

ABIOMED INC
Form DEF 14A
June 30, 2016

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to 240.14a-12

ABIOMED, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement if Other Than The Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

Edgar Filing: ABIOMED INC - Form DEF 14A

x No fee required.

.. Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

ABIOMED, Inc.

22 Cherry Hill Drive

Danvers, Massachusetts 01923

Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders

To Be Held on August 10, 2016

The Annual Meeting (the Annual Meeting) of Stockholders of ABIOMED, Inc. (the Company) will be held on August 10, 2016 at 8:00 a.m. at the Mandarin Oriental, 776 Boylston Street, Boston, Massachusetts, 02199 for the following purposes:

1. To elect two Class III directors to our Board of Directors to serve for three-year terms extending until the 2019 Annual Meeting of stockholders or until their successors are duly elected and qualified;
2. To hold an advisory vote on executive compensation;
3. To amend and restate the ABIOMED, Inc. 2015 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the 2015 Plan) and to increase the number of shares of common stock available for issuance thereunder by 1,260,000 shares;
4. To ratify the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2017; and
5. To consider and act upon any other matter which may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjourned session thereof. Our Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on June 24, 2016 as the record date for determining the stockholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting. Accordingly, only stockholders of record at the close of business on June 24, 2016 will be entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting or any adjournments thereof.

By Order of the Board of Directors

/s/ Stephen C. McEvoy
Stephen C. McEvoy, Secretary

Boston, Massachusetts

June 30, 2016

This proxy statement and the form of proxy are first being sent or given to stockholders on or about

June 30, 2016 pursuant to rules adopted by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT

TO ASSURE YOUR REPRESENTATION AT THE MEETING, YOU ARE URGED TO VOTE, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE IN THE POSTAGE-PAID ENVELOPE ENCLOSED FOR THAT PURPOSE. EVEN IF YOU HAVE GIVEN YOUR PROXY, THE PROXY MAY BE REVOKED AT ANY TIME PRIOR TO EXERCISE BY FILING WITH OUR SECRETARY A WRITTEN REVOCATION, BY EXECUTING A PROXY WITH A LATER DATE, OR BY ATTENDING AND VOTING AT THE MEETING.

**IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS
FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON AUGUST 10, 2016:**

**This Notice of Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement, 2016 Annual Report to Stockholders and Proxy Card
are available at <http://www.abiomed.com/proxy>.**

ABIOMED, Inc.

PROXY STATEMENT

FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To be held on August 10, 2016

This proxy statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by and on behalf of our Board of Directors for use at our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held at the Mandarin Oriental, 776 Boylston Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02199 on August 10, 2016 at 8:00 a.m. Eastern Time and at any adjournment or adjournments thereof. We are a Delaware corporation and our principal executive offices are located at 22 Cherry Hill Drive, Danvers, Massachusetts 01923.

We will bear any cost of solicitation. Some of our officers and employees may solicit proxies by correspondence, telephone or in person, without extra compensation. We may also pay to banks, brokers, nominees and other fiduciaries their reasonable charges and expenses incurred in forwarding proxy material to their principals. It is expected that this proxy statement and the accompanying proxy will be mailed to our stockholders on or about June 30, 2016.

We have fixed the close of business on June 24, 2016 as the record date for the Annual Meeting. Only stockholders of record at the close of business on the record date will be entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting. As of June 24, 2016, there were outstanding and entitled to vote 42,991,800 shares of our common stock, \$0.01 par value per share. Our by-laws require that a majority in interest of all stock issued, outstanding and entitled to vote at a meeting shall constitute a quorum. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted as present or represented for purposes of determining the existence of a quorum. A non-vote occurs when a broker or nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a proposal because the broker or nominee does not have discretionary voting power and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner.

Only stockholders of record of shares of the Company's common stock at the close of business on the record date, or their designated proxies, are entitled to attend the Annual Meeting. If you hold your shares in street name (i.e., your shares are held in an account maintained by a bank, broker or other nominee), then you should bring proof of beneficial ownership as of the record date, such as an account statement reflecting your stock ownership as of the record date, a copy of the voting instruction form provided by your broker, bank or other nominee, or other similar evidence of ownership. If you are a representative or proxyholder of an entity that owns common stock of the Company, you must present evidence that you are the entity's authorized representative or proxyholder, and, if the entity is a street name owner, proof of the entity's beneficial ownership as of the record date. We reserve the right to limit the number of representatives who may attend the meeting.

Each attendee will be asked to present a valid government-issued photo identification, such as a driver's license or passport, before being admitted. Cameras, recording devices, and other electronic devices are prohibited at the meeting, and attendees may be subject to security inspections and other security precautions. For safety and security reasons, we will be unable to admit anyone who does not present proper identification, proof of ownership or legal proxy, or refuses to comply with our security procedures. You can find directions to the Annual Meeting at <http://www.mandarinoriental.com/boston/hotel/hotel-directions>.

Proposal No. 1 (election of directors) requires the affirmative vote of a plurality of the votes cast by holders of our common stock entitled to vote thereon, provided that a quorum is present. However, we have adopted a majority voting policy that is applicable in uncontested elections of directors. This means that the plurality standard will determine whether a director nominee is elected, but our majority voting policy will further require that the number of votes cast for a director must exceed the number of votes withheld from the director or the director must submit his or her resignation. The Governance and Nominating Committee would then consider whether to recommend that the Board accept or reject the resignation. See Corporate Governance Majorities Voting and Director Resignations below for additional details.

Proposal No. 2 (the advisory vote on executive compensation), Proposal No. 3 (the amendment and restatement of the 2015 Plan and the increase of the number of shares of common stock available under the 2015 Plan), and Proposal No. 4 (ratification of the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm) each requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by holders of our common stock entitled to vote thereon, provided that a quorum is present. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be included in calculating the number of votes cast on Proposal No. 2, Proposal No. 3, or Proposal No. 4. Votes will be tabulated by American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, our transfer agent.

THE ENCLOSED PROXY, IF EXECUTED AND RETURNED, WILL BE VOTED AS DIRECTED ON THE PROXY OR, IN THE ABSENCE OF SUCH DIRECTION, FOR THE NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR (PROPOSAL NO. 1), FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS (PROPOSAL NO. 2), FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE AMENDMENT AND RESTATEMENT OF THE 2015 PLAN (PROPOSAL NO. 3), AND FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM (PROPOSAL NO. 4). IF ANY OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY COME BEFORE THE MEETING, THE SHARES REPRESENTED BY THE PROXY WILL BE VOTED BY THE HOLDERS OF THE PROXIES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THEIR BEST JUDGMENT. THE PROXY MAY BE REVOKED AT ANY TIME PRIOR TO EXERCISE BY FILING WITH OUR SECRETARY A WRITTEN REVOCATION, BY EXECUTING A PROXY WITH A LATER DATE, OR BY ATTENDING AND VOTING AT THE MEETING.

Our annual report to stockholders for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016, including financial statements audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, is being sent to each of our stockholders simultaneously with this proxy statement. The notice of Annual Meeting, this proxy statement, our 2016 annual report to stockholders and the proxy card are also available on the internet at <http://www.abiomed.com/proxy>. This web site does not use cookies to track or identify visitors to the web site. Directions to the Annual Meeting are available on the internet at <http://www.mandarinoriental.com/boston/hotel/hotel-directions/>.

PROPOSAL NO. 1

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our Board of Directors currently consists of nine directors and is divided into three classes. We refer to these classes as Class I, Class II and Class III. The term of one class of directors expires each year at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Each director also continues to serve as a director until his or her successor is duly elected and qualified. This year, the term of the Class III directors, which includes Mr. Michael R. Minogue, Mr. Martin P. Sutter and Dr. W.G. Austen, expires at the Annual Meeting.

Our Board of Directors has nominated each of Mr. Michael R. Minogue and Mr. Martin P. Sutter to serve as a Class III director for a three year term until the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, or until his successor has been duly elected and qualified. The Board of Directors has not taken action to fill the vacancy resulting from the expiration of Dr. W.G. Austen's term in office as a Class III director. Effective as of the Annual Meeting, the Board will bestow upon Dr. Austen the honorary title of Director Emeritus.

Each of Mr. Michael R. Minogue and Mr. Martin P. Sutter was most recently elected by our stockholders as a Class III director at our Annual Meeting of Stockholders in August 2013. See Executive Officers and Directors for further information about Mr. Michael R. Minogue and Mr. Martin P. Sutter.

If either nominee at the time of the Annual Meeting is unable or unwilling to serve or is otherwise unavailable for election, and our Board of Directors designates another nominee, the persons named as proxies will vote the proxy for such substitute, if any. Our Board of Directors has no reason to believe that either of the proposed nominees will be unable or unwilling to serve. The proposed nominees are not being nominated pursuant to any arrangement or understanding with any person.

Our Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR the election of Mr. Michael R. Minogue and Mr. Martin P. Sutter.

PROPOSAL NO. 2

ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, which was enacted in July 2010, requires that we provide our stockholders with the opportunity to vote to approve, on a non-binding, advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement. We refer to this non-binding advisory vote as the say on pay vote. Although this vote is not binding on us, we value the opinion of our stockholders. Our Board of Directors and Compensation Committee will carefully consider the outcome of the vote as we make future decisions on executive compensation.

We will hold a non-binding, advisory vote of our stockholders on the compensation of our named executive officers every year until the next required stockholder vote on the frequency of such advisory vote. The next stockholder vote on the frequency of such advisory vote currently is expected to be held at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders in August 2017.

As described under the heading Executive Compensation, our compensation programs are designed to attract and retain our executive officers by offering compensation that is competitive with peer organizations. Our compensation consists of a mixture of cash payments and equity incentives which we believe align our executive compensation with our stockholder objectives. We review our compensation policies annually with the help of compensation consultants to ensure that our policies meet market expectations and are fair. We encourage you to carefully review the compensation discussion and analysis beginning on page 26 of this proxy statement for a discussion of the factors underlying the structure of our executive compensation program.

We are asking you to indicate your support for the compensation of our named executive officers as described in this proxy statement. The vote on this proposal is not intended to address any specific element of compensation but rather relates to the overall compensation of our named executive officers, as described in this proxy statement pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K (including in the compensation discussion and analysis, compensation tables and accompanying narrative disclosures).

Accordingly, as required pursuant to Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, we ask our stockholders to vote in favor of the following resolution at the Annual Meeting:

RESOLVED, the stockholders of ABIOMED, Inc. APPROVE, on an advisory basis, the compensation paid to the named executive officers, as disclosed in the Company's proxy statement for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, including the compensation discussion and analysis, the compensation tables and accompanying narrative disclosures.

Our Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR the approval of the compensation of our named executive officers, as disclosed in this proxy statement.

PROPOSAL NO. 3

APPROVAL OF THE AMENDED AND RESTATED 2015 OMNIBUS INCENTIVE PLAN

We are asking stockholders to approve the adoption of the ABIOMED, Inc. 2015 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the 2015 Plan) as amended and restated, adopted by our Board on June 20, 2016 (the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan). The 2015 Plan was originally adopted by our Board of Directors on June 29, 2015 and approved by the stockholders on August 12, 2015. The number of shares originally authorized for issuance under the 2015 Plan was 2,000,000 shares of common stock. As discussed further below, stockholders are being asked to approve an amendment to the 2015 Plan to enable us to increase the number of shares of our common stock available for issuance under the 2015 Plan by 1,260,000 shares. The Amended and Restated 2015 Plan also amends the 2015 Plan by (i) including a minimum vesting requirement of at least one year from date of grant for awards and (ii) increasing the fungible ratio of each award (except for stock options and stock appreciation rights (SARs)) against shares of common stock in the share pool from 1.70 to 1.80, all as further described below.

Equity grants are an essential element of the Company s compensation program. Stockholder approval of the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan would allow us to continue to attract and retain high quality and high performing directors, executives, and other employees with equity incentives. The Board approved the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan and the additional shares of common stock authorized for issuance under it based upon its review and consideration of:

the Company s historic rates of equity award issuances;

the dilutive impact to stockholders;

the expected increase in hiring of new employees to support our anticipated growth;

the equity plan guidelines established by certain institutional investors and proxy advisory firms; and

the advice provided by Radford, an Aon Hewitt Company a division of Aon Corporation, the Compensation Committee s independent consultant.

The Board of Directors believes that it is in the best interest of the Company s stockholders for the Company s employees (including its officers), directors, and certain consultants to have an ownership interest in the Company and that granting equity awards to such persons motivates them to contribute to the Company s success. We do not believe that the remaining shares of common stock available for issuance under the 2015 Plan are sufficient to continue implementing the Company s stock incentive program over at least the next two years taking into account the rate at which we have historically granted equity awards and certain other factors, including an expected increase in the number of our employees to support the Company s future growth. Accordingly, on June 20, 2016, our Board approved the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan, subject to stockholder approval, to, among other things, increase the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the 2015 Plan by 1,260,000 shares. The Amended and Restated 2015 Plan is being submitted to the Company s stockholders for approval.

The Board of Directors believes that the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan continues to promote the interests of stockholders and is consistent with principles of good corporate governance, including:

Independent Committee. The Amended and Restated 2015 Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee, which is composed entirely of independent directors who meet Nasdaq Stock Market standards for independence and who meet the definition of outside directors for purposes of the performance-based compensation exemption under Section 162(m) (Section 162(m)) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code) and non-employee directors under Rule 16b-3(b)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Edgar Filing: ABIOMED INC - Form DEF 14A

No Discounted Stock Options or SARs. All stock option and SAR awards under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan must have an exercise or base price that is not less than the fair market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

No Repricing. Other than in connection with a corporate transaction affecting the Company or certain other events, the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan prohibits any repricing of stock options or SARs without obtaining stockholder approval in accordance with the Nasdaq Stock Market requirements.

Limits on Awards. The Amended and Restated 2015 Plan limits the number of stock options, SARs and other awards that may be granted to plan participants in any fiscal year of the Company and also contains separate limits on the value of awards that may be made to non-employee directors in any fiscal year of the Company.

Performance Awards. Under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan, the Compensation Committee may grant performance-based awards intended to qualify as exempt performance-based compensation under Section 162(m), as well as other performance-based awards.

No Liberal Share Recycling. Shares underlying stock options and other awards issued under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan will not be recycled into the share pool under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan if they are withheld in payment of the exercise price of the award or to satisfy tax withholding obligations in respect of the award.

Fungible Share Design. Each award granted from August 10, 2016 moving forward under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan, other than a stock option or SAR, will be counted against the share pool as 1.80 shares (while the ratio for awards granted prior to August 10, 2016 under the 2015 Plan was 1.70).

No Single-Trigger Vesting upon a Change of Control. The Amended and Restated 2015 Plan does not provide for the automatic acceleration of equity awards in connection with a change of control unless the awards would be cancelled in the transaction.

Transferability. Transfers of awards for value are not permitted without stockholder approval.

Dividend Equivalents. The Amended and Restated 2015 Plan does not allow for dividends or dividend equivalent payments with respect to stock options or SARs.

In addition, the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan amends the 2015 Plan by including a new provision that further promotes the interests of our stockholders and is consistent with principles of good corporate governance by adding a minimum vesting period of at least one year from the grant date for stock options, SARs, restricted stock, restricted stock units, and other awards granted under the plan, subject to a carve-out for awards that in the aggregate do not exceed five percent of the total shares of our common stock reserved for issuance under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan.

Existing Equity Plan Information

In addition to the 2015 Plan, equity awards may be granted under the ABIOMED, Inc. 2008 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (the 2008 Plan). Equity awards are also outstanding under the Company's 2000 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (the 2000 Plan or the Predecessor Plan). No future awards may be granted under the Predecessor Plan.

As of June 24, 2016, the 2015 Plan had 1,903,556 shares available for grant and the 2008 Plan had 186,641 shares available for grant.

The table below includes aggregated information regarding awards outstanding under the 2015 Plan, the 2008 Plan and the Predecessor Plan, the number of shares available for future awards under the 2015 Plan and the 2008 Plan as of June 24, 2016, and the proposed additional shares issuable under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan. We have no equity awards outstanding other than stock options and restricted stock units.

	Number of shares (as of June 24, 2016)	As a percentage of stock outstanding (42,991,800 shares as of June 24, 2016)
Outstanding stock options(1)	2,164,989	5.04%
Outstanding restricted stock units(1)	1,167,219	2.71%
Total shares subject to outstanding awards	3,332,208	7.75%
Total shares available for future awards under 2008 Plan(2)	186,641	0.43%
Proposed shares available for future awards under Amended and Restated 2015 Plan(3)	3,163,556	7.36%
Total shares outstanding under existing equity awards and reserved for issuance under 2008 Plan and Amended and Restated 2015 Plan	3,350,197	7.79%

- (1) As of June 24, 2016, the weighted average exercise price of our outstanding stock options was \$24.83, and the weighted average remaining term of our outstanding stock options was 5.4 years. The weighted average remaining term of our outstanding restricted stock units was 2.6 years.
- (2) We will continue to be able to grant awards under our 2008 Plan in accordance with the terms of the plan. For purposes of determining shares available under the 2008 Plan, each share subject to a stock option or SAR will count as one share and each share subject to any other award will count as 1.58 shares, depending on when the award was granted. Because the 2008 Plan does not specify a mix of stock options and SARs as compared with other awards, it is not possible to determine the amount of subsequent dilution that may ultimately result from such awards.
- (3) For purposes of determining shares available under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan, each share subject to a stock option or SAR will count as one share and each share subject to any other award will count as 1.80 shares, beginning on August 10, 2016. Because the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan does not specify a mix of stock options and SARs as compared with other awards, it is not possible to determine the amount of subsequent dilution that may ultimately result from such awards. Other share-counting provisions, including adjustments to the numbers of shares available under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan upon forfeitures of awards, are described below under Authorized Shares.

Historic Use of Equity, Outstanding Awards and Dilution

The table below sets forth our historic use of equity with respect to both employees and non-employee directors in fiscal 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Fiscal Year Ended March 31,	2016	2015	2014
Stock options granted	183,500	321,500	336,000
Time-based restricted stock units granted(1)	186,664	294,430	301,426
Performance-based restricted stock units earned(1)(2)	222,563	301,669	198,563
Weighted average basic common shares outstanding	42,204,126	40,631,679	39,334,178

- (1) Restricted stock units shown above are the actual units granted or earned and do not include the effect of the fungible ratios.
- (2) Performance-based restricted stock units earned are reported in the year in which the performance criterion is satisfied.

Reasons for Seeking Stockholder Approval

Our Board of Directors believes that the ability to grant equity compensation has been, and will continue to be, essential to the Company's ability to attract and retain the highest quality and highest performing employees and directors. Our Board also believes that equity compensation motivates our employees, including our executive officers, and our directors to contribute to the achievement of our corporate objectives and encourages the alignment of their interests with the interests of our stockholders. After a review of our historical practices and an estimation of the Company's future growth, recruiting and retention needs, the Company believes that the availability of 1,260,000 additional shares of common stock under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan would provide a sufficient number of shares to enable the Company to continue to make awards at historical average annual rates for at least the next two years. The Compensation Committee determined that reserving shares sufficient for at least two years of new awards at historical grant rates is in line with the practice of our peer public companies.

Summary of the Material Terms of the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan

The following summary describes the material terms of the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan and provides a general description of the U.S. federal income tax consequences applicable to certain transactions involving awards under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan. The following description of certain features of the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan, which is filed as [Appendix A](#) to this proxy statement.

Plan Administration. The Amended and Restated 2015 Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee, which has the authority to, among other things, interpret the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan, determine eligibility for, grant and determine, modify or waive the terms and conditions of awards under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan, and to do all things necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan. The Compensation Committee's determinations under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan are conclusive and binding. The Compensation Committee may delegate certain of its duties, powers and responsibilities as it deems appropriate to one or more of its members, the Company's officers or employees.

Term. No awards will be made after the tenth anniversary of the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan's approval by our stockholders or Board of Directors, whichever is earlier, but previously granted awards may continue beyond that date in accordance with their terms.

Authorized Shares. Subject to adjustment, the maximum number of shares of our common stock that may be delivered in satisfaction of awards under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan (the "Share Pool") will be 3,260,000 shares. Any shares underlying awards that are settled in cash or that expire, become unexercisable, terminate or are forfeited or repurchased by the Company due to failure to vest will become available for re-issuance under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan. Shares of our common stock that are withheld from a stock option or other award in payment of the exercise price or in satisfaction of the tax withholding obligations will not be available for re-issuance under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan. In addition, the total number of shares covered by a SAR (or portion thereof) that is settled in stock will not be available for reissuance under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan. Further, any shares repurchased on the open market with the proceeds received from a participant exercising a stock option will not be available for re-issuance under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan. Each share subject to a stock option or SAR will count against the Share Pool as one share of stock and each share subject to an award other than a stock option or SAR will count against the Share Pool as 1.80 shares of stock. Any shares that become available for re-issuance under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan will be returned to the Share Pool at the rates described in the preceding sentence. Shares delivered under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan may be authorized but unissued shares or previously issued shares of our common stock acquired by us.

Annual Individual Limits. The maximum number of shares for which stock options may be granted to any person in any fiscal year is 750,000 shares. The maximum number of shares for which SARs may be granted to

any person in any fiscal year is 750,000 shares. The maximum number of shares subject to other awards that may be granted to any person in any fiscal year is 500,000 shares. The maximum amount that may be payable to any employee in any fiscal year in respect of any cash award is \$3,000,000.

Annual Non-Employee Director Limits. The maximum grant date fair value of awards under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan that may be granted to any non-employee director of our Board of Directors in any fiscal year may not exceed \$800,000. This limit does not apply to any award or shares of stock granted pursuant to a director's election to receive an award or shares in lieu of cash retainers or other fees.

Eligibility. The Compensation Committee selects participants from among the key employees and directors of, consultants and advisors to, the Company and its affiliates. Eligibility for stock options intended to be incentive stock options (ISOs) and cash awards is limited to employees of the Company and certain affiliates. As of June 24, 2016, approximately 800 employees, nine directors and certain consultants and advisors would be eligible to participate in the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan. In the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016, only employees and directors were granted awards under the 2008 Plan. On June 24, 2016, the closing price of a share of our common stock was \$100.37.

Types of Awards. The Amended and Restated 2015 Plan provides for grants of stock options, SARs, restricted and unrestricted stock and stock units, performance awards, other awards convertible into or otherwise based on shares of our stock and cash awards. Dividends and dividend equivalents may also be provided in connection with awards other than stock options and SARs under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan. Awards may be settled in shares of our common stock, cash, property, other awards or a combination thereof.

Stock Options and SARs: The Amended and Restated 2015 Plan provides for the grant of ISOs, non-qualified stock options (NSOs), and SARs. The exercise price of an option, and the base price against which a SAR is to be measured, may not be less than the fair market value (or, in the case of an ISO granted to a ten percent stockholder, 110% of the fair market value) of a share of our common stock on the date of grant. The Compensation Committee determines when stock options or SARs become exercisable and the terms on which such awards remain exercisable. Stock options and SARs may have a maximum term of no more than ten years from the date of grant (or five years from the date of grant in the case of an ISO granted to a ten percent shareholder).

Restricted and Unrestricted Stock: A restricted stock award is an award of our common stock subject to restrictions requiring that it be redelivered or offered for sale to the Company if specified conditions are not met, while an unrestricted stock award is not subject to restrictions.

Stock Units: A stock unit award is an unfunded and unsecured promise, denominated in shares of our common stock, and entitles the participant to receive stock or cash measured by the value of the shares in the future. The delivery of common stock or cash under a stock unit may be subject to the satisfaction of performance or other vesting conditions.

Performance Awards: A performance share award is an award the vesting, settlement or exercisability of which is subject to specified performance criteria.

Cash Awards: A cash award is an award denominated in cash.

Vesting. The Compensation Committee has the authority to determine the vesting schedule applicable to each award, and to accelerate the vesting or exercisability of any award, provided that stock options, SARs, restricted stock, restricted stock units, and cash awards granted under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan will be subject to a minimum vesting period of at least one year from the award grant date, subject to a carve-out for awards that in the aggregate do not exceed five percent of the total shares of our common stock reserved for issuance under the plan.

Termination of Employment or Service. The Compensation Committee determines the effect of termination of employment or service on an award. Unless otherwise provided by the Compensation Committee, upon a

termination of employment or service, all unvested stock options and other unvested awards will be forfeited and all vested stock options and SARs will remain exercisable for the lesser of the remaining term of the award and 180 days in the case of a termination due to death or disability or 90 days in the case of termination of employment or service for any other reason.

Performance Criteria. The Amended and Restated 2015 Plan provides that grants of performance share awards may be made subject to achieving performance criteria over a specified performance period. Performance criteria with respect to those awards that are intended to qualify as performance-based compensation for purposes of Section 162(m) are limited to an objectively determinable measure of performance relating to any, or any combination of, the following (measured either absolutely or by reference to an index or indices or the performance of one or more companies and determined either on a consolidated basis or, as the context permits, on a divisional, subsidiary, line of business, project or geographical basis or in combinations thereof): sales; revenues; assets; expenses; earnings before or after deduction for all or any portion of interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization or equity expense, whether or not on a continuing operations or an aggregate or per share basis; return on equity, investment, capital, capital employed or assets; one or more operating ratios; operating income or profit, including on an after tax basis; borrowing levels, leverage ratios or credit rating; market share; capital expenditures; research and development expenditures; cash flow; margins; stock price; stockholder return; sales of particular products or services; product launches; new drug applications submitted; regulatory approvals; clinical trials; patent filings; customer acquisition or retention; acquisitions and divestitures (in whole or in part); joint ventures and strategic alliances; spin-offs, split-ups and the like; reorganizations; or recapitalizations, restructurings, financings (issuance of debt or equity) or refinancings.

A performance criterion and any targets with respect thereto need not be based upon an increase, a positive or improved result or avoidance of loss. To the extent consistent with the requirements for satisfying the performance-based compensation exception under Section 162(m), the Compensation Committee may provide, in the case of any award intended to qualify for such exception that one or more of the performance criteria applicable to an award will be adjusted in an objectively determinable manner to reflect events (for example, the impact of charges for restructurings, discontinued operations, mergers, acquisitions, other unusual or infrequently occurring items and the cumulative effects of tax or accounting changes, each as defined by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles) occurring during the performance period of such award that affect the applicable performance criteria.

Transferability. Awards under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan generally may not be transferred except by will or by the laws of descent and distribution. The Compensation Committee may permit the gratuitous transfer of awards other than ISOs. Transfers of awards for value are not permitted without stockholder approval.

Corporate Transactions. In the event of a consolidation, merger or similar transaction or series of related transactions, a sale or transfer of all or substantially all of the Company's assets or a dissolution or liquidation of the Company (each, a Covered Transaction), the Compensation Committee may, among other things, provide for the continuation or assumption of outstanding awards, for new grants in substitution of outstanding awards, for the accelerated vesting or delivery of shares of common stock under awards or for a cash out of outstanding awards, in each case on such terms and with such restrictions as it deems appropriate. Except as the Compensation Committee may otherwise determine, awards not assumed will terminate upon the consummation of such Covered Transaction. To the extent an outstanding award is cancelled upon the occurrence of a Change of Control (as defined in the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan), the Compensation Committee will give prior notice of cancellation and accelerate the exercisability and vesting of all such unexercised and unexpired awards so as to allow the holder of such an award to exercise, or be vested in, the award prior to such change of control.

Adjustment. In the event of certain corporate transactions (including, but not limited to, a stock dividend, stock split (or reverse stock split) or combination of shares, recapitalization or other change in the Company's capital structure that constitutes an equity restructuring within the meaning of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) ASC Topic 718), the Compensation Committee will make appropriate adjustments to the maximum number of shares that may be delivered under and the individual limits included in the Amended and

Restated 2015 Plan, and will also make appropriate adjustments to the number and kind of shares of stock or securities subject to awards, the exercise, purchase or prices of such awards or any other terms of awards affected by such change. The Compensation Committee may also make the types of adjustments described above to take into account distributions to stockholders and events other than those listed above if it determines that such adjustments are appropriate to avoid distortion in the operation of the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan.

Recoupment. Awards, shares and property received under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan are subject to forfeiture, termination and rescission if the participant breaches any noncompetition, nonsolicitation, confidentiality or similar covenant with the Company or in accordance with any Company policy relating to the recovery of erroneously-paid incentive compensation.

Amendment and Termination. The Compensation Committee can amend the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan or outstanding awards issued under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan, or terminate the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan as to future grants of awards, at any time except that the Compensation Committee will not be able to alter the terms of an award if it would affect materially and adversely a participant's rights under the award without the participant's consent (unless expressly reserved by the Compensation Committee at the time of grant). Stockholder approval will be required for any amendment to the extent such approval is required by law, including the Code or applicable stock exchange requirements.

U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan

The following is a summary of some of the material U.S. federal income tax consequences associated with the grant and exercise of awards under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan under current U.S. federal tax laws and certain other tax considerations associated with awards under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan as of the date hereof. The summary does not address tax rates or non-U.S. or U.S. state or local tax consequences, nor does it address employment-tax or other U.S. federal tax consequences, except as noted.

ISOs. In general, a participant realizes no taxable income upon the grant or exercise of an ISO. However, the exercise of an ISO may result in an alternative minimum tax liability to the participant. With some exceptions, a disposition of shares purchased under an ISO within two years from the date of grant or within one year after exercise produces ordinary income to the participant (and generally a tax deduction to the Company) equal to the value of the shares at the time of exercise less the exercise price. Any additional gain recognized in the disposition is treated as a capital gain for which the Company is not entitled to a deduction. If the participant does not dispose of the shares until after the expiration of these one- and two-year holding periods, generally any gain or loss recognized upon a subsequent sale is treated as a long-term capital gain or loss for which the Company is not entitled to a deduction.

NSOs. In general, a participant has no taxable income upon the grant of an NSO but realizes taxable income in connection with exercise of the option in an amount equal to the excess (at time of exercise) of the fair market value of the shares acquired upon exercise over the exercise price. A corresponding tax deduction is generally available to the Company. Upon a subsequent sale or exchange of the shares, any recognized gain or loss is treated as a capital gain or loss for which the Company is not entitled to a deduction.

SARs. The grant of a SAR does not itself result in taxable income to a participant, nor does taxable income result merely because a SAR becomes exercisable. In general, a participant who exercises a SAR for shares of stock or receives payment in cancellation of a SAR will have ordinary income equal to the amount of any cash and the fair market value of any stock or other property received. A corresponding tax deduction is generally available to the Company at that time.

Restricted Stock. A participant who is awarded or purchases shares subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture generally does not have taxable income until the risk of forfeiture lapses. When the risk of forfeiture lapses, the participant has ordinary income equal to the excess of the fair market value of the shares at that time over the

purchase price, if any, and a corresponding tax deduction is generally available to the Company in the same year that the participant recognizes ordinary income. However, a participant may make an election under Section 83(b) of the Code (83(b) election) to be taxed on restricted stock when it is acquired rather than later, when the substantial risk of forfeiture lapses. A participant who makes an effective 83(b) election will realize ordinary income equal to the fair market value of the shares as of the time of acquisition less any price paid for the shares. A corresponding tax deduction will generally be available to the Company in the same year that the participant recognizes ordinary income. If a participant makes an effective 83(b) election, no additional income results by reason of the lapsing of the restrictions.

For purposes of determining capital gain or loss on a sale of shares awarded under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan, the holding period in the shares begins just after the participant recognizes taxable income with respect to the transfer. The participant's tax basis in the shares equals the amount paid for the shares plus any income realized with respect to the transfer. However, if a participant makes an effective 83(b) election and later forfeits the shares, the tax loss realized as a result of the forfeiture is limited to the excess of what the participant paid for the shares (if anything) over the amount (if any) realized in connection with the forfeiture.

Unrestricted Stock. A participant who purchases or is awarded unrestricted stock generally has ordinary income equal to the excess of the fair market value of the shares at the time of such purchase or award, as applicable, over the purchase price, if any, and a corresponding tax deduction is generally available to the Company in the same year that the participant recognizes ordinary income. A participant who purchases or is awarded restricted stock has income as described in the preceding paragraph.

Restricted Stock Units. The grant of a restricted stock unit does not itself generally result in taxable income. Participants are generally taxed upon settlement (and a corresponding tax deduction is generally available to the Company) of a restricted stock unit, unless he or she has made a proper election to defer the receipt of the shares (or cash if the award is cash settled) under Section 409A of the Code. If the shares delivered are restricted for tax purposes, the participant will instead be subject to the rules described above for restricted stock.

Section 162(m). Stock options, SARs and certain performance awards under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan are generally intended to be exempt or eligible for exemption from the deductibility limits of Section 162(m). However, as discussed above in *Reasons for Seeking Stockholder Approval*, the Committee will have discretionary authority to provide compensation that is not exempt from the limits on deductibility under Section 162(m).

Certain Change of Control Payments. Under Section 280G of the Code, the vesting or accelerated exercisability of stock options or the vesting and payments of other awards in connection with a change of control of a corporation may be required to be valued and taken into account in determining whether participants have received compensatory payments, contingent on the change in control, in excess of certain limits. If these limits are exceeded, a substantial portion of amounts payable to the participant, including income recognized by reason of the grant, vesting or exercise of awards may be subject to an additional 20% federal tax and may be non-deductible to the Company.

Amended and Restated 2015 Plan Benefits

The Compensation Committee has full discretion to determine the number and amount of awards to be granted to participants under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan, subject to the limits described above under *Annual Individual Limits* and *Annual Non-Employee Director Limits* and the other terms of the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan. Therefore, future benefits or amounts that will be issued under the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan are not determinable at this time.

Required Vote

Our Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR the proposal to approve the adoption of the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes properly cast (in person or by proxy) is required for approval of the Amended and Restated 2015 Plan. Abstentions and broker non-votes, because they are not votes cast, are not counted for this proposal and will have no effect on the outcome.

PROPOSAL NO. 4

**RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF OUR
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

Under rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Nasdaq Stock Market, the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm is the direct responsibility of our Audit Committee. Although ratification by our stockholders of this appointment is not required by law, our Board of Directors believes that seeking stockholder ratification is a good practice, which provides stockholders an avenue to express their views on this important matter.

Our Audit Committee, comprising solely independent directors, has reappointed Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2017. Our Board of Directors recommends that stockholders vote to ratify the appointment. If our stockholders do not ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP, the Audit Committee may reconsider its decision. In any case, the Audit Committee may, in its discretion, appoint a new independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it believes that such a change would be in the best interest of the Company and its stockholders.

We expect that representatives of Deloitte & Touche LLP will be present at the Annual Meeting. They will have an opportunity to make a statement if they wish and will be available to respond to appropriate questions from stockholders.

Our Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR the proposal to ratify the appointment by our Audit Committee of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2017.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

As of June 30, 2016, our executive officers and directors are as follows:

Name	Age	Position
Michael R. Minogue(1)(2)	49	Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer
Dorothy E. Puhly(4)	64	Director
W.G. Austen (1)(5)(6)	86	Director
Jeannine M. Rivet(3)	68	Director
Eric A. Rose(4)	65	Director
Martin P. Sutter(3)(5)	61	Director
Henri A. Termeer(1)(4)	70	Director
Paul G. Thomas(3)(5)(6)	60	Director
Christopher D. Van Gorder(5)(6)	63	Director
Michael J. Tomsicek	50	Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
David M. Weber	54	Chief Operating Officer
William J. Bolt	64	Senior Vice President, Global Product Operations
Andrew J. Greenfield	43	Vice President and General Manager, Global Marketing
Michael G. Howley	52	Vice President and General Manager, Global Sales

- (1) Member of the Executive Committee
- (2) Member of the Special Stock Option Committee
- (3) Member of the Compensation Committee
- (4) Member of the Audit Committee
- (5) Member of the Governance and Nominating Committee
- (6) Member of the Regulatory and Compliance Committee

Our Board of Directors is divided into three classes. The term of one class of directors expires each year at our Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Each director also continues to serve as a director until his or her successor is duly elected and qualified. Ms. Puhly, Mr. Thomas and Mr. Van Gorder currently serve as Class I directors; their term of office expires in 2017. Dr. Rose, Ms. Rivet and Mr. Termeer currently serve as Class II directors; their term of office expires in 2018. Dr. Austen and Messrs. Minogue and Sutter currently serve as Class III directors; their term of office expires at the 2016 Annual Meeting.

Our executive officers are appointed by, and serve at the discretion of, our Board of Directors. There are no family relationships among our directors and executive officers.

Mr. Michael R. Minogue has served as our Chief Executive Officer, President and a director since April 2004. In June 2005, he was appointed Chairman of our Board of Directors. Prior to joining us, Mr. Minogue had a twelve-year career at General Electric, or GE. Most recently, Mr. Minogue was Vice President and General Manager of Americas Sales and Marketing for GE Medical Systems Information Technology. From 1997 to 2004, Mr. Minogue held various positions at GE, including General Manager for the Global Positron Emission Technology Business, General Manager, Americas Cardiology & IT Sales and General Manager, Global Installed Base. Prior to joining GE, Mr. Minogue served on active duty for four years as an infantry officer in the U.S. Army and received multiple awards. Mr. Minogue received his Bachelor of Science in Engineering Management from the United States Military Academy at West Point and his Master of Business Administration from the University of Chicago. Mr. Minogue currently serves on the Board of Directors of the Advanced Medical Technology Association and also serves as a Manager on the Board of Managers of Bioventus LLC, a strategic partnership between Essex Woodlands and Smith & Nephew plc, a global medical technology business based in the United Kingdom. Mr. Minogue is also the Chair of the Board of Directors of the Medical Device Innovation Consortium, a public-private partnership created with the objective of advancing medical device regulatory science. He was formerly our designee on the Board of Directors of World Heart Corporation, and was

formerly on the Board of Directors of LifeCell Corporation, which was acquired by Kinetic Concepts, Inc. in May 2008. We believe that Mr. Minogue's leadership position at our Company, his management abilities and experience, and his extensive knowledge of our industry gained from his senior executive roles qualify him to serve as a member of our Board of Directors.

Ms. Dorothy E. Puhly has served as a director since August 2003 and as our Lead Director since October 2005. Ms. Puhly has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer for the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute since 2012. Ms. Puhly previously served as the Chief Financial Officer of Dana-Farber Cancer Institute from 1994 to 2012 and as its Assistant Treasurer from 1995 to 2012. From 1985 to 1994, Ms. Puhly held various financial positions at the New England Medical Center Hospitals, Inc., including Chief Financial Officer from 1989 to 1994. Ms. Puhly is also a director of Eaton Vance Corp. Ms. Puhly received her Bachelor of Arts from the University of Pennsylvania and her Master of Business Administration from the Wharton School of Business at the University of Pennsylvania. We believe that Ms. Puhly's extensive industry knowledge, her financial acumen, her executive level experience at a major medical research institute and her extensive industry knowledge qualify her to serve as a member of our Board of Directors.

Ms. Jeannine M. Rivet has served as a director since February 2016. Ms. Rivet has served as the Executive Vice President of UnitedHealth Group since 2001. Previously at UnitedHealth Group, she served as Chief Executive Officer of UnitedHealth from 1998 to 2001, Chief Executive Officer of Ingenix from 2001 to 2003 and Chief Executive Officer of Optum from December 2003 to 2005. She currently serves as an advisory board member to Solutran, a customized treasury management company, and was a member of the board of Schwan Food Company from 2000 to April 2016. Ms. Rivet received a Bachelor of Science in Nursing from Boston College and a Master's Degree in Public Health from Boston University. She also worked as a registered nurse for several years prior to entering the managed care business. We believe that Ms. Rivet's extensive knowledge of the managed care business, her direct healthcare experience, her executive level experience and her management abilities and experience qualify her to serve as a member of our Board of Directors.

Dr. Eric A. Rose has served as a director since August 2014 and previously served as a director from May 2007 to January 2012. Since March 2007, Dr. Rose has been serving as Executive Vice President for Life Sciences at MacAndrews & Forbes and Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Siga Technologies, Inc., a developer of anti-viral drugs directed at potential agents of bioterror that filed voluntary proceedings under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in September 2014 and exited from bankruptcy protection in April 2016. Dr. Rose chaired the Department of Health Evidence & Policy at the Mount Sinai School of Medicine from 2008 to 2012, which he now serves as professor. From 1994 to 2007, he was Surgeon-in-Chief at New York-Presbyterian Hospital/Columbia and Chairman of the Department of Surgery at the Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons. A heart surgeon, researcher and entrepreneur, Dr. Rose has helped grow Columbia's Department of Surgery over the past 25 years while investigating, managing and developing complex medical technologies such as technologies for heart transplantation and new approaches to Alzheimer's disease and bioterrorism. He has authored or co-authored more than 300 scientific publications and has received more than \$25 million in NIH support for his research. Dr. Rose pioneered heart transplantation in children, performing the first successful pediatric heart transplant in 1984, and has investigated many alternatives to heart transplantation, including cross-species transplantation and man-made heart pumps. Dr. Rose received both his undergraduate and medical degrees from Columbia University. We believe that Dr. Rose's distinguished work as a heart surgeon and researcher, his work as an entrepreneur in our industry and his executive level industry experience qualify him to serve as a member of our Board of Directors.

Mr. Martin P. Sutter has served as a director since May 2008. Since 1994, Mr. Sutter has been a Managing Director of Essex Woodlands Health Ventures, a healthcare focused growth equity firm he co-founded. Mr. Sutter has more than 30 years of management experience in operations, marketing, finance and venture capital. Mr. Sutter currently serves on the Board of Directors of QSpex Technologies, Inc., a manufacturer of prescription spectacle lenses, and on the Board of Managers of Bioventus LLC, a strategic partnership between Essex Woodlands and Smith & Nephew plc, a global medical technology business based in the United Kingdom.

Mr. Sutter holds a Bachelors of Science from Louisiana State University and a Masters of Business Administration from the University of Houston. We believe that Mr. Sutter's in-depth knowledge of the medical device industry, his skills as an investor in developing medical device companies, his extensive board experience, his work as a successful healthcare investor and his position as a representative of a large stockholder in our Company qualify him to serve as a member of our Board of Directors.

Mr. Henri A. Termeer has served as a director since May 1987. Prior to Genzyme Corporation's acquisition in April 2011 by Sanofi-Aventis, Mr. Termeer had been the President and a director of Genzyme Corporation since 1983, its Chief Executive Officer since 1985 and its Chairman since 1988. Mr. Termeer is a member of the Board of Directors of Massachusetts General Hospital, Partners HealthCare and Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), where he sits on MIT's Executive Committee. Mr. Termeer is a member of the Board of Fellows of Harvard Medical School. He is also a member of the Board of Directors of Aveo Pharmaceuticals, Verastem, Inc., Moderna Therapeutics and ProQR Therapeutics. Mr. Termeer served on the Board of Allergan from January 2014 to April 2015. Mr. Termeer served as the Deputy Chairman of the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston from 2007 to 2009 and as its Chairman from 2010 through the end of 2011. Mr. Termeer studied economics at the Economische Hogeschool at Erasmus University in the Netherlands and received his Master of Business Administration from the Darden School of Business at the University of Virginia. We believe that Mr. Termeer's senior executive experience managing and developing a major public biotechnology company, his management expertise and his deep industry experience qualify him to serve as a member of our Board of Directors.

Mr. Paul G. Thomas has served as a director since May 2011. Mr. Thomas has been the Chief Executive Officer of Roka Bioscience, Inc. since September 2009. Previously he served as Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and President of LifeCell Corporation from October 1998 until August 2008. Prior to joining LifeCell, Mr. Thomas held various senior positions during a 15-year tenure with the Pharmaceutical Products division of Ohmeda Inc. Mr. Thomas currently serves on the Board of Directors of Aegerion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Mr. Thomas received his Bachelor of Science in Chemistry from St. Michael's College, his Master of Business Administration from Columbia University, and completed his postgraduate studies in chemistry at the University of Georgia. We believe that Mr. Thomas' extensive leadership experience with companies in the life sciences industry qualifies him to serve as a member of our Board of Directors.

Mr. Christopher D. Van Gorder has served as a director since February 2016. Since 2000, Mr. Van Gorder has been president and Chief Executive Officer of Scripps Health, where he oversees all functions of the integrated health system. Mr. Van Gorder currently is a clinical professor of health practice at the University of Southern California Price School of Public Policy, where he also serves on the Board of Councilors. He also is a member of the board of directors of the California Hospital Association. Previously, Mr. Van Gorder served on the Board of Governors for the American College of Healthcare Executives from 2007 to 2012. Mr. Van Gorder received his undergraduate degree from California State University, Los Angeles, and a master's degree in public administration/health services administration at the University of Southern California. He has also completed the Chief Executive Officer Program at the Wharton School of Business at the University of Pennsylvania. We believe that Mr. Van Gorder's experience as a business leader, his expertise in the healthcare field and his executive level industry experience qualify him to serve as a member of our Board of Directors.

Our executive officers who are not also directors are listed below:

Mr. Michael J. Tomsicek was appointed as our Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer in May 2015, effective July 15, 2015. From March 2013 until January 2015, Mr. Tomsicek served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Cubist Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Cubist). From July 2012 until March 2013, Mr. Tomsicek served as Senior Vice President and Deputy Financial Officer at Cubist and from August 2010 to July 2012, he was Vice-President of Corporate Finance and Treasurer at Cubist. Before joining Cubist, Mr. Tomsicek served for eight years holding roles with increasing influence within GE's Healthcare unit. His

service at GE culminated in his role first as Chief Financial Officer of the Diagnostic Ultrasound business and finally as Chief Financial Officer of the Global Ultrasound product group. Prior to that, Mr. Tomsicek was Manufacturing Finance Manager for the GE Healthcare Monitoring Systems business and was selected to and completed the GE Experienced Financial Leadership Program. Mr. Tomsicek held various advancing roles in financial planning and channel management over seven years in the automotive division of Motorola, then a public global telecommunications company. Following graduation from the University of Wisconsin with a Bachelor of Science in Industrial Engineering, Mr. Tomsicek began his career in manufacturing consulting for a Boston area start up after which he received his Master of Business Administration, also from the University of Wisconsin.

Dr. David M. Weber joined us in April 2007 as our Chief Operating Officer. Prior to joining us, Dr. Weber served as General Manager, Aviation Business at GE Security Homeland Protection from April 2005 until April 2007 where he led GE Security's Aviation and Transportation Business and was responsible for product development, marketing and sales. From June 2004 until April 2005, he served as General Manager, MRI Marketing at GE Healthcare where he was responsible for strategic product planning, go-to-market and product launch activities, including developing product roadmaps and introducing new product technologies to the market, and from March 2001 until June 2004, he served as Manager, Global High Field MRI Business, GE Medical Systems where he was responsible for new product planning and development. Dr. Weber has a Bachelor of Science in physics from Denison University, a Bachelor of Science in Nuclear Engineering from Columbia University, a Master of Science in Medical Physics from the University of Wisconsin, and a Doctor of Philosophy in Medical Physics from the University of Wisconsin.

Mr. William J. Bolt joined us in June 1982 and has served as our Senior Vice President of Quality, Regulatory & Clinical Research Operations since 2015. He is currently responsible for all product development, management, engineering, regulatory, clinical and quality functions. From 2010 to 2015, Mr. Bolt held the position of Senior Vice President of Global Product Operations. From 2006 to 2009, Mr. Bolt was responsible, at different times, for quality, regulatory and engineering functions at the Company. From 2003 to 2006, he was responsible for our quality and service functions. He was responsible for product development and the AbioCor program from 2000 to 2003, and for BVS and AB5000 development from 1999 to 2003. From 1994 to 1999, he was President of our former dental subsidiary, ABIODENT. From 1982 to 1994, he served in various roles, from Vice President of Engineering to Vice President of Operations. Mr. Bolt received both his Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering and Master of Business Administration from Northeastern University.

Mr. Andrew J. Greenfield is currently the Vice President and General Manager of Global Marketing. Since joining us in 2005 as the Vice President of Healthcare Solutions, he has led the reimbursement and health economics organizations. Mr. Greenfield also leads the global marketing function and is responsible for physician education programs, new market development, and service. Before joining us, Mr. Greenfield held positions in sales, marketing and finance at GE Healthcare including consulting with large U.S. health systems, eCommerce and Six Sigma from 1999 to 2005. Prior to GE Healthcare, he held positions in sales, marketing and finance at The Boeing Company, including European Country Manager, and is a graduate of the Fiscal Development Program from 1994 to 1999. He received his Bachelor degree in Finance from the University of Illinois in 1994 and a Master of Business Administration degree from St. Louis University in 1998. Mr. Greenfield is also a certified Master Blackbelt in Six Sigma and Change Acceleration Process from GE.

Mr. Michael G. Howley joined us in March 2009 and serves as our Vice President and General Manager of Global Sales. Prior to joining us, Mr. Howley spent 20 years at GE Healthcare. From February 2006 to February 2009, he was General Manager at GE Healthcare, overseeing the Americas X-ray and Interventional Radiology division. From April 2004 to February 2006, Mr. Howley held the General Manager position for the Clinical Information Systems at GE. From October 2002 to April 2004, he was the Americas Sales Manager of Functional and Molecular Imaging. Prior to this role, Mr. Howley held several other national and regional sales positions at GE, beginning in 1989. Mr. Howley has a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration and Marketing from Auburn University.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Board Leadership

Chief Executive Officer and Chairman. Our Board of Directors does not have a formal policy regarding whether the same person should serve as both the Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board and believes that it should retain the flexibility to make this determination in the manner it believes will provide the most appropriate leadership for the Company from time to time. The Chairman of the Board is elected annually by the Board of Directors. Currently, our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Minogue, serves as Chairman of the Board of Directors.

Lead Director. In October 2005, our Board of Directors designated Dorothy Puhly as its first Lead Director. The position of Lead Director is to be held by one of our independent directors and carries with it responsibilities beyond those of the other directors, including but not limited to: organizing and chairing sessions with our independent directors; working with the Compensation Committee to set performance goals for our Chief Executive Officer and to evaluate the Chief Executive Officer's performance for the prior year; working with the Chairman to formulate the agenda for board meetings; acting as a liaison between the Chairman and the Board of Directors; and leading with the Chief Executive Officer an annual discussion of succession planning.

Director Independence

Our Board of Directors has determined that, with the exception of Mr. Minogue, who is our Chief Executive Officer and President, all of the members of our Board of Directors are independent directors under the applicable rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market. Our Board of Directors has also determined that each member of our Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Governance and Nominating Committee is an independent director under the rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market applicable to such committees.

Jeannine M. Rivet is an employee of UnitedHealth Group, affiliates of which provided reimbursement in certain instances to hospitals who purchase our products. We expect such affiliates to continue to provide reimbursement for our products in the future. Christopher D. Van Gorder is Chief Executive Officer of Scripps Health, which purchased approximately \$1,100,000 of our products during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016. We expect Scripps Health will continue to purchase our products in the future. Mr. Termeer is a director of Partners Healthcare, which purchased approximately \$1,200,000 of our products during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016. We expect Partners Healthcare will continue to purchase our products in the future. The Board considered each of these relationships and has determined that the independence of each of Ms. Rivet, Mr. Van Gorder, and Mr. Termeer is not affected.

Attendance at Annual Meeting of Stockholders

It is our policy that, to the extent reasonably practicable, directors should attend our Annual Meeting of Stockholders. All of our directors who were serving on our Board at the time of our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders attended the meeting.

Board Role in Risk Oversight

Our Board of Directors, as a whole has responsibility for risk oversight, with reviews of certain areas being conducted by the relevant Board of Directors committees that report on their deliberations to the Board of Directors. The oversight responsibility of the Board of Directors and its committees is enabled by management reporting to the Board of Directors about the identification, assessment and management of critical risks and management's risk mitigation strategies. We believe that our Board of Directors' ability to discharge its risk oversight is enhanced by the service of our Chief Executive Officer as Chairman of the Board of Directors and by our institution of the role of Lead Director.

The Board of Directors and its committees oversee risks associated with their respective principal areas of focus, as summarized below.

Board/Committee	Primary Areas of Risk Oversight
Board of Directors	Strategic, financial and execution risks and exposures associated with the annual operating plan, budget and longer term strategic plan; litigation and regulatory exposures and other current matters that may present material risk to our operations, plans, prospects or reputation; acquisitions and divestitures; and senior management succession planning.
Audit Committee	Risks and exposures associated with financial matters, particularly financial reporting, tax, accounting, disclosure, audit oversight, internal control over financial reporting, financial policies, investment guidelines and credit and liquidity matters.
Governance and Nominating Committee	Risks and exposures relating to our corporate governance and director succession planning.
Compensation Committee	Risks and exposures associated with executive compensation programs and arrangements, including incentive plans.
Regulatory and Compliance Committee	Risks and exposures associated with our compliance with regulatory and other applicable laws, rules and regulations governing our development, approval and sale of Class III medical device products, both in the U.S. and internationally.

Meetings of the Board of Directors

Board Meetings. Our Board of Directors held four meetings during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016 and acted by written consent six times. Each of our directors attended at least 75% of the aggregate number of meetings of the Board of Directors and committees of which he or she was a member held during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016.

Meetings of Independent Directors. Our independent directors are expected to meet without management present at least twice per year.

Executive Committee Meetings. Our Board of Directors has an Executive Committee, which is currently composed of W. Gerald Austen, Michael R. Minogue and Henri A. Termeer. The Executive Committee has, and may exercise, all the powers and authority of the Board of Directors, except those which by law may not be delegated to it by the Board of Directors. The Executive Committee did not act during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016.

Audit Committee Meetings. Our Board of Directors has an Audit Committee, which is currently composed of Dorothy E. Puhly (Chair), Henri A. Termeer and Eric A. Rose. Our Board of Directors has determined that all members of the Audit Committee are financially sophisticated within the meaning of the Nasdaq listing standards and our Board of Directors has also determined that Ms. Puhly, who serves as Chair of the Audit Committee, qualifies as an Audit Committee financial expert within the meaning of the applicable Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules and regulations. The responsibilities of our Audit Committee are detailed in our Audit Committee charter, a copy of which is available through the Our Company Corporate Governance section of our website, located at www.abiomed.com. The Audit Committee is directly responsible for the

appointment, compensation and oversight of the work of our independent registered public accounting firm. In addition, the Audit Committee's responsibilities include reviewing the adequacy and effectiveness of accounting and financial controls, reviewing our financial reporting practices, meeting with our independent accountants to review the results of the annual audit and quarterly reviews and receiving and reviewing the response of management to any management letter or report from the independent accountants. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016, the Audit Committee held six meetings and acted by written consent one time.

Compensation Committee Meetings. Our Compensation Committee is currently composed of Paul G. Thomas (Chair), Jeannine M. Rivet and Martin P. Sutter. Our Lead Director, Dorothy E. Puhly, participates in meetings of the Compensation Committee as described above under *Lead Director*. The responsibilities of the Compensation Committee are detailed in our Compensation Committee charter, a copy of which is available through the *Our Company Corporate Governance* section of our website, located at www.abiomed.com. The Compensation Committee is responsible for reviewing our compensation philosophy and major compensation programs, reviewing annually our executive compensation program and establishing the compensation for our Chief Executive Officer and approving the compensation of our executive officers, determining the persons to whom equity awards, including both incentive stock options and non-qualified stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units will be granted, other than to the extent that the grant of options or full value awards has been delegated by the committee to the Special Stock Option Committee, and adopting rules and making other determinations with respect to the administration of our equity incentive plans, employee stock purchase plan and 401(k) plan. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016, the Compensation Committee held eight meetings and acted by written consent four times.

Governance and Nominating Committee Meetings. Our Governance and Nominating Committee is currently composed of Martin P. Sutter (Chair), Paul G. Thomas, W. Gerald Austen and Christopher D. Van Gorder. The responsibilities of the Governance and Nominating Committee are detailed in our Governance and Nominating Committee charter, a copy of which is available through the *Our Company Corporate Governance* section of our website, located at www.abiomed.com. The Governance and Nominating Committee is responsible for leading the search for individuals qualified to become members of our Board of Directors, including the review of candidates recommended by our stockholders, recommending to the Board of Directors the composition of each committee of the Board of Directors and reviewing and monitoring the succession plan for the Chief Executive Officer. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016, the Governance and Nominating Committee held four meetings and acted by written consent two times.

Regulatory and Compliance Committee Meetings. Our Regulatory and Compliance Committee is currently composed of Christopher D. Van Gorder (Chair), W. Gerald Austen and Paul G. Thomas. The responsibilities of the Regulatory and Compliance Committee are detailed in our Regulatory and Compliance Committee charter, a copy of which is available through *Our Company Corporate Governance* section of our website, located at www.abiomed.com. The Regulatory and Compliance Committee receives regular reports from the Company's Chief Compliance Officer and is responsible for assisting the Company's Board of Directors with the oversight of significant health care related regulatory and compliance issues by overseeing, evaluating and monitoring the Company's compliance policies, standards, procedures, systems and initiatives. The Regulatory and Compliance Committee further provides recommendations, reports and guidance to the Board of Directors regarding the Company's compliance with applicable laws, rules and regulations. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016, the Regulatory and Compliance Committee held four meetings and did not act by written consent.

Director Qualification Standards

We do not have any specific, delineated qualifications for the nomination of director candidates. However, the Governance and Nominating Committee does take into account a number of factors, qualifications and skills it deems appropriate. Candidates for membership to our Board of Directors should be individuals who possess the highest personal and professional ethics and integrity and have demonstrated professional achievement and leadership capabilities. The Governance and Nominating Committee seeks candidates with a broad diversity of

knowledge, experience and demonstrated expertise in an area or areas of importance to our Company, such as management, finance, marketing, technology, medicine, human resources, public policy and law. The Governance and Nominating Committee also considers traditional diversity factors such as race or gender but has no formal policy, guidelines or procedures with respect to consideration of diversity in the nominating process. All candidates must evidence a commitment to devote the substantial time and energy required of productive Board members.

Majority Voting and Director Resignations

Our Board of Directors has adopted a majority voting policy for uncontested elections of directors (elections in which the number of nominees for election does not exceed the number of directors to be elected). In accordance with the policy, the Board of Directors will nominate for election or re-election only candidates who have tendered an irrevocable resignation that will be effective if in an uncontested election of directors, the nominee for director receives a greater number of votes withheld from his or her election than votes for his or her election.

If such an outcome were to occur, the Governance and Nominating Committee must promptly consider the resignation offer and recommend to the Board of Directors whether to accept or reject it. The Board of Directors then must act on the Governance and Nominating Committee's recommendation within 90 days following certification of the stockholder vote.

The Board of Directors may consider any factors or information it deems relevant. In addition, the Governance and Nominating Committee and the Board of Directors may consider a range of alternatives in determining what action to take, including but not limited to: (a) accepting the resignation; (b) rejecting the resignation; (c) rejecting the resignation to allow the director to remain on the Board of Directors but agreeing that the director will not be nominated for re-election upon expiration of the director's term; or (d) deferring acceptance of the director's resignation until the Board of Directors can find a replacement director with the necessary qualifications to fill the vacancy that accepting the resignation would create.

Code of Conduct and Ethics

All of our directors, officers and employees are expected to act ethically, legally and with integrity at all times and are obligated to comply with our Code of Conduct and Ethics, as well as our other policies and standards of conduct. A copy of our Code of Conduct and Ethics is available on our website at <http://www.abiomed.com/assets/Code-of-Conduct-and-Compliance-Policy.pdf> and also may be obtained, without charge, by written request to our Chief Compliance Officer at ABIOMED, Inc., 22 Cherry Hill Drive, Danvers, Massachusetts 01923.

Communications with Directors

Stockholders and other interested persons may send communications to the directors. Written correspondence should be addressed to the director or directors in care of the Chief Compliance Officer at ABIOMED, Inc., 22 Cherry Hill Drive, Danvers, Massachusetts 01923. Stockholders and other interested persons may also send email to the directors at directors@abiomed.com. Complaints or concerns relating to our financial reporting, accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing will be referred to the chair of our Audit Committee. Other communications may be referred to the directors as a group, or to an individual director, as appropriate.

Compensation of Directors

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016, each of our non-employee directors received an annual retainer of \$45,000 or an equivalent value of our common stock, determined as of the date of grant, at the individual's option. Our Lead Director receives an additional \$25,000 annually. The Chair of our Audit Committee receives

an additional \$20,000 annually, the Chair of our Compensation Committee receives an additional \$15,000 annually, and the Chair of our Nominating and Governance Committee receives an additional \$10,000 annually. In addition, members of our Audit Committee each receive an additional \$10,000 annually, members of our Compensation Committee each receive an additional \$7,500 annually, and members of our Nominating and Governance Committee each receive an additional \$5,000 annually. If our Board of Directors or any of its Committees has an unusually large number of meetings in any one year, our Board of Directors has the authority to pay each director an additional \$1,200 for attendance at meetings of our Board of Directors and \$1,000 for attendance at meetings of Committees of our Board of Directors. Similarly, for an unusually large number of meetings in any one year, our Board of Directors has the authority to pay \$1,000 to the Chair of our Audit Committee for attendance at meetings of our Audit Committee, \$1,000 to the Chair of our Compensation Committee for attendance at meetings of our Compensation Committee, and \$1,300 to the Chair of our Nominating and Governance Committee for attendance at meetings of our Nominating and Governance Committee. We made no such additional payments in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016.

As of May 24, 2016, the annual cash retainer payable to each non-employee director was increased to \$50,000. Effective May 24, 2016, the annual equity compensation awarded to each non-employee director who continues to serve as a director after our annual meeting or special meeting in lieu thereof will be in the form of restricted stock units covering the equivalent of \$200,000 in shares of our common stock, which will vest on an annual basis. We also have stock ownership guidelines that require each director to beneficially own shares of our common stock with the equivalent value, as of the acquisition date, of at least three times the annual cash retainer for a director. Each director is required to achieve such stock ownership requirements by the later of (i) the Annual Meeting or (ii) five years from the date the person first became a director. All of our non-employee directors other than Ms. Rivet and Mr. Van Gorder, who joined our Board of Directors in February 2016, have already met the stock ownership requirement.

It is currently our policy to grant restricted stock units equal to \$250,000 of our common stock upon the appointment of a new non-employee director, which award will vest annually over three years.

We also currently have a non-employee director retirement policy that provides for the accelerated vesting of all stock options, restricted stock units and other equity awards held by a non-employee director if he or she permanently ceases his or her service on our Board of Directors by reason of death, disability, or the non-employee director's retirement following at least five years of service and so long as his or her age plus service equals or exceeds 65.

The following table provides information regarding the compensation earned by our non-employee directors with respect to the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016. The compensation of Michael R. Minogue, our Chairman, is reported below under the heading Executive Compensation.

Compensation of Non-Employee Directors

for the Year ended March 31, 2016

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)(2)	Total (\$)
W. Gerald Austen	52,500	392,535	445,035
Dorothy E. Puhly	95,000	392,535	487,535
Jeannine M. Rivet	7,623	249,985	257,608
Eric A. Rose	56,075	392,535	448,610
Martin P. Sutter(3)	65,000	392,535	457,535
Henri A. Termeer	57,753	392,535	450,288
Paul G. Thomas	55,000	392,535	447,535
Christopher D. Van Gorder	7,260	249,985	257,245

- (1) Amounts shown represent the aggregate grant date fair value of 3,900 restricted stock units granted on August 12, 2015 to each non-employee director under our 2015 Plan, other than Ms. Rivet and Mr. Van Gorder. In the case of Ms. Rivet and Mr. Van Gorder, amounts shown represent the aggregate grant date fair value of 3,513 restricted stock units granted on February 8, 2016. In each case, amounts were computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, based on the fair market value of the underlying stock on the grant date, disregarding the effect of estimated forfeitures. As required by applicable SEC rules, awards are reported in the year of grant. As of March 31, 2016, our non-employee directors held the following aggregate numbers of restricted stock unit awards: Dr. Austen (11,640); Ms. Puhly (11,640); Ms. Rivet (3,513); Dr. Rose (9,060); Mr. Sutter (11,640); Mr. Termeer (11,640); Mr. Thomas (11,640); and Mr. Van Gorder (3,513).
- (2) As of March 31, 2016, our non-employee directors held the following aggregate numbers of stock option awards: Dr. Austen (0); Ms. Puhly (56,500); Ms. Rivet (0); Dr. Rose (32,000); Mr. Sutter (61,000); Mr. Termeer (52,000); Mr. Thomas (17,500); and Mr. Van Gorder (0).
- (3) Mr. Sutter elected to receive all fees payable to him for his service as a director in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016 in shares of our common stock. As a result, we issued to him 248 shares of our common stock on June 30, 2015, 175 shares of our common stock on September 30, 2015, 180 shares of our common stock on December 31, 2015 and 171 shares of our common stock on March 31, 2016. All shares of common stock granted to Mr. Sutter in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016 were based on the closing price of our common stock on the date such shares were issued.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Our Compensation Committee consists of Paul G. Thomas (Chair), Jeannine M. Rivet and Martin P. Sutter. No member of our Compensation Committee is a former or current officer or employee of the Company. Our Lead Director, Dorothy E. Puhly, participates in meetings of the Compensation Committee as described above under Lead Director. Mr. Minogue, while not a member of the Compensation Committee, makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee regarding the compensation of executive officers other than himself, including the awards of stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units, and often participates in the Compensation Committee's deliberations but does not vote on such matters. None of our executive officers serves as a member of the Board of Directors or Compensation Committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving as members of our Board of Directors or Compensation Committee.

Certain Relationships and Related-Person Transactions

There were no material related-person transactions in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016. Under its charter, the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors is responsible for reviewing any proposed related-party transaction, as defined under the rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market, and, if appropriate, approving such transaction. In addition, the conflict of interest section in our Code of Conduct provides that specified conflict of interest transactions are prohibited. Examples of these conflict of interest transactions include serving as a director, officer or employee of or consultant to any competitor or any entity that does business with us or having a substantial undisclosed direct or indirect interest in any entity that does business with us. Other than the foregoing, we have no established policies or procedures, written or otherwise, for the review, approval or ratification of transactions with related persons.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This compensation discussion and analysis describes the material elements of our compensation programs as they relate to our executive officers who are listed in the following compensation tables. These executive officers are referred to in this compensation discussion and analysis and the following tables as our named executive officers. This compensation discussion and analysis and the tables that follow include two individuals who served as our principal financial officer during the fiscal year that ended March 31, 2016. Mr. Robert L. Bowen, our former Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, stepped down, effective July 15, 2015, in connection with his retirement. On the same date, Mr. Michael Tomsicek was appointed Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and served in this role through the remainder of fiscal 2016. This compensation discussion and analysis focuses on the information contained in the following tables and related footnotes, and also describes other arrangements and actions taken since March 31, 2016 to the extent such discussion enhances the understanding of our executive compensation for fiscal 2016.

Overview

The Compensation Committee oversees and administers our executive compensation program. The primary objectives of the executive compensation program are:

to attract, retain and motivate key executives, and

to reward superior financial, strategic and operational performance that is achieved in a manner consistent with our team-oriented values and corporate goals.

The compensation of our named executive officers in fiscal 2016 consisted of base salary, cash bonus, the grant of equity incentives and participation in benefit plans generally available to all employees. The largest component of our named executive officers' annual compensation program is equity incentives, followed by, depending on the individual, cash bonuses or base salary. As of March 31, 2016, the outstanding equity incentive awards held by our named executive officers consisted of stock options, and time- and performance-based restricted stock units. Our named executive officers are assessed and ranked annually on a scale of 1 (low) to 4 (high) on the basis of demonstrated results relative to pre-established goals, as well as subjective leadership qualities. The ranking that a named executive officer receives each year generally has a direct and material impact on the cash bonus, stock option and restricted stock unit grants that such officer receives, as well as his annual increase in base salary.

Effect of 2015 Say-On-Pay Vote

At our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, approximately 98% of the votes cast on the advisory vote on executive compensation (excluding broker non-votes) were in favor of the Company's executive compensation disclosed in the proxy statement. These results convey strong shareholder support for the Company's executive compensation programs and the Compensation Committee's decisions on executive compensation. The Compensation Committee did not make any significant adjustments to its executive compensation program during 2016 as a result of the vote. While our shareholders have consistently supported our compensation decisions, the Compensation Committee will continue to regularly review, assess and, when appropriate, adjust the Company's compensation programs based on feedback from our shareholders, best practices, and compensation trends. In particular, the Compensation Committee continues to evaluate the performance-based restricted stock unit component of our executive compensation program, which it currently believes reflects and reinforces our pay-for-performance philosophy.

Highlights of Fiscal 2016 Performance

Fiscal 2016 was a strong year for ABIOMED, with the Company succeeding on critical metrics such as the number of supported patients, regulatory approvals, revenue, profits, market capitalization, publications,

expanding our international business, and solidifying our position with respect to clinical guidelines and intellectual property. Highlights of our fiscal 2016 performance include:

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) pre-market approval of the Impella 2.5 , Impella[®] 5.0 , and Impella LD heart pumps to provide treatment of ongoing cardiogenic shock

The Impella device has become the most widely used temporary heart support pump in the U.S., is in six clinical guidelines, is installed in over 1,000 U.S. hospitals, and has supported over 37,000 patients

FDA approval of the Impella platform to support high-risk percutaneous coronary intervention

Operating margin of 19.8% in fiscal 2016 compared to 12.4% in fiscal 2015

Total revenue for fiscal 2016 of \$329.5 million, an increase of 43% over fiscal 2015

For fiscal 2016, GAAP net income was \$38.1 million, or \$0.85 per diluted share

We maintained our debt-free balance sheet and grew cash by \$67.1 million to \$213.1 million

Compensation Consultants and Peer Groups

To assist us in making compensation decisions in fiscal 2016, including salary increases and our decisions about equity incentive compensation, we continued to be advised by the compensation consultant Radford, an Aon Hewitt Company a division of Aon Corporation, who has been engaged by the Compensation Committee.

We look at peer group data provided to us by our compensation consultant for comparative purposes. The peer group data we looked at when determining fiscal 2016 salary and bonus consisted of the following 20 companies: Accuray Incorporated, Align Technology, Angio Dynamics, Atrion Corporation, Cantel Medical Corp., Cardiovascular Systems, Cyberonics, DexCom, Inc., Endologix, Inc., Globus Medical, Inc., HeartWare International, Inc., ICU Medical Inc., Integra Life Sciences, Insulet Corporation, Merit Medical Systems, Inc., Natus Medical Inc., NuVasive, Inc., NxStage Medical, Inc., The Spectranetics Corporation and Thoratec. These companies are all in the healthcare equipment and supplies industry and were chosen based on having similar employee size, revenues, or revenue growth (over a one- and three-year period) and also having a similar operating margin and market value. We also looked at competitive market data from the Radford Global Life Sciences Survey, effective as of March 1, 2015, focusing on U.S. publicly traded medical device companies with annual revenue between \$75 million and \$1.0 billion, a median headcount of 731, and median annual revenue of \$242 million, to compare market compensation levels for each element of our compensation.

We generally strive for base salary to be at the 50th percentile of the market represented by our peer group and broader market survey data and to provide a 75th percentile opportunity relative to such market, based on target performance, with respect to each of total cash compensation and equity compensation. We believe that the target performance metrics for our annual cash bonuses and performance-based equity awards are rigorous goals that will only be achieved with strong performance. We also take into account the relatively higher cost-of-living in the greater Boston area where our corporate headquarters is located for purposes of determining base salaries and cash bonus and equity award opportunities.

Compensation Program Elements

Base Salary

We pay each of our named executive officers a base salary to provide a baseline level of compensation that is both competitive with the external market and commensurate with each employee's past performance, experience, responsibilities and skills. We generally target base salaries for

Edgar Filing: ABIOMED INC - Form DEF 14A

our named executive officers around the 50th percentile of our external market peers, as described above, and then make adjustments based on the factors described above, including the relatively higher cost-of-living in the greater Boston area. The Chief Executive Officer recommended (for named executive officers other than himself) and the Compensation Committee approved base salary increases for fiscal 2016 for all of our named executive officers, other than Mr. Minogue, in the range of 3% to 4%, based on performance and the prior year's salary relative to market

compensation. For our named executive officers other than Mr. Minogue, the percentage increase directly corresponded to the named executive officer's overall performance ranking of 1 to 4 (as described in more detail under Cash Bonus below). Factors taken into account for Mr. Minogue's 17% base salary increase included a review of peer group and survey data and an assessment of his and the Company's performance. For fiscal 2017, our Compensation Committee recently approved increases to the base salary of all of our named executive officers in the range of 3% to 8%.

Cash Bonus

We maintain an annual cash bonus program, the purpose of which is to motivate and reward the attainment of our annual financial, strategic and operational goals as well as the attainment of individual goals. For each named executive officer, 70% of the executive's fiscal 2016 target bonus opportunity was based on achievement of corporate goals, and 30% was based on a subjective assessment of the executive's overall individual performance, based on factors including professional competency, work efforts, leadership, flexibility, commitment to the Company's Code of Ethics, and integrity.

Company performance goals are developed by our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Minogue, in consultation with other members of senior management and presented to our Board of Directors on an annual basis. Individual performance goals for our named executive officers are established by Mr. Minogue and discussed with the Compensation Committee, while those for Mr. Minogue are developed by the Compensation Committee and the Lead Director.

Actual cash bonus awards can range from zero to more than target levels, depending on the degree to which the Company and the executive achieve the goals for the particular year. Based on Company and individual performance against specified goals, the assessment of an executive's leadership, and an executive's base salary relative to market, total cash compensation (salary and bonus) is targeted to be within the 75th percentile of the market represented by our peer group and broader market survey data.

For all named executive officers, annual cash bonus opportunities for fiscal 2016 were targeted at a level that represented a meaningful portion of each executive's current base salary. For fiscal 2016, target bonus opportunities for our named executive officers other than Mr. Minogue ranged from 60% to 70% of base salary. Mr. Minogue's fiscal 2016 target bonus opportunity was equal to 120% of his base salary, which, when combined with his base salary, resulted in his target total cash compensation being positioned between the 50th and 75th percentile of the market represented by our peer group and broader market survey data.

For fiscal 2016 bonuses, the goals selected were intended to strike a balance among fiscal, strategic and operational performance. The performance goals varied depending on each executive's duties and responsibilities and were weighted according to the importance of the goal to our overall strategic objectives for the fiscal year. Company performance goals for fiscal 2016 included revenue growth, as described below, improving customer satisfaction, hiring and retaining top talent and decreasing turnover rates, maintaining DRGs through ICD-10 introduction and maintaining 100% LCD coverage, obtaining FDA pre-market approval (PMA approval) or a successful PMA panel, and maintaining regulatory compliance and operational predictability. The Compensation Committee believes that the selected goals were challenging for the management team and the Company to achieve. The Compensation Committee believes that the challenging nature and the selection of performance goals most effectively align management incentives with enhancement of long-term stockholder value.

At the end of each fiscal year, each named executive officer is evaluated on a scale of 1 through 4 for each corporate goal and is evaluated on a scale of 1 through 4 based on a subjective assessment of his individual performance, as described above. The revenue targets used in the rating system for all named executive officers for fiscal 2016 ranged from a rating of 1 for revenue below \$299.4 million (growth of less than 30% over fiscal 2015), a rating of 2 for revenue of \$299.4 million to \$310.9 million (growth of 30% to less than 35% over fiscal 2015), a rating of 3 for revenue of \$310.9 million to \$322.4 million (growth of 35% to less than 40% over fiscal

2015) and a rating of 4 for revenue above \$322.4 million (growth of 40% or more over fiscal 2015). These goals are generally set so that the expected outcome would be a 2 or 3 rating, with a 4 rating being very difficult to achieve.

For fiscal 2016, an average rating (with Company goals weighted at 70%, and individual goals weighted at 30%) of 3 or 4 resulted in a recommendation of 125% or 140%, respectively, of bonus target for our named executive officers other than Mr. Minogue. These recommendations, however, are not formulaically determined at the beginning of the year. The rating system is a method for determining fiscal year performance against set goals, but the bonus payments are still discretionary. Bonuses for named executive officers other than the Chief Executive Officer are recommended by Mr. Minogue, but the Compensation Committee has the discretion to alter actual bonus awards from the Chief Executive Officer's recommendation, either up or down. In addition, the Compensation Committee has complete discretion in determining Mr. Minogue's annual bonus, which historically has been determined based on Company performance.

For fiscal 2016, the Compensation Committee endorsed, and did not alter, the bonus amounts proposed by the Chief Executive Officer for the other named executive officers. Actual bonus awards made to our named executive officers other than Mr. Minogue for fiscal 2016 ranged from approximately 100% to 140% of the officer's target bonus, with an average award equal to approximately 130% of the target bonus. Mr. Minogue was awarded 170% of his target bonus, based primarily on overall Company performance, including obtaining PMA approval of Impella 2.5, Impella CP, Impella 5.0, and Impella LD for cardiogenic shock, and strong performance as measured by the Company's revenue growth, improved profitability and stock price appreciation. These variations from target were reflections of each individual's degree of success in meeting his performance goals as well as his contribution to our meeting our objectives for the year.

Equity Incentives

We offer equity incentives to the majority of employees to foster a culture of ownership, align compensation with stockholder interests and promote long-term retention and affiliation with the organization. Each year, the Compensation Committee determines the types and sizes of awards to be used for delivering such incentives. In doing so, the Compensation Committee considers the ability of each type and size of award to achieve key compensation objectives (such as employee retention, motivation and attraction), the needs of the business, competitive market practices, dilution and expense constraints, as well as tax and accounting implications.

For fiscal 2016, the Compensation Committee approved for our named executive officers stock options and restricted stock unit awards, some with time-based vesting and others with both time- and performance-based vesting. In determining the level of stock option and restricted stock unit awards to grant our named executive officers for fiscal 2016, the Compensation Committee generally followed a process similar to that employed for prior fiscal years, but, as described further below, granted two supplemental performance-based restricted stock unit awards to Messrs. Minogue and Weber. The Compensation Committee approved stock option and restricted stock unit awards based on individual performance rankings for fiscal 2015 in an effort to ensure that top-performing employees receive larger awards to reward them for their performance. The Compensation Committee believes that the use of performance-based awards together with time-based awards in the form of stock options and restricted stock units allows us to effectively retain and reward those employees who have the potential to make the greatest contributions to our long-term success.

Each named executive officer, other than Mr. Tomsicek, received a stock option grant that is subject to time-based vesting over three years, with one-third vesting on each anniversary of the date of grant. Mr. Tomsicek received a stock option grant in connection with his hire that is subject to time-based vesting over four years, with one-fourth vesting on each anniversary of the date of grant. Each of our named executive officers also received a restricted stock unit grant for fiscal 2016 (which in Mr. Tomsicek's case was made in connection with his hire) that is subject only to time-based vesting over three years, with one third vesting on each anniversary of the date of grant.

Each of our named executive officers, other than Mr. Tomsicek, received a restricted stock unit grant for fiscal 2016 that is subject to both time- and performance-based vesting. The percentage of each award eligible to performance-vest was to be determined based on the level of fiscal 2016 revenue. None of the shares would performance-vest if the revenue was less than \$288 million, a ratable amount between 50% and 100% would vest at revenues between \$288 million and \$299 million, and a ratable amount between 100% and 150% would vest at revenues between \$299 million and \$310 million. Once the Company's revenue amount for fiscal 2016 was published, and the number of shares covered by the award was determined, one-third of the shares would immediately time vest and half of the remaining shares would time vest on each of the second and third anniversaries of the date of grant. During fiscal 2016, our revenues earned were \$329.5 million, resulting in approximately 150% of the shares subject to the restricted stock unit awards performance vesting. One-third of the earned restricted stock units have time vested and the remaining units will be eligible to vest on May 13, 2017 and May 13, 2018.

In addition, Mr. Minogue and Dr. Weber each were granted a supplemental time- and performance-based restricted stock unit award in fiscal 2016. These awards are eligible to vest based on the Company's total shareholder return (TSR) as compared with that of the companies in the Standard & Poors Health Care Equipment Select Industry Index measured over a three-year period (0%, or a ratable amount from 100% to 300% of the underlying restricted stock units). One half of the units are earned based on performance vesting on the date the Compensation Committee determines the Company's relative TSR and the remaining half of the earned units will vest one year thereafter, subject to continued employment through such date. The primary objectives of these supplemental grants were to recognize the executives' outstanding performance, as evidenced by the growth in shareholder value and superior operational results year after year during their tenures, to motivate the executives to continue to deliver similar performance and create long-term shareholder value, and to create an incentive for the executives to remain with the Company during the next stage in the Company's development. Many alternative design frameworks and award features were considered including a variety of metrics (revenue growth, profitability, scientific milestones, absolute TSR and relative TSR). Given the focus on shareholder value creation, the program design ultimately selected was a relative TSR program with upside opportunity for extraordinary performance. The program was designed such that payout will only occur if TSR is positive and the Company outperforms the industry in terms of stock price performance (at or above the median TSR for the index). Further incentives are provided through payout opportunities as high as 300% of the targeted award amount based on superior levels of performance relative to the industry as represented by the index. One-half of the TSR RSUs will vest based on performance (as defined above) at the end of a three-year performance period in June 2018 and the remaining RSUs earned will vest in June 2019 provided Mr. Minogue and Dr. Weber are still employed with the Company. The performance criteria for the TSR awards are as follows:

No RSUs will vest if the Company's TSR Percentile Rank is below the 50th percentile or if the Company's total shareholder return is negative;

100% of the RSUs will vest if the Company's TSR Percentile Rank is at the ~~50~~ percentile;

150% of the RSUs will vest if the Company's TSR Percentile Rank is at the ~~70~~ percentile;

200% of the RSUs will vest if the Company's TSR Percentile Rank is at the ~~80~~ percentile;

250% of the RSUs will vest if the Company's TSR Percentile Rank is at the ~~90~~ percentile; and

300% of the RSUs will vest if the Company's TSR Percentile Rank is at or above the ~~95~~ percentile.

If the TSR Percentile Rank falls between two of the percentiles described above, the percentage of the RSUs that vest will be based on a straight-line interpolation between the two groupings.

The Compensation Committee recently made our annual equity grants for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2017, or fiscal 2017. The grants made for fiscal 2017 were in the form of stock options and restricted stock unit awards, some with time-based vesting and others with both time- and performance-based vesting. The stock option grants are subject to time-based vesting and will vest over three years at a rate of 33-1/3% annually on

each anniversary of the date of grant. A portion of the restricted stock unit awards granted to our named executive officers for fiscal 2017 are subject only to time-based vesting and vest in three equal installments annually over three years. The remaining restricted stock unit awards have both time- and performance-based vesting. Fiscal 2017 revenue performance will determine the percentage of the target award that will be eligible to time vest (0%, or a ratable amount from 50% to 200% of the underlying restricted stock units). One-third of the units eligible for time vesting will vest on the date that the fiscal 2017 earnings are published, and one-third of the units eligible for time vesting will vest on each of the second and third anniversaries of the date of grant.

Since 1998, we have made our annual merit equity grants in conjunction with our annual performance appraisal process, which takes place after the close of our fiscal year, typically in May or June of each year. Establishing a consistent annual grant pattern has allowed us to incorporate the results of our internal performance reviews and rankings. The date of each annual merit grant is the date upon which the Compensation Committee approves the individual grants. It has been our practice to hold this Compensation Committee meeting after the announcement of year-end results. Consistent with our historical stock option grant practice, the exercise price of stock options granted as part of the annual merit grant is the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. Stock options granted to new hires are ordinarily granted and priced on the date of hire. To further align the interests of our executives with our long-term interests and those of our stockholders, the Compensation Committee takes a portfolio approach to equity incentive awards targeted in total at approximately the market 75th percentile relative to our peer group and broader market survey data, with a core annual grant targeted at the market 50th percentile split equally between time-vested stock options and time-vested restricted stock units, and a stretch to the market 75th percentile through time- and performance-vested restricted stock units. The Compensation Committee takes this approach because it believes that equity compensation that vests over time, in combination with performance-vesting equity awards that are based on the achievement of revenue targets and other important performance goals (e.g., regulatory approval of our key products), provides strong retention value, incentivizes our executives to achieve key performance goals and aligns their interests with those of our stockholders.

Other Compensation

The amounts shown in the Summary Compensation Table under the heading *All Other Compensation* represent the value of certain other compensation received, which is described in detail in the accompanying footnotes. Our Chief Executive Officer and other named executive officers received the following benefits in fiscal 2016: matching contributions to our 401(k) plan, which are available to substantially all of our employees, life insurance premiums and long-term disability insurance premiums. We believe that such perquisites represent typical elements of executive compensation. In addition, our named executive officers are entitled to certain benefits in connection with certain terminations of employment and/or in connection with a change of control, as further described in *Agreements with Named Executive Officers*.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

Effective June 29, 2015, our Board of Directors has adopted stock ownership guidelines for our executive officers. Under these guidelines, our Chief Executive Officer will be required to hold shares of our common stock equal to at least three times his annual salary, and our other executive officers will be required to hold shares of our common stock equal to at least one times his or her annual salary. Each executive officer will be required to meet these ownership levels by the later of June 29, 2020 or five years after the date he or she was initially designated an executive officer of the Company. Each of our named executive officers, other than Mr. Tomsicek who joined the Company in July 2015, met the stock ownership requirement as of the last day of fiscal 2016.

Recoupment of Compensation

Our Board of Directors adopted a recoupment (clawback) policy, effective June 29, 2015, covering executive officers of the Company. The policy provides that if the Company is required to restate its financial results due to material noncompliance with financial reporting requirements under the securities laws, the Compensation Committee may seek reimbursement of any cash- or equity-based bonus or other incentive compensation paid or awarded to the officer or effect cancellation of previously granted equity awards to the extent the bonus or incentive compensation was based on erroneous financial data and was in excess of what would have been paid to the officer under the restatement.

Tax Implications

Section 162(m) generally disallows a tax deduction to public companies for taxable compensation over \$1 million paid to certain executives. However, compensation that qualifies as performance-based compensation is not subject to this limitation on deductibility. Performance-based compensation generally includes only payments that are contingent upon the achievement of pre-established performance objectives, and excludes any fixed or guaranteed payments.

The Compensation Committee considers the impact of the deductibility rules in developing and administering our compensation programs. However, this consideration is balanced with our primary goal of structuring compensation programs to attract, reward, motivate and retain highly talented executives. Accordingly, since our compensation objectives are not always consistent with the requirements for full deductibility, we have entered, and may in the future enter, into compensation arrangements under which payments are not deductible under Section 162(m).

On May 13, 2015, the Compensation Committee adopted a policy not to provide tax gross-ups under Sections 280G and 4999 of the Code in new employment agreements.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the contents of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis set forth above. Based on its review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to our Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference into the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2016.

Compensation Committee

Paul G. Thomas (Chair)

Martin P. Sutter

Jeannine M. Rivet

Summary Compensation

The following table provides a summary of all compensation earned with respect to fiscal 2016, 2015, and 2014 by Michael R. Minogue, our President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of our Board, Robert L. Bowen, who served as our Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer until July 15, 2015, Michael Tomsicek who was appointed Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Treasurer on July 15, 2015, and our three most highly compensated executive officers other than our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer who were serving as executive officers at the end of our most recently completed fiscal year.

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary(\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Option Awards (\$)(2)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(3)	Total (\$)
Michael R. Minogue President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board	2016	629,853	16,151,928	955,189	1,284,900	19,021,870
	2015	537,876	3,135,525	746,181	968,177	5,387,759
	2014	519,663	1,921,450	824,024	699,344	3,964,481
Michael J. Tomsicek Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer(4)	2016	350,000	1,688,750	671,143	231,000	2,940,893
Robert L. Bowen Former Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer(5)	2016	109,391	2,090,953	855,035		3,055,379
	2015	323,322	258,600		213,393	795,315
	2014	315,946	370,400	193,888	180,680	1,060,914
David M. Weber Chief Operating Officer	2016	364,396	4,453,771	272,911	357,108	5,448,186
	2015	350,381	657,275	131,679	294,320	1,433,655
	2014	341,844	532,450	222,971	228,435	1,325,700
William J. Bolt Senior Vice President, Global Product Operations	2016	306,348	861,250	163,747	257,332	1,588,677
	2015	294,565	646,500	131,679	212,087	1,284,831
	2014	284,597	393,550	193,888	188,750	1,060,785
Michael G. Howley Vice President and General Manager, Global Sales	2016	318,964	861,250	136,456	290,257	1,606,927
	2015	306,696	366,350	219,465	239,223	1,131,734
	2014	296,970	463,000	242,360	185,671	1,188,001

- (1) Amounts shown represent the aggregate grant date fair value of awards of restricted stock units made to the named executive officer in the year indicated (and, in the case of Messrs. Minogue and Bowen, the incremental fair value of a modification to certain of each executive's restricted stock unit awards made in December 2015 in the case of Mr. Minogue and in July 2015 in the case of Mr. Bowen), computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, disregarding the effect of estimated forfeitures. As required by applicable SEC rules, stock awards are reported in the year of grant. The underlying valuation assumptions are discussed in Note 10 to our consolidated financial statements for our fiscal year ended March 31, 2016, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2016. For fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2015 the grant date fair value of performance-based awards assumed performance at the target payout level. For fiscal 2016, the grant date fair value of performance-based awards assumed performance at the maximum payout level, with the exception of certain performance-based restricted stock unit awards granted to Mr. Minogue and Dr. Weber that will vest based on the Company's total shareholder return, or

TSR, relative to the TSR of the companies in the S&P Health Care Equipment Select Industry Index over a three-year period, which assumed performance at the target payout level. The grant date fair value of performance-based awards at the maximum payout level for fiscal 2014 was \$1,909,875 for Mr. Minogue, \$312,525 for Mr. Bowen, \$486,150 for Dr. Weber, \$347,250 for Mr. Bolt, and \$416,700 for Mr. Howley. The grant date fair value of performance-based awards at the maximum payout level for fiscal 2015 was \$3,049,325 for Mr. Minogue, \$387,900 for Mr. Bowen, \$630,338 for Dr. Weber, \$614,175 for Mr. Bolt, and \$323,250 for Mr. Howley. The grant date fair value of the performance-based TSR awards at the maximum payout level for fiscal 2016 was \$24,707,970 for Mr. Minogue and \$9,883,188 for Dr. Weber.

- (2) Amounts shown represent the aggregate grant date fair value of option awards made to the named executive officer other than Mr. Bowen in the year indicated (and, in the case of Mr. Bowen, the incremental fair value of a modification to his option awards made in connection with his retirement in July 2015, as described further below), computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, disregarding the effect of estimated forfeitures. Fair value is calculated using the Black-Scholes value on the grant date. As required by applicable SEC rules, awards are reported in the year of grant. The underlying valuation assumptions are discussed in Note 10 to our consolidated financial statements for our fiscal year ended March 31, 2016, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2016.
- (3) Reflects amounts that were earned under our annual cash bonus plan for fiscal 2014, fiscal 2015 and fiscal 2016 performance and that were determined and paid during the first quarter of each following fiscal year.
- (4) Mr. Tomsicek's employment with us commenced on July 15, 2015.
- (5) Mr. Bowen's employment with us terminated effective July 31, 2015 upon his retirement. Mr. Bowen and the Company have entered into an agreement pursuant to which he is to continue to serve as a consultant to the Company through July 31, 2017. Pursuant to this agreement, his unvested options and restricted stock units were modified such that they will continue to vest through July 31, 2017 and he will be permitted to exercise any vested options until such date.

Plan-Based Awards

The following table provides information regarding grants of plan-based awards to the named executive officers during fiscal 2016.

**Grants of Plan-Based Awards
for Fiscal 2016**

Name	Grant Date(1)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards Target (\$)(2)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards Target (#)(3)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards Maximum (#)(3)	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Units (#)(4)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)(5)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards(6)
Michael R. Minogue	5/13/2015	755,824						
	5/13/2015					35,000	66.25	955,189
	5/13/2015		42,500	63,750	12,000			5,018,438
	6/15/2015		76,900	230,700				8,235,990 2,897,500(7)
Michael J. Tomsicek	7/15/2015	210,000						
	7/15/2015					25,000	67.55	671,143
	7/15/2015				25,000			1,688,750
David M. Weber	5/13/2015	255,077						
	5/13/2015					10,000	66.25	272,911
	5/13/2015		9,000	13,500	4,000			1,159,375
	6/15/2015		30,760	92,280				3,294,396
William J. Bolt	5/13/2015	183,809						
	5/13/2015					6,000	66.25	163,747
	5/13/2015		7,000	10,500	2,500			861,250
Michael G. Howley	5/13/2015	207,327						
	5/13/2015					5,000	66.25	136,456
	5/13/2015		7,000	10,500	2,500			861,250
Robert L. Bowen	5/13/2015		5,000	7,500				496,875 2,449,113(8)

- (1) Reflects the dates on which the Compensation Committee approved the target fiscal 2016 annual cash bonus awards or the grants of stock options or restricted stock units, as applicable. No named executive officer paid any amount to us in consideration for the grant of any stock options or restricted stock units.
- (2) Represents potential target payouts set at the beginning of the fiscal year under our annual cash bonus program. The amounts actually paid with respect to fiscal 2016 are reported in the Summary Compensation Table under the heading Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation. There are no established thresholds or maximums with respect to our annual cash bonuses.
- (3) The grants on May 13, 2015 to Messrs. Minogue, Weber, Bolt, and Howley represent shares of our common stock subject to restricted stock unit awards granted under our 2008 Plan, subject to vesting based on the achievement of revenue goals for fiscal 2016. The grants on June 15, 2015 to Messrs. Minogue and Weber were made under our 2008 Plan and vest based on the Company's TSR relative to the TSR of the companies in the S&P Health Care Equipment Select Industry Index over a three-year period and the executive's continued employment with us.
- (4) Represents shares of our common stock subject to restricted stock unit awards granted under our 2008 Plan that are subject to time-based vesting over three years.
- (5) Represents options to purchase shares of our common stock granted under our 2008 Plan that are subject to time-based vesting over three years, or four years in the case of Mr. Tomsicek.

- (6) Amounts shown do not reflect compensation actually received by the named executive officer. Instead, the amounts shown reflect the grant date fair values of the awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. For stock options, fair value is calculated using the Black-Scholes value on the grant date. In calculating these values, we used the assumptions described in Note 10 to our consolidated financial statements included in our annual report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC for the year ended March 31, 2016. The grant date fair value of performance-based restricted stock units is determined based on the probable outcome of the performance conditions associated with the awards. Pursuant to SEC rules, the amounts shown exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. The actual amount of compensation that may be earned by the named executive officer will depend on the extent to which the awards vest and the price of our common stock at the time of exercise or vesting.
- (7) Represents the incremental fair value of a modification in December 2015 to Mr. Minogue's outstanding performance-based restricted stock unit award granted on June 27, 2011.
- (8) Represents the incremental fair value of a modification to Mr. Bowen's outstanding option and restricted stock unit awards made in connection with his retirement in July 2015.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table provides information regarding outstanding equity awards held by the named executive officers on March 31, 2016.

Outstanding Equity Awards

on March 31, 2016

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable(1)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)(2)	Market Value of Units of Stock That Have Not Vested \$(3)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Units That Have Not Vested (#)(4)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market Value of Unearned Units That Have Not Vested \$(3)
Michael R. Minogue	28,333		13.80	5/23/2018	166,186	15,756,095	280,700	26,613,167
	6,750		18.63	8/13/2018				
	56,700		5.86	5/28/2019				
	105,000		10.03	6/3/2020				
	75,000	25,000	22.44	5/22/2022				
	42,500	42,500	23.15	5/14/2023				
	28,333	56,667	21.55	5/14/2024				
	35,000	66.25	5/13/2025					
Michael J. Tomsicek		25,000	67.55	7/15/2025	25,000	2,370,250		
Robert L. Bowen	69,799		15.92	7/31/2017	11,000	1,042,910		
	20,000		22.44	7/31/2017				
	15,000	5,000	23.15	7/31/2017				
David M. Weber	40,000		13.88	4/23/2017	37,384	3,544,377	92,280	8,749,067
	12,000		13.80	5/23/2018				
	67,500		5.86	5/28/2019				
	50,000		10.03	6/3/2020				
	18,750	6,250	22.44	5/22/2022				
	11,500	11,500	23.15	5/14/2023				
	5,000	10,000	21.55	5/14/2024				
	10,000	66.25	5/13/2025					
William J. Bolt		5,000	22.44	5/22/2022	29,989	2,843,257		
	10,000	10,000	23.15	5/14/2023				
	5,000	10,000	21.55	5/14/2024				
		6,000	66.25	5/13/2025				
Michael G. Howley	30,000		10.03	6/3/2020	35,520	3,367,651		
	18,750	6,250	22.44	5/22/2022				
	12,500	12,500	23.15	5/14/2023				
	8,333	16,667	21.55	5/14/2024				
		5,000	66.25	5/13/2025				

- (1) The stock options with an exercise price of \$22.44, \$23.15, and \$67.55 vest 25% annually over four years on each anniversary of the date of grant. The stock options with an exercise price of \$21.55 and \$66.25 vest 33-1/3% annually over three years on each anniversary of the date of grant.
- (2) Represents the following number of time-based restricted stock units that were eligible to vest on May 14, 2016 (and did vest), subject to the executive's continued employment through such date: Mr. Minogue, 9,334 restricted stock units; Mr. Bolt, 2,334 restricted stock units; Dr. Weber, 3,000 restricted stock units; and Mr. Howley, 2,667 restricted stock units. Represents the following number of time-based restricted stock units, half of which were eligible to vest on May 14, 2016 (and did vest) and half of which are eligible to vest on May 14, 2017, subject to the executive's continued employment through each such date: Mr. Minogue, 16,334 restricted stock units; each of Mr. Bolt and Dr. Weber, 3,334 restricted stock units; and Mr. Howley, 4,667 restricted stock units. Represents the following number of time-based restricted stock units, one third of which were eligible to vest on May 13, 2016 (and did vest), and one third of which are eligible to vest on each of May 13, 2017, and May 13, 2018, subject to the executive's continued employment through each such date: Mr. Minogue, 12,000 restricted stock units; each of Messrs. Bolt and Howley, 2,500 restricted stock units; and Dr. Weber, 4,000 restricted stock units. Represents 25,000 time-based restricted stock units granted to Mr. Tomsicek, one third of which will vest on each of July 15, 2016, July 15, 2017, and July 15, 2018, subject to his continued employment through each such date. Represents the following number of restricted stock units that performance vested based on fiscal 2014 revenue and were eligible to time vest (and did vest) on May 14, 2016, subject to the executive's continued employment through such date: Mr. Minogue, 23,768 restricted stock units; Mr. Bolt, 4,321 restricted stock units; Dr. Weber, 6,050 restricted stock units; and Mr. Howley, 5,186 restricted stock units. Represents the following number of restricted stock units that performance vested based on fiscal 2015 revenue, half of which were eligible to time vest on May 14, 2016 (and did vest) and half of which are eligible to time vest on May 14, 2017, subject to the executive's continued employment through such date: Mr. Minogue, 41,000 restricted stock units; Mr. Bowen, 6,000 restricted stock units; Mr. Bolt, 7,000 restricted stock units; Dr. Weber, 7,500 restricted stock units; and Mr. Howley, 10,000 restricted stock units. Represents the following number of restricted stock units that performance vested based on fiscal 2016 revenue, one third of which were eligible to time vest on May 13, 2016 (and did vest), and one third of which are eligible to time vest on each of May 13, 2017 and May 13, 2018, subject to the executive's continued employment through each such date: Mr. Minogue, 63,750 restricted stock units; Mr. Bowen, 5,000 restricted stock units; each of Messrs. Bolt and Howley, 10,500 restricted stock units; and Dr. Weber, 13,500 restricted stock units.
- (3) The market value of unvested shares of restricted stock units is based on \$94.81, the closing market price of our common stock on March 31, 2016, the last trading date of fiscal 2016.
- (4) Represents the following number of restricted stock units that are eligible to performance vest (assuming maximum payout) based on the Company achieving total shareholder return as compared with that of companies in the S&P Health Care Equipment Select Industry Index measured over a three-year period, subject to the executive's continued employment through the determination date of the total shareholder return for one half of the units that performance vest and the first anniversary of such date for the second half of the performance units that vest: Mr. Minogue, 230,700 restricted stock units; and Dr. Weber, 92,280 restricted stock units. Represents 50,000 restricted stock units granted to Mr. Minogue that are eligible to performance vest based on the Company receiving regulatory approval or clearance to market and sell the Impella 2.5 Product in Japan.

Option Exercises and Vesting of Stock

The following table provides information regarding the exercise of stock options by our named executive officers and the vesting of stock awards held by them during fiscal 2016.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested during Fiscal 2016

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)(1)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)(2)
Michael R. Minogue	246,800	22,200,204	132,934	9,837,345
Michael J. Tomsicek				
David M. Weber	78,000	5,616,603	32,383	2,375,939
William J. Bolt	54,666	4,959,119	28,113	2,095,247
Michael G. Howley	25,000	1,701,294	23,228	1,523,815
Robert L. Bowen	22,000	2,170,756	18,389	1,204,334

- (1) The value realized on exercise of stock option awards is based on the closing market price of our common stock on the date of exercise of the option award.
- (2) The value realized on vesting of stock awards granted prior to May 5, 2015 is based on the closing market price of our common stock on the date of vesting of the stock award. The value realized on vesting of stock awards granted on or after May 5, 2015 is based on the closing market price of our common stock on the date prior to vesting.

Arrangements with Named Executive Officers

Employment Agreements. On April 5, 2004, we entered into an employment agreement with Michael R. Minogue in which we agreed to employ Mr. Minogue as our President and Chief Executive Officer, reporting to our Board of Directors. The agreement initially provided for an annual salary of \$325,000 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2005, and a target bonus for such fiscal year of up to \$325,000. Mr. Minogue's employment agreement also provides that our Compensation Committee will review Mr. Minogue's salary and target bonus on an annual basis. In addition, pursuant to the employment agreement, Mr. Minogue was granted options to purchase 400,000 shares of our common stock on his date of hire. The agreement also provides for, among other things, certain vacation, life insurance and other benefits to Mr. Minogue. The employment agreement has an indefinite term, and is terminable by either party by notice to the other. On May 24, 2016, Mr. Minogue's salary was increased to \$648,748. Mr. Minogue's current target bonus is 120% of his base salary. Salary is payable in semi-monthly installments in accordance with our practice.

On May 26, 2015, we entered into an offer letter agreement with Michael Tomsicek to become our Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, commencing July 15, 2015. The offer letter provided that Mr. Tomsicek would receive a starting salary of \$350,000. The offer letter provided that Mr. Tomsicek would be granted 25,000 options to purchase shares of our common stock, vesting over four years, and 25,000 restricted stock units, vesting over three years, subject in each case to approval by our Compensation Committee. In addition, Mr. Tomsicek is eligible for an annual bonus with a target pay-out of \$210,000 under the offer letter. On May 24, 2016, Mr. Tomsicek's base salary was increased to \$360,500. Mr. Tomsicek's current target bonus is 60% of his base salary. Under the offer letter agreement, the Company committed to entering into a change of control agreement with Mr. Tomsicek that will provide him with salary and benefits continuation for a period of two years in the event his employment is terminated as a result of a change in control. The agreement has not yet been entered into with Mr. Tomsicek.

On April 10, 2007, Dr. David M. Weber accepted our offer letter to become our Chief Operating Officer. The offer letter provided that Dr. Weber would receive a starting salary of \$250,000 per year and a signing bonus

of \$12,000. The offer letter provided for the grant to Dr. Weber of a stock option to purchase 130,000 shares of our common stock on his date of hire, vesting annually over four years. In addition, Dr. Weber is eligible for an annual bonus with a target pay-out of \$100,000 under the offer letter. On May 24, 2016, Dr. Weber's salary was increased to \$382,616. Dr. Weber's current target bonus is 70% of his base salary.

Robert L. Bowen's employment with us terminated July 31, 2015 pursuant to his retirement. In connection with his retirement, the Company entered into a retirement agreement with Mr. Bowen that provides that all stock options and restricted stock units held by Mr. Bowen on his separation date will remain outstanding and eligible to vest until July 31, 2017. The agreement also allows Mr. Bowen to exercise any stock options held by him that are vested as of his retirement date or that vest between his retirement date and July 31, 2017, on the earlier of July 31, 2017 or the expiration date of such options. Under the terms of the agreement, if Mr. Bowen makes a timely election under COBRA for continued medical and dental insurance coverage, the Company will pay the standard employer portion of Mr. Bowen's medical and dental coverage for eighteen months following Mr. Bowen's retirement.

Each of our other named executive officers has entered into our standard employment agreements, which contain customary provisions relating to the handling of proprietary information and assignment of inventions, as well as restrictions on competition and solicitation during the period of employment and for two years after termination. In addition, the agreements provide that we may terminate the executive with or without cause, but in the case of a termination without cause more than six months after the date employment begins, we are required to provide 28-days prior notice.

Change of Control Agreements. We entered into a change of control agreement with Mr. Minogue when he began employment with us in April 2004. The agreement was entered into in order to provide Mr. Minogue with a sense of job security and the ability to focus on his work without needing to worry about losing his job upon a change of control. The agreement specifically provides that, following a change of control, we will continue to employ Mr. Minogue for twenty-four full months in the same or a similar position at a base annual salary equal to at least twelve times the highest monthly base salary received within the last twelve months prior to the change of control and an annual bonus equal to his average bonus over the last three fiscal years. In addition, Mr. Minogue agreed to remain our employee for six months following a change of control. If Mr. Minogue is still employed at the end of this six-month period following a change of control, all unvested stock options and stock appreciation rights held by him will become vested. Mr. Minogue can terminate his employment for good reason, and we may terminate his employment for or without cause. The agreement provides for certain payments to be made to Mr. Minogue or his family upon certain circumstances following a change of control, including upon his death, disability, termination of employment by us without cause, and termination of employment by him for good reason. If we terminate Mr. Minogue's employment without cause or Mr. Minogue terminates his employment for good reason, he will be entitled to receive cash severance payments equal to 2.99 times his base amount. For up to eighteen months following any such termination, we are also required to provide for continuation of certain benefits provided to Mr. Minogue before the change of control on terms at least as favorable to Mr. Minogue as in effect before the change of control. These payments and benefits are described in more detail below. Additionally upon such a termination, the vesting of all of Mr. Minogue's then-unvested and outstanding stock options and stock appreciation rights will accelerate. In the event that Mr. Minogue is obligated to pay any excise taxes, including interest and penalties, under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, Mr. Minogue will be entitled to receive a gross-up payment such that he will receive an after-tax amount sufficient to enable him to pay all such excises taxes, interest and penalties.

On August 13, 2008, our Compensation Committee also authorized us to enter into change of control agreements with Mr. Bolt and Dr. Weber. Under the change of control agreements, if we terminate the executive's employment for any reason other than for cause, death or disability during the two-year period following a change of control, as defined in the change of control agreements, or if the executive terminates his employment with us for good reason during the eighteen-month period beginning six months after a change of control, then the executive will be entitled to a lump sum payment equal to two times the sum of the executive's then-effective annual salary

plus his target bonus. For up to two years following any such termination, we are also required to provide medical and dental benefits to the executive and his family. Additionally upon such a termination, the vesting of all of the executive's then-unvested and outstanding stock options and stock appreciation rights will accelerate. Upon a change of control, whether or not the executive's employment terminates, if the Compensation Committee elects to cancel outstanding stock options, stock appreciation rights, and restricted stock, all such awards then outstanding and held by the executive will accelerate and vest immediately prior to the change of control. On April 15, 2009, we entered into a similar change of control agreement with Michael G. Howley.

Estimated Potential Payments Following a Change of Control

The following table provides information regarding the estimated amounts payable to the individuals named below upon the occurrence of the triggering events described below, in each case assuming that a change of control had occurred on March 31, 2016, the last day of our last fiscal year. The amounts shown as payable upon the triggering events described below do not include amounts earned by the individual and accrued before the occurrence of the triggering event but payable after the triggering event, such as accrued and unpaid salary or the value of accrued but unused vacation days.

Estimated Potential Payments Following an Assumed Change of Control on March 31, 2016

Name and Triggering Event	Severance (\$)	Acceleration of Stock Options (\$)(1)	Acceleration of Restricted Stock Units (\$)(2)	Benefits Continuation and Outplacement (\$)	Tax Reimbursement (\$)(3)	Total (\$)(4)
Michael R. Minogue:						
Automatic acceleration of options and restricted stock units upon change of control(5)		10,005,824	37,215,746			47,221,570
Continues employment for six months(6)		10,005,824				10,005,824
Termination by us other than for cause or by executive for good reason(7)	29,109,145	10,005,824	37,215,746	37,562	27,606,724	103,975,001
Michael J. Tomsicek:						
Automatic acceleration of restricted stock units upon change of control(8)	753,416		2,370,250			3,123,666
David M. Weber:						
Automatic acceleration of options and restricted stock units upon change of control(9)		2,294,603	11,008,683			13,303,286
Termination by us other than for cause or by executive for good reason(7)	1,238,946	2,294,603	11,008,683	53,416	709,197	15,304,845
William J. Bolt:						
Automatic acceleration of options and restricted stock units upon change of control(10)		1,982,410	1,880,395			3,862,805
Termination by us other than for cause or by executive for good reason(7)	980,314	1,982,410	1,880,395	53,416		4,896,535
Michael G. Howley:						
Automatic acceleration of options and restricted stock units upon change of control(10)		2,711,887	2,291,207			5,003,094
Termination by us other than for cause or by executive for good reason(7)	1,052,581	2,711,887	2,291,207	53,416		6,109,091

- (1) Represents the estimated value of the acceleration of the vesting of stock options held by the executive, assuming a stock price of \$94.81, which was the closing market price of our common stock on March 31, 2016. This value is based on the difference between such price and the exercise price of the accelerated stock options. All stock options held by the named executive officers, with the exception of Mr. Tomsicek, accelerate in full if the executive is terminated following a change of control other than for cause or if the executive terminates employment for good reason. In addition, in the case of Mr. Minogue, all stock options and stock appreciation rights accelerate in full if following a change of control his employment continues for at least six months. In the case of all named executive officers, the stock options will also vest in full if our Board of Directors or Compensation Committee exercises its discretion to cancel outstanding stock options in a change of control.
- (2) Represents the estimated value of the acceleration of the vesting of certain restricted stock units (assuming payout at maximum) held by the executives, assuming a stock price of \$94.81, which was the closing market price of our common stock on March 31, 2016. Additional detail about such acceleration is described in the footnotes below.
- (3) Represents the estimated gross-up payment owed to the executives based on the assumptions in this table. Mr. Minogue is entitled to a full gross-up payment to the extent of any excise tax imposed pursuant to Section 4999 of the Code. The other named executive officers, with the exception of Mr. Tomsicek, are entitled to a gross-up payment to the extent that any excise tax is imposed by Section 4999 of the Code, but subject to other limitations on the amount of gross-up for the excise tax.
- (4) Does not include amounts the executive would be entitled to if his employment was terminated due to death or disability. With the exception of Mr. Tomsicek, each executive or his estate is, upon the executive's termination due to death or disability, entitled to receive the executive's earned but unpaid compensation as well as benefits at least equal to the most favorable family benefits provided by the Company to surviving families of peer executives. In addition, if Mr. Minogue's or Dr. Weber's employment is terminated due to death or disability, the executive's TSR award shall vest pro rata based on the Company's performance on the date of termination.
- (5) Reflects the value of all outstanding stock options and time-based restricted stock unit awards granted to Mr. Minogue on May 14, 2014 and May 13, 2015, each of which by its terms vests in full upon a change of control of the Company (assuming the stock options are not assumed or substituted in the transaction). Also reflects the value of performance and time-based restricted stock unit awards granted to Mr. Minogue on June 27, 2011, May 14, 2014, May 13, 2015, and June 15, 2015, each of which, with the exception of the May 13, 2015 grant, by its terms vests in full upon a change of control of the Company. The May 13, 2015 grant by its terms vests two-thirds upon a change of control of the Company.
- (6) This row does not reflect the value of continued compensation for services rendered during the course of employment or the effect of continued vesting of stock options following a change of control.
- (7) Assumes that termination occurs on March 31, 2016. Termination later in the year would also entitle the executive to a pro rata portion of his bonus, based on the number of days lapsed during the year prior to termination. Bonus amounts and severance payments are payable in a lump sum. Benefits continuation consists of the continuation of medical benefits for the executive and his family, which, as of March 31, 2016, are payable over a period of 18 months in the case of Mr. Minogue and over 24 months for the other named executive officers. Also includes outplacement of up to \$10,000 in the case of the named executive officers other than Mr. Minogue. Other perquisites and personal benefits are excluded because the estimated aggregate amount of such benefits is less than \$10,000 for each named executive officer.
- (8) Reflects the value of time-based restricted stock unit awards granted to Mr. Tomsicek on July 15, 2015 that by its terms vests in full upon a change of control of the Company.
- (9) Reflects the value of all outstanding stock options and time-based restricted stock unit awards granted to Dr. Weber on May 14, 2014 and May 13, 2015, each of which by its terms vests in full upon a change of control of the Company (assuming the stock options are not assumed or substituted in the transaction). Also reflects the value of performance and time-based restricted stock unit awards granted to Dr. Weber on May 14, 2014, May 13, 2015, and June 15, 2015, each of which, with the exception of the May 13, 2015 grant, by its terms vests in full upon a change of control of the Company. The May 13, 2015 grant by its terms vests two-thirds upon a change of control of the Company.
- (10) Reflects the value of all outstanding stock options and time-based restricted stock unit awards granted to each of Messrs. Bolt and Howley on May 14, 2014 and May 13, 2015, each of which by its terms vests in full upon a change of control of the Company (assuming the stock options are not assumed or substituted in the transaction). Also reflects the value of performance and time-based restricted stock unit awards granted to each of Messrs. Bolt and Howley on May 14, 2014 and May 13, 2015, the first of which by its terms vests in full upon a change of control of the Company, and the second of which by its terms vests two-thirds upon a change of control of the Company.

Equity Compensation Plans

The following table provides information as of March 31, 2016 regarding securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans. Our equity compensation plans under which awards may currently be granted include the 2015 Plan, the 2008 Plan, and our 1988 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP). All of these equity compensation plans have been approved by our stockholders.

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders(1)	3,507,000(2)	\$ 20.55(3)	2,636,470
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	3,507,000(2)	\$ 20.55(3)	2,636,470

(1) Consists of the 2015 Plan, the 2008 Plan, the Company's 2000 Plan, the Company's 1998 Equity Incentive Plan, the Company's 1989 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors, and the ESPP.

(2) Amount includes 2,244,000 shares of common stock underlying outstanding stock options and 1,263,000 shares comprised of common stock deliverable upon the vesting of outstanding restricted stock units.

(3) The weighted-average exercise price only includes all outstanding stock options.

SECURITIES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY CERTAIN PERSONS

At the close of business on June 24, 2016, there were 42,991,800 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote. On June 24, 2016, the closing price of our common stock as reported on the Nasdaq Global Select Market was \$100.37 per share. The following table provides information, as of June 24, 2016, with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common stock by:

each person known by us to be the beneficial owner of five percent or more of our common stock;

each of our directors and nominees for director;

each of our executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table under "Executive Compensation" above; and

all of our current directors and executive officers as a group.

This information is based upon information received from or on behalf of the individuals named therein. Unless otherwise noted, the address for each individual is c/o ABIOMED, Inc., 22 Cherry Hill Drive, Danvers, Massachusetts 01923.

Name(1)	Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned(2)	Percentage of Class Outstanding
PRIMECAP Management Company(3) 225 South Lake Ave., #400 Pasadena, CA 91101	5,657,090	13.2%
Blackrock, Inc.(4) 40 East 52 nd Street New York, NY 10022	3,831,566	8.9%
The Vanguard Group, Inc.(5) 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355	3,052,249	7.1%
Palo Alto Investors, LLC(6) 470 University Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94301	2,889,505	6.7%
Essex Woodlands Health Ventures(7) 21 Waterway Avenue, Suite 225 The Woodlands, TX 77380	2,150,000	5.0%
Martin P. Sutter(8)	2,373,543	5.5%
Michael R. Minogue(9)	731,135	1.7%
Henri A. Termeer(10)	368,909	*

Edgar Filing: ABIOMED INC - Form DEF 14A

David M. Weber	250,212	*
Michael G. Howley	125,151	*
William J. Bolt	103,063	*
W. Gerald Austen(11)	87,241	*
Dorothy E. Puhly(12)	80,690	*
Eric A. Rose(13)	43,640	*
Paul Thomas	26,131	*
Michael J. Tomsicek	16,666	*
Jeannine M. Rivet		*
Christopher D. Van Gorder		*
All executive officers and directors as a group (14 persons)(7)(14)	4,291,963	10.0%

* Less than one percent.

- (1) Unless otherwise noted, each person identified possesses sole voting and investment power over the shares listed.
- (2) Includes shares of common stock which the following person had the right to acquire on June 24, 2016 or within sixty (60) days thereafter through the exercise of stock options or the vesting of restricted stock units: Mr. Sutter (72,640), Mr. Minogue (422,115), Mr. Termeer (63,640), Mr. Weber (173,083), Mr. Howley (82,082), Mr. Bolt (32,000), Mr. Austen (11,979), Ms. Puhly (60,140), Mr. Rose (41,060), Mr. Thomas (16,460), Mr. Tomsicek (16,666) and all directors and executive officers as a group (1,030,198).
- (3) Based on information provided in a Schedule 13G filed by PRIMECAP Management Company on February 11, 2016. PRIMECAP Management Company has sole voting power with respect to 4,575,973 shares of common stock and sole dispositive power with respect to 5,657,090 shares.
- (4) Based on information provided in a Schedule 13G filed by Blackrock, Inc. on January 20, 2016. Blackrock, Inc. has sole voting power with respect to 3,741,373 shares of common stock and sole dispositive power with respect to 3,831,566 shares.
- (5) Based on information provided in a Schedule 13G filed by The Vanguard Group, Inc. on February 10, 2016, in its capacity as investment adviser. Includes 87,910 shares of common stock beneficially owned by Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company, a wholly owned subsidiary of The Vanguard Group, Inc., as a result of its serving as investment manager of collective trust accounts and 4,400 shares beneficially owned Vanguard Investments Australia, Ltd, a wholly owned subsidiary of The Vanguard Group, Inc., as a result of its serving as an investment manager of Australia investment offerings. The Vanguard Group, Inc. has sole voting power with respect to 90,310 shares, sole dispositive power with respect to 2,962,339 shares, shared voting power with respect to 2,000 shares and shared dispositive power with respect to 89,910 shares.
- (6) Based on information provided in a Schedule 13G filed jointly on February 16, 2016 by Palo Alto Investors, LLC, Patrick Lee, MD and Anthony Joonkyoo Yun, MD, each of whom is deemed to have shared voting power and shared dispositive power with respect to 2,889,505 shares of common stock.
- (7) Based on information provided in a Schedule 13G filed jointly on February 12, 2016 by Essex Woodlands Health Ventures Fund VI, L.P. (Essex VI), Essex Woodlands Health Ventures Fund VII, L.P. (Essex VII), Essex Woodlands Health Ventures VI, L.P., the general partner of Essex VI (Essex VI GP), Essex Woodlands Health Ventures VII, L.P., the general partner of Essex VII (Essex VII GP), Essex Woodlands Health Ventures VI, L.L.C., the general partner of Essex VI GP (Essex VI General Partner), Essex Woodlands Health Ventures VII, L.L.C., the general partner of Essex VII GP (Essex VII General Partner”