

GLOBAL SIGNAL INC
Form SC 13G
February 14, 2005

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 13G
(Rule 13d-102)

**INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED IN STATEMENTS FILED PURSUANT TO
RULES 13d-1(b), (c) AND (d) AND AMENDMENTS THERETO FILED PURSUANT TO
RULE 13d-2(b)**

(Amendment No. __)*

GLOBAL SIGNAL, INC.
(Name of Issuer)

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share (Title of Class of Securities)
(Title of Class of Securities)

37944Q13
(CUSIP Number)

December 31, 2004
(Date of Event which Requires Filing of this Statement)

Check the appropriate box to designate the rule pursuant to which this Schedule is filed:

- Rule 13d-1(b)
- Rule 13d-1(c)
- Rule 13d-1(d)

* The remainder of this cover page shall be filled out for a reporting person's initial filing on this form with respect to the subject class of securities, and for any subsequent amendment containing information which would alter the disclosures provided in a prior cover page.

The information required in the remainder of this cover page shall not be deemed to be "filed" for the purpose of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section of the Act but shall be subject to all other provisions of the Act (however, see the *Notes*).

CUSIP No. 37944Q13

13G

1	NAME OF REPORTING PERSONS I.R.S. IDENTIFICATION NOS. OF ABOVE PERSONS (ENTITIES ONLY) Greenhill & Co., Inc.	
2	CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP* <input type="radio"/> (a) <input type="radio"/> (b)	
3	SEC USE ONLY	
4	CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION Delaware	
NUMBER OF SHARES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON WITH	5	SOLE VOTING POWER 0
	6	SHARED VOTING POWER 8,583,194
	7	SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER 0
	8	SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER 8,583,194
9	AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON 8,583,194	
10	CHECK BOX IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (9) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES* <input type="radio"/>	
11	PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (9) 16.8%	
12	TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON* CO	

***SEE INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE FILLING OUT!**

CUSIP No. 37944Q13

13G

1	NAME OF REPORTING PERSONS I.R.S. IDENTIFICATION NOS. OF ABOVE PERSONS (ENTITIES ONLY)	
	Greenhill Capital Partners, LLC	
2	CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP* (a) <input type="radio"/> (b) <input type="radio"/>	
3	SEC USE ONLY	
4	CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION Delaware	
NUMBER OF SHARES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON WITH	5	SOLE VOTING POWER 0
	6	SHARED VOTING POWER 8,583,194
	7	SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER 0
	8	SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER 8,583,194
9	AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON 8,583,194	
10	CHECK BOX IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (9) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES* <input type="radio"/>	
11	PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (9) 16.8%	
12	TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON* OO	

***SEE INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE FILLING OUT!**

CUSIP No. 37944Q13

13G

1	NAME OF REPORTING PERSONS I.R.S. IDENTIFICATION NOS. OF ABOVE PERSONS (ENTITIES ONLY)	
	GCP Managing Partner, L.P.	
2	CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP* (a) <input type="radio"/> (b) <input type="radio"/>	
3	SEC USE ONLY	
4	CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION Delaware	
NUMBER OF SHARES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON WITH	5	SOLE VOTING POWER 0
	6	SHARED VOTING POWER 8,550,994
	7	SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER 0
	8	SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER 8,550,994
9	AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON 8,550,994	
10	CHECK BOX IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (9) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES* <input type="radio"/>	
11	PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (9) 16.7%	
12	TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON* PN	

***SEE INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE FILLING OUT!**

CUSIP No. 37944Q13

13G

1	NAME OF REPORTING PERSONS I.R.S. IDENTIFICATION NOS. OF ABOVE PERSONS (ENTITIES ONLY) GCP, L.P.	
2	CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP* <input type="radio"/> (a) <input type="radio"/> (b)	
3	SEC USE ONLY	
4	CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION Delaware	
NUMBER OF SHARES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON WITH	5	SOLE VOTING POWER 0
	6	SHARED VOTING POWER 8,550,994
	7	SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER 0
	8	SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER 8,550,994
9	AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON 8,550,994	
10	CHECK BOX IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (9) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES* <input type="radio"/>	
11	PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (9) 16.7%	
12	TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON* PN	

***SEE INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE FILLING OUT!**

CUSIP No. **37944Q13**

13G

1	NAME OF REPORTING PERSONS I.R.S. IDENTIFICATION NOS. OF ABOVE PERSONS (ENTITIES ONLY)	
	GCP 2000, LLC	
2	CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP* (a) <input type="radio"/> (b) <input type="radio"/>	
3	SEC USE ONLY	
4	CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION Delaware	
NUMBER OF SHARES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON WITH	5	SOLE VOTING POWER 0
	6	SHARED VOTING POWER 8,550,994
	7	SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER 0
	8	SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER 8,550,994
9	AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON 8,550,994	
10	CHECK BOX IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (9) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES* <input type="radio"/>	
11	PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (9) 16.7%	
12	TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON* OO	

***SEE INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE FILLING OUT!**

CUSIP No. **37944Q13**

13G

1	NAME OF REPORTING PERSONS I.R.S. IDENTIFICATION NOS. OF ABOVE PERSONS (ENTITIES ONLY) Robert F. Greenhill	
2	CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP* (a) <input type="radio"/> (b) <input type="radio"/>	
3	SEC USE ONLY	
4	CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION United States	
NUMBER OF SHARES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON WITH	5	SOLE VOTING POWER 0
	6	SHARED VOTING POWER 8,550,994
	7	SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER 0
	8	SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER 8,550,994
9	AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON 8,550,994	
10	CHECK BOX IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (9) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES* o	
11	PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (9) 16.7%	
12	TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON* IN	

***SEE INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE FILLING OUT!**

CUSIP No. **37944Q13****13G**

1	NAME OF REPORTING PERSONS I.R.S. IDENTIFICATION NOS. OF ABOVE PERSONS (ENTITIES ONLY)	
	Scott L. Bok	
2	CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP* (a) <input type="radio"/> (b) <input type="radio"/>	
3	SEC USE ONLY	
4	CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION United States	
NUMBER OF SHARES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON WITH	5	SOLE VOTING POWER 0
	6	SHARED VOTING POWER 8,550,994
	7	SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER 0
	8	SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER 8,550,994
9	AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON 8,550,994	
10	CHECK BOX IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (9) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES* <input type="radio"/>	
11	PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (9) 16.7%	
12	TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON* IN	

***SEE INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE FILLING OUT!**

CUSIP No. **37944Q13**

13G

1	NAME OF REPORTING PERSONS I.R.S. IDENTIFICATION NOS. OF ABOVE PERSONS (ENTITIES ONLY) Robert H. Niehaus	
2	CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP* <div style="float: right;"> (a) <input type="radio"/> (b) <input type="radio"/> </div>	
3	SEC USE ONLY	
4	CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION United States	
NUMBER OF SHARES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON WITH	5	SOLE VOTING POWER 0
	6	SHARED VOTING POWER 8,550,994
	7	SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER 0
	8	SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER 8,550,994
9	AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON 8,550,994	
10	CHECK BOX IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (9) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES* <div style="float: right;"> <input type="radio"/> </div>	
11	PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (9) 16.7%	
12	TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON* IN	

***SEE INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE FILLING OUT!**

CUSIP No. **37944Q13**

13G

1	NAME OF REPORTING PERSONS I.R.S. IDENTIFICATION NOS. OF ABOVE PERSONS (ENTITIES ONLY) Greenhill Capital Partners, L.P.	
2	CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP* (a) <input type="radio"/> (b) <input type="radio"/>	
3	SEC USE ONLY	
4	CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION Delaware	
NUMBER OF SHARES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON WITH	5	SOLE VOTING POWER 0
	6	SHARED VOTING POWER 5,252,640
	7	SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER 0
	8	SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER 5,252,640
9	AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON 5,252,640	
10	CHECK BOX IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (9) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES* o	
11	PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (9) 10.3%	
12	TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON* PN	

***SEE INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE FILLING OUT!**

CUSIP No. **37944Q13**

13G

1	NAME OF REPORTING PERSONS I.R.S. IDENTIFICATION NOS. OF ABOVE PERSONS (ENTITIES ONLY) Greenhill Capital, L.P.		
2	CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP* (a) <input type="radio"/> (b) <input type="radio"/>		
3	SEC USE ONLY		
4	CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION Delaware		
NUMBER OF SHARES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON WITH		5	SOLE VOTING POWER 0
		6	SHARED VOTING POWER 1,687,930
		7	SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER 0
		8	SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER 1,687,930
9	AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON 1,687,930		
10	CHECK BOX IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (9) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES* o		
11	PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (9) 3.3%		
12	TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON* PN		

***SEE INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE FILLING OUT!**

CUSIP No. **37944Q13**

13G

1	NAME OF REPORTING PERSONS I.R.S. IDENTIFICATION NOS. OF ABOVE PERSONS (ENTITIES ONLY) Greenhill Capital Partners (Executives), L.P.	
2	CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP* <div style="text-align: right;">(a) <input type="radio"/> (b) <input type="radio"/></div>	
3	SEC USE ONLY	
4	CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION Delaware	
NUMBER OF SHARES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON WITH	5	SOLE VOTING POWER 0
	6	SHARED VOTING POWER 845,230
	7	SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER 0
	8	SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER 845,230
9	AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON 845,230	
10	CHECK BOX IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (9) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES* <div style="text-align: right;">0</div>	
11	PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (9) 1.7%	
12	TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON* PN	

***SEE INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE FILLING OUT!**

CUSIP No. 37944Q13

13G

1	NAME OF REPORTING PERSONS I.R.S. IDENTIFICATION NOS. OF ABOVE PERSONS (ENTITIES ONLY) Greenhill Capital Partners (Cayman), L.P.	
2	CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP* <input type="radio"/> (a) <input type="radio"/> (b)	
3	SEC USE ONLY	
4	CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION Cayman Islands	
NUMBER OF SHARES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON WITH	5	SOLE VOTING POWER 0
	6	SHARED VOTING POWER 765,194
	7	SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER 0
	8	SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER 765,194
9	AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON 765,194	
10	CHECK BOX IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (9) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES* <input type="radio"/>	
11	PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (9) 1.5%	
12	TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON* PN	

*SEE INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE FILLING OUT!

Item 1(a). Name of Issuer:

Global Signal, Inc.

Item 1(b). Address of Issuer's Principal Executive Offices:

301 North Cattlemen Road, Suite 300, Sarasota, Florida 34232-6427

Item 2(a). Name of Person Filing:

This statement is filed jointly on behalf of the persons identified below. In accordance with Rule 13d-1(k)(1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), each person filing this statement acknowledges that it is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the information concerning that person but

is not responsible for the completeness or accuracy of the information concerning the other persons making the filing, unless such person knows or has reason to believe that such information is inaccurate.

Greenhill & Co., Inc.

Greenhill Capital Partners, LLC

GCP Managing Partner, L.P.

GCP, L.P.

GCP 2000, LLC

Greenhill Capital Partners, L.P.

Greenhill Capital, L.P.

Greenhill Capital Partners (Executives), L.P.

Greenhill Capital Partners (Cayman), L.P.

Robert F. Greenhill

Scott L. Bok

Robert H. Niehaus

The filing persons are filing this Schedule 13G jointly pursuant to a Joint Filing Agreement dated February 14, 2005 attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

Item 2(b). Address of Principal Business Office or, if None, Residence:

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL SIGNAL INC - Form SC 13G

The address of the principle business office of all filing persons identified in this Schedule 13G is:

300 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10022.

Item 2(c). Citizenship:

Greenhill & Co., Inc. Delaware

Greenhill Capital Partners, LLC Delaware

GCP Managing Partner, L.P. Delaware

GCP, L.P. Delaware

Page 14 of 22

GCP 2000, LLC Delaware

Greenhill Capital Partners, L.P. Delaware

Greenhill Capital, L.P. Delaware

Greenhill Capital Partners (Executives), L.P. Delaware

Greenhill Capital Partners (Cayman), L.P. Cayman Islands

Robert F. Greenhill United States

Scott L. Bok United States

Robert H. Niehaus United States

Item 2(d). Title of Class of Securities:

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share

Item 2(e). CUSIP Number:

37944Q13

Item 3. If this Statement is Filed Pursuant to Rule 13d-1(b), or 13d-2(b) or (c), Check Whether the Person Filing is a:

- (a) Broker or dealer registered under Section 15 of the Exchange Act;
- (b) Bank as defined in Section 3(a)(6) of the Exchange Act;

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL SIGNAL INC - Form SC 13G

- (c) Insurance company as defined in Section 3(a)(19) of the Exchange Act;
- (d) Investment company registered under Section 8 of the Investment Company Act;
- (e) An investment adviser in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(E);
- (f) An employee benefit plan or endowment fund in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(F);
- (g) A parent holding company or control person in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(G);
- (h) A savings association as defined in Section 3(b) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act;
- (i) A church plan that is excluded from the definition of an investment company under Section 3(c)(14) of the Investment Company Act;
- (j) Group, in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(J).

If this statement is filed pursuant to Rule 13d-1(c), check this box.

Item 4. Ownership.

The filing of this statement should not be construed as an admission by any person that such person is, for purposes of Section 13(d) or 13(g) of the Exchange Act, the beneficial owner of any securities covered by this statement, other than the securities set forth opposite such person's name in the table in Item 4(c) below.

(a) Amount beneficially owned:

Page 15 of 22

As of December 31, 2004:

(1) Greenhill Capital Partners, LLC was the record owner of 32,200 shares of the Issuer's common stock, \$0.01 par value per share (**Common Stock**);

(2) Greenhill Capital Partners, L.P. was the record owner of 5,173,164 shares of Common Stock and of immediately exercisable options to purchase 79,476 shares of Common Stock;

(3) Greenhill Capital, L.P., was the record owner of 1,662,506 shares of Common Stock and of immediately exercisable options to purchase 25,424 shares of Common Stock;

(4) Greenhill Capital Partners (Executives), L.P., was the record owner of 832,686 shares of Common Stock and of immediately exercisable options to purchase 12,544 shares of Common Stock; and

(5) Greenhill Capital Partners (Cayman), L.P., was the record owner of 753,838 shares of Common Stock and of immediately exercisable options to purchase 11,356 shares of Common Stock.

GCP, L.P. and GCP Managing Partner, L.P. are general partners of the following funds: Greenhill Capital Partners, L.P., Greenhill Capital, L.P., Greenhill Capital Partners (Executives), L.P. and Greenhill Capital Partners

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL SIGNAL INC - Form SC 13G

(Cayman), L.P. (collectively, the "Funds"). As such, GCP, L.P. and GCP Managing Partner, L.P. have the shared power to vote or direct the vote and to dispose or direct the disposition of all of the Common Stock and options to purchase Common Stock held by the Funds, and therefore they may be deemed to have beneficial ownership of the 8,422,194 shares of Common Stock and options to purchase 128,800 shares of Common Stock held collectively by the Funds. Neither GCP, L.P. nor GCP Managing Partner, L.P. directly owns any shares of Common Stock.

GCP 2000, LLC, is the general partner of GCP, L.P. GCP 2000, LLC is in turn controlled by its Senior Members, Scott L. Bok, Robert F. Greenhill and Robert H. Niehaus, who is also the Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Issuer. As such, GCP 2000, LLC and Scott L. Bok, Robert F. Greenhill and Robert H. Niehaus have the shared power to vote or direct the vote and to dispose or direct the disposition of all of the Common Stock and options to purchase Common Stock held by the Funds, and therefore each may be deemed to have beneficial ownership of the 8,422,194 shares of Common Stock and options to purchase 128,800 shares of Common Stock held collectively by the Funds. None of GCP 2000, LLC, Scott L. Bok, Robert F. Greenhill or Robert H. Niehaus directly own any shares of Common Stock.

Greenhill Capital Partners, LLC is the general partner of GCP Managing Partner, L.P. Greenhill Capital Partners, LLC is in turn controlled by its sole member, Greenhill & Co., Inc. As such, Greenhill Capital Partners, LLC and Greenhill & Co., Inc. have the shared power to vote or direct the vote and to dispose or direct the disposition of all of the Common Stock and options to purchase Common Stock held by the Funds, and therefore each may be deemed to have beneficial ownership of the 8,422,194 shares of Common Stock and options to purchase 128,800 shares of Common Stock held collectively by the Funds. In addition, Greenhill Capital Partners, LLC and Greenhill & Co., Inc. have the shared power to vote or direct the vote and to dispose or direct the disposition of a the Common Stock owned by Greenhill Capital Partners, LLC, and therefore may be deemed to have beneficial ownership of the 32,200 shares of Common Stock held by Greenhill Capital Partners, LLC. Greenhill & Co., Inc. does not directly own any shares of Common Stock.

(b) Percent of class: (1)

Greenhill & Co., Inc.	16.8%
Greenhill Capital Partners, LLC	16.8%
GCP Managing Partner, L.P.	16.7%
GCP, L.P.	16.7%

Page 16 of 22

GCP 2000, LLC	16.7%
Robert F. Greenhill	16.7%
Scott L. Bok	16.7%
Robert H. Niehaus	16.7%

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL SIGNAL INC - Form SC 13G

Greenhill Capital Partners, L.P.	10.3%
Greenhill Capital, L.P.	3.3%
Greenhill Capital Partners (Executives), L.P.	1.7%
Greenhill Capital Partners (Cayman), L.P.	1.5%

(1) All percentages herein are based on 51,190,351 shares of Common Stock reported to be outstanding as of November 5, 2004, as reported on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC by the Issuer for the quarter ended September 30, 2004.

(c) Number of shares as to which such person has: (2)

	(i) Sole power to vote or to direct the vote	(ii) Shared power to vote or to direct the vote	(iii) Sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition	(iv) Shared power to dispose or to direct the disposition of
Greenhill & Co., Inc.	-0-	8,583,194	-0-	8,583,194
Greenhill Capital Partners, LLC	-0-	8,583,194	-0-	8,583,194
GCP Managing Partner, L.P.	-0-	8,550,994	-0-	8,550,994
GCP, L.P.	-0-	8,550,994	-0-	8,550,994
GCP 2000, LLC	-0-	8,550,994	-0-	8,550,994
Robert F. Greenhill	-0-	8,550,994	-0-	8,550,994
Scott L. Bok	-0-	8,550,994	-0-	8,550,994
Robert H. Niehaus	-0-	8,550,994	-0-	8,550,994
Greenhill Capital Partners, L.P.	-0-	5,252,640	-0-	5,252,640
Greenhill Capital L.P.	-0-	1,687,930	-0-	1,687,930

Greenhill Capital Partners (Executives), L.P.	-0-	845,230	-0-	845,230
Greenhill Capital Partners (Cayman), L.P.	-0-	765,194	-0-	765,194

(2) All numbers herein reflect beneficial ownership of Common Stock and, as applicable, options to purchase Common Stock owned by the Funds. See information relating to ownership of options to purchase Common Stock by the Funds in item 4(a) above.

Item 5. Ownership of Five Percent or Less of a Class.

Not applicable.

Item 6. Ownership of More than Five Percent on Behalf of Another Person.

Not applicable.

Item 7. Identification and Classification of the Subsidiary Which Acquired the Security Being Reported on by the Parent Holding Company.

Not applicable.

Item 8. Identification and Classification of Members of the Group.

Not applicable.

Item 9. Notice of Dissolution of Group.

Not applicable.

Item 10. Certifications.

Not applicable.

Page 18 of 22

SIGNATURE

After reasonable inquiry and to the best knowledge and belief of each of the undersigned, each of the undersigned certifies that the information set forth in this statement is true, complete and correct.

Date: February 14, 2005

GREENHILL & CO., INC.

By: /s/ Scott L. Bok

Name: Scott L. Bok
Title: Co-President

GREENHILL CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC

By: /s/ Scott L. Bok

Name: Scott L. Bok
Title: Managing Director

GCP MANAGING PARTNER, L.P.

By: Greenhill Capital Partners, LLC, its general partner

/s/ Scott L. Bok

Name: Scott L. Bok
Title: Managing Director

GCP, L.P.

By: GCP 2000, LLC, its general partner

/s/ Scott L. Bok

Name: Scott L. Bok
Title: Managing Director

Page 19 of 22

GCP 2000, LLC

By: /s/ Scott L. Bok

Name: Scott L.
Bok
Title: Managing
Director

GREENHILL CAPITAL
PARTNERS, L.P.

GREENHILL CAPITAL
PARTNERS (CAYMAN),
L.P.

GREENHILL CAPITAL
PARTNERS
(EXECUTIVES), L.P.

GREENHILL CAPITAL,
L.P.

By: GCP
Managing
Partner, L.P.,
as managing
general
partner of
each of the
foregoing
partnerships

By: Greenhill
Capital
Partners,
LLC, its
general
partner

By: /s/ Scott L. Bok

Name: Scott L.
Bok

Description of Securities	Continuous unrealized losses existing for less than 12 months		Continuous unrealized losses existing greater than 12 months		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized losses	Fair Value	Unrealized losses	Fair Value	Unrealized losses
U.S. government agencies	\$ 16,415	\$ 471	\$ 57,748	\$ 2,079	\$ 74,163	\$ 2,550
Municipals	2,857	63	19,017	772	21,874	835
Mortgage backed securities	7,741	164	6,766	355	14,508	518

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL SIGNAL INC - Form SC 13G

Corporate			1,920	132	1,920	132	
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$	27,013	\$ 698	\$ 85,451	\$ 3,338	\$ 112,464	\$ 4,037

The unrealized losses at June 30, 2006, relate principally to declines in interest rates since the investments were purchased. Based on management's review of the investment portfolio, investment securities that have been in a continuous loss position for more than 12 consecutive months are due to changes in interest rates and as such, and since management has the ability to hold investment securities until maturity, all declines are deemed to be temporary.

Table of Contents**Note 5 Junior Subordinated Debt Owed to Unconsolidated Trusts**

The Company issued \$10.0 million in each of April 2002 and April 2004 in cumulative trust preferred securities through newly formed special-purpose trusts, Kankakee Capital Trust I (Trust I) and Centruue Statutory Trust II (Trust II). The proceeds of the offerings were invested by the trusts in junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures of Trust I and Trust II. Trust I and Trust II are wholly-owned unconsolidated subsidiaries of the Company, and their sole assets are the junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures. Distributions are cumulative and are payable quarterly at a variable rate of 3.70% and 2.65% over the LIBOR rate, respectively, (at a rate of 9.10% and 8.05% at June 30, 2006) per annum of the stated liquidation amount of \$1,000 per preferred security. Interest expense on the trust preferred securities was \$442,000 and \$334,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, and \$839,000 and \$633,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The obligations of the trusts are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a subordinated basis, by the Company. The trust preferred securities for Trust I are mandatorily redeemable upon the maturity of the debentures on April 7, 2032, or to the extent of any earlier redemption of any debentures by the Company, and are callable beginning April 7, 2007. The trust preferred securities for Trust II are mandatorily redeemable upon the maturity of the debentures on April 22, 2034, or to the extent of any earlier redemption of any debentures by the Company, and are callable beginning April 22, 2009. Holders of the capital securities have no voting rights, are unsecured, and rank junior in priority of payment to all of the Company's indebtedness and senior to the Company's capital stock. For regulatory purposes, the trust preferred securities qualify as Tier I capital subject to certain provisions.

Note 6 Stock Plans

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS 123R using the modified retrospective method to account for share-based payments to employees and the Company's Board of Directors. In accordance with the modified retrospective method, the Company has adjusted previously reported results to reflect the effect of expensing stock options granted during those periods.

The cumulative adjustment associated with the adoption of SFAS 123R increased the Company's deferred tax asset \$182,000, surplus \$1.1 million and decreased retained earnings \$901,000 as of December 31, 2005. The results for the second quarter and first 6 months of 2005 were also restated to include additional compensation expense of \$185,000 and \$234,000, respectively. Net income after tax for the second quarter and first six months of 2005 was decreased by \$133,000 and \$182,000, respectively, as a result of the restatement.

The primary type of share-based payment utilized by the Company is stock options. Stock options are awards which allow the employee to purchase shares of the Company's stock at a fixed price. Stock options are granted at an exercise price equal to the Company stock price at the date of grant. Stock options issued by the Company generally have a contractual term of seven to ten years and vest over five years for non-director options and immediately at the time of issuance for director options. Certain option and share awards provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control (as defined by the Plan).

Table of Contents

A summary of option activity under the Plan as of June 30, 2006, and changes during the six months then ended is presented below:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2006	223,800	\$ 25.41		
Granted	20,000	25.05		
Exercised	(15,000)	23.19		
Forfeited	(24,000)	27.29		
Outstanding at June 30, 2006	204,800	\$ 25.28	6.99	\$ 1,607,239
Exercisable at June 30, 2006	116,900	\$ 24.21	5.69	\$ 853,201

The Company estimates the fair value of stock option grants using the Black-Scholes valuation model and the key input assumptions are described fully in the disclosure of its critical accounting policies in Item 2 of this report on Form 10-Q. The Company believes that the valuation technique and the approach utilized to develop the underlying assumptions are consistent with SFAS 123R and appropriately estimates the fair value of Centru e's stock option grants. Estimates of fair value are not intended to predict actual future events of the value ultimately realized by employees who receive share-based awards, and subsequent events are not indicative of the reasonableness of original estimates of fair value made by the Company under SFAS 123R. Key assumptions for the grants are shown below:

	Six Months Ended June 30	
	2006	2005
Expected volatility	10% - 25%	10% - 25%
Weighted-average volatility	17.5%	16%
Expected dividend rate	0%	0%
Expected term	5 years	5 years
Risk-free rate	4.92%	4.27%

A summary of the Company's nonvested option shares as of June 30, 2006, and changes during the six month period ended June 30, 2006, is presented below:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
Nonvested at January 1, 2006	120,000	\$ 26.58
Granted	20,000	25.05
Vested	(30,900)	24.65
Forfeited	(21,200)	27.26
Nonvested at June 30, 2006	87,900	\$ 26.69

As of June 30, 2006 there was \$386,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested option-based compensation arrangements. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.6 years.

Table of Contents**Note 7 Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In February 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140 (FAS 155). FAS 155 permits fair value re-measurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation, clarifies which interest-only strips and principal-only strips are not subject to the requirements of Statement 133, establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation, clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives, and amends Statement 140 to eliminate the prohibition on a qualifying special purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. FAS 155 is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. The Company does not expect the adoption of FAS 155 to have a material effect on the results of operations or the statement of condition.

In March 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 156 Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140 (FAS 140 and FAS 156). FAS 140 establishes, among other things, the accounting for all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. This Statement amends FAS 140 to require that all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. This Statement permits, but does not require, the subsequent measurement of separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities at fair value. Under this Statement, an entity can elect subsequent fair value measurement to account for its separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. Adoption of this Statement is required as of the beginning of the first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. Upon adoption, the Company will apply the requirements for recognition and initial measurement of servicing assets and servicing liabilities prospectively to all transactions. The Company will adopt FAS 156 for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2007 and currently has not determined if it will adopt FAS 156 using the fair value election.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting and reporting for income taxes recognized in accordance with SFAS No. 109,

Accounting for Income Taxes. This Interpretation prescribes a comprehensive model for the financial statement recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in income tax returns. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of FIN 48 and is required to adopt this Interpretation in the first quarter of 2007.

Note 8. Merger with UnionBancorp, Inc.

On June 30, 2006, the Company signed a definitive agreement to join forces in a merger of equals transaction with UnionBancorp, Inc., (UBCD) in a stock transaction where shareholders will receive shares of UnionBancorp common stock in a fixed exchange ratio of 1.2 shares of UnionBancorp for each share of the Company. The combined company will adopt the Centru Financial Corporation name and stock market symbol of TRUE.

The merger is subject to the approval by UnionBancorp's and Company stockholders, by banking regulators and to other customary conditions. It is anticipated that the merger will be completed in the fourth quarter of 2006.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

GENERAL

The Company serves the financial needs of families and local businesses in its primary market areas through the Bank. As a community-oriented financial institution, the Bank operates twenty retail banking offices and provides comprehensive financial services primarily to individuals and local businesses residing in Kankakee, Champaign, Clinton, Effingham, Grundy, Iroquois, Livingston, St. Clair and Will counties in Illinois. The Company's business involves attracting deposits from the general public and using such deposits to originate commercial business, commercial real estate, consumer, multi-family, construction loans and residential mortgage loans in its market areas. The Company also invests in investment securities and various types of short term liquid assets. The Company had approximately 187 full time equivalent (FTE) employees at June 30, 2006.

On June 30, 2006, the Company and UnionBancorp, Inc. announced the signing of a definitive agreement to join forces in a merger of equals transaction. UnionBancorp is the holding company for UnionBank, based in Streator, Illinois. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company will merge with UnionBancorp, and the Company's stockholders will receive shares of UnionBancorp common Stock using a fixed exchange ratio of 1.2 shares of UnionBancorp common stock for each share of the Company's common stock outstanding. The combined company will adopt the name Centru Financial Corporation, and will adopt the Nasdaq symbol TRUE. The merger is subject to approval by a majority of the shareholders of each company as well as the approval of banking regulators and to other customary conditions. The transaction is expected to be completed during the fourth quarter of 2006. For more information regarding this transaction, please refer to our Form 8-K filings with the SEC filed on June 30, 2006 and July 7, 2006.

On April 8, 2005, the Company acquired for cash all of the outstanding shares of Illinois Community Bancorp, Inc. (ICB) for a total cost of \$3.3 million. The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. As such, the results of operations of the acquired entity are excluded from the consolidated financial statements of income for the periods prior to the acquisition date. At closing, ICB had assets of \$29.9 million, including \$17.9 million of loans, deposits of \$27.7 million and stockholders' equity of \$1.4 million.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

The Company's total assets were \$634.5 million at June 30, 2006, a decrease of \$7.0 million or 1.1%, from \$641.5 million at December 31, 2005. Fluctuations in asset accounts were represented by an increase in net loans of \$6.7 million and decreases in cash and cash equivalents of \$3.4 million, investment securities of \$4.0 million, loans held for sale of \$5.2 million and real estate held for sale of \$1.7 million.

Net loans increased \$6.7 million or 1.6% to \$435.2 million from \$428.5 million. Loan growth was partially offset by the payoff of a \$4.0 million commercial credit which the Company decided not to renew under the previous terms of the note due to changes in the borrower's financial condition and strategic plans and a \$2.6 million payoff of a purchased loan participation.

Table of Contents

Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$3.4 million and investment securities decreased \$4.0 million, both of which were a result of meeting short-term liquidity needs. Loans held for sale declined \$5.2 million as \$4.8 million of loans were transferred to the regular mortgage loan portfolio. The decrease in real estate held for sale of \$1.7 million was primarily due to the sale the Company's largest real estate owned property.

Deposits decreased \$45.6 million to \$462.3 million from \$507.9 million at December 31, 2005. The net decrease in deposits was primarily attributable to a \$43.6 million reduction in certificates of deposit over \$100,000 as a result of a strategy of not being as aggressive in bidding on the renewal of these deposits in light of the availability of lower wholesale funding rates from other funding sources.

Partially compensating for the deposit decline were increases in total borrowings of \$39.4 million. This increase included gains in customer repurchase agreements, a deposit alternative, which increased \$10.7 million and increases in total borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago (FHLB) of \$26.2 million.

Stockholders' equity increased slightly from \$43.1 million to \$43.3 million. There were 2,232,889 shares of common stock outstanding at June 30, 2006, compared to 2,262,939 shares at December 31, 2005. Equity per share of common stock increased by \$0.33 to \$19.38 at June 30, 2006 from \$19.05 at December 31, 2005.

ASSET QUALITY

The Company's asset quality management program, particularly with regard to loans, is designed to analyze potential risk elements and to support the growth of a high quality loan portfolio. The existing loan portfolio is monitored via the Company's loan rating system. The loan rating system is used to assist in determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. The Company's loan analysis process allows us to proactively identify, monitor and work with borrowers for whom there are indications of future repayment difficulties. The Company's lending philosophy is to invest in the communities served by its banking centers so that it can effectively monitor and control credit risk.

Table 1

	June 30 2006	December 31 2005	Change
		(dollars in thousands)	
Non-accruing loans	\$ 3,048	\$ 3,823	\$ (775)
Accruing loans delinquent 90 days or more			
Total nonperforming loans	3,048	3,823	(775)
Foreclosed assets	38	1,709	(1,671)
Troubled debt restructuring	44	35	(2)
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 3,130	\$ 5,567	\$ (2,448)
Allowance for loan losses to total loans	.97%	1.02%	
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans	140.88%	117.33%	
Nonperforming loans to total loans	.69%	0.88%	
Nonperforming assets to total loans and foreclosed property	.71%	1.28%	
Nonperforming assets to total assets	.49%	0.87%	

Table of Contents

Nonperforming loans decreased \$775,000 from the end of 2005, while foreclosed assets decreased \$1.7 million. The decline in the total nonperforming loans marks the 8th consecutive quarterly decline and it is attributable to the Company's implementation of an ongoing comprehensive loan review as well as the adoption and implementation of a new loan policy that identifies problem loans in a timelier manner. Management is in various stages of workout or liquidation with the remaining nonperforming loans. The drop in foreclosed assets came primarily from the sale of the Company's largest real estate owned property.

One measure of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is the ratio of the allowance for loan losses to total loans. The ratio of the allowance for loan losses to total loans was .97% and 1.02% at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The ratio of the allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans increased to 140.88% at June 30, 2006 compared to 117.33% at December 31, 2005. The increase in this ratio, which excludes foreclosed assets and restructured troubled debt, was the result of the \$775,000 decrease in nonperforming loans.

The Company's Chief Credit Officer joined the Company in 2004 to strengthen the monitoring of credit quality and improve the credit quality of the overall loan portfolio. His duties include responsibility for all credit administration activities and to oversee an independent review of new and existing loans in the portfolio. Company management performs a quarterly analysis of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Problem loans are classified into one of four categories: Special Mention, Substandard, Doubtful, and Loss. The Company's implementation of an ongoing comprehensive loan review, as well as the adoption and implementation of a new comprehensive loan policy has assisted management in identifying problem loans in a timely manner. The new program was designed to facilitate the focus of collection efforts in problem areas which should result in lower charge-offs. Classified loans began decreasing in 2004 and decreased dramatically during 2005 and the first half of 2006. The Company will continue to work to reduce the volume of classified loans through the remainder of 2006.

The Company recognized charge offs in the amount of \$298,000 and \$626,000 during the second quarter and first six-months of 2006 and \$797,000 and \$874,000 for the second quarter and first six-months of 2005. The Company had recoveries of \$84,000 and \$284,000 for the second quarter and first six-months of 2006 and \$187,000 and \$379,000 for the second quarter and first six-months of 2005. The provision for loan losses was \$75,000 and \$150,000 for the second quarter and first six months of 2006, compared to \$251,000 and \$501,000 for the second quarter and first six months of 2005.

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level believed adequate by management to absorb probable losses in the loan portfolio. Management's methodology to determine the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses considers specific credit reviews, past loan loss experience, current economic conditions and trends, and the volume, growth and composition of the loan portfolio. Based upon the Company's quarterly analysis of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, considering remaining collateral of loans with more than a normal degree of risk, historical loan loss percentages and economic conditions, it is management's belief that the allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2006 was adequate. However, there can be no assurance that the allowance for loan losses will be adequate to cover all losses.

Each credit on the Company's internal loan watch list is evaluated periodically to estimate potential losses. In addition, minimum loss estimates for each category of watch list credits are provided for based on management's judgment which considers past loan loss experience and other factors. For installment and real estate mortgage loans, specific allocations are based on past loss experience adjusted for recent portfolio growth and economic trends. The total of the estimated loss exposure resulting from the analysis is considered the allocated portion of the allowance for loan losses. The amounts specifically provided for individual loans and pools of loans are supplemented by an unallocated portion of the allowance for loan losses. This

Table of Contents

unallocated amount is determined based on management's judgment which considers, among other things, the risk of error in the specific allocations, other potential exposure in the loan portfolio, economic conditions and trends, and other factors.

The allowance for loan losses is charged when management determines that the prospects of recovery of the principal of a loan have significantly diminished. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance for loan losses. All installment loans that are 90 to 120 days past due are charged off monthly unless the loans are insured for credit loss or where scheduled payments are being received. Real estate mortgage loans are written down to fair value upon foreclosure. Commercial and other loan charge-offs are made based on management's on-going evaluation of non-performing loans.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

In the ordinary course of business, the Company has made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of results of operations and financial condition in preparing its financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates under different assumptions and conditions. The Company believes the following discussion, including the allowance for loan losses, goodwill, and mortgage servicing rights, addresses the Company's most critical accounting policies, which are those that are most important to the portrayal of the Company's financial condition and results and require management's most difficult, subjective and complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain.

Allowance for Loan Losses - The allowance for loan losses is a material estimate that is particularly susceptible to significant changes in the near term and is established through a provision for loan losses. The allowance is based upon past loan experience and other factors which, in management's judgment, deserve current recognition in estimating loan losses. The evaluation includes a review of all loans on which full collectibility may not be reasonably assured. Other factors considered by management include the size and character of the loan portfolio, concentrations of loans to specific borrowers or industries, existing economic conditions and historical losses on each portfolio category. In connection with the determination of the allowance for loan losses, management obtains independent appraisals for significant properties, which collateralize loans. Management believes it uses the best information available to make such determinations. If circumstances differ substantially from the assumptions used in making determinations, future adjustments to the allowance for loan losses may be necessary and results of operations could be affected. While the Company believes it has established its existing allowance for loan losses in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, there can be no assurance that regulators, in reviewing the Bank's loan portfolio, will not request an increase in the allowance for loan losses. Because future events affecting borrowers and collateral cannot be predicted with certainty, there can be no assurance that increases to the allowance will not be necessary if loan quality deteriorates.

Goodwill - Costs in excess of the estimated fair value of identified net assets acquired through purchase transactions are recorded as an asset by the Company. The Company performs an annual impairment assessment as of September 30. No impairment of goodwill has been identified as a result of these tests. In making these impairment assessments, management must make subjective assumptions regarding the fair value of the Company's assets and liabilities. It is possible that these judgments may change over time as market conditions or Company strategies change, and these changes may cause the Company to record impairment charges to adjust the goodwill to its estimated fair value.

Table of Contents

Real Estate Held for Sale Real estate held for sale is recorded at the property's fair value at the date of foreclosure (cost). Initial valuation adjustments, if any, are charged against the allowance for loan losses. Property is evaluated to ensure the recorded amount is supported by its current fair value. Subsequent declines in estimated fair value are charged to expense when incurred.

Mortgage Servicing Rights The Company recognizes as a separate asset the rights to service mortgage loans for others. The value of mortgage servicing rights is amortized in relation to the servicing revenue expected to be earned. Mortgage servicing rights are periodically evaluated for impairment based upon the fair value of those rights. Estimating the fair value of the mortgage servicing rights involves judgment, particularly of estimated prepayment speeds of the underlying mortgages serviced. Net income could be affected if management's assumptions and estimates differ from actual prepayments.

Deferred Income Taxes - Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are computed for differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in the future based on enacted tax laws and rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Deferred tax assets are also recognized for operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to an amount expected to be realized. Income tax expense is the tax payable or refundable for the period plus or minus the change during the period in deferred tax assets and liabilities.

Stock Compensation Plans. - In January 2006, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 123R (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R) which amends SFAS 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. SFAS 123R requires new, modified and unvested share-based payment transactions with employees to be measured at fair value and recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period. The fair value of each option award is estimated using a Black-Scholes option valuation model that requires the Company to develop estimates for assumptions used in the model. The Black-Scholes valuation model uses the following assumptions: expected volatility, expected term of option, risk-free interest rate and dividend yield. Expected volatility estimates are developed by the Company based on historical volatility of the Company's stock. The Company uses historical data to estimate the expected term of the options. The risk-free interest rate for periods within the expected life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield in effect at the grant date. The dividend yield represents the expected dividends on the Company stock.

The above listing is not intended to be a comprehensive list of all the Company's accounting policies. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, with no need for management's judgment in their application. There are also areas in which management's judgment in selecting any available alternative would not produce a materially different result.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**SECOND QUARTER AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006 AND 2005**

For the second quarter ended June 30, 2006, net income increased to \$938,000 from \$720,000 for the same period in 2005. Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2006 decreased to \$1.6 million from \$1.9 million for the same period in 2005. Return on average assets for the second quarter and first six months of 2006 was 0.60% and 0.51% compared to 0.45% and 0.60% for 2005. Return on average equity for the second quarter and first six months of 2006 was 8.73% and 7.55%, compared to 6.72% and 8.90% for 2005.

Table of Contents

With the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R beginning in 2006, the Company elected to use the modified retrospective method of application which requires the restatement of earnings for prior periods. Accordingly, the results for the second quarter and first six months of 2005 were restated to include additional compensation expense of \$185,000 and \$234,000, respectively. Net income for the second quarter and first six months of 2005 was decreased by \$133,000 and \$182,000, respectively as a result of this restatement.

The second quarter of 2005 operating results included non-recurring expenses of \$666,000 (\$0.22 per share, after tax). The non-recurring expenses included \$464,000 of fixed asset and prepaid expense write downs and other conversion costs that were incurred from the Company's core processing system conversion. Management converted its systems to Jack Henry & Associates' Silverlake data processing system which allowed the Company to expand its products and improve delivery of services to its customer base. The non-recurring expenses also included \$202,000 of professional fees due to a terminated transaction associated with the Company's merger and acquisition activity.

Net interest income for the three month and six month periods decreased \$553,000 and \$544,000 from 2005. Interest income increased by \$545,000 and \$1.6 million for the three month and six month periods. The net interest margin for the second quarter of 2006 decreased to 3.15% compared to 3.49% on a tax equivalent basis for 2005. For the six month periods, the net interest margin decreased to 3.29% compared to 3.52% on a tax equivalent basis for 2005.

For the second quarter of 2006, tax equivalent interest income increased \$557,000, to \$8.6 million (see Table 2). The increase was primarily attributable to an increase in interest rates that was partially offset by a decrease in average earning assets. Average earning assets decreased \$8.6 million to \$564.4 million from \$573.1 million in 2005. The average tax equivalent rate earned on earning assets increased 48 basis points to 6.13% from 5.65%. The decrease in the average balance of interest-earning assets was primarily due to a decline in average loans of \$6.0 million. Influencing this decline was the payoff of a \$4.0 million commercial credit which the Company decided not to renew under the previous terms of the note due to changes in the borrower's financial condition and strategic plans and a \$2.6 million payoff of a purchased loan participation. The increase in the yield earned on interest-earning assets was due to the rising interest rate environment which has seen multiple increases in the federal funds rate and prime lending rates over the past several months.

Interest expense in the second quarter increased \$1.1 million to \$4.2 million from \$3.1 million in 2005. The increase was primarily attributable to a rising interest rate environment as the rates paid on deposits increased during the period. This increase was partially offset by a decline in average interest bearing liabilities of \$10.1 million to \$513.6 million from \$523.7 for the second quarter of 2005. The rise in rates also led to a shift in deposits away from the Savings category to the Demand and NOW account categories as customers migrated their deposits to higher rate NOW accounts. As illustrated in Table 2, the rate paid on interest bearing liabilities increased 90 basis points to 3.27% from 2.37% in 2005.

The second quarter net interest margin dropped from 3.49% in 2005 to 3.15% in 2006. This compression occurred as the rates paid on interest bearing liabilities increased faster than the yield on loans and investments. The Company's loan and investment rate increases tend to lag deposit and borrowing rates in an increasing rate environment. A flat yield curve has also contributed to this margin decline.

Table of Contents**Table 2**

NET INTEREST INCOME ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED)
CENTRUE FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Three Months Ended June 30,

(Dollars in Thousands)	Average Outstanding Balance	2006 Interest Earned/ Paid	Yield/ Rate	Average Outstanding Balance (Dollars in Thousands)	2005 Interest Earned/ Paid	Yield/ Rate
Interest-earning assets:						
Loans receivable (1) (3)	\$ 435,355	\$ 7,239	6.67%	\$ 441,340	\$ 6,703	6.09%
Investments securities (2) (3)	121,969	1,344	4.42%	123,754	1,297	4.20%
Other interest-earning assets	2,677	8	1.10%	3,641	15	1.65%
FHLB stock	4,445	37	3.37%	4,322	56	5.20%
Total interest-earning assets	564,446	8,628	6.13%	573,057	8,071	5.65%
Other assets	62,890			64,184		
Total assets	\$ 627,336			\$ 637,241		
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Certificate accounts	\$ 225,987	2,007	3.56%	\$ 246,650	1,728	2.81%
Savings deposits	81,738	136	0.67%	101,424	182	0.72%
Demand and NOW deposits	103,467	628	2.43%	89,597	263	1.18%
Borrowings	102,374	1,417	5.53%	86,009	917	4.28%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	513,566	4,188	3.27%	523,680	3,090	2.37%
Non-interest bearing demand deposits	66,777			65,453		
Other liabilities	4,000			5,258		
Total liabilities	584,343			594,391		
Stockholders equity	42,993			42,850		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 627,336			\$ 637,241		

Net interest income (3)	\$ 4,440	\$ 4,981
Net interest rate spread	2.86%	3.28%
Net earning assets	\$ 50,880	\$ 49,377
Net yield on average interest-earning assets (net interest margin)	3.15%	3.49%
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities	109.91%	109.43%

(1) Calculated including loans held for sale, and net of deferred loan fees, loan discounts, loans in process and the allowance for loan losses.

(2) Calculated including investment securities available-for-sale and certificates of deposit.

(3) Presented on a fully tax-equivalent basis, assuming a combined Federal and State tax rate of 38.7%.

Table of Contents**Table 3**

NET INTEREST INCOME ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED)
CENTRUE FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
Six Months Ended June 30,

(Dollars in Thousands)	Average Outstanding Balance	2006 Interest Earned/ Paid	Yield/ Rate	Average Outstanding Balance	2005 Interest Earned/ Paid	Yield/ Rate
Interest-earning assets:						
Loans receivable (1) (3)	\$ 436,646	\$ 14,353	6.63%	\$ 430,025	\$ 12,908	6.05%
Investments securities (2) (3)	122,806	2,700	4.43%	121,271	2,534	4.21%
Other interest-earning assets	2,540	15	1.19%	3,009	23	1.54%
FHLB stock	4,442	76	3.45%	3,969	105	5.34%
Total interest-earning assets	566,434	17,144	6.10%	558,274	15,570	5.62%
Other assets	63,534			60,995		
Total assets	\$ 629,968			\$ 619,269		
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Certificate accounts	\$ 236,917	4,105	3.49%	\$ 245,209	3,304	2.72%
Savings deposits	83,334	276	0.67%	95,107	315	0.67%
Demand and NOW deposits	101,947	1,162	2.30%	89,040	481	1.09%
Borrowings	93,821	2,369	5.09%	81,013	1,714	4.27%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	516,019	7,912	3.09%	510,369	5,814	2.30%
Non-interest bearing demand deposits	66,788			61,756		
Other liabilities	4,246			3,856		
Total liabilities	587,053			575,981		
Stockholders equity	42,915			43,288		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 629,968			\$ 619,269		

Net interest income (3)	\$ 9,232	\$ 9,756
Net interest rate spread	3.01%	3.32%
Net earning assets	\$ 50,415	\$ 47,905
Net yield on average interest-earning assets (net interest margin)	3.29%	3.52%
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities	109.77%	109.39%

(1) Calculated including loans held for sale, and net of deferred loan fees, loan discounts, loans in process and the allowance for loan losses.

(2) Calculated including investment securities available-for-sale and certificates of deposit.

(3) Presented on a fully tax-equivalent basis, assuming a combined Federal and State tax rate of 38.7%.

Table of Contents

For the six months ended June 30, 2006, tax equivalent interest income increased \$1.6 million, to \$17.1 million (see Table 3). The increase was attributable to an increase in interest rates and volume. Average earning assets increased \$8.1 million to \$566.4 million from \$558.3 million in 2005. The average tax equivalent rate earned on earning assets increased 48 basis points to 6.10% from 5.62%. The increase in the average balance of interest-earning assets was primarily due to the growth in loans. The increase in the yield earned on interest-earning assets was due to the rising interest rate environment which has seen multiple increases in the federal funds rate and prime lending rates over the past several months.

Interest expense during the first half of the year increased \$2.1 million to \$7.9 million from \$5.8 million in 2005. The increase was a combination of higher rates being paid on virtually all funding sources combined with an increase of \$5.7 million in the average interest-bearing liabilities. The rate paid on interest bearing liabilities increased 79 basis points to 3.09% from 2.30% in 2005. The \$5.7 million increase in average interest-bearing liabilities was a combination of lower base line deposits being offset by growth in borrowings. The increase in the average rate on interest-bearing liabilities resulted from a generally rising interest rate environment and the need to remain competitive with local competition.

The provision for loan losses was \$75,000 and \$150,000 for the second quarter and first six months of 2006, compared to \$251,000 and \$501,000 for the second quarter and first six months of 2005.

Table 4

(Dollars in Thousands)	Three Months Ended June 30		Change	
	2006	2005	Amount	Percent
Noninterest income:				
Fee income	\$ 1,632	\$ 1,330	\$ 302	22.7%
Net gain (loss) on sale of real estate held for sale	181	(8)	189	n/m
Net gain on sale of loans	324	158	166	105.1
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance contracts	90	87	3	3.4
Other	100	140	(40)	(28.6)
Total	\$ 2,327	\$ 1,707	\$ 620	36.3%

(n/m = not meaningful)

Noninterest income was \$2.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 as compared to \$1.7 million for the same period in 2005. The increase in noninterest income was primarily driven by an increase in fee income of \$302,000, net gains on the sale of loans of \$166,000 and a net gain on the sale of real estate of \$189,000. Fee income increased primarily from overdraft fee increases during the quarter while the gain on sale of real estate came from the disposition of a major real estate holding. The increase in the net gain on the sale of loans came from higher mortgage production in the quarter.

Table of Contents**Table 5**

(Dollars in Thousands)	Three Months Ended June 30		Change	
	2006	2005	Amount	Percent
Noninterest expense:				
Compensation and benefits	\$ 2,736	\$ 2,688	\$ 48	1.8%
Occupancy, net	483	391	92	23.5
Furniture and equipment	262	803	(541)	(67.4)
Advertising	110	80	30	37.5
Data processing	462	160	302	188.8
Telephone and postage	220	153	67	43.8
Amortization of Intangibles	71	72	(1)	(1.4)
Legal and professional fees	191	319	(128)	(40.1)
Other	773	791	(18)	(2.3)
Total	\$ 5,308	\$ 5,457	\$ (149)	(2.7)%

Total noninterest expenses decreased \$149,000, from the comparable 2005 period. Compensation and benefits increased \$48,000, primarily from normal merit increases offset by a decrease of FTEs from 199 in the second quarter of 2005 to 187 for the second quarter of 2006 and the restatement of compensation expense for 2005. Furniture and equipment expense in 2005 was unusually high as a result of a one-time write-down of \$420,000 from fixed assets and prepaid expenses related to the Company's former data processing system. The system became obsolete with the conversion to the Jack Henry & Associates' Silverlake system in June of 2005. This change also drove the increase in data processing fees of \$302,000 as the delivery of data processing services was converted from an in-house system to an outsourced system in June 2005. This new system has improved the Company's efficient use of technology, along with providing improved service to customers. A portion of the increased data processing expense was offset by fewer FTEs in the operations area. Legal and professional fees in 2005 included \$202,000 of fees from a terminated transaction associated with the Company's merger and acquisition activity.

Table 6

(Dollars in Thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30		Change	
	2006	2005	Amount	Percent
Noninterest income:				
Fee income	\$ 2,799	\$ 2,429	\$ 370	15.2%
Net gain on sale of securities	4	183	(179)	(97.8)
Net gain (loss) on sale of real estate held for sale	157	(6)	163	n/m
Net gain on sale of loans	431	289	142	49.1
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance contracts	182	178	4	2.2
Other	397	198	199	100.5
Total	\$ 3,970	\$ 3,271	\$ 699	21.4%

Noninterest income was \$4.0 million for the six-months ended June 30, 2006, compared to \$3.3 million for the same period in 2005. The increase in noninterest income was primarily driven by an increase in fee income, up \$370,000, net gains on the sale of loans, up \$142,000 and a net gain on the sale of real estate, up \$163,000. These

gains were somewhat offset by a decline in the sale of securities of \$179,000. Fee income increased primarily from overdraft fee increases during the period while the gain on sale of real estate came from the disposition of a major real estate holding. The increase in the net gain on the sale of loans came from higher mortgage production in the period. Fewer securities sales led to the decline in gains on the sale of securities.

Table of Contents**Table 7**

(Dollars in Thousands)	Six Months Ended		Change	
	June 30 2006	2005	Amount	Percent
Noninterest expense:				
Compensation and benefits	\$ 5,868	\$ 5,024	\$ 844	16.8%
Occupancy, net	946	778	168	21.6
Furniture and equipment	544	1,133	(589)	(52.0)
Advertising	200	160	40	25.0
Data processing	806	318	488	153.5
Telephone and postage	372	324	48	14.8
Amortization of Intangibles	143	133	10	7.5
Legal and professional fees	353	461	(108)	(23.4)
Other	1,436	1,450	(14)	(1.0)
Total	\$ 10,668	\$ 9,781	\$ 887	9.1%

Noninterest expense was \$10.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. This compares to \$9.8 million for the same period in 2005. Major factors in this change were compensation and benefits which increased \$844,000, occupancy expenses which increased \$168,000, data processing which increased \$488,000 and furniture and equipment expenses which decreased \$589,000. Compensation and benefits increases can be tied to the opening of the new Fairview Heights office at the end of May 2005, as well as the addition of several new officers in the later half of 2005, including a new Chief Operating Officer and new managers for the mortgage, compliance, consumer lending and operations areas, all to add further depth to the Company's management team.

Furniture and equipment decreased because 2005 included a write-down of \$420,000 of fixed assets and prepaid expenses related to the Company's former data processing system which became obsolete after the data processing conversion in June 2005 and a shift to an outsourced delivery of data processing services. That shift drove higher costs in the data processing expense category. Occupancy expenses increased primarily due to the opening of the new Fairview Heights branch, as well as a loan production office in Plainfield. Legal and professional fees in 2005 included \$202,000 of fees from a terminated transaction associated with the Company's merger and acquisition activity.

Income tax expense increased \$174,000 for the second quarter ended June 30, 2006 while it declined by \$94,000 for the six month period. The effective income tax rate for the second quarter increased to 27.2% in 2006 from 19.6% in 2005. The increased effective rate was a function of a higher portion of taxable income being taxed at the highest marginal tax rate. The decrease in income tax expense for the six month period was due to lower pretax income at a nearly even effective tax rate.

CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company and its subsidiary Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal and state banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company and the Bank's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and its Bank subsidiary must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company's and the Bank's capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Table of Contents

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and its Bank subsidiary to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of Tier 1 capital (as defined by the regulations) to average assets (as defined) and Total and Tier I capital (as defined) to risk-weighted assets (as defined). Management believes, as of June 30, 2006, that the Company and the Bank meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

As of June 30, 2006, the most recent notification from the Bank's primary regulators, categorized the Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier I risk-based and Tier I leverage ratios as set forth in the Table 8 below. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank's category.

Table 8

(Dollars in Thousands)	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes		To be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
As of June 30, 2006:						
Tier 1 Capital to Average Assets						
Centrue Financial	45,001	7.37%	24,411	4.00%	N/A	
Centrue Bank	45,291	7.49%	24,196	4.00%	30,245	5.00%
Tier I Capital to Risk Weighted Assets						
Centrue Financial	45,001	10.64%	16,919	4.00%	N/A	
Centrue Bank	45,291	10.85%	16,703	4.00%	25,055	6.00%
Total Capital to Risk Weighted Assets						
Centrue Financial	54,010	12.77%	33,837	8.00%	N/A	
Centrue Bank	49,585	11.87%	33,406	8.00%	41,758	10.00%
As of December 31, 2005:						
Tier 1 Capital to Average Assets						
Centrue Financial	43,396	6.95%	24,967	4.00%	N/A	
Centrue Bank	43,773	7.08%	24,733	4.00%	30,917	5.00%
Tier I Capital to Risk Weighted Assets						
Centrue Financial	43,396	10.33%	16,796	4.00%	N/A	
Centrue Bank	43,773	10.49%	16,696	4.00%	25,044	6.00%
Total Capital to Risk Weighted Assets						
Centrue Financial	52,962	12.61%	33,593	8.00%	N/A	
Centrue Bank	48,259	11.56%	33,391	8.00%	41,739	10.00%

Table of Contents

SPECIAL NOTE CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This document contains, and future oral and written statements of the Company and its management may contain, forward-looking statements, within the meaning of such term in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, with respect to the financial condition, results of operations, plans, objectives, future performance and business of the Company. Forward-looking statements, which may be based upon beliefs, expectations and assumptions of the Company's management and on information currently available to management, are generally identifiable by the use of words such as believe, expect, anticipate, plan, intend estimate, may, will, would, could, expressions. Additionally, all statements in this document, including forward-looking statements, speak only as of the date they are made, and the Company undertakes no obligation to update any statement in light of new information or future events.

The Company's ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. The factors, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's operations and future prospects are detailed in the Risk Factors section included under Item 1a. of Part I of the Company's Form 10-K. These factors include, among others, the following: (I) the strength of the local and national economy; (ii) unexpected results of the proposed merger with UnionBancorp, Inc.; (iii) changes in state and federal laws, regulations and governmental policies concerning the Company's general business; (iv) changes in interest rates and prepayment rates of the Company's assets; (v) increased competition in the financial services sector and the inability to attract new customers; (vi) changes in technology and the ability to develop and maintain secure and reliable electronic systems; (vii) the loss of key executives or employees; (viii) changes in consumer spending; (ix) unexpected outcomes of existing or new litigation involving the Company; and (x) changes in accounting policies and practices. These risks and uncertainties should be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

ASSET/LIABILITY MANAGEMENT

In an attempt to manage its exposure to changes in interest rates, management closely monitors the Company's interest rate risk. The Bank has a funds management committee, which meet monthly and review interest rate risk positions and evaluate current asset/liability pricing and strategies. The committees adjust pricing and strategies as needed and make recommendations to the Bank's board of directors regarding significant changes in strategy. In addition, on a quarterly basis, the board reviews the Bank's asset/liability position, including simulations of the effect on the Bank's capital of various interest rate scenarios.

In managing its asset/liability mix, the Company, at times, depending on the relationship between long-term and short-term interest rates, market conditions and consumer preferences, may place somewhat greater emphasis on maximizing its net interest margin than on better matching the interest rate sensitivity of its assets and liabilities in an effort to improve its net income. While the Company does have some exposure to changing interest rates, management believes that the Company is positioned to protect earnings throughout changing interest rate environments.

The Company currently does not enter into derivative financial instruments, including futures, forwards, interest rate risk swaps, option contracts, or other financial instruments with similar characteristics. However, the Company is party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers such as commitments to extend credit and letters of credit. Commitments to extend credit and letters of

Table of Contents

credit are not recorded as an asset by the Company until the commitment is accepted and funded or the letter of credit is exercised.

The Company's net income and economic value of equity (EVE), in the normal course of business, are exposed to interest rate risk, and can vary based on changes in the general level of interest rates. All financial products carry some amount of interest rate risk, and substantial portions of both the Company's assets and liabilities are financial products. These include investment securities, loans, deposits and borrowed money. Off-balance sheet items, such as loan commitments, letters of credit, commitments to buy or sell loans or securities, and derivative financial instruments, also carry some amount of interest rate risk.

The Funds Management Committees generally use three types of analysis in measuring and reviewing the Company's interest rate sensitivity. These are Static GAP analysis, Dynamic Gap Analysis and Economic Value of Equity. The Static GAP analysis measures assets and liabilities as they reprice in various time periods and is discussed under the heading of Asset/Liability Management on page 22 of the 2005 Annual Report to Shareholders.

The economic value of equity calculation uses information about the Company's assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items, market interest rate levels and assumptions about the behavior of the assets and liabilities, to calculate the Company's equity value. The economic value of equity is the market value of assets minus the market value of liabilities, adjusted for off-balance sheet items divided by the market value of assets. The economic value of equity is then subjected to immediate and permanent upward changes of 300 basis points in market interest rate levels, in 100 basis point increments, and a downward change of 100 basis points. The resulting changes in equity value and net interest income at each increment are measured against pre-determined, minimum EVE ratios for each incremental rate change, as approved by the board in the interest rate risk policy.

The following table presents the Bank's EVE ratios for the various rate change levels at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005:

	EVE Ratios	
	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Changes in Interest Rates ^m		
300 basis point rise	7.92%	7.60%
200 basis point rise	8.07%	7.43%
100 basis point rise	7.99%	7.33%
Base rate scenario	7.41%	6.86%
100 basis point decline	6.04%	5.24%
200 basis point decline	4.48%	3.60%

The preceding table indicates that in the event of an immediate and permanent increase in prevailing market interest rates, the Bank's EVE ratio, would be expected to increase and that in the event of an immediate and permanent decrease in prevailing market interest rates, the Bank's EVE ratio would be expected to decrease.

The EVE increases in a 100 and 200 basis point rise because the Company is asset sensitive and would have more interest earning assets repricing than interest-bearing liabilities. This effect is increased by periodic and lifetime limits on changes in rate on most adjustable-rate, interest-earning assets. The EVE decreases in the 300 basis point rise scenarios due to the extension of the duration on the various loan products which increase the price volatility. The EVE decreases in a falling rate scenario because of the limits on the Company's ability to decrease rates on some of its deposit sources, such as money market accounts and NOW

Table of Contents

accounts, and by the ability of borrowers to repay loans ahead of schedule and refinance at lower rates.

The EVE ratio is calculated by the Company's fixed income investment advisors, and reviewed by management, on a quarterly basis utilizing information about the Company's assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items, which is provided by the Company. The calculation is designed to estimate the effects of hypothetical rate changes on the EVE, utilizing projected cash flows, and is based on numerous assumptions, including relative levels of market interest rates, loan prepayment speeds and deposit decay rates. Actual changes in the EVE, in the event of market interest rate changes of the type and magnitude used in the calculation, could differ significantly. Additionally, the calculation does not account for possible actions taken by Funds Management to mitigate the adverse effects of changes in market interest rates.

Item 4. **Controls and Procedures**

As required by Rules 13a-15(b) and 15d-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, management has evaluated, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Interim Principal Financial Officer, the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, management concluded the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) were effective as of June 30, 2006 to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms and were effective as of June 30, 2006. These disclosure controls and procedures include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Interim Principal Financial Officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2006, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

CENTRUE FINANCIAL CORPORATION

PART II - OTHER INFORMATIONItem 1. Legal Proceedings

There are no material pending legal proceedings to which the Company or the Bank is a party other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to their respective businesses.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There were no material changes to the risk factors as presented in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table sets forth information about our stock repurchases for the three months ended June 30, 2006:

Three Months Ended	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (1)	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1)
June 30, 2006				
April 1 - April 30	1,500	\$ 25.52	1,500	247,548
May 1 - May 31	1,500	23.90	1,500	246,048
June 1 - June 30	1,500	24.10	1,500	244,548
Total	4,500	\$ 24.50	4,500	244,548

(1) The Company announced its original stock repurchase program on October 21, 2004, which authorized the Company to purchase up to 20% of the shares outstanding, or 484,663. The plan would have expired on December 31, 2005 but was

extended
through
December 31,
2006. The
Company
purchased all of
the shares listed
above under the
repurchase
program.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company was held on April 28, 2006. At the meeting, stockholders voted to approve the election of Thomas A. Daiber, Randall E. Ganim and Mark L. Smith as directors of the Company. Michael J. Hejna will continue to serve as director until 2008 and Michael A. Griffith will continue to serve as a director until 2007. Stockholders also voted to approve the appointment of McGladrey & Pullen LLP as the Company's auditors for the year ending December 31, 2006.

The matters approved by stockholders at the meeting and the number of votes cast for, against or withheld (as well as the number of abstentions) as to each matter are set forth below:

28

Table of Contents

1. For the election of three (3) directors of the Company:

NOMINEE: Thomas A. Daiber

FOR	ABSTAIN
------------	----------------

1,989,373	29,605
-----------	--------

NOMINEE: Randall E. Ganim

FOR	ABSTAIN
------------	----------------

1,982,528	36,450
-----------	--------

NOMINEE: Mark L. Smith

FOR	ABSTAIN
------------	----------------

1,992,679	26,299
-----------	--------

2. To approve the appointment of McGladrey & Pullen LLP as the Company's auditors for the year ending December 31, 2006.

FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN	BROKER NON-VOTES
------------	----------------	----------------	-------------------------

2,004,933	8,461	5,584	
-----------	-------	-------	--

Item 5. Other Information

None

Item 6. Exhibits

a. Exhibits

- 10.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger among UnionBancorp, Inc. and the Company dated June 30, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed by the Company on July 7, 2006)
- 10.1 Amendment No. 2 to Rights Agreement between LaSalle Bank National Association and the Company date as of June 20, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed by the Company on July 7, 2006)
- 31.1 Certification of Thomas A. Daiber, Principal Executive Officer and Interim Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
- 32.1 Certification of Thomas A. Daiber, Principal Executive Officer and Interim Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents

CENTRUE FINANCIAL CORPORATION

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CENTRUE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
Registrant

Date: August 11, 2006

/s/ THOMAS A. DAIBER

President and Chief Executive Officer
and Interim Principal Financial Officer