

Revance Therapeutics, Inc.
Form 424B4
February 06, 2014
Table of Contents

Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(4)
Registration No. 333-193154
Registration No. 333-193778

6,000,000 Shares
Revance Therapeutics, Inc.
Common Stock

This is the initial public offering of our common stock. We are selling 6,000,000 shares of common stock in this offering.

We have granted the underwriters an option to purchase up to 900,000 additional shares of common stock to cover over-allotments.

Our common stock has been approved for listing on The NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol RVNC.

Investing in our common stock involves risk. See Risk Factors beginning on page 12.

We are an emerging growth company under applicable Securities and Exchange Commission rules and will be eligible for reduced public company disclosure requirements.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

	Per Share	Total
Public Offering Price	\$ 16.00	\$ 96,000,000
Underwriting Discount ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1.12	\$ 6,720,000
Proceeds to Revance (before expenses)	\$ 14.88	\$ 89,280,000

(1) See Underwriting for additional disclosure regarding underwriting commissions and expenses. The underwriters expect to deliver the shares to purchasers on or about February 11, 2014, through the book-entry facilities of The Depository Trust Company.

Cowen and Company

BMO Capital Markets

Piper Jaffray

The date of this prospectus is February 5, 2014.

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
<u>Prospectus Summary</u>	1
<u>Risk Factors</u>	12
<u>Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u>	40
<u>Use of Proceeds</u>	42
<u>Dividend Policy</u>	42
<u>Capitalization</u>	43
<u>Dilution</u>	46
<u>Selected Consolidated Financial Data</u>	48
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	51
<u>Business</u>	87
<u>Management</u>	119
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	127
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions</u>	139
<u>Principal Stockholders</u>	143
<u>Description of Capital Stock</u>	147
<u>Shares Eligible for Future Sale</u>	153
<u>Material U.S. Federal Income and Estate Tax Consequences to Non-U.S. Holders</u>	155
<u>Underwriting</u>	158
<u>Legal Matters</u>	163
<u>Experts</u>	163
<u>Where You Can Find More Information</u>	163
<u>Index to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-1

We have not, and the underwriters have not, authorized anyone to provide any information or to make any representations other than those contained in this prospectus or in any free writing prospectuses prepared by or on behalf of us or to which we have referred you. We take no responsibility for, and can provide no assurance as to the reliability of, any other information that others may give you. This prospectus is an offer to sell only the shares offered hereby, but only under circumstances and in jurisdictions where it is lawful to do so. The information contained in this prospectus or in any applicable free writing prospectus is current only as of its date, regardless of its time of delivery or any sale of shares of our common stock. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospectus may have changed since that date.

Neither we nor the underwriters have done anything that would permit this offering, or possession or distribution of this prospectus, in any jurisdiction where action for that purpose is required, other than in the United States. Persons who come into possession of this prospectus and any applicable free writing prospectus in jurisdictions outside the United States are required to inform themselves about and to observe any restrictions as to this offering and the distribution of this prospectus and any such free writing prospectus applicable to that jurisdiction.

Table of Contents

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights selected information contained elsewhere in this prospectus and does not contain all of the information that you should consider in making your investment decision. Before investing in our common stock, you should carefully read this entire prospectus, including our consolidated financial statements and the related notes thereto and the information set forth under the sections "Risk Factors" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," in each case included in this prospectus. Unless the context otherwise requires, we use the terms "Revance," "company," "we," "us" and "our" in this prospectus to refer to Revance Therapeutics, Inc. and, where appropriate, our consolidated subsidiary.

Our Company

We are a clinical stage specialty biopharmaceutical company focused on the development, manufacturing and commercialization of novel botulinum toxin products for multiple aesthetic and therapeutic applications. Botulinum toxin is a well-characterized protein currently used in numerous aesthetic and therapeutic indications and represents a multi-billion dollar market in the United States and other countries. All currently approved and commercially available botulinum toxin products are administered by injection. Our lead product candidate, RT001, is a topical formulation of botulinum toxin type A, which we believe has significant advantages over existing injectable products and could significantly expand the botulinum toxin market beyond existing users. Our second product candidate, RT002, is a novel injectable formulation of botulinum toxin type A designed to be more targeted and longer lasting than currently available botulinum toxin injectable products. Both of our product candidates combine our purified botulinum toxin with our proprietary TransMTS[®] peptide delivery system. We own the worldwide rights to both of our product candidates.

We are evaluating RT001 in a broad clinical program that includes aesthetic indications such as lateral canthal lines, the wrinkles around the eyes which are commonly referred to as crow's feet lines, and therapeutic indications such as hyperhidrosis, or excessive sweating, migraine headache and allergic rhinitis, or inflammation of the mucous membrane inside the nose. RT001 is currently in a Phase 3 clinical development program in the United States for the treatment of crow's feet lines and has the potential to be the first approved non-injectable botulinum toxin product. RT001's primary advantages include painless topical administration, ease of use and limited dependence on administration technique by physicians and medical staff. These advantages should improve the experience of patients undergoing botulinum toxin procedures and make RT001 more suitable for many more indications than currently approved injectable botulinum toxin products.

We are in a Phase 3 clinical development program of RT001 in North America for the treatment of crow's feet lines, and we plan to initiate an additional Phase 3 clinical trial in Europe by early 2015. We expect to receive primary efficacy data from a pivotal Phase 3 clinical trial of RT001 in mid-2014 and duration data in the second half of 2014. We plan to complete the Phase 3 program for the treatment of crow's feet lines and file for regulatory approvals in the United States and Europe in 2016. To date, we have conducted thirteen clinical trials for RT001, with a total of over 1,400 subjects, for the treatment of crow's feet lines.

We are also developing RT001 for therapeutic applications where botulinum toxin has shown efficacy and that are particularly well suited for needle-free treatments. We have successfully completed initial Phase 2 clinical trials for the treatment of primary axillary, or underarm, hyperhidrosis, and for the prevention of migraine headache. We expect to initiate additional clinical trials for the development of RT001 for these and other indications.

In addition to our topical product candidate, we are developing an injectable formulation of botulinum toxin type A, which we refer to as RT002, for indications where deeper delivery of the botulinum toxin is required and a longer lasting effect is desired. We believe RT002 can provide more targeted delivery of botulinum toxin to intended treatment sites while reducing the unwanted spread of botulinum toxin to adjacent areas.

In October 2012, we terminated a license agreement with Medicis Pharmaceutical Corporation, or Medicis, and reacquired from Medicis rights in all territories for RT001 and RT002 as part of a settlement and termination agreement with Medicis. The agreement requires that we make payments to Medicis from a portion of specified

Table of Contents

types of cash proceeds received by us, including from this offering. Upon the closing of this offering, we will make a payment of approximately \$7 million to Medicis under this agreement. This payment will satisfy our remaining payment obligations under the agreement, other than an additional \$4.0 million due upon receipt of specified marketing approvals for RT001 or RT002.

Our Product Candidates

We plan to develop RT001 and RT002 for multiple aesthetic and therapeutic applications. The table below summarizes the phases of development for the indications we are currently pursuing for our two product candidates:

RT001 Our Topical Formulation of Botulinum Toxin

RT001, our lead product candidate, is a topical gel formulation of botulinum toxin type A in a proprietary single-use administration apparatus. RT001 is applied to the skin and uses our proprietary TransMTS[®] peptide technology to enable delivery of botulinum toxin across the skin, eliminating the need for injections. Our initial focus is to develop and commercialize RT001 for indications where topical application provides a meaningful advantage over injectable administration. In our Phase 2 clinical trials, RT001 has demonstrated a statistically significant and clinically meaningful reduction in crow's feet lines that is visible to both physicians and patients. These and other studies have also indicated that RT001 is well tolerated with no serious adverse events related to study drug or study treatment procedures or other safety concerns.

The Opportunity for Botulinum Toxins for Aesthetic Indications

Today's culture places significant value on physical appearance, leading to widespread adoption of anti-aging and aesthetic treatments. The aesthetic market has grown dramatically in the United States where consumers spent almost \$11.0 billion in 2012 on over 10.1 million physician-administered surgical and non-surgical aesthetic procedures, according to American Society for Aesthetic Plastic Surgery annual statistics. A strong consumer preference for non-surgical options and the increasing availability of effective alternatives has prompted adoption of non-surgical aesthetic procedures by a broader patient population. These trends have made non-surgical procedures the primary driver of growth in the aesthetic medicine market, accounting for 83% of the total number of procedures performed in 2012.

Injectable botulinum toxin treatments are the single largest cosmetic procedure in the United States and the rest of the world. According to GlobalData, in 2012 clinicians spent an estimated \$1.3 billion globally on injectable botulinum toxin for aesthetic procedures and such spending is expected to grow at a compounded annual growth rate of 14% from 2011 through 2018.

Table of Contents

We believe the botulinum toxin market could expand further with the introduction of a topical formulation such as RT001. Based on our market research, a topical treatment would address key consumer barriers for injectable botulinum toxin products such as fear of frozen face, needle aversion and aversion to injecting a toxin in their bodies. We believe that a topical treatment could expand the use of botulinum toxin to a wider range of physicians and allow those physicians who currently perform botulinum toxin procedures to do so on a larger number of patients. Additionally, our research indicates that a topical treatment can improve the profitability of physicians' practices by increasing the number of procedures per patient.

Crow's Feet Lines - Our Lead Indication for RT001

The first indication we are pursuing for RT001 is in the field of aesthetic dermatology. According to GlobalData, the largest use for botulinum toxins is in aesthetic dermatology, which is estimated to generate approximately \$1.4 billion in worldwide sales in 2013. If approved, we believe RT001 can expand the overall botulinum toxin aesthetic market by adding new patients who would prefer a needle-free approach to treatment. The aesthetic dermatology market is attractive because we believe that patients in this market tend to be open to trying new products and are willing to pay for aesthetic procedures out of pocket, reducing reliance on reimbursement. We are focused on this market not only because of its size and growth potential but also because, in the United States and Europe, this market can be easily accessed by a small specialty sales force and distributor network.

Crow's feet lines are skin wrinkles in the outer corner of the eye area, which are commonly caused by aging. Consumers in general, and women in particular, believe that the eye area is the first place where they notice the signs of aging. Consumers also believe that the perception of aging is affected by the quality of the skin. A large segment of the anti-aging topical cosmeceutical market is targeted towards improvement in skin texture and luminosity of the skin in the eye area. We believe that there is currently significant use of botulinum toxin for this indication given the desire of consumers to address the condition.

We believe that RT001 provides the following benefits to patients and physicians for treatment of crow's feet lines, as compared to traditional botulinum toxin treatments that are administered by injection:

The RT001 procedure is painless and has not shown any evidence of bruising, swelling or any of the other adverse events associated with injections. RT001 has been shown to be well tolerated with no significant safety concerns;

RT001 relaxes the crow's feet wrinkles appearance at rest, when the face is in a neutral expression, while still allowing a natural smile;

Consumers who indicated that they were averse to injecting toxin into their bodies found the concept of a topical treatment appealing;

RT001 is simple to use and results are not technique dependent. RT001 comes in a pre-filled applicator that contains the proper dose for the treatment of crow's feet lines; and

RT001 is very appealing to both key physicians and practice groups who perform the majority of cosmetic procedures in the United States and physicians who have less injectable botulinum toxin experience.

We have conducted thirteen clinical trials, with a total of over 1,400 subjects, for the treatment of crow's feet lines and are currently in Phase 3 clinical development in the United States. RT001 was shown to be safe, with statistically significant and clinically meaningful results in our Phase 2 clinical trials. In all concentrations of peptide and botulinum toxin studied, RT001 was well tolerated with no serious adverse events related to study drug or study treatment procedures or safety concerns.

We have completed three Phase 2b clinical trials of RT001 to evaluate a 25 ng/mL dose of botulinum toxin for the treatment of moderate to severe crow's feet lines. Two of these trials were double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trials. RT001 met the primary efficacy and all secondary endpoints in both trials.

Table of Contents

After completing these Phase 2b clinical trials, we modified the diluent formulation to improve stability. We then conducted a Phase 3 clinical trial of RT001, but saw no improvement from baseline in either the placebo or RT001 group using the new diluent formulation. Subsequently, we obtained stability data to confirm that the original Phase 2b formulation has adequate commercial stability. We have since returned to the original Phase 2b diluent formulation and have conducted a two-cohort Phase 2 double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. The combined data for the first and second cohorts showed statistical significance in wrinkle severity from baseline comparable to that observed in our previous Phase 2b clinical trials. Additionally, we plan to initiate a long-term open label Phase 3 safety clinical trial in 2014.

Based on our discussions with the United States Food and Drug Administration, or the FDA, the European Medicines Agency and other regulatory authorities, we believe that three Phase 3 pivotal clinical trials and the Phase 3 open label safety clinical trial, if successful, will provide the efficacy data to support our regulatory filing for approval of crow's feet lines in the United States, Europe and other countries.

The Opportunity for Botulinum Toxins for Therapeutic Indications

While currently approved botulinum toxin products may be better known for their aesthetic applications, according to the market research firm Global Industry Analysts, Inc. or GIA, the worldwide injectable botulinum toxin market has grown from \$1.1 billion in 2004 to over \$2.4 billion in 2012 and the fastest growing segment of that market in the United States and Europe is for therapeutic indications. This growth for therapeutic indications has been driven largely by the approval of injectable botulinum toxin products in new indications such as preventive treatment of migraine headache in 2010 and overactive bladder in 2011, in addition to other therapeutic indications including hyperhidrosis, movement disorders, such as cervical dystonia and upper limb spasticity, and uncontrolled blinking. This therapeutic usage has been enabled by botulinum toxin's ability to affect neuromuscular junctions, muscle activity or the release of neuropeptides, neurotransmitters and neuromediators in a controlled manner.

While botulinum toxin products have been very effective in the treatment of many conditions, there are limitations to the use of the currently approved products in their injectable form. For example, in the case of hyperhidrosis, injectable botulinum toxin products require up to 30 injections in the underarms, and the procedure is reimbursed to physicians at a low rate relative to the time required. As a result, the use of Botox®, the only injectable botulinum toxin product currently approved for hyperhidrosis, has been limited. In the case of chronic migraine headache, injectable botulinum toxin products require as many as 31 injections in different parts of the head and neck.

We believe this leads to a significant need for a painless, topically administered and highly effective botulinum toxin. We also believe that there is an opportunity to develop and seek approval for a botulinum toxin product in therapeutic indications, such as allergic rhinitis, where there are currently no approved botulinum toxin products.

Development of RT001 for Treatment of Hyperhidrosis

According to published medical articles, hyperhidrosis affects an estimated eight million people in the United States, one million of whom have severe hyperhidrosis. Prevalence in the United States is slightly higher among men than women, but women are more likely to take action to have the condition treated. Only 38% of those affected by hyperhidrosis seek treatment. We also believe that the appeal of RT001 may go beyond sufferers of hyperhidrosis and appeal to the one-third of all U.S. adults who believe they have too much underarm sweat. According to a 2008 survey by the International Hyperhidrosis Society, 60% of all U.S. adults reported that they would be embarrassed or very embarrassed by visible underarm sweat stains, and 70% of those U.S. adults who believe they have too much underarm sweat took steps to hide their condition.

Injectable botulinum toxin is among the currently available treatments for hyperhidrosis. Allergan's Botox® was approved in 2004 for underarm hyperhidrosis and remains the only botulinum toxin approved for the treatment of hyperhidrosis. However, the treatment requires up to 30 injections in the underarms. Having a

Table of Contents

topical solution could encourage more patients to seek treatment without having to suffer the pain of numerous injections. From the physicians standpoint, injections are very time-consuming and reimbursement for the procedure is relatively low. RT001 could significantly decrease the physician time and effort necessary for the procedure and potentially make the procedure more profitable for a physician's practice.

Data from our initial dose escalation hyperhidrosis Phase 2 clinical trial suggest the feasibility of treating primary underarm hyperhidrosis with RT001.

Based on data generated from current studies to date, we plan to initiate additional Phase 2 clinical trials for the treatment of hyperhidrosis with RT001. In these future trials, we plan to evaluate the efficacy of a higher dose compared to placebo and permit evaluation of the RT001 dose response to treatment of signs and symptoms of primary underarm hyperhidrosis. This data should help to establish whether this new botulinum toxin dose is adequate or whether further dose escalation in this clinical indication is needed prior to definitive safety and efficacy testing.

Development of RT001 for Prevention of Migraine Headache

Migraine headache is a central nervous system disorder characterized by moderate-to-severe headache and often includes additional symptoms such as nausea and vomiting. The global market for treatment of migraine headache was estimated to be \$3.8 billion in 2009. Injected delivery of botulinum toxin has been validated as a therapeutically effective pharmaceutical agent for the preventive treatment of migraine headache. However, the treatment requires up to 31 injections in a patient's head and neck and may have significant side effects.

We have generated preliminary data that supports the feasibility of treating chronic migraine headache with topical application of RT001. In our initial Phase 2 clinical trial, RT001 was shown to be effective for the preventive treatment of chronic migraine headache, when applied topically to six areas on the head. This trial demonstrated statistically significant improvement of a composite endpoint.

For our next Phase 2 clinical trial, we plan to enroll and treat subjects with migraine headache using RT001 in a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled dose-ranging clinical trial design. This trial will provide new information on the treatment of subjects suffering migraine headache with RT001 and further characterize the dose-response relationship of RT001 in migraine headache to identify the optimal dose to be carried forward into later stage clinical trials.

RT001 for Treatment of Other Indications

Based on the results of our preclinical studies and clinical trials, we will determine further development of other indications for RT001, such as neuropathic pain and rhinitis.

RT002 Our Injectable Formulation of Botulinum Toxin

We are developing RT002 as a new injectable botulinum toxin option that is designed to offer more targeted delivery of botulinum toxin to intended treatment sites while reducing the spread beyond the site of local injection. We believe this delivery permits safe administration of higher targeted doses of botulinum toxin and can result in longer lasting effect. These properties of RT002 have been demonstrated in preclinical studies and we are currently testing RT002 in a four-cohort, dose escalating, open label Phase 1/2 clinical trial outside of the United States for improvement of glabellar lines, the vertical lines between the eyebrows and above the nose. Initial data from this clinical trial indicated that RT002 is safe and efficacious at all four doses. Based upon the data analyzed, we plan to further develop RT002 for the treatment of glabellar lines by initiating a Phase 2 clinical trial in 2014. In addition, we plan to study RT002 in therapeutic indications already approved for botulinum toxin, such as movement disorders and overactive bladder. These indications require deeper delivery of the botulinum toxin, and are likely to be better served by injectable delivery of RT002.

Table of Contents

Intellectual Property and Manufacturing

As of January 21, 2014, we held approximately 86 issued patents and approximately 150 pending patent applications in several countries and we expect to continue to expand this patent portfolio.

We have the ability to manufacture our own botulinum toxin type A product to support our clinical trials and eventually our commercial products. We manufacture and perform testing for both bulk drug substance and finished dose forms of drug product to support our topical RT001 product candidate and our injectable RT002 product candidate. The additional components required for our topical RT001 dose form, the peptide, diluent and delivery apparatus, are all manufactured by third parties. We are licensed with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or CDC, and with the California Department of Health Food and Drug Branch for use of botulinum toxin and to manufacture both the active pharmaceutical ingredient, or API, and the finished product in topical and injectable dose forms. We believe that having direct control over our manufacturing processes, from initial drug substance to finished product, will enable us to develop additional pharmaceutical product configurations effectively and with a competitive cost structure.

Our Strategy

Our objective is to be a leading provider of botulinum toxin products across multiple aesthetic and therapeutic indications in both topical and injectable dose forms and to expand the market for botulinum toxin products. To achieve this objective, we plan to develop and commercialize two proprietary, patent-protected product candidates: RT001, our topical botulinum toxin, and RT002, our injectable botulinum toxin.

Key elements of our strategy are:

Complete development and seek regulatory approval for RT001;

Assess and prioritize future therapeutic indications for RT001;

Advance RT002 into clinical development;

Build our own sales and marketing capabilities to commercialize RT001 and RT002 in North America to support commercial launches starting in 2017, assuming successful and timely completion of our clinical trials and approval of our Biologic License Applications;

Expand the global market for botulinum toxin products;

Establish selective strategic partnerships to maximize the commercial potential of our product candidates and TransMTS[®] delivery technology platform; and

Maximize the value of our botulinum toxin cell line and manufacturing assets.

Risks That We Face

Our business is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including those highlighted in the section entitled "Risk Factors" immediately following this prospectus summary. These risks include, among others, the following:

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

We are substantially dependent on the clinical and commercial success of our product candidates, primarily our lead product candidate RT001, which is in Phase 3 clinical development, and our second product candidate, RT002, which is expected to enter into Phase 2 clinical development;

We may be unable to obtain regulatory approval for RT001, RT002 or future product candidates under applicable regulatory requirements. The denial or delay of any such approval would delay commercialization and have a material adverse effect on our potential to generate revenue, our business and our results of operations;

We will require substantial additional financing to achieve our goals, and a failure to obtain this necessary capital when needed on acceptable terms, or at all, could force us to delay, limit, reduce or terminate our product development, other operations or commercialization efforts;

Table of Contents

Even if our product candidates receive regulatory approval, they may fail to achieve the broad degree of physician adoption and use necessary for commercial success;

Our product candidates, if approved, will face significant competition and our failure to effectively compete may prevent us from achieving significant market penetration and expansion;

We currently make our clinical drug products exclusively in one manufacturing facility and plan to utilize this facility in the future to support commercial production if our product candidates are approved. If this or any future facility or our equipment were damaged or destroyed, or if we experience a significant disruption in our operations for any reason, our ability to continue to operate our business would be materially harmed;

We have a limited operating history and have incurred significant losses since our inception and we anticipate that we will continue to incur losses for the foreseeable future. We have only two product candidates in clinical trials and no commercial sales, which, together with our limited operating history, make it difficult to assess our future viability;

Even if RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates obtain regulatory approval, they may never achieve market acceptance or commercial success; and

If our efforts to protect our intellectual property related to RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates are not adequate, we may not be able to compete effectively in our market.

Our Corporate Information

We were incorporated in Delaware in August 1999 under the name Essentia Biosystems, Inc. We commenced operations in June 2002 and, in April 2005, changed our name to Revance Therapeutics, Inc. Our principal executive offices are located at 7555 Gateway Boulevard, Newark, California 94560, and our telephone number is (510) 742-3400. Our website address is <http://www.revance.com>. The information contained in, or that can be accessed through, our website is not part of this prospectus.

We are an emerging growth company, as defined in the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012. As an emerging growth company we are eligible for exemptions from various reporting requirements applicable to other public companies that are not emerging growth companies, including not being required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation. We will remain an emerging growth company until the earlier of (1) the last day of the fiscal year (a) following the fifth anniversary of the closing of this offering, (b) in which we have total annual gross revenue of at least \$1.0 billion or (c) in which we are deemed to be a large accelerated filer, which means the market value of our common stock that is held by non-affiliates exceeds \$700 million as of the prior June 30th, and (2) the date on which we have issued more than \$1.0 billion in non-convertible debt during the prior three-year period. We refer to the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012 herein as the JOBS Act, and references herein to emerging growth company shall have the meaning associated with it in the JOBS Act.

Revance Therapeutics, the Revance logos and other trademarks or service marks of Revance appearing in this prospectus are the property of Revance. This prospectus contains additional trade names, trademarks and service marks of others, which are the property of their respective owners. We do not intend our use or display of other companies' trade names, trademarks or service marks to imply a relationship with, or endorsement or sponsorship of us by, these other companies.

Table of Contents

THE OFFERING

Common stock offered by us	6,000,000 shares
Common stock to be outstanding after this offering	17,744,416 shares
Over-allotment option	The underwriters have an option to purchase up to 900,000 additional shares of our common stock to cover over-allotments, if any.
Use of proceeds	We estimate the net proceeds from this offering will be approximately \$85.3 million (or \$98.7 million if the underwriters exercise their over-allotment option in full), after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us.
We currently expect to use the net proceeds from the offering as follows:	

Approximately \$18 million to \$23 million to fund research and development expenses associated with our RT001 and RT002 manufacturing, quality and regulatory efforts.

Approximately \$10 million to \$15 million to complete one Phase 3 clinical pivotal trial in the United States, to continue a long term safety clinical trial and other associated programs relating to RT001 for the treatment of crow's feet lines, and to initiate our first Phase 2 clinical trial and associated programs relating to RT002 for the treatment of glabellar lines.

Approximately \$11 million to make payments through 2014 under our September 2011 term loan agreement with Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc.

Approximately \$7 million to make payments under our settlement agreement with Medicis Pharmaceutical Corporation (acquired by Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, Inc.).

We will use the balance of the proceeds, if any, for the development of RT001 for the treatment of hyperhidrosis and other indications, as well as for working capital and other general corporate purposes.

Pending their use as described above, we plan to invest the net proceeds in short-term, interest-bearing obligations, investment-grade instruments, certificates of deposit or guaranteed obligations of the U.S. government.

See "Use of Proceeds" for additional information.

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

Risk factors

See the section titled "Risk Factors" beginning on page 12 and the other information included in this prospectus for a discussion of factors you should carefully consider before deciding to invest in our common stock.

NASDAQ Global Market trading symbol

RVNC

Table of Contents

The number of shares of our common stock to be outstanding after this offering is based on 11,744,416 shares of common stock outstanding as of September 30, 2013, excluding the following shares:

1,045,188 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of options to purchase our common stock outstanding under our 2002 Equity Incentive Plan and 2012 Equity Incentive Plan at a weighted-average exercise price of \$7.37 per share (excluding an additional 233,876 shares issuable upon the exercise of options to purchase our common stock at the weighted-average exercise price of \$9.50 per share and 1,111 shares of common stock issued outside of our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan, all granted after September 2013);

172,141 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding convertible preferred stock warrants at a weighted-average exercise price of \$20.19 per share;

24,690 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding convertible preferred stock warrants that were issued to Essex Capital Corporation after September 30, 2013, and 44,753 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of common stock warrants that we expect to issue to Essex Capital Corporation after the closing of this offering, which we together refer to as the Essex warrants, and which are issuable pursuant to our loan and lease agreement with Essex Capital Corporation, which we refer to as the Essex Capital Facility;

373,100 shares of our common stock reserved for future issuance under our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan (including an additional 233,876 shares issuable upon the exercise of options to purchase our common stock granted after September 2013);

1,000,000 shares of our common stock (which will include the shares then reserved for future issuance under our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan at the time of the execution and delivery of the underwriting agreement for this offering) reserved for future issuance under our 2014 Equity Incentive Plan, plus annual increases thereunder, which will become effective prior to the closing of this offering as more fully described in Executive Compensation Employee Benefit Plans ; and

200,000 shares of our common stock reserved for future issuance under our 2014 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, plus annual increases thereunder, which will become effective prior to the closing of this offering as more fully described in Executive Compensation Employee Benefit Plans.

Unless otherwise indicated, all information in this prospectus reflects and assumes the following:

the conversion of all outstanding shares of our convertible preferred stock into an aggregate of 8,689,999 shares of our common stock, which will occur upon the closing of this offering;

the automatic exercise of our outstanding common stock warrants, assuming net exercise for 752,849 shares of our common stock immediately prior to the closing of this offering, and assuming cash exercise for 30,769 additional shares of our common stock;

the automatic conversion of the \$23.65 million in aggregate principal amount of convertible promissory notes issued in the fourth quarter of 2013 and January 2014, or the 2013 notes, and accrued interest through October 7, 2014, into 1,637,846 shares of common stock immediately prior to the closing of this offering;

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

the automatic exercise of outstanding common stock warrants issued in connection with the 2013 notes, or the 2013 warrants, assuming net exercise for 405,594 shares of our common stock immediately prior to the closing of this offering;

a reverse stock split of 1-for-15 of our common stock and preferred stock effected on February 3, 2014;

no exercise by the underwriters of their over-allotment option to purchase up to 900,000 additional shares of our common stock from us in this offering; and

the filing and effectiveness of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and the effectiveness of our amended and restated bylaws immediately prior to the closing of this offering.

Table of Contents**SUMMARY CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following tables summarize our financial data. We derived the summary consolidated statements of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 from our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. We derived the summary consolidated statements of operations data for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013 and the balance sheet data as of September 30, 2013 from our unaudited interim consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. The unaudited interim consolidated financial statements reflect, in the opinion of management, all adjustments, of a normal, recurring nature that are necessary for the fair presentation of the financial statements. Our historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in the future and the results for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the full year or any other period. You should read the following summary consolidated financial data in conjunction with the sections entitled "Selected Consolidated Financial Data" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our consolidated financial statements, related notes and other financial information included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Pro forma basic and diluted net loss per share has been calculated assuming the conversion of all outstanding shares of convertible preferred stock into common stock. See Note 16 to our consolidated financial statements for an explanation of the method used to determine the number of shares used in computing historical basic and diluted net income (loss) per share and our pro forma unaudited basic and diluted net loss per share.

	Year Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2012	2012	2013
	(Unaudited)			
	(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)			
Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:				
Revenue	\$ 557	\$ 717	\$ 600	\$ 308
Cost of revenue	5			
Gross profit	552	717	600	308
Operating expenses:				
Research and development(1)	22,735	32,708	15,829	21,592
Sales, general and administrative(1)	5,555	11,195	9,581	8,008
Total operating expenses	28,290	43,903	25,410	29,600
Loss from operations	(27,738)	(43,186)	(24,810)	(29,292)
Interest income	15	7	8	2
Interest expense	(17,790)	(28,959)	(19,250)	(13,466)
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities associated with convertible notes	(356)	13,860	(3,338)	1,800
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement				(265)
Change in fair value of convertible preferred stock warrant liability	836	125	117	(1,108)
Other income (expense), net	170	(106)	(85)	(40)
Loss before income taxes	(44,863)	(58,259)	(47,358)	(42,369)
Benefit from income taxes				
Net loss	\$ (44,863)	\$ (58,259)	\$ (47,358)	\$ (42,369)
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders(2):				
Basic	\$ (44,863)	\$ (58,259)	\$ (47,358)	\$ 733
Diluted	\$ (44,863)	\$ (58,259)	\$ (47,358)	\$ 2,966
Net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders(2):				
Basic	\$ (226.06)	\$ (290.48)	\$ (237.12)	\$ 3.40

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

Diluted	\$ (226.06)	\$ (290.48)	\$ (237.12)	\$ 3.05
Weighted-average number of shares used in computing net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders(2):				
Basic	198,456	200,560	199,719	215,315
Diluted	198,456	200,560	199,719	971,472

Table of Contents

	Year Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2012	2012	2013
	(Unaudited)			
	(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)			
Pro forma net loss per share attributable to common stockholders, basic and diluted (unaudited)(2)	\$	(27.20)	\$	(5.91)
Weighted-average number of shares used in computing pro forma net loss per share attributable to common stockholders, basic and diluted (unaudited)(2)		2,146,617		7,176,794

(1) Results above include stock-based compensation as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2012	2012	2013
	(Unaudited)			
	(In thousands)			
Stock-Based Compensation:				
Research and development	\$ 150	\$ 48	\$ 27	\$ 138
Sales, general and administrative	123	31	39	208
Total stock-based compensation	\$ 273	\$ 79	\$ 66	\$ 346

(2) Please see Note 16 of our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus for an explanation of the calculations of our actual basic and diluted net income (loss) per share and our pro forma unaudited basic and diluted net loss per share.

	As of September 30, 2013		
	Actual	Pro Forma(1) (Unaudited)	Pro Forma as Adjusted(2)
	(In thousands)		
Balance Sheet Data:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,909	\$ 25,450	\$ 110,730
Restricted cash – current and non-current	585	585	585
Working capital (deficit)	(28,645)	(5,104)	80,176
Total assets	18,920	42,461	127,741
Convertible notes			
Notes payable – current and non-current	12,951	12,951	12,951
Derivative liabilities associated with Medicis settlement – current and non-current	8,606	8,606	8,606
Convertible preferred stock warrant liability	1,459		
Convertible preferred stock	123,982		
Total stockholders' deficit	(147,683)	1,299	86,579

(1) The pro forma column gives effect to (i) the conversion of all outstanding shares of our convertible preferred stock into shares of our common stock immediately upon the closing of this offering, (ii) the resulting reclassification of the convertible preferred stock warrant liability to additional paid-in capital, (iii) the filing and effectiveness of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation immediately prior to the closing of this offering, (iv) the issuance and automatic conversion of the principal and accrued interest through October 7, 2014 under the 2013 notes into 1,637,846 shares of common stock immediately prior to the closing of this offering, including charges to retained earnings to reflect the accelerated amortization of debt discounts, issuance costs, and accelerated unaccrued interest to interest expense, as well as changes in fair value of the related warrant and embedded derivative liabilities, and (v) the issuance and automatic exercise of the 2013 warrants and the automatic exercise of the other outstanding common stock warrants into 1,189,212 shares of common stock upon the closing of this offering, but does not give effect to the issuance and exercise of the Essex warrants.

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

- (2) The pro forma as adjusted column gives further effect to the sale of 6,000,000 shares of common stock in this offering at the initial public offering price of \$16.00 per share, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us.

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risks described below, as well as all other information included in this prospectus, including our consolidated financial statements, the notes thereto and the section entitled Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, before you decide to purchase shares of our common stock. If any of the following risks actually occurs, our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results could be materially harmed. As a result, the trading price of our common stock could decline and you could lose all or part of your investment. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair our business operations and stock price.

Risks Related to Our Business and Strategy

We are substantially dependent on the clinical and commercial success of our product candidates, primarily our lead product candidate RT001, which is in Phase 3 clinical development, and our second product candidate RT002, which is expected to enter into Phase 2 clinical development.

To date, we have invested most of our efforts and financial resources in the research and development of RT001, a topical formulation of botulinum toxin, which is currently our lead product candidate. In particular, we have completed thirteen clinical trials and are in Phase 3 clinical development in the United States for RT001. We have also invested, to a lesser extent, in the research and development of an injectable form of botulinum toxin, RT002, which is expected to enter into Phase 2 clinical development in 2014. Our near-term prospects, including our ability to finance our company and generate revenue, will depend heavily on the successful development, regulatory approval and commercialization of RT001 and, to a lesser extent, RT002, as well as any future product candidates. The clinical and commercial success of our product candidates will depend on a number of factors, including the following:

timely completion of, or need to conduct additional, clinical trials, including our U.S. Phase 3 clinical trials for RT001, which may be significantly slower or cost more than we currently anticipate and will depend substantially upon the accurate and satisfactory performance of third party contractors;

our ability to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the United States Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, the safety and efficacy of RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates through clinical trials;

whether we are required by the FDA or other similar foreign regulatory agencies to conduct additional clinical trials to support the approval of RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates;

the acceptance of parameters for regulatory approval, including our proposed indication, primary endpoint assessment and primary endpoint measurement relating to our lead indications of RT001;

our success in educating physicians and patients about the benefits, administration and use of RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates, if approved;

the prevalence and severity of adverse events experienced with our product candidates or future approved products;

the timely receipt of necessary marketing approvals from the FDA and similar foreign regulatory authorities;

the ability to raise additional capital on acceptable terms to achieve our goals;

achieving and maintaining compliance with all regulatory requirements applicable to RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates or approved products;

the availability, perceived advantages, relative cost, relative safety and relative efficacy of alternative and competing treatments;

Table of Contents

the effectiveness of our own or our future potential strategic collaborators' marketing, sales and distribution strategy and operations;

our ability to manufacture clinical trial supplies of RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates and to develop, validate and maintain a commercially viable manufacturing process that is compliant with current good manufacturing practices, or cGMP;

our ability to successfully commercialize RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates, if approved for marketing and sale, whether alone or in collaboration with others;

our ability to enforce our intellectual property rights in and to RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates;

our ability to avoid third party patent interference or intellectual property infringement claims;

acceptance of RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates, if approved, as safe and effective by patients and the medical community; and

a continued acceptable safety profile of RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates following approval.

If we do not achieve one or more of these factors, many of which are beyond our control, in a timely manner or at all, we could experience significant delays or an inability to successfully commercialize our product candidates. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that we will be able to generate sufficient revenue through the sale of RT001, RT002 or any future product candidate to continue our business.

We may be unable to obtain regulatory approval for RT001, RT002 or future product candidates under applicable regulatory requirements. The denial or delay of any such approval would delay commercialization and have a material adverse effect on our potential to generate revenue, our business and our results of operations.

To gain approval to market a biologic product such as RT001 and RT002, we must provide the FDA and foreign regulatory authorities with clinical data that adequately demonstrate the safety, purity and potency of the product for the intended indication applied for in a Biologics License Application, or BLA, or other respective regulatory filing. The development of biologic products is a long, expensive and uncertain process, and delay or failure can occur at any stage of any of our clinical trials. A number of companies in the pharmaceutical industry, including biotechnology companies, have suffered significant setbacks in clinical trials, including in Phase 3 development, even after promising results in earlier preclinical studies or clinical trials. These setbacks have been caused by, among other things, findings made while clinical trials were underway and safety or efficacy observations made in clinical trials, including previously unreported adverse events. Success in preclinical testing and early clinical trials does not ensure that later clinical trials will be successful, and the results of clinical trials by other parties may not be indicative of the results in trials we may conduct. In particular, we have conducted three positive Phase 2b clinical trials of RT001, in which RT001 met the primary efficacy and all secondary endpoints. However, we have conducted one Phase 3 clinical efficacy trial using a modified diluent formulation, the results of which were inconsistent with our previous Phase 2b clinical trials and which did not show improvement from baseline in either the placebo or RT001 group.

Our lead product candidate, RT001, is currently in Phase 3 clinical development, and our business currently depends substantially on its successful development, regulatory approval and commercialization. We currently have no drug or biologic products approved for sale, and we may never obtain regulatory approval to commercialize RT001. The research, testing, manufacturing, labeling, approval, sale, marketing and distribution of drug and biologic products are subject to extensive regulation by the FDA and other regulatory authorities in the United States and other countries, and such regulations differ from country to country. We are not permitted to market RT001 in the United States until we receive approval of a BLA from the FDA. We are also not permitted to market RT001 in any foreign countries until we receive the requisite approval from the regulatory authorities of such countries.

Table of Contents

The FDA or any foreign regulatory bodies can delay, limit or deny approval of our product candidates, including RT001, for many reasons, including:

our inability to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the FDA or the applicable foreign regulatory body that RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates are safe and effective for the requested indication;

the FDA's or the applicable foreign regulatory agency's disagreement with our trial protocol or the interpretation of data from preclinical studies or clinical trials;

our inability to demonstrate that clinical and other benefits of RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates outweigh any safety or other perceived risks;

the FDA's or the applicable foreign regulatory agency's requirement for additional preclinical or clinical studies;

the FDA's or the applicable foreign regulatory agency's non-approval of the formulation, labeling or the specifications of RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates;

the FDA's or the applicable foreign regulatory agency's failure to approve our manufacturing processes or facilities, or the manufacturing processes or facilities of third party manufacturers with which we contract; or

the potential for approval policies or regulations of the FDA or the applicable foreign regulatory agencies to significantly change in a manner rendering our clinical data insufficient for approval.

Of the large number of drugs, including biologics, in development, only a small percentage successfully complete the FDA or other regulatory approval processes and are commercialized. We are not conducting our U.S. Phase 3 clinical trials for RT001 under a Special Protocol Assessment, or SPA. In the absence of an agreed SPA, there can be no assurance that the FDA will agree with our Phase 3 clinical trial protocol.

Further, after our Phase 2 clinical trials, we used the FDA's Formal Dispute Resolution process to obtain confirmation from the FDA that our proposed indication, primary endpoint assessment and primary endpoint measurement were acceptable for continued clinical trials. While the FDA provided written confirmation that our proposed indication, primary endpoint assessment and primary endpoint measurement were acceptable for Phase 3 clinical trials, the FDA has not confirmed that our proposed indication, primary endpoint assessment and primary endpoint measurement are acceptable for regulatory approval. Further, while we did obtain written confirmation with respect to these aspects of our Phase 3 clinical trial designs, there is no assurance that the FDA will approve our BLA for RT001, will agree that the benefits of RT001 outweigh its risks or will not raise new concerns regarding our clinical trial designs.

Even if we eventually complete clinical testing and receive approval of any regulatory filing for RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates, the FDA or the applicable foreign regulatory agency may grant approval contingent on the performance of costly additional post-approval clinical trials. The FDA or the applicable foreign regulatory agency also may approve RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates for a more limited indication or a narrower patient population than we originally requested, and the FDA or applicable foreign regulatory agency may not approve the labeling that we believe is necessary or desirable for the successful commercialization of our product candidates. Any delay in obtaining, or inability to obtain, applicable regulatory approval for any of our product candidates and RT001, in particular, would delay or prevent commercialization of RT001 and would materially adversely impact our business, results of operations and prospects.

We will require substantial additional financing to achieve our goals, and a failure to obtain this necessary capital when needed on acceptable terms, or at all, could force us to delay, limit, reduce or terminate our product development, other operations or commercialization efforts.

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

Since our inception, most of our resources have been dedicated to the preclinical and clinical development of our lead product candidate, RT001. In particular, our U.S. Phase 3 clinical program for RT001 will require substantial funds to complete. We have recorded net losses of \$44.9 million, \$58.3 million and \$42.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, had an accumulated deficit during our development stage through September 30, 2013 of \$185.8 million and had

Table of Contents

a net working capital deficit of \$28.6 million as of September 30, 2013. We have funded our operations primarily through the sale and issuance of convertible preferred stock, notes payable and convertible notes. As of September 30, 2013, we had capital resources consisting of cash and cash equivalents of \$1.9 million. We believe that we will continue to expend substantial resources for the foreseeable future for the clinical development of RT001, RT002 and development of any other indications and product candidates we may choose to pursue. These expenditures will include costs associated with research and development, conducting preclinical studies and clinical trials, and manufacturing and supply as well as marketing and selling any products approved for sale. In addition, other unanticipated costs may arise. Because the outcome of any clinical trial is highly uncertain, we cannot reasonably estimate the actual amounts necessary to successfully complete the development and commercialization of RT001, RT002 and any future product candidates.

We believe that the net proceeds from this offering, together with our existing cash and cash equivalents and existing credit facility will allow us to fund our operating plan through at least the next 12 months. However, our operating plan may change as a result of many factors currently unknown to us, and we may need to seek additional capital sooner than planned, through public or private equity or debt financings or other sources, such as strategic collaborations. Such financings may result in dilution to stockholders, imposition of debt covenants and repayment obligations or other restrictions that may affect our business. In addition, we may seek additional capital due to favorable market conditions or strategic considerations even if we believe we have sufficient funds for our current or future operating plans.

Our future capital requirements depend on many factors, including:

the results of our Phase 3 clinical trials for RT001 in the United States and Europe;

the timing of, and the costs involved in, obtaining regulatory approvals for RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates;

the number and characteristics of any additional product candidates we develop or acquire;

the scope, progress, results and costs of researching and developing RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates, and conducting preclinical and clinical trials;

the cost of commercialization activities if RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates are approved for sale, including marketing, sales and distribution costs;

the cost of manufacturing RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates and any products we successfully commercialize and maintaining our related facilities;

our ability to establish and maintain strategic collaborations, licensing or other arrangements and the terms of and timing such arrangements;

the degree and rate of market acceptance of any future approved products;

the emergence, approval, availability, perceived advantages, relative cost, relative safety and relative efficacy of alternative and competing products or treatments;

any product liability or other lawsuits related to our products;

the expenses needed to attract and retain skilled personnel;

the costs associated with being a public company;

the costs involved in preparing, filing, prosecuting, maintaining, defending and enforcing patent claims, including litigation costs and the outcome of such litigation; and

the timing, receipt and amount of sales of, or royalties on, future approved products, if any.

Additional capital may not be available when we need them, on terms that are acceptable to us or at all. If adequate funds are not available to us on a timely basis, we may be required to:

delay, limit, reduce or terminate preclinical studies, clinical trials or other development activities for RT001, RT002 or any future product candidate;

Table of Contents

delay, limit, reduce or terminate our research and development activities; or

delay, limit, reduce or terminate our establishment of manufacturing, sales and marketing or distribution capabilities or other activities that may be necessary to commercialize RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates.

If we raise additional capital through marketing and distribution arrangements or other collaborations, strategic alliances or licensing arrangements with third parties, we may have to relinquish certain valuable rights to our product candidates, technologies, future revenue streams or research programs or grant licenses on terms that may not be favorable to us. If we raise additional capital through public or private equity offerings, the ownership interest of our existing stockholders will be diluted and the terms of any new equity securities may have a preference over our common stock. If we raise additional capital through debt financing, we may be subject to covenants limiting or restricting our ability to take specific actions, such as incurring additional debt or making capital expenditures or specified financial ratios, any of which could restrict our ability to commercialize our product candidates or operate as a business.

Even if our product candidates receive regulatory approval, they may fail to achieve the broad degree of physician adoption and use necessary for commercial success.

The commercial success of RT001, RT002 and any future product candidates, if approved, will depend significantly on the broad adoption and use of the resulting product by physicians for approved indications, including, in the case of RT001, the treatment of lateral canthal lines, or crow's feet lines, hyperhidrosis and other aesthetic and therapeutic indications that we may seek to pursue. The degree and rate of physician adoption of RT001, RT002 and any future product candidates, if approved, will depend on a number of factors, including:

the effectiveness of our product as compared to existing therapies;

physician willingness to adopt a new therapy to treat crow's feet lines, hyperhidrosis or other indications;

overcoming any biases physicians or patients may have toward injectable procedures for the treatment of crow's feet lines, hyperhidrosis or other indications;

patient satisfaction with the results and administration of our product and overall treatment experience;

patient demand for the treatment of crow's feet lines, hyperhidrosis or other indications; and

the revenue and profitability that our product will offer a physician as compared to alternative therapies.

If RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates are approved for use but fail to achieve the broad degree of physician adoption necessary for commercial success, our operating results and financial condition will be adversely affected.

Our product candidates, if approved, will face significant competition and our failure to effectively compete may prevent us from achieving significant market penetration and expansion.

We expect to enter highly competitive pharmaceutical and medical device markets. Successful competitors in the pharmaceutical and medical device markets have the ability to effectively discover, obtain patents, develop, test and obtain regulatory approvals for products, as well as the ability to effectively commercialize, market and promote approved products, including communicating the effectiveness, safety and value of products to actual and prospective customers and medical staff. Numerous companies are engaged in the development, patenting, manufacture and marketing of health care products competitive with those that we are developing. Many of these potential competitors are large, experienced companies that enjoy significant competitive advantages, such as substantially greater financial, research and development, manufacturing, personnel and marketing resources, greater brand recognition and more experience and expertise in obtaining marketing approvals from the FDA and other regulatory authorities.

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

Upon marketing approval, the first expected use of our products will be in aesthetic medicine. The aesthetic product market, and the facial aesthetic market in particular, is highly competitive and dynamic, and is

Table of Contents

characterized by rapid and substantial technological development and product innovations. This market is also characterized by competitors obtaining patents to protect what they consider to be their intellectual property. We are seeking regulatory approval of RT001 for the treatment of crow's feet lines. We anticipate that RT001, if approved, will face significant competition from other facial aesthetic products, including injectable botulinum toxins and dermal fillers. If approved, RT001 may also compete with unapproved and off-label treatments. To compete successfully in the aesthetic market, we will have to demonstrate that the reduction of crow's feet lines with RT001 is a worthwhile aesthetic treatment and is a superior alternative to existing therapies. Competing in the aesthetic market could result in price-cutting, reduced profit margins and limited market share, any of which would harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Due to less stringent regulatory requirements, there are many more aesthetic products and procedures available for use in international markets than are approved for use in the United States. There are also fewer limitations on the claims that our competitors in international markets can make about the effectiveness of their products and the manner in which they can market them. As a result, we face more competition in these markets than in the United States.

We currently make our clinical drug products exclusively in one manufacturing facility and plan to utilize this facility in the future to support commercial production if our product candidates are approved. If this or any future facility or our equipment were damaged or destroyed, or if we experience a significant disruption in our operations for any reason, our ability to continue to operate our business would be materially harmed.

We currently manufacture our own clinical drug products to support both RT001 and RT002 exclusively in a single manufacturing and laboratory facility and plan to utilize this facility in the future to support commercial production if our product candidates are approved. If this or any future facility were to be damaged, destroyed or otherwise unable to operate, whether due to earthquakes, fire, floods, hurricanes, storms, tornadoes, other natural disasters, employee malfeasance, terrorist acts, power outages or otherwise, or if performance of our manufacturing facility is disrupted for any other reason, such an event could delay our clinical trials or, if our product candidates are approved, jeopardize our ability to manufacture our products as promptly as our customers expect or possibly at all. If we experience delays in achieving our development objectives, or if we are unable to manufacture an approved product within a timeframe that meets our customers' expectations, our business, prospects, financial results and reputation could be materially harmed.

Currently, we maintain insurance coverage totaling \$13.7 million against damage to our property and equipment, \$2.0 million in general liability coverage, a \$9.0 million umbrella policy, and an additional \$30.0 million to cover business interruption and research and development restoration expenses, subject to deductibles and other limitations. If we have underestimated our insurance needs with respect to an interruption, or if an interruption is not subject to coverage under our insurance policies, we may not be able to cover our losses.

We have a limited operating history and have incurred significant losses since our inception and we anticipate that we will continue to incur losses for the foreseeable future. We have only two product candidates in clinical trials and no commercial sales, which, together with our limited operating history, make it difficult to assess our future viability.

We are a clinical stage specialty biopharmaceutical company with a limited operating history. Biopharmaceutical product development is a highly speculative undertaking and involves a substantial degree of risk. We are not profitable and have incurred losses in each year since we commenced operations in 2002. We have only a limited operating history upon which you can evaluate our business and prospects. In addition, we have limited experience and have not yet demonstrated an ability to successfully overcome many of the risks and uncertainties frequently encountered by companies in new and rapidly evolving fields, particularly in the biopharmaceutical industry. To date, we have not obtained any regulatory approvals for any of our product candidates or generated any revenue from product sales relating to RT001 or RT002. We continue to incur significant research and development and other expenses related to our ongoing clinical trials and operations. We have recorded net losses of \$44.9 million, \$58.3 million and \$42.4 million for the years ended December 31,

Table of Contents

2011 and 2012 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, had an accumulated deficit during our development stage through September 30, 2013 of \$185.8 million and had a net working capital deficit of \$28.6 million as of September 30, 2013. We expect to continue to incur losses for the foreseeable future, and we anticipate these losses will increase as we continue our development of, and seek regulatory approvals for, RT001 and RT002, and begin to commercialize RT001. Our ability to achieve revenue and profitability is dependent on our ability to complete the development of our product candidates, obtain necessary regulatory approvals and successfully manufacture, market and commercialize our products. Even if we achieve profitability in the future, we may not be able to sustain profitability in subsequent periods. Our prior losses, combined with expected future losses, may adversely affect the market price of our common stock and our ability to raise capital and continue operations.

Even if RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates obtain regulatory approval, they may never achieve market acceptance or commercial success.

Even if we obtain FDA or other regulatory approvals, RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates may not achieve market acceptance among physicians and patients, and may not be commercially successful.

The degree and rate of market acceptance of RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates for which we receive approval depends on a number of factors, including:

the safety and efficacy of the product as demonstrated in clinical trials;

the clinical indications for which the product is approved;

acceptance by physicians, major operators of clinics and patients of the product as a safe and effective treatment;

proper training and administration of our products by physicians and medical staff;

the potential and perceived advantages of our products over alternative treatments;

the cost of treatment in relation to alternative treatments and willingness to pay for our products, if approved, on the part of physicians and patients;

the willingness of patients to pay for RT001, RT002 and other aesthetic treatments in general, relative to other discretionary items, especially during economically challenging times;

relative convenience and ease of administration;

the prevalence and severity of adverse events; and

the effectiveness of our sales and marketing efforts.

Any failure by our product candidates that obtain regulatory approval to achieve market acceptance or commercial success would materially adversely affect our results of operations and delay, prevent or limit our ability to generate revenue and continue our business.

Clinical drug development involves a lengthy and expensive process with an uncertain outcome, and results of earlier studies and trials may not be predictive of future trial results.

Clinical testing is expensive and can take many years to complete, and its outcome is inherently uncertain. Furthermore, we rely on contract research organizations, or CROs, and clinical trial sites to ensure the proper and timely conduct of our clinical trials. While we have agreements governing the committed activities of our CROs, we have limited influence over their actual performance. A failure of one or more of our clinical trials can occur at any time during the clinical trial process. The results of preclinical studies and clinical trials of our product candidates may not be predictive of the results of later-stage clinical trials. For example, the positive results generated to date in clinical trials for RT001 do not ensure that later clinical trials, including our ongoing Phase 3 clinical trials for the treatment of crow's feet lines, will demonstrate similar results. Product candidates in later stages of clinical trials may fail to show the desired safety and efficacy despite having progressed through

Table of Contents

preclinical studies and initial clinical trials. In particular, we have conducted three positive Phase 2b clinical trials of RT001, in which RT001 met the primary efficacy and all secondary endpoints. However, we have conducted one Phase 3 clinical efficacy trial using a modified diluent formulation, the results of which were inconsistent with our previous Phase 2b clinical trials and which did not show improvement from baseline in either the placebo or RT001 group. A number of companies in the biopharmaceutical industry have suffered significant setbacks in advanced clinical trials due to lack of efficacy or adverse safety profiles, notwithstanding promising results in earlier clinical trials, and we cannot be certain that we will not face similar setbacks. Even if our clinical trials are completed, the results may not be sufficient to obtain regulatory approval for our product candidates.

We have in the past and may in the future experience delays in our ongoing clinical trials, and we do not know whether future clinical trials, if any, will begin on time, need to be redesigned, enroll an adequate number of patients on time or be completed on schedule, if at all. Clinical trials can be delayed or aborted for a variety of reasons, including delay or failure to:

obtain regulatory approval to commence a trial;

reach agreement on acceptable terms with prospective CROs and clinical trial sites, the terms of which can be subject to extensive negotiation and may vary significantly among different CROs and trial sites;

obtain institutional review board, or IRB, approval at each site;

recruit suitable patients to participate in a trial;

have patients complete a trial or return for post-treatment follow-up;

ensure clinical sites observe trial protocol or continue to participate in a trial;

address any patient safety concerns that arise during the course of a trial;

address any conflicts with new or existing laws or regulations;

add a sufficient number of clinical trial sites; or

manufacture sufficient quantities of product candidate for use in clinical trials.

Patient enrollment is a significant factor in the timing of clinical trials and is affected by many factors, including the size and nature of the patient population, the proximity of patients to clinical sites, the eligibility criteria for the trial, the design of the clinical trial, competing clinical trials and clinicians' and patients' perceptions as to the potential advantages of the drug being studied in relation to other available therapies, including any new drugs or treatments that may be approved for the indications we are investigating.

We could also encounter delays if a clinical trial is suspended or terminated by us, by the IRBs of the institutions in which such trials are being conducted, by the data safety monitoring board, for such trial or by the FDA or other regulatory authorities. Such authorities may suspend or terminate a clinical trial due to a number of factors, including failure to conduct the clinical trial in accordance with regulatory requirements or our clinical protocols, inspection of the clinical trial operations or trial site by the FDA or other regulatory authorities resulting in the imposition of a clinical hold, unforeseen safety issues or adverse side effects, failure to demonstrate a benefit from using a drug, changes in governmental

regulations or administrative actions or lack of adequate funding to continue the clinical trial.

If we experience delays in the completion of, or termination of, any clinical trial of our product candidates, the commercial prospects of our product candidates may be harmed, and our ability to generate product revenues from any of these product candidates will be delayed. In addition, any delays in completing our clinical trials will increase our costs, slow down our product candidate development and approval process and jeopardize our ability to commence product sales and generate revenues. Any of these occurrences may significantly harm our business, financial condition and prospects. In addition, many of the factors that cause, or lead to, a delay in the commencement or completion of clinical trials may also ultimately lead to the denial of regulatory approval of our product candidates.

Table of Contents

We have no experience manufacturing our product candidates at full commercial scale. If our product candidates are approved, we will face certain risks associated with scaling up our manufacturing capabilities to support commercial production.

We have developed an integrated manufacturing, research and development facility located at our corporate headquarters. We manufacture drug substance and finished dose forms of drug product at this facility that we use for research and development purposes and for clinical trials of our product candidates. We do not have experience in manufacturing our product candidates at commercial scale. To meet our strategic objectives, which contemplate internally manufacturing a significant portion of our drug substance and finished dose form at full commercial scale if our product candidates are approved, we may need to expand our manufacturing facilities, add manufacturing personnel and ensure that validated processes are consistently implemented in our facilities. For example, plans are underway to fabricate and install a larger capacity fill-finish line dedicated to our topical non-aseptic dose form, which we expect will be installed in 2014 and validated in 2015 to support our regulatory license applications and future commercial demand for RT001, if approved. In addition, we expect to further scale up our RT002 drug product manufacture according to established demand. The upgrade and expansion of our facilities will require additional regulatory approvals. In addition, it will be costly and time-consuming to expand our facilities and recruit necessary additional personnel. If we are unable to expand our manufacturing facilities in compliance with regulatory requirements or to hire additional necessary manufacturing personnel, we may encounter delays or additional costs in achieving our research, development and commercialization objectives, including in obtaining regulatory approvals of our product candidates, which could materially damage our business and financial position.

We currently contract with third party manufacturers for certain components necessary to produce RT001 for clinical trials and expect to continue to do so to support commercial scale production if RT001 is approved. This increases the risk that we will not have sufficient quantities of RT001 or such quantities at an acceptable cost, which could delay, prevent or impair our development or commercialization efforts.

We currently rely on third party manufacturers for certain components necessary to produce RT001 for our clinical trials, including the bulk peptide, diluent and the delivery apparatus and expect to continue to rely on these or other manufacturers to support our commercial requirements if RT001 is approved. Some of our contracts with our manufacturers contain minimum order and pricing provisions and provide for early termination based on regulatory approval milestones.

Reliance on third party manufacturers entails additional risks, including reliance on the third party for regulatory compliance and quality assurance, the possible breach of the manufacturing agreement by the third party, and the possible termination or nonrenewal of the agreement by the third party at a time that is costly or inconvenient for us. In addition, third party manufacturers may not be able to comply with cGMP or Quality System Regulation, or QSR, or similar regulatory requirements outside the United States. Our failure, or the failure of our third party manufacturers, to comply with applicable regulations could result in sanctions being imposed on us, including fines, injunctions, civil penalties, delays, suspension or withdrawal of approvals, license revocation, seizures or recalls of products, operating restrictions and criminal prosecutions, any of which could significantly and adversely affect supplies of RT001 or any other product candidates or products that we may develop. Any failure or refusal to supply the components for RT001 or any other product candidates or products that we may develop could delay, prevent or impair our clinical development or commercialization efforts.

We depend on single-source suppliers for the raw materials necessary to produce our product candidates. The loss of these suppliers, or their failure to supply us with these raw materials, would materially and adversely affect our business.

We and our manufacturers purchase the materials necessary to produce RT001 and RT002 for our clinical trials from single-source third party suppliers. There are a limited number of suppliers for the raw materials that we use to manufacture our product candidates and we may need to assess alternate suppliers to prevent a possible disruption of the manufacture of the materials necessary to produce our product candidates for our clinical trials,

Table of Contents

and if approved, ultimately for commercial sale. In particular, we outsource the manufacture of bulk peptide through American Peptide Company, Inc., the diluent through Hospira Worldwide, Inc. and our delivery apparatus through Duoject Medical Systems, Inc. We do not have any control over the process or timing of the acquisition of raw materials by our manufacturers. Although we generally do not begin a clinical trial unless we believe we have a sufficient supply of a product candidate to complete the clinical trial, any significant delay in the supply of RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates, or the raw material components thereof, for an ongoing clinical trial due to the need to replace a third party supplier could considerably delay completion of our clinical trials, product testing and potential regulatory approval of RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates. If we or our manufacturers are unable to purchase these raw materials on acceptable terms, at sufficient quality levels, or in adequate quantities, if at all, the development of RT001, RT002 and any future product candidates, or the commercial launch of any approved products, would be delayed or there would be a shortage in supply, which would impair our ability to meet our development objectives for our product candidates or generate revenues from the sale of any approved products.

Furthermore, if there is a disruption to our or our third party suppliers' relevant operations, we will have no other means of producing RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates until they restore the affected facilities or we or they procure alternative facilities. Additionally, any damage to or destruction of our or our third party or suppliers' facilities or equipment may significantly impair our ability to manufacture our product candidates on a timely basis.

We or the third parties upon whom we depend may be adversely affected by earthquakes or other natural disasters and our business continuity and disaster recovery plans may not adequately protect us from a serious disaster.

Our corporate headquarters and other facilities, including our sole manufacturing facility, are located in the San Francisco Bay Area, which in the past has experienced severe earthquakes. We do not carry earthquake insurance. Earthquakes or other natural disasters could severely disrupt our operations, and have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

If a natural disaster, power outage or other event occurred that prevented us from using all or a significant portion of our headquarters, that damaged critical infrastructure, such as our manufacturing facility, enterprise financial systems or manufacturing resource planning and enterprise quality systems, or that otherwise disrupted operations, it may be difficult or, in certain cases, impossible for us to continue our business for a substantial period of time. In particular, because we manufacture botulinum toxin in our facilities, we would be required to obtain further clearance and approval by state, federal or other applicable authorities to continue or resume manufacturing activities. The disaster recovery and business continuity plans we have in place currently are limited and may not be adequate in the event of a serious disaster or similar event. We may incur substantial expenses as a result of the limited nature of our disaster recovery and business continuity plans, which, particularly when taken together with our lack of earthquake insurance, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Furthermore, integral parties in our supply chain are geographically concentrated and operating from single sites, increasing their vulnerability to natural disasters or other sudden, unforeseen and severe adverse events. If such an event were to affect our supply chain, it could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We rely on third parties and consultants to conduct all our preclinical studies and clinical trials. If these third parties or consultants do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or meet expected deadlines, we may be unable to obtain regulatory approval for or commercialize RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates.

We do not have the ability to independently conduct preclinical studies or clinical trials. We rely on medical institutions, clinical investigators, contract laboratories, collaborative partners and other third parties, such as CROs, to conduct clinical trials on our product candidates. The third parties with whom we contract for execution of our clinical trials play a significant role in the conduct of these trials and the subsequent collection and analysis of data. However, these third parties are not our employees, and except for contractual

Table of Contents

duties and obligations, we have limited ability to control the amount or timing of resources that they devote to our programs. Although we rely on these third parties to conduct our preclinical studies and clinical trials, we remain responsible for ensuring that each of our preclinical studies and clinical trials is conducted in accordance with its investigational plan and protocol. Moreover, the FDA and foreign regulatory authorities require us to comply with regulations and standards, commonly referred to as current good clinical practices, or GCPs, for conducting, monitoring, recording and reporting the results of clinical trials to ensure that the data and results are scientifically credible and accurate, and that the trial subjects are adequately informed of the potential risks of participating in clinical trials. We also rely on consultants to assist in the execution, including data collection and analysis, of our clinical trials.

In addition, the execution of preclinical studies and clinical trials, and the subsequent compilation and analysis of the data produced, requires coordination among various parties. In order for these functions to be carried out effectively and efficiently, it is imperative that these parties communicate and coordinate with one another. Moreover, these third parties may also have relationships with other commercial entities, some of which may compete with us. These third parties may terminate their agreements with us upon as little as 30 days' prior written notice of a material breach by us that is not cured within 30 days. Many of these agreements may also be terminated by such third parties under certain other circumstances, including our insolvency or our failure to comply with applicable laws. In general, these agreements require such third parties to reasonably cooperate with us at our expense for an orderly winding down of services of such third parties under the agreements. If the third parties or consultants conducting our clinical trials do not perform their contractual duties or obligations, experience work stoppages, do not meet expected deadlines, terminate their agreements with us or need to be replaced, or if the quality or accuracy of the clinical data they obtain is compromised due to the failure to adhere to our clinical trial protocols or GCPs, or for any other reason, we may need to conduct additional clinical trials or enter into new arrangements with alternative third parties, which could be difficult, costly or impossible, and our clinical trials may be extended, delayed or terminated or may need to be repeated. If any of the foregoing were to occur, we may not be able to obtain, or may be delayed in obtaining, regulatory approval for and will not be able to, or may be delayed in our efforts to, successfully commercialize the product candidate being tested in such trials.

Our ability to market RT001, if approved, will be limited to use for the treatment of crow's feet lines, and if we want to expand the indications for which we may market RT001, we will need to obtain additional regulatory approvals, which may not be granted.

We are currently seeking regulatory approval for RT001 in the United States and Europe for the treatment of crow's feet lines. If RT001 is approved, the applicable regulatory agency will restrict our ability to market or advertise RT001 for other indications, which could limit physician and patient adoption. We may attempt to develop, promote and commercialize new treatment indications and protocols for RT001 in the future, but we cannot predict when or if we will receive the clearances required to do so. In addition, we would be required to conduct additional clinical trials or studies to support approvals for additional indications, which would be time consuming and expensive, and may produce results that do not support regulatory approvals. If we do not obtain additional regulatory approvals, our ability to expand our business will be limited.

If RT001 and/or RT002 is approved for marketing, and we are found to have improperly promoted off-label uses, or if physicians misuse our products or use our products off-label, we may become subject to prohibitions on the sale or marketing of our products, significant fines, penalties, and sanctions, product liability claims, and our image and reputation within the industry and marketplace could be harmed.

The FDA and other regulatory agencies strictly regulate the marketing and promotional claims that are made about drug products, such as RT001 and RT002, if approved. In particular, a product may not be promoted for uses or indications that are not approved by the FDA or such other regulatory agencies as reflected in the product's approved labeling. For example, if we receive marketing approval for RT001 for the treatment of crow's feet lines, the first indication we are pursuing, we cannot prevent physicians from using our RT001 products on their patients in a manner that is inconsistent with the approved label, potentially including for the treatment of other aesthetic or therapeutic indications. If we are found to have promoted such off-label uses, we may receive warning letters and

Table of Contents

become subject to significant liability, which would materially harm our business. The federal government has levied large civil and criminal fines against companies for alleged improper promotion and has enjoined several companies from engaging in off-label promotion. If we become the target of such an investigation or prosecution based on our marketing and promotional practices, we could face similar sanctions, which would materially harm our business. In addition, management's attention could be diverted from our business operations, significant legal expenses could be incurred, and our reputation could be damaged. The FDA has also requested that companies enter into consent decrees or permanent injunctions under which specified promotional conduct is changed or curtailed. If we are deemed by the FDA to have engaged in the promotion of our products for off-label use, we could be subject to FDA prohibitions on the sale or marketing of our products or significant fines and penalties, and the imposition of these sanctions could also affect our reputation and position within the industry.

Physicians may also misuse our products or use improper techniques, potentially leading to adverse results, side effects or injury, which may lead to product liability claims. If our products are misused or used with improper technique, we may become subject to costly litigation by our customers or their patients. Product liability claims could divert management's attention from our core business, be expensive to defend, and result in sizable damage awards against us that may not be covered by insurance. Furthermore, the use of our products for indications other than those cleared by the FDA may not effectively treat such conditions, which could harm our reputation in the marketplace among physicians and patients.

Any of these events could harm our business and results of operations and cause our stock price to decline.

Even if RT001 is approved for commercialization, if there is not sufficient patient demand for RT001 procedures, our financial results and future prospects will be harmed.

Treatment of crow's feet lines with RT001, our lead product candidate, is an elective procedure, the cost of which must be borne by the patient, and we do not expect it to be reimbursable through government or private health insurance. The decision by a patient to elect to undergo treatment with RT001 for the treatment of crow's feet lines or other aesthetic indications we may pursue may be influenced by a number of factors, including:

the success of any sales and marketing programs that we, or any third parties we engage, undertake, and as to which we have limited experience;

the extent to which physicians recommend RT001 to their patients;

the extent to which RT001 satisfies patient expectations;

our ability to properly train physicians in the use of RT001 such that their patients do not experience excessive discomfort during treatment or adverse side effects;

the cost, safety and effectiveness of RT001 versus other aesthetic treatments;

consumer sentiment about the benefits and risks of aesthetic procedures generally and RT001 in particular;

the success of any direct-to-consumer marketing efforts we may initiate; and

general consumer confidence, which may be impacted by economic and political conditions.

Our business, financial results and future prospects will be materially harmed if we cannot generate sufficient demand for RT001, or for RT002 or any other future product candidate, once approved.

We currently have limited marketing capabilities and no sales organization. If we are unable to establish sales and marketing capabilities on our own or through third parties, we will be unable to successfully commercialize RT001, RT002 or any other future product candidates, if approved, or generate product revenue.

We currently have limited marketing capabilities and no sales organization. To commercialize RT001, RT002 or any other future product candidates, if approved, in the United States, Europe and other jurisdictions we seek to enter, we must build our marketing, sales, distribution, managerial and other non-technical capabilities or make arrangements with third parties to perform these services, and we may not be successful in

Table of Contents

doing so. If RT001 receives regulatory approval, we expect to market RT001 in the United States through an internal specialized sales force and in Europe through either our internal sales force or a combination of our internal sales force and distributors, which will be expensive and time consuming. We have no prior experience in the marketing, sale and distribution of pharmaceutical products and there are significant risks involved in building and managing a sales organization, including our ability to hire, retain and incentivize qualified individuals, generate sufficient sales leads, provide adequate training to sales and marketing personnel and effectively manage a geographically dispersed sales and marketing team. Any failure or delay in the development of our internal sales, marketing and distribution capabilities would adversely impact the commercialization of these products. We may choose to collaborate with third parties that have direct sales forces and established distribution systems, either to augment our own sales force and distribution systems or in lieu of our own sales force and distribution systems. If we are unable to enter into such arrangements on acceptable terms or at all, we may not be able to successfully commercialize RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates. If we are not successful in commercializing RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates, either on our own or through collaborations with one or more third parties, our future product revenue will suffer and we would incur significant additional losses.

To establish our sales and marketing infrastructure and expand our manufacturing capabilities, we will need to increase the size of our organization, and we may experience difficulties in managing this growth.

As of January 21, 2014, we had 64 full-time employees. We will need to continue to expand our managerial, operational, finance and other resources to manage our operations and clinical trials, continue our development activities and commercialize RT001 or any other product candidates, if approved. Our management and personnel, systems and facilities currently in place may not be adequate to support this future growth. Our need to effectively execute our growth strategy requires that we:

manage our clinical trials effectively;

identify, recruit, retain, incentivize and integrate additional employees;

manage our internal development efforts effectively while carrying out our contractual obligations to third parties; and

continue to improve our operational, financial and management controls, reporting systems and procedures.

Due to our limited financial resources and our limited experience in managing a company with such anticipated growth, we may not be able to effectively manage the expansion of our operations or recruit and train additional qualified personnel. The physical expansion of our operations may lead to significant costs and may divert our management and business development resources. Any inability to manage growth could delay the execution of our development and strategic objectives, or disrupt our operations.

If product liability lawsuits are brought against us, we may incur substantial liabilities and may be required to limit commercialization of any future products we develop.

We face an inherent risk of product liability as a result of the clinical testing of our product candidates and will face an even greater risk if we commercialize any products. For example, we may be sued if any product we develop allegedly causes injury or is found to be otherwise unsuitable during product testing, manufacturing, marketing or sale. Any such product liability claims may include allegations of defects in manufacturing, defects in design, a failure to warn of dangers inherent in the product, negligence, strict liability and a breach of warranties. Claims could also be asserted under state consumer protection acts. If we cannot successfully defend ourselves against product liability claims, we may incur substantial liabilities or be required to limit commercialization of our products. Even a successful defense would require significant financial and management resources. Regardless of the merits or eventual outcome, liability claims may result in:

decreased demand for RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates or products we develop;

injury to our reputation and significant negative media attention;

Table of Contents

withdrawal of clinical trial participants or cancellation of clinical trials;

costs to defend the related litigation;

a diversion of management's time and our resources;

substantial monetary awards to trial participants or patients;

regulatory investigations, product recalls, withdrawals or labeling, marketing or promotional restrictions;

loss of revenue; and

the inability to commercialize any products we develop.

Our inability to obtain and maintain sufficient product liability insurance at an acceptable cost and scope of coverage to protect against potential product liability claims could prevent or inhibit the commercialization of RT001 or any future products we develop. We currently carry product liability insurance covering our clinical trials in the amount of \$1.0 million in the aggregate. Although we maintain such insurance, any claim that may be brought against us could result in a court judgment or settlement in an amount that is not covered, in whole or in part, by our insurance or that is in excess of the limits of our insurance coverage. Our insurance policies also have various exclusions and deductibles, and we may be subject to a product liability claim for which we have no coverage. We will have to pay any amounts awarded by a court or negotiated in a settlement that exceed our coverage limitations or that are not covered by our insurance, and we may not have, or be able to obtain, sufficient capital to pay such amounts. Moreover, in the future, we may not be able to maintain insurance coverage at a reasonable cost or in sufficient amounts to protect us against losses. If and when we obtain approval for marketing RT001, we intend to expand our insurance coverage to include the sale of RT001; however, we may be unable to obtain this liability insurance on commercially reasonable terms.

If we fail to attract and keep senior management and key scientific personnel, we may be unable to successfully develop RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates, conduct our clinical trials and commercialize RT001, RT002 or any future products we develop.

Our success depends in part on our continued ability to attract, retain and motivate highly qualified management, clinical and scientific personnel. We believe that our future success is highly dependent upon the contributions of our senior management, particularly our President and Chief Executive Officer, as well as our senior scientists and other members of our senior management team. The loss of services of any of these individuals could delay or prevent the successful development of our product pipeline, completion of our planned clinical trials or the commercialization of RT001, RT002 or any future products we develop.

Although we have not historically experienced unique difficulties attracting and retaining qualified employees, we could experience such problems in the future. For example, competition for qualified personnel in the biotechnology and pharmaceuticals field is intense due to the limited number of individuals who possess the skills and experience required by our industry. We will need to hire additional personnel as we expand our clinical development and commercial activities. We may not be able to attract and retain quality personnel on acceptable terms, or at all. In addition, to the extent we hire personnel from competitors, we may be subject to allegations that they have been improperly solicited or that they have divulged proprietary or other confidential information, or that their former employers own their research output.

If we are not successful in discovering, developing, acquiring and commercializing additional product candidates, our ability to expand our business and achieve our strategic objectives would be impaired.

Although a substantial amount of our effort will focus on the continued clinical testing and potential approval of RT001 and RT002, a key element of our strategy is to discover, develop and commercialize a portfolio of botulinum toxin products to serve both the aesthetic and therapeutic markets. We are seeking to do so through our internal research programs and may explore strategic collaborations for the development or acquisition of new products. While our two product candidates, RT001 and RT002, are each in the clinical development stage, all of our other potential product candidates remain in the discovery stage. Research programs to identify product

Table of Contents

candidates require substantial technical, financial and human resources, whether or not any product candidates are ultimately identified. Our research programs may initially show promise in identifying potential product candidates, yet fail to yield product candidates for clinical development for many reasons, including the following:

the research methodology used may not be successful in identifying potential product candidates;

competitors may develop alternatives that render our product candidates obsolete or less attractive;

product candidates we develop may nevertheless be covered by third parties' patents or other exclusive rights;

a product candidate may on further study be shown to have harmful side effects or other characteristics that indicate it is unlikely to be effective or otherwise does not meet applicable regulatory criteria;

a product candidate may not be capable of being produced in commercial quantities at an acceptable cost, or at all;

a product candidate may not be accepted as safe and effective by patients, the medical community or third party payors, if applicable; and

intellectual property rights of third parties may potentially block our entry into certain markets, or make such entry economically impracticable.

If we fail to develop and successfully commercialize other product candidates, our business and future prospects may be harmed and our business will be more vulnerable to any problems that we encounter in developing and commercializing RT001 and RT002.

We will incur significant increased costs as a result of operating as a public company, and our management will be required to devote substantial time to new compliance initiatives. We may fail to comply with the rules that apply to public companies, including Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, which could result in sanctions or other penalties that would harm our business.

As a public company in the United States, we will be required, pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or Section 404, to furnish a report by management on, among other things, the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. We expect that our first report on compliance with Section 404 will be in connection with our consolidated financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2014.

The controls and other procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, is disclosed accurately and is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms. We are in the early stages of conforming our internal control procedures to the requirements of Section 404 and we may not be able to complete our evaluation, testing and any required remediation needed to comply with Section 404 in a timely fashion. Our independent registered public accounting firm was not engaged to perform an audit of our internal control over financial reporting for the year ended December 31, 2012 or for any other period. Accordingly, no such opinion was expressed. Even if we develop effective controls, these new controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or the degree of compliance with these policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Even after we develop these new procedures, material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting may be discovered. To fully comply with Section 404, we will need to retain additional employees to supplement our current finance staff, and we may not be able to do so in a timely manner, or at all. In addition, in the process of evaluating our internal control over financial reporting, we expect that certain of our internal control practices will need to be updated to comply with the requirements of Section 404 and the regulations promulgated thereunder, and we may not be able to do so on a timely basis, or at all. In the event that we are not able to demonstrate compliance with Section 404 in a

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

timely manner, or are unable to produce timely or accurate consolidated financial statements, we may be subject to sanctions or investigations by regulatory authorities, such as the SEC or the stock exchange on which our stock is listed, and investors may lose confidence in our operating results and the price of our common stock could decline. Furthermore, if we are unable to certify that our internal

Table of Contents

control over financial reporting is effective and in compliance with Section 404, we may be subject to sanctions or investigations by regulatory authorities, such as the SEC or stock exchanges, and we could lose investor confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports, which could hurt our business, the price of our common stock and our ability to access the capital markets.

Our business involves the use of hazardous materials and we and our third party manufacturers and suppliers must comply with environmental laws and regulations, which can be expensive and restrict how we do business.

Our research and development and manufacturing activities and our third party manufacturers and suppliers activities involve the controlled storage, use and disposal of hazardous materials owned by us, including botulinum toxin type A, a key component of our product candidates, and other hazardous compounds. We and our manufacturers and suppliers are subject to laws and regulations governing the use, manufacture, storage, handling and disposal of these hazardous materials. We are licensed with the Centers for Disease Control and Detection, or CDC, and with the California Department of Health, Food and Drug Branch for use of botulinum toxin and to manufacture both the active pharmaceutical ingredient, or API, and the finished product in topical and injectable dose forms. In some cases, these hazardous materials and various wastes resulting from their use are stored at our and our manufacturers facilities pending their use and disposal. We cannot eliminate the risk of contamination, which could cause an interruption of our commercialization efforts, research and development efforts and business operations, environmental damage resulting in costly clean-up and liabilities under applicable laws and regulations governing the use, storage, handling and disposal of these materials and specified waste products. Although we believe that the safety procedures utilized by us and our third party manufacturers for handling and disposing of these materials generally comply with the standards prescribed by these laws and regulations, we cannot guarantee that this is the case or eliminate the risk of accidental contamination or injury from these materials. In such an event, we may be held liable for any resulting damages and such liability could exceed our resources and state or federal or other applicable authorities may curtail our use of certain materials and interrupt our business operations. Furthermore, environmental laws and regulations are complex, change frequently and have tended to become more stringent. We cannot predict the impact of such changes and cannot be certain of our future compliance.

We may use third party collaborators to help us develop, validate or commercialize any new products, and our ability to commercialize such products could be impaired or delayed if these collaborations are unsuccessful.

We may license or selectively pursue strategic collaborations for the development, validation and commercialization of RT001, RT002 and any future product candidates. In any third party collaboration, we would be dependent upon the success of the collaborators in performing their responsibilities and their continued cooperation. Our collaborators may not cooperate with us or perform their obligations under our agreements with them. We cannot control the amount and timing of our collaborators resources that will be devoted to performing their responsibilities under our agreements with them. Our collaborators may choose to pursue alternative technologies in preference to those being developed in collaboration with us. The development, validation and commercialization of our product candidates will be delayed if collaborators fail to conduct their responsibilities in a timely manner or in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements or if they breach or terminate their collaboration agreements with us. Disputes with our collaborators could also impair our reputation or result in development delays, decreased revenues and litigation expenses.

If we fail to comply with the covenants and other obligations under our credit facilities, the lenders may be able to accelerate amounts owed under the facilities and may foreclose upon the assets securing our obligations.

In September 2011, we entered into a credit facility with Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc., or Hercules. The facility consists of \$22.0 million in a term loan from Hercules. The balance of the term loan as of September 30, 2013 was \$13.0 million and is payable in monthly installments of principal and interest through

Table of Contents

March 1, 2015. Borrowings under our credit facility are secured by substantially all of our tangible assets. The covenants set forth in the loan and security agreement require, among other things, that we seek consent from Hercules prior to certain corporate changes and provide certain unaudited financial information within 30 days after the end of each month. If we fail to comply with the covenants and our other obligations under the credit facility, Hercules would be able to accelerate the required repayment of amounts due under the loan agreement and, if they are not repaid, could foreclose upon our assets securing our obligations under the credit facility.

In December 2013, we entered into a \$10.8 million loan and lease agreement with Essex Capital Corporation, or the Essex Capital Facility. Borrowings under the Essex Capital Facility are secured by substantially all of our tangible assets, excluding intellectual property. The covenants set forth in the Essex Capital Facility require, among other things, that we seek consent from Essex Capital prior to certain corporate events, including the incurrence of additional secured indebtedness or additional liens. If we fail to comply with the covenants and our other obligations under the Essex Capital Facility, Essex Capital would be able to accelerate the required repayment of amounts due under the Essex Capital Facility and, if they are not repaid, could foreclose upon our assets securing our obligations under the Essex Capital Facility, subject to limitations set forth in a subordination agreement between Essex Capital and Hercules.

Unfavorable global economic conditions could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our results of operations could be adversely affected by general conditions in the global economy and in the global financial markets. Furthermore, the market for aesthetic medical procedures may be particularly vulnerable to unfavorable economic conditions. We do not expect RT001 for the treatment of crow's feet lines to be reimbursed by any government or third party payor and, as a result, demand for this product will be tied to discretionary spending levels of our targeted patient population. The recent global financial crisis caused extreme volatility and disruptions in the capital and credit markets. A severe or prolonged economic downturn could result in a variety of risks to our business, including weakened demand for RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates, if approved, and our ability to raise additional capital when needed on acceptable terms, if at all. This is particularly true in Europe, which is undergoing a continued severe economic crisis. A weak or declining economy could also strain our suppliers, possibly resulting in supply disruption, or cause our customers to delay making payments for our services. Any of the foregoing could harm our business and we cannot anticipate all of the ways in which the current economic climate and financial market conditions could adversely impact our business.

Risks Related to Our Intellectual Property

If our efforts to protect our intellectual property related to RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates are not adequate, we may not be able to compete effectively in our market.

We rely upon a combination of patents, trade secret protection and confidentiality agreements to protect the intellectual property related to RT001, RT002 and our development programs. Any disclosure to or misappropriation by third parties of our confidential proprietary information could enable competitors to quickly duplicate or surpass our technological achievements, eroding our competitive position in our market.

The strength of patents in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical field involves complex legal and scientific questions and can be uncertain. This uncertainty includes changes to the patent laws through either legislative action to change statutory patent law or court action that may reinterpret existing law in ways affecting the scope or validity of issued patents. The patent applications that we own or license may fail to result in issued patents in the United States or foreign countries. Competitors in the field of cosmetics and botulinum toxin have created a substantial amount of prior art, including scientific publications, patents and patent applications. Our ability to obtain and maintain valid and enforceable patents depends on whether the differences between our technology and the prior art allow our technology to be patentable over the prior art. Even if the patents do successfully issue, third parties may challenge the validity, enforceability or scope of such issued patents or any other issued patents we own or license, which may result in such patents being narrowed, invalidated or held unenforceable.

Table of Contents

For example, patents granted by the European Patent Office may be opposed by any person within nine months from the publication of their grant. Furthermore, even if they are unchallenged, our patents and patent applications may not adequately protect our intellectual property or prevent others from designing around our claims. In addition, recent changes to the patent laws of the United States provide additional procedures for third parties to challenge the validity of issued patents based on patent applications filed after March 15, 2013. If the breadth or strength of protection provided by the patents and patent applications we hold or pursue with respect to RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates is challenged, then it could threaten our ability to commercialize RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates, and could threaten our ability to prevent competitive products from being marketed. Further, if we encounter delays in our clinical trials, the period of time during which we could market RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates under patent protection would be reduced. Since patent applications in the United States and most other countries are confidential for a period of time after filing, we cannot be certain that we were the first to either (i) file any patent application related to our product candidates or (ii) invent any of the inventions claimed in our patents or patent applications. Furthermore, for applications filed before March 16, 2013, or patents issuing from such applications, an interference proceeding can be provoked by a third party, or instituted by the United States Patent and Trademark Office, or USPTO, to determine who was the first to invent any of the subject matter covered by the patent claims of our applications and patents. As of March 16, 2013, the United States transitioned to a first-to-file system for deciding which party should be granted a patent when two or more patent applications are filed by different parties claiming the same invention. A third party that files a patent application in the USPTO before us could therefore be awarded a patent covering an invention of ours even if we had made the invention before it was made by the third party.

The change to first-to-file from first-to-invent is one of the changes to the patent laws of the United States resulting from the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act signed into law on September 16, 2011. Among some of the other changes to the patent laws are changes that limit where a patentee may file a patent infringement suit and providing opportunities for third parties to challenge any issued patent in the USPTO. Because of a lower evidentiary standard in USPTO proceedings compared to the evidentiary standard in United States federal court necessary to invalidate a patent claim, a third party could potentially provide evidence in a USPTO proceeding sufficient for the USPTO to hold a claim invalid even though the same evidence would be insufficient to invalidate the claim if first presented in a district court action. Accordingly, a third party may attempt to use the USPTO procedures to invalidate our patent claims that would not have been invalidated if first challenged by the third party as a defendant in a district court action.

Even where laws provide protection, costly and time-consuming litigation could be necessary to enforce and determine the scope of our proprietary rights, and the outcome of such litigation would be uncertain. Moreover, any actions we may bring to enforce our intellectual property against our competitors could provoke them to bring counterclaims against us, and some of our competitors have substantially greater intellectual property portfolios than we have.

We also rely on trade secret protection and confidentiality agreements to protect proprietary know-how that may not be patentable, processes for which patents may be difficult to obtain or enforce and any other elements of our product development processes that involve proprietary know-how, information or technology that is not covered by patents.

In an effort to protect our trade secrets and other confidential information, we require our employees, consultants, collaborators and advisors to execute confidentiality agreements upon the commencement of their relationships with us. These agreements require that all confidential information developed by the individual or made known to the individual by us during the course of the individual's relationship with us be kept confidential and not disclosed to third parties. These agreements, however, may not provide us with adequate protection against improper use or disclosure of confidential information, and these agreements may be breached. Adequate remedies may not exist in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of our confidential information. A breach of confidentiality could significantly affect our competitive position. In addition, in some situations, these agreements may conflict with, or be subject to, the rights of third parties with whom our employees, consultants, collaborators or advisors have previous employment or consulting relationships. To the extent that our

Table of Contents

employees, consultants or contractors use any intellectual property owned by others in their work for us, disputes may arise as to the rights in any related or resulting know-how and inventions. Also, others may independently develop substantially equivalent proprietary information and techniques or otherwise gain access to our trade secrets and other confidential information.

If we infringe or are alleged to infringe intellectual property rights of third parties, our business could be harmed.

Our research, development and commercialization activities may infringe or otherwise violate or be claimed to infringe or otherwise violate patents owned or controlled by other parties. Competitors in the field of cosmetics and botulinum toxin have developed large portfolios of patents and patent applications in fields relating to our business. For example, there are patents held by third parties that relate to the treatment with botulinum toxin-based products for indications we are currently developing. There may also be patent applications that have been filed but not published that, when issued as patents, could be asserted against us. These third parties could bring claims against us that would cause us to incur substantial expenses and, if successful against us, could cause us to pay substantial damages. Further, if a patent infringement suit were brought against us, we could be forced to stop or delay research, development, manufacturing or sales of the product or product candidate that is the subject of the suit.

As a result of patent infringement claims, or to avoid potential claims, we may choose or be required to seek licenses from third parties. These licenses may not be available on acceptable terms, or at all. Even if we are able to obtain a license, the license would likely obligate us to pay license fees or royalties or both, and the rights granted to us might be nonexclusive, which could result in our competitors gaining access to the same intellectual property. Ultimately, we could be prevented from commercializing a product, or be forced to cease some aspect of our business operations, if, as a result of actual or threatened patent infringement claims, we are unable to enter into licenses on acceptable terms.

There has been substantial litigation and other proceedings regarding patent and other intellectual property rights in the pharmaceutical industry. In addition to infringement claims against us, we may become a party to other patent litigation and other proceedings, including interference, derivation or post-grant proceedings declared or granted by the USPTO and similar proceedings in foreign countries, regarding intellectual property rights with respect to our current or future products. The cost to us of any patent litigation or other proceeding, even if resolved in our favor, could be substantial. Some of our competitors may be able to sustain the costs of such litigation or proceedings more effectively than we can because of their substantially greater financial resources. Patent litigation and other proceedings may also absorb significant management time. Uncertainties resulting from the initiation and continuation of patent litigation or other proceedings could impair our ability to compete in the marketplace. The occurrence of any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We may become involved in lawsuits to protect or enforce our patents or other intellectual property or the patents of our licensors, which could be expensive and time consuming.

Competitors may infringe our intellectual property, including our patents or the patents of our licensors. As a result, we may be required to file infringement claims to stop third party infringement or unauthorized use. This can be expensive, particularly for a company of our size, and time-consuming. In addition, in an infringement proceeding, a court may decide that a patent of ours is not valid or is unenforceable, or may refuse to stop the other party from using the technology at issue on the grounds that our patent claims do not cover its technology or that the factors necessary to grant an injunction against an infringer are not satisfied.

An adverse determination of any litigation or other proceedings could put one or more of our patents at risk of being invalidated or interpreted narrowly and could put our patent applications at risk of not issuing.

Interference, derivation or other proceedings brought at the USPTO may be necessary to determine the priority or patentability of inventions with respect to our patent applications or those of our licensors or collaborators. Litigation or USPTO proceedings brought by us may fail or may be invoked against us by third

Table of Contents

parties. Even if we are successful, domestic or foreign litigation or USPTO or foreign patent office proceedings may result in substantial costs and distraction to our management. We may not be able, alone or with our licensors or collaborators, to prevent misappropriation of our proprietary rights, particularly in countries where the laws may not protect such rights as fully as in the United States.

Furthermore, because of the substantial amount of discovery required in connection with intellectual property litigation or other proceedings, there is a risk that some of our confidential information could be compromised by disclosure during this type of litigation or proceedings. In addition, during the course of this kind of litigation or proceedings, there could be public announcements of the results of hearings, motions or other interim proceedings or developments or public access to related documents. If investors perceive these results to be negative, the market price for our common stock could be significantly harmed.

We may not be able to protect our intellectual property rights throughout the world.

Filing, prosecuting and defending patents on product candidates in all countries throughout the world would be prohibitively expensive, and our intellectual property rights in some countries outside the United States can be less extensive than those in the United States. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect intellectual property rights to the same extent as federal and state laws in the United States and in some cases may even force us to grant a compulsory license to competitors or other third parties. Consequently, we may not be able to prevent third parties from practicing our inventions in all countries outside the United States, or from selling or importing products made using our inventions in and into the United States or other jurisdictions. Competitors may use our technologies in jurisdictions where we have not obtained patent protection to develop their own products and further, may export otherwise infringing products to territories where we have patent protection, but enforcement is not as strong as that in the United States. These products may compete with our products and our patents or other intellectual property rights may not be effective or sufficient to prevent them from competing.

Many companies have encountered significant problems in protecting and defending intellectual property rights in foreign jurisdictions. The legal systems of certain countries, particularly certain developing countries, do not favor the enforcement of patents and other intellectual property protection, particularly those relating to biopharmaceuticals, which could make it difficult for us to stop the infringement of our patents or marketing of competing products in violation of our proprietary rights generally. Proceedings to enforce our patent rights in foreign jurisdictions could result in substantial costs and divert our efforts and attention from other aspects of our business, could put our patents at risk of being invalidated or interpreted narrowly and our patent applications at risk of not issuing and could provoke third parties to assert claims against us. We may not prevail in any lawsuits that we initiate and the damages or other remedies awarded, if any, may not be commercially meaningful. Accordingly, our efforts to enforce our intellectual property rights around the world may be inadequate to obtain a significant commercial advantage from the intellectual property that we develop or license.

In addition, our ability to protect and enforce our intellectual property rights may be adversely affected by unforeseen changes in domestic and foreign intellectual property laws.

Risks Related to Government Regulation

Our business and products are subject to extensive government regulation.

We are subject to extensive, complex, costly and evolving regulation by federal and state governmental authorities in the United States, principally by the FDA, the U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration, or DEA, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or CDC, and foreign regulatory authorities. Failure to comply with all applicable regulatory requirements, including those promulgated under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or FDCA, the Public Health Service Act, or PHSA, and Controlled Substances Act, may subject us to operating restrictions and criminal prosecution, monetary penalties and other disciplinary actions, including, sanctions, warning letters, product seizures, recalls, fines, injunctions, suspension, revocation of approvals, or exclusion from future participation in the Medicare and Medicaid programs.

Table of Contents

After our products receive regulatory approval or clearance, we, and our direct and indirect suppliers, remain subject to the periodic inspection of our plants and facilities, review of production processes, and testing of our products to confirm that we are in compliance with all applicable regulations. Adverse findings during regulatory inspections may result in the implementation of Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategies, or REMS, programs, completion of government mandated clinical trials, and government enforcement action relating to labeling, advertising, marketing and promotion, as well as regulations governing manufacturing controls noted above.

The regulatory approval process is highly uncertain and we may not obtain regulatory approval for the commercialization of RT001 or any future product candidates.

The research, testing, manufacturing, labeling, approval, selling, import, export, marketing and distribution of drug and biologic products are subject to extensive regulation by the FDA and other regulatory authorities in the United States and other countries, which regulations differ from country to country. Neither we nor any collaboration partner is permitted to market RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates in the United States until we receive approval of a BLA from the FDA. We have not submitted an application or obtained marketing approval for RT001 anywhere in the world. Obtaining regulatory approval of a BLA can be a lengthy, expensive and uncertain process. In addition, failure to comply with FDA and other applicable United States and foreign regulatory requirements may subject us to administrative or judicially imposed sanctions or other actions, including:

warning letters;

civil and criminal penalties;

injunctions;

withdrawal of approved products;

product seizure or detention;

product recalls;

total or partial suspension of production; and

refusal to approve pending BLAs or supplements to approved BLAs.

Prior to obtaining approval to commercialize a product candidate in the United States or abroad, we or our collaborators must demonstrate with substantial evidence from well controlled clinical trials, and to the satisfaction of the FDA or other foreign regulatory agencies, that such product candidates are safe and effective for their intended uses. Results from preclinical studies and clinical trials can be interpreted in different ways. Even if we and our collaborator believe the preclinical or clinical data for our product candidates are promising, such data may not be sufficient to support approval by the FDA and other regulatory authorities. Administering product candidates to humans may produce undesirable side effects, which could interrupt, delay or halt clinical trials and result in the FDA or other regulatory authorities denying approval of a product candidate for any or all targeted indications.

Regulatory approval of a BLA or BLA supplement is not guaranteed, and the approval process is expensive and may take several years. The FDA also has substantial discretion in the approval process. Despite the time and expense expended, failure can occur at any stage, and we could encounter problems that cause us to abandon or repeat clinical trials, or perform additional preclinical studies and clinical trials. The number of preclinical studies and clinical trials that will be required for FDA approval varies depending on the product candidate, the disease or condition that the product candidate is designed to address and the regulations applicable to any particular product candidate. The FDA can delay, limit or

deny approval of a product candidate for many reasons, including the following:

a product candidate may not be deemed safe, effective, pure or potent;

FDA officials may not find the data from preclinical studies and clinical trials sufficient;

Table of Contents

the FDA might not approve our third party manufacturers' processes or facilities; or

the FDA may change its approval policies or adopt new regulations.

If RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates fail to demonstrate safety and efficacy in clinical trials or do not gain approval, our business and results of operations will be materially and adversely harmed.

Even if we receive regulatory approval for RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates, we will be subject to ongoing regulatory obligations and continued regulatory review, which may result in significant additional expense, limit or delay regulatory approval and subject us to penalties if we fail to comply with applicable regulatory requirements.

Once regulatory approval has been granted, RT001, RT002, or any approved product will be subject to continual regulatory review by the FDA and/or non-U.S. regulatory authorities. Additionally, any product candidates, if approved, will be subject to extensive and ongoing regulatory requirements, including labeling and other restrictions and market withdrawal and we may be subject to penalties if we fail to comply with regulatory requirements or experience unanticipated problems with our products.

Any regulatory approvals that we or our collaborators receive for RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates may also be subject to limitations on the approved indications for which the product may be marketed or to the conditions of approval, or contain requirements for potentially costly post-marketing testing, including Phase 4 clinical trials, and surveillance to monitor the safety and efficacy of the product candidate. In addition, if the applicable regulatory agency approves RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates, the manufacturing processes, labeling, packaging, distribution, adverse event reporting, storage, advertising, promotion and recordkeeping for the product will be subject to extensive and ongoing regulatory requirements. These requirements include submissions of safety and other post-marketing information and reports, registration, as well as continued compliance with cGMP and GCP for any clinical trials that we conduct post-approval. Later discovery of previously unknown problems with RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates, including adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, or with our third party manufacturers or manufacturing processes, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements, may result in, among other things:

restrictions on the marketing or manufacturing of the product, withdrawal of the product from the market, or voluntary or mandatory product recalls;

fines, warning letters or holds on clinical trials;

refusal by the FDA to approve pending applications or supplements to approved applications filed by us or our strategic collaborators, or suspension or revocation of product license approvals;

product seizure or detention, or refusal to permit the import or export of products; and

injunctions or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

Our ongoing regulatory requirements may also change from time to time, potentially harming or making costlier our commercialization efforts. We cannot predict the likelihood, nature or extent of government regulation that may arise from future legislation or administrative action, either in the United States or other countries. If we are slow or unable to adapt to changes in existing requirements or the adoption of new requirements or policies, or if we are not able to maintain regulatory compliance, we may lose any marketing approval that we may have obtained and we may not achieve or sustain profitability, which would adversely affect our business.

If we fail to obtain regulatory approvals in foreign jurisdictions for RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates, we will be unable to market our products outside of the United States.

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

In addition to regulations in the United States, we will be subject to a variety of foreign regulations governing manufacturing, clinical trials, commercial sales and distribution of our future products. Whether or not we obtain FDA approval for a product candidate, we must obtain approval of the product by the comparable regulatory authorities of foreign countries before commencing clinical trials or marketing in those countries. The approval procedures vary among countries and can involve additional clinical testing, and the time required to

Table of Contents

obtain approval may differ from that required to obtain FDA approval. Clinical trials conducted in one country may not be accepted by regulatory authorities in other countries. Approval by the FDA does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other countries, and approval by one or more foreign regulatory authorities does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other foreign countries or by the FDA. The foreign regulatory approval process may include all of the risks associated with obtaining FDA approval. We may not be able to file for regulatory approvals or to do so on a timely basis, and even if we do file, we may not receive necessary approvals to commercialize our products in markets outside of the United States.

If approved, RT001, RT002 or any future products may cause or contribute to adverse medical events that we are required to report to regulatory agencies and if we fail to do so, we could be subject to sanctions that would materially harm our business.

Some participants in our clinical trials have reported adverse events after being treated with RT001. If we are successful in commercializing RT001 or any other products, FDA and foreign regulatory agency regulations require that we report certain information about adverse medical events if those products may have caused or contributed to those adverse events. The timing of our obligation to report would be triggered by the date we become aware of the adverse event as well as the nature of the event. We may fail to report adverse events we become aware of within the prescribed timeframe. We may also fail to appreciate that we have become aware of a reportable adverse event, especially if it is not reported to us as an adverse event or if it is an adverse event that is unexpected or removed in time from the use of our products. If we fail to comply with our reporting obligations, the FDA or a foreign regulatory agency could take action including criminal prosecution, the imposition of civil monetary penalties, seizure of our products, or delay in approval or clearance of future products.

We may in the future be subject to various U.S. federal and state laws pertaining to health care fraud and abuse, including anti-kickback, self-referral, false claims and fraud laws, and any violations by us of such laws could result in fines or other penalties.

While we do not expect that RT001, if approved for the treatment of crow's feet lines, will subject us to the various U.S. federal and state laws intended to prevent health care fraud and abuse, we may in the future become subject to such laws. The federal anti-kickback statute prohibits the offer, receipt, or payment of remuneration in exchange for or to induce the referral of patients or the use of products or services that would be paid for in whole or part by Medicare, Medicaid or other federal health care programs. Remuneration has been broadly defined to include anything of value, including cash, improper discounts, and free or reduced price items and services. Many states have similar laws that apply to their state health care programs as well as private payors. Violations of the anti-kickback laws can result in exclusion from federal health care programs and substantial civil and criminal penalties.

The federal False Claims Act, or FCA, imposes liability on persons who, among other things, present or cause to be presented false or fraudulent claims for payment by a federal health care program. The FCA has been used to prosecute persons submitting claims for payment that are inaccurate or fraudulent, that are for services not provided as claimed, or for services that are not medically necessary. The FCA includes a whistleblower provision that allows individuals to bring actions on behalf of the federal government and share a portion of the recovery of successful claims. If our marketing or other arrangements were determined to violate anti-kickback or related laws, including the FCA, then our revenues could be adversely affected, which would likely harm our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

State and federal authorities have aggressively targeted medical technology companies for alleged violations of these anti-fraud statutes, based on improper research or consulting contracts with doctors, certain marketing arrangements that rely on volume-based pricing, off-label marketing schemes, and other improper promotional practices. Companies targeted in such prosecutions have paid substantial fines in the hundreds of millions of dollars or more, have been forced to implement extensive corrective action plans, and have often become subject to consent decrees severely restricting the manner in which they conduct their business. If we become the target of such an investigation or prosecution based on our contractual relationships with providers or institutions, or our marketing and promotional practices, we could face similar sanctions, which would materially harm our business.

Table of Contents

Also, the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and similar worldwide anti-bribery laws generally prohibit companies and their intermediaries from making improper payments to non-U.S. officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. We cannot assure you that our internal control policies and procedures will protect us from reckless or negligent acts committed by our employees, future distributors, partners, collaborators or agents. Violations of these laws, or allegations of such violations, could result in fines, penalties or prosecution and have a negative impact on our business, results of operations and reputation.

Legislative or regulatory healthcare reforms in the United States may make it more difficult and costly for us to obtain regulatory clearance or approval of RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates and to produce, market, and distribute our products after clearance or approval is obtained.

From time to time, legislation is drafted and introduced in Congress that could significantly change the statutory provisions governing the regulatory clearance or approval, manufacture, and marketing of regulated products or the reimbursement thereof. In addition, FDA regulations and guidance are often revised or reinterpreted by the FDA in ways that may significantly affect our business and our products. Any new regulations or revisions or reinterpretations of existing regulations may impose additional costs or lengthen review times of RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates. We cannot determine what effect changes in regulations, statutes, legal interpretation or policies, when and if promulgated, enacted or adopted may have on our business in the future. Such changes could, among other things, require:

changes to manufacturing methods;

recall, replacement, or discontinuance of one or more of our products; and

additional recordkeeping.

Each of these would likely entail substantial time and cost and could materially harm our business and our financial results. In addition, delays in receipt of or failure to receive regulatory clearances or approvals for any future products would harm our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Risks Related to this Offering and Our Common Stock

An active trading market for our common stock may not develop.

Prior to this offering, there has been no public market for our common stock. The initial public offering price for our common stock was determined through negotiations with the underwriters and may bear no relationship to the price at which the common stock will trade upon the closing of this offering. Although our common stock has been approved for listing on The NASDAQ Global Market, an active trading market for our shares may never develop or be sustained following this offering. If an active market for our common stock does not develop, it may be difficult for you to sell the shares you purchase in this offering without depressing the market price for the common stock or to sell your shares at all.

The trading price of our common stock is likely to be volatile, and purchasers of our common stock could incur substantial losses.

Our stock price is likely to be volatile. The stock market in general and the market for pharmaceutical companies in particular have experienced extreme volatility that has often been unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. As a result of this volatility, investors may not be able to sell their common stock at or above the initial public offering price. The market price for our common stock may be influenced by many factors, including:

regulatory or legal developments in the United States and foreign countries;

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

results from or delays in clinical trials of our product candidates, including our Phase 3 clinical program for RT001 and our Phase 2 clinical program for RT002;

announcements of regulatory approval or disapproval of RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates;

Table of Contents

FDA or other U.S. or foreign regulatory actions affecting us or our industry;

introductions and announcements of new products by us, any commercialization partners or our competitors, and the timing of these introductions and announcements;

variations in our financial results or those of companies that are perceived to be similar to us;

changes in the structure of healthcare payment systems;

announcements by us or our competitors of significant acquisitions, licenses, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments;

market conditions in the pharmaceutical and biopharmaceutical sectors and issuance of securities analysts' reports or recommendations;

quarterly variations in our results of operations or those of our future competitors;

changes in financial estimates or guidance, including our ability to meet our future revenue and operating profit or loss estimates or guidance;

sales of substantial amounts of our stock by insiders and large stockholders, or the expectation that such sales might occur;

general economic, industry and market conditions;

additions or departures of key personnel;

intellectual property, product liability or other litigation against us;

expiration or termination of our potential relationships with customers and strategic partners; and

the other factors described in this Risk Factors section.

In addition, in the past, stockholders have initiated class action lawsuits against pharmaceutical companies following periods of volatility in the market prices of these companies' stock. Such litigation, if instituted against us, could cause us to incur substantial costs and divert management's attention and resources.

If securities or industry analysts do not publish research or publish unfavorable research about our business, our stock price and trading volume could decline.

Equity research analysts do not currently provide research coverage of our common stock, and we cannot assure you that any equity research analysts will provide research coverage of our common stock after the closing of this offering. In particular, as a smaller company, it may be

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

difficult for us to attract the interest of equity research analysts. A lack of research coverage may adversely affect the liquidity of and market price of our common stock. To the extent we obtain equity research analyst coverage, we will not have any control of the analysts or the content and opinions included in their reports. The price of our stock could decline if one or more equity research analysts downgrade our stock or issue other unfavorable commentary or research. If one or more equity research analysts ceases coverage of our company, or fails to publish reports on us regularly, demand for our stock could decrease, which in turn could cause our stock price or trading volume to decline.

Sales of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public markets, or the perception that such sales might occur, could cause the market price of our common stock to drop significantly, even if our business is doing well.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market could occur at any time. If our stockholders sell, or the market perceives that our stockholders intend to sell, substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market following this offering, the market price of our common stock could decline significantly.

Substantially all of our existing stockholders are subject to lock-up agreements with the underwriters of this offering that restrict the stockholders' ability to transfer shares of our common stock for at least 180 days from the date of this prospectus. The lock-up agreements limit the number of shares of common stock that may be sold immediately following the public offering. Subject to certain limitations, approximately 11,744,416 shares will become eligible for sale upon expiration of the lock-up period, as calculated and described in more detail in the

Table of Contents

section entitled "Shares Eligible for Future Sale". In addition, shares issued or issuable upon exercise of options and warrants vested as of the expiration of the lock-up period will be eligible for sale at that time. Sales of stock by these stockholders could have a material adverse effect on the trading price of our common stock.

Following the closing of this offering, certain holders of approximately 10,077,900 shares of our common stock, including shares issuable upon the exercise of outstanding warrants, are entitled to certain rights with respect to the registration of their shares under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, subject to the 180-day lock-up arrangement described above. Registration of these shares under the Securities Act would result in the shares becoming freely tradable without restriction under the Securities Act, except for shares held by our affiliates as defined in Rule 144 under the Securities Act. Any sales of securities by these stockholders could have a material adverse effect on the trading price of our common stock.

Provisions in our corporate charter documents and under Delaware law could discourage takeover attempts and lead to management entrenchment, and the market price of our common stock may be lower as a result.

Certain provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws as they will be in effect upon the closing of this offering may make it difficult for a third party to acquire, or attempt to acquire, control of our company, even if a change in control was considered favorable by you and other stockholders. For example, our board of directors will have the authority to issue up to 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock. Our board of directors can fix the price, rights, preferences, privileges, and restrictions of the preferred stock without any further vote or action by our stockholders. The issuance of shares of preferred stock may delay or prevent a change in control transaction. As a result, the market price of our common stock and the voting and other rights of our stockholders may be adversely affected. An issuance of shares of preferred stock may result in the loss of voting control to other stockholders.

Our charter documents will also contain other provisions that could have an anti-takeover effect, including:

only one of our three classes of directors will be elected each year;

no cumulative voting in the election of directors;

the ability of our board of directors to issue shares of preferred stock and determine the price and other terms of those shares, including preferences and voting rights, without stockholder approval;

the exclusive right of our board of directors to elect a director to fill a vacancy or newly created directorship;

stockholders will not be permitted to take actions by written consent;

stockholders cannot call a special meeting of stockholders;

stockholders must give advance notice to nominate directors or submit proposals for consideration at stockholder meetings;

the ability of our board of directors, by a majority vote, to amend the bylaws; and

the requirement for the affirmative vote of at least 66²/₃% or more of the outstanding common stock to amend many of the provisions described above.

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

In addition, we are subject to the anti-takeover provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which regulates corporate acquisitions. These provisions could discourage potential acquisition proposals and could delay or prevent a change in control transaction. They could also have the effect of discouraging others from making tender offers for our common stock, including transactions that may be in your best interests. These provisions may also prevent changes in our management or limit the price that certain investors are willing to pay for our stock.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation will also provide that the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware will be the exclusive forum for substantially all disputes between us and our stockholders.

Table of Contents

Concentration of ownership of our common stock among our existing principal stockholders after this offering may effectively limit the voting power of other stockholders, including purchasers in this offering.

Upon the closing of this offering, our executive officers, directors and current beneficial owners of 5% or more of our common stock will, in aggregate, beneficially own approximately 52% of our outstanding common stock. These stockholders, acting together, will continue to be able to significantly influence all matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election and removal of directors and any merger or other significant corporate transactions. The interests of this group of stockholders may not coincide with the interests of other stockholders.

We will have broad discretion in the use of proceeds from this offering and may invest or spend the proceeds in ways with which you do not agree and in ways that may not yield a return.

We will have broad discretion over the use of proceeds from this offering. You may not agree with our decisions, and our use of the proceeds may not yield any return on your investment in us. Our failure to apply the net proceeds of this offering effectively could result in financial losses that could materially impair our ability to pursue our growth strategy, cause the price of our common stock to decline, delay development of our product candidates or require us to raise additional capital.

Claims for indemnification by our directors and officers may reduce our available funds to satisfy successful third party claims against us and may reduce the amount of money available to us.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws as they will be in effect following this offering provide that we will indemnify our directors and officers, in each case to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law.

In addition, as permitted by Section 145 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, our amended and restated bylaws as they will be in effect following this offering and our indemnification agreements that we have entered into with our directors and officers provide that:

We will indemnify our directors and officers for serving us in those capacities, or for serving other business enterprises at our request, to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law. Delaware law provides that a corporation may indemnify such person if such person acted in good faith and in a manner such person reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the registrant and, with respect to any criminal proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe such person's conduct was unlawful.

We may, in our discretion, indemnify employees and agents in those circumstances where indemnification is permitted by applicable law.

We are required to advance expenses, as incurred, to our directors and officers in connection with defending a proceeding, except that such directors or officers shall undertake to repay such advances if it is ultimately determined that such person is not entitled to indemnification.

We will not be obligated pursuant to our amended and restated bylaws to indemnify a person with respect to proceedings initiated by that person against us or our other indemnitees, except with respect to proceedings authorized by our board of directors or brought to enforce a right to indemnification.

The rights conferred in our amended and restated bylaws are not exclusive, and we are authorized to enter into indemnification agreements with our directors, officers, employees and agents and to obtain insurance to indemnify such persons.

We may not retroactively amend our amended and restated bylaw provisions to reduce our indemnification obligations to directors, officers, employees and agents.

Because we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future, capital appreciation, if any, will be your sole source of gains.

We have not declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock to date. We currently intend to retain our future earnings, if any, to fund the development and growth of our business. In addition, the terms of any existing

Table of Contents

or future debt agreements may preclude us from paying dividends. As a result, capital appreciation, if any, of our common stock will be your sole source of gain for the foreseeable future.

We will incur costs and demands upon management as a result of complying with the laws and regulations affecting public companies in the United States, which may adversely affect our operating results.

As a public company listed in the United States, we will incur significant additional legal, accounting and other expenses. In addition, changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including regulations implemented by the SEC and the NASDAQ Stock Market, may increase legal and financial compliance costs and make some activities more time consuming. These laws, regulations and standards are subject to varying interpretations and, as a result, their application in practice may evolve over time as new guidance is provided by regulatory and governing bodies. We intend to invest resources to comply with evolving laws, regulations and standards, and this investment may result in increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management's time and attention from revenue-generating activities to compliance activities. If notwithstanding our efforts to comply with new laws, regulations and standards, we fail to comply, regulatory authorities may initiate legal proceedings against us and our business may be harmed.

Failure to comply with these rules might also make it more difficult for us to obtain certain types of insurance, including director and officer liability insurance, and we might be forced to accept reduced policy limits and coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain the same or similar coverage. The impact of these events could also make it more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified persons to serve on our board of directors, on committees of our board of directors or as members of senior management.

We are an emerging growth company, and if we decide to comply only with reduced disclosure requirements applicable to emerging growth companies, our common stock could be less attractive to investors.

We are an emerging growth company, as defined in the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act, or JOBS Act, enacted in April 2012, and, for as long as we continue to be an emerging growth company, we may choose to take advantage of exemptions from various reporting requirements applicable to other public companies but not to emerging growth companies, including not being required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation in our periodic reports and proxy statements and exemptions from the requirements of holding a nonbinding advisory vote on executive compensation and shareholder approval of any golden parachute payments not previously approved. We will remain an emerging growth company until the earlier of (1) the last day of the fiscal year (a) following the fifth anniversary of the closing of this offering, (b) in which we have total annual gross revenues of over \$1.0 billion or (c) in which we are deemed to be a large accelerated filer, which means the market value of our common stock held by non-affiliates exceeds \$700 million as of the prior June 30th, and (2) the date on which we have issued more than \$1.0 billion in non-convertible debt during the prior three-year period. We cannot predict if investors will find our common stock less attractive if we choose to rely on these exemptions. If some investors find our common stock less attractive as a result of any choices to reduce future disclosure, there may be a less active trading market for our common stock and our stock price may be more volatile.

Under the JOBS Act, emerging growth companies that become public can delay adopting new or revised accounting standards until such time as those standards apply to private companies. We have irrevocably elected not to avail ourselves of this exemption from new or revised accounting standards following the closing of this offering and, therefore, we will be subject to the same new or revised accounting standards as other public companies that are not emerging growth companies.

Table of Contents

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus, particularly in the sections titled Prospectus Summary, Risk Factors, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Business, contains forward-looking statements that involve substantial risks and uncertainties. All statements other than statements of historical facts contained in this prospectus, including statements regarding our future financial condition, business strategy and plans and objectives of management for future operations, are forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as believe, will, may, estimate, continue, anticipate, intend, should, plan, expect, potentially or the negative of these terms or other similar expressions. We have based these forward-looking statements largely on our current expectations and projections about future events and financial trends that we believe may affect our financial condition, results of operations, business strategy and financial needs. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements concerning the following:

our expectations regarding the results and the timing of results in our Phase 3 clinical trials of RT001 for the treatment of crow's feet lines;

our expectations regarding the results and the timing of clinical trials of RT001 for the treatment of hyperhidrosis or other indications;

our expectations regarding the results and the timing of clinical trials of RT002 for the treatment of glabellar lines;

our expectations regarding our future development of RT001 for other indications, including therapeutic indications;

our expectation regarding the timing of our regulatory submissions for approval of RT001 for the treatment of crow's feet lines in the United States, Europe and other countries or for treatment of hyperhidrosis in the United States;

the potential for commercialization of RT001 and RT002, if approved, by us;

our expectations regarding the potential market size, opportunity and growth potential for RT001 and RT002, if approved for commercial use;

our belief that RT001 and RT002 can expand the overall botulinum toxin market;

our ability to build our own sales and marketing capabilities, or seek collaborative partners, to commercialize our product candidates, if approved;

our ability to scale up our manufacturing capabilities if our product candidates are approved;

estimates of our expenses, future revenue, capital requirements and our needs for additional financing;

the timing or likelihood of regulatory filings and approvals;

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

our ability to advance product candidates into, and successfully complete, clinical trials;

the implementation of our business model, strategic plans for our business, product candidates and technology;

the initiation, timing, progress and results of future preclinical studies and clinical trials, and our research and development programs;

the scope of protection we are able to establish and maintain for intellectual property rights covering our product candidates and technology;

our ability to establish collaborations or obtain additional funding;

our expectations regarding the time during which we will be an emerging growth company under the JOBS Act;

Table of Contents

our use of proceeds from this offering;

our financial performance; and

developments and projections relating to our competitors and our industry.

These forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and assumptions described under the section titled "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this prospectus. We also operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risks emerge from time to time and it is not possible for our management to predict all risks, nor can we assess the impact of all factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in, or implied by, any forward-looking statements. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking events and circumstances described in this prospectus may not occur and actual results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated or implied in the forward-looking statements contained in this prospectus.

You should not rely upon forward-looking statements as predictions of future events. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee that the future results, levels of activity, performance, events, circumstances or achievements reflected in the forward-looking statements will ever be achieved or occur. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements for any reason after the date of this prospectus to conform these statements to actual results or to changes in our expectations.

You should read this prospectus and the documents that we reference in this prospectus and have filed with the SEC as exhibits to the registration statement on Form S-1, of which this prospectus is a part, with the understanding that our actual future results, levels of activity, performance and achievements may be materially different from what we expect. We qualify all of our forward-looking statements by these cautionary statements.

Table of Contents

USE OF PROCEEDS

We estimate that the net proceeds from the sale of shares of our common stock in this offering will be \$85.3 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us. If the underwriters' over-allotment option to purchase additional shares in this offering is exercised in full, we estimate that our net proceeds would be \$98.7 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us.

We currently expect to use the net proceeds from the offering as follows:

Approximately \$18 million to \$23 million to fund research and development expenses associated with our RT001 and RT002 manufacturing, quality and regulatory efforts.

Approximately \$10 million to \$15 million to complete one Phase 3 clinical pivotal trial in the United States, to continue a long term safety clinical trial and other associated programs relating to RT001 for the treatment of crow's feet lines, and to initiate our first Phase 2 clinical trial and associated programs relating to RT002 for the treatment of glabellar lines.

Approximately \$11 million to make payments through 2014 under our September 2011 term loan agreement with Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc., which bears interest at a rate equal to the greater of 9.85% or the prime rate plus 6.60%, and requires the principal balance to be repaid in thirty-three equal monthly installments beginning in July 2012.

Approximately \$7 million to make payments under our settlement agreement with Medicis Pharmaceutical Corporation (acquired by Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, Inc.).

We will use the balance of the proceeds, if any, for the development of RT001 for the treatment of hyperhidrosis and other indications, as well as for working capital and other general corporate purposes.

This expected use of the net proceeds from the offering represents our intentions based upon our current plans and business conditions. As of the date of this prospectus, we cannot predict with any certainty all of the particular uses for the net proceeds or the amounts that we will actually spend on the uses set forth above. The amounts and timing of our actual expenditures and the extent of clinical development may vary significantly depending on numerous factors, including the status, results and timing of our current preclinical studies and ongoing clinical trials or clinical trials we may commence in the future, product approval process with the FDA, as well as any collaborations that we may enter into with third parties and any unforeseen cash needs. As a result, our management will retain broad discretion over the allocation of the net proceeds from this offering.

Pending their use as described above, we plan to invest the net proceeds in short-term, interest-bearing obligations, investment-grade instruments, certificates of deposit or guaranteed obligations of the U.S. government.

DIVIDEND POLICY

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our capital stock. We currently intend to retain any future earnings and do not expect to pay any cash dividends on our common stock for the foreseeable future. Any determination to pay dividends in the future will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will be dependent on a number of factors, including our earnings, capital requirements, overall financial conditions, business prospects, contractual restrictions and other factors our board of directors may deem relevant. Our loan and security agreement with Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. prohibits the payment of dividends.

Table of Contents

CAPITALIZATION

The following table sets forth our cash and cash equivalents and capitalization as of September 30, 2013 on:

an actual basis;

a pro forma basis to give effect to (i) the conversion of all outstanding shares of our convertible preferred stock into common stock immediately upon the closing of this offering, (ii) the resulting reclassification of the convertible preferred stock warrant liability to additional paid-in capital, (iii) the filing and effectiveness of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation immediately prior to the closing of this offering, (iv) the issuance and automatic conversion of the principal and accrued interest through October 7, 2014 under the 2013 notes into 1,637,846 shares of common stock immediately prior to the closing of this offering, including charges to retained earnings to reflect the accelerated amortization of debt discounts, issuance costs and accelerated unaccrued interest to interest expense, as well as changes in fair value of the related warrant and embedded derivative liabilities, and (v) the issuance and automatic exercise of the 2013 warrants and the automatic exercise of the other outstanding common stock warrants into 1,189,212 shares of common stock upon the closing of this offering, but does not give effect to transactions under the Essex Capital Facility; and

a pro forma as adjusted basis to give further effect to the sale of 6,000,000 shares of our common stock offered by us in this offering at the initial public offering price of \$16.00 per share, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us.

Share amounts have been retroactively adjusted to give effect to a reverse stock split of 1-for-15 of our common stock and preferred stock effected on February 3, 2014.

Table of Contents

You should read this table together with our consolidated financial statements and related notes, Selected Consolidated Financial Data and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, each included elsewhere in this prospectus.

	As of September 30, 2013		
	Actual	Pro Forma (In thousands, except share and per share amounts) (Unaudited)	Pro Forma as Adjusted
Cash and cash equivalents(1)	\$ 1,909	\$ 25,450	\$ 110,730
Note payable, net of discounts and including current and non-current portion	\$ 12,951	\$ 12,951	\$ 12,951
Capital leases, including current and non-current portion	125	125	125
Convertible notes			
Convertible preferred stock warrant liability	1,459		
Convertible preferred stock, par value of \$0.001 per share: 145,010,269 shares authorized, 8,689,999 shares issued and outstanding, actual; no shares authorized and no shares issued or outstanding, pro forma and pro forma as adjusted	123,982		
Stockholders' deficit:			
Preferred stock, par value of \$0.001 per share; no shares authorized issued or outstanding, actual; 5,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding, pro forma and pro forma as adjusted			
Common stock, par value of \$0.001 per share: 221,000,000 shares authorized; 227,359 shares issued and outstanding, actual; 95,000,000 shares authorized, 11,744,416 shares issued and outstanding, pro forma; 95,000,000 shares authorized, 17,744,416 shares issued and outstanding, pro forma as adjusted		12	18
Additional paid-in capital	38,118	196,235	281,509
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(185,801)	(194,948)	(194,948)
Total stockholders' deficit	(147,683)	1,299	86,579
Total capitalization	\$ (9,166)	\$ 14,375	\$ 99,655

(1) Excludes restricted cash of \$585,000. As of January 22, 2014, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$5.2 million.

The number of shares of common stock issued and outstanding actual, pro forma and pro forma as adjusted in the table above excludes the following shares as of September 30, 2013:

1,045,188 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of options to purchase our common stock outstanding under our 2002 Equity Incentive Plan and 2012 Equity Incentive Plan, with a weighted-average exercise price of \$7.37 per share (excluding an additional 233,876 shares issuable upon the exercise of options to purchase our common stock at the weighted-average exercise price of \$9.50 per share and 1,111 shares of common stock issued outside of our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan, all granted after September 2013);

172,141 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding convertible preferred stock warrants at a weighted-average exercise price of \$20.19 per share;

Table of Contents

24,690 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of the outstanding Essex warrants that were issued after September 30, 2013 and 44,753 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of the Essex warrants that we expect to issue after the closing of this offering;

373,100 shares of our common stock reserved for future issuance under our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan (including an additional 233,876 shares issuable upon the exercise of options to purchase our common stock granted after September 2013);

1,000,000 shares of our common stock (which will include the shares then reserved for future issuance under our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan at the time of the execution and delivery of the underwriting agreement for this offering) reserved for future issuance under our 2014 Equity Incentive Plan, plus annual increases thereunder, which will become effective prior to the closing of this offering as more fully described in Executive Compensation Employee Benefit Plans ; and

200,000 shares of our common stock reserved for future issuance under our 2014 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, plus annual increases thereunder, which will become effective prior to the closing of this offering as more fully described in Executive Compensation Employee Benefit Plans .

The number of shares of common stock issued and outstanding actual in the table above as of September 30, 2013 excludes 790,855 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding common stock warrants at a weighted-average exercise price of \$0.15 per share.

Table of Contents**DILUTION**

If you invest in our common stock in this offering, your interest will be diluted immediately to the extent of the difference between the initial public offering price per share of our common stock and the pro forma as adjusted net tangible book value per share of our common stock immediately after this offering. Our pro forma negative net tangible book value as of September 30, 2013 was \$0.8 million, or \$0.07 per share. Pro forma net tangible book value per share represents the amount of our total tangible assets less our total liabilities, divided by the number of shares of common stock outstanding as of September 30, 2013, after giving effect to (i) the conversion of all outstanding shares of our convertible preferred stock into shares of our common stock immediately upon the closing of this offering, (ii) the resulting reclassification of the convertible preferred stock warrant liability to additional paid-in capital, (iii) the filing and effectiveness of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation immediately prior to the closing of this offering, (iv) the issuance and automatic conversion of the principal and accrued interest through October 7, 2014 under the 2013 notes into 1,637,846 shares of common stock immediately prior to the closing of this offering, including charges to retained earnings to reflect the accelerated amortization of debt discounts, issuance costs and accelerated unaccrued interest to interest expense, as well as changes in fair value of the related warrant and embedded derivative liabilities, and (v) the issuance and automatic exercise of the 2013 warrants and the automatic exercise of the other outstanding common stock warrants into 1,189,212 shares of common stock upon the closing of this offering, but does not give effect to transactions under the Essex Capital Facility.

After giving further effect to the sale by us of 6,000,000 shares of common stock in this offering at the initial public offering price of \$16.00 per share, and after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us, our pro forma as adjusted net tangible book value as of September 30, 2013 would have been \$84.5 million, or \$4.76 per share. This amount represents an immediate increase in pro forma net tangible book value of \$4.83 per share to our existing stockholders and an immediate dilution in pro forma net tangible book value of \$11.24 per share to new investors purchasing shares of common stock in this offering at the initial public offering price.

The following table illustrates this dilution:

Initial public offering price per share	\$ 16.00
Pro forma net tangible book value per share as of September 30, 2013	\$ (0.07)
Increase in pro forma net tangible book value per share attributable to new investors in this offering	4.83
Pro forma as adjusted net tangible book value per share after this offering	4.76
Dilution in pro forma net tangible book value per share to investors in this offering	\$ 11.24

In addition, to the extent any outstanding options or warrants are exercised, new investors will experience further dilution.

Table of Contents

The following table presents, as of September 30, 2013, on a pro forma as adjusted basis, as described above, the number of shares of common stock purchased from us, the total consideration and the average price per share (i) paid to us by our existing stockholders and (ii) to be paid by new investors purchasing shares of our common stock in this offering at the initial public offering price of \$16.00 per share, before deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us.

	Shares Purchased		Total Consideration		Average Price per Share
	Number	Percent	Amount (in thousands)	Percent	
Existing stockholders	11,744,416	66.2%	\$ 230,213	70.6%	\$ 19.60
New investors	6,000,000	33.8	96,000	29.4	16.00
Totals	17,744,416	100.0%	\$ 326,213	100.0%	

Assuming the underwriters' over-allotment option to purchase additional shares is exercised in full, sales by us in this offering will reduce the percentage of shares held by existing stockholders to 63.0% and will increase the number of shares held by our new investors to 6,900,000, or 37.0% of the total number of shares of our common stock to be outstanding after this offering.

The number of shares of our common stock to be outstanding after this offering is based upon the number of shares of our common stock outstanding as of September 30, 2013 and excludes the following shares:

1,045,188 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of options to purchase our common stock outstanding under our 2002 Equity Incentive Plan and 2012 Equity Incentive Plan, with a weighted-average exercise price of \$7.37 per share (excluding an additional 233,876 shares issuable upon the exercise of options to purchase our common stock at the weighted-average exercise price of \$9.50 per share and 1,111 shares of common stock issued outside of our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan, all granted after September 2013);

172,141 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding convertible preferred stock warrants at a weighted-average exercise price of \$20.19 per share;

24,690 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of the outstanding Essex warrants that were issued after September 30, 2013 and 44,753 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of the Essex warrants that we expect to issue after the closing of this offering;

373,100 shares of our common stock reserved for future issuance under our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan (including an additional 233,876 shares issuable upon the exercise of options to purchase our common stock granted after September 2013);

1,000,000 shares of our common stock (which will include the shares then reserved for future issuance under our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan at the time of the execution and delivery of the underwriting agreement for this offering) reserved for future issuance under our 2014 Equity Incentive Plan, plus annual increases thereunder, which will become effective prior to the closing of this offering as more fully described in Executive Compensation Employee Benefit Plans ; and

200,000 shares of our common stock reserved for future issuance under our 2014 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, plus annual increases thereunder, which will become effective prior to the closing of this offering as more fully described in Executive Compensation Employee Benefit Plans .

Table of Contents**SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA**

We derived the selected consolidated statements of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 and the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2011 and 2012 from our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. We derived the selected consolidated statements of operations data for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013 and the balance sheet data as of September 30, 2013 from our unaudited interim consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. The unaudited interim consolidated financial statements reflect, in the opinion of management, all adjustments of a normal, recurring nature that are necessary for the fair presentation of the financial statements. Our historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in the future and the results for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the full year or any other period. You should read the following selected consolidated financial data in conjunction with the section entitled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our consolidated financial statements, related notes and other financial information included elsewhere in this prospectus. The selected financial data in this section is not intended to replace the consolidated financial statements and is qualified in its entirety by the consolidated financial statements, related notes and other financial information included elsewhere in this prospectus.

	Year Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2012	2012	2013
	(Unaudited)			
	(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)			
Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:				
Revenue	\$ 557	\$ 717	\$ 600	\$ 308
Cost of revenue	5			
Gross profit	552	717	600	308
Operating expenses:				
Research and development(1)	22,735	32,708	15,829	21,592
Sales, general and administrative(1)	5,555	11,195	9,581	8,008
Total operating expenses	28,290	43,903	25,410	29,600
Loss from operations	(27,738)	(43,186)	(24,810)	(29,292)
Interest income	15	7	8	2
Interest expense	(17,790)	(28,959)	(19,250)	(13,466)
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities associated with convertible notes	(356)	13,860	(3,338)	1,800
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement				(265)
Change in fair value of convertible preferred stock warrant liability	836	125	117	(1,108)
Other income (expense), net	170	(106)	(85)	(40)
Loss before income taxes	(44,863)	(58,259)	(47,358)	(42,369)
Benefit from income taxes				
Net loss	\$ (44,863)	\$ (58,259)	\$ (47,358)	\$ (42,369)

Table of Contents

	Year Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended	
	2011	2012	2012	September 30, 2013
	(Unaudited)			
	(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)			
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders(2):				
Basic	\$ (44,863)	\$ (58,259)	\$ (47,358)	\$ 733
Diluted	\$ (44,863)	\$ (58,259)	\$ (47,358)	\$ 2,966
Net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders(2):				
Basic	\$ (226.06)	\$ (290.48)	\$ (237.12)	\$ 3.40
Diluted	\$ (226.06)	\$ (290.48)	\$ (237.12)	\$ 3.05
Weighted-average number of shares used in computing net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders(2):				
Basic	198,456	200,560	199,719	215,315
Diluted	198,456	200,560	199,719	971,472
Pro forma net loss per share attributable to common stockholders, basic and diluted (unaudited)(2)				
		\$ (27.20)		\$ (5.91)
Weighted-average number of shares used in computing pro forma net loss per share attributable to common stockholders, basic and diluted (unaudited)(2)				
		2,146,617		7,176,794

(1) Results above include stock-based compensation as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2012	2012	2013
	(Unaudited)			
	(In thousands)			
Stock-Based Compensation:				
Research and development	\$ 150	\$ 48	\$ 27	\$ 138
Sales, general and administrative	123	31	39	208
Total stock-based compensation	\$ 273	\$ 79	\$ 66	\$ 346

(2) Pro forma basic and diluted net loss per share has been calculated assuming the conversion of all outstanding shares of convertible preferred stock into shares of common stock. Please see Note 16 of our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus for an explanation of the calculations of our actual basic and diluted net income (loss) per share and our pro forma unaudited basic and diluted net loss per share.

Table of Contents

	As of December 31,		As of September 30,
	2011	2012	2013
	(In thousands)		
Balance Sheet Data:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 29,621	\$ 4,083	\$ 1,909
Restricted cash	735	660	585
Working capital (deficit)	21,264	(112,530)	(28,645)
Total assets	39,928	13,423	18,920
Convertible notes payable current and non-current(3)	45,062	86,985	
Note payable, net current and non-current	21,887	18,519	12,951
Deferred revenue, net current and non-current	10,500		
Derivative liabilities associated with convertible notes current and non-current(3)	13,405	1,800	
Derivative liabilities associated with Medicis settlement current and non-current		15,268	8,606
Convertible preferred stock warrant liability	476	351	1,459
Convertible preferred stock	95,433	95,433	123,982
Total stockholders deficit	(155,482)	(216,727)	(147,683)

- (3) The convertible notes converted into an aggregate of 4,748,468 shares of Series E-4 convertible preferred stock in March 2013. As a result, the liability on the consolidated balance sheets for the convertible notes and the derivative liabilities associated with these convertible notes are no longer outstanding following the conversion.

Table of Contents

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our Selected Consolidated Financial Data and our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to those differences include, but are not limited to, those identified below and those discussed above in the section entitled Risk Factors.

Overview

We are a clinical stage specialty biopharmaceutical company focused on the development, manufacturing and commercialization of novel botulinum toxin products for multiple aesthetic and therapeutic applications. Botulinum toxin is a well-characterized protein currently used in numerous aesthetic and therapeutic indications and represents a multi-billion dollar market in the United States and other countries. All currently approved and commercially available botulinum toxin products are administered by injection. Our lead product candidate, RT001, is a topical formulation of botulinum toxin type A, which we believe has significant advantages over existing injectable products and could significantly expand the botulinum toxin market beyond existing users. Our second product candidate, RT002, is a novel injectable formulation of botulinum toxin type A designed to be more targeted and longer lasting than currently available botulinum toxin injectable products. Both of our product candidates combine our purified botulinum toxin with our proprietary TransMTS[®] peptide delivery system.

We are evaluating RT001 in a broad clinical program that includes aesthetic indications such as lateral canthal lines, the wrinkles around the eyes which are commonly referred to as crow's feet lines, and therapeutic indications such as hyperhidrosis, or excessive sweating, migraine headache and allergic rhinitis, or inflammation of the mucous membrane inside the nose.

We are in a Phase 3 clinical development program of RT001 in North America for the treatment of crow's feet lines, and we plan to initiate an additional Phase 3 clinical trial in Europe by early 2015. We expect to receive primary efficacy data from a pivotal Phase 3 clinical trial of RT001 in mid-2014 and duration data in the second half of 2014. To date, we have conducted thirteen clinical trials for RT001, with a total of over 1,400 subjects for the treatment of crow's feet lines. In these Phase 2 clinical trials, RT001 has demonstrated a statistically significant and clinically meaningful reduction in crow's feet lines. These and other studies have also indicated that RT001 is well tolerated with no serious adverse events related to study drug or study treatment procedures or other safety concerns. RT001 is our lead product candidate in clinical development and we are substantially dependent on its regulatory approval and successful commercialization.

Since commencing operations in 2002, we have devoted substantially all our efforts identifying and developing product candidates for the aesthetic and therapeutic markets, recruiting personnel and raising capital. We have devoted predominantly all of our resources to the preclinical and clinical development of, and manufacturing capabilities for, RT001 and RT002. We have retained all rights to develop and commercialize RT001 and RT002 worldwide. We have not filed for approval with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, for the commercialization of RT001 and we have not generated any revenue from product sales for RT001. Through December 31, 2012, we have funded substantially all of our operations through the sale and issuance of our preferred stock, venture debt and convertible debt. In the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we raised proceeds in the aggregate amount of \$40.8 million through the sale of shares of our Series E convertible preferred stock. We also raised \$23.65 million through the issuance of convertible notes and common stock warrants in the fourth quarter of 2013 and in January 2014.

We have never been profitable and, as of September 30, 2013, had an accumulated deficit of \$185.8 million. We incurred net losses of \$44.9 million, \$58.3 million and \$42.4 million in the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. As of January 22, 2014, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$5.2 million. We expect to continue to incur net operating losses for at least the next

Table of Contents

several years as we advance RT001 and RT002 through clinical development, seek regulatory approval, prepare for and, if approved, proceed to commercialization. We have the ability to manufacture our own botulinum toxin type A product to support our clinical trials and eventually a substantial portion of our commercial production. Additionally, we currently utilize third-party clinical research organizations, or CROs, to carry out our clinical development and we do not yet have a sales organization. We will need substantial additional funding to support our operating activities, especially as we approach anticipated regulatory approval in the United States and other territories and begin to establish our sales capabilities. Adequate funding may not be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all. Our failure to obtain sufficient funds on acceptable terms when needed could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

Medicis Settlement

In October 2012, we entered into a settlement and termination agreement with Medicis Pharmaceutical Corporation, or Medicis, through which we reacquired from Medicis rights in all territories for RT001 and RT002. The agreement terminated our license agreement with Medicis and requires that we make payments to them of up to \$25.0 million, comprised of (i) an upfront payment of \$7.0 million, which we made in November 2012, (ii) payments of \$14.0 million from a portion of specified types of cash proceeds received by us, an aggregate of \$6.9 million of which we paid in April and May 2013, and (iii) a payment of \$4.0 million upon the achievement of specified regulatory milestones. The Medicis settlement also impacted our deferred revenue, research and development expenses, our stockholders' deficit and liabilities due to derivatives derived from the settlement payments, which are discussed below and in Note 4 of our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Series E Convertible Preferred Stock Financing

We raised \$40.8 million through the issuance of 1,818,390 shares of our Series E-5 convertible preferred stock at \$22.425 per share and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 545,492 shares of our common stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. In addition, we issued 4,748,468 shares of Series E-4 convertible preferred stock upon the conversion of the outstanding principal and accrued interest of our outstanding convertible notes in the amount of \$71.0 million. Also in conjunction with the Series E preferred stock financing during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, our prior outstanding shares of convertible preferred stock converted into new shares of Series E convertible preferred stock as follows: (i) conversion of our Series A and B convertible preferred stock into our Series E-1 convertible preferred stock, (ii) conversion of our Series C convertible preferred stock into our Series E-2 convertible preferred stock and (iii) conversion of our Series D convertible preferred stock into our Series E-3 convertible preferred stock. As a result of the extinguishment of the convertible notes prior to maturity and the related conversion into shares of Series E-4 convertible preferred stock, we recognized a capital contribution of \$32.0 million to additional paid-in capital during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, as substantially all of the lenders were stockholders at the time of the extinguishment. As a result of the extinguishment of the prior outstanding shares of convertible preferred stock and the related conversion into new shares of Series E convertible preferred stock, we recognized a capital contribution of \$74.9 million as a benefit to our net income per share attributable to common stockholders for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Essex Capital Facility

In December 2013, we entered into a loan and lease agreement, or the Essex Capital Facility, with Essex Capital Corporation, pursuant to which we anticipate borrowing up to \$10.8 million to finance the construction and installation of equipment for use in our manufacturing facility. We borrowed \$2.5 million under the Essex Capital Facility in December 2013 and borrowed an additional \$2.5 million in January 2014. In connection with each borrowing, we are required to issue warrants convertible into our Series E-5 convertible preferred stock if the borrowing occurs prior to the closing of our initial public offering or into our common stock if the borrowing occurs on or after the closing of our initial public offering. See [Liquidity and Capital Resources](#). Essex Capital Corporation currently holds shares of our stock.

Table of Contents

Financial Operations Overview

Revenue

During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013, we recognized revenue from license and royalty agreements and from the sale of products.

We recognized only a limited amount of product revenue during the year ended December 31, 2011 of which all was derived from the promotion and sale of Relastin, an over-the-counter skincare product that does not incorporate any of our technology related to RT001 or RT002. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we entered into an asset purchase agreement for the sale of the Relastin product line for \$50,000 and royalties on future sales of Relastin. As a result, our only product revenue during the years presented consisted of \$57,000 in the year ended December 31, 2011 from sales of Relastin. We did not have any product revenue during the year ended December 31, 2012. With the divestment of the Relastin product line, we are solely focused on the development of our RT001 and RT002 product candidates.

We recognized royalty revenue during the year ended December 31, 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013 related to the Relastin asset purchase and royalty agreement and we did not recognize any royalty revenue during the year ended December 31, 2011. The Relastin royalty agreement provides for minimum royalty payment of \$0.3 million per year, to be paid quarterly for up to 15 years from the execution date; however, the acquirer may terminate the royalty agreement with 90 days notice as of December 31, 2013 with the rights to the Relastin product line reverting back to us. We do not currently have any plans for the future of Relastin as our focus has been primarily on the development of RT001 and RT002.

Our license revenue has historically been derived through nonrefundable technology license fees for our RT001 and RT002 product candidates. During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2012, our license revenue was derived from an arrangement with Medicis whereby, prior to our settlement with them, we had granted them specified rights to RT002 in return for an upfront payment. The upfront payment was deferred and recognized over the estimated performance period; however, we did not recognize any license revenue from the agreement with Medicis during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as the prior license agreement was discontinued as part of the Medicis legal settlement in October 2012. In the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we recognized license revenue of \$0.1 million pursuant to an exclusive technology evaluation agreement in June 2013 whereby we received an upfront payment in the amount of \$0.3 million, which was initially recorded as deferred revenue and is being recognized over the estimated performance period.

Costs and Operating Expenses

Our cost and operating expenses consist of cost of revenue, research and development expenses and sales, general and administrative expenses. Our cost of revenue has not been significant to date. As for our operating expenses, the largest component is our personnel costs which consist primarily of wages, benefits and bonuses as well as the related stock-based compensation. We expect costs to continue to increase in absolute dollars as we hire new employees to continue to grow our business and we expect clinical trial and other expenses paid to third parties to increase as we complete development of RT001, RT002 or any other product candidates.

Research and Development Expenses

We recognize research and development expenses as they are incurred. Since our inception, we have focused on our clinical development programs and the related research and development. Our research and development expenses consist primarily of:

salaries and related expenses for personnel in research and development functions, including expenses related to stock-based compensation granted to such personnel;

expenses related to the completion of Phase 3 clinical trials for RT001 and Phase 1 and 2 trials for RT002, including expenses related to production of clinical supplies;

fees paid to clinical consultants, clinical trial sites and vendors, including CROs in conjunction with implementing and monitoring our preclinical and clinical trials and acquiring and evaluating preclinical

Table of Contents

and clinical trial data, including all related fees, such as for investigator grants, patient screening fees, laboratory work and statistical compilation and analysis;

the fair value of technology rights reacquired as part of our settlement with Medicis;

other consulting fees paid to third parties;

expenses related to production of clinical supplies, including fees paid to contract manufacturers;

expenses related to establishment of our own manufacturing facilities;

expenses related to license fees and milestone payments under in-licensing agreements;

expenses related to compliance with drug development regulatory requirements in the United States, the European Union and other foreign jurisdictions; and

depreciation and other allocated expenses.

We expense both internal and external research and development expenses as they are incurred. We have been developing RT001 and RT002 since 2002 and we typically use our employees, consultants and infrastructure resources across both programs.

For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013, costs associated with our manufacturing, quality and regulatory efforts for both RT001 and RT002 development have been our largest research and development related expenses, totaling \$21.9 million, or 96.2%, and \$30.3 million, or 92.6%, of research and development expenses in 2011 and 2012, respectively, and \$14.6 million, or 92.5%, and \$15.0 million, or 69.4%, of research and development expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013, respectively. These costs do not include clinical costs associated with the development of RT001 and RT002. We believe that the strict allocation of costs by product candidate would not be meaningful. As such, we generally do not track these costs by product candidate.

Clinical costs associated with the development of RT001 and RT002, including clinical trials of RT001 for the treatment of crow's feet lines and clinical trials of RT002 for the improvement of glabellar lines, totaled \$0.9 million, or 3.8%, and \$2.4 million, or 7.4%, of research and development expenses in 2011 and 2012, respectively, and \$1.2 million, or 7.5%, and \$6.6 million, or 30.6%, of research and development expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013, respectively. Clinical costs associated with the development of RT002 have been insignificant to date.

Our research and development expenditures are subject to numerous uncertainties primarily related to the timing and cost needed to complete our respective projects. Further, the development timelines, the probability of success and development expenses can differ materially from expectations and the completion of clinical trials may take several years or more depending on the type, complexity, novelty and intended use of a product candidate. Accordingly, the cost of clinical trials may vary significantly over the life of a project as a result of differences arising during clinical development. We expect our research and development expenses to increase as we continue our Phase 3 clinical development of RT001 for the treatment of crow's feet lines or if the FDA requires us to do additional clinical trials for its approval and as we enter into clinical trials for RT001 for hyperhidrosis and other indications and for RT002.

Sales, General and Administrative Expenses

Sales, general and administrative expenses consist primarily of personnel costs, including stock-based compensation, for employees in our commercial, administration, finance and business development functions. Other significant expenses include professional fees for accounting and legal services, including legal services associated with obtaining and maintaining patents and the recent Medicis settlement. We expect that our sales, general and administrative expenses will increase with the continued development of, and if approved, the commercialization of

RT001 and as we begin to operate as a public company after the closing of this offering.

Table of Contents

Other Income (Expense)

Other income (expense) is comprised of interest income, interest expense, changes in fair value of derivative liabilities associated with convertible notes, changes in fair value of derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement, changes in fair value of convertible preferred stock warrant liability and other income (expense), net.

Interest Income

Interest income consists primarily of interest income earned on our cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities balances. We expect interest income to vary each reporting period depending on our average cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities balances during the period and market interest rates. To date, our interest income has not been significant in any individual period.

Interest Expense

Interest expense primarily consists of the interest charges associated with our convertible notes, notes payable and capital lease obligations. Notes payable under our term loan agreement with Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc., or Hercules, bear interest at a rate equal to the greater of 9.85% or the prime rate plus 6.60%. The interest charge on our convertible notes and capital lease obligations is fixed at the inception of the related transaction based on the incremental borrowing rate in effect on such date. Our interest expense also includes cash and non-cash components with the non-cash components consisting of (i) interest recognized from the amortization of debt issuance costs which are generally derived from cash payments related to the issuance of our convertible notes and our notes payable and which are capitalized on our balance sheets, (ii) interest recognized from the amortization of debt discounts derived from the issuance of warrants and derivatives issued in conjunction with our outstanding convertible notes which are also capitalized on our balance sheets and (iii) interest recognized on our convertible notes which was not paid and was instead converted into shares of our convertible preferred stock.

In March 2013, all of our then-outstanding convertible notes converted into shares of convertible preferred stock and, as a result, we expect our interest expense to substantially decrease. However, this decrease will be partially offset by new interest expense resulting from the issuance of \$23.65 million in convertible notes in the fourth quarter of 2013 and January 2014, or the 2013 notes, and the Essex Capital Facility. See [Liquidity and Capital Resources](#) for a description of the 2013 notes and the Essex Capital Facility.

Change in Fair Value of Derivative Liabilities Associated with Convertible Notes

Our derivative liabilities associated with convertible notes are classified as liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets and are remeasured to fair value at each balance sheet date with the corresponding gain or loss from the adjustment recorded in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss. In March 2013, all of our then-outstanding convertible notes, to which these derivative liabilities relate, converted into shares of convertible preferred stock and, as a result, these derivative liabilities were settled and will no longer require periodic fair value remeasurements. However, we expect to record the changes in fair value of derivative liabilities associated with the 2013 notes, which will require remeasurement at each balance sheet date until the notes mature or settle prior to maturity. We expect to record the derivative liabilities as a debt discount that we will amortize using the effective interest method over the term of the notes. This discount would be accelerated in the event that the notes convert prior to maturity, such as upon the completion of this offering. See Note 20 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Change in Fair Value of Derivative Liabilities Associated with the Medicis Settlement

Our outstanding derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement are classified as liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets. These liabilities will be reduced as the related payments are made under the settlement agreement and the remaining liabilities will be subsequently remeasured to fair value at each balance sheet date with the corresponding gain or loss from the adjustment recorded in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss. We will continue to record adjustments to the fair value of the Medicis

Table of Contents

settlement derivative liabilities until the related settlement payments have been paid. At that time, these derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement will be adjusted to fair value one last time with the final fair value being reclassified to additional paid-in capital. See Results of Operations for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2013 Other Income (Expense).

Change in Fair Value of Convertible Preferred Stock Warrant Liability

Our outstanding convertible preferred stock warrants are classified as liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets at fair value as they are contingently redeemable because they may obligate us to transfer assets to the holders at a future date under certain circumstances, such as a deemed liquidation event. The convertible preferred stock warrants are remeasured to fair value at each balance sheet date with the corresponding gain or loss from the adjustment recorded in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss. We will continue to record adjustments to the fair value of the convertible preferred stock warrants until they are exercised, convert into warrants to purchase common stock or expire, at which time the warrants will no longer require remeasurement.

Change in Fair Value of Common Stock Warrant Liability

Common stock warrants issued in connection with the 2013 notes will be classified as liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet and require remeasurement at each balance sheet date. We expect to record an increase in fair value of these warrant liabilities and a corresponding loss on our consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive loss as we approach our anticipated IPO date. We expect to record these warrant liabilities as a debt discount that we will amortize using the effective interest method over the term of the 2013 notes. This discount would be accelerated in the event that the notes convert prior to maturity, such as upon the completion of this offering. See Note 20 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Other Income (Expense), net

Other income (expense), net is comprised of miscellaneous tax and other expense items.

Income Taxes

Since inception, we have incurred net losses and have not recorded any U.S. federal or state income tax and the tax benefits of our operating losses have been fully offset by valuation allowances.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following tables provide our consolidated statements of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013. The information for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 was derived from our audited consolidated financial statements and the information for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013 was derived from our unaudited interim consolidated financial statements, in each case as included elsewhere in this prospectus.

	Year Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2012	2012	2013
	(In thousands)			
Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:				
Revenue	\$ 557	\$ 717	\$ 600	\$ 308
Cost of revenue	5			
Gross profit	552	717	600	308
Operating expenses:				
Research and development(1)	22,735	32,708	15,829	21,592
Sales, general and administrative(1)	5,555	11,195	9,581	8,008
Total operating expenses	28,290	43,903	25,410	29,600
Loss from operations	(27,738)	(43,186)	(24,810)	(29,292)
Interest income	15	7	8	2
Interest expense	(17,790)	(28,959)	(19,250)	(13,466)
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities associated with convertible notes	(356)	13,860	(3,338)	1,800
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement				(265)
Change in fair value of convertible preferred stock warrant liability	836	125	117	(1,108)
Other income (expense), net	170	(106)	(85)	(40)
Loss before income taxes	(44,863)	(58,259)	(47,358)	(42,369)
Benefit from income taxes				
Net loss	\$ (44,863)	\$ (58,259)	\$ (47,358)	\$ (42,369)

(1) Results above include stock-based compensation as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2012	2012	2013
	(In thousands)			
Stock-Based Compensation:				
Research and development	\$ 150	\$ 48	\$ 27	\$ 138
Sales, general and administrative	123	31	39	208
Total stock-based compensation	\$ 273	\$ 79	\$ 66	\$ 346

Table of Contents**Results of Operations for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2013**

The following table presents our revenue for the periods indicated and related changes from the prior period:

Revenue

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012		2013 vs. 2012	
	2012	2013	\$ Change	% Change
	(Unaudited)			
	(In thousands, except percentages)			
Relastin Product	\$	\$	\$	
Relastin Royalty	225	225		
License	375	83	(292)	(78)%
Total revenue	\$ 600	\$ 308	\$ (292)	(49)%

Our total revenue decreased by \$0.3 million, or 49%, to \$0.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 from \$0.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 due to changes in licensing revenue.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, we entered into the Relastin asset purchase agreement for the sale of the Relastin product line. The Relastin asset purchase and royalty agreement provides that we will receive royalties on future sales of Relastin with a minimum royalty payment of \$0.3 million per year, to be paid quarterly for up to 15 years from the execution date. However, the acquirer may terminate the royalty agreement with 90 days notice as of December 31, 2013 with the product rights to the Relastin product line reverting to us. We recognized the annual minimum royalty payment on a pro rata basis in the amount of \$0.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013 as set forth in the Relastin asset purchase agreement. With the divestment of Relastin, our primary focus has been on the development of RT001 and RT002.

Our license revenue decreased to \$0.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 from \$0.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease was due to the termination of a license agreement for RT002 as a result of the Medicis settlement in October 2012. This decrease was partially offset by \$0.1 million of revenue recognized pursuant to an exclusive technology evaluation agreement whereby we received an upfront payment in the amount of \$0.3 million which was initially recorded as deferred revenue and is being recognized over the estimated performance period. Prior to the termination of the Medicis license agreement, we were recognizing license revenue of \$0.5 million per year through the amortization of an upfront payment made by Medicis during the year ended December 31, 2009, which was initially recorded as deferred revenue. As a result of the termination of the Medicis license agreement, we will no longer recognize any license revenue from the 2009 Medicis license agreement for RT002.

Operating Expenses

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012		2013 vs. 2012	
	2012	2013	\$	%
	(Unaudited)			
	(In thousands, except percentages)			
Research and development	\$ 15,829	\$ 21,592	\$ 5,763	36%
Sales, general and administrative	9,581	8,008	(1,573)	(16)%
Total operating expenses	\$ 25,410	\$ 29,600	\$ 4,190	16%

Research and Development Expenses

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

Research and development expenses increased by \$5.8 million, or 36%, to \$21.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 from \$15.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Our

Table of Contents

research and development expenses fluctuate as projects transition from one development phase to the next. Depending on the stage of completion and level of effort related to each development phase undertaken, we may reflect variations in our research and development expense. Our overall research and development expenses increased by \$5.8 million primarily due to an increase in outside services and personnel expenses relating to increased clinical trial and regulatory affairs activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Sales, General and Administrative Expenses

Sales, general and administrative expenses decreased by \$1.6 million, or 16%, to \$8.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 from \$9.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The change was primarily attributable to a decrease in professional fees relating to the Medicis litigation during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Other Income (Expense)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		2013 vs. 2012	
	2012	2013	\$	%
	(Unaudited)			
	(In thousands, except percentages)			
Interest income	\$ 8	\$ 2	\$ (6)	(75)%
Interest expense	(19,250)	(13,466)	5,784	30%
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities associated with convertible notes	(3,338)	1,800	5,138	154%
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement		(265)	(265)	*
Change in fair value of convertible preferred stock warrant liability	117	(1,108)	(1,225)	*
Other expense, net	(85)	(40)	45	53%
Total other expense	\$ (22,548)	\$ (13,077)	\$ 9,471	(42)%

* Not meaningful

Our interest expense decreased by \$5.8 million, or 30%, to \$13.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 from \$19.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 primarily due to the conversion of the then-outstanding convertible notes into Series E-4 convertible preferred stock in March 2013. We incurred interest charges, including amortization of the related debt discount, on our then-outstanding convertible notes and notes payable. In addition, we accrued and charged to interest expense an amount equal to 150% of the aggregate amount of the outstanding principal and accrued interest which the holders of these convertible notes were entitled to receive if the notes would have been paid upon maturity in May 2013. Upon the conversion of these convertible notes in March 2013, we ceased accruing interest related to the convertible notes.

Table of Contents

Our interest expense includes cash and non-cash components. These non-cash components of our interest expense consist of (i) interest recognized from the amortization of debt issuance costs which are generally derived from cash payments related to the issuance of our convertible notes and our notes payable and which are capitalized on our balance sheets, (ii) interest recognized from the amortization of debt discounts derived from the issuance of warrants and derivatives issued in conjunction with our outstanding convertible notes which are also capitalized on our balance sheets, and (iii) interest recognized on our convertible notes which was not paid and was instead converted into shares of our convertible preferred stock. The capitalized amounts related to the debt issuance costs and debt discounts are generally amortized to interest expense over the term of the related debt instruments. The interest expense by cash and non-cash components is as follows:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		2013 vs. 2012	
	2012	2013	\$	%
(Unaudited)				
(In thousands, except percentages)				
Interest expense				
Cash related interest expense	\$ (1,782)	(1,215)	567	32%
Non-cash interest expense debt issuance costs	(225)	(178)	47	21%
Non-cash interest expense warrant and derivative related debt discounts	(4,930)	(2,869)	2,061	42%
Non-cash interest expense convertible notes	(12,313)	(9,204)	3,109	25%
Total interest expense	(19,250)	(13,466)	(5,784)	(30)%

The change in the fair value of the derivative liabilities associated with the convertible notes changed by \$5.1 million to a gain of \$1.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to a loss of \$3.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The gain from the remeasurement of the derivative liabilities associated with the convertible notes was due to the decrease in the fair value of these derivatives liabilities to approximately zero immediately prior to the conversion of the convertible notes in March 2013, as the execution of a qualified financing approached certainty.

The change in the fair value of the derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement was a loss in the amount of \$0.3 million and these derivatives were not outstanding during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The loss from the remeasurement of the derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement was due primarily to an increase in the fair value of the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment (as defined below) derivative liability in the amount of \$1.1 million as a result of our estimate of the timing of the related payments. This loss was partially offset by a decrease in the fair value of the Product Approval Payment (as defined below) derivative liability in the amount of \$0.8 million due to our updated estimate of the probability of the related product approval during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

The change in the fair value of the convertible preferred stock warrant liability increased by \$1.2 million reflecting a loss of \$1.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to a gain of \$0.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The loss from the remeasurement of the convertible preferred stock warrant liability was due to the increase in the fair value of our outstanding convertible preferred stock warrants primarily as a result of a reduction in the exercise price of the warrants due to the modification of the terms as a result of the Series E financing in the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2012**

The following table presents our revenue for the periods indicated and related changes from the prior period:

Revenue

	Years Ended December 31,		2012 vs. 2011	
	2011	2012	\$	%
	(In thousands, except percentages)			
Relastin Product	\$ 57	\$	\$ (57)	100%
Relastin Royalty		300	300	*
License	500	417	(83)	(17)%
Total revenue	\$ 557	\$ 717	\$ 160	29%

* Not meaningful

Our total revenue increased by \$0.2 million, or 29%, to \$0.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$0.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2011.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, we generated limited product revenue from the promotion and sale of Relastin, an over-the-counter skincare product. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we entered into an asset purchase agreement for the sale of the Relastin product line and royalties on future sales of Relastin. As a result, our only product revenue during the years presented consists of \$57,000 in the year ended December 31, 2011 from sales of Relastin and we did not have any product revenue during the year ended December 31, 2012.

We recognized royalty revenue during the year ended December 31, 2012 in the amount of \$0.3 million related to the Relastin asset purchase and royalty agreement and we did not recognize any royalty revenue during the year ended December 31, 2011. The Relastin royalty agreement provides for minimum royalty payment of \$0.3 million per year, to be paid quarterly for up to 15 years from the execution date; however, the acquirer may terminate the royalty agreement with 90 days notice as of December 31, 2013 with the rights to the Relastin product line reverting back to us. With the divestment of Relastin, our primary focus has been on the development of RT001 and RT002.

Our license revenue decreased by \$0.1 million, or 17%, to \$0.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$0.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease was due to the termination of a license agreement for RT002 as a result of the Medicis settlement in October 2012. Prior to the termination of the Medicis license agreement, we were recognizing license revenue of \$0.5 million per year through the amortization of an upfront payment made by Medicis during the year ended December 31, 2009, which was initially recorded as deferred revenue. As a result of the termination of the Medicis license agreement, we will no longer recognize any license revenue from the 2009 Medicis license agreement for RT002.

Operating Expenses

	Year Ended December 31,		2012 vs. 2011	
	2011	2012	\$	%
	(In thousands, except percentages)			
Research and development	\$ 22,735	\$ 32,708	\$ 9,973	44%
Sales, general and administrative	5,555	11,195	5,640	102%
Total operating expenses	\$ 28,290	\$ 43,903	\$ 15,613	55%

Research and Development Expenses

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

Research and development expenses increased by \$10.0 million, or 44%, to \$32.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$22.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. Of this increase, \$9.0 million was due to the reacquisition of technology rights from Medicis as part of our Medicis settlement,

Table of Contents

which was immediately expensed. Our research and development expenses fluctuate as projects transition from one development phase to the next. Depending on the stage of completion and level of effort related to each development phase undertaken, we may reflect variations in our research and development spending. Our overall research and development spending increased as we experienced a \$0.7 million increase in personnel costs due to increased head count related to our research efforts and an increase in outside services of \$0.6 million due to increased clinical trials. These increases were partially offset by reductions in our material purchases of \$0.4 million and a decrease in stock-based compensation costs of \$0.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Sales, General and Administrative Expenses

Sales, general and administrative expenses increased by \$5.6 million, or 102%, to \$11.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$5.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. The change was primarily attributable to a \$5.4 million increase in legal expenses associated with the Medicis settlement and, to a lesser extent, legal expenses associated with our patents and patent protection. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in stock-based compensation costs of \$0.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Other Income (Expense)

	Year Ended December 31,		2012 vs. 2011	
	2011	2012	\$	%
(In thousands, except percentages)				
Interest income	\$ 15	\$ 7	\$ (8)	(53)%
Interest expense	(17,790)	(28,959)	(11,169)	63%
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities associated with convertible notes	(356)	13,860	14,216	*
Change in fair value of convertible preferred stock warrant liability	836	125	(711)	(85)%
Other income (expense), net	170	(106)	(276)	*
Total other income (expense)	\$ (17,125)	\$ (15,073)	\$ 2,052	(12)%

* Not meaningful

Our interest expense increased by \$11.2 million, or 63%, to \$29.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 from \$17.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 as we incurred a full year of interest charges, including amortization of the related debt discount, on our outstanding convertible notes and notes payable which were first issued during the year ended December 31, 2011 with additional borrowings of \$18.2 million undertaken in connection with the convertible notes issued during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

Our interest expense includes cash and non-cash components. These non-cash components of our interest expense consist of (i) interest recognized from the amortization of debt issuance costs which are generally derived from cash payments related to the issuance of our convertible notes and our notes payable and which are capitalized on our balance sheets, (ii) interest recognized from the amortization of debt discounts derived from the issuance of warrants and derivatives issued in conjunction with our outstanding convertible notes which are also capitalized on our balance sheets, and (iii) interest recognized on our convertible notes which was not paid and was instead converted into shares of our convertible preferred stock. The capitalized amounts related to the debt issuance costs and debt discounts are generally amortized to interest expense over the term of the related debt instruments. The interest expense by cash and non-cash components is as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		2012 vs. 2011	
	2011	2012	\$	%
	(In thousands, except percentages)			
Interest expense				
Cash related interest expense	\$ (3,112)	\$ (2,302)	\$ 810	26%
Non-cash interest expense debt issuance costs	(230)	(300)	(70)	(30)%
Non-cash interest expense warrant and derivative related debt discounts	(4,904)	(7,427)	(2,522)	(51)%
Non-cash interest expense convertible notes	(9,544)	(18,930)	(9,387)	(98)%
Total interest expense	\$ (17,790)	\$ (28,959)	\$ (11,169)	(63)%

The fair value of the derivative liabilities associated with the convertible notes changed by \$14.2 million to a gain of \$13.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to a charge of \$0.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. The gain from the remeasurement of the outstanding derivative liabilities was due to the reduction in the fair value of the related derivatives primarily as a result of the increased probability of the convertible notes being repaid at maturity as opposed to conversion upon a change of control or initial public offering.

The fair value of the convertible preferred stock warrant liability changed by \$0.7 million, or 85%, to a gain of \$0.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to a gain of \$0.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. The gain from the remeasurement of the convertible preferred stock warrant liability was due to the reduction in the fair value of our outstanding convertible preferred stock warrant liability primarily as a result of a reduction in the contractual term of the warrants.

Other income (expense), net changed by \$0.3 million to expense of \$0.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to income of \$0.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. The \$0.3 million change in other income (expense), net was primarily a result of payment to us in the amount of \$0.3 million for a one-time option to license certain zinc-based topical skin care products which was recognized during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Income Taxes

There was no provision or benefit from income taxes during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Since our inception, we have incurred losses from operations and negative cash flows from our operations. For the year ended December 31, 2012, we incurred a net loss of \$58.3 million, which includes non-cash interest expenses in aggregate of \$26.7 million related to the amortization of debt issuance costs, warrants and derivatives issued in conjunction with our outstanding debt instruments, and used \$38.9 million for our operating activities. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we had a net loss of \$42.4 million, which includes non-cash interest expenses in the aggregate amount of \$12.2 million related to the amortization of debt issuance costs, warrants and derivatives issued in conjunction with our outstanding debt instruments, and we also used \$33.8 million for our operating activities. As of December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2013, we had a working capital deficit of \$112.5 million and \$28.6 million, respectively, and an accumulated deficit of \$218.3 million

Table of Contents

and \$185.8 million, respectively. Our principal sources of liquidity as of December 31, 2012, September 30, 2013 and January 22, 2014 consisted of cash and cash equivalents of \$4.1 million, \$1.9 million and \$5.2 million, respectively.

Historically, we have financed our operations primarily through private placements of our convertible preferred stock and the proceeds received from our debt financings. From inception through September 30, 2013, we have received net cash proceeds of (i) \$199.4 million from the sale of convertible preferred stock and convertible notes and (ii) \$22.0 million from a term loan.

In September 2011, we entered into a \$22.0 million term loan agreement with Hercules. Notes payable under the Hercules term loan bear interest at a rate equal to the greater of 9.85% or the prime rate plus 6.60%, and requires the principal balance to be repaid in thirty-three equal monthly installments of \$764,000 beginning July 2012. The balance of this term loan was \$13.0 million as of September 30, 2013. As of December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2013, the applicable interest rate under the term loan was 9.85%. We have the right to prepay amounts due under the Hercules term loan in whole, but not in part, subject to paying a prepayment premium equal to \$300,000 if prepaid prior to September 20, 2014 and \$150,000 if prepaid later. During the year ended December 31, 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we made principal payments in the amount of \$3.4 million and \$ 5.6 million, respectively, on our outstanding notes payable and we will continue to make monthly payments in the amount of \$0.8 million until March 2015. In addition, we are required to make an end of term payment of \$400,000, subject to an increase to \$500,000, if we elect to prepay amounts due under the term loan. Concurrently with the March 2013 closings of the Series E preferred stock financing, all of our outstanding convertible notes and related accrued interest in the amount of \$71.0 million converted into 4,748,468 shares of Series E-4 convertible preferred stock.

In addition, we issued approximately \$19.4 million and \$4.25 million of convertible notes in the fourth quarter of 2013 and January 2014, respectively, which carry an annual interest rate of 12% and mature in October 2014. The principal and interest under the 2013 notes are (i) convertible into shares of convertible preferred stock in the next qualified financing at the per share price of the stock sold in the financing, upon election of the holders or (ii) automatically convertible into shares of common stock immediately prior to an IPO at the per share price to the public of the stock sold in the IPO, if either event occurs prior to maturity of the 2013 notes. If such conversion occurs prior to October 7, 2014, the unpaid accrued interest shall also include any interest that would have accrued had the 2013 notes remained outstanding through October 7, 2014. If upon maturity a qualified preferred stock financing or IPO has not occurred, the holders may convert their 2013 notes into shares of Series E-5 convertible preferred stock at \$22.495 per share. Upon a liquidation event, acquisition or asset sale of our company before the 2013 notes are converted or repaid, the 2013 notes will be either, at the election of the holder, (i) repaid at 300% of the original principal plus accrued interest or (ii) converted into shares of Series E-5 convertible preferred stock at \$22.495 per share. The 2013 notes may not be prepaid without written consent of the holders, but upon consent of the holders of at least 66²/₃% of the aggregate principal amount, may be repaid at 150% of the outstanding principal plus accrued interest to the date of the prepayment. The 2013 notes were also accompanied by warrants to purchase such number of common stock, or 2013 warrants, equal to (i) the aggregate number of shares issuable upon conversion of the notes multiplied by 25% or (ii) 25% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2013 notes divided by \$20.1825 if the 2013 notes have not converted or been repaid at the time of exercise. The 2013 warrants have an exercise price of \$0.15 per share and expire if not exercised on the earlier to occur of (i) the IPO of our company, (ii) an acquisition or asset transfer or (iii) seven years from the date of issuance.

In December 2013, we entered into the Essex Capital Facility to finance the construction and installation of equipment for use in our manufacturing facility. Under this facility, Essex Capital will provide us a series of short-term notes aggregating to \$10.8 million during the construction period which is expected to last through 2014. These short-term notes mature one year from the date of the Essex Capital Facility and bear interest at 11.5%. Upon completion of our initial public offering, the interest rate will decrease to 10.375%. Upon completion of the installation and acceptance of equipment, we will sell the equipment back to Essex Capital for a purchase price equal to the principal and any accrued interest then outstanding on the notes issued to finance such equipment. We will then lease back the equipment for a thirty-six month lease term. At the end of the lease term, we will have the option to purchase the equipment at 10% of the original equipment cost. The short-term notes to be issued under the

Table of Contents

Essex Capital Facility are secured by all of our tangible assets, excluding intellectual property. Under the Essex Capital Facility, we also agreed to issue warrants to purchase our capital stock in connection with each issuance of notes. We are required to issue these warrants regardless of whether we draw down the full \$10.8 million under the agreement. Convertible preferred stock warrants will be issued for all notes issued before our initial public offering. These warrants will be exercisable for our (i) next round of convertible preferred stock with the number of shares determined by dividing 10% of the principal amount of the notes by 90% of the issuance price of that preferred stock or (ii) Series E-5 convertible preferred stock, if another round of equity financing does not occur before the exercise of these warrants, with the number of shares determined by dividing 10% of the principal amount of the notes by \$20.25 per share. Common stock warrants will be issued for all notes issued after our initial public offering. The number of shares of common stock to be issued pursuant to these warrants will be determined by dividing 10% of the principal amount of the notes divided by 81% of the price per share of common stock issued to public in the initial public offering. In December 2013, we drew down \$2.5 million under short-term notes pursuant to the Essex Capital Facility and issued warrants to purchase 12,345 shares of Series E-5 convertible preferred stock, and in January 2014 we drew down an additional \$2.5 million under short-term notes and issued warrants to purchase an additional 12,345 shares of Series E-5 convertible preferred stock.

Our recurring operating losses raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. As a result, our independent registered public accounting firm included an explanatory paragraph in its report on our consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012 with respect to this uncertainty. We have no current source of revenue to sustain our present activities, and we do not expect to generate revenue until, and unless, the FDA or other regulatory authorities approve RT001 or RT002 and we begin commercializing them. Accordingly, our ability to continue as a going concern will require us to obtain additional financing to fund our operations. The sale of additional equity securities could result in additional dilution to our stockholders and those securities may have rights senior to those of our common stock. The incurrence of indebtedness would result in increased debt service obligations and could result in operating and financing covenants that would restrict our operations. We cannot assure you that financing will be available in the amounts we need or on terms acceptable to us, if at all.

Cash Flows

We derived the following summary of our consolidated cash flows for the periods indicated from our audited consolidated financial statements and unaudited interim consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus:

	Year Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended	
	2011	2012	2012	September 30, 2013
			(Unaudited)	
	(In thousands)			
Net cash used in operating activities	\$ (28,413)	\$ (38,914)	\$ (23,543)	\$ (33,779)
Net cash used in investing activities	(75)	(244)	(213)	(2,597)
Net cash provided by financing activities	54,067	13,620	3,255	34,202

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Our cash used in operating activities is primarily driven by personnel-related expenditures, manufacturing costs and costs related to our facilities. Our cash flows from operating activities will continue to be affected principally by our working capital requirements and the extent to which we increase spending on personnel and research and development activities as our business grows.

Cash used in operating activities of \$33.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 resulted in part from our net loss of \$42.4 million and non-cash adjustments for the revaluation of derivative liabilities associated with our convertible notes of \$1.8 million offset by the accrual of interest on our convertible notes of \$9.2 million, the amortization of the discount and issuance costs on our outstanding debt and capital leases of \$3.0 million, the revaluation of our preferred stock warrants of \$1.1 million and depreciation and amortization of

Table of Contents

our property and equipment of \$1.4 million. The \$4.8 million increase in our net operating assets and liabilities was primarily a result of the reduction in the derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement due to the payment of \$6.9 million during the period and the decrease of accruals and other current liabilities of \$3.2 million, however, these increases were partially offset by increases in accounts payable of \$5.2 million related to the growth in our operations during the year. Property and equipment purchases included in accounts payable and accruals and other current liabilities was \$4.7 million and deferred initial public offering costs included in accounts payable and accruals and other current liabilities were \$1.7 million as of September 30, 2013.

Cash used in operating activities of \$38.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 resulted in part from our net loss of \$58.3 million and non-cash adjustments for the modification of the Series C-3 convertible preferred stock of \$3.2 million associated with the Medicis settlement and the revaluation of derivative liabilities associated with convertible notes of \$13.9 million that were partially offset by non-cash adjustments for depreciation and amortization of our property and equipment of \$1.8 million, the recognition of derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement of \$15.3 million, the amortization of the discount and issuance costs on our outstanding debt and capital leases of \$7.7 million and interest accrued on our convertible notes of \$18.8 million. The \$7.1 million decrease in our net operating assets and liabilities was primarily a result of the decrease in deferred revenue of \$10.5 million as a result of this revenue stream being eliminated as a result of the Medicis settlement and a \$1.1 million decrease in prepaid expenses and other current assets due primarily to the timing of the related payments. These decreases were partially offset by increases in accruals and other current liabilities of \$3.0 million and accounts payable of \$1.0 million related to the growth in our operations during the year.

Cash used in operating activities of \$28.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 was primarily attributable to a net loss of \$44.9 million and non-cash adjustments for the revaluation of our convertible preferred stock warrant liability of \$0.8 million that was partially offset by non-cash adjustments for the revaluation of derivative liabilities associated with the convertible notes of \$0.4 million, the amortization of the discount and issuance costs on our outstanding debt and capital leases of \$5.1 million, depreciation and amortization of our property and equipment of \$2.0 million, stock-based compensation in the amount of \$0.3 million and interest accrued on our convertible notes of \$9.6 million. The \$0.1 million decrease in our net operating assets and liabilities was primarily a result of the decrease in deferred revenue of \$0.8 million and accounts payable of \$0.6 million along with increases in prepaid expenses and other current assets of \$0.4 million and other noncurrent assets of \$0.6 million. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in accruals and other current liabilities of \$1.5 million related to the growth in our operations during the year.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, cash used in investing activities was \$2.6 million consisting of \$2.7 million in purchases of property and equipment which were partially offset by a reduction of our restricted cash of \$0.1 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, cash used in investing activities was \$0.2 million consisting of \$0.3 million in purchases of property and equipment which were partially offset by a reduction of our restricted cash of \$0.1 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, cash used in investing activities was \$0.1 million resulting from purchases of property and equipment of \$0.2 million which were partially offset by a reduction of our restricted cash of \$0.1 million.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, cash provided by financing activities was \$34.2 million primarily comprised of net proceeds received from the issuance of our Series E-5 convertible preferred stock in the amount of \$40.8 million which were partially offset by repayments of \$6.5 million on our outstanding debt and capital lease obligations.

During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012, cash provided by financing activities was \$54.0 million and \$13.6 million, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2012, these amounts were

Table of Contents

primarily comprised of proceeds received from the issuance of convertible notes in the amount of \$18.2 million which were partially offset by repayments of \$4.6 million on our outstanding debt and capital lease obligations.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, these amounts were primarily comprised of proceeds received from the issuance of convertible notes of \$45.0 million and notes payable of \$22.0 million which were partially offset by repayments of \$13.1 million on our outstanding debt and capital lease obligations.

Operating and Capital Expenditure Requirements

We have not achieved profitability on a quarterly or annual basis since our inception and we expect to continue to incur net losses for the foreseeable future. We expect our cash expenditures to increase in the near term as we fund our Phase 3 clinical trials of RT001 for the treatment of crow's feet lines and trials for other indications, our manufacturing, quality and regulatory efforts related to RT001, and our development of RT002. Additionally, as a public company, we will incur significant audit, legal and other expenses that we did not incur as a private company. We believe that our existing capital resources, together with the proceeds from our convertible note financing and the net proceeds from this offering, will be sufficient to fund our operations for at least the next 12 months. However, we anticipate that we will need to raise substantial additional financing in the future to fund our operations. In order to meet these additional cash requirements, we may seek to sell additional equity or convertible debt securities that may result in dilution to our stockholders. If we raise additional funds through the issuance of convertible debt securities, these securities could have rights senior to those of our common stock and could contain covenants that restrict our operations. There can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain additional equity or debt financing on terms acceptable to us, if at all. Debt financing, if available, would result in increased fixed payment obligations and may involve agreements that include covenants limiting or restricting our ability to take specific actions such as incurring debt, making capital expenditures or declaring dividends. Our failure to obtain sufficient funds on acceptable terms when needed could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

If adequate funds are not available to us on a timely basis, or at all, we may be required to terminate or delay clinical trials or other development activities for RT001, RT002 and any future product candidates, or delay our establishment of sales and marketing capabilities or other activities that may be necessary to commercialize our product candidates, if we obtain marketing approval. We may elect to raise additional funds even before we need them if the conditions for raising capital are favorable. Our future capital requirements depend on many factors, including:

the results of our Phase 3 clinical trials for RT001 in the United States and Europe;

the timing of, and the costs involved in, obtaining regulatory approvals for RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates;

the number and characteristics of any additional product candidates we develop or acquire;

the scope, progress, results and costs of researching and developing RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates, and conducting preclinical and clinical trials;

the cost of commercialization activities if RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates are approved for sale, including marketing, sales and distribution costs;

the cost of manufacturing RT001, RT002 or any future product candidates and any products we successfully commercialize;

our ability to establish and maintain strategic collaborations, licensing or other arrangements and the terms of and timing such arrangements;

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

the degree and rate of market acceptance of any future approved products;

the emergence, availability, perceived advantages, relative cost, relative safety and relative efficacy of alternative and competing treatments;

any product liability or other lawsuits related to our products;

Table of Contents

the expenses needed to attract and retain skilled personnel;

the costs associated with being a public company;

the costs involved in preparing, filing, prosecuting, maintaining, defending and enforcing patent claims, including litigation costs and the outcome of such litigation; and

the timing, receipt and amount of sales of, or royalties on, future approved products, if any.

Please see **Risk Factors** for additional risks associated with our substantial capital requirements.

We have not generated significant revenue from RT001 or RT002 and we do not know when, or if, we will generate such revenue. We do not expect to generate significant revenue unless or until we obtain marketing approval of, and commercialize RT001 or RT002. We expect our continuing operating losses to result in increases in cash used in operations over the next several years.

We have based our estimates of future capital requirements on a number of assumptions that may prove to be wrong, and changing circumstances beyond our control may cause us to consume capital more rapidly than we currently anticipate. For example, our ongoing clinical trials of RT001 and RT002 may encounter technical or other difficulties that could increase our development costs more than we currently expect or the FDA may require us to conduct additional clinical trials prior to approving RT001 or RT002. Because of the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with the development and commercialization of our product candidates, we are unable to estimate the amounts of increased capital outlays and operating expenditures associated with our current and anticipated clinical trials.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires our management to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the applicable periods. We base our estimates, assumptions and judgments on historical experience and on various other factors that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Different assumptions and judgments would change the estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements, which, in turn, could change the results from those reported. We evaluate our estimates, assumptions and judgments on an ongoing basis.

The critical accounting estimates, assumptions and judgments that we believe have the most significant impact on our consolidated financial statements are described below.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue when the following criteria are met: persuasive evidence of a sales arrangement exists; delivery has occurred; the price is fixed or determinable; and collectability is reasonably assured. We recognized revenue from the sale of products and from license and royalty agreements as follows.

We recognized only a limited amount of product revenue during the year ended December 31, 2011 of which all was derived from the promotion and sale of Relastin, an over-the-counter skincare product. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we entered into an asset purchase agreement for the sale of the Relastin product line and royalties on future sales of Relastin. We recognized the related product revenue during the year ended December 31, 2011 upon the sale of the products. We did not recognize any revenue from sales of our products during the year ended December 31, 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

We recognized royalty revenue related to the Relastin asset purchase and royalty agreement discussed immediately above. The Relastin royalty agreement provides for minimum royalty payment of \$0.3 million per year, to be paid quarterly for up to 15 years from the execution date; however, the royalty agreement may be terminated with 90 days' notice as of December 31, 2013 with the rights to the Relastin product line reverting to us. We recognize Relastin royalty revenue based upon minimum royalty requirements per the asset purchase and

Table of Contents

royalty agreement or when we receive the related royalty statement because we do not have sufficient ability to reasonably estimate the underlying sales prior to that time.

During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012, we recognized license revenue from a license agreement with Medicis whereby they were granted exclusive rights to RT002. As part of this license agreement, we received an upfront payment which was deferred and recognized over the estimated performance period which was estimated as the remaining life of the underlying patent at the inception of the license agreement. We did not recognize any license revenues from the agreement with Medicis during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as the prior license agreement was discontinued as part of the Medicis settlement in October 2012. In the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we recognized license revenue of \$0.1 million pursuant to an exclusive technology evaluation agreement executed in June 2013 whereby we received an upfront payment in the amount of \$0.3 million, which was initially recorded as deferred revenue and is being recognized over the estimated performance period.

Clinical Trial Accruals

Our clinical trial accrual process seeks to account for expenses resulting from obligations under contracts with CROs and consultants, and under clinical site agreements in connection with conducting clinical trials. Clinical trial costs are charged to research and development expense as incurred. The financial terms of these contracts are subject to negotiations, which vary from contract to contract and may result in payment flows that do not match the periods over which materials or services are provided under such contracts. Our objective is to reflect the appropriate trial expense in the consolidated financial statements by matching the appropriate expenses with the period in which services and efforts are expended. In the event advance payments are made to a CRO, the payments will be recorded as a prepaid asset which will be amortized over the period of time the contracted services are performed. In addition to pass-through costs, we incur costs in clinical trials in three distinct phases as follows:

- (i) **Start-up Phase** This phase includes the initial set-up of the clinical trial and usually occurs within a few months after the contract has been executed and includes costs which are expensed ratably over the start-up phase. Start-up phase activities include study initiation, site recruitment, regulatory applications, investigator meetings, screening, preparation, pre-study visits and training.
- (ii) **Site and Study Management Phase** This phase includes medical and safety monitoring, and patient administration and data management. These costs are usually calculated on a per patient basis and expensed ratably over the treatment period beginning on the date that the patient enrolls.
- (iii) **Close Down and Reporting Phase** This phase includes analyzing the data obtained and reporting results, which occurs after patients have ceased treatment and the database of information collected is locked. These costs are expensed ratably over the close down and reporting phase.

The CRO contracts generally include pass-through fees including, but not limited to, regulatory expenses, investigator fees, travel costs and other miscellaneous costs, including shipping and printing fees. We determine accrual estimates through reports from and discussion with applicable personnel and outside services providers as to the progress or state of completion of trials, or the services completed. We make estimates of accrued expenses as of each balance sheet date in the consolidated financial statements based on the facts and circumstances known at that time. Our clinical trial accrual is dependent, in part, upon the receipt of timely and accurate reporting from the CROs and other third-party vendors.

Stock-Based Compensation

We recognize compensation costs related to stock options granted to employees based on the estimated fair value of the awards on the date of grant, net of estimated forfeitures, using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The grant date fair value of the stock-based awards is recognized over the requisite service period, which is generally the vesting period of the respective awards. Stock-based compensation expenses are classified in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss based on the functional area to which the related recipients belong.

Table of Contents

The estimated grant date fair values of the option awards granted to employees during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013 were calculated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Year Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2012	2012	2013
Expected term (in years)	5.6	5.9	5.9	6.0
Risk-free interest rate	1.7%	0.8%	0.8%	1.3%
Expected volatility	58.0%	56.9%	56.9%	61.3%
Dividend rate	0%	0%	0%	0%

The Black-Scholes option-pricing model requires the use of highly subjective and complex assumptions that determine the fair value of options. These assumptions are as follows:

Expected term The expected term represents the period that our options are expected to be outstanding.

Risk-free interest rate The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield in effect at the time of grant for zero coupon U.S. Treasury notes with maturities approximately equal to the option's expected term.

Expected volatility Because our common stock has never been publicly traded, the expected volatility was derived from the average historic volatilities of several unrelated public companies within our industry that we considered to be comparable to our business over a period equivalent to the expected term of the option.

Dividend rate The expected dividend was assumed to be zero as we have never paid dividends and have no current plans to do so. In addition to the assumptions used in the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, we must also estimate a forfeiture rate to calculate the stock-based compensation for our options. Our forfeiture rate is based on an analysis of our actual forfeitures. We will continue to evaluate the appropriateness of the forfeiture rate based on actual forfeiture experience, analysis of employee turnover and other factors. Quarterly changes in the estimated forfeiture rate can have a significant impact on our stock-based compensation as the cumulative effect of adjusting the rate is recognized in the period in which we change the forfeiture estimate. If a revised forfeiture rate is higher than the previously estimated forfeiture rate, we make an adjustment that will result in a decrease to the stock-based compensation recognized in our consolidated financial statements. If a revised forfeiture rate is lower than the previously estimated forfeiture rate, we make an adjustment that will result in an increase to the stock-based compensation recognized in our consolidated financial statements.

We will continue to use judgment in evaluating the expected term, expected volatility and forfeiture rate related to our stock-based compensation calculations on a prospective basis. As we continue to accumulate additional data related to our common stock, we may make refinements to the estimates of our expected terms, expected volatility and forfeiture rates that could materially impact our future stock-based compensation.

Significant Factors, Assumptions and Methodologies Used in Determining Fair Value of Our Common Stock

We are also required to estimate the fair value of the common stock underlying our options when performing the fair value calculations using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Our board of directors, with input from management, estimates the fair value of the common stock underlying our options on each grant date. Our board of directors is comprised of a majority of non-employee directors with significant experience in the pharmaceutical industry. Thus, we believe that the composition of our board of directors, together with our board of directors cumulative knowledge of and experience with similar companies, resulted in a fair valuation of our common stock on each respective grant date. Given the absence of a public trading market for our common stock, and in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Practice Guide, *Valuation of Privately-Held-Company Equity Securities Issued as Compensation*, our board of directors exercised

Table of Contents

reasonable judgment and considered numerous objective and subjective factors to determine the best estimate of the fair value of our common stock on each respective grant date, including:

valuations performed by an independent third-party specialist;

the prices at which we sold our shares of convertible preferred stock sold to outside investors in arm's length transactions and the rights, preferences and privileges of the convertible preferred stock relative to those of our common stock, including the liquidation preferences of the convertible preferred stock;

our actual operating and financial performance;

our hiring of key personnel and the experience of our management;

risks inherent in the development of our product candidates;

the present value of our future cash flows;

the value of companies we consider peers based on a number of factors including, but not limited to, similarity to us with respect to industry, business model, stage of growth, geographic diversification, profitability, company size, financial risk or other factors;

the market value of a comparable group of privately held companies that were in a state of development similar to ours;

the illiquidity of options involving securities in a private company;

our stage of development;

the status of our research and development efforts;

important developments in our operations, most significantly related to the clinical development of our product candidates;

industry information such as market size and growth;

the estimated likelihood of achieving a liquidity event for our shares of common stock, such as an initial public offering or an acquisition of our company, in light of prevailing market conditions; and

the United States and global capital market conditions.

To determine the fair value of our common stock during 2012, our board of directors considered both an income approach and a market approach, however, our board of directors ultimately determined the equity value of the company using the income approach for all of the 2012 valuations discussed further below because our lack of revenue limits the results that can be expected from the respective market approaches. The income approach estimates the value of the company based on our expected future cash flows discounted to present value at a rate of return commensurate with the risks associated with the cash flows. Cash flows are estimated for future periods based on projected revenue and costs. Because the cash flows are only projected over a limited number of years, it is also necessary under the income approach to compute a terminal value as of the last period for which discrete cash flows are projected. This terminal value represents the future cash flows beyond the projection period and is determined by taking the projected revenue for the final year of the projection and applying a terminal multiple. The terminal value is then discounted to its present value using an appropriate discount rate to arrive at its present value. The discounted projected cash flows and terminal value are summed together to arrive at an indicated aggregate equity value under the income approach. In applying the income approach, we derived the discount rate based on a review of industry studies that compare a privately-held start-up company's stage of development and the corresponding venture capital required rates of return. Considering our lack of near-term revenue and regulatory risks involving our primary product candidate, in connection with each valuation described below, we concluded that an investor would require a rate of return on equity at the first stage of development level. We derived the terminal multiple based on a review of multiples calculated in the comparable public companies and comparable acquisitions analyses. In evaluating comparability, we considered factors such as industry, stage of life cycle and size. We then used the implied long-term growth rate of our company to assess the reasonableness of the selected terminal multiple.

Table of Contents

For each valuation, we prepared a financial forecast to be used in the computation of the value of invested capital for the income approach. The financial forecast took into account our historical financial operating results and expected future financial performance. The discount rate is related generally to market required rates of return observed in the venture capital industry as well as the specific perceived risks of achieving the forecasted financial performance. The risks associated with achieving these forecasts were assessed in selecting the appropriate cost of capital. There is inherent uncertainty in these estimates as the assumptions used are highly subjective and subject to changes as a result of new operating data and economic and other conditions that impact our business.

For the March 2013 valuation, we first incorporated the probability weighted expected return method, or PWERM, into the valuation process. When the PWERM was utilized, we established our enterprise value under multiple approaches which included an analysis of trading multiples, enterprise values and market capitalizations of our comparable public companies as discussed further in the March 2013 valuation discussion below.

Once we determined an equity value from the above valuation approaches, we utilized one or the combination of the Option Pricing Method, or OPM, and PWERM to allocate the equity value to each of our classes of stock. The OPM allocation treats common stock and convertible preferred stock as call options on the total equity value of a company, with exercise prices based on the aggregate liquidation preferences of the convertible preferred stock. Therefore, the common stock has value only if the funds available for distribution to the stockholders exceed the value of the aggregate liquidation preferences at the time of a liquidity event assuming the business has funds available to make liquidation preferences meaningful and collectible by the preferred stockholders. The common stock is modeled to be a call option with a claim on the underlying equity value at an exercise price equal to the remaining value immediately after the convertible preferred stock is liquidated. The OPM uses the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to price the call option. This method is appropriate to use when the range of possible future outcomes is so difficult to predict that forecasts would be highly speculative and dissolution or liquidation is not imminent. In connection with each valuation obtained during 2012 described below, we determined that the OPM was the most appropriate allocation methodology because the range of possible future outcomes at the time of the valuations was so difficult to predict that forecasts would have been highly speculative. The OPM, when not used in conjunction with the PWERM, was used to allocate our equity value under two approaches: the merger and sale approach, or M&A approach, and the initial public offering approach, or IPO approach, which were weighted based on our board of directors view of the most likely outcome at that time. The resulting equity value for the common stock was then divided by the number of shares of common stock outstanding at the date of the valuation to derive a per share value on a non-marketable basis.

The PWERM allocation involves a forward-looking analysis of the possible future outcomes of a company. This method is particularly useful when discrete future outcomes can be predicted at a high confidence level with a probability distribution. Discrete future outcomes considered under the PWERM used by us only included IPO scenarios. The enterprise values determined under the IPO scenarios were weighted according to our board of directors estimate of the probability of each scenario. The resulting equity value for the common stock was then divided by the number of shares of common stock outstanding at the date of the valuation to derive a per share value on a non-marketable basis.

In order to determine the fair value of our common stock on a marketable basis, we then applied a discount for lack of marketability which we derived using a put option model based on inputs including a company-specific volatility rate, a term equal to the expected time to a future liquidity event and a risk free rate equal to the yield on treasuries of similar duration.

We partially transitioned from OPM to PWERM starting with the March 2013 valuation as a result of the increasing likelihood of the occurrence of certain discrete events, including an IPO, as a result of improving market conditions and receptivity of the market to IPOs.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes, by grant date, the number of shares underlying stock options granted since January 1, 2012, the related exercise prices and the associated fair value of our common stock:

Grant Date	Number of Shares Underlying Stock Options Granted	Exercise Price	Estimated Grant Date Fair Value Per Share of Common Stock	Estimated Stock Option Aggregate Grant Date Fair Value(1) (In thousands)
February 16, 2012	2,031	\$ 0.45	\$ 1.50	\$ 2
July 26, 2012	5,565	1.50	1.80	6
October 4, 2012	2,666	1.50	2.25	4
May 24, 2013	96,672	8.70	8.70	386
May 27, 2013	674,998	8.70	8.70	2,908
October 3, 2013	3,333	8.85	8.85	21
December 17, 2013	217,210	9.15	9.15	1,114
January 15, 2014	13,333	15.45	15.45	144

(1) We determined the aggregate grant date fair value using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

The intrinsic value of all options outstanding as of January 15, 2014 was \$10.1 million, based on the initial public offering price of \$16.00 per share.

No single event caused the valuation of our common stock to increase during the periods discussed. Instead, a combination of the factors described below in each period led to the changes in the fair value of the underlying common stock.

February 2012 Awards

We granted options to purchase 2,031 shares of our common stock on February 16, 2012. Our board of directors set an exercise price of \$0.45 per share at the grant date for these options based in part on the results of a contemporaneous third party valuation prepared as of May 31, 2011, which determined a fair value of our common stock of \$0.45 per share. Subsequent to the granting of these options, we obtained a third party valuation of our common stock as of December 31, 2011 which determined a fair value of our common stock of \$1.50 per share. To calculate the stock-based compensation expense for these options, we also used the fair value as determined in the December 31, 2011 valuation of \$1.50 per share as the fair value of the underlying common stock for these options.

The fair value as of December 31, 2011 was estimated by our board of directors with assistance from a third party valuation. The December 31, 2011 valuation was prepared on a minority, non-marketable interest basis. Our aggregate enterprise value was determined using the income approach. We applied a discount rate of 45% to the values derived from the income approach, which we believed to be reasonable given that we considered our business to still be in the first stage of development in light of the status of our ongoing clinical development efforts, lack of near-term revenue and the regulatory risks related to our product candidates, including the uncertainty in connection with our then-ongoing discussions with the FDA through the FDA's Formal Dispute Resolution process, which concluded in May 2012. The enterprise value was then allocated among the securities utilizing an OPM under both an M&A approach and an IPO approach. The allocation weighted the M&A approach by 75% while the IPO approach was weighted by 25% as our board determined that this was the best estimate of these potential outcomes at the time of the valuation. The fair value also reflected a discount for lack of marketability of 40% for the M&A approach and 34% for the IPO approach. The increase from the May 2011 valuation to the December 2011 valuation primarily resulted from an increase in the enterprise value and a slight increase in the equity value related to a reduction in the discount rate used in the discounted cash flow analysis from 50% to 45%, a decrease in the discount for lack of marketability from a weighted 40% discount to 40% for the M&A approach and 34% for the IPO approach, and the increase in the weighting toward an IPO scenario which was not previously contemplated in this manner.

Table of Contents

July 2012 Awards

We granted options to purchase 5,565 shares of our common stock on July 26, 2012. Our board of directors set an exercise price of \$1.50 per share at the grant date for these options based in part on the results of a third-party valuation prepared as of December 31, 2011, which determined a fair value of our common stock of \$1.50 per share. Subsequent to the granting of these options, we obtained a third party valuation of our common stock as of June 30, 2012 which determined a fair value of our common stock of \$1.80 per share. To calculate the stock-based compensation expense for these options, we also used the fair value as determined in the June 30, 2012 valuation of \$1.80 per share as the fair value of the underlying common stock for these options.

The fair value as of June 30, 2012 was estimated by our board of directors with assistance from a third party valuation. The June 30, 2012 valuation was prepared on a minority, non-marketable interest basis. Our aggregate enterprise value was determined using the income approach. We applied a discount rate of 40% to the values derived from the income approach, which we believed to be reasonable given that we considered our business to still be in the first stage of development in light of the status of our ongoing clinical development efforts, lack of near-term revenue and the regulatory risks related to our product candidates and the uncertainty relating to our then-ongoing litigation with Medicis, which was resolved in October 2012. The enterprise value was then allocated among the securities utilizing an OPM under both an M&A approach and an IPO approach. The allocation weighted the M&A approach and the IPO approach evenly as our board determined that this was the best estimate of these potential outcomes at the time of the valuation. The fair value also reflected a discount for lack of marketability of 37% for the M&A approach and 34% for the IPO approach. The increase from the December 2011 valuation to the June 2012 valuation primarily resulted from a decrease in the discount for lack of marketability in the M&A approach from 40% to 37% and the increase in the weighting to an IPO scenario from 25% to 50% as the IPO scenario generally provides for a greater common stock fair value.

October 2012 Awards

We granted options to purchase 2,666 shares of our common stock on October 4, 2012. Our board of directors set an exercise price of \$1.50 per share for these options at the grant date based in part on the results of a third party valuation prepared as of December 31, 2011, which determined a fair value of our common stock of \$1.50 per share. Subsequent to the granting of these options, we obtained a third party valuation of our common stock as of September 30, 2012 which determined a fair value of our common stock of \$2.25 per share. To calculate the stock-based compensation expense for these options, we also used the fair value as determined in the September 30, 2012 valuation of \$2.25 per share as the fair value of the underlying common stock for these options.

The fair value as of September 30, 2012 was estimated by our board of directors with assistance from a third party valuation. The September 30, 2012 valuation was prepared on a minority, non-marketable interest basis. Our aggregate enterprise value was determined using the income approach. We applied a discount rate of 40% to the values derived from the income approach, which we believed to be reasonable given that we considered our business to still be in the first stage of development in light of the status of our ongoing clinical development efforts, lack of near-term revenue and the regulatory risks related to our product candidates. The enterprise value was then allocated among the securities utilizing an OPM under both an M&A approach and an IPO approach. The allocation weighted the M&A approach and the IPO approach evenly as our board determined that this was the best estimate of these potential outcomes at the time of the valuation. The fair value also reflected a discount for lack of marketability of 32% for both the M&A approach and the IPO approach. The increase from the June 2012 valuation to the September 2012 valuation primarily resulted from an increase in the enterprise value and equity value related to a reduction in the period utilized in the discounted cash flow analysis and a decrease in the discount for lack of marketability in the M&A approach from 37% to 32% and in the IPO approach from 34% to 32%.

May 2013 Awards

We granted options to purchase 96,672 shares of our common stock with a grant date of May 24, 2013 and another 674,998 options to purchase shares of our common stock with a grant date of May 27, 2013. Our board of directors set an exercise price of \$8.70 per share for these options on the grant date based in part on the results of a third party valuation prepared as of March 31, 2013, which determined a fair value of our common stock of

Table of Contents

\$8.70 per share. We also used the fair value as determined in the March 31, 2013 valuation of \$8.70 per share as the fair value of the underlying common stock for purposes of calculating our stock-based compensation for these options. The board chose to use the fair value as of March 31, 2013 even though the awards were granted in May 2013 as they were not aware of any significant events or series of events that would have provided evidence that the fair value of \$8.70 per share was not still appropriate as of the grant date. This is also because the March 31, 2013 valuation incorporated the Series E convertible preferred stock financing that occurred during the three months ended March 31, 2013 on a pro forma basis and also factored an assumption that an initial draft registration statement submission would occur in April 2013 into the valuation scenario probability estimates as discussed further below.

The fair value of our common stock as of March 31, 2013 was estimated by our board of directors with assistance from a third party valuation. The March 31, 2013 valuation was prepared on a minority, non-marketable interest basis. Our aggregate enterprise value was determined using the income approach and a form of market approach under the PWERM. For the income approach, we continued to apply a discount rate of 40%, which was consistent with the September 2012 valuation, to the values derived from the income approach as we were still lacking of near-term revenue and still had similar regulatory risks related to our product candidates. The enterprise value derived under the income approach, after adding back current cash holdings and backing out outstanding debt to determine an equity value, was then allocated among the securities utilizing an OPM which determined a fair value on a non-marketable basis under the income approach of \$0.45 per share. The primary reason for the decrease in the fair value determined under the income approach compared to the September 2012 valuation was the significant increase in shares outstanding from approximately 2.6 million shares as of September 30, 2012 to approximately 9.7 million shares as of March 31, 2013 due to the conversion of the convertible notes and other Series E issuances during the three months ended March 31, 2013.

For the PWERM market-based approach, we established an equity value under three scenarios: (i) based on values of IPOs for other biotech and pharma companies over the past three years focusing primarily on companies that were in phase 3 trials with the FDA, (ii) based on a range of market multiples compared to our estimated 2017 revenues discounted back to our estimated IPO date on September 30, 2013 and (iii) based on current trading values of comparable aesthetic, late-stage and fast-follower companies. The resulting equity values were then divided by the outstanding shares of common stock, assuming conversion of all outstanding convertible preferred stock as though they converted automatically upon the closing of an IPO, which determined a fair value on a non-marketable basis under the PWERM market-based approach of \$15.00 per share.

The non-marketable fair value of the common stock was determined under the income approach and PWERM market approach. The resulting common stock fair values were then weighted by estimating a 60% probability to the fair value determined under the PWERM market-based approach and a 40% probability to the fair value determined under the income approach. The increase in the fair value of the common stock from the September 2012 valuation to the March 2013 valuation primarily resulted from a change in our valuation methodology which was partially offset by a significant increase in the number of outstanding shares of capital stock. Generally, higher probability weighting toward an IPO in a PWERM results in a higher per share fair value of common stock.

October 2013 Award

We granted an option to purchase 3,333 shares of our common stock on October 3, 2013. Our board of directors set an exercise price of \$8.85 per share for this option at the grant date based in part on the results of a third party valuation prepared as of September 30, 2013, which determined a fair value of our common stock of \$8.85 per share. We also used the fair value as determined in the September 30, 2013 valuation of \$8.85 per share as the fair value of the underlying common stock for purposes of calculating our stock-based compensation for this option.

The fair value of our common stock as of September 30, 2013 was estimated by our board of directors with assistance from a third party valuation. The September 30, 2013 valuation was prepared on a minority, non-marketable interest basis. Our aggregate enterprise value was determined using the income approach and a form

Table of Contents

of market approach under the PWERM. For the income approach, we continued to apply a discount rate of 40%, which was consistent with the March 2013 valuation, to the values derived from the income approach as we were still lacking of near-term revenue and still had similar regulatory risks related to our product candidates.

The enterprise value derived under the income approach, after adding back current cash holdings and backing out outstanding debt to determine an equity value, was then allocated among the securities utilizing an OPM which determined a fair value on a non-marketable basis under the income approach of \$0.15 per share.

For the PWERM market-based approach, we established an equity value under three scenarios: (i) based on values of IPOs for other biotech and pharma companies over the past three years focusing primarily on companies that were in phase 3 trials with the FDA, (ii) based on a range of market multiples compared to our estimated 2017 revenues discounted back to our estimated IPO date and (iii) based on current trading values of comparable aesthetic, late-stage and fast-follower companies. The resulting equity values were then divided by the outstanding shares of common stock, assuming conversion of all outstanding convertible preferred stock as though they converted automatically upon the closing of an IPO, which determined a fair value on a non-marketable basis under the PWERM market-based approach of \$14.70 per share.

The non-marketable fair value of the common stock was determined under the income approach and PWERM market approach. The resulting common stock fair values were then weighted by estimating a 60% probability to the fair value determined under the PWERM market-based approach and a 40% probability to the fair value determined under the income approach. The increase in the fair value of the common stock from the March 2013 valuation to the September 2013 valuation primarily resulted from higher implied pre-money equity values for recent IPOs.

December 2013 Awards

We granted options to purchase 217,210 shares of our common stock on December 17, 2013. Our board of directors set an exercise price of \$9.15 per share for these options at the grant date based in part on the results of a third party valuation prepared as of December 2, 2013, which determined a fair value of our common stock of \$9.15 per share. We also used the fair value as determined in the December 2, 2013 valuation of \$9.15 per share as the fair value of the underlying common stock for purposes of calculating our stock-based compensation for these options. The board chose to use the fair value as of December 2, 2013 even though the awards were granted on December 17, 2013 as they were not aware of any significant events or series of events that were not incorporated into the analysis that would have provided evidence that the fair value of \$9.15 per share was not still appropriate as of the grant date.

The fair value of our common stock as of December 2, 2013 was estimated by our board of directors with assistance from a third party valuation. The December 2, 2013 valuation was prepared on a minority, non-marketable interest basis. Our aggregate enterprise value was determined using the income approach and a form of market approach under the PWERM. For the income approach, we applied a discount rate of 35%, which was a slight decrease from the 40% discount rate utilized in the September 2013 valuation. We reduced the discount rate as we gained more clarity into our future revenue streams or determined there was a reduced risk to these forecasts but determined to leave the rate at 35% because we were still lacking of near-term revenue and still had similar regulatory risks related to our product candidates.

The enterprise value derived under the income approach, after adding back current cash holdings and backing out outstanding debt to determine an equity value, was then allocated among the securities utilizing an OPM which determined a fair value on a non-marketable basis under the income approach of \$0.015 per share. The primary reason for the decrease in the fair value determined under the income approach compared to the September 2013 valuation was the increase in shares outstanding from approximately 10.9 million shares as of September 30, 2013 to approximately 11.4 million shares as of December 2, 2013, as adjusted for the issuance of warrants to purchase common stock and the issuance of \$19.4 million in convertible notes during the three months ended December 31, 2013.

For the PWERM market-based approach, we established an equity value under three scenarios: (i) based on values of IPOs for other biotech and pharma companies over the past three years focusing primarily on

Table of Contents

companies that were in phase 3 trials with the FDA, (ii) based on a range of market multiples compared to our estimated 2017 revenues discounted back to our estimated IPO date and (iii) based on current trading values of comparable aesthetic, late-stage and fast-follower companies. The resulting equity values were then divided by the outstanding shares of common stock, assuming conversion of all outstanding convertible preferred stock as though they converted automatically upon the closing of an IPO, which determined a fair value on a non-marketable basis under the PWERM market-based approach of \$13.20 per share.

The non-marketable fair value of the common stock was determined under the income approach and PWERM market approach. The resulting common stock fair values were then weighted by estimating a 70% probability to the fair value determined under the PWERM market-based approach and a 30% probability to the fair value determined under the income approach. The increase in the fair value of the common stock from the September 2013 valuation to the December 2, 2013 valuation primarily resulted from a higher probability weighting toward an IPO, offset by a decrease in implied equity values due to revised forecasts. Generally, higher probability weighting toward an IPO in a PWERM results in a higher per share fair value of common stock.

In January 2014, we obtained a valuation report from a third party specialist to assist in determining the fair value of our common stock in connection with our accounting close and financial reporting requirements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013. The aggregate enterprise value in this report was determined using the income approach and a form of market approach under the PWERM. The fair value of our common stock on a nonmarketable basis under the PWERM market-based approach in the December 31 valuation report was \$14.25 per share. A negligible value for the nonmarketable fair value of the common stock was determined under the income approach. The nonmarketable fair value of the common stock was determined to be \$11.40 per share based on a weighting of 80% to the fair value determined under the PWERM market-based approach as noted above and a 20% weighting to the fair value determined under the income approach as well as discounts for lack of marketability. A higher probability weighting towards an IPO in the PWERM resulted in a higher per share value of common stock compared to the value as of December 2, 2013.

January 2014 Awards

We granted options to purchase 13,333 shares of our common stock on January 15, 2014. Our board of directors set an exercise price of \$15.45 per share for these options. When assessing the appropriate fair value of these options, our board of directors considered the anticipated price range for this offering in its assessment because it provided the best evidence of fair value as of the date of grant and determined the fair value of the shares of our common stock underlying these grants was no less than \$15.00 per share, which was the midpoint of the price range reflected on the cover page of the preliminary prospectus, or the preliminary price range. In light of the proximity of the January 15, 2014 option grants to the proposed IPO, our board of directors did not utilize a discount for lack of marketability or consider other valuation scenarios in its assessment.

We believe the difference between the fair value of our common stock on December 2 and 31, 2013 and the preliminary price range is the result of the following factors:

Liquidity Discount

The preliminary price range assumes that the IPO has occurred and a public market for our common stock has been created, and therefore excludes any marketability or illiquidity discount for our common stock. The December 2 and 31, 2013 valuation reports reflected an 11.2 - 25.9% discount and 5.3 - 25.7% discount depending upon the valuation scenario under consideration, respectively, for lack of marketability, which we believe was appropriate due to the volatility of the market and uncertainty about the extent of public investor interest in new public offerings of securities by life sciences companies at each valuation date and the uncertainty surrounding the timing of this offering.

Other Valuation Scenarios

The preliminary price range, unlike the December 2 and 31, 2013 valuations, necessarily assumes only a single successful liquidity event. Because the preliminary price range assumes that the offering would occur in

Table of Contents

the near term, no probability was assigned to other outcomes (e.g., staying private for an extended period contingent upon raising additional funding, entering into a strategic merger/sale, or maturity of outstanding debt instruments). As a result, the preliminary price range was neither reduced by the expected future business values (discounted to present value) from other potential future liquidity events nor discounted for a lack of marketability.

Preferred Stock Preferences

In addition, the holders of our convertible preferred stock currently enjoy substantial economic rights and preferences over the holders of our common stock. In particular, holders of our outstanding convertible preferred stock are entitled to receive dividends prior to any dividends declared or paid on any shares of our common stock. In addition, holders of outstanding convertible preferred stock are entitled to receive liquidation payments in preference to holders of common stock in the event of dissolution, merger, or sale of the Company. The preliminary price range assumes the conversion of all of our convertible preferred stock upon the completion of this offering. The corresponding elimination of the preferences and rights of the holders of such convertible preferred stock results in a higher valuation for purposes of the preliminary price range, compared to the December 2 and 31, 2013 valuations, which included the effect of preferences for our convertible preferred stock in non-IPO valuation scenarios.

Deleveraging

In connection with this offering, the 2013 notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$23.65 million, our highest interest rate debt, will automatically convert into shares of our common stock. As a result of the elimination of conversion rights in non-IPO contexts and the general deleveraging of our balance sheet and our transition to a public company, we expect to realize substantially better economics and pricing on any future financing than was available at the time of the December 2 and 31, 2013 valuations, which included the effect of deleveraging only in the IPO valuation scenarios. The estimated valuation based on the preliminary price range reflects a benefit related to this reduction in debt.

Market Conditions

Since the December 2, 2013 valuation date, overall stock market conditions and valuations of comparable public companies utilized in determining the December 2 and 31, 2013 valuations have improved. For example, the closing price of the NASDAQ Biotechnology Index (^NBI) increased from \$2,347.88 on December 2, 2013 to \$2,640.02 as of January 23, 2014, which represents a 12.4% increase in price from December 2, 2013.

The most recent valuation of our common stock as of December 31, 2013 of \$11.40 is 24% lower than midpoint of the preliminary price range. The difference is principally attributable to the lack of marketability and illiquidity of our common stock discussed above and the remaining execution risk associated with this offering as of the valuation date.

Our stock-based compensation for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013 was recognized as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended	
	2011	2012	2012	September 30,
			2013	
			(Unaudited)	
	(In thousands)			
Stock-Based Compensation:				
Research and development	\$ 150	\$ 48	\$ 27	\$ 138
Sales, general and administrative	123	31	39	208
Total stock-based compensation	\$ 273	\$ 79	\$ 66	\$ 346

As of September 30, 2013, we had \$2.8 million of unrecognized stock-based compensation, net of estimated forfeitures that we expect to recognize over a weighted-average period of 3.2 years. In future periods, we expect

Table of Contents

our stock-based compensation to increase in absolute dollars as a result of our existing unrecognized stock-based compensation to be recognized as these options vest and as we issue additional stock-based awards to attract and retain employees.

Convertible Preferred Stock Warrants

We account for warrants to purchase shares of our convertible preferred stock as liabilities at fair value because these warrants may obligate us to transfer assets to the holders at a future date under certain circumstances, such as a change of control. We remeasure these warrants to current fair value at each balance sheet date, and any change in fair value is recognized as a change in fair value of warrant liability in our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss. We estimated the fair value of these warrants at the respective balance sheet dates using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. We use a number of assumptions to estimate the fair value including the remaining contractual terms of the warrant, risk-free interest rates, expected dividend yield and expected volatility of the price of the underlying stock.

The fair value of the outstanding convertible preferred stock warrants was remeasured as of each period end using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

	As of December 31,		As of September 30,
	2011	2012	2013
Remaining contractual term (in years)	3.9	6.5	6.8
Risk-free interest rate	0.7%	1.0%	1.9%
Expected volatility	58%	57%	59%
Expected dividend rate	0%	0%	0%

These assumptions are subjective and the fair value of these warrants may have differed significantly had we used different assumptions. We will continue to adjust the liability for changes in fair value until the earlier of the exercise or expiration of the convertible preferred stock warrants, conversion of convertible preferred stock into common stock, or until holders of the convertible preferred stock can no longer trigger a deemed liquidation event. At that time, the convertible preferred stock warrant liability will be reclassified to additional paid-in capital.

Derivative Liabilities

As of December 31, 2011 and 2012 and September 30, 2013, the following derivative liabilities were outstanding (in thousands):

	As of December 31,		As of September 30,
	2011	2012	2013
	(In thousands)		
			(Unaudited)
Derivative liabilities associated with the convertible notes	\$ 13,405	\$ 1,800	\$
Derivative liabilities associated with Medicis settlement Proceed sharing payment		12,880	7,069
Derivative liabilities associated with Medicis settlement Product approval payment		2,388	1,537
Total fair value of outstanding derivatives	\$ 13,405	\$ 17,068	\$ 8,606

Derivatives Liabilities Associated with the Convertible Notes

During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012, we issued convertible notes in the aggregate amount of \$63.3 million. The convertible notes have conversion and redemption features related to the conversion of the notes. These conversion and redemption features were determined to be embedded derivatives requiring bifurcation and separate accounting. Accordingly, we recorded a derivative liability which will be remeasured to

Table of Contents

fair value as of each balance sheet date and the related remeasurement adjustments will be recognized as a change in fair value of derivative liabilities associated with the convertible notes in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss.

As a result of the convertible note issuances, we recorded a derivative liability of \$13.0 million associated with the convertible notes issued during the year ended December 31, 2011 and an additional derivative liability of \$2.3 million associated with the convertible notes issued during the year ended December 31, 2012. The fair value of these derivative instruments was recognized as an additional debt discount and as a derivative liability on the consolidated balance sheets upon issuance of the respective convertible notes. The derivative liability required periodic remeasurements to fair value while the derivative was still outstanding and, accordingly, we recognized a charge of \$0.4 million for the remeasurement of the derivative liability associated with convertible notes during the year ended December 31, 2011 but recognized remeasurement gains for this instrument during the year ended December 31, 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2013 of \$13.9 million and \$1.8 million, respectively. The fair value of the derivative liabilities associated with convertible notes was determined upon issuance in 2011 and 2012 using with and without valuation methodology with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2011	2012
Expected term (in years)	2.1	0.6
Discount rate	55%	55%
Weighted-average scenario probabilities		
Maturity	20%	5%
Qualified financing	30%	70%
Initial public offering	20%	14%
Private Investment in Public Equity, or PIPE	10%	0%
Change in control	20%	11%

There were no issuances of convertible notes, or the related instruments, during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

The fair value of the derivative liabilities associated with convertible notes was determined as of December 31, 2011 and 2012 using the with-and-without valuation methodology with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	As of December 31,	
	2011	2012
Expected term (in years)	1.4	0.4
Discount rate	55%	55%
Weighted-average scenario probabilities		
Maturity	10%	5%
Qualified financing	50%	93%
Initial public offering	25%	0%
PIPE	0%	0%
Change in control	15%	2%

The remeasurement adjustments were reflected in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss as change in fair value of derivative liabilities associated with the convertible notes and the fair value of the derivatives was recorded as a non-current obligation on the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2011 and as a current obligation as of December 31, 2012. The related convertible notes converted into shares of Series E convertible preferred stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Immediately prior to the conversion in March 2013, we determined the fair value of the embedded derivatives to

Table of Contents

be approximately zero as the execution of a qualified financing approached certainty. Accordingly, the derivative liabilities associated with these convertible notes were no longer outstanding as of September 30, 2013 and will therefore no longer require periodic fair value remeasurements.

As noted earlier in this section, the fair values of the derivative liabilities associated with the convertible notes were measured using a with-and-without valuation methodology. Inputs used to determine the estimated fair value of these derivative instruments include the probability estimates of potential settlement scenarios for the convertible notes, a present value discount rate and an estimate of the expected timing of settlement, all of which are highly subjective and open to change. Generally, increases (decreases) in the discount rate would result in a directionally opposite impact to the fair value measurement of this derivative instrument. Also, changes in the probability scenarios would have had varying impacts depending on the weighting of each specific scenario. More specifically, heavier weighting towards a change in control, a PIPE transaction or an initial public offering would result in an increase in fair value of this derivative instrument. The decrease in the fair value of the derivative liabilities associated with the convertible notes during the year ended December 31, 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was due primarily to (i) the decrease in the expected term for a liquidity event due to the passage of time and (ii) the weightings of the various scenario probabilities. The reduction in the expected term reduces the fair value of the derivatives primarily because awards are generally less valuable if there is less time allowed for exercising and recognizing the related expected benefit underlying the derivative instruments. In addition, the fair value of the award was reduced as we moved our estimated probabilities away from the initial public offering, PIPE and change in control scenarios and estimated a significantly higher weighting towards a qualified financing. Our board of directors' estimates for these probabilities became much more clear towards the end of 2012 and into 2013 when we had already started discussions for a qualified financing in the form of the Series E preferred stock financing which ultimately occurred in the first quarter of 2013.

Derivatives Associated with the Medicis Settlement

In October 2012, we entered into a settlement with Medicis that resulted in the termination of their contractual relationship with us. In the settlement, we agreed to pay Medicis an aggregate of up to \$25.0 million consisting of (i) \$7.0 million payable at the execution of the settlement agreement; (ii) \$14.0 million payable based on a proceeds sharing arrangement (the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment) whereby 15% of specified types of cash proceeds received by us are to be remitted to Medicis until the full \$14.0 million is paid (an aggregate of \$6.9 million of which was paid to Medicis in April and May 2013); and (iii) \$4.0 million payable due upon marketing approval of RT001 or RT002 in the United States or any major European market (the Product Approval Payment). We determined that the settlement provisions related to (ii) and (iii) above were derivative instruments that require fair value accounting at the time of settlement and fair value remeasurements on a periodic basis going forward. Accordingly, we recorded derivative liabilities on the balance sheet based on the derivative liabilities' respective fair values on the settlement date. These derivative liabilities will be reduced as the related payments are made under the settlement agreement and the remaining liabilities will be subsequently remeasured to fair value as of each balance sheet date with the related remeasurement adjustments recognized in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss.

The fair value of the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment was estimated to be \$12.9 million and fair value of the Product Approval Payment was estimated to be \$2.4 million upon issuance in October 2012 and as of December 31, 2012. The fair value of the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment derivative was initially determined using an option pricing model with the following assumptions: expected term of 0.75 years, risk-free rate of 0.2% and volatility of 46%. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we made payments in the amount of \$6.9 million against the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment. As of September 30, 2013, the fair value of the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment derivative was \$7.1 million which was determined using an option pricing model with the following assumptions: expected term of 0.75 years, risk-free rate of 0.1% and volatility of 43%. These valuations were also heavily weighted toward an initial public offering being the most likely outcome for our business at the time of issuance. With that said, the estimated fair value of the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment was essentially equal to the amount owed to Medicis under this component of the settlement agreement. Therefore as we pay down the amounts due under this component of the Medicis

Table of Contents

settlement agreement, the related fair value of the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment derivative liability will also be reduced.

The fair value of the Product Approval Payment derivative was initially determined by estimating the timing and probability of the related approval and multiplying the payment amount by this probability percentage and a discount factor assuming a term of two years and a risk free rate of 0.25%. As of September 30, 2013, the fair value of the Product Approval Payment derivative was \$1.5 million which was determined using a term of 3.5 years, a risk-free rate of 0.8%, and a credit risk adjustment of 7%. The primary drivers of any fair value movements for the Product Approval Payment derivative are the estimated probability of the related approval and the credit risk adjustment. If the probability estimate increases (decreases) and the credit risk adjustment decreases (increases), the fair value of the derivative will increase (decrease).

We will record adjustments to the fair value of the derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement until the related settlement payments have been paid. At that time, these derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement will be adjusted to fair value one last time with the final fair value being reclassified to additional paid-in capital.

Multiple Element Arrangements

We record arrangements with multiple deliverables based on the individual units of accounting determined to exist in the arrangement. A deliverable item is considered a separate unit of accounting when the item has value to the parties entering into the arrangement on a stand-alone basis, the delivery or performance of an undelivered item is considered probable and under our control, or represents a legal obligation for us. Items are considered to have stand-alone value when we could negotiate similar items on a stand-alone basis. When a deliverable does not meet the criteria to be considered a separate unit of accounting, we group it with other deliverables that, when combined, meet the criteria, and the appropriate allocation of arrangement consideration is determined. Consideration is allocated at the inception of the contract to all deliverables based on their relative fair values.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

We assess the impairment of long-lived assets, such as property and equipment subject to depreciation and amortization, when events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable. Among the factors and circumstances we considered in determining recoverability are: (i) a significant adverse change in the extent to which, or manner in which, a long-lived asset is being used or in its physical condition; (ii) a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate that could affect the value of a long-lived asset, including an adverse action or assessment by a regulator; (iii) an accumulation of costs significantly in excess of the amount originally expected for the acquisition; and (iv) current-period operating or cash flow loss combined with a history of operating or cash flow losses or a projection or forecast that demonstrates continuing losses associated with the use of a long-lived asset. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to the estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized in the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. There have been no indicators of impairment, and we did not record any impairment losses during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 or the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Income Taxes

We are subject to income taxes in the United States, and we use estimates in determining our provision for income taxes. We use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, we calculate deferred tax asset or liability account balances at the balance sheet date using current tax laws and rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to affect our taxable income.

We estimate actual current tax exposure together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differences in accounting for reporting purposes and tax purposes for certain items, such as accruals and allowances not currently deductible for tax purposes. These temporary differences result in deferred tax assets and

Table of Contents

liabilities, which are included in our consolidated balance sheets. In general, deferred tax assets represent future tax benefits to be received when certain expenses previously recognized in our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss become deductible expenses under applicable income tax laws or when net operating loss or credit carryforwards are utilized. Accordingly, realization of our deferred tax assets is dependent on future taxable income against which these deductions, losses and credit carryforwards can be utilized.

We must assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income, and to the extent we believe that recovery is not likely, establish a valuation allowance.

As of December 31, 2012, we had net operating loss carryforwards of \$160.0 million for federal and state income purposes. The federal net operating loss carryforwards will begin to expire in 2020, and the state net operating loss carryforwards began expiring in 2011. In addition, as of December 31, 2012, we had federal and state research and development tax credit carryforwards of \$4.1 million and \$4.0 million, respectively. Due to U.S. federal legislation on January 2, 2013 extending federal research development tax credits from January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2013, we will record an additional \$0.4 million of credits in the tax year 2013 related to tax year 2012. The federal research and development tax credit carryforwards will begin to expire in 2023, if not used, and the state research and development tax credit carryforwards do not expire. Because of the net operating loss and credit carryforwards, all of our tax years remain open to federal and California examinations.

Under federal and similar state tax statutes, changes in our ownership, including ownership changes resulting from the offering contemplated by this prospectus, may limit our ability to use our available net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. The annual limitation, as a result of a change of ownership, may result in the expiration of net operating losses and credits before utilization. We have determined that an ownership change occurred on April 7, 2004 but that all carryforwards can be utilized prior to expiration. Our ability to use our remaining net operating loss carryforwards may be further limited if we experience an ownership change in connection with this offering or as a result of future changes in our stock ownership.

There was no impact on the provision (benefit) for income taxes or the deferred tax assets as a result of the extinguishment of debt and extinguishment of preferred stock and related conversion, which occurred in March 2013.

JOBS Act

We are an emerging growth company within the meaning of the JOBS Act, which was enacted in April 2012. Section 107 of the JOBS Act provides that an emerging growth company can take advantage of the extended transition period provided in Section 7(a)(2)(B) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, for complying with new or revised accounting standards. Thus, an emerging growth company can delay the adoption of certain accounting standards until those standards would otherwise apply to private companies. We have irrevocably elected not to avail ourselves of this extended transition period and, as a result, we will adopt new or revised accounting standards on the relevant dates on which adoption of such standards is required for other public companies that are not emerging growth companies.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

Our contractual commitments will have an impact on our future liquidity. The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2012, which represent material expected or contractually committed future obligations, with terms in excess of one year. We believe that we will be able to fund these obligations through cash generated funding activities and from our existing cash balances.

Contractual Obligations:(1)(2)	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		Year 1	Years 2 to 3 (In thousands)	Years 4 to 5	More than 5 Years
Operating lease obligations(3)	\$ 42,867	\$ 4,267	\$ 8,864	\$ 9,327	\$ 20,409
Capital lease obligations(4)	1,034	1,029	5		
Long-term debt obligations notes payable(5)	18,597	7,559	11,038		
Milestones payable(6)	3,775	3,775			
Medicis legal settlement payable(7)	14,000	14,000			
Total	\$ 80,273	\$ 30,630	\$ 19,907	\$ 9,327	\$ 20,409

- (1) As of December 31, 2012, we had outstanding convertible notes with a principal and accrued interest of \$87.0 million, which are not included in the contractual obligations table because the convertible notes and accrued interest converted into 4,748,468 shares of Series E-4 convertible preferred stock in March 2013.
- (2) As of December 31, 2012, we had outstanding purchase commitments in the amount of \$10.4 million, which are not included in the contractual obligations table because they are cancellable at any time by us. These commitments are related to outstanding purchase orders for the acquisition of equipment to be installed in our manufacturing facility.
- (3) Operating lease agreements represent our obligations to make payments under non-cancelable lease agreements for our facilities.
- (4) Capital lease obligations represent our obligations to make payments under capital lease agreements for purchases of machinery and equipment.
- (5) Long-term Debt Obligations - Notes payable represent our obligations to make payments under a term loan agreement with Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc. (excludes amounts payable under the Essex Capital Facility).
- (6) We entered into a license and service agreement and a manufacturing and supply agreement with List Biological Laboratories, Inc., a developer of botulinum toxin. The agreement includes certain milestone payments for the preparation of botulinum toxin and the development of the toxin manufacturing process as well as royalties from future sales of botulinum toxin. As of December 31, 2012, we had \$3.8 million accrued for these milestones which had been met but not yet paid. We paid \$2.0 million of this amount in April 2013 and the remaining \$1.8 million in October 2013.
- (7) In October 2012, we entered into a settlement with Medicis that resulted in the termination of their contractual relationship with us. In the settlement, we agreed to pay Medicis an aggregate of up to \$25.0 million consisting of (i) \$7.0 million payable at the execution of the settlement agreement which occurred in October 2012; (ii) \$14.0 million payable based on the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment whereby 15% of specified types of cash proceeds received by us are to be remitted to Medicis until the full \$14.0 million is paid (an

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

aggregate of \$6.9 million of which was paid to Medicis in April and May 2013); and (iii) \$4.0 million payable due upon marketing approval of RT001 or RT002 in the United States or any major European market. We do not know exactly when these payments will be made; however, we have included the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment estimate in the above table because we believe these amounts will be fully paid by early 2014. We have not included the \$4.0 million payable upon marketing approval in the contractual obligations table above.

Table of Contents

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of September 30, 2013, we did not have any relationships with any entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities that would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to market risk in the ordinary course of our business. Market risk represents the risk of loss that may impact our financial position due to adverse changes in financial market prices and rates. Our market risk exposure is primarily a result of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates. We do not hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to our cash and cash equivalents. Our cash and cash equivalents are held in deposit and money market accounts. Our primary exposure to market risk is interest income sensitivity, which is affected by changes in the general level of the interest rates in the United States. However, because of the short-term nature of the instruments in our portfolio, a sudden change in market interest rates would not be expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

We also have fixed interest rate notes payable which are collateralized by substantially all of our assets, excluding our intellectual property. Because of the fixed interest rate, a hypothetical 100 basis points change in interest rates would have no impact on our borrowing or results of operations.

Foreign Exchange

Our operations are primarily conducted in the United States using the U.S. Dollar. However, we conduct limited operations in foreign countries, primarily for clinical and regulatory services, whereby settlement of our obligations are denominated in the local currency. Transactional exposure arises where transactions occur in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar. Transactions denominated in foreign currencies are recorded at the exchange rate prevailing at the date of the transaction with the resulting liabilities being translated into the U.S. Dollar at exchange rates prevailing at the balance sheet date. The resulting gains and losses, which were insignificant for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2013, are included in other income (expense) in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss. We do not use currency forward exchange contracts to offset the related effect on the underlying transactions denominated in a foreign currency.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued authoritative guidance that addresses the presentation of comprehensive income for annual reporting of financial statements. The guidance is intended to improve the comparability, consistency and transparency of financial reporting and to increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income by eliminating the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. Such changes in the stockholders' equity will be required to be disclosed in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2012, and should be applied retrospectively for all periods presented. Early adoption is permitted. This new guidance impacts how we report comprehensive income only, and did not have any effect on our results of operations, financial position or liquidity upon its required adoption on January 1, 2012.

Additionally, in May 2011, updated authoritative guidance to amend existing requirements for fair value measurements and disclosures was issued. The guidance expands the disclosure requirements around fair value measurements categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy and requires disclosure of the level in the fair value hierarchy of items that are not measured at fair value but whose fair value must be disclosed. It also clarifies and expands upon existing requirements for fair value measurements of financial assets and liabilities as

Table of Contents

well as instruments classified in stockholders' equity. The guidance was effective for the year ended December 31, 2012 and was applied prospectively. This new guidance impacts how we report on fair value measurements only, and had no effect on our results of operations, financial position or liquidity upon our adoption on January 1, 2012.

In April 2011, the FASB issued new accounting guidance relating to the accounting for repurchase agreements and other agreements that both entitle and obligate a transferor to repurchase or redeem financial assets before their maturity. The guidance addresses effective control in repurchase agreements and eliminates the requirement for entities to consider whether the transferor (i.e., seller) has the ability to repurchase the financial assets in a repurchase agreement. This new accounting guidance will be effective, on a prospective basis, for new transactions or modifications to existing transactions on January 1, 2012. The adoption of this new guidance did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard update requiring enhanced disclosure about certain financial instruments and derivative instruments that are offset in the balance sheet or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The disclosure requirement becomes effective retrospectively in the first quarter of our fiscal year beginning on January 1, 2013. We do not expect that the requirement will have an impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows as it is disclosure-only in nature.

In February 2013, the FASB issued guidance which addresses the presentation of amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income. This guidance does not change current financial reporting requirements, instead an entity is required to cross-reference to other required disclosures that provide additional detail about amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. In addition, the guidance requires an entity to present significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by line item of net income if the amount reclassified is required to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. Adoption of this standard is required for periods beginning after December 15, 2012 for public companies. This new guidance impacts how we report comprehensive income only, and will have no effect on our results of operations, financial position or liquidity upon its required adoption on January 1, 2013.

In February 2013, the FASB issued changes to the accounting for obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements. These changes require an entity to measure such obligations for which the total amount of the obligation is fixed at the reporting date as the sum of (i) the amount the reporting entity agreed to pay on the basis of its arrangement among its co-obligors, and (ii) any additional amount the reporting entity expects to pay on behalf of its co-obligors. An entity will also be required to disclose the nature and amount of the obligation as well as other information about those obligations. Examples of obligations subject to these requirements are debt arrangements and settled litigation and judicial rulings. These changes become effective for us on January 1, 2014. We have determined that the adoption of these changes will not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2013, the FASB issued changes to the presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. These changes require an entity to present an unrecognized tax benefit as a liability in the financial statements if (i) a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position, or (ii) the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position. Otherwise, an unrecognized tax benefit is required to be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward. These changes become effective for us on January 1, 2014. We have determined that the adoption of these changes will not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BUSINESS

Company Overview

We are a clinical stage specialty biopharmaceutical company focused on the development, manufacturing and commercialization of novel botulinum toxin products for multiple aesthetic and therapeutic applications. Botulinum toxin is a well-characterized protein currently used in numerous aesthetic and therapeutic indications and represents a multi-billion dollar market in the United States and other countries. All currently approved and commercially available botulinum toxin products are administered by injection. Our lead product candidate, RT001, is a topical formulation of botulinum toxin type A, which we believe has significant advantages over existing injectable products and could significantly expand the botulinum toxin market beyond existing users. Our second product candidate, RT002, is a novel injectable formulation of botulinum toxin type A designed to be more targeted and longer lasting than currently available botulinum toxin injectable products. Both of our product candidates combine our purified botulinum toxin with our proprietary TransMTS[®] peptide delivery system. We own the worldwide rights to both of our product candidates.

We are evaluating RT001 in a broad clinical program that includes aesthetic indications such as lateral canthal lines, the wrinkles around the eyes which are commonly referred to as crow's feet lines, and therapeutic indications such as hyperhidrosis, or excessive sweating, migraine headache and allergic rhinitis, or inflammation of the mucous membrane inside the nose. RT001 is currently in a Phase 3 clinical development program in the United States for the treatment of crow's feet lines and has the potential to be the first approved non-injectable botulinum toxin product. RT001's primary advantages include painless topical administration, ease of use and limited dependence on administration technique by physicians and medical staff. These advantages should improve the experience of patients undergoing botulinum toxin procedures and make RT001 more suitable for many more indications than currently approved injectable botulinum toxin products.

The first indications we are pursuing are in the field of dermatology. According to Global Data, the largest use for botulinum toxins is in aesthetic dermatology, which is estimated to generate approximately \$1.4 billion in worldwide sales in 2013. If approved, we believe RT001 can expand the overall botulinum toxin aesthetic market by appealing to new patients who would prefer a needle-free approach to treatment. The aesthetic dermatology market is attractive because we believe that patients in this market tend to be open to trying new products and are willing to pay for aesthetic procedures out of pocket, reducing reliance on reimbursement. We are focused on this market not only because of its size and growth potential but also because, in the United States and Europe, this market can be easily accessed by a small specialty sales force and distributor network.

We are in a Phase 3 clinical development program of RT001 in North America for the treatment of crow's feet lines, and we plan to initiate an additional Phase 3 clinical trial in Europe by early 2015. We expect to receive primary efficacy data from a pivotal Phase 3 clinical trial of RT001 in mid-2014 and duration data in the second half of 2014. We plan to complete the Phase 3 program for the treatment of crow's feet lines and file for regulatory approvals in the United States and Europe in 2016. To date, we have conducted thirteen clinical trials for RT001, with a total of over 1,400 subjects, for the treatment of crow's feet lines. In our Phase 2 clinical trials, RT001 has demonstrated a statistically significant and clinically meaningful reduction in crow's feet lines that is visible to both physicians and patients. These and other studies have also indicated that RT001 is well tolerated with no serious adverse events related to study drug or study treatment procedures or other safety concerns.

We are also developing RT001 for therapeutic applications where botulinum toxin has shown efficacy and that are particularly well suited for needle-free treatments. We have successfully completed initial Phase 2 clinical trials for the treatment of primary axillary, or underarm, hyperhidrosis, and for the prevention of migraine headache. We expect to initiate additional clinical trials for the development of RT001 for these and other indications.

In addition to our topical product candidate, we are developing an injectable formulation of botulinum toxin type A, which we refer to as RT002, for indications where deeper delivery of the botulinum toxin is required and a longer lasting effect is desired. We believe RT002 can provide more targeted delivery of botulinum toxin to intended treatment sites while reducing the unwanted spread of botulinum toxin to adjacent areas. We believe that this delivery, enabled by our proprietary peptide technology, permits safe administration of higher doses of

Table of Contents

botulinum toxin and can result in longer lasting effect. We have demonstrated these properties in preclinical studies and are currently testing RT002 in a four-cohort, dose escalating, open label Phase 1/2 clinical trial outside of the United States for the treatment of glabellar lines, the vertical lines between the eyebrows and above the nose. Initial data from this clinical trial indicated that RT002 is safe and efficacious at all four doses. Based upon the data analyzed, we plan to further develop RT002 for the treatment of glabellar lines by initiating a Phase 2 clinical trial in 2014. In addition, we plan to study RT002 in therapeutic indications already approved for botulinum toxin, such as movement disorders and overactive bladder. These indications require deeper delivery of the botulinum toxin and are likely to be better served by injectable delivery of RT002.

We have the ability to manufacture our own botulinum toxin type A product to support our clinical trials and eventually, our commercial production. We are licensed with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or CDC, and with the California Department of Health Food and Drug Branch for use of botulinum toxin and to manufacture both the active pharmaceutical ingredient, or API, and the finished product in topical and injectable dose forms. We believe that having direct control over our manufacturing processes, from initial drug substance to finished product, will enable us to develop additional pharmaceutical product configurations effectively and with a competitive cost structure.

As of January 21, 2014, we held approximately 86 issued patents and approximately 150 pending patent applications, including foreign counterparts of U.S. patents and applications. Ten of our patents are issued in the United States, with the rest issued in Australia, Canada, China, various countries in Europe, Hong Kong, Israel, Japan, Malaysia, Mexico, New Zealand, Singapore and South Africa. In addition, we have pending patent applications in the United States as well as in Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, Europe, Hong Kong, Israel, India, Japan, Korea, Mexico, New Zealand, Singapore and Taiwan. The earliest that any of our patents will expire is July 20, 2021. Two U.S. patents for RT001 presently have expiration dates of October 22, 2027 and July 7, 2029. One of these patents may be eligible for an extension of up to five years.

Our founders and executive management team have held senior positions at leading healthcare companies and possess extensive expertise with botulinum toxin products and across the spectrum of discovery, development and commercialization of innovative products and technologies. Members of our senior executive team have played key roles at Allergan, Inc., Connetics Corporation, CoTherix, Inc., ISTA Pharmaceuticals, Inc., The Procter & Gamble Company and W.L. Gore & Associates, Inc.

Our Strategy

Our objective is to be a leading provider of botulinum toxin products across multiple aesthetic and therapeutic indications in both topical and injectable dose forms and to expand the market for botulinum toxin products. To achieve this objective, we plan to develop and commercialize two proprietary, patent-protected product candidates: RT001, our topical botulinum toxin, and RT002, our injectable botulinum toxin.

Key elements of our strategy are:

Complete Development And Seek Regulatory Approval For RT001. We are in the advanced stages of our development process of RT001 for the treatment of crow's feet lines. We expect to initiate the first of two U.S. Phase 3 pivotal clinical trials in the first half of 2014 and plan to initiate an additional Phase 3 trial in Europe by 2015. We expect to file for regulatory approvals for the treatment of crow's feet lines in the United States and Europe in 2016. This will allow us to gain entry to the field of aesthetic dermatology, which is currently the single largest market for botulinum toxin. We chose to focus on this market not only because of its size and growth potential but also because, in the United States and Europe, this market can be easily accessed by a small specialty sales force.

Assess And Prioritize Future Therapeutic Indications For RT001. We have already conducted Phase 2 clinical trials evaluating RT001 in underarm hyperhidrosis and migraine headache. In the future, we expect to develop RT001 for these therapeutic indications as well as others such as pain indications, rhinitis and other conditions where injection-based botulinum toxin dose forms are poorly tolerated or have higher risk of adverse events. We believe that the commercial potential of RT001 in therapeutic

Table of Contents

indications could be substantial given the number of indications that we could pursue and the significant advantages of a painless, topical approach for therapeutic applications, particularly including those where numerous injections of botulinum toxin are required with currently available botulinum toxin products.

Advance RT002 Into Clinical Development. We expect to advance our second product candidate, RT002, into Phase 2 clinical trials for the treatment of glabellar lines in 2014. Assuming success of our Phase 2 clinical trials, we will move into Phase 3 clinical trials.

Build Our Own Sales And Marketing Capabilities To Commercialize RT001 and RT002 In North America. If RT001 is approved for the treatment of crow's feet lines by the FDA, we intend to build our own sales force and commercial organization to launch RT001 and RT002 in North America with the first anticipated commercial launch starting in 2017. Specifically, we plan to build a focused, specialized sales force to target the key physicians who perform the majority of the aesthetic procedures. These include dermatologists, plastic surgeons, facial plastic surgeons and oculo-plastic surgeons.

Expand The Global Market For Botulinum Toxin Products. We believe RT001 can expand the overall botulinum toxin market beyond the current patient base by bringing in new patients who would prefer a needle-free approach to treatment and a more tolerable procedure. We believe RT001's profile may also make it preferable for aesthetic indications where the risk of toxin spreading to adjacent muscles can cause undesired outcomes such as bruising, droopy eye and unwanted frozen face. We believe RT002 also has the ability to expand the botulinum toxin market by appealing to patients who seek a longer lasting effect.

Establish Selective Strategic Partnerships To Maximize The Commercial Potential Of Our Product Candidates and TransMTS® Delivery Technology Platform. Outside of North America and for non-aesthetic indications, we plan to evaluate whether to commercialize our product candidates on our own or in collaboration with potential partners. Specifically, subject to receiving regulatory approval of RT001 and RT002 outside of the United States, we will evaluate whether to build in-house commercial capabilities in one or more foreign countries or to seek commercialization partners to maximize the profitability of RT001 and RT002. Additionally, the TransMTS® peptide delivery technology platform could potentially be used for molecules other than botulinum toxin. We plan on opportunistically partnering or licensing the technology to develop this capability.

Maximize The Value Of Our Botulinum Toxin Cell Line And Manufacturing Assets. We have developed an integrated manufacturing, analytics, research and development facility that is capable of producing proprietary topical, injectable and biosimilar dose forms of botulinum toxin. We plan to supply our own and our potential partners' commercial organizations with botulinum toxin-based products for sale and may consider partnering to supply other companies with botulinum toxin type A in selected situations.

The Botulinum Toxin Market

Botulinum toxin is a protein and neurotoxin produced by *Clostridium botulinum*. Since 1989 botulinum toxin in an injectable dose form has been used to treat a variety of aesthetic and therapeutic indications in the United States. Botulinum toxin has been approved for a variety of therapeutic indications including blepharospasm, or uncontrolled blinking, and strabismus, or crossed eyes, associated with neurological movement disorders, facial wrinkles, hyperhidrosis, migraine headache and, most recently, overactive bladder conditions. In the United States, botulinum toxin has been approved to treat two aesthetic indications, glabellar lines and lateral canthal lines, although we believe that botulinum toxin is widely used for other aesthetic indications. Only three products, Allergan's Boto®, Ipsen and Valeant's Dysport®, and Merz's Xeomin®, each of which is delivered in an injectable form, have been approved for the treatment of glabellar lines in the United States.

According to GIA, the worldwide injectable botulinum toxin market has grown from \$1.1 billion in 2004 to over \$2.4 billion in 2012. These growth rates do not include additional market-expansion that might result from the development of botulinum toxin in a topical dose form. We expect continued growth of the botulinum toxin market to be driven by new indications and new geographies. According to the FDA, there are over 100 active clinical trials for a wide range of uses of botulinum toxin, with more than one-third of these identified as being in Phase 3 clinical

Table of Contents

development. While we are unaware of any clinical trials for potentially competitive topical products that may reach the market before RT001, it is possible that clinical trials for such potentially competitive topical products have occurred or are occurring.

Limitations of Current Injectable Products

We believe that, despite historical growth and anticipated continued rapid growth, the growth of the injectable botulinum toxin market will be hampered by several factors:

While currently marketed injectable botulinum toxin products are typically administered every three to four months, we believe a longer lasting injectable product administered every six months or longer would be highly desired by consumers because it would offer greater convenience with a decreased risk of unwanted spread of botulinum toxin to adjacent areas.

A large segment of consumers, who are actively considering cosmetic procedures in general, and injectable botulinum toxin in particular, often do not enter the market because of an aversion to needles, which is also heightened by the need to repeat treatment every three to six months;

The risks of needle-based treatments include bruises at injection sites, droopy eye, unwanted frozen face and other adverse events associated with injection site complications; and

Many new potential therapeutic indications are impractical for needle-based treatment given the numerous injections required for large treatment areas and the poor tolerability associated with such injections.

As a result, we believe the botulinum toxin market could expand beyond the current patient base with the introduction of a topical formulation such as RT001. Based on our market research, a topical treatment would address key consumer barriers for injectable botulinum toxin products. We believe that a topical treatment could expand the use of botulinum toxin to a wider range of physicians and allow those physicians who currently perform botulinum toxin procedures to do so on a larger number of patients. Additionally, our research indicates that a topical treatment can improve the profitability of physicians' practices by increasing the number of procedures per patient.

The Opportunity for Botulinum Toxins for Aesthetic Indications

Today's culture places significant value on physical appearance, leading to widespread adoption of anti-aging and aesthetic treatments. The aesthetic market has grown dramatically in the United States, driven by a large population of consumers who are looking to delay signs of aging and improve general appearance. In 2012, consumers spent almost \$11.0 billion on over 10.1 million physician-administered surgical and non-surgical aesthetic procedures in the United States, according to American Society for Aesthetic Plastic Surgery, or ASAPS, annual statistics. A strong consumer preference for non-surgical options and the increasing availability of effective alternatives have prompted adoption of non-surgical aesthetic procedures by a broader patient population. These trends have made non-surgical procedures the primary driver of growth in the aesthetic medicine market, accounting for 83% of the total number of procedures performed in 2012.

Injectable botulinum toxin treatments are the single largest cosmetic procedure in the United States and the rest of the world. According to GlobalData, in 2012 clinicians spent an estimated \$1.3 billion globally on injectable botulinum toxin for aesthetic procedures and such spending is expected to grow at a compounded annual growth rate of 14% from 2011 through 2018.

Despite the fact that, according to ASAPS annual statistics, injectable botulinum toxin treatments have almost doubled in the past ten years, a significant number of consumers who have received other cosmetic procedures, such as laser resurfacing and chemical peels, have resisted trying an injectable botulinum toxin treatment.

We commissioned consumer-market research in 2012 to test the RT001 product concept. As part of this research, a third party surveyed 630 women who were 30 years old or older with household income of \$50,000 or higher and who would consider aesthetic treatments. We believe these consumers were representative of the

Table of Contents

27 million women in the United States who fit this demographic profile. The participants were recruited and interviewed online. Based on the data collected:

40% of the participants found the RT001 product concept either extremely appealing or very appealing;

among those consumers who found the RT001 product concept appealing and had previously received cosmetic treatments other than injectable botulinum toxin treatments (representing 6.6 million women in the United States), 56% listed injection and pain associated with injections, 54% listed aversion to having a toxin in their bodies, and 52% listed desire to maintain natural facial expressions as one of the reasons for not getting injectable botulinum toxin treatments;

the participants expected lack of pain (76%) and lack of bruising (73%) to be the most likely benefits from the RT001 product concept and also listed these benefits as the two most appealing benefits of the RT001 product concept; and

the participants most frequently listed price of the treatment (24%) as a potential reason why they may not use RT001. According to this research, the three key barriers to entry cited by consumers are:

desire for a natural look without the frozen face associated with injectable treatments, particularly in the delicate eye area where crow's feet lines are naturally visible even when children and teenagers smile;

aversion to pain, bruising and other adverse events associated with needle-based treatment; and

desire not to have a toxin injected into their bodies.

We commissioned two additional studies in 2009 using the same third party to gauge physician and consumer interest in the RT001 product concept. The first was among 201 physicians across the range of aesthetic specialties and with varying level of cosmetic revenue. The data showed that 82% of these practitioners were either extremely or very interested in using RT001 in their practices. This data was consistent across specialties (79% among dermatologists; 88% among plastic surgeons) and the range of practice revenue dedicated to aesthetic procedures. Additionally, this study showed that 20% of the patients in these offices had received injectable botulinum toxin procedures and that these physicians would recommend RT001 to 43% of their patients. The second study was among consumers with a focus on users of injectable botulinum toxin products. Among these consumers, 80% said that they were either extremely or very interested in using RT001. Importantly, two-thirds of these consumers said they would add RT001 to their current injectable treatment regimen, suggesting incremental usage.

Based on feedback from key opinion leaders across multiple aesthetic specialties, we believe consumers will find a longer lasting, more targeted injectable botulinum toxin product preferable to those currently available.

The Opportunity for Botulinum Toxins for Therapeutic Indications

While currently approved botulinum toxin products may be better known for their aesthetic applications, according to GIA, the fastest-growing segment of the botulinum toxin market in the United States and Europe is actually for therapeutic indications. This growth has been driven largely by the approval of botulinum toxin products in new indications such as preventive treatment of migraine headache in 2010 and overactive bladder in 2011. Botulinum toxin's ability to affect neuromuscular junctions, muscle activity or the release of neuropeptides, neurotransmitters and neuromediators in a controlled manner has enabled it to be developed and used in a wide range of therapeutic indications. Botulinum toxin products in their injectable form have been approved for multiple therapeutic indications including:

hyperhidrosis;

chronic migraine headache;

overactive bladder;

movement disorders, such as cervical dystonia and upper limb spasticity; and

uncontrolled blinking.

Table of Contents

In addition to these approved therapeutic indications, botulinum toxin products are being evaluated in clinical trials in multiple other therapeutic indications including acne, rosacea, skin and wound healing, scar reduction, hair loss treatments, plantar fasciitis and several muscular-skeletal conditions.

While botulinum toxin products have been very effective in the treatment of many conditions, there are limitations to the use of the currently approved products in their injectable form. For example, in the case of hyperhidrosis, injectable botulinum toxin products require up to 30 injections in the underarms, an area that is particularly sensitive to pain, and a procedure that is reimbursed to physicians at a low rate relative to the time required to perform the procedure. As a result, the use of Botox[®], which is the only injectable botulinum toxin product currently approved for hyperhidrosis, has been limited. In the case of chronic migraine headache, injectable botulinum toxin products require as many as 31 injections in different parts of the head and neck.

As a result of the pain associated with injections and other limitations associated with injectable botulinum toxin products, we believe that there is a significant need for a painless, topically administered and highly effective botulinum toxin. We also believe that there is an opportunity to develop and seek approval for a botulinum toxin product in therapeutic indications, such as allergic rhinitis, where there are currently no approved botulinum toxin products.

Our Product Candidates

We are developing two proprietary product candidates containing botulinum toxin type A as the active drug ingredient. RT001, our lead product candidate, is a topical gel formulation of botulinum toxin type A. RT001 is applied to the skin and uses our proprietary TransMTS[®] peptide technology to enable delivery of botulinum toxin across the skin, eliminating the need for injections. RT002 is our injectable formulation of botulinum toxin type A, also using our proprietary peptide technology, which we believe can result in longer lasting effect. Unlike currently available injectable botulinum toxin products, neither formulation of our product candidates contains albumin or any other animal or human-derived materials. We believe this reduces the risk of the transmission of certain viral diseases. We plan to develop these two product candidates for multiple aesthetic and therapeutic applications. Our initial focus is to develop and commercialize RT001 for indications where topical application provides a meaningful advantage over injectable treatment. The table below summarizes the phases of development for the indications we are currently pursuing.

RT001 Our Topical Formulation of Botulinum Toxin

RT001, our lead product candidate, is a topical gel formulation of botulinum toxin type A in a proprietary single-use administration apparatus. The botulinum toxin in RT001 blocks neuromuscular transmission by binding to acceptor sites on motor or sympathetic nerve terminals, entering the nerve terminals and inhibiting the

Table of Contents

release of specific neurotransmitters. For example, when applied topically around the eye, RT001 produces partial interruption of the nerve connection to the orbicularis oculi muscle resulting in a localized reduction in muscle activity and improvement in crow's feet lines and may offer improvement in skin texture and luminosity of the skin. When applied topically for the treatment of hyperhidrosis, RT001 produces temporary interruption of the nerve connection to the sweat and eccrine glands resulting in local reduction in sweating. When applied topically for the prevention of migraine headache, we believe that RT001 inhibits release of neuropeptides and other neurotransmitters relevant to migraine pain and in our Phase 1/2 clinical trial showed a reduction in both the frequency and intensity of migraine headache following a single treatment with RT001.

RT001 is applied to the skin and uses our proprietary TransMTS® technology consisting of a proprietary peptide, to enable delivery of botulinum toxin across the skin, eliminating the need for injections. We plan to supply RT001 in a single-use apparatus for reconstitution and administration that contains a vial of lyophilized, or freeze-dried, drug product and a vial of diluent for reconstitution. When the contents of these vials are combined, all within the single-use apparatus, the diluent reconstitutes the freeze-dried drug product back to its original form to allow administration. RT001 is administered as a gel and spread over the treatment area with a gloved finger, where it remains for 30 minutes. The application process is a simple procedure which requires minimal time to prepare and can be applied by either physician or medical staff. The gel is then removed by a series of gentle cleansing wipes, deactivated and disposed.

The development of RT001 in the United States has been conducted under an IND filed with the FDA in 2008. This IND covers the treatment of crow's feet lines and primary underarm hyperhidrosis. A second IND for prevention of migraine headache was filed in October 2012. Clinical development in other territories, including Mexico, Canada, Europe, Singapore and Australia, is conducted under applicable national clinical trial applications.

Our global strategy is to support regulatory and marketing applications in the United States, Europe, Canada, Mexico and Latin America. We plan to submit our initial U.S. filing, a Biologics License Application, or BLA, for the treatment of crow's feet lines in 2016 with the FDA. We expect to request a pre-BLA meeting with the FDA in 2016 to facilitate the BLA submission process.

We intend to file a European Union Marketing Authorization Application, or MAA, in 2016. Approximately one year in advance of the MAA submission, we expect to seek pre-MAA scientific guidance from, and submit a Pediatric Investigation Plan to, the European Medicines Agency, or EMA. We also plan to submit marketing applications, on our own or through partners, in key Asian countries, Mexico and Canada. We anticipate that approval in Mexico will support other Latin American approvals.

Crow's Feet Lines Our Lead Indication for RT001

Crow's feet lines are skin wrinkles in the outer corner of the eye area, which are commonly caused by aging. Consumers in general, and women in particular, believe that the eye area is the first place where they notice the signs of aging. Consumers also believe that the perception of aging is affected by the quality of the skin. A large segment of the anti-aging topical cosmeceutical market is targeted towards improvement in skin texture and luminosity of the skin in the eye area. Despite the fact that until September 2013 there were no botulinum toxin products approved for crow's feet lines, we believe that there has been significant use of botulinum toxin for this indication given the desire of consumers to address the condition.

We believe that RT001 provides the following benefits to patients for treatment of crow's feet lines, as compared to traditional botulinum toxin treatments that are administered by injection:

The RT001 procedure is painless and has not shown any evidence of bruising, swelling or any of the other adverse events associated with injections. The RT001 procedure consists of a clear gel applied to the skin, remaining on the skin for 30 minutes and then removed with a series of gentle cleansing wipes.

RT001 relaxes the crow's feet wrinkles appearance at rest, when the face is in a neutral expression, while still allowing a natural smile. Data from our Phase 2b clinical trials indicate that RT001 improves the appearance of crow's feet lines at rest. This improvement is visible to both the consumer and the physician. By targeting only the muscles necessary to achieve this effect, treatment with RT001 allows for

Table of Contents

natural expression at smile. In comparison, injection involves a broader array of muscles, which can lead to an unwanted frozen face appearance even at smile.

Consumers distinguish between products that are injected into the body and those that are placed on the skin. Of the participants surveyed in consumer market research performed by a third party on our behalf in 2012, a majority of those who responded that they have not received injectable botulinum toxin treatments in the past but who did find the RT001 product concept appealing listed their aversion to having a toxin in their bodies as the reason why they have not previously tried the injectable botulinum toxin treatments. The responses in this survey, including open ended questions, suggest that 63% of consumers in the group surveyed are more likely to use RT001 over injectable options.

We believe that RT001 provides the following benefits to physicians:

RT001 has been shown to be well tolerated with no significant safety concerns. With more than 1,030 patients treated to date, there has been no report of the spread of botulinum toxin away from treatment site. Such spread could cause droopy eye, loss of strength or all-over muscle weakness in cranial nerves surrounding the eye, double vision, blurred vision or changes in pupillary reactions, hoarseness or change or loss of voice, trouble saying words clearly, loss of bladder control, trouble breathing or trouble swallowing.

RT001 is simple to use and results are not technique dependent. RT001 comes in a pre-filled applicator that contains the proper dose for the treatment of crow's feet lines. Minimal training is required because there are no exposed needles or complicated reconstitution mixing and preparation processes associated with currently available injectable botulinum toxin products. A physician or medical staff applies droplets of the gel from our pre-filled applicator to the treatment area and uses a gloved finger to ensure that the entire area is covered. In contrast, a great deal of physician skill is required to accurately and precisely inject current needle-based botulinum toxin products into smaller, more superficial muscles to achieve a natural looking appearance. According to our market research data collected by a third party research organization in 2009 through Internet-based surveys and interviews: 82% of the 204 physicians surveyed with existing cosmetic revenues said that they were either extremely interested or very interested in purchasing the RT001 product concept for use in their patients; and 76% of the 204 physicians surveyed mentioned the benefits of topical administration, including no need for needles and easy and convenient administration, as why they liked the RT001 product concept. These benefits were most often cited (88%) among physicians with low percentages of cosmetic revenue in their practice (0-10%), and the least often cited (70%) among physicians with high percentages of cosmetic revenue in their practice (more than 50%). We believe these results suggest that physicians with less injectable botulinum toxin experience found the convenience and ease of use characteristics of RT001 particularly appealing.

RT001 is very appealing to both key physicians and practice groups who perform the majority of cosmetic procedures in the United States and physicians who have less injectable botulinum toxin experience. We believe that RT001 can expand the use of botulinum toxin to a wider range of physicians and allow those physicians who currently perform botulinum toxin procedures to do so on a larger number of patients. RT001 can also improve the profitability of practices by increasing the number of procedures a given patient receives per visit. Importantly, this expansion can come without any increase in the number of patients that the physician has in their practice. In addition, because the RT001 procedure for the treatment of crow's feet lines would be paid for directly by patients, physicians would not be encumbered by managed care and government payor reimbursement restrictions applicable in the United States and similar reimbursement-related constraints outside the United States.

Development of RT001 for Treatment of Crow's Feet Lines

We have conducted thirteen clinical trials, with a total of over 1,400 subjects, for the treatment of crow's feet lines and are currently in Phase 3 clinical development in the United States.

Phase 3 Clinical Trials. Based on our discussions with the FDA, the EMA and other regulatory authorities, we believe that the investigational plan outlined below for the RT001 Phase 3 program will support approval of RT001 in the United States, Canada and European Union for the treatment of moderate to severe crow's feet lines.

Table of Contents**RT001 Global Phase 3 Program for Crow's Feet Lines**

Trial	Trial Type	Primary Objective	Estimated Number of Subjects (Trial Location)	Estimated Data Availability
Phase 3 Open Label Trial	Open Label,	Safety and ICH Safety Database	1,800 New and Rollover Subjects (U.S.)	2015 (interim data)
<i>Planned Start 2014</i>	Repeat Dose			
Phase 3 Pivotal Trial #1	Single Dose,	Efficacy and Safety	170 (U.S.)	2014
<i>Planned Start First Half 2014</i>	Placebo-Controlled			
Phase 3 Pivotal Trial #2	Single Dose,	Efficacy, Duration and Safety	170 (U.S.)	2015
<i>Planned Start 2015</i>	Placebo-Controlled			
Phase 3 Pivotal Trial #3	Single Dose,	Efficacy and Safety	200 (Europe)	2015
<i>Planned Start by Early 2015</i>	Placebo-Controlled			

After completing our Phase 2b clinical trials, we modified the formulation of the RT001 diluent by adding two ingredients to improve its stability. We then conducted a Phase 3 clinical trial with this new diluent formulation to evaluate efficacy and safety of RT001. Data generated from this clinical trial were inconsistent with the data from our previous three Phase 2b clinical trials for the treatment of crow's feet lines. Specifically, we observed no improvement from baseline in either the placebo or RT001 group. Based upon a thorough analysis of possible causes, we determined that the addition of the two ingredients to the diluent was the likely cause of the loss of efficacy in our Phase 3 clinical trial. We have since obtained stability data to confirm that the Phase 2b formulation has adequate commercial stability.

Subsequently, we returned to the original diluent formulation used in our Phase 2b clinical trials and initiated CL035, a two-cohort Phase 2 double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial to confirm efficacy. The first cohort included 42 patients. In this first cohort, we observed improvements in wrinkle severity at rest from the base line comparable to that observed in our previous Phase 2b clinical trials. However, we identified a randomization error, whereby a subset of patients received RT001 instead of placebo, and vice versa. After correcting this randomization error, the overall results showed statistical significance, consistent with our Phase 2 clinical trials.

We conducted a second cohort of CL035 to confirm that the data observed in the first cohort was consistent absent the randomization error, and to expand the number of patients included in the trial in an effort to achieve statistical significance in the other endpoints measured. This second cohort included 40 patients, bringing the total size of the combined study to 82.

Table of Contents

The table below summarizes the results of CL035:

Summary of Results for CL035 Clinical Trial

Endpoint	Group	First Cohort (42 patients)		Combined Study (82 patients)	
		Response (%)	p-value	Response (%)	p-value
Composite ³ 2-point	RT001	23.8	0.017	22.0	0.024
	Placebo	0		4.9	
IGA (Rest) ³ 2-point	RT001	52.4	0.009	41.5	0.0003
	Placebo	14.3		12.2	
IGA (Rest) ³ 1-point	RT001	57.1	*	63.4	0.047
	Placebo	47.6		41.5	
PSA ³ 2-point	RT001	38.1	0.17	39.0	0.15
	Placebo	19.0		24.4	
IGA (Smile) ³ 1-point	RT001	57.1	0.36	68.3	0.0002
	Placebo	38.1		34.1	
IGA (Smile) ³ 2-point	RT001	4.8	*	4.9	*
	Placebo	0		4.9	

* not statistically significant

The second cohort of CL035 separately supported statistical trends shown in the randomization-corrected data generated from the first cohort. The second cohort p-values for the clinical endpoints were as follows: the Investigator Global Assessment, or IGA (Rest) ³ 2-point, p=0.13; IGA (Rest) ³ 1-point, p=0.019; the Patient Severity Assessment, or PSA ³ 2-point, p=0.53; IGA (Smile) ³ 1-point, p=0.002; and IGA (Smile) ³ 2-point, p=ns.

The CL035 trial confirmed that a single treatment of RT001 with the diluent formulation used in Phase 2b studies had a statistically and numerically significant treatment effect compared to placebo at rest and at smile at week 4. The magnitude of improvement in wrinkle severity from baseline seen in our CL035 study is comparable to the data generated from our CL017 and CL024 Phase 2b studies.

Additionally, based on the new Phase 2 efficacy data, we plan to initiate a long-term open label Phase 3 safety clinical trial in 2014. This clinical trial will evaluate the long-term safety of multiple treatment cycles with repeat-dosing when subjects revert to moderate or severe crow's feet lines at intervals of not less than 90 days. This trial will allow up to two years of treatment with up to four exposures per year. This long-term safety trial will evaluate late-onset adverse events and rare events as well as the safety of repeat-doses over multiple cycles.

The two U.S. pivotal trials will utilize the same study design and evaluate efficacy and safety of RT001 after single administration compared to placebo, with follow-up for approximately 150 days to evaluate duration of response. A third pivotal clinical trial will be conducted in the European Union to support European Union marketing applications. The European trial will evaluate efficacy and safety of RT001 after single administration compared to placebo with a three month follow-up for safety.

We have designed the long-term clinical trials to support a safety database adequate for both domestic and international marketing applications, and will continue to conduct clinical trials with periodic, thorough analyses of benefits and risks. The number of subjects proposed for safety studies may be substantially higher than the anticipated number of subjects needed to demonstrate efficacy. Therefore, we anticipate studying more than 2,000 subjects at dosage levels intended for commercial use, with at least 1,800 subjects with duration of six months at the time of BLA submission. The majority of the 1,800 subjects will have received multiple courses of treatment. Additionally, we anticipate at least 300 subjects with duration of twelve months to receive three to four treatment cycles at the time of our BLA submission.

Table of Contents

Additionally, concurrent with our Phase 3 program, we will conduct a Phase 1, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial, using the same commercial formulation and delivery apparatus of RT001 for use in the Phase 3 clinical trials, to determine the irritation and sensitizing potential of RT001 in 200 volunteers utilizing a repeat exposure test design.

Assuming successful completion of our Phase 3 clinical trials, we plan to file marketing applications in the United States, European Union and Canada. We plan to submit our U.S. BLA and our European MAA in 2016. We anticipate that approval in the United States and the European Union would then support approvals in Latin America such as Brasil and certain other territories in Asia.

European Union Agency Interactions. We requested scientific guidance from the EMA on the development of RT001 for the treatment of crow's feet lines and the proposed Phase 3 program in March 2012. The EMA scientific guidance for the crow's feet lines Phase 3 program was completed following a meeting with the EMA in August 2012. The EMA provided comments on Quality, Nonclinical and Clinical programs. Overall, the EMA agreed with the proposed programs and provided details and suggestions to be considered for our marketing application. We have taken the EMA comments into consideration in the Phase 3 program and will provide data to support the various requests in the marketing application.

End-of-Phase 2. After our Phase 2 clinical trials, we used the FDA's Formal Dispute Resolution process and obtained written confirmation in May 2012 from the FDA that we had achieved End-of-Phase 2 and that our proposed indication, primary endpoint assessment and primary endpoint measurement were acceptable for Phase 3 clinical trials. We have incorporated the FDA's comments during this process into our Phase 3 program. Specifically, the primary efficacy assessments are being conducted at rest and additional assessments are being obtained at smile.

Phase 2b Clinical Trials. We have conducted three Phase 2b clinical trials of RT001 to evaluate a 25 ng/mL dose of botulinum toxin. Two of these trials, CL024 and CL017, were double-blind, placebo-controlled trials and enrolled a total of 270 subjects.

CL024 evaluated a single administration of RT001 compared to placebo for the treatment of moderate to severe crow's feet lines. Subjects were treated with either RT001 or placebo at baseline and evaluated at regular

Table of Contents

intervals up to 20 weeks. The primary efficacy endpoint was a composite endpoint based upon the Investigator Global Assessment of Lateral Canthal Lines, or IGA-LCL, severity assessment and the Patient Severity Assessment, or PSA, at week four. Responders were defined as having at least two-point improvement in both IGA-LCL severity and PSA from baseline. The trial results confirmed that RT001 had a statistical and numerical treatment effect compared to placebo at week four.

CL017 evaluated a single dose of RT001 against three comparator groups for the treatment of moderate to severe crow's feet lines. Subjects were randomized at baseline to receive one of four treatments: RT001, peptide alone, toxin alone or placebo. The primary analysis was to first demonstrate that the treatment effect of the peptide alone and toxin alone groups was similar to that of the placebo at week four based on the IGA-LCL severity scale. A responder was defined as a subject with at least a two-point improvement in both crow's feet lines areas based on IGA-LCL severity scale. RT001 demonstrated superior efficacy both statistically and clinically compared to the combined other three groups as well as the individual comparisons to the other three groups for all efficacy endpoints. Furthermore, there were no clinically meaningful or significant differences in safety assessments observed between RT001 and each of the other three groups.

Both the IGA-LCL and PSA scales are scoring criteria widely used to measure the effectiveness of aesthetic therapies. The IGA-LCL scale is used by the clinicians and the PSA scale is used by the patients. For the patient to be considered a treatment responder or treatment success, the patient needed to have at least two-point improvement from baseline measured using both IGA-LCL and PSA. Therefore, both the clinician and the patient needed to see improvement by at least two points on the scales for the patient to be considered a treatment success. This demonstrates that the treatment success criteria were very stringent in Phase 2b clinical studies designed to evaluate the efficacy of treatment. The treatment responses across distinct clinical trials and endpoints were high and consistent, demonstrating not only the robustness of the treatment effect but also that all the physician and patient scales employed measure similar concepts. Additionally, the low placebo rates observed across all scales and endpoints confirms that the scales and endpoints are not overly sensitive.

The vast majority of the adverse events were mild, transient and not related to the trial procedure or the study drug. There were no notable differences compared to the comparator groups and no subjects discontinued from the trial date due to adverse events. Adverse events included brow elevation, headache, infections, eye irritation and skin reactions. There was no evidence of the regional spread of botulinum toxin based on nerve and local muscle strength evaluations. There were no serious adverse events or systemic safety concerns related to the study drug or treatment procedures or evidence of any systemic exposure based on clinical laboratory results and related evaluations. There was no evidence that adverse event rates were trending higher as we escalated the dose.

Study investigators evaluated each adverse event from each clinical trial and determined if it was related to the trial procedure, the study drug or other cause. Our study investigators were selected based on their experience and knowledge of botulinum toxin, including use of marketed botulinum toxin products routinely in their practice. These study investigators made their determinations by evaluating the nature of the adverse event (including the timing of the event relative to the time of the drug application, and severity and duration of the adverse event), the physical status of the patient during that adverse event and the medical history of the patient.

Table of Contents

The table below summarizes the results of CL024 and CL017.

Summary of Results for CL024 and CL017 Clinical Trials

Endpoint	Group	CL024	CL017
Composite 32-point IGA-LCL	RT001	44.4%	40.7%
		p-value <0.0001	p-value <0.001
AND 32-point PSA	Controls	0.0%	1.1%
(Bilateral)			
32-point IGA-LCL	RT001	57.8%	48.4%
		p-value <0.0001	p-value <0.001
(Bilateral)	Controls	14.0%	9.0%*
32-point PSA	RT001	44.4%	47.3%
		p-value <0.0001	p-value <0.001
(Bilateral)	Controls	2.3%	3.4%*
PGIC Improved/Much	RT001	57.8%	50.5%
		p-value <0.0001	p-value <0.001
Improved	Controls	4.7%	9.0%*

* With peptide-alone, toxin-alone and placebo groups combined

CL025 was a multi-center, open label, safety study evaluating the safety profile of repeat treatment of moderate to severe crow's feet lines using a 25ng/mL dose of RT001 topical gel. Forty patients were enrolled in this clinical trial. Study patients received the first treatment at day zero and a second treatment at week four. The label will require patients to wait for twelve weeks before receiving a second dose, and therefore the repeat dose for this study was at an accelerated frequency. This clinical trial showed no differences in frequency, type or severity of adverse events observed after the second dose versus a single dose. It demonstrated that two sequential applications of RT001 were safe and well tolerated, even at an accelerated frequency.

RT001 Safety

Clinical Program. We have completed thirteen clinical trials, with a total of over 1,400 subjects, for the treatment of crow's feet lines, of which 1,031 subjects have received doses of RT001 containing 1.1 to 25 ng/mL of botulinum toxin per subject and peptide exposures up to 30 mcg/mL per subject. Repeat doses of RT001 have been administered in the Phase 2 trials and the Phase 1 trial with cumulative exposures up to 50 ng per subject. RT001 was shown to be safe, with statistically significant and clinically meaningful results in these Phase 1 and Phase 2 trials. In all concentrations of peptide and botulinum toxin studied, RT001 was well tolerated with no serious adverse events related to study drug or study treatment procedures or safety concerns. In particular, there were no systemic or local safety concerns at the site of application or evidence of spread and no significant differences in the incidence of treatment-related adverse events.

Nonclinical Program. In accordance with international guidelines and in consultation with the FDA, we have also conducted a broad nonclinical development program for RT001. The program included preclinical efficacy, safety bioavailability and single and repeat dose toxicity studies of RT001, including chronic studies of up to nine months duration. Genotoxicity, local tolerance and formulation bridging studies were also conducted, along with reproductive toxicity testing. Together, these studies supported the clinical development and anticipated future safety labeling of RT001 for the treatment of crow's feet lines.

Development of RT001 for Treatment of Hyperhidrosis

According to published medical articles, hyperhidrosis affects an estimated eight million people in the United States, one million of whom have severe hyperhidrosis. Prevalence in the United States is slightly higher among men than women, but women are more likely to take action to have the condition treated. Only 38% of those affected by hyperhidrosis seek treatment. A 2004 survey by the International Hyperhidrosis

Society

Table of Contents

provides a breakdown of currently available treatments and percentage of respondents that use each of the following to treat their hyperhidrosis symptoms:

Prescription antiperspirants (64%)

Over-the-counter antiperspirants (42%)

Oral medication (27%)

Iontophoresis, or the use of electrical current on skin (13%)

Botox® injections (8%)

Surgery (6%)

Injectable botulinum toxin is among the currently available treatments for hyperhidrosis. Allergan's Botox® was approved in 2004 for underarm hyperhidrosis and remains the only botulinum toxin approved for the treatment of hyperhidrosis. However, the treatment requires up to 30 injections in the underarms. Having a topical solution could encourage more patients to seek treatment without having to suffer the pain of numerous injections. From the physicians' standpoint, injections are very time-consuming and reimbursement for the procedure is low. RT001 could significantly decrease the physician time and effort necessary for the procedure and potentially make the procedure more profitable for a physician's practice.

We also believe that the appeal of RT001 may go beyond the estimated eight million hyperhidrosis sufferers and appeal to the one-third of all U.S. adults who believe they have too much underarm sweat. According to a 2008 survey by the International Hyperhidrosis Society, 60% of all U.S. adults reported that they would be embarrassed or very embarrassed by visible underarm sweat stains, and 70% of those U.S. adults who believe they have too much underarm sweat took steps to hide their condition.

Primary underarm hyperhidrosis affects over one million individuals in the United States alone and similar proportions globally. This condition has a negative impact on the overall quality of life of patients due to the debilitating psychosocial and emotional consequences of excessive sweating as well as significant medical dermatologic impact. Injected delivery of botulinum toxin has been validated as a therapeutically effective pharmaceutical agent for the treatment of hyperhidrosis. However, the injected treatment has not been widely embraced by hyperhidrosis patients because of significant pain and trauma associated with the large number of required injections.

Data from our initial Phase 2 dose escalation hyperhidrosis clinical trial suggest the feasibility of treating primary underarm hyperhidrosis with RT001. As the dose of RT001 increased, patients showed reduced sweating and improvement in their self-assessed sweating severity. To test for sweat production, the skin was first treated with iodine solution that is allowed to dry, and then followed by dusting of corn starch and sweat assessment period of ten minutes. The occurrence of sweat causes the starch and iodine to dissolve permitting their reaction to form the dark staining pattern observed. Reduction in the dark staining intensity signals a reduction in sweat.

This initial Phase 2 clinical trial was a double-blind, randomized, placebo controlled multi-center study evaluating the safety, tolerability and efficacy of using RT001 to treat primary underarm hyperhidrosis in adults. This clinical trial was designed to enroll 36 subjects, with twelve subjects in each dosing group, or cohort. The safety of each cohort was evaluated by an independent data safety committee prior to escalating the dose to the next level. Subjects were randomized to receive a single treatment of RT001 or placebo in each cohort. After receiving the treatment, the patients were followed for 28 days in the clinical trial.

Table of Contents

The following pictures demonstrate the reduced sweating observed in the underarm area of patients treated with a single application of RT001 relative to placebo control:

Based on data generated from clinical trials to date, we plan to initiate additional clinical trials for the treatment of hyperhidrosis with RT001. These future trials will evaluate the efficacy of a higher dose of 25 ng/mL or more as compared to placebo and permit evaluation of the RT001 dose response to treatment of signs and symptoms of primary underarm hyperhidrosis. These trials will assess the quality of life measure Hyperhidrosis Disease Severity Scale and the change in production of underarm sweat by gravimetric measurement and Investigator Global Assessment of Minor s Iodine Starch Testing for underarm sweating. This Phase 2 study will establish whether this new botulinum toxin dose is adequate or whether further dose escalation in this clinical indication is needed prior to definitive safety and efficacy testing.

Development of RT001 for Prevention of Migraine Headache

Migraine headache is a central nervous system disorder characterized by moderate-to-severe headache and often includes additional symptoms such as nausea and vomiting. The global market for treatment of migraine headache was estimated to be \$3.8 billion in 2009. Migraine headache affects 36 million people in the United States, 14 million of whom suffer from chronic migraine headache. In the United States, this debilitating condition results in 113 million lost workdays and costs employers \$13.0 billion each year, according to the Migraine Research Foundation. Injected delivery of botulinum toxin has been validated as a therapeutically effective

Table of Contents

pharmaceutical agent for the preventive treatment of migraine headache. Botox[®] was approved for the treatment of chronic migraine headache in 2010. However, the treatment requires up to 31 injections in a patient's head and neck and may have significant side effects, including the potential for injected botulinum toxin to diffuse to neighboring sites causing muscle weakness and pain, sometimes even triggering migraine headache attacks.

We have generated preliminary data that supports the feasibility of treating chronic migraine headache with topical application of RT001. In our initial Phase 2 clinical trial, RT001 was shown to be effective for the preventive treatment of chronic migraine headache. In this trial, RT001 was applied topically to five areas on the head, left on for 30 minutes and removed by a series of cleansing wipes. This trial, which uses a 25 ng/mL dose, demonstrated statistically significant improvement (43.8% for RT001 versus 10.5% for placebo) of the composite endpoint of a Headache Impact Test-6, or HIT-6, score, number of migraines and migraine intensity.

For our next Phase 2 clinical trial, we plan to enroll and treat 90 human subjects with migraine headache using RT001 in a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled dose-ranging clinical trial design. This trial will provide new information on the treatment of subjects suffering migraine headache with RT001 including the reduction of headache frequency and severity and change in quality of life as reflected by a HIT-6 score and further characterize the dose-response relationship of RT001 in migraine headache to identify the optimal dose to be carried forward into later stage clinical trials.

RT001 for Treatment of Other Indications

Based on the results of our current preclinical studies and clinical trials, we will determine further development of other indications for RT001, such as:

Neuropathic pain. This condition may arise as a result of a lesion or disease affecting the nervous system and, as a collection of syndromes, is often chronic in nature causing significant negative impact to quality of life. Existing treatments include antidepressants, serotonin inhibitors and calcium channel agonists, each of which require daily dosing and are often accompanied by side effects and modest efficacy. More recently, injected botulinum toxin has been shown to address many forms of neuropathic pain and provide extended relief, of approximately three months, in line with the known duration profile for botulinum toxin treatment of other targets. RT001 represents an appealing alternative with its topical delivery, allowing relatively large areas to be treated without injection pain while maintaining the potential benefit of extended duration from a single treatment of botulinum toxin. RT001 is currently in preclinical development for neuropathic pain.

Rhinitis. Rhinitis is a global health problem associated with nasal inflammation and symptoms of congestion, sneezing and itching. According to a third party report, rhinitis affects up to 30% of adults and 40% of children in the United States. Current treatments may require frequent administration, often one or more times per day, and typically come with side effects, including desensitization to the treatment. There is early evidence that applying botulinum toxin can be effective in reducing rhinitis symptoms. However, because of procedural difficulty and the potential pain, swelling, bleeding, tenderness or possible infection associated with nasal injections of botulinum toxin, the treatment has not been widely accepted among clinicians and patients. Our preclinical studies using animal models suggest that applying RT001 topically can be a potentially safe and effective treatment for the symptoms of allergic rhinitis. We conducted a small Phase 2 clinical trial to assess RT001 for the treatment of symptoms associated with allergic rhinitis, which demonstrated that RT001 was safe.

RT002 Our Injectable Formulation of Botulinum Toxin

In addition to our topical product candidate, we are developing an injectable formulation of botulinum toxin type A, which we refer to as RT002, for indications where deeper delivery of the botulinum toxin is required and a longer lasting effect is desired. We believe RT002 can provide more targeted delivery of botulinum toxin to intended treatment sites while reducing the unwanted spread of botulinum toxin to adjacent areas. We believe this could permit longer lasting effect and safe administration of botulinum toxin, even in a higher targeted doses. These properties, longer lasting effect and less spread of RT002, have been demonstrated in preclinical studies

Table of Contents

and are currently being tested in the four-cohort Phase 1/2 clinical dose escalation trial outside the United States for improvement of glabellar lines. Initial data from the first four cohorts, which included an aggregate of 48 patients, indicates that RT002 is safe and efficacious. This data showed that 98% of the study patients achieved 1-point improvement, 67% achieved 2-point improvement and 94% achieved none or mild scores on the Glabellar Lines Severity Scale. Based upon the data analyzed, we plan to further develop RT002 for the treatment of glabellar lines by initiating a Phase 2 clinical trial in 2014. In addition, we plan to study RT002 in therapeutic indications already approved for botulinum toxin, such as movement disorders and overactive bladder. These indications require deeper delivery of the botulinum toxin and are likely to be better served by injectable delivery of RT002.

Biosimilar Botulinum Toxin

We are also well-positioned to develop a potential biosimilar or follow-on botulinum toxin formulation based either on the full botulinum toxin complex similar to Botox[®], or on the pure botulinum toxin similar to Xeomin[®]. Such a product could leverage our existing manufacturing capacity for drug substance followed by additional formulation development capabilities available at our facilities to produce drug product with requisite quality control testing. Such a formulation would require appropriate nonclinical and clinical testing to pursue regulatory approval and may be attractive to a commercialization partner that specializes in follow-on types of products.

Our Technology

Our Proprietary TransMTS[®] Technology Platform

Our TransMTS[®] peptide technology serves different purposes depending on whether it is used in a topical formulation, such as in RT001, or in an injectable formulation, such as in RT002. In a topical formulation, the TransMTS[®] peptide technology enables transmembrane delivery of large macromolecules, such as our botulinum toxin type A, to the targeted tissue and eliminates the need for injections or other invasive procedures. In an injectable formulation, the TransMTS[®] peptide technology restricts the active macromolecule to the target site and reduces unwanted spread to other neighboring tissues.

The TransMTS[®] proprietary peptides are single, straight-chain, peptides which have two distinct types of domains:

The peptide backbone core is a sequence of consecutive lysine residues that are positively charged under physiologic conditions. The purpose of this positively charged core is to form a non-covalent (electrostatic) bond with the negatively charged macromolecule to be transported across the skin.

The second part of the peptide is a Protein Transduction Domain, or PTD, which is responsible for delivering the macromolecule to the target site. There are two identical PTDs at each end of the peptide.

We believe our TransMTS[®] peptide technology could be applied to a range of active ingredient molecules. We have begun to leverage our TransMTS[®] platform to develop additional products through partnering arrangements and may use our technology platform to develop additional proprietary products.

Our Proprietary Botulinum Toxin-Peptide Complex

Our proprietary botulinum toxin-peptide complex has two components that contribute to overall activity. First, our TransMTS[®] peptide provides the mechanism of delivery across the skin and restricts the toxin molecule to the target site. Second, the botulinum toxin type A provides the mechanism of pharmacologic action and is responsible for the drug effects demonstrated in our clinical trials.

Table of Contents

Proprietary Botulinum Toxin-Peptide Complex

Proprietary TransMTS[®] Peptide

Non-Covalent Bonding of Toxin and Peptide

Botulinum Toxin Type A and TransMTS[®] Peptide Complex

104

Table of Contents

RT001 Botulinum Toxin-Peptide Complex

In RT001, our proprietary peptide, RTP004, carries and releases botulinum toxin to a defined depth of penetration targeting the mid-dermis, which is an appropriate depth of skin penetration for the treatment of crow's feet lines, hyperhidrosis, migraine headache, pain syndromes and other conditions.

Our nonclinical and clinical data show that the absorption enhancer peptide is necessary for the botulinum toxin to cross the skin and have pharmacologic effect. Our data also show that the peptide alone does not have pharmacologic action and that the botulinum toxin molecule without the peptide cannot cross the skin to achieve its effect.

RT001 is applied to the skin as a clear gel. The gel is temperature-triggered so that it is liquid at ambient temperature and forms a gel as it warms upon contact with the skin. RT001 quickly reaches a viscosity sufficient to remain in place in the defined treatment area.

RT001 Mechanism for Delivery of Botulinum Toxin

The absorption enhancer peptide has two pathways for the delivery of the botulinum toxin.

The first pathway is energy independent and can occur in non-living cells, such as the stratum corneum, which is the outermost layer of the skin. This pathway allows the molecule to bind and traverse the stratum corneum via lipid rafting where the molecule shuttles across the surface of the lipid layers in a process called lipid rafting. This pathway is depicted in the figure below.

Lipid Rafting: Energy Independent Membrane Fluidity

Table of Contents

The second pathway is energy dependent and can only occur across living cells. It is an active process where transcytosis, the process by which molecules are transported across the interior of a cell, takes the molecule from one side of the cell to another. The peptide triggers the cell to fold around the peptide, carrying the target molecule with it. This pathway releases RT001 on either side of the cell. When returned to the original side, no net change occurs; but when returned to the opposite side, the contents have crossed the cell. The result is a net flow of RT001 from high to low concentration across the cells. This second mechanism is depicted in the figure below.

Variant Macropinocytosis: Energy Dependent Transcytosis

Administration of RT001 on the Skin

The proprietary apparatus for delivering RT001 to multiple locations was developed to provide for simple storage, reconstitution and ease of applying RT001 to the skin with minimal training.

Botulinum toxin is not stable in liquid form; therefore it must be lyophilized, or freeze-dried, for refrigerated storage and distribution. Injectable botulinum toxin products are distributed as lyophilized powders in sealed vials. Before they can be injected into a patient, the products must be reconstituted by a trained healthcare provider by drawing a precisely measured volume of saline solution into a syringe through a needle, and then transferring it into the botulinum toxin vial through the needle.

We designed our proprietary apparatus in collaboration with Duoject Medical Systems, Inc., or Duoject, a supplier of medical devices and provider of design and development services, with over 25 years of developing medical devices for drug reconstitution and delivery. The design of our apparatus has several features focused on safety and ease-of-use, and is covered by pending patents.

We plan to only supply RT001 within this reconstitution, activation and application, or RAA, device. This single-use administration apparatus contains a vial of our lyophilized drug product and a vial of diluent for reconstitution. The vial of drug product is protected within the RAA device to reduce potential for misuse as an injectable, and to eliminate the potential for needle stick injuries as could occur when reconstituting currently available injectable botulinum toxin products. The pre-filled amounts of drug product and diluent ensure accurate preparation of the intended concentration and dosage for treatment. We believe this will eliminate confusion that is associated with the preparation of injectable botulinum toxin products.

Once reconstituted, the RAA device allows for storage of the dose within the RAA device for up to eight hours, and then provides a means to easily administer the dose of RT001. RT001 is spread over the treatment area with a gloved finger, where it remains in place for 30 minutes and is then removed by a series of gentle cleansing wipes, deactivated and disposed. The entire application process is a simple procedure which requires minimal time to prepare and apply by physician or medical staff.

Table of Contents

Topical Application of RT001 using our RAA Device

RT002 Mechanism of Action

RT002 utilizes our proprietary botulinum toxin-peptide complex in a saline-based formulation. In RT002, the RTP004 peptide interacts with both extracellular structures and cell surface receptors in the targeted muscle. This interaction restricts the toxin molecule to the target site and reduces unwanted spread to other neighboring muscles. We believe that by limiting the spread of RT002 to neighboring muscles, RT002 is likely to be tolerated at higher doses than Botox[®]. Additionally, at doses where the spread of Botox[®] and RT002 were compared, RT002 appeared to be more targeted with longer duration in our preclinical studies. Nonclinical and clinical data taken together suggest that RT002 may provide longer duration of effect at the target muscle and reduce spread to untargeted muscles.

Manufacturing and Operations

We have established capabilities for the production of botulinum toxin type A, including bulk drug substance and both topical and injectable finished drug product. Botulinum toxin is regulated as a Select Agent under authority of the CDC and as such requires that we perform our operations in compliance with CDC regulations. We have invested in constructing the appropriate facilities to accommodate our production activities and are in good standing under our Select Agent license. We have assembled a team of experienced individuals in the technical disciplines of chemistry, biology and engineering and have appropriately equipped laboratory space to support ongoing research and development efforts in our botulinum toxin product development platform. We have the ability to manufacture our own botulinum toxin product to support our Phase 3 clinical trials and eventually, our commercial production. We believe that having direct control over our manufacturing processes, from drug substance to finished product, will enable us to develop additional pharmaceutical product configurations effectively and with a competitive cost structure.

Table of Contents

We manufacture and perform testing for both bulk drug substance and finished dose forms of drug product to support our topical RT001 product candidate and our injectable RT002 product candidate. The additional components required for our topical RT001 dose form, the peptide, diluent and delivery apparatus, are all manufactured by third parties under contract with us. See the section entitled *Outsourced Components* below for additional information.

Drug Substance

The manufacture of the drug substance for RT001 and RT002 is based on microbial fermentation followed by product recovery and purification steps. The process is entirely free of animal and human-derived materials and depends on standard raw materials available commercially. The process is already scaled to support all future commercial demands. Bulk drug substance is stable when stored for extended periods, which allows us to establish reserves of drug substance and allows periodic drug substance production to replenish inventories as needed.

Drug Product

Manufacture of topical and injectable dose forms to support RT001 and RT002 is currently performed at our pilot fill-finish facility. The manufacturing process consists of bulk compounding, liquid fill and freeze-drying to support acceptable shelf-life duration. Plans are underway to fabricate and install a larger capacity fill-finish line dedicated to the topical non-aseptic dose form which will be installed and validated to support our regulatory license applications and future commercial demand for RT001. Further scale-up of RT002 drug product manufacturing will be performed to meet anticipated commercial demand. The RT001 botulinum toxin and diluent has shown stability to date that will support commercial launch.

Outsourced Components

We contract with third parties for the manufacture of the additional components required for RT001 topical dose form, which includes the acquisition of botulinum toxin type A from List Biological Laboratories, Inc., or List Laboratories, and the manufacture of bulk peptide through American Peptide Company, Inc., or American Peptide, diluent through Hospira Worldwide, Inc., or Hospira, and our delivery apparatus through Duoject.

Our agreement with List Laboratories, a developer of botulinum toxin, includes certain milestone payments related to the clinical development of our botulinum toxin products and the toxin manufacturing process. There is a royalty with an effective rate ranging from low-to-mid single-digit percentages of future sales of botulinum toxin. Our agreement with List Laboratories will remain in effect until expiration of our royalty obligations and may be terminated earlier on mutual agreement or because of a material breach by either party.

Our agreement with Hospira includes product development services and manufacture and supply services and requires that we provide Hospira with advance forecasts of our product needs. This agreement also includes minimum purchase requirements once we have commercialized our products. Our agreement with Hospira will remain in effect for seven years, subject to extensions, after we commercialize our products and may be terminated earlier by either party following advance notice and good faith consultation.

Our agreement with Duoject includes development work and manufacture and supply services. This agreement also includes a royalty of less than one percent of future sales of products which include the delivery apparatus, in the event we do not use Duoject to manufacture the delivery apparatus. Our agreement with Duoject will remain in effect until the later of April 30, 2020 or the expiration of the last patent issued to us for the delivery apparatus and may be terminated earlier because of a material breach by either party.

Our agreement with American Peptide includes development, manufacture and supply of peptide in accordance with certain specifications. This agreement also includes certain quality control and inspection provisions through which we can ensure the satisfactory quality of our peptide. Our agreement with American Peptide will remain in effect until May 20, 2020 and may be terminated earlier by either party following advance notice or a material breach by either party.

Table of Contents

Government Regulation

Product Approval Process in the United States

In the United States, the FDA regulates drugs and biologic products under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, or FDCA, its implementing regulations, and other laws, including, in the case of biologics, the Public Health Service Act. Our product candidates, RT001 and RT002, are subject to regulation by the FDA as a biologic. Biologics require the submission of a BLA to the FDA and approval of the BLA by the FDA before marketing in the United States.

The process of obtaining regulatory approvals for commercial sale and distribution and the subsequent compliance with applicable federal, state, local and foreign statutes and regulations require the expenditure of substantial time and financial resources. Failure to comply with the applicable U. S. requirements at any time during the product development process, approval process or after approval, may subject an applicant to administrative or judicial civil or criminal sanctions. These sanctions could include the FDA's refusal to approve pending applications, license suspension or revocation, withdrawal of an approval, imposition of a clinical hold on clinical trials, warning letters, product recalls, product seizures, total or partial suspension of production or distribution, injunctions, fines, refusals of government contracts, restitution, disgorgement or civil or criminal penalties. The process required by the FDA before a biologic may be marketed in the United States generally involves the following:

completion of preclinical laboratory tests, animal studies and formulation studies performed in accordance with the FDA's current good laboratory practices, or GLP, regulations;

submission to the FDA of an IND which must become effective before human clinical trials in the United States may begin;

approval by an independent review board, or IRB, at each clinical trial site before each trial may be initiated;

performance of adequate and well-controlled human clinical trials in accordance with the FDA's current good clinical practices, or GCP, regulations to establish the safety and efficacy of the product candidate for its intended use;

submission to the FDA of a BLA;

satisfactory completion of an FDA inspection, if the FDA deems it as a requirement, of the manufacturing facility or facilities where the product is produced to assess compliance with the FDA's current good manufacturing practice standards, or cGMP, regulations to assure that the facilities, methods and controls are adequate to preserve the product's identity, strength, quality and purity, as well as compliance with applicable Quality System Regulations, or QSR, for devices;

potential audits by the FDA of the nonclinical and clinical trial sites that generated the data in support of the BLA;

review of the BLA by an external advisory committee to the FDA, whose recommendations are not binding on the FDA; and

FDA review and approval of the BLA prior to any commercial marketing or sale.

Preclinical Studies

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

Before testing any compounds with potential therapeutic value in humans, the product candidate enters the preclinical testing stage. Preclinical tests include laboratory evaluations of product chemistry, stability and formulation, as well as animal studies to assess the potential toxicity and activity of the product candidate. The conduct of the preclinical tests must comply with federal regulations and requirements including GLPs. The sponsor must submit the results of the preclinical tests, together with manufacturing information, analytical data, any available clinical data or literature and a proposed clinical protocol, to the FDA as part of the IND. The IND automatically becomes effective 30 days after receipt by the FDA, unless the FDA raises concerns or questions about the conduct of the clinical trial, including concerns that human research subjects will be exposed to

Table of Contents

unreasonable health risks. In such a case, the IND sponsor and the FDA must resolve any outstanding concerns before the clinical trial can begin. The FDA may also impose clinical holds on a product candidate at any time before or during clinical trials due to safety concerns or non-compliance, or for other reasons.

Clinical Trials

Clinical trials involve the administration of the product candidate to human patients under the supervision of qualified investigators, generally physicians not employed by or under the clinical trial sponsor's control. Clinical trials are conducted under protocols detailing, among other things, the objectives of the clinical trial, dosing procedures, subject selection and exclusion criteria, and the parameters to be used to monitor subject safety and effectiveness. Each protocol must be submitted to the FDA as part of the IND. Clinical trials must be conducted in accordance with GCPs. Further, each clinical trial must be reviewed and approved by an IRB at or servicing each institution at which the clinical trial will be conducted. An IRB is charged with protecting the welfare and rights of clinical trial participants and considers such items as whether the risks to individuals participating in the clinical trials are minimized and are reasonable in relation to anticipated benefits. The IRB also approves the form and content of the informed consent that must be signed by each clinical trial subject or his or her legal representative and must monitor the clinical trial until completed. Human clinical trials are typically conducted in three sequential phases that may overlap or be combined:

Phase 1. The product candidate is initially introduced into a limited population of healthy human subjects and tested for safety, dosage tolerance, absorption, metabolism, distribution and excretion. In the case of some products for some diseases, or when the product may be too inherently toxic to ethically administer to healthy volunteers, the initial human testing is often conducted in patients with the disease or condition for which the product candidate is intended to gain an early indication of its effectiveness.

Phase 2. The product candidate is evaluated in a limited patient population, but larger than in Phase 1, to identify possible adverse events and safety risks, to preliminarily evaluate the efficacy of the product for specific targeted indications and to assess dosage tolerance, optimal dosage and dosing schedule.

Phase 3. Clinical trials are undertaken to further evaluate dosage, and provide substantial evidence of clinical efficacy and safety in an expanded patient population, such as several hundred to several thousand, at geographically dispersed clinical trial sites. Phase 3 clinical trials are typically conducted when Phase 2 clinical trials demonstrate that a dose range of the product candidate is effective and has an acceptable safety profile. These trials typically have at least 2 groups of patients who, in a blinded fashion, receive either the product or a placebo. Phase 3 clinical trials are intended to establish the overall risk/benefit ratio of the product and provide an adequate basis for product labeling. Generally, two adequate and well-controlled Phase 3 clinical trials are required by the FDA for approval of a BLA.

IND sponsors may dispute FDA decisions concerning clinical development. For example, we engaged in the Formal Dispute Resolution process with the FDA for the proposed indication, primary endpoint assessment and primary endpoint measurement of RT001 for crow's feet lines. In May 2012, we received a determination that the End-of-Phase 2 had been reached for the indication of lateral canthal lines.

Post-approval trials, sometimes referred to as Phase 4 clinical trials, may be conducted after initial marketing approval. These trials are used to gain additional experience from the treatment of patients in the intended therapeutic indication to further assess the biologic's safety and effectiveness after BLA approval. Phase 4 trials can be initiated by the drug sponsor or as a condition of BLA approval by the FDA.

Annual progress reports detailing the results of the clinical trials must be submitted to the FDA and written IND safety reports must be promptly submitted to the FDA and the investigators for serious and unexpected adverse events or any finding from tests in laboratory animals that suggests a significant risk for human subjects.

Concurrent with clinical trials, companies usually complete additional animal studies and must also develop additional information about the chemistry and physical characteristics of the biologic and finalize a process for manufacturing the product in commercial quantities in accordance with cGMP requirements. The manufacturing process must be capable of consistently producing quality batches of the product candidate and, among other

Table of Contents

things, must develop methods for testing the identity, strength, quality and purity of the final biologic product. Additionally, appropriate packaging must be selected and tested and stability studies must be conducted to demonstrate that the product candidate does not undergo unacceptable deterioration over its shelf life.

U.S. Review and Approval Processes

The results of product development, preclinical studies and clinical trials, along with descriptions of the manufacturing process, analytical tests, proposed labeling and other relevant information are submitted to the FDA in the form of a BLA requesting approval to market the product for one or more specified indications. The submission of a BLA is subject to the payment of substantial user fees.

Once the FDA receives a BLA, it has 60 days to review the BLA to determine if it is substantially complete and the data is readable, before it accepts the BLA for filing. Once the submission is accepted for filing, the FDA begins an in-depth review of the BLA. Under the goals and policies agreed to by the FDA under the Prescription Drug User Fee Act, or PDUFA, the FDA has twelve months from submission in which to complete its initial review of a standard BLA and make a decision on the application, and eight months from submission for a priority BLA, and such deadline is referred to as the PDUFA date. The FDA does not always meet its PDUFA dates for either standard or priority BLAs. The review process and the PDUFA date may be extended by three months if the FDA requests or the BLA sponsor otherwise provides additional information or clarification regarding information already provided in the submission within the last three months before the PDUFA date.

After the BLA submission is accepted for filing, the FDA reviews the BLA to determine, among other things, whether the proposed product is safe and effective for its intended use, and whether the product is being manufactured in accordance with cGMP to assure and preserve the product's identity, strength, quality and purity. The FDA may refer applications for novel drug or biological products or drug or biological products which present difficult questions of safety or efficacy to an advisory committee, typically a panel that includes clinicians and other experts, for review, evaluation and a recommendation as to whether the application should be approved and under what conditions. The FDA is not bound by the recommendations of an advisory committee, but it considers such recommendations carefully when making decisions. During the approval process, the FDA also will determine whether a Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategies, or REMS, is necessary to assure the safe use of the product. If the FDA concludes a REMS is needed, the sponsor of the BLA must submit a proposed REMS; the FDA will not approve the BLA without an approved REMS, if required. A REMS can substantially increase the costs of obtaining approval.

Before approving a BLA, the FDA can inspect the facilities at which the product is manufactured. The FDA will not approve the BLA unless it determines that the manufacturing processes and facilities are in compliance with cGMP requirements and adequate to assure consistent production of the product within required specifications. Additionally, before approving a BLA, the FDA will typically inspect one or more clinical sites to assure that the clinical trials were conducted in compliance with GCP requirements. If the FDA determines that the application, manufacturing process or manufacturing facilities are not acceptable, it will outline the deficiencies in the submission and often will request additional clinical testing or information before a BLA can be approved.

The FDA will issue a complete response letter if the agency decides not to approve the BLA. The complete response letter describes all of the specific deficiencies in the BLA identified by the FDA. The deficiencies identified may be minor, for example, requiring labeling changes, or major, for example, requiring additional clinical trials. Additionally, the complete response letter may include recommended actions that the applicant might take to place the application in a condition for approval. If a complete response letter is issued, the applicant may either resubmit the BLA, addressing all of the deficiencies identified in the letter, or withdraw the application.

If a product receives regulatory approval, the approval may be significantly limited to specific diseases and dosages or the indications for use may otherwise be limited, which could restrict the commercial value of the product. Further, the FDA may require that certain contraindications, warnings or precautions be included in the product labeling. In addition, the FDA may require post marketing studies, sometimes referred to as Phase 4 testing, which involves clinical trials designed to further assess drug safety and effectiveness and may require testing and surveillance programs to monitor the safety of approved products that have been commercialized. After approval,

Table of Contents

certain changes to the approved biologic, such as adding new indications, manufacturing changes or additional labeling claims, are subject to further FDA review and approval. Depending on the nature of the change proposed, a BLA supplement must be filed and approved before the change may be implemented. For many proposed post-approval changes to a BLA, the FDA has up to 180 days to review the application. As with new BLAs, the review process is often significantly extended by the FDA requests for additional information or clarification.

Post-Approval Requirements

Any biologic products for which we or our collaborators receive FDA approvals are subject to continuing regulation by the FDA, including, among other things, record-keeping requirements, reporting of adverse experiences with the product, providing the FDA with updated safety and efficacy information, product sampling and distribution requirements, complying with certain electronic records and signature requirements and complying with FDA promotion and advertising requirements, which include, among others, restrictions on direct-to-consumer advertising, promoting biologics for uses or in patient populations that are not described in the product's approved labeling, known as off-label use, industry-sponsored scientific and educational activities, and promotional activities involving the internet. The FDA closely regulates the post-approval marketing and promotion of biologics, and although physicians may prescribe legally available drugs for off-label uses, manufacturers may not market or promote such off-label uses. Failure to comply with these or other FDA requirements can subject a manufacturer to possible legal or regulatory action, such as warning letters, suspension of manufacturing, seizure of product, injunctive action, mandated corrective advertising or communications with healthcare professionals, possible civil or criminal penalties or other negative consequences, including adverse publicity.

We currently manufacture our own clinical drug supplies to support both of our product candidates and plan to do so on a commercial scale if our product candidates are approved. In addition, we also contract with third party manufacturers for certain components necessary to produce our lead product candidate in clinical quantities and expect to continue to do so to support commercial scale production if our lead product candidate is approved. Our future collaborators may also utilize third parties for some or all of a product we are developing with such collaborator. We and our third party manufacturers are required to comply with applicable FDA manufacturing requirements contained in the FDA's cGMP regulations. cGMP regulations require among other things, quality control and quality assurance as well as the corresponding maintenance of records and documentation. Drug manufacturers and other entities involved in the manufacture and distribution of approved biologics are required to register their establishments with the FDA and certain state agencies, and are subject to periodic inspections by the FDA and certain state agencies for compliance with cGMP and other laws. Accordingly, manufacturers must continue to expend time, money, and effort in the area of production and quality control to maintain cGMP compliance.

U.S. Patent Term Restoration and Marketing Exclusivity

Depending upon the timing, duration and specifics of the FDA approval of our biologic product candidate, some of our U.S. patents may be eligible for limited patent term extension under the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984, commonly referred to as the Hatch-Waxman Amendments. The Hatch-Waxman Amendments permit a patent restoration term of up to five years as compensation for patent term lost during product development and the FDA regulatory review process. However, patent term restoration cannot extend the remaining term of a patent beyond a total of 14 years from the product's approval date. The patent term restoration period is generally one-half the time between the effective date of an IND and the submission date of a BLA plus the time between the submission date of a BLA and the approval of that application. Only one patent applicable to an approved product is eligible for the extension and the application for the extension must be submitted prior to the expiration of the patent. The United States Patent and Trademark Office, in consultation with the FDA, reviews and approves the application for any patent term extension or restoration. In the future, we may intend to apply for restoration of patent term for one of our currently owned or licensed patents to add patent life beyond its current expiration date, depending on the expected length of the clinical trials and other factors involved in the filing of the relevant BLA.

Table of Contents

Market exclusivity provisions under the FDCA can also delay the submission or the approval of certain applications of other companies seeking to reference another company's BLA. Specifically, the Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009, or BPCIA, established an abbreviated pathway for the approval of biosimilar and interchangeable biological products. The new abbreviated regulatory pathway establishes legal authority for the FDA to review and approve biosimilar biologics, including the possible designation of a biosimilar as interchangeable based on their similarity to existing brand product. Under the BPCIA, an application for a biosimilar product cannot be approved by the FDA until twelve years after the original branded product was approved under a BLA. However, an application may be submitted after four years if it contains a certification of patent invalidity or non-infringement to one of the patents listed with the FDA by the innovator BLA holder. The BPCIA is complex and is only beginning to be interpreted and implemented by the FDA. As a result, its ultimate impact, implementation and meaning are subject to uncertainty.

Product Approval Process Outside the United States

In addition to regulations in the United States, we will be subject to a variety of foreign regulations governing manufacturing, clinical trials, commercial sales and distribution of our future products. Whether or not we obtain FDA approval for a product candidate, we must obtain approval of the product by the comparable regulatory authorities of foreign countries before commencing clinical trials or marketing in those countries. The approval process varies from country to country, and the time may be longer or shorter than that required for FDA approval. The requirements governing the conduct of clinical trials, product licensing, pricing and reimbursement vary greatly from country to country.

Under European Union regulatory systems, marketing authorizations may be submitted either under a centralized, decentralized or mutual recognition procedure. The centralized procedure provides for the grant of a single marketing authorization that is valid for all European Union member states. The decentralized procedure includes selecting one reference member state, or RMS, and submitting to more than one member state at the same time. The RMS National Competent Authority conducts a detailed review and prepares an assessment report, to which concerned member states provide comment. The mutual recognition procedure provides for mutual recognition of national approval decisions. Under this procedure, the holder of a national marketing authorization may submit an application to the remaining member states post-initial approval. Within 90 days of receiving the applications and assessment report, each member state must decide whether to recognize approval.

Federal and State Fraud and Abuse and Data Privacy and Security Laws and Regulations

In addition to FDA restrictions on marketing of pharmaceutical products, federal and state fraud and abuse laws restrict certain business practices in the biopharmaceutical industry. These laws include anti-kickback and false claims statutes. We will be subject to these laws and regulations once we begin to directly commercialize our products.

The federal Anti-Kickback Statute prohibits, among other things, knowingly and willfully offering, paying, soliciting or receiving remuneration to induce, or in return for, purchasing, leasing, ordering or arranging for the purchase, lease or order of any item or service reimbursable under Medicare, Medicaid or other federal healthcare programs. The term remuneration has been broadly interpreted to include anything of value, including for example, gifts, discounts, the furnishing of supplies or equipment, credit arrangements, payments of cash, waivers of payment, ownership interests and providing anything at less than its fair market value. The Anti-Kickback Statute has been interpreted to apply to arrangements between pharmaceutical manufacturers on one hand prescribers, purchasers and formulary managers on the other. Although there are a number of statutory exemptions and regulatory safe harbors protecting certain common activities from prosecution, the exemptions and safe harbors are drawn narrowly, and our practices may not in all cases meet all of the criteria for statutory exemptions or safe harbor protection. Practices that involve remuneration that may be alleged to be intended to induce prescribing, purchases or recommendations may be subject to scrutiny if they do not qualify for an exemption or safe harbor. Several courts have interpreted the statute's intent requirement to mean that if any one purpose of an arrangement involving remuneration is to induce referrals of federal healthcare covered business, the statute has been violated. The reach of the Anti-Kickback Statute was also broadened by the Patient

Table of Contents

Protection and Affordable Care Act as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, or collectively, PPACA, which, among other things, amends the intent requirement of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute. Pursuant to the statutory amendment, a person or entity no longer needs to have actual knowledge of this statute or specific intent to violate it in order to have committed a violation. In addition, PPACA provides that the government may assert that a claim including items or services resulting from a violation of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the civil False Claims Act or the civil monetary penalties statute, which imposes penalties against any person who is determined to have presented or caused to be presented a claim to a federal health program that the person knows or should know is for an item or service that was not provided as claimed or is false or fraudulent.

The federal False Claims Act prohibits any person from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, a false claim for payment to the federal government or knowingly making, using or causing to be made or used a false record or statement material to a false or fraudulent claim to the federal government. As a result of a modification made by the Fraud Enforcement and Recovery Act of 2009, a claim includes any request or demand for money or property presented to the U.S. government. Recently, several pharmaceutical and other healthcare companies have been prosecuted under these laws for allegedly providing free product to customers with the expectation that the customers would bill federal programs for the product. Other companies have been prosecuted for causing false claims to be submitted because of the companies' marketing of the product for unapproved, and thus non-reimbursable, uses. The federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, created new federal criminal statutes that prohibit knowingly and willfully executing a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program, including private third party payors and knowingly and willfully falsifying, concealing or covering up a material fact or making any materially false, fictitious or fraudulent statement in connection with the delivery of, or payment for, healthcare benefits, items or services. Also, many states have similar fraud and abuse statutes or regulations that apply to items and services reimbursed under Medicaid and other state programs, or, in several states, apply regardless of the payor.

In addition, we may be subject to data privacy and security regulation by both the federal government and the states in which we conduct our business. HIPAA, as amended by the Health Information Technology and Clinical Health Act, or HITECH, and its implementing regulations, imposes certain requirements relating to the privacy, security and transmission of individually identifiable health information. Among other things, HITECH makes HIPAA's privacy and security standards directly applicable to business associates, those independent contractors or agents of covered entities that receive or obtain protected health information in connection with providing a service on behalf of a covered entity. HITECH also increased the civil and criminal penalties that may be imposed against covered entities, business associates and possibly other persons, and gave state attorneys general new authority to file civil actions for damages or injunctions in federal courts to enforce the federal HIPAA laws and seek attorney's fees and costs associated with pursuing federal civil actions. In addition, state laws govern the privacy and security of health information in certain circumstances, many of which differ from each other in significant ways and may not have the same effect, thus complicating compliance efforts.

Because of the breadth of these laws and the narrowness of available statutory and regulatory exemptions, it is possible that some of our business activities now and in the future could be subject to challenge under one or more of such laws. If our operations are found to be in violation of any of the federal and state laws described above or any other governmental regulations that apply to us, we may be subject to penalties, including criminal and significant civil monetary penalties, damages, fines, imprisonment, exclusion of products from reimbursement under government programs and the curtailment or restructuring of our operations, any of which could adversely affect our ability to operate our business and our results of operations. To the extent that any of our products are sold in a foreign country, we may be subject to similar foreign laws and regulations, which may include, for instance, applicable post-marketing requirements, including safety surveillance, anti-fraud and abuse laws and implementation of corporate compliance programs and reporting of payments or transfers of value to healthcare professionals.

Table of Contents

Intellectual Property

Our success depends in large part on our ability to obtain and maintain intellectual property protection for our drug candidates, novel biological discoveries, and drug development technology and other know-how, to operate without infringing on the proprietary or intellectual property rights of others and to prevent others from infringing our proprietary and intellectual property rights. We seek to protect our proprietary position by, among other methods, filing U.S. and foreign patent applications related to our proprietary technology, inventions and improvements that are important to the development and implementation of our business. We also rely on know-how, copyright, trademarks and trade secret laws, continuing technological innovation and potential in-licensing opportunities to develop and maintain our proprietary position. Such protection is also maintained using confidential disclosure agreements. Protection of our technologies is important for us to offer our customers proprietary services and products unavailable from our competitors, and to exclude our competitors from practicing technology that we have developed. If competitors in our industry have access to the same technology, our competitive position may be adversely affected.

It is possible that our current patents, or patents which we may later acquire, may be successfully challenged or invalidated in whole or in part. It is also possible that we may not obtain issued patents from our pending patent applications or other inventions we seek to protect. Due to uncertainties inherent in prosecuting patent applications, sometimes patent applications are rejected and we subsequently abandon them. It is also possible that we may develop proprietary products or technologies in the future that are not patentable or that the patents of others will limit or altogether preclude our ability to do business. In addition, any patent issued to us may provide us with little or no competitive advantage, in which case we may abandon such patent or license it to another entity. For more information, please see [Risk Factors](#) [Risks Related to our Intellectual Property](#).

As of January 21, 2014, we held approximately 86 issued patents and approximately 150 pending patent applications, including foreign counterparts of U.S. patents and applications. Ten of our patents are issued in the United States, with the rest issued in Australia, Canada, China, various countries in Europe, Hong Kong, Israel, Japan, Malaysia, Mexico, New Zealand, Singapore and South Africa. In addition, we have pending patent applications in the United States as well as in Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, Europe, Hong Kong, Israel, India, Japan, Korea, Mexico, New Zealand, Singapore and Taiwan. The earliest that any of our patents will expire is July 20, 2021 for U.S. Patent No. 7,807,780. We have approximately 150 pending or granted applications, including foreign counterparts of U.S. applications, relating to our proprietary RT001 and RT002 product candidates, with approximately 78 covering both RT001 and RT002, approximately 62 covering RT001 formulation and/or uses only and approximately 14 covering RT002 formulation and/or uses only. In the United States, RT001 is covered by at least U.S. Patent Nos. 8,398,997 and 8,404,249, which presently have expiration dates of October 22, 2027 and July 7, 2029, respectively. RT002 is covered by at least U.S. Patent No. 8,404,249. Because approval for RT001 is still pending before the FDA, one of these patents, or a later granted Revance patent, may be eligible for a patent term extension of up to five years, provided the total period of market exclusivity based on the extended patent does not exceed 14 years. For more information, please see [Business](#) [Government Regulation](#) [U.S. Patent Term Restoration and Marketing Exclusivity](#).

We will continue to pursue additional patent protection as well as take appropriate measures to obtain and maintain proprietary protection for our innovative technologies.

Our registered and pending U.S. trademarks include REVANCE®, TRANSMTS®, MOTISTE, XOTIKIS and JANTYNG.

Competition

We expect to enter highly competitive pharmaceutical and medical device markets. Successful competitors in the pharmaceutical and medical device markets have the ability to effectively discover, develop, test and obtain regulatory approvals for products, as well as the ability to effectively commercialize, market and promote approved products, including communicating the effectiveness, safety and value of products to actual and prospective customers and medical staff. Numerous companies are engaged in the development, manufacture and marketing of health care products competitive with those that we are developing. While we are unaware of any

Table of Contents

potentially competitive topical products that may reach the market before RT001 for the treatment of crow's feet lines, it is possible that such a potentially competitive topical product is being developed.

Many of our competitors have substantially greater manufacturing, financial, research and development, personnel and marketing resources than we do. Our competitors may also have more experience and expertise in obtaining marketing approvals from the FDA and other regulatory authorities. In addition to product development, testing, approval and promotion, other competitive factors in the pharmaceutical and medical device industries include industry consolidation, product quality and price, product technology, reputation, customer service and access to technical information. As a result, our competitors may be able to develop competing or superior technologies and processes, and compete more aggressively and sustain that competition over a longer period of time than we could. Our technologies and products may be rendered obsolete or uneconomical by technological advances or entirely different approaches developed by one or more of our competitors. As more companies develop new intellectual property in our markets, the possibility of a competitor acquiring patent or other rights that may limit our products or potential products increases, which could lead to litigation.

Upon marketing approval, the first expected use of our products will be in aesthetic medicine, followed by potential use to treat excessive sweating, migraine headache and other conditions. The technologies with which we expect to compete directly are injectable and topical neuromodulators, and to a lesser extent, dermal fillers.

Injectable and Topical Neuromodulators

Our primary competitors in the pharmaceutical market are companies offering injectable dose forms of botulinum toxin, including:

Botox[®], marketed by Allergan, Inc., since its original approval by the FDA in 1989, has been approved for multiple indications, including glabellar lines, crow's feet lines and hyperhidrosis.

Myobloc[®], a neuromodulator currently marketed by US WorldMeds and approved by the FDA in 2000.

Dysport[®], an injectable botulinum toxin for the treatment of cervical dystonia and glabellar lines, which is marketed by Ipsen Ltd., or Ipsen, and Medicis Pharmaceutical Corporation (acquired by Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, Inc.) and approved by the FDA in 2009. Ipsen had previously received marketing authorization for a cosmetic indication for Dysport[®] in Germany in 2006 and, in 2007, Ipsen granted Galderma an exclusive development and marketing license for Dysport[®] for cosmetic indications in the European Union, Russia, Eastern Europe and the Middle East, and first rights of negotiation for other countries around the world, except the United States, Canada and Japan. In 2008, Galderma became Ipsen's sole distributor for Dysport[®] in Brazil, Argentina and Paraguay. In 2009, the health authorities of 15 European Union countries approved Dysport[®] for glabellar lines under the trade name Azzalure[®]. In 2011, Ipsen and Syntaxin engaged in a research collaboration agreement to develop native and engineered formats of botulinum toxin.

Xeomin[®], marketed by Merz Pharma, or Merz, and approved by the FDA in 2010 for cervical dystonia and blepharospasm in adults previously treated with Botox[®]. In the third quarter of 2011, Xeomin[®] was approved by the FDA and in Korea for glabellar lines. Xeomin[®] is also currently approved for therapeutic indications in most countries in the European Union as well as Canada and certain countries in Latin America and Asia.

Bocouture[®] (rebranded from Xeomin[®]), marketed by Merz and received approval for glabellar lines in Germany in 2009. In 2010, Bocouture[®] was approved in significant markets within the European Union. Xeomin[®] is also approved for glabellar lines in Argentina and Mexico.

A division of Johnson & Johnson is conducting clinical trials for an injectable neuromodulator for glabellar lines in the United States. We are aware of competing neuromodulators currently being developed and commercialized in Asia, South America and other markets. These lightly regulated markets may not require adherence to the FDA's cGMPs or the regulatory requirements of the European Medicines Agency or other regulatory agencies in

Table of Contents

countries that are members of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. While these products are unlikely to meet stringent U.S. regulatory standards, the companies operating in these markets may be able to produce products at a lower cost than United States and European manufacturers. In addition to the injectable botulinum toxin dose forms, we are aware that other companies are developing topical neuromodulators for cosmetic and therapeutics indications and are conducting clinical trials for acne and facial aesthetic and hyperhidrosis.

Aesthetic Medicine

We anticipate that the first use of our products will be in the professional facial aesthetic medicine market which includes neurotoxins and dermal fillers, as well as polymer-based injectables. These and other products experience indirect competition from procedures, such as laser treatments, face lifts, chemical peels, fat injections and cold therapy. In the United States, dermal filler products, including Allergan's Juvéderm® Ultra and Ultra Plus, compete with Valeant's products Restylane® and Perlane®. In 2010, the FDA approved Allergan's Juvéderm® Ultra XC and Ultra Plus XC products containing lidocaine as well as new formulations of Restylane® and Perlane® also containing lidocaine and Restylane® without lidocaine for lips. Additional competitors in the filler category include Radiesse®, a calcium hydroxylapatite from BioForm, which was acquired by Merz in 2010, Sculptra® from Valeant Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Belotero Balance® from Merz. Internationally, competitive products include Q-Med's range of Restylane® and Perlane® products, as well as products from Anteis, Filoraga, Teoxane, Valeant Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and a large number of other hyaluronic acid, bioceramic, protein and other polymer-based dermal fillers.

Sales and Marketing

We currently have limited marketing capabilities and no sales organization. Assuming successful completion of clinical trials and receipt of marketing approval for RT001 for treatment of crow's feet lines by the FDA, we plan to launch RT001 in the United States with our own sales force and commercial organization. Specifically, we would access the U.S. market through a focused, specialized sales force that targets the core physicians (dermatologists, plastic surgeons, facial plastic surgeons and oculo-plastic surgeons) who perform the majority of the cosmetic procedures. Assuming approval to market in the United States, we will focus our initial marketing of RT001 and RT002 on these core specialties.

After European approval to market, we anticipate marketing RT001 and RT002 through either our own commercial infrastructure or a combination of our own infrastructure and that of our possible future partners. For future uses of RT001 and RT002 outside of aesthetic medicine, we are evaluating launching on our own or through partner relationships.

Strategic Partnering

We plan to focus our efforts on developing and commercializing RT001 and RT002 in North America. We intend to seek partners to fund development of our products outside of dermatology and outside of North America to maximize the commercial potential of our product candidates and delivery technology.

We also plan to leverage our TransMTS® technology platform outside of our core focus in botulinum toxin by partnering with other companies. For example, in June 2013 we entered into an exclusive technology evaluation agreement with the Procter and Gamble Company to co-develop a peptide and explore applications of the TransMTS® delivery technology in two classes of over-the-counter cosmetic compounds. If successful, this partnership would enable us to receive royalty revenue.

Facilities

Our headquarters is located in Newark, California, where we occupy approximately 90,000 square feet of office, laboratory and manufacturing space. The current term of our lease expires in May 2021. We have an option to extend the lease for two additional terms of seven years, which would extend our lease through May 2035. We believe that our current facilities are adequate for our needs and for the immediate future and that, should it be needed, additional space can be leased to accommodate any future growth.

Table of Contents

Employees

As of January 21, 2014, we had 64 full-time employees and 13 contractors for a total of 77 full-time equivalents. Of these employees and contractors, 55 were engaged in research and development and 22 were engaged in business development, finance, legal, human resources, facilities, information technology and general management and administration activities. We plan to continue to expand our research and development activities. To support this growth, we will need to expand managerial, research and development, operations, finance and other functions. None of our employees are represented by a labor union, and we consider our employee relations to be good.

Legal Proceedings

We are not currently a party to any material litigation or other material legal proceedings.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT****Executive Officers, Key Employees and Directors**

The following table sets forth information concerning our directors, key employees and consultants and non-employee directors including their ages as of January 20, 2014:

Name	Age	Position(s)
Executive Officers		
L. Daniel Browne	52	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
Curtis Ruegg, Ph.D.	51	Executive Vice President, Research and Development and Technical Operations
Lauren P. Silvernail	55	Executive Vice President, Corporate Development and Chief Financial Officer
Jacob Waugh, M.D.	42	Chief Scientific Officer and Medical Director
Key Employees and Consultants		
Sharon Hall	50	Vice President, Regulatory Affairs
Niquette Hunt	49	Senior Vice President, Commercial Development
David Styka	55	Senior Vice President, Finance and Administration
Non-Employee Directors		
Robert Byrnes	69	Director
Ronald W. Eastman	60	Director
Phyllis Gardner, M.D.	63	Director
James Glasheen, Ph.D.	46	Director
Jonathan Tunnicliffe	48	Director
Ronald Wooten	54	Director
Executive Officers		

L. Daniel Browne is one of our co-founders and has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer and a member of our board of directors since we commenced operations in 2002. Mr. Browne served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Neomend, Inc., a medical technology and biomaterials company, from 2001 to 2003. From 1997 through 2000, Mr. Browne served as President of Prograft Medical Inc., a medical technology company. Previously, Mr. Browne served for more than 16 years in leadership positions in product development, sales and marketing and business development in the Gore Medical Products Division of W.L. Gore & Associates, Inc., a global technology company, lastly as Business Leader in the Medical Products Division. Mr. Browne holds a B.S. from the University of Hawaii in Cell and Molecular Biology and an M.B.A. from Pepperdine University. Our board of directors believes that Mr. Browne is qualified to serve on our board of directors based on his management perspective of the company, including our strategic opportunities and challenges and his track record of new product development, sales and marketing and value creation, each of which relates to our commercial opportunities.

Curtis Ruegg, Ph.D. has served as our Executive Vice President, Research and Development and Technical Operations since September 2006. Previously, Dr. Ruegg has held management and research and development positions at CoTherix, Inc., a biopharmaceutical company, from 2004 to 2006. From 2002 to 2004, Dr. Ruegg was Vice President of Preclinical and Process Development at InterMune, Inc., a biotechnology company. From 1999 to 2001, Dr. Ruegg was Vice President of Research and Development at AP Cells, Inc., a medical product supply company. From 1993 to 1998, Dr. Ruegg served as Group Leader and Senior Scientist at Dendreon Corporation, a biotechnology company. Dr. Ruegg is a member of the American Association of Immunologists and the American Association for the Advancement of Science. Dr. Ruegg holds a B.S. in toxicology from the University of California, Davis and a Ph.D. in pharmacology from Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine.

Table of Contents

Lauren P. Silvernail has served as our Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President, Corporate Development since March 2013. From 2003 to 2012, Ms. Silvernail was Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Corporate Development at ISTA Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a pharmaceutical research and development company. During her tenure at ISTA, revenues grew to more than \$160 million and headcount increased to more than 340 employees by the time ISTA was purchased by Bausch & Lomb in June 2012. From 1995 to 2003, Ms. Silvernail served in various operating and corporate development positions with Allergan, Inc., a pharmaceutical company, including Vice President, Business Development. Prior to joining Allergan, Inc., Ms. Silvernail worked at Glenwood Ventures, an investment firm, as a General Partner. Ms. Silvernail holds a B.A. in Biophysics from the University of California, Berkeley and an M.B.A. from the Anderson Graduate School of Management at the University of California, Los Angeles. Ms. Silvernail is a member of the Licensing Executives Society (LES).

Jacob Waugh, M.D. is one of our co-founders and has served as our Chief Scientific Officer and Medical Director since June 2002. From 1997 to 2004, Dr. Waugh served on staff at the Stanford University School of Medicine. He has authored over 30 research manuscripts and publications in the field of tissue engineering, molecular and cell biology, and gene therapy. He has served as an expert referee for numerous medical and scientific journals. He has six patents granted in the United States and numerous additional patent applications. Dr. Waugh received his B.S. from Rice University and M.D. from the Baylor College of Medicine.

Key Employees and Consultants

Sharon Hall has been our Vice President of Regulatory Affairs since January 2008. From 2005 through 2008, Ms. Hall held positions at PharmacoFore, Inc., a biopharmaceutical company. From 2002 to 2005, she served as Senior Director, Regulatory Affairs, leading the submission and approval of several dermatological products, including OLUX[®] and Evoclin[®], for Connetics Corporation, a specialty pharmaceutical company. From 2000 to 2002 she worked at Aerogen Inc., a medical device and drug delivery company, as Associate Director, Regulatory Affairs. Ms. Hall holds a B.S. and a B.A. from the University of Texas at San Antonio.

Niquette Hunt has served as our Senior Vice President of Commercial Development since June 2009. From 2000 to 2009, Ms. Hunt served as the Principal of the McLean-Hunt Consulting Group, a consulting company for early stage medical device and pharmaceutical companies. From 1996 through 1999, Ms. Hunt was the Vice President of Marketing at ChemTrak, Incorporated, a medical diagnostic test company. Prior to that, Ms. Hunt held positions of increasing responsibility in marketing and sales at The Procter & Gamble Company and Warner-Lambert Company. Ms. Hunt holds a B.A. from Stanford University.

David Styka has served as our Senior Vice President, Finance and Administration since March 2013. Prior to that time Mr. Styka served as our Chief Financial Officer from November 2002 to March 2013. From May 2006 to December 2006, he served as Chief Financial Officer of Equal Elements, a private equity management firm. Prior to such role, he was Chief Financial Officer of The b-EQUAL Company, a family gaming company, a Partner at Incubasia, a Hong Kong based venture capital firm, and Chief Financial Officer for Entercept, Inc., a network security firm acquired by McAfee, Inc. Mr. Styka holds a B.A. in Economics from University of California, Santa Barbara.

Non-Employee Directors

Robert Byrnes has served as a director of our company since August 2004. Mr. Byrnes has spent over forty years in the medical device and biotechnology industries. From October 1997 until October 2002, and from January 2005 to the present, Mr. Byrnes has served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Roan, Inc., an advisory service for healthcare organizations. From November 2002 to January 2005, he served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Thermage, Inc., a medical device company focused on the non-invasive treatment of wrinkles. Mr. Byrnes has also served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Tokos Medical Corporation, a health care services company, President of Caremark RX, Inc., a retail pharmacy and healthcare company, and Vice President of Marketing and Business Development for Genentech, Inc., a biotechnology company. Mr. Byrnes holds a B.S. in Pharmacy from Ferris State University and an M.B.A degree in Marketing and

Table of Contents

Finance from Loyola University, Chicago. Our board of directors believes that Mr. Byrnes's operating experience, combined with his prior board positions, make him qualified to serve on our board of directors.

Ronald W. Eastman has served as a director of our company since December 2009. He has been a managing director at Essex Woodlands Health Ventures, a venture capital firm that focuses on the healthcare industry since October 2006. From 2002 to 2006, Mr. Eastman was the Chief Executive Officer of Rinat Neuroscience Corporation, a biotech company spun out of Genentech, Inc. Mr. Eastman currently serves on the boards of directors of several privately held life sciences companies. Mr. Eastman holds a B.A. from Williams College and an M.B.A. from Columbia University. In addition, through his service as a director on numerous corporate boards, Mr. Eastman has extensive and valuable corporate governance, board oversight and transactional experience. Our board of directors believes that such experience allows Mr. Eastman to make valuable contributions to our board of directors.

Phyllis Gardner, M.D. has served as a director of our company since December 2006. Dr. Gardner has spent over 35 years in academia, medicine and industry. She currently serves as an adjunct Partner at Essex Woodlands Health Ventures, a venture capital firm that focuses on the healthcare industry, where she has worked since June 1999. Dr. Gardner has served on the board of directors of several public and private companies. She began her academic medical career at Stanford University, where she has held several positions including Senior Associate Dean for Education and Student Affairs and remains today as Professor of Medicine. From 1994 to 1996, she took a leave of absence from Stanford University to serve as Principal Scientist, Vice President of Research and Head of ALZA Technology Institute, a major drug delivery company. Dr. Gardner holds a B.S. from the University of Illinois and an M.D. from Harvard University. Our board of directors believes that Dr. Gardner's private equity experience, operating experience and significant experience serving as a director of our company and other healthcare companies make her qualified to serve on our board of directors.

James Glasheen, Ph.D. has served as a director of our company since April 2004. Since 2002, Dr. Glasheen has served as a general partner with Technology Partners, a venture capital firm that focuses on clean tech and life science companies. Prior to his work at Technology Partners, he served as Managing Director of CIT Venture Capital. From 1996 to 2000, he was a leader within McKinsey & Company's Pharmaceutical and Medical Products Practice. Dr. Glasheen also serves as an advisor to the National Science Foundation's (NSF) SBIR program in Washington D.C. Dr. Glasheen currently serves as a member of the board of directors of several privately-held biotechnology, consumer medical and medical device companies. Dr. Glasheen holds a B.S. from Duke University and an M.A. and Ph.D. from Harvard University. Our board of directors believes that Dr. Glasheen's experiences with facilitating the growth of venture-backed companies, his experiences with McKinsey & Company and his consumer medical company expertise, together with his historical perspective on our company, make him qualified to serve on our board of directors.

Jonathan Tunnicliffe has served as a director of our company since May 2011. He is currently a Partner of NovaQuest Capital Management, L.L.C., an investment firm that focuses on the biopharmaceutical sector, a position he has held since November 2010. From 2000 until 2010, he was global head of due diligence for the NQ business unit of Quintiles Transnational, a contract research company. Mr. Tunnicliffe was previously a founding member and Director of Operations of a specialized clinical research organization, S-Cubed Inc. In Mr. Tunnicliffe's earlier career, he was a medical statistician at SmithKline and French (now Glaxo SmithKline) and at the University of Sheffield. Mr. Tunnicliffe holds a B.Sc. in Mathematical Statistics from the University of Liverpool, a Master of Science in Medical Statistics from the University of Newcastle-upon-Tyne and an M.B.A. from Sheffield Hallam University. He also holds a Postgraduate Diploma in Marketing from the Chartered Institute of Marketing in the United Kingdom. Our board of directors believes that Mr. Tunnicliffe's operating experience, combined with his prior board positions, make him qualified to serve on our board of directors.

Ronald Wooten has served as a director of our company since October 2013. Mr. Wooten has been a partner of NovaQuest Capital Management, L.L.C., an investment firm that focuses on the biopharmaceutical sector, since its inception in November 2010, and has been the head of the investment committee of the General Partner of NovaQuest Pharma Opportunities Fund III. From 2000 until November 2010, he was president for the NovaQuest business unit of Quintiles Inc, a contract research company. Mr. Wooten was previously Executive

Table of Contents

Vice President of Quintiles and served on its board of directors from January 2008 to November 2010. Mr. Wooten's previous experience includes nine years with First Union Securities, where he served as a Managing Director of Investment Banking. Mr. Wooten holds a B.A. degree in Chemistry from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and an M.B.A. from Boston University. Our board of directors believes that Mr. Wooten's operating experience, combined with his prior board positions, make him qualified to serve on our board of directors.

Governance and Board Composition

Classified Board. Our board of directors currently consists of seven members. In accordance with our amended and restated certificate of incorporation that will be effective immediately after this offering, our board of directors will be divided into three classes with staggered three-year terms. At each annual general meeting of stockholders, the successors to directors whose terms then expire will be elected to serve from the time of election and qualification until the third annual meeting following election. Our directors will be divided among the three classes as follows:

The Class I directors will be Drs. Gardner and Glasheen, and their terms will expire at the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2015;

The Class II directors will be Messrs. Eastman, Tunnicliffe and Wooten, and their terms will expire at the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2016; and

The Class III directors will be Messrs. Browne and Byrnes, and their terms will expire at the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2017.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws that will be effective immediately after this offering will provide that the authorized number of directors may be changed only by resolution approved by a majority of our board of directors. Any additional directorships resulting from an increase in the number of directors will be distributed among the three classes so that, as nearly as possible, each class will consist of one-third of the directors. The division of our board of directors into three classes with staggered three-year terms may delay or prevent a change of our management or a change in control.

Director Independence. Prior to this offering, our board of directors undertook a review of the independence of the directors and considered whether any director has a material relationship with us that could compromise his ability to exercise independent judgment in carrying out his responsibilities. As a result of this review, our board of directors determined that Mr. Byrnes, and Drs. Glasheen and Gardner, representing three of our seven directors, are independent directors as defined under NASDAQ listing rules and the independence requirements of Rule 10A-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act.

Board Committees. Our board of directors has established an audit committee and a compensation committee and, effective immediately after this offering, a nominating and corporate governance committee. Our board of directors may establish other committees to facilitate the management of our business. The composition and functions of each committee are described below. Members serve on these committees until their resignation or until otherwise determined by our board of directors.

Audit Committee. Our audit committee currently consists of Messrs. Byrnes and Eastman and Dr. Glasheen, two of whom, our board of directors has determined, satisfy the independence requirements under the NASDAQ listing rules and Rule 10A-3(b)(1) of the Exchange Act. Each member of the audit committee meets the requirements for financial literacy under the applicable rules and regulations of the SEC and NASDAQ. The chair of our audit committee is Robert Byrnes, who our board of directors has determined is an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of the SEC regulations. Our board of directors has determined that, subject to the phase-in periods available to companies listing on NASDAQ in connection with an initial public offering, the composition of our audit committee meets the criteria for independence under, and the functioning of our audit committee complies with, the applicable requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, applicable requirements of the NASDAQ listing rules and SEC rules and regulations. We intend to continue to evaluate the requirements applicable to us and comply with future requirements to the extent that they become

Table of Contents

applicable to our audit committee. Effective immediately after this offering, the principal duties and responsibilities of our audit committee will include:

appointing and retaining an independent registered public accounting firm to serve as independent auditor to audit our consolidated financial statements, overseeing the independent auditor's work and determining the independent auditor's compensation;

approving in advance all audit services and non-audit services to be provided to us by our independent auditor;

establishing procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by us regarding accounting, internal accounting controls, auditing or compliance matters, as well as for the confidential, anonymous submission by our employees of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters;

reviewing and discussing with management and our independent auditor the results of the annual audit and the independent auditor's review of our quarterly consolidated financial statements; and

conferring with management and our independent auditor about the scope, adequacy and effectiveness of our internal accounting controls, the objectivity of our financial reporting and our accounting policies and practices.

Compensation Committee. Our compensation committee reviews and determines the compensation of all our executive officers. Our compensation committee consists of Messrs. Byrnes, Eastman and Tunnicliffe and Dr. Gardner, each of whom is a non-employee member of our board of directors as defined in Rule 16b-3 under the Exchange Act and an outside director as that term is defined in Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, or the Code. Mr. Byrnes is the chairman of the compensation committee. Our board of directors has determined that, subject to the phase-in periods available to companies listing on NASDAQ in connection with an initial public offering, the composition of our compensation committee satisfies the applicable independence requirements under, and the functioning of our compensation committee complies with the applicable requirements of, the NASDAQ listing rules and SEC rules and regulations. We intend to continue to evaluate and comply with future requirements applicable to our compensation committee. Effective immediately after this offering, the principal duties and responsibilities of our compensation committee will include:

establishing and approving, or making recommendations to another subcommittee of our board of directors regarding, the compensation and other terms of employment of our chief executive officer and other officers;

exercising administrative authority under our stock plans and employee benefit plans;

reviewing and discussing with management any compensation discussion and analysis that we are required to include in any SEC filings; and

preparing a compensation committee report on executive compensation as required by the SEC to be included in our annual proxy statements or annual reports on Form 10-K filed with the SEC.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Effective immediately after this offering, the nominating and corporate governance committee will consist of Messrs. Eastman and Byrnes. Mr. Eastman will be the chairman of the nominating and corporate governance committee. Our board of directors has determined that, subject to the phase-in periods available to companies listing on NASDAQ in connection with an initial public offering, the proposed composition of our nominating and corporate governance committee satisfies the applicable independence requirements under, and the functioning of our nominating and corporate governance committee complies with the applicable requirements of, the NASDAQ listing rules and SEC rules and regulations. We intend to continue to evaluate and comply with future

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

requirements applicable to our nominating and corporate governance committee. The nominating and corporate governance committee's responsibilities will include:

assessing the need for new directors and identifying individuals qualified to become directors;

recommending to our board of directors the persons to be nominated for election as directors and to each of our board's committees;

Table of Contents

establishing policies and making recommendations to our board of directors regarding director compensation;

assessing individual director participation and qualifications;

developing and recommending to our board corporate governance principles;

monitoring the effectiveness of our board and the quality of the relationship between management and our board; and

overseeing an annual evaluation of our board's performance.

Candidates for director nominees are reviewed in the context of the current composition of our board of directors, the operating requirements of the company and the long-term interests of stockholders. In conducting this review, the nominating and corporate governance committee typically considers diversity, age, skills and such other factors as it deems appropriate given the current needs of our board and the company, to maintain a balance of knowledge, experience and capability. The nominating and corporate governance committee retains the right to modify these qualifications from time to time. In the case of incumbent directors whose terms of office are scheduled to expire, the nominating and corporate governance committee reviews these directors' overall service to the company during their terms, including the number of meetings attended, level of participation, quality of performance and any other relationships and transactions that might impair the directors' independence.

Code of Business Conduct. Our board of directors adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to all of our employees, officers, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions and agents and representatives, including directors and consultants, to be effective as of the time of the execution and delivery of the underwriting agreement for this offering. The full text of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics will be posted on our website at www.revance.com. We intend to disclose future amendments to certain provisions of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, or waivers of such provisions applicable to any principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, and our directors, on our website identified above.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

None of the members of the compensation committee is currently or has been at any time one of our employees. None of our executive officers currently serves, or has served during the last year, as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of our board of directors or compensation committee.

Non-Employee Director Compensation

The compensation provided to our non-employee directors in 2013 is enumerated in the table below. Mr. Browne, who is also one of our employees, did not and will not receive any compensation for his services as a director.

Table of Contents**2013 Director Compensation Table**

During the year ended December 31, 2013, our non-employee directors did not receive any cash compensation from us. As of December 31, 2013, the aggregate number of shares subject to outstanding equity awards held by our non-employee directors was:

Name	Stock Options
Robert Byrnes	26,998
Ronald W. Eastman	
Phyllis Gardner, M.D.	5,333
James Glasheen, Ph.D.	
Frank Kung, Ph.D.	(1)
Vicente Trelles	13,131(2)
Jonathan Tunncliffe	
Ronald Wooten	(3)

(1) Mr. Kung resigned as a director on October 8, 2013.

(2) Mr. Trelles resigned as a director on October 7, 2013.

(3) Mr. Wooten joined our board of directors in October 2013.

Directors may be reimbursed for travel, food, lodging and other expenses directly related to their activities as directors. Directors are also entitled to the protection provided by their indemnification agreements and the indemnification provisions in our current certificate of incorporation and bylaws, as well as the certificate of incorporation and bylaws that will become effective immediately upon the closing of this offering.

In December 2013, our board of directors approved a non-employee director compensation policy to be effective upon the completion of this offering.

Under this policy, we will pay each of our non-employee directors a cash retainer for service on the board of directors and for service on each committee on which the director is a member. The chairman of each committee will receive a higher retainer for such service. These retainers are payable in arrears in four equal quarterly installments on the last day of each quarter, provided that the amount of such payment will be prorated for any portion of such quarter that the director is not serving on our board of directors. No retainers will be paid in respect of any period prior to the completion of this offering. The retainers paid to non-employee directors for service on the board of directors and for service on each committee of the board of directors on which the director is a member are as follows:

	Member Annual Service Retainer	Chairman Additional Annual Service Retainer
Board of Directors	\$ 39,500	\$ 24,500
Audit Committee	7,500	12,500
Compensation Committee	5,000	7,250
Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee	4,500	3,500

We will also continue to reimburse our non-employee directors for reasonable travel and out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with attending our board of director and committee meetings.

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

In addition, under our director compensation policy, each non-employee director serving on our board of directors upon the completion of this offering and each non-employee director elected to our board of directors after the completion of this offering will receive an option to purchase 18,000 shares of our common stock. With respect to each non-employee director serving on our board of directors upon the completion of this offering, these options will vest on the one year anniversary of the grant date, subject to the director's continued service as

Table of Contents

a director. Further, on the date of the each annual meeting of stockholders held after the completion of this offering, each non-employee director that continues to serve as a non-employee member on our board of directors will receive an option to purchase 8,000 shares of our common stock. The exercise price of these options will equal the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant, and these options will vest on the one year anniversary of the grant date, subject to the director's continued service as a director.

This policy is intended to provide a total compensation package that enables us to attract and retain qualified and experienced individuals to serve as directors and to align our directors' interests with those of our stockholders.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

Our named executive officers, or NEOs, which consist of our principal executive officer and the next two most highly compensated executive officers during 2013, are:

L. Daniel Browne, President and Chief Executive Officer;

Jacob Waugh, M.D., Chief Scientific Officer and Medical Director; and

Lauren Silvernail, Executive Vice President, Corporate Development and Chief Financial Officer.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth all of the compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to our NEOs during 2012 and 2013.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary(\$)	Bonus\$(1)	Option Awards\$(2)	All Other Compensation(\$)	Total(\$)
L. Daniel Browne President and Chief Executive Officer	2013	\$ 384,387	\$ 60,540	\$ 1,759,189	\$	\$ 2,204,116
Jacob Waugh, M.D. Chief Scientific Officer and Medical Director	2012	\$ 373,191	\$ 167,936	\$	\$ 40,188(3)	\$ 581,315
Lauren Silvernail Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President, Corporate Development	2013	\$ 343,460	\$ 38,639	\$ 912,717	\$	\$ 1,294,816
	2012	\$ 333,457	\$ 116,711	\$	\$ 42,750(3)	\$ 492,918
	2013	\$ 246,208(4)	\$ 40,818	\$ 402,745	\$ 110,011(5)	\$ 799,783

- (1) Amounts shown in this column represent discretionary cash bonus awards granted to our NEOs.
- (2) The dollar amounts in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of all option awards granted during the indicated year. These amounts have been calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, or ASC 718, using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model and excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures. For a discussion of valuation assumptions, see Note 15 to our financial statements and the discussion under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Stock-Based Compensation included elsewhere in this prospectus. These amounts do not necessarily correspond to the actual value that may be recognized from the option awards by the NEOs.
- (3) Amounts represent accrued vacation payment to our NEOs in 2012.
- (4) Ms. Silvernail's annual salary is \$311,000. The amount shown reflects the salary earned from the date of hire in March 2013 through December 31, 2013.
- (5) Consists of a \$100,000 signing and relocation bonus and \$10,011 in taxable travel expense reimbursements.

Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2013

The following table provides information regarding outstanding equity awards held by each of our NEOs as of December 31, 2013.

	Option Awards			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date
L. Daniel Browne	20,000(1)		\$ 2.55	4/29/2018
	35,902(2)	764	\$ 2.55	7/20/2020

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

	43,567(5)	255,182	\$ 8.70	5/26/2023
	(3)	99,583	\$ 9.15	12/16/2023
Jacob Waugh, M.D.	1,666(1)		\$ 6.60	6/18/2017
	5,000(1)		\$ 2.55	4/29/2018
	5,548(2)	118	\$ 2.55	7/20/2020
	22,604(5)	132,395	\$ 8.70	5/26/2023
	(3)	51,666	\$ 9.15	12/16/2023
Lauren Silvermail	(4)	96,373	\$ 8.70	5/23/2023

(1) This option is fully vested.

(2) This option began vesting on January 1, 2010. The shares subject to the stock option vest over a four year period, with one-forty-eighth of the shares vesting each month, subject to providing continued service to us through each vesting date.

Table of Contents

- (3) This option began vesting on December 17, 2013. The shares subject to the stock option vest over a four year period, with one-fourty-eighth of the shares vesting each month, subject to providing continued service to us through each vesting date.
- (4) This option began vesting on March 18, 2013. The shares subject to the stock option vest over a four year period, with one-fourth vesting on the one-year anniversary of the vesting commencement date and one-fourty-eighth of the shares vesting each month through the remaining vesting period, subject to providing continued service to us through each vesting date.
- (5) This option began vesting on May 27, 2013. The shares subject to the stock option vest over a four year period, with one-fourty-eighth of the shares vesting each month, subject to providing continued service to us through each vesting date.

Executive Employment Arrangements

We have entered into Executive Employment Agreements with each of our named executive officers regarding their employment. The Executive Employment Agreements have no specific term of employment and the relationships created thereby constitute at-will employment. A summary of our current employment arrangements with each of these officers is set forth below.

L. Daniel Browne

Mr. Browne's current annual base salary is \$384,387. In 2013, he was eligible to receive an annual discretionary target bonus equal to 35% of his annual base salary. Pursuant to Mr. Browne's Executive Employment Agreement, which became effective December 30, 2013, he will be eligible for a target bonus in 2014 equal to 50% of his annual base salary. His eligibility for such annual bonus and the amount of such annual bonus in 2014 and thereafter will be determined by our board of directors in its sole discretion based upon the Company's and Mr. Browne's achievement of objectives and milestones to be determined on an annual basis by our board in consultation with Mr. Browne.

Mr. Browne's offer letter agreement entered into prior to the execution of his Executive Employment Agreement provides for certain severance benefits if his employment is terminated without cause or if he resigns for good reason. In addition, upon certain change-in-control events, the vesting of a certain portion of Mr. Browne's unvested shares will be accelerated, and if Mr. Browne's employment is terminated as a result of such event, he will be entitled to additional severance benefits, such as continued payment of his salary for a certain period and further acceleration of the vesting of his shares.

Pursuant to the terms of Mr. Browne's Executive Employment Agreement, all of the severance benefits contained in Mr. Browne's offer letter agreement will continue until the signing of the underwriting agreement for this offering, upon which all such benefits will cease, and his severance benefits will be as set forth in our Executive Severance Benefit Plan described below, in which Mr. Browne will participate.

Dr. Jacob Waugh

Dr. Waugh's current annual base salary is \$343,460. In 2013, he was eligible to receive an annual discretionary target bonus equal to 25% of his annual base salary. Pursuant to Mr. Waugh's Executive Employment Agreement, which became effective January 13, 2014, he will be eligible for a target bonus in 2014 equal to 40% of his annual base salary. His eligibility for such annual bonus and the amount of such annual bonus in 2014 and thereafter will be determined by our board of directors in its sole discretion based upon the Company's and Dr. Waugh's achievement of objectives and milestones to be determined on an annual basis by our board in consultation with Dr. Waugh.

Dr. Waugh's offer letter agreement entered into prior to the execution of his Executive Employment Agreement provides for certain severance benefits if his employment is terminated without cause. In addition, upon certain change-in-control events, the vesting of a certain portion of Dr. Waugh's shares will be accelerated, and if Dr. Waugh's employment is terminated as a result of such event, he will be entitled to additional severance benefits, such as continued payment of his salary for a certain period and further acceleration of the vesting of his shares.

Pursuant to the terms of Dr. Waugh's Executive Employment Agreement, all of the severance benefits contained in Mr. Waugh's offer letter agreement will continue until the signing of the underwriting agreement for this offering, upon which all such benefits will cease, and his severance benefits will be as set forth in our Executive Severance Benefit Plan, in which Dr. Waugh will participate.

Table of Contents

Lauren Silvernail

Ms. Silvernail's current annual base salary is \$311,000. In 2013, she was eligible to receive an annual discretionary target bonus equal to 35% of her annual base salary. Pursuant to Ms. Silvernail's Executive Employment Agreement, which became effective December 31, 2013, she will be eligible for a target bonus in 2014 equal to 35% of her annual base salary. Her eligibility for such annual bonus and the amount of such annual bonus in 2014 and thereafter will be determined by our board of directors in its sole discretion based upon the Company's and Ms. Silvernail's achievement of objectives and milestones to be determined on an annual basis by our board in consultation with Ms. Silvernail.

Ms. Silvernail's offer letter agreement entered into prior to the execution of her Executive Employment Agreement provides for certain severance benefits if her employment is terminated without cause or if she resigns for good reason. In addition, upon certain change-in-control events, the vesting of a certain portion of Ms. Silvernail's options will be accelerated, and if Ms. Silvernail's employment is terminated following such event, she will be entitled to additional severance benefits, such as continued payment of her salary for a certain period and further acceleration of the vesting of her options.

Pursuant to the terms of Ms. Silvernail's Executive Employment Agreement, all of the severance benefits contained in Ms. Silvernail's offer letter agreement will continue until the signing of the underwriting agreement for this offering, upon which all such benefits will cease, and her severance benefits will be as set forth in our Executive Severance Benefit Plan, in which Ms. Silvernail will participate.

Severance and Change of Control Benefits

On December 17, 2013, our board of directors adopted an executive severance benefit plan, or the Severance Plan, which will become effective immediately upon the signing of the underwriting agreement for this offering. The Severance Plan is applicable to our chief executive officer, executive officers and key employees designated by the board (the Participants). The Severance Plan provides severance benefits to the Participants in the event of qualifying terminations of employment (as defined in the Severance Plan). By signing a participation notice, a Participant waives his or her rights to any severance and/or change of control benefits set forth in any other plan or agreement we had entered into with such Participant prior to the date on which he or she becomes a Participant in the Severance Plan. The principal features of our Severance Plan as it applies to the Participants is summarized below. The summary below is qualified in its entirety by reference to the actual text of the plan, which is filed as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

Non-Change of Control Severance Benefits

Under the terms of the Severance Plan, in the event we involuntarily terminate any Participant for any reason other than cause, death or disability, and such termination is not within 12 months following a change of control, if the Participant timely executes a release of claims and continues to comply with all restrictive covenant agreements, the Participant would be entitled to: (i) a payment on our regular payroll schedule over the applicable severance period equal to the sum of the Participant's monthly base salary, multiplied by 15, in the case of our chief executive officer, and by 9, in the case of all other Participants; and (ii) payment by us of COBRA premiums to continue health insurance coverage for the Participant and his eligible dependents for a period of up to 15 months, in the case of our chief executive officer, and up to 9 months in the case of all other Participants.

Change of Control Severance Benefits

Under the Severance Plan, in the event we involuntarily terminate any Participant for any reason other than cause, death or disability, or the Participant resigns for Good Reason, and such termination or resignation occurs within 12 months following a change of control, then if the Participant timely executes a release of claims and continues to comply with all restrictive covenant agreements, the Participant generally would be entitled to the following payments and benefits: (i) a single lump sum payment equal to the sum of the Participant's monthly base salary and monthly annual target bonus, multiplied by 21 in the case of our chief executive officer, and by 12 in the case of all other Participants; (ii) payment of COBRA premiums to continue health insurance coverage

Table of Contents

for the named executive officer and his eligible dependents for a period of up to 21 months, in the case of our chief executive officer, and up to 12 months in the case of all other Participants; and (iii) 100% of the shares of our common stock underlying all unvested stock awards held by such Participant immediately prior to such termination of employment will fully vest and become exercisable, if applicable, on the date of such termination (and if applicable, any acquisition or repurchase rights held by us or any successor corporation with respect to such stock awards will lapse in full on the date of such termination).

Definitions

For purposes of the Severance Plan, *cause* generally means a Participant's (i) commission of any felony or any crime involving fraud, dishonesty or moral turpitude under the laws of the United States or any state thereof; (ii) attempted commission of or participation in a fraud or act of material dishonesty against us; (iii) intentional, material violation of any contract or agreement between the Participant and us or of any statutory duty owed to the us; (iv) unauthorized use or disclosure of our confidential information or trade secrets; or (v) such Participant's gross misconduct.

For purposes of the Severance Plan, a resignation for *good reason* generally means a Participant's resignation from all positions he or she then holds with us within 90 days following the occurrence of any of the following events taken without such Participant's written consent, provided that the Participant has given us at least 30 days' written notice of the event and, to the extent curable, we have not cured such event within 30 days after receipt of such notice: (i) a material reduction in the Participant's annual base salary, which the reduction is at least fifteen percent of the Participant's annual base salary (unless pursuant to a salary reduction program applicable generally to all similarly situated employees); (ii) a material reduction in the Participant's duties (including responsibilities and/or authorities), provided, however, that, other than with respect to our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, a change in job position (including a change in title) shall not be deemed a *material reduction* in and of itself unless the Participant's new duties are materially reduced from the prior duties; (iii) relocation of the Participant's principal place of employment to a place that increases the Participant's one-way commute by more than thirty-five miles as compared to the Participant's then-current principal place of employment immediately prior to such relocation; (iv) any failure by us to comply with any material provision of this Severance Plan or any material written contractual obligation to Participant, which (in either case) adversely affects the Participant; or (v) the failure of any successor-in-interest to assume a material obligation of the Company under the Severance Plan material written contractual obligation to the Participant, which (in either case) adversely affects the Participant.

For purposes of the Severance Plan, a *change of control* means a *change of control* as defined in our 2014 Equity Incentive Plan (which is described further below under *Equity Incentive Plans*).

In addition, in the event any of the amounts provided for under the Severance Plan or otherwise would constitute a *parachute payment* within the meaning of Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, and such payments would be subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Code, then such payments will either be (i) provided to the Participant in full, or (ii) reduced to such lesser amount that would result in a smaller or no portion of such payments being subject to the excise tax, whichever amount, after taking into account all applicable taxes, including the excise tax, would result in the Participant's receipt, on an after-tax basis, of the greatest amount of such payments.

Employee Benefit Plans

2014 Equity Incentive Plan

Our board of directors adopted and our stockholders approved our 2014 Equity Incentive Plan, or our 2014 plan, in January 2014. We do not expect to utilize our 2014 plan until after the closing of this offering, at which point no further grants will be made under our 2012 plan. No awards have been granted and no shares of our common stock have been issued under our 2014 plan.

Table of Contents

Stock Awards. The 2014 plan provides for the grant of incentive stock options, or ISOs, nonstatutory stock options, or NSOs, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, restricted stock unit awards, performance-based stock awards, and other forms of equity compensation, all of which may be granted to employees, including officers, non-employee directors and consultants of us and our affiliates. Additionally, the 2014 plan provides for the grant of performance cash awards. ISOs may be granted only to employees. All other awards may be granted to employees, including officers, and to non-employee directors and consultants.

Share Reserve. Initially, the aggregate number of shares of our common stock that may be issued pursuant to stock awards under the 2014 plan after the 2014 plan becomes effective will not exceed 1,000,000 shares. The number of shares of our common stock reserved for issuance under our 2014 plan will automatically increase on January 1 of each year, beginning on January 1, 2015 and continuing through and including January 1, 2024, by 4% of the total number of shares of our capital stock outstanding on December 31 of the preceding calendar year or a lesser number of shares determined by our board of directors. The maximum number of shares that may be issued upon the exercise of ISOs under our 2014 plan is 2,000,000 shares.

Plan Administration. Our board of directors, or a duly authorized committee thereof, has the authority to administer the 2014 plan. Our board of directors may also delegate to one or more of our officers the authority to (1) designate employees (other than other officers) to be recipients and (2) determine the number of shares of common stock to be subject to such stock awards. Subject to the terms of the 2014 plan, our board of directors or the authorized committee, as the plan administrator, determines recipients, dates of grant, the numbers and types of stock awards to be granted and the terms and conditions of the stock awards, including the period of their exercisability and vesting schedule applicable to a stock award. Subject to the limitations set forth below, the plan administrator will also determine the exercise price, strike price or purchase price of awards granted and the types of consideration to be paid for the award.

The plan administrator has the authority to modify outstanding awards under our 2014 plan. Subject to the terms of our 2014 plan, the plan administrator has the authority to reduce the exercise, purchase or strike price of any outstanding stock award, cancel any outstanding stock award in exchange for new stock awards, cash or other consideration, or take any other action that is treated as a repricing under generally accepted accounting principles, with the consent of any adversely affected participant.

Stock Options. Incentive and nonstatutory stock options are granted pursuant to stock option agreements adopted by the plan administrator. The plan administrator determines the exercise price for a stock option, within the terms and conditions of the 2014 plan, provided that the exercise price of a stock option generally cannot be less than 100% of the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. Options granted under the 2014 plan vest at the rate specified by the plan administrator.

The plan administrator determines the term of stock options granted under the 2014 plan. In general, if an option holder's service relationship with us, or any of our affiliates, ceases for any reason other than disability, death or cause, the option holder may generally exercise any vested options for a period of three months following the cessation of service. In the event of a termination for cause, options generally terminate immediately upon the termination of the individual for cause. In no event may an option be exercised beyond the expiration of its term.

Acceptable consideration for the purchase of common stock issued upon the exercise of a stock option will be determined by the plan administrator and may include (1) cash, check, bank draft or money order, (2) a broker-assisted cashless exercise, (3) the tender of shares of our common stock previously owned by the optionholder, (4) a net exercise of the option if it is an NSO, and (5) other legal consideration approved by the plan administrator.

Tax Limitations On Incentive Stock Options. The aggregate fair market value, determined at the time of grant, of our common stock with respect to ISOs that are exercisable for the first time by an optionholder during any calendar year under all of our stock plans may not exceed \$100,000. Options or portions thereof that exceed such limit will generally be treated as NSOs. No ISO may be granted to any person who, at the time of the grant, owns or is deemed to own stock possessing more than 10% of our total combined voting power or that of any of

Table of Contents

our affiliates unless (1) the option exercise price is at least 110% of the fair market value of the stock subject to the option on the date of grant, and (2) the term of the ISO does not exceed five years from the date of grant.

Performance Awards. The 2014 plan permits the grant of performance-based stock and cash awards that may qualify as performance-based compensation that is not subject to the \$1,000,000 limitation on the income tax deductibility of compensation paid to a covered executive officer imposed by Section 162(m) of the Code. To help assure that the compensation attributable to performance-based awards will so qualify, our compensation committee can structure such awards so that stock or cash will be issued or paid pursuant to such award only after the achievement of certain pre-established performance goals during a designated performance period.

The performance goals that may be selected include one or more of the following: (1) earnings (including earnings per share and net earnings); (2) earnings before interest, taxes and depreciation; (3) earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization; (4) total stockholder return; (5) return on equity or average stockholder's equity; (6) return on assets, investment, or capital employed; (7) stock price; (8) margin (including gross margin); (9) income (before or after taxes); (10) operating income; (11) operating income after taxes; (12) pre-tax profit; (13) operating cash flow; (14) sales or revenue targets; (15) increases in revenue or product revenue; (16) expenses and cost reduction goals; (17) improvement in or attainment of working capital levels; (18) economic value added (or an equivalent metric); (19) market share; (20) cash flow; (21) cash flow per share; (22) share price performance; (23) debt reduction; (24) implementation or completion of projects or processes; (25) customer satisfaction; (26) stockholders' equity; (27) capital expenditures; (28) debt levels; (29) operating profit or net operating profit; (30) workforce diversity; (31) growth of net income or operating income; (32) billings; (33) bookings; (34) the number of users, including but not limited to unique users, (35) employee retention; and (36) to the extent that an award is not intended to comply with Section 162(m) of the Code, other measures of performance selected by our board of directors.

The performance goals may be based on a company-wide basis, with respect to one or more business units, divisions, affiliates, or business segments, and in either absolute terms or relative to the performance of one or more comparable companies or the performance of one or more relevant indices. Unless specified otherwise (i) in the award agreement at the time the award is granted or (ii) in such other document setting forth the performance goals at the time the goals are established, we will appropriately make adjustments in the method of calculating the attainment of performance goals as follows: (1) to exclude restructuring and/or other nonrecurring charges; (2) to exclude exchange rate effects, as applicable, for non-U.S. dollar denominated goals; (3) to exclude the effects of changes to generally accepted accounting principles; (4) to exclude the effects of any statutory adjustments to corporate tax rates; (5) to exclude the effects of any extraordinary items as determined under generally accepted accounting principles; (6) to exclude the dilutive effects of acquisitions or joint ventures; (7) to assume that any business divested by us achieved performance objectives at targeted levels during the balance of a performance period following such divestiture; (8) to exclude the effect of any change in our outstanding shares of common stock by reason of any stock dividend or split, stock repurchase, reorganization, recapitalization, merger, consolidation, spin-off, combination or exchange of shares or other similar corporate change, or any distributions to common stockholders other than regular cash dividends; (9) to exclude the effects of stock based compensation and the award of bonuses under our bonus plans; (10) to exclude costs incurred in connection with potential acquisitions or divestitures that are required to be expensed under generally accepted accounting principles; (11) to exclude the goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges that are required to be recorded under generally accepted accounting principles; and (12) to exclude the effect of any other unusual, non-recurring gain or loss or other extraordinary item. In addition, we retain the discretion to reduce or eliminate the compensation or economic benefit due upon attainment of the goals. The performance goals may differ from participant to participant and from award to award.

Other Stock Awards. The plan administrator may grant other awards based in whole or in part by reference to our common stock. The plan administrator will set the number of shares under the stock award and all other terms and conditions of such awards.

Table of Contents

Corporate Transactions. In the event of certain specified significant corporate transactions, the plan administrator has the discretion to take any of the following actions with respect to stock awards:

arrange for the assumption, continuation or substitution of a stock award by a surviving or acquiring entity or parent company;

arrange for the assignment of any reacquisition or repurchase rights held by us to the surviving or acquiring entity or parent company;

accelerate the vesting of the stock award and provide for its termination prior to the effective time of the corporate transaction;

arrange for the lapse of any reacquisition or repurchase right held by us;

cancel or arrange for the cancellation of the stock award in exchange for such cash consideration, if any, as our board of directors may deem appropriate; or

make a payment equal to the excess of (1) the value of the property the participant would have received upon exercise of the stock award over (2) the exercise price otherwise payable in connection with the stock award.

Our plan administrator is not obligated to treat all stock awards, even those that are of the same type, in the same manner.

Under the 2014 plan, a corporate transaction is generally the consummation of (1) a sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of our consolidated assets, (2) a sale or other disposition of at least 90% of our outstanding securities, (3) a merger, consolidation or similar transaction following which we are not the surviving corporation or (4) a merger, consolidation or similar transaction following which we are the surviving corporation but the shares of our common stock outstanding immediately prior to such transaction are converted or exchanged into other property by virtue of the transaction.

Change in Control. The plan administrator may provide, in an individual award agreement or in any other written agreement between a participant and us that the stock award will be subject to additional acceleration of vesting and exercisability in the event of a change in control. Under the 2014 plan, a change in control is generally (1) the acquisition by a person or entity of more than 50% of our combined voting power other than by merger, consolidation or similar transaction; (2) a consummated merger, consolidation or similar transaction immediately after which our stockholders cease to own more than 50% of the combined voting power of the surviving entity; or (3) a consummated sale, lease or exclusive license or other disposition of all or substantially of our consolidated assets.

Amendment and Termination. Our board of directors has the authority to amend, suspend, or terminate our 2014 plan, provided that such action does not materially impair the existing rights of any participant without such participant's written consent. No ISOs may be granted after the tenth anniversary of the date our board of directors adopted our 2014 plan.

2014 Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Our board adopted and our stockholders approved our 2014 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or our 2014 ESPP, in January 2014. We do not expect to grant purchase rights under our 2014 ESPP until after the closing of this offering.

The maximum number of shares of our common stock that may be issued under our 2014 ESPP is 200,000 shares. The number of shares of our common stock reserved for issuance under our 2014 ESPP will automatically increase on January 1 of each year, beginning on January 1 of the year after the closing of this offering and ending on and including January 1, 2024, by the lesser of (i) 1% of the total number of shares of our common stock outstanding on December 31 of the preceding calendar year, (ii) 300,000 shares of our common stock or (iii) such lesser number of shares of common stock as determined by our board of directors. Shares subject to purchase rights granted under our 2014 ESPP that terminate without having been exercised in full will not reduce the number of shares available for issuance under our 2014 ESPP.

Table of Contents

Our board of directors, or a duly authorized committee thereof, will administer our 2014 ESPP. Our board of directors may delegate its authority to administer our 2014 ESPP to our compensation committee under the terms of the compensation committee's charter.

Employees, including executive officers, of ours or any of our designated affiliates may have to satisfy one or more of the following service requirements before participating in our 2014 ESPP, as determined by the administrator: (1) customary employment with us or one of our affiliates for more than 20 hours per week and more than five months per calendar year, or (2) continuous employment with us or one of our affiliates for a minimum period of time, not to exceed two years, prior to the first date of an offering. An employee may not be granted rights to purchase stock under our 2014 ESPP if such employee (1) immediately after the grant would own stock possessing 5% or more of the total combined voting power or value of all classes of our common stock or (2) holds rights to purchase stock under our 2014 ESPP that would accrue at a rate that exceeds \$25,000 worth of our stock for each calendar year that the rights remain outstanding.

Our 2014 ESPP is intended to qualify as an employee stock purchase plan under Section 423 of the Code. The administrator may specify offerings with a duration of not more than 27 months, and may specify one or more shorter purchase periods within each offering. Each offering will have one or more purchase dates on which shares of our common stock will be purchased for the employees who are participating in the offering.

Our 2014 ESPP permits participants to purchase shares of our common stock through payroll deductions up to 15% of their earnings. Unless otherwise determined by the administrator, the purchase price of the shares will be 85% of the lower of the fair market value of our common stock on the first day of an offering or on the date of purchase. Participants may end their participation at any time during an offering and will be paid their accrued contributions that have not yet been used to purchase shares. Participation ends automatically upon termination of employment with us.

A participant may not transfer purchase rights under our 2014 ESPP other than by will, the laws of descent and distribution or as otherwise provided under our 2014 ESPP.

In the event of a specified corporate transaction, such as our merger or change in control, a successor corporation may assume, continue or substitute each outstanding purchase right. If the successor corporation does not assume, continue or substitute for the outstanding purchase rights, the offering in progress will be shortened and a new exercise date will be set. The participants' purchase rights will be exercised on the new exercise date and such purchase rights will terminate immediately thereafter.

Our board of directors has the authority to amend, suspend or terminate our 2014 ESPP, at any time and for any reason. Our 2014 ESPP will remain in effect until terminated by our board of directors in accordance with the terms of the 2014 ESPP.

2012 Equity Incentive Plan

Our board of directors and our stockholders approved our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan, or 2012 plan, effective in December 2012. Our 2012 plan was a continuation of and successor to our 2002 Equity Incentive Plan, or 2002 plan. After our 2012 plan became effective, no further stock awards were made under our 2002 plan. As of September 30, 2013, there were 373,100 shares remaining available for the grant of stock awards under our 2012 plan and there were 771,570 outstanding stock awards granted under our 2012 plan.

The 2012 plan will terminate in December 2022, unless our board of directors terminates it earlier. The 2014 plan will replace the 2012 plan and no additional awards will be granted under the 2012 plan after this offering.

Stock Awards. The 2012 plan provides for the grant of ISO, NSOs, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards. ISOs may be granted only to our employees. All other awards may be granted to our employees, including officers, and to our non-employee directors and consultants.

Share Reserve. The aggregate number of shares of our common stock originally reserved for issuance pursuant to stock awards under the 2012 plan was 339,300 shares, which was the sum of (1) 32,987 shares (which was the number of shares subject to the 2002 plan's available share reserve as of the effective date of the

Table of Contents

2012 plan), plus (2) any shares subject to stock options or other stock awards granted under our 2002 plan that expire or terminate for any reason, are forfeited or repurchased by us not to exceed 306,313 shares. In April 2013 and May 2013, our board of directors approved an increase in the 2012 plan reserve by 96,373 shares and 984,229 shares, respectively.

Administration. Our board of directors, or a duly authorized committee thereof, has the authority to administer the 2012 plan. The plan administrator has the authority to modify outstanding awards under our 2012 plan.

Stock Options. Incentive and nonstatutory stock options are granted pursuant to stock option agreements adopted by the plan administrator. The plan administrator determines the exercise price for a stock option, within the terms and conditions of the 2012 plan, provided that the exercise price of a stock option generally cannot be less than 100% of the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. Options granted under the 2012 plan vest at the rate specified by the plan administrator.

The plan administrator determines the term of stock options granted under the 2012 plan. In general, if an option holder's service relationship with us, or any of our affiliates, ceases for any reason other than disability, death or cause, the option holder may generally exercise any vested options for a period of three months following the cessation of service. In the event of a termination for cause, options generally terminate immediately upon the termination of the individual for cause. In no event may an option be exercised beyond the expiration of its term.

Acceptable consideration for the purchase of common stock issued upon the exercise of a stock option will be determined by the plan administrator and may include (1) cash, check, bank draft or money order, (2) a broker-assisted cashless exercise, (3) the tender of shares of our common stock previously owned by the optionholder, (4) a net exercise of the option if it is an NSO, (5) deferred payment and (6) other legal consideration approved by the plan administrator.

Unless the plan administrator provides otherwise, options generally are not transferable except by will, the laws of descent and distribution, or pursuant to a domestic relations order. An optionholder may designate a beneficiary, however, who may exercise the option following the optionholder's death.

Tax Limitations On Incentive Stock Options. The aggregate fair market value, determined at the time of grant, of our common stock with respect to ISOs that are exercisable for the first time by an optionholder during any calendar year under all of our stock plans may not exceed \$100,000. Options or portions thereof that exceed such limit will generally be treated as NSOs. No ISO may be granted to any person who, at the time of the grant, owns or is deemed to own stock possessing more than 10% of our total combined voting power or that of any of our affiliates unless (1) the option exercise price is at least 110% of the fair market value of the stock subject to the option on the date of grant, and (2) the option is not exercisable after the expiration of five years from the date of grant.

Corporate Transactions. In the event of certain specified significant corporate transactions, the plan administrator has the discretion to take any of the following actions with respect to stock awards:

arrange for the assumption, continuation or substitution of a stock award by a surviving or acquiring entity or parent company;

arrange for the assignment of any reacquisition or repurchase rights held by us to the surviving or acquiring entity or parent company;

accelerate the vesting of the stock award and provide for its termination prior to the effective time of the corporate transaction;

arrange for the lapse of any reacquisition or repurchase right held by us;

cancel or arrange for the cancellation of the stock award in exchange for such cash consideration, if any, as our board of directors may deem appropriate; or

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

make a payment equal to the excess of (1) the value of the property the participant would have received upon exercise of the stock award over (2) the exercise price otherwise payable in connection with the stock award.

Table of Contents

Our plan administrator is not obligated to treat all stock awards, even those that are of the same type, in the same manner.

Under the 2012 plan, a corporate transaction is generally the consummation of (1) a sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of our consolidated assets, (2) a sale or other disposition of at least 90% of our outstanding securities, (3) a merger, consolidation or similar transaction following which we are not the surviving corporation, or (4) a merger, consolidation or similar transaction following which we are the surviving corporation but the shares of our common stock outstanding immediately prior to such transaction are converted or exchanged into other property by virtue of the transaction.

Change in Control. The plan administrator may provide, in an individual award agreement or in any other written agreement between a participant and us that the stock award will be subject to additional acceleration of vesting and exercisability in the event of a change in control. Under the 2012 plan, a change in control is generally (1) the acquisition by a person or entity of more than 50% of our combined voting power other than by merger, consolidation or similar transaction; (2) a consummated merger, consolidation or similar transaction immediately after which our stockholders cease to own more than 50% of the combined voting power of the surviving entity; (3) a complete dissolution or liquidation; or (4) a consummated sale, lease or exclusive license or other disposition of all or substantially of our consolidated assets.

Amendment and Termination. The 2012 plan will terminate on December 11, 2022. However, our board of directors has the authority to amend, suspend, or terminate our 2012 plan, provided that such action does not impair the existing rights of any participant without such participant's written consent.

2002 Equity Incentive Plan

Our board of directors and our stockholders originally approved our 2002 plan, which became effective in October 2002, and was further amended and restated by our board of directors and stockholders, most recently in May 2010. The 2002 plan terminated and no further awards were granted upon the effective date of the 2012 plan. As of September 30, 2013, there were outstanding stock awards covering a total of 273,618 shares that were granted under our 2002 plan.

Stock awards. The 2002 plan provides for the grant of ISO, NSOs, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards. ISOs may be granted only to our employees. All other awards may be granted to our employees, including officers, and to our non-employee directors and consultants.

Share Reserve. Shares are no longer available for the grant of stock awards under our 2002 plan. However, if a stock award granted under the 2002 plan expires or otherwise terminates without being exercised in full, the shares of our common stock not acquired pursuant to the stock award again will become available for subsequent issuance under the 2012 plan.

Administration. Our board of directors, or a duly authorized committee thereof, has the authority to administer the 2002 plan. The plan administrator has the authority to modify outstanding awards under our 2002 plan.

Corporate Transactions. In the event of certain specified significant corporate transactions, outstanding stock awards shall be assumed, continued or substituted for similar stock awards by the surviving or acquiring corporation. If any surviving or acquiring corporation fails to assume, continue or substitute such stock awards, stock awards held by participants whose continuous service has not terminated will accelerate vesting in full prior to the corporate transaction. All stock awards will terminate at or prior to the corporate transaction. In addition, our board may also provide, in its sole discretion, that the holder of a stock award that will terminate upon the occurrence of a corporate transaction will receive a payment, if any, equal to the excess of (1) the value of the property the participant would have received upon exercise of the stock award over (2) the exercise price otherwise payable in connection with the stock award.

Under the 2002 plan, a corporate transaction is generally the consummation of (1) a sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of our consolidated assets, (2) a sale or other disposition of at least 90% of our outstanding securities, (3) a merger, consolidation or similar transaction following which we are not the

Table of Contents

surviving corporation, or (4) a merger, consolidation or similar transaction following which we are the surviving corporation but the shares of our common stock outstanding immediately prior to such transaction are converted or exchanged into other property by virtue of the transaction.

Change in Control. The plan administrator may provide, in an individual award agreement or in any other written agreement between a participant and us that the stock award will be subject to additional acceleration of vesting and exercisability in the event of a change in control. Under the 2002 plan, a change in control is generally (1) the acquisition by a person or entity of more than 50% of our combined voting power other than by merger, consolidation or similar transaction; (2) a consummated merger, consolidation or similar transaction immediately after which our stockholders cease to own more than 50% of the combined voting power of the surviving entity; or (3) a consummated sale, lease or exclusive license or other disposition of all or substantially of our consolidated assets.

401(k) Plan

We maintain a tax-qualified retirement plan that provides eligible U.S. employees with an opportunity to save for retirement on a tax advantaged basis. Eligible employees are able to defer eligible compensation subject to applicable annual Code limits. We have the ability to make discretionary contributions to the 401(k) plan but have not done so to date. Employees' pre-tax contributions are allocated to each participant's individual account and are then invested in selected investment alternatives according to the participants' directions. Employees are immediately and fully vested in their contributions. The 401(k) plan is intended to be qualified under Section 401(a) of the Code with the 401(k) plan's related trust intended to be tax exempt under Section 501(a) of the Code. As a tax-qualified retirement plan, contributions to the 401(k) plan and earnings on those contributions are not taxable to the employees until distributed from the 401(k) plan.

Pension Benefits

Our NEOs did not participate in, or otherwise receive any benefits under, any pension or retirement plan sponsored by us during 2012 or 2013.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

Our NEOs did not earn any nonqualified deferred compensation benefits from us during 2012 or 2013.

Limitations on Liability and Indemnification Matters

Upon the closing of this offering, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation will contain provisions that limit the liability of our current and former directors for monetary damages to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law. Delaware law provides that directors of a corporation will not be personally liable for monetary damages for any breach of fiduciary duties as directors, except liability for:

any breach of the director's duty of loyalty to the corporation or its stockholders;

any act or omission not in good faith or that involves intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law;

unlawful payments of dividends or unlawful stock repurchases or redemptions; or

any transaction from which the director derived an improper personal benefit.

Such limitation of liability does not apply to liabilities arising under federal securities laws and does not affect the availability of equitable remedies such as injunctive relief or rescission.

Upon the closing of this offering, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and our amended and restated bylaws will provide that we are required to indemnify our directors to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law. Our amended and restated bylaws will also provide that, upon satisfaction of certain conditions, we shall advance expenses incurred by a director in advance of the final disposition of any action or proceeding and permit us to secure insurance on behalf of any officer, director, employee or other agent for any liability

Table of Contents

arising out of his or her actions in that capacity regardless of whether we would otherwise be permitted to indemnify him or her under the provisions of Delaware law. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws will also provide our board of directors with discretion to indemnify our officers and employees when determined appropriate by our board. We have entered and expect to continue to enter into agreements to indemnify our directors, executive officers and other employees as determined by our board of directors. With certain exceptions, these agreements provide for indemnification for related expenses including, among other things, attorneys' fees, judgments, fines and settlement amounts incurred by any of these individuals in any action or proceeding. We believe that these bylaw provisions and indemnification agreements are necessary to attract and retain qualified persons as directors and officers. We also maintain customary directors' and officers' liability insurance.

The limitation of liability and indemnification provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws may discourage stockholders from bringing a lawsuit against our directors for breach of their fiduciary duty. They may also reduce the likelihood of derivative litigation against our directors and officers, even though an action, if successful, might benefit us and other stockholders. Further, a stockholder's investment may be adversely affected to the extent that we pay the costs of settlement and damage awards against directors and officers as required by these indemnification provisions. At present, there is no pending litigation or proceeding involving any of our directors, officers or employees for which indemnification is sought and we are not aware of any threatened litigation that may result in claims for indemnification.

Rule 10b5-1 Sales Plans

Our directors and executive officers may adopt written plans pursuant to Rule 10b5-1 of the Exchange Act, known as Rule 10b5-1 plans, in which they will contract with a broker to buy or sell shares of our common stock on a periodic basis. Under a Rule 10b5-1 plan, a broker executes trades pursuant to parameters established by the director or officer when entering into the plan, without further direction from them. The director or officer may amend a Rule 10b5-1 plan in some circumstances and may terminate a plan at any time. Our directors and executive officers also may buy or sell additional shares outside of a Rule 10b5-1 plan when they are not in possession of material nonpublic information subject to compliance with the terms of our insider trading policy. Prior to 180 days after the date of this prospectus (subject to potential early termination), the sale of any shares under such plan would be subject to the lock-up agreement that the director or officer has entered into with the underwriters in connection with this offering.

Table of Contents**CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

The following is a summary of transactions since January 1, 2010 and each currently proposed transaction in which (i) we have been a participant, (ii) the amount involved exceeded or will exceed \$120,000, and (iii) any of our directors, executive officers or holders of more than 5% of our capital stock, or any member of their immediate family or person sharing their household, had or will have a direct or indirect material interest, other than compensation arrangements which are described under Management Executive Compensation and Management Non-Employee Director Compensation. Share amounts have been retroactively adjusted to give effect to a reverse stock split of 1-for-15 of our common stock and preferred stock effected on February 3, 2014.

Sales of Preferred Stock

Between May 10, 2010 and October 1, 2010, we issued an aggregate of 159,023 shares of our Series D convertible preferred stock at a per share price of \$66.75, for aggregate consideration of \$10,614,843. Between February 5, 2013 and May 28, 2013, we issued an aggregate of 1,818,390 shares of our Series E-5 convertible preferred stock at a per share price of \$22.425, for aggregate consideration of \$40,777,781.

The following table summarizes purchases of shares of our convertible preferred stock by our executive officers, directors and holders of more than 5% of our capital stock since January 1, 2010 that involved an amount over \$120,000:

Purchasers	Shares of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock*	Shares of Series E-5 Convertible Preferred Stock	Total Purchase Price
Entities affiliated with Essex VIII(1)	74,906	445,929	\$ 14,999,999
Entities affiliated with NovaQuest(2)		500,039	11,213,382
Entities affiliated with Technology Partners(3)	3,406	89,186	2,227,396
Entities affiliated with Vivo Ventures(4)	3,892		259,813

* All shares of our Series D convertible preferred stock were subsequently converted into shares of our Series E-3 convertible preferred stock on a 1 to 2.119 basis in connection with the closing of our Series E preferred stock financing on March 29, 2013.

- (1) Ronald W. Eastman, a member of our board of directors, is a managing director of Essex Woodlands Health Ventures VIII, LLC, the general partner of Essex Woodlands Health Ventures Fund VIII, L.P., Essex Woodlands Health Ventures Fund VIII-A, L.P. and Essex Woodlands Health Ventures Fund VIII-B, L.P.
- (2) Jonathan Tunnicliffe and Ronald Wooten, each a member of our board of directors, are both affiliated with NQ HCIF General Partner, L.P., the general partner of NovaQuest Pharma Opportunities Fund III, L.P.
- (3) James Glasheen, a member of our board of directors, is a managing member of TP Management VII, L.L.C., the general partner of Technology Partners Affiliates VII, L.P. and Technology Partners Fund VII, L.P.
- (4) Frank Kung, a former member of our board of directors, is a managing partner at BioAsia Investments IV, LLC, the general partner of Biotechnology Development Fund IV Affiliates, L.P., BDF IV Annex Fund, L.P. and Biotechnology Development Fund IV, L.P.

Sales of Convertible Notes

Pursuant to that certain Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement, dated January 24, 2011, as amended, between January 24, 2011 and December 6, 2012 we issued convertible notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$63,319,658. In connection with the closing of our Series E preferred stock financing on March 29, 2013, the principal amount of all outstanding convertible notes, together with all accrued but unpaid interest, converted into an aggregate of 4,748,468 shares of Series E-4 convertible preferred stock at a price of \$14.95005 per share and, as a

result, such notes are no longer outstanding.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes purchases of convertible notes by our executive officers, directors and holders of more than 5% of our capital stock since January 1, 2010 that involved an amount over \$120,000:

Stockholder	Convertible Notes (Principal Amount)	Shares of Series E-4 Convertible Preferred Stock (issued on conversion)
Entities affiliated with Essex VIII(1)	\$ 26,427,713	1,988,289
Entities affiliated with NovaQuest(2)	20,589,160	1,539,343
Entities affiliated with Technology Partners(3)	4,072,767	306,364
Entities affiliated with Vivo Ventures(4)	4,309,347	325,134

(1) Ronald W. Eastman, a member of our board of directors, is a managing director of Essex Woodlands Health Ventures VIII, LLC, the general partner of Essex Woodlands Health Ventures Fund VIII, L.P., Essex Woodlands Health Ventures Fund VIII-A, L.P. and Essex Woodlands Health Ventures Fund VIII-B, L.P.

(2) Jonathan Tunnickliffe and Ronald Wooten, each a member of our board of directors, are both affiliated with NQ HCIF General Partner, L.P., the general partner of NovaQuest Pharma Opportunities Fund III, L.P.

(3) James Glasheen, a member of our board of directors, is a managing member of TP Management VII, L.L.C., the general partner of Technology Partners Affiliates VII, L.P. and Technology Partners Fund VII, L.P.

(4) Frank Kung, a former member of our board of directors, is a managing partner at BioAsia Investments IV, LLC, the general partner of Biotechnology Development Fund IV Affiliates, L.P., BDF IV Annex Fund, L.P. and Biotechnology Development Fund IV, L.P.

Issuance of Warrants to Purchase Common Stock

Pursuant to our Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement, dated January 24, 2011, as amended, between January 24, 2011 and December 6, 2012, we issued warrants to purchase an aggregate of 270,161 shares of our common stock and, pursuant to our Series E-5 Preferred Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement, dated February 5, 2013, as amended and restated on March 29, 2013, between February 5, 2013 and May 28, 2013, we issued warrants to purchase an aggregate of 545,492 shares of our common stock.

The following table summarizes purchases of warrants by our executive officers, directors and holders of more than 5% of our capital stock since January 1, 2010 that involved an amount over \$120,000:

Stockholder	Shares of Common Stock Underlying the Warrants*	Weighted- Average Exercise Price per Share**
Entities affiliated with Essex VIII(1)	246,535	\$ 0.15
Entities affiliated with NovaQuest(2)	237,858	\$ 0.15
Entities affiliated with Technology Partners(3)	44,132	\$ 0.15
Entities affiliated with Vivo Ventures(4)	18,385	\$ 0.15

* See the section entitled "Description of Capital Stock Warrants" for a detailed description of the treatment of warrants in connection with this offering.

** All common stock warrants issued by the company have an exercise price of \$0.15 per share.

(1) Ronald W. Eastman, a member of our board of directors, is a managing director of Essex Woodlands Health Ventures VIII, LLC, the general partner of Essex Woodlands Health Ventures Fund VIII, L.P., Essex Woodlands Health Ventures Fund VIII-A, L.P. and Essex Woodlands Health Ventures Fund VIII-B, L.P.

(2) Jonathan Tunncliffe and Ronald Wooten, each a member of our board of directors, are both affiliated with NQ HCIF General Partner, L.P., the general partner of NovaQuest Pharma Opportunities Fund III, L.P.

(3) James Glasheen, a member of our board of directors, is a managing member of TP Management VII, L.L.C., the general partner of Technology Partners Affiliates VII, L.P. and Technology Partners Fund VII, L.P.

Table of Contents

- (4) Frank Kung, a former member of our board of directors, is a managing partner at BioAsia Investments IV, LLC, the general partner of Biotechnology Development Fund IV Affiliates, L.P., BDF IV Annex Fund, L.P. and Biotechnology Development Fund IV, L.P.

Issuances of Notes and Warrants Pursuant to Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement

Pursuant to that certain Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement, dated October 8, 2013, as amended, we issued secured subordinated convertible promissory notes, or the 2013 notes, and warrants to purchase our common stock, or the 2013 warrants, in an aggregate principal amount of \$23.65 million. The outstanding principal amount balance and any accrued interest through October 7, 2014 on the 2013 notes will convert into 1,637,846 shares of common stock immediately prior to the closing of this offering.

The 2013 warrants are exercisable for an aggregate number of shares of our common stock equal to the aggregate number of shares issuable upon conversion of the 2013 notes multiplied by 25%. The exercise price of the 2013 warrants is \$0.15 per share. The 2013 warrants terminate if they are not exercised prior to the closing of this offering. These warrants have a net exercise provision and contain provisions for the adjustment of the exercise price and the number of shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrant in the event of certain stock dividends, stock splits, recapitalizations, reclassifications, consolidations and other fundamental transactions. We expect all of the holders of the warrants to exercise the warrants on a net issuance basis contingent upon and effective immediately prior to the closing of this offering.

The following table summarizes the participation in the 2013 convertible note financing by our executive officers, directors and holders of more than 5% of our capital stock and their affiliated entities:

Name	Aggregate 2013 Notes Amount
Funds affiliated with Essex VIII(1)	\$ 9,500,000
Funds affiliated with NovaQuest(2)	9,500,000

- (1) Ronald W. Eastman, a member of our board of directors, is a managing director of Essex Woodlands Health Ventures VIII, LLC, the general partner of Essex Woodlands Health Ventures Fund VIII, L.P., Essex Woodlands Health Ventures Fund VIII-A, L.P. and Essex Woodlands Health Ventures Fund VIII-B, L.P.
- (2) Jonathan Tunnicliffe and Ronald Wooten, each a member of our board of directors, are both affiliated with NQ HCIF General Partner, L.P., the general partner of NovaQuest Pharma Opportunities Fund III, L.P.

Other Transactions with our Executive Officers, Directors, Key Employees and Significant Stockholders

Stockholder Agreements. In May 2010, in connection with the second closing of our Series D preferred stock financing, we entered into an Amended and Restated Investor Rights Agreement. All rights under such agreement were superseded by agreements subsequently entered into in connection with our Series E preferred stock financing. In March 2013, in connection with our Series E preferred stock financing, we entered into an Amended and Restated Investor Rights Agreement, or the Rights Agreement, an Amended and Restated Right of First Refusal and Co-Sale Agreement, or the ROFR Agreement, and an Amended and Restated Voting Agreement, or the Voting Agreement. In October 2013, in connection with our Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement, dated October 8, 2013, we entered into Amendment No. 1 to the Rights Agreement, an Amended and Restated Voting Agreement, and a Security Agreement, to collectively provide for, among other things, voting rights and obligations, information rights, registration rights with certain holders of our preferred stock and certain holders of our common stock and granted certain holders of our 2013 notes a security interest with respect to all of our assets, excluding our intellectual property. The following executive officers, directors and holders of more than 5% of our capital stock and their affiliates are parties to those agreements:

Entities affiliated with Essex VIII;

Entities affiliated with NovaQuest;

Entities affiliated with Technology Partners;

Table of Contents

Entities affiliated with Vivo Ventures;

Jacob Waugh, M.D.; and

L. Daniel Browne and affiliated entities

The ROFR Agreement, the Voting Agreement, the Security Agreement and portions of the Rights Agreement will terminate prior to or upon the closing of this offering, as applicable. However, the registration rights provided for in the Rights Agreement to the holders of our outstanding preferred stock, including certain of our directors, executive officers, beneficial owners of more than 5% of our capital stock and immediate family members of these individuals, will continue following the closing of this offering. Upon the closing of this offering, the holders of 10,077,900 shares of our common stock will be entitled to certain rights with respect to the registration of these shares. For a more detailed description of these registration rights, see the section entitled *Description of Capital Stock Registration Rights*.

Indemnification Agreements. We have entered, or will enter, into an indemnification agreement with each of our directors and executive officers. The indemnification agreements and our certificate of incorporation and bylaws require us to indemnify our directors and officers to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law. For a description of these indemnification agreements, see the section entitled *Executive Compensation Limitations on Liability and Indemnification Matters*.

Policies and Procedures for Related Party Transactions. Following this offering, all future transactions between us and our officers, directors, principal stockholders and their affiliates will be approved by the audit committee, or a similar committee consisting of entirely independent directors, according to the terms of our written Code of Business Conduct and Ethics.

All of the related party transactions described in this section occurred prior to the adoption of this policy and as such, these transactions were not subject to the approval and review procedures set forth in this policy. However, these transactions were reviewed and approved by our board of directors.

Table of Contents

PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of January 1, 2014, as adjusted to reflect the sale of common stock offered by us in this offering, for:

each of our named executive officers;

each of our directors;

all of our directors and executive officers as a group; and

each person, or group of affiliated persons, known by us to beneficially own more than 5% of our common stock.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC and generally includes any shares over which a person exercises sole or shared voting or investment power. Shares of common stock issuable under options or warrants that are exercisable within 60 days after January 1, 2014, are deemed beneficially owned and such shares are used in computing the percentage ownership of the person holding the options or warrants but are not deemed outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person. The percentage of beneficial ownership prior to this offering is based on 8,950,789 shares of our common stock outstanding as of January 1, 2014, assuming the conversion of all outstanding shares of our convertible preferred stock as of January 1, 2014 into 8,689,999 shares of our common stock. The percentage of beneficial ownership following this offering includes 6,000,000 shares of common stock being offered for sale by us in this offering and assumes:

the automatic conversion of the \$23.65 million in aggregate principal amount of the 2013 notes and accrued interest through October 7, 2014, into 1,637,846 shares of common stock immediately prior to the closing of this offering; and

the automatic exercise of the 2013 warrants and the other common stock warrants, assuming net exercise for 1,158,443 shares of our common stock immediately prior to the closing of this offering.

The information contained in the following table is not necessarily indicative of beneficial ownership for any other purpose and the inclusion of any shares in the table does not constitute an admission of beneficial ownership of those shares.

Table of Contents

Unless otherwise indicated below, to our knowledge, all persons named in the table have sole voting and dispositive power with respect to their shares of common stock, except to the extent authority is shared by spouses under community property laws. Unless otherwise indicated below, the address of each beneficial owner listed in the table below is c/o Revance Therapeutics, Inc., 7555 Gateway Blvd., Newark, CA 94560.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Beneficial Ownership Prior to the Offering		Beneficial Ownership After the Offering(12)	
	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	Percentage of Class	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	Percentage of Class
Named Executive Officers and Directors:				
L. Daniel Browne(1)	187,864	2.1%	187,864	1.1%
Lauren P. Silvernail(2)				
Jacob Waugh, M.D.(3)	96,879	1.1%	96,879	*
Curtis Rugg, Ph.D.(4)	36,687	*	36,687	*
Robert Byrnes(5)	15,678	*	15,678	*
Ronald W. Eastman(6)	3,315,650	36.1%	4,134,962	23.3%
Phyllis Gardner, M.D.(7)	410,901	4.6%	462,418	2.6%
James Glasheen, Ph.D.(8)	683,478	7.6%	726,014	4.1%
Jonathan Tunncliffe(9)	2,277,239	24.8%	3,096,650	17.4%
Ronald Wooten(9)	2,277,239	24.8%	3,096,650	17.4%
Directors and officers as a group (total of 10 persons)(10)	7,024,376	72.4%	8,757,152	48.8%
Greater than 5% Stockholders:				
Entities affiliated with Essex VIII(6)	3,315,650	36.1%	4,134,962	23.3%
Entities affiliated with NovaQuest(9)	2,277,239	24.8%	3,096,650	17.4%
Entities affiliated with Vivo Ventures(11)	622,061	6.9%	621,879	3.5%
Entities affiliated with Technology Partners(8)	683,478	7.6%	726,014	4.1%

* Represents beneficial ownership of less than 1% of the outstanding common stock

- (1) Consists of 53,333 shares of common stock, 17,293 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of shares of preferred stock, 116,829 shares of common stock underlying options that are vested and exercisable within 60 days of January 1, 2014 and 409 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of preferred stock held by the Dan and Brenda Browne Living Trust. Mr. Browne is a Trustee of the Dan and Brenda Browne Living Trust.
- (2) Ms. Silvernail became our Executive Vice President, Corporate Development and Chief Financial Officer in March 2013 and was not the beneficial owner of any shares of common stock as of January 1, 2014.
- (3) Consists of 53,333 shares of common stock and 43,546 shares of common stock underlying options that are vested and exercisable within 60 days of January 1, 2014.
- (4) Consists of 3,606 shares of common stock and 33,081 shares of common stock underlying options that are vested and exercisable within 60 days of January 1, 2014.
- (5) Consists of 15,678 shares of common stock underlying options that are vested and exercisable within 60 days of January 1, 2014.

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

- (6) Consists of 2,781,396 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of shares of preferred stock held by Essex Woodlands Health Ventures Fund VIII, L.P. (Essex Fund VIII); 200,538 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of shares of preferred stock held by Essex Woodlands Health Ventures Fund VIII-A, L.P. (Essex Fund VIII-A); 87,190 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of shares of preferred stock held by Essex Woodlands Health Ventures Fund VIII-B, L.P. (Essex Fund VIII-B); 223,421 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants held by Essex Fund VIII; 16,105 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants held by Essex Fund VIII-A; and 7,000 shares of

Table of Contents

- common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants held by Essex Fund VIII-B. Essex Woodlands Health Ventures VIII, LLC, the general partner of Essex Fund VIII, Essex Fund VIII-A and Essex Fund VIII-B, may be deemed to have sole power to vote and sole power to dispose of shares directly owned by Essex Fund VIII, Essex Fund VIII-A and Essex Fund VIII-B. Ron Eastman, one of our directors, is a managing member of Essex Woodlands Health Ventures VIII, LLC and may be deemed to have shared voting power and shared power to dispose of the shares held by Essex Fund VIII, Essex Fund VIII-A and Essex Fund VIII-B. The address for Essex VIII is 335 Bryant Street, Palo Alto, California 94301.
- (7) Consists of 5,333 shares of common stock underlying options that are vested and exercisable within 60 days of January 1, 2014; 402,934 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of shares of preferred stock held by Essex Woodlands Health Ventures Fund V, L.P. (Essex Fund V); and 2,634 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants held by Essex Fund V. Essex Woodlands Health Ventures V, LLC, the general partner of Essex Fund V, may be deemed to have sole power to vote and sole power to dispose of shares directly owned by Essex Fund V. Phyllis Gardner, one of our directors, is a partner at Essex Woodlands Health Ventures V, LLC and may be deemed to have shared voting power and shared power to dispose of the shares held by Essex Fund V.
- (8) Consists of 16,703 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of shares of preferred stock held by Technology Partners Affiliates VII, L.P. (TPA); 622,648 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of shares of preferred stock held by Technology Partners Fund VII, L.P. (TPF); 151 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants held by TPA; and 43,976 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants held by TPF. TP Management VII, L.L.C., the general partner of TPA and TPF, may be deemed to have sole power to vote and sole power to dispose of shares directly owned by TPA and TPF. James Glasheen, one of our directors, is a managing member of TP Management VII, L.L.C. and may be deemed to have shared voting power and shared power to dispose of the shares held by TPA and TPF. The address for Technology Partners is 550 University Avenue, Palo Alto, California 94301.
- (9) Consists of 2,039,382 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of shares of preferred stock held by NovaQuest Pharma Opportunities Fund III, L.P. (NovaQuest), and 237,857 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants held by NovaQuest. NQ HCIF General Partner, L.P., as the general partner of NovaQuest (the NovaQuest GP), has the power to vote and dispose of shares directly owned by NovaQuest, and NQ HCIF GP Ltd., as the general partner of the NovaQuest GP (the NovaQuest GP Ltd.), has the power to direct the NovaQuest GP as to such voting and disposition. Decisions with respect to the voting and disposition of the shares held by NovaQuest are made by an investment committee of the NovaQuest GP Ltd., on which Jonathan Tunnicliffe and Ronald Wooten, two of our directors, each serve. Ronald Wooten also serves on the board of directors of the NovaQuest GP Ltd. Pursuant to these positions, Jonathan Tunnicliffe and Ronald Wooten may be deemed to have shared voting power and shared power to dispose of the shares held by NovaQuest. The NovaQuest GP, the NovaQuest GP Ltd., the investment committee, Mr. Tunnicliffe and Mr. Wooten each disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by NovaQuest except to the extent of his or its pecuniary interest therein. The address for each of the foregoing persons and entities is 4208 Six Forks Road, Suite 920, Raleigh, North Carolina 27609.
- (10) Includes shares beneficially owned by all current executive officers and directors of the company. Consists of 110,272 shares of common stock, 6,168,493 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of preferred stock, 214,467 shares of common stock underlying options that are vested and exercisable within 60 days of January 1, 2014 and 531,144 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants.
- (11) Consists of 4,412 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of shares of preferred stock held by Biotechnology Development Fund IV Affiliates, L.P. (BDF IV Affiliates); 360,458 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of shares of preferred stock held by BDF IV Annex Fund, L.P. (BDF IV Annex); 238,813 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of shares of preferred stock held by Biotechnology Development Fund IV, L.P. (BDF IV); 65 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants held by BDF IV Affiliates; 14,668 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants held by BDF IV Annex; and 3,645 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants held by BDF IV. BioAsia Investments IV, LLC, the general partner of BDF IV Affiliates, BDF IV Annex and BDF IV, may be deemed to have sole power to vote and sole power to dispose of shares directly owned by BDF IV

Table of Contents

Affiliates, BDF IV Annex, and BDF IV. Frank Kung, one of our former directors, is a managing member of BioAsia Investments IV, LLC and may be deemed to have shared voting power and shared power to dispose of the shares held by BDF IV Affiliates, BDF IV Annex, and BDF IV. The address for Vivo Ventures is 575 High Street #201, Palo Alto, California 94301.

(12) Assumes no exercise of underwriters' over-allotment option.

Table of Contents

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK

The description below of our capital stock and provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws are summaries and are qualified by reference to the amended and restated certificate of incorporation and the amended and restated bylaws, which will be filed as exhibits to the registration statement of which this prospectus is part and effective upon the closing of this offering, and by the applicable provisions of Delaware law.

General

Upon the closing of this offering, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation will authorize us to issue up to 95,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, and 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$0.001 par value per share. The following information reflects the filing and effectiveness of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and the conversion of all outstanding shares of our convertible preferred stock into shares of common stock upon the closing of this offering.

As of January 1, 2014, there were outstanding:

260,790 shares of common stock held by 29 stockholders, excluding shares to be issued upon the conversion of our convertible preferred stock immediately upon the closing of this offering;

8,689,999 shares of our convertible preferred stock outstanding, all of which will be converted into an aggregate of 8,689,999 shares of common stock immediately upon the closing of this offering; and

1,213,063 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of outstanding options.

As of January 1, 2014, there were warrants outstanding for the purchase of an aggregate of 760,087 shares of common stock and an aggregate of 184,486 shares of convertible preferred stock (which will be exercisable for an aggregate of 184,486 shares of our common stock following the closing of this offering). These amounts exclude shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the 2013 notes and upon exercise of the 2013 warrants. For further details regarding the 2013 notes and the 2013 warrants, see the section titled "Management Discussion and Analysis - Liquidity and Capital Resources." For further details regarding outstanding warrants, see the section titled "Warrants" below.

Common Stock

Voting Rights. Each holder of our common stock is entitled to one vote for each share on all matters submitted to a vote of the stockholders, including the election of directors. Under our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws, our stockholders will not have cumulative voting rights in the election of directors. As a result, the holders of a majority of the shares of common stock entitled to vote in any election of directors can elect all of the directors standing for election, if they should so choose.

Dividends. Subject to preferences that may be applicable to any then-outstanding preferred stock, holders of common stock are entitled to receive ratably those dividends, if any, as may be declared from time to time by our board of directors out of legally available funds.

Liquidation. In the event of our liquidation, dissolution or winding up, holders of common stock will be entitled to share ratably in the net assets legally available for distribution to stockholders after the payment of all of our debts and other liabilities and the satisfaction of any liquidation preference granted to the holders of any then-outstanding shares of preferred stock.

Rights and Preferences. Holders of common stock have no preemptive, conversion or subscription rights and there are no redemption or sinking fund provisions applicable to the common stock. The rights, preferences and privileges of the holders of common stock are subject to, and may be adversely affected by, the rights of the holders of shares of any series of preferred stock that we may designate in the future.

Table of Contents

Preferred Stock

Upon the closing of this offering, our board of directors may, without further action by our stockholders, fix the rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions of up to an aggregate of 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock in one or more series and authorize their issuance. These rights, preferences and privileges could include dividend rights, conversion rights, voting rights, terms of redemption, liquidation preferences, sinking fund terms and the number of shares constituting any series or the designation of such series, any or all of which may be greater than the rights of our common stock. The issuance of our preferred stock could adversely affect the voting power of holders of our common stock and the likelihood that such holders will receive dividend payments and payments upon liquidation. In addition, the issuance of preferred stock could have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change of control or other corporate action. Upon the closing of this offering, no shares of preferred stock will be outstanding, and we have no present plan to issue any shares of preferred stock.

Options

As of January 1, 2014, under our 2002 plan and 2012 plan, options to purchase an aggregate of 1,213,063 shares of common stock were outstanding and, under our 2012 plan, 202,562 additional shares of common stock were available for future grant. For additional information regarding the terms of these plans, see Management Employee Benefit Plans.

Warrants

As of January 1, 2014, we had the following warrants outstanding:

Warrants to purchase an aggregate of 760,087 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.15 per share, with expiration dates ranging from January 24, 2018 to May 28, 2020. These warrants have a net exercise provision and contain provisions for the adjustment of the exercise price and the number of shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrant in the event of certain stock dividends, stock splits, recapitalizations, reclassifications, consolidations and other fundamental transactions. These warrants will be automatically net exercised immediately prior to the closing of this offering if not exercised earlier.

Warrants to purchase an aggregate of 30,338 shares of our Series E-3 convertible preferred stock (which will be exercisable for an aggregate of 30,338 shares of our common stock following the closing of this offering) at an exercise price of \$31.5015 per share. These warrants have a net exercise provision and contain provisions for the adjustment of the exercise price and the number of shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrant in the event of certain stock dividends, stock splits, recapitalizations, reclassifications, consolidations and other fundamental transactions. These warrants are immediately exercisable with expiration dates ranging from October 31, 2018 to November 8, 2019. If certain of these warrants, representing warrants to purchase an aggregate 22,856 shares of our Series E-3 convertible preferred stock (which will be exercisable for an aggregate of 22,856 shares of our common stock following the closing of this offering), are not exercised prior to their expiration date, they will be automatically net exercised pursuant to their terms. Certain of these warrants give holders of such warrants the right to surrender their warrants to us upon completion of this offering in exchange for a cash payment. Each of these holders provided us with notice of its exercise of this right for all such warrants. As such, they will receive from us an aggregate cash payment of approximately \$1.44 million upon surrender of all such warrants upon the closing of this offering.

Warrants to purchase an aggregate of 88,292 shares of our Series E-4 convertible preferred stock (which will be exercisable for an aggregate of 88,292 shares of our common stock following the closing of this offering) at an exercise price of \$14.9505 per share, with an expiration date of May 21, 2020. These warrants have a net exercise provision and contain provisions for the adjustment of the exercise price and the number of shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrant in the event of certain stock dividends, stock splits, recapitalizations, reclassifications, consolidations and other fundamental transactions. If not exercised prior to the expiration date, these warrants will be automatically net exercised pursuant to their terms.

Table of Contents

Warrants to purchase an aggregate of 65,856 shares of our Series E-5 convertible preferred stock (which are convertible into 65,856 shares of our common stock following the closing of this offering) at an exercise price of \$22.425 and \$20.25 per share, with an expiration date of September 20, 2021 and December 20, 2018. These warrants have a net exercise provision and contain provisions for the adjustment of the exercise price and the number of shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrant in the event of certain stock dividends, stock splits, recapitalizations, reclassifications, consolidations and other fundamental transactions. If not exercised prior to their expiration date, these warrants will be automatically net exercised pursuant to their terms.

Warrants to purchase an aggregate number of shares of our common stock equal to the aggregate number of shares issuable upon conversion of the 2013 notes multiplied by 25% at an exercise price of \$0.15 per share. The warrants terminate if they are not exercised prior to the closing of this offering. These warrants have a net exercise provision and contain provisions for the adjustment of the exercise price and the number of shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrant in the event of certain stock dividends, stock splits, recapitalizations, reclassifications, consolidations and other fundamental transactions. We expect all of the holders of the warrants to exercise the warrants on a net issuance basis contingent upon and effective immediately prior to the closing of this offering.

Stockholder Registration Rights

After this offering, certain holders of shares of our common stock, including those shares of our common stock that were issued upon conversion of our convertible preferred stock, will be entitled to certain rights with respect to registration of such shares under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act. These shares are referred to as registrable securities. The holders of these registrable securities possess registration rights pursuant to the terms of the Amended and Restated Investor's Rights Agreement, or IRA, and are described in additional detail below. We entered into the IRA in connection with the issuance of our Series E convertible preferred stock in March 2013, and further amended the IRA in connection with the issuance of our 2013 notes and 2013 warrants.

The registration of shares of our common stock pursuant to the exercise of registration rights described below would enable the holders to trade these shares without restriction under the Securities Act when the applicable registration statement becomes or is declared effective. We will pay the registration expenses, other than underwriting discounts, selling commissions and stock transfer taxes, of the shares registered pursuant to the demand, piggyback and Form S-3 registration rights described below.

Generally, in an underwritten offering, the managing underwriter, if any, has the right, subject to specified conditions, to limit the number of shares the holders may include for marketing reasons. The demand, piggyback and Form S-3 registration rights described below will expire three years after the effective date of the registration statement, of which this prospectus forms a part, or, with respect to any particular holder, at such time that such holder can sell its shares under Rule 144 of the Securities Act during any three month period.

Demand Registration Rights. Following the closing of this offering, the holders of approximately 9,903,925 shares of our common stock will be entitled to certain demand registration rights. Under our IRA, at any time after the earlier of (i) 180 days after the effective date of this offering or (ii) March 29, 2016, and upon the written request of the holders of at least 25% of our registrable securities (or a lesser percent if the anticipated aggregate offering price, net of underwriting discounts and commissions, would exceed \$10,000,000) then outstanding that we file a registration statement under the Securities Act, we are obligated to use our reasonable best efforts to register the sale of all registrable securities that the holders may request in writing to be registered. We are required to effect no more than two registration statements (other than on Form S-3 or any equivalent successor form) that are declared or ordered effective. We may postpone the filing of a registration statement for up to 90 days once in a 12-month period if in the good faith judgment of our board of directors such registration would be materially detrimental to us. Additionally, subject to certain conditions, we will not be required to effect a demand registration during the period beginning with the date of filing of, and 180 days following the effectiveness of, a registration statement relating to a public offering.

Table of Contents

Piggyback Registration Rights. Following the closing of this offering, the holders of approximately 9,903,925 shares of our common stock and 173,975 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding warrants will be entitled to certain piggyback registration rights. If we register any of our securities for public sale, either for our own account or for the account of other security holders, we will also have to register all registrable securities that the holders of such registrable securities request in writing be registered. This piggyback registration right does not apply to a registration relating to any of our stock plans, stock purchase or similar plan, a transaction under Rule 145 of the Securities Act or a registration in which the only common stock being registered is common stock issuable upon conversion of debt securities which are also being registered. The managing underwriter of any underwritten offering will have the right to limit, due to marketing reasons, the number of shares registered by these holders, but not below 30% of the total number of shares included in the registration statement.

Form S-3 Registration Rights. Following the closing of this offering, the holders of approximately 9,903,925 shares of our common stock will be entitled to certain Form S-3 registration rights. The holders of our registrable securities can also request that we register all or a portion of their shares on Form S-3 if we are eligible to file a registration statement on Form S-3 and the aggregate price to the public of the shares offered is in excess of \$5 million (net underwriting discounts and commissions, if any). We will not be required to effect a registration on Form S-3 if we have effected two such registrations in the twelve-month period preceding the request. We may postpone the filing of a registration statement for up to 90 days once in a twelve-month period if in the good faith judgment of our board of directors such registration would be materially detrimental to us.

Anti-Takeover Provisions

We are subject to Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which prohibits a Delaware corporation from engaging in any business combination with any interested stockholder for a period of three years after the date that such stockholder became an interested stockholder, with the following exceptions:

before such date, the board of directors of the corporation approved either the business combination or the transaction that resulted in the stockholder becoming an interested stockholder;

upon completion of the transaction that resulted in the stockholder becoming an interested stockholder, the interested stockholder owned at least 85% of the voting stock of the corporation outstanding at the time the transaction began, excluding for purposes of determining the voting stock outstanding (but not the outstanding voting stock owned by the interested stockholder) those shares (i) owned by persons who are directors and also officers and (ii) issued under employee stock plans in which employee participants do not have the right to determine confidentially whether shares held subject to the plan will be tendered in a tender or exchange offer; or

on or after such date, the business combination is approved by the board of directors and authorized at an annual or special meeting of the stockholders, and not by written consent, by the affirmative vote of at least $66\frac{2}{3}\%$ of the outstanding voting stock that is not owned by the interested stockholder.

In general, Section 203 defines a "business combination" to include the following:

any merger or consolidation involving the corporation and the interested stockholder;

any sale, transfer, pledge or other disposition of 10% or more of the assets of the corporation involving the interested stockholder;

subject to certain exceptions, any transaction that results in the issuance or transfer by the corporation of any stock of the corporation to the interested stockholder;

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

any transaction involving the corporation that has the effect of increasing the proportionate share of the stock or any class or series of stock of the corporation beneficially owned by the interested stockholder; or

the receipt by the interested stockholder of the benefit of any loans, advances, guarantees, pledges or other financial benefits by or through the corporation.

Table of Contents

In general, Section 203 defines an interested stockholder as an entity or person who, together with the person's affiliates and associates, beneficially owns, or within three years prior to the time of determination of interested stockholder status did own, 15% or more of the outstanding voting stock of the corporation.

Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws to be in Effect upon the Closing of this Offering

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation to be in effect upon the closing of this offering, or our restated certificate, will provide for our board of directors to be divided into three classes with staggered three-year terms. Only one class of directors will be elected at each annual meeting of our stockholders, with the other classes continuing for the remainder of their respective three-year terms. Because our stockholders do not have cumulative voting rights, stockholders holding a majority of the shares of common stock outstanding will be able to elect all of our directors. Our restated certificate and our amended and restated bylaws to be effective upon the closing of this offering, or our restated bylaws, will also provide that directors may be removed by the stockholders only for cause upon the vote of a majority of our outstanding common stock. Furthermore, the authorized number of directors may be changed only by resolution of our board of directors, and vacancies and newly created directorships on our board of directors may, except as otherwise required by law or determined by our board of directors, only be filled by a majority vote of the directors then serving on our board of directors, even though less than a quorum.

Our restated certificate and restated bylaws will also provide that all stockholder actions must be effected at a duly called meeting of stockholders and will eliminate the right of stockholders to act by written consent without a meeting. Our restated bylaws will also provide that only our chairman of our board, chief executive officer or our board of directors pursuant to a resolution adopted by a majority of the total number of authorized directors may call a special meeting of stockholders.

Our restated bylaws will also provide that stockholders seeking to present proposals before a meeting of stockholders to nominate candidates for election as directors at a meeting of stockholders must provide timely advance notice in writing, and will specify requirements as to the form and content of a stockholder's notice.

Our restated certificate will also provide that our board of directors may issue shares of preferred stock and determine the price and other terms of those shares, including preferences and voting rights, without stockholder approval.

Our restated certificate and restated bylaws will provide that the stockholders cannot amend many of the provisions described above except by a vote of $66\frac{2}{3}\%$ or more of our outstanding common stock, and our board of directors may amend the bylaws by a majority vote.

The combination of these provisions will make it more difficult for our existing stockholders to replace our board of directors as well as for another party to obtain control of us by replacing our board of directors. Since our board of directors has the power to retain and discharge our officers, these provisions could also make it more difficult for existing stockholders or another party to effect a change in management. In addition, the authorization of undesignated preferred stock makes it possible for our board of directors to issue preferred stock with voting or other rights or preferences that could impede the success of any attempt to change our control.

These provisions are intended to enhance the likelihood of continued stability in the composition of our board of directors and its policies and to discourage coercive takeover practices and inadequate takeover bids. These provisions are also designed to reduce our vulnerability to hostile takeovers and to discourage certain tactics that may be used in proxy fights. However, such provisions could have the effect of discouraging others from making tender offers for our shares and may have the effect of delaying changes in our control or management. As a consequence, these provisions may also inhibit fluctuations in the market price of our stock that could result from actual or rumored takeover attempts. We believe that the benefits of these provisions, including increased protection of our potential ability to negotiate with the proponent of an unfriendly or unsolicited proposal to acquire or restructure our company, outweigh the disadvantages of discouraging takeover proposals, because negotiation of takeover proposals could result in an improvement of their terms.

Table of Contents

Choice of Forum

Our restated certificate will provide that the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware will be the exclusive forum for any derivative action or proceeding brought on our behalf; any action asserting a breach of fiduciary duty; any action asserting a claim against us arising pursuant to the Delaware General Corporation Law, our restated certificate or our restated bylaws; or any action asserting a claim against us that is governed by the internal affairs doctrine. Several lawsuits have been filed in Delaware challenging the enforceability of similar choice of forum provisions and it is possible that a court will determine that such provisions are not enforceable.

Listing

Our common stock has been approved for listing on The NASDAQ Global Market under the trading symbol RVNC.

Transfer Agent and Registrar

The transfer agent and registrar for our common stock is Computershare Trust Company, N.A. The transfer agent's address is 250, Royall Street, Canton, Massachusetts 02021.

Table of Contents

SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE

Prior to this offering, no public market existed for our common stock. Future sales of shares of our common stock in the public market after this offering, and the availability of shares for future sale, could adversely affect the market price of our common stock prevailing from time to time. As described below, only a limited number of shares will be available for sale shortly after this offering due to contractual and legal restrictions on resale. Nonetheless, sales of substantial amounts of our common stock, or the perception that these sales could occur, could adversely affect prevailing market prices for our common stock and could impair our future ability to raise equity capital.

Based on the number of shares outstanding as of January 20, 2014, upon the closing of this offering, 17,751,754 shares of common stock will be outstanding, assuming the conversion of all outstanding shares of convertible preferred stock into 8,689,999 shares common stock, the conversion of the 2013 notes and the net exercise of the 2013 warrants and other common stock warrants, no exercise of other outstanding options or warrants and no exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option. All of the shares of common stock sold in this offering (including pursuant to the underwriters' exercise of their over-allotment option) will be freely tradable without restrictions or further registration under the Securities Act, except for any shares sold to our affiliates, as that term is defined under Rule 144 under the Securities Act. The remaining 11,887,231 shares of common stock held by existing stockholders are restricted securities, as that term is defined in Rule 144 under the Securities Act. Restricted securities may be sold in the public market only if registered or if their resale qualifies for exemption from registration described below under Rule 144 or 701 promulgated under the Securities Act.

Additionally, of the options to purchase 1,222,831 shares of our common stock outstanding as of January 20, 2014, options exercisable for approximately 517,259 shares of common stock will be vested and eligible for sale 180 days after the date of this prospectus.

Under the lock-up and market stand-off agreements described below and the provisions of Rules 144 and 701 under the Securities Act, these restricted securities will be available for sale in the public market as follows:

no shares of common stock will be eligible for immediate sale on the date of this prospectus; and

11,751,754 shares of our common stock will be eligible for sale upon the expiration of the lock-up and market stand-off agreements 180 days after the date of this prospectus, provided that shares held by our affiliates will remain subject to volume, manner of sale, and other resale limitations set forth in Rule 144, as described below.

Rule 144. In general, persons who have beneficially owned restricted shares of our common stock for at least six months, and any affiliate of ours who owns either restricted or unrestricted shares of our common stock, are entitled to sell their securities without registration with the SEC under an exemption from registration provided by Rule 144 under the Securities Act.

In general, a person who has beneficially owned restricted shares of our common stock for at least six months would be entitled to sell their securities provided that (i) such person is not deemed to have been one of our affiliates at the time of, or at any time during the 90 days preceding, a sale and (ii) we have been subject to the Exchange Act periodic reporting requirements for at least 90 days before the sale. Persons who have beneficially owned restricted shares of our common stock for at least six months, but who are our affiliates at the time of, or any time during the 90 days preceding, a sale, would be subject to additional restrictions, by which such person would be entitled to sell within any three-month period only a number of securities that does not exceed the greater of either of the following:

1% of the number of shares of our common stock then outstanding, which will equal approximately 177,517 shares immediately after the closing of this offering based on the number of common shares outstanding as of January 20, 2014; or

the average weekly trading volume of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Market during the four calendar weeks preceding the filing of a notice on Form 144 with respect to the sale;

Table of Contents

provided, in each case, that we have been subject to the Exchange Act periodic reporting requirements for at least 90 days before the sale. Such sales both by affiliates and by non-affiliates must also comply with the manner of sale, current public information and notice provisions of Rule 144.

Rule 701. Rule 701 under the Securities Act, as in effect on the date of this prospectus, permits resales of shares in reliance upon Rule 144 but without compliance with certain restrictions of Rule 144, including the holding period requirement. Most of our employees, executive officers or directors who purchased shares under a written compensatory plan or contract may be entitled to rely on the resale provisions of Rule 701, but all holders of Rule 701 shares are required to wait until 90 days after the date of this prospectus before selling their shares. However, all Rule 701 shares are subject to lock-up agreements as described below and in the section of this prospectus titled *Underwriting* and will become eligible for sale upon the expiration of the restrictions set forth in those agreements.

Form S-8 Registration Statements

As soon as practicable after the closing of this offering, we intend to file with the SEC one or more registration statements on Form S-8 under the Securities Act to register the shares of our common stock that are issuable pursuant to our 2002 plan, 2012 plan, 2014 plan and 2014 ESPP. These registration statements will become effective immediately upon filing. Shares covered by these registration statements will then be eligible for sale in the public markets, subject to vesting restrictions, any applicable lock-up agreements described below and Rule 144 limitations applicable to affiliates.

Lock-Up Agreements

We and all of our directors and officers, as well as the other holders of substantially all shares of our common stock outstanding immediately prior to the closing of this offering, have agreed that, without the prior written consent of Cowen and Company, LLC and Piper Jaffray & Co. on behalf of the underwriters, we and they will not, during the period ending 180 days after the date of this prospectus:

offer, sell, contract to sell, pledge or otherwise dispose of, directly or indirectly, any shares of our common stock or any securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for shares of our common stock, or enter into any transaction that would have the same effect;

enter into any swap, hedge or other arrangement that transfers, in whole or in part, any of the economic consequences of ownership of our common stock; or

file any registration statement with the SEC relating to the offering of any shares of common stock or any securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for shares of our common stock;

whether any transaction described above is to be settled by delivery of shares of our common stock or such other securities, in cash or otherwise. These agreements are subject to certain exceptions as set forth in the section entitled *Underwriting*.

In addition to the restrictions contained in the lock-up agreements described above, we have entered into agreements with certain security holders, including the IRA and our standard form of option agreement, that contain market stand-off provisions imposing restrictions on the ability of such security holders to offer, sell or transfer our equity securities for a period of 180 days following the date of this prospectus.

Registration Rights

Upon the closing of this offering and assuming no exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option, the holders of 9,903,925 shares of our common stock and 173,975 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding warrants, or their transferees, will be entitled to certain rights with respect to the registration of their shares under the Securities Act. Registration of these shares under the Securities Act would result in the shares becoming freely tradable without restriction under the Securities Act immediately upon the effectiveness of the registration. See *Description of Capital Stock* *Stockholder Registration Rights* for additional information.

Table of Contents**MATERIAL U.S. FEDERAL INCOME AND ESTATE TAX CONSEQUENCES TO NON-U.S. HOLDERS**

The following summary describes the material U.S. federal income and estate tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of our common stock acquired in this offering by Non-U.S. Holders (as defined below). This discussion does not address all aspects of U.S. federal income and estate taxes and does not deal with foreign, state and local consequences that may be relevant to Non-U.S. Holders in light of their particular circumstances, nor does it address U.S. federal tax consequences other than income and estate taxes. Special rules different from those described below may apply to certain Non-U.S. Holders that are subject to special treatment under the Code, such as financial institutions, insurance companies, tax-exempt organizations, broker-dealers and traders in securities, U.S. expatriates, controlled foreign corporations, passive foreign investment companies, corporations that accumulate earnings to avoid U.S. federal income tax, persons that hold our common stock as part of a straddle, hedge, conversion transaction, synthetic security or integrated investment or other risk reduction strategy, persons subject to the alternative minimum tax or Medicare contribution tax, partnerships and other pass-through entities, and investors in such pass-through entities. Such Non-U.S. Holders are urged to consult their own tax advisors to determine the U.S. federal, state, local and other tax consequences that may be relevant to them. Furthermore, the discussion below is based upon the provisions of the Code, and Treasury regulations, rulings and judicial decisions thereunder as of the date hereof, and such authorities may be repealed, revoked or modified, perhaps retroactively, so as to result in U.S. federal income and estate tax consequences different from those discussed below. We have not requested a ruling from the U.S. Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, with respect to the statements made and the conclusions reached in the following summary, and there can be no assurance that the IRS will agree with such statements and conclusions. This discussion assumes that the Non-U.S. Holder holds our common stock as a capital asset within the meaning of Section 1221 of the Code (generally, property held for investment).

Persons considering the purchase of our common stock pursuant to this offering should consult their own tax advisors concerning the U.S. federal income and estate tax consequences of acquiring, owning and disposing of our common stock in light of their particular situations as well as any consequences arising under the laws of any other taxing jurisdiction, including any state, local or foreign tax consequences.

For the purposes of this discussion, a Non-U.S. Holder is, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, a beneficial owner of common stock that is neither a U.S. Holder, a partnership (or other entity treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes regardless of its place of organization or formation), nor an entity that is treated as a disregarded entity for U.S. federal income tax purposes (regardless of its place of organization or formation). A U.S. Holder means a beneficial owner of our common stock that is for U.S. federal income tax purposes (a) an individual who is a citizen or resident of the United States, (b) a corporation or other entity treated as a corporation created or organized in or under the laws of the United States, any state thereof or the District of Columbia, (c) an estate the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of its source or (d) a trust if it (1) is subject to the primary supervision of a court within the United States and one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust or (2) has a valid election in effect under applicable U.S. Treasury regulations to be treated as a U.S. person.

Distributions

Subject to the discussion below, distributions, if any, made on our common stock to a Non-U.S. Holder of our common stock to the extent made out of our current or accumulated earnings and profits (as determined under U.S. federal income tax principles) generally will constitute dividends for U.S. tax purposes and will be subject to withholding tax at a 30% rate or such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty. To obtain a reduced rate of withholding under a treaty, a Non-U.S. Holder generally will be required to provide us with a properly executed IRS Form W-8BEN, or other appropriate form, certifying the Non-U.S. Holder's entitlement to benefits under that treaty. In the case of a Non-U.S. Holder that is an entity, Treasury Regulations and the relevant tax treaty provide rules to determine whether, for purposes of determining the applicability of a tax treaty, dividends will be treated as paid to the entity or to those holding an interest in that entity. If a Non-U.S. Holder holds stock through a financial institution or other agent acting on the holder's behalf, the holder will be required to provide appropriate documentation to such agent. The holder's agent will then be required to provide certification to us or

Table of Contents

our paying agent, either directly or through other intermediaries. If you are eligible for a reduced rate of U.S. federal withholding tax under an income tax treaty, you may be able to obtain a refund or credit of any excess amounts withheld by timely filing an appropriate claim with the IRS.

We generally are not required to withhold tax on dividends paid to a Non-U.S. Holder that are effectively connected with the Non-U.S. Holder's conduct of a trade or business within the United States (and, if required by an applicable income tax treaty, are attributable to a permanent establishment that such holder maintains in the United States) if a properly executed IRS Form W-8ECI, stating that the dividends are so connected, is furnished to us (or, if stock is held through a financial institution or other agent, to such agent). In general, such effectively connected dividends will be subject to U.S. federal income tax, on a net income basis at the regular graduated rates. A corporate Non-U.S. Holder receiving effectively connected dividends may also be subject to an additional branch profits tax, which is imposed, under certain circumstances, at a rate of 30% (or such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable treaty) on the corporate Non-U.S. Holder's effectively connected earnings and profits, subject to certain adjustments.

To the extent distributions on our common stock, if any, exceed our current and accumulated earnings and profits, they will first reduce your adjusted basis in our common stock, but not below zero, and then will be treated as gain to the extent of any excess, and taxed in the same manner as gain realized from a sale or other disposition of common stock as described in the next section.

Gain on Disposition of Our Common Stock

Subject to the discussion below regarding backup withholding and foreign accounts, a Non-U.S. Holder generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax with respect to gain realized on a sale or other disposition of our common stock unless (a) the gain is effectively connected with a trade or business of such holder in the United States (and, if required by an applicable income tax treaty, is attributable to a permanent establishment that such holder maintains in the United States), (b) the Non-U.S. Holder is a nonresident alien individual and is present in the United States for 183 or more days in the taxable year of the disposition and certain other conditions are met, or (c) we are or have been a United States real property holding corporation within the meaning of Code Section 897(c)(2) at any time within the shorter of the five-year period preceding such disposition or such holder's holding period. In general, we would be a United States real property holding corporation if interests in U.S. real estate comprised (by fair market value) at least half of our business assets. We believe that we are not, and do not anticipate becoming, a United States real property holding corporation. Even if we are treated as a United States real property holding corporation, gain realized by a Non-U.S. Holder on a disposition of our common stock will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax so long as (1) the Non-U.S. Holder owned, directly, indirectly and constructively, no more than five percent of our common stock at all times within the shorter of (i) the five-year period preceding the disposition or (ii) the holder's holding period and (2) our common stock is regularly traded on an established securities market. There can be no assurance that our common stock will, or will continue to, qualify as regularly traded on an established securities market.

If you are a Non-U.S. Holder described in (a) above, you will generally be required to pay tax on the net gain derived from the sale at regular graduated U.S. federal income tax rates, and corporate Non-U.S. Holders described in (a) above may be subject to the additional branch profits tax at a 30% rate or such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty. If you are an individual Non-U.S. Holder described in (b) above, you will be required to pay a flat 30% tax on the gain derived from the sale, which gain may be offset by U.S. source capital losses (even though you are not considered a resident of the United States).

Information Reporting Requirements and Backup Withholding

Generally, we must report information to the IRS with respect to any dividends we pay on our common stock including the amount of any such dividends, the name and address of the recipient, and the amount, if any, of tax withheld. A similar report is sent to the holder to whom any such dividends are paid. Pursuant to tax treaties or certain other agreements, the IRS may make its reports available to tax authorities in the recipient's country of residence.

Table of Contents

Dividends paid by us (or our paying agents) to a Non-U.S. Holder may also be subject to U.S. backup withholding. U.S. backup withholding generally will not apply to a Non-U.S. Holder who provides a properly executed IRS Form W-8BEN or otherwise establishes an exemption.

Under current U.S. federal income tax law, U.S. information reporting and backup withholding requirements generally will apply to the proceeds of a disposition of our common stock effected by or through a U.S. office of any broker, U.S. or foreign, except that information reporting and such requirements may be avoided if the holder provides a properly executed IRS Form W-8BEN or otherwise meets documentary evidence requirements for establishing Non-U.S. Holder status or otherwise establishes an exemption. Generally, U.S. information reporting and backup withholding requirements will not apply to a payment of disposition proceeds to a Non-U.S. Holder where the transaction is effected outside the United States through a non-U.S. office of a non-U.S. broker. Information reporting and backup withholding requirements may, however, apply to a payment of disposition proceeds if the broker has actual knowledge, or reason to know, that the holder is, in fact, a U.S. person. For information reporting purposes, certain brokers with substantial U.S. ownership or operations will generally be treated in a manner similar to U.S. brokers.

Any amounts of tax withheld under the backup withholding rules may be credits against the tax liability of persons subject to backup withholding, provided that the required information is timely furnished to the IRS.

Foreign Accounts

A U.S. federal withholding tax of 30% may apply on dividends and the gross proceeds of a disposition of our common stock paid to a foreign financial institution (as specifically defined by applicable rules) unless such institution enters into an agreement with the U.S. government to withhold on certain payments and to collect and provide to the U.S. tax authorities substantial information regarding U.S. account holders of such institution (which includes certain equity holders of such institution, as well as certain account holders that are foreign entities with U.S. owners). This U.S. federal withholding tax of 30% will also apply on dividends and the gross proceeds of a disposition of our common stock to a non-financial foreign entity unless such entity provides the withholding agent with either a certification that it does not have any substantial direct or indirect U.S. owners or provides information regarding substantial direct and indirect U.S. owners of the entity. The withholding tax described above will not apply if the foreign financial institution or non-financial foreign entity otherwise qualifies for an exemption from the rules. Under certain circumstances, a Non-U.S. Holder might be eligible for refunds or credits of such taxes. Holders are encouraged to consult with their own tax advisors regarding the possible implications of these rules for their investment in our common stock.

The IRS has issued guidance providing that the withholding provisions described above will generally apply to payments of dividends made on or after July 1, 2014 and to payments of gross proceeds from a sale or other disposition of common stock on or after January 1, 2017.

Federal Estate Tax

An individual Non-U.S. Holder who is treated as the owner of, or has made certain lifetime transfers of, an interest in our common stock will be required to include the value thereof in his or her gross estate for U.S. federal estate tax purposes, and may be subject to U.S. federal estate tax unless an applicable estate tax treaty provides otherwise, even though such individual was not a citizen or resident of the United States at the time of his or her death.

EACH PROSPECTIVE INVESTOR SHOULD CONSULT ITS OWN TAX ADVISOR REGARDING THE TAX CONSEQUENCES OF PURCHASING, HOLDING AND DISPOSING OF OUR COMMON STOCK, INCLUDING THE CONSEQUENCES OF ANY PROPOSED CHANGE IN APPLICABLE LAW.

Table of Contents**UNDERWRITING**

Under the terms and subject to the conditions contained in an underwriting agreement dated February 5, 2014, we have agreed to sell to the underwriters named below, for whom Cowen and Company, LLC and Piper Jaffray & Co. are acting as representatives, the following respective numbers of shares of common stock:

Underwriter	Number of Shares
Cowen and Company, LLC	2,550,000
Piper Jaffray & Co.	2,250,000
BMO Capital Markets Corp.	1,200,000
Total	6,000,000

The underwriting agreement provides that the underwriters are obligated to purchase all the shares of common stock in the offering if any are purchased, other than those shares covered by the over-allotment option described below. The underwriting agreement also provides that if an underwriter defaults the purchase commitments of non-defaulting underwriters may be increased or the offering may be terminated.

We have granted to the underwriters a 30-day option to purchase on a pro rata basis up to 900,000 additional shares at the initial public offering price less the underwriting discounts and commissions. The option may be exercised only to cover any over-allotments of common stock.

The underwriters are offering the shares, subject to prior sale, when, as and if issued to and accepted by them, subject to approval of legal matters by their counsel including the validity of the shares, and subject to other conditions contained in the underwriting agreement, such as the receipt by the underwriters of officer's certificates and legal opinions. The offering of the shares by the underwriters is also subject to the underwriters' right to reject any order in whole or in part.

The underwriters propose to offer the shares of common stock initially at the public offering price on the cover page of this prospectus and to selling group members at that price less a selling concession of up to \$0.68 per share. After the initial public offering the representatives may change the public offering price and selling concession.

The following table summarizes the compensation we will pay:

	Per Share		Total	
	Without Over-allotment	With Over-allotment	Without Over-allotment	With Over-allotment
Underwriting discounts and commissions paid by us	\$ 1.12	\$ 1.12	\$ 6,720,000	\$ 7,728,000

We estimate that our out of pocket expenses for this offering (not including any underwriting discounts and commissions) will be approximately \$4 million. We have agreed to reimburse the underwriters for expenses of approximately \$50,000 related to the clearance of this offering with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, or FINRA.

The underwriters have informed us that they do not expect sales to accounts over which the underwriters have discretionary authority to exceed 5% of the shares of common stock being offered.

We have agreed that we will not offer, sell, contract to sell, pledge or otherwise dispose of, directly or indirectly, or file with the Securities and Exchange Commission a registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, relating to, any shares of our common stock or securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for any shares of our common stock, or publicly disclose the intention to make any offer, sale, pledge, disposition or filing, without the prior written consent of Cowen and Company, LLC and Piper Jaffray & Co. for a period of 180 days after the date of this prospectus, except (a) issuances pursuant to the conversion or exchange of convertible or exchangeable securities outstanding on the date hereof or the exercise of warrants or options outstanding on the date hereof, (b) grants of employee stock options

Table of Contents

pursuant to our existing plans or issuances pursuant to the exercise of such employee stock options and (c) issuances of securities in an aggregate amount not to exceed 5% of our outstanding capital stock immediately following the closing of this offering in connection with acquisitions of business, assets or technologies or in connection with strategic partnerships, license arrangements or collaborations, provided that recipients of these securities shall execute a lock-up agreement.

Our officers, directors and holders of substantially all of our outstanding equity securities have agreed that they will not offer, sell, contract to sell, pledge or otherwise dispose of, directly or indirectly, any shares of our common stock or securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for any shares of our common stock, enter into a transaction that would have the same effect, or enter into any swap, hedge or other arrangement that transfers, in whole or in part, any of the economic consequences of ownership of our common stock, whether any of these transactions are to be settled by delivery of our common stock or other securities, in cash or otherwise, or publicly disclose the intention to make any offer, sale, pledge or disposition, or to enter into any transaction, swap, hedge or other arrangement, without, in each case, the prior written consent of Cowen and Company, LLC and Piper Jaffray & Co. for a period of 180 days after the date of this prospectus. The restrictions described in this paragraph do not apply to transfers of securities (a) to a family member or trust for the direct or indirect benefit of a family member, (b) as a bona fide gift of gifts (c) by will or under the laws of descent, (d) to affiliates, limited partners, general partners, limited liability company members or stockholders of a security holder if such security holder is a partnership, limited liability company or corporation and (e) in connection with sales of securities acquired in open market transactions after the date of this prospectus, provided that, in the case of (a), (b), (c) and (d), the transferee agrees to be bound by the terms of the lock-up agreement and such transfer does not involve a disposition for value and, provided further that, in the case of (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e), no filing by any party under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), is required or voluntarily made in connection with such transfer (other than a filing on a Form 5 made after the expiration of the 180-day lock-up period). In addition, the restrictions described in this paragraph do not apply to (i) the sale of securities to the underwriters pursuant to the underwriting agreement and (ii) the establishment of a trading plan pursuant to Rule 10b5-1 of the Exchange Act, provided that no public announcement or filing under the Exchange Act regarding the establishment of such plan is required or voluntarily made during the 180-day lock-up period and no sales are made during the 180-day lock-up period.

We have agreed to indemnify the several underwriters against liabilities under the Securities Act, or contribute to payments that the underwriters may be required to make in that respect.

Our common stock has been approved for listing on The NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol RVNC.

Prior to the offering, there has been no public market for our common stock. The initial public offering price was determined through negotiations between us and the representatives. In determining the initial public offering price, we and the representatives considered a number of factors including:

the information set forth in this prospectus and otherwise available to the underwriters;

our prospects and the history and prospects for the industry in which we compete;

an assessment of our management;

our prospects for future earnings;

the recent market prices of, and demand for, publicly-traded common stock of generally comparable companies;

the general condition of the securities markets at the time of the offering; and

other factors deemed relevant by the underwriters and us.

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

Neither we nor the underwriters can assure investors that an active trading market will develop for our common stock, or that shares of our common stock will trade in the public market at or above the initial public offering price.

Table of Contents

In connection with the offering the underwriters may engage in stabilizing transactions, over-allotment transactions, syndicate covering transactions, penalty bids and passive market making in accordance with Regulation M under the Exchange Act.

Stabilizing transactions permit bids to purchase the underlying security so long as the stabilizing bids do not exceed a specified maximum.

Over-allotment transactions involve sales by the underwriters of shares in excess of the number of shares the underwriters are obligated to purchase, creating a syndicate short position. The short position may be either a covered short position or a naked short position. In a covered short position, the number of shares over-allotted by the underwriters is not greater than the number of shares that they may purchase in the over-allotment option. In a naked short position, the number of shares involved is greater than the number of shares in the over-allotment option. The underwriters may close out any covered short position by either exercising their over-allotment option and/or purchasing shares in the open market.

Syndicate covering transactions involve purchases of the common stock in the open market after the distribution has been completed in order to cover syndicate short positions. In determining the source of shares to close out the short position, the underwriters will consider, among other things, the price of shares available for purchase in the open market as compared to the price at which they may purchase shares through the over-allotment option. If the underwriters sell more shares than could be covered by the over-allotment option, or a naked short position, the position can only be closed out by buying shares in the open market. A naked short position is more likely to be created if the underwriters are concerned that there could be downward pressure on the price of the shares in the open market after pricing that could adversely affect investors who purchase in the offering.

Penalty bids permit the representatives to reclaim a selling concession from a syndicate member when the common stock originally sold by the syndicate member is purchased in a stabilizing or syndicate covering transaction to cover syndicate short positions.

In passive market making, market makers in the common stock who are underwriters or prospective underwriters may, subject to limitations, make bids for or purchases of our common stock until the time, if any, at which a stabilizing bid is made.

These stabilizing transactions, over-allotment transactions, syndicate covering transactions, penalty bids and passive market making may have the effect of raising or maintaining the market price of our common stock or preventing or retarding a decline in the market price of the common stock. As a result the price of our common stock may be higher than the price that might otherwise exist in the open market. These transactions may be effected on The NASDAQ Global Market or otherwise and, if commenced, may be discontinued at any time.

A prospectus in electronic format may be made available on the web sites maintained by one or more of the underwriters, or selling group members, if any, participating in this offering and one or more of the underwriters participating in this offering may distribute prospectuses electronically. The representatives may agree to allocate a number of shares to underwriters and selling group members for sale to their online brokerage account holders. Internet distributions will be allocated by the underwriters and selling group members that will make internet distributions on the same basis as other allocations.

Other Relationships

Certain of the underwriters and their affiliates have provided in the past to us and our affiliates and may provide from time to time in the future certain commercial banking, financial advisory, investment banking and other services for us and such affiliates in the ordinary course of their business, for which they have received and may continue to receive customary fees and commissions. In addition, from time to time, certain of the underwriters and their affiliates may effect transactions for their own account or the account of customers, and hold on behalf of themselves or their customers, long or short positions in our debt or equity securities or loans, and may do so in the future.

Table of Contents

SELLING RESTRICTIONS

Notice to Prospective Investors in the European Economic Area

In relation to each Member State of the European Economic Area which has implemented the Prospectus Directive (each, a Relevant Member State), each underwriter represents and agrees that with effect from and including the date on which the Prospectus Directive is implemented in that Relevant Member State, it has not made and will not make an offer of shares which are the subject of the offering contemplated by this prospectus to the public in that Relevant Member State other than:

- (a) to any legal entity which is a qualified investor as defined in the Prospectus Directive;
- (b) to fewer than 100 or, if the Relevant Member State has implemented the relevant provision of the 2010 PD Amending Directive, 150, natural or legal persons (other than qualified investors as defined in the Prospectus Directive), as permitted under the Prospectus Directive, subject to obtaining the prior consent of the representatives for any such offer; or
- (c) in any other circumstances falling within Article 3(2) of the Prospectus Directive, provided that no such offer of shares shall require us or any underwriter to publish a prospectus pursuant to Article 3 of the Prospectus Directive.

For the purposes of this provision, the expression an offer to the public in relation to any shares in any Relevant Member State means the communication in any form and by any means of sufficient information on the terms of the offer and the shares to be offered so as to enable an investor to decide to purchase or subscribe the shares, as the same may be varied in that Member State by any measure implementing the Prospectus Directive in that Member State, the expression Prospectus Directive means Directive 2003/71/EC (and amendments thereto, including the 2010 PD Amending Directive, to the extent implemented in the Relevant Member State), and includes any relevant implementing measure in the Relevant Member State and the expression 2010 PD Amending Directive means Directive 2010/73/EU.

Notice to Prospective Investors in the United Kingdom

Each of the underwriters severally represents, warrants and agrees as follows:

- (a) it has only communicated or caused to be communicated and will only communicate or cause to be communicated an invitation or inducement to engage in investment activity (within the meaning of Section 21 of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000, or FSMA) received by it in connection with the issue or sale of the shares in circumstances in which Section 21 of the FSMA does not apply to us; and
- (b) it has complied with, and will comply with, all applicable provisions of the FSMA with respect to anything done by it in relation to the shares in, from or otherwise involving the United Kingdom.

Notice to Prospective Investors in France

Neither this prospectus nor any other offering material relating to the shares described in this prospectus has been submitted to the clearance procedures of the *Autorité des Marchés Financiers* or of the competent authority of another member state of the European Economic Area and notified to the *Autorité des Marchés Financiers*. The shares have not been offered or sold and will not be offered or sold, directly or indirectly, to the public in France. Neither this prospectus nor any other offering material relating to the shares has been or will be:

- (a) released, issued, distributed or caused to be released, issued or distributed to the public in France; or

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

(b) used in connection with any offer for subscription or sale of the shares to the public in France.
Such offers, sales and distributions will be made in France only:

(a) to qualified investors (*investisseurs qualifiés*) and/or to a restricted circle of investors (*cercle restreint d investisseurs*), in each case investing for their own account, all as defined in, and in accordance with articles L.411-2, D.411-1, D.411-2, D.734-1, D.744-1, D.754-1 and D.764-1 of the French *Code monétaire et financier*;

Table of Contents

- (b) to investment services providers authorized to engage in portfolio management on behalf of third parties; or
- (c) in a transaction that, in accordance with article L.411-2-II-1^o-or-2^o-or 3^o of the French *Code monétaire et financier* and article 211-2 of the General Regulations (*Règlement Général*) of the *Autorité des Marchés Financiers*, does not constitute a public offer (*appel public à l'épargne*).

The shares may be resold, directly or indirectly, only in compliance with articles L.411-1, L.411-2, L.412-1 and L.621-8 through L.621-8-3 of the French *Code monétaire et financier*.

Notice to Prospective Investors in Hong Kong

The shares may not be offered or sold in Hong Kong by means of any document other than (i) in circumstances which do not constitute an offer to the public within the meaning of the Companies Ordinance (Cap. 32, Laws of Hong Kong), (ii) to professional investors within the meaning of the Securities and Futures Ordinance (Cap. 571, Laws of Hong Kong) and any rules made thereunder, or (iii) in other circumstances which do not result in the document being a prospectus within the meaning of the Companies Ordinance (Cap. 32, Laws of Hong Kong) and no advertisement, invitation or document relating to the shares may be issued or may be in the possession of any person for the purpose of issue (in each case whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere), which is directed at, or the contents of which are likely to be accessed or read by, the public in Hong Kong (except if permitted to do so under the laws of Hong Kong) other than with respect to shares which are or are intended to be disposed of only to persons outside Hong Kong or only to professional investors within the meaning of the Securities and Futures Ordinance (Cap. 571, Laws of Hong Kong) and any rules made thereunder.

Notice to Prospective Investors in Singapore

This prospectus has not been registered as a prospectus with the Monetary Authority of Singapore. Accordingly, this prospectus and any other document or material in connection with the offer or sale, or invitation for subscription or purchase, of the shares may not be circulated or distributed, nor may the shares be offered or sold, or be made the subject of an invitation for subscription or purchase, whether directly or indirectly, to persons in Singapore other than (i) to an institutional investor under Section 274 of the Securities and Futures Act, Chapter 289 of Singapore (the SFA), (ii) to a relevant person pursuant to Section 275(1), or any person pursuant to Section 275(1A), and in accordance with the conditions specified in Section 275 of the SFA or (iii) otherwise pursuant to, and in accordance with the conditions of, any other applicable provision of the SFA, in each case subject to compliance with conditions set forth in the SFA.

Where the shares are subscribed or purchased under Section 275 of the SFA by a relevant person which is:

- (a) a corporation (which is not an accredited investor (as defined in Section 4A of the SFA)) the sole business of which is to hold investments and the entire share capital of which is owned by one or more individuals, each of whom is an accredited investor; or
- (b) a trust (where the trustee is not an accredited investor) whose sole purpose is to hold investments and each beneficiary of the trust is an individual who is an accredited investor,
- shares, debentures and units of shares and debentures of that corporation or the beneficiaries' rights and interest (howsoever described) in that trust shall not be transferred within six months after that corporation or that trust has acquired the shares pursuant to an offer made under Section 275 of the SFA except:
- (a) to an institutional investor (for corporations, under Section 274 of the SFA) or to a relevant person defined in Section 275(2) of the SFA, or to any person pursuant to an offer that is made on terms that such shares, debentures and units of shares and debentures of that corporation or such rights and interest in that trust are acquired at a consideration of not less than S\$200,000 (or its equivalent in a foreign currency) for each transaction, whether such amount is to be paid for in cash or by exchange of securities or other assets, and further for corporations, in accordance with the conditions specified in Section 275 of the SFA;

- (b) where no consideration is or will be given for the transfer; or

- (c) where the transfer is by operation of law.

Table of Contents

LEGAL MATTERS

The validity of the shares of common stock offered hereby will be passed upon for us by Cooley LLP, Palo Alto, California. As of the date of this prospectus, Cooley LLP beneficially owns 1,666 shares of our common stock. Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP, Menlo Park, California is acting as counsel to the underwriters in connection with certain legal matters relating to the shares of common stock being offered by this prospectus.

EXPERTS

The consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and for the years then ended and for the periods from August 10, 1999 (date of inception) to December 31, 2012 for the statement of changes in convertible preferred stock and of stockholders deficit, included in this Prospectus and Registration Statement have been so included in reliance on the report (which contains an explanatory paragraph relating to the Company's ability to continue as a going concern as described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements) of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, given on the authority of said firm as experts in auditing and accounting.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We have filed with the SEC a registration statement on Form S-1 under the Securities Act with respect to the shares of our common stock offered under this prospectus. This prospectus, which constitutes part of the registration statement, does not contain all of the information set forth in the registration statement and the exhibits. For further information about us and our common stock, you should refer to the registration statement and the exhibits and schedules filed with the registration statement. With respect to the statements contained in this prospectus regarding the contents of any agreement or any other document, in each instance, the statement is qualified in all respects by the complete text of the agreement or document, a copy of which has been filed as an exhibit to the registration statement.

Upon the closing of this offering, we will be required to file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC pursuant to the Exchange Act. You may read and copy this information at the SEC at its public reference facilities located at 100 F Street N.E., Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549, at prescribed rates. You may obtain information on the operation of the public reference rooms by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains an Internet website that contains periodic reports, proxy statements and other information about issuers, like us, that file electronically with the SEC. The address of that site is www.sec.gov.

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

(A development stage company)

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
Consolidated Financial Statements:	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Loss</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Convertible Preferred Stock and of Stockholders' Deficit</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	F-12
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-14

F-1

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Revance Therapeutics, Inc.

(A development stage company)

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss, of changes in convertible preferred stock and stockholders' deficit and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Revance Therapeutics, Inc. and its subsidiary (a development stage company) at December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended and for the periods from August 10, 1999 (date of inception) to December 31, 2012 for the statement of changes in convertible preferred stock and stockholders' deficit, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), which require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has incurred losses from operations and negative cash flows from operations since their inception that raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 1. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

San Jose, California

April 19, 2013, except for the effects of the reverse stock split described in Note 21, as to which the date is February 3, 2014.

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Consolidated Balance Sheets****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

	As of December 31,		As of	Pro Forma
	2011	2012	September 30,	Stockholders Deficit
			2013	as of
			(Unaudited)	September 30,
				2013
				(Unaudited)
ASSETS				
CURRENT ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 29,621	\$ 4,083	\$ 1,909	
Restricted cash, current portion	75	75	75	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	423	1,247	1,046	
Total current assets	30,119	5,405	3,030	
Property and equipment, net	8,439	6,980	13,054	
Restricted cash, net of current portion	660	585	510	
Other non-current assets	710	453	2,326	
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 39,928	\$ 13,423	\$ 18,920	
LIABILITIES, CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK AND STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIT				
CURRENT LIABILITIES				
Accounts payable	\$ 777	\$ 1,805	\$ 10,054	
Accruals and other current liabilities	3,027	6,001	6,115	
Deferred revenue, current portion	500		167	
Derivative liabilities associated with convertible notes, current portion		1,800		
Derivative liabilities associated with Medicis settlement, current portion		12,880	7,069	
Capital leases, current portion	1,094	940	125	
Convertible notes, current portion		86,985		
Notes payable, current portion	3,457	7,524	8,145	
Total current liabilities	8,855	117,935	31,675	
Convertible preferred stock warrant liability	476	351	1,459	\$
Deferred revenue, net of current portion	10,000			
Capital lease, net of current portion	944	5		
Convertible notes, net of current portion and discount	45,062			
Note payable, net of current portion and discount	18,430	10,995	4,806	
Derivative liabilities associated with convertible notes, net of current portion	13,405			
Derivative liabilities associated with Medicis settlement, net of current portion		2,388	1,537	
Deferred rent	2,805	3,043	3,144	
TOTAL LIABILITIES	99,977	134,717	42,621	
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 11)				
Convertible preferred stock, par value \$0.001 per share	95,433	95,433	123,982	
27,598,825 shares authorized as of December 31, 2011, 2012 and 145,010,269 shares authorized as of September 30, 2013; 1,517,387, 1,517,387 and 8,689,999 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2011 and 2012 and September 30, 2013 (aggregate)				

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

liquidation preference of \$189,030 and \$215,264 as of December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2013) actual; no shares issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2013, pro forma (unaudited)

STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIT					
Common stock, par value \$0.001 per share 42,000,000 shares authorized as of December 31, 2011, 2012 and 221,000,000 shares authorized as of September 30, 2013; 198,502, 204,027 and 227,359 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2011, 2012 and September 30, 2013, actual; 9,700,976 shares issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2013, pro forma (unaudited)					10
Additional paid-in capital	4,585	1,599	38,118		163,549
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(160,067)	(218,326)	(185,801)		(185,801)
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIT	(155,482)	(216,727)	(147,683)	\$	(22,242)
TOTAL LIABILITIES, CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK AND STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIT	\$ 39,928	\$ 13,423	\$ 18,920		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-3

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Consolidated Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Loss****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

	Year Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		Cumulative Period from August 10, 1999 (Date of Inception) to September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)
	2011	2012	2012 (Unaudited)	2013 (Unaudited)	
Revenue	\$ 557	\$ 717	\$ 600	\$ 308	\$ 4,912
Cost of revenue	5				519
Gross profit	552	717	600	308	4,393
Operating expenses:					
Research and development	22,735	32,708	15,829	21,592	158,526
Sales, general and administrative	5,555	11,195	9,581	8,008	58,574
Total operating expenses	28,290	43,903	25,410	29,600	217,100
Loss from operations	(27,738)	(43,186)	(24,810)	(29,292)	(212,707)
Interest income	15	7	8	2	298
Interest expense	(17,790)	(28,959)	(19,250)	(13,466)	(67,561)
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities associated with the convertible notes	(356)	13,860	(3,338)	1,800	15,304
Changes in fair value of derivative liabilities associated with Medicis settlement				(265)	(265)
Change in fair value of convertible preferred stock warrant liability	836	125	117	(1,108)	(147)
Other income (expense), net	170	(106)	(85)	(40)	4,325
Loss before income taxes	(44,863)	(58,259)	(47,358)	(42,369)	(260,753)
Benefit from income taxes					58
Net loss	\$ (44,863)	\$ (58,259)	\$ (47,358)	\$ (42,369)	\$ (260,695)
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders:					
Basic	\$ (44,863)	\$ (58,259)	\$ (47,358)	\$ 733	
Diluted	\$ (44,863)	\$ (58,259)	\$ (47,358)	\$ 2,966	
Net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders:					
Basic	\$ (226.06)	\$ (290.48)	\$ (237.12)	\$ 3.40	
Diluted	\$ (226.06)	\$ (290.48)	\$ (237.12)	\$ 3.05	
Weighted-average number of shares used in computing net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders:					
Basic	198,456	200,560	199,719	215,315	
Diluted	198,456	200,560	199,719	971,472	

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

Pro forma net loss per share attributable to common stockholders, basic and diluted (unaudited) (Note 16)	\$ (27.20)	\$ (5.91)
---	------------	-----------

Weighted-average number of shares used in computing pro forma net loss per share of common stock, basic and diluted (unaudited) (Note 16)	2,146,617	7,176,794
---	-----------	-----------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-4

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.**

(A development stage company)

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Convertible Preferred Stock and of Stockholders Deficit**Period from August 10, 1999 (Date of Inception) to September 30, 2013**

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Convertible Preferred Stock			Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage	Total Stockholders Deficit
	Shares	Amount	Notes Receivable from Stockholders	Shares	Amount				
Balance August 10, 1999 (Date of Inception) and December 31, 2001		\$	\$		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Issuance of Series A convertible preferred stock for cash and services rendered at \$33.00 per share in July 2002	42,876	1,415	(25)						
Issuance of common stock at \$2.70 per share in 2002 for cash, intellectual property and services rendered				179,800		483			483
Net loss								(1,884)	(1,884)
Balance December 31, 2002	42,876	1,415	(25)	179,800		483		(1,884)	(1,401)
Stock-based compensation expense						9			9
Issuance of Series A convertible preferred stock for cash at \$33.00 per share in February 2003	11,851	391							
Issuance of common stock at \$2.70 per share in 2002 for cash and intellectual property in June 2003				2,400		6			6
Repayment of notes receivable from stockholders			25						
Net loss								(1,180)	(1,180)
Balance December 31, 2003	54,727	1,806		182,200		498		(3,064)	(2,566)
Stock-based compensation expense						8			8

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.**

(A development stage company)

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Convertible Preferred Stock and of Stockholders Deficit**Period from August 10, 1999 (Date of Inception) to September 30, 2013 (Continued)****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

	Convertible Preferred Stock			Common Stock		Other Comprehensive Additional Income Capital (Loss)	Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage	Total Stockholders Deficit
	Shares	Amount	Notes Receivable from Stockholders	Shares	Amount			
Issuance of Series B-1 convertible preferred stock for cash, conversion of the convertible note and related interest at \$35.40 per share in April 2004, net of issuance costs of \$140	199,224	6,837						
Issuance of Series B-1 convertible preferred stock warrants in connection with conversion of convertible note						15		15
Issuance of Series B-1 convertible preferred stock warrants in lieu of future capital advances extended to the Company						11		11
Net loss							(2,534)	(2,534)
Balance December 31, 2004	253,951	8,643		182,200	532		(5,598)	(5,066)
Issuance of Series B-2 convertible preferred stock for cash at \$46.35 per share in March 2005, net of issuance costs of \$20	134,843	6,230						
Unrealized loss on marketable securities						(3)		(3)
Net loss							(5,004)	(5,004)
Balance December 31, 2005	388,794	14,873		182,200	532	(3)	(10,602)	(10,073)
Stock-based compensation expense					75			75

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.**

(A development stage company)

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Convertible Preferred Stock and of Stockholders' Deficit**Period from August 10, 1999 (Date of Inception) to September 30, 2013 (Continued)**

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Convertible Preferred Stock			Common Stock		Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage	Total Stockholders' Deficit
	Shares	Amount	Notes Receivable from Stockholders	Shares	Amount			
Reclassification of convertible preferred stock warrants to liabilities						(26)		(26)
Realized loss on marketable securities						3		3
Net loss							(9,378)	(9,378)
Balance December 31, 2006	388,794	14,873		182,200		581	(19,980)	(19,399)
Stock-based compensation expense						198		198
Exercise of stock options at \$6.60 per share				228		2		2
Issuance of Series C-1 convertible preferred stock upon conversion of convertible debt and related interest at \$63.75 per share in December 2007, net of issuance costs of \$1,103	279,425	16,710	(15)					
Issuance of Series C-2 convertible preferred stock for cash at \$82.50 per share in December 2007, net of issuance costs of \$328	64,242	4,972						
Issuance of Series C-3 convertible preferred stock for cash at \$138.00 per share in December 2007, net of issuance costs of \$1,132	144,927	15,452				1,708		1,708
Net loss							(19,965)	(19,965)

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.**

(A development stage company)

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Convertible Preferred Stock and of Stockholders Deficit**Period from August 10, 1999 (Date of Inception) to September 30, 2013 (Continued)**

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Convertible Preferred Stock			Common Stock		Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage	Total Stockholders Deficit
	Shares	Amount	Notes Receivable from Stockholders	Shares	Amount			
Balance December 31, 2007	877,388	52,007	(15)	182,428		2,489	(39,945)	(37,456)
Stock-based compensation expense						404		404
Exercise of stock options at \$6.60 per share				638		4		4
Issuance of Series C-2 convertible preferred stock for cash at \$82.50 per share in July 2008, net of issuance costs of \$600	96,969	7,400						
Net loss							(21,966)	(21,966)
Balance December 31, 2008	974,357	59,407	(15)	183,066		2,897	(61,911)	(59,014)
Stock-based compensation expense						391		391
Exercise of stock options at \$11.10 per share				8,634		96		96
Issuance of Series D convertible preferred stock for cash at \$66.75 per share and upon conversion of convertible debt and related interest at \$66.75 per share in December 2009, net of issuance costs of \$172	383,851	25,450	(6)					
Repayment of notes receivable from stockholders				15				
Net loss							(24,064)	(24,064)

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.**

(A development stage company)

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Convertible Preferred Stock and of Stockholders Deficit**Period from August 10, 1999 (Date of Inception) to September 30, 2013 (Continued)**

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Convertible Preferred Stock			Common Stock		Other Comprehensive Additional Paid-In Capital	Income (Loss)	Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage	Total Stockholders Deficit
	Shares	Amount	Notes Receivable from Stockholders	Shares	Amount				
Balance December 31, 2009	1,358,208	84,857	(6)	191,700		3,384		(85,975)	(82,591)
Stock-based compensation expense						455			455
Exercise of stock options at \$2.70 per share				3,466		10			10
Issuance of Series D convertible preferred stock for cash at \$66.75 per share, net of issuance costs of \$39	159,023	10,576							
Repayment of notes receivable from stockholders			6						
Net loss								(29,229)	(29,229)
Balance December 31, 2010	1,517,231	95,433		195,166		3,849		(115,204)	(111,355)
Stock-based compensation expense						273			273
Issuance of Series B-1 convertible preferred stock upon the net exercise of warrants	150								
Issuance of common stock subject to repurchase in August 2010 for \$17.40 per share				3,333					
Common stock warrants issued in connection with convertible notes (January through June)						463			463
Net loss								(44,863)	(44,863)

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.**

(A development stage company)

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Convertible Preferred Stock and of Stockholders Deficit**Period from August 10, 1999 (Date of Inception) to September 30, 2013 (Continued)**

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Convertible Preferred Stock			Common Stock		Other Comprehensive Additional Income (Loss)	Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage	Total Stockholders Deficit
	Shares	Amount	Notes Receivable from Stockholders	Shares	Amount			
Balance December 31, 2011	1,517,381	95,433		198,499		4,585	(160,067)	(155,482)
Stock-based compensation expense						79		79
Issuance of common stock warrants in connection with convertible notes (September through December)						153		153
Exercise of stock options at \$2.55 per share				2,530		6		6
Exercise of common stock warrants at \$0.15 per share				2,995		1		1
Series C-3 convertible preferred stock modification						(3,225)		(3,225)
Net loss							(58,259)	(58,259)
Balance December 31, 2012	1,517,381	95,433		204,024		1,599	(218,326)	(216,727)
Stock-based compensation expense (unaudited)						346		346
Conversion of Series A and B convertible preferred stock into Series E-1 convertible preferred stock (unaudited)			(11,256)				11,256	11,256
Conversion of Series C convertible preferred stock into Series E-2 convertible preferred stock (unaudited)			(39,000)				39,000	39,000
Conversion of Series D convertible preferred stock into Series E-3 convertible preferred stock (unaudited)	607,476	(24,638)					24,638	24,638

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.**

(A development stage company)

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Convertible Preferred Stock and of Stockholders Deficit**Period from August 10, 1999 (Date of Inception) to September 30, 2013 (Continued)****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

	Convertible Preferred Stock			Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage	Total Stockholders Deficit
	Shares	Amount	Notes Receivable from Stockholders	Shares	Amount				
Conversion of Convertible Notes into Series E-4 convertible preferred stock (unaudited)	4,748,484	66,954				32,008			32,008
Issuance of Series E-5 convertible preferred stock for cash at \$22.50 per share in February through May 2013, net of issuance costs of \$64 (unaudited)	1,810,441	36,375							
Issuance of Series E-5 convertible preferred stock as a deemed dividend (unaudited)	7,911	177				(177)			(177)
Issuance of common stock warrants in connection with Series E-5 convertible preferred stock financing (unaudited)						4,272			4,272
Expiration of note payable from stockholder, Series E-1 (unaudited)	(1,694)	(63)				63			63
Exercise of stock options at \$2.55 per share (unaudited)				1,842		4			4
Exercise of common stock warrants at \$0.15 per share (unaudited)				21,493		3			3
Net loss (unaudited)								(42,369)	(42,369)
Balance September 30, 2013 (unaudited)	8,689,999	\$ 123,982	\$	227,359	\$	\$ 38,118	\$	\$ (185,801)	\$ (147,683)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(In thousands)**

	Year Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		Cumulative Period from August 10, 1999 (Date of Inception) to September 30, 2013
	2011	2012	2012 (Unaudited)	2013	September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Net loss	\$ (44,863)	\$ (58,259)	\$ (47,358)	\$ (42,369)	\$ (260,695)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization	1,983	1,777	1,330	1,385	8,415
Amortization of discount on debt and capital leases	4,904	7,427	4,930	2,862	18,595
Amortization of debt issuance cost	230	300	225	179	831
Revaluation of convertible preferred stock warrant liability	(836)	(125)	(117)	(60)	(5,017)
Revaluation of derivative liabilities associated with convertible notes	356	(13,860)	3,338	(1,800)	(15,304)
Revaluation of derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement				265	265
Convertible preferred stock warrant modification remeasurement adjustment				1,168	1,168
Stock-based compensation expense	273	79	66	346	2,238
Interest on convertible notes converted to convertible preferred stock	9,606	18,830	12,232	9,178	38,479
Capitalized interest				(103)	(103)
Modification of Series C-3 convertible preferred stock in accordance with Medicis settlement agreement		(3,225)			(3,225)
Derivative liabilities recognized as result of Medicis settlement agreement		15,268			15,268
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle					(8)
Realized loss on sale of short-term investments					3
Gain on sale lease back transactions					(258)
Loss on sale of fixed assets					145
Write-off of technology					485
Issuance of common stock for services rendered					4
Issuance of Series A convertible preferred stock for services rendered					166
Issuance of convertible preferred stock warrants to a service provider					598
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:					
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(370)	(1,125)	(135)	201	(1,752)
Other non-current assets	(592)	257		(304)	(705)
Accounts payable	(636)	1,028	972	5,168	6,973
Accruals and other current liabilities	1,510	2,976	1,170	(3,236)	2,822
Payments against Medicis liabilities				(6,927)	(6,127)
Deferred rent	772	238	179	101	3,144
Deferred revenue	(750)	(10,500)	(375)	167	167
Net cash used in operating activities	(28,413)	(38,914)	(23,543)	(33,779)	(194,228)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Purchases of property and equipment	(150)	(319)	(288)	(2,672)	(16,625)
Change in restricted cash	75	75	75	75	(585)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment					54
Proceeds from sale leaseback transactions					3,385
Purchase of short-term investments					(2,268)
Sales and maturities of short-term investments					2,265
Net cash used in investing activities	(75)	(244)	(213)	(2,597)	(13,774)

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Continued)****(In thousands)**

	Year Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		Cumulative Period from August 10, 1999 (Date of Inception) to September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)
	2011	2012	2012 (Unaudited)	2013	
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Proceeds from issuance of convertible notes and notes payable	67,150	18,170	5,737		104,320
Principal payments made on capital leases	(1,006)	(1,154)	(854)	(858)	(3,256)
Principal payments made on notes payable	(12,077)	(3,403)	(1,634)	(5,594)	(27,996)
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options, net of repurchases		6	6	4	121
Proceeds from the exercise of common stock warrants		1	1	3	4
Proceeds from issuance of convertible preferred stock, net				40,647	40,647
Proceeds from convertible Series B, C, and D convertible notes					73,007
Proceeds from convertible Series B bridge loan					495
Proceeds from convertible Series C bridge loan					16,936
Proceeds from convertible Series D bridge loan					5,612
Repayments on notes receivable from stockholders					21
Proceeds from capital equipment loan					413
Repayments on capital equipment loan					(413)
Net cash provided by financing activities	54,067	13,620	3,256	34,202	209,911
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	25,579	(25,538)	(20,500)	(2,174)	1,909
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS Beginning of period	4,042	29,621	29,621	4,083	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS End of period	\$ 29,621	\$ 4,083	\$ 9,121	\$ 1,909	\$ 1,909
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:					
Cash paid for interest	\$ 3,112	\$ 2,302	\$ 1,782	\$ 1,318	\$ 8,122
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING INFORMATION:					
Fair value in excess of debt host for derivative liabilities associated with convertible notes	\$ 13,049	\$ 2,255	\$	\$	\$ 15,304
Capital contribution on the extinguishment of the prior convertible preferred stock	\$	\$	\$	\$ 74,894	\$ 74,894
Capital contribution on the extinguishment of the Convertible Notes	\$	\$	\$	\$ 32,008	\$ 32,008
Deemed dividend on issuance of Series E-5 convertible preferred stock	\$	\$	\$	\$ 177	\$ 177
Issuance of common stock warrants	\$ 463	\$ 153	\$	\$ 4,272	\$ 4,888
Property and equipment purchases included in accounts payable and accruals and other current liabilities	\$	\$	\$	\$ 4,683	\$ 4,683
Rescission of note receivable from stockholder	\$ 60	\$	\$	\$	\$ 60
Issuance of convertible preferred stock warrants	\$ 121	\$	\$	\$	\$ 5,316
Reclassification of convertible preferred stock warrants to liabilities	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 18
Additions of property and equipment under capital lease obligations	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 3,338
Conversion of convertible notes and interest into Series B-1, C-1 and D convertible preferred stock	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 23,962
Deferred initial public offering costs	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,748	\$ 1,748

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

(A development stage company)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2011 and 2012, for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013, and for the

Cumulative Period from August 10, 1999 (Date of Inception) to September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

1. The Company and Basis of Presentation

Revance Therapeutics, Inc. (the Company) was incorporated in Delaware on August 10, 1999 under the name Essentia Biosystems, Inc. The Company commenced operations in June 2002 and on April 19, 2005, changed its name to Revance Therapeutics, Inc. The Company is a clinical stage specialty biopharmaceutical company focused on the development, manufacturing and commercialization of novel botulinum toxin products for multiple aesthetic and therapeutic applications. Botulinum toxin is a well-characterized protein currently used in numerous aesthetic and therapeutic indications representing a multi-billion dollar market in the United States and other countries. All currently approved and commercially available botulinum toxin products are administered by injection. The Company's lead product candidate, RT001, is a topical formulation of botulinum toxin type A, which is believed to have significant advantages over existing injectable products and could significantly grow the botulinum toxin market. The Company's second product candidate, RT002, is a novel injectable formulation of botulinum toxin type A designed to be more targeted and longer lasting than currently available botulinum toxin injectable products. These product candidates combine the Company's purified botulinum toxin with the Company's proprietary peptide delivery system. The Company owns the worldwide rights to both of its product candidates.

Since commencing operations in 2002, the Company has devoted substantially all of its efforts identifying and developing product candidates for the aesthetics and therapeutic markets, recruiting personnel and raising capital. The Company has devoted predominantly all of its resources to the preclinical and clinical development of, and manufacturing capabilities for, RT001 and RT002. The Company has never been profitable and has not yet commenced commercial operations. Accordingly, the Company is considered to be in the development stage.

In August 2011, the Company entered into an agreement to sell the business related to its Relastin product line, to Precision Dermatology, Inc. (PDI). In consideration for this sale, Revance received an upfront payment of \$50,000 and the right to receive royalties and milestone payments based on future sales of Relastin products by PDI. In accordance with the agreement, the Company will receive royalties equal to at least \$300,000 per year per the minimum royalty requirements included within the agreement or an amount equal to the actual royalty based sales of Relastin if greater than the minimum royalty requirements for a period up to fifteen years from the date of the agreement.

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going-concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. As a development stage company, with limited commercial operating history, the Company is subject to all of the risks and expenses associated with a start-up company. The Company must among other things, respond to competitive developments, attract, retain and motivate qualified personnel and support the expense of marketing new products based on innovative technology. The Company has incurred operating losses and negative cash flow from operations in each year since inception. The Company has a limited operating history upon which you can evaluate its business and prospects. The Company has not generated significant revenue from product sales to date and will continue to incur significant research and development and other expenses related to its ongoing operations. The Company has recorded net losses of \$44.9 million, \$58.3 million, \$47.4 million and \$42.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013, and had an accumulated deficit during the development stage to September 30, 2013 of \$185.8 million and a net working capital deficit of \$28.6 million as of September 30, 2013. The Company has funded its operations primarily through the sale and issuance of convertible preferred stock, notes payable and convertible notes. As of September 30, 2013, the Company had capital resources consisting of cash and cash equivalents of \$1.9 million. In order to continue its operations, the Company must raise additional equity or debt financings and

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

(A development stage company)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

achieve profitable operations. Although management has been successful in raising capital in the past, most recently in February, March, April, May, October, November and December 2013 and January 2014 (see Note 20. Subsequent Events (Unaudited)), there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain additional equity or debt financing on terms acceptable to the Company, or at all. The failure to obtain sufficient funds on acceptable terms when needed could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations, future cash flows and financial condition. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management is currently pursuing financing alternatives. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements of the Company include the Company's accounts and those of the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary and have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (US GAAP). All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated during consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with US GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Such management estimates include the fair value of common stock, stock-based compensation, fair value of convertible preferred stock and warrants, fair value of derivatives, and the valuation of deferred tax assets. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and also on assumptions that it believes are reasonable, however, actual results could significantly differ from those estimates.

Unaudited Interim Financial Information

The consolidated interim balance sheet as of September 30, 2013 and the consolidated interim statements of operations and comprehensive loss and cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013 and the consolidated interim statements of changes in convertible preferred stock and of stockholders' deficit for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 are unaudited. The unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as the annual consolidated financial statements and, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments, which include only normal recurring adjustments, necessary to state fairly the Company's financial position as of September 30, 2013. The financial data and the other financial information disclosed in the notes to these consolidated financial statements related to the nine month periods are also unaudited. The results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2013 or for any other future year or period.

Unaudited Pro Forma Stockholders' Deficit

The unaudited pro forma stockholders' deficit has been prepared assuming immediately upon the closing of the Company's initial public offering: (i) the conversion of all outstanding shares of convertible preferred stock into shares of common stock and the automatic exercise of outstanding common stock warrants, assuming net share exercise for 752,849 shares of common stock and cash exercise for 30,769 shares of common stock, and (ii) the related reclassification of the convertible preferred stock warrant liability to common stock and additional paid-in-capital. The unaudited pro forma stockholders' deficit does not assume the issuance and conversion of

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

(A development stage company)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

convertible notes issued by the Company in the fourth quarter of 2013 and January 2014 nor the issuance and exercise of common stock warrants issued in connection with those convertible notes nor the issuance and exercise of the warrants issuable in connection with the Company's loan and lease agreement with Essex Capital Corporation, or the Essex Capital Facility. The unaudited pro forma stockholders' deficit does not assume any proceeds from the proposed initial public offering.

Risks and Uncertainties

The product candidates developed by the Company require approvals from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) or foreign regulatory agencies prior to commercial sales. There can be no assurance that the Company's current and future product candidates will receive the necessary approvals. If the Company is denied approval or approval is delayed, it may have a material adverse impact on the Company's business and its consolidated financial statements.

The Company is subject to risks common to companies in the development stage including, but not limited to, dependency on the clinical and commercial success of its product candidates, ability to obtain regulatory approval of its product candidates, the need for substantial additional financing to achieve its goals, uncertainty of board adoption of its approved products, if any, by physicians and consumers, significant competition and untested manufacturing capabilities.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to a concentration of credit risk consist of cash and cash equivalents. The Company's cash and cash equivalents are held by a single financial institution and all cash is held in the United States of America. Such deposits may, at times, exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses on its deposits of cash and cash equivalents.

Cash and Cash Equivalents and Short-Term Investments

The Company considers all highly liquid investment securities with remaining maturities at the date of purchase of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents include deposit and money market accounts.

Investments with remaining maturities, at the date of purchase, greater than three months, but less than one year are considered short-term. The Company determines the appropriate classification of marketable securities at the time of purchase and evaluates such designation as of each balance sheet date. To date, all marketable securities have been classified as available-for-sale and are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses, if any, included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss in stockholders' deficit. Interest and realized gains and losses are included in interest income. Realized gains and losses are recognized based on the specific identification method.

Restricted Cash

Deposits of \$735,000, \$660,000 and \$585,000 were restricted from withdrawal as of December 31, 2011, 2012 and September 30, 2013. The restriction is related to securing the Company's facility lease and expires in 2022 in accordance with the operating lease agreement. The restrictions on these balances are being released at a rate of \$75,000 per year. These balances are included in restricted cash on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain financial and non-financial assets and to determine fair value disclosures. The accounting standards define fair value, establish a

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

(A development stage company)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

framework for measuring fair value, and require disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities required to be recorded at fair value, the principal or most advantageous market in which the Company would transact are considered along with assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability, such as inherent risk, transfer restrictions, and risk of nonperformance. The accounting standard for fair value establishes a fair value hierarchy based on three levels of inputs, the first two of which are considered observable and the last unobservable, that requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. A financial instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value are as follows:

Level 1 Observable inputs, such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 Valuations based on unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology and including data about assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability based on the best information available under the circumstances.

The Company did not have any Level 1 or Level 2 financial instruments carried at fair value as of December 31, 2011, 2012 or September 30, 2013. The Company's Level 3 instruments consist of the Company's convertible preferred stock warrant liabilities, derivative liabilities associated with the convertible notes and derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement.

Property and Equipment, Net

Property and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which is generally three years. Maintenance and repairs that do not extend the life or improve an asset are expensed in the period incurred.

Leasehold improvements are amortized over the lesser of their useful life or the term of the lease. Maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred. When assets are retired or otherwise disposed of, the costs and accumulated depreciation are removed from the consolidated balance sheets and any resulting gain or loss is reflected in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss in the period realized.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company evaluates its long-lived assets for indications of possible impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability is measured by comparison of the carrying amounts to the future undiscounted cash flows, attributable to these assets. Should impairment exist, the impairment would be measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the projected discounted future cash flows arising from those assets. There have been no such impairments of long-lived assets as of December 31, 2011, 2012 and September 30, 2013 and the cumulative period from August 10, 1999 (Date of Inception) to September 30, 2013.

Clinical Trial Accruals

The Company's clinical trial accrual process seeks to account for expenses resulting from obligations under contracts with clinical research organizations (CROs) and consultants, and under clinical site agreements in

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

(A development stage company)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

connection with conducting clinical trials. Clinical trial costs are charged to research and development expense as incurred. The financial terms of these contracts are subject to negotiations, which vary from contract to contract and may result in payment flows that do not match the periods over which materials or services are provided to the Company under such contracts. The Company's objective is to reflect the appropriate trial expense in the consolidated financial statements by matching the appropriate expenses with the period in which services and efforts are expended. In the event advance payments are made to a CRO, the payments will be recorded as a prepaid asset which will be amortized over the period of time the contracted services are performed. In addition to pass-through costs, the Company incurs costs in clinical trials in three distinct phases as follows:

- (i) **Start-up Phase** This phase includes the initial set-up of the clinical trial and usually occurs within a few months after the contract has been executed and includes costs which are expensed ratably over the start-up phase. Start-up phase activities include study initiation, site recruitment, regulatory applications, investigator meetings, screening, preparation, pre-study visits and training.
- (ii) **Site and Study Management Phase** This phase includes medical and safety monitoring, and patient administration and data management. These costs are usually calculated on a per patient basis and expensed ratably over the treatment period beginning on the date that the patient enrolls.
- (iii) **Close Down and Reporting Phase** This phase includes analyzing the data obtained and reporting results, which occurs after patients have ceased treatment and the database of information collected is locked. These costs are expensed ratably over the close down and reporting phase.

The CRO contracts generally include pass-through fees including, but not limited to, regulatory expenses, investigator fees, travel costs and other miscellaneous costs, including shipping and printing fees. The Company determines accrual estimates through reports from and discussion with applicable personnel and outside services providers as to the progress or state of completion of trials, or the services completed. The Company makes estimates of accrued expenses as of each balance sheet date in the consolidated financial statements based on the facts and circumstances known to the Company at that time. The Company's clinical trial accrual is dependent, in part, upon the receipt of timely and accurate reporting from the CROs and other third party vendors.

Revenue

The Company recognizes revenue when the following criteria are met: persuasive evidence of a sales arrangement exists; delivery has occurred; the price is fixed or determinable; and collectability is reasonably assured.

During the years ended December 31, 2006 to December 31, 2011, the Company generated limited revenue from the promotion and sale of Relastin, an over-the-counter topical cream which increases the content of elastin in the skin, resulting in fewer wrinkles and firmer skin. In August 2011, the Company entered into an asset purchase agreement for the sale of the Relastin product line for \$50,000 and royalties on future sales of Relastin. Accordingly, the Company recognized royalty revenue during the year ended December 31, 2012 of \$300,000 under the Relastin asset purchase agreement.

License revenue during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2012 resulted from a nonrefundable technology license fee which was deferred and recognized over the estimated period of performance. The Company estimated the performance period as the remaining life of the underlying patent at the inception of the license agreement, which was periodically reevaluated. License revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 resulted from a nonrefundable technology access fee pursuant to an exclusive technology evaluation agreement. The Company received an upfront payment of \$0.3 million, which was deferred and is being recognized over the estimated performance period.

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

(A development stage company)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Research and Development Expenditures

Research and development costs are charged to operations as incurred. Research and development costs include, but are not limited to, payroll and personnel expenses, clinical trial supplies, fees for clinical trial services, consulting costs and allocated overhead, including rent, equipment, depreciation and utilities. Research and development costs during the year ended December 31, 2012 also included the fair value of technology rights returned to the Company as a result of the Medicis settlement (Note 4).

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method. The Company estimates actual current tax exposure together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differences in accounting for reporting purposes and tax purposes for certain items, such as accruals and allowances not currently deductible for tax purposes. These temporary differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included in the Company's consolidated balance sheets. In general, deferred tax assets represent future tax benefits to be received when certain expenses previously recognized in the Company's consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss become deductible expenses under applicable income tax laws or when net operating loss or credit carryforwards are utilized. Accordingly, realization of the Company's deferred tax assets is dependent on future taxable income against which these deductions, losses and credits can be utilized.

The Company must assess the likelihood that the Company's deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income, and to the extent the Company believes that recovery is not likely, the Company establishes a valuation allowance. Based on the available evidence, the Company is unable, at this time, to support the determination that it is more likely than not that its deferred tax assets will be utilized in the future. Accordingly, the Company recorded a full valuation allowance as of December 31, 2011, 2012 and September 30, 2013. The Company intends to maintain valuation allowances until sufficient evidence exists to support its reversal.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company maintains performance incentive plans under which incentive stock options and nonqualified stock options may be granted to employees and nonemployee consultants.

For stock options granted to employees, the Company recognizes compensation expense for all stock-based awards based on the grant-date estimated fair values, net of an estimated forfeiture rate. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense ratably over the requisite service period. The fair value of stock options is determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Company estimates its forfeiture rate based on an analysis of its actual forfeitures and will continue to evaluate the adequacy of the forfeiture rate assumption based on actual forfeitures, analysis of employee turnover, and other related factors.

Stock-based compensation expense related to stock options granted to nonemployees is recognized based on the fair value of the stock options, determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, as they are earned. The awards generally vest over the time period the Company expects to receive services from the nonemployee.

Convertible Preferred Stock Warrants

The Company accounts for warrants to purchase shares of its convertible preferred stock that are contingently redeemable as liabilities at their estimated fair value because these warrants may obligate the Company to transfer assets to the holders at a future date under certain circumstances, such as a deemed liquidation event. The warrants are subject to remeasurement to fair value at each balance sheet date, and any fair value adjustments are recognized as change in fair value of convertible preferred stock warrant liability in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss. The Company will continue to adjust the liability

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

(A development stage company)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

for changes in fair value until the earlier of the exercise or expiration of the convertible preferred stock warrants, conversion of convertible preferred stock into common stock, or until holders of the convertible preferred stock can no longer trigger a deemed liquidation event. At that time, the convertible preferred stock warrant liability will be adjusted to fair value in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss with the final fair value reclassified to additional paid-in capital.

Derivative Liabilities

The Company has outstanding derivative instruments related to redemption and conversion features embedded within outstanding convertible note and other derivative instruments related to payment provisions underlying the Medicis settlement. These derivatives are accounted for as liabilities which will be remeasured to fair value as of each balance sheet date and the related remeasurement adjustments will be recognized in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss. The derivative liabilities associated with these convertible notes are no longer outstanding due to the conversion of the related convertible notes in March 2013. The Company will continue to record adjustments to the fair value of the derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement until the related settlement payments have been paid.

Multiple Element Arrangements

The Company records arrangements with multiple deliverables based on the individual units of accounting determined to exist in the arrangement. A deliverable item is considered a separate unit of accounting when the item has value to the parties entering into the arrangement on a stand-alone basis, the delivery or performance of an undelivered item is considered probable and under the Company's control or represents a legal obligation to the Company. Items are considered to have stand-alone value when the Company could negotiate similar items on a stand-alone basis. When a deliverable does not meet the criteria to be considered a separate unit of accounting, the Company groups it with other deliverables that, when combined, meet the criteria, and the appropriate allocation of arrangement consideration is determined. Consideration is allocated at the inception of the contract to all deliverables based on their relative fair values.

Comprehensive Loss

Comprehensive loss is defined as a change in equity of a business enterprise during a period, resulting from transactions from non-owner sources. There have been no material items qualifying as other comprehensive loss and, therefore, for all periods presented, the Company's comprehensive loss was the same as its reported net loss.

Net Income (Loss) per Share Attributable to Common Stockholders

The Company calculates its basic and diluted net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders in conformity with the two-class method required for companies with participating securities. Under the two-class method, the Company determines whether it has net income attributable to common stockholders, which includes the results of operations, capital contributions and deemed dividends less current period convertible preferred stock non-cumulative dividends. If it is determined that the Company does have net income attributable to common stockholders during a period, the related undistributed earnings are then allocated between common stock and the convertible preferred stock based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period to determine the numerator for the basic net income per share attributable to common stockholders. In computing diluted net income attributable to common stockholders, undistributed earnings are re-allocated to reflect the potential impact of dilutive securities to determine the numerator for the diluted net income per share attributable to common stockholders. The Company's basic net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders is calculated by dividing the net income (loss) by the weighted

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

(A development stage company)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

average number of shares of common stock outstanding for the period. The diluted net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders is computed by giving effect to all potential dilutive common stock equivalents outstanding for the period. For purposes of this calculation, options to purchase common stock and common stock warrants are considered common stock equivalents.

Unaudited Pro Forma Net Loss per Share Attributable to Common Stockholders

The unaudited pro forma basic and diluted net loss per share attributable to common stockholders has been computed to give effect to the conversion upon the closing of the initial public offering of the convertible preferred stock into common stock and the automatic exercise of outstanding common stock warrants, assuming net share exercise for 752,849 shares of common stock and cash exercise for 30,769 shares of common stock, as of the beginning of the period or the issuance date, if later. Also, the numerator in the pro forma basic and diluted net loss per share calculation has been adjusted to remove the gains and losses resulting from the re-measurement of the convertible preferred stock warrant liability as these amounts will be reclassified to additional paid-in capital upon the closing of the initial public offering of the Company's common stock. The unaudited pro forma basic and diluted net loss per share does not assume the issuance and conversion of convertible notes issued by the Company in the fourth quarter of 2013 and January 2014 nor the issuance and exercise of common stock warrants issued in connection with those convertible notes nor the issuance and exercise of the warrants issuable in connection with the Essex Capital Facility.

Segment Information

Management has determined that the Company operates as one reportable and operating segment which is the treatment of therapeutic and aesthetic conditions. The chief executive officer, who is the Company's chief operating decision maker, reviews financial information on an aggregate basis for purposes of allocating resources and evaluating financial performance. The Company has only had limited revenue since its inception, but all of it was derived in the United States and all of the Company's long-lived assets are maintained in the United States. Also, the Company manages its operations as a single operating segment.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, (FASB) issued authoritative guidance that addresses the presentation of comprehensive income for annual reporting of financial statements was issued. The guidance is intended to improve the comparability, consistency and transparency of financial reporting and to increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income by eliminating the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. Such changes in the stockholders' equity will be required to be disclosed in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2012, and should be applied retrospectively for all periods presented. Early adoption is permitted. This new guidance impacts how the Company reports comprehensive income only, and did not have any effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position or liquidity upon its required adoption on January 1, 2012.

Additionally, in May 2011, updated authoritative guidance to amend existing requirements for fair value measurements and disclosures was issued. The guidance expands the disclosure requirements around fair value measurements categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy and requires disclosure of the level in the fair value hierarchy of items that are not measured at fair value but whose fair value must be disclosed. It also clarifies and expands upon existing requirements for fair value measurements of financial assets and liabilities as well as instruments classified in stockholders' equity. The guidance was effective for the year ended December 31, 2012 and was applied prospectively. This new guidance impacts how the Company reports on fair

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

(A development stage company)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

value measurements only, and had no effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position or liquidity upon the Company's adoption on January 1, 2012.

In April 2011, the FASB issued new accounting guidance relating to the accounting for repurchase agreements and other agreements that both entitle and obligate a transferor to repurchase or redeem financial assets before their maturity. The guidance addresses effective control in repurchase agreements and eliminates the requirement for entities to consider whether the transferor (i.e., seller) has the ability to repurchase the financial assets in a repurchase agreement. This new accounting guidance will be effective, on a prospective basis, for new transactions or modifications to existing transactions on January 1, 2012. The adoption of this new guidance did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard update requiring enhanced disclosure about certain financial instruments and derivative instruments that are offset in the balance sheet or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The disclosure requirement becomes effective retrospectively in the first quarter of the Company's fiscal year beginning on January 1, 2013. The Company does not expect that the requirement will have an impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows as it is disclosure-only in nature.

In February 2013, the FASB issued guidance which addresses the presentation of amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income. This guidance does not change current financial reporting requirements, instead an entity is required to cross-reference to other required disclosures that provide additional detail about amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. In addition, the guidance requires an entity to present significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by line item of net income if the amount reclassified is required to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. Adoption of this standard is required for periods beginning after December 15, 2012 for public companies. This new guidance impacts how the Company reports comprehensive income only, and will have no effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position or liquidity upon its required adoption on January 1, 2013.

In February 2013, the FASB issued changes to the accounting for obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements. These changes require an entity to measure such obligations for which the total amount of the obligation is fixed at the reporting date as the sum of (i) the amount the reporting entity agreed to pay on the basis of its arrangement among its co-obligors, and (ii) any additional amount the reporting entity expects to pay on behalf of its co-obligors. An entity will also be required to disclose the nature and amount of the obligation as well as other information about those obligations. Examples of obligations subject to these requirements are debt arrangements and settled litigation and judicial rulings. These changes become effective for the Company on January 1, 2014. Management has determined that the adoption of these changes would not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2013, the FASB issued changes to the presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. These changes require an entity to present an unrecognized tax benefit as a liability in the financial statements if (i) a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position, or (ii) the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position. Otherwise, an unrecognized tax benefit is required to be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward. These changes become effective for the Company on January 1, 2014. Management has determined that the adoption of these changes will not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****3. License Agreements**

In July 2009, the Company and Medicis Pharmaceutical Corporation (Medicis) entered into a license agreement (License Agreement) granting Medicis worldwide aesthetic and dermatological rights to the Company's investigational, injectable botulinum toxin type A product candidate in exchange for an upfront payment of \$10.0 million plus additional milestone payments. The Company was recognizing these payments as license revenue over the estimated performance period which was estimated as the remaining life of the underlying patent at the inception of the license agreement. As a result, the Company recognized license revenue of \$500,000, \$417,000, \$375,000, \$83,000 and \$2.4 million during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012, the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013 and the cumulative period from August 10, 1999 (Date of Inception) to September 30, 2013.

In December 2007, Medicis acquired 144,927 shares of the Company's Series C-3 convertible preferred stock for \$20.0 million, or \$138.00 per share. As part of the arrangement, Medicis obtained an option to (i) acquire the Company for 95% of its fair value (the Acquisition Option) or (ii) obtain an exclusive license for the topical delivery of neurotoxin for aesthetic indications in North America (the License Option) at fair value. These options, which were mutually exclusive, could have been exercised if certain triggers had been met. The proceeds from the sale of the Series C convertible preferred stock were recorded at historical cost using the relative fair value method. The aggregate fair value of the Series C-3 convertible preferred stock sold to Medicis was determined to be at \$16.6 million which was recorded as convertible preferred stock on the consolidated balance sheets. The Acquisition Option and the License Option were determined to have a fair value which was recorded to additional paid-in capital and deferred revenue.

In February 2007, the Company entered into a license and service agreement and a manufacturing and supply agreement with List Biological Laboratories, Inc. (List Laboratories), a developer of botulinum toxin. The agreement, as amended in April 2009, included certain milestone payments for the preparation of botulinum toxin and the development of the toxin manufacturing process as well as royalties from future sales of botulinum toxin. The Company expensed to research and development \$2.0 million, \$2.0 million, \$0, \$0 and \$6.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012, the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013 and for the cumulative period from August 10, 1999 (Date of Inception) to September 30, 2013. Included in accruals and other current liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2011, 2012 and September 30, 2013 are \$1.8 million, \$3.8 million and \$1.8 million of accrued milestones which have been met but not yet paid.

The Company and Obagi Medical Products, Inc. (Obagi) are parties to an option and product license agreement (the Obagi Agreement) executed in December 2005. Pursuant to the Obagi Agreement, the Company granted Obagi an option to license certain zinc-based topical skin care products for the regeneration of elastin. The Obagi Agreement's definition of the license grant limited the grant to the field, which is defined as the physician distribution channel. The Obagi Agreement further provides that the license grant will be nonexclusive with respect to the Company for all purposes related to the Company's development, manufacture, commercialization and sale of licensed products outside the field and to all prescription products in and out of the field worldwide and to any and all direct-to-consumer distribution channels. The Obagi Agreement required Obagi to make a nonrefundable first option payment of \$250,000 upon signing the agreement and, upon exercise of the option, required additional payments as specified in the agreement.

In December 2006, the Company informed Obagi that Obagi's offer to sell an anti-aging skincare product called Obagi Elastiderm Night Eye Cream (Elastiderm) was a breach of the Obagi Agreement. The Company also provided Obagi with notice of termination of the Obagi Agreement, effective in 30 days, and demanded that Obagi immediately cease and desist from manufacturing, selling or distributing Elastiderm or any other zinc-containing product based on the Company's zinc technology. In January 2007, the Company notified Obagi that the termination had become effective and that, pursuant to the Obagi Agreement, Obagi has no further rights in,

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

or to develop or use, the licensed products or the licensed technology. The Company also stated that any use by Obagi of the Company's confidential information would be a breach of the parties' mutual nondisclosure agreement, as well as a misappropriation of the Company's trade secrets. The Company also notified Obagi that, pursuant to the Obagi Agreement, the remaining terms of the agreement are null and void, and the Company is entitled to keep the first option payment. Based on these facts and circumstances, the Company believes no future obligation is required and recorded the deferred revenue to other income (expense), net during the year ended December 31, 2011.

4. Medicis Settlement

In October 2012 (the Settlement Date), the Company entered into a settlement and termination agreement with Medicis. The terms of the settlement provided for the reacquisition of the rights related to all territories of RT001 and RT002 from Medicis. The settlement provided for consideration payable by the Company to Medicis of up to \$25.0 million, comprised of (i) an upfront payment of \$7.0 million made in November 2012, (ii) \$14.0 million to be made upon specified capital raising achievements by the Company (the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment) and (iii) \$4.0 million to be made upon the achievement of specified regulatory milestones by the Company (the Product Approval Payment). Beginning on the third anniversary of the Settlement Date, any unpaid amount will begin to accrue interest at a rate of 8% per annum.

The Company will make the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment by paying Medicis 15% of cash proceeds received by the Company from specified capital raising achievements. This would be reduced to 10% if cash proceeds from an initial public offering are less than \$60.0 million, and further reduced to 5% if cash proceeds are less than \$40.0 million. If, prior to the first anniversary of the Settlement Date, the Company completes an acceleration transaction, which includes the following: (i) a change of control, (ii) any initial public offering in which existing stockholders of the Company sell shares or (iii) the Company distributes proceeds to its stockholders relating to a grant of rights to commercialize RT001 or RT002 to a third party, then the amount payable to Medicis would be \$12.9 million. If the Company completed an acceleration transaction after the first anniversary of the Settlement Date, then the amount payable would be \$14.0 million. The applicable payment would be due within five days following the completion of the acceleration transaction.

The Company determined that the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment and Product Approval Payment are derivative instruments that should be classified as liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. The derivative liabilities are required to be carried at fair value, with changes in fair value recorded in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss for each period. The fair value of the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment was estimated to be \$12.9 million and the fair value of the Product Approval Payment was estimated to be \$2.4 million on the Settlement Date. As a result, the Company determined the fair value of the consideration on the Settlement Date to be \$22.3 million.

The Company first determined the fair value of the reacquired technology rights and the fair value of the Series C-3 convertible preferred stock modification and thereafter allocated \$12.3 million of the Medicis settlement consideration (\$22.3 million settlement reduced by \$10.1 million to eliminate the related deferred revenue) using relative fair value allocation.

The fair value of the reacquired technology rights was determined at the time of the settlement. The Company noted that the \$10.0 million non-refundable upfront payment in July 2009 reflected the arm's length fair value for the initial milestone payment on a preclinical neurotoxin drug candidate and that no significant developments occurred beyond the initial milestone. In addition, the Company did not invest in further development of the related technology. Accordingly, as of the Settlement Date, a similar drug candidate could have been sold to a collaboration partner within a similar industry as the Company, and the non-refundable upfront fees negotiated between both parties, represents a reasonable estimate of fair value of the reacquired technology rights.

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The total fair value of the Series C-3 convertible preferred stock, including both the Acquisition Option and the License Option, declined by \$3.6 million primarily as a result of termination of the Acquisition Option that would have allowed Medicis to purchase the remaining equity of the Company at a 5% discount to fair value. The Company estimated the fair value of the Acquisition Option as \$3.6 million, equal to approximately 5% of the Company's equity value not already owned by Medicis as of the Settlement Date.

The Company's management concluded that the fair value of the License Option was insignificant as there was no discount to Medicis because the option gave Medicis the right to license the technology at market value, and that the removal of specified preferential rights were protective rights associated with the options that did not have value without the Acquisition Option. Consequently, the Company did not separately value those rights.

The fair value of the settlement consideration of \$22.3 million was first allocated to eliminate \$10.1 million of deferred revenue as the settlement agreement terminated all future obligations of the Company. The remaining amount of \$12.3 million was then allocated to the reacquired technology rights and the Series C-3 convertible preferred stock modification, using the relative fair value allocation.

Accordingly, the Company recorded the fair value of the consideration of \$22.3 million as follows:

Reversal of \$10.1 million in deferred revenue originating from the Company's delivery and performance obligations under the RT001 license option and RT002 license;

Relative fair value of \$9.0 million for the reacquired technology rights, which was recorded as research and development expense; and

Relative fair value of \$3.2 million for the termination of the Acquisition Option which was recorded as a reduction to additional paid-in capital.

5. Fair Value Measurements

The Company measures and reports certain financial instruments as liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis. These instruments consist of convertible preferred stock warrant liabilities, derivative liabilities associated with convertible notes and derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement and are considered Level 3 instruments. The fair value of these instruments was as follows (in thousands):

	Fair Value	As of December 31, 2011		Level 3
		Level 1	Level 2	
Liabilities				
Convertible preferred stock warrant liability	\$ 476	\$	\$	\$ 476
Derivative liabilities associated with the Convertible Notes	13,405			13,405
Total liabilities measured at fair value	\$ 13,881	\$	\$	\$ 13,881

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

Liabilities	Fair Value	As of December 31, 2012		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Convertible preferred stock warrant liability	\$ 351	\$	\$	\$ 351
Derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement	15,268			15,268
Derivative liabilities associated with the Convertible Notes	1,800			1,800
Total liabilities measured at fair value	\$ 17,419	\$	\$	\$ 17,419

F-25

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

	Fair Value	As of September 30, 2013		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Liabilities				
Convertible preferred stock warrant liability	\$ 1,459	\$	\$	\$ 1,459
Derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement	8,606			8,606
Total liabilities measured at fair value	\$ 10,065	\$	\$	\$ 10,065

The Company did not transfer any assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis to or from Level 1 and Level 2 during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 or the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

The following table sets forth a summary of the changes in the fair value of the Company's Level 3 financial instruments as follows (in thousands):

	Convertible Preferred Stock Warrant Liability	Derivative Liability Associated with the Convertible Notes	Derivative Liability Associated with the Medicis Settlement
Fair value as of December 31, 2010	\$ 1,191	\$	\$
Fair value of financial instruments issued	121	13,049	
Change in fair value	(836)	356	
Fair value as of December 31, 2011	476	13,405	
Fair value of financial instruments issued		2,255	15,268
Change in fair value	(125)	(13,860)	
Fair value as of December 31, 2012	351	1,800	15,268
Modification remeasurement (unaudited)	1,168		
Payments against Medicis liabilities (unaudited)			(6,927)
Change in fair value (unaudited)	(60)	(1,800)	265
Fair value as of September 30, 2013 (unaudited)	\$ 1,459	\$	\$ 8,606

Level 3 instruments consist of the Company's convertible preferred stock warrant liabilities, derivative liabilities related to the outstanding convertible notes, and derivative liabilities related to a litigation settlement. The fair values of the outstanding convertible preferred stock warrants were measured using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model (Note 14). Inputs used to determine estimated fair value of the warrant liabilities include the estimated fair value of the underlying stock at the valuation date, the estimated term of the warrants, risk-free interest rates, expected dividends and the expected volatility of the underlying stock. The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the convertible preferred stock warrant liability are the fair value of the underlying stock at the valuation date and the estimated term of the warrants. Generally, increases (decreases) in the fair value of the underlying stock and estimated term would result in a directionally similar impact to the fair value measurement.

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

The fair values of the derivative liabilities associated with the convertible notes were measured using a with-and-without valuation methodology (Note 9). Inputs used to determine estimated fair value of these derivative instruments include the probability estimates of potential settlement scenarios for the convertible notes, a present value discount rate and an estimate of the expected timing of settlement. The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the derivatives associated with the convertible notes are the scenario probabilities and

F-26

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

the discount rate estimated at the valuation date. Generally, increases (decreases) in the discount rate would result in a directionally opposite impact to the fair value measurement of this derivative instrument. Also, changes in the probability scenarios would have had varying impacts depending on the weighting of each specific scenario. As discussed further in Note 9, heavier weighting towards a change in control, a private investment in public equity transaction (PIPE) or initial public offering would result in an increase in fair value of this derivative instrument.

The fair value of one of the derivative liabilities resulting from the Medicis litigation settlement, specifically the remaining liability for the derivative related to the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment (Note 4) as recognized cash payments against the liability, was measured using an option pricing model (Note 9). Inputs used to determine estimated fair value of this derivative include the equity value of the Company, expected timing of the respective settlement payments, a risk-free interest rate and the expected volatility. The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment derivative are the equity value of the Company and the expected timing of the payments at the valuation date. Generally, increases (decreases) in these unobservable inputs would result in a directionally similar impact to the fair value measurement of this derivative instrument.

The fair value of the remaining derivative liability resulting from the Medicis litigation settlement, specifically the derivative related to the Product Approval Payment (Note 4), was determined by estimating the timing and probability of the related regulatory approval and multiplying the payment amount by this probability percentage and a discount factor based primarily on the estimated timing of the payment and a credit risk adjustment (Note 9). The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Product Approval Payment derivative are the expected timing and probability of the payments at the valuation date and the credit risk adjustment. Generally, increases (decreases) in probability estimate and decreases (increases) in the credit risk adjustment inputs would result in a directionally similar impact to the fair value measurement.

6. Balance Sheet Components***Property and Equipment, net***

Property and equipment, net consists of the following (in thousands):

	As of December 31,		As of
	2011	2012	September 30, 2013
			(Unaudited)
Research equipment	\$ 8,368	\$ 8,472	\$ 9,107
Computer equipment	377	487	491
Furniture and fixtures	428	428	451
Leasehold improvements	3,622	3,622	3,632
Construction in progress	247	326	7,112
Total property and equipment	13,042	13,335	20,793
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(4,603)	(6,355)	(7,739)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 8,439	\$ 6,980	\$ 13,054

Depreciation and amortization expense was \$2.0 million, \$1.8 million, \$1.3 million, \$1.3 and \$8.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012, the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013 and for the cumulative period from August 10, 1999 (Date of Inception) to

September 30, 2013.

F-27

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The Company has obligations to make future payments to certain vendors that become due and payable during the construction of manufacturing facilities in Newark, California, starting in the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The arrangement was accounted for as construction-in-progress and the outstanding obligations as of September 30, 2013 were \$3.2 million. The Company capitalized interest costs in the amount of \$0, \$0, \$0 and \$0.1 million within construction-in-progress during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013.

Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets

Prepaid expenses and other current assets consist of the following (in thousands):

	As of December 31, 2011	2012	As of September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)
Prepaid expenses	\$ 174	\$ 381	\$ 366
Prepaid clinical trial expenses	239	791	576
Other current assets	10	75	104
Total prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$ 423	\$ 1,247	\$ 1,046

Accruals and Other Current Liabilities

Accruals and other current liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

	As of December 31, 2011	2012	As of September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)
Accrued compensation	\$ 658	\$ 457	\$ 1,010
Accrued milestones obligations	1,775	3,775	
Accrued clinical trial expenses		1,168	548
Accrued interest on notes payable	218	164	115
Accrued construction-in-progress obligations			3,182
Other current liabilities	376	437	1,260
Total accruals and other current liabilities	\$ 3,027	\$ 6,001	\$ 6,115

Other Non-Current Assets

Other non-current assets consist of the following (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

	As of December 31, 2011	As of December 31, 2012	As of September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)
Deferred initial public offering costs	\$	\$	\$ 2,093
Unamortized debt issuance costs	710	453	233
Total other non-current assets	\$ 710	\$ 453	\$ 2,326

F-28

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****7. Convertible Notes*****2004 Convertible Notes and Warrants***

In February 2004, the Company issued convertible promissory notes to certain consultants, founders and prospective investors. The Company received \$495,000 against such promissory notes bearing interest at 4.0% per annum. In April 2004, the notes and accrued interest converted into 14,056 shares of Series B-1 convertible preferred stock at a conversion price of \$35.40 per share. In connection with the conversion of promissory notes to shares of Series B-1 convertible preferred stock, the Company issued warrants to purchase 698 shares of Series B-1 convertible preferred stock, all with an exercise price of \$35.40 per share and contractual term of five years. The fair value of the warrants on the issuance date was \$15,000 which was recorded as debt issuance costs which was fully amortized to interest expense during the year ended December 31, 2004. The warrants expired unexercised in February 2009.

2006 Convertible Notes and Warrants

In September 2006, the Company issued convertible promissory notes bearing interest at 8.0% per annum in exchange for cash of \$3.2 million. The Company issued additional convertible promissory notes under the September 2006 note agreement during the year ended December 31, 2007 for an additional principal amount of \$13.8 million. In December 2007, the full principal balance of these convertible promissory notes in the amount of \$17.0 million plus accrued interest of \$864,000 converted into 279,425 shares of Series C-1 convertible preferred stock at a conversion price of \$63.75 per share. In connection with the issuance of the convertible promissory notes during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007, the Company issued warrants to purchase 73,487 shares of Series C-1 convertible preferred stock, all with an exercise price of \$63.75 per share and a contractual term of five years from issuance. The fair value of the warrants on the issuance date of \$2.7 million was recorded as debt discount which was fully amortized to interest expense during the year ended December 31, 2007. These warrants expired unexercised in December 2012.

2009 Convertible Notes

In October and November 2009, the Company issued convertible promissory notes bearing interest at 8.0% per annum in exchange for cash of \$5.6 million. In December 2009, the full principal balance of these convertible promissory notes plus accrued interest of \$54,000 converted into 84,889 shares of Series D convertible preferred stock at a conversion price of \$66.75 per share.

Convertible Notes and Common Stock Warrants

In January 2011, the Company entered into a convertible promissory note agreement (the Convertible Notes) to borrow up to \$15.0 million in three installments in the form of convertible debt. In May 2011, the Company amended the credit facility to modify the maturity date of the initial three installments and borrowed an additional amount of \$30.0 million from new investors. Between September and December 2012, the Company completed three additional installments of Convertible Notes in the amount of \$18.2 million. Of the Convertible Notes issued, an aggregate of \$40.6 million were issued to related parties of which \$30.9 million were issued to existing stockholders with holdings of 5% or more of the outstanding equity of the Company at the time of issuance. These holders were determined to be related parties because they include holders of convertible preferred stock and board members who can influence the conversion or redemption of the Convertible Notes. The holders of the Convertible Notes were entitled to receive payment equal to 150% of the aggregate amount of the outstanding principal and all accrued interest and fees if the notes were paid upon maturity. All of the Convertible Notes bore simple interest at a rate of 8.0% per annum and were scheduled to mature in May 2013. The principal and accrued interest on the Convertible Notes was convertible (i) upon a future issuance of the

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

Company's convertible preferred stock into that same stock at a conversion price equal to $66\frac{2}{3}\%$ of the price per share paid in the offering; (ii) upon an initial public offering into common stock at a conversion price equal to 40% of the price per share paid in the initial public offering; or (iii) upon a PIPE transaction into common stock at a conversion price equal to 40% of the price per share paid in the PIPE. In addition, a payment equal to 300% of the outstanding principal and accrued interest was required upon an acquisition or an asset transfer of the Company and the Convertible Notes were able to be prepaid at any time before maturity with a payment equal to 150% of the outstanding principal and accrued interest at the time of payment. The Convertible Notes were secured by substantially all the assets of the Company but were subordinate to the interests of the Hercules Notes Payable (Note 8).

In conjunction with a Series E-5 convertible preferred stock offering in the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company, with the consent of at least 75% of the Convertible Note holders, amended the Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement under which the Convertible Notes were issued to allow for the conversion of Convertible Notes into 4,748,468 shares of Series E-4 convertible preferred stock. The outstanding principal and accrued interest of the Convertible Notes of \$71.0 million were converted at a price equal to $66\frac{2}{3}\%$ of the Series E-5 offering price of \$22.425 per share per the terms of the Convertible Notes. The modification of the Convertible Notes was treated as an extinguishment of debt, in which the resulting issuances of Series E-4 convertible preferred stock was recorded at its estimated fair value on the date of the extinguishment. The difference in the estimated fair value of the Series E-4 convertible preferred stock and the carrying values of the outstanding principal, accrued interest and the remaining debt issuance costs related to the Convertible Notes was recorded as a capital contribution in the amount of \$32.0 million which was recognized to additional paid-in capital during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The Company recognized the capital contribution as such because, immediately prior to the conversion, substantially all of the holders of the Convertible Notes were holders of the Company's outstanding capital stock. In addition, the Company remeasured the embedded derivative to its fair value of approximately zero immediately prior to the conversion of the Convertible Notes in March 2013, as the execution of a qualified financing approached certainty, resulting in a gain of \$1.8 million.

The Company incurred debt issuance costs of \$277,000 and \$43,000 during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 in connection with the issuance of the Convertible Notes. These amounts were recorded as a deferred charge to be amortized to interest expense over the terms of the borrowings. The Company recognized interest expense from the amortization of the debt issuance costs of \$72,000, \$145,000, \$109,000 and \$62,000 during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013. The unamortized debt issuance costs balances were \$205,000 and \$103,000 as of December 31, 2011 and 2012. There was no unamortized debt issuance cost balance as of September 30, 2013 as the Convertible Notes were no longer outstanding.

Also in connection with the issuance of the Convertible Notes, the Company issued warrants to purchase 192,639 shares of common stock and with a fair value of \$463,000 during the year ended December 31, 2011 and warrants to purchase 77,521 shares of common stock and with a fair value of \$153,000 during the year ended December 31, 2012, all with an exercise price of \$0.15 per share. The relative fair value of the warrants was recorded as debt discount which was amortized to interest expense over the loan term. The Company recognized interest expense of \$148,000, \$260,000, \$169,000 and \$128,000 from the amortization of the warrant related debt discounts during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013. The unamortized warrant related debt discount balances were \$320,000 and \$214,000 as of December 31, 2011 and 2012. There was no unamortized warrant related debt discount balance as of September 30, 2013 as the Convertible Notes were no longer outstanding.

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

Also in connection with the Convertible Notes, the Company determined that the conversion and redemption features were embedded derivatives requiring bifurcation and separate accounting. Accordingly, the Company recorded a derivative liability of \$13.0 million for the Convertible Notes issued during the year ended December 31, 2011 and a derivative liability of \$2.3 million for the Convertible Notes issued during the year ended December 31, 2012. The fair value of the derivative liabilities associated with the Convertible Notes at the time of issuance was recognized as an additional debt discount and was amortized to interest expense over the term of the Convertible Notes. The Company recognized interest expense of \$3.7 million, \$7.1 million, \$4.7 million and \$2.7 million from the amortization of the derivative liability related debt discounts during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013. In the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Convertible Notes converted into shares of Series E-4 convertible preferred stock. Immediately prior to the conversion, the Company determined that the fair value of the derivative liabilities associated with the convertible notes were reduced to zero. The unamortized derivative related debt discount balances were \$9.4 million and \$4.6 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2012. There was no unamortized derivative related debt discount balances as of September 30, 2013 as the Convertible Notes were no longer outstanding. As of the date of conversion, the Company was in compliance with all covenants in the Convertible Notes.

Interest Expense

The accrued interest, and related interest expense, includes cash and non-cash components with the non-cash components consisting of (i) interest recognized from the amortization of debt issuance costs which are generally derived from cash payments related to the issuance of convertible notes and notes payable and which are capitalized on the consolidated balance sheets, (ii) interest recognized from the amortization of debt discounts derived from the issuance of warrants and derivatives issued in conjunction with convertible notes which are also capitalized on the consolidated balance sheets and (iii) interest recognized on convertible notes which were not paid but instead converted into shares of convertible preferred stock. The capitalized amounts related to the debt issuance costs and debt discounts are generally amortized to interest expense over the term of the related debt instruments. The interest expense by cash and non-cash components is as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2012	2012	2013
			(Unaudited)	
Cash related interest expense	\$ (3,112)	\$ (2,302)	\$ (1,782)	\$ (1,215)
Non-cash interest expense debt issuance costs	(230)	(300)	(225)	(178)
Non-cash interest expense warrant and derivative related debt discounts	(4,904)	(7,427)	(4,930)	(2,869)
Non-cash interest expense convertible notes	(9,544)	(18,930)	(12,313)	(9,204)
Total interest expense	\$ (17,790)	\$ (28,959)	\$ (19,250)	\$ (13,466)

8. Notes Payable

In November 2008, the Company entered into two secured promissory notes (the Venture Debt) with two venture debt lenders for \$8.0 million. These secured promissory notes bore interest at the prime rate plus 5.5% per annum. Starting in January 2009, the Venture Debt was to be repaid in 36 equal monthly payments of principal and interest. The secured promissory notes were secured by all assets of the Company. In connection with this financing the Company issued warrants to purchase 8,727 shares of Series C-2 convertible preferred

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

(A development stage company)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

stock with exercise prices of \$82.50 per share. The fair value of the warrants at the time of issue of \$593,000 was recorded as a debt discount and was amortized to interest expenses over the term of the loan. The warrants expired unexercised in December 2009.

In May 2010, the secured promissory notes underlying the Venture Debt were amended to provide for additional funding of \$11.0 million and to add an additional lender. The additional funding bore interest at the prime rate plus 5.5% per annum and was to be repaid in 33 equal monthly payments of principal and interest. During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2011, the interest rate on the Venture Debt was 10.5% per annum. In connection with this financing, the Company issued warrants to purchase 30,561 shares of Series D convertible preferred stock with an exercise price of \$66.75 per share. The fair value of the warrants at the time of issue of \$1.1 million was recorded as a debt discount and was amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method over the term of the loan. The Company recognized interest expense of \$437,000 from the amortization of the Venture Debt related debt discount during the year ended December 31, 2011.

In September 2011, the Company entered into a loan and security agreement with Hercules Technology Growth Capital (the Hercules Notes Payable), a venture financing firm, for \$22.0 million. From the proceeds of the Hercules Notes Payable, \$7.0 million was used to fully repay the principal and accrued interest due under the Venture Debt. At the time of the Venture Debt repayment, the remaining unamortized debt discount of \$579,000 was written-off to interest expense.

The Hercules Notes Payable matures in March 2015, is secured by all assets of the Company, and bears interest at the greater of (i) 9.85% per annum or (ii) 9.85% per annum plus the difference of the prime rate less 3.25% per annum and contains covenants that require, among other things, that the Company seek consent from Hercules prior to certain corporate changes and provide certain unaudited financial information within 30 days after the end of each month. Starting in July 2012, the loan is to be repaid in 33 equal monthly payments of principal and interest of \$764,000 plus an end of term payment of \$500,000 if the loan is prepaid, or \$400,000 if paid upon maturity. The loan also allows for prepayment at any time with a moving premium ranging from 1% to 4% of \$15.0 million, depending on when the prepayment occurs.

In connection with the Hercules Notes Payable, the Company issued warrants to purchase 17,977 shares of Series D convertible preferred stock at \$66.75 per share. The fair value of the warrants of \$122,000 was recorded as a debt discount and is amortized to interest expense using the straight-line method over the loan term. The Company recognized interest expense of \$9,000, \$35,000, \$26,000 and \$26,000 from the amortization of the warrant related debt discount for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013. The unamortized debt discount balance was \$113,000, \$78,000, and \$52,000 as of December 31, 2011 and 2012 and September 30, 2013. The Company incurred \$544,000 of debt issuance costs in connection with the Hercules Notes Payable which is also being amortized to interest expense over the term of the borrowings. The Company recognized interest expense of \$39,000, \$155,000, \$117,000 and \$117,000 from the amortization of the debt issuance costs during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013. The unamortized debt issuance costs balances were \$505,000, \$350,000, and \$233,000 as of December 31, 2011 and 2012 and as of September 30, 2013.

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

As of December 31, 2012, future principal payments under the Hercules Notes Payable are as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending	
December 31,	
2013	\$ 7,559
2014	8,397
2015	2,641
Total principal payments	18,597
Less: debt discount	(78)
Less: current portion	(7,524)
Long-term portion of notes payable	\$ 10,995

The Company made principal and interest payments on the Hercules Notes Payable in the amount of \$6.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

9. Derivative Liabilities

The fair value of the outstanding derivative liabilities was (in thousands):

	As of December 31,	As of December 31,	As of
	2011	2012	September 30,
			2013
			(Unaudited)
Derivative liabilities associated with the Convertible Notes	\$ 13,405	\$ 1,800	\$
Derivative liabilities associated with Medicis settlement Proceed sharing payment		12,880	7,069
Derivative liabilities associated with Medicis settlement Product approval payment		2,388	1,537
	\$ 13,405	\$ 17,068	\$ 8,606

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)*****Derivative Liabilities associated with Convertible Notes***

During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012, the Company issued convertible notes in the amount of \$63.3 million in the aggregate (Note 7). The convertible notes had conversion and redemption features related to the conversion of the notes which were determined to be embedded derivatives requiring bifurcation and separate accounting. Accordingly, the Company recorded a derivative liability of \$13.0 million associated with the convertible notes issued during the year ended December 31, 2011 and a derivative liability of \$2.3 million associated with the convertible notes issued during the year ended December 31, 2012. The fair value of these derivative instruments was recognized as an additional debt discount and as a derivative liability on the consolidated balance sheets upon issuance of the respective convertible notes. The derivative liability required periodic remeasurements to fair value while the derivative was still outstanding and, accordingly, the Company recognized a charge of \$356,000 for the remeasurement of the derivative liability associated with convertible notes during the year ended December 31, 2011 but recognized remeasurement gains for this instrument during the year ended December 31, 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2013 of \$13.9 million and \$1.8 million. The fair value of the derivative liabilities associated with convertible notes was determined upon issuance using a with-and-without valuation methodology with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	During the year ended December 31,	
	2011	2012
Expected term (in years)	2.1	0.6
Discount rate	55.0%	55.0%
Weighted-average scenario probabilities		
Maturity	20.0%	5.0%
Qualified financing	30.0%	70.0%
Initial public offering	20.0%	14.0%
PIPE	10.0%	0.0%
Change in control	20.0%	11.0%

The fair value of the derivative liabilities associated with convertible notes was determined as of December 31, 2011 and 2012 using the with-and-without valuation methodology with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	As of December 31,	
	2011	2012
Expected term (in years)	1.4	0.4
Discount rate	55.0%	55.0%
Weighted-average scenario probabilities		
Maturity	10.0%	5.0%
Qualified financing	50.0%	93.0%
Initial public offering	25.0%	0.0%
PIPE	0.0%	0.0%
Change in control	15.0%	2.0%

The remeasurement adjustments were reflected in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss as change in fair value of derivative liabilities associated with the convertible notes and the fair value of the derivatives was recorded as a non-current obligation on the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2011 and as a current obligation as of December 31, 2012. The related convertible notes converted into shares of Series E-4 convertible preferred stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 (Note 7)

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

at which time these embedded derivatives associated with the convertible notes were also settled. Immediately prior to the conversion, the Company reduced the fair value of the embedded derivatives to zero as the execution of a qualified financing approached certainty.

Derivatives Related to Medicis Settlement

In October 2012, the Company entered into a settlement with Medicis that resulted in the Company reacquiring rights from Medicis and terminating their contractual relationship. In the settlement, the Company agreed to pay Medicis an aggregate of up to \$25.0 million consisting of (i) \$7.0 million payable at the execution of the settlement agreement; (ii) \$14.0 million payable based on the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement whereby 15% of specified types of cash proceeds received by the Company are to be remitted to Medicis until the full \$14.0 million is paid (an aggregate of \$6.9 million of which was paid to Medicis in April and May 2013); and (iii) \$4.0 million payable due upon marketing approval of RT001 or RT002 in the United States or any major European market (Note 4). The Company determined that the settlement provisions related to the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment in (ii) and the Product Approval Payment in (iii) above were derivative instruments that require fair value accounting as a liability at the time of settlement and periodic fair value remeasurements going forward. The fair value of the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment was estimated to be \$12.9 million and the fair value of the Product Approval Payment was estimated to be \$2.4 million upon issuance in October 2012 and as of December 31, 2012. The fair value of the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment derivative was initially determined using an option pricing model with the following assumptions: expected term of 0.75 years, risk-free rate of 0.2% and volatility of 46%. This valuation was heavily weighted toward an initial public offering being the most likely outcome for the Company at that time.

The fair value of the Product Approval Payment derivative was determined by estimating the timing and probability of the related approval and multiplying the payment amount by this probability percentage and a discount factor assuming a term of two years and a risk-free rate of 0.25%.

As a result of the Series E-5 convertible preferred stock offering which took place during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 (Note 13) and in accordance with the Medicis settlement agreement (Note 4), the Company made payments in the amount of \$6.9 million to Medicis against the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

As of September 30, 2013, the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment derivative and the Product Approval Payment derivative were remeasured to fair value. The fair value of the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment derivative as of September 30, 2013 of \$7.1 million was determined using an option pricing model with the following assumption: expected term of 0.75 years, risk-free rate of 0.1% and volatility of 43%. The fair value of the Product Approval Payment derivative as of September 30, 2013 in the amount of \$1.5 million was determined by updating the estimate of the timing and probability of the related approval and a discount factor assuming a term of 3.5 years, a risk-free rate of 0.8% and a credit risk adjustment of 7%.

As a result of the fair value measurements during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we recognized a \$256,000 aggregate loss during the period. This loss was made up of a \$1.1 million loss from the remeasurement of the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment, due to the updated estimate of the timing of the related payments, and a \$0.8 million gain from the remeasurement of the Product Approval Payment, due to the updated estimate of the probability of the related product approval. The Company will record adjustments to the fair value of the derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement until the related settlement payments have been paid. At that time, these derivative liabilities associated with the Medicis settlement will be adjusted to fair value one last time with the final fair value being reclassified to additional paid-in capital.

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****10. Capital Leases**

In connection with the purchases of machinery and equipment in the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company entered into multiple sale and lease back transactions. These sale and leaseback transactions involved the Company purchasing machinery and equipment for \$3.3 million and recording depreciation expense of \$258,000. The lessor then purchased the equipment from the Company at its original purchase price resulting in a gain of \$258,000 in the year ended December 31, 2010. These leases were deemed capital leases as the present value of the future payments exceeded 90% of the fair market value of the equipment. Under these leases, the Company will make monthly payments of \$108,000 to the lessor over three years.

The cost and accumulated depreciation for assets under capital leases, which were included in property and equipment are as follows (in thousands):

	As of December 31, 2011	2012	As of September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)
Cost	\$ 3,417	\$ 3,417	\$ 3,417
Accumulated depreciation	(797)	(1,481)	(1,993)
Net book value	\$ 2,620	\$ 1,936	\$ 1,424

The depreciation expense of these leased assets was \$683,000, \$683,000, \$512,000, \$512,000 and \$2.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012, the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013, and the cumulative period from August 10, 1999 (Date of Inception) to September 30, 2013.

The Company issued warrants to purchase 3,531 shares of Series D convertible preferred stock in conjunction with these capital leases. The fair value of the warrants at the time of issue of \$182,000 was recorded as a debt discount and is being amortized to interest expense over the term of the lease arrangement. The Company recognized interest expense of \$61,000 from the amortization of the warrant related debt discount for each of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 and \$46,000 and \$39,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013. The unamortized debt discount balances were \$103,000, \$42,000 and \$3,000 as of December 31, 2011 and 2012 and September 30, 2013.

As of December 31, 2012, future principal payments under the capital leases are as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending December 31,	
2013	\$ 1,029
2014	5
Total payments	1,034
Less: interest	(47)
Less: capital lease debt discount	(42)
	945
Less: current portion	(940)

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

Capital leases, net of current portion \$ 5

The Company made regular payments on these leases in the amount of \$0.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

F-36

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****11. Commitments and Contingencies*****Facility Lease***

In January 2010, the Company entered into a non-cancelable facility lease that requires monthly payments through January 2022. This facility will be used for research, manufacturing, and administrative functions. Under the terms of the lease agreement, the Company will make total rent payments of \$52.8 million for a period of twelve years commencing in January 2010 which was determined to be an operating lease. The payments escalate over the term of the lease, however, the Company recognizes the expense on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease.

Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012, nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013 and for the cumulative period from August 10, 1999 (Date of Inception) to September 30, 2013 was \$4.4 million, \$4.4 million, \$3.3 million, \$3.3 million and \$19.1 million.

As of December 31, 2012, the aggregate total future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable operating leases were as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending December 31,	
2013	\$ 4,267
2014	4,376
2015	4,488
2016	4,604
2017 and thereafter	25,132
 Total payments	 \$ 42,867

Other Milestone-Based Commitments

The Company has obligations to make future milestone payments to List Laboratories that become due and payable on the achievement of certain development, regulatory and commercial milestones. Because the achievement and timing of these milestones is not fixed and determinable, such commitments, excluding the milestone obligations of \$3.8 million included in accruals and other liabilities as of December 31, 2012, amount to \$2.0 million. As of September 30, 2013, these commitments amount to \$2.0 million. In addition, the Company is obligated to pay royalties to List Laboratories on future sales of botulinum toxin products.

Purchase Commitments

The Company has certain commitments from outstanding purchase orders related to the acquisition of equipment to be installed in the Company's manufacturing facility. These agreements, which total \$10.4 million, are cancellable at any time with the Company required to pay all costs incurred through the cancellation date.

Contingencies

From time to time, the Company may have certain contingent liabilities that arise in the ordinary course of business activities. The Company accrues a liability for such matters when it is probable that future expenditures will be made and such expenditures can be reasonably estimated. The Company is not subject to any current pending legal matters or claims that would have a material adverse effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)*****Indemnification***

The Company enters into standard indemnification agreements in the ordinary course of business. Pursuant to these arrangements, the Company indemnifies, holds harmless, and agrees to reimburse the indemnified parties for losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified party, in connection with any trade secret, copyright, patent or other intellectual property infringement claim by any third party with respect to its technology. The term of these indemnification agreements is generally perpetual after the execution of the agreement. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these agreements is not determinable because it involves claims that may be made against the Company in the future, but have not yet been made. The Company has not incurred costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements.

The Company has entered into indemnification agreements with its directors and officers that may require the Company to indemnify its directors and officers against liabilities that may arise by reason of their status or service as directors or officers, other than liabilities arising from willful misconduct of the individual.

No amounts associated with such indemnifications have been recorded to date.

12. Common Stock

The Company is authorized to issue up to 221,000,000 shares of par value \$0.001 per share common stock.

During the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, the Company issued 180,533 shares of common stock in exchange for intellectual property. The Company also issued 1,666 shares of common stock during the year ended December 31, 2002 in exchange for services rendered. As of December 31, 2011, 2012 and September 30, 2013, the Company had 3,333 shares of common stock subject to repurchase. The Company has also issued shares of common stock as a result of stock option exercises throughout its existence. Common stockholders are entitled to dividends when and if declared by the Board of Directors subject to the prior rights of the preferred stockholders. The holder of each share of common stock is entitled to one vote. The common stockholders voting as a class are entitled to elect one member to the Company's Board of Directors. As of September 30, 2013, no dividends have been declared.

The Company had reserved shares of common stock, on an as if converted basis, for issuance as follows:

	As of December 31,		As of
	2011	2012	September 30,
			2013 (Unaudited)
Issuances under stock incentive plans	341,830	339,300	373,100
Conversion of convertible preferred stock	1,741,432	1,741,432	8,689,999
Issuances upon exercise of convertible preferred stock warrants	155,749	82,262	172,141
Issuances upon exercise of common stock warrants	192,639	267,166	790,855
	2,431,650	2,430,160	10,026,095

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

The above table does not include potential issuances of common stock related to the Convertible Notes which converted into Series E-4 convertible preferred stock in March 2013.

13. Convertible Preferred Stock

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company raised \$40.8 million through the issuance of 1,818,390 shares of Series E-5 convertible preferred stock at a price of \$22.425 per share. In addition, the

F-38

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

Company issued 4,748,468 shares of Series E-4 convertible preferred stock with the conversion of the outstanding principal and accrued interest of the Convertible Notes (Note 7). Also in March 2013, in conjunction with the Series E-5 preferred stock financing, the Company's previously outstanding convertible preferred stock was exchanged for shares of Series E convertible preferred stock as follows: (i) Series A and B convertible preferred stock converted into Series E-1 convertible preferred stock on a 1-for-1 basis, (ii) Series C convertible preferred stock converted into Series E-2 convertible preferred stock on a 1-for-1 basis, and (iii) Series D convertible preferred stock converted into Series E-3 convertible preferred stock on a 1-for-2.119 basis. Upon the exchange of the prior series of convertible preferred stock into the respective Series E convertible preferred stock, all outstanding shares of Series A, B-1, B-2, C-1, C-2, C-3 and D convertible preferred stock were surrendered and cancelled. The exchange of the prior shares of convertible preferred stock into the respective series of Series E convertible preferred stock was accounted for as a preferred stock extinguishment. As a result of the preferred stock extinguishment and the related conversion, the Company recognized a capital contribution of \$74.9 million as a benefit to net income per share attributable to common stockholders during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The \$74.9 million capital contribution was calculated based on the difference between the fair value of the newly issued shares of Series E convertible preferred stock as a result of the exchange and the carrying value of the previously outstanding shares of Series A, B-1, B-2, C-1, C-2, C-3 and D convertible preferred stock. The fair value of the Series E convertible preferred stock was estimated by the Company's Board of Directors with assistance from a third party valuation that utilized methodologies and assumptions consistent with the March 31, 2013 common stock valuation. The March 31, 2013 valuation was prepared on a minority, nonmarketable interest basis. The Company's aggregate enterprise value was determined using the income approach and a form of market approach under the probability weighted expected return method or the PWERM. Under the PWERM market-based approach, all of the shares of Company's convertible preferred stock are assumed to convert automatically upon the closing of an initial public offering. The elimination of economic rights and preferences between each of the classes of Series E convertible preferred stock in connection with an initial public offering results in fair values that are equal across each class of shares. The Series E-1, E-2, E-3, E-4 and E-5 convertible preferred stock were valued at \$15.00 per share prior to any discount for lack of marketability. Under the income approach, the value of each security is conditioned upon its respective rights and restrictions, including liquidation preference, ranking, and conversion rights, such that shares of Series E-1, E-2, E-3 and E-4 convertible preferred stock are valued less than shares of Series E-5 convertible preferred stock. The Series E-5 convertible preferred stock was valued at \$33.60 per share prior to any discount for lack of marketability, while the Series E-4, E-3, E-2, and E-1 convertible preferred stock were valued at \$12.60, \$1.95, \$1.05, and \$0.75 per share prior to any discount for lack of marketability based on the change of control scenarios considered in the March 31, 2013 valuation. The resulting convertible preferred stock fair values were then weighted by estimating a 60% probability to the fair value determined under the PWERM market-based approach and a 40% probability to the fair value determined under the income approach. The outcome of this weighted-average Series E-5 convertible preferred stock value was concluded to be \$22.50 per share, which reconciles to the Series E-5 convertible preferred stock issue price. The same weighting was applied to all of the other convertible preferred stock securities to derive their concluded values, all of which were below the concluded value for the Series E-5 convertible preferred stock.

The Series E-5 preferred stock financing occurred in multiple closings during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Included in the first closing in February 2013 was a \$2.1 million forward purchase commitment by the purchaser to buy an additional 93,333 shares of Series E-5 convertible preferred stock. This commitment was determined to be a liability since it embodied an obligation that could have required settlement by transfer of assets if the underlying convertible preferred stock was redeemed. The fair value of the liability upon issuance was not significant and the commitment was settled during the March 2013 closings. The purchasers in the first closing, who paid a higher per share price than the purchasers in the second closing, were provided with an additional 7,911 shares of Series E-5 convertible preferred stock to bring their per share price

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

equal to the per share price paid by the purchasers in the March 2013 closings. The fair value of the additional share issuance was recognized as a deemed dividend of \$177,000 during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The capital contribution for the extinguishment of the prior convertible preferred stock and the deemed dividend for the additional share issuance only impact the net income per share attributable to common stockholders for the period (Note 16).

As of September 30, 2013, the Company was authorized to issue 145,010,269 shares of par value \$0.001 per share convertible preferred stock. As September 30, 2013, outstanding convertible preferred stock was comprised of the following (unaudited and in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

	Shares Authorized	Shares Issued and Outstanding	Fair Value	Liquidation Value per Share	Liquidation Value
Series E-1	5,834,206	387,241	\$ 3,601	\$ 22.425	\$ 8,684
Series E-2	8,914,007	585,559	5,534	22.425	13,131
Series E-3	17,710,373	1,150,341	11,388	22.425	25,797
Series E-4	72,551,683	4,748,468	66,954	22.425	106,485
Series E-5	40,000,000	1,818,390	36,505	33.6375	61,167
	145,010,269	8,689,999	\$ 123,982		\$ 215,264

The Company recorded the convertible preferred stock at fair value on the dates of issuance. The Company classifies the convertible preferred stock outside of stockholders' deficit (as Mezzanine) because the shares contain liquidation features that are not solely within the Company's control. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company did not adjust the carrying values of the convertible preferred stock to the deemed redemption values of such shares since a liquidation event was not probable. Subsequent adjustments to increase the carrying values to the ultimate redemption values will be made only when it becomes probable that such a liquidation event will occur.

The rights, preferences and privileges of the convertible preferred stock as of September 30, 2013 are as follows:

Conversion

Each share of convertible preferred stock is convertible, at the option of the holder and at any time, into that number of fully paid and non-assessable shares of common stock determined by dividing the original issue price by the then applicable conversion price. The original issue price for each share of Series E-1, E-2, E-3, E-4 and E-5 is \$22.425 per share and the conversion price is the same as the original issue price, therefore, the Series E-1, E-2, E-3, E-4 and E-5 will convert on a 1-for-1 basis. The convertible preferred stock is automatically convertible into common stock at the then-current conversion rate upon (i) a vote of a majority of the holders of the outstanding convertible preferred stock, including a majority of the holders of the Series E-5 shares, (ii) an initial public offering and vote of a majority of the holders of the outstanding convertible preferred stock, and (iii) an initial public offering with an offering price equal to at least \$22.425 per share of common stock and proceeds of at least \$50.0 million. The respective conversion prices are subject to adjustment upon any future stock splits or stock combinations, reclassifications or exchanges of similar shares, or upon a reorganization, merger or consolidation of the Company. In addition, the conversion prices are subject to adjustment upon a future down round preferred stock financing at a price per share below the stated conversion prices for each series of preferred stock. Each of these provisions related to the potential adjustment of the conversion prices provide protection for the preferred stock holders in the event of potential dilution to the shares with a primary purpose of keeping the investors whole. Upon a future stock split or stock combination, reclassification or exchange of similar shares, or upon a reorganization, merger or consolidation of the Company, the respective conversion prices will be adjusted.

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

(A development stage company)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

without an accounting impact. Upon a future down round issuance of shares which results in a conversion price adjustment, the impacted series of preferred stock will be assessed for potential modification or extinguishment accounting depending on all the facts and circumstances surrounding the related issuance. The embedded conversion option was determined to not be a derivative liability as this feature was clearly and closely related to the convertible preferred stock equity host.

Voting

Each share of convertible preferred stock has voting rights equal to an equivalent number of shares of common stock into which it is convertible and votes together as one class with the common stock.

As long as at least 200,000 shares of Series E-5 convertible preferred stock remain outstanding, the holders of the Series E-5 convertible preferred stock, voting separately as a single class, are entitled to elect three directors; as long as 166,666 shares of Series E-1 convertible preferred stock remain outstanding, the holders of Series E-1 convertible preferred stock, voting separately as a single class, are entitled to elect two directors; as long as at least 200,000 shares of Series E-2 convertible preferred stock remain outstanding, the holders of Series E-2 convertible preferred stock, voting separately as a single class, are entitled to elect one director; the holders of the common stock, voting separately as a single class, are entitled to elect one director of the Company; and the holders of (i) a majority of the common stock and (ii) a majority of the convertible preferred stock, voting together as single class, are entitled to elect all remaining directors of the Company.

Liquidation

In the event of any liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company, either voluntary or involuntary, the holders of Series E-1, E-2, E-3, E-4 and E-5 convertible preferred stock are entitled to be paid out of the assets of the Company an amount per share equal to \$22.425, \$22.425, \$22.425, \$22.425 and \$33.6375 per share, plus all declared and unpaid dividends on such shares, prior to and in preference to any distribution to the holders of common stock.

If upon the occurrence of such an event, the assets and funds distributed among the holders of convertible preferred stock are insufficient to permit the payment to such holders of their full preferential amount, then the holders of the Series E-5 convertible preferred stock are entitled to receive with equal priority and pro rata, prior and in preference to any distribution of the assets of the Company to holders of Series E-1, E-2, E-3 and E-4 convertible preferred stock or common stock, an amount equal to one and one half (1 1/2) times the original issue price for each share of Series E-5 convertible preferred stock plus any declared but unpaid dividends. Thereafter, the holders of Series E-4 convertible preferred stock are entitled to receive with equal priority and pro rata, prior to and in preference to any distribution of the assets of the Company to the holders of Series E-1, E-2 and E-3 convertible preferred stock or common stock, an amount equal to the original issue price for each share of Series E-4 convertible preferred stock plus any declared but unpaid dividends. Thereafter, the holders of Series E-3 convertible preferred stock are entitled to receive with equal priority and pro rata, prior to and in preference to any distribution of the assets of the Company to the holders of Series E-1 and E-2 convertible preferred stock or common stock, an amount equal to the original issue price for each share of Series E-3 convertible preferred stock plus any declared but unpaid dividends. Thereafter, the holders of Series E-2 convertible preferred stock are entitled to receive with equal priority and pro rata, prior to and in preference to any distribution of the assets of the Company to the holders of Series E-1 convertible preferred stock or common stock, an amount equal to the original issue price for each share of Series E-2 convertible preferred stock plus any declared but unpaid dividends. Thereafter, the holders of Series E-1 convertible preferred stock are entitled to receive with equal priority and pro rata, prior to and in preference to any distribution of the assets of the Company to the holders of any prior outstanding shares of convertible preferred stock or common stock, an amount equal to the original issue price for each share of Series E-1 convertible preferred stock plus any declared but unpaid dividends. If

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

assets remain in the Company after liquidation payouts described above, the assets of the Company legally available for distribution will be distributed to the holders of common stock on a pro rata basis.

Dividends

The holders of all outstanding shares of Series E convertible preferred stock are entitled to receive dividends at the rate of 8.0% per annum. Such dividends are payable when and if declared by the Board of Directors and are noncumulative. Dividends on the convertible preferred stock are payable in preference to and prior to any payment of any dividend on common stock. No dividends have been declared by the Board of Directors as of September 30, 2013.

Redemption

The convertible preferred stock is not mandatorily redeemable as it does not have a set redemption date or a date after which the shares may be redeemed by the holders.

As of December 31, 2011 and 2012, the Company was authorized to issue 27,598,825 shares of par value \$0.001 per share convertible preferred stock. As of December 31, 2011 and 2012, outstanding convertible preferred stock was comprised of the following (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

	Shares Authorized	Shares Issued and Outstanding	Net Proceeds	Liquidation Value per Share	Liquidation Value
Series A	820,920	54,728	\$ 1,806	\$ 33.00	\$ 1,806
Series B-1	2,997,357	199,375	6,837	53.10	10,587
Series B-2	2,022,653	134,843	6,230	69.60	9,375
Series C-1	5,293,699	279,425	16,710	95.70	26,720
Series C-2	2,494,363	161,212	12,372	123.75	19,950
Series C-3	2,228,260	144,927	15,452	207.00	30,000
Series D	11,741,573	542,875	36,026	166.95	90,592
	27,598,825	1,517,385	\$ 95,433		\$ 189,030

The rights, preferences and privileges of the convertible preferred stock as of December 31, 2011 and 2012 were as follows:

Conversion

Each share of convertible preferred stock was convertible, at the option of the holder, into that number of fully paid and non-assessable shares of common stock determined by dividing the original issue price by the then applicable conversion price. The original issue price per share was \$33.00 for Series A; \$35.40 for Series B-1; \$46.35 for Series B-2; \$63.75 for Series C-1; \$82.50 for Series C-2 and \$138.00 for Series C-3; and \$66.75 for Series D. The conversion price was the same as the original issue price for the Series A, B-1, B-2, C-1, C-2 and C-3. The conversion price was \$47.25 for the Series D. Therefore, the Series A, B-1, B-2, C-1, C-2 and C-3 were convertible on a 1-for-1 basis while each share of Series D convertible preferred stock was convertible into 1.4127 shares of common stock. The convertible preferred stock was automatically convertible into common stock at the then-current conversion rate upon a vote of a majority of the holders, by individual series or in aggregate, or upon an initial public offering with an offering price equal to at least \$200.25 per share of common stock and proceeds of at least \$50.0 million. The respective conversion prices were subject to adjustment upon any future stock splits or stock combinations, reclassifications or exchanges of similar shares, or upon a reorganization, merger or consolidation of the Company. In addition, the conversion prices were subject

to adjustment upon a future down round preferred stock financing at a price per share below the stated conversion

F-42

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

prices for each series of preferred stock. Each of these provisions related to the potential adjustment of the conversion prices provided protection for the preferred stock holders in the event of potential dilution to the shares with a primary purpose of keeping the investors whole. Upon a future stock split or stock combination, reclassification or exchange of similar shares, or upon a reorganization, merger or consolidation of the Company, the respective conversion prices would have been adjusted without an accounting impact. Upon a future down round issuance of shares which resulted in a conversion price adjustment, the impacted series of preferred stock would have been assessed for potential modification or extinguishment accounting depending on all the facts and circumstances surrounding the related issuance. The embedded conversion option was determined to not be a derivative liability as this feature was clearly and closely related to the convertible preferred stock equity host. Also, the anti-dilution protection was waived with respect to the Series E-5 preferred stock financing which occurred during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Voting

Each share of convertible preferred stock had voting rights equal to an equivalent number of shares of common stock into which it was convertible and voted together as one class with the common stock.

As long as at least 200,000 shares of Series D remain outstanding, the holders of the Series D convertible preferred stock, voting separately as a single class, were entitled to elect two directors; as long as 200,000 shares of Series C-1 and Series C-2 convertible preferred stock remained outstanding, the holders of Series C-1 and Series C-2 convertible preferred stock, voting separately as a single class, were entitled to elect one director; as long as at least 166,666 shares of Series B convertible preferred stock remained outstanding, the holders of Series B convertible preferred stock, voting separately as a single class, were entitled to elect two directors; the holders of the common stock, voting separately as a single class, were entitled to elect one director of the Company; and the holders of (i) a majority of the common stock and (ii) a majority of the convertible preferred stock, voting together as single class, were entitled to elect all remaining directors of the Company.

Liquidation

In the event of any liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company, either voluntary or involuntary, the holders of Series A, B-1, B-2, C-1, C-2, C-3 and D convertible preferred stock were entitled to be paid out of the assets of the Company an amount equal to \$33.00, \$53.10, \$69.60, \$95.70, \$123.75, \$207.00 and \$166.95 per share, plus all declared and unpaid dividends on such shares, prior to and in preference to any distribution to the holders of common stock.

If upon the occurrence of such an event, the assets and funds distributed among the holders of convertible preferred stock were insufficient to permit the payment to such holders of their full preferential amount, then the holders of the Series D convertible preferred stock were entitled to receive with equal priority and pro rata, prior and in preference to any distribution of the assets of the Company to holders of Series A, B-1, B-2, C-1, C-2, C-3 convertible preferred stock or common stock, an amount equal to two and one half ($2\frac{1}{2}$) times the original issue price for each share of Series D convertible preferred stock plus any declared but unpaid dividends. Thereafter, the holders of Series C-1, C-2 and C-3 convertible preferred stock were entitled to receive with equal priority and pro rata, prior to and in preference to any distribution of the assets of the Company to the holders of Series A, B-1 and B-2 convertible preferred stock or common stock, an amount equal to the original issue price for each share of Series C-1, C-2 and C-3 convertible preferred stock plus any declared but unpaid dividends. Thereafter, the holders of Series B-1 and B-2 convertible preferred stock were entitled to receive with equal priority and pro rata, prior to and in preference to any distribution of the assets of the Company to the holders of Series A convertible preferred stock or common stock, an amount equal to the original issue price for each share of Series B-1 and B-2 convertible preferred stock plus any declared but unpaid dividends. Thereafter, the holders of Series A convertible preferred

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

(A development stage company)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

stock were entitled to receive with equal priority and pro rata, prior to and in preference to any distribution of the assets of the Company to the holders of the common stock, an amount equal to the original issue price for each share of Series A convertible preferred stock plus any declared but unpaid dividends.

If assets remained in the Company after liquidation payouts above, the assets of the Company legally available for distribution would have been distributed ratably to the holders of the common stock, Series B-1, B-2, C-1, C-2 and C-3 convertible preferred stock on an if-converted to common stock basis until the holders of the Series B-1, B-2, C-1, C-2 and C-3 convertible preferred stock would have received an aggregate amount equal to one and one-half (1 1/2) times the respective original issue price of such shares of Series B-1, B-2, C-1, C-2 and C-3 convertible preferred stock. Thereafter, the remaining assets of the Company, if any, would have been distributed to the holders of common stock on a pro rata basis.

Dividends

The holders of Series A, B-1, B-2, C-1, C-2, C-3 and D convertible preferred stock were entitled to receive dividends at the rate of 8.0% per annum. Such dividends were payable when and if declared by the Board of Directors and were noncumulative. Dividends on the convertible preferred stock were payable in preference to and prior to any payment of any dividend on common stock. No dividends had been declared by the Board of Directors as of December 31, 2012.

Redemption

The convertible preferred stock was not mandatorily redeemable as it did not have a set redemption date or a date after which the shares were redeemable by the holders.

14. Warrants

Convertible Preferred Stock Warrants

The Company issued warrants to purchase shares of the Company's convertible preferred stock at various times between the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2012 in connection with various financing arrangements including convertible promissory notes, notes payable, capital lease transactions and to a financial advisor for their services in the private placement of the related convertible preferred stock. The convertible preferred stock warrants outstanding as of December 31, 2011, 2012 and September 30, 2013 were issued as follows:

Series B-1 Warrants

In conjunction with a capital equipment loan and security agreement during the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company issued warrants to purchase 598 shares of Series B-1 convertible preferred stock, all with an exercise price of \$35.40 per share and a contractual term of five years. The fair value of the warrants of \$13,000 was recorded as interest expense at the time of signing the capital equipment loan. The fair value of the warrants on the date of issue was determined using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model using the following weighted-average assumptions: seven year contractual term, 75.0% expected volatility, 3.2% risk-free interest rate and no expected dividend. In November 2011, the warrants were net exercised providing for the issuance of 150 shares of Series B-1 convertible preferred stock.

In connection with the issuance of the convertible promissory notes during the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company issued warrants to purchase 698 shares of Series B-1 convertible preferred stock, all with an exercise price of \$35.40 per share and a contractual term of five years from issuance. The fair value of the warrants of \$15,000 was recorded as debt issuance costs upon issuance. The fair value of the warrants on the date of issue was determined using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: five year

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

(A development stage company)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

contractual term, 75.0% expected volatility, 2.7% risk-free interest rate and no expected dividend. These warrants expired unexercised in February 2009.

Series C-1 Warrants

In connection with the issuance of the convertible promissory notes during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007, the Company issued warrants to purchase 73,487 shares of Series C-1 convertible preferred stock, all with an exercise price of \$63.75 per share and a contractual term of five years from issuance. The fair value of the warrants of \$2.7 million was recorded as debt discount and warrant liability upon issuance. The fair value of the warrants on the date of issue was determined using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions: five year contractual term, 64.8% expected volatility, 4.6% risk-free interest rate and no expected dividend. These warrants expired unexercised in December 2012.

Series C-2 Warrants

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company issued warrants to purchase 5,078 shares of Series C-2 convertible preferred stock to a financial advisor for their services in the private placement of the related convertible preferred stock. These warrants carry an exercise price of \$82.50 per share and a contractual term of five years from issuance. The fair value of the warrants in the amount of \$273,000 was recorded as warrant liability upon issuance. The fair value of the warrants on the date of issue was determined using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: five year contractual term, 78.6% expected volatility, 3.2% risk-free interest rate and no expected dividend. These warrants were converted into warrants to purchase shares of Series E-2 convertible preferred stock in March 2013 as discussed further below. These warrants expired unexercised in July 2013.

Series C-3 Warrants

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company issued warrants to purchase 3,623 shares of Series C-3 convertible preferred stock to a financial advisor for their services in the private placement of the related convertible preferred stock. These warrants carry an exercise price of \$138.00 per share and a contractual term of five years from issuance. The fair value of the warrants in the amount of \$325,000 was recorded as warrant liability upon issuance. The fair value of the warrants on the date of issue was determined using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: five year contractual term, 78.6% expected volatility, 3.2% risk-free interest rate and no expected dividend. These warrants were converted into warrants to purchase shares of Series E-2 convertible preferred stock in March 2013 as discussed further below. These warrants expired unexercised in July 2013.

Series D Warrants

In connection with the issuance of the Series D convertible preferred stock during the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company issued warrants to purchase 10,786 shares of Series D convertible preferred stock. These warrants carry an exercise price of \$66.75 per share and a contractual term of nine years from issuance. The fair value of the Series D warrants issued during the year ended December 31, 2009 in the amount of \$494,000 was recorded as a warrant liability upon issuance. The fair value of the warrants on the date of issue was determined using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: nine year contractual term, 74.1% expected volatility, 3.9% risk-free interest rate and no expected dividend. These warrants were converted into warrants to purchase shares of Series E-3 convertible preferred stock in March 2013 as discussed further below. These warrants will expire in October 2018 if not exercised earlier.

In connection with the issuance of the Series D convertible preferred stock during the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company issued warrants to purchase 19,774 shares of Series D convertible preferred

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

stock. Also during the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company issued warrants to purchase 3,530 shares of Series D convertible preferred stock in conjunction with the origination of machinery and equipment capital leases (Note 10). All of the Series D warrants issued during the year ended December 31, 2010 carry an exercise price of \$66.75 per share and contractual terms of either nine or ten years from issuance. The fair value of the Series D warrants issued during the year ended December 31, 2010 in the amount of \$820,000 was recorded as a warrant liability upon issuance. The fair value of the warrants on the date of issue was determined using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: nine or ten year contractual terms, 75.5% expected volatility, 3.0% risk-free interest rate and no expected dividend. These warrants were converted into warrants to purchase shares of Series E-3 convertible preferred stock in March 2013 as discussed further below. These warrants will expire during the years ending December 31, 2019 and 2020 if not exercised earlier.

In connection with the issuance of the Series D convertible preferred stock during the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company issued warrants to purchase 17,977 shares of Series D convertible preferred stock. These warrants carry an exercise price of \$66.75 per share and a contractual term of ten years from issuance. The fair value of the Series D warrants issued during the year ended December 31, 2011 in the amount of \$185,000 was recorded as a warrant liability upon issuance. The fair value of the warrants on the date of issue was determined using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: ten year contractual term, 58.0% expected volatility, 1.7% risk-free interest rate and no expected dividend. These warrants were converted into warrants to purchase shares of Series E-3 convertible preferred stock in March 2013 as discussed further below. These warrants will expire in September 2021 if not exercised earlier.

Series E Warrants

In March 2013 in conjunction with the Series E-5 preferred stock financing, the Company's previously outstanding warrants to purchase convertible preferred stock were exchanged for warrants to purchase shares of Series E convertible preferred stock as follows: (i) the underlying shares of Series C-2 convertible preferred stock converted into Series E-2 convertible preferred stock on a 1-for-1 basis, (ii) the underlying shares of Series C-3 convertible preferred stock converted into Series E-2 convertible preferred stock on a 1-for-1 basis, and (iii) the underlying shares of Series D convertible preferred stock converted into either Series E-3 convertible preferred stock on a 1-for-2.119 basis, Series E-4 convertible preferred stock on a 1-for-4.465 basis or Series E-5 convertible preferred stock on a 1-for-2.977 basis. In addition, the exercise price of most of the new Series E convertible preferred stock warrants was also adjusted in accordance with the terms of the exchange agreement. Upon the exchange, the prior warrants to purchase Series C-2, C-3 and D shares of convertible preferred stock were surrendered and cancelled. The exchange of warrants was accounted for as a modification. The modification resulted in an adjustment to the fair value of the warrants of \$1.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 which was recognized in the statements of operations as a change in the fair value of the convertible preferred stock warrant liability. In July 2013, the Series E-2 warrants expired unexercised.

As of September 30, 2013, the following convertible preferred stock warrants were outstanding (unaudited and in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

	Number of Shares Underlying Warrants	Exercise Price Per Share	Fair Value as of September 30, 2013
Series E-3	30,338	\$ 31.50	\$ 81
Series E-4	88,292	15.00	607
Series E-5	53,511	22.50	771
	172,141		\$ 1,459

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

As of December 31, 2011 and 2012, the following convertible preferred stock warrants were outstanding (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

	Number of Shares Underlying Warrants	Exercise Price Per Share	Fair Value as of December 31,	
			2011	2012
Series C-1	73,487	\$ 63.75	\$	\$
Series C-1	5,078	82.50		
Series C-3	3,623	138.00		
Series D	52,070	66.75	476	351
	134,258		\$ 476	\$ 351

The fair value of the outstanding convertible preferred stock warrants was remeasured as of each period end using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

	As of December 31,		As of September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)
	2011	2012	
Remaining contractual term (in years)	3.9	6.5	6.8
Expected volatility	58.0%	57.0%	59.0%
Risk-free interest rate	0.7%	1.0%	1.9%
Expected dividend rate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Fair Value of Convertible Preferred Stock. The fair value of the shares of the convertible preferred stock underlying the preferred stock warrants has historically been determined by the Board of Directors. Because there has been no public market for the Company's convertible preferred stock, the Board of Directors has determined fair value of the convertible preferred stock at each balance sheet date by considering a number of objective and subjective factors including valuation of comparable companies, sales of convertible preferred stock to unrelated third parties, operating and financial performance, the lack of liquidity of capital stock, and general and industry specific economic outlook, amongst other factors.

Remaining Contractual Term. The Company derived the remaining contractual term based on the time from the balance sheet date until the preferred stock warrant's expiration date.

Expected Volatility. Since the Company was a private entity with no historical data regarding the volatility of its preferred stock, the expected volatility used is based on volatility of a group of similar entities. In evaluating similarity, the Company considered factors such as industry, stage of life cycle and size.

Risk-Free Interest Rate. The risk-free interest rate is based on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with remaining terms similar to the remaining contractual term of the warrants.

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

Expected Dividend Rate. The Company has never paid any dividends and does not plan to pay dividends in the foreseeable future, and, therefore, used an expected dividend rate of zero in the valuation model.

Common Stock Warrants

In connection with the issuance of the Convertible Notes (Note 7), the Company issued warrants to purchase 192,639 shares of common stock with a fair value of \$463,000 during the year ended December 31, 2011 and warrants to purchase 77,521 shares of common stock and with a fair value of \$153,000 during the year ended

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

(A development stage company)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2012, all with an exercise price of \$0.15 per share and a contractual term of seven years. The fair value of the warrants was determined using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: expected volatility of 56.8%, contractual term of seven years and risk-free interest rate of 2.1%. The fair value of the common stock warrants was recorded to additional paid-in capital upon issuance. During the year ended December 31, 2012, warrants to purchase 2,995 shares were exercised through cash payments by the holders.

In connection with the issuance of the Series E-5 convertible preferred stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company also issued to the purchasers warrants to purchase an aggregate of 545,492 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$0.15 per share and a contractual term of seven years. The fair value was determined to be \$4.7 million upon issuance. The fair value of the warrants upon issuance was determined using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: expected volatility of 57.1%, contractual term of seven years and risk-free rate of 1.3%. The fair value of the common stock warrants was recorded to additional paid-in capital upon issuance. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, warrants to purchase 21,721 shares of common stock were exercised, while the other warrants from this arrangement remained outstanding as of September 30, 2013.

15. Stock Option Plan

In December 2012, the Company terminated the Revance 2002 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2002 Plan) and the stockholders approved the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2012 Plan). Shares underlying any outstanding stock awards or stock option grants previously awarded remain subject to the terms of the 2002 Plan. Any shares available for grant or any shares canceled or forfeited prior to vesting or exercise subsequent to the termination of the 2002 Plan become available for use under the 2012 Plan. Upon the effectiveness of the 2012 Plan, the Company ceased granting any equity awards under the 2002 Plan. Subsequent awards have been and will be granted under the 2012 Plan.

The 2012 Plan provides for the granting of stock options to employees, consultants and advisors of the Company. Options granted under the Plan may be either incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options. Incentive stock options (ISO) may be granted only to Company employees, including officers and directors who are also employees. Nonqualified stock options (NSO) may be granted to Company employees, consultants and advisors. As of December 31, 2012, the Company has reserved 339,300 shares of common stock for issuance under the 2012 Plan. The amount reserved under the 2012 Plan was increased by the Board during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 so that there were 373,100 shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the 2012 Plan as of September 30, 2013.

Options under the 2012 Plan may be granted for periods of up to ten years and at prices no less than 85% of the estimated fair value of the shares on the date of grant as determined by the Board of Directors, provided, however, that (i) the exercise price of an ISO and NSO shall not be less than 100% and 85% of the estimated fair value of the shares on the date of grant, and (ii) the exercise price of an ISO and NSO granted to a greater than 10% stockholder shall not be less than 110% of the estimated fair value of the shares on the date of grant. Options granted generally are exercisable over four years. To date, options granted generally vest over four years at a rate of 25% upon the first anniversary of the issuance date and monthly thereafter. Cash received from individuals for early exercise of unvested options is treated as a liability. Amounts so recorded are transferred into common stock and additional paid-in capital as the shares vest. The number of unvested shares and the associated liability amounts were immaterial at all reporting dates presented.

Stock Option Repricing

Effective March 1, 2010, the Company's Board of Directors approved the reduction of the exercise prices of certain outstanding stock options previously granted to nonemployees of the Company who were still providing

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

(A development stage company)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

services to the Company as of that date. The Company repriced options to purchase 13,534 shares of the Company's common stock that included both vested and unvested stock options granted in April 2009 with original exercise prices of \$17.40 per share. The Company's Board of Directors adjusted all of the original exercise prices for the repriced options to \$4.20 per share, which was the fair value of the underlying shares of common stock on the date of the repricing.

Effective July 21, 2010, the Company's Board of Directors approved the reduction of the exercise prices of certain outstanding stock options previously granted to employees and nonemployees of the Company who were still providing services to the Company as of that date. The Company repriced options to purchase 82,277 shares of the Company's common stock that included both vested and unvested stock options granted from April 2008 through October 2008 with original exercise prices of \$17.40 per share. The Company's Board of Directors adjusted all of the original exercise prices for the repriced options to \$2.55 per share, which was the fair value of the underlying shares of common stock on the date of the repricing.

No other terms of the repriced options were modified and these repriced stock options will continue to vest according to their original vesting schedules and will retain their original expiration dates.

These modifications were treated as one-for-one exchanges of the previously issued stock options for new stock options with an exercise price of \$4.20 and \$2.55 per share. The Company recorded stock-based compensation charge of \$83,000 for the incremental value of the vested options. In addition, the Company recorded additional stock-based compensation charges of \$29,000 for the incremental value of the unvested repriced options, which will be recognized over the remaining vesting period of the replacement award.

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The following summary of stock option activity for the periods presented is as follows:

	Number of Shares Available for Grant	Number of Shares Underlying Outstanding Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In thousands) \$
Balance as of August 10, 1999 (Date of Inception)			\$		\$
Shares reserved at inception	43,333				
Options granted	(15,166)	15,166	2.70		
Options cancelled/forfeited	3,866	(3,866)	2.70		
Balance as of December 31, 2002	32,033	11,300	2.70		
Additional options authorized	56,666				
Options granted	(31,466)	31,466	2.70		
Options cancelled/forfeited	1,333	(1,333)	2.70		
Balance as of December 31, 2003	58,566	41,433	2.70		
Additional options authorized	44,000				
Options granted	(15,946)	15,946	3.60		
Options cancelled/forfeited	11,666	(11,666)	3.60		
Balance as of December 31, 2004	98,286	45,713	2.85		
Options cancelled/forfeited	66	(66)	2.70		
Balance as of December 31, 2005	98,352	45,647	2.85		
Options granted	(59,453)	59,453	6.60		
Options cancelled/forfeited	5,166	(5,166)	5.55		
Balance as of December 31, 2006	44,065	99,934	4.95		
Additional options authorized	115,000				
Options granted	(40,100)	40,100	6.60		
Options exercised		(228)	6.60		
Options cancelled/forfeited	1,404	(1,404)	6.45		
Balance as of December 31, 2007	120,369	138,402	5.40		
Options granted	(102,810)	102,810	17.25		
Options exercised		(638)	6.60		
Options cancelled/forfeited	4,361	4,361	6.60		
Balance as of December 31, 2008	21,920	236,213	10.50		
Additional options authorized	60,682				
Options granted	(19,807)	19,807	17.40		
Options exercised		(8,634)	11.10		

Options cancelled/forfeited	17,938	17,938	10.80
-----------------------------	--------	--------	-------

F-50

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.**

(A development stage company)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

	Number of Shares Available for Grant	Number of Shares Underlying Outstanding Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In thousands)
Balance as of December 31, 2009	80,733	229,448	11.10		
Additional shares reserved	38,450				
Options granted	(101,466)	101,466	3.00		
Options exercised		(3,466)	2.70		
Options cancelled/forfeited	19,500	(19,500)	15.15		
Balance as of December 31, 2010	37,217	307,948	3.75		
Options granted	(13,133)	13,133	2.40		
Options exercised		(3,333)	17.40		
Options cancelled/forfeited	197	(197)	2.55		
Balance as of December 31, 2011	24,281	317,551	3.45		
Options granted	(10,266)	10,266	1.35		
Options exercised		(2,530)	2.55		
Options cancelled/forfeited	18,970	(18,970)	2.70		
Balance as of December 31, 2012	32,985	306,317	\$ 3.45		
Additional shares reserved (unaudited)	1,080,661				
Options granted (unaudited)	(771,673)	771,673	8.70		
Options exercised (unaudited)		(1,675)	2.55		
Options cancelled/forfeited (unaudited)	31,127	(31,127)	2.70		
Balance as of September 30, 2013	373,100	1,045,188	\$ 7.37	8.5	\$ 1,568
Vested and expected to vest as of September 30, 2013 (unaudited)		972,721	\$ 7.35	8.4	\$ 1,525
Exercisable as of September 30, 2013 (unaudited)		307,276	\$ 4.50	5.9	\$ 2,719

The intrinsic values of outstanding, vested and exercisable options were determined by multiplying the number of shares by the difference in exercise price of the options and the fair value of the common stock as of September 30, 2013 of \$8.85 per share.

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The following table summarizes information with respect to stock options outstanding and currently exercisable as of December 31, 2011:

Exercise Price	Options Outstanding		Options Exercisable
	Number of Options	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Life (In Years)	
\$0.45	900	9.84	1
\$2.55	192,379	8.63	129,495
\$2.70	37,833	1.39	37,833
\$3.60	4,280	2.82	4,280
\$4.20	13,534	8.16	10,201
\$6.60	68,620	4.98	68,620
	317,546		250,430

The following table summarizes information with respect to stock options outstanding and currently exercisable as of December 31, 2012:

Exercise Price	Options Outstanding		Options Exercisable
	Number of Options	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Life (In Years)	
\$0.45	2,833	9.05	667
\$1.50	8,233	9.63	
\$2.55	182,145	7.61	155,318
\$2.70	26,666	0.62	26,666
\$3.60	4,280	1.81	4,280
\$4.20	13,534	7.16	10,201
\$6.60	68,620	3.98	68,620
	306,311		265,752

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The following table summarizes information with respect to stock options outstanding and currently exercisable as of September 30, 2013 (unaudited):

Exercise Price	Options Outstanding		Options Exercisable
	Number of Options	Weighted-Average Contractual Life (In Years)	
\$0.45	2,829	8.29	1,227
\$1.50	8,231	8.87	2,802
\$2.55	177,636	5.83	169,557
\$3.60	2,779	1.07	2,780
\$4.20	13,532	5.59	10,201
\$6.60	68,611	3.23	68,620
\$8.70	771,570	9.66	52,087
	1,045,188		307,274

Stock Options Granted to Employees

During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012, the Company granted stock options to employees to purchase shares of common stock with a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$2.40 and \$1.80 per share and weighted-average exercise price of \$2.40 and \$1.35 per share. As of December 31, 2011 and 2012 and September 30, 2013, there was total unrecognized compensation cost of \$70,000, \$31,000 and \$2.8 million to be recognized over a period of approximately 1.5 years, 1.8 years and 3.2 years.

The Company estimated the fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The fair value of employee stock options is being amortized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the awards. The fair value of the employee stock options was estimated using the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Year Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2012	2012	2013
			(Unaudited)	
Expected term (in years)	5.6	5.9	5.9	6.0
Expected volatility	58.0%	56.9%	56.9%	61.3%
Risk-free interest rate	1.7%	0.8%	0.8%	1.3%
Expected dividend rate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Fair Value of Common Stock. The fair value of the shares of common stock underlying the stock options has historically been determined by the Board of Directors. Because there has been no public market for the Company's common stock, the Board of Directors has determined fair value of the common stock at the time of grant of the option by considering a number of objective and subjective factors including valuation of comparable companies, sales of convertible preferred stock to unrelated third parties, operating and financial performance, the lack of liquidity of capital stock, and general and industry specific economic outlook, amongst other factors. The fair value of the underlying common stock shall be determined by the Board of Directors until such time as the Company's common stock is listed on an established stock exchange or national market system.

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

Expected Term. The expected term is derived from the Company's historical data on employee exercises and post-vesting employment termination behavior taking into account the contractual life of the award.

Expected Volatility. Since the Company was a private entity with no historical data regarding the volatility of its common stock, the expected volatility used is based on volatility of a group of similar entities. In evaluating similarity, the Company considered factors such as industry, stage of life cycle and size. The Company will continue to analyze the historical stock price volatility and expected term assumptions as more historical data for the Company's common stock becomes available.

Risk-Free Interest Rate. The risk-free interest rate is based on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with remaining terms similar to the expected term of the options.

Expected Dividend Rate. The Company has never paid any dividends and does not plan to pay dividends in the foreseeable future, and, therefore, used an expected dividend rate of zero in the valuation model.

Forfeitures. The Company is required to estimate forfeitures at the time of grant, and revise those estimates in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. The Company uses historical data to estimate pre-vesting option forfeitures and record stock based compensation expense only for those awards that are expected to vest. To the extent actual forfeitures differ from the estimates, the difference will be recorded as a cumulative adjustment in the period that the estimates are revised.

Stock Options Granted to Nonemployees

Stock-based compensation expense related to stock options granted to nonemployees is recognized as the stock options are earned. During the year ended December 31, 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company did not grant options to purchase shares of common stock to nonemployees.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company granted options to purchase 666 shares of common stock to a nonemployee with an exercise price of \$2.55 per share. Compensation expense related to these options during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013 was immaterial.

Stock-based compensation expense related to stock options granted to nonemployees is recognized as the stock options are earned. The Company believes that the fair value of the stock options is more reliably measurable than the fair value of services received. The fair value of the stock options granted is calculated at each reporting date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Year Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2012	2012	2013
	(Unaudited)			
Expected term (in years)	7.6	6.8	6.9	9.1
Risk-free interest rate	1.6%	1.2%	1.2%	1.0%
Expected volatility	58.0%	57.0%	57.0%	59.1%
Expected dividend rate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)*****Total Stock-Based Compensation***

Total stock-based compensation expense related to options granted to employees and nonemployees was allocated as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended		Cumulative Period from August 10, 1999 (Date of Inception) to September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)
	2011	2012	September 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)	
Research and development	\$ 150	\$ 48	\$ 27	\$ 138	\$ 1,180
Sales, general and administrative	123	31	39	208	1,058
Total stock based compensation expense	\$ 273	\$ 79	\$ 66	\$ 346	\$ 2,238

There were no capitalized stock-based compensation costs or recognized stock-based compensation tax benefits during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012, the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013, or for the periods from August 10, 1999 (Date of Inception) through September 30, 2013.

16. Net Income (Loss) per Share Attributable to Common Stockholders

The following table sets forth the computation of the Company's basic and diluted net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013 (in thousands, except for share and per share amounts):

	Year Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2012	2012 (Unaudited)	2013 (Unaudited)
Net loss	\$ (44,863)	\$ (58,259)	\$ (47,358)	\$ (42,369)
Capital contribution on the extinguishment of prior convertible preferred stock				74,894
Deemed dividend on the issuance of Series E-5 convertible preferred stock				(177)
Noncumulative dividend on Series E convertible preferred stock				(9,974)
Undistributed earnings allocated to preferred stockholders				(21,641)
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders, basic	(44,843)	(58,259)	(47,358)	733
Adjustments to net income (loss) for dilutive securities				2,233
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders, diluted	\$ (44,843)	\$ (58,259)	\$ (47,358)	\$ 2,966

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

Net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders				
Basic	\$ (226.06)	\$ (290.48)	\$ (237.12)	\$ 3.40
Diluted	\$ (226.06)	\$ (290.48)	\$ (237.12)	\$ 3.05
Weighted-average shares used in computing net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders:				
Basic	198,456	200,560	199,719	215,315
Diluted	198,456	200,560	199,719	971,472

F-55

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The following common stock equivalents were excluded from the computation of diluted net income (loss) per share for the periods presented because including them would have been antidilutive:

	As of December 31,		As of September 30,	
	2011	2012	2012	2013
			(Unaudited)	
Stock options	317,547	306,312	314,812	771,573
Convertible preferred stock	1,741,432	1,741,432	1,741,432	8,690,061
Convertible preferred stock warrants	155,749	82,262	155,749	172,145
Common stock warrants	192,639	267,166	215,186	

As of December 31, 2011 and 2012 and September 30, 2012, the above table does not include potential issuances of common stock related to the Convertible Notes which converted into shares of Series E-4 convertible preferred stock in June 2013.

The following table sets forth the computation of the Company's unaudited pro forma basic and diluted net loss per share during the year ended December 31, 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2013 (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

	Year Ended	Nine Months
	December 31,	Ended
	2012	September 30,
	(Unaudited)	
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders, basic	\$ (58,259)	\$ 733
Capital contribution on the extinguishment of prior convertible preferred stock		(74,894)
Deemed dividend on the issuance of Series E-5 convertible preferred stock		177
Noncumulative dividend on Series E convertible preferred stock		9,974
Undistributed earnings allocated to preferred stockholders		21,641
Change in fair value of convertible preferred stock warrant liability	(125)	(60)
Net loss used in computing pro forma net loss per share attributable to common stockholders, basic and diluted	\$ (58,384)	\$ (42,429)
Weighted-average shares used in computing net loss per share attributable to common stockholders, basic	200,560	215,315
Pro forma adjustments to reflect assumed exercise of common stock warrants	204,625	603,864
Pro forma adjustments to reflect assumed conversion of convertible preferred stock	1,741,432	6,357,615
Weighted-average shares used in computing pro forma net loss per share attributable to common stockholders, basic and diluted	2,146,617	7,176,794
Pro forma net loss per share attributable to common stockholders, basic and diluted:	\$ (27.20)	\$ (5.91)

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****17. Income Taxes**

Since inception, the Company has only generated pretax losses in the United States and has not generated any pretax income or loss outside the United States. The Company did not record a provision (benefit) for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 or the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2013. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2011 and 2012 consist of the following (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2011	2012
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss carryforward	\$ 49,899	\$ 63,809
Tax credits	4,830	5,027
Fixed and intangible assets	(64)	2,536
Accruals and reserves	5,648	3,406
Total deferred tax assets	60,313	74,778
Valuation allowance	(60,313)	(74,778)
Net deferred tax assets	\$	\$

Reconciliations of the statutory federal income tax (benefit) to the Company's effective tax for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2011	2012
Tax (benefit) at statutory federal rate	\$ (14,648)	\$ (19,808)
State tax (benefit) net of federal benefit	(2,513)	(3,398)
Permanent differences	4,915	8,887
Section 382 limitation	(11,210)	
Research and development credits	(409)	(197)
Other	170	51
Change in valuation allowance	23,695	14,465
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	\$	\$

A valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The Company has established a valuation allowance to offset net deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2011 and 2012 and September 30, 2013 due to the uncertainty of realizing future tax benefits from its net operating loss carryforwards and other deferred tax assets.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards available to reduce future taxable income, if any, for both Federal and California state income tax purposes of \$160.0 million. The Federal net operating loss carryforward begins expiring during the year ended December 31, 2020 and the state net operating loss carryforwards began expiring during the year ended December 31, 2011. The net operating loss related to deferred tax assets do not include excess tax benefits from employee stock option exercises.

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

Due to United States federal legislation on January 2, 2013 extending federal research and development tax credits from January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2013, the Company will record an additional \$378,000 of credits in the tax year 2013 related to tax year 2012. As of December 31, 2012, the Company also had research and development credit carryforwards of \$4.1 million and \$4.0 million available to reduce future taxable income, if

F-57

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

(A development stage company)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

any, for Federal and California state income tax purposes. The Federal credit carryforwards will begin expiring in 2023 and the state credit carryforwards have no expiration date.

In general, if the Company experiences a greater than 50 percentage point aggregate change in ownership over a three-year period (a Section 382 ownership change), utilization of its pre-change NOL carryforwards are subject to an annual limitation under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code (California has similar laws). The annual limitation generally is determined by multiplying the value of the Company's stock at the time of such ownership change (subject to certain adjustments) by the applicable long-term tax-exempt rate. Such limitations may result in expiration of a portion of the NOL carryforwards before utilization. The Company has determined that an ownership change occurred on April 7, 2004 but that all carryforwards can be utilized prior to expiration. The ability of the Company to use its remaining NOL carryforwards may be further limited if the Company experiences a Section 382 ownership change in connection with this offering or as a result of future changes in its stock ownership.

On January 1, 2009 the Company adopted the provisions of the FASB's guidance for accounting for uncertain tax positions. The guidance prescribes a comprehensive model for the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure in consolidated financial statements of any uncertain tax positions that have been taken or expected to be taken on a tax return. The cumulative effect of adopting this guidance did not result in an adjustment to accumulated deficit as of January 1, 2009. No liability related to uncertain tax positions is recorded in the consolidated financial statements related to uncertain tax positions. It is the Company's policy to include penalties and interest expense related to income taxes as a component of other expense and interest expense as necessary.

The unrecognized tax benefit was \$1.9 million and \$2.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012. The Company does not expect that its uncertain tax positions will materially change in the next twelve months.

The Company files income tax returns in the United States and in California. The Company is not currently under examination by income tax authorities in federal, state or other jurisdictions. All tax returns will remain open for examination by the federal and state authorities for three and four years from the date of utilization of any net operating loss or credits.

There was no impact on the provision (benefit) for income taxes or the deferred tax assets as a result of the extinguishment of debt (Note 7) and extinguishment of preferred stock and related conversion (Note 13), which occurred in March 2013.

18. Defined Contribution Plan

The Company sponsors a defined contribution plan under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code covering substantially all employees over the age of 21 years. Contributions made by the Company are voluntary and are determined annually by the Board of Directors on an individual basis subject to the maximum allowable amount under federal tax regulations. The Company has made no contributions to the plan since its inception.

19. Subsequent Events

In early 2013, the Company raised \$34.0 million through the issuance of 1,514,890 shares of Series E convertible preferred stock at a price of \$22.425 per share. In conjunction with the issuance of Series E convertible preferred stock in this offering, the Company also issued warrants to purchase 454,466 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$0.15 per share and a contractual term of seven years. As a result of the financing and the Medicis settlement agreement (Note 4), the Company made partial payment in the amount of \$5.9 million against the Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment liability in April 2013. As a result, the remaining Proceeds Sharing Arrangement Payment was reduced to \$7.0 million, assuming that this payment occurs prior to October 2013.

Edgar Filing: Revance Therapeutics, Inc. - Form 424B4

In addition, the Company issued 4,748,468 shares of Series E convertible preferred stock with the conversion of the outstanding principal and accrued interest of the Convertible Notes (Note 7) of \$71.0 million at

F-58

Table of Contents**REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.****(A development stage company)****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

a conversion price equal to $66\frac{2}{3}\%$ of the Series E offering price of \$22.425 per share per the terms of the Convertible Notes (Note 7). Immediately prior to the conversion, the related embedded derivative liability was settled. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the occurrence of the contingent settlement event to the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company expected that the conversion of the Convertible Notes has a significant impact to the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Also in March 2013 in conjunction with the Series E preferred stock financing, the Company's outstanding convertible preferred stock converted into Series E as follows: (i) Series A and B convertible preferred stock converted into Series E-1 convertible preferred stock, (ii) Series C convertible preferred stock converted into Series E-2 convertible preferred stock, (iii) Series D convertible preferred stock converted into Series E-3 convertible preferred stock and (iv) the conversion of \$71.0 million of convertible debt, including accrued interest, converted into Series E-4 convertible preferred stock.

In January 2013, the Company issued purchase orders to two suppliers for a total of \$10.4 million for the acquisition of new equipment to be installed in the manufacturing facility.

For its consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2012 and for the year then ended, the Company evaluated subsequent events through April 18, 2013, the date on which those consolidated financial statements were available to be issued.

20. Subsequent Events (Unaudited)

On October 3, 2013 the Company granted stock options under the 2012 Plan for 3,333 shares at an exercise price of \$8.85. The aggregate grant date fair value is estimated to be \$20,500. On December 17, 2013 the Company granted stock options under the 2012 Plan for 217,216 shares at an exercise price of \$9.15. The aggregate grant date fair value is estimated to be \$1.1 million. On January 15, 2014 the Company granted stock options under the 2012 Plan for 13,333 shares at an exercise price of \$15.45. The aggregate grant date fair value is estimated to be \$143,000. On January 15, 2014 the Company issued 1,111 shares of restricted common stock to a non-employee. The aggregate grant date fair value is estimated to be \$17,200.

The Company issued \$23.65 million of convertible notes in the fourth quarter of 2013 and in January 2014, which carry an annual interest rate of 12% and mature in October 2014. The principal and interest under the convertible notes are convertible into (i) shares of convertible preferred stock in the next qualified financing at the per share price to the public of the stock sold in the financing or (ii) shares of common stock upon an IPO at the per share price of the stock sold in the IPO, if either event occurs prior to maturity of the convertible notes. If such conversion occurs prior to October 7, 2014, the unpaid accrued interest shall also include any interest that would have accrued had the 2013 notes remained outstanding through October 7, 2014. If upon maturity a qualified preferred stock financing or IPO has not occurred, the holders may convert their notes into shares of Series E-5 at \$22.425 per share. Upon a liquidation event, acquisition or asset sale of the Company before the notes are converted or repaid, the convertible notes will be either, at the election of the holder, (i) repaid at 300% of the original principal plus accrued interest or (ii) converted into shares of Series E-5 convertible preferred stock at \$22.425 per share. The convertible notes may not be prepaid without written consent of the holders of at least $66\frac{2}{3}\%$ aggregate principal amount, but upon consent, will be repaid at 150% of the outstanding principal plus accrued interest to the date of the prepayment. The convertible notes are secured by all of the Company's assets, excluding intellectual property. The convertible notes were also accompanied by common stock warrants to be issued, the number of which will ultimately be issued will be equal to (i) the aggregate number of shares issuable upon conversion of the notes multiplied by 25% or (ii) 25% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes divided by \$20.1825 if the notes have not converted or been repaid at the time of exercise. The common stock warrants will have an exercise price of \$0.15 per share and will expire if not exercised on the earlier to

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

(A development stage company)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

occur of (i) the Company's IPO, (ii) an acquisition or asset transfer of the Company or (iii) seven years from the date of issuance. The Company will record the fair value of the warrants in the amount of \$3.7 million on the date of issuance as a debt discount and warrant liability on the consolidated balance sheet. The warrant liability will require remeasurement to fair value as of each balance sheet date while the warrants are outstanding with changes in fair value being recorded to other income (expense), net on the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive loss.

These convertible notes had conversion and redemption features, certain of which were determined to be embedded derivatives requiring bifurcation and separate accounting. On the date of issuance, the Company will record the fair value of the embedded derivatives associated with these convertible notes in the amount of \$6.8 million as a debt discount and derivative liability on the consolidated balance sheet. The derivative liability will require remeasurement to fair value as of each balance sheet date while the notes are outstanding with changes in fair value being recorded to other income (expense), net on the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive loss.

In conjunction with the Company's agreements with List Biological Laboratories, Inc., the Company made milestone payments in the amount of \$2.0 million in April 2013 and \$1.8 million in October 2013.

In December 2013, the Company entered into the Essex Capital Facility to finance the construction and installation of equipment for use in its manufacturing facility. Under this facility, Essex Capital will provide the Company a series of short-term notes aggregating to \$10.8 million during the construction period which is expected to last through 2014. These short-term notes mature one year from the date of the Essex Capital Facility and bear interest at 11.5%. Upon completion of the Company's initial public offering, the interest rate will decrease to 10.375%. Upon completion of the installation and acceptance of equipment, the Company will sell the equipment back to Essex Capital for a purchase price equal to the principal and any accrued interest then outstanding on the notes issued to finance such equipment. The Company will then lease back the equipment for a thirty-six month lease term. At the end of the lease term, the Company will have the option to purchase the equipment at 10% of the original equipment cost. The short-term notes to be issued under the Essex Capital Facility are secured by all of the Company's tangible assets, excluding intellectual property. Under the Essex Capital Facility, the Company also agreed to issue warrants to purchase its capital stock in connection with each issuance of notes. The Company is required to issue these warrants regardless of whether it draws down the full \$10.8 million under the agreement. Convertible preferred stock warrants will be issued for all notes issued before the Company's initial public offering. These warrants will be exercisable for the Company's (i) next round of convertible preferred stock with the number of shares determined by dividing 10% of the principal amount of the notes by 90% of the issuance price of that preferred stock or (ii) Series E-5 convertible preferred stock, if another round of equity financing does not occur before the exercise of these warrants, with the number of shares determined by dividing 10% of the principal amount of the notes by \$20.25 per share. Common stock warrants will be issued for all notes issued after the Company's initial public offering. The number of shares of common stock to be issued pursuant to these warrants will be determined by dividing 10% of the principal amount of the notes divided by 81% of the price per share of common stock issued to public in the initial public offering. In December 2013, the Company drew down \$2.5 million under short-term notes pursuant to the Essex Capital Facility and issued 12,345 shares of Series E-5 convertible preferred stock warrants, and on January 27, 2014, the Company drew down an additional \$2.5 million under short-term notes and issued warrants to purchase an additional 12,345 shares of Series E-5 convertible preferred stock.

For the issuance of the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 2013, and the nine months then ended, the Company has evaluated subsequent events through January 24, 2014, the date on which the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements were available to be issued.

Table of Contents

REVANCE THERAPEUTICS, INC.

(A development stage company)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

21. Reverse Stock Split

In January 2014, the Company's Board of Directors and stockholders approved an amended and restated certificate of incorporation effecting a 1-for-15 reverse stock split of the Company's issued and outstanding shares of common stock and convertible preferred stock. The reverse split was effected on February 3, 2014. The par value of the common and convertible preferred stock was not adjusted as a result of the reverse stock split. All issued and outstanding share and per share amounts included in the accompanying financial statements have been adjusted to reflect this reverse stock split for all periods presented.

F-61

Table of Contents

6,000,000 Shares

Common Stock

PROSPECTUS

February 5, 2014

Cowen and Company

Piper Jaffray

BMO Capital Markets

Through and including March 2, 2014 (25 days after the commencement of this offering, all dealers that buy, sell or trade shares of our common stock, whether or not participating in this offering, may be required to deliver a prospectus. This delivery requirement is in addition to the dealers' obligation to deliver a prospectus when acting as underwriters and with respect to their unsold allotments or subscriptions.