METHANEX CORP Form 6-K March 16, 2017

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 6-K

REPORT OF FOREIGN PRIVATE ISSUER PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-16 OR 15d-16 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE MONTH OF MARCH 2017 COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 0-20115

METHANEX CORPORATION (Registrant's name)

SUITE 1800, 200 BURRARD STREET, VANCOUVER, BC V6C 3M1 CANADA (Address of principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover Form 20-F or Form 40-F. Form 20-F "Form 40-F \oint Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1): "Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7): "

IMPORTANT INFORMATION FOR SHAREHOLDERS

Notice of the Annual and Special Meeting of Shareholders and Information Circular March 3, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INVITATION TO SHAREHOLDERS	page <u>i</u>
NOTICE OF ANNUAL AND SPECIAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS	<u>ii</u>
INFORMATION CIRCULAR	<u>1</u>
PART I VOTING	<u>1</u>
PART II BUSINESS OF THE MEETING RECEIVE THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS ELECTION OF DIRECTORS REAPPOINTMENT AND REMUNERATION OF AUDITORS ADVISORY "SAY ON PAY" VOTE ON APPROACH TO EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AMENDMENT OF STOCK OPTION PLAN	5 5 5 14 15 16
PART III CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	<u>19</u>
PART IV COMPENSATION COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS STATEMENT OF EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION INDEBTEDNESS OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS DIRECTORS' AND OFFICERS' LIABILITY INSURANCE	29 29 38 55 61 61
PART V OTHER INFORMATION NORMAL COURSE ISSUER BID SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS ADDITIONAL INFORMATION APPROVAL BY DIRECTORS	62 62 62 65 66 66
SCHEDULE A TEXT OF RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDMENT TO THE STOCK OPTION PLAN	<u>A-1</u>
SCHEDULE B METHANEX CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRINCIPLES	<u>B-1</u> <u>B-1</u>

March 3, 2017

INVITATION TO SHAREHOLDERS

On behalf of the Board of Directors of Methanex Corporation, I would like to invite you to join us at our Annual and Special Meeting of shareholders. The meeting will be held at the Vancouver Convention Centre - East Building in Vancouver, British Columbia on Thursday, April 27, 2017 at 11:00 a.m.

The meeting is a great opportunity to learn about our strategy for the future and review our 2016 performance. Attending the meeting also provides you with an excellent opportunity to meet our directors and senior management and ask them any questions you may have.

We hope that you will attend this Annual and Special Meeting and we look forward to seeing you there. If you are unable to attend, the meeting will also be webcast live on the Investor Relations section of our website: www.methanex.com. Sincerely,

John Floren President and Chief Executive Officer

METHANEX CORPORATION

NOTICE OF ANNUAL AND SPECIAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

The Annual and Special Meeting (the "Meeting") of the shareholders of Methanex Corporation (the "Company") will be held at the following time and place:

DATE: Thursday, April 27, 2017

TIME: 11:00 a.m. (Pacific Time)

East Meeting Room 1 Vancouver Convention Centre - East Building PLACE: 999 Canada Place Vancouver, British Columbia

The Meeting is being held for the following purposes:

- to receive the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company for the financial year ended December 31, 2016 . and the Auditors' Report on such statements;
- 2.to elect directors;
- 3. to reappoint the auditors and authorize the Board of Directors to fix the remuneration of the auditors;
- to consider and approve, on an advisory basis, a resolution to accept the Company's approach to executive
- compensation disclosed in the accompanying Information Circular;
- to consider and, if thought fit, pass an ordinary resolution to amend the Company's Stock Option Plan to authorize the issuance of an additional 3,000,000 common shares of the Company pursuant to the exercise of stock options
- issued thereunder, the full text of which resolution is set out in Schedule A to the accompanying Information Circular: and
- 6. to transact such other business as may properly come before the Meeting.

If you hold common shares of the Company and do not expect to attend the Meeting in person, please complete the enclosed proxy form and either fax it to 1 416 368 2502 or toll-free in North America to 1 866 781 3111 or forward it to CST Trust Company using the envelope provided with these materials. Proxies must be received no later than 48 hours (excluding Saturdays, Sundays and holidays) before the time fixed for commencement of the Meeting or any postponement or adjournment thereof.

DATED at the City of Vancouver, in the Province of British Columbia, this 3rd day of March, 2017.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS **Kevin Price** General Counsel & Corporate Secretary

METHANEX CORPORATION

INFORMATION CIRCULAR

Information contained in this Information Circular is given as at March 3, 2017 unless otherwise stated.

PART I VOTING

Solicitation of proxies

This Information Circular is provided in connection with the solicitation of proxies by or on behalf of the management and Board of Directors (the "Board") of Methanex Corporation (the "Company", "we" or "our", as applicable) for use at the Annual and Special Meeting (the "Meeting") of the shareholders of the Company to be held at the time and place (including any adjournment or postponement thereof) and for the purposes described in the accompanying Notice of Annual and Special Meeting of Shareholders.

It is anticipated that this Information Circular and the accompanying proxy form will be mailed on or about March 16, 2017 to holders of common shares of the Company ("Common Shares").

What will be voted on at the Meeting?

Shareholders will be voting on those matters that are described in the accompanying Notice of Annual and Special Meeting of Shareholders. The Notice includes all the matters to be presented at the Meeting that are presently known to management. A simple majority (that is, greater than 50%) of the votes cast, in person or by proxy, will constitute approval of these matters, other than the election of directors and the appointment of auditors. Who is entitled to vote?

Only registered holders of Common Shares ("Registered Shareholders") at the close of business on February 27, 2017 (the "Record Date") are entitled to vote at the Meeting or at any adjournment or postponement thereof. Each Registered Shareholder will have one vote for each Common Share held at the close of business on the Record Date. As of March 3, 2017, there were 89,842,838 Common Shares outstanding. To the knowledge of the directors and senior officers of the Company, the only persons who beneficially owned, directly or indirectly, or exercised control or direction over, Common Shares carrying 10% or more of the voting rights of the Company were M&G Investment Management Limited ("M&G") and Wellington Management Group LLP ("Wellington"). Based on information filed by M&G on December 31, 2016, M&G held 17,510,018 Common Shares. Based on information filed by Wellington on December 31, 2016, Wellington held 10,334,185 Common Shares⁽¹⁾.

Can I vote Common Shares that I acquired after the Record Date (February 27, 2017)?

No. Only Common Shares that are held by a shareholder at the close of business on the Record Date are entitled to be voted at the Meeting.

How do I vote?

If you are a Registered Shareholder, there are two ways in which you can vote your Common Shares. You can either vote by proxy or vote in person at the Meeting.

(1) Shares owned by M&G and Wellington may include shares owned by certain of their affiliates and associates.

Voting by proxy

If you do not plan to come to the Meeting, you can have your vote counted by appointing someone who will attend the Meeting as your proxyholder. In the proxy, you can either direct your proxyholder as to how you want your Common Shares to be voted or let your proxyholder choose for you. You can always revoke your proxy if you decide to attend the Meeting and wish to vote your Common Shares in person.

Voting in person

Registered Shareholders who will attend the Meeting and wish to vote their Common Shares in person should not complete a proxy form. Your vote will be taken and counted at the Meeting. Please register with the transfer agent, CST Trust Company, when you arrive at the Meeting.

What if I am not a Registered Shareholder?

Many shareholders are "non-registered shareholders." Non-registered shareholders are shareholders whose shares are registered in the name of an intermediary (such as a bank, trust company, securities broker, trustee or custodian). Unless you have previously informed your intermediary that you do not wish to receive materials relating to the Meeting, you should receive or have already received from your intermediary either a request for voting instructions or a proxy form.

Intermediaries have their own mailing procedures and provide their own instructions to shareholders. These procedures may allow you to provide your voting instructions by telephone, on the Internet, by mail or by fax. You should carefully follow the directions and instructions received from your intermediary to ensure that your Common Shares are voted at the Meeting.

If you wish to vote in person at the Meeting, you should follow the procedure in the directions and instructions provided by or on behalf of your intermediary. You will not need to complete any voting or proxy form as your vote will be taken at the Meeting. Please register with the transfer agent, CST Trust Company, when you arrive at the Meeting.

What is a proxy?

A proxy is a document that authorizes someone else to attend the Meeting and cast your votes for you. Registered Shareholders may use the enclosed proxy form, or any other valid proxy form, to appoint a proxyholder. The enclosed proxy form authorizes the proxyholder to vote and otherwise act for you at the Meeting, including any continuation after the adjournment or postponement of the Meeting.

If you are a Registered Shareholder and you complete the enclosed proxy, your Common Shares will be voted as instructed. If you do not mark any boxes, your proxyholder can vote your shares at his or her discretion. See "How will my Common Shares be voted if I give my proxy?" below.

How do I appoint a proxyholder?

Your proxyholder is the person you appoint and name on the proxy form to cast your votes for you. You can choose anyone you want to be your proxyholder. Your proxyholder does not have to be another shareholder. Just fill in the person's name in the blank space provided on the enclosed proxy form or complete any other valid proxy form and deliver it to CST Trust Company within the time specified below for receipt of proxies.

If you leave the space on the proxy form blank, either Thomas Hamilton or John Floren, both of whom are named in the form, are appointed to act as your proxyholder. Mr. Hamilton is Chairman of the Board and Mr. Floren is the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company.

For the proxy to be valid, it must be completed, dated and signed by the Registered Shareholder (or the Registered Shareholder's attorney as authorized in writing) and then delivered to the Company's transfer agent, CST Trust Company, in the envelope provided or by fax to 1 416 368 2502 or toll-free in North America to 1 866 781 3111 and received no later than 48 hours (excluding Saturdays, Sundays and holidays) prior to the Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

How will my Common Shares be voted if I give my proxy?

If you have properly filled out, signed and delivered your proxy, then your proxyholder can vote your shares for you at the Meeting. If you have specified on the proxy form how you want to vote on a particular issue (by marking FOR, AGAINST or WITHHOLD), then your proxyholder must vote your Common Shares accordingly.

If you have not specified how to vote on a particular issue, then your proxyholder will vote your Common Shares as he or she sees fit. However, if you have not specified how to vote on a particular issue and Mr. Hamilton or Mr. Floren has been appointed as proxyholder, your Common Shares will be voted in favour of all resolutions proposed by management. For more information on these resolutions, see "Part II BUSINESS OF THE MEETING." The enclosed form of proxy confers discretionary authority upon the proxyholder you name with respect to amendments or variations to the matters identified in the accompanying Notice of Annual and Special Meeting of Shareholders and any other matters that may properly come before the Meeting. If any such amendments or variations are proposed to the matters described in the Notice, or if any other matters properly come before the Meeting, your proxyholder may vote your Common Shares as he or she considers best.

How do I revoke a proxy?

Only Registered Shareholders have the right to revoke a proxy. Non-registered shareholders who wish to change their voting instructions must, in sufficient time in advance of the Meeting, arrange for their intermediaries to change their vote and if necessary revoke their proxy.

If you are a Registered Shareholder and you wish to revoke your proxy after you have delivered it, you can do so at any time before it is used. You or your authorized attorney may revoke a proxy by (i) clearly stating in writing that you want to revoke your proxy and delivering this revocation by mail to Proxy Department, CST Trust Company, P.O. Box 721, Agincourt, ON M1S 0A1, Canada or by fax to 1 416 368 2502 or toll-free in North America to 1 866 781 3111, or by mail to the registered office of the Company, Suite 1800, 200 Burrard Street, Vancouver, BC V6C 3M1, Canada, Attention: Corporate Secretary, or by fax to the Company to 1 604 661 2602, at any time up to and including the last business day preceding the day of the Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof or (ii) in any other manner permitted by law. Revocations may also be hand-delivered to the Chairman of the Meeting on the day of the Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof. Such revocation will have effect only in respect of those matters upon which a vote has not already been cast pursuant to the authority confirmed by the proxy. If you revoke your proxy and do not replace it with another in the manner described in "How do I appoint a proxyholder?"

Who pays for this solicitation of proxies?

The cost of this solicitation of proxies is paid by the Company. It is expected that the solicitation will be primarily by mail, but proxies may also be solicited personally or by telephone or other means of communication by directors and regular employees of the Company without special compensation. In addition, the Company may retain the services of agents to solicit proxies on behalf of its management. In that event, the Company will compensate any such agents for such services, including reimbursement for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses, and will indemnify them in respect of certain liabilities that may be incurred by them in performing their services. The Company may also reimburse brokers or other persons holding Common Shares in their names, or in the names of nominees, for their reasonable expenses in sending proxies and proxy material to beneficial owners and obtaining their proxies. Who counts the votes?

The Company's transfer agent, CST Trust Company, counts and tabulates the proxies. This is done independently of the Company to preserve confidentiality in the voting process. Proxies are referred to the Company only in cases where a shareholder clearly intends to communicate with management or when it is necessary to do so to meet legal requirements.

How do I contact the transfer agent?

If you have any inquiries, you can contact the Company's principal registrar and transfer agent, CST Trust Company, as follows:

Email:inquiries@canstockta.comToll-free:1 800 387 0825Telephone:1 416 682 3860

CST Trust Company

Station B

Mail: PO Box 700

Iviaii.

Montreal, Quebec H3B 3K3

The Company's co-registrar and co-transfer agent in the United States is American Stock Transfer & Trust Company LLC; however, all shareholder inquiries should be directed to CST Trust Company.

PART II BUSINESS OF THE MEETING

RECEIVE THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2016 will be received by shareholders of the Company at the Meeting and are included in the Annual Report, which has been mailed to Registered Shareholders as required under the Canada Business Corporations Act (the "CBCA") and to non-registered shareholders who have requested such financial statements.

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The directors of the Company are elected each year at the annual general meeting of the Company and hold office until the close of the next annual general meeting or until their successors are elected or appointed in accordance with applicable law. The Company has a majority voting policy for election of directors that is described on page 26. The articles of the Company provide that the Company must have a minimum of 3 and a maximum of 15 directors. The by-laws of the Company state that, when the articles of the Company provide for a minimum and maximum number of directors, the number of directors within the range may be determined from time to time by resolution of the Board. The Board, on an annual basis, considers the size of the Board. On March 3, 2017, the directors resolved that the Board shall consist of 12 directors, such size being consistent with effective decision-making.

The Corporate Governance Committee recommends to the Board nominees for election as directors through a process described on page 24, under the heading "Nominating Committee and Nomination Process." The persons listed below are being proposed for nomination for election at the Meeting. The persons named as proxyholders in the accompanying proxy, if not expressly directed otherwise, will vote the Common Shares for which they have been appointed proxyholder in favour of electing those persons listed below as nominees for directors.

The following table sets out the names, ages and places of residence of all the persons to be nominated for election as directors of the Board, along with other relevant information, including the number and market value of Common Shares, Deferred Share Units ("DSUs") and Restricted Share Units ("RSUs") held by each of them as at the date of this Information Circular and which standing committees (each a "Committee") of the Board directors are members. In the case of Mr. Floren, who is President & CEO of the Company, the table also includes the number of Performance Share Units ("PSUs") that he holds. Information regarding Mr. Floren's options-based awards and other holdings can be found in the "Outstanding Option-Based Awards and Share-Based Awards" table on page 56. The following table also sets out whether a nominee is independent or not independent. All amounts are in Canadian dollars.

			Mr. Aitken is a co He was President Company from M	& CEO of the
	BRUCE AIT	KEN	retirement at the e to this, Mr. Aitken	nd of 2012. Prior
	Age: 62		Chief Operating C	
	Auckland, N Zealand	ew	prior to that he wa President, Asia Pa	s Senior Vice
	Director sinc July 2004	ce:	Company (based i He has also held the Vice President, Co	n New Zealand). ne position of
	Independent		Development (bas He was an employ	
	Committee membership the date of the Information Circular:	s as a ne	Company and its p methanol compani- approximately 22 joining the Compa- worked in various for Fletcher Challe	tes for years. Prior to my, Mr. Aitken executive roles
	- Public Poli Committee - Responsibl Care Commi	e	Zealand. Mr. Aitken holds a Commerce from th Auckland and is a Chartered Accoun and New Zealand.	ne University of member of the tants of Australia
2016 Board / Committee Memberships	2016 Atten	dance	Total 2016 Attendance at Board and Committee Meetings	Other Current Board Memberships
Member of th Board Public Policy Committee Responsible C Committee	6 of 6 2 of 2 3 of 3	2	11 of 100% 11	Onehunga High Business School Advisory Board (educational institution) (since 2014)

Share and Share Equivalents Held as of March 3, 2017:

Common	Total	Total of	TotalMinimum Meets Share
Shares ⁽¹⁾	DSUs	Common	MarkShareholding Ownership
(#)	and	Shares,	Valu Requirements Requirements? ⁽⁶⁾
	RSUs ⁽	² DBUs and	of (\$)
	(#)	RSUs	Common
		(#)	Shares,
			DSUs
			and

			RSUs ⁽⁵⁾	
			(\$)	
121,289	Nil	121,289	7,71 54093 000	Yes

	DOUGLAS ARNELL	Mr. Arnell is the Chief Executive C Energy Advisors	Officer of Helm	
	Age: 50	company he found 2015 that provide	ded in March s advisory	
	West Vancouver, Canada	services to the glo sector. Prior to fo Energy, from Sep	unding Helm	
	Director since: October 2016	March 2015, Mr. employed with Ge including as Chie	olar LNG Ltd.,	
	Independent	Officer from February 2011 to March 2015. Golar LNG is a U.S.		
	Committee memberships as a the date of the Information Circular:	public company focused on owning and operating LNG midstream floating assets. Prior to joining Golar LNG, Mr. Arnell held various senior positions within the BG Group of companies from 2003		
	- Corporate Governance	to 2010 and with other energy companies prior to that time.		
	Committee - Public Policy Committee	Mr. Arnell holds Science from the Calgary. Total 2016		
2016 Board / Committee Memberships	2016 Attendanc	Attendance at Board and	Other Current Board Memberships	
Member of th	e	8-		
Board Corporate Governance Committee Public Policy	1 of 1 0 of 0 0 of 0	1 of 1 100%	Veresen Inc. (since 2016)	
Committee				
Share and Sha	are Equivalents He	eld as of March 3, 2	2017:	
Common Shares ⁽¹⁾ (#)	Total Total of DSUs Common and Shares, BSUs ⁽²⁰ SUs and	TotaMinimum MarSchareholding ValuRequirements	Meets Share	

RSUs ⁽	² DSUs and	of	(\$)
(#)	RSUs	Cor	mmon

(#) Shares,

		DSUs	
		and	
		RSUs ⁽⁵⁾	
		(\$)	
1,360	1,700 3,060	194, 4007 ,000	No ⁽⁷⁾

	HOWARD		
	BALLOCH ⁽⁸⁾	Mr. Dolloop is a corr	orata director and
	Age: 65	Mr. Balloch is a corp private investor resid Kong. From 2002 to	lent in Hong
	Hong Kong	President of The Bal ("TBG"), a Beijing-b	based investment
	Director since: December 2004	advisory and mercha he founded following Canadian Ambassado	g his retirement as or to China, a
	Independent	position he had held TBG was acquired by	-
	Committee memberships as at the date of the Information Circular: - Audit, Finance and Risk Committee - Public Policy	Genuity in 2011 and	Mr. Balloch an of its Asian epped down in Bachelor of Arts I Science and ster's degree in ns, both from
	Committee (Chair	·)	
2016 Board / Committee Memberships	2016 Attendance	Total 2016 Attendance eat Board and Committee Meetings	Other Current Board Memberships
Member of th Audit, Financ Risk Commit Public Policy Committee (C	tee and 6 of 6 tee 7 of 7 2 of 2	15 of 15 100%	Maple Leaf Educational Systems (since 2014) Sinopec Canada Inc. (private) (since 2014)

Share and Share Equivalents Held as of March 3, 2017:

	Total of	Total Market Value of	of
Common	Della Common	CommoMinimum	Maata Shara
Common	Shares,	Shares, Shareholding	Oreces Share
Shares ⁽¹⁾	and DSU (DSUs and	DSUs Requirements	Ownership
(#)	RSUs ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ RSUs	Total Market Value of CommoMinimum Shares, Shareholding DSUs Requirements and (\$)	Requirements? ⁽⁰⁾
	(#) (#)	$RSUs^{(5)}($)$	
1,700	47,235 48,935	3,112,7 40 0,000	Yes

PHILLIP COOK	Mr. Cook is a corporate director. He
	held the position of Senior Advisor
Age: 70	of The Dow Chemical Company ("Dow
	Chemical") from June 2006 until his

	USA Direct May 2	n, Texas, tor since: 2006 endent	Chemi and ag Prior t Mr. Co Preside	ricultural pro o his Senior A ook was Corp ent, Strategic	chemical, plastic ducts and services. Advisor position, orate Vice Development &
	Comn	nittee	2005. Mr. Cook previously h		viously held senior Chemical
	the da Inform Circul	te of the nation lar:	Perfor from 2 positio	2003, and from	cals & Thermosets n 2000 he held the s Vice President,
	Comn (Chain - Hum Resou	rnance nittee r) nan urces	Mecha	ook holds a B anical Enginee rsity of Texas	ering from the
2016 Board / Committee Memberships	Comn	2016 Attendanc		lance	Other Current Board Memberships
Member of th Board Corporate Governance Committee (C Human Resou Committee Public Policy Committee	Chair)	6 of 6 2 of 2 3 of 3 1 of 1	12 of 12	100%	Cockrell School of Engineering Advisory Board (since 2004) and the Environmental Sciences Institute Advisory Board (since 2010) of the University of Texas at Austin (educational institution)

Share and Share Equivalents Held as of March 3, 2017:

	Total of	Total Market Value of	of
Common	DSU _a Common	CommoMinimum	Maata Shara
Common Sharea(1)	Shares,	Shares, Shareholding	Ownership
Shares ⁽¹⁾	DSU ₂ DSUs and	DSUs Requirements	Ownership Boguiramanta 2(6)
(#)	RSUS(2)(5) (#) RSUs	and (\$)	Requirements (%)
	(#) (#)	$RSUs^{(5)}($)$	
25,000	5,768 30,768	1,957,1 40 0,000	Yes
	(#)		

	Age: 5		Mr. Floren has been President & CEO of the Company since January 2013. Prior to this appointment, Mr. Floren was Senior Vice President, Global Marketing & Logistics of the Company from June 2005 and prior to that, Director, Marketing & Logistics, North America from May 2002. He has been an employee of the Company for approximately 17 years and has worked in the chemical industry for over 30 years.		
	Directo 2013	or since: January	in Economics from	a Bachelor of Arts n the University of	
		dependent	Manitoba. He also Harvard Business for Management I has attended the In Executive Program Most recently he of Directors Education Institute of Corpo Total 2016	School's Program Development and nternational n at INSEAD. completed the on Program at the	
2016 Board / Committee Memberships	3	2016 Attendance	Attendance at Board and Committee Meetings	Other Current Board Memberships	
Member of th Board ⁽⁹⁾	ne	6 of 6	6 of 6 100%	West Fraser Timber Co. Ltd. (since 2016)	
Share and Sha	are Equi	valents Held as of	Total Market Value		
Common Shares ⁽¹⁾ (#)	Total PSUs and DSUs ⁽²	Total of Common Shares, PSUs (50% of balance) and DSUs (#)	¹ Com Mon imum ShareShareholding	Meets Share Ownership ^S Requirements? ⁽⁶⁾	
84,681	130,73	9150,051	9,5444,774545,000	Yes	

			the E May Med	Board of the C 2010. He has ora Investmen	been Chairman of ompany since been co-owner of its, a private Houston, Texas,
			Chai	rman, Preside	
				utive Officer	of EEX l and natural gas
	THOM	MAS	-	oration and pr	-
	HAM	ILTON (10)			nuary 1997 until lovember 2002.
	Age: '	73			7, Mr. Hamilton e Vice President
	Houst USA	on, Texas,	Presi		any and as coil Exploration ompany, one of
	Direct May 2	tor since: 2007	the la oil a	argest US-bas	ed independent nies. Previously,
	Independent		Mr. Hamilton held senior positions at other oil and gas companies including BP, Standard Oil		
			Com	pany and Exx	onMobil Corp.
			Scient the U also	Jniversity of N has a Bachelo	ls a Master of) in Geology from North Dakota. He r of Science in pital University,
				mbus, Ohio.	
2016 Board / Committee Memberships		2016 Attendance	Atter at Bo	1 2016 ndance pard and mittee	Other Current Board Memberships
-			Mee	tings	Ĩ
Chairman of Board ⁽¹¹⁾	the	6 of 6	6 of 6	100%	None
Share and Sh	are Equ	uvalents He	ld as o Tota	of March 3, 20 l	017:
		Total of	Marl Valu		
Common Shares ⁽¹⁾ (#)	and	Shares, DSUs ⁽² afid(#)SUs	Com Shar DSU	Minimum Storreholding Requirements (\$)	Meets Share Ownership Requirements? ⁽⁶⁾
		(#)	and RSU (\$)	(5)	
24,000	11,13	735,137		57,D6,900	Yes

Age: Fulsh USA Direc Septe Indep Commemil the da		ELNIK 55 ear, Texas, or since: nber 2008 endent hittee erships as at te of the nation	Mr. Kostelnik has been a p in GlenRock Recovery Par LLC since February 2012. GlenRock Recovery Partner facilitates the sale of non-f hydrocarbons in the United Prior to this, he was Presid Chief Executive Officer of Clean Technologies, Inc. fn to May 2011. Mr. Kostelni position of Vice President Refining for CITGO Petrol Corporation ("CITGO") fre 2006 until his retirement in He held a number of senior positions during his 16 yea CITGO. Previously, Mr. K held various management p at Shell Oil Company.		overy Partners, ry 2012. ry Partners of non-fungible ne United States. as President & Officer of Cinatra es, Inc. from 2008 Kostelnik held the resident of GO Petroleum 'GO") from July rement in 2007. of senior is 16 years with y, Mr. Kostelnik gement positions
2016 Board / Committee Memberships	Comm	nance nittee onsible Care	Mr. Kostelnik ho Science (Mechar from the Univers is a Registered P Engineer. Total 2016 Attendance at Board and Committee		cal Engineering) by of Missouri and
Member of th Corporate Governance Committee Responsible (Committee (C	Care	6 of 6 3 of 3 3 of 3	12 of 12	tings 100%	Association of Chemical Industry of Texas (industry association) (since 2004) HollyFrontier Corporation (since 2010)

Share and Share Equivalents Held as of March 3, 2017:

Common	Total	Total of	Tota M inimum	Meets Share
Shares ⁽¹⁾	DSUs	Common	Mark Schareholding	Ownership
(#)	and	Shares,	ValuRequirements	sRequirements? ⁽⁶⁾
	RSUs ⁽²⁾	² DS₩)s	of (\$)	
		and RSUs	Common	
		(#)	Shares,	

(since 2011)

			DSUs	
			and	
			RSUs ⁽⁵⁾	
			(\$)	
21,000	5,768	26,768	1,7024,00,2000	Yes

	DOLIC				
	DOUC		Ma Mala Carla		
	MAH	АГГҮ	Mr. Mahaffy is a c	-	
	1	71	He was Chairman		
	Age: 7	1	Budden Limited ('		
	Toron	to Ontorio	Budden") from Fe		
	Canad		March 2010. Prior to that, he held the position of Chairman & Chief		
	Canad	a	Executive Officer of McLean		
	Direct	or since:	Budden from Octo		
	May 2		February 2008. Mr. Mahaffy was		
	Whay 2	000	also President of N	•	
	Indepe	ndent	from October 1989 until Septen		
	macpe	macint	2006. McLean Bu	-	
	Comm	ittee	Canada) is an investment		
			tmanagement firm		
the date of the		-	\$30 billion in asse	-	
	Inform	nation	foundation and pri	•	
Circular:		ar:	Canada, the Unite		
and		and Asia.			
- Corporate Governance					
		nance	Mr. Mahaffy holds a Bachelor of		
	Comm	ittee	Arts and a Master	of Business	
	- Hum	an	Administration from	om York	
	Resou	rces	University, Toronto.		
	Comm	ittee			
			Total 2016		
2016 Board /		2016	Attendance	Other Current	
Committee		Attendance	at Board and	Board	
Memberships	5		Committee	Memberships	
			Meetings	~ . ~ .	
				Canada Pension	
				Plan Investment	
				Board	
Member of th	ne			(government	
Board				agency) (since	
Corporate		6 of 6		2009)	
Governance		3 of 3	13 of 100%	Sunnybrook Health Sciences	
Committee		4 of 4	13		
Human Reso	urces			Centre (academic health sciences	
Committee				centre), Common	
				Investment	
				Committee	

Share and Share Equivalents Held as of March 3, 2017:					
Total					
		Market			
	Total of	Value			
Comment	Total Common	of Minimum	Maata Chana		
Common	DSUs Shares,	Com Sibareholding	Meets Share		
Shares ⁽¹⁾	and DSUs	Share Requirements	Ownership Requirements? ⁽⁶⁾		
(#)	RSUs ⁽² a) (#)SUs	DSU(\$)	Requirements?(*)		
	(#)	and			
		RSUs ⁽⁵⁾			
		(\$)			
1,900	45,02846,928	2,9854090000	Yes		

		ERENCE RY) POOLE 74	He held the positi	ion of Executive Corporate Strategy	
	Calga Canac	ry, Alberta, la	Chemicals Corpo a commodity che	oration ("NOVA"),	
		tor since: ary 1994 ⁽¹²⁾	of Executive Vice	trategy of NOVA from	
	Independent Committee memberships as at the date of the Information Circular: - Audit, Finance and Risk Committee (Chair) - Public Policy Committee		1998 to 2000 and Senior Vice Presi	dent & Chief	
			Financial Officer 1994 to 1998.	of NOVA from	
			Mr. Poole is a Chartered Professional Accountant and holds a Bachelor of Commerce from		
			Dalhousie University, Halifax. He is a member of the Canadian, Quebec and Ontario Institutes of Chartered Professional Accountants and is also a member of Financial Executives International.		
2016 Board / Committee Memberships		2016 Attendance	Total 2016 Attendance at Board and Committee Meetings	Other Current Board Memberships	
Member of th Board Audit, Financ Risk Commit (Chair) ⁽¹³⁾ Put Policy Comm	e and tee olic	6 of 6 7 of 7 2 of 2	15 of 100% 15	Pengrowth Energy Corporation (since 2005)	
Share and Share Equivalents Held as of March 3, 2017.					

Share and Share Equivalents Held as of March 3, 2017:

		Total	
		Market	
	Total of	Value	
Common	Total Common	of Minimum	Meets Share
Shares ⁽¹⁾	DSUs Shares,	Comstoneholding	Ownership
(#)	and DSUs		Requirements? ⁽⁶⁾
(#)	RSUs ⁽²)(A)(#)SUs	DSU(\$)	Kequitements?
	(#)	and	
		RSUs ⁽⁵⁾	
		(\$)	
37,000	60,88697,886	6,22 6,60,8 00	Yes

		NIE 59 nton, ca, Canada or since:	Senior Vice Presia Resources & Orga Effectiveness for I Inc. ("EPCOR"). A EPCOR built, own power plants, elect	5, Ms. Rennie was dent, Human anizational EPCOR Utilities At that time,	
	Comn memb	erships as a	wastewater treatm infrastructure in C United States. Prio Ms. Rennie was P tRennie & Associa	Canada and the or to 2004, Principal of ates, which	
the date of the Information Circular:		provided investment and related advice to small and mid-sized companies.			
	- Audit, Finance and Risk Committee - Human Resources Committee (Chair)		Ms. Rennie holds a Bachelor of Commerce from the University of Alberta and is a Fellow of the Institute of Chartered Professional Accountants of Alberta and the Institute of Corporate Directors.		
2016 Board / Committee Memberships		2016 Attendance	Total 2015 Attendance at Board and Committee Meetings	Other Current Board Memberships	
Member of th Board Audit, Financ Risk Commit Human Resou Committee (C	tee and tee	6 of 6 7 of 7 4 of 4	17 of 17	Greystone Capital Management Inc. (private) (since 2003) Major Drilling Group International Inc. (since 2010) West Fraser Timber Co. Ltd. (since 2004) WestJet Airlines Limited (since 2011)	

Share and Share Equivalents Held as of March 3, 2017:CommonMinimumMeets Share

Shares ⁽¹⁾	Total Total of	TotalShareholding Ownership
(#)	DSUs Common	MarkRequirements Requirements? ⁽⁶⁾
	and Shares,	Value(\$)
	RSUs ⁽² D ³ (#)	of
	and RSU	s Common
	(#)	Shares,
		DSUs
		and
		RSUs ⁽⁵⁾
		(\$)
3,000	12,48515,485	985,0 4 00,000 Yes

MAR WAL Age: 0		GARET KER	Ms. Walker has bee MLRW Group, LLC 2011. MLRW Grou	C since January	
		54	consulting firm focu with companies to it		
	Austin	n, Texas,	investment outcome		
	USA		overall safety perfor		
	Diman	tor since:	2004 until her retire		
	April		2010, Ms. Walker w of Engineering & To		
	Артп	2013	Dow Chemical Con		
	Indep	endent	Chemical"). Prior to		
			held other senior positions with Dow		
Committee		nittee	Chemical including Senior Leader in		
			at Manufacturing & Engineering and		
the date of the		Business Director of Contract			
		nation	Manufacturing. Dow Chemical		
	Circul	lar:	provides chemical, plastic and agricultural products and services.		
	- Hun		N XX 11 1 1 1		
	Resou		Ms. Walker holds a Bachelor of		
	Comn		Chemical Engineering from Texas		
	-	onsible Committee	Tech University, loc	cated in Lubbock,	
	Care	ommittee	Texas. Total 2016		
2016 Board /		2016	Attendance	Other Current	
Committee		-010	eat Board and	Board	
Memberships		Attenuane	Committee Meeting	Memberships	
Member of th	e		committee meeting	0	
Board				Independent	
Human Resou	irces	6 of 6 4 of 4	13 of 100%	Project Analysis,	
Committee		4 of 4 3 of 3	13	Inc. (private)	
Responsible C	Care	5 01 5		(since 2011)	
Committee					

Share and Share Equivalents Held as of March 3, 2017:

Common Shares ⁽¹⁾ (#)	Total of Total Common DSUs Shares, and DSUs RSUs ⁽²⁾ (1)(#)SUs (#)	Total Market Value of Minimum Comm&hareholding Shares,Requirements DSUs (\$) and RSUs ⁽⁵⁾ (\$)	Meets Share Ownership Requirements? ⁽⁶⁾
3,076	4,074 7,150	(⁽⁾) 454,81 2 400,000	Yes

			_		
	BENIT WARN	'A 1BOLD		Warmbold ha naging Directo	
				ancial Officer	
	Age: 58	3	Pension Plan Investment Board ("CPPIB") since 2013. Prior to thi		
	Toronto	o, Ontario,		<i>,</i>	s. Warmbold was
	Canada				resident & Chief
				erations Office	
	Directo	or since:	_		sional investment
	Februar	ry 2016		nagement orga	
			_		vesting funds on
	Indepen	ndent			ada Pension Plan.
	Commi	ttoo			8, Ms. Warmbold
					g Director & CFO
	the date	•		, and prior to t	
	Inform			or positions w	
	Circula	r:		velopment Inve	
				poration and k	KPMG.
		, Finance &		*** 1 111	11 **
		ommittee			olds an Honours
	- Kespt Commi				nerce degree from ty, is a Fellow of
	Comm			Institute of Ch	•
					ountants of Ontario
			and	has been gran	ted the ICD.D
			desi	gnation by the	e Institute of
				porate Directo	rs.
2016 D 1/				al 2016	
2016 Board / Committee		2016		endance	Other Current Board
Memberships	1	Attendance	at Board and Committee		Memberships
memoerships				etings	ine moersmps
				C	Canadian Public
					Accountability
					Board
					(professional
					association)
Member of th	a Board				(since 2011) Queen's
Audit, Financ		5 of 5	14		University Board
Risk Commit		6 of 6	of	100%	of Trustees
Responsible (3 of 3	14		(educational
Committee					institution) (since
					2015) Women's
					College Hospital
					(academic
					hospital) (since 2014)
					2014)

Share and Share Equivalents Held as of March 3, 2017:

Common Shares ⁽¹⁾ (#)	(1) 1	Total of Common Shares, 2)OSUs and RSUs	Sharesequirements	Meets Share Ownership Requirements? ⁽⁶⁾
		(#)	and	
			RSUs ⁽⁵⁾ (\$)	
6,000	1,700	7,700	489,74970,000	Yes

(1) The number of Common Shares held includes Common Shares directly or indirectly beneficially owned or under the control or direction of such nominee.

(2) For information on Deferred Share Units, see "Deferred Share Unit Plan (Director DSUs)".

(3) For information on Restricted Share Units, see "Share-Based Awards - Restricted Share Unit Plan for Directors".

For information on Performance Share Units, see "Performance Share Unit Plan". Non-management directors are not eligible to participate in this plan.

(5) This value is calculated using \$63.61, being the weighted average closing price of the Common Shares on the Toronto Stock Exchange for the 90-day period ending March 3, 2017.

(6) See page 36 for more information on director share ownership requirements. See page 54 for more information on Mr. Floren's share ownership requirements as President & CEO of the Company.

(7) Mr. Arnell was appointed a director effective October 1, 2016 and new directors have a reasonable period of time to meet their share ownership requirements.

Mr. Balloch was a director of Ivanhoe Energy Inc. ("Ivanhoe"), an oil exploration and development company, from (8)2002 to May 2015. Effective June 1, 2015, Ivanhoe was deemed bankrupt under the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act (Canada).

(9) Mr. Floren is not a member of any Committee, but attends Committee meetings in his capacity as President & CEO of the Company.

Mr. Hamilton was a director of Hercules Offshore Inc. ("Hercules"), a drilling company, from 2004 to

- (10) 2015. In August 2015, Hercules filed a pre-packaged plan of reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. In November 2015, Hercules completed its financial restructuring and emerged from the protection of Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code.
- (11)^{Mr.} Hamilton is not a member of any Committee, but attends Committee meetings on an ex-officio basis in his capacity as Chairman of the Board.
- (12)Mr. Poole resigned as a director of the Company in June 2003 and was reappointed in September 2003.
- (13)Mr. Poole has been designated as the "audit committee financial expert."

Voting Results

From the 2016 Annual General Meeting of Shareholders

Director For % Withheld% Bruce Aitken 72,625,18099.27536,104 0.73 Doug Arnell⁽¹⁾ Howard Balloch 72,880,99699.62280,288 0.38 Phillip Cook 72,925,42399.68235,861 0.32 John Floren 72,893,04799.63268,237 0.37 Thomas Hamilton 72,788,61699.49372,668 0.51 Robert Kostelnik 72,976,39199.75184,893 0.25 Douglas Mahaffy 72,911,41299.66249,872 0.34 A. Terence Poole 72,817,59499.53343,690 0.47 Janice Rennie 72,559,90499.18601,380 0.82 Margaret Walker 72,859,72599.59301,559 0.41 Benita Warmbold 72,934,36199.69226,923 0.31

(1) Mr. Arnell was appointed a director effective October 1, 2016, so did not stand for election at the 2016 Annual General Meeting.

Summary of Board and Committee Meetings For the 12-month period ending December 31, 2016

Board of Directors	6
Audit, Finance and Risk Committee	7
Corporate Governance Committee	3
Human Resources Committee	4
Public Policy Committee	2
Responsible Care Committee	3

For the 12-month period ending December 51, 2010							
	Committee				Committee Meetings	Committe	ee
Director	Attended	Attended Attended				Meetings	
	(#)	(%)			Attended (%)	Attended	
		. ,	(#)	Committee		(#)	(%)
Bruce Aitken	6 of 6	100	2 of 2	Public Policy	100	11 of 11	100
			3 of 3	Responsible Care	100		
Douglas Arnell ⁽¹⁾	1 of 1	100	n/a	Corporate Governance	n/a	1 of 1	100
			n/a	Public Policy	n/a		
Howard Balloch	6 of 6	100	7 of 7	Audit, Finance and Risk	100	15 of 15	100
			2 of 2 (Chair)	Public Policy	100		
Phillip Cook ⁽²⁾	6 of 6	100	3 of 3 (Chair)	Corporate Governance	100	12 of 12	100
			2 of 2	Human Resources	100		
			1 of 1	Public Policy	100		
John Floren ⁽³⁾	6 of 6	100		_	_	6 of 6	100
Thomas Hamilton ⁽⁴⁾	⁾ 6 of 6	100		_	_	6 of 6	100
Robert Kostelnik	6 of 6	100	3 of 3	Corporate Governance	100	12 of 12	100
			3 of 3 (Chair)	Responsible Care	100		
Douglas Mahaffy	6 of 6	100	3 of 3	Corporate Governance	100	13 of 13	100
			4 of 4	Human Resources	100		
A. Terence Poole	6 of 6	100	7 of 7 (Chair)	Audit, Finance and Risk	100	15 of 15	100
			2 of 2	Public Policy	100		
Janice Rennie ⁽⁵⁾	6 of 6	100	7 of 7	Audit, Finance and Risk	100	17 of 17	100
			4 of 4 (Chair)	Human Resources	100		
Margaret Walker	6 of 6	100	4 of 4	Human Resources	100	13 of 13	100
-			3 of 3	Responsible Care	100		
Benita Warmbold ⁽⁶⁾	5 of 5	100	6 of 6	Audit, Finance and Risk	100	14 of 14	100
			3 of 3	Responsible Care	100		
Total		100		-	100		100

Summary of Attendance of Directors at Board and Committee Meetings For the 12-month period ending December 31, 2016

Mr. Arnell was appointed a director effective October 1, 2016 and attended all Board meetings after that date. He (1) did not attend any Committee meetings in 2016 as the Corporate Governance and Public Policy Committees did not meet again following his appointment.

(2) In April 2016, Mr. Cook ceased being a member of the Public Policy Committee and became a member of the Human Resources Committee.

(3)Mr. Floren attended all Committee meetings in his capacity as President & CEO of the Company in 2016.

Mr. Hamilton attended all Committee meetings on an ex-officio basis in his capacity as Chairman of the Board in $^{(4)}2016$.

(5)In April 2016, Ms. Rennie became Chair of the Human Resources Committee.

(6) Ms. Warmbold was appointed a director effective February 1, 2016 and attended all Board and Audit, Finance and Risk and Responsible Care Committee meetings after that date.

REAPPOINTMENT AND REMUNERATION OF AUDITORS

The directors of the Company recommend the reappointment of KPMG LLP, Chartered Professional Accountants, Vancouver, as the auditors of the Company to hold office until the termination of the next annual meeting of the Company. KPMG LLP has served as the auditors of the Company for more than five years. As in past years, it is also recommended that the remuneration to be paid to the auditors be determined by the directors of the Company. The persons named as proxyholders in the accompanying proxy, if not expressly directed to the contrary, will vote the Common Shares for which they have been appointed proxyholder to reappoint KPMG LLP as the auditors of the Company and to authorize the directors to determine the remuneration to be paid to the auditors.

Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

The Company's Audit, Finance and Risk Committee (the "Audit Committee") annually reviews and approves the terms and scope of the external auditors' engagement. The Audit Committee oversees the Audit and Non-Audit Pre-Approval Policy, which sets forth the procedures and the conditions by which permissible services proposed to be performed by KPMG LLP are pre-approved. The Audit Committee has delegated to the Chair of the Audit Committee pre-approval authority for any services not previously approved by the Audit Committee. All such services approved by the Chair of the Audit Committee are subsequently reviewed by the Audit Committee.

All non-audit service engagements, regardless of the cost estimate, must be coordinated and approved by the Chief Financial Officer of the Company to further ensure that adherence to this policy is monitored.

Audit and Non-Audit Fees Billed by the Independent Auditors KPMG LLP's global fees relating to the years ended December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 are as follows:

US\$000s	2016	2015
Audit Fees	1,307	1,381
Audit-Related Fees	50	50
Tax Fees	61	63
Total	1,418	1,494
Each fee category is	s descr	ibed below.

Audit Fees

Audit fees for professional services rendered by the external auditors for the audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements; statutory audits of the financial statements of the Company's subsidiaries; quarterly reviews of the Company's financial statements; consultations as to the accounting or disclosure treatment of transactions reflected in the financial statements; and services associated with registration statements, prospectuses, periodic reports and other documents filed with securities regulators.

Audit fees for professional services rendered by the external auditors for the audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements were in respect of an "integrated audit" performed by KPMG LLP globally. The integrated audit encompasses an opinion on the fairness of presentation of the Company's financial statements as well as an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

Audit-Related Fees

Audit-related fees for professional services rendered by the auditors for financial audits of employee benefit plans; procedures and audit or attest services not required by statute or regulation; and consultations related to the accounting or disclosure treatment of other transactions.

Tax Fees

Tax fees for professional services rendered for tax compliance and tax advice. These services consisted of: tax compliance, including the review of tax returns; assistance in completing routine tax schedules and calculations; and advisory services relating to domestic and international taxation.

ADVISORY "SAY ON PAY" VOTE ON APPROACH TO EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

A detailed discussion of our approach to executive compensation is provided in the "Executive Compensation Discussion and Analysis" that begins on page 38 of this Information Circular. As stated there, the main objective of our executive compensation program is to attract, retain and engage high-quality and high-performance executives with relevant experience who have the ability to successfully execute our strategy and deliver long-term value to our shareholders.

Important elements of our executive compensation program are designed to be dependent upon measures that align with returns to shareholders. For the executive officers, a significant percentage of the short-term incentive award is dependent on achieving certain levels of "Modified Return on Capital Employed" but also on a broad variety of measures that we believe drive our share price. In the case of the long-term incentive plan, the value of Performance Share Units is dependent upon the compounded shareholder return calculated over a three-year period and stock options/Stock Appreciation Rights ("SARs") (which vest over a three-year period) have no value if the underlying share price does not increase.

We also believe in the importance of executives owning Common Shares to more fully align management with the interests of shareholders and focus activities on developing and implementing strategies that create and deliver long-term value for shareholders. Therefore, the President & CEO and all other executive officers have significant share ownership requirements.

At the 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, we held our first annual advisory vote on executive compensation (commonly referred to as a "say on pay vote") and 98.8% of shares were voted in favour of accepting the Company's approach to executive compensation. At each subsequent annual meeting of shareholders, over 98% of shares were voted in favour. It is the Board's intention that the say on pay vote will be only one part of the ongoing process of engagement between shareholders and the Board on compensation. The Board has also put in place a web-based survey to enable shareholders to give feedback on our approach to executive compensation.

This is an advisory vote and the results will not be binding upon the Board. However, the Board will take the results of the vote into account, together with any feedback received from shareholders through the web-based survey, when considering future compensation policies, procedures and decisions. Shareholders will be asked at the Meeting to consider and, if deemed advisable, to adopt the following resolution that is based on the model say on pay resolution formulated by the Canadian Coalition for Good Governance:

RESOLVED THAT:

On an advisory basis and not to diminish the role and responsibilities of the Board of Directors, the shareholders accept the approach to executive compensation disclosed in the Company's Information Circular delivered in advance of the 2017 annual and special meeting of shareholders.

The Board unanimously recommends that shareholders vote FOR the resolution. Unless instructed otherwise, the persons named in our form of proxy will vote FOR the resolution.

AMENDMENT OF STOCK OPTION PLAN

About the Stock Option Plan

The Company's long-term incentive ("LTI") plan is designed to attract and retain talented executives and senior employees, reward their contributions over various time horizons and ensure their interests are aligned with those of long-term shareholders. The annual LTI grant is delivered 50% through Performance Share Units ("PSUs") and 50% through stock options (or stock appreciation rights ("SARs") or tandem stock appreciation rights ("TSARs")).

The Company believes that stock options (or a similar vehicle) are a valuable tool to ensure strong alignment with shareholders as rewards are a function of share price appreciation. The Company has a Stock Option Plan (the "Stock Option Plan") under which options to purchase Common Shares may be granted to selected employees of the Company and its subsidiaries. Information regarding the Stock Option Plan is set out on pages 48 and 62. The table below outlines changes to the Stock Option Plan over the last ten years:

Year Key Event

Shareholders approved amendments including:

- 2007 options could no longer be granted to non-employee directors; and
- providing for revised expiry dates where the exercise period would expire during, or within ten days, after a blackout period

Shareholders approved amendments including:

- 2009- increasing the number of shares issuable under the Stock Option Plan by 4,231,441; and revising the expiry date from ten years to seven years
- 2010 Shareholders approved amendments including allowing stock appreciation rights to be issued in tandem with stock options

In 2010, to reduce the impact of dilution on current shareholdings, the LTI plan was modified to replace stock options with SARs in all regions, with the exception of Canada, Belgium and Trinidad, due to the potential adverse personal tax impact for employees in those countries. SARs are substantially equivalent to stock options as they provide compensation of a cash amount equal to the excess of the "fair market value" over the "grant price". The Company's SARs are only settled in cash, not stock, meaning there is no impact on dilution. Approximately 30% of long-term incentive awards granted to eligible management personnel are delivered through SARs.

A small number of employees in Belgium and Trinidad continue to receive stock options. Less than 10% of long-term incentive awards granted to eligible management personnel are delivered through stock options.

For Canadian employees, stock options are granted with an equal number of TSARs. Under the terms of the Stock Option Plan, TSARs entitle the holder to either 1) exercise the stock option or 2) surrender the related option granted and to receive a cash amount equal to the excess of the "fair market value" over the "grant price". TSARs retain the same preferential personal tax treatment as stock options in Canada even when the stock option is not exercised. When TSARs are granted, the corresponding number of Common Shares must be initially allocated from the share reserve in the event that the employee exercises the stock option. However, when an employee elects to exercise the TSAR there is a cash settlement and no share is issued. The surrendered option is then credited back to the Company's share reserve and is available for future options/TSARs granted under the Stock Option Plan. To date, all employees who have been granted TSARs have elected to exercise the TSAR, instead of the underlying stock option, and there has been no impact on dilution. We expect that in the future the majority of employees who have been granted TSARs will continue to exercise the TSAR. Over 60% of long-term incentive grants to eligible management personnel are

delivered through TSARs. Further information on TSARs is provided on page 48.

To ensure alignment with shareholder interests, we have significant share ownership requirements for all executive officers and share ownership guidelines for all management employees that are eligible to receive long-term incentives. Executive officers and other management employees are expected to use the cash proceeds from the exercise of stock options/SARs/TSARs or the vesting of their PSUs to achieve their share ownership requirement/guideline.

Long-Term Incentive Grant Practices

The Company has maintained a consistent approach to long-term incentives and we expect to maintain the current level of grants and participation in the Stock Option Plan. The total number of stock options/TSARs granted to employees in any year will continue to depend upon a number of factors, including our share price, and cannot be accurately estimated in advance. Over the last five years, our run rate, which reflects the number of stock options/TSARs granted divided by the total number of Common Shares outstanding, has ranged from 0.4% to 0.8%.

Through the introduction of SARs and TSARs, the Company has not needed to ask shareholders to increase the number of Common Shares that may be issued pursuant to stock options/TSARs granted under the Stock Option Plan since 2009. As of March 3, 2017, of the approximately 4.1 million TSARs granted since 2010, over 1/3 have been previously exercised through the TSAR, with the underlying stock option being returned to the share reserve for future grants of stock options/TSARs. The remaining 2.6 million TSARs are still outstanding. Although we expect that the majority of employees who have been granted TSARs will exercise the TSAR, and not the underlying stock option, we cannot accurately anticipate when outstanding TSARs will be exercised. Based on a conservative estimate of when TSARs will be exercised, we expect that the current share reserve may not be sufficient for grants after 2017.

Proposed Changes

At the Meeting, shareholders of the Company will be asked to vote FOR a resolution to amend the Stock Option Plan to authorize the issuance of an additional 3,000,000 Common Shares of the Company pursuant to the exercise of stock options issued thereunder. The Board approved this amendment at a meeting held on March 3, 2017, subject to shareholder approval. The Board believes that the increase to the number of Common Shares issuable under the Stock Option Plan pursuant to the exercise of stock options is appropriate to permit future grants of stock options/TSARs to executive officers and selected employees until at least 2023, based on conservative estimates regarding the exercise pattern of TSARs and our grant size, which are difficult to accurately estimate in advance, without the Company having to seek further approval from shareholders.

The number of Common Shares that may be issued pursuant to stock options granted and outstanding described below includes outstanding TSARs and we expect that the majority of employees who have been granted TSARs will exercise the TSAR. Where employees exercise TSARs there is no impact on dilution. Therefore, we anticipate the information below may overstate the actual dilution impact. As at March 3, 2017 there were 89,842,838 Common Shares outstanding. As at March 3, 2017, a total of 2,999,373 Common Shares (approximately 3.3% of the Company's issued and outstanding shares on a non-diluted basis) may be issued pursuant to stock options granted and outstanding and a total of 3,339,902 Common Shares (approximately 3.7% of the Company's issued and outstanding shares on a non-diluted basis) are available for future issuance as stock options under the Stock Option Plan, assuming the amendment to the Stock Option Plan is approved by shareholders.

Shareholders wishing to receive a copy of the amended Stock Option Plan should contact the Corporate Secretary of the Company at 604-661-2600. The full text of the resolution approving the amendment to the Stock Option Plan is set out in Schedule A to this Information Circular.

The Board unanimously recommends that shareholders vote FOR the resolution. Unless instructed otherwise, the persons named in our form of proxy will vote FOR the resolution.

INTEREST OF CERTAIN PERSONS IN MATTERS TO BE ACTED UPON

None of the directors or officers of the Company, no proposed nominee for election as a director of the Company, none of the persons who have been directors or officers of the Company at any time since the beginning of the Company's last completed financial year and no associate or affiliate of any of the foregoing has any material interest, direct or indirect, by way of beneficial ownership of securities of the Company or otherwise, in any matter to be acted upon at the Meeting, other than the election of directors and the approval of the amendment to the Stock Option Plan to authorize the issuance of an additional 3,000,000 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of stock options issued thereunder. The officers of the Company are eligible to be granted stock options in the future under the Stock Option Plan and, as a result, they may be considered to have an interest in the approval of the increase to the number of Common Shares reserved for issuance under the Stock Option Plan.

INTEREST OF INFORMED PERSONS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS

None of the directors or officers of the Company, no director or officer of a body corporate that is itself an insider or a subsidiary of the Company, no person or company who beneficially owns, directly or indirectly, voting securities of the Company or who exercised control or direction over voting securities of the Company or a combination of both carrying more than 10% of the voting rights attached to any class of outstanding voting securities of the Company entitled to vote in connection with any matters being proposed for consideration at the Meeting, no proposed director or nominee for election as a director of the Company and no associate or affiliate of any of the foregoing has or had any material interest, direct or indirect, in any transaction or proposed transaction since the beginning of the Company's last financial year that has materially affected or would or could materially affect the Company or any of its subsidiaries.

PART III CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Statement of Corporate Governance Practices

Corporate governance is a key priority for the Company. We define corporate governance as having the appropriate processes and structures in place to ensure that our business is managed in the best interests of our shareholders while keeping in mind the interests of all stakeholders. We believe good corporate governance is critical to the Company's effective, efficient and prudent operation.

The Company is a Canadian reporting issuer with its Common Shares listed on the TSX and the NASDAQ Global Select Market. In Canada, we are subject to securities regulations that impose on us a requirement to disclose certain corporate governance practices that we have adopted. Canadian regulations also provide guidance on various corporate governance practices that companies like ours should adopt. The Company also monitors corporate governance developments in Canada and adopts best practices where such practices are aligned with our values and our goal of continuous improvement. A brief description of our corporate governance practices follows. 1. Board of Directors

The Board has adopted a set of Corporate Governance Principles to provide for a system of principled goal-setting, effective decision-making and ethical actions. A copy of the Corporate Governance Principles can be found in Schedule B attached to this Information Circular and on our website.

2017 Board Objectives

Every year the Board establishes a set of "Board Objectives" which are dominant themes that the Board wishes to focus particular attention on during the year. In late 2016, the Board established several key objectives for 2017 including:

continue to demonstrate leadership in Responsible Care;

provide close stewardship of the Company's cost structure, cash and balance sheet management;

provide close stewardship of the key aspects of the Company's growth strategy;

maintain focus on plant reliability and sustainability, including gas supply issues; and

maintain focus on key human resource processes.

The status of each objective is discussed at each Board meeting.

Committees of the Board of Directors

The Board has established five standing Committees with written mandates defining their responsibilities and a requirement to report regularly to the Board. In addition, from time to time the Board may establish an ad hoc committee for discussing matters of a special nature.

All current Committee members have been determined to be independent in accordance with NASDAQ rules and Canadian securities regulations and no Committee member was during 2016, or is currently, an officer or employee of the Company or any of its subsidiaries. The following table lists each of our standing Committees, its members and a summary of its key responsibilities.

19

Committee	Members	-	sOverall Attendanc	eSummary of Key Responsibilities
		(#)	(%)	
Audit, Finance and Risk Committee ⁽¹⁾	A. Terence Poole (Chair) ⁽²⁾ Howard Balloch Janice Rennie Benita Warmbold	7	100	 assisting the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibility relating to: the integrity of the Company's financial statements the financial reporting process systems of internal accounting and financial controls professional qualifications and independence of the external auditors performance of the external auditors risk management processes financing plans and pension plans compliance by the Company with ethics policies and legal and regulatory requirements
Corporate Governance Committee	Phillip Cook (Chair) Douglas Arnell ⁽³⁾ Robert Kostelnik Douglas Mahaffy	3	100	 establishing the appropriate composition and governance of the Board, including compensation of all non-management directors recommending nominees for election or appointment as directors annually assessing and enhancing the performance of the Board, Board Committees and Board members shaping the corporate governance of the Company and developing corporate governance principles for the Company monitoring compliance by the Company with ethics policies and legal and regulatory requirements providing oversight of the director education program approving the goals and objectives of the CEO and
Human Resources Committee	Janice Rennie (Chair) ⁽⁴⁾ Phillip Cook Douglas Mahaffy Margaret Walker	4	100	 evaluating his performance reviewing and recommending to the Board for approval the remuneration of the Company's executive officers approving the remuneration of all other employees on an aggregate basis reviewing the Company's compensation policies and practices from a risk perspective approving the executive compensation discussion and analysis reporting on the Company's organizational structure, officer succession plans, total compensation practices, human resource policies and executive development programs recommending grants and administrative matters in
Public Policy Committee	Howard Balloch (Chair Bruce Aitken Douglas Arnell ⁽³⁾) 2	100	 connection with the long-term incentive plan reviewing public policy matters that have a significant impact on the Company, including those relating to government relations and public affairs

	A. Terence Poole			 overseeing the Company's Social Responsibility Policy reviewing matters relating to the environment and
Responsible Care Committee	Robert Kostelnik (Chair) Bruce Aitken Margaret Walker Benita Warmbold	3	100	 occupational health and safety issues that impact significantly on the Company overseeing the Company's Responsible Care Policy and reviewing the policies and standards that are in place to ensure that the Company is carrying out all of its operations in accordance with the principles of Responsible Care

The mandate of the Audit, Finance and Risk Committee, together with the relevant education and experience of its (1)members and other information regarding the Audit, Finance and Risk Committee, may be found in the "Audit"

Committee Information" section of the Company's Annual Information Form for the year ended December 31, 2016. (2)Mr. Poole has been designated as the "audit committee financial expert."

Mr. Arnell was appointed a director effective as of October 1, 2016. He did not attend any Committee meetings in (3)2016 as the Corporate Governance and Public Policy Committees did not meet again following his appointment. (4)Ms. Rennie became Chair of the Human Resources Committee in April 2016.

Director Independence Independence Status of Nominee Directors

Name	Management Independent Not Independent
Bruce Aitken	X
Douglas Arnell	Х
Howard Balloch	Х
Phillip Cook	Х
John Floren	X X
Thomas Hamilton	X X
Robert Kostelnik	Х
Douglas Mahaffy	Х
A. Terence Poole	Х
Janice Rennie	Х
Margaret Walker	Х
Benita Warmbold	X

Eleven of the 12 nominees (92%) who are standing for election to the Board have been determined by the Board to be independent in accordance with NASDAQ rules and Canadian securities regulations. Mr. Floren is the President & CEO of the Company and is therefore not independent.

In accordance with our Corporate Governance Principles, the Board must be composed of a substantial majority of independent directors. The mandates of the Audit, Finance and Risk Committee, the Corporate Governance Committee and the Human Resources Committee state that these Committees must be composed wholly of independent directors. In addition, our Corporate Governance Principles provide that, if the Chairman of the Board is not independent, the independent directors on the Board shall select from among themselves a Lead Independent Director.

In 2016, all Committees were constituted exclusively of independent directors. Mr. Floren, in his capacity as President & CEO of the Company, and Mr. Hamilton, in his capacity as Chairman of the Board, attend all Committee meetings. Other Directorships and Interlocking Relationships

Several of the nominees are directors of other reporting issuers. For details, please refer to the biographies for each nominee under "Election of Directors".

Ms. Rennie and Mr. Floren serve together as directors on the board of West Fraser Timber Co. Ltd. No other nominees serve together as directors of other corporations or acted together as trustees for other entities. In Camera Sessions

Following each in-person meeting of the Board, an "in camera" session is held at which non-management directors are in attendance as provided in our Corporate Governance Principles. In addition, an in camera session is usually held following each in-person Committee meeting. In 2016, there was an in camera session after every Board and Committee meeting, with the exception of one Committee telephone meeting.

21

Meeting Attendance Records

The combined Board and Committee meeting attendance rate for all directors in 2016 was 100%. For information concerning the number of Board and Committee meetings held in 2016, as well as the attendance record of each director for those meetings, see the chart on page 13.

2. Board Mandate

Section 3 of the Company's Corporate Governance Principles contains the Board mandate that describes the Board's responsibilities. A copy of the Corporate Governance Principles can be found in Schedule B attached to this Information Circular and on our website.

Board Strategy Oversight

The Board oversees the annual strategic planning process to develop and monitor our strategic direction. Each July, the Board and management hold a full day strategy session that provides detailed information on the business environment and trends affecting the Company and identifies foreseeable opportunities and risks. As part of the 2016 strategy session, the Board and management received presentations on, among other things, the economics of methanol-to-olefins and a global energy update. Comprehensive action items and follow-up are agreed during the strategy session. The strategy is then revised accordingly and submitted to the Board for final review and endorsement at the September Board meeting.

The Board is provided with a strategy update at each regularly scheduled Board meeting throughout the year which tracks the progress of each strategic initiative.

3. Position Descriptions

Board Chairman and Committee Chairs

The Board has developed written position descriptions (which we call "Terms of Reference") for the Chairman of the Board, each Committee Chair and for Individual Directors. These Terms of Reference can be found on our website. Section 4 of the Corporate Governance Principles also sets out the responsibilities of each director.

President & Chief Executive Officer

The President & CEO has a written position description that sets out the position's key responsibilities. In addition, the President & CEO has specific annual corporate and personal performance objectives that he is responsible for meeting. These objectives are reviewed, approved and tracked during the year by the Board through the Human Resources Committee. See "Short-Term Incentive Plan" on page 45 for more complete information on these objectives.

4. Orientation and Continuing Education

To familiarize directors with the role of the Board, its Committees, the directors and the nature and operation of the Company's business, we have a thorough and well thought out process for director onboarding. All directors are provided with information covering a wide range of topics including:

duties of directors and directors' liabilities

board and committee governance documents

the Company's Code of Business Conduct

strategic plans, operational reports and budgets

important corporate policies

recent regulatory filings and analyst reports

our corporate and organizational structure

New directors are encouraged to not only review and familiarize themselves with this information, but also to have individual meetings with senior management, visit one of our plant sites, attend an Investor Relations event and attend at least one meeting of each of the five Committees. In addition, new directors are assigned another director to act as a "mentor" to assist the new director with settling into the role as quickly as possible.

The Board recognizes the importance of ongoing education for directors. The Company's Corporate Governance Principles state that directors are encouraged to attend seminars, conferences and other continuing education programs to help ensure that they stay current on relevant issues such as corporate governance, financial and accounting practices and corporate ethics. The Company and all of our directors are members of the Institute of Corporate Directors ("ICD") and the Company pays the cost of this membership. A number of our directors have attended courses and programs offered by ICD. The Company also encourages directors to attend other appropriate continuing education programs and the Company contributes to the cost of attending such programs. As well, written materials published in periodicals, newspapers or by legal or accounting firms that are likely to be of interest to directors are routinely forwarded to directors or included in a "supplemental reading" section in Board and Committee meeting materials. Furthermore, the Company also believes that serving on other corporate and not-for-profit boards is a valuable source for ongoing education.

The Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for overseeing the director education program and, based on feedback from all directors, the program focuses primarily on providing the directors with more in-depth information about key aspects of our business, including the material risks and opportunities facing the Company. Directors provide input into the agenda for the education program and management schedules presentations and seminars covering these areas, some of which are presented by management and others by external consultants or experts. The Board and its Committees received a number of presentations in 2016 focused on deepening the Board's knowledge of the business, the industry and the key risks and opportunities facing the Company. Presentation topics included methanol energy applications, takeover preparedness and shareholder activism, plant reliability, outlook on North America natural gas supply and demand, cyber security, business updates from North America, Egypt and New Zealand, China strategy, the evolution of the greenhouse gas issue, hydraulic fracturing environmental issues and the competitive outlook in Europe and the Middle East. In 2016, all directors attended all internal Board education sessions.

In addition, Board meetings are periodically held at a location where the Company has methanol production operations or significant commercial activities.

5. Ethical Business Conduct

Code of Business Conduct

The Company has a written Code of Business Conduct (the "Code") that applies to all employees, officers and directors. The Code is available in English, Spanish and Arabic and clearly defines a set of standards to help them avoid wrongdoing and to promote honest and ethical behaviour while conducting the Company's business. A copy of the Code can be found on our website and on SEDAR at www.sedar.com. A printed version is also available upon request to the Corporate Secretary of the Company.

The Code also establishes a confidential "whistle-blower" ethics hotline for reporting suspected violations of the Code. The ethics hotline allows each of the Company's employees to be able to make a report to the hotline either through use of a toll-free phone number or online via the internet. In both cases, the hotline is operated by an external third party and users may make an anonymous report in their own local language.

The Code is reviewed annually by the Board. The Board monitors compliance with the Code primarily through the Audit, Finance and Risk Committee and the Corporate Governance Committee. These Committees receive regular updates on matters relating to the Code, including an annual report on the activities undertaken by management to maintain and increase Code awareness throughout the organization and the results of surveys designed to determine employee understanding and awareness of the Code.

The Code states that suspected Code violations, whether received through the whistle-blower hotline or otherwise, are to be reported to the legal department and that the General Counsel shall investigate the matter. The Corporate Governance Committee is made aware of all such reports. Furthermore, the Chairman of the Board and the Chair of the Audit, Finance and Risk Committee are advised of all reports that concern accounting or audit matters and the Chair of that Committee and the General Counsel together determine how such matters should be investigated. In addition, the Audit, Finance and Risk Committee receives quarterly notices from the General Counsel of any concerns received regarding accounting, internal accounting controls, and auditing matters.

No material change report has been filed since the beginning of the Company's most recently completed financial year that pertains to any conduct of a director or executive officer that constitutes a departure from the Code. Transactions Involving Directors or Officers

The Code contains a specific provision relating to the need for directors, officers and all employees to avoid conflicts of interest with the Company. Furthermore, the Corporate Governance Committee is mandated to consider questions of independence and possible conflicts of interest of directors and officers. To that end, each director and officer completes an annual questionnaire

23

in which they report on all transactions material to the Company in which they have a material interest. A report of all transactions involving the Company and the directors and executive officers is provided to the Corporate Governance Committee.

Recoupment Policy

The Company has a Recoupment Policy that provides for the forfeiture of options, shares or share units or repayment of cash compensation received by employees in certain circumstances where the employee is involved in wrongdoing. For more information on this policy, please see page 41.

Other Measures

The Board takes other steps to encourage and promote a culture of ethical business conduct. First, under the Company's Corporate Governance Principles, the Board has an obligation to satisfy itself as to the integrity of the CEO and other executive officers and that they are creating a culture of integrity throughout the organization. On an annual basis, the Corporate Governance Committee considers and reports to the Board on this issue. Significant efforts are made to ensure our employees fully understand their responsibilities under the Code through training, leadership communications, certification requirements and awareness initiatives. The level of awareness and understanding of our Code is monitored annually.

In addition to the Code, the Company has several other policies governing ethical business conduct, including the following:

Competition Law Policy – provides employees with an understanding of the Company's policy of compliance with all competition laws and information concerning the activities that are permitted and prohibited when dealing with competitors, customers and other parties.

Confidential Information and Trading in Securities Policy – provides guidelines to employees with respect to the treatment of confidential information and advises Company insiders when it is permissible to trade securities of the Company. This policy also prohibits insiders from purchasing financial instruments designed to hedge or offset a decrease in the market value of the Company's shares that they hold. Furthermore, insiders are prohibited from engaging in short selling of the Company's securities, trading in put or call options on the Company's securities or entering into equity monetization arrangements related to the Company's securities.

Corporate Gifts and Entertainment Policy – provides guidelines to Company employees on the appropriateness of gifts, gratuities or entertainment that may be offered to or accepted from third parties with whom the Company has commercial relations.

Corrupt Payments Prevention Policy – prohibits the payment or receipt of bribes and kickbacks by the Company's employees and agents. Facilitation payments are also prohibited.

Political Donation Policy – prohibits all political donations by the Company.

The Company's employees regularly receive either web-based or in-person compliance training that focuses on ethical business conduct and the foregoing policies. In addition, employees and directors who are considered "insiders" under Canadian securities laws have been provided with training concerning their obligations and responsibilities under Canadian securities laws.

6. Nomination of Directors

Nominating Committee and Nomination Process

The Board has established the Corporate Governance Committee as its nominating committee. The Committee is composed entirely of independent directors. A summary of the key responsibilities of the Corporate Governance Committee can be found under "Committees of the Board of Directors".

The Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for identifying new candidates to stand as nominees for election or appointment as directors to the Board. The Corporate Governance Committee uses a skills matrix to assist in this process. On an annual basis, the Corporate Governance Committee reviews a matrix that sets out the various skills and experience considered to be desirable for the Board to possess in the context of the Company's strategic direction. The Corporate Governance Committee then assesses the skills and experience of each current Board member against this matrix. When completed, the matrix helps the Corporate Governance Committee identify any skills or experience gaps

and provides the basis for a search to be conducted for new directors to fill any gaps. The skills matrix is reviewed annually by the Corporate Governance Committee and in January 2014, the Corporate Governance Committee completed a thorough review of the skills matrix to ensure alignment with the Company's corporate strategy. Following is a summary of the agreed skills matrix that sets out the various skills and experience categories and the Corporate Governance Committee's determination as to how many directors on the Board should possess those skills and experience.

Skills and Experience	Target Number of Non-Management Directors
Leadership	4
Industry knowledge and experience	6
Finance	2
Government and public affairs	2
Board experience	7
Health, safety and environment issues	1
International perspective	5
Energy	2-3
Understanding of North American natural gas feedstock issues	3-4
Experience growing a foreign company's presence in China	1-2
Ambitious business growth - large capital projects execution	1
Ambitious business growth – strategies and risks	2-3

In identifying potential director candidates, the Corporate Governance Committee takes into account a broad variety of factors it considers appropriate, including skills, independence, financial acumen, board dynamics and personal characteristics. In addition, diversity (as described more fully below) is considered when identifying potential director candidates. Desirable individual characteristics include integrity, credibility, the ability to generate public confidence and maintain the goodwill and confidence of our shareholders, sound and independent business judgment, general good health and the capability and willingness to travel to, attend and contribute at Board functions on a regular basis. Background checks, as appropriate, are completed prior to nomination.

Suitable director candidates have, over the past several years, been identified through the use of an executive search firm retained under the authority of the Corporate Governance Committee. The selection process is led by the Chair of the Corporate Governance Committee and all Committee members and the Chairman of the Board are routinely updated on the process and the individuals being considered. The Chair of the Corporate Governance Committee, the Chairman of the Board, the CEO and, where appropriate, other directors or senior executives meet in person with the candidate to discuss his or her interest and ability to devote the time and resources required to meet the Company's expectations for directors. The recommended candidate is then formally considered by the Corporate Governance Committee and, if approved, the candidate is recommended to the Board. Diversity

The Company has a Diversity Policy applicable to both employees and directors of the Company. The full text of the Diversity Policy can be found on the Company's website.

A summary of our Diversity Policy is as follows:

The Company recognizes the importance of diversity, including gender diversity, at all levels of the Company including the Board and the executive team. We believe that diversity is important for both Board and organizational effectiveness. We have identified three key diversity attributes:

(a) Experiential (education, business and functional experience);

(b)Demographic (age, gender, ethnicity, nationality, geography); and

(c)Personal (personality, interests, values).

These attributes are essential for creating an appropriate balance of skills, experience, independence and knowledge required for the Board, the senior management team and the Company as a whole.

These diversity attributes, which specifically include gender diversity, are factored into the recruitment and decision making process when new Board and executive appointments are made. When engaging external search consultants to identify future candidates for Board or executive roles, such consultants are requested to take full account of all aspects of diversity in preparing their candidate list to provide a diverse and balanced slate where possible. Ultimately, appointments are based on merit, measured against objective criteria.

Although we are committed to continue increasing the proportion of women on the Board and in senior management, no targets have been adopted. The Corporate Governance Committee and management's foremost priority is to ensure the Company has the best possible leadership. Accordingly, appointments will continue to be made on merit measured against objective criteria to select the best candidate for Board and executive officer positions. However, as noted above, we have processes in place to promote the presentation of a diverse slate of candidates during any new director and senior management search process.

The current number and proportion (in percentage) of directors on the Board who are women are three of 12 members, or 25%. The current number and proportion (in percentage) of executive officers of the Company who are women are two of six members, or 33%.

The Board measures the effectiveness of the Diversity Policy by monitoring the initiatives undertaken by the Company to promote diversity within the organization, and ensuring that balanced slates of candidates are presented for board searches where possible.

As we refine our approach to talent management, we continue to integrate diversity into our existing practices in order to enhance the diversity of our senior management team. Through our annual talent review and succession planning process, we review the number of women in executive and senior leadership positions for both our executive team and the management teams of each business group. On an ongoing basis we seek opportunities to accelerate the development of women through different career development opportunities, participation in formal leadership programs and participation in mentoring and sponsorship initiatives.

Majority Voting for Directors

The Board has a policy that states that any nominee for election as a director at an annual general meeting for whom the number of votes withheld exceeds the number of votes cast in his or her favour will be deemed not to have received the support of shareholders. A director elected in such circumstances will tender his or her resignation to the Chair of the Corporate Governance Committee and that Committee will review the matter and make a recommendation to the Board. The Board will accept the resignation unless there are exceptional circumstances. The Board will, within 90 days of the annual general meeting, issue a public release either announcing the resignation of the director or justifying its decision not to accept the resignation.

If the resignation is accepted, the Board may appoint a new director to fill the vacancy created by the resignation. This policy applies only to uncontested director elections, meaning elections where the number of nominees for director is equal to the number of directors to be elected.

Following the annual general meeting, voting results for directors are issued in a press release and filed on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

7. Director and Officer Compensation

Director and officer compensation is determined by the Board. The process followed for determining director compensation is described commencing on page 29 and the process followed for executive compensation is described commencing on page 42.

8. Shareholder Survey on Executive Compensation

The Board appreciates the importance that shareholders place on executive compensation and believes that it is important to engage shareholders on this topic. With this in mind, the Company has again put in place a web-based survey to enable our shareholders to provide feedback on our approach to executive compensation as disclosed in this Information Circular. We intend to run this web-based survey on an annual basis. This year, the survey is accessible to shareholders at the Investor Relations section of our website from March 16, 2017 (the date this Information Circular is anticipated to be filed with securities regulators) until June 30, 2017. In order to submit comments, you are asked to provide your name and confirm that you are a current shareholder. Shareholders may comment generally or on specific aspects of our executive compensation and may provide as much detail as they wish. Shareholders who choose to provide an e-mail address may be contacted in order for the Board to better understand their particular concerns. All comments will be provided to the Chair of the Human Resources Committee and discussed at the July 2017 Human Resources Committee meeting to determine whether any actions should be taken to address concerns raised. We will provide a report on this process in our annual disclosure documents next year.

Report on the 2016 Shareholder Survey

In 2016, we received feedback from one individual shareholder regarding the use of comparator groups in setting compensation. The Human Resources Committee reviewed and discussed the feedback and determined that no changes to the Company's approach to executive compensation were warranted. Management provided a formal written response to the individual who submitted the feedback.

9. Assessments

The Company's Corporate Governance Principles state as follows:

Performance as a director is the main criterion for determining a director's ongoing service on the Board. To assist in determining performance, each director will take part in an annual performance evaluation process that shall include both a peer and self-evaluation and a confidential discussion with the Chairman.

Our Board conducts an annual performance evaluation and the Corporate Governance Committee oversees the process. The process is designed to evaluate the effectiveness and contribution of the Board, its Committees and individual directors. Results of the process are reported to the Board. In 2016, the process included the following: Evaluation of the Chairman of the Board

Directors were provided with an opportunity to evaluate the Chairman of the Board's performance and to make suggestions for improvement. Directors provided comments on issues that addressed the conduct of Board meetings, leadership issues and the Chairman's ability to facilitate positive contributions from other directors. Results were tabulated by the Corporate Secretary and were provided to the Chair of the Corporate Governance Committee who then had a private conversation with the Chairman. The content of that conversation was reported by the Chair of the Corporate Governance Committee to the full committee at its September 2016 meeting.

Evaluation of the Board as a Whole

Directors were asked to comment on the general operation and organization of the Board, based on a number of particular elements, and rate the effectiveness of the Board. They were also asked to identify the most significant Board accomplishments over the past year, areas for improvement and particular practices that should be considered for adoption by the Board in order to increase its effectiveness.

Results were tabulated and comments were consolidated by the Corporate Secretary, provided to the Chairman of the Board and then presented to both the Corporate Governance Committee and the Board at their September 2016 meetings.

Evaluation of Committees

Directors were asked to evaluate the Committees in general, as well as the specific Committees on which they sit. Directors provided comments on a number of criteria including the appropriateness of the Committee structure and the reporting of Committee activities to the Board, as well as the operation of the Committees on which they sit based on a number of particular elements, and how the effectiveness of those Committees could be improved.

Comments were consolidated by the Corporate Secretary, provided to the Chairman of the Board and then presented to both the Corporate Governance Committee and the Board at their September 2016 meetings. Each Committee also reviewed the results of its individual Committee evaluation.

Evaluation of Individual Directors

Directors were provided with an opportunity to evaluate their own effectiveness, comment on their peers' performance and have a private conversation with the Chairman of the Board regarding their performance and the performance of their fellow directors. Directors evaluated themselves and their peers based on a number of criteria, including their understanding of our business, contribution on strategic issues, interaction with management and areas of personal strength. Senior management is also given the opportunity to comment on the performance of individual directors. The Corporate Secretary received all questionnaires and each director was provided with an individualized report that included the comments received regarding that director's performance from peers (on an anonymous basis). These reports were also provided to the Chairman of the Board who then conducted a confidential discussion with each director. The Chairman of the Board reported to the Corporate Governance Committee at its September 2016 meeting regarding this process.

10. Director Tenure

The Board is committed to maintaining an appropriate balance between director retention and renewal. The Company believes that continuity on the Board is an asset and is essential to an effective and well-functioning Board. Due to the number of years it takes to acquire sufficient Company-specific knowledge and the historically long market cycles of the chemical industry, the Company places great value on longer serving directors for their experience and organizational memory.

However, we also value board renewal and believe it is critical to ensuring that we have a high performing board over the long term. Turnover in Board membership provides an opportunity to enhance diversity of perspectives and adds significant value through the ongoing input of fresh ideas and new knowledge.

The Company's Director Tenure Policy does not include term limits for directors nor mandatory retirement age provisions. Instead, the Policy outlines other processes that the Board has adopted to effectively manage board renewal, including:

annual evaluations of individual directors to monitor the effectiveness of each director's contribution (discussed in more detail under the heading "Evaluation of Individual Directors" above);

the Corporate Governance Committee and the Chairman of the Board annually review the membership of the Board to enable the Board to manage its overall composition and maintain a balance of directors to ensure long-term continuity and effectiveness; and

the Chairman of the Board and the Chair of the Governance Committee are responsible for developing a long-term board succession plan which incorporates input from one-on-one discussions between the Chairman of the Board and each Board member, including discussions regarding estimated future retirement dates for each Board member. This plan is reviewed and updated on an annual basis after the Chairman of the Board completes his one-on-one evaluation meeting with each Board member.

11. Management Succession Planning

The Company has detailed succession plans for each executive officer and each of such officer's direct reports. For more information on the Company's succession planning process, please see page 41.

12. Board's Role in Risk Management Process

The Board's mandate provides that the Board is responsible for identifying and overseeing the implementation of systems to manage the principal risks of the Company's business. The Audit, Finance and Risk Committee's mandate also states that the Audit, Finance and Risk Committee is responsible for reviewing with management, at least annually, the Company's processes to identify, monitor, evaluate and address important enterprise-wide strategic and business risks.

Management annually undertakes a formal risk review process that includes identifying the principal strategic risks of the Company, assessing the Company's strategy to mitigate each risk and determining accountability. The results of this process are documented, reviewed and discussed by the Audit, Finance and Risk Committee and the Board. Notwithstanding these formal processes, the Board recognizes that risk management and oversight is a dynamic and continuous process.

In addition, the Board, through the Audit, Finance and Risk Committee, oversees the Company's risk management strategies and programs, including insurance programs, related to the Company's key operational risks such as health and safety, shipping, cyber security and financial risks. As well, the Human Resources Committee annually reviews the Company's compensation policies and practices to confirm their alignment with the Company's risk management principles and that they do not encourage inappropriate or excessive risk-taking nor are they reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

PART IV COMPENSATION

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

All amounts in this section "Compensation of Directors" are shown in Canadian dollars except where otherwise noted. Objective and Design of the Director Compensation Program

We are the world's largest producer and supplier of methanol with sales and operations around the globe and revenues of approximately USD \$2 billion in 2016. As such, the main objective of the Company's director compensation program is to attract and retain directors with international experience, a broad range of relevant skills and knowledge and the ability to successfully carry out the Board's mandate. The Board's mandate can be found in section 3 of our Corporate Governance Principles which are attached to this Information Circular as Schedule B and can also be found on our website.

Directors of the Company are required to devote significant time and energy to the performance of their duties. The Terms of Reference for Individual Directors and the Corporate Governance Principles set forth an extensive list of responsibilities and expectations for the Board as a whole and for each individual director. Directors are expected to prepare for and attend an average of six Board meetings per year, participate on Committees and ensure that they stay informed about the Company's business and the rapidly changing global business environment. Therefore, to attract and retain experienced, skilled and knowledgeable directors who are willing and able to meet these expectations, the Board believes that the Company must offer a competitive compensation package.

Our director compensation program is designed primarily to:

compensate directors for applying their knowledge, skills and experience in the performance of their duties; align the actions and economic interests of the directors with the interests of long-term shareholders; and

• encourage directors to stay on the Board for a significant period of time.

Director compensation is paid only to non-management directors and is comprised primarily of cash fees (including an annual retainer) and a share-based award. Non-management directors are not eligible to receive stock options under the terms of the Company's Stock Option Plan. The "Directors' Total Compensation" table on page 33 sets out the total compensation earned by the directors in 2016.

As part of this compensation program, the directors also have share ownership requirements. See "Directors' Share Ownership Requirements" on page 37 for more details. The Board believes that share ownership requirements further promote the objectives of director retention and alignment with long-term shareholders. Process for Determining Director Compensation

The Corporate Governance Committee, composed entirely of independent directors, is responsible for annually

recommending to the Board for approval the target compensation for the independent directors, including the appropriate compensation elements and the target compensation for each element.

The Corporate Governance Committee reviews director compensation at least every two years and did so in 2015, retaining an independent consultant, Willis Towers Watson, to conduct a review of director compensation. The Corporate Governance Committee has determined that the target compensation level for directors should be competitive with the 50th percentile of a comparator group. The comparator group of companies used for the purposes of reviewing and determining executive compensation was updated by the Human Resources Committee in 2015 to consist of North American-based companies in the chemicals, mining and oil and gas industries with global operations which, where possible, operate in a commodity-based or cyclical business. This same comparator group was used by the Corporate Governance Committee for reviewing and determining director compensation. The current comparator group is listed below.

29

Agrium*		
Albemarle Corp.	Chemtura Corp.	
Ashland Inc.	FMC Corp.	PolyOne Corp.
Baytex Energy Corp.*	Goldcorp Inc.*	Potash Corp. of Saskatchewan*
Cabot Corp.	IAMGOLD Corp.*	Sherritt International Corp.*
Celanese Corp.	International Flavors & Fragrances Inc.	The Valspar Corp.
Centerra Gold*	Koppers Holdings Inc.	Westlake Chemical Corp.
	Olin Corp.	_
~	_	

* denotes Canadian companies

The 2015 Willis Towers Watson report concluded that there has been a significant change in the market data of the comparator group such that the total compensation of the Company's directors (excluding the Chair) was 23% below the 50th percentile on a currency converted basis. However, in January 2016, to be consistent with prudent cash and cost management efforts made by the Company, the directors determined that no change should be made to director compensation at that time. The directors also decided to review the economic situation in one year's time.

In January 2017, the Board determined that director compensation should be increased in a phased approach and that the proportionate mix of cash and share-based awards should be changed to be more heavily weighted towards share-based awards. For fees earned in 2017, directors' compensation was amended such that (a) directors' compensation was increased to a total of \$200,000 being comprised of \$90,000 in cash and \$110,000 in share-based awards, (b) the Chairman's total compensation remains the same but is now more heavily weighted to share-based awards (\$162,000 as cash and \$198,000 as share-based awards), and (c) in order to recognize the additional workload of the Chair of the Human Resources Committee, the compensation for the Chair of the Human Resources Committee has been increased from \$10,000 to \$20,000. All other aspects of director compensation remain unchanged. Elements of Director Compensation

Director compensation is comprised of two elements, namely (i) annual retainer and other fees and (ii) share-based awards. Each element is described in detail below.

Annual Retainer and Other Fees

During the year ended December 31, 2016, annual retainer and other fees were paid to non-management members of the Board on the following basis:

Annual retainer for a non-management director (excluding the Chairman of the Board) Annual retainer for the Chairman of the Board	\$90,000 \$180,00	
Annual retainer for Committee Chairs (with the exception of the Chair of the Audit, Finance and Risk Committee)	\$10,000	annual
Annual retainer for the Chair of the Audit, Finance and Risk Committee	\$20,000	annual
Annual retainer for members of the Audit, Finance and Risk Committee, including the Chair	\$10,000	annual
Cross-country or intercontinental travel fee to attend Board or Committee meetings	\$2,500	per trip
Travel fee for site visits undertaken separate and apart from attendance at Board or Committee meetings (and not for orientation purposes upon joining the Board)	\$2,500	per day
Notwithstanding that directors do not receive meeting attendance fees, if over 10 Board meetings ar	e held in a	vear.

Notwithstanding that directors do not receive meeting attendance fees, if over 10 Board meetings are held in a year, the Corporate Governance Committee has the discretion to determine whether any meeting fees are appropriate.

In 2016, the Chairman of the Board received a flat fee annual retainer and did not receive any additional fees;

however, he is eligible to receive the travel fee for site visits undertaken separate and apart from attendance at Board or Committee meetings.

Share-Based Awards - Restricted Share Unit Plan for Directors

Directors are awarded RSUs under the Company's Restricted Share Unit Plan for Directors as part of the share-based component of their compensation. Directors may elect to receive their RSU award in the form of DSUs, which are more fully described in the following section. In addition, commencing in 2014, directors who are in compliance with their share ownership requirements may elect to receive the cash equivalent of their RSU award. In 2016, five directors elected to receive the cash equivalent of their share-based award and in 2017 four directors have elected to receive the cash equivalent of their share-based award. The table below summarizes the share-based awards granted to directors in 2017 and 2016:

20172016Chairman of the Board3,000 RSUs or DSUs 4,600 RSUs or DSUsAll other non-management directors1,700 RSUs or DSUs 2,300 RSUs or DSUs

RSUs are notional shares credited to an "RSU Account." When dividends are paid on Common Shares, an equivalent value of additional RSUs is calculated and credited to each individual's RSU Account. RSUs granted in any year, together with applicable dividend equivalents, will vest on December 1, in the 24th month following the end of the year in which the award was made. Following vesting, directors are entitled to receive a cash payment based on the weighted average closing price of the Common Shares on the TSX during the last 15 days prior to the vesting date, net of applicable withholding tax. RSUs do not entitle participants to any voting or other shareholder rights and are non-dilutive to shareholders.

The Board believes that share-based awards granted to directors both compensate the directors for the performance of their duties and also promote director retention and alignment with the interests of long-term shareholders. The target dollar value of such award ("Target Dollar Value") is determined by the Corporate Governance Committee during its review of director compensation and is targeted to be similar to the awards granted to non-management directors in the 50th percentile of the comparator group as discussed under "Process for Determining Director Compensation." In 2016, the Target Dollar Value was \$90,000 for each non-management director and \$180,000 for the Chairman of the Board. In 2016, each non-management director received the number of RSUs (or DSUs) determined by dividing the Target Dollar Value by the weighted average closing price of the Common Shares on the TSX for the 30-day period ending on the date prior to the date of the grant, and then rounded. The grant date was March 4, 2016. In 2017, the Target Dollar Value was \$110,000 for each non-management director and \$189,000 for the Chairman of the Board. Each non-management director received the number of RSUs (or DSUs) determined by dividing the Target Dollar Value was \$110,000 for each non-management director and \$198,000 for the Chairman of the Board. Each non-management director received the number of RSUs (or DSUs) determined by dividing the Target Dollar Value was \$110,000 for each non-management director and \$198,000 for the Chairman of the Board. Each non-management director received the number of RSUs (or DSUs) determined by dividing the Target Dollar Value by the weighted average closing price of the Common Shares on the TSX for the 30-day period ending on the date of the grant, and then rounded. The grant date was March 3, 2017. Deferred Share Unit Plan (Director DSUs)

Under the Company's Deferred Share Unit Plan (the "DSU Plan"), each non-management director elects annually to receive 100%, 50% or 0% of his or her retainer and other fees as DSUs. The actual number of DSUs granted to a director is calculated at the end of each quarter by dividing the dollar amount elected to the DSU Plan by the five-day average closing price of the Common Shares on the TSX during the last five trading days of that quarter. Additional DSUs are credited corresponding to dividends declared on the Common Shares. Under the terms of the DSU Plan, directors must elect to become a member of the DSU Plan by December 31 in any year in order to be eligible to receive DSUs in the following calendar year. Directors may also elect to receive their share-based award in the form of DSUs. See the section above "Share-Based Awards – Restricted Share Unit Plan for Directors".

DSUs held by a director are redeemable only after the date on which the director retires as a director of the Company or upon death ("Termination Date"), and a lump-sum cash payment, net of any withholdings, is made after the director chooses a valuation date. For DSUs granted on or after March 2, 2007, a director may choose a valuation date falling between the Termination Date and December 1 of the first calendar year beginning after the Termination Date, but the director cannot choose a date retroactively. For DSUs granted prior to March 2, 2007, the valuation date chosen may fall on any date within a period beginning one year before the Termination Date and ending on December 1 of the first calendar year beginning after the Termination Date. The lump-sum amount is calculated by multiplying the number of DSUs held in the account by the closing price of the Common Shares on the TSX on the valuation date.

The Board believes that providing directors with the alternative of receiving their cash fees and share-based awards in the form of DSUs, which may not be redeemed until retirement or death, further promotes director retention and alignment with the interests of long-term shareholders.

Stock Options

Non-management directors ceased being granted stock options in 2003. No non-management director currently holds any stock options. However, Mr. Aitken currently has outstanding TSARs that he acquired prior to his retirement as President & CEO of the Company at the end of 2012.

Perquisites

Certain minor out-of-pocket expenses incurred by directors are paid for by the Company. All such expenses, if any, are included in the "All Other Compensation" column found in the Directors' Total Compensation table.

Directors' Total Compensation

The following table sets out what each director earned by way of annual retainer, other fees and share-based awards for 2016.

Director	Annual Retainer (\$)	Annual Retainer Committe Chairs (\$)	Audit	Audit	foFravel Fees Ad hoc site eevisit fees ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Total Fees Earned (\$)	Share-Base ⁽² Award ⁽³⁾ (\$)	All Other Comp- ensation ⁽ (\$)	Total
Bruce Aitken	90,000	_			12,500	102,500	90,000	1,584	194,084
Douglas Arnell ⁽⁵⁾	22,500	_				22,500	_		22,500
Howard Balloch	90,000	10,000		10,000	12,500	122,500	90,000	66,990	279,490
Phillip Cook	90,000	10,000			15,000	115,000	106,651	5,769	227,420
John Floren ⁽⁶⁾									
Thomas Hamilton	180,000	—		—		180,000	213,302	14,705	408,007
Robert Kostelnik	90,000	10,000			17,500	117,500	106,651	7,352	231,503
Douglas Mahaffy	90,000				12,500	102,500	90,000	63,860	256,360
A. Terence Poole	90,000		20,000	10,000	12,500	132,500	106,651	81,500	320,651
John Reid ⁽⁷⁾	30,000	3,333		3,333		36,666	90,000	37,026	163,692
Janice Rennie ⁽⁸⁾	90,000	6,667		10,000		106,667	90,000	19,291	215,958
Monica Sloan ⁽⁹⁾	30,000			_		30,000	106,651	47,151	183,802
Margaret Walker	90,000				12,500	102,500	106,651	3,366	212,517
Benita Warmbold ⁽¹⁰⁾	82,500		_	9,167	15,000	106,667			106,667
Total	1,065,00	040,000	20,000	42,500	110,000	1,277,500	1,196,557	348,594	2,822,651

Travel fees are paid per trip for cross-country or intercontinental travel to attend Board or Committee meetings or (1) for site visits undertaken separate and apart from attendance at Board or Committee meetings (and not for orientation purposes upon joining the Board).

This column includes all retainers and travel fees earned during 2016, including any paid in DSUs. Under the DSU Plan, non-management directors may elect to receive 100%, 50% or 0% of their annual cash retainer as DSUs. The DSU Plan is more fully described under "Deferred Share Unit Plan (Director DSUs)" In 2016 Mr. Poole elected to

(2) DSU Plan is more fully described under "Deferred Share Unit Plan (Director DSUs)". In 2016, Mr. Poole elected to receive 100% of his cash retainer as DSUs (2,940 DSUs). The number and value of the DSUs received by Mr. Poole in lieu of fees are reflected in the "Directors' Share-Based Awards - Value Vested During the Year" table on page 36.

This column reflects the grant date fair value of the share-based compensation (RSUs and DSUs) received by directors in 2016. The value shown is calculated by multiplying the number of RSUs or DSUs awarded in 2016 by the closing price of the Common Shares on the TSX on March 3, 2016, the day before such share units were granted, being \$46.37. The grant date fair value shown in this column is the same as the accounting fair value.

- (3) Directors can elect to receive their share-based compensation award as RSUs or DSUs. Commencing in 2014, if share ownership requirements are met, directors may elect to receive the value of their share-based award as cash, being \$90,000. Please see "Share-Based Awards Restricted Share Unit Plan for Directors" for more information. In 2016, Messrs. Aitken, Balloch and Mahaffy and Ms. Rennie made such election and it was paid quarterly. Mr. John Reid, who did not stand for re-election at the 2016 Annual General Meeting of shareholders, also elected to receive his 2016 share-based award as cash.
- (4) This column is made up of the value of additional share units earned by directors in 2016 (RSUs and/or DSUs as applicable) corresponding to dividends being declared on Common Shares during 2016. See "Share-Based Awards Restricted Share Unit Plan for Directors" and "Deferred Share Unit Plan (Director DSUs)" for more information on

dividend equivalents. With respect to dividend equivalent DSUs, the value of dividend equivalent additional DSUs is calculated by multiplying the number of such units by the Canadian dollar closing price of the Common Shares of the TSX on the day that such units were credited. With respect to dividend equivalent RSUs, the value of dividend equivalent additional RSUs is calculated by multiplying the number of such units by the weighted average Canadian dollar closing price of the Common Shares of the TSX for the 15 trading days prior to the day that such units were credited. No other perquisites were paid in 2016.

(5) Mr. Arnell was appointed a director effective October 1, 2016 and was not eligible to receive share-based awards in 2016.

(6) Mr. Floren is President & CEO of the Company and therefore did not receive any compensation as a director. See "Statement of Executive Compensation" for information on Mr. Floren's compensation in 2016.

(7)Mr. Reid retired as a director in April 2016.

In April 2016, Ms. Rennie became Chair of the Human Resources Committee and, accordingly, the quarterly committee chair fee was paid starting the second quarter on a pro-rated basis.

(9) Ms. Sloan retired as a director in April 2016.

(10) Ms. Warmbold was appointed a director effective February 1, 2016 and was not eligible to receive share-based awards in 2016.

Directors' Outstanding Share-Based Awards

The following table shows the number of share-based awards held by each director as at December 31, 2016. Directors do not receive option-based awards.

	Outstanding Share-Based Awards as at December 31, 2016								
		Market or Payout Valu	e Market or Payout Value of						
	Shares or Units of Share	s of Share-Based	Vested Share-Based						
Director	that Have Not Vested ⁽¹⁾	Awards that Have Not	Awards Not Paid Out or						
	(#)	Vested ⁽¹⁾	Distributed ⁽²⁾						
		(\$)	(\$)						
Bruce Aitken	—	—	_						
Douglas Arnell ⁽³⁾	—	—	_						
Howard Balloch	—	—	1,471,956						
Phillip Cook	4,068	239,565	_						
John Floren ⁽⁴⁾									
Thomas Hamilton	8,137	479,188	_						
Robert Kostelnik	4,068	239,565	_						
Douglas Mahaffy	—	—	1,703,334						
A. Terence Poole	—	—	3,163,041						
John Reid ⁽⁵⁾	—	—	812,034						
Janice Rennie	—	—	735,242						
Monica Sloan ⁽⁶⁾	—	—	1,063,789						
Margaret Walker	2,374	139,805	_						
Benita Warmbold ⁽⁷	/) <u> </u>	—	—						

These columns reflect the number and value of outstanding unvested RSUs as at December 31, 2016 and include dividend equivalent RSUs credited since the date of the original RSU grants. The value of the RSUs outstanding is

- ⁽¹⁾ calculated by multiplying the number of RSUs outstanding by the closing price of the Common Shares on the TSX on December 31, 2016, being \$58.89.
- This column reflects the value of vested DSUs received as their annual share-based award ("Annual DSUs") held by (2) each director as at December 31, 2016, and includes dividend equivalent Annual DSUs credited since the date of the original Annual DSU grants. The value of the Annual DSUs is calculated by multiplying the number of Annual
- ⁽²⁾ the original Annual DSU grants. The value of the Annual DSUs is calculated by multiplying the number of Annual DSUs outstanding by the closing price of the Common Shares on the TSX on December 31, 2016, being \$58.89.
- (3) Mr. Arnell was appointed a director effective October 1, 2016 and was not eligible to receive share-based awards in 2016.
- (4) "Statement of Executive Compensation" for information on Mr. Floren's compensation in 2016.
- Mr. Reid retired as a director in April 2016. Following retirement, in 2016 he redeemed 29,462 of his outstanding (5)DSUs and, in accordance with the terms of the DSU Plan, he received a gross cash payment totaling \$1,990,448. Mr. Reid did not hold any RSUs on his retirement date.
- Ms. Sloan retired as a director in April 2016. Following retirement, in 2016 she redeemed 22,035 of her (6) outstanding DSUs and, in accordance with the terms of the DSU Plan, she received a gross cash payment totaling
 - \$1,631,297. Ms. Sloan did not hold any RSUs on her retirement date.
- (7) Ms. Warmbold was appointed a director effective February 1, 2016 and was not eligible to receive share-based awards in 2016.

The following table shows the total number and value of DSUs, including both DSUs received in lieu of fees and received as annual share-based awards ("Outstanding DSUs"), held by each director as at December 31, 2016 and includes dividend equivalent Outstanding DSUs credited since the date of the original Outstanding DSU grants. The value is calculated by multiplying the number of Outstanding DSUs by the closing price of the Common Shares on the

TSX on December 31, 2016, being \$58.89. The actual amount paid to a director on settlement of Outstanding DSUs depends on the valuation date chosen by the director, and the valuation date may be retroactive in the case of Outstanding DSUs granted prior to March 2, 2007. See "Deferred Share Unit Plan (Director DSUs)" for more detailed information regarding the DSU Plan and the valuation date that directors may choose.

	Number of as at Dec. 3	Outstanding I 1 2016	Value of Outstanding DSUs as at Dec. 31, 2016		
	Granted	Granted on	Total	<i>D S S u s u <i>s u s u s u s u s u s u s u s u s u s u s u s u <i>s u s u <i>s u s u s u <i>s u s u s u <i>s u s u <i>s u s u s u <i>s u s u <i>s u s u s u s u <i>s u s u </i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i></i>	
Director	prior to	or after	DSUs	(\$)	
	1	7 Mar. 2, 200			
Bruce Aitken				_	
Douglas Arnell ⁽¹⁾					
Howard Balloch		47,235	47,23	52,781,669	
Phillip Cook					
John Floren ⁽²⁾					
Thomas Hamilton					
Robert Kostelnik					
Douglas Mahaffy		45,028	45,02	82,651,699	
A. Terence Poole	19,208	39,978	59,18	63,485,464	
John Reid ⁽³⁾		13,789	13,78	9812,034	
Janice Rennie		12,485	12,48	5735,242	
Monica Sloan ⁽⁴⁾		27,978	27,97	81,647,624	
Margaret Walker					
Benita Warmbold ⁽⁵⁾)				

(1) Mr. Arnell was appointed a director effective October 1, 2016 and was not eligible to receive share-based awards in 2016.

(2) Mr. Floren was President & CEO during 2016 and therefore did not receive any compensation as a director. See "Statement of Executive Compensation" for information on Mr. Floren's compensation in 2016.

(3) Mr. Reid retired as a director in April 2016. Following retirement, in 2016 he redeemed 29,462 of his outstanding DSUs and, in accordance with the terms of the DSU Plan, he received a gross cash payment totaling \$1,990,448. Ms. Sloan retired as a director in April 2016. Following retirement, in 2016 she redeemed 22,035 of her

(4)outstanding DSUs and, in accordance with the terms of the DSU Plan, she received a gross cash payment totaling \$1,631,297.

(5) Ms. Warmbold was appointed a director effective February 1, 2016 and was not eligible to receive share-based awards in 2016.

Directors' Share-Based Awards - Value Vested during the Year

The following table shows the aggregate dollar value realized by each director upon vesting of share-based awards during 2016. Directors do not receive stock options and do not receive any non-equity incentive plan compensation.

	Share-Based Awards – Value Vested during the Year								
	Number Vested during	2016	Value Ves	Value Vested during 2016					
	(#)		(\$)						
	RSUsDSUs ⁽²⁾		RSUs ⁽³⁾ D	OSUs ⁽²⁾					
	Granted		C	Nu - 1 - 1					
D'acceleration	ShareiBase&hare-Base	dDividend	Total Share-Bas	Branted Sed. Share-Based	Dividend	Total			
Director	AwardLieu Award ⁽⁵⁾ of Fees ⁽⁴⁾	Equivalents ⁽⁶⁾	$\frac{11}{10}$ Award $\frac{11}{10}$	n Lieu f Fees ⁽⁴⁾ Award ⁽⁵⁾	Equivalents ⁽⁶⁾				
Bruce Aitken	1,497— —		1,49780,671 —			80,671			
Douglas Arnell ⁽⁷⁾	·								
Howard Balloch		1,484	1,484— —		66,990	66,990			
Phillip Cook									
John Floren ⁽⁸⁾									
Thomas Hamilton	2,995— —		2,995161,343-			161,343			
Robert Kostelnik	1,497— —		1,49780,671 —			80,671			
Douglas Mahaffy		1,415	1,415— —		63,860	63,860			
A. Terence Poole	— 2,9402,300	1,803	7,043— 13	32,500106,651	81,500	320,651			
John Reid ⁽⁹⁾		852	852 — —		37,026	37,026			
Janice Rennie	1,497— —	392	1,88980,671 —		17,708	98,379			
Monica Sloan ⁽¹⁰⁾	— — 2,300	1,058	3,358— —	- 106,651	47,151	153,802			
Margaret Walker									
Benita Warmbold ⁽¹¹	l) <u> </u>								

This column represents RSUs that were awarded in 2014 and vested on December 1, 2016, together with dividend (1)equivalent RSUs credited in respect thereof. See "Share-Based Awards – Restricted Share Unit Plan for Directors" for

more information.

DSUs vest immediately upon grant; however, they may not be redeemed by a director until retirement or upon death. Directors may elect to receive 100%, 50% or 0% of their annual retainer and other fees as DSUs. Directors

(2) may also elect to receive their share-based award in the form of DSUs. Additional DSUs are credited each quarter corresponding to dividends declared on Common Shares. See "Deferred Share Unit Plan (Director DSUs)" for more information.

(3) units (including fractional units) by the weighted average closing price of the Common Shares on the TSX during the 15 trading days prior to the vesting date, being \$53.87.

These columns reflect the number and value of DSUs received in lieu of fees earned in 2016, as elected by non-management directors. The value is equal to the Total Fees Earned column in the Directors' Total

- (4) Compensation table on page 33. DSUs are granted in lieu of fees on a quarterly basis and the number of DSUs granted at the end of each quarter is calculated by dividing one-quarter of the annual fees elected to be received as DSUs by the average closing price of the Common Shares on the TSX on the last five trading days of the preceding fiscal quarter. In 2016, Mr. Poole elected to receive 100% of his cash retainer as DSUs. These columns reflect the number and value of DSUs granted to directors in 2016 as share-based awards. The value shown is the grant date fair value (which is the same as accounting fair value) and is calculated by
- (5) multiplying the number of DSUs awarded in 2016 by the closing price of the Common Shares on the TSX on March 3, 2016, the day before such share units were granted, being \$46.37. Directors can elect to receive their share-based award as RSUs or DSUs, or the cash equivalent. See "Share-Based Awards—Restricted Share Unit Plan for Directors" for more information.
- (6) These columns reflect dividend equivalent additional DSUs credited on outstanding DSUs in 2016, and the value is calculated by multiplying the number of such additional DSUs by the closing price of the Common Shares on the

TSX on the day that such DSUs were credited.

(7) Mr. Arnell was appointed a director effective October 1, 2016 and was not eligible to receive share-based awards in 2016.

(8) Mr. Floren was President & CEO during 2016 and therefore did not receive any compensation as a director. See "Statement of Executive Compensation" for information on Mr. Floren's compensation in 2016.

(9) Mr. Reid retired as a director in April 2016.

(10)Ms. Sloan retired as a director in April 2016.

(11) Ms. Warmbold was appointed a director effective February 1, 2016 and was not eligible to receive share-based awards in 2016.

Directors' Share Ownership Requirements

Since 1998, the Company has had share ownership guidelines for directors to promote shareholder alignment and, in early 2011, these became a requirement. Each non-management director must own Common Shares having a value equal to at least 2 times his or her total retainer, which includes both the cash and equity components of the retainer. In the event a share price change results in a director falling below the minimum shareholding requirement, that director has one year in which to meet the requirement. RSUs and DSUs held by a director are considered when determining whether the individual is meeting the share

36

ownership requirements. All new directors have a reasonable period of time within which to meet their share ownership requirement.

The following table shows, among other things, the number of Common Shares, RSUs and DSUs held by each director as at March 3, 2017 compared to the number of Common Shares, RSUs and DSUs held as at March 4, 2016 and the percentage of the requirement achieved for each director based on their holdings as at March 3, 2017.

Director	Director	^r As At	Commo Shares Held ⁽¹⁾ (#)	Share Held (#) on RSUs		onarco	Shares and	Value o Commo Shares and Share Units Require to Meet Require (\$)	Percentage of Requirement Achieved (%)	at Risk as	Meets Requirement
Bruce Aitken	Jul-04	Mar 3, 2017	121,289)	_	121,289	7,715,193	400,000	01,929	85.7	Yes
		Mar 4, 2016 Change		1,460 -1,460			4,908,733 +2,806,460)			
Douglas Arnell ⁽⁴⁾	Oct-16	Mar 3, 2017	71,360	_	1,700	3,060	194,647		49	2.2	No
Howard Balloch	Dec-04	Mar 3, 2017	71,700		47,235	48,935	3,112,755	400,000	778	34.6	Yes
		Mar 4, 2016 Change	51,700 0		-	-	1,897,565 +1,215,190)			
Phillip Cook	May-06	Mar 3, 2017	25,000	5,768	_	30,768	1,957,152	400,000)489	21.7	Yes
		Mar 4, 2016 Change					1,157,391 +799,761				
John Floren ⁽⁵⁾	Jan-13										
Thomas Hamilton ⁽⁶⁾	May-07	Mar 3, 2017	24,000	11,137		35,137	2,235,065	720,000	0310	13.8	Yes
		Mar 4, 2016 Change	524,000 0	10,802 +335		34,802 +335	1,391,732 +843,333				
Robert Kostelnik	Sep-08	Mar 3, 2017	21,000	5,768		26,768	1,702,712	400,000	0426	18.9	Yes
		Mar 4, 2016 Change	521,000 0	5,401 +367		26,401 +367	1,055,776 +646,936				
Douglas Mahaffy	May-06	Mar 3, 2017	71,900	_	45,028	46,928	2,985,090	400,000	0746	33.2	Yes
		Mar 4, 2016 Change	51,900 0		-	-	1,820,065 +1,165,025	i			
A. Terence Poole ⁽⁷⁾	Feb-94	Mar 3, 2017	737,000		60,886	97,886	6,226,528	400,000)1,557	69.2	Yes
		Mar 4, 2016 Change	537,000 0			-	3,656,806 +2,569,722				
Janice Rennie	May-06	Mar 3, 2017	73,000	0	12,485	15,485	985,001	400,000	0246	10.9	Yes

		Mar 4, 2016 Change	,	· ·		,	661,954 +323,047			
Margaret Walker	Apr-15	Mar 3, 2017	73,076	4,074		7,150	454,812	400,000114	5.1	Yes
		Mar 4, 2016 Change	,	· ·		,	,			
Benita Warmbold	Feb-16	Mar 3, 2017	76,000		1,700	7,700	489,797	400,000122	5.4	Yes
		Mar 4, 2016 Change	5— +6,000		 +1,700)+7,700	— +489,797			

⁽¹⁾This column includes all Common Shares directly or indirectly beneficially owned or over which control or direction is exercised by each director.

For 2017, this value is calculated using \$63.61 per share, being the weighted average closing price of the Common Shares on the TSX for the 90-day period ending March 3, 2017. For 2016, this value is calculated using \$39.99 per

(2) Shares on the TSX for the 90-day period ending March 3, 2017. For 2016, this value is calculated using \$39.99 per share, being the weighted average closing price of the Common Shares on the TSX for the 90-day period ending March 4, 2016.

Commencing in 2014, the director share ownership requirements state that non-management directors are to hold (3)Common Shares and/or share units equal to at least two times their total retainer, which includes both the cash and equity components of the retainer.

(4) New directors have a reasonable period of time to meet director share ownership requirements.

(5) Mr. Floren is President & CEO and therefore does not receive any compensation as a director. See "Share Ownership Requirements" for information regarding Mr. Floren's holdings and ownership requirements.

(6) Mr. Hamilton is Chairman of the Board and his share ownership requirement is \$720,000 being two times his total retainer of \$360,000.

Mr. Poole resigned as a director in June 2003 and was reappointed in September

(7) 2003.

LETTER TO SHAREHOLDERS

Dear Fellow Shareholders,

Compensation Highlights

We are pleased to share with you our approach to executive compensation. In 2016, the Company's annual "Say on Pay" advisory vote once again received strong support with nearly 99% of the votes in favour of the Company's disciplined approach.

Our executive compensation program is designed to attract, retain and engage high-quality executive talent. A key principle of our approach is pay-for-performance, which is why approximately 80% of the President & CEO's target compensation and approximately 70% of the other Named Executive Officers' (NEOs) target compensation is at risk and linked to the achievement of a combination of corporate (financial) and personal (strategic and operational) performance goals.

2016 Performance Highlights

We are in a highly cyclical, capital-intensive industry, and in 2016 the Company faced a highly challenging and volatile methanol price environment. However, our operational performance was excellent, with record production and sales volumes. Also, the Company managed costs effectively, preserved liquidity and prudently managed cash while maintaining good Responsible Care performance during a period of low methanol pricing, positioning the Company to benefit from a substantial increase in global methanol pricing. In addition, the Company continued to progress various options for growth projects, including increasing production capacity in Chile, which will allow the Company to continue to pursue its growth strategy in the years ahead.

In 2016, the Company's modified return on capital employed, which is the measure of corporate performance under the short-term incentive plan, was 0.4% compared to a target of 13%. As a result, the corporate performance factor for determining the short-term incentive award for 2016 is 3% versus a target of 100%.

2016 CEO Compensation

In 2016, target total compensation for President & CEO John Floren was \$6.0 million, compared to \$5.7 million in 2015.

Base salary: As a result of challenging business conditions, Mr. Floren's base salary, along with other NEOs, was frozen.

Short-term incentive award: The Board awarded Mr. Floren a short-term incentive award of \$447,000 or 47% of target, a decline of 40% compared to 2015 (\$751,000 at 79% of target). Mr. Floren's below-target award reflects the below-target corporate performance factor of 3%. The corporate performance factor accounts for

70% of his potential short-term incentive award.

Long-term incentive award: Mr. Floren received a long-term incentive award grant with a target value of \$4.2 million, comprised of 50% performance share units and 50% tandem stock appreciation rights. The target value of Mr. Floren's long-term incentive award was increased in 2016 to more closely align the CEO's total compensation levels with the Company's comparator group and to further enhance alignment with long-term shareholder value.

We believe the compensation awarded to Mr. Floren in 2016 appropriately reflects the challenging business conditions the Company faced and is aligned with shareholder interests, given the significant emphasis on long-term incentive compensation. The graph on page 52 illustrates the close link between the Company's cumulative total shareholder return and the total compensation earned by Mr. Floren and the other Named Executive Officers. Conclusion

The Human Resources Committee and the Board are confident that our executive compensation practices continue to demonstrate a strong link between pay and long-term shareholder value.

Tom Hamilton Janice Rennie

Chairman of the Board Chair, Human Resources Committee

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

All amounts in this section "Executive Compensation Discussion and Analysis" are in Canadian dollars except where otherwise noted.

Objectives and Design of the Executive Compensation Program

We are committed to operational excellence as part of our business strategy and this commitment extends to our search for, and retention of, executive talent. As such, the main objective of our executive compensation program is to attract, retain and engage high-quality, high-performance executives with relevant experience who have the ability to successfully execute our strategy and deliver long-term value to our shareholders.

To achieve this objective, our executive compensation program is based on the following principles:

Alignment with shareholder interests. Our performance-based incentive plans align the interests of executives with shareholders and the total compensation earned by the NEOs (as defined below), including the realized and unrealized value of previously granted long-term incentive awards, aligns with cumulative total shareholder return over time. Pay-for-performance. We believe in pay-for-performance. Accordingly, over 80% of the President & CEO's target compensation and approximately 70% of other NEO's target compensation is at risk and linked to a combination of personal and corporate performance goals, compounded total shareholder return and share price performance. Effective risk management. Compensation policies and practices are designed with features that mitigate risk without diminishing the incentive nature of the compensation. We believe our compensation policies and practices encourage and reward prudent business judgment and appropriate risk-taking over the long-term to increase shareholder value. Pay competitively. Our executive compensation program is designed to be competitive with the 50th percentile of a comparator group of North American-based chemical, mining and oil and gas companies with global operations in order to attract, retain and engage high-quality executive talent.

Executive compensation at the Company includes base salary, short-term incentives, long-term incentives, and indirect compensation, including benefits, perquisites and pensions, as described in more detail in the table below.

Element	Description	
Base Salary	Fixed compensation that is intended to compensate executives competitively for leadership, specific skills, knowledge and experience required to perform their duties.	
Short-Term Incentive Plan	Variable compensation that is designed to recognize and reward the achievement of strategic performance goals with an annual cash reward. Amounts are based on an assessment of corporate financial performance ("Modified Return on Capital Employed") and personal performance over the year.	
Long-Term Incentive Plan	Variable compensation that is designed to retain talented executives, reward them for their contribution to the long-term success of the Company and align their interests with shareholders. Consists of performance share units ("PSUs") and stock options/stock appreciation rights ("SARs")/tandem stock appreciation rights ("TSARs") that deliver value based on the Company's compounded total shareholder return and share price performance over varying periods of time.	
Indirect Compensation	Fixed compensation that is intended to support the health, wellness and financial well-being of executives and their families. Executives are provided a single, fixed amount, taxable perquisite allowance. Executives participate in group benefit and registered defined contribution retirement programs on the same terms as other employees. Canadian-based executives also participate in a defined contribution supplemental retirement plan due to Canadian tax limits.	

The Executive Compensation Discussion and Analysis describes our approach to compensation for the Company's President & CEO, Chief Financial Officer and its three other executive officers who had the highest total compensation during 2016 (collectively the "Named Executive Officers" or "NEOs").

Named		
Executive	Office Held	Principal Occupations and Positions During Last Five Years
Officer		
John Floren	President & CEO	President & CEO since January 1, 2013; prior thereto Senior Vice President,
		Global Marketing & Logistics since June 2005.
	Senior Vice President,	Senior Vice President, Finance & Chief Financial Officer since January 1, 2003;
Ian Cameron	Finance & Chief	in addition, acted as the Senior Vice President, Corporate Development & Chief
	Financial Officer	Financial Officer from November 2010 to December 31, 2012.
Vanessa James	Senior Vice President,	Senior Vice President, Global Marketing & Logistics since January 1, 2013;
	Global Marketing &	prior thereto Vice President, Marketing & Logistics, North America since
	Logistics	August 2008.
Mike Herz	Senior Vice President,	
	Corporate	Senior Vice President, Corporate Development since January 1, 2013; prior hereto Vice President, Marketing and Logistics, Asia Pacific since August 2008.
	Development	
	Senior Vice President,	Senior Vice President, Corporate Resources since January 1, 2014; in addition
Wendy Bach		acted as the Senior Vice President, Corporate Resources & General Counsel
		from January 1, 2014 to February 29, 2016; prior thereto Vice President, Human
		Resources from July 2, 2012 to December 31, 2013 and Director, Human
		Resources since June 2010.

Compensation Policies and Practices Risk Review

The mandate of the Human Resources Committee requires an annual review of the Company's compensation policies and practices to confirm they align with the Company's risk management principles and do not encourage inappropriate or excessive risk-taking nor are they reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. The Company's compensation policies and practices are designed with features that mitigate risk without diminishing the incentive nature of the compensation. We believe our compensation policies and practices encourage and reward prudent business judgment and appropriate risk-taking over the long-term to increase shareholder value. The Human Resources Committee and the Board have concluded that any risks arising from our employee compensation policies and practices are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. In its deliberations, the Human Resources Committee considered, among other things, the following key features of such policies and practices:

Program Structure

Our short-term incentive and PSU awards have maximum limits, based on pre-defined plan provisions and the calculation formula;

There is a proportionately greater award opportunity derived from the long-term incentive plan compared to the short-term incentive plan, creating a greater focus on sustained performance over time;

The application of a Modified ROCE (as defined below) metric that aligns employees with the balanced objectives of increasing revenues, reducing costs and managing net assets is a significant component of the short-term incentive award;

We use two distinct long-term incentive vehicles - PSUs and stock options/SARs/TSARs - that vest over a number of years, thereby providing strong incentives for sustained operational and financial performance; and

Our long-term incentive plan awards are made annually and have overlapping vesting and performance periods, such that at any one time multiple potential awards are affected by current year performance, thereby encouraging and rewarding sustained high levels of performance and maintaining executives' exposure to the risks of their decisions in the long-term.

Share Ownership Requirements

We believe in the importance of our management team owning Common Shares to more fully align management with shareholder interests and we have significant share ownership requirements for all executive officers and share ownership guidelines for all management employees eligible to receive long-term incentives, which are monitored annually by the Human Resources Committee.

40

Committee Discretion

The Human Resources Committee and Board have discretion to adjust payouts under both the short-term incentive plan and the long-term incentive plan to reflect the core operating performance of the business; and The incorporation of a personal performance rating, ranging from 0% to 200%, as a factor in the short-term incentive calculation enables the Human Resources Committee to direct a zero payout to any executive in any year if the individual executive is deemed to have sufficiently poor performance or is found to have engaged in activities that pose a financial, operational or other undue risk to the Company.

Recoupment Policy

Under the Company's Recoupment Policy which applies to all employees, officers and directors, if the Board determines that, as a result of any gross negligence, fraud or other illegal behaviour: (1) the Company has had to restate its financial results; or (2) it later becomes clear that metrics used and which formed the basis of any employee incentive compensation were not in fact achieved, then the Board, in its sole discretion, can take such action as it deems to be in the best interests of the Company and necessary to remedy the misconduct and prevent its recurrence. Among other actions that it may take, the Board may, to the fullest extent permitted by law, seek to recover or require reimbursement of incentive performance and equity awards under any plan providing for incentive compensation, equity compensation or performance-based compensation. Recovery or reimbursement may include recoupment of money or shares, immediate forfeiture of unvested awards and cancellation of outstanding vested awards and may also apply to profits that may have been realized from the sale of securities.

Hedging Policy

The Company's Confidential Information and Trading in Securities Policy provides guidelines to employees with respect to the treatment of confidential information and advises insiders of the Company when it is permissible to trade securities of the Company. This policy also prohibits insiders, which include all of the Company's executive officers and directors, from purchasing financial instruments designed to hedge or offset a decrease in the market value of the Common Shares or equity based incentive awards that they hold. Furthermore, insiders are prohibited from engaging in short selling of the Company's securities, trading in put or call options on the Company's securities or entering into equity monetization arrangements related to the Company's securities. Succession Planning and Leadership Development

Developing talent is a strategic priority for the organization. In order to support our business strategy, we need a strong bench of internal candidates for every key leadership position. We have a robust succession and talent management program designed to build and preserve organizational capability and to minimize succession risk by proactively identifying, assessing and developing leadership talent at all leadership levels, including the executive level, within the organization. The executive team discusses organizational talent on a regular basis and also conducts an in-depth talent review session each year where members of the global management team and other key talent from all levels in the organization are discussed and assessed. Development plans are put in place and tracked for all key talent and succession candidates from year-to-year.

We offer an integrated suite of customized global leadership development programs for all levels of leaders in the organization. The objectives of these various programs include developing leadership and management skills, commercial and business acumen, global business knowledge and cultural fluency. These programs range in length from customized two-day workshops for our frontline leaders to cohort style programs for senior leaders delivered over an eight-month period. In addition to formal leadership development programs, we offer coaching and mentoring opportunities to key talent to further accelerate their growth and development. We also support meaningful and varied on-the-job experiences and assignments to optimize both business performance and individual development. Every year, the Human Resources Committee reviews the progress made in developing current and future leaders through the succession and talent management program and leadership development programs, with particular focus on the executive officers and potential successors to executive officer roles. Management also conducts a talent management

session with the Board annually to review the development plans of all potential succession candidates for executive roles. The Human Resources Committee and the Board are satisfied that well-qualified internal candidates exist or are being developed for all executive positions, including the President & CEO position.

41

Process for Determining Executive Compensation

The Human Resources Committee is responsible for compensation matters with respect to executive officers, including the NEOs. The Human Resources Committee, as of the date of this Information Circular, consists of four members (Ms. Rennie, Mr. Cook, Mr. Mahaffy, and Ms. Walker), all of whom are independent directors. None of the members of the Human Resources Committee is, or was during the most recently completed financial year, an officer or employee of the Company or any of its subsidiaries; was formerly an officer of the Company or any of its subsidiaries; has any indebtedness to the Company or any of its subsidiaries; or has any material interest, or any associates or affiliates that have a material interest, direct or indirect, in any actual or proposed transaction since the beginning of the Company or any of its subsidiaries.

Two of the four members of the Human Resources Committee have direct experience with executive compensation through their previous executive positions and/or their service on human resources/compensation committees at other organizations. In their executive positions, members participated in compensation, benefits and related decisions; implemented or evaluated the design of the company's executive compensation programs; and gained experience in other areas of human resources, such as talent management, succession planning, performance management and performance-based compensation. The Human Resources Committee receives an annual update from Meridian Compensation Partners ("Meridian") on recent trends, regulatory changes and key issues regarding executive compensation and compensation governance and how they relate to the Company. Committee Members

Ms. Rennie, the Chair of the Human Resources Committee, was Senior Vice President, Human Resources & Organizational Effectiveness at EPCOR between 2004 and 2005. Ms. Rennie was a member and Chair of the Compensation Committee at Teck Resources from 2008 to 2015. She has been a member of the People and Compensation Committee at WestJet since 2011, a member of the Compensation Committee of West Fraser Timber since 2012, and was a member of the Corporate Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee at Capital Power between 2009 and 2012.

Mr. Cook held a number of executive management positions during his 37 years at Dow Chemical. From 2003 to 2006 he managed a portfolio that included about one-third of Dow's businesses with over 10,000 employees on six continents. He was involved at an executive level with various human resources issues for these businesses, including compensation, workforce planning, employee development and talent management.

Mr. Mahaffy was the Chief Executive Officer of McLean Budden between 1989 and 2008. Mr. Mahaffy has been a member of the Human Resources and Compensation Committee of the Canada Pension Plan Investment Board since 2009, was a member of the Human Resources Committee at Stelco between 1994 and 2006 and chaired that committee between 1997 and 2001.

Ms. Walker was the Vice President, Engineering & Technology for Dow Chemical between 2004 and 2010. Prior to that role, Ms. Walker held other senior positions with Dow Chemical and served on various management committees related to human resources programs.

As part of its mandate, the Human Resources Committee annually reviews and recommends to the Board for approval the remuneration of the Company's executive officers. The Human Resources Committee periodically reviews the levels of compensation for executive officers and obtains advice from independent consultants in that regard. A thorough competitive assessment was conducted by Willis Towers Watson in May 2015, with the previous assessment in June 2013. The competitive assessment was based on our comparator group companies (see next page). The Human Resources Committee relied on information provided in that report to inform their decisions related to 2016 compensation for the NEOs.

The Human Resources Committee also obtains the advice and recommendations of the CEO with respect to compensation matters pertaining to the Company's other executive officers. Willis Towers Watson and Meridian, from time to time, are retained to advise the Human Resources Committee on specific executive compensation matters raised by the Committee. However, the Human Resources Committee is ultimately responsible for its decisions and may employ factors and considerations other than the information and advice provided by compensation advisors.

Both the Human Resources Committee and the Board have the ability to exercise discretion in awarding compensation.

Total compensation for executive officers includes base salary, short-term incentives, long-term incentives, perquisites and benefits. Total compensation is established to be competitive with the 50th percentile of the aggregate total compensation of organizations in a comparator group of companies. Base salaries only for executives located outside of North America may be

42

adjusted based on local base salary data. The Human Resources Committee reviews the comparator group used to establish total compensation for executive officers. Given that the Company has no publicly traded peers in the methanol industry only, with input from Meridian in 2013, the Human Resources Committee selected a larger comparator group of 23 companies (compared with the previous group of 12 used since 2007) comprised of North American-based companies in the chemicals, mining and oil and gas industries with global operations, and, where possible, that operate in a commodity-based or cyclical business. Four companies (Axiall Corp., Cytec Industries, Rockwood Holdings and Talisman Energy) have been removed due to acquisition and subsequent delisting from their respective stock exchanges. Our current comparator group includes the following 19 companies of similar size, complexity and industry:

Agrium*

Albemarle Corp.	Chemtura Corp.	
Ashland Inc.	FMC Corp.	PolyOne Corp.
Baytex Energy Corp.*	Goldcorp Inc.*	Potash Corp. of Saskatchewan*
Cabot Corp.	IAMGOLD Corp.*	Sherritt International Corp.*
Celanese Corp.	International Flavors & Fragrances Inc.	The Valspar Corp.
Centerra Gold*	Koppers Holdings Inc.	Westlake Chemical Corp.
	Olin Corp.	

* denotes Canadian companies

Compensation Consultants

The Chair of the Human Resources Committee approves the scope of all executive compensation work by independent consultants. The Human Resources Committee also has the responsibility under its mandate to consider independence factors before selecting such advisors.

The Human Resources Committee has retained Willis Towers Watson (formerly Towers Watson and Towers Perrin) as independent advisors. Willis Towers Watson's mandate for executive compensation in 2016 included three items: 1. Recommendations related to the compensation mix and compensation levels for the CEO and other executive officers:

2. A review of the Company's long-term incentive plan design provisions; and

3. General executive compensation

assistance.

Other services that Willis Towers Watson provides to the management of the Company include ongoing consulting and third-party administration services for executive supplemental retirement plans and employee pension plans and occasional non-executive compensation data and assistance. The Human Resources Committee and the Board are aware of, but do not pre-approve, these non-executive services requested by management. Willis Towers Watson's written mandate to the Human Resources Committee outlines Willis Towers Watson's role and terms of reference as the independent consultant to the Human Resources Committee. This includes confirmation that Willis Towers Watson has well-established safeguards to maintain the independence of its executive compensation consultants, which include compensation protocols, internal reporting relationships and formal policies to prevent any potential conflict of interest.

During 2016, the Human Resources Committee also retained Meridian to conduct a simulation to determine whether the Company would likely meet proxy advisor pay-for-performance threshold tests and to provide advice regarding an increase in the Company's share reserve for stock options/TSARs. Meridian provided an update to the Human Resources Committee at its July 2016 meeting on recent trends related to executive compensation in North America, particularly with regard to compensation governance oversight, issues and processes. In addition, Meridian reviewed the Company's executive compensation practices from a governance perspective. Meridian provides consulting services only to the Human Resources Committee and only with respect to executive compensation, with approximate fees to the Company during 2016 of \$26,600 and during 2015 of \$13,400. Total fees paid to Willis Towers Watson over the past two years are listed in the table below.

Executive	All Other Fees Consulting and Third Party	Consulting and Third-Party			
		Administration Services Fees	Non-Executive	Total All Other Fees	Total
•		for Executive Supplemental	Compensation Related Fees (\$)	Other Fees (\$)	⁸ Fees (\$)
(\$)	Plans (\$)	Retirement Plans (\$)	Related T $ccs(\phi)$	(Ψ)	
201667,417	105,052	45,973	-	151,025	245,045
2015135,405 (1)	186,088	51,090	-	237,178	372,583

(1) The Executive Compensation-Related Fees for 2015 include \$23,285 related to fees for the review of director compensation.

Elements of Executive Compensation

All amounts in this section "Elements of Executive Compensation" are in Canadian dollars except where otherwise noted.

The 2016 target executive compensation mix is illustrated in the table below.

		At Risk Payouts		
	Base	Short-Term Incentive	Stock	PSUs Total Compensation "At Risk"
	Salary	Award	Options/SARs/TSARs	Risk"
CEO	17%	17%	33%	33% 83%
All Other NEOs	30%	20%	25%	25% 70%

All of the elements of executive compensation are summarized in the following table and described in more detail below.

Total Direct Con	mpensation		Indirect Compensation	
Base Salary	Short-Term Incentive Award	Long-Term Incentives	+Benefits	Retirement Plans
Pay for role and capability	Pay for achievement of annual strategic performance goals	retention	Investment in employee health and well-being as well as perquisites	Investment in financial security after retirement
	"At-Risk" Awards	"At-Risk" Payouts		

Base Salary

Base salaries are intended to compensate executives competitively for leadership, specific skills, knowledge and experience required to perform their duties. Base salaries for executive officers are established within a salary range, the midpoint of which is targeted to be at the 50th percentile of the comparator group of companies. Base salaries for executives located outside of North America may be adjusted based on local base salary data. Initial placement within the salary range is based on qualifications and experience and salaries are reviewed annually. The initial placement and annual base salary review for the CEO is conducted by the Human Resources Committee. The Human Resources Committee may retain an external consultant to assist with this process. The CEO recommends to the Human Resources for all other executive officers, including the other NEOs. Over time, base salary can approach and may exceed the midpoint of the salary range based on an executive's role.

Short-Term Incentive Plan

The Company's short-term incentive plan is designed to recognize and reward the achievement of strategic performance goals by executive officers with an annual cash award. The Board has determined that the short-term incentive award should be based on two components – corporate performance and personal performance – and that each component should be quantified and weighted for calculation purposes. The purpose of the corporate performance component is to align the interests of executive officers with an overall corporate performance measure to focus their efforts on achieving annual strategic corporate targets. The purpose of the personal performance component is to recognize each executive officer's personal contribution to certain annual strategic and operational business activities and initiatives.

For 2016, the target award was 100% of annual base salary for the CEO and 65% of annual base salary for the other four NEOs. In order to reflect competitive market data, the target award for the other NEOs was increased from 60% in 2015. Similarly, in 2017, in order to reflect competitive market data, the SVP, Finance & CFO target award will increase from 65% to 70% of annual base salary. The target award percentage for all NEOs is determined by the Board each year. The corporate performance component is 70% of the potential overall award and the personal component represents 30% of the potential overall award. Short-term incentive awards can range from 0% to 200% of the target award based on a combination of personal performance and corporate performance.

a) Corporate Performance Component

The corporate performance component is 70% of the potential overall award for all NEOs. For 2016, as in past years, the Board determined that the corporate performance component should be based on profitability, as measured by the Company's return on capital employed, modified to eliminate the distortion of accounting depreciation on new and depreciated assets ("Modified ROCE").

The short-term incentive plan provides for the following payout levels based on corporate performance results:

Corporate Performance Level	Corporate Factor Payout Level	
Minimum performance is not achieved	0%	
Minimum performance is achieved or exceeded, but target performance is not achieved	Less than 100%	
Target performance is achieved or exceeded, but maximum performance	isEqual to or greater than 100%, but less	
not achieved	than 200%	
Maximum performance is achieved or exceeded	200%	
The factor by which the incentive compensation award is calculated is pro-rated between the minimum, target and		
maximum award depending on actual performance under each of the components.		

Modified ROCE

The Board has reviewed a number of measures of profitability and has determined that Modified ROCE is a good measure to be used for evaluating corporate performance. Investing in large capital assets designed to run for long periods of time is a core element of our long-term business strategy. As a measure of the quality of returns to shareholders, Modified ROCE has a level of simplicity that allows for ease of understanding by employees. The Board reviews the use of Modified ROCE each year, and in 2016 established 13% Modified ROCE as the performance target, with break-even net income as the performance minimum and 19% as the performance maximum. Refer to the "Financial Highlights" section of our 2016 Annual Report for a more detailed definition of Modified ROCE. The Company's actual Modified ROCE in 2016 was 0.4%, resulting in a payout level of 3%, which means corporate performance was just above the minimum level.

The Company uses an enduring standard for setting the Modified ROCE target based on achieving a long-term return above the Company's weighted average cost of capital ("WACC"), thus ensuring that a target payout is achieved only when returns exceed the WACC. We believe that this is aligned with long-term shareholder value creation and reflects our shareholders' long-term performance expectations.

• The enduring standard we set for Modified ROCE does not take into account anticipated annual changes in commodity price or broader economic factors, which results in greater variability of payouts. We do not

decrease our targets when Modified ROCE is expected to be lower in a given year, nor do we raise them when Modified ROCE is expected to be higher in a given year. We believe that our performance standards and payout levels should align with an appropriate level of return for shareholders, regardless of the shorter term economic conditions. This means that payouts will be low when our return is low, even if management has outperformed budget expectations. We think this aligns the interests of our management with the interests of our shareholders.

The Board reviews the threshold, target and maximum ROCE targets each year to ensure that they remain appropriate, primarily in light of our WACC, historical Modified ROCE results and the ROCE of our peer companies.