

Edgar Filing: EDISON INTERNATIONAL - Form DEFA14A

EDISON INTERNATIONAL
Form DEFA14A
April 16, 2007

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D. C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A
(Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT
SCHEDULED 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. ____)

Filed by the Registrant X
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant []

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
 Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e) (2))
 Definitive Proxy Statement
 Definitive Additional Materials
 Soliciting Material Pursuant to sec.240.14a-12

Edison International

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
 Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i) (1) and 0-11.
(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a) (2) and filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registrant number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

 Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a) (2) and filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registrant number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount previously paid:

Edgar Filing: EDISON INTERNATIONAL - Form DEFA14A

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

[The following letter was sent by Edison International to certain institutional holders of its common stock and delivered on April 16, 2007.]

[Edison International Letterhead]

April 16, 2007

Dear Shareholder:

I am writing to explain why Edison International ("Edison") disagrees with the recent voting recommendations made by Institutional Shareholder Services ("ISS") with respect to the shareholder proposal from Chevedden to be voted on at Edison's Annual Meeting of Shareholders on April 26, 2007. The proposals require that at least 75% of equity compensation granted to Edison's senior executives be limited to types of "performance-based" awards.

In our view, all of our senior officers' equity compensation is already performance-based. As in previous years, in 2006, 25% of the value was in performance shares, which vest based on total shareholder return. 75% was in stock options.

ISS has recommended a vote for the shareholder proposal because ISS does not consider stock options "performance-based" compensation. However, stock options provide a strong alignment between return to shareholders and the compensation of executives. Recent history underscores this. During the California energy crisis, stock options held by Edison executives were under water. As Edison's total shareholder return increased after the crisis, the stock options provided compensation to Edison executives commensurate with high shareholder returns in the period.

Furthermore, none of the circumstances that might logically justify such a proposal exist at Edison International.

- o Edison's shareholder returns have been strong. The increase in Edison's cumulative total shareholder return over the last five years has exceeded the increase in the Dow Jones US Electricity Index and the S & P 500 Index by 195%.
- o Edison has not paid excessive executive compensation. The target value of the senior officers' compensation package is the median for our peer group companies.
- o The strong correlation between executive compensation and shareholder value is illustrated by the results of an annual compensation study published in The New York Times, most recently on April 16, 2007. The study for 2005 pay showed that the correlation between the rise in Edison's total shareholder return and the rise in Edison CEO John Bryson's pay ranked among the best of the companies in the study. The study for 2006 showed that shareholder gains were more modest and Mr. Bryson's compensation did not increase. The 2005 study can be found at www.nytimes.com/imagepages/2006/04/09/business/09value.graphic and the 2006 study can be found at www.nytimes.com/2007/04/06/business/businessspecial/20070408_EXECPAY_GRAPHIC.html
- o When combined with the annual bonus, which is based on Edison meeting a number of pre-determined goals, a total of 80% of Mr. Bryson's salary is at-risk.

We appreciate your time and attention to this matter. If you would like to discuss the proposal, or other issue regarding our Proxy Statement, please call me at (626) 302-1130.

Sincerely,

/s/ Barbara E. Mathews
Barbara E. Mathews