BLACKROCK MUNIHOLDINGS NEW JERSEY INSURED FUND, INC. Form N-CSRS April 08, 2010

UNITEDSTATES SECURITIESANDEXCHANGECOMMISSION Washington,D.C.20549

FORM N-CSRS

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-08621

Name of Fund: BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. (MUJ)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Name and address of agent for service: Anne F. Ackerley, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc., 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055.

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Date of fiscal year end: 07/31/2010

Date of reporting period: 01/31/2010

Item 1 Report to Stockholders

EQUITIES FIXED INCOME REAL ESTATE LIQUIDITY ALTERNATIVES BLACKROCK SOLUTIONS

Semi-Annual Report

JANUARY 31, 2010 I (UNAUDITED)

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc. (MUC)

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. (MUJ)

BlackRock MuniYield Insured Investment Fund (MFT)

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Insured Fund, Inc. (MIY)

BlackRock MuniYield New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. (MJI)

BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania Insured Fund (MPA)

NOT FDIC INSURED

MAY LOSE VALUE

NO BANK GUARANTEE

Table of Contents

	Page
Dear Shareholder	3
Semi-Annual Report:	
Fund Summaries	4
The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging	10
Derivative Financial Instruments	10
Financial Statements:	
Schedules of Investments	11
Statements of Assets and Liabilities	32
Statements of Operations	33
Statements of Changes in Net Assets	34
Statements of Cash Flows	37
Financial Highlights	38
Notes to Financial Statements	44
Officers and Directors	51
Additional Information	52
2 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT	
JANUARY 31, 2010	

Dear Shareholder

Over the past year, investors worldwide witnessed a seismic shift in market sentiment as guarded optimism replaced the fear and pessimism that had domi-

nated since late 2007. The single most important reason for this change was the swing from a severe economic recession to an emergent global recovery.

At the start of 2009, markets were reeling from the virtually unprecedented global financial and economic meltdown. The looming threat of further collapse in

global markets prompted stimulus packages and central bank interventions on an extraordinary scale. By period end, these actions had helped stabilize the

financial system, and the economic contraction abated.

After reaching a trough in March 2009, stocks galloped higher as the massive, coordinated global monetary and fiscal stimulus began to re-inflate world

economies. Sidelined cash poured into the markets, triggering a dramatic and steep upward rerating of stocks and other risk assets. Still, the rally has not

been without interruption, as mixed economic data, global challenges regarding sovereign credit risk and proposed fees and levies on banks had begun to

dampen investor conviction toward period end. The experience in international markets generally mirrored that seen in the United States; notably, emerging

markets firmly reclaimed their leadership status.

The easing of investor risk aversion was notable in the fixed income markets as well, where non-Treasury assets made a robust recovery. One of the major

themes over the past year was the reversal of the flight-to-quality trade. High yield finished the period as the strongest-performing fixed income sector in both

the taxable and tax-exempt space. Overall, the municipal market made a strong showing as technical conditions remained supportive of the asset class. The

Build America Bond program was deemed a success, adding \$65 billion of taxable supply to the municipal marketplace in 2009 and \$4 billion so far this

year. The program continues to alleviate tax-exempt supply pressure and attract the attention of a global audience. However, fundamental concerns are moving

to the fore in the municipal space, and bear close watching as the year progresses. At the same time, yields on money market securities declined throughout

the reporting period and remain near all-time lows, with the Federal Open Market Committee reiterating that economic circumstances are likely to necessitate

an accommodative interest rate stance for an extended period. Investor assets in money market funds declined from the peak registered in early 2009, but

remain well above pre-crisis levels.

All told, the rebound in sentiment and global market conditions resulted in positive 6- and 12-month returns for nearly every major benchmark index, with the

most dramatic improvement seen among risk assets.

Total Returns as of January 31, 2010	6-month	12-month
US equities (S&P 500 Index)	9.87%	33.14%
Small cap US equities (Russell 2000 Index)	8.86	37.82
International equities (MSCI Europe, Australasia, Far East Index)	6.93	39.68
3-month Treasury bill (BofA Merrill Lynch 3-Month Treasury Bill Index)	0.10	0.22
US Treasury securities (BofA Merrill Lynch 10-Year US Treasury Index)	0.62	(3.31)
Taxable fixed income (Barclays Capital US Aggregate Bond Index)	3.87	8.51
Tax-exempt fixed income (Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index)	4.90	9.49
High yield bonds (Barclays Capital US Corporate High Yield 2% Issuer Capped Index)	15.90	50.80

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

The market environment continues to improve, but questions about the strength and sustainability of the recovery abound. Through periods of market

uncertainty, BlackRock s full resources are dedicated to the management of our clients assets. For additional market perspective and investment insight,

visit www.blackrock.com/shareholdermagazine, where you II find the most recent issue of our award-winning hareholder® magazine, as well as its quarterly

companion newsletter, Shareholder Perspectives. As always, we thank you for entrusting BlackRock with your investments, and we look forward to your

continued partnership in the months and years ahead.

THIS PAGE NOT PART OF YOUR FUND REPORT

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2010

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc.

Investment Objective

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc. (MUC) (the Funds) eks to provide shareholders with current income exempt from federal and

California income taxes. The Fund seeks to achieve this objective by investing primarily in a portfolio of long-term, investment-grade municipal obligations,

the interest on which, in the opinion of bond counsel to the issuer, is exempt from federal and California income taxes.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six months ended January 31, 2010, the Fund returned 6.10% based on market price, and 9.76% based on net asset value (NAV). For the same

period, the closed-end Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 8.47% based on market price, and 8.71%

on a NAV basis. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The performance of the Lipper category does not necessarily correlate to that of the Fund,

as the Lipper group comprises funds representing various states and not California alone. The Fund s discount to NAV, which widened during the period,

accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The Fund maintains a relatively generous degree of

income accrual, which was a positive factor. The tightening of credit quality spreads in the uninsured basket of the Fund s holdings also aided results. A

fully-invested posture and a slightly longer relative duration posture were additive, too, as rates declined in the second half of 2009. Throughout this period,

the municipal market benefited from the Build America Bond Program, which effectively moved supply to the taxable market and, thus, alleviated supply

pressure in the tax-exempt space. Conversely, downgrades of monoline insurers detracted from performance in all funds investing in bonds utilizing insur-

ance wraps. In addition, secondary market demand for insured municipals has decreased, limiting liquidity and widening spreads on insured bonds.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These

views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Fund Information		
	Symbol on New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)	MUC
	Initial Offering Date	February 27, 1998
	Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2010 (\$12.55) ¹	6.02%
	Tax Equivalent Yield ²	9.26%
	Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.063
	Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.756

Leverage as of January 31, 2010⁴

38%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

³ The Monthly Distribution per Share, declared on March 1, 2010, was increased to \$0.0705. The Yield on Closing Market Price, Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share and Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share do not reflect the new distribution rate. The new distribution

rate is not constant and is subject to further change in the future.

⁴ Represents Auction Market Preferred Shares (Preferred Shares) and tender option bond trusts (TOBs) as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund s market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/10	7/31/09	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$12.55	\$12.18	3.04%	\$13.63	\$11.95
Net Asset Value	\$14.08	\$13.21	6.59%	\$14.82	\$13.20
	<i>(</i> –				

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations			Credit Quality Allocations ⁵		
	1/31/10	7/31/09		1/31/10	7/31/09
County/City/Special District/			AAA/Aaa	43%	43%
School District	42%	46%	AA/Aa	29	23
Utilities	27	24	A	27	33
Transportation	10	13	BBB/Baa	1	1
Education	10	9	5 Using the higher of Standard & Poor $$ s ($$	S&Ps)orMo	ody s Investors
Corporate	5		Service (Moody s) ratings.		
State	4	4			
Health	2	4			

4 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2010

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2010

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.

Investment Objective

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. (MUJ) (the Funds) eks to provide shareholders with current income exempt from federal income

tax and New Jersey personal income taxes by investing in a portfolio of long-term, investment grade municipal obligations, the interest on which, in the opin-

ion of bond counsel to the issuer, is exempt from federal income tax and New Jersey personal income taxes.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six months ended January 31, 2010, the Fund returned 8.51% based on market price, and 6.55% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-

end Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 8.47% based on market price, and 8.71% on a NAV basis. All

returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The performance of the Lipper category does not necessarily correlate to that of the Fund, as the Lipper group com-

prises funds representing various states and not New Jersey alone. The Fund s discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference

between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The Fund s allocations to the industrial development revenue/pollution control revenue

and health sectors aided performance, as these represented two of the best-performing sectors for the six months. In contrast, pre-refunded and escrowed

issues lagged. The Fund s overweight in this sector, coupled with a shorter duration, restricted upward price movement in a declining rate environment.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These

views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Fund Information		
	Symbol on NYSE	MUJ
	Initial Offering Date	March 11, 1998
	Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2010 (\$14.09) ¹	5.88%
	Tax Equivalent Yield ²	9.05%
	Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.069
	Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.828
	Leverage as of January 31, 2010 ⁴	37%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price.

Past performance does not guarantee future results.

² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

³ The Monthly Distribution per Share, declared on March 1, 2010, was increased to \$0.073. The Yield on Closing Market Price, Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share and Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share do not reflect the new distribution rate. The new distribution

rate is not constant and is subject to further change in the future.

⁴ Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributa-

ble to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The

Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund s market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/10	7/31/09	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$14.09	\$13.38	5.31%	\$14.76	\$13.28
Net Asset Value	\$14.89	\$14.40	3.40%	\$15.51	\$14.38

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

	1/31/10	7/31/09
State	35%	35%
Transportation	17	15
County/City/Special District/		
School District	14	17
Education	11	10
Health	10	9
Housing	6	7
Utilities	5	5
Tobacco	1	1
Corporate	1	1

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

	1/31/10	7/31/09
AAA/Aaa	39%	41%
AA/Aa	22	20
A	29	27
BBB/Baa	7	9
Not Rated ⁶	3	3

 5 Using the higher of S&P s and Moody s ratings.

⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of January 31, 2010 and July 31, 2009, the market value of these securities was \$15,767,734, representing 3% and \$15,862,145, representing 3%, respectively, of the Fund s long-term investments.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2010

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2010

BlackRock MuniYield Insured Investment Fund, Inc.

Investment Objective

BlackRock MuniYield Insured Investment Fund (MFT) (the Fundseks to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from

regular federal income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund, which was formerly named

BlackRock MuniYield Florida Insured Fund, also seeks to provide shareholders with shares the value of which is exempt from Florida intangible personal

property tax.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six months ended January 31, 2010, the Fund returned 13.01% based on market price, and 8.52% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-

end Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of 10.18% based on market price, and 9.18% on a NAV basis. All

returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Fund s discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance

based on price and performance based on NAV. The Fund held a higher concentration in healthcare and housing bonds with maturities of 20 years and

longer, which benefited performance as the municipal yield curve flattened during the last six months. Meanwhile, the lack of availability in the national

insured market made it difficult to fully transition the Fund away from its holdings in Florida names. Many of these issues have weaker underlying credits,

thus also reducing their liquidity at current market prices. As these Florida names have underperformed the national market, this has detracted from

recent performance.

Fu Inf

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These

views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

und		
formation		
	Symbol on NYSE	MFT
	Initial Offering Date	October 30, 1992
	Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2010 (\$12.93) ¹	6.13%
	Tax Equivalent Yield ²	9.43%
	Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.066
	Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.792
	Leverage as of January 31, 2010 ⁴	39%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price.

Past performance does not guarantee future results.

 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

³ The Monthly Distribution per Share, declared on March 1, 2010, was increased to \$0.071. The Yield on Closing Market Price, Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share and Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share do not reflect the new distribution rate. The new distribution

rate is not constant and is subject to further change in the future.

⁴ Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributa-

ble to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The

Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund s market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/10	7/31/09	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$12.93	\$11.80	9.58%	\$13.38	\$11.80
Net Asset Value	\$13.50	\$12.83	5.22%	\$14.20	\$12.81

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations		
	1/31/10	7/31/09
Utilities	27%	28%
County/City/Special District/		
School District	26	22
Health	17	15
Transportation	14	17
State	8	10
Education	4	5
Housing	4	3

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

	1/31/10	7/31/09
AAA/Aaa	63%	55%
AA/Aa	12	13
A	21	27
Not Rated ⁶	4	5

⁵ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of January 31, 2010 and July 31, 2009, the market value of these securities was \$5,531,899, representing 3% and \$7,910,411, representing 5%, respectively, of the Fund s long-term investments.

6 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2010

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2010

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Insured Fund, Inc.

Investment Objective

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Insured Fund, Inc. (MIY) (the Funds}eks to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal income tax and Michigan income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management by investing primarily in a portfolio of long-term municipal obligations the interest on which, in the opinion of bond counsel to the issuer, is exempt from fedfederal income tax and Michigan income taxes.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six months ended January 31, 2010, the Fund returned 10.44% based on market price, and 8.33% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 8.47% based on market price, and 8.71% on a NAV basis. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The performance of the Lipper category does not necessarily correlate to that of the Fund, as the Lipper group comprises funds representing various states and not Michigan alone. The Fund s discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The Fund s allocations to the industrial development revenue/pollution control revenue and health sectors aided performance, as these represented two of the best-performing sectors for the six months. In contrast, pre-refunded and escrowed issues lagged. The Fund s overweight in this sector, coupled with a shorter duration, restricted upward price movement in a declining rate environment.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These

views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Fund		
Information		
	Symbol on NYSE	MIY
	Initial Offering Date	October 30, 1992
	Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2010 (\$13.09) ¹	6.88%
	Tax Equivalent Yield ²	10.58%
	Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.075
	Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.900
	Leverage as of January 31, 2010 ⁴	38%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price.

Past performance does not guarantee future results.

 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

 3 The distribution is not constant and is subject to change.

⁴ Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributa-

ble to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The

Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund s market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/10	7/31/09	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$13.09	\$12.25	6.86%	\$13.58	\$12.18
Net Asset Value	\$14.60	\$13.93	4.81%	\$15.16	\$13.91

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund s long-term investments:

1/31/10	7/31/09
33%	22%
13	14
12	14
12	11
11	14
8	12
7	10
4	3
	33% 13 12 12 11 8 7

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

	1/31/10	7/31/09
AAA/Aaa	42%	32%
AA/Aa	20	22
A	34	42
BBB/Baa	2	2
Not Rated	2	26

 5 Using the higher of S&P $\,$ s or Moody $\,$ s ratings.

⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated

securities to be of investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2009, the market value of these securities was \$3,021,972, representing 1% of the Fund s long-term investments.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2010

7

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2010

BlackRock MuniYield New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc.

Investment Objective

BlackRock MuniYield New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. (MJI) (the Funds}eks to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from fed-

eral income tax and New Jersey personal income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management by investing primarily

in a portfolio of long-term municipal obligations the interest on which, in the opinion of bond counsel to the issuer, is exempt from federal income tax and New

Jersey personal income taxes.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six months ended January 31, 2010, the Fund returned 11.70% based on market price, and 7.75% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-

end Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 8.47% based on market price, and 8.71% on a NAV basis. All

returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The performance of the Lipper category does not necessarily correlate to that of the Fund, as the Lipper group

comprises funds representing various states and not New Jersey alone. The Fund s discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the

difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The Fund s allocations to the industrial development revenue/pollution

control revenue and health sectors aided performance, as these represented two of the best-performing sectors for the six months. In contrast, pre-refunded

and escrowed issues lagged. The Fund s overweight allocation to this sector, coupled with a shorter duration, restricted upward price movement

in a declining rate environment.

Fu Inf

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These

views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

und		
formation		
	Symbol on NYSE	MJI
	Initial Offering Date	October 30, 1992
	Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2010 (\$13.80) ¹	6.04%
	Tax Equivalent Yield ²	9.29%
	Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0695
	Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.8340
	Leverage as of January 31, 2010 ⁴	35%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price.

Past performance does not guarantee future results.

 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

³ The Monthly Distribution per Share, declared on March 1, 2010, was increased to \$0.072. The Yield on Closing Market Price, Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share and Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share do not reflect the new distribution rate. The new distribution

rate is not constant and is subject to further change in the future.

⁴ Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributa-

ble to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The

Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund s market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/10	7/31/09	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$13.80	\$12.82	7.64%	\$14.66	\$12.82
Net Asset Value	\$14.61	\$14.07	3.84%	\$15.38	\$14.04

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations		
	1/31/10	7/31/09
State	25%	26%
Education	16	15
County/City/Special District/		
School District	15	18
Utilities	12	12
Transportation	11	10
Health	10	10
Housing	7	7
Corporate	3	1
Tobacco	1	1

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

	1/31/10	7/31/09
AAA/Aaa	31%	32%
AA/Aa	19	22
A	38	34
BBB/Baa	6	8
Not Rated ⁶	6	4

 5 Using the higher of S&P $\,$ s and Moody $\,$ s ratings.

⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated

securities to be of investment grade quality. As of January 31,

2010 and July 31, 2009, the market value of these securities was \$11,852,771, representing 6% and \$7,777,159, representing 4%, respectively, of the Fund s long-term investments.

8 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2010

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2010

BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania Insured Fund

Investment Objective

BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania Insured Fund (MPA) (the Fundseks to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal

and Pennsylvania income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management by investing primarily in a portfolio of long-term

municipal obligations the interest on which, in the opinion of bond counsel to the issuer, is exempt from federal and Pennsylvania income taxes.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six months ended January 31, 2010, the Fund returned 6.80% based on market price, and 7.72% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-

end Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 8.47% based on market price, and 8.71% on a NAV basis.

All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The performance of the Lipper category does not necessarily correlate to that of the Fund, as the Lipper group

comprises funds representing various states and not Pennsylvania alone. The Fund s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the

difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The Fund benefited from our effort to increase the portfolio weighting in

interest-rate-sensitive bonds as tax-exempt, 30-year interest rates rallied 43 basis points lower for the six months. Conversely, relative Fund performance

was negatively affected by its exposure to zero-coupon bonds, which remained out of favor with investors and underperformed current coupon bonds

throughout the period. The Fund was fully invested at period end due to the historically low yields earned on cash and cash equivalents; this will improve

the income generation component of the Fund s total return.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These

views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Fund Information		
	Symbol on NYSE	MPA
	Initial Offering Date	October 30, 1992
	Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2010 (\$13.35) ¹	5.89%
	Tax Equivalent Yield ²	9.06%
	Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0655
	Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.7860
	Leverage as of January 31, 2010 ⁴	36%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price.

Past performance does not guarantee future results.

 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

³ The Monthly Distribution per Share, declared on March 1, 2010, was increased to \$0.0705. The Yield on Closing Market Price, Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share and Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share do not reflect the new distribution rate. The new distribution

rate is not constant and is subject to further change in the future.

⁴ Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributa-

ble to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The

Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund s market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/10	7/31/09	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$13.35	\$12.87	3.73%	\$14.08	\$12.80
Net Asset Value	\$14.94	\$14.28	4.62%	\$15.64	\$14.25

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations		
	1/31/10	7/31/09
County/City/Special District/		
School District	31%	38%
State	23	15
Transportation	11	11
Utilities	11	11
Health	11	9
Education	6	6
Housing	4	4
Corporate	3	6

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

	1/31/10	7/31/09
AAA/Aaa	40%	39%
AA/Aa	40	42
A	19	18
BBB/Baa	1	1
5 Llaing the higher of SSR, a or Meady, a ratinge		

⁵ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2010

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Funds may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the yield and NAV of their Common Shares. However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments.

To leverage, the Funds issue Preferred Shares, which pay dividends at prevailing short-term interest rates, and invests the proceeds in long-term municipal bonds. In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the cost of assets to be obtained from leverage will be based on short-term interest rates, which normally will be lower than the income earned by each Fund on its longer-term portfolio investments. To the extent that the total assets of each Fund (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, each Fund s Common Shareholders will benefit from the incremental net income.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Fund s Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it issues Preferred Shares for an additional \$50 million, creating a total value of \$150 million available for investment in long-term municipal bonds. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and long-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, the Fund pays dividends on the \$50 million of Preferred Shares based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by the Fund with assets received from the Preferred Shares issuance earn the income based on long-term interest rates. In this case, the dividends paid to Preferred Shareholders are significantly lower than the income earned on the Fund s long-term investments, and therefore the Common Shareholders are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

If short-term interest rates rise, narrowing the differential between shortterm and long-term interest rates, the incremental net income pickup on the Common Shares will be reduced or eliminated completely. Furthermore, if pervailing short-term interest rates rise above long-term interest rates of 6%, the yield curve has a negative slope. In this case, the Fund pays dividends on the higher short-term interest rates whereas the Fund s total portfolio earns income based on lower long-term interest rates.

Furthermore, the value of the Fund s portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the redemption value of the Fund s Preferred Shares does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Fund s NAV positively or negatively in addition to the impact on Fund performance from leverage from Preferred Shares discussed above.

The Funds may also leverage their assets through the use of tender option bond (TOB) programs, as described in Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements. TOB investments generally will provide the Funds with

economic benefits in periods of declining short-term interest rates, but expose the Funds to risks during periods of rising short-term interest rates similar to those associated with Preferred Shares issued by the Funds, as described above. Additionally, fluctuations in the market value of municipal bonds deposited into the TOB trust may adversely affect each Fund s NAV per share.

The use of leverage may enhance opportunities for increased returns to the Funds and Common Shareholders, but as described above, it also creates risks as short- or long-term interest rates fluctuate. Leverage also will generally cause greater changes in the Funds NAV, market price and dividend rate than a comparable portfolio without leverage. If the income derived from securities purchased with assets received from leverage exceeds the cost of leverage, the Funds net income will be greater than if leverage had not been used. Conversely, if the income from the securities purchased is not sufficient to cover the cost of leverage, the Funds net income will be less than if leverage had not been used, and therefore the amount available for distribution to Common Shareholders will be reduced. Each Fund may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause a Fund to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit each Fund s ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies, such as in the case of certain restrictions imposed by ratings agencies that rate preferred shares issued by the Funds. Each Fund will incur expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Funds are permitted to issue Preferred Shares in an amount of up to 50% of its total managed assets at the time of issuance. Under normal circumstances, each Fund anticipates that the total economic leverage from Preferred Shares and/or TOBs will not exceed 50% of its total managed assets at the time such leverage is incurred. As of January 31, 2010, the Funds had economic leverage from Preferred Shares and/or TOBs as a percentage of their total managed assets as follows:

	Leverage
MUC	38%
MUJ	37%
MFT	39%
MIY	38%
MJI	35%
MPA	36%

Percent of

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Funds may invest in various derivative instruments, including financial futures contracts, as specified in Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements, which constitute forms of economic leverage. Such instruments are used to obtain exposure to a market without owning or taking physical custody of securities or to hedge market and/or interest rate risks. Such derivative instruments involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the transaction or illiquidity of the derivative instrument. Each Fund s ability to successfully use a derivative

instrument depends on the investment advisor s ability to accurately predict pertinent market movements, which cannot be assured. The use of derivative instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may require a Fund to sell or purchase portfolio securities at inopportune times or for distressed values, may limit the amount of appreciation a Fund can realize on an investment or may cause a Fund to hold a security that it might otherwise sell. The Funds investments in these instruments are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

10 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2010

Schedule of Investments January 31, 2010 (Unaudited)

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc. (MUC) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
California 125.4%		
Corporate 0.5%		
City of Chula Vista California, Refunding RB, San Diego		
Gas & Electric, Series A, 5.88%, 2/15/34	\$ 2,435	\$ 2,666,447
County/City/Special District/School District 54.8%		
Alameda County Joint Powers Authority, Refunding RB,		
Lease (AGM), 5.00%, 12/01/34	13,150	13,388,147
Banning Unified School District, California, GO, Election		
of 2006, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/27	2,825	2,872,714
Bonita Unified School District, California, GO, Election		
of 2004, Series B:		
(BHAC), 5.00%, 8/01/31	5,000	5,114,150
(NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/29	8,350	8,540,630
Cajon Valley Union School District, California, GO,		
Series B (NPFGC), 5.50%, 8/01/27 (a)	2,925	3,016,640
Central Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008,		
Series A (AGC), 5.63%, 8/01/33	2,600	2,762,188
City of Garden Grove California, COP, Series A, Financing		
Project (AMBAC), 5.50%, 3/01/26	4,040	4,223,012
City of Lodi California, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM),		
5.00%, 10/01/32	2,000	1,986,440
Coachella Valley Unified School District, California, GO,		
Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/27	2,400	2,431,080
Colton Joint Unified School District, GO, Series A (NPFGC),		
5.38%, 8/01/26	2,500	2,572,900
Corona Department of Water & Power, COP (NPFGC),		
5.00%, 9/01/29	5,910	5,969,987
Corona-Norca Unified School District, California, GO,		
Election of 2006, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/31	5,000	5,037,300
County of Kern California, COP, Capital Improvements		
Projects, Series A (AGC), 6.00%, 8/01/35	3,500	3,852,555
County of San Joaquin California, COP, Refunding, County		
Administration Building (NPFGC), 5.00%, 11/15/30	5,505	5,399,910

Covina-Valley Unified School District, California, GO,		
Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.50%, 8/01/26	2,395	2,525,575
Culver City Redevelopment Finance Authority, California,		
Tax Allocation Bonds, Refunding, Series A (AGM),		
5.60%, 11/01/25	3,750	3,832,088
Desert Community College District, California, GO,		
Series C (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/37	13,600	13,667,592
East Side Union High School District-Santa Clara County,		
California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, Series E		
(Syncora), 5.13%, 8/01/28 (b)	11,000	3,313,640
Fullerton Joint Union High School District, California, GO,		
Election of 2002, Series B (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/29	5,200	5,295,056
Hemet Unified School District, California, GO, Election		
of 2006, Series B (AGC), 5.13%, 8/01/37	4,500	4,516,920
Los Angeles Community College District, California, GO,		
Election of 2001, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/32	11,750	11,859,745
Los Angeles Community Redevelopment Agency,		
California, RB, Bunker Hill Project, Series A (AGM),		
5.00%, 12/01/27	10,000	10,089,100

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
California (continued)		
County/City/Special District/School District (continued)		
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority,		
Refunding RB, Proposition A, First Tier, Senior Series A		
(AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/01/35	\$ 9,000	\$ 9,183,690
Los Angeles Unified School District, California, GO,		
Election of 2004, Series H (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/32	4,750	4,782,015
Los Gatos Union School District, California, GO, Election		
of 2001, Series B (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/30	2,735	2,774,466
Marysville Joint Unified School District, California, GO,		
Election of 2008 (AGC), 5.13%, 8/01/34	6,915	6,979,724
Merced Community College District, California, GO,		
School Facilities Improvement District No. 1 (NPFGC),		
5.00%, 8/01/31	6,340	6,340,000
Natomas Unified School District, California, GO, Election		
of 2006 (BHAC), 5.00%, 8/01/32	2,500	2,524,925
Ohlone Community College District, GO, Ohlone,		
Series B (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/30	5,000	5,108,500
Poway Unified School District, Special Tax Bonds		

(AMBAC), 5.00%, 9/15/31	9,055	8,798,019
Redlands Unified School District, California, GO, Election		
of 2008 (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/33	5,000	5,102,100
Redwoods Community College District, GO, Election		
of 2004 (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/31	4,630	4,630,000
Richmond Joint Powers Financing Authority, California,		
Refunding LRB, Civic Center Project (AGC),		
5.88%, 8/01/37	2,075	2,142,853
Riverside Unified School District, California, GO, Election		
of 2001, Series B (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/30	10,735	10,782,234
Saddleback Valley Unified School District, California, GO		
(AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/29	4,115	4,206,476
Salinas Union High School District, California, GO,		
Election of 2002, Series B (NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/26	3,490	3,556,170
San Bernardino Community College District, California,		
GO, Election of 2002, Series C (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/31	17,770	18,115,626
San Francisco Bay Area Transit Financing Authority,		
Refunding RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/34	2,500	2,521,150
San Francisco Community College District, California,		
GO, Election of 2001, Series C (AGM), 5.00%, 6/15/31	4,195	4,274,999
San Jose Evergreen Community College District,		
California, GO, Refunding, CAB, Election of 2004,		
Series A (NPFGC)(b):		
5.17%, 9/01/24	10,410	4,725,932
5.34%, 9/01/29	7,250	2,075,603
San Jose Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Civic Center		
Project, Series B (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/32	14,800	14,899,604
San Juan Unified School District, California, GO, Election		
of 2002 (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/28	4,250	4,275,755
San Mateo County Transportation District, California,		
Refunding RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/29	5,650	5,888,543
Sanger Unified School District, California, GO, Election		
of 2006, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/27	7,345	7,565,497

Portfolio Abbreviations

		Berkshire Hathaway Assurance		Government National Mortgage
To simplify the listings of portfolio holdings in the	BHAC	Corp.	GNMA	Association
Schedules of Investments, the names and				
descriptions of	CAB	Capital Appreciation Bonds	GO	General Obligation Bonds
many of the securities have been abbreviated				
according	CIFG	CDC IXIS Financial Guaranty	HDA	Housing Development Authority
to the following list:	COP	Certificates of Participation	HFA	Housing Finance Agency

		EDA	Economic Development Authority	HRB	Housing Revenue Bonds
ACA	American Capital Access Corp.	EDC	Economic Development Corp.	IDA	Industrial Development Authority
AGC	Assured Guaranty Corp.	ERB	Education Revenue Bonds	ISD	Independent School District
AGM	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.	FGIC	Financial Guaranty Insurance Co.	LRB	Lease Revenue Bonds
	American Municipal Bond Assurance				National Public Finance
AMBAC	Corp.	FSA	Financial Security Assurance Inc.	NPFGC	Guarantee Corp.
AMT	Alternative Minimum Tax (subject to)	GAN	Grant Anticipation Notes	RB	Revenue Bonds
		GARB	General Airport Revenue Bonds	S/F	Single-Family

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2010

11

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc. (MUC)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
California (continued)		
County/City/Special District/School District (concluded)		
Santa Clara Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax		
Allocation Bonds, Bayshore North Project, Series A		
(AMBAC), 5.50%, 6/01/23	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,047,300
Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District,		
California, GO, Election of 2006, Series A (NPFGC),		
5.00%, 8/01/32	5,000	5,027,950
Santa Rosa High School District, California, GO, Election		
of 2002 (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/28	2,855	2,872,301
Sierra Joint Community College District, California, GO,		
Improvement District No. 2-Western Nevada County		
Campus, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/28	1,550	1,561,796
Snowline Joint Unified School District, COP, Refunding,		
Refining Project (AGC), 5.75%, 9/01/38	5,635	6,160,069
Tracy Area Public Facilities Financing Agency, California,		
Special Tax Bonds, Refunding, Community Facilities		
District No. 87-1, Series H (NPFGC), 5.88%, 10/01/19	4,125	4,136,385
Vista Unified School District California, GO, Series B		
(NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/28	2,550	2,565,453
Walnut Valley Unified School District, California, GO,		
Election of 2007, Measure S, Series A (AGM),		
5.00%, 2/01/33	2,000	2,027,660
Washington Unified School District-Yolo County,		
California, GO, CAB, Election of 2004, Series A		
(NPFGC), 5.08%, 8/01/29 (b)	6,075	1,698,995
West Contra Costa Unified School District, California, GO:		
CAB, Election of 2002, Series C (NPFGC),		
5.08%, 8/01/29 (b)	5,825	1,530,752
Election of 2002, Series B (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32	6,690	6,681,035
Election of 2005, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/26	2,595	2,638,674
Westminster Redevelopment Agency, California, Tax		
Allocation Bonds, Subordinate, Commercial		
Redevelopment Project No. 1 (AGC), 6.25%, 11/01/39	4,300	4,829,631

		315,299,251
Education 7.5%		
California State Public Works Board, RB, University of		
California, Institute Project, Series C (AMBAC),		
5.00%, 4/01/30	5,000	5,004,150
California State University, RB, Systemwide, Series A		
(AGM), 5.00%, 11/01/39	8,320	8,217,747
California State University, Refunding RB, Systemwide,		
Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 11/01/29	5,000	5,114,950
University of California, RB, Limited Project,		
Series D (AGM):		
5.00%, 5/15/37	5,950	5,953,154
5.00%, 5/15/41	8,000	7,862,720
University of California, Refunding RB, General, Series A		
(AMBAC), 5.00%, 5/15/27	10,500	10,939,005
		43,091,726
Health 3.2%		
ABAG Finance Authority for Nonprofit Corps,		
Refunding RB, Sharp Healthcare, 6.25%, 8/01/39	5,000	5,378,150
California Health Facilities Financing Authority,		
Refunding RB, Catholic Healthcare West, Series A,		
6.00%, 7/01/34	3,700	3,867,647
California Statewide Communities Development		
Authority, RB:		
Adventist, Series B (AGC), 5.00%, 3/01/37	3,150	3,085,740
Health Facility, Memorial Health Services, Series A,		
6.00%, 10/01/23	4,915	5,095,036
Los Angeles Orthopedic Hospital Foundation		
(AMBAC), 5.50%, 6/01/19	1,090	1,090,120
		18,516,693
See Notes to Financial Statements.		

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
California (continued)		
Housing 0.1%		
California HFA, RB, AMT, Class II (NPFGC):		
S/F Mortgage, Series C-2, 5.63%, 8/01/20	\$ 155	\$ 155,020
Series A1, 6.00%, 8/01/20	160	160,170
		315,190

State 5.7%

California Community College Financing Authority,		
RB, Grossmont-Palomar-Shasta, Series A (NPFGC),		
5.63%, 4/01/26	2,180	2,206,203
California State Public Works Board, RB, Department		
of Education, Riverside Campus Project, Series B,		
6.50%, 4/01/34	3,500	3,586,975
California State University, Refunding RB, Systemwide,		
Series C (NPFGC), 5.00%, 11/01/28	16,215	16,571,406
State of California, GO, Various Purpose,		
6.50%, 4/01/33	10,000	10,682,100
		33,046,684
Transportation 16.7%		
City of Fresno, California, RB, Series B, AMT (AGM),		
5.50%, 7/01/20	4,455	4,487,744
City of Long Beach, California, Refunding RB, Series B,		
AMT (NPFGC), 5.20%, 5/15/27	16,000	16,086,080
County of Orange, California, RB, Series B,		
5.75%, 7/01/34	6,345	6,859,199
County of Sacramento, California, RB, Senior Series B,		
5.75%, 7/01/39	2,650	2,820,713
Port of Oakland, RB, Series K, AMT (NPFGC),		
5.75%, 11/01/29	19,660	19,671,010
Port of Oakland, Refunding RB, Series L, AMT (NPFGC),		
5.38%, 11/01/27	25,265	23,868,098
San Francisco City & County Airports Commission, RB:		
Second Series, Issue 24A, AMT (AGM),		
5.50%, 5/01/24	6,430	6,501,823
Series E, 6.00%, 5/01/39	9,650	10,335,053
San Francisco City & County Airports Commission,		
Refunding RB, Second Series 34E, AMT (AGM),		
5.75%, 5/01/24	5,000	5,278,350
		95,908,070
Utilities 36.9%		
City of Escondido, California, COP, Refunding, Series A		
(NPFGC), 5.75%, 9/01/24	465	478,755
City of Glendale, California, RB (AGC), 5.00%, 2/01/31	5,000	5,132,650
City of Napa, California, RB (AMBAC), 5.00%, 5/01/35	9,100	9,204,195
City of Santa Clara, California, RB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC),		
5.00%, 7/01/28	6,050	6,085,634
East Bay Municipal Utility District, RB, Sub-Series A		
(NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/35	11,920	12,199,405

East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB,		
Sub-Series A (AMBAC):		
5.00%, 6/01/33	6,545	6,697,891
5.00%, 6/01/37	14,515	14,745,643
Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, RB, System:		
Sub-Series A-1 (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/01/36	4,385	4,432,797
Sub-Series A-2 (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/35	7,500	7,635,675
Sub-Series A-2 (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/01/35	1,150	1,170,804
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, RB:		
Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/35	13,550	13,892,002
Series B-1 (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/33	9,000	9,159,300
Oxnard Financing Authority, RB (NPFGC):		
Project, 5.00%, 6/01/31	10,000	9,921,600
Redwood Trunk Sewer & Headworks, Series A,		
5.25%, 6/01/34	13,000	13,039,000
Sacramento City Financing Authority, California,		
Refunding RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 12/01/29	8,775	8,654,958

12 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2010

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc. (MUC)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
California (concluded)		
Utilities (concluded)		
Sacramento Municipal Utility District, RB, Cosumnes		
Project (NPFGC), 5.13%, 7/01/29	\$ 36,760	\$ 36,071,485
Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District, RB		
(NPFGC), 5.00%, 12/01/36	4,500	4,536,180
San Diego County Water Authority, COP, Refunding RB,		
Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 5/01/32	10,000	10,097,900
San Francisco City & County Public Utilities		
Commission, RB:		
Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 11/01/32	15,000	15,160,350
Series B, 5.00%, 11/01/30	16,705	17,397,756
Turlock Public Financing Authority, California, RB,		
Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 9/15/33	6,655	6,722,748
		212,436,728
Total Municipal Bonds in California		721,280,789
Puerto Rico 0.9%		
County/City/Special District/School District 0.9%		
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First		
Sub-Series A, 6.50%, 8/01/44	5,000	5,335,800
Total Municipal Bonds 126.3%		726,616,589
Municipal Bonds Transferred to		
Tender Option Bond Trusts (c)		
California 33.0%		
Corporate 7.1%		
San Francisco Bay Area Transit Financing Authority,		
Refunding RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/30	23,100	23,627,142
University of California, RB, Limited Project, Series B		
(AGM), 5.00%, 5/15/33	17,397	17,428,649
		41,055,791
County/City/Special District/School District 11.8%		
Contra Costa Community College District, California, GO,		
Election of 2002 (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/28	7,800	7,986,186
Los Angeles Community College District, California, GO,		

Election of 2008, Series A, 6.00%, 8/01/33	9,596	10,644,542
Peralta Community College District, California, GO,		
Election of 2000, Series D (FSA), 5.00%, 8/01/35	15,490	15,571,323
Poway Unified School District, GO, Election of 2002,		
Improvement District 02, Series 1-B (FSA),		
5.00%, 8/01/30	10,000	10,166,500
San Diego Community College District, California, GO,		
Election of 2002 (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/30	12,549	12,768,140
Vista Unified School District, California, GO, Series A		
(FSA), 5.25%, 8/01/25	10,016	10,411,665
		67,548,356
Education 8.7%		
Education 8.7% Chaffey Community College District, GO, Election		
	9,905	10,113,809
Chaffey Community College District, GO, Election	9,905	10,113,809
Chaffey Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series B (NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/30	9,905 11,216	10,113,809 11,377,772
Chaffey Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series B (NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/30 Los Angeles Community College District, California, GO,		
Chaffey Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series B (NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/30 Los Angeles Community College District, California, GO, Election of 2003, Series E (FSA), 5.00%, 8/01/31		
Chaffey Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series B (NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/30 Los Angeles Community College District, California, GO, Election of 2003, Series E (FSA), 5.00%, 8/01/31 Peralta Community College District, California, GO,	11,216	11,377,772
Chaffey Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series B (NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/30 Los Angeles Community College District, California, GO, Election of 2003, Series E (FSA), 5.00%, 8/01/31 Peralta Community College District, California, GO, Peralta Community College (FSA), 5.00%, 8/01/32	11,216	11,377,772
Chaffey Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series B (NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/30 Los Angeles Community College District, California, GO, Election of 2003, Series E (FSA), 5.00%, 8/01/31 Peralta Community College District, California, GO, Peralta Community College (FSA), 5.00%, 8/01/32 Riverside Community College District, GO, Election	11,216 6,980	11,377,772 7,093,495

Municipal Bonds Transferred to	Par	
Tender Option Bond Trusts (c)	(000)	Value
California (concluded)		
Utilities 5.4%		
Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, RB, Power		
System Sub-Series A-1 (FSA), 5.00%, 7/01/31	\$ 4,993	\$ 5,128,217
Rancho Water District Financing Authority, California,		
Refunding RB, Series A (FSA), 5.00%, 8/01/34	5,008	5,070,571
San Diego County Water Authority, COP:		
Series A (FSA), 5.00%, 5/01/31	4,000	4,069,921
Refunding, Series 2008-A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33	16,740	16,998,968
		31,267,677
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to		
Tender Option Bond Trusts 33.0%		189,876,607
Total Long-Term Investments		
(Cost \$920,380,089) 159.3%		916,493,196
Short-Term Securities	Shares	
CMA California Municipal Money Fund,		

0.04% (d)(e)	7,863,872	7,863,872
Total Short-Term Securities		
(Cost \$7,863,872) 1.3%		7,863,872
Total Investments (Cost \$928,243,961*) 160.6%		924,357,068
Other Assets Less Liabilities 1.8%		10,481,066
Liability for Trust Certificates, Including Interest		
Expense and Fees Payable (18.3)%		(105,295,184)
Preferred Shares, at Redemption Value (44.1)%		(254,011,754)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$575,531,196

* The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments as of January 31, 2010, as computed for federal income tax purposes, were as follows:

Aggregate cost	\$823,610,657
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$ 10,575,306
Gross unrealized depreciation	(15,028,432)
Net unrealized depreciation	\$ (4,453,126)

(a) US Government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.

(b) Represents a zero-coupon bond. Rate shown reflects the current yield as of report date.

(c) Securities represent bonds transferred to a tender option bond trust in exchange for which the Fund acquired residual interest certificates. These securities serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to tender option bond trusts.
(d) Investments in companies considered to be an affiliate of the Fund, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, were as follows:

	Net	
Affiliate	Activity	Income
CMA California Municipal Money Fund	\$(12,636,942)	\$2,772
(e) Represents the current yield as of report date.		

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2010

13

Schedule of Investments (concluded)

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Insured Fund, Inc. (MUC)

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments, which are as follows:

Level 1 price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets and liabilities

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Fund s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments) The inputs or methodologies used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Fund s policy regarding valuation of investments and other significant accounting policies, please refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements. The following table summarizes the inputs used as of January 31, 2010 in determining the fair valuation of the Fund s investments:

Valuation	Investments in
Inputs	Securities
	Assets
Level 1 Short-Term Securities	\$ 7,863,872
Level 2 Long-Term Investments	916,493,196
Level 3	
Total	\$ 924,357,068
¹ See above Schedule of Investments for values in each sector.	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

14 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2010

Schedule of Investments January 31, 2010 (Unaudited)

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. (MUJ) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

New Jersey 140.1% Corporate 1.6% New Jersey EDA, RE, Disposal, Waste M Management of New Jersey, Series A, AMT, 5.30%, 601/15 \$ 2.500 \$ 2.658,851 New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, New Jersey American 2.500 2.462,121 Water Co., Series A, AMT, 5.70%, 10/01/39 2.500 2.462,121 Sever (AMBAC), 4.50%, 80/1/3 2.500 2.469,031 County/City/Special District/School District 2.4% 5.120,371 County/City/Special District/School District 2.4% 5.120,371 Caunder County Improvement Authority, RB (AGM), 5.50%, 9/01/10 (a) 1.540 1.588,121 City of Perth Amboy New Jersey, GO, CAB (AGM) (b): 5.56%, 7/01/32 4.605 4.166,511 S64%, 7/01/32 1.501 1.568, 261/07 1.301,432 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP, NPEGC), 5.55%, 801/16 1.375 1.460,701 S25%, 601/12 6.715 7.079,682 5.56%, 801/12 5.56%, 801/12 5.56%, 801/12 5.56%, 801/12 5.56%, 801/12 5.56%, 801/12 5.56%, 801/12 5.56%, 801/12 5.56%, 801/12 5.56%, 801/12 5.56%, 801/12 5.56%, 801/12 5.56%, 801/1		Par	
Corporate 1.8% New Jersey, EDA, RB, Disposal, Waste M Management 52,500 \$2,658,667 I New Jersey, Series A, AMT, 5.30%, 601/15 \$2,500 \$2,658,667 Near Jersey, Series A, AMT, 5.70%, 1001/39 \$2,500 \$2,658,667 Water Co., Series A, AMT, 5.70%, 1001/39 \$2,500 \$2,650, \$2,662,127 County/City/Special District/School District \$2,400 \$2,690, \$2,690,031 Cameder County (Introvement Authority, RB (AGM), \$5,65%, 901/10 (a) 1,540 1,588,121 City of Perth Amboy New Jersey, GO, CAB (AGM) (b): \$6,65%, 7101/32 4,605 4,166,511 5,66%, 7101/32 4,605 4,166,511 5,66%, 7101/33 1,375 1,550 5,07%, 7101/32 1,550 1,550 1,550 1,550 5,07%, 7101/32 1,550 1,550 1,556,261 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP (NPFGC), 5,55%, 801/16 1,375 1,467,091 5,07%, 801/16 1,375 1,550 1,556,261 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP, Refunding (NPFGC), 5,55%, 801/16 5,50%, 801/16 S,007, 801/12 6,715 <	Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
New Jersey EDA, RB, Disposal, Waste M Management \$ 2,500 \$ 2,558,551 New Jersey, Series A, AMT, 5,30%, 6/01/15 \$ 2,500 \$ 2,558,551 New Jersey, Series A, AMT, 5,70%, 10/01/39 \$ 2,500 \$ 2,462,121 County/City/Special District/School District \$ 2,4% \$ 5,102,071 Borough of Hopatoong New Jersey, GO, Rafunding, \$ 2,690 \$ 2,690, 32,699,031 Sewer (AMBAC), 4,50%, 801/33 \$ 2,690 \$ 2,699,031 Canden County Improvement Authority, RB (AGM), \$ 1,540 \$ 1,588,121 City of Perth Amboy New Jersey, GO, CAB (AGM) (b): \$ 6,6%5 \$ 4,166, 511 5,69%, 701/32 \$ 4,605 \$ 4,166, 511 5,69%, 701/33 \$ 1,950 \$ 1,257,071 \$ 5,6%, 701/37 \$ 1,470 \$ 1,310, 433 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP (NPFGC), \$ 2,5%6, 611/52 \$ 1,375 \$ 1,467,091 Solw, 801/16 \$ 1,375 \$ 1,467,091 \$ 2,50%, 801/16 \$ 5,078, 801/16 \$ 5,079, 801 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (NPFGC), \$ 5,5%8, 401/12 \$ 6,715 \$ 7,079, 801 Essex County Improvement Authority, LBB, County \$ 6,005	New Jersey 140.1%		
of New Jersey, Series A. AMT, 5.30%, 6/01/15 \$ 2,500 \$ 2,250, 2,262,121 New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, New Jersey American 5,120,071 Water Co., Series A, AMT, 5.70%, 10/01/39 2,600 2,462,121 County/City/Special District/School District 22.4% 5,120,071 5,120,071 Brough of Hopateong New Jersey, GO, Refunding, 2,690 2,699,031 Camden County Improvement Authority, RB (AGM). 5,60%, 901/10 (a) 1,540 1,588,121 City of Perth Amboy New Jersey, GO, CAB (AGM) (b): 5,65%, 701/32 4,605 4,106,511 5,66%, 701/32 1,540 1,580 1,560 5,67%, 701/33 1,395 1,257,071 5,615,23 1,470 1,310,433 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP (NPFGC), 5 5 5,60%, 801/12 6,715 7,079,691 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 5,077,801/15 1,467,091 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 5,00%, 801/12 5,017,581 Easex County Improvement Authority, LRB, County 5,00%, 800/173 (a) 5,017,581 Easex County Improvement Authority, RB, 4,000 <	Corporate 1.6%		
New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, New Jersey American 2,500 2,462,121 Water Co., Series A, AMT, 5.70%, 10/01/39 2,500 2,462,121 Stater Co., Series A, AMT, 5.70%, 10/01/39 2,690 2,699,031 County/City/Special District/School District 22.4% 2,690 2,699,031 Borough of Hopatcong New Jersey, GO, Refunding, 2,690 2,699,031 Sewer (AMBAC), 4.50%, 8/01/33 2,690 2,699,031 Canden County Improvement Authority, RB (AGM), 1,540 1,588,121 Stof%, 9/01/10 (a) 1,540 1,586 4,166,512 Canden County Improvement Authority, RB (AGM), (b): 5,64%, 7/01/32 4,605 4,166,512 Sol%, 7/01/32 4,605 4,166,512 5,65%, 7/01/32 1,365 1,267,077 Sol%, 7/01/37 1,375 1,566,261 1,375 1,662,261 1,375 1,662,261 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP (NPFGC), 5,55%, 8/01/12 1,550 1,566,261 1,550 1,566,261 1,550 1,662,261 2,550%, 8/01/12 2,550%, 8/01/12 2,550%, 8/01/12 2,550%, 8/01/12 5,56%, 8/01/12 2,550%, 8/01/12	New Jersey EDA, RB, Disposal, Waste M Management		
Water Co., Series A, AMT, 5.70%, 10/01/39 2,600 2,462,122 County/City/Special District/School District 22.4% 5.120,373 Borough of Hopatcong New Jersey, GO, Refunding, 2,690 2,690,031 Sever (AMBAC), 4,50%, 8/01/33 2,690 2,690,031 Camden County Improvement Authority, RB (AGM), 1,540 1,550,80,101 5,50%, 9/01/10 (a) 1,540 1,550,821,221 City of Perth Amboy New Jersey, GO, CAB (AGM) (b): 5,65%, 7/01/32 4,605 4,166,511 5,65%, 7/01/33 1,395 1,257,071 5,08%, 7/01/37 1,470 1,310,433 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP (NPFGC), 5,25%, 6/15/23 1,550 1,566,261 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP, Refunding (NPFGC), 5,50%, 8/01/12 6,715 7,079,692 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 5,50%, 8/01/12 6,715 7,079,692 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 5,50%, 8/01/12 6,715 7,079,692 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 5,00%, 10/01/13 (a) 4,400 5,017,58* Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, 6,00%, 10/01/10 (a) <t< td=""><td>of New Jersey, Series A, AMT, 5.30%, 6/01/15</td><td>\$ 2,500</td><td>\$ 2,658,850</td></t<>	of New Jersey, Series A, AMT, 5.30%, 6/01/15	\$ 2,500	\$ 2,658,850
5,120,373 5,000000000000000000000000000000000000	New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, New Jersey American		
County/City/Special District 22.4% Borough of Hopatcong New Jersey, GO, Refunding, 2,690 2,690,031 Sewer (AMBAC), 4.50%, 8/01/33 2,690 2,690,031 Camden County Improvement Authority, RB (AGM), 5,50%, 9/01/10 (a) 1,540 1,588,122 City of Porth Amboy New Jersey, GO, CAB (AGM) (b): 4,605 4,166,511 5,64%, 7/01/32 4,605 4,166,511 5,64%, 7/01/32 1,395 1,257,071 5,08%, 7/01/32 1,305 1,257,071 5,08%, 7/01/32 1,305 1,257,071 5,08%, 7/01/32 1,305 1,256,004 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP (NPFGC), 5,25%, 6/15/23 1,550 1,662,602 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP, Refunding (NPFGC), 5,50%, 8/01/12 1,6715 7,079,682 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 1,375 1,467,094 1,862,602 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP, Refunding NPFGC), 5,50%, 8/01/12 6,715 7,079,682 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 1,375 1,467,094 1,862,602 County Correctional Facility Project, FGIC), 6,715<	Water Co., Series A, AMT, 5.70%, 10/01/39	2,500	2,462,125
Borough of Hopatcong New Jersey, GO, Refunding, 2,690 2,690,031 Sever (AMBAC), 4.50%, 8/01/33 2,690 2,699,031 Camden County Improvement Authority, RB (AGM), 1,540 1,588,123 City of Perth Amboy New Jersey, GO, CAB (AGM) (b): 4,605 4,166,511 5.65%, 9/01/10 (a) 1,370 1,310 1,310,433 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP (NPFGC), 5,25%, 6/15/23 1,550 1,566,261 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP, Refunding (NPFGC), 5,50%, 8/01/16 1,375 1,467,091 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 5,50%, 8/01/12 6,715 7,079,681 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 5,50%, 8/01/12 6,715 7,079,681 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 5,50%, 8/01/12 6,715 7,079,681 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 5,00%, 8/01/12 6,715 7,079,681 East Orange County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP, Refunding RB, 4,400 5,017,584 Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, 4,000 4,103,524 Essex County Improvement Authority, Refunding RB, 4,000 4,103,524			5,120,975
Sewer (AMBAC), 4.50%, 8/01/33 2,690 2,699.03 Camden County Improvement Authority, RB (AGM), 1,540 1,588,124 City of Perth Amboy New Jersey, GO, CAB (AGM) (b): 4,605 4,166,517 5.65%, 7/01/32 4,605 4,166,517 5.65%, 7/01/33 1,395 1,257,074 5.08%, 7/01/37 1,470 1,310,432 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP (NPFGC), 5.25%, 6/15/23 1,550 1,566,260 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP, Refunding (NPFGC), 5.50%, 8/01/16 1,375 1,467,090 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 5.50%, 8/01/12 6,715 7,079,692 Essex County Improvement Authority, LRB, County Correctional Facility Project, Series A (FGIC), 5.00%, 10/01/13 (a) 4,400 5,017,582 Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, County Correctional Facility Project (FGIC), 6.00%, 10/01/10 (a) 4,000 4,153,524 Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, 4,000 4,153,524 5,00%, 10/01/124 5,00%, 10/01/13,00 4,153,524 Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, 4,000 4,153,524 5,33,90 5,33,90 <td>County/City/Special District/School District 22.4%</td> <td></td> <td></td>	County/City/Special District/School District 22.4%		
Candidic County Improvement Authority, RB (AGM), 1,540 1,588,121 5,50%, 9/01/10 (a) 1,540 1,588,121 City of Perth Amboy New Jersey, GO, CAB (AGM) (b): 4,605 4,166,511 5,65%, 7/01/32 4,605 4,166,511 5,65%, 7/01/33 1,395 1,257,074 5,08%, 7/01/37 1,470 1,310,433 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP (NPFGC), 5 5 5,25%, 6/15/23 1,550 1,566 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP, Refunding (NPFGC), 5 5 5,50%, 8/01/16 1,375 1,467,098 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 5 7,079,680 5,50%, 8/01/12 6,715 7,079,680 Correctional Facility Project, Series A (FGIC), 6,715 7,079,680 Sesex County Improvement Authority, RB, 4,400 5,017,584 County Correctional Facility Project (FGIC), 6,00%, 10/01/10 (a) 4,000 4,153,524 Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, 4,000 4,153,524 5,208,31,901 Hudson County Improvement Authority, RB, 250 283,190	Borough of Hopatcong New Jersey, GO, Refunding,		
5.50%, 9/01/10 (a) 1,540 1,588,121 City of Perth Amboy New Jersey, GO, CAB (AGM) (b): 5.65%, 7/01/32 4,605 4,166,511 5.64%, 7/01/33 1,395 1,257,071 5.05%, 7/01/37 1,470 1,310,433 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP (NPFGC), 5.25%, 6/15/23 1,550 1,566,261 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP, Refunding (NPFGC), 5.50%, 8/01/16 1,375 1,467,091 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 5.50%, 8/01/12 6,715 7,079,683 East County Improvement Authority, LRB, County Correctional Facility Project, Series A (FGIC), 5.00%, 10/01/13 (a) 4,400 5,017,584 Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, County Correctional Facility Project (FGIC), 6.00%, 10/01/10 (a) 4,000 4,153,520 Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, 250 283,190 Hudson County Improvement Authority, RB, 250 283,190 11/14 Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC), 5,60%, 10/1/27 250 283,193 Hudson County Improvement Corp. 3,600 3,791,374	Sewer (AMBAC), 4.50%, 8/01/33	2,690	2,699,038
City of Perth Amboy New Jersey, GO, CAB (AGM) (b): 4,605 4,166,512 5,65%, 7/01/32 1,395 1,257,071 5,06%, 7/01/33 1,395 1,257,071 5,06%, 7/01/37 1,470 1,310,432 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP (NPFGC), 1 1 5,25%, 6/15/23 1,550 1,566,260 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP, Refunding (NPFGC), 1 1 5,50%, 8/01/16 1,375 1,467,098 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 1 1 5,50%, 8/01/12 6,715 7,079,693 Essex County Improvement Authority, LRB, County 2 2 Correctional Facility Project, Series A (FGIC), 4,400 5,017,594 5,00%, 10/01/13 (a) 4,000 4,153,524 Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, 2 283,194 County Correctional Facility Project (FGIC), 250 283,194 6,00%, 10/01/10 (a) 4,000 4,153,524 Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, 250 283,194 Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC), 250	Camden County Improvement Authority, RB (AGM),		
5.65%, 7/01/32 4,605 4,166,512 5.64%, 7/01/33 1,395 1,257,070 5.08%, 7/01/37 1,470 1,310,432 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP (NPFGC), 5.25%, 6/15/23 1,550 1,566,260 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP, Refunding (NPFGC), 5.50%, 8/01/16 1,375 1,467,090 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 5.50%, 8/01/12 6,715 7,079,692 Eastex County Improvement Authority, LRB, County 6,715 7,079,692 Correctional Facility Project, Series A (FGIC), 4,400 5,017,584 Sexex County Improvement Authority, RB, 4,400 4,153,521 Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, 4,000 4,153,521 Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, 4,000 4,153,521 Essex County Improvement Authority, Refunding RB, 250 283,191 Project Consolidation (NPFGC), 5.50%, 10/01/27 250 283,191 Hudson County Improvement Authority, RB, 3,600 3,791,374 Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC), 3,600 3,791,374	5.50%, 9/01/10 (a)	1,540	1,588,125
5.64%, 7/01/33 1,395 1,257,071 5.08%, 7/01/37 1,470 1,310,432 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP (NPFGC), 1,550 1,566,260 5.25%, 6/15/23 1,550 1,566,260 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP, Refunding (NPFGC), 1,375 1,467,090 5.50%, 8/01/16 1,375 1,467,090 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 6,715 7,079,692 5.50%, 8/01/12 6,715 7,079,692 Essex County Improvement Authority, LRB, County 6,715 7,079,692 Correctional Facility Project, Series A (FGIC), 4,400 5,017,584 S.00%, 10/01/13 (a) 4,400 4,103,521 Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, 4,000 4,153,521 County Correctional Facility Project (FGIC), 4,000 4,153,521 6.00%, 10/01/10 (a) 4,000 4,153,521 Essex County Improvement Authority, Refunding RB, 250 283,191 Project Consolidation (NPFGC), 5.50%, 10/01/27 250 283,192 Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC), 3,600 3,791,374	City of Perth Amboy New Jersey, GO, CAB (AGM) (b):		
5.08%, 7/01/37 1,470 1,310,432 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP (NPFGC), 1,550 1,550 5.25%, 6/15/23 1,550 1,566,260 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP, Refunding (NPFGC), 1,375 1,467,090 5.50%, 8/01/16 1,375 1,467,090 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 6,715 7,079,692 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 6,715 7,079,692 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 6,715 7,079,692 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 6,715 7,079,692 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 4,400 5,017,584 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 4,400 5,017,584 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 4,400 5,017,584 East Orange Information	5.65%, 7/01/32	4,605	4,166,512
County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP (NPFGC), 1,550 1,550 1,560,260 S.25%, 6/15/23 1,550 1,550 1,560,260 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP, Refunding (NPFGC), 1,375 1,467,090 5.50%, 8/01/16 1,375 1,467,090 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 6,715 7,079,692 Essex County Improvement Authority, LRB, County 6,715 7,079,692 Correctional Facility Project, Series A (FGIC), 6,715 7,079,692 S.00%, 10/01/13 (a) 4,400 5,017,584 Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, 4,400 5,017,584 County Correctional Facility Project (FGIC), 6,00%, 10/01/10 (a) 4,000 4,153,527 Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, 1 1 1 1 Project Consolidation (NPFGC), 5.50%, 10/01/27 250 283,190 1 Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC), 3,600 3,791,374 Layette Yard Community Development Corp. 3,600 3,791,374	5.64%, 7/01/33	1,395	1,257,076
5.25%, 6/15/23 1,550 1,566,260 County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP, Refunding (NPFGC), 1,375 1,467,090 5.50%, 8/01/16 1,375 1,467,090 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 6,715 7,079,690 5.50%, 8/01/12 6,715 7,079,690 Essex County Improvement Authority, LRB, County 6,715 7,079,690 Correctional Facility Project, Series A (FGIC), 5,00%, 10/01/13 (a) 4,400 5,017,584 Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, County Correctional Facility Project (FGIC), 6,00%, 10/01/10 (a) 4,000 4,153,520 Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, Project Consolidation (NPFGC), 5.50%, 10/01/27 250 283,190 Hudson County Improvement Authority, RB, Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC), 3,600 3,791,370 5.38%, 1/01/44 3,600 3,791,370 449,400 3,791,370	5.08%, 7/01/37	1,470	1,310,432
County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP, Refunding (NPFGC), 1,375 1,467,092 5.50%, 8/01/16 1,375 1,467,092 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 6,715 7,079,692 Essex County Improvement Authority, LRB, County 6,715 7,079,692 Essex County Improvement Authority, LRB, County 6,715 7,079,692 Correctional Facility Project, Series A (FGIC), 4,400 5,017,584 5.00%, 10/01/13 (a) 4,400 5,017,584 Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, 1 1 County Correctional Facility Project (FGIC), 4,400 4,153,524 6.00%, 10/01/10 (a) 4,000 4,153,524 Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, 250 283,194 Project Consolidation (NPFGC), 5,50%, 10/01/27 250 283,194 Hudson County Improvement Authority, RB, 250 283,194 Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC), 3,600 3,791,374 5.38%, 1/01/44 3,600 3,791,374 Lafayette Yard Community Development Corp. 3,600 3,791,374	County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP (NPFGC),		
5.50%, 8/01/16 1,375 1,467,094 East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 6,715 7,079,694 5.50%, 8/01/12 6,715 7,079,694 Essex County Improvement Authority, LRB, County 6,715 7,079,694 Correctional Facility Project, Series A (FGIC), 4,400 5,017,584 Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, 4,000 4,153,524 County Correctional Facility Project (FGIC), 4,000 4,153,524 6.00%, 10/01/10 (a) 4,000 4,153,524 Essex County Improvement Authority, Refunding RB, 250 283,194 Project Consolidation (NPFGC), 5.50%, 10/01/27 250 283,194 Hudson County Improvement Authority, RB, 250 283,194 Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC), 3,600 3,791,376 5.38%, 1/01/44 3,600 3,791,376 Lafayette Yard Community Development Corp. 3,600 3,791,376	5.25%, 6/15/23	1,550	1,566,260
East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM), 6,715 7,079,692 5.50%, 8/01/12 6,715 7,079,692 Essex County Improvement Authority, LRB, County 5 5 Correctional Facility Project, Series A (FGIC), 4,400 5,017,584 5.00%, 10/01/13 (a) 4,400 5,017,584 Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, 5 5 County Correctional Facility Project (FGIC), 4,000 4,153,524 6.00%, 10/01/10 (a) 4,000 4,153,524 Essex County Improvement Authority, Refunding RB, 250 283,194 Project Consolidation (NPFGC), 5.50%, 10/01/27 250 283,194 Hudson County Improvement Authority, RB, 250 283,194 Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC), 5,36%, 1/01/44 3,600 3,791,376 5.38%, 1/01/44 3,600 3,791,376 3,600 3,791,376	County of Middlesex New Jersey, COP, Refunding (NPFGC),		
5.50%, 8/01/126,7157,079,692Essex County Improvement Authority, LRB, County Correctional Facility Project, Series A (FGIC), 5.00%, 10/01/13 (a)4,4005,017,584Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, County Correctional Facility Project (FGIC), 	5.50%, 8/01/16	1,375	1,467,098
Essex County Improvement Authority, LRB, CountyCorrectional Facility Project, Series A (FGIC),5.00%, 10/01/13 (a)4,4005.00%, 10/01/13 (a)4,400Essex County Improvement Authority, RB,County Correctional Facility Project (FGIC),6.00%, 10/01/10 (a)4,0004.0004,153,520Essex County Improvement Authority, Refunding RB,Project Consolidation (NPFGC), 5.50%, 10/01/27250Project Consolidation (NPFGC), 5.50%, 10/01/27250Hudson County Improvement Authority, RB,Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC),5.38%, 1/01/443,600Largette Yard Community Development Corp.	East Orange Board Of Education, COP (AGM),		
Correctional Facility Project, Series A (FGIC),5.00%, 10/01/13 (a)4,4005,017,584Essex County Improvement Authority, RB,County Correctional Facility Project (FGIC),6.00%, 10/01/10 (a)6.00%, 10/01/10 (a)4,0004,153,520Essex County Improvement Authority, Refunding RB,250283,190Project Consolidation (NPFGC), 5.50%, 10/01/27250283,190Hudson County Improvement Authority, RB,41003,6003,791,370Lafayette Yard Community Development Corp.100010001000	5.50%, 8/01/12	6,715	7,079,692
5.00%, 10/01/13 (a)4,4005,017,584Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, County Correctional Facility Project (FGIC),4,0004,153,5206.00%, 10/01/10 (a)4,0004,153,520Essex County Improvement Authority, Refunding RB, Project Consolidation (NPFGC), 5.50%, 10/01/27250283,190Hudson County Improvement Authority, RB, Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC), 5.38%, 1/01/443,6003,791,370Lafayette Yard Community Development Corp.4,0004,153,5204,153,520	Essex County Improvement Authority, LRB, County		
Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, County Correctional Facility Project (FGIC), 6.00%, 10/01/10 (a) 4,000 4,153,520 Essex County Improvement Authority, Refunding RB, Project Consolidation (NPFGC), 5.50%, 10/01/27 250 283,190 Hudson County Improvement Authority, RB, Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC), 5.38%, 1/01/44 3,600 3,791,370	Correctional Facility Project, Series A (FGIC),		
County Correctional Facility Project (FGIC),6.00%, 10/01/10 (a)4,0004,153,520Essex County Improvement Authority, Refunding RB,250283,190Project Consolidation (NPFGC), 5.50%, 10/01/27250283,190Hudson County Improvement Authority, RB,10001000Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC),3,6003,791,3765.38%, 1/01/443,6003,791,376Lafayette Yard Community Development Corp.10001000	5.00%, 10/01/13 (a)	4,400	5,017,584
6.00%, 10/01/10 (a)4,0004,153,520Essex County Improvement Authority, Refunding RB, Project Consolidation (NPFGC), 5.50%, 10/01/27250283,190Hudson County Improvement Authority, RB, Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC), 5.38%, 1/01/443,6003,791,376Lafayette Yard Community Development Corp.4,0004,153,5204,153,520	Essex County Improvement Authority, RB,		
Essex County Improvement Authority, Refunding RB, Project Consolidation (NPFGC), 5.50%, 10/01/27 250 283,190 Hudson County Improvement Authority, RB, Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC), 5.38%, 1/01/44 3,600 3,791,376 Lafayette Yard Community Development Corp.	County Correctional Facility Project (FGIC),		
Project Consolidation (NPFGC), 5.50%, 10/01/27250283,190Hudson County Improvement Authority, RB, Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC), 5.38%, 1/01/443,6003,791,376Lafayette Yard Community Development Corp.250283,1903,791,376	6.00%, 10/01/10 (a)	4,000	4,153,520
Hudson County Improvement Authority, RB, Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC), 5.38%, 1/01/44 3,600 3,791,376 Lafayette Yard Community Development Corp.	Essex County Improvement Authority, Refunding RB,		
Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC),5.38%, 1/01/443,600Lafayette Yard Community Development Corp.	Project Consolidation (NPFGC), 5.50%, 10/01/27	250	283,190
5.38%, 1/01/44 3,600 3,791,376 Lafayette Yard Community Development Corp. 3 3,600	Hudson County Improvement Authority, RB,		
Lafayette Yard Community Development Corp.	Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC),		
	5.38%, 1/01/44	3,600	3,791,376
	Lafayette Yard Community Development Corp.		
New Jersey, RB, Hotel/Conference Center Project,	New Jersey, RB, Hotel/Conference Center Project,		

Trenton (NPFGC), 6.00%, 4/01/10 (a)	5,250	5,351,587
Middlesex County Improvement Authority, RB,		
Senior Citizens Housing Project, AMT (AMBAC),		
5.50%, 9/01/30	500	500,490
Monmouth County Improvement Authority, Refunding RB,		
Governmental Loan (AMBAC):		
5.35%, 12/01/10 (a)	695	724,836
5.38%, 12/01/10 (a)	535	558,080
5.35%, 12/01/17	845	870,646
5.38%, 12/01/18	935	963,489
Morristown Parking Authority, RB (NPFGC):		
5.00%, 8/01/30	1,830	1,917,401
5.00%, 8/01/33	3,000	3,104,310
New Jersey State Transit Corp., COP, Subordinate,		
Federal Transit Administration Grants, Series A (AGM),		
5.00%, 9/15/21	2,000	2,110,120
Newark Housing Authority, Refunding RB, Newark		
Redevelopment Project (NPFGC), 4.38%, 1/01/37	620	572,117
North Bergen Township Board Of Education, COP		
(AGM) (a):		
6.00%, 12/15/10	1,000	1,060,540
6.25%, 12/15/10	3,260	3,464,499
Salem County Improvement Authority, RB, Finlaw Street		
Office Building (AGM), 5.38%, 8/15/28	500	542,690
	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
New Jersey (continued)		
County/City/Special District/School District (concluded)		
South Jersey Port Corp., Refunding RB:		
4.50%, 1/01/15	\$ 3,750	\$ 3,928,688
4.50%, 1/01/16	1,920	1,990,157
Township of West Deptford New Jersey, GO (FGIC),		
5.63%, 9/01/10 (a)	8,580	8,854,474
		70,894,027
Education 17.5%		
New Jersey EDA, RB, International Center For Public		
Health Project, University of Medicine and Dentistry		
(AMBAC), 6.00%, 6/01/32	5,000	4,999,200
New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, RB:		
Montclair State University, Series A (AMBAC)		

Montclair State University, Series A (AMBAC),

5.00%, 7/01/21	1,200	1,263,864
Montclair State University, Series A (AMBAC),		
5.00%, 7/01/22	2,880	3,019,162
Rowan University, Series C (NPFGC),		
5.00%, 7/01/14 (a)	3,260	3,763,018
Rowan University, Series C (NPFGC),		
5.13%, 7/01/14 (a)	3,615	4,192,135
New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority,		
Refunding RB:		
College of New Jersey, Series D (AGM),		
5.00%, 7/01/35	9,540	9,796,912
Montclair State University, Series J (NPFGC),		
4.25%, 7/01/30	3,775	3,554,351
Ramapo College, Series I (AMBAC) 4.25%, 7/01/31	1,250	1,171,950
Ramapo College, Series I (AMBAC),		
4.25%, 7/01/36	900	813,312
Rowan University, Series C (FGIC),		
5.25%, 7/01/11 (a)	790	850,956
Rowan University, Series C (NPFGC),		
5.25%, 7/01/17	2,135	2,272,665
Rowan University, Series C (NPFGC),		
5.25%, 7/01/18	2,535	2,694,350
Rowan University, Series C (NPFGC),		
5.25%, 7/01/19	2,370	2,507,199
Stevens Institute of Technology, Series A,		
5.00%, 7/01/27	2,800	2,856,420
Stevens Institute of Technology, Series A,		
5.00%, 7/01/34	900	877,662
William Paterson University, Series C (AGC),		
4.75%, 7/01/34	4,000	3,991,240
University of Medicine & Dentistry of New Jersey, COP		
(NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/15/29	2,000	1,923,060
University of Medicine & Dentistry of New Jersey, RB,		
Series A (AMBAC), 5.50%, 12/01/27	4,740	4,760,619
		55,308,075
Health 14.8%		
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, RB:		
Greystone Park Psychiatric Hospital (AMBAC),		
5.00%, 9/15/23	10,775	10,991,362
Hackensack University Medical Center (AGC),		
5.13%, 1/01/27	1,500	1,543,710

Meridian Health, Series I (AGC), 5.00%, 7/01/38	780	775,281
Meridian Health, Series II (AGC), 5.00%, 7/01/38	7,400	7,355,230
Meridian Health, Series V (AGC), 5.00%, 7/01/38	3,960	3,936,042
Society of The Valley Hospital (AMBAC),		
5.38%, 7/01/25	2,820	2,663,095
Somerset Medical Center, 5.50%, 7/01/33	2,135	1,588,269
South Jersey Hospital, 6.00%, 7/01/12 (a)	5,440	6,106,019
Virtua Health (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/38	1,000	1,043,270

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2010

15

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Insured Fund, Inc. (MUJ)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
New Jersey (continued)		
Health (concluded)		
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority,		
Refunding RB:		
AHS Hospital Corp., Series A (AMBAC),		
6.00%, 7/01/13 (c)	\$ 4,000	\$ 4,636,000
Atlantic City Medical Center, 5.75%, 7/01/12 (a)	1,525	1,689,761
Atlantic City Medical Center, 6.25%, 7/01/12 (a)	530	593,568
Atlantic City Medical System, 6.25%, 7/01/17	925	974,525
Atlantic City Medical System, 5.75%, 7/01/25	1,975	2,020,050
Meridian Health System Obligation Group (AGM),		
5.38%, 7/01/24	1,000	1,002,420
		46,918,602
Housing 5.2%		
New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance		
Agency, RB:		
Capital Fund Program, Series A (AGM),		
4.70%, 11/01/25	10,840	10,919,566
Series AA, 6.50%, 10/01/38	3,115	3,389,961
New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency,		
Refunding RB, S/F Housing, Series T, AMT,		
4.70%, 10/01/37	800	731,432
Newark Housing Authority, RB, South Ward Police		
Facility (AGC):		
5.75%, 12/01/30	850	909,236
6.75%, 12/01/38	500	561,100
		16,511,295
State 50.2%		
Garden State Preservation Trust, RB (AGM):		
CAB, Series B, 5.11%, 11/01/23 (d)	9,000	4,888,260
CAB, Series B, 5.19%, 11/01/25 (d)	10,000	4,836,500
Election 2005, Series A, 5.80%, 11/01/21	1,960	2,268,210
Election 2005, Series A, 5.80%, 11/01/23	2,730	3,157,846
Garden State Preservation Trust, Refunding RB,		

Series C (AGM):		
5.25%, 11/01/20	5,000	5,928,800
5.25%, 11/01/21	7,705	9,186,132
New Jersey EDA, RB:		
Cigarette Tax, 5.63%, 6/15/19	2,700	2,694,951
Cigarette Tax (Radian), 5.75%, 6/15/29	2,000	1,963,220
Cigarette Tax (Radian), 5.50%, 6/15/31	585	