TRINITY LEARNING CORP Form SB-2/A December 29, 2004

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

AMENDMENT NO. 1

FORM SB-2

REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

TRINITY LEARNING CORPORATION (Name of issuer in its charter)

Utah

8200

(State of incorporation) (Primary Standard Industrial (I.R.S. Employer Classification Code Number) Identification No.)

73-0981865

1831 SECOND STREET BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94710 (510) 540-9300

(Address and telephone number of registrant's principal executive offices and principal place of business)

DOUGLAS D. COLE 1831 SECOND STREET (510) 540-9300 (Name, Address and telephone number of agent for service)

With a copy to: BRENT CHRISTENSEN PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94710 201 SOUTH MAIN STREET, SUITE 1800 SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111 (801) 532-1234

APPROXIMATE DATE OF COMMENCEMENT OF PROPOSED SALE TO THE PUBLIC: From time to time after the effective date of this registration statement.

If any the securities being registered on this Form are being offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933 check the following box. [x]

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, please check the following boxes and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. []

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following boxes and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. []

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act

registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. [

If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, please check the following box. $[\]$

	Calculation of Registration Fee											
Title of Each Class Of Securities To Be Registered		Proposed Maximum Offering Price Per Share	Proposed Maximum Aggregate Offering Price									
Common Stock, No Par Value	26,172,997	0.85 (2)	\$22,247,047.45									
Common Stock, No Par Value, Issuable Upon Conversion of Convertible Note	8,800,000	\$0.90 (3)	\$7,920,000.00									
Common Stock, No Par Value, Issuable Upon Exercise of Warrants	1,600,000	,	\$1,440,000.00									
Amount Previously Paid			\$(23,054,565.30)									
Amount Due With This Filing			\$8,552,482.15									
TOTAL			\$31,607,047.45									

- (1) In addition, pursuant to Rule 416(c) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), this Registration Statement also covers an indeterminate number of additional shares that may be issuable in connection with share splits, share dividends or similar transactions.
- (2) Estimated pursuant to Rule 457(c) under the Securities Act, solely for the purpose of calculating the registration fee, based on the average of the bid and asked prices for the Company's common stock as reported within five business days prior to the date of the registrant's initial filing of this registration statement on August 13, 2004.
- (3) Estimated pursuant to Rule 457(c) under the Securities Act, solely for the purpose of calculating the registration fee, based on the average of the bid and asked prices for the Company's common stock as reported within five business days prior to the date of this filing.
- (4) Estimated solely for the purpose of calculating the registration fee in accordance with Rules 457(c) and 457(g) under the Securities Act of 1933.

THE REGISTRANT HEREBY AMENDS THIS REGISTRATION STATEMENT ON SUCH DATE OR DATES AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO DELAY ITS EFFECTIVE DATE UNTIL THE REGISTRANT SHALL FILE A FURTHER AMENDMENT WHICH SPECIFICALLY STATES THAT THIS REGISTRATION STATEMENT SHALL THEREAFTER BECOME EFFECTIVE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 8 (A) OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933 OR UNTIL THIS REGISTRATION STATEMENT SHALL BECOME

EFFECTIVE ON SUCH DATE AS THE COMMISSION, ACTING PURSUANT TO SAID SECTION 8(A), MAY DETERMINE.

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. The selling shareholders may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

Subject to completion dated December 28, 2004

TRINITY LEARNING CORPORATION

[GRAPHIC OMITTED] LOGO

36,572,997 Shares Common Stock

This prospectus relates to the offer and sale of up to 36,572,999 shares of common stock that may be sold from time to time by the persons listed under the caption "Selling Security Holders," beginning on page 44. These shares include 8,800,000 shares that are issuable pursuant to the terms of a convertible promissory note and 1,600,000 shares issuable upon the exercise of warrants, both issued to Laurus Master Fund, Ltd. ("Laurus").

Quotations for our common stock are reported on the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol "TTYL." On December 14, 2004, the closing bid price for our common stock was \$0.90 per share.

With the exception of proceeds from the exercise of warrants by Laurus and any benefit accruing to us from the conversion of the promissory note held by Laurus, we will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of common stock by the selling security holders. We will pay all expenses in connection with this offering, and the selling security holders will only be responsible for paying any sales or brokerage commissions or discounts with respect to sales of their shares.

The selling security holders may sell shares in the over-the-counter market or on any stock exchange on which our common stock may be listed at the time of sale. They may also sell shares in block transactions or private transactions or otherwise, through brokers or dealers. These sales will be made either at market prices prevailing at the time of sale or at negotiated prices. Brokers or dealers may act as agents for the selling stockholders or may purchase any of the shares as principal. If brokers or dealers purchase shares as principal, they may sell such shares at market prices prevailing at the time of sale or at negotiated prices. In lieu of making sales through the use of this prospectus, the selling stockholders may also make sales of the shares covered by this prospectus pursuant to Rule 144 or Rule 144A under the Securities Act.

For a discussion of certain considerations associated with the purchase of the common stock offered hereby, see "Risk Factors" beginning on page 5.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved these securities, or determined if this Prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

_____, 2004

Unless the context otherwise requires, references in this prospectus to "Trinity Learning," "the Company," "we," "us," "our" or "ours" refer to Trinity Learning Corporation.

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus. We have not authorized any other person to provide you with different information. If anyone provides you with different or inconsistent information, you should not rely on it. We are not making an offer to sell these securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Prospectus Summary
Risk Factors5
Forward-Looking Statements10
Use of Proceeds11
Dilution11
Description of Business11
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations23
Directors and Executive Officers34
Executive Compensation
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management
Certain Relationships and Related Transactions
Legal Proceedings41
Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure41
Description of Securities42
Market for Common Shares and Related Stockholder Matters43
Selling Security Holders44
Plan of Distribution48

Experts and Counsel	. 49
Additional Information	. 49
Index to Financial Statements	.51

2

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this prospectus. You should read this entire prospectus carefully, including "Risk Factors" and our financial statements and the notes to those financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Our Company

Trinity Learning Corporation, a publicly held Utah corporation, is a global learning company specializing in technology-enabled training, education, and certification services for major customers in multiple global industries. We are achieving market presence in geographic markets worldwide by acquiring and integrating companies providing innovative workplace learning solutions in targeted regions and industry segments. During 2003 and 2004, we acquired control of three companies located in the United States, Norway and Australia. We also acquired operating interests in two companies located in South Africa. Each of these companies serves unique segments of the global learning market. Trinity Learning intends to increase market penetration and the breadth and depth of its products and services through additional acquisitions, licensing, strategic alliances, internal business development, and the expansion of sales offices around the world.

Our company's executive offices are located at 1831 Second Street, Berkeley, California, 94710. Our telephone number is (510) 540-9300.

Corporate Organization

We were incorporated on April 14, 1975 in Oklahoma under the name U.S. Mineral & Royalty Corp. as an oil and gas exploration, development and operating company. In 1989, it changed its name to Habersham Energy Company. Historically, the company was engaged in the business of acquiring and producing oil and gas properties, but did not have any business activity from 1995 to 2002. Subsequent to our reorganization in 2002, we changed our corporate domicile to Utah, amended our capital structure and changed our name to Trinity Companies Inc. In March 2003, our name was changed to Trinity Learning Corporation.

On June 16, 2003, we completed a recapitalization of our common stock by (i) effecting a reverse split of our outstanding common stock on the basis of one share for each 250 shares owned, with each resulting fractional share being rounded up to the nearest whole share, and (ii) subsequently effecting a forward split by dividend to all stockholders of record, pro rata, on the basis of 250 shares for each one share owned. The record date for the reverse and forward splits was June 4, 2003. Immediately prior to the recapitalization, we had 13,419,774 shares of common stock outstanding. Following the recapitalization and the cancellation of 108,226 shares of common stock beneficially owned by members of management, there were 13,419,774 shares of common stock outstanding.

On August 6, 2003, our board of directors approved a change in our fiscal year-end from September 30 to June 30 to align it with those of the companies we had already acquired or were at that time in the process of acquiring.

On February 22, 2004, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger with ProsoftTraining, a Nevada corporation, pursuant to which we would have merged into a wholly-owned subsidiary of ProsoftTraining. This Agreement and Plan of Merger was mutually terminated on July 23, 2004.

3

The Offering

The selling security holders will sell 36,572,997 shares of our common stock. We currently have 31,465,143 shares of common stock issued and outstanding, and a maximum aggregate of 10,400,000 shares of common stock are issuable pursuant to the terms of the convertible note and warrants issued to Laurus Master Fund Ltd. Assuming issuance of all of these shares of stock, we will have the same number of shares of common stock issued and outstanding following completion of this offering. We will not receive any proceeds from the sales of common stock by the selling security holders.

Summary Selected Financial Data

The following selected financial information should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," which are included elsewhere in this prospectus. The consolidated statements of operations data for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004 and the transition period ended June 30, 2003, along with the consolidated balance sheet data at June 30, 2004 and 2003, are derived from audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. The consolidated statements of operations data for the three months ended September 30, 2004 and September 30, 2003 and the consolidated balance sheet data at September 30, 2004 and September 30, 2004 are derived from unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this prospectus.

	Three Mon Septem	Year Ended June 30	
	2004	2003	2004
Consolidated Statements of Operations Data			
Revenue	\$ 902,854	\$ 253 , 993	\$ 2,590,091
Loss from Operations	\$ (1,001,064)	\$ (921,220)	\$ (5,075,960
Net Loss	\$ (1,861,586)	\$ (945,910)	\$ (11,462,063
Net Loss per Common Share Basic / Diluted	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.50
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data			
Total Assets	\$ 10,756,658	\$ 5,688,025	\$ 6,253,140
Short-term Debt	\$ 3,175,119	\$ 3,004,236	\$ 2,880,070
Long-term Debt	\$ 3,958,923	\$ 3,437,456	\$ 111,829

Ś

Stockholders' Equity

849,133 \$ 1,250,569 \$

444,520

4

RISK FACTORS

Our future operating results are highly uncertain. Before deciding to invest in our company or to maintain or increase your investment, you should carefully consider the risks described below, in addition to the other information contained in this prospectus. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones that we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also affect our business and results of operations. If any of these risks actually occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be seriously harmed. In that event, the market price for our common stock could decline and you may lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

Additional capital is necessary to sustain and grow our business.

During the development stage of our operations, we expect that operating revenues generated will be insufficient to cover expenses. For the foreseeable future, unless and until we attain profitable operations, we will likely experience a net operating loss or minimal net income. Thus, we will likely be dependent for the foreseeable future on capital raised in equity and/or debt financing, and there can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain such financing on favorable terms, if at all.

If we fail to obtain additional financing and improve our results of operations, we will be unable to meet our obligations as they become due, raising substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern

To meet our present and future liquidity requirements, we will continue to seek additional funding through private placements, conversion of outstanding loans and payables into common stock, development of the business of our newly-acquired subsidiaries, collections on accounts receivable, and through additional acquisitions that have sufficient cash flow to fund subsidiary operations. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in obtaining more debt and/or equity financing in the future or that our results of operations will materially improve in either the short- or the long-term. If we fail to obtain such financing and improve our results of operations, we will be unable to meet its obligations as they become due. That would raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Our business strategy is based on acquiring and consolidating additional suitable operating companies at attractive valuations.

Our growth strategy includes integrating our recent acquisitions and building a world-wide learning technology company. Acquisitions involve various inherent risks, such as:

- o the ability to assess accurately the value, strengths, weaknesses, contingent and other liabilities and potential profitability of acquisition candidates;
- o the potential loss of key personnel of an acquired business;

- o the ability to integrate acquired businesses and to achieve identified financial and operating synergies anticipated to result from an acquisition; and
- o unanticipated changes in business and economic conditions affecting an acquired business.

We need to successfully integrate recently acquired and potential additional operating companies.

As a result of recent acquisitions and, as part of our general business strategy, we have experienced significant growth and expect such growth to continue into the future. This growth is expected to place a significant strain on our management, financial, operating and technical resources. Failure to manage this growth effectively could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

5

There can be no assurance that we will be able to effectively integrate the acquired companies with our own operations. Expansion will place significant demands on our marketing, sales, administrative, operational, financial and management information systems, controls and procedures. Accordingly, our performance and profitability will depend on the ability of our officers and key employees to (i) manage our business and our subsidiaries as a cohesive enterprise, (ii) manage expansion through the timely implementation and maintenance of appropriate administrative, operational, financial and management information systems, controls and procedures, (iii) add internal capacity, facilities and third-party sourcing arrangements as and when needed, (iv) maintain service quality controls, and (v) attract, train, retain, motivate and manage effectively our employees. There can be no assurance that we will integrate and manage successfully new systems, controls and procedures for our business, or that our systems, controls, procedures, facilities and personnel, even if successfully integrated, will be adequate to support our projected future operations. Any failure to implement and maintain such systems, controls and procedures, add internal capacity, facilities and third-party sourcing arrangements or attract, train, retain, motivate and manage effectively our employees could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In connection with the completion of its audit of and the issuance of its report on Trinity Learning's consolidated financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2004, BDO Spencer Steward identified inadequate control over activities and reporting related to Trinity Learning's equity investments in two South African companies. In light of these findings Trinity Learning is undertaking a review of its disclosure controls, financial information, internal controls and procedures and staffing of its corporate accounting department.

We are effectively controlled by our officers and directors.

Our directors and executive officers beneficially own a significant percentage of our outstanding shares of common stock. As a result, these people exert substantial influence over our affairs and may have the ability to substantially influence all matters requiring approval by the stockholders, including the election of directors.

Our growth strategy is dependent on a variety of requirements, any one of which may not be met.

Our growth strategy and future profitability will be dependent on our ability to recruit additional management, operational and sales professionals and to enter into contracts with additional customers in global markets. There can be no assurance that our business development, sales, or marketing efforts will result in additional customer contracts, or that such contracts will result in profitable operations. Further, our growth strategy includes plans to achieve market penetration in additional industry segments. In order to remain competitive, we must (a) continually improve and expand our workplace learning and other curricula, (b) continually improve and expand technology and management-information systems, and (c) retain and/or recruit qualified personnel including instructional designers, computer software programmers, learning consultants, sales engineers, and other operational, administrative and sales professionals. There can be no assurance that we will be able to meet these requirements.

Our business will suffer if technology-enabled learning products and services are not widely adopted.

Our technology-enabled solutions represent a new and emerging approach for the workplace learning and education, and training market. Our success will depend substantially upon the widespread adoption of e-learning products for education and training. The early stage of development of this market makes it difficult to predict customer demand accurately. The failure of this market to develop, or a delay in the development of this market -- whether due to technological, competitive or other reasons -- would severely limit the growth of our business and adversely affect our financial performance.

We face significant competition from other companies.

The education marketplace is fragmented yet highly competitive and rapidly evolving, and is expected to continue to undergo significant and rapid technological change. Other companies may develop products and services and technologies superior to our services which may result in our services becoming less competitive. Many of these companies have substantially greater financial, manufacturing, marketing and technical resources than we do and represent significant long-term competition. To the extent that these companies offer comparable products and services at lower prices, or at higher quality and more cost effectively, our business could be adversely affected.

6

Our future growth depends on successful hiring and retention, particularly with respect to sales, marketing and development personnel, and we may be unable to hire and retain the experienced professionals we need to succeed.

Failure on our part to attract and retain sufficient skilled personnel, particularly sales and marketing personnel and product development personnel, may limit the rate at which we can grow, may adversely affect the quality or availability of our products and may result in less effective management of our business, any of which may harm our business and financial performance. Qualified personnel are in great demand throughout the learning and software development industry. Moreover, newly hired employees generally take several months to attain full productivity, and not all new hires satisfy performance expectations.

The length of the sales cycle for services may make our operating results unpredictable and volatile.

The period between initial contact with a potential customer and the purchase of our products by that customer typically ranges from six to eighteen

months. Factors that contribute to the long sales cycle include (a) the need to educate potential customers about the benefits of its services; (b) competitive evaluations and bidding processes managed by customers; (c) customers' internal budgeting and corporate approval processes; and (d) the fact that large corporations often take longer to make purchasing decisions due to the size of their organizations.

Our business may suffer if we are not successful in developing, maintaining and defending proprietary aspects of technology used in our products and services.

Our success and ability to compete are dependent, to a significant degree, on our ability to develop and maintain the proprietary aspects of our technology and operate without infringing the proprietary rights of others. Litigation may be necessary in the future to enforce our intellectual property rights, to protect trade secrets, to determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others or to defend against claims of infringement or invalidity. Any such litigation, even if we prevailed, could be costly and divert resources and could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. We can give no assurance that our means of protecting our proprietary rights will be adequate, or that our competitors will not independently develop similar technology. Any failure by us to adequately protect our intellectual property could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

There can be no assurance that other parties will not claim that our current or future products infringe their rights in the intellectual property. We expect that developers of enterprise applications will increasingly be subject to infringement claims as the number of products and competitors in its industry segment grows and as the functionality of products in different segments of the software industry increasingly overlaps. Any such claims, with or without merit, could be time consuming to defend, result in costly litigation, divert management's attention and resources, cause product shipment delays or require us to enter into marginally acceptable terms. A successful infringement claim against us, and our failure or inability to license the infringed rights or develop license technology with comparable functionality, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

We integrate third-party software into some of our products. This third-party software may not continue to be available on commercially reasonable terms. We believe, however, there are alternative sources for such technology. If we are unable to maintain licenses to the third-party software included in our products, distribution of our products could be delayed until equivalent software could be developed or licensed and integrated into our products. This delay could materially adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

Laws and regulations can affect our operations and may limit our ability to operate in certain jurisdictions.

Providers of educational programs to the public must comply with many laws and regulations of Federal, state and international governments. We believe that we and our operating subsidiaries are in substantial compliance with all laws and regulations applicable to our learning business in the various jurisdictions in which we and our subsidiaries operate. However, laws and regulations in the various jurisdictions in which our subsidiaries

operate that target educational providers could affect our operations in the future and could limit the ability of various of our subsidiaries to obtain authorization to operate in certain jurisdictions. If we or various of our subsidiaries had to comply with, or were found in violation of, a jurisdiction's current or future licensing or regulatory requirements, we could be subject to civil or criminal sanctions, including monetary penalties; we could also be barred from providing educational services in that jurisdiction. In addition, laws and regulatory decisions in many areas other than education could also adversely affect our operations. Complying with current or future legal requirements could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and stock price.

Material weaknesses in the design and operation of our internal controls could negatively impact our business and operations.

In connection with the completion of its audit of, and the issuance of its report on, Trinity Learning's consolidated financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2004, BDO Spencer Steward ("BDO") identified deficiencies that existed in the design or operation of our internal controls over financial reporting that it considered to be "material weaknesses," including (i) inadequate control over activities and reporting relating to Trinity Learning's investments in its South African subsidiaries; and (ii) lack of sufficient resources to identify and properly address technical SEC and reporting issues. In light of the material weaknesses identified by BDO, Trinity Learning is undertaking a review of its disclosure, financial information, internal controls and procedures and organization and staffing of its corporate accounting department. It is anticipated that this review will result in, among other things, the hiring of additional finance and accounting resources, including independent consultants who will document, test and develop current and expanded internal controls and procedures and provide support to our existing finance and accounting staff. Trinity Learning's management, audit committee, and directors will continue to work with our auditors and other outside advisors to ensure that our controls and procedures are adequate and effective. There can be no assurances that Trinity Learning will successfully address and remedy these material weaknesses in a timely manner, if at all. Failure to do so could have a material negative impact on our business and operations.

Changes in exchange rates can unpredictably and adversely affect our consolidated operating results.

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in U.S. dollars, while the operations of our foreign subsidiaries are conducted in their respective local currencies. Consequently, changes in exchange rates can unpredictably and adversely affect our consolidated operating results, and could result in exchange losses. We do not hedge against the risks associated with fluctuations in exchange rates. Although we may use hedging techniques in the future, we may not be able to eliminate or reduce the effects of currency fluctuations. Thus, exchange rate fluctuations could have a material adverse impact on our operating results and stock price.

Our business is also subject to other risks associated with international operations.

Our financial results may be adversely affected by other international risks, such as:

- o difficulties in translating its courses into foreign languages;
- o international political and economic conditions;

- o changes in government regulation in various countries;
- o trade barriers;
- o difficulty in staffing foreign offices, and in training and retaining foreign instructors;
- o adverse tax consequences; and
- o costs associated with expansion into new territories.

We expect that international revenues will continue to be a significant portion of our total revenues. If we fail to adequately anticipate and respond to the risks associated with international operations, this failure could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and stock price.

Risks Related to the Offering

Because our common stock is traded on the OTC Bulletin Board, your ability to sell your shares in the secondary trading market may be limited.

Our common stock currently is traded on the over-the-counter market on the OTC Bulletin Board. The OTC Bulletin Board is an inter-dealer, over-the-counter market that provides significantly less liquidity than the NASDAQ Stock Market or national or regional exchanges. Securities traded on the OTC Bulletin Board are usually thinly traded, highly volatile, have fewer market makers and are not followed by analysts. Consequently, the liquidity of our common stock is impaired, not only in the number of shares that are bought and sold, but also through delays in the timing of transactions, and lack of coverage by security analysts and the news media. As a result, prices for shares of our common stock may be lower than might otherwise prevail if our common stock was quoted on the NASDAQ Stock Market or traded on a national securities exchange, like The New York Stock Exchange or American Stock Exchange.

8

Because our common stock is a "penny stock," you may have difficulty selling them in the secondary trading market.

Federal regulations under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 regulate the trading of so-called "penny stocks," which are generally defined as any security not listed on a national securities exchange or NASDAQ, priced at less than \$5.00 per share and offered by an issuer with limited net tangible assets and revenues. Since our common stock currently trades on the OTC Bulletin Board at less than \$5.00 per share, our common stock is a "penny stock" and may not be traded unless a disclosure schedule explaining the penny stock market and the risks associated therewith is delivered to a potential purchaser prior to any trade.

In addition, because our common stock is not listed on NASDAQ or any national securities exchange and currently trades at less than \$5.00 per share, trading in our common stock is subject to Rule 15g-9 under the Exchange Act. Under this rule, broker-dealers must take certain steps prior to selling a "penny stock," which steps include:

- o obtaining financial and investment information from the investor;
- o obtaining a written suitability questionnaire and purchase agreement signed by the investor; and

o providing the investor a written identification of the shares being offered and the quantity of the shares.

If these penny stock rules are not followed by the broker-dealer, the investor has no obligation to purchase the shares. The application of these comprehensive rules will make it more difficult for broker-dealers to sell our common stock and our stockholders, therefore, may have difficulty in selling their shares in the secondary trading market.

We have not paid dividends to our stockholders in the past and we do not anticipate paying dividends in the near future.

We have not declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock. We intend to retain all future earnings, if any, to fund the operation of our business, and therefore we do not anticipate paying dividends on our common stock in the future.

There are a large number of shares of our common stock underlying our outstanding warrants, options and convertible notes, all of which may be available for future sale, which sale may depress the market prices of our common stock.

The issuance of shares of common stock upon the exercise of our outstanding options and warrants will result in dilution to the interests of our shareholders, and may have an adverse effect on the trading price and market for our common stock. As of November 30, 2004, we had options and warrants outstanding which may be exercised to acquire 27,554,950 shares of our common stock at various times. The future sale of these shares may adversely affect the market price of our common stock. Shares issued upon exercise of our outstanding warrants and options will also cause immediate and substantial dilution to our existing shareholders. In addition, as long as these warrants and options remain outstanding, our ability to obtain additional capital through the sale of our securities might be adversely affected.

We are subject to compliance with securities law, which exposes us to potential liabilities, including potential rescission rights.

We have periodically offered and sold our common stock to investors pursuant to certain exemptions from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as well as those of various state securities laws. The basis for relying on such exemptions is factual; that is, the applicability of such exemptions depends upon our conduct and that of those persons contacting prospective investors and making the offering. We have not received a legal opinion to the effect that any of our prior offerings were exempt from registration under any federal or state law. Instead, we have relied upon the operative facts as the basis for such exemptions, including information provided by investors themselves.

9

If any prior offering did not qualify for such exemption, an investor would have the right to rescind its purchase of the securities if it so desired. It is possible that if an investor should seek rescission, such investor would succeed. A similar situation prevails under state law in those states where the securities may be offered without registration in reliance on the partial preemption from the registration or qualification provisions of such state statutes under the National Securities Markets Improvement Act of 1996. If investors were successful in seeking rescission, we would face severe financial

demands that could adversely affect our business and operations. Additionally, if we did not in fact qualify for the exemptions upon which we have relied, we may become subject to significant fines and penalties imposed by the SEC and state securities agencies.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus contains forward-looking statements. These statements relate to future events or our future financial performance. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology, for instance the terms "may," "will," "should," "expect," "plan," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "potential" or "continue," the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. In addition, these forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements regarding the following:

- o anticipated operating results and sources of future revenue;
- o growth;
- o adequacy of our financial resources;
- o development of new products and markets;
- o obtaining and maintaining regulatory approval and changes in regulations;
- o competitive pressures;
- o commercial acceptance of new products;
- o changing economic conditions;
- o expectations regarding competition from other companies; and
- o our ability to manufacture and distribute our products.

Potential investors in our company are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of their dates. These forward-looking statements are based largely on our current expectations and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. Actual results will differ and could differ materially from these forward-looking statements. The factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements include the following: (1) industry conditions and competition, (2) the rate of market acceptance of our products, (3) our ability to integrate acquired companies, (4) operational risks and insurance, (5) risks associated with operating in foreign jurisdictions, (6) product liabilities which may arise in the future which are not covered by insurance or indemnity, (7) the impact of current and future laws and government regulation, as well as repeal or modification of same, (8) the ability to retain key personnel, (9) renegotiation, nullification or breach of contracts with distributors, suppliers or other parties and (10) the relationship with our suppliers. In light of these risks and uncertainties, there can be no assurance that the matters referred to in the forward-looking statements contained in this prospectus will in fact occur.

We will not receive any funds obtained by the selling security holders from their re-offer and sale of the common stock covered by this prospectus. However, we will receive the sale price of any common stock we sell to Laurus Master Fund Ltd. upon exercise of their warrants. We expect to use the proceeds received from the exercise of the warrants, if any, for general working capital purposes.

DILUTION

The shares offered for sale by the selling shareholders, assuming issuance of the shares of common stock issuable pursuant to the terms of the convertible note and warrants held by Laurus Master Fund Ltd., are or will already be outstanding and, therefore, do not and will not contribute to dilution.

DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

General

We are a publicly held global learning company that provides advanced learning solutions for corporations, organizations and individuals. Our mission is to become a leader in offering education, training and certification services to major customers around the world. We are seeking to grow rapidly through acquisitions, business development and strategic relationships.

We commenced our strategy in 2002 to acquire and integrate operating companies with established customers in strategic markets that have developed proprietary technology-enabled learning, training and certification systems and services targeted at major customers in worldwide industries. Our initial target market has been medium to large companies and organizations that provide workplace training and certification to their employees in a cost effective and efficient manner. We anticipate that growth will also be achieved through strategic relationships, licensing and marketing of software and other technologies, internal business development, and the expansion of sales offices and other sales representation around the world.

In making acquisitions, we have targeted companies that we believe will position us to:

- o Provide workplace learning services to multiple organizational levels
 of major employers;
- Leverage investments in content and delivery systems across multiple industry segments;
- o Cross-market learning services developed by our operating subsidiaries;
- o Design and implement long-term workplace human capital development programs for large corporations, organizations and governments; and
- o Provide meaningful learning experiences to end-users.

We adopted our strategy in 2002 based on competitive analysis, market research and an analysis of our ability to acquire operating companies in key

market areas and segments with attractive valuations. We have executed this strategy by identifying and completing a number of strategic acquisitions, expanding our executive management team, entering into key strategic relationships with financial advisors and investment banks, and developing and implementing a development and growth stage financing strategy. We continue to evaluate potential acquisitions and to seek to develop strategic initiative partnerships, such as our recently-announced EducacionAmericas, which will develop learning products and services geared specifically to the Hispanic market in North America.

11

On February 22, 2004, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger with ProsoftTraining, a Nevada corporation, pursuant to which we would have merged into a wholly owned subsidiary of ProsoftTraining. This Agreement and Plan of Merger was mutually terminated on July 23, 2004. Following mutual termination of our proposed merger with Prosoft, we have focused our efforts on obtaining private equity and debt financing, identifying and negotiating additional acquisition targets, primarily in North America and Western Europe, integrating and leveraging acquired operating assets in the U.S., Australia, South Africa, and Europe, and on developing our financial, shareholder and reporting infrastructure to meet listing requirements for a larger stock exchange.

The Global Learning Market

According to EduVentures, Inc., a privately held education research company, and Think Equity Partners, an investment bank focused on major growth sectors of the economy, the global education and training market is estimated at approximately US\$2 trillion annually, with the United States currently accounting for over 35% of the world market for training and education services. Within the corporate training market, e-learning, fueled by increased penetration of computers and workplace access to the Internet/Intranet, is playing an increased role in providing employees with training and workplace learning. IDC, a global market research and advisory firm, estimates that worldwide e-learning market will exceed \$23 billion by 2006. Cortona Consulting, a strategic marketing and management assistance firm, estimates that the global e-learning services market will reach \$50 billion by 2010.

We believe that the global learning market will, over time, evolve globally as it has in the United States and other developed countries, and will continue to expand based on market factors including:

- o Growth and dynamic changes in the world's population base and the world's workforce;
- Continued globalization of the world's economies and expansion of educational services in lesser developed countries;
- o Increased access to Internet/Intranet and other communications technologies around the world.

Changes in the size and make-up of the world's population

The Population Resource Center, a non-profit organization dedicated to bringing the latest demographic data to policy makers, estimates that world population exceeded 6 billion individuals in 2001, with a growth rate of 1.3%

annually. Based on this growth rate, there will be approximately 1 billion new entrants to the global workforce each decade throughout the first half of this century. Educating and training new workers is a task of global proportions. Furthermore, significant changes in the make-up of the world's population are anticipated in the near future:

- o Future labor shortages in industrialized economies, caused by an aging workforce, will be met through immigration and outsourcing; and
- o Short-term labor shortages will be met by full-time and part-time re-entry of "retirees" into the workforce, a trend that is already gaining momentum in the United States.

Both groups will require training or retraining in new job skills - particularly computer-related skills.

Other demographic factors in the make-up of the world's work force are expected to have a significant impact on the workplace learning market. In the United States, according to Ameristat, a partnership of the Population Reference Bureau and the University of Michigan Social Science Data Analysis Network, between 1998 and 2008 over 40 million people will enter the U.S. labor force, joining over 110 million workers already in the workforce. Over 25% of new workers are expected to be either Spanish or Chinese speaking, for whom English skills are limited, knowledge of local laws and regulations regarding employment practices is minimal, and for whom training in basic workplace skills will be required.

12

During the same time, the average age of the workforce will increase to age 45 or older by the year 2025. In many cases, older workers will have changed jobs or careers numerous times, with additional job or career changes likely before reaching the age to receive retirement benefits. It is generally accepted that young people entering the workforce today will need to continually adapt to rapid changes in technology, employment opportunities and the skills needed to succeed over their working lives.

As the global workplace continues to change rapidly, the economic value of a college degree or professional certification continues to increase. In the United States, the wage premium for a college degree as compared to a high school diploma has nearly doubled since the late 1970s - a statistic that is even more pronounced for women. Through distance and online education, there is a worldwide market for college degree programs and professional certifications. Wage differentials based on education can also be found in the workplace below the degree level. For example, in Latin America, a worker with six years of education typically earns 50% more than a worker with no formal education, and the wage premium increases to 120% based on 12 years of education.

Globalization and Technology

Increased globalization of the world's economies is also expected to have a significant impact on the world learning market. As technology continues to facilitate global communication and business, corporations will continue to seek out new foreign markets for highly educated, lower cost workers, a process known as "outsourcing" or white collar globalization. In order for developed nations to compete with the outsourcing of labor to developing nations, they must invest

in educating and training their workforce. Many companies already know the benefits of ongoing education and training for their employees.

A three year study of 575 publicly held companies by the American Society for Training and Development ("ASTD"), an association of workplace learning and performance professionals, found that companies who invested \$680 per employee more than the average company increased their total stockholder return by six percent for the following year.

A US-based survey performed by Chief Learning Officer Magazine and Fairfield Research Inc., a market research company, found that enterprise companies (over \$500 million in sales) annually spend an average of \$3.7 million on learning and training. These companies are estimated to have collectively spent \$11.9 billion on education in 2003. The annual spending per employee averaged \$912 with the greatest proportion of spending, nearly a third, devoted to technical staff. Another major area of expenditure for training and workplace learning was regulatory and health and safety compliance.

Globalization also presents challenges to large-scale, multinational employers in global industries that must address their human capital requirements in a cost-effective manner because of dispersed workforces, continued introduction of new technologies, language and cultural barriers, and other demographic factors. Large employers also employ a wide range of personnel with various levels of education and differing needs for ongoing training, workplace learning and professional development. In addition, compliance with local, national and international regulations and standards is increasingly critical for employers of all sizes.

Impact of Technology

Technology is revolutionizing access to learning, education and training around the world through computer-based learning, high-speed network access, distance learning, e-learning and online accredited education. Workplace access to computers and the Internet/Intranet continues to increase dramatically, with the highest rates of growth over the coming decade expected to be in less developed nations. Worldwide, the "Internet population" is estimated at nearly 1 billion by The Computer Industry Almanac, and is expected to grow at a rate of approximately 200 million new users per year.

The advent of computer and Internet/Intranet technology has also presented new approaches for teaching and training employees. Individuals learn in different ways, and no one method of teaching or training is optimal across all types of content or desired educational outcome. Educational research has shown that a "blended

13

learning" approach is generally more successful for the retention of new skills. Within the overall global learning market, there are a variety of instructional methods that can be utilized to train workers:

- o Traditional classroom instruction at a school, the employer's facility or at an off-site facility;
- o Computer-based training and workplace simulation;

- Distance education, using printed materials or digital materials;
- o Online or e-learning, either instructor-mediated or self-paced; and
- o Hands-on training with machines or devices, either in the workplace or at a remote facility.

Recognizing that there is no single instructional method or technology that works for every skill, every type of worker, or for all types of content, we have and will continue to acquire operating companies that, together, represent a "blended learning" approach to workplace learning. Our operating subsidiaries, collectively, are experienced in multiple delivery methods, multiple content specialties, and have designed and implemented a variety of workplace learning solutions.

Our Business

We serve the worldwide, workplace learning products and services market and are executing a strategy to create the first true "blended learning" company on a global scale. Trinity Learning's vision since inception has been to align our acquisition and business development strategy with the large and growing demand among Global 1000 corporations, organizations, and individuals throughout the world to provide efficient access to learning opportunities made possible by advances in technology, communications, and computing. Our acquisition strategy is to target those companies that enable us to:

- Serve an expanding number of global industry segments from health care to agriculture to manufacturing;
- O Deliver to multiple organizational levels of major corporations from blue collar to management to executives, focused on benefiting both the organization and the employee or individual learner; and
- O Use a blend of delivery methods including online learning, e-learning, instructor-led training, simulations, multimedia, etc.

We currently have three wholly owned operating subsidiaries: TouchVision, River Murray Training, VILPAS, and 51% interest in the operations of Riverbend and IRCA.

TouchVision

As of September 1, 2003, we completed the acquisition of all of the issued and outstanding shares of TouchVision, Inc., a California corporation ("TouchVision") that is in the business of providing technology-enabled information and learning systems to healthcare providers, financial services companies and other industry segments. In consideration for the TouchVision shares, we issued an aggregate of 1,250,000 restricted shares of our common stock, 312,500 shares of which are subject to the terms of an escrow agreement as collateral for the indemnification obligations of the former TouchVision shareholders. We also agreed to loan to TouchVision the sum of \$20,000 per month for the twelve-month period following closing, to be used for working capital, having previously loaned TouchVision the sum of \$50,000 in June 2003 by way of bridge financing pending completion of the acquisition. As of June 30, 2004, we had loaned TouchVision a total of \$200,000 pursuant to this agreement. In connection with the acquisition, TouchVision entered into substantially similar employment agreements with each of Messrs. Gregory L. Roche and Larry J. Mahar, the former principals of TouchVision, which have a term of two years and provide

for annual salaries of \$120,000. William Jobe, one of our directors, was paid a total of \$59,500 during the period December 2003 to June 2004 as compensation for merger and acquisition services associated with our acquisition of TouchVision.

14

TouchVision specializes in web-based software products that are designed to be deployed on external and internal websites, a network of self-service stations, or stand-alone terminals. This hardware independence means the software can be accessed with a wide variety of end-user devices: web browser stations, wireless tablets and personal digital assistants (PDA's), kiosks, or computers.

TouchVision has developed a number of products that are both generally applicable and industry-specific. The VisMed(R) suite of applications is specially branded for the healthcare industry. It bundles together many of the applications listed below as well as e-mail and shopping capabilities. TouchVision's current products consist of the following:

- O CheckIn. CheckIn is the software solution for patient queuing, admitting, and processing in hospitals, clinics, and other care facilities. It is designed to replace the paper clipboard and health information forms, and protects patient privacy in compliance with HIPAA. It also tracks key performance metrics and offers real-time reporting. At full implementation, CheckIn will perform automatic verification of insurance eligibility and collect the patient's co-payment. This product is a part of the VisMed suite.
- o Presenter. Digital signs are the computerized replacement of billboards, message boards, schedule postings, and other static information displays offering versatility, impact, and reach. TouchVision Presenter is a tool for managing and displaying information on digital signs from a desktop web browser. Without any technical skills, personnel can manage welcoming information on a plasma display, promotional messages on the attract loop of a kiosk network, or schedule updates on LCD monitors outside meeting rooms.
- o PathFinder. PathFinder is an interactive facility directory and way finder system that can be viewed on a website or on self-service terminals in a facility. The software is optimized for large facilities such as hospitals, schools, institutions, and office complexes that have a need to conveniently help visitors find a destination. PathFinder users can search for a destination, view a map showing how to reach the location, and receive other directional instructions. This product is a part of the VisMed suite.
- Surveyor. Surveyor is flexible software that presents survey questions to the user and collects their answers. Answers are then stored in a database and emailed to the administrator. Surveyor is designed to eliminate the cost, inconvenience, and inaccuracy of traditional paper surveys. This product is a part of the VisMed suite.
- o Educator. Educator provides a search engine for browsing and viewing health education content that can be delivered directly to a patient -

in their room or education centers. This product is a part of the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{VisMed}}$ suite.

- o Finder. Finder is a flexible software system that allows the user to search for information in a database. The selected information is displayed on the screen with the ability to link to supplemental information. Common uses of Finder include physician referrals (DrFinder), staff directories (PeopleFinder), and building directories (OfficeFinder).
- O NurseStation. NurseStation is a productivity enhancement tool for nurses, physicians, and healthcare providers. NurseStation informs a care provider of whether the patient is currently in his or her room, and tracks other important parameters such as treating physician, specialist, nurse, and status. It replaces manual methods such as marker boards at the nurse station and allows a care provider to conveniently access this important information from anywhere in the hospital using a handheld device or web station. This product is a part of the VisMed suite.
- O Concierge. Concierge, which is designed to require minimal technical skills, allows a user to easily update application features, graphical design, and information content. A library of content display templates provides for rapid development of professional pages for websites, web stations,

15

and kiosks. Concierge's Announcer Module provides a high-profile scrolling message window to display current announcements and events. This product is a part of the VisMed suite.

- o CareMail. CareMail provides personalized E-Cards for patients. E-Cards can be created on the facility's website or at web stations inside the facility. Cards are delivered directly to the patient at facilities with in-room access, and are printed and delivered at other facilities. This product is a part of the VisMed suite.
- o HR Assist. HR Assist is designed to provide employees with convenient and secure access to online HR services through use of online resources for benefits enrollment, 401K management, and other human resources administration tasks.
- o BizBrowser. BizBrowser is an interactive business directory that can be used for tourism advertising, community resources, and other applications involving display of business information. Users can search by a variety of methods to quickly find the business of interest. Numerous promotional or advertising placement opportunities exist through banners, feature listings, and display listings.
- o Guardian. Guardian is a fault-protection agent for improving the reliability and user experience of self-service terminals. It monitors software applications and the Windows operating system to automatically respond to faults that can occur as well as the printer for paper and jamming issues, intercepts and replaces operating system messages with user-friendly messages, and emails messages to the system administrator.

TouchVision serves what Trinity Learning believes is a large and growing

market in the United States and around the world for products and services that make information and content easily accessible, particularly where using a personal computer is either cost-prohibitive, inaccessible or inappropriate. Through its VisMed(R) brand, TouchVision delivers solutions that are tailored to the unique needs of the healthcare sector; TouchVision believes that there are similar opportunities for offerings that focus on other industry sectors and geographic markets.

The addition of TouchVision provides other Trinity Learning companies with the potential to incorporate new software and hardware technology and delivery platforms into their core learning products. We anticipate that the TouchVision suite of products will have broad application in technology-enabled workplace learning where access to a desk-top computer is not available to many sectors of the workforce. While continuing to develop its own unique customer opportunities, TouchVision will work closely with our other operating companies to co-develop workforce training applications and distribution platforms.

River Murray Training

As of September 1, 2003, we completed the acquisition of all of the issued and outstanding shares of River Murray Training Pty Ltd ("RMT") an Australian company that is in the business of providing workplace training programs for various segments of the food production industry, including viticulture and horticulture. In consideration for the shares of RMT, we issued 700,000 restricted shares of our common stock, 350,000 shares of which are subject to the terms of an escrow agreement as collateral for the indemnification obligations of the former RMT shareholders. We also loaned US\$49,000 to RMT for the purpose of repaying outstanding loans advanced to RMT by its former shareholders.

RMT provides a "one stop shop" approach to meeting company's training needs and has three separate business units to support this approach:

- o Consultancy services to help establish a sustainable in-house training system;
- o Resource development services to develop customized learning support materials; and
- o Training services which provide a wide selection of fully accredited training.

16

The basis of the RMT training model is partnering with companies to develop training programs, which provides two key benefits for its customers: first, training is made relevant to the workplace; second, active involvement of customer personnel in training program development creates opportunities that foster the creation of a learning environment. This in turn provides a medium through which the customer can achieve continuous improvement.

In 1992, the Australian National Training Authority was established to provide a national focus for vocational and educational training to ensure that all workers met the same competency standards. In order to provide this level of standardized content the Australian government designed the Australian Quality Training Framework ("AQTF"), which is a set of nationally agreed-upon standards that ensure the quality of vocational education and training services throughout

Australia.

RMT was one of the early designers of content for the wine and viticulture industry in Australia, designing content that met AQTF standards. As a Registered Training Organization based in Australia's major wine production region and one of its primary regions for agricultural products, RMT has developed and maintains 350 training modules, with the majority in the wine sector. Its major customers in this sector are large wine-producing companies that receive Australian government funding for vocational training. Other modules developed by RMT include training for retail services, small business office administration and frontline management in the seafood and horticulture industries as well as public services.

RMT's primary sources of revenue are from the design and delivery of consulting and training services in the Australian agribusiness industry. RMT believes that future growth will come from training for the public-sector, agribusiness, and geographic expansion. We believe that RMT's operations can benefit from our other subsidiaries that operate in markets outside Australia, primarily by introducing enhanced online learning capabilities to leverage the curriculum and staff of RMT to viticulture and agriculture regions throughout Australia. RMT is also exploring the possibility of modifying training curriculum available to it as an RTO for use in other global markets, including content in areas such as operational management, which have applications across multiple geographic markets and industries.

VILPAS

Effective March 1, 2004, we completed the acquisition of all of the issued and outstanding shares of Virtual Learning Partners, AS (which subsequently changed its name to Trinity Learning AS) ("VILPAS"), a learning services company registered under the laws of Norway and headquartered in Oslo, Norway. VILPAS owns 51% of FunkWeb AS ("FunkWeb"), a Norwegian learning services company that is also headquartered in Oslo. FunkWeb Consulting AS, a consulting firm, is a wholly owned subsidiary of FunkWeb.

In consideration for the shares of VILPAS, we issued a non-interest-bearing promissory note in the principal amount of \$500,000 convertible into 1,000,000 shares of our common stock and agreed to issue up to an additional 200,000 shares of our common stock in the event certain revenue and profit thresholds are met during calendar 2004. As of June 30, 2004, no shares have been issued in exchange for the convertible promissory notes. The shares of VILPAS were delivered into escrow at closing and will be held in escrow as security for the due performance of our obligations under the convertible promissory note. In the event of a default by us under the Note, the escrow agent will return the VILPAS shares to vendors upon delivery by them to the escrow agent of (i) the cancelled note, (ii) any and all shares issued by us upon conversion of the note, and (iii) any additional revenue-based shares that had been issued by us.

VILPAS is a learning services company headquartered in Oslo, Norway. For the past five years, it has been engaged in developing e-learning and other educational initiatives for corporations and organizations in Norway, Scandinavia and Europe. FunkWeb, also headquartered in Oslo, is a leading provider of workplace training and retraining for disabled persons. In conjunction with national and local employment programs, FunkWeb has a successful track record in providing disabled persons with skills, certifications and job placement services primarily related to information technologies, web-based systems, and computing. The minority partner in FunkWeb is the Norwegian Federation of Functionally Disabled People, a non-government organization (NGO) representing many of Norway's associations and programs for the disabled.

17

FunkWeb provides classroom-based, instructor-led instruction and also computer-based self-paced study to functionally-disabled individuals seeking to develop new workplace skills and certifications. Many countries in Europe and around the world have announced public initiatives to increase participation rates in the labor force among disabled people. We believe that FunkWeb provides a model, which may be replicated in other geographic countries.

Riverbend

On September 1, 2003, we completed the acquisition of 51% of the issued and outstanding shares of Ayrshire Trading Limited ("Ayrshire") that owns 95% of Riverbend Group Holdings (Proprietary) Limited ("Riverbend"). Riverbend, through its various subsidiaries, is a provider of online university degrees and other workplace learning services to corporations and individuals in South Africa.

In consideration for the Ayrshire shares, we issued a convertible non-interest-bearing promissory note in the amount of US\$20,000, which amount is convertible from time to time, but no later than December 30, 2006, into a maximum of 2,000,000 restricted shares of our common stock. Of these shares, up to 400,000 may be withheld in satisfaction for any breach of warranties by the former shareholders of Ayrshire. As of June 30, 2004, no shares had been issued in exchange for the convertible promissory note. The Ayrshire shares are subject to escrow and pledge agreements and will be reconveyed to the former shareholders in the event of a default by us of certain terms and conditions of the acquisition agreements. As further consideration for the Ayrshire shares, we agreed to make a non-interest-bearing loan of U.S. \$1,000,000 to Ayrshire. An option to acquire the remaining 49% of Ayrshire may be exercised in consideration for the issuance of 1,500,000 additional shares of our common stock, subject to certain adjustments.

Our 51% ownership in Ayrshire has been accounted for in the financial statements included with this report using the equity method of accounting. The equity method of accounting permits an investor to incorporate its pro rata share of the investee's earnings into its earnings. However, rather than include each component, e.g. sales, cost of sales, operating expenses, the investor only includes its share of the investee's net income or loss as a separate line item in its net income. The net income impact is identical whether the equity method of accounting is used or full consolidation is employed. Under the equity method of accounting, the balance sheet of the investee is not consolidated with the balance sheet of the investor. Rather, the fair value of the consideration paid is shown as an asset, "Investments in Associated Companies." The equity method of accounting is used for investments in which the investor has significant influence over the operations of the investee but lacks operating control.

Riverbend, founded in 1998, operates through its four subsidiaries. Riverbend co-owns its largest subsidiary, eLearning Systems and its wholly owned subsidiary, eDegree, with Jonnic Publications and Price Waterhouse Coopers. eDegree authors and provides online degrees from some of South Africa's most respected universities. Other Riverbend subsidiaries include Learning Advantage, a customized learning solutions provider to corporations, Reusable Objects, a leading-edge learning software developer, and Learning Strategies, an e-learning consulting services provider. These Riverbend subsidiaries serve major corporate customers in South Africa and are leaders in South Africa's initiative to increase employment and competitiveness by expanding and improving adult basic

education and training. We anticipate that Riverbend's future revenue generation will occur primarily through product development, business development and geographic expansion.

eDegree's core business surrounds e-learning and online learning support. The company partners with universities and corporations in order to maximize the use of the Internet for instructional effectiveness. eDegree is currently supplying and managing education and training to learners via several different delivery formats. eDegree offers academic institutions and corporate partners one or any of the following services:

- o Instructional design and educational project management expertise;
- o Curriculum development and courseware design;
- o Interactive e-learning content conversion methodologies to meet customized education and training needs;

18

- o Delivering e-learning interventions;
- o Management and administration of the delivery of e-learning courses;
- o Object-oriented software expertise used in the development of e-learning tools and interactive content; and
- o Internal quality assurance capabilities.

eDegree has successfully created, designed, developed, and administered courses on behalf of and in partnership with a number of leading South African academic institutions. It is currently supplying and actively managing the delivery of online education in collaboration with educational institutions to more than 4,000 students worldwide. We anticipate that eDegree's future revenue growth will be derived from broader distribution on behalf of its existing programs and geographic growth through development of new partnerships with educational institutions, corporations and government programs worldwide.

Reusable Objects, another Riverbend subsidiary, designs and develops software tools for the efficient authoring, development, management and publishing of instructional software programs. In particular, the "Construct" suite of tools includes a dynamic software utility for the creation of "learning objects" that include one or more web pages, text documents, presentations, or multimedia items that can be indexed, archived, and distributed to learners. Reusable Objects' products allow its customers to create cost-effective solutions designed in such a way that they can be deployed for a variety of courses and programs customized to the needs of differing contexts, target audiences, technical platforms and educational frameworks. Learner management, presentation of multiple perspectives, and use of interesting and appropriate graphics, and audio/video tools are central to Reusable Objects' solutions strategy. Reusable Objects focuses heavily on encouraging skills development and application instead of memorization and retention of factual information. Trinity anticipates that Reusable Objects' revenue growth will be derived from increased product development and product deployment to corporations and universities both locally in South Africa and worldwide in conjunction with growth in other Riverbend businesses.

Learning Strategies is a consulting organization that assists large

corporations, public entities and higher education institutions in understanding the most suitable learning and knowledge management approach in the modern technological environment. Learning Strategies' consultants provide consulting in the areas of strategy, knowledge management, financial management, human resource management, supply chain optimization, general process improvement and assessment of management information needs. As part of their leadership and industrial relations services, consultants facilitate team building, manage conflict through mediation, provide training for effective workplace relations, and develop and implement organizational transformation and restructuring. Learning Strategies' customers are primarily corporations based in South Africa, and we do not anticipate significant growth by Learning Strategies for the foreseeable future.

Learning Advantage specializes in the supply and support of world-class, e-learning applications. In marketing partnerships with leading companies such as Docent, Saba, and others, Learning Advantage is supplying educational software and managing e-learning solutions throughout South Africa. Learning Advantage is licensed to distribute a wide range of e-learning support tools and has extensive experience in the installation, configuration, end-user training and support of its products. We anticipate that revenue growth will be derived from the development of new product and geographic expansion.

IRCA

IRCA (Proprietary) Limited ("IRCA") is an international firm specializing in corporate learning, certification, and risk mitigation in the areas of safety, health environment, and quality assurance ("SHEQ"). The company is headquartered in South Africa and operates international sales offices and operations in South Africa, the United Kingdom, Australia, Malaysia and North America.

We acquired our interest in IRCA in December 2003 when we completed the acquisition of all of the issued and outstanding shares (the "Danlas Shares") of Danlas Limited, a British Virgin Islands company ("Danlas") that

19

owns 51% of IRCA and holds an option to acquire the remaining 49%. In consideration for the Danlas Shares, we (i) issued a convertible promissory note for \$20,000 which is convertible, under certain terms and conditions, into a maximum of 2,500,000 shares of common stock, (ii) issued two additional convertible promissory notes of \$10,000 each which become effective upon the conversion of the first promissory note which, in the aggregate, are convertible into 2,000,000 shares of common stock for the remaining 49% of IRCA, (iii) agreed to advance \$500,000 in cash to establish an international sales force, (iii) agreed to provide \$500,000 on deposit with Standard Bank as collateral for an operating line of credit and, (iv) agreed to issue up to an additional 1,000,000 shares of common stock in the event certain profit thresholds are met. As of June 30, 2004, no shares have been issued in exchange for the convertible promissory note and IRCA had not achieved sufficient profit to receive additional shares for the twelve months ended June 30, 2004. A commitment of 500,000 shares pursuant to this agreement may be issuable if profit hurdles are achieved for the twelve months ended June 30, 2005. The Danlas Shares were pledged and deposited in escrow at closing as security for the due performance of Trinity's obligations under its promissory notes. In the event of a default by us under the notes, the Danlas Shares will be deemed sold back to the vendor

at their par value. An event of default under the Note includes, among other things, a voluntary or involuntary bankruptcy proceeding involving us and the failure by us to list our shares of common stock on a major stock exchange by December 30, 2005.

Our 51% ownership in IRCA has been accounted for in the financial statements included with this report using the equity method of accounting. The equity method of accounting permits an investor to incorporate its pro rata share of the investee's earnings into its earnings. However, rather than include each component, e.g. sales, cost of sales, operating expenses, the investor only includes its share of the investee's net income or loss as a separate line item in its net income. The net income impact is identical whether the equity method of accounting is used or full consolidation is employed. Under the equity method of accounting, the balance sheet of the investee is not consolidated with the balance sheet of the investor. Rather, the fair value of the consideration paid is shown as an asset, "Investments in Associated Companies." The equity method of accounting is used for investments in which the investor has significant influence over the operations of the investee but lacks operating control.

In connection with our acquisition of our interest in IRCA, we entered into an agreement with Titan Aviation Ltd. ("Titan"), a private company held in a trust, of which Mr. Martin Steynberg along with other business partners is a beneficiary, pursuant to which we paid Titan on May 14, 2004 the sterling equivalent of the sum of 4,000,000 South African Rand (or \$607,165) for various services rendered to IRCA. We also agreed to appoint Mr. Martin Steynberg to our Board of Directors until our next annual general meeting.

IRCA provides international risk assessment, consulting, and behavior-based management and training services, with specific emphasis on integration of services to clients in the field of Safety & Health, Environment and Quality "(SHEQ"), as follows:

- Technical Services. IRCA provides a variety of services in the areas of environmental impact assessment and management, process safety, chemistry (process and analytical) and environmental engineering, thus enabling it to comprehensively address the safety, health and environmental risks of its clients through assessment, mitigation design and design implementation.
- Consulting Services. IRCA advises and assists organizations in respect of the SHEQ-related exposures. IRCA's professionals assess workplace issues related to SHEQ, advise clients on learning programs and other interventions that can reduce corporate financial risks, and assist in the implementation and certification of programs. Guidance and standards of operation are provided based on international best practices, helping organizations to reduce the impact of identified risks and to implement acceptable levels of control regarding residual risks.
- o Training. IRCA provides a variety of on-site training courses, some of which are done in conjunction with BSI (British Standards Institution) to ensure that the latest standards, practices and knowledge are incorporated. IRCA is an accredited training provider and presents a variety of SHEQ training courses. The courses incorporate international standards and practices and are adapted to the environment of the various countries in which IRCA operates. This ensures that individual needs of executives, management, supervisors, representatives and workers are

satisfied. Courses presented range from basic shop floor training aimed at the workforce, to highly technical, specialist risk assessment techniques for functional specialists. All training is presented in public course forums, as well as in-house for organizations that require inclusion of their own standards and logos. IRCA also offers web-based distance learning.

Competitive Business Conditions

The competitive market for corporate training and workplace learning is fragmented by geography, curricula, and targeted segments of the workforce. Although there are many companies that provide training, we believe that we derive our competitive advantage because of our ability to provide a suite of learning solutions on a worldwide basis at multiple levels of the workforce ranging from industrial workers to executive management.

Generally, most of our competition comes from:

- o Smaller, specialized local training companies;
- o Providers of online and e-learning products targeted at corporate soft skills and technical training;
- o Not-for-profit trade schools, vocational schools and universities; and
- Learning services divisions of large, multinational computer, software and management consulting firms.

We anticipate that market resistance may come from the internal trainers in the organizations to whom our various operating subsidiaries sell training and certification. Traditional trainers may see outsourcing as a threat to their job security. We seek to overcome this by focusing our business development strategy on senior management in operations, finance and human resources. We will also reshape the value proposition for internal training functions from tactical to strategic. We believe we can enhance the role of internal training and human capital development departments by providing a proven, integrated set of learning tools. In this way, we can provide measurable results and increase both the actual effectiveness and the perceived value of internal training departments.

Each of our operating subsidiaries faces local and regional competition for customer contracts and for government and non-government funding of education and training projects. In geographic areas where they hope to expand, they may face competition from established providers of their respective products and services.

We believe that our operating subsidiaries derive their competitive advantage from one or more of the following:

- o Proprietary content, software or technology;
- Strategic relationships and alliances, including exclusive development and marketing relationships; and

Management's industry and customer relationships.

We are in the process of expanding our sales efforts in each of our operating subsidiaries. In December 2003 in conjunction with IRCA, we began the development of an international sales force. At present, new sales are generally derived through word-of-mouth and sales calls made by the managers of the subsidiaries. We have on sales person who covers the eastern United States for TouchVision. We are also in the process of developing distribution alliances with other companies on behalf of TouchVision. RMT customizes and resells content as a Registered Training Organization owned by the Australian government.

21

Intellectual Property

Our success and ability to compete are dependent, to a significant degree, on our ability to develop and maintain the proprietary aspects of our technology and operate without infringing the proprietary rights of others. We regard certain aspects of our products and documentation as proprietary and rely on a combination of trademark, trade secret and copyright laws and licenses and contractual restrictions to protect our proprietary rights. These legal protections afford only limited protection. We seek to protect the source code for our software, documentation and other written materials under trade secret and copyright laws. We license software pursuant to license agreements that restrict use of the software by customers. Finally, we seek to limit disclosure of our intellectual property by requiring employees, consultants and customers with access to our proprietary information to execute confidentiality agreements and by restricting access to source codes. We believe, however, that in the market for online-learning and other technology-enabled education, training and certification services that require online business communications and collaboration, factors such as the technological and creative skills of our personnel and our ability to develop new products and enhancements to existing products are more important than the various legal protections of our technology to establishing and maintaining a technology leadership position.

Our products and services, in some cases, are derived from proprietary content developed by our operating subsidiaries. In other cases, we or our subsidiaries are licensed to market third-party content or software, or in some cases to modify or customize third party content to meet the needs of our clients. In certain cases, where we have made investments to develop or co-develop certain products or services with third-parties, we and our operating subsidiaries may be entitled to certain rights of ownership and copyright of intellectual property to the extent they are delivered to customers in the format developed by us.

Our products are generally licensed to end-users on a "right-to-use" basis pursuant to a license that restricts the use of the products for the customer's internal business purposes. We also rely on "click wrap" licenses, which include a notice informing the end-user that, by downloading the product, the end-user agrees to be bound by the license agreement displayed on the customer's computer screen. Despite efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties may attempt to copy aspects of our products or to obtain and use information that is regarded as proprietary. Policing unauthorized use of products is difficult and, while we are unable to determine the extent to which piracy of our software exists, it can be expected to be a persistent problem. In addition, the laws of many countries do not protect intellectual proprietary rights to as

great an extent as do the laws of the United States. Many of our subsidiaries operate in countries other than the United States. We are in the process of reviewing all intellectual property ownership and protection among all of our recently-acquired operating subsidiaries.

Employees

As of September 30, 2004, we had 40 full time employees located in California, Australia and Norway. In addition, our equity method investees have 275 employees in South Africa and Australia.

Corporate Background

We were incorporated on April 14, 1975 in Oklahoma under the name U.S. Mineral & Royalty Corp. as an oil and gas exploration, development and operating company. In 1989, we changed our name to Habersham Energy Company. Historically, the company was engaged in the business of acquiring and producing oil and gas properties, but did not have any business activity from 1995 to 2002. Subsequent to our reorganization in 2002, we changed our corporate domicile to Utah, amended our capital structure and changed our name to Trinity Companies Inc. In March 2003, our name was changed to Trinity Learning Corporation.

On June 16, 2003, we completed a recapitalization of our common stock by (i) effecting a reverse split of our outstanding common stock on the basis of one share for each 250 shares owned, with each resulting fractional share being rounded up to the nearest whole share, and (ii) subsequently effecting a forward split by dividend to all stockholders of record, pro rata, on the basis of 250 shares for each one share owned. The record date for the reverse and forward splits was June 4, 2003. As a result of the recapitalization, the number of shares outstanding 13,419,774 remained unchanged. Between July and October 2003, an additional 19,090 shares of common stock were issued to shareholders, and shares owned by members of management were cancelled pursuant to this recapitalization.

22

On August 6, 2003, our board of directors approved a change in our fiscal year-end from September 30 to June 30 to align it with those of the companies we had already acquired or were at that time in the process of acquiring.

We completed our first acquisition in October 2002 when we acquired Competency Based Learning, Inc., a California corporation ("CBL-California"), and two related Australian companies, Competency Based Learning, Pty. Ltd. and ACN 082 126 501 Pty. Ltd., (collectively referred to as "CBL Australia"), in consideration for the issuance of a total of 3,000,000 restricted shares of common stock and \$1,000,000 in convertible promissory notes and the assumption of \$222,151 in indebtedness. The transactions were effected through CBL Global Corp. ("CBL"), our wholly-owned subsidiary. Effective December 22, 2003, we conveyed our interests in CBL and its Australian and Californian subsidiaries (the "CBL Companies") to the former owners of the CBL Companies. In conjunction with the management buyout, we entered into a settlement agreement with respect to litigation with CBL and the CBL Companies. Pursuant to this agreement, we conveyed all of our interest in the CBL Companies back to the former owners in exchange for (i) surrender and cancellation of all shares of our stock issued to

the former owners in connection with the acquisition of the CBL Companies, (ii) the cancellation of our guaranty of approximately \$1,000,000 million in convertible notes payable and other obligations under the original transaction agreements, (iii) the waiver of certain other closing conditions in the original transaction agreement, and (iv) the assumption of certain financial obligations and accounts payable of CBL. The parties also exchanged mutual releases of claims in connection with the original transactions, and we dismissed litigation against the CBL Companies and their former owners.

Properties and Facilities

Our corporate office in Berkeley, California is sub-leased from an unaffiliated third party. The term of the lease commenced September 1, 2003 and expired August 31, 2004, and is renewable on a month to month basis. Our various operating subsidiaries also lease facilities in Australia, South Africa, England, Norway and California, in each case from unaffiliated third parties. These facilities are adequate for our needs at the present time and foreseeable future

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations and other portions of this report contain forward-looking information that involves risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated by this forward-looking information. This management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this report.

Overview

On August 6, 2003, our board of directors approved a change in our fiscal year-end from September 30 to June 30 in order to align us with those of the companies we had already acquired or were at that time in the process of acquiring. Accordingly, we filed a transition report on Form 10-KSB for the period from October 1, 2002 to June 30, 2003 (the "transition period") and the audited financial statements contained herein cover this period and the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004.

We substantially reorganized our business and changed our strategic business plan during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002. This reorganization continued into the subsequent transition period. As part of this reorganization, we incurred significant costs associated with hiring new management, acquiring new office facilities and engaging professional advisors to assist us in the process of developing and executing new business opportunities. We also sought and obtained debt and equity financing which permitted us to complete our various corporate acquisitions in the transition period and in fiscal 2004.

Our financial statements are prepared using accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America generally applicable to a going concern, which contemplates the realization of assets and liquidation of

liabilities in the normal course of business. Currently, we do not have significant cash or other material assets, nor do we have an established source of revenues sufficient to cover our operating costs and to allow us to continue as a going concern. We do not currently possess a financial institution source of financing and we cannot be certain that our existing sources of cash will be adequate to meet our liquidity requirements.

To meet our present and future liquidity requirements, we will continue to seek additional funding through private placements, conversion of outstanding loans and payables into common stock, development of the business of our newly-acquired subsidiaries, collections on accounts receivable, and through additional acquisitions that have sufficient cash flow to fund subsidiary operations. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in obtaining more debt and/or equity financing in the future or that our results of operations will materially improve in either the short- or the long-term. If we fail to obtain such financing and improve our results of operations, we will be unable to meet our obligations as they become due. That would raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Accounting for Riverbend and IRCA

In September and December 2003, we completed the acquisition, respectively, of our interest in Riverbend and IRCA. Our interim financial statements as originally filed for the periods ending September 30 and December 31, 2003 and March 31, 2004 reflected the consolidation of those entities with our company. Our investments in Riverbend and IRCA have been re-classified in our year-end audited financial statements as equity investments and, accordingly, the financial results of these companies have not been consolidated with our financial statements. We have file amended quarterly reports for the periods ended September 30 and December 31, 2003 and March 31, 2004 that reflect this change in accounting treatment.

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended September 30, 2004 Compared to September 30,2003

Our sales revenues for first quarter 2005 were \$902,854, as compared to \$253,993 for the first quarter 2004. This increase in revenues is due to the acquisitions in September 2003 of TouchVision and RMT and our acquisition of VILPAS in March 2004. The three month period in 2003 comprises three months revenue of CBL and one month each of RMT and TouchVision.

Costs of sales, which consist of labor and hardware costs, and other incidental expenses, was \$180,905 for the first quarter 2005 as compared to \$32,497 for the first quarter 2004, resulting in gross profit of \$721,949 for the first quarter 2005, as compared to \$221,496 for the first quarter 2004. These increases in both costs and gross profit were due to and associated with increased revenues resulting from the acquisitions completed by us in September 2003 and March 2004.

Operating expenses for first quarter 2005 were \$1,723,013 as compared to \$1,142,716 for the first quarter 2004. This increase was due primarily to a significant increase in selling, general and administrative expenses which increased \$219,568 from \$269,594 for the first quarter 2004. This increase is largely due to the addition of the three new subsidiaries. Salaries and benefits expense also increased \$321,583 due to the addition of the new subsidiaries and additional finance and management staff hired in Trinity corporate operations.

Other Expense of \$885,398 was \$860,708 greater than that for the first quarter 2004. This increase is primarily due to losses in associated companies accounted for on an equity basis of \$648,501 and an increase in interest expense of \$206,415. Losses in associated companies comprise Riverbend (\$225,255) and IRCA (\$423,246). Included in interest expense of \$229,703 is \$199,888 attributable to amortization of discounts on the Laurus and Oceanus notes of \$137,060 and \$62,828, respectfully.

We reported net loss available for common stockholders of \$1,861,586, or \$0.06 per share for the first quarter 2005, compared with a net loss of \$945,910 or \$0.06 per share for first quarter 2004.

The operating results of CBL, TouchVision, and RMT have been included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition forward and, for CBL, up to the date of divestiture.

24

Accordingly, CBL business' results of operations were included from October 1, 2002 to December 22, 2003. The business results of operations of RMT and TouchVision are included for the period September 1, 2003 through September 30, 2004. The business results for VILPAS are included for the period March 1, 2004 through September 30, 2004.

The following unaudited pro forma financial information presents the combined results of operations of the Company and TouchVision, RMT, and VILPAS assuming the acquisitions occurred July 1, 2003. The unaudited pro forma financial information is not intended to represent or be indicative of the consolidated results of the operations of the Company that would have been reported had these acquisitions been completed as of the dates presented, nor should it be taken as a representation of the future consolidated results of operations of the Company.

	 (Pro forma)
	Three Months Ended September 30, 2003
Revenue	\$ 1,193,198
Gross Profit	\$ 919,957
Operating Loss	\$ (706,227)
Net Loss	\$ (726,300)

On a pro forma basis, sales revenue of \$902,854 for the three months ended September 30, 2004 was \$290,344 less than that for the three months ended September 30, 2003. On a pro forma basis the decline in revenue is primarily attributable to TouchVision where revenue declined \$220,572 when compared to the prior year. On a pro forma basis, gross profit had similar trends.

On a pro forma basis, operating loss of \$1,001,064 for the first three months ended September 30, 2004 was \$294,837 greater than that for the three

months ended September 30, 2003. The increase is primarily a result of losses sustained in TouchVision and increased operating costs in Trinity corporate operations because of staff additions and increased legal fees.

On a pro forma basis, Other Expense of \$885,398 for the three months ended September 30, 2004 is \$866,325 greater than that in the prior year primarily because of the \$647,506 increase in Equity Losses in Associated Companies and amortization of the note discounts of \$199,888 described above.

We operate as a single business segment; however, our consolidated subsidiaries are organized geographically into reporting segments consisting of the United States Division, the European Division, the Australia Division and the South Africa Division. Our United States division comprises our corporate operations and subsidiaries domiciled in the United States of America. The European division comprises subsidiaries domiciled in Europe; the Australia Division comprises subsidiaries domiciled in Australia. The South Africa division comprises non-consolidated subsidiaries domiciled in South Africa accounted for using the equity method of accounting including a two person office owned by them in Australia.

As of and for the first quarter ended September 30, 2004:

	Revenue		0	perating Loss	Depreciation & Amortization		Investment Losses in Associated Companies		Accounts Receivable		Goodwill		Pr Eq	
United States Europe Australia South Africa	\$	384,579 362,464 155,811	\$	(937,139) (59,883) (4,042)	\$	34,334 11,625 2,003	\$	- - - (648,501)	\$	125,814 205,287 60,168	\$	914,815 564,073 376,517	\$	
Total	 \$	902,854	 \$(1,001,064)	 \$	47 , 962	 \$		\$	391 , 269	\$	1,855,405	 \$	

25

As of and for the first quarter ended September 30, 2003:

	Revenue		Operating Loss		Depreciation & Amortization		Investment Losses in Associated Companies		ccounts ceivable	Goodwill		Pr Eq	
United States Europe Australia South Africa	\$	109,993 - 144,000	\$	(696,107) - (225,113)	·	5,852 - 82,986 -	\$	- - - (995)	\$ 132,484 - 166,491	\$	- - -	\$	
Total	\$	253 , 993	\$	(921,220)	\$	88,838	\$	(995)	\$ 298,975	\$		\$	

The following describes underlying trends in the businesses of each of our three operating subsidiaries.

VILPAS. The Norwegian government is currently refining its mandates with regard to functionally disabled workers, with funding now targeted at not only training of the handicapped but also at subsidizing direct employment of handicapped and challenged individuals. FunkWeb, a majority owned subsidiary of VILPAS, is in the process of revising some of its programs and market strategies to be able to participate in government programs aimed directly at increasing employment among functionally disabled workers. There is little or no seasonality to the business of VILPAS. The majority of operating costs are fixed costs, with some variable costs incurred related to cost of instructors, which costs may vary depending upon enrollment.

RMT. Over the past year there has been a general reduction in Australian government subsidies for corporate training. As a result, RMT and other Registered Training Organizations must rely on competitive advantages to retain clients and to attract new customers. Accordingly, RMT is in the process of developing new products and services to expand its reach beyond the Australian viticulture industry. There is little or no seasonality to RMT's business. New investment for courseware may increase in the coming fiscal year as RMT develops new courses to market in Australia and in markets outside Australia. Variable costs for RMT primarily include one-time and ongoing expenses for outsourced course development and, at times, instructors. Presently, RMT sells its products and services in Australia in local currency (Australian Dollars) and there is little or no effect from currency exchange. In the future, if RMT is successful in selling in markets outside of Australia, foreign exchange factors may impact the ability of RMT to market and compete in a profitable manner.

TouchVision. TouchVision has begun to expand its business into developing new software and consulting services for the hospital and healthcare market, while continuing to supply industry sectors it has focused on in the past. We believe investments in technology infrastructure by hospitals and healthcare providers will be stable in the coming fiscal years. There is little or no seasonality to the business of TouchVision. In addition to sales through its existing sales force, TouchVision is in the process of establishing distribution arrangements with outside companies selling to the healthcare industry. Depending on sales channel mix, some sales through outside agents may result in lower retained revenues but, due to corresponding lower fixed costs, these sales may nonetheless have a positive impact on the bottom line.

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2004 as Compared to the Nine Month Transition Period Ended June 30, 2003

Our sales revenues for fiscal year 2004 were \$2,590,091; as compared to \$167,790 for the nine month transition period ended June 30, 2003. This significant increase in revenues is due to the acquisitions, in September 2003 of TouchVision and RMT, and in March 2004, of VILPAS. The period in 2003 comprises nine months revenue of CBL which was our sole operating subsidiary in that period. The fiscal year 2004 includes ten months of revenue from TouchVision (\$1,113,463) and RMT (\$639,678), and four months of revenue from VILPAS (\$669,160). Revenues of CBL (\$167,790), which was sold by us effective December 22, 2003, were included through such date.

Costs of sales, which consist of labor and hardware costs, and other incidental expenses, were \$475,076 for the fiscal year 2004 as compared to \$0 for the nine month transition period ended June 30, 2003, resulting in gross profit of \$2,115,015 for the fiscal year 2004, as compared to \$167,790 for the nine month transition period ended June 30, 2003. There was no cost of sales in the prior period because our sole subsidiary at that time, CBL, licensed

26

pre-existing content. These increases in both costs and gross profit were due to and associated with increased revenues resulting from the acquisitions completed by us in September 2003 and in March 2004.

Operating expenses for fiscal year 2004 were \$7,190,975 as compared to \$2,157,840 for the nine month transition period ended June 30, 2003. This increase was due primarily to a significant increase in salaries and benefits, which increased \$2,593,375 from \$1,043,123 for the nine month transition period ended June 30, 2003 to \$3,636,498 for the fiscal year 2004. The increase is largely due to the acquisition of the new subsidiaries (\$1,246,233), development of an international sales force (\$311,729) and the addition of finance, administrative and executive staff (\$661,308) in support of the new operating strategy as well as an additional three months of activity in fiscal 2004. Additionally, employee stock based compensation of \$526,491 was \$449,717 greater than the expense for the nine month transition period ended June 30, 2003. The increase is due to new stock option issuances, primarily to employees of our new subsidiaries, as well as to new employees in our corporate administration group, and also because we used a volatility factor of 70% in 2004 as compared to 0% in 2003 in using the Black Scholes option valuation model to evaluate the costs associated with our stock option plan.

Other significant increases in operating expenses resulted from increases in selling, general and administrative expense, professional fees, and depreciation and amortization expense. Selling, general and administrative expense of \$1,886,514 for fiscal year 2004 increased \$1,385,130 from \$501,384 for the nine month transition period ended June 30, 2003. Selling, general and administrative costs attributable to the new subsidiaries and the development of an international sales force totaled \$1,288,338. Professional fees increased \$950,786, of which \$126,634 is attributable to the acquisitions, \$142,888 is attributable to increased legal fees, \$328,050 is attributable to increased financial advisory fees and \$297,349 is attributable to increased accounting and audit fees. Depreciation and amortization expense increased from \$175,497 for the nine month transition period June 30, 2003 to \$279,360 for fiscal year 2004. The increase of \$103,863 comprises \$87,584 attributable to amortization of intangible assets and \$16,279 attributable to depreciation expense, both resulting from the acquisitions of TouchVision, RMT and VILPAS.

Other Expense of \$6,378,643 for the year ended June 30, 2004 was \$6,296,709 greater than that for the nine month transition period ended June 30, 2003. This increase is primarily due to losses in associated companies accounted for on an equity basis of \$2,714,985 and debt conversion expense of \$3,449,332. Losses in associated companies arise from Riverbend, (\$536,936) and IRCA (\$2,178,049). The loss in IRCA includes a \$884,963 charge for impairment. Debt conversion expense comprises non-cash expense associated with the conversion of the 2004 Bridge Loan at \$0.60 per share when the fair market value of shares trading publicly was \$1.05 to \$1.25 per share. The IRCA impairment expense of \$884,963 is equal to the write down to \$0 of our initial investment in IRCA, net of current year operating losses and amortization of identifiable intangible assets. We wrote down our investment in IRCA to \$0 as a result of current year operating performance and anticipated operating losses in IRCA for the foreseeable future. These losses are, in part, a result of the weakening of the US dollar in relation to the South African Rand and the resulting down turn in mining operations in South Africa, IRCA's primary customer base. In future periods, we will continue to absorb losses up to the amount of the \$500,000 we have deposited as collateral in support of IRCA's operating line of credit. The

weakening U.S dollar also negatively impacted the translated results for each of the foreign subsidiaries.

We reported net loss available for common stockholders of \$11,462,063, or \$0.50 per share for the fiscal year 2004, compared with a net loss of \$2,071,984 or \$0.26 per share for the nine month transition period last year.

The following unaudited pro forma financial information presents the combined results of operations of the Company and TouchVision, RMT, and VILPAS assuming the acquisitions occurred October 1, 2002. In December 2003, we completed the sale of our interest in CBL to the former owners of CBL. Accordingly, CBL's business operating results are not included in the Company's combined unaudited pro forma financial information for the twelve and nine month periods ended June 30, 2004, and 2003. The unaudited pro forma financial information is not intended to represent or be indicative of the consolidated results of the operations of the Company that would have been reported had these acquisitions been completed as of the dates presented, nor should it be taken as a representation of the future consolidated results of operations of the Company.

27

(Unaudited)

	Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2004		Transition Period Ended une 30, 2003
Revenue	\$_	3,115,500	\$ 2,550,448
Gross Profit	\$	2,363,177	\$ 2,010,843
Operating Loss	\$	(=,===,	
Net Loss	\$	(12,924,746)	(1,239,493)
Net Loss per Common Share - Basic / Diluted	\$ =	(0.57)	\$ (0.54)

On a pro forma basis, sales revenue of \$3,115,500 for the year ended June 30, 2004 was \$565,052 greater than that for the nine month transition period ended June 30, 2003. Sales revenue in VILPAS and in TouchVision increased \$210,816 and \$512,217, respectively, primarily as a result of the additional three months in the year ended June 30, 2004. On a pro forma basis, revenue in RMT declined \$157,982 because over the past year there has been a general reduction in Australian government subsidies for corporate training. On a pro forma basis, gross profit showed similar trends.

On a pro forma basis, operating expense of \$7,566,883 for the year ended June 30, 2004 was \$4,289,400 greater than that for the nine month transition period ended June 30, 2003. In VILPAS and in TouchVision, increases are primarily a result of the additional three months in the year ended June 30, 2004. In RMT, operating expense declined by \$138,723 as a result of reduced use of temporary training staff and other training costs consistent with the decrease in sales revenue. The most significant increases resulted from increases in corporate headquarters expense, largely due to the addition of finance, administrative and executive staff (\$661,038) in support of the new

operating strategy, employee stock compensation expense of \$526,491, which was \$449,717 greater than the nine month transition period ended June 30, 2003, as well as the additional three months of expense in the current period. Other significant increases in operating expense resulted from increases in selling, general and administrative expense and professional fees. Selling, general and administrative costs include \$953,141 for the development of an international sales force in 2004. In 2004, professional fees include an increase of \$886,469 incurred by the Company's corporate operations, \$142,888 of which is attributable to increased legal fees, \$328,050 is attributable to increased financial advisory fees and \$297,349 is attributable to increased accounting and audit fees.

We operate as a single business segment; however, our consolidated subsidiaries are organized geographically into reporting segments consisting of the United States Division, the European Division, the Australia Division and the South Africa Division. Our United States division comprises our corporate operations and subsidiaries domiciled in the United States of America. The European division comprises subsidiaries domiciled in Europe; the Australia Division comprises subsidiaries domiciled in Australia. The South Africa division comprises non-consolidated subsidiaries domiciled in South Africa accounted for using the equity method of accounting and includes a two person office owned by them in Australia.

As of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004:

	Revenue	Operating Loss	Depreciation & Amortization	Investment Losses in Associated Companies	Accounts Receivable	Goodwill	Pr Eq
United							
States	\$ 1,113,463	\$(4,680,565)	\$ 221,883	\$ -	\$ 140,560	\$ 910,000	\$
Europe	669,160	(19,866)	19,616	-	45,116	563,009	
Australia	807,468	(375 , 529)	37 , 861	-	57 , 488	376,517	
South							
Africa	_	_	_	(2,714,985)	_	-	
Total	\$ 2,590,091	\$ (5,075,960)	\$ 279,360	\$(2,714,985)	\$ 243,164	\$ 1,849,526	\$

28

As of and for the nine month transition period ended June 30, 2003:

	Revenue	Operating &		Investment Losses in Associated Companies	Accounts Receivable	Goodwill	Pr Eq
United States	 \$	- \$(1,114,213)	s –	\$ -	s –	s –	 \$

	===		========	===		=====	=====	===		=====	=====	===
Total	\$	167,790	\$(1,990,050)	\$	175,497	\$	-	\$	42,719	\$	-	\$
South Africa		_	_		_		_		_ .		_	
Australia		167,790	(875 , 836)		175,497		_		42,719		_	
Europe		_	_		_		_		_		-	

Transition Period Ended June 30, 2003 Compared to the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2002

Our revenues for the nine-month transitional period ended June 30, 2003 were \$167,790, as compared to \$0 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002. These revenues were generated by our sole operating subsidiary, CBL. Net loss for the nine-month transitional period ended June 30, 2003 was \$(2,071,984)\$ as compared to \$(565,931)\$ for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002.

Our operating expense increased from \$552,774 for the year ended September 30, 2002 to \$2,157,840 for the nine-month transitional period ended June 30, 2003. This increase was due primarily to a significant increase in salaries and benefits, which increased \$960,123 from \$83,000 for the year ended September 30, 2002 to \$1,043,123 for the period ended June 30, 2003. Of this amount, \$603,551was paid for salaries and related tax, medical and other benefits for the thirteen employees of CBL. During the period, we also hired our president, our chief financial officer and our chief learning officer and incurred the salary expense associated with these positions. Other significant increases in our operating expenses were related to travel and entertainment expenses, which increased \$121,725, from \$60,868 for the year ended September 30, 2002 to \$182,593 for the transition period ended June 30, 2003. Professional fees increased from \$363,770 to \$437,836 and include financial advisory and legal expenses associated with our recent financing and acquisition activities. Also included in operating expense is \$167,747 amortization expense resulting from the \$1,118,312 capitalization of intellectual property acquired with CBL and related amortization of this asset. Net interest expense of \$77,352 increased substantially from \$13,957 for the year ended September 30, 2002. This increase is primarily attributable to interest paid on various loans incurred immediately prior to and during the period.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our expenses are currently greater than our revenues. We have a history of losses, and our accumulated deficit as of September 30, 2004 was \$24,512,562 as compared to \$22,650,976 as of June 30, 2004.

At September 30, 2004, we had a cash balance of \$846,860 compared to \$892,739 at June 30, 2004. Net cash used by operating activities during the first quarter 2005 was \$833,475, attributable primarily to our loss from operations of \$1,861,586. Net cash generated by financing activities was \$5,311,000 for the first quarter 2005 representing the net of borrowings and repayments under short and long-term notes of \$5,570,000 less fees associated with debt issuance of \$259,000. Of these funds, an aggregate of \$70,000 was advanced to our consolidated subsidiaries and \$4,491,000 was deposited in a bank in support of future acquisitions.

Accounts receivable increased from \$243,164 at June 30, 2004 to \$391,269 at September 30, 2004. This increase is due to the timing of billings to and collections from our customers.

Accounts payable increased from \$814,651 at June 30, 2004 to \$880,359 at September 30, 2004. Accrued expenses decreased from \$721,192 at June 30, 2004 to \$614,937 at September 30, 2004. These changes are attributable to expenses

incurred by the three subsidiaries we acquired during fiscal year 2004 and our continuing corporate expansion during the year.

29

As a professional services organization we are not capital intensive. Capital expenditures historically have been for computer-aided instruction, accounting and project management information systems and general-purpose computer equipment to accommodate our growth. Capital expenditures, excluding purchases financed through capital lease, during the first quarter 2005 and 2004, were \$0 and \$10,635, respectively.

We continued to seek equity and debt financing in first quarter 2005 to support our growth and to finance recent and proposed acquisitions. In this regard, on July 29, 2004, we issued a secured convertible promissory note in the principal amount of \$500,000 to Oceanus Value Fund, L.P. ("Oceanus"). On September 1, 2004, we repaid the principal owing on the promissory note plus accrued proceeds from the Laurus transaction described below.

On August 31, 2004, we entered into a series of agreements with Laurus Master Fund, Ltd. ("Laurus") whereby we issued to Laurus (i) a secured convertible term note in the principal amount of \$5.5 million (the "Note") and (ii) a five-year warrant to purchase up to 1,600,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.81 per share. The Note is secured by all of our assets and the assets of our U.S. subsidiary, TouchVision, Inc., and by a pledge of our stock in TouchVision, Inc. Of the Note proceeds, \$233,000 was used for operations, \$4,491,000 was deposited in a restricted account as security for the total loan amount and for use by us to make acquisitions as approved by Laurus; however, funds may be released for operations at a rate of 25% of the dollar volume of our stock for a twenty day period, and the outstanding principal balance of \$500,000 was repaid to Oceanus. The principal amount of the Note carries an interest rate of prime plus two percent, subject to adjustment, and we must make monthly principal payments of at least \$22,059, commencing November 1, 2004, toward the outstanding non-restricted principal amount. The principal amount of the Note and accrued interest thereon is convertible into shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.72 per share, subject to anti-dilution adjustments, provided that certain criteria are met regarding the trading price and volume of our common stock. Any amount not converted to common stock is paid in cash to Laurus at the rate of 102% of the monthly principal amount. Laurus has the option to convert the entire principal amount of the Note, together with interest thereon, into shares of our common stock, provided that such conversion does not result in Laurus beneficially owning more than 4.99% of our outstanding shares of common stock without first providing us with advance notice. We agreed to register the shares of our common stock into which the Note and the warrant are convertible, by September 30, 2004 and to cause such registration statement to become effective by December 14, 2004. Failure to meet these deadlines requires us to pay Laurus a penalty of 1.5% of the principal amount of the Note (\$82,500) for each 30-day period during which such failure continues.

To meet our present and future liquidity requirements, we are continuing to seek additional funding through private placements and ongoing business operations. To this end, we anticipate closing of a \$1.5 million private offering of convertible debt in late December 2004 or early January 2005, the proceeds of which will be added to our working capital. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in obtaining more debt and/or equity financing in the future or that our results of operations will materially improve in either the short- or the long-term. If we fail to obtain such financing and improve our results of operations, we will be unable to meet our

obligations as they become due. That would raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Our financial statements are prepared using accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America generally applicable to a going concern, which contemplates the realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities in the normal course of business. Currently, we do not have significant cash or other material assets, nor do we have an established source of revenues sufficient to cover our operating costs and to allow us to continue as a going concern. We do not currently possess a financial institution source of financing and we cannot be certain that our existing sources of cash will be adequate to meet our liquidity requirements. Based upon our cash balance at December 1, 2004 we will not be able to sustain operations for more than two months without additional sources of financing.

Related Party Transactions

Our corporate reorganization during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 was effected primarily by two of our officers and directors, Messrs. Douglas Cole and Edward Mooney. During that fiscal year and the transition period subsequent thereto, we entered into several transactions with these individuals and with entities controlled by them, as well as entities controlled by Theodore Swindells, a significant stockholder of our company.

30

As of August 8, 2002, we formalized a Debt Conversion Agreement with Global Marketing Associates, Inc. ("GMA"), holder of a convertible promissory note (the "GMA Note") in the principal amount of \$166,963, pursuant to which the principal amount of the note, along with accrued interest thereon, was made convertible, under certain conditions, into 3,200,000 shares of our common stock. The GMA Note was originally issued in November 2000 to the attorneys of our predecessor company and was subsequently acquired by Pacific Management Services, Inc., who assigned the note to GMA. GMA subsequently assigned the right to acquire 2,600,000 of the 3,200,000 shares of common stock to several persons, including Messrs. Cole, Mooney, and Swindells and European American Securities, Inc. ("EAS"), a private entity of which Mr. Swindells is a principal. Pursuant to the assignment, Messrs. Cole and Mooney each acquired the right to acquire 600,000 shares of the common stock, EAS acquired the right to acquire 400,000 shares, and Mr. Swindells acquired the right to acquire 1,000,000 shares. As of January 2003, all 3,200,000 shares of our common stock had been issued pursuant to the terms of the GMA Note. Fifty percent of such shares are subject to a two-year lock-up provision that restricts transfer of such shares without prior written consent of our board of directors.

As of July 15, 2002, we entered into a two-year Advisory Agreement with Granite Creek Partners, LLC ("GCP"), formerly King's Peak Advisors, LLC. The agreement is automatically renewable for an additional 12-month period. Under the terms of the Advisory Agreement, GCP agreed to provide us with general corporate, financial, business development and investment advisory services on a non-exclusive basis. These services include assisting with the identification of placement agents, underwriters, lenders and other sources of financing, as well as additional qualified independent directors and members of management. GCP is a private company whose principals are Messrs. Cole, Mooney and Swindells. At our August 19, 2003 meeting, our board of directors voted to suspend the Advisory Agreement from August 15, 2003 until January 2004, and this agreement

remains suspended. Through December 31, 2003, GCP had earned a total of \$315,000 under the Advisory Agreement, \$110,000 of which was converted into 4,400,000 shares of our common stock in March 2003. The remaining balance of \$205,000 was paid in full to GCP as of June 30, 2004.

As of July 31, 2002, we entered into an Advisory Agreement with EAS, a private entity of which Mr. Swindells is a principal, pursuant to which EAS agreed to provide financial advisory and investment banking services to us in connection with various equity and/or debt transactions. In exchange for such services, we agreed to pay EAS a retainer fee of \$5,000 per month and a commission ranging from 5% to 7% based on the type of transaction consummated, such fees being payable, at EAS' option, in cash or our common stock. On October 2, 2003, we renewed the agreement with EAS on terms similar to those contained in the first agreement. On January 1, 2004, we amended the October 2003 agreement in connection with our January 2004 senior convertible bridge note offering, which closed on May 28, 2004, for which we paid EAS a fee of 10%. Through June 30, 2004, EAS had earned a total of \$1,065,104 pursuant to our arrangement with them, of which \$345,450 was earned in connection with private equity and/or debt transactions and \$719,654 was earned for advisory services in connection with certain acquisitions. As of June 30, 2004, the balance owed to EAS was \$66,653. On May 27, 2004, European American Perinvest Group, a subsidiary of EAS, invested \$100,000 in our 2004 senior convertible bridge note offering. On May 28, 2004, this investment was converted to 166,699 restricted shares of our common stock as part of the total conversion of this financing to 4,520,069 shares of our common stock.

During the period August 2001 to June 30, 2002, Mr. Swindells advanced a total of \$925,000 to us by way of short-term non-interest bearing working capital loans. We repaid \$500,000 of the total amount owing in September 2003 and issued an aggregate of 850,000 shares of our common stock to Mr. Swindells in November 2003 in payment of the remaining balance of \$425,000. During the period June 2004 to October 2004, Mr. Swindells advanced us \$155,000. On August 10, 2004 we repaid \$50,000 of this amount. On October 14, 2004, Mr. Swindells exercised warrants to purchase 300,000 shares of our common stock at \$0.05 per share.

In October 2002, we issued convertible promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$500,000 (the "Bridge Financing Notes") to certain individuals and entities, and in connection with the issuance of the Bridge Financing Notes, issued warrants to the holders of the notes to purchase additional shares of common stock. Of the total principal amount of the Bridge Financing Notes, \$55,000 was advanced by GCP and \$120,000 by Mr. Swindells. On May 19, 2003, the aggregate principal amount of the Bridge Financing Notes and accrued interest thereon of \$34,745 was converted into 1,336,867 shares of common stock at a price of \$0.40 per share. The warrants issued in connection with the Bridge Financing Notes are exercisable for a period of one year at a price of \$0.05 per share, and contain a net issuance provision whereby the holders may elect a cashless exercise of such

31

warrants based on the fair market value of the common stock at the time of conversion. On March 26, 2004, GSP exercised its warrants in a cashless exercise for which it received a total of 126,042 shares of common stock.

Effective October 1, 2002, we issued an aggregate of 1,200,000 restricted shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.025 per share to our three directors, Messrs. Cole, Mooney and Jobe, in consideration for past services

valued at \$30,000.

In connection with our acquisition of our interest in IRCA, we entered into an agreement with Titan Aviation Ltd. ("Titan"), a private company held in a trust of which Mr. Martin Steynberg and other business partners are the beneficiaries. Pursuant to this agreement, we paid Titan on May 14, 2004 the sterling equivalent of the sum of 4,000,000 South African Rand (\$607,165) in consideration for various services rendered to IRCA. Mr. Steynberg, who is a stockholder in IRCA Investments (Proprietary) Limited, which owns 25.1% of IRCA, became a director of our company on January 1, 2004 pursuant to the terms of the IRCA acquisition.

William Jobe, one of our directors, was paid a total of \$59,500 during the period December 2003 to May 2004 as compensation for merger and acquisition services associated with our acquisition of TouchVision. In August we paid Mr. Jobe an additional \$4,815 in connection with the TouchVision transaction.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and form the basis for the following discussion and analysis on critical accounting policies and estimates. The preparation of these financial statements required us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. These estimates and assumptions are evaluated on a regular basis. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. A summary of our significant accounting policies is set out in Note 1 to our audited consolidated financial statements. Significant estimates include revenue recognition policy, valuation and allocation of the purchase consideration of the assets and liabilities and assets acquired in business combinations and equity investments in associated companies, our determination of fair value of common stock issued in business combinations and equity investments in associated companies, and the annual valuation and review for impairment of assets acquired and of long-lived assets. Material accounting policies that we believe are the most critical to investors' understanding of our financial results and condition, and require complex management judgment, are discussed below.

Consolidation Policy. Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and our wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions are eliminated in consolidation. Our 51% ownership in Ayrshire and in IRCA have been accounted for in the financial statements included with this report using the equity method of accounting. The equity method of accounting requires an investor to incorporate its pro rata share of the investee's earnings into its earnings. However, rather than include each component, e.g. sales, cost of sales, operating expenses, the investor only includes its share of the investee's net income or loss as a separate line item in its statement of operations. The net income impact is identical whether the equity method of accounting is used or full consolidation is employed. Under the equity method of accounting, the balance sheet of the investee is not consolidated with the balance sheet of the investor. Rather, the fair value of the consideration paid is recorded as an asset, "Equity Investment in Associated Company." The equity method of accounting is used for investments in which the investor has significant influence over the operations of the investee but lacks operating control.

Valuation of Common Stock Issued in Business Combinations. The acquisition value assigned to the RMT transaction and to the TouchVision transaction was determined using \$0.50 per common share for the shares issued in each of those transactions. The acquisition value assigned to the VILPAS transaction was determined using \$0.80 per common share for the shares to be issued on the conversion of the underlying convertible promissory note. At the time of the RMT and TouchVision transactions, a trading market for the Company's common stock had not been established and the Company was in the process of raising

32

capital at \$0.50 per share. The Company's stock began trading on the OTC Bulleting Board in late December 2003; however, total monthly volume for shares traded averaged less than 10,000 shares per month from January 2004 to June 2004 at prices ranging from \$0.80 per share to \$2.10 per share. The number of shares to be issued, 1,000,000 shares of restricted stock, is relatively large compared to actual trading volume and the shares to be issued are not registered. A price of \$0.80 per share was considered the best estimate of the fair value of the shares to be issued.

- 3. Valuation of Common Stock to be Issued in Acquisition of Interest in Associated Companies. The acquisition values assigned to the Riverbend transaction and to the IRCA transaction was determined using \$0.50 per common share for the shares to be issued on conversion of the underlying convertible promissory notes for each of those transactions. At the time of the Riverbend transaction, a trading market for the Company's common stock had not been established and the Company was in the process of raising capital at \$0.50 per share. Similarly, the Company had just completed its financing efforts when the IRCA transaction was consummated and the Company's shares had not yet been listed for quotation on the OTC Bulletin Board. As such, a value of \$0.50 per share was considered the best estimate of the fair value of the shares to be issued at that time.
- 4. Revenue Recognition. We earn our revenues primarily from service-related contracts, including operations and maintenance services and a variety of technical assistance services. Revenue is generally recognized on a straight-line basis, unless evidence suggests that the revenue is earned or obligations are fulfilled in a different pattern over the contractual term of the arrangement, or the expected period, during which those specified services will be performed, whichever is longer. Four basic criteria must be met before revenue can be recognized: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) delivery has occurred or services rendered; (3) the fee is fixed and determinable; and (4) collectibility is reasonably assured. The Company determines whether criteria (3) and (4) are met based on judgments regarding the nature of the fee charged for services rendered and products delivered, and the collectibility of those fees.
- 5. Allocation of Purchase Consideration in Business Acquisitions. The excess of the consideration paid for subsidiaries over the fair value of acquired tangible assets less the fair value of acquired liabilities is assigned to intangible assets and goodwill. The Company obtains an independent third party valuation to ascertain the amount

to allocate to identifiable intangible assets and the useful lives of those assets. The Company amortizes identifiable intangible assets over their useful life unless that life is determined to be indefinite. The useful life of an intangible asset that is being amortized is evaluated each reporting period as to whether events and circumstances warrant a revision to the remaining period of amortization. Goodwill is not amortized and is tested for impairment on an annual basis. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined by allocating fair value to all assets and liabilities acquired; the excess of the price paid over the amounts assigned to assets and liabilities acquired is the implied fair value of goodwill.

- Allocation of Purchase Consideration for Equity Investments in Associated Companies. The excess of the consideration paid for equity investments in associated companies over our pro rata share of the investee's net assets is allocated to intangibles and goodwill similar to a purchase business combination. The Company obtains an independent third party valuation to ascertain the amount to allocate to identifiable intangible assets and the useful lives of those assets. The Company amortizes identifiable intangible assets over their useful life unless that life is determined to be indefinite. In each of the Riverbend and the IRCA transactions, the Company received an option, exercisable under certain conditions, to acquire the additional 49% of each of those companies. Using the Black Scholes option valuation model, a value was assigned to each of the intangible assets associated with those options. The useful life of an intangible asset that is being amortized is evaluated each reporting period as to whether events and circumstances warrant a revision to the remaining period of amortization. The value of the Equity Investments in Associated Companies is tested for impairment on an annual basis. At June 30, 2004, based on actual performance and forecasts for future performance, the value of the IRCA investment after application of current year losses and amortization of intangible assets was written down to \$0 and impairment expense of \$884,963 was recorded in the statement of operations.
- 7. Fair Value of Common Stock. Contingently redeemable equity represents the value of shares of our common stock issuable upon the conversion of notes payable in excess of the face value of these notes

33

issued in the acquisition of VILPAS, and the acquisition of equity interest in each of the Riverbend and IRCA transactions. The stock arrangements are dependent on the satisfaction of certain conditions by us, most notably the listing of our common stock on a major stock exchange in the United States of America, for which there are financial requirements for listing. The valuation and allocation process relies on significant assumptions made by management, in particular, the value of the shares issued to effect the purchase prior to the Company having established an active trading market for its stock.

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table sets forth the names, ages and titles of our executive officers and directors.

Name	Age	Position
Douglas D. Cole	49	Chief Executive Officer and Director
Edward P. Mooney	44	President and Director
Richard J. Marino	56	Chief Operating Officer
Christine R. Larson	50	Chief Financial Officer
William D. Jobe	65	Director
Richard G. Thau	57	Director
Arthur Ronald Kidson	61	Director
Martin Steynberg	42	Director

Certain biographical information pertaining to the above-named officers and directors is set forth below.

Douglas D. Cole. Mr. Cole has been a director of Trinity Learning Corporation since January 2002 and has served as our chief executive officer since August 2002. For the past 25 years, Mr. Cole has worked in the information technology industry, with a focus on sales and marketing. He has successfully completed numerous acquisitions and strategic partnerships for and among various companies. He served as a director of USA Broadband, Inc., a publicly-traded company specializing in delivery of digital video and television programming, from October 2001 to October 2003, and served as interim president of its operating subsidiary, Cable Concepts, Inc., from November 2001 to April 2002. From August 1998 to June 2000, Mr. Cole served as a director of RateXchange Corporation and as a director of two of its subsidiaries, RateXchange I, Inc. and PolarCap, Inc. He served as Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, President and Principal Accounting Officer of RateXchange from April 1999 to February 2000. He served as the Chief Executive Officer of PolarCap, Inc. from its inception until August 1998. Mr. Cole was the founder and Chief Executive Officer of Great Bear Technology from its inception in 1992 until its merger with Graphic Zone Inc. in 1992.

Edward P. Mooney. Mr. Mooney has been a director of Trinity Learning Corporation since January 2002 and has served as our President since October 1, 2002. Mr. Mooney has 20 years' experience in corporate development, corporate finance, and financial research and analysis. He served as a director and officer of USA Broadband, Inc., a publicly-traded company, from April 2001 to October 2003, and he also served as interim Chief Executive Officer until September 2002 and provided consulting services to USA Broadband until May 2003. Prior thereto, Mr. Mooney was self-employed as a corporate consultant. Mr. Mooney served as a director for RateXchange Corporation from November 1998 to April 2000 and as Executive Vice President from April 1999 to April 2000. Mr. Mooney also served as a director of WorldPort Communications, Inc. from September 1996 to May 1998 and as President from September 1996 to April 1997. During 2002, Mr. Mooney served as a director of Category 5 Technologies, Inc. a publicly traded company. He also served as a director of InterAmericas Communications Corporation, HQ Office International and HQ Office Supplies Warehouse.

Richard J. Marino. Mr. Marino was appointed as Trinity Learning Corporation's Chief Operating Officer in May 2004. Mr. Marino has over 20 years of senior executive management experience in global operations, product development and sales for major publishing and media companies. Prior to joining us, Mr. Marino was most recently vice-president and publisher of Dowden Health Media. Prior thereto, from 2001 until August 2003, Mr. Marino was managing partner of the Management Group, LLC, a business services organization. During 2001, Mr. Marino was also chief executive officer of Standard Media International, publisher of The Industry Standard Magazine. From 1999 to 2001, Mr. Marino was chief operating officer of CNET Networks, Inc., which operated one of the world's largest websites offering a variety of products and services.

Christine R. Larson. Ms. Larson has over 20 years' experience as a business and financial professional. She has served as our chief financial officer since January 2003. Prior to that time, she worked as an independent financial and marketing consultant to start-up software, hardware and internet service companies. In 1999, she worked for KPMG Consulting, Inc. She was previously employed from 1985 to 1998 by Bank of America Corporation, most recently as a senior vice president in their interactive services division. While working at Bank of America Corporation, she served as chief financial officer of their leasing subsidiary, BA Leasing and Capital Corporation and of their venture capital subsidiary, BA Ventures Inc. She is a certified public accountant licensed in the state of California.

William D. Jobe. Mr. Jobe has been a director of Trinity Learning Corporation since January 2002. He has been a private venture capitalist and a computer, communications and software industry advisor since 1991. Prior to that time, he worked in executive management for a number of firms in the computer, software and telecommunications industries including MIPS Technology Development, where he served as President, and Data General, where he was Vice President of North American Sales. Mr. Jobe has served as a director for a number of privately held and publicly held high technology companies including Qualix Group, Inc., Fulltime Software, Inc., Multimedia Access Corporation where he served as chairman of the board and director, Viewcast.com, GreatBear Technology Company, Tanisys Technology, Inc. and Interand Group.

Richard G. Thau. Mr. Thau has been a director of Trinity Learning Corporation since January 2004. Mr. Thau is a self-employed consultant/mentor/advisor, and investor in early stage information technology companies and serves as an executive-in-residence at InterWest Partners. From 1990 to 1999, Mr. Thau served as Director, Chairman of the Board and CEO of FullTime Software (formerly Qualix Group), a provider of software for network based computing. He also is the former CEO of Micro-MRP.

Arthur Ronald Kidson. Mr. Kidson has been a director of Trinity Learning Corporation since January 2004 and is a chartered accountant in South Africa. Mr. Kidson was appointed a director pursuant to the terms of the agreement by which Trinity Learning acquired its interest in RiverBend Group Holdings (Proprietary) Limited. From 1998 to 2000, Mr. Kidson served as the Executive Director of Price Waterhouse Coopers Chartered Accountants in South Africa. Prior to that, Mr. Kidson served as Chairman of Coopers & Lybrand Chartered Accountants in South Africa.

Martin Steynberg. Mr. Steynberg has been a director of Trinity Learning Corporation since January 2004. He was appointed to the board pursuant to the terms of the agreement by which Trinity Learning acquired its interest in IRCA

(Proprietary) Limited. Mr. Steynberg has served as the Chief Executive Officer of Titan Aviation Ltd., a Guernsey corporation, since 1999. Prior to that, Mr. Steynberg was the managing director of Hubschrauber Transport GMBH in Austria from 1997 to 1999. From 1995 to 1997, Mr. Steynberg was a partner with Barnard and Co. Chartered Accountants (SAICA) in South Africa.

Board Committees

The Company has an Audit Committee established in accordance with Section 3(a) (58) (A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which consists of Richard Thau, William Jobe and Arthur Kidson. Richard Thau is the interim Chairperson of the committee. This committee, among other things, reviews the annual audit with the Company's independent accountants. In addition, the audit committee has the sole authority and responsibility to select, evaluate, and, where appropriate, replace the independent auditors or nominate the independent auditors for shareholder approval. The Company's Board of Directors has determined that the Company has at least one audit committee financial expert on its Audit Committee. Mr. Richard Thau, the audit committee financial expert, is

35

independent as that term is used in Item 7(d) (3) (iv) of Schedule 14A under the Securities Act of 1934.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Our directors and executive officers and persons who hold more than 10% of our outstanding common stock are subject to the reporting requirements of Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act ("Section 16(a)"), which require them to file reports with respect to their ownership of common stock and their transactions in common stock. Based solely upon review of Forms 3 and 4 and amendments thereto furnished to us during our most recent fiscal year, and Forms 5 and amendments thereto furnished to us, or any written representations made to us that no Form 5 was required, we believe that all reporting requirements under Section 16(a) were met in a timely manner by the persons who were executive officers, directors or greater than 10% stockholders of Trinity Learning Corporation during the year ended June 30, 2004, except for the following: a Form 3 for Douglas D. Cole that was due to be filed on or before January 30, 2002 was filed on December 18, 2003; a Form 3 for Edward P. Mooney that was due to be filed on or before February 8, 2002 was filed on December 2, 2003; a Form 3 for Christine R. Larson that was due to be filed on or before January 23, 2003 was filed on December 2, 2003; and a Form 3 for William D. Jobe that was due to be filed on or before February 8, 2002 was filed on December 2, 2003. Forms 4 were due to be filed in respect of certain transactions involving these persons at various dates in 2002 and 2003; the information pertaining to these transactions was included in the information provided in their respective Forms 3. Forms 3 were due to be filed in January 2004 for Messrs. Thau, Kidson and Steynberg, who were appointed to our board of directors in January 2004, and in May for Mr. Marino, who was at that time appointed as our chief operating officer. A Form 3 has also not been filed by Luc Verelst, who beneficially owns more than 10% of our outstanding common stock. We anticipate Forms 3 for these individuals will be filed in the very near future.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics that applies to all employees of our company, including employees of our subsidiaries, as well as each member of our board of directors. The code of ethics is available on our website at www.trinitylearning.com.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The table below sets forth certain information regarding the annual and long-term compensation for services to us in all capacities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004, the nine month transitional period ended June 30, 2003 and the fiscal years ended September 30, 2002 and 2001 of Messrs. Douglas Cole and Edward Mooney and Ms. Christine Larson. These individuals received no other compensation of any type, other than as set out below, during the fiscal years indicated.

Summary Compensation Table

	Annual Compensation			Long Term		
Name and Principal Position	Year 	Salary	Bonus	Other Annual Compensation		0
Douglas D. Cole Chief Executive Officer	2004 2003	\$180,000 \$135,000	- \$25 , 000	\$12,000 \$9,000	- -	2
	2002	\$75 , 000	_	\$5,000	-	
Edward P. Mooney	2004	\$180,000	_	\$12 , 000	_	2
President	2003 2002	\$135 , 000 -	\$25,000 -	\$9,000 -	-	2
Christine R. Larson						
Chief Financial Officer	2004	\$165,000 \$45,800	-	\$9 , 000 -		2 2
	2002	_	_	_	_	

36

The following table sets forth the individual stock option grants made during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004 to each of the above named executive officers.

Stock Option Grants in Last Fiscal Year

		% of Total Options	
	Number of Securities	Granted to Employees	Exercise Price
Name	Underlying Options	in Fiscal Year	per Share

Individual Grants

Douglas D. Cole	250,000	5.9%	\$0.50
Edward P. Mooney	250,000	5.9%	\$0.50
Christine R. Larson	250,000	5.9%	\$0.50

The following table sets forth the aggregate stock option exercises and fiscal year-end option values for each of the above named executive officers for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004.

Aggregate Option Exercises in Last Fiscal Year and Fiscal Year-End Values

The following table sets forth the aggregate stock option exercises and fiscal year-end option values for each of the above named executive officers. No stock options were exercised during the year ended June 30, 2004.

Name	Shares acquired on Exercise	Value Realized	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options at FY-End Exercisable/Unexercisable
Name	LACICISE	value Realized	Lacicidable/ oneaelcidable
Douglas D. Cole	-	-	254,965 / 245,035
Edward P. Mooney	-	-	254,965 / 245,035
Christine R. Larson	_	_	216,815 / 233,185

Compensation of Directors

Non-employee members of our board of directors have been granted options from time to time to purchase shares of our common stock, but are not otherwise compensated in their capacity as directors.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth certain information as of December 10, 2004 regarding current beneficial ownership of our common stock by (i) each person known by us to own more than 5% of the outstanding shares of our common stock, (ii) each of our executive officers and directors, and (iii) all of our executive officers and directors as a group. Except as noted, each person has sole voting and sole investment or dispositive power with respect to the shares shown. The information presented is based on 31,465,143 outstanding shares of common stock as of November 30, 2004. Unless otherwise indicated, the address for each of the following is 1831 Second Street, Berkeley, California 94710.

Рe

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Owned	Options & Warrants (1)	Beneficial Ownership (2)
Douglas D. Cole Chief Executive Officer and Director	2,126,987	333,733 (4)(5)	2,460,720
Edward P. Mooney President and Director	2,046,987	333,733 (4)(5)	2,380,720
William Jobe 6654 Bradbury Court Fort Worth, TX 76132 Director	200,000	100,668	300,668
Arthur R. Kidson 2 Epsom Road Stirling, East London Republic of South Africa Director	-	185,452 (6)	185,452
Martin Steynberg P.O Box 10326 George Republic of South Africa Director	-	1,626,952 (3)	1,626,952
Richard Thau 2468 Sharon Oaks Drive Menlo Park, CA 94025 Director	-	111,096	111,096
Richard Marino Chief Operating Officer	-	127,025 (5)	127,025
Christine R. Larson Chief Financial Officer	-	287,706 (5)	287 , 706
Steven Hanson 1319 NW 86th Street Vancouver, WA 98665 5% Beneficial Owner	2,080,000	3,000,000	5,080,000
Theodore Swindells 11400 Southeast 8th Street Bellevue, WA 98004 5% Beneficial Owner	3,840,970 (4)	1,275,000 (4)	5,115,970
Luc Verelst Verbier, Switzerland 1936 5% Beneficial Owner	3,675,138	4,000,000	7,675,138

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Owned	Number of Options & Warrants (1)	Total Beneficial Ownership (2)
Granite Creek Partners, LLC 1338 South Foothill Drive Salt Lake City, UT 84108 5% Beneficial Owner	1,622,910 (4)	-	1,622,910
All executive officers and directors of the Company as a group (8 persons)	4,373,974 (3)(4)	3,106,365 (3)(4)	7,480,339

- * Denotes less than one percent (1%).
- (1) Reflects warrants, options or other convertible securities that will be exercisable, convertible or vested as the case may be within 60 days of December 10, 2004.
- (2) Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. In computing the number of shares beneficially owned by a person and the percentage ownership of that person, shares of common stock subject to options held by that person that are currently exercisable or become exercisable within 60 days following November 30, 2004 are deemed outstanding. These shares, however, are not deemed outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person. Unless otherwise indicated in the footnotes to this table, the persons and entities named in the table have sole voting and sole investment power with respect to the shares set forth opposite such stockholder's name.
- (3) Includes shares issuable upon certain performance criteria for equity method investee, IRCA Pty. Ltd. Mr. Steynberg owns 31% of Musca Holding Limited, a British Virgin Islands Company, and he disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares owned by Musca and/or which are issuable to Musca. A proportionate ownership of these shares is included in the calculation of beneficiary ownership for Mr. Steynberg.
- (4) Includes shares owned by Granite Creek Partners, LLC, a Utah limited liability corporation ("GCP") (formerly known as Kings Peak Advisors, LLC). Mr. Cole, Mr. Mooney and Mr. Swindells each own a 33-1/3% interest in GCP, and each disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares in the Company that are issuable to GCP. Proportionate ownership of these shares is included in the calculation of beneficiary ownership for Mr. Cole, Mr. Mooney and Mr. Swindells.
- (5) Includes that portion of options that have vested or will vest within 60 days from December 10, 2004 under the 2002 Stock Plan.
- (6) Mr. Kidson owns 3% of Great Owl Limited, a British Virgin Company and a shareholder in one of our investees. He disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares owned by Great Owl and/or which are issuable to Great Owl. A proportionate ownership of these shares is included in the calculation of beneficiary ownership for Mr. Kidson.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Our corporate reorganization during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 was effected primarily by two of our officers and directors, Messrs.

Douglas Cole and Edward Mooney. During that fiscal year and the transition period subsequent thereto, we entered into several transactions with these individuals and with entities controlled by them, as well as entities controlled by Theodore Swindells, a significant stockholder of our company.

As of August 8, 2002, we formalized a Debt Conversion Agreement with Global Marketing Associates, Inc. ("GMA"), holder of a convertible promissory note (the "GMA Note") in the principal amount of \$166,963, pursuant to which the principal amount of the note, along with accrued interest thereon, was made convertible, under certain conditions, into 3,200,000 shares of our common stock. The GMA Note was originally issued in November 2000 to the attorneys of our predecessor company and was subsequently acquired by Pacific Management Services, Inc., who assigned the note to GMA. GMA subsequently assigned the right to acquire 2,600,000 of the 3,200,000 shares of common stock to several persons, including Messrs. Cole, Mooney, and Swindells and European American Securities, Inc. ("EAS"), a private entity of which Mr. Swindells is a principal. Pursuant to the assignment, Messrs. Cole and Mooney each acquired the right to acquire 600,000 shares of the common stock into which the GMA Note was convertible, EAS acquired the right to acquire 400,000 shares, and Mr. Swindells acquired the right to acquire 1,000,000 shares. As of January 2003, all 3,200,000 shares of our common stock had been issued pursuant to the terms of the GMA Note. Fifty percent of such shares are subject to a

39

two-year lock-up provision that restricts transfer of such shares without prior written consent of our board of directors.

As of July 15, 2002, we entered in a two-year Advisory Agreement with Granite Creek Partners, LLC ("GCP"), formerly King's Peak Advisors, LLC, automatically renewable for an additional 12-month period. Under the terms of the Advisory Agreement, GCP agreed to provide us with general corporate, financial, business development and investment advisory services on a non-exclusive basis. These services include assisting with the identification of placement agents, underwriters, lenders and other sources of financing, as well as additional qualified independent directors and members of management. GCP is a private company whose principals are Messrs. Cole, Mooney and Mr. Swindells. At our August 19, 2003 board of directors', meeting, our board of directors voted to suspend the Advisory Agreement from August 15, 2003 until January 2004, and this agreement remains suspended. Through December 31, 2003, GCP had earned a total of \$315,000 under the Advisory Agreement, \$110,000 of which was converted into 4,400,000 shares of our common stock in March 2003. The remaining balance of \$205,000 was paid in full to GCP as of June 30, 2004.

As of July 31, 2002, we entered into an Advisory Agreement with EAS, a private entity of which Mr. Swindells is a principal, pursuant to which EAS agreed to provide financial advisory and investment banking services to us in connection with various equity and/or debt transactions. In exchange for such services, we agreed to pay EAS a retainer fee of \$5,000 per month and a commission ranging from 5% to 7% based on the type of transaction consummated, such fees being payable, at EAS' option, in cash or our common stock. On October 2, 2003, we renewed the agreement with EAS on terms similar to those contained in the first agreement. On January 1, 2004, we amended the October 2003 agreement in connection with our January 2004 senior convertible bridge note offering, which closed on May 28, 2004, for which we paid EAS a fee of 10%. Through June 30, 2004, EAS had earned a total of \$1,065,104 pursuant to our arrangement with them, of which \$345,450 was earned in connection with private equity and/or debt transactions and \$719,654 was earned for advisory services in

connection with certain acquisitions. In January 2004, 250,000 shares of our common stock with a fair market value of \$375,000 was paid to EAS in the Company's common stock. As of June 30, 2004, the balance owed to EAS was \$66,653. On May 27, 2004, European American Perinvest Group, a subsidiary of EAS, invested \$100,000 in our 2004 senior convertible bridge note offering. On May 28, 2004, this investment was converted to 166,699 restricted shares of our common stock as part of the total conversion of this financing to 4,520,069 shares of our common stock.

During the period August 2001 to June 30, 2002, Mr. Swindells advanced a total of \$925,000 to us by way of short-term non-interest bearing working capital loans. We repaid \$500,000 of the total amount owing in September 2003 and issued an aggregate of 850,000 shares of our common stock to Mr. Swindells in November 2003 in payment of the remaining balance of \$425,000. During the period June 2004 to October 2004, Mr. Swindells advanced us \$155,000. On August 10, 2004 we repaid \$50,000 of this amount and on November 2, 2004 we paid the remaining balance of \$105,000. On October 14, 2004, Mr. Swindells exercised warrants to purchase 300,000 shares of our common stock at \$0.05 per share.

In October 2002, we issued convertible promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$500,000 (the "Bridge Financing Notes") to certain individuals and entities, and in connection with the issuance of the Bridge Financing Notes, issued warrants to the holders of the notes to purchase additional shares of common stock. Of the total principal amount of the Bridge Financing Notes, \$55,000 was advanced by GCP and \$120,000 by Mr. Swindells. On May 19, 2003, the aggregate principal amount of the Bridge Financing Notes and accrued interest thereon of \$34,745 was converted into 1,336,867 shares of common stock at a price of \$0.40 per share. The warrants issued in connection with the Bridge Financing Notes are exercisable for a period of one year at a price of \$0.05 per share, and contain a net issuance provision whereby the holders may elect a cashless exercise of such warrants based on the fair market value of the common stock at the time of conversion. On March 26, 2004, GCP exercised its warrants in a cashless exercise for which it received a total of 126,042 shares of common stock.

Effective October 1, 2002, we issued an aggregate of 1,200,000 restricted shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.025 per share to our three directors, Messrs. Cole, Mooney and Jobe, in consideration for past services valued at \$30,000.

In connection with our acquisition of our interest in IRCA, we entered into an agreement with Titan Aviation Ltd. ("Titan"), a private company held in a trust of which Mr. Martin Steynberg and other business partners

40

are the beneficiaries. Pursuant to this agreement, we paid Titan on May 14, 2004 the sterling equivalent of the sum of 4,000,000 South African Rand (or \$607,165) in consideration for various services rendered to IRCA. Mr. Steynberg, who is a stockholder in IRCA Investments (Proprietary) Limited, which owns 25.1% of IRCA, became a director of our company on January 1, 2004 pursuant to the terms of the IRCA acquisition.

William Jobe, one of our directors, was paid a total of \$59,500 during the period December 2003 to May 2004 as compensation for merger and acquisition services associated with our acquisition of TouchVision. In August we paid Mr.

Jobe an additional \$4,815 in connection with the TouchVision transaction.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None.

CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

On December 13, 2004, we appointed Chisholm, Bierwolf & Nilson, LLC to serve as our independent auditor for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005. The decision to change auditors was approved by our Audit Committee. Chisholm, Bierwolf & Nilson, LLC and its predecessor entity, Bierwolf, Nilson & Associates, had audited our financial statements for the transition period ended June 30, 2003 and the year ended September 30, 2002.

On December 6, 2004, we notified BDO Spencer Steward ("BDO") of our decision to dismiss BDO as our independent auditors. BDO audited the financial statements for the years ended June 30, 2003 and 2004 for IRCA (Proprietary) Limited ("IRCA"), a South African company, in which we, through our wholly owned subsidiary, Danlas Limited, acquired 51% of the issued and outstanding shares in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004. We understand that BDO will continue to serve as IRCA's independent auditor.

The decision to change auditors was approved by our Audit Committee. BDO audited our financials statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004. BDO's auditor's report for the year ended June 30, 2004 contained a separate paragraph stating, "The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 14 to the financial statements, the Company has suffered losses from operations and has negative working capital. These conditions raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 14. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty." Except as so noted, BDO's report for this period did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, nor was it qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles.

In connection with the audit of the period ended June 30, 2004, there were no disagreements or reportable events between us and BDO on any matters of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, which, if not resolved to the satisfaction of BDO, would have caused them to make a reference to the subject matter of the disagreements or reportable events in connection with their reports. In the course of performing its audit, BDO identified deficiencies that existed in the design or operation of our internal controls that it considered to be "material weaknesses." BDO advised the Audit Committee of Trinity Learning's Board of Directors of the following material weaknesses in our financial reporting: (i) inadequate control over activities and reporting relating to Trinity Learning's investments in its South African subsidiaries; and (ii) lack of sufficient resources to identify and properly address technical SEC and reporting issues. As such, Trinity Learning filed amended quarterly reports for the first three fiscal quarters of fiscal 2004 and is undertaking a review of its disclosure, financial information, internal controls and procedures and organization and staffing of its corporate accounting department.

Effective July 8, 2004, we engaged BDO as our principal independent auditors with respect to our fiscal year ending June 30, 2004. The decision to

change auditors was approved by our board of directors. Prior to their appointment, BDO had previously audited IRCA for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002, the transition period ended June 30, 2003 and through the date of their engagement, we did not consult BDO with respect to (i) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed, or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on our financial statements, and neither a written report was provided to us nor was oral advice provided that BDO concluded was an important factor considered by us in reaching a decision as to the accounting, auditing or reporting issue, or (ii) any matter that was the subject of a disagreement or event identified in response to paragraph (a) (1) (iv) of Item 304 of Regulation S-B.

On July 8, 2004, we also notified Chisholm, Bierwolf & Nilson, LLC, ("CBN") of our decision to dismiss

41

CBN as our independent auditors. CBN's predecessor firm, Bierwolf, Nilson & Associates ("BNA"), audited our financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 and the transition period ended June 30, 2003. BNA's auditor's report for the transition period ended June 30, 2003 contained a separate paragraph stating, "The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company's significant operating losses raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. These consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty." BNA's auditor's report for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 contained a separate paragraph stating, "The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 10 to the financial statements, the Company's significant operating losses raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. These financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty." Except as so noted, BNA's reports for each of these two periods did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, nor were they qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles.

In connection with audits of the transition period ended June 30, 2003 and the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002, and any subsequent interim period preceding the date hereof, there were no disagreements or reportable events between us and CBN or its predecessor entity BNA on any matters of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, which, if not resolved to the satisfaction of CBN or BNA, would have caused them to make a reference to the subject matter of the disagreements or reportable events in connection with their reports.

On February 19, 2004, our independent auditors, BNA, informed us that on February 10, 2004, that it had merged its operations into CBN and was therefore effectively resigning as our auditors. BNA had audited our financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 and the transition period ended June 30, 2003 and its reports for each of these two periods did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, nor were they qualified or modified as to BNA on any matter regarding accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure during the past two fiscal years or any subsequent interim period preceding the date of the merger that resulted in the effective resignation of Bierwolf, Nilson & Associates as our

auditors. Our board of directors confirmed that we would continue our engagement with CBN and approved the change in auditors resulting from the merger of BNA into CBN.

DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES

We are authorized to issue 100,000,000 shares of common stock, no par value, and 10,000,000 shares of undesignated preferred stock, no par value per share. As of December 10, 2004, there were 31,465,143 shares of common stock outstanding, which were held of record by 622 stockholders, and there were no shares of preferred stock outstanding.

Holders of common stock are entitled to one vote per share on all matters to be voted upon by the stockholders. Holders of common stock do not have cumulative voting rights, and, therefore, holders of a majority of the shares voting for the election of directors can elect all of the directors. In such event, the holders of the remaining shares will not be able to elect any directors. Subject to preferences that may be applicable to any then-outstanding preferred stock, holders of common stock are entitled to receive such dividends as may be declared from time to time by our board of directors out of funds legally available therefore. We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our capital stock, expect to retain future earnings, if any, for use in the operation and expansion of its business, and do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

In the event of liquidation, dissolution or winding up of our company, holders of common stock are entitled to share ratably in all assets legally available for distribution after payment of all debts and other liabilities and subject to the prior rights of the holders of any preferred stock then outstanding. Holders of common stock have no preemptive or other subscription or conversion rights, and there are no redemption or sinking fund provisions applicable to the common stock.

42

Our Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation authorize 10,000,000 shares of undesignated preferred stock. Our board of directors will have the authority, without any further vote or action by our stockholders, to issue from time to time the preferred stock in one or more series and to fix the price, rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions thereof, including dividend rights, dividend rates, conversion rights, voting rights, terms of redemption, redemption prices, liquidation preferences and the number of shares constituting a series or the designation of such series. The issuance of preferred stock, while providing desirable flexibility in connection with possible acquisitions and other corporate purposes, could decrease the amount of earnings and assets available for distribution to holders of common stock or adversely affect the rights and powers, including voting rights, of the holders of common stock, and may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of our company without further action by the stockholders. We have no current plans to issue any shares of preferred stock.

MARKET FOR COMMON STOCK AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

At December 10, 2004, we had approximately 31,465,143 shareholders of

record. Our common stock has been quoted on the National Association of Securities Dealers OTC Electronic Bulletin Board since December 23, 2003 under the symbol "TTYL." Prior to this date, Trinity Learning's common stock was traded on the Pink Sheets, a privately owned company headquartered in New York. Neither we nor any of our affiliated purchasers, as that term is defined in Rule 10b-18 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, repurchased any of our common stock during the period April 1 through December 10, 2004.

The following table sets forth the high and low bid quotations, as provided by the OTC Bulletin Board, for our common stock as reported by NASDAQ for the periods indicated. These prices are based on inter-dealer bid prices, without markup, markdown, commissions or adjustments and may not represent actual transactions.

Market Price:

Fiscal Period:	High	Low
December 23 to 31, 2003	\$ 1.59	\$ 1.59
January 1 to March 31, 2004	\$ 2.50	\$ 1.50
April 1 to June 30, 2004	\$ 1.50	\$ 0.80
July 1, 2004 to September 30, 2004	\$ 1.65	\$ 0.85

Dividend Policy

We have never declared or paid dividends on our common stock in the past, and we do not intend to pay such dividends in the foreseeable future. Any future determination to pay dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements and other factors our board of directors deems relevant.

Neither we nor any of our affiliated purchasers, as that term is defined in Rule 10b-18 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, repurchased any of our common stock during the period April 1 through October 1, 2004.

The 2002 Stock Plan

An aggregate of 7,454,590 shares of our common stock are currently authorized for issuance pursuant to our 2002 Stock Plan. This plan was approved on December 2, 2002, at a special meeting of our shareholders. The Plan allowed for a maximum aggregate number of shares that may be optioned and sold under the plan of (a) 3,000,000 shares, plus (b) an annual 500,000 increase to be added on the last day of each fiscal year beginning in 2003 unless a lesser amount is determined by the board of directors. The plan became effective with its adoption and remains in effect for ten years unless terminated earlier. On December 30, 2003, the board of directors amended the 2002 Stock Plan to allow for a maximum aggregate number of shares that may be optioned and sold under the plan of (a) 6,000,000 shares, plus (b) an annual 1,000,000 increase to be added on the last day of each fiscal year beginning in 2004 unless a lesser amount is determined by the board of directors. Options granted under the plan

next 36 months.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding securities authorized for issuance under the 2002 Stock Plan at September 30, 2004:

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Number of Securities to be Weighted Average Issued upon Exercise of Exercise Price of Remaining Availa

Outstanding Options Outstanding Options Future Issua

Number of Secu

Plan Name

Equity compensation plan approved by security holders 5,670,000

\$0.43

1,784,590

SELLING SECURITY HOLDERS

The following table details the name of each selling security holder, the number of shares owned by the selling security holder, and the number of shares that may be offered for resale under this prospectus.

Except in the case of Laurus Master Fund, Ltd. ("Laurus," see below), because each selling security holder may offer all, some, or none of its shares, and because there are currently no agreements, arrangements, or understandings with respect to the sale of any of the shares, no definitive estimate as to the number of shares that will be held by each selling security holder after the offering can be provided. The following table has been prepared assuming that all shares offered under this prospectus will be sold to parties unaffiliated with the selling security holders. Except as indicated, none of the selling security holders has had a significant relationship with us within the past three years, other than as a result of the ownership of our shares or other securities. Unless otherwise indicated, the selling security holders have sole voting and investment power over their respective shares. The term "selling security holder" or "selling security holders" includes the stockholders listed below and their transferees, assignees, pledgees, donees or other successors. Each selling stockholder reserves the right to accept or reject, in whole or in part, any proposed sale of shares. Each selling stockholder also may offer and sell less than the number of shares indicated. No selling stockholder is making any representation that any shares covered by this prospectus will or will not be offered for sale.

Most of the selling security holders acquired their shares in various private placements that we have conducted over the course of the past two years. Certain of our affiliates, identified below, acquired many of their shares pursuant to various agreements with us (see "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions"), and many of these shares were made subject to that certain registration agreement (the "Registration Agreement") entered into in June 2003 between such parties and each of us, Standard Registrar and Transfer Company and the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc., which provided that such shares would not be publicly sold unless and until such time as an effective registration statement covering such securities is on file with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

On August 31, 2004, we completed a private placement to Laurus of a

convertible term note ("Note") in the principal amount of \$5.5\$ million and a warrant ("Warrant") to purchase up to \$1,600,000 shares of our common stock. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources." The Note provides for monthly payments of interest at the prime rate (as published in The Wall Street Journal), plus 2%, which is subject to reduction if the market price of our common stock exceeds certain designated thresholds. The Note also provides for monthly amortization, commencing on November 1, 2004, of \$22,058.82 per month, with the balance payable on the maturity date.

The principal and unpaid interest on the Note are convertible into shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.72 per share, which price is subject to anti-dilution adjustments, and Laurus has the option to receive shares of our common stock in lieu of debt service payments at such price, subject to certain adjustments. The Warrant entitles the holder thereof to purchase, at any time through August 31, 2009, up to 1,600,000 shares of our common

44

stock at a price of \$0.81 per share, subject to anti-dilution adjustments. The terms of both the Note and the Warrant provide that Laurus may not exercise the Warrant or convert the Note to the extent such exercise or conversion would result in Laurus beneficially owning more than 4.99% of our outstanding common stock, without first providing us with advance notice. The calculation below of the shares beneficially owned by Laurus does not take into account this limitation on more than 4.99% beneficially ownership.

Selling Security Holder	Number of Shares Owned Prior to Offering	of Shares Offered	Offer
Adams, Laramie	29,413	29,413	0
Adams, Michael	29,413	29,413	0
Agnew, Devin (11)	1,548	1,548	0
Agnew, Bryce (11)	41,287	41,287	0
Anderson, John	30,000	30,000	0
Avis, Christine	2,500	2,500	0
Baker-Simms, Beverley	1,000	1,000	0
Bank Franck, Galland & Cie SA	41,851	41,851	0
Bautista, Alex (11)	1,548	1,548	0
Bishop, Daniel (11)	1,032	1,032	0
Bishop, Carol F (Trust) (11)	2,580	2,580	0
Burrus, Yves	384,005	384,005	0
Carter, Elaine (11)	36,128	36,128	0
Chandler, Allen & Deanna	40,000	40,000	0
Chanobie Resources Limited	33,487	33,487	0
Ciardi, Francesco	125,000	125,000	0
Cole, Casey Egan (2) (16)	50,000	50,000	0
Cole, Kelli Ann (2) (16)	50,000	50,000	0
Cole, Ryan Delavan (2) (16)	50,000	50,000	0
Cole, Douglas & Cole, Corinne (1) (16)	336,017	336,017	0
Cole, Doug (1) (3)	1,100,000	1,100,000	0
Dam, JC	167,402	167,402	0
d'Amore, Melissa	5,000	5,000	0

d'Amore, Tancredi	5,000	5,000	0
Dawson, Roland Arthur	20,119	20,119	0
Debarge, Alexandra	5,000	5,000	0
Debarge, Iona	5,000	5,000	0
Debarge, Oliver	20,000	20,000	0
Debarge, Robina	5,000	5,000	0
Dent, David	40,215	40,215	0
Dileva, Rosario (16)	20,000	20,000	0
Doxford, Tim	2,000	2,000	0
European American Perinvest Group (5)(14)	644,199	644,199	0
Fisher, Brandi	40,000	40,000	0
Fitzsimmons, Michael	62,500	62,500	0
Garafas, George	1,000	1,000	0
Garelick, Steve (16)	5,000	5,000	0
Global Marketing Associates, Inc. (3)	600,000	600,000	0
Grace Investments Limited	169,832	169,832	0
Granite Creek Partners LLC (3)	1,622,910	1,622,910	0
Groefsema, Jakob	25,160	25,160	0
Gygax, Katie	2,000	2,000	0
Hancock Investments	83,909	83,909	0
Hanley, Louis Patrick	246,864	20,000	226,

Selling Security Holder	Number of Shares Owned Prior to Offering	of Shares	Numb of Sh Owned Offer
Hanson, Brooke L.	40,000	40,000	0
Hanson, Bryce	40,000	40,000	0
Hanson, Steven	2,000,000	2,000,000	0
Hayman, Ildi (10)	350,000	350,000	0
Hedblom, Dr Per	10,027	10,027	0
Hermitage Securities Ltd	300,000	300,000	0
Hofstad, Bernt	214,204	214,204	0
Hufstetler, Guy	40,000	40,000	0
Hufstetler, Rod	55,600	55,600	0
Hufstetler, Lois	80,000	80,000	0
Hugenot Memorial Church	12,500	12,500	0
Hulick, Robert & Susan	20,000	20,000	0
Hume, Gary	11,344	11,344	0
Hustler, Trudie	1,000	1,000	0
Hyacinth Resources, Inc. (16)	750,000	750 , 000	0
Isom, Terry	100,000	100,000	0
Jennings, Malcolm	140,568	140,568	0
Jobe, William (3) (4)	200,000	200,000	0
Kaupthing Bank Sverig AB	50,000	50,000	0
King, James T	246,025	125,000	121,
Klug, John	80,000	80,000	0
Knecht, Hanspeter	143,727	143,727	0
Laurus Master Fund, Ltd.	10,400,000	10,400,000	0
LB Swiss Private Bank Ltd.	63,542	63,542	0
Leopoldino, Helder	2,000	2,000	0

Lesner, Michael (11)	5 , 161	5 , 161	0
Lombard Odier Darier Hentsch & Cie	200,000	200,000	0
Loomis, Troy	200,000	200,000	0
Maclachlan, Neil T.	84,846	84,846	0
Mahar, Larry J (11)	558 , 920	558 , 920	0
Mak, Alexander	50,000	50,000	0
Malonga-Matouba, Mihambanou	40,223	40,223	0
Martin, Michael	100,000	100,000	0
McPherson, Barbara (10)	350,000	350,000	0
Merriman, D. Jonathan	62,500	62,500	0
Mooney, Vincenza Nancy (6) (16)	35,000	35,000	0
Mooney, Branden Patrick Trust (6) (16)	75,000	75,000	0
Mooney, Danielle Monique(6) (16)	75,000	75,000	0
Mooney, Edward & Theresa (6) (16)	256,017	256,017	0
Mooney, Edward (3) (6)	1,100,000	1,100,000	0
Moore, Paul	62,500	62,500	0
Morton, James	5,000	5,000	0
Mullen, Peter	100,000	100,000	0
Munson, Eric	116,290	50,000	66 , 2
Nye, Daniel (13)	50,000	50,000	0
Olson, Jeff & Debra	40,000	20,000	20,0
Oltramare, Nicolas	167,594	167,594	0
Park Place Columbia Ltd.	551 , 487	551 , 487	0
Parson, William (11)	1,548	1,548	0
Penn, Richard (11)	39,780	39,780	0
Perrette, Jean R	45,000	45,000	0

			Numb
	Number of Shares	Number	of Sh
	Owned Prior to	of Shares	Owned
Selling Security Holder	Offering	Offered	Offer
Perrette, Jean-Rene	201,140	201,140	0
Pictet & Cie	125,000	125,000	0
Pictet Private Equity Investors SA	100,000	100,000	0
Posner, Ronald (15)	100,000	100,000	0
Robbins, R.S.	30,000	30,000	0
Roche, Greg L (11)	558 , 920	558,920	0
Rodas, Gabriel (11)	1,548	1,548	0
Roue, Naz	1,000	1,000	0
Ryweck, Daniel	25,116	25,116	0
Sassi, Ismael	72,025	72,025	0
Savage, Mark	30,139	30,139	0
Schmidt, Robert	8,350	8,350	0
Senglaub, Joseph	30,000	30,000	0
Senglaub, Michael & Doris	100,000	50,000	50 , 0
Shauklas, Thomas	150,000	75,000	75,0
St. Vincent Catholic Church (16)	25,000	25,000	O
Staring Company Ltd.	500,000	500,000	0
Steinmetz, John (19)	125,000	125,000	0
Stratford, Val	40,000	40,000	O
Swindells, Theodore H (3) (7) (17)	2,800,000	2,800,000	0

Tate, Joseph P.	250,000	250,000
Terra Nova Explorer's Fund	250,048	250,048
Thread Needle Street LLC (7)	500,000	500,000
TN Capital Equities, Ltd. (9)	40,721	40,721
Troncin, Gwen	5,000	5,000
Troncin, Gerard	30,000	30,000
Verelst, Luc (8)	3,675,138	3,675,138
Voelker, Fritz C	125,000	125,000
Wace, N.J.	60,000	60,000
Weldon, Elizabeth	25,000	25,000
Weldon, John (16)	100,000	100,000
Westland Utracht Effectenbank NV	359,496	359,496
Whiteman, Paul	5,000	5,000
Winkelman, P.H.M.	41,947	41,947
Wouters, Albert	170,087	170,087
Zackrisson, Mia	5,000	5,000

- * Less than one percent (1%).
- (1) Douglas Cole is our Chief Executive Officer and one of our directors.
- (2) Casey, Kelli and Ryan Cole are children of Douglas Cole, our Chief Executive Officer and one of our directors.
- (3) Includes shares covered by the Registration Agreement.
- (4) William Jobe is one of our directors.
- (5) Of this amount, 400,000 shares are subject to the Registration Agreement.
- (6) Edward Mooney is our President and one of our directors. Vincenza Nancy Mooney is Edward Mooney's mother. Branden Mooney and Danielle Mooney are children of Edward Mooney. The Edward and Teresa Trust is an affiliate of Edward Mooney.
- (7) Theodore Swindells and entities controlled by him beneficially own approximately 15% of our securities. See "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management."
- (8) Luc Verelst beneficially owns approximately 22% of our securities. See "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management."

47

- (9) TN Capital Equities, Ltd. received these shares in payment of a finder's fee in connection with our private placement that closed in October 2003.
- (10) These shares were acquired from us in connection with the acquisition of our interest in River Murray Training (Pty.) Ltd. See "Description of our Business - Our Business - River Murray Training (Pty.) Ltd. (RMT)."
- (11) These shares were acquired from us in connection with our acquisition of TouchVision, Inc. See "Description of our Business - Our Business -TouchVision."
- (12) (Intentionally deleted).
- (13) Of these shares, 100,000 were issued by us in exchange for investment advisory and/or consulting services rendered to our company. Of the total number of shares, 50,000 are subject to the Registration Agreement..
- (14) Of these shares, 250,000 were issued by us in payment of finder's fees in connection with private placements.

- (15) These shares were issued in payment of a finder's fee.
- (16) These shares were transferred from Granite Creek Partners LLC (f/k/a Kings Peak Advisors LLC) and are subject to the Registration Agreement.
- (17) Of this amount, 986,017 shares were transferred from Granite Creek Partners LLC and are subject to the Registration Agreement.

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

The selling security holders and any of their pledgees, assignees, and successors—in—interest may, from time to time, sell any or all of their shares of common stock they acquire on exercise of their warrants or options on any stock exchange market or trading facility on which our shares are traded or in private transactions. These sales may be at fixed or negotiated prices. The selling security holders may use any one or more of the following methods when selling shares.

- o Ordinary brokerage transactions and transactions in which the broker-dealer solicits purchasers;
- o Block trades in which the broker-dealer will attempt to sell the shares as agent but may position and resell a portion of the block as principal to facilitate the transaction;
- o Purchases by a broker-dealer as principal and resale by the broker-dealer for its account;
- o Privately negotiated transactions;
- o Short sales;
- o Broker-dealers may agree with the selling security holders to sell a specified number of such shares at a stipulated price per share;
- o A combination of any such methods of sale; and
- o Any other method permitted pursuant to applicable law.

Rather than sell shares under this prospectus, the selling security holders may sell shares under Rule 144 adopted under the Securities Act of 1933, after at least one year elapses from the date the warrants or options are exercised and the other requirements of the Rule are satisfied. The selling security holders may also engage in short sales against the box, puts and calls, and other transactions in our securities or derivatives of our securities and may sell or deliver shares in connection with these trades.

The selling security holders may pledge their shares to their brokers under the margin provisions of customer agreements. If a selling security holder defaults on a margin loan, the broker may, from time to time, offer and sell the pledged shares. Broker-dealers engaged by a selling security holder to sell its shares may arrange for

48

other broker-dealers to participate in sales. Broker-dealers may receive commissions or discounts from the selling security holders (or, if any broker-dealer acts as agent for the purchaser of shares, from the purchaser) in amounts to be negotiated.

The selling stockholders or their respective pledgees, donees, transferees or other successors in interest, may also sell the shares directly to market makers acting as principals and/or broker-dealers acting as agents for themselves or their customers. Such broker-dealers may receive compensation in the form of discounts, concessions or commissions from the selling stockholders and/or the purchasers of shares for whom such broker-dealers may act as agents or to whom they sell as principal or both, which compensation as to a particular broker-dealer might be in excess of customary commissions. Market makers and block purchasers purchasing the shares will do so for their own account and at their own risk. It is possible that a selling stockholder will attempt to sell shares of common stock in block transactions to market makers or other purchasers at a price per share which may be below the then market price. The selling stockholders cannot assure that all or any of the shares offered in this prospectus will be issued to, or sold by, the selling stockholders. The selling stockholders and any brokers, dealers or agents, upon effecting the sale of any of the shares offered in this prospectus, may be deemed to be "underwriters" as that term is defined under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the rules and regulations under such acts. In such event, any commissions received by such broker-dealers or agents and any profit on the resale of the shares purchased by them may be deemed to be underwriting commissions or discounts under the Securities Act.

We have agreed to pay all fees and expenses incident to the registration of the shares. We have agreed to indemnify the selling security holders against certain losses, claims, damages, and liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933. The selling security holders have also agreed to indemnify us, and our directors, officers, agents, and representatives against certain liabilities, including certain liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933. In the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification agreements are against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act of 1933 and are, therefore, unenforceable. The selling security holders and other persons participating in the distribution of the shares offered hereby are subject to the applicable requirements of Regulation M promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in connection with the sale of the shares.

The selling security holders have agreed to the following volume restrictions concerning resale of their shares following the effective date of this registration statement ("Effective Date"): (a) a maximum of 33% of the registered shares can be offered and sold during the 90-day period following the Effective Date; (b) up to a further 33% of the registered shares can be offered and sold during the period between 91 and 180 days following the Effective Date; and (c) the remaining 34% of the registered shares can be offered and sold during the period between 181 and 270 days following the Effective Date.

EXPERTS AND COUNSEL

The audited financial statements of Trinity Learning are included herein in reliance on the report of BDO Spencer Steward, an independent registered public accounting firm, and on the report of Bierwolf, Nilson & Associates and its successor firm, Chisholm, Bierwolf & Nilson, LLC, such reports given on the authority of such firms as experts in auditing and accounting. Both such reports stated that because Trinity Learning had sustained losses and has negative working capital, these conditions raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern.

Parsons Behle & Latimer, Salt Lake City, Utah, will pass on the validity of

our common stock being offered by this prospectus.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

We have filed a registration statement on Form SB-2 with the SEC for the common stock offered by the selling security holders under this prospectus. This prospectus does not include all of the information contained in the registration statement. You should refer to the registration statement and its exhibits for additional information that is not contained in this prospectus. Whenever we make reference in this prospectus to any of our contracts, agreements or other documents, you should refer to the exhibits attached to this registration statement for copies of the actual contract, agreement or document.

49

We file annual, quarterly and special reports and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission. You may read and copy any document we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the Public Reference Room. Our SEC filings are also available to the public at the SEC's web site at http://www.sec.gov.

In addition, we maintain an Internet website at www.trinitylearning.com. We do not intend that our website be a part of this prospectus.

50

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Audited Financial Statements for the Year Ended June 30, 2004 and the Transition Period ended June 30, 2003 Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm dated November 22, 2004 F-1Independent Auditor's Report dated October 18, 2003 F-2 Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2004 and 2003 F-3Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Year ended June 30, 2004 and the Transition Period ended June 30, 2003 F-4Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the Period from October 1, 2002 to June 30, 2004 F-5Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Year Ended June 30, 2004 and the Transition Period ended June 30, 2003 F-6Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements F-7Unaudited Financial Statements as of September 30, 2004 Consolidated Balance Sheets September 30, 2004 and September 30, 2003 F-30 Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income Three Months Ended September 30, 2004 and 2003 F - 31Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Three Months Ended September 30, 2004 F - 32Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements F-33

51

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Corporation Trinity Learning Company Berkeley, California

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Trinity Learning Corporation as of June 30, 2004 and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss, stockholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Trinity Learning Corporation at June 30, 2004, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 14 to the financial statements, the Company has suffered losses from operations and has negative working capital. These conditions raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 14. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ BDO Spencer Steward

Pretoria, South Africa

November 22, 2004

F-1

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS 1453 SOUTH MAJOR STREET SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84115

A Partnership of Telephone (801) 363-1175 Nephi J. Bierwolf, CPA Professional Corporations Fax (801) 363-0615 Troy F. Nilson, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Board of Directors Trinity Learning Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Trinity Learning Corporation, (a Utah corporation) as of June 30, 2003 and September 30, 2002, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the transition period October 1, 2002 to June 30, 2003 and the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the management of Trinity Learning Corporation. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Trinity Learning Corporation as of June 30, 2003 and September 30, 2002, and the consolidated results of their operations and its cash flows for the transition period October 1, 2002 to June 30, 2003 and the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company's significant operating losses raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. These consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Bierwolf, Nilson & Associates ______ Salt Lake City, Utah October 18, 2003

F-2

	ine 30, 2004	Jι 	ine
Assets			
Current Assets Cash and Cash Equivalents Accounts Receivable Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets	\$ 892,739 243,164 229,802	\$	
Total Current Assets	 1,365,705		
Equity Investments in and Advances to Associated Companies Property & Equipment, net Goodwill Intangible Assets, net Restricted Cash Other Assets	1,922,935 37,160 1,849,526 434,958 500,000 142,856		
Total Assets	\$ 6,253,140	\$	1,
Liabilities Accounts Payable Accounts Payable - Related Parties Accrued Expenses Interest Payable Deferred Revenue Notes Payable - Current Notes Payable - Related Parties	\$ 814,651 77,988 721,192 21,124 85,685 418,954 740,476	\$	2
Current Liabilities	2,880,070		2
Notes Payable - Long Term Notes Payable - Related Parties	71,829 40,000		
Long-term Liabilities	 111,829		
Total Liabilities	 2,991,899		2
Minority Interest	 306,721		
Contingently Redeemable Equity	 2,510,000		
Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)			
Preferred Stock, 10,000,000 Shares Authorized at No Par Value, No Shares Issued and Outstanding Common Stock, 100,000,000 Shares Authorized at No Par Value; 31,040,143 and 14,956,641 shares Issued and	-		

	===		===	
Total Liabilities, Minority Interest, Contingently Redeemable Equity and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)	\$	6,253,140	\$	1,
Total Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)		444,520		(1,
Other Comprehensive Gain (Loss)		2,539		
Accumulated Deficit Subscription Receivable		(22,650,976)		(11,

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

F-3

Trinity Learning Corporation and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss

	iscal Year Ended ne 30, 2004	Transiti October June 3
Revenue		
Sales Revenue	\$ 2,590,091	\$
Cost of Sales	 (475,076)	!
Gross Profit	 2,115,015	
Expense	 	
 Salaries & Benefits	3,636,498	1
Professional Fees	1,163,603	İ
Professional Fees - Related Parties	225,000	
Selling, General & Administrative	1,886,514	
Depreciation & Amortization	279,360	
Total Expense	7,190,975	2
Loss from Operations	(5,075,960)	(1
Other Income (Expense)		
Interest, net	(209,863)	
Equity Losses and Impairment of Investment in Associated	•	
Companies	(2,714,985)	
Debt Conversion	(3,449,332)	
Foreign Currency Gain (Loss)	 (4,463)	
Total Other Income (Expense)	(6,378,643)	
Minority Interest	(7,460)	

Loss Before Income Taxes Income Taxes			(11,462,063)		(2
	Net Loss	\$ ===	(11,462,063)	\$ ===	(2
Net Loss Per Common Share - Basic and Diluted		\$	(0.50)	\$	
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding			22,827,313		-==== 8

A summary of the components of other comprehensive loss for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004 and the transition period ended June 30, 2003 follows:

		Fiscal Year Ended une 30, 2004		nsiti En une 3
Net Loss Foreign Currency Translation Gain (Loss)		\$ (11,462,063) 3,009	\$	(2
	Comprehensive Loss	\$ (11,459,054)	\$ ===	(2 ====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

F-4

Trinity Learning Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)

	Shares of Common Stock	Common Stock	Accumulated Deficit	Subscrip Receiva
Balance at October 1, 2002	49,774	\$ 8,380,775	\$ (9,116,929)	\$ (35
Shares Issued for CBL Global Corp. Acquisition	3,000,000	75,000	-	
Stock Issued for Services at \$0.025 per Share	6,670,000	166,750	-	
Shares Issued for Conversion on Note Payable at \$0.052 per Share	3,200,000	166,963	-	
Shares Issued for Conversion of Note and Interest Payable at \$0.40 per Share	1,336,867	534,745	-	
Shares of Beneficial Owners Cancelled in				

Recapitalization at \$0.50 per Share	(108,226)	(54,113)	-	
Shares Issued in Recapitalization at \$0.50 per Share (1)	108,226	54,113	-	
Shares Issued for Cash at \$0.50 per Share (1)	700,000	350,000		
Cost of Share Issuance (1)	-	(57,560)	-	
Foreign Currency Translation	_	-	-	
Employee Stock Based Compensation	-	76,774	-	
Net Loss for the Transition Period Ended June 30, 2003	-	_	(2,071,984)	
Balance at June 30, 2003	14,956,641	9,693,447	(11,188,913)	(35
Shares Issued for TouchVision and RMT Acquisitions at \$0.50 per Share	1,950,000	975 , 000	-	
Shares Issued for Cash at \$0.50 per Share (2)	9,946,600	4,973,300	-	
Shares Issued for Conversion of Note Payable to a Related Party at \$0.50 per Share (2)	850,000	425,000	-	
Shares Rescinded in CBL Divestiture	(3,000,000)	461,063		
Cancellation of Subscription Receivable	-	-	-	35
Shares Issued for Conversion of Note and Interest Payable at Weighted Average Price of \$1.11 per Share (2)	4,520,069	5,034,044	-	
Value Attributed to Stock Purchase Warrants	_	1,245,580	-	
Exercise of Warrants and Stock Options	858 , 952	36,646	-	
Shares Issued for Services	957 , 881	728,941	-	
Employee Stock Based Compensation	-	526,491	-	
Foreign Currency Translation	-	-	-	
Cost of Share Issuance (2)	-	(1,006,555)	-	
Net Loss Year Ended June 30, 2004	-	-	(11,462,063)	
Balance at June 30, 2004	31,040,143		\$ (22,650,976)	
		========		

⁽¹⁾ Share issuance costs apply to these transactions for the nine month transition period ended June 30, 2003.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

⁽²⁾ Share issuance costs apply to these transactions for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004.

Trinity Learning Corporation and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Cash flows from operating activities:
Net loss
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by
operating activities:
Depreciation and amortization
Stock issued for services
Equity losses and impairment of investment in associated companies
Non-cash effect from write off of fixed assets
Employee stock based compensation
Non-cash interest expense
Debt conversion expense
Changes in current assets and liabilities, net of businesses acquired
and sold:
Accounts receivable
Prepaid expenses and other current assets
Accounts payable
Accounts payable - related party
Accrued expenses
Deferred revenue
Interest payable
Minority interest
Net cash used by operating activities
Cash flows from investing activities:
Payment for business acquisitions/divestiture, net of cash acquired
Restricted cash
Advances to associated companies
Capital expenditures
Net cash (used) provided by investing activities
(
Cash flows from financing activities:
Borrowings under short-term notes
Borrowings under short-term notes - related party
Repayments under short term notes - related party
Payments for financing fees
Payments for financing fees - related party
Proceeds from exercise of warrants and options
Proceeds from sale of common stock
Net cash provided by financing activities
Effect of foreign exchange on cash
Net increase (decrease) in cash
Cash at beginning of period
Cash at end of period

\$ 89

Fiscal Y Ended June 30,

(11,46

27 72 2,71

52 13 3,44

(3,67

(42 (50 (1,08 (2

(2,02

2,94

(50 (69 (31

4,97

6,49

80

Supplemental information:

Interest paid

Issuance of common stock for business acquisitions

Issuance of common stock for conversion of debt - related party

Issuance of common stock - conversion of bridge note

Warrants issued with convertible notes

Issuance of contingently redeemable equity

Cancellation of common stock and convertible notes payable pursuant to the sale of \mathtt{CBL}

Cancellation of subscriptions receivable

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

F-6

Trinity Learning Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
June 30, 2004

NOTE 1. ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Overview

Trinity Learning (sometimes referred to in these Notes as the "Company") is creating a global learning company by acquiring operating subsidiaries that specialize in educational and training content, delivery, and services for particular industries or that target a particular segment of the workforce. Trinity Learning believes that there are product and service synergies between and among our various subsidiaries that position us to create a global learning company that can provide integrated learning services to corporations, organizations, educational institutions, and individual learners, using a variety of delivery technologies, platforms and methods to meet the growing need for global learning solutions. Trinity Learning believes that it will be one of the first companies to be able to serve major multinational employers at multiple levels of their organizations and assist these customers to meet the challenges of a major turnover in the world's workforce over the coming decade. Factors such as demographics, technology, and globalization will require enterprises, organizations and governments around the world to invest in human capital to remain competitive.

On August 6, 2003, our board of directors approved a change in our fiscal year-end from September 30 to June 30 to align with those of the companies we had already acquired or were at that time in the process of acquiring. Future operating results may not be comparable to historical operating results due to our September 1, 2003 acquisitions of TouchVision, Inc. ("TouchVision"); River Murray Training Pty Ltd ("RMT"); and 51% of the issued and outstanding shares of Ayrshire Trading Limited ("Ayrshire"), as well as our December 1, 2003 acquisition of Danlas Limited ("Danlas") and March 1, 2004 acquisition of Trinity Learning AS ("VILPAS"), formerly known as Virtual Learning Partners, AS. Ayrshire owns 95% of the issued and outstanding shares of Riverbend Group Holdings (Pty.) Ltd. ("Riverbend"). These companies are collectively referred to

as Riverbend. Danlas owns 51% of IRCA (Proprietary) Limited ("IRCA"). These companies are collectively referred to as IRCA.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the Company's financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America necessarily requires it to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the balance sheet dates and the reported amounts of revenues and costs during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. On an ongoing basis, the Company reviews its estimates based on information that is currently available. Changes in facts and circumstances may cause the Company to revise its estimates. Significant estimates include revenue recognition policy, valuation and allocation of the purchase consideration of the assets and liabilities and assets acquired in business combinations and equity investments in associated companies, our determination of fair value of common stock issued in business combinations and equity investments in associated companies, and the annual valuation and review for impairment of assets acquired and of long-lived assets.

Principles of Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

On August 6, 2003, our board of directors approved a change in our fiscal year-end from September 30 to June 30 to align with those of the companies we had already acquired or were at that time in the process of acquiring. Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and our controlled subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

Our 51% ownership in IRCA and our 51% ownership in Ayrshire, which owns 95% of Riverbend, have been accounted for in the financial statements included with this report using the equity method of accounting. Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 96-16, "Investor's Accounting for an Investee When the Investor Has a Majority Voting Interest but the Minority Shareholders Have Certain Approval or Voting or Veto Rights" (EITF 96-16) provides guidance as to the distinction between protective rights of the minority shareholder which do not overcome the presumption of consolidation and substantive participating rights of the minority shareholder. Substantive

F-7

participating rights that allow the minority shareholder to participate in establishing operating and capital decisions in the ordinary course of business, overcome the presumption that the investor should consolidate the investee.

- O In the Riverbend transaction, Section 20.2.11.3 of the Definitive Agreement ("the Agreement") between Trinity, the majority owner in Ayrshire, and Great Owl Limited ("Great Owl"), the minority owner in Ayrshire, prevents Ayrshire and its subsidiaries from approving, canceling or effecting "material changes to the annual budget or any modification thereof" or "incur (ring) unbudgeted capital expenditure of US\$150,000 per item or US\$500,000 per annum." Also, pursuant to Section 18.3 of the Agreement, Trinity and Great Owl are "each entitled to appoint an equal number of directors to the board of directors" of Ayrshire. These substantive participating rights of the minority shareholder preclude consolidation of this investment and will remain in effect until Trinity owns 100% of Ayrshire.
- o In the IRCA transaction, Section 20.1.19.3 of the Sale of Shares

Agreement ("SOS Agreement") between Danlas Limited, a wholly owned subsidiary of Trinity, and IRCA Investments (Pty.) Ltd. ("IRCA Investments"), the minority shareholder in IRCA, prevents IRCA and its subsidiaries from approving, canceling or effecting "material changes to the annual budget or any modification thereof, or to its strategic plans or marketing strategy or incur(ring) unbudgeted capital expenditure in excess of R200,000 (two hundred thousand Rand) per item or R800,000 (eight hundred thousand Rand) in total per annum." Also, pursuant to Section 19 of the SOS Agreement, Danlas and IRCA Investments are "each entitled to appoint equal number of directors to the board of directors" of IRCA. These substantive participating rights of the minority shareholder will remain in effect until Danlas owns 60% of IRCA.

Purchase Accounting

The Company accounts for its investments in its subsidiaries using the purchase method of accounting. Intangible assets are recognized apart from goodwill if they are contractual in nature or separately identifiable. Acquisitions are measured on the fair value of consideration exchanged and, if the consideration given is not cash, measurement is based on the fair value of the consideration given or the fair value of the assets acquired, whichever is more reliably measurable. The excess of cost of an acquired entity over the net amounts assigned to identifiable acquired assets and liabilities assumed is recognized as goodwill. The valuation and allocation process relies on significant assumptions made by management, in particular, the value of the shares issued to effect the purchase prior to the Company having established a trading market for its stock.

Revenue Recognition

We earn our revenues primarily from service-related contracts, including operations and maintenance services and a variety of technical assistance services. Revenue is generally recognized on a straight-line basis, unless evidence suggests that the revenue is earned or obligations are fulfilled in a different pattern over the contractual term of the arrangement or the expected period, during which those specified services will be performed, whichever is longer. Four basic criteria must be met before revenue can be recognized: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) delivery has occurred or services rendered; (3) the fee is fixed and determinable; and (4) collectibility is reasonably assured. The Company determines whether criteria (3) and (4) are met based on judgments regarding the nature of the fee charged for services rendered and products delivered and the collectibility of those fees. The Company also earns revenue from the sale of hardware containing software, and accounts for this revenue in accordance with SOP 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition in accordance with EITF 03-5. To date, such revenues have not been significant.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments, which potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk, consist principally of trade receivables. Concentrations of credit risk with respect to trade receivables are limited due to the large number of clients that comprise our customer base and their dispersion across different business and geographic areas. We estimate and maintain an allowance for potentially uncollectible accounts and such estimates have historically been within management's expectations. Our cash balances, restricted cash and short-term investments are maintained in accounts held by major banks and financial institutions located primarily in the United States, Norway, South Africa and Australia.

F-8

No single customer accounts for revenues or receivables greater than 10% of Company totals.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid instruments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximates fair value due to the short-term maturity of these instruments. The carrying value of notes payable approximates fair value because negotiated terms and conditions are consistent with current market rates. Determination of the fair value of notes payable to related parties cannot be estimated because of the favorable conditions given to the Company by these parties not otherwise available from third parties. It is not practicable to estimate the fair value of notes payable issued for acquisitions and equity investments because they were issued at a substantial conversion premium and contain no stated payment terms. The carrying value of equity investments and advances to associated companies approximates fair value. We evaluate such assets on a regular basis by looking at cash flows, market conditions and current and anticipated future performance. In June 2004, we incurred an impairment charge of \$884,963.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are uncollateralized customer obligations due under normal trade terms. Management regularly evaluates the need for an allowance for uncollectible accounts by taking into consideration factors such as the type of client; governmental agencies or private sector; trends in actual and forecasted credit quality of the client, including delinquency and late payment history; and current economic conditions that may affect a client's ability to pay. Management has determined that there is no need for an allowance as of June 30, 2004 and 2003.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is provided on the straight-line method using estimated lives ranging from three to five years for property and equipment. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the length of the lease or estimated useful life, whichever is less. Property and equipment is periodically reviewed for impairment. When such loss is identified, it is recorded as a loss in that period.

Fair Value of Common Stock

Contingently redeemable equity represents the value of shares of our common stock issuable upon the conversion of notes payable in excess of the face value of these notes issued in the acquisition of VILPAS and the acquisition of equity interest in each of the Riverbend and IRCA transactions. The stock arrangements are dependent on the satisfaction of certain conditions by us, most notably the listing of our common stock an a major stock exchange in the United States of America, for whom there are financial requirements for listing. The valuation and allocation process relies on significant assumptions made by management, in particular, the value of the shares issued to effect the purchase prior to the Company having established a trading market for its stock. When it becomes probable that redemption will occur, the Company will record changes in fair value in the Statement of Operations.

Allocation of Purchase Consideration in Business Combinations

The Company accounts for its investments in its subsidiaries using the purchase method of accounting. The excess of the consideration paid for subsidiaries over the fair value of acquired tangible assets less the fair value of acquired liabilities is assigned to intangible assets and goodwill. The Company obtains an independent third party valuation to ascertain the amount to allocate to identifiable intangible assets, and the useful lives of those assets. The Company amortizes identifiable intangible assets over their useful life unless that life is determined to be indefinite. The useful life of an intangible asset that is being amortized is evaluated each reporting period as to whether events and circumstances warrant a revision to the remaining period of amortization. Goodwill is not amortized and is tested for impairment on an annual basis. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined by allocating fair value to all assets and liabilities acquired; the excess of the price paid over the amounts assigned to assets and liabilities acquired is the implied fair value of goodwill.

F-9

Allocation of Purchase Consideration for Equity Investments in Associated Companies

The excess of the consideration paid for equity investments in associated companies over our pro rata share of the investee's net assets is allocated to intangibles and goodwill similar to a purchase business combination. The Company obtains an independent third party valuation to ascertain the amount to allocate to identifiable intangible assets and the useful lives of those assets. The Company amortizes identifiable intangible assets over their useful life unless that life is determined to be indefinite. In each of the Riverbend and the IRCA transactions, the Company received an option, exercisable under certain conditions, to acquire the additional 49% of each of those companies. Using the Black Scholes option valuation model, a value was assigned to each of the intangible assets associated with those options. The useful life of an intangible asset that is being amortized is evaluated each reporting period as to whether events and circumstances warrant a revision to the remaining period of amortization. The value of the Equity Investments in Associated Companies is tested for impairment on an annual basis. At June 30, 2004, based on actual performance and forecasts for future performance, the value of the IRCA investment after application of current year losses and amortization of intangible assets, was written down to \$0 and impairment expense of \$884,963 was recorded in the statement of operations.

Software Development Costs

Software development costs related to software that the company licenses to customers are charged to expense as incurred until technological feasibility is attained. Technological feasibility is attained when the Company's software has completed system testing and has been determined viable for its intended use. The time between the attainment of technological feasibility and completion of software development has been short with immaterial amounts of development costs incurred during this period. Accordingly, software costs have not been capitalized other than product development costs acquired through technology business combinations and technology purchases.

Earnings (Loss) per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) available for common stockholders by the weighted average number of common

shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per common share ("DEPS") is computed giving effect to all potential dilutive shares including shares held in escrow, common stock issuable upon the conversion of notes payable or the exercise of stock options and warrants. DEPS is computed by dividing net income (loss) available for common stockholders by the weighted-average common shares and dilutive potential common shares that were outstanding during the period. Shares from release of escrow shares, the conversion of notes payable or the exercise of options and warrants for common shares were not included in the computation of DEPS, because their inclusion would have been antidilutive for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004 and the nine month transition period ended June 30, 2003.

If the Company were to include all potential shares in the calculation, the following items would be included:

- Stock options to purchase 5,570,000 shares of common stock at prices ranging from \$0.05 to \$0.50 per share were outstanding at June 30, 2004; 2,447,000 options were outstanding at June 30, 2003 at purchase prices varying from \$0.05 to \$0.50 per share.
- o Warrants to purchase 20,584,950 shares of common stock at prices ranging from \$0.05 to \$2.00 per share were outstanding at June 30, 2004; 750,000 at prices ranging from \$1.00 to \$2.00 per share were outstanding at June 30, 2003.
- o At June 30, 2004 and 2003, we held 662,500 and 1,000,000 shares in escrow, respectively.
- o At June 30, 2004, we had the following convertible notes outstanding:
 (i) a convertible non-interest-bearing promissory note in the amount of \$20,000 was convertible into 2,000,000 shares of our common stock for our investment in Ayrshire, (ii) a convertible non-interest-bearing promissory note in the amount of \$20,000 was convertible into 2,500,000 shares of our common stock for our investment in Danlas / IRCA, (iii) a convertible promissory note in the amount of \$500,000 convertible into 1,000,000 shares of our common stock for our investment in VILPAS and (iv) a convertible promissory totaling \$50,000 convertible into an indeterminable amount of shares of our common stock.
- O At June 30, 2003, the following convertible notes were outstanding:

 (i) \$925,000 convertible notes payable convertible into an indeterminable number of shares of our common stock and (ii) \$1,000,000 convertible notes payable convertible into 500,000 shares of our common stock.

F - 10

Basic and diluted net loss per common share for the fiscal periods ended June 30, 2004 and 2003 were calculated as follows:

		Transition Period October 1, 2002
	For the Year Ended	to
	June 30, 2004	June 30, 2003
Numerator-Basic / Diluted		
Net loss available for common stockholders	\$ (11,462,063)	\$ (2,071,984)
	==========	=========
Denominator-Basic / Diluted Weighted-average common stock outstanding	22,827,313	8,050,147

	'	(• • • • /		(• • = • /
Basic / Diluted loss per share	Ś	(0.50)	Ś	(0.26)
	=====	=======	=====	

Stock-Based Compensation

In January 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 148 ("SFAS 148"), "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure." SFAS 148 amends FASB Statement 123 ("SFAS 123"), "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, SFAS 148 amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS 123 to require more prominent disclosure in both annual and interim financial statements of the method of accounting for employee stock option grants and the effect of the method used on reported results.

The Company has adopted the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards Number 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" (SFAS 123). In accordance with SFAS 123, option expense of \$526,491 and \$76,774 was recognized for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004 and nine month transition period ended June 30, 2003, respectively. The expense was calculated using the Black Scholes valuation model with the following assumptions:

	June 30, 2004	June 30, 2003
Five-Year Risk Free Interest Rate	3.13%	3.01%
Dividend Yield	Nil	Nil
Volatility	70%	0%
Average Expected Term (Years to Exercise)	5	5

Goodwill and Other Intangibles Resulting from Business Acquisitions

The Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 142 ("SFAS 142"), "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," at the beginning of fiscal 2003. As required, the Company identified its reporting units and the amounts of other intangible assets, and other assets and liabilities allocated to those reporting units. This Statement addresses the accounting and reporting of goodwill and other intangible assets subsequent to their acquisition. SFAS No.142 provides that (i) goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets will no longer be amortized, (ii) impairment will be measured using various valuation techniques based on discounted cash flows, (iii) goodwill will be tested for impairment at least annually at the reporting unit level, (iv) intangible assets deemed to have an indefinite life will be tested for impairment at least annually, and (v) intangible assets with finite lives will be amortized over their useful lives. The Company does not have any intangible assets with indefinite lives.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In June 2002, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 146 ("SFAS 146"), "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities." SFAS 146 replaces Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (Including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)" in its entirety and addresses significant issues relating to recognition, measurement and reporting costs associated with an exit or disposal activity, including restructuring activities. Under EITF Issue No. 94-3, a liability is recognized, measured and reported as of the date of an entity's commitment to an exit plan. Pursuant to SFAS 146, a liability is recorded on the date on which the

F-11

obligation is incurred and should be initially measured at fair value. SFAS 146 is effective for exit or disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002. The Company adopted SFAS 146 on July 1, 2003. The adoption had no significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

EITF Consensus Issue No.00-21 ("EITF 00-21"), "Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables" was first discussed at the July 2000 EITF meeting and was issued in February 2002. Certain revisions to the scope of the language were made and finalized in May 2003. EITF 00-21 addresses the accounting for multiple element revenue arrangements, which involve more than one deliverable or unit of accounting in circumstances, where the delivery of those units takes place in different accounting periods. EITF 00-21 requires disclosures of the accounting policy for revenue recognition of multiple element revenue arrangements and the nature and description of such arrangements. The accounting and reporting requirements are effective for revenue arrangements entered into in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2003. The Company has adopted EITF 00-21. The adoption had no significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 45 ("FIN 45"), Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others. FIN 45 requires certain guarantees to be measured at fair value upon issuance and recorded as a liability. In addition, FIN 45 expands current disclosure requirements regarding guarantees issued by entity, including tabular presentation of the changes affecting an entity's aggregate product warranty liability. Certain provisions of FIN 45 were effective December 15, 2002; others were effective December 31, 2002. The adoption of FIN 45 had no impact on Trinity Learning's consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In January 2003, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 46, ("FIN 46"), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities ("VIE"). FIN 46 requires that if a company holds a controlling interest in a VIE, the assets, liabilities and results of the VIE's activities should be consolidated in the entity's financial statements. In December 2003, the FASB revised FIN 46 which, among other revisions, resulted in the deferral of the effective date of applying the provisions of FIN 46 to the first interim or annual period ending after December 15, 2004 for qualifying VIE's. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that implementation of FIN 46 will have on the Company's consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In May 2003, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 150 ("SFAS 150"), "Accounting for Certain Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity." SFAS 150 establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. It requires that an issuer classify a financial instrument that is within its scope as a liability (or an asset in some circumstances). SFAS 150 is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. As permitted, the Company adopted SFAS 150 on September 1, 2003 and adoption of SFAS 150 did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

On December 17, 2003, the Staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC or the Staff) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104 ("SAB 104"), "Revenue Recognition," which supersedes SAB 101, "Revenue Recognition" in Financial Statements. SAB 104's primary purpose is to rescind accounting guidance contained in SAB 101 related to multiple element revenue arrangements,

superseded as a result of the issuance of EITF 00-21, "Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables." Additionally, SAB 104 rescinds the SEC's Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements Frequently Asked Questions and Answers (the "FAQ") issued with SAB 101 that had been codified in SEC Topic 13, Revenue Recognition. Selected portions of the FAQ have been incorporated into SAB 104. While the wording of SAB 104 has changed to reflect the issuance of EITF 00-21, the revenue recognition principles of SAB 101 remain largely unchanged by the issuance of SAB 104. SAB 104 applies to our service related contracts. We do not have material multiple element arrangements and thus SAB 104 does not impact our financial statements nor is adoption of SAB 104 considered a change in accounting principle.

On April 9, 2004, FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 129-1, "Disclosure of Information about Capital Structure, Relating to Contingently Convertible Securities" ("FSP 129-1"). FSP 129-1 clarifies that the disclosure requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 129, "Disclosure of Information about Capital Structure" applies to all contingently convertible securities and to their potentially dilutive effects on earnings per share ("EPS"), including those for which the criteria for conversion have not been satisfied, and thus are not included in the computation of diluted EPS. The guidance in FSP 129-1 is effective immediately and applies to all

F-12

existing and newly created securities. Our required FSP 129-1 disclosures are included above under "Income Per Common Share." Our contingently redeemable equity is convertible to shares of our common stock; however, the conversion would be anti-dilutive.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2003 consolidated financial statements and notes to conform to the 2004 presentation with no effect on consolidated net loss, or accumulated deficit.

NOTE 2 - ACQUISITIONS AND DIVESTITURES

We commenced a strategy in 2002 to acquire operating companies in strategic markets that have developed proprietary technology-enabled learning, training and certification services targeted at major customers in worldwide industries. Our mission is to become a leading global learning solution corporation through acquisition, business development and strategic relationships.

On September 1, 2003, we completed the acquisition of all of the issued and outstanding shares of TouchVision, a California corporation that is in the business of providing technology-enabled information and learning systems to healthcare providers, financial services companies and other industry segments. In consideration for the TouchVision shares, we issued an aggregate of 1,250,000 restricted shares of our common stock, of which 312,500 shares are subject to the terms of an escrow agreement as collateral for the indemnification obligations of the former TouchVision shareholders. The determination of purchase price was based on, among other things, annual revenue for the two preceding years relative to comparable market based values for publicly traded companies. We also agreed to loan to TouchVision the sum of \$20,000 per month for the twelve-month period following closing, to be used for working capital. As of June 30, 2004, we had loaned TouchVision a total of \$200,000 pursuant to this agreement. This loan has been eliminated in consolidation at June 30, 2004. We had previously loaned TouchVision the sum of \$50,000 in June and July, 2003 by way of bridge financing pending completion of the acquisition. This loan has also been eliminated in consolidation at June 30, 2004.

The following table summarizes the TouchVision assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the closing date in connection with \$625,000 common stock issued and acquisition related costs of \$80,602:

Cash acquired		\$ 102,357
Tangible assets acquired		269,213
Intangible assets acquired		350,281
Goodwill		910,000
	Total assets acquired	1,631,851
Liabilities assumed		926,249
	Net assets acquired	\$ 705,602

The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. Intangible assets will be amortized over varying periods, as indicated by independent valuations, using the straight-line method. Allocation of the excess of merger consideration over the net book value of assets acquired between goodwill and intangible assets was determined by an independent, third-party professional valuation firm. As the merger consideration was paid entirely in shares of the Company's common stock, the goodwill acquired may not be amortized for federal income tax purposes. The goodwill arising from the acquisition is allocated to the United States geographic segment.

On September 1, 2003, we completed the acquisition of all of the issued and outstanding shares of RMT, an Australian company that is in the business of providing workplace training programs for various segments of the food production industry, including viticulture and horticulture. In consideration for the shares of RMT we issued 700,000 restricted shares of our common stock, of which 350,000 shares are subject to the terms of an escrow agreement as collateral for the indemnification obligations of the former RMT shareholders. The determination of purchase price was based on, among other things, annual revenue for the two preceding years relative to comparable market based values for publicly traded companies.

The following table summarizes the RMT assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the closing date in connection with \$350,000 common stock issued and acquisition related costs of \$26,517:

F-13

Cash acquired		\$	37 , 979
Tangible assets acquired			78 , 673
Intangible assets acquired			18,000
Goodwill			376,517
	Total assets acquired		511,169
Liabilities assumed			145,744
	Net assets acquired	\$	365,425
		===	

The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. Intangible assets will be amortized over varying periods, as indicated by independent valuations, using the straight-line method. Allocation of the excess of merger consideration over the net book value of assets acquired between goodwill and intangible assets was determined by an independent, third-party professional valuation firm. As the merger consideration was paid entirely in

shares of the Company's common stock, the goodwill acquired may not be amortized for federal income tax purposes. The goodwill arising from the acquisition is allocated to the Australian geographic segment.

On March 1, 2004, we completed the acquisition of all the issued and outstanding shares of VILPAS (f/k/a Virtual Learning Partners AS). In consideration for the VILPAS shares we issued a convertible non-interest-bearing promissory note in the principal amount of \$500,000, which note is convertible from time to time but no later than August 5, 2005 into a maximum of 1,000,000 shares of our common stock. The value of shares issuable upon conversion (based upon a \$0.80per share value) in excess of the note amount has been classified as contingently redeemable equity. Of these shares, up to 20% may be withheld in satisfaction for any breach of warranties by the former shareholders of VILPAS. The determination of purchase price was based on, among other things, annual revenue for the two preceding years relative to comparable market based values for publicly traded companies. The VILPAS shares are subject to escrow and pledge agreements and will be reconveyed to the former shareholders in the event of a default by us of certain terms and conditions of the acquisition agreements, including, among other things, a voluntary or involuntary bankruptcy proceeding involving us or the failure by us to list our shares of common stock on a major stock exchange by February 5, 2005, subject to a six-month extension in the event a listing application is in process on such date.

The following table summarizes the VILPAS assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the closing date in connection with the \$500,000 convertible note payable issued, the \$300,000 recorded as conditionally redeemable equity in our balance sheet and acquisition related costs of \$52,869:

Cash acquired Tangible assets acquired Intangible assets acquired Goodwill		\$:	1,052,270 339,986 210,177 563,009
Liabilities assumed Minority interest	Total assets acquired		2,165,442 1,017,937 294,636
	Net assets acquired	\$	852 , 869

The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. Intangible assets will be amortized over varying periods, as indicated by an independent valuation, using the straight-line method. Allocation of the excess of merger consideration over the net book value of assets acquired between goodwill and intangible assets was determined by an independent, third-party professional valuation firm. As the merger consideration was paid entirely with a promissory note with no payment terms and convertible into shares of the Company's common stock, the goodwill acquired may not be amortized for federal income tax purposes. The goodwill arising from the acquisition is allocated to the European geographic segment.

On September 1, 2003, we completed the acquisition of 51% of the issued and outstanding shares of Ayrshire that owns 95% of Riverbend, a South African company that provides learning services to corporations and individuals in South Africa. We also acquired the option to purchase the remaining 49% of Ayrshire. In consideration for the Ayrshire shares, we issued a convertible non-interest-bearing promissory note in the amount of \$20,000, which amount is convertible from time to time but no later than December 30, 2006 into a maximum of 2,000,000 shares of our common stock. The value of shares issuable upon conversion (based upon a \$0.50 per share value) in excess of

F - 14

the note amount has been classified as contingently redeemable equity. Of these shares, up to 400,000 may be withheld in satisfaction for any breach of warranties by the former shareholders of Ayrshire. The determination of purchase price was based on, among other things, annual revenue for the two preceding years relative to comparable market based values for publicly traded companies. The Ayrshire shares are subject to escrow, and pledge agreements will be reconveyed to the former shareholders in the event of a default by us of certain terms and conditions of the acquisition agreements, including, among other things, a voluntary or involuntary bankruptcy proceeding involving us or the failure by us to list our shares of common stock on a major stock exchange by December 30, 2006. The results of operations for Ayrshire, using the equity method, have been included in the Company's financial statements since the date of acquisition. As of June 30, 2004, no shares had been issued in exchange for the convertible promissory note.

In connection with this acquisition, we agreed to make a non-interest-bearing loan of \$1,000,000 to Ayrshire, \$300,000 of which was advanced at closing of the acquisition. The remaining \$700,000 was advanced on November 3, 2003. The loan to Ayrshire has been accounted for as a note receivable. We may exercise an option to acquire the remaining 49% of Ayrshire in consideration for the issuance of 1,500,000 shares of our common stock, subject to certain adjustments. The Company has allocated \$325,000 of the consideration paid to this intangible asset.

On December 1, 2003, we completed the acquisition of all the issued and outstanding shares of Danlas, a British Virgin Islands Company that owns 51% of IRCA (Proprietary) Limited ("IRCA"), a South African company specializing in corporate learning, certification and risk mitigation in the area of safety, health environment and quality assurance ("SHEQ"). IRCA operates in South Africa, England and the United States through various operating subsidiaries. Danlas also holds options to acquire the remaining 49% of IRCA. In consideration for the Danlas shares, the Company issued a convertible promissory note in the aggregate principal amount of \$20,000 convertible under certain conditions into a maximum of 2,500,000 shares of the Company's common stock, (ii) agreed to advance \$500,000 in cash to Danlas to establish an international sales force, (iii) provided \$500,000 as collateral for an operating line of credit and, (iv) provided certain future profit thresholds are met, agreed to issue up to an additional 1,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The value of shares issuable upon conversion (based upon a \$0.50 per share value) in excess of the note amount has been classified as contingently redeemable equity. The determination of purchase price was based on, among other things, annual revenue for the two preceding years relative to comparable market based values for publicly traded companies. The results of operations for IRCA, using the equity method, have been included in the Company's financial statements since the date of acquisition. The \$500,000 deposited as collateral in support of a bank line of credit is classified as restricted cash in the Company's balance sheet. In consideration of the operating results for the year and management's estimate of future cash flows, the Company wrote down its remaining investment in IRCA of approximately \$884,963 to \$0. We wrote down our investment in IRCA as a result of current year operating performance and anticipated operating losses in IRCA for the foreseeable future. These losses are, in part, a result of the weakening of the US dollar in relation to the South African Rand and the resulting downturn in mining operations in South Africa.

As part of the Danlas transaction, we issued two convertible notes of \$10,000 each, with which to purchase the remaining 49% of IRCA. However, the notes are only effective should Danlas be able to exercise two options for the remaining 49% of IRCA. The options are exercisable for the period December 1, 2003 to December 31, 2005 commencing the day upon which the average closing price per

share of the Company's common stock for a period of ten days equals or exceeds \$2.00. The purchase consideration for the remaining 49% is 2,000,000 shares of our common stock. The Company has allocated \$75,000 of the consideration paid to this option.

Purchased Intangible Assets

Current and core

Changes in the net carrying amount of goodwill for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004 and nine month transition period ended June 30, 2003 are as follows:

Balance as of October 1, 2002	\$	-
Goodwill acquired during the period		_
Balance as of June 30, 2003		_
Goodwill acquired during the period		1,849,526
Goodwill divested during the period		-
Balance as of June 30, 2004	\$	1,849,526
	===	

F-15

SFAS 142 requires goodwill and other intangible assets to be tested for impairment at least annually. Accordingly, we have completed our annual review of the recoverability of goodwill as of June 30, 2004, which indicated that no impairment of goodwill had been experienced. We believe the following method we use in testing impairment of goodwill provides us with a reasonable basis in determining whether an impairment charge should be taken.

We regularly evaluate whether events and circumstances have occurred which indicate a possible impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets. In evaluating whether there is an impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets, we evaluate the performance of each subsidiary relative to its performance in prior periods, its budget and its upcoming three year forecast. We also evaluate the revenue achieved per share of our common stock issued as part of the purchase consideration in relation to market capitalization of publicly traded training companies for current and prior periods. Based on our review of the goodwill and other intangible assets, we concluded that we did not have any impairment of goodwill at June 30, 2004.

The values assigned to other intangible assets are considered appropriate based on independent valuations. The other intangible assets are being amortized over varying periods, as indicated by independent valuations, using the straight-line method. The following table sets forth the Company's acquired other intangible assets at June 30, 2004 and June 30, 2003, which will continue to be amortized:

			20	004						
	 Gross Carrying Amount	Weighted Average Life in Months			cumulated	(Net Carrying Amount	Cá	Gross arrying Amount	Weig Aver Life Mont
Tradenames and trademarks	\$ 156,841			\$	27,521	\$	129,320	\$	-	
Backlog	40,600	,	36		4,511		36 , 089			

	===		=========	==		==	========		=====
Total	\$	578 , 458	38	\$	143,500	\$	434,958	1,118,312	
Other intangibles		53,600	13		41,926		11,674	1,118,312	
relationships		175,100	55		28,515		146,585	_	
Customer									
technology		152 , 317	9		41,027		111,290	_	

Five Year Amortization schedule:

Fiscal Year	Amount
2005	\$ 136,073
2006	126,475
2007	83,547
2008	60,302
2009	28,561
Thereafter	_
Total	\$ 434,958
	===========

Divestitures

In December 2003, we sold our interest in CBL Global Corporation and its Australian subsidiaries (collectively "CBL") to Messrs. Scammell and Kennedy, the former owners of CBL. In conjunction with the management buyout, we entered into a Settlement Agreement with respect to our litigation with CBL. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, we conveyed all of our interest in CBL back to the former owners in exchange for surrender and cancellation of 3,000,000 shares of Company stock issued to them in connection with acquisition of CBL and the cancellation of \$1,000,000 in convertible notes payable to them. Also as a result of the divestiture, \$222,151 owed by CBL to Messrs. Kennedy and Scammell is no longer an obligation of the Company. Through CBL's strategic alliance with IRCA, Trinity will continue to market CBL-related workplace learning content and products in Africa.

As a result of the divestiture, the results of operations for CBL through the date of divestiture, December 21, 2003, of \$368,036 have been included in the results of operation presented with this report. The accumulated deficit of \$1,314,277 resulting from the accumulated operating loss for CBL between October 2002 and December 2003, as well as comprehensive income of \$20,073 for the same period, are included with our consolidated accumulated deficit and accumulated other comprehensive income at June 30, 2004. The net fair value of the assets and liabilities

F-16

divested, net of a \$1,000,000 convertible note payable which was cancelled, the intercompany receivable from CBL and the cancellation of 3,000,000 shares of shares of our common stock, was recorded as a \$461,063 net credit to our common stock. No gain or loss was recognized in the Consolidated Statement of Operations as a result of the divestiture.

Pro Forma Results (Unaudited)

The operating results of CBL, TouchVision, and RMT have been included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition forward and, for CBL, up to the date of divestiture. Accordingly, CBL business'

results of operations were included from October 1, 2002 to December 22, 2003. The business results of operations of RMT and TouchVision are included for the period September 1, 2003 through June 30, 2004. The business results for VILPAS are included for the period March 1, 2004 through June 30, 2004.

The following unaudited pro forma financial information presents the combined results of operations of the Company and TouchVision, RMT, and VILPAS assuming the acquisitions occurred October 1, 2002. In December 2003, we completed the sale of our interest in CBL to the former owners of CBL. Accordingly, CBL's business operating results are not included in the Company's combined unaudited pro forma financial information for the twelve and nine month periods ended June 30, 2004, and 2003. The unaudited pro forma financial information is not intended to represent or be indicative of the consolidated results of the operations of the Company that would have been reported had these acquisitions been completed as of the dates presented, nor should it be taken as a representation of the future consolidated results of operations of the Company.

(Unaudited)

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2004	Transition Period Ended June 30, 2003		
\$ 3,115,500	\$ 2,550,448		
\$ 2,363,177	\$ 2,010,843		
\$ (5,203,706)			
\$ (12,924,746)	\$ (1,239,493)		
	\$ (0.54)		
	\$ 3,115,500 ========== \$ 2,363,177 ======== \$ (5,203,706) ========= \$ (12,924,746)		

NOTE 3 - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Scheduled below are the assets, cost, and accumulated depreciation at June 30, 2004 and June 30, 2003, respectively, and depreciation expense for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004 and the nine month transition period ended June 30, 2003, respectively.

	Assets	s Cost	Depreciation	on Expense	Accumulated Depreciation			
	6/30/2004	6/30/2003	6/30/2004	6/30/2003	6/30/2004	6/30/2003		
Furniture & Equipment	\$ 53,733	\$ 53,385	\$ 24,029	\$ 7 , 750	\$ 16 , 573	\$ 7,824		

NOTE 4 - EQUITY INVESTMENTS IN AND ADVANCES TO ASSOCIATED COMPANIES

At June 30, 2004, the principal components of Equity Investments in and Advances to Associated Companies were the following:

Ayrshire	IRCA	Total

	========	========	========
Balance June 30, 2004	\$ 1,842,935	\$ 80,000	\$ 1,922,935
Equity losses of unconsolidated subsidiaries	(536,936)	(1,293,086)	(1,830,022)
Impairment in equity investment	_	(884,963)	(884,963)
Cash Advances	1,000,000	80,000	1,080,000
Equity investment	\$ 1,379,871	\$ 2,178,049	\$ 3,557,920

F-17

The financial positions of Ayrshire / Riverbend and IRCA at June 30, 2004 were:

	Ayrshire	IRCA
Income statement information:		
Revenue	\$ 1,514,749 ========	\$ 4,880,349 ========
Operating loss	\$ (243,622) =========	\$ (738,981) ========
Net Loss	\$ (475,264) ========	\$ (1,201,097) ========
Financial position information:		
Current assets	\$ 1,046,148 =======	\$ 1,751,147 =======
Noncurrent assets	\$ 108,287 =======	\$ 1,650,473 =======
Current Liabilities	\$ 418,078 ========	\$ 1,755,416 =======
Long-term liabilities	\$ 1,433,998 ======	\$ 2,274,962 =======

In 2004, equity in losses of associated companies was \$1,622,023. The Company also recognized an \$884,963 impairment charge, as its annual evaluation of its equity investments indicated that the IRCA investment had no value at June 30, 2004. This loss in value is due to IRCA's continued operating losses, and future economic uncertainties in the markets IRCA serves.

The consideration paid for our investment in Ayrshire was \$1,379,871. This amount comprises legal and financial advisory fees of \$379,871 plus 2,000,000 shares of our common stock valued at \$0.50 per share. The net asset value of Ayrshire at acquisition date was \$1,806,886 and our pro rata share of their net assets was \$875,463. Equity Investments in Associated Companies are periodically reviewed for impairment. The difference between our investment and our pro rata share of Ayrshire's net assets has been allocated to goodwill and to intangible assets. Equity Investments in Associated Companies are periodically reviewed for impairment. When such impairment is identified, it is recorded as a loss in that period. As of June 30, 2004, no such impairment was incurred.

The consideration paid for our investment in IRCA was \$2,178,049. This amount comprises legal, financial advisory and consultancy fees of \$928,049, including the payment to Mr. Steynberg of \$607,165, plus 2,500,000 shares of our common stock valued at \$0.50 per share. The net asset value of IRCA at acquisition date was \$2,704,870 and our pro rata share of their net assets was \$1,379,484. The difference between our investment and our pro rata share of IRCA's net assets has been allocated to goodwill and to intangible assets. Equity Investments in Associated Companies are periodically reviewed for impairment. When such impairment is identified, it is recorded as a loss in that period. As of June 30, 2004, we recognized an impairment loss for IRCA of \$884,963. We wrote down

our investment in IRCA to \$0 as a result of current year operating performance and anticipated operating losses in IRCA for the foreseeable future. These losses are, in part, a result of the weakening of the US dollar in relation to the South African Rand and the resulting down turn in mining operations in South Africa. In future periods, we will continue to absorb losses up to the amount of the \$500,000 we have deposited as collateral in support of IRCA's operating line of credit. We believe that the advance of \$80,000 is collectible from IRCA Australia.

In connection with our September 1, 2003 purchase of 51% of Ayrshire, we agreed to make a non-interest-bearing loan of \$1,000,000 to Ayrshire, \$300,000 of which was advanced at closing of the acquisition. The remaining \$700,000 was advanced on November 3, 2003. The note is due December 30, 2006 provided that, if by December 2005 an option to purchase the additional 49% of Ayrshire has not been exercised, the loan shall be repayable in five equal annual installments, the first installment being payable on December 31, 2007 and the remaining installments payable in yearly intervals thereafter. As further consideration for our December 1, 2003 purchase of 51% of IRCA, we agreed to make a non-interest-bearing loan of \$80,000 to IRCA Australia, which was advanced during fiscal 2004.

The other amortizable intangible assets are being amortized over varying periods, as indicated by independent valuations, using the straight-line method. The values assigned to these intangible assets are considered appropriate based on independent valuations. The technology-based intangible assets are being amortized over varying periods ranging from three to five years, as indicated by independent valuations, using the straight-line method. The following table sets forth the Company's acquired intangible assets in equity investments at June 30, 2004 which will continue to be amortized:

F-18

2004

		2004					
		Gross Carrying Amount	Weighted Average Life in Months		cumulated ortization	Net	Carrying Amount
Backlog	\$	123,142	55	\$	22,922	\$	100,220
Current and core							
technology Distributor		28,101	36		7 , 752		20,349
relationships		122,579	60		20,349		102,230
Maintenance Contracts		67,345	60		11,144		56,201
In-Process R&D		20,833	0		20,833		-
Option value		325,000	indefinite		_		325,000
Total	\$	687,000		\$	83,000	\$	604,000
	==:			===		===	

Five Year Amortization schedule:

Fiscal Year	Amount			
2005	\$ 75,095			
2006	75 , 095			
2007	63,828			
2008	55,346			

Total	\$ 279,000
Thereafter	-
2009	9,636

NOTE 5 - COMMITMENTS

Total rental expense included in operations for operating leases for the fiscal year and nine month transition period ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, amounted to \$161,758 and \$44,524, respectively. The operating leases are for office space used by the Company for its operations. Certain lease rentals contain renewal options, and provide for payment of property taxes and operating expenses. These operating lease agreements expire at varying dates through 2008.

Total minimum lease commitments as of June 30, 2004:

Calendar Year	Amount
2004	\$ 224,307
2005	371,943
2006	333,591
2007	322,169
2008	134,237
Thereafter	-
Total	\$ 1,386,247

As part of the Company's contractual arrangement with IRCA, it agreed to provide \$500,000 on deposit with Standard Bank and restricted for use as collateral for an operating line of credit at IRCA. Should IRCA default on its line of credit with Standard Bank, these funds may be seized by Standard Bank.

NOTE 6 - LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On September 12, 2003, we filed a Complaint (Case No. 2:03CV00798DAK), in the United States District Court for the District of Utah, Central Division, against CBL Global (f/k/a CBL Acquisition Corporation), and Robert Stephen

F-19

Scammell, the sole shareholder of CBL-California; alleging, among other things, that Scammell and CBL-California provided us with misstated financial statements prior to our merger in October 2002 with CBL-California and CBL Global. On September 18, 2003, we filed a First Amended Complaint and Jury Demand, which added as defendants CBL Global and Brian Kennedy, the sole shareholder of CBL-Australia. The First Amended Complaint alleged causes of action for violations of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, for violations of Section 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, for declaratory relief and breach of contract, for common law fraud, and for negligent misrepresentation.

The First Amended Complaint alleged, among other things, that the defendants were advised by CBL-California's accountant on September 18, 2002 that CBL-California's financial statements were misstated, and alleged that new restated financial statements were issued on September 19, 2002. The First Amended Complaint alleged, however, that the restated financial statements were not provided to us prior to the October 1, 2002 closing of the merger.

In December, 2003, pursuant to a settlement agreement we reconveyed our interests in CBL Global and its Australian subsidiaries (collectively "CBL") to Messrs. Scammell and Kennedy, the former owners of CBL. Pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreement each party released any and all claims against each other, we conveyed all of our interest in CBL back to the former owners in exchange for surrender and cancellation of 3,000,000 shares of Company stock issued to them in connection with acquisition of CBL and the cancellation of \$1,000,000 in convertible notes payable to them. Also, as a result of the divestiture, \$222,151 owed by CBL Global to Messrs. Kennedy and Scammell is no longer an obligation of the Company. Through CBL's strategic alliance with IRCA, Trinity will continue to market CBL-related workplace learning content and products in Africa.

NOTE 7 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Our corporate reorganization during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 was effected primarily by two of our officers and directors, Messrs. Douglas Cole and Edward Mooney. During that fiscal year and the transition period subsequent thereto, we entered into several transactions with these individuals and with entities controlled by them, as well as entities controlled by Theodore Swindells, a significant stockholder of our company.

As of August 8, 2002, we formalized a Debt Conversion Agreement with Global Marketing Associates, Inc. ("GMA"), holder of a convertible promissory note (the "GMA Note") in the principal amount of \$166,963, pursuant to which the principal amount of the note, along with accrued interest thereon, was made convertible, under certain conditions, into 3,200,000 shares of our common stock. The GMA Note was originally issued in November 2000 to the attorneys of our predecessor company and was subsequently acquired by Pacific Management Services, Inc., who assigned the note to GMA. GMA subsequently assigned the right to acquire 2,600,000 of the 3,200,000 shares of common stock to several persons, including Messrs. Cole, Mooney, and Swindells and European American Securities, Inc. ("EAS"), a private entity of which Mr. Swindells is a principal. Pursuant to the assignment, Messrs. Cole and Mooney each acquired the right to acquire 600,000 shares of the common stock, EAS acquired the right to acquire 400,000 shares, and Mr. Swindells acquired the right to acquire 1,000,000 shares. As of January 2003, all 3,200,000 shares of our common stock had been issued pursuant to the terms of the GMA Note. Fifty percent of such shares are subject to a two-year lock-up provision that restricts transfer of such shares without prior written consent of our board of directors.

As of July 15, 2002, we entered into a two-year Advisory Agreement with Granite Creek Partners, LLC ("GCP"), formerly King's Peak Advisors, LLC. The Agreement is automatically renewable for an additional 12-month period. Under the terms of the Advisory Agreement, GCP agreed to provide us with general corporate, financial, business development and investment advisory services on a non-exclusive basis. These services include assisting with the identification of placement agents, underwriters, lenders and other sources of financing, as well as additional qualified independent directors and members of management. GCP is a private company whose principals are Douglas Cole and Edward Mooney, who are officers and directors of our company, and Theodore Swindells. At our August 19, 2003 meeting, our board of directors voted to suspend the Advisory Agreement from August 15, 2003 until January 2004, and this agreement remains suspended. Through December 31, 2003, GCP had earned a total of \$315,000 under the Advisory Agreement, \$110,000 of which was converted into 4,400,000 shares of our common stock in March 2003. The remaining balance of \$205,000 was paid in full to GCP as of June 30, 2004.

As of July 31, 2002, we entered into an Advisory Agreement with EAS, a private entity of which Mr. Swindells is a principal, pursuant to which EAS agreed to provide financial advisory and investment banking services to us in

F - 20

connection with various equity and/or debt transactions. In exchange for such services, we agreed to pay EAS a retainer fee of \$5,000 per month and a commission ranging from 5% to 7% based on the type of transaction consummated, such fees being payable, at EAS' option, in cash or our common stock. On October 2, 2003, we renewed the agreement with EAS on terms similar to those contained in the first agreement. On January 1, 2004, we amended the October 2003 agreement in connection with our January 2004 senior convertible bridge note offering, which closed on May 28, 2004, for which we paid EAS a fee of 10%. Through June 30, 2004, EAS had earned a total of \$1,065,104 pursuant to our arrangement with them, of which \$345,450 was earned in connection with private equity and/or debt transactions and \$719,654 was earned for advisory services in connection with certain acquisitions. In January 2004, 250,000 shares of our common stock with a fair market value of \$375,000, was paid to EAS in the Company's common stock. As of June 30, 2004, the balance owed to EAS was \$66,653. On May 27, 2004, European American Perinvest Group, a subsidiary of EAS, invested \$100,000 in our 2004 senior convertible bridge note offering. On May 28, 2004, this investment was converted to 166,699 restricted shares of our common stock.

During the period August 2001 to June 30, 2002, Mr. Swindells advanced a total of \$925,000 to us by way of short-term non-interest bearing convertible working capital loans. We repaid \$500,000 of the total amount owing in September 2003 and issued an aggregate of 850,000 shares of our common stock to Mr. Swindells in November 2003 in payment of the remaining balance of \$425,000. During the period June 2004 to October 2004, Mr. Swindells advanced us \$155,000. On August 10, 2004 we repaid \$50,000 of this amount and on November 2, 2004 we paid the remaining balance of \$105,000. On October 14, 2004, Mr. Swindells exercised warrants to purchase 300,000 shares of our common stock at \$0.05 per share.

In October 2002, we issued convertible promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$500,000 (the "Bridge Financing Notes") to certain individuals and entities, and in connection with the issuance of the Bridge Financing Notes, issued warrants to the holders of the notes to purchase additional shares of common stock. Of the total principal amount of the Bridge Financing Notes, \$55,000 was advanced by GCP and \$120,000 by Mr. Swindells. On May 19, 2003, the aggregate principal amount of the Bridge Financing Notes and accrued interest thereon of \$34,745 was converted into 1,336,867 shares of common stock at a price of \$0.40 per share. The warrants issued in connection with the Bridge Financing Notes are exercisable for a period of one year at a price of \$0.05 per share, and contain a net issuance provision whereby the holders may elect a cashless exercise of such warrants based on the fair market value of the common stock at the time of conversion. On March 26, 2004, GCP exercised its warrants in a cashless exercise for which it received a total of 126,042 shares of common stock.

Effective October 1, 2002, we issued an aggregate of 1,20,000 restricted shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.025 per share to our three directors, Messrs. Cole, Mooney and Jobe, in consideration for past services valued at \$30,000.

In connection with our acquisition of our interest in IRCA, we entered into an agreement with Titan Aviation Ltd. ("Titan"), a private company held in a trust of which Mr. Martin Steynberg and other business partners are the beneficiaries. Pursuant to this agreement, we paid Titan on May 14, 2004 the sterling equivalent of the sum of 4,000,000 South African Rand (or \$607,165) in consideration for various services rendered to IRCA. Mr. Steynberg, who is a stockholder in IRCA Investments (Proprietary) Limited, which owns 25.1% of IRCA, became a director of our company on January 1, 2004 pursuant to the terms of the

IRCA acquisition.

William Jobe, one of our directors, was paid a total of \$59,500 during the period December 2003 to May 2004 and in September 2004 he was paid an additional \$4,815 as compensation for merger and acquisition services associated with our acquisition of TouchVision.

From time to time, Jan-Olaf Willums, an officer of VILPAS, as well as companies of which he is a director, have advanced funds to VILPAS. The current balance of \$177,179, of which \$105,112 bears interest at 8% per annum and \$72,067 is non-interest bearing, has no fixed terms of repayment.

F-21

NOTE 8 - NOTES PAYABLE

As of June 30, 2004 and June 30, 2003, notes payable consisted of the following:

	Jun	e 30,	2004	June	30, 20
Notes payable to third parties:					
Notes payable to two credit unions; interest only payable monthly, principal due in full February 5, 2005, unsecured, interest at 12% per annum.	\$	250	,000	\$	
Third party creditors; unsecured, non-interest bearing and no fixed terms of repayment.		10	,810		
Third party individuals; due September 1, 2006, unsecured, interest at 10% per annum, interest only payable monthly, principal due in full at maturity.		73	, 560		
Bank note payable; due October 29, 2004, secured by Company vehicle, interest at 9.5% per annum, monthly payments of principal and interest.		12	,103		
Revolving bank lines of credit; unsecured, interest ranging from prime plus 2.625% to prime plus 6.75%, monthly payments of principal and interest.		133	, 128		
Revolving third party line of credit; unsecured, interest at prime plus 1.99%, monthly payments of principal and interest.		11	, 182		
Notes payable to related parties:					
Note payable to related party; due December 31, 2004, unsecured, interest at 6% per annum.		13	, 297		
Convertible note payable to a related party, unsecured, non-interest bearing, no fixed terms of repayment.		50	,000		925 , 00
Note payable to a related party; unsecured, interest at 8% per annum on \$105,112; non-interest bearing on \$72,067, no					
fixed terms of repayment.		177	, 179		

Notes payable to the former owners of CBL, unsecured, interest at 7% per annum, due September 1, 2003, cancelled pursuant to the settlement agreement discussed in Note 6.

222,15

Notes payable issued for acquisitions and equity investments:

Convertible notes payable to the former owners of CBL, secured by assets of the subsidiary, interest at 7% per annum, due September 1, 2004, cancelled pursuant to the settlement agreement discussed in Note 6.

1,000,00

Convertible note payable to a related party for VILPAS purchase; due August 5, 2005, unsecured, non-interest bearing.

500,000

Convertible note payable to a related party for IRCA purchase; due December 31, 2005, unsecured, non-interest bearing.

20,000

Convertible note payable to a related party for Riverbend purchase; due December 31, 2006, unsecured, non-interest bearing.

20,000

			T	otal	notes	payable
Less:	current	maturities				

1,271,259 2,147,15 (1,159,430) (2,147,15

Long-term notes payable \$

F-22

Maturity schedule for notes payable:

Fiscal Year	Amount
2005	\$ 1,159,430
2006	20,000
2007	91,829
2008	_
2009	_
Thereafter	_
Total	\$ 1,271,259
	===========

NOTE 9 - STOCK OPTION PLAN

On December 2, 2002, at a special meeting of our shareholders, the 2002 Stock Plan was approved. The Plan allowed for a maximum aggregate number of shares that may be optioned and sold under the plan of (a) 3,000,000 shares, plus (b) an annual 500,000 increase to be added on the last day of each fiscal year beginning in 2003 unless a lesser amount is determined by the board of directors. The plan became effective with its adoption and remains in effect for ten years unless terminated earlier. On December 30, 2003, the board of directors amended the 2002 Stock Plan to allow for a maximum aggregate number of shares that may be optioned and sold under the plan of (a) 6,000,000 shares, plus (b) an annual 1,000,000 increase to be added on the last day of each fiscal year beginning in 2004 unless a lesser amount is determined by the board of

directors. Options granted under the plan vest 25% on the day of the grant and the remaining 75% vests monthly over the next 36 months.

The following schedule summarizes the activity during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2004 and the nine month transition period ended June 30, 2003, respectively:

	20	2004			2003			
	Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Shares Price		Shares		Weighted- Average Exercise Price		
Outstanding at beginning of year Granted Exercised Canceled	2,447,000 4,245,000 (45,410) (1,076,590)	\$	0.23 0.50 0.05 0.29	2,447,000 - -	\$	- 0.23 -		
Outstanding at end of year	5,570,000	 \$	0.43	2,447,000	\$	0.23		
Exercisable at year-end	2,571,524 ======	\$ ====	0.39	963,625	\$	 0.22		

Stock options outstanding and exercisable under 2002 Stock Plan as of June 30, 2004 are as follows:

Range of Exercise Price	Number of Options Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price		Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Number of Options Vested (Exercisable)
\$0.05 \$0.25 \$0.50	500,000 800,000 4,270,000	\$	0.05 0.25 0.50	3.27 3.45 4.39	340,753 510,274 1,720,497
	5,570,000 =======	 \$ =====	0.43		2,571,524 =======

There are 1,884,590 options available for grant at June 30, 2004. The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted as of June 30, 2004 is \$0.43.

NOTE 10 - WARRANTS

Through June 30, 2004, the Company had issued warrants for purchase of its common stock to investors and service providers in connection with its financing transactions. The principal terms of the warrants are summarized below:

F-23

Description	Shares	pe:	r Share	Through
2002 Bridge Loan	425,000	\$	0.05	August 2004
October 2002 Equity Private Placement	500,000	\$	1.00	May 2006
October 2002 Equity Private Placement Bonus Warrants (1)	250,000	\$	2.00	n/a
May 2003 Equity Private Placement	2,438,000	\$	1.00	August 2006
May 2003 Equity Private Placement	7,708,600	\$	1.00	October 2006
May 2003 Bonus Warrants (1)	5,073,300	\$	2.00	n/a
Warrants Issued to Financial Advisors	200,050	\$	0.60	October 2006
Warrants Issued to Investment Bank	20,000	\$	0.50	July 2008
Warrants Issued to Mr. Swindells on note conversion	850 , 000	\$	1.00	November 2006
Bonus Warrants to Mr. Swindells (1)	425,000	\$	2.00	n/a
2004 Bridge Loan Warrant	2,695,000	\$	1.00	February and May 20
Total	20,584,950	·	1.23	

^{*} Value not assigned.

NOTE 11 - INCOME TAXES

The Company accounts for corporate income taxes in accordance with Statement of Accounting Standards Number 109 ("SFAS No. 109") "Accounting for Income Taxes." SFAS No. 109 requires an asset and liability approach for financial accounting and reporting for income tax purposes. This approach results in the recognition of deferred tax assets (future tax benefits) and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary timing differences between book and carrying amounts and the tax basis of assets and liabilities. Future tax benefits are subject to a valuation allowance to the extent of the likelihood that the deferred tax assets may not be realized.

The Company has no federal, state or foreign jurisdiction, current or deferred income tax expense for the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003.

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. The Company's total deferred tax assets, and deferred tax asset valuation allowances at June 30, 2004 and 2003 are as follows:

⁽¹⁾ Bonus warrants are issuable upon exercise of the original warrant.

	Jui	ne 30, 2004	June	e 30, 2003
Net operating loss carryforward				
Federal	\$	2,169,700	\$	686 , 900
State		479,500		161,500
Foreign		560,500		118,500
Reserve for deferred revenues				
Federal		12,600		
State		2,900		_
Accrued compensation costs				
Federal		46,000		18,360
State		10,800		4,300
		3,282,000		989,560
Less valuation allowance for deferred tax assets		(3,282,000)		(989 , 560)
Net Current Deferred Tax Assets	\$		\$	
	===		====	

The valuation allowance for deferred tax assets was increased by \$2,292,440 during the year ended June 30, 2004 and increased by \$635,000 during the year ended June 30, 2003.

At June 30, 2004, the Company has available net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$6,881,500 for federal income tax purposes that begin to expire in 2021. The federal carryforwards resulted from losses generated in 2001 through 2004. The Company also has net operating loss carryforwards available for state income tax purposes of \$5,454,100 that begin to expire in 2021. The Company also has approximately \$1,475,000 of foreign net operating loss carryforwards. These loss carryovers are limited per Section 382.

The reconciliation of income tax computed at statutory rates of income tax benefits is as follows:

	2004		2003
Expense at Federal statutory rate - 34%	\$ (2,611,436) \$	(467,900)
State tax effects, net of Federal tax benefits	(664,032)	(110, 100)
Nondeductible expenses	1,733,530		84,145
Foreign tax effects	(330,000)	(118,500)
Taxable temporary differences	184		_
Deductible temporary differences	(9,686)	(22,660)
Acquired net operating loss carryforward from su	bsidiary (411,000)	_
Deferred tax asset valuation allowance	2,292,440		635,015
Income t	ax provision \$ -	\$	_
	=========	===	

In connection with acquiring of TouchVision and VILPAS, the Company has recorded a deferred tax benefit of \$411,000 for net operating loss carryforwards that will be offset against goodwill recorded pursuant to the above mentioned acquisition when the tax benefit is realized.

No goodwill is expected to be deductible for tax purposes in any geographical segment.

The components of income (loss) before taxes for domestic and foreign operations are as follows for the year ended June 30, 2004 and 2003.

	20	04	2003				
	Domestic	Foreign	Domestic	Foreign			
Revenues	\$ 1,113,464	\$ 1,476,628	\$ -	\$ 167 , 790			
Expenses	5,097,996	2,568,056	1,596,823	561,017			
Loss from Operations	(3,984,532)	(1,091,428)	(1,596,823)	(393,227)			
Other Expenses	(6,377,645)	(999)	(79 , 965)	(1,969)			
Minority Interest & Equity	_	(7,459)	_	_			
Loss before Income Taxes	\$(10,362,177)	\$ (1,099,886)	\$ (1,676,788)	\$ (395,196)			

F-25

NOTE 12 - SEGMENT AND RELATED INFORMATION

We operate as a single business segment; however, our consolidated subsidiaries are organized geographically into reporting segments consisting of the United States Division, the European Division, the Australia Division and the South Africa Division. Our United States division comprises our corporate operations and subsidiaries domiciled in the United States of America. The European division comprises subsidiaries domiciled in Europe; the Australia Division comprises subsidiaries domiciled in Australia. The South Africa division comprises non-consolidated subsidiaries domiciled in South Africa accounted for using the equity method of accounting including a two person office owned by them in Australia.

As of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004:

	Revenue	Operating Loss	Depreciation & Amortization		Investment Losses in Associated Companies		ccounts ceivable	G(oodwill	Pr Eq
United States	\$1,113,463	\$(4,680,565)	\$	221,883	\$ -	\$	140,560	\$	910,000	\$
Europe	669,160	(19,866)		19,616	_		45,116		563,009	
Australia	807,468	(375,529)		37 , 861	_		57 , 488		376 , 517	
South Africa	_				(2,714,985)				
Total	\$2,590,091	\$(5,075,960)		279 , 360	\$(2,714,985) \$	243,164	\$ 2	1,849,526	\$

As of and for the nine month transition period ended June 30, 2003:

	Investment	
Depreciation	Losses in	

Ρr

	R 	evenue	Operating Loss	Amo	& rtization 	ociated panies		counts eivable	Goo	dwill	Eq
Europe Australia	\$	- - 167,790	\$ (1,114,213) - (875,836)	\$	- - 175,497	\$ - - -	\$	- - 42,719	\$	- - -	\$
South Africa						 -					
Total	\$ ==	167,790	\$(1,990,050) =======	\$ ==	175 , 497	\$ -	\$ ===	42,719	\$ ====	-	\$

NOTE 13 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

In October 2002, we (i) issued convertible promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$500,000 (the "Bridge Financing Notes") to certain individuals and entities, and (ii) in connection with the issuance of the Bridge Financing Notes, issued warrants to the holders of the notes to purchase additional shares of common stock. Of the total principal amount of the Bridge Financing Notes, \$55,000 was advanced by GCP and \$120,000 by Mr. Swindells. On May 19, 2003, the aggregate principal amount of the Bridge Financing Notes and accrued interest thereon of \$34,745 was converted into 1,336,867 shares of common stock at a price of \$0.40 per share. The warrants issued in connection with the Bridge Financing Notes are exercisable for a period of one year at a price of \$0.05 per share, and contain a net issuance provision whereby the holders may elect a cashless exercise of such warrants based on the fair market value of the common stock at the time of conversion. On March 26, 2004, GCP exercised its warrants in a cashless exercise for which it received a total of 126,042 shares of common stock.

On October 1, 2002, we authorized a Stock Purchase Agreement in order to retain qualified directors and officers. The Stock Purchase Agreement allowed various directors to purchase an aggregate of 1,200,000 shares of our common stock at a price of 0.025 per share. A total of 0.000 was paid to these directors via issuance of 0.000 shares of the Company's common stock.

We completed the acquisition of all of the issued and outstanding shares of CBL on October 2, 2002. In consideration for the CBL shares we issued 3,000,000 shares of common stock and an aggregate of \$1,000,000 in promissory notes convertible into 500,000 shares of our common stock. A total of 1,000,000 shares of those issued are subject to the terms of an escrow agreement as collateral for the indemnification obligations of the former CBL shareholders.

F-26

On October 2, 2002, we issued 1,070,000 shares of common stock in settlement of outstanding amounts due for services rendered to the Company. These shares were issued at \$0.025 per share totaling \$26,750.

During the period November 15, 2002 to January 21, 2003, we issued 3,200,000 shares in exchange for \$166,963, respectively of unsecured notes payable.

On March 20, 2003, we issued 4,400,000 shares of common stock in settlement of \$110,000 of amounts due to Granite Creek Partners ("GCP") formerly Kings Peak Advisors, LLC.

On June 16, 2003, we completed a recapitalization of our common stock by (i) effecting a reverse split of our outstanding common stock on the basis of one share for each 250 shares owned, with each resulting fractional share being

rounded up to the nearest whole share, and (ii) subsequently effecting a forward split by dividend to all stockholders of record, pro rata, on the basis of 250 shares for each one share owned. The record date for the reverse and forward splits was June 4, 2003. As a result of the recapitalization, the number of shares outstanding 13,419,774 remained unchanged. Between July and October 2003, an additional 19,090 shares of common stock were issued to shareholders, and shares owned by members of management were cancelled pursuant to this recapitalization.

Between January and April 2003, we sold by way of a private placement an aggregate of 250,000 units at a price of \$1.00 per unit, for aggregate consideration of \$250,000. Each unit entitles the holder to two shares of our common stock and two three year warrants, each to purchase an additional share of common stock for \$1.00 per share. If all warrants are fully exercised by the holder of such warrants, a bonus warrant will be issued entitling the holder to purchase one additional share of common stock for \$2.00.

We completed the acquisition of all of the issued and outstanding shares of TouchVision. In consideration for the TouchVision shares, we issued an aggregate of 1,250,000 restricted shares of our common stock, of which 312,500 shares are subject to the terms of an escrow agreement as collateral for the indemnification obligations of the former TouchVision shareholders.

We completed the acquisition of all of the issued and outstanding shares of RMT. In consideration for the shares of RMT we issued 700,000 restricted shares of our common stock, of which 350,000 shares are subject to the terms of an escrow agreement as collateral for the indemnification obligations of the former RMT shareholders.

During the period June 1, 2003 to October 31, 2003, we sold by way of a private placement an aggregate of 5,073,300 units at a price of \$1.00 per unit, for aggregate consideration of \$5,073,300. Each unit comprised two shares of our common stock and two warrants, each exercisable for one additional share of our common stock. In addition, each unit carried the right to acquire an additional warrant to purchase, under certain conditions, up to one additional share of common stock. In connection with the private placement, we paid \$448,105 in commissions and issued to various financial advisors, 567,160 additional shares of our common stock and five-year warrants purchasing 207,050 shares of our common stock.

In December 2003, we completed the divestiture of our interests in CBL Global and CBL to the former owners of CBL. In conjunction with the management buyout, we entered into a Settlement Agreement with respect to our litigation with CBL as described in our 10KSB filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. We acquired CBL from its former owners in October 2002. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, we have conveyed all our interest in CBL back to the former owners in exchange for surrender and cancellation of all shares of Trinity stock issued to them in connection with the acquisition of CBL and the cancellation of approximately \$1,000,000 in convertible notes payable to them.

From time to time, since inception of our current operating strategy, Mr. Swindells has provided short-term working capital loans on a non-interest bearing basis. The principal may be converted into such other debt or equity securities financings that we may issue in private offerings while the loan is outstanding. In September 2003, we repaid \$500,000 on the \$925,000 note balance then outstanding. In November 2003, the remaining balance of \$425,000 was converted into 850,000 shares of common stock and issued to Mr. Swindells. During the period June 2004 to July 2004 Mr. Swindells advanced us \$120,000. On August 10, 2004 we repaid \$50,000 of this amount.

During the period February 2004 to November 2004, certain warrant holders from the 2002 Bridge Financing exercised warrants at \$0.05 per share for 1,238,542 shares of our common stock. Included in this amount are 126,042 shares issued to Granite Creek Partners ("GCP"), formerly known as Kings Peak Advisory, LLC.

In January 2004, the Company commenced an offering of up to \$3,000,000 Senior Convertible Bridge Notes (the "Notes"). The Notes were convertible at 80% of the "Next Equity Financing" offering price. In addition, for each \$1.00 invested, the investor received a five year warrant to purchase a share of the Company's common stock at \$1.00 per share. Using the Black Scholes option valuation model a value of \$1,245,580 was attributed to the warrants and recorded as a discount on notes payable. On March 25, 2004, the Company's board of directors voted to allow conversion of the notes and accrued interest if converted prior to April 5, 2004 at a conversion price of \$0.60 per share. As a result, certain investors elected to convert \$836,000 in principal of the total amount then outstanding of \$1,146,000 plus accrued interest of \$5,108 as of March 25, 2004. The difference of \$1,312,378 between the fair market value of the shares issued calculated using \$1.25 per share and the carrying value of the debt plus accrued interest of the debt retired was recorded as debt conversion expense. At its May 12, 2004 meeting, the board voted to allow the remaining investors to convert principal and interest at \$0.60 per share. As a result, on May 28, 2004 investors converted the remaining principal then outstanding of \$1,859,000 plus accrued interest of \$11,922 to common stock. The difference of \$2,136,954 between the fair market value of the shares then outstanding calculated using \$1.05 per share and the carrying value of the debt plus accrued interest of the debt retired was recorded as debt conversion expense. As a result of these transactions 4,520,069 shares of our common stock were issued and a net credit to common stock of \$5,034,044 was recorded. Financing fees incurred in connection with the sale of the notes are approximately \$241,200

Finally, 100,000 and 40,721 shares of the Company's common stock were issued to Mr. Ron Posner and TN Capital Equities, Inc. for finders' fees for the Riverbend and IRCA acquisitions and for fundraising, respectively. During the year ended June 30, 2004, 858,952 shares of the Company's common stock were issued at a weighted average price per share \$0.04 for the exercise of options and warrants resulting in gross proceeds to the Company of \$34,375.

NOTE 14 - GOING CONCERN

Our financial statements are prepared using accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America generally applicable to a going concern, which contemplates the realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities in the normal course of business. Currently, we do not have significant cash or other material assets, nor do we have an established source of revenues sufficient to cover our operating costs and to allow us to continue as a going concern. We do not currently possess a financial institution source of financing and we cannot be certain that our existing sources of cash will be adequate to meet our liquidity requirements. These conditions raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern

To meet our present and future liquidity requirements, we will continue to seek additional funding through private placements, conversion of outstanding loans and payables into common stock, development of the business of our newly-acquired subsidiaries, collections on accounts receivable, and through additional acquisitions that have sufficient cash flow to fund subsidiary operations. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in obtaining more debt and/or equity financing in the future or that our results of operations will materially improve in either the short- or the long-term. If we fail to obtain such financing and improve our results of operations, we will be unable to meet our obligations as they become due.

On July 29, 2004, we issued a secured convertible promissory note in the principal amount of \$500,000 to Oceanus Value Fund, L.P. ("Oceanus"). The note matures on October 27, 2004, and bears interest at the rate of twelve percent (12%). The holder of the note has the option to participate in a subsequent financing during the term of the note, and in lieu of all or part of any cash payment that would otherwise be made to us in connection with such financing, the holder may elect to contribute \$1.00 of debt forgiveness under the note for each \$1.00 of such participation. In connection with the issuance of the note, we also issued to Oceanus a five-year warrant to purchase up to 125,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$1.00 per share. We also entered into a registration rights agreement with Oceanus covering the shares issuable upon any conversion of the note and the shares underlying the warrant. On September 1, 2004, we repaid the principal owing on the promissory note.

F-28

On August 31, 2004, we entered into a series of agreements with Laurus Master Fund, Ltd. ("Laurus") whereby we issued to Laurus (i) a secured convertible term note ("Note") in the principal amount of \$5.5 million and (ii) a five-year warrant ("Warrant") to purchase up to 1,600,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.81 per share. Of the Note proceeds, \$4,491,000 was deposited in a restricted account as security for the total loan amount and for use by us to make acquisitions as approved by Laurus; the outstanding principal balance of \$500,000 was repaid to Oceanus and the remainder of the loan proceeds was used for operating needs. The principal amount of the Note carries an interest rate of prime plus two percent, subject to adjustment, and we must make monthly payments of at least \$22,000, commencing November 1, 2004, toward the outstanding non-restricted principal amount. The principal amount of the Note and accrued interest thereon is convertible into shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.72 per share, subject to anti-dilution adjustments. We have granted Laurus a right of first refusal with respect to any debt or equity financings, and Laurus has the right to loan to us up to an additional \$2.2 million, within 270 days of closing on the same terms and conditions as contained in the Laurus agreements pertaining to the Note and Warrant.

Trinity's future capital requirements will depend on our ability to successfully implement these initiatives and other factors, including our ability to maintain our existing customer base and to expand our customer base into new geographic markets, and overall financial market conditions in the United States and other countries where we will seek prospective investors.

Our financial statements are prepared using accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America generally applicable to a going concern, which contemplates the realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities in the normal course of business. Currently, we do not have significant cash or other material assets, nor do we have an established source of revenues sufficient to cover our operating costs and to allow us to continue as a going concern. We do not currently possess a financial institution source of financing and we cannot be certain that our existing sources of cash will be adequate to meet our liquidity requirements. Based upon our cash balance at June 30, 2004, we will not be able to sustain operations for more than one month without additional sources of financing.

Trinity Learning Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheets

		September 30, 2004 (Unaudited)		2004
Assets				
Current Assets				
Cash and Cash Equivalents		\$ 846,860	\$	892 , 739
Accounts Receivable				243,164
Prepaid Expense and Other Current Asse	ets	231,356		229 , 802
To	tal Current Assets	1,469,485		1,365,705
Equity Investment in and Advances to Assoc	iated Companies	1 - 617 - 680		1,922,935
Property & Equipment, net	racea companies	33,502		27 160
Goodwill		1,855,405		1,849,526
Intangible Assets, net		390,333		434,958
Restricted Cash		4,992,522		
Other Assets, net		397,731		142,856
	Total Assets	\$ 10,756,658		6,253,140
			Equit	У
Liabilities		and Stockholders'	Equit	У
Liabilities		and Stockholders'	Equit	У —
Liabilities Accounts Payable Accounts Payable-Related Parties		\$ 880,359 86,321	Equit \$	У - 814,651 77,988
Liabilities Accounts Payable Accounts Payable-Related Parties Accrued Expenses		\$ 880,359 86,321 614,937	Equit \$	У - 814,651 77,988 721,192
Liabilities Accounts Payable Accounts Payable-Related Parties Accrued Expenses Interest Payable		\$ 880,359 86,321 614,937 5,865	Equit \$	Y - 814,651 77,988 721,192 21,124
Liabilities Accounts Payable Accounts Payable-Related Parties Accrued Expenses Interest Payable Deferred Revenue		\$ 880,359 86,321 614,937 5,865 325,551	Equit	Y - 814,651 77,988 721,192 21,124 85,685
Liabilities Accounts Payable Accounts Payable-Related Parties Accrued Expenses Interest Payable Deferred Revenue Notes Payable - Current		\$ 880,359 86,321 614,937 5,865 325,551 677,797	Equit	Y - 814,651 77,988 721,192 21,124 85,685 418,954
Liabilities Accounts Payable Accounts Payable-Related Parties Accrued Expenses Interest Payable Deferred Revenue		\$ 880,359 86,321 614,937 5,865 325,551	Equit	Y - 814,651 77,988 721,192 21,124 85,685 418,954
Liabilities Accounts Payable Accounts Payable-Related Parties Accrued Expenses Interest Payable Deferred Revenue Notes Payable - Current Notes Payable - Related Parties		\$ 880,359 86,321 614,937 5,865 325,551 677,797 584,289	Equit \$	9 - 814,651 77,988 721,192 21,124 85,685 418,954 740,476
Liabilities Accounts Payable Accounts Payable-Related Parties Accrued Expenses Interest Payable Deferred Revenue Notes Payable - Current Notes Payable - Related Parties		\$ 880,359 86,321 614,937 5,865 325,551 677,797 584,289	Equit \$	9 - 814,651 77,988 721,192 21,124 85,685 418,954 740,476
Liabilities Accounts Payable Accounts Payable-Related Parties Accrued Expenses Interest Payable Deferred Revenue Notes Payable - Current Notes Payable - Related Parties	urrent Liabilities	\$ 880,359 86,321 614,937 5,865 325,551 677,797 584,289 	Equit \$	9 - 814,651 77,988 721,192 21,124 85,685 418,954 740,476 2,880,070 71,829 40,000
Liabilities Accounts Payable Accounts Payable-Related Parties Accrued Expenses Interest Payable Deferred Revenue Notes Payable - Current Notes Payable - Related Parties Co	urrent Liabilities	\$ 880,359 86,321 614,937 5,865 325,551 677,797 584,289 	Equit \$	9 814,651 77,988 721,192 21,124 85,685 418,954 740,476
Liabilities Accounts Payable Accounts Payable-Related Parties Accrued Expenses Interest Payable Deferred Revenue Notes Payable - Current Notes Payable - Related Parties County Payable - Long Term Notes Payable - Long Term, Related Pa	urrent Liabilities rties g Term Liabilities	\$ 880,359 86,321 614,937 5,865 325,551 677,797 584,289 	Equit \$	9 - 814,651 77,988 721,192 21,124 85,685 418,954 740,476 2,880,070 71,829 40,000
Liabilities Accounts Payable Accounts Payable-Related Parties Accrued Expenses Interest Payable Deferred Revenue Notes Payable - Current Notes Payable - Related Parties Co	urrent Liabilities rties g Term Liabilities	\$ 880,359 86,321 614,937 5,865 325,551 677,797 584,289 	Equit \$	9 - 814,651 77,988 721,192 21,124 85,685 418,954 740,476
Liabilities Accounts Payable Accounts Payable-Related Parties Accrued Expenses Interest Payable Deferred Revenue Notes Payable - Current Notes Payable - Related Parties C: Notes Payable - Long Term Notes Payable - Long Term, Related Pa Lone Equity Investment in and Advances to	urrent Liabilities rties g Term Liabilities	\$ 880,359 86,321 614,937 5,865 325,551 677,797 584,289 	\$	9 - 814,651 77,988 721,192 21,124 85,685 418,954 740,476
Liabilities Accounts Payable Accounts Payable-Related Parties Accrued Expenses Interest Payable Deferred Revenue Notes Payable - Current Notes Payable - Related Parties C: Notes Payable - Long Term Notes Payable - Long Term, Related Pa Lone Equity Investment in and Advances to	urrent Liabilities rties g Term Liabilities Associated Company	\$ 880,359 86,321 614,937 5,865 325,551 677,797 584,289 3,175,119 530,906 221,408 752,314 343,246 2,863,363 7,134,042	### Equit	9 - 814,651 77,988 721,192 21,124 85,685 418,954 740,476

Preferred Stock, 10,000,000 Shares Authorized at No Par

Value, No Shares Issued and Outstanding in 2004 or 2003	_	-
Common Stock, 100,000,000 Shares Authorized at No Par		
Value, 31,040,143 shares Issued and Outstanding at		
September 30 and June 30, 2004	25,331,567	23,092,957
Accumulated Deficit	(24,512,562)	(22,650,976
Other Comprehensive Income	30,128	2,539
Total Stockholders' Equity	849,133	444,520
Total Liabilities, Minority Interest, Contingently		
Redeemable Equity and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 10,756,658	\$ 6,253,140

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

F-30

Trinity Learning Corporation and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Loss

	Three Mon Septem 2004	ber 3	30,
	 (Unau	dite	d)
Revenue			
Sales Revenue Cost of Sales	\$ 902,854 (180,905)		253,993 (32,497)
Gross Profit	721,949		221,496
Expenses	 		
Salaries & Benefits Professional Fees Selling, General & Administrative Depreciation & Amortization	296 , 587		567,719 216,565 269,594 88,838
Total Expense	 1,723,013		1,142,716
Loss from Operations	(1,001,064)		(921 , 220)
Other Expense			
Interest, net Debt Issuance Equity Losses in Associated Companies Foreign Currency Gain (Loss)	229,703 7,194 648,501		23,288 - 995 407
Total Other Expense	 885 , 398		24,690
Minority Interest	 24,876		_

Loss before Income Taxes	(1	,861,586)		(945,910)
Income Taxes		_		_
Net Loss	\$ (1 ====	,861,586)	\$	(945,910)
Net Loss Per Common Share Basic and Diluted	\$	(0.06)	\$	(0.06)
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding	30	,377,643	===	15,652,516

A summary of the components of other comprehensive loss for the three months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003 is as follows:

		Three Months Ended September 30,			
			2004		2003
		(Unaudited)			
Net Loss Foreign Currency Translation Gain (I	Loss)	\$	(1,861,586) 27,589	\$	(945,910) (2,961)
	Comprehensive Loss	 \$ ==	(1,833,997)	\$ ===	(948,871)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

F-31

Trinity Learning Corporation and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	Three Mon 2004
	(Unaudi
Cash flows from operating activities:	
Net loss	\$ (1,861
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:	
Depreciation and amortization	47
Debt issuance	7
Non cash interest	205
Equity losses of associated companies	648
Employee stock based compensation	104
Minority interest	(24
Changes in current assets and liabilities:	
Accounts receivable	(148
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(4
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(47
Accounts payable - related parties	8

	239
	(9
	(833
	(4
	(4,491
	(4,495
	(500
	(50
	6,120
	(259
	5,311
	(27
	(45
	892
\$	846
==	
\$	19
==	
\$	2,863
==	
\$	2,070
	=====
\$	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

F-32

Trinity Learning Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2004

NOTE 1. ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Overview

Trinity Learning is creating a global learning company by acquiring operating subsidiaries that specialize in educational and training content, delivery, and services for particular industries or that target a particular segment of the

workforce. Trinity Learning believes that there are product and service synergies between and among our various subsidiaries that position us to create a global learning company that can provide integrated learning services to corporations, organizations, educational institutions, and individual learners, using a variety of delivery technologies, platforms and methods to meet the growing need for global learning solutions. Trinity Learning believes that it will be one of the first companies to be able to serve major multinational employers at multiple levels of their organizations and assist these customers to meet the challenges of a major turnover in the world's workforce over the coming decade. Factors such as demographics, technology, and globalization will require enterprises, organizations and governments around the world to invest in human capital to remain competitive.

The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements and related notes have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-QSB and Item 310 of Regulation S-B. Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. These financial statements include the accounts of Trinity and its consolidated subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated in consolidation.

These unaudited interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and related notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended June 30, 2004. The results of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2004, are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the full year and future operating results may not be comparable to historical operating results due to our September 1, 2003 acquisitions of TouchVision, Inc. ("TouchVision"); River Murray Training Pty Ltd ("RMT"); and 51% of the issued and outstanding shares of Ayrshire Trading Limited ("Ayrshire"), as well as our December 1, 2003 acquisition of Danlas Limited ("Danlas") and March 1, 2004 acquisition of Trinity Learning AS ("VILPAS"). Ayrshire owns 95% of the issued and outstanding shares of Riverbend Group Holdings (Pty.) Ltd. ("Riverbend"). These companies are collectively referred to as Riverbend. Danlas owns 51% of IRCA (Proprietary) Limited ("IRCA"). These companies are collectively referred to as IRCA.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements reflect all normal recurring adjustments that are necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the Company's financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America necessarily requires it to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the balance sheet dates and the reported amounts of revenues and costs during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. On an ongoing basis, the Company reviews its estimates based on information that is currently available. Changes in facts and circumstances may cause the Company to revise its estimates. Significant estimates include revenue recognition policy, valuation and allocation of the purchase consideration of the assets and liabilities and assets acquired in business combinations and equity investments in associated companies, our determination of fair value of common stock issued in business combinations and equity investments in associated companies, and the annual valuation and review for impairment of assets acquired and of long-lived assets.

F - 33

Principles of Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

On August 6, 2003, our board of directors approved a change in our fiscal year-end from September 30 to June 30 to align with those of the companies we had already acquired or were at that time in the process of acquiring. Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and our controlled subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

Our 51% ownership in IRCA and our 51% ownership in Ayrshire, which owns 95% of Riverbend, have been accounted for in the financial statements included with this report using the equity method of accounting. Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 96-16, "Investor's Accounting for an Investee When the Investor Has a Majority Voting Interest but the Minority Shareholders Have Certain Approval or Voting or Veto Rights" (EITF 96-16) provides guidance as to the distinction between protective rights of the minority shareholder which do not overcome the presumption of consolidation and substantive participating rights of the minority shareholder. Substantive participating rights that allow the minority shareholder to participate in establishing operating and capital decisions in the ordinary course of business, overcome the presumption that the investor should consolidate the investee.

- In the Riverbend transaction, Section 20.2.11.3 of the Definitive Agreement ("the Agreement") between Trinity, the majority owner in Ayrshire, and Great Owl Limited ("Great Owl"), the minority owner in Ayrshire, prevents Ayrshire and its subsidiaries from approving, canceling or effecting "material changes to the annual budget or any modification thereof" or "incur(ring) unbudgeted capital expenditure of US\$150,000 per item or US\$500,000 per annum." Also, pursuant to Section 18.3 of the Agreement, Trinity and Great Owl are "each entitled to appoint an equal number of directors to the board of directors" of Ayrshire. These substantive participating rights of the minority shareholder preclude consolidation of this investment and will remain in effect until Trinity owns 100% of Ayrshire.
- O In the IRCA transaction, Section 20.1.19.3 of the Sale of Shares Agreement ("SOS Agreement") between Danlas Limited, a wholly owned subsidiary of Trinity, and IRCA Investments (Pty.) Ltd. ("IRCA Investments"), the minority shareholder in IRCA, prevents IRCA and its subsidiaries from approving, canceling or effecting "material changes to the annual budget or any modification thereof, or to its strategic plans or marketing strategy or incur(ring) unbudgeted capital expenditure in excess of R200,000 (two hundred thousand Rand) per item or R800,000 (eight hundred thousand Rand) in total per annum." Also, pursuant to Section 19 of the SOS Agreement, Danlas and IRCA Investments are "each entitled to appoint equal number of directors to the board of directors" of IRCA. These substantive participating rights of the minority shareholder will remain in effect until Danlas owns 60% of IRCA.

Purchase Accounting

The Company accounts for its investments in its subsidiaries using the purchase method of accounting. Intangible assets are recognized apart from goodwill if they are contractual in nature or separately identifiable. Acquisitions are measured on the fair value of consideration exchanged and, if the consideration given is not cash, measurement is based on the fair value of the consideration given or the fair value of the assets acquired, whichever is more reliably

measurable. The excess of cost of an acquired entity over the net amounts assigned to identifiable acquired assets and liabilities assumed is recognized as goodwill. The valuation and allocation process relies on significant assumptions made by management, in particular, the value of the shares issued to effect the purchase prior to the Company having established a trading market for its stock.

Revenue Recognition

We earn our revenues primarily from service-related contracts, including operations and maintenance services and a variety of technical assistance services. Revenue is generally recognized on a straight-line basis, unless evidence suggests that the revenue is earned or obligations are fulfilled in a different pattern over the contractual term of the arrangement or the expected period, during which those specified services will be performed, whichever is longer. Four basic criteria must be met before revenue can be recognized: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) delivery has occurred or services rendered; (3) the fee is fixed and determinable; and (4) collectibility is reasonably assured. The Company determines whether criteria (3) and (4) are met based on judgments regarding the nature of the fee charged for services rendered and products delivered and the collectibility of those fees. The Company also earns revenue from the sale of hardware containing software, and accounts for this revenue in

F-34

accordance with SOP 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition in accordance with EITF 03-5. To date, such revenues have not been significant.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments, which potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk, consist principally of trade receivables. Concentrations of credit risk with respect to trade receivables are limited due to the large number of clients that comprise our customer base and their dispersion across different business and geographic areas. We estimate and maintain an allowance for potentially uncollectible accounts and such estimates have historically been within management's expectations. Our cash balances, restricted cash and short-term investments are maintained in accounts held by major banks and financial institutions located primarily in the United States, Norway, South Africa and Australia. No single customer accounts for revenues or receivables greater than 10% of Company totals.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid instruments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximates fair value due to the short-term maturity of these instruments. The carrying value of notes payable approximates fair value because negotiated terms and conditions are consistent with current market rates. Determination of the fair value of notes payable to related parties cannot be estimated because of the favorable conditions given to the Company by these parties not otherwise available from third parties. It is not practicable to estimate the fair value of notes payable issued for acquisitions and equity investments because they were issued at a substantial conversion premium and contain no stated payment terms. The carrying value of equity investments and

advances to associated companies approximates fair value. We evaluate such assets on a regular basis by looking at cash flows, market conditions and current and anticipated future performance. In September 2004, we incurred an impairment charge of \$80,000. At September 30, 2004, we had a negative value in our investment in IRCA as losses and impairment charges have exceeded our original investment. Losses will continue to be recorded in the statement of operations up to the value of our \$500,000 deposit as collateral for the IRCA operating line of credit.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are uncollateralized customer obligations due under normal trade terms. Management regularly evaluates the need for an allowance for uncollectible accounts by taking into consideration factors such as the type of client; governmental agencies or private sector; trends in actual and forecasted credit quality of the client, including delinquency and late payment history; and current economic conditions that may affect a client's ability to pay. Management has determined that there is no need for an allowance as of September 30 and June 30, 2004.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is provided on the straight-line method using estimated lives ranging from three to five years for property and equipment. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the length of the lease or estimated useful life, whichever is less. Property and equipment is periodically reviewed for impairment. When such loss is identified, it is recorded as a loss in that period.

Deferred Charges

The Company capitalizes costs associated with the issuance of debt instruments. These costs are amortized on a method that approximates the interest method over the term of the debt agreements. Amortization expenses for deferred charges were \$5,630 and \$0 for the three months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash within noncurrent assets consists primarily of \$4,492,522 on deposit in a restricted account as security for the \$5.5 million convertible term note with Laurus Master Fund, Ltd. In addition, \$500,000 is on

F-35

deposit with Standard Bank and restricted for use as collateral for an operating line of credit at IRCA. This provision is part of the Company's contractual arrangement with IRCA. Should IRCA default on its line of credit with Standard Bank, these funds may be seized by Standard Bank.

Fair Value of Common Stock

Contingently redeemable equity represents the value of shares of our common stock issuable upon the conversion of notes payable in excess of the face value of these notes issued in the acquisition of VILPAS and the acquisition of equity interest in each of the Riverbend and IRCA transactions. The stock arrangements are dependent on the satisfaction of certain conditions by us, most notably the listing of our common stock a major stock exchange in the United States of America, for which there are financial requirements for listing. The valuation and allocation process relies on significant assumptions made by management, in

particular, the value of the shares to be issued as described above to effect the purchase prior to the Company having established a trading market for its stock. When it becomes probable that redemption will occur, the Company will record changes in fair value in the Statement of Operations.

Allocation of Purchase Consideration in Business Combinations

The Company accounts for its investments in its subsidiaries using the purchase method of accounting. The excess of the consideration paid for subsidiaries over the fair value of acquired tangible assets less the fair value of acquired liabilities is assigned to intangible assets and goodwill. The Company obtains an independent third party valuation to ascertain the amount to allocate to identifiable intangible assets, and the useful lives of those assets. The Company amortizes identifiable intangible assets over their useful life unless that life is determined to be indefinite. The useful life of an intangible asset that is being amortized is evaluated each reporting period as to whether events and circumstances warrant a revision to the remaining period of amortization. Goodwill is not amortized and is tested for impairment on an annual basis. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined by allocating fair value to all assets and liabilities acquired; the excess of the price paid over the amounts assigned to assets and liabilities acquired is the implied fair value of goodwill.

Allocation of Purchase Consideration for Equity Investments in Associated Companies

The excess of the consideration paid for equity investments in associated companies over our pro rata share of the investee's net assets is allocated to intangibles and goodwill similar to a purchase business combination. The Company obtains an independent third party valuation to ascertain the amount to allocate to identifiable intangible assets and the useful lives of those assets. The Company amortizes identifiable intangible assets over their useful life unless that life is determined to be indefinite. In each of the Riverbend and the IRCA transactions, the Company received an option, exercisable under certain conditions, to acquire the additional 49% of each of those companies. Using the Black Scholes option valuation model, a value was assigned to each of the intangible assets associated with those options. The useful life of an intangible asset that is being amortized is evaluated each reporting period as to whether events and circumstances warrant a revision to the remaining period of amortization. The value of the Equity Investments in Associated Companies is tested for impairment on an annual basis. At June 30, 2004, based on actual performance and forecasts for future performance, the value of the IRCA investment after application of current year losses and amortization of intangible assets, was written down to \$0 and impairment expense of \$884,963 was recorded in the statement of operations. An allowance for doubtful loan receivable in the amount of \$80,000 was established at September 30, 2004 for our loan to IRCA Australia.

Software Development Costs

Software development costs related to software that the company licenses to customers are charged to expense as incurred until technological feasibility is attained. Technological feasibility is attained when the Company's software has completed system testing and has been determined viable for its intended use. The time between the attainment of technological feasibility and completion of software development has been short with immaterial amounts of development costs incurred during this period. Accordingly, software costs have not been capitalized other than product development costs acquired through technology business combinations and technology purchases.

Earnings (Loss) per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) available for common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per common share ("DEPS") is computed giving effect to all dilutive potential shares including shares held in escrow, common stock issuable upon the conversion of notes payable or the exercise of stock options and warrants. DEPS is computed by dividing net income (loss) available for common stockholders by the weighted-average common shares and dilutive potential common shares that were outstanding during the period. Shares from release of escrow shares, the conversion of notes payable or the exercise of options and warrants for common shares were not included in the computation of DEPS, because their inclusion would have been antidilutive for the three months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

If the company were to include all potential shares in the calculation, the following items would be included:

- o Stock options to purchase 5,670,000 shares of common stock at prices ranging from \$0.05 to \$0.60 per share were outstanding at September 30, 2004; 3,182,000 options were outstanding at September 30, 2003 at purchase prices varying from \$0.05 to \$0.50 per share.
- o Warrants to purchase 21,884,950 shares of common stock at prices ranging from \$0.05 to \$2.00 per share were outstanding September 30, 2004; warrants to purchase 5,677,000 shares of common stock at prices ranging from \$0.50 to \$2.00 per share were outstanding at June 30, 2004
- o At September 30, 2004 and 2003, we held 662,500 and 1,662,500 shares in escrow, respectively.
- o At September 30, 2004, we had the following convertible notes outstanding: (i) a convertible non-interest-bearing promissory note in the amount of \$20,000 was convertible into 2,000,000 shares of our common stock for our investment in Ayrshire, (ii) a convertible non-interest-bearing promissory note in the amount of \$20,000 was convertible into 2,500,000 shares of our common stock for our investment in Danlas / IRCA, (iii) a convertible promissory note in the amount of \$500,000 convertible into 1,000,000 shares of our common stock for our investment in VILPAS, (iv) a convertible promissory note totaling \$70,000 convertible into an indeterminable amount of shares of our common stock and (v) a convertible promissory note totaling \$5,500,000 convertible into an indeterminable amount of shares of our common stock.
- o At September 30, 2003, the following convertible notes were outstanding: (i) \$425,000 convertible note payable convertible into an indeterminable number of shares of our common stock and (ii) \$1,000,000 convertible note payable convertible into 500,000 shares of our common stock.

For the Three Months Ended Months Ended September 30, 2004 September 30, 2003

Numerator-Basic / Diluted
Net (loss) available for common stockholders

Supplementation

**Numerator-Basic / Diluted

**Numerator

Denominator-Basic / Diluted

Weighted-average common stock outstanding		30,377,643		15,652,516
Basic / Diluted (loss) per share	====== \$	(0.06)	===== \$	(0.06)
	======		=====	=========

Stock-Based Compensation

In January 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 148 ("SFAS 148"), "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure." SFAS 148 amends FASB Statement 123 ("SFAS 123"), "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, SFAS 148 amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS 123 to require more prominent disclosure in both annual and interim financial statements of the method of accounting for employee stock option grants and the effect of the method used on reported results.

The Company has adopted the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards Number 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("SFAS 123"). In accordance with SFAS 123, option expense of \$104,997 and \$98,514 was recognized for the three months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively. The expense was calculated using the Black Scholes valuation model with the following assumptions:

		2	\neg
Ľ	_	3	-/

	September 30, 2004	September 30, 2003
Five-Year Risk Free Interest Rate	3.33%	3.63%
Dividend Yield	Nil	Nil
Volatility	70%	70%
Average Expected Term (Years to Exercise)	5	5

Goodwill and Other Intangibles Resulting from Business Acquisitions

The Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 142 ("SFAS 142"), "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," at the beginning of fiscal 2003. As required, the Company identified its reporting units and the amounts of other intangible assets, and other assets and liabilities allocated to those reporting units. This Statement addresses the accounting and reporting of goodwill and other intangible assets subsequent to their acquisition. SFAS No.142 provides that (i) goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets will no longer be amortized, (ii) impairment will be measured using various valuation techniques based on discounted cash flows, (iii) goodwill will be tested for impairment at least annually at the reporting unit level, (iv) intangible assets deemed to have an indefinite life will be tested for impairment at least annually, and (v) intangible assets with finite lives will be amortized over their useful lives. The Company does not have any intangible assets with indefinite lives.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In January 2003, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 46, ("FIN 46"), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities ("VIE"). Fin No. 46 requires that if a company holds a controlling interest in a VIE, the assets, liabilities and results of the VIE's activities should be consolidated in the entity's financial statements. In December 2003, the FASB revised FIN No. 46 which, among other

revisions, resulted in the deferral of the effective date of applying the provisions of FIN No. 46 to the first interim or annual period ending after December 15, 2004 for qualifying VIE's. The Company believes it has no VIE's and adoption of FIN 46, as revised, did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations for the quarters ended September 30, 2004 and 2003.

In May 2003, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 150 ("SFAS 150"), "Accounting for Certain Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity." SFAS 150 establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. It requires that an issuer classify a financial instrument that is within its scope as a liability (or an asset in some circumstances). SFAS 150 is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. As permitted, the Company adopted SFAS 150 on September 1, 2003. Adoption of SFAS 150 did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements for the quarters ended September 30, 2004 and 2003.

On December 17, 2003, the Staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC or the Staff) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104 ("SAB 104"), "Revenue Recognition," which supersedes SAB 101, "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements." SAB 104's primary purpose is to rescind accounting guidance contained in SAB 101 related to multiple element revenue arrangements, superseded as a result of the issuance of EITF 00-21, "Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables." Additionally, SAB 104 rescinds the SEC's Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements Frequently Asked Questions and Answers (the "FAQ") issued with SAB 101 that had been codified in SEC Topic 13, Revenue Recognition. Selected portions of the FAQ have been incorporated into SAB 104. While the wording of SAB 104 has changed to reflect the issuance of EITF 00-21, the revenue recognition principles of SAB 101 remain largely unchanged by the issuance of SAB 104. SAB 104 applies to our service related contracts. We do not have material multiple element arrangements and thus SAB 104 does not impact our financial statements nor is adoption of SAB 104 considered a change in accounting principle.

On April 9, 2004, FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 129-1, "Disclosure of Information about Capital Structure, Relating to Contingently Convertible Securities" ("FSP 129-1"). FSP 129-1 clarifies that the disclosure requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 129, "Disclosure of Information about Capital Structure" applies to all contingently convertible securities and to their potentially dilutive effects on earnings per share ("EPS"), including those for which the criteria for conversion have not been satisfied, and thus are not included in the computation of diluted EPS. The guidance in FSP 129-1 is effective immediately and applies to all

F-38

existing and newly created securities. Our required FSP 129-1 disclosures are included above under "Earnings (Loss) Per Share." Our contingently redeemable equity is convertible to shares of our common stock; however, the conversion would be anti-dilutive.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2003 consolidated financial statements and notes to conform to the 2004 presentation with no effect on consolidated net loss, or accumulated deficit.

NOTE 2 - ACQUISITIONS AND DIVESTITURES

We commenced a strategy in 2002 to acquire operating companies in strategic markets that have developed proprietary technology-enabled learning, training and certification services targeted at major customers in worldwide industries. Our mission is to become a leading global learning solution corporation through acquisition, business development and strategic relationships.

On September 1, 2003, we completed the acquisition of all of the issued and outstanding shares of TouchVision, a California corporation that is in the business of providing technology-enabled information and learning systems to healthcare providers, financial services companies and other industry segments. In consideration for the TouchVision shares, we issued an aggregate of 1,250,000restricted shares of our common stock, of which 312,500 shares are subject to the terms of an escrow agreement as collateral for the indemnification obligations of the former TouchVision shareholders. The determination of purchase price was based on, among other things, annual revenue for the two preceding years relative to comparable market based values for publicly traded companies. We also agreed to loan to TouchVision the sum of \$20,000 per month for the twelve-month period following closing, to be used for working capital. As of September 30, 2004, we had loaned TouchVision a total of \$260,000 pursuant to this agreement. This loan has been eliminated in consolidation at September 30, 2004. We had previously loaned TouchVision the sum of \$50,000 in June and July, 2003 by way of bridge financing pending completion of the acquisition. This loan has also been eliminated in consolidation at September 30, 2004.

The following table summarizes the TouchVision assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the closing date in connection with \$625,000 common stock issued and acquisition related costs of \$85,417 (\$4,815 of which was incurred in the quarter ended September 30, 2004):

Cash acquired		\$	102,357
Tangible assets acquired			269,213
Intangible assets acquir	ed		350 , 281
Goodwill			914,815
	Total assets acquired		1,636,666
Liabilities assumed			926,249
	Net assets acquired	\$	710,417
		==	

The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. Intangible assets are being amortized over varying periods, as indicated by independent valuations, using the straight-line method. Allocation of the excess of merger consideration over the net book value of assets acquired between goodwill and intangible assets was determined by an independent, third-party professional valuation firm. As the merger consideration was paid entirely in shares of the Company's common stock, the goodwill acquired may not be amortized for federal income tax purposes. The goodwill arising from the acquisition is allocated to the United States geographic segment.

On September 1, 2003, we completed the acquisition of all of the issued and outstanding shares of RMT, an Australian company that is in the business of providing workplace training programs for various segments of the food production industry, including viticulture and horticulture. In consideration for the shares of RMT we issued 700,000 restricted shares of our common stock, of which 350,000 shares are subject to the terms of an escrow agreement as collateral for the indemnification obligations of the former RMT shareholders. The determination of purchase price was based on, among other things, annual revenue for the two preceding years relative to comparable market based values for publicly traded companies.

F-39

The following table summarizes the RMT assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the closing date in connection with \$350,000 common stock issued and acquisition related costs of \$15,425:

Cash acquired		\$	37 , 979
Tangible assets acquired			78 , 673
Intangible assets acquire	d		18,000
Goodwill			376,517
	Total assets acquired		511,169
Liabilities assumed			145,744
	Net assets acquired	\$	365,425
		==	

The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. Intangible assets are being amortized over varying periods, as indicated by independent valuations, using the straight-line method. Allocation of the excess of merger consideration over the net book value of assets acquired between goodwill and intangible assets was determined by an independent, third-party professional valuation firm. As the merger consideration was paid entirely in shares of the Company's common stock, the goodwill acquired may not be amortized for federal income tax purposes. The goodwill arising from the acquisition is allocated to the Australian geographic segment.

On March 1, 2004, we completed the acquisition of all the issued and outstanding shares of VILPAS (f/k/a Virtual Learning Partners AS). In consideration for the VILPAS shares we issued a convertible non-interest-bearing promissory note in the principal amount of \$500,000, which note is convertible from time to time but no later than August 5, 2005 into a maximum of 1,000,000 shares of our common stock. The value of shares issuable upon conversion (based upon a \$0.80 per share value) in excess of the note amount has been classified as contingently redeemable equity. Of these shares, up to 20% may be withheld in satisfaction for any breach of warranties by the former shareholders of VILPAS. The determination of purchase price was based on, among other things, annual revenue for the two preceding years relative to comparable market based values for publicly traded companies. The VILPAS shares are subject to escrow and pledge agreements and will be reconveyed to the former shareholders in the event of a default by us of certain terms and conditions of the acquisition agreements, including, among other things, a voluntary or involuntary bankruptcy proceeding involving us or the failure by us to list our shares of common stock on a major stock exchange by February 5, 2005, subject to a six-month extension in the event a listing application is in process on such date.

The following table summarizes the VILPAS assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the closing date in connection with the \$500,000 convertible note payable issued, the \$300,000 recorded as conditionally redeemable equity in our balance sheet and acquisition related costs of \$53,933 (\$1,064 of which was incurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2004):

Cash acquired	\$	1,052,270
Tangible assets acquired		339,986
Intangible assets acquired		210,177
Goodwill		564,073
	_	
Total assets acquired		2,166,506
Liabilities assumed		1,017,937

Minority interest 294,636 --------
Net assets acquired \$ 853,933

The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. Intangible assets are being amortized over varying periods, as indicated by an independent valuation, using the straight-line method. Allocation of the excess of merger consideration over the net book value of assets acquired between goodwill and intangible assets was determined by an independent, third-party professional valuation firm. As the merger consideration was paid entirely with a promissory note with no payment terms and convertible into shares of the Company's common stock, the goodwill acquired may not be amortized for federal income tax purposes. The goodwill arising from the acquisition is allocated to the European geographic segment.

On September 1, 2003, we completed the acquisition of 51% of the issued and outstanding shares of Ayrshire that owns 95% of Riverbend, a South African company that provides learning services to corporations and individuals in South Africa. We also acquired the option to purchase the remaining 49% of Ayrshire. In consideration for the

F - 40

Ayrshire shares, we issued a convertible non-interest-bearing promissory note in the amount of \$20,000, which amount is convertible from time to time but no later than December 30, 2006 into a maximum of 2,000,000 shares of our common stock. The value of shares issuable upon conversion (based upon a \$0.50 per share value) in excess of the note amount has been classified as contingently redeemable equity. Of these shares, up to 400,000 may be withheld in satisfaction for any breach of warranties by the former shareholders of Ayrshire. The determination of purchase price was based on, among other things, annual revenue for the two preceding years relative to comparable market based values for publicly traded companies. The Ayrshire shares are subject to escrow and pledge agreements and will be reconveyed to the former shareholders in the event of a default by us of certain terms and conditions of the acquisition agreements, including, among other things, a voluntary or involuntary bankruptcy proceeding involving us or the failure by us to list our shares of common stock on a major stock exchange by December 30, 2006. The results of operations for Ayrshire, using the equity method, have been included in the Company's financial statements since the date of acquisition. As of September 30, 2004, no shares had been issued in exchange for the convertible promissory note.

In connection with this acquisition, we agreed to make a non-interest-bearing loan of \$1,000,000 to Ayrshire. was We advanced \$300,000 at closing of the acquisition and \$700,000 on November 3, 2003. The loan to Ayrshire has been accounted for as a note receivable. We may exercise an option to acquire the remaining 49% of Ayrshire in consideration for the issuance of 1,500,000 shares of our common stock, subject to certain adjustments. The Company has allocated \$325,000 of the consideration paid to this intangible asset.

On December 1, 2003, we completed the acquisition of all the issued and outstanding shares of Danlas, a British Virgin Islands Company that owns 51% of IRCA (Proprietary) Limited ("IRCA"), a South African company specializing in corporate learning, certification and risk mitigation in the area of safety, health environment and quality assurance ("SHEQ"). IRCA operates in South Africa, England and the United States through various operating subsidiaries. Danlas also holds options to acquire the remaining 49% of IRCA. In consideration for the Danlas shares, the Company (i) issued a convertible promissory note in the aggregate principal amount of \$20,000 convertible under certain conditions

into a maximum of 2,500,000 shares of the Company's common stock, (ii) agreed to advance \$500,000 in cash to Danlas to establish an international sales force, (iii) provided \$500,000 as collateral for an operating line of credit and, (iv) provided certain future profit thresholds are met, agreed to issue up to an additional 1,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The value of shares issuable upon conversion (based upon a \$0.50 per share value) in excess of the note amount has been classified as contingently redeemable equity. The determination of purchase price was based on, among other things, annual revenue for the two preceding years relative to comparable market based values for publicly traded companies. The results of operations for IRCA, using the equity method, have been included in the Company's financial statements since the date of acquisition. The \$500,000 deposited as collateral in support of a bank line of credit is classified as restricted cash in the Company's balance sheet. In consideration of the operating results for the year ended June 30, 2004 and management's estimate of future cash flows, the Company wrote down its remaining investment in IRCA of approximately \$884,962 to \$0 in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004.

As part of the Danlas transaction, we issued two convertible notes of \$10,000 each with which to purchase the remaining 49% of IRCA. However, the notes are only effective should Danlas be able to exercise two options for the remaining 49% of IRCA. The options are exercisable for the period December 1, 2003 to December 31, 2005 commencing the day upon which the average closing price per share of the Company's common stock for a period of ten days equals or exceeds \$2.00. The purchase consideration for the remaining 49% is 2,000,000 shares of our common stock. The Company has allocated \$75,000 of the consideration paid to this option.

Purchased Intangible Assets

Changes in the net carrying amount of goodwill for the three months ended September 30, 2004 is as follows:

	==	
Balance as of September 30, 2004	\$	1,855,405
Goodwill acquired during the period		5 , 879
·	Y	, ,
Balance as of June 30, 2004	S	1,849,526

SFAS 142 requires goodwill and other intangible assets to be tested for impairment at least annually. We regularly evaluate whether events and circumstances have occurred which indicate a possible impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets. In evaluating whether there is an impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets, we

F - 41

evaluate the performance of each subsidiary relative to its performance in prior periods, its budget and its upcoming three year forecast. We also evaluate the revenue achieved per share of our common stock issued as part of the purchase consideration in relation to market capitalization of publicly traded training companies for current and prior periods. Based on our review of the goodwill and other intangible assets, we concluded that we did not have any impairment of goodwill at September 30, 2004.

The values assigned to other intangible assets are considered appropriate based on independent valuations. The following table sets forth the Company's acquired other intangible assets at September 30 and June 30, 2004, respectively, which will continue to be amortized:

September 30, 2004

		Gross Carrying Amount	Weighted Average Life in Months		ccumulated ortization		Net Carrying Amount		Gross Carrying Amount	Weig Aver Life Mont
Trade names and	\$	156,841	58	\$	33,942	\$	122,899	\$	156,841	
trademarks Backlog Current and core		40,600	36		15,944		24,656		40,600	
technology Customer		152,317	9		51,992		100,325		152,317	
relationships		175,100	55		32,647		142,453		175,100	
Other intangibles		53,600	13		53,600		-		53,600	
Total	\$	578 , 458	38	\$	188,125	\$	390,333	\$	578,458	
	===			===		=		==:		

Five Year Amortization schedule:

Fiscal Year	Amount
2005	\$ 91,446
2006 2007	126,475 83,547
2008 2009	60,302 28,563
Thereafter	
Total	\$ 390,333

Divestitures

In December 2003, we sold our interest in CBL Global Corporation and its Australian subsidiaries (collectively "CBL") to Messrs. Scammell and Kennedy, the former owners of CBL. In conjunction with the management buyout, we entered into a Settlement Agreement with respect to our litigation with CBL. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, we conveyed all of our interest in CBL back to the former owners in exchange for surrender and cancellation of 3,000,000 shares of Company stock issued to them in connection with acquisition of CBL and the cancellation of \$1,000,000 in convertible notes payable to them. Also as a result of the divestiture, \$222,151 owed by CBL to Messrs. Kennedy and Scammell is no longer an obligation of the Company. Through CBL's strategic alliance with IRCA, Trinity will continue to market CBL-related workplace learning content and products in Africa.

As a result of the divestiture, the accumulated deficit of \$1,314,277 resulting from the accumulated operating loss for CBL between October 2002 and December 2003 as well as other comprehensive income of \$30,128 for the same period are included in our consolidated accumulated deficit and accumulated other comprehensive income at September 30, 2004. The net fair value of the assets and liabilities divested, net of \$1,000,000 convertible note payable, which was cancelled, the intercompany receivable from CBL and the cancellation of 3,000,000 shares of our common stock which were cancelled were recorded as a \$461,063 net credit to our common stock.

Pro Forma Results

The operating results of CBL, TouchVision, RMT and VILPAS have been included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition forward and, for CBL, up to the date of divestiture. In December 2003, we completed the sale of our interest in CBL to the former owners of CBL. Accordingly, CBL business' results of operations were included from July 1, 2003 to September 30, 2003. The business results of

F-42

operations of RMT and TouchVision are included for the period September 1, 2003 through September 30, 2004. The business results for VILPAS are included for the period July 1, 2004 through September 30, 2004.

The following unaudited pro forma financial information for the three months ended September 30, 2003 presents the combined results of operations of the Company and TouchVision, RMT, and VILPAS assuming the acquisitions occurred July 1, 2003. In December 2003, we completed the sale of our interest in CBL to the former owners of CBL. Accordingly, CBL's business operating results are not included in the Company's combined unaudited pro forma financial information for the three months ended September 30, 2003. The unaudited pro forma financial information is not intended to represent or be indicative of the consolidated results of the operations of the Company that would have been reported had these acquisitions been completed as of the dates presented, nor should it be taken as a representation of the future consolidated results of operations of the Company.

	(Pro forma)
	Three Months Ended September 30, 2003
Revenue	\$ 1,193,198
Gross Profit	919 , 957
Operating Loss	\$ (706,227)
Net Loss	\$ (726,300)
Net Loss per Common Share - Basic / Diluted	\$ (0.03)

NOTE 3 - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Scheduled below are the assets, cost, and accumulated depreciation at September 30, 2004 and June 30, 2004, respectively and depreciation expense for the three months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

		Asset Cost			1	Depreciat	Accumulated De				
	9/	30/2004	6/ 	30/2004	9/30/2004		/30/2004 9/30/2003		9/30/2004		_
Furniture & Equipment	\$	41,949	\$	53,733	\$	3 , 337	\$	4,862	\$	8,447	\$

NOTE 4 - EQUITY INVESTMENTS IN AND ADVANCES TO ASSOCIATED COMPANIES

At September 30, 2004, the principal components of Equity Investments in and Advances to Associated Companies were the following:

	Ayrshire	IRCA
Equity investment as of July 1, 2004	\$ 842,935	\$ -
Advances	1,000,000	80,000
Provision for advances	_	(80,000)
Equity in losses of unconsolidated subsidiary	(225, 255)	(343,246)
Balance September 30, 2004	\$ 1,617,680	\$ (343,246)

The financial positions of Ayrshire / Riverbend and IRCA at September 30, 2004 were:

F-43

	Ayrshire	IRCA
Income statement information:		
Revenue	\$ 411 , 772	\$ 1,382,499
Operating loss	\$ (76,452)	\$ (296,339)
Net Loss	\$ (225,255)	\$ (343,246)
Financial position information:		
Current assets	\$ 899 , 473	\$ 566,957 =======
Noncurrent assets	\$ 83 , 595	\$ 1,147,321
Current Liabilities	\$ 349,656	\$ 896,042
Long-term liabilities	\$ 1,543,736	\$ 1,908,876
Current Liabilities	\$ 83,595 ======== \$ 349,656 ======== \$ 1,543,736	\$ 1,147,321 ======== \$ 896,042 ======== \$ 1,908,876

The consideration paid for our investment in Ayrshire was \$1,379,871. This amount comprises legal and financial advisory fees of \$379,871 plus 2,000,000 shares of our common stock valued at \$0.50 per share. The net asset value of Ayrshire at acquisition date was \$1,806,886 and our pro rata share of their net assets was \$875,463. Equity Investments in Associated Companies are periodically reviewed for impairment. The difference between our investment and our pro rata share of Ayrshire's net assets as been allocated to goodwill and to intangible assets. Equity Investments in Associated Companies are periodically reviewed for impairment. When such impairment is identified, it is recorded as a loss in that period. As of September 30, 2004, no such impairment was incurred.

The consideration paid for our investment in IRCA was \$2,178,049. This amount comprises legal, financial advisory and consultancy fees of \$928,049 including the payment to Mr. Steynberg of \$607,165 plus 2,500,000 shares of our common stock valued at \$0.50 per share. The net asset value of IRCA at acquisition date was \$2,704,870 and our pro rata share of their net assets was \$1,379,484. The difference between our investment and our pro rata share of IRCA's net assets

has been allocated to goodwill and to intangible assets. Equity Investments in Associated Companies are periodically reviewed for impairment. When such impairment is identified, it is recorded as a loss in that period. As of June 30, 2004, we recognized an impairment loss for IRCA of \$884,963.

In connection with our September 1, 2003 purchase of 51% of Ayrshire, we agreed to make a non-interest-bearing loan of \$1,000,000 to Ayrshire, \$300,000 of which was advanced at closing of the acquisition and \$700,000 was advanced on November 3, 2003. The note is due December 30, 2006 provided that if by December 2005, an option to purchase the additional 49% of Ayrshire has not been exercised, the loan shall be repayable in five equal annual installments, the first installment being payable on December 31, 2007 and the remaining installments payable in yearly intervals thereafter. As partial consideration for our December 1, 2003 purchase of 51% of IRCA, we agreed to make a non-interest-bearing loan of \$80,000 to IRCA Australia, which was advanced during fiscal 2004. Based on management's evaluation of the collectibility of this loan receivable, we provided an allowance for doubtful loan receivable for \$80,000 during the three months ended September 30, 2004.

The other amortizable intangible assets are being amortized over varying periods, as indicated by independent valuations, using the straight-line method. The values assigned to the intangible assets are considered appropriate based on independent valuations. The identifiable intangible assets are being amortized over varying periods ranging from three to five years, as indicated by independent valuations, and using the straight-line method.

The following table sets forth the Company's acquired intangible assets in equity investments at September 30, 2004 which will continue to be amortized:

2004

			Gross arrying Amount	Weighted Average Life in Months		cumulated ortization		Net arrying Amount
Backlog		\$	123,142	55	\$	28,012	\$	95 , 130
Current and core technology			28,101	36		10,094		18,007
Distributor relationships			122,579	60		26,478		96,101
Maintenance Contracts			67 , 345	60		16,283		51 , 062
In-Process R&D			20,833	0		20,833		-
Option value			325,000	indefinite		-		325 , 000
	m - 1 - 1					101 700		
	Total	\$	687 , 000		\$	101,700	\$	585 , 300
		===			===		===:	

F - 44

Five Year Amortization schedule:

Fiscal Year	Amount
2005	\$ 56 , 395
2006	75 , 095
2007	63,828
2008	55,346
2009	9,636

Thereafter -----Total \$ 260,300

NOTE 5 - COMMITMENTS

Total rental expense included in operations for operating leases for the three months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively, amounted to \$42,919 and \$27,332. The operating leases pertain to office space used by the Company for its operations. Certain lease rentals contain renewal options and provide for payment of property taxes and operating expenses. These operating lease agreements expire at varying dates through 2008.

Total minimum lease commitments as of September 30, 2004:

Calendar Year	Amount
2004	\$ 111,260
2005	371 , 943
2006	333,591
2007	322,169
2008	134,237
Thereafter	-
Total	\$ 1,273,200
	========

As part of the Company's contractual arrangement with IRCA, we agreed to place \$500,000 on deposit with Standard Bank and restrict the funds for use as collateral for an operating line of credit at IRCA. This deposit is classified as restricted cash. Should IRCA default on its line of credit with Standard Bank, these funds may be seized by Standard Bank.

NOTE 6 - OTHER ASSETS

Supplemental Balance Sheet Information as of September 30, 2004 (unaudited) and June 30, 2004 (audited):

	-	tember 30 2004 (naudited)		une 30, 2004 Audited)
Other assets: Deferred debt issuance costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$7,194 and \$0, respectively Other assets	\$	251,806 145,925	\$	- 142,856
Other assets, net	\$ ===	397,731	\$ ===	142,856

NOTE 7 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Our corporate reorganization during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 was effected primarily by two of our officers and directors, Messrs. Douglas Cole and Edward Mooney. During that fiscal year and the transition period subsequent thereto, we entered into several transactions with these individuals and with entities controlled by them, as well as entities controlled by Mr. Theodore

Swindells, a significant stockholder of our company.

As of July 15, 2002, we entered in a two-year Advisory Agreement with Granite Creek Partners, LLC ("GCP"), formerly King's Peak Advisors, LLC, automatically renewable for an additional 12-month period. Under the terms of the Advisory Agreement, GCP agreed to provide us with general corporate, financial, business development and investment advisory services on a non-exclusive basis. These services include assisting with the identification of

F-45

placement agents, underwriters, lenders and other sources of financing, as well as additional qualified independent directors and members of management. GCP is a private company whose principals are Messrs. Cole, Mooney and Swindells. At our August 19, 2003 meeting, our board of directors voted to suspend the Advisory Agreement from August 15, 2003 until January 2004, and this agreement remains suspended. Through December 31, 2003, GCP had earned a total of \$315,000 under the Advisory Agreement, \$110,000 of which was converted into 4,400,000 shares of our common stock in March 2003. The remaining balance of \$205,000 was paid in full to GCP as of June 30, 2004.

As of July 31, 2002, we entered into an Advisory Agreement with European American Securities, Inc. ("EAS"), a private entity of which Mr. Swindells is a principal, pursuant to which EAS agreed to provide financial advisory and investment banking services to us in connection with various equity and/or debt transactions. In exchange for such services, we agreed to pay EAS a retainer fee of \$5,000 per month and a commission ranging from 5% to 7% based on the type of transaction consummated, such fees being payable, at EAS' option, in cash or our common stock. On October 2, 2003, we renewed the agreement with EAS on terms similar to those contained in the first agreement. On January 1, 2004, we amended the October 2003 agreement for services rendered in connection with our senior convertible bridge note offering, which closed on May 28, 2004, for which we paid EAS a fee of 10%. Through June 30, 2004, EAS had earned a total of \$1,065,104 pursuant to our arrangement with them, of which \$345,450 was earned in connection with private equity and/or debt transactions and \$719,654 was earned for advisory services in connection with certain acquisitions. In January 2004, 250,000 shares with a fair market value of \$375,000 were paid to EAS in the Company's common stock. As of September 30, 2004, the balance owed to EAS was \$81,653. On May 27, 2004, European American Perinvest Group, a subsidiary of EAS, invested \$100,000 in our 2004 senior convertible bridge note offering. On May 28, 2004, this investment was converted to 166,699 restricted shares of our common stock.

During the period August 2001 to June 30, 2002, Mr. Swindells advanced a total of \$925,000 to us by way of short-term non-interest bearing convertible working capital loans, as follows: \$145,000 during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2001 and \$780,000 during the transition period from October 1, 2002 to June 30, 2003. We repaid \$500,000 of the total amount owing in September 2003 and issued an aggregate of 850,000 shares of our common stock to Mr. Swindells in November 2003 in payment of the balance of \$425,000. During the period June 2004 to October 2004, Mr. Swindells advanced us \$155,000 for use as working capital. On August 10, 2004 we repaid \$50,000 of this amount and on November 2, 2004 we paid the remaining balance of \$105,000. On October 14, 2004, Mr. Swindells exercised warrants to purchase 300,000 shares of our common stock at \$0.05 per share.

In October 2002, we (i) issued convertible promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$500,000 (the "Bridge Financing Notes") to certain individuals and entities, and (ii) in connection with the issuance of the Bridge Financing Notes, issued warrants to the holders of the notes to purchase additional shares of common stock. Of the total principal amount of the Bridge

Financing Notes, \$55,000 was advanced by GCP and \$120,000 by Mr. Swindells. On May 19, 2003, the aggregate principal amount of the Bridge Financing Notes and accrued interest thereon of \$34,745 was converted into 1,336,867 shares of common stock at a price of \$0.40 per share. The warrants issued in connection with the Bridge Financing Notes are exercisable for a period of one year at a price of \$0.05 per share, and contain a net issuance provision whereby the holders may elect a cashless exercise of such warrants based on the fair market value of the common stock at the time of conversion. On March 26, 2004, GSP exercised its warrants in a cashless exercise for which it received a total of 126,042 shares of common stock.

In connection with our acquisition of our interest in IRCA, we entered into an agreement with Titan Aviation Ltd. ("Titan"), a private company held in a trust of which Mr. Martin Steynberg and other business partners are the beneficiaries. Pursuant to this agreement, we paid Titan on May 14, 2004 the sterling equivalent of 4,000,000 South African Rand (\$607,165) in consideration for various services rendered to IRCA. Mr. Steynberg, who is a stockholder in IRCA Investments (Proprietary) Limited, which owns 25.1% of IRCA became a director of our company on January 1, 2004 pursuant to the terms of the IRCA acquisition.

William Jobe, one of our directors, was paid a total of \$59,500 during the period December 2003 to May 2004 and in September 2004 he was paid \$4,815 as compensation for merger and acquisition services associated with our acquisition of TouchVision.

F - 46

From time to time, Jan-Olaf Willums, an officer of VILPAS, as well as companies of which he is a director, have advanced funds to VILPAS. The current balance of \$181,408 owing to Mr. Willums, of which \$109,341 bears interest at 8% per annum and \$72,067 is non-interest bearing, has no fixed terms of repayment.

NOTE 8 - NOTES PAYABLE

On July 29, 2004, we issued a secured promissory note in the principal amount of \$500,000 to Oceanus Value Fund, L.P. ("Oceanus"). The note would have matured on October 27, 2004, and bore interest at the rate of twelve percent (12%). In connection with the issuance of the note, we also issued to Oceanus a five-year warrant to purchase up to 125,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$1.00 per share. As such, a value attributable to the warrants using the Black Scholes option valuation model of \$188,842 was determined and recorded as a discount on notes payable.

On August 31, 2004, we entered into a series of agreements with Laurus Master Fund, Ltd. ("Laurus") whereby we issued to Laurus (i) a secured convertible term note in the principal amount of \$5.5 million and (ii) a five-year warrant to purchase up to 1,600,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.81 per share. Of the note proceeds, \$4,491,000 was deposited in a restricted account as security for the total loan amount and for use by us to make acquisitions as approved by Laurus; the outstanding principal balance of \$500,000 was repaid to Oceanus and the remainder of the loan proceeds was used for operating needs. The principal amount of the note carries an interest rate of prime plus two percent, subject to adjustment, and we must make monthly principal payments of at least \$22,059, commencing November 1, 2004, toward the outstanding non-restricted principal amount. Any remaining outstanding principal and interest are due in full on August 31, 2007. The principal amount of the note and accrued interest thereon are convertible into shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.72 per share, subject to anti-dilution adjustments. A value attributable to the warrants using the Black Scholes option valuation model of \$2,863,363 was determined and recorded as a discount on notes payable. A value attributable to

the beneficial conversion feature using of the note using the Black Scholes option valuation model of \$2,070,784 was determined and recorded as a credit to common stock and a discount on notes payable.

During the period from June 2004 through September 2004, Mr. Swindells advanced us \$120,000 for use as working capital. On August 10, 2004 we repaid \$50,000 of this amount.

As of September 30, 2004 and June 30, 2004, notes payable consisted of the following:

	Sep	tember 30, 2004
Notes payable to third parties:		
Convertible note payable, net of unamortized discount of \$2,783,825 attributed to warrant and unamortized discount of \$2,013,262 attributable to beneficial conversion; interest at prime plus 2% payable monthly, principal payments of \$22,059 on the outstanding non-restricted principal amount due the first of each month beginning November 1, 2004 until August 31, 2007 when any remaining principal and interest are due.	\$	702,913
Note payable to two credit unions; interest payable monthly, principal due in full February 5, 2005, unsecured, interest at 12% per annum.		250,000
Third party creditors; unsecured, non-interest bearing and no fixed terms of repayment.		10,810
Third party individuals; due September 1, 2006, unsecured, interest at 10% per annum, interest payable monthly, principal due in full at maturity.		92,699
Bank note payable; due October 29, 2004, secured by Company vehicle, interest at 9.5% per annum, monthly payments of interest and principal.		10,736
F-47		
Revolving bank lines of credit; unsecured, interest ranging from prime plus 2.625% to prime plus 6.75%, monthly payment of principal and interest.		131,590
Revolving third party line of credit; unsecured, interest at prime plus 1.99%, monthly payments of interest and principal.		9 , 958
Notes payable to related parties:		
Note payable to related party; principal and accumulated interest due December 31, 2004, unsecured, interest at 6% per annum.		14,286

Convertible note payable to a related party, unsecured, interest,

non-interest bearing, no fixed terms of repayment.		70,000
Note payable to a related party; unsecured, interest on \$109,341; non-interest bearing on \$72,067. No firepayment.	-	181,408
Notes payable for acquisitions and equity investmen		
Convertible note payable to a related party for VII August 5, 2005, unsecured, non-interest bearing.	500,000	
Convertible note payable to a related party for IRO December 31, 2005, unsecured, non-interest bearing.	20,000	
Convertible note payable to a related party for Riv due December 31, 2006, unsecured, non-interest bear	•	20,000
Less: current maturities	Total notes payable	2,014,400 (1,262,086)
	Long-term notes payable	\$ 752,314

Maturity schedule for notes payable:

Fi	scal Year		Amount
	2005	\$	605 , 259
	2006		1,054,706
	2007		357,405
	2008		4,794,117
	2009		
	Sub-total		6,811,487
Less: unamortize	d note discount		(4,797,087)
	Total	\$	2,014,400
		===	

NOTE 9 - STOCK OPTION PLAN

On December 2, 2002, at a special meeting of our shareholders, the 2002 Stock Plan was approved. The Plan allowed for a maximum aggregate number of shares that may be optioned and sold under the plan of (a) 3,000,000 shares, plus (b) an annual 500,000 increase to be added on the last day of each fiscal year beginning in 2003 unless a lesser amount is determined by the board of directors. The plan became effective with its adoption and remains in effect for ten years unless terminated earlier. On December 30, 2003, the board of directors amended the 2002 Stock Plan to allow for a maximum aggregate number of shares that may be optioned and sold under the plan of (a) 6,000,000 shares, plus (b) an annual 1,000,000 increase to be added on the last day of each fiscal year beginning in 2004 unless a lesser amount is determined by the board of directors. Options granted under the plan vest 25% on the day of the grant and the remaining 75% vests monthly over the next 36 months.

The following schedule summarizes the activity during the three months ended September 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively:

	September 30, 2004			September 30, 2003			
	Weighted- Average Exercise Shares Price			Shares	Av Ex	ighted- erage ercise rice	
Outstanding at beginning of year Granted	5,570,000 100,000	\$	0.42	2,447,000 735,000	\$	0.23 0.50	
Exercised Canceled	-		- -	-		-	
Outstanding at September 30	5,670,000	\$	0.43	3,182,000	\$	0.29	
Exercisable at September 30	2,965,729	\$	0.40	1,303,125	\$	0.26	

Stock options outstanding and exercisable under 2002 Stock Plan as of September 30, 2004 are as follows:

Range of Exercise Price	Number of Options Outstanding	Ave	ghted erage ise Price	Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Number of Options Vested (Exercisable)
\$0.05	500,000	\$	0.05	3.02	372 , 260
\$0.25 \$0.50	800,000 4,270,000		0.25 0.50	3.20 4.13	560,685 1,989,565
\$0.60	100,000		0.60	4.27	43 , 219
	5,670,000	\$	0.43		2,965,729
		======			

There are 1,784,590 options available for grant at September 30, 2004. The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted as of September 30, 2004 is \$0.43.

NOTE 10 - WARRANTS

Through September 30, 2004, the Company had issued warrants for purchase of its common stock to investors and service providers in connection with its financing transactions. The principal terms of the warrants are summarized below:

Description	Number of Shares	Exercise Price per Share		
October 2002 Equity Private Placement	500,000	\$	1.00	
October 2002 Equity Private Placement Bonus Warrants (1)	250,000	\$	2.00	
May 2003 Equity Private Placement	2,438,000	\$	1.00	
May 2003 Equity Private Placement	7,708,600	\$	1.00	

May 2003 Bonus Warrants (1)	5,073,300	\$	2.00
Warrants Issued to Financial Advisors	200,050	\$	0.60
Warrants Issued to Investment Bank	20,000	\$	0.50
Warrants Issued to Mr. Swindells on note conversion	850,000	\$	1.00
Bonus Warrants to Mr. Swindells (1)	425,000	\$	2.00
2004 Bridge Loan Warrant	2,695,000	\$	1.00
Warrant Issued to Oceanus Value Fund	125,000	\$	1.00
Warrant Issued to Laurus Funds	1,600,000	\$	0.81
Total	21,884,950	\$	1.24
	=========	=====	

(1) Bonus warrants are issuable upon exercise of the original warrant.

F - 49

NOTE 11 - SEGMENT AND RELATED INFORMATION

We operate as a single business segment; however, our consolidated subsidiaries are organized geographically into reporting segments consisting of the United States Division, the European Division, the Australia Division and the South Africa Division. Our United States division comprises our corporate operations and subsidiaries domiciled in the United States of America. The European division comprises subsidiaries domiciled in Europe; the Australia Division comprises subsidiaries domiciled in Australia. The South Africa division comprises non-consolidated subsidiaries domiciled in South Africa accounted for using the equity method of accounting including a two person office owned by them in Australia.

As of and for the first quarter ended September 30, 2004:

	R	Revenue	0	perating Loss	-	reciation & rtization	Lo As	nvestment losses in lssociated companies		ccounts ceivable	G	oodwill	Pr Ea
United States	\$	384 , 579	\$	(937,139)	\$	34,334	\$	_	\$	125,814	\$	914,815	\$
Europe		362,464		(59,883)		11,625		_		205,287		564,073	,
Australia		155,811		(4,042)		2,003		_		60,168		376,517	ļ
South Africa		_		_		_		(648,501)		_		_	
Total	\$	902 , 854	\$ (1,001,064)	\$	47 , 962	\$	(648,501)	\$	391 , 269	\$ 1	1,855,405	\$
	==		==		===		==:	=======	===		===		===

As of and for the first quarter ended September 30, 2003:

	P 	Revenue	0	perating Loss	-	ceciation & ctization	Lo As	nvestment osses in ssociated ompanies	ccounts ceivable	Goodwill		Pr Eq
United States	\$	109,993	\$	(696,107)	\$	5 , 852	\$	_	\$ 132,484	\$	_	\$

	==		==		===		===		===		 	===
Total	\$	253 , 993	\$	(921,220)	\$	88,838	\$	(995)	\$	298 , 975	\$ _	\$
South Africa		_		_		_		(995)		_	 _	
Australia		144,000		(225,113)		82 , 986		_		166,491	_	
Europe		_		_		_		_		_	_	

NOTE 12 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

On July 29, 2004, we issued a secured promissory note in the principal amount of \$500,000 to Oceanus Value Fund, L.P. ("Oceanus"). In connection with the issuance of the note, we also issued to Oceanus a five-year warrant to purchase up to 125,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$1.00 per share. A value attributable to the warrants using the Black Scholes option valuation model of \$188,842 was determined and recorded as a discount on notes payable.

On August 31, 2004, we entered into a series of agreements with Laurus Master Fund, Ltd. ("Laurus") whereby we issued to Laurus (i) a secured convertible term note in the principal amount of \$5.5 million and (ii) a five-year warrant to purchase up to 1,600,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.81 per share. Of the note proceeds, \$4,491,000 was deposited in a restricted account as security for the total loan amount and for use by us to make acquisitions as approved by Laurus; the outstanding principal balance of \$500,000 was repaid to Oceanus and the remainder of the loan proceeds was used for operating needs. The principal amount of the note carries an interest rate of prime plus two percent, subject to adjustment, and we must make monthly principal payments of at least \$22,059, commencing November 1, 2004, toward the outstanding non-restricted principal amount. The principal amount of the note and accrued interest thereon are convertible into shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.72 per share, subject to anti-dilution adjustments. A value attributable to the warrants using the Black Scholes model of \$2,863,363 was determined and recorded as a liability and a discount on notes payable. A value attributable to the beneficial conversion feature of the note using the Black Scholes option valuation model of \$2,070,784 was determined and recorded as a credit to common stock and a discount on notes payable

NOTE 13 - GOING CONCERN

Our financial statements are prepared using accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America generally applicable to a going concern, which contemplates the realization of assets and liquidation of

F-50

liabilities in the normal course of business. Currently, we do not have significant cash or other material assets, nor do we have an established source of revenues sufficient to cover our operating costs and to allow us to continue as a going concern. We do not currently possess a financial institution source of financing and we cannot be certain that our existing sources of cash will be adequate to meet our liquidity requirements. These conditions raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern

To meet our present and future liquidity requirements, we will continue to seek additional funding through private placements, and ongoing business operations. To this end, we anticipate closing a \$1.5 million private offering of convertible debt in late December 2004 or early January 2005, the proceeds of which will be added to our working capital. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in obtaining more debt and/or equity financing in the future or that our results of operations will materially improve in either the short-

or the long-term. If we fail to obtain such financing and improve our results of operations, we will be unable to meet our obligations as they become due.

Trinity's future capital requirements will depend on our ability to successfully implement these initiatives and other factors, including our ability to maintain our existing customer base and to expand our customer base into new geographic markets, and overall financial market conditions in the United States and other countries where we will seek prospective investors.

Our financial statements are prepared using accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America generally applicable to a going concern, which contemplates the realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities in the normal course of business. Currently, we do not have significant cash or other material assets, nor do we have an established source of revenues sufficient to cover our operating costs and to allow us to continue as a going concern. We do not currently possess a financial institution source of financing and we cannot be certain that our existing sources of cash will be adequate to meet our liquidity requirements. Based upon our cash balance at December 1, 2004 we will not be able to sustain operations for more than two months without additional sources of financing.

F-51

PART II

INFORMATION NOT REQUIRED IN PROSPECTUS

ITEM 24. Indemnification of Directors and Officers.

Our bylaws provide, among other things, that the personal liability our directors or officers to us or our stockholders shall be eliminated or limited to the fullest extent permitted under Utah law, which law permits elimination of personal liability except for liability for (i) the amount of a financial benefit received by a director to which he is not entitled, (ii) an intentional infliction of harm on us or our shareholders, (iii) unlawful distributions, and (iv) intentional violations of criminal law. Our bylaws also provide that we shall indemnify, to the fullest extent permitted by Utah law, an individual that is made a party to a proceeding because the person is or was a director or officer of our company against liability incurred in such proceeding. We may purchase and maintain liability insurance on behalf of our directors, officers and employees in respect of liability asserted against or incurred by such persons in their capacities as directors, officers or employees of our company.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 may be permitted to our directors, officers and controlling persons pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, we have been advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Act and is, therefore, unenforceable.

ITEM 25. Other Expenses of Issuance and Distribution.

The following table sets forth the various costs and expenses to be paid by us with respect to the sale and distribution of the securities being registered. All of the amounts shown are estimates except for the SEC registration fee.

Securities and Exchange Commission Filing Fee	\$ 3,000
Printing Fees and Expenses	2,000
Legal Fees and Expenses	25,000

TOTAL	\$ 38,000
Miscellaneous	3,000
Blue Sky Fees and Expenses	5,000

We will bear all costs, expenses and fees in connection with the registration of the shares. The selling stockholders will bear all commissions and discounts, if any, attributable to the sales of the shares.

ITEM 26. Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities.

The following is information as to all securities we have sold within the past three years that were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended:

On February 4, 2002, we issued 3,500 restricted shares of our common stock (as presently constituted) to W.F. Fund LLC for an aggregate purchase price of \$35,000. The consideration was paid in the form of a promissory note secured by the shares of our common stock purchased with the note. The shares of common stock were issued to an accredited investor in a private placement transaction in reliance upon the exemption provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. Additionally, we granted the subscriber a two year option to purchase 8,000 shares at \$1.00 per share.

On March 15, 2002, we effected a reverse stock split pursuant to which one share of our post-split common stock would represent one hundred outstanding shares of pre-split common stock. Any stockholder who otherwise would have been entitled to receive a fractional share, because the number of shares of common stock they hold is not evenly divisible by one hundred, was entitled to have such fractional number rounded up so as to receive a full share in lieu of the fractional share. We issued 100 shares of post-reverse split common stock in connection with this rounding-up following the reverse split.

Pursuant to a series of related transactions which closed on October 1, 2002, we issued 3,000,000 restricted shares of our common stock and issued US\$1,000,000 in convertible promissory notes to two individuals in connection with our acquisition of Competency Based Learning, Inc., a California corporation, and two related Australian companies, Competency Based Learning, Pty. Ltd. ACN 084 763 780 and ACN 082 126 501 Pty. Ltd. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, the offerings and sales were made to a limited number of persons,

II-1

and transfer was restricted by us in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act. The recipients of the securities represented their intention to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view to or for sale in connection with any distribution thereof and appropriate legends were affixed to the share certificates and other instruments issued in such transactions.

Effective October 1, 2002, we issued an aggregate of 1,200,000 restricted shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.025 per share to three directors in consideration for past services valued in the aggregate at \$30,000. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, the offerings and sales were made to a limited number of persons, all of whom were directors and

existing shareholders, and we restricted transfer of the securities in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act. The recipients of the securities represented their intention to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view to or for sale in connection with any distribution thereof and appropriate legends were affixed to the share certificates and other instruments issued in such transactions.

As of October 2, 2002, we issued an aggregate of 1,070,000 restricted shares of our common stock valued in the aggregate at \$26,750 (\$0.025 per share) to eight unrelated individuals and entities in settlement of outstanding amounts due such persons for services rendered to us. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 as a transaction not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, the offerings and sales were made to a limited number of persons, all of whom were business associates, and we restricted transfer of the securities in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act. The recipients of the securities represented their intention to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view to or for sale in connection with any distribution thereof and appropriate legends were affixed to the share certificates and other instruments issued in such transactions.

During the period June 2002 to September 2002, we issued convertible promissory notes to certain individuals and entities for a total principal amount of \$500,000, ("2002 Bridge Financing"). In connection with the issuance of these notes, we also issued warrants to purchase additional shares of our common stock. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 as a transaction not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, the offerings and sales were made to a limited number of persons, all of whom were business associates, and we restricted transfer of the securities in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act. The recipients of the securities represented their intention to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view to or for sale in connection with any distribution thereof and appropriate legends were affixed to the share certificates and other instruments issued in such transactions.

On May 19, 2003, we issued an aggregate of 1,336,867 restricted shares of our common stock upon conversion of all of principal amount of the Bridge Financing Notes of \$500,000, as well as accrued interest thereon totaling \$34,745. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 as a transaction not involving any public offering.

During the period October 2002 to March 2003, we issued an aggregate of 3,200,000 restricted shares of our common stock pursuant to the terms of a convertible promissory note. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, the offerings and sales were made to one entity, the principals of which were directors and existing shareholders, and we restricted transfer of the securities in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act. The recipients of the securities represented their intention to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view to or for sale in connection with any distribution thereof and appropriate legends were affixed to the share certificates and other instruments issued in such transactions.

During the period January 2003 to April 2003, we issued 500,000 restricted shares of common stock at a price of \$0.50 for aggregate consideration of \$250,000, pursuant to a private offering of 350,000 units to investors. Each unit consisted of two restricted shares of our common stock and two warrants, each entitling the holder to purchase one additional share of our common stock

at a price of \$1.00 per share, and the right to acquire an additional bonus warrant upon exercise of the two original warrants, each such bonus warrant entitling the holder to purchase one additional share of common stock at \$2.00 per share. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, the offerings and sales were made to a limited number of persons, and we restricted transfer in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act.

On July 8, 2003, we issued a five-year warrant to Merriman, Curran, Ford & Co. a financial service company, to purchase up to 20,000 shares of our common stock for a period of five years at \$0.50 per share in consideration for financial

II-2

advisory services provided to us by the firm. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving any public offering.

On September 1, 2003, we issued an aggregate of 1,250,000 restricted shares of our common stock to the twelve shareholders of TouchVision, Inc. in exchange for acquisition of all of the issued and outstanding shares of TouchVision, a California corporation that is in the business of providing technology-enabled information and leaning systems. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, the offerings and sales were made to a limited number of persons, and we restricted transfer of the securities in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act. The recipients of the securities represented their intention to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view to or for sale in connection with any distribution thereof and appropriate legends were affixed to the share certificates and other instruments issued in such transactions.

On September 1, 2003, we issued 700,000 restricted shares of our common stock to two shareholders of River Murray Training Pty. Ltd. ("RMT") in exchange for all of the issued and outstanding shares of RMT, an Australian company that is in the business of providing workplace training programs for various segments of the food production industry, including viticulture and horticulture. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, the offerings and sales were made to a limited number of persons, and we restricted transfer of the securities in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act. The recipients of the securities represented their intention to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view to or for sale in connection with any distribution thereof and appropriate legends were affixed to the share certificates and other instruments issued in such transactions.

On September 1, 2003 we issued a \$20,000 convertible promissory note that converts into 2,000,000 restricted shares of our common stock in consideration for 51% of the issued and outstanding shares of Ayrshire Trading Limited, a British Virgin Islands company, that owns 95% of Riverbend Group Holdings (Proprietary) Limited. The note converts at \$0.01 per share and conversion of the note is mandatory by maturity, December 30, 2006. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, the offerings and sales were made to a limited number of persons, and we restricted transfer of the

securities in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act. The recipients of the securities represented their intention to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view to or for sale in connection with any distribution thereof and appropriate legends were affixed to the share certificates and other instruments issued in such transactions.

During the period June 2003 to October 2003, we sold by way of private placement an aggregate of 5,073,300 units at a price of \$1.00 per unit, for aggregate consideration of \$5,073,300. Each unit comprised two shares of our common stock and two warrants, each warrant exercisable for one additional share of our common stock. In addition, each unit carried the right to acquire an additional warrant to purchase, under certain conditions, up to one additional share of common stock. In connection with the private placement, we issued 567,160 additional restricted shares of our common stock to various financial advisors in payment of fees and also issued five-year warrants to purchase an additional 200,050 shares of our common stock. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving any public offering. The recipients of the securities represented their intention to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view to or for sale in connection with any distribution thereof and appropriate legends were affixed to the share certificates and other instruments issued in such transactions.

During the period from September 2002 to June 2003 we issued convertible unsecured non-interest-bearing promissory notes to Mr. Theodore Swindells, in consideration for working capital loans, in the total principal amount of \$925,000. In September 2003, we repaid \$500,000 on the note balance then outstanding. In November 2003, the remaining balance of \$425,000 was converted in to 850,000 restricted shares of common stock at a deemed price of \$0.50 per share and such shares were issued to Mr. Swindells. In addition, we issued 850,000 warrants exercisable for an additional share of our common stock at \$1.00 per share. The warrants carry the right to acquire an additional warrant to purchase, under certain conditions, 425,000 shares of our common stock at \$2.00 per share. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, and the issuance of the securities was made to an existing shareholder of our company.

On December 1, 2003, we completed the acquisition of all the issued and outstanding shares of Danlas Limited, a British Virgin Islands Company that owns 51% of IRCA (Proprietary) Limited ("IRCA"). In consideration for the Danlas shares, we issued a convertible promissory note of \$20,000 convertible into a maximum of 2,500,000 shares of our common stock, two additional convertible promissory notes of \$10,000 each convertible into the remaining aggregate 49% of IRCA shares and agreed to issue up to an additional 1,000,000 shares of our in the event IRCA achieves certain profit thresholds.

II-3

These 2,500,000 shares have been recorded as conditionally redeemable common stock in stockholders' equity at \$0.50 per share. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, the offerings and sales were made to a limited number of persons, and we restricted transfer of the securities in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act. The recipients of the securities represented their intention to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view to or for sale in connection with any distribution thereof and appropriate legends were affixed to the

instruments issued in such transactions.

In January 2004, we issued 250,000 restricted shares of our common stock to European American Securities, Inc. at a deemed price of \$1.50 per share in partial settlement of amounts owing to this entity for financial advisory and investment banking services. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, and the issuance of the securities was made to an existing shareholder of our company.

In January 2004, we issued 100,000 restricted shares of our common stock to Ronald Posner in payment of finders' fees in respect of our acquisition of our interest in Riverbend Group Holdings (Proprietary) Limited. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving any public offering. The recipients of the securities represented their intention to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view to or for sale in connection with any distribution thereof and appropriate legends were affixed to the share certificates and other instruments issued in such transactions.

On March 1, 2004, we completed the acquisition of all of the issued and outstanding shares of Virtual Learning Partners AS ("VILPAS"), a Norwegian learning services company. In consideration for the VILPAS shares, we issued a non-interest-bearing promissory note in the principal amount of \$500,000, which note is convertible into 1,000,000 shares of our common stock. We also agreed to issue up to an additional 200,000 restricted shares of our common stock in the event certain revenue and profit thresholds are met by VILPAS during calendar 2004. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, the offerings and sales were made to a limited number of persons, and we restricted transfer of the securities in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act. The recipients of the securities represented their intention to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view to or for sale in connection with any distribution thereof and appropriate legends were affixed to the instruments issued in such transactions.

On March 19, 2004, we issued 40,721 restricted shares of our common stock in payment of finder's fees in connection with the private placement of our securities which closed in October 2003. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, and the issuance of the securities was made to an existing shareholder of our company.

During the period February 2004 to May 2004, we issued convertible promissory notes in the principal amount of \$2,695,000. The notes matured in 12 months and accrued interest at a rate of 7% per annum. The principal amount of the notes and accrued interest thereon are convertible into restricted shares of our common stock at a deemed price of \$0.60 per share. In connection with the issuance of the promissory notes, we also issued 2,695,000 warrants exercisable for an aggregate of 2,695,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. As of May 2004, all of the principal amount of the notes, along with \$17,041 in accrued interest thereon, had been converted into an aggregate of 4,520,069 restricted shares of our common stock. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, the offerings and sales were made to a limited number of persons, and we restricted transfer of the

securities in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act. The recipients of the securities represented their intention to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view to or for sale in connection with any distribution thereof and appropriate legends were affixed to the instruments issued in such transactions.

During the period February 2004 to November 2004, certain warrant holders from the 2002 Bridge Financing, exercised warrants at \$0.05 per share for 3,812,500 shares of our common stock The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, the offerings and sales were made to a limited number of persons, and we restricted transfer of the securities in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act.

In March 2004, Granite Creek Partners exercised its warrant for 137,500 shares exercisable at \$0.05 per share in a cashless exercise and received 126,042 shares of our common stock. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was

II-4

employed in offering the securities, the offerings and sales were made to a limited number of persons, and we restricted transfer of the securities in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act.

On December 17, 2004 we issued 417,600 shares of our common stock to several persons pursuant to three financial advisory agreements. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, the offerings and sales were made to a limited number of persons, and we restricted transfer of the securities in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act.

On July 29, 2004, we issued a secured convertible promissory note in the principal amount of \$500,000 to Oceanus Value Fund, L.P. ("Oceanus"). The note matures on October 27, 2004 and bears interest at the rate of twelve percent (12%). The holder of the note has the option to participate in a subsequent financing made during the term of the note, and in lieu of all or part of any cash payment that would otherwise be made to us in connection with such financing, the holder may elect to contribute \$1.00 of debt forgiveness under the note for each \$1.00 of such participation. In connection with the issuance of the note, we also issued to Oceanus a five-year warrant to purchase up to 125,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$1.00 per share. The issuance of these securities was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, the offerings and sales were made to one entity, and we restricted transfer of the securities in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act. The recipient of the securities represented its intention to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view to or for sale in connection with any distribution thereof, and appropriate legends were affixed to the instruments issued in such transactions.

On August 31, 2004, we entered into a series of agreements with Laurus Master Fund, Ltd. ("Laurus") whereby we issued to Laurus (i) a secured convertible term note ("Note") in the principal amount of \$5.5 million and (ii) a five-year warrant ("Warrant") to purchase up to 1,600,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.81 per share. The principal amount of the Note and accrued interest thereon is convertible into shares of our common stock at a price of \$0.72 per share, subject to anti-dilution adjustments. Under the terms of the Note, the monthly principal payment amount of approximately \$22,000.00, plus the monthly interest payment (together, the "Monthly Payment"), is payable in either cash or, if certain criteria are met, including the effectiveness of a current registration statement covering the shares of our common stock into which the Note is convertible, through the issuance of our common stock. Laurus has the option to convert the entire principal amount of the Note, together with interest thereon, into shares of our common stock, provided that such conversion does not result in Laurus beneficially owning more that 4.99% of our outstanding shares of common stock. The issuance of the Note and the Warrant was made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities, the offerings and sales were made to one entity, and we restricted transfer of the securities in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act. The recipient of the securities represented its intention to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view to or for sale in connection with any distribution thereof, and appropriate legends were affixed to the instruments issued in such transactions.

II-5

ITEM 27. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
2.1	Articles of Restatement of the Articles of Incorporation of Trinity Learning Corporation dated February 25, 2003 (4)
2.2	Bylaws of Trinity Companies, Inc. (1)
5.1	Opinion of Parsons Behle & Latimer. *
10.1	Financial Advisory Agreement and Indemnification Letter entered into as of July 31, 2002 between Trinity Companies, Inc. and European American Securities, Inc. (1)
10.2	Debt Conversion Agreement dated as of August 8, 2002 between Trinity Companies, Inc. and Global Marketing Associates, Inc. (1)
10.3	Form of Executive Employment Agreement. (1)
10.4	Advisory Agreement dated as of July 15, 2002 between Trinity Companies, Inc. and Kings Peak Advisors, LLC. (1)
10.5	Agreement and Plan of Reorganization dated as of October 1, 2002, among the Company, Competency Based Learning, Inc. and CBL Acquisition Corp. (2)

- 10.6 Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of October 1, 2002 between CBL Acquisition Corp. and Brian Kennedy, relating to shares of Competency Based Learning, Pty. Ltd ACN 084 763 780. (2)
- 10.7 Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of October 1, 2002 by and among CBL Acquisition Corp. and Brian Kennedy and Robert Stephen Scammell, relating to shares of ACN 082 126 501 Pty. Ltd. (2)
- 10.8 Escrow Agreement dated as of October 1, 2002 by and among the Company, CBL Acquisition Corp., Robert Stephen Scammell, Brian Patrick Kennedy and Heritage Bank of Commerce. (2)
- 10.9 Convertible Promissory Note in the principal amount of \$485,000 dated October 1, 2002 issued by CBL Acquisition Corp. to Robert Stephen Scammell. (2)
- 10.10 Convertible Promissory Note in the principal amount of \$515,000 dated October 1, 2002 issued by CBL Acquisition Corp. to Brian Kennedy. (2)
- 10.11 Promissory Note in the principal amount of US\$198,079.12 dated October 1, 2002 issued by CBL Acquisition Corp. to Robert Stephen Scammell. (2)
- 10.12 Promissory Note in the principal amount of AUD\$36,100.80 dated October 1, 2002 issued by CBL Acquisition Corp. to Brian Kennedy. (2)
- 10.13 Employment Agreement dated as of September 1, 2002 between CBL Acquisition Corp. and Robert Stephen Scammell. (2)
- 10.14 Employment Agreement dated as of September 1, 2002 between CBL Acquisition Corp. and Brian Kennedy. (2)
- 10.15 Security Agreement dated as of October 1, 2002 by and between CBL Acquisition Corp., Robert Stephen Scammell and Trinity Companies, Inc. (2)
- 10.16 Form of Deed of Charge executed as of October 1, 2002 by Competency Based Learning Pty Ltd. (2)
- 10.17 Form of Guarantee and Indemnity executed as of October 1, 2002 by Competency Based Learning Pty Ltd. (2)

II-6

- 10.18 Form of Guarantee and Indemnity executed as of October 1, 2002 by ACN 082 126 501 Pty. Ltd. (2)
- 10.19 Form of Stock Purchase Agreement entered into as of October 1, 2002 between Trinity Companies, Inc., and each of its directors. (2)
- 10.20 Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement dated as of August 20, 2002 between Trinity Companies and various purchasers. (2)
- 10.21 Form of Convertible Promissory Note issued pursuant to the Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement dated as of August 20, 2002. (2)
- 10.22 Form of Warrant issued pursuant to the Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement dated as of August 20, 2002. (2)
- 10.23 Commercial Tenancy Agreement between Wedgetail Systems PTY LTD ACN 003356429 and Competency Based Learning PTY LTD CAN 084 763780 dated

- December 12, 2000. (3)
- 10.24 Deed of Variation of Lease dated 1 July 2002 between Wedgetail Systems PTY LTD ACN 003356429 and Competency Based Learning PTY LTD ACN 084 763780. (3)
- 10.25 Registration Agreement dated June 2003 between Trinity Learning Corporation and certain of its shareholders. (5)
- 10.26 Securities Purchase Agreement date September 1, 2003 by and among Trinity Learning Corporation and the shareholders of TouchVision, Inc. (6)
- 10.27 Escrow Agreement dated September 1, 2003 by and among Trinity Learning Corporation, Gregory L. Roche, Larry J. Mahar and Heritage Bank of Commerce. (6)
- 10.28 Promissory Note dated September 1, 2003 from TouchVision, Inc. to Trinity Learning Corporation. (6)
- 10.29 Employment Agreement dated September 1, 2003 between TouchVision, Inc. and Gregory L. Roche. (6)
- 10.30 Employment Agreement dated September 1, 2003 between TouchVision, Inc. and Larry J. Mahar. (6)
- 10.31 Securities Purchase Agreement date August 12, 2003 by and among Trinity Learning Corporation and Barbara McPherson and Ildi Hayman. (6)
- 10.32 Escrow Agreement dated August 12, 2003 by and among Trinity Learning Corporation, Barbara McPherson, Ildi Hayman and Heritage Bank of Commerce. (6)
- 10.33 Acquisition Agreement dated September 1, 2003 between Great Owl Limited, a British Virgin Islands company, and Trinity Learning Corporation. (6)
- 10.34 Escrow Agreement dated September 1, 2003 by and among Great Owl Limited, a British Virgin Islands company, Trinity Learning Corporation, and Reed Smith of London as escrow agent. (6)
- 10.35 Deed of Pledge dated September 1, 2003 by Trinity Learning Corporation to Great Owl Limited, a British Virgin Islands company. (6)
- 10.36 Warranties of Seller under the Acquisition Agreement dated September 1, 2003 between Great Owl Limited, a British Virgin Islands company, and Trinity Learning Corporation. (6)
- 10.37 Warranties of Purchaser under the Acquisition Agreement dated September 1, 2003 between Great Owl Limited, a British Virgin Islands company, and Trinity Learning Corporation. (6)
- 10.38 Convertible Promissory Note dated September 1, 2003, issued by Trinity Learning Corporation to Great Owl Limited, a British Virgin Islands company. (6)
- 10.39 Acquisition Agreement dated December 1, 2003 between Musca Holding Limited, Trinity Learning Corporation and Danlas Limited. (7)

- 10.40 Escrow Agreement dated December 1, 2003 by and among Musca Holding Limited, Trinity Learning Corporation, and Reed Smith of London as escrow agent. (7)
- 10.41 Deed of Pledge dated December 1, 2003 by Trinity Learning Corporation, a Utah corporation, to Musca Holding Limited, a British Virgin Islands company. (7)
- 10.42 Warranties of Seller under the Acquisition Agreement dated December 1, 2003 between Musca Holding Limited, a British Virgin Islands company, and Trinity Learning Corporation. (7)
- 10.43 Warranties of Purchaser under the Acquisition Agreement dated December 1, 2003 between Musca Holding Limited, a British Virgin Islands company, and Trinity Learning Corporation. (7)
- 10.44 Convertible Promissory Note in the principal amount of \$20,000.00 dated December 1, 2003, issued by Trinity Learning Corporation, a Utah corporation, to Musca Holding Limited, a British Virgin Islands company.

 (7)
- 10.45 Convertible Promissory Note in the principal amount of \$10,000.00 dated December 1, 2003, issued by Trinity Learning Corporation, a Utah corporation, to Musca Holding Limited, a British Virgin Islands company. (7)
- 10.46 Convertible Promissory Note in the principal amount of \$10,000.00 dated December 1, 2003, issued by Trinity Learning Corporation, a Utah corporation, to Musca Holding Limited, a British Virgin Islands company. (7)
- 10.47 Settlement and Release Agreement made as of December 17, 2003, by and among Trinity Learning Corporation, CBL Global Corp., Competency Based Learning, Inc., Competency Based Learning Pty. Ltd. ACN 084 763 780, ACN 082 126 501 Pty Ltd, Stephen Scammell, and Brian Kennedy. (8)
- 10.48 Finder's Fee Agreement dated August 9, 2003 between Trinity Learning Corporation and London Court Ltd. (9)
- 10.49 Placement Agent Agreement dated May 30, 2003 between the Company and ACAP Financial, Inc. (9)
- 10.50 Financial Advisory Agreement dated May 29, 2003 between the Company and Merriman Curhan Ford & Co. (9)
- 10.51 Finder's Fee Agreement dated April 11, 2003 between the Company and TN Capital Equities, Ltd. (9)
- 10.52 Agreement dated December 17, 2003 between Trinity Learning Corporation and Titan Aviation Ltd. (9)
- 10.53 Acquisition Agreement dated March 1, 2004 by and among Trinity Learning Corporation and the Shareholders. (10)
- 10.54 Escrow Agreement dated March 1, 2004 by and among Trinity Learning Corporation, the Shareholders, Jan-Olaf Willums as Shareholder Representative and Heritage Bank of Commerce as escrow agent. (10)
- 10.55 Convertible Promissory Note in the principal amount of \$500,000.00 dated March 1, 2004, issued by Trinity Learning Corporation to Inspire AS. (10)

- 10.56 Amended Agreement dated March 1, 2004 between Trinity Learning Corporation and Titan Aviation Ltd. (11)
- 10.57 Sublease Agreement dated July 22, 2003 between Trinity Learning Corporation and Vargus Marketing Group, Inc. (11)
- 10.58 Amended Agreement dated January 1, 2004 between the Company and European American Securities (12)
- 10.59 Agreement dated July 14, 2002 between the Company and Lynne Longmire. (12)
- 10.60 Agreement dated December 12, 2002 between the Company and Acquimmo-Salenko M&A. (12)
- 10.61 Agreement dated January 23, 2004 between the Company and Bathgate Capital Partners, LLC. (12)
- 10.62 Agreement dated February 3, 2004 between the Company and Doherty & Co., LLC. (12)
- 10.63 Agreement dated February 19, 2004 between the Company and Nordic Enterprise BV. (12)

II-8

- 10.64 Agreement dated March 1, 2004 between the Company and VanCamp Advisors, LLC. (12)
- 10.65 Agreement dated March 22, 2004 between the Company and Newforth Partners, LLC. (12)
- 10.66 Agreement dated March 23, 2004 between the Company and GVC Financial Services, LLC. (12)
- 10.67 Agreement and Plan of Merger dated February 22, 2004 between ProsoftTraining and the Company. (16)
- 10.68 Termination Agreement between the Company and ProsoftTraining dated July 22, 2004. (16)
- 10.69 Amended and Restated 2002 Stock Plan. (13) #
- 10.70 Securities Purchase Agreement dated July 29, 2004 between the Company and Oceanus Value Fund, L.P. (16)
- 10.71 Senior Secured Promissory Note dated July 29, 2004 issued by the Company to Oceanus Value Fund, L.P. (16)
- 10.72 Security Agreement dated July 29, 2004 between the Company and Oceanus Value Fund, L.P. (16)
- 10.73 Registration Rights Agreement dated July 29, 2004 between the Company and Oceanus Value Fund, L.P. (16)
- 10.74 Warrant dated July 29, 2004 to Oceanus Value Fund, L.P. (16)

- 10.75 Financial Advisory Agreement dated July 19, 2004 between the Company and Merriman Curhan Ford & Co. (16)
- 10.76 Agreement dated July 8, 2004 between the Company and TN Capital Equities, Ltd. (16)
- 10.77 Agreement dated July 23, 2004 between the Company and Bridgewater Capital Corporation. (16)
- 10.78 Securities Purchase Agreement dated August 31, 2004 between Trinity Learning Corporation and Laurus Master Fund, Ltd. (17)
- 10.79 Restricted Account Agreement dated August 31, 2004 between North Fork Bank, Trinity Learning Corporation and Laurus Master Fund, Ltd. (17)
- 10.80 Restricted Account Letter Agreement dated August 31, 2004 between Laurus Master Fund, Ltd. and Trinity Learning Corporation. (17)
- 10.81 Secured Convertible Term Note dated August 31, 2004 issued by Trinity Learning Corporation to Laurus Master Fund, Ltd. (17)
- 10.82 Common Stock Purchase Warrant dated August 31, 2004 issued by Trinity Learning Corporation to Laurus Master Fund, Ltd. (17)
- 10.83 Registration Rights Agreement dated August 31, 2004 between Trinity Learning Corporation and Laurus Master Fund, Ltd. (17)
- 10.84 Stock Pledge Agreement dated August 31, 2004 among Laurus Master Fund, Ltd., Trinity Learning Corporation and TouchVision, Inc. (17)
- 10.85 Master Security Agreement dated August 31, 2004 among Laurus Master Fund, Ltd., Trinity Learning Corporation and TouchVision, Inc. (17)
- 10.86 Subsidiary Guarantee dated August 31, 2004 among Laurus Master Fund, Ltd., Trinity Learning Corporation and TouchVision, Inc. (17)
- 10.87 Agreement dated August 11, 2004 between the Company and Resource Works, Inc. (18)
- 10.88 Agreement dated October 1, 2004 between the Company and MCC Financial Services Advisors, Inc. (18)

II-9

- 10.89 Agreement dated October 12, 2004 between the Company and Hyacinth Resources, Inc. (18)
- 16.1 Letter provided by Bierwolf, Nilson & Associates. (14)
- 16.2 Letter provided by Chisholm, Bierwolf & Nilson, LLC. (15)
- 16.3 Letter provided by BDO Spencer Steward (19)
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of Trinity Learning Corporation. (16)
- 23.1 Consent of Independent Public Accountant. (16)

- 23.2 Consent of Independent Public Accountant. *
- 23.3 Consent of Parsons Behle & Latimer (included in Exhibit 5.1).
- 24.1 Power of Attorney (included in signature page).
- * Filed herewith.
- # Denotes a management contract or compensatory plan.
- (1) Incorporated by reference from the quarterly report on Form 10-QSB filed by the registrant on August 21, 2002.
- (2) Incorporated by reference from the current report on Form 8-K filed by the registrant on October 16, 2002.
- (3) Incorporated by reference from the annual report on Form 10-KSB filed by the registrant on January 10, 2003.
- (4) Incorporated by reference from the quarterly report on Form 10-QSB filed by the registrant on May 20, 2003.
- (5) Incorporated by reference from the current report on Form 8-K filed by the registrant on June 19, 2003.
- (6) Incorporated by reference from a current report on Form 8-K filed by the registrant on September 16, 2003.
- (7) Incorporated by reference from a current report on Form 8-K filed by the registrant on December 17, 2003.
- (8) Incorporated by reference from a current report on Form 8-K filed by the registrant on January 6, 2004.
- (9) Incorporated by reference from the quarterly report on Form 10-QSB filed by the registrant on February 23, 2004.
- (10) Incorporated by reference from a current report on Form 8-K filed by the registrant on March 2, 2004.
- (11) Incorporated by reference from the quarterly report on Form 10-QSB filed by the registrant on May 17, 2004.
- (12) Incorporated by reference from the quarterly report on Form 10-QSB/A filed by the registrant on June 14, 2004.
- (13) Incorporated by reference from the Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed by the registrant on February 6, 2004.
- (14) Incorporated by reference from the current report on Form 8-K filed by the registrant on February 24, 2004.
- (15) Incorporated by reference from the current report on Form 8-K/A filed by the registrant on July 26, 2004.
- (16) Incorporated by reference from the Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed by the registrant on August 13, 2004.

(17) Incorporated by reference from the current report on Form 8-K filed by the registrant on September 7, 2004.

II-10

- (18) Incorporated by reference from the annual report on Form 10-KSB filed by the registrant on December 3, 2004.
- (19) Incorporated by reference from the current report on Form 8-K filed by the registrant on December 10, 2004.

ITEM 28. Undertakings.

The undersigned Registrant hereby undertakes:

- (1) To file, during any period in which offers or sales are being made, a post-effective amendment to this registration statement:
- (i) To include any prospectus required by Section 10(a)(3) of the Securities $\mbox{Act};$
- (ii) To reflect in the prospectus any facts or events arising after the effective date of this registration statement (or the most recent post-effective amendment hereof) which, individually or in the aggregate, represent a fundamental change in the information set forth in this registration statement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any increase or decrease in volume of securities offered (if the total dollar value of securities offered would not exceed that which was registered) and any deviation from the low or high end of the estimated maximum offering price may be reflected in the form of prospectus filed with the SEC under Rule 424(b) if, in the aggregate, the changes in volume and price represent no more than a 20 percent change in the maximum aggregate offering price set forth in the "Calculation of Registration Fee" table in the effective registration statement; and
- (iii) To include any material information with respect to the plan of distribution not previously disclosed in this registration statement or any material change to such information in this registration statement.
- (2) That, for the purpose of determining any liability under the Securities Act, each such post-effective amendment shall be deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offered herein, and the offering of such securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial bona fide offering thereof.
- (3) To remove from registration by means of a post-effective amendment any of the securities being registered that remain unsold at the termination of the offering.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted to directors, officers and controlling persons of the Registrant pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, the Registrant has been advised that in the opinion of the SEC such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities (other than the payment by the Registrant of expenses incurred or

paid by a director, officer or controlling person of the Registrant in the successful defense of any action, suit, or proceeding) is asserted by such director, officer or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, the Registrant will, unless in the opinion of its counsel the question has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether such indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

II-11

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, the Registrant certifies that it has reasonable grounds to believe that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form SB-2 and has duly caused this registration statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in Berkeley, California on December 28, 2004.

TRINITY LEARNING CORPORATION

By: /s/ Douglas D. Cole
Douglas D. Cole
Chief Executive Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that the undersigned officers and directors of Trinity Learning Corporation, a Utah corporation, do hereby constitute and appoint Douglas Cole and Edward P. Mooney and each of them, their lawful attorneys-in-fact and agents with full power and authority to do any and all acts and things and to execute any and all instruments which said attorneys and agents, and any one of them, determine may be necessary or advisable or required to enable said corporation to comply with the Securities Act and any rules or regulations or requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with this Registration Statement. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing power and authority, the powers granted include the power and authority to sign the names of the undersigned officers and directors in the capacities indicated below to this Registration Statement, to any and all amendments, both pre-effective and post-effective, and supplements to this Registration Statement, and to any and all instruments or documents filed as part of or in conjunction with this Registration Statement or amendments or supplements thereof, and each of the undersigned hereby ratifies and confirms all that said attorneys and agents, or any one of them, shall do or cause to be done by virtue hereof. This Power of Attorney may be signed in several counterparts.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, each of the undersigned has executed this Power of Attorney as of the date indicated. Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, this Registration Statement has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature Title Date

	Chief Executive Office (Principal Executive Officer)	December	28,	2004
Douglas Cole	and Director			
/s/ Edward Patrick Mooney	President and Director	December	28,	2004
Edward Patrick Mooney				
/s/ Christine R. Larson	Chief Financial Officer	December	28,	2004
Christine R. Larson				
/s/ Cynthia Jorgensen	Controller	December	28,	2004
Cynthia Jorgensen				
/s/ William D. Jobe	Director	December	28,	2004
William D. Jobe				
/s/ Richard G. Thau	Director	December	28,	2004
Richard G. Thau				
/s/ Arthur Ronald Kidson	Director	December	28,	2004
Arthur Ronald Kidson				
/s/ Martin Steynberg	Director	December	28,	2004
Martin Steynberg				