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Item 1. Financial Statements

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except per-share data)

## ASSETS

Cash and due from banks

| June 30, | December 31, |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2014 |  |
| (unaudited) | 2013 |

Interest-bearing deposits with other banks
Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Home Loan Bank stock
Loans held for sale
Available for sale investment securities
Loans, net of unearned income
Less: Allowance for loan losses
Net Loans
Premises and equipment
Accrued interest receivable
Goodwill and intangible assets
Other assets
Total Assets
\$258,837 \$218,540
222,894 163,988
82,624 84,173
36,079 21,351
2,497,776 2,568,434
12,839,511 12,782,220
(191,685 ) (202,780 )
12,647,826 12,579,440
225,168 226,021
42,116 44,037
532,432 533,076

LIABILITIES
Deposits:
Noninterest-bearing
\$3,484,125 \$3,283,172
Interest-bearing
Total Deposits
Short-term borrowings:
Federal funds purchased
487,887 495,574
\$17,033,639 \$16,934,634

Other short-term borrowings
Total Short-Term Borrowings
Accrued interest payable
Other liabilities
9,209,534 9,208,014

Federal Home Loan Bank advances and long-term debt
12,693,659 12,491,186

Total Liabilities
384,011 582,436
624,296 676,193

SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
Common stock, $\$ 2.50$ par value, 600 million shares authorized, 218.0 million shares
issued in 2014 and 217.8 million shares issued in 2013
Additional paid-in capital
1,008,307 1,258,629
16,647 15,218
246,831 222,830
968,395 883,584
68,395 - 14,871,4
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Retained earnings } & \text { 514,988 }\end{array}$
Accumulated other comprehensive loss
(9,161 ) (37,341 )

Treasury stock, at cost, 29.0 million shares in 2014 and 25.2 million shares in 2013 (387,852 ) (340,857 )
Total Shareholders' Equity
2,099,800 2,063,187
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity
\$17,033,639 \$16,934,634
See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except per-share data)

INTEREST INCOME
Loans, including fees
Investment securities:
Taxable
Tax-exempt
Dividends
Loans held for sale
Other interest income
Total Interest Income
INTEREST EXPENSE
Deposits
Short-term borrowings
Long-term debt
Total Interest Expense
Net Interest Income
Provision for credit losses
Net Interest Income After Provision for Credit Losses
NON-INTEREST INCOME
Service charges on deposit accounts
Investment management and trust services
Other service charges and fees
Mortgage banking income
Other
Investment securities gains, net:
Net gains on sales of investment securities
Other-than-temporary impairment losses
Investment securities gains, net
Total Non-Interest Income
NON-INTEREST EXPENSE
Salaries and employee benefits
Net occupancy expense
Other outside services
Data processing
Professional fees
Equipment expense
Software
FDIC insurance expense
Marketing
Other real estate owned and repossession expense
Operating risk loss
Intangible amortization
Other
Total Non-Interest Expense
Income Before Income Taxes

| Three months ended June 30 |  | Six months ended June |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 30 |  |
| 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| \$ 131,440 | \$ 135,032 | \$263,270 | \$269,162 |
| 12,418 | 14,516 | 25,684 | 27,913 |
| 2,298 | 2,343 | 4,646 | 4,824 |
| 325 | 364 | 657 | 754 |
| 214 | 384 | 348 | 879 |
| 1,207 | 439 | 2,089 | 868 |
| 147,902 | 153,078 | 296,694 | 304,400 |
| 8,685 | 9,498 | 16,581 | 19,899 |
| 540 | 700 | 1,173 | 1,209 |
| 10,779 | 10,815 | 21,477 | 21,583 |
| 20,004 | 21,013 | 39,231 | 42,691 |
| 127,898 | 132,065 | 257,463 | 261,709 |
| 3,500 | 13,500 | 6,000 | 28,500 |
| 124,398 | 118,565 | 251,463 | 233,209 |
| 12,552 | 14,651 | 24,263 | 28,762 |
| 11,339 | 10,601 | 22,297 | 20,697 |
| 10,526 | 9,508 | 19,453 | 18,018 |
| 5,741 | 10,997 | 9,346 | 19,170 |
| 3,602 | 3,694 | 6,907 | 7,590 |
| 1,124 | 2,892 | 1,124 | 5,365 |
| (12 ) | (27 ) | (12 | (27 |
| 1,112 | 2,865 | 1,112 | 5,338 |
| 44,872 | 52,316 | 83,378 | 99,575 |
| 63,623 | 63,490 | 123,189 | 124,702 |
| 11,464 | 11,447 | 25,067 | 23,291 |
| 7,240 | 5,315 | 11,052 | 8,175 |
| 4,331 | 4,509 | 8,127 | 8,412 |
| 3,559 | 3,395 | 6,463 | 6,442 |
| 3,360 | 3,893 | 6,962 | 7,801 |
| 3,209 | 3,094 | 6,134 | 5,842 |
| 2,615 | 3,001 | 5,304 | 5,848 |
| 2,337 | 1,922 | 3,921 | 3,794 |
| 748 | 1,941 | 1,731 | 4,795 |
| 716 | 1,860 | 2,544 | 3,626 |
| 315 | 535 | 630 | 1,069 |
| 12,657 | 12,728 | 24,604 | 24,269 |
| 116,174 | 117,130 | 225,728 | 228,066 |
| 53,096 | 53,751 | 109,113 | 104,718 |
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| Income taxes | 13,500 | 13,169 | 27,734 | 24,909 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Net Income | $\$ 39,596$ | $\$ 40,582$ | $\$ 81,379$ | $\$ 79,809$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| PER SHARE: | $\$ 0.21$ | $\$ 0.21$ | $\$ 0.43$ | $\$ 0.41$ |
| Net Income (Basic) | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.43 | 0.41 |
| Net Income (Diluted) | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| Cash Dividends |  |  |  |  |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements |  |  |  |  |
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## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

|  | Three months ended June 30 |  | Six months ended June 30 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net Income | \$39,596 | \$40,582 | \$81,379 | \$79,809 |
| Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of tax: |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on securities | 12,990 | (36,958 ) | 26,923 | (36,833) |
| Reclassification adjustment for postretirement amendment gains included in net income | - | - | (944 | - |
| Reclassification adjustment for securities gains included in net income | (723 | (1,862 | (723 | (3,470 |
| Non-credit related unrealized gain on other-than-temporarily impaired debt securities | 323 | 355 | 512 | 1,438 |
| Unrealized gain on derivative financial instruments | 34 | 34 | 68 | 68 |
| Unrecognized pension and postretirement income | - | - | 2,144 | - |
| Amortization of net unrecognized pension and postretirement items | 104 | 328 | 200 | 656 |
| Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | 12,728 | $(38,103)$ | 28,180 | (38,141) |
| Total Comprehensive Income | \$52,324 | \$2,479 | \$ 109,559 | \$41,668 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
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## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (UNAUDITED) SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2014 AND 2013

(in thousands, except per-share data)
Common Stock

|  | Additional | Retained | Accumulated <br> Shares Treasury | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Outstanding | Amount | Paid-in | Earnings | Other <br> Comprehensive |  |
|  | Capital |  | Income (Loss) |  |  |



## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

(in thousands)
Six months ended June 30
2014
2013
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:
Net Income
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:
Provision for credit losses
Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment
Net amortization of investment securities premiums
Investment securities gains, net
Net (increase) decrease in loans held for sale
Amortization of intangible assets
Stock-based compensation
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation
Decrease in accrued interest receivable
(Increase) decrease in other assets
Increase (decrease) in accrued interest payable
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities
Total adjustments
Net cash provided by operating activities
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:
Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale
Proceeds from maturities of securities held to maturity
Proceeds from maturities of securities available for sale
Purchase of securities available for sale
(Increase) decrease in short-term investments
Net increase in loans
Net purchases of premises and equipment
Net cash used in investing activities
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:
Net increase in demand and savings deposits
Net increase (decrease) in time deposits
(Decrease) increase in short-term borrowings
Additions to long-term debt
Repayments of long-term debt
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation
Dividends paid
Acquisition of treasury stock
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Due From Banks
Cash and Due From Banks at Beginning of Period
Cash and Due From Banks at End of Period
98,083 (281,412)
(250,322 ) 751,919
90,000 -
(5,189 ) (5,086 )
4,018 4,527
$52 \quad 148$
(30,521 ) (15,645 )
$(49,804)(71,337$ )
(39,293 ) 438,172
40,297 (36,356 )
218,540 256,300
\$258,837 \$219,944
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:
Cash paid during the period for:

| Interest | $\$ 37,802$ | $\$ 44,313$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Income taxes | 16,407 | 24,336 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

## FULTON FINANCIAL CORPORATION <br> NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

## NOTE A - Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of Fulton Financial Corporation (the Corporation) have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements as well as revenues and expenses during the period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2014. The Corporation evaluates subsequent events through the filing date of this Form 10-Q with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

## Recent Accounting Standards

In April 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Update 2014-08, "Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity." ASC Update 2014-08 changes the criteria for reporting discontinued operations, including a change in the definition of what constitutes the disposal of a component and additional disclosure requirements. For public business entities ASC Update 2014-08 is effective for disposals that occur within annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014. For the Corporation, this standards update is effective with its March 31, 2015 quarterly report on Form 10-Q. The adoption of ASC Update 2014-08 is not expected to have an impact on the Corporation's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASC Update 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers." This standards update provides a framework that replaces most existing revenue recognition guidance. The core principle prescribed by this standards update is that an entity recognizes revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. ASC Update 2014-09 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. Early application is not permitted. For the Corporation, this standards update is effective with its March 31, 2017 quarterly report on Form 10-Q. The Corporation is currently evaluating the impact of the pending adoption of ASC Update 2014-09 on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASC Update 2014-11, "Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures." In addition to new disclosure requirements, ASC Update 2014-11 requires that all repurchase-to-maturity transactions be accounted for as secured borrowings rather than as sales of financial assets. Also, all transfers of financial assets executed contemporaneously with a repurchase agreement with the same counterparty must be accounted for separately, the result of which would be the treatment of such transactions as a secured borrowings. ASC Update 2014-11 is effective for public business entities' interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014. For the Corporation, this standards update is effective with its March 31, 2015 quarterly report on Form 10-Q. The adoption of ASC Update 2014-11 is not expected to have a material impact on the Corporation's consolidated financial statements.
In June 2014, the FASB issued ASC Update 2014-12, "Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period." ASC Update 2014-12 clarifies guidance related to accounting for share-based payment awards with terms that allow an employee to vest in the award regardless of whether the employee is rendering service on the date a performance target is achieved. ASC Update 2014-12 requires that a performance target that affects vesting, and that could be achieved after the
requisite service period, be treated as a performance condition. As such, the performance target should not be reflected in estimating the grant-date fair value of the award. ASC Update 2014-12 is effective for public business entities' interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014, with earlier adoption permitted. For the Corporation, this standards update is effective with its March 31, 2015 quarterly report on Form 10-Q. The adoption of ASC Update 2014-12 is not expected to have a material impact on the Corporation's consolidated financial statements.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the 2013 consolidated financial statements and notes have been reclassified to conform to the 2014 presentation.
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NOTE B - Net Income Per Share
Basic net income per share is calculated as net income divided by the weighted average number of shares outstanding. Diluted net income per share is calculated as net income divided by the weighted average number of shares outstanding plus the incremental number of shares added as a result of converting common stock equivalents, calculated using the treasury stock method. The Corporation's common stock equivalents consist of outstanding stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units (RSUs) and performance-based restricted stock units (PSUs). PSUs are required to be included in weighted average shares outstanding if performance measures, as defined in each PSU award agreement, are met as of the end of the period.
A reconciliation of weighted average shares outstanding used to calculate basic net income per share and diluted net income per share follows:

Weighted average shares outstanding (basic)
Impact of common stock equivalents
Weighted average shares outstanding (diluted)

| Three months endedJune 30 |  | Six months ended June |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 30 |  |
| 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| (in thousands) |  |  |  |
| 188,139 | 193,273 | 188,799 | 194,777 |
| 1,043 | 1,073 | 1,033 | 996 |
| 189,182 | 194,346 | 189,832 | 195,773 |

For the three and six months ended June $30,2014,3.3$ million and 3.2 million shares issuable under stock options, respectively, were excluded from the diluted net income per share computation as their effect would have been anti-dilutive. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, 4.3 million and 4.0 million shares issuable under stock options, respectively, were excluded from the diluted net income per share computation as their effect would have been anti-dilutive.
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NOTE C - Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
The following table presents changes in other comprehensive income (loss):

Three months ended June 30, 2014
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities
Reclassification adjustment for securities gains included in net income (1)
Non-credit related unrealized gains (losses) on other-than-temporarily impaired debt securities
Unrealized gain on derivative financial instruments
Amortization of net unrecognized pension and postretirement items (2)
Total Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
Three months ended June 30, 2013
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities $\quad \$(56,858$ ) \$19,900 $\$(36,958$ )
Reclassification adjustment for securities gains included in net income (1) (2,865 ) 1,003 (1,862 )
Non-credit related unrealized gains (losses) on other-than-temporarily impaired debt securities
Unrealized gain on derivative financial instruments $\quad 52 \quad 34$
Amortization of net unrecognized pension and postretirement items (2) $505 \quad$ (177 ) 328
Total Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
$\$(58,620) \$ 20,517 \quad \$(38,103)$
Six months ended June 30, 2014
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities $\quad \$ 41,419 \quad \$(14,496) \$ 26,923$
Reclassification adjustment for securities gains included in net income (1) (1,112 ) 389 (723 )
Reclassification adjustment for postretirement gains included in net income (2) (1,452 ) 508 (944 )
Non-credit related unrealized gains (losses) on other-than-temporarily impaired
debt securities
Unrealized gain on derivative financial instruments $\quad 105 \quad$ (37 ) 68
Unrecognized pension and postretirement income $\quad 3,291 \quad(1,147) 2,144$
Amortization of net unrecognized pension and postretirement items (2) $\quad 309$ (109) 200
Total Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
\$43,348 \$(15,168 ) \$28,180
Six months ended June 30, 2013
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities $\quad \$(56,666) \$ 19,833 \quad \$(36,833)$
Reclassification adjustment for securities gains included in net income (1) (5,338 ) 1,868 (3,470)
Non-credit related unrealized gains (losses) on other-than-temporarily impaired
debt securities
Unrealized gain on derivative financial instruments $\quad 105 \quad$ (37 ) 68
Amortization of net unrecognized pension and postretirement items (2)
Total Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
2,212 (774 ) 1,438

1,010 (354 ) 656
\$(58,677 ) \$20,536 \$(38,141)
Amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. Before-tax amounts included within
(1) "Investment securities gains, net" on the consolidated statements of income. See Note D, "Investment Securities," for additional details.
Amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. Before-tax amounts included within
(2) "Salaries and employee benefits" on the consolidated statements of income. See Note H, "Employee Benefit Plans," for additional details.

The following table presents changes in each component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:
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NOTE D - Investment Securities
The following table presents the amortized cost and estimated fair values of investment securities, which were all classified as available for sale:

|  | Amortized Cost <br> (in thousands) | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Gains | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses |  | Estimated <br> Fair <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity securities | \$34,275 | \$11,987 | \$(14 | ) | \$46,248 |
| U.S. Government securities | 525 | - | - |  | 525 |
| U.S. Government sponsored agency securities | 254 | 6 | - |  | 260 |
| State and municipal securities | 262,368 | 8,244 | (789 | ) | 269,823 |
| Corporate debt securities | 99,634 | 5,881 | (4,862 | ) | 100,653 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | 1,022,728 | 8,224 | (28,221 | ) | 1,002,731 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 918,210 | 17,121 | (4,726 |  | 930,605 |
| Auction rate securities | 158,463 | 1 | (11,533 | ) | 146,931 |
|  | \$2,496,457 | \$51,464 | \$(50,145 | ) | \$2,497,776 |
|  | Amortized | Gross | Gross |  | Estimated |
|  | Cost | Unrealized | Unrealized |  | Fair |
|  | (in thousands) | Gains | Losses |  | Value |
| December 31, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity securities | \$33,922 | \$12,355 | \$(76 | ) | \$46,201 |
| U.S. Government securities <br> U.S. Government sponsored agency securities | 525 | - | - |  | 525 |
|  | 720 | 7 | (1 | ) | 726 |
| State and municipal securities | 281,810 | 6,483 | (3,444 | ) | 284,849 |
| Corporate debt securities | 100,468 | 5,685 | (7,404 | , | 98,749 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | 1,069,138 | 8,036 | (44,776 | , | 1,032,398 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 949,328 | 13,881 | (17,497 | , | 945,712 |
| Auction rate securities | 172,299 | 234 | (13,259 | ) | 159,274 |
|  | \$2,608,210 | \$46,681 | \$(86,457 |  | \$2,568,434 |

Securities carried at $\$ 1.8$ billion as of June 30, 2014 and $\$ 1.7$ billion as of December 31, 2013 were pledged as collateral to secure public and trust deposits and customer repurchase agreements.
Equity securities include common stocks of financial institutions ( $\$ 40.2$ million at June 30, 2014 and $\$ 40.6$ million at December 31, 2013) and other equity investments ( $\$ 6.0$ million at June 30, 2014 and $\$ 5.6$ million at December 31, 2013).

As of June 30, 2014, the financial institutions stock portfolio had a cost basis of $\$ 28.5$ million and a fair value of $\$ 40.2$ million, including an investment in a single financial institution with a cost basis of $\$ 20.0$ million and a fair value of $\$ 28.2$ million. The fair value of this investment accounted for $70.2 \%$ of the fair value of the Corporation's investments in the common stocks of publicly traded financial institutions. No other investment within the financial institutions stock portfolio exceeded $5 \%$ of the portfolio's fair value.
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The amortized cost and estimated fair values of debt securities as of June 30, 2014, by contractual maturity, are shown in the following table. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.
$\left.\begin{array}{lcl} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Amortized } \\ \text { Cost }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Estimated } \\ \text { Fair Value }\end{array} \\ \text { (in thousands) }\end{array}\right)$

The following table presents information related to the gross realized gains and losses on the sales of equity and debt securities:

Three months ended June 30, 2014
Equity securities
Debt securities
Total
Three months ended June 30, 2013
Equity securities
Debt securities
Total

| Gross <br> Realized <br> Gains | Gross <br> Realized <br> Losses | Other-than- <br> temporary <br> Impairment <br> Losses | Net Gains <br> (Losses) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$-$ $\$-$ $\$(12$ $)$ <br> 1,124 - - 1,124 <br> $\$ 1,124$ $\$-$ $\$(12$ $)$ <br>    1,112 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 1,083$ | $\$(28$ | $)$ | $\$(27$ |
| 1,837 | - | - | 1,028 |
| $\$ 2,920$ | $\$(28$ | $)$ | $\$(27$ |
|  |  |  |  |

Six months ended June 30, 2014
Equity securities $\quad \$ 1 \quad \$-\quad \$(12 \quad) \$(11)$
Debt securities
Total
Six months ended June 30, 2013
Equity securities
Debt securities
Total

| 1,446 | $(323$ | $)$ | 1,123 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 1,447$ | $\$(323$ | $)$ | $\$(12$ |
|  |  | $) \$ 1,112$ |  |
| $\$ 2,222$ | $\$(28$ | $)$ | $\$(27$ |
| 3,171 | - | - | $\$ 2,167$ |
| $\$ 5,393$ | $\$(28$ | $)$ | $\$(27$ |

The other-than-temporary impairment charges for equity securities during the three and six months ended June 30 , 2014 and 2013 were for investments in common stocks of financial institutions and were due to the severity and duration of the declines in the fair values of certain financial institution stocks, in conjunction with management's assessment of the near-term prospects of each specific financial institution.

The following table presents a summary of the cumulative credit related other-than-temporary impairment charges, recognized as components of earnings, for debt securities held by the Corporation at June 30, 2014 and 2013:
Three months ended Six months ended June June 30 $20142013 \quad 2014 \quad 2013$ (in thousands)
Balance of cumulative credit losses on debt securities, beginning of period
Reductions for securities sold during the period
Reductions for increases in cash flows expected to be collected that are ${ }_{1}$ recognized over the remaining life of the security
Balance of cumulative credit losses on debt securities, end of period $\quad \$(17,214) \$(20,607) \$(17,214) \$(20,607)$ The following table presents the gross unrealized losses and estimated fair values of investments, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at June 30, 2014:

| Less than 12 months |  | 12 months or longer |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated | Unrealized | Estimated | Unrealized | Estimated | Unrealized |
| Fair Value (in thousan | Losses <br> s) | Fair Value | Losses | Fair Value | Losses |
| \$8,531 | \$(39 ) | \$34,089 | \$(750 | \$42,620 | \$(789 |
| - | - | 42,995 | (4,862 | 42,995 | (4,862 |
| 33,465 | (97 ) | 677,357 | (28,124 | 710,822 | (28,221 |
| 37,304 | (45 ) | 302,835 | (4,681 | 340,139 | (4,726 |
| - | - | 146,839 | (11,533 | 146,839 | (11,533 |
| 79,300 | (181 ) | 1,204,115 | (49,950 | 1,283,415 | (50,131 |
| 2 | (1 ) | 77 | (13 | 79 | (14 |
| \$79,302 | \$(182 | \$1,204,192 | \$(49,963 | \$1,283,494 | \$(50,145 |

The Corporation's collateralized mortgage obligations and mortgage-backed securities have contractual terms that generally do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the amortized cost of the investment.
Because the decline in market value of these securities is attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and because the Corporation does not have the intent to sell and does not believe it will more likely than not be required to sell any of these securities prior to a recovery of their fair value to amortized cost, the Corporation does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired as of June 30, 2014.
The unrealized holding losses on auction rate securities, or auction rate certificates (ARCs), are attributable to liquidity issues resulting from the failure of periodic auctions. The Corporation had previously purchased ARCs for investment management and trust customers as short-term investments with fair values that could be derived based on periodic auctions under normal market conditions. During 2008 and 2009, the Corporation purchased ARCs from these customers due to the failure of these periodic auctions, which made these previously short-term investments illiquid.
As of June 30, 2014, approximately $\$ 143$ million, or $97 \%$, of the ARCs were rated above investment grade, with approximately $\$ 6$ million, or $4 \%$, AAA rated and $\$ 102$ million, or $70 \%$, AA rated. Approximately $\$ 4$ million, or $3 \%$, of ARCs were either not rated or rated below investment grade by at least one ratings agency. Of this amount, approximately $\$ 3$ million, or $59 \%$, of the student loans underlying these ARCs have principal payments which are guaranteed by the federal government. In total, approximately $\$ 145$ million, or $99 \%$, of the student loans underlying the ARCs have principal payments that are guaranteed by the federal government.
During the six months ended 2014, the Corporation sold ARCs with a total book value of $\$ 11.9$ million, with no gain or loss upon sale. As of June 30, 2014, all ARCs were current and making scheduled interest payments. Based on management's evaluations, ARCs with a fair value of $\$ 146.9$ million were not subject to any other-than-temporary impairment charges as of June 30, 2014. The Corporation does not have the intent to sell and does not believe it will more likely than not be required to sell any of these securities prior to a recovery of their fair value to amortized cost,
which may be at maturity.
For its investments in equity securities, particularly its investments in stocks of financial institutions, management evaluates the near-term prospects of the issuers in relation to the severity and duration of the impairment. Based on that evaluation and the Corporation's ability and intent to hold those investments for a reasonable period of time sufficient for a recovery of fair value,
the Corporation does not consider those investments with unrealized holding losses as of June 30, 2014 to be other-than-temporarily impaired.
The majority of the Corporation's available for sale corporate debt securities are issued by financial institutions. The following table presents the amortized cost and estimated fair value of corporate debt securities:

| June 30, 2014 <br> Amortized <br> cost <br> (in thousands) | Estimated <br> fair value | December <br> Amortized <br> cost | Estimated <br> fair value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 47,524$ | $\$ 43,186$ | $\$ 47,481$ | $\$ 40,531$ |
| 47,467 | 50,616 | 47,405 | 50,327 |
| 2,067 | 4,275 | 2,997 | 5,306 |
| 97,058 | 98,077 | 97,883 | 96,164 |
| 2,576 | 2,576 | 2,585 | 2,585 |
| $\$ 99,634$ | $\$ 100,653$ | $\$ 100,468$ | $\$ 98,749$ |

The Corporation's investments in single-issuer trust preferred securities had an unrealized loss of $\$ 4.3$ million at June 30, 2014. The Corporation did not record any other-than-temporary impairment charges for single-issuer trust preferred securities during the three or six months ended June 30, 2014 or 2013. Six of the Corporation's 20 single-issuer trust preferred securities were rated below investment grade by at least one ratings agency, with an amortized cost of $\$ 13.5$ million and an estimated fair value of $\$ 12.1$ million at June 30, 2014. All of the single-issuer trust preferred securities rated below investment grade were rated BB or Ba . Three single-issuer trust preferred securities with an amortized cost of $\$ 4.7$ million and an estimated fair value of $\$ 3.8$ million at June 30,2014 were not rated by any ratings agency.
During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Corporation sold two pooled trust preferred securities with a total amortized cost of $\$ 728,000$, for a gain of $\$ 1.1$ million. As of June 30,2014 , all six of the Corporation's pooled trust preferred securities, with an amortized cost of $\$ 2.1$ million and an estimated fair value of $\$ 4.3$ million, were rated below investment grade by at least one ratings agency, with ratings ranging from C to Ca . The class of securities held by the Corporation was below the most senior tranche, with the Corporation's interests being subordinate to other investors in the pool. The Corporation determines the fair value of pooled trust preferred securities based on quotes provided by third-party brokers.
The amortized cost of pooled trust preferred securities is the purchase price of the securities, net of cumulative credit related other-than-temporary impairment charges, determined using an expected cash flows model. The most significant input to the expected cash flows model is the expected payment deferral rate for each pooled trust preferred security. The Corporation evaluates the financial metrics, such as capital ratios and non-performing assets ratios, of the individual financial institution issuers that comprise each pooled trust preferred security to estimate its expected deferral rate.
Based on management's evaluations, corporate debt securities with a fair value of $\$ 100.7$ million were not subject to any other-than-temporary impairment charges as of June 30, 2014. The Corporation does not have the intent to sell and does not believe it will more likely than not be required to sell any of these securities prior to a recovery of their fair value to amortized cost, which may be at maturity.
As mandated by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act), in December 2013, five regulatory bodies issued final rulings (Final Rules) implementing certain prohibitions and restrictions on the ability of a banking entity and non-bank financial company supervised by the Federal Reserve Board to engage in proprietary trading and have certain ownership interests in, or relationships with, a "covered fund" (the so-called "Volcker Rule"). The Final Rules generally treat as a covered fund any entity that would be an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (1940 Act) but for the application of the exemptions from SEC registration set forth in Section 3(c)(1) (fewer than 100 beneficial owners) or Section 3(c)(7) (qualified purchasers) of the 1940 Act. The Final Rules also require regulated entities to establish an internal compliance program that is consistent with the extent to which it engages in activities covered by the Volcker Rule, which must include making regular reports about those activities to regulators. Although the Final Rules provide some tiering of compliance and
reporting obligations based on size, the fundamental prohibitions of the Volcker Rule apply to banking entities of any size, including the Corporation. Banking entities have until July 21, 2015 to conform their activities and investments to the requirements of the Final Rules. While the Corporation does not engage in proprietary trading or in any other activities prohibited by the Final Rules, the Corporation will continue to evaluate whether any of its investments fall within the definition of a "covered fund" and would need to be disposed of by July 21, 2015. However, based on the Corporation's evaluation to date, it does not currently expect the Final Rules will have a material effect on its business, financial condition or results of operations.

NOTE E - Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses

Loans, Net of Unearned Income
Loans, net of unearned income are summarized as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{lll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { June 30, } \\ 2014\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { December 31, } \\ 2013\end{array} \\ \text { (in thousands) }\end{array}\right)$

## Allowance for Credit Losses

The allowance for credit losses consists of the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments. The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of incurred losses in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date and is recorded as a reduction to loans. The reserve for unfunded lending commitments represents management's estimate of incurred losses in its unfunded loan commitments and is recorded in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet. The allowance for credit losses is increased by charges to expense, through the provision for credit losses, and decreased by charge-offs, net of recoveries.
The Corporation's allowance for credit losses includes: (1) specific allowances allocated to loans evaluated for impairment under the FASB's ASC Section 310-10-35; and (2) allowances calculated for pools of loans measured for impairment under FASB ASC Subtopic 450-20.
The Corporation segments its loan portfolio by general loan type, or "portfolio segments," as presented in the table under the heading, "Loans, Net of Unearned Income," above. Certain portfolio segments are further disaggregated and evaluated collectively for impairment based on "class segments," which are largely based on the type of collateral underlying each loan. For commercial loans, class segments include loans secured by collateral and unsecured loans. Construction loan class segments include loans secured by commercial real estate, loans to commercial borrowers secured by residential real estate and loans to individuals secured by residential real estate. Consumer loan class segments include direct consumer installment loans and indirect automobile loans.
The following table presents the components of the allowance for credit losses:
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { June 30, } \\
2014\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { December 31, } \\
\text { (in thousands) }\end{array}
$$ <br>

Allowance for loan losses \& \$ 191,685\end{array}\right)\)| $\$ 202,780$ |
| :--- |
| Reserve for unfunded lending commitments |
| Allowance for credit losses |

The following table presents the activity in the allowance for credit losses:
Three months ended June 30
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\begin{array}{llll}2014 \\ \text { (in thousands) }\end{array} & 2013 & 2014 & 2013 \\ \$ 199,006 & \$ 221,527 & \$ 204,917 & \$ 225,439 \\ (11,476 & ) & (21,383 & ) \\ 2,412 & 3,982 & 4,744 & ) \\ (43,489\end{array}\right)$

The following table presents the activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment:


Three months
ended June 30,
2014
Balance at
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { March 31, } 2014\end{array} \begin{array}{lllll}\$ 53,757 & \$ 50,563 & \$ 32,460 & \$ 33,329 & \$ 9,842\end{array} \$ 3,324 \quad \$ 2,011 \quad \$ 11,803 \quad \$ 197,089$
Loans charged off
Recoveries of

| loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| previously | 430 | 775 | 177 | 108 | 158 | 402 | 362 | - | 2,412 |

charged off
Net loans
charged off (1,711 ) (4,737 ) (1,057 ) (981 ) (60 ) (47 ) (471 ) - (9,064

$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\begin{array}{l}\text { Balance at June } \\ 30,2014\end{array} & \$ 49,842 & \$ 49,084 & \$ 32,041 & \$ 32,744 & \$ 11,331 & \$ 3,306 & \$ 1,851 & \$ 11,486\end{array} \quad \$ 191,685$
Three months
ended June 30,
2013

Loans charged
off
$(5,193)(5,960)(1,966)$
Recoveries of loans previously charged off

| Net loans <br> charged off | $(3,688$ | $)(5,204$ | $)(1,774$ | $)(4,349 \quad)(1,853$ | $)(27 \quad)(506 \quad)-\quad(17,401)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | charged off

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllll}
(1,601 & ) & 6,089 & 3,809 & 3,549 & 320 & 238 & 644 & 743
\end{array}
$$

Provision for
loan losses (1)
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Balance at June } \\ & \begin{array}{l}\text { 30, } 2013\end{array}\end{aligned} \$ 58,696 \quad \$ 57,557 \quad \$ 25,736 \quad \$ 32,684 \quad \$ 14,471 \quad \$ 2,497 \quad \$ 2,925 \quad \$ 21,865 \quad \$ 216,431$
Six months
ended June 30,
2014
Balance at
December 31, $\$ 55,659 \quad \$ 50,330 \quad \$ 28,222 \quad \$ 33,082 \quad \$ 12,649 \quad \$ 3,260 \quad \$ 3,370 \quad \$ 16,208 \quad \$ 202,780$
2013
Loans charged
off
$(3,527)(10,637)$ $(2,885)(1,935)$ $(432)(1,200)(1,128)-\quad(21,744)$

Recoveries of
loans previously 474

1,519
$533 \quad 224 \quad 382$
$611 \quad 52$
4,269
charged off
Net loans charged off Provision for loan losses (1) $(3,053)(9,118$ $(2,352)(1,711)$ (50 ) (589 ) (602 ) (17,475 ) $\begin{aligned} & \text { Balance at June } \\ & \begin{array}{l}\text { 30, } 2014\end{array} \\ & \text { Six months } \\ & \text { ended June 30, }\end{aligned}$ 2013
Balance at December 31, \$62,928 \$ 60,205 \$ 22,776 \$ 34,536 \$ 17,287 \$2,367 \$2,752 $\$ 21,052 \quad \$ 223,903$ 2012
Loans charged
off
$(9,326)(15,462)(4,370)(7,515)(4,583)(983)(1,250)-$
$(43,489)$
Recoveries of
loans
previously
charged off
Net loans
charged off
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Provision for } \\ \text { loan losses (1) }\end{array} 2,525 \quad 11,679 \quad 6,807 \quad 5,466 \quad 352 \quad 201 \quad 998 \quad 813 \quad 28,841$
$\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Balance at June } \\ 30,2013\end{array} \\ & \$ 58,696\end{aligned} \$ 57,557 \quad \$ 25,736 \quad \$ 32,684 \quad \$ 14,471 \quad \$ 2,497 \quad \$ 2,925 \quad \$ 21,865 \quad \$ 216,431$

The provision for loan losses excluded a $\$ 160,000$ and $\$ 380,000$ decrease, respectively, in the reserve for unfunded lending commitments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and excluded a $\$ 291,000$ and
(1) $\$ 341,000$ decrease, respectively, in the reserve for unfunded lending commitments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013. The total provision for credit losses, comprised of allocations for both funded and unfunded loans, was $\$ 3.5$ million and $\$ 6.0$ million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30,2014 and $\$ 13.5$ million and $\$ 28.5$ million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013.

The following table presents loans, net of unearned income and their related allowance for loan losses, by portfolio segment:

| Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate Industrial, | Real Estate |  | Leasing and other | Unallocated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial | Financial andHome | Residential | Estate - Consumer |  | (1) Total |
| Mortgage | Agricultural Equity | Mortgage | Construction | overdrafts |  |

Allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2014:
Measured for
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { impairment under } \\ \text { FASB ASC }\end{array} \$ 33,388 \quad \$ 36,603 \quad \$ 22,234 \quad \$ 11,450 \quad \$ 7,163 \quad \$ 3,285 \quad \$ 1,851 \quad \$ 11,486$ \$127,460
Subtopic 450-20
Evaluated for

| impairment under | 16,454 | 12,481 | 9,807 | 21,294 | 4,168 | 21 | - | N/A | 64,225 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FASB ASC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Section 310-10-35 | $\$ 49,842$ | $\$ 49,084$ | $\$ 32,041$ | $\$ 32,744$ | $\$ 11,331$ | $\$ 3,306$ | $\$ 1,851$ | $\$ 11,486$ | $\$ 191,685$ |

Loans, net of unearned income at June 30,
2014:
Measured for
impairment under
FASB ASC
\$5,067,400 \$3,558,788 \$1,715,953 \$1,309,739 \$606,221 \$280,534 \$102,008 N/A \$12,640,643
Subtopic 450-20
Evaluated for
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { impairment under } & 61,334 & 42,933 & 14,544 & 52,237 & 27,797 & 23 & - & \text { N/A } & \text { 198,868 }\end{array}$
Section 310-10-35
\$5,128,734 \$3,601,721 \$1,730,497 \$1,361,976 \$634,018 \$280,557 \$102,008 N/A \$12,839,511

Allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2013:
Measured for
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { impairment under } & \$ 43,405 & \$ 42,354 & \$ 16,114 & \$ 9,841 & \$ 9,572 & \$ 2,479 & \$ 2,925\end{array} \$ 21,865 \$ 148,555$
FASB ASC
Subtopic 450-20
Evaluated for impairment under
FASB ASC
Section 310-10-35

| $\$ 58,696$ | $\$ 57,557$ | $\$ 25,736$ | $\$ 32,684$ | $\$ 14,471$ | $\$ 2,497$ | $\$ 2,925$ | $\$ 21,865$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\$ 216,431$

Loans, net of unearned income at June 30,
2013:
Measured for impairment under FASB ASC
Subtopic 450-20
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Evaluated for } & 68,642 & 63,117 & 15,060 & 53,787 & 37,743 & 21 & - & \text { N/A } & 238,370\end{array}$
impairment under
FASB ASC
\$4,788,274 \$3,649,857 \$1,745,208 \$1,259,558 \$572,537 \$300,212 \$91,402 N/A \$12,407,048

The unallocated allowance, which was approximately $6 \%$ and $10 \%$ of the total allowance for credit losses as of (1) June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively, was, in the opinion of management, reasonable and appropriate given that the estimates used in the allocation process are inherently imprecise. N/A - Not applicable.

## Impaired Loans

A loan is considered to be impaired if it is probable that all amounts will not be collected according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans consist of all loans on non-accrual status and accruing troubled debt restructurings (TDRs). An allowance for loan losses is established for an impaired loan if its carrying value exceeds its estimated fair value. Impaired loans to borrowers with total outstanding commitments greater than or equal to $\$ 1.0$ million are evaluated individually for impairment. Impaired loans to borrowers with total outstanding commitments less than $\$ 1.0$ million are pooled and measured for impairment collectively. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, substantially all of the Corporation's individually evaluated impaired loans with total outstanding balances greater than or equal to $\$ 1.0$ million were measured based on the estimated fair value of each loan's collateral. Collateral could be in the form of real estate, in the case of impaired commercial mortgages and construction loans, or business assets, such as accounts receivable or inventory, in the case of commercial and industrial loans. Commercial and industrial loans may also be secured by real property.
As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, approximately $79 \%$ and $86 \%$, respectively, of impaired loans with principal balances greater than or equal to $\$ 1.0$ million, whose primary collateral is real estate, were measured at estimated fair value using state certified third-party appraisals that had been updated within the preceding 12 months.
When updated certified appraisals are not obtained for loans to commercial borrowers evaluated for impairment under FASB ASC Section 310-10-35 that are secured by real estate, fair values are estimated based on the original appraisal values, as long as the original appraisal indicated a strong loan-to-value position and, in the opinion of the Corporation's internal loan evaluation staff, there has not been a significant deterioration in the collateral value since the original appraisal was performed. Original appraisals are typically used only when the estimated collateral value, as adjusted appropriately for the age of the appraisal, results in a current loan-to-value ratio that is lower than the Corporation's loan-to-value requirements for new loans, generally less than $70 \%$.

The following table presents total impaired loans by class segment:

With no related allowance recorded:
Real estate - commercial mortgage
Commercial - secured
Real estate - home equity
Real estate - residential mortgage 1,397 1,397 -
Construction - commercial residential
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Construction - commercial } & 1,007 & 874 & -\end{array}$

With a related allowance recorded:
Real estate - commercial mortgage
Commercial - secured
Commercial - unsecured
Real estate - home equity
Real estate - residential mortgage
Construction - commercial residential
Construction - commercial
Construction - other
Consumer - direct
Consumer - indirect

Total

June 30, 2014

| Unpaid | Recorded | Related |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Principal | Investment | Allowance |
| Balance |  |  |
| (in thousands) |  |  |

78,152 62,927

December 31, 2013
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Unpaid } & \text { Recorded } & \text { Related } \\ \text { Principal } & \text { Investment } & \text { Allowance }\end{array}$ Balance

| $\$ 28,892$ | $\$ 24,494$ | $\$-$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 23,890 | 21,383 | - |
| 399 | 300 | - |
| - | - | - |
| 18,943 | 13,740 | - |
| 2,996 | 1,976 | - |
| 75,120 | 61,893 |  | 14,444 13,315


| 28,337 | 19,537 | 11,844 | 34,267 | 22,324 | 13,31 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 930 | 872 | 637 | 1,113 | 1,048 | 752 |


| 20,019 | 14,244 | 9,807 | 20,383 | 14,337 | 9,059 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 61,525 | 50,840 | 21,294 | 63,682 | 51,097 | 21,745 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 20,866 | 10,388 | 3,786 | 25,769 | 14,579 | 3,493 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 2,458 | 1,254 | 239 | 485 | 195 | 77 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 452 | 281 | 143 | 719 | 548 | 301 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 17 | 17 | 16 | 11 | 11 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$6 \quad 6 \quad 5$
$5 \quad 2$
22

| 182,529 | 135,941 | 64,225 | 189,713 | 139,971 | 63,198 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, there were $\$ 62.9$ million and $\$ 61.9$ million, respectively, of impaired loans that did not have a related allowance for loan loss. The estimated fair values of the collateral for these loans exceeded their carrying amount, or they were previously charged down to realizable collateral values. Accordingly, no specific valuation allowance was considered to be necessary.

The following table presents average impaired loans by class segment:
Three months ended June 30 Six months ended June 30 20142013
 (in thousands)
With no related allowance recorded:

| Real estate - commercial mortgage | \$23,162 | \$ 80 | \$30,107 | \$ 125 | \$23,606 | \$ 166 | \$31,467 | 281 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial - secured | 21,695 | 34 | 35,668 | 50 | 21,591 | 69 | 33,816 | 82 |
| Commercial - unsecured | - | - | - | - | - | - | 44 |  |
| Real estate - home equity | 300 | 1 | 205 | - | 300 | 1 | 237 | 1 |
| Real estate - residential mortgage | 857 | 5 | 1,494 | 9 | 571 | 6 | 1,158 | 21 |
| Construction - commercial residential | 17,853 | 62 | 22,267 | 71 | 16,482 | 122 | 22,694 | 13 |
| Construction - commercial | 1,418 | - | 3,151 | - | 1,604 | - | 3,995 | 2 |
|  | 65,285 | 182 | 92,892 | 255 | 64,154 | 364 | 93,411 | 521 |

With a related allowance
recorded:

| Real estate - commercial mortgage | 38,455 | 132 | 46,002 | 190 | 37,580 | 264 | 49,149 | 405 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial - secured | 21,652 | 33 | 27,917 | 39 | 21,876 | 71 | 31,649 | 81 |
| Commercial - unsecured | 757 | 1 | 1,339 | 1 | 854 | 2 | 1,587 | 3 |
| Real estate - home equity | 14,049 | 28 | 14,260 | 16 | 14,145 | 48 | 13,787 | 32 |
| Real estate - residential mortgage | 51,153 | 300 | 53,222 | 309 | 51,134 | 594 | 53,351 | 634 |
| Construction - commercial residential | 7,676 | 27 | 12,458 | 40 | 9,977 | 62 | 11,582 | 82 |
| Construction - commercial | 723 | - | 1,921 | - | 547 | - | 2,064 | 3 |
| Construction - other | 413 | - | 496 | - | 458 | - | 523 | 1 |
| Consumer - direct | 16 | - | 18 | - | 14 | - | 22 | - |
| Consumer - indirect | 4 | - | 2 | - | 3 | - | 1 | - |
| Leasing and other and overdrafts | - | - | 23 | - | - | - | 18 | - |
|  | 134,898 | 521 | 157,658 | 595 | 136,588 | 1,041 | 163,733 | 1,241 |
| Total | \$200,183 | \$ 703 | \$250,550 | \$ 850 | \$200,742 | \$ 1,405 | \$257,144 | 1,762 |

(1) All impaired loans, excluding accruing TDRs, were non-accrual loans. Interest income recognized for the three and ${ }^{1)}$ six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 represents amounts earned on accruing TDRs.

Credit Quality Indicators and Non-performing Assets
The following table presents internal credit risk ratings for real estate - commercial mortgages, commercial - secured loans, commercial - unsecured loans, construction - commercial residential loans and construction - commercial loans:
Pass Special Mention Substandard or Lower Total

(dollars in thousands)
Real estate -
commercial $\begin{gathered}\text { R }\end{gathered}$,850,227 $\quad \$ 4,763,987 \quad \$ 115,220 \quad \$ 141,013 \quad \$ 163,287 \quad \$ 196,922 \quad \$ 5,128,734 \quad \$ 5,101,922$
mortgage
Commercial

- secured
$3,145,100$
3,167,168 128,824
$111,613 \quad 126,790 \quad 125,382 \quad 3,400,714 \quad 3,404,163$
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { Commercial } & 172,054 & 209,836 & 23,681 & 11,666 & 5,272 & 2,755 & 201,007 & 224,257\end{array}$
- unsecured

Total
commercial -
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { industrial, } & 3,317,154 & 3,377,004 & 152,505 & 123,279 & 132,062 & 128,137 & 3,601,721 & 3,628,420\end{array}$
financial and
agricultural
Construction
$\begin{array}{llllllll}- \text { commercial } 154,366 & 146,041 & 28,412 & 31,522 & 47,031 & 57,806 & 229,809 & 235,369\end{array}$
residential
Construction

- commercial

Total
construction
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { (excluding } & 481,676 & 404,482 & 29,882 & 34,454 & 53,446 & 65,930 & 565,004 & 504,866\end{array}$
Construction

- other)


The following is a summary of the Corporation's internal risk rating categories:
Pass: These loans do not currently pose undue credit risk and can range from the highest to average quality, depending on the degree of potential risk.
Special Mention: These loans constitute an undue and unwarranted credit risk, but not to a point of justifying a classification of substandard. Loans in this category are currently acceptable, but are nevertheless potentially weak. Substandard or Lower: These loans are inadequately protected by current sound worth and paying capacity of the borrower. There exists a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the normal repayment of the debt.

The Corporation believes that internal risk ratings are the most relevant credit quality indicator for the class segments presented above. The migration of loans through the various internal risk rating categories is a significant component of the allowance for credit loss methodology, which bases the probability of default on this migration. Assigning risk ratings involves judgment. Risk ratings are initially assigned to loans by loan officers and are reviewed on a regular basis by credit administration staff. The Corporation's loan review officers provide a separate assessment of risk rating accuracy. Ratings may be changed based on the ongoing monitoring procedures performed by loan officers or credit administration staff, or if specific loan review activities identify a deterioration or an improvement in the loan. The risk rating process allows management to identify riskier credits in a timely manner and to allocate resources to managing troubled accounts.

The Corporation does not assign internal risk ratings to smaller balance, homogeneous loans, such as home equity, residential mortgage, consumer, leasing and other and construction loans to individuals secured by residential real estate. For these loans, the most relevant credit quality indicator is delinquency status. The migration of these loans through the various delinquency status categories is a significant component of the allowance for credit losses methodology, which bases the probability of default on this migration.

The following table presents a summary of delinquency and non-performing status for home equity, real estate residential mortgages, construction loans to individuals and consumer, leasing and other loans by class segment:
Performing Delinquent (1) Non-performing (2) Total

|  | June 30, 2014 <br> (dollars in tho | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 3 } \\ & 2013 \\ & \text { ousands) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 30 \text {, } \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ | December 2013 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3llune 30, } \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ | Decem <br> 2013 | $\text { 31ủne } 30,201$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 31, } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate home equity | \$1,702,554 | \$1,731,185 | \$11,849 | \$16,029 | \$16,094 | \$16,983 | \$ 1,730,497 | \$ 1,764,197 |
| Real estate residential mortgage | 1,309,813 | 1,282,754 | 24,276 | 23,279 | 27,887 | 31,347 | 1,361,976 | 1,337,380 |
| Construction - other | 68,584 | 68,258 | 149 | - | 281 | 548 | 69,014 | 68,806 |
| Consumer direct | 123,483 | 126,666 | 3,324 | 3,586 | 2,765 | 2,391 | 129,572 | 132,643 |
| Consumer indirect | 148,841 | 147,017 | 2,084 | 3,312 | 60 | 152 | 150,985 | 150,481 |
| Total consumer | 272,324 | 273,683 | 5,408 | 6,898 | 2,825 | 2,543 | 280,557 | 283,124 |
| Leasing and other and overdrafts | 101,415 | 92,876 | 533 | 581 | 60 | 48 | 102,008 | 93,505 |
|  | \$3,454,690 | \$3,448,756 | \$42,215 | \$46,787 | \$47,147 | \$51,469 | \$3,544,052 | \$3,547,012 |
| \% of Total | 97.5 \% | 97.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

(1) Includes all accruing loans 31 days to 89 days past due.
(2)Includes all accruing loans 90 days or more past due and all non-accrual loans.

The following table presents non-performing assets:

Non-accrual loans
Accruing loans greater than 90 days past due
Total non-performing loans
Other real estate owned (OREO)
Total non-performing assets
June 30, December 31,
20142013
(in thousands)
\$129,934 \$133,753
19,378 20,524
149,312 154,277
13,482 15,052

The following table presents TDRs, by class segment:

Real-estate - residential mortgage
Real-estate - commercial mortgage
Construction - commercial residential
Commercial - secured
Real estate - home equity
Commercial - unsecured
Consumer - direct
Consumer - indirect
Total accruing TDRs
\$162,794 \$169,329

|  | June 30, <br>  <br> Real-estate - residential mortgage | December 31, <br> (in thousands) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Real-estate - commercial mortgage | $\$ 31,184$ | $\$ 28,815$ |
| Construction - commercial residential | 19,398 | 19,758 |
| Commercial - secured | 8,561 | 10,117 |
| Real estate - home equity | 6,876 | 7,933 |
| Commercial - unsecured | 2,815 | 1,365 |
| Consumer - direct | 77 | 112 |
| Consumer - indirect | 17 | 11 |
| Total accruing TDRs | 6 | - |
| Non-accrual TDRs (1) | 68,934 | 68,111 |
|  | 25,526 | 30,209 |

## Total TDRs

(1) Included within non-accrual loans in the preceding table detailing non-performing assets.

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, there were $\$ 5.3$ million and $\$ 9.6$ million, respectively, of commitments to lend additional funds to borrowers whose loans were modified under TDRs.
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The following table presents TDRs, by class segment, as of June 30, 2014 and 2013 that were modified during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

|  | Three months ended June 30 |  |  |  | Six months ended June 30 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2013 |  |
|  | Number of Loans (dollars | Recorded Investmen in thousands | Number of Loans | Recorded <br> Investment | Number of Loans | Recorded <br> Investment | Number of Loans | Recorded <br> Investment |
| Real estate - commercial mortgage | 2 | \$ 2,334 | 4 | \$ 2,002 | 9 | \$ 9,804 | 9 | \$ 4,654 |
| Construction - commercial residential | 1 | 1,366 | 2 | 4,487 | 2 | 1,914 | 4 | 5,115 |
| Real estate - residential mortgage | 9 | 1,130 | 11 | 2,059 | 15 | 1,836 | 39 | 6,025 |
| Real estate - home equity | 10 | 334 | 11 | 677 | 20 | 863 | 28 | 1,857 |
| Commercial - secured | 1 | 143 | 2 | 135 | , | 143 | 7 | 592 |
| Consumer - indirect | 1 | 6 | - | - | 4 | 7 | - | - |
| Consumer - direct | 2 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 2 |
| Commercial - unsecured | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 15 |
| Total | 26 | \$ 5,317 | 39 | \$ 9,362 | 57 | \$ 14,575 | 97 | \$ 18,260 |

The following table presents TDRs, by class segment, as of June 30, 2014 and 2013 that were modified within the previous 12 months and had a post-modification payment default during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. The Corporation defines a payment default as a single missed payment.

|  | 2014 <br> Number of <br> Loans | Recorded <br> Investment | 2013 <br> Number of <br> Loans | Recorded <br> Investment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Real estate - residential mortgage | 9 | $\$ 1,204$ | 22 | $\$ 4,677$ |
| Real estate - home equity | 9 | 777 | 14 | 935 |
| Construction - commercial residential | 1 | 619 | 1 | 608 |
| Real estate - commercial mortgage | 2 | 35 | 4 | 2,407 |
| Commercial - secured | 1 | 10 | 2 | 381 |
| Consumer - direct | - | - | 6 | 2 |
| Total | 22 | $\$ 2,645$ | 49 | $\$ 9,010$ |
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The following table presents past due status and non-accrual loans by portfolio segment and class segment:
June 30, 2014

| 31-59 | $60-89$ | $\geq 90$ Days |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Days | Days | Past Due Non- | Total $\geq 90$ | Total Past | Current | Total |
| Past | Past | and accrual | Days | Due |  |  |
| Due | Due | Accruing |  |  |  |  |
| (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Real estate - commercial mortgage | \$12,537 | \$2,955 | \$2,079 | \$41,936 | \$44,015 | \$59,507 | \$5,069,227 | \$5,128,734 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial - secured | 13,774 | 2,394 | 2,163 | 35,185 | 37,348 | 53,516 | 3,347,198 | 3,400,714 |
| Commercial - unsecured | 564 | 29 | 20 | 795 | 815 | 1,408 | 199,599 | 201,007 |
| Total commercial industrial, financial and agricultural | 14,338 | 2,423 | 2,183 | 35,980 | 38,163 | 54,924 | 3,546,797 | 3,601,721 |
| Real estate - home equity | 9,585 | 2,264 | 4,365 | 11,729 | 16,094 | 27,943 | 1,702,554 | 1,730,497 |
| Real estate - residential mortgage | 19,339 | 4,937 | 6,834 | 21,053 | 27,887 | 52,163 | 1,309,813 | 1,361,976 |
| Construction commercial residential | 268 | 219 | 1,032 | 16,827 | 17,859 | 18,346 | 211,463 | 229,809 |
| Construction commercial | - | - | - | 2,128 | 2,128 | 2,128 | 333,067 | 335,195 |
| Construction - other | 149 | - | - | 281 | 281 | 430 | 68,584 | 69,014 |
| Total real estate construction | 417 | 219 | 1,032 | 19,236 | 20,268 | 20,904 | 613,114 | 634,018 |
| Consumer - direct | 2,227 | 1,097 | 2,765 | - | 2,765 | 6,089 | 123,483 | 129,572 |
| Consumer - indirect | 1,742 | 342 | 60 | - | 60 | 2,144 | 148,841 | 150,985 |
| Total consumer | 3,969 | 1,439 | 2,825 | - | 2,825 | 8,233 | 272,324 | 280,557 |
| Leasing and other and overdrafts | 426 | 107 | 60 | - | 60 | 593 | 101,415 | 102,008 |
| Total | \$60,611 <br> December | $\begin{gathered} \$ 14,344 \\ \text { r } 31,2013 \end{gathered}$ | \$19,378 | \$129,934 | \$149,312 | \$224,267 | \$12,615,244 | \$12,839,511 |
|  | 31-59 | 60-89 | $\geq 90$ Days |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Days <br> Past <br> Due <br> (in thousa | Days <br> Past <br> Due <br> ands) | Past Due and Accruing | Nonaccrual | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \geq 90 \\ & \text { Days } \end{aligned}$ | Total Past Due | Current | Total |
| Real estate - commercial mortgage | \$15,474 | \$4,009 | \$3,502 | \$40,566 | \$44,068 | \$63,551 | \$5,038,371 | \$5,101,922 |
| Commercial - secured | 8,916 | 1,365 | 1,311 | 35,774 | 37,085 | 47,366 | 3,356,797 | 3,404,163 |
| Commercial - unsecured Total commercial - | 332 | 125 | - | 936 | 936 | 1,393 | 222,864 | 224,257 |
| Total commercial industrial, financial and agricultural | 9,248 | 1,490 | 1,311 | 36,710 | 38,021 | 48,759 | 3,579,661 | 3,628,420 |
| Real estate - home equity | 13,555 | 2,474 | 3,711 | 13,272 | 16,983 | 33,012 | 1,731,185 | 1,764,197 |
| Real estate - residential mortgage | 16,969 | 6,310 | 9,065 | 22,282 | 31,347 | 54,626 | 1,282,754 | 1,337,380 |
| Construction commercial residential | - | 645 | 346 | 18,202 | 18,548 | 19,193 | 216,176 | 235,369 |
| Construction commercial | 14 | - | - | 2,171 | 2,171 | 2,185 | 267,312 | 269,497 |
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| Construction - other | - | - | - | 548 | 548 | 548 | 68,258 | 68,806 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total real estate construction | 14 | 645 | 346 | 20,921 | 21,267 | 21,926 | 551,746 | 573,672 |
| Consumer - direct | 2,091 | 1,495 | 2,391 | - | 2,391 | 5,977 | 126,666 | 132,643 |
| Consumer - indirect | 2,864 | 448 | 150 | 2 | 152 | 3,464 | 147,017 | 150,481 |
| Total consumer | 4,955 | 1,943 | 2,541 | 2 | 2,543 | 9,441 | 273,683 | 283,124 |
| Leasing and other and overdrafts | 559 | 22 | 48 | - | 48 | 629 | 92,876 | 93,505 |
| Total | \$60,774 | \$16,893 | \$20,524 | \$133,753 | \$ 154,277 | \$231,944 | \$12,550,276 | \$12,782,220 |
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NOTE F - Mortgage Servicing Rights
The following table summarizes the changes in mortgage servicing rights (MSRs), which are included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheets:

Amortized cost:
Balance at beginning of period
Originations of mortgage servicing rights
Amortization
Balance at end of period

| Three months ended June | Six months ended |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $30 \quad$ June 30 |  |  |
| $2014 \quad 2013$ | 2014 | 2013 |
| (in thousands) |  |  |

Valuation allowance:
Balance at beginning of period
Reversals (additions)
Balance at end of period
Net MSRs at end of period
\$41,668 \$41,006
\$42,452
\$39,737
1,236 3,235 2,351 7,462
(318 ) (2,491 ) (2,217 ) (5,449 )
$\$ 42,586 \quad \$ 41,750 \quad \$ 42,586 \quad \$ 41,750$

MSRs represent the economic value of existing contractual rights to service mortgage loans that have been sold.
Accordingly, actual and expected prepayments of the underlying mortgage loans can impact the value of MSRs.
The Corporation estimates the fair value of its MSRs by discounting the estimated cash flows from servicing income, net of expense, over the expected life of the underlying loans at a discount rate commensurate with the risk associated with these assets. Expected life is based on the contractual terms of the loans, as adjusted for prepayment projections. No adjustment to the valuation allowance was necessary for three and six months ended June 30, 2014. Based on the results of the fair value analysis completed as of June 30,2013 , a decrease to the valuation allowance of $\$ 2.0$ million was recorded for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013.
As of June 30, 2014, the estimated fair value of MSRs was $\$ 47.3$ million, which exceeded their book value. Therefore, no increase to the valuation allowance was necessary during the three or six months ended June 30, 2014.

NOTE G - Stock-Based Compensation
The fair value of equity awards granted to employees is recognized as compensation expense over the period during which employees are required to provide service in exchange for such awards. The Corporation grants equity awards to employees, consisting of stock options, restricted stock, RSUs and PSUs under its Amended and Restated Equity and Cash Incentive Compensation Plan (Employee Option Plan). In addition, employees may purchase stock under the Corporation's Employee Stock Purchase Plan.
The Corporation also grants equity awards stock to non-employee members of the board of directors under its 2011 Directors' Equity Participation Plan (Directors' Plan). Under the Directors' Plan, the Corporation can grant equity awards to non-employee holding company and subsidiary bank directors in the form of stock options, restricted stock or common stock.

Equity awards issued under the Employee Option Plan have historically been granted annually and become fully vested over or after a three year vesting period. Equity awards under the Directors' Plan generally vest immediately upon grant. Certain events, as defined in the Employee Option Plan and the Directors' Plan, result in the acceleration of the vesting of equity awards.

The following table presents compensation expense and the related tax benefits for equity awards recognized in the consolidated statements of income:
$\left.\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Stock-based compensation expense } & \$ 1,989 & \$ 2,360 & \$ 3,022 & \$ 3,207 \\ \text { Tax benefit } & (446 & ) & (687 & ) & (709 \\ \text { Stock-based compensation expense, net of tax } & \$ 1,543 & \$ 1,673 & \$ 2,313 & \$ 2,296\end{array}\right)$

Stock option fair values are estimated through the use of the Black-Scholes valuation methodology as of the date of grant. Stock options carry terms of up to ten years. The fair value of restricted stock is based on the trading price of the Corporation's stock on the date of grant. Restricted stock awards earn dividends during the vesting period, which are forfeitable if the awards do not vest.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Corporation granted approximately 389,000 PSUs, 289,000 stock options and 105,000 RSUs under its Employee Option Plan. The fair value of RSUs and a majority of PSUs are based on the trading price of the Corporation's stock on the date of grant. The fair value of certain PSUs are estimated through the use of the Monte Carlo valuation methodology as of the date of grant. RSUs become fully vested over or after a three year vesting period, however, certain events, as defined in the Employee Option Plan, can result in the acceleration of the vesting of RSUs. RSUs and PSUs earn dividends during the vesting period, which are forfeitable if the awards do not vest. The amount of PSUs that vest is variable based on the achievement of Corporate and individual performance measures, as defined in each grantees' award agreement. As such, the fair value of PSUs, which is recognized as compensation expense over the period during which employees are required to provide service in exchange for such awards, may vary, based on the expectations for actual performance relative to defined performance measures. As of June 30, 2014, the Employee Option Plan had 11.1 million shares reserved for future grants through 2023. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Corporation granted approximately 13,000 shares of stock under its Directors' Plan. As of June 30, 2014, the Directors' Plan had approximately 424,000 shares reserved for future grants through 2021.

NOTE H - Employee Benefit Plans
The Corporation maintains a defined benefit pension plan (Pension Plan) for certain employees, which was curtailed effective January 1, 2008. Contributions to the Pension Plan are actuarially determined and funded annually, if required. Pension Plan assets are invested in: money markets; fixed income securities, including corporate bonds, U.S. Treasury securities and common trust funds; and equity securities, including common stocks and common stock mutual funds.
The net periodic benefit cost for the Corporation's Pension Plan, as determined by consulting actuaries, consisted of the following components:

|  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Three months ended }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Six months ended } \\ \text { June }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | June 30 |  |  |$)$

(1)The Pension Plan service cost recorded for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, was related to administrative costs associated with the plan and was not due to the accrual of additional participant
benefits.
The Corporation currently provides medical and life insurance benefits under a postretirement benefits plan (Postretirement Plan) to certain retired full-time employees who were employees of the Corporation prior to January 1, 1998.
Effective February 1, 2014, the Corporation amended the Postretirement Plan, making all active full-time employees ineligible for benefits under this plan. As a result of this amendment, the Corporation recorded a $\$ 1.5$ million gain in 2014, as determined by consulting actuaries and included as a component of salaries and employee benefits on the consolidated statements of income. The gain resulted from the recognition of the remaining prior service cost prior to the amendment date as of December 31, 2013.

In addition, this amendment resulted in a $\$ 3.3$ million decrease in the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation and a corresponding increase in unrecognized prior service cost credits.
The net periodic benefit (income) cost of the Corporation's Postretirement Plan as determined by consulting actuaries, consisted of the following components, excluding the impact of the $\$ 1.5$ million plan amendment gain:

| Three months ended June 30 |  | Six months ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | June 30 |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2013 |
| (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$- | \$57 |  | \$15 |  | \$114 |
| 48 | 81 |  | 109 |  | 162 |
| (84 | ) (91 | ) | (179 | ) | (182 |
| \$(36 | ) \$47 |  | \$(55 |  | \$94 |

As a result of the plan amendment, additional participant benefits are not accrued under the Postretirement Plan (1) after February 1, 2014. Service costs recorded after the effective date of the amendment represent administrative costs associated with the plan.
The Corporation recognizes the funded status of its Pension Plan and Postretirement Plan on the consolidated balance sheets and recognizes the change in that funded status through other comprehensive income.

## NOTE I - Derivative Financial Instruments

The Corporation manages its exposure to certain interest rate and foreign currency risks through the use of derivatives. None of the Corporation's outstanding derivative contracts are designated as hedges and none are entered into for speculative purposes. Derivative instruments are carried at fair value, with changes in fair values recognized in earnings as components of non-interest income and non-interest expense on the consolidated statements of income. Derivative contracts create counterparty credit risk with both the Corporation's customers and with institutional derivative counterparties. The Corporation manages credit risk through its credit approval processes, monitoring procedures and obtaining adequate collateral, when the Corporation determines it is appropriate to do so.

## Mortgage Banking Derivatives

In connection with its mortgage banking activities, the Corporation enters into commitments to originate certain fixed rate residential mortgage loans for customers, also referred to as interest rate locks. In addition, the Corporation enters into forward commitments for the future sales or purchases of mortgage-backed securities to or from third-party counterparties to hedge the effect of changes in interest rates on the values of both the interest rate locks and mortgage loans held for sale. Forward sales commitments may also be in the form of commitments to sell individual mortgage loans or interest rate locks at a fixed price at a future date. The amount necessary to settle each interest rate lock is based on the price that secondary market investors would pay for loans with similar characteristics, including interest rate and term, as of the date fair value is measured. Gross derivative assets and liabilities are recorded within other assets and other liabilities, respectively, on the consolidated balance sheets, with changes in fair values during the period recorded within mortgage banking income on the consolidated statements of income.

## Interest Rate Swaps

The Corporation enters into interest rate swaps with certain qualifying commercial loan customers to meet their interest rate risk management needs. The Corporation simultaneously enters into interest rate swaps with dealer counterparties, with identical notional amounts and terms. The net result of these interest rate swaps is that the customer pays a fixed rate of interest and the Corporation receives a floating rate. These interest rate swaps are derivative financial instruments that are recorded at their fair values within other assets and liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets. Changes in fair value during the period are recorded within other non-interest expense on the consolidated statements of income.
Foreign Exchange Contracts
The Corporation enters into foreign exchange contracts to accommodate the needs of its customers. Foreign exchange contracts are commitments to buy or sell foreign currency on a future date at a contractual price. The Corporation offsets its foreign exchange contract exposure with customers by entering into contracts with third-party
correspondent financial institutions to mitigate its exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. The Corporation also holds certain amounts of foreign currency with international correspondent banks. The Corporation's policy limits the total net foreign currency open positions, which includes all outstanding contracts and foreign account balances, to $\$ 500,000$. Gross derivative assets and liabilities are recorded within other assets and other liabilities, respectively, on the consolidated balance sheets, with changes in fair values during the period recorded within other service charges and fees on the consolidated statements of income.
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The following table presents a summary of the notional amounts and fair values of derivative financial instruments:

|  | June 30, 2014 |  | December 31, 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Notional <br> Amount <br> (in thousa | Asset (Liability) Fair Value |  | Notional <br> Amount | Asset (Liability) Fair Value |
| Interest Rate Locks with Customers |  |  |  |  |  |
| Positive fair values | \$ 129,053 | \$2,420 |  | \$75,217 | \$867 |
| Negative fair values | 1,180 | (20 | ) | 11,393 | (59 |
| Net interest rate locks with customers |  | 2,400 |  |  | 808 |
| Forward Commitments |  |  |  |  |  |
| Positive fair values | 1,400 | 5 |  | 87,904 | 1,263 |
| Negative fair values | 124,288 | (1,748 | ) | 2,373 | (5 |
| Net forward commitments |  | (1,743 | ) |  | 1,258 |
| Interest Rate Swaps with Customers |  |  |  |  |  |
| Positive fair values | 318,089 | 10,042 |  | 111,899 | 2,105 |
| Negative fair values | 54,098 | (590 | ) | 105,673 | (2,993 |
| Net interest rate swaps with customers |  | 9,452 |  |  | (888 |
| Interest Rate Swaps with Dealer Counterparties |  |  |  |  |  |
| Positive fair values | 54,098 | 590 |  | 105,673 | 2,993 |
| Negative fair values | 318,089 | (10,042 | ) | 111,899 | (2,105 |
| Net interest rate swaps with dealer counterparties |  | (9,452 | ) |  | 888 |
| Foreign Exchange Contracts with Customers |  |  |  |  |  |
| Positive fair values | 5,012 | 81 |  | 2,150 | 24 |
| Negative fair values | 11,088 | (103 | ) | 12,775 | (343 |
| Net foreign exchange contracts with customers |  | (22 | ) |  | (319 |
| Foreign Exchange Contracts with Correspondent Banks |  |  |  |  |  |
| Positive fair values | 11,046 | 144 |  | 17,348 | 498 |
| Negative fair values | 5,149 | (60 | ) | 5,872 | (48 |
| Net foreign exchange contracts with correspondent banks |  | 84 |  |  | 450 |
| Net derivative fair value asset |  | \$719 |  |  | \$2,197 |

The following table presents a summary of the fair value gains and losses on derivative financial instruments:
Three months ended Six months ended

June $30 \quad$ June 30
$20142013 \quad 2014 \quad 2013$
(in thousands)
Interest rate locks with customers
Forward commitments
Interest rate swaps with customers
Interest rate swaps with dealer counterparties
Foreign exchange contracts with customers
Foreign exchange contracts with correspondent banks
Net fair value gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments

| $\$ 1,203$ | $\$(5,886$ | $)$ | $\$ 1,592$ | $\$(8,424$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(1,503$ | $)$ | 9,847 | $(3,001$ | $)$ |
| 6,135 | $(5,871$ | $)$ | 10,340 | $(6,275$ |
| $(6,135$ | $)$ | 5,871 | $(10,340$ | $)$ |
| 105 | $(291$ | $)$ | 6,279 |  |
| $(98$ | $)$ | 542 | $(366$ | 169 |
| $\$(293$ | $)$ | $\$ 4,212$ | $\$(1,478$ | $)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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## NOTE J - Fair Value Option

U.S. GAAP permits entities to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value and requires certain disclosures for amounts for which the fair value option is applied. The Corporation has elected to measure mortgage loans held for sale at fair value to more accurately reflect the financial results of its mortgage banking activities in its consolidated financial statements. Derivative financial instruments related to these activities are also recorded at fair value, as noted within Note I, "Derivative Financial Instruments." The Corporation determines fair value for its mortgage loans held for sale based on the price that secondary market investors would pay for loans with similar characteristics, including interest rate and term, as of the date fair value is measured. Changes in fair values during the period are recorded as components of mortgage banking income on the consolidated statements of income. Interest income earned on mortgage loans held for sale is recorded within interest income on the consolidated statements of income.
The following table presents a summary of the Corporation's mortgage loans held for sale:

| June 30, <br> 2014 <br> (in thousands) | December 31, <br> \$35,085 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 36,079 | $\$ 21,172$ |

Fair value
36,079
21,351
During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Corporation recorded gains related to changes in fair values of mortgage loans held for sale of $\$ 518,000$ and $\$ 815,000$, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Corporation recorded losses related to changes in fair values of mortgage loans held for sale of \$2.7 million and $\$ 3.4$ million, respectively.

NOTE K - Balance Sheet Offsetting
Certain financial assets and liabilities may be eligible for offset on the consolidated balance sheets as they are subject to master netting arrangements or similar agreements. The Corporation elects to not offset assets and liabilities subject to such arrangements on the consolidated financial statements.
The Corporation is a party to interest rate swap transactions with financial institution counterparties and customers, disclosed in detail within Note I, "Derivative Financial Instruments." Under these agreements, the Corporation has the right to net settle multiple contracts with the same counterparty in the event of default on, or termination of, any one contract. Cash collateral is posted by the party with a net liability position in accordance with contract thresholds and can be used to settle the fair value of the interest rate swap agreements in the event of default.
Beginning in the first quarter of 2014, the Corporation is also a party to foreign currency exchange contracts with financial institution counterparties, under which the Corporation has the right to net settle multiple contracts with the same counterparty in the event of default on, or termination of, any one contract. As with interest rate swap contracts, cash collateral is posted by the party with a net liability position in accordance with contract thresholds and can be used to settle the fair value of the interest rate swap agreements in the event of default. For additional details, see Note I, "Derivative Financial Instruments."
The Corporation also enters into agreements with customers in which it sells securities subject to an obligation to repurchase the same or similar securities, referred to as repurchase agreements. Under these agreements, the Corporation may transfer legal control over the assets but still maintain effective control through agreements that both entitle and obligate the Corporation to repurchase the assets. Therefore, repurchase agreements are reported as secured borrowings, classified within short-term borrowings on the consolidated balance sheets, while the securities underlying the repurchase agreements remain classified with investment securities on the consolidated balance sheets. The Corporation has no intention of setting off these amounts, therefore, these repurchase agreements are not eligible for offset.
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The following table presents the Corporation's financial instruments that are eligible for offset, and the effects of offsetting, on the consolidated balance sheets:

June 30, 2014
$\left.\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Interest rate swap derivative assets } & \$ 10,632 & \$(687 & ) & \$- \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Foreign exchange derivative assets with correspondent } \\ \text { banks }\end{array} & 144 & (56 & )- & \$ 9,945 \\ \text { Total } & \$ 10,776 & \$(743 & ) & \$- \\ \begin{array}{lll}\text { Interest rate swap derivative liabilities }\end{array} & \$ 10,632 & \$(687 & ) & \$(692\end{array}\right) \$ 9,0,033$

For interest rate swap and foreign exchange derivative assets, amounts represent any derivative liability fair values

## (1)

 that could be offset in the event of counterparty or customer default. For interest rate swap and foreign exchange derivative liabilities, amounts represent any derivative asset fair values that could be offset in the event of counterparty or customer default.(2) Amounts represent cash collateral posted on interest rate swap transactions with financial institution counterparties. Interest rate swaps with customers are collateralized by the underlying loans to those borrowers.

## NOTE L - Commitments and Contingencies

## Commitments

The Corporation is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers.
Those financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and letters of credit, which involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk and interest rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized on the Corporation's consolidated balance sheets. Exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and letters of credit is represented by the outstanding amount of those instruments.
The outstanding amounts of commitments to extend credit and letters of credit were as follows:

| June 30, <br> 2014 | December 31, <br> (in thousands) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 4,362,988$ | $\$ 4,379,578$ |
| 369,383 | 391,445 |
| 34,632 | 36,344 |

The Corporation records a reserve for unfunded lending commitments, which represents management's estimate of losses associated with unused commitments to extend credit. See Note E, "Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses," for additional details.
Residential Lending
Residential mortgages are originated and sold by the Corporation and consist primarily of conforming, prime loans sold to government sponsored agencies, such as the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac). The Corporation also sells a portion of prime loans to non-government sponsored agency investors.
The Corporation provides customary representations and warranties to investors that specify, among other things, that the loans have been underwritten to the standards established by the investor. The Corporation may be required to repurchase a loan or
reimburse the investor for a credit loss incurred on a loan if it is determined that the representations and warranties have not been met. Such repurchases or reimbursements generally result from an underwriting or documentation deficiency. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, total outstanding repurchase requests totaled $\$ 680,000$ and $\$ 8.8$ million, respectively. During the first quarter of 2014, the Corporation entered into a settlement agreement with a secondary market investor. Under this agreement, the Corporation agreed to pay this investor $\$ 4.5$ million to settle all outstanding and potential future repurchase requests under a series of specified loan purchase agreements with that secondary market investor. The result of this settlement was a reduction to outstanding repurchase requests of $\$ 7.5$ million and a reduction to reserves for repurchases of $\$ 5.1$ million, resulting in a $\$ 600,000$ reduction of operating risk loss on the consolidated statements of income during the six months ended June 30, 2014.
From 2000 to 2011, the Corporation sold loans to the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh under its Mortgage Partnership Finance Program (MPF Program). No loans were sold under this program during the six months ended June 30, 2014 or during 2013 or 2012. The Corporation provided a "credit enhancement" for residential mortgage loans sold under the MPF Program whereby it would assume credit losses in excess of a defined "First Loss Account" (FLA) balance, up to specified amounts. The FLA is funded by the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh based on a percentage of the outstanding principal balance of loans sold. As of June 30, 2014, the unpaid principal balance of loans sold under the MPF Program was approximately $\$ 165$ million. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the reserve for estimated credit losses related to loans sold under the MPF Program was $\$ 2.4$ million and $\$ 2.5$ million, respectively. Required reserves are calculated based on delinquency status and estimated loss rates established through the Corporation's existing allowance for credit losses methodology.
As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the total reserve for losses on residential mortgage loans sold was $\$ 3.3$ million and $\$ 8.6$ million, respectively, including both reserves for credit losses under the MPF Program and reserves for representation and warranty exposures. Management believes that the reserves recorded as of June 30, 2014 are adequate. However, declines in collateral values, the identification of additional loans to be repurchased, or a deterioration in the credit quality of loans sold under the MPF Program could necessitate additional reserves in the future.
Regulatory Matters
In July 2014, three wholly owned banking subsidiaries of the Corporation, Fulton Bank, N.A., Swineford National Bank and FNB Bank, N.A., each entered into a Stipulation and Consent to the Issuance of a Consent Order (Consent Order) with their primary Federal bank regulatory agency, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency relating to identified deficiencies in a centralized Bank Secrecy Act and anti-money laundering compliance program, which was designed to comply with the requirements of the Bank Secrecy Act, the USA Patriot Act of 2001 and related anti-money laundering regulations (collectively, the "BSA/AML Requirements") as disclosed by the Corporation in a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 18, 2014 (Form 8-K). The Consent Orders require, among other things, that the banking subsidiaries review, assess and take actions to strengthen and enhance their compliance programs related to the BSA/AML Requirements (BSA/AML Compliance Program). In addition, the Form 8-K disclosed that the Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary, Lafayette Ambassador Bank (Lafayette), anticipate that they will enter into a consent cease and desist order (Cease and Desist Order) with their primary Federal bank regulatory agency, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, in the near future. It is anticipated that the Cease and Desist Order will require the Corporation and Lafayette to strengthen the BSA/AML Compliance Program and will impose requirements similar to those set forth in the Consent Orders. Further, because the Consent Orders and the anticipated Cease and Desist Order relate to the BSA/AML Compliance Program, which is operated jointly for all of the Corporation's subsidiary banks, the Corporation anticipates that one or more of the Corporation's other subsidiary banks may also become the subject or subjects of a regulatory enforcement action related to the BSA/AML Requirements, and the provisions of any such enforcement action may differ from those of the Consent Orders.
Other Contingencies
The Corporation and its subsidiaries are involved in various legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business of the Corporation. The Corporation periodically evaluates the possible impact of pending litigation matters based on, among other factors, the advice of counsel, available insurance coverage and recorded liabilities and reserves for probable legal liabilities and costs. In addition, from time to time, the Corporation is the subject of investigations or
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other forms of regulatory or governmental inquiry covering a range of possible issues and, in some cases, these may be part of similar reviews of the specified activities of other industry participants. These inquiries could lead to administrative, civil or criminal proceedings, and could possibly result in fines, penalties, restitution or the need to alter the Corporation's business practices, and cause the Corporation to incur additional costs. The Corporation's practice is to cooperate fully with regulatory and governmental investigations.

As of the date of this report, the Corporation believes that any liabilities, individually or in the aggregate, which may result from the final outcomes of pending proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, the operating results
and/or the liquidity of the Corporation. However, legal proceedings are often unpredictable, and the actual results of such proceedings cannot be determined with certainty.

## NOTE M - Fair Value Measurements

FASB ASC Topic 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy for the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure assets and liabilities at fair value using the following three categories (from highest to lowest priority):
Level 1 - Inputs that represent quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.
Level 2 - Inputs that represent quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, or quoted prices for identical instruments in non-active markets. Also includes valuation techniques whose inputs are derived principally from observable market data other than quoted prices, such as interest rates or other market-corroborated means.
Level 3 - Inputs that are largely unobservable, as little or no market data exists for the instrument being valued.
The Corporation has categorized all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on both a recurring and nonrecurring basis into the above three levels.
The following tables present summaries of the Corporation's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and reported on the consolidated balance sheets:

Mortgage loans held for sale
Available for sale investment securities:
Equity securities
U.S. Government securities
U.S. Government sponsored agency securities

State and municipal securities
Corporate debt securities
Collateralized mortgage obligations
Mortgage-backed securities
Auction rate securities
Total available for sale investments
Other assets
Total assets
Other liabilities

| Mortgage loans held for sale | $\$-$ | $\$ 21,351$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 21,351$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Available for sale investment securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Equity securities | 46,201 | - | - | 46,201 |
| U.S. Government securities | - | 525 | - | 525 |
| U.S. Government sponsored agency securities | - | 726 | - | 726 |
| State and municipal securities | - | 284,849 | - | 284,849 |
| Corporate debt securities | - | 89,662 | 9,087 | 98,749 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | - | $1,032,398$ | - | $1,032,398$ |
| Mortgage-backed securities | - | 945,712 | - | 945,712 |
| Auction rate securities | 46,201 | $2,353,872$ | 169,274 | 159,274 |
| Total available for sale investments | 15,779 | 7,227 | - | $2,568,434$ |
| Other assets | $\$ 61,980$ | $\$ 2,382,450$ | $\$ 168,361$ | $\$ 2,612,791$ |
| Total assets | $\$ 15,648$ | $\$ 5,161$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 20,809$ |

$\qquad$
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The valuation techniques used to measure fair value for the items in the preceding tables are as follows:
Mortgage loans held for sale - This category consists of mortgage loans held for sale that the Corporation has elected to measure at fair value. Fair values as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were measured based on the price that secondary market investors were offering for loans with similar characteristics.
Available for sale investment securities - Included within this asset category are both equity and debt securities. Level 2 available for sale debt securities are valued by a third-party pricing service commonly used in the banking industry. The pricing service uses pricing models that vary based on asset class and incorporate available market information, including quoted prices of investment securities with similar characteristics. Because many fixed income securities do not trade on a daily basis, pricing models use available information, as applicable, through processes such as benchmark yield curves, benchmarking of like securities, sector groupings, and matrix pricing.
Standard market inputs include: benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers and reference data, including market research publications. For certain security types, additional inputs may be used, or some of the standard market inputs may not be applicable.

Management tests the values provided by the pricing service by obtaining securities prices from an alternative third-party source and comparing the results. This test is done for approximately $75 \%$ of the securities valued by the pricing service. Generally, differences by security in excess of $5 \%$ are researched to reconcile the difference. Equity securities - Equity securities consist of common stocks of financial institutions (\$40.2 million at June 30, 2014 and $\$ 40.6$ million at December 31, 2013) and other equity investments ( $\$ 6.0$ million at June 30, 2014 and $\$ 5.6$ million at December 31, 2013). These Level 1 investments are measured at fair value based on quoted prices for identical securities in active markets.
U.S. Government securities/U.S. Government sponsored agency securities/State and municipal securities/Collateralized mortgage obligations/Mortgage-backed securities - These debt securities are classified as Level 2 investments. Fair values are determined by a third-party pricing service, as detailed above.
Corporate debt securities - This category consists of subordinated debt issued by financial institutions ( $\$ 50.6$ million at June 30, 2014 and $\$ 50.3$ million at December 31, 2013), single-issuer trust preferred securities issued by financial institutions ( $\$ 43.2$ million at June 30, 2014 and $\$ 40.5$ million at December 31, 2013), pooled trust preferred securities issued by financial institutions ( $\$ 4.3$ million at June 30, 2014 and $\$ 5.3$ million at December 31, 2013) and other corporate debt issued by non-financial institutions ( $\$ 2.6$ million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013).
Level 2 investments include the Corporation's holdings of subordinated debt, other corporate debt issued by non-financial institutions and $\$ 39.4$ million and $\$ 36.7$ million of single-issuer trust preferred securities held at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The fair values for these corporate debt securities are determined by a third-party pricing service, as detailed above.
Level 3 investments include the Corporation's investments in pooled trust preferred securities and certain single-issuer trust preferred securities ( $\$ 3.8$ million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013). The fair values of these securities were determined based on quotes provided by third-party brokers who determined fair values based predominantly on internal valuation models which were not indicative prices or binding offers. The Corporation's third-party pricing service cannot derive fair values for these securities primarily due to inactive markets for similar investments. Level 3 values are tested by management primarily through trend analysis, by comparing current values to those reported at the end of the preceding calendar quarter, and determining if they are reasonable based on price and spread movements for this asset class.
Auction rate securities - Due to their illiquidity, ARCs are classified as Level 3 investments and are valued through the use of an expected cash flows model prepared by a third-party valuation expert. The assumptions used in preparing the expected cash flows model include estimates for coupon rates, time to maturity and market rates of return. The most significant unobservable input to the expected cash flows model is an assumed return to market liquidity sometime within the next five years. If the assumed return to market liquidity was lengthened beyond the next five years, this would result in a decrease in the fair value of these ARCs. The Corporation believes that the trusts underlying the ARCs will self-liquidate as student loans are repaid. Level 3 values are tested by management through the performance of a trend analysis of the market price and discount rate. Changes in the price and discount rates are compared to changes in market data, including bond ratings, parity ratios, balances and delinquency levels.

Other assets - Included within this category are the following:
Level 1 assets include mutual funds that are held in trust for employee deferred compensation plans ( $\$ 16.1$ million at June 30, 2014 and $\$ 15.3$ million at December 31, 2013) and the fair value of foreign currency exchange contracts ( $\$ 225,000$ at June 30, 2014 and $\$ 522,000$ at December 31, 2013). The mutual funds and foreign exchange prices used to measure these items at fair value are based on quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.
Level 2 assets include the fair value of mortgage banking derivatives in the form of interest rate locks and forward commitments with secondary market investors ( $\$ 2.4$ million at June 30,2014 and $\$ 2.1$ million at December 31, 2013) and the fair value of interest rate swaps ( $\$ 10.6$ million at June 30,2014 and $\$ 5.1$ million at December 31, 2013). The fair values of the Corporation's interest rate locks, forward commitments and interest rate swaps represent the amounts that would be required to settle the derivative financial instruments at the balance sheet date. See Note I, "Derivative Financial Instruments," for additional information.
Other liabilities - Included within this category are the following:
Level 1 liabilities include employee deferred compensation liabilities which represent amounts due to employees under deferred compensation plans ( $\$ 16.1$ million at June 30,2014 and $\$ 15.3$ million at December 31, 2013) and the fair value of foreign currency exchange contracts ( $\$ 163,000$ at June 30, 2014 and $\$ 391,000$ at December 31, 2013). The fair value of these liabilities are determined in the same manner as the related assets, as described under the heading "Other assets" above.
Level 2 liabilities include the fair value of mortgage banking derivatives in the form of interest rate locks and forward commitments with secondary market investors ( $\$ 1.8$ million at June 30,2014 and $\$ 64,000$ at December 31, 2013) and the fair value of interest rate swaps ( $\$ 10.6$ million at June 30, 2014 and $\$ 5.1$ million at December 31, 2013). The fair values of these liabilities are determined in the same manner as the related assets, as described under the heading "Other assets" above.

The following table presents the changes in the Corporation's available for sale investment securities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using unobservable inputs (Level 3):

Three months ended June 30, 2014

| Pooled Trust | Single-issuer |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Preferred | Trust Preferred | ARCs |


| Securities <br> (in thousands) | Securities |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 5,659$ | $\$ 3,820$ | $\$ 147,713$ |
| $(1,394$ | - | - |
| 38 | $)$ | 124 |
| $(28$ | 2 | 1,081 |
| - | $\$ 3,820$ | $\$ 145,931$ |

Balance at March 31, 2013
Sales
Unrealized adjustment to fair value (1)
Settlements - calls
Discount accretion (2)
Balance at June 30, 2013

| Three months ended June 30, 2013 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 8,356$ | $\$ 3,370$ |  |
| $(3,286$ | $)$ | - |
| 445 | 297 | $(172,639$ |
| $(124$ | - | $(2,066$ |
| - | 3 | 191 |
| $\$ 5,391$ | $\$ 3,670$ | $\$ 152,592$ |


| Six months ended June 30, 2014 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pooled Trust | Single-issuer |  |
| Preferred | Trust Preferred | ARCs |
| Securities (in thousands) | Securities |  |
| \$5,306 | \$3,781 | \$159,274 |
| (1,394 | - | (11,912 |
| 559 | 36 | 248 |
| (200 | - | (1,081 |
| 4 | 3 | 402 |
| \$4,275 | \$3,820 | \$146,931 |

Six months ended June 30, 2013
Balance at December 31, 2012
Sales
Unrealized adjustment to fair value (1)
Settlements - calls
Discount accretion (2)
Balance at June 30, 2013

| $\$ 6,927$ | $\$ 3,360$ | $\$ 149,339$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(3,286$ | $)$ | - |
| 1,874 | 304 | 5,188 |
| $(124$ | $)$ | $(2,408$ |
| - | 6 | 473 |
| $\$ 5,391$ | $\$ 3,670$ | $\$ 152,592$ |

Pooled trust preferred securities, single-issuer trust preferred securities and ARCs are classified as available for
(1) sale investment securities; as such, the unrealized adjustment to fair value was recorded as an unrealized holding gain (loss) and included as a component of available for sale investment securities on the consolidated balance sheets.
(2)Included as a component of net interest income on the consolidated statements of income.

Certain financial assets are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis, but are subject to fair value measurement in certain circumstances, such as upon their acquisition or when there is evidence of impairment. The following table presents the Corporation's financial assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and reported on the Corporation's consolidated balance sheets:

Net loans<br>Other financial assets<br>Total assets

Net loans
Other financial assets
Total assets
June 30, 2014

| Level 1 <br> (in thousands) | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 134,643$ | $\$ 134,643$ |
| - | - | 56,068 | 56,068 |
| \$- | $\$-$ | $\$ 190,711$ | $\$ 190,711$ |
| Devember 1 31, <br> Lin thousands) | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 138,666$ | $\$ 138,666$ |
| \$- | \$- | 57,504 | 57,504 |
| $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 196,170$ | $\$ 196,170$ |

The valuation techniques used to measure fair value for the items in the table above are as follows:
Net loans - This category consists of loans that were evaluated for impairment under FASB ASC Section 310-10-35
and have been classified as Level 3 assets. The amount shown is the balance of impaired loans, net of the related allowance for loan losses. See Note E, "Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses," for additional details.
Other financial assets - This category includes OREO ( $\$ 13.5$ million at June 30, 2014 and $\$ 15.1$ million at December 31, 2013) and MSRs ( $\$ 42.6$ million at June 30, 2014 and $\$ 42.5$ million at December 31, 2013), both classified as Level 3 assets.
Fair values for OREO were based on estimated selling prices less estimated selling costs for similar assets in active markets.
MSRs are initially recorded at fair value upon the sale of residential mortgage loans to secondary market investors. MSRs are amortized as a reduction to servicing income over the estimated lives of the underlying loans. MSRs are stratified and evaluated for impairment by comparing each stratum's carrying amount to its estimated fair value. Fair values are determined at the end of each quarter through a discounted cash flows valuation performed by a third-party valuation expert. Significant inputs to the valuation included expected net servicing income, the discount rate and the expected life of the underlying loans. Expected life is based on the contractual terms of the loans, as adjusted for prepayment projections. The weighted average annual constant prepayment rate and the weighted average discount rate used in the June 30, 2014 valuation were $12.1 \%$ and $9.1 \%$, respectively. Management tests the reasonableness of the significant inputs to the third-party valuation in comparison to market data.
As required by FASB ASC Section 825-10-50, the following table details the book values and estimated fair values of the Corporation's financial instruments as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. In addition, a general description of the methods and assumptions used to estimate such fair values is also provided.
Fair values of financial instruments are significantly affected by the assumptions used, principally the timing of future cash flows and discount rates. Because assumptions are inherently subjective in nature, the estimated fair values cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent market quotes and, in many cases, the estimated fair values could not necessarily be realized in an immediate sale or settlement of the instrument. The aggregate fair value amounts presented do not necessarily represent management's estimate of the underlying value of the Corporation.

FINANCIAL ASSETS
Cash and due from banks
Interest-bearing deposits with other banks
Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Home Loan Bank
stock
Loans held for sale (1)
Available for sale investment securities (1)
Loans, net of unearned income (1)
Accrued interest receivable
Other financial assets (1)
FINANCIAL LIABILITIES
Demand and savings deposits
Time deposits
Short-term borrowings
Accrued interest payable
Other financial liabilities (1)
Federal Home Loan Bank advances and long-term debt

June 30, 2014

| Book Value | Estimated <br> Fair Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| (in thousands) |  |


| $\$ 258,837$ | $\$ 258,837$ | $\$ 218,540$ | $\$ 218,540$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 222,894 | 222,894 | 163,988 | 163,988 |
| 82,624 | 82,624 | 84,173 | 84,173 |
| 36,079 | 36,079 | 21,351 | 21,351 |
| $2,497,776$ | $2,497,776$ | $2,568,434$ | $2,568,434$ |
| $12,839,511$ | $12,744,881$ | $12,782,220$ | $12,688,774$ |
| 42,116 | 42,116 | 44,037 | 44,037 |
| 155,336 | 155,336 | 146,933 | 146,933 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 9,677,654$ | $\$ 9,677,654$ | $\$ 9,573,264$ | $\$ 9,573,264$ |
| $3,016,005$ | $3,025,680$ | $2,917,922$ | $2,927,374$ |
| $1,008,307$ | $1,008,307$ | $1,258,629$ | $1,258,629$ |
| 16,647 | 16,647 | 15,218 | 15,218 |
| 165,358 | 165,358 | 124,440 | 124,440 |
| 968,395 | 964,797 | 883,584 | 875,984 |

These financial instruments, or certain financial instruments within these categories, are measured at fair value on (1) the Corporation's consolidated balance sheets. Descriptions of the fair value determinations for these financial instruments are disclosed above.
For short-term financial instruments, defined as those with remaining maturities of 90 days or less, and excluding those recorded at fair value on the Corporation's consolidated balance sheets, book value was considered to be a reasonable estimate of fair value.
The following instruments are predominantly short-term:

Assets
Cash and due from banks
Interest bearing deposits with other banks
Accrued interest receivable

## Liabilities

Demand and savings deposits
Short-term borrowings
Accrued interest payable

Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Home Loan Bank stock represent restricted investments and are carried at cost on the consolidated balance sheets. Each of the Corporation's subsidiary banks is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank for the region encompassing the headquarters of the subsidiary bank. Memberships are maintained with the Atlanta, New York and Pittsburgh regional Federal Home Loan Banks (collectively referred to as the "FHLB"). Fair values for loans and time deposits were estimated by discounting future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers and similar deposits would be issued to customers for the same remaining maturities. Fair values estimated in this manner do not fully incorporate an exit price approach to fair value, as defined in FASB ASC Topic 820.
The fair values of FHLB advances and long-term debt were estimated by discounting the remaining contractual cash flows using a rate at which the Corporation could issue debt with similar remaining maturities as of the balance sheet date. These borrowings would be categorized within Level 2 liabilities under FASB ASC Topic 820.

NOTE N - Common Stock Repurchase Plans
In October 2013, the Corporation announced that its board of directors had approved a share repurchase program pursuant to which the Corporation was authorized to repurchase up to 4.0 million shares, or approximately $2.1 \%$ of its outstanding shares, through March 2014. During the first quarter of 2014, the Corporation repurchased 4.0 million
shares under this repurchase plan at an average cost of $\$ 12.45$ per share, completing this repurchase program on February 19, 2014.
In May 2014, the Corporation announced that its board of directors had approved a share repurchase program pursuant to which the Corporation was authorized to repurchase up to 4.0 million shares, or approximately $2.1 \%$ of its outstanding shares, through December 31, 2014. No shares were repurchased under this repurchase plan during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014.
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Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (Management's Discussion) relates to Fulton Financial Corporation (the Corporation), a financial holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 and incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in 1982, and its wholly owned subsidiaries. Management's Discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes presented in this report.

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The Corporation has made, and may continue to make, certain forward-looking statements with respect to its financial condition and results of operations. Do not unduly rely on forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "may," "should," "will," "could," "estimates," "predicts," "potential," "continue," "anticipates," "believes," "plans," "expects," "future," "intends" and similar expressions which are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to risks and uncertainties, some of which are beyond the Corporation's control and ability to predict, that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. The Corporation undertakes no obligation, other than as required by law, to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Many factors could affect future financial results including, without limitation:
the impact of adverse changes in the economy and real estate markets, including protracted periods of low-growth and sluggish loan demand;
increases in non-performing assets, which may require the Corporation to increase the allowance for credit losses, charge-off loans and incur elevated collection and carrying costs related to such non-performing assets; the effects of market interest rates, particularly a continuing period of low market interest rates, and relative balances of rate-sensitive assets to rate-sensitive liabilities, on net interest margin and net interest income;
capital and liquidity strategies, including the Corporation's ability to comply with applicable capital and liquidity requirements (including the finalized Basel III capital standards), and the Corporation's ability to generate capital internally or raise capital on favorable terms;
investment securities gains and losses, including other-than-temporary declines in the value of securities which may result in charges to earnings;
the impact of non-interest income growth, including the impact of potential regulatory changes;
the impact of increased regulatory scrutiny of the banking industry;
the effects of the increasing time and expense associated with regulatory compliance and risk management; the additional time, expense and investment required to comply with, and the restrictions on potential growth and investment activities resulting from, the issuance of enforcement orders by federal bank regulatory agencies; the Corporation's ability to manage the uncertainty and lack of clear regulatory guidance associated with the delay in implementing many of the regulations mandated by the Dodd-Frank Act; the impact of operational risk, i.e. the risk of loss resulting from human error, inadequate or failed internal processes and systems, outsourcing arrangements, compliance and legal risk and external events;
the Corporation's ability to manage the level of non-interest expenses, including salaries and employee benefits expenses, operating risk losses, amortization of intangible assets and goodwill impairment; the Corporation's ability to keep pace with technological changes and to identify and to address cyber-security risks; the effects of competition on rates of deposit, loan growth and net interest margin; and any damage to the Corporation's reputation resulting from developments related to any of the items identified above.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview and Summary Financial Results
Fulton Financial Corporation is a financial holding company comprised of six wholly owned banking subsidiaries which provide a full range of retail and commercial financial services in Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey and Virginia. The Corporation generates the majority of its revenue through net interest income, or the difference between interest earned on loans and investments and interest paid on deposits and borrowings. Growth in net interest income is dependent upon balance sheet growth and/or maintaining or increasing the net interest margin, which is net interest income (fully taxable-equivalent, or FTE) as a percentage of average interest-earning assets. The Corporation also generates revenue through fees earned on the various
services and products offered to its customers and through gains on sales of assets, such as loans, investments, lines of business or properties. Offsetting these revenue sources are provisions for credit losses on loans, non-interest expenses and income taxes.

The following table presents a summary of the Corporation's earnings and selected performance ratios:


Income before income taxes (in thousands)
Net income (in thousands)
Diluted net income per share
Return on average assets
Return on average equity
Net interest margin (1)
Non-performing assets to total assets
Annualized net charge-offs to average loans

As of or for the
Three months ended June 30 20142013
\$53,096
\$39,596
0.94
7.63
3.41
0.28
(1) Presented on an FTE basis, using a 35\% Federal tax rate and statutory interest expense disallowances. See also the (1) "Net Interest Income" section of Management's Discussion.

Income before income taxes for the second quarter of 2014 decreased $\$ 655,000$, or $1.2 \%$, compared to the second quarter of 2013. For the first half of 2014, income before taxes increased $\$ 4.4$ million, or $4.2 \%$, compared to the same period in 2013. The Corporation's results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 in comparison to the same periods in 2013 were most significantly impacted by improved asset quality, resulting in decreases in the provision for credit losses and lower net interest income and non-interest income, partially offset by decreases in non-interest expense.
Following is a summary of these and other noteworthy items for the second quarter of 2014:
Asset Quality - For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Corporation's provision for credit losses decreased $\$ 10.0$ million, or $74.1 \%$, and $\$ 22.5$ million, or $78.9 \%$, respectively, in comparison to the same periods in 2013. These decreases were due to an overall improvement in asset quality.

Non-performing loans decreased $\$ 39.9$ million, or $21.1 \%$, since June 30, 2013. The total delinquency rate was $1.75 \%$ as of June 30, 2014, compared to $2.18 \%$ as of June 30, 2013. Annualized net charge-offs to average loans outstanding were $0.28 \%$ for the second quarter of 2014 , compared to $0.56 \%$ for the second quarter of 2013.
Net Interest Income and Net Interest Margin - For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, net interest income decreased $\$ 4.2$ million, or $3.2 \%$, and $\$ 4.2$ million, or $1.6 \%$, respectively, in comparison to the same periods in 2013. Net interest income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was negatively impacted by net interest margin compression as yields on interest-earning assets declined more significantly than the cost of interest-bearing liabilities in comparison to the same periods in 2013. The net interest margin for the second quarter of 2014 decreased 11 basis points, or $3.1 \%$, in comparison to the second quarter of 2013. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, net interest margin decreased 10 basis points, or $2.8 \%$, in comparison to the same period of 2013.
Partially offsetting the impact of the decreasing yields was growth in average interest-earning assets. Average interest-earning assets increased $\$ 38.9$ million, or $0.3 \%$, in the second quarter of 2014 in comparison to the same period of 2013 , mainly due to a $\$ 267.2$ million, or $2.1 \%$, increase in average loans, partially offset by a $\$ 215.9$ million, or $7.9 \%$, decrease in investments. Average interest-earning assets during the first half of 2014 increased $\$ 194.7$ million compared to the same period in 2013, primarily as a result of a $\$ 385.5$ million, or $3.1 \%$, increase in average loans, partially offset by a $\$ 201.4$ million, or $7.3 \%$, decrease in investments.
Non-interest Income - For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, non-interest income, excluding investment securities gains, decreased $\$ 5.7$ million, or $11.5 \%$, and $\$ 12.0$ million, $12.7 \%$, respectively, in comparison to the same periods in 2013. The decreases in non-interest income were primarily due to decreases in mortgage banking income, as gains on sales of residential mortgages were impacted by lower refinancing volumes. Also contributing to the decreases in non-interest income were declines in service charges on deposits, particularly in overdraft fee income. Non-interest Expense - For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, non-interest expense decreased $\$ 956,000$, or $0.8 \%$, and $\$ 2.3$ million, or $1.0 \%$, respectively, in comparison to the same periods in 2013 . These decreases were primarily driven by decreases in other real estate owned (OREO) and repossession expense, due to improved asset
quality, and decreases in operating risk losses,
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partially offset by increases in other outside services as a result of consulting expense incurred primarily for risk management and regulatory compliance initiatives, as discussed under the heading, "Regulatory Compliance and Risk Management Matters" below.
During the first quarter of 2014, the Corporation implemented a series of initiatives intended to reduce non-interest expenses by approximately $\$ 7.0$ million in 2014 . These initiatives included the consolidation of 13 branches, streamlining of subsidiary bank management structures and other employee compensation and benefit reductions. The branch consolidations resulted in the transfer of deposits, employees and other branch resources to existing branch locations. During the first quarter of 2014, $\$ 2.1$ million of expenses, consisting mainly of lease termination costs and the write-off of leasehold improvements, were incurred. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, $\$ 800,000$ of expense reductions were recognized as a result of these consolidations. The Corporation estimates total expense reductions for the remainder of 2014 to be $\$ 1.6$ million.
The streamlining of subsidiary bank management structures resulted in the elimination of five subsidiary bank divisional executive positions, while other employee compensation and benefit reductions resulted in changes to certain employee benefits plans, most notably an amendment to the Corporation's postretirement benefits plan (Postretirement Plan). During the first quarter of 2014, $\$ 1.1$ million of net implementation gains were recognized. During the three and six months ended June, 30, 2014, $\$ 1.1$ million and $\$ 2.2$ million, respectively, of expense reductions were realized as a result of these actions. The Corporation estimates total expense reductions for the remainder of 2014 to be $\$ 2.4$ million.
Regulatory Compliance and Risk Management Matters - Virtually every aspect of the Corporation's operations is subject to extensive regulation, and in recent years, a combination of financial reform legislation and heightened scrutiny by banking regulators have significantly increased expectations regarding what constitutes an effective risk and compliance management infrastructure. Bank regulators are scrutinizing banks through longer and more extensive bank examinations in both the safety and soundness and compliance areas.

To keep pace with these heightened expectations in the compliance area beginning in 2012 the Corporation has devoted substantial resources to improving its risk management framework and regulatory compliance programs, including those designed to comply with the requirements of the Bank Secrecy Act, the USA Patriot Act of 2001 and related anti-money laundering regulations (collectively, BSA/AML Requirements). The Corporation has made substantial progress in strengthening its risk management and regulatory compliance programs, including the addition of personnel and retention of third-party consultants that specialize in strengthening compliance programs addressing the BSA/AML Requirements. However, the pace of this progress has not been consistent with current regulatory expectations, and continuing deficiencies in compliance program elements related to the BSA/AML Requirements have been identified at the Corporation's banking subsidiaries, and at the Corporation.

In July 2014, three of the Corporation's banking subsidiaries, Fulton Bank, N.A., Swineford National Bank and FNB Bank, N.A., each entered into a Stipulation and Consent to the Issuance of a Consent Order (Consent Order) with their primary Federal bank regulatory agency, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, relating to identified deficiencies in a centralized compliance program (BSA/AML Compliance Program) designed to comply with the BSA/AML Requirements, as disclosed by the Corporation in a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 18, 2014 (Form 8-K). The Consent Orders require, among other things, that the banking subsidiaries in question review, assess and take actions to strengthen and enhance the BSA/AML Compliance Program. In addition, the Form 8-K disclosed that the Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary, Lafayette Ambassador Bank (Lafayette), anticipate that they will enter into a consent cease and desist order (Cease and Desist Order) with their primary Federal bank regulatory agency, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, in the near future. It is anticipated that the Cease and Desist Order will require the Corporation and Lafayette to strengthen the BSA/AML Compliance Program and will impose requirements similar to those set forth in the Consent Orders. Further, because the Consent Orders and the anticipated Cease and Desist Order relate to the BSA/AML Compliance Program, which is operated jointly for all of the Corporation's subsidiary banks, the Corporation anticipates that one or more of the Corporation's other subsidiary banks may also become the subject or subjects of a regulatory enforcement action related to the BSA/AML Requirements, and the provisions of any such enforcement
action may differ from those of the Consent Orders.
In addition to requiring strengthening and enhancement of the BSA/AML Compliance Program, the Consent Orders, the anticipated Cease and Desist Order and other anticipated enforcement action or actions impose certain restrictions on expansion activities of the Corporation and its subsidiary banks. Further, any failure to comply with the requirements of any of these enforcement actions involving the Corporation or its subsidiary banks could result in further enforcement actions, the imposition of material restrictions on the activities of the Corporation or its subsidiary banks, or the assessment of fines or penalties.
Additional expenses and investments will be required as the Corporation further expands its hiring of personnel and use of outside professionals, such as consulting and legal services, and possibly for capital investments in operating systems to strengthen and
support the BSA/AML Compliance Program, as well as the Corporation's broader compliance and risk management infrastructures. The expense and capital investment associated with all of these efforts, including in connection with the Consent Orders, the anticipated Cease and Desist Order and the anticipated enforcement action or actions involving the Corporation's other subsidiary banks, could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's results of operations in future periods.

Quarter Ended June 30, 2014 compared to the Quarter Ended June 30, 2013
Net Interest Income
Fully-taxable equivalent (FTE) net interest income decreased $\$ 4.2$ million to $\$ 132.2$ million in the second quarter of 2014 , from $\$ 136.4$ million in the second quarter of 2013. This decrease was primarily due to an 11 basis point, or $3.1 \%$, decrease in the net interest margin, to $3.41 \%$ for the second quarter of 2014 from $3.52 \%$ for the second quarter of 2013. The following table provides a comparative average balance sheet and net interest income analysis for the second quarter of 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. Interest income and yields are presented on an FTE basis, using a $35 \%$ Federal tax rate and statutory interest expense disallowances. The discussion following this table is based on these FTE amounts. All dollar amounts are in thousands.

Three months ended June 30

| 2014 |  |  | 2013 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Average | Interest | Yield/ | Average | Interest | Yield/ |
| Balance | $(1)$ | Rate | Balance | (1) | Rate |

Interest-earning assets:
Loans, net of unearned income (2)
Taxable investment securities (3)
Tax-exempt investment securities (3)
Equity securities (3)
Total investment securities
Loans held for sale
Other interest-earning assets
Total interest-earning assets
Noninterest-earning assets:
Cash and due from banks
Premises and equipment
Other assets
Less: Allowance for loan losses
Total Assets
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY
Interest-bearing liabilities:

| Demand deposits | $\$ 2,914,887$ | $\$ 904$ | 0.12 | $\%$ | $\$ 2,718,679$ | $\$ 872$ | 0.13 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Savings deposits | $3,355,929$ | 1,031 | 0.12 | $3,350,856$ | 1,016 | 0.12 |  |  |
| Time deposits | $3,012,061$ | 6,750 | 0.90 | $3,169,141$ | 7,610 | 0.96 |  |  |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | $9,282,877$ | 8,685 | 0.38 | $9,238,676$ | 9,498 | 0.41 |  |  |
| Short-term borrowings | $1,047,684$ | 540 | 0.21 | $1,313,424$ | 700 | 0.21 |  |  |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances and | 894,511 | 10,779 | 4.83 | 889,186 | 10,815 | 4.87 |  |  |
| long-term debt | $11,225,072$ | 20,004 | 0.71 | $\%$ | $11,441,286$ | 21,013 | 0.74 | $\%$ |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities |  |  |  |  | $3,116,940$ |  |  |  |
| Noninterest-bearing liabilities: | $3,322,195$ |  |  | 179,875 |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits | 202,520 |  |  | $14,738,101$ |  |  |  |  |
| Other | $14,749,787$ |  | $2,062,483$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Liabilities | $2,081,898$ |  | $\$ 16,800,584$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shareholders' equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | $\$ 16,831,685$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Net interest income/net interest margin
(FTE)
Tax equivalent adjustment
Net interest income
\(\left.\begin{array}{lllll}132,175 \& 3.41 \% \& 136,407 \& 3.52 \& \% <br>

(4,277\end{array}\right) \quad\)|  | $(4,342$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 127,898$ |  | $\$ 132,065$ |

(1) Includes dividends earned on equity securities.
(2)Includes non-performing loans.
(3) Balances include amortized historical cost for available for sale securities; the related unrealized holding gains (losses) are included in other assets.

The following table summarizes the changes in FTE interest income and interest expense resulting from changes in average balances (volume) and changes in rates for the three months ended June 30:

2014 vs. 2013
Increase (Decrease) due
to change in
Volume Rate Net
(in thousands)
Interest income on:
Loans, net of unearned income
Taxable investment securities
Tax-exempt investment securities
Equity securities
Loans held for sale
Other interest-earning assets
Total interest income
Interest expense on:
Demand deposits
Savings deposits
Time deposits
Short-term borrowings
Federal Home Loan Bank advances and long-term debt
Total interest expense
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\$ 2,892 & \$(6,507 & ) & \$(3,615 \\ (1,186 & ) & (912 & ) \\ (130,098 & ) \\ (83 & ) & 56 & (74 \\ (272 & ) & ) & 102 \\ 74 & (52 & ) \\ 24 & 744 & 768 & ) \\ \$ 1,245 & \$(6,486 & ) & \$(5,241\end{array}\right)$

Note: Changes which are partially attributable to both volume and rate are allocated to the volume and rate components presented above based on the percentage of direct changes that are attributable to each component. As summarized above, a 15 basis point, or $3.7 \%$, decrease in yields on average interest-earnings assets resulted in a $\$ 6.5$ million decrease in FTE interest income, partially offset by a $\$ 1.2$ million increase in FTE interest income as a result of a $\$ 38.9$ million, or $0.3 \%$, increase in average interest-earning assets.
Average investments decreased $\$ 215.9$ million, or $7.9 \%$, as portfolio cash flows were not fully reinvested. The average yield on investments decreased 12 basis points, or $4.4 \%$, to $2.60 \%$ in the second quarter of 2014 from $2.72 \%$ in the second quarter of 2013. A $\$ 1.7$ million, or $51.1 \%$, decrease in net premium amortization on mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations had a 17 basis point positive impact on the overall change in portfolio yield. This positive impact was more than offset by the impact of purchases of mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations at yields that were lower than the overall portfolio yield.
Average loans and average FTE yields, by type, are summarized in the following table:

|  | Three months ended June 30 |  |  |  |  | Increase (Decrease) in Balance |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Balance (dollars in tho | Yield sands |  | Balance | Yield |  | \$ |  | \% |  |
| Real estate - commercial mortgage | \$5,138,537 | 4.36 | \% | \$4,758,060 | 4.72 | \% | \$380,477 |  | 8.0 | \% |
| Commercial - industrial, financial and agricultural | 3,617,977 | 3.95 |  | 3,714,683 | 4.13 |  | (96,706 | ) | (2.6 | ) |
| Real estate - home equity | 1,735,767 | 4.18 |  | 1,732,704 | 4.24 |  | 3,063 |  | 0.2 |  |
| Real estate - residential mortgage | 1,339,034 | 3.97 |  | 1,308,713 | 4.09 |  | 30,321 |  | 2.3 |  |
| Real estate - construction | 588,176 | 4.17 |  | 617,577 | 4.09 |  | (29,401 | ) | (4.8 | ) |
| Consumer | 276,444 | 4.56 |  | 304,918 | 4.87 |  | (28,474 | ) | (9.3 | ) |
| Leasing and other | 99,812 | 8.83 |  | 91,907 | 8.92 |  | 7,905 |  | 8.6 |  |
| Total | \$ 12,795,747 | 4.21 | \% | \$12,528,562 | 4.42 | \% | \$267,185 |  | 2.1 | \% |

Average loans increased $\$ 267.2$ million, or $2.1 \%$, compared to the second quarter of 2013, mainly in commercial mortgages. The growth in commercial mortgages was driven by a combination of loans to new customers and increased borrowings from existing customers. The average yield on loans decreased 21 basis points, or $4.8 \%$, to $4.21 \%$ in 2014 from $4.42 \%$ in 2013. The decrease in average yields on loans was attributable to repayments of higher-yielding loans and new loan production at lower rates.
Average other interest-earning assets increased $\$ 12.3$ million, or $5.4 \%$, primarily due to an increase in average interest-bearing deposits with other banks. The average yield on other interest-earning assets increased 125 basis points, or $162.3 \%$, due to increases in dividends from Federal Home Loan Bank stock. Each of the Corporation's subsidiary banks is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank for the region encompassing the headquarters of the subsidiary bank. Memberships are maintained with the Atlanta, New York and Pittsburgh regional Federal Home Loan Banks (collectively referred to as the "FHLB").As of June 30, 2014, the Corporation held $\$ 63.4$ million of FHLB stock.
Interest expense decreased $\$ 1.0$ million, or $4.8 \%$, to $\$ 20.0$ million in the second quarter of 2014 from $\$ 21.0$ million in the second quarter of 2013. Interest expense decreased $\$ 623,000$ due to a 3 basis point, or $4.1 \%$, decrease in the average cost of total interest-bearing liabilities. Total interest-bearing liabilities decreased $\$ 216.2$ million, or $1.9 \%$, which resulted in an additional $\$ 386,000$ decrease in interest expense.
Average deposits and average interest rates, by type, are summarized in the following table:

|  | Three months ended June 30 |  |  |  |  | Increase (Decrease) in |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 |  |  | 2013 |  |  | Balance |  |  |
|  | Balance (dollars in tho | Rate usands) |  | Balance | Rate |  | \$ | \% |  |
| Noninterest-bearing demand | \$3,322,195 | - | \% | \$3,116,940 | - | \% | \$205,255 | 6.6 | \% |
| Interest-bearing demand | 2,914,887 | 0.12 |  | 2,718,679 | 0.13 |  | 196,208 | 7.2 |  |
| Savings | 3,355,929 | 0.12 |  | 3,350,856 | 0.12 |  | 5,073 | 0.2 |  |
| Total demand and savings | 9,593,011 | 0.08 |  | 9,186,475 | 0.08 |  | 406,536 | 4.4 |  |
| Time deposits | 3,012,061 | 0.90 |  | 3,169,141 | 0.96 |  | (157,080 | (5.0 | ) |
| Total deposits | \$ 12,605,072 | 0.28 | \% | \$ 12,355,616 | 0.30 | \% | \$249,456 | 2.0 | \% |

The $\$ 406.5$ million, or $4.4 \%$, increase in total demand and savings accounts was primarily due to a $\$ 193.1$ million, or $4.3 \%$, increase in personal account balances and a $\$ 187.9$ million, or $6.1 \%$, increase in business account balances. The $\$ 157.1$ million, or $5.0 \%$, decrease in average time deposits was primarily in accounts with balances less than $\$ 100,000$ and with original maturity terms of less than three years.
The average cost of interest-bearing deposits decreased 3 basis points, or $7.3 \%$, to $0.38 \%$ in 2014 from $0.41 \%$ in 2013, primarily due to a decrease in higher cost time deposits and an increase in lower cost interest-bearing savings and demand balances.
Average borrowings and interest rates, by type, are summarized in the following table:

|  | Three months ended June 30 |  |  |  |  | Increase (Decrease) in |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 |  | 2013 |  | Rate | Balance |  |  |  |
|  | Balance (dollars in th | Rate ousands |  | Balance |  |  | \$ | \% |  |
| Short-term borrowings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer repurchase agreements | \$216,212 | 0.11 | \% | \$188,339 | 0.11 | \% | \$27,873 | 14.8 | \% |
| Customer short-term promissory notes | 81,823 | 0.05 |  | 98,207 | 0.06 |  | (16,384 ) | ) (16.7 | ) |
| Total short-term customer funding | 298,035 | 0.09 |  | 286,546 | 0.09 |  | 11,489 | 4.0 |  |
| Federal funds purchased | 444,429 | 0.22 |  | 776,603 | 0.25 |  | (332,174 ) | ) $(42.8$ | ) |
| Short-term FHLB advances (1) | 305,220 | 0.30 |  | 250,275 | 0.23 |  | 54,945 | 22.0 |  |
| Total short-term borrowings | 1,047,684 | 0.21 |  | 1,313,424 | 0.21 |  | (265,740 ) | ) 20.2 | ) |
| Long-term debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FHLB advances | 524,782 | 4.07 |  | 519,638 | 4.14 |  | 5,144 | 1.0 |  |
| Other long-term debt | 369,729 | 5.90 |  | 369,548 | 5.90 |  | 181 | - |  |
| Total long-term debt | 894,511 | 4.83 |  | 889,186 | 4.87 |  | 5,325 | 0.6 |  |
| Total borrowings | \$1,942,195 | 2.33 | \% | \$2,202,610 | 2.09 | \% | \$ 260,415 ) | ) (11.8 | )\% |

(1) Represents FHLB advances with an original maturity term of less than one year.

Total short-term borrowings decreased $\$ 265.7$ million, or $20.2 \%$, primarily due to decreases in Federal funds purchased, partially offset by an increase in short-term FHLB advances. The decrease in short-term borrowings was driven by the decrease in average investment securities as the increase in average deposits kept pace with the growth in average loans.
The average cost of total borrowings increased 24 basis points, or $11.5 \%$, to $2.33 \%$ in 2014 from $2.09 \%$ in 2013, primarily due to the weighted average cost impact of a decrease in lower cost short-term borrowings, which were $53.9 \%$ of total borrowings in 2014 and 59.6\% in 2013.

Provision for Credit Losses
The provision for credit losses was $\$ 3.5$ million for the second quarter of 2014 , a decrease of $\$ 10.0$ million, or $74.1 \%$, from the second quarter of 2013 due to improvements in asset quality, as shown by a reduction in non-performing loans and overall delinquency rates.
The provision for credit losses is recognized as an expense in the consolidated statements of income and is the amount necessary to adjust the allowance for credit losses to its appropriate balance, as determined through the Corporation's allowance methodology. The Corporation determines the appropriate level of the allowance for credit losses based on many quantitative and qualitative factors, including, but not limited to: the size and composition of the loan portfolio, changes in risk ratings, changes in collateral values, delinquency levels, historical losses and economic conditions. See the "Financial Condition" section of Management's Discussion under the heading "Provision for Credit Losses and Allowance for Credit Losses" for details related to the Corporation's allowance and provision for credit losses.

Non-Interest Income
The following table presents the components of non-interest income:

Service charges on deposit accounts:
Overdraft fees
Cash management fees
Other
Total service charges on deposit accounts
Investment management and trust services
Other service charges and fees:
Merchant fees
Debit card income
Letter of credit fees
Commercial swap fees
Other
Total other service charges and fees
Mortgage banking income:
Gain on sales of mortgage loans
Mortgage servicing income
Total mortgage banking income
Credit card income
Other income
Total, excluding investment securities gains
Investment securities gains Total


The $\$ 2.1$ million, or $27.3 \%$, decrease in overdraft fee income included a $\$ 1.4$ million decrease in fees assessed on personal accounts and a $\$ 735,000$ decrease in fees assessed on commercial accounts. The overall decline in these fees resulted from a reduction in the number of overdraft fees assessed, partially driven by changes in customer behavior and a reduction in the maximum number of overdraft fees that may be assessed each day.
The $\$ 738,000$, or $7.0 \%$, increase in investment management and trust services income was due to a $\$ 507,000$, or $10.8 \%$, increase in brokerage revenue and a $\$ 231,000$, or $3.9 \%$, increase in trust commissions. These increases resulted from new trust business sales, improved market conditions that increased the values of existing assets under management and additional recurring revenue generated through the brokerage business due to growth in new accounts.
Commercial swap fees increased $\$ 742,000$, or $294.4 \%$, due to the current interest rate environment and the Corporation's continued roll-out of the product. For additional details see Note I, "Derivative Financial Instruments" in the notes to consolidated financial statements.
Gains on sales of mortgage loans decreased $\$ 5.7$ million, or $65.7 \%$, due to a $\$ 231.6$ million, or $47.1 \%$, decrease in new loan commitments and a $35.2 \%$ decrease in pricing spreads compared to the second quarter of 2013. Both decreases resulted from an increase in mortgage interest rates in the second half of 2013. The decline in new loan commitments was mainly in refinancing volumes, which totaled approximately $\$ 66.6$ million, or $25.7 \%$, of new loan commitments, in the second quarter of 2014 compared to $\$ 234.9$ million, or $47.8 \%$, during the second quarter of 2013. Investment securities gains for the second quarter of 2014 were a result of net realized gains on sales of debt securities. The $\$ 2.9$ million of investment securities gains for the second quarter of 2013 included $\$ 1.1$ million of net realized gains on financial institution stocks and $\$ 1.8$ million of realized gains on sales of debt securities. See Note D, "Investment Securities," in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional details.
Non-Interest Expense
The following table presents the components of non-interest expense:

|  | Three months ended June 30 |  | Increase (Decrease) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 | \$ |  | \% |  |
|  | (dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$63,623 | \$63,490 | \$ 133 |  | 0.2 | \% |
| Net occupancy expense | 11,464 | 11,447 | 17 |  | 0.1 |  |
| Other outside services | 7,240 | 5,315 | 1,925 |  | 36.2 |  |
| Data processing | 4,331 | 4,509 | (178 |  | (3.9 | ) |
| Professional fees | 3,559 | 3,395 | 164 |  | 4.8 |  |
| Equipment expense | 3,360 | 3,893 | (533 | ) | (13.7 | ) |
| Software | 3,209 | 3,094 | 115 |  | 3.7 |  |
| FDIC insurance expense | 2,615 | 3,001 | (386 | ) | (12.9 | ) |
| Marketing | 2,337 | 1,922 | 415 |  | 21.6 |  |
| Telecommunications | 1,787 | 1,736 | 51 |  | 2.9 |  |
| Postage | 1,339 | 1,206 | 133 |  | 11.0 |  |
| Supplies | 1,112 | 1,396 | (284 | ) | (20.3 | ) |
| Other real estate owned and repossession expense | 748 | 1,941 | (1,193 |  | (61.5 | ) |
| Operating risk loss | 716 | 1,860 | (1,144 |  | (61.5 | ) |
| Intangible amortization | 315 | 535 | (220 |  | (41.1 | ) |
| Other | 8,419 | 8,390 | 29 |  | 0.3 |  |
| Total | \$ 116,174 | \$ 117,130 | \$(956 |  | (0.8 | )\% |

Salaries and employee benefits increased $\$ 133,000$, or $0.2 \%$, due to a $\$ 146,000$, or $0.3 \%$, increase in salaries and a $\$ 13,000$, or $0.11 \%$, decrease in employee benefits. The increase in salaries resulted from normal merit increases.
These increases were partially offset by a $\$ 2.5$ million decrease in incentive compensation, a $\$ 371,000$ decrease in stock-based compensation expense and lower salaries expense as a result of the cost savings initiatives executed in the first quarter of 2014. The decrease in employee benefits was primarily due to the Corporation's cost savings initiatives, which included the elimination and reduction of certain employee benefit plans, most notably a decrease in profit sharing contributions and an amendment to the Postretirement Plan, offset by an increase in healthcare expenses. For additional information related to the amendment to the Postretirement Plan, see Note H, "Employee Benefit Plans" in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
Other outside services increased $\$ 1.9$ million, or $36.2 \%$, due to an increase in consulting services related to the acceleration of risk management and compliance efforts, including those in connection with the enhancement of the Corporation's program for compliance with the BSA/AML Requirements.
Equipment expense decreased $\$ 533,000$, or $13.7 \%$, due to a decrease in depreciation expense on office equipment, as assets related to significant information technology initiatives in prior years became fully depreciated.
OREO and repossession expense decreased $\$ 1.2$ million, or $61.5 \%$, primarily due to an increase in net gains on sales of properties and a decrease in valuation provisions.
The $\$ 1.1$ million, or $61.5 \%$, decrease in operating risk loss was due to a $\$ 679,000$ decrease in charges related to debit card fraud losses and a $\$ 574,000$ decrease in losses associated with previously sold residential mortgages.
Income Taxes
Income tax expense for the second quarter of 2014 was $\$ 13.5$ million, a $\$ 331,000$, or $2.5 \%$, increase from $\$ 13.2$ million for the second quarter of 2013.
The Corporation's effective tax rate was $25.4 \%$ in the second quarter of 2014 , as compared to $24.5 \%$ in the second quarter of 2013. The effective rate is generally lower than the Federal statutory rate of $35 \%$ due to investments in tax-free municipal securities and credits earned from investments in partnerships that generate tax credits under various federal programs. The increase in the effective tax rate in comparison to the second quarter of 2013 was primarily due to a $\$ 488,000$, net of federal tax, reduction in the valuation allowance for certain state deferred tax assets recognized through a credit to income tax expense in the second quarter of 2013.
Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 compared to the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013

Net Interest Income
FTE net interest income decreased $\$ 4.3$ million, or $1.6 \%$, to $\$ 266.0$ million in the first half of 2014 from $\$ 270.3$ million in the first half of 2013.
Net interest margin decreased 10 basis points, or $2.8 \%$, to $3.44 \%$ for the first half of 2014 from $3.54 \%$ for the first half of 2013. The decrease in net interest margin was the result of a 15 basis point, or $3.7 \%$, decrease in yields on interest-earning assets, partially offset by a 6 basis point, or $7.9 \%$, decrease in funding costs.
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The following table provides a comparative average balance sheet and net interest income analysis for the first half of 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. Interest income and yields are presented on an FTE basis, using a 35\% Federal tax rate and statutory interest expense disallowances. The discussion following this table is based on these FTE amounts. All dollar amounts are in thousands.

Six months ended June 30

| 2014 |  |  | 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | Interest | Yield/ | Average | Interest | Yield/ |
| Balance | (1) | Rate | Balance | (1) | Rate |

Interest-earning assets:
Loans, net of unearned income (2)
Taxable investment securities (3)
Tax-exempt investment securities (3)
Equity securities (3)
Total investment securities
Loans held for sale
Other interest-earning assets
Total interest-earning assets
2,234,259 25,684 2.30
274,856 7,147 5.20
$\begin{array}{lll}33,922 & 848 & 5.03\end{array}$
2,543,037 $33,679 \quad 2.65$
$\begin{array}{lll}15,494 & 348 & 4.49\end{array}$
248,807 2,089 1.68
\% \$12,393,670
\$274,950
4.47
\%

Noninterest-earning assets:
Cash and due from banks
198,962 204,308
Premises and equipment
Other assets
Less: Allowance for loan losses
Total Assets
225,436
1,034,877
226,189
(199,813 )
1,066,416

LIABILITIES AND EQUITY
Interest-bearing liabilities:

| Demand deposits | \$2,929,965 | \$1,813 | 0.12 | \% | \$2,712,292 | \$1,749 | 0.13 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Savings deposits | 3,353,910 | 2,066 | 0.12 |  | 3,342,626 | 2,039 | 0.12 |  |
| Time deposits | 2,972,480 | 12,702 | 0.86 |  | 3,244,805 | 16,111 | 1.00 |  |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 9,256,355 | 16,581 | 0.36 |  | 9,299,723 | 19,899 | 0.43 |  |
| Short-term borrowings | 1,127,872 | 1,173 | 0.21 |  | 1,173,550 | 1,209 | 0.21 |  |
| FHLB advances and long-term debt | 889,051 | 21,477 | 4.85 |  | 890,174 | 21,583 | 4.87 |  |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 11,273,278 | 39,231 | 0.70 | \% | 11,363,447 | 42,691 | 0.76 | \% |
| Noninterest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits | 3,283,027 |  |  |  | 3,043,268 |  |  |  |
| Other | 217,181 |  |  |  | 189,357 |  |  |  |
| Total Liabilities | 14,773,486 |  |  |  | 14,596,072 |  |  |  |
| Shareholders' equity | 2,072,459 |  |  |  | 2,067,960 |  |  |  |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity \$ 16,845,945 |  |  |  |  | \$16,664,032 |  |  |  |
| Net interest income/net interest margin (FTE) |  | 266,016 | 3.44 | \% |  | 270,322 | 3.54 | \% |
| Tax equivalent adjustment |  | (8,553 |  |  |  | (8,613 |  |  |
| Net interest income |  | \$257,463 |  |  |  | \$261,709 |  |  |

(1) Includes dividends earned on equity securities.
(2) Includes non-performing loans.
(3) Balances include amortized historical cost for available for sale securities. The related unrealized holding gains
${ }^{(3)}$ (losses) are included in other assets.
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The following table summarizes the changes in FTE interest income and expense for the first six months of 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013 due to changes in average balances (volume) and changes in rates:

2014 vs. 2013
Increase (Decrease) due
to change in
Volume Rate Net (in thousands)
Interest income on:
Loans, net of unearned income
Taxable investment securities
Tax-exempt investment securities
Equity securities
Loans held for sale
Other interest-earning assets
Total interest income
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\$ 8,387 & \$(14,206 & ) & \$(5,819 \\ (2,084 & ) & (145 & ) \\ (297 & ) & 22 & (275 \\ (210 & ) & 77 & (133 \\ (645 & ) & 114 & (531 \\ 192 & 1,029 & 1,221 & \\ \$ 5,343 & \$(13,109 & ) & \$(7,766\end{array}\right)$

A 15 basis point, or $3.7 \%$, decrease in average yields on interest-earning assets resulted in a $\$ 13.1$ million decrease in FTE interest income, which was partially offset by a $\$ 5.3$ million increase in FTE interest income resulting from a $\$ 194.7$ million, or $1.3 \%$, increase in average interest-earning assets. Average investments decreased $\$ 201.4$ million, or $7.3 \%$, as portfolio cash flows were not fully reinvested.
Average loans, by type, are summarized in the following table:

|  | Six months ended June 30 |  |  |  |  | Increase (Decrease) in Balance |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 |  | Balance |  | Yield |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Balance (dollars in th | Yield sands) |  |  |  | \$ | \% |  |  |
| Real estate - commercial mortgage | \$5,111,979 | 4.40 | \% | \$4,712,530 |  | 4.78 | \% | \$399,449 |  | 8.5 | \% |
| Commercial - industrial, financial and agricultural | 3,627,471 | 3.99 |  | 3,688,767 | 4.19 |  | (61,296 | ( | (1.7 | ) |
| Real estate - home equity | 1,745,503 | 4.18 |  | 1,697,634 | 4.27 |  | 47,869 |  | 2.8 |  |
| Real estate - residential mortgage | 1,337,686 | 3.98 |  | 1,296,012 | 4.17 |  | 41,674 |  | 3.2 |  |
| Real estate - construction | 582,294 | 4.13 |  | 604,531 | 4.10 |  | (22,237 |  | (3.7 | ) |
| Consumer | 275,682 | 4.69 |  | 305,199 | 4.94 |  | (29,517 | ) | (9.7 | ) |
| Leasing and other | 98,530 | 9.30 |  | 88,997 | 8.76 |  | 9,533 |  | 10.7 |  |
| Total | \$12,779,145 | 4.24 | \% | \$ 12,393,670 | 4.47 | \% | \$385,475 |  | 3.1 | \% |

The $\$ 399.4$ million, or $8.5 \%$, increase in commercial mortgages was from both new and existing customers. The average yield on loans decreased 23 basis points, or $5.1 \%$, to $4.24 \%$ in 2014 from $4.47 \%$ in 2013. The decrease in average yields on loans was attributable to repayments of higher-yielding loans and new loan production at lower rates.
Interest expense decreased $\$ 3.5$ million, or $8.1 \%$, to $\$ 39.2$ million in the first half of 2014 from $\$ 42.7$ million in the first half of 2013. Interest expense decreased $\$ 2.2$ million as a result of a six basis point, or $7.9 \%$, decrease in the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities, primarily a result of a decrease in average costs of time deposits. A \$90.2
million, or $0.8 \%$, decrease in interest-bearing liabilities resulted in an additional $\$ 1.2$ million decrease in interest expense.

Average deposits, by type, are summarized in the following table:
Six months ended June 30

| 2014 |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |  | Balance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Balance <br> (dollars in thousands) | Rate |  | Balance | Rate | $\$$ | $\%$ |  |  |
| $\$ 3,283,027$ | - | $\%$ | $\$ 3,043,268$ | - | $\%$ | $\$ 239,759$ | 7.9 | $\%$ |
| $2,929,965$ | 0.12 | $\%$ | $2,712,292$ | 0.13 | $\%$ | 217,673 | 8.0 |  |
| $3,353,910$ | 0.12 | $\%$ | $3,342,626$ | 0.12 | $\%$ | 11,284 | 0.3 |  |
| $9,566,902$ | 0.08 | $\%$ | $9,098,186$ | 0.08 | $\%$ | 468,716 | 5.2 |  |
| $2,972,480$ | 0.86 | $\%$ | $3,244,805$ | 1.00 | $\%$ | $(272,325)$ | $(8.4$ | $)$ |
| $\$ 12,539,382$ | 0.27 | $\%$ | $\$ 12,342,991$ | 0.33 | $\%$ | $\$ 196,391$ | 1.6 | $\%$ |

The $\$ 468.7$ million, or $5.2 \%$, increase in total demand and savings account balances was primarily due to a $\$ 215.6$ million, or $4.9 \%$, increase in personal account balances, a $\$ 224.0$ million, or $7.4 \%$, increase in business account balances and a $\$ 46.5$ million, or $2.9 \%$, increase in municipal account balances. The $\$ 272.3$ million, or $8.4 \%$, decrease in average time deposits was primarily in accounts with balances less than $\$ 100,000$ and with original maturity terms of less than three years.
The average cost of interest-bearing deposits decreased seven basis points, or $16.3 \%$, to $0.36 \%$ in 2014 from $0.43 \%$ in 2013, primarily due to a decrease in higher cost time deposits and an increase in lower cost interest-bearing savings and demand balances.
The following table summarizes changes in average short-term borrowings and long-term debt, by type:

| Six months ended June | 30 |  | Increase (Decrease) in |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2014 |  | 2013 |  | Balance |  |
| Balance | Rate | Balance | Rate | $\$$ | $\%$ | (dollars in thousands)

Short-term borrowings:

| Customer repurchase agreements | $\$ 201,866$ | 0.11 | $\%$ | $\$ 176,788$ | 0.11 | $\%$ | $\$ 25,078$ | 14.2 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Customer short-term promissory notes | 91,856 | 0.06 | $\%$ | 105,086 | 0.05 | $\%$ | $(13,230$ | $)$ | $(12.6$ |
| Total short-term customer funding | 293,722 | 0.09 | $\%$ | 281,874 | 0.09 | $\%$ | 11,848 | 4.2 |  |
| Federal funds purchased | 430,407 | 0.21 | $\%$ | 743,376 | 0.24 | $\%$ | $(312,969)$ | $(42.1$ | $)$ |
| Short-term FHLB advances (1) | 403,743 | 0.29 | $\%$ | 148,300 | 0.24 | $\%$ | 255,443 | 172.2 |  |
| Total short-term borrowings | $1,127,872$ | 0.21 | $\%$ | $1,173,550$ | 0.21 | $\%$ | $(45,678$ | $(3.9$ | $)$ |
| Long-term debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FHLB advances | 519,316 | 4.11 | $\%$ | 520,663 | 4.14 | $\%$ | $(1,347$ | $(0.3$ | () |
| Other long-term debt | 369,735 | 5.90 | $\%$ | 369,511 | 5.90 | $\%$ | 224 | 0.1 |  |
| Total long-term debt | 889,051 | 4.85 | $\%$ | 890,174 | 4.87 | $\%$ | $(1,123$ | $(0.1$ | $)$ |
| Total | $\$ 2,016,923$ | 2.26 | $\%$ | $\$ 2,063,724$ | 2.22 | $\%$ | $\$(46,801)$ | $(2.3$ | $) \%$ |

(1) Represents FHLB advances with an original maturity term of less than one year.

Total short-term borrowings decreased $\$ 45.7$ million, or $3.9 \%$, primarily due to a decrease in Federal funds purchased, partially offset by an increase in short-term FHLB advances.

Provision for Credit Losses
The provision for credit losses was $\$ 6.0$ million for the first half of 2014 , a decrease of $\$ 22.5$ million, or $78.9 \%$, in comparison to the first half of 2013, reflecting improvements in asset quality. For details related to the Corporation's allowance and provision for credit losses, see the "Financial Condition" section of Management's Discussion under the heading "Provision for Credit Losses and Allowance for Credit Losses."

Non-Interest Income
The following table presents the components of non-interest income:

Service charges on deposit accounts:
Overdraft fees
Cash management fees
Other
Total service charges on deposit accounts
Investment management and trust services
Other service charges and fees:
Merchant fees
Debit card income
Letter of credit fees
Commercial swap fees
Foreign currency processing income
Other
Total other service charges and fees
Mortgage banking income:
Gain on sales of mortgage loans
Mortgage servicing income
Total mortgage banking income
Credit card income
Other income
Total, excluding investment securities gains
Investment securities gains Total

Six months ended

| Six months ended | Increase (Decrease) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| June 30 | (D) |
| $2014 \quad \$$ | $\%$ |
| (dollars in thousands) |  |


| $\$ 10,839$ | $\$ 15,085$ | $\$(4,246$ | $)(28.1$ | $) \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6,398 | 5,802 | 596 | 10.3 |  |
| 7,026 | 7,875 | $(849$ | $)(10.8$ | $)$ |
| 24,263 | 28,762 | $(4,499$ | $)(15.6$ | $)$ |
| 22,297 | 20,697 | 1,600 | 7.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 6,566 | 6,674 | $(108$ | $)(1.6$ | $)$ |
| 4,645 | 4,458 | 187 | 4.2 |  |
| 2,285 | 2,466 | $(181$ | $)(7.3$ | $)$ |
| 2,007 | 539 | 1,468 | 272.4 |  |
| 618 | 676 | $(58$ | $)(8.6$ | $)$ |
| 3,332 | 3,205 | 127 | 4.0 |  |
| 19,453 | 18,018 | 1,435 | 8.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 5,396 | 17,015 | $(11,619$ | $)(68.3$ | $)$ |
| 3,950 | 2,155 | 1,795 | $(83.3$ | $)$ |
| 9,346 | 19,170 | $(9,824$ | $)(51.2$ | $)$ |
| 4,524 | 4,306 | 218 | 5.1 |  |
| 2,383 | 3,284 | $(901$ | $)(27.4$ | $)$ |
| 82,266 | 94,237 | $(11,971$ | $)(12.7$ | $)$ |
| 1,112 | 5,338 | $(4,226$ | $)(79.2$ | $)$ |
| $\$ 83,378$ | $\$ 99,575$ | $\$(16,197$ | $)(16.3$ | $) \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

The $\$ 4.2$ million, or $28.1 \%$, decrease in overdraft fee income included a $\$ 2.8$ million decrease in fees assessed on personal accounts and a $\$ 1.4$ million decrease in fees assessed on commercial accounts. The overall decline in these fees resulted from a reduction in the number of overdraft items paid.
The $\$ 1.6$ million, or $7.7 \%$, increase in investment management and trust services income was primarily due to a $\$ 1.2$ million, or $13.7 \%$, increase in brokerage revenue and a $\$ 381,000$, or $3.2 \%$, increase in trust commissions. These increases resulted from new trust business sales, improved market conditions that increased the values of existing assets under management, and additional recurring revenue generated through the brokerage business due to growth in new accounts. The $\$ 1.5$ million increase in commercial loan swap fees was due to the current interest rate environment and the Corporation's continued roll-out of the product.
Gains on sales of mortgage loans decreased $\$ 11.6$ million, or $68.3 \%$, due to a $\$ 553.6$ million, or $55.1 \%$, decrease in new loan commitments and a $29.4 \%$ decrease in pricing spreads compared to the prior year. Both decreases resulted from an increase in mortgage interest rates in the second half of 2013. The decline in new loan commitments was mainly in refinancing volumes, which were $\$ 130.1$ million, or $28.8 \%$, of new loan commitments in 2014 compared to $\$ 558.6$ million, or $55.6 \%$, during 2013.
Mortgage servicing income increased $\$ 1.8$ million in comparison to 2013, primarily due to a $\$ 3.2$ million decrease in amortization of mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) as prepayments slowed as mortgage rates increased, partially offset by the absence of a $\$ 2.0$ million reversal of the valuation allowance for MSRs recorded in the second quarter of 2013. Investment securities gains of $\$ 1.1$ million was a result of net realized gains on the sales of debt securities. The $\$ 5.4$ million of investment securities gains for first half 2013 included $\$ 2.2$ million of net realized gains on financial institution stocks and $\$ 3.2$ million of realized gains on the sales of debt securities.

Non-Interest Expense
The following table presents the components of non-interest expense:

|  | Six months ended June 30 |  | Increase (Decrease) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 | \$ |  | \% |  |
|  | (dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$123,189 | \$ 124,702 | \$(1,513 |  | (1.2 | )\% |
| Net occupancy expense | 25,067 | 23,291 | 1,776 |  | 7.6 |  |
| Other outside services | 11,052 | 8,175 | 2,877 |  | 35.2 |  |
| Data processing | 8,127 | 8,412 | (285 |  | (3.4 | ) |
| Equipment expense | 6,962 | 7,801 | (839 |  | (10.8 | ) |
| Professional fees | 6,463 | 6,442 | 21 |  | 0.3 |  |
| Software | 6,134 | 5,842 | 292 |  | 5.0 |  |
| FDIC insurance expense | 5,304 | 5,848 | (544 |  | (9.3 | ) |
| Marketing | 3,921 | 3,794 | 127 |  | 3.3 |  |
| Telecommunications | 3,606 | 3,540 | 66 |  | 1.9 |  |
| Postage | 2,599 | 2,470 | 129 |  | 5.2 |  |
| Operating risk loss | 2,544 | 3,626 | (1,082 |  | (29.8 | ) |
| Supplies | 2,178 | 2,592 | (414 |  | (16.0 | ) |
| Other real estate owned and repossession expense | 1,731 | 4,795 | (3,064 |  | (63.9 | ) |
| Intangible amortization | 630 | 1,069 | (439 |  | (41.1 | ) |
| Other | 16,221 | 15,667 | 554 |  | 3.5 |  |
| Total | \$225,728 | \$228,066 | \$(2,338 |  | (1.0 | )\% |

Salaries and employee benefits decreased $\$ 1.5$ million, or $1.2 \%$, with salaries increasing $\$ 352,000$, or $0.3 \%$, and employee benefits decreasing $\$ 1.9$ million, or $8.8 \%$. The increase in salaries was primarily due to normal merit increases, partially offset by decreases in overtime and temporary employee expense related to lower residential mortgage volumes and the core processing system conversion in 2013, a decrease in incentive compensation and lower salaries expense as a result of the completion of the cost savings initiatives executed in the first quarter of 2014. The decrease in employee benefits was primarily due to the cost savings initiatives, which included the elimination and reduction of certain employee benefit plans, most notably a decrease in profit sharing contributions and an amendment to the Postretirement Plan, partially offset by an increase in healthcare expenses.
The $\$ 1.8$ million, or $7.6 \%$, increase in net occupancy expense was primarily due to an increase in snow removal costs in 2014, partially offset by savings from the Corporation's 2014 branch consolidations.
Other outside services increased $\$ 2.9$ million, or $35.2 \%$, due to an increase in consulting services related to the Corporation's acceleration of risk management and compliance efforts.
The $\$ 839,000$, or $10.8 \%$, decrease in equipment expense was primarily due to a decrease in depreciation expense as certain assets became fully depreciated. FDIC insurance expense decreased $\$ 544,000$, or $9.3 \%$, due to a decrease in assessment rates based on improvements in subsidiary bank impaired asset levels.
The $\$ 1.1$ million, or $29.8 \%$, decrease in operating risk loss was due to a decrease in for losses associated with previously sold residential mortgages, primarily due to the Corporation entering into a settlement agreement with a secondary market investor during the first half of 2014 and a decrease in charges related to debit card fraud losses. See Note L "Commitments and Contingencies," in the notes to consolidated financial statements for additional details related to repurchases of previously sold residential mortgages.
Stationary and supplies decreased $\$ 414,000$, or $16.0 \%$ due to one-time cost associated with the core systems conversion in 2013.
OREO and repossession expense decreased $\$ 3.1$ million, or $63.9 \%$, primarily due to an increase in net gains on sales of properties and a decrease in valuation provisions, which reflect the continued improvement in overall asset quality. The $\$ 439,000$, or $41.1 \%$, decrease in intangible amortization was primarily due to core deposit intangible assets, which are amortized on an accelerated basis.

Income Taxes
Income tax expense for the first six months of 2014 was $\$ 27.7$ million, a $\$ 2.8$ million, or $11.3 \%$, increase from $\$ 24.9$ million in 2013.
The Corporation's effective tax rate was $25.4 \%$ in 2014, as compared to $23.8 \%$ in 2013 . The effective rate is generally lower than the Federal statutory rate of $35 \%$ due to investments in tax-free municipal securities and tax credits earned from investments in partnerships that generate such credits under various federal programs. The increase in the effective tax rate in comparison to the first six months of 2013 was due primarily to a $\$ 1.7$ million ( $\$ 1.1$ million, net of federal tax) decrease in the valuation allowance for certain state deferred tax assets that was recorded as a credit to income tax expense in 2013.

## FINANCIAL CONDITION

The table below presents condensed consolidated ending balance sheets for the Corporation.

|  |  | Increase (Decrease) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| June 30, 2014 <br> (dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2013 | $\$$ |

Assets

| Cash and due from banks | $\$ 258,837$ | $\$ 218,540$ | $\$ 40,297$ | 18.4 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Other interest-earning assets | 305,518 | 248,161 | 57,357 | 23.1 |  |
| Loans held for sale | 36,079 | 21,351 | 14,728 | 69.0 |  |
| Investment securities | $2,497,776$ | $2,568,434$ | $(70,658$ | $)(2.8$ | $)$ |
| Loans, net of allowance | $12,647,826$ | $12,579,440$ | 68,386 | 0.5 |  |
| Premises and equipment | 225,168 | 226,021 | $(853$ | $)(0.4$ | $)$ |
| Goodwill and intangible assets | 532,432 | 533,076 | $(644$ | $)(0.1$ | $)$ |
| Other assets | 530,003 | 539,611 | $(9,608$ | $)(1.8$ | $)$ |
| Total Assets | $\$ 17,033,639$ | $\$ 16,934,634$ | $\$ 99,005$ | 0.6 | $\%$ |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | $\$ 12,693,659$ | $\$ 12,491,186$ | $\$ 202,473$ | 1.6 | $\%$ |
| Short-term borrowings | $1,008,307$ | $1,258,629$ | $(250,322$ | $)(19.9$ | $)$ |
| Long-term debt | 968,395 | 883,584 | 84,811 | 9.6 |  |
| Other liabilities | 263,478 | 238,048 | 25,430 | 10.7 |  |
| Total Liabilities | $14,933,839$ | $14,871,447$ | 62,392 | 0.4 |  |
| Total Shareholders' Equity | $2,099,800$ | $2,063,187$ | 36,613 | 1.8 |  |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | $\$ 17,033,639$ | $\$ 16,934,634$ | $\$ 99,005$ | 0.6 | $\%$ |

Cash and due from banks
Cash and due from banks increased $\$ 40.3$ million, or $18.4 \%$. Because of daily fluctuations that result in the normal course of business, cash is more appropriately analyzed in terms of average balances. On an average balance basis, cash and due from banks decreased $\$ 16.2$ million, or $7.4 \%$, from $\$ 219.2$ million for the month of December, 2013 to $\$ 203.0$ million for the month of June 2014.
Other interest-earning assets
The $\$ 57.4$ million, or $23.1 \%$, increase in other interest-earning assets was due to an increase in interest-bearing deposits with other banks.

Investment Securities
The following table presents the carrying amount of investment securities:

|  | June 30, 2014 December 31, 2013(dollars in thousands) |  | Increase (Decrease) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \$ | \% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government securities | \$525 | \$525 | - | - | \% |
| U.S. Government sponsored agency securities | 260 | 726 | (466 | ) (64.2 | ) |
| State and municipal securities | 269,823 | 284,849 | (15,026 | ) (5.3 | ) |
| Corporate debt securities | 100,653 | 98,749 | 1,904 | 1.9 |  |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | 1,002,731 | 1,032,398 | (29,667 | ) $(2.9$ | ) |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 930,605 | 945,712 | (15,107 | ) (1.6 | ) |
| Auction rate securities | 146,931 | 159,274 | (12,343 | ) $(7.7$ | ) |
| Total debt securities | 2,451,528 | 2,522,233 | (70,705 | ) $(2.8$ | ) |
| Equity securities | 46,248 | 46,201 | 47 | 0.1 |  |
| Total | \$2,497,776 | \$2,568,434 | \$(70,658 | ) (2.8 | )\% |

Total investment securities decreased $\$ 70.7$ million, or $2.8 \%$, in comparison to December 31, 2013, mainly in collateralized mortgage obligations and mortgage-backed securities, as portfolio cash flows were not fully reinvested due to relatively low yields on current investment options. Cash flows that were reinvested during the first half of 2014 were used to purchase securities with average lives of approximately five years to provide for more structured cash flows, thereby limiting price and extension risk in a rising interest rate environment. State and municipal securities decreased primarily due to maturities that were not fully reinvested. The decrease in ARCs was primarily due to the sales of securities with a total book value of $\$ 11.9$ million, resulting in no gain or loss.
The net pre-tax unrealized gain on available for sale investment securities was $\$ 1.3$ million as of June 30, 2014, compared to a $\$ 39.8$ million pre-tax unrealized loss as of December 31, 2013. The $\$ 41.1$ million increase in investment portfolio value was due to a decrease in market interest rates, which caused the fair values of collateralized mortgage obligations and mortgage-backed securities to increase. See additional details regarding investment security price risk within Item 7A, "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk."
Loans, net of unearned income
The following table presents ending balances of loans outstanding, net of unearned income:

|  |  | Increase (Decrease) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | June 30, 2014 <br> (in thousands) | December 31, 2013 | $\$$ | $\%$ |  |
| Real-estate - commercial mortgage | $\$ 5,128,734$ | $\$ 5,101,922$ | $\$ 26,812$ | 0.5 | $\%$ |
| Commercial - industrial, financial and agricultural3,601,721 | $3,628,420$ | $(26,699$ | $)$ | $(0.7$ | ) |
| Real-estate - home equity | $1,730,497$ | $1,764,197$ | $(33,700$ | $(1.9$ | $)$ |
| Real-estate - residential mortgage | $1,361,976$ | $1,337,380$ | 24,596 | 1.8 |  |
| Real-estate - construction | 634,018 | 573,672 | 60,346 | 10.5 |  |
| Consumer | 280,557 | 283,124 | $(2,567$ | $)(0.9$ | $)$ |
| Leasing and other | 102,008 | 93,505 | 8,503 | 9.1 |  |
| Loans, net of unearned income | $\$ 12,839,511$ | $\$ 12,782,220$ | $\$ 57,291$ | 0.4 | $\%$ |

The Corporation does not have a concentration of credit risk with any single borrower, industry or geographical location. The maximum total lending commitment to an individual borrower was $\$ 39.0$ million as of June 30, 2014, which is below the Corporation's maximum lending limit. As of June 30, 2014, the Corporation had 60 relationships with total borrowing commitments between $\$ 20.0$ million and $\$ 39.0$ million.
Approximately $\$ 5.8$ billion, or $44.9 \%$, of the loan portfolio was in commercial mortgage and construction loans as of June 30, 2014. The performance of these loans can be adversely impacted by fluctuations in real estate values. The Corporation limits its maximum non-owner occupied commercial real estate exposure to $\$ 28.0$ million to any one borrower, and limits its exposure to any one development project to $\$ 15.0$ million.

Construction loans include loans to commercial borrowers secured by residential real estate, loans to commercial borrowers secured by commercial real estate and other construction loans, which represent loans to individuals secured by residential real estate. The following table presents outstanding construction loans and their delinquency rates by these class segments:

|  | June 30, 2014 |  |  | December 31, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Balance | Delinquency |  | \% of Total | Balance |  | Delinquency |  | \% of Total |  |
|  | (dollars in | ousa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$335,195 | 0.6 | \% | 52.9 | \% | \$269,497 | 0.8 | \% | 47.0 | \% |
| Commercial - residential | 229,809 | 8.0 |  | 36.2 |  | 235,369 | 8.2 |  | 41.0 |  |
| Other | 69,014 | 0.6 |  | 10.9 |  | 68,806 | 0.8 |  | 12.0 |  |
| Total Real estate - constru | \$634,018 | 3.3 | \% | 100.0 | \% | \$573,672 | 3.8 | \% | 100.0 |  |

(1) Represents all accruing loans 31 days or more past due and non-accrual loans as a percentage of total loans within
each class segment.

Construction loans increased $\$ 60.3$ million, or $10.5 \%$, in comparison to December 31, 2013. Geographically, the increase in construction loans was in the Pennsylvania ( $\$ 30.6$ million, or 10.6\%) and Maryland ( $\$ 24.6$ million or $40.2 \%$ ) markets.
The $\$ 26.7$ million, or $0.7 \%$, decrease in commercial loans was due to a decrease in the Pennsylvania ( $\$ 62.4$ million, or $2.4 \%$ ) market, partially offset by increases in the New Jersey ( $\$ 31.4$ million, or $6.3 \%$ ) and Virginia ( $\$ 12.2$ million, or 8.5\%) markets.

The following table summarizes the percentage of commercial loans, by industry:

|  | June 30, | December 31, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2014 | 2013 | $\%$ |
| Services | 18.6 | $\%$ | 19.2 |

(1) Includes borrowers engaged in the business of: renting, leasing or managing real estate for others; selling and/or

Commercial loans and commercial mortgage loans also include shared national credits, which are participations in loans or loan commitments of at least $\$ 20$ million that are shared by three or more banks. Below is a summary of the Corporation's outstanding purchased shared national credits:

Commercial - industrial, financial and agricultural
Real estate - commercial mortgage

| June 30, 2014 <br> (dollars in thousands) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 136,200$ | $\$ 129,840$ |
| 137,740 | 87,868 |
| $\$ 273,940$ | $\$ 217,708$ |

Total shared national credits increased $\$ 56.2$ million, or $25.8 \%$, in comparison to December 31, 2013. The Corporation's shared national credits are to borrowers located in its geographical markets and the increase was due to normal lending activities consistent
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with the Corporation's underwriting policies. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, none of the shared national credits were past due.
The $\$ 24.6$ million, or $1.8 \%$ increase in residential mortgages was due to the retention of certain 15 -year fixed rate mortgages in the portfolio instead of selling those mortgages to third-party investors.
Provision for Credit Losses and Allowance for Credit Losses
The following table presents the activity in the allowance for credit losses:
Three months ended June 30 Six months ended June 30
$20142013 \quad 2014$
(dollars in thousands)
Average balance of loans, net of unearned income \$12,795,747 \$12,528,562 \$12,779,145 \$12,393,670
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Balance of allowance for credit losses at }\end{array} \$ \mathbf{\$ 1 9 9 , 0 0 6} \quad \$ 221,527 \quad \$ 204,917 \quad \$ 225,439$ beginning of period
Loans charged off:
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Commercial - industrial, financial and agricultural5,512 } & 5,960 & 10,637 & 15,462\end{array}$
Real estate - commercial mortgage 2,14
Real estate - home equity 1,23
Real estate - residential mortgage
Consumer
Real estate - construction
1,089
5,193 $\quad 3,527 \quad 9,326$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Leasing and other } & 833 & 769 & 1,128 & 1,250\end{array}$
Total loans charged off
11,476
$21,383 \quad 21,744 \quad 43,489$
Recoveries of loans previously charged off:
Commercial - industrial, financial and agricultural775
Real estate - commercial mortgage 430
Real estate - home equity 177
Real estate - residential mortgage 108
Consumer 402
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Real estate }- \text { construction } & 158 & 744 & 382 & 1,415\end{array}$
Leasing and other 362
Total recoveries 2,412
Net loans charged off 9,064
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Provision for credit losses } & 3,500 & 13,500 & 6,000 & 28,500 \\ \text { Balance of allowance for credit losses at end of } & \$ 193,442 & \$ 217,626 & \$ 193,442 & \$ 217,626\end{array}$ period

Net charge-offs to average loans (annualized) $0.28 \quad \% \quad 0.56 \quad \% \quad 0.27 \quad \% \quad 0.59 \quad \%$
The following table presents the components of the allowance for credit losses:

Allowance for loan losses

| June 30, | December 31, |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2014 | 2013 |

Reserve for unfunded lending commitments
Allowance for credit losses
(dollars in thousands)

Allowance for credit losses to loans outstanding
\$191,685 \$202,780
1,757 2,137
\$193,442 \$204,917

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Corporation's provision for credit losses decreased $\$ 10.0$ million, or $74.1 \%$, and $\$ 22.5$ million, or $78.9 \%$, respectively, in comparison to the same periods in 2013 . The decreases in the provision for credit losses were due to improvements in credit quality, as shown by a reduction in non-performing loans and overall delinquency.

Net charge-offs decreased $\$ 8.3$ million, or $47.9 \%$, to $\$ 9.1$ million for the second quarter of 2014 , compared to $\$ 17.4$ million for the second quarter of 2013. The decrease in net charge-offs was primarily due to a $\$ 3.4$ million, or $77.4 \%$, decrease in residential mortgage net charge-offs, a $\$ 2.0$ million, or $53.6 \%$, decrease in commercial mortgage net charge-offs and a $\$ 1.8$ million, or $96.8 \%$, decrease in real estate - construction net charge-offs. Of the $\$ 9.1$ million of net charge-offs recorded in the second quarter of $2014,65.8 \%$ were for loans originated in Pennsylvania and $24.6 \%$ were for loans originated in New Jersey.
During the first half 2014, net charge-offs decreased $\$ 18.8$ million, or $51.9 \%$, to $\$ 17.5$ million for the first half of 2014 compared to $\$ 36.3$ million for the same period of 2013 . The decrease in net charge-offs was primarily due to a $\$ 5.6$ million, or $76.6 \%$, decrease in residential mortgage net charge-offs, a $\$ 5.2$ million, or $36.4 \%$, decrease in commercial loan net charge-offs and a $\$ 3.7$ million or $54.8 \%$, decrease in commercial mortgage net charge-offs. Of the $\$ 17.5$ million of net charge-offs recorded during the first six months of $2014,69.3 \%$ and $24.1 \%$ were for loans originated in Pennsylvania and New Jersey, respectively.

The following table summarizes non-performing assets as of the indicated dates:


The following table presents accruing loans whose terms have been modified under troubled debt restructurings (TDRs), by type, as of the indicated dates:

Real estate - residential mortgage
Real estate - commercial mortgage
Real estate - construction
Commercial - industrial, financial and agricultural
Real estate - home equity
Consumer
Total accruing TDRs
Non-accrual TDRs (1)
Total TDRs

| June 30, 2014 <br> (in thousands) | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2013 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 31,184$ | $\$ 28,948$ | $\$ 28,815$ |
| 19,398 | 24,828 | 19,758 |
| 8,561 | 10,599 | 10,117 |
| 6,953 | 8,394 | 8,045 |
| 2,815 | 1,549 | 1,365 |
| 23 | 13 | 11 |
| 68,934 | 74,331 | 68,111 |
| 25,526 | 30,377 | 30,209 |
| $\$ 94,460$ | $\$ 104,708$ | $\$ 98,320$ |

(1) Included with non-accrual loans in the preceding table.

TDRs modified during the first half of 2014 and still outstanding as of June 30, 2014 totaled $\$ 14.6$ million. During the first half of 2014, $\$ 2.6$ million of TDRs that were modified within the previous 12 months had a payment default, which the Corporation defines as a single missed scheduled payment, subsequent to modification.

The following table presents the changes in non-accrual loans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014:


Three months ended June 30,
2014
Balance of

| non-accrual loans at | $\$ 38,518$ | $\$ 42,384$ | $\$ 19,935$ | $\$ 21,319$ | $\$ 11,548$ | $\$ 1$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 133,705$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| March 31, 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Additions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Balance of non-accrual loans as $\$ 35,980 \quad \$ 41,936 \quad \$ 19,236 \quad \$ 21,053 \quad \$ 11,729 \quad \$-\quad \$-\quad \$ 129,934$
of June 30, 2014
Six months ended June 30, 2014
Balance of

| non-accrual loans as <br> of December 31, | $\$ 36,710$ | $\$ 40,566$ | $\$ 20,921$ | $\$ 22,282$ | $\$ 13,272$ | $\$ 2$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 133,753$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 2013


| Additions | 20,169 |  | 14,424 |  | 1,151 |  | 6,805 |  | 5,551 |  | 1,204 |  | 407 |  | 49,711 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Payments | (9,510 | ) | (8,612 | ) | (2,335 | ) | (1,446 | ) | (1,380 |  | (6 |  | - |  | (23,289 |
| Charge-offs | (10,637 | ) | (3,527 | ) | (432 | ) | (1,935 | ) | (2,885 |  | (1,200 | ) | (407 | ) | (21,023 |
| Transfers to accrual status | - |  | (54 | ) | - |  | (2,328 | ) | (1,558 |  | - |  | - |  | (3,940 |
| Transfers to OREO | (752 |  | (861 | ) | (69 | ) | (2,325 |  | (1,271 |  | - |  | - |  | (5,278 |

Balance of non-accrual loans as $\$ 35,980 \quad \$ 41,936 \quad \$ 19,236 \quad \$ 21,053 \quad \$ 11,729 \quad \$-\quad \$-\quad \$ 129,934$ of June 30, 2014

Non-accrual loans decreased $\$ 34.1$ million, or $20.8 \%$, in comparison to June 30, 2013 and $\$ 3.8$ million in comparison to December 31, 2013. Total non-accrual additions for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 were $\$ 24.4$ million and $\$ 49.7$ million, respectively, compared to additions for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 of $\$ 38.3$ million and $\$ 83.6$ million, respectively.

The following table summarizes non-performing loans, by type, as of the indicated dates:

| June 30, 2014 <br> (in thousands) | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2013 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 44,015$ | $\$ 49,429$ | $\$ 44,068$ |
| 38,163 | 57,219 | 38,021 |
| 27,887 | 30,660 | 31,347 |
| 20,268 | 29,964 | 21,267 |


| Real estate - home equity | 16,094 | 19,046 | 16,983 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Leasing | 60 | 100 | 48 |
| Consumer | 2,825 | 2,780 | 2,543 |
| Total non-performing loans | $\$ 149,312$ | $\$ 189,198$ | $\$ 154,277$ |

Non-performing commercial mortgages decreased $\$ 5.4$ million, or $11.0 \%$, in comparison to June 30, 2013.
Geographically, the decrease was primarily in the Virginia ( $\$ 5.2$ million, or $76.9 \%$ ) and Delaware ( $\$ 2.9$ million, or $78.0 \%$ ) markets.
Non-performing commercial loans decreased $\$ 19.1$ million, or $33.3 \%$, in comparison to June 30, 2013.
Geographically, the decrease was primarily in the Pennsylvania ( $\$ 16.8$ million, or $40.9 \%$ ) market.
Non-performing construction loans decreased $\$ 9.7$ million, or $32.4 \%$, in comparison to June 30, 2013.
Geographically, the decrease occurred primarily in the Maryland ( $\$ 5.7$ million, or $61.9 \%$ ) and Pennsylvania ( $\$ 2.7$ million, or $19.3 \%$ ) markets.
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The following table summarizes the Corporation's OREO, by property type, as of the indicated dates:
June 30, 2014 June 30, 2013 December 31, 2013
(in thousands)
Residential properties
\$8,279 \$10,501 \$7,052
Commercial properties
3,262 5,800 5,586
Undeveloped land
1,941 4,683 2,414
Total OREO
\$13,482 \$20,984
\$15,052
The Corporation's ability to identify potential problem loans in a timely manner is important to maintaining an adequate allowance for credit losses. For commercial loans, commercial mortgages and construction loans to commercial borrowers, an internal risk rating process is used to monitor credit quality. For a description of the Corporation's risk ratings, see Note E, "Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses," in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The evaluation of credit risk for residential mortgages, home equity loans, construction loans to individuals, consumer loans and lease receivables is based on aggregate payment history, through the monitoring of delinquency levels and trends.
Total internally risk rated loans were $\$ 9.3$ billion as of June 30, 2014 and $\$ 9.2$ billion as of December 31, 2013. The following table presents internal risk ratings for commercial loans, commercial mortgages and construction loans to commercial borrowers with internal risk ratings of Special Mention (considered criticized loans) or Substandard or lower (considered classified loans), by class segment:


Real estate -
commercial \$115,220 \$141,013 \$(25,793)(18.3)\% \$163,287 \$196,922 \$(33,635)(17.1)\% \$278,507 \$337,9
mortgage

$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { Commercial } & 23,681 & 11,666 & 12,015 & 103.0 & 5,272 & 2,755 & 2,517 & 91.4 & 28,953 & 14,421 \\ \text {-unsecured } & 23,61\end{array}$
Total
Commercial

| - Industrial, | 152,505 | 123,279 | 29,226 | 23.7 | 132,062 | 128,137 | 3,925 | 3.1 | 284,567 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 251,41

financial and
agricultural
Construction
$\left.\begin{array}{llllllllll}- \\ \text { commercial } & 28,412 & 31,522 & (3,110 & )(9.9 & 47,031 & 57,806 & (10,775\end{array}\right)(18.6) \quad 75,443 \quad 89,328$
residential
Construction
$\left.\begin{array}{llllllllll} & 1,470 & 2,932 & (1,462 & )(49.9) & 6,415 & 8,124 & (1,709 & )(21.0) & 7,885\end{array}\right) 11,056$

- other)

Total $\$ 297,607 \quad \$ 298,746 \quad \$(1,139)(0.4) \% \$ 348,795 \quad \$ 390,989 \quad \$(42,194)(10.8) \% ~ \$ 646,402$
\% of total

| risk rated | 3.2 | $\%$ | 3.2 | $\%$ | 3.8 | $\%$ | 4.2 | $\%$ | 7.0 | $\%$ | 7.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

loans
As of June 30, 2014, total loans with risk ratings of Substandard or lower decreased $\$ 42.2$ million, or $10.8 \%$, in comparison to December 31, 2013 and $\$ 120.9$ million, or $25.7 \%$, in comparison to June 30, 2013. Special Mention loans decreased $\$ 1.1$ million, or $0.4 \%$, in comparison to December 31,2013 and decreased $\$ 23.5$ million, or $7.3 \%$, in comparison to June 30, 2013.
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The following table summarizes loan delinquency rates, by type, as of the dates indicated:

| June 30, 2014 |  |  | June 30, 2013 |  |  | December 31, 2013 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31-89 | $\geq 90$ Days | Total | 31-89 | $\geq 90$ Days | Total | 31-89 | $\geq 90$ Days | Tot |
| Days | (1) | Total | Days | (1) | Total | Days | (1) | Tot |

Real estate $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}\text { commercial } & 0.30 & \% & 0.86 & \% & 1.16 & \% & 0.47 & \% & 1.01 & \% & 1.48 & \% & 0.38 & \% & 0.87 & \% & 1.25 & \%\end{array}$ mortgage
Commercial industrial, financial and $0.47 \quad \% \quad 1.05 \quad \% \quad 1.52 \quad \% \quad 0.41 \quad \% \quad 1.54 \quad \% \quad 1.95 \quad \% \quad 0.30 \quad \% \quad 1.04 \quad \% \quad 1.34 \quad \%$ agricultural $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\begin{array}{l}\text { Real estate }-0.10 \\ \text { construction }\end{array} 0 & \% & 3.20 & \% & 3.30 & \% & 0.42 & \% & 4.91 & \% & 5.33 & \% & 0.11 & \% \\ 3.71 & \% & 3.82 & \%\end{array}$
Real estate -
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { residential } & 1.78 & \% & 2.05 & \% & 3.83 & \% & 2.12 & \% & 2.33 & \% & 4.45 & \% & 1.74 & \% \\ 2.34 & \% & 4.08 & \%\end{array}$
mortgage
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\begin{array}{l}\text { Real estate }- \\ \text { home equity }\end{array} & 0.68 & \% & 0.93 & \% & 1.61 & \% & 0.68 & \% & 1.08 & \% & 1.76 & \% & 0.91 & \% \\ 0.96 & & & 1.87 & \%\end{array}$
Consumer,
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\text { leasing and } & 1.55 & \% & 0.76 & \% & 2.31 & \% & 1.47 & \% & 0.74 & \% & 2.21 & \% & 1.99 & \% & 0.68 & \% & 2.67 & \%\end{array}$

| other <br> Total | 0.58 | $\%$ | 1.17 | $\%$ | 1.75 | $\%$ | 0.68 | $\%$ | 1.50 | $\%$ | 2.18 | $\%$ | 0.61 | $\%$ | 1.20 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $1.81 \quad \%$

Total dollars
(in $\quad \$ 74,955 \quad \$ 149,312 \quad \$ 224,267 \quad \$ 85,869 \quad \$ 189,198 \quad \$ 275,067 \quad \$ 77,667 \quad \$ 154,277 \quad \$ 231,944$
thousands)
(1) Includes non-accrual loans.

The Corporation believes that the allowance for credit losses of $\$ 193.4$ million as of June 30, 2014 is sufficient to cover incurred losses in both the loan portfolio and the unfunded lending commitments as of that date and is appropriate based on applicable accounting standards.
Deposits and Borrowings
The following table presents ending deposits, by type:
Increase(Decrease)

Noninterest-bearing demand
Interest-bearing demand
Savings
Total demand and savings
Time deposits
Total deposits

| June 30, 2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 31, } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | \$ | \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,484,125 | \$3,283,172 | \$ 200,953 | 6.1 | \% |
| 2,855,511 | 2,945,210 | (89,699 | ) 3.0 | ) |
| 3,338,018 | 3,344,882 | (6,864 | ) (0.2 | ) |
| 9,677,654 | 9,573,264 | 104,390 | 1.1 |  |
| 3,016,005 | 2,917,922 | 98,083 | 3.4 |  |
| \$ 12,693,659 | \$ 12,491,186 | \$ 202,473 | 1.6 |  |

Non-interest bearing demand deposits increased $\$ 201.0$ million, or $6.1 \%$, due primarily to a $\$ 168.9$ million, or $7.0 \%$, increase in business account balances and a $\$ 29.6$ million, or $4.2 \%$, increase in personal account balances.
Interest-bearing demand accounts decreased $\$ 89.7$ million, or $3.0 \%$, primarily due to a $\$ 129.9$ million, or $12.0 \%$, seasonal decrease in municipal account balances partially offset by a $\$ 40.0$ million, or $36.7 \%$, increase in business account balances. The $\$ 6.9$ million, or $0.2 \%$, decrease in savings account balances was due to a $\$ 53.0$ million, or $7.0 \%$, decrease in business account balances, partially offset by a $\$ 38.5$ million, or $1.8 \%$, increase in personal account balances. The $\$ 98.1$ million, or $3.4 \%$, increase in time deposits was due to an increase in time deposits with original maturities of 4 to 5 years due to promotional efforts intended to lock in longer-term rates.
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The following table summarizes the changes in ending borrowings, by type:

|  | Increase (Decrease) |
| :--- | :--- |
| June 30, 2014 <br> (dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2013 |

Short-term borrowings:
$\left.\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Customer repurchase agreements } & \$ 212,930 & \$ 175,621 & \$ 37,309 & 21.2 & \% \\ \text { Customer short-term promissory notes } & 86,366 & 100,572 & (14,206 & ) & (14.1 \\ \text { Total short-term customer funding } & 299,296 & 276,193 & 23,103 & 8.4 & \\ \text { Federal funds purchased } & 384,011 & 582,436 & (198,425 & ) & (34.1 \\ \text { Short-term FHLB advances (1) } & 325,000 & 400,000 & (75,000 & ) & (18.8 \\ \text { Total short-term borrowings } & 1,008,307 & 1,258,629 & (250,322 & ) & (19.9\end{array}\right)$
(1) Represents FHLB advances with an original maturity term of less than one year.

The $\$ 250.3$ million decrease in total short-term borrowings was primarily the result of a $\$ 202.5$ million increase in deposits.
Other liabilities
Other liabilities increased $\$ 25.4$ million, or $10.7 \%$, in comparison to December 31, 2013. The Corporation had $\$ 25.6$ million of investment securities purchases executed prior to June 30, 2014, which had not settled at the end of the quarter, compared to $\$ 6.2$ million of such payables as of December 31, 2013. Also contributing to the decrease in other liabilities was a reduction in reserves associated with the potential repurchase of previously sold residential mortgages.
Shareholders' Equity
Total shareholders' equity increased $\$ 36.6$ million, or $1.8 \%$, during the first six months of 2014. The increase was due primarily to $\$ 81.4$ million of net income and a $\$ 26.7$ million increase in after-tax unrealized holding gains on available for sale investment securities, partially offset by $\$ 49.8$ million of stock repurchases and $\$ 30.2$ million of dividends on shares outstanding.
In October 2013, the Corporation announced that its board of directors had approved a share repurchase program pursuant to which the Corporation was authorized to repurchase up to 4.0 million shares, or approximately $2.1 \%$ of its outstanding shares, through March 2014. During the first quarter of 2014, the Corporation repurchased 4.0 million shares at an average cost of $\$ 12.45$ per share, completing this repurchase program on February 19, 2014.
In May 2014, the Corporation announced that its board of directors had approved a share repurchase program pursuant to which the Corporation was authorized to repurchase up to 4.0 million shares, or approximately $2.1 \%$ of its outstanding shares, through December 31, 2014. No shares were repurchased under this repurchase program during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, however, the Corporation intends to complete the share repurchase program by the end of the third quarter of 2014, as permitted by securities laws and other legal requirements, and subject to market conditions and other factors.
The Corporation and its subsidiary banks are subject to regulatory capital requirements administered by various banking regulators. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements could result in certain actions by regulators that could have a material effect on the Corporation's financial statements. The regulations require that banks maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total and Tier I capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and Tier I capital to average assets (as defined).
As of June 30, 2014, the Corporation and each of its bank subsidiaries met the minimum requirements. In addition, each of the Corporation's bank subsidiaries' capital ratios exceeded the amounts required to be considered "well capitalized" as defined in the regulations.
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The following table summarizes the Corporation's capital ratios in comparison to regulatory requirements:

|  | June 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 | Regulatory <br> Minimum <br> for Capital |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adequacy |  |  |  |

In July 2013, the Federal Reserve Board approved final rules (the "U.S. Basel III Capital Rules") establishing a new comprehensive capital framework for U.S. banking organizations and implementing the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision's December 2010 framework for strengthening international capital standards. The U.S. Basel III Capital Rules substantially revise the risk-based capital requirements applicable to bank holding companies and depository institutions.
The new minimum regulatory capital requirements established by the U.S. Basel III Capital Rules are effective for the Corporation beginning on January 1, 2015, and become fully phased in on January 1, 2019.
When fully phased in, the U.S. Basel III Capital Rules will require the Corporation and its bank subsidiaries to: Meet a new minimum Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio of $4.50 \%$ of risk-weighted assets and a Tier 1 capital ratio of $6.00 \%$ of risk-weighted assets;
Continue to require the current minimum Total capital ratio of $8.00 \%$ of risk-weighted assets and the minimum Tier 1 leverage capital ratio of $4.00 \%$ of average assets;
Maintain a "capital conservation buffer" of $2.50 \%$ above the minimum risk-based capital requirements, which must be maintained to avoid restrictions on capital distributions and certain discretionary bonus payments; and Comply with a revised definition of capital to improve the ability of regulatory capital instruments to absorb losses as a result of which certain non-qualifying capital instruments, including cumulative preferred stock and trust preferred securities, will be excluded as a component of Tier 1 capital for institutions of the Corporation's size.
The U.S. Basel III Capital Rules use a standardized approach for risk weightings that expand the risk-weightings for assets and off balance sheet exposures from the current $0 \%, 20 \%, 50 \%$ and $100 \%$ categories to a much larger and more risk-sensitive number of categories, depending on the nature of the assets and resulting in higher risk weights for a variety of asset categories.
As of June 30, 2014, the Corporation believes its current capital levels would meet the fully-phased in minimum capital requirements, including the capital conservation buffers, as prescribed in the U.S. Basel III Capital Rules. Liquidity
The Corporation must maintain a sufficient level of liquid assets to meet the cash needs of its customers who, as depositors, may want to withdraw funds or who, as borrowers, need credit availability. Liquidity is provided on a continuous basis through scheduled and unscheduled principal and interest payments on outstanding loans and investments and through the availability of deposits and borrowings. The Corporation also maintains secondary sources that provide liquidity on a secured and unsecured basis to meet short-term and long-term needs.

The Corporation maintains liquidity sources in the form of demand and savings deposits, time deposits, repurchase agreements and short-term promissory notes. The Corporation can access additional liquidity from these sources, if necessary, by increasing interest rates. The positive impact to liquidity resulting from higher interest rates could have a detrimental impact on the net interest margin and net income if rates on interest-earning assets do not have a corresponding increase.
Borrowing availability with the FHLB and the Federal Reserve Bank, along with Federal funds lines at various correspondent banks, provides the Corporation with additional liquidity.
Each of the Corporation's subsidiary banks is a member of the FHLB and has access to FHLB overnight and term credit facilities. As of June 30, 2014, the Corporation had $\$ 923.7$ million of short and long-term advances outstanding from the FHLB with an additional borrowing capacity of approximately $\$ 1.7$ billion under these facilities. Advances from the FHLB are secured by qualifying commercial real estate and residential mortgage loans, investments and other assets.

As of June 30, 2014, the Corporation had aggregate availability under Federal funds lines of $\$ 1.6$ billion, with $\$ 384.0$ million of that amount outstanding. A combination of commercial real estate loans, commercial loans and securities are pledged to the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia to provide access to Federal Reserve Bank Discount Window borrowings. As of June 30, 2014, the Corporation had $\$ 1.2$ billion of collateralized borrowing availability at the Discount Window, and no outstanding borrowings.
Liquidity must also be managed at the Fulton Financial Corporation parent company level. For safety and soundness reasons, banking regulations limit the amount of cash that can be transferred from subsidiary banks to the parent company in the form of loans and dividends. Generally, these limitations are based on the subsidiary banks' regulatory capital levels and their net income. Management continues to monitor the liquidity and capital needs of the parent company and will implement appropriate strategies, as necessary, to remain adequately capitalized and to meet its cash needs.
The Corporation's sources and uses of funds were discussed in general terms in the net interest income section of Management's Discussion. The consolidated statements of cash flows provide additional information. The Corporation's operating activities during the first six months of 2014 generated $\$ 94.4$ million of cash, mainly due to net income, as adjusted for non-cash expenses, most notably depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment and a net increase in other liabilities, partially offset by net decrease in loans held for sale. Cash used in investing activities was $\$ 14.8$ million, due mainly to an increase in loans and a net increase in short-term investments, partially offset by proceeds from the maturities and sales of investment securities in excess of purchases. Net cash used by financing activities was $\$ 39.3$ million due to a net decrease in short-term borrowings, acquisitions of treasury stock and dividends paid on common shares, partially offset by increases in deposits and long-term debt.
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## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk is the exposure to economic loss that arises from changes in the values of certain financial instruments. The types of market risk exposures generally faced by financial institutions include interest rate risk, equity market price risk, debt security market price risk, foreign currency risk and commodity price risk. Due to the nature of its operations, only equity market price risk, debt security market price risk and interest rate risk are significant to the Corporation.

## Equity Market Price Risk

Equity market price risk is the risk that changes in the values of equity investments could have a material impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Corporation. As of June 30, 2014, equity investments consisted of $\$ 40.2$ million of common stocks of publicly traded financial institutions, and $\$ 6.0$ million of other equity investments. The equity investments most susceptible to equity market price risk are the financial institutions stocks, which had a cost basis of approximately $\$ 28.5$ million and a fair value of $\$ 40.2$ million at June 30,2014 , including an investment in a single financial institution with a cost basis of $\$ 20.0$ million and a fair value of $\$ 28.2$ million. The fair value of this investment accounted for $70.2 \%$ of the fair value of the common stocks of publicly traded financial institutions. No other investment within the financial institutions stock portfolio exceeded $5 \%$ of the portfolio's fair value. In total, the financial institutions stock portfolio had gross unrealized gains of $\$ 11.8$ million and gross unrealized losses of \$5,000 as of June 30, 2014.
Management continuously monitors the fair value of its equity investments and evaluates current market conditions and operating results of the issuers. Periodic sale and purchase decisions are made based on this monitoring process. None of the Corporation's equity securities are classified as trading.
In addition to its equity portfolio, investment management and trust services income may be impacted by fluctuations in the equity markets. A portion of this revenue is based on the value of the underlying investment portfolios, many of which include equity investments. If the values of those investment portfolios decrease, whether due to factors influencing U.S. equity markets in general or otherwise, the Corporation's revenue would be negatively impacted. In addition, the Corporation's ability to sell its brokerage services in the future will be dependent, in part, upon consumers' level of confidence in financial markets.

## Debt Security Market Price Risk

Debt security market price risk is the risk that changes in the values of debt securities, unrelated to interest rate changes, could have a material impact on the financial position or results of operations of the Corporation. The Corporation's debt security investments consist primarily of U.S. government sponsored agency issued mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations, state and municipal securities, U.S. government debt securities, auction rate securities and corporate debt securities. All of the Corporation's investments in mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations have principal payments that are guaranteed by U.S. government sponsored agencies.

Municipal Securities
As of June 30, 2014, the Corporation had $\$ 269.8$ million of securities issued by various municipalities. Ongoing uncertainty with respect to the financial strength of municipal bond insurers places much greater emphasis on the underlying strength of issuers. Continued pressure on local tax revenues of issuers due to adverse economic conditions could have an adverse impact on the underlying credit quality of issuers. The Corporation evaluates existing and potential holdings primarily based on the creditworthiness of the issuing municipality and then, to a lesser extent, on any underlying credit enhancement. Municipal securities can be supported by the general obligation of the issuing municipality, allowing the securities to be repaid by any means available to the issuing municipality. As of June 30, 2014, approximately $95 \%$ of municipal securities were supported by the general obligation of corresponding municipalities. Approximately $86 \%$ of these securities were school district issuances, which are also supported by the states of the issuing municipalities.

## Auction Rate Certificates

As of June 30, 2014, the Corporation's investments in student loan auction rate certificates (ARCs), had a cost basis of $\$ 158.5$ million and a fair value of $\$ 146.9$ million.
ARCs are long-term securities that were structured to allow their sale in periodic auctions, resulting in both the treatment of ARCs as short-term instruments in normal market conditions and fair values that could be derived based on periodic auction prices. However, beginning in 2008, market auctions for these securities began to fail due to an insufficient number of buyers, resulting in an illiquid market. This illiquidity has resulted in recent market prices that represent forced liquidations or distressed sales and do not provide an accurate basis for fair value. Therefore, as of June 30, 2014, the fair values of the ARCs were derived using significant unobservable inputs based on an expected cash flows model which produced fair values which were materially different from those that would be expected from settlement of these investments in the illiquid market that presently exists. The expected cash flows model, prepared by a third-party valuation expert, produced fair values which assumed a return to market liquidity sometime within the next five years. The Corporation believes that the trusts underlying the ARCs will self-liquidate as student loans are repaid.
The credit quality of the underlying debt associated with ARCs is also a factor in the determination of their estimated fair value. As of June 30, 2014, approximately $\$ 143$ million, or $97 \%$, of the ARCs were rated above investment grade, with approximately $\$ 6$ million, or $4 \%$, AAA rated and $\$ 102$ million, or $70 \%$, AA rated. Approximately $\$ 4$ million, or $3 \%$, of ARCs were either not rated or rated below investment grade by at least one ratings agency. Of this amount, approximately $\$ 3$ million, or $59 \%$, of the student loans underlying these ARCs have principal payments which are guaranteed by the federal government. In total, approximately $\$ 145$ million, or $99 \%$, of the student loans underlying the ARCs have principal payments which are guaranteed by the federal government. As of June 30, 2014, all ARCs were current and making scheduled interest payments.

## Corporate Debt Securities

The Corporation holds corporate debt securities in the form of pooled trust preferred securities, single-issuer trust preferred securities and subordinated debt issued by financial institutions, as presented in the following table as of June 30, 2014:

Single-issuer trust preferred securities
Subordinated debt
Pooled trust preferred securities
Corporate debt securities issued by financial institutions

| Amortized <br> cost <br> (in thousands) | Estimated <br> fair value |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 47,524$ | $\$ 43,186$ |
| 47,467 | 50,616 |
| 2,067 | 4,275 |
| $\$ 97,058$ | $\$ 98,077$ |

The fair values for pooled trust preferred securities and certain single-issuer trust preferred securities were based on quotes provided by third-party brokers who determined fair values based predominantly on internal valuation models which were not indicative prices or binding offers.

The Corporation's investments in single-issuer trust preferred securities had an unrealized loss of $\$ 4.3$ million at June 30, 2014. The Corporation did not record any other-than-temporary impairment charges for single-issuer trust preferred securities during the six months ended June 30, 2014 or 2013. Six of the Corporation's 20 single-issuer trust preferred securities were rated below investment grade by at least one ratings agency, with an amortized cost of $\$ 13.5$ million and an estimated fair value of $\$ 12.1$ million as of June 30, 2014. All of the single-issuer trust preferred securities rated below investment grade were rated BB or Ba. Single-issuer trust preferred securities with an amortized cost of $\$ 4.7$ million and an estimated fair value of $\$ 3.8$ million at June 30, 2014 were not rated by any ratings agency. As of June 30, 2014, all six of the Corporation's pooled trust preferred securities with an amortized cost of $\$ 2.1$ million and an estimated fair value of $\$ 4.3$ million, were rated below investment grade by at least one ratings agency, with ratings ranging from C to Ca . The class of securities held by the Corporation was below the most senior tranche, with the Corporation's interests being subordinate to other investors in the pools.

The amortized cost of pooled trust preferred securities is the purchase price of the securities, net of cumulative credit related other-than-temporary impairment charges, determined using an expected cash flows model. The most significant input to the expected cash flows model was the expected payment deferral rate for each pooled trust preferred security. The Corporation evaluates the financial metrics, such as capital ratios and non-performing asset ratios, of the individual financial institution issuers that comprise each pooled trust preferred security to estimate its expected deferral rate.

See Note D, "Investment Securities," in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion related to other-than-temporary impairment evaluations for debt securities and Note M, "Fair Value Measurements," in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion related to the fair values of debt securities.

## Interest Rate Risk, Asset/Liability Management and Liquidity

Interest rate risk creates exposure in two primary areas. First, changes in rates have an impact on the Corporation's liquidity position and could affect its ability to meet obligations and continue to grow. Second, movements in interest rates can create fluctuations in the Corporation's net interest income and changes in the economic value of its equity. The Corporation employs various management techniques to minimize its exposure to interest rate risk. An Asset/Liability Management Committee (ALCO), consisting of key financial and senior management personnel, meets on a regular basis. The ALCO is responsible for reviewing the interest rate sensitivity and liquidity positions of the Corporation, approving asset and liability management policies, and overseeing the formulation and implementation of strategies regarding balance sheet positions.
From a liquidity standpoint, the Corporation must maintain a sufficient level of liquid assets to meet the cash needs of its customers, who, as depositors, may want to withdraw funds or who, as borrowers, need credit availability. Liquidity is provided on a continuous basis through scheduled and unscheduled principal and interest payments on outstanding loans and investments and through the availability of deposits and borrowings. The Corporation also maintains secondary sources that provide liquidity on a secured and unsecured basis to meet short-term and long-term needs.

The following table provides information about the Corporation's interest rate sensitive financial instruments as of June 30, 2014. The table presents expected cash flows and weighted average rates for each of the Corporation's significant interest rate sensitive financial instruments, by expected maturity period. None of the Corporation's financial instruments are classified as trading. All dollar amounts are in thousands.

(1)

Amounts are based on contractual payments and maturities, adjusted for expected prepayments. Excludes $\$ 3.3$ million of overdraft deposit balances.
(2)Line of credit amounts are based on historical cash flows, with an average life of approximately 5 years. Amounts are based on contractual maturities; adjusted for expected prepayments on mortgage-backed securities
(3) and collateralized mortgage obligations and expected calls on agency and municipal securities. Excludes equity securities as such investments do not have maturity dates.
(4) Excludes Federal Reserve Bank and FHLB stock as such restricted investments do not have maturity dates.
(5) Amounts are based on contractual maturities of time deposits.
(6) Estimated based on history of deposit flows.
(7) Amounts are based on contractual maturities of debt instruments, adjusted for possible calls. Amounts also include junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures.
${ }^{8)}$ Amounts include Federal Funds purchased, short-term promissory notes and securities sold under agreements to ${ }^{8)}$ repurchase, which mature in less than 90 days, in addition to junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures.
The preceding table and discussion addressed the liquidity implications of interest rate risk and focused on expected cash flows from financial instruments. Expected maturities, however, do not necessarily reflect the net interest impact of interest rate changes. Certain financial instruments, such as adjustable rate loans, have repricing periods that differ from expected cash flows periods.
Included within the $\$ 9.8$ billion of floating rate loans above are $\$ 3.6$ billion of loans, or $36.5 \%$ of the total, that float with the prime interest rate, $\$ 1.9$ billion, or $19.0 \%$, of loans that float with other interest rates, primarily the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), and $\$ 4.3$ billion, or $44.5 \%$, of adjustable rate loans. The $\$ 4.3$ billion of adjustable rate loans include loans that are fixed rate instruments for a certain period of time, and then convert to floating rates.

The following table presents the percentage of adjustable rate loans, at June 30, 2014, stratified by the period until their next repricing:

|  | Percent of Total |
| :--- | :--- |
| Adjustable Rate |  |

The Corporation uses three complementary methods to measure and manage interest rate risk. They are static gap analysis, simulation of net interest income, and estimates of economic value of equity. Using these measurements in tandem provides a reasonably comprehensive summary of the magnitude of the Corporation's interest rate risk, level of risk as time evolves, and exposure to changes in interest rates.
Static gap provides a measurement of repricing risk in the Corporation's balance sheet as of a point in time. This measurement is accomplished through stratification of assets and liabilities into repricing periods. The sum of assets and liabilities in each of these periods are compared for mismatches within that maturity segment. Core deposits having no contractual maturities are placed into repricing periods based upon historical balance performance. Repricing for mortgage loans, mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations is based upon industry projections for prepayment speeds. The Corporation's policy limits the cumulative six-month ratio of rate sensitive assets to rate sensitive liabilities (RSA/RSL) to a range of 0.85 to 1.15 . As of June 30, 2014, the cumulative six-month ratio of RSA/RSL was 1.15.
Simulation of net interest income is performed for the next twelve-month period. A variety of interest rate scenarios are used to measure the effects of sudden and gradual movements upward and downward in the yield curve. These results are compared to the results obtained in a flat or unchanged interest rate scenario. Simulation of net interest income is used primarily to measure the Corporation's short-term earnings exposure to rate movements. The Corporation's policy limits the potential exposure of net interest income, in a non-parallel instantaneous shock, to $10 \%$ of the base case net interest income for a 100 basis point shock in interest rates, $15 \%$ for a 200 basis point shock and $20 \%$ for a 300 basis point shock. A "shock" is an immediate upward or downward movement of interest rates. The shocks do not take into account changes in customer behavior that could result in changes to mix and/or volumes in the balance sheet, nor do they account for competitive pricing over the forward 12-month period.
The following table summarizes the expected impact of interest rate shocks on net interest income (due to the current level of interest rates, the 200 and 300 basis point downward shock scenarios are not shown):
Rate Shock (1)
+300 bp
$+200 \mathrm{bp}$
+100 bp
-100 bp

| Annual change in net interest income | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: |
| + \$ 47.8 million | +9.4\% |
| + \$ 28.3 million | +5.6 |
| + \$ 9.5 million | +1.9 |
| - \$ 16.8 million | -3.3 |

(1)These results include the effect of implicit and explicit floors that limit further reduction in interest rates.

Economic value of equity estimates the discounted present value of asset cash flows and liability cash flows. Discount rates are based upon market prices for like assets and liabilities. Upward and downward shocks of interest rates are used to determine the comparative effect of such interest rate movements relative to the unchanged environment. This measurement tool is used primarily to evaluate the longer-term repricing risks and options in the Corporation's balance sheet. The Corporation's policy limits the economic value of equity that may be at risk, in a non-parallel instantaneous shock, to $10 \%$ of the base case economic value of equity for a 100 basis point shock in interest rates, $20 \%$ for a 200 basis point shock and $30 \%$ for a 300 basis point shock. As of June 30, 2014, the Corporation was within policy limits
for every 100 basis point shock.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures
The Corporation carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Corporation's management, including the Corporation's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15, promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). Based upon that evaluation, the Corporation’s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures are effective. Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in Corporation reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.
There have been no changes in the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter covered by this quarterly report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.
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## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Corporation and its subsidiaries are involved in various legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business of the Corporation. The Corporation periodically evaluates the possible impact of pending litigation matters based on, among other factors, the advice of counsel, available insurance coverage and recorded liabilities and reserves for probable legal liabilities and costs. In addition, from time to time, the Corporation is the subject of investigations or other forms of regulatory or governmental inquiry covering a range of possible issues and, in some cases, these may be part of similar reviews of the specified activities of other industry participants. These inquiries could lead to administrative, civil or criminal proceedings, and could possibly result in fines, penalties, restitution or the need to alter the Corporation's business practices, and cause the Corporation to incur additional costs. The Corporation's practice is to cooperate fully with regulatory and governmental investigations.
As of the date of this report, the Corporation believes that any liabilities, individually or in the aggregate, which may result from the final outcomes of pending proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, the operating results and/or the liquidity of the Corporation. However, legal proceedings are often unpredictable, and the actual results of such proceedings cannot be determined with certainty.
Regulatory Matters
In July 2014, three wholly owned banking subsidiaries of the Corporation, Fulton Bank, N.A., Swineford National Bank and FNB Bank, N.A., each entered into a Stipulation and Consent to the Issuance of a Consent Order (Consent Order) with their primary Federal bank regulatory agency, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency relating to identified deficiencies in a centralized Bank Secrecy Act and anti-money laundering compliance program, which was designed to comply with the requirements of the Bank Secrecy Act, the USA Patriot Act of 2001 and related anti-money laundering regulations (collectively, the "BSA/AML Requirements") as disclosed by the Corporation in a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 18, 2014 (Form 8-K). The Consent Orders require, among other things that the banking subsidiaries in question review, assess and take actions to strengthen and enhance their compliance programs related to the BSA/AML Requirements (BSA/AML Compliance Program). In addition, the Form 8-K disclosed that the Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary, Lafayette Ambassador Bank (Lafayette), anticipate that they will enter into a consent cease and desist order (Cease and Desist Order) with their primary Federal bank regulatory agency, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, in the near future. It is anticipated that the Cease and Desist Order will require the Corporation and Lafayette to strengthen the BSA/AML Compliance Program and will impose requirements similar to those set forth in the Consent Orders. Further, because the Consent Orders and the anticipated Cease and Desist Order relate to the BSA/AML Compliance Program, which is operated jointly for all of the Corporation's subsidiary banks, the Corporation anticipates that one or more of the Corporation's other subsidiary banks may also become the subject or subjects of a regulatory enforcement action related to the BSA/AML Requirements, and the provisions of any such enforcement action may differ from those of the Consent Orders.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

The discussion under the heading "Regulatory Compliance and Risk Management Matters" contained in Part I, Item 2 (Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations) of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, supplements and modifies the discussion of the risk factor "The supervision and regulation to which the Corporation is subject is increasing and can be a competitive disadvantage; the Corporation may incur fines, penalties and other negative consequences from regulatory violations, including inadvertent or unintentional violations" as set forth in Part I, Item 1A of the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. There have been no other material changes to the risk factors as previously disclosed in Part I, Item 1A of the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
Not applicable.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities
Not applicable.
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures
Not applicable.
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Item 5. Other Information
Not applicable.
Item 6. Exhibits
See Exhibit Index for a list of the exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K and filed as part of this report.
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## FULTON FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FULTON FINANCIAL CORPORATION
Date: August 8, 2014
/s/ E. Philip Wenger
E. Philip Wenger

Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President
Date: August 8, 2014
/s/ Patrick S. Barrett
Patrick S. Barrett
Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

## EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibits Required Pursuant
to Item 601 of Regulation S-K
3.1 Articles of Incorporation, as amended and restated, of Fulton Financial Corporation- Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Fulton Financial Corporation Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 24, 2011.

Bylaws of Fulton Financial Corporation as amended - Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Fulton Financial Corporation Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 18, 2008.
31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Financial statements from the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Fulton Financial Corporation for the quarter ended June 30, 2014, filed on August 8, 2014, formatted in XBRL: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows and (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - filed herewith.

