

HPEV, INC.
Form 10-Q
November 13, 2014

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission file number: 000-53443

HPEV, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

75-3076597
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

8875 Hidden River Parkway, Suite 300

Tampa, FL

(Address of principal executive offices)

33637

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(813) 975-7467**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

| | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Large accelerated filer | <input type="checkbox"/> | Accelerated filer | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Non-accelerated filer | <input type="checkbox"/> | Smaller reporting company | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of November 10, 2014, there were 62,439,134 shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value, issued and outstanding.

HPEV, INC.

Table of Contents

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | |
|---|----|
| Item 1. Financial Statements | 4 |
| Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 15 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 18 |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures | 18 |

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

| | |
|---|----|
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings | 19 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 19 |
| Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 19 |
| Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities | 20 |
| Item 5. Other information | 20 |
| Item 6. Exhibits | 20 |

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT ON FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). Forward-looking statements discuss matters that are not historical facts. Because they discuss future events or conditions, forward-looking statements may include words such as “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “intend,” “could,” “should,” “would,” “may,” “seek,” “might,” “will,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “predict,” “project,” “forecast,” “potential,” “continue” negatives thereof or similar expressions. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, are based on various underlying assumptions and current expectations about the future and are not guarantees. Such statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, level of activity, performance or achievement to be materially different from the results of operations or plans expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements.

We cannot predict all of the risks and uncertainties. Accordingly, such information should not be regarded as representations that the results or conditions described in such statements or that our objectives and plans will be achieved and we do not assume any responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of any of these forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are found at various places throughout this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and include information concerning possible or assumed future results of our operations, including statements about potential acquisition or merger targets; business strategies; future cash flows; financing plans; plans and objectives of management; any other statements regarding future acquisitions, future cash needs, future operations, business plans and future financial results, and any other statements that are not historical facts.

These forward-looking statements represent our intentions, plans, expectations, assumptions and beliefs about future events and are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors. Many of those factors are outside of our control and could cause actual results to differ materially from the results expressed or implied by those forward-looking statements. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the events described in the forward-looking statements might not occur or might occur to a different extent or at a different time than we have described. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements concerning other matters addressed in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and attributable to us or any person acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Except to the extent required by law, we undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, a change in events, conditions, circumstances or assumptions underlying such statements, or otherwise.

-

PART I. Financial Information**Item 1. Financial Statements****HPEV, Inc.****Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets**

| | September 30, 2014 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2013 |
|--|---|----------------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash | \$ 916,224 | \$ 477,549 |
| Prepaid expenses | 92,932 | -- |
| Total current assets | 1,009,156 | 477,549 |
| Intangibles | 123,618 | 98,697 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,132,774 | \$ 576,246 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 98,685 | \$ 230,527 |
| Accrued liabilities – related party | 934,530 | 272,564 |
| Customer deposits – related party | 400,000 | -- |
| Accrued payroll liabilities | 14,167 | 10,428 |
| Notes payable – related parties | 22,910 | 22,910 |
| Total current liabilities | 1,470,292 | 536,429 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 2) | -- | -- |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$.001 par value; 15,000,000 shares authorized; 140 and 199 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively | -- | -- |
| Common stock, \$.001 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized; 59,832,772 and 48,700,929 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively | 59,160 | 48,702 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 29,428,714 | 8,840,840 |
| Common stock issuable | 610,000 | -- |
| Common stock held in escrow | 8,441 | 8,441 |
| Accumulated deficit | (30,436,570) | (8,858,166) |
| Total HPEV equity | (330,255) | 39,817 |
| Noncontrolling interest | (7,263) | -- |

| | | |
|--|--------------|------------|
| Total stockholders' equity | (337,518) | 39,817 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 1,132,774 | \$ 576,246 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

4
-

HPEV, Inc.**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations****(Unaudited)**

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 (Restated) | 2014 | 2013 (Restated) |
| Revenues | \$ -- | \$ -- | \$ -- | \$ -- |
| Cost of revenues | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Gross profit | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Operating expenses | | | | |
| Payroll and related expenses | 278,425 | -- | 765,786 | -- |
| Consulting | 879,633 | 30,615 | 8,124,783 | 1,052,932 |
| Professional fees | 231,540 | 142,635 | 548,511 | 229,004 |
| Research and development | 500,808 | 212,389 | 936,221 | 302,089 |
| General and administrative | 415,006 | 203,965 | 1,254,714 | 322,887 |
| Employee stock options | 394,000 | -- | 9,944,000 | -- |
| Total operating expenses | 2,699,412 | 589,604 | 21,574,015 | 1,906,912 |
| Operating loss | (2,699,412) | (589,604) | (21,574,015) | (1,906,912) |
| Other income and (expense) | | | | |
| Interest expense, net | (2,403) | -- | (11,652) | -- |
| Gain on settlement of debt | -- | -- | -- | 19,475 |
| Net loss | (2,701,815) | (589,604) | (21,585,667) | (1,887,437) |
| Less: Noncontrolling interest in net loss | (5,718) | -- | (7,263) | -- |
| Net loss to HPEV shareholders | \$ (2,696,097) | \$ (589,604) | \$ (21,578,404) | \$ (1,887,437) |
| Net loss per common share: | | | | |
| Basic and diluted | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.39) | \$ (0.04) |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic and diluted | 59,636,423 | 46,181,529 | 55,190,022 | 45,545,420 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

HPEV, Inc.**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(Unaudited)**

| | Nine months ended | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------|
| | September 30, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| | | (Restated) |
| Operating Activities: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (21,585,667) | \$ (1,887,437) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: | | |
| Stock issued for services | 596,750 | 373,679 |
| Warrants issued for services | 7,240,930 | 349,370 |
| Gain on settlement of debt | -- | (19,475) |
| Employee stock options | 9,944,000 | -- |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Prepaid expenses | (92,932) | -- |
| Accounts payable | (131,842) | 58,932 |
| Accrued liabilities – related party | 661,966 | 155,945 |
| Customer deposits – related party | 400,000 | -- |
| Accrued payroll liabilities | 3,739 | -- |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (2,963,056) | (968,986) |
| Investing Activities: | | |
| Intangible assets | (24,921) | (25,115) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (24,921) | (25,115) |
| Financing Activities: | | |
| Proceeds from sale of common stock | 3,426,652 | 1,700,000 |
| Proceeds from notes payable – related party | -- | 900 |
| Payments on notes payable – related party | -- | (12,100) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 3,426,652 | 1,688,800 |
| Net increase in cash | 438,675 | 694,699 |
| Cash, beginning of period | 477,549 | 194,721 |
| Cash, end of period | \$ 916,224 | \$ 889,420 |
| Cash paid for: | | |
| Interest | \$ -- | \$ -- |
| Income taxes | \$ -- | \$ -- |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

HPEV, Inc.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Note 1 – Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Description of Business

HPEV, Inc., (we, us, our, the “Company” or “HPEV”) was incorporated in the State of Nevada in July 2002. Ultimate Power Truck, LLC (“Ultimate Power Truck” or “UPT”), of which we own 95% and a shareholder of HPEV owns 5%, was formed in April 2014. We were formerly known as Bibb Corporation and Z3 Enterprises.

We have developed and intend to commercialize dispersion technologies in various product platforms, and have developed and intend to commercialize an electric load assist technology around which we have designed a vehicle retrofit system. In preparation, we have applied for trademarks for one of our technologies and its acronym. We currently have two trademarks in the application process: HPEV and TEHPC. We believe that our proprietary technologies, including our patent portfolio and trade secrets, can help increase the efficiency and positively affect manufacturing cost structure in several large industries beginning with motor/generator and fleet vehicles. The markets for products utilizing our technology include consumer, industrial and military markets, both in the U.S. and worldwide.

Our technologies are divided into three distinct but complementary categories: heat dispersion technology, mobile electric power and electric load assist. As of September 30, 2014, we have 5 patents and 5 patent applications pending in the area of composite heat structures, motors, and related structures, heat pipe architecture, applications (commonly referred to as “thermal” or “heat dispersion technology”) and a parallel vehicle power platform. We intend to commercialize our patents by licensing our thermal technologies and applications to electric motor, pump and vehicle component manufacturers; by licensing or selling a mobile electric power system powered by our proprietary gearing system to commercial vehicle and fleet owners; and by licensing a plug-in hybrid conversion system for heavy duty trucks, buses and tractor trailers to fleet owners and service centers.

Basis of Presentation

Edgar Filing: HPEV, INC. - Form 10-Q

The accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2013, has been derived from audited financial statements. The accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as the annual audited financial statements and in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”) for interim financial information and the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) for interim financial statements. In the opinion of management, such unaudited information includes all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring accruals) necessary for a fair presentation of this interim information. All intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Noncontrolling interest represents the 5% third party ownership of our subsidiary, UPT. Operating results and cash flows for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results that can be expected for the entire year. The information included in this report should be read in conjunction with our audited financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2013.

The condensed consolidated financial statements as of and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, have been restated (see Note 8).

7

—

Going Concern

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming we will continue as a going concern. We have incurred net losses since inception and have not fully commenced operations, raising substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our ability to continue as a going concern is dependent on our ability to generate revenue, achieve profitable operations and repay our obligations when they come due. We have entered into an agreement whereby we may sell up to \$10,000,000 of our common stock to Lincoln Park Capital Fund LLC, subject to certain limitations, over a 36-month period. These condensed consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts, or amounts and classification of liabilities that might result from this uncertainty. As of the filing date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, management believes that it has adequate funding to ensure completion of the initial phases of our business plan: to license its thermal technologies and applications, including submersible dry-pit applications; to license and sell mobile generation retrofit kits (our Ultimate Power Truck business) driven by our proprietary gearing system; and to license a plug-in hybrid conversion system for heavy duty trucks, tractor trailers and buses. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be successful in accomplishing these objectives.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In August, 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2014-15, *Presentation of Financial Statements – Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40)*, which now requires management to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date the financial statements are issued. If conditions or events raise substantial doubt about an entity’s ability to continue as a going concern, and substantial doubt is not alleviated after consideration of management’s plans, additional disclosures are required. The amendments in this update are effective for the annual period ending after December 15, 2016, and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter. Early application is permitted. These requirements were previously included within auditing standards and federal securities law, but are now included within U.S. GAAP. We have evaluated our disclosures regarding our ability to continue as a going concern and concluded that we are in compliance with the disclosure requirements.

In June, 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-10, *Development Stage Entities (Topic 915) – Elimination of Certain Financial Reporting Requirements, Including an Amendment to Variable Interest Entities Guidance in Topic 810, Consolidation*, which eliminates the concept of a development stage entity (DSE) its entirety from current accounting guidance. We have elected early adoption of this standard, which eliminates the designation of DSEs and the requirement to disclose results of operations and cash flows since inception.

We have evaluated the other recent accounting pronouncements through ASU 2014-15 and believe that none of them will have a material effect on our financial statements.

Note 2 – Commitments and Contingencies

On December 12, 2012, we concluded negotiations on a debt settlement agreement by and among the Company, Phoenix Productions and Entertainment Group (“PPEG”), Action Media Group, LLC (“Action Media”) and Spirit Bear Limited (“Spirit Bear”) (PPEG and Action Media collectively, the “Debt Holders”). The Debt Holders were to return to escrow a total of 4,676,000 shares of our common stock. 3,676,000 of these shares were returned and cancelled on January 14, 2013, following our filing a registration statement with the SEC on January 11, 2013. The remaining 1,000,000 shares will be purchased by the Company or a nominee of the Company at \$0.40 per share (or \$400,000) at the rate of \$10,000 per month commencing within 90 days of the Company achieving \$1,000,000 in gross revenues for products or services from business operations. PPEG and Action Media will divide the \$400,000 on a pro rata basis, based on each company’s respective amount of debt forgiven. The historical cost of the shares held in escrow are reflected in equity on the balance sheets as common stock held in escrow.

We are a party to various legal proceedings with Spirit Bear, which we are defending vigorously. At this time we cannot predict the outcome or estimate the cost to us, if any. Accordingly, we have not recorded any expense or liability associated with these proceedings. If these proceedings are not resolved in our favor, in future periods there may be an impact to our results of operations and financial position.

From time to time, we may be a party to other legal proceedings. Management currently believes that the ultimate resolution of these matters, and after consideration of amounts accrued, will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations, financial position, or cash flow.

Note 3 – Customer deposits – Related party

These represent advance payments of \$400,000 received on orders that have not yet been fulfilled, with companies controlled by the individual who is the 5% owner of UPT and a shareholder of HPEV.

Note 4 – Equity*Common Stock*

In September, 2014, we received \$610,000 for 1,109,091 shares of our common stock; however, the shares were not issued until October, 2014. During the first quarter of 2014, we received \$1,635,652 for 3,586,452 shares of our common stock; however, the shares were not issued until the third quarter of 2014. These transactions are reflected in Common stock issuable within the equity section of the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

In February, 2014, we entered into an agreement whereby we may sell up to \$10,000,000 of our common stock to Lincoln Park Capital Fund LLC. As part of entering into that agreement, we issued 671,785 shares of common stock as equity issuance costs for no consideration.

Note 5 – Equity-based compensation

Amounts recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of operations related to equity-based compensation are as follows:

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|---|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Total equity-based expense charged against income | \$ 1,238,990 | \$ -- | \$ 17,781,680 | \$ 723,049 |
| Impact on net loss per common share: | | | | |
| Basic and diluted | \$ (0.02) | \$ -- | \$ (0.32) | \$ (0.02) |

Common stock

In July, 2014, we entered into an agreement with the company managing the operations of UPT, whereby we would issue common stock under the following conditions:

| Condition | Number of Shares |
|--|-------------------------|
| UPT recognizes \$100 million of revenue or a change in control | 500,000 |
| UPT recognizes \$100 million of revenue | 150,000 |
| | 650,000 |

We will recognize expense when it becomes probable that the condition will occur. As of September 30, 2014, no expense was recognized under this agreement and no common stock was issued.

In June, 2014, we entered into an agreement with a company, which subsequently became a shareholder, to provide investor relations services. Under the terms of this agreement we have agreed to issue 60,000 shares of common stock each quarter through May 2015.

Common stock warrants - Overview

We routinely issue warrants for our common stock to non-employees in exchange for services, as part of the sale of our common stock, or to settle long-term debt. Historically, we have issued warrants that are fully-vested at the date of grant. In July, 2014, we granted warrants that are subject to service and performance conditions. When warrants are exercised we issue shares of our common stock.

Common stock warrants -- Fully-vested

The following summarizes the activity for warrants that were fully-vested upon issuance:

| | Number of Warrants | Weighted-average Exercise Price | Weighted-average Remaining Life (Years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|
| Outstanding, December 31, 2013 | 15,105,329 | \$ 0.50 | 1.4 | \$ 1,968,963 |
| Granted | 13,484,022 | 0.68 | | 184,031 |
| Exercised | (1,181,805) | 0.32 | | |
| Forfeited | (465,374) | 0.32 | | |
| Outstanding, September 30, 2014 | 26,942,172 | 0.60 | 2.6 | 1,730,973 |
| Exercisable, September 30, 2014 | 26,942,172 | 0.60 | 2.6 | 1,730,973 |

The fair value of each warrant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model (“Black-Scholes”). We use historical data to estimate the expected price volatility, the expected life and the expected forfeiture rate. The risk-free interest rate is based on the United States Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of the grant for the estimated life of the warrant. The following summarizes the Black-Scholes assumptions used for fully-vested warrant grants that were expensed:

| | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|-------------------------|--|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Volatility | 320 – 330 % | 225 – 360 % |
| Risk-free interest rate | 0.6 – 1.6 % | 0.2 – 1.0 % |
| Expected life (years) | 2.5 – 5.0 | 2.5 – 5.0 |
| Dividend yield | - | - |

Common stock warrants -- Service and performance conditions

The following summarizes the terms for warrants we granted that are subject to performance and service conditions:

| Vesting Condition | Number of Warrants | Category |
|---|--------------------|-------------|
| Fully vest upon UPT generating \$1 million of revenue | 350,000 | Performance |
| 45,945 warrants for every \$3 million of revenue generated by UPT up to \$100 million | 1,530,000 | Performance |
| 400,000 warrants for financing advisory services | 400,000 | Performance |
| 60,000 warrants for every three months of completed service managing UPT | 720,000 | Service |
| | 3,000,000 | |

The following summarizes the activity for warrants that have performance and service conditions:

| | Number of Warrants | Weighted-average Exercise Price | Weighted-average Remaining Life (Years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Outstanding, December 31, 2013 | -- | | | |
| Granted | 3,000,000 | \$ 1.13 | 3.3 | \$ -- |
| Outstanding, September 30, 2014 | 3,000,000 | 1.13 | 3.3 | -- |
| Exercisable, September 30, 2014 | 60,000 | 1.00 | 3.0 | -- |

When it is probable that the vesting condition will be met for performance-based warrants, the proportionate expense is recorded based on the estimated fair value of the warrant. The ultimate amount of the expense for performance-based warrants will be determined on the date the vesting condition is fully satisfied, defined as the measurement date. Service-based warrants are valued based on the estimated fair value of the warrant on the date the service condition is completed. As of September 30, 2014, none of the performance conditions were deemed probable, so no expense was recognized. We recognized expense for 60,000 warrants that vested subject to the service condition.

The fair value of each warrant is estimated on the date expense is recognized using the Black-Scholes option pricing model (“Black-Scholes”). We use historical data to estimate the expected price volatility, the expected life and the expected forfeiture rate. The risk-free interest rate is based on the United States Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of the grant for the estimated life of the warrant. The following summarizes the Black-Scholes assumptions used for fully-vested warrant grants that were expensed:

| | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|-------------------------------|--|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Volatility | 322% | - |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.1% | - |
| Expected life (years) | 3 | - |
| Dividend yield | - | - |

Employee stock options – Fully-vested

We granted certain options that were fully-vested at the date of grant.

The fair value of each stock option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model (“Black-Scholes”). We use historical data to estimate the expected price volatility, the expected stock option life and expected forfeiture rate. The risk-free interest rate is based on the United States Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant for the estimated life of the stock option. The following summarizes the Black-Scholes assumptions used for fully-vested stock option grants:

| | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|--|--|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Volatility | 325% | - |
| Risk-free interest rate | 2.7% | - |
| Expected stock option life (years) | 10 | - |
| Dividend yield | - | - |

The following is a summary of fully-vested stock option activity:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted-average Exercise Price per Share | Weighted-average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Outstanding, December 31, 2013 | - | | | |
| Stock options granted | 5,000,000 | \$ 2.00 | No expiration | \$ -- |
| Outstanding, September 30, 2014 | 5,000,000 | \$ 2.00 | No expiration | -- |
| Exercisable, September 30, 2014 | 5,000,000 | \$ 2.00 | No expiration | -- |

Employee stock options – Market-based

We granted certain options that vest upon the achievement of certain stock prices for 20 days, as follows:

| Market Price | Number of Options |
|---------------------|--------------------------|
| \$ 1.50 | 1,000,000 |
| 1.75 | 1,000,000 |
| 2.00 | 1,000,000 |
| 2.25 | 1,000,000 |
| 2.50 | 1,000,000 |
| | 5,000,000 |

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the lattice-based option valuation model that uses the assumptions noted in the following table. We use historical data to estimate the expected price volatility, the expected stock option life and expected forfeiture rate. The risk-free interest rate is based on the United States Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant for the estimated life of the stock option. The following summarizes the lattice-based assumptions used for market-based stock options:

| | Nine months ended | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| | September 30, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Volatility | 300% | - |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.7% | - |
| Expected stock option life (years) | 5 | - |
| Dividend yield | - | - |

The following is a summary of market-based stock option activity:

| | Number of | Weighted-average | Weighted-average | Aggregate |
|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| | Shares | Exercise Price per | Remaining | Intrinsic |
| | | Share | Contractual | Value |
| | | | Term | |
| Outstanding, December 31, 2013 | 5,000,000 | \$ 2.00 | | \$ -- |
| Stock options granted | -- | | No expiration | |
| Outstanding, September 30, 2014 | 5,000,000 | 2.00 | No expiration | -- |
| Exercisable, September 30, 2014 | 1,000,000 | 1.50 | No expiration | -- |

In April 2014, the first 1,000,000 tranche of options vested when the stock price was at or above \$1.50 per share for 20 consecutive days.

Note 6 – Net Loss per Share

Basic net loss per share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted net loss per share is computed similarly to basic loss per share, except that it includes the potential dilution that could occur if dilutive securities are exercised.

The following table presents a reconciliation of the denominators used in the computation of net loss per share – basic and diluted:

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|---|---|--------------|--|----------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net loss available for stockholders | \$ (2,696,097) | \$ (589,604) | \$ (21,578,404) | \$ (1,887,437) |
| Weighted average outstanding shares of common stock | 59,636,423 | 46,181,529 | 55,190,022 | 45,545,420 |
| Dilutive effect of stock options and warrants | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Common stock and equivalents | 59,636,423 | 46,181,529 | 55,190,022 | 45,545,420 |
| Net loss per share – Basic and diluted | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.39) | \$ (0.04) |

Outstanding stock options and common stock warrants are considered anti-dilutive because we are in a net loss position.

Note 7 – Subsequent Events

There were no events subsequent to September 30, 2014, and up to the date of this filing that would require disclosure.

Note 8 – Restatement

During the Company's closing process for the 2013 10-K, accounting errors were discovered that required restatement of amounts previously reported, related to under-accrued consulting fees, warrants issued for services, and shares issued for cash. It was also discovered that 200,000 warrants to be issued to Monarch Bay per the May 28, 2013 agreement had been treated as fully vested. It was subsequently determined that Monarch Bay had not met its contractual obligations and the warrants had not vested. This caused the previously issued financial statements to over-report consulting expense and additional paid in capital. These errors were corrected and properly reflected in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013. Accordingly, there is no restatement of the December 31, 2013 condensed consolidated balance sheet. We have also reclassified certain amounts to conform to our current period presentation. The following tables reflect the impact of these corrections on our September 30, 2013, statements of operations and cash flows.

| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------|-----------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------|
| | Three months ended September 30, 2013 | | | Nine months ended September 30, 2013 | | |
| | Originally Stated | Adjustments (a) | Restated | Originally Stated | Adjustments (b) | Restated |
| Gross profit | \$ -- | \$ -- | \$ -- | \$ -- | \$ -- | \$ -- |
| Operating expenses | | | | | | |
| Consulting | 220,493 | (189,878) | 30,615 | 805,086 | 247,846 | 1,052,932 |
| Professional fees | 123,160 | 19,475 | 142,635 | 209,529 | 19,475 | 229,004 |
| Research and development | 212,389 | -- | 212,389 | 302,089 | -- | 302,089 |
| General and administrative | 138,985 | 64,980 | 203,965 | 322,887 | -- | 322,887 |
| Total operating expenses | 695,027 | (105,423) | 589,604 | 1,639,591 | 267,321 | 1,906,912 |
| Operating loss | (695,027) | 105,423 | (589,604) | (1,639,591) | (267,321) | (1,906,912) |
| Gain on settlement of debt | -- | -- | -- | -- | 19,475 | 19,475 |
| Net loss | \$ (695,027) | \$ 105,423 | \$ (589,604) | \$ (1,639,591) | \$ (247,846) | \$ (1,887,437) |
| Net loss per common share: | | | | | | |
| Basic and diluted | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.04) | \$ -- | \$ (0.04) |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding: | | | | | | |
| Basic and diluted | 46,181,529 | 46,181,529 | 46,181,529 | 45,545,420 | 45,545,420 | 45,545,420 |

Edgar Filing: HPEV, INC. - Form 10-Q

(a) To reduce consulting expense for the unvested warrants (\$103,944) and to reclassify certain amounts to conform presentation.

(b) To record additional consulting expense for warrants issued for services (\$349,370), reduce consulting expense for the unvested warrants (\$103,944), and to reclassify certain amounts to conform presentation.

13

**Condensed Consolidated Statements of
Cash Flows
Nine months ended September 30, 2013
Originally
Stated Adjustments Restated**

| Operating Activities: | Originally Stated | Adjustments | Restated |
|--|----------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| Net loss | \$ (1,639,591) | \$ (247,846) | \$ (1,887,437) (a) |
| Stock issued for services | 373,679 | -- | 373,679 |
| Warrants issued for services | -- | 349,370 | 349,370 (b) |
| Gain on settlement of debt | -- | (19,475) | (19,475) (c) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts payable | (91,451) | 150,383 | 58,932 (d) |
| Accrued liabilities – related party | (52,305) | 208,250 | 155,945 (e) |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (1,409,668) | 440,682 | (968,986) |
| Investing Activities: | | | |
| Intangible assets | (25,115) | -- | (25,115) |
| Loan receivable | (17,858) | 17,858 | -- (d) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (42,973) | 17,858 | (25,115) |
| Financing Activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from sale of common stock | 1,950,290 | (250,290) | 1,700,000 (d) |
| Proceeds from notes payable – related party | (11,200) | 12,100 | 900 (e) |
| Payments on notes payable – related party | 208,250 | (220,350) | (12,100) (e) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 2,147,340 | (458,540) | 1,688,800 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash | 694,699 | | 694,699 |
| Cash, beginning of period | 194,721 | | 194,721 |
| Cash, end of period | \$ 889,420 | | \$ 889,420 |

(a) Additional consulting expense for warrants issued for services (\$349,370), and reduced consulting expense for the unvested warrants (\$103,944).

(b) Additional consulting expense for warrants issued for services is a non-cash adjustment.

(c) Gain on settlement of debt was previously shown as a change in accounts payable.

(d) The above transactions were improperly recorded to accounts payable, loan receivable and equity.

(e) Certain accrued liabilities to related parties were improperly categorized as notes payable to related parties.



Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

General Discussion and Outlook

HPEV, Inc., (we, us, our, the "Company" or "HPEV") was incorporated in the State of Nevada on July 22, 2002. We were formerly known as Bibb Corporation and Z3 Enterprises. We have developed and intend to commercialize dispersion technologies in various product platforms, and have developed and intend to commercialize an electric load assist technology around which we have designed a vehicle retrofit system. In preparation, we have applied for trademarks for one of our technologies and its acronym. The Company currently has two trademarks in the application process: HPEV and TEHPC. We believe that our proprietary technologies, including our patent portfolio and trade secrets, can help increase the efficiency and positively impact the manufacturing cost structure in several large industries beginning with motor/generator and fleet vehicles. The markets for products utilizing our technology include consumer, industrial and military markets, both in the U.S. and worldwide. As of September 30, 2014, we have 5 patents and 5 patent applications pending in the area of composite heat structures, motors, and related structures, heat pipe architecture, applications (commonly referred to as "thermal" or "heat dispersion technology") and a parallel vehicle power platform. The Company intends to commercialize our patents by licensing our thermal technologies and applications to electric motor, pump and vehicle component manufacturers; by licensing or selling a mobile electric power system powered by the Company's proprietary gearing system to commercial vehicle and fleet owners; and by licensing a plug-in hybrid conversion system for heavy duty trucks, buses and tractor trailers to fleet owners and service centers.

The patents and patents-pending cover heat pipe architecture(s) and their applications, a parallel power platform and a parallel power gearing system. Additionally, we believe that the technology enhances the lifespan and effectiveness of many types of heat-producing mechanical equipment including vehicle components. HPEV thermal technology delivers the power density of a water-cooled motor or generator in a totally enclosed and nearly maintenance free enclosure. We project that our patent-pending Radial Vent Thermal technology can increase power density in several classes of motor enclosure ratings including Open, WPI (Weather Protected I) and WPPII (Weather Protected II) enclosures by up to 20%. The parallel power platform enables vehicles, regardless of their fuel type (diesel, gas, CNG, LNG, fuel cell and battery operated), to alternate between two sources of power and forms the basis of the electric load assist delivered to the engine. The parallel power input gearing unit enables vehicles to run an on-board generator to deliver mobile electric power.

We intend to license heat pipe technology to manufacturers of electric motors, electric generators, as well as manufacturers of vehicle parts, such as brakes, resistors and calipers. In Mobile Generation, we have nearly completed the conversion of our 25 kilowatt ("kW") demonstration vehicle and we intend to commercialize the product through retrofit on three vehicle platforms this year. We also intend to develop and commercialize Mobile Generation in power output ranges from 25kW up to 200kW in 2014. The demonstration vehicles will be used to showcase the effectiveness of the technology, generate data and as a marketing tool to generate orders. The target markets include public utilities, commercial and fleet vehicles, including heavy duty pick-up trucks, tractor trailer trucks and buses. We have executed product development agreements with two multi-national manufacturers. We are currently negotiating with a number of fleet owners and manufacturers to install our Mobile Generation system in their work

vehicles. We expect to open our first showcase facility in the fourth quarter of 2014 in Largo, Florida, servicing the state's west coast and Port Tampa Bay.

We generated our first Mobile Generation order during the quarter ended June 30, 2014, and received a partial deposit in advance of completing the sale. We anticipate receiving additional production orders in the fourth quarter of 2014, with delivery on these orders to generate revenue in the first half of 2015. We expect to achieve positive cash flows from operations by the end of 2015. There can be no assurances that we will be able to do so in this timeframe, or at all. We generally incur expenses to commercialize our products, which include costs for research and development, professional fees and general operations.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, condensed consolidated statements of operations data. The table and the discussion below should be read in conjunction with the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto, appearing elsewhere in this report.

| | Three months ended September 30, | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--------------|----------------|----------|--|
| | 2014 | 2013 | Change | % | |
| Revenues | \$ -- | \$ -- | N/A | N/A | |
| Operating expenses | | | | | |
| Payroll and related expenses | \$ 278,425 | \$ -- | \$ 278,425 | N/A | |
| Consulting | 879,633 | 30,615 | 849,018 | 2,773% | |
| Professional fees | 231,540 | 142,635 | 88,905 | 62% | |
| Research and development | 500,808 | 212,389 | 288,419 | 136% | |
| General and administrative | 415,006 | 203,965 | 211,041 | 103% | |
| Employee stock options | 394,000 | -- | 394,000 | N/A | |
| Total operating expenses | \$ 2,699,412 | \$ 589,604 | \$ 2,109,808 | 358% | |
| Other income and (expense) | \$ (2,403) | \$ -- | \$ (2,403) | N/A | |
| Net loss | \$ (2,701,815) | \$ (589,604) | \$ (2,112,211) | 358% | |

| | Nine months ended September 30, | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|-------------|---------------|----------|--|
| | 2014 | 2013 | Change | % | |
| Revenues | \$ -- | \$ -- | N/A | N/A | |
| Operating expenses | | | | | |
| Payroll and related expenses | \$ 765,786 | \$ -- | \$ 765,786 | N/A | |
| Consulting | 8,124,783 | 1,052,932 | 7,071,851 | 672% | |
| Professional fees | 548,511 | 229,004 | 319,507 | 140% | |
| Research and development | 936,221 | 302,089 | 634,132 | 210% | |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|
| General and administrative | 1,254,714 | 322,887 | 931,827 | 289% |
| Employee stock options | 9,944,000 | -- | 9,944,000 | N/A |
| Total operating expenses | \$ 21,574,015 | \$ 1,906,912 | \$ 19,667,103 | 1,031% |
| Other income and (expense) | \$ (11,652) | \$ 19,475 | \$ (31,127) | (160 |
| Net loss | \$ (21,585,667) | \$ (1,887,437) | \$ (19,698,230) | 1,044% |

Revenues

During the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, and since inception, we have not generated any revenues. We generated our first Mobile Generation order during the quarter ended June 30, 2014, and received a partial deposit in advance of completing the sale with companies controlled by the individual who is the 5% owner of UPT and a shareholder of HPEV

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses have increased during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to September 30, 2013, due primarily to increased efforts and expenditures associated with raising capital, bringing our technology to the point of commercialization, and positioning ourselves to generate revenue. The most significant increase was for stock options to key management members, and common stock warrants issued to individuals who assisted with our capital raises and provided other consulting services.

Other Income and Expense

The increase in other expense related to our debt structure, as we had a gain on debt settlement during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, while we incurred interest expense during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014.

Net Loss

Since we have incurred losses since inception, we have not recorded any income tax expense or benefit. Accordingly, our net loss is driven by our operating and other expenses.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have historically met our liquidity requirements primarily through the public sale and private placement of equity securities, debt financing, and exchanging common stock warrants and options for professional and consulting services. At September 30, 2014, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$916,224.

We executed an agreement on February 19, 2014, with Lincoln Park Capital Fund, LLC (“Lincoln Park”), which gives us the right to sell to Lincoln Park up to \$10,000,000 in shares of our common stock, subject to certain limitations, over a 36-month period. The registration statement with respect to 4,671,785 of our common stock was declared effective July 3, 2014. We hope that the funds from selling shares to Lincoln Park will be sufficient to meet our liquidity needs until we begin generating cash flows from revenues.

Working capital is the amount by which current assets exceed current liabilities. We had negative working capital of \$461,136 and \$58,880, respectively, at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The decrease in working capital was due to an increase in amounts due to related parties, primarily management, and an increase in customer deposits, offset by an increase in cash from the sale of our common stock.

We currently have no off-balance sheet arrangements.

Cash Flows

Our cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities were as follows:

| | Nine months ended | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------|
| | September 30, | |
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | \$ (2,963,056) | \$ (968,986) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (24,921) | (25,115) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 3,426,652 | 1,688,800 |

Net cash used in operating activities increased primarily due to increased efforts and expenditures associated with bringing our technology to the point of commercialization. Our investing activity relates to the development of patents, and has remained steady since inception. Our improvement in cash provided by financing activities reflects our successful efforts to raise capital.

Going Concern

We have incurred net losses since inception and have not fully commenced operations, raising substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our ability to continue as a going concern is dependent on our ability to generate revenue, achieve profitable operations and repay our obligations when they come due. The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming we will continue as a going concern.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Our condensed consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets, liabilities, and expenses. We continually evaluate the accounting policies and estimates used to prepare the condensed consolidated financial statements. The estimates are based on historical experience and assumptions believed to be reasonable under current facts and circumstances. Actual amounts and results could differ from these estimates made by management. Certain accounting policies that require significant management estimates and are deemed critical to our results of operations and financial position are discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2013 in “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.”

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Our management does not expect that our internal controls over financial reporting will prevent all errors and all fraud. Control systems, no matter how well conceived and managed, can provide only reasonable assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake.

Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as of September 30, 2014, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) and Rule 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that, based on the material weaknesses discussed below, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of such date to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act were recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Act Commission's rules and forms and that our disclosure controls are not effectively designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Our internal controls are not effective for the following reasons, (1) there are no entity level controls, because of the limited time and abilities of the Company's five officers, (2) there is no separate audit committee, and (3) there is a lack of segregation of duties, due to our limited personnel. As a result, the Company's internal controls have inherent weaknesses, which may increase the risks of errors in financial reporting under current operations and accordingly are not effective as evaluated against the criteria set forth in the Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation, our management concluded that our internal controls over financial reporting were not effective as of September 30, 2014.

Going forward, we intend to evaluate our processes and procedures and, where practicable, implement changes in order to have more effective controls over financial reporting.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the last quarterly period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Part II. Other Information

Item 1. *Legal Proceedings*

Set forth below is an update of the litigation between the Company and Spirit Bear Limited and its affiliates (“Spirit Bear”). For a full description of the litigation among the parties, see the information previously provided in the Company’s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Company filed a lawsuit on September 25, 2014, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York against Spirit Bear, Jay Palmer and Robert Olins (the “New York Defendants”). Among other things, the Company’s lawsuit seeks the rescission on the December 14, 2012 Securities Purchase Agreement through which Spirit Bear acquired preferred shares in the Company as well as certain related warrants (the “SPA”). The Company’s suit alleges that the SPA should be rescinded because, among other things, it was the product of duress and was induced by fraud. In the event the SPA is rescinded, the Company will seek the return of all shares, warrants and other interests in the Company that Spirit Bear acquired pursuant to the SPA.

The Company’s lawsuit also seeks the rescission of an April 12, 2013, settlement agreement between the Company and Spirit Bear (the “Settlement Agreement”) on grounds of duress and fraudulent inducement. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, Spirit Bear received an increase in the conversion rate of its preferred stock in the Company from 20,000 to 50,000 common shares per preferred share. In the event the Court declines to rescind the SPA, but orders the rescission of the Settlement Agreement, the Company would seek to have Spirit Bear’s conversion rate restored to 20,000 common shares per preferred share. The Company’s lawsuit also alleges other claims against the New York Defendants, including claims of negligent misrepresentation and securities fraud under federal and state law.

In addition to the foregoing, the Company continues to litigate a separate declaratory judgment action that it previously filed against Spirit Bear in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.

Item 1A. *Risk Factors*

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

Item 2. *Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds*

We made the following sales of common stock and five-year warrants to purchase shares of our common stock at a purchase price of \$0.60 per share, which have a cashless exercise feature, in private offerings to accredited investors during the three months ended September 30, 2014:

| Date | Common | Warrants | Proceeds |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| September 2014 | 1,109,091 | 730,909 | \$ 610,000 |

We issued common stock upon conversion of preferred stock held by an accredited investor, during the three months ended September 30, 2014, as follows:

| Date | Common | Preferred |
|--------------|---------------|------------------|
| July 2014 | 500,000 | (10) |

We issued warrants for our common stock to accredited investors in exchange for services during the three months ended September 30, 2014, as follows:

| Date | Warrants | Consideration |
|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| July 2014 | 200,000 | \$ 134,407 |
| August 2014 | 750,000 | 417,338 |
| September 2014 | 460,000 | 293,245 |
| Total | 1,410,000 | \$ 844,990 |

We believe the issuances were exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933 by virtue of Section 4(2) thereof and/or Regulation D promulgated thereunder. None of the above issuances involved any underwriters, underwriting discounts, or any public offering. We have engaged two firms to provide general investment and capital funding advisory services, for which we paid \$30,000 during the three months ended September 30, 2014.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer

31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer

32.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

101.INS XBRL Instance Document

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HPEV, Inc.

Dated: November 13, 2014

/s/ Timothy Hassett
By: Timothy Hassett
Its: Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

Dated: November 13, 2014

/s/ Quentin Ponder
By: Quentin Ponder
Its: Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting
Officer)