NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST INC Form 10-K March 08, 2002

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-K

b ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 [NO FEE REQUIRED EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 7, 1996] For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001

or

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 [NO FEE REQUIRED]

For the transition period from

to

Commission File Number 1-12244

NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Maryland (State of Incorporation)

33-0160389 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1120 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 (Address of Principal Executive Offices) (212) 869-3000 (Registrant s Telephone Number)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share | New York Stock Exchange |
|---|-------------------------|
| Series A Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock | New York Stock Exchange |
| Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock | New York Stock Exchange |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes by No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. o

The aggregate market value of the Registrant s shares of common stock held by non-affiliates was approximately \$1,823,331,000 as of March 1, 2002, based on the closing price of \$19.92 on the NYSE on that date.

As of March 1, 2002, the number of shares of common stock of the Registrant outstanding was 94,357,359.

| Documents incorporated by reference: Portions of the Proxy Statement for the 2002 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Registrant to be |
|--|
| filed subsequently with the SEC are incorporated by reference into Part III of this report. |
| |
| |
| |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|----------|---|------|
| PART I | | 1 |
| Item 1. | Business | 1 |
| Item 2. | Properties | 13 |
| Item 3. | Legal Proceedings | 14 |
| Item 4. | Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders | 14 |
| PART II | | 14 |
| Item 5. | Market for Registrant s Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters | 14 |
| Item 6. | Selected Financial Data | 15 |
| Item 7. | Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results | |
| | of Operations | 16 |
| Item 7A. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 30 |
| Item 8. | Financial Statements and Supplementary Data | 31 |
| Item 9. | Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and | |
| | Financial Disclosure | 31 |
| PART III | | 31 |
| Item 10. | Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant | 31 |
| Item 11. | Executive Compensation | 31 |
| Item 12. | Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management | 31 |
| Item 13. | Certain Relationships and Related Transactions | 31 |
| PART IV | | 32 |
| Item 14. | Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K | 32 |
| | | |

PART I

Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, together with other statements and information publicly disseminated by New Plan Excel Realty Trust, Inc. (the Registrant or the Company), contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Such statements are based on assumptions and expectations which may not be realized and are inherently subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors, many of which cannot be predicted with accuracy and some of which might not even be anticipated. Future events and actual results, performance or achievements, financial and otherwise, may differ materially from the results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Risks, uncertainties and other factors that might cause such differences, some of which could be material, include, but are not limited to: national and local economic, business and real estate and other market conditions, including the economic disruption and uncertainty resulting from the terrorist attacks that occurred on September 11, 2001 and any similar or related events that may occur in the future; financing risks, such as the inability to obtain debt or equity financing on favorable terms; the level and volatility of interest rates; financial stability of tenants, including the ability of tenants to pay rent, the decision of tenants to close stores and the effect of bankruptcy laws; the impact of increases in electronic commerce; the rate of revenue increases versus expense increases; governmental approvals, actions and initiatives; environmental/safety requirements and costs; risks of real estate acquisition and development (including the failure of acquisitions to close and pending developments to be completed on time and within budget); risks of disposition strategies (including the failure to complete sales on a timely basis and the failure to reinvest sale proceeds in a manner that generates favorable returns); risks of joint venture activities; as well as other risks identified in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and, from time to time, in the other reports filed by the Company with the SEC or otherwise publicly disseminated by the Company. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Item 1. Business

General

The Company, a self-administered and self-managed equity real estate investment trust (REIT), is a Maryland corporation and one of the nation's largest owners of community and neighborhood shopping centers. As of December 31, 2001, the Company owned interests in 270 properties in 31 states. The Company is properties at that date included 213 community and neighborhood shopping centers with approximately 32 million square feet of gross leasable area and 57 other assets (primarily retail) with approximately six million square feet of gross leasable area.

The Company elected to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, beginning with its taxable year ended December 31, 1987, and believes that, beginning with that taxable year, it has been organized and has operated in conformity with the requirements for qualification as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. Although the Company believes that it will continue to operate in such a manner, no assurance can be given that the Company will continue to qualify as a REIT. In order to maintain its qualification as a REIT, among other things, the Company must distribute to its stockholders each year at least 90% of its REIT taxable income and meet certain tests regarding the nature of its income and assets. As a REIT, the Company is not subject to federal income tax with respect to that portion of its income, which meets certain criteria and is distributed annually to the stockholders. Additionally, to facilitate maintenance of the Company s REIT qualification and for other strategic reasons, the Company s charter generally prohibits any person from acquiring or holding shares of the Company s preferred and common stock in excess of 9.8% (by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive) of the outstanding shares of each class or series of stock of the Company, subject to certain exceptions.

1

Description of Business

As of December 31, 2001, the Company owned interests in 270 properties containing over 37.8 million square feet of gross leasable area in 31 states. The Company s properties at that date included 213 community and neighborhood shopping centers with approximately 32 million square feet of gross leasable area and 57 other assets (primarily retail) with approximately six million square feet of gross leasable area. Of the 270 properties, 14 properties (12 community and neighborhood shopping centers and two enclosed malls/ specialty retail properties) were under redevelopment at December 31, 2001. The occupancy rate as of December 31, 2001 for the properties (excluding the 14 properties under redevelopment) was 90%.

The Company maintains its principal executive offices at 1120 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10036, where its telephone number is (212) 869-3000.

Strategy and Philosophy

The Company s primary objective is to own and manage a portfolio of commercial retail properties, a majority of which are community and neighborhood shopping centers, that will provide increasing cash flow for quarterly distributions to shareholders while protecting investor capital and providing potential for capital appreciation. The Company seeks to achieve this objective by (i) aggressively managing, and where appropriate, redeveloping and upgrading its existing properties, (ii) making selective acquisitions of well-located neighborhood and community shopping centers, either on an individual basis or in portfolio transactions, (iii) recycling capital created through non-strategic asset dispositions, and (iv) continuing to maintain a strong and flexible financial position to facilitate growth.

Aggressive Management

The Company aggressively manages its retail properties, with an emphasis on maintaining high occupancy rates and a strong base of nationally recognized anchor tenants. The Company regularly monitors the physical condition of its retail properties and the financial condition of its retail tenants. The Company follows a schedule of regular physical maintenance at its retail properties with a view toward tenant expansions, renovations and refurbishing to preserve and increase the value of these properties. In connection with these efforts, the Company has field offices throughout the country, each of which is responsible for managing the leasing, property management and maintenance of the Company s properties in its region, and currently is improving the general appearance of certain of its properties by upgrading existing roofs and facades, updating signage, resurfacing parking lots and improving parking lot and exterior building lighting. The Company seeks to increase the cash flow and portfolio value of its existing properties primarily through contractual rent increases during the lease term, re-letting of existing space at higher rents, expansion and redevelopment of existing properties and the minimization of overhead and operating costs.

During 2001, the Company invested \$14 million in deferred maintenance in its properties in order to maintain and improve their competitive market position and completed approximately \$23 million of redevelopment projects.

Acquisition of Properties

The Company intends to focus on retail properties, primarily community and neighborhood shopping centers, that generate stable cash flows and present the opportunity for appreciation. The Company may seek to expand its portfolio by making selective, opportunistic acquisitions of individual properties and portfolios of well-located neighborhood and community shopping centers and other retail properties with tenants that have a national or regional presence and an established credit quality, and that the Company believes will have the ability to make timely lease payments over the term of the lease. When acquiring properties, the Company focuses on the quality of the location and comparable market rents. In this regard, the Company may, from time to time, (i) enter into joint venture arrangements with third parties for the acquisition and management of properties, (ii) acquire individual properties from unaffiliated property owners for cash or in exchange for units of limited partnership interest in a partnership that the Company controls, and/or (iii) acquire various public and private real estate companies and real estate portfolios. The Company s strategy with respect to the

last of these three options is to capitalize on the benefits of size, market capitalization, liquidity and financial strength that can be gained from consolidation.

In March 2002, the Company acquired 92 community and neighborhood shopping centers from CenterAmerica Property Trust, L.P., a private company majority owned by Morgan Stanley Real Estate Fund II.

Disposition of Properties

The Company generally holds its properties for investment and the production of rental income and not for sale to customers or other buyers in the ordinary course of the Company s business. If the Company were treated as holding properties for sale to customers in the ordinary course of its business, tax rules applicable to REITs would subject the Company to tax equal to 100% of its gain from each property sold. However, the Company continually analyzes each asset in its portfolio and identifies those properties that can be sold or exchanged (to the extent consistent with REIT qualification requirements) for optimal sales prices or exchange values, given prevailing market conditions and the particular characteristics of each property. Through this strategy, the Company seeks to continually update its core property portfolio by disposing of properties that have limited growth potential or are not a strategic fit within the Company s overall portfolio and redeploying capital into newer properties or properties where the Company s aggressive management techniques may maximize property values. The Company may engage from time to time in like-kind property exchanges which allow the Company to dispose of properties and redeploy proceeds in a tax efficient manner.

In November 2000, the Company announced plans to dispose of, over time, certain shopping centers, as well as certain non-strategic assets including its single tenant and miscellaneous properties and its garden apartment communities in order to refocus the Company on its retail franchise. In addition, the Company continues to monetize certain of its joint venture projects and notes receivable. In September 2001, the Company completed the sale of its entire garden apartment portfolio for \$380 million, and also during 2001, disposed of or monetized an additional \$84 million of assets.

Financing Strategy

The Company intends to finance future acquisitions with the most advantageous sources of capital available to the Company at the time, which may include the sale of common stock, preferred stock or debt securities through public offerings or private placements, the incurrence of additional indebtedness through secured or unsecured borrowings, and the reinvestment of proceeds from the disposition of assets. The Company also may enter into joint ventures with institutions to acquire large properties or portfolios, reducing the amount of capital required by the Company to make such investments. The Company s financing strategy is to maintain a strong and flexible financial position by (i) maintaining a prudent level of leverage, (ii) maintaining a large pool of unencumbered properties and (iii) managing its exposure to interest rate risk represented by its floating rate debt.

In January 2002, the Company completed a public offering of 6,900,000 shares of its common stock, proceeds of which were used to fund a portion of the Company s acquisition of 92 community and neighborhood shopping centers.

Recent Developments

Extension of Term Loan Facility

On May 9, 2001, the Company entered into an agreement with Fleet National Bank to refinance its \$75 million unsecured senior term loan facility with Fleet National Bank, extending the maturity to May 8, 2002 with a one-time extension option to November 17, 2002. The extended facility continues to bear interest at LIBOR plus 90 basis points. As of December 31, 2001, there was \$75 million of debt outstanding under the facility.

Sale of Garden Apartment Portfolio

On September 21, 2001, the Company sold its entire garden apartment portfolio for approximately \$380 million of gross proceeds. Approximately \$55 million of the proceeds were used to satisfy existing mortgage debt encumbering the garden apartment communities, and the remaining proceeds were used to pay down other outstanding debt of the Company and to fund a portion of the acquisition of the Arapahoe Crossings shopping center. As a result of entering into the purchase contract for the sale of the garden apartment portfolio in May 2001, the assets and operations of the Company s garden apartment portfolio were reclassified and reported as discontinued operations.

Addition to S&P MidCap 400 Index

On October 9, 2001, Standard & Poor $\,$ s added the Company to the S&P MidCap 400 Index.

Arapahoe Crossings Acquisition

On October 10, 2001, the Company acquired the Arapahoe Crossings shopping center from The Ellman Companies for approximately \$48 million in cash and the satisfaction of \$13.6 million of notes receivable and accrued interest. Arapahoe Crossings is a 426,000 square foot grocery-anchored community shopping center located in Aurora, Colorado, southeast of Denver, which is in the final phase of development. Tenants include King Soopers (a division of The Kroger Co.), Kohl s, Borders, Marshalls, OfficeMax and Old Navy.

Extension of Term of Credit Facility

On October 22, 2001, the Company entered into an agreement with The Bank of New York to extend the maturity date of \$122.5 million of debt under one of the Company s unsecured senior revolving credit facilities with The Bank of New York to October 21, 2002. The extended facility continues to bear interest at LIBOR plus 72.5 basis points. As of December 31, 2001, there was no debt outstanding under this facility. The Company s other \$122.5 million unsecured senior revolving credit facility with The Bank of New York matures on November 17, 2002. As of December 31, 2001, there was \$20 million outstanding under that facility, which bears interest at LIBOR plus 67.5 basis points.

Portfolio Acquisition

On January 13, 2002, the Company entered into a definitive agreement with CenterAmerica Property Trust, L.P., a private company majority owned by Morgan Stanley Real Estate Fund II, to acquire a portfolio of 92 community and neighborhood shopping centers (the Portfolio Acquisition). The transaction closed on March 1, 2002. The 92 shopping centers contain an aggregate of approximately 10.4 million square feet of gross leasable area. As part of the transaction, the Company also acquired a 10% managing membership interest in a joint venture with a private U.S. pension fund. The joint venture currently owns 13 grocery-anchored shopping centers located in six states. The aggregate purchase price for the acquisition was approximately \$654 million, consisting of approximately \$365 million in cash and the assumption of approximately \$289 million of outstanding debt. The cash component of the acquisition was financed with the proceeds of a public equity offering of the Company s common stock and with borrowings under the Company s existing credit facilities and an interim facility.

To facilitate the Portfolio Acquisition, on December 27, 2001, the Company entered into amendments to its existing unsecured senior revolving credit facilities with The Bank of New York and its existing unsecured senior term loan facility with Fleet National Bank. Under these amendments, two of the Company s financial covenants were modified, effective March 1, 2002 (the closing date of the Portfolio Acquisition). Specifically, the banks agreed to raise the limit on the Company s permitted consolidated total indebtedness from 55% of total capital to 57.5% of total capital, and to raise the limit on the Company s permitted consolidated unsecured indebtedness from 50% of the value of its unencumbered assets to 55% of the value of its unencumbered assets. In both cases, the increased debt levels are permitted only until such time as the Company engages in capital transactions (such as equity offerings or asset sales) from and after January 13, 2002 that raise a total of at least \$200 million of net proceeds.

Issuance of Public Equity

On January 29, 2002, the Company completed a public offering of 6,900,000 of its common shares at \$18.52 per share. The net proceeds to the Company from the offering were approximately \$120.7 million, and were used initially to pay down amounts outstanding under the Company s revolving credit facilities (which amounts were subsequently re-drawn to finance the Portfolio Acquisition).

Interim Credit Facility

On March 1, 2002, the Company entered into a new \$125 million senior unsecured term loan facility with Fleet National Bank. The new facility bears interest, at the Company s option, at either LIBOR plus a spread based upon the Company s credit ratings, which spread currently would be 90 basis points, or at the higher of Fleet National Bank s prime rate (plus 25 basis points in specified circumstances) or 50 basis points above the federal funds rate. The facility matures on February 28, 2003. The loan agreement prepared in connection with the new facility contains covenants substantially similar to those included in the Company s existing term loan facility with Fleet National Bank and its two existing revolving credit facilities with The Bank of New York. The proceeds of the loan were used to finance a portion of the Portfolio Acquisition.

Management Changes

On March 1, 2002, Scott MacDonald was appointed Chief Operating Officer and President of the Company. Mr. MacDonald was previously the Chief Executive Officer and President of CenterAmerica Property Trust, L.P.

Kmart Bankruptcy

On January 22, 2002, Kmart Corporation, the Company s largest tenant, filed for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the federal bankruptcy laws. Prior to the bankruptcy filing, the Company leased space at 40 of its shopping centers to Kmart. Kmart has since rejected the leases at two locations. An additional two locations are subleased or assigned to third parties.

The 36 remaining Kmart locations, all of which currently are physically occupied, contain a total of 3.4 million square feet of gross leasable area, or approximately 8.9% of the Company s total gross leasable area. As of December 31, 2001, Kmart s annualized base rent for these 36 locations was \$14.9 million, or approximately \$4.45 per square foot, which represented 5.6% of the Company s total annualized base rent. In addition, three of the 92 shopping centers acquired in the Portfolio Acquisition contain Kmart stores. As of December 31, 2001, on a pro forma basis and excluding the two leases which Kmart has already rejected and the two subleased or assigned locations, Kmart s annualized base rent for the 39 locations was approximately \$16.1 million, or approximately \$4.42 per square foot, which would represent approximately 4.8% of the Company s total annualized base rent.

Employees

As of December 31, 2001, the Company employed approximately 375 individuals (including executive, administrative and field personnel). In connection with the Portfolio Acquisition, the Company retained approximately 95 employees (including executive, administrative and field personnel) from CenterAmerica.

Financial Information about Industry Segments

As a result of the Company s sale of its garden apartment community portfolio in 2001, the principal business of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries is the ownership, development and operation of retail shopping centers. The Company does not distinguish or group its operations on a geographical basis for purposes of measuring performance. Accordingly, the Company believes it has a single reportable segment for disclosure purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Further, all operations are within the United States and no tenant comprises more that 10% of total revenues. See the Consolidated

Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for certain information required by Item 1. See Description of Business Strategy and Philosophy above.

Risk Factors

Set forth below are the risks that we believe are material to investors who purchase or own our securities that are not otherwise described in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We have separated the risks into three groups:

risks related to our properties and business;

risks related to our organization and structure; and

tax risks.

Risks Related to Our Properties and Business

Adverse market conditions and competition may impede our ability to generate sufficient income to pay expenses and maintain properties. The economic performance and value of our properties are subject to all of the risks associated with owning and operating real estate, including:

changes in the national, regional and local economic climate;

local conditions, including an oversupply of space in properties like those that we own, or a reduction in demand for properties like those that we own;

the attractiveness of our properties to tenants;

the ability of tenants to pay rent;

competition from other available properties;

changes in market rental rates;

the need to periodically pay for costs to repair, renovate and re-let space;

changes in operating costs, including costs for maintenance, insurance and real estate taxes;

the fact that the expenses of owning and operating properties are not necessarily reduced when circumstances such as market factors and competition cause a reduction in income from the properties; and

changes in laws and governmental regulations, including those governing usage, zoning, the environment and taxes.

Downturns in the retailing industry likely will have a direct impact on our performance. Our properties consist of community and neighborhood shopping centers and other retail properties. Our performance therefore is linked to economic conditions in the market for retail space generally. The market for retail space has been or could be adversely affected by weakness in the national, regional and local economies, the adverse financial condition of some large retailing companies, the ongoing consolidation in the retail sector, the excess amount of retail space in a number of markets, and increasing consumer purchases through catalogues or the Internet. To the extent that any of these conditions occur, they are likely to impact market rents for retail space.

Failure by any anchor tenant with leases in multiple locations to make rental payments to us, because of a deterioration of its financial condition or otherwise, could seriously harm our performance. Our performance depends on our ability to collect rent from tenants. At any time, our tenants may experience a downturn in their business that may significantly weaken their financial condition. As a result, our tenants may delay a number of lease commencements, decline to extend or renew a number of leases upon expiration, fail to make rental payments when due under a number of leases, close a number of stores or declare bankruptcy. Any of these actions could result in the termination of the tenant s leases and the loss of rental income attributable to

the terminated leases. In addition, lease terminations by an anchor tenant or a failure by that anchor tenant to occupy the premises could result in lease terminations or reductions in rent by other tenants in the same shopping centers under the terms of some leases. In that event, we may be unable to re-lease the vacated space at attractive rents or at all. The occurrence of any of the situations described above, particularly if it involves a substantial tenant with leases in multiple locations, could seriously harm our performance. As of December 31, 2001, our largest retail tenants were Kmart and Wal-Mart who scheduled annualized base rents represented 6.2% and 4.6%, respectively, of the Company stotal annualized base rents.

We may be unable to collect balances due from any tenants in bankruptcy. We cannot assure you that any tenant that files for bankruptcy protection will continue to pay us rent. A bankruptcy filing by or relating to one of our tenants or a lease guarantor would bar all efforts by us to collect pre-bankruptcy debts from that tenant or the lease guarantor, or their property, unless we receive an order permitting us to do so from the bankruptcy court. A tenant or lease guarantor bankruptcy could delay our efforts to collect past due balances under the relevant leases, and could ultimately preclude collection of these sums. If a lease is assumed by the tenant in bankruptcy, all pre-bankruptcy balances due under the lease must be paid to us in full. However, if a lease is rejected by a tenant in bankruptcy, we would have only a general unsecured claim for damages. Any unsecured claim we hold may be paid only to the extent that funds are available and only in the same percentage as is paid to all other holders of unsecured claims, and there are restrictions under bankruptcy laws that limit the amount of the claim we can make if a lease is rejected. As a result, it is possible that we may recover substantially less than the full value of any unsecured claims we hold.

We face considerable competition in the leasing market and may be unable to renew leases or re-let space as leases expire. We compete with a number of other companies in providing leases to prospective tenants and in re-letting space to current tenants upon expiration of their respective leases. If our tenants decide not to renew or extend their leases upon expiration, we may not be able to re-let the space. Even if the tenants do renew or we can re-let the space, the terms of renewal or re-letting, including the cost of required renovations, may be less favorable than current lease terms or than expectations for the space. As of December 31, 2001, leases were scheduled to expire on a total of approximately 11.3% of the space at our properties through 2002. We may be unable to promptly renew the leases or re-let this space, or the rental rates upon renewal or re-letting may be significantly lower than expected rates.

Future acquisitions of properties may not yield the returns we expect, may result in disruptions to our business and may strain management resources. We intend to continue acquiring community and neighborhood shopping centers. Newly acquired properties may fail to perform as expected. Our management may underestimate the costs necessary to bring acquired properties up to standards established for their intended market position.

In particular, the Portfolio Acquisition poses risks for the ongoing operations of the Company, including that:

we may not achieve expected cost savings and operating efficiencies;

management attention may be diverted to the integration of the 92 properties;

the acquired properties may not perform as well as we anticipate due to various factors, including changes in macro-economic conditions and the demand for retail space, particularly in Houston and Dallas/Fort Worth; and

we may experience difficulties and incur expenses related to the assimilation and retention of employees that we have hired or intend to hire to manage and operate the 92 properties.

Current and future development of real estate properties may not yield expected returns and may strain management resources. We are actively involved in several ongoing substantial redevelopment projects, including Clearwater Mall and The Mall at 163rd Street. We also may invest in development projects in the future.

New development of properties is subject to a number of risks, including construction delays, cost overruns, financing risks, failure to meet expected occupancy and rent levels, delays in and the inability to

obtain zoning, occupancy and other governmental permits, and changes in zoning and land use laws. Overall project costs may significantly exceed the costs that were estimated when the project was originally undertaken, which will result in reduced returns from, or even losses from, such investments.

We do not have exclusive control over our joint venture investments, so we are unable to ensure that our objectives will be pursued. We have invested in some cases as a borrower, co-venturer or partner in the development of new properties, instead of developing projects directly. These investments involve risks not present in a wholly owned development project. In these investments, we do not have exclusive control over the development, financing, leasing, management and other aspects of the project. As a result, the borrower, co-venturer or partner might have interests or goals that are inconsistent with our interests or goals, take action contrary to our instructions, requests or interests or otherwise impede our objectives. The borrower, co-venturer or partner also might become insolvent or bankrupt.

We face significant competition for acquisitions of real properties, which may increase the costs of these acquisitions. We compete for acquisitions of, and investments in, properties and real estate companies with an indeterminate number of investors, including investors with access to significant capital such as domestic and foreign corporations and financial institutions, publicly traded and privately held REITs, private institutional investment funds, investment banking firms, life insurance companies and pension funds. This competition may increase prices for the types of properties in which we invest.

Real estate property investments are illiquid, and therefore we may not be able to dispose of properties when appropriate or on favorable terms. Real estate property investments generally cannot be disposed of quickly. In addition, the federal tax code imposes restrictions on a REIT s ability to dispose of properties that are not applicable to other types of real estate companies. Therefore, we may not be able to vary our portfolio in response to economic or other conditions promptly or on favorable terms.

Some potential losses are not covered by insurance, so we could lose our entire investment in a property. We carry comprehensive liability, fire, extended coverage, rental loss and acts of terrorism insurance on all of our properties. We believe the policy specifications and insured limits of these policies are adequate and appropriate. There are, however, certain types of losses, including lease and other contract claims, acts of war and acts of God that generally are not insured. Should an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occur, we could lose all or a portion of the capital we have invested in a property, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the property. If that happened, we might nevertheless remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the property.

We have substantial scheduled debt payments and may not be able to refinance debt at maturity. Our business is subject to risks normally associated with debt financing. Cash flow could be insufficient to pay expected dividends to stockholders and meet required payments of principal and interest. We may not be able to refinance existing debt, which in virtually all cases requires substantial principal payments at maturity, and, even if we can, the terms of a refinancing might not be as favorable as the terms of existing debt. The total principal amount of our outstanding debt was approximately \$1.0 billion as of December 31, 2001 (\$1.5 billion taking into account debt assumed or incurred in connection with the Portfolio Acquisition). If principal payments due at maturity cannot be refinanced, extended or paid with proceeds of other capital transactions, including new equity capital, cash flow may not be sufficient in all years to repay all maturing debt. Prevailing interest rates or other factors at the time of refinancing, including the possible reluctance of lenders to make commercial real estate loans, may result in higher interest rates and increased interest expense.

Our financial covenants may restrict our operating and acquisition activities. Mortgage debt obligations expose us to the possibility of foreclosure. Our credit facilities and the indentures under which our senior uncollateralized debt is issued contain certain financial and operating covenants, including, among other things, certain coverage ratios, as well as limitations on our ability to incur secured and unsecured debt, make dividend payments, sell all or substantially all of our assets and engage in mergers and consolidations and certain acquisitions. In addition, if a property is mortgaged to secure payment of debt and we are unable to meet mortgage payments, the holder of the mortgage or lender could foreclose on the property, resulting in loss of our investment. Also, certain of these mortgages contain customary negative covenants which, among

other things, limit our ability, without the prior consent of the lender, to further mortgage the property, to enter into new leases or materially modify existing leases, and to discontinue insurance coverage.

Our degree of leverage could limit our ability to obtain additional financing. Our organizational documents do not contain any limitation on the incurrence of debt. The degree of our leverage could have important consequences, including affecting the ability to obtain additional financing in the future for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, development or other general corporate purposes and making the company more vulnerable to a downturn in business or the economy generally.

We have substantial variable rate debt obligations, which may impede our operating performance and put us at a competitive disadvantage. Increases in interest rates, or the loss of the benefits of any hedging agreements that we might have, would increase our interest expense, which would adversely affect cash flow and our ability to service debt and pay dividends to stockholders. As of December 31, 2001, we had \$119.8 million of floating rate debt maturing between January 1, 2002 and December 31, 2013 (\$474.3 million taking into account debt assumed or incurred in connection with the Portfolio Acquisition). The rates on this debt increase when interest rates increase.

As of December 31, 2001, we were a party to one hedging agreement with respect to our floating rate debt. Hedging agreements enable us to convert floating rate liabilities into fixed rate liabilities. Hedging agreements expose us to the risk that the counterparties to such agreements may not perform, which could increase our exposure to rising interest rates, even though the counterparties to hedging agreements that we enter into are major financial institutions.

We may borrow additional money with floating interest rates in the future. Increases in interest rates, or the loss of the benefits of our existing hedging agreement or any hedging agreements that we may enter into in the future, would increase our interest expense, which would adversely affect cash flow and our ability to service our debt. Future decreases in interest rates will increase our interest expense as compared to the floating rate debt underlying our hedging agreements and could result in our making payments to unwind such agreements.

The floating rates of interest applicable to much of our debt, including debt under our credit facilities, are determined based on the credit ratings of our debt provided by independent rating agencies. Thus, if these credit ratings are downgraded, our interest expense will be, and our ability to raise additional debt may be, negatively impacted.

Environmental problems that exist at some of our properties could result in significant unexpected costs. Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, we may be considered an owner or operator of real property or may have arranged for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances and, therefore, may become liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous substances released on or in our property or disposed of by the company, as well as certain other potential costs which could relate to hazardous or toxic substances (including governmental fines and injuries to persons and property). Such liability may be imposed whether or not we knew of, or were responsible for, the presence of these hazardous or toxic substances. As is common with neighborhood and community shopping centers, many of our properties had or have on-site dry cleaners and/or on-site gasoline facilities. These operations could potentially result in environmental contamination at the properties. Except as discussed below, we are not aware of any significant environmental conditions at any of our properties.

We are aware that soil and groundwater contamination exists at some of our properties. The primary contaminants of concern at these properties include perchloroethylene and trichloroethylene (associated with the operations of on-site dry cleaners) and petroleum hydrocarbons (associated with the operations of on-site gasoline facilities). We currently estimate that the total remaining cost of remediation of environmental conditions for these properties will not exceed \$3 million, although there can be no assurance that this estimate will prove accurate. In connection with some properties, we have entered into remediation and indemnity agreements, which obligate the prior owners to perform the remediation and to indemnify us for any losses we may suffer because of the contamination or remediation. In connection with some other properties, we have assumed the obligation to perform the necessary remediation in connection with our purchase of the

properties and in some cases an escrow has been established to provide the funds necessary to pay for the remediation costs. In connection with some other properties, the current or former tenants at the properties are in the process of or are responsible for performing the necessary remediation.

In addition to the environmental conditions discussed above, asbestos-containing materials (associated with spray applied fireproofing materials) exist at some of our properties. We currently estimate that the total cost of abatement of asbestos-containing materials at these properties would be approximately \$3.2 million, although there can be no assurance that this estimate will prove accurate. Included in other liabilities in our Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2001 is \$3.2 million related to the clean-up of certain asbestos-containing materials.

While we do not expect the environmental conditions at our properties, considered as a whole, to have a material adverse effect on the Company, there can be no assurance that our remediation estimates will prove accurate, that the prior owners or current or former tenants will perform their obligations to remediate, or that established escrow funds will be sufficient to pay for all necessary remediation costs. Further, no assurance can be given that any environmental studies performed have identified or will identify all material environmental conditions, that any prior owner of the properties did not create a material environmental condition not known to us or that a material environmental condition does not otherwise exist with respect to any of our properties.

Changes in market conditions could adversely affect the market price of our publicly traded securities. As with other publicly traded securities, the value of our publicly traded securities depends on various market conditions, which may change from time to time. Among the market conditions that may affect the value of our publicly traded securities are the following:

the extent of institutional investor interest in the company;

the reputation of REITs generally and the reputation of REITs with portfolios similar to the company s;

the attractiveness of the securities of REITs in comparison to securities issued by other entities (including securities issued by other real estate companies);

our financial condition and performance; and

general economic and financial market conditions.

Risks Related to Our Organization and Structure

Provisions of the company s charter and bylaws could inhibit changes in control of the company, and could prevent stockholders from obtaining a premium price for our common stock. A number of provisions of our charter and bylaws may delay or prevent a change in control of the company or other transactions that could provide stockholders with a premium over the then-prevailing market price of our common stock or that might otherwise be in the best interests of the stockholders. These include a staggered board of directors, a stockholder rights plan and our share ownership limit described below. Also, any future series of our preferred stock may have voting provisions that could delay or prevent a change in control or other transaction that might involve a premium price or otherwise be in the best interests of the stockholders.

Our board of directors could adopt the limitations available in Maryland law on changes in control that could prevent transactions in the best interests of stockholders. Certain provisions of Maryland law applicable to us prohibit business combinations, including certain issuances of equity securities, with any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of outstanding shares, or with an affiliate of ours who, at any time within the two-year period prior to the date in question, was the beneficial owner of 10% or more of the voting power of the outstanding voting shares (which is referred to as a so-called interested stockholder), or with an affiliate of an interested stockholder. These prohibitions last for five years after the most recent date on which the interested stockholder became an interested stockholder. After the five-year period, a business combination with an interested stockholder must be approved by two super-majority stockholder votes unless, among other conditions, our common stockholders receive a minimum price for their shares and the consideration is received in cash or in the same form as previously paid by the interested stockholder for its

shares of common stock. Our board of directors has opted out of these business combination provisions. As a result, the five-year prohibition and the super-majority vote requirements will not apply to a business combination involving the company. Our board of directors may, however, repeal this election in most cases and cause the company to become subject to these provisions in the future.

Our share ownership limit may discourage a takeover of the company and depress our stock price. To facilitate maintenance of our REIT qualification and for other strategic reasons, our charter generally prohibits any person from acquiring or holding shares of our preferred and common stock in excess of 9.8% (by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive) of the outstanding shares of each class or series of our stock. Our board of directors may exempt a person from this ownership limit under specified conditions. Absent an exemption or a waiver, shares of stock that are purportedly transferred in excess of the ownership limit will be automatically transferred to a trust for the exclusive benefit of one or more charitable beneficiaries, and the purported transferee will not acquire any rights in such shares. This ownership limit could delay or prevent a change in control of the company and, therefore, could adversely affect the stockholders ability to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price for our shares.

We are dependent on external sources of capital, which may not be available. To qualify as a REIT, we must, among other things, distribute to our stockholders each year at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (excluding any net capital gains). Because of these distribution requirements, we likely will not be able to fund all future capital needs, including capital for acquisitions, with income from operations. We therefore will have to rely on third-party sources of capital, which may or may not be available on favorable terms or at all. Our access to third-party sources of capital depends on a number of things, including the market s perception of our growth potential and our current and potential future earnings. Moreover, additional equity offerings may result in substantial dilution of stockholders interests, and additional debt financing may substantially increase leverage.

Tax Risks

Failure of the company to qualify as a REIT would have serious adverse consequences to stockholders. We believe that the company has qualified for taxation as a REIT for federal income tax purposes since September 28, 1998, the date of the merger of our predecessor companies, New Plan Realty Trust and Excel Realty Trust, Inc., and that our predecessor companies qualified for taxation as REITs for federal income tax purposes since their first elections to be taxed as REITs and for each taxable year where a failure to qualify would adversely affect the company. We plan to continue to operate so that the company meets the requirements for taxation as a REIT. Many of these requirements, however, are highly technical and complex. The determination that the company is a REIT requires an analysis of various factual matters and circumstances that may not be totally within our control. For example, to qualify as a REIT, at least 95% of our gross income must come from certain sources that are itemized in the REIT tax laws. We are also required to distribute to stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (excluding any net capital gains). The fact that we hold certain of our assets through partnerships and their subsidiaries further complicates the application of the REIT requirements. Even a technical or inadvertent mistake could jeopardize the company s REIT status. Furthermore, Congress and the Internal Revenue Service might make changes to the tax laws and regulations, and the courts might issue new rulings, that make it more difficult, or impossible, for the company to remain qualified as a REIT.

If the company fails to qualify as a REIT, the company would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates. Also, unless the Internal Revenue Service granted the company relief under certain statutory provisions, the company would remain disqualified as a REIT for four years following the year the company first failed to qualify. If the company failed to qualify as a REIT, the company would have to pay significant income taxes and would therefore have less money available for investments, debt service and dividends to stockholders. This likely would have a significant adverse affect on the value of our securities. In addition, we would no longer be required to pay any dividends to stockholders.

Even if the company qualifies as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we are required to pay certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and property. For example, if we have net income from

prohibited transactions, that income will be subject to a 100% tax. In general, prohibited transactions are sales or other dispositions of property held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. The determination as to whether a particular sale is a prohibited transaction depends on the facts and circumstances related to that sale. While we have undertaken a significant number of asset sales in recent years, we do not believe that those sales should be considered prohibited transactions, but there can be no assurance that the Internal Revenue Service would not contend otherwise. In addition, any net taxable income earned directly by our taxable affiliates, including ERT Development Corporation, is subject to federal and state corporate income tax. To the extent that we and our affiliates are required to pay federal, state and local taxes, we will have less cash available for distributions to our stockholders.

Prior to December 31, 2000, a REIT could not own securities in any one issuer if the value of those securities exceeded 5% of the value of the REIT s total assets or the securities owned by the REIT represented more than 10% of the issuer s outstanding voting securities. As a result of the REIT Modernization Act, after December 31, 2000, the 5% value test and the 10% voting security test were modified in two respects. First, the 10% voting securities test was expanded so that REITs also are prohibited from owning more than 10% of the value of the outstanding securities of any one issuer. Second, an exception to these tests allows a REIT to own securities of a subsidiary that exceed the 5% value test and the new 10% vote or value test if the subsidiary elects to be a taxable REIT subsidiary. Under a new asset test, for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2000, we are not able to own securities of taxable REIT subsidiaries that represent in the aggregate more than 20% of the value of our total assets. Several provisions of the new law ensure that a taxable REIT subsidiary will be subject to an appropriate level of federal income taxation. For example, a taxable REIT subsidiary is limited in its ability to deduct interest payments made to an affiliated REIT. In addition, the REIT has to pay a 100% penalty tax if the economic arrangements between the REIT, the REIT s tenants, and a taxable REIT subsidiary are not comparable to similar arrangements between unrelated parties.

We currently own more than 10% of the total value of the outstanding securities of ERT Development Corporation, although this entity has elected to be a taxable REIT subsidiary of ours as of January 1, 2001.

The company could be disqualified as a REIT or have to pay taxes if its predecessor companies did not qualify as REITs. If either New Plan Realty Trust or Excel Realty Trust, Inc., whose businesses were combined in a merger transaction on September 28, 1998 to form the company, failed to qualify as a REIT throughout the duration of its existence, we might have had undistributed C corporation earnings and profits. If that were the case and either of our predecessor companies did not distribute such earnings and profits prior to the merger transaction, the company might not qualify as a REIT. We believe that each of the predecessor companies qualified as a REIT and that, in any event, neither of the predecessor companies had any undistributed C corporation earnings and profits at the time of the merger transaction. If New Plan Realty Trust failed to qualify as a REIT, it would have recognized taxable gain at the time of the merger transaction (and we would be liable for the tax on that gain). This would be the case even though the merger transaction qualified as a tax-free reorganization, unless we made a special election that was available under the law at the time of the merger. We made that election with respect to the assets acquired from New Plan Realty Trust. This election has the effect of requiring us, if New Plan Realty Trust was not qualified as a REIT, to pay corporate income tax on any gain existing at the time of the merger transaction on assets acquired in the transaction if those assets are sold within 10 years after the transaction. Finally, if either of the predecessor companies did not qualify as a REIT, the company could be precluded from electing REIT status for up to four years after the year in which that predecessor company failed to qualify if the company were determined to be a successor to that predecessor company.

Item 2. Properties

As of December 31, 2001, the Company owned interests in 270 properties. The following table sets forth certain information as of December 31, 2001 regarding the Company s properties on a state-by-state basis:

| State | Number of Properties | Percent Leased | GLA | Percent of Scheduled ABR ¹ |
|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------|---|
| Alabama | 7 | 91% | 760,014 | 1.6% |
| Arizona | 11 | 85% | 1,083,503 | 3.1% |
| Arkansas | 1 | 100% | 60,842 | 0.1% |
| California | 17 | 91% | 2,593,270 | 9.5% |
| Colorado | 3 | 91% | 778,069 | 3.4% |
| Delaware | 2 | 77% | 243,686 | 0.3% |
| Florida | 19 | 70% | 4,848,016 | 12.7% |
| Georgia | 31 | 92% | 2,920,628 | 6.6% |
| Illinois | 7 | 93% | 1,063,858 | 3.5% |
| Indiana | 13 | 71% | 886,530 | 1.5% |
| Iowa | 3 | 81% | 542,458 | 0.9% |
| Kentucky | 9 | 88% | 1,456,230 | 3.2% |
| Louisiana | 2 | 90% | 261,518 | 0.4% |
| Maryland | 3 | 78% | 383,031 | 0.9% |
| Michigan | 13 | 93% | 2,134,690 | 6.3% |
| Minnesota | 1 | 94% | 62,518 | 0.3% |
| Missouri | 3 | 88% | 722,577 | 3.7% |
| Nebraska | 2 | 100% | 9,671 | 0.1% |
| Nevada | 3 | 97% | 587,388 | 1.9% |
| New Jersey | 9 | 94% | 1,212,395 | 5.0% |
| New York | 23 | 91% | 3,273,569 | 7.4% |
| North Carolina | 14 | 94% | 1,752,044 | 3.7% |
| Ohio | 19 | 85% | 2,970,671 | 6.0% |
| Oklahoma | 1 | 100% | 45,510 | 0.1% |
| Pennsylvania | 14 | 87% | 2,039,529 | 5.2% |
| South Carolina | 4 | 95% | 338,422 | 1.0% |
| Tennessee | 15 | 96% | 1,867,002 | 4.5% |
| Texas | 4 | 98% | 424,739 | 1.4% |
| Utah | 3 | 94% | 600,602 | 1.4% |
| Virginia Virginia | 11 | 88% | 1,600,895 | 3.6% |
| West Virginia | 3 | 83% | 354,939 | 0.7% |
| West viiginia | | 05 70 | 334,737 | 0.7 70 |
| | 270 | 87% | 37,878,814 | 100% |
| | 270 | 0170 | 37,070,011 | 100% |
| Region | | | | |
| East | 92 | 90% | 12,654,740 | 31.0% |
| Midwest | 61 | 87% | 8,392,973 | 22.3% |
| South | 80 | 83% | 11,188,269 | 27.4% |
| West | 37 | 91% | 5,642,832 | 19.4% |
| | 270 | 970 | 27 979 914 | 1000 |
| | 270 | 87% | 37,878,814 | 100% |

¹ ABR represents annualized base rent (contractual minimum lease payments as of December 31, 2001).

The above does not purport to disclose all items required under GAAP.

In connection with the Portfolio Acquisition, the Company acquired an additional 92 properties on March 1, 2002. The following table sets forth certain information regarding these acquired properties on a state-by-state basis:

| State | Number of Properties | GLA |
|-------------|----------------------|------------|
| Florida | 5 | 821,727 |
| Louisiana | 2 | 311,146 |
| Mississippi | 1 | 87,722 |
| New Mexico | 2 | 111,377 |
| Texas | 82 | 9,033,879 |
| | - | |
| | 92 | 10,365,851 |
| | | |

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The Company is party to routine litigation matters in the ordinary course of business, none of which are believed to be material. The Company is not aware of any material litigation threatened against the Company.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to a vote of the stockholders of the Company during the fourth quarter of 2001.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

The Company s common stock is listed for trading on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol NXL . As of March 1, 2002, there were approximately 10,470 registered record holders of the Company s common stock, plus those who hold their shares in street name. The following table sets forth the high and low sales price, as reported by the New York Stock Exchange composite tape, and the cash dividends declared each calendar quarter during 2001 and 2000 with respect to the Company s common stock:

| | High | Low | Cash Dividends Declared |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| 2000: | | | |
| First quarter | \$17.3750 | \$11.7500 | \$0.4125 |
| Second quarter | 15.8125 | 13.0000 | 0.4125 |
| Third quarter | 15.5625 | 13.6250 | 0.4125 |
| Fourth quarter | 14.0625 | 11.8125 | 0.4125 |
| 2001: | | | |
| First quarter | \$16.1900 | \$13.1250 | \$0.4125 |
| Second quarter | 17.9900 | 15.0500 | 0.4125 |
| Third quarter | 18.1900 | 15.4700 | 0.4125 |
| Fourth quarter | 19.5900 | 16.6200 | 0.4125 |

The Company declared dividends aggregating \$166.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2001.

Distributions to shareholders will generally be taxable as ordinary income, although a portion of such dividends may be designated by the Company as capital gain or may constitute a tax-free return of capital. The Company annually furnishes to each of its shareholders a statement setting forth the distributions paid during the preceding year and their characterization as ordinary income, capital gain or return of capital.

The Company intends to continue to declare quarterly distributions. No assurance, however, can be provided as to the amounts or timing of future distributions, as the maintenance of such distributions is subject to various factors, including the discretion of the Company s Board of Directors, limitation provisions of the Company s debt instruments, the ability to pay dividends under Maryland law, the availability of cash to make the necessary dividend payments and the effect of REIT distribution requirements.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The financial information included in the following table has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements for the periods indicated. This information should be read together with the audited financial statements of the Company and Management s Discussion and Analysis of the Financial Condition and Results of Operations included elsewhere in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K.

On September 28, 1998, Excel Realty Trust, Inc. and New Plan Realty Trust (the Trust) consummated a merger. Because the Trust had a fiscal year end of July 31 prior to the merger, the financial information included in the following table for periods prior to September 28, 1998 is based on a fiscal year end of July 31. All of the financial information included in the following table for periods on or after September 28, 1998 relates to the Company as a combined entity. Immediately following the merger, the Company adopted a fiscal year end of December 31, beginning with a short fiscal year ending December 31, 1998.

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | Five Months Ended December 31, | Years Ended July 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|--|
| | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 | 1998 | 1997 | |
| Statement of Income Data: | | | | | | | |
| Rental revenues: | | | | | | | |
| Rental income | \$270,244 | \$272,108 | \$276,407 | \$ 91,754 | \$142,554 | \$117,822 | |
| Percentage rents | 7,139 | 7,431 | 5,850 | 2,635 | 4,445 | 3,715 | |
| Expense reimbursements | 61,026 | 55,436 | 54,747 | 23,551 | 29,984 | 25,226 | |
| Total rental revenues | 338,409 | 334,975 | 337,004 | 117,940 | 176,983 | 146,763 | |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | |
| Operating costs | 56,698 | 54,062 | 53,965 | 19,279 | 31,454 | 27,520 | |
| Real estate and other taxes | 35,424 | 36,109 | 32,788 | 11,206 | 17,759 | 14,952 | |
| Interest | 78,779 | 88,352 | 77,802 | 25,619 | 33,757 | 26,710 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 57,615 | 55,364 | 54,199 | 17,885 | 24,077 | 19,464 | |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 6,453 | 4,372 | 5,268 | 2,042 | 3,009 | 2,038 | |
| Severance costs | 896 | 4,945 | 8,497 | | | | |
| General and administrative | 10,318 | 7,509 | 6,665 | 2,114 | 2,770 | 2,203 | |
| Total expenses | 246,183 | 250,713 | 239,184 | 78,145 | 112,826 | 92,887 | |
| Income before real estate sales, impairment of real estate, minority interest and other income and | | | | | | | |
| expenses | 92,226 | 84,262 | 97,820 | 39,795 | 64,157 | 53,876 | |
| Other income and expenses: | | | | | | | |
| Interest, dividend and other | | | | | | | |
| income | 13,990 | 30,427 | 26,041 | 5,510 | 3,950 | 4,727 | |
| Equity participation in ERT | (4,313) | (17,867) | (3,169) | | | | |
| Equity in income of | | | | | | | |
| unconsolidated ventures | 985 | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency (loss) gain | (560) | (437) | 674 | | | | |
| Gain (loss) on sale of real estate | 1,610 | 9,200 | 7,956 | 34 | (41) | (3) | |

| Impairment of real estate Minority interest in income of | (13,107) | (3,620) | | | | |
|--|----------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| consolidated Partnership | (848) | (952) | (1,299) | (457) | | |
| Income from continuing operations | 89,983 | 101,013 | 128,023 | 44,882 | 68,066 | 58,600 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | | | |
| Income from discontinued | | | | | | |
| operations of garden apartments | 13,679 | 21,310 | 21,490 | 10,923 | 22,507 | 18,437 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued | | | | | | |
| operations | 1,500 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | 15 | | | | |

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | Five Months Ended December 31, | | Years Ended July 31, | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------|-----|--------------------------------------|-----|----------------------|------|------------------|------|------------------|----|------------------|
| | | 2001 | | 2000 | | 1999 | 1998 | | 1998 | | | 1997 |
| Net income before extraordinary item | | 105,162 | _ | 122,323 | | 149,513 | | 55,805 | | 90,573 | | 77,037 |
| Extraordinary item | | | _ | 758 | _ | | | | _ | | _ | |
| Net income | \$ | 105,162 | \$_ | 123,081 | \$_ | 149,513 | \$ | 55,805 | \$ | 90,573 | \$ | 77,037 |
| Net income available to common stock | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ | 82,523 | \$ | 100,446 | \$ | 126,736 | \$ | 48,891 | \$ | 84,723 | \$ | 76,576 |
| Net income available to common stock | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diluted | \$ | 83,371 | \$ | 101,398 | \$ | 128,035 | \$ | 49,348 | \$ | 84,723 | \$ | 76,576 |
| Basic earnings per common share: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ | 0.77 | \$ | 0.90 | \$ | 1.19 | \$ | 0.49 | \$ | 1.05 | \$ | 0.99 |
| Discontinued operations | Ψ | 0.16 | Ψ | 0.24 | Ψ | 0.24 | Ψ | 0.14 | Ψ | 0.38 | Ψ | 0.32 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations | | 0.02 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Extraordinary item | | 0.02 | | 0.01 | | | | | | | | |
| Basic earnings per common | _ | | - | | - | | _ | | _ | | _ | |
| share | \$ | 0.95 | \$ | 1.15 | \$ | 1.43 | \$ | 0.63 | \$ | 1.43 | \$ | 1.31 |
| Diluted earnings per common share: | | | • | | • | | - | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ | 0.77 | \$ | 0.89 | \$ | 1.18 | \$ | 0.48 | \$ | 1.04 | \$ | 0.99 |
| Discontinued operations Gain on sale of discontinued operations | | 0.15 | | 0.24 | | 0.24 | | 0.14 | | 0.38 | | 0.31 |
| Extraordinary item | | 0.02 | | 0.01 | _ | | _ | | _ | | | |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ | 0.94 | \$ | 1.14 | \$ | 1.42 | \$ | 0.62 | \$ | 1.42 | \$ | 1.30 |
| | - | 07.044 | | 07.600 | | 22.442 | - | | - | | - | 70.161 |
| Average shares outstanding basic Average shares outstanding diluted | | 87,241 88,799 | | 87,608 88,951 | | 88,662 90,440 | | 77,481 79,396 | | 59,365 59,774 | | 58,461 58,735 |
| Other Data: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Distributions per common share | \$ | 1.650 | \$ | 1.650 | \$ | 1.625 | \$ | 0.678 | \$ | 1.475 | \$ | 1.435 |
| Balance Sheet Data as of the End of Each Period: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net real estate | | ,413,891 | | 2,233,993 | | 2,318,072 | | 2,318,001 | | 977,614 | | 875,027 |
| Total assets | 2 | ,622,866 | 2 | 2,894,431 | 2 | 2,953,141 | 2 | 2,896,568 | 1 | ,386,831 | 1 | ,263,958 |

| Total liabilities | 1,107,361 | 1,314,912 | 1,316,522 | 1,158,321 | 619,998 | 516,189 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Minority interest in consolidated | | | | | | |
| partnership | 22,267 | 23,909 | 25,100 | 40,651 | | |
| Total stockholders equity | 1,493,238 | 1,555,610 | 1,611,519 | 1,662,242 | 766,833 | 747,719 |

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Critical Accounting Policies

The consolidated financial statements of the Company include accounts of the Company and all majority-owned subsidiaries. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions in certain circumstances that affect amounts reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and related footnotes. In preparing these financial statements, management has made its best estimates and judgments of certain amounts included in the financial statements, giving due consideration to materiality. The Company does not believe there is a great likelihood that materially different amounts would be reported related to the accounting policies described below. However, application of these accounting policies involves the exercise of judgment and use of assumptions as to future uncertainties and, as a result, actual results could differ from these estimates.

Revenue Recognition

Rental revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis, which averages minimum rents over the terms of the leases. Certain of these leases provide for percentage rents based upon the level of sales achieved by the lessee. These percentage rents are recorded once the required sales level is achieved. The leases also typically provide

for tenant reimbursements of common area maintenance and other operating expenses. Rental income also includes lease termination fees.

The Company must make estimates of the uncollectability of its accounts receivables related to base rents, expense reimbursements and other revenue or income. The Company specifically analyzes accounts receivable and analyzes historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer credit worthiness, current economic trends and changes in our customer payment terms when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. These estimates have a direct impact on the Company s net income, because a higher bad debt reserve results in less net income.

The Securities and Exchange Commission s Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 101 *Revenue Recognition* provides guidance on the application of generally accepted accounting principles to selected revenue recognition issues. The Company has concluded that its revenue recognition policy is appropriate and in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and SAB No. 101.

Real Estate

Land, buildings and building and tenant improvements are recorded at cost and stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Major replacements and betterments, which improve or extend the life of the asset, are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives; ordinary repairs and maintenance, are expensed as incurred.

Properties are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The estimated useful lives are as follows:

| Buildings | 35 to 40 years |
|-----------------------|---|
| Building improvements | 5 to 40 years |
| Tenant improvements | The shorter of the term of the related lease or useful life |

The Company is required to make subjective assessments as to the useful lives of its properties for purposes of determining the amount of depreciation to reflect on an annual basis with respect to those properties. These assessments have a direct impact on the Company s net income. For example, if the Company were to lengthen the expected useful life of a particular building improvement, the improvement would be depreciated over more years, resulting in less depreciation expense and higher net income on an annual basis.

Long Lived Assets

On a periodic basis, management assesses whether there are any indicators that the value of the real estate properties may be impaired. A property s value is impaired only if management s estimate of the aggregate future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) to be generated by the property are less than the carrying value of the property. Such cash flows consider factors such as expected future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of demand, competition and other factors. To the extent impairment has occurred, the loss will be measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the property over the fair value of the property.

When assets are identified by management as held for sale, the Company discontinues depreciating the assets and estimates the sales price, net of selling costs of such assets. If, in management s opinion, the net sales price of the assets which have been identified for sale is less than the net book value of the assets, a valuation allowance is established. For investments accounted for under the equity method, a loss is recognized if the loss in value of the investment is other than temporary.

The Company is required to make subjective assessments as to whether there are impairments in the value of its real estate properties. These assessments have a direct impact on the Company s net income, because taking an impairment results in an immediate negative adjustment to net income.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In October 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of. This statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment of long-lived assets and for long-lived assets to be disposed of. This statement supersedes SFAS No. 121, Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of. However, this statement retains the fundamental provisions of SFAS No. 121 for (a) recognition and measurement of the impairment of long-lived assets to be held and used and (b) measurement of long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale. This statement also supersedes the accounting and reporting provisions of APB Opinion No. 30, Reporting the Results of Operations Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions, for segments of a business to be disposed of. This statement does retain the requirement of Opinion 30 to report discontinued operations separately from continuing operations and extends that reporting to a component of an entity that either has been disposed of (by sale, by abandonment, or in a distribution to owners) or is classified as held for sale. This statement also amends ARB No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements, to eliminate the exception to consolidation for a temporarily controlled subsidiary. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. The Company does not expect the impact of the adoption of this statement to be material.

In June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*. This statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for business combinations and supersedes APB Opinion No. 16, *Business Combinations*, and FASB Statement No. 38, *Accounting for Pre-acquisition Contingencies of Purchased Enterprises*. All business combinations in the scope of this statement are to be accounted for using one method, the purchase method. This statement is effective June 30, 2001. The Company does not expect the impact of the adoption of this statement to be material.

In June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. This statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for acquired goodwill and other intangible assets and supersedes APB Opinion No. 17, *Intangible Assets*. It addresses how intangible assets that are acquired individually or with a group of other assets (but not those acquired in a business combination) should be accounted for in financial statements upon their acquisition. This statement also addresses how goodwill and other intangible assets should be accounted for after they have been initially recognized in the financial statements. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. The Company does not expect the impact of the adoption of this statement to be material.

In June 1998, the FASB issued SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. SFAS No. 133 establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and hedging activities. It requires that an entity recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the statement of financial position and measure those instruments at fair value as issued. SFAS No. 133 was effective January 1, 2000; however, SFAS 137, Deferral of the Effective Date of SFAS 133, extended the effective date for the Company to January 2001. The Company adopted SFAS No. 133/138, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, on January 1, 2001. This new accounting standard requires companies to carry all derivative instruments, including certain embedded derivatives, in the statement of financial condition at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative instrument depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship and, if so, on the reason for holding it. The Company uses only qualifying hedges that are designated specifically to reduce exposure to interest rate risk by locking in the expected future cash payments on certain liabilities. This is typically accomplished using an interest rate swap. For financial reporting purposes, the gain or loss on the interest rate swap is recorded as a component of equity. In connection with the adoption of SFAS No. 133/138 in January 2001, the Company recorded a net transition adjustment of \$2,124,000 in accumulated other comprehensive income (equity) at that time. Adoption of the standard also resulted in the Company recognizing \$2,124,000 of derivative instrument liabilities. In general, the amount of volatility will vary with the level of derivative activities during any period.

Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto. Historical results and percentage relationships set forth in the Consolidated Statements of Income contained in the Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes, including trends which might appear, should not be taken as indicative of future operations.

On September 21, 2001, the Company and a private investor group consummated the sale by the Company of its garden apartment community portfolio (excluding one apartment community which was sold separately to an unrelated third party on September 28, 2001) to Houlihan/ C.L.K. The one remaining apartment community (The Club Apartments) was sold to Homewood City Board of Education of Homewood, Alabama on September 28, 2001. Accordingly, the assets and operating results of the garden apartment communities were reclassified and reported as discontinued operations and are not reflected in the following discussion.

On July 1, 2001 the Company acquired 100% of the common stock in ERT Development Corporation (ERT). Effective July 1, 2001, ERT is consolidated with the Company. Prior to July 1, 2001 the Company owned 100% of the outstanding preferred shares of ERT. The Company accounted for ERT using the equity method of accounting prior to July 1, 2001.

Results of Operations for the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2001 and 2000

Revenues:

Rental income decreased \$1.9 million or 0.7% from \$272.1 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2000 to \$270.2 million for the same period in 2001. During fiscal 2001, the Company acquired two retail shopping centers, which accounted for a combined revenue increase of approximately \$1.7 million. Further, the consolidation of ERT resulted in an additional increase of \$7.2 million. These increases were offset by a \$4.7 million revenue decrease resulting from the sale of 38 properties in fiscal 2001 and 2000, as well as by decreased revenues of \$3.3 million from the Clearwater Mall (Clearwater), a property under redevelopment. The balance of the change in revenue was a decrease of \$2.8 million, which can be attributed to slightly lower occupancy rates as compared to prior year.

Expense reimbursements increased \$5.6 million or 10.1% from \$55.4 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2000 to \$61.0 million for the same period in 2001. The consolidation of ERT resulted in an increase of \$1.8 million which was partially offset by a decrease of \$0.6 million as a result of the property dispositions and a decrease of \$0.5 million from Clearwater. The balance of the change of \$4.9 million is due to an increase in reimbursable expenses.

Interest, dividend and other income decreased \$16.4 million or 54.0% from \$30.4 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2000 to \$14.0 million for the same period in 2001. This decrease is primarily attributable to a decrease in interest income earned from ERT. The decrease in interest income from ERT was due to the reduction of interest rates on January 1, 2001 and the elimination of interest income from ERT as a result of the consolidation of ERT as of July 1, 2001.

Equity participation in ERT increased \$13.6 million or 75.9% from a loss of \$17.9 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2000 to a loss of \$4.3 million for the same period in 2001. This change is primarily attributable to the consolidation of ERT into the Company on July 1, 2001. For the six months ended June 30, 2001 an increase in equity participation of ERT of \$5.0 million was primarily due to the decrease in interest expense of \$4.5 million, increases in the net income of \$0.9 million for the two operating mall properties (The Mall at 163rd Street and Pointe Orlando) and the acquisition of two properties in October 2000 and an additional property in January 2001. These increases were partially offset by an impairment loss of \$0.7 million.

The Mall at 163rd Street, a property owned by ERT, had a decrease in net income of \$0.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001. Rental and other revenue decreased \$1.3 million because of redevelopment activities, partially offset by a decrease in operating expenses and bad debt expense.

Pointe Orlando, a property owned by ERT, had a decrease in net loss of \$1.0 million primarily due to a decrease in legal costs.

Foreign currency loss increased \$0.2 million or 28.1% from \$0.4 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2000 to \$0.6 million for the same period in 2001. This increase reflects the continued weakening of the value of the Canadian dollar.

Expenses:

Total expenses decreased \$4.5 million or 1.8% from \$250.7 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2000 to \$246.2 million for the same period in 2001. The major areas of change are discussed below.

Operating costs increased \$2.6 million or 4.9% from \$54.1 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2000 to \$56.7 million for the same period in 2001. The increase was due to the consolidation of the ERT properties, which accounted for \$3.5 million of the increase, and \$0.3 million from the acquired properties, partially offset by a \$1.5 million decrease from Clearwater and \$0.8 million decrease from the sold properties. The balance of the increase of \$1.1 million was due to increases in insurance, personnel, landscaping, maintenance and higher utility costs, partially offset by decreases in snow removal and promotional costs.

Real estate and other taxes decreased \$0.7 million or 1.9% from \$36.1 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2000 to \$35.4 million for the same period in 2001. The reduction is a result of \$0.5 million from Clearwater, \$0.4 million from property dispositions and decreases in tax rates and property assessments associated with ongoing appeals. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$1.5 million relating to acquisitions and the ERT properties.

Interest expense decreased \$9.6 million or 10.8% from \$88.4 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2000 to \$78.8 million for the same period in 2001. Approximately \$10.9 million of the decrease is due to lower interest rates and a decrease in the Company s debt portfolio due to payoffs from property dispositions. The decrease was partially offset by interest expense of \$1.3 million on Pointe Orlando, a retail property owned by ERT.

Severance costs decreased \$4.0 million or 81.9% from \$4.9 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2000 to \$0.9 million for the same period in 2001. The severance costs for both periods were attributable to payments made to certain officers of the Company in connection with their resignation or retirement and their respective retirement/employment agreements.

Provision for doubtful accounts increased \$2.1 million or 47.6% from \$4.4 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2000 to \$6.5 million for the same period in 2001. This increase results from a \$1.8 million reserve in connection with the bankruptcy filing of Kmart Corporation, the Company s largest tenant, as well as an overall increase in the receivable balance as compared to prior year.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$2.3 million or 4.1% from \$55.4 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2000 to \$57.6 million for the same period in 2001, reflecting the additional depreciation expense associated with ERT properties of \$2.3 million. The increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$1.1 million associated with the property dispositions.

General and administrative expenses increased 37.4% or \$2.8 million from \$7.5 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2000 to \$10.3 million for the same period in 2001. This increase is attributable to increased personnel, tax and professional costs, offset by decreases in management (as a result of the sale of the garden apartment portfolio and other properties) and consulting fees.

Gains on the Sale of Assets:

Excluding the sale of the Company s garden apartment portfolio, the Company sold 26 properties, seven land parcels and one outparcel during fiscal 2001. The sale of these properties resulted in a gain of \$1.6 million. The Company sold 12 properties during fiscal 2000 for a gain of \$9.2 million.

Impairment of Real Estate:

The estimated fair value of certain properties classified as real estate held for sale was less than the book value of these properties. This resulted in an impairment of real estate expense of \$13.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. Impairment of real estate expense approximated \$3.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2000.

Extraordinary Income:

The Company reported no extraordinary income for the year ended December 31, 2001. During fiscal 2000, the Company eliminated an unamortized mortgage premium, which resulted in extraordinary income of \$0.8 million.

Results of Operations for the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2000 and 1999

Revenues:

Rental income decreased by \$4.3 million, or 1.6%, from \$276.4 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 1999 to \$272.1 million for the same period in 2000. Between January 1, 1999 and December 31, 2000, six retail shopping centers were acquired. These acquisitions produced revenue increases of approximately \$2.2 million. During the same period, the Company sold all or a portion of 17 properties which accounted for revenue reductions of \$3.3 million. Clearwater Mall, a property with redevelopment plans under reevaluation, accounted for a revenue decline of \$1.7 million. Lease settlement income declined \$1.2 million. The balance of the change in revenue was a decrease of \$0.3 million.

Interest, dividend and other income increased by approximately \$4.4 million, or 16.9%, from \$26.0 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 1999 to \$30.4 million for the same period in 2000. This increase was due primarily to interest income of \$4.4 million earned primarily from ERT and the Company s development projects.

The decrease in equity participation in ERT, from a loss of \$3.2 million in the twelve months ended December 31, 1999 to a loss of \$17.9 million in the twelve months ended December 31, 2000, was primarily the result of factors relating to the two operating mall properties (The Mall at 163rd Street and Pointe Orlando), a decrease in interest income and an increase in interest expense.

The Mall at 163rd Street, a property owned by ERT, had a reduction in net income of approximately \$4.7 million. This was due primarily to a reduction in rental revenue of \$4.8 million because of redevelopment plans, lease settlement income of \$1.9 million received in the prior period, which did not recur in the current period, offset by higher interest income of approximately \$0.1 million, and an increase in the bad debt expense of \$0.6 million and lower operating expenses of approximately \$0.5 million.

Pointe Orlando, a mall in Florida, which in three quarters of the prior year was accounted for using the equity method when ERT was a 38.5% owner, has been 100% owned and consolidated with ERT since October 1, 1999. The effect of owning 100% of Pointe Orlando for the full year of 2000 increased the loss to ERT by an additional \$3.1 million to \$6.3 million. Pointe Orlando had an increased loss in the twelve months ended December 31, 2000 of approximately \$3.2 million. This was due primarily to lower revenues of \$1.7 million, increased litigation costs of \$3.9 million relating to a legal action revolving around the construction and delayed opening of the mall and an increase in bad debt expense of approximately \$0.9 million. These items were offset by lower operating costs of \$0.9 million and lower interest expense of \$2.4 million.

ERT had a decrease in interest income of approximately \$5.3 million, or 49.4%, due primarily to the acquisition and consolidation of Pointe Orlando and the elimination of the interest income charged to the previously unconsolidated entity. ERT s interest expense to New Plan Excel increased \$3.7 million due to higher borrowings by ERT and this was the primary reason for the \$4.4 million increase in interest, dividend and other income of the Company.

Foreign currency loss increased \$1.1 million due to the decline in value of the Canadian dollar.

Expenses:

Total expenses increased \$11.5 million, or 4.8%, from \$239.2 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 1999 to \$250.7 million for the same period in 2000. The major areas of increase were real estate and other taxes, interest expense, depreciation and amortization, and administrative expenses. These increases were partially offset by decreases in operating, bad debt and non-recurring expenses.

Interest expense increased \$10.6 million, or 13.6%, from \$77.8 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 1999 to \$88.4 million for the same period in 2000, due primarily to increased borrowings in connection with stock repurchases, property acquisitions and improvements, and additional investments in ERT.

Real estate and other taxes increased \$3.3 million, or 10.1%, from \$32.8 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 1999 to \$36.1 million for the same period in 2000. Approximately \$0.5 million of the increase was due to a one-time recovery of prior year s expense in 1999, which did not occur again in 2000. The remaining amount of approximately \$2.8 million was due to higher property tax expenses primarily in the retail portfolio.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$1.2 million, or 2.2%, from \$54.2 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 1999 to \$55.4 million for the same period in 2000. The primary reason for the increase was the improvements to real estate put in place in 1999 and 2000 and the increase of real estate assets due to additional allocation of purchase price from the 1998 merger with Excel Realty Trust, Inc., in the prior year. The net impact of the acquisitions and dispositions during the periods was a reduction of \$0.3 million.

Provision for doubtful accounts decreased \$0.9 million, or 16.9%, from \$5.3 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 1999 to \$4.4 million for the same period in 2000 due to the collection of amounts previously thought to be uncollectible and improved collection experience. The decrease was partially offset by an increase in the reserve for bad debts at the Clearwater Mall.

Severance costs declined \$3.6 million, or 42.4%, from \$8.5 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 1999 to \$4.9 million for the same period in 2000. The \$4.9 million severance charges in 2000 were primarily payments made to certain former officers in connection with their resignation or retirement from the Company and their respective retirement and employment agreements. In the prior year, the \$8.5 million expense was the result of the resignation of seven former Excel Realty Trust, Inc. executives and the payments made to them in accordance with their employment agreements.

General and administrative expenses increased \$0.8 million, or 11.9%, from \$6.7 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 1999 to \$7.5 million for the same period in 2000. As a percentage of total assets, administrative expense decreased to 0.26% from 0.27%. As a percent of total revenues, costs increased to 2.24% from 1.97%. The major reason for the increase was related to increases in personnel costs.

Gains on the Sale of Assets:

Gains on the sale of real estate increased \$1.2 million, or 15.0%. In 2000, the Company sold 11 retail and one commercial property resulting in a gain of \$9.2 million. In 1999, five retail properties were sold, resulting in a gain of \$7.3 million, and two residential properties were sold for a gain of \$0.7 million.

Impairment of Real Estate:

The estimated fair value of certain properties classified as Real estate held for sale was less than the book value of these properties. This resulted in an impairment of real estate expense of \$3.6 million for the year. Of this impairment, \$1.9 million is related to real estate held for sale during the year which is now held for use as of December 31, 2000. There was no impairment of real estate in 1999.

Extraordinary Income:

During the year there was a prepayment of a mortgage payable which had an unamortized mortgage premium associated with it. The elimination of this premium resulted in the extraordinary income of \$0.8 million. There was no extraordinary income in 1999.

Funds from Operations

The Company calculates funds from operations (FFO) as net income attributable to common shareholders on a diluted basis before gain or loss on sales of real estate and securities and before extraordinary items, plus depreciation and amortization on real estate, amortized leasing commission costs and the minority interest share of income. Effective January 1, 2000, the Company adopted the NAREIT definition of FFO which requires the inclusion of both recurring and non-recurring results of operations and the exclusion of extraordinary items. The 1999 calculations have been restated to conform to the NAREIT definition to include non-recurring charges. The NAREIT definition of FFO excludes discontinued operations, however, the Company has included discontinued operations in its calculation. FFO is not a substitute for cash flows from operations or net income as defined by generally accepted accounting principles, and may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other REITs. FFO is presented because industry analysts and the Company consider FFO to be an appropriate supplemental measure of performance of REITs. The following information is provided to show the items included in the Company s FFO for the past periods indicated (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2001 | Year Ended December 31, 2000 | Year Ended December 31, 1999 |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Net income before extraordinary item | \$ 105,162 | \$ 122,323 | \$149,513 |
| Preferred dividends | (22,639) | (22,635) | (22,777) |
| Minority interest | 848 | 952 | 1,299 |
| Net income applicable to common shareholders diluted | 83,371 | 100,640 | 128,035 |
| (Gains)/loss on real estate and securities | (1,412) | (9,200) | (7,956) |
| Preferred A dividends ¹ | 3,203 | 3,200 | 3,343 |
| Depreciation amortization, including depreciation relating to equity | | | |
| investments | 68,963 | 69,422 | 66,602 |
| Impairment of real estate | 13,850 | 3,620 | |
| Funds from operations | \$ 167,975 | \$ 167,682 | \$190,024 |
| Weighted average of common shares outstanding Diluted | 90,673 | 90,825 | 92,444 |
| | · | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 186,734 | \$ 179,332 | \$165,855 |
| Net cash provided by/(used in) investing activities | \$ 314,135 | \$ (9,772) | \$ (87,207) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | \$(494,876) | \$(179,224) | \$ (81,765) |
| | | | |

¹ Series A Preferred Stock has a dilutive effect on the FFO calculation.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of December 31, 2001, the Company had approximately \$9.1 million in available cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities. As a REIT, the Company is required to distribute at least 90% of its taxable income to its stockholders on an annual basis. Therefore, as a general matter, it is unlikely that the Company will have any substantial cash balances that could be used to meet its liquidity needs. Instead, these needs must be met from cash generated from operations and external sources of capital.

Short-Term Liquidity Needs

The Company s short-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds necessary to pay for operating and other expenses directly associated with its portfolio of properties (including regular maintenance items), interest expense and scheduled principal payments on its outstanding debt, capital expenditures incurred to facilitate the leasing of space (*e.g.*, tenant improvements and leasing commissions), and quarterly dividends that the Company pays to its common and preferred stockholders. Historically, the Company has satisfied these requirements principally through cash generated from operations. The Company believes that cash generated from operations will continue to be sufficient to meet its short-term liquidity requirements; however, there are certain factors that may have a material adverse effect on the Company s cash flow.

The Company derives substantially all of its revenues from tenants under existing leases at its properties. The Company s operating cash flow therefore depends materially on the rents that it is able to charge to its tenants, and the ability of these tenants to make their rental payments. The Company believes that the nature of the properties in which it typically invests primarily grocery-anchored neighborhood and community shopping centers provides a more stable revenue flow in uncertain economic times, in that consumers still need to purchase basic living essentials such as food and soft goods, even in difficult economic times. However, general economic downturns, or economic downturns in one or more markets in which the Company owns properties, still may adversely impact the ability of the Company s tenants to make lease payments and the Company s ability to re-lease space as leases expire on favorable terms. In either of these cases, the Company s cash flow would be adversely affected.

As a general matter, the Company believes that the current economic downturn in the United States generally has not had a material adverse effect on its performance thus far. However, continuation of the current downturn could negatively impact the Company s operating results in 2002, depending on the magnitude and length of the downturn.

On January 22, 2002, Kmart Corporation, the Company s largest tenant, filed for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the federal bankruptcy laws. Prior to the bankruptcy filing, the Company leased space at 40 of its shopping centers to Kmart. Kmart has since rejected the leases at two locations. An additional two locations are subleased or assigned to third parties.

The 36 remaining Kmart locations, all of which currently are physically occupied, contain a total of 3.4 million square feet of gross leasable area, or approximately 8.9% of the Company s total gross leasable area. As of December 31, 2001, Kmart s annualized base rent for these 36 locations was \$14.9 million, or approximately \$4.45 per square foot, which represented 5.6% of the Company s total annualized base rent. In addition, three of the 92 shopping centers acquired in the Portfolio Acquisition (as defined below) contain Kmart stores. As of December 31, 2001, on a pro forma basis and excluding the two leases which Kmart has already rejected and the two subleased or assigned locations, Kmart s annualized base rent for the 39 locations was approximately \$16.1 million, or approximately \$4.42 per square foot, which would represent approximately 4.8% of the Company s total annualized base rent.

Under federal bankruptcy laws, Kmart can affirm or reject its 39 remaining leases with the Company. It could also seek to receive rent reductions or deferrals or other lease modifications from the Company. At this time, Kmart has not announced its plans with respect to the 39 remaining leases with the Company, although the Company is currently in discussions with Kmart regarding certain of these lease locations. In event that leases are terminated, the Company would seek to re-lease those spaces to new tenants. In some cases, the Company believes it could re-lease the space currently occupied by Kmart on terms that would be at least as favorable as the current lease terms with Kmart. In other cases, however, the Company may not be able to achieve rental rates that Kmart currently is paying. It also may take a significant amount of time to re-lease any space vacated by Kmart during which period the Company would not be collecting any rent for that space. In addition, if Kmart terminates additional leases with the Company, other tenants at the affected properties may have the right to terminate their leases with the Company, or to pay less rent than they currently pay.

If Kmart terminates a substantial number of leases with the Company, or if Kmart receives substantial rent reductions or deferrals, it will adversely affect the Company s rental revenues, and the impact may be

material. In addition, Kmart s termination of leases or closure of stores could result in lease terminations or reductions in rent by other tenants in the same shopping centers, the impact of which could be material to the Company.

The Company does not believe that there are any other tenant bankruptcies that are likely to materially affect the Company s rental revenues.

In addition, in March 2002 the Company acquired a portfolio of 92 retail properties (the Portfolio Acquisition), which increases the net rentable area of the Company is properties by more than 27%. As a result of this acquisition, the Company will incur substantial additional expenses that are attributable to the operation of these properties. The Company also assumed or incurred approximately an additional \$543 million of debt to finance the transaction. While the Company believes that the cash generated by these newly-acquired properties will more than offset the operating expenses and interest expense associated with these properties, it is possible that these properties may not perform as well as expected, and as a result the Company is cash needs may increase. In addition, there may be other additional costs incurred as a result of the acquisition of these properties, such as increased general and administrative costs while the Company assimilates such a large number of properties into its operating system.

The Company expects to spend approximately \$11 million in 2002 to complete its \$25 million deferred maintenance program that began in 2001. The Company believes that completing this maintenance enhances the competitiveness of its properties. The Company expects to pay for these maintenance projects out of excess cash from operations or, to the extent necessary, draws on its revolving credit facilities.

The Company also regularly incurs significant expenditures in connection with the re-leasing of its retail space, principally in the form of tenant improvements and leasing commissions. The amounts of these expenditures can vary significantly, depending on negotiations with tenants and the willingness of tenants to pay higher base rents over the life of the leases. The Company expects to pay for these capital expenditures out of excess cash from operations or, to the extent necessary, draws on its revolving credit facilities. The Company believes that a significant portion of these expenditures are recouped in the form of continuing lease payments.

The Company has established a stock repurchase program under which it may repurchase up to \$75 million of the Company s outstanding common stock from time to time through periodic open market transactions or through privately negotiated transactions. As of December 31, 2001, approximately 2,100,000 shares have been repurchased under this program at an average purchase price of \$15.28 per share. Approximately 119,000 shares were repurchased in 2001 at an average purchase price of \$13.40 per share. In light of the current trading price of the Company s common stock, the Company does not anticipate effecting additional stock repurchases in the near future, although market conditions could cause the Company to reevaluate this determination at any time.

The Company has also established a repurchase program under which it may repurchase up to \$125 million of the Company s outstanding preferred stock and public debt from time to time through periodic open market transactions or through privately negotiated transactions. As of December 31, 2001, no purchases have been made under this program.

The current quarterly dividend on the Company s common stock is \$0.4125 per share. The Company also pays regular quarterly dividends on its preferred stock. The maintenance of these dividends is subject to various factors, including the discretion of the Board of Directors, the ability to pay dividends under Maryland law, the availability of cash to make the necessary dividend payments and the effect of REIT distribution requirements, which require at least 90% of the Company s taxable income to be distributed to stockholders.

In addition, under the Company s existing credit facilities, the Company generally is restricted from paying common stock dividends that would exceed 95% of the Company s funds from operations during any four-quarter period.

Long-Term Liquidity Needs

The Company s long-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds necessary to pay for the principal amount of the Company s long-term debt as it matures, significant non-recurring capital expenditures that need to be made periodically at the Company s properties, redevelopment projects that the Company undertakes at its properties and the costs associated with acquisitions of properties that the Company pursues. Historically, the Company has satisfied these requirements principally through the most advantageous source of capital at the time, which has included the incurrence of new debt through borrowings (through public offerings of unsecured debt and private incurrence of secured and unsecured debt), sales of common stock and preferred stock, capital raised through the disposition of assets and repayment by third parties of notes receivable. The Company believes that these sources of capital will continue to be available to it in the future to fund its long-term capital needs; however, there are certain factors that may have a material adverse effect on the Company s access to these capital sources.

The Company s ability to incur additional debt is dependent upon a number of factors, including its degree of leverage, the value of its unencumbered assets, its credit rating and borrowing restrictions imposed by existing lenders. Currently, the Company has investment grade credit ratings for prospective unsecured debt offerings from two major rating agencies Standard & Poor s (BBB, rating affirmed) and Moody s Investor Service (Baa1, review for possible downgrade). A downgrade in outlook or rating by a rating agency can occur at any time if the agency perceives an adverse change in the Company s financial condition, results of operations or ability to service debt. If such a downgrade occurs, it would increase the interest rate currently payable under the Company s existing credit facilities, it likely would increase the costs associated with obtaining future financing, and it potentially could adversely affect the Company s ability to obtain future financing.

Based on management s internal evaluation of the Company properties, the estimated value of the Company s properties is well above the outstanding amount of mortgage debt encumbering the properties. Therefore, at this time, the Company believes that additional financing could be obtained, either in the form of mortgage debt or additional unsecured borrowings, and without violating the financial covenants contained in the Company s unsecured debt instruments.

The Company s ability to raise funds through sales of common stock and preferred stock is dependent on, among other things, general market conditions for REITs, market perceptions about the Company and the current trading price of the Company s stock. During 1999, 2000 and the early part of 2001, conditions in the equity market for REITs were not attractive, and as a result the Company did not issue any new equity securities during this time. In the second half of 2001, market conditions improved, and in January 2002, the Company sold 6,900,000 shares of common stock in a public offering that raised net proceeds of approximately \$121 million. The Company will continue to analyze which source of capital is most advantageous to it at any particular point in time, but the equity markets may not be consistently available on terms that are attractive.

The Company has selectively effected asset sales to generate cash proceeds over the last two years. In particular, in September 2001 the Company sold its portfolio of apartment properties and generated gross proceeds of approximately \$380 million. Also in 2001, the Company sold or monetized other assets that raised an additional \$84 million in gross proceeds. The Company s ability to generate cash from asset sales is limited by market conditions and certain rules applicable to REITs. The Company s ability to sell properties in the future to raise cash will necessarily be limited if market conditions make such sales unattractive.

The following table summarizes all of the Company s long-term contractual cash obligations, excluding interest, to pay third parties as of December 31, 2001 (in thousands):

| Contractual Cash Obligations | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | Thereafter | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|------------|-----------|
| | | | | | | | |
| Long-Term Debt ¹ | \$194,804 | \$83,753 | \$82,145 | \$148,399 | \$7,091 | \$429,181 | \$943,373 |
| Capital Lease Obligations | 280 | 302 | 326 | 351 | 378 | 27,533 | 29,170 |
| Operating Leases | 1,308 | 1,561 | 1,200 | 1,178 | 166 | 9,112 | 14,525 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total | \$196,392 | \$85,616 | \$83,671 | \$149,928 | \$7,635 | \$465,826 | \$987,068 |
| | | | | | | | |

¹ Long-term debt includes scheduled amortization and scheduled maturities for mortgage loans, notes payable and credit facilities.

As shown in the table above, a significant portion of the Company s long-term debt matures in less than one year. The Company has two revolving credit facilities with The Bank of New York as lead lender, under which the Company may borrow up to \$245 million in uncollateralized advances from a group of banks. The Company also has a term loan with Fleet National Bank as lead lender, under which it has borrowed \$75 million, on an unsecured basis, as of December 31, 2001. In addition, on March 1, 2002, the Company entered into an additional term loan with Fleet National Bank as lead lender, under which it has borrowed \$125 million on an unsecured basis.

The table below sets forth certain terms of the loans under these four facilities (collectively, the Credit Facilities).

| Loan | Amount Available to be Drawn (in thousands) | Amount Drawn as of December 31, 2001 (in thousands) | Current Interest Rate ¹ | Maturity Date |
|---------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| BNY Revolving Facility #1 | \$122,500 | \$ 0 | LIBOR plus 72.5 bp | October 2002 |
| BNY Revolving Facility #2 | 122,500 | 20,000 | LIBOR plus 67.5 bp | November 2002 |
| Fleet Term Loan #1 | 75,000 | 75,000 | LIBOR plus 90 bp | May 20022 |
| Fleet Term Loan #2 | 125,000 | 03 | LIBOR plus 90 bp | February 2003 |
| Total | \$445,000 | \$95,000 | | |
| | | | | |

¹ The interest rate payable by the Company under each of these facilities adjusts depending on the Company s credit rating. If the Company s credit rating is lowered, the interest rates increase. For instance, a decrease in the Company s current credit rating by one rating level would cause the interest rate under the BNY Revolving Facility #1 to increase from LIBOR plus 72.5 basis points (bp) to LIBOR plus 95 bp; would cause the interest rate under the BNY Revolving Facility #2 to increase from LIBOR plus 67.5 bp to LIBOR plus 87.5 bp; and would cause the interest rate under each of the Fleet Term Loans to increase from LIBOR plus 90 bp to LIBOR plus 115 bp.

In addition to the Credit Facilities, the Company had approximately \$241.4 million of mortgage debt outstanding as of December 31, 2001 having a weighted average interest rate of 7.8% and \$613.2 million of notes payable with a weighted average interest rate of 7.3%.

² The maturity date for the Fleet Term Loan #1 can be extended to November 2002 at the Company s option.

³ The Fleet Term Loan #2 was entered into in March 2002, and therefore no amount was outstanding as of December 31, 2001. The entire amount of the loan was drawn in March 2002.

Because the Credit Facilities are nearing their maturity, the Company currently is in preliminary negotiations with certain major financial institutions for a new revolving credit facility. The Company expects this facility to be in place by the end of the second quarter of this year, although there can be no assurance that this will be the case. If the Company is not successful in obtaining a new credit facility, it does not have sufficient funds on hand to pay off amounts that are scheduled to come due under the Credit Facilities. In addition to the maturity of the Company s Credit Facilities, the Company also has an \$81 million note issued under its medium-term notes program that matures in May 2002. The Company expects to repay this note at

27

maturity through a draw under its existing or new revolving credit facilities or with new indebtedness incurred prior to the maturity date of such note.

In connection with the Portfolio Acquisition, the Company assumed approximately \$289 million of indebtedness. Approximately \$110 million of this debt matures in July 2002, while approximately \$157 million matures in 2008 and the remaining \$23 million matures in July 2004. The \$110 million loan that matures in July 2002 has three one-year extension options, and the Company has requested the first one-year extension, which would extend the maturity date to July 2003. The extension right is subject to compliance with certain financial covenants, which the Company believes will be satisfied. The remaining portion of the purchase price for the Portfolio Acquisition was financed with the proceeds of a public equity offering of the Company s common shares, and with borrowings under the Company s existing credit facilities and an interim facility.

The Company has established a comprehensive redevelopment and outparcel development program under which properties are identified for substantial renovation or expansion where the Company believes attractive returns can be generated from the investment. The Company currently has identified 10 projects for redevelopment that are expected to cost approximately \$19 million, all of which is expected to be incurred in 2002. In addition, the Company expects to proceed with redevelopment projects at two additional shopping centers and one mall in 2002. The costs relating to all of these projects are expected to be funded from existing cash flow and from draws under the Company s revolving credit facilities. The Company has one additional mall under redevelopment, which costs will be funded through a third party construction loan.

In connection with the Portfolio Acquisition, the Company acquired nine properties currently in redevelopment which are expected to cost approximately \$7 million.

Each of the Credit Facilities contains substantially similar covenants that require that the Company maintain certain financial coverage ratios. These covenants currently include:

net operating income of unencumbered assets to interest on unsecured debt ratio of at least 2:1

EBITDA to fixed charges ratio of at least 1.75:1

minimum tangible net worth of approximately \$1.3 billion

total debt to total capital ratio of no more than 57.5% (reducing to 52.5% if dividends paid exceed 90% of the Company s funds from operations)

total secured debt to total capital ratio of no more than 40%

unsecured debt to unencumbered assets value ratio of no more than 55%

In connection with the Portfolio Acquisition, on December 27, 2001 the Company modified two of its financial covenants under its Credit Facilities, effective March 1, 2002. Specifically, the limit on the Company s permitted consolidated total indebtedness was increased from 55% of total capital to 57.5% of total capital and the limit on the Company s permitted consolidated unsecured indebtedness was increased from 50% of the value of its unencumbered assets to 55% of the value of its unencumbered assets. In both cases, the increased debt levels are permitted only until such time as the Company engages in capital transactions (such as equity offerings or asset sales) from and after January 13, 2002, that raise a total of at least \$200 million of net proceeds.

The Company also has issued approximately \$615 million of indebtedness under three public indentures. These indentures also contain covenants that require that the Company maintain certain financial coverage ratios, which covenants generally are less onerous than the covenants for the Credit Facilities described above.

As of December 31, 2001, the Company was in compliance with all of the financial covenants under its Credit Facilities and its public indentures, and the Company believes that it will continue to remain in compliance with these covenants. However, if the Company s properties do not perform as expected, or if unexpected events occur that require the Company to borrow additional funds, compliance with these covenants may become difficult and may restrict the Company s ability to pursue certain business initiatives. In addition, these financial covenants may restrict the Company s ability to pursue particular acquisition

transactions (for example, acquiring a portfolio of properties that is highly leveraged) and could significantly impact the ability of the Company to pursue growth initiatives.

Off-Balance Sheet Financing Matters

In a few cases, the Company has made commitments to provide funds to joint ventures and third parties under certain circumstances or otherwise guaranteed obligations of others that do not show up on the Company s financial statements as liabilities. In other cases, the Company is a joint venture partner with third parties, and the liabilities associated with those joint ventures do not show up as liabilities on the Company s financial statements. The following is a brief summary of the material contractual obligations that the Company had entered into as of December 31, 2001 that are not reflected as liabilities on the Company s financial statements:

Private Pension Fund Joint Venture. In connection with the Portfolio Acquisition, the Company assumed obligations under a joint venture agreement with a third-party institutional investor. The joint venture had loans outstanding of approximately \$70.7 million as of December 31, 2001. Under the terms of this joint venture, the Company has a 10% interest in the venture, and is responsible for contributing its pro rata share of any capital that might be required if the joint venture were to need capital, up to a maximum amount of \$8.3 million, of which approximately \$4.5 million has been contributed as of December 31, 2001.

Preston Ridge Joint Venture. ERT, the Company s development subsidiary, has an investment in a joint venture that owns a community shopping center in Frisco, Texas known as The Centre at Preston Ridge. The joint venture had loans outstanding of approximately \$86 million as of December 31, 2001. While the Company has no obligation to contribute additional capital to the joint venture, ERT has agreed to contribute its pro rata share (50%) of any capital that might be required if the joint venture were to need capital. As of December 31, 2001, the book value of ERT s investment in the Preston Ridge joint venture was approximately \$40.7 million.

Vail Ranch II Joint Venture. ERT has an investment in a joint venture that owns a community shopping center in Temecula, California that is in the final stages of development. The joint venture had third-party loans outstanding of approximately \$8.4 million as of December 31, 2001. The Company currently guarantees interest payments under the loan (which rate of interest is the prime rate of the lender), as well as the payment of taxes, insurance and general maintenance and upkeep on the property. While the Company has no obligation to contribute additional capital to the joint venture, ERT has agreed to contribute its pro rata share (50%) of any capital that might be required if the joint venture were to need capital. As of December 31, 2001, the book value of ERT s investment in the Vail Ranch II joint venture was approximately \$1.1 million.

Superior Towne Center Loan. The Company has entered into an agreement to loan funds to a third party developer in connection with the development of a community shopping center in Superior, Colorado. Approximately \$9.7 million of a \$14.9 million bank loan was outstanding against the property as of December 31, 2001. In addition, the Company has made a subordinated mortgage loan of approximately \$25.9 million to the third party developer as of December 31, 2001, which amount is scheduled to be repaid in 2004. Under the terms of the loan agreement, the Company is obligated to loan up to an additional \$4 million under certain circumstances.

Letter of Credit Extension. In connection with the sale of its garden apartment portfolio, the Company indirectly provided a letter of credit to the buyer in the amount of approximately \$31 million, which can remain outstanding through September 2004 (subject to extensions for up to one year). The letter of credit was used by the buyer as collateral for a loan obtained to finance the purchase of the garden apartment portfolio. If the letter of credit is drawn (for example, following a default by the buyer under the loan), the Company will be obligated to reimburse the providing bank for the amount of the draw.

Non-Recourse Debt Guarantees. Under certain Company and joint venture non-recourse mortgage loans, under certain circumstances, the Company could be responsible for portions of the mortgage indebtedness in connection with certain customary non-recourse carve out provisions such as environmental conditions, misuse of funds and material misrepresentations. As of December 31, 2001, the Company had mortgage loans outstanding of approximately \$241.4 million and joint ventures in which the Company has a direct or indirect interest had mortgage loans outstanding of approximately \$88.5 million.

For a discussion of other factors which may adversely affect the Company s liquidity and capital resources, please see the section titled Risk Factors in Item I of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Inflation

The majority of the Company s leases contain provisions designed to mitigate the adverse impact of inflation. Such provisions include clauses enabling the Company to receive percentage rents which generally increase as prices rise but may be adversely impacted by tenant sales decreases, and/or escalation clauses which are typically related to increases in the consumer price index or similar inflation indices. In addition, the Company believes that many of its existing lease rates are below current market levels for comparable space and that upon renewal or re-rental such rates may be increased to or get closer to current market rates. This belief is based upon an analysis of relevant market conditions, including a comparison of comparable market rental rates, and upon the fact that many of such leases have been in place for a number of years and may not contain escalation clauses sufficient to match the increase in market rental rates over such time. Most of the Company s leases require the tenant to pay its share of operating expenses, including common area maintenance, real estate taxes and insurance, thereby reducing the Company s exposure to increases in costs and operating expenses resulting from inflation. In addition, the Company periodically evaluates its exposure to interest rate fluctuations, and may enter into interest rate protection agreements which mitigate, but do not eliminate, the effect of changes in interest rates on its floating rate loans.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

As of December 31, 2001, the Company had approximately \$24.8 million of outstanding floating rate mortgages. In addition, the Company had \$95.0 million outstanding as of December 31, 2001 in connection with floating rate borrowings under credit facilities. The Company does not believe that the interest rate risk represented by its floating rate debt is material as of that date in relation to the approximately \$978.9 million of outstanding total debt of the Company, the approximately \$2.6 billion of total assets of the Company and the approximately \$2.9 billion market capitalization of the Company s common stock as of that date. In addition, as discussed below, the Company has fixed \$75.0 million of floating rate borrowings through the use of an interest rate swap.

The Company was a party to one hedging agreement with respect to its floating rate debt as of December 31, 2001. On October 11, 2000, the Company entered into a two-year swap agreement with Fleet National Bank relating to \$125 million of the Company s variable rate debt. As a result of the Company s sale of its garden apartment community portfolio, the swap agreement was reduced from \$125 million to \$75 million. The agreement effectively fixes the annual interest rate of this debt at a base rate of 6.67% plus applicable spreads associated with the Company s variable rate credit facilities. Hedging agreements enable the Company to convert floating rate liabilities into fixed rate liabilities. Hedging agreements expose the Company to the risk that the counterparties to such agreements may not perform, which could increase the Company s exposure to rising interest rates. Generally, however, the counterparties to hedging agreements that the Company enters into are major financial institutions. The Company may borrow additional money with floating interest rates in the future. Increases in interest rates, or the loss of the benefits of existing hedging agreements or any hedging agreements that the Company may enter into in the future, would increase the Company s interest expense, which would adversely affect cash flow and the ability of the Company to service its debt. Future decreases in interest rates will increase the Company s interest expense as compared to

the floating rate debt underlying the Company s hedging agreements and could result in the Company making payments to unwind such agreements.

If market rates of interest on the Company s variable rate debt increase by 10% (or approximately 70 basis points), the increase in annual interest expense on the Company s variable rate debt would decrease future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$0.6 million. If market rates of interest increase by 10%, the fair value of the Company s total outstanding debt would decrease by approximately \$7.0 million. If market rates of interest on the Company s variable rate debt decrease by 10% (or approximately 45 basis points), the decrease in interest expense on the Company s variable rate debt would increase future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$0.6 million. If market rates of interest decreased by 10%, the fair value of the Company s total outstanding debt would increase by approximately \$7.0 million.

As of December 31, 2001, the Company had notes receivable in the total amount of Canadian \$12.4 million (approximately U.S. \$7.8 million as of December 31, 2001). The Company does not believe that the foreign currency exchange risk associated with these loans is material. The Company had no other material exposure to market risk (including foreign currency exchange risk, commodity price risk or equity price risk) as of December 31, 2001.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Financial statements required by this item appear with an Index to Financial Statements and Schedules, starting on page F-1 of this report.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure Not applicable.

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

The information required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the Proxy Statement for the Annual Stockholders Meeting to be held in 2002 (the Proxy Statement) under the captions Proposal 1 Election of Directors, Executive Compensation and Other Information and Other Matters Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the Proxy Statement under the caption Executive Compensation and Other Information.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The information required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the Proxy Statement under the caption Voting Securities of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The information required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material appearing in the Proxy Statement under the caption Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.

PART IV

Item 14. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K

(a) Consolidated Financial Statements. The following documents are filed as a part of this report:

The response to this portion of Item 14 is submitted as a separate section of this report.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K filed during the three months ended December 31, 2001.

Form 8-K filed on October 5, 2001, containing Items 2 and 7 disclosure, and

Form 8-K filed on November 8, 2001, containing Items 7 and 9 disclosure.

- (c) Exhibits. The following documents are filed as exhibits to this report:
- *2.1 Agreement for Purchase of Real Estate and Related Property, dated as of May 10, 2001, by and between the Company and Coolidge-Koenmen LLC, filed as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 5, 2001.
- *2.2 Amendment to Agreement for Purchase of Real Estate and Related Property, dated as of July 30, 2001, by and between the Company and Coolidge-Koenmen LLC (Club Sales Contract Amendment), filed as Exhibit 2.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 5, 2001.
- *2.3 Amendment to Agreement for Purchase of Real Estate and Related Property, dated as of July 30, 2001, by and between the Company and Coolidge-Koenmen LLC, filed as Exhibit 2.3 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 5,2001.
- *2.4 Closing Date Amendment to Agreement for Purchase of Real Estate and Related Property, dated as of September 21, 2001, by and between the Company and Coolidge-Koenmen LLC, filed as Exhibit 2.4 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 5, 2001.
- *2.5 Purchase Agreement, dated as of January 13, 2002, by and among the Company, CenterAmerica Property Trust, L.P. and certain affiliates of CenterAmerica Property Trust, L.P., filed as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2002.
- *3.1 Articles of Amendment and Restatement of the Charter of the Company filed as Exhibit 3.01 to Amendment No. 1 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-3, File No. 33-59195.
- *3.2 Articles of Amendment of Articles of Amendment and Restatement of the Charter of the Company filed as Exhibit 4.4 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-3, File No. 333-65211.
- *3.3 Restated Bylaws of the Company, effective as of May 16, 2001 (incorporating all amendments thereto through May 16, 2001), filed as exhibit 3.2 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2001.
- 3.4 Amendment to Restated Bylaws of the Company, dated November 5, 2001.
- *4.1 Articles Supplementary classifying 4,600,000 shares of preferred stock as 8 1/2% Series A Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock filed as Exhibit 4.01 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 7, 1997.
- *4.2 Articles Supplementary classifying 690,000 shares of preferred stock as 8 5/8% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock filed as Exhibit 4.02 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 14, 1998.
- *4.3 Articles Supplementary relating to the Series C Junior Participating Preferred Stock of the Company, which may in the future be issued under the Company s Rights Plan filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 1998.
- *4.4 Articles Supplementary classifying 150,000 shares of preferred stock as 7.80% Series D Cumulative Voting Step-Up Premium Rate Preferred Stock filed as Exhibit 4.5 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-3, File No. 333-65211.

| *10.1 | Amended and Restated 1993 Stock Option Plan of the Company, dated May 28, 1998, filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the |
|--------|---|
| | Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-65223. |
| *10.2 | Amendment to the Amended and Restated 1993 Stock Option Plan of the Company, dated September 28, 1998, filed as |
| | Exhibit 10.4 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 1998. |
| *10.3 | Amendment to the Amended and Restated 1993 Stock Option Plan of the Company, dated February 8, 1999, filed as |
| | Exhibit 10.5 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 1998. |
| *10.4 | Amendment to the Amended and Restated 1993 Stock Option Plan of the Company, dated April 21, 1999, filed as |
| | Exhibit 10.4 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999. |
| *10.5 | Amendment to the Amended and Restated 1993 Stock Option Plan of the Company, dated February 17, 2000, filed as |
| | Exhibit 10.5 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999. |
| *10.6 | Directors Amended and Restated 1994 Stock Option Plan of the Company, dated May 10, 1996, filed as Exhibit 10.8 to |
| | the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 1998. |
| *10.7 | Amendment to the Amended and Restated 1994 Directors Stock Option Plan of the Company, dated September 28, 1998, |
| | filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 1998. |
| *10.8 | Amendment to the Amended and Restated 1994 Directors Stock Option Plan of the Company, dated February 17, 2000, |
| | filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999. |
| *10.9 | Amendment to the Amended and Restated 1994 Directors Stock Option Plan of the Company, effective as of May 24, |
| | 2000, filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2000. |
| *10.10 | New Plan Realty Trust 1997 Stock Option Plan filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8, |
| | File No. 333-65221. |
| *10.11 | New Plan Realty Trust 1991 Stock Option Plan, as amended, filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company s Registration Statement |
| | on Form S-8, File No. 333-65221. |
| *10.12 | Amended and Restated New Plan Realty Trust 1985 Incentive Stock Option Plan filed as Exhibit 4.3 to the Company s |
| | Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-65221. |
| *10.13 | New Plan Realty Trust March 1991 Stock Option Plan and Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan filed as Exhibit 4.4 to the |
| | Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-65221. |
| 10.14 | Credit Agreement (Facility I), dated as of October 22, 2001, by and among the Company, The Bank of New York, as |
| | administrative agent, Bank One, NA and Fleet National Bank, each as a co-documentation agent, Bank of America, as |
| | managing agent, and the lenders party thereto. |
| *10.15 | Amendment No. 1 to Credit Agreement (Facility I), dated as of December 27, 2001, by and among the Company, The |
| | Bank of New York, as administrative agent, and the lenders thereto, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Current Report |
| | on Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2001. |
| 10.16 | Guaranty (Facility I), dated as of October 22, 2001, by and among New Plan Realty Trust, Excel Realty Trust ST, Inc., |
| | ERT Development Corporation and The Bank of New York, as administrative agent. |
| *10.17 | Credit Agreement (Facility II), dated as of November 17, 1999, by and among New Plan Excel Realty Trust, Inc., the |
| | lenders party thereto, The Bank of New York, as administrative agent, and Bank One, NA and BankBoston, N.A., each as |
| | co-documentation agent, filed as Exhibit 10.15 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended |
| | December 31, 1999. |
| | |

| *10.18 | Amendment No. 1 to Credit Agreement (Facility II), dated as of June 27, 2000, by and among the Company, the lenders party thereto, The Bank of New York, as administrative agent, and Bank One, NA and BankBoston, N.A., each as co-documentation agent, filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended |
|--------|---|
| *10.19 | June 30, 2000. Amendment No. 2 to Credit Agreement (Facility II), dated as of November 3, 2000, by and among the Company, the lenders party thereto, The Bank of New York, as administrative agent, and Bank One, NA and Fleet National Bank, f/k/a BankBoston, N.A., each as co-documentation agent, filed as Exhibit 10.21 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10K |
| *10.20 | for the year ended December 31, 2000. Amendment No. 3 to Credit Agreement (Facility II), dated as of May 9, 2001, by and among the Company, the lenders party thereto, The Bank of New York, as administrative agent, and Bank One, NA and Fleet National Bank, f/k/a BankBoston, N.A., each as a co-documentation agent, filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company s Quarterly Report on |
| *10.21 | Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2001. Letter Agreement, dated as of July 27, 2001, between the Company and The Bank of New York, as administrative agent, concerning the credit agreements, each dated as of November 17, 1999, as amended, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001. |
| *10.22 | Amendment No. 4 to Credit Agreement (Facility II), dated as of December 27, 2001, by and among the Company, The Bank of New York, as administrative agent, and the lenders party thereto, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2002. |
| *10.23 | Guaranty (Facility II), dated as of November 17, 1999, by and among New Plan Realty Trust, Excel Realty Trust ST, Inc. and The Bank of New York, as administrative agent, filed as Exhibit 10.16 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999. |
| 10.24 | Guaranty (Facility II), dated as of October 22, 2001, by and between ERT Development Corporation and The Bank of New York, as administrative agent. |
| *10.25 | Indenture, dated as of May 8, 1995, between the Company and State Street Bank and Trust Company of California, N.A. (as successor to the First National Bank of Boston) filed as Exhibit 4.01 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-3, File No. 33-59195. |
| *10.26 | First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 4, 1997, between the Company and State Street Bank and Trust Company of California, N.A. filed as Exhibit 4.02 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-3, File No. 333-24615. |
| *10.27 | Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 3, 1997, between the Company and State Street Bank and Trust Company of California, N.A. filed as Exhibit 4.01 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 3, 1997. |
| *10.28 | Senior Securities Indenture, dated as of March 29, 1995, between New Plan Realty Trust and The First National Bank of Boston, as Trustee filed as Exhibit 4.2 to New Plan Realty Trust s Registration Statement on Form S-3, File No. 33-60045. |
| *10.29 | First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 5, 1999, by and among New Plan Realty Trust, New Plan Excel Realty Trust, Inc. and State Street Bank and Trust Company filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1999. |
| *10.30 | Senior Securities Indenture, dated as of February 3, 1999, among the Company, New Plan Realty Trust, as guarantor, and State Street Bank and Trust Company, as Trustee, filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 3, 1999. |
| *10.31 | Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Excel Realty Partners, L.P., dated as of June 25, 1997, filed as Exhibit 10.20 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1997. |

| *10.32 | First Amendment to Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Excel Realty Partners, L.P., dated as of August 20, 1999, by and among New Plan DRP Trust, New Plan Excel Realty Trust, Inc. and the current and future partners in the partnership filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1999. |
|--------|--|
| *10.33 | Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated May 14, 1998, as amended as of August 7, 1998, among the Company, ERT Merger Sub, Inc. and New Plan Realty Trust filed, as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-4, File No. 333-61131. |
| *10.34 | Rights Agreement, dated as of May 15, 1998, between the Company and BankBoston, N.A., filed as Exhibit 4 to the Company s Report on Form 8-A dated May 19, 1998. |
| *10.35 | First Amendment to Rights Agreement, dated as of February 8, 1999, between the Company and BankBoston, N.A. filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Report on Form 8-A/A (Amendment No. 1) dated May 5, 1999. |
| *10.36 | Dividend Reinvestment and Share Purchase Plan, included in the prospectus of the Company filed pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3), File No. 333-65211, on April 20, 2000. |
| *10.37 | Employment Agreement, dated as of September 17, 1998, by and between the Company and William Newman, filed as Exhibit 10.39 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 1998. |
| *10.38 | Employment Agreement, dated as of February 23, 2000, by and between the Company and Glenn J. Rufrano, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 9, 2000. |
| *10.39 | Employment Agreement, dated as of April 14, 2000, by and between the Company and John Roche, filed as Exhibit 10.15 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2000. |
| *10.40 | Employment Agreement, dated as of September 14, 2000, by and between the Company and Leonard Brumberg, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2000. |
| *10.41 | Employment Agreement, dated as of September 25, 1998, by and between the Company and Dean Bernstein, filed as Exhibit 10.39 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000. |
| 10.42 | Extension Letter concerning Employment Agreement, dated March 27, 2000, provided by the Company to Dean Bernstein. |
| *10.43 | Employment Agreement, dated as of September 25, 1998, by and between the Company and Steven F. Siegel, filed as Exhibit 10.45 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 1998. |
| 10.44 | Extension Letter concerning Employment Agreement, dated March 27, 2000, provided by the Company to Steven F. Siegel. |
| *10.45 | Support Agreement, dated as of May 14, 1998, by William Newman to the Company, filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-4, File No. 333-61131, dated August 11, 1998. |
| *10.46 | Agreement, dated as of February 23, 2000, by and between the Company and Arnold Laubich, filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 9, 2000. |
| *10.47 | Agreement, dated as of May 5, 2000, by and between the Company and James M. Steuterman, filed as Exhibit 10.16 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2000. |
| *10.48 | Agreement, dated as of December 19, 2000, by and between the Company and James DeCicco, filed as Exhibit 10.44 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000. |
| 10.49 | Loan Agreement, dated as of November 30, 2001, by and among BPR Shopping Center, L.P., the Bank of America, N.A., the Company and the other parties thereto. |

| *10.50 | Term Loan Agreement, dated as of May 9, 2001, by and among the Company, the lenders party thereto and Fleet National Bank, as administrative agent, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2001. |
|--------|--|
| *10.51 | Amendment No. 1 to Term Loan Agreement, dated as of September 6, 2001, among the Company, the lenders party thereto and Fleet National Bank, as administrative agent, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001. |
| *10.52 | Amendment No. 2 to Term Loan Agreement, dated as of December 27, 2001, by and among the Company, Fleet National Bank, as administrative agent, and the lenders party thereto, filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2002. |
| *10.53 | Guaranty, dated as of May 9, 2001, by and among New Plan Realty Trust, Excel Realty Trust ST, Inc. and Fleet National Bank, as administrative agent, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2001. |
| *10.54 | Stock Option Agreement, dated as of February 23, 2000, by and between the Company and Glenn J. Rufrano (relating to 460,976 options), filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 9, 2000. |
| *10.55 | Stock Option Agreement, dated as of February 23, 2000, by and between the Company and Glenn J. Rufrano (relating to 39,024 options), filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 9, 2000. |
| *10.56 | Stock Option Agreement, dated as of February 23, 2000, by and between the Company and Glenn J. Rufrano (relating to 200,000 options), filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 9, 2000. |
| *10.57 | Stock Option Agreement, dated as of February 23, 2000, by and between the Company and Glenn J. Rufrano (relating to 515,121 options), filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 9, 2000. |
| *10.58 | Recourse Promissory Note, dated February 23, 2000, made by Glenn J. Rufrano in favor of the Company, filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 9, 2000. |
| *10.59 | Limited Recourse Promissory Note, dated February 23, 2000, made by Glenn J. Rufrano in favor of the Company, filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 9, 2000. |
| *10.60 | Stock Pledge Agreement, dated February 23, 2000 between the Company and Glenn J. Rufrano, filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 9, 2000. |
| 10.61 | Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of August 24, 2001, between the Company and Fleet National Bank. |
| 12 | Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends. |
| 21 | Subsidiaries of the Company. |
| 23 | Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. |

^{*} Incorporated herein by reference as above indicated.

The response to this portion of Item 14 is submitted as a separate section of this report.

⁽d) Financial Statement Schedules. The following documents are filed as a part of this report:

NEW PLAN REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | | Page |
|----|---|------|
| 1. | CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS | |
| | Report of Independent Accountants | F-2 |
| | Consolidated Balance Sheets | |
| | December 31, 2001 and December 31, 2000 | F-3 |
| | Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the Years | |
| | ended December 31, 2001, December 31, 2000 and December 31, 1999 | F-4 |
| | Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders Equity for the Years ended | |
| | December 31, 2001, December 31, 2000 and December 31, 1999 | F-5 |
| | Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years ended December 31, | |
| | 2001, December 31, 2000 and December 31, 1999 | F-6 |
| | Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | F-7 |
| 2. | CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES: | |
| | Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts | F-30 |
| | Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation | F-31 |
| | Schedule IV Mortgage Loans on Real Estate | F-53 |
| | | |

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders

of New Plan Excel Realty Trust, Inc.:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of New Plan Excel Realty Trust, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedules listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company s management; our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedules based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

New York, New York February 22, 2002, except as to Note 27, as to which the date is March 1, 2002.

F-2

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

December 31, 2001 and 2000 (In thousands)

ASSETS

| | December 31, 2001 | December 31, 2000 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Real estate: | | |
| Land | \$ 498,859 | \$ 463,602 |
| Buildings and improvements | 2,184,787 | 1,989,029 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (269,755) | (218,638) |
| Net real estate | 2,413,891 | 2,233,993 |
| Real estate held for sale | 20,747 | 9,104 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 7,163 | 1,170 |
| Marketable securities | 1,887 | 1,531 |
| Receivables: | , | , |
| Trade, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$15,633 and | | |
| \$12,816 at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively | 43,555 | 43,454 |
| Other | 8,736 | 11,620 |
| Mortgages and notes receivable | 45,360 | 58,553 |
| Prepaid expenses and deferred charges | 15,964 | 9,320 |
| Assets in discontinued operations | 13,904 | 346,779 |
| • | | |
| Investment in and loans to ERT Development Corporation | 41.076 | 170,004 |
| Investments in unconsolidated ventures | 41,876 | 0.002 |
| Other assets | 23,687 | 8,903 |
| m . I | Φ2.622.066 | Φ2 004 421 |
| Total assets | \$2,622,866 | \$2,894,431 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHO | LDERS EOUITY | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Mortgages payable, including unamortized premium of \$6,063 and \$7,753 at December 31, 2001 and 2000, | | |
| respectively | \$ 241,436 | \$ 328,803 |
| Notes payable, net of unamortized discount of \$1,752 and | | |
| \$2,008 at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively | 613,248 | 612,992 |
| Credit facilities | 95,000 | 243,750 |
| Capital leases | 29,170 | 29,431 |
| Other liabilities | 122,674 | 92,145 |
| Tenant security deposits | 5,833 | 7,791 |
| Total liabilities | 1,107,361 | 1,314,912 |
| Total habilities | 1,107,501 | 1,314,912 |
| Minority interest in consolidated partnership | 22,267 | 23,909 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, Series A: \$.01 par value, 25,000 shares authorized: 4,600 shares designated as 8 1/2% Series A Cumulative Convertible Preferred, 1,507 shares outstanding at December 31, 2001 and 2000; Series B: 6,300 depositary | 23 | 23 |
| | | |

shares, each representing 1/10 of one share of 8 5/8% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred, 630 shares outstanding at December 31, 2001 and 2000; Series D: 1,500 depositary shares, each representing 1/10 of one share of Series D Cumulative Voting Step-Up Premium Rate Preferred, 150 shares outstanding at December 31, 2001 and Common stock, \$.01 par value, 250,000 shares authorized; 87,352 and 87,320 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively 873 873 1,695,994 Additional paid-in capital 1,697,570 Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income (1,965)555 Accumulated distribution in excess of net income (203,263)(141,835)1,555,610 Total stockholders equity 1,493,238 Total liabilities and stockholders equity \$2,622,866 \$2,894,431

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

For the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 (In thousands, except per share amounts)

| | December 31, 2001 | December 31, 2000 | December 31, 1999 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Rental revenues: | | | |
| Rental income | \$270,244 | \$272,108 | \$276,407 |
| Percentage rents | 7,139 | 7,431 | 5,850 |
| Expense reimbursements | 61,026 | 55,436 | 54,747 |
| Total rental revenues | 338,409 | 334,975 | 337,004 |
| Expenses: | | | |
| Operating costs | 56,698 | 54,062 | 53,965 |
| Real estate and other taxes | 35,424 | 36,109 | |
| Interest | 78,779 | 88,352 | 32,788 77,802 |
| | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization Provision for doubtful accounts | 57,615 6,453 | 55,364 4,372 | 54,199 5,268 |
| | | | |
| Severance costs | 896 | 4,945 | 8,497 |
| General and administrative | 10,318 | 7,509 | 6,665 |
| | | | |
| Total expenses | 246,183 | 250,713 | 239,184 |
| | | | |
| Income before real estate sales, impairment of real estate, | | | |
| minority interest and other income and expenses | 92,226 | 84,262 | 97,820 |
| Other income and expenses: | | | |
| Interest, dividend, and other income | 13,990 | 30,427 | 26,041 |
| Equity participation in ERT | (4,313) | (17,867) | (3,169) |
| Equity in income of unconsolidated ventures | 985 | | |
| Foreign currency (loss) gain | (560) | (437) | 674 |
| Gain on sale of real estate | 1,610 | 9,200 | 7,956 |
| Impairment of real estate | (13,107) | (3,620) | |
| Minority interest in income of consolidated partnership | (848) | (952) | (1,299) |
| Income from continuing operations | 89,983 | 101,013 | 128,023 |
| | | | |
| Discontinued operations: | | | |
| Income from discontinued operations of garden apartments | 13,679 | 21,310 | 21,490 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations | 1,500 | | |
| | | | |
| Net income before extraordinary item | 105,162 | 122,323 | 149,513 |
| Extraordinary item | | 758 | |
| | | | |
| Net income | 105,162 | 123,081 | 149,513 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities | 358 | 341 | (512) |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle (SFAS 133) | | 0.1 | (8.12) |
| on other comprehensive income | (2,124) | | |
| Unrealized derivative loss on interest rate swap | (754) | | |
| 2 2 Constant of Tools on Microsoft Take Strup | (751) | | |

Edgar Filing: NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST INC - Form 10-K

| Comprehensive income | \$102,642 | \$123,422 | \$149,001 |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| | | | |
| Net income available to common stock basic | \$ 82,523 | \$100,446 | \$126,736 |
| | | , | , |
| Net income available to common stock diluted | \$ 83,371 | \$101,398 | \$128,035 |
| The meone available to common stock—unuted | \$ 63,371 | Ψ101,376 | Ψ120,033 |
| | <u> </u> | | |
| Basic earnings per common share: | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.77 | \$ 0.90 | \$ 1.19 |
| Discontinued operations | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations | 0.02 | | |
| Extraordinary item | | 0.01 | |
| | | | |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.95 | \$ 1.15 | \$ 1.43 |
| | | | |
| Diluted earnings per common share: | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.77 | \$ 0.89 | \$ 1.18 |
| Discontinued operations | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations | 0.02 | | |
| Extraordinary item | | 0.01 | |
| • | | | |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.94 | \$ 1.14 | \$ 1.42 |
| | | | |
| Average shares outstanding basic | 87,241 | 87,608 | 88,662 |
| Tretage shares outstanding busic | 07,211 | 07,000 | 00,002 |
| Avenues shows systemating diluted | 88 700 | 00 051 | 00.440 |
| Average shares outstanding diluted | 88,799 | 88,951 | 90,440 |
| | | | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

For the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 (In thousands)

Shares of Beneficial Interest/ Accumulated Accumulated Preferred Stock Common Stock Additional Other **Distributions** Total Paid-in Comprehensive Stockholders in Excess of Number Amount Number Amount Capital Income **Net Income Equity** \$1,662,242 Balance at December 31, 1998 2,905 \$ 29 88,384 \$884 \$1,735,207 \$ 726 \$ (74,604) 149,513 149,513 Net income Dividends (172,688)(172,688)Dividend reinvestment 907 9 17,155 17,164 Exercise of stock options 1 1,334 1,335 66 Shares repurchased and retired (2,457)(25)(45,510)(45,535)Conversion of preferred shares (618)(6) 655 6 Unrealized holding loss on marketable securities (512)(512)875 Balance at December 31, 1999 2,287 23 87,555 1,708,186 214 (97,779)1,611,519 Net income 123,081 123,081 Dividends (167, 137)(167, 137)Exercise of stock options 6,595 515 5 6,600 Shares repurchased and retired (750)(7) (10,784)(10,791)Employee loans (8,003)(8,003)Unrealized holding gain on marketable securities 341 341 Balance at December 31, 2000 2,287 23 87,320 873 1,695,994 555 (141,835)1,555,610 Net income 105,162 105,162 Dividends (166,590)(166,590)2,033 2,034 Exercise of stock options 135 Shares repurchased and retired (119)(1) (1,598)(1,599)Employee loans 860 860 Redemption of limited partner units for shares of common stock 16 281 281 Unrealized holding gain on marketable securities 358 358 Unrealized derivative gain (loss) on interest rate swap (754)(754)Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle (2,124)(2,124)Balance at December 21, 2001 2,287 \$ 23 87,352 \$873 \$1,697,570 \$(1,965) \$(203,263) \$1,493,238

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS For the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 (In thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, 2001 | Year Ended December 31, 2000 | Year Ended December 31, 1999 |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| ash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 105,162 | \$ 123,081 | \$ 149,513 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operations: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 66,283 | 64,499 | 62,912 |
| Amortization of net premium/discount on mortgages and notes | | .,,.,, | , |
| payable | (1,303) | (1,153) | (3,547) |
| Amortization of deferred debt and loan acquisition costs | 1,730 | 1,035 | (=,= : /) |
| Foreign currency loss (gain) | 560 | 437 | (674) |
| (Gain)/loss on sale of real estate and securities, net | (1,610) | (9,200) | (7,956) |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations | (1,500) | (-,, | (-,) |
| Minority interest in income of partnership | 848 | 952 | 1,299 |
| Extraordinary item | | (758) | -, |
| Impairment of real estate assets | 13,107 | 3,620 | |
| Equity in (income)/loss of unconsolidated ventures | (985) | 17,867 | 3,169 |
| Write-off of investment in Eversave | 249 | 27,007 | 2,200 |
| Change in investment in and accrued interest on loans to ERT | 2.17 | | |
| Development Corporation | 2,337 | (11,185) | (10,977) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net: | 2,337 | (11,103) | (10,577) |
| Change in trade and notes receivable | 2,419 | (12,223) | (6,803) |
| Change in other receivables | 2,093 | (3,531) | (7,739) |
| Change in other liabilities | 8,001 | 4,646 | (1,950) |
| Change in sundry assets and liabilities | (10,657) | 1,245 | (11,392) |
| Change in sundry assets and information | (10,037) | 1,213 | (11,372) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 186,734 | 179,332 | 165,855 |
| | | | |
| ash flows from investing activities: | (110.001) | (22.742) | (55.710) |
| Real estate acquisitions and building improvements | (112,821) | (33,742) | (55,719) |
| Proceeds from real estate sales, net | 413,195 | 52,253 | 28,350 |
| Leasing commissions paid | (1,811) | (4.600) | (1.4.070) |
| Advances for mortgage notes receivable, net | (2,323) | (4,609) | (14,373) |
| Loans to ERT Development Corporation | 12,335 | (39,324) | (28,845) |
| Purchase of ERT Development Corporation common stock | (435) | | |
| Cash acquired from purchase of ERT Development Corporation | 543 | 12.024 | |
| Repayments from ERT Development Corporation | 5 450 | 13,034 | 5.510 |
| Repayments of mortgage notes receivable | 5,452 | 2,616 | 5,713 |
| Sales of marketable securities | | | 84 |
| Purchases of marketable securities | | | (2) |
| Purchase of minority interest | | | (22,415) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 314,135 | (9,772) | (87,207) |
| ach flows from financing activities | | | |
| ash flows from financing activities: | | | 224,000 |
| Proceeds from issuing notes | (160 (57) | (100.077) | 224,000 |
| Principal payments of mortgages and notes payable | (169,657) | (108,877) | (98,850) |
| Dividends paid | (166,594) | (167,043) | (166,443) |

| Financing fees paid | (1,353) | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Proceeds from mortgages payable | (-,) | 48,000 | |
| Minority interest distributions paid | (2,149) | (2,143) | (3,249) |
| Proceeds from dividend reinvestment plan | | | 17,164 |
| Repayment of credit facility, net | (148,750) | 55,030 | (11,780) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 2,033 | 6,600 | 1,335 |
| Payments for the repurchase of common stock | (1,598) | (10,791) | (43,942) |
| Repayment of loans receivable for the purchase of common stock | 861 | | |
| | | | |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (494,876) | (179,224) | (81,765) |
| | | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 5,993 | (9,664) | (3,117) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 1,170 | 10,834 | 13,951 |
| | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 7,163 | \$ 1,170 | \$ 10,834 |
| 1 3 | . , | . , | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Description of Business

New Plan Excel Realty Trust, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) is operated as a self-administered, self-managed real estate investment trust (REIT). As a result of the discontinued operations resulting from the sale of the Company signarden apartment communities during 2001, the principal business of the Company is the ownership and operation of retail properties throughout the United States.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, its wholly owned subsidiaries and Excel Realty Partners, L.P. (ERP), a Delaware limited partnership (Note 17). All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated. Until June 30, 2001, the Company used the equity method to account for its investment in ERT Development Corporation (ERT), a Delaware corporation (Note 9). Subsequent to that date ERT has been accounted for as a fully consolidated entity. As a result of the consolidation of ERT on July 1, 2001, the Company now has direct equity investments in Vail Ranch II and The Centre at Preston Ridge joint venture projects.

Net Earnings per Share of Common Stock

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 128, Earnings per Share, the Company presents both basic and diluted earnings per share. Net earnings per common share (basic EPS) is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Net earnings per common share assuming dilution (diluted EPS) is computed giving effect to all dilutive potential common shares that were outstanding during the period. Dilutive potential common shares consist of the incremental common shares issuable upon the conversion of convertible preferred stock (using the if converted method), exercise of stock options and upon conversion of ERP limited partnership interests for all periods.

Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents consist of short-term, highly liquid debt instruments with maturities of three months or less at acquisition. Items classified as cash equivalents include insured bank certificates of deposit and commercial paper. At times, cash balances at a limited number of banks may exceed insurable amounts. The Company believes it mitigates its risk by investing in or through major financial institutions.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable is stated net of the allowance for doubtful accounts of \$15.6 million and \$12.8 million as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

Real Estate

Land, buildings and building and tenant improvements are recorded at cost and stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Major replacements and betterments, which improve or extend the life of the asset, are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives; ordinary repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred.

NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Properties are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The estimated useful lives are as follows:

| Buildings | 35 to 40 years |
|-----------------------|---|
| Building improvements | 5 to 40 years |
| Tenant improvements | The shorter of the term of the related lease or useful life |

Long-Lived Assets

On a periodic basis, management assesses whether there are any indicators that the value of its real estate properties may be impaired. A property s value is impaired only if management s estimate of the aggregate future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) to be generated by the property are less than the carrying value of the property. Such cash flows consider factors such as expected future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of demand, competition and other economic factors. To the extent impairment has occurred, the loss will be measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the property over the fair value of the property.

When assets are identified by management as held for sale, the Company discontinues depreciating the assets and estimates the sales price, net of selling costs, of such assets. If, in management s opinion, the net sales price of the assets which have been identified for sale is less than the net book value of the assets, a valuation allowance is established. For investments accounted for under the equity method, a loss is recognized if the loss in value of the investment is other than temporary.

Employee Loans

The Company has made loans to officers, directors and employees primarily for the purpose of purchasing common shares of the Company. These loans are demand and term notes bearing interest at rates ranging from 5% to 10%. Interest is payable quarterly. Loans made for the purchase of common shares are reported as a deduction from stockholders equity. At December 31, 2001 and 2000, the Company had aggregate loans to employees of approximately \$7.1 million and \$8.0 million, respectively.

Investments in Unconsolidated Ventures

As a result of the consolidation of ERT on July 1, 2001, the Company now has direct equity investments in Vail Ranch II and The Centre at Preston Ridge joint venture projects. The Company accounts for these investments in unconsolidated ventures under the equity method of accounting, as the Company exercises significant influence over, but does not control, these entities. These investments are initially recorded at cost, as Investments in Unconsolidated Ventures, and subsequently adjusted for equity in earnings and cash contributions and distributions.

Deferred Leasing and Loan Acquisition Costs

Costs incurred in obtaining tenant leases are amortized on the straight-line method over the terms of the related leases and included in depreciation and amortization. Unamortized deferred leasing costs are charged to amortization expense upon early termination of the lease. Costs incurred in obtaining long-term financing are amortized on a straight-line basis and charged to interest expense over the terms of the related debt agreements, which approximates the effective interest method.

NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Revenue Recognition

Rental revenue is recognized on the straight-line basis, which averages minimum rents over the terms of the leases. Certain of the leases provide for percentage rents based upon the level of sales achieved by the lessee. These percentage rents are recorded once the required sales level is achieved. The leases also typically provide for tenant reimbursement of common area maintenance and other operating expenses. Rental income also includes lease termination fees.

Income Taxes

The Company has elected to be treated as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). In order to maintain its qualification as a REIT, among other things, as of December 31, 2001, the Company was required to distribute at least 90% of its REIT taxable income to its stockholders and meet certain tests regarding the nature of its income and assets. As a REIT, the Company is not subject to federal income tax with respect to that portion of its income which meets certain criteria and is distributed annually to the stockholders. Accordingly, no provision for federal income taxes is included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The Company plans to continue to operate so that it meets the requirements for taxation as a REIT. Many of these requirements, however, are highly technical and complex. If the Company were to fail to meet these requirements, the Company would be subject to Federal income tax. The Company is subject to certain state and local taxes. Provision for such taxes has been included in real estate and other taxes in the Company s consolidated statement of income.

Effective January 1, 2001, the Company may elect to treat one or more of its existing or newly created corporate subsidiaries as a taxable REIT subsidiary (TRS). In general, a TRS of the Company may perform additional services for tenants of the Company and generally may engage in any real estate or non-real estate related business (except for the operation or management of health care facilities or lodging facilities or the provision to any person, under a franchise, license or otherwise, of rights to any brand name under which any lodging facility or health care facility is operated). A TRS is subject to corporate federal income tax. The Company has elected to treat certain of its existing and newly created corporate subsidiaries as TRS s.

Segment Information

As a result of the discontinued operations, the principal business of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries is the ownership, development and operation of retail shopping centers. The Company does not distinguish or group its operations on a geographical basis for purposes of measuring performance. Accordingly, the Company believes it has a single reportable segment for disclosure purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Further, all operations are within the United States and no tenant comprises more than 10% of revenues.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The most significant assumptions and estimates relate to impairments of real estate, recovery of mortgage notes and trade accounts receivable and depreciable lives.

Reclassifications

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform with the current year presentation.

NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In June 2001, FASB issued Statement 141, *Business Combination* (FAS 141). This Statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for business combinations and supersedes APB Opinion No. 16, *Business Combinations*, and FASB Statement No. 38, *Accounting for Preacquisition Contingencies of Purchased Enterprises*. All business combinations in the scope of this Statement are to be accounted for using one method, the purchase method. This statement is effective June 30, 2001 and did not have a material impact on the financial statements.

In June 2001, FASB issued Statement 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* (FAS 142). This Statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for acquired goodwill and other intangible assets and supersedes APB Opinion No. 17, *Intangible Assets*. It addresses how intangible assets that are acquired individually or with a group of other assets (but not those acquired in a business combination) should be accounted for in financial statements upon their acquisition. This Statement also addresses how goodwill and other intangible assets should be accounted for after they have been initially recognized in the financial statements. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. The Company does not expect the impact of the adoption of this statement to be material.

In October 2001, FASB issued Statement 144, Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of (FAS 144). This statement addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment of long-lived assets and for long-lived assets to be disposed of. This Statement supersedes FASB Statement No. 121, Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of. However, this Statement retains the fundamental provisions of Statement 121 for (a) recognition and measurement of the impairment of long-lived assets to be held and used and (b) measurement of long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale. This Statement also supersedes the accounting and reporting provisions of APB Opinion No. 30, Reporting the Results of Operations Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions, for segments of a business to be disposed of. However, this Statement retains the requirement of Opinion 30 to report discontinued operations separately from continuing operations, extends that reporting to a component of an entity that either has been disposed of (by sale, by abandonment, or in a distribution to owners) or is classified as held for sale and requires restatement of comparative prior periods. This Statement also amends ARB No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements, to eliminate the exception to consolidation for a temporarily controlled subsidiary. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. The Company does not expect the impact of the adoption of this statement to be material.

3. Discontinued Operations

On September 21, 2001, pursuant to an agreement dated May 11, 2001, the Company and a private investor group comprised of Houlihan-Parnes Realtors, LLC and C.L.K. Management Corp. (Houlihan/C.L.K.) consummated the sale by the Company of its garden apartment community portfolio (excluding one apartment community which was under contract to be sold separately to a third party) to Houlihan/C.L.K. The one remaining apartment community (The Club Apartments) was sold to the Homewood City Board of Education of Homewood, Alabama on September 28, 2001.

As consideration for the entire portfolio, the Company received gross proceeds of approximately \$380 million. In connection with the garden apartment community portfolio transaction, the Company extended a letter of credit in the amount of approximately \$31 million, which has a term of three years (subject to the right of Houlihan/ C.L.K. to terminate or reduce the amount thereof after 18 months or, alternatively, to extend the term for one additional year), and for which the Company will receive a nine percent fee while the letter of credit remains outstanding. The Company also received a one percent commitment fee. At December 31, 2001, there were no amounts drawn under the letter of credit.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

After costs associated with the disposition of the garden apartment community portfolio, the gain on sale was \$18.5 million. Approximately \$1.5 million of the gain has been recognized currently, with the balance to be recognized as a function of the reduction of the Company s exposure under the letter of credit. The deferred gain of \$17.0 million is included in other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet. Accordingly, the assets and operating results of the garden apartment communities have been reclassified and reported as discontinued operations.

Included in the Consolidated Statement of Income are the discontinued operations of garden apartment communities which are summarized as follows (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2001 | Year Ended December 31, 2000 | Year Ended December 31, 1999 |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Rental revenue | \$56,063 | \$76,288 | \$77,477 |
| | | | |
| Operating costs | 25,063 | 34,617 | 36,647 |
| Real estate and other taxes | 4,039 | 6,210 | 6,141 |
| Interest expense | 4,899 | 4,563 | 3,610 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 7,947 | 9,135 | 8,713 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 436 | 453 | 876 |
| | | | |
| Total operating costs | 42,384 | 54,978 | 55,987 |
| Income from discontinued operations of | | | |
| garden apartment Communities | 13,679 | 21,310 | 21,490 |
| | | | |
| Gain on sales of discontinued operations | 1,500 | | |
| | | | |
| Net income from discontinued operations of | | | |
| garden apartment Communities | \$15,179 | \$21,310 | \$21,490 |
| | | | , |

The Company has allocated interest to its discontinued operations in accordance with EITF 87-24. Such interest includes (i) garden apartment portfolio mortgage interest for all periods and (ii) interest on a \$50 million portion of the credit facilities subsequent to October 1, 2000, when an interest rate swap was entered into in contemplation of a possible sale of the portfolio.

Included in the December 31, 2000 Consolidated Balance Sheet are net assets of the discontinued operations of garden apartment communities which include (in thousands):

| Assets | December 31, 2000 |
|---|-------------------|
| Land | \$ 68,638 |
| Buildings and improvements | 321,007 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization | (42,866) |
| | |
| Assets in discontinued operations | \$346,779 |
| | |

4. Acquisitions and Dispositions

In fiscal 2001, the Company acquired two properties, Arapahoe Crossings and the Stein Mart Center. The Arapahoe Crossings shopping center was acquired from The Ellman Companies for approximately \$48 million in cash and the satisfaction of \$13.6 million of notes receivable and accrued interest. Arapahoe Crossings is a 426,000 square foot grocery-anchored community shopping center located in Aurora, Colorado, southeast of Denver, which is in the final phase of development. Tenants include King Soopers (a division of The Kroger Co.), Kohl s, Borders, Marshalls, OfficeMax and Old Navy. The Stein Mart Center, a 112,400 square foot shopping center located in Poway, California, was acquired from one of the Company s former joint venture partners, in consideration for \$4.9 million of notes receivable and interest due to ERT.

During 2001, the Company sold its garden apartment portfolio for gross proceeds of approximately \$380 million. The gain from the apartment sales was \$18.5 million, of which approximately \$1.5 million was

F-11

NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

recognized and recorded in Gain on sale of discontinued operations (Note 3) in the Company s 2001 Statement of Income. During 2001, the Company also sold 26 properties, seven land parcels and one outparcel for aggregate gross proceeds of \$49.8 million. The gain from these sales was approximately \$1.6 million and is included in Gain on sale of real estate in the Company s 2001 Statement of Income.

In fiscal 2000, the Company acquired two properties, Burdine s at Clearwater Mall and Dover Park Plaza. The 10.4 acre Burdine s store site, located in Clearwater, Florida, was acquired for approximately \$4.8 million. Dover Park Plaza, a 60,000 square foot shopping center located in Yardville, New Jersey, was acquired for approximately \$3.3 million. At the time of purchase, this property was anchored by Acme Markets and CVS and was 100% leased.

During 2000, the Company sold 12 properties, including seven shopping centers, four single tenant properties and one commercial property, for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$57.5 million. The gain from the sales was approximately \$9.2 million and is included in Gain on sale of real estate in the Company s 2000 Statement of Income.

In fiscal 1999, the Company acquired five properties, Westland Crossing, Lakeside Plaza, Rising Sun Towne Center, Forest Hills II and Pavilions at East Lake. Westland Crossing, a 147,000 square foot community shopping center located in Westland, Michigan was acquired for approximately \$10.2 million. At the time of purchase, anchor tenants included Office Depot, Michaels Stores and Frank s Nursery & Crafts. Lakeside Plaza, an 82,000 square foot shopping center located in Salem, Virginia, was acquired for approximately \$6.4 million. At the time of purchase, this property was 100% leased. Rising Sun Towne Center, a 63,000 square foot shopping center located in Rising Sun, Maryland, was acquired for approximately \$5.5 million. Forest Hills II, a 176 unit apartment complex located in Columbia, South Carolina, was acquired for approximately \$4.3 million and Pavilions at East Lake, a 161,000 square foot shopping center located in Marietta, Georgia, was acquired for approximately \$14.0 million.

During 1999, the Company sold seven properties, including five shopping centers and two apartment properties, for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$36.6 million. The gain from the sales was approximately \$8.0 million and is included in Gain on sale of real estate in the Company s 1999 Statement of Income.

5. Accounting Change

Effective January 1, 2001, the Company adopted SFAS 133/138 Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS 133). This accounting standard requires the Company to measure derivatives, including certain derivatives embedded in other contracts, at fair value and to recognize them in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as an asset or liability, depending on the Company s rights or obligations under the applicable derivative contract. For derivatives designated as fair value hedges, the changes in the fair value of both the derivative instrument and the hedged item are recorded in earnings. For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portions of changes in fair value of the derivative are reported in other comprehensive income (OCI) and are subsequently reclassified into earnings when the hedged item affects earnings. Changes in fair value of derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments and ineffective portions of hedges are recognized in earnings in the current period.

The Company uses only qualifying hedges that are designated specifically to reduce exposure to interest rate risk by locking in the expected future cash payments on certain liabilities. This is accomplished using an interest rate swap, which has been designated as a cash flow hedge. At December 31, 2001, the Company s derivative instrument consisted of an interest rate swap agreement of \$75.0 million, which effectively fixes the annual interest rate of the Company s variable rate debt under the Company s credit facilities at a base rate of 6.67% plus applicable spread. As a result of the third quarter sale of its garden apartment communities (as

NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

described in Note 3), the Company s derivative instrument was reduced from its original \$125 million amount to \$75 million.

In connection with its adoption of SFAS 133 as of January 1, 2001, the Company recorded a cumulative transition adjustment of \$2.1 million in OCI and a corresponding amount of derivative instrument liability.

For the year ended December 31, 2001, the critical terms of the interest rate swap and the variable rate debt were the same, so no ineffectiveness was recorded in the consolidated financial statements. All components of the interest rate swap were included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness. The change in fair market value of the interest rate swap was \$0.8 million and was recorded in OCI. As of December 31, 2001, the Company expects to reclassify the remaining losses accumulated in OCI to earnings during the next twelve months.

6. Real Estate Held For Sale

As of December 31, 2001 the Company identified eight single tenant properties and three retail properties that were classified as Real estate held for sale. These properties are located in eight states and have an aggregate gross leasable area of approximately 486,000 square feet. Such properties had an aggregate book value of \$20.7 million, net of accumulated depreciation of \$2.1 million, and resulted in an impairment loss of \$7.1 million recorded in the year ended December 31, 2001. These properties contributed \$3.3 million in revenue and \$2.4 million in net income for the year ended December 31, 2001.

As of December 31, 2000, two single tenant properties and two retail properties were classified as Real estate held for sale. These properties were located in four states and had an aggregate gross leasable area of approximately 237,000 square feet. The estimated fair market value of these properties was less than their book value, resulting in an impairment loss of \$3.6 million recorded in the year ended December 31, 2000. These properties contributed \$1.3 million in revenue and \$0.6 million in net income for the year ended December 31, 2000.

As of December 31, 1999, the Company did not have any properties classified as Real estate held for sale .

The Company cannot provide assurance as to when, or if, sales of the properties held for sale will occur.

7. Marketable Securities

The Company has classified all investments in equity securities as available-for-sale. All investments are recorded at current market value with an offsetting adjustment to stockholders equity (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2001 | December 31, 2000 |
|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Cost basis | \$ 974 | \$ 976 |
| Unrealized holding gains | 913 | 555 |
| | | |
| Fair value | \$1,887 | \$1,531 |
| | | |

The weighted average method is used to determine realized gain or loss on securities sold. The fair value of marketable securities is based upon quoted market prices as of December 31, 2001 and 2000.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8. Mortgages, Notes and Other Receivables

The Company had the following mortgages and notes receivable (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2001 | December 31, 2000 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Notes from development companies, monthly interest from 10% to | | |
| 12% per annum. Maturity dates vary depending upon the | | |
| completion or sale of certain properties | \$29,336 | \$34,344 |
| Note from a development company, effective interest rate of 10%, | | |
| payable in Canadian dollars. Due May 2003. | 7,763 | 9,477 |
| Purchase money first mortgages, interest at 7.2% to 10%. Due 2002 | | |
| to 2003. | 4,419 | 12,121 |
| Leasehold mortgages, interest at 10% to 12%. Due 2008 to 2010. | 1,170 | 2,311 |
| Other | 2,672 | 300 |
| | | |
| Total | \$45,360 | \$58,553 |
| | | |

The Company has notes receivable in the total amount of Canadian \$12.4 million and Canadian \$14.2 million at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively (US \$7.8 million and US \$9.5 million at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively) from a Canadian company which used the proceeds to acquire a 50% joint venture interest in a mixed-use commercial building known as Atrium on the Bay, and an adjacent building in Toronto, Canada. The loan is collateralized by the Canadian company s interest in the joint venture. During 2001, Canadian \$1.8 million (US \$1.3 million) was repaid to the Company. Of this amount, US \$0.1 million was applied to accrued interest and US \$1.2 million was applied to the principal balance.

At December 31, 2001 and 2000, \$7.6 million and \$9.8 million, respectively, of the other receivables on the accompanying balance sheet represents interest and dividends receivable, most of which represents interest receivable related to notes from development companies. The Company has assessed its ability to collect these receivables and expects to realize interest and principal in accordance with the book value of the notes.

9. ERT Development Corporation

In 1995, ERT was organized to finance, acquire, develop, hold and sell real estate in the short-term for capital gains and/or to receive fee income. Until July 1, 2001, the Company owned 100% of the outstanding preferred shares of ERT and an officer and director of the Company owned all the common shares. The preferred shares are entitled to receive 95% of dividends, if any, and bear 100% of the losses. Cash requirements to facilitate ERT s transactions have primarily been obtained through borrowings from the Company. As of July 1, 2001, the Company purchased all of the common shares of ERT, and ERT is now a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. In 2001, ERT elected to become a taxable REIT subsidiary of the Company under the laws applicable to REITs.

Investment in and loans to ERT are comprised of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2000 |
|--|----------------------|
| Investment | (\$ 13,641) |
| Uncollateralized loans and accounts receivable | 69,393 |
| Collateralized loans receivable | 85,724 |

| Accrued interest | 28,528 |
|------------------|-----------|
| Total | \$170,004 |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Interest and principal payments from ERT to the Company are primarily received upon the completion of development projects. Interest receivable from ERT was \$28.5 million at December 31, 2000. Interest income recognized by the Company was \$18.5 million in 2000. In 2001 and 2000, the equity in the losses of ERT recorded by the Company was \$4.3 million and \$17.9 million, respectively.

Summary unaudited financial information for ERT is as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2000 |
|--|----------------------|
| Condensed Balance Sheets | |
| Mortgages, notes and interest receivable from developers, interest at 10% to 12% | \$ 61,339 |
| Real estate and other assets, net of depreciation | 202,153 |
| Total Assets | \$263,492 |
| Notes and accounts payable to New Plan Excel Realty Trust, Inc. | \$155,118 |
| Accrued interest payable to New Plan Excel Realty Trust, Inc. | 28,528 |
| Mortgages, construction and land loans | 83,650 |
| Other liabilities | 9,837 |
| Total liabilities | 277,133 |
| Total stockholders equity | (13,641) |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$263,492 |

| | Year Ended December 31, 2001 | Year Ended December 31, 2000 | Year Ended December 31, 1999 |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Condensed Statements of Income | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 12,873 | \$ 27,060 | \$ 20,107 |
| Interest expense to New Plan Excel Realty Trust, Inc. | (4,818) | (18,499) | (14,842) |
| Other expenses | (12,368) | (26,428) | (8,434) |
| | | | |
| Net loss | (\$ 4,313) | (\$ 17,867) | (\$ 3,169) |
| | | | |

The ERT condensed statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2001 only reflects six months of revenue and expense, as effective July 1, 2001, ERT has been consolidated with the Company.

Pointe Orlando Development Company, which has been consolidated with ERT since October 1, 1999, had a term loan with a balance of \$78.5 million at December 31, 2000, of which \$15.0 million was guaranteed by the Company. This loan was paid in full as of December 31, 2001. As of December 31, 2001, ERT also has an investment in joint venture partnerships related to a retail development project in Frisco, Texas (The Centre at Preston Ridge). The Centre at Preston Ridge had a construction loan which had an outstanding balance of \$51.6 million at December 31, 2000, of which \$11.0 million was guaranteed by the Company. The Centre at Preston Ridge also had a land loan which had an outstanding balance of \$22.7 million at December 31, 2000, all of which was guaranteed by the Company.

On October 2, 2000, ERT acquired ownership of two properties, Annie Land Plaza and New Market Shopping Center, from Wilton Partners, in exchange for notes and interest receivable due to ERT. In connection with the acquisition, ERT assumed mortgages on the properties in the amounts of \$2.4 million for Annie Land Plaza and \$2.8 million for New Market Shopping Center. The Company has guaranteed 100% of Annie Land Plaza as outstanding mortgage balance and 25% of New Market Shopping Center s outstanding mortgage balance. In July 2001, both Annie Land Plaza and New Market Shopping Center were both sold

F-15

NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

and the outstanding mortgage indebtedness was repaid. As a result of the sale, all loan guarantees were cancelled.

In addition, during 2000, Wilton Partners, one of ERT s joint venture partners, repaid in full to ERT approximately \$11.0 million of notes receivable and accrued and contingent interest. Also in 2000, ERT paid approximately \$1.2 million to Wilton Partners to acquire all of its management, development and ownership interests and rights in and to The Mall at 163rd Street.

On January 11, 2001, ERT acquired Stein Mart Center, a 112,400 square foot shopping center located in Poway, California, from Wilton Partners in consideration for \$4.9 million of notes receivable and interest due to ERT.

On May 18, 2001, The Ellman Companies repaid to ERT approximately \$18.9 million of outstanding notes receivable and accrued interest on Mesa Pavilions and The Groves. Approximately \$2.1 million of the proceeds consist of a note receivable secured by certain interests in the Superior Towne Center, a property for which the Company also holds a note receivable.

10. Pro Forma Financial Information (Unaudited and in thousands)

The following pro forma financial information for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 is presented as if the acquisition by the Company of 100% of the common stock of ERT had occurred on January 1, 2001 and 2000. In management s opinion, all adjustments necessary to reflect the effects of this transaction have been made.

This pro forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of what the actual results of operations of the Company would have been assuming such transactions had been completed as of January 1, 2001 and 2000, nor do they represent the results of operations of future periods.

| | December 31, 2001 | December 31, 2000 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Rental revenues | \$ 348,872 | \$ 355,995 |
| Expenses | (257,809) | (277,140) |
| Other income and expenses | (1,080) | 22,158 |
| • | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 89,983 | \$ 101,013 |

11. Investments in Unconsolidated Ventures

As a result of the consolidation of ERT on July 1, 2001, the Company acquired direct equity investments in Vail Ranch II and The Centre at Preston Ridge joint venture projects. Vail Ranch II, located in Temecula, California, is a 109,200 square foot community shopping center. The Centre at Preston Ridge, located in Frisco, Texas, is a 126 acre retail project being jointly developed by ERT and entities controlled by George W. Allen and Milton Schaffer. The Company accounts for these investments using the equity method.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Summary unaudited financial information for the Company s other investments is as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2001 |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Condensed Balance Sheets | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 6,421 |
| Receivables | 1,336 |
| Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation | 120,861 |
| Other assets, net of accumulated amortization | 42,034 |
| Total Assets | \$170,652 |
| Other liabilities | \$ 1,873 |
| Notes payable | 88,534 |
| Total liabilities | 90,407 |
| Total stockholders equity | 80,245 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$170,652 |
| Company s investment | \$ 41,876 |
| | Year Ended December 31, 2001 |
| Condensed Statements of Income | |
| Revenues | \$ 20,923 |
| Interest expense | (7,090) |
| Other expenses | (12,780) |
| Net income | \$ 1,053 |
| | |

¹ Includes preferred returns of \$325.

12. Mortgages Payable

In a few cases, the Company has made commitments to provide funds to joint ventures and third parties under certain circumstances or otherwise guaranteed obligations of others that do not show up on the Company s financial statements as liabilities. In other cases, the Company is a joint venture partner with third parties, and the liabilities associated with those joint ventures do not show up as liabilities on the Company s financial statements.

Mortgages are non-recourse and collateralized by real estate and an assignment of rents. Payments on mortgages are generally due in monthly installments of principal and interest. As of December 31, 2001, mortgages payable bear interest at rates ranging from 2.510% to 9.625%, having a weighted average of 7.8%

F-17

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

per annum and maturity dates from 2002 to 2015. The principal payments required to be made on mortgages payable are as follows (in thousands):

| Year | |
|--------------------------|-----------|
| 2002 | \$ 18,804 |
| 2003 | 34,753 |
| 2004 | 7,145 |
| 2005 | 48,399 |
| 2006 | 7,091 |
| Thereafter | 119,181 |
| Subtotal | 235,373 |
| Net unamortized premiums | 6,063 |
| Total | \$241,436 |
| | |

Under certain Company and joint venture non-recourse mortgage loans, under certain circumstances, the Company could be responsible for portions of the mortgage indebtedness in connection with certain customary non-recourse carve out provisions such as environmental conditions, misuse of funds and material misrepresentations.

13. Notes Payable (in thousands)

| Description | Face December 31, Amount Due Date 2001 | | December 31, 2000 | | |
|---|--|------|----------------------|-----------|--|
| 7.75% Senior notes, effective interest rate 7.95%, net of | | | | | |
| unamortized discount; December 31, 2001 and 2000 | | | | | |
| \$535 and \$670; respectively | \$100,000 | 2005 | \$ 99,465 | \$ 99,330 | |
| 6.80% Senior unsecured notes, effective interest rate 6.87%, net of unamortized discount; December 31, 2001 | | | | | |
| and 2000 \$47 and \$92; respectively | 81,000 | 2002 | 80,953 | 80,908 | |
| 6.875% Senior unsecured notes, effective interest rate | | | | | |
| 6.982% | 75,000 | 2004 | 75,000 | 75,000 | |
| 7.97% unsecured notes | 10,000 | 2026 | 10,000 | 10,000 | |
| 7.65% unsecured notes | 25,000 | 2026 | 25,000 | 25,000 | |
| 7.68% unsecured notes | 20,000 | 2026 | 20,000 | 20,000 | |
| 7.35% unsecured notes | 30,000 | 2007 | 30,000 | 30,000 | |
| 6.9% unsecured notes | 50,000 | 2028 | 50,000 | 50,000 | |
| 7.4% unsecured notes, net of unamortized discount, December 31, 2001 and 2000 \$347 and \$393, | | | | | |
| respectively | 150,000 | 2009 | 149,653 | 149,607 | |
| 7.5% unsecured notes, net of unamortized discount, December 31, 2001 and 2000 \$823 and \$853, | | | | | |
| respectively | 25,000 | 2029 | 24,177 | 24,147 | |
| 7.33% unsecured notes | 49,000 | 2003 | 49,000 | 49,000 | |
| | | | | | |
| Total | | | \$613,248 | \$612,992 | |
| | | | | | |

The Notes are uncollateralized and subordinate to mortgages payable and rank equally with debt under the revolving credit facilities. Where applicable, the discount is being amortized over the life of the respective Notes using the effective interest rate method. Interest is payable semi-annually or quarterly and the principal

F-18

NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

is due at maturity. Among other restrictive covenants, there is a restrictive covenant that limits the amount of total indebtedness to 65% of total assets.

The principal payments required to be made on notes payable are as follows (in thousands):

| Year | |
|----------------------|-----------|
| 2002 | \$ 81,000 |
| 2003 | 49,000 |
| 2004 | 75,000 |
| 2005 | 100,000 |
| 2006 | |
| Thereafter | 310,000 |
| | |
| Subtotal | 615,000 |
| Unamortized discount | (1,752) |
| | |
| Total | \$613,248 |
| | • |

14. Credit Facilities

The Company has two revolving credit facilities with The Bank of New York as lead lender, under which the Company may borrow up to \$245.0 million in uncollateralized advances from a group of banks. The Company also has a term loan with Fleet National Bank as lead lender, under which it has borrowed \$75 million, on an unsecured basis, as of December 31, 2001 and 2000. The table below sets forth certain terms of the loans under these three facilities.

| Loan | Amount Available to be Drawn | Amount Drawn as of December 31, 2001 (In thousands) | Amount Drawn as of December 31, 2000 | Interest Rate at December 31, 2001 and 2000 ¹ | Maturity Date |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|-----------------------|
| BNY Revolving Facility #1 | \$122,500 | \$ 0 | \$ 46,250 | LIBOR plus 72.5 bp | October 2002 |
| BNY Revolving Facility #2 | \$122,500 | \$20,000 | \$122,500 | LIBOR plus 67.5 bp | November 2002 |
| Fleet Term Loan #1 | \$ 75,000 | \$75,000 | \$ 75,000 | LIBOR plus 90 bp | May 2002 ² |
| Total | \$320,000 | \$95,000 | \$243,750 | | |

¹ The interest rate payable by the Company under each of these facilities adjusts depending on the Company s credit rating. If the Company s credit rating is lowered, the interest rates increase. For instance, a decrease in the Company s current credit rating by one rating level would cause the interest rate under the BNY Revolving Facility #1 to increase from LIBOR plus 72.5 basis points (bp) to LIBOR plus 95 bp; would cause the interest rate under the BNY Revolving Facility #2 to increase from LIBOR plus 67.5 bp to LIBOR plus 87.5 bp; and would cause the interest rate under each of the Fleet Term Loans to increase from LIBOR plus 90 bp to LIBOR plus 115 bp.

² The maturity date for the Fleet Term Loan #1 can be extended to November 2002 at the Company s option.

The credit facilities and the indentures under which the Company s senior uncollateralized debt are issued contain certain financial and operating covenants, including, among other things, certain coverage ratios, as well as limitations on the Company s ability to incur secured and unsecured debt, make dividend payments, sell all or substantially all of its assets and engage in mergers, consolidations and certain acquisitions. In addition, certain agreements contain customary negative covenants which, among other things, limit the Company s ability, without the prior consent of the lender, to further mortgage the property, to enter into new leases or materially modify existing leases, and to discontinue insurance coverage.

In September 2001, the Company sold its entire garden apartment portfolio for approximately \$380 million of gross proceeds. Approximately \$55.0 million of the proceeds were used to satisfy existing mortgage debt encumbering the garden apartment communities. The remaining proceeds were used to pay down (i) outstanding debt under Facility #1 and Facility #2, (ii) the outstanding balance of approximately

F-19

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

\$78.0 million of a loan to Pointe Orlando Development Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, and (iii) to fund a portion of the acquisition of the Arapahoe Crossings shopping center (Note 4).

On October 11, 2000, the Company entered into a two-year swap agreement with Fleet National Bank relating to \$125 million of the Company s variable rate debt. The agreement effectively fixes the annual interest rate of this debt at a base rate of 6.67% plus applicable spreads associated with the Company s variable rate credit facilities. As a result of the third quarter sale of the Company s garden apartment communities (see Note 3), the Company s swap agreement was reduced from \$125.0 million to \$75.0 million.

15. Capital Leases

The Company owns a leasehold interest in three shopping centers in California (Master Leased Centers). The terms of the leases are thirty-four years, expiring in June 2031. The leases bear interest at a rate of 7.5%. In addition, the Company has purchased the option to acquire fee title to the Master Leased Centers, exercisable at various times during the terms of the respective leases but subordinate to certain rights of the owner to sell the property. As of December 31, 2001, the owner of one of the Master Leased Centers has the option to require the Company to purchase the property after the occurrence of certain events. The payments required to be made on master leases are as follows (in thousands):

| Year | |
|------------|-----------|
| 2002 | \$ 2,459 |
| 2003 | 2,459 |
| 2004 | 2,459 |
| 2005 | 2,459 |
| 2006 | 2,459 |
| Thereafter | 60,236 |
| Subtotal | \$ 72,531 |
| Interest | (43,361) |
| Total | \$ 29,170 |

16. Other Liabilities

Other liabilities are comprised of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2001 | December 31, 2000 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Property and other taxes payable | \$ 14,949 | \$15,895 |
| Interest payable | 11,210 | 11,600 |
| Accounts payable | 6,077 | 6,778 |
| Dividend payable | 41,692 | 41,694 |
| Accrued construction costs | 437 | 2,853 |
| Deferred rent expense and rents received in advance | 3,880 | 1,755 |
| Amounts due seller of property | 1,568 | 1,021 |
| Deferred gain | 17,007 | |
| Accrued professional and personnel costs | 5,758 | 4,555 |
| Accrued insurance | 4,894 | 381 |
| Disposition costs | 3,346 | 370 |
| Other | 11,856 | 5,243 |

| Total | | \$122,674 | \$92,145 |
|-------|------|-----------|----------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | F-20 | | |
| | 1 20 | | |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

17. Minority Interest in Consolidated Partnership

In 1995, ERP, a consolidated entity, was formed to own and manage certain real estate properties. A wholly owned subsidiary of the Company is the sole general partner of ERP and is entitled to receive 99% of all net income and gains before depreciation, if any, after the limited partners receive their net income and gain allocations. Properties have been contributed to ERP in exchange for limited partnership units (which may be redeemed at stipulated prices for cash or the issuance of the Company common shares at the Company s option), cash and the assumption of mortgage debt. These units can convert to Company shares at exchange ratios from 1.0 to 1.4 Company shares for each unit. At December 31, 2001 and 2000, there were approximately 3,256,500 limited partner units outstanding of which the Company owned approximately 2,180,000 and 2,164,000 units, respectively. During 2001 and 2000 the Company acquired 15,951 and 0 additional units, respectively.

18. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company has entered into leases, as lessee, in connection with ground leases for shopping centers which it operates, an office building which it sublets, and administrative office space for the Company. These leases are accounted for as operating leases. The minimum annual rental commitments during the next five fiscal years and thereafter are approximately as follows (in thousands):

| Ye | ar |
|------------|----------|
| | |
| 2002 | \$ 1,308 |
| 2003 | 1,561 |
| 2004 | 1,200 |
| 2005 | 1,178 |
| 2006 | 166 |
| Thereafter | 9,112 |
| | |
| | \$14,525 |
| | |

The Company has made a subordinated loan of approximately \$25.9 million to a third party developer as of December 31, 2001, which amount is scheduled to be repaid in 2004. Under the terms of the loan agreement, the Company is obligated to loan up to an additional \$4 million under certain circumstances.

The Company is involved in various routine legal proceedings incident to the ordinary course of its business. In management s opinion, the outcome of pending legal proceedings, separately or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s business or consolidated financial results.

19. Stockholders Equity

Common Stock

To maintain its qualification as a REIT, not more that 50 percent in value of the outstanding shares of the Company may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals at any time during the last half of any taxable year of the Company, other than its initial taxable year (defined to include certain entities), applying certain constructive ownership rules. To help ensure that the Company will not fail this test, the Company s Articles of Incorporation provide for, among other things, certain restrictions on the transfer of common stock to prevent further concentration of stock ownership. Moreover, to evidence compliance with these requirements, the Company must maintain records that disclose the actual ownership of its outstanding common stock and will demand written statements each year from the holders of record of designated percentages of its common stock requesting the disclosure of the beneficial owners of such common stock.

NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Common Stock Repurchases

In October 1999, the Company commenced a program to repurchase up to \$75.0 million of the Company s outstanding common stock from time to time through periodic open market transactions or through privately negotiated transactions. Through December 31, 2001, approximately 2,100,000 shares have been repurchased and retired at an average purchase price of \$15.28 per share. Of this amount, approximately 119,000 and 750,000 shares were repurchased and retired in 2001 and 2000, respectively.

Preferred Stock

As of December 31, 2001 and 2000, there were 1,507,000 shares of the 8 1/2% Series A Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock (the Preferred A Shares) outstanding. Holders of the Preferred A Shares are entitled to an annual distribution of \$2.125 per share and the shares are convertible into common shares at a price of \$20.10 per share. The Preferred A Shares rank senior to the Company s common stock and are on parity with the other preferred shares with respect to the payment of dividends and amounts payable upon liquidation, dissolution or winding down of the Company.

The Company has outstanding 6,300,000 depositary shares each representing 1/10 of a share of 8 5/8% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (the Preferred B Shares). Holders of the Preferred B Shares are entitled to an annual dividend equal to \$2.15625, payable quarterly.

The Company also has 1,500,000 depositary shares outstanding, each representing a 1/10 fractional interest in a share of 7.8% Series D Cumulative Voting Step-Up Premium Rate Preferred Stock (the Preferred D Shares), which are redeemable at the option of the Company on or after June 2007 at a liquidation preference of \$500 per share. The Preferred D Shares pay dividends quarterly at the rate of 7.8% of the liquidation preference per annum through September 2012 and at the rate of 9.8% of the liquidation preference per annum through September 2012 and at the rate of 9.8% of the liquidation preference per annum through September 2012 and at the rate of 9.8% of the liquidation preference per annum through September 2012 and at the rate of 9.8% of the liquidation preference per annum through September 2012 and at the rate of 9.8% of the liquidation preference per annum through September 2012 and at the rate of 9.8% of the liquidation preference per annum through September 2012 and at the rate of 9.8% of the liquidation preference per annum through September 2012 and at the rate of 9.8% of the liquidation preference per annum through September 2012 and at the rate of 9.8% of the liquidation preference per annum through September 2012 and at the rate of 9.8% of the liquidation preference per annum through September 2012 and at the rate of 9.8% of the liquidation preference per annum through September 2012 and at the rate of 9.8% of the liquidation preference per annum through September 2012 and at the rate of 9.8% of the liquidation preference per annum through September 2012 and at the rate of 9.8% of the liquidation preference per annum through September 2012 and at the rate of 9.8% of the liquidation preference per annum through September 2012 and at the rate of 9.8% of the liquidation preference per annum through September 2012 and at the rate of 9.8% of the liquidation preference per annum through September 2012 and 9.8% of the liquidation preference per annum through September 2012 and 9.8% of the liquidation p

Options

The Company has two active stock option plans (the Plans) and five option plans under which grants are no longer made. Pursuant to the seven plans, options have been granted to purchase shares of common stock of the Company (the Shares) to officers, directors, and certain key employees of the Company. The two active plans are: the 1993 Employee Plan (the 1993 Plan) and the 1994 Directors Plan (the 1994 Plan). The exercise price of a share pursuant to each of the Plans is required to be no less than the fair market value of a share on the date of grant. The vesting schedule for the 1993 Plan is determined at the time of grant by the option committee and the grants under the 1994 Plan vest 100% at the grant date. As of December 31, 2001, approximately 3.8 million option shares are available for grant under the 1993 Plan. The total available for future grant is approximately 1.7 million option shares plus an aggregate amount equal to 2% of the total number of issued and outstanding shares of common stock as of December 31, 2002. As of December 31, 2001, approximately 130,000 option shares were available for grant under the 1994 Plan. The options outstanding at December 31, 2001 had exercise prices from \$12.8125 to \$25.2500 and a weighted average remaining contractual life of 5.3 years. The total option shares, under all seven plans, exercisable at December 31, 2001, is approximately 2.8 million.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Stock option activity is summarized as follows:

| | Option Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share |
|--|------------------|---|
| Outstanding at December 31, 1998 | 5,945,890 | |
| Granted | 633,000 | \$19.92 |
| Exercised | (65,850) | \$16.27 |
| Forfeited | (1,272,812) | \$17.33 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 1999 | 5,240,228 | \$21.62 |
| Granted | 1,992,621 | \$12.98 |
| Exercised | (515,121) | \$12.73 |
| Forfeited | (1,015,020) | \$20.91 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2000 | 5,702,708 | \$19.53 |
| Granted | 746,250 | \$15.58 |
| Exercised | (134,488) | \$15.13 |
| Forfeited | (1,265,680) | \$23.22 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2001 | 5,048,790 | \$18.14 |
| Options exercisable at December 31, 2001 | 2,759,000 | \$20.03 |
| Options exercisable at December 31, 2000 | 3,418,000 | \$21.06 |
| Options exercisable at December 31, 1999 | 3,100,000 | \$19.92 |

SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, requires either the recording or disclosure of compensation cost for stock-based employee compensation plans at fair value. The Company has adopted the disclosure-only provisions of SFAS No. 123. Accordingly, no compensation costs have been recognized by the Company.

Had compensation cost for the Company s stock option plans been recognized based on the fair value at the grant date for awards consistent with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, the Company s net income in the year ended December 31, 2001 would have been reduced by \$2.1 million from \$105.2 million to \$103.1 million (resulting in net income of \$0.92 per share basic and diluted). In the year ended December 31, 2000, net income would have been reduced by \$2.1 million from \$123.1 million to \$121.0 million (resulting in net income of \$1.12 per share basic and diluted). In the year ended December 31, 1999, net income would have been reduced by \$1.2 million from \$149.5 million to \$148.3 million (resulting in net income of \$1.42 per share basic and \$1.40 per share diluted).

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions for grants in each of the three years ended December 31, 2001, 2000, and 1999, respectively: dividend yield of 8.24%, 8.26%, and 8.74%, respectively; expected volatility of 25.11%, 22.15% and 20.99%, respectively; risk-free interest rate of 4.54%, 6.68% and 5.57%, respectively; and expected life of 3.9 years, 4.6 years and 4.6 years, respectively. The per share weighted average fair value at the dates of grant for options awarded for the above periods was \$1.45, \$1.31 and \$1.47, respectively.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Dividends Paid and Payable

(in thousands)

| Dividends declared in 2000, paid in 2001 | \$ 41,694 |
|---|-----------|
| Dividends declared in 2001 paid in 2001 | \$124,898 |
| Dividends declared in 2001, payable in 2002 | \$ 41,692 |

Distributions to shareholders will generally be taxable as ordinary income, although a portion of such dividends may be designated by the Company as capital gain or may constitute a tax-free return of capital. The Company annually furnishes to each of its shareholders a statement setting forth the distributions paid during the preceding year and their characterization as ordinary income, capital gain or return of capital.

The Company intends to continue to declare quarterly distributions. No assurance, however, can be provided as to the amounts or timing of future distributions, as the maintenance of such distributions is subject to various factors, including the discretion of the Company s Board of Directors, limitation provisions of the Company s debt instruments, the ability to pay dividends under Maryland law, the availability of cash to make the necessary dividend payments and the effect of REIT distribution requirements.

Dividend Reinvestment Plan

The Company has a Dividend Reinvestment and Share Purchase Plan (the Plan) whereby shareholders may invest cash distributions and make optional cash payments to purchase shares of the Company. The additional shares will be purchased in the open market.

Earnings per Share (EPS)

In accordance with the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 128 (Note 2), a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of basic and diluted EPS is provided as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| | 2001 | 1999 | | | |
| Basic EPS | | | | | |
| Numerator: | | | | | |
| Net income from continuing operations | \$ 89,983 | \$101,013 | \$128,023 | | |
| Preferred dividends | (22,639) | (22,635) | (22,777) | | |
| | | | | | |
| Net income available to common shares basic, from | | | | | |
| continuing operations | 67,344 | 78,378 | 105,246 | | |
| Discontinued operations | 13,679 | 21,310 | 21,490 | | |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations | 1,500 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Net income before extraordinary item | 82,523 | 99,688 | 126,736 | | |
| Extraordinary item | - , | 758 | ., | | |
| · | | | | | |
| Net income available to common shares basic | \$ 82,523 | \$100,446 | \$126,736 | | |
| The medical available to common shares basic | Ψ 02,323 | φ100,110 | Ψ120,730 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Denominator: | | | | | |
| Weighted average of common shares outstanding | 87,241 | 87,608 | 88,662 | | |
| | | | | | |

| Basic Earnings Per Common Share: | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|--|
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.77 | \$ 0.90 | \$ 1.19 | |
| Discontinued operations | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.24 | |

F-24

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations Extraordinary item | 0.02 | 0.01 | | |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.95 | \$ 1.15 | \$ 1.43 | |
| Diluted EPS | | | | |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net income from continuing operations | \$ 89,983 | \$101,013 | \$128,023 | |
| Preferred dividends | (22,639) | (22,635) | (22,777) | |
| Minority interest | 848 | 952 | 1,299 | |
| • | | | | |
| Net income available to common shares diluted, from | 60.102 | 70.220 | 106.545 | |
| continuing operations | 68,192 | 79,330 | 106,545 | |
| Discontinued operations | 13,679 | 21,310 | 21,490 | |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations | 1,500 | | | |
| | | | | |
| Net income before extraordinary item | 83,371 | 100,640 | 128,035 | |
| Extraordinary item | | 758 | | |
| | | | | |
| Net income available to common shares diluted | \$ 83,371 | \$101,398 | \$128,035 | |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted average of common shares outstanding | 87,241 | 87,608 | 88,662 | |
| Effect of diluted securities: | 07,211 | 07,000 | 00,002 | |
| Excel Realty Partners, L.P. third party units | 1,231 | 1,235 | 1,756 | |
| Common stock options and warrants | 327 | 108 | 22 | |
| Average shares outstanding diluted | 88,799 | 88,951 | 90,440 | |
| 11. Clage similes cultivationing distance | 33,777 | | | |
| Diluted Earnings Per Common Share: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.77 | \$ 0.89 | \$ 1.18 | |
| Discontinued operations | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.24 | |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations | 0.02 | | | |
| Extraordinary item | | 0.01 | | |
| - | | | | |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.94 | \$ 1.14 | \$ 1.42 | |

Preferred A shares are anti-dilutive for earnings per share calculations.

20. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following fair value disclosure was determined by the Company, using available market information and discounted cash flow analyses as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The discount rate used in calculating fair value is the sum of the current risk free rate and the risk premium on the date of acquiring the instruments. Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and to develop the related estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that the Company could realize upon

disposition. The use of different estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts. The Company believes that the carrying amounts reflected in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2001 and 2000 approximate the fair values for cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, receivables and other liabilities.

F-25

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following are financial instruments for which Company estimates of fair value differ from carrying amounts (in thousands):

| | December | r 31, 2001 | December 31, 2000 | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|--|
| | Carrying Amounts | Fair Value | Carrying Amounts | Fair Value | |
| Mortgages and notes receivable including | | | | | |
| advances to ERT | \$ 45,360 | \$ 44,263 | \$213,746 | \$215,278 | |
| Mortgages payable | 241,436 | 236,010 | 328,803 | 331,382 | |
| Notes payable | 613,248 | 668,475 | 612,992 | 653,860 | |
| Credit facilities | 95,000 | 99,192 | 243,750 | 243,616 | |

21. Future Minimum Annual Base Rents

Future minimum annual base rental revenue for the next five years for the commercial real estate owned at December 31, 2001 and subject to non-cancelable operating leases is as follows (in thousands):

| Year | |
|------------|-----------|
| 2002 | \$251,508 |
| 2003 | 208,033 |
| 2004 | 194,044 |
| 2005 | 170,446 |
| 2006 | 143,695 |
| Thereafter | 832,783 |

The above table assumes that all leases which expire are not renewed and tenant renewal options are not exercised, therefore neither renewal rentals nor rentals from replacement tenants are included. Future minimum annual base rentals do not include contingent rentals, which may be received under certain leases on the basis of percentage of reported tenants—sales volume, increases in consumer price indices, common area maintenance charges and real estate tax reimbursements. Contingent rentals for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 amounted to approximately \$68.2 million, \$62.9 million and \$60.6 million, respectively.

22. Severance Costs

During the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, the Company recorded severance costs of \$0.9 million, \$4.9 million and \$8.5 million, respectively. During 2001, two executives resigned their positions. During 2000, one executive retired and three executives resigned their positions. Severance costs were recorded and paid in accordance with the employees—respective retirement and employment agreements. In April 1999, seven executives, all formerly of Excel Realty Trust, Inc., resigned. These resignations occurred under the terms of Resignation and Release Agreements between the executives and the Company. The agreements provided for payment by the Company of severance benefits, the cancellation of certain—in the money—vested stock options in exchange for the payment of the value of the stock options and the repurchase of Company stock owned by these executives. As a result, \$1.7 million in severance payments, \$6.0 million in stock compensation expense and \$0.8 million of other costs were recorded.

23. Retirement Plan

The Company has a Retirement and 401(k) Savings Plan (the Savings Plan) covering most of the officers and employees of the Company. Participants in the Savings Plan may elect to contribute a portion of their earnings to the Savings Plan and the Company makes a matching contribution to the Savings Plan to a maximum of 3% of the employee s eligible compensation. For the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

1999, the Company s expense for the Savings Plan was approximately \$192,000, \$147,000 and \$607,000, respectively.

24. Statement of Cash Flows Supplemental Disclosure

During the year ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, the Company acquired properties by assuming mortgages payable of \$83.6 million, \$0, and \$5.4 million, respectively. In addition, in connection with the purchase of a certain property in April 1999, the seller was issued partnership units in Excel Realty Partners, L.P. valued at \$0.8 million. Moreover, in connection with the purchase of partnership units in Excel Realty Partners, L.P. in August 1999, \$8.0 million in excess of minority interest has been included in real estate. The amounts paid for interest for years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 were \$88.2 million, \$93.8 million and \$84.2 million, respectively. State and local income taxes paid for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 were \$0.2 million, \$0.9 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. Capitalized interest for the year ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 was approximately \$2.0 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. The Company accrued \$0 and \$0.5 million as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively, in order to repurchase the Company s common stock.

25. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

Summarized quarterly financial data is as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Total Revenues ¹ | Net Income After Extraordinary Item, if any | Net Income Per Share Basic After Extraordinary Item, if any | Net Income Per Share Diluted After Extraordinary Item, if any |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Year Ended December 31, 2001: | | | | |
| First quarter | \$83,174 | \$27,203 | \$0.25 | \$0.25 |
| Second quarter | 83,014 | 27,781 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Third quarter | 83,934 | 23,061 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| Fourth quarter | 88,287 | 27,1175 | 0.25 | 0.24 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2000: | | | | |
| First quarter | \$84,291 | \$27,2492 | \$0.252 | \$0.252 |
| Second quarter ³ | 83,301 | 36,2292 | 0.352 | 0.352 |
| Third quarter | 81,540 | 32,233 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| Fourth quarter | 85,843 | 27,3702 | 0.252 | 0.252 |
| Year Ended December 31, 1999: | | | | |
| First quarter | \$84,831 | \$39,669 | \$0.38 | \$0.38 |
| Second quarter | 83,234 | 31,7884 | 0.294 | 0.294 |
| Third quarter | 83,986 | 34,688 | 0.33 | 0.32 |
| Fourth quarter | 84,953 | 43,368 | 0.43 | 0.42 |

¹ Amounts have been adjusted to give effect to the Company s sale of its garden apartment community portfolio

- 4 Includes severance costs of \$8.5 million
- 5 Includes severance costs of \$0.9 million

² Includes severance costs of \$2.7 million in the first quarter, \$0.9 million in the second quarter and \$1.3 million in the fourth quarter

³ Net income before extraordinary item of \$35,471; earnings per share before extraordinary item; basic and diluted \$0.34

NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

26. Environmental Matters

Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, the Company may be considered an owner or operator of real property or may have arranged for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances and, therefore, may become liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous substances released on or in its property or disposed of by it, as well as certain other potential costs which could relate to hazardous or toxic substances (including governmental fines and injuries to persons and property). Such liability may be imposed whether or not the Company knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of these hazardous or toxic substances. As is common with neighborhood and community shopping centers, many of the Company s properties had or have on-site dry cleaners and/or on-site gasoline facilities. These operations could potentially result in environmental contamination at the properties. Except as discussed below, the Company is not aware of any significant environmental condition at any of its properties.

The Company is aware that soil and groundwater contamination exists at certain of its properties. The primary contaminants of concern at these properties include perchloroethylene and trichloroethylene (associated with the operations of on-site dry cleaners) and petroleum hydrocarbons (associated with the operations of on-site gasoline facilities). The Company currently estimates that the total remaining cost of remediation of environmental conditions for these properties will not exceed \$3 million, although there can be no assurance that this estimate will prove accurate. In connection with some properties, the Company has entered into remediation and indemnity agreements, which obligate the prior owners to perform the remediation and to indemnify the Company for any losses the Company may suffer because of the contamination or remediation. In connection with some other properties, the Company has assumed the obligation to perform the necessary remediation in connection with its purchase of the properties and in some cases an escrow has been established to provide the funds necessary to pay for the remediation costs. In connection with some other properties, the current or former tenants at the properties are in the process of, or are responsible for, performing the necessary remediation.

In addition to the environmental conditions discussed above, asbestos-containing materials (associated with spray applied fireproofing materials) exist at some of the Company s properties. The Company currently estimates that the total cost of abatement of asbestos-containing materials at these properties would be approximately \$3.2 million, although there can be no assurance that this estimate will prove accurate. Included in other liabilities in its Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2001 and 2000 is \$3.2 million related to the clean-up of certain asbestos-containing materials.

While the Company does not expect the environmental conditions at its properties, considered as a whole, to have a material adverse effect on the Company, there can be no assurance that its remediation estimates will prove accurate, that the prior owners or current or former tenants will perform their obligations to remediate, or that established escrow funds will be sufficient to pay for all necessary remediation costs. Further, no assurance can be given that any environmental studies performed have identified or will identify all material environmental conditions, that any prior owner of the properties did not create a material environmental condition not known to the Company or that a material environmental condition does not otherwise exist with respect to any of the Company s properties.

27. Subsequent Events

On January 13, 2002, the Company entered into a definitive agreement with CenterAmerica Property Trust, L.P., a private company majority owned by Morgan Stanley Real Estate Fund II, to acquire 92 community and neighborhood shopping centers (the Portfolio Acquisition). The transaction closed on March 1, 2002. The 92 shopping centers contain an aggregate of approximately 10.4 million square feet of gross leasable area. As part of the transaction, the Company also acquired a 10% managing membership interest in a joint venture with a private U.S. pension fund. The joint venture currently owns 13 grocery-anchored shopping centers located in six states. The aggregate purchase price for the acquisition was

NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

approximately \$654 million, consisting of approximately \$365 million in cash and the assumption of approximately \$289 million of outstanding debt. The cash component of the acquisition was financed with the proceeds of a public equity offering of the Company s common stock and with borrowings under the Company s existing credit facilities and an interim facility.

To facilitate the Portfolio Acquisition, on December 27, 2001, the Company entered into amendments to its existing unsecured senior revolving credit facilities with The Bank of New York and its existing unsecured senior term loan facility with Fleet National Bank. Under these amendments, two of the Company s financial covenants were modified, effective March 1, 2002 (the closing date of the Portfolio Acquisition). Specifically, the banks agreed to raise the limit on the Company s permitted consolidated total indebtedness from 55% of total capital to 57.5% of total capital, and to raise the limit on the Company s permitted consolidated unsecured indebtedness from 50% of the value of its unencumbered assets to 55% of the value of its unencumbered assets. In both cases, the increased debt levels are permitted only until such time as the Company engages in capital transactions (such as equity offerings or assets sales), from and after January 13, 2002 that raise a total of at least \$200 million of net proceeds.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2001, the Company s board of directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.4125 per share of common stock. On an annualized basis, this is the equivalent of \$1.65 per share of common stock. The dividend was paid on January 15, 2002 to common stock holders of record on January 2, 2002.

On January 22, 2002, Kmart Corporation, the Company s largest tenant, filed for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the federal bankruptcy laws. Prior to the bankruptcy filing, the Company leased space at 40 of its shopping centers to Kmart. Kmart has since rejected the leases at two locations. An additional two locations are subleased or assigned to third parties.

The 36 remaining Kmart locations, all of which currently are physically occupied, contain a total of 3.4 million square feet of gross leasable area, or approximately 8.9% of the Company s total gross leasable area. As of December 31, 2001, Kmart s annualized base rent for these 36 locations was \$14.9 million, or approximately \$4.45 per square foot, which represented 5.6% of the Company s total annualized base rent. In addition, three of the 92 shopping centers acquired in the Portfolio Acquisition contain Kmart stores. As of December 31, 2001, on a pro forma basis and excluding the two leases which Kmart has already rejected and the two subleased or assigned locations, Kmart s annualized base rent for the 39 locations was approximately \$16.1 million, or approximately \$4.42 per square foot, which would represent approximately 4.8% of the Company s total annualized base rent.

On January 29, 2002, the Company completed a public offering of 6,900,000 of its common shares at \$18.52 per share. The net proceeds to the Company from the offering were approximately \$120.7 million, and were used initially to pay down amounts outstanding under the Company s revolving credit facilities (which amounts were subsequently re-drawn to finance the Portfolio Acquisition).

On March 1, 2002, the Company entered into a new \$125 million senior unsecured term loan facility with Fleet National Bank. The new facility bears interest, at the Company s option, at either LIBOR plus a spread based upon the Company s credit ratings, which spread currently would be 90 basis points, or at the higher of Fleet National Bank s prime rate (plus 25 basis points in specified circumstances) or 50 basis points above the federal funds rate. The facility matures on February 28, 2003. The loan agreement prepared in connection with the new facility contains covenants substantially similar to those included in the Company s existing term loan facility with Fleet National Bank and its two existing revolving credit facilities with The Bank of New York. The proceeds of the loan were used to finance a portion of the Portfolio Acquisition.

On March 1, 2002, Scott MacDonald was appointed Chief Operating Officer and President of the Company. Mr. MacDonald was previously the Chief Executive Officer and President of CenterAmerica Property Trust, L.P.

NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS (in thousands)

| | | Additions | Deductions | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Balances at Beginning of Period | Charged to Bad Debt Expense | Accounts Receivable Written Off | Balance at End of Period |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts: | | | | |
| Year ended December 31, 2001 | \$12,816 | \$6,453 | \$3,636 | \$15,633 |
| | | | | |
| Year ended December 31, 2000 | \$13,897 | \$4,372 | \$5,453 | \$12,816 |
| | | | | |
| Year ended December 31, 1999 | \$11,636 | \$5,268 | \$3,007 | \$13,897 |
| | | | | |
| | F-30 | | | |

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2001

| COLUMN A | COLUMN B | COI | LUMN C | COLUMN D | COLUMN E | | | COLUMN F |
|---|--------------|-------------|----------------------------|--|-----------|---|--------------|--------------------------|
| | | Initial Cos | st to Company | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | Amount at Which C he Close of the Peri | | |
| Description | Encumbrances | Land | Building & Improvements | Improvements | Land | Building & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation |
| Retail | | | | | | | | |
| Cloverdale Village Florence, AL | | 634,152 | 2,536,606 | 15,331 | 634,152 | 2,551,937 | 3,186,089 | 460,041 |
| Riverview Plaza Gadsden, AL Grants Mill Station | 5,333,672 | 2,072,169 | 8,286,847 | 15,828 | 2,072,169 | 8,302,675 | 10,374,844 | 659,788 |
| Irondale, AL | 7,435,288 | 2,888,819 | 11,555,308 | 132,309 | 2,888,819 | 11,687,617 | 14,576,436 | 940,026 |
| Kroger Muscle Shoals, AL | | 102,822 | 396,597 | | 102,822 | 396,597 | 499,419 | 32,797 |
| Kroger Muscle Shoals, AL | | 429,999 | 1,659,638 | | 429,999 | 1,659,638 | 2,089,637 | 137,238 |
| Kroger Scottsboro, AL | | 369,815 | 1,427,451 | | 369,815 | 1,427,451 | 1,797,266 | 118,029 |
| Payton Park Sylacauga, AL | | 3,584,697 | 14,339,021 | 65,500 | 3,584,697 | 14,404,521 | 17,989,218 | 1,155,288 |
| Kmart Pine Bluff, AR Glendale Galleria | | 490,287 | 1,892,539 | | 490,287 | 1,892,539 | 2,382,826 | 156,490 |
| Glendale, AZ | | 2,869,504 | 11,478,248 | 173,546 | 2,869,504 | 11,651,794 | 14,521,298 | 953,667 |
| Kmart Plaza Mesa, AZ | | 1,147,194 | 4,588,778 | 147,013 | 1,147,194 | 4,735,791 | 5,882,985 | 393,072 |
| Lucky Mesa, AZ | | 243,862 | 946,071 | | 243,862 | 946,071 | 1,189,933 | 72,059 |
| Southern Village Mesa Mesa, AZ | | 1,712,353 | 6,849,509 | 88,625 | 1,712,353 | 6,938,134 | 8,650,487 | 565,082 |
| Sun Valley Plaza Mesa, AZ | | 1,188,094 | 4,752,619 | 317,600 | 1,188,094 | 5,070,219 | 6,258,313 | 401,516 |
| Lucky Phoenix, AZ | | 298,236 | 1,156,132 | | 298,236 | 1,156,132 | 1,454,368 | 95,321 |
| | | | | | | [Ad | ditional col | umns below] |

| COLUMN A | COLUMN G | COLUMN H | COLUMN I |
|-------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| Description | Date Constructed | Date Acquired | Life on Which Depreciated Latest Income Statement |

| Cloverdale Village | | | |
|-----------------------|---------|--------|----------|
| Florence, AL | 1986 | Oct 94 | 40 Years |
| Riverview Plaza | | | |
| Gadsden, AL | 1995 | Oct 95 | 40 Years |
| Grants Mill Station | | | |
| Irondale, AL | 1991 | Jul 98 | 40 Years |
| Kroger | | | |
| Muscle Shoals, AL | 1982 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| Kroger | | | |
| Muscle Shoals, AL | 1982 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| Kroger | | | |
| Scottsboro, AL | 1981 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| Payton Park | | | |
| Sylacauga, AL | 1995 | Jul 98 | 40 Years |
| Kmart | | | |
| Pine Bluff, AR | 1981 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| Glendale Galleria | | | |
| Glendale, AZ | 1989-91 | Aug 97 | 40 Years |
| Kmart Plaza | | | |
| Mesa, AZ | 1970 | Dec 90 | 40 Years |
| Lucky | | | |
| Mesa, AZ | 1982 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| Southern Village Mesa | | | |
| Mesa, AZ | 1986,97 | Aug 97 | 40 Years |
| Sun Valley Plaza | | | |
| Mesa, AZ | 1981 | May 94 | 40 Years |
| Lucky | | | |
| Phoenix, AZ | 1982 | Jan 94 | 40 Years |
| | | | F-31 |

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2001

| COLUMN A | COLUMN B | COL | UMN C | COLUMN D | | COLUMN E | | COLUMN F |
|----------------------------------|--------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Initial Cost to Company | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | Gross Amount at Which Carried at the Close of the Period | | | |
| Description | Encumbrances | Land | Building & Improvements | Improvements | Land | Building & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation |
| Retail | | | | | | | | |
| Metro Marketplace Phoenix, AZ | | 5,098,702 | 20,521,995 | 142,232 | 5,098,702 | 20,664,227 | 25,762,929 | 1,645,462 |
| Q Club Phoenix, AZ | | 1,830,245 | 7,320,951 | | 1,830,245 | 7,320,951 | 9,151,196 | 590,791 |
| Genzyme | | 1,030,243 | 7,320,731 | | 1,030,243 | 7,320,731 | 7,131,170 | 370,771 |
| Scottsdale, AZ | | 491,910 | 1,897,261 | | 491,910 | 1,897,261 | 2,389,171 | 156,952 |
| Q Club Scottsdale, AZ | | 1,794,808 | 7,374,597 | | 1,794,808 | 7,374,597 | 9,169,405 | 595,120 |
| Northmall Centre Tucson, AZ | | 4,762,481 | 12,630,121 | 707,640 | 4,762,481 | 13,337,761 | 18,100,242 | 1,096,919 |
| Bakersfield Plaza | | .,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 12,000,121 | 707,010 | .,, 02, 101 | 10,007,701 | 10,100,2.2 | 1,000,010 |
| Bakersfield, CA | 15,106,689 | (28,534) | 27,542,529 | 148,515 | (28,534) | 27,691,044 | 27,662,510 | 1,859,177 |
| Factory Merchants Barstow | | | | | | | | |
| Barstow, CA | | 5,730,337 | 22,936,349 | 13,412,568 | 5,730,337 | 36,348,917 | 42,079,254 | 7,945,940 |
| Kinko s/ Sony | | 2,720,227 | 22,500,015 | 10,112,000 | 2,720,227 | 20,210,217 | .2,079,20 | 7,5 10,5 10 |
| Burbank, CA | | 1,153,334 | 4,613,209 | 127,161 | 1,153,334 | 4,740,370 | 5,893,704 | 426,970 |
| Carmen Plaza Camarillo, CA | | 1,872,708 | 7,491,044 | 1,598,167 | 1,872,708 | 9,089,211 | 10,961,919 | 620,479 |
| Coachella Plaza | | 1,072,700 | 7,771,077 | 1,370,107 | 1,072,700 | 7,007,211 | 10,501,515 | 020,477 |
| Coachella, CA | | 263,529 | 1,054,118 | 25,026 | 263,529 | 1,079,144 | 1,342,673 | 91,081 |
| Cudahy Plaza | | | | | | | | |
| Cudahy, CA Arbor Faire | 5,002,646 | | 9,972,361 | 147,364 | | 10,119,725 | 10,119,725 | 687,922 |
| Fresno, CA | | 4,378,813 | 17,624,497 | | 4,378,813 | 17,624,497 | 22,003,310 | 1,406,601 |
| Broadway Faire | | 1,0 / 0,0 20 | ,, | | 1,0 / 0,0 22 | -1,0-1,17 | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | -,, |
| Fresno, ČA | | 2,795,383 | 11,181,648 | | 2,795,383 | 11,181,648 | 13,977,031 | 896,193 |
| Briggsmore Plaza | (02.162 | 1 ((2 007 | ((52, 929 | 125 700 | 1 ((2 007 | 6 700 617 | 0.452.502 | 526.705 |
| Modesto, CA | 693,162 | 1,663,885 | 6,653,828 | 135,789 | 1,663,885 | 6,789,617 | 8,453,502 | 536,785 amns below] |

[Additional columns below]

| COLUMN A | COLUMN G | COLUMN H | COLUMN I | | |
|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| Description | Date Constructed | Date Acquired | Life on Which Depreciated Latest Income Statement | | |
| Retail | | | | | |
| Metro Marketplace | | | | | |
| Phoenix, AZ | 1988 | Jun 91 | 40 Years | | |
| | 1994 | May 94 | 40 Years | | |

| 1971 | Dec 90 | 40 Years |
|---------|---|---|
| | | |
| 1994 | Aug 94 | 40 Years |
| | | |
| 1995-96 | Dec 96 | 40 Years |
| | | |
| 1970 | Jun 97 | 40 Years |
| | | |
| | | |
| 1989 | Nov 93 | 40 Years |
| | | |
| 1988 | May 89 | 40 Years |
| | | |
| 1971 | Jun 97 | 40 Years |
| | | |
| 1991 | Jun 97 | 40 Years |
| | | |
| 1968 | Jun 97 | 40 Years |
| | | |
| 1993 | Apr 97 | 40 Years |
| | | |
| 1993 | Apr 97 | 40 Years |
| | | |
| 1974 | Jun 97 | 40 Years |
| | | F-32 |
| | 1994 1995-96 1970 1989 1988 1971 1991 1968 1993 | 1994 Aug 94 1995-96 Dec 96 1970 Jun 97 1989 Nov 93 1988 May 89 1971 Jun 97 1991 Jun 97 1968 Jun 97 1993 Apr 97 1993 Apr 97 |

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2001

| COLUMN A | COLUMN B | DLUMN B COLUMN C | | COLUMN D | | COLUMN E | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|---|---|------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| Description 1 | Initial C | Initial Cos | t to Company | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | Gross Amount at Which Carried at the Close of the Period | | | |
| | Description | Encumbrances | Land | Building & Improvements | Improvements | Land | Building & Improvements | Total |
| Retail | | | | | | | | |
| Montebello Plaza | | | | | | | | |
| Montebello, CA | 6,707,036 | 5,801,166 | 23,202,411 | 426,713 | 5,801,166 | 23,629,124 | 29,430,290 | 1,883,136 |
| Paradise Plaza | | | | | | | | |
| Paradise, CA | 2,339,136 | 1,709,966 | 6,840,630 | 105,333 | 1,709,966 | 6,945,963 | 8,655,929 | 550,524 |
| Metro 580 | | | | | | | | |
| Shopping Center | | | | | | | | |
| Pleasanton, CA | | 5,876,389 | 23,651,921 | 46,000 | 5,876,389 | 23,697,921 | 29,574,310 | 1,894,131 |
| Rose Pavilion | | | | | | | | |
| Pleasanton, CA | | 11,355,146 | 45,703,524 | 180,925 | 11,355,146 | 45,884,449 | 57,239,595 | 3,675,162 |
| Stein Mart Center | | 005.50 | 2 0 40 005 | 20.612 | 007.740 | 2 070 400 | 1051065 | 10.501 |
| Poway, CA | | 995,769 | 3,949,885 | 28,613 | 995,769 | 3,978,498 | 4,974,267 | 49,581 |
| San Dimas Plaza | 7 (75 244 | 1 125 (10 | 17 (01 950 | 04.075 | 4 425 (40 | 17 776 924 | 22 212 492 | 1 415 407 |
| San Dimas, CA Bristol Plaza | 7,675,344 | 4,435,649 | 17,691,859 | 84,975 | 4,435,649 | 17,776,834 | 22,212,483 | 1,415,407 |
| Santa Ana, CA | 9,061,050 | | 15,179,980 | 264,329 | | 15,444,309 | 15,444,309 | 1,030,878 |
| Vail Ranch Center | 9,001,030 | | 13,179,960 | 204,329 | | 13,444,309 | 13,444,309 | 1,030,676 |
| Temecula, CA | | 2,630,621 | 10,522,619 | | 2,630,621 | 10,522,619 | 13,153,240 | 843,372 |
| Arapahoe | | 2,030,021 | 10,522,017 | | 2,030,021 | 10,322,017 | 15,155,240 | 043,372 |
| Crossings | | | | | | | | |
| Aurora, CO | | 17,975,228 | 44,006,804 | 1,203,177 | 17,975,228 | 45,209,981 | 63,185,209 | 276,322 |
| Pueblo I | | , | ,,. | ,, | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | ,, | ,, | , . |
| Pueblo, CO | | 141,221 | 564,854 | | 141,221 | 365,240 | 506,461 | 31,462 |
| Westminster City | | | | | | | | |
| Centre | | | | | | | | |
| Westminster, CO | 28,960,233 | 12,256,884 | 49,332,701 | 55,155 | 12,256,884 | 49,387,856 | 61,644,740 | 3,948,731 |
| Doverama @ | | | | | | | | |
| Rodney Village | | | | | | | | |
| Dover, DE | | 50,755 | 311,781 | | 50,755 | 311,781 | 362,536 | 102,331 |
| Rodney Village | | 4 000 5-1 | 4 0 4 4 0 4 7 | 1.016.019 | 4 000 55: | 2.044.420 | 100000 | |
| Dover, DE | | 1,202,551 | 1,244,315 | 1,816,813 | 1,202,551 | 3,061,128 | 4,263,679 | 2,004,574 |
| Food Lion | | 200.020 | 1 512 044 | | 200.920 | 1.512.044 | 1 002 074 | 124.942 |
| Brandon, FL | | 390,830 | 1,513,044 | | 390,830 | 1,513,044 | 1,903,874 | 124,842 |
| | | | | | | [Ad | ditional coli | imns belowl |

[Additional columns below]

| COLUMN A | COLUMN G | COLUMN H | COLUMN I |
|-------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| Description | Date Constructed | Date Acquired | Life on Which Depreciated Latest Income Statement |

| Retail | | | |
|-------------------------|---------|--------|----------|
| Montebello Plaza | | | |
| Montebello, CA | 1974 | Jun 97 | 40 Years |
| Paradise Plaza | | | |
| Paradise, CA | 1979 | Jun 97 | 40 Years |
| Metro 580 Shopping | | | |
| Center | | | |
| Pleasanton, CA | 1995-96 | Sep 97 | 40 Years |
| Rose Pavilion | | | |
| Pleasanton, CA | 1987 | Feb 98 | 40 Years |
| Stein Mart Center | | | |
| Poway, CA | 1981 | Jan 01 | 40 Years |
| San Dimas Plaza | | | |
| San Dimas, CA | 1986-88 | Oct 97 | 40 Years |
| Bristol Plaza | | | |
| Santa Ana, CA | 1972 | Jun 97 | 40 Years |
| Vail Ranch Center | | | |
| Temecula, CA | 1997 | Dec 97 | 40 Years |
| Arapahoe Crossings | | | |
| Aurora, CO | 1996 | Oct 01 | 40 Years |
| Pueblo I | | | |
| Pueblo, CO | 1977 | Dec 92 | 40 Years |
| Westminster City Centre | | | |
| Westminster, CO | 1996 | Dec 97 | 40 Years |
| Doverama @ Rodney | | | |
| Village | | | |
| Dover, DE | 1969 | Oct 88 | 40 Years |
| Rodney Village | | | |
| Dover, DE | 1959 | Jan 69 | 40 Years |
| Food Lion | | | |
| Brandon, FL | 1982 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| | | | F-33 |

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION December 31, 2001

| COLUMN A | COLUMN B | COL | UMN C | COLUMN D | | COLUMN E | | COLUMN F |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------------------|---|------------|--|---------------|--------------------------|
| | | Initial Cos | t to Company | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | mount at Which C ne Close of the Peri | | |
| Description | Encumbrances | Land | Building & Improvements | Improvements | Land | Building & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation |
| Retail | | | | | | | | |
| Brooksville Square | | | | | | | | |
| Brooksville, FL | | 1,373,719 | 5,494,698 | 86,465 | 1,373,719 | 5,581,163 | 6,954,882 | 445,369 |
| KMart #7513 | | | | | | | | |
| Brooksville, FL | | 1,346,436 | 5,385,720 | | 1,346,436 | 5,385,720 | 6,732,156 | 434,620 |
| Clearwater Mall | | | 22 5 10 652 | 2 404 020 | | 25.054.504 | 22.250.404 | 1.072.610 |
| Clearwater, FL | | 6,306,903 | 22,549,652 | 3,401,929 | 6,306,903 | 25,951,581 | 32,258,484 | 1,973,618 |
| Northgate | | | | | | | | |
| Shopping Center | 7.602.050 | 2.057.640 | 11 020 664 | 42.050 | 2.057.640 | 11 070 714 | 14 020 254 | 050 200 |
| Deland, FL | 7,692,050 | 2,957,640 | 11,830,664 | 42,050 | 2,957,640 | 11,872,714 | 14,830,354 | 958,398 |
| Regency Park Shopping Center | | | | | | | | |
| Jacksonville, FL | | 2 000 125 | 15 552 501 | 275 260 | 2 000 125 | 15 029 970 | 10 917 205 | 1 702 441 |
| Eastgate Shopping | | 3,888,425 | 15,553,501 | 375,369 | 3,888,425 | 15,928,870 | 19,817,295 | 1,783,441 |
| Center Lake Wales | 2 | | | | | | | |
| Lake Wales, FL | • | 1,542,842 | 6,171,548 | 40,431 | 1,542,842 | 3,740,478 | 5,283,320 | 457,103 |
| Leesburg Square | | 1,542,042 | 0,171,540 | 40,431 | 1,542,042 | 3,740,470 | 3,203,320 | 437,103 |
| Leesburg, FL | | 1,051,639 | 4,206,554 | 144,704 | 1,051,639 | 4,351,258 | 5,402,897 | 352,948 |
| Miami Gardens | | 1,051,057 | 1,200,331 | 111,701 | 1,051,057 | 1,551,250 | 3,102,077 | 332,710 |
| Miami, FL | | 5,418,459 | 22,098,501 | 67,685 | 5,418,459 | 22,166,186 | 27,584,645 | 1,771,051 |
| Mall At 163rd | | -, -, | , , | , | 2, 2, 2 | ,, | .,,. | ,, |
| Street | | | | | | | | |
| N Miami, Beach FL | | 4,540,914 | 10,708,787 | 1,410,673 | 4,540,914 | 12,119,460 | 16,660,374 | 114,639 |
| Freedom Square | | | | | | | | |
| Naples, FL | | 3,340,254 | 13,361,049 | 34,999 | 3,340,254 | 13,396,048 | 16,736,302 | 1,072,036 |
| Southgate | | | | | | | | |
| Shopping Center | | | | | | | | |
| New Port Richie, | | | | | | | | |
| FL | | 4,253,341 | 3,981,290 | 244,773 | 4,253,341 | 4,226,063 | 8,479,404 | 440,221 |
| Presidential Plaza | | | | | | | | |
| North Lauderdale, | | | | | | | | |
| FL | | 1,750,441 | 3,269,390 | 246,316 | 1,750,441 | 3,515,706 | 5,266,147 | 409,318 |
| Colonial | | | | | | | | |
| Marketplace | | | | | | | | |
| Orlando, FL | | 2,524,647 | 3,504,446 | 275,173 | 2,524,647 | 3,779,619 | 6,304,266 | 338,193 |
| Pointe*Orlando | | | | | | | | |
| Orlando, FL | | 23,552,257 | 115,478,634 | 23,751,897 | 23,552,257 | 139,230,531 | 162,782,788 | 2,038,007 |
| | | | | | | [Ad | ditional colu | mns below] |
| | | | | | | | | |

| COLUMN A | COLUMN G | COLUMN H | COLUMN I |
|----------|----------|----------|---|
| | Date | Date | Life on Which Depreciated Latest Income |

Edgar Filing: NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST INC - Form 10-K

| Description | Constructed | Acquired | Statement |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|
| Retail | | | |
| Brooksville Square | | | |
| Brooksville, FL | 1987 | Mar 94 | 40 Years |
| KMart #7513 | | | |
| Brooksville, FL | 1987 | Jun 97 | 40 Years |
| Clearwater Mall | | | |
| Clearwater, FL | 1973 | Dec 97 | 40 Years |
| Northgate Shopping Center | | | |
| Deland, FL | 1993 | Jun 93 | 40 Years |
| Regency Park Shopping | | | |
| Center | | | |
| Jacksonville, FL | 1985 | Jun 97 | 40 Years |
| Eastgate Shopping Center | | | |
| Lake Wales | | | |
| Lake Wales, FL | 1994 | May 94 | 40 Years |
| Leesburg Square | | | |
| Leesburg, FL | 1986 | Dec 92 | 40 Years |
| Miami Gardens | | | |
| Miami, FL | 1996 | Oct 97 | 40 Years |
| Mall At 163rd Street | | | |
| N Miami, Beach FL | 1956 | Jan 99 | 40 Years |
| Freedom Square | | | |
| Naples, FL | 1995 | Oct 97 | 40 Years |
| Southgate Shopping Center | | | |
| New Port Richie, FL | 1966 | Aug 97 | 40 Years |
| Presidential Plaza | | | |
| North Lauderdale, FL | 1977 | Apr 97 | 40 Years |
| Colonial Marketplace | | | |
| Orlando, FL | 1979, 86 | Apr 98 | 40 Years |
| Pointe*Orlando | | | |
| Orlando, FL | 1997 | Jun 95 | 40 Years |
| | | | F-34 |

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2001

| COLUMN A | COLUMN B | COI | LUMN C | COLUMN D | | COLUMN E | | COLUMN F |
|---|--------------|-------------|----------------------------|--|-----------|---|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Initial Cos | st to Company | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | Gross Amount at Which Carried at the Close of the Period | | |
| Description | Encumbrances | Land | Building & Improvements | Improvements | Land | Building & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation |
| Retail | | | | | | | | |
| 23rd Street Station Panama City, FL Riverwood | | 1,849,668 | 7,398,843 | 97,710 | 1,849,668 | 7,496,553 | 9,346,221 | 615,086 |
| Shopping Center Port Orange, FL Seminole Plaza | | 2,236,444 | 1,500,580 | 135,537 | 2,236,444 | 1,636,117 | 3,872,561 | 176,767 |
| Seminole, FL | | 2,033,780 | 2,215,356 | 397,418 | 2,033,780 | 2,612,774 | 4,646,554 | 280,683 |
| St. Augustine Outle Center | t | | | | | | | |
| St. Augustine, FL | | 4,488,742 | 14,426,139 | 10,524,350 | 4,488,742 | 24,950,489 | 29,439,231 | 6,620,832 |
| Rutland Plaza St. Petersburg, FL | | 1,443,294 | 5,773,175 | 370,569 | 1,443,294 | 6,143,744 | 7,587,038 | 795,720 |
| Albany1 | | | | , | | , , | , , | |
| Albany, GA Albany Plaza | | 470,300 | 1,881,213 | 57,685 | 470,300 | 1,938,898 | 2,409,198 | 152,375 |
| Albany, GA | | 696,447 | 2,799,786 | 290,910 | 696,447 | 3,090,696 | 3,787,143 | 569,785 |
| Southgate Plaza Albany Albany, GA Eastgate Plaza | | 231,517 | 970,811 | 478,019 | 231,517 | 1,448,830 | 1,680,347 | 304,213 |
| Americus Americus, GA | | 221,637 | 1,036,331 | 115,577 | 221,637 | 1,151,908 | 1,373,545 | 319,290 |
| Perlis Plaza Americus, GA | | 774,966 | 5,301,644 | 881,289 | 774,966 | 6,182,933 | 6,957,899 | 1,758,395 |
| Sweetwater Village Austell, GA | | 707,938 | 2,831,750 | 42,397 | 707,938 | 2,874,147 | 3,582,085 | 514,921 |
| Cedar Plaza Cedartown, GA | | 928,302 | 3,713,207 | 72,721 | 928,302 | 3,763,603 | 4,691,905 | 673,821 |
| Cordele Square Cordele, GA Southgate Plaza | | 864,335 | 3,457,337 | 609,632 | 864,335 | 4,066,969 | 4,931,304 | 1,120,440 |
| Cordele Cordele, GA | | 202,682 | 958,998 | 242,417 | 202,682 | 1,201,415 | 1,404,097 dditional col | 297,719 umns belowl |

[Additional columns below]

| COLUMN A | COLUMN G | COLUMN H | COLUMN I |
|-------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| Description | Date Constructed | Date Acquired | Life on Which Depreciated Latest Income Statement |

| etail | | | |
|------------------------|------------|--------|----------|
| 3rd Street Station | | | |
| anama City, FL | 1986 | Jul 98 | 40 Years |
| iverwood Shopping | | | |
| enter | | | |
| ort Orange, FL | 1984, 1996 | Sep 97 | 40 Years |
| eminole Plaza | | | |
| eminole, FL | 1964 | Jun 98 | 40 Years |
| t. Augustine Outlet | | | |
| enter | | | |
| t. Augustine, FL | 1991 | Mar 92 | 40 Years |
| utland Plaza | | | |
| t. Petersburg, FL | 1964 | Nov 96 | 40 Years |
| lbany1 | | | |
| lbany, GA | 1981 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| lbany Plaza | | | |
| lbany, GA | 1968 | May 94 | 40 Years |
| outhgate Plaza Albany | | | |
| lbany, GA | 1969 | Jul 90 | 40 Years |
| astgate Plaza Americus | | | |
| mericus, GA | 1980 | Jul 90 | 40 Years |
| erlis Plaza | | | |
| mericus, GA | 1972 | Jul 90 | 40 Years |
| weetwater Village | | | |
| ustell, GA | 1985 | Oct 94 | 40 Years |
| edar Plaza | | | |
| edartown, GA | 1994 | Oct 94 | 40 Years |
| ordele Square | | | |
| ordele, GA | 1968 | Jul 90 | 40 Years |
| outhgate Plaza Cordele | | | |
| ordele, GA | 1969 | Jul 90 | 40 Years |
| | | | F-35 |

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2001

| Description Encumbrances Land Improvements Land Improvements Land Improvements Total Department Retail Habersham Crossing Crossing Cornelia, GA 3,770,858 1,644,936 6,580,461 44,552 1,644,936 6,625,013 8,269,949 Habersham Village Habersham Village August 1,644,936 August 2,644,936 Augu | |
|--|------------------------|
| Description Encumbrances Land Improvements Land Improvements Total Degree Retail Habersham Crossing Cornelia, GA 3,770,858 1,644,936 6,580,461 44,552 1,644,936 6,625,013 8,269,949 Habersham Village Cornelia, GA 1,301,643 4,340,422 792,884 1,301,643 5,133,306 6,434,949 1, Covington Gallery Covington, GA 2,494,987 9,979,830 24,853 2,494,987 10,004,683 12,499,670 Market Central Dalton, GA 791,717 3,166,957 14,400 791,717 3,181,357 3,973,074 NORTHSIDE SC Dalton, GA 2,263,174 965,965 3,861,372 166,553 965,965 4,027,925 4,993,890 Midway Village Shopping Center | |
| Habersham Crossing Cornelia, GA 3,770,858 1,644,936 6,580,461 44,552 1,644,936 6,625,013 8,269,949 Habersham Village Cornelia, GA 1,301,643 4,340,422 792,884 1,301,643 5,133,306 6,434,949 1, Covington Gallery Covington, GA 2,494,987 9,979,830 24,853 2,494,987 10,004,683 12,499,670 Market Central Dalton, GA 791,717 3,166,957 14,400 791,717 3,181,357 3,973,074 NORTHSIDE SC Dalton, GA 2,263,174 965,965 3,861,372 166,553 965,965 4,027,925 4,993,890 Midway Village Shopping Center | umulated oreciation |
| Habersham Crossing Cornelia, GA 3,770,858 1,644,936 6,580,461 44,552 1,644,936 6,625,013 8,269,949 Habersham Village Cornelia, GA 1,301,643 4,340,422 792,884 1,301,643 5,133,306 6,434,949 1, Covington Gallery Covington, GA 2,494,987 9,979,830 24,853 2,494,987 10,004,683 12,499,670 Market Central Dalton, GA 791,717 3,166,957 14,400 791,717 3,181,357 3,973,074 NORTHSIDE SC Dalton, GA 2,263,174 965,965 3,861,372 166,553 965,965 4,027,925 4,993,890 Midway Village Shopping Center | |
| Cornelia, GA 3,770,858 1,644,936 6,580,461 44,552 1,644,936 6,625,013 8,269,949 Habersham Village Cornelia, GA 1,301,643 4,340,422 792,884 1,301,643 5,133,306 6,434,949 1, Covington Gallery Covington, GA 2,494,987 9,979,830 24,853 2,494,987 10,004,683 12,499,670 Market Central Dalton, GA 791,717 3,166,957 14,400 791,717 3,181,357 3,973,074 NORTHSIDE SC Dalton, GA 2,263,174 965,965 3,861,372 166,553 965,965 4,027,925 4,993,890 Midway Village Shopping Center | |
| Habersham Village Cornelia, GA | |
| Cornelia, GA 1,301,643 4,340,422 792,884 1,301,643 5,133,306 6,434,949 1, Covington Gallery Covington, GA 2,494,987 9,979,830 24,853 2,494,987 10,004,683 12,499,670 Market Central Dalton, GA 791,717 3,166,957 14,400 791,717 3,181,357 3,973,074 NORTHSIDE SC Dalton, GA 2,263,174 965,965 3,861,372 166,553 965,965 4,027,925 4,993,890 Midway Village Shopping Center | 523,451 |
| Covington Gallery Covington, GA 2,494,987 9,979,830 24,853 2,494,987 10,004,683 12,499,670 Market Central Dalton, GA 791,717 3,166,957 14,400 791,717 3,181,357 3,973,074 NORTHSIDE SC Dalton, GA 2,263,174 965,965 3,861,372 166,553 965,965 4,027,925 4,993,890 Midway Village Shopping Center | |
| Covington, GA 2,494,987 9,979,830 24,853 2,494,987 10,004,683 12,499,670 Market Central Dalton, GA 791,717 3,166,957 14,400 791,717 3,181,357 3,973,074 NORTHSIDE SC Dalton, GA 2,263,174 965,965 3,861,372 166,553 965,965 4,027,925 4,993,890 Midway Village Shopping Center | ,383,732 |
| Market Central Dalton, GA 791,717 3,166,957 14,400 791,717 3,181,357 3,973,074 NORTHSIDE SC Dalton, GA 2,263,174 965,965 3,861,372 166,553 965,965 4,027,925 4,993,890 Midway Village Shopping Center | |
| Dalton, GA 791,717 3,166,957 14,400 791,717 3,181,357 3,973,074 NORTHSIDE SC Dalton, GA 2,263,174 965,965 3,861,372 166,553 965,965 4,027,925 4,993,890 Midway Village Shopping Center | 800,463 |
| NORTHSIDE SC Dalton, GA 2,263,174 965,965 3,861,372 166,553 965,965 4,027,925 4,993,890 Midway Village Shopping Center | |
| Dalton, GA 2,263,174 965,965 3,861,372 166,553 965,965 4,027,925 4,993,890 Midway Village Shopping Center | 254,627 |
| Midway Village Shopping Center | 200 216 |
| Shopping Center | 308,216 |
| | |
| Douglasville, GA 1,555,580 2,887,506 40,192 1,555,580 2,927,698 4,481,278 | 222.740 |
| | 333,749 |
| Westgate Dublin Dublin, GA 699,174 5,834,809 444,220 699,174 6,279,029 6,978,203 1. | 714757 |
| Dublin, GA 699,174 5,834,809 444,220 699,174 6,279,029 6,978,203 1, Kroger | ,714,757 |
| East Albany, GA 336,205 1,297,375 336,205 1,297,375 1,633,580 | 107,284 |
| Rite Aid | 107,204 |
| East Albany, GA 92,794 358,295 92,794 358,295 451,089 | 29,627 |
| Marshall s at | 27,021 |
| Eastlake Shopping | |
| Center | |
| Marietta, GA 1,710,517 2,069,483 37,742 1,710,517 2,107,225 3,817,742 | 166,676 |
| New Chastain | , |
| Corners Shopping | |
| Center | |
| Marietta, GA 2,457,446 5,741,641 187,817 2,457,446 5,929,458 8,386,904 | 653,581 |
| Pavillions at East | |
| Lake Shopping | |
| Center | |
| | 816,922 |
| Village at Southlake | |
| Morrow, GA 1,733,198 3,017,677 57,647 1,733,198 3,075,324 4,808,522 | 200 000 |
| Perry Marketplace | 290,988 |
| ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | |
| [Additional columns | 890,325 |

[Continued from above table, first column(s) repeated]

COLUMN A COLUMN G COLUMN H COLUMN I

Life on Which

| Description | Date Constructed | Date Acquired | Depreciated Latest Income Statement |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---|
| Retail | | | |
| Habersham Crossing | | | |
| Cornelia, GA | 1985 | Mar 96 | 40 Years |
| Habersham Village | | | |
| Cornelia, GA | 1985 | May 92 | 40 Years |
| Covington Gallery | | | |
| Covington, GA | 1991 | Dec 93 | 40 Years |
| Market Central | | | |
| Dalton, GA | 1995 | Mar 97 | 40 Years |
| NORTHSIDE SC | | | |
| Dalton, GA | 1994 | Oct 95 | 40 Years |
| Midway Village Shopping | | | |
| Center | | | |
| Douglasville, GA | 1989 | May 97 | 40 Years |
| Westgate Dublin | | | |
| Dublin, GA | 1974 | Jul 90 | 40 Years |
| Kroger | | | |
| East Albany, GA | 1982 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| Rite Aid | | | |
| East Albany, GA | 1982 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| Marshall s at Eastlake | | | |
| Shopping Center | 1002 | 0 . 00 | 40.37 |
| Marietta, GA | 1982 | Oct 98 | 40 Years |
| New Chastain Corners | | | |
| Shopping Center | 1000 | I1 07 | 40 7/ |
| Marietta, GA | 1990 | Jul 97 | 40 Years |
| Pavillions at East Lake | | | |
| Shopping Center Marietta, GA | 1986 | Mar 99 | 40 Years |
| Village at Southlake | 1900 | Mar 99 | 40 Tears |
| Morrow, GA | 1983 | Apr 98 | 40 Years |
| Perry Marketplace | 1703 | Арі 90 | 40 10018 |
| Perry, GA | 1992 | Dec 92 | 40 Years |
| i diy, dA | 1772 | DCC 92 | F-36 |
| | | | 06-1 |

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2001

| | | | | | | | | COLUMN F |
|------------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| | | Initial Cos | et to Company | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | Gross Amount at Which Carried at the Close of the Period | | | |
| Description En | cumbrances | Land | Building & Improvements | Improvements | Land | Building & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation |
| Retail | | | | | | | | |
| Creekwood | | | | | | | | |
| Shopping Center | | 1 160 202 | 2 492 600 | 21.071 | 1 160 202 | 2 504 590 | 4 ((4 792 | 205.012 |
| Rex, GA Shops Of Riverdale | | 1,160,203 | 3,482,609 | 21,971 | 1,160,203 | 3,504,580 | 4,664,783 | 395,912 |
| Riverdale, GA | | 655,145 | 2,620,748 | 8,149 | 655,145 | 2,628,897 | 3,284,042 | 210,252 |
| Eisenhower Square | | | · | · | | | | · |
| Shopping Center | | 1 020 500 | 4.117.700 | 200.000 | 1 020 500 | 4.406.700 | 5 426 200 | 405.605 |
| Savannah, GA Victory Square | | 1,029,500 | 4,117,700 | 289,098 | 1,029,500 | 4,406,798 | 5,436,298 | 495,605 |
| Savannah, GA | | 1,206,181 | 4,824,725 | 157,880 | 1,206,181 | 4,982,605 | 6,188,786 | 1,208,841 |
| Wisteria Village | | , , . | ,- , | , | , , . | , , , , , , , , , | .,, | , , . |
| Snellville, GA | | 2,542,919 | 10,200,657 | 26,080 | 2,542,919 | 10,226,737 | 12,769,656 | 831,393 |
| University Commons | | | | | | | | |
| Statesboro, GA | | 1,312,739 | 5,250,755 | | 1,312,739 | 5,250,755 | 6,563,494 | 420,842 |
| Tift-Town | | ,- , | ., , | | , , , , , , | .,, | .,, . | - /- |
| Tifton, GA | | 271,444 | 1,325,238 | 338,846 | 271,444 | 1,664,084 | 1,935,528 | 472,912 |
| Westgate Tifton Tifton, GA | | 156,269 | 304,704 | 15,225 | 156,269 | 319,929 | 476,198 | 88,746 |
| Kmart | | 130,209 | 304,704 | 13,223 | 150,209 | 319,929 | 470,198 | 88,740 |
| Atlantic, IA | | 293,138 | 1,134,514 | | 293,138 | 1,134,514 | 1,427,652 | 93,641 |
| Haymarket Mall | | | | | | | | |
| Des Moines, IA Haymarket Square | | 1,230,252 | 5,031,799 | 1,029,283 | 1,230,252 | 6,061,082 | 7,291,334 | 993,249 |
| Des Moines, IA | | 2,056,172 | 8,224,688 | 750,539 | 2,056,172 | 8,975,227 | 11,031,399 | 1,501,752 |
| Southfield Plaza | | _,,,,,,,, | 3,22 1,233 | | _,,,,,,_ | | ,, | -,, |
| Shopping Center | | | | | | | | |
| Bridgeview, IL | | 3,188,496 | 3,897,167 | 8,229,215 | 3,188,496 | 12,126,382 | 15,314,878 | 2,785,516 |
| Decatur I Decatur, IL | | 317,157 | 1,235,335 | | 317,157 | 276,654 | 593,811 | 93,811 |
| Westridge Court | | 317,137 | 1,233,333 | | 317,137 | 210,05- | 575,011 | 23,011 |
| Shopping Center | | | | | | | | |
| Naperville, IL | | 9,843,696 | 39,373,783 | 1,730,930 | 9,843,696 | 41,104,713 | 50,948,409 | 4,849,483 |

[Additional columns below]

| COLUMN A | COLUMN G | COLUMN H | COLUMN I |
|-------------|-------------|----------|------------------------------|
| | | | Life on Which Depreciated |
| | Date | Date | Latest Income |
| Description | Constructed | Acquired | Statement |

| Retail | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|---------|----------|
| Creekwood Shopping | | | |
| Center | | | |
| Rex, GA | 1990 | May 97 | 40 Years |
| Shops Of Riverdale | | | |
| Riverdale, GA | 1995 | Feb 96 | 40 Years |
| Eisenhower Square | | | |
| Shopping Center | | | |
| Savannah, GA | 1985 | Jul 97 | 40 Years |
| Victory Square | | | |
| Savannah, GA | 1986 | Jul 92 | 40 Years |
| Wisteria Village | | | |
| Snellville, GA | 1985 | Oct 95 | 40 Years |
| University Commons | | | |
| Statesboro, GA | 1994 | Jul 96 | 40 Years |
| Tift-Town | | | |
| Tifton, GA | 1965 | Jul 90 | 40 Years |
| Westgate Tifton | | | |
| Tifton, GA | 1980 | Jul 90 | 40 Years |
| Kmart | | | |
| Atlantic, IA | 1980 | Jan 94 | 40 Years |
| Haymarket Mall | | | |
| Des Moines, IA | 1968-1979 | May 95 | 40 Years |
| Haymarket Square | 1071 1070 | 3.5 0.5 | 40.37 |
| Des Moines, IA | 1971-1979 | May 95 | 40 Years |
| Southfield Plaza | | | |
| Shopping Center | 1050.70 | D 06 | 40.37 |
| Bridgeview, IL | 1958,72 | Dec 96 | 40 Years |
| Decatur I | 1002 | 4 02 | 40.37 |
| Decatur, IL | 1983 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| Westridge Court | | | |
| Shopping Center | 1000 | I1 07 | 40 Years |
| Naperville, IL | 1990 | Jul 97 | |
| | | | F-37 |

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2001

| COLUMN A | COLUMN B | COI | LUMN C | COLUMN D | COLUMN E | | COLUMN F | |
|---|--------------|-------------|----------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| | | Initial Cos | st to Company | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | Gross Amount at Which Carried at the Close of the Period | | | |
| Description | Encumbrances | Land | Building & Improvements | Improvements | Land | Building & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation |
| Retail | | | | | | | | |
| Kroger Ottawa, IL Eagle Food Center | | 474,403 | 1,835,607 | | 474,403 | 1,835,607 | 2,310,010 | 151,522 |
| Peoria, IL Tinley Park Plaza | | 401,504 | 1,563,980 | | 401,504 | 1,563,980 | 1,965,484 | 128,510 |
| Tinley Park, IL | | 2,607,702 | 10,430,808 | 662,636 | 2,607,702 | 11,093,444 | 13,701,146 | 1,851,370 |
| Kroger Waterloo, IL | | 352,351 | 1,359,954 | | 352,351 | 1,359,954 | 1,712,305 | 103,969 |
| Kindercare Beech Grove, IN | | 84,586 | 326,215 | | 84,586 | 326,215 | 410,801 | 26,977 |
| Columbus Center Columbus, IN | | 1,196,269 | 3,608,315 | 3,312,666 | 1,196,269 | 6,920,981 | 8,117,250 | 2,484,750 |
| Kindercare Fort Wayne, IN | | 84,586 | 325,915 | | 84,586 | 325,915 | 410,501 | 26,969 |
| Hobart I Hobart, IN | | 332,606 | 1,291,924 | | 332,606 | 322,624 | 655,230 | 98,295 |
| Kindercare Indianapolis, IN | | 36,740 | 141,820 | | 36,740 | 141,820 | 178,560 | 11,727 |
| Kindercare Indianapolis, IN | | 84,586 | 326,215 | | 84,586 | 326,215 | 410,801 | 26,977 |
| Kindercare Indianapolis, IN | | 84,586 | 326,215 | | 84,586 | 326,215 | 410,801 | 26,977 |
| Jasper Manor Jasper, IN | | 1,319,937 | 7,110,063 | 31,907 | 1,319,937 | 7,141,970 | 8,461,907 | 1,760,189 |
| Valley View Plaza Marion, IN | | 684,867 | 2,739,492 | 47,807 | 684,867 | 2,787,299 | 3,472,166 | 221,714 |
| Michigan City I Michigan City, IN | | 275,395 | 1,071,749 | | 275,395 | 356,449 | 631,844 | 81,438 |
| | | | | | | [A | dditional col | lumns below] |

| COLUMN A | COLUMN G | COLUMN H | COLUMN I | | |
|-------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| Description | Date Constructed | Date Acquired | Life on Which Depreciated Latest Income Statement | | |
| Retail | | | | | |
| | 1982 | Aug 93 | 40 Years | | |

| Kroger | | | |
|-------------------|------|--------|----------|
| Ottawa, IL | | | |
| Eagle Food Center | | | |
| Peoria, IL | 1983 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| Tinley Park Plaza | | | |
| Tinley Park, IL | 1973 | Sep 95 | 40 Years |
| Kroger | | | |
| Waterloo, IL | 1982 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| Kindercare | | | |
| Beech Grove, IN | 1976 | Dec 92 | 40 Years |
| Columbus Center | | | |
| Columbus, IN | 1964 | Dec 88 | 40 Years |
| Kindercare | | | |
| Fort Wayne, IN | 1976 | Dec 92 | 40 Years |
| Hobart I | | | |
| Hobart, IN | 1983 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| Kindercare | | | |
| Indianapolis, IN | 1975 | Dec 92 | 40 Years |
| Kindercare | | | |
| Indianapolis, IN | 1976 | Dec 92 | 40 Years |
| Kindercare | | | |
| Indianapolis, IN | 1976 | Dec 92 | 40 Years |
| Jasper Manor | | | |
| Jasper, IN | 1990 | Feb 92 | 40 Years |
| Valley View Plaza | | | |
| Marion, IN | 1989 | Mar 94 | 40 Years |
| Michigan City I | | | |
| Michigan City, IN | 1983 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| | | | F-38 |

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2001

| COLUMN A | COLUMN B | COI | LUMN C | COLUMN D | | COLUMN E | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------------------|--|------------|----------------------------|-------------|--|
| | | Initial Cos | st to Company | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | | | |
| Description | Encumbrances | Land | Building & Improvements | Improvements | Land | Building & Improvements | Total | |
| Retail | | | | | | | | |
| Town Fair Shopping | | | | | | | | |
| Center Princeton, IN | | 1 104 076 | 2.750.502 | 70.440 | 1 104 976 | 2 920 045 | 4 024 021 | |
| Wabash Crossing | | 1,104,876 | 3,759,503 | 70,442 | 1,104,876 | 3,829,945 | 4,934,821 | |
| Wabash, IN | | 1,599,488 | 6,470,511 | 27,744 | 1,599,488 | 6,498,255 | 8,097,743 | |
| Woodland Plaza | | , , | | • | | | , , | |
| Warsaw, IN | | 420,188 | 1,680,587 | 24,364 | 420,188 | 1,704,951 | 2,125,139 | |
| Green River Plaza | | 2 410 050 | 0.644.067 | 250.562 | 2 410 050 | 0.002.520 | 12 21 4 400 | |
| Campbellsville, KY Kmart Plaza | | 2,410,959 | 9,644,967 | 258,563 | 2,410,959 | 9,903,530 | 12,314,489 | |
| Elizabethtown, KY | 4,665,890 | 1,703,868 | 6,815,386 | 67,100 | 1,703,868 | 6,882,486 | 8,586,354 | |
| Highland Commons | 1,000,000 | 1,703,000 | 0,013,300 | 07,100 | 1,700,000 | 0,002,100 | 0,500,551 | |
| Glasgow, KY | 4,449,954 | 1,715,609 | 6,862,680 | 76,668 | 1,715,609 | 6,939,348 | 8,654,957 | |
| J*Town Center | | | | | | | | |
| Jeffersontown, KY | | 1,331,074 | 4,121,997 | 640,074 | 1,331,074 | 4,762,071 | 6,093,145 | |
| Mist Lake Plaza Lexington, KY | 9,393,564 | 4,101,461 | 16,405,956 | 130,834 | 4,101,461 | 16,536,790 | 20,638,251 | |
| London Marketplace | 7,373,304 | 4,101,401 | 10,403,730 | 130,034 | 4,101,401 | 10,550,770 | 20,030,231 | |
| London, KY | 4,812,430 | 2,520,416 | 10,081,562 | 64,193 | 2,520,416 | 10,145,755 | 12,666,171 | |
| Piccadilly Square | | | | | | | | |
| Louisville, KY | | 337,670 | 1,588,409 | 666,480 | 337,670 | 2,254,889 | 2,592,559 | |
| Eastgate Shopping Center | | | | | | | | |
| Middletown, KY | | 1,945,679 | 7,792,717 | 884,615 | 1,945,679 | 8,677,332 | 10,623,011 | |
| Lexington Road Plaza | | 1,5 15,675 | 7,772,717 | 001,013 | 1,5 15,075 | 0,011,332 | 10,023,011 | |
| Versailles, KY | 7,759,551 | 2,856,229 | 11,425,027 | 84,441 | 2,856,229 | 11,509,468 | 14,365,697 | |
| Lagniappe Village | | | | | | | | |
| New Iberia, LA | 6,303,026 | 3,122,914 | 12,491,850 | 501,915 | 3,122,914 | 12,993,765 | 16,116,679 | |
| Brookshire Grocery Co. | | | | | | | | |
| West Monroe, LA | | 388,984 | 1,501,424 | | 388,984 | 1,501,424 | 1,890,408 | |
| | | 200,701 | 1,001,121 | | 200,701 | [Additional col | | |

[Additional columns below]

| COLUMN A | COLUMN F | COLUMN G | COLUMN H | COLUMN I |
|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| Description | Accumulated Depreciation | Date Constructed | Date Acquired | Life on Which Depreciated Latest Income Statement |
| Retail | | | | |
| | 836,568 | 1991 | Feb 93 | 40 Years |

| Town Fair Shopping | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|------|--------|----------|
| Center | | | | |
| Princeton, IN | | | | |
| Wabash Crossing | | | | |
| Wabash, IN | 1,307,876 | 1988 | Dec 93 | 40 Years |
| Woodland Plaza | | | | |
| Warsaw, IN | 145,948 | 1989 | Mar 94 | 40 Years |
| Green River Plaza | | | | |
| Campbellsville, KY | 781,745 | 1989 | Mar 96 | 40 Years |
| Kmart Plaza | | | | |
| Elizabethtown, KY | 547,395 | 1992 | Feb 93 | 40 Years |
| Highland Commons | | | | |
| Glasgow, KY | 553,244 | 1992 | Mar 93 | 40 Years |
| J*Town Center | | | | |
| Jeffersontown, KY | 1,597,076 | 1959 | Oct 88 | 40 Years |
| Mist Lake Plaza | | | | |
| Lexington, KY | 1,319,257 | 1993 | Jul 98 | 40 Years |
| London Marketplace | | | | |
| London, KY | 809,258 | 1994 | Mar 94 | 40 Years |
| Piccadilly Square | | | | |
| Louisville, KY | 777,084 | 1973 | Apr 89 | 40 Years |
| Eastgate Shopping Center | | | | |
| Middletown, KY | 1,929,818 | 1987 | Nov 93 | 40 Years |
| Lexington Road Plaza | | | | |
| Versailles, KY | 928,382 | 1994 | Apr 94 | 40 Years |
| Lagniappe Village | | | | |
| New Iberia, LA | 1,015,611 | 1990 | Jul 98 | 40 Years |
| Brookshire Grocery Co. | | | | |
| West Monroe, LA | 124,151 | 1981 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| | | | F-39 | |

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2001

| COLUMN A | COLUMN B | COI | LUMN C | COLUMN D | COLUMN E | | COLUMN F | |
|--|--------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Initial Cost to Company | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | Gross Amount at Which Carried at the Close of the Period | | | |
| Description | Encumbrances | Land | Building & Improvements | Improvements | Land | Building & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation |
| Retail | | | | | | | | |
| Fruitland Plaza Fruitland, MD Liberty Plaza | | 312,650 | 1,833,330 | 3,344,194 | 312,650 | 5,177,524 | 5,490,174 | 957,048 |
| Randallstown, MD Rising Sun Towne | | 2,075,809 | 8,303,237 | 311,230 | 2,075,809 | 8,614,467 | 10,690,276 | 1,449,725 |
| Centre Rising Sun, MD Maple Village | | 1,161,300 | 4,389,359 | 118,188 | 1,161,300 | 4,507,547 | 5,668,847 | 281,044 |
| Shopping Center Ann Arbor, MI | | 1,622,732 | 7,501,205 | 2,333,760 | 1,622,732 | 9,834,965 | 11,457,697 | 2,062,578 |
| Mountain Jack s Dearborn Heights, MI | | 287,462 | 1,109,414 | | 287,462 | 1,109,414 | 1,396,876 | 91,740 |
| Farmington Crossroads Farmington, MI | | 1,092,200 | 4,368,800 | 145,156 | 1,092,200 | 4,513,956 | 5,606,156 | 678,955 |
| Kindercare Kalamazoo, MI | | 119,214 | 459,217 | | 119,214 | 459,217 | 578,431 | 38,026 |
| Delta Center Lansing, MI Hampton Village | | 2,405,200 | 9,620,800 | 5,040,700 | 2,405,200 | 14,661,500 | 17,066,700 | 1,542,267 |
| Centre Rochester Hills, MI Fashion Corners | 29,654,472 | 7,209,596 | 34,541,500 | 579,895 | 7,209,596 | 35,121,395 | 42,330,991 | 5,257,385 |
| Saginaw, MI | | 2,244,800 | 8,799,200 | 1,997,927 | 2,244,800 | 10,797,127 | 13,041,927 | 1,431,061 |
| Hall Road Crossing Shelby, MI Southfield Plaza | | 2,595,500 | 10,382,000 | 1,734,227 | 2,595,500 | 12,116,227 | 14,711,727 | 1,749,935 |
| Southfield, MI | | 2,052,995 | 8,056,980 | 365,602 | 2,052,995 | 8,422,582 | 10,475,577 | 774,520 |
| Delco Plaza Sterling Heights, MI Westland Crossing | | 1,277,504 | 5,109,367 | 144,715 | 1,277,504 | 5,254,082 | 6,531,586 | 659,434 |
| Westland, MI | | 2,046,000 | 8,184,000 | 194,579 | 2,046,000 | 8,378,579 [A d | 10,424,579 Iditional col | 482,784 umns below] |

[Additional columns below]

| COLUMN A | COLUMN G | COLUMN H | COLUMN I |
|-------------|-------------|----------|------------------------------|
| | | | Life on Which Depreciated |
| | Date | Date | Latest Income |
| Description | Constructed | Acquired | Statement |

| Retail | | | |
|-------------------------|---------|--------|----------|
| Fruitland Plaza | | | |
| Fruitland, MD | 1973 | May 86 | 35 Years |
| Liberty Plaza | | | |
| Randallstown, MD | 1962 | May 95 | 40 Years |
| Rising Sun Towne Centre | | - | |
| Rising Sun, MD | 1998 | Jun 99 | 40 Years |
| Maple Village Shopping | | | |
| Center | | | |
| Ann Arbor, MI | 1965 | Oct 94 | 40 Years |
| Mountain Jack s | | | |
| Dearborn Heights, MI | 1980 | Dec 92 | 40 Years |
| Farmington Crossroads | | | |
| Farmington, MI | 1986 | Dec 95 | 40 Years |
| Kindercare | | | |
| Kalamazoo, MI | 1990 | Feb 91 | 40 Years |
| Delta Center | | | |
| Lansing, MI | 1985 | Dec 95 | 40 Years |
| Hampton Village Centre | | | |
| Rochester Hills, MI | 1990 | Dec 95 | 40 Years |
| Fashion Corners | | | |
| Saginaw, MI | 1986 | Dec 95 | 40 Years |
| Hall Road Crossing | | | |
| Shelby, MI | 1985 | Dec 95 | 40 Years |
| Southfield Plaza | | | |
| Southfield, MI | 1969-70 | Feb 98 | 40 Years |
| Delco Plaza | | | |
| Sterling Heights, MI | 1970,73 | Nov 96 | 40 Years |
| Westland Crossing | | | |
| Westland, MI | 1986 | Nov 99 | 40 Years |
| | | | F-40 |

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2001

| COLUMN A | COLUMN B | COI | LUMN C | COLUMN D | COLUMN E | | COLUMN F | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| | | Initial Cost to Company | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | Gross Amount at Which Carried at the Close of the Period | | | |
| Description | Encumbrances | Land | Building & Improvements | Improvements | Land | Building & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation |
| Retail | | | | | | | | |
| Roundtree Place Ypsilanti, MI | 6,904,620 | 2,995,774 | 11,983,221 | 147,943 | 2,995,774 | 12,131,164 | 15,126,938 | 980,419 |
| Washtenaw | 0,904,020 | 2,993,114 | 11,965,221 | 147,543 | 2,993,114 | 12,131,104 | 13,120,938 | 900,419 |
| Fountain Plaza | | | | | | | | |
| Ypsilanti, MI | | 1,530,281 | 6,121,123 | 430,237 | 1,530,281 | 6,551,360 | 8,081,641 | 1,673,742 |
| Unity Professional | | | | | | | | |
| Bldg. | | | | | | | | |
| Fridley, MN | 5,332,675 | 2,402,467 | 9,612,099 | 211,884 | 2,402,467 | 9,823,983 | 12,226,450 | 807,959 |
| Factory Merchants | | | | | | | | |
| Branson | | | | | | | | |
| Branson, MO | | 17,669 | 22,312,120 | 12,086,239 | 17,669 | 34,398,359 | 34,416,028 | 7,084,864 |
| Kindercare | | 54.042 | 216 744 | | 54.042 | 216 744 | 271 (9) | 17 (57 |
| High Ridge, MO Factory Outlet | | 54,942 | 216,744 | | 54,942 | 216,744 | 271,686 | 17,657 |
| Village Osage | | | | | | | | |
| Beach | | | | | | | | |
| Osage Beach, MO | | 5,741,242 | 27,229,502 | 7,998,423 | 5,741,242 | 35,227,925 | 40,969,167 | 8,052,443 |
| Stanly County | | 0,11,212 | _,,,, | 1,220,120 | 2,1 12,2 12 | ,,,,, | ,, | 2,222,112 |
| Plaza | | | | | | | | |
| Albemarle, NC | | 600,418 | 2,401,671 | 60,756 | 600,418 | 2,462,427 | 3,062,845 | 197,364 |
| Village | | | | | | | | |
| Marketplace | | | | | | | | |
| Ashboro, NC | | 1,155,652 | 4,622,609 | 185,117 | 1,155,652 | 3,720,226 | 4,875,878 | 340,805 |
| Foothills Market | | | | | | | | |
| Jonesville, NC | | 644,555 | 2,578,295 | 33,158 | 644,555 | 2,611,453 | 3,256,008 | 212,065 |
| Chapel Square SC | 2.022.076 | 010.460 | 2 (72 010 | 24.249 | 010.460 | 2 (00 1((| 4.616.626 | 204.710 |
| Kannapolis, NC Kinston Pointe | 2,033,976 | 918,460 | 3,673,918 | 24,248 | 918,460 | 3,698,166 | 4,616,626 | 294,718 |
| Kinston, NC | | 2,235,052 | 8,940,354 | 230,293 | 2,235,052 | 9,170,647 | 11,405,699 | 771,909 |
| Granville Corners | | 2,233,032 | 0,240,334 | 230,293 | 2,233,032 | 9,170,047 | 11,403,033 | 771,509 |
| Oxford, NC | | 2,185,356 | 8,741,261 | 57,294 | 2,185,356 | 8,798,555 | 10,983,911 | 703,068 |
| Roxboro Square | | 2,100,000 | 0,7.1,201 | | 2,100,000 | 0,70,000 | -0,700,711 | , 05,000 |
| Roxboro, NC | | 1,448,313 | 5,793,289 | 123,758 | 1,448,313 | 5,917,047 | 7,365,360 | 490,804 |
| Siler Crossing | | | | | | | | |
| Siler City, NC | | 1,779,566 | 7,118,099 | | 1,779,566 | 7,118,099 | 8,897,665 | 570,507 |
| | | | | | | Γ Λ Ι | ditional ach | umne halawi |

[Additional columns below]

| COLUM | IN A | COLUMN G | COLUMN H | COLUMN I |
|-------|------|----------|----------|---|
| | | Date | Date | Life on Which Depreciated Latest Income |

Edgar Filing: NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST INC - Form 10-K

| Description | Constructed | Acquired | Statement |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|
| Retail | | | |
| Roundtree Place | | | |
| Ypsilanti, MI | 1998 | Jul 98 | 40 Years |
| Washtenaw Fountain Plaza | | | |
| Ypsilanti, MI | 1989 | Oct 92 | 40 Years |
| Unity Professional Bldg. | | | |
| Fridley, MN | 1991 | May 96 | 40 Years |
| Factory Merchants Branson | | | |
| Branson, MO | 1988 | Nov 93 | 40 Years |
| Kindercare | | | |
| High Ridge, MO | 1980 | Dec 92 | 40 Years |
| Factory Outlet Village | | | |
| Osage Beach | | | |
| Osage Beach, MO | 1987 | Jan 93 | 40 Years |
| Stanly County Plaza | | | |
| Albemarle, NC | 1988 | Mar 94 | 40 Years |
| Village Marketplace | | | |
| Ashboro, NC | 1988 | Apr 95 | 40 Years |
| Foothills Market | | | |
| Jonesville, NC | 1988 | Jun 95 | 40 Years |
| Chapel Square SC | | | |
| Kannapolis, NC | 1992 | Dec 94 | 40 Years |
| Kinston Pointe | | | |
| Kinston, NC | 1991 | Jul 95 | 40 Years |
| Granville Corners | | | |
| Oxford, NC | 1991 | Feb 96 | 40 Years |
| Roxboro Square | | | |
| Roxboro, NC | 1989 | Jun 95 | 40 Years |
| Siler Crossing | | | |
| Siler City, NC | 1988 | Jun 95 | 40 Years |
| | | | F-41 |
| | | | |

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2001

| COLUMN A | COLUMN B | COL | LUMN C | COLUMN D | COLUMN E | | COLUMN F | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| | | Initial Cost to Company | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | Gross Amount at Which Carried at the Close of the Period | | | |
| Description | Encumbrances | Land | Building & Improvements | Improvements | Land | Building & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation |
| Retail | | | | | | | | |
| Crossroads SC | | | | | | | | |
| Statesville, NC | | 5,261,636 | 21,177,392 | 69,193 | 5,261,636 | 21,246,585 | 26,508,221 | 1,707,694 |
| Thomasville | | | | | | | | |
| Crossing | | | | | | | | |
| Thomasville, NC | | 1,604,339 | 6,417,145 | | 1,604,339 | 6,417,145 | 8,021,484 | 514,326 |
| Anson Station | | | | | | | | |
| Wadesboro, NC | | 1,844,644 | 7,378,756 | 57,341 | 1,844,644 | 7,436,097 | 9,280,741 | 596,640 |
| Roanoke Landing | | | | | | | | |
| Williamston, NC | 5,723,356 | 2,519,288 | 10,077,339 | 52,143 | 2,519,288 | 10,129,482 | 12,648,770 | 812,156 |
| Shopping Center | | | | | | | | |
| Wilson | | | | | | | | |
| Wilson, NC | | 315,000 | 1,780,370 | 174,343 | 315,000 | 1,954,713 | 2,269,713 | 815,677 |
| Stratford Commons | | | | | | | | |
| Winston-Salem, NC | 5,758,179 | 2,262,130 | 9,045,975 | 5,333 | 2,262,130 | 9,051,308 | 11,313,438 | 719,222 |
| Northern Automotive | | | | | | | | |
| Grand Island, NE | | 125,317 | 483,441 | | 125,317 | 483,441 | 608,758 | 40,000 |
| Northern Automotive | | 00.704 | 246.024 | | 00.504 | 246.024 | 125.010 | 20.422 |
| Hastings, NE | | 89,784 | 346,034 | | 89,784 | 346,034 | 435,818 | 28,633 |
| Laurel Square | | 2 222 701 | 0.002.202 | 007.242 | 2 222 701 | 10 160 544 | 12 202 245 | 2.504.610 |
| Bricktown, NJ Hamilton Plaza | | 3,222,701 | 9,283,302 | 886,242 | 3,222,701 | 10,169,544 | 13,392,245 | 2,594,618 |
| Hamilton Plaza Kmart Plaza | | | | | | | | |
| Hamilton, NJ | | 1,124,415 | 4,513,658 | 255,581 | 1,124,415 | 4,769,239 | 5,893,654 | 998,749 |
| Bennetts Mills Plaza | | 1,124,413 | 4,313,038 | 233,361 | 1,124,413 | 4,709,239 | 3,893,034 | 996,749 |
| Jackson, NJ | | 1,794,122 | 6,399,888 | 115,706 | 1,794,122 | 6,515,594 | 8,309,716 | 1,176,779 |
| Jackson Outlet | | 1,774,122 | 0,377,000 | 113,700 | 1,774,122 | 0,515,574 | 0,507,710 | 1,170,777 |
| Village | | | | | | | | |
| Jackson, NJ | | 889,214 | 1,249,781 | 31,695,767 | 889,214 | 32,945,548 | 33,834,762 | 3,720,738 |
| Middletown Plaza | | 00,,21. | 1,2 .>, . 01 | 21,0,2,707 | 007,21. | 22,7 10,0 10 | 20,00 1,702 | 2,720,720 |
| Middletown, NJ | | 1,204,829 | 1,479,487 | 13,841,894 | 1,204,829 | 15,321,381 | 16,526,210 | 2,331,539 |
| Institute for Defense | | , , , , , , | ,, | -,- , | , , , , , , | - /- / | -,, -, | ,, |
| Analyses | | | | | | | | |
| Princeton, NJ | | | 1,381,260 | | | 1,381,260 | 1,381,260 | 823,043 |
| | | | | | | ſΑđ | ditional col | umns below] |

| COLUMN A | COLUMN G | COLUMN H | COLUMN I |
|-------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| Description | Date Constructed | Date Acquired | Life on Which Depreciated Latest Income Statement |

Edgar Filing: NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST INC - Form 10-K

| Retail | | | |
|------------------------|-----------|--------|----------|
| Crossroads SC | | | |
| Statesville, NC | 1991 | Feb 96 | 40 Years |
| Thomasville Crossing | | | |
| Thomasville, NC | 1996 | Apr 97 | 40 Years |
| Anson Station | | | |
| Wadesboro, NC | 1988 | Aug 95 | 40 Years |
| Roanoke Landing | | | |
| Williamston, NC | 1991 | Jan 96 | 40 Years |
| Shopping Center Wilson | | | |
| Wilson, NC | 1973 | May 86 | 35 Years |
| Stratford Commons | | | |
| Winston-Salem, NC | 1995 | Dec 96 | 40 Years |
| Northern Automotive | | | |
| Grand Island, NE | 1988 | Dec 92 | 40 Years |
| Northern Automotive | | | |
| Hastings, NE | 1988 | Dec 92 | 40 Years |
| Laurel Square | | | |
| Bricktown, NJ | 1973 | Jul 92 | 40 Years |
| Hamilton Plaza Kmart | | | |
| Plaza | | | |
| Hamilton, NJ | 1972 | May 94 | 40 Years |
| Bennetts Mills Plaza | | | |
| Jackson, NJ | 1988 | Sep 94 | 40 Years |
| Jackson Outlet Village | | | |
| Jackson, NJ | 1997 | Apr 97 | 40 Years |
| Middletown Plaza | | | |
| Middletown, NJ | 1972 | Jan 75 | 40 Years |
| Institute for Defense | | | |
| Analyses | | | |
| Princeton, NJ | 1974,1982 | May 74 | 35 Years |
| | | | F-42 |
| | | | |

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2001

| COLUMN A | COLUMN B | COI | LUMN C | COLUMN D | COLUMN E | | | COLUMN F |
|--|--------------|-------------|----------------------------|---|-----------|---|------------|--------------------------|
| | | Initial Cos | st to Company | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | Amount at Which C he Close of the Peri | | |
| Description | Encumbrances | Land | Building & Improvements | Improvements | Land | Building & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation |
| Retail | | | | | | | | |
| Tinton Falls Plaza Tinton Falls, NJ Dover Park Plaza | | 1,884,325 | 6,308,392 | 179,114 | 1,884,325 | 6,487,506 | 8,371,831 | 691,700 |
| Yardville, NJ | | 322,678 | 3,027,322 | 17,000 | 322,678 | 3,044,322 | 3,367,000 | 142,756 |
| Galleria Commons Henderson, NV | | 6,854,959 | 27,590,493 | 10,001 | 6,854,959 | 27,600,494 | 34,455,453 | 2,210,276 |
| Renaissance Center East | | | | | | | | |
| Las Vegas, NV | | 2,543,856 | 10,175,427 | 368,910 | 2,543,856 | 10,544,337 | 13,088,193 | 1,450,618 |
| Kietzke Center Reno, NV | | 3,069,735 | 12,279,924 | 213,414 | 3,069,735 | 12,493,338 | 15,563,073 | 980,349 |
| University Mall Canton, NY Cortlandville | | 115,261 | 1,010,636 | 1,063,337 | 115,261 | 2,073,973 | 2,189,234 | 1,181,470 |
| Cortland, NY | | 237,194 | 1,440,393 | 529,607 | 237,194 | 1,970,000 | 2,207,194 | 669,220 |
| Kmart Plaza Dewitt,NY | | 943,079 | 3,772,312 | 382,818 | 943,079 | 4,155,130 | 5,098,209 | 859,069 |
| D & F Plaza Dunkirk, NY | | 730,900 | 2,158,094 | 1,993,962 | 730,900 | 4,152,056 | 4,882,956 | 1,618,644 |
| Shopping Center Elmira | | | | | | | | |
| Elmira, NY Genesse Valley | | 110,318 | 892,015 | 601,507 | 110,318 | 1,493,522 | 1,603,840 | 312,844 |
| Shopping Center Genesco, NY | 8,454,208 | 3,640,104 | 14,560,263 | 108,283 | 3,640,104 | 14,668,546 | 18,308,650 | 1,177,539 |
| Pyramid Mall Geneva, NY | | 2,176,731 | 8,706,926 | 790,084 | 2,176,731 | 9,497,010 | 11,673,741 | 1,908,190 |
| Mckinley Plaza Hamburg, NY | | 1,247,680 | 4,990,716 | 272,137 | 1,247,680 | 5,262,853 | 6,510,533 | 1,237,155 |
| Hornell Plaza Hornell, NY | | 170,347 | 20,874,229 | 141,242 | 170,347 | 21,015,471 | 21,185,818 | 1,677,470 |

[Additional columns below]

| COLUMN A | COLUMN G | COLUMN H | COLUMN I |
|-------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| Description | Date Constructed | Date Acquired | Life on Which Depreciated Latest Income Statement |
| Retail | | | |

| Tinton Falls Plaza | | | |
|-------------------------|---------|--------|----------|
| Tinton Falls, NJ | 1953 | Jan 98 | 40 Years |
| Dover Park Plaza | | | |
| Yardville, NJ | 1966 | Jan 00 | 40 Years |
| Galleria Commons | | | |
| Henderson, NV | 1997-98 | Jun 98 | 40 Years |
| Renaissance Center East | | | |
| Las Vegas, NV | 1981 | Oct 96 | 40 Years |
| Kietzke Center | | | |
| Reno, NV | 1974 | Jun 97 | 40 Years |
| University Mall | | | |
| Canton, NY | 1967 | Jan 76 | 40 Years |
| Cortlandville | | | |
| Cortland, NY | 1984 | Aug 87 | 35 Years |
| Kmart Plaza | | | |
| Dewitt,NY | 1970 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| D & F Plaza | | | |
| Dunkirk, NY | 1967 | Jan 86 | 40 Years |
| Shopping Center Elmira | | | |
| Elmira, NY | 1976 | Feb 89 | 40 Years |
| Genesse Valley Shopping | | | |
| Center | | | |
| Genesco, NY | 1993 | Jul 98 | 40 Years |
| Pyramid Mall | | | |
| Geneva, NY | 1973 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| Mckinley Plaza | | | |
| Hamburg, NY | 1991 | Jun 92 | 40 Years |
| Hornell Plaza | | | |
| Hornell, NY | 1995 | Jul 98 | 40 Years |
| | | | F-43 |

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2001

| COLUMN A | COLUMN B | COL | LUMN C | COLUMN D | COLUMN E | | | COLUMN F |
|--|--------------|-------------|----------------------------|--|-----------|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Initial Cos | et to Company | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | Gross Amount at Which Carried at the Close of the Period | | |
| Description | Encumbrances | Land | Building & Improvements | Improvements | Land | Building & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation |
| Retail | | | | | | | | |
| Cayuga Plaza Ithaca, NY Shops @ Seneca | | 1,399,238 | 5,597,954 | 712,137 | 1,399,238 | 6,310,091 | 7,709,329 | 2,005,821 |
| Mall Liverpool, NY | | 1,547,007 | 6,188,026 | 2,760,755 | 1,547,007 | 8,948,781 | 10,495,788 | 1,698,924 |
| Transit Road Plaza Lockport, NY Wallkill Plaza | | 424,680 | 1,698,721 | 578,398 | 424,680 | 2,277,119 | 2,701,799 | 546,699 |
| Middletown, NY Monroe Shoprite | | 2,748,152 | 9,672,604 | 245,938 | 2,748,152 | 9,918,542 | 12,666,694 | 1,394,669 |
| Plaza Monroe, NY | | 1,028,189 | 8,649,212 | 138,168 | 1,028,189 | 8,787,380 | 9,815,569 | 922,354 |
| Rockland Plaza Nanuet, NY | | 3,904,495 | 3,601,556 | 5,201,619 | 3,904,495 | 8,803,175 | 12,707,670 | 4,274,154 |
| South Plaza Norwich, NY Westgate Plaza | | 508,445 | 1,053,373 | 1,729,349 | 508,445 | 2,782,722 | 3,291,167 | 1,272,269 |
| Oneonta Oneonta, NY | | 143,021 | 1,192,907 | 405,857 | 143,021 | 1,598,764 | 1,741,785 | 742,025 |
| Oswego Plaza Oswego, NY | | 250,437 | 1,169,775 | 2,707,819 | 250,437 | 3,877,594 | 4,128,031 | 1,932,361 |
| Mohawk Rome, NY Mohawk Acres | | 93,341 | 483,405 | 231,437 | 93,341 | 714,842 | 808,183 | 353,776 |
| Rome, NY Price Chopper Plaza | ı | 242,393 | 1,272,040 | 1,687,125 | 242,393 | 2,959,165 | 3,201,558 | 1,269,725 |
| Rome, NY Westgate Manor | | 934,687 | 3,738,751 | 153,908 | 934,687 | 3,892,659 | 4,827,346 | 789,637 |
| Plaza Rome Rome, NY Northland | | 211,964 | 392,996 | 1,037,804 | 211,964 | 1,430,800 | 1,642,764 | 534,263 |
| Watertown, NY | | 16,892 | 258,397 | 960,020 | 16,892 | 1,218,417 | 1,235,309 Additional col | 408,333 lumns below] |

| COLUMN A | COLUMN G | COLUMN H | COLUMN I |
|-------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| Description | Date Constructed | Date Acquired | Life on Which Depreciated Latest Income Statement |

| Retail | | | |
|------------------------|------|--------|----------|
| Cayuga Plaza | | | |
| Ithaca, NY | 1969 | May 89 | 40 Years |
| Shops @ Seneca Mall | | | |
| Liverpool, NY | 1971 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| Transit Road Plaza | | | |
| Lockport, NY | 1971 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| Wallkill Plaza | | | |
| Middletown, NY | 1986 | Dec 95 | 40 Years |
| Monroe Shoprite Plaza | | | |
| Monroe, NY | 1972 | Aug 97 | 40 Years |
| Rockland Plaza | | | |
| Nanuet, NY | 1963 | Jan 83 | 40 Years |
| South Plaza | | | |
| Norwich, NY | 1967 | Apr 83 | 40 Years |
| Westgate Plaza Oneonta | | | |
| Oneonta, NY | 1967 | Jan 84 | 40 Years |
| Oswego Plaza | | | |
| Oswego, NY | 1966 | Jan 77 | 40 Years |
| Mohawk | | | |
| Rome, NY | 1965 | Jan 84 | 40 Years |
| Mohawk Acres | | | |
| Rome, NY | 1965 | Feb 84 | 40 Years |
| Price Chopper Plaza | | | |
| Rome, NY | 1988 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| Westgate Manor Plaza | | - | |
| Rome | | | |
| Rome, NY | 1961 | Jan 86 | 40 Years |
| Northland | | | |
| Watertown, NY | 1962 | Jan 73 | 40 Years |
| | | | F-44 |

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2001

| COLUMN A | COLUMN B | COL | LUMN C | UMN C COLUMN D COLUMN E | | | COLUMN F | |
|--|--------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | Capitalized Subsequent to | Gross Amount at Which Carried at the Close of the Period | | | | |
| Description | Encumbrances | Land | Building & Improvements | Improvements | Land | Building & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation |
| Retail | | | | | | | | |
| Ashland Square Ashland, OH | | 1,990,823 | 7,963,282 | 171,538 | 1,990,823 | 8,134,820 | 10,125,643 | 652,641 |
| Harbor Plaza Ashtabula, OH | | 388,997 | 1,456,108 | 253,099 | 388,997 | 1,709,207 | 2,098,204 | 517,959 |
| Belpre Plaza Belpre, OH | | | 2,066,121 | 139,088 | | 2,205,209 | 2,205,209 | 808,162 |
| Southwood Plaza Bowling Green, OH | | 673,430 | 1,537,519 | 874,603 | 673,430 | 2,412,122 | 3,085,552 | 910,192 |
| Brentwood Plaza Cincinnati, OH Delhi Shopping | | 2,027,969 | 8,222,875 | 922,520 | 2,027,969 | 9,145,395 | 11,173,364 | 1,740,651 |
| Center Cincinnati, OH | | 2,300,029 | 9,218,117 | 214,041 | 2,300,029 | 9,432,158 | 11,732,187 | 1,294,621 |
| Western Village Shopping Center Cincinnati, OH | | 1,321,484 | 5,300,935 | 164,236 | 1,321,484 | 5,465,171 | 6,786,655 | 1,047,692 |
| Crown Point Shopping Center Columbus, OH | 7,440,599 | 2,881,681 | 7,958,319 | 62,355 | 2,881,681 | 8,020,674 | 10,902,355 | 733,816 |
| South Towne Centre | | 4 727 269 | 0.626.042 | 1,770,802 | 4 727 269 | 11 407 745 | 16 145 112 | 2 215 924 |
| Dayton, OH Heritage Square Dover, OH | | 4,737,368 1,749,182 | 9,636,943 7,011,926 | 1,770,802 | 4,737,368 1,749,182 | 11,407,745 7,166,938 | 16,145,113 8,916,120 | 3,215,834 1,536,270 |
| Midway Crossing Elyria, OH | | 1,944,200 | 7,776,800 | 287,549 | 1,944,200 | 8,064,349 | 10,008,549 | 1,231,787 |
| Fairfield, OH | | 1,287,649 | 1,685,919 | 246,817 | 1,287,649 | 1,932,736 | 3,220,385 | 573,508 |
| Gallipolis, OH | | 919,892 | 3,197,673 | 1,490,836 | 919,892 | 4,688,509 | 5,608,401 | 2,351,433 |
| Parkway Plaza Maumee, OH | | 950,667 | 2,069,921 | 885,056 | 950,667 | 2,954,977 | 3,905,644 | 873,645 |
| Midway Crossing Elyria, OH Fairfield Mall Fairfield, OH Silver Bridge Plaza Gallipolis, OH Parkway Plaza | | 1,944,200 1,287,649 919,892 | 7,776,800 1,685,919 3,197,673 | 287,549 246,817 1,490,836 | 1,944,200 1,287,649 919,892 | 8,064,349 1,932,736 4,688,509 2,954,977 | 10,008,549 3,220,385 5,608,401 | 1,23 57 2,35 |

| COLUMN A | COLUMN G | COLUMN H | COLUMN I |
|-------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| Description | Date Constructed | Date Acquired | Life on Which Depreciated Latest Income Statement |

Edgar Filing: NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST INC - Form 10-K

| Retail | | | |
|-----------------------|------------|----------|--------------|
| 2101112 | | | |
| Ashland Square | 1000 | 0.00 | 40.77 |
| Ashland, OH | 1990 | Oct 93 | 40 Years |
| Harbor Plaza | | | |
| Ashtabula, OH | 1988 | Feb 91 | 40 Years |
| Belpre Plaza | | | |
| Belpre, OH | 1969 | Jun 88 | 40 Years |
| Southwood Plaza | | | |
| Bowling Green, OH | 1961 | May 90 | 40 Years |
| Brentwood Plaza | | | |
| Cincinnati, OH | 1957 | May 94 | 40 Years |
| Delhi Shopping Center | | | |
| Cincinnati, OH | 1973,85,87 | May 96 | 40 Years |
| Western Village | | · | |
| Shopping Center | | | |
| Cincinnati, OH | 1960 | May 94 | 40 Years |
| Crown Point Shopping | | Ĭ | |
| Center | | | |
| Columbus, OH | 1980-85,97 | Jul 98 | 40 Years |
| South Towne Centre | 2,00 00,5 | | |
| Dayton, OH | 1972 | Mar 92 | 40 Years |
| Heritage Square | | | |
| Dover, OH | 1959 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| Midway Crossing | -,-, | 111871 | 10 2 2 11113 |
| Elyria, OH | 1986 | Dec 95 | 40 Years |
| Fairfield Mall | 1,00 | 200,00 | .0 10410 |
| Fairfield, OH | 1978 | May 90 | 40 Years |
| Silver Bridge Plaza | 1770 | 11141 70 | 10 Tours |
| Gallipolis, OH | 1972 | Dec 86 | 40 Years |
| Parkway Plaza | 1712 | Dec 00 | TO 10015 |
| Maumee, OH | 1955 | Sep 89 | 40 Years |
| maunice, on | 1)33 | БСР 07 | F-45 |
| | | | r-43 |

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2001

| COLUMN A | MN A COLUMN B COLUMN C COLUMN D COLUMN E | | | COLUMN F | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Initial Cost to Company | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | Gross Amount at Which Carried at the Close of the Period | | | |
| Description | Encumbrances | Land | Building & Improvements | Improvements | Land | Building & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation |
| Retail | | | | | | | | |
| New Boston | | | | | | | | |
| Shopping Center | | | | | | | | |
| New Boston, OH | | 2,102,371 | 9,537,101 | 347,590 | 2,102,371 | 9,884,691 | 11,987,062 | 2,099,171 |
| Market Place | | 507.022 | 2 729 164 | 470.622 | 507.022 | 4 217 706 | 4 015 710 | 1 150 076 |
| Piqua, OH Brice Park Shopping | | 597,923 | 3,738,164 | 479,632 | 597,923 | 4,217,796 | 4,815,719 | 1,150,076 |
| Center Center | | | | | | | | |
| Reynoldsburg, OH | 4,006,575 | 4,854,414 | 10,204,698 | 38,803 | 4,854,414 | 10,243,501 | 15,097,915 | 953,686 |
| Central Ave Market | | | | | | | | |
| Place | | | | | | | | |
| Toledo, OH | | 1,046,480 | 1,769,207 | 442,984 | 1,046,480 | 2,212,191 | 3,258,671 | 615,294 |
| Greentree Shopping | | | | | | | | |
| Center Upper Arlington, | | | | | | | | |
| OH | 5,186,110 | 3,379,200 | 6,860,800 | 139,176 | 3,379,200 | 6,999,976 | 10,379,176 | 635,746 |
| Safeway | 3,100,110 | 3,377,200 | 0,000,000 | 135,170 | 3,379,200 | 0,,,,,,, | 10,579,170 | 033,710 |
| Muskogee, OK | | 476,864 | 1,840,640 | | 476,864 | 1,840,640 | 2,317,504 | 152,205 |
| Bethel Park Plaza | | | | | | | | |
| Bethel Park, PA | | 868,039 | 9,933,094 | 1,052,809 | 868,039 | 10,985,903 | 11,853,942 | 1,425,307 |
| Supervalu/ | | | | | | | | |
| Clearfield | | 257 210 | 1 400 000 | | 257 219 | 1 400 000 | 1 750 200 | 105 (07 |
| Clearfield, PA Laurel Mall | | 357,218 | 1,400,990 | | 357,218 | 1,400,990 | 1,758,208 | 105,687 |
| Connellsville, PA | | 2,072,000 | 3,116,472 | 36,569 | 2,072,000 | 3,153,041 | 5,225,041 | 78,709 |
| Dillsburg Shopping | | 2,072,000 | 5,110,112 | 20,203 | 2,072,000 | 5,105,511 | 0,220,011 | 70,705 |
| Center | | | | | | | | |
| Dillsburg, PA | | 1,166,376 | 4,665,505 | 268,474 | 1,166,376 | 4,933,979 | 6,100,355 | 615,881 |
| Market Street | | | | | | | | |
| Square | | 2 404 045 | 12.056.025 | 27.400 | 2 404 045 | 14.002.427 | 17 107 170 | 1 120 (22 |
| Elizabethtown, PA Hardees PAD | | 3,494,045 | 13,976,027 | 27,400 | 3,494,045 | 14,003,427 | 17,497,472 | 1,120,622 |
| Hanover, PA | | | 400,000 | | | 400,000 | 400,000 | 44,583 |
| New Garden | | | 400,000 | | | 400,000 | 400,000 | 77,505 |
| Shopping Center | | | | | | | | |
| Kennett Square, PA | | 912,130 | 3,161,495 | 906,718 | 912,130 | 4,068,213 | 4,980,343 | 374,903 |
| Stonemill Plaza | | | | | | | | |
| Lancaster, PA | | 1,407,975 | 5,650,901 | 209,006 | 1,407,975 | 5,859,907 | 7,267,882 | 1,174,267 |
| | | | | | | [Ac | lditional col | umns belowl |

[Additional columns below]

| COLUMN A | COLUMN G | COLUMN H | COLUMN I |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | | |

| Description | Date Constructed | Date Acquired | Life on Which Depreciated Latest Income Statement | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Retail | | | | |
| New Boston Shopping | | | | |
| Center | | | | |
| New Boston, OH | 1991 | Feb 93 | 40 Years | |
| Market Place | | | | |
| Piqua, OH | 1972 | Nov 91 | 40 Years | |
| Brice Park Shopping Center | | | | |
| Reynoldsburg, OH | 1989-92 | Mar 98 | 40 Years | |
| Central Ave Market Place | 1,0,72 | 1.141 /0 | .o rours | |
| Toledo, OH | 1968 | Aug 90 | 40 Years | |
| Greentree Shopping | 1,00 | 1148 > 0 | 10 10410 | |
| Center | | | | |
| Upper Arlington, OH | 1974,80,91 | Jul 98 | 40 Years | |
| Safeway | , , , , , , | | | |
| Muskogee, OK | 1981 | Aug 93 | 40 Years | |
| Bethel Park Plaza | | | | |
| Bethel Park, PA | 1965 | May 97 | 40 Years | |
| Supervalu/ Clearfield | | • | | |
| Clearfield, PA | 1982 | Aug 93 | 40 Years | |
| Laurel Mall | | | | |
| Connellsville, PA | 1971 | May 01 | 40 Years | |
| Dillsburg Shopping | | · | | |
| Center | | | | |
| Dillsburg, PA | 1994 | Oct 96 | 40 Years | |
| Market Street Square | | | | |
| Elizabethtown, PA | 1993-94 | Oct 97 | 40 Years | |
| Hardees PAD | | | | |
| Hanover, PA | 1971 | Jul 97 | 35 Years | |
| New Garden Shopping | | | | |
| Center | | | | |
| Kennett Square, PA | 1979 | Apr 97 | 40 Years | |
| Stonemill Plaza | | | | |
| Lancaster, PA | 1988 | Jan 94 | 40 Years | |
| | | | F-46 | |

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2001

| COLUMN A | COLUMN B | COI | LUMN C | COLUMN D | COLUMN E | | | COLUMN F | |
|--|--------------|-------------|----------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|------------|--------------------------|--|
| | | Initial Cos | st to Company | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | Gross Amount at Which Carried at the Close of the Period | | | _ | |
| Description | Encumbrances | Land | Building & Improvements | Improvements | Land | Building & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | |
| Retail | | | | | | | | | |
| Crossroads Plaza | | 204.002 | 1 040 005 | 405.005 | 204.002 | 1.506.710 | 1 011 502 | 476.761 | |
| Mt. Pleasant, PA Ivyridge Shopping | | 384,882 | 1,040,905 | 485,805 | 384,882 | 1,526,710 | 1,911,592 | 476,761 | |
| Center | | | | | | | | | |
| Philadelphia, PA | | 1,504,080 | 6,026,320 | 989,904 | 1,504,080 | 7,016,224 | 8,520,304 | 1,101,363 | |
| Roosevelt Mall NE | | 0 507 077 | 2 (71 542 | 0.055.052 | 2 527 277 | 10 727 205 | 12 264 772 | 6.050.072 | |
| Philadelphia, PA Johnstown Galleria | | 2,537,377 | 2,671,543 | 8,055,852 | 2,537,377 | 10,727,395 | 13,264,772 | 6,050,973 | |
| Richland Township, | | | | | | | | | |
| PA | 3,075,880 | 1,584,716 | 6,338,789 | 168,999 | 1,584,716 | 6,507,788 | 8,092,504 | 560,154 | |
| Hampton Square Shopping Center Upper So Hampton, | | | | | | | | | |
| PA Shops @ Prospect | | 772,800 | 2,907,200 | 430,247 | 772,800 | 3,337,447 | 4,110,247 | 221,097 | |
| West Hempfield, | | | | | | | | | |
| PA Circle Center | | 741,941 | 2,967,765 | 103,938 | 741,941 | 3,071,703 | 3,813,644 | 525,344 | |
| Hilton Head Island, SC | | 1,533,329 | 6,133,106 | 12,689 | 1,533,329 | 6,145,795 | 7,679,124 | 491,667 | |
| Palmetto Crossroads Hilton Head Island, | | | | | | | | | |
| SC SC | | 473,111 | 1,892,443 | 28,645 | 473,111 | 1,921,088 | 2,394,199 | 154,389 | |
| Island Plaza James Island, SC | | 2,820,729 | 11,283,031 | 306,414 | 2,820,729 | 11,589,445 | 14,410,174 | 945,539 | |
| Remount Village N. Charleston, SC | | 1,470,352 | 5,879,355 | | 1,470,352 | 5,879,355 | 7,349,707 | 467,361 | |
| Congress Crossing Athens, TN | | 1,028,255 | 6,747,013 | 120,731 | 1,028,255 | 6,867,744 | 7,895,999 | 1,715,450 | |
| St. Elmo Central Chattanooga, TN | | 1,529,587 | 6,120,555 | 40,827 | 1,529,587 | 6,161,382 | 7,690,969 | 487,549 | |
| Winn-Dixie Chattanooga, TN | | 591,450 | 2,365,576 | | 591,450 | 2,365,576 | 2,957,026 | 190,900 | |
| Apison Crossing Collegedale, TN | | 1,679,125 | 6,716,542 | 3,391 | 1,679,125 | 6,719,933 | 8,399,058 | 538,363 umns helowl | |

[Additional columns below]

[Continued from above table, first column(s) repeated]

COLUMN A COLUMN G COLUMN H COLUMN I

Life on Which

| Description | Date Constructed | Date Acquired | Depreciated Latest Income Statement |
|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---|
| Retail | | | |
| Crossroads Plaza | | | |
| Mt. Pleasant, PA | 1975 | Nov 88 | 40 Years |
| Ivyridge Shopping Center | | | |
| Philadelphia, PA | 1963 | Aug 95 | 40 Years |
| Roosevelt Mall NE | | | |
| Philadelphia, PA | 1958,1964 | Jan 64 | 40 Years |
| Johnstown Galleria | | | |
| Richland Township, PA | 1993 | Jul 97 | 40 Years |
| Hampton Square | | | |
| Shopping Center | | | |
| Upper So Hampton, PA | 1980 | Dec 98 | 40 Years |
| Shops @ Prospect | | | |
| West Hempfield, PA | 1994 | Jul 95 | 40 Years |
| Circle Center | | | |
| Hilton Head Island, SC | 1989 | Mar 94 | 40 Years |
| Palmetto Crossroads | | | |
| Hilton Head Island, SC | 1994 | Oct 95 | 40 Years |
| Island Plaza | | | |
| James Island, SC | 1993-94 | Oct 97 | 40 Years |
| Remount Village | | | |
| N. Charleston, SC | 1996 | Nov 96 | 40 Years |
| Congress Crossing | | | |
| Athens, TN | 1990 | Mar 92 | 40 Years |
| St. Elmo Central | | | |
| Chattanooga, TN | 1995 | Aug 96 | 40 Years |
| Winn-Dixie | | | |
| Chattanooga, TN | 1995 | Jul 96 | 40 Years |
| Apison Crossing | | | |
| Collegedale, TN | 1997 | Jul 97 | 40 Years |
| | | | F-47 |

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2001

| COLUMN A | COLUMN B | COI | LUMN C | COLUMN D | COLUMN E | | COLUMN F | | | |
|--|--------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|--|
| | Initial Cos | Initial Cost to Company | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | to Gross Amount at Which Carried at | | apitalized Sequent to Gross Amount at Which Carried at | | | |
| Description | Encumbrances | Land | Building & Improvements | Improvements | Land | Building & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | | |
| Retail | | | | | | | | | | |
| Saddletree Village Collegedale, TN West Towne Square | 1,777,055 | 685,676 | 2,900,245 | 12,678 | 685,676 | 2,912,923 | 3,598,599 | 230,904 | | |
| Shopping Center Elizabethton, TN | | 529,103 | 3,880,088 | 71,061 | 529,103 | 3,951,149 | 4,480,252 | 362,049 | | |
| Greeneville Commons Greeneville, TN | | 1,075,200 | 7,934,800 | 374,176 | 1,075,200 | 8,308,976 | 9,384,176 | 1,938,578 | | |
| Hazel Path Commons Hendersonville, TN | | 919,231 | 3,677,158 | 12,532 | 919,231 | 3,689,690 | 4,608,921 | 295,889 | | |
| Kimball Crossing Kimball, TN | | 3,966,352 | 15,875,659 | 75,696 | 3,966,352 | 15,951,355 | 19,917,707 | 1,271,378 | | |
| Chapman-Ford Crossing Knoxville, TN | | 2,367,047 | 9,507,577 | (39,285) | 2,367,047 | 9,468,292 | 11,835,339 | 759,031 | | |
| Farrar Place | | , , | | , , | , , | , , | | · | | |
| Manchester, TN Georgetown Square | | 804,963 | 3,220,060 | 14,796 | 804,963 | 3,234,856 | 4,039,819 | 258,904 | | |
| Murfreesboro, TN Madison Street | | 1,166,924 | 4,674,698 | 208,342 | 1,166,924 | 4,883,040 | 6,049,964 | 1,055,864 | | |
| Station Shelbyville, TN | | 752,499 | 3,012,444 | 204,410 | 752,499 | 3,216,854 | 3,969,353 | 250,848 | | |
| Commerce Central Tullahoma, TN | | 3,043,798 | 12,177,046 | 70,148 | 3,043,798 | 12,247,194 | 15,290,992 | 978,829 | | |
| Merchant s Central Winchester, TN | 6,445,734 | 2,891,062 | 11,564,219 | 57,217 | 2,891,062 | 11,621,436 | 14,512,498 | 949,837 | | |
| Bardin Place Center Arlington, TX | | 6,733,620 | 27,101,486 | 35,731 | 6,733,620 | 27,137,217 | 33,870,837 | 2,173,036 | | |
| Irving West SC Irving, TX | 2,609,285 | 933,850 | 3,735,400 | 20,319 | 933,850 | 3,755,719 | 4,689,569 | 306,508 | | |
| Kroger Missouri City, TX | | 390,012 | 1,505,457 | | 390,012 | 1,269,457 [A d | 1,659,469 Iditional col | 115,091 umns below] | | |

| COLUMN A | COLUMN G | COLUMN H | COLUMN I |
|-------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| Description | Date Constructed | Date Acquired | Life on Which Depreciated - Latest Income Statement |

Edgar Filing: NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST INC - Form 10-K

| Retail | | | |
|------------------------|------------|--------|----------|
| Saddletree Village | | | |
| Collegedale, TN | 1990 | Jun 98 | 40 Years |
| West Towne Square | | | |
| Shopping Center | | | |
| Elizabethton, TN | 1970, 1998 | Jun 98 | 40 Years |
| Greeneville Commons | | | |
| Greeneville, TN | 1990 | Mar 92 | 40 Years |
| Hazel Path Commons | | | |
| Hendersonville, TN | 1989 | Nov 95 | 40 Years |
| Kimball Crossing | | | |
| Kimball, TN | 1987 | Nov 95 | 40 Years |
| Chapman-Ford Crossing | | | |
| Knoxville, TN | 1990 | Dec 92 | 40 Years |
| Farrar Place | | | |
| Manchester, TN | 1990 | Dec 95 | 40 Years |
| Georgetown Square | | | |
| Murfreesboro, TN | 1986 | Sep 93 | 40 Years |
| Madison Street Station | | - | |
| Shelbyville, TN | 1985 | Oct 95 | 40 Years |
| Commerce Central | | | |
| Tullahoma, TN | 1995 | Aug 96 | 40 Years |
| Merchant s Central | | · | |
| Winchester, TN | 1997 | Dec 97 | 40 Years |
| Bardin Place Center | | | |
| Arlington, TX | 1992-93 | Oct 97 | 40 Years |
| Irving West SC | | | |
| Irving, TX | 1987 | Sep 93 | 40 Years |
| Kroger | | - | |
| Missouri City, TX | 1982 | Aug 93 | 40 Years |
| <u> </u> | | - | F-48 |
| | | | - |

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2001

| COLUMN A | COLUMN B | COI | LUMN C | COLUMN D | COLUMN E | | | COLUMN F |
|--|--------------|-------------|----------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Initial Cos | st to Company | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | Gross Amount at Which Carried at the Close of the Period | | | |
| Description | Encumbrances | Land | Building & Improvements | Improvements | Land | Building & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation |
| Retail | | | | | | | | |
| El Chico Temple, TX Valley Fair West Valley City, | | 450,886 | 504,012 | | 450,886 | 57,012 | 507,898 | 27,895 |
| UT | 16,441,183 | 7,248,230 | 28,377,493 | 2,615,397 | 7,248,230 | 30,993,890 | 38,242,120 | 2,845,347 |
| Factory Merchants Ft. Chiswell | | 411 022 | 1 644 017 | 407.700 | 411.022 | 2.070.005 | 2 491 929 | 529.007 |
| Ft. Chiswell, VA Pizza Hut Pad | | 411,023 | 1,644,017 | 426,788 | 411,023 | 2,070,805 | 2,481,828 | 528,006 |
| Harrisonburg, VA | | | 427,500 | | | 427,500 | 427,500 | 66,161 |
| Hanover Square | | | | | | | | |
| Shopping Center Mechanicsville, VA | | 1,778,701 | 7,114,805 | 117,256 | 1,778,701 | 7,232,061 | 9,010,762 | 1,658,911 |
| Victorian Square Midlothian, VA | | 3,548,432 | 14,208,727 | 229,927 | 3,548,432 | 14,438,654 | 17,987,086 | 2,822,479 |
| VA-KY Regional Sc Norton, VA | | 2,795,765 | 11,183,253 | 50,799 | 2,795,765 | 11,234,052 | 14,029,817 | 899,583 |
| Cave Spring Corners Shopping Center | | | | · | | | | |
| Roanoke, VA | | 1,064,298 | 4,257,792 | 336,027 | 1,064,298 | 4,593,819 | 5,658,117 | 525,049 |
| Hunting Hills Shopping Center Roanoke, VA | 3,870,304 | 1,897,007 | 6,010,376 | 43,847 | 1,897,007 | 6,054,223 | 7,951,230 | 567,846 |
| Lakeside Plaza | | | | 25.012 | 4 202 220 | 5 202 5 00 | 6 7 6 6 4 9 9 | 250 652 |
| Salem, VA Shopping Center Fredricksburg | | 1,383,339 | 5,355,787 | 27,012 | 1,383,339 | 5,382,799 | 6,766,138 | 350,653 |
| Spotsylvania, VA | | 250,000 | 1,363,880 | 462,344 | 250,000 | 1,826,224 | 2,076,224 | 669,313 |
| Lake Drive Plaza Vinton, VA | 3,466,835 | 1,432,155 | 4,616,848 | 467,939 | 1,432,155 | 5,084,787 | 6,516,942 | 511,385 |
| Ridgeview Centre Wise, VA | | 2,707,679 | 4,417,792 | 635,963 | 2,707,679 | 5,053,755 | 7,761,434 | 1,181,884 |
| Moundsville Plaza Moundsville, WV | | 228,283 | 1,989,798 | 5,188,245 | 228,283 | 7,178,043 | 7,406,326 | 1,615,302 |
| | | | | | | [Ad | ditional colu | umns below] |

[Continued from above table, first column(s) repeated]

COLUMN A COLUMN G COLUMN H COLUMN I

Life on Which Depreciated

| Description | Date Constructed | Date Acquired | Latest Income Statement |
|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| Retail | | | |
| El Chico | | | |
| Temple, TX | 1995 | Dec 95 | 40 Years |
| Valley Fair | | | |
| West Valley City, UT | 1970 | Dec 96 | 40 Years |
| Factory Merchants Ft. | | | |
| Chiswell | | | |
| Ft. Chiswell, VA | 1989 | Nov 93 | 40 Years |
| Pizza Hut Pad | -,,,, | | |
| Harrisonburg, VA | 1969 | Jul 96 | 35 Years |
| Hanover Square Shopping | | | |
| Center | | | |
| Mechanicsville, VA | 1991 | Jan 93 | 40 Years |
| Victorian Square | | | |
| Midlothian, VA | 1991 | Mar 94 | 40 Years |
| VA-KY Regional Sc | | | |
| Norton, VA | 1989 | Dec 92 | 40 Years |
| Cave Spring Corners | | | |
| Shopping Center | | | |
| Roanoke, VA | 1969 | Jun 97 | 40 Years |
| Hunting Hills Shopping | | | |
| Center | | | |
| Roanoke, VA | 1989 | Apr 98 | 40 Years |
| Lakeside Plaza | | • | |
| Salem, VA | 1989 | Apr 99 | 40 Years |
| Shopping Center | | • | |
| Fredricksburg | | | |
| Spotsylvania, VA | 1970 | May 86 | 35 Years |
| Lake Drive Plaza | | • | |
| Vinton, VA | 1976 | Feb 98 | 40 Years |
| Ridgeview Centre | | | |
| Wise, VA | 1990 | Jul 92 | 40 Years |
| Moundsville Plaza | | | |
| Moundsville, WV | 1961 | Dec 88 | 40 Years |
| | | | F-49 |
| | | | |

NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2001

| COLUMN A | COLUMN B | COLUMN C | | COLUMN D COLUMN E | | | COLUMN F | |
|---|--------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| | _ | Initial Cost to Company | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | Gross Amount at Which Carried at the Close of the Period | | | |
| Description | Encumbrances | Land | Building & Improvements | Improvements | Land | Building & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation |
| Retail | | | | | | | | |
| Grand Central Plaza Parkersburg, WV Kmart Plaza | | | 4,358,333 | 153,150 | | 4,511,483 | 4,511,483 | 1,583,079 |
| Vienna, WV | | 664,121 | 2,656,483 | 339,166 | 664,121 | 2,995,649 | 3,659,770 | 619,474 |
| | | | | | | [A | dditional co | lumns below] |

| COLUMN A | COLUMN G | COLUMN H | COLUMN I |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| Description | Date Constructed | Date Acquired | Life on Which Depreciated Latest Income Statement |
| Retail | | | |
| Grand Central Plaza | 1986 | Jun 88 | 40 Years |
| Parkersburg, WV Kmart Plaza | 1900 | Juli 66 | 40 Teals |
| Vienna, WV | 1975 | Feb 93 | 40 Years |
| | | | F-50 |

NEW PLAN EXCEL REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION December 31, 2001

| COLUMN A | COLUMN B | COL | LUMN C | COLUMN D | COLUMN E | | | COLUMN F | |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------------|--|---------------|---|----------|-----------------------------|--|
| | | Initial Cos | it to Company | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | Gross Amount at Which Carried at the Close of the Period | | | |
| Description | Encumbrances | Land | Building & Improvements | Improvements | Land | Building & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | |
| Other | | | | | | | | | |
| Hillcrest | | | | | | | | | |
| Apartments | | | | | | | | | |
| Mobile, AL | 1,252,632 | | | | | | | | |
| Knollwood Apartments | | | | | | | | | |
| Mobile, AL | 6,026,518 | | | | | | | | |
| Roxbury Township NJ | | | | | | | | | |
| Roxbury, NJ | | 337,854 | | | 337,854 | | 337,85 | 4 | |
| 1 North Central Avenue | | | | | | | | | |
| Hartsdale, NY | | 19,678 | | | 19,678 | | 19,67 | 8 | |
| ERT Development Corp. | | | | | | | | | |
| New York, NY | | 1,897,664 | 435,000 | | 1,897,664 | 435,000 | 2,332,66 | 4 | |
| | \$270,605,276 | \$504,778,100 | \$1,975,782,160 | \$233,090,108 | \$504,778,100 | \$2,201,766,047 | \$ | | |