends and Returns of Capital from Subsidiaries

Our business is conducted through operating subsidiaries. U.S. insurance laws and regulations regulate the payment of dividends and other distributions by our U.S. insurance subsidiaries to their respective parents. Dividends in excess of prescribed limits established by the applicable state regulations are considered to be extraordinary transactions and require explicit regulatory approval. In addition, under the insurance laws of the states of domicile of our principal insurance subsidiaries, no dividend or other distribution exceeding an amount equal to an insurance company s earned surplus may be paid without the domiciliary insurance regulator s prior approval. For a summary of applicable laws and regulations governing dividends, see Regulation Insurance Regulation Insurance Holding Company Regulation Dividend Payment Restrictions.

Dividends permitted to be paid by our principal insurance subsidiaries to ING U.S., Inc. or Lion Holdings without the need for insurance regulatory approval were as follows for the periods presented:

| (\$ in millions) | Dividends Permitted without Approval | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|------|-------|------|--|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Subsidiary Name (State of domicile): | | | | | |
| ING USA Annuity and Life Insurance Company (IA) | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | |
| ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company (CT) | 190.0(1) | | 203.9 | | |
| Security Life of Denver Insurance Company (CO) | | | | | |
| ReliaStar Life Insurance Company (MN) | | | | 13.7 | |

⁽¹⁾ \$190.0 million paid as part of the June 2012 distribution of \$800.0 million.

In addition to the principal insurance subsidiaries listed above, we also have U.S. insurance subsidiaries domiciled in Indiana and New York. We also have special purpose financial captive insurance company subsidiaries domiciled in Missouri and South Carolina that provide reinsurance to our U.S. insurance subsidiaries in order to facilitate the financing of excess reserve requirements associated with Regulation XXX or AG38. We also have a subsidiary in the Cayman Islands that primarily provides reinsurance to our U.S. insurance subsidiaries. See Regulation Insurance Regulation.

-118-

Dividends and return of capital distributions paid to ING U.S., Inc. or Lion Holdings by our principal insurance subsidiaries were as follows for the periods presented:

| | | Div | vidends I | Paid | | F | Return of Ca | apital Distril | butions | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|------------|
| | Nine M | onths | | | | Nine N | Ionths | | | |
| | End | ed | | Years Ende | ed | En | ded | Yea | ars Endeo | ł |
| (\$ in millions) | Septeml 2012 | ber 30, 2011 | 2011 | December 3 2010 | 81, 2009 | Septem 2012 | 1ber 30, 2011 | Dec 2011 | ember 31 2010 | 1, 2009 |
| Subsidiary Name (State of domicile): | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2007 | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2005 |
| ING USA Annuity and Life Insurance | | | | | | | | | | |
| Company (IA) ⁽¹⁾ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 250.0 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | | | | | | | | | | |
| (CT) ⁽²⁾ | 190.0 | | | 203.0 | | 150.0 | | | | |
| Security Life of Denver Insurance Company | | | | | | | | | | |
| $(CO)^{(3)}$ | | | | | | 80.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | | |
| ReliaStar Life Insurance Company (MN) ⁽⁴⁾ | 130.0 | | | 221.0 | | | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Iowa Insurance Division approved ING USA s 2012 return of capital distribution.

(2) Connecticut Insurance Department approved ILIAC s 2010 dividend and ILIAC s \$340 million 2012 distribution, which included a \$190.0 million dividend.

⁽³⁾ Colorado Insurance Division approved SLD s 2011 and 2012 return of capital distributions.

⁽⁴⁾ Minnesota Insurance Division approved RLI s 2010 and 2012 dividends.

ING U.S., Inc. and Lion Holdings did not receive any dividends or return of capital distributions from any of our insurance subsidiaries during the periods presented above, other than as described above. Dividends and return of capital distributions in 2011 and 2010 were made for the purpose of rebalancing statutory capital among our principal U.S. insurance subsidiaries and all amounts received by ING U.S., Inc. or Lion Holdings were in turn contributed to U.S. insurance subsidiaries. Payment of these amounts was approved by the insurance regulatory authorities of the relevant domiciliary states in response to requests that stated the intended use of the proceeds was to make capital contributions to certain of our U.S. insurance subsidiaries.

In June 2012, our insurance subsidiaries domiciled in Colorado, Connecticut, Iowa and Minnesota received regulatory approvals or notices of non-objection from their respective domiciliary insurance regulators to make distributions to ING U.S., Inc. or Lion Holdings in the aggregate amount of \$800.0 million. Such distributions were made on June 26, 2012. These domiciliary state regulatory actions were taken by the relevant domiciliary state insurance regulators in response to requests that stated the intended use of the proceeds was to provide \$500.0 million to our Cayman Islands domiciled insurance subsidiary, SLDI, and retain the balance at ING U.S., Inc. for general corporate purposes. On June 26, 2012, ING U.S., Inc. made a capital contribution to SLDI in the amount of \$400.0 million. Additionally, ING U.S., Inc. repaid \$100.0 million of intercompany loans from a subsidiary of SLDI and, on June 28, 2012 the proceeds of this loan repayment were used by such subsidiary to pay a dividend to SLDI.

We may receive dividends from or contribute capital to our wholly owned non-life insurance subsidiaries such as broker-dealers, investment management entities and intermediate holding companies. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, as well as for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, dividends net of capital contributions received by ING U.S., Inc. and Lion Holdings from non-life insurance subsidiaries were \$49.0 million, \$109.6 million, \$149.3 million and \$21.6 million, respectively. Of these amounts \$0.0 million, \$9.6 million, \$50.0 million and \$21.6 million, respectively, came from one or more entities which are not expected to produce significant distributions in the future. Additionally, in 2010, \$33.9 million came from entities that were divested in that same year.

Description of Certain Indebtedness

We borrow funds to provide liquidity, invest in the growth of the business and for general corporate purposes. Our ability to access these borrowings depends on a variety of factors including, but not limited to, the credit rating of ING U.S., Inc. and of its insurance company subsidiaries and general macroeconomic conditions. The following table presents our borrowing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

| (\$ in millions) | Beginning Balance | Issuance | Maturities and Repayment | Other Changes | Ending Balance |
|--|----------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------|
| Short-Term Debt | | | | , i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | |
| Commercial paper | \$ 554.6 | \$ 16,766.0 | \$ (17,021.4) | \$ | \$ 299.2 |
| Current portion of long-term debt ⁽¹⁾ | 500.0 | 300.0 | (75.0) | (249.3) | 475.7 |
| Total short-term debt | \$ 1,054.6 | \$ 17,066.0 | \$ (17,096.4) | \$ (249.3) | \$ 774.9 |
| Long-Term Debt | | | | | |
| Debt securities in issue ⁽³⁾ | \$ 649.8 | \$ 849.5 | \$ | \$ 0.8 | \$ 1,500.1 |
| Borrowings from ING V ⁽¹⁾ | 500.0 | | | | 500.0 |
| Windsor property loan | 4.9 | | | | 4.9 |
| Bank Revolver Loan ⁽²⁾ | | 500.0 | (500.0) | | |
| Syndicated Bank Term Loans ⁽²⁾ | | 1,500.0 | (75.0) | | 1,425.0 |
| Surplus notes | 688.4 | | | | 688.4 |
| Subtotal | \$ 1,843.1 | \$ 2,849.5 | \$ (575.0) | \$ 0.8 | \$ 4,118.4 |
| Less: Current portion of long-term debt | 500.0 | 300.0 | (75.0) | (249.3) | 475.7 |
| Total long-term debt | \$ 1,343.1 | \$ 2,549.5 | \$ (500.0) | \$ 250.1 | \$ 3,642.7 |

⁽¹⁾ On April 12, 2012, the maturity for ING U.S., Inc. s \$500.0 million floating rate loan agreement with ING V was extended until April 29, 2016.

⁽²⁾ On April 20, 2012, ING U.S., Inc. entered into the \$5.0 billion Senior Unsecured Credit Facility. On that date, ING U.S., Inc. borrowed a total of \$2.0 billion which was used to replace internal funding. See Senior Unsecured Credit Facility below.

⁽³⁾ On July 13, 2012, ING U.S., Inc. issued the 2022 Notes in a private placement with registration rights. See Unsecured Senior Notes below.

-120-

The following table summarizes our borrowing activities for the year ended December 31, 2011:

| (\$ in millions) | Beginning Balance | Issuance | Maturities and Repayment | Other Changes | Ending Balance |
|---|----------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Short-Term Debt | | | 1.2 | | |
| Commercial paper | \$ 1,203.6 | \$ 21,654.5 | \$ (22,303.5) | \$ | \$ 554.6 |
| Repurchase agreements | 425.2 | 2,225.6 | (2,650.8) | | |
| Borrowings from ING V ⁽¹⁾ | 2,715.0 | 23,352.0 | (23,089.0) | (2,978.0) | |
| Current portion of long-term debt | | | | 500.0 | 500.0 |
| Other third-party borrowed funds | 1,120.8 | | (1,120.8) | | |
| Total short-term debt | \$ 5,464.6 | \$ 47,232.1 | \$ (49,164.1) | \$ (2,478.0) | \$ 1,054.6 |
| Long-Term Debt | | | | | |
| Debt securities in issue | \$ 648.7 | \$ | \$ | \$ 1.1 | \$ 649.8 |
| Borrowings from ING V ⁽¹⁾ | 1,500.0 | | | (1,000.0) | 500.0 |
| Windsor property loan | 4.9 | | | | 4.9 |
| Surplus notes | 630.4 | 58.0 | | | 688.4 |
| Subtotal | \$ 2,784.0 | \$ 58.0 | \$ | \$ (998.9) | \$ 1,843.1 |
| Less: Current portion of long-term debt | | | | 500.0 | 500.0 |
| Total long-term debt | \$ 2,784.0 | \$ 58.0 | \$ | \$ (1,498.9) | \$ 1,343.1 |

(1) Includes all issuances within the year including amounts issued to refinance maturing amounts. During 2011, we converted \$4.0 billion of debt owed to ING V following capital contributions received indirectly from ING V. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Historical Related Party Transactions Financing Arrangements Intercompany Loans.

Senior Unsecured Credit Facility

On April 20, 2012, ING U.S., Inc. entered into a \$5.0 billion Senior Unsecured Credit Facility with a syndicate of banks. The Senior Unsecured Credit Facility, which is guaranteed by Lion Holdings, consists of the \$3.5 billion Revolving Credit Agreement and the \$1.5 billion Term Loan Agreement. The Revolving Credit Agreement expires on April 20, 2015 and the Term Loan Agreement expires on April 20, 2014.

Revolving Credit Agreement. The Revolving Credit Agreement, while primarily an LOC facility, also includes a revolving credit sublimit of up to \$1.5 billion of the \$3.5 billion total, which may be directly borrowed by ING U.S., Inc. This \$1.5 billion direct borrowings sublimit is reduced by 50% of the face amount of any debt securities issued by the Company, provided, that the sublimit may not be reduced below \$750.0 million as a result. The cost of borrowings and LOC under the Revolving Credit Agreement vary depending on ING U.S., Inc. s credit rating. The terms of the Senior Unsecured Credit Facility require ING U.S., Inc. to maintain liquidity of \$500.0 million at all times. Liquidity is defined for this purpose to include, among other things, cash, ordinary dividend capacity from operating subsidiaries and undrawn borrowing capacity under the Revolving Credit Agreement. In order to meet this requirement in the future, ING U.S., Inc. could be required to forgo otherwise available draws under the Revolving Credit Agreement.

Immediately following the closing of the Revolving Credit Agreement, ING U.S., Inc. drew \$500.0 million of direct borrowings to replace internally funded financing. In addition, \$1.4 billion of LOCs were issued to replace \$1.4 billion of LOCs issued under a pre-existing \$2.5 billion syndicated LOC facility. As of September 30, 2012, \$1.7 billion of LOCs were outstanding under the Revolving Credit Agreement.

On July 17, 2012, the Company repaid the \$500.0 million of direct borrowings with proceeds from the issuance of the 2022 Notes (see Unsecured Senior Notes below). As a result of the issuance of the 2022 Notes, the direct borrowing sublimit under the Revolving Credit Agreement was reduced to \$1,075 million consistent with the requirement described above.

-121-

Term Loan Agreement. The proceeds of the Term Loan Agreement were used to replace financing that was internally funded. ING U.S., Inc. pays interest at a variable rate based on its credit rating and is required to make principal payments totaling 20% of the original borrowing amount over the first 12 months and 30% over the second twelve months with all remaining amounts due by April 20, 2014.

Unsecured Senior Notes

On July 13, 2012, ING U.S., Inc. issued \$850.0 million of 2022 Notes in a private placement with registration rights. The 2022 Notes are guaranteed by Lion Holdings. Interest is payable semi-annually on each January 15 and July 15, commencing on January 15, 2013. ING Financial Markets, LLC, an affiliate, served as Joint Book Running Manager and was paid \$0.3 million for its services. ING U.S., Inc. used the proceeds of the 2022 Notes to repay \$500.0 million of the direct borrowings under the Revolving Credit Agreement. The remaining proceeds of the 2022 Notes will be used for general corporate purposes including the retirement of commercial paper.

Letter of Credit Facilities and Subsidiary Credit Support Arrangements

We use LOC facilities primarily to provide collateral required under our affiliated reinsurance transactions as well as certain third party reinsurance arrangements to which one of our captive reinsurance subsidiaries is a party. We also issue guarantees and enter into financing arrangements in connection with our affiliated reinsurance transactions. These arrangements are primarily designed to facilitate the financing of excess reserve requirements associated with Statutory Regulations XXX and AG38. Regulation XXX and AG38 require insurers to hold significantly higher levels of reserves on term products and universal life insurance products with secondary guarantees, respectively, than are generally thought to be sufficient. By reinsuring business to special purpose financial captive reinsurance companies, we are able to use alternative sources of collateral to fund the excess reserve requirements and are generally able to secure longer term financing on a more capital efficient basis. As of September 30, 2012 we had financing arrangements and LOCs providing \$2.3 billion of XXX and AG38 reinsurance credit associated with our individual life business.

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company entered into a master asset purchase agreement (the MPA) with Scottish Re Group Limited, Scottish Holdings, Inc., Scottish Re (U.S.), Inc. (SRUS), Scottish Re Life (Bermuda) Limited (Scottish Bermuda) and Scottish Re (Dublin) Limited (collectively, Scottish Re) and Hannover Re. Pursuant to the MPA, the Company recaptured individual life reinsurance business which had previously been reinsured to Scottish Re and immediately ceded 100% of such business to Hannover Re on a modified coinsurance, funds withheld and coinsurance basis, which resulted in no gain or loss. The Company will remain obligated to maintain collateral for the excess reserve requirements associated with Statutory Regulations XXX and AG38 on the business transferred from the Company to Hannover Re for the duration of such reserve requirements or until the underlying reinsurance contracts are novated to Hannover Re or Hannover Re puts into place its own collateral for such reserve requirements. As of September 30, 2012, we had financing arrangements and LOCs providing \$3.4 billion of XXX and AG38 reinsurance credit associated with our individual life reinsurance acquired by Hannover Re. Hannover Re reimburses us for a portion of our fees for these LOCs. We refer to this block as the Hannover Re block and its results are reported as part of the Closed Block Other segment.

We also utilize LOCs to provide credit for reinsurance on portions of the Closed Block Variable Annuity segment liabilities reinsured to our Cayman Islands insurance subsidiary in order to meet the onshore statutory reserve requirements at those times when the assets and other capital backing the reinsurance liabilities may be less than the statutory reserve requirement. As of September 30, 2012 the amount of LOCs required for this purpose was \$160.0 million and the actual amount of the LOCs outstanding was \$1.0 billion.

In addition to the \$6.8 billion of individual life, individual life reinsurance and Closed Block Variable Annuity LOCs outstanding, a \$1.5 billion contingent capital LOC was issued by ING Bank to support the Closed

-122-

Block Variable Annuity segment and \$335.0 million of LOCs were outstanding to support miscellaneous requirements. In total, \$7.9 billion of LOCs were utilized as of September 30, 2012. As of September 30, 2012, the capacity of our unsecured and uncommitted LOC facilities totaled \$3.7 billion and the capacity of our unsecured and committed LOC facilities totaled \$8.5 billion. We also have approximately \$275.0 million in secured facilities.

Total fees associated with credit facilities for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were \$176.0 million and \$88.5 million, respectively.

The following table presents our LOC facilities, their dates of expiration, capacity and utilization as of September 30, 2012.

| (\$ in millions) | | a v | | | As of | September 3 | , |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------|-------------|----------------------|
| Obligor / Applicant | Liability Supported | Secured/ Unsecured | Committed/ Uncommitted | Expiration | Capacity | Utilization | Unused Commitment |
| ING U.S., Inc. | | Unsecured | Committed | 04/20/15 | \$ 3,500.0 | \$ 1,737.0 | \$ 1,763.0 |
| | Individual Life | | | | | 283.0 | |
| | Hannover Re block | | | | | 869.0 | |
| | CBVA | | | | | 525.0 | |
| | Other | | | | | 60.0 | |
| ING U.S., Inc. / SLDI, Roaring River LLC | | Unsecured | Uncommitted | 02/28/13 | 1,605.0 | 533.2 | |
| | Individual Life | | | | | 30.0 | |
| | CBVA | | | | | 500.0 | |
| | Other | | | | | 3.2 | |
| SLDI | CBVA | Unsecured | Uncommitted | 12/31/31 | 1,500.0 | 1,500.0 | |
| ING U.S., Inc. / SLDI | Hannover Re block | Unsecured | Committed | 08/19/21 | 750.0 | 750.0 | |
| ING U.S., Inc. / SLDI | Hannover Re block | Unsecured | Committed | 11/09/21 | 750.0 | 750.0 | |
| SLDI | Hannover Re block | Unsecured | Committed | 12/31/13 | 825.0 | 825.0 | |
| ING U.S., Inc. / SLDI | Hannover Re block | Unsecured | Uncommitted | 06/30/13 | 625.0 | 223.2 | |
| ReliaStar Life Insurance Company | Institutional Spread Products | Secured | Committed | Conditional | 265.0 | 265.0 | |
| ING U.S., Inc. / SLDI | Individual Life | Unsecured | Committed | 12/31/25 | 475.0 | 475.0 | |
| ING U.S., Inc. | Other | Unsecured | Uncommitted | Various dates | 2.1 | 2.1 | |
| | | | | Various | | | |
| ING U.S., Inc. | Other | Secured | Uncommitted | dates | 10.0 | 4.7 | |
| ING U.S., Inc. / Roaring River III LLC | Individual Life | Unsecured | Committed | 6/30/22 | 1,151.2 | 418.0 | 733.2 |
| ING U.S., Inc. / Roaring River II, LLC | Individual Life | Unsecured | Committed | 12/31/19 | 995.0 | 435.0 | 560.0 |

Total

\$12,453.3 \$7,918.2 \$ 3,056.2

Additionally, as of September 30, 2012, Whisperingwind II, LLC and Whisperingwind III, LLC had issued \$359.3 million and \$329.1 million of surplus notes to a third party bank which mature on December 31, 2037 and September 1, 2037 respectively. The proceeds of the surplus notes are used to fund AG38 reserves of our Individual Life segment. On January 3, 2013, Whisperingwind II, LLC repaid its surplus note in full. See Surplus Notes.

Edgar Filing: ING U.S., Inc. - Form S-1/A

-123-

The following tables present our existing financing facilities for each of our Individual Life, Hannover Re and Closed Block Variable Annuity blocks of business as of September 30, 2012. While these tables present the current financing for each block, these financing facilities will expire prior to the runoff of the reserve liabilities they support. In addition, these liabilities will change over the life of each block. As a result, the existing financing will be periodically extended or replaced and increased as each block grows toward the peak reserve requirement noted below.

Individual Life

(\$ in millions)

| Obligor/Applicant | Financing Structure | Reserve Type | Expiration | Capacity | Utilization |
|---|------------------------|--------------|------------|----------|-------------|
| ING U.S., Inc. | Credit Facilities | XXX | 04/20/15 | \$ 283.0 | \$ 283.0 |
| ING U.S., Inc./Roaring River LLC | Credit Facilities | XXX | 11/01/26 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| ING U.S., Inc./Roaring River III LLC | Trust Note | AG38 | 06/30/22 | 1,151.2 | 418.0 |
| ING U.S., Inc./SLDI | LOC Facility | AG38 | 12/31/25 | 475.0 | 475.0 |
| ING U.S., Inc./Whisperingwind II LLC ⁽¹⁾ | Surplus Notes | AG38 | 12/31/37 | 459.0 | 359.3 |
| ING U.S., Inc./Whisperingwind III LLC | Surplus Notes | AG38 | 06/30/37 | 499.0 | 329.1 |
| ING U.S., Inc./ Roaring River II LLC | LOC Facility | AG38 | 12/31/19 | 995.0 | 435.0 |
| | | | | | |

^{\$ 3,892.2 \$ 2,329.4}

⁽¹⁾ On January 3, 2013, the notes were repaid in full. See Surplus notes.

The peak financing requirement for the Individual Life liabilities above is expected to reach approximately \$4.0 billion during the period 2017-2020.

Hannover Re block

(\$ in millions)

Total

| Obligor/Applicant | Financing Structure | Reserve Type | Expiration | Capacity | Utilization |
|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------|----------|-------------|
| | | | • | 1 . | |
| ING U.S., Inc. | Credit Facility | XXX/AG38 | 04/20/15 | \$ 869.0 | \$ 869.0 |
| ING U.S., Inc./SLDI | Collateral Note | XXX/AG38 | 08/19/21 | 750.0 | 750.0 |
| ING U.S., Inc./SLDI | Collateral Note | XXX/AG38 | 11/09/21 | 750.0 | 750.0 |
| SLDI | Collateral Note | XXX/AG38 | 12/31/13 | 825.0 | 825.0 |
| ING U.S., Inc./SLDI | LOC Facility | XXX/AG38 | 06/30/13 | 625.0 | 223.2 |
| | | | | | |

Total

\$ 3,819.0 \$ 3,417.2

The peak financing requirement for the Hannover Re block is expected to reach approximately \$4.2 billion in 2016.

Closed Block Variable Annuity

(\$ in millions)

Edgar Filing: ING U.S., Inc. - Form S-1/A

| | Financing | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|----------|-------------|
| Obligor/Applicant | Structure | Product | Expiration | Capacity | Utilization |
| ING U.S., Inc. | Credit Facilities | GMWBL/GMIB | 04/20/15 | \$ 525.0 | \$ 525.0 |
| ING U.S., Inc./SLDI | Credit Facilities | GMWBL/GMIB | 02/28/13 | 500.0 | 500.0 |
| | | | | | |

Total

\$ 1,025.0 \$ 1,025.0

Of the \$1.0 billion LOC outstanding, \$160.0 million was required as of September 30, 2012. As the statutory reserve requirements of AG43 react differently to equity and interest market movements than do the funding requirements of the intercompany reinsurance agreement between ING USA and SLDI, we may utilize LOCs to provide for this difference. The amount of LOC will vary based on asset values, market movements, and reinsurance trust funding.

-124-

Contingent Capital Letter of Credit

As of September 30, 2012, our Cayman Islands insurance subsidiary, SLDI, was the sole obligor under a \$1.5 billion contingent capital LOC with ING Bank, under which \$1.5 billion of LOC have been issued to support SLDI s reinsurance obligations to ING USA for certain minimum guarantees included in its Closed Block Variable Annuity products. This facility, which is unconditional and irrevocable, expires on December 31, 2031. Subject to the terms of the Credit Agreement, draws under the LOC will be financed by ING Bank and payable in full on December 31, 2041. The proceeds of draws may only be used to meet SLDI s obligations under its reinsurance agreement with ING USA. The agreement has no recourse to ING U.S., Inc.

Reinsurance Subsidiaries ING U.S., Inc. Credit Support

As of September 30, 2012, ING U.S., Inc. supported the reinsurance obligations of SLDI with \$1.6 billion in LOC issued by ING Bank of which 533.2 million was guaranteed by ING V. During October 2012, based on decreased Closed Block Variable Annuity LOC requirements as of September 30, 2012, 500.0 million of LOCs outstanding were cancelled, further reducing ING V s guarantee obligations to 33.2 million. The guarantee obligation of ING V will expire on the latest maturity date of the outstanding LOC. All but 30.0 million of these LOCs will expire by February 2013 with the remainder outstanding until 2026. No fees are paid by the Company to ING V with respect to this guarantee.

ING U.S., Inc. also maintains LOC facilities with third-party banks to support the reinsurance obligations of our onshore captive reinsurance subsidiaries. As of September 30, 2012, such facilities provided for up to \$2.1 billion of LOC capacity, of which \$853.0 million was utilized.

In addition to providing LOCs, we also provide credit support to our onshore captive reinsurance subsidiaries through surplus maintenance agreements, pursuant to which we agree to cause these subsidiaries to maintain particular levels of capital or surplus and which we entered into in connection with particular reinsurance transactions. These agreements are effective for the duration of the in-force policies subject to the related reinsurance transactions and the maximum potential obligations are not specified or applicable. Since these obligations are not subject to limitations, it is not possible to determine the maximum potential amount due under these agreements.

In connection with certain reinsurance transactions involving a third-party trust (the Master Trust), ING U.S., Inc. and SLDI are parties to reimbursement agreements with third-party banks that lend securities to the Master Trust. SLDI has reimbursement obligations to the banks under these agreements, in an aggregate amount of up to \$1.5 billion, which obligations are guaranteed by ING U.S., Inc. ING U.S., Inc. also provides an indemnification to the third-party banks with respect to any defaults by the Master Trust under the securities lending agreements under which these banks lend securities to the Master Trust, up to \$1.5 billion. These agreements and the related indemnification were entered into to facilitate collateral requirements supporting reinsurance and are effective for the duration that the collateral remains outstanding.

ING U.S., Inc. provides a separate indemnification to ING Bank with respect to any defaults by the Master Trust under a similar securities lending agreement between the Master Trust and ING Bank, up to \$825.0 million. This agreement and the related indemnification were entered into to facilitate collateral requirements supporting reinsurance agreements and are effective for the duration that the collateral remains outstanding. This agreement expires on December 31, 2013.

ING U.S., Inc. has also entered into a corporate guarantee agreement with a third-party ceding insurer where it guarantees the reinsurance obligations of our subsidiary, SLD, assumed under a reinsurance agreement with the third-party cedent. SLD retrocedes the business to Hannover Life Reassurance Company of America (Hannover US) who is the claim paying party. The current amount of reserves outstanding as of September 30, 2012 is \$23.8 million. The maximum potential obligation is not specified or applicable. Since these obligations are not subject to limitations, it is not possible to determine the maximum potential amount due under these guarantees.

-125-

On September 6, 2012, ING U.S., Inc. as borrowing party and its subsidiary, Roaring River III, as borrower, entered into a reimbursement agreement with a third-party bank providing for \$390.0 million of initial funding in the form of a putable variable funding trust note due 2022 where ING U.S., Inc. guarantees the reimbursement obligations of Roaring River III. Roaring River III has entered into a reinsurance agreement with an affiliated ceding company and by entering into the reimbursement agreement, Roaring River III provides collateral for reinsurance in the form of the trust note. To support additional growth in reserves on the policies reinsured, the initial trust note notional amount of \$390.0 million may be increased to approximately \$1.2 billion prior to maturity. As of September 30, 2012 the amount of the trust note increased to \$418.0 million.

The \$390.0 million of trust notes replaces \$462.0 million of collateral provided under previous financing arrangements involving \$257.0 million of reserves ceded to Whisperingwind I and \$205 million of reserves ceded to Roaring River which were collateralized by LOCs provided by a third-party bank and ING Bank, respectively, and guaranteed by ING V. The completion of the transaction involved moving business from several ING U.S., Inc. subsidiaries to the newly established Roaring River III captive such that the amount of reserves which required collateral under the new transaction were less than under the previous transactions. As a result, there is not a one for one correlation between the new total amount of capacity of \$390.0 million replacing the prior total amount of \$462.0 million. The completion of the transaction reduced ING V guarantee obligations under the prior LOC by \$462.0 million.

Reinsurance Subsidiaries Other Credit Support

RLI and SLD, both indirect subsidiaries of ING U.S., Inc., guarantee a reinsurance contract entered into by SLDI with respect to SLDI s reinsurance of \$250.0 million of the principal and interest of a bond insured by an unrelated insurance company. The bond payments are supported by the insurer s closed block. Surplus from the closed block, in the form of dividends, is used to pay the bond principal and interest.

In order to collateralize obligations under this treaty, RLI provided a LOC of \$265.0 million issued by the FHLB of Des Moines to the unrelated insurer which is secured by assets pledged by RLI to FHLB. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the LOC is collateralized by assets with a market value of approximately \$323.1 million and \$354.0 million, respectively.

Other Subsidiaries ING U.S., Inc. Credit Support

ING U.S., Inc. guarantees obligations of Lion Holdings with respect to a \$500.0 million loan from ING V, which matures in 2016. ING U.S., Inc. also guarantees obligations of Lion Holdings under \$13.0 million par amount of Series B Capital Securities maturing in 2027. From time to time, ING U.S., Inc. may also have outstanding guarantees of various obligations of its subsidiaries.

We did not recognize any asset or liability as of December 31, 2011 in relation to intercompany indemnifications and support agreements. As of September 30, 2012, no circumstances existed in which we were required to currently perform under these indemnifications and support agreements.

Commercial Paper

ING U.S., Inc. has a commercial paper program with an authorized capacity of \$3.0 billion. Our commercial paper borrowings have been generally used to fund the working capital needs of our subsidiaries and provide short-term liquidity to us. Outstanding commercial paper borrowings were \$299.2 million, \$554.6 million and \$1.2 billion at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The issuances under this program benefit from a full and irrevocable guarantee provided by ING V.

-126-

Debt Securities

As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and 2010, Lion Holdings had outstanding \$138.7 million par amount of 6.75% Debentures due September 15, 2013, \$163.0 million par amount of 7.25% Debentures due August 15, 2023, \$235.1 million par amount of 7.63% Debentures due August 15, 2026 and \$108.0 million par amount of 6.97% Debentures due August 15, 2036 (collectively, the Aetna Notes), all of which were issued by a predecessor of Lion Holdings and assumed in connection with our acquisition of Aetna s life insurance and related businesses. In addition, Equitable of Iowa Capital Trust II, a limited purpose trust, has outstanding \$13.0 million par amount of 8.42% Series B Capital Securities due April 1, 2027. ING Group guarantees all of the foregoing debt securities with the exception of the \$13.0 million par amount Series B Capital Securities which benefits from a guarantee by ING U.S., Inc.

On July 13, 2012, we issued \$850.0 million of 2022 Notes. The 2022 Notes are guaranteed by Lion Holdings. We pay interest semi-annually on each January 15 and July 15, commencing on January 15, 2013. ING Financial Markets LLC, a non-subsidiary affiliate of ING U.S., Inc., served as Joint Book Running Manager and was paid \$0.3 million for its services. We used the proceeds of the 2022 Notes to repay \$500.0 million of the direct borrowings under the Revolving Credit Agreement. The remaining proceeds of the 2022 Notes were used for general corporate purposes, including the retirement of commercial paper.

The documents governing the terms of our 2022 Notes contain provisions that provide for the adjustment of the interest rate payable on the 2022 Notes is downgraded by Moody s or S&P. The interest rate payable on the 2022 Notes will increase by 25 basis points for each one-notch rating downgrade and is subject to reversal in the event of subsequent upgrades. In addition, if a rating on the 2022 Notes is withdrawn, suspended, or otherwise discontinued, the interest rate payable on the 2022 Notes will increase by 100 basis points for each such ratings withdrawal, suspension or discontinuation. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in no event shall the cumulative interest rate increase on the 2022 Notes as a result of all downgrades or ratings withdrawals exceed 200 basis points in the aggregate, and in no event shall the interest rate payable on the 2022 Notes will no longer be subject to adjustment pursuant to such provisions after the date that is 180 days following the completion of this offering.

The documents governing the terms of our 2022 Notes also contain provisions that provide that upon the occurrence of certain change of control events prior to the date that is 180 days following the completion of this offering, we will be required to make an offer to each holder of the 2022 Notes to repurchase all or any part of the holder s notes at a repurchase price in cash equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2022 Notes repurchased plus any accrued and unpaid interest on the notes repurchased to, but excluding, the date of repurchase.

Surplus Notes

Two of our onshore captive reinsurance subsidiaries have issued surplus notes in order to finance insurance reserves assumed. These notes have maturities in 2037. These notes had \$688.4 million, \$688.4 million and \$630.4 million outstanding as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

On January 3, 2013, ReliaStar Life Insurance Co. and Whisperingwind II, LLC, indirect wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company, executed a novation and recapture agreement with a third party reinsurer related to an existing insurance securitization transaction. As a result, Whisperingwind II, LLC s outstanding floating rate variable funding surplus note in the amount of \$359.3 million due December 31, 2037 was repaid.

ING Group Credit Support

As described above, certain of our indebtedness benefits from a guarantee provided by ING Group or ING V. As of September 30, 2012, the indebtedness for which ING Group or ING V provide guarantees included:

\$533.2 million in LOC issued by ING Bank and used to support the reinsurance obligations of SLDI and certain of our onshore captive reinsurance subsidiaries. During October 2012, based on decreased Closed Block Variable Annuity LOC requirements as of September 30, 2012, \$500.0 million of LOCs outstanding were cancelled, further reducing ING V s guarantee obligations to \$33.2 million;

\$299.2 million in borrowings under our commercial paper program; and

\$644.8 million aggregate par amount of Aetna Notes issued by Lion Holdings. In addition, ING V guarantees our obligations under \$1.0 billion notional amount of credit default swaps (CDS) written by one of our subsidiaries.

Securities Lending

We engage in securities lending for cash or cash equivalents, on a direct basis, or through an agent, whereby certain domestic securities from our portfolio are loaned to other institutions for short periods of time. Initial collateral, primarily cash, is required at a rate of 102% of the market value of the loaned domestic securities. For portions of the agency program, the lending agent retains 5% of the collateral deposited by the borrower in (liquid) securities and transfers the remaining 95% to us. For other portions of the agency program, the lending agent retains the cash collateral retained by the agent is invested in liquid assets on our behalf. The market value of the loaned securities is monitored on a daily basis with additional collateral obtained or refunded as the market value of the loaned securities fluctuates due to interest rates, spreads and other risk factors. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and 2010, the fair value of loaned securities was \$358.9 million, \$1.0 billion and \$2.2 billion, respectively, and is included in Securities pledged on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Cash collateral and invested in liquid assets on our behalf is recorded in Short-term investments under securities loan agreement, including collateral delivered. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2010, liabilities to return collateral of \$367.8 million, \$1.0 billion and \$2.3 billion, respectively, are included in Short-term debt and Payables under securities loan agreement, including collateral delivered. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2010, liabilities to return collateral of \$367.8 million, \$1.0 billion and \$2.3 billion, respectively, are included in Short-term debt and Payables under securities loan agreement, including collateral delivered. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and 2010, liabilities to return collateral of \$367.8 million, \$1.0 billion and \$2.3 billion, respectively, are included in Short-term debt and Payables under securities loan agreement, including colla

Repurchase Agreements

We engage in dollar repurchase agreements with mortgage-backed securities (dollar rolls) and repurchase agreements with other collateral types to increase our return on investments and improve liquidity. Such arrangements meet the requirements to be accounted for as financing arrangements. We enter into dollar roll transactions by selling existing MBS and concurrently entering into an agreement to repurchase similar securities within a short time frame at a lower price. Under repurchase agreements, we borrow cash from a counterparty at an agreed upon interest rate for an agreed upon time frame and pledge collateral in the form of securities. At the end of the agreement, the counterparty returns the collateral to us, and we, in turn, repay the loan amount along with the additional agreed upon interest. We require that at all times during the term of the dollar roll and repurchase agreements that cash or other collateral types obtained is sufficient to allow us to fund substantially all of the cost of purchasing replacement assets. Cash received is invested in short-term investments, with the offsetting obligation to repay the loan included as a liability on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. As per the terms of the agreements, the market value of the loaned securities is monitored with additional collateral obtained or refunded as the market value of the loaned securities fluctuates due to changes in interest rates, spreads and other risk factors.

The carrying value of the securities pledged in dollar rolls and repurchase agreement transactions and the related repurchase obligation are included in Securities pledged and Short-term debt, respectively, on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and 2010, the carrying value of the securities pledged in dollar rolls and repurchase agreement transactions, the related repurchase obligation, including accrued interest, and the collateral posted by the counterparty in connection with the change in the value of the pledged securities that will be released upon settlement, were as presented below:

| (\$ in millions) | As of September 30, | As of December 31, | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------|--|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Securities pledged | \$ | \$ | \$ 437.2 | |
| Repurchase obligation | | | 425.8 | |

We also enter into reverse repurchase agreements. These transactions involve a purchase of securities and an agreement to sell substantially the same securities as those purchased. We required that, at all times during the term of the reverse repurchase agreements, cash or other collateral types provided is sufficient to allow the counterparty to fund substantially all of the cost of purchasing the replacement assets. As of September 30, 2012, December 31, 2011 and 2010, we did not have any securities pledged under reverse repurchase agreements.

The primary risk associated with short-term collateralized borrowings is that the counterparty will be unable to perform under the terms of the contract. Our exposure is limited to the excess of the net replacement cost of the securities over the value of the short-term investments. We believe the counterparties to the dollar rolls, repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements are financially responsible and that the counterparty risk is minimal.

FHLB

We are currently a member of the FHLB of Des Moines and the FHLB of Topeka and are required to maintain a collateral deposit that backs any advances, funding agreements or LOCs issued by the FHLB. We have the ability to obtain funding from the FHLBs based on a percentage of the value of our assets and are subject to the availability of eligible collateral. The limits across all programs are 15% of the general and separate accounts of ING USA, potentially up to 40% of the general account of SLD based on credit approval from FHLB of Topeka and 20% of the general and separate accounts of RLI. Furthermore, collateral is pledged based on the outstanding balances of FHLB advances, funding agreements and LOCs. The amount varies based on the type, rating and maturity of the collateral posted to the FHLB. Generally, mortgage securities are pledged to the FHLBs. Market value fluctuations resulting from changes in interest rates, spreads and other risk factors for each type of assets are monitored and additional collateral is either pledged or released as needed.

Our borrowing capacity under these credit facilities does not have an expiration date as long as we maintain a satisfactory level of creditworthiness based on the FHLBs credit assessment. As of September 30, 2012, December 31, 2011 and 2010, we had \$3.1 billion, \$3.2 billion and \$2.9 billion in non-putable funding agreements, respectively, which are included in Contract owner account balances on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and 2010, we had \$265.0 million of LOCs issued by the FHLBs. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and 2010, we had sets with a market value of approximately \$3.5 billion, \$3.8 billion and \$3.6 billion, respectively, which collateralized the FHLB funding agreements. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and 2010, we had assets with a market value of approximately \$323.1 million, \$354.0 million and \$311.6 million, respectively, which collateralized the FHLB LOCs. Assets pledged to the FHLB are included in Fixed maturities, available-for-sale, on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and are also carried on the U.S. statutory balance sheets. See Description of Certain Indebtedness above for further discussion.

Borrowings from Parent

For information related to these arrangements, see Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions.

Borrowings from Subsidiaries

We maintain revolving reciprocal loan agreements with a number of our life and non-life insurance subsidiaries that are used to fund short-term cash requirements that arise in the ordinary course of business. Under these agreements, either party may borrow up to the maximum allowable under the agreement for a term not more than 270 days. For life insurance subsidiaries, the amounts that either party may borrow from the other under the agreement vary depending on the state of domicile and are equal to 2%-5% of the insurance subsidiary s statutory net admitted assets (excluding separate accounts) as of the previous year end depending on the state of domicile. As of September 30, 2012, the aggregate amount that may be borrowed or lent under agreements with life insurance subsidiaries was \$2.6 billion. Each agreement with a life insurance subsidiary has received all necessary approvals from the appropriate state insurance regulatory authorities. For non-life insurance subsidiaries, the maximum allowable under the agreement is based on the assets of the subsidiaries and their particular cash requirements. As of September 30, 2012, we borrowed \$212.1 million from our subsidiaries and lent \$156.9 million to our subsidiaries.

Collateral Derivative Contracts

Under the terms of our OTC Derivative International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. (ISDA) agreements, we may receive from, or deliver to, counterparties, collateral to assure that all terms of the ISDA agreements will be met with regard to the Credit Support Annex (CSA). The terms of the CSA call for us to pay interest on any cash received equal to the federal funds rate. As of September 30, 2012, we held \$932.0 million of net cash collateral related to derivative contracts. As of September 30, 2012, we delivered \$32.7 million and \$11.8 million of cash collateral related to derivative contracts and credit facilities, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, we held \$757.7 million of net cash collateral related to derivative contracts. As of December 31, 2010, we held \$13.2 million of net cash collateral related to derivative contracts. As of December 31, 2010, we held \$13.2 million of net cash collateral related to derivative contracts. As of December 31, 2010, we held \$13.2 million of net cash collateral related to derivative contracts. As of December 31, 2010, we held \$13.2 million of net cash collateral related to derivative contracts. As of December 31, 2010, we held \$13.2 million of net cash collateral related to derivative contracts. As of December 31, 2010, we held \$13.2 million of net cash collateral related to derivative contracts and credit facilities, respectively. As of December 31, 2010, we held \$13.2 million of net cash collateral related to derivative contracts and credit facilities, respectively. The collateral held and delivered is included in Payables under securities loan agreements, including collateral held and Short-term investments under securities loan agreements, including collateral delivered, respectively, on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. In addition, as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and 2010, we delivered securities as collateral requirements are monitored on a daily basis and incorporate changes in market values of both the derivatives contract as well as the

Ratings

Our access to funding and our related cost of borrowing, requirements for derivatives collateral posting and the attractiveness of certain of our products to customers are affected by our credit ratings and insurance financial strength ratings, which are periodically reviewed by the rating agencies. Financial strength ratings and credit ratings are important factors affecting public confidence in an insurer and its competitive position in marketing products. The credit ratings are also important for the ability to raise capital through the issuance of debt and for the cost of such financing.

A downgrade in our credit ratings or the credit or financial strength ratings of our rated subsidiaries could potentially, among other things, limit our ability to market products, reduce our competitiveness, increase the number or value of policy surrenders and withdrawals, increase our borrowing costs and potentially make it more difficult to borrow funds, adversely affect the availability of financial guarantees or LOCs cause additional collateral requirements or other required payments under certain agreements, allow counterparties to terminate derivative agreements and/or hurt our relationships with creditors, distributors or trading counterparties thereby potentially negatively affecting our profitability, liquidity and/or capital. In addition, we consider nonperformance risk in determining the fair value of our liabilities. Therefore, changes in our credit or financial strength ratings may affect the fair value of our liabilities.

Additionally, our ratings may be influenced by the credit ratings of our indirect parent companies, ING V and ING Group. A downgrade of the credit ratings of these entities could result in downgrades of our own credit and financial strength ratings. We received explicit guarantees of our commercial paper program and certain credit facilities from ING V. A downgrade of the credit rating of ING V could impact our ability to issue commercial paper or increase the amount of collateral that we are required to provide under these credit facilities.

Financial strength ratings represent the opinions of rating agencies regarding the financial ability of an insurance company to meet its obligations under an insurance policy. Credit ratings represent the opinions of rating agencies regarding an entity s ability to repay its indebtedness. These ratings are not a recommendation to buy or hold any of our securities and they may be revised or revoked at any time at the sole discretion of the rating organization.

-130-

The financial strength and credit ratings of ING U.S., Inc. and its principal subsidiaries as of the date of this prospectus are presented in the following table. In parentheses, following the initial occurrence in the table of each rating, is an indication of that rating s relative rank within the agency s rating categories. That ranking refers only to the generic or major rating category and not to the modifiers appended to the rating by the rating agencies to denote relative position within such generic or major category. For each rating, the relative position of the rating within the relevant rating agency s ratings scale is presented, with 1 representing the best rating in the scale.

| Company | A.M. Best | Fitch | Moody s | S&P |
|--|---------------|---------------|---|----------------|
| ING U.S., Inc. | | | | |
| Commercial Paper Credit Rating | NR | F2 (2 of 7) | P-2 (2 of 4) | A-2 (2 of 8) |
| | | | Baa3 (LT Issuer Domestic) (4 of 9) Baa2 | |
| Long-term Issuer Credit Rating | bbb (4 of 10) | BBB (4 of 11) | (Senior Unsecured Foreign) (4 of 9) | BBB- (4 of 11) |
| Senior Unsecured Debt Credit Rating ⁽¹⁾ | bbb (4 of 10) | BBB- (4 of 9) | Baa3 (4 of 9) | BBB- (4 of 9) |
| ING Life Insurance and Annuity | | | | |
| Company | | | | |
| Financial Strength Rating | A (3 of 16) | A- (3 of 9) | A3 (3 of 9) | A- (3 of 9) |
| ING USA Annuity & Life Insurance | | | | |
| Company | | | | |
| Financial Strength Rating | A (3 of 16) | A- (3 of 9) | A3 (3 of 9) | A- (3 of 9) |
| Short-term Issuer Credit Rating | NR | NR | P-2 (2 of 4) | A-2 (2 of 8) |
| ReliaStar Life Insurance Company | | | | |
| Financial Strength Rating | A (3 of 16) | A- (3 of 9) | A3 (3 of 9) | A- (3 of 9) |
| Short-term Issuer Credit Rating | NR | NR | NR | A-2 (2 of 8) |
| Security Life of Denver Insurance | | | | |
| Company Financial Strength Rating | A (3 of 16) | A- (3 of 9) | A3 (3 of 9) | A- (3 of 9) |
| Short-term Issuer Credit Rating | NR | NR | P-2 (2 of 4) | A-2 (2 of 8) |
| Midwestern United Life Insurance | | | | |
| Company | | | | |
| Financial Strength Rating | A- (4 of 16) | NR | NR | A- (3 of 9) |
| Lion Connecticut Holdings Inc. | | | | |
| Long-term Issuer Credit Rating | NR | NR | Baa3 (LT Issuer) (4 of 9) | BBB-(4 of 11) |

⁽¹⁾ \$850.0 million of our 2022 Notes.

| Rating Agency A.M. Best ⁽¹⁾ | Financial Strength Rating Scale A++ to S | Long-term Issuer Credit Rating Scale aaa to rs | Senior Unsecured Debt Credit Rating Scale aaa to d | Short-term Credit Issuer Rating Scale AMB-1+ to d |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| Fitch ⁽²⁾ | AAA to C | AAA to D | AAA to C | F1 to D |
| Moody (§) | Aaa to C | Aaa to C | Aaa to C | Prime-1 to Not Prime |
| S&P ⁽⁴⁾ | AAA to R | AAA to D | AAA to D | A-1 to D |

⁽¹⁾ A.M. Best s financial strength rating is an independent opinion of an insurer s financial strength and ability to meet its ongoing insurance policy and contract obligations. It is based on a comprehensive quantitative

-131-

and qualitative evaluation of a company s balance sheet strength, operating performance and business profile. A.M. Best s long-term credit ratings reflect its assessment of the ability of an obligor to pay interest and principal in accordance with the terms of the obligation. Ratings from aa to ccc may be enhanced with a + (plus) or - (minus) to indicate whether credit quality is near the top or bottom of a category. A.M Best s short-term credit rating is an opinion to the ability of the rated entity to meet its senior financial commitments on obligations maturing in generally less than one year.

- (2) Fitch s financial strength rating provides an assessment of the financial strength of an insurance organization. The IFS Rating is assigned to the insurance company s policyholder obligations, including assumed reinsurance obligations and contract holder obligations, such as guaranteed investment contracts. Within long-term and short-term ratings, a + or a may be appended to a rating to denote relative status within major rating categories.
- (3) Moody s financial strength rating is an opinion of the ability of insurance companies to repay punctually senior policyholder claims and obligations. Moody s appends numerical modifiers 1, 2, and 3 to each generic rating classification from Aa through Caa. The modifier 1 indicates that the obligation ranks in the higher end of its generic rating category; the modifier 2 indicates a mid-range ranking; and the modifier 3 indicates a ranking in the lower end of that generic rating category. Moody s long-term credit rating is an opinion of the relative credit risk of fixed-income obligations with an original maturity of one year or more. They address the possibility that a financial obligation will not be honored as promised. Moody s short-term ratings are opinions of the ability of issuers to honor short-term financial obligations.
- (4) S&P s insurer financial strength rating is a forward-looking opinion about the financial security characteristics of an insurance organization with respect to its ability to pay under its insurance policies and contracts in accordance with their terms. A + or - indicates relative strength within a category. An S&P credit rating is an assessment of default risk, but may incorporate an assessment of relative seniority or ultimate recovery in the event of default. Short-term issuer credit ratings reflect the obligor s creditworthiness over a short-term time horizon.

Our ratings by S&P, Fitch, A.M. Best and Moody s reflect a broader view of how the financial services industry is being challenged by the current economic environment, but also are based on the rating agencies specific views of our financial strength. In making their ratings decisions, the agencies consider past and expected future capital and earnings, asset quality and risk, profitability and risk of existing liabilities and current products, market share and product distribution capabilities and direct or implied support from parent companies, including implications of the 2009 Restructuring Plan and the 2012 Amended Restructuring Plan, among other factors.

Rating agencies use an outlook statement for both industry sectors and individual companies. For an industry sector, a stable outlook generally implies that over the next 12 to 18 months the rating agency expects ratings to remain unchanged among companies in the sector. For a particular company, an outlook generally indicates a medium- or long-term trend in credit fundamentals, which if continued, may lead to a rating change.

Ratings actions affirmation and outlook changes by S&P, Moody s, Fitch and A.M. Best from December 31, 2011 and subsequently, through January 7, 2013, are as follows:

On January 7, 2013, Fitch affirmed the BBB issuer default rating and the BBB- senior debt rating of ING U.S., Inc. as well as the A-insurer financial strength ratings of its operating subsidiaries. Furthermore, Fitch removed all ratings from Ratings Watch Evolving and assigned a stable outlook to the ratings.

On July 23, 2012, A.M. Best assigned a bbb issuer credit rating to ING U.S., Inc. and a bbb debt rating to the 2022 Notes. Additionally, A.M. Best removed these ratings from under review with negative implications status and affirmed the A financial strength rating of the life insurance subsidiaries. A.M. Best assigned a stable outlook to the ratings.

On July 18, 2012, S&P assigned a BBB- senior unsecured debt rating to the 2022 Notes.

Edgar Filing: ING U.S., Inc. - Form S-1/A

-132-

On July 12, 2012, Fitch assigned a BBB- rating to the 2022 Notes and maintained a Rating Watch Evolving on all ratings of ING U.S., Inc. and subsidiaries. On June 28, 2012, Fitch assigned a BBB long-term issuer default rating to ING U.S., Inc.

On July 11, 2012, Moody s assigned a Baa3 senior debt rating to the 2022 Notes with a stable outlook.

On April 17, 2012, Moody s assigned a Baa3 guaranteed issuer rating to ING U.S., Inc. guaranteed by Lion Holdings (issuer rating Baa3, Stable outlook). Separately, Moody s affirmed the A3 insurance financial strength ratings of our insurance subsidiaries with a stable outlook.

On March 7, 2012, S&P affirmed the A- financial strength ratings on our insurance subsidiaries and the BBB- counterparty credit ratings on ING U.S., Inc. and Lion Holdings. S&P removed all ratings from Credit Watch negative and assigned a Stable outlook. Ratings actions affirmations and outlook changes by S&P, Moody s and A.M. Best in December 2011 followed the fourth quarter 2011 announcements by ING Group regarding a charge of 1.1 billion against fourth quarter results of our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment, as reflected in ING Group s 2011 financial statements reported under IFRS, are:

On December 14, 2011, A.M. Best affirmed the financial strength ratings of the life companies at A and revised the outlook to Ratings Under Review with Negative Implications from Stable.

On December 8, 2011, S&P downgraded the financial strength ratings of the life companies to A- from A and revised the outlook to Watch Negative from Stable.

On December 7, 2011, Moody s downgraded the financial strength ratings of the life companies to A3 from A2 and revised the outlook to Stable from Negative.

Potential Impact of a Ratings Downgrade

Our ability to borrow funds and the terms under which we borrow are sensitive to our short- and long-term issuer credit ratings. A downgrade of either or both of these credit ratings could increase our cost of borrowing. Additionally, a downgrade of either or both of these credit ratings could decrease the total amount of new debt that we are able to issue in the future or increase the costs associated with an issuance.

Certain of our credit facility agreements contain provisions that are linked to the credit or financial strength ratings of certain legal entities, including our indirect parent ING V. If financial strength ratings were downgraded in the future, these provisions might be triggered and counterparties to the credit facility agreements could demand collateralization which could negatively impact overall liquidity.

Based on the amount of credit outstanding as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, a one-notch downgrade of the credit ratings of ING U.S., Inc. by S&P or Moody s would have resulted in an estimated increase in our collateral requirements by approximately \$1.2 billion and \$1.2 billion, respectively. A two notch downgrade of the credit ratings of ING U.S., Inc. would not have resulted in an additional increase in our collateral requirements beyond that resulting from a one notch downgrade. The nature of the collateral that we may be required to post is principally in the form of cash and U.S. Treasury securities. Alternative forms of collateral.

Based on the amount of credit outstanding as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, a one-notch downgrade of the credit ratings of ING V would not result in an increase in our estimated collateral requirements. A two-notch downgrade of the credit ratings of ING V by S&P would have resulted in an estimated increase in our collateral requirements by approximately \$500.0 million and \$3.4 billion, respectively.

The documents governing the terms of our 2022 Notes contain provisions that provide for the adjustment of the interest rate payable on the 2022 Notes if the rating on the 2022 Notes is downgraded by Moody s or S&P.

Edgar Filing: ING U.S., Inc. - Form S-1/A

-133-

The interest rate payable on the 2022 Notes will increase by 25 basis points for each one-notch rating downgrade and is subject to reversal in the event of subsequent upgrades. In addition, if a rating on the 2022 Notes is withdrawn, suspended, or otherwise discontinued, the interest rate payable on the 2022 Notes will increase by 100 basis points for each such ratings withdrawal, suspension or discontinuation. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in no event shall the cumulative interest rate increase on the 2022 Notes as a result of all downgrades or ratings withdrawals exceed 200 basis points in the aggregate, and in no event shall the interest rate payable on the 2022 Notes be lower than 5.50%. The interest rate payable on the 2022 Notes will no longer be subject to adjustment pursuant to such provisions after the date that is 180 days following the completion of this offering.

Each 25 basis point increase in the interest rate payable on the 2022 Notes would result in a pre-tax increase in our interest payments of \$2.125 million per annum.

Certain of our reinsurance agreements contain provisions that are linked to the financial strength ratings of the individual legal entity that entered into the reinsurance agreement. If the insurance subsidiaries financial strength ratings were downgraded in the future, the terms in our reinsurance agreements might be triggered and counterparties to the credit facility agreements could demand collateralization which could negatively impact overall liquidity. Based on the amount of credit outstanding as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, a one-notch downgrade of our insurance subsidiaries would have resulted in an estimated increase in our collateral requirements by approximately \$24.0 million and \$22.8 million, respectively. The nature of the collateral that we may be required to post is principally in the form of cash, highly rated securities or LOC.

Certain of our derivative agreements contain provisions that are linked to the financial strength ratings of the individual legal entity that entered into the derivative agreement. If insurance subsidiaries financial strength ratings were downgraded in the future, the terms in our derivative agreements might be triggered and counterparties to the derivative agreements could demand immediate further collateralization which could negatively impact overall liquidity. Based on the market value of our derivatives as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, a one-notch downgrade of our insurance subsidiaries would have resulted in an estimated increase in our derivative collateral requirements by approximately \$165.0 million and \$123.0 million, respectively. The nature of the collateral that we may be required to post is principally in the form of cash and U.S. Treasury securities.

Based on the market value of our derivatives as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, a two-notch downgrade of our insurance subsidiaries would have resulted in an estimated increase in the derivative collateral requirements required by a one-notch downgrade by an additional \$2.5 million and \$6.7 million, respectively.

The amount of collateral that would be required to be posted is also dependent on the fair value of our derivative positions. For additional information on our derivative positions, see the Note for *Derivative Financial Instruments* in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Reinsurance

We have reinsurance treaties covering a portion of the mortality risks and guaranteed death and living benefits under our life insurance and annuity contracts. We remain liable to the extent our reinsurers do not meet their obligations under the reinsurance agreements.

We reinsure our business through a diversified group of well capitalized, highly rated reinsurers. We monitor trends in arbitration and any litigation outcomes with our reinsurers. Collectability of reinsurance balances are evaluated by monitoring ratings and evaluating the financial strength of its reinsurers. Large reinsurance recoverable balances with offshore or other non-accredited reinsurers are secured through various forms of collateral, including secured trusts, funds withheld accounts and irrevocable LOCs.

We utilize indemnity reinsurance agreements to reduce our exposure to losses from unhedged GMDBs in our annuity insurance business. Reinsurance permits recovery of a portion of losses from reinsurers, although it

does not discharge our primary liability as direct insurer of the risks. We evaluate the financial strength of potential reinsurers and continually monitor the financial strength and credit ratings of our reinsurers.

The S&P rating of our reinsurers with the largest reinsurance recoverable balances are all A-rated or better. These reinsurers are Lincoln National Life Insurance Company, Lincoln Life & Annuity Company of New York, Hannover US and Hannover Life Reassurance (Ireland) Limited (collectively, Hannover Re) and various subsidiaries of Reinsurance Group of America Incorporated (collectively, RGA). Only those reinsurance recoverable balances where recovery is deemed probable are recognized as assets on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

We have a significant concentration of reinsurance arising from the divestment of a block of individual life business via a reinsurance transaction prior to our acquisition of ILIAC (formerly Aetna Life Insurance and Annuity Company) in 2000. In 1998, we entered into an indemnity reinsurance agreement with a subsidiary of Lincoln National Corporation (Lincoln). The Lincoln subsidiary established a trust to secure its obligations to us under the reinsurance transaction. Of the reinsurance recoverable in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, \$2.2 billion and \$2.3 billion at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, is related to the reinsurance recoverable from the subsidiary of Lincoln under this reinsurance agreement.

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company entered into the MPA with Scottish Re and Hannover Re. See Letter of Credit Facilities. Of the Reinsurance recoverable on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, as of December 31, 2011, \$3.1 billion is related to the reinsurance recoverable from Hannover Re under this reinsurance agreement.

On December 31, 2004, the Company through its wholly owned subsidiaries, SLD and SLDI, reinsured the individual life reinsurance business (and sold certain systems and operating assets used in the individual life reinsurance business) to Scottish Re on a 100% coinsurance basis (the 2004 Transaction).

As part of the 2004 Transaction, we paid a ceding commission and transferred assets backing reserves and miscellaneous other liabilities on the individual life reinsurance to Scottish Re. The ceding commission (net of taxes), along with other reserve assets, was placed in trust for our benefit to secure Scottish Re s obligations as reinsurers of the acquired business.

On November 19, 2008, an existing reinsurance agreement between SRUS and Ballantyne Re, concerning a portion of the business that was originally ceded to Scottish Re as part of the 2004 Transaction, was novated with the result that we were substituted for SRUS as the ceding company to Ballantyne Re and made the sole beneficiary of trust assets connected with the Ballantyne Re facility. The trust assets support the reserve requirements of the business transferred from SLD to Ballantyne Re. As of September 30, 2012, trust assets supporting reserves of \$708.6 million had a market value of \$910.4 million.

Effective January 1, 2010, the Company disposed of several blocks of its reinsurance business under coinsurance agreements with various subsidiaries of RGA for \$129.8 million. Under the terms of the agreements, the Company ceded to RGA 100% of various blocks of business, including Group Life, Accident and Special Risk, Medical, Managed Care and Long-term Disability contracts. RGA established trusts with initial assets of \$625.4 million to secure its obligations to the Company under the reinsurance transaction. As of December 31, 2011, due primarily to novation, there were no remaining trust funding requirements. Of the Reinsurance recoverable on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, \$11.1 million as of December 31, 2011 is related to the reinsurance recoverable from RGA under this reinsurance agreement.

For additional information on our reinsurance arrangements, see the Note for Reinsurance in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

-135-

Statutory Capital and Risk-Based Capital

Each of our wholly owned U.S. insurance subsidiaries is subject to minimum RBC requirements established by the insurance departments of their applicable state of domicile. The formulas for determining the amount of RBC specify various weighting factors that are applied to financial balances or various levels of activity based on the perceived degree of risk. Regulatory compliance is determined by a ratio of TAC, as defined by the NAIC, to RBC requirements, as defined by the NAIC. Each of ING U.S., Inc. s United States insurance subsidiaries exceeded the minimum RBC requirements that would require regulatory or corrective action for all periods presented herein.

Our wholly owned insurance subsidiaries are required to prepare statutory financial statements in accordance with statutory accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the insurance department of the state of domicile of the respective insurance subsidiary. Statutory accounting practices primarily differ from GAAP by charging policy acquisition costs to expense as incurred, establishing future policy benefit liabilities using different actuarial assumptions as well as valuing investments and certain assets and accounting for deferred taxes on a different basis. Certain assets that are not admitted under statutory accounting principles are charged directly to surplus. Depending on the regulations of the insurance department of the state of domicile, the entire amount or a portion of an asset balance can be non-admitted depending on specific rules regarding admissibility. The most significant non-admitted assets are typically deferred tax assets. Refer to the discussion below regarding Statement of Statutory Accounting Principles (SSAP) No. 10R and No. 101 for additional information on the admissibility of deferred tax assets.

Statutory capital and surplus of our principal insurance subsidiaries is as follows for the periods presented:

| (\$ in millions) | Statutory Capital and Surplus | | | | | |
|---|--|------------|------------|-----------------|------------|--|
| | As of September 30, As of December 31, | | | 1, | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Subsidiary Name (state of domicile): | | | | | | |
| ING USA Annuity and Life Insurance Company (IA) | \$ 2,133.2 | \$ 1,826.6 | \$ 2,222.0 | \$ 1,724.7 | \$ 1,485.1 | |
| ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company (CT) | 1,696.4 | 1,937.0 | 1,931.9 | 1,688.3(1) | 1,762.1 | |
| Security Life of Denver Insurance Company (CO) | 1,642.8 | 1,520.2 | 1,519.5 | 1,457.0 | 1,697.5 | |
| ReliaStar Life Insurance Company (MN) | 2,148.6 | 2,231.9 | 2,104.3 | $2,078.1^{(2)}$ | 2,190.3 | |

⁽¹⁾ As prescribed by statutory accounting practices, ILIAC statutory surplus as of December 31, 2010 included the impact of \$150.0 million capital contribution received by ILIAC from its immediate parent, Lion Holdings, on February 18, 2011.

⁽²⁾ As prescribed by statutory accounting practices, RLI statutory surplus as of December 31, 2010 included the impact of \$50.0 million capital contribution received by RLI from its immediate parent, Lion Holdings, on February 18, 2011.

We monitor the ratio of our insurance subsidiaries TAC to company action level risk-based capital (CAL). A ratio in excess of 125% indicates that the insurance subsidiary is not required to take any corrective actions to increase capital levels at the direction of the applicable state of domicile.

The ratio of TAC to CAL on a combined basis for our four principal insurance subsidiaries (ING USA, ILIAC, SLD and RLI) is set out below for the periods presented:

| (\$ in millions, | except ratios) | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------------------|-------|------------|--------------------|-------|------------|--------------------|-------|
| As o | f December 31, 201 | 1 | As o | f December 31, 201 | 10 | As o | f December 31, 200 |)9 |
| CAL | TAC | Ratio | CAL | TAC | Ratio | CAL | TAC | Ratio |
| \$ 1,655.0 | \$ 8,071.0 | 488% | \$ 1,644.0 | \$ 6,998.0 | 426% | \$ 1,802.0 | \$ 6,515.0 | 362% |

-136-

Statutory reserves established for variable annuity contracts and riders are sensitive to changes in the equity markets and are affected by the level of account values relative to the level of any guarantees, product design and reinsurance arrangements. As a result, the relationship between reserve changes and equity market performance is non-linear during any given reporting period. Market conditions greatly influence the ultimate capital required due to its effect on the valuation of reserves and derivative assets hedging these reserves.

The sensitivity of our insurance subsidiaries statutory reserves and surplus established for variable annuity contracts and certain minimum interest rate guarantees to changes in the interest rates, credit spreads and equity markets will vary depending on the magnitude of the decline. The sensitivity will be affected by the level of account values, the level of guaranteed amounts and product design. Should statutory reserves increase, this could result in future reductions in our insurance subsidiaries surplus, which may also impact RBC. Adverse changes in interest rates and the continued widening of credit spreads may result in an increase in the reserves for product guarantees which adversely impact statutory surplus, which may also impact RBC.

RBC is also affected by the product mix of the in force book of business (i.e., the amount of business without guarantees is not subject to the same level of reserves as the business with guarantees). RBC is an important factor in the determination of the credit and financial strength ratings of ING U.S., Inc. and our insurance subsidiaries.

Effective December 31, 2009, our insurance subsidiaries adopted AG43 for its statutory basis of accounting. The adoption of AG43 resulted in higher reserves than those calculated under previous standards by \$293.0 million. Where the application of AG43 produces higher reserves than our insurance subsidiaries had otherwise established under previous standards, we may request permission from the respective state insurance departments to grade-in the impact of higher reserves over a three year period. This grade-in provision was elected for some of our insurance subsidiaries, as allowed under AG43 and as approved by the applicable insurance regulator of domicile, which allows such insurance subsidiaries to reflect the impact of adoption over a three year period. The impact of the grade-in for the year ended December 31, 2010 was an increase in reserves and a corresponding decrease in statutory surplus of \$23.0 million. The grade-in did not have an impact on reserves or statutory surplus in 2011.

In June 2012, in conjunction with a limited scope examination of ING USA s AG43 variable annuity reserves, we agreed with the Iowa Insurance Division that by December 31, 2012 we would implement a revised prudent margin (i.e., provision for adverse deviation) to the assumed mortality for our block of GMIB and GMWBL liabilities ceded from ING USA to SLDI. This revision will not alter our best estimate mortality assumption used in our GAAP financial statements. It will increase our gross AG43 reserves before ceded reinsurance. Had this prudent margin been reflected in ING USA s financial statement as of December 31, 2011, ING USA s gross AG43 reserves would have been \$300.0 million greater and the related reserve ceded to SLDI would have been \$360.0 million more. Thus, the net reserve impact to statutory reserves at ING USA would have been \$60.0 million favorable and SLDI would have been required to increase collateral in support of ceded reserves (i.e., qualifying assets in trust or approved LOCs) in the amount of \$360.0 million. The impact of this revision as of December 31, 2012 is not yet determinable and will depend primarily on 2012 market conditions.

Effective December 31, 2009, our insurance subsidiaries adopted SSAP No. 10R, Income Taxes (SSAP 10R), for our statutory basis of accounting. This statement requires our insurance subsidiaries to calculate admitted deferred tax assets based upon what is expected to reverse within one year with a cap on the admitted portion of the deferred tax asset equal to 10% of capital and surplus for its most recently filed statement. If our RBC levels of our insurance subsidiaries, after reflecting the above limitation, exceeds 250% of the authorized control level, SSAP 10R increases the reversal period on admitted deferred tax assets from one year to three years and increases the limitation on the admitted portion of the deferred tax assets from one year to three years and increases the limitation on the admitted portion of the deferred tax assets from one year to three years and increases the limitation on the admitted portion of the deferred tax assets from one year to three years and increases the limitation on the admitted portion of the deferred tax asset from 10% of capital and surplus for its most recently filed statement to 15%. Other revisions in SSAP 10R include the requirement for our insurance subsidiaries to reduce the deferred tax asset by a statutory valuation allowance adjustment if, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not (a likelihood of more than 50%) that some portion of

-137-

or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. To temporarily mitigate this RBC impact and as a temporary measure at December 31, 2009 only, a 5% pre-tax RBC charge must be applied to the additional admitted deferred tax assets generated by SSAP 10R. The adoption for 2009 had a December 31, 2009 sunset; however, during 2010, the 2009 adoption, including the 5% pre-tax RBC charge, was extended through December 31, 2011. The effects on our insurance subsidiaries 2009 financial statements of adopting this change in accounting principle at December 31, 2009 were increases to total assets and capital and surplus of \$303.7 million. This adoption had no impact on total liabilities or net income (loss).

Effective January 1, 2012, our insurance subsidiaries adopted statutory basis of accounting SSAP No. 101, Income Taxes (SSAP 101), a replacement of SSAP 10R and SSAP No. 10. SSAP 101, provides revised statutory accounting principles for current and deferred federal income taxes. There is a three part admissibility test for calculating admitted deferred tax assets. The first part of the admissibility test requires a reversal period that corresponds to the tax loss carryback provisions of the Internal Revenue Code (not to exceed three years). The second part of the admissibility test establishes reversal periods and surplus limitation parameters (one year and 10 percent or three years and 15 percent) based upon RBC levels. The third part of the admissibility test adds a requirement that the reporting entity offset gross deferred tax assets against deferred tax liabilities (considering the reversal patterns of temporary differences). The effects on the insurance subsidiaries 2012 statutory-based financial statements of adopting this change in accounting principle at January 1, 2012 were an increase to statutory-based total assets and statutory-based capital and surplus of \$66.0 million.

Pension and Postretirement Plans

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 we contributed \$79.4 million and \$152.7 million, respectively, to our pension plans and \$3.3 million and \$4.6 million to our postretirement plans. We contributed \$173.1 million, \$43.2 million and \$23.6 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, to our pension plans; and \$4.9 million, \$6.1 million and \$6.1 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, to our postretirement plans.

We expect that we will make additional cash contributions during the remaining three months of 2012, based upon certain economic and business assumptions. These assumptions include, but are not limited to, equity market performance and changes in interest rates. We are also reviewing the impacts, if any, of the pension stabilization language found in the MAP-21 legislation. The legislation does not impact any contributions that were made during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Based on our actuarial assumptions, if we were to incorporate the provisions of MAP-21, we expect that it would reduce the required contributions to the plan in 2013, however, using the MAP-21 funding relief in the near term could lead to increased PBGC variable-rate premiums and/or increases in plan funding in the years following 2013. For additional information on our pension and postretirement plan arrangements, see the Note for *Employee Benefit Arrangements* in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Through the normal course of investment operations, we commit to either purchase or sell securities, commercial mortgage loans, or money market instruments, at a specified future date and at a specified price or yield. The inability of counterparties to honor these commitments may result in either a higher or lower replacement cost. Also, there is likely to be a change in the value of the securities underlying the commitments.

At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and 2010, we had off-balance sheet commitments to purchase investments equal to their fair value of \$954.1 million, \$1.3 billion and \$1.6 billion, respectively, of which \$240.9 million, \$470.9 million and \$634.1 million, respectively, relates to consolidated investment entities.

-138-

Aggregate Contractual Obligations

As of December 31, 2011, we had certain contractual obligations due over a period of time as presented in the following table. The estimated payments reflected in this table are based on our estimates and assumptions about these obligations. Because these estimates and assumptions are necessarily subjective, the actual cash outflows in future periods will vary, possibly materially, from those presented in the table.

| | | Less than 1 | | | More than 5 |
|---|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| (\$ in millions) | Total | Year | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years | Years |
| Contractual Obligations | | | | | |
| Purchase obligations ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 1,367.3 | \$ 1,367.3 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Reserves for insurance obligations ⁽²⁾ | 135,343.8 | 14,305.3 | 21,890.4 | 19,608.0 | 79,540.1 |
| Pension obligations ⁽³⁾ | 986.1 | 91.1 | 185.2 | 191.8 | 518.0 |
| Short-term and long-term debt obligations ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾ | 3,960.4 | 1,115.3 | 271.5 | 144.0 | 2,429.6 |
| Operating leases ⁽⁸⁾ | 182.3 | 46.6 | 64.4 | 38.8 | 32.5 |
| Securities lending and repurchase agreements ⁽⁹⁾ | 1,024.1 | 1,024.1 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 142,864.0 | \$ 17,949.7 | \$ 22,411.5 | \$ 19,982.6 | \$ 82,520.2 |

⁽¹⁾ Purchase obligations consist primarily of outstanding commitments under alternative investments that may occur any time within the terms of the partnership, private loans and mortgages. The exact timing, however, of funding these commitments cannot be estimated. Therefore, the total amount of the commitments is included in the category Less than 1 Year.

- (2) Reserves for insurance obligations consist of amounts required to meet our future obligations for future policy benefits and contract owner account balances. Amounts presented in the table represent estimated cash payments under such contracts, including significant assumptions related to the receipt of future premiums, mortality, morbidity, lapse, renewal, retirement, disability and annuitization comparable with actual experience. These assumptions also include market growth and interest crediting consistent with assumptions used in amortizing DAC. All estimated cash payments are undiscounted for the time value of money. Accordingly, the sum of cash flows presented for all years of \$135.3 billion significantly exceeds the sum of Future policy benefits and Contract owner account balances of \$88.4 billion recorded on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011. Estimated cash payments are also presented gross of reinsurance. Due to the significance of the assumptions used, the amounts presented could materially differ from actual results.
- ⁽³⁾ Pension obligations consist of contribution matching obligations and other supplemental retirement and insurance obligations, under various benefit plans.
- (4) The estimated payments due by period for long-term debt reflects the contractual maturities of principal, as disclosed in Financing Agreements in our Consolidated Financial Statements, as well as estimated future interest payments. The payment of principal and estimated future interest for short-term debt are reflected in estimated payments due in less than one year. See Financing Agreements in our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information concerning the short-term and long-term debt.
- ⁽⁵⁾ On April 12, 2012, the maturity for ING U.S., Inc. s \$500.0 million floating rate loan agreement with ING V was extended until 2016. As a result, amounts included in short-term and long-term debt obligations less than 1 year have decreased by \$500.0 million and amounts included in 3-5 years will increase by \$500.0 million, after the date as of which this table is presented.
- ⁽⁶⁾ On April 20, 2012, ING U.S., Inc. borrowed a total of \$2.0 billion under its Senior Unsecured Credit Facility. In July 2012, we repaid a total of \$75.0 million of these borrowings, as a result amounts included in short-term and long-term debt obligations less than 1 year and 1-3 years have increased by \$225.0 million and \$1.7 billion, respectively, after the date as of which this table is presented.
- (7) On July 13, 2012, we issued \$850.0 million of 2022 Notes, which increased short-term and long-term debt obligations more than 5 years. As a result, \$500.0 million was used to repay the Revolving Credit Agreement under the Senior Unsecured Credit Facility which was included in short-term and long-term debt

obligations of 1-3 years. The remaining \$350.0 million, less discounts and offering expenses, is expected to be used to reduce short-term and long-term debt obligations less than 1 year, after the date as of which this table is presented.

- ⁽⁸⁾ Operating leases consist primarily of outstanding commitments for office space, equipment and automobiles.
- (9) Payables under securities loan agreements including collateral held represents the liability to return collateral received from counterparties under securities lending agreements. Securities lending agreements include provisions which permit the Company to call back securities with minimal notice and accordingly, the payable is classified as having a term of less than 1 year.

Critical Accounting Judgments and Estimates

General

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Critical estimates and assumptions are evaluated on an on-going basis based on historical developments, market conditions, industry trends, and other information that is reasonable under the circumstances. There can be no assurance that actual results will conform to estimates and assumptions and that reported results of operations will not be materially affected by the need to make future accounting adjustments to reflect changes in these estimates and assumptions from time to time.

We have identified the following accounting policies, judgments and estimates as critical in that they involve a higher degree of judgment and are subject to a significant degree of variability:

Reserves for future policy benefits, DAC/VOBA and other intangibles and related amortization (including unlocking), valuation of investments and derivatives, impairments, income taxes, contingencies and employee benefit plans.

In developing these accounting estimates and policies, we make subjective and complex judgments that are inherently uncertain and subject to material changes as facts and circumstances develop. Although variability is inherent in these estimates, we believe the amounts provided are appropriate based upon the facts available upon preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The above critical accounting estimates are described in the *Business, Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies* note to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Reserves for Future Policy Benefits

The determination of future policy benefit reserves is dependent on actuarial assumptions. The principal assumptions used to establish liabilities for future policy benefits are based on our experience and periodically reviewed against industry standards. These assumptions include mortality, morbidity, policy lapse, contract renewal, payment of subsequent premiums or deposits by the contract owner, retirement, investment returns, inflation, benefit utilization and expenses. The assumptions used require considerable judgments. Changes in, or deviations from, the assumptions used can significantly affect our reserve levels and related results of operations.

Mortality is the incidence of death amongst policyholders triggering the payment of underlying insurance coverage by the insurer. In addition, mortality also refers to the ceasing of payments on life-contingent annuities due to the death of the annuitant. We utilize a combination of actual and industry experience when setting our mortality assumptions. A lapse rate is the percentage of in-force policies surrendered by the policyholder or canceled by us due to non-payment of premiums. For certain of our variable products, the lapse rate assumption

-140-

varies according to the current account value relative to guarantees associated with the product and applicable surrender charges. In general, policies with guarantees that are considered in the money, or where the benefit is in excess of the account value, are assumed to be less likely to lapse or surrender. Conversely, out of the money guarantees may be assumed to be more likely to lapse or surrender as the policyholder has less incentive to retain the policy.

See the Notes for *Reserves for Future Policy Benefits and Contract Owner Account Balances* and *Guaranteed Benefit Features* in our Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on our reserves for future policy benefits and product guarantees.

Insurance and Other Reserves

Reserves for traditional life insurance contracts (mainly term insurance, participating and non-participating whole life insurance, traditional group life insurance) and accident and health insurance represent the present value of future benefits to be paid to or on behalf of contract owners and related expenses, less the present value of future net premiums. Assumptions as to interest rates, mortality, expenses and persistency are based upon our estimates of anticipated experience at the period the policy is sold or acquired, including a provision for adverse deviation. Interest rates used to calculate the present value of these reserves ranged from 2.5% to 7.7%.

Reserves for individual and group traditional fixed annuities after annuitization and individual immediate annuities with life contingent payout benefits are equal to the present value of expected future payments. Assumptions as to interest rates, mortality, and expenses are based upon our estimates of anticipated experience at the period the policy is sold or acquired, including a provision for adverse deviation. Such assumptions generally vary by annuity type plan, year of issue, and policy duration. Interest rates used to calculate the present values of future benefits ranged from 3.0% to 7.5%.

Although assumptions are locked-in upon the issuance of traditional life insurance, traditional fixed annuities after annuitization, immediate annuities with life contingent payout benefits, and certain accident and health insurance, significant changes in experience or assumptions may require us to provide for expected future losses on a product by establishing premium deficiency reserves. Premium deficiency reserves are determined based on best estimate assumptions that exist at the time the premium deficiency reserve is established and do not include a margin for adverse deviations.

See Valuation and Amortization of Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs, Value of Business Acquired, and Other Intangibles and Related Amortization for further discussion of our URR.

Product Guarantees

The assumptions used to establish the liabilities for our product guarantees require considerable judgment and are established as management s best estimate of future outcomes. We periodically review these assumptions and, if necessary, update them based on additional information that becomes available. Changes in, or deviation from, the assumptions used can significantly affect our reserve levels, and related results of operations.

Reserves for annuity GMDB and GMIB are determined by estimating the value of expected benefits in excess of the projected account balance and recognizing the excess ratably over the accumulation period based on total expected assessments. Expected experience is based on a range of scenarios. Assumptions used, such as the long-term equity market return, lapse rate, and mortality, are consistent with assumptions used in estimating gross revenues for the purpose of amortizing DAC. In addition, the reserve for the GMIB guarantee incorporates assumptions for the likelihood and timing of the potential annuitizations that may be elected by the contract owner. In general, we assume that GMIB annuitization rates will be higher for policies with more valuable (more in the money) guarantees.

We also issue certain products which contain embedded derivatives and are measured at estimated fair value separately from the host contract. These embedded derivatives include annuity GMAB, GMWBL, FIAs,

and Stabilizer. The managed custody guarantee product (MCG) is a standalone derivative and is measured in its entirety at estimated fair value. Changes in estimated fair value of these derivatives are reported in Other net realized capital gains (losses) in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

At inception of the GMAB, GMWB, and GMWBL contracts, we project a fee to be attributed to the embedded derivative portion of the guarantee equal to the present value of projected future guaranteed benefits. The estimated fair value of the GMAB, GMWB, and GMWBL contracts is determined based on the present value of projected future guaranteed benefits, minus the present value of projected attributed fees. A risk neutral valuation methodology is used under which the cash flows from the guarantees are projected under multiple capital market scenarios using observable risk free rates. The projection of future guaranteed benefits and future attributed fees require the use of assumptions for capital markets (e.g. implied volatilities, correlation among indices, risk-free swap curve, etc.) and policyholder behavior (e.g. lapse, benefit utilization, mortality, etc.).

We have only minimal experience on policyholder behavior for our GMIB and GMWBL products and, as a result, future experience could lead to significant changes in our assumptions. Our GMIB contracts have a ten-year waiting period before annuitization is available, with most of these GMIB contracts issued during the period 2004 to 2006. These contracts first become eligible to annuitize during the period 2014 to 2016, but contain significant incentives to delay annuitization beyond the first eligibility date. As a result, to date we have only a statistically small sample of experience used to set annuitization rates. Therefore, we anticipate that observable experience data will become statistically credible later this decade, when a large volume of GMIB benefits begin to reach their maximum benefit over the four-year period from 2019 to 2022. It is possible, however, that policyholders may choose to annuitize soon after the first annuitization date, rather than delay annuitization to receive increased guarantee benefits, in which case we may have statistically credible experience as early as in the period from 2014 to 2016.

Similarly, most of our GMWBL contracts are still in the first three to five policy years, so our assumptions for withdrawal from contracts with GMWBL benefits may change as experience emerges over the next five to seven years. In addition, like our GMIB contracts, many of our GMWBL contracts contain significant incentives to delay withdrawal. We expect customer decisions on annuitization and withdrawal will be influenced by customers financial plans and needs as well as by interest rate and market conditions over time and by the availability and features of competing products. If emerging experience deviates from our assumptions on either GMIB annuitization or GMWBL withdrawal, such could have a significant effect on our reserve levels and related results of operation.

We also make estimates of expected lapse of these products, which is the probability that a policy will not remain in force from one period to the next. Lapse rates of our annuity products may be significantly impacted by the value of guaranteed minimum benefits relative to the value of the underlying separate accounts (account value or account balance). In general, policies with guarantees that are in the money (i.e., where the notional benefit amount is in excess of the account value) are assumed to be less likely to lapse. Conversely, out of the money guarantees are assumed to be more likely to lapse as the policyholder has less incentive to retain the policy.

Our lapse rate experience of these products has varied significantly over the period from 2006 to the present, reflecting among other factors, both pre- and post-financial crisis experience. During the early years of this period, our lapse rate experience was higher than our current best estimate of policyholder lapse behavior would have indicated; in the later part of this period, after mid-2009, it was lower. Management s best estimate of lapse behavior incorporates actual experience over the entire period, as we believe that, over the duration of the policies, we will experience the full range of policyholder behavior and market conditions.

We review overall policyholder experience at least annually (including lapse, annuitization, withdrawal and mortality), and update these assumptions when deemed necessary based on additional information that becomes available. If actual lapse rates are significantly different from those assumed, such could have a significant effect on our reserve levels and related results of operation. We increased reserves in the fourth quarter of 2011 after a comprehensive review of our assumptions relating to lapses, mortality, annuitization of income benefits and

-142-

utilization of withdrawal benefits. The review in 2011 included an analysis of a larger body of actual experience than was previously available, including a longer period with low equity markets and interest rates, which we believe provided greater insight into anticipated policyholder behavior for contracts that are in the money. This resulted in an increase of GAAP reserves of \$741 million and gross U.S. statutory reserves of \$2,776 million in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Reserves for universal and variable life secondary guarantees and paid-up guarantees are calculated by estimating the expected value of death benefits payable and recognizing those benefits ratably over the accumulation period based on total expected assessments. The reserve for such products recognizes the portion of contract assessments received in early years used to compensate us for benefits provided in later years. Assumptions used, such as the interest rate, lapse rate and mortality, are consistent with assumptions used in estimating gross profits for purposes of amortizing DAC.

The estimated fair value of the FIA contracts is based on the present value of the excess of interest payments to the contract holders over the minimum guaranteed interest rate (MGIR). The excess interest payments are determined as the excess of projected index driven benefits over the projected guaranteed benefits. The projection horizon is over the anticipated life of the related contracts which takes into account best estimate actuarial assumptions, such as partial withdrawals, full surrenders, deaths, annuitizations and maturities.

The estimated fair value of the Stabilizer and MCG contracts is determined based on the present value of projected future claims minus the present value of future guaranteed premiums. At inception of the contract, we project a guaranteed premium to be equal to the present value of the projected future claims. The income associated with the contracts is projected using actuarial and capital market assumptions, including benefits and related contract charges, over the anticipated life of the related contracts. The cash flow estimates are projected under multiple capital market scenarios using observable risk-free rates and other best estimate assumptions.

The GMAB, GMWB, GMWBL, FIA, and Stabilizer embedded derivative liabilities and the standalone derivative for MCG include a risk margin to capture uncertainties related to policyholder behavior assumptions. The margin represents additional compensation a market participant would require to assume these risks.

The discount rate used to determine the fair value of our GMAB, GMWB, GMWBL, FIA, and Stabilizer embedded derivative liabilities and the standalone derivative for MCG includes an adjustment to reflect the risk that these obligations will not be fulfilled (nonperformance risk). As of September 30, 2012, the adjustment for nonperformance risk resulted in a \$1,401.1 million decrease to the fair value of the embedded derivatives and standalone derivative associated with our product guarantees. Through the second quarter of 2012, our nonperformance risk adjustment was based on the CDS spreads of ING V, the indirect parent of ING U.S., and applied to the risk-free swap curve in our valuation models. As a result of the availability of our own market observable data following our issuance of the 2022 Notes in the third quarter of 2012, we changed the estimate of nonperformance risk as of the beginning of the third quarter of 2012 to incorporate a blend of observable, similarly rated peer holding company CDS spreads, adjusted to reflect the credit quality of our individual insurance subsidiary that issued the guarantee as well as an adjustment to reflect the priority of policyholder claims.

See Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosure About Market Risk for additional information regarding the specific hedging strategies and reinsurance we utilize to mitigate risk for the product guarantees. Sensitivities of the GMAB, GMWB, GMWBL, FIA, and Stabilizer embedded derivative liabilities and the standalone derivative for MCG to changes in certain capital markets assumptions is also discussed.

Valuation and Amortization of Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs, Value of Business Acquired, and Other Intangibles and Related Amortization

DAC represents policy acquisition costs that have been capitalized and are subject to amortization and interest. Capitalized costs are incremental, direct costs of contract acquisition, as well as certain costs that are

-143-

directly related to successful acquisition activities. Such costs consist principally of commissions, underwriting, sales, and contract issuance and processing expenses directly related to the successful acquisition of new and renewal business. DAC recoverability testing is performed for current issue year products to determine if premiums are sufficient to cover estimated benefits and expenses. Indirect or unsuccessful acquisition costs, maintenance, product development, and overhead expenses are charged to expense as incurred. VOBA represents the outstanding value of in force business acquired and is subject to amortization and interest. The value is based on the present value of estimated net cash flows embedded in the insurance contracts at the time of the acquisition and increased for subsequent deferrable expenses on purchased policies.

DSI represents benefits paid to contract owners for a specified period that are incremental to the amounts we credit on similar contracts without sales inducements and are higher than the contract s expected ongoing crediting rates for periods after the inducement. URR relates to universal and variable universal life products and represents policy charges for benefits or services to be provided in future periods.

Collectively, we refer to DAC, VOBA, DSI and URR as DAC/VOBA and other intangibles. See the Note for *Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs and Value of Business Acquired* in our Consolidated Financial statements for additional information on the DAC/VOBA and other intangibles balances.

Amortization Methodologies

We amortize DAC and VOBA related to traditional contracts (term insurance, participating and non-participating whole life insurance, and traditional group life insurance) and certain accident and health insurance over the premium payment period in proportion to the present value of expected gross premiums. Assumptions as to mortality, morbidity, persistency, and interest rates, which include provisions for adverse deviation, are consistent with the assumptions used to calculate reserves for future policy benefits. These assumptions are locked-in at issue and not revised unless the DAC or VOBA balance is deemed to be unrecoverable from future expected profits. DAC recoverability testing is performed for current issue year products to determine if net premiums are sufficient to cover estimated benefits and expenses. In subsequent periods, the recoverability of the DAC or VOBA balances are determined by assessing whether future gross profits are sufficient to amortize the DAC or VOBA balances as well as provide for expected future benefits and maintenance costs. If a premium deficiency is deemed to be present, charges will be applied against the DAC and VOBA balances before an additional reserve is established. Absent such a premium deficiency, variability in amortization after policy issuance or acquisition relates only to variability in premium volumes.

We amortize DAC and VOBA related to fixed and variable universal life contracts and fixed and variable deferred annuity contracts over the estimated lives of the contracts in relation to the emergence of estimated gross profits. Assumptions as to mortality, persistency, interest crediting rates, fee income, returns associated with separate account performance, impact of hedge performance, expenses to administer the business, and certain economic variables, such as inflation, are based on our experience and overall capital markets. At each valuation date, the most recent quarter s estimated gross profits are updated with actual gross profits and the assumptions underlying future estimated gross profits are evaluated for continued reasonableness. Adjustments to estimated gross profits require that amortization rates be revised retroactively to the date of the contract issuance (unlocking). If the update of assumptions causes estimated gross profits to increase, DAC and VOBA amortization will decrease, resulting in a current period increase to earnings. The opposite result occurs when the assumption update causes estimated gross profits to decrease.

For variable deferred annuity contracts within Closed Block Variable Annuity, the Company amortizes DAC and VOBA in relation to the emergence of estimated gross revenue. For GICs and Employee Benefit stop-loss and certain life, disability, and voluntary employee paid products, acquisition costs are expensed as incurred.

We defer sales inducements and amortize the DSI over the life of the policy using the same methodology and assumptions used to amortize DAC. The amortization of sales inducements is included in Interest credited to contract owner account balances in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

-144-

URR is amortized over the expected life of the contract in proportion to the estimated gross profits in a manner consistent with DAC for these products. The amortization of URR is included in Fee income in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Each year, or more frequently if circumstances indicate a potential loss recognition issue exists, we perform testing to assess the recoverability of DAC/VOBA and other intangibles. If DAC/VOBA and other intangibles are not deemed recoverable from future expected profits, changes will be applied against the DAC/VOBA and other intangibles before an additional reserve is established.

Assumptions and Periodic Review

Changes in assumptions can have a significant impact on DAC/VOBA and other intangibles balances, amortization rates and results of operations. Assumptions are management s best estimate of future outcome. Several assumptions are considered significant and require significant judgment in the estimation of gross profits associated with our variable products. We periodically review these assumptions against actual experience and, based on additional information that becomes available, update our assumptions.

One significant assumption is the assumed return associated with the variable account performance, which has historically had a greater impact on variable annuity than variable universal life products. To reflect the volatility in the equity markets, this assumption involves a combination of near-term expectations and long-term assumptions regarding market performance. The overall return on the variable account is dependent on multiple factors, including the relative mix of the underlying sub-accounts among bond funds and equity funds, as well as equity sector weightings. Our practice assumes that near-term and long-term increases or decreases in equity markets revert to the long-term appreciation in equity markets. We monitor market events and only change the assumption when sustained deviations are expected. This methodology incorporates a 9% long-term equity return assumption, a 14% cap and a five-year look-forward period. We implemented this reversion to the mean methodology on January 1, 2011.

Another significant assumption used in the estimation of future gross profits for fixed and variable universal life products is mortality. We utilize a combination of actual and industry experience when setting our mortality assumptions, and are consistent with the assumptions used to calculate reserves for future policy benefits.

Assumptions related to interest rate spreads and credit losses also impact estimated gross profits for all applicable products with credited rates. These assumptions are based on the current investment portfolio yields and credit quality, estimated future crediting rates, capital markets, and estimates of future interest rates and defaults.

Other significant assumptions include estimated policyholder behavior assumptions, such as surrender, lapse, and annuitization rates. We use a combination of actual and industry experience when setting and updating our policyholder behavior assumptions and such assumptions require considerable judgment. Estimated gross profits of our variable annuity contracts are particularly sensitive to these assumptions.

We include the impact of the change in value of the embedded derivative associated with the FIA contracts in gross profits for purposes of determining DAC amortization. When performing loss recognition testing on the GMAB, GMWB, GMWBL contracts, we include the change in value of the associated embedded derivatives in gross profits. In addition, we utilize a hedging program to mitigate the exposure of our Closed Block Variable Annuity segment to adverse capital market results, economic downturns and seek to ensure that the required assets are available to satisfy future death and living benefit guarantees. In general, our variable annuity hedge program generates gains and losses that mitigate our exposure to these guarantees. As our hedging program does not explicitly hedge the GAAP liability, we typically experience breakage , or a difference between the change in the GAAP liability and the change in the corresponding derivative instrument. We include the impact of our hedging activities supporting our death and living benefit guarantees in gross profits when performing loss recognition testing.

Sensitivity

We perform sensitivity analyses to assess the impact that certain assumptions have on DAC/VOBA and other intangibles. The following table presents the estimated instantaneous net impact to income before income taxes of various assumption changes on our DAC/VOBA and other intangibles and the impact on related reserves for future policy benefits and reinsurance. The effects presented are not representative of the aggregate impacts that could result if a combination of such changes to equity markets, interest rates and other assumptions occurred.

Immediate One-time Impact to Income Before Income Taxes

| (\$ millions) | As of December 31, 2011 | |
|--|-------------------------|---------|
| Decrease long-term rate of return assumption by 100 basis points | \$ | (246.4) |
| Decrease long-term interest rate assumption by 50 basis points | | (117.5) |
| Increase long-term interest rate assumption by 50 basis points | | 110.6 |
| Increase future mortality assumption by 1% | | (32.4) |
| A 10% decrease in equity market values | | (317.5) |

Assumptions regarding shifts in market factors may be overly simplistic and not indicative of actual market behavior in stress scenarios.

Lower assumed equity rates of return, lower assumed interest rates, increased assumed future mortality and decreases in equity market values all tend to decrease the balances of DAC/VOBA and other intangibles and to increase future policy benefit reserves, thus decreasing income before income taxes.

Higher assumed interest rates tend to increase the balances of DAC/VOBA and other intangibles and to decrease future policy benefit reserves, thus increasing income before income taxes.

Valuation of Investments and Derivatives

Our investment portfolio consists of public and private fixed maturity securities, commercial mortgage and other loans, equity securities, short-term investments, other invested assets, and derivative financial instruments. Fixed maturity and equity securities are primarily classified as available-for-sale and are carried at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheets with the difference from amortized cost included in Shareholder s equity as a component of AOCI. We use derivatives mainly to provide an economic hedge of our exposure to variability of cash flows, interest rate risk, credit risk, exchange rate risk and market risk of assets and liabilities. See the Notes for *Investments* and *Derivative Financial Instruments* in our Consolidated Financial Statements for further information. We also issue certain products which contain embedded derivatives. See Critical Accounting Judgments and Estimates Reserves for Future Policy Benefits for further information.

Investments

We measure the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities based on assumptions used by market participants, which may include inherent risk, restrictions on the sale or use of an asset, or nonperformance risk, including our own credit risk. The estimate of fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants (exit price) in the principal market, or the most advantageous market in the absence of a principal market, for that asset or liability. We use a number of valuation sources to determine the fair values of our financial assets and liabilities, including quoted market prices, third-party commercial pricing services, third-party brokers, and industry-standard, vendor-provided software that models the value based on market observable inputs, and other internal modeling techniques based on projected cash flows.

We categorize our financial instruments into a three-level hierarchy based on the priority of the inputs to the valuation technique. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for

identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). If the inputs used to measure fair value fall within different levels of the hierarchy, the category level is based on the lowest priority level input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the instrument.

When available, the estimated fair value of securities is based on quoted prices in active markets that are readily and regularly obtainable. When quoted prices in active markets are not available, the determination of estimated fair value is based on market standard valuation methodologies, including discounted cash flows, matrix pricing, or other similar techniques. Inputs to these methodologies include, but are not limited to, market observable inputs such as benchmark yields, credit quality, issuer spreads, bids, offers, and cash flow characteristics of the security. For privately placed bonds, we also consider such factors as the net worth of the borrower, value of the collateral, the capital structure of the borrower, the presence of guarantees and the borrower s ability to compete in its relevant market. Valuations are reviewed and validated monthly by an internal valuation committee using price variance reports, comparisons to internal pricing models, back testing of recent trades, and monitoring of trading volumes, as appropriate.

The valuation of financial assets and liabilities involves considerable judgment, is subject to considerable variability, is established using management s best estimate and is revised as additional information becomes available. As such, changes in, or deviations from the assumptions used in such valuations can significantly affect our results of operations. Financial markets are subject to significant movements in valuation and liquidity which can impact our ability to liquidate and the selling price which can be realized for our securities.

Derivatives

Derivatives are carried at fair value, which is determined by using observable key financial data, such as yield curves, exchange rates, Standard & Poor s 500 Index (S&P 500) prices, London Interbank Offered Rates (LIBOR), and Overnight Index Swap rates through values established by third-party sources, such as third-party brokers. Valuations for our futures contracts are based on unadjusted quoted prices from an active exchange. Counterparty credit risk is considered and incorporated in our valuation process through counterparty credit rating requirements and monitoring of overall exposure. Our own credit risk is also considered and incorporated in our valuation process.

We have certain CDS and options that are priced using models that primarily use market observable inputs, but contain inputs that are not observable to market participants.

We also have investments in certain fixed maturities, and have issued certain annuity products, that contain embedded derivatives whose fair value is at least partially determined by, among other things, levels of or changes in domestic and/or foreign interest rates (short-term or long-term), exchange rates, prepayment rates, equity markets, or credit ratings/spreads. The fair values of these embedded derivatives are determined using prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. For additional information regarding the valuation of and significant assumptions associated with derivatives and embedded derivatives related to guaranteed benefits contained within certain product offerings, see Critical Accounting Judgments and Estimates Reserves for Future Policy Benefits.

In addition, we have entered into a coinsurance with funds withheld reinsurance arrangement that contains an embedded derivative with the fair value of the derivative based on the change in the fair value of the underlying assets held in the trust using the valuation methods and assumptions described for our investments held.

The valuation of derivatives involves considerable judgment, is subject to considerable variability, is established using management s best estimate and is revised as additional information becomes available. As such, changes in, or deviations from these assumptions used in such valuations can have a significant effect on the results of operations. For additional information regarding the fair value of our investments and derivatives, see the Note for *Fair Value Measurements* in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Impairments

We evaluate our available-for-sale general account investments quarterly to determine whether there has been an other-than-temporary decline in fair value below the amortized cost basis. This evaluation process entails considerable judgment and estimation. Factors considered in this analysis include, but are not limited to, the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost, the issuer s financial condition and near-term prospects, future economic conditions and market forecasts, interest rate changes, and changes in ratings of the security. An extended and severe unrealized loss position on a fixed maturity may not have any impact on: (a) the ability of the issuer to service all scheduled interest and principal payments, and (b) the evaluation of recoverability of all contractual cash flows or the ability to recover an amount at least equal to its amortized cost based on the present value of the expected future cash flows to be collected. In contrast, for certain equity securities, we give greater weight and consideration to a decline in market value and the likelihood such market value decline will recover.

When assessing our intent to sell a security or if it is more likely than not we will be required to sell a security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, we evaluate facts and circumstances such as, but not limited to, decisions to rebalance the investment portfolio and sales of investments to meet cash flow or capital needs.

We use the following methodology and significant inputs to determine the amount of the OTTI credit loss:

We perform a discounted cash flow analysis comparing the current amortized cost of a security to the present value of future cash flows expected to be received, including estimated defaults and prepayments.

When determining collectability and the period over which the value is expected to recover for U.S. and foreign corporate securities, foreign government securities and state and political subdivision securities, we apply the same considerations utilized in our overall impairment evaluation process, which incorporates information regarding the specific security, the industry and geographic area in which the issuer operates, and overall macroeconomic conditions. Projected future cash flows are estimated using assumptions derived from our best estimates of likely scenario-based outcomes, after giving consideration to a variety of variables that include, but are not limited to: general payment terms of the security; the likelihood that the issuer can service the scheduled interest and principal payments; the quality and amount of any credit enhancements; the security s position within the capital structure of the issuer; possible corporate restructurings or asset sales by the issuer; and changes to the rating of the security or the issuer by rating agencies.

Additional considerations are made when assessing the unique features that apply to certain structured securities, such as subprime, Alt-A, non-agency, RMBS, CMBS and ABS. These additional factors for structured securities include, but are not limited to: the quality of underlying collateral; expected prepayment speeds; loan-to-value ratio; debt service coverage ratios; current and forecasted loss severity; consideration of the payment terms of the underlying assets backing a particular security; and the payment priority within the tranche structure of the security.

Mortgage loans on real estate are all commercial mortgage loans. If a mortgage loan is determined to be impaired (i.e., when it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement), the carrying value of the mortgage loan is reduced to the lower of either the present value of expected cash flows from the loan, based on the original purchase yield or the fair value of the collateral. For those mortgages that are determined to require foreclosure, the carrying value is reduced to the fair value of the underlying collateral, net of estimated costs to obtain and sell at the point of foreclosure.

Impairment analysis of the investment portfolio involves considerable judgment, is subject to considerable variability, is established using management s best estimate and is revised as additional information becomes available. As such, changes in, or deviations from the assumptions used in such analysis can have a significant effect on the results of operations. For additional information regarding the evaluation process for impairments, see the Note for *Investments* in our Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding the evaluation process for impairments.

Income Taxes

Valuation Allowances

We use certain assumptions and estimates in determining the income taxes payable or refundable for the current year, the deferred income tax liabilities and assets for items recognized differently in our financial statements from amounts shown on our income tax returns, and the federal income tax expense. Determining these amounts requires analysis and interpretation of current tax laws and regulations, including the loss limitation rules associated with change in control. We exercise considerable judgment in evaluating the amount and timing of recognition of the resulting income tax liabilities and assets. These judgments and estimates are reevaluated on a periodic basis as regulatory and business factors change.

We evaluate and test the recoverability of deferred tax assets. Deferred tax assets represent the tax benefit of future deductible temporary differences and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance if, based on the weight of evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion, or all, of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Considerable judgment and the use of estimates are required in determining whether a valuation allowance is necessary, and if so, the amount of such valuation allowance. In evaluating the need for a valuation allowance, we consider many factors, including:

The nature and character of the deferred tax assets and liabilities;

The nature and character of income by life and non-life subgroups;

Income in non-U.S. companies;

Taxable income in prior carryback years;

Projected future taxable income, exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryforwards;

Projected future reversals of existing temporary differences;

The length of time carryforwards can be utilized;

Any prudent and feasible tax planning strategies we would employ to avoid a tax benefit from expiring unused;

The nature, frequency and severity of cumulative GAAP losses in recent years; and

Any unique tax rules that would impact the utilization of the deferred tax assets.

We have assessed whether it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will be realized in the future. In making this assessment, we considered the available sources of income and positive and negative evidence regarding our ability to generate sufficient taxable income to realize our deferred tax assets, which include net operating loss carryforwards (NOLs), capital loss carryforwards and tax credit carryforwards.

We have considered these sources of income: future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, future taxable income, taxable income in prior carry back years, and tax planning strategies.

Edgar Filing: ING U.S., Inc. - Form S-1/A

Positive evidence includes a recent history of earnings, projected earnings attributable to our ongoing insurance and investment businesses, plans or the ability to sell certain assets and streams of revenues, plans to reduce future projected losses by reduction of sales of certain products, and predictable patterns of loss and income recognition. Negative evidence includes a history of operating losses in certain life businesses, large losses in the non-life business, and the potential unpredictability of certain components of future projected taxable income.

We use judgment in considering the relative impact of negative and positive evidence. The weight given to the potential effect of negative and positive evidence is commensurate with the extent to which it can be objectively verified. The more negative evidence that exists (a) the more positive evidence is necessary and

-149-

(b) the more difficult it is to support a conclusion that a valuation allowance is not needed for some portion or all of the deferred tax asset. We concluded that the cumulative losses in recent years were significant negative evidence requiring the establishment of a valuation allowance.

We have determined that we need a valuation allowance of \$2,807.0 million as of September 30, 2012. Pursuant to ASC Topic 740, we do not specifically identify the valuation allowance with individual categories. However, we have estimated that \$1,341.0 million and \$150.0 million of the September 30, 2012 valuation allowance are related to federal net operating losses and non life realized capital losses, respectively. The remaining balance of the valuation allowance is attributable to various items including losses in SLDI, our Cayman Islands insurance subsidiary, state taxes, and other deferred tax assets.

As of September 30, 2012, we have recognized \$744.0 million deferred tax assets based on tax planning related to unrealized gains on investment assets. This tax planning strategy supports recognition of deferred tax assets which have been provided on loss carryforwards and deductible temporary differences. Included in this amount is a tax benefit of \$99.0 million related to a \$283.8 million life capital loss carryforward. Future changes, such as interest rate movements or an ownership change under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code (discussed below), can adversely impact this tax planning strategy. To the extent unrealized gains decrease or to the extent loss utilization is limited, the tax benefit will be reduced by increasing the tax valuation allowance.

As of September 30, 2012, we had approximately \$3.8 billion of federal NOLs and \$0.7 billion of capital loss carryforwards, which expire as follows (the deferred tax asset and offsetting valuation allowances, if any, are also presented):

| (\$ in millions) | Life | Non Life | Life | Non Life | | |
|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|-----|
| | Ordinary | Ordinary | Capital | Capital | Total | |
| Expiration | Losses | Losses | Losses | Losses | Carryforward | |
| 2013 | \$ | \$ | \$ (125.2) | \$ (19.1) | \$ (19.1 | |
| 2014 2015 | | | (125.3) | (41.0) | (166.3 | |
| 2015 | | | (122.1) | (7.6) | (7.6 | · · |
| | | (2, 2) | (133.1) | (328.4) | (461.5 | |
| 2017 | | (3.2) | (25.4) | (33.5) | (62.1 | |
| 2018 | | (5.3) | | | (5.3 | |
| 2019 | | (8.2) | | | (8.2 | - |
| 2020 | | (24.9) | | | (24.9 | |
| 2021 | | (59.0) | | | (59.0 | |
| 2022 | (1.0.70.0) | (7.2) | | | (7.2 | |
| 2023 | (1,059.3) | (89.4) | | | (1,148.7 |) |
| 2024 | (100.0) | | | | ((0.0.1) | |
| 2025 | (180.2) | (510.2) | | | (690.4 | |
| 2026 | (66.3) | (355.0) | | | (421.3 | |
| 2027 | (91.9) | (168.4) | | | (260.3 | |
| 2028 | | (214.2) | | | (214.2 | |
| 2029 | | (411.5) | | | (411.5 | - |
| 2030 | | (379.2) | | | (379.2 | |
| 2031 | | (63.1) | | | (63.1 | |
| 2032 | | (137.0) | | | (137.0 |) |
| Total losses | \$ (1,397.7) | \$ (2,435.8) | \$ (283.8) | \$ (429.6) | \$ (4,546.9 | () |
| | | | | | | , |
| Gross deferred tax asset | \$ 489.0 | \$ 852.0 | \$ 99.0 | \$ 150.0 | \$ 1,590.0 |) |
| Valuation allowance | (489.0) | (852.0) | | (150.0) | (1,491.0 |) |
| Deferred tax asset on losses | \$ | \$ | \$ 99.0 | \$ | \$ 99.0 | , |

The table above provides ordinary and capital losses which may be re-classified based on our ongoing IRS audit. As of September 30, 2012, the most significant of these amounts are: (i) \$328.0 million of non life capital losses related to interest rate swap terminations which occurred in 2011 and (ii) \$491.0 million of life capital hedge losses which occurred in 2012. The non life capital loss may ultimately be resolved to be a non life ordinary loss. The capital hedge loss may ultimately be resolved to be a life ordinary loss. These potential reclassifications would not be expected to have a material impact to the valuation allowance.

The current level of and assumptions related to the valuation allowances have implications for our future tax provisions. First, to the extent we have future book pre-tax losses, additional valuation allowances will most likely be provided to offset the majority of the deferred tax assets created. Second, to the extent we have future book pre-tax income, valuation allowances will most likely be released in the near term to offset the majority of the deferred tax liabilities created. Third, to the extent income is sustained for a period of time in the future, we may be able to consider future taxable income to support deferred tax assets. This may result in a release of significant valuation allowances. These changes in the valuation allowance could have a significant impact on earnings in the future.

Section 382 imposes limitations on a corporation s ability to use its NOLs when the company undergoes an ownership change (See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business General We expect that our ability to use beneficial U.S. tax attributes will be subject to limitations). As of September 30, 2012, we have not recorded a valuation allowance giving specific consideration to a Section 382 ownership change event because the ultimate divestiture by ING Group of its interest in ING U.S., Inc. has not occurred. If ING Group were to divest its interest in ING U.S., Inc. in a manner such that Section 382 does apply, additional valuation allowances may be required. Although we are uncertain as to the ultimate financial impact of a reduction of the deferred tax asset resulting from an ownership change, the deferred tax asset that would potentially be subject to an additional valuation allowance is approximately \$850.0 million as of September 30, 2012 as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | Alle on E | luation owance Deferred x Assets |
|---|--------------|---|
| On capital losses supported by planning | \$ | 744.0 |
| On unrealized losses subject to built in loss rules | | 106.0 |
| Subject to valuation allowance at Section 382 event | \$ | 850.0 |

The \$744.0 million of capital losses, supported by tax planning, relates to the deferred tax assets as described above. The \$106.0 million represents a valuation allowance on the deferred tax asset on unrealized capital losses which we estimate will be realized during the first five years immediately following the ownership change and be subject to a Section 382 limitation. The actual impact on the valuation allowance is dependent mainly on the level of unrealized capital gains and losses at the time of the ownership change, the calculated Section 382 limitation, the estimated reversal pattern of the capital losses supported by tax planning strategies, the estimated reversal pattern of the unrealized capital gains comprising the tax planning strategies, and the estimated reversal pattern of the unrealized capital. The actual impact may be materially different from this estimate. The amounts described above are based solely on data and assumptions as of September 30, 2012.

Tax Contingencies

In establishing unrecognized tax benefits, we determine whether a tax position is more likely than not to be sustained under examination by the appropriate taxing authority. We also consider positions which have been reviewed and agreed to as part of an examination by the appropriate taxing authority. Tax positions that do not meet the more likely than not standard are not recognized. Tax positions that meet this standard are recognized in our Consolidated Financial Statements. We measure the tax position as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate resolution with a taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information.

Changes in Law

Certain changes or future events, such as changes in tax legislation, geographic mix of earnings and completion of tax audits, planning opportunities, and expectations about future outcomes could have an impact on our estimates of valuation allowances, deferred taxes, tax provisions, and effective tax rates.

For example, a reduction in the corporate tax rate would most likely result in a tax benefit based on the fact that, as of September 30, 2012, we have a deferred tax liability. Conversely, an increase in the corporate tax rate would most likely result in an additional tax expense.

Contingencies

A loss contingency is an existing condition, situation, or set of circumstances involving uncertainty as to possible loss that will ultimately be resolved when one or more future events occur or fail to occur. Examples of loss contingencies include pending or threatened adverse litigation, threat of expropriation of assets, and actual or possible claims and assessments. Amounts related to loss contingencies involve considerable judgments and are accrued if it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated. Reserves are established reflecting management s best estimate, reviewed on a quarterly basis and revised as additional information becomes available. When a loss contingency is reasonably possible, but not probable, disclosure is made of management s best estimate of possible loss, or the range of possible loss, or a statement is made that such an estimate cannot be made.

We are involved in threatened or pending lawsuits/arbitrations arising from the normal conduct of business. Due to the climate in insurance and business litigation/arbitration, suits against us sometimes include claims for substantial compensatory, consequential or punitive damages and other types of relief. Moreover, certain claims are asserted as class actions, purporting to represent a group of similarly situated individuals. It is not always possible to accurately estimate the outcome of such lawsuits/arbitrations. Therefore, changes to such estimates could be material. As facts and circumstances change, our estimates are revised accordingly. Our reserves reflect management s best estimate of the ultimate resolution.

Employee Benefit Plans

We sponsor defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit plans covering eligible employees, sales representatives, and other individuals. The net periodic benefit cost and projected benefit obligations are calculated based on assumptions such as the discount rate, rate of return on plan assets, rates of future compensation increases, and health care cost trend rates. These assumptions require considerable judgment, are subject to considerable variability and are established using management s best estimate. Actual results could vary significantly from assumptions based on changes such as economic and market conditions, demographics of participants in the plans, and amendments to benefits provided under the plans. Differences between the expected return and the actual return on plan assets and all other actuarial changes, which could be significant, are immediately recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Beginning January 1, 2012, the ING Americas Retirement Plan (the Retirement Plan) began using a cash balance pension formula instead of a final average pay (FAP) formula, allowing all eligible employees to participate in the Retirement Plan. Participants will earn an annual credit equal to 4% of eligible compensation. The accrued vested cash balance benefit is portable; participants can take it when they leave the Company s employ. For participants in the Retirement Plan as of December 31, 2011, there will be a two-year transition period from the Retirement Plan s current FAP formula to the cash balance pension formula. Under ASC Topic 715 requirements, the impact of the change in the Retirement Plan was recognized upon Board approval on November 10, 2011, resulting in an \$83.6 million decrease to the benefit obligation.

The discount rate and expected rate of return assumptions relating to our defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit plans have historically had the most significant effect on our net periodic benefit costs and the projected and accumulated projected benefit obligations associated with these plans.

Sensitivity

The discount rate and expected rate of return assumptions relating to our defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit plans have historically had the most significant effect on our net periodic benefit costs and the projected and accumulated projected benefit obligations associated with these plans.

The discount rate is based upon current market information provided by plan actuaries. The discount rate modeling process involves selecting a portfolio of high quality, non-callable bonds that will match the cash flows of the Retirement Plan. The discount rate in 2011 for the net periodic benefit cost was 5.5%. The discount rate for determining the projected benefit obligation and accumulated projected benefit obligation as of December 31, 2011 was 4.75%. Due to the curtailment of the Retirement Plan as a result of the Cognizant transaction (see Business Employees for a description of the Cognizant transaction), we remeasured the Retirement Plan s assets and liabilities using a discount rate of 4.25% on August 16, 2012. See the Note for *Employee Benefit Arrangements* in our Consolidated Financial Statements for details regarding the Cognizant transaction and the related Retirement Plan remeasurement.

After the Cognizant transaction, the sensitivities of the effect of an increase or decrease in the discount rate are as presented below:

| | | | Increase (Decrea | se) in Net Periodic |
|---|----------------|------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | Increase (Deci | rease) in Net Periodic | Benefit (| Cost Other |
| (\$ in millions) | Benefit C | ost Pension Plans | Postretiren | ent Benefits |
| Increase in discount rate by 100 basis points | \$ | (280.6) | \$ | (2.5) |
| Decrease in discount rate by 100 basis points | | 357.4 | | 2.9 |

| | | | Increase (Decrea | se) in Accumulated | |
|---|--------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| | Increase (De | crease) in Pension | Postretirement Benefit | | |
| (\$ in millions) | Benefi | t Obligation | Obli | gation | |
| Increase in discount rate by 100 basis points | \$ | (277.2) | \$ | (2.7) | |
| Decrease in discount rate by 100 basis points | | 353.3 | | 3.1 | |

The expected rate of return considers the asset allocation, historical returns on the types of assets held, and the current economic environment. Based on these factors, we expect that the assets will earn an average percentage per year over the long term. This estimation is based on an active return on a compound basis, with a reduction for administrative expenses and non-ING investment manager fees paid from the assets. For estimation purposes, we assume the long-term asset mix will be consistent with the current mix. Changes on the asset mix could impact the amount of recorded pension income or expense, the funded status of the Retirement Plan, and the need for future cash contributions.

The expected rate of return for 2011 was 7.5% (net of expenses) for the Retirement Plan. The expected rate of return assumption is only applicable to this plan as assets are not held by any of the other pension and other postretirement plans.

After the Cognizant transaction, the effect of an increase or decrease in the actual rate of return on the net periodic benefit cost is presented in the table below:

Increase (Decrease) in Net Periodic

| Benefit Cost Pension Plans |
|----------------------------|
| \$(13.0) |
| 13.0 |
| |

For more information related to our employee benefit plans, see the Note for *Employee Benefit Arrangements* in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Impact of New Accounting Pronouncements

For information regarding the impact of new accounting pronouncements, see the Note for *Business, Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies* in our Consolidated Financial Statements, included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosure About Market Risk

Market risk is the risk that our consolidated financial position and results of operations will be affected by fluctuations in the value of financial instruments. We have significant holdings in financial instruments and are naturally exposed to a variety of market risks. The main market risks we are exposed to include credit risk, interest rate risk and equity market price risk. We do not have material market risk exposure to trading activities in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Risk Management

As a financial services company active in Retirement, Investment Management and Insurance, taking measured risks is part of our business. As part of our efforts to ensure measured risk taking, we have integrated risk management in our daily business activities and strategic planning.

We place a high priority to risk management and risk control. We have comprehensive risk management and control procedures in place at all levels and have established a dedicated risk management function with responsibility for the formulation of our risk appetite, strategies, policies and limits. The risk management function is also responsible for monitoring our overall market risk exposures and provides review, oversight and support functions across the Company on risk-related issues.

Our risk appetite is aligned with how our businesses are managed and anticipates future regulatory developments. In particular, our risk appetite is aligned with regulatory capital requirements applicable to other regulated insurance subsidiaries as well as metrics that are aligned with various ratings agency models.

Our risk governance and control systems enable us to identify, control, monitor and aggregate risks and provide assurance that risks are being measured, monitored and reported adequately and effectively. To promote measured risk taking, we have integrated risk management with our business activities and strategic planning through a strategy to manage risk in accordance with the following three principles:

- 1. Management of the businesses has primary responsibility for the day-to-day management of risk and forms the first line of defense.
- 2. The risk management function, both at the corporate and the business level, as the second line of defense, has the primary responsibility to align risk taking with strategic planning through risk tolerance and limit setting. Risk managers in the businesses have direct reporting lines to the Chief Risk Officer (CRO).

3. The internal audit function provides an ongoing independent (i.e. outside of the risk organization) and objective assessment of the effectiveness of internal controls, including financial and operational risk management and forms the third line of defense. Our risk management is organized along a functional line comprising two levels within the organization: the corporate and business levels. The CRO heads the functional line, and each of the businesses has a similar function that reports to the CRO. This layered, functional approach is designed to promote consistent application of guidelines and procedures, regular reporting and appropriate communication vertically through the risk management function, as well as to provide ongoing support for the business. The scope, roles, responsibilities and authorities of the risk management function at different levels are described in an Insurance Risk Management Governance Framework to which all businesses must adhere.

Our Risk Committee discusses and approves risk policies and reviews and approves risks associated with our activities. This includes volatility (affecting earnings and value), exposure (required capital and market risk) and insurance risks. Each business has an Asset-Liability Committee that reviews business specific risks and is governed by the Risk Committee.

We have implemented several limit structures to manage risk. Examples include, but are not limited to, the following:

At-risk limits on sensitivities of earnings and regulatory capital to the capital markets provide the fundamental framework to manage capital markets risks including the risk of asset / liability mismatch;

Duration and convexity mismatch limits;

Credit risk concentration limits;

Mortality concentration limits;

Catastrophe and mortality exposure retention limits for our insurance risk; and

Investment and derivative guidelines. We manage our risk appetite based on two key risk metrics:

Regulatory and Rating Agency Capital Sensitivities: the potential reduction, under a moderate capital markets stress scenario, of the excess of available statutory capital above the minimum required under the NAIC regulatory RBC methodology and of our targeted rating agency capital position; and

Earnings Sensitivities: the potential reduction in results of operations under a moderate capital markets stress scenario. Maintaining a consistent level of earnings helps us to finance our operations, support our capital requirements and provide funds to pay dividends to stockholders.

Our risk metrics cover the most important aspects in terms of performance measures where risk can materialize and are representative of the regulatory constraints to which our business is subject. The sensitivities for earnings and statutory capital are important metrics since they provide insight into the level of risk we take under moderate stress scenarios. They also are the basis for internal risk management.

We are also subject to cash flow stress testing pursuant to regulatory requirements. This analysis measures the effect of changes in interest rate assumptions on asset and liability cash flows. The analysis includes the effects of:

the timing and amount of redemptions and prepayments in our asset portfolio;

our derivative portfolio;

Edgar Filing: ING U.S., Inc. - Form S-1/A

death benefits and other claims payable under the terms of our insurance products;

lapses and surrenders of our insurance products;

minimum interest guarantees of our insurance products; and

book value guarantees in our insurance products. We evaluate any shortfalls that our cash flow testing reveals and if needed increase statutory reserves or adjust portfolio management strategies.

Derivatives are financial instruments whose values are derived from interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, financial indices, or other prices of securities or commodities. Derivatives include swaps, futures, options and forward contracts. Under U.S. insurance statutes, our insurance subsidiaries may use derivatives to hedge market values or cash flows of assets or liabilities; to replicate cash market instruments; and for certain limited income generating activities. Our insurance subsidiaries are generally prohibited from using derivatives

-155-

for speculative purposes. References below to hedging and hedge programs refer to our process of reducing exposure to various risks. This does not mean that the process necessarily results in hedge accounting treatment for the respective derivative instruments. To qualify for hedge accounting treatment, a derivative must be highly effective in mitigating the designated risk of the hedged item and meet other specific requirements. Effectiveness of the hedge is assessed at inception and throughout the life of the hedging relationship. Even if a derivative qualifies for hedge accounting treatment, there may be an element of ineffectiveness of the hedge. The ineffective portion of a hedging relationship subject to hedge accounting is recognized in Net realized capital gains (losses) in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Market Risk Related to Interest Rates

We define interest rate risk as the risk of an economic loss due to adverse changes in interest rates. This risk arises from our holdings in interest sensitive assets and liabilities, primarily as a result of investing life insurance premiums, fixed annuity and guaranteed investment contract deposits received in interest-sensitive assets and carrying these funds as interest-sensitive liabilities. We are also subject to interest rate risk on our variable annuity business, as a sustained decline in interest rates may subject us to higher cost of guaranteed benefits and increased hedging costs.

We use product design, pricing and ALM strategies to reduce the adverse effects of interest rate movement. Product design and pricing strategies can include the use of surrender charges, withdrawal restrictions and the ability to reset credited interest rates. ALM strategies can include the use of derivatives and duration and convexity mismatch limits. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business General Interest rate volatility may adversely affect our profitability.

Derivatives strategies include the following:

Minimum Interest Rate Guarantees: For certain liability contracts, we provide the contract holder a guaranteed minimum interest rate. These contracts include certain fixed annuities and other insurance liabilities. We purchase interest rate floors, swaps and swaptions to reduce risk associated with these liability guarantees.

Book Value Guarantees in Stable Value Contracts: For certain stable value contracts, the contract holder and participants may surrender the contract for the account value even if the market value of the asset portfolio is in an unrealized loss position. We purchase derivatives including interest rate caps, swaps and swaptions to reduce the risk associated with this type of guarantee.

Interest Risk Related to Variable Annuity Guaranteed Living Benefits: For Variable Annuity contracts with Guaranteed Living benefits, the contract holder may elect to receive income benefits over the remainder of their lifetime. We use derivatives such as interest rate swaps to hedge a portion of the interest rate risk associated with this type of guarantee.

Other Market Value and Cash Flow Hedges: We also use derivatives in general to hedge present or future changes in cash flows or market value changes in our assets and liabilities. We use derivatives such as interest rate swaps to specifically hedge interest rate risks associated with our CMO-B portfolio, see Investments CMO-B Portfolio.

We assess interest rate exposures for financial assets, liabilities and derivatives using hypothetical test scenarios that assume either increasing or decreasing 100 basis point parallel shifts in the yield curve, reflecting changes in either credit spreads or risk-free rates. The following tables present the net estimated potential change in fair value from hypothetical 100 basis point upward and downward shifts in interest rates as of both September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. While the test scenarios are for illustrative purposes only and do not reflect our expectations regarding future interest rates or the performance of fixed-income markets, they are a near-term, reasonably possible hypothetical change that illustrates the potential impact of such events. These tests do not measure the change in value that could result from non-parallel shifts in the yield curve. As a result, the actual change in fair value from a 100 basis point change in interest rates could be different from that indicated by these calculations.

| | | As of September 30, 2012 | | | |
|---|----------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | cal Change in Value ⁽²⁾ | | |
| (\$ in millions) | Notional | Fair Value ⁽¹⁾ | +100 Basis Points Yield Curve Shift | -100 Basis Points Yield Curve Shift | |
| Financial assets with interest rate risk: | | | | | |
| Fixed maturity securities, including securities pledged | \$ | \$ 75,375.7 | \$ (4,662.7) | \$ 4,592.3 | |
| Equity securities, available for sale | | 328.9 | (7.6) | 6.8 | |
| Commercial mortgage and other loans | | 9,029.5 | (320.9) | 245.6 | |
| Loan-Dutch State obligation | | 1,495.3 | (15.2) | 9.5 | |
| Derivatives: | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps, caps, forwards | 65,669.4 | 745.5 | (1,024.5) | 1,377.0 | |
| Financial liabilities with interest rate risk: Investment contracts: | | | | | |
| Funding agreements without fixed maturities and deferred | | | | | |
| annuities ⁽³⁾ | | 55,488.6 | (4,215.0) | 5,281.8 | |
| Funding agreements with fixed maturities and GICs | | 4,257.5 | (167.3) | 178.3 | |
| Supplementary contracts and immediate annuities | | 3,492.9 | (188.6) | 218.6 | |
| Long-term debt | | 3,839.7 | (136.2) | 151.4 | |
| Embedded derivatives on reinsurance | | 176.4 | (82.6) | 80.7 | |
| Guaranteed benefit derivatives ⁽³⁾ : | | | | | |
| FIA | | 1,442.5 | (86.6) | 84.9 | |
| GMAB / GMWB / GMWBL | | 1,978.1 | (797.8) | 994.2 | |
| Stabilizer and MCGs | | 113.0 | (90.0) | 125.0 | |

⁽¹⁾ Separate account assets and liabilities which are interest sensitive are not included herein as any interest rate risk is borne by the holder of the separate account.

⁽²⁾ (Decreases) in assets or (decreases) in liabilities are presented in parentheses. Increases in assets or increases in liabilities are presented without parentheses.

⁽³⁾ Certain amounts included in Deferred annuities section are also reflected within the Guaranteed benefit derivatives section of the tables above.

-157-

| | | As of December 31, 2011 Hypothetical Change in | | | |
|---|----------|---|--|--|--|
| | | | • • | Value ⁽²⁾ | |
| (\$ in millions) | Notional | Fair Value ⁽¹⁾ | +100 Basis Points Yield Curve Shift | -100 Basis Points Yield Curve Shift | |
| Financial assets with interest rate risk: | Totional | Value | Tien cuive Shirt | Tield Curve Shirt | |
| Fixed maturity securities, including securities pledged | \$ | \$ 72,669.4 | \$ (4,334.6) | \$ 4,326.1 | |
| Equity securities, available for sale | | 353.8 | (7.6) | 8.0 | |
| Commercial mortgage and other loans | | 8,943.7 | (293.0) | 235.8 | |
| Loan-Dutch State obligation | | 1,806.4 | (19.0) | 9.3 | |
| Derivatives: | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps, caps, forwards | 65,352.0 | 839.9 | (1,090.6) | 1,367.2 | |
| Financial liabilities with interest rate risk: | | | | | |
| Investment contracts: | | | | | |
| Funding agreements without fixed maturities and deferred annuities ⁽³⁾ | | 55,014.7 | (3,677.6) | 4,592.1 | |
| Funding agreements with fixed maturities and GICs | | 5,261.0 | (184.5) | 197.4 | |
| Supplementary contracts and immediate annuities | | 3,311.9 | (173.2) | 198.3 | |
| Long-term debt | | 1,448.5 | (52.2) | 59.5 | |
| Embedded derivatives on reinsurance | | 137.2 | (86.4) | 85.7 | |
| Guaranteed benefit derivatives ⁽³⁾ : | | | | | |
| FIA | | 1,304.9 | (81.9) | 88.8 | |
| GMAB / GMWB / GMWBL | | 2,272.2 | (837.9) | 1,065.6 | |
| Stabilizer and MCGs | | 221.0 | (137.1) | 192.1 | |

⁽¹⁾ Separate account assets and liabilities which are interest sensitive are not included herein as any interest rate risk is borne by the holder of the separate account.

(2) (Decreases) in assets or (decreases) in liabilities are presented in parentheses. Increases in assets or increases in liabilities are presented without parentheses.

⁽³⁾ Certain amounts included in Deferred annuities section are also reflected within the Guaranteed benefit derivatives section of the tables above.

Market Risk Related to Equity Market Prices

Our variable products, FIA products and general account equity securities are significantly influenced by global equity markets. Increases or decreases in equity markets impact certain assets and liabilities related to our variable products and our earnings derived from those products. Our variable products include variable annuity contracts and variable life insurance.

Hedging of Variable Annuity Guaranteed Benefits

We primarily mitigate variable annuity market risk exposures through hedging. Market risk arises primarily from the minimum guarantees within the variable annuity products, whose economic costs are primarily dependent on future equity market returns, interest rate levels, equity volatility levels and policyholder behavior. The variable annuity hedging program is used to mitigate our exposure to equity market and interest rate changes and seeks to ensure that the required assets are available to satisfy future death benefit and living benefit obligations. While the variable annuity guarantee hedge program does not explicitly hedge statutory or GAAP reserves, as markets move up or down, in aggregate the returns generated by the variable annuity hedge program will significantly offset the statutory and GAAP reserve changes due to market movements.

The objective of the guarantee hedging program is to offset changes in equity market returns for most minimum guaranteed death benefits and all guaranteed living benefits, while also providing interest rate

protection for certain minimum guaranteed living benefits. We hedge the equity market exposure using a hedge target set using market consistent valuation techniques for all guaranteed living benefits and most death benefits. We also hedge the interest rate risk in our GMWB/GMAB/GMWBL blocks using a market consistent valuation hedge target. We do not hedge interest rate risks for our GMIB or GMDB primarily because doing so would result in volatility in our regulatory reserves and rating agency capital that exceeds our tolerances and, secondarily, because doing so would produce additional volatility in our GAAP financial statements.

Variable Annuity Capital Hedge Overlay Program

Variable annuity guaranteed benefits are hedged based on their economic or fair value; however, the statutory reserves are not based on a market value. When equity markets decrease, the statutory reserve and rating agency required assets for the variable annuity guaranteed benefits can increase more quickly than the value of the derivatives held under the guarantee hedging program. This causes regulatory reserves to increase and rating agency capital to decrease. To protect the residual risk to regulatory reserves and rating agency capital in a decreasing equity market, we implemented the use of a static capital hedge in 2008. In 2010, we shifted to a dynamic CHO program. The current CHO strategy is intended to actively mitigate equity risk to the regulatory reserves and rating agency capital of the Company. The hedge is executed through the purchase and sale of equity index futures and is designed to limit the uncovered reserve increase in an immediate down equity market scenario to an amount we believe prudent for a company of our size and scale. This amount will change over time with market movements, changes in regulatory and rating agency capital and our risk tolerances.

Hedging of Fixed Indexed Annuity Benefits

We mitigate FIA market risk exposures through a combination of capital market hedging, product design and capital management. For the FIA book of business, these risks stem from the MGIR offered and the additional interest credits (Equity Participation or Interest Rate Participation) based on exposure to various stock market indices or the 3-month LIBOR. The minimum guarantees and stock market exposures are strongly dependent on capital markets and, to a lesser degree, policyholder behavior.

We mitigate this exposure in two ways. The primary way we hedge FIA equity exposure is to purchase OTC equity index call options from broker-dealer derivative counterparties who generally have a minimum credit rating of A3 from Moody s and A- from S&P. The second way to hedge FIA equity exposure is by purchasing exchange traded equity index futures contracts.

Additionally, the credited rate mechanism for certain FIA contracts exposes us to changes in interest rate benchmarks. We mitigate this exposure by purchasing OTC interest rate swaptions from broker-dealer derivative counterparties who generally have a minimum credit rate of A3 from Moody s and A- from S&P. For each broker-dealer counterparty, our derivative exposure to that counterparty is aggregated with any fixed income exposure to the same counterparty and is maintained within applicable limits.

These hedge programs are limited to the current policy term of the liabilities, based on current participation rates. Future returns, which may be reflected in FIA credited rates beyond the current policy term, are not hedged.

While the FIA hedging program does not explicitly hedge statutory or GAAP income volatility, the FIA hedging program tends to mitigate the statutory and GAAP reserve changes associated with movements in the equity market and 3-month LIBOR. This is due to the fact that a key component in the calculation of statutory and GAAP reserves is the market valuation of the current term embedded derivative. The risk management of the current term embedded derivative is the goal of the FIA hedging program. Due to the alignment of the embedded derivative reserve component with hedging of this same embedded derivative, there should be a match between changes in this component of the reserve and changes in the assets backing this component of the reserve. However, there may be an interim mismatch due to the fact that the hedges which are put in place are only intended to cover exposures expected to remain until the end of an indexing term (e.g. account value decrements during an indexing term associated with expected lapses and mortality are not hedged).

-159-

Call options are used to hedge against an increase in various equity indices. An increase in various equity indices may result in increased payments to contract holders of FIA contracts. The call options offset this increased expense.

Futures contracts are also used to hedge against an increase in certain equity indices. An increase in certain equity indices may result in increased payments to contract holders of fixed indexed annuity contracts. The futures contracts offset this increased expense.

Interest rate swaptions are used to hedge against an increase in the interest rate benchmark (currently the 3-month LIBOR). An increase in the interest rate benchmark may result in increased payments to contract holders of FIA contracts. The interest rate swaptions offset this increased expense.

We assess equity risk exposures for financial assets, liabilities and derivatives using hypothetical test scenarios that assume either an increase or decrease of 10% in all equity market benchmark levels. The following tables present the net estimated potential change in fair value from an instantaneous increase and decrease in all equity market benchmark levels of 10% as of both September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. In calculating these amounts, we exclude separate account equity securities related to products for which the investment risk is borne primarily by the separate account contract holder rather than by us. While the test scenarios are for illustrative purposes only and do not reflect our expectations regarding future the performance of equity markets, they are near-term, reasonably possible hypothetical changes that illustrate the potential impact of such events. These scenarios consider only the direct effect on fair value of declines in equity benchmark market levels and not changes in asset-based fees recognized as revenue, changes in our estimates of total gross profits used as a basis for amortizing DAC and other costs, or changes in any other assumptions such as market volatility or mortality, utilization or persistency rates in variable contracts that could also impact the fair value of our living benefits features. In addition, these scenarios do not reflect the effect of basis risk, such as potential differences in the performance of the investment funds underlying the variable annuity products relative to the equity market benchmark we use as a basis for developing our hedging strategy. The impact of basis risk could result in larger differences between the change in fair value of the equity-based derivatives and the related living benefit features, in comparison to the hypothetical test scenarios.

| | | As of September 30, 2012 Hypothetical Change in Fair Valu + 10% Equity - 10% Equi | | | |
|---|----------|---|-----------|----------------------|-----|
| (\$ in millions) | Notional | Fair Value | Shock | Shock ⁽¹⁾ | |
| Financial assets with equity market risk: | | | | | |
| Equity securities, available for sale | \$ | \$ 328.9 | \$ 31.9 | \$ (31. | .9) |
| Limited liability partnerships/corporations | | 514.8 | 31.3 | (31. | .3) |
| Derivatives: | | | | | |
| Equity futures and total return swaps | 12,423.2 | 34.9 | (1,242.1) | 1,242. | .1 |
| Equity options | 3,127.8 | 102.5 | 61.1 | (54. | .5) |
| Financial liabilities with equity market risk: | | | | | |
| Investment contracts: | | | | | |
| Funding agreements without fixed maturities and | | | | | |
| deferred annuities ⁽²⁾ | | 55,488.6 | (179.4) | 282. | .4 |
| Guaranteed benefit derivatives ⁽²⁾ : | | | | | |
| FIA | | 1,442.5 | 127.4 | (127. | .4) |
| GMAB / GMWB/ GMWBL | | 1.978.1 | (269.3) | 345. | .5 |

⁽¹⁾ (Decreases) in assets or (decreases) in liabilities are presented in parentheses. Increases in assets or increases in liabilities are presented without parentheses.

⁽²⁾ Certain amounts included in Deferred annuities section are also reflected within the Guaranteed benefit derivatives section of the tables above.

| | | As of December 31, 2011 Hypothetical Change in Fair Valu + 10% Equity - 10% Equ | | | |
|---|----------|---|-----------|-----------|--|
| (\$ in millions) | Notional | Fair Value | Shock | Shock | |
| Financial assets with equity market risk: | | | | | |
| Equity securities, available for sale | \$ | \$ 353.8 | \$ 33.9 | \$ (33.9) | |
| Limited liability partnerships/corporations | | 599.6 | 25.5 | (25.5) | |
| Derivatives: | | | | | |
| Equity futures and total return swaps | 12,737.7 | 6.5 | (1,274.7) | 1,274.7 | |
| Equity options | 3,059.7 | 34.3 | 29.3 | (27.6) | |
| Financial liabilities with equity market risk: | | | | | |
| Investment contracts: | | | | | |
| Funding agreements without fixed maturities and | | | | | |
| deferred | | | | | |
| annuities ⁽²⁾ | | 55,014.7 | (194.0) | 267.1 | |
| Guaranteed benefit derivatives ⁽²⁾ : | | | | | |
| FIA | | 1,304.9 | 222.0 | (222.0) | |
| GMAB / GMWB/ GMWBL | | 2,272.2 | (270.1) | 328.1 | |

(1) (Decreases) in assets or (decreases) in liabilities are presented in parentheses. Increases in assets or increases in liabilities are presented without parentheses.

⁽²⁾ Certain amounts included in Deferred annuities section are also reflected within the Guaranteed benefit derivatives section of the tables above.

Market Risk Related to Credit Risk

Credit risk is primarily embedded in the general account portfolio. The carrying value of our fixed maturity and equity portfolio totaled \$75.7 billion, \$73.0 billion and \$69.4 billion at September 30, 2012, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Our credit risk materializes primarily as impairment losses. We are exposed to occasional cyclical economic downturns, during which impairment losses may be significantly higher than the long-term historical average. This is offset by years where we expect the actual impairment losses to be substantially lower than the long-term average.

Credit risk in the portfolio can also materialize as increased capital requirements as assets migrate into lower credit qualities over time. The effect of rating migration on our capital requirements is also dependent on the economic cycle and increased asset impairment levels may go hand in hand with increased asset related capital requirements.

We manage the risk of default and rating migration by applying disciplined credit evaluation and underwriting standards and prudently limiting allocations to lower quality, higher risk investments. In addition, we diversify our exposure by issuer and country, using rating based issuer and country limits. We also set investment constraints that limit our exposure by industry segment. To limit the impact that credit risk can have on earnings and capital adequacy levels, we have portfolio-level credit risk constraints in place. Limit compliance is monitored on a daily or, in some cases, monthly basis. Limit violations are reported to senior management.

We also have credit risk related to the ability of our derivatives and reinsurance counterparties to honor their obligations to pay the contract amounts under various agreements. In order to minimize the risk of credit loss on such contracts, we diversify our exposures among several counterparties and limit the amount of exposure to each based on credit rating. For most counterparties, including the largest reinsurance counterparties, we have collateral agreements in place that would substantially limit our credit losses in case of a counterparty default. We also generally limit our selection of counterparties that we do new transactions with to those with an A-

-161-

credit rating or above. When exceptions are made to that principle, we generally obtain collateral to mitigate our risk of loss. For derivatives counterparty risk exposures (which includes reverse repurchase and securities lending transactions), we measure and monitor our risks on a market value basis daily.

We use credit derivatives to reduce our exposure to credit-related events as well as taking credit risk. For every subsidiary or internal portfolio, notional amount of credit risk taken using credit derivatives is limited to the amount of U.S. Treasury security investments in the same portfolio. We also place a limit on the amount of earnings volatility that these instruments can cause.

-162-

INVESTMENTS

Investments for our general account are managed by our wholly owned asset manager, ING Investment Management LLC, pursuant to investment advisory agreements with affiliates. In addition, our internal treasury group manages our holding company liquidity investments, primarily money market funds.

Investment Strategy

Our investment strategy seeks to achieve sustainable risk-adjusted returns by focusing on principal preservation, disciplined matching of asset characteristics with liability requirements and the diversification of risks. Investment activities are undertaken according to investment policy statements that contain internally established guidelines and risk tolerances and in all cases are required to comply with applicable laws and insurance regulations. Risk tolerances are established for credit risk, credit spread risk, market risk, liquidity risk and concentration risk across issuers, sectors and asset types that seek to mitigate the impact of cash flow variability arising from these risks.

Segmented portfolios are established for groups of products with similar liability characteristics. Our investment portfolio consists largely of high quality fixed maturities and short-term investments, investments in commercial mortgage loans, alternative investments and other instruments, including a small amount of equity holdings. Fixed maturities include publicly issued corporate bonds, government bonds, privately placed notes and bonds, ABS, traditional MBS and various CMO tranches managed in combination with financial derivatives as part of a proprietary strategy known as CMO-B.

We use derivatives for hedging purposes to reduce our exposure to the cash flow variability of assets and liabilities, interest rate risk, credit risk and market risk. In addition, we use credit derivatives to replicate exposure to individual securities or pools of securities as a means of achieving credit exposure similar to bonds of the underlying issuer(s) more efficiently.

Since the height of the financial crisis in 2008, we have pursued a substantial repositioning of the investment portfolio aimed at reducing risk, increasing the stability and predictability of returns and pursuing intentional investment risks that are reliant on our core strengths. In the initial stages of the portfolio transition during the financial crisis, sizeable shifts in asset allocation occurred over short periods of time including greater than \$1.0 billion of reduction in exposure to hedge funds. The repositioning has resulted in a significant decrease in exposure to structured assets, an improvement in the NAIC designation profile of our remaining structured assets and an increase in exposure to public and private investment grade corporate bonds and U.S. Treasury securities.

Over the 2009-2011 period, we significantly reduced our exposure to Non-Agency RMBS and CMBS securities. The most substantial reduction occurred in the Alt-A Back-Up Facility, in which a full credit risk transfer to the Dutch State was realized on 80% of the approximately \$4.5 billion par Alt-A RMBS portfolio. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Alt-A Back-up Facility. Over the same period, our exposure to Subprime RMBS and CMBS securities was reduced approximately \$2.4 billion and \$4.0 billion, respectively, through sales and impairments. The remaining Subprime and CMBS exposure carries a significantly improved NAIC designation profile. Over the same period, we have reduced exposure to financial institutions by approximately \$2.0 billion, primarily out of a desire to reduce exposure to risk in the portfolio that is highly correlated with our own business model.

Each of these significant reductions in exposure and the repositioning overall represents our attempt at reducing risk, improving the stability and predictability of our investment returns and leveraging our core strengths.

See the Note for Investments (excluding Consolidated Investment Entities) in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

-163-

Portfolio Composition

The following table presents the investment portfolio as of the dates indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | As of Septem 2012 | As of September 30, 2012 | | As of December 31, 2011 | | ber 31, |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------|---------|
| | Carrying | % | Carrying | % | Carrying | % |
| Fixed maturities available-for-sale, excluding securities | Value | %0 | Value | % | Value | %0 |
| pledged | \$71,038.4 | 74.7% | \$ 67,405.6 | 72.7% | \$ 62,446.8 | 71.9% |
| Fixed maturities, at fair value using the FVO | 2,875.1 | 3.1% | 3,010.3 | 3.3% | 2,685.3 | 3.1% |
| Equity securities, available-for-sale | 328.9 | 0.3% | 353.8 | 0.4% | 525.6 | 0.6% |
| Short-term investments ⁽¹⁾ | 3,637.4 | 3.8% | 3,572.7 | 3.8% | 2,809.2 | 3.2% |
| Mortgage loans on real estate | 8,682.6 | 9.1% | 8,691.1 | 9.4% | 8,181.7 | 9.4% |
| Loan Dutch State obligation | 1,503.6 | 1.6% | 1,792.7 | 1.9% | 2,314.2 | 2.7% |
| Policy loans | 2,212.9 | 2.3% | 2,263.9 | 2.4% | 2,391.8 | 2.8% |
| Limited partnerships/corporations | 514.8 | 0.5% | 599.6 | 0.6% | 757.2 | 0.8% |
| Derivatives | 2,733.7 | 2.9% | 2,660.9 | 2.9% | 783.9 | 0.9% |
| Other investments | 205.1 | 0.2% | 215.1 | 0.2% | 200.3 | 0.2% |
| Securities pledged ⁽³⁾ | 1,462.2 | 1.5% | 2,253.5 | 2.4% | 3,790.1 | 4.4% |
| | | | | | | |
| Total investments | \$ 95,194.7 | 100.0% | \$ 92,819.2 | 100.0% | \$ 86,886.1 | 100.0% |

⁽¹⁾ Short-term investments include investments with remaining maturities of one year or less, but greater than 3 months, at the time of purchase.

(2) The reported value of the Dutch State loan obligation (see Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Alt-A Backup Facility) is based on the outstanding loan balance plus any unamortized premium.

(3) See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition Liquidity and Capital Resources for information regarding securities pledged.

Fixed Maturities

Total fixed maturities by market sector, including securities pledged, were as presented below as of the dates indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | As of September 30, 2012 | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|--|--|
| | Amortized Cost | % of Total | Fair Value | % of Total | | |
| Fixed maturities: | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ 5,288.7 | 7.9% | \$ 6,037.8 | 7.9% | | |
| U.S. government agencies and authorities | 645.4 | 1.0% | 732.1 | 1.0% | | |
| State, municipalities and political subdivisions | 321.5 | 0.5% | 350.9 | 0.5% | | |
| U.S. corporate securities | 31,808.8 | 47.2% | 36,054.7 | 47.8% | | |
| Foreign securities ⁽¹⁾ | 14,119.2 | 21.0% | 15,679.6 | 20.9% | | |
| RMBS | 7,660.0 | 11.4% | 8,694.1 | 11.5% | | |
| CMBS | 4,759.5 | 7.1% | 5,217.0 | 6.9% | | |
| Other ABS | 2,636.7 | 3.9% | 2,609.5 | 3.5% | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Total fixed maturities, including securities pledged | \$ 67,239.8 | 100.0% | \$ 75,375.7 | 100.0% | | |

⁽¹⁾ Primarily U.S. dollar denominated.

-164-

| (\$ in millions) | As of December 31, 2011 | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|--|
| | Amortized Cost | % of Total | Fair Value | % of Total | |
| Fixed maturities: | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ 5,283.8 | 7.9% | \$ 5,972.5 | 8.2% | |
| U.S. government agencies and authorities | 643.1 | 1.0% | 727.8 | 1.0% | |
| State, municipalities and political subdivisions | 375.1 | 0.6% | 393.9 | 0.5% | |
| U.S. corporate securities | 30,486.5 | 45.5% | 33,473.1 | 46.2% | |
| Foreign securities ⁽¹⁾ | 14,041.9 | 21.0% | 15,067.4 | 20.7% | |
| RMBS | 7,935.0 | 11.8% | 9,048.1 | 12.5% | |
| CMBS | 5,387.1 | 8.1% | 5,485.4 | 7.5% | |
| Other ABS | 2,727.0 | 4.1% | 2,501.2 | 3.4% | |
| | | | | | |
| Total fixed maturities, including securities pledged | \$ 66,879.5 | 100.0% | \$ 72,669.4 | 100.0% | |

⁽¹⁾ Primarily U.S. dollar denominated.

| (\$ in millions) | As of December 31, 2010 | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Amortized Cost | % of Total | Fair Value | % of Total | | | | | |
| Fixed maturities: | | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ 5,063.2 | 7.8% | \$ 5,062.4 | 7.3% | | | | | |
| U.S. government agencies and authorities | 943.7 | 1.4% | 999.5 | 1.4% | | | | | |
| State, municipalities and political subdivisions | 489.9 | 0.7% | 463.0 | 0.7% | | | | | |
| U.S. corporate securities | 27,218.9 | 41.4% | 28,722.5 | 41.7% | | | | | |
| Foreign securities ⁽¹⁾ | 13,726.0 | 20.8% | 14,445.7 | 21.0% | | | | | |
| RMBS | 8,154.5 | 12.4% | 9,273.8 | 13.5% | | | | | |
| CMBS | 6,094.0 | 9.3% | 6,220.4 | 9.0% | | | | | |
| Other ABS | 4,080.7 | 6.2% | 3,734.9 | 5.4% | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Total fixed maturities, including securities pledged to creditors | \$ 65,770.9 | 100.0% | \$ 68,922.2 | 100.0% | | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Primarily U.S. dollar denominated.

As of September 30, 2012, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the average duration of our fixed maturities portfolio, including securities pledged, is between 5.5 and 6.5 years.

Fixed Maturities Credit Quality Ratings

The Securities Valuation Office (SVO) of the NAIC evaluates the fixed maturity security investments of insurers for regulatory reporting and capital assessment purposes and assigns securities to one of six credit quality categories called NAIC designations. An internally developed rating is used as permitted by the NAIC if no rating is available. These designations are generally similar to the credit quality designations of the NAIC acceptable rating organizations (ARO) for marketable fixed maturity securities, called ARO ratings, except for certain structured securities as described below. NAIC designations of 1, highest quality and 2, high quality, include fixed maturity securities generally considered below investment grade (BIG) by such rating organizations.

The NAIC adopted revised designation methodologies for non-agency RMBS, including RMBS backed by subprime mortgage loans reported within ABS, that became effective December 31, 2009 and for CMBS that became effective December 31, 2010. The NAIC s objective with the revised designation methodologies for these structured securities was to increase the accuracy in assessing expected losses and to use the improved assessment to determine a more appropriate capital requirement for such structured securities. The NAIC designations for structured securities, including subprime and Alt-A RMBS, are based upon a comparison of the

Edgar Filing: ING U.S., Inc. - Form S-1/A

-165-

bond s amortized cost to the NAIC s loss expectation for each security. Securities where modeling results in no expected loss in all scenarios are considered to have the highest designation of NAIC 1. A large percentage of the Company s RMBS securities carry a NAIC 1 designation while the ARO rating indicates below investment grade. This is primarily due to the credit and intent impairments recorded by the Company which reduced the amortized cost on these securities to a level resulting in no expected loss in all scenarios, which corresponds to a NAIC 1 designation. The revised methodologies reduce regulatory reliance on rating agencies and allow for greater regulatory input into the assumptions used to estimate expected losses from such structured securities. In the tables below, we present the rating of structured securities based on ratings from the revised NAIC rating methodologies described above (which may not correspond to rating agency designations). All NAIC designations (e.g., NAIC 1-6) are based on the revised NAIC methodologies.

As a result of time lags between the funding of investments, the finalization of legal documents and the completion of the SVO filing process, the fixed maturity portfolio generally includes securities that have not yet been rated by the SVO as of each balance sheet date, such as private placements. Pending receipt of SVO ratings, the categorization of these securities by NAIC designation is based on the expected ratings indicated by internal analysis.

Information about our fixed maturity securities holdings, including securities pledged, by NAIC designations is presented in the following tables. Corresponding rating agency designations do not directly translate into NAIC designations, but represent our best estimate of comparable ratings from rating agencies, including Moody s, S&P and Fitch. If no rating is available from a rating agency, then an internally developed rating is used on a basis believed to be similar to that used by the rating agencies.

The fixed maturities in our portfolio are generally rated by external rating agencies and, if not externally rated, are rated by us. Ratings are derived from three ARO ratings and are applied as follows based on the number of agency rating received:

when three ratings are received then the middle rating is applied;

when two ratings are received then the lower rating is applied;

when a single rating is received, the ARO rating is applied; and

when ratings are unavailable then an internal rating is applied.

The following tables present credit quality of fixed maturities, including securities pledged, using NAIC designations as of the dates indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | | | As of Sep | tember 30, 20 | 12 | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------|----------|----------|---------------------|
| NAIC Quality Designation | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Total Fair Value |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ 6,037.8 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 6,037.8 |
| U.S. government agencies and authorities | 732.1 | | | | | | 732.1 |
| State, municipalities and political | | | | | | | |
| subdivisions | 345.4 | 4.5 | 1.0 | | | | 350.9 |
| U.S. corporate securities | 16,627.5 | 17,625.5 | 1,411.5 | 324.6 | 51.3 | 14.3 | 36,054.7 |
| Foreign securities ⁽¹⁾ | 4,231.8 | 10,502.1 | 804.4 | 38.1 | 103.2 | | 15,679.6 |
| RMBS | 7,835.0 | 225.6 | 210.9 | 117.4 | 92.9 | 212.3 | 8,694.1 |
| CMBS | 4,830.7 | 141.3 | 223.8 | 21.2 | | | 5,217.0 |
| Other ABS | 2,323.8 | 72.7 | 143.2 | 32.8 | 3.7 | 33.3 | 2,609.5 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total fixed maturities | \$ 42,964.1 | \$ 28,571.7 | \$ 2,794.8 | \$ 534.1 | \$ 251.1 | \$ 259.9 | \$ 75,375.7 |
| % of Fair Value | 57.0% | 37.9% | 3.7% | 0.7% | 0.3% | 0.4% | 100.0% |

⁽¹⁾ Primarily U.S. dollar denominated.

-166-

| (\$ in millions) | | | As of De | cember 31, 201 | 11 | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|------------|----------------|----------|----------|---------------------|
| NAIC Quality Designation | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Total Fair Value |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ 5,972.5 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 5,972.5 |
| U.S. government agencies and authorities | 727.8 | | | | | | 727.8 |
| State, municipalities and political | | | | | | | |
| subdivisions | 333.6 | 4.7 | 0.9 | 54.7 | | | 393.9 |
| U.S. corporate securities | 15,680.3 | 15,978.0 | 1,449.2 | 320.4 | 45.2 | | 33,473.1 |
| Foreign securities ⁽¹⁾ | 4,185.6 | 9,754.3 | 968.9 | 63.0 | 95.5 | 0.1 | 15,067.4 |
| RMBS | 8,060.8 | 197.8 | 300.6 | 125.8 | 223.0 | 140.1 | 9,048.1 |
| CMBS | 5,090.8 | 140.3 | 195.9 | 36.3 | | 22.1 | 5,485.4 |
| Other ABS | 2,228.3 | 80.8 | 130.2 | 29.5 | 26.3 | 6.1 | 2,501.2 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total fixed maturities | \$ 42,279.7 | \$ 26,155.9 | \$ 3,045.7 | \$ 629.7 | \$ 390.0 | \$ 168.4 | \$ 72,669.4 |
| % of Fair Value | 58.2% | 36.0% | 4.2% | 0.9% | 0.5% | 0.2% | 100.0% |

⁽¹⁾ Primarily U.S. dollar denominated.

| (\$ in millions) | | | As of Dec | ember 31, 201 | 10 | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------|----------|----------|---------------------|
| NAIC Quality Designation | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Total Fair Value |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ 5,062.4 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 5,062.4 |
| U.S. government agencies and authorities | 998.3 | 1.2 | | | | | 999.5 |
| State, municipalities and political | | | | | | | |
| subdivisions | 336.6 | 61.3 | 65.1 | | | | 463.0 |
| U.S. corporate securities | 14,315.9 | 12,516.8 | 1,432.1 | 358.5 | 43.7 | 55.5 | 28,722.5 |
| Foreign securities ⁽¹⁾ | 5,004.0 | 8,274.6 | 939.7 | 150.7 | 61.8 | 14.9 | 14,445.7 |
| RMBS | 8,719.4 | 153.2 | 189.8 | 168.6 | 40.3 | 2.5 | 9,273.8 |
| CMBS | 5,051.0 | 515.2 | 458.9 | 97.3 | 56.8 | 41.2 | 6,220.4 |
| Other ABS | 3,058.3 | 271.4 | 154.3 | 168.8 | 39.5 | 42.6 | 3,734.9 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total fixed maturities | \$ 42,545.9 | \$ 21,793.7 | \$ 3,239.9 | \$ 943.9 | \$ 242.1 | \$ 156.7 | \$68,922.2 |
| | | | | | | | |
| % of Fair Value | 61.7% | 31.6% | 4.7% | 1.4% | 0.4% | 0.2% | 100.0% |

⁽¹⁾ Primarily U.S. dollar denominated.

-167-

As of September 30, 2012, the weighted average quality rating of our fixed maturities portfolio was A. The following tables present credit quality of fixed maturities, including securities pledged, using ARO ratings as of the dates indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | As of September 30, 2012 B and Total Fair | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|----------------|-------------|--|--|
| ARO Quality Ratings | AAA | AA | Α | BBB | BB | B and Below | Value | | |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ 6,037.8 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 6,037.8 | | |
| U.S. government agencies and | | | | | | | | | |
| authorities | 726.1 | 2.9 | 3.1 | | | | 732.1 | | |
| State, municipalities and political | | | | | | | | | |
| subdivisions | 107.7 | 203.6 | 34.1 | 4.5 | 1.0 | | 350.9 | | |
| U.S. corporate securities | 700.1 | 1,908.5 | 14,365.1 | 17,293.3 | 1,421.7 | 366.0 | 36,054.7 | | |
| Foreign securities ⁽¹⁾ | 57.7 | 991.0 | 3,513.7 | 10,438.0 | 619.2 | 60.0 | 15,679.6 | | |
| RMBS | 6,918.5 | 78.2 | 194.2 | 88.8 | 96.7 | 1,317.7 | 8,694.1 | | |
| CMBS | 1,891.0 | 561.6 | 890.0 | 1,120.8 | 615.0 | 138.6 | 5,217.0 | | |
| Other ABS | 1,525.0 | 31.5 | 133.6 | 88.6 | 122.8 | 708.0 | 2,609.5 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Total fixed maturities | \$ 17,963.9 | \$ 3,777.3 | \$ 19,133.8 | \$ 29,034.0 | \$ 2,876.4 | \$ 2,590.3 | \$ 75,375.7 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| % of Fair Value | 23.8% | 5.0% | 25.4% | 38.5% | 3.8% | 3.5% | 100.0% | | |

⁽¹⁾ Primarily U.S. dollar denominated.

| (\$ in millions) | As of December 31, 2011 | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| ARO Quality Ratings | AAA | AA | А | BBB | BB | B and Below | Total Fair Value | | |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ 5,972.5 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 5,972.5 | | |
| U.S. government agencies and | | | | | | | | | |
| authorities | 722.4 | 2.9 | 2.5 | | | | 727.8 | | |
| State, municipalities and political | | | | | | | | | |
| subdivisions | 106.4 | 195.6 | 31.6 | 4.7 | 0.9 | 54.7 | 393.9 | | |
| U.S. corporate securities | 714.6 | 2,045.1 | 13,268.3 | 15,653.3 | 1,464.8 | 327.0 | 33,473.1 | | |
| Foreign securities ⁽¹⁾ | 43.4 | 1,021.9 | 3,479.9 | 9,690.9 | 727.9 | 103.4 | 15,067.4 | | |
| RMBS | 7,118.8 | 68.3 | 290.7 | 70.4 | 83.0 | 1,416.9 | 9,048.1 | | |
| CMBS | 2,591.5 | 553.1 | 907.1 | 740.3 | 577.1 | 116.3 | 5,485.4 | | |
| Other ABS | 1,361.2 | 59.4 | 118.0 | 144.1 | 144.9 | 673.6 | 2,501.2 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Total fixed maturities | \$ 18,630.8 | \$ 3,946.3 | \$ 18,098.1 | \$ 26,303.7 | \$ 2,998.6 | \$ 2,691.9 | \$ 72,669.4 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| % of Fair Value | 25.6% | 5.4% | 24.9% | 36.2% | 4.1% | 3.8% | 100.0% | | |

⁽¹⁾ Primarily U.S. dollar denominated.

| (\$ in millions) | As of December 31, 2010 | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| ARO Quality Rating: | AAA | AA | А | BBB | BB | B and Below | Total Fair Value | | |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ 5,062.4 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 5,062.4 | | |
| U.S. government agencies and authorities | 995.7 | 2.6 | | 1.2 | | | 999.5 | | |
| State, municipalities and political | | | | | | | | | |
| subdivisions | 129.3 | 169.7 | 37.6 | 61.4 | 65.0 | | 463.0 | | |
| U.S. corporate securities | 518.0 | 2,413.7 | 11,556.2 | 12,377.5 | 1,488.7 | 368.4 | 28,722.5 | | |
| Foreign securities ⁽¹⁾ | 47.7 | 1,119.0 | 4,043.9 | 8,368.3 | 640.8 | 226.0 | 14,445.7 | | |
| RMBS | 7,363.8 | 94.8 | 128.7 | 95.9 | 46.2 | 1,544.4 | 9,273.8 | | |
| CMBS | 2,992.4 | 774.0 | 999.2 | 846.6 | 489.7 | 118.5 | 6,220.4 | | |
| Other ABS | 1,367.2 | 288.1 | 142.5 | 286.5 | 189.9 | 1,460.7 | 3,734.9 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Total fixed maturities | \$ 18,476.5 | \$ 4,861.9 | \$ 16,908.1 | \$ 22,037.4 | \$ 2,920.3 | \$ 3,718.0 | \$ 68,922.2 | | |
| % of Fair Value | 26.8% | 7.1% | 24.5% | 32.0% | 4.2% | 5.4% | 100.0% | | |

⁽¹⁾ Primarily U.S. dollar denominated.

The amortized cost and fair value of fixed maturities, including securities pledged, as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, are presented below by contractual maturity. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities as securities may be restructured, called, or prepaid. MBS and Other ABS are presented separately because they are not due at a single maturity date.

| (\$ in millions) | As of Septen Amortized | nber 30, 2012 | As of Decem Amortized | ber 31, 2011 |
|--|---------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| | Cost | Fair Value | Cost | Fair Value |
| Due to mature: | | | | |
| One year or less | \$ 2,866.5 | \$ 2,980.3 | \$ 2,815.1 | \$ 2,885.5 |
| After one year through five years | 14,086.4 | 15,013.6 | 13,850.8 | 14,543.9 |
| After five years through ten years | 16,690.9 | 18,554.3 | 16,512.4 | 17,753.2 |
| After ten years | 18,539.8 | 22,306.9 | 17,652.1 | 20,452.1 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 12,419.5 | 13,911.1 | 13,322.1 | 14,533.5 |
| Other ABS | 2,636.7 | 2,609.5 | 2,727.0 | 2,501.2 |
| | | | | |
| Fixed maturities, including securities pledged | \$ 67,239.8 | \$ 75,375.7 | \$ 66,879.5 | \$ 72,669.4 |

As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we did not have any investments in a single issuer, other than obligations of the U.S. government and government agencies and the Dutch State loan obligation (see Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Alt-A Backup Facility), with a carrying value in excess of 10% of our shareholder s equity.

-169-

Unrealized Capital Losses

Unrealized capital losses (including noncredit impairments), along with the fair value of fixed maturities, including securities pledged, by market sector and duration were as presented below as of the dates indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | As of September 30, 2012 More Than Six Months | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|--|--|
| | Six Months o Amortiz | Το | Total Unrealized | | | | | | | |
| | Fair Value | Capital Losses | Fair Value | Capital Losses | Fair Value | Capital Losses | Fair Value | Capital Losses | | |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | | |
| U.S. corporate, state and | | | | | | | | | | |
| municipalities | 501.5 | 5.1 | 176.9 | 13.1 | 258.2 | 39.7 | 936.6 | 57.9 | | |
| Foreign | 240.4 | 15.5 | 62.8 | 7.2 | 341.7 | 48.5 | 644.9 | 71.2 | | |
| RMBS | 175.8 | 2.8 | 76.7 | 4.0 | 672.2 | 97.5 | 924.7 | 104.3 | | |
| CMBS | 42.2 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 333.7 | 13.7 | 377.2 | 15.7 | | |
| Other ABS | 40.9 | 1.3 | | | 708.3 | 122.8 | 749.2 | 124.1 | | |
| Total | \$ 1,000.8 | \$ 26.2 | \$ 317.7 | \$ 24.8 | \$ 2,314.1 | \$ 322.2 | \$ 3,632.6 | \$ 373.2 | | |

| (\$ in millions) | | | More Than Siz | | nber 31, 2011 | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|------------|------------------------------|
| | Six Months o Amortiz | | Twelve Mon Below Amo | | More Than Ty Below Amo | | Τα | tal Unrealized Capital |
| | Fair Value | Losses | Fair Value | Losses | Fair Value | Losses | Fair Value | Losses |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| U.S. corporate, state and | | | | | | | | |
| municipalities | 1,812.9 | 55.7 | 173.2 | 10.4 | 393.4 | 45.3 | 2,379.5 | 111.4 |
| Foreign | 1,177.6 | 66.2 | 80.2 | 7.3 | 655.8 | 71.9 | 1,913.6 | 145.4 |
| RMBS | 426.6 | 5.1 | 388.3 | 16.1 | 865.1 | 219.6 | 1,680.0 | 240.8 |
| CMBS | 338.3 | 6.4 | 1,131.6 | 87.6 | 241.4 | 55.2 | 1,711.3 | 149.2 |
| Other ABS | 306.9 | 5.3 | 165.8 | 42.7 | 668.5 | 222.7 | 1,141.2 | 270.7 |
| Total | \$ 4,062.3 | \$ 138.7 | \$ 1,939.1 | \$ 164.1 | \$ 2,824.2 | \$ 614.7 | \$ 8,825.6 | \$ 917.5 |

(\$ in millions)

As of December 31, 2010

| | | | More Than | Six Months | , | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|------------|------------|
| | | | ar | nd | | | | |
| | Six Months or Amortiz | | Twelve Mor Below Amo | nths or Less ortized Cost | More Than T Below Amo | welve Months ortized Cost | То | tal |
| | | Unrealized | | Unrealized | | Unrealized | | Unrealized |
| | | Capital | | Capital | | Capital | | Capital |
| | Fair Value | Loss | Fair Value | Loss | Fair Value | Loss | Fair Value | Loss |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ 1,702.4 | \$ 55.9 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,702.4 | \$ 55.9 |
| | 38.0 | 1.3 | | | | | 38.0 | 1.3 |

Edgar Filing: ING U.S., Inc. - Form S-1/A

| U.S. government agencies and authorities | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|----------|----------|--------|------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| U.S. corporate, state and | | | | | | | | |
| municipalities | 4,665.2 | 152.3 | 68.1 | 2.8 | 750.4 | 65.6 | 5,483.7 | 220.7 |
| Foreign | 2,440.8 | 94.8 | 63.1 | 1.7 | 431.5 | 42.6 | 2,935.4 | 139.1 |
| RMBS | 1,244.5 | 22.6 | 20.4 | 1.9 | 1,082.9 | 244.1 | 2,347.8 | 268.6 |
| CMBS | 122.4 | 1.4 | | | 1,584.9 | 160.1 | 1,707.3 | 161.5 |
| Other ABS | 307.5 | 3.9 | 16.9 | 0.1 | 1,408.1 | 405.2 | 1,732.5 | 409.2 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 10,520.8 | \$ 332.2 | \$ 168.5 | \$ 6.5 | \$ 5,257.8 | \$ 917.6 | \$ 15,947.1 | \$ 1,256.3 |

-170-

Of the unrealized capital losses aged more than twelve months, the average market value of the related fixed maturities was 87.8%, 82.1% and 85.3% of the average book value as of September 30, 2012, December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Gross unrealized losses on fixed maturities, including securities pledged, decreased \$544.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, and \$338.8 million and \$3.1 billion for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The decrease in gross unrealized losses was primarily due to recognition of OTTI on RMBS and the declining yields and tightening spreads.

CMO-B Portfolio

As part of our broadly diversified investment portfolio, we have a core holding in a proprietary mortgage derivatives strategy known as CMO-B, which invests in a variety of CMO securities in combination with interest rate derivatives in targeting a specific type of exposure to the U.S. residential mortgage market. Because of their relative complexity and generally small natural buyer base, we believe certain types of CMO securities are consistently priced below their intrinsic value, thereby providing a source of potential return for investors in this strategy.

The CMO securities that are part of our CMO-B portfolio are either notional or principal securities, backed by the interest and principal components, respectively, of mortgages secured by single-family residential real estate. There are many variations of these two types of securities including interest only and principal only securities, as well as inverse-floating rate (principal) securities and inverse interest only securities, all of which are part of our CMO-B portfolio. This strategy has been in place for nearly two decades and thus far has been a significant source of investment income while exhibiting relatively low volatility and correlation compared to the other asset types in the investment portfolio, although we cannot predict whether favorable returns will continue in future periods.

To protect against the potential for credit loss associated with financially troubled borrowers, investments in our CMO-B portfolio are primarily in CMO securities backed by one of the government sponsored entities: the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae), the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac) or Government National Mortgage Association.

Because the timing of the receipt of the underlying cash flow is highly dependent on the level and direction of interest rates, our CMO-B portfolio also has exposure to both interest rate and convexity risk. The exposure to interest rate risk the potential for changes in value that results from changes in the general level of interest rates is managed to a defined target duration using interest rate swaps. The exposure to convexity risk the potential for changes in value that result from changes in duration caused by changes in interest rates is dynamically hedged using interest rate swaps and at times, interest rate swaptions.

Changes in the prepayment behavior of homeowners represent both a risk and potential source of return for our CMO-B portfolio. As a result, we seek to invest in securities that are broadly diversified by collateral type to take advantage of the uncorrelated prepayment experiences of homeowners with unique characteristics that influence their ability or desire to prepay their mortgage. We choose collateral type and individual security based on an in-depth quantitative analysis of prepayment incentives across all available borrower types.

-171-

The following table presents fixed maturities balances held in the CMO-B portfolio by NAIC rating as of the dates indicated:

| (\$ in millions) NAIC | As of September 30, 2012 | | | As of I | December 31, 2 | 2011 | As of December 31, 2010 | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------|--|
| Designation | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | % Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | % Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | % Fair Value | |
| 1 | \$ 2,724.1 | \$ 3,555.3 | 91.2% | \$ 3,157.4 | \$4,214.1 | 91.6% | \$ 3,373.0 | \$ 4,630.0 | 98.1% | |
| 2 | 8.2 | 15.5 | 0.4% | 6.6 | 12.0 | 0.3% | 11.7 | 12.6 | 0.3% | |
| 3 | 15.3 | 20.5 | 0.5% | 8.2 | 12.9 | 0.3% | 12.5 | 16.6 | 0.4% | |
| 4 | 23.9 | 31.4 | 0.8% | 36.5 | 46.2 | 1.0% | 28.1 | 37.5 | 0.8% | |
| 5 | 44.8 | 69.4 | 1.8% | 121.2 | 174.6 | 3.8% | 9.7 | 19.6 | 0.4% | |
| 6 | 119.9 | 208.5 | 5.3% | 42.0 | 140.7 | 3.0% | 0.8 | 1.5 | 0.0% | |
| | \$ 2,936.2 | \$ 3,900.6 | 100.0% | \$ 3,371.9 | \$ 4,600.5 | 100.0% | \$ 3,435.8 | \$4,717.8 | 100.0% | |

For CMO securities where we elected the FVO, amortized cost represents the market values. For details on the NAIC designation methodology, please see Fixed Maturities Credit Quality Ratings above.

The following table presents the notional amounts and fair values of interest rate derivatives used in our CMO-B portfolio as of the dates indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | As of September 30, 2012 | | | As of D | December 31 | , 2011 | As of December 31, 2010 | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | | Assets | Liability | | Assets | Liability | | Assets | Liability |
| | Notional | Fair | Fair | Notional | Fair | Fair | Notional | Fair | Fair |
| | Amount | Value | Value | Amount | Value | Value | Amount | Value | Value |
| Derivatives non-qualifying for | | | | | | | | | |
| hedge accounting: | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest Rate Contracts | \$ 34,006.4 | \$ 856.5 | \$ 1,111.0 | \$ 33,204.1 | \$770.2 | \$ 1,024.3 | \$ 30,981.7 | \$438.1 | \$ 688.6 |
| The recent financial crisis resulted | d in tighter len | ding stand | ards which ha | as led to highe | er involunta | ry and lower | voluntary pre | payments, | greater |
| variations in prepayments based of | on borrower tra | its, lower | correlation be | etween interes | t rates and | prepayments | and elevated | sensitivity | to |
| government policy changes for pr | repayments and | d valuation | s. We believe | e our CMO-B | portfolio w | as positioned | d for such a la | ndscape, as | the |
| interest only and inverse interest of | only, or notion | al, exposu | re in the port | folio generally | benefited | from slowing | prepayments | in 2009. A | t the same |
| time, the diversified nature of the | mortgage coll | ateral unde | erlying the se | curities in our | СМО-В ро | ortfolio benef | fited from the | renewed in | nportance |
| of differentiation by borrower cla | ssification. Ou | r CMO-B | portfolio also | benefitted in | 2009 from | the fact that. | consistent wi | th the mark | tet. |
| generally, valuations of some of the CMO-B securities had fallen significantly in late 2008 despite a lack of significant changes in the | | | | | | | | | |
| expectations for underlying cash | | | • | • | - | | • | 0 | |
| investors recognized the attractive | | | | ooo ereated a | opportaint | ly for moreus | und in the second | | |
| investors recognized the attractive | chess of the sec | | | | | | | | |

The following table presents our CMO-B fixed maturity securities balances and tranche type as of the dates indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | As of September 30, 2012 | | | As of E | December 31, 2 | 2011 | As of December 31, 2010 | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------|--------|----------------|----------------|--------|-------------------------|------------|--------|
| | Amortized | Fair | % Fair | Amortized | Fair | % Fair | Amortized | Fair | % Fair |
| Tranche Type | Cost | Value | Value | Cost | Value | Value | Cost | Value | Value |
| Inverse Floater | \$ 1,124.0 | \$ 1,654.4 | 42.4% | \$ 1,386.5 | \$ 2,001.2 | 43.5% | \$ 1,706.5 | \$ 2,331.8 | 49.4% |
| Interest Only (IO) | 203.1 | 233.7 | 6.0% | 259.7 | 290.6 | 6.3% | 287.3 | 322.3 | 6.8% |
| Inverse Interest Only | 1,285.7 | 1,680.4 | 43.1% | 1,339.6 | 1,913.3 | 41.6% | 1,164.3 | 1,779.3 | 37.7% |
| Principal Only (PO) | 222.1 | 226.6 | 5.8% | 246.9 | 252.6 | 5.5% | 195.2 | 200.4 | 4.2% |
| Floater | 88.1 | 89.9 | 2.3% | 120.6 | 120.7 | 2.6% | 53.9 | 52.1 | 1.1% |
| Other | 13.2 | 15.6 | 0.4% | 18.6 | 22.1 | 0.5% | 28.6 | 31.9 | 0.8% |

| Total \$2,936.2 \$3,900.6 100.0% \$3,371.9 \$4,600.5 100.0% \$3,435.8 \$4,717.8 | 100.0% |
|---|--------|
|---|--------|

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we sold approximately \$509.0 million of IO and Inverse IO securities within the CMO-B strategy primarily to release required capital and improve the capital efficiency of the strategy going forward for certain portfolios and recognized a pre-tax gain of \$129.3 million.

Generally, a continued increase in valuations, as well as muted prepayments despite low interest rates, led to a strong performance of our CMO-B portfolio in 2010. Based on fundamental prepayment analysis, we were able to increase the allocation to notional securities in a manner that was diversified by borrower and mortgage characteristics without unduly increasing portfolio risk because of the new mortgage financing environment and the belief that an increase in prepayments would be muted by the tight credit environment.

While the market in the second half of 2011 was volatile as a result of the European debt crisis and concerns regarding the implications of Home Affordable Refinance Program 2.0, our CMO-B portfolio performed well due to persistently low levels of prepayments and a diversified selection of underlying collateral types. Lower valuations and prepayments due to tight housing-related credit continued in the nine months ended September 30, 2012; however, to the extent these conditions change, we expect that the results of our CMO-B portfolio will likely underperform those of recent periods.

The following table presents returns for our CMO-B portfolio for the periods indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | Nine M En Septen | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net investment income (loss) | \$ 834.4 | \$ 867.4 | \$ 1,158.5 | \$ 1,261.4 | \$ 1,286.0 |
| Net realized capital gains (losses) ⁽¹⁾ | (68.3) | (177.4) | (294.9) | (243.3) | (177.1) |
| Total income (pre-tax) | \$ 766.1 | \$ 690.0 | \$ 863.6 | \$ 1,018.1 | \$ 1,108.9 |

⁽¹⁾ Net realized capital gains (losses) also include derivatives interest settlements, fair value adjustments and realized gains (losses) on standalone derivatives contracts that are in the CMO-B portfolio.

In defining operating income before income taxes and non-operating income for our CMO-B portfolio, certain recharacterizations are recognized. As indicated in footnote (1) above, derivatives activity including net coupon settlement on interest rate swaps is included as Net realized capital gains (losses). Since these swaps are hedging securities whose coupon payments are reflected as net investment income (loss) (operating income), it is appropriate to represent the net swap coupons as operating income before income taxes rather than non-operating income. Also included in Net realized capital gains (losses) is the premium amortization and the change in fair value for securities designated under the FVO, whereas the coupon for these securities is included in net investment income (loss). In order to present the economics of these fair value securities in a similar manner to those of an available for sale security, the premium amortization is reclassified from Net realized capital gains (losses) (or non-operating income) to operating income.

After adjusting for the two items referenced immediately above, the following table presents operating income before income taxes and non-operating income for our CMO-B portfolio for the periods indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | | Months En | ded Sept | ember 30, | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
|--|----|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------------------|----------|----------|--|
| | | 2012 | | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Operating income before income taxes | \$ | 398.9 | \$ | 383.6 | \$ 517.7 | \$ 566.8 | \$ 610.9 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Realized gains (losses) including OTTI | \$ | 157.9 | \$ | 20.1 | \$ 19.4 | \$ 19.6 | \$ 188.7 | |
| Fair value adjustments | | 209.3 | | 286.3 | 326.5 | 431.7 | 309.3 | |
| - | | | | | | | | |
| Non-operating income | \$ | 367.2 | \$ | 306.4 | \$ 345.9 | \$ 451.3 | \$ 498.0 | |

| | Edgar Filing: INC | G U.S., II | nc Fo | rm S-1/ | /A | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------|---------|----------|------------|------------|
| Income before income taxes | \$ | 766.1 | \$ | 690.0 | \$ 863.6 | \$ 1,018.1 | \$ 1,108.9 |

Subprime and Alt-A Mortgage Exposure

The performance of underlying subprime and Alt-A mortgage collateral, originated prior to 2008, has continued to reflect the problems associated with a housing market that has since seen substantial price declines and an employment market that has declined significantly and remains under stress. Credit spreads have widened meaningfully from issuance and rating agency downgrades have been widespread and severe within the sector. Over the course of 2010 and 2011, market prices and liquidity within the sector exhibited volatility, driven by various factors, both domestically and globally. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, market prices and sector liquidity have exhibited some improvements, driven by an improved technical picture and positive sentiment regarding the potential for improvements within the sector. In managing our risk exposure to subprime and Alt-A mortgages, we take into account collateral performance and structural characteristics associated with its various positions.

We do not originate or purchase subprime or Alt-A whole-loan mortgages. Subprime lending is the origination of loans to customers with weaker credit profiles. We define Alt-A mortgages to include the following: residential mortgage loans to customers who have strong credit profiles but lack some element(s), such as documentation to substantiate income; residential mortgage loans to borrowers that would otherwise be classified as prime but whose loan structure provides repayment options to the borrower that increase the risk of default; and any securities backed by residential mortgage collateral not clearly identifiable as prime or subprime.

We have exposure to RMBS, CMBS and ABS. Our exposure to subprime mortgage-backed securities is primarily in the form of ABS structures collateralized by subprime residential mortgages and the majority of these holdings were included in Other ABS under Fixed Maturities above. As of September 30, 2012, the fair value and gross unrealized losses related to our exposure to subprime mortgage-backed securities were \$949.5 million and \$123.6 million, representing 1.3% of total fixed maturities, including securities pledged, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, the fair value and gross unrealized losses related to our exposure to subprime mortgage-backed securities were \$974.2 million and \$272.1 million, representing 1.3% of total fixed maturities pledged, respectively. As of December 31, 2010, the fair value and gross unrealized losses related to our exposure to subprime mortgage backed securities were \$974.2 million and \$272.1 million, representing 1.3% of total fixed maturities pledged, respectively. As of December 31, 2010, the fair value and gross unrealized losses related to our exposure to subprime mortgage backed securities were \$9.1 billion and \$384.8 million, representing 3.0% of total fixed maturities, including securities were \$2.1 billion and \$384.8 million, representing 3.0% of total fixed maturities, including securities pledged, respectively.

The NAIC adopted revised designation methodologies for non-agency RMBS, including RMBS backed by subprime mortgage loans reported within ABS, that became effective December 31, 2009 and for CMBS that became effective December 31, 2010. The NAIC s objective with the revised designation methodologies for these structured securities was to increase the accuracy in assessing expected losses and to use the improved assessment to determine a more appropriate capital requirement for such structured securities. The NAIC designations for structured securities, including subprime and Alt-A RMBS, are based upon a comparison of the bond s amortized cost to the NAIC s loss expectation for each security. Securities where modeling results in no expected loss in all scenarios are considered to have the highest designation of NAIC 1. A large percentage of the Company s RMBS securities carry a NAIC 1 designation while the ARO rating indicates below investment grade. This is primarily due to the credit and intent impairments recorded by the Company which reduced the amortized cost on these securities to a level resulting in no expected loss in all scenarios, which corresponds to a NAIC 1 designation.

-174-

The following tables present our exposure to subprime mortgage-backed securities by credit quality using NAIC designations, ARO ratings and vintage year as of the dates indicated:

| | NAIC | Designation | % of Total Subprime ARO Rating | | acked Securities Vintage | | |
|--------------------------|------|-------------|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|---------|--|
| As of September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 75.5% | AAA | 1.4% | 2007 | 29.6% | |
| | 2 | 4.9% | AA | 0.8% | 2006 | 36.9% | |
| | 3 | 15.0% | А | 5.8% | 2005 and prior | 33.5% | |
| | 4 | 3.5% | BBB | 6.5% | | 100.0% | |
| | | 010 /0 | 200 | 0.0 /0 | | 1001070 | |
| | 5 | 0.4% | BB and below | 85.5% | | | |
| | 6 | 0.7% | | 100.0% | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | 100.0% | | | | | |
| As of December 31, 2011 | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 78.1% | AAA | 2.9% | 2007 | 26.9% | |
| | 2 | 4.7% | AA | 1.2% | 2006 | 41.2% | |
| | 3 | 13.4% | А | 4.5% | 2005 and prior | 31.9% | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 4 | 2.7% | BBB | 8.8% | | 100.0% | |
| | 5 | 0.5% | BB and below | 82.6% | | | |
| | 6 | 0.6% | | 100.0% | | | |
| | | 100.0% | | | | | |
| | | 100.0% | | | | | |
| As of December 31, 2010 | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 79.4% | AAA | 7.1% | 2007 | 33.9% | |
| | 2 | 4.0% | AA | 7.0% | 2006 | 40.0% | |
| | 3 | 6.2% | А | 3.8% | 2005 and prior | 26.1% | |
| | 4 | 7.8% | BBB | 5.1% | | 100.0% | |
| | 5 | 1.3% | BB and below | 77.0% | | | |
| | 6 | 1.3% | | 100.0% | | | |
| | | 100.0% | | | | | |

100.0%

Our exposure to Alt-A mortgages is included in the RMBS line item in the Fixed Maturities table under Fixed Maturities above. As of September 30, 2012, the fair value and gross unrealized losses related to our exposure to Alt-A RMBS aggregated to \$415.2 million and \$61.7 million, respectively, representing 0.6% of total fixed maturities, including securities pledged. As of December 31, 2011, the fair value and gross unrealized losses related to \$410.8 million and \$117.6 million, respectively, representing 0.6% of total fixed maturities, including securities pledged to \$410.8 million and \$117.6 million, respectively, representing 0.6% of total fixed maturities, including securities pledged. As of December 31, 2010, the fair value and gross unrealized losses related to our exposure to Alt-A RMBS aggregated to \$504.3 million and \$118.5 million, respectively representing 0.7% of total fixed maturities, including securities pledged.

-175-

The following tables present our exposure to Alt-A RMBS by credit quality using NAIC designations, ARO ratings and vintage year as of the dates indicated:

| | NAICD | esignation | % of Total Alt-A M ARO Ratin | | l Securities Vintage | | |
|--------------------------|--------|------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|----------|--|
| As of September 30, 2012 | HAIC D | csignation | AKO Kaun | 123 | vintag | ,c | |
| | 1 | 40.0% | AAA | 0.7% | 2007 | 19.9% | |
| | 2 | 12.3% | AA | 1.3% | 2006 | 26.0% | |
| | 3 | 17.5% | A | 5.1% | 2005 and prior | 54.1% | |
| | U | 1,10,70 | | 011/0 | 2000 and prior | 0 111 /0 | |
| | 4 | 19.0% | BBB | 3.9% | | 100.0% | |
| | + | 19.0 // | DDD | 5.970 | | 100.070 | |
| | 5 | 0.107 | BB and below | 89.0% | | | |
| | 5 | 9.1% | BB and below | 89.0% | | | |
| | | | | 100.00 | | | |
| | 6 | 2.1% | | 100.0% | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | 100.0% | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| As of December 31, 2011 | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 38.7% | AAA | 1.0% | 2007 | 18.8% | |
| | 2 | 11.0% | AA | 2.3% | 2006 | 25.3% | |
| | 3 | 16.4% | А | 7.5% | 2005 and prior | 55.9% | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 4 | 24.0% | BBB | 3.9% | | 100.0% | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 5 | 9.0% | BB and below | 85.3% | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 6 | 0.9% | | 100.0% | | | |
| | 0 | 0.970 | | 100.070 | | | |
| | | 100.0% | | | | | |
| | | 100.0% | | | | | |
| As of December 21, 2010 | | | | | | | |
| As of December 31, 2010 | 1 | 43.3% | ААА | 8.9% | 2007 | 19.0% | |
| | 2 | | AAA AA | 8.9% 4.4% | 2007 | 26.4% | |
| | | 10.8% | | | | | |
| | 3 | 13.6% | А | 2.2% | 2005 and prior | 54.6% | |
| | | 25.29 | | 0.19 | | 100.00 | |
| | 4 | 25.3% | BBB | 2.4% | | 100.0% | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 5 | 6.6% | BB and below | 82.1% | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 6 | 0.4% | | 100.0% | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | 100.0% | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Commercial Mortgage-Backed and Other Asset-Backed Securities

CMBS investments represent pools of commercial mortgages that are broadly diversified across property types and geographical areas. Delinquency rates on commercial mortgages have remained elevated. However, the steep pace of increases observed in the months following the credit crisis has slowed and some recent months have posted month over month declines in mortgage delinquencies. In addition, other performance metrics like vacancies, property values and rent levels have shown improvements. These metrics may provide early signals of a recovery in commercial real estate. In addition, the primary market for CMBS continued its recovery from the credit crisis with higher total new issuances in 2011, which was the third straight year of higher new issuances. Higher new issuances resulted in increased credit availability within the commercial real estate market.

For consumer ABS, delinquency and loss rates have continued to decline after the credit crisis. Improvements in various credit metrics across multiple types of asset-backed loans have been observed on a sustained basis.

-176-

As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and 2010, the fair value of our CMBS totaled \$5.2 billion, \$5.5 billion and \$6.2 billion, respectively, and Other ABS, excluding subprime exposure, totaled \$1.7 billion, \$1.5 billion and \$1.7 billion, respectively.

As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and 2010, the gross unrealized losses related to CMBS totaled \$15.7 million, \$149.2 million and \$161.5 million, respectively, and gross unrealized losses related to Other ABS, excluding subprime exposure, totaled \$1.9 million, \$1.3 million and \$29.5 million, respectively.

The following tables present our exposure to CMBS holdings by credit quality using NAIC designations, ARO ratings and vintage year as of the dates indicated:

| | NAICI | Designation | % o ARO Ratin | of Total CMBS | Vintag | Je |
|---|---------|-------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|--------|
| As of September 30, 2012 | i ili i | Jesignation | | 50 | (Inters | ,• |
| r i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | 1 | 92.6% | AAA | 36.3% | 2008 | 0.3% |
| | 2 | 2.7% | AA | 10.7% | 2007 | 38.7% |
| | 3 | 4.3% | А | 17.1% | 2006 | 28.6% |
| | 4 | 0.4% | BBB | 21.4% | 2005 and prior | 32.4% |
| | 5 | % | BB and below | 14.5% | | 100.0% |
| | 6 | % | | 100.0% | | |
| | | 100.0% | | | | |
| As of December 31, 2011 | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 92.7% | AAA | 47.3% | 2008 | 0.3% |
| | 2 | 2.6% | AA | 10.1% | 2007 | 33.4% |
| | 3 | 3.6% | А | 16.5% | 2006 | 26.5% |
| | 4 | 0.7% | BBB | 13.5% | 2005 and prior | 39.8% |
| | 5 | % | BB and below | 12.6% | | 100.0% |
| | 6 | 0.4% | | 100.0% | | |
| | | 100.0% | | | | |
| As of December 31, 2010 | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 81.2% | AAA | 48.1% | 2008 | 0.2% |
| | 2 | 8.3% | AA | 12.4% | 2007 | 31.6% |
| | 3 | 7.4% | А | 16.1% | 2006 | 25.8% |
| | 4 | 1.6% | BBB | 13.6% | 2005 and prior | 42.4% |
| | 5 | 0.8% | BB and below | 9.8% | | 100.0% |
| | 6 | 0.7% | | 100.0% | | |
| | | 100.0% | | | | |

100.0%

As of September 30, 2012, Other ABS was also broadly diversified both by type and issuer with credit card receivables, non-consolidated collateralized loan obligations (CLO) and automobile receivables, comprising 40.2%, 4.9% and 34.3%, respectively, of total Other ABS, excluding subprime exposure. As of December 31, 2011, Other ABS was also broadly diversified both by type and issuer with credit card receivables, non-consolidated CLO and automobile receivables, comprising 43.1%, 4.6% and 27.9%, respectively, of total Other ABS, excluding subprime exposure. As of December 31, 2010, Other ABS, excluding subprime mortgage exposure, were securitized by credit card

receivables, CLO and automobile receivables comprising 47.0%, 13.2% and 18.3%, respectively, of total Other ABS, excluding subprime exposure.

The following tables present the Company s exposure to Other ABS holdings, excluding subprime exposure, by credit quality using NAIC designations, ARO ratings and vintage year as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and 2010:

| | NAIC Designation | | % of Total ARO Ratings | Other ABS | S Vintage | |
|--------------------------|------------------|--------|---------------------------|-----------|----------------|--------|
| As of September 30, 2012 | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 96.7% | AAA | 90.5% | 2012 | 19.2% |
| | 2 | 1.6% | AA | 1.4% | 2011 | 17.2% |
| | 3 | 0.1% | А | 4.8% | 2010 | 6.3% |
| | 4 | % | BBB | 1.6% | 2009 | 2.7% |
| | 5 | % | BB and below | 1.7% | 2008 | 6.1% |
| | 6 | 1.6% | | 100.0% | 2007 | 18.9% |
| | | 100.0% | | | 2006 | 9.4% |
| | | | | | 2005 and prior | 20.2% |
| | | | | | 2000 and prior | 100.0% |
| As of December 31, 2011 | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 96.1% | AAA | 86.6% | 2011 | 18.0% |
| | 2 | 2.3% | AA | 3.1% | 2010 | 9.6% |
| | 3 | % | А | 4.9% | 2009 | 6.4% |
| | 4 | 0.2% | BBB | 3.8% | 2008 | 7.0% |
| | 5 | 1.4% | BB and below | 1.6% | 2007 | 24.8% |
| | 6 | % | | 100.0% | 2006 | 9.5% |
| | | 100.0% | | | 2005 and prior | 24.7% |
| | | | | | | 100.0% |
| As of December 31, 2010 | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 85.5% | AAA | 73.0% | 2010 | 10.9% |
| | 2 | 11.0% | AA | 8.9% | 2009 | 8.4% |
| | 3 | 1.5% | А | 4.0% | 2008 | 7.4% |
| | 4 | 0.3% | BBB | 10.5% | 2007 | 28.0% |
| | 5 | 0.8% | BB and below | 3.6% | 2006 | 13.0% |
| | 6 | 0.9% | | 100.0% | 2005 and prior | 32.3% |
| | | 100.0% | | | | 100.0% |

Troubled Debt Restructuring

We seek to invest in high quality, well performing portfolios of commercial mortgage loans and private placements. Under certain circumstances, modifications to these contracts are granted. Each modification is evaluated as to whether a troubled debt restructuring has occurred. A modification is a troubled debt restructuring when the borrower is in financial difficulty and the creditor makes concessions. Generally, the types of concessions may include reducing the face amount or maturity amount of the debt as originally stated, reducing the contractual interest rate, extending the maturity date at an interest rate lower than current market interest rates and/or reducing accrued interest. We consider the amount, timing and extent of the concession granted in determining any impairment or changes in the specific valuation allowance recorded in connection with the troubled debt restructuring. A valuation allowance may have been recorded prior to the quarter when

the loan is modified in a troubled debt restructuring. Accordingly, the carrying value (net of the specific valuation allowance) before and after modification through a troubled debt restructuring may not change significantly, or

may increase if the expected recovery is higher than the pre-modification recovery assessment. For the year ended December 31, 2011, we had two commercial mortgage loans and one private placement troubled debt restructuring with pre-modification and post modification carrying values of \$55.1 million and \$52.2 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had one private placement troubled debt restructuring with a pre-modification carrying value of \$1.2 million, which was written down to zero in the third quarter.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we did not have any commercial mortgage loans or private placements modified in a troubled debt restructuring with a subsequent payment default.

Mortgage Loans on Real Estate

Our mortgage loans on real estate are all commercial mortgage loans, which totaled \$8.7 billion, \$8.7 billion and \$8.2 billion as of September 30, 2012, December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The carrying value of these loans is reported at amortized cost, less impairment write-downs and allowance for losses.

We diversify our commercial mortgage loan portfolio by geographic region and property type to manage concentration risk. We manage risk when originating commercial mortgage loans by generally lending only up to 75% of the estimated fair value of the underlying real estate. Subsequently, we continuously evaluate all mortgage loans based on relevant current information including a review of loan-specific credit, property characteristics and market trends. Loan performance is continuously monitored on a loan-specific basis throughout the year. The Company s review includes submitted appraisals, operating statements, rent revenues and annual inspection reports, among other items. This review evaluates whether the properties are performing at a consistent and acceptable level to secure the debt.

We rate all commercial mortgages to quantify the level of risk. We place those loans with higher risk on a watch list and closely monitor these loans for collateral deficiency or other credit events that may lead to a potential loss of principal and/or interest. If we determine the value of any mortgage loan to be OTTI (i.e., when it is probable that we will be unable to collect on all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement), the carrying value of the mortgage loan is reduced to either the present value of expected cash flows from the loan, discounted at the loan s effective interest rate, or fair value of the collateral. For those mortgages that are determined to require foreclosure, the carrying value is reduced to the fair value of the underlying collateral, net of estimated costs to obtain and sell at the point of foreclosure. The carrying value of the impaired loans is reduced by establishing an other-than-temporary write-down recorded in Net realized capital gains (losses) in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The following tables present our investment in commercial mortgage loans, the related valuation allowance and changes in the valuation allowance as of the dates indicated:

| (\$ in millions) | Sep | As of September 30, 2012 | | As of December 31, 2011 | | As of cember 31, 2010 |
|--|-----|--------------------------------|----|-------------------------------|----|-----------------------------|
| Commercial mortgage loans | \$ | 8,687.1 | \$ | 8,695.5 | \$ | 8,188.7 |
| Collective valuation allowance | | (4.5) | | (4.4) | | (7.0) |
| Total net commercial mortgage loans | \$ | 8,682.6 | \$ | 8,691.1 | \$ | 8,181.7 |
| Collective valuation allowance for losses, beginning of period | \$ | 4.4 | \$ | 7.0 | \$ | 9.9 |
| Addition to (decrease of) allowance for losses | | 0.1 | | (2.6) | | (2.9) |
| Collective valuation allowance for losses, end of period | \$ | 4.5 | \$ | 4.4 | \$ | 7.0 |

There were no impairments taken on the mortgage loan portfolio for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Impairments taken on the mortgage loan portfolio were \$9.3 million and \$13.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Our policy is to recognize interest income until a loan becomes 90 days delinquent or foreclosure proceedings are commenced, at which point interest accrual is discontinued. Interest accrual is not resumed until the loan is brought current.

Mortgage loan impairments recorded were primarily attributable to losses recognized on vacant land intended to be developed and properties located in the state of Michigan, which was severely impacted by the economic downturn.

The following table presents the aging of past due mortgage loans at carrying value as of the dates indicated:

| | 30 days or less | 31 to 90 days past | 91 to 180 days | 181 days or | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|---------|
| (\$ in millions) | past due | due | past due | more past due | Total |
| As of September 30, 2012 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 16.7 | \$ 16.7 |
| As of December 31, 2011 | 1.6 | | | 16.7 | 18.3 |
| As of December 31, 2010 | 9.6 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 11.6 | 23.9 |

Loan-to-value (LTV) and debt service coverage (DSC) ratios are measures commonly used to assess the risk and quality of commercial mortgage loans. The LTV ratio, calculated at time of origination, is expressed as a percentage of the amount of the loan relative to the value of the underlying property. An LTV ratio in excess of 100% indicates the unpaid loan amount exceeds the value of the underlying collateral. The DSC ratio, based upon the most recently received financial statements, is expressed as a percentage of the amount of a property s net income (loss) to its debt service payments. A DSC ratio of less than 1.0 indicates that property s operations do not generate sufficient income to cover debt payments. These ratios are utilized as part of the review process described above. The LTV and DSC ratios as of the dates indicated are as presented below:

| (\$ in millions) | As of As of September 30, December 31, 2012 ⁽¹⁾ 2011 ⁽¹⁾ | | September 30, December 31, | | As of December 31, 2010 ⁽¹⁾ |
|---------------------------------|--|------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Loan-to-Value Ratio: | | | | | |
| 0% 50% | \$ 2,232.5 | \$ 2,535.2 | \$ 2,834.9 | | |
| 50% 60% | 2,480.1 | 2,479.4 | 2,181.2 | | |
| 60% 70% | 3,363.9 | 2,991.9 | 2,470.9 | | |
| 70% 80% | 566.7 | 621.2 | 649.3 | | |
| 80% and above | 43.9 | 67.8 | 52.4 | | |
| Total Commercial Mortgage Loans | \$ 8,687.1 | \$ 8,695.5 | \$ 8,188.7 | | |

⁽¹⁾ Balances do not include allowance for mortgage loan credit losses.

| (\$ in millions) | | As of As of September 30, December 31, 2012 ⁽¹⁾ 2011 ⁽¹⁾ | | | As of cember 31, 2010 ⁽¹⁾ | |
|--|----|--|----|---------|--|----------|
| Debt Service Coverage Ratio: | ¢ | 5 007 4 | ¢ | 5 710 2 | ¢ | E E 77 (|
| Greater than 1.5x | \$ | 5,887.4 | \$ | 5,710.3 | \$ | 5,577.6 |
| 1.25x 1.5x | | 1,279.2 | | 1,547.2 | | 1,147.6 |
| 1.0x 1.25x | | 1,111.2 | | 1,082.2 | | 770.3 |
| Less than 1.0x | | 392.6 | | 339.1 | | 449.0 |
| Commercial mortgage loans secured by land or | | | | | | |
| construction deals | | 16.7 | | 16.7 | | 244.2 |
| | | | | | | |
| Total Commercial Mortgage Loans | \$ | 8,687.1 | \$ | 8,695.5 | \$ | 8,188.7 |

⁽¹⁾ Balances do not include allowance for mortgage loan credit losses.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

We evaluate available-for-sale fixed maturities and equity securities for impairment on a regular basis. The assessment of whether impairments have occurred is based on a case-by-case evaluation of the underlying reasons for the decline in estimated fair value. See the Note for *Business, Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies* in our Consolidated Financial Statements for a policy used to evaluate whether the investments are other-than-temporarily impaired.

The following table presents our credit-related and intent-related impairments included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations, excluding impairments included in AOCI, by type for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 and the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

| (\$ in millions) | | onths Ended mber 30, 2011 | Year Ended December 31, 2011 2010 2009 | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|---|----------|------------|--|
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 1.8 | \$ 542.5 | |
| U.S. corporate | 5.1 | 26.3 | 55.2 | 30.7 | 177.2 | |
| Foreign ⁽¹⁾ | 2.2 | 46.2 | 71.3 | 121.5 | 137.1 | |
| RMBS | 13.6 | 22.2 | 37.7 | 73.4 | 166.8 | |
| CMBS | 1.7 | 105.5 | 133.7 | 59.5 | 258.4 | |
| Other ABS | 1.8 | 190.5 | 195.5 | 589.9 | 255.7 | |
| Equity | | | | 0.5 | 34.9 | |
| Mortgage loans on real estate | | 8.7 | 9.3 | 13.5 | 46.0 | |
| Other assets ⁽²⁾ | 1.4 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 25.8 | \$ 399.4 | \$ 502.7 | \$ 890.8 | \$ 1,618.6 | |

⁽¹⁾ Primarily U.S. dollar denominated.

⁽²⁾ Includes loss on real estate owned that is classified as Other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The above table includes \$21.4 million of write-downs related to credit impairments for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, in OTTI, which were recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The remaining \$4.4 million in write-downs for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 were related to intent impairments.

The above table includes \$53.9 million of write-downs related to credit impairments for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, in OTTI, which were recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The remaining \$345.5 million in write-downs for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were related to intent impairments.

As part of our investment strategy, we may sell securities during the period in which fair value has declined below amortized cost for fixed maturities or cost for equity securities. In certain situations, new factors, including changes in the business environment, can change our previous intent to continue holding a security. Accordingly, these factors may lead us to record additional intent-related capital losses.

The fair value of the fixed maturities with OTTI as of September 30, 2012, December 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$8.8 billion, \$9.3 billion and \$8.5 billion, respectively.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the primary source of credit-related OTTI was write-downs recorded in the RMBS sector on securities collateralized by subprime residential mortgages.

Net Investment Income

The following table presents Net investment income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 and the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

| (\$ in millions) | | nths Ended nber 30, | Year | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
|---|------------|------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|--|--|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | | |
| Fixed maturities | \$ 3,179.2 | \$ 3,288.3 | \$ 4,402.1 | \$4,374.3 | \$4,787.4 | | |
| Equity securities, available-for-sale | 14.5 | 24.2 | 27.3 | 30.1 | 58.7 | | |
| Mortgage loans on real estate | 376.8 | 369.3 | 500.0 | 496.7 | 578.3 | | |
| Policy loans | 91.5 | 94.6 | 125.6 | 135.5 | 143.8 | | |
| Short-term investments and cash equivalents | 3.9 | 6.5 | 6.7 | (3.5) | 30.6 | | |
| Other | (17.2) | (17.5) | (80.8) | (25.4) | 1.6 | | |
| Gross investment income | 3,648.7 | 3,765.4 | 4,980.9 | 5,007.7 | 5,600.4 | | |
| Less: investment expenses | (6.2) | (9.0) | (12.1) | (20.7) | (31.8) | | |
| Net investment income | \$ 3,642.5 | \$ 3,756.4 | \$ 4,968.8 | \$ 4,987.0 | \$ 5,568.6 | | |

Net investment income decreased \$113.9 million from \$3,756.4 million to \$3,642.5 million, primarily due to a \$91.9 million loss related to an agreement to sell certain private equity limited partnership investments interest holdings (sale of certain alternative investments) (see table below). The transaction is discussed below under Investments Sale of Certain Alternative Investments. Further decreases were due to a decline in average assets in our Closed Block Institutional Spread Products segment and due to lapses in MYGAs. Certain MYGAs, mostly sold in 2002, will reach the end of their current guarantee period in 2012. Most of these MYGAs have high crediting rates and the supporting assets generate returns below the targets set when the contracts were issued, negatively impacting returns in our Annuities segment. During the current year, approximately \$2.7 billion of the MYGAs reached the end of their current guarantee period, and approximately 67% of those policies up for renewal lapsed. The high lapse rate was expected as renewal crediting rates offered are lower than the credited rates during the initial term. The run-off of these MYGA contracts is expected to enhance the margin of our Annuities segment in future periods. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in assets in our Retirement segment driven by positive net flows, including customer transfers from variable separate accounts.

The net decrease in investment income for the year ended December 31, 2011 was primarily due to a decline in the value of alternative investments and LIHTC partially offset by reduced investment expense. Within the fixed maturities investments, investment income increased in corporate securities and declined in CMBS as positions were reduced and reinvested into investment grade corporate securities. In addition, the increased income earned on corporate securities was also offset by a decline in earnings on certain CMO securities.

The decrease in net investment income for the year ended December 31, 2010 was generally due to reduction in average investment yields due to portfolio restructuring and declining interest rates. The decline in net investment income primarily related to fixed maturities as a portion of MBS and ABS securities have paid down or have been sold without reinvestment. The decline in net investment income was partially offset by an increase in corporate securities as this was the asset class where reinvestment has occurred. The decrease was also partially offset by the increase in other investment income which was primarily the result of increased investment income of alternative investments due to improved market conditions.

Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)

Net realized capital gains (losses) are comprised of the difference between the amortized cost of investments and proceeds from sale and redemption, as well as losses incurred due to the credit-related and intent-related other-than-temporary impairment of investments. Realized investment gains and losses are also generated from

changes in fair value of embedded derivatives within product guarantees and fixed maturities, changes in fair value of fixed maturity securities recorded at FVO and changes in fair value including accruals on derivative instruments, except for effective cash flow hedges. The cost of the investments on disposal is generally determined based on first-in-first-out methodology.

Net realized capital gains (losses) were as presented below for the periods indicated:

| | | Year 2011 | Ended December 2010 | r 31, 2009 |
|------------|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | |
| \$ 367.2 | \$ 51.2 | \$ 56.4 | \$ (340.4) | \$ (416.0) |
| (168.4) | (14.7) | (92.0) | (63.6) | 219.7 |
| 1.8 | 14.7 | 18.6 | 9.6 | 29.5 |
| (1,439.6) | 1,344.2 | 418.6 | (1,243.5) | (3,058.3) |
| (11.8) | 34.1 | 16.1 | 48.3 | (278.9) |
| 349.4 | (1, 117.1) | (1,945.1) | (72.7) | 1,376.2 |
| 4.8 | (4.4) | (4.0) | (15.7) | (50.9) |
| \$ (896.6) | \$ 308.0 | \$ (1 531 4) | \$ (1.678.0) | \$ (2,178.7) |
| | Septem 2012 \$ 367.2 (168.4) 1.8 (1,439.6) (11.8) 349.4 | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | September 30, 2012 Year 2012 2011 \$ 367.2 \$ 51.2 \$ 56.4 (168.4) (14.7) (92.0) 1.8 14.7 18.6 (1,439.6) 1,344.2 418.6 (11.8) 34.1 16.1 349.4 (1,117.1) (1,945.1) 4.8 (4.4) (4.0) | September 30, 2012 Year Ended December 2011 Year Ended December 2010 \$ 367.2 \$ 51.2 \$ 56.4 \$ (340.4) (168.4) (14.7) (92.0) (63.6) 1.8 14.7 18.6 9.6 (1,439.6) 1,344.2 418.6 (1,243.5) (11.8) 34.1 16.1 48.3 349.4 (1,117.1) (1,945.1) (72.7) 4.8 (4.4) (4.0) (15.7) |

Net realized capital losses changed \$1,204.6 million from a gain of \$308.0 million to a loss of \$896.6 million, primarily due to changes in fair value of guaranteed benefit derivatives due to nonperformance risk, changes in gains/losses on derivatives from the Closed Block Variable Annuity segment liability hedges, and losses on the CHO program. Changes in the fair value of guaranteed benefit derivatives in the Retirement, Annuities and Closed Block Variable Annuity segments due to nonperformance risk resulted in a decrease in income of \$816.0 million. The changes in derivative gains (losses) from the Closed Block Variable Annuity segment liability hedges reduced income by \$3,067.7 million. This decrease was driven by significant gains in 2011 due to equity market and interest rate decreases during that period compared to significant losses in 2012 due to the equity market increase during that period. In addition, the CHO program resulted in a decrease to income of \$411.3 million due to losses in 2012 as a result of the equity market increase in 2011. The hedge program in the Closed Block Variable Annuity segment focuses on protecting regulatory reserves and rating agency capital rather than mitigating earnings volatility and, as a result, the losses in 2012 are only partially offset by a \$2,092.7 million increase in income from changes in the gain/loss on guaranteed benefit derivatives, excluding nonperformance risk, primarily related to certain Stabilizer contracts in our Retirement segment. The gains in 2012 on guaranteed benefit derivatives excluding nonperformance risk, primarily ue to a reduction in expected future guaranteed interest rates on certain Stabilizer contracts, compared to losses in 2011 due to declining interest rates.

The favorable change in fixed maturities, available for sale, including securities pledged Net realized capital gains (losses) for the year ended December 31, 2011 was primarily due to lower credit and intent related impairments on fixed maturities driven by improved economic and interest rate environment. The decrease in Total net realized capital gains (losses) for the year ended December 31, 2010 was primarily due to lower credit and intent related impairments on fixed maturities driven by the improved economic and interest rate environment. In addition, we experienced lower realized losses on derivatives, driven by the unwinding of futures contracts at the end of 2009 which were used in the capital hedge related to variable annuity products. Realized gains (losses) declined on fixed maturities, classified as available-for-sale, including securities pledged across most asset classes in 2009 primarily due to the adoption OTTI guidance and improved economic and interest rate environment.

Derivatives

We use derivatives for a variety of hedging purposes as further described below. We also have embedded derivatives within fixed maturities instruments and certain annuity products with guarantees. See Note for *Business, Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies* in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

Closed Block Variable Annuity Hedging

Variable Annuity Guarantee Hedging

We primarily mitigate variable annuity market risk exposures through hedging. Market risk arises primarily from the minimum guarantees within the variable annuity products, whose economic costs are primarily dependent on future equity market returns, interest rate levels, equity volatility levels, and policyholder behavior. The variable annuity hedging program is used to mitigate our exposure to equity market and interest rate changes and seeks to ensure that the required assets are available to satisfy future death benefit and living benefit obligations. While the variable annuity guarantee hedging program does not explicitly hedge statutory or GAAP reserves, as markets move up or down, in aggregate the returns generated by the variable annuity hedge program will significantly offset the statutory and GAAP reserve changes due to market movements.

The objective of the guarantee hedging program is to offset changes in equity market returns for most minimum guaranteed death benefits and all guaranteed living benefits, while also providing interest rate protection for certain minimum guaranteed living benefits. We hedge the equity market exposure using a hedge target set using market consistent valuation techniques for all guaranteed living benefits and most death benefits. We also hedge the interest rate risk in our GMWB/GMAB/GMWBL blocks using a market consistent valuation hedge target. We do not hedge interest rate risks for our GMIB or GMDB primarily because doing so would result in volatility in our regulatory reserves and rating agency capital that exceeds our tolerances and, secondarily, because doing so would produce additional volatility in GAAP financial statements.

Equity index futures on various equity indices are used to mitigate the risk of the change in value of the policyholder-directed separate account funds underlying the variable annuity contracts with minimum guarantees. A dynamic trading program is utilized to seek replication of the performance of targeted fund groups (i.e., the fund groups that can be covered by indices where liquid futures markets exist).

Total return swaps are also used to mitigate the risk of the change in value of certain policyholder directed separate account funds. These include fund classes such as emerging markets and real estate. They may also be used instead of futures of more liquid indices where it may be deemed advantageous. This hedging strategy is employed at our discretion based on current risk exposures and related transaction costs.

Interest rate swaps are used to mitigate the impact of interest rates changes on the economic liabilities associated with certain minimum guaranteed living benefits.

Variance swaps and equity options are used to mitigate the impact of changes in equity volatility on the economic liabilities associated with certain minimum guaranteed living benefits. This program began in the second quarter of 2012.

Foreign exchange forwards are used to mitigate the impact of policyholder-directed investments in international funds with exposure to fluctuations in exchange rates of certain foreign currencies. Rebalancing is performed based on pre-determined notional exposures to the specific currencies.

Variable Annuity Capital Hedge Overlay Program

Variable annuity guaranteed benefits are hedged based on their economic or fair value; however, the statutory reserves are not based on a market value. When equity markets decrease, the statutory reserve and

-184-

rating agency required assets for the variable annuity guaranteed benefits can increase more quickly than the value of the derivatives held under the guarantee hedging program. This causes regulatory reserves to increase and rating agency capital to decrease. To protect the residual risk to regulatory reserves and rating agency capital in a decreasing equity market, we implemented the use of a static capital hedge in 2008. In 2010, we shifted to a dynamic CHO program. The current CHO strategy is intended to actively mitigate equity risk to the regulatory reserves and rating agency capital of the Company. The hedge is executed through the purchase and sale of equity index futures and is designed to limit the uncovered reserve increase in an immediate down equity market scenario to an amount we believe prudent for a company of our size and scale. This amount will change over time with market movements, changes in regulatory and rating agency capital and management actions.

For additional information regarding these strategies, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosure About Market Risk.

Fixed Indexed Annuity Hedging

We mitigate FIA market risk exposures through a combination of capital market hedging, product design and capital management. For the FIA book of business these risks stem from the MGIR offered and the additional interest credits (Equity Participation or Interest Rate Participation) based on exposure to various stock market indices or the 3-month LIBOR. The minimum guarantees and stock market exposures are strongly dependent on capital markets and, to a lesser degree, policyholder behavior.

The primary way we hedge FIA equity exposure is to purchase OTC equity index call options from broker-dealer derivative counterparties who generally have a minimum credit rating of A3 from Moody s and A- from S&P. For each broker-dealer counterparty, our derivative exposure to that counterparty is aggregated with any fixed income exposure to the same counterparty and is maintained within applicable limits. The second way to hedge FIA equity exposure is by purchasing exchange traded equity index futures contracts.

Additionally, the credited rate mechanism for certain FIA contracts exposes us to changes in interest rate benchmarks. We mitigate this exposure by purchasing OTC interest rate swaptions from broker-dealer derivative counterparties who generally have a minimum credit rate of A3 from Moody s and A- from S&P.

These hedge programs are limited to the current policy term of the liabilities, based on current participation rates. Future returns, which may be reflected in FIA credited rates beyond the current policy term, are not hedged.

While the FIA hedging program does not explicitly hedge statutory or GAAP income volatility, the FIA hedging program tends to mitigate the statutory and GAAP reserve changes associated with movements in the equity market and 3-month LIBOR. This is due to the fact that a key component in the calculation of statutory and GAAP reserves is the market valuation of the current term embedded derivative. The risk management of the current term embedded derivative is the goal of the FIA hedging program. Due to the alignment of the embedded derivative reserve component with hedging of this same embedded derivative, there should be a match between changes in this component of the reserve and changes in the assets backing this component of the reserve. However, there may be an interim mismatch due to the fact that the hedges which are put in place are only intended to cover exposures expected to remain until the end of an indexing term (e.g. account value decrements during an indexing term, associated with expected lapses and mortality, are not hedged).

Call options are used to hedge against an increase in various equity indices. An increase in various equity indices may result in increased payments to contract holders of FIA contracts. The call options offset this increased expense.

Futures contracts are also used to hedge against an increase in certain equity indices. An increase in certain equity indices may result in increased payments to contractholders of fixed indexed annuity contracts. The futures contracts offset this increased expense.

-185-

Interest rate swaptions are used to hedge against an increase in the interest rate benchmark (currently the 3-month LIBOR). An increase in the interest rate benchmark may result in increased payments to contract holders of FIA contracts. The interest rate swaptions offset this increased expense.

Invested Asset and Credit Hedging

Interest rate caps and interest rate swaps are used to manage the interest rate risk in our fixed maturities portfolio. Interest rate swaps include forward starting swaps which are used for anticipated purchases of fixed maturities. They represent contracts that require the exchange of cash flows at regular interim periods, typically monthly or quarterly.

Foreign exchange swaps are used to reduce the risk of a change in the value, yield, or cash flow with respect to invested assets. Foreign exchange swaps represent contracts that require the exchange of foreign currency cash flows for U.S. dollar cash flows at regular interim periods, typically quarterly or semiannually.

Certain forwards are acquired to hedge certain CMO assets held by us against movements in interest rates, particularly mortgage rates. On the settlement date, we will either receive a payment (interest rate decreases on purchased forwards or interest rate rises on sold forwards) or will be required to make a payment (interest rate rises on purchased forwards or interest rate decreases on sold forwards).

CDS are used to reduce the credit loss exposure with respect to certain assets that we own, or to assume credit exposure on certain assets that we do not own. Payments are made to or received from the counterparty at specified intervals and amounts for the purchase or sale of credit protection. In the event of a default on the underlying credit exposure, we will either receive an additional payment (purchased credit protection) or will be required to make an additional payment (sold credit protection) equal to par minus recovery value of the swap contract.

Sale of Certain Alternative Investments

On June 4, 2012, certain of our insurance company subsidiaries entered into an agreement to sell certain general account private equity limited partnership investment interest holdings (sale of certain alternative investments) with a carrying value of \$812.2 million as of March 31, 2012 included in Assets related to consolidated investment entities. These assets were sold to a group of private equity funds that are managed by Pomona Management LLC, also a subsidiary of ours. The transaction resulted in a net pre-tax loss of \$91.9 million in the second quarter of 2012. The transaction closed in two tranches, with the first tranche having closed on June 29, 2012 and the second tranche having closed on October 29, 2012. Consideration received included \$50.0 million of promissory notes due in two equal installments at December 31, 2013 and 2014. No additional loss was incurred on the second tranche since the fair value of the alternative investments was reduced to the agreed upon sale price as of June 30, 2012.

We sold these assets in order to reduce our exposure to alternative investments as part of our ordinary course portfolio management. We anticipate that the transaction will reduce required capital levels in the selling insurance companies, in light of the high capital charge associated with the asset class and improve liquidity and reduce earnings volatility.

European Exposures

We closely monitor our exposures to European sovereign debt in general, with a primary focus on our exposure to the sovereign debt of Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain (which we refer to as peripheral Europe), as these countries have applied for support from the European Financial Stability Facility or received support from the European Central Bank via government bond purchases in the secondary market.

-186-

The financial turmoil in Europe continues to be a threat to global capital markets and remains a challenge to global financial stability. Additionally, the possibility of capital market volatility spreading through a highly integrated and interdependent banking system remains elevated. Furthermore, it is our view that the risk among European sovereigns and financial institutions warrants specific scrutiny, in addition to our customary surveillance and risk monitoring, given how highly correlated these sectors of the region have become.

We quantify and allocate our exposure to the region, as described in the table below, by attempting to identify all aspects of the region or country risk to which we are exposed. Among the factors we consider are the nationality of the issuer, the nationality of the issuer s ultimate parent, the corporate and economic relationship between the issuer and its parent, as well as the political, legal and economic environment in which each functions. By undertaking this assessment, we believe that we develop a more accurate assessment of the actual geographic risk, with a more integrated understanding of all contributing factors to the full risk profile of the issuer.

In the normal course of our ongoing risk and portfolio management process, we closely monitor compliance with a credit limit hierarchy designed to minimize overly concentrated risk exposures by geography, sector and issuer. This framework takes into account various factors such as internal and external ratings, capital efficiency and liquidity and is overseen by a combination of Investment and Corporate Risk Management, as well as insurance portfolio managers focused specifically on managing the investment risk embedded in our portfolio.

As of September 30, 2012, we had \$1.0 billion of exposure to peripheral Europe, which consisted of a broadly diversified portfolio of credit-related investments solely in the industrial and utility sectors. We had no fixed maturities or equity securities exposure to peripheral European sovereigns or to financial institutions based in peripheral Europe. Peripheral European exposure included non-sovereign exposure in Italy of \$411.6 million, Ireland of \$369.2 million, Spain of \$225.1 million and Portugal of \$9.1 million and no exposure to Greece. As of September 30, 2012, we had no derivative assets exposure to financial institutions based in peripheral Europe. For purposes of calculating the derivative assets exposure, we have aggregated exposure to single name and portfolio product CDS, as well as all non-CDS derivative exposure for which it either has counterparty or direct credit exposure to a company whose country of risk is in scope.

Among the remaining \$8.9 billion of total non-peripheral European exposure, we had a portfolio of credit-related assets similarly diversified by country and sector across developed and developing Europe. As of September 30, 2012, our sovereign exposure was \$1.8 billion, which consisted of fixed maturities and equity securities of \$307.0 million and loans and receivables of \$1.5 billion, comprised entirely of the Dutch State loan obligation to us under the Alt-A Back-up Facility. On November 14, 2012 the Alt-A Back-up Facility was terminated. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Alt-A Back-up Facility. We also had \$889.4 million in net exposure to non-peripheral financial institutions with a concentration in the United Kingdom of \$324.6 million and Switzerland of \$206.9 million. The balance of \$6.2 billion was invested across non-peripheral, non-financial institutions.

In addition to aggregate concentration to the Netherlands of \$2.7 billion (which included the \$1.5 billion Dutch State loan obligation) and the United Kingdom of \$2.8 billion as of September 30, 2012, we had significant non-peripheral European total country exposures in Switzerland of \$783.2 million, Germany of \$636.4 million, France of \$502.6 million and Belgium of \$423.5 million. We place additional scrutiny on our financial exposure in the United Kingdom, France and Switzerland given our concern for the potential for volatility to spread through the European banking system. We believe the primary risk results from market value fluctuations resulting from spread volatility and the secondary risk is default risk, should the European crisis worsen or fail to be resolved.

-187-

The following table presents our European exposures at fair value and amortized cost as of September 30, 2012:

| (\$ in millions) | in millions) Fixed Maturities and Equity Securities | | | ties | s Loan and | | | | Derivative Assets | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|---------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|---|------|----|-------------------|----|----|--------------|--|
| Country ⁽¹⁾ | Sovereig | Financial Institutions | Non- Financial sInstitutions | Total (Fair Value) | Total (Amortized Cost) | Receivables Sovereign (Amortized Cost) ⁽³⁾ So | l Fi | | | 0 | | Fui Septe | Non-US nded at ember 30, 012 ⁽²⁾ |
| Greece | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | |
| Ireland | | | 369.2 | 369.2 | 347.6 | | | | | | | | 369.2 |
| Italy | | | 411.6 | 411.6 | 373.3 | | | | | | | | 411.6 |
| Portugal | | | 9.1 | 9.1 | 7.4 | | | | | | | | 9.1 |
| Spain | | | 225.1 | 225.1 | 230.0 | | | | | | | | 225.1 |
| Total Peripheral Europe | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,015.0 | \$ 1,015.0 | \$ 958.3 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | 1,015.0 |
| Austria | | | 78.0 | 78.0 | 74.9 | | | | | | | | 78.0 |
| Belgium | 36.9 | | 386.6 | 423.5 | 356.2 | | | | | | | | 423.5 |
| Bulgaria | 6.1 | | | 6.1 | 6.0 | | | | | | | | 6.1 |
| Croatia | 28.7 | | | 28.7 | 25.5 | | | | | | | | |