Form 4 January 05		NC.						OMB A	PPROVAL	
FORI	UNITED	STATES		RITIES A			E COMMISSIO		3235-0	
Check this box if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5 obligations may continue. See Instruction Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section 30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940					Estimated burden hou response	average Jrs per	0.5 21, 2005			
l(b).	Pagnongag)									
	Address of Reporting ROBERT J		Symbol	er Name and EE ENTE 3]		c	5. Relationship o Issuer (Cho	of Reporting Per eck all applicabl		
(Last) 36 PARK	(Last) (First) (Middle) 3. Date of Earliest Transaction (Month/Day/Year) PARK LANE 12/31/2014			1	X_ Director 10% Owner Officer (give title Other (specify below) below)					
(Street) 4. If A		4. If Amendment, Date Original Filed(Month/Day/Year)			 6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check Applicable Line) _X_ Form filed by One Reporting Person 					
MINNEA	POLIS, MN 55416	5					Form filed by Person	More than One R	eporting	
(City)	(State)	(Zip)	Tab	ole I - Non-I	Derivativ	e Securities A	Acquired, Disposed	of, or Beneficia	lly Owned	
1.Title of Security (Instr. 3)	2. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	2A. Deeme Execution I any (Month/Da	Date, if	3. Transactio Code (Instr. 8) Code V	Dispose (Instr. 3	(A) or d of (D) 4 and 5) (A) or	5. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned Following Reported Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4)	6. Ownership Form: Direct (D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 4)	7. Nature of Indirect Beneficial Ownership (Instr. 4)	
Reminder: R	eport on a separate line	e for each cla	uss of sec	urities benet	Pers infor requ	ons who re mation con ired to resp lays a curre	or indirectly. spond to the colle tained in this forn ond unless the fo ontly valid OMB co	n are not rm	SEC 1474 (9-02)	

Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned

(e.g.,	puts,	calls,	warrants,	options,	convertible	securities)	
---	-------	-------	--------	-----------	----------	-------------	-------------	--

1. Title of	2.	3. Transaction Date	3A. Deemed	4.	5.	6. Date Exercisable and	7. Title and Amount of	8. Price of
Derivative	Conversion	(Month/Day/Year)	Execution Date, if	Transact	ionNumber	Expiration Date	Underlying Securities	Derivative
Security	or Exercise		any	Code	of	(Month/Day/Year)	(Instr. 3 and 4)	Security

(Instr. 3)	Price of Derivative Security	(Month/Day/Year)	(Instr. 8)	Derivati Securiti Acquire (A) or Dispose of (D) (Instr. 3 4, and 5	ies ed ed 3,				(Instr. 5)
			Code V	(A) (E	D) Date Exercisable	Expiration Date	Title	Amount or Number of Shares	
Phantom Stock Units ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0 <u>(2)</u>	12/31/2014	A <u>(3)</u>	25	<u>(1)</u>	<u>(1)</u>	Common Stock	25	\$ 42.37

Reporting Owners

Reporting Owner Name / Address		Relationsh		
	Director	10% Owner	Officer	Other
MARZEC ROBERT J 36 PARK LANE MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55416	Х			
Signatures				
/s/ Patricia A. Beithon, Attorne Marzec	y-in-Fact	for Robert J	•	01/05/2015
<u>**</u> Signature of Repo	rting Person			Date

Explanation of Responses:

- * If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, *see* Instruction 4(b)(v).
- ** Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).
- The phantom stock units were allocated under the Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors. The units of phantom stock(1) will be settled in shares of common stock following the director's termination from the Board in accordance with the election of the reporting person, or following the occurrence of other events specified in the Plan.
- (2) Settled 1-for-1.
- (3) Units acquired pursuant to a dividend equivalent reinvestment feature of the Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors.

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, *see* Instruction 6 for procedure. Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. GN=RIGHT>215,126

\$

\$

46,090

4,303,170

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

\$

\$

We have one revolving credit facility for which the interest rate on outstanding borrowings is variable and is based upon the prime rate of interest. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, there was \$2,847,897, and \$0, respectively, outstanding under this revolving credit facility.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Refer to pages F-1 through F-35.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

During the year ended December 31, 2006, there were no changes in or disagreements with the Company s principal independent accountant on accounting or financial disclosure.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Controls and Procedures

(a) The Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures, as defined under Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of December 31, 2006, the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were not effective because of the material weakness in the Company s internal control over financial reporting described in the following paragraphs.

As a result of these weaknesses, we performed extensive detail testing and reconciliation of past transactions in order to be able to determine the proper presentation of our financial information for past and current periods. These weaknesses in our internal disclosure controls and procedures were the cause of the delay in filing of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We learned that the Company did not maintain adequate policies and reconciliation procedures over the accounting for intercompany clearing and cash accounts and income taxes. These weaknesses resulted in the following errors in the annual and interim consolidated financial statements:

The Company s intercompany clearing and cash accounts were not properly reconciled for 2006 which led to an imbalance in the consolidated financial statements. Over the course of the last six months, with the addition of a new Corporate Controller and the assistance of an outside public accounting firm, the Company evaluated every material transaction and discovered a number of significant deficiencies in internal controls that have been adjusted for the year ended December 31, 2006. Certain of these adjustments affected prior quarters in 2006 and those corrections have been made in Note 19 in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and will be reflected in subsequent quarterly filings on the Company s Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q.

The Company had certain errors in its accounting for income tax under SFAS No. 109 for the years 2002 through 2005. Specifically, the Company:

- o Did not properly account for the stock acquisitions that occurred between 2002 and 2005. The Company did not record a deferred tax liability and corresponding increase to goodwill related to the differences in book and tax basis on acquired assets other than goodwill. In addition, the Company did not record a deferred tax asset and corresponding decrease to goodwill related to acquired net operating loss carryforward s of these acquired companies.
- o Recorded an adjustment for the understatement of current tax liabilities resulting from the erroneous amortization of acquired intangibles for which there was no tax



basis. The Company also recorded an adjustment for the net operating loss carryback s and carryforward s that rectified the understatement of tax liabilities in prior periods.

o Recorded an adjustment that resulted from the additional provision that should have been recorded related to SFAS No. 123(R) compensatory expense associated with Qualified (Statutory) Stock Options. Under SFAS No. 123(R), compensation expense is recorded through the income statement based on the fair market value of the compensation associated with the granting of stock options. To the extent that the Company will realize a tax deduction at the time of exercise, a corresponding amount of tax benefit is recorded through the income statement at the time the SFAS No. 123(R) deduction is reflected and is then trued-up when actually exercised. Since pursuant to the tax laws there is generally no tax deduction associated with the exercise of statutory options, (e.g. Incentive Stock Options), no tax benefit is recorded at the time of the SFAS No. 123(R) deduction; the book deduction is treated as a permanent difference. If there is a subsequent disqualifying disposition of the statutory option that gives rise to a tax deduction (e.g. employee sells the exercised shares before one year from the date of exercise), a tax benefit is taken at that time.

After analyzing the materiality of the impact arising from these weaknesses in accordance with the provisions of SAB 108, management concluded that the financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 included as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and the Selected Financial Data included as Item 6 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K should be corrected to reflect the proper accounting related to income taxes. The impact resulting from these corrections for income tax accounting that had an income statement impact was to increase (decrease) tax expense by \$(29,696), \$(125,618), \$111,080, and \$99,776, for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively, with a corresponding increase or decrease to net tax liabilities. The impact resulting from these corrections for income tax accounting that only had a balance sheet impact was to increase net deferred taxes by \$549,262, \$580,032, \$533,648 and \$533,648 for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively, with a corresponding net increase to goodwill. Unadjusted differences were not material to 2006 or individually to the prior years.

While we are in the process of implementing a more efficient and reliable system of disclosure controls and procedures, we have, on an immediate basis, instituted interim compensating controls and procedures to ensure that information required to be disclosed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K has been recorded, processed, summarized and reported to our senior management. The steps that we have taken to ensure that all material information about our company is accurately disclosed in this report include:

- the appointment of a new Chief Financial Officer in August 2006;
- the appointment of a new Chief Operating Officer (COO) in August 2006. The COO then assumed the additional responsibilities of President in March 2007;
- the appointment of a new Corporate Controller in April 2007;
- the appointment of a new Controller for our California operations in April 2007;

- the engagement of an outside accounting firm to assist management in the preparation of our financial statement income tax provision in February 2007;
- the performance of an extensive review of our financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005; and
- the engagement of outside professionals specializing in accounting to assist our management in the collection, substantiation and analysis of the information contained in this report.
- changed the accounting process of recording and reconciling accounts that resulted in either a significant deficiency or material weakness;
- implemented revised accounting procedures for recording and reconciling intercompany clearing and cash accounts and designed changes within the Company s financial reporting system to ensure all intercompany accounts eliminate in consolidation. These changes substantially do away with the use of spreadsheets as the tool to ensure that all intercompany accounts eliminate in consolidation, which are inherently more difficult to ensure compliance with the Company s internal control policies. Eliminating the use of spreadsheets also allows for fuller use of the Company s financial reporting system and the internal control safeguards built into the financial reporting software;
- designed changes within the Company s financial reporting system to allow the financial reporting system to be the sole source of the consolidation of financial results of the Company. This change eliminated the use of spreadsheets, which was the method formerly used to consolidate the Company s financial results; and

Nonetheless, a control system, no matter how well designed and operated, cannot provide absolute assurance that the objectives of the control system are met, and no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues have been detected.

(b) Change in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting:

As required by Rule 13a-15(d), the Company s executive management including the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Operating Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, also conducted an evaluation of the company s internal controls over financial reporting to determine whether any change occurred during the Company s last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal controls over financial reporting. Based on that evaluation, in order to correct the weaknesses described above and to improve our internal disclosure and control procedures on a going forward basis, we have:

- implemented consolidated financial and operational review procedures, with each operating unit; and
- hired additional qualified accounting personnel.

We intend to continue to evaluate our internal disclosure controls and procedures and implement improvements as required.

Item 9B. Other Information

There were no events requiring disclosure that had not been made under Form 8-K in the fourth quarter of our fiscal year.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate

Identification of Directors (ages are as of September 4, 2007)

Name	Age	Position(s) with the Company	Director Since
James E. Henry	53	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, Treasurer and Director	1999
Brian Reach.	52	Vice Chairman, President, Chief Operating Officer, Secretary and	
		Director	2004
Joseph P. Ritorto	75	Director	2002
Robert L. De Lia Sr	59	Director	2004
David Sands	50	Director	2005
James W. Power	77	Director	2005
Identification of Executive Officers (ages are	as of Sep	tember 4, 2007)	

Name Aş		Position(s) with the Company	Officer Since
James E. Henry	53	Chief Executive Officer and Treasurer	1999
Brian Reach	52	President	2007
		Chief Operating Officer	2006
		Vice-Chairman, Secretary	2004
John P. Hopkins	47	Chief Financial Officer	2006
Brian J. Smith	52	Corporate Controller	2007

James E. Henry co-founded the Company s predecessor company in 1989 and served as President and Chief Executive Officer until December 2001 when he was elected Chairman of the Board. Mr. Henry continues to serve as Chief Executive Officer and is also the Company s Treasurer. Mr. Henry graduated from the University of New Hampshire with a Bachelor of Science degree in electrical engineering. In addition to his other responsibilities, Mr. Henry has continued to design, install, integrate and market security and communications systems as well as manage the Company s research and development.

Brian Reach, in addition to his prior duties, was named Chief Operating Officer in August 2006 and President in March 2007. Mr. Reach has been a member of the Company s Board of Directors since February 2004 and has served as the Company s Vice-Chairman since June 2004 and as its Secretary since November 2004. From September 1999 until April 2002, Mr. Reach was the Chief Financial Officer of Globix Corporation, a provider of application, media and infrastructure management services. From May 1997 to August 1999, Mr. Reach was the Chief Financial Officer of IPC Communications, a provider of integrated telecommunications equipment and services to the financial industry. During his tenure at IPC, Mr. Reach successfully guided IPC through its leveraged recapitalization and financially restructured IPC enabling it to invest in strategic acquisitions and next generation technologies. Prior to IPC, Mr. Reach was the Chief Financial Officer of Celadon Group, Inc. and Cantel Industries, Inc. Mr. Reach became a certified public



accountant in 1980 and received his Bachelor of Science degree in accounting from the University of Scranton in 1977.

Joseph P. Ritorto has been a member of our Board since January 2002. Mr. Ritorto is the co-founder of First Aviation Services, Inc., which is located in Teterboro Airport, Teterboro, New Jersey and provides a variety of aviation support services. Mr. Ritorto has been an officer, in various capacities, of First Aviation Services since 1986. From 1991, until he retired in May 2001, Mr. Ritorto served as the Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Silverstein Properties, Inc. In this capacity, Mr. Ritorto s responsibilities included overseeing operations and directing the lease administration of Silverstein owned and managed properties.

Robert L. De Lia, Sr. has been a member of our Board since May 2004. Currently, Mr. De Lia is vice president of TJ s Motorsport, a privately held company dedicated to supplying quality motor sport products. From 2002 to 2003, Mr. De Lia was the President and Chief Executive Officer of Airorlite Communications, Inc., a company that specializes in designing, manufacturing and maintaining wireless communications equipment used to enhance and extend emergency radio frequency services and cellular communication for both fixed and mobile applications. In April 2004, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company purchased all of the issued and outstanding shares of stock of Airorlite Communications, Inc. From 1987 to 1999, Mr. De Lia was the President and Chief Executive Officer of Fiber Options, Inc. Mr. De Lia graduated from the New York Institute of Technology in 1969.

David Sands has served as a director of the Company since 2005. Mr. Sands is a certified public accountant and a partner of Buchbinder Tunick & Company LLP where he is the head of the tax department. Mr. Sands is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the New York State Society of CPAs. Mr. Sands has also lectured at the New York University Summer Continuing Education and the Foundation for Accounting Education Programs. Mr. Sands received a Bachelor of Science from SUNY at Buffalo and a Master of Science in Taxation from Pace University.

James W. Power has served as a director of the Company since December 2005. Mr. Power is Chairman of AXIUM, Inc., a digital video recording company; Chairman of MDI, Inc, a Nasdaq listed provider of integrated access control and physical security products for government and commercial organizations; director of RAE Systems, Inc., a manufacturer of equipment used to detect weapons of mass destruction, hazardous materials and toxic chemicals; and the principal partner in J.W. Power & Associates. Mr. Power previously served as Chairman of the Board of InfoGraphic Systems Corp.; President and Chief Executive Officer of Martec\SAIC; President and Chief Executive Officer of Pinkerton Control Systems and has held senior executive positions with Cardkey Systems, Inc., Nitrol Corporation and TRW Data Systems. Previously, he has served as a director of National Semiconductor, ICS Corporation, and Citicorp Custom Credit and Citicorp Credit Services.

John P. Hopkins was appointed Chief Financial Officer in August 2006. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Hopkins was Chief Financial Officer for Measurement Specialties from July 2002 to August 2006, was Vice President, Finance from April 2001 to July 2002, and was Vice President and Controller from January 1999 to March, 2001, with Cambrex Corporation, a provider of scientific products and services to the life sciences industry. From 1988 to 1998, he held various

senior financial positions with ARCO Chemical Company, a manufacturer and marketer of specialty chemicals and chemical intermediates. Mr. Hopkins is a Certified Public Accountant and was an Audit Manager for Coopers & Lybrand prior to joining ARCO Chemical. Mr. Hopkins holds a B.S. in Accounting from West Chester University, and an M.B.A. from Villanova University.

Brian J. Smith was appointed Corporate Controller in April 2007. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Smith was VP-General Manager NetVersant of New York, a provider of voice and data system infrastructure from 2002. From 1991 to 2002 Mr. Smith held various senior financial positions with Insilco Technologies, a manufacturer and distributor of electronic components. Mr. Smith is a Certified Public Accountant and was and began his career as an auditor for KPMG Peat Marwick. Mr. Smith holds a B.S. in Accounting from Fordham University.

(c) Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act, requires our directors and officers, and persons who own more than 10% of our Common Stock, to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission initial reports of beneficial ownership and reports of changes in beneficial ownership of our Common Stock and other equity securities. Our officers, directors and greater than 10% beneficial owners are required by SEC regulation to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

To our knowledge, for the year ended December 31, 2006, based solely on a review of the copies of such reports furnished to the Company and representations by these individuals that no other reports were required during the year ended December 31, 2006, all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to our directors, officers and greater than 10% beneficial owners have been timely filed except that Messrs. Power and Hopkins did not timely file a Form 4 and Form 3, respectively. These forms have since been filed.

(d) Code of Conduct and Ethics

We have a Code of Conduct that applies to all of our directors, officers and employees, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer and a Code of Ethics that applies to our senior financial officers. You can find our Code of Conduct and Code of Ethics on our website: www.hbe-inc.com. We will post there any amendments to these Codes, as well as any waivers that are required to be disclosed by the rules of either the Securities and Exchange Commission or American Stock Exchange.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth summary information concerning the annual compensation for the year ended December 31, 2006 for our principal executive officer (PEO), principal financial officer (PFO) and our most highly compensated executive officers other than our PEO and our PFO for the year ended December 31, 2006:

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Option Awards (\$)(1)	All Othe compensat (\$)	-
James E Henry, Chairman, Chief Executive						
Officer, Treasurer and Director	2006	\$ 130,680	\$		\$ 3,00	0 \$ 133,680
Irvin F. Witcosky, President and Director (2)	2006	\$ 130,680	\$		\$ 3,00	0 \$ 133,680
Brian Reach, Vice Chairman, Chief Operating						
Officer, Secretary and Director (3)	2006	\$ 72,000	\$	\$ 42,363	\$ 6,05	2 \$ 120,415
John P. Hopkins, Chief Financial Officer (4)	2006	\$ 70,000	\$	\$ 13,283	\$ 3,00	0 \$ 86,283
Phlip A. Timpanaro, Corporate Controller (4)	2006	\$ 128,672	\$	\$ 13,924	\$	0 \$ 142,596

(1) Represents the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to the year ended December 31, 2006 for the fair value of the option granted to the named executive officer. The fair value was estimated in accordance with FASB 123R. For a more detailed discussion on the valuations made and assumptions used to calculate the fair value of our options refer to Note 10 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

(2) Irvin F. Witcosky was the Company s President and Chief Operating Officer in prior years. Effective August 8, 2006, Mr. Witcosky ceased being the Chief Operating Officer. Effective March 23, 2007, Mr. Witcosky resigned as an officer and director of the Company.

(3) Effective August 8, 2006, Mr. Reach assumed the position of Chief Operating Officer. Effective March 23, 2007, Mr. Reach assumed the additional position of President.

(4) Philip A. Timpanaro was the Company s Chief Financial Officer. Effective August 8, 2006, Mr. Timpanaro ceased being the Chief Financial Officer and became the Corporate Controller and John P. Hopkins became the Chief Financial Officer. Effective April 13, 2007, Mr. Timpanaro resigned from the Company.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2006.

The following table contains information related to the grant of stock options under our existing stock option plans issued by us during 2006 to executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table with awards disclosed on a grant-by-grant basis:

		Estimat Equity	Exercise or	Grant Date			
	Grant Date	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Base Price of Option Awards	Fair Value of Stock and Option	
Name	(1)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(\$/Sh)		(\$) (2)
James E. Henry							
Irvin F. Witcosky							
Brian Reach	8/8/2006			50,000 (3)	3.71	\$	53,131
John P. Hopkins	8/8/2006			150,000 (4)	3.71	\$	159,393
Phlip A. Timpanaro							

(1) Represents grants under the Company s 2002 Stock Option Plan.

(2) Represents the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to the year ended December 31, 2006 for the fair value of the option granted to the named executive officer. The fair value was estimated in accordance with FASB 123R. For a more detailed discussion on the valuations made and assumptions used to calculate the fair value of our options refer to Note 10 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

(3) Represents grant of 50,000 incentive stock options which vests in five equal installments of 10,000 on August 8, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011, respectively.

(4) Represents grant of 150,000 incentive stock options which vests in five equal installments of 30,000 on May 27, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2011, respectively.

Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2006.

The following table contains information concerning unexercised options held as of December 31, 2006 by the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table:

	Option Awards						
Name	Number of Securities Underlying Options Exercisable (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Options Unexercisable (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date		
James E. Henry							
Irvin F. Witcosky							
Brian Reach	100,000 (1)			7.10	5/31/2009		
Brian Reach		50,000 (2)		3.71	8/8/2012		
John P. Hopkins		150,000 (3)		3.71	8/8/2012		
Philip A. Timpanaro	5,000 (4)	20,000 (4)		5.65	5/27/2010		

(1) Represents grant of 100,000 incentive stock options which vests equally in 25 monthly installments of 4,000, with the installment vesting on June 30, 2004.

(2) Represents grant of 50,000 incentive stock options which vests in five equal installments of 10,000 on August 8, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011, respectively.

(3) Represents grant of 150,000 incentive stock options which vests in five equal installments of 30,000 on May 27, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2011, respectively.

(4) Represents grant of 25,000 incentive stock options which vests in five equal installments of 5,000 on August 8, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010, respectively.

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

Directors who are also our employees receive no additional compensation for attendance at board meetings. Mr. Henry and Mr. Reach are the only members of the Board of Directors who are also employees. The Company s non-employee directors receive a quarterly fee of \$1,250 and an annual stock option grant to purchase 2,000 shares of the Company s common stock at the closing share price on the day of the grant and \$1,000 for attendance at each Board or Committee meeting. For the year ended December 31, 2006, all of our outside Directors, that is, Directors who are not employees or full-time consultants of the Company, each received compensation as follows:

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) (1)	Option Awards (\$) (2)	Total (\$)
Robert De Lia, Sr.	10,000	756 (3)	10,756
James W. Power	6,750	756 (4)	7,506
Joseph P. Ritorto	8,000	756 (5)	8,756
David Sands	10,000	756 (6)	10,756

(1) Outside Directors each receive a cash retainer at a rate of \$5,000 per annum. The Company reimburses Director s for out-of-pocket expenses incurred travelling to Board of Director s meetings.

(2) Represents the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to the year ended December 31, 2006 for the fair value of the option granted to the named executive officer. The fair value was estimated in accordance with FASB 123R. For a more detailed discussion on the valuations made and assumptions used to calculate the fair value of our options refer to Note 10 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

(3) At December 31, 2006, Mr. De Lia, Sr. held options to purchase 6,000 shares of Common Stock.

(4) At December 31, 2006, Mr. Power held options to purchase 4,000 shares of Common Stock.

(5) At December 31, 2006, Mr. Ritorto held options to purchase 11,000 shares of Common Stock.

(6) At December 31, 2006, Mr. Sands held options to purchase 4,000 shares of Common Stock.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

The members of the Compensation Committee in 2006 were Messrs. De Lia, Power and Ritorto. The Board made all decisions concerning executive compensation during 2006. No executive officer of the Corporation served as a member of the Board of Directors of another entity during 2006. None of the members of the Compensation Committee has ever been an officer or employee of Henry Bros. Electronics, Inc. or any of its subsidiaries, and no compensation committee interlocks existed during fiscal 2006.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Through the following questions and answers we explain all material elements of our executive compensation:

What are the objectives of our executive compensation programs?

Our corporate goal is to maximize our total return to our shareholders through share price appreciation. Towards this goal, we seek to compensate our executives at levels that are competitive

with peer companies so that we may attract, retain and motivate highly capable executives. We also design our compensation programs to align our executives interests with those of our shareholders.

Our 2006 executive compensation, including stock option grants awarded for and in 2006, reflects our effort to realize these objectives.

What are the principal components of our executive compensation programs?

Overview: Our executive compensation programs consist of three principal components: (i) a base salary; (ii) annual bonuses; and (iii) stock option grants. The Company s policy for compensating our executive officers is intended to provide significant annual long-term performance incentives. We describe each of these principal components below.

Relationship of the principal components: We have allocated the three principal components of our executive compensation programs in a manner that we believe optimizes each executive s contribution to us. We have not established specific formulae for making the allocation.

Base Salary: We do not have employment agreements with any of our executives. Base salaries for executive officers are determined by evaluating a variety of factors, including the experience of the individual, the competitive marketplace for managerial talent, the Company s performance, the executive s performance, and the responsibilities of the executive. Although our Compensation Committee annually reviews salaries of our executive officers, our Compensation Committee does not automatically adjust base salaries if it concludes that adjustments to other components of the executive s compensation would be more appropriate.

Annual Bonus: Cash bonus awards are based on a variety of factors, including the individual performance of the executive and the Company s performance.

Long-Term Incentive Compensation (Stock Options for Common Shares): The Compensation Committee believes that stock-based compensation arrangements are essential in aligning the interests of management and the stockholders. The Company s 2002 and 2006 Stock Plan provides for the issuance of stock options to its executive officers and other employees. Stock options to purchase shares of the Company s common stock are issued at an exercise price equal to the fair market value of such stock on the date immediately preceding the date on which the stock option is granted. These options typically vest over a three to five year period from the date of grant and are granted to the Company s executive officers and other employees as a reward for past individual and corporate performance and as an incentive for future performance. The size of awards is determined by the Committee based on factors such as the executive s position, individual performance and the Company s performance.

What do we seek to reward and accomplish through our executive compensation programs?

We believe that our compensation programs, collectively, enable us to attract, retain and motivate high quality executives. We provide annual bonus awards primarily to provide performance incentives to our key employees to meet corporate performance objectives. Our corporate objectives are measured by sales increases, operating margins, net income and other items of performance as determined on an annual basis. We design long-term incentive awards primarily to motivate and reward key employees over longer periods. Through vesting and forfeiture provisions that we include in awards of stock options we provide an additional incentive to executives to act in furtherance of our longer-term interests. An executive whose employment with us terminates before



equity-based awards have vested, either because the executive has not performed in accordance with our expectations or because the executive chooses to leave, will generally forfeit the unvested portion of the award.

Why have we selected each principal component of our executive compensation programs?

We have selected programs that we believe are commonly used by public companies, both within and outside of our industry, because we believe commonly used programs are well understood by our shareholders, employees and analysts. Moreover, we selected each program only after we first confirmed, with the assistance of outside professional advisors, that the program comports with settled legal and tax rules.

How do we determine the amount of each principal component of compensation to our executives?

Our Compensation Committee exercises judgment and discretion in setting compensation for our senior executives. The Committee exercises its judgment and discretion only after it has first evaluated the recommendations of our Chief Executive Officer and evaluated our corporate performance.

What specific items of corporate performance do we take into account in setting compensation policies and making compensation decisions?

Our corporate performance primarily impacts the annual bonuses and long-term incentive compensation that we provide our executive officers. We use or weight items of corporate performance differently in our annual bonus and long-term compensation awards and some items are more determinative than others.

Goals for executives in 2006 vary because the areas of responsibility of executives differ. Goals are generally developed around metrics tied to our growth and profitability, including increases in revenue and operating profit, decreases in expenses, completion of developments in accordance with budgets and timelines, execution of acquisitions in accordance with targets, enhanced operational efficiencies and development of additional opportunities for our long-term growth.

How do we determine when awards are granted, including awards of equity-based compensation?

Historically, our Compensation Committee has awarded annual bonuses in the quarter following the year end. The Compensation Committee makes awards of stock options on an ad hoc basis, but generally quarterly, following review of pertinent financial information and industry data. In addition, the Compensation Committee conducts a thorough review of stock option awards and grant procedures annually. The date on which the Committee has met has varied from year to year, primarily based on the schedules of Committee members and the timing of compilation of data requested by the Committee.

Over the past years our equity-based awards to executives have taken the form of stock options. The number of stock options subject to an award has been computed by taking into account the Company s performance, the particular executive s performance, our retention objectives, and other factors.



What factors do we consider in decisions to increase or decrease compensation materially?

Historically, we have generally not decreased the base salaries of our executive officers or reduced their incentive compensation targets due to individual performance. When an executive s performance falls short of our expectations then we believe our interests are best served by replacing the executive with an executive who performs at the level we expect. The factors that we consider in decisions to increase compensation include the individual performance of the executive, responsibility of the executive and our corporate performance, as discussed above.

To what extent does our Compensation Committee consider compensation or amounts realizable from prior compensation in setting other elements of compensation?

The primary focus of our Compensation Committee in setting executive compensation is the executive s current level of compensation, including recent awards of long-term incentives, taking into account the executive s performance and our corporate performance. The Committee has not adopted a formulaic approach for considering amounts realized by an executive from prior equity-based awards.

How do accounting considerations impact our compensation practices?

Accounting consequences are not a material consideration in designing our compensation practices. However, we design our equity awards so that its overall cost fell within a budgeted dollar amount and so that the awards would qualify for classification as equity awards under FAS 123R. Under FAS 123R the compensation cost recognized for an award classified as an equity award is fixed for the particular award and, absent modification, is not revised with subsequent changes in market prices of our common shares or other assumptions used for purposes of the valuation.

How do tax considerations impact our compensation practices?

Prior to implementation of a compensation program and awards under the program, we evaluate the federal income tax consequences, both to us and to our executives, of the program and awards. Before approving a program, our Compensation Committee receives an explanation from our outside professionals as to the tax treatment of the program and awards under the program and assurances from our outside professionals that the tax treatment should be respected by taxing authorities.

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code limits our tax deduction each year for compensation to each of our Chief Executive Officer and our four other highest paid executive officers to \$1 million unless, in general, the compensation is paid under a plan that is performance-related, non-discretionary and has been approved by our shareholders. Generally, Section 162(m) has not had a significant impact on our compensation programs.

What are our equity or other security ownership requirements for executives and our policies regarding hedging the economic risk of share ownership?

We do not maintain minimum share ownership requirements for our executives. We do not have a policy regarding hedging the economic risk of share ownership.



To what extent do we benchmark total compensation and material elements of compensation and what are the benchmarks that we use?

While the Compensation Committee does not perform formal benchmarks, they do compare the elements of total compensation to compensation provided by knowledge gained in the industry.

Do we have a policy regarding the recovery of awards or payments if corporate performance measures upon which awards or payments are based are restated or adjusted in a manner that would reduce the size of an award or payment?

For non-executive officers, we have a policy that provides for a case-by-case review to determine if a recovery of an award is necessary if a performance measure used to calculate the award is subsequently adjusted in a manner that would have reduced the size of the award. For executive officers, we have a policy that requires a recovery of an award if a performance measure used to calculate the award is subsequently adjusted in a manner that would have reduced the size of the award.

What is the role of our executive officers in the compensation process?

Our Compensation Committee meets periodically with our Chief Executive Officer to address executive compensation, including the rationale for our compensation programs and the efficacy of the programs in achieving our compensation objectives. The Compensation Committee also relies on executive management to evaluate compensation programs to assure that they are designed and implemented in compliance with laws and regulations, including SEC reporting requirements. The Compensation Committee relies on the recommendations of our Chief Executive Officer regarding the performance of individual executives. At meetings in 2006 the Compensation Committee received recommendations from our Chief Executive Officer regarding salary adjustments and annual bonus and stock option awards for our executive officers. Our Chief Executive Officer plays a significant role in determining the annual cash compensation of our executive officers. The Compensation Committee believes that it is important for it to receive the input of the Chief Executive Officer on compensation matters since he is knowledgeable about the activities of our executive officers and the performance of their duties and responsibilities, as well as their contributions to the growth of the Company and its business. The Compensation Committee accepted these recommendations after concluding that the recommendations comported with the Committee s objectives and philosophy and the Committee s evaluation of our performance and industry data.

Compensation Committee Report

Our Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with our management and based on the review and discussion recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Board accepted the Compensation Committee s recommendation. This report is made by the undersigned members of the Compensation Committee:

Robert L. De Lia, Sr. (Chair) James W. Power Joseph P. Ritorto



Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

a) The following table provides information with respect to the equity securities that are authorized for issuance under our compensation plans as of December 31, 2006:

Equity Compensation Plan Information - For the Year Ended December 31, 2006:

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	670,600*	\$ 5.17	282,909
Equity compensation plans not	,		,, ,,
approved by security holders	199,662**	\$ 7.58	
Total	870,262	\$ 7.41	282,909

* This amount includes options issuable pursuant to our 2002 and 2006 Stock Option Plans. The plans authorizes the issuance of options to purchase up to 230,000 and 250,000 shares of our Common Stock to employees, directors, and consultants of the Company under the 2002 and 2006 Stock Option Plans, respectively.

Also included are options issuable pursuant to our Incentive Stock Option Plan. The Board of Directors and our shareholders approved the adoption of the Incentive Stock Option Plan on December 23, 1999. Our Incentive Stock Option Plan provides for the granting of options to purchase a maximum of 500,000 shares of the Company s common stock.

** This amount includes a five year option (currently exercisable) granted to the Wall Street Group (WSG) consisting of 5,996 shares granted November 5, 2002 with an exercise price of \$6.90 per share, issued in connection with an agreement to provided certain services dated November 1, 2001 and terminated in April 2003. Also included are warrants to purchase 138,333 and 55,333 shares at \$7.60 expiring January 27, 2010, that were granted in connection with the issuance of 553,333 shares of our common stock to certain qualified institutional investors and the placement agent, respectively, in July 2004.

b) Security Ownership Of Certain Beneficial Owners And Management And Related Stockholder Matters

The table that follows sets forth, as of September 4, 2007 certain information regarding beneficial ownership of our common stock by each person who is known by us to beneficially own more than 5% of our common stock. The table also identifies the stock ownership of each of our directors, each of our officers, and all directors and officers as a group. Except as otherwise indicated, the stockholders listed in the table have sole voting and investment powers with respect to the shares

indicated. Unless otherwise indicated, the business address for each of the named individuals is Henry Bros. Electronics, Inc., 17-01 Pollitt Drive, Fair Lawn, New Jersey 07410.

Shares of common stock which an individual or group has a right to acquire within 60 days pursuant to the exercise or conversion of options, warrants or other similar convertible or derivative securities are deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of such individual or group, but are not deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person shown in the table.

The applicable percentage of ownership is based on 5,916,065 shares outstanding as of September 4, 2007.

Name address and title of beneficial owner	Number of shares beneficially owned	Percentage of Common Stock Beneficially Owned
James E. Henry, Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, Treasurer and Director	1,400,000	23.7%
Brian Reach, Vice-Chairman, President, Chief Operating Officer, Secretary, and Director (1)	195,000	3.3%
John P. Hopkins, Chief Financial Officer (2)	30,000	*
Brian J. Smith, Corporate Controller		*
Robert De Lia, Sr., Director (3)	44,000	*
James W. Power, Director (4)	4,000	*
Joseph P. Ritorto, Director (5)	46,000	*
David Sands, Director (6)	4,000	*
All executive officers and directors as a group (8 persons) (7)	1,723,000	29.1%

* Less than 1% CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

The following table gives information about each additional shareholder known by us to be a beneficial owner of more than 5 percent of common stock as of September 4, 2007, based on information filed with the SEC:

	Amount of	Percent
Irvin F. Witcosky (8)	1,361,800	23.0%
Richard D. Rockwell (9)	528,000	8.9%

⁽¹⁾ The amount shown for Mr. Reach includes a currently exercisable option to purchase 100,000 shares of the Company s Common Stock at a price of \$7.10 per share and a currently exercisable option to purchase 10,000 shares of

the Company s Common Stock at a price of \$3.71 per share.

(2) The amount shown for Mr. Hopkins includes a currently exercisable option to purchase 30,000 shares of the Company s Common Stock at a price of \$3.71 per share.

(3) The amount shown for Mr. De Lia, Sr. includes three currently exercisable options to purchase 2,000 shares each of the Company s Common Stock at a price of \$7.19, \$4.90 and \$3.33 per share, respectively.

(4) The amount shown for Mr. Power includes two currently exercisable options to purchase 2,000 shares each of the Company s Common Stock at a price of \$6.08 and \$3.33 per share, respectively.

(5) The amount shown for Mr. Ritorto includes currently exercisable options to purchase 5,000 shares at \$7.95 and 2,000 shares each of the Company s common stock at \$7.19, \$4.90 and \$3.33 per share, respectively.

(6) The amount shown for Mr. Sands includes two currently exercisable options to purchase 2,000 shares each of the Company s Common Stock at a price of \$4.90 and \$3.33 per share, respectively.

(7) The amount shown includes currently exercisable options to purchase 165,000 shares of the Company s common stock.

(8) Mr. Witcosky resigned as the Company s President and as a Director, effective March 23, 2007. The amount shown for Mr. Witcosky is pursuant to a Schedule 13D/A, filed on April 5, 2007, by Mr. Witcosky. His current address is 419 E. Penn St., Long Beach, NY 11561.

(9) The amount shown for Mr. Rockwell is pursuant to a Schedule 13D/A, filed on March 1, 2007, by Mr. Rockwell, an individual, reporting an address of 43 River Road, Nutley, NJ 07110.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

a) In 2006, the Company had revenues of \$678,138 associated with an integrated security systems project with First Aviation Services, Inc. (First Aviation). Joseph P. Ritorto, a member of our Board of Directors since January 2002, is co-founder of First Aviation.

b) The Company considers Messrs. Ritorto, De Lia, Sands and Power to be independent directors in accordance with Section 121A of the American Stock Exchange s listing standards.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Fees Paid to Our Independent Auditors During 2006 and 2005

Audit Fees

The aggregate fees billed by Demetrius & Company, L.L.C. for professional services rendered for the audits of the Company s annual financial statements on Form 10-K in 2006 and the reviews of the financial statements on Form 10-Q for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 were \$89,587 and the audit of Form 10-KSB in 2005 and the reviews of the financial statements on Form 10-QSB for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 were \$86,087.

Audit-Related Fees

The aggregate fees billed for audit-related services by the principal accountant for the year ended December 31, 2006 were approximately \$2,200 and for the year ended December 31, 2005 were \$2,200. Audit related services include due diligence in connection with acquisitions, consultation on accounting and internal control matters, audits in connection with proposed or consummated acquisitions and review of registration statements.

Tax Fees

The aggregate fees billed for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning rendered by our independent auditors for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 was \$22,500, and for the year

ended December 31, 2005 was \$21,000. The services comprising these fees include tax consulting and submitting tax returns.

All Other Fees

The aggregate fees billed for all other professional services rendered by the Company s independent auditors for the year ended December 31, 2006 was 12,047 and for the year ended December 31, 2005 was 375. These fees related to a 401(k) plan audit in 2006 and work performed on consents on Form S-8 Registrations in 2005.

Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services

The Audit Committee approved 100% of the fees paid to the principal accountant for audit-related, tax and other fees. The Audit Committee pre-approves all non-audit services to be performed by the auditor. The percentage of hours expended on the principal accountant s engagement to audit the Company s financial statements for the most recent year that were attributed to work performed by persons other than the principal accountant s full-time, permanent employees was 0%.

Part IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following consolidated financial statements and schedules are filed at the end of this report, beginning on page F-l. Other schedules are omitted because they are not required or are not applicable or the required information is shown in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

(b) See Exhibit Index following this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

DOCUMENT	PAGES
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2006 and 2005	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004	F-5
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-6 to F-34
Schedule II -Valuation and Qualifying Accounts, for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 42	S-1

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended, the Registrant had duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: October 17, 2007

HENRY BROTHER ELECTRONICS, INC.

By: /s/ James E. Henry

James E. Henry

Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, Treasurer and Director

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Each person, in so signing also makes, constitutes, and appoints James E. Henry and Brian Reach, and each of them acting alone, as his true and lawful attorneys-in-fact, with full power of substitution, in his name, place, and stead, to execute and cause to be filed with the SEC any or all amendments to this report.

		SIGNATURE
Date:	October 17, 2007	/s/ James E. Henry
		James E. Henry Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, Treasurer and Director
Date:	October 17, 2007	/s/ Brian Reach
		Brian Reach Vice Chairman, President, Chief Operating Officer, Secretary and Director
Date:	October 17, 2007	/s/ John P. Hopkins
		John P. Hopkins Chief Financial Officer
Date:	October 17, 2007	/s/ Joseph P. Ritorto
		Joseph P. Ritorto Director
Date:	October 17, 2007	/s/ Robert L. DeLia Sr.
		Robert L. DeLia Sr. Director
Date:	October 17, 2007	/s/ David Sands
		David Sands Director
Date:	October 17, 2007	/s/ James W. Power
		James W. Power Director

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Henry Bros. Electronics, Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Henry Bros. Electronics, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted the provisions of Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements, as of January 1, 2006.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Henry Bros. Electronics, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Notes 1 and 18, the Company has corrected the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2005 and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes is stockholders equity and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004.

/s/ Demetrius & Company, L.L.C.

Wayne, New Jersey October 17, 2007

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

F-1

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31,			1,
		2006	(2005 (Corrected)
ASSETS				
CURRENT ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	199,853	\$	2,177,686
Accounts receivable-net of allowance for doubtful accounts		13,628,358		9,934,954
Inventory		1,707,933		1,227,871
Costs in excess of billings and estimated profits		4,643,469		3,110,798
Deferred tax asset		1,155,620		726,274
Retainage receivable		1,390,468		1,210,014
Prepaid expenses and income tax receivable		454,801		250,187
Other assets		290,079		327,536
Total current assets		23,470,581		18,965,320
Property and equipment - net of accumulated depreciation		2,402,394		1,123,561
Goodwill		3,316,530		3,453,606
Intangible assets - net of accumulated amortization		1,436,414		1,328,509
Deferred tax asset		594,545		221,887
Other assets		151,145		68,647
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	31,371,609	\$	25,161,530
LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY			_	
CURRENT LIABILITIES				
Accounts payable	\$	5,973,047	\$	3,538,392
Accrued expenses		4,786,203		2,229,797
Accrued taxes		58,914		205,365
Billings in excess of costs and estimated profits		1,167,259		1,176,813
Deferred income		476,775		570,489
Current portion of long-term debt		505,028		296,666
Deferred tax liability		249,365		
Other current liabilities		252,881		
Total current liabilities		13,469,472		8,017,522
Long-term debt, less current portion		3,463,236		727,961
Deferred tax liability		428,283		433,081
TOTAL LIABILITIES	_	17,360,991	_	9,178,564
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY				
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued				
Common stock, \$.01 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized; 5,916,065 shares issued and outstanding		50 161		59 904
in 2006 and 5,889,399 in 2005		59,161		58,894
Additional paid in capital		17,284,205		16,956,008
Deferred compensation Accumulated deficit		(383,552) (2,949,196)		(342,878) (689,058)
TOTAL EQUITY	_	14,010,618		15,982,966
TOTAL LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$	31,371,609	\$	25,161,530

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

		For the years ended December 31,					
		2006		2005 (Corrected)		2004 (Corrected)	
Revenue	\$	42,132,852	\$	42,156,188	\$	29,725,718	
Cost of revenue		31,586,736		31,581,187		22,305,632	
Gross profit	_	10,546,116	_	10,575,001		7,420,086	
Operating expenses:							
Selling, general & administrative expenses		11,952,477		8,422,193		6,943,885	
Goodwill & intangible asset impairment charges		1,191,000		44,999		77,000	
Operating (loss) profit	_	(2,597,361)		2,107,809		399,201	
T-t		10 5 1 5		12 507		12 (24	
Interest income Other expense		19,515 (674)		12,507 (3,780)		12,624	
Interest expense		(103,923)		(84,985)		(94,039)	
(Loss) income before tax expense		(2,682,443)		2,031,551		317,786	
Tax expense (benefit)		(422,305)		893,577		148,147	
Net (loss) income after taxes	\$	(2,260,138)	\$	1,137,974	\$	169,639	
	_						
BASIC (LOSS) EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE:							
Basic (loss) profit per common share	\$	(0.39)	\$	0.20	\$	0.03	
Weighted average common shares	_	5,749,964		5,739,398		5,411,964	
DILUTED (LOSS) EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE:							
Diluted (loss) profit per common share:	\$	(0.39)	\$	0.20	\$	0.03	
Weighted average diluted common shares		5,749,964		5,773,097		5,411,964	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

F-3

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONCS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

	Commo par val 10,00 Autho	ue \$.01 0,000	Treasury Stock		Treasury Stock		Treasury Stock		Treasury Stock		Additional	Deferred		
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Paid-in Capital	Comp- ensation	Retained Earnings	Total						
Balance at December 31, 2003 (Corrected)	5,201,431	\$ 52,015	70,891	\$ (500,000)	\$ 13,512,939		\$ (1,996,671)	\$ 11,068,283						
Shares issued in connection with the acquisition of Airorlite	3,201,431	\$ 52,015	70,891	\$ (300,000)	\$ 13,312,939		\$ (1,990,071)	\$ 11,008,285						
Communications, Inc. Shares issued in July 2004 net of	37,000	370			266,030			266,400						
expenses	553,333	5,533			2,952,524			2,958,057						
Employee stock options exercised Value of stock option grants	18,525	185			123,108 247,056	(247,056)		123,293						
Amortization of value assigned to					247,030	(247,030)								
stock option grants Treasury shares cancelled	(70,891)	(709)	(70,891)	500,000	(499,291)	68,114		68,114						
Net income for 2004 (Corrected)	(70,091)	(10)	(70,071)		(4)),2)1)		169,639	169,639						
Balance at December 31, 2004 (Corrected)	5,739,398	57,394			16,602,366	(178,942)	(1,827,032)	14,653,786						
Value of stock option grants					355,142	(355,142)								
Amortization of value assigned to stock option grants						191,206		191,206						
Shares issued in connection with the	170 001	1 500			(1.500)	191,200		191,200						
acquisition of Securus, Inc. Net income for 2005 (Corrected)	150,001	1,500			(1,500)		1,137,974	1,137,974						
Balance at December 31, 2005														
(Corrected)	5,889,399	58,894			16,956,008	(342,878)	(689,058)	15,982,966						
Employee stock options exercised Value of stock option grants	6,666	67			30,930 230,267	(230,267)		30,997						
Shares issued in connection with the acquisition of CIS Security Systems	20,000	200			67,000			67,200						
Amortization of value assigned to	20,000	200			07,000			,						
stock option grants Net loss December 31, 2006						189,593	(2,260,138)	189,593 (2,260,138)						
Balance at December 31, 2006	5,916,065	\$ 59,161			\$ 17,284,205	\$ (383,552)	\$ (2,949,196)	\$ 14,010,618						
	The acc	ompanying I	notes are an	integral part	of these staten	nents.								

F-4

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the y 2006	ember 31, 2004 (Corrected)		
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net (loss) income	\$ (2,260,138)	\$ 1,137,974	\$ 169,639	
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income from operations to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization	699,559	647,243	490,856	
Bad debt expense	172,402	453,889	203,324	
Provision for obsolete inventory	384,000	-	50,000	
Impairment charges	1,191,000	44,999	77,000	
Stock option expense	189,593	191,106	68,114	
Deferred income taxes	(386,007)	684,682	52,336	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:				
Accounts receivable	(3,071,303)	(1,327,191)	(2,525,791)	
Inventories	(801,540)	(353,296)	164,664	
Costs in excess of billings and estimated profits	(1,484,855)	(525,876)	(1,819,017)	
Retainage receivable	(180,454)	(857,967)	(291,337)	
Other assets	(21,809)	(161,093)	360,505	
Prepaid expenses and income tax receivable	(204,614)	220,210	(284,770)	
Accounts payable	1,930,035	201,181	1,121,607	
Accrued expenses	2,285,202	299,739	1,132,519	
Billings in excess of cost and estimated profits	(9,554)	(174,485)	873,783	
Deferred income	(93,714)	527,995	(112,783)	
Other liabilities	19,587			
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(1,642,610)	1,009,110	(269,351)	
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Purchase of businesses, net of cash acquired	(1,666,363)	(1,084,528)	(166,875)	
Purchase of property and equipment	(1,393,001)	(211,542)	(236,295)	
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,059,364)	(1,296,070)	(403,170)	
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Proceeds from issuance of common stock - net of fees	30,997	-	3,081,350	
Net proceeds and (payments) from revolving bank lines	2,847,896	951,692	(600,000)	
Proceeds from bank loans	186,500	-	-	
Payments of bank loans	(217,810)	(1,545,171)	(305,215)	
Net repayments of other debt	(26,465)	(18,498)	(149,699)	
Capitalized lease payments	(96,977)	(78,349)	(26,359)	
Payment of loan payable to owner of acquired company	-		(100,000)	
Net Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	2,724,141	(690,326)	1,900,077	
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(1,977,833)	(977,286)	1,227,556	
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of period	2,177,686	3,154,972	1,927,416	

Cash and cash equivalents - end of period	\$	199,853	\$	2,177,686	\$ 3,154,972
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:					
Amount paid for the period for:					
Interest	\$	86,093	\$	84,985	\$ 94,039
Taxes	\$	325,812	\$	41,124	\$ 9,334
Non-cash investing and financing activities:					
Equipment financed	\$	250,493	\$	151,154	\$ 258,841
Issuance of stock to acquire businesses	\$	67,200			\$ 266,400
Value of stock options issued to employees	\$	230,267	\$	355,142	\$ 247,056
The accompanying notes are an integral p	art of t	hese statement	s.		

F-5

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

NATURE OF OPERATIONS

Henry Bros. Electronics, Inc., (the Company) and its subsidiaries, are divided into two business segments Security System Integration (Integration) and Specialty Products and Services (Specialty). The Integration segment provides cradle to grave services for a wide variety of security, communications and control systems. The Company specializes in turn-key systems that integrate many different technologies. Systems are customized to meet the specific needs of its customers. Through the Specialty Products and Services segment we provide emergency preparedness programs, mobile digital recording solutions and specialized radio frequency communication equipment and integration. Each of the Company segments markets nationwide with an emphasis in the Arizona, California, Colorado, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Texas and Virginia metropolitan areas. Customers are primarily medium and large businesses and governmental agencies. The Company derives a majority of its revenues from project installations and to a smaller extent, maintenance service revenue.

The table below shows revenue percentage by geographic location for each of the years ended December 31:

	2006	2005	2004
New Jersey/New York	44%	53%	35%
California	27%	23%	33%
Texas	3%	8%	13%
Arizona	7%	6%	7%
Colorado (1)	11%	2%	
CIS - Virginia/Maryland (2)	4%		
Integration segment	96%	92%	88%
Specialty segment	7%	9%	13%
Inter-segment	-3%	-1%	-1%
-			
Total revenue	100%	100%	100%
(1) Acquired October 10, 2005(2) Acquired October 2, 2006			

F-6

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(a) Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries. Acquisitions are recorded as of the purchase date, and are included in the consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition. All material intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

(b) Reclassifications

The presentation of certain prior year information has been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. The Retainage Receivable has been broken out separately on the balance sheets. In 2005, this amount was included in Other Assets.

(c) Revenue Recognition

Revenue from a project in either the Integration or Specialty segments are recognized on the percentage of completion method, whereby revenue and the related gross profit are determined based upon the actual costs incurred to date for the project to the total estimated project costs at completion. Project costs generally include all material and shipping costs, the Company s direct labor, subcontractor costs and an allocation of indirect costs related to the direct labor. Changes in the project scope, site conditions, staff performance and delays or problems with the equipment used on the project can result in increased costs that may not be billable or accepted by the customer and results in a loss or lower profit from what was originally anticipated at the time of the proposal.

Estimates for the costs to complete the project are periodically updated by management during the performance of the project. Provision for changes in estimated costs and losses, if any, on uncompleted projects are made in the period in which such losses are determined. In general, we determine a project to be substantially completed after:

- 1. The scope of work is completed which includes installing the equipment as required in the contract.
- 2. System is functional and has been tested.
- 3. Training has been provided.

The majority of the Company s projects are completed within a year. Revenue from product sales are recognized when title and risk of loss passes to the customer.

Service contracts, which are generally separate and distinct agreements from project agreements, are billed either monthly or quarterly on the last day of the month covered by the contract. Accordingly, revenues from service contracts are recognized ratably over the length of the



HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

agreement. In 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company did not bundle any significant service contracts with our systems installation work.

The Diversified Security Solutions, Inc. division provides emergency planning services to commercial real estate owners and managers. In general, project labor is the predominant cost associated with the completion of these projects. The Company utilizes labor as the output measure in order to recognize revenue and believes this to be an accurate matching of costs and revenue.

(d) Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Revenue and costs relating to security integration systems projects and service agreements are particularly affected by management s estimates. The contract sale price and estimated costs are based upon the facts and circumstances known at the time of the proposal. Estimates for the costs to complete the contract are periodically updated during the performance of the contract. Unpredictable events can occur during the performance of the contract that can increase the costs and reduce the estimated gross profit. Change orders to record additional costs may not be approved or can become subject to long negotiations with the customer and can result in concessions by the Company. Considerable judgments are made during the performance of the contract that affects the Company s revenue recognition and cost accruals that may have a significant impact on the results of operations reported by the Company.

(e) Cash Equivalents

The Company considers highly liquid instruments with original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

(f) Trade Receivables

Trade receivables are stated at net realizable value. This value includes an appropriate allowance for estimated uncollectible accounts. The allowance is evaluated on a regular basis by management and is based upon historical experience with the customer, the aging of the past due amounts and the relationship with and economic status of our customers. The evaluation is based upon estimates taking into account the facts and circumstances at the time of the evaluation. Actual uncollectible accounts could exceed the Company s estimates and changes to its estimates will be accounted for in the period of change. Account balances are charged against the allowance after all means of collection have been exhausted and the potential for recovery is considered remote. Our trade receivables are not collateralized.

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(g) Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market value. Cost has been determined using the first-in, first-out method. Inventory quantities on-hand are regularly reviewed, and where necessary, reserves for excess and obsolete inventories are recorded.

(h) Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed on a straight-line basis over estimated useful lives of five to seven years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of related lease term or the estimated useful lives. Upon retirement or sale, the costs of the assets disposed of and the related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss is included in the determination of income. Repairs and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. Annually, the Company routinely reviews its property and equipment for impairment, and accordingly, will write-down those assets to their estimated fair value. There was no impaired property and equipment in 2006 and 2005.

(i) Intangible Assets

The Company s intangible assets include goodwill and other intangibles that consist of the fair value of acquired customer lists, service contracts acquired, trade names, and covenants not to compete. Goodwill represents the excess of purchase price over fair value of net assets acquired at the date of acquisition.

Effective January 1, 2002, the company adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial and Accounting Standards (SFAS) 142 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets . In accordance with that statement, goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized, but are tested at least annually for impairment. Prior to January 1, 2002, the company had not recorded goodwill or other intangible assets of indefinite lives. Intangible assets with estimable useful lives, consisting primarily of acquired customer lists, service contracts and covenants not to compete, are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives of three to fifteen years and are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset or asset group may not be recoverable. If the intangible asset s remaining useful life is changed, the intangible asset will be amortized over the remaining useful life. If the asset being amortized is determined to have an indefinite useful life, the asset will be tested for impairment. The impairment test will consist of measuring its fair value with its carrying amount of the intangible assets exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss is recognized for an amount equal to the excess and the adjusted carrying amount is recognized as its new accounting basis. The company recorded an impairment charge of approximately \$0, \$45,000 and \$77,000 for the write down of customer lists and service contract rights for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.



HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(j) Goodwill

The Company s goodwill impairment test is based on a two part procedure consistent with the requirements of SFAS 142. The first test consists of determining the fair value of the reporting unit and comparing it to the carrying value of the reporting unit. If the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds the fair value of the reporting unit, a second test is performed. In step two, the implied fair value of the goodwill (which is the excess of the fair value of the reporting unit over the fair value of the net assets) is compared to the carrying value of the goodwill. An impairment loss is recognized for any excess value of goodwill over the implied value. The Company determines the reporting unit by analyzing geographic region, as management evaluates the Company s performance in this manner. We identified five separate and distinct operating units for the testing requirements of SFAS 142. In 2005 and 2004, no charges to operations resulted from management s goodwill impairment evaluation. However, based upon our 2006 evaluation, the Company took a charge to operations of \$1.2 million (or \$.21 per diluted share) associated with goodwill impairment associated with our California banking vertical market.

(k) Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash, cash equivalents and accounts receivable. At various times, the Company had cash balances at certain financial institutions in excess of federally insured limits. However, the Company does not believe that it is subject to unusual credit risk beyond the normal credit risk associated with commercial banking relationships.

Credit risk is generally diversified due to the large number of customers that make up the Company s customer base and their geographic dispersion resulting from three acquisitions in 2002. The Company performs an ongoing credit evaluation of its customers. In 2006 billings to one customer represented 9.7% of the Company s consolidated revenue or 10.1% of revenue from the Integration segment. Revenues from local government agencies were 22.6%, 39% and 20% of total revenue for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

There are a few vendors from whom we obtain devices and software for specific access control, imaging, remote transmission, smart key and mobile applications. The loss of any one of these companies as suppliers could have a materially adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations if we are unable to develop or acquire new technologies from other sources. We believe there are alternative vendors to source such products.

Timely vendor deliveries of equipment meeting our quality control standards from all suppliers are also important to our business because each installed system requires the integration of a variety of elements to be fully functional. The failure to deliver any component when required, in operating condition, can delay the project, triggering contract penalties, delay in progress payments and may result in cancellation of the project.

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(l) Income Taxes

Deferred taxes are provided on the asset and liability method whereby assets and liabilities are recognized for taxable temporary differences. Temporary differences are the differences between the amounts reported for financial statement purposes and corresponding amounts for tax purposes. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment.

(m) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of the Company s financial instruments, which include cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued expenses, short and long-term debt, approximate their fair values as of December 31, 2006.

(n) Advertising Costs

The Company expenses advertising cost when the advertisement occurs. Total advertising expenses amounted to approximately \$67,542, \$40,346 and \$44,466 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

(o) Stock Based Compensation

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R) (FAS-123(R)), *Share-Based Payment*, which is a revision of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (FAS-123), *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*.

FAS-123(R) eliminates accounting for share-based compensation transactions using the intrinsic value method prescribed in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 (APB-25), *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and requires instead that such transactions be accounted for using a fair-value-based method. The Company has elected to adopt the provisions of FAS-123(R) effective January 1, 2006, under the modified prospective transition method, in which compensation cost was recognized beginning with the effective date (a) based on the requirements of FAS-123R for all share-based payments granted after the effective date and (b) based on the requirements of FAS-123R for all awards granted to employees prior to the effective date of FAS-123R that remain unvested on the effective date.

As permitted under FAS-123, the Company elected to follow Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and related interpretations in accounting for stock-based awards to employees through December 31, 2005. Accordingly, compensation cost for stock options and nonvested stock grants was measured as the excess, if any, of the market price of the Company s common stock at the date of grant over the exercise price.

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

With the adoption of FAS-123(R), the Company elected to amortize stock-based compensation for awards granted on or after the adoption of FAS-123R on January 1, 2006, on a straight-line basis over the requisite service (vesting) period for the entire award. For awards granted prior to January 1, 2006, compensation costs are amortized in a manner consistent with Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 28 (FIN-28), *Accounting for Stock Appreciation Rights and Other Variable Stock Option or Award Plans*. This is the same manner applied in the pro forma disclosures under FAS-123. Accordingly, the fair value of all options granted on and after January 1, 2003 is to be charged against income over the vesting period. The company charged \$189,593, \$191,106 and \$68,114 to operations for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, for the fair value of those options granted subsequent to January 1, 2003. Those issued prior to adoption are accounted for under the intrinsic value method in accordance with APB No. 25. The Company adopted the perspective method as permitted by SFAS No. 148 on January 1, 2003.

(p) Warranty

The Company offers warranties on all products, including parts and labor that ranges from one to three years, depending upon the product. For products made by others, the Company passes along the manufacturer s warranty to the end user. The Company charges operations with warranty expenses as incurred. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, warranty expense was approximately \$34,490, \$49,231 and \$152,500, respectively.

(q) Net (Loss) Income Per Share

The computation of basic (loss) earnings per share is based upon the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. The computation of diluted (loss) earnings per share includes the dilutive effects of common stock equivalents of options and warrants. Potentially dilutive securities are not included in earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2006 as their inclusion would be antidilutive.

The following securities were not included in the computation of diluted net earnings per share as their effect would have been anti-dilutive:

	December 31,			
	2006	2005	2004	
Options to purchase common stock Shares issued in connection with the acquisition of Securus Inc.,	1,149			
held in escrow	150,001 F-12			

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(r) Segment Information

FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131, *Disclosure about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information* (Statement 131), that establish standards for the reporting by public business enterprises of financial and descriptive information about reportable operating segments in annual financial statements and interim financial reports issued to shareholders. The Company has identified two operating segments in which it operates; Security Systems Integration (Integration) and Specialty Products and services (Specialty). The Integration segment provides design, installation and support services for a wide variety of security, communications and control systems. The Company specializes in turn-key systems that integrate many different technologies. Systems are customized to meet the specific needs of its customers. The Specialty Products and Services segment (Specialty) includes the Company's digital mobile recording business, its emergency preparedness planning programs business, and its wireless business specializes in designing, manufacturing and maintaining wireless communications equipment used to enhance and extend emergency radio frequency services and cellular communication for both fixed and mobile applications.

Each of the Company s segments market nationwide with an emphasis in the Arizona, California, Colorado, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Texas and Virginia metropolitan areas. Customers are primarily medium and large businesses and governmental agencies. The Company derives a majority of its revenues from project installations and to a smaller extent, maintenance service revenue.

(s) Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*. This new standard replaces APB Opinion No. 20, *Accounting Changes*, and SFAS No. 3, *Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements*, and represents another step in the FASB s goal to converge its standards with those issued by the IASB. Among other changes, SFAS No. 154 requires that a voluntary change in accounting principle be applied retrospectively with all prior period financial statements presented on the new accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to do so. SFAS No. 154 also provides that (1) a change in method of depreciating or amortizing a long-lived non-financial asset be accounted for as a change in estimate (prospectively) that was effected by a change in accounting principle, and (2) correction of errors in previously issued financial statements should be termed a restatement. The new standard is effective for accounting changes and correction of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. Early adoption of SFAS No. 154 did not have a material effect on the Company s financial position or results of operations. On September 13, 2006, the Securities Exchange Commission (SEC) staff issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) Topic No. 108, Financial Statements Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements (SAB 108). SAB 108 addresses how a registrant should evaluate whether an error

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

in its financial statements is material. The SEC staff concludes in SAB 108 that materiality should be evaluated using both the rollover and iron curtain methods. Registrants are required to comply with the guidance in SAB 108 in financial statements for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. After analyzing the materiality of the impact arising from these deficiencies in accordance with the provisions of SAB 108 (See Notes 6, 9, 18 and 19), management concluded that the financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, included as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and the Selected Financial Data, included as Item 6 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, should be corrected to reflect the proper accounting related to income taxes. The impact resulting from these corrections for income tax accounting that had an income statement impact was to increase (decrease) tax expense by\$(29,696), \$(125,618), \$111,080, and \$99,776, for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively, with a corresponding increase or decrease to net tax liabilities. The impact resulting from these corrections for income tax accounting that only had a balance sheet impact was to increase net deferred tax liabilities by \$549,262, \$580,032, \$533,648 for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively, with a corresponding net increase to goodwill. Unadjusted differences were not material to 2006 or individually to the prior years.

(t) Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. This new standard provides guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. The FASB believes the standard also responds to investors requests for expanded information about the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. Statement 157 applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value but does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances.

Under Statement 157, fair value refers to the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in the market in which the reporting entity transacts. In this standard, the FASB clarifies the principle that fair value should be based on the assumptions market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability. In support of this principle, Statement 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets and the lowest priority to unobservable data, for example, the reporting entity s own data. Under the standard, fair value measurements would be separately disclosed by level within the fair value hierarchy.

The provisions of Statement 157 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Earlier application is encouraged, provided that the reporting entity has not yet issued financial statements for that fiscal year, including any financial statements for an interim period within that fiscal year. The Company is currently quantifying the impact of SFAS No. 157.

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

On July 13, 2006, Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*, was issued. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income tax recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* (SFAS No. 109). FIN 48 also prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The new FASB standard also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition.

The evaluation of a tax position in accordance with FIN 48 is a two-step process. The first step is a recognition process whereby the enterprise determines whether it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. In evaluating whether a tax position has met the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, the enterprise should presume that the position will be examined by the appropriate taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information. The second step is a measurement process whereby a tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold is calculated to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. The tax position is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. Earlier application is permitted as long as the enterprise has not yet issued financial statements, including interim financial statements, in the period of adoption. The provisions of FIN 48 are to be applied to all tax positions upon initial adoption of this standard. Only tax positions that meet the more-likely-than-not recognizion threshold at the effective date may be recognized or continue to be recognized upon adoption of FIN 48. The cumulative effect of applying the provisions of FIN 48 should be reported as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings of the year adopted (or other appropriate components of equity or net assets in the statement of financial position) for that fiscal year. The Company is currently quantifying the impact of FIN 48.

In February 2007, FASB issued FAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 (FAS 159). FAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. FAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting FAS 159 on its financial statements.

The FASB is currently working on amendments to the existing accounting standards governing asset transfers and fair value measurements in business combinations and impairment tests, among other issues. Upon completion of these standards, the Company will need to reevaluate its accounting and disclosures. Due to the ongoing deliberations of the standard setters, the Company is unable to accurately determine the effect of future amendments or proposals at this time.

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

2. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts receivable consisted of the following:

	December 31,		
	_	2006	2005
Completed contracts, including retentions	\$	7,002,134	\$ 3,189,288
Contracts in progess: Current		7,150,234	6,894,444
Retentions		459,781	662,611
		14,612,149	10,746,343
Less: Allowance for doubtful accounts		983,791	811,389
	\$	13,628,358	\$ 9,934,954

At December 31, 2006 and 2005, one customer represented 9.7% and 12.5% of the net accounts receivable, respectively.

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

3. COSTS AND BILLINGS ON UNCOMPLETED CONTRACTS

Costs and billing on uncompleted contracts consisted of the following:

		December 31,		
		2006	2005	
Cost incurred on uncompleted contracts	\$ 1	38 769 725	\$ 32,014,993	
Billings on uncompleted contracts			30,081,008	
	\$	3,476,210	\$ 1,933,985	

Included in accompanying Balance Sheets under the following captions:

	Decen	ber 31,
	2006	2005
		* • • • • • • • • •
Costs in excess of billings and estimated profits		\$ 3,110,798
Billing in excess of costs and estimated profits	1,167,259	1,176,813
	\$ 3,476,210	\$ 1,933,985

Backlog

At December 31, 2006, the dollar amount of backlog believed to be firm was \$27,802,404. Backlog from acquisitions completed during fiscal 2005 that were not included as part of the December 31, 2005 backlog accounted for \$680,065 of this backlog. At December 31, 2005, our backlog was \$16,002,144. At June 30, 2007, the dollar amount of backlog believed to be firm was approximately \$32,300,000.

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

4. INVENTORIES

Inventories consisted of the following:

	Decen	December 31,					
	2006	2005					
Component parts Finished goods	\$ 434,282 1,689,190	\$ 144,028 1,115,382					
	2,123,472	1,259,410					
Less: Valuation allowance	415,539	31,539					
Net inventory	\$ 1,707,933	\$ 1,227,871					

5. **PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT**

Property and equipment consisted of the following:

	Dece	mber 31,
	2006	2005
Office equipment Demo and testing equipment Automotive equipment Computer equipment Machinery and equipment Leasehold improvements	\$ 432,519 133,448 1,582,834 1,239,364 372,565 500,325	\$ 250,768 104,501 1,394,477 960,134 182,082 56,171
Less: Accumulated depreciation	4,261,055 (1,858,661)	2,948,133
	\$ 2,402,394	\$ 1,123,561

Depreciation Expense was \$515,972, \$488,899 and \$340,268 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

Equipment under capital leases included in Property and Equipment are as follows:

		December 31,				
		2006		2005		
Automotive equipment	\$	511,992 137,475	\$	309,128 99,306		
Less: Accumulated depreciation	\$	374,517	\$	209,822		
	÷	071,017	φ	207,022		

6. GOODWILL

Goodwill consisted of the following:

	December 31,				
2006			2005 Corrected)		
¢	102 752	¢	1 (74 752		
\$,	Э	1,674,753		
	472,475		472,475		
	317,114		317,114		
	250,034		250,034		
	971,210		739,230		
	783,650				
	38,294				
\$	3,316,530	\$	3,453,606		
	\$	\$ 483,753 472,475 317,114 250,034 971,210 783,650 38,294	\$ 483,753 \$ 472,475 317,114 250,034 971,210 783,650 38,294		

Based upon the Company s 2006 goodwill evaluation under the requirements of FAS 142, the Company took a charge to operations of \$1.2 million (or \$.21 per diluted share) associated with goodwill impairment associated with our California banking vertical market (National Safe of California).

In addition, there were certain adjustments made to goodwill resulting from a correction of accounting for deferred income taxes related to prior year stock acquisitions. See Notes 1, 9 and 18. The net effect was to increase overall goodwill by \$549,262 for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, with a corresponding increase to net deferred tax liabilities to correct for the effect on prior years acquisitions. There was no income statement impact resulting from this adjustment.

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

7. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets consist of the following:

	Amortizable Intangibles								
	Acquired Customer List	Service Rights	Covenant Not to Compete	Trade Name			Total Intangibles		
Gross carrying value:									
December 31, 2004	\$ 426,248	\$ 473,208	\$ 287,773	\$	\$ 1,187,229	\$ 315,114	\$ 1,502,343		
Additions (deletions)	260,000			80,000	340,000		340,000		
Impairment charge	(17,742)	(36,559)			(54,301)		(54,301)		
December 31, 2005	\$ 668,506	\$ 436,649	\$ 287,773	\$ 80,000	\$ 1,472,928	\$ 315,114	\$ 1,788,042		
Additions (deletions) Impairment charge	291,492				291,492		291,492		
December 31, 2006	959,998	436,649	287,773	80,000	1,764,420	315,114	2,079,534		
Accumulated amortization:									
December 31, 2004	(71,040)	(100,527)	(138,924)		(310,491)		(310,491)		
2005 Amortization	(38,879)	(54,829)	(60,636)	(4,000)	(158,344)		(158,344)		
Impairment charge	4,651	4,651			9,302		9,302		
December 31, 2005	(105,268)	(150,705)	(199,560)	(4,000)	(459,533)		(459,533)		
2006 Amortization Impairment charge	(67,583)	(37,759)	(62,245)	(16,000)	(183,587)		(183,587)		
December 31, 2006	(172,851)	(188,464)	(261,805)	(20,000)	(643,120)		(643,120)		
Net Carrying Value	\$ 787,147	\$ 248,185	\$ 25,968	\$ 60,000	\$ 1,121,300	\$ 315,114	\$ 1,436,414		
Net Carrying Value Weighted average life in years Amortization expense was \$183,5		6	3	5	6		_		

Future amortization expense for the next five years is as follows:

December 31		
2007	\$	175,884
2008		149,916
2009		149,916
2010		149,916
2011		133,916
	-	

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

8. LONG-TERM DEBT

On June 30, 2005, the Company entered into a loan agreement (the Loan Agreement) with TD Banknorth, N.A. (TD Banknorth, formerly known as Hudson United Bank) pursuant to which TD Banknorth extended a \$4 million two-year credit facility (the Revolving Loan), to the Company and refinanced \$1 million of existing indebtedness to TD Banknorth into a five year term loan (the Term Loan).

Advances under the Revolving Loan may be used to finance working capital and acquisitions. Interest is paid monthly in arrears at TD Banknorth s prime rate (8.25% at December 31, 2006) through May 1, 2008, when all amounts outstanding under the Revolving loan is due. The Revolving Loan was originally due May 1, 2007; however, in December 2006 TD Banknorth provided the Company a one year extension.

The Term Loan provides for the payment of sixty equal monthly installments of principal and interest in the amount of \$19,729.65 commencing July 30, 2005 and continuing thru June 30, 2010. Interest under the Term Loan is 6.75%.

The Company is required to maintain certain financial and reporting covenants and is restricted from paying dividends under the terms of the Loan Agreement. The Company was not in compliance with certain of these bank covenants at December 31, 2006. TD Banknorth provided the Company with a waiver associated with the bank covenants in default on October 11, 2007. As a condition of the waiver, the Company agreed to grant TD Banknorth a first security interest on its accounts receivable.

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

Long-term debt included of the following balances:

	December 31,			
		2006	2005	
Term loan at 6.75% interest payable in monthly installments of \$19,730 thru June 30, 2010	\$	531,122	\$	724,829
Revolving line at the prime rate of interest, payable in monthly installments thru May 1, 2008		2,847,897		
Corporate insurance financed at 8.49% in monthly installments thru October 1, 2007		162,397		
Capitilzed lease obligations due in monthly installments, with interest ranging from 6.4% to 11.7%		399,731		246,215
Other miscellaneous debt		27,117		53,583
		3,968,264		1,024,627
Less: Current Portion		(505,028)		(296,666)
	\$	3,463,236	\$	727,961

The weighted average prime interest rate for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 were 7.9% and 6.4%, respectively.

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

9. INCOME TAXES

The tax provision consists of the following:

	Years Ended December 31,					
		2006 2005		2004		
Federal						
Current	\$	(130,790)	\$	112,790	\$	
Deferred		(318,002)		594,579		48,677
		(448,792)		707,369		48,677
State						
Current		94,492		95,945		95,811
Deferred		(68,005)		90,263		3,659
					-	
		26,487		186,208		99,470
	-		-		-	
	\$	(422,305)	\$	893,577	\$	148,147
			_		_	

The components of the deferred tax asset (liability) are as follows:

	December 31,			1,
	2006		_	2005
Deferred tax asset:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$	398,548	\$	328,553
Accrued absences		280,475		92,351
Accrued warranty		125,554		162,677
Depreciation		13,871		
Inventory		137,748		2,693
Stock compensation		54,267		43,520
Unearned maintenance		213,296		140,000
Net operating loss carry forward		526,406		178,367
Total deferred tax asset	\$	1,750,165	\$	948,161
Short-term	\$	1,155,620	\$	726,274
Long-term	\$	594,545	\$	221,887
<u>Deferred tax liability:</u>				
Deferred Revenue		(249,365)		
Depreciation		(219,505)		(27,382)
Intangible Assets	_	(428,283)		(405,699)

Explanation of Responses:

Total deferred tax liability		\$	(677,648)	\$	(433,081)
				_	
Short-term		\$	(240, 265)	¢	
Short-term		φ	(249,365)	φ	
Long-term		\$	(428 283)	\$	(433,081)
		Ψ	(120,205)	Ψ	(155,001)
Net deferred tax		\$	1,072,516	\$	515,080
				-	
	F-23				

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company generated a net operating loss carryforward of which approximately \$126,000 is available for carryforward through 2026. Additionally, there is approximately \$1,146,000 of acquired NOL s which are subject to various expiration dates. The acquired NOL s are also subject to limitations in their use based on the value of the acquired company at the date of the change of ownership.

There were certain adjustments made to goodwill resulting from a correction of accounting for deferred income taxes related to prior year stock acquisitions. See Notes 1, 6 and 18. The net effect was to increase overall goodwill by \$549,262 for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, with a corresponding increase to net deferred tax liabilities to correct for the effect on prior years acquisitions. There was no income statement impact resulting from this adjustment.

The provision for income taxes reported for the years ended December 31, differs from that computed using the United States statutory tax rate of 34% due to the following:

		Years Ended December 31,			
	_	2006	2005	2004	
Provision (benefit) for taxes using statutory rate	\$	(918,977)	690,727	108,047	
State taxes, net of federal tax benefit		17,481	122,897	65,650	
Permanent differences:					
Goodwill impairment		404,940			
Qualified stock based compensation		58,501	40,081	11,062	
Other		15,750	39,872	(36,612)	
		,			
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	\$	(422,305)	\$ 893,577	\$ 148,147	

10. INCENTIVE STOCK OPTION PLAN

The Company has a Stock Option Plan (the 1999 Plan), for the benefit of employees of the Company, under which options to purchase up to a maximum of 500,000 shares of its common stock may be issued. The maximum term of any option is ten years, and the option price per share may not be less than the fair market value of the Company s shares at the date the option is granted. However, options granted to persons owning more than 10% of the voting shares will have a term not to exceed five years, and the option price will not be less than 110% of fair market value. Options granted to an optionee will usually vest 33 1/3% annually, beginning on the first anniversary of the option grant, subject to the discretion of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. The 1999 Plan will terminate on December 23, 2009 or on such earlier date as the Board of Directors may determine. Any option outstanding at the termination date will remain outstanding until it expires or is exercised in full, which ever occurs first.



HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

On May 10, 2002, the Board of Directors approved the 2002 Incentive Stock Option Plan (the 2002 Plan), which the shareholders subsequently approved on October 28, 2002. On August 2, 2006, the Board of Directors approved the 2006 Stock Option Plan (the 2006 Plan), which the shareholders subsequently approved on November 1, 2006.

The 2002 and 2006 Plans (collectively the Plans) allow the granting of incentive stock options or non-qualified stock options to the Company s employees, directors and consultants, up to a maximum of 230,000 and 250,000 shares of its common stock for the 2002 and 2006 Plans, respectively. All stock options granted under the Plans will be exercisable at such time or times and in such installments, if any, as our Compensation Committee or the Board may determine and expire no more than ten years from the date of grant. The 2002 Plan will terminate on May 9, 2012 and the 2006 Plan will terminate on August 2, 2016, or such earlier date as the Board of Directors may determine. Any option outstanding at the termination date will remain outstanding until it expires or is exercised in full, whichever occurs first. The exercise price of the stock option will be at fair market value. Vesting is at the discretion of the Compensation Committee. The Plans allows for immediate vesting if there is a change of control. As of December 31, 2006, in total, 282,909 options are available for future grant under the 1999, 2002 and 2006 Plans. The company charged \$189,593, \$191,106 and \$68,114 to operations for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, for the fair value of those options granted subsequent to January 1, 2003.

A summary of stock option activity under the 1999, 2002, and 2006 Plan s follows:

	Number of Outstanding		Weighted Average		
	Outstanding	Exercisable	Outstanding	Exer	cisable
December 31, 2005	471,375	240,375	5.95	\$	6.70
Granted at market	256,000		3.90		
Exercised	(6,666)		4.65		
Forfeited	(50,109)		5.65		
December 31, 2006	670,600	290,435	\$ 5.17	\$	6.37

The aggregate fair value of options outstanding at December 31, 2006, was \$ 872,830 and had a weighted-average remaining contractual life of 4.1 years. Of these options outstanding, 176,333 were exercisable and 280,125 were expected to vest, and had an aggregate fair value of \$759,589 with a weighted-average remaining contractual life of 4.0 years. The following table provides information related to options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004:

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

	2006	2005	2004
Total intrinsic value	\$ 39,596	\$	\$ 160,254
Cash received upon exercise	30,997		123,294
Related tax benefits realized	2,924		17,223

Deferred compensation cost is being amortized over the vesting period of up to five years. The fair value of the Company s stock option awards was estimated assuming no expected dividends and the following weighted-average assumptions for the years ended December 31:

	2	006	2	005	2	004
Expected Life (years)		3		3		3
Expected volatility		36.8%		33.0%		20.7%
Risk-free interest rates		4.2%		3.9%		3.3%
Dividend Yield						
Weighted-average grant-date fair value	\$	1.44	\$	1.78	\$	2.03
Expected volatility Risk-free interest rates Dividend Yield	\$	36.8% 4.2%	\$	3.9%	\$	3.3%

11. STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

In connection with the acquisition of all the capital stock of CIS Security Systems Corp. (CIS) on October 2, 2006, the Company issued an aggregate of 20,000 shares of its common stock, valued at \$67,200. The issuance of the shares of restricted stock in connection with the aforementioned acquisition was made in reliance upon the exemption provided in section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. In addition, the selling shareholder may earn an additional 80,000 additional shares of the Company s common stock if CIS achieves certain performance targets through December, 2011.

In connection with the acquisition of Securus, Inc. on October 10, 2005, the Company issued an aggregate of 150,001 shares of its common stock, all of which are being held in escrow pursuant to the stock purchase escrow agreement between the Company and the selling shareholders of Securus, Inc. The issuance of the shares of restricted stock, in connection with the aforementioned acquisition, was made in reliance upon the exemption provided in section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

ACI Acquisition Corporation, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, purchased all of the issued and outstanding stock of Airorlite Communications, Inc (Airorlite) effective April 1, 2004. The purchase consisted of \$200,000 in cash and 37,000 shares of the Company s common stock, valued at \$266,400. ACI Acquisition Corporation was subsequently renamed Airorlite Communications, Inc. These shares were issued in reliance on the exemption provided by section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, regarding transactions not involving a public offering.

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

On July 28, 2004, the Company completed a \$3,319,998 private placement of its common stock to certain qualified institutional investors. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company sold an aggregate of 553,333 shares of common stock for \$6.00 per share. The Company also has granted the investors a warrant to acquire 138,333 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$7.60 per share, exercisable for a period commencing six months after the date of issuance through the fifth anniversary of the issuance. In addition, the Placement Agent received a commission of 8% of the proceeds plus expenses and was issued a warrant to acquire 55,333 shares of common stock with the same terms as those issued to the institutional investors. After expenses, the net proceeds of the transaction were \$2,958,058. The Company filed a registration statement with the SEC covering the resale of the shares sold under this private placement and the shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrants on August 29, 2004, which statement was declared effective on September 7, 2004.

On December 31, 2003, the Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 52,550 shares of restricted stock to employees. These shares were valued at \$294,280 and the Company included this expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for 2003. On November 5, 2004, the Company s shareholders approved the issuance of these shares. These shares were restricted from resale for a one year period and were issued on January 3, 2005.

On November 1, 2001, the Company entered into an agreement with The Wall Street Group, Inc. (WSG) to provide financial public relations services to the Company (the Agreement). Under the Agreement, WSG was paid a monthly fee and reimbursed out-of-pocket expenses, and earned options for the purchase of the Company's common stock. The Agreement also provided WSG with the right to have the shares issued under the Agreement, registered for sale under the Securities Act of 1933. Throughout the term of the Agreement until its termination on April 6, 2003, Wall Street Group earned options for the purchase of up to 45,996 shares of the Company's common stock having an average exercise price of \$6.99 per share. 40,000 of these options expired in November 2006 and the remaining 5,999 expire in November 2007. The options were not granted as part of the Company's 1999 Plan or 2002 Plan.

Holders of common stock are entitled to one vote for each share held on all matters submitted for a vote of stockholders and do not have cumulative voting rights. Apart from preferences that may be applicable to any shares of preferred stock outstanding at the time, holders of our common stock are entitled to receive dividends ratably, if any, as may be declared from time to time by our board of directors out of funds legally available. Upon the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company, the holders of common stock are entitled to receive ratably the net assets available after the payment of all liabilities and liquidation preferences on any outstanding preferred stock. Holders of common stock have no preemptive, subscription, redemption or conversion rights, and there are no redemption or sinking fund provisions applicable to the common stock.

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

Treasury Stock - On November 5, 2004, a resolution of the Board of Directors provided for the return of shares held in treasury to unissued shares.

Preferred Stock Our board of directors is authorized, without stockholder approval, to issue up to 2,000,000 shares of preferred stock in one or more series and to fix the rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions of these shares, including dividend rights, conversion rights, voting rights, terms of redemption and liquidation preferences, and to fix the number of shares constituting any series and the designations of these series. These shares may have rights senior to our common stock. The issuance of preferred stock may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control. The issuance of preferred stock could decrease the amount of earnings and assets available for distribution to the holders of our common stock or could aversely affect the rights and powers, including voting rights, of the holders of our common stock. At present, we have no plans to issue preferred stock in the foreseeable future.

Warrants - In connection with the Company s private placement of its common stock to certain qualified institutional investors in July 2004 (as noted above), such investors were issued warrants to acquire 138,333 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$7.60 per share, exercisable for a period commencing six months after the date of issuance through the fifth anniversary of the issuance. In addition, the Placement Agent was issued warrants to acquire 55,333 shares of common stock with the same terms as those issued to the institutional investors. These warrants will expire January 27, 2010.

A total of 897,475 common shares are reserved for exercise of employee stock options and warrants as of December 31, 2006.

12. COMMITMENTS

Leases - The Company leases its office and warehouse facilities under operating leases that expire through 2016. Future minimum rental payments, under non-cancelable leases as of December 31, 2006, are as follows:

2007	\$ 656,503
2008	640,775
2009	622,512
2010	590,390
2011	408,491
Thereafter	1,037,406
Total	\$ 3,956,077

Rent expense under operating leases were approximately \$663,000, \$421,000 and \$381,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Obligations Under Capital Leases:

Future minimum lease payments for assets under capital leases at December 31, 2006

Years ending December 31, :	
2007	\$ 161,009
2008	157,386
2009	99,379
2010	46,090
2011	
Total	463,864
Less: Amount representing interest	(64,133)
	·
Present value of net minimum lease payments	\$ 399,731

Aggregate maturities of all outstanding debt for each of the years ended

Years ending December 31, :			
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	2007	\$	505,028
	2008		3,221,169
	2009		198,126
	2010		43,941
	2011		
Thereafter			
		\$	3,968,264
		F-28	
		1-20	

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

13. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN

As of January 1, 2003, the Company sponsored a 401-K plan, including discretionary profit sharing (the 401-K Plan). The Company may match up to three percent of qualifying employees compensation when contributed to the 401-K Plan. As of September 1, 2003, the Company decided to discontinue matching employee contributions to the 401-K Plan but may resume discretionary matches in the future. The Company s contributions to the employees accounts vest equally over three years and the employee contribution to their own account vests immediately. There were no Company matching contributions to the 401-K plan during 2006, 2005 or 2004.

14. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In 2006, the Company had revenues of \$678,138 associated with an integrated security systems project with First Aviation Services, Inc. (First Aviation). Joseph P. Ritorto, a member of our Board of Directors since January 2002, is co-founder of First Aviation.

15. CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

From time to time, the Company is subject to various claims with respect to matters arising out of the normal course of business. In management s opinion, none of these claims is likely to have a material affect on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

16. SEGMENT DATA

Selected information by business segment is presented in the following tables for the years ended December 31:

	For the year ended December 31,			
	2006 200	05 2004		
Revenue				
Total Integration	\$ 40,606,101 \$ 38,8	48,317 \$ 26,231,565		
Specialty Products and Services	2,766,024 3,8	90,952 3,757,817		
Inter-segment	(1,239,273) (5	83,081) (263,664)		
Total Revenue	\$ 42,132,852 \$ 42,1	56,188 \$ 29,725,718		
Operating (Loss) Profit				
Total Integration	\$ 144,229 \$ 2,9	28,282 \$ 683,653		
Specialty Products and Services	(751,919) 5	74,920 1,106,801		
Corporate	(1,989,671) (1,3	95,393) (1,391,253)		
Total Operating (Loss) Profit	\$ (2,597,361) \$ 2,1	07,809 \$ 399,201		
	F-29	9		

Explanation of Responses:

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

Selected balance sheet information by business segment is presented in the following table as of December 31:

	Decem	ber 31,
	2006	2005 (Corrected)
Total Assets		
Total Integration	\$ 28,209,608	\$ 19,483,806
Specialty Products and Services	2,146,308	2,816,577
Corporate	1,015,693	2,861,147
Total Assets	\$ 31,371,609	\$ 25,161,530

17. ACQUISITIONS

On October 10, 2005 we acquired Securus, Inc. (Securus) a privately held company established in 1969 having offices in Denver and Colorado Springs, Colorado. Securus designs, installs and maintains physical electronic security systems for government and commercial enterprises. Securus will be included as part of our Integration business segment.

Under the terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement with the Securus shareholders, pursuant to which we acquired all of the issued and outstanding stock of Securus, we paid an aggregate purchase price of \$1,110,000 comprised of \$770,000 cash to the selling shareholders, the assumption and subsequent repayment of \$240,000 of Securus bank debt and incurred approximately \$100,000 in transactions costs. We also issued and placed into escrow 150,001 shares of our common stock which may be earned out through December 31, 2010 based upon the aggregate value of the earnings before interest and tax (EBIT) to \$2,960,000. In addition, the selling shareholders may earn an additional amount of up to \$200,000 based upon one third of the aggregate EBIT earned in excess of \$2,960,000 thru December 31, 2010.

The purchase price was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based upon the estimated fair market values as follows:

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

Cash and cash equilivalents	\$ 31,009
Accounts receivable - net	645,016
Inventory	95,859
Cost in excess of billings	135,130
Other assets	80,443
Total current assets	987,457
Property and equipment - net	24,368
Amortizable intangible assets:	
Customer relationship	260,000
Trademark and trade name	80,000
Deferred tax asset	306,770
Other assets	31,110
Total assets	1,689,705
Accounts Payables	(513,391)
Other current liabilities	(952,119)
Deferred tax liability	(136,000)
Net assets acquired	\$ 88,195

The excess purchase price of \$971,210 was assigned to goodwill.

Selected unaudited pro forma consolidated statements of income data for each of the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 assuming that Securus was included in our results from the beginning of 2004 is as follows:

	2005	2004		
Revenue	\$ 45,888,287	\$	34,721,320	
Net income	\$ 1,187,156		(217,517)	
Earnings per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.21	\$	(0.04)	
Diluted	\$ 0.20	\$	(0.04)	
Weighted average common shares:				
Basic	5,739,398		5,411,964	
Diluted	 5,889,399		5,561,965	

The pro forma information is for illustrative purposes only, and does not necessarily indicate what the operating results of the combined companies would be had the acquisition actually occurred at the beginning of 2004, nor does it necessarily indicate the company s future operating results.

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

On October 2, 2006, the Company consummated the acquisition of all the capital stock of CIS Security Systems Corp. (CIS), a privately-held security systems integrator with offices in Baltimore, Maryland and Newington, Virginia, for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,545,973 (\$850,000 in cash to the selling shareholder, the assumption and subsequent repayment of CIS debt in the amount of \$603,364, the issuance of 20,000 shares of the Company s \$0.01 par value common stock valued at \$67,200 and \$25,409 in transaction costs). In addition, the selling shareholder may earn an additional amount up to \$250,000 in cash and 80,000 additional shares of the Company s common stock if CIS achieves certain performance targets through December, 2011.

Established in 1987, CIS provides design, engineering and installation services for integrated electronic security systems for both commercial and government clients in the Washington-Baltimore metropolitan area. CIS also provides design-build services for large-scale security systems for malls, shopping centers and stadiums throughout the country.

The purchase price was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based upon the estimated fair market values as follows:

Cash and cash equilivalents	\$ 15,721
Accounts receivable - net	794,503
Inventory	62,522
Cost in excess of billings	47,810
Other assets	14,901
Total current assets	935,457
Property and equipment - net	74,722
Amortizable intangible assets:	
Customer relationship	235,000
Deferred tax asset	265,739
Other assets	8,330
Total assets	1,519,248
Accounts Payables	(504,620)
Other current liabilities	(158,305)
Deferred tax liability	(94,000)
Net assets acquired	\$ 762,323

The excess purchase price of \$783,650 was assigned to goodwill.

Pro forma information is not required for either the CIS or SSI acquisition.

On October 2, 2006, the Company acquired certain assets of Southwest Securityscan, Inc. (SSI), a privately-held company headquartered in Duncanville, Texas. In exchange for certain

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

inventory, furniture, equipment, vehicles, customer lists, customer monitoring contracts and relationships, the Company paid outstanding SSI indebtedness of \$88,014 on behalf of SSI and \$6,773 in cash to SSI. Established in 1974, SSI provides installation, service and monitoring of access, surveillance and alarm systems.

18. CORRECTION OF ERRORS:

After analyzing the materiality of the impact arising from the weaknesses in internal control identified in Item 9A in accordance with the provisions of SAB 108 (See Notes 1, 6, 9 and 19), management concluded that the financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 and the Quarterly Financial Information included as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and the Selected Financial Data included as Item 6 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K should be corrected to reflect the proper accounting related to income taxes. The impact resulting from these corrections for income tax accounting that had an income statement impact was to increase (decrease) tax expense by \$(29,696), \$(125,618), \$111,080, and \$99,776, for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively, with a corresponding increase or decrease to net tax liabilities. The impact resulting from these corrections for income tax accounting that by \$549,262, \$580,032, \$533,648, and \$533,648 for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, 2006 or individually to the prior years.

In addition, as a result the weaknesses identified in the Company s intercompany clearing and cash accounts (See Item 9A) certain of these adjustments affected prior quarters in 2006 and those corrections have been made in Note 19 and will be reflected in subsequent quarterly filings on the Company s Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q.

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

19. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED):

Presented below is a schedule of selected quarterly operating results:

	First Quarter Ended March 31		Second Quarter Ended June 30			Third Quarter nded Sept. 30	Fourth Quarter Ended Dec. 31 (1)		
Year Ended December 31, 2006									
As Reported									
Revenue	\$	9,155,078	\$	10,030,118	\$	8,291,655	\$	14,656,001	
Gross profit		2,995,705		2,564,036		2,144,723		2,841,652	
Net (loss) income		225,687		30,001		(379,815)		(2,136,011)	
(Loss) earnings per share									
Basic	\$	0.04	\$	0.01	\$	(0.07)	\$	(0.37)	
Diluted		0.04		0.01		(0.07)		(0.37)	
As Restated									
Revenue	\$	9,144,828	\$	10,139,969	\$	8,281,405	\$	14,566,650	
Gross profit		2,938,175		2,212,794		2,087,193		3,307,954	
Net (loss) income		101,687		(393,999)		(528,815)		(1,439,011)	
(Loss) earnings per share									
Basic	\$	0.02	\$	(0.07)	\$	(0.09)	\$	(0.25)	
Diluted		0.02		(0.07)		(0.09)		(0.25)	
Year Ended December 31, 2005									
As Reported									
Revenue	\$	8,613,074	\$	10,198,826	\$	11,298,796	\$	12,045,492	
Gross profit		2,183,781		2,894,149		2,871,599		2,625,472	
Net income		62,297		305,972		287,822		452,107	
Earnings per share									
Basic	\$	0.01	\$	0.05	\$	0.05	\$	0.08	
Diluted		0.01		0.05		0.05		0.08	
As Restated									
Revenue	\$	8,613,074	\$	10,198,826	\$	11,298,796	\$	12,045,492	
Gross profit		2,183,781		2,894,149		2,871,599		2,625,472	
Net income		63,971		314,193		295,555		464,255	
Earnings per share									
Basic	\$	0.01	\$	0.05	\$	0.05	\$	0.08	
Diluted (1) Fourth guarter 2006 not previou	islv re	0.01		0.05		0.05		0.08	

(1) Fourth quarter 2006 not previously reported.

Earnings per share are computed independently for each of the quarters presented, on the basis described in Note 1. The sum of the quarters may not be equal to the full year earnings per share amount.

VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006, 2005, AND 2004

Col. A		Col. B	_	Col. C			Col. D		Col. E	
			_	Additions						
Description	Balance at Beginning of Period		Charged to Costs and Expenses		Charged to Other Accounts- Describe		Deductions- Describe		B	alance at End of Period
Year ended December 31, 2006										
Deducted from asset accounts:										
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$	811,389	\$	206,894	\$		\$	34,492	\$	983,791
Inventory allowance		31,539		384,000						415,539
Valuation allowance										
Warranty reserve		393,405		34,490				35,588		392,307
Year ended December 31, 2005										
Deducted from asset accounts:										
Allowance for doubtful accounts		357,500		421,239		32,650				811,389
Inventory allowance		50,000		55,000				73,461		31,539
Valuation allowance										
Warranty reserve		351,451		43,950				1,996		393,405
Year ended December 31, 2004										
Deducted from asset accounts:										
Allowance for doubtful accounts		135,000		240,714				18,214		357,500
Inventory allowance				50,000						50,000
Valuation allowance										
Warranty reserve		170,892	S-1	152,500		28,059				351,451

EXHIBIT INDEX

The following exhibits are filed herewith as part of this Report on Form 10-K:

Exhibit Number	Description of Document	Method of Filing
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of the Company	(1)
3.2	By-laws of the Company	(1)
3.3	Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, filed on July 5, 2001	(2)
3.4	Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, filed on August 28, 2001	(2)
3.5	Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, filed on August 9, 2005	(3)
3.6	Amended and Restated By-laws of the Company, filed on August 9, 2005	(3)
4.1	Specimen Common Stock Certificate of the Company	(4)
10.1	2002 Stock Option Plan	(5)
10.5	1999 Incentive Stock Option Plan and form of Stock Option Agreement	(1)
10.8	Office Lease between the Company and Eagle-DFW, Inc	(6)
10.11	Agreement between the Company and Administaff, Inc	(7)
10.12	Loan Agreement between the Company and Hudson United Bank	(8)
10.13	Stock Purchase Agreement between the Company and Securus, Inc	(9)
10.14	Office Lease between the Company and C.K. Bergen Holdings, LLC	(10)
10.15	Stock Purchase Agreement between the Company and CIS Security Systems, Corporation	(11)
10.16	2006 Stock Option Plan	(12)
14.1	Code of Ethics	(13)
14.2	Nominating Committee Charter	(14)
14.3	Audit Committee Charter	(14)
21.1	List of Subsidiaries	(*)
23	Consent of Demetrius & Company, LLC	(*)
24	Power of Attorney (included on signature page (hereto)	(*)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a- 14 (a) or Rule 15d-14(a) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	(*)
31.2	Certification of Chief Operating Officer pursuant to Rule 13a- 14 (a) or Rule 15d-14(a) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	(*)

31.3	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a- 14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	(*)
32	Section 1350 Compliance	(*)
99	Audit Committee Report	(*)

(1) Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form SB-2 File No. 333-94477, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 12, 2002 (The Registration Statement).

(2) Incorporated by reference to Amendment No. 4 to the Registration Statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 25, 2001.

(3) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 9, 2005.

(4) Incorporated by reference to Amendment No. 6 to the Registration Statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 13, 2001.

(5) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Definitive Proxy on Form 14A, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 27, 2002.

(6) Incorporated by reference to Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement filed on February 8, 2001.

(7) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Annual Report on 10-KSB for the Company for the Year Ended December 31, 2004 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 28, 2005.

(8) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 7, 2005.

(9) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 14, 2005.

(10) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 2, 2006.

(11) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 5, 2006.

(12) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Definitive Proxy on Form 14A, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 22, 2006.

(13) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Annual Report on 10-KSB for the Company for the Year Ended December 31, 2003 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 1, 2004.

(14) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Definitive Proxy on Form 14A, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 5, 2005.

(*) Filed herewith.