

SYMANTEC CORP
Form 10-K
May 20, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

- p ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the Fiscal Year Ended April 1, 2011**
- OR**
- o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the Transition Period from to .**

Commission File Number 000-17781

SYMANTEC CORPORATION

(Exact name of the registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

350 Ellis Street,

Mountain View, California

(Address of principal executive offices)

77-0181864

*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*

94043

(zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

(650) 527-8000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share

(Title of each class)

The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC

(Name of each exchange on which registered)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☒ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☐
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

Aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based upon the closing sale price of Symantec common stock on October 1, 2010 as reported on the Nasdaq Global Select Market: \$11,909,360,540.

Number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock as of April 29, 2011: 755,541,093

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The information called for by Part III will be included in an amendment to this Form 10-K or incorporated by reference from the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

SYMANTEC CORPORATION
FORM 10-K
For the Fiscal Year Ended April 1, 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

| | | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|----|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Business</u> | 4 |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 12 |
| <u>Item 1B.</u> | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 23 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Properties</u> | 23 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 24 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>(Removed and Reserved)</u> | 24 |

PART II

| | | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| <u>Item 5.</u> | <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 24 |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 27 |
| <u>Item 7.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 28 |
| <u>Item 7A.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 48 |
| <u>Item 8.</u> | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 51 |
| <u>Item 9.</u> | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 51 |
| <u>Item 9A.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 51 |
| <u>Item 9B.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | 52 |

PART III

| | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| <u>Item 10.</u> | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 53 |
| <u>Item 11.</u> | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 53 |
| <u>Item 12.</u> | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 53 |
| <u>Item 13.</u> | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 53 |
| <u>Item 14.</u> | <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | 53 |

PART IV

| | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----|
| <u>Item 15.</u> | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 54 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | | 104 |
| <u>EX-10.17</u> | | |
| <u>EX-10.29</u> | | |
| <u>EX-10.30</u> | | |
| <u>EX-10.31</u> | | |
| <u>EX-10.32</u> | | |
| <u>EX-21.01</u> | | |
| <u>EX-23.01</u> | | |
| <u>EX-31.01</u> | | |
| <u>EX-31.02</u> | | |
| <u>EX-32.01</u> | | |

EX-32.02

EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT

EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT

EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

Symantec, we, us, our, and the Company refer to Symantec Corporation and all of its subsidiaries. Symantec, the Symantec Logo, Norton, and Veritas are trademarks or registered trademarks of Symantec in the U.S. and other countries. Other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

The discussion below contains forward-looking statements, which are subject to safe harbors under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Forward-looking statements include references to our ability to utilize our deferred tax assets, as well as statements including words such as expects, plans, anticipates, believes, estimates, predicts, projects, and similar expressions. In addition, statements that refer to projections of our future financial performance, anticipated growth and trends in our businesses and in our industries, the anticipated impacts of acquisitions, and other characterizations of future events or circumstances are forward-looking statements. These statements are only predictions, based on our current expectations about future events and may not prove to be accurate. We do not undertake any obligation to update these forward-looking statements to reflect events occurring or circumstances arising after the date of this report. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, and our actual results, performance, or achievements could differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements on the basis of several factors, including those that we discuss under Item 1A, *Risk Factors*. We encourage you to read that section carefully.

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. *Business*

Overview

Symantec is a global provider of security, storage, and systems management solutions that help businesses and consumers secure and manage their information and identities. We conduct our business in three geographic regions: Americas, which is comprised of the United States, Canada, and Latin America; Europe, the Middle East and Africa (EMEA); and Asia Pacific Japan (APJ).

Founded in 1982, Symantec has operations in more than 48 countries and our principal executive offices are located at 350 Ellis Street, Mountain View, California, 94043. Our telephone number at that location is (650) 527-8000. Our home page on the Internet is www.symantec.com. Other than the information expressly set forth in this annual report, the information contained, or referred to, on our website is not part of this annual report.

Strategy

Symantec's strategy is to enable our customers to secure and manage information and identities independent of device or platform. We help individuals, small and medium-sized businesses (SMB), and global organizations ensure that their information, technology infrastructures, and related processes are protected and managed easily. We deliver solutions that allow customers to access information when they need it and make it available to all of those who should have access to it. In addition to providing customers with traditional software solutions, we continue to expand our Software-as-a-Service (SaaS) and appliance based offerings, giving customers choice as to how our solutions are delivered and deployed.

Businesses are increasingly adopting cloud, virtualization, and mobile technologies to reduce the cost of their IT infrastructures and enhance access to their information. By providing products and solutions that support the adoption of these key technology trends, we are seeking to maintain our leadership position in helping businesses secure and manage their information and identities. We have a broad portfolio of cloud based solutions and services, from SaaS security to authentication services and online backup to cloud infrastructure management. These products help organizations lower costs and simplify IT administration, while keeping their information and identities secure. Organizations are adopting virtualization to reduce costs, enhance flexibility and build public and private cloud infrastructures. As a result, their environments are becoming more complex and essential applications and data may be left vulnerable to attack. Our solutions help these organizations secure, manage and optimize their virtual environments from the datacenter to the endpoint. More and more mobile devices are being used both at work and at home creating new security and management challenges. Our solutions manage and protect mobile devices by enforcing data governance, increasing visibility across all mobile platforms and securing data for both consumers and enterprises. We are focused on providing consumers the best online experience that allows them access to information anytime, anywhere and from any device. Our consumer solutions take security beyond the PC and extend trust to new devices and consumer applications, spanning across mobile, smart devices, and embedded systems.

We operate primarily in three diversified markets within the software sector: security, backup and storage management.

The security market includes mission-critical products that protect consumers and enterprises from threats to electronic information, endpoint devices, and computer networks. The threat environment is rapidly changing.

Attackers have become highly sophisticated, primarily targeting information assets. Threats are increasingly focused on stealing confidential information and identities for financial gain. The Internet has become the primary conduit for attack activity, with hackers increasingly funneling threats through legitimate websites, placing a much larger percentage of the population at risk than in the past. Data losses are not realized solely from external attacks but are also administered by malicious, or even well-meaning, insiders. Proliferation of devices and information growth is driving the need for a more comprehensive security framework that protects information and authenticates users across multiple platforms and devices.

Table of Contents

The backup software market includes products that manage, protect, deduplicate and recover information. Effective backup and recovery continue to be high priority matters as organizations seek to better manage information growth and maximize operational efficiency in both physical and virtual environments. Enterprises are continuing to transition from tape to disk-based backup. To deal with the unprecedented rate of information growth, organizations are increasingly adopting data deduplication technology, a data compression technique that eliminates redundancies in data storage. Consumers are also creating unprecedented amounts of digital information that they want to protect. They also want to access their personal files, videos and pictures at any time, from anywhere and on any device.

The storage management software market includes products that help organizations manage heterogeneous storage infrastructure and run mission critical applications with confidence. Factors driving demand in this market include the pressure on companies to lower costs by optimizing storage utilization and accelerating cloud and virtualization adoption while keeping critical applications continuously available. Our new storage management initiatives help customers provide high-performance, low-cost storage in a virtualized environment.

Business Developments and Highlights

During fiscal 2011, we took the following actions in support of our business:

Our new consumer eCommerce platform completed its first year of operations and now serves customers in 230 countries, and supports 18 languages and 24 currencies. The benefits of an in-house eCommerce capability include building a closer relationship with our customers and enabling greater speed to take advantage of market trends. The ability to develop more targeted programs with our eCommerce platform is allowing us to achieve higher levels of customer renewals, better acquire new customers, and facilitate our up-selling and cross-selling efforts in our Consumer business.

We launched several new products and initiatives to extend our security leadership beyond the PC. In the consumer business, we launched our Norton Everywhere initiative for mobile and embedded devices. In the enterprise business we now offer solutions to manage and protect mobile devices. These solutions help customers enforce data governance, secure corporate data and increase visibility across mobile platforms.

We completed three acquisitions during fiscal 2011. We acquired the identity and authentication business of VeriSign, Inc. (VeriSign). We also acquired PGP Corporation (PGP) and GuardianEdge Technologies, Inc. (GuardianEdge), two privately-held industry leaders in encryption technologies. These authentication and encryption capabilities have strengthened our leadership position in security and have enhanced our ability to make data protection more intelligent and policy driven. We have made great strides in effectively integrating these acquired technologies and leveraging our distribution network to grow these businesses.

We made important advances to help customers migrate to next-generation information management as they transition from tape to disk-based backup and manage the unprecedented growth of unstructured information. These advances include integrating deduplication and archiving into our backup solutions. In addition, we developed and enhanced products that support VMware and Microsoft Hyper-V virtualization technologies and allow customers to reduce management complexity and operational costs in their virtual environments. We also launched backup appliances with an easy to deploy, all-in-one hardware and software backup solution with integrated deduplication.

In the storage management business, we launched Application High Availability (AppHA) and VirtualStore which were developed with the support of VMware. AppHA helps organizations virtualize their business critical applications and VirtualStore provides high-performance, low-cost storage for desktop and server virtualization deployments. In addition, we released our FileStore appliance, a clustered network attached

storage (NAS) appliance that helps customers build out cloud storage, manage large volumes of data, and control storage costs.

We expanded our cloud-based offerings with the release of Symantec Endpoint Protection.cloud, our internally developed hosted endpoint protection service. We will seek to extend our lead in this fast growth market by delivering additional SaaS services such as archiving, data loss prevention and governance offerings.

Table of Contents

We raised \$1.1 billion from the issuance of senior unsecured notes. We also renewed our \$1 billion revolving line of credit, extending its term for another four years.

We repurchased 57 million shares of our common stock for an aggregate amount of \$870 million.

Operating Segments and Products

Our operating segments are strategic business units that offer different products and services, distinguished by customer needs. We have five operating segments: Consumer, Security and Compliance, Storage and Server Management, Services, and Other.

Consumer

Our Consumer segment helps consumers deal with increasingly complex threats, the proliferation of mobile devices, the need for identity protection, and the rapid increase of digital consumer data, such as photos, music, and video. For individual users and home offices, we offer premium, full-featured security suites as well as related services such as online backup, family safety, and PC tune-up.

We continue to acquire customers through a diversified channel strategy. We retain and leverage our large customer base through auto-renewal subscriptions, and seek to migrate customers from point products to multi-product suites, and cross-selling additional products or services. Under our Norton brand we also provide a variety of free tools and services that offer consumers added value and provide an opportunity to begin a relationship and ongoing communication with them.

Our award-winning Norton 2011 products include our innovative reputation-based security, a technology that provides real-time threat detection. Our online backup offering serves 13 million customers and hosts more than 68 petabytes of consumers' data. In fiscal year 2011, we introduced our Norton Everywhere initiative to take Norton beyond the PC by delivering protection across locations, devices and digital experiences. Our primary consumer products include: Norton 360, Norton Internet Security, Norton AntiVirus, Norton Online Backup, Norton Live Services and our newly released Norton Mobile Security.

Security and Compliance

Our Security and Compliance segment helps our enterprise customers standardize, automate, and reduce the costs of day-to-day security activities in order to secure and manage their information and identities. We offer security suite solutions that tie together multiple layers of protection and simplify management. Our primary solutions in this segment address the following areas:

Infrastructure Protection

We provide solutions that allow customers to secure their endpoints, messaging and Web environments in addition to defending critical servers and implementing the ability to back up and recover data. Organizations are also provided the visibility and security intelligence needed to identify when they're under attack so that they can respond rapidly. Products include: Protection Suites, Endpoint Protection for enterprise and small business, and Mail Gateway.

Information Protection

We help businesses proactively protect their information by taking a content-aware approach. This includes enabling businesses to identify the owners of specific information, locate sensitive information and identify those with access to it, and encrypt sensitive information as it is entering or leaving an organization. Products include: Data Loss Prevention, Whole Disk Encryption and Endpoint Encryption.

Authentication Services

We provide the ability to authenticate identities, allowing businesses to ensure that only authorized personnel have access to information and systems. Authentication services also enable organizations to protect public facing

Table of Contents

assets by ensuring the true identity of a device, system, or application and use Secure Socket Layers (SSL) to encrypt data in transit. Products include: VeriSign SSL, VeriSign Identity Protection (VIP), and VeriSign PKI Services.

Compliance

Our compliance and risk management solutions allow customers to develop and enforce IT policies, automate IT risk management processes and demonstrate compliance with industry standards and regulations. Our integrated and automated suite allows organizations to take a more proactive approach to IT risk and compliance management, enabling them to understand their key risks, enforce desired policies, efficiently identify gaps, and drive focused remediation activities to ensure the best outcomes for their organizations. Products include our Control Compliance Suite.

Systems Management

Our systems management capabilities help IT organizations provide faster and more predictable service to their businesses. Our integrated solutions ensure that organizations' management infrastructures can easily support new technology changes, can quickly adapt to changing processes and business needs, and can provide the necessary insight to make more intelligent, data-driven decisions. Products include: IT Management Suite, Mobile Management, and Endpoint Virtualization.

Software-as-a- Service

Our SaaS offerings provide customers the flexibility to manage their business requirements using hosted services. Symantec.cloud, our SaaS brand, enables customers to increase their messaging and web protection by blocking email, web and IM threats before they reach the network. Services include: Email Security.cloud, Web Security.cloud and Symantec Endpoint Protection.cloud.

Storage and Server Management

Our Storage and Server Management segment consists of information management and storage management solutions. Our offerings enable companies to standardize on a single layer of infrastructure software and work on all major distributed operating systems and support storage devices, databases, and applications in both physical and virtual environments.

Information Management

Our Information Management business, which includes backup and archiving, is driven by the rapid growth of information, data duplication, virtual environments, management inefficiencies, and legal e-discovery needs. We help SMB and enterprise organizations protect themselves by bringing together archiving, deduplication, virtualization, and backup functionality into a fully integrated solution. With our solutions, customers can back up and deduplicate data closer to information sources to reduce storage consumption. In addition, our offerings archive and enable a compliant and litigation-ready information infrastructure. Products include: NetBackup, Backup Exec, and Enterprise Vault.

Storage Management and High Availability

Our Storage Management and High Availability business is driven by our customers' need to reduce overall storage costs through improved utilization of existing systems, virtualization, and cloud infrastructure offerings. Our products help customers simplify their data centers by standardizing storage management across their environment for more efficient and effective use of their existing storage investments. With our solutions, customers can build scalable,

high-performance file-based storage systems onsite or in private and public clouds. They also enable enterprises to manage large storage environments and ensure the availability of critical applications across physical and virtual environments. Products include: Storage Foundation, Cluster Server, AppHA, VirtualStore, and FileStore.

Table of Contents

Services

Symantec Services help customers address information security, availability, storage, and compliance challenges at the endpoint and in complex, multi-vendor data center environments. We deliver managed, business critical and education services. These services complement our customers' existing resources to secure and manage their information so they can maximize operational efficiency and reduce risk.

Managed, Business Critical and Education Services

Managed Services enable customers to place resource-intensive IT operations under the management of experienced Symantec specialists in order to optimize existing resources and focus on strategic IT projects. These services include: Managed Security Services, Managed Endpoint Protection Services and Managed Backup Services. Business Critical Services, our highest level of enterprise support services, connects our customers to Symantec's technical community and best practices to help them realize immediate and ongoing value from their investments, and optimize their IT operations. Education Services delivers a full range of programs, including technical training, certification and custom learning services designed to help IT teams properly implement their Symantec solutions and optimize their use of the advanced functionality of our products.

Other

The Other segment includes sunset products and unallocated general administrative costs and is not considered an active business component of the company.

Financial Information by Segment and Geographic Region

For information regarding our revenue by segment, revenue by geographical area, and long-lived assets by geographical area, see Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this annual report. For information regarding the amount and percentage of our revenue contributed in each of our segments and our financial information, including information about geographic areas in which we operate, see Item 7, *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* and Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this annual report. For information regarding risks associated with our international operations, see Item 1A, *Risk Factors*.

Sales and Go-To-Market Strategy

Our go-to-market network includes direct, inside, and channel sales resources that support our ecosystem of partners worldwide. We also maintain important relationships with a number of Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEMs), Internet Service Providers (ISPs), and retail and online stores by which we market and sell our products.

Consumer

We sell our consumer products and services to individuals and home offices globally through a network of distribution partners and eCommerce channels. Our products are available to customers through our eCommerce platform, distributors, direct marketers, Internet-based resellers, system builders, ISPs and more than 30,000 retail locations worldwide. We have partnerships with 9 of the top 10 PC OEMs globally to distribute our Internet security suites and with 6 of the top 10 PC OEMs globally to distribute our online backup offerings.

Consumer sales through our electronic distribution channel, which includes our Norton e-Store, OEMs, subscriptions, upgrades, and renewals, represented approximately 85 percent of consumer revenue in fiscal 2011. The remaining

15 percent of consumer sales came from the retail channel.

Enterprise

We sell and market our products and related services to enterprise customers through our direct sales force of more than 4,500 sales representatives and through a variety of indirect sales channels, which include value-added resellers, large account resellers, and system integrators. We also sell our products to businesses in more than

Table of Contents

191 countries through authorized distributors and OEMs who incorporate our technologies into their products, bundle our products with their offerings, or serve as authorized resellers of our products. Symantec has nearly 300 distribution partners in its partner program worldwide. Our sales efforts are primarily targeted to senior executives and IT department personnel responsible for managing a company's IT initiatives.

Marketing and Advertising

Based on the acquired VeriSign check mark, Symantec rebranded its logo, which unified the company brand, Norton and Symantec.cloud brands. The new logos are designed to identify our company to both consumers and businesses.

Our marketing expenditures relate primarily to advertising and promotion, which includes demand generation and product brand recognition. Our advertising and promotion efforts include, among other things, electronic and print advertising, trade shows, collateral production, and all forms of direct marketing. We also invest in cooperative marketing campaigns with distributors, resellers, retailers, OEMs, and industry partners.

We invest in various retention marketing and customer loyalty programs to help drive renewals and encourage customer advocacy and referrals. We also provide focused vertical marketing programs in targeted industries and countries.

We typically offer two types of rebate programs within most countries: volume incentive rebates to channel partners and promotional rebates to distributors and end users. Distributors and resellers earn volume incentive rebates primarily based upon the amount of product sales to end users. We also offer rebates to end users who purchase products through various resale channels. Both volume incentive rebates and end-user rebates are accrued as an offset to revenue.

Research and Development

Symantec embraces a global research and development (R&D) strategy to drive organic innovation. Engineers and researchers throughout the company pursue advanced projects to translate R&D into customer solutions by creating new technologies and integrating our unique set of technology assets. Symantec focuses on short, medium, and long-term applied research, develops new products in emerging areas, participates in government-funded research projects, drives industry standards and partners with universities to conduct research supporting Symantec's strategy.

Symantec's Security Technology and Response organization is a global team of security engineers, threat analysts, and researchers that provides the underlying functionality, content, and support for all enterprise, SMB and consumer security products. Our security experts monitor malicious code reports collected through the Global Intelligence Network to provide insight into emerging attacks, malicious code activity, phishing, spam, and other threats. The team uses this vast intelligence to develop new technologies and approaches, such as Symantec's reputation-based security technology, to protect customer information.

Research and development expenses, exclusive of in-process research and development associated with acquisitions, were \$862 million, \$857 million and \$870 million in fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, representing approximately 14%, 14% and 14% of revenue in the respective periods. We believe that technical leadership is essential to our success and we expect to continue to commit substantial resources to research and development.

Support

Symantec has centralized support facilities throughout the world, staffed by technical product experts knowledgeable in the operating environments in which our products are deployed. Our technical support experts assist customers with

issue resolution and threat detection.

Our consumer product support program provides self-help online services, phone, chat, and email support to consumers worldwide. Customers that subscribe to LiveUpdate receive automatic downloads of the latest virus definitions, application bug fixes, and patches for most of our consumer products.

Table of Contents

We provide customers various levels of enterprise support offerings. Our enterprise security support program offers annual maintenance support contracts, including content, upgrades, and technical support. Our standard technical support includes: self-service options, delivered by telephone or electronically, during the contracted-for hours, immediate patches for severe problems; periodic software updates; and access to our technical knowledge base and frequently asked questions.

Customers

In fiscal 2011 and 2010 one distributor, Ingram Micro, accounted for 10% of our total net revenue in both periods. Our distributor arrangements with Ingram Micro consist of several non-exclusive, independently negotiated agreements with its subsidiaries, each of which cover different countries or regions. Each of these agreements is separately negotiated and is independent of any other contract (such as a master distribution agreement), and these agreements are not based on the same form of contract. In fiscal 2009 one reseller, Digital River, accounted for 10% of our total net revenue. In fiscal 2010, we launched an internally-developed eCommerce platform which has reduced our reliance on Digital River.

Acquisitions

Our acquisitions are designed to enhance the features and functionality of our existing products and extend our product leadership in core markets. We consider time to market, synergies with existing products, and potential market share gains when evaluating the economics of acquisitions of technologies, product lines, or companies. We may acquire and/or dispose of other technologies, products and companies in the future.

During fiscal 2011, we completed the following significant acquisitions:

| Company Name | Company Description | Date Acquired |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| VeriSign, Inc. (identity and authentication business assets) | Identity and authentication business assets purchase | August 9, 2010 |
| PGP Corporation | A nonpublic provider of email and data encryption software | June 4, 2010 |
| GuardianEdge Technologies, Inc. | A nonpublic provider of email and data encryption software | June 3, 2010 |

For further discussion of our acquisitions, see Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this annual report.

Competition

Our markets are consolidating, highly competitive, and subject to rapid changes in technology. We are focused on integration across the product portfolio and are including next-generation technology capabilities into our solution set to differentiate ourselves from the competition. We believe that the principal competitive factors necessary to be successful in our industry include time to market, price, reputation, financial stability, breadth of product offerings, customer support, brand recognition, and effective sales and marketing efforts.

In addition to the competition we face from direct competitors, we face indirect or potential competition from retailers, application providers, operating system providers, network equipment manufacturers, and other OEMs, who may provide various solutions and functions in their current and future products. We also compete for access to retail

distribution channels and for spending at the retail level and in corporate accounts. In addition, we compete with other software companies, operating system providers, network equipment manufacturers and other OEMs to acquire technologies, products, or companies and to publish software developed by third parties. We also compete with other software companies in our effort to place our products on the computer equipment sold to consumers and enterprises by OEMs.

The competitive environments in which each segment operates are described below.

Table of Contents

Consumer

Some of the channels in which our consumer products are offered are highly competitive. Our competitors are intensely focused on customer acquisition, which has led such competitors to offer their technology for free, engage in aggressive marketing, or enter into competitive partnerships. Our primary competitors in the Consumer segment are McAfee, Inc., ("MFE") owned by Intel Corporation, and Trend Micro Inc. ("Trend Micro"). There are also several smaller regional security companies and freeware providers that we compete against primarily in the EMEA and APJ regions. For our consumer backup offerings, our primary competitors are Carbonite, Inc., and Mozy, Inc., owned by EMC Corporation ("EMC").

Security and Compliance

In the security and management markets, we compete against many companies that offer competing products to our solutions. Our primary competitors in the security and management market are LANDesk Software, Inc., MFE, Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft"), and Trend Micro. There are also several smaller regional security companies that we compete against primarily in the EMEA and APJ regions. In the authentication services market our primary competitors are RSA, the security division of EMC, Entrust, Inc., Comodo Group, Inc., and GoDaddy.com, Inc.

In the SaaS security market our primary competitors are Google Inc.'s Postini Services and Microsoft.

Storage and Server Management

The markets for storage management and backup are intensely competitive. Our primary competitors are CA, Inc., CommVault Systems, Inc., EMC, Hewlett-Packard Company ("HP"), International Business Machines Corporation ("IBM"), Microsoft and Sun Microsystems, Inc., owned by Oracle Corporation.

Services

We believe that the principal competitive factors for our Services segment include technical capability, customer responsiveness, and our ability to hire and retain talented and experienced services personnel. Our primary competitors in the managed services business are IBM, and SecureWorks, Inc., owned by Dell, Inc.

Intellectual Property

Protective Measures

We regard some of the features of our internal operations, software, and documentation as proprietary and rely on copyright, patent, trademark and trade secret laws, confidentiality procedures, contractual arrangements, and other measures to protect our proprietary information. Our intellectual property is an important and valuable asset that enables us to gain recognition for our products, services, and technology and enhance our competitive position.

As part of our confidentiality procedures, we generally enter into non-disclosure agreements with our employees, distributors, and corporate partners, and we enter into license agreements with respect to our software, documentation, and other proprietary information. These license agreements are generally non-transferable and have a perpetual term. We also educate our employees on trade secret protection and employ measures to protect our facilities, equipment, and networks.

Trademarks, Patents, Copyrights, and Licenses

Symantec and the Symantec logo are trademarks or registered trademarks in the U.S. and other countries. In addition to Symantec and the Symantec logo, we have used, registered, and/or applied to register other specific trademarks and service marks to help distinguish our products, technologies, and services from those of our competitors in the U.S. and foreign countries and jurisdictions. We enforce our trademark, service mark, and trade name rights in the U.S. and abroad. The duration of our trademark registrations varies from country to country, and in the U.S. we generally are able to maintain our trademark rights and renew any trademark registrations for as long as the trademarks are in use.

Table of Contents

We have more than 1,200 U.S. or foreign issued patents and pending patent applications, including patents and rights to patent applications acquired through strategic transactions, which relate to various aspects of our products and technology. The duration of our patents is determined by the laws of the country of issuance and for the U.S. is typically 17 years from the date of issuance of the patent or 20 years from the date of filing of the patent application resulting in the patent, which we believe is adequate relative to the expected lives of our products.

Our products are protected under U.S. and international copyright laws and laws related to the protection of intellectual property and proprietary information. We take measures to label such products with the appropriate proprietary rights notices, and we actively enforce such rights in the U.S. and abroad. However, these measures may not provide sufficient protection, and our intellectual property rights may be challenged. In addition, we license some intellectual property from third parties for use in our products, and generally must rely on the third party to protect the licensed intellectual property rights. While we believe that our ability to maintain and protect our intellectual property rights is important to our success, we also believe that our business as a whole is not materially dependent on any particular patent, trademark, license, or other intellectual property right.

Seasonality

As is typical for many large software companies, our business is seasonal. Software license and maintenance orders are generally higher in our third and fourth fiscal quarters and lower in our first and second fiscal quarters. A significant decline in license and maintenance orders is typical in the first quarter of our fiscal year as compared to license and maintenance orders in the fourth quarter of the prior fiscal year. In addition, we generally receive a higher volume of software license and maintenance orders in the last month of a quarter, with orders concentrated in the later part of that month. We believe that this seasonality primarily reflects customer spending patterns and budget cycles, as well as the impact of compensation incentive plans for our sales personnel. Revenue generally reflects similar seasonal patterns but to a lesser extent than orders because revenue is not recognized until an order is shipped or services are performed and other revenue recognition criteria are met, and because a significant portion of our in-period revenue is provided by the ratable recognition of our deferred revenue balance.

Employees

As of April 1, 2011, we employed more than 18,600 people worldwide, approximately 46 percent of whom reside in the U.S. Approximately 6,700 employees work in sales and marketing; 6,200 in research and development; 4,200 in support and services; and 1,500 in management, manufacturing, and administration.

Other Information

Our Internet address is www.symantec.com. We make available free of charge on our website our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Other than the information expressly set forth in this annual report, the information contained, or referred to, on our website is not part of this annual report.

The public may also read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains a website at www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers, such as us, that file electronically with the SEC.

Item 1A. *Risk Factors*

A description of the risk factors associated with our business is set forth below. The list is not exhaustive and you should carefully consider these risks and uncertainties before investing in our common stock.

Table of Contents

Fluctuations in demand for our products and services are driven by many factors, and a decrease in demand for our products could adversely affect our financial results.

We are subject to fluctuations in demand for our products and services due to a variety of factors, including general economic conditions, competition, product obsolescence, technological change, shifts in buying patterns, financial difficulties and budget constraints of our current and potential customers, levels of broadband usage, awareness of security threats to IT systems, and other factors. While such factors may, in some periods, increase product sales, fluctuations in demand can also negatively impact our product sales. If demand for our products declines, our revenues and gross margin would likely be adversely affected.

If we are unable to develop new and enhanced products and services that achieve widespread market acceptance, or if we are unable to continually improve the performance, features, and reliability of our existing products and services or adapt our business model to keep pace with industry trends, our business and operating results could be adversely affected.

Our future success depends on our ability to respond to the rapidly changing needs of our customers by developing or introducing new products, product upgrades, and services on a timely basis. We have in the past incurred, and will continue to incur, significant research and development expenses as we strive to remain competitive. New product development and introduction involves a significant commitment of time and resources and is subject to a number of risks and challenges including:

Managing the length of the development cycle for new products and product enhancements, which has frequently been longer than we originally expected

Adapting to emerging and evolving industry standards and to technological developments by our competitors and customers

Extending the operation of our products and services to new and evolving platforms, operating systems and hardware products, such as mobile devices.

Entering into new or unproven markets with which we have limited experience

Managing new product and service strategies, including integrating our various security and storage technologies, management solutions, customer service, and support into unified enterprise security and storage solutions

Addressing trade compliance issues affecting our ability to ship new or acquired products

Developing or expanding efficient sales channels

Obtaining sufficient licenses to technology and technical access from operating system software vendors on reasonable terms to enable the development and deployment of interoperable products, including source code licenses for certain products with deep technical integration into operating systems

If we are not successful in managing these risks and challenges, or if our new products, product upgrades, and services are not technologically competitive or do not achieve market acceptance, our business and operating results could be adversely affected.

We operate in a highly competitive environment, and our competitors may gain market share in the markets for our products that could adversely affect our business and cause our revenues to decline.

We operate in intensely competitive markets that experience rapid technological developments, changes in industry standards, changes in customer requirements, and frequent new product introductions and improvements. If we are unable to anticipate or react to these competitive challenges or if existing or new competitors gain market share in any of our markets, our competitive position could weaken and we could experience a drop in revenue that could adversely affect our business and operating results. To compete successfully, we must maintain an innovative research and development effort to develop new products and services and enhance existing products and services, effectively adapt to changes in the technology or product rights held by our competitors, appropriately respond to competitive strategies, and effectively adapt to technological changes and changes in the ways that our information

Table of Contents

is accessed, used, and stored within our enterprise and consumer markets. If we are unsuccessful in responding to our competitors or to changing technological and customer demands, we could experience a negative effect on our competitive position and our financial results.

Our traditional competitors include independent software vendors that offer software products that directly compete with our product offerings. In addition to competing with these vendors directly for sales to end-users of our products, we compete with them for the opportunity to have our products bundled with the product offerings of our strategic partners such as computer hardware OEMs and ISPs. Our competitors could gain market share from us if any of these strategic partners replace our products with the products of our competitors or if these partners more actively promote our competitors' products than our products. In addition, software vendors who have bundled our products with theirs may choose to bundle their software with their own or other vendors' software or may limit our access to standard product interfaces and inhibit our ability to develop products for their platform.

We face growing competition from network equipment, computer hardware manufacturers, large operating system providers and other technology companies. These firms are increasingly developing and incorporating into their products data protection and storage and server management software that competes at some levels with our product offerings. Our competitive position could be adversely affected to the extent that our customers perceive the functionality incorporated into these products as replacing the need for our products.

Security protection is also offered by some of our competitors at prices lower than our prices or, in some cases is bundled for free. Some companies offer the lower-priced or free security products within their computer hardware or software products that we believe are inferior to our products and SaaS offerings. Our competitive position could be adversely affected to the extent that our customers perceive these security products as replacing the need for more effective, full featured products and services such as those that we provide. The expansion of these competitive trends could have a significant negative impact on our sales and financial results.

Another growing industry trend is the SaaS business model, where software vendors develop and host their applications for use by customers over the Internet or through the cloud. This allows enterprises to obtain the benefits of commercially licensed, internally operated software without the associated complexity or high initial set-up and operational costs. Advances in the SaaS business model could enable the growth of our competitors and could affect the success of our traditional software licensing models. Our inability to successfully develop and market new and existing SaaS offerings could cause us to lose business to competitors.

Many of our competitors have greater financial, technical, sales, marketing, or other resources than we do and consequently may have the ability to influence customers to purchase their products instead of ours. Further consolidation within our industry or other changes in the competitive environment, such as Intel Corporation's recently completed acquisition of MFE, could result in larger competitors that compete with us on several levels. We also face competition from many smaller companies that specialize in particular segments of the markets in which we compete.

Defects or disruptions in our SaaS offerings could reduce demand for our services and subject us to substantial liability.

Our SaaS offerings may contain errors or defects that users identify after they begin using them that could result in unanticipated service interruptions, which could harm our reputation and our business. Since our customers use our SaaS offerings for mission-critical protection from threats to electronic information, endpoint devices, and computer networks, any errors, defects, disruptions in service or other performance problems with our SaaS offerings could significantly harm our reputation and may damage our customers' businesses. If that occurs, customers could elect not to renew, or delay or withhold payment to us, we could lose future sales or customers may make warranty or other claims against us, which could result in an increase in our provision for doubtful accounts, an increase in collection

cycles for accounts receivable or the expense and risk of litigation.

Table of Contents

If we fail to manage our sales and distribution channels effectively or if our partners choose not to market and sell our products to their customers, our operating results could be adversely affected.

We sell our products to customers around the world through multi-tiered sales and distribution networks. Sales through these different channels involve distinct risks, including the following:

Direct Sales. A significant portion of our revenues from enterprise products is derived from sales by our direct sales force to end-users. Special risks associated with direct sales include:

Longer sales cycles associated with direct sales efforts

Difficulty in hiring, retaining, and motivating our direct sales force

Substantial amounts of training for sales representatives to become productive, including regular updates to cover new and revised products

Indirect Sales Channels. A significant portion of our revenues is derived from sales through indirect channels, including distributors that sell our products to end-users and other resellers. This channel involves a number of risks, including:

Our lack of control over the timing of delivery of our products to end-users

Our resellers and distributors are generally not subject to minimum sales requirements or any obligation to market our products to their customers

Our reseller and distributor agreements are generally nonexclusive and may be terminated at any time without cause

Our resellers and distributors frequently market and distribute competing products and may, from time to time, place greater emphasis on the sale of these products due to pricing, promotions, and other terms offered by our competitors

Recent consolidation of electronics retailers has increased their negotiating power with respect to hardware and software providers

OEM Sales Channels. A significant portion of our revenues is derived from sales through our OEM partners that incorporate our products into, or bundle our products with, their products. Our reliance on this sales channel involves many risks, including:

Our lack of control over the volume of systems shipped and the timing of such shipments

Our OEM partners are generally not subject to minimum sales requirements or any obligation to market our products to their customers

Our OEM partners may terminate or renegotiate their arrangements with us and new terms may be less favorable due to competitive conditions in our markets and other factors

Sales through our OEM partners are subject to changes in general economic conditions, strategic direction, competitive risks, and other issues that could result in a reduction of OEM sales

The development work that we must generally undertake under our agreements with our OEM partners may require us to invest significant resources and incur significant costs with little or no assurance of ever receiving associated revenues

The time and expense required for the sales and marketing organizations of our OEM partners to become familiar with our products may make it more difficult to introduce those products to the market

Our OEM partners may develop, market, and distribute their own products and market and distribute products of our competitors, which could reduce our sales

If we fail to manage our sales and distribution channels successfully, these channels may conflict with one another or otherwise fail to perform as we anticipate, which could reduce our sales and increase our expenses as well as weaken our competitive position. Some of our distribution partners have experienced financial difficulties in the

Table of Contents

past, and if our partners suffer financial difficulties in the future because of general economic conditions or for other reasons, these partners may delay paying their obligations to us and we may have reduced sales or increased bad debt expense that could adversely affect our operating results. In addition, reliance on multiple channels subjects us to events that could cause unpredictability in demand, which could increase the risk that we may be unable to plan effectively for the future, and could result in adverse operating results in future periods.

We have grown, and may continue to grow, through acquisitions, which gives rise to risks and challenges that could adversely affect our future financial results.

We have in the past acquired, and we expect to acquire in the future, other businesses, business units, and technologies. Acquisitions can involve a number of special risks and challenges, including:

Complexity, time, and costs associated with the integration of acquired business operations, workforce, products, and technologies

Diversion of management time and attention

Loss or termination of employees, including costs associated with the termination or replacement of those employees

Assumption of liabilities of the acquired business, including litigation related to the acquired business

The addition of acquisition-related debt as well as increased expenses and working capital requirements

Dilution of stock ownership of existing stockholders

Substantial accounting charges for restructuring and related expenses, write-off of in-process research and development, impairment of goodwill, amortization of intangible assets, and stock-based compensation expense

If integration of our acquired businesses is not successful, we may not realize the potential benefits of an acquisition or suffer other adverse effects. To integrate acquired businesses, we must implement our technology systems in the acquired operations and integrate and manage the personnel of the acquired operations. We also must effectively integrate the different cultures of acquired business organizations into our own in a way that aligns various interests, and may need to enter new markets in which we have no or limited experience and where competitors in such markets have stronger market positions.

Any of the foregoing, and other factors, could harm our ability to achieve anticipated levels of profitability from acquired businesses or to realize other anticipated benefits of acquisitions.

Risks related to the provision of our SaaS offerings could impair our ability to deliver our services and could expose us to liability.

We currently serve our SaaS-based customers from hosting facilities located across the globe. Any damage to, or failure of, any element of these hosting facilities could result in interruptions in our service, which could harm our customers and expose us to liability. Interruptions or failures in our service delivery could cause customers to terminate their subscriptions with us, could adversely affect our renewal rates, and could harm our ability to attract new customers. Our business would also be harmed if our customers believe that our SaaS offerings are unreliable. As we continue to offer more of our software products in a SaaS-based delivery model, all of these risks could be

exacerbated.

Our SaaS offerings also involve the storage and transmission of customers' proprietary information, and security breaches could expose us to a risk of loss of this information, which could lead to litigation and possible liability. Despite our precautions to protect against such breaches, our security measures could be breached at any time and could result in unauthorized third parties obtaining access to our, or our customers' data. A security breach could also result in a loss of confidence in the security of our SaaS offerings, which could negatively impact our business.

Table of Contents

Our financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected if we do not effectively manage our liabilities.

As a result of the sale of our 0.75% convertible senior notes (0.75% Notes) and 1.00% convertible senior notes (1.00% Notes), collectively referred to as the Convertible Senior Notes in June 2006, and 2.75% senior notes (2.75% Notes) and 4.20% senior notes (4.20% Notes), collectively referred to as the Senior Notes in September 2010, we have notes outstanding in an aggregate principal amount of \$2.7 billion that mature at specific dates in calendar years 2011, 2013, 2015 and 2020. In addition, we have entered into a credit facility with a borrowing capacity of \$1 billion. From time to time in the future, we may also incur indebtedness in addition to the amount available under our credit facility. Our maintenance of substantial levels of debt could adversely affect our flexibility to take advantage of certain corporate opportunities and could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. Of our outstanding Convertible Senior Notes, \$600 million matures and is repayable in June 2011 and \$1.0 billion is due in June 2013. We may be required to use all or a substantial portion of our cash balance to repay these notes on maturity unless we can obtain new financing.

Adverse global economic events may harm our business, operating results and financial condition.

Adverse macroeconomic conditions could negatively affect our business, operating results or financial condition under a number of different scenarios. During challenging economic times and periods of high unemployment, current or potential customers may delay or forgo decisions to license new products or additional instances of existing products, upgrade their existing hardware or operating environments (which upgrades are often a catalyst for new purchases of our software), or purchase services. Customers may also have difficulties in obtaining the requisite third-party financing to complete the purchase of our products and services. An adverse macroeconomic environment could also subject us to increased credit risk should customers be unable to pay us, or delay paying us, for previously purchased products and services. Accordingly, reserves for doubtful accounts and write-offs of accounts receivable may increase. In addition, weakness in the market for end users of our products could harm the cash flow of our distributors and resellers who could then delay paying their obligations to us or experience other financial difficulties. This would further increase our credit risk exposure and, potentially, cause delays in our recognition of revenue on sales to these customers.

In addition, the onset or continuation of adverse economic conditions may make it more difficult either to utilize our existing debt capacity or otherwise obtain financing for our operations, investing activities (including potential acquisitions) or financing activities. Specific economic trends, such as declines in the demand for PCs, servers, and other computing devices, or softness in corporate information technology spending, could have an even more direct, and harmful, impact on our business.

Our international operations involve risks that could increase our expenses, adversely affect our operating results, and require increased time and attention of our management.

We derive a substantial portion of our revenues from customers located outside of the U.S. and we have significant operations outside of the U.S., including engineering, sales, customer support, and production. We plan to expand our international operations, but such expansion is contingent upon the financial performance of our existing international operations as well as our identification of growth opportunities. Our international operations are subject to risks in addition to those faced by our domestic operations, including:

Potential loss of proprietary information due to misappropriation or laws that may be less protective of our intellectual property rights than U.S. laws or that may not be adequately enforced

Requirements of foreign laws and other governmental controls, including trade and labor restrictions and related laws that reduce the flexibility of our business operations

Regulations or restrictions on the use, import, or export of encryption technologies that could delay or prevent the acceptance and use of encryption products and public networks for secure communications

Local business and cultural factors that differ from our normal standards and practices, including business practices that we are prohibited from engaging in by the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and other anti-corruption laws and regulations

Table of Contents

Central bank and other restrictions on our ability to repatriate cash from our international subsidiaries or to exchange cash in international subsidiaries into cash available for use in the U.S.

Fluctuations in currency exchange rates and economic instability such as higher interest rates in the U.S. and inflationary conditions that could reduce our customers' ability to obtain financing for software products or that could make our products more expensive or could increase our costs of doing business in certain countries

Limitations on future growth or inability to maintain current levels of revenues from international sales if we do not invest sufficiently in our international operations

Longer payment cycles for sales in foreign countries and difficulties in collecting accounts receivable

Difficulties in staffing, managing, and operating our international operations, including difficulties related to administering our stock plans in some foreign countries

Difficulties in coordinating the activities of our geographically dispersed and culturally diverse operations

Seasonal reductions in business activity in the summer months in Europe and in other periods in other countries

Costs and delays associated with developing software and providing support in multiple languages

Political unrest, war, or terrorism, or regional natural disasters, particularly in areas in which we have facilities

A significant portion of our transactions outside of the U.S. are denominated in foreign currencies. Accordingly, our revenues and expenses will continue to be subject to fluctuations in foreign currency rates. We expect to be affected by fluctuations in foreign currency rates in the future, especially if international sales continue to grow as a percentage of our total sales or our operations outside the United States continue to increase.

The level of corporate tax from sales to our non-U.S. customers is generally less than the level of tax from sales to our U.S. customers. This benefit is contingent upon existing tax regulations in the U.S. and in the countries in which our international operations are located. Future changes in domestic or international tax regulations could adversely affect our ability to continue to realize these tax benefits.

Our products are complex and operate in a wide variety of computer configurations, which could result in errors or product failures.

Because we offer very complex products, undetected errors, failures, or bugs may occur, especially when products are first introduced or when new versions are released. Our products are often installed and used in large-scale computing environments with different operating systems, system management software, and equipment and networking configurations, which may cause errors or failures in our products or may expose undetected errors, failures, or bugs in our products. Our customers' computing environments are often characterized by a wide variety of standard and non-standard configurations that make pre-release testing for programming or compatibility errors very difficult and time-consuming. In addition, despite testing by us and others, errors, failures, or bugs may not be found in new products or releases until after commencement of commercial shipments. In the past, we have discovered software errors, failures, and bugs in certain of our product offerings after their introduction and, in some cases, have experienced delayed or lost revenues as a result of these errors.

Errors, failures, or bugs in products released by us could result in negative publicity, damage to our brand, product returns, loss of or delay in market acceptance of our products, loss of competitive position, or claims by customers or others. Many of our end-user customers use our products in applications that are critical to their businesses and may have a greater sensitivity to defects in our products than to defects in other, less critical, software products. In addition, if an actual or perceived breach of information integrity or availability occurs in one of our end-user customer's systems, regardless of whether the breach is attributable to our products, the market perception of the effectiveness of our products could be harmed. Alleviating any of these problems could require significant expenditures of our capital and other resources and could cause interruptions, delays, or cessation of our

Table of Contents

product licensing, which could cause us to lose existing or potential customers and could adversely affect our operating results.

If we are unable to attract and retain qualified employees, lose key personnel, fail to integrate replacement personnel successfully, or fail to manage our employee base effectively, we may be unable to develop new and enhanced products and services, effectively manage or expand our business, or increase our revenues.

Our future success depends upon our ability to recruit and retain our key management, technical, sales, marketing, finance, and other critical personnel. Our officers and other key personnel are employees-at-will, and we cannot assure you that we will be able to retain them. Competition for people with the specific skills that we require is significant. In order to attract and retain personnel in a competitive marketplace, we believe that we must provide a competitive compensation package, including cash and equity-based compensation. The volatility in our stock price may from time to time adversely affect our ability to recruit or retain employees. In addition, we may be unable to obtain required stockholder approvals of future increases in the number of shares available for issuance under our equity compensation plans, and accounting rules require us to treat the issuance of employee stock options and other forms of equity-based compensation as compensation expense. As a result, we may decide to issue fewer equity-based incentives and may be impaired in our efforts to attract and retain necessary personnel. If we are unable to hire and retain qualified employees, or conversely, if we fail to manage employee performance or reduce staffing levels when required by market conditions, our business and operating results could be adversely affected.

From time to time, key personnel leave our company. While we strive to reduce the negative impact of such changes, the loss of any key employee could result in significant disruptions to our operations, including adversely affecting the timeliness of product releases, the successful implementation and completion of company initiatives, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and our internal control over financial reporting, and the results of our operations. In addition, hiring, training, and successfully integrating replacement sales and other personnel could be time consuming, may cause additional disruptions to our operations, and may be unsuccessful, which could negatively impact future revenues.

From time to time we are a party to class action lawsuits, which often require significant management time and attention and result in significant legal expenses, and which could, if not determined favorably, negatively impact our business, financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows.

We have been named as a party to class action lawsuits, and we may be named in additional litigation. The expense of defending such litigation may be costly and divert management's attention from the day-to-day operations of our business, which could adversely affect our business, results of operations, and cash flows. In addition, an unfavorable outcome in such litigation could negatively impact our business, results of operations, and cash flows.

Third parties claiming that we infringe their proprietary rights could cause us to incur significant legal expenses and prevent us from selling our products.

From time to time, we receive claims that we have infringed the intellectual property rights of others, including claims regarding patents, copyrights, and trademarks. In addition, former employers of our former, current, or future employees may assert claims that such employees have improperly disclosed to us the confidential or proprietary information of these former employers. Any such claim, with or without merit, could result in costly litigation and distract management from day-to-day operations. If we are not successful in defending such claims, we could be required to stop selling, delay shipments of, or redesign our products, pay monetary amounts as damages, enter into royalty or licensing arrangements, or satisfy indemnification obligations that we have with some of our customers. We cannot assure you that any royalty or licensing arrangements that we may seek in such circumstances will be available to us on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

In addition, we license and use software from third parties in our business. These third party software licenses may not continue to be available to us on acceptable terms or at all, and may expose us to additional liability. This

Table of Contents

liability, or our inability to use any of this third party software, could result in shipment delays or other disruptions in our business that could materially and adversely affect our operating results.

If we do not protect our proprietary information and prevent third parties from making unauthorized use of our products and technology, our financial results could be harmed.

Most of our software and underlying technology is proprietary. We seek to protect our proprietary rights through a combination of confidentiality agreements and procedures and through copyright, patent, trademark, and trade secret laws. However, all of these measures afford only limited protection and may be challenged, invalidated, or circumvented by third parties. Third parties may copy all or portions of our products or otherwise obtain, use, distribute, and sell our proprietary information without authorization. Third parties may also develop similar or superior technology independently by designing around our patents. Our shrink-wrap license agreements are not signed by licensees and therefore may be unenforceable under the laws of some jurisdictions. Furthermore, the laws of some foreign countries do not offer the same level of protection of our proprietary rights as the laws of the U.S., and we may be subject to unauthorized use of our products in those countries. The unauthorized copying or use of our products or proprietary information could result in reduced sales of our products. Any legal action to protect proprietary information that we may bring or be engaged in with a strategic partner or vendor could adversely affect our ability to access software, operating system, and hardware platforms of such partner or vendor, or cause such partner or vendor to choose not to offer our products to their customers. In addition, any legal action to protect proprietary information that we may bring or be engaged in, alone or through our alliances with the Business Software Alliance (BSA), or the Software & Information Industry Association (SIIA), could be costly, may distract management from day-to-day operations, and may lead to additional claims against us, which could adversely affect our operating results.

Some of our products contain open source software, and any failure to comply with the terms of one or more of these open source licenses could negatively affect our business.

Certain of our products are distributed with software licensed by its authors or other third parties under so-called open source licenses, which may include, by way of example, the GNU General Public License (GPL), GNU Lesser General Public License (LGPL), the Mozilla Public License, the BSD License, and the Apache License. Some of these licenses contain requirements that we make available source code for modifications or derivative works we create based upon the open source software, and that we license such modifications or derivative works under the terms of a particular open source license or other license granting third parties certain rights of further use. By the terms of certain open source licenses, we could be required to release the source code of our proprietary software if we combine our proprietary software with open source software in a certain manner. In addition to risks related to license requirements, usage of open source software can lead to greater risks than use of third party commercial software, as open source licensors generally do not provide warranties or controls on origin of the software. We have established processes to help alleviate these risks, including a review process for screening requests from our development organizations for the use of open source, but we cannot be sure that all open source is submitted for approval prior to use in our products. In addition, many of the risks associated with usage of open source cannot be eliminated, and could, if not properly addressed, negatively affect our business.

Our software products and website may be subject to intentional disruption that could adversely impact our reputation and future sales.

Although we believe we have sufficient controls in place to prevent intentional disruptions, we expect to be an ongoing target of attacks specifically designed to impede the performance of our products and harm our reputation as a company. Similarly, experienced computer programmers may attempt to penetrate our network security or the security of our website and misappropriate proprietary information and/or cause interruptions of our services. Because

the techniques used by such computer programmers to access or sabotage networks change frequently and may not be recognized until launched against a target, we may be unable to anticipate these techniques. The theft and/or unauthorized use or publication of our trade secrets and other confidential business information as a result of such an event could adversely affect our competitive position, reputation, brand and future sales of our products, and our customers may assert claims against us related to resulting losses of confidential or proprietary information. Our

Table of Contents

business could be subject to significant disruption, and we could suffer monetary and other losses and reputational harm, in the event of such incidents and claims.

Increased customer demands on our technical support services may adversely affect our relationships with our customers and our financial results.

We offer technical support services with many of our products. We may be unable to respond quickly enough to accommodate short-term increases in customer demand for support services. We also may be unable to modify the format of our support services to compete with changes in support services provided by competitors or successfully integrate support for our customers. Further customer demand for these services, without corresponding revenues, could increase costs and adversely affect our operating results.

We have outsourced a substantial portion of our worldwide consumer support functions to third party service providers. If these companies experience financial difficulties, do not maintain sufficiently skilled workers and resources to satisfy our contracts, or otherwise fail to perform at a sufficient level under these contracts, the level of support services to our customers may be significantly disrupted, which could materially harm our relationships with these customers.

Accounting charges may cause fluctuations in our quarterly financial results.

Our financial results have been in the past, and may continue to be in the future, materially affected by non-cash and other accounting charges, including:

Amortization of intangible assets, including acquired product rights

Impairment of goodwill and other long-lived assets

Stock-based compensation expense

Restructuring charges

Loss on sale of a business and similar write-downs of assets held for sale

For example, during fiscal 2009, we recorded a non-cash goodwill impairment charge of \$7.4 billion, resulting in a significant net loss for the year. Goodwill is evaluated annually for impairment in the fourth quarter of each fiscal year or more frequently if events and circumstances warrant as we determined they did in the third quarter of fiscal 2009, and our evaluation depends to a large degree on estimates and assumptions made by our management. Our assessment of any impairment of goodwill is based on a comparison of the fair value of each of our reporting units to the carrying value of that reporting unit. Our determination of fair value relies on management's assumptions of our future revenues, operating costs, and other relevant factors. If management's estimates of future operating results change, or if there are changes to other key assumptions such as the discount rate applied to future operating results, the estimate of the fair value of our reporting units could change significantly, which could result in a goodwill impairment charge. In addition, we evaluate our other long-lived assets, including intangible assets whenever events or circumstances occur which indicate that the value of these assets might be impaired. If we determine that impairment has occurred, we could incur an impairment charge against the value of these assets.

The foregoing types of accounting charges may also be incurred in connection with or as a result of other business acquisitions. The price of our common stock could decline to the extent that our financial results are materially affected by the foregoing accounting charges.

Our effective tax rate may increase, which could increase our income tax expense and reduce (increase) our net income (loss).

Our effective tax rate could be adversely affected by several factors, many of which are outside of our control, including:

Changes in the relative proportions of revenues and income before taxes in the various jurisdictions in which we operate that have differing statutory tax rates

Table of Contents

Changing tax laws, regulations, and interpretations in multiple jurisdictions in which we operate as well as the requirements of certain tax rulings

The tax effects of purchase accounting for acquisitions and restructuring charges that may cause fluctuations between reporting periods

Tax assessments, or any related tax interest or penalties, could significantly affect our income tax expense for the period in which the settlements take place

The price of our common stock could decline if our financial results are materially affected by an adverse change in our effective tax rate.

We report our results of operations based on our determination of the aggregate amount of taxes owed in the tax jurisdictions in which we operate. From time to time, we receive notices that a tax authority in a particular jurisdiction has determined that we owe a greater amount of tax than we have reported to such authority. We are regularly engaged in discussions and sometimes disputes with these tax authorities. We are engaged in disputes of this nature at this time. If the ultimate determination of our taxes owed in any of these jurisdictions is for an amount in excess of the tax provision we have recorded or reserved for, our operating results, cash flows, and financial condition could be adversely affected.

Fluctuations in our quarterly financial results have affected the price of our common stock in the past and could affect our stock price in the future.

Our quarterly financial results have fluctuated in the past and are likely to vary significantly in the future due to a number of factors, many of which are outside of our control and which could adversely affect our operations and operating results. If our quarterly financial results or our predictions of future financial results fail to meet the expectations of securities analysts and investors, our stock price could be negatively affected. Any volatility in our quarterly financial results may make it more difficult for us to raise capital in the future or pursue acquisitions that involve issuances of our stock. Our operating results for prior periods may not be effective predictors of our future performance.

Factors associated with our industry, the operation of our business, and the markets for our products may cause our quarterly financial results to fluctuate, including:

Reduced demand for any of our products

Entry of new competition into our markets

Competitive pricing pressure for one or more of our classes of products

Our ability to timely complete the release of new or enhanced versions of our products

Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates

The number, severity, and timing of threat outbreaks (e.g. worms and viruses)

Our resellers making a substantial portion of their purchases near the end of each quarter

Enterprise customers tendency to negotiate site licenses near the end of each quarter

Cancellation, deferral, or limitation of orders by customers

Movement in interest rates

The rate of adoption of new product technologies and new releases of operating systems

Weakness or uncertainty in general economic or industry conditions in any of the multiple markets in which we operate that could reduce customer demand and ability to pay for our products and services

Political and military instability, which could slow spending within our target markets, delay sales cycles, and otherwise adversely affect our ability to generate revenues and operate effectively

Table of Contents

Budgetary constraints of customers, which are influenced by corporate earnings and government budget cycles and spending objectives

Disruptions in our business operations or target markets caused by, among other things, earthquakes, floods, or other natural disasters affecting our headquarters located in Silicon Valley, California, an area known for seismic activity, or our other locations worldwide

Acts of war or terrorism

Intentional disruptions by third parties

Health or similar issues, such as a pandemic

Any of the foregoing factors could cause the trading price of our common stock to fluctuate significantly.

Our stock price may be volatile in the future, and you could lose the value of your investment.

The market price of our common stock has experienced significant fluctuations in the past and may continue to fluctuate in the future, and as a result you could lose the value of your investment. The market price of our common stock may be affected by a number of factors, including:

Announcements of quarterly operating results and revenue and earnings forecasts by us that fail to meet or be consistent with our earlier projections or the expectations of our investors or securities analysts

Announcements by either our competitors or customers that fail to meet or be consistent with their earlier projections or the expectations of our investors or securities analysts

Rumors, announcements, or press articles regarding our competitors' operations, management, organization, financial condition, or financial statements

Changes in revenue and earnings estimates by us, our investors, or securities analysts

Accounting charges, including charges relating to the impairment of goodwill

Announcements of planned acquisitions or dispositions by us or by our competitors

Announcements of new or planned products by us, our competitors, or our customers

Gain or loss of a significant customer

Inquiries by the SEC, NASDAQ, law enforcement, or other regulatory bodies

Acts of terrorism, the threat of war, and other crises or emergency situations

Economic slowdowns or the perception of an oncoming economic slowdown in any of the major markets in which we operate

The stock market in general, and the market prices of stocks of technology companies in particular, have experienced extreme price volatility that has adversely affected, and may continue to adversely affect, the market price of our common stock for reasons unrelated to our business or operating results.

Item 1B. *Unresolved Staff Comments*

There are currently no unresolved issues with respect to any Commission staff's written comments that were received at least 180 days before the end of our fiscal year to which this report relates and that relate to our periodic or current reports under the Exchange Act.

Item 2. *Properties*

Our properties consist primarily of owned and leased office facilities for sales, research and development, administrative, customer service, and technical support personnel. Our corporate headquarters is located in Mountain View, California where we occupy facilities totaling 900,000 square feet, of which 724,000 square feet is owned and 176,000 square feet is leased. We also lease an additional 174,000 square feet in the San Francisco

Table of Contents

Bay Area. Our leased facilities are occupied under leases that expire at various times through 2029. The following table presents the approximate square footage of our facilities as of April 1, 2011:

| Location | Approximate Total Square Footage ⁽¹⁾ | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------|
| | Owned | Leased |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Americas | 1,750 | 1,445 |
| Europe, Middle East, and Africa | 285 | 730 |
| Asia Pacific/Japan | | 1,336 |
| Total | 2,035 | 3,511 |

⁽¹⁾ Included in the total square footage above are vacant, available-for-lease properties totaling approximately 400,000 square feet. Total square footage excludes approximately 159,000 square feet relating to facilities subleased to third parties.

We believe that our existing facilities are adequate for our current needs and that the productive capacity of our facilities is substantially utilized.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings*

Information with respect to this Item may be found under the heading *Litigation Contingencies* in Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this annual report which information is incorporated into this Item 3 by reference.

Item 4. *(Removed and Reserved)***PART II****Item 5. *Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities*****Market for Our Common Stock**

Our common stock is traded on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol SYMC. The high and low sales prices set forth below are as reported on the Nasdaq Global Select Market.

| | Fiscal 2011 | | | | Fiscal 2010 | | | |
|------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
| High | \$ 17.47 | \$ 15.75 | \$ 18.24 | \$ 18.80 | \$ 19.16 | \$ 18.28 | \$ 17.71 | \$ 18.17 |
| Low | \$ 13.58 | \$ 12.04 | \$ 14.76 | \$ 16.84 | \$ 16.13 | \$ 15.68 | \$ 14.65 | \$ 13.97 |

As of April 1, 2011, there were 2,405 stockholders of record of Symantec common stock. Symantec has never declared or paid any cash dividends on its capital stock.

Table of Contents**Stock Performance Graph**

This performance graph shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act or otherwise subject to the liabilities under that Section, and shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing of Symantec under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

Comparison of cumulative total return March 31, 2006 to March 31, 2011

The graph below compares the cumulative total stockholder return on Symantec common stock from March 31, 2006 to March 31, 2011 with the cumulative total return on the S&P 500 Composite Index and the S&P Information Technology Index over the same period (assuming the investment of \$100 in Symantec common stock and in each of the other indices on March 31, 2006, and reinvestment of all dividends, although no dividends have been declared on Symantec common stock). The comparisons in the graph below are based on historical data and are not intended to forecast the possible future performance of Symantec common stock.

COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN*
Among Symantec Corporation, The S & P 500 Index
And The S & P Information Technology Index

*\$100 invested on March 31, 2006 in stock or index. Period ending March 31.

| | 3/06 | 3/07 | 3/08 | 3/09 | 3/10 | 3/11 |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Symantec Corporation | 100.00 | 102.79 | 98.75 | 88.77 | 100.58 | 110.16 |
| S & P 500 | 100.00 | 111.83 | 106.15 | 65.72 | 98.43 | 113.83 |
| S & P Information Technology | 100.00 | 103.10 | 102.66 | 71.79 | 113.44 | 126.91 |

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following selected consolidated financial data is derived from our Consolidated Financial Statements. This data should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes included in this annual report and with Item 7, *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*. Historical results may not be indicative of future results.

During the past five fiscal years, we have made the following acquisitions:

Identity and authentication business of VeriSign, Inc. PGP Corporation, and GuardianEdge Technologies, Inc. during fiscal 2011

AppStream, Inc., SwapDrive, Inc., PC Tools Pty. Limited, and MessageLabs Group Limited during fiscal 2009

Altiris Inc. and Vontu Inc. during fiscal 2008

Each of these acquisitions was accounted for as a business purchase and, accordingly, the operating results of these businesses have been included in the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this annual report since their respective dates of acquisition.

Five-Year Summary

| | Fiscal (a,b) | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010(c) | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| | (In millions, except per share data) | | | | |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations Data: | | | | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 6,190 | \$ 5,985 | \$ 6,150 | \$ 5,874 | \$ 5,199 |
| Operating income (loss)(d) | 880 | 933 | (6,470) | 602 | 520 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders(d) | \$ 597 | \$ 714 | \$ (6,786) | \$ 410 | \$ 366 |
| Net income (loss) per share attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders basic(d) | \$ 0.77 | \$ 0.88 | \$ (8.17) | \$ 0.47 | \$ 0.38 |
| Net income (loss) per share attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders diluted(d) | \$ 0.76 | \$ 0.87 | \$ (8.17) | \$ 0.46 | \$ 0.37 |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders basic | 778 | 810 | 831 | 868 | 961 |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders diluted | 786 | 819 | 831 | 884 | 983 |
| Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 2,950 | 3,029 | 1,793 | 1,890 | 2,559 |
| Total assets(d) | 12,719 | 11,232 | 10,638 | 18,085 | 17,743 |
| Senior Notes(e) | 1,097 | | | | |
| Current portion of long-term debt(f) | 596 | | | | |
| Long term Convertible Senior Notes(g) | 890 | 1,871 | 1,766 | 1,669 | 1,578 |
| Other long-term obligations(g) | 79 | 50 | 90 | 106 | 21 |
| Symantec Corporation Stockholders equity | \$ 4,528 | \$ 4,548 | \$ 4,147 | \$ 11,229 | \$ 11,911 |
| Noncontrolling interest in subsidiary | 77 | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Total stockholders' equity | \$ 4,605 | \$ 4,548 | \$ 4,147 | \$ 11,229 | \$ 11,911 |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|

- (a) We have a 52/53-week fiscal year. Fiscal 2011, 2010, 2008 and 2007 were each comprised of 52 weeks of operations. Fiscal 2009 was comprised of 53 weeks of operations.
- (b) The summary reflects adjustments for the retrospective adoption of new authoritative guidance on convertible debt instruments in fiscal 2010.

Table of Contents

- (c) In fiscal 2010, we adopted new authoritative guidance on revenue recognition, which did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. Our joint venture also adopted this guidance during its period ended December 31, 2009, which was applied to the beginning of its fiscal year. As a result of the joint venture's adoption of the guidance, our net income increased by \$12 million during our fiscal 2010.
- (d) During fiscal 2009, we recorded a non-cash goodwill impairment charge of \$7.4 billion.
- (e) In fiscal 2011, we issued \$350 million in principal amount of 2.75% senior notes (2.75% Notes) due September 15, 2015 and \$750 million in principal amount of 4.20% senior notes (4.20% Notes) due September 15, 2020.
- (f) In fiscal 2007, we issued \$1.1 billion principal amount of 0.75% convertible senior notes (0.75% Notes) and \$1.0 billion principal amount of 1.00% convertible senior notes (1.00% Notes). In fiscal 2011, we repurchased \$500 million aggregate principal amount of our 0.75% Notes. Concurrently with this repurchase, we sold a proportionate share of the initial note hedges back to the note hedge counterparties for approximately \$13 million. These transactions resulted in a loss from extinguishment of debt of approximately \$16 million.
- (g) Beginning in fiscal 2008, we entered into OEM placement fee contracts, which is the primary driver for the increase in liabilities.

Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*

OVERVIEW

Our Business

Symantec is a global provider of security, storage and systems management solutions that help businesses and consumers secure and manage their information and identities.

Fiscal Calendar

We have a 52/53-week fiscal year ending on the Friday closest to March 31. Unless otherwise stated, references to fiscal years in this report relate to fiscal year and periods ended April 1, 2011, April 2, 2010 and April 3, 2009. Fiscal 2011 and 2010 each consisted of 52 weeks, while fiscal 2009 consisted of 53 weeks. Our 2012 fiscal year will consist of 52 weeks and will end on March 30, 2012.

Our Operating Segments

Our operating segments are significant strategic business units that offer different products and services, distinguished by customer needs. Since the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, we have operated in five operating segments: Consumer, Security and Compliance, Storage and Server Management, Services, and Other. For further descriptions of our operating segments, see Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this annual report. Our reportable segments are the same as our operating segments.

Financial Results and Trends

Revenue increased by \$205 million for fiscal 2011 compared to fiscal 2010. In fiscal 2011, we experienced growth in our Security and Compliance segment primarily as a result of revenue associated with our fiscal 2011 acquisitions.

During fiscal 2011, we acquired the identity and authentication business of VeriSign, Inc (VeriSign), PGP Corporation (PGP), and GuardianEdge Technologies, Inc. (GuardianEdge) for an aggregate amount of approximately \$1.5 billion, net of cash acquired. We expect that these acquisitions will continue to contribute positively to our revenue in future periods in the Security and Compliance segment. Within our Storage and Server Management segment, sales of our information management products experienced growth while we experienced weakness in our storage management solutions. Consumer segment revenues for fiscal 2011 benefited from the completion of our transition to an internally-developed eCommerce platform for our Norton-branded consumer products worldwide, excluding Japan, during the first quarter of fiscal 2011. The fees we had previously paid to Digital River for operating our online store for these products were recorded as an offset to revenue; however, we

Table of Contents

incur expenses resulting from our eCommerce platform, components of which are recorded as a cost of revenue and an operating expense.

Fluctuations in the U.S. dollar compared to foreign currencies unfavorably impacted our international revenue by approximately \$53 million for fiscal 2011 as compared to fiscal 2010 and favorably impacted our international revenue by approximately \$14 million for fiscal 2010 as compared to fiscal 2009. We are unable to predict the extent to which revenue in future periods will be impacted by changes in foreign currency exchange rates. If our level of international sales and expenses increase in the future, changes in foreign exchange rates may have a potentially greater impact on our revenue and operating results.

Our net income attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders was \$597 million for fiscal 2011 and \$714 million for 2010. Our net income for fiscal 2011 was negatively impacted by a loss of \$21 million from the liquidation of certain foreign entities and \$27 million from the impairment of intangible assets, while net income for fiscal 2010 was positively affected by a gain of \$47 million from the liquidation of certain foreign entities. Our fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2010 net income were positively impacted relative to the preceding year by a decrease of \$119 million and \$128 million, respectively, in cost of revenue primarily related to certain acquired product rights becoming fully amortized. Net income for fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2010 was also positively impacted by tax benefits resulting from the reversal of accrued liabilities and correlative benefits related to the Veritas Software tax assessment for 2000 and 2001 of \$49 million and \$79 million, respectively.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes included in this annual report in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, requires us to make estimates, which include judgments and assumptions, that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We have based our estimates on historical experience and on various assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. We evaluate our estimates on a regular basis and make changes accordingly. Historically, our critical accounting estimates have not differed materially from actual results; however, actual results may differ from these estimates under different conditions. If actual results differ from these estimates and other considerations used in estimating amounts reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this annual report, the resulting changes could have a material adverse effect on our Consolidated Statements of Operations, and in certain situations, could have a material adverse effect on liquidity and our financial condition.

A critical accounting estimate is based on judgments and assumptions about matters that are uncertain at the time the estimate is made. Different estimates that reasonably could have been used or changes in accounting estimates could materially impact the operating results or financial condition. We believe that the estimates described below represent our critical accounting estimates, as they have the greatest potential impact on our consolidated financial statements. See also Note 1 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this annual report.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue primarily pursuant to the requirements under the authoritative guidance on software revenue recognition, and any applicable amendments or modifications. Revenue recognition requirements in the software industry are very complex and require us to make many estimates.

For software arrangements that include multiple elements, including perpetual software licenses and maintenance and/or services, packaged products with content updates, managed security services, and subscriptions, we allocate and defer revenue for the undelivered items based on vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE) of the fair value of

the undelivered elements, and recognize the difference between the total arrangement fee and the amount deferred for the undelivered items as revenue. VSOE of each element is based on the price for which the undelivered element is sold separately. We determine fair value of the undelivered elements based on historical evidence of our stand-alone sales of these elements to third parties or from the stated renewal rate for the undelivered elements. When VSOE does not exist for undelivered items, the entire arrangement fee is recognized

Table of Contents

ratably over the performance period. Our deferred revenue consists primarily of the unamortized balance of enterprise product maintenance, consumer product content updates, managed security services, subscriptions, and arrangements where VSOE does not exist. Deferred revenue totaled approximately \$3.8 billion as of April 1, 2011, of which \$498 million was classified as Long-term deferred revenue in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Changes to the elements in a software arrangement, the ability to identify VSOE for those elements, the fair value of the respective elements, and increasing flexibility in contractual arrangements could materially impact the amount recognized in the current period and deferred over time.

For arrangements that include both software and non-software elements, we allocate revenue to the software deliverables as a group and non-software deliverables based on their relative selling prices. In such circumstances, the accounting principles establish a hierarchy to determine the selling price to be used for allocating revenue to deliverables as follows: (i) VSOE, (ii) third-party evidence of selling price (TPE) and (iii) best estimate of the selling price (ESP). When we are unable to establish a selling price using VSOE or TPE, we use ESP to allocate the arrangement fees to the deliverables.

For our consumer products that include content updates, we recognize revenue and the associated cost of revenue ratably over the term of the subscription upon sell-through to end-users, as the subscription period commences on the date of sale to the end-user. We defer revenue and cost of revenue amounts for unsold product held by our distributors and resellers.

We expect our distributors and resellers to maintain adequate inventory of consumer packaged products to meet future customer demand, which is generally four or six weeks of customer demand based on recent buying trends. We ship product to our distributors and resellers at their request and based on valid purchase orders. Our distributors and resellers base the quantity of orders on their estimates to meet future customer demand, which may exceed the expected level of a four or six week supply. We offer limited rights of return if the inventory held by our distributors and resellers is below the expected level of a four or six week supply. We estimate reserves for product returns as described below. We typically offer liberal rights of return if inventory held by our distributors and resellers exceeds the expected level. Because we cannot reasonably estimate the amount of excess inventory that will be returned, we primarily offset deferred revenue against trade accounts receivable for the amount of revenue in excess of the expected inventory levels.

Arrangements for managed security services and SaaS offerings are generally offered to our customers over a specified period of time, and we recognize the related revenue ratably over the maintenance, subscription, or service period.

Reserves for product returns. We reserve for estimated product returns as an offset to revenue based primarily on historical trends. We fully reserve for obsolete products in the distribution channels as an offset to deferred revenue. Actual product returns may be different than what was estimated. These factors and unanticipated changes in the economic and industry environment could make actual results differ from our return estimates.

Reserves for rebates. We estimate and record reserves for channel and end-user rebates as an offset to revenue. For consumer products that include content updates, rebates are recorded as a ratable offset to revenue over the term of the subscription. Our estimated reserves for channel volume incentive rebates are based on distributors' and resellers' actual performance against the terms and conditions of volume incentive rebate programs, which are typically entered into quarterly. Our reserves for end-user rebates are estimated based on the terms and conditions of the promotional programs, actual sales during the promotion, the amount of actual redemptions received, historical redemption trends by product and by type of promotional program, and the value of the rebate. We also consider current market conditions and economic trends when estimating our reserves for rebates. If actual redemptions differ from our estimates, material differences may result in the amount and timing of our net revenues for any period presented.

Valuation of goodwill, intangible assets and long-lived assets

Business Combination Valuations. When we acquire businesses, we allocate the purchase price to tangible assets and liabilities and identifiable intangible assets acquired. Any residual purchase price is recorded as goodwill. The allocation of the purchase price requires management to make significant estimates in determining the fair

Table of Contents

values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed, especially with respect to intangible assets. These estimates are based on information obtained from management of the acquired companies and historical experience. These estimates can include, but are not limited to:

cash flows that an asset is expected to generate in the future;

expected costs to develop the in-process research and development into commercially viable products and estimated cash flows from the projects when completed;

the acquired company's brand and competitive position, as well as assumptions about the period of time the acquired brand will continue to be used in the combined company's product portfolio;

cost savings expected to be derived from acquiring an asset; and

discount rates.

These estimates are inherently uncertain and unpredictable, and if different estimates were used the purchase price for the acquisition could be allocated to the acquired assets and liabilities differently from the allocation that we have made. In addition, unanticipated events and circumstances may occur which may affect the accuracy or validity of such estimates, and if such events occur we may be required to record a charge against the value ascribed to an acquired asset or an increase in the amounts recorded for assumed liabilities.

Goodwill Impairment. We review goodwill for impairment on an annual basis on the first day of the fourth quarter of each fiscal year, and on an interim basis whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable, at the reporting unit level. Our reporting units are the same as our operating segments. Before performing the goodwill impairment test, we first assess the value of long-lived assets in each reporting unit, including tangible and intangible assets. We then perform a two-step impairment test on goodwill. In the first step, we compare the estimated fair value of equity of each reporting unit to its allocated carrying value (book value) of equity. If the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds the fair value of the equity associated with that unit, there is an indicator of impairment and we must perform the second step of the impairment test. This second step involves determining the implied fair value of that reporting unit's goodwill in a manner similar to the purchase price allocation for an acquired business, using the reporting unit's calculated fair value as an assumed purchase price. If the carrying value of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, then we would record an impairment loss equal to the excess.

The process of estimating the fair value and carrying value of our reporting units' equity requires significant judgment at many points during the analysis. Many assets and liabilities, such as accounts receivable and property and equipment, are not specifically allocated to an individual reporting unit, and therefore, we apply judgment to allocate the assets and liabilities, and this allocation affects the carrying value of the respective reporting units. Similarly, we use judgment to allocate goodwill to the reporting units based on relative fair values. The use of relative fair values has been necessary for certain reporting units due to changes in our operating structure in prior years. To determine a reporting unit's fair value, we use the income approach under which we calculate the fair value of each reporting unit based on the estimated discounted future cash flows of that unit. We evaluate the reasonableness of this approach by comparing it with the market approach, which involves a review of the carrying value of our assets relative to our market capitalization and to the valuation of publicly traded companies operating in the same or similar lines of business.

Applying the income approach requires that we make a number of important estimates and assumptions. We estimate the future cash flows of each reporting unit based on historical and forecasted revenue and operating costs. This, in turn, involves further estimates, such as estimates of future revenue and expense growth rates and foreign exchange

rates. In addition, we apply a discount rate to the estimated future cash flows for the purpose of the valuation. This discount rate is based on the estimated weighted-average cost of capital for each reporting unit and may change from year to year. For example, in our valuation process in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010 we used a lower discount rate than in the prior year due to stabilized risk associated with the global economic conditions. Changes in these key estimates and assumptions, or in other assumptions used in this process, could materially affect our impairment analysis for a given year.

Table of Contents

As of April 1, 2011, our goodwill balance was \$5 billion. Based on the impairment analysis performed on January 4, 2011, we determined that the fair value of each of our reporting units exceeded the carrying value of the unit by more than 10% of the carrying value. While discount rates are only one of several important estimates used in the analysis, we determined that an increase of one percentage point in the discount rate used for each respective reporting unit would not have resulted in an impairment indicator for any unit at the time of this analysis, except for the Security and Compliance reporting unit which would have had a fair value 5% below carrying value. However, we believe that the discount rate applied to the Security and Compliance reporting unit is appropriate and we applied the same discount rate for this reporting unit in fiscal 2011 as we used in fiscal 2010. In addition to the discount rate, the impairment test includes the consideration of a number of estimates, including growth rates, operating margins and cost forecasts, foreign exchange rates and the allocation of certain tangible assets to the reporting units. Based on the results of our impairment test, we do not believe that an impairment indicator exists as of our annual impairment test date.

A number of factors, many of which we have no ability to control, could affect our financial condition, operating results and business prospects and could cause actual results to differ from the estimates and assumptions we employed. These factors include:

- a prolonged global economic crisis;
- a significant decrease in the demand for our products;
- the inability to develop new and enhanced products and services in a timely manner;
- a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate;
- an adverse action or assessment by a regulator;
- successful efforts by our competitors to gain market share in our markets;
- a loss of key personnel;
- our determination to dispose of one or more of our reporting units;
- the testing for recoverability of a significant asset group within a reporting unit; and
- recognition of a goodwill impairment loss.

Intangible Asset Impairment. We assess the impairment of identifiable finite-lived intangible assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that an asset group's carrying amount may not be recoverable. Recoverability of certain finite-lived intangible assets, particularly customer relationships and finite-lived tradenames, would be measured by the comparison of the carrying amount of the asset group to which the assets are assigned to the sum of the undiscounted estimated future cash flows the asset group is expected to generate. If the asset is considered to be impaired, the amount of such impairment would be measured as the difference between the carrying amount of the asset and its fair value. Recoverability and impairment of other finite-lived intangible assets, particularly developed technology and patents, would be measured by the comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to the sum of undiscounted estimated future product revenues offset by estimated future costs to dispose of the product to which the asset relates. For indefinite-lived intangible assets, we review impairment on an annual basis consistent with the timing of the annual evaluation for goodwill. These assets generally include tradenames, trademarks and in-process research and development. Recoverability of indefinite-lived intangible assets would be measured by the comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to the sum of the discounted estimated future cash flows the asset is expected to

generate plus expected royalties. If the asset is considered to be impaired, the amount of such impairment would be measured as the difference between the carrying amount of the asset and its fair value. Our cash flow assumptions are based on historical and forecasted future revenue, operating costs, and other relevant factors. Assumptions and estimates about the remaining useful lives of our intangible assets are subjective and are affected by changes to our business strategies. If management's estimates of future operating results change, or if there are changes to other assumptions, the estimate of the fair value of our identifiable intangible assets could change significantly. Such change could result in impairment charges in future periods, which could have a significant impact on our operating results and financial condition.

Table of Contents

Long-Lived Assets (including Assets Held for Sale). We assess long-lived assets to be held and used for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the long-lived assets may not be recoverable. Based on the existence of one or more indicators of impairment, we assess recoverability of long-lived assets based on a projected undiscounted cash flow method using assumptions determined by management to be commensurate with the risk inherent in our current business model. If an asset is not recoverable, impairment is measured as the difference between the carrying amount and its fair value. Our estimates of cash flows require significant judgment based on our historical and anticipated results and are subject to many factors which could change and cause a material impact to our operating results or financial condition. We record impairment charges on long-lived assets held for sale when we determine that the carrying value of the long-lived assets may not be recoverable. In determining fair value, we obtain and consider market value appraisal information from third-parties.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The assessment of fair value for our financial instruments is based on the authoritative guidance on fair value measurements which establishes a fair value hierarchy that is based on three levels of inputs and requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value.

We use inputs such as actual trade data, benchmark yields, broker/dealer quotes and other similar data which are obtained from independent pricing vendors, quoted market prices or other sources to determine the ultimate fair value of our assets and liabilities. We use such pricing data as the primary input, to which we have not made any material adjustments, to make our assessments and determinations as to the ultimate valuation of our investment portfolio, and we are ultimately responsible for the financial statements and underlying estimates. The fair value and inputs are reviewed for reasonableness, may be further validated by comparison to publicly available information and could be adjusted based on market indices or other information that we deem material to the estimated fair value of our investment portfolio.

As of April 1, 2011, our financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis included \$2.0 billion of assets which consists of cash equivalents invested in money market funds and bank securities. Investments totalling \$1.9 billion were classified as Level 1 and \$204 million were classified as Level 2, which are comprised solely of money market funds and bank securities, respectively.

Valuations for Level 1 securities were based on quoted prices for identical securities in active markets. Determining fair value for Level 1 instruments generally does not require significant management judgment. Valuations for Level 2 securities were based on either (1) the fair value of similar securities or (2) pricing models with all significant inputs derived from or corroborated by observable market prices for identical securities in markets with insufficient volume or infrequent transactions (less active markets).

While determining the fair value for Level 2 instruments does not necessarily require significant management judgment, it generally involves the following degree of judgment and subjectivity: (1) an assessment of an active market for marketable securities generally takes into consideration whether a trading market exists for a given instrument or the level of trading volume for each instrument type and (2) when observable market prices for identical securities or similar securities are not available, we may price marketable securities using: non-binding market consensus prices that are corroborated with observable market data; or pricing models, such as discounted cash flow approaches, with all significant inputs derived from or corroborated with observable market data. The majority of our Level 2 financial instruments were classified as such due to either low trading activity in active markets or no active market existing. For certain financial instruments, identical securities were used to determine fair value. For those securities where no active market existed, amortized cost was used as it approximates their fair value because of their short maturities.

As of April 1, 2011, we had no financial instruments with unobservable inputs classified in Level 3 under the hierarchy set forth under the authoritative guidance on fair value measurements. Level 3 instruments generally would include those for which unobservable inputs used in the valuation methodology are significant to the measurement of fair value of assets or liabilities. The determination of fair value for Level 3 instruments requires the most management judgment and subjectivity.

Table of Contents

Stock-based Compensation

We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with the authoritative guidance on stock compensation. Under the fair value recognition provisions of this guidance, stock-based compensation is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period, which is generally the vesting period of the respective award.

Determining the fair value of stock-based awards, primarily stock options, at the grant date requires judgment. We use the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to determine the fair value of stock options. The determination of the grant date fair value of options using an option-pricing model is affected by our stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of complex and subjective variables. These variables include our expected stock price volatility over the expected life of the options, actual and projected employee stock option exercise and cancellation behaviors, risk-free interest rates, and expected dividends.

We estimate the expected life of options granted based on an analysis of our historical experience of employee exercise and post-vesting termination behavior considered in relation to the contractual life of the option. Expected volatility is based on the average of historical volatility for the period commensurate with the expected life of the option and the implied volatility of traded options. The risk free interest rate is equal to the U.S. Treasury constant maturity rates for the period equal to the expected life. We do not currently pay cash dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate doing so in the foreseeable future. Accordingly, our expected dividend yield is zero.

In accordance with the authoritative guidance on stock compensation, we only record stock-based compensation expense for awards that are expected to vest. As a result, judgment is also required in estimating the amount of stock-based awards that are expected to be forfeited. Although we estimate forfeitures based on historical experience, actual forfeitures may differ. If actual results differ significantly from these estimates, stock-based compensation expense and our results of operations could be materially impacted when we record an adjustment for the difference in the period that the awards vest or are forfeited.

Contingencies and Litigation

We evaluate contingent liabilities including threatened or pending litigation in accordance with the authoritative guidance on contingencies. We assess the likelihood of any adverse judgments or outcomes from a potential claim or legal proceeding, as well as potential ranges of probable losses, when the outcomes of the claims or proceedings are probable and reasonably estimable. A determination of the amount of accrued liabilities required, if any, for these contingencies is made after the analysis of each separate matter. Because of uncertainties related to these matters, we base our estimates on the information available at the time of our assessment. As additional information becomes available, we reassess the potential liability related to our pending claims and litigation and may revise our estimates. Any revisions in the estimates of potential liabilities could have a material impact on our operating results and financial position.

Income Taxes

We are required to compute our income taxes in each federal, state, and international jurisdiction in which we operate. This process requires that we estimate the current tax exposure as well as assess temporary differences between the accounting and tax treatment of assets and liabilities, including items such as accruals and allowances not currently deductible for tax purposes. The income tax effects of the differences we identify are classified as current or long-term deferred tax assets and liabilities in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. Our judgments, assumptions, and estimates relative to the current provision for income tax take into account current tax laws, our interpretation of current tax laws, and possible outcomes of current and future audits conducted by foreign and domestic tax authorities. Changes

in tax laws or our interpretation of tax laws and the resolution of current and future tax audits could significantly impact the amounts provided for income taxes in our Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statements of Operations.

We account for uncertain tax issues pursuant to authoritative guidance based on a two-step approach to recognize and measure uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The first step is to determine if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the tax position will be

Table of Contents

sustained on audit, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes. The second step is to measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement. We adjust reserves for our uncertain tax positions due to changing facts and circumstances, such as the closing of a tax audit, refinement of estimates, or realization of earnings or deductions that differ from our estimates. To the extent that the final outcome of these matters is different than the amounts recorded, such differences will impact our tax provision in our Consolidated Statements of Operations in the period in which such determination is made.

We must also assess the likelihood that deferred tax assets will be realized from future taxable income and, based on this assessment establish a valuation allowance, if required. Our determination of our valuation allowance is based upon a number of assumptions, judgments, and estimates, including forecasted earnings, future taxable income, and the relative proportions of revenue and income before taxes in the various domestic and international jurisdictions in which we operate. To the extent we establish a valuation allowance or change the valuation allowance in a period, we reflect the change with a corresponding increase or decrease to our tax provision in our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

In July 2008, we reached an agreement with the IRS concerning our eligibility to claim a lower tax rate on a distribution made from a Veritas foreign subsidiary prior to the July 2005 acquisition. The distribution was intended to be made pursuant to the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, and therefore eligible for a 5.25% effective U.S. federal rate of tax, in lieu of the 35% statutory rate. The final impact of this agreement remains uncertain since this relates to the taxability of earnings that are otherwise the subject of the transfer pricing matters at issue in the IRS examination of Veritas tax years 2002 through 2005. To the extent that we owe taxes as a result of these transfer pricing matters in years prior to the distribution, we anticipate that the incremental tax due from this negotiated agreement will decrease. We currently estimate that the most probable outcome from this negotiated agreement will be that we will owe \$13 million or less, for which an accrual has already been made.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**Total Net Revenue**

| | Fiscal 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 \$ % | Fiscal 2010 | 2010 vs. 2009 \$ % | Fiscal 2009 |
|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| | (\$ in millions) | | | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 6,190 | \$ 205 3% | \$ 5,985 | \$ (165) (3)% | \$ 6,150 |

Net revenue increased for fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010, primarily due to an increase in Content, subscription, and maintenance revenue for the reasons discussed above under **Financial Results and Trends**, partially offset by a slight decline in License revenue.

Net revenue decreased for fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009, primarily due to a decrease in License revenue partially offset by an increase in Content, subscription, and maintenance revenue. The net decrease was primarily due to decreased license revenue as a result of the overall market weakness in server sales and tight IT spending due to the global economic slowdown and the uncertainty surrounding the acquisition of Sun Microsystems, Inc. by Oracle Corporation.

Content, subscription, and maintenance revenue

| | Fiscal 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 \$ % | Fiscal 2010 (\$ in millions) | 2010 vs. 2009 \$ % | Fiscal 2009 |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Content, subscription, and maintenance revenue | \$ 5,266 | \$ 232 5% | \$ 5,034 | \$ 171 4% | \$ 4,863 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 85% | | 84% | | 79% |

Content, subscription, and maintenance revenue increased for fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010, primarily due to sales increases in our Consumer, Security and Compliance, and Storage and Server Management

Table of Contents

segments for the reasons discussed above under **Financial Results and Trends**, partially offset by foreign exchange effects.

Content, subscription, and maintenance revenue increased for fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009, as a result of strength in our Consumer segment primarily due to increases in revenue from acquired security products and the gradual global ramp up of our eCommerce platform.

License revenue

| | Fiscal 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 \$ % | Fiscal 2010 (\$ in millions) | 2010 vs. 2009 \$ % | Fiscal 2009 |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| License revenue | \$ 924 | \$ (27) (3)% | \$ 951 | \$ (336) (26)% | \$ 1,287 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 15% | | 16% | | 21% |

License revenue decreased for fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010, primarily due to a decline in revenue from our Storage and Server Management segment, partially offset by an increase in revenue from our Security and Compliance segment.

License revenue decreased for fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009, primarily due to the global economic slowdown and customers emphasizing purchases of smaller volumes of new licenses consistent with their near term needs.

Net revenue and operating income by segment***Consumer Segment***

| | Fiscal 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 | | Fiscal 2010 | 2010 vs. 2009 | | Fiscal 2009 |
|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------|----|----------------|---------------|------|----------------|
| | | \$ | % | | \$ | % | |
| | (\$ in millions) | | | | | | |
| Consumer revenue | \$ 1,953 | \$ 82 | 4% | \$ 1,871 | \$ 98 | 6% | \$ 1,773 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 32% | | | 31% | | | 29% |
| Consumer operating income | \$ 899 | \$ 39 | 5% | \$ 860 | \$ (88) | (9)% | \$ 948 |
| Percentage of Consumer revenue | 46% | | | 46% | | | 53% |

Consumer revenue increased for fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010, primarily due to the reasons discussed above under **Financial Results and Trends** as well as increased sales of our premium security suite. Our electronic channel sales are derived from online sales (which include new subscriptions, renewals, and upgrades), OEMs, and ISPs. For fiscal 2011, electronic channel revenue increased as compared to fiscal 2010.

Operating income for the Consumer segment increased for fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010, due to increased revenue, partially offset by costs associated with the deployment of our new proprietary eCommerce platform.

Consumer revenue increased for fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009, primarily due to increases in revenue from acquired security products and our core consumer products in the electronic channel.

Operating income for the Consumer segment decreased for fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009, as expense growth outpaced revenue growth. Total expenses for the segment increased primarily as a result of the higher OEM placement fees and costs associated with our development and operation of our new proprietary eCommerce platform.

Table of Contents*Security and Compliance Segment*

| | Fiscal 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 \$ % | | Fiscal 2010 (\$ in millions) | 2010 vs. 2009 \$ % | | Fiscal 2009 |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| Security and Compliance revenue | \$ 1,566 | \$ 155 11% | | \$ 1,411 | \$ (39) (3)% | | \$ 1,450 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 25% | | | 24% | | | 24% |
| Security and Compliance operating income | \$ 243 | \$ (128) (35)% | | \$ 371 | \$ (69) (16)% | | \$ 440 |
| Percentage of Security and Compliance revenue | 16% | | | 26% | | | 30% |

Security and Compliance revenue increased for fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010, due to increases in revenue from our 2011 acquisitions.

Security and Compliance operating income decreased for fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010, due to increased expenses related to our fiscal 2011 acquisitions and higher sales commissions associated with the increase in deferred revenue in 2011. Our operating margins were adversely impacted by our fiscal 2011 acquisitions, largely because we were required under the purchase accounting rules to reduce the amount of deferred revenue that we recorded in connection with these acquisitions to an amount equal to the fair value of our estimated cost to fulfill the contractual obligations related to that deferred revenue. This deferred revenue adjustment negatively affected our operating margins because we recognized a lower portion of the revenue from these acquisitions (representing our estimated cost to fulfill the contractual obligations plus a normal margin), but we incurred all of the revenue-related expenses.

Security and Compliance revenue decreased for fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009, as a result of decreased demand due to reduced corporate IT budgets and slowed spending, partially offset by increases in revenue from acquired security products.

Operating income for the segment decreased for fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009, as revenue decreased while expenses increased as a result of our fiscal 2009 acquisitions, partially offset by our cost containment measures.

Storage and Server Management Segment

| | Fiscal 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 \$ % | | Fiscal 2010 (\$ in millions) | 2010 vs. 2009 \$ % | | Fiscal 2009 |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| Storage and Server Management segment | \$ 2,307 | \$ 20 1% | | \$ 2,287 | \$ (206) (8)% | | \$ 2,493 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 37% | | | 38% | | | 40% |
| Storage and Server Management operating income | \$ 1,063 | \$ (34) (3)% | | \$ 1,097 | \$ 16 1% | | \$ 1,081 |
| Percentage of Storage and Server Management revenue | 46% | | | 48% | | | 43% |

Storage and Server Management revenue increased for fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010, due to an increase in revenue from the information management group, partially offset by a decrease in storage management revenue.

Storage and Server Management operating income decreased for fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010, due to the reasons discussed above under Financial Results and Trends.

Storage and Server Management revenue decreased for fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009, primarily due to the overall market weakness in server sales and our customers buying smaller volumes of new licenses consistent with their near term needs, particularly with respect to our storage management products.

Operating income for the Storage and Server Management segment increased for fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009, as the decrease in expenses more than offset the decrease in revenue due to our ongoing focus on cost efficiency.

Table of Contents*Services Segment*

| | Fiscal 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 \$ % | | Fiscal 2010 | 2010 vs. 2009 \$ % | | Fiscal 2009 |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------|------------------------|
| | (\$ in millions) | | | | | | |
| Services segment | \$ 364 | \$ (52) | (13)% | \$ 416 | \$ (17) | (4)% | \$ 433 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 6% | | | 7% | | | 7% |
| Services operating income (loss) | \$ 24 | \$ (18) | (43)% | \$ 42 | \$ 9 | 27% | \$ 33 |
| Percentage of Services revenue | 7% | | | 10% | | | 8% |

Services revenue and operating income decreased for fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010, as we continue to support the transition to our partner led consulting program while we focus on our core software business.

Services revenue decreased for fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009, primarily due to a reduction in consulting revenue associated with new license sales.

Operating income for the Services segment increased for fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009, as various cost control initiatives led to better margins.

Other segment

| | Fiscal 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 \$ % | | Fiscal 2010 | 2010 vs. 2009 \$ % | | Fiscal 2009 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|------------------------|
| | (\$ in millions) | | | | | | |
| Other segment | \$ | \$ | NA | \$ | \$ (1) | (100)% | \$ 1 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% |
| Other operating loss | \$ (1,349) | \$ 88 | * | \$ (1,437) | \$ 7,535 | * | \$ (8,972) |
| Percentage of Other revenue | * | | | * | | | * |

* Percentage not meaningful

The Other segment includes general and administrative expenses; amortization of acquired product rights, intangible assets, and other assets; goodwill and intangible impairment charges; charges such as stock-based compensation, restructuring and transition; and certain indirect costs that are not charged to the other operating segments. The improvement of the operating loss for the Other segment for fiscal 2011 compared to fiscal 2010 was primarily due to the items discussed above under Financial Results and Trends. The operating loss of our Other segment for fiscal 2009 primarily consisted of a non-cash goodwill impairment charge of \$7.4 billion.

Net revenue by geographic region

| Fiscal 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 \$ % | Fiscal 2010 | 2010 vs. 2009 \$ % | Fiscal 2009 |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|

(\$ in millions)

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------|----------|---------|------|----------|----------|------|----------|
| Americas (U.S., Canada and Latin America) | \$ 3,388 | \$ 147 | 5% | \$ 3,241 | \$ (75) | (2)% | \$ 3,316 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 55% | | | 54% | | | 54% |
| EMEA (Europe, Middle East, Africa) | \$ 1,773 | \$ (65) | (4)% | \$ 1,838 | \$ (120) | (6)% | \$ 1,958 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 29% | | | 31% | | | 32% |
| Asia Pacific/Japan | \$ 1,029 | \$ 123 | 14% | \$ 906 | \$ 30 | 3% | \$ 876 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 16% | | | 15% | | | 14% |
| Total net revenue | \$ 6,190 | | | \$ 5,985 | | | \$ 6,150 |

Fluctuations in the U.S. dollar compared to foreign currencies unfavorably impacted our international revenue by approximately \$53 million for fiscal 2011 as compared to fiscal 2010 and favorably impacted our international revenue by approximately \$14 million for fiscal 2010 as compared to fiscal 2009.

Table of Contents

Americas revenue increased for fiscal 2011 as compared to fiscal 2010, primarily due to increased revenue from our Consumer and Security and Compliance segments, partially offset by decreased revenue from our Services segment.

EMEA revenue decreased for fiscal 2011 as compared to fiscal 2010, primarily due to an unfavorable impact of the change in foreign currency exchange rates in the EMEA region relative to the U.S. dollar, partially offset by increased revenue from our Security and Compliance segment.

Asia Pacific/Japan revenue increased for fiscal 2011 as compared to fiscal 2010, primarily due to a favorable impact of the change in foreign currency exchange rates in the Asia Pacific/Japan region relative to the U.S. dollar, and strength in sales in our Security and Compliance and Storage and Server Management segments.

Americas revenue decreased for fiscal 2010 as compared to fiscal 2009 primarily due to decreased revenue related to our Storage and Server Management, Security and Compliance and Services segments, partially offset by increased revenue related to our Consumer segment.

EMEA revenue decreased for fiscal 2010 as compared to fiscal 2009 primarily due to decreased revenue across all of our segments, particularly Storage and Server Management.

Asia Pacific Japan revenue increased for fiscal 2010 as compared to fiscal 2009 primarily due to increased revenue related to our Consumer and Security and Compliance segments, partially offset by decreased revenue in our Storage and Server Management segment.

Our international sales are and will continue to be a significant portion of our net revenue. As a result, net revenue will continue to be affected by foreign currency exchange rates as compared to the U.S. dollar. We are unable to predict the extent to which revenue in future periods will be impacted by changes in foreign currency exchange rates. If international sales become a greater portion of our total sales in the future, changes in foreign currency exchange rates may have a potentially greater impact on our revenue and operating results.

Cost of Revenue

| | Fiscal 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 \$ % | Fiscal 2010 | 2010 vs. 2009 \$ % | Fiscal 2009 | |
|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| | (\$ in millions) | | | | | |
| Cost of revenue | \$ 1,045 | \$ (60) | (5)% | \$ 1,105 | \$ (122) | (10)% |
| Gross margin | 83% | | | 82% | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Cost of revenue consists primarily of the amortization of acquired product rights, fee-based technical support costs, fulfillment costs, costs of billable services, payments to OEMs under revenue-sharing arrangements, manufacturing, direct material costs, and royalties paid to third parties under technology licensing agreements.

Cost of revenue decreased for fiscal 2011 compared to fiscal 2010, and for fiscal 2010 compared to fiscal 2009, primarily due to a decrease in amortization of certain acquired product rights related to our acquisition of Veritas in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2011. The decrease for fiscal 2011 compared to fiscal 2010 was partially offset by increases in costs related to our fiscal 2011 acquisitions, fee-based technical support, and fulfillment costs.

Cost of content, subscription, and maintenance

| | Fiscal 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 \$ % | Fiscal 2010 | 2010 vs. 2009 \$ % | Fiscal 2009 |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| | (\$ in millions) | | | | |
| Cost of content, subscription, and maintenance | \$ 903 | \$ 54 6% | \$ 849 | \$ 9 1% | \$ 840 |
| As a percentage of related revenue | 17% | | 17% | | 17% |

Cost of content, subscription, and maintenance consists primarily of fee-based technical support costs, costs of billable services, and payments to OEMs under revenue-sharing agreements.

Table of Contents

Cost of content, subscription, and maintenance increased for fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010, due to increases in fee-based technical support and fulfillment costs. Cost of content, subscription, and maintenance as a percentage of related revenue remained consistent for fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010.

Cost of content, subscription, and maintenance as a percentage of related revenue remained relatively consistent for fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009, as increases in royalty, technical support and fulfillment costs were partially offset by decreases in services and distribution costs for the respective periods.

Cost of license

| | Fiscal 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 | Fiscal 2010 | 2010 vs. 2009 | Fiscal 2009 | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------|-------|
| | | \$ | % | \$ | % | | |
| | (\$ in millions) | | | | | | |
| Cost of license | \$ 27 | \$ 5 | 23% | \$ 22 | \$ (13) | (37)% | \$ 35 |
| As a percentage of related revenue | 3% | | | 2% | | | 3% |

Cost of license consists primarily of royalties paid to third parties under technology licensing agreements, manufacturing and direct material costs.

Cost of license remained consistent as a percentage of the related revenue for fiscal 2011 as compared to fiscal 2010, and for fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009.

Amortization of acquired product rights

| | Fiscal 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 | | Fiscal 2010 | 2010 vs. 2009 | | Fiscal 2009 |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------|------------------------|----------------------|----------|------------------------|
| | | \$ | % | | \$ | % | |
| | (\$ in millions) | | | | | | |
| Amortization of acquired product rights | \$ 115 | \$ (119) | (51)% | \$ 234 | \$ (118) | (34)% | \$ 352 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 2% | | | 4% | | | 6% |

Acquired product rights are comprised of developed technologies and patents from acquired companies. The decrease in amortization for fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010, was primarily due to certain acquired product rights related to our acquisition of Veritas becoming fully amortized during the first quarters of fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2011. This decrease was partially offset by additional amortization from product rights acquired from VeriSign, PGP, and GuardianEdge during fiscal 2011.

The decrease in amortization for fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009, was primarily due to certain acquired product rights from our acquisition of Veritas becoming fully amortized during the first quarter of our fiscal 2010. This decrease was partially offset by additional amortization from product rights acquired from SwapDrive, PC Tools, and MessageLabs during fiscal 2009.

Operating Expenses***Operating expenses overview***

| | Fiscal 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 \$ % | | Fiscal 2010 | 2010 vs. 2009 \$ % | | Fiscal 2009 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| | | | | (\$ in millions) | | | |
| Sales and marketing expense | \$ 2,622 | \$ 255 11% | | \$ 2,367 | \$ (19) (1)% | | \$ 2,386 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 42% | | | 40% | | | 39% |
| Research and development expense | \$ 862 | \$ 5 1% | | \$ 857 | \$ (13) (1)% | | \$ 870 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 14% | | | 14% | | | 14% |
| General and administrative expense | \$ 390 | \$ 38 11% | | \$ 352 | \$ 9 3% | | \$ 343 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 6% | | | 6% | | | 6% |

Sales and marketing expense increased for fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010, primarily due to our fiscal 2011 acquisitions, higher sales commissions associated with increased deferred revenue in fiscal 2011, and increased costs associated with the deployment of our new proprietary eCommerce platform.

Table of Contents

Sales and marketing expense remained relatively flat during fiscal 2010 as compared to fiscal 2009. Fiscal 2010 sales and marketing expense reflects the impact of our prior year restructuring plan, partially offset by increases in headcount related expenses from our fiscal 2009 acquisitions and increases in Consumer OEM placement fees and costs associated with the development and operations of our new proprietary eCommerce platform.

Research and development expense remained relatively flat as a percentage of revenue in fiscal 2011, 2010, and 2009.

General and administrative expense increased for fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010, primarily due to our fiscal 2011 acquisitions. As a percentage of revenue, general and administrative expense remained flat in fiscal 2011, 2010, and 2009.

Amortization of other purchased intangible assets

| | Fiscal 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 \$ % | Fiscal 2010 | 2010 vs. 2009 \$ % | Fiscal 2009 |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| | (\$ in millions) | | | | |
| Amortization of other purchased intangible assets | \$ 270 | \$ 23 9% | \$ 247 | \$ 14 6% | \$ 233 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 4% | | 4% | | 4% |

Other purchased intangible assets are comprised of customer relationships and tradenames. The increase in amortization of other purchased intangible assets for fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010, was primarily due to our acquisition of VeriSign's identity and authentication business. As a percentage of net revenue, amortization of other purchased intangible assets remained relatively consistent for fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010.

Amortization for fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009, increased as a result of our fiscal 2009 acquisitions. As a percentage of net revenue, amortization of other purchased intangible assets remained relatively consistent for fiscal 2010 compared to fiscal 2009.

Restructuring and transition

| | Fiscal 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 \$ % | Fiscal 2010 | 2010 vs. 2009 \$ % | Fiscal 2009 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| | (\$ in millions) | | | | |
| Severance | \$ 47 | | \$ 56 | | \$ 64 |
| Facilities | 27 | | 10 | | 11 |
| Transition and other costs | 18 | | 28 | | 21 |
| Restructuring and transition | \$ 92 | \$ (2) (2)% | \$ 94 | \$ (2) (2)% | \$ 96 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 1% | | 2% | | 2% |

The restructuring and transition charges for fiscal 2011 primarily consisted of severance and facilities charges related to the 2011 Restructuring Plan (2011 Plan), the 2010 Restructuring Plan (2010 Plan), and transition costs related to certain back office functions.

Total remaining costs are estimated to range from \$10 million to \$18 million, primarily for the 2011 Plan and 2010 Plan. For further information on restructuring, see Note 7 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents***Impairment of intangible assets and goodwill and Loss and impairment of assets held for sale***

| | Fiscal 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 \$ % | | Fiscal 2010 (\$ in millions) | 2010 vs. 2009 \$ % | | Fiscal 2009 |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------|
| Impairment of intangible assets and goodwill | \$ 27 | \$ 27 | NA | \$ | \$ (7,419) | 100% | \$ 7,419 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 0% | | | 0% | | | 121% |
| Loss and impairment of assets held for sale | \$ 2 | \$ (28) | (93)% | \$ 30 | \$ (16) | (35)% | \$ 46 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 0% | | | 1% | | | 1% |

During fiscal 2011, we recorded an impairment of \$27 million which reduced the gross carrying value of indefinite-lived tradenames. This impairment charge was due to reductions in expected future cash flows for certain indefinite-lived tradenames related to the Consumer segment. This impairment charge was recorded within Impairment of intangible assets and goodwill on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

During fiscal 2010 and 2009, we recognized impairments of \$20 million and \$46 million, respectively, on certain land and buildings classified as held for sale. The impairments were recorded in accordance with the authoritative guidance that requires a long-lived asset classified as held for sale to be measured at the lower of its carrying amount or fair value, less cost to sell. Also, in fiscal 2010, we sold assets for \$42 million which resulted in losses of \$10 million. We sold properties in fiscal 2009 for \$40 million with an immaterial loss.

During fiscal 2009, we concluded that there were impairment indicators, including the challenging economic environment and a decline in our market capitalization, which required us to perform an interim goodwill impairment analysis. As a result, we incurred a total impairment charge of \$7.4 billion for fiscal 2009.

Non-operating income and expense

| | Fiscal 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 \$ % | | Fiscal 2010 (\$ in millions) | 2010 vs. 2009 \$ % | | Fiscal 2009 |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------|
| Interest income | \$ 10 | | | \$ 6 | | | \$ 37 |
| Interest expense | (143) | | | (129) | | | (125) |
| Other (expense) income, net | (2) | | | 55 | | | 8 |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | (16) | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ (151) | \$ (83) | 122% | \$ (68) | \$ 12 | (15)% | \$ (80) |
| Percentage of total net revenue | (2)% | | | (1)% | | | (1)% |

The increase in interest expense during fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010, is due to the Senior Notes issued in the second quarter of fiscal 2011. Other (expense) income, net for fiscal 2011 includes a \$21 million loss from the liquidation of certain foreign legal entities, partially offset by a realized gain on marketable securities. Other (expense)

income, net for fiscal 2010 included net gains of \$47 million from the liquidation of certain foreign legal entities. The Loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$16 million was due to the repurchase of \$500 million of aggregate principal amount of the 0.75% Notes due on June 15, 2011. See Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The decrease in interest income during fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009, was due to a lower average yield on our invested cash and short-term investment balances. Interest expense for fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009, remained relatively consistent. Other (expense) income, net for fiscal 2010 included net gains of \$47 million from the liquidation of certain foreign legal entities. The liquidations resulted in the release of cumulative translation adjustments from accumulated other comprehensive income related to these entities.

Table of Contents**Provision for income taxes**

| | 2011 | Fiscal 2010 (\$ in millions) | 2009 |
|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|--------|
| Provision for income taxes | \$ 105 | \$ 112 | \$ 183 |
| Effective tax rate on earnings | 14% | 13% | (3)% |

Our effective tax rate was approximately 14%, 13%, and (3)% in fiscal 2011, fiscal 2010, and 2009, respectively.

The tax expense in fiscal 2011 was reduced by the following benefits: (1) \$49 million arising from the Veritas v Commissioner Tax Court decision further discussed below, (2) \$15 million from the reduction of our valuation allowance for certain deferred tax assets, and (3) \$21 million tax benefit from lapses of statutes of limitation, and (4) \$7 million tax benefit from the conclusion of U.S. and foreign audits.

The tax expense in fiscal 2010 was significantly reduced by the following benefits: (1) \$79 million tax benefit arising from the *Veritas v. Commissioner* Tax Court decision, (2) \$11 million tax benefit from the reduction of our valuation allowance for certain deferred tax assets, (3) \$17 million tax benefit from lapses of statutes of limitation, (4) \$9 million tax benefit from the conclusion of U.S. and foreign audits, (5) \$7 million tax benefit to adjust taxes provided in prior periods, and (6) \$6 million tax benefit from current year discrete events. The change in the valuation allowance follows discussions with Irish Revenue in the third quarter of fiscal 2010, the result of which accelerates the timing of the use of certain Irish tax loss carryforwards in the future. The tax expense in fiscal 2009 was materially impacted by the inclusion of a \$56 million tax benefit associated with the \$7.0 billion impairment of goodwill in the third quarter of fiscal 2009.

The effective tax rates for all periods presented otherwise reflects the benefits of lower-taxed foreign earnings and losses from our joint venture with Huawei Technologies Co., Limited, domestic manufacturing incentives, and research and development credits, partially offset by state income taxes. Substantially all of the foreign earnings were generated by subsidiaries in Ireland and Singapore.

As a result of the impairment of goodwill in fiscal 2009, we have cumulative pre-tax book losses, as measured by the current and prior two years. We considered the negative evidence of this cumulative pre-tax book loss position on our ability to continue to recognize deferred tax assets that are dependent upon future taxable income for realization. Levels of future taxable income are subject to the various risks and uncertainties discussed in Part I, Item 1A, *Risk Factors*, set forth in this annual report. We considered the following as positive evidences: the vast majority of the goodwill impairing is not deductible for tax purposes and thus will not result in tax losses; we have a strong, consistent taxpaying history; we have substantial U.S. federal income tax carryback potential; and we have substantial amounts of scheduled future reversals of taxable temporary differences from our deferred tax liabilities. We have concluded that these positive evidences outweigh the negative evidence and, thus, that the deferred tax assets as of April 1, 2011 of \$536 million, after application of the valuation allowances, are realizable on a more likely than not basis.

On March 29, 2006, we received a Notice of Deficiency from the IRS claiming that we owe \$867 million of additional taxes, excluding interest and penalties, for the 2000 and 2001 tax years based on an audit of Veritas. On June 26, 2006, we filed a petition with the U.S. Tax Court, *Veritas v Commissioner*, protesting the IRS claim for such additional taxes. During July 2008, we completed the trial phase of the Tax Court case, which dealt with the remaining issue covered in the assessment. At trial, the IRS changed its position with respect to this remaining issue,

which decreased the remaining amount at issue from \$832 million to \$545 million, excluding interest.

On December 10, 2009, the U.S. Tax Court issued its opinion, finding that our transfer pricing methodology, with appropriate adjustments, was the best method for assessing the value of the transaction at issue between Veritas and its offshore subsidiary. The Tax Court judge provided guidance as to how adjustments would be made to correct the application of the method used by Veritas. We remeasured and decreased our liability for unrecognized tax benefits accordingly, resulting in a \$79 million tax benefit in the third quarter of fiscal 2010. In June 2010, we reached an agreement with the IRS concerning the amount of the adjustment related to the U.S. Tax Court decision. As a result of the agreement, we further reduced our liability for unrecognized tax benefits, resulting in an additional

Table of Contents

\$39 million tax benefit in the first quarter of fiscal 2011. In March 2011, we reached agreement with Irish Revenue concerning compensating adjustments arising from this matter, resulting in an additional \$10 million tax benefit in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011. This matter has now been closed and no further adjustments to the accrued liability are warranted.

On December 2, 2009, we received a Revenue Agent's Report from the IRS for the Veritas 2002 through 2005 tax years assessing additional taxes due. We agree with \$30 million of the tax assessment, excluding interest, but will contest the other \$80 million of tax assessed and all penalties. The unagreed issues concern transfer pricing matters comparable to the one that was resolved in our favor in the *Veritas v. Commissioner* Tax Court decision. On January 15, 2010 we filed a protest with the IRS in connection with the \$80 million of tax assessed. On September 28, 2010, the case was formally accepted into the IRS Appeals process for consideration. This matter remains outstanding.

We continue to monitor the progress of ongoing tax controversies and the impact, if any, of the expected tolling of the statute of limitations in various taxing jurisdictions.

Loss from joint venture

| | Fiscal 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 \$ % | Fiscal 2010 | 2010 vs. 2009 \$ % | Fiscal 2009 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| | (\$ in millions) | | | | |
| Loss from joint venture | \$ 31 | \$ (8) (21)% | \$ 39 | \$ (14) (26)% | \$ 53 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 1% | | 1% | | 1% |

On February 5, 2008, Symantec formed Huawei-Symantec, Technologies Co., Limited (joint venture) with a subsidiary of Huawei Technologies Co., Limited (Huawei). The joint venture is domiciled in Hong Kong with principal operations in Chengdu, China. The joint venture develops, manufactures, markets and supports security and storage appliances for global telecommunications carriers and enterprise customers.

For fiscal 2011, we recorded a loss of approximately \$31 million related to our share of the joint venture's net loss incurred for the period from January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010. For fiscal 2010, we recorded a loss of approximately \$39 million related to our share of the joint venture's net loss incurred for the period from January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009. For fiscal 2009, we recorded a loss of approximately \$53 million related to our share of the joint venture's net loss incurred for the period from February 5, 2008 (its date of inception) to December 31, 2008.

Loss attributable to noncontrolling interest

In fiscal 2011, we completed the acquisition of the identity and authentication business of VeriSign, Inc. (VeriSign), including a controlling interest in its subsidiary VeriSign Japan K.K. (VeriSign Japan), a publicly traded company on the Tokyo Stock Exchange. Given the Company's majority ownership interest of approximately 54% in VeriSign Japan, the accounts of VeriSign Japan have been consolidated with the accounts of the Company, and a noncontrolling interest has been recorded for the noncontrolling investors' interests in the equity and operations of VeriSign Japan. For fiscal 2011, the loss attributable to the noncontrolling interest in VeriSign Japan was \$4 million.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**Sources of Cash**

We have historically relied on cash flow from operations, borrowings under a credit facility, and issuances of debt and equity securities for our liquidity needs. As of April 1, 2011, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$3 billion resulting in a net liquidity position of approximately \$4 billion, which is defined as cash and cash equivalents and unused availability of the credit facility.

Table of Contents

Senior Notes. In the second quarter of fiscal 2011, we issued \$350 million in principal amount of 2.75% Notes due September 15, 2015 and \$750 million in principal amount of 4.20% Notes due September 15, 2020, for an aggregate principal amount of \$1.1 billion.

Revolving Credit Facility. In the second quarter of fiscal 2011, we also entered into a \$1 billion senior unsecured revolving credit facility that expires in September 2014. Under the terms of this credit facility, we must comply with certain financial and non-financial covenants, including a covenant to maintain a specified ratio of debt to EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization). As of April 1, 2011, we were in compliance with all required covenants, and there was no outstanding balance on the credit facility.

In addition, in the second quarter of fiscal 2011, we terminated our previous \$1 billion senior unsecured revolving credit facility that we entered into in July 2006. At the time of termination, there was no outstanding balance on the credit facility. The original expiration date for this credit facility was July 2011.

We believe that our existing cash and investment balances, our borrowing capacity, our ability to issue new debt instruments, and cash generated from operations will be sufficient to meet our working capital and capital expenditures requirements for at least the next 12 months.

Uses of Cash

Our principal cash requirements include working capital, capital expenditures and payments of principal and interest on our debt and taxes. In addition, we regularly evaluate our ability to repurchase stock and acquire other businesses.

Acquisition-related. In fiscal 2011, we acquired the identity and authentication business of VeriSign, as well as PGP, GuardianEdge and two other companies for an aggregate amount of \$1.5 billion, net of cash acquired. In fiscal 2010, we acquired two companies for an aggregate payment of \$31 million, net of cash acquired. For fiscal 2009, we acquired MessageLabs, PC Tools, SwapDrive, and several other companies for an aggregate payment of \$1.1 billion, net of cash acquired.

Convertible Senior Notes. In June 2006, we issued \$1.1 billion principal amount of 0.75% Notes due June 15, 2011, and \$1.0 billion principal amount of 1.00% Notes due June 15, 2013, to initial purchasers in a private offering for resale to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to SEC Rule 144A. In fiscal 2011, we repurchased \$500 million of aggregate principal amount of our 0.75% Notes in privately negotiated transactions for approximately \$510 million. Concurrently with the repurchase, we sold a proportionate share of the note hedges that we entered into at the time of the issuance of the Convertible Senior Notes back to the note hedge counterparties for approximately \$13 million. The net cost of the repurchase of the 0.75% Notes and the concurrent sale of the note hedges was \$497 million in cash. We did not pay any amount of the 0.75% Notes or the 1.00% Notes other than the related interest costs in either of fiscal 2010 or 2009.

Stock Repurchases. We repurchased 57 million, 34 million, and 42 million shares for \$872 million, \$553 million, and \$700 million during fiscal 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. As of April 1, 2011, we had \$877 million remaining under the plan authorized for future repurchases.

Cash Flows

The following table summarizes, for the periods indicated, selected items in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows:

| | 2011 | Fiscal 2010 (In millions) | 2009 |
|---------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Net cash provided by (used in): | | | |
| Operating activities | \$ 1,794 | \$ 1,693 | \$ 1,671 |
| Investing activities | (1,760) | (65) | (961) |
| Financing activities | (184) | (441) | (677) |

Table of Contents

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$1.8 billion for fiscal 2011, which resulted from net income of \$593 million adjusted for non-cash items, including depreciation and amortization charges of \$743 million and stock-based compensation expense of \$145 million, and an increase in deferred revenue of \$442 million. These amounts were partially offset by a decrease in income taxes payable of \$128 million.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$1.7 billion for fiscal 2010, which resulted from net income of \$714 million adjusted for non-cash items, including depreciation and amortization charges of \$837 million and stock-based compensation expense of \$155 million. These amounts were partially offset by a decrease in income taxes payable of \$95 million primarily related to the outcome of the *Veritas v. Commissioner* Tax Court decision; see Note 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$1.7 billion for fiscal 2009, which resulted from non-cash charges related to depreciation and amortization expenses of \$933 million and the \$7.4 billion goodwill impairment charge offset by the net loss of \$6.8 billion.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities of \$1.8 billion for fiscal 2011 was due to \$1.5 billion of payments for our fiscal 2011 acquisitions, net of cash acquired, and \$268 million paid for capital expenditures.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$65 million for fiscal 2010 and was primarily due to \$248 million paid for capital expenditures, partially offset by net proceeds from the sale of available-for-sale securities of \$190 million.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$1.0 billion for fiscal 2009 and was primarily due to an aggregate payment of \$1.1 billion in cash for acquisitions, net of cash acquired, and \$272 million paid for capital expenditures, partially offset by net proceeds of \$336 million from the sale of short-term investments which were used to partially fund acquisitions.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities of \$184 million for fiscal 2011 was primarily due to repurchases of common stock of \$872 million and repurchases of long-term debt of \$510 million, partially offset by proceeds from debt issuance, net of discount, of \$1.1 billion and net proceeds from sales of common stock through employee stock plans of \$122 million.

Net cash used in financing activities of \$441 million for fiscal 2010 was due to repurchases of common stock of \$553 million, partially offset by net proceeds from sales of common stock through employee stock plans of \$124 million.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$677 million for fiscal 2009 and was primarily due to repurchases of common stock of \$700 million and the repayment of \$200 million on our revolving credit facility, partially offset by net proceeds from sales of common stock through employee stock plans of \$229 million.

As of April 1, 2011, \$1.6 billion of the \$3 billion of cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities was held by our foreign subsidiaries. We have provided U.S. deferred taxes on a portion of our undistributed foreign earnings sufficient to address the incremental U.S. tax that would be due if we needed these funds for our operations in the U.S.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

The following is a schedule by years of our significant contractual obligations as of April 1, 2011:

| | Total | Payments Due by Period | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--------|
| | | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2015 | Fiscal 2017 and Thereafter | Other |
| | | | and 2014 | and 2016 | | |
| (In millions) | | | | | | |
| Senior Notes ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 1,100 | \$ | \$ | \$ 350 | \$ 750 | \$ |
| Interest payments on Senior Notes ⁽¹⁾ | 342 | 41 | 82 | 77 | 142 | |
| Convertible Senior Notes ⁽²⁾ | 1,600 | 600 | 1,000 | | | |
| Interest payments on Convertible Senior Notes ⁽²⁾ | 27 | 12 | 15 | | | |
| Purchase obligations ⁽³⁾ | 373 | 334 | 39 | | | |
| Operating leases ⁽⁴⁾ | 408 | 94 | 145 | 79 | 90 | |
| Norton royalty agreement ⁽⁵⁾ | 2 | 2 | | | | |
| Uncertain tax positions ⁽⁶⁾ | 361 | | | | | 361 |
| Total contractual obligations | \$ 4,213 | \$ 1,083 | \$ 1,281 | \$ 506 | \$ 982 | \$ 361 |

- (1) In the second quarter of fiscal 2011, we issued \$350 million in principal amount of 2.75% Notes due September 15, 2015 and \$750 million in principal amount of 4.20% Notes due September 15, 2020. Interest payments were calculated based on terms of the related notes. For further information on the Senior Notes, see Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (2) In the first quarter of fiscal 2007, we issued \$1.1 billion in principal amount of 0.75% Notes due June 15, 2011 and \$1.0 billion in principal amount of 1.00% Notes due June 15, 2013. In the second quarter of fiscal 2011, we repurchased \$500 million of aggregate principal amount of our 0.75% Notes. Interest payments were calculated based on terms of the related notes. For further information on the Convertible Senior Notes, see Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (3) These amounts are associated with agreements for purchases of goods or services generally including agreements that are enforceable and legally binding and that specify all significant terms, including fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum, or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction. The table above also includes agreements to purchase goods or services that have cancellation provisions requiring little or no payment. The amounts under such contracts are included in the table above because management believes that cancellation of these contracts is unlikely and we expect to make future cash payments according to the contract terms or in similar amounts for similar materials.
- (4) We have entered into various noncancelable operating lease agreements that expire on various dates through 2029. The amounts in the table above include \$32 million in exited or excess facility costs related to restructuring activities, excluding expected sublease income.

- (5) In June 2007, we amended an existing royalty agreement with Peter Norton for the licensing of certain publicity rights. As a result, we recorded a long-term liability reflecting the net present value of expected future royalty payments due to Mr. Norton.
- (6) As of April 1, 2011, we reflected \$361 million in long term taxes payable related to uncertain tax positions. At this time, we are unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate of the timing of payments in individual years beyond the next twelve months due to uncertainties in the timing of the commencement and settlement of potential tax audits and controversies.

Indemnifications

As permitted under Delaware law, we have agreements whereby we indemnify our officers and directors for certain events or occurrences while the officer or director is, or was, serving at our request in such capacity. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is not limited; however, we have directors' and officers' insurance coverage that reduces our exposure

Table of Contents

and may enable us to recover a portion of any future amounts paid. We believe the estimated fair value of these indemnification agreements in excess of applicable insurance coverage is minimal.

We provide limited product warranties and the majority of our software license agreements contain provisions that indemnify licensees of our software from damages and costs resulting from claims alleging that our software infringes the intellectual property rights of a third party. Historically, payments made under these provisions have been immaterial. We monitor the conditions that are subject to indemnification to identify if a loss has occurred.

Recently Issued and Adopted Authoritative Guidance

In the first quarter of fiscal 2011, we adopted new authoritative guidance which changes the model for determining whether an entity should consolidate a variable interest entity (VIE). The standard replaces the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which enterprise has a controlling financial interest in a VIE with an approach focused on identifying which enterprise has the power to direct the activities of a VIE and the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or the right to receive the entity's residual returns. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements for fiscal 2011.

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011, updated authoritative guidance was issued to modify Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists, we will need to consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that an impairment may exist. The adoption of this guidance will be effective beginning April 2, 2011, the first quarter of our fiscal 2012. The updated guidance may require us to perform the Step 2 for our Services reporting unit upon adoption. The adoption of this guidance could potentially result in an impairment of the goodwill recorded in the Services reporting unit of up to \$19 million.

Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk*

We are exposed to various market risks related to fluctuations in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, and equity prices. We may use derivative financial instruments to mitigate certain risks in accordance with our investment and foreign exchange policies. We do not use derivatives or other financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

Interest Rate Risk

Our exposure to interest rate risk relates primarily to our short-term investment portfolio and the potential losses arising from changes in interest rates. Our investment objective is to achieve the maximum return compatible with capital preservation and our liquidity requirements. Our strategy is to invest our cash in a manner that preserves capital, maintains sufficient liquidity to meet our cash requirements, maximizes yields consistent with approved credit risk, and limits inappropriate concentrations of investment by sector, credit, or issuer. We classify our cash equivalents and short-term investments in accordance with the authoritative guidance on investments. We consider investments in instruments purchased with an original maturity of 90 days or less to be cash equivalents. We classify our short-term investments as available-for-sale. Short-term investments consist of marketable debt or equity securities with original maturities in excess of 90 days. Our cash equivalents and short-term investment portfolios consist primarily of money market funds, commercial paper, corporate debt securities, and U.S. government and government-sponsored debt securities. Our short-term investments do not include equity investments in privately held companies. Our short-term investments are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, included in Accumulated other comprehensive income within Stockholders' equity in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The amortization of premiums and discounts on the investments, realized gains and losses, and declines in value judged to

be other-than-temporary on available-for-sale securities are included in Other income, net in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. We use the specific identification method to determine cost in calculating realized gains and losses upon the sale of short-term investments.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the fair value and hypothetical changes in fair values on short-term investments sensitive to changes in interest rates:

| | Value of Securities Given an Interest Rate Increase of | | | | Value of Securities Given an Interest Rate Decrease of X | |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| | X Basis Points (bps) | | | Fair Value As of | Basis Points (bps) | |
| | 150 bps | 100 bps | 50 bps | | (25 bps) | (75 bps) |
| | (In millions) | | | | | |
| April 1, 2011 | \$ 5 | \$ 5 | \$ 5 | \$ 5 | \$ 5 | * |
| April 2, 2010 | \$ 10 | \$ 10 | \$ 10 | \$ 10 | \$ 10 | * |

* Amount not applicable

The modeling technique used above measures the change in fair market value arising from selected potential changes in interest rates. Market changes reflect immediate hypothetical parallel shifts in the yield curve of plus 150 bps, plus 100 bps, plus 50 bps, and minus 25 bps.

As of April 1, 2011, we had \$1.1 billion in principal amount of fixed-rate Senior Notes outstanding, with a carrying amount of \$1.1 billion and a fair value of \$1.05 billion, which fair value is based on market prices. As of April 1, 2011, a hypothetical 50 BPS increase or decrease in market interest rates would change the fair value of the fixed-rate debt by a decrease of approximately \$34 million and an increase of approximately \$35 million, respectively. However, this hypothetical change in interest rates would not impact the interest expense on the fixed-rate debt.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

We conduct business in 43 currencies through our worldwide operations and, as such, we are exposed to foreign currency risk. Foreign currency risks are associated with our cash and cash equivalents, investments, receivables, and payables denominated in foreign currencies. Fluctuations in exchange rates will result in foreign exchange gains and losses on these foreign currency assets and liabilities and are included in Other income, net. Our objective in managing foreign exchange activity is to preserve stockholder value by minimizing the risk of foreign currency exchange rate changes. Our strategy is to primarily utilize forward contracts to hedge foreign currency exposures. Under our program, gains and losses in our foreign currency exposures are offset by losses and gains on our forward contracts. Our forward contracts generally have terms of one to six months. At the end of the reporting period, open contracts are marked-to-market with unrealized gains and losses included in Other income, net.

The following table presents a sensitivity analysis on our foreign forward exchange contract portfolio using a statistical model to estimate the potential gain or loss in fair value that could arise from hypothetical appreciation or depreciation of foreign currency:

| Value of Contracts Given X% | Value of Contracts Given X% |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|

| Foreign Forward Exchange Contracts | Appreciation of Foreign Currency | | Notional Amount (In millions) | Depreciation of Foreign Currency | |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 10% | 5% | | (5)% | (10)% |
| Purchased, April 1, 2011 | \$ 217 | \$ 208 | \$ 199 | \$ 188 | \$ 177 |
| Sold, April 1, 2011 | \$ 271 | \$ 283 | \$ 298 | \$ 313 | \$ 331 |
| Purchased, April 2, 2010 | \$ 217 | \$ 209 | \$ 199 | \$ 189 | \$ 177 |
| Sold, April 2, 2010 | \$ 236 | \$ 248 | \$ 260 | \$ 274 | \$ 289 |

Equity Price Risk

In June 2006, we issued \$1.1 billion in principal amount of 0.75% Notes and \$1.0 billion in principal amount of 1.00% Notes. We received proceeds of \$2.1 billion from the 0.75% Notes and 1.00% Notes and incurred net transaction costs of approximately \$33 million, of which \$9 million was allocated to equity and the remainder

Table of Contents

allocated proportionately to the 0.75% Notes and 1.00% Notes. The 0.75% Notes and 1.00% Notes were each issued at par and bear interest at 0.75% and 1.00% per annum, respectively. Interest is payable semiannually in arrears on June 15 and December 15. Concurrent with the issuance of the 0.75% Notes and 1.00% Notes, the Company entered into note hedge transactions with affiliates of certain initial purchasers whereby the Company has the option to purchase up to 110 million shares of Symantec common stock at a price of \$19.12 per share. The cost of the note hedge transactions was approximately \$592 million.

In September 2010, we repurchased \$500 million aggregate principal amount of our 0.75% Notes. Concurrently with this repurchase, we sold a proportionate share of the initial note hedges back to the note hedge counterparties for approximately \$13 million. These transactions resulted in a loss from extinguishment of debt of approximately \$16 million, which represents the difference between book value of the notes net of the remaining unamortized discount prior to repurchase and the fair value of the liability component of the notes upon repurchase. The net cost of the repurchase of the 0.75% Notes and the concurrent sale of the note hedges was \$497 million in cash.

The Convertible Senior Notes have a fixed annual interest rate and therefore, we do not have economic interest rate exposure on the Convertible Senior Notes. However, the values of the Convertible Senior Notes are exposed to interest rate risk. Generally, the fair market value of our fixed interest rate Convertible Senior Notes will increase as interest rates fall and decrease as interest rates rise. In addition, the fair values of our Convertible Senior Notes are affected by our stock price. The carrying value of the 0.75% Notes was \$596 million as of April 1, 2011. This represents the liability component of the \$600 million principal balance as of April 1, 2011. The total estimated fair value of our 0.75% Notes at April 1, 2011 was \$618 million and the fair value was determined based on the closing trading price per \$100 of the 0.75% Notes as of the last day of trading for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011, which was \$103.00. The carrying value of the 1.00% Notes was \$890 million as of April 1, 2011. This represents the liability component of the \$1.0 billion principal balance as of April 1, 2011. The total estimated fair value of our 1.00% Notes at April 1, 2011 was \$1.2 billion and the fair value was determined based on the closing trading price per \$100 of the 1.00% Notes as of the last day of trading for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011, which was \$120.81.

For business and strategic purposes, we also hold equity interests in several privately held companies, many of which can be considered to be in the start-up or development stages. These investments are inherently risky and we could lose a substantial part or our entire investment in these companies. These investments are recorded at cost and classified as Other long-term assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of April 1, 2011, these investments had an aggregate carrying value of \$30 million.

Table of Contents**Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data****Annual Financial Statements**

The consolidated financial statements and related disclosures included in Part IV, Item 15 of this annual report are incorporated by reference into this Item 8.

Selected Quarterly Financial Data

| | Fiscal 2011 | | | | Fiscal 2010 | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Apr. 1, 2011 | Dec. 31, 2010 | Oct. 1, 2010 | Jul. 2, 2010 | Apr. 2, 2010 | Jan. 1, 2010^(a) | Oct. 2, 2009^(a) | Jul. 3, 2009^(a) |
| | | | | | | As Adjusted | As Adjusted | As Adjusted |
| (In millions, except per share data) | | | | | | | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 1,673 | \$ 1,604 | \$ 1,480 | \$ 1,433 | \$ 1,531 | \$ 1,548 | \$ 1,474 | \$ 1,432 |
| Gross profit | 1,403 | 1,340 | 1,234 | 1,168 | 1,255 | 1,290 | 1,215 | 1,120 |
| Operating income | 239 | 229 | 218 | 194 | 247 | 277 | 257 | 152 |
| Net income | 166 | 132 | 134 | 161 | 184 | 301 | 155 | 74 |
| Less: Loss attributable to noncontrolling interest ^(b) | (2) | | (2) | | | | | |
| Net income attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders | 168 | 132 | 136 | 161 | 184 | 301 | 155 | 74 |
| Net income per share attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders basic | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.19 | \$ 0.09 |
| Net income per share attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders diluted | \$ 0.22 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.19 | \$ 0.09 |

^(a) The amounts previously reported on Form 10-Q for fiscal 2010 have been adjusted for the joint venture's adoption of authoritative guidance on revenue recognition. As a result of our joint venture's adoption of the guidance, our net income increased by \$1 million, \$5 million and \$1 million during our first, second and third quarters of fiscal 2010, respectively.

^(b) On August 9, 2010, we completed the acquisition of the identity and authentication business of VeriSign, Inc. (VeriSign), including a controlling interest in its subsidiary VeriSign Japan K.K. (VeriSign Japan), a publicly traded company on the Tokyo Stock Exchange. Given the Company's majority ownership interest in VeriSign Japan, the accounts of VeriSign Japan have been consolidated with the accounts of the Company, and a

noncontrolling interest has been recorded for the noncontrolling investors' interests in the equity and operations of VeriSign Japan. For more information, see Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this annual report.

Item 9. *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure*

None.

Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures*

(a) *Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures*

The SEC defines the term "disclosure controls and procedures" to mean a company's controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have concluded, based

Table of Contents

on an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act) by our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

(b) Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act) for Symantec. Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, has conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of April 1, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). We have excluded from our evaluation, the internal control over financial reporting of the identity and authentication business acquired from VeriSign, Inc. and subsidiaries (VeriSign), which we acquired on August 9, 2010, as discussed in Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this annual report. As of April 1, 2011, total net tangible assets subject to VeriSign's internal control over financial reporting represented \$178 million or 1% of our total assets. Total revenue subject to VeriSign's internal control over financial reporting represented \$137 million of net revenue, or less than 2% of net revenue for the fiscal year ended April 1, 2011. As noted below, our internal control over financial reporting, subsequent to the date of acquisition, includes certain additional internal controls relating to the identity and authentication business of VeriSign, in addition to VeriSign's internal control over financial reporting.

Our management has concluded that, as of April 1, 2011, our internal control over financial reporting was effective based on these criteria.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm has issued an attestation report regarding its assessment of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of April 1, 2011, which is included in Part IV, Item 15 of this annual report.

(c) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

As a result of our acquisition of the identity and authentication business of VeriSign on August 9, 2010, our internal control over financial reporting, subsequent to the date of acquisition, includes certain additional internal controls relating to such acquisition. Except as described above, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended April 1, 2011 which have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

(d) Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal controls will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Furthermore, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within our Company have been detected.

Item 9B. Other Information

None

52

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. *Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance*

The information required by this item will be included in an amendment to this annual report on Form 10-K or incorporated by reference from Symantec's definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Item 11. *Executive Compensation*

The information required by this item will be included in an amendment to this annual report on Form 10-K or incorporated by reference from Symantec's definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Item 12. *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters*

The information required by this item will be included in an amendment to this annual report on Form 10-K or incorporated by reference from Symantec's definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Item 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence*

The information required by this item will be included in an amendment to this annual report on Form 10-K or incorporated by reference from Symantec's definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Item 14. *Principal Accountant Fees and Services*

The information required by this item will be included in an amendment to this annual report on Form 10-K or incorporated by reference from Symantec's definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

PART IV

Item 15. *Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules*

Upon written request, we will provide, without charge, a copy of this annual report, including the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule. All requests should be sent to:

Symantec Corporation
Attn: Investor Relations
350 Ellis Street
Mountain View, California 94043
650-527-8000

a) The following documents are filed as part of this report:

| | Page Number |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1. Consolidated Financial Statements: | |
| <u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 61 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of April 1, 2011 and April 2, 2010</u> | 63 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended April 1, 2011, April 2, 2010 and April 3, 2009</u> | 64 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended April 1, 2011, April 2, 2010 and April 3, 2009</u> | 65 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended April 1, 2011, April 2, 2010 and April 3, 2009</u> | 66 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 67 |
| 2. Financial Statement Schedule: The following financial statement schedule of Symantec Corporation for the years ended April 1, 2011, April 2, 2010 and April 3, 2009 is filed as part of this Form 10-K and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements of Symantec Corporation | |
| <u>Schedule II: Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u> | 106 |
| Schedules other than those listed above have been omitted since they are either not required, not applicable, or the information is otherwise included. | |

Table of Contents

3. Exhibits: The following exhibits are filed as part of or furnished with this annual report as applicable:

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference File No. | Exhibit | Filing Date | Filed Herewith |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| 3.01 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Symantec Corporation | S-8 | 333-119872 | 4.01 | 10/21/04 | |
| 3.02 | Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Symantec Corporation | S-8 | 333-126403 | 4.03 | 07/06/05 | |
| 3.03 | Certificate of Amendment to Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Symantec Corporation | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 3.01 | 08/05/09 | |
| 3.04 | Certificate of Designations of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock of Symantec Corporation | 8-K | 000-17781 | 3.01 | 12/21/04 | |
| 3.05 | Bylaws, as amended, of Symantec Corporation | 8-K | 000-17781 | 3.01 | 05/02/11 | |
| 4.01 | Form of Common Stock Certificate | S-3ASR | 333-139230 | 4.07 | 12/11/06 | |
| 4.02 | Indenture related to the 0.75% Convertible Senior Notes, due 2011, dated as of June 16, 2006, between Symantec Corporation and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee (including form of 0.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2011) | 8-K | 000-17781 | 4.01 | 06/16/06 | |
| 4.03 | Indenture related to the 1.00% Convertible Senior Notes, due 2013, dated as of June 16, 2006, between Symantec Corporation and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee (including form of 1.00% Convertible Senior Notes due 2013) | 8-K | 000-17781 | 4.02 | 06/16/06 | |
| 4.04 | Form of Master Terms and Conditions For Convertible Bond Hedging Transactions between Symantec Corporation and each of Bank of America, N.A. and | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.04 | 08/09/06 | |

| | | | | | |
|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----------|-------|----------|
| | Citibank, N.A., respectively, dated June 9, 2006, including Exhibit and Schedule thereto | | | | |
| 4.05 | Form of Master Terms and Conditions For Warrants Issued by Symantec Corporation between Symantec Corporation and each of Bank of America, N.A. and Citibank, N.A., respectively, dated June 9, 2006, including Exhibit and Schedule thereto | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.05 | 08/09/06 |
| 4.06 | Convertible Note Purchase and Amendment Agreement, dated September 17, 2010, between Symantec Corporation and Bank of America, N.A. | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.04 | 11/03/10 |
| 4.07 | Convertible Note Purchase and Amendment Agreement, dated September 17, 2010, between Symantec Corporation and Citibank, N.A. | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.05 | 11/03/10 |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | | Filed Herewith |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | File No. | Exhibit | Filing Date | |
| 4.08 | Credit Agreement, dated as of September 8, 2010, by and among Symantec Corporation, the lenders party thereto (the Lenders), Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, Bank of America, N.A. and Citibank, N.A., as Co-Syndication Agents, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., as Co-Documentation Agents, and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Banc of America Securities LLC and Citigroup Global Markets Inc., as Joint Bookrunners and Joint Lead Arrangers | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 4.01 | 11/03/10 | |
| 4.09 | Indenture, dated September 16, 2010, between Symantec Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee | 8-K | 000-17781 | 4.01 | 09/16/10 | |
| 4.10 | Form of Global Note for Symantec s 2.750% Senior Note due 2015 (contained in Exhibit No. 4.02) | 8-K | 000-17781 | 4.03 | 09/16/10 | |
| 4.11 | Form of Global Note for Symantec s 4.200% Senior Note due 2020 (contained in Exhibit No. 4.02) | 8-K | 000-17781 | 10.04 | 09/16/10 | |
| 10.01* | Form of Indemnification Agreement with Officers and Directors, as amended (form for agreements entered into prior to January 17, 2006) | S-1 | 33-28655 | 10.17 | 06/21/89 | |
| 10.02* | Form of Indemnification Agreement for Officers, Directors and Key Employees | 8-K | 000-17781 | 10.01 | 01/23/06 | |
| 10.03* | Veritas Software Corporation 1993 Equity Incentive Plan, including form of Stock Option Agreement | 10-K | 000-17781 | 10.03 | 06/09/06 | |
| 10.04* | Symantec Corporation 1996 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended, including form of Stock Option Agreement and form of Restricted Stock Purchase Agreement | 10-K | 000-17781 | 10.05 | 06/09/06 | |
| 10.05* | Symantec Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan, restated and amended January 1, 2010, as | 10-K | 000-17781 | 10.05 | 05/24/10 | |

Edgar Filing: SYMANTEC CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|------------|-------|----------|
| 10.06* | adopted December 15, 2009 Brightmail Inc. 1998 Stock Option Plan, including form of Stock Option Agreement and form of Notice of Assumption | 10-K | 000-17781 | 10.08 | 06/09/06 |
| 10.07* | Altiris, Inc. 1998 Stock Option Plan | S-8 | 333-141986 | 99.01 | 04/10/07 |
| 10.08* | Form of Notice of Grant of Stock Option under the Altiris, Inc. 1998 Stock Option Plan | S-8 | 333-141986 | 99.02 | 04/10/07 |
| 10.09* | Symantec Corporation 2000 Director Equity Incentive Plan, as amended | 10-K | 000-17781 | 10.09 | 06/01/09 |
| 10.10* | Symantec Corporation 2001 Non-Qualified Equity Incentive Plan | 10-K | 000-17781 | 10.12 | 06/09/06 |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | | Filed Herewith |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|---------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------------|
| | | | File No. | Exhibit | Filing Date | |
| 10.11* | Amended and Restated Symantec Corporation 2002 Executive Officers Stock Purchase Plan | 8-K | 000-17781 | 10.01 | 01/25/08 | |
| 10.12* | Altiris, Inc. 2002 Stock Plan | S-8 | 333-141986 | 99.03 | 04/10/07 | |
| 10.13* | Form of Stock Option Agreement under the Altiris, Inc. 2002 Stock Plan | S-8 | 333-141986 | 99.04 | 04/10/07 | |
| 10.14* | Vontu, Inc. 2002 Stock Option/Stock Issuance Plan, as amended | S-8 | 333-148107 | 99.02 | 12/17/07 | |
| 10.15* | Form of Vontu, Inc. Stock Option Agreement | S-8 | 333-148107 | 99.03 | 12/17/07 | |
| 10.16* | Veritas Software Corporation 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated, including form of Stock Option Agreement, form of Stock Option Agreement for Executives and Senior VPs and form of Notice of Stock Option Assumption | 10-K | 000-17781 | 10.15 | 06/09/06 | |
| 10.17* | Symantec Corporation 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended, including Stock Option Grant Terms and Conditions, form of RSU Award Agreement, form of RSU Award Agreement for Non-Employee Directors and form of PRU Award Agreement | | | | | X |
| 10.18* | Altiris, Inc. 2005 Stock Plan | S-8 | 333-141986 | 99.05 | 04/10/07 | |
| 10.19* | Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement under the Altiris, Inc. 2005 Stock Plan, as amended | S-8 | 333-141986 | 99.06 | 04/10/07 | |
| 10.20* | Symantec Corporation 2008 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.2 | 11/03/10 | |
| 10.21* | Offer Letter, dated February 8, 2006, from Symantec Corporation to James A. Beer | 10-K | 000-17781 | 10.17 | 06/09/06 | |
| 10.22* | Letter Agreement, dated April 6, 2009, between Symantec Corporation and John W. Thompson | 8-K | 000-17781 | 10.01 | 04/09/09 | |
| 10.23* | Employment Agreement, dated September 23, 2009, between Symantec Corporation and Enrique | 8-K | 000-17781 | 10.01 | 09/29/09 | |

Edgar Filing: SYMANTEC CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----------|-------|----------|---|
| 10.24* | Salem Separation and Release Agreement, effective August 31, 2010, between Symantec Corporation and Greg Hughes | 8-K | 000-17781 | 10.01 | 09/07/10 | |
| 10.25* | FY11 Long Term Incentive Plan | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.04 | 08/04/10 | |
| 10.26* | Form of FY11 Executive Annual Incentive Plan Chief Executive Officer | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.01 | 08/04/10 | |
| 10.27* | Form of FY11 Executive Annual Incentive Plan Executive Vice President and Group President 90% | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.02 | 08/04/10 | |
| 10.28* | Form of FY11 Executive Annual Incentive Plan Executive Vice President and Group President | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.03 | 08/04/10 | |
| 10.29* | FY12 Long Term Incentive Plan | | | | | X |
| 10.30* | Form of FY12 Executive Annual Incentive Plan Chief Executive Officer | | | | | X |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | | Filed Herewith |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------------|
| File No. | Exhibit | Filing Date | | | | |
| 10.31* | Form of FY12 Executive Annual Incentive Plan Executive Vice President and Group President 95% | | | | | X |
| 10.32* | Form of FY12 Executive Annual Incentive Plan Executive Vice President and Group President | | | | | X |
| 10.33* | Symantec Senior Executive Incentive Plan, as amended and restated | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.03 | 11/07/08 | |
| 10.34* | Symantec Executive Retention Plan, as amended | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.05 | 08/07/07 | |
| 10.35* | Amendment to the Symantec Executive Retention Plan, effective January 1, 2009 | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.01 | 02/05/10 | |
| 10.36 | Assignment of Copyright and Other Intellectual Property Rights, by and between Peter Norton and Peter Norton Computing, Inc., dated August 31, 1990 | S-4 | 33-35385 | 10.37 | 06/13/90 | |
| 10.37 | Environmental Indemnity Agreement, dated April 23, 1999, between Veritas and Fairchild Semiconductor Corporation, included as Exhibit C to that certain Agreement of Purchase and Sale, dated March 29, 1999, between Veritas and Fairchild Semiconductor of California | S-1/A | 333-83777 | 10.27 Exhibit C | 08/06/99 | |
| 10.38 | Second Amended and Restated Symantec Online Store Agreement, by and among Symantec Corporation, Symantec Limited, Digital River, Inc. and Digital River Ireland Limited, entered into on October 19, 2006 | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.02 | 02/07/07 | |
| 10.39 | Amendment, dated June 20, 2007, to the Amended and Restated Agreement Respecting Certain Rights of Publicity dated as of August 31, 1990, by and between Peter Norton and Symantec Corporation | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.01 | 08/07/07 | |
| 10.40 | Amendment, effective December 6, 2010, to the Trademark License Agreement, dated August 9, 2010, | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.01 | 02/02/11 | |

| | | |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| | by and between VeriSign, Inc. and Symantec Corporation | |
| 21.01 | Subsidiaries of Symantec Corporation | X |
| 23.01 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | X |
| 24.01 | Power of Attorney (see Signature page to this annual report) | X |
| 31.01 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | X |
| 31.02 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | X |
| 32.01 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | X |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Incorporated by Reference | | | | Filed Herewith |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------|---------|-------------|-------------------|
| | | Form | File No. | Exhibit | Filing Date | |
| 32.02 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | | | | | X |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document | | | | | X |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Schema Linkbase Document | | | | | X |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document | | | | | X |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Labels Linkbase Document | | | | | X |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document | | | | | X |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase Document | | | | | X |

* Indicates a management contract, compensatory plan or arrangement.

Certain portions of this exhibit have been omitted and have been filed separately with the SEC pursuant to a request for confidential treatment under Rule 24b-2 as promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Filed by Veritas Software Corporation.

This exhibit is being furnished, rather than filed, and shall not be deemed incorporated by reference into any filing, in accordance with Item 601 of Regulation S-K.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Page |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| <u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 61 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of April 1, 2011 and April 2, 2010</u> | 63 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended April 1, 2011, April 2, 2010, and April 3, 2009</u> | 64 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended April 1, 2011, April 2, 2010, and April 3, 2009</u> | 65 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended April 1, 2011, April 2, 2010, and April 3, 2009</u> | 66 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 67 |

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
Symantec Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Symantec Corporation and subsidiaries as of April 1, 2011 and April 2, 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended April 1, 2011. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we have also audited the related financial statement schedule listed in Item 15. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Symantec Corporation and subsidiaries as of April 1, 2011 and April 2, 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended April 1, 2011, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Symantec Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of April 1, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated May 20, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Mountain View, California
May 20, 2011

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
Symantec Corporation:

We have audited Symantec Corporation's (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of April 1, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Symantec Corporation's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A(b). Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Symantec Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of April 1, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Management excluded from its assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of April 1, 2011, the internal control over financial reporting of the identity and authentication business of VeriSign, Inc. and subsidiaries (VeriSign), which was acquired on August 9, 2010 as discussed in Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Total net tangible assets subject to VeriSign's internal control over financial reporting represented \$178 million, and total revenue subject to VeriSign's internal control over financial reporting represented \$137 million of net revenue. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting of Symantec Corporation and subsidiaries also excluded an evaluation of the internal control over financial reporting of VeriSign.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Symantec Corporation and subsidiaries as of April 1, 2011 and April 2, 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended April 1, 2011, and our report dated May 20, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Mountain View, California
May 20, 2011

Table of Contents

SYMANTEC CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | April 1, 2011 | April 2, 2010 |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| | (In millions, except par value) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 2,950 | \$ 3,029 |
| Short-term investments | 8 | 15 |
| Trade accounts receivable, net | 1,013 | 856 |
| Inventories | 30 | 25 |
| Deferred income taxes | 223 | 176 |
| Other current assets | 262 | 250 |
| Total current assets | 4,486 | 4,351 |
| Property and equipment, net | 1,050 | 949 |
| Intangible assets, net | 1,511 | 1,179 |
| Goodwill | 5,494 | 4,605 |
| Investment in joint venture | 27 | 58 |
| Other long-term assets | 151 | 90 |
| Total assets | \$ 12,719 | \$ 11,232 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 260 | \$ 214 |
| Accrued compensation and benefits | 443 | 349 |
| Deferred revenue | 3,321 | 2,835 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 596 | |
| Income taxes payable | 24 | 35 |
| Other current liabilities | 249 | 338 |
| Total current liabilities | 4,893 | 3,771 |
| Long-term debt | 1,987 | 1,871 |
| Long-term deferred revenue | 498 | 371 |
| Long-term deferred tax liabilities | 296 | 195 |
| Long-term income taxes payable | 361 | 426 |
| Other long-term obligations | 79 | 50 |
| Total liabilities | 8,114 | 6,684 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Symantec Corporation stockholders' equity: | | |

Edgar Filing: SYMANTEC CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Common stock (par value: \$0.01, 3,000 shares authorized; 972 and 1,182 shares issued at April 1, 2011 and April 2, 2010; 758 and 798 shares outstanding at April 1, 2011 and April 2, 2010) | 8 | 8 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 8,361 | 8,990 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 171 | 159 |
| Accumulated deficit | (4,012) | (4,609) |
| Total Symantec Corporation stockholders' equity | 4,528 | 4,548 |
| Noncontrolling interest in subsidiary | 77 | |
| Total stockholders' equity | 4,605 | 4,548 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 12,719 | \$ 11,232 |

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

| | Year Ended | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| | April 1, 2011 | April 2, 2010 | April 3, 2009 * |
| | (In millions, except per share data) | | |
| Net revenue: | | | |
| Content, subscription, and maintenance | \$ 5,266 | \$ 5,034 | \$ 4,863 |
| License | 924 | 951 | 1,287 |
| Total net revenue | 6,190 | 5,985 | 6,150 |
| Cost of revenue: | | | |
| Content, subscription, and maintenance | 903 | 849 | 840 |
| License | 27 | 22 | 35 |
| Amortization of acquired product rights | 115 | 234 | 352 |
| Total cost of revenue | 1,045 | 1,105 | 1,227 |
| Gross profit | 5,145 | 4,880 | 4,923 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Sales and marketing | 2,622 | 2,367 | 2,386 |
| Research and development | 862 | 857 | 870 |
| General and administrative | 390 | 352 | 343 |
| Amortization of other purchased intangible assets | 270 | 247 | 233 |
| Restructuring and transition | 92 | 94 | 96 |
| Impairment of intangible assets and goodwill | 27 | | 7,419 |
| Loss and impairment of assets held for sale | 2 | 30 | 46 |
| Total operating expenses | 4,265 | 3,947 | 11,393 |
| Operating income (loss) | 880 | 933 | (6,470) |
| Interest income | 10 | 6 | 37 |
| Interest expense | (143) | (129) | (125) |
| Other (expense) income, net | (2) | 55 | 8 |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | (16) | | |
| Income (loss) before income taxes and loss from joint venture | 729 | 865 | (6,550) |
| Provision for income taxes | 105 | 112 | 183 |
| Loss from joint venture | 31 | 39 | 53 |
| Net income (loss) | 593 | 714 | (6,786) |
| Less: Loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | (4) | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders | \$ 597 | \$ 714 | \$ (6,786) |

Edgar Filing: SYMANTEC CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Net income (loss) per share attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders basic | \$ 0.77 | \$ 0.88 | \$ (8.17) |
| Net income (loss) per share attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders diluted | \$ 0.76 | \$ 0.87 | \$ (8.17) |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders basic | 778 | 810 | 831 |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders diluted | 786 | 819 | 831 |

* As adjusted for the retrospective adoption of authoritative guidance on convertible debt instruments.

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

SYMANTEC CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
AS OF APRIL 1, 2011, APRIL 2, 2010 AND APRIL 3, 2009

| | Common Stock | | Additional Paid-In Capital | | Other Comprehensive Income | Accumulated Earnings (Deficit)* | | Total Symantec Corporation Stockholders Equity* | | Noncontrolling Interest in Subsidiary | Total Stockholders Equity* |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | * | | Income | (Deficit)* | | Equity* | | | Equity* |
| | | | | | | (In millions) | | | | | |
| Balances, March 28, 2008 | 839 | \$ 8 | \$ 9,487 | | \$ 160 | \$ 1,574 | | \$ 11,229 | | | \$ 11,229 |
| Components of comprehensive loss: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net loss, as adjusted | | | | | | (6,786) | | (6,786) | | | (6,786) |
| Translation adjustment, net of tax of (\$36) | | | | | 21 | | | 21 | | | 21 |
| Reclassification adjustment for net loss on legal liquidation of foreign entities included in net income, net | | | | | 5 | | | 5 | | | 5 |
| Total comprehensive loss | | | | | | | | (6,760) | | | (6,760) |
| Issuance of common stock under employee stock plans | 18 | | 230 | | | | | 230 | | | 230 |
| Repurchases of common stock | (42) | | (576) | | | (124) | | (700) | | | (700) |
| Restricted stock units released, net of taxes | 2 | | (15) | | | | | (15) | | | (15) |
| Stock-based compensation, net of estimated forfeitures | | | 154 | | | | | 154 | | | 154 |
| Income tax benefit from employee stock transactions | | | 9 | | | | | 9 | | | 9 |
| Balances, April 3, 2009 | 817 | 8 | 9,289 | | 186 | (5,336) | | 4,147 | | | 4,147 |

Edgar Filing: SYMANTEC CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|---|-------|-----|---------|-------|-------|
| Components of comprehensive income: | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | 714 | | 714 | 714 |
| Change in unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities, net of tax | | | 3 | | | 3 | 3 |
| Translation adjustment, net of tax of \$9 | | | 17 | | | 17 | 17 |
| Reclassification adjustment for net gain on legal liquidation of foreign entities included in net income, net | | | (47) | | | (47) | (47) |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | 687 | 687 |
| Issuance of common stock under employee stock plans | | | | | | | |
| | 12 | | 124 | | | 124 | 124 |
| Repurchases of common stock | (34) | | (566) | | 13 | (553) | (553) |
| Restricted stock units released, net of taxes | 3 | | (20) | | | (20) | (20) |
| Stock-based compensation, net of estimated forfeitures | | | 154 | | | 154 | 154 |
| Income tax benefit from employee stock transactions | | | 9 | | | 9 | 9 |
| Balances, April 2, 2010 | 798 | 8 | 8,990 | 159 | (4,609) | 4,548 | 4,548 |
| Components of comprehensive income: | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | 597 | | 597 | 593 |
| Change in unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities, net of tax | | | (1) | | | (1) | (1) |
| Translation adjustment, net of tax of \$13 | | | (8) | | | (8) | (8) |
| Reclassification adjustment for net loss on legal liquidation of foreign entities | | | 21 | | | 21 | 21 |
| Table of Contents | | | | | | | 122 |

included in net income,
net

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|----------|--------|------------|----------|-------|----------|-------|
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | | 609 | (4) | 605 |
| Issuance of common stock under employee stock plans | 7 | | 122 | | | | 122 | | 122 |
| Repurchases of common stock | (57) | | (870) | | | | (870) | (2) | (872) |
| Restricted stock units released, net of taxes | 5 | | (28) | | | | (28) | | (28) |
| Stock-based compensation, net of estimated forfeitures | 5 | | 146 | | | | 146 | | 146 |
| Noncontrolling interest in subsidiary | | | | | | | | 84 | 84 |
| Dividend declared to noncontrolling interest in subsidiary | | | | | | | | (1) | (1) |
| Adjustments to goodwill related to stock options assumed in business combination | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | 1 |
| Balances, April 1, 2011 | 758 | \$ 8 | \$ 8,361 | \$ 171 | \$ (4,012) | \$ 4,528 | \$ 77 | \$ 4,605 | |

* As adjusted for the retrospective adoption of authoritative guidance on convertible debt instruments.

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

SYMANTEC CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | April 1, 2011 | Year Ended April 2, 2010 | April 3, 2009* |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| | (In millions) | | |
| <i>OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</i> | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 593 | \$ 714 | \$ (6,786) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 647 | 733 | 836 |
| Amortization of discount on debt | 96 | 104 | 97 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 145 | 155 | 157 |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt | 16 | | |
| Impairment of intangible assets and goodwill | 27 | | 7,419 |
| Loss and impairment of assets held for sale | 2 | 30 | 46 |
| Deferred income taxes | 5 | (41) | (127) |
| Excess income tax benefit from the exercise of stock options | (7) | (13) | (18) |
| Loss from joint venture | 31 | 39 | 53 |
| Net loss (gain) on legal liquidation of foreign entities | 21 | (47) | 5 |
| Other | (13) | | 8 |
| Net change in assets and liabilities, excluding effects of acquisitions: | | | |
| Trade accounts receivable, net | (88) | (14) | (85) |
| Inventories | (4) | 3 | 6 |
| Accounts payable | 2 | 4 | (49) |
| Accrued compensation and benefits | 72 | (34) | (55) |
| Deferred revenue | 442 | 114 | 141 |
| Income taxes payable | (128) | (95) | (15) |
| Other assets | 6 | 1 | 66 |
| Other liabilities | (71) | 40 | (28) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 1,794 | 1,693 | 1,671 |
| <i>INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</i> | | | |
| Purchase of property and equipment | (268) | (248) | (272) |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment | 30 | 45 | 40 |
| Cash payments for acquisitions, net of cash acquired | (1,537) | (31) | (1,063) |
| Purchase of equity investments | (7) | (21) | (2) |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | | (2) | (349) |
| Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities | 20 | 192 | 685 |
| Other | 2 | | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (1,760) | (65) | (961) |
| <i>FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</i> | | | |
| Net proceeds from sales of common stock under employee stock benefit plans | 122 | 124 | 229 |
| Excess income tax benefit from the exercise of stock options | 7 | 13 | 18 |

Edgar Filing: SYMANTEC CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Tax payments related to restricted stock issuance | (28) | (20) | (16) |
| Proceeds from debt issuance, net of discount | 1,097 | | |
| Repurchase of long-term debt | (510) | | |
| Proceeds from sale of bond hedge | 13 | | |
| Debt issuance costs | (10) | | |
| Repurchase of common stock | (872) | (553) | (700) |
| Repayment of short-term borrowing | | | (200) |
| Repayment of other long-term obligations | (3) | (5) | (8) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (184) | (441) | (677) |
| Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash and cash equivalents | 71 | 49 | (130) |
| Change in cash and cash equivalents | (79) | 1,236 | (97) |
| Beginning cash and cash equivalents | 3,029 | 1,793 | 1,890 |
| Ending cash and cash equivalents | \$ 2,950 | \$ 3,029 | \$ 1,793 |
| Supplemental cash flow disclosures: | | | |
| Income taxes paid (net of refunds) | \$ 224 | \$ 247 | \$ 321 |
| Interest expense paid | \$ 38 | \$ 19 | \$ 23 |

* As adjusted for the retrospective adoption of authoritative guidance on convertible debt instruments.

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies****Business**

Symantec Corporation (we, us, our, and the Company refer to Symantec Corporation and all of its subsidiaries) is a provider of security, storage and systems management solutions that help businesses and consumers secure and manage their information and identities. We provide customers worldwide with software and services that protect, manage and control information risks related to security, data protection, storage, compliance, and systems management. We help our customers manage cost, complexity and compliance by protecting their IT infrastructure as they seek to maximize value from their IT investments.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of Symantec Corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiaries are prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (U.S.). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation with no impact on previously reported net income.

In fiscal 2011, we completed the acquisition of the identity and authentication business of VeriSign, Inc. (VeriSign), including a controlling interest in its subsidiary VeriSign Japan K.K. (VeriSign Japan), a publicly traded company on the Tokyo Stock Exchange. Given the Company's majority ownership interest of approximately 54% in VeriSign Japan, the accounts of VeriSign Japan have been consolidated with the accounts of the Company, and a noncontrolling interest has been recorded for the noncontrolling investors' interests in the equity and operations of VeriSign Japan. See Note 3 for further detail.

Fiscal Calendar

We have a 52/53-week fiscal year ending on the Friday closest to March 31. Unless otherwise stated, references to years in this report relate to fiscal years rather than calendar years.

| Fiscal Year | Ended | Weeks |
|--------------------|---------------|--------------|
| 2011 | April 1, 2011 | 52 |
| 2010 | April 2, 2010 | 52 |
| 2009 | April 3, 2009 | 53 |

Our 2012 fiscal year will consist of 52 weeks and will end on March 30, 2012.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the U.S. requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Estimates are based upon historical factors, current circumstances and the experience and judgment of management. Management evaluates its assumptions and estimates on an ongoing

basis and may engage outside subject matter experts to assist in its valuations. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant items subject to such estimates and assumptions include those related to the allocation of revenue between recognized and deferred amounts, fair value of financial instruments, valuation of goodwill, intangible assets and long-lived assets, valuation of stock-based compensation, contingencies and litigation, and the valuation allowance for deferred income taxes.

Foreign Currency Translation

The functional currency of our foreign subsidiaries is generally the local currency. Assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated using the exchange rate on the balance sheet dates. Revenues and expenses are translated using monthly average exchange rates prevailing during the year. The translation

Table of Contents

SYMANTEC CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

adjustments resulting from this process are included as a component of Accumulated other comprehensive income. In the event of liquidation of a foreign subsidiary, the accumulated translation adjustment attributable to that foreign subsidiary is reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive income and included in Other Income, net. As a result of such liquidations in fiscal 2011, 2010, and 2009, we recorded a net loss of \$21 million, a net gain of \$47 million, and a net loss of \$5 million, respectively. Foreign currency transaction gains and losses are also included in Other income, net, in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. We had foreign currency transaction losses of \$7 million and \$3 million for fiscal 2011 and 2010, respectively. We had a foreign currency transaction gain in fiscal 2009 of \$11 million. Deferred tax assets (liabilities) are established on the cumulative translation adjustment attributable to unremitted foreign earnings that are not intended to be indefinitely reinvested.

Revenue Recognition

We market and distribute our software products both as stand-alone products and as integrated product suites. We recognize revenue when 1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, 2) delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, 3) fees are fixed or determinable and 4) collectability is probable. If we determine that any one of the four criteria is not met, we will defer recognition of revenue until all the criteria are met.

We derive revenue primarily from sales of content, subscriptions, and maintenance and licenses. We present revenue net of sales taxes and any similar assessments.

Content, subscriptions, and maintenance revenue includes arrangements for software maintenance and technical support for our products, content and subscription services primarily related to our security products, revenue from arrangements where vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE) of the fair value of undelivered elements does not exist, arrangements for managed security services, and Software-as-a-Service (SaaS) offerings. These arrangements are generally offered to our customers over a specified period of time, and we recognize the related revenue ratably over the maintenance, subscription, or service period.

Content, subscriptions, and maintenance revenue also includes professional services revenue, which consists primarily of the fees we earn related to consulting and educational services. We generally recognize revenue from professional services as the services are performed or upon written acceptance from customers, if applicable, assuming all other conditions for revenue recognition noted above have been met.

License revenue is derived primarily from the licensing of our various products and technology. We generally recognize license revenue upon delivery of the product, assuming all other conditions for revenue recognition noted above have been met.

We enter into perpetual software license agreements through direct sales to customers and indirect sales with distributors and resellers. The license agreements generally include product maintenance agreements, for which the related revenue is included with Content, subscriptions, and maintenance and is deferred and recognized ratably over the period of the agreements.

For arrangements that include multiple elements, including perpetual software licenses, maintenance, services, and packaged products with content updates, managed security services, and subscriptions, we allocate and defer revenue for the undelivered items based on VSOE of the fair value of the undelivered elements, and recognize the difference between the total arrangement fee and the amount deferred for the undelivered items as license revenue. VSOE of

each element is based on historical evidence of our stand-alone sales of these elements to third parties or from the stated renewal rate for the undelivered elements. When VSOE does not exist for undelivered items, the entire arrangement fee is recognized ratably over the performance period. Our deferred revenue consists primarily of the unamortized balance of enterprise product maintenance, consumer product content updates, managed security services, subscriptions, and arrangements where VSOE does not exist for an undelivered element.

For arrangements that include both software and non-software elements, we allocate revenue to the software deliverables as a group and non-software deliverables based on their relative selling prices. In such circumstances,

Table of Contents

SYMANTEC CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

the accounting principles establish a hierarchy to determine the selling price used for allocating revenue to the deliverables as follows: (i) VSOE, (ii) third-party evidence of selling price (TPE) and (iii) the best estimate of the selling price (ESP). Our appliance products, SaaS and certain other services are considered to be non-software elements in our arrangements.

When we are unable to establish a selling price using VSOE or TPE, we use ESP in the allocation of arrangement consideration. The objective of ESP is to determine the price at which we would transact a sale if the product or service were sold on a stand-alone basis. The determination of ESP is made through consultation with and formal approval by our management, taking into consideration the go-to-market strategy and pricing factors. ESP applies to a small portion of our arrangements with multiple deliverables.

Indirect channel sales

For our Consumer segment, we sell packaged software products through a multi-tiered distribution channel. We also sell electronic download and packaged products via the Internet. We separately sell annual content update subscriptions directly to end-users primarily via the Internet. For our consumer products that include content updates, we recognize revenue ratably over the term of the subscription upon sell-through to end-users, as the subscription period commences on the date of sale to the end-user. For most other consumer products, we recognize packaged product revenue on distributor and reseller channel inventory that is not in excess of specified inventory levels in these channels. We offer the right of return of our products under various policies and programs with our distributors, resellers, and end-user customers. We estimate and record reserves for product returns as an offset to revenue. We fully reserve for obsolete products in the distribution channel as an offset to deferred revenue for products with content updates and to revenue for all other products.

For our Security and Compliance and Storage and Server Management segments, we generally recognize revenue from the licensing of software products through our indirect sales channel upon sell-through or with evidence of an end-user. For licensing of our software to OEMs, royalty revenue is recognized when the OEM reports the sale of the software products to an end-user, generally on a quarterly basis. In addition to license royalties, some OEMs pay an annual flat fee and/or support royalties for the right to sell maintenance and technical support to the end-user. We recognize revenue from OEM support royalties and fees ratably over the term of the support agreement.

We offer channel and end-user rebates for our products. Our estimated reserves for channel volume incentive rebates are based on distributors' and resellers' actual performance against the terms and conditions of volume incentive rebate programs, which are typically entered into quarterly. Our reserves for end-user rebates are estimated based on the terms and conditions of the promotional program, actual sales during the promotion, the amount of actual redemptions received, historical redemption trends by product and by type of promotional program, and the value of the rebate. We estimate and record reserves for channel and end-user rebates as an offset to revenue. For consumer products that include content updates, rebates are recorded as a ratably offset to revenue over the term of the subscription.

Financial Instruments

The following methods were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value:

Cash and Cash Equivalents. We consider all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents are recognized at fair value. As of April 1, 2011, our cash equivalents consisted of \$1.9 billion in money market funds and \$204 million in bank securities and deposits. As of April 2, 2010, our cash equivalents consisted of \$2.0 billion in money market funds, \$216 million in bank securities and deposits, and \$116 million in government securities.

Table of Contents

SYMANTEC CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Short-Term Investments. Short-term investments consist of marketable debt or equity securities that are classified as available-for-sale and recognized at fair value. The determination of fair value is further detailed in Note 2. Our portfolios generally consist of (1) debt securities which include asset-backed securities, corporate securities and government securities, and (2) marketable equity securities. As of April 1, 2011, our asset-backed securities have contractual maturity dates in excess of 10 years. We regularly review our investment portfolio to identify and evaluate investments that have indications of possible impairment. Factors considered in determining whether a loss is other-than-temporary include: the length of time and extent to which the fair market value has been lower than the cost basis, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the investee, credit quality, likelihood of recovery, and our ability to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair market value.

Unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, and other-than-temporary impairments for all reasons other than credit worthiness are included in Accumulated other comprehensive income. The amortization of premiums and discounts on the investments, realized gains and losses, and declines in value due to credit worthiness judged to be other-than-temporary on available-for-sale debt securities are included in Other income, net. We use the specific-identification method to determine cost in calculating realized gains and losses upon sale of short-term investments.

Equity Investments. We make equity investments in privately held companies whose businesses are complementary to our business. These investments are accounted for under the cost method of accounting, as we hold less than 20% of the voting stock outstanding and do not exert significant influence over these companies. The investments are included in Other long-term assets. We assess the recoverability of these investments by reviewing various indicators of impairment and determine the fair value of these investments by performing a discounted cash flow analysis of estimated future cash flows if there are indicators of impairment. If a decline in value is determined to be other-than-temporary, impairment would be recognized and included in Other income, net. As of April 1, 2011 and April 2, 2010, we held equity investments in privately-held companies of \$30 million and \$22 million, respectively. Other-than-temporary impairments related to these investments were not material for the periods presented.

Derivative Instruments. We transact business in various foreign currencies and have foreign currency risks associated with monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies. We utilize foreign currency forward contracts to reduce the risks associated with changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Our forward contracts generally have terms of six months or less and are transacted near month end periods. We do not use forward contracts for trading purposes. The gains and losses on the contracts are intended to offset the gains and losses on the underlying transactions. Both the changes in fair value of outstanding forward contracts and realized foreign exchange gains and losses are included in Other income, net. Contract fair values are determined based on quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets using inputs such as LIBOR, currency rates, forward points, and commonly quoted credit risk data. For each fiscal period presented in this report, outstanding derivative contracts and the related gains or losses were not material.

Senior Notes, Convertible Senior Notes, Note Hedges and Revolving Credit Facility. In the second quarter of fiscal 2011, we issued \$350 million in principal amount of 2.75% senior notes (2.75% Notes) due September 15, 2015 and \$750 million in principal amount of 4.20% senior notes (4.20% Notes) due September 15, 2020, collectively referred to as the Senior Notes, for an aggregate principal amount of \$1.1 billion. In June 2006, we issued \$1.1 billion in principal amount of 0.75% convertible senior notes (0.75% Notes) and \$1.0 billion in principal amount of 1.00% convertible senior notes (1.00% Notes), collectively referred to as the Convertible Senior Notes. Our Senior Notes are

recorded at cost based upon par value at issuance. Our Convertible Senior Notes are recorded at cost (in liability (debt) and equity (conversion option) components) based upon par value at issuance less a discount. The liability component is recognized at fair value on the issuance date, based on the fair value of a similar instrument that does not have a conversion feature at issuance. The excess of the principal amount of the Convertible Senior Notes over the fair value of the liability component is the equity component or debt discount.

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

Such excess represents the estimated fair value of the conversion feature and is recorded as Additional paid-in capital. The debt discount is amortized using the Company's effective interest rate over the term of the Convertible Senior Notes as a non-cash charge to interest expense included in Interest expense. Debt issuance costs were recorded in Other long-term assets and are being amortized to Interest expense using the effective interest method. In conjunction with the issuance of the Convertible Senior Notes, we entered into note hedge transactions which provide us with the option to purchase additional common shares at a fixed price after conversion. The cost incurred in connection with the note hedge transactions, net of the related tax benefit, and the proceeds from the sale of warrants, was included as a net reduction in Additional paid-in capital. Borrowings under our \$1 billion senior unsecured revolving credit facility are recognized at cost plus accrued interest based upon stated interest rates.

Trade Accounts Receivable

Trade accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and are not interest bearing. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts to reserve for potentially uncollectible trade receivables. Additions to the allowance for doubtful accounts are recorded as General and administrative expenses. We review our trade receivables by aging category to identify specific customers with known disputes or collectability issues. In addition, we maintain an allowance for all other receivables not included in the specific reserve by applying specific percentages of projected uncollectible receivables to the various aging categories. In determining these percentages, we analyze our historical collection experience and current economic trends. We exercise judgment when determining the adequacy of these reserves as we evaluate historical bad debt trends, general economic conditions in the U.S. and internationally, and changes in customer financial conditions. We also offset deferred revenue against accounts receivable when channel inventories are in excess of specified levels and for transactions where collection of a receivable is not considered probable. The following table summarizes trade accounts receivable, net of allowances and reserves, for the periods presented:

| | As of | |
|----------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | April 1, 2011 | April 2, 2010 |
| | (In millions) | |
| <i>Trade accounts receivable, net:</i> | | |
| Receivables | \$ 1,034 | \$ 873 |
| Less: allowance for doubtful accounts | (9) | (8) |
| Less: reserve for product returns | (12) | (9) |
| Trade accounts receivable, net: | \$ 1,013 | \$ 856 |

Inventories

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. Cost is principally determined using the first-in, first-out method. Adjustments to reduce the cost of inventory to its net realizable value are made, if required, for estimated excess, obsolescence or impaired balances. Inventory predominantly consists of deferred costs of revenue and finished goods. Deferred costs of revenue were \$22 million as of April 1, 2011 and \$23 million as of April 2, 2010, of which \$16 million and \$17 million, respectively was related to consumer products that include content updates and will be

recognized ratably over the term of the subscription.

Property and Equipment

Property, equipment, and leasehold improvements are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. We capitalize costs incurred during the application development stage related to the development of internal use software and enterprise cloud computing services. We expense costs incurred related to the planning and post-implementation phases of development as incurred. Depreciation and amortization is provided on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the related assets. Buildings are depreciated over 20 to 30 years.

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

Leasehold improvements are depreciated over the lesser of the life of the improvement or the initial lease term. Computer hardware and software, and office furniture and equipment are depreciated over three to five years. The following table summarizes property and equipment by categories for the periods presented:

| | As of | |
|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | April 1, 2011 | April 2, 2010 |
| | (In millions) | |
| <i>Property and equipment, net:</i> | | |
| Computer hardware and software | \$ 1,458 | \$ 1,237 |
| Office furniture and equipment | 189 | 185 |
| Buildings | 467 | 440 |
| Leasehold improvements | 270 | 245 |
| | 2,384 | 2,107 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization | (1,530) | (1,299) |
| | 854 | 808 |
| Construction in progress | 117 | 70 |
| Land | 79 | 71 |
| Property and equipment, net: | \$ 1,050 | \$ 949 |

Depreciation expense was \$257 million, \$247 million, and \$250 million in fiscal 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Business Combinations

We use the acquisition method of accounting under the authoritative guidance on business combinations. Each acquired company's operating results are included in our consolidated financial statements starting on the date of acquisition. The purchase price is equivalent to the fair value of consideration transferred. Tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the date of acquisition are recorded at the acquisition date fair value. Goodwill is recognized for the excess of purchase price over the net fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

Amounts allocated to assets and liabilities are based upon fair values. Such valuations require management to make significant estimates and assumptions, especially with respect to the identifiable intangible assets. Management makes estimates of fair value based upon assumptions believed to be reasonable and that of a market participant. These estimates are based on historical experience and information obtained from the management of the acquired companies and are inherently uncertain. The separately identifiable intangible assets generally include developed technology, customer relationships and tradenames. We estimate the fair value of deferred revenue related to product support assumed in connection with acquisitions. The estimated fair value of deferred revenue is determined by estimating the costs related to fulfilling the obligations plus a normal profit margin. The estimated costs to fulfill the

support contracts are based on the historical direct costs related to providing the support.

For any given acquisition, we may identify certain pre-acquisition contingencies. We estimate the fair value of such contingencies, which are included under the acquisition method as part of the assets acquired or liabilities assumed, as appropriate. Differences from these estimates are recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Operations in the period in which they are identified.

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****Goodwill and Intangible Assets**

Goodwill. Our methodology for allocating the purchase price relating to acquisitions is determined through established valuation techniques. Goodwill is measured as the excess of the cost of the acquisition over the sum of the amounts assigned to tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired less liabilities assumed. We review goodwill for impairment on an annual basis during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of goodwill may be impaired. In testing for a potential impairment of goodwill, we determine the carrying value (book value) of the assets and liabilities for each reporting unit, which requires the allocation of goodwill to each reporting unit. We then estimate the fair value of each reporting unit, which are the same as our operating segments. The first step in evaluating goodwill for impairment is to determine if the estimated fair value of equity is greater than the carrying value of equity of each reporting unit. If step one indicates that impairment potentially exists, the second step is performed to measure the amount of impairment, if any. Goodwill impairment exists when the estimated fair value of goodwill is less than its carrying value.

To determine the reporting units' fair values in the current year analysis, we used the income approach which is based on the estimated discounted future cash flows of that reporting unit. The estimated fair value of each reporting unit under the income approach is corroborated with the market approach which measures the value of a business through an analysis of recent sales or offerings of a comparable entity. We also consider our market capitalization on the date of the analysis. The methodology applied in the current year analysis was consistent with the methodology applied in the prior year analysis, but was based on updated assumptions, as appropriate.

Our cash flow assumptions are based on historical and forecasted revenue, operating costs and other relevant factors. To determine the reporting units' carrying values, we allocated assets and liabilities based on either specific identification or by using judgment for the remaining assets and liabilities that are not specific to a reporting unit. Goodwill was allocated to the reporting units based on a combination of specific identification and relative fair values, which is consistent with the methodology utilized in the prior year impairment analysis. The use of relative fair values was necessary for certain reporting units due to impairment charges and changes in our operating structure in prior years.

Prior to performing our second step in the goodwill impairment analysis, we perform an assessment of long-lived assets, including intangible assets, for impairment.

Intangible Assets. In connection with our acquisitions, we generally recognize assets for customer relationships, developed technology (which consists of acquired product rights, technologies, databases, and contracts), in-process research and development, trademarks and tradenames. Indefinite-lived intangible assets are not subject to amortization. Finite-lived intangible assets are carried at cost less accumulated amortization. Such amortization is provided on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets, generally from one to eleven years. Amortization for developed technology is recognized in Cost of revenue as Amortization of acquired product rights. Amortization for customer relationships and certain tradenames is recognized in Operating expenses.

On an interim basis, we assess the impairment of identifiable intangible assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that an asset group's carrying amount may not be recoverable. Recoverability of certain finite-lived intangible assets, particularly customer relationships and finite-lived tradenames, would be measured by the comparison of the carrying amount of the asset group to which the assets are assigned to the sum of the undiscounted estimated future cash flows the asset group is expected to generate. If the asset is considered to be

impaired, such amount would be measured as the difference between the carrying amount of the asset and its fair value. Recoverability and impairment of other finite-lived intangible assets, particularly developed technology and patents, would be measured by the comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to the sum of undiscounted estimated future product revenues offset by estimated future costs to dispose of the product. In addition, for indefinite-lived intangible assets, we review such assets for impairment on an annual basis consistent with the

Table of Contents

SYMANTEC CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

timing of the annual evaluation for goodwill. These assets generally include tradenames, trademarks and in-process research and development. Recoverability of infinite-lived intangible assets would be measured by the comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to the sum of the discounted estimated future cash flows the asset is expected to generate. If the asset is considered to be impaired, such amount would be measured as the difference between the carrying amount of the asset and its fair value. Our cash flow assumptions are based on historical and future revenue, operating costs, and other relevant factors. Assumptions and estimates about the remaining useful lives of our intangible assets are subjective and are affected by changes to our business strategies. These estimates may be subject to change.

Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes is computed using the asset and liability method, under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, and for operating loss and tax credit carryforwards in each jurisdiction in which we operate. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the currently enacted tax rates that apply to taxable income in effect for the years in which those tax assets are expected to be realized or settled. We record a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount that is believed more likely than not to be realized.

We are required to compute our income taxes in each federal, state, and international jurisdiction in which we operate. This process requires that we estimate the current tax exposure as well as assess temporary differences between the accounting and tax treatment of assets and liabilities, including items such as accruals and allowances not currently deductible for tax purposes. The income tax effects of the differences we identify are classified as current or long-term deferred tax assets and liabilities in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. Our judgments, assumptions, and estimates relative to the current provision for income tax take into account current tax laws, our interpretation of current tax laws, and possible outcomes of current and future audits conducted by foreign and domestic tax authorities. Changes in tax laws or our interpretation of tax laws and the resolution of current and future tax audits could significantly impact the amounts provided for income taxes in our Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statements of Operations. We must also assess the likelihood that deferred tax assets will be realized from future taxable income and, based on this assessment, establish a valuation allowance, if required. Our determination of our valuation allowance is based upon a number of assumptions, judgments, and estimates, including forecasted earnings, future taxable income, and the relative proportions of revenue and income before taxes in the various domestic and international jurisdictions in which we operate. To the extent we establish a valuation allowance or change the valuation allowance in a period, we reflect the change with a corresponding increase or decrease to our tax provision in our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

We apply the authoritative guidance on income taxes that prescribes a minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. It also provides guidance on derecognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition.

This guidance prescribes a two-step process to determine the amount of tax benefit to be recognized. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. The second step requires us to estimate and measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement. It is inherently difficult and subjective to estimate such amounts, as this requires us to determine the probability of various possible outcomes. We reevaluate these uncertain

tax positions on a quarterly basis. This evaluation is based on factors including, but not limited to, changes in facts or circumstances, changes in tax law, effectively settled issues under audit, and new audit activity. Such a change in recognition or measurement would result in the recognition of a tax benefit or an additional charge to the tax provision in the period.

Table of Contents

SYMANTEC CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Stock-Based Compensation

Stock-based compensation is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period, which is generally the vesting period of the respective award. No compensation cost is ultimately recognized for awards for which employees do not render the requisite service and are forfeited.

Fair Value of Stock-Based Awards. We have issued and outstanding three types of stock-based awards: stock options, restricted stock units and stock purchase rights.

Stock Options. We use the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to determine the fair value of stock options. The determination of the grant date fair value of options using an option-pricing model is affected by our stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of complex and subjective variables. These variables include our expected stock price volatility over the expected life of the awards, actual and projected employee stock option exercise and cancellation behaviors, risk-free interest rates and expected dividends. We estimate the expected life of options granted based on an analysis of our historical experience of employee exercise and post-vesting termination behavior considered in relation to the contractual life of the option. Expected volatility is based on the average of historical volatility for the period commensurate with the expected life of the option and the implied volatility of traded options. The risk free interest rate is equal to the U.S. Treasury constant maturity rates for the period equal to the expected life. We do not currently pay cash dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate doing so in the foreseeable future. Accordingly, our expected dividend yield is zero.

Restricted Stock Units. The fair value of each Restricted Stock Unit (RSU) is equal to the market value of Symantec's common stock on the date of grant.

Stock Purchase Rights. The fair value of each Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP) right is equal to the 15% discount on the shares on the date of purchase.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

A significant portion of our revenue and net income (loss) is derived from international sales and independent agents and distributors. Fluctuations of the U.S. dollar against foreign currencies, changes in local regulatory or economic conditions, piracy, or nonperformance by independent agents or distributors could adversely affect operating results.

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, trade accounts receivable, and forward foreign exchange contracts. Our investment portfolio is diversified and consists of investment grade securities. Our investment policy limits the amount of credit risk exposure to any one issuer and to any one country. We are exposed to credit risks in the event of default by the issuers to the extent of the amount recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The credit risk in our trade accounts receivable is substantially mitigated by our credit evaluation process, reasonably short collection terms, and the geographical dispersion of sales transactions. We maintain reserves for potential credit losses and such losses have been within management's expectations. See Note 10 for details of significant customers.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are charged to operations as incurred and include electronic and print advertising, trade shows, collateral production, placement fees with hardware manufacturers, and all forms of direct marketing. Advertising costs included in Sales and marketing expense for fiscal 2011, 2010, and 2009 were \$668 million, \$615 million, and \$572 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

SYMANTEC CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Recently Issued and Adopted Authoritative Guidance

In the first quarter of fiscal 2011, we adopted new authoritative guidance which changes the model for determining whether an entity should consolidate a variable interest entity (VIE). The standard replaces the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which enterprise has a controlling financial interest in a VIE with an approach focused on identifying which enterprise has the power to direct the activities of a VIE and the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or the right to receive the entity's residual returns. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements for fiscal 2011.

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011, updated authoritative guidance was issued to modify Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists, we will need to consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that an impairment may exist. The adoption of this guidance will be effective beginning April 2, 2011, the first quarter of our fiscal 2012. The updated guidance may require us to perform the step 2 for our Services reporting unit upon adoption. The adoption of this guidance could potentially result in an impairment of the goodwill recorded in the Services reporting unit of up to \$19 million.

Note 2. Fair Value Measurements

We measure assets and liabilities at fair value based on an expected exit price as defined by the authoritative guidance on fair value measurements, which represents the amount that would be received on the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability, as the case may be, in an orderly transaction between market participants. As such, fair value may be based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. The authoritative guidance on fair value measurements establishes a consistent framework for measuring fair value on either a recurring or nonrecurring basis whereby inputs, used in valuation techniques, are assigned a hierarchical level. The following are the hierarchical levels of inputs to measure fair value:

Level 1: Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2: Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities; or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs reflecting our own assumptions incorporated in valuation techniques used to determine fair value. These assumptions are required to be consistent with market participant assumptions that are reasonably available.

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)*****Assets Measured and Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis***

The following table summarizes our assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, by level, within the fair value hierarchy:

| | As of April 1, 2011 | | | | As of April 2, 2010 | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| | (In millions) | | | | | | | |
| Cash equivalents: | | | | | | | | |
| Money market funds ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 1,866 | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,866 | \$ 2,046 | \$ | \$ | \$ 2,046 |
| Bank securities and deposits ⁽²⁾ | | 204 | | 204 | | 216 | | 216 |
| Government securities ⁽²⁾ | | | | | | 116 | | 116 |
| Total | \$ 1,866 | \$ 204 | \$ | \$ 2,070 | \$ 2,046 | \$ 332 | \$ | \$ 2,378 |

⁽¹⁾ Level 1 securities are based on quoted market prices of the identical underlying security.

⁽²⁾ Level 2 securities are priced using quoted market prices for similar instruments and nonbinding market prices that are corroborated by observable market data.

Assets and Liabilities Measured and Recorded at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

The following table summarizes our assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, by level, within the fair value hierarchy:

| | April 1, 2011 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Losses Fiscal Year Ended April 1, 2011 (In millions) | April 2, 2010 | Level 2 | Losses Fiscal Year Ended April 2, 2010 |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Assets held for sale | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 2 | \$ 34 | \$ 34 | \$ 20 |
| Indefinite-lived intangible assets | \$ 1,250 | \$ | \$ 1,250 | \$ 27 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Long-term debt | \$ 497 | \$ 497 | \$ | \$ 16 | \$ | \$ | \$ |

Assets Held for Sale. Assets held for sale during fiscal 2011 were measured at fair value, less costs to sell, using Level 2 inputs consisting of recent offers made by third parties to purchase the properties or valuation appraisals. As of April 1, 2011, we reclassified all remaining assets held for sale valued at \$2 million to assets held for use within Property and equipment. During fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009, we recorded impairments as a result of fair value measurements of \$2 million, \$20 million, and \$46 million, respectively. As of April 2, 2010 assets held for sale was \$34 million. During fiscal years 2010 and 2009, we sold assets held for sale for \$42 million and \$40 million which resulted in a \$10 million and immaterial loss, respectively. Assets held for sale were included in Other current assets.

Indefinite-lived intangible assets. During fiscal 2011, we recorded an impairment charge of \$27 million which reduced the gross carrying value of indefinite-lived tradenames. This impairment charge was due to reductions in expected future cash flows for certain indefinite-lived tradenames related to the Consumer segment. This impairment charge was recorded within Impairment of intangible assets and goodwill on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Long-Term Debt. In fiscal 2011, we repurchased \$500 million of aggregate principal amount of our 0.75% Notes , which had a net book value of \$481 million. Concurrently with the repurchase, we sold a proportionate share of the initial note hedges back to the note hedge counterparties for approximately \$13 million. These transactions resulted in a loss from extinguishment of debt of approximately \$16 million, which represents

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

the difference between book value of the notes net of the remaining unamortized discount prior to repurchase and the fair value of the liability component of the notes upon repurchase. The fair value of the liability component was calculated to be \$497 million using Level 2 inputs based on market prices for similar convertible debt instruments and resulting yields. See Note 6 for further details.

Note 3. Acquisitions**Fiscal 2011 acquisitions*****Identity and Authentication Business of VeriSign, Inc.***

On August 9, 2010, we completed the acquisition of the identity and authentication business of VeriSign, which included a controlling interest in VeriSign Japan and equity interests in certain other subsidiary entities. In exchange for the assets and liabilities of the acquired business, we paid a total purchase price of \$1.29 billion in cash, which included net cash and working capital adjustments of \$3 million. No equity interests were issued. The results of operations of the identity and authentication business of VeriSign are included since the date of acquisition as part of the Security and Compliance segment. Supplemental pro forma information for VeriSign was not material to our financial results and was therefore not included. For fiscal 2011, we recorded acquisition-related transaction costs of \$11 million, which were included in general and administrative expense.

The following table presents the purchase price allocation included in our Consolidated Balance Sheets (*in millions*):

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Net tangible assets ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 178 |
| Intangible assets ⁽²⁾ | 628 |
| Goodwill ⁽³⁾ | 602 |
| Deferred tax liability | (38) |
| Noncontrolling interest in VeriSign Japan ⁽⁴⁾ | (85) |
| Total purchase price | \$ 1,285 |

- (1) Net tangible assets included deferred revenue, which was adjusted down from \$286 million to \$68 million, representing our estimate of the fair value of the contractual obligation assumed for the support of the authentication business.
- (2) Intangible assets included customer relationships of \$226 million, developed technology of \$123 million and tradenames of \$5 million, which are amortized over their estimated useful lives of 18 months to nine years. The weighted-average estimated useful lives were 8.0 years for customer relationships and 9.0 years for developed technology. Intangible assets also included indefinite-lived tradenames and trademarks of \$274 million.
- (3) Goodwill is partially tax deductible. The goodwill amount resulted primarily from our expectation of synergies from the integration of VeriSign product offerings with our product offerings.

- (4) The fair value of the noncontrolling interest was calculated on a market basis using the closing stock price of VeriSign Japan on the date of acquisition.

PGP Corporation

On June 4, 2010, we completed the acquisition of PGP Corporation (PGP), a nonpublic provider of email and data encryption software. In exchange for all of the voting equity interests of PGP, we paid a total purchase price of \$306 million, excluding cash acquired. The results of operations of PGP are included since the date of acquisition as part of the Security and Compliance segment. Supplemental pro forma information for PGP was not material to our financial results and was therefore not included. For fiscal 2011, we recorded acquisition-related transaction costs of \$1 million, which were included in general and administrative expense.

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The following table presents the purchase price allocation included in our Consolidated Balance Sheets (*in millions*):

| | |
|------------------------------------|--------|
| Net tangible assets ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 7 |
| Intangible assets ⁽²⁾ | 74 |
| Goodwill ⁽³⁾ | 225 |
| Total purchase price | \$ 306 |

- (1) Net tangible assets included deferred revenue, which was adjusted down from \$55 million to \$9 million, representing our estimate of the fair value of the contractual obligation assumed for support services.
- (2) Intangible assets included customer relationships of \$29 million, developed technology of \$39 million, and definite-lived tradenames of \$3 million, which are amortized over their estimated useful lives of two to eight years. The weighted-average estimated useful lives were 8.0 years for customer relationships, 5.0 years for developed technology, and 2.0 years for definite-lived tradenames. Intangible assets also included indefinite-lived in-process research and development (IPR&D) of \$3 million.
- (3) Goodwill is not tax deductible. The goodwill amount resulted primarily from our expectation of synergies from the integration of PGP product offerings with our product offerings.

Other Fiscal 2011 acquisitions

During fiscal 2011, in addition to VeriSign and PGP, we completed the acquisitions of GuardianEdge Technologies, Inc. (GuardianEdge) and two other businesses for an aggregate of \$91 million in cash, including \$1 million in assumed equity awards at fair value. The results of operations for the acquired companies have been included in the Security and Compliance segment since their respective acquisition dates. Supplemental pro forma information for these acquisitions was not material to our financial results and was therefore not included. For fiscal 2011, we recorded acquisition-related transaction costs of \$2 million, which were included in general and administrative expense.

The following table presents the purchase price allocation included in our Consolidated Balance Sheets (*in millions*):

| | GuardianEdge | Others | Total |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Acquisition date | June 3, 2010 | Various | |
| Net tangible assets ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 3 | \$ | \$ 3 |
| Intangible assets ⁽²⁾ | 30 | 6 | 36 |
| Goodwill ⁽³⁾ | 40 | 12 | 52 |
| Total purchase price | \$ 73 | \$ 18 | \$ 91 |

- (1) Net tangible assets included deferred revenue, which was adjusted down from \$17 million to \$2 million, representing our estimate of the fair value of the contractual obligation assumed for support services.
- (2) Intangible assets included customer relationships of \$24 million and developed technology of \$12 million, which are amortized over their estimated useful lives of three to nine years. The weighted-average estimated useful lives were 9.0 years for customer relationships and 5.0 years for developed technology.
- (3) Goodwill is partially tax deductible. The goodwill amount resulted primarily from our expectation of synergies from the integration of the acquisitions' product offerings with our product offerings.

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****Fiscal 2010 acquisitions**

During fiscal 2010, we completed two acquisitions of nonpublic companies for an aggregate of \$42 million in cash. No equity interests were issued. We recorded goodwill in connection with each of these acquisitions, which resulted primarily from our expectation of synergies from the integration of the acquired company's technology with our technology. The goodwill for these acquisitions is only partially tax deductible, if at all. The results of operations for the acquired companies have been included in our results of operations since their respective acquisition dates. These acquisitions are included in our Security and Compliance segment.

The following table presents the purchase price allocation included in our Consolidated Balance Sheets (*in millions*):

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Acquisition date | Various |
| Net tangible assets (liabilities) | \$ |
| Intangible assets ⁽¹⁾ | 18 |
| Goodwill | 24 |
| Total purchase price | \$ 42 |

- ⁽¹⁾ Intangible assets included customer relationships of \$13 million and developed technology of \$5 million, which are amortized over their estimated useful lives of four to eleven years. The weighted-average estimated useful lives were 10.0 years for customer relationships and 4.0 years for developed technology.

Fiscal 2009 acquisitions***MessageLabs***

On November 14, 2008, we completed the acquisition of MessageLabs Group Limited (*MessageLabs*), a nonpublic United Kingdom-based provider of on-line services to protect, control, encrypt, and archive electronic communications. The acquisition complements our SaaS business. In exchange for all of the voting equity interests of MessageLabs, we paid the following (*in millions*):

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Cash paid for acquisition of common stock outstanding, excluding cash acquired | \$ 632 |
| Acquisition-related transaction costs | 8 |
| Total purchase price | \$ 640 |

The results of operations for MessageLabs are included since the date of acquisition as part of the Security and Compliance segment. Supplemental proforma information for MessageLabs was not material to our financial results and was therefore not included. The purchase price was subject to an adjustment of up to an additional \$13 million in

cash due to estimates in the initial purchase price that were not finalized. As a result, subsequent to the acquisition date, the Company paid an additional \$10 million to the seller which was allocated to Goodwill.

The following table presents the purchase price allocation (*in millions*):

| | |
|------------------------------------|--------|
| Net tangible assets ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 20 |
| Intangible assets ⁽²⁾ | 170 |
| Goodwill ⁽³⁾ | 480 |
| Deferred tax liability | (30) |
| Total purchase price | \$ 640 |

⁽¹⁾ Net tangible assets included deferred revenue, which was adjusted down from \$34 million to \$10 million, representing our estimate of the fair value of the contractual obligation assumed for support services.

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

- (2) Intangible assets included customer relationships of \$127 million, developed technology of \$39 million, and definite-lived tradenames of \$4 million, which are amortized over their estimated useful lives of one to eight years. The weighted-average estimated useful lives were 8.0 years for customer relationships, 4.0 years for developed technology, and 1.0 years for definite-lived tradenames.
- (3) Goodwill was not tax deductible. The goodwill amount resulted primarily from our expectation of synergies from the integration of MessageLabs product offerings with our product offerings.

Other fiscal 2009 acquisitions

During fiscal 2009, in addition to MessageLabs, we completed acquisitions of five nonpublic companies for an aggregate of \$478 million in cash, including \$6 million in acquisition-related expenses resulting from financial advisory, legal and accounting services, duplicate sites, and severance. No equity interests were issued. We recorded goodwill in connection with each of these acquisitions, which resulted primarily from our expectation of synergies from the integration of the acquired company's technology with our technology and the acquired company's access to our global distribution network. In addition, each acquired company provided a knowledgeable and experienced workforce. Most of the goodwill from the PC Tools Pty Limited (PC Tools) acquisition was tax deductible, while goodwill for the other acquisitions was not tax deductible or was not material. The results of operations for the acquired companies have been included in our results of operations since their respective acquisition dates. AppStream, Inc. (AppStream), and the Other acquisitions are included in our Security and Compliance segment and SwapDrive, Inc. (SwapDrive) and PC Tools are included in our Consumer segment.

The following table presents the purchase price allocations related to these other fiscal 2009 acquisitions (*in millions*):

| | AppStream | SwapDrive | PC Tools | Others | Total |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|
| | (In millions) | | | | |
| Acquisition date | April 18, 2008 | June 6, 2008 | October 6, 2008 | Various | |
| Net tangible assets (liabilities) | \$ 14 | \$ 2 | \$ (11) | \$ | \$ 5 |
| Intangible assets ⁽¹⁾ | 11 | 42 | 100 | 12 | 165 |
| Goodwill | 27 | 81 | 173 | 27 | 308 |
| Total purchase price | \$ 52 | \$ 125 | \$ 262 | \$ 39 | \$ 478 |

- (1) Intangible assets included customer relationships of \$43 million, developed technology of \$90 million and definite-lived tradenames of \$1 million, which are amortized over their estimated useful lives of one to nine years. The weighted-average estimated useful lives were 6.5 years for customer relationships, 5.5 years for developed technology, and 1.4 years for definite-lived tradenames. Intangible assets also included indefinite-lived trade-names of \$31 million.

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****Note 4. Goodwill and Intangible Assets*****Goodwill***

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill are as follows:

| | Consumer | Security and Compliance | Storage and Server Management (In millions) | Services | Total |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Net balance as of April 3, 2009 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 356 | \$ 1,355 | \$ 2,457 | \$ 393 | \$ 4,561 |
| Operating segment reclassification ⁽²⁾ | | 193 | 191 | (384) | |
| Goodwill acquired through acquisitions ⁽³⁾ | | 24 | | | 24 |
| Goodwill adjustments ⁽⁴⁾ | | 10 | | 10 | 20 |
| Net balance as of April 2, 2010 ⁽⁵⁾ | \$ 356 | \$ 1,582 | \$ 2,648 | \$ 19 | \$ 4,605 |
| Goodwill acquired through acquisitions ⁽³⁾ | | 880 | | | 880 |
| Goodwill adjustments ⁽⁶⁾ | 7 | 2 | | | 9 |
| Net balance as of April 1, 2011 ⁽⁷⁾ | \$ 363 | \$ 2,464 | \$ 2,648 | \$ 19 | \$ 5,494 |

(1) Gross goodwill balances for the Consumer, Security and Compliance, Storage and Server Management, and Services were \$356 million, \$4.1 billion, \$6.7 billion, and \$913 million, respectively as of April 3, 2009. Accumulated impairments for the Security and Compliance, Storage and Server Management, and Services were \$2.7 billion, \$4.2 billion, and \$520 million, respectively as of April 3, 2009. There was no impairment for the Consumer segment as of April 3, 2009.

(2) During the first quarter of fiscal 2010, we changed our reporting segments to better align to our operating structure, resulting in the Enterprise Vault products that were formerly included in the Security and Compliance segment being moved to the Storage and Server Management segment. Also, SaaS, which was a standalone reporting unit in fiscal 2009, moved to both the Security and Compliance and the Storage and Server Management segments from the Services segment in accordance with the nature of the service delivered. The predominant amount of SaaS goodwill went to the Security and Compliance segment. See Note 11 for segment information.

(3) See Note 3 for acquisitions completed in fiscal 2011 and 2010.

(4) Adjustments were primarily due to tax adjustments for prior acquisitions that were accounted for under the prior authoritative guidance on business combinations.

- (5) Gross goodwill balances for the Consumer, Security and Compliance, Storage and Server Management, and Services were \$356 million, \$4.0 billion, \$7.2 billion, and \$461 million, respectively as of April 2, 2010. Accumulated impairments for Security and Compliance, Storage and Server Management, and Services were \$2.4 billion, \$4.6 billion, and \$442 million, respectively as of April 2, 2010. There was no impairment for the Consumer segment as of April 2, 2010. These balances are reflective of amounts after adjustment for segment reclassifications during the period.
- (6) Adjustments were primarily due to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations.
- (7) Gross goodwill balances for the Consumer, Security and Compliance, Storage and Server Management, and Services were \$363 million, \$4.9 billion, \$7.2 billion, and \$461 million, respectively as of April 1, 2011. Accumulated impairments for Security and Compliance, Storage and Server Management, and Services were \$2.4 billion, \$4.6 billion, and \$442 million, respectively as of April 1, 2011. There was no impairment for the Consumer segment as of April 1, 2011.

Table of Contents

SYMANTEC CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011, in accordance with our accounting policy described in Note 1, we performed our annual impairment analysis and determined that goodwill was not impaired.

Intangible assets, net

| | April 1, 2011 | | | Weighted-Average |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Amount | Remaining Useful Life |
| | (\$ in millions) | | | |
| Customer relationships | \$ 2,121 | \$ (1,227) | \$ 894 | 3 years |
| Developed technology ⁽¹⁾ | 1,810 | (1,567) | 243 | 4 years |
| Definite-lived tradenames | 136 | (80) | 56 | 4 years |
| Patents | 75 | (62) | 13 | 2 years |
| Indefinite-lived tradenames ⁽²⁾ | 302 | | 302 | Indefinite |
| Indefinite-lived IPR&D | 3 | | 3 | Indefinite |
| Total | \$ 4,447 | \$ (2,936) | \$ 1,511 | 3 years |

| | April 2, 2010 | | | Weighted-Average |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Amount | Remaining Useful Life |
| | (\$ in millions) | | | |
| Customer relationships | \$ 1,839 | \$ (973) | \$ 866 | 4 years |
| Developed technology ⁽¹⁾ | 1,635 | (1,458) | 177 | 1 year |
| Definite-lived tradenames | 128 | (66) | 62 | 5 years |
| Patents | 75 | (54) | 21 | 3 years |
| Indefinite-lived tradenames | 53 | | 53 | Indefinite |
| Total | \$ 3,730 | \$ (2,551) | \$ 1,179 | 3 years |

⁽¹⁾ Developed technology is also known as acquired product rights.

⁽²⁾

During fiscal 2011, we recorded an impairment of \$27 million which reduced the gross carrying value of indefinite-lived tradenames. This impairment charge was due to reductions in expected future cash flows for certain indefinite-lived tradenames related to the Consumer segment. This impairment charge was recorded within Impairment of intangible assets and goodwill on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Amortization expense was \$385 million, \$481 million, and \$585 million in fiscal 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

Total future amortization expense for intangible assets that have definite lives, based upon our existing intangible assets and their current estimated useful lives as of April 1, 2011, is estimated as follows (*in millions*):

| | |
|------------|----------|
| 2012 | \$ 362 |
| 2013 | 326 |
| 2014 | 181 |
| 2015 | 127 |
| 2016 | 76 |
| Thereafter | 134 |
| Total | \$ 1,206 |

Note 5. Investment in Joint Venture

On February 5, 2008, Symantec formed Huawei-Symantec Technologies Co., Ltd. (joint venture) with a subsidiary of Huawei Technologies Co., Limited (Huawei). The joint venture is domiciled in Hong Kong with principal operations in Chengdu, China. We contributed cash of \$150 million, licenses related to certain intellectual property and intangible assets in exchange for 49% of the outstanding common shares of the joint venture. The joint venture develops, manufactures, supports and markets security and storage appliances and solutions to global telecommunications carriers and enterprise customers. Huawei contributed its telecommunications storage and security business assets, engineering, sales and marketing resources, personnel, and licenses related to intellectual property in exchange for a 51% ownership interest in the joint venture.

The contribution of assets to the joint venture was accounted for at its carrying value. The historical carrying value of the assets contributed by Symantec comprised a significant portion of the net assets of the joint venture. As a result, our carrying value of the investment in the joint venture exceeded our proportionate share in the book value of the joint venture by approximately \$75 million upon formation of the joint venture. As the contributions for both Symantec and Huawei were recorded at historical carrying value by the joint venture, this basis difference is attributable to the contributed identified intangible assets. The basis difference is being amortized over a weighted-average period of 9 years, the estimated useful lives of the underlying identified intangible assets to which the basis difference is attributed.

We have a one-time option to purchase an additional two percent ownership interest from Huawei for \$28 million. The period to exercise this option began on February 5, 2011. We determined the value of the option using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The value of the option is not considered material to the financial statements. We have concluded that the option does not meet the definition of a derivative under the authoritative guidance. As of the date of this filing, we continue to evaluate the exercise of this option.

If Symantec declines its option to purchase the additional two percent ownership interest, Symantec and Huawei would each then have the right to purchase all of the other partner's ownership interest through a bid process. As of the date of this filing, this bid process has not been triggered.

We account for our investment in the joint venture under the equity method of accounting. Under this method, we record our proportionate share of the joint venture's net income or loss based on the quarterly financial statements of the joint venture. We record our proportionate share of net income or loss one quarter in arrears. In determining our share of the joint venture's net income or loss, we adjust the joint venture's reported results to recognize the amortization expense associated with the basis difference described above. Summarized audited

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

Statement of Operations information for the joint venture and the calculation of our share of the joint venture's loss are as follows:

| | For the Period from January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010 | For the Period from January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009 (\$ in millions) | For the Period from February 5, 2008 to December 31, 2008 |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Net revenue | \$ 370 | \$ 224 | \$ 28 |
| Gross margin | 157 | 87 | 7 |
| Net loss, as reported by the joint venture | \$ (46) | \$ (63) | \$ (92) |
| Symantec's ownership interest | 49% | 49% | 49% |
| Symantec's proportionate share of net loss | \$ (23) | \$ (31) | \$ (45) |
| Adjustment for amortization of basis difference | (8) | (8) | (8) |
| Loss from joint venture | \$ (31) | \$ (39) | \$ (53) |

Note 6. Debt***Senior notes***

In the second quarter of fiscal 2011, we issued \$350 million in principal amount of 2.75% Notes due September 15, 2015 and \$750 million in principal amount of 4.20% Notes due September 15, 2020, collectively referred to as the Senior Notes, for an aggregate principal amount of \$1.1 billion. The 2.75% Notes and 4.20% Notes are senior unsecured obligations of the Company that rank equally in right of payment with all of our existing and future unsecured and unsubordinated obligations and are redeemable by us at any time, subject to a make-whole premium. Our proceeds were \$1.1 billion, net of an issuance discount of approximately \$3 million resulting from sale of the notes at a yield slightly above the stated coupons. We also incurred issuance costs of approximately \$6.2 million. Both the discount and issuance costs are being amortized as incremental non-cash interest expense over the respective terms of the notes. The 2.75% Notes and 4.20% Notes bear interest at 2.75% and 4.20% per annum, respectively. Interest is payable semiannually in arrears on the 15th of March and September, beginning March 15, 2011.

Convertible senior notes

In June 2006, we issued \$1.1 billion in principal amount of 0.75% Notes due 2011 and \$1.0 billion in principal amount of 1.00% Notes due 2013, collectively referred to as the Convertible Senior Notes. We received proceeds of \$2.1 billion from the Convertible Senior Notes and incurred net transaction costs of approximately \$33 million, of which \$9 million was allocated to equity and the remainder allocated proportionately to the 0.75% Notes and

1.00% Notes. The 0.75% Notes and 1.00% Notes were each issued at par and bear interest at 0.75% and 1.00% per annum, respectively. Interest is payable semiannually in arrears on June 15 and December 15, beginning December 15, 2006.

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The following table summarizes information regarding the equity and liability components of the Convertible Senior Notes:

| | As of | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | April 1, 2011 | April 2, 2010 |
| | (In millions) | |
| Equity component | \$ 462 | \$ 586 |
| Principal amount | \$ 1,600 | \$ 2,100 |
| Unamortized discount | (115) | (229) |
| Liability component | \$ 1,485 | \$ 1,871 |

The effective interest rate, contractual interest expense and amortization of debt discount for the Convertible Senior Notes was as follows:

| | Fiscal Year Ended | | |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | April 1, 2011 | April 2, 2010 | April 3, 2009 |
| | As Adjusted | | |
| | (In millions) | | |
| Effective interest rate | 6.78% | 6.78% | 6.78% |
| Interest expense contractual | \$ 16 | \$ 18 | \$ 18 |
| Interest expense amortization of debt discount | \$ 96 | \$ 104 | \$ 96 |

As of April 1, 2011, the remaining weighted-average amortization period of the discount and debt issuance costs is approximately 2 years and the if-converted value of the Convertible Senior Notes does not exceed the principal amount of the Convertible Senior Notes.

Each \$1,000 of principal of the Convertible Senior Notes will initially be convertible into 52.2951 shares of Symantec common stock, which is the equivalent of \$19.12 per share, subject to adjustment upon the occurrence of specified events. Holders of the Convertible Senior Notes may convert their Convertible Senior Notes prior to maturity during specified periods as follows: (1) during any calendar quarter, beginning after June 30, 2006, if the closing price of our common stock for at least 20 trading days in the 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter is more than 130% of the applicable conversion price per share; (2) if specified corporate transactions, including a change in control, occur; (3) with respect to the 0.75% Notes, at any time on or after April 5, 2011, and with respect to the 1.00% Notes, at any time on or after April 5, 2013; or (4) during the five business-day period after any five consecutive trading-day period during which the trading price of the Convertible Senior Notes falls below a certain threshold. Upon conversion, we would pay the holder the cash value of

the applicable number of shares of Symantec common stock, up to the principal amount of the note. Amounts in excess of the principal amount, if any, may be paid in cash or in stock at our option. Holders who convert their Convertible Senior Notes in connection with a change in control may be entitled to a make whole premium in the form of an increase in the conversion rate. As of April 1, 2011, none of the conditions allowing holders of the Convertible Senior Notes to convert had been met. In addition, upon a change in control of Symantec, the holders of the Convertible Senior Notes may require us to repurchase for cash all or any portion of their Convertible Senior Notes for 100% of the principal amount.

Concurrently with the issuance of the Convertible Senior Notes, we entered into note hedge transactions with affiliates of certain initial purchasers whereby we have the option to purchase up to 110 million shares of our common stock at a price of \$19.12 per share. The options as to 58 million shares expire on June 15, 2011 and the options as to 52 million shares expire on June 15, 2013. The options must be settled in the same manner as we settle the Convertible Senior Notes (cash or net shares). The cost of the note hedge transactions to us was approximately \$592 million. In addition, we sold warrants to affiliates of certain initial purchasers whereby they have the option to

Table of Contents

SYMANTEC CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

purchase up to 110 million shares of our common stock at a price of \$27.3175 per share. The warrants expire on various dates from July 2011 through August 2013 and must be settled in net shares. We received approximately \$326 million in cash proceeds from the sale of these warrants.

In the second quarter of fiscal 2011, we repurchased \$500 million of aggregate principal amount of our 0.75% Notes. Concurrently with the repurchase, we sold a proportionate share of the initial note hedges back to the note hedge counterparties for approximately \$13 million. These transactions resulted in a loss from extinguishment of debt of approximately \$16 million, which represents the difference between book value of the notes net of the remaining unamortized discount prior to repurchase and the fair value of the liability component of the notes upon repurchase. The net cost of the repurchase of the 0.75% Notes and the concurrent sale of the note hedges was \$497 million in cash.

The remaining Convertible Senior Notes will have no impact on diluted earnings per share (EPS) until the price of our common stock exceeds the conversion price of \$19.12 per share because the principal amount of the Convertible Senior Notes will be settled in cash upon conversion. Prior to conversion, we will include the effect of the additional shares that may be issued if our common stock price exceeds \$19.12 per share using the treasury stock method. As a result, for the first \$1.00 by which the average price of our common stock for a quarterly period exceeds \$19.12 per share there would be dilution of approximately 1.6 million shares on the 0.75% Notes and 2.6 million shares on the 1.00% Notes. As the share price continues to increase, additional dilution would occur at a declining rate such that an average price of \$27.3175 per share would yield cumulative dilution of approximately 25.1 million shares. If the average price of our common stock exceeds \$27.3175 per share for a quarterly period we will also include the effect of the additional potential shares that may be issued related to the warrants using the treasury stock method. The Convertible Senior Notes along with the warrants have a combined dilutive effect such that for the first \$1.00 by which the average price exceeds \$27.3175 per share there would be cumulative dilution of approximately 30.1 million shares prior to conversion. As the share price continues to increase, additional dilution would occur but at a declining rate.

Prior to conversion, the note hedge transactions are not considered for purposes of the EPS calculation, as their effect would be anti-dilutive. Upon conversion, the note hedge will automatically serve to neutralize the dilutive effect of the remaining Convertible Senior Notes when the stock price is above \$19.12 per share. For example, if upon conversion the price of our common stock was \$28.3175 per share, the cumulative effect of approximately 30.1 million shares in the example above would be reduced to approximately 3 million shares.

The preceding calculations assume that the average price of our common stock exceeds the respective conversion prices during the period for which EPS is calculated and excludes any potential adjustments to the conversion ratio provided under the terms of the Convertible Senior Notes. See Note 13 for information regarding the impact on EPS of the Convertible Senior Notes and warrants in the current period.

Revolving credit facility

In the second quarter of fiscal 2011, we entered into a four-year \$1.0 billion senior unsecured revolving credit facility that expires in September 2014 (the credit facility). The credit facility provides that we may borrow up to \$1.0 billion under revolving loans. Revolving loans under the credit facility bear interest, at our option, either at a rate equal to a) LIBOR plus a margin based on our consolidated leverage ratio, as defined in the credit facility agreement or b) the bank's prime rate plus a margin based on our consolidated leverage ratio, as defined in the credit facility agreement.

Under the terms of this credit facility, we must comply with certain financial and non-financial covenants, including a covenant to maintain a specified ratio of debt to EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization). As of April 1, 2011, we were in compliance with all required covenants, and there was no outstanding balance on the credit facility.

Table of Contents

SYMANTEC CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

In addition, in the second quarter of fiscal 2011, we terminated our previous \$1.0 billion senior unsecured revolving credit facility that we entered into in July 2006. At the time of termination, there was no outstanding balance on the credit facility. The original expiration date for this credit facility was July 2011.

Note 7. Restructuring

Our restructuring costs and liabilities consist primarily of severance, benefits, and facilities costs. Severance and benefits generally include severance payments, outplacement services, health insurance coverage, effects of foreign currency exchange, and legal costs. Facilities costs generally include rent expense, less expected sublease income and lease termination costs. Restructuring expenses are included in the Other segment.

Fiscal 2011 Restructuring Plan (Fiscal 2011 Plan)

In the first quarter of fiscal 2011, management approved and initiated a plan to expand our consulting partner sales and delivery capabilities. This action was initiated to expand our partner eco-system to better leverage their customer reach and operational scale, which is resulting in a headcount reduction within our consulting services organization. It is intended for our customers to have greater choice in their providers for technology services. The results of such action are to pay severance and benefits to terminated employees. This plan is expected to be substantially completed by the end of fiscal 2012, and the total remaining exit costs are estimated to range from \$5 million to \$10 million.

Fiscal 2010 Restructuring Plan (Fiscal 2010 Plan)

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010, management approved and initiated a plan to reduce worldwide operating costs through a workforce realignment and reduce operating costs through a facilities consolidation. These actions were initiated to appropriately allocate resources to our key strategic initiatives and streamline our operations to deliver better and more efficient support to our customers and employees. During fiscal 2011, we terminated operating leases and consolidated facilities in North America and Europe. Total remaining costs are estimated to range from \$5 million to \$8 million and are expected to be substantially completed by the second quarter of fiscal 2012. Excess facility obligations are expected to be paid over the respective lease terms, the longest of which extends through fiscal 2016.

Other Exit and Disposal Costs

Excess Facilities. Largely as a result of business acquisitions, management may deem certain facilities to be in excess either at the time of acquisition or for a period of time after the acquisition in conjunction with our efforts to integrate and streamline our operations. As of April 1, 2011, liabilities for these excess facility obligations at several locations around the world, are expected to be paid over the respective lease terms, the longest of which extends through fiscal 2018.

Table of Contents

SYMANTEC CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Restructuring summary

| | Restructuring Liability | | | | Cumulative Incurred to Date | |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| | April 2, 2010 | Costs | Net Adjustment ⁽¹⁾ | Cash Payments | April 1, 2011 | |
| | (In millions) | | | | | |
| Fiscal 2011 Plan: | | | | | | |
| Severance | \$ | \$ 17 | \$ (1) | \$ (15) | \$ 1 | \$ 16 |
| Fiscal 2010 Plan: | | | | | | |
| Severance | 20 | 34 | (3) | (49) | 2 | 54 |
| Facilities | | 18 | 1 | (9) | 10 | 19 |
| Total restructuring: | 20 | 69 | (3) | (73) | 13 | 89 |
| Other exit and disposal costs: | | | | | | |
| Excess facilities and other | 16 | 12 | (4) | (11) | 13 | |
| Total liabilities | \$ 36 | \$ 81 | \$ (7) | \$ (84) | \$ 26 | |
| Transition and other related ⁽¹⁾ | | 18 | | | | |
| Total charges | | \$ 99 | \$ (7) | | | |
| Balance Sheet: | | | | | | |
| Other current liabilities | | | | | | |
| Other long-term obligations | \$ 28 | | | | \$ 14 | |
| Other exit and disposal costs: | 8 | | | | 12 | |
| | \$ 36 | | | | \$ 26 | |

⁽¹⁾ Transition and other related costs consist primarily of the costs related to the outsourcing of business activities.

Note 8. Commitments and Contingencies*Lease Commitments*

We lease certain of our facilities and related equipment under operating leases that expire at various dates through 2029. We currently sublease some space under various operating leases that will expire on various dates through 2016. Some of our leases contain renewal options, escalation clauses, rent concessions, and leasehold improvement incentives. Rent expense, net was \$89 million, \$88 million, and \$88 million in fiscal 2011, 2010, and 2009,

respectively.

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The following is a schedule by years of minimum future rentals on noncancelable operating leases as of April 1, 2011(*in millions*):

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 2012 | \$ 94 |
| 2013 | 78 |
| 2014 | 67 |
| 2015 | 48 |
| 2016 | 31 |
| Thereafter | 90 |
| Total minimum future lease payments: | \$ 408 |
| Less: sublease income | 7 |
| Total minimum future lease payments, net: ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 401 |

(1) The total minimum future lease payments, net include \$32 million related to restructuring activities. For more information, see Note 7.

Purchase Obligations

We have purchase obligations of \$373 million as of April 1, 2011 that are associated with agreements for purchases of goods or services. Management believes that cancellation of these contracts is unlikely and we expect to make future cash payments according to the contract terms.

Indemnification

As permitted under Delaware law, we have agreements whereby we indemnify our officers and directors for certain events or occurrences while the officer or director is, or was, serving at our request in such capacity. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is not limited; however, we have directors' and officers' insurance coverage that reduces our exposure and may enable us to recover a portion of any future amounts paid. We believe the estimated fair value of these indemnification agreements in excess of applicable insurance coverage is minimal.

We provide limited product warranties and the majority of our software license agreements contain provisions that indemnify licensees of our software from damages and costs resulting from claims alleging that our software infringes the intellectual property rights of a third party. Historically, payments made under these provisions have been immaterial. We monitor the conditions that are subject to indemnification to identify if a loss has occurred.

Litigation Contingencies

For a discussion of our pending tax litigation with the Internal Revenue Service relating to the 2000 and 2001 tax years of Veritas, see Note 12.

On July 7, 2004, a purported class action complaint entitled Paul Kuck, et al. v. Veritas Software Corporation, et al. was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. The lawsuit alleges violations of federal securities laws in connection with Veritas' announcement on July 6, 2004 that it expected results of operations for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2004 to fall below earlier estimates. The complaint generally seeks an unspecified amount of damages. Subsequently, additional purported class action complaints have been filed in Delaware federal court, and, on March 3, 2005, the Court entered an order consolidating these actions and appointing lead plaintiffs and counsel. A consolidated amended complaint (CAC), was filed on May 27, 2005, expanding the class period from April 23, 2004 through July 6, 2004. The CAC also named another officer as a defendant and added allegations that Veritas and the named officers made false or misleading statements in press

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

releases and SEC filings regarding Veritas financial results, which allegedly contained revenue recognized from contracts that were unsigned or lacked essential terms. The defendants to this matter filed a motion to dismiss the CAC in July 2005; the motion was denied in May 2006. In April 2008, the parties filed a stipulation of settlement. On July 31, 2008, the Court held a final approval hearing and, on August 5, 2008, the Court entered an order approving the settlement. An objector to the fees portion of the settlement has lodged an appeal. On October 4, 2010, the Third Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the order of the District Court approving the fee request.

In fiscal 2008, we recorded an accrual in the amount of \$21.5 million for this matter and, pursuant to the terms of the settlement, we established a settlement fund of \$21.5 million on May 1, 2008. On February 4, 2011, the District Court entered an order for disbursement of that fund.

We are also involved in a number of other judicial and administrative proceedings that are incidental to our business. Although adverse decisions (or settlements) may occur in one or more of the cases, it is not possible to estimate the possible loss or losses from each of these cases. The final resolution of these lawsuits, individually or in the aggregate, is not expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Note 9. Stock Repurchases

The following table presents a summary of our stock repurchases attributable to Symantec Corporation:

| | April 1, 2011 | Year Ended April 2, 2010 | April 3, 2009 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | (In millions, except per share data) | | |
| Total number of shares repurchased attributable to Symantec Corporation | 57 | 34 | 42 |
| Dollar amount of shares repurchased attributable to Symantec Corporation | \$ 870 | \$ 553 | \$ 700 |
| Average price paid per share | \$ 15.39 | \$ 16.39 | \$ 16.53 |
| Range of price paid per share | \$ 12.07 to \$18.46 | \$ 14.14 to \$18.29 | \$ 10.34 to \$22.64 |

We have had stock repurchase programs in the past and have repurchased shares on a quarterly basis since the fourth quarter of fiscal 2004 under new and existing programs. Our most recent program was authorized by our Board of Directors on January 25, 2011 to repurchase up to \$1 billion of our common stock. This program does not have an expiration date and as of April 1, 2011, \$877 million remained authorized for future repurchases.

Note 10. Segment Information

As of April 1, 2011, our five reportable segments are the same as our operating segments and are as follows:

Consumer. Our Consumer segment focuses on delivering Internet security, PC tune-up, and online backup solutions and services to individual users and home offices.

Security and Compliance. Our Security and Compliance segment focuses on providing large, medium, and small-sized businesses with solutions for endpoint security and management, compliance, messaging management, data loss prevention, encryption, and authentication services. These products allow our customers to secure, provision, and remotely manage their laptops, PCs, mobile devices, and servers. We also provide our customers with solutions delivered through our SaaS security offerings.

Storage and Server Management. Our Storage and Server Management segment focuses on providing large, medium, and small-sized businesses with storage and server management, backup, archiving, and data

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

protection solutions across heterogeneous storage and server platforms, as well as solutions delivered through our SaaS offerings.

Services. Our Services segment provides customers with implementation services and solutions designed to assist them in maximizing the value of their Symantec software. Our offerings include consulting, business critical services, education, and managed security services.

Other. Our Other segment is comprised of sunset products and products nearing the end of their life cycle. It also includes general and administrative expenses; amortization of acquired product rights, intangible assets, and other assets; goodwill impairment charges; charges such as stock-based compensation and restructuring; and certain indirect costs that are not charged to the other operating segments.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 1. There are no intersegment sales. Our chief operating decision maker evaluates performance primarily based on net revenue. Except for goodwill, as disclosed in Note 4, the majority of our assets are not discretely identified by segment. The depreciation and amortization of our property, equipment, and leasehold improvements are allocated based on headcount, unless specifically identified by segment.

The following table summarizes the results of our operating segments:

| | Consumer | Security and Compliance | Storage and Server Management | Services | Other | Total Company |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| | (\$ in millions) | | | | | |
| Fiscal 2011 | | | | | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 1,953 | \$ 1,566 | \$ 2,307 | \$ 364 | \$ | \$ 6,190 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 32% | 25% | 37% | 6% | 0% | 100% |
| Operating income (loss) | 899 | 243 | 1,063 | 24 | (1,349) | 880 |
| Operating margin of segment | 46% | 16% | 46% | 7% | * | |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 39 | 40 | 33 | 6 | 625 | 743 |
| Fiscal 2010 | | | | | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 1,871 | \$ 1,411 | \$ 2,287 | \$ 416 | \$ | \$ 5,985 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 31% | 24% | 38% | 7% | 0% | 100% |
| Operating income (loss) | 860 | 371 | 1,097 | 42 | (1,437) | 933 |
| Operating margin of segment | 46% | 26% | 48% | 10% | * | |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 29 | 25 | 41 | 8 | 734 | 837 |
| Fiscal 2009 | | | | | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 1,773 | \$ 1,450 | \$ 2,493 | \$ 433 | \$ 1 | \$ 6,150 |
| Percentage of total net revenue | 29% | 24% | 40% | 7% | 0% | 100% |
| Operating income (loss) | 948 | 440 | 1,081 | 33 | (8,972) | (6,470) |
| Operating margin of segment | 53% | 30% | 43% | 8% | * | |

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----|----|----|---|-----|-----|
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 15 | 25 | 54 | 9 | 830 | 933 |
|---------------------------------------|----|----|----|---|-----|-----|

* Percentage not meaningful.

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)*****Product Revenue Information***

| | April 1, 2011 | Year Ended April 2, 2010 | April 3, 2009 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Product revenue: | | | |
| Core consumer security | 28% | 28% | 27% |
| Backup | 21% | 20% | 20% |
| Storage and availability management | 10% | 11% | 12% |
| Endpoint security and management | 10% | 10% | 11% |
| Others ⁽¹⁾ | 31% | 31% | 30% |
| | 100% | 100% | 100% |

⁽¹⁾ No individual product was material to the respective total.

Geographical Information

The following table represents revenue amounts reported for products shipped to customers in the corresponding countries:

| | April 1, 2011 | Year Ended April 2, 2010 (In millions) | April 3, 2009 |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Net revenue: | | | |
| United States | \$ 3,056 | \$ 2,967 | \$ 3,024 |
| United Kingdom | 599 | 642 | 685 |
| Other foreign countries ⁽¹⁾ | 2,535 | 2,376 | 2,441 |
| | \$ 6,190 | \$ 5,985 | \$ 6,150 |

⁽¹⁾ No individual country represented more than 10% of the respective totals.

The table below lists our property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation, by geographic area. With the exception of property and equipment, we do not identify or allocate our assets by geographic area:

| | Year Ended | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | April 1, 2011 | April 2, 2010 |
| | (In millions) | |
| Long-lived assets: | | |
| United States | \$ 835 | \$ 782 |
| Foreign countries ⁽¹⁾ | 215 | 167 |
| | \$ 1,050 | \$ 949 |

⁽¹⁾ No individual country represented more than 10% of the respective totals.

Significant customers

In fiscal 2011 and 2010 one distributor, Ingram Micro, accounted for 10% of our total net revenue in both periods. Our distributor arrangements with Ingram Micro consist of several non-exclusive, independently

Table of Contents

SYMANTEC CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

negotiated agreements with its subsidiaries, each of which cover different countries or regions. Each of these agreements is separately negotiated and is independent of any other contract (such as a master distribution agreement), and these agreements are not based on the same form of contract. In fiscal 2009 one reseller, Digital River, accounted for 10% of our total net revenue.

Note 11. Employee Benefits and Stock-Based Compensation

401(k) plan

We maintain a salary deferral 401(k) plan for all of our domestic employees. This plan allows employees to contribute up to 50% of their pretax salary up to the maximum dollar limitation prescribed by the Internal Revenue Code. We match 50% of the employee's contribution. The maximum match in any given plan year is 3% of the employees eligible compensation, up to \$6,000. Our contributions under the plan were \$22 million, \$22 million, and \$20 million in fiscal 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Stock purchase plans

2008 Employee Stock Purchase Plan

In September 2008, our stockholders approved the 2008 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (2008 ESPP) and reserved 20 million shares of common stock for issuance thereunder. In September 2010, the 2008 ESPP was amended by our stockholders to increase the shares available for issuance thereunder by 20 million. As of April 1, 2011, 9 million shares have been issued under this plan and 31 million shares remained available for issuance under the 2008 ESPP.

Subject to certain limitations, our employees may elect to have 2% to 10% of their compensation withheld through payroll deductions to purchase shares of common stock under the 2008 ESPP. Employees purchase shares of common stock at a price per share equal to 85% of the fair market value on the purchase date at the end of each six-month purchase period.

2002 Executive Officers' Stock Purchase Plan

In September 2002, our stockholders approved the 2002 Executive Officers' Stock Purchase Plan and reserved 250,000 shares of common stock for issuance thereunder. The purpose of the plan is to provide executive officers with a means to acquire an equity interest in Symantec at fair market value by applying a portion or all of their respective bonus payments towards the purchase price. As of April 1, 2011, 40,401 shares have been issued under the plan and 209,599 shares remained available for future issuance. Shares reserved for issuance under this plan have not been adjusted for stock dividends.

Stock award plans

2000 Director Equity Incentive Plan

In September 2000, our stockholders approved the 2000 Director Equity Incentive Plan and reserved 50,000 shares of common stock for issuance thereunder. Stockholders increased the number of shares of stock that may be issued by 50,000 in both September 2004 and September 2007. The purpose of this plan is to provide the members of the Board

of Directors with an opportunity to receive common stock for all or a portion of the retainer payable to each director for serving as a member. Each director may elect any portion up to 100% of the retainer to be paid in the form of stock. As of April 1, 2011, a total of 116,049 shares have been issued under this plan and 33,951 shares remained available for future issuance.

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)***2004 Equity Incentive Plan*

Under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, (2004 Plan) our Board of Directors, or a committee of the Board of Directors, may grant incentive and nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock units (RSUs), or restricted stock awards (RSAs) to employees, officers, directors, consultants, independent contractors, and advisors to us, or to any parent, subsidiary, or affiliate of ours. The purpose of the 2004 Plan is to attract, retain, and motivate eligible persons whose present and potential contributions are important to our success by offering them an opportunity to participate in our future performance through equity awards of stock options and stock bonuses. Under the terms of the 2004 Plan, the exercise price of stock options may not be less than 100% of the fair market value on the date of grant. Options generally vest over a four-year period. Options granted prior to October 2005 generally have a maximum term of ten years and options granted thereafter generally have a maximum term of seven years.

As of April 1, 2011, we have reserved 189 million shares for issuance under the 2004 Plan. These shares include 18 million shares originally reserved for issuance under the 2004 Plan upon its adoption by our stockholders in September 2004, 26 million shares that were transferred to the 2004 Plan from the 1996 Equity Incentive Plan, (1996 Plan), and 40 million, 50 million and 55 million shares that were approved for issuance thereunder on the amendment and restatement of the 2004 Plan at our 2006, 2008 and 2010 annual meeting of stockholders, respectively. In addition to the shares currently reserved under the 2004 Plan, any shares reacquired by us from options outstanding under the 1996 Plan upon their cancellation will also be added to the 2004 Plan reserve. As of April 1, 2011, 98 million shares remained available for future grant under the 2004 Plan.

Other stock option plans

Options remain outstanding under several other stock option plans, including the 2001 Non-Qualified Equity Incentive Plan, the 1996 Plan, and various plans assumed in connection with acquisitions. No further options may be granted under any of these plans.

Valuation of stock-based awards

The fair value of each stock option granted under our equity incentive plans is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

| | Fiscal 2011 | Fiscal 2010 | Fiscal 2009 |
|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Expected life | 3.52 years | 3.38 years | 3.21 years |
| Expected volatility | 34% | 44% | 37% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.85% | 1.47% | 2.04% |
| Expected dividends | | | |

Changes in the Black-Scholes valuation assumptions and our estimated forfeiture rate may change the estimate of fair value for stock-based compensation and the related expense recognized. There have not been any material changes to our stock-based compensation expense due to changes in our valuation assumptions of stock options.

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)*****Stock-based compensation expense***

The following table sets forth the total stock-based compensation expense recognized in our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

| | Year Ended | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | April 1, 2011 | April 2, 2010 | April 3, 2009 |
| | (In millions, except per share data) | | |
| Cost of revenue Content, subscription, and maintenance | \$ 19 | \$ 14 | \$ 11 |
| Cost of revenue License | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Sales and marketing | 58 | 59 | 66 |
| Research and development | 40 | 53 | 49 |
| General and administrative | 25 | 27 | 28 |
| Total stock-based compensation expense | 145 | 155 | 157 |
| Tax benefit associated with stock-based compensation expense | (41) | (43) | (44) |
| Net stock-based compensation expense | \$ 104 | \$ 112 | \$ 113 |
| Net stock-based compensation expense per share attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders basic | \$ 0.13 | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.14 |
| Net stock-based compensation expense per share attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders diluted | \$ 0.13 | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.14 |

As of April 1, 2011, total unrecognized compensation cost adjusted for estimated forfeitures related to unvested stock options and restricted stock was \$25 million and \$153 million, respectively, which is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted-average vesting periods of 2.44 years for stock options and 2.48 years for restricted stock.

Stock award activity

The following table summarizes stock option activity:

| Number of Shares (In millions) | Weighted- Average Exercise Price | Weighted- Average Remaining Years | Aggregate Intrinsic Value⁽¹⁾ (In millions) |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------|-----|----|-------|------|----|----|
| Outstanding at April 2, 2010 | 64 | \$ | 19.32 | | \$ | 91 |
| Granted | 4 | | 14.59 | | | |
| Exercised | (6) | | 9.79 | | | |
| Forfeited ⁽²⁾ | (1) | | 16.68 | | | |
| Expired ⁽³⁾ | (7) | | 22.97 | | | |
| Outstanding at April 1, 2011 | 54 | \$ | 19.61 | 2.75 | \$ | 91 |
| Exercisable at April 1, 2011 | 46 | \$ | 20.22 | 2.31 | \$ | 69 |
| Vested and expected to vest at April 1, 2011 | 52 | \$ | 19.70 | 2.69 | \$ | 87 |

- ⁽¹⁾ Intrinsic value is calculated as the difference between the market value of Symantec's common stock as of April 1, 2011 and the exercise price of the option. The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and exercisable includes options with an exercise price below \$18.46, the closing price of our common stock on April 1, 2011, as reported by the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

(2) Refers to options cancelled before their vest dates.

(3) Refers to options cancelled on or after their vest dates.

The weighted-average fair value per share of options granted during fiscal 2011, 2010, and 2009 including assumed options was \$4.04, \$5.15, and \$5.26, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during fiscal 2011, 2010, and 2009 was \$43 million, \$64 million, and \$111 million, respectively.

The following table summarizes restricted stock unit activity:

| | Number of Shares (In millions) | Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value | Weighted- Average Remaining Years | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In millions) |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Outstanding and unvested at April 2, 2010 | 16 | \$ 16.87 | | \$ 260 |
| Granted | 12 | 14.96 | | |
| Vested and released | (7) | 16.69 | | |
| Forfeited | (3) | 16.05 | | |
| Outstanding and unvested at April 1, 2011 | 18 | \$ 15.80 | 1.50 | \$ 323 |
| Expected to vest at April 1, 2011 | 14 | \$ | 1.33 | \$ 257 |

The weighted-average grant date fair value per share of restricted stock granted during fiscal 2011, 2010, and 2009 including assumed restricted stock was \$14.96, \$15.60, and \$19.41, respectively. The total fair value of restricted stock that vested in fiscal 2011, 2010, and 2009 was \$104 million, \$71 million, and \$52 million, respectively.

Shares reserved

As of April 1, 2011, we had reserved the following shares of authorized but unissued common stock (*in millions*):

| | |
|----------------------|-----|
| Stock purchase plans | 31 |
| Stock award plans | 169 |
| Total | 200 |

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****Note 12. Income Taxes**

The components of the provision for income taxes are as follows:

| | April 1, 2011 | Year Ended April 2, 2010 (In millions) | April 3, 2009 |
|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Current: | | | |
| Federal | \$ 17 | \$ 62 | \$ 161 |
| State | 18 | | 48 |
| International | 70 | 91 | 101 |
| | 105 | 153 | 310 |
| Deferred: | | | |
| Federal | 26 | 2 | (121) |
| State | 3 | (2) | (39) |
| International | (29) | (41) | 33 |
| | 0 | (41) | (127) |
| | \$ 105 | \$ 112 | \$ 183 |

Pretax income from international operations was \$460 million and \$498 million for fiscal 2011 and 2010, respectively. Pretax loss from international operations was \$1.5 billion in fiscal 2009.

The difference between our effective income tax and the federal statutory income tax is as follows:

| | April 1, 2011 | Year Ended April 2, 2010 (In millions) | April 3, 2009 |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Expected Federal statutory tax | \$ 255 | \$ 303 | \$ (2,293) |
| State taxes, net of federal benefit | 12 | (2) | (8) |
| Goodwill impairment non deductible | | | 2,510 |
| Foreign earnings taxed at less than the federal rate | (84) | (92) | (64) |
| Domestic production activities deduction | (9) | (10) | (12) |
| Federal research and development credit | (10) | (6) | (12) |
| Valuation allowance increase (decrease) | (15) | (11) | 61 |
| Benefit of losses from joint venture | (2) | (5) | (9) |

Edgar Filing: SYMANTEC CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Veritas Tax Court Decision (including valuation allowance release) | (49) | (70) | |
| Other, net | 7 | 5 | 10 |
| | \$ 105 | \$ 112 | \$ 183 |

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The principal components of deferred tax assets are as follows:

| | Year Ended | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | April 1, 2011 | April 2, 2010 |
| | (In millions) | |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Tax credit carryforwards | \$ 17 | \$ 16 |
| Net operating loss carryforwards of acquired companies | 181 | 148 |
| Other accruals and reserves not currently tax deductible | 141 | 137 |
| Deferred revenue | 77 | 61 |
| Loss on investments not currently tax deductible | 17 | 23 |
| Book over tax depreciation | | 20 |
| State income taxes | 35 | 36 |
| Goodwill | 34 | 64 |
| Other | 79 | 81 |
| | 581 | 586 |
| Valuation allowance | (45) | (67) |
| Total deferred tax assets | 536 | 519 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Tax over book depreciation | \$ (26) | \$ |
| Intangible assets | (228) | (272) |
| Unremitted earnings of foreign subsidiaries | (282) | (244) |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | (536) | (516) |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ 0 | \$ 3 |

All of the \$45 million total valuation allowance provided against our deferred tax assets is attributable to acquisition-related assets. The valuation allowance decreased by a net of \$22 million in fiscal 2011, resulting from the release of \$22 million of Irish deferred tax assets related to our Veritas 2000-2001 court case decision, current year utilization, and a favorable change in our ability to use deferred tax assets on our tax returns; and a \$6 million decrease due to utilization of capital losses, partially offset by a \$6 million increase attributable to intangible assets and other miscellaneous items.

As of April 1, 2011, we have U.S. federal net operating losses attributable to various acquired companies of approximately \$170 million, which, if not used, will expire between fiscal 2012 and 2029. These net operating loss carryforwards are subject to an annual limitation under Internal Revenue Code § 382, but are expected to be fully realized. Furthermore, we have U.S. state net operating loss and credit carryforwards attributable to various acquired companies of approximately \$344 million and \$13 million, respectively, which will expire in various fiscal years. In addition, we have foreign net operating loss carryforwards attributable to various acquired foreign companies of

approximately \$583 million net of valuation allowances, which, under current applicable foreign tax law, can be carried forward indefinitely.

As a result of the impairment of goodwill in fiscal year 2009, we have cumulative pre-tax book losses, as measured by the current and prior two years. We considered the negative evidence of this cumulative pre-tax book loss position on our ability to continue to recognize deferred tax assets that are dependent upon future taxable income for realization. We considered the following as positive evidence: the vast majority of the goodwill impairment is not deductible for tax purposes and thus will not result in tax losses; we have a strong, consistent taxpaying history; we have substantial U.S. federal income tax carryback potential; and we have substantial amounts of scheduled future reversals of taxable

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

temporary differences from our deferred tax liabilities. We have concluded that this positive evidence outweighs the negative evidence and, thus, that the deferred tax assets as of April 1, 2011 of \$536 million, after application of the valuation allowances, are realizable on a more likely than not basis.

As of April 1, 2011, no provision has been made for federal or state income taxes on \$2.1 billion of cumulative unremitted earnings of certain of our foreign subsidiaries since we plan to indefinitely reinvest these earnings. As of April 1, 2011, the unrecognized deferred tax liability for these earnings was \$585 million.

The Company adopted the provisions of new authoritative guidance on income taxes, effective March 31, 2007. The cumulative effect of adopting this new guidance was a decrease in tax reserves of \$16 million, resulting in a decrease to Veritas goodwill of \$10 million, an increase of \$5 million to the March 31, 2007 Accumulated earnings balance, and a \$1 million increase in Additional paid-in capital. Upon adoption, the gross liability for unrecognized tax benefits as of March 31, 2007 was \$456 million, exclusive of interest and penalties.

The aggregate changes in the balance of gross unrecognized tax benefits since adoption were as follows (*in millions*):

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Beginning balance as of March 31, 2007 (date of adoption) | \$ 456 |
| Settlements and effective settlements with tax authorities and related remeasurements | (7) |
| Lapse of statute of limitations | (6) |
| Increases in balances related to tax positions taken during prior years | 40 |
| Decreases in balances related to tax positions taken during prior years | (6) |
| Increases in balances related to tax positions taken during current year | 111 |
| Balance as of March 28, 2008 | \$ 588 |
| Settlements and effective settlements with tax authorities and related remeasurements | (2) |
| Lapse of statute of limitations | (9) |
| Increases in balances related to tax positions taken during prior years | 31 |
| Decreases in balances related to tax positions taken during prior years | (19) |
| Increases in balances related to tax positions taken during current year | 44 |
| Balance as of April 3, 2009 | \$ 633 |
| Settlements and effective settlements with tax authorities and related remeasurements | (7) |
| Lapse of statute of limitations | (14) |
| Increases in balances related to tax positions taken during prior years | 12 |
| Decreases in balances related to tax positions taken during prior years | (92) |
| Increases in balances related to tax positions taken during current year | 11 |
| Balance as of April 2, 2010 | \$ 543 |
| Settlements and effective settlements with tax authorities and related remeasurements | (6) |
| Lapse of statute of limitations | (27) |
| Increases in balances related to tax positions taken during prior years | 13 |

Edgar Filing: SYMANTEC CORP - Form 10-K

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Decreases in balances related to tax positions taken during prior years | (36) |
| Increases in balances related to tax positions taken during current year | 40 |
| Balance as of April 1, 2011 | \$ 527 |

Of the \$16 million of changes in gross unrecognized tax benefits during the fiscal year as disclosed above, approximately \$22 million was provided through purchase accounting in connection with acquisitions during fiscal

Table of Contents

SYMANTEC CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

2011. This gross liability is reduced by offsetting tax benefits associated with the correlative effects of potential transfer pricing adjustments, interest deductions, and state income taxes, as well as payments made to date.

Of the total unrecognized tax benefits at April 1, 2011, \$516 million, if recognized, would favorably affect the Company's effective tax rate, while \$11 million would affect the cumulative translation adjustments. However, one or more of these unrecognized tax benefits could be subject to a valuation allowance if and when recognized in a future period, which could impact the timing of any related effective tax rate benefit.

Our policy to include interest and penalties related to gross unrecognized tax benefits within our provision for income taxes did not change upon the adoption of the new authoritative guidance on income taxes. At April 1, 2011, before any tax benefits, we had \$91 million of accrued interest and accrued penalties on unrecognized tax benefits. Interest included in our provision for income taxes was approximately \$6 million for the year ended April 1, 2011. If the accrued interest and penalties do not ultimately become payable, amounts accrued will be reduced in the period that such determination is made, and reflected as a reduction of the overall income tax provision.

We file income tax returns in the U.S. on a federal basis and in many U.S. state and foreign jurisdictions. Our two most significant tax jurisdictions are the U.S. and Ireland. Our tax filings remain subject to examination by applicable tax authorities for a certain length of time following the tax year to which those filings relate. Our 2002 through 2009 tax years remain subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) for U.S. federal tax purposes, and our 2006 through 2009 fiscal years remain subject to examination by the appropriate governmental agencies for Irish tax purposes. Other significant jurisdictions include California, Japan, the UK and India. As of April 1, 2011, we are in appeals with the IRS regarding Veritas U.S. federal income taxes for the 2002 through 2005 tax years, and under examination regarding Symantec U.S. federal income taxes for the fiscal years 2005 through 2008 tax years. In addition, we are under examination by the California Franchise Tax Board for the Symantec California income taxes for the 2005 through 2006 tax years. We are also under audit by the Indian income tax authorities for fiscal years 2006 through 2007.

On March 29, 2006, we received a Notice of Deficiency from the IRS claiming that we owe \$867 million of additional taxes, excluding interest and penalties, for the 2000 and 2001 tax years based on an audit of Veritas. On June 26, 2006, we filed a petition with the U.S. Tax Court protesting the IRS claim for such additional taxes. During July 2008, we completed the trial phase of the Tax Court case, which dealt with the remaining issue covered in the assessment. At trial, the IRS changed its position with respect to this remaining issue, which decreased the remaining amount at issue from \$832 million to \$545 million, excluding interest.

On December 10, 2009, the U.S. Tax Court issued its opinion, finding that our transfer pricing methodology, with appropriate adjustments, was the best method for assessing the value of the transaction at issue between Veritas and its offshore subsidiary. The Tax Court judge provided guidance as to how adjustments would be made to correct the application of the method used by Veritas. We remeasured and decreased our liability for unrecognized tax benefits accordingly, resulting in a \$79 million tax benefit in the third quarter of fiscal 2010. In June 2010, we reached an agreement with the IRS concerning the amount of the adjustment related to the U.S. Tax Court decision. As a result of the agreement, we further reduced our liability for unrecognized tax benefits, resulting in an additional \$39 million tax benefit in the first quarter of fiscal 2011. In March 2011, we reached agreement with Irish Revenue concerning compensating adjustments arising from this matter, resulting in an additional \$10 million tax benefit in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011. This matter has now been closed and no further adjustments to the accrued liability are warranted.

On December 2, 2009, we received a Revenue Agent's Report from the IRS for the Veritas 2002 through 2005 tax years assessing additional taxes due. We agree with \$30 million of the tax assessment, excluding interest, but will contest the other \$80 million of tax assessed and all penalties. The unagreed issues concern transfer pricing matters comparable to the one that was resolved in our favor in the *Veritas v. Commissioner* Tax Court decision. On January 15, 2010, we filed a protest with the IRS in connection with the \$80 million of tax assessed. On September 28, 2010, the case was formally accepted into the IRS Appeals process for consideration. This matter remains outstanding.

Table of Contents**SYMANTEC CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

In July 2008, we reached an agreement with the IRS concerning our eligibility to claim a lower tax rate on a distribution made from a Veritas foreign subsidiary prior to the July 2005 acquisition. The distribution was intended to be made pursuant to the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, and therefore eligible for a 5.25% effective U.S. federal rate of tax, in lieu of the 35% statutory rate. The final impact of this agreement remains uncertain since this relates to the taxability of earnings that are otherwise the subject of transfer pricing matters at issue in the IRS examination of Veritas tax years 2002-2005. To the extent that we owe taxes as a result of these transfer pricing matters in years prior to the distribution, we anticipate that the incremental tax due from this negotiated agreement will decrease. We currently estimate that the most probable outcome from this negotiated agreement will be that we will owe \$13 million or less, for which an accrual has already been made.

We continue to monitor the progress of ongoing income tax controversies and the impact, if any, of the expected tolling of the statute of limitations in various taxing jurisdictions.

Note 13. Earnings Per Share

Basic and diluted earnings per share are computed on the basis of the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share also includes the incremental effect of dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period using the treasury stock method. Dilutive potential common shares include shares underlying outstanding stock options, restricted stock, warrants and Convertible Senior Notes.

The components of earnings per share are as follows:

| | Year Ended | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | April 1, 2011 | April 2, 2010 | April 3, 2009 |
| | (In millions, except per share data) | | |
| Net income (loss) per share attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders basic: | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders | \$ 597 | \$ 714 | \$ (6,786) |
| Net income (loss) per share attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders basic | \$ 0.77 | \$ 0.88 | \$ (8.17) |
| Net income (loss) per share attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders diluted: | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders | \$ 597 | \$ 714 | \$ (6,786) |
| Net income (loss) per share attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders diluted | \$ 0.76 | \$ 0.87 | \$ (8.17) |
| Weighted average outstanding common shares attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders basic | 778 | 810 | 831 |
| Shares issuable from assumed exercise of stock options | 4 | 6 | |
| Dilutive impact of restricted stock | 4 | 3 | |

Edgar Filing: SYMANTEC CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Total weighted-average shares outstanding attributable to Symantec Corporation stockholders diluted | 786 | 819 | 831 |
| Anti-dilutive weighted-average stock options ⁽¹⁾ | 43 | 47 | 61 |
| Anti-dilutive weighted-average restricted stock ⁽¹⁾ | | | 2 |

102

Table of Contents

SYMANTEC CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

- ⁽¹⁾ For these fiscal years, the effects of the warrants issued and the option purchased in connection with the Convertible Senior Notes were excluded because they have no impact on diluted earnings per share until our average stock price for the applicable period reaches \$27.3175 per share and \$19.12 per share, respectively.

Note 14. Subsequent Events

On May 19, 2011, we signed a definitive agreement to acquire Clearwell Systems Inc., a Mountain View based, privately-held provider of eDiscovery solutions. We expect to acquire Clearwell Systems Inc. for a purchase price of approximately \$390 million, net of cash acquired. The agreement is subject to customary closing conditions, including regulatory approval and is expected to close during the second quarter of our fiscal 2012.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Mountain View, State of California, on the 20th day of May 2011.

SYMANTEC CORPORATION

By /s/ Enrique Salem

Enrique Salem,
President and Chief Executive Officer

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Enrique Salem, James A. Beer and Scott C. Taylor, and each or any of them, his attorneys-in-fact, each with the power of substitution, for him in any and all capacities to sign any and all amendments to this report on Form 10-K and any other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorneys-in-fact, and each of them, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that such attorneys-in-fact, or his or their substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof. This Power of Attorney may be signed in several counterparts.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated below.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| /s/ Enrique Salem Enrique Salem | President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) | May 20, 2011 |
| /s/ James A. Beer James A. Beer | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) | May 20, 2011 |
| /s/ Phillip Bullock Phillip Bullock | Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer) | May 20, 2011 |
| /s/ John W. Thompson John W. Thompson | Chairman of the Board | May 20, 2011 |
| /s/ Stephen M. Bennett Stephen M. Bennett | Director | May 20, 2011 |
| /s/ Michael A. Brown | Director | May 20, 2011 |

Michael A. Brown

/s/ William T. Coleman III

Director

May 20, 2011

William T. Coleman III

Table of Contents

| Signature | Title | Date |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| /s/ Frank E. Dangeard | Director | May 20, 2011 |
| Frank E. Dangeard | | |
| | Director | |
| Geraldine B. Laybourne | | |
| /s/ David L. Mahoney | Director | May 20, 2011 |
| David L. Mahoney | | |
| /s/ Robert S. Miller | Director | May 20, 2011 |
| Robert S. Miller | | |
| /s/ Daniel H. Schulman | Director | May 20, 2011 |
| Daniel H. Schulman | | |
| /s/ V. Paul Unruh | Director | May 20, 2011 |
| V. Paul Unruh | | |

Table of Contents

Schedule II

SYMANTEC CORPORATION

VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

| | Balance at Beginning of Period | Additions Charged Against Revenue and to Operating Expense⁽¹⁾ | Charged to Other Accounts (In millions) | Amount Written Off or Used | Balance at End of Period |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Allowance for doubtful accounts: | | | | | |
| Year ended April 1, 2011 | \$ 8 | \$ 3 | \$ 1 | \$ (3) | \$ 9 |
| Year ended April 2, 2010 | 9 | 3 | | (4) | 8 |
| Year ended April 3, 2009 | 9 | 1 | | (1) | 9 |
| Reserve for product returns: | | | | | |
| Year ended April 1, 2011 | \$ 9 | \$ 60 | \$ 7 | \$ (57) | \$ 19 |
| Year ended April 2, 2010 | 12 | 46 | | (49) | 9 |
| Year ended April 3, 2009 | 14 | 52 | | (54) | 12 |
| Reserve for rebates: | | | | | |
| Year ended April 1, 2011 | \$ 71 | \$ 210 | \$ 108 ⁽²⁾ | \$ (310) | \$ 79 |
| Year ended April 2, 2010 | 70 | 181 | 96 ⁽²⁾ | (276) | 71 |
| Year ended April 3, 2009 | 82 | 192 | 91 ⁽²⁾ | (295) | 70 |

(1) Reserve for product returns and reserve for rebates are charged against revenue.

(2) Balances represent unrecognized customer rebates that will be amortized within 12 months and are recorded as a reduction of deferred revenue.

Table of Contents**EXHIBIT INDEX**

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference File No. | Exhibit | Filing Date | Filed Herewith |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| 3.01 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Symantec Corporation | S-8 | 333-119872 | 4.01 | 10/21/04 | |
| 3.02 | Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Symantec Corporation | S-8 | 333-126403 | 4.03 | 07/06/05 | |
| 3.03 | Certificate of Amendment to Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Symantec Corporation | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 3.01 | 08/05/09 | |
| 3.04 | Certificate of Designations of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock of Symantec Corporation | 8-K | 000-17781 | 3.01 | 12/21/04 | |
| 3.05 | Bylaws, as amended, of Symantec Corporation | 8-K | 000-17781 | 3.01 | 05/02/11 | |
| 4.01 | Form of Common Stock Certificate | S-3ASR | 333-139230 | 4.07 | 12/11/06 | |
| 4.02 | Indenture related to the 0.75% Convertible Senior Notes, due 2011, dated as of June 16, 2006, between Symantec Corporation and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee (including form of 0.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2011) | 8-K | 000-17781 | 4.01 | 06/16/06 | |
| 4.03 | Indenture related to the 1.00% Convertible Senior Notes, due 2013, dated as of June 16, 2006, between Symantec Corporation and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee (including form of 1.00% Convertible Senior Notes due 2013) | 8-K | 000-17781 | 4.02 | 06/16/06 | |
| 4.04 | Form of Master Terms and Conditions For Convertible Bond Hedging Transactions between Symantec Corporation and each of Bank of America, N.A. and Citibank, N.A., respectively, dated June 9, 2006, including Exhibit and | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.04 | 08/09/06 | |

Edgar Filing: SYMANTEC CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----------|-------|----------|
| | Schedule thereto | | | | |
| 4.05 | Form of Master Terms and Conditions For Warrants Issued by Symantec Corporation between Symantec Corporation and each of Bank of America, N.A. and Citibank, N.A., respectively, dated June 9, 2006, including Exhibit and Schedule thereto | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.05 | 08/09/06 |
| 4.06 | Convertible Note Purchase and Amendment Agreement, dated September 17, 2010, between Symantec Corporation and Bank of America, N.A. | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.04 | 11/03/10 |
| 4.07 | Convertible Note Purchase and Amendment Agreement, dated September 17, 2010, between Symantec Corporation and Citibank, N.A. | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.05 | 11/03/10 |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | Filed Herewith |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|---------------------------|---------|-------------------|
| | | | File No. | Exhibit | Filing Date |
| 4.08 | Credit Agreement, dated as of September 8, 2010, by and among Symantec Corporation, the lenders party thereto (the Lenders), Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, Bank of America, N.A. and Citibank, N.A., as Co-Syndication Agents, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., as Co-Documentation Agents, and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Banc of America Securities LLC and Citigroup Global Markets Inc., as Joint Bookrunners and Joint Lead Arrangers | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 4.01 | 11/03/10 |
| 4.09 | Indenture, dated September 16, 2010, between Symantec Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee | 8-K | 000-17781 | 4.01 | 09/16/10 |
| 4.10 | Form of Global Note for Symantec s 2.750% Senior Note due 2015 (contained in Exhibit No. 4.02) | 8-K | 000-17781 | 4.03 | 09/16/10 |
| 4.11 | Form of Global Note for Symantec s 4.200% Senior Note due 2020 (contained in Exhibit No. 4.02) | 8-K | 000-17781 | 10.04 | 09/16/10 |
| 10.01* | Form of Indemnification Agreement with Officers and Directors, as amended (form for agreements entered into prior to January 17, 2006) | S-1 | 33-28655 | 10.17 | 06/21/89 |
| 10.02* | Form of Indemnification Agreement for Officers, Directors and Key Employees | 8-K | 000-17781 | 10.01 | 01/23/06 |
| 10.03* | Veritas Software Corporation 1993 Equity Incentive Plan, including form of Stock Option Agreement | 10-K | 000-17781 | 10.03 | 06/09/06 |
| 10.04* | Symantec Corporation 1996 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended, including form of Stock Option Agreement and form of Restricted Stock Purchase Agreement | 10-K | 000-17781 | 10.05 | 06/09/06 |
| 10.05* | Symantec Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan, restated and amended January 1, 2010, as | 10-K | 000-17781 | 10.05 | 05/24/10 |

Edgar Filing: SYMANTEC CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|------------|-------|----------|
| | adopted December 15, 2009 | | | | |
| 10.06* | Brightmail Inc. 1998 Stock Option Plan, including form of Stock Option Agreement and form of Notice of Assumption | 10-K | 000-17781 | 10.08 | 06/09/06 |
| 10.07* | Altiris, Inc. 1998 Stock Option Plan | S-8 | 333-141986 | 99.01 | 04/10/07 |
| 10.08* | Form of Notice of Grant of Stock Option under the Altiris, Inc. 1998 Stock Option Plan | S-8 | 333-141986 | 99.02 | 04/10/07 |
| 10.09* | Symantec Corporation 2000 Director Equity Incentive Plan, as amended | 10-K | 000-17781 | 10.09 | 06/01/09 |
| 10.10* | Symantec Corporation 2001 Non-Qualified Equity Incentive Plan | 10-K | 000-17781 | 10.12 | 06/09/06 |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | Filing Date | Filed Herewith |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|---------------------------|---------|-------------|----------------|
| | | | File No. | Exhibit | | |
| 10.11* | Amended and Restated Symantec Corporation 2002 Executive Officers Stock Purchase Plan | 8-K | 000-17781 | 10.01 | 01/25/08 | |
| 10.12* | Altiris, Inc. 2002 Stock Plan | S-8 | 333-141986 | 99.03 | 04/10/07 | |
| 10.13* | Form of Stock Option Agreement under the Altiris, Inc. 2002 Stock Plan | S-8 | 333-141986 | 99.04 | 04/10/07 | |
| 10.14* | Vontu, Inc. 2002 Stock Option/Stock Issuance Plan, as amended | S-8 | 333-148107 | 99.02 | 12/17/07 | |
| 10.15* | Form of Vontu, Inc. Stock Option Agreement | S-8 | 333-148107 | 99.03 | 12/17/07 | |
| 10.16* | Veritas Software Corporation 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated, including form of Stock Option Agreement, form of Stock Option Agreement for Executives and Senior VPs and form of Notice of Stock Option Assumption | 10-K | 000-17781 | 10.15 | 06/09/06 | |
| 10.17* | Symantec Corporation 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended, including Stock Option Grant Terms and Conditions, form of RSU Award Agreement, form of RSU Award Agreement for Non-Employee Directors and form of PRU Award Agreement | | | | | X |
| 10.18* | Altiris, Inc. 2005 Stock Plan | S-8 | 333-141986 | 99.05 | 04/10/07 | |
| 10.19* | Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement under the Altiris, Inc. 2005 Stock Plan, as amended | S-8 | 333-141986 | 99.06 | 04/10/07 | |
| 10.20* | Symantec Corporation 2008 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.2 | 11/03/10 | |
| 10.21* | Offer Letter, dated February 8, 2006, from Symantec Corporation to James A. Beer | 10-K | 000-17781 | 10.17 | 06/09/06 | |
| 10.22* | Letter Agreement, dated April 6, 2009, between Symantec Corporation and John W. Thompson | 8-K | 000-17781 | 10.01 | 04/09/09 | |
| 10.23* | Employment Agreement, dated September 23, 2009, between Symantec Corporation and Enrique | 8-K | 000-17781 | 10.01 | 09/29/09 | |

Edgar Filing: SYMANTEC CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----------|-------|----------|---|
| 10.24* | Salem Separation and Release Agreement, effective August 31, 2010, between Symantec Corporation and Greg Hughes | 8-K | 000-17781 | 10.01 | 09/07/10 | |
| 10.25* | FY11 Long Term Incentive Plan | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.04 | 08/04/10 | |
| 10.26* | Form of FY11 Executive Annual Incentive Plan Chief Executive Officer | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.01 | 08/04/10 | |
| 10.27* | Form of FY11 Executive Annual Incentive Plan Executive Vice President and Group President 90% | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.02 | 08/04/10 | |
| 10.28* | Form of FY11 Executive Annual Incentive Plan Executive Vice President and Group President | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.03 | 08/04/10 | |
| 10.29* | FY12 Long Term Incentive Plan | | | | | X |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | | Filed Herewith |
|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------|
| | | | File No. | Exhibit | Filing Date | |
| 10.30* | Form of FY12 Executive Annual Incentive Plan Chief Executive Officer | | | | | X |
| 10.31* | Form of FY12 Executive Annual Incentive Plan Executive Vice President and Group President 95% | | | | | X |
| 10.32* | Form of FY12 Executive Annual Incentive Plan Executive Vice President and Group President | | | | | X |
| 10.33* | Symantec Senior Executive Incentive Plan, as amended and restated | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.03 | 11/07/08 | |
| 10.34* | Symantec Executive Retention Plan, as amended | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.05 | 08/07/07 | |
| 10.35* | Amendment to the Symantec Executive Retention Plan, effective January 1, 2009 | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.01 | 02/05/10 | |
| 10.36 | Assignment of Copyright and Other Intellectual Property Rights, by and between Peter Norton and Peter Norton Computing, Inc., dated August 31, 1990 | S-4 | 33-35385 | 10.37 | 06/13/90 | |
| 10.37 | Environmental Indemnity Agreement, dated April 23, 1999, between Veritas and Fairchild Semiconductor Corporation, included as Exhibit C to that certain Agreement of Purchase and Sale, dated March 29, 1999, between Veritas and Fairchild Semiconductor of California | S-1/A | 333-83777 | 10.27 Exhibit C | 08/06/99 | |
| 10.38 | Second Amended and Restated Symantec Online Store Agreement, by and among Symantec Corporation, Symantec Limited, Digital River, Inc. and Digital River Ireland Limited, entered into on October 19, 2006 | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.02 | 02/07/07 | |
| 10.39 | Amendment, dated June 20, 2007, to the Amended and Restated Agreement Respecting Certain Rights of Publicity dated as of August 31, 1990, by and between Peter Norton and Symantec Corporation | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.01 | 08/07/07 | |

Edgar Filing: SYMANTEC CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----------|-------|----------|---|
| 10.40 | Amendment, effective December 6, 2010, to the Trademark License Agreement, dated August 9, 2010, by and between VeriSign, Inc. and Symantec Corporation | 10-Q | 000-17781 | 10.01 | 02/02/11 | |
| 21.01 | Subsidiaries of Symantec Corporation | | | | | X |
| 23.01 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | | | | | X |
| 24.01 | Power of Attorney (see Signature page to this annual report) | | | | | X |
| 31.01 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | | | | | X |
| 31.02 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | | | | | X |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | | Filed Herewith |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|---------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------------|
| | | | File No. | Exhibit | Filing Date | |
| 32.01 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | | | | | X |
| 32.02 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | | | | | X |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document | | | | | X |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Schema Linkbase Document | | | | | X |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document | | | | | X |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Labels Linkbase Document | | | | | X |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document | | | | | X |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase Document | | | | | X |

* Indicates a management contract, compensatory plan or arrangement.

Certain portions of this exhibit have been omitted and have been filed separately with the SEC pursuant to a request for confidential treatment under Rule 24b-2 as promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Filed by Veritas Software Corporation.

This exhibit is being furnished, rather than filed, and shall not be deemed incorporated by reference into any filing, in accordance with Item 601 of Regulation S-K.