EATON VANCE OHIO MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST Form N-CSR January 27, 2012

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 Form N-CSR **CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED** MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES **Investment Company Act File Number: 811-09149 Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust** (Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter) Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110 (Address of Principal Executive Offices) Maureen A. Gemma Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110 (Name and Address of Agent for Services) (617) 482-8260 (Registrant s Telephone Number) November 30 Date of Fiscal Year End November 30, 2011 Date of Reporting Period

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts

Annual Report November 30, 2011

> California (CEV) Massachusetts (MMV) Michigan (EMI) New Jersey (EVJ) New York (EVY) Ohio (EVO) Pennsylvania (EVP)

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

Annual Report November 30, 2011 Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts Table of Contents

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance and Fund Profile	
California	4
Massachusetts	5
Michigan	6
New Jersey	7
New York	8
Ohio	9
Pennsylvania	10
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	11
Financial Statements	12
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	61
Federal Tax Information	62
Notice to Shareholders	63
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	64
Management and Organization	66
Important Notices	69

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts November 30, 2011 Management s Discussion of Fund Performance

Economic and Market Conditions

Early in the fiscal year, in the December 2010 through March 2011 timeframe, economic indicators seemed to show that a modest recovery was under way. But in the second quarter of 2011, Europe s sovereign debt problems began to intensify, and investors worried about the potential impact on the U.S. economy and U.S. banks. Meanwhile, unemployment remained stubbornly high, the housing market was not recovering, and Congressional wrangling over the debt ceiling led Standard & Poor s to downgrade U.S. Treasuries. The potential for a double-dip recession began to look real. Reacting to this turmoil, the S&P 500 Index² fell more than 15% in just over two weeks during late July and early August and spent the rest of the period trying to claw its way back.

Against this backdrop, Treasury and municipal interest rates began to rise in the first part of the fiscal year, as people believed an economic recovery was well underway. When economic indicators began to suggest that the U.S. economy was not as strong as first perceived and European sovereign debt problems intensified, we saw a flight to safety beginning in the second quarter. Treasury prices rose and yields fell significantly as equity markets declined. Municipal bonds rallied as well, but not to the same degree, because investors were still concerned about the perceived ability of state and local governments to address historically large fiscal deficits and balance their budgets. As the period wore on, however, several factors caused performance of municipals to improve. The massive municipal defaults predicted by high-profile market analysts did not materialize, while the budget situation for many issuers began to recover. In addition, the supply-demand equation for municipal bonds improved as the number of new issues declined dramatically from that of 2010.

With extremely low Treasury yields driven by problems in Europe and the Fed s Operation Twist (central bank s swapping its short-term holdings for longer-term Treasury bonds), municipals during the period offered significantly higher taxable-equivalent yields than Treasuries. The ratio of AAA⁶ municipal yields to Treasury yields which historically has averaged less than 100% because municipal yields are federally tax-exempt rose from 103.9% at the start of the period to 125.9% at period-end. The result was a pickup in municipal sales and prices as investors moved to lock in attractive municipal yields. For the one-year period as a whole, the Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index a broad measure of U.S. municipal bond performance rose 6.53%.

Fund Performance

For the fiscal year ending November 30, 2011, the Massachusetts, Michigan, New York, and Ohio Trusts shares at net asset value (NAV) outperformed the 8.32% return of the Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index (the Index), the Funds benchmark, while the California, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania Trusts shares underperformed the Index at NAV.

The Funds were hedged during the period to help mitigate the potential interest-rate risk associated with the Funds overall investment strategy. Generally speaking, the Funds overall strategy is to invest primarily in bonds at the longer end of the maturity spectrum in order to capture their typically higher yields and greater income payments. The Funds tend to hedge to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility at the long end of the curve by using Treasury futures and interest-rate swaps to provide downside protection. For the 12-month period ending November 30, 2011, this hedging strategy was a drag on performance, as the ratio of municipal yields to U.S. Treasury yields of similar maturities remained relatively high and actually increased during the period. Thus, the more a Fund was hedged, the more that hedging detracted from the Fund s performance. Hedging was a detractor from performance of all Funds except the Michigan Trust, where the negative effect of hedging was less. In contrast, leverage formance. The use of leverage has the effect of providing additional exposure to the municipal market. Leverage magnifies a Fund s exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down markets. During this period of strong performance by municipal bonds, leverage was a key positive contributor to all of the Funds relative performance versus the benchmark.

An overweighting in long-maturity bonds (20 years or more), which outperformed short- and medium-maturity issues during the period, also helped performance of all Funds except the Michigan Trust.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

²

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts November 30, 2011 Management s Discussion of Fund Performance *continued*

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust s shares at NAV had a total return of 7.99%, underperforming the 8.32% return of the Index. A key detractor was security selection in hospital bonds. Performance was helped, however, by security selection in the transportation sector and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds.

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust s shares at NAV had a total return of 8.49%, outperforming the 8.32% return of the Index. Security selection in generally high quality general obligation bonds was positive for performance, while underweighting transportation as well as water & sewer, two sectors that did well over the period, hurt results.

Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust s shares at NAV had a total return of 11.66%, outpacing the 8.32% return of the Index. Key drivers of performance included overweights in generally high quality local general obligation bonds, hospital bonds, and zero-coupon bonds, all of which did well during the period. Security selection in hospitals helped as well. But overweighting bonds with 10-20 year maturities, which performed well but not as strongly as longer-maturity issues, detracted from performance.

Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust s shares at NAV returned 5.64%, trailing the 8.32% return of the Index. An underweighting in general obligation bonds, which outpaced the overall market, held back results. Contributors included an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds and security selection in industrial development bonds. Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust s shares at NAV returned 9.06%, outperforming the 8.32% return of the Index. An overweighting in zero-coupon bonds and in 5.50% and 5.75% coupon bonds, which performed well during the period, aided performance. Security selection in the industrial development and transportation sectors, however, detracted from results.

Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust s shares at NAV returned 9.21%, outperforming the 8.32% return of the Index. An overweighting in generally high quality local government general obligation bonds contributed to results, while exposure to lower-quality industrial development revenue bonds and an underweighting in the strong-performing transportation sector detracted.

Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust s shares at NAV had a total return of 6.53%, underperforming the 8.32% return of the Index. Key detractors included security selection in the water & sewer and the industrial development sectors. In contrast, security selection and an overweighting in education bonds, as well as an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds, aided results.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust November 30, 2011 **Portfolio Manager** Cynthia J. Clemson Performance^{2,3}

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	1 Year	5 Years	10 Years
Fund at NAV	1/29/1999	7.99%	0.77%	4.93%
Fund at Market Price		11.04	3.14	5.30
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index		8.32%	3.61%	5.34%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				2.91%
Distributions ⁴				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$0.886
Distribution Rate at NAV				7.14%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				12.25%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				6.94%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market				
Price				11.90%
% Total Leverage ⁵				
/0 I Utai LEVELAGE				
Auction Preferred Shares (APS)				31.83%
Residual Interest Bond (RIB)				10.94

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)⁶

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.⁵ Absent such securities, the Fund s credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:

AAA	4.8%	BBB	7.2%
AA	52.8	BB	0.8
		Not	
А	27.5	Rated	6.9

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust November 30, 2011 **Portfolio Manager** Craig R. Brandon, CFA Performance^{2,3}

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	1 Year	5 Years	10 Years
Fund at NAV	1/29/1999	8.49%	3.05%	6.04%
Fund at Market Price		13.45 8.32%	5.95 3.61%	6.46 5.34%
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index		8.52%	5.01%	3.34%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				6.01%
Distributions ⁴				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$0.906
Distribution Rate at NAV				6.49%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				10.54%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				6.12%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				9.94%
% Total Leverage ⁵				
Auction Preferred Shares (APS)				31.67%
Residual Interest Bond (RIB)				7.72

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)⁶

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.⁵ Absent such securities, the Fund s credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:

AAA	16.7%	BBB	9.6%
AA	37.2	BB	1.4
A	31.5	Not Rated	3.6

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust November 30, 2011 **Portfolio Manager** William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA Performance^{2,3}

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	1 Year	5 Years	10 Years
Fund at NAV Fund at Market Price Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	1/29/1999	11.66% 10.60 8.32%	3.49% 3.84 3.61%	5.57% 5.96 5.34%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				-6.94%
Distributions ⁴				
Total Distributions per share for the period Distribution Rate at NAV Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV Distribution Rate at Market Price Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market				\$0.839 6.11% 9.83% 6.57%
Price				10.57%
% Total Leverage ⁵				
Auction Preferred Shares (APS) Fund Profile				38.15%

Credit Quality (% of total investments)⁶

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust November 30, 2011 **Portfolio Manager** Adam Weigold, CFA Performance^{2,3}

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	1 Year	5 Years	10 Years
Fund at NAV Fund at Market Price Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	1/29/1999	5.64% 6.39 8.32%	1.93% 3.95 3.61%	5.85% 6.55 5.34%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				2.69%
Distributions ⁴				
Total Distributions per share for the period Distribution Rate at NAV Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV Distribution Rate at Market Price Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				\$0.923 6.90% 11.66% 6.72% 11.36%
% Total Leverage ⁵				
Auction Preferred Shares (APS) Residual Interest Bond (RIB)				32.26% 9.13

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)⁶

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.⁵ Absent such securities, the Fund scredit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:

AAA	14.5%	BB	3.0%
AA	26.2	В	1.1
		Not	
A	43.4	Rated	0.8
BBB	11.0		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust November 30, 2011 **Portfolio Manager** Craig R. Brandon, CFA Performance^{2,3}

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	1 Year	5 Years	10 Years
Fund at NAV Fund at Market Price	1/29/1999	9.06% 8.18	2.05% 3.34	5.84% 6.12
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index		8.32%	3.61%	5.34%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				1.01%
Distributions ⁴				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$0.910
Distribution Rate at NAV				6.84%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV Distribution Rate at Market Price				11.56%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market				6.77%
Price				11.44%
% Total Leverage ⁵				
Auction Preferred Shares (APS)				26.58%
Residual Interest Bond (RIB)				16.12

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)⁶

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.⁵ Absent such securities, the Fund scredit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:

AAA	13.6%	BBB	11.8%
AA	38.0	BB	1.2
А	27.3	Not Rated	8.1

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust November 30, 2011 **Portfolio Manager** William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA Performance^{2,3}

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	1 Year	5 Years	10 Years
Fund at NAV Fund at Market Price	1/29/1999	9.21% 6.25	2.96% 4.26	5.79% 6.04
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index		0.25 8.32%	4.20 3.61%	5.34%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				-0.86%
Distributions ⁴				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$0.861
Distribution Rate at NAV Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				6.18% 10.11%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				6.24%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				10.20%
% Total Leverage ⁵				
Auction Preferred Shares (APS) Residual Interest Bond (RIB)				36.33% 2.33

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)⁶

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust November 30, 2011 Portfolio Manager Adam Weigold, CFA Performance^{2,3}

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	1 Year	5 Years	10 Years
Fund at NAV	1/29/1999	6.53%	2.99%	5.62%
Fund at Market Price		13.15	4.92	7.05
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index		8.32%	3.61%	5.34%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				3.02%
Distributions ⁴				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$0.867
Distribution Rate at NAV				6.54%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				10.38%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				6.35%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market				
Price				10.08%
% Total Leverage ⁵				
Auction Preferred Shares (APS)				35.99%
Residual Interest Bond (RIB)				2.80

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)⁶

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.⁵ Absent such securities, the Fund scredit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:

AAA AA	3.8% 47.7	CC C Not	0.6% 0.1
A BBB	35.6 5.3	Rated	6.9

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts November 30, 2011 Endnotes and Additional Disclosures

- ¹ The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund s actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- ² S&P 500 Index is an unmanaged index of large-cap stocks commonly used as a measure of U.S. stock market performance. Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- ³ Performance results reflect the effects of leverage.
- ⁴ The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be composed of tax-exempt income, ordinary income, net realized capital gains and return of capital. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest federal and state income tax rates, as applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rate(s) will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes. The distribution declared on December 30, 2011 reflects a reduction of the monthly distribution for Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust, Michigan Municipal Income Trust and New Jersey Municipal Income Trust.
- ⁵ Fund employs RIB financing and/or APS leverage. The leverage created by RIB investments and APS provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS and Floating Rate Notes. APS leverage represents the liquidation value of the Fund s APS outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares. The Fund is required to maintain prescribed asset coverage for its APS, which could be reduced if Fund asset values decline. Floating Rate Notes in both calculations reflect the effect of RIBs purchased in secondary market transactions, if applicable.
- ⁶ Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. Credit ratings are based largely on the rating agency s investment analysis at the time of rating and the rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition. The rating assigned to a security by a rating agency does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in

the security. If securities are rated differently by the rating agencies, the higher rating is applied. Fund profile subject to change due to active management. Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 164.1%

		Principal	
~	(000	Amount	
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value

Education 18.6%

California Educational Facilities Authority, (Claremont	¢ 0.105	¢ 2.0(4.700
McKenna College), 5.00%, 1/1/39	\$ 3,135	\$ 3,264,789
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd	105	200.077
College), 5.25%, 12/1/31	195	208,867
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd	220	244.405
College), 5.25%, 12/1/36	330	344,487
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola		
Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/30	745	762,157
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Lutheran		
University), 5.00%, 10/1/29	2,625	2,520,052
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara		
University), 5.00%, 9/1/23	1,600	1,879,328
California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San		
Francisco), 6.125%, 10/1/36	235	260,042
California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of		
Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/39	2,490	2,676,725
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San		
Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/31	415	427,824
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San		
Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/35	285	288,850
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San		
Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/26	810	877,570
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San		
Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27	850	908,880
California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San		
Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/28	895	951,850
University of California, 5.25%, 5/15/39	1,250	1,331,213
-		

		\$ 16,702,634
Electric Utilities 13.4%		
Chula Vista, (San Diego Gas and Electric), 5.875%, 2/15/34 Chula Vista, (San Diego Gas and Electric), (AMT),	\$ 270	\$ 297,478
5.00%, 12/1/27 Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Electric System	2,275	2,350,576
Revenue, 5.25%, 7/1/32 Northern California Power Agency, 5.25%, 8/1/24	2,170 1,500	2,341,907 1,654,095
Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/27	1,335	1,445,364
Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/28 Southern California Public Power Authority, (Tieton	1,795	1,926,933
Hydropower), 5.00%, 7/1/35 Vernon, Electric System Revenue, 5.125%, 8/1/21	680 1,300	710,124 1,296,477
	1,200	1,220,177
		\$ 12,022,954
Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.0%)		
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Providence		
Health System), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 6.50%, 10/1/38	\$ 25	\$ 32,813
		\$ 32,813
		φ 02,010
General Obligations 14.3%		
California, 5.50%, 11/1/35	\$ 1,600	\$ 1,691,728
California, 6.00%, 4/1/38 California, (AMT), 5.05%, 12/1/36	750 1,590	830,190 1,564,481
California Department of Veterans Affairs, (AMT), 5.00%, 12/1/27	1,500	1,516,185
Palo Alto, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/40 ⁽²⁾	3,655	3,891,405
Santa Clara County, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/39 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	3,180	3,367,620

\$ 12,861,609

Hospital 16.8%

California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27 \$ 1,000	\$ 1,041,950
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28 190	196,673
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.625%, 7/1/32 1,000	1,034,990
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Providence Health System), 6.50%, 10/1/38 1,475	1,666,735
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/34 640	634,374
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/36 445	439,064
California Statewide Communities Development Authority,	
(Kaiser Permanente), 5.50%, 11/1/321,565California Statewide Communities Development Authority,1,565	1,572,778
(Sonoma County Indian Health), 6.40%, 9/1/291,700California Statewide Communities Development Authority,	1,700,272
(Sutter Health), 5.50%, 8/15/28 1,500 Torrance, (Torrance Memorial Medical Center), 5.50%, 6/1/31 1,900	1,508,100 1,903,952
Washington Township Health Care District, 5.00%, 7/1/322,780Washington Township Health Care District, 5.25%, 7/1/29700	2,701,549 699,944

\$ 15,100,381

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Housing 1.1%		
Commerce, (Hermitage III Senior Apartments), 6.50%, 12/1/29	\$ 682	\$ 650,274
Commerce, (Hermitage III Senior Apartments), 6.85%, 12/1/29	402	376,678
		\$ 1,026,952
Industrial Development Revenue 3.5%		
California Pollution Control Financing Authority, (Waste Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.125%, 11/1/23	\$ 1,235	\$ 1,268,975
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Anheuser-Busch Cos., Inc.), (AMT), 4.80%, 9/1/46	2,000	1,835,580
		\$ 3,104,555
Insured Education 7.1%		
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara	\$ 2,660	\$ 2,750,041
University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23 California State University, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 11/1/33	1,250 2,140	1,468,225 2,159,538

		\$	6,377,804
Insured Electric Utilities 3.3% Glendale, Electric System Revenue, (AGC), 5.00%, 2/1/31	\$ 2,790	\$ \$	2,932,485 2,932,485
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 3.5% Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, Toll Road Bonds, (AGM), (RADIAN), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 1/1/26	\$ 5,130	\$ \$	3,179,574 3,179,574
Insured General Obligations 5.6% Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/34 Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/35 Sweetwater Union High School District, (Election of 2000), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/25	\$ 6,485 4,825 4,720	\$	1,625,401 1,135,419 2,240,159 5,000,979
Insured Hospital 13.9% California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/37 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 3/1/41 ⁽⁴⁾ California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.75%, 8/15/27 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 2,900 750 3,735	\$	2,934,162 752,648 3,738,660

5,000	5,051,000
	\$ 12,476,470
\$ 5,410 2,000 3,500	 \$ 4,287,100 2,550,460 3,629,080 \$ 10,466,640
\$ 21,285 7,615	 \$ 1,362,240 908,622 \$ 2,270,862
\$ 5,000 4,500 740 10,000	 \$ 1,607,350 1,273,770 743,848 1,905,400
	\$ 5,410 2,000 3,500 \$ 21,285 7,615 \$ 5,000 4,500 740

\$ 8,270,940

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Insured Water and Sewer 4.1%		
East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 6/1/32 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,135,040
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, (NPFG), 3.00%, 7/1/30	1,830	1,566,681
		\$ 3,701,721
Other Revenue 2.0%		
California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, (Performing Arts Center of Los Angeles), 5.00%, 12/1/32	\$ 385	\$ 388,234
California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, (Performing Arts Center of Los Angeles), 5.00%, 12/1/37 Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., 5.30%, (0.00%)	315	309,821
until 12/1/12), 6/1/37 Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., 5.75%, 6/1/47	980 640	611,706 452,442
		\$ 1,762,203
Senior Living / Life Care 1.9%		
ABAG Finance Authority for Nonprofit Corporations, (Episcopal Senior Communities), 6.00%, 7/1/31	\$ 290 175	\$ 289,484 158,002

California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Southern California Presbyterian Homes), 4.75%, 11/15/26 California Statewide Communities Development Authority,		
(Southern California Presbyterian Homes), 4.875%, 11/15/36	700	581,049
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Southern California Presbyterian Homes), 7.25%, 11/15/41	600	643,554
		\$ 1,672,089
Special Tax Revenue 15.4%		
Bonita Canyon Public Financing Authority, 5.375%, 9/1/28	\$ 1,000	\$ 986,340
Brentwood Infrastructure Financing Authority, 5.00%, 9/2/26	285	240,477
Brentwood Infrastructure Financing Authority, 5.00%, 9/2/34	460	352,981
Corona Public Financing Authority, 5.80%, 9/1/20	970	971,222
Eastern California Municipal Water District, Special Tax		
Revenue, District No. 2004-27 Cottonwood, 5.00%, 9/1/27	200	188,530
Eastern California Municipal Water District, Special Tax		
Revenue, District No. 2004-27 Cottonwood, 5.00%, 9/1/36	500	441,175
Fontana Redevelopment Agency, (Jurupa Hills),		
5.60%, 10/1/27	1,590	1,591,081
Moreno Valley Unified School District, (Community School		
District No. 2003-2), 5.75%, 9/1/24	420	420,382
Moreno Valley Unified School District, (Community School		
District No. 2003-2), 5.90%, 9/1/29	750	750,217
Oakland Joint Powers Financing Authority, 5.40%, 9/2/18	1,495	1,510,832
Oakland Joint Powers Financing Authority, 5.50%, 9/2/24	900	906,885
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Sales Tax	2 400	2 (15 200
Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/28	2,400	2,645,280
Santaluz Community Facilities District No. 2, 6.10%, 9/1/21	250	250,838
Santaluz Community Facilities District No. 2, 6.20%, 9/1/30	490	490,652
Temecula Unified School District, 5.00%, 9/1/27	250	235,663
Temecula Unified School District, 5.00%, 9/1/37	400	351,636
Tustin Community Facilities District, 6.00%, 9/1/37	500	497,995
Whittier Public Financing Authority, (Greenleaf Avenue Redevelopment) 5 50% 11/1/22	1 000	1 001 100
Redevelopment), 5.50%, 11/1/23	1,000	1,001,100

\$ 13,833,286

Transportation 12.1%

Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), 5.00%, 4/1/31

\$ 2,000

\$ 2,103,680

Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco		
Bay Area), 5.25%, 4/1/29	1,000	1,087,020
Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles		
International Airport), 5.00%, 5/15/35 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	2,120	2,234,247
Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles		
International Airport), (AMT), 5.375%, 5/15/30	1,500	1,586,160
Port of Redwood City, (AMT), 5.125%, 6/1/30	1,170	1,060,160
San Francisco City and County Airport Commission, (San		
Francisco International Airport), 5.00%, 5/1/35	2,760	2,829,469

\$ 10,900,736

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Water and Sewer 4.2%		
California Department of Water Resources, 5.00%, 12/1/29 San Mateo, Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 8/1/36	\$ 1,840 1,700	\$ 1,988,727 1,795,302
		\$ 3,784,029
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 164.1% (identified cost \$146,937,929)		\$ 147,481,716
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends	(55.6)%	\$ (49,976,571)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (8.5)%		\$ (7,643,439)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$ 89,861,706

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC- Assured Guaranty Corp.AGM- Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

- AMT Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.
- BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
- CIFG CIFG Assurance North America, Inc.
- FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
- NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.
- RADIAN Radian Group, Inc.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2011, 37.1% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 0.5% to 15.3% of total investments.

- $^{(1)}$ Amount is less than 0.05%
- ⁽²⁾ Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.
- ⁽³⁾ Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$1,626,867.
- ⁽⁴⁾ Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 153.6%

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 6.1%		
Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/33 Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/34	\$ 910 990	\$ 1,118,144 1,212,790
		\$ 2,330,934
Education 29.0%		
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Middlesex School), 5.00%, 9/1/33 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency,	\$ 600	\$ 604,908
(Milton Academy), 5.00%, 9/1/35	1,080	1,146,323
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (New England Conservatory of Music), 5.25%, 7/1/38 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,	895	891,142
(Berklee College of Music), 5.00%, 10/1/32	1,500	1,524,555
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/35 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,	1,640	1,966,163
(Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 ⁽¹⁾	1,500	1,620,570
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Massachusetts Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 7/1/38	415 1,350	442,162 1,389,258
	1,330	1,309,230

Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Northeastern University), 5.00%, 10/1/35 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Tufts University), 5.375%, 8/15/38	1,420	1,548,794 \$ 11,133,875
		φ 11,155,675
Electric Utilities 2.7%		
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Devens Electric System), 6.00%, 12/1/30	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,016,600
		\$ 1,016,600
Escrowed / Prerefunded 1.1%		
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Western New England College), Prefunded to 12/1/12, 6.125%, 12/1/32	\$ 400	\$ 427,028
		\$ 427,028
General Obligations 13.6%		
Boston, 4.00%, 4/1/24 Cambridge, 4.00%, 2/15/21	\$ 300 595	\$ 325,278 690,432
Danvers, 5.25%, 7/1/36	885	974,925
Newton, 5.00% , $4/1/36^{(2)}$	750 245	811,350
Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/31 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/32	345 315	375,943 342,216
Wayland, 5.00%, 2/1/33	510	564,269
Wayland, 5.00%, 2/1/36	770	846,253
Winchester, 5.00%, 4/15/36	245	269,721

\$ 5,200,387

Hospital 25.8%

Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Tufts Medical		
Center), 7.25%, 1/1/32	\$ 600	\$ 666,330
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency,		
(UMass Memorial), 5.50%, 7/1/31	370	375,905
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Baystate Medical Center, Inc.), 5.75%, 7/1/36	1,210	1,253,899
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Berkshire Health System), 6.25%, 10/1/31	400	402,192
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Children s Hospital), 5.25%, 12/1/39	500	520,025
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Dana-Farber Cancer Institute), 5.00%, 12/1/37	1,135	1,154,783
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Healthcare System-Covenant Health), 6.00%, 7/1/31	885	894,222
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Jordan Hospital), 6.75%, 10/1/33	755	757,937
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Lowell General Hospital), 5.125%, 7/1/35	970	877,724
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Partners Healthcare System), 5.00%, 7/1/32 ⁽¹⁾	2,000	2,046,360
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(South Shore Hospital), 5.75%, 7/1/29	675	675,182
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,		
(Winchester Hospital), 5.25%, 7/1/38	290	272,794

\$ 9,897,353

See Notes to Financial Statements.

16

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Housing 6.7%		
Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency, (AMT), 4.75%, 12/1/48 Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency, (AMT),	\$ 2,100	\$ 1,928,115
5.00%, 12/1/28	650	654,251
		\$ 2,582,366
Industrial Development Revenue 1.5%		
Massachusetts Industrial Finance Agency, (American Hingham Water Co.), (AMT), 6.60%, 12/1/15	\$ 575	\$ 576,029
		\$ 576,029
Insured Education 10.3%		
Massachusetts College Building Authority, (XLCA), 5.50%, 5/1/39 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (College of the	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,182,010
Holy Cross), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32 ⁽¹⁾	1,365	1,611,437

Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Franklin W. Olin College), (XLCA), 5.25%, 7/1/33	1,165	1,171,536
		\$ 3,964,983
Insured Electric Utilities 1.6%		
Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/29	\$ 570	\$ 601,948
		\$ 601,948
Insured General Obligations 3.2%		
Massachusetts, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 8/1/30	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,231,100
		\$ 1,231,100
Insured Hospital 0.9%		
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Cape Cod Healthcare), (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/25	\$ 335	\$ 348,685
		\$ 348,685
Insured Other Revenue 2.0%		
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (WGBH Educational Foundation), (AMBAC), 5.75%, 1/1/42	\$ 705	\$ 773,336
		\$ 773,336

Insured Special Tax Revenue 12.5%

Martha s Vineyard Land Bank, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 5/1/32 Massachusetts, Special Obligation, Dedicated Tax Revenue, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.50%, 1/1/29 Massachusetts School Building Authority, Dedicated Sales Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/15/37 ⁽¹⁾ Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$ 1,450 1,000 1,340 7,595 2,735	 \$ 1,487,932 1,118,930 1,394,860 486,080 326,340 \$ 4,814,142
Insured Student Loan 5.7% Massachusetts Educational Financing Authority, (AGC), (AMT), 6.35%, 1/1/30 Massachusetts Educational Financing Authority, (AMBAC), (AMT), 4.70%, 1/1/33	\$ 405 1,885	\$ 431,507 1,749,337 \$ 2,180,844
Insured Transportation 3.4% Massachusetts Port Authority, (Bosfuel Project), (FGIC), (NPFG), (AMT), 5.00%, 7/1/32 Massachusetts Port Authority, (Bosfuel Project), (FGIC), (NPFG), (AMT), 5.00%, 7/1/38	\$ 315 1,010	\$ 312,389 981,740 \$ 1,294,129
Nursing Home 1.3% Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Christopher House), 6.875%, 1/1/29	\$ 535	\$ 514,970

Other Revenue 2.9%

Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum), 5.00%, 5/1/22 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,	\$ 500	\$	563,195
(Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum), 5.00%, 5/1/25	505		548,778
		\$ 1	1,111,973

See Notes to Financial Statements.

17

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)		Value
Senior Living / Life Care 5.8%			
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Berkshire Retirement Community, Inc.), 5.15%, 7/1/31 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Berkshire	\$ 250	\$	222,008
Retirement Community, Inc.), 5.625%, 7/1/29	1,500	1	,429,920
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Carleton-Willard Village), 5.625%, 12/1/30 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (First Mortgage	125		127,336
VOA Concord), 5.125%, 11/1/27	140		112,616
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (First Mortgage VOA Concord), 5.20%, 11/1/41	475		344,612
		\$2	,236,492
Special Tax Revenue 7.9%			
Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/34 Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Sales Tax	\$ 140	\$	150,669
Revenue, 0.00%, 7/1/31 Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Sales Tax	1,665		662,570
Revenue, 0.00%, 7/1/34	5,195	1	,778,404
Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/39 Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37	75 335		69,331 359,244

	\$ 3,020,218
Transportation 7.3%	
Massachusetts Department of Transportation, (MetropolitanHighway System), 5.00%, 1/1/37\$ 1,500Massachusetts Port Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/28500Massachusetts Port Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/34670	\$ 1,552,875 547,235 703,594
	\$ 2,803,704
Water and Sewer 2.3%	
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, 4.00%, 8/1/46\$ 960	\$ 886,848
	\$ 886,848
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 153.6% (identified cost \$57,244,089)	\$ 58,947,944
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (52.2)%	\$ (20,050,234)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (1.4)%	\$ (525,316)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%	\$ 38,372,394

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

- AMT Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.
- FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
- NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.
- XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by Massachusetts municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2011, 25.8% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.3% to 14.8% of total investments.

- ⁽¹⁾ Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- ⁽²⁾ Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 151.5%

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 2.3%		
Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, (Clean Water Revenue), 5.00%, 10/1/29 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 600	\$ 652,824
		\$ 652,824
Education 10.0%		
Grand Valley State University, 5.625%, 12/1/29	\$ 525	\$ 563,456
Grand Valley State University, 5.75%, 12/1/34 Michigan Higher Education Facilities Authority, (Hillsdale	525	558,574
College), 5.00%, 3/1/35	200	200,470
Michigan State University, 5.00%, 2/15/40	1,000	1,048,270
Michigan State University, 5.00%, 2/15/44	460	477,687

\$ 2,848,457

Lansing Board of Water and Light, 5.50%, 7/1/41	\$ 500	\$ 548,150
		\$ 548,150
Escrowed / Prerefunded 8.9%		
Macomb County Hospital Finance Authority, (Mount Clemens General Hospital), Prerefunded to 11/15/13, 5.875%, 11/15/34 Michigan Higher Education Facilities Authority, (Creative	\$ 560	\$ 619,164
Studies), Prerefunded to 6/1/12, 5.90%, 12/1/27	1,250	1,285,263
Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, Prerefunded to 7/1/12, 5.25%, 7/1/31	600	623,712
		\$ 2,528,139
General Obligations 26.1%		
Ann Arbor School District, 4.50%, 5/1/24	\$ 350	\$ 364,133
Charter County of Wayne, 6.75%, 11/1/39	490	529,719
Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/28	230	244,460
Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.125%, 5/1/31 Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.25%, 5/1/33	275 220	289,471 231,081
East Grand Rapids Public School District, 5.00%, 5/1/25	500	507,490
Jenison Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/28	500	524,685
Jenison Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/30	500	519,590
Kent County, 5.00%, 1/1/25	1,500	1,639,590
Kent County, (AMT), 5.00%, 1/1/28	1,000	1,059,720
Michigan, 5.00%, 11/1/20	1,000	1,186,700
Michigan, 5.50%, 11/1/25	270	306,426
		\$ 7,403,065
Hospital 26.1%		
Gaylord Hospital Finance Authority, (Otsego Memorial		
Hospital Association), 6.20%, 1/1/25	\$ 185	\$ 165,864
Gaylord Hospital Finance Authority, (Otsego Memorial		
Hospital Association), 6.50%, 1/1/37	125	106,969
	275	310,046

Kent Hospital Finance Authority, (Spectrum Health), 5.50% to 1/15/15 (Put Date), 1/15/47 Mecosta County, (Michigan General Hospital), 6.00%, 5/15/18 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health System), 5.00%, 11/15/38 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/46 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (McLaren Healthcare), 5.00%, 8/1/35 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Memorial Healthcare Center), 5.875%, 11/15/21 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Mid Michigan Obligation Group), 6.125%, 6/1/39 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Trinity Health Corp.), 5.00%, 12/1/27 Monroe County Hospital Finance Authority, (Mercy Memorial Hospital Corp.), 5.375%, 6/1/26 Saginaw Hospital Finance Authority, (Covenant Medical Center, Inc.), 5.00%, 7/1/30	410 675 1,000 1,080 750 500 1,000 425 1,000	410,303 657,916 978,510 1,081,534 750,577 526,685 1,057,540 417,239 952,830
Housing 1.8% Michigan Housing Development Authority, 4.60%, 12/1/26	\$ 500	 \$ 7,416,013 \$ 516,015 \$ 516,015
Industrial Development Revenue 4.9% Detroit Local Development Finance Authority, (Chrysler Corp.), 5.375%, 5/1/21 Dickinson County Economic Development Corp., (International Paper Co.), 5.75%, 6/1/16 Puerto Rico Port Authority, (American Airlines, Inc.), (AMT), 6.25%, 6/1/26 ⁽²⁾	\$ 750 800 125	\$ 539,145 813,616 23,781

\$ 1,376,542

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Insured Education 5.8%		
Ferris State University, (AGC), 5.125%, 10/1/33 Ferris State University, (AGC), 5.25%, 10/1/38 Wayne State University, (AGM), 5.00%, 11/15/35	\$ 570 500 500	\$ 594,989 519,590 516,745
		\$ 1,631,324
Insured Electric Utilities 5.7%		
Michigan Strategic Fund, (Detroit Edison Co.), (XLCA), 5.25%, 12/15/32 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (FGIC), (NPFG),	\$ 400	\$ 401,652
5.25%, 7/1/30 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (FGIC), (NPFG),	220	228,630
5.25%, 7/1/34 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/29	500 435	513,020 459,382

\$ 1,602,684

Battle Creek School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/37 Byron Center Public Schools, (AGM), 3.75%, 5/1/26 Byron Center Public Schools, (AGM), 4.00%, 5/1/28 Detroit School District, (AGM), 5.25%, 5/1/32 Detroit School District, (FGIC), 4.75%, 5/1/28 Hartland Consolidated Schools, (AGM), 5.25%, 5/1/29 Van Dyke Public Schools, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38	\$ 1,105 650 290 300 650 1,000 1,250	<pre>\$ 1,131,001 625,059 282,994 303,561 643,760 1,072,510 1,281,312 \$ 5,340,197</pre>
Insured Hospital 3.4% Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, (William Beaumont Hospital), (NPFG), 5.25%, 11/15/35	\$ 985	\$ 977,672
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 6.7% Michigan Building Authority, (AGM), (FGIC), 0.00%, 10/15/29 Michigan Building Authority, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/15/30	\$ 1,000 4,300	 977,672 390,870 1,509,730
Insured Special Tax Revenue 2.1% Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$ 5,160 2,210	\$ 1,900,600 \$ 330,240 263,697
1 deno faco Sales 1 az Filialeing Colp., (NFFO), 0.00%, 0/1/45	2,210	\$ 593,937

Michigan Higher Education Student Loan Authority, (AMBAC), (AMT), 5.00%, 3/1/31	\$ 1,000	\$ 964,570
		\$ 964,570
Insured Transportation 3.6%		
Wayne County Airport Authority, (AGC), (AMT), 5.375%, 12/1/32	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,006,950
		\$ 1,006,950
Insured Water and Sewer 11.5%		
Detroit Sewage Disposal System, (AGC), (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/36 Detroit Water Supply System, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/30 Grand Rapids Water Supply System, (AGC), 5.10%, 1/1/39	\$ 560 1,650 1,000	\$ 561,910 1,650,099 1,054,940
		\$ 3,266,949
Other Revenue 1.2%		
Michigan Tobacco Settlement Finance Authority, 6.00%, 6/1/48	\$ 500	\$ 352,765
		\$ 352,765
Special Tax Revenue 1.3%		
Guam, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.625%, 12/1/29 Guam, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.75%, 12/1/34 Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37	\$ 115 125 110	\$ 117,149 126,960 117,961

\$ 362,070

See Notes to Financial Statements.

20

Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Water and Sewer 6.0%		
Grand Rapids, (Sanitary Sewer System), 5.00%, 1/1/28 Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, (Clean Water Revenue),	\$ 790	\$ 898,680
5.00%, 10/1/30	500	544,850
Port Huron, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 10/1/31	250	255,280
		\$ 1,698,810
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 151.5% (identified cost \$42,740,065)		\$ 42,987,733
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (61.7)%		\$ (17,500,659)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities 10.2%		\$ 2,879,256
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$ 28,366,330

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC	- Assured Guaranty Corp.
AGM	- Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC	- AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.
AMT	- Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the
	Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.
FGIC	- Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG	- National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.
XLCA	- XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by Michigan municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2011, 40.2% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 0.9% to 13.0% of total investments.

⁽¹⁾ Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.

⁽²⁾ Defaulted bond.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

21

Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 160.1%

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 1.2%		
New Jersey Environmental Infrastructure Trust, 4.00%, 9/1/23	\$ 650	\$ 707,603
		\$ 707,603
Education 20.1%		
New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Georgian Court University), 5.00%, 7/1/27 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Georgian Court	\$ 250	\$ 253,330
University), 5.00%, 7/1/33 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Georgian Court	250	243,075
University), 5.25%, 7/1/37 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Kean	220	220,886
University), 5.50%, 9/1/36 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Princeton	1,650	1,770,813
University), 4.50%, 7/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Stevens Institute	3,500	3,609,550
of Technology), 5.00%, 7/1/27 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (University of	1,650	1,671,978
Medicine and Dentistry), 7.50%, 12/1/32 Rutgers State University, 5.00%, 5/1/39 ⁽¹⁾	965 3,150	1,127,873 3,324,510

		\$ 12,222,015
Electric Utilities 4.2%		
Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/25 Salem County Pollution Control Financing Authority, (Public	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,059,830
Service Enterprise Group, Inc.), (AMT), 5.75%, 4/1/31	1,500	1,516,665
		\$ 2,576,495
General Obligations 9.2%		
Monmouth County Improvement Authority, (Governmental		
Pooled Loan), 5.00%, 1/15/28 ⁽²⁾ Monmouth County Improvement Authority, (Governmental	\$ 1,850	\$ 2,085,894
Pooled Loan), 5.00%, 1/15/30	1,795	1,997,027
West Morris Regional High School District, 4.50%, 5/1/23	640 705	738,227
West Morris Regional High School District, 4.50%, 5/1/24	705	798,934
		\$ 5,620,082
Hospital 21.3%		
Camden County Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health		
System), 5.00%, 2/15/35	\$ 90	\$ 78,188
Camden County Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health	1 415	1 265 971
System), 5.75%, 2/15/34 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (AHS	1,415	1,365,871
Hospital Corp.), 5.00%, 7/1/27	2,305	2,354,811
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Atlanticare Regional Medical Cantar), 5,00%, 7/1/37	2,095	2,106,564
(Atlanticare Regional Medical Center), 5.00%, 7/1/37 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority,	2,095	2,100,504
(Chilton Memorial Hospital), 5.75%, 7/1/39	915	924,177
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Konnedy Health System) 5.625%, 7/1/21	1 525	1 524 000
(Kennedy Health System), 5.625%, 7/1/31 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority,	1,525	1,524,909
(Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital), 5.00%, 7/1/31	1,000	1,027,740
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (South Jersey Hospital), 5.00%, 7/1/46	2,440	2,398,105
Joisey Hospital), J.00 /0, 1/1/40	2,440	2,370,103

New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), 5.75%, 7/1/33	1,075	1,137,490
		\$ 12,917,855
Housing 3.8%		
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, (Single Family Housing), (AMT), 4.70%, 10/1/37 New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, (Single	\$ 680	\$ 667,481
Family Housing), (AMT), 5.00%, 10/1/37	1,640	1,643,920
		\$ 2,311,401
Industrial Development Revenue 12.1%		
Middlesex County Pollution Control Authority, (Amerada Hess), 5.75%, 9/15/32	\$ 500	\$ 501,275
Middlesex County Pollution Control Authority, (Amerada Hess), 6.05%, 9/15/34	540	546,966
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Anheuser-Busch Cos., Inc.), (AMT), 4.95%, 3/1/47	1,070	1,043,945
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Continental Airlines), (AMT), 6.25%, 9/15/29 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Continental	215	203,510
Airlines), (AMT), 9.00%, to 6/1/13 (Put Date), 6/1/33 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (New	750	769,013
Jersey-American Water Co., Inc.), (AMT), 5.10%, 6/1/23	220	238,700
22	See Notes	to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Industrial Development Revenue (continued)		
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (New Jersey-American Water Co., Inc.), (AMT), 5.70%, 10/1/39 Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, (HOVENSA LLC), (AMT), 4.70%, 7/1/22	\$ 2,235 2,080	\$ 2,348,091 1,681,202
		\$ 7,332,702
Insured Education 1.2% New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Rowan University), (AGM), (FGIC), 3.00%, 7/1/28	\$ 825	\$ 717,907 \$ 717,907
Insured Gas Utilities 7.8%		
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (New Jersey Natural Gas Co.), (FGIC), (NPFG), (AMT), 4.90%, to 10/1/25 (Put Date), 10/1/40	\$ 4,575	\$ 4,742,079

		\$ 4,742,079
Insured General Obligations 4.1%		
Hudson County Improvement Authority, (Harrison Parking),		
(AGC), 5.25%, 1/1/39 Lakewood Township, (AGC), 5.75%, 11/1/31	\$ 1,015 1,240	\$ 1,077,463 1,395,335
		\$ 2,472,798
Insured Hospital 5.3%		
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hackensack University Medical Center), (AGC),		
5.25%, 1/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority,	\$ 750	\$ 768,990
(Meridian Health Center), Series II, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority,	500	505,430
(Meridian Health Center), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua	500	505,430
Health), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38	1,380	1,440,306
		\$ 3,220,156
Insured Housing 5.6%		
New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency, (Multi-Family Housing), (AGM), (AMT), 5.05%, 5/1/34	\$ 3,390	\$ 3,390,101
		\$ 3,390,101
		\$ 5,590,101
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 4.5%		
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,602,225

New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.50%, 9/1/28	1,000	1,129,980
		\$ 2,732,205
Insured Special Tax Revenue 11.5% Garden State Preservation Trust, (AGM), 0.00%, 11/1/25 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Motor Vehicle Surcharges), (XLCA), 0.00%, 7/1/26 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Motor Vehicle Surcharges), (XLCA), 0.00%, 7/1/27 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$ 5,550 4,300 2,020 7,185 4,965	\$ 3,010,986 2,035,104 895,890 459,840 592,424
		\$ 6,994,244
Insured Student Loan 3.8% New Jersey Higher Education Student Assistance Authority, (AGC), (AMT), 6.125%, 6/1/30 ⁽³⁾	\$ 2,225	\$ 2,322,989 \$ 2,322,989
Insured Transportation 0.6% South Jersey Transportation Authority, (AGC), 5.50%, 11/1/33	\$ 315	\$ 344,667 \$ 344,667
Insured Water and Sewer 3.3% New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (United Water New Jersey, Inc.), (AMBAC), (AMT), 4.875%, 11/1/25	\$ 1,940	\$ 2,003,147

		\$ 2,003,147
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 5.4%		
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School		
Facilities Construction), 5.25%, 12/15/33	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,573,680
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hospital Asset Transformation Program), 5.25%, 10/1/38	1,700	1,730,634
(Hospital Asset Transformation Program), 5.25%, 10/1/58	1,700	1,750,054
		• • • • • • • • •
		\$ 3,304,314

23

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Other Revenue 5.6%		
Children s Trust Fund, PR, Tobacco Settlement, 0.00%, 5/15/50 Children s Trust Fund, PR, Tobacco Settlement,	\$ 7,200	\$ 332,136
0.00%, 5/15/55 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Duke Farms	13,280	327,352
Foundation), 5.00%, 7/1/48 Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., 5.00%, 6/1/41	2,040 900	2,145,549 624,231
		\$ 3,429,268
Senior Living / Life Care 3.1%		
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Cranes Mill, Inc.), 5.875%, 7/1/28	\$ 465	\$ 467,111
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Cranes Mill, Inc.), 6.00%, 7/1/38	770	762,385
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Seabrook Village), 5.25%, 11/15/36	815	672,644
		\$ 1,902,140

Special Tax Revenue 2.2%

New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Newark Downtown District Management Corp.), 5.125%, 6/15/27 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Newark Downtown District Management Corp.), 5.125%, 6/15/37 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., 5.75%, 8/1/37 Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37	\$ 100 175 500 500	\$ 96,620 161,765 530,305 536,185
		\$ 1,324,875
Student Loan 4.1%		
New Jersey Higher Education Student Assistance Authority, (AMT), 1.276% , $6/1/36^{(1)(4)(5)}$	\$ 2,500	\$ 2,463,025
		\$ 2,463,025
Transportation 20.1%		
Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35	\$ 1,060	\$ 1,098,096
Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/40	1,080	1,109,225
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 5.50%, 6/15/31 ⁽⁶⁾ New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority,	1,850	2,007,879
(Transportation System), 5.875%, 12/15/38 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority,	250	274,893
(Transportation System), 6.00%, 12/15/38	530	587,452
New Jersey Turnpike Authority, 5.25%, 1/1/40 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AMT),	3,600	3,778,812
5.75%, 3/15/35 ⁽¹⁾ South Jersey Port Authority, (Marine Terminal),	1,995	2,150,450
5.10%, 1/1/33	1,175	1,182,156

\$ 12,188,963

(identified cost \$95,027,586)	\$ 97,241,031
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (55.0)%	\$ (33,426,048)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (5.1)%	\$ (3,080,505)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%	\$ 60,734,478

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC	- Assured Guaranty Corp.
AGM	- Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC	- AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.
AMT	- Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the
	Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.
FGIC	- Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG	- National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.
XLCA	- XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by New Jersey municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2011, 29.8% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 2.5% to 10.2% of total investments.

- ⁽¹⁾ Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- ⁽²⁾ Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.
- ⁽³⁾ Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.
- ⁽⁴⁾ Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$463,025.
- ⁽⁵⁾ Variable rate security. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at November 30, 2011.
- ⁽⁶⁾ When-issued security.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 166.8%

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 6.3%		
New York Environmental Facilities Corp., 5.00%, 10/15/39 New York Environmental Facilities Corp., Clean Water and	\$ 1,730	\$ 1,842,502
Drinking Water, (Municipal Water Finance), 5.00%, 6/15/37 ⁽¹⁾	2,535	2,702,893
		\$ 4,545,395
Cogeneration 1.5%		
Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency, (Nissequogue Cogeneration Partners Facility), (AMT), 5.50%, 1/1/23	\$ 1,150	\$ 1,117,168
		\$ 1,117,168
Education 26.1%		
Geneva Industrial Development Agency, (Hobart & William Smith Project), 5.375%, 2/1/33	\$ 315 1,490	\$ 325,883 1,582,514

New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/34		
New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/39	325	342,716
New York Dormitory Authority, (Brooklyn Law School),		
5.75%, 7/1/33 New York Dormitory Authority, (Columbia University),	510	551,417
5.00%, 7/1/38 ⁽²⁾	1,000	1,073,220
New York Dormitory Authority, (Columbia University), 5.00%, 10/1/41	725	799,697
New York Dormitory Authority, (Cornell University), 5.00%, 7/1/34	510	543,242
New York Dormitory Authority, (Cornell University),		
5.00%, 7/1/39 New York Dormitory Authority, (Fordham University),	2,000	2,124,980
5.50%, 7/1/36 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rochester Institute of	1,000	1,077,530
Technology), 6.00%, 7/1/33	2,250	2,477,430
New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40	2,500	2,659,575
New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College),	325	
5.00%, 7/1/27 New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College),		353,220
5.25%, 7/1/29 New York Dormitory Authority, (St. Francis College),	400	435,980
5.00%, 10/1/40	1,495	1,501,787
New York Dormitory Authority, (The New School), 5.50%, 7/1/40	2,000	2,111,160
Onondaga Civic Development Corp., (Le Moyne College), 5.20%, 7/1/29	280	285,129
Onondaga Civic Development Corp., (Le Moyne College),		
5.375%, 7/1/40	735	746,069

\$ 18,991,549

Electric Utilities4.5%Long Island Power Authority, Electric System Revenue,
6.00%, 5/1/33⁽³⁾\$ 1,420\$ 1,420\$ 1,595,384Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency,
(Keyspan-Port Jefferson), (AMT), 5.25%, 6/1/271,6451,6451,672,176

\$ 3,267,560

General Obligations	18.1%
----------------------------	-------

Dutchess County Water and Wastewater Authority,		
0.00%, 10/1/34	\$ 585	\$ 212,454
Dutchess County Water and Wastewater Authority,		
0.00%, 10/1/35	325	111,082
New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 ⁽¹⁾	4,000	4,345,480
New York City, 5.25%, 9/15/33 ⁽¹⁾	6,000	6,303,300
New York City, 6.25%, 10/15/28	1,000	1,175,710
Westchester County, 4.00%, 7/1/19	850	992,868

\$ 13,140,894

Health Care Miscellaneous 2.8%

New York City Industrial Development Agency,		
(A Very Special Place, Inc.), 5.75%, 1/1/29	\$ 1,115	\$ 945,788
New York City Industrial Development Agency,		
(Ohel Children s Home), 6.25%, 8/15/22	1,200	945,756
Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency, (Alliance of		
Long Island Agencies), Series A, Class H, 7.50%, 9/1/15	50	50,570
Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency, (Alliance of		
Long Island Agencies), Series A, Class I, 7.50%, 9/1/15	100	101,140

\$ 2,043,254

Hospital 22.6%

Dutchess County Local Development Corp., (Health Quest		
Systems, Inc.), 5.75%, 7/1/30	\$ 130	\$ 135,948
Dutchess County Local Development Corp., (Health Quest		
Systems, Inc.), 5.75%, 7/1/40	960	985,114
Fulton County Industrial Development Agency,		
(Nathan Littauer Hospital), 6.00%, 11/1/18	1,070	1,037,600
Monroe County Industrial Development Agency, (Highland		
Hospital), 5.00%, 8/1/25	2,490	2,513,580

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

	Principal Amount	
Security	(000 s omitted)	Value
Hospital (continued)		

New York Dormitory Authority, (Methodist Hospital), 5.25%, 7/1/33 New York Dormitory Authority, (Mount Sinai Hospital),	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,004,920
5.00%, 7/1/26	1,000	1,031,290
New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island		
Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 11/1/34	845	847,341
New York Dormitory Authority, (NYU Hospital Center),		
5.625%, 7/1/37	1,250	1,272,675
New York Dormitory Authority, (Orange Regional Medical		
Center), 6.125%, 12/1/29	415	416,216
New York Dormitory Authority, (Orange Regional Medical		
Center), 6.25%, 12/1/37	835	835,701
Oneida County Industrial Development Agency,		
(St. Elizabeth s Medical Center), 5.75%, 12/1/19	1,195	1,194,928
Saratoga County Industrial Development Agency, (Saratoga		
Hospital), 5.25%, 12/1/32	650	651,157
Suffolk County Economic Development Corp., (Catholic		
Health Services of Long Island), 5.00%, 7/1/28 ⁽⁴⁾	1,250	1,272,337
Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency, (Huntington		
Hospital), 6.00%, 11/1/22	2,105	2,215,976

\$ 16,414,783

New York City Housing Development Corp., MFMR, (AMT),		
5.05%, 11/1/39	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,497,705
New York City Housing Development Corp., MFMR, (AMT),		
5.20%, 11/1/40	2,620	2,641,406
New York Housing Finance Agency, 5.25%, 11/1/41	1,000	1,017,780
New York Housing Finance Agency, (FNMA), (AMT),		
5.40%, 11/15/42	2,625	2,671,016
New York Mortgage Agency, (AMT), 4.875%, 10/1/30	1,500	1,496,235
New York Mortgage Agency, (AMT), 4.90%, 10/1/37	1,850	1,821,880
New York Mortgage Agency, (AMT), 5.125%, 10/1/37	1,000	1,006,890

\$ 12,152,912

Industrial Development Revenue 6.8%

Essex County Industrial Development Agency, (International		
Paper Company), (AMT), 6.625%, 9/1/32	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,063,210
New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs		
Group, Inc.), 5.25%, 10/1/35	1,000	1,011,500
Onondaga County Industrial Development Agency,		
(Anheuser-Busch Cos., Inc.), (AMT), 6.25%, 12/1/34	2,500	2,503,025
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (Continental		
Airlines), (AMT), 9.125%, 12/1/15	360	363,888

\$ 4,941,623

Insured Education 6.5%

New York Dormitory Authority, (City University), (AMBAC),		
5.50%, 7/1/35	\$ 1,250	\$ 1,296,237
New York Dormitory Authority, (State University), (BHAC),		
5.00%, 7/1/38 ⁽¹⁾	1,500	1,579,395
Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton		
College), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/33	5,365	1,880,111

\$ 4,755,743

Long Island Power Authority, Electric System Revenue, (BHAC), 5.75%, 4/1/33	\$ 1,365	\$ 1,526,998
		\$ 1,526,998
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 1.8%		
New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering		
Cancer Center), (NPFG), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 7/1/26	\$ 855	\$ 546,277
New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center), (NPFG), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 7/1/27	1,280	778,611
		\$ 1,324,888
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 2.9%		
Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., (NPFG), 4.50%, 2/15/47	\$ 2,350	\$ 2,122,073
······································	1 7	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		\$ 2,122,073