INTERVOICE INC Form 10-Q July 15, 2003

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-0

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED MAY 31, 2003

OR

[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 1-15045

INTERVOICE, INC. (EXACT NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

TEXAS
(STATE OR OTHER JURISDICTION OF INCORPORATION OR ORGANIZATION)

75-1927578
(I.R.S. EMPLOYER
IDENTIFICATION NO.)

17811 WATERVIEW PARKWAY, DALLAS, TX 75252 (ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES)

972-454-8000 (REGISTRANT'S TELEPHONE NUMBER, INCLUDING AREA CODE)

INDICATE BY CHECK MARK WHETHER THE REGISTRANT (1) HAS FILED ALL REPORTS REQUIRED TO BE FILED BY SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 DURING THE PRECEDING 12 MONTHS (OR FOR SUCH SHORTER PERIOD THAT THE REGISTRANT WAS REQUIRED TO FILE SUCH REPORTS), AND (2) HAS BEEN SUBJECT TO SUCH FILING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE PAST 90 DAYS. YES [X] NO[]

INDICATE BY CHECK MARK WHETHER THE REGISTRANT IS AN ACCELERATED FILER (AS DEFINED IN RULE 12B-2 OF THE ACT). YES [X] NO []

THE REGISTRANT HAD 34,111,101 SHARES OF COMMON STOCK, NO PAR VALUE PER SHARE, OUTSTANDING AS OF MAY 31, 2003.

ITEM 1 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Deferred income taxes

Stockholders' Equity

InterVoice, Inc. Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In Thousands, Except Share and ASSETS May 31, 2003 Februa _____ _____ (Unaudited) Current Assets Cash and cash equivalents \$ 27,804 \$ 26, Trade accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2,744 in fiscal 2004 and \$2,527 in fiscal 2003 26,290 25, Inventory 7,827 8, 5, Prepaid expenses and other current assets 5,689 _____ ----66, 67,610 _____ ----Property and Equipment Building 16,747 16, Computer equipment and software 34,171 32, Furniture, fixtures and other 2,761 2, Service equipment 9,293 8, ____ 62**,**972 60, 40, Less allowance for depreciation 42,434 20,538 20, Intangible assets, net of amortization of \$32,172 in 9, fiscal 2004 and \$32,218 in fiscal 2003 8,624 Goodwill 3,401 3, Other assets 1,368 1, \$101,541 \$100, _____ ____ LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current Liabilities \$ 11,939 \$ 12, Accounts payable Accrued expenses 12,404 12, 9, Customer deposits 8,824 Deferred income 26,366 25, Current portion of long term borrowings 3,333 3, 6, Income taxes payable 7,036 -----69,902 69, 15, Long term borrowings 14,944 585 Other long term liabilities

91

Preferred Stock, \$100 par value--2,000,000
shares authorized: none issued

Common Stock, no par value, at nominal
assigned value--62,000,000 shares
authorized: 34,111,101 issued and
outstanding in fiscal 2004 and fiscal 2003

Additional capital
Accumulated deficit

Accumulated other comprehensive loss

Stockholders' equity

65,144 65, (45,832) (46, (3,310)(3, --------14, 16,019 ----____ \$101,541 \$100, _____ =====

17

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

2

InterVoice, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Unaudited)

	(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data) Three Months Ended		
	May 31, 2003	May 31, 2002	
Sales			
Systems	\$18,031	\$ 21 , 635	
Services	20,371	16,781	
	38,402	38,416	
Cost of goods sold			
Systems	11,213	16,118	
Services	6,731	6,671	
	17,944	22,789	
Gross margin			
Systems	6,818	5,517	
Services	13,640	10,110	
	20,458	15,627	
Research and development expenses	3,870	6,005	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	13,471	17,693	

Amortization of acquisition related intangible assets	705	1,776
Income (loss) from operations	2,412	(9,847)
Other income (expense) Interest expense	(187) (545)	(48) (1,465)
<pre>Income (loss) before taxes Income taxes (benefit)</pre>	1,680 744	(11,360) (2,681)
<pre>Income (loss) before the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle Cumulative effect on prior years of a change in accounting principle</pre>	936 	(8,679) (15,791)
Net income (loss)	\$ 936 =====	(24,470) ======
Per Basic Share:		
<pre>Income (loss) before the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle Cumulative effect on prior years of a change in accounting principle</pre>	\$ 0.03	\$ (0.26) (0.46)
Net income (loss)	\$ 0.03 =====	\$ (0.72) ======
Per Diluted Share:		
<pre>Income (loss) before the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle Cumulative effect on prior years of a change in accounting principle</pre>	\$ 0.03	\$ (0.26) (0.46)
Net income (loss)	\$ 0.03 =====	\$ (0.72) ======

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

3

InterVoice, Inc. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

Th

Operating activities Income (loss) before the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle Adjustments to reconcile income (loss) before the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: Depreciation and amortization Other changes in operating activities
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities
Investing activities Proceeds from sale of assets Purchases of property and equipment
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities
Financing activities Paydown of debt Debt issuance costs Borrowings Exercise of stock options
Net cash used in financing activities
Effect of exchange rates on cash
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

4

InterVoice, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity
(Unaudited)

(In Thousands, Except Share Data)

C	Common	Stock		
			- Additional	Accumulated
Shares		Amount	Capital	Deficit
	_			

\$ 936

2,382

3,602

(1,263

(1,263

(834

(834

1,593

26,211

\$27,804

Balance at February 28, 2003	34,111,101	\$	17	\$ 6	65,144	\$	(46,768)
Net income							936
Foreign currency translation adjustment							
Balance at May 31, 2003	34,111,101	\$	17	\$ 6	65 , 144	\$	(45,832)
	========	=====		=====		===	

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

5

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

THREE MONTHS ENDED MAY 31, 2003

NOTE A - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information. The consolidated balance sheet at February 28, 2003 has been derived from audited financial statements at that date. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation of the unaudited May 31, 2003 and 2002 consolidated financial statements have been included. Operating results for the three-month period ended May 31, 2003 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending February 29, 2004 as they may be affected by a number of factors including the timing and ultimate receipt of orders from significant customers which continue to constitute a large portion of the Company's sales, the sales channel mix of products sold, and changes in general economic conditions, any of which could have an adverse effect on operations.

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 130, the following comprehensive income disclosures are provided. Total comprehensive income (loss) for the first quarter of fiscal 2004 and 2003 was \$1.0 million and \$(23.4) million, respectively. Total comprehensive income (loss) is comprised of net income (loss), foreign currency translation adjustments, and, in fiscal 2003, adjustments to the carrying value of certain derivative instruments.

Financial statements of the Company's foreign subsidiaries have been translated into U.S. dollars at current and average exchange rates. Resulting translation adjustments are recorded as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Any transaction gains or losses are included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

NOTE B - CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLE FOR GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Effective March 1, 2002, the Company adopted Statements of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, Business Combinations, and No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (the "Statements"). Statement No. 141 refines the definition of what assets may be considered as separately identified intangible

assets apart from goodwill. Statement No. 142 provides that goodwill and intangible assets deemed to have indefinite lives will no longer be amortized, but will be subject to impairment tests on at least an annual basis.

In adopting the Statements in fiscal 2003, the Company first reclassified \$2.7 million of intangible assets associated with its assembled workforce (net of related deferred taxes of \$1.4 million) to goodwill because such assets did not meet the new criteria for separate identification. The Company then allocated its adjusted goodwill balance of \$19.2 million to its then existing Enterprise and Networks divisions and completed the transitional impairment tests required by Statement No. 142. The fair values of the reporting units were estimated using a combination of the expected present values of future cash flows and an assessment of comparable market values. As a result of these tests, the Company determined that the goodwill associated with its Networks division was fully impaired, and, accordingly, it recognized a non-cash, goodwill impairment charge of \$15.8 million as the cumulative effect on prior years of this change in accounting principle. This impairment resulted primarily from the significant decline in Networks sales and profitability during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2002 and related reduced forecasts for the division's sales and profitability.

6

NOTE C - INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of the following (in thousands):

	May 31, 2003	February 28, 2003
Purchased parts Work in progress	\$3,884 3,943	\$4,906 3,989
	\$7 , 827	\$8,895
	=====	=====

NOTE D - SPECIAL CHARGES

Accrued expenses and other long-term liabilities at February 28, 2003 included amounts associated with certain special charges incurred during fiscal 2003 and fiscal 2002. Activity during the first quarter of fiscal 2004 related to such accruals was as follows (in thousands):

	Accrued Balance February 28, 2003	Payments	
Severance and related charges	\$ 466	\$ (81)	
Future lease costs for properties no longer being used	\$1,896	\$ (229)	

The Company expects to pay the balance of accrued severance and related charges

Revision to Estim

\$ (27

during fiscal 2004 and to pay the balance of future lease costs over the remaining lease terms, which extend through June 2005.

During the quarter ended May 31, 2003, the Company reduced its workforce by 56 positions. In doing so, the Company incurred severance charges of approximately \$1.4 million, with approximately \$0.6 million, \$0.2 million, and \$0.6 million impacting cost of goods sold, research and development, and selling, general and administrative expenses, respectively. At May 31, 2003, approximately \$0.7 million of the charges remained unpaid. The majority of such remaining charges are expected to be paid during the second quarter of fiscal 2004.

In June 2003, the Company announced that its chief financial officer will resign from the Company in July 2003 to pursue other opportunities. The Company expects to record cash and non-cash charges totaling approximately 0.5 million and 0.3 million, respectively, during the second quarter of fiscal 2004 under the terms of a separation agreement executed in connection with the officer's resignation.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2003, the Company incurred special charges of approximately \$2.8 million, including \$2.4 million for severance payments and related benefits, and \$0.4 million for the closure of its leased facility in Chicago, Illinois. The severance and related costs were associated with a workforce reduction affecting 103 employees. During the first quarter of fiscal 2003, the Company also revised its estimates of severance charges originally recorded in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2002, reducing its accrual for such charges by \$0.2 million. The net effect of all special charges activities for the quarter resulted in charges to cost of goods sold, research and development and selling, general and administrative expenses of \$1.4 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.7 million, respectively.

7

NOTE E - LONG-TERM BORROWINGS

At May 31, 2003 and February 28, 2003 the Company's long-term debt was comprised of the following (in thousands):

Mortgage loan, bearing interest payable monthly at the greater of 10.5% or the prime rate plus 2.0%; principal due May 28, 2005

Amortizing term loan, principal due in equal monthly installments of approximately \$0.3 million through July 2005 with final payments totaling approximately \$0.6 million in August 2005; interest payable monthly, accruing at a rate equal to the prime rate plus 2.75% (7.0% at May 31, 2003)

Total debt outstanding Less: current portion

May 31, 200

\$ 10,500

7**,**777

18,277 (3,333)

MORTGAGE LOAN

The Company's mortgage loan is secured by a first lien on the Company's Dallas headquarters and contains a covenant requiring the Company to have at least \$5.0 million in net equity at the end of each of its fiscal quarters beginning with the quarter ending August 31, 2004. The mortgage loan also contains cross-default provisions with respect to the Company's term loan and revolving credit agreement, such that a default under the credit facility which leads to the acceleration of amounts due under the facility and the enforcement of liens against the mortgaged property also creates a default under the mortgage loan.

TERM LOAN AND REVOLVING CREDIT AGREEMENT

In August 2002, the Company entered into a credit facility agreement with a lender which provided for an amortizing term loan of \$10.0 million and a revolving credit commitment equal to the lesser of \$25.0 million minus the principal outstanding under the term loan and the balance of any letters of credit (\$17.2 million maximum at May 31, 2003) or a defined borrowing base comprised primarily of eligible U.S. and U.K. accounts receivable (\$0.4 million maximum at May 31, 2003).

Any advances under the revolver loan will accrue interest at the prime rate plus a margin of 0.5% to 1.5%, or at the London Inter-bank Offering Rate plus a margin of 3% to 4%. The Company may request an advance under the revolver loan at any time during the term of the revolver agreement so long as the requested advance does not exceed the then available borrowing base. The Company has not requested an advance under the revolver as of the date of this filing. The term loan and the revolving credit agreement expire on August 29, 2005.

Borrowings under the credit facility are secured by first liens on virtually all of the Company's personal property and by a subordinated lien on the Company's Dallas headquarters. The credit facility contains cross-default provisions with respect to the Company's mortgage loan, such that an event of default under the mortgage loan which allows the mortgage lender to accelerate the mortgage loan or terminate the agreement creates a default under the credit facility.

The credit facility contains terms, conditions and representations that are generally customary for asset-based credit facilities, including requirements that the Company comply with certain significant financial and operating covenants. In particular, the Company is initially required to have EBITDA (as defined in the credit facility) in minimum cumulative amounts on a monthly basis through August 31, 2003. While lower amounts are allowed within each fiscal quarter, the Company must generate

8

cumulative EBITDA of \$9.0 million for the twelve-month period ending August 31, 2003. Thereafter, the Company is required to have minimum cumulative EBITDA of \$15 million and \$20 million for the 12-month periods ending November 30, 2003 and February 29, 2004, respectively, and \$25 million for the 12-month periods ending each fiscal quarter thereafter. The Company is also required to maintain defined levels of actual and projected service revenues and is prohibited from incurring capital expenditures in excess of \$4.0 million for any fiscal year

beginning on or after March 1, 2003 except in certain circumstances and with the lender's prior approval. As of May 31, 2003, the Company was in compliance with all financial and operating covenants.

NOTE F - INCOME TAXES

During the three-month periods ended May 31, 2003 and 2002, the Company recognized current income tax expense on the pretax income of certain foreign subsidiaries. During the same periods, the Company incurred domestic pretax losses. The Company did not recognize current income tax benefits as a result of such losses, however, because the existence of recent domestic losses prevented it from concluding that it was more likely than not that such benefits would be realized.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2003, United States tax law was amended to allow companies which incurred net operating losses in 2001 and 2002 to carry such losses back a maximum of five years instead of the maximum of two years previously allowed. As a result of this change, the Company used \$21.5 million of its then existing net operating loss carryforwards and \$0.4 million of its then existing tax credit carryforwards and recognized a one-time tax benefit of \$7.9 million, of which \$2.2 million was recognized as additional capital associated with previous stock option exercises. Also during the first quarter of fiscal 2003, and as discussed in Note B, the Company reduced its deferred tax liabilities by \$1.4 million in connection with the reclassification of its assembled workforce intangible asset to goodwill. As a result of this reduction in deferred tax liabilities, the Company increased the valuation allowance associated with its net deferred tax asset by \$1.4 million.

NOTE G - EARNINGS PER SHARE AND STOCK COMPENSATION

(in thousands, except per share data)	May 31, 2003	May 31, 2002	
Numerator:			
Income (loss) before the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle Cumulative effect on prior years of a	\$ 936	\$ (8,679)	
change in accounting principle		(15,791)	
Net income (loss)	\$ 936	\$(24,470)	
Denominator:			
Denominator for basic earnings per share	34,111	34,039	
Dilutive potential common shares Employee stock options	249		
Denominator for diluted earnings per share	34,360	34,039	

9

\$0.03	\$(0.26)
	(0.46)
\$0.03	\$(0.72)
=====	=====
\$0.03	\$(0.26)
	(0.46)
\$0.03	\$(0.72)
=====	=====
	\$0.03 ====

Options to purchase 4,847,606 shares of common stock at an average exercise price of \$8.62 per share and warrants to purchase 621,304 shares at an exercise price of \$4.0238 per share were outstanding at May 31, 2003 but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the first quarter of fiscal 2004 because the options' prices were greater than the average market price of the Company's common shares during such period and, therefore, the effect would have been antidilutive. Options to purchase 4,378,654 shares of common stock at an average exercise price of \$10.17 per share and warrants to purchase 621,304 shares at an exercise price of \$4.0238 per share were outstanding at May 31, 2002 but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the first three months of fiscal 2003 because the effect would have been antidilutive given the Company's loss for the quarter. In addition, the Company's then outstanding convertible notes plus accrued interest were convertible at the option of the note holders into 1,617,342 shares at an exercise price of \$6.184 per share as of May 31, 2002 but were similarly excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share for the quarter. Such notes and accrued interest were subsequently repaid in full.

The Company accounts for its stock-based compensation plans in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" (APB 25) and related Interpretations. Under APB 25, no compensation expense is recognized for stock option grants if the exercise price of the Company's stock option grants is at or above the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date of grant. The Company has adopted the pro forma disclosure features of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" (SFAS 123), as amended by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure". The following table illustrates the effect on net income and net income per share amounts if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 to stock-based employee compensation (in thousands, except per share amounts):

Three Months Ended May 31,

		2003 		2002
Net income (loss), as reported Less: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based	\$	936	\$	(24,470)
methods for all awards, net of tax		(1)		585
Pro forma net income (loss)	\$	937	\$	(25,055)
	====	=====	==	======
Net income (loss) per share:				
Basic and diluted - as reported	\$	0.03	\$	(0.72)
Basic and diluted - pro forma	\$	0.03	\$	(0.74)

10

NOTE H - OPERATING SEGMENT INFORMATION AND MAJOR CUSTOMERS

The Company operates as a single, integrated business unit. The Company's chief operating decision maker assesses performance and allocates resources on an enterprise wide basis. The Company's product line includes IVR/portal systems, messaging systems, payment systems, maintenance and related services, and managed services provided for customers on an outsourced or application service provider (ASP) basis. In prior years, the Company has identified its sales of systems and related services as being sales to the Enterprise and Network markets. Generally sales of IVR/portal systems and related services were made to Enterprise customers, while sales of messaging and payment systems were made to Network customers. Going forward, the Company believes that product line distinction provides the most meaningful breakdown of quarterly and annual sales activity. The Company is not able to provide the historical breakdown of Network system sales into its messaging and payment systems components. The Company's net sales by product line for the three months ended May 31, 2003 and 2002 were as follows (in thousands):

	MAY 31	
	2003	2002
IVR/portal system sales (Enterprise system		
sales in fiscal 2003)	\$11 , 906	\$12,310
Messaging system sales (included in		
Network system sales in fiscal 2003)	4,600	
Payment system sales (included in Network		
(system sales in fiscal 2003)	1,525	
Network system sales		9,325
Total system sales	18,031	21,635

Maintenance and related services sales	13,586	12,025
Managed service (ASP) sales	6 , 785	4,756
Total services sales	20,371	16,781
Total Company sales	\$38,402	\$38,416
	======	======

GEOGRAPHIC OPERATIONS

Revenues are attributed to geographic locations based on locations of customers. The Company's net sales by geographic area for the three-month periods ended May 31, 2003 and 2002 were as follows (in thousands):

	MA	AY 31
	2003	2002
North America	\$24,245	\$22,423
Europe, Middle East and Africa	13,194	13,974
Pacific Rim	396	520
Central and South America	567	1,499
Total	\$38,402	\$38,416
	======	======

CONCENTRATION OF REVENUE

One customer, O2, has purchased both systems and ASP managed services from the Company. Such combined purchases accounted for approximately 11% of the Company's total sales during the three-month periods ended May 31, 2003 and 2002. Under the terms of its managed services contract with O2 and at current exchange rates, the Company will recognize revenues of \$1.0 million per month through July 2003 and \$0.7 million per month from August 2003 through July 2005. The amount received under

11

the agreement may vary based on future changes in the exchange rate between the dollar and the British pound. There were no other customers accounting for 10% or more of the Company's sales during the three months ended May 31, 2003 and 2002.

NOTE I - CONTINGENCIES

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY MATTERS

The Company provides its customers a qualified indemnity against the infringement of third party intellectual property rights. From time to time various owners of patents and copyrighted works send the Company or its

customers letters alleging that the Company's products do or might infringe upon the owners' intellectual property rights, and/or suggesting that the Company or its customers should negotiate a license or cross-license agreement with the owner. The Company's policy is to never knowingly infringe upon any third party's intellectual property rights. Accordingly, the Company forwards any such allegation or licensing request to its outside legal counsel for their review and opinion. The Company generally attempts to resolve any such matter by informing the owner of its position concerning non-infringement or invalidity, and/or, if appropriate, negotiating a license or cross-license agreement. Even though the Company attempts to resolve these matters without litigation, it is always possible that the owner of the patent or copyrighted works will institute litigation. Owners of patent(s) and/or copyrighted work(s) have previously instituted litigation against the Company alleging infringement of their intellectual property rights, although no such litigation is currently pending against the Company. The Company currently has a portfolio of 62 patents, and it has applied for and will continue to apply for and receive a number of additional patents to reflect its technological innovations. The Company believes that its patent portfolio could allow it to assert counterclaims for infringement against certain owners of intellectual property rights if those owners were to sue the Company for infringement.

From time to time Ronald A. Katz Technology Licensing L.P. ("RAKTL") has sent letters to certain customers of the Company suggesting that the customer should negotiate a license agreement to cover the practice of certain patents owned by RAKTL. In the letters, RAKTL has alleged that certain of its patents pertain to certain enhanced services offered by network providers, including prepaid card and wireless services and postpaid card services. RAKTL has further alleged that certain of its patents pertain to certain call processing applications, including applications for call centers that route calls using a called party's DNIS identification number. As a result of the correspondence, an increasing number of the Company's customers have had discussions, or are in discussions, with RAKTL. Certain products offered by the Company can be programmed and configured to provide enhanced services to network providers and call processing applications for call centers. The Company's contracts with customers usually include a qualified obligation to indemnify and defend customers against claims that products as delivered by the Company infringe a third party's patent.

None of the Company's customers have notified the Company that RAKTL has claimed that any product provided by the Company infringes any claims of any RAKTL patent. Accordingly, the Company has not been required to defend any customers against a claim of infringement under a RAKTL patent. The Company has, however, received letters from customers notifying the Company of the efforts by RAKTL to license its patent portfolio and reminding the Company of its potential obligations under the indemnification provisions of the applicable agreements in the event that a claim is asserted. In response to correspondence from RAKTL, a few customers have attempted to tender to the Company the defense of its products under contractual indemnity provisions. The Company has informed these customers that while it fully intends to honor any contractual indemnity provisions, it does not believe it currently has any obligation to provide such a defense because RAKTL does not appear to have made a claim that a Company product infringes a patent. Some of these customers have disagreed with the Company and believe that the correspondence from RAKTL can be construed as claim(s) against the Company's products. An affiliate of Verizon Communications, Inc., Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, recently settled all claims of patent infringement asserted against it in the matter of RAKTL v. Verizon Communications, Inc. et al, No. 01-CV-5627, in U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Verizon Wireless had previously notified the Company of the lawsuit and referenced provisions in a contract for prepaid services which required a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, Brite Voice Systems Inc., to indemnify Verizon Wireless against claims that its services infringe patents. The claims in the lawsuit made general reference to prepaid services

and a variety of other services offered by Verizon

12

Wireless and its affiliates but did not refer to Brite's products or services. The Company had informed Verizon Wireless that it could find no basis for an indemnity obligation under the expired contract and, accordingly, the Company did not participate in the defense or settlement of the matter.

Even though RAKTL has not alleged that a product provided by the Company infringes a RAKTL patent, it is always possible that RAKTL may do so. In the event that a Company product becomes the subject of litigation, a customer could attempt to invoke the Company's indemnity obligations under the applicable agreement. As with most sales contracts with suppliers of computerized equipment, the Company's contractual indemnity obligations are generally limited to the products and services provided by the Company, and generally require the customer to allow the Company to have control over any litigation and settlement negotiations with the patent holder. The customers who have received letters from RAKTL generally have multiple suppliers of the types of products that might potentially be subject to claims by RAKTL.

Even though no claims have been made that a specific product offered by the Company infringes any claim under the RAKTL patent portfolio, the Company has received opinions from its outside patent counsel that certain products and applications offered by the Company do not infringe certain claims of the RAKTL patents. The Company has also received opinions from its outside counsel that certain claims under the RAKTL patent portfolio are invalid or unenforceable. Furthermore, based on the reviews by outside counsel, the Company is not aware of any valid and enforceable claims under the RAKTL portfolio that are infringed by the Company's products. If the Company does become involved in litigation in connection with the RAKTL patent portfolio, under a contractual indemnity or any other legal theory, the Company intends to vigorously contest the claims and to assert appropriate defenses. An increasing number of companies, including some large, well known companies and some customers of the Company, have already licensed certain rights under the RAKTL patent portfolio. RAKTL has previously announced license agreements with, among others, AT&T Corp., Microsoft Corporation and International Business Machines Corporation.

In the matter of Aerotel, Ltd. et al, v. Sprint Corporation, et al, Cause No. 99-CIV-11091 (SAS), pending in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, Aerotel, Ltd., has sued Sprint Corporation alleging that certain prepaid services offered by Sprint are infringing Aerotel's U.S. Patent No. 4,706,275 ("275 patent"). According to Sprint, the suit originally focused on land-line prepaid services not provided by the Company. As part of an unsuccessful mediation effort, Aerotel also sought compensation for certain prepaid wireless services provided to Sprint PCS by the Company. As a result of the mediation effort, Sprint requested that the Company provide a defense and indemnification to Aerotel's infringement claims, to the extent that they pertain to any wireless prepaid services offered by the Company. In response to this request, the Company provided certain assistance to Sprint's counsel in defending against such claims, to the extent they dealt with issues unique to the system and services provided by the Company. Furthermore, the Company and Sprint recently entered into an agreement to settle and release the Company from any obligations to Sprint in connection with the Aerotel litigation. Under the agreement, the Company will make certain payments, which are not significant to the Company's consolidated financial position, to Sprint.

PENDING LITIGATION

David Barrie, et al., on Behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly Situated v. InterVoice-Brite, Inc., et al.; No. 3-01CV1071-D, pending in the United States District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division:

Several related class action lawsuits were filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas on behalf of purchasers of common stock of the Company during the period from October 12, 1999 through June 6, 2000 the ("Class Period"). Plaintiffs have filed claims, which were consolidated into one proceeding, under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 10b-5 against the Company as well as certain named current and former officers and directors of the Company on behalf of the alleged class members. In the complaint, Plaintiffs claim that the Company and the named current and former officers and directors issued false and misleading statements during the Class Period concerning the financial condition of the Company, the results of the Company's merger with Brite and the alleged future business projections of

13

the Company. Plaintiffs have asserted that these alleged statements resulted in artificially inflated stock prices.

The Company believes that it and its officers and directors complied with their obligations under the securities laws, and intends to defend the lawsuit vigorously. The Company responded to this complaint by filing a motion to dismiss the complaint in the consolidated proceeding. The Company asserted that the complaint lacked the degree of specificity and factual support to meet the pleading standards applicable to federal securities litigation. On this basis, the Company requested that the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas dismiss the complaint in its entirety. Plaintiffs responded to the Company's request for dismissal. On August 8, 2002, the Court entered an order granting the Company's motion to dismiss the class action lawsuit. In the order dismissing the lawsuit, the Court granted plaintiffs an opportunity to reinstate the lawsuit by filing an amended complaint. Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint on September 23, 2002. The Company has filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint, and plaintiffs have filed a response in opposition to the Company's motion to dismiss. At the direction of the Court, the parties attended a mediation with a neutral third-party mediator during June 2003. The mediation did not result in a settlement. All discovery and other proceedings not related to the dismissal have been stayed pending resolution of the Company's request to dismiss the amended complaint.

TELEMAC ARBITRATION

On March 28, 2003 the Company announced a settlement of an arbitration proceeding in the Los Angeles, California, office of JAMS initiated by Telemac Corporation ("Telemac") against the Company, InterVoice Brite Ltd. and Brite Voice Systems, Inc., JAMS Case No. 1220026278. Telemac's allegations arose out of the negotiation of an Amended and Restated Prepaid Phone Processing Agreement between Telemac and Brite Voice Systems Group, Ltd., and certain amendments thereto, under which Telemac licensed prepaid wireless software for use in the United Kingdom under agreement with O2, formerly BT Cellnet, a provider of wireless telephony in the United Kingdom. The terms of the settlement included a cash payment to Telemac, which was not significant to the Company's consolidated financial condition.

OTHER MATTERS

The Company is a defendant from time to time in lawsuits incidental to its

business. Based on currently available information, the Company believes that resolution of all known lawsuits, including the matters described above, is uncertain, and there can be no assurance that future costs related to such matters would not be material to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

The Company has employment agreements with two executive officers. Each agreement requires the Company to make termination payments to the officer of two times the officer's annual base compensation in the event the officer's services are terminated without cause or payments of up to 2.99 times the officer's annual compensation including bonuses in connection with a termination of the officer's services following a change in ownership of the Company, as defined in the agreement, prior to the expiration of the agreement. If both officers were terminated for one of the preceding reasons during fiscal 2004, the cost to the Company would range from \$1.2 million to \$1.8 million.

14

ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

CAUTIONARY DISCLOSURES TO QUALIFY FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report on Form 10-Q includes "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. All statements other than statements of historical facts included in this Form 10-Q, including, without limitation, statements contained in this "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" located elsewhere herein regarding the Company's financial position, business strategy, plans and objectives of management of the Company for future operations, and industry conditions, are forward-looking statements. Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, it can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. In addition to important factors described elsewhere in this report, the Company cautions current and potential investors that the following important risk factors, among others, sometimes have affected, and in the future could affect, the Company's actual results and could cause such results during fiscal 2004, and beyond, to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of the Company:

- The Company has experienced recent operating losses and may not operate profitably in the future. The Company incurred net losses of approximately \$66.4 million, \$44.7 million and \$2.3 million in fiscal 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Although the Company generated net income of \$0.9 million for the quarter ended May 31, 2003, it may incur additional losses in the future, which could hinder the Company's ability to operate its current business. The Company may not be able to generate sufficient revenues from its operations to achieve or sustain profitability in the future.
- o The Company is obligated to make periodic payments of principal and interest under its financing agreements. The Company has material indebtedness outstanding under a mortgage loan secured by the Company's office facilities in Dallas, Texas and under a senior secured term loan facility. The Company is required to make periodic payments of interest

under each of these financing agreements and, in the case of the term loan, periodic payments of principal. The Company may, from time to time, have additional indebtedness outstanding under its revolving credit facility. If the Company at any time defaults on any of its payment obligations or other obligations under any financing agreement, the creditors under the applicable agreement will have all rights available under the agreement, including acceleration, termination and enforcement of security interests. The financing agreements also have certain qualified cross-default provisions, particularly for acceleration of indebtedness under any one of the financing agreements. Under such circumstances, the Company's cash position and liquidity would be severely impacted, and it is possible the Company would not be able to pay its debts as they come due.

- o The Company's financing agreements include significant financial and operating covenants and default provisions. In addition to the payment obligations, the Company's senior secured term loan and revolving credit facility and its mortgage loan facility contain significant financial covenants, operating covenants and default provisions. If the Company does not comply with any of these covenants and default provisions, the Company's secured lenders can accelerate all indebtedness outstanding under the facilities and foreclose on substantially all of the Company's assets. In order for the Company to comply with the escalating minimum EBITDA requirements in its senior secured credit facility, the Company will have to continue to increase revenues and/or lower expenses in future quarters. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources" in this Item 2 for a discussion of the Company's minimum EBITDA covenant.
- o General business activity has declined. The Company's sales are largely dependent on the strength of the domestic and international economies and, in particular, on demand for telecommunications equipment, computers, software and other technology products. The market for telecommunications equipment has declined sharply over the last three years, and the markets for computers, software and other technology products also have declined. In addition, there is concern that demand for the types of products offered by the Company will remain soft for some period of time as a result of domestic and global economic and political conditions.

15

- O The Company is prone to quarterly sales fluctuations. Some of the Company's transactions are completed in the same fiscal quarter as ordered. The quantity and size of large sales (sales valued at approximately \$2.0 million or more) during any quarter can cause wide variations in the Company's quarterly sales and earnings, as such sales are unevenly distributed throughout the fiscal year. The Company's accuracy in estimating future sales is largely dependent on its ability to successfully qualify, estimate and close system sales from its "pipeline" of sales opportunities during a quarter. No matter how promising a pipeline opportunity may appear, there is no assurance it will ever result in a sale. Accordingly, the Company's actual sales for any fiscal reporting period may be significantly different from any estimate of sales for such period. See the discussion entitled "Sales" in this Item 2 for a discussion of the Company's system for estimating sales and trends in its business.
- o The Company is subject to potential and pending lawsuits and other claims. The Company is subject to certain potential and pending lawsuits and other claims discussed in Item 1 "Legal Proceedings" in Part II of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in Item 3 "Legal Proceedings" in

Part I of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended February 28, 2003. The Company believes that the pending lawsuit to which it is a party is without merit and intends to defend such matter vigorously. The Company may not prevail in the litigation. An adverse judgment in the litigation or any other matter, as well as the Company's expenses relating to its defense of a given matter, could have consequences materially adverse to the Company.

- The Company faces intense competition based on product capabilities and experiences ever increasing demands from its actual and prospective customers for its products to be compatible with a variety of rapidly proliferating computing, telephony and computer networking technologies and standards. The ultimate success of the Company's products is dependent, to a large degree, on the Company allocating its resources to developing and improving products compatible with those technologies, standards and functionalities that ultimately become widely accepted by the Company's actual and prospective customers. The Company's success is also dependent, to a large degree, on the Company's ability to implement arrangements with other vendors with complementary product offerings to provide actual and prospective customers greater functionality and to ensure that the Company's products are compatible with the increased variety of technologies and standards. The principal competitors for the Company's systems include Avaya, IBM, Nortel, Aspect Communications, Security First, Comverse Technology, Lucent Technologies and UNISYS. Many of the Company's competitors have greater financial, technological and marketing resources than the Company has. Although the Company has committed substantial resources to enhance its existing products and to develop and market new products, it may not be successful.
- The Company may not be able to retain its customer base and, in particular, its more significant customers, such as O2. The Company's success depends substantially on retaining its significant customers. The loss of one of the Company's significant customers could negatively impact the Company's results of operations. The Company's installed base of customers generally is not contractually obligated to place further systems orders with the Company or to extend their services contracts with the Company at the expiration of their current contracts. Sales to 02, which purchases both systems and managed services from the Company, accounted for approximately 11% of the Company's total sales during the quarters ended May 31, 2003 and 2002. Under the terms of its recently extended managed services contract with O2 and at current exchange rates, the Company will recognize revenues of approximately \$1.0 million per month through July 2003, and \$0.7 million per month from August 2003 through the end of the contract in July 2005. The amounts received under the agreement may vary based on future changes in the exchange rate between the dollar and the British pound.
- o The Company may not be successful in transitioning its products and services to an open, standards-based business model. The Company has historically provided complete, bundled hardware and software systems using internally developed components to address its customers' total business needs. Increasingly, the markets for the Company's products are requiring a shift to

16

the development of products and services based on an open, standards-based architecture such as the J2EE and Microsoft's (R).NET environments utilizing VoiceXML and/or SALT standards. Such an open,

standards-based approach allows customers to independently purchase and combine hardware components, standardized software modules, and customization, installation and integration services from individual vendors deemed to offer the best value in the particular class of product or service. In such an environment, the Company believes it may sell less hardware and fewer bundled systems and may become increasingly dependent on its development and sale of software application packages, customized software and consulting and integration services. This shift will place new challenges on the Company's management to transition its products and to hire and retain the mix of personnel necessary to respond to this business environment, to adapt to the changing expense structure that the new environment may tend to foster, and to increase sales of services, customized software and application packages to offset reduced sales of hardware and bundled systems. If the Company is unsuccessful in resolving one or more of these challenges, the Company's revenues and profitability could decline.

- o The Company will incur substantial expenses to transition its products and services to an open, standards-based business model. The Company anticipates that it will incur substantial research and development expenses and other expenses to adapt its organization and product and service offerings to an open, standards-based business model. If the Company is unable to accurately estimate the future expenses associated with these strategic initiatives, or if the Company must divert its resources to fund other strategic or operational obligations, the Company's ability to fund the strategic initiatives and to operate profitably will be adversely affected.
- The Company's reliance on significant vendor relationships could result in significant expense or an inability to serve its customers if it loses these relationships. Although the Company generally uses standard parts and components for its products, some of its components, including semi-conductors and, in particular, digital signal processors manufactured by Texas Instruments and AT&T Corp., are available only from a small number of vendors. Likewise, the Company licenses speech recognition technology from a small number of vendors. As the Company continues to migrate to open, standards-based systems, it will become increasingly dependent on its component suppliers and software vendors. To date, the Company has been able to obtain adequate supplies of needed components and licenses in a timely manner. If the Company's significant vendors are unable or cease to supply components or licenses at current levels, the Company may not be able to obtain these items from another source or at historical prices. Consequently, the Company would be unable to provide products and to service its customers or to generate historical operating margins, which would negatively impact its business and operating results.
- If third parties assert claims that the Company's products or services infringe on their technology and related intellectual property rights, whether the claims are made directly against the Company or against the Company's customers, the Company could incur substantial costs to defend these claims. If any of these claims is ultimately successful, a third party could require the Company to pay substantial damages, discontinue the use and sale of infringing products, expend significant resources to acquire non-infringing alternatives, and/or obtain licenses to use the infringed intellectual property rights. Moreover, where the claims are asserted with respect to the Company's customers, additional expenses may be involved in indemnifying the customer and/or designing and providing non-infringing products. See Item 1 "Legal Proceedings" in Part II of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and Item 3 "Legal Proceedings" in Part I of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended February 28, 2003 for a discussion of certain pending and

potential claims of infringement.

The Company is exposed to risks related to its international operations that could increase its costs and hurt its business. The Company's products are currently sold in more than 75 countries. The Company's international sales, as a percentage of total Company sales, were 37% and 42% in

17

fiscal quarters ended May 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. International sales are subject to certain risks, including:

- o fluctuations in currency exchange rates;
- o the difficulty and expense of maintaining foreign offices and distribution channels;
- o tariffs and other barriers to trade;
- o greater difficulty in protecting and enforcing intellectual property rights;
- o general economic and political conditions in each country;
- o loss of revenue, property and equipment from expropriation;
- o import and export licensing requirements; and
- additional expenses and risks inherent in conducting operations in geographically distant locations, including risks arising from customers speaking different languages and having different cultural approaches to the conduct of business.
- o The Company's inability to properly estimate costs under fixed price contracts could negatively impact its profitability. Some of the Company's contracts to develop application software and customized systems provide for the customer to pay a fixed price for its products and services regardless of whether the Company's costs to perform under the contract exceed the amount of the fixed price. If the Company is unable to estimate accurately the amount of future costs under these fixed price contracts, or if unforeseen additional costs must be incurred to perform under these contracts, the Company's ability to operate profitably under these contracts may be adversely affected. The Company has realized significant losses under certain customer contracts in the past and may experience similar significant losses in the future.
- The Company's inability to meet contracted performance targets could subject it to significant penalties. Many of the Company's contracts, particularly for managed services, foreign contracts and contracts with telecommunication companies, include provisions for the assessment of liquidated damages for delayed project completion and/or for the Company's failure to achieve certain minimum service levels. The Company has had to pay liquidated damages in the past and may have to pay additional liquidated damages in the future. Any such future liquidated damages could be significant.
- o Increasing consolidation in the telecommunications and financial industries could affect the Company's revenues and profitability. The

majority of the Company's significant customers are in the telecommunications and financial industries, which are undergoing increasing consolidation as a result of merger and acquisition activity. This activity involving the Company's significant customers could decrease the number of customers purchasing the Company's products and/or delay purchases of the Company's products by customers that are in the process of reviewing their strategic alternatives in light of a pending merger or acquisition. If the Company has fewer customers or its customers delay purchases of the Company's products as a result of merger and acquisition activity, the Company's revenues and profitability could decline.

o Any failure by the Company to satisfy its registration, listing and other obligations with respect to the common stock underlying certain warrants could result in adverse consequences. Subject to certain exceptions, the Company is required to maintain the effectiveness of the registration statement that became effective June 27, 2002 covering the common stock underlying certain warrants to purchase up to 621,304 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$4.0238 per share until the earlier of the date the underlying common stock may be resold pursuant to Rule 144(k) under the Securities Act of 1933 or the date on which the sale of all the underlying common stock is completed. The Company is subject to various penalties for failure to meet its registration obligations and the related stock exchange listing for the underlying common stock, including cash penalties. The warrants are also subject to anti-dilution adjustments.

18

The occurrence of force majuere events could impact the Company's results from operations. The occurrence of one or more of the following events could potentially cause the Company to incur significant losses: acts of God, war, riot, embargoes, acts of civil or military authorities, acts of terrorism or sabotage, shortage of supply or delay in delivery by Intervoice's vendors, the spread of SARS or other diseases, fire, flood, explosion, earthquake, accident, strikes, radiation, inability to secure transportation, failure of communications, failure of utilities or similar events.

SALES. The Company's total sales for the first quarter of fiscal 2004 and 2003 were \$38.4 million. The mix of sales varied between quarters, however, with system sales totaling \$18.0 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2004 as compared to \$21.6 million for the fiscal 2003 first quarter and services sales totaling \$20.4 million in fiscal 2004 as compared to \$16.8 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2003. Services sales during the first quarter of fiscal 2004 included \$1.9 million relating to services performed in prior periods for an international managed services customer for which the Company recognizes revenue on a cash basis.

The Company operates as a single, integrated business unit. The Company's chief operating decision maker assesses performance and allocates resources on an enterprise wide basis. The Company's product line includes IVR/portal systems, messaging systems, payment systems, maintenance and related services, and managed services provided for customers on an outsourced or application service provider (ASP) basis. In prior years, the Company has identified its sales of systems and related services as being sales to the Enterprise and Network markets. Generally sales of IVR/portal systems and related services were made to

Enterprise customers, while sales of messaging and payment systems were made to Network customers. Going forward, the Company believes that product line distinction provides the most meaningful breakdown of quarterly and annual sales activity. The Company is not able to provide the historical breakdown of Network system sales into its messaging and payment systems components. The Company's net sales by product line for the three months ended May 31, 2003 and 2002 were as follows (in thousands):

	MAY 31	
	2003	2002
<pre>IVR/portal system sales (Enterprise system sales in fiscal 2003) Messaging system sales (included in</pre>	\$11,906	\$12,310
Network system sales in fiscal 2003) Payment system sales (included in Network	4,600	
system sales in fiscal 2003) Network system sales	1,525 	 9,325
Total system sales	18,031 	21,635
Maintenance and related services sales Managed service (ASP) sales	13 , 586 6 , 785	12,025 4,756
Total services sales	20,371	16,781
Total Company sales	\$38 , 402	\$38,416 ======

As identified in the preceding chart, the decline in system sales from fiscal 2003 levels is primarily attributable to the decline in the market for telecommunication equipment, which the Company has experienced over the past two years. The Company believes that the market for network products will remain soft through fiscal 2004. The increase in services sales is a combination of growth in the sale of maintenance and related services and the impact of the cash basis managed services recognized during the first quarter of fiscal 2004 as compared to the same time frame for fiscal 2003.

One customer, O2, has purchased both systems and ASP managed services from the Company. Such combined purchases accounted for approximately 11% of the Company's total sales during the three-month periods ended May 31, 2003 and 2002. Under the terms of its managed services contract with O2

19

and at current exchange rates the Company will recognize revenues of \$1.0 million per month through July 2003 and \$0.7 million per month from August 2003 through July 2005. The amount received under the agreement may vary based on future changes in the exchange rate between the dollar and the British pound. There were no other customers accounting for 10% or more of the Company's sales during the three months ended May 31, 2003 and 2002.

International sales comprised 37% of the Company's total sales during the first quarter of fiscal 2004, down from 42% during the first quarter of fiscal 2003. The decline is primarily attributable to slightly lower sales volumes in Latin American and the EMEA regions.

The Company uses a system combining estimated sales from its service and support contracts, its backlog of committed systems orders and its "pipeline" of systems sales opportunities to estimate sales and trends in its business. For the quarter ended May 31, 2003, sales from service and support contracts, including contracts for ASP managed services, comprised approximately 53% of the Company's total sales, while sales from beginning systems backlog comprised approximately 40% of total sales and sales from the quarter's pipeline activity comprised approximately 7% of total sales. For the quarter ended May 31, 2002, sales from service and support contracts, sales from beginning systems backlog and sales from the quarter's pipeline activity comprised approximately 44%, 26% and 30% of total sales, respectively.

The Company's service and support contracts range in original duration from one month to five years, with most managed service contracts having initial terms of two to three years and most maintenance and related contracts having initial terms of one year. Because many of the longer duration contracts give customers early cancellation privileges, the Company does not consider its book of services contracts to be reportable backlog, and a portion of the potential revenue reflected in the contract values may never be realized. Nevertheless, it is easier for the Company to estimate service and support sales than to estimate systems sales for the next quarter because the service and support contracts generally span multiple quarters and revenues recognized under each contract are generally similar from one quarter to the next.

The Company's backlog is made up of customer orders for systems for which it has received complete purchase orders and which the Company expects to ship within twelve months. At May 31, 2003, February 28, 2003, November 30, 2002 and August 31, 2002, the Company's backlog of systems sales was approximately \$29.5 million, \$33.5 million, \$29.5 million and \$33.5 million, respectively.

The Company's pipeline of opportunities for systems sales is the aggregation of its sales opportunities, with each opportunity evaluated for the date the potential customer will make a purchase decision, competitive risks, and the potential amount of any resulting sale. No matter how promising a pipeline opportunity may appear, there is no assurance it will ever result in a sale. While this pipeline may provide the Company some sales guidelines in its business planning and budgeting, pipeline estimates are necessarily speculative and may not consistently correlate to revenues in a particular quarter or over a longer period of time. While the Company knows the amount of systems backlog available at the beginning of a quarter, it must speculate on its pipeline of systems opportunities for the quarter. The Company's accuracy in estimating total systems sales for the next fiscal quarter is, therefore, highly dependent upon its ability to successfully estimate which pipeline opportunities will close during the quarter.

SPECIAL CHARGES. During the quarter ended May 31, 2003, the Company reduced its workforce by 56 positions. In doing so, the Company incurred severance charges of approximately \$1.4 million, with approximately \$0.6 million, \$0.2 million, and \$0.6 million impacting cost of goods sold, research and development, and selling, general and administrative expenses, respectively.

In June 2003, the Company announced that its chief financial officer will resign from the Company in July 2003 to pursue other opportunities. The Company expects to record cash and non-cash charges totaling approximately \$0.5 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, during the second quarter of fiscal 2004 under the terms of a separation agreement executed in connection with the resignation.

20

During the first quarter of fiscal 2003, the Company incurred special charges of approximately \$2.8 million, including \$2.4 million for severance payments and related benefits, and \$0.4 million for the closure of its leased facility in Chicago, Illinois. The severance and related costs were associated with a workforce reduction affecting 103 employees. During the first quarter of fiscal 2003, the Company also revised its estimates of severance charges originally recorded in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2002, reducing its accrual for such charges by \$0.2 million. The net effect of all special charges activities for the first quarter of fiscal 2003 was to increase cost of goods sold, research and development and selling, general and administrative expenses by \$1.4 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.7 million, respectively.

COST OF GOODS SOLD. Cost of goods sold for the first quarter of fiscal 2004 was approximately \$17.9 million or 46.7% of sales as compared to \$22.8 million or 59.3% of sales for the first quarter of fiscal 2003. As described above, the Company incurred special charges to cost of goods sold totaling \$0.6\$ million (1.6%) and \$1.4 million (3.6%) in the first quarter of fiscal 2004 and 2003, respectively. Cost of goods sold on systems sales was \$11.2 million, or 62.2% of system sales, versus \$16.1 million, or 74.5% of system sales, for the first quarters of fiscal 2004 and 2003, respectively. This decrease results from the Company's cost cutting initiatives as well as from differences in the sales channel mix from quarter to quarter. Cost of goods sold on services sales was \$6.7 million, or 33.0% of services sales, for the first quarter of fiscal 2004 versus \$6.7 million, or 40.0% of services sales, for the same period of fiscal 2003. This improvement resulted from a combination of efficiency gains, as the Company served a larger customer base without increasing costs at the same rate as sales increased, and the effect on the calculation of the cash basis managed service revenues recognized in the quarter.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT EXPENSES. Research and development expenses during the first quarter of fiscal 2004 were approximately \$3.9 million, or 10.0% of the Company's total sales. During the first quarter of the previous fiscal year, research and development expenses were \$6.0 million, or 15.6% of the Company's total sales. The Company incurred R&D charges described above in "Special Charges" totaling \$0.2 million (0.5%) and \$0.5 million (1.3%) in the first quarter of fiscal 2004 and 2003, respectively. Expenses were down from fiscal 2003 as a result of the Company's cost reduction initiatives.

Research and development expenses include the design of new products and the enhancement of existing products. The Company's research and development spending is focused in four key areas. First, software tools are being developed to aid in the development, deployment and management of customer applications incorporating speech recognition and text to speech technologies. Next, hardware and software platforms are being developed which interface with telephony networks and an enterprise's internal data network. Such platforms are being developed to operate in traditional enterprise networks as well as newer network environments such as J2EE and Microsoft's .NET. Third, "voice browsers" based on open standards such as SALT and VoiceXML are being developed. Voice browsers incorporate speech recognition technologies and perform the task of formatting a user's verbal query into an inquiry that can be acted upon and/or responded to by an enterprise system. Finally, research and development activities are focusing on modularization of key hardware and software elements. This is increasingly important in a standards-based, open systems architecture as modularization will allow for interchange of commodity elements to reduce overall systems cost and for the Company's best of breed and core technology

strengths to be leveraged into new applications and vertical markets.

The Company expects to maintain a strong commitment to research and development to remain at the forefront of technology development in its markets, which is essential to the continued improvement of the Company's position in the

SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES. Selling, general and administrative expenses during the first quarter of fiscal 2004 were approximately \$13.5 million, or 35.1% of the Company's total sales. SG&A expenses during the first quarter of fiscal 2003 were \$17.7 million, or 46.1% of the Company's total sales. The Company incurred SG&A charges described above in "Special Charges" totaling \$0.6 million (1.6%) and \$0.7 million (1.8%) in the first quarter of fiscal 2004 and 2003, respectively. SG&A expenses have dropped in absolute dollars from the same period last year primarily as a result of cost control initiatives implemented by the Company during fiscal 2003.

21

AMORTIZATION OF ACQUIRED INTANGIBLE ASSETS AND CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF A CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLE. Effective March 1, 2002, the Company adopted Statements of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, Business Combinations, and No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (the "Statements"). Statement No. 141 refines the definition of what assets may be considered as separately identified intangible assets apart from goodwill. Statement No. 142 provides that goodwill and intangible assets deemed to have indefinite lives will no longer be amortized, but will be subject to impairment tests on at least an annual basis.

In adopting the Statements in fiscal 2003, the Company first reclassified \$2.7 million of intangible assets associated with its assembled workforce (net of related deferred taxes of \$1.4 million) to goodwill because such assets did not meet the new criteria for separate identification. The Company then allocated its adjusted goodwill balance of \$19.2 million to its then existing Enterprise and Networks divisions and completed the transitional impairment tests required by Statement No. 142. The fair values of the reporting units were estimated using a combination of the expected present values of future cash flows and an assessment of comparable market values. As a result of these tests, the Company determined that the goodwill associated with its Networks division was fully impaired, and, accordingly, it recognized a non-cash, goodwill impairment charge of \$15.8 million as the cumulative effect on prior years of this change in accounting principle. This impairment resulted primarily from the significant decline in Networks sales and profitability during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2002 and related reduced forecasts for the division's sales and profitability. Effective August 1, 2002, the Company combined its divisions into a single integrated organizational structure in order to address changing market demands and global customer requirements.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2003, and as described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended February 28, 2003, the Company recognized impairment charges of \$16.7 million to reduce the carrying value of its intangible assets other than goodwill to their fair value. As a result of the reduction in carrying value, amortization expense related to these assets totaled \$0.7 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2004, down from \$1.8 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2003. The estimated amortization expense for the balance of fiscal 2004 and for each of the next four fiscal years is as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal	2005	\$1,654
Fiscal	2006	\$1,168
Fiscal	2007	\$1,099
Fiscal	2008	\$1,099

INTEREST EXPENSE. Interest expense was \$0.5 million during the first quarter of fiscal 2004, versus \$1.5 million for the same period of fiscal 2003. The reduction relates to two factors. First, the Company's outstanding debt as of the beginning of the first quarter of fiscal 2004 totaled \$19.1 million, a 36% reduction from the \$30.0 million balance outstanding at the beginning of fiscal 2003. Second, the fiscal 2003 expense included \$0.3 million relating to the final amortization of costs under certain interest rate swap arrangements terminated by the Company during fiscal 2002 and \$0.4 million for the write off of debt issuance costs associated with a term loan retired during the quarter as part of the Company's fiscal 2003 debt restructuring activities. There were no such expenses during the first quarter of fiscal 2004.

INCOME TAXES (BENEFIT). During the three-month periods ended May 31, 2003 and 2002, the Company recognized current income tax expense on the pretax income of certain foreign subsidiaries. During the same periods, the Company incurred domestic pretax losses. The Company did not recognize current income tax benefits as a result of such losses, however, because the existence of recent domestic losses prevented it from concluding that it was more likely than not that such benefits would be realized.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2003, United States tax law was amended to allow companies which incurred net operating losses in 2001 and 2002 to carry such losses back a maximum of five years instead of the maximum of two years previously allowed. As a result of this change, the Company used \$21.5 million of its then existing net operating loss carryforwards and \$0.4 million of its then existing tax credit carryforwards and recognized a one-time tax benefit of \$7.9 million, of which \$2.2 million was

22

recognized as additional capital associated with previous stock option exercises. Also during the first quarter of fiscal 2003, and as discussed above in "Amortization of Acquired Intangible Assets and Cumulative Effect of a Change in Accounting Principle", the Company reduced its deferred tax liabilities by \$1.4 million in connection with the reclassification of its assembled workforce intangible asset to goodwill. As a result of this reduction in deferred tax liabilities, the Company increased the valuation allowance associated with its net deferred tax asset by \$1.4 million.

INCOME (LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS AND NET INCOME (LOSS). The Company generated operating income of \$2.4 million and net income of \$0.9 million during the first quarter of fiscal 2004. During the first quarter of fiscal 2003, the Company generated an operating loss of \$9.8 million, a loss before the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle of \$8.7 million and a net loss of \$24.5 million. As described above in "Amortization of Acquired Intangible Assets and Cumulative Effect of a Change in Accounting Principle", the Company recorded a \$15.8 million charge during the first quarter of fiscal 2003 as the cumulative effect on prior years of a change in accounting principle in connection with its adoption of Statements of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141 and No. 142.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES. The Company had approximately \$27.8 million in cash and cash equivalents at May 31, 2003, while borrowings under the Company's long-term debt facilities totaled \$18.3 million. The Company's cash

reserves increased \$1.6 million during the three months ended May 31, 2003, with operating activities providing \$3.6 million of cash, net investing activities using \$1.3 million of cash and net financing activities using \$0.8 million of cash.

Operating cash flow for the quarter ended May 31, 2003 was favorably impacted by the Company's return to profitability in the quarter, including its collection of \$1.9 million from an international managed services customer for which the Company recognizes revenue on a cash basis, and by its related continuing focus on operating expense control and balance sheet management. The Company reduced its inventory holdings by \$1.1 million from fiscal 2003 ending levels, and held its days sales outstanding (DSOs) of accounts receivable to 62 days, virtually unchanged from February 28, 2003.

For sales of certain of its more complex, customized systems (generally ones with a sales price of \$0.5 million or more), the Company recognizes revenue based on a percentage of completion methodology. Unbilled receivables accrued under this methodology totaled \$4.5 million (17.0% of total net receivables) at May 31, 2003, down \$0.4 million from February 28, 2003. The Company expects to bill and collect unbilled receivables as of May 31, 2003 within the next twelve months.

While the Company continues to focus on the level of its investment in accounts receivable, it now generates a significant percentage of its sales, particularly sales of enhanced telecommunications services systems, outside the United States. Customers in certain countries are subject to significant economic and political challenges that affect their cash flow, and many customers outside the United States are generally accustomed to vendor financing in the form of extended payment terms. To remain competitive in markets outside the United States, the Company may offer selected customers such payment terms. In all cases, however, the Company only recognizes revenue at such time as its system or service fee is fixed or determinable, collectibility is probable and all other criteria for revenue recognition have been met. In some limited cases, this policy may result in the Company recognizing revenue on a "cash basis", limiting revenue recognition on certain sales of systems and/or services to the actual cash received to date from the customer, provided that all other revenue recognition criteria have been satisfied.

The Company's federal income tax returns for its fiscal years 2000 and 2001 are currently being audited by the Internal Revenue Service. The Company has tentatively agreed to proposed adjustments from the IRS challenging certain positions taken by the Company on those returns. Although resolution of the issues is still pending, it is probable that as a result of these proposed adjustments, the Company will lose the ability to carry back approximately \$5.4 million in net operating losses generated in fiscal 2001. If this occurs, the Company will be required to repay up to \$2.0 million of refunds previously received from the IRS plus accrued interest. The Company recorded a charge for these probable adjustments as part of its fiscal 2003 net tax provision. The Company expects final resolution of the issue and cash settlement with the IRS to occur during the second or third quarter of fiscal 2004. Any net operating losses which ultimately cannot be carried back to prior years under the settlement with the IRS may be carried forward to future years.

23

The Company's wholly owned subsidiary, Brite Voice Systems, Inc. ("Brite") has filed a petition in the United States Tax Court seeking a redetermination of a Notice of Deficiency issued by the IRS to Brite. The amount of the proposed deficiency is \$2.4 million before interest or penalties and relates primarily to a disputed item in Brite's August 1999 federal income tax return. The Company

and the IRS have reached a tentative agreement that eliminates the proposed deficiency and, in fact, results in the Company receiving a small net refund. The Company expects final resolution of this matter to occur during the second quarter of fiscal 2004.

The Company used \$1.3 million of cash to purchase additional equipment during the quarter ended May 31, 2003 and used \$0.8 million of cash to make scheduled principal payments under its term loan.

At May 31, 2003, the Company had \$18.3 million in outstanding debt, including \$10.5 million under a mortgage loan and \$7.8 million under a term note and related revolving credit facility. The Company is required to make periodic payments under these financing agreements and is also subject to significant financial and operating covenants contained in these debt agreements as further described below.

MORTGAGE LOAN

Interest on the Company's mortgage loan accrues at the greater of 10.5% or the prime rate plus 2.0% and is payable monthly. The outstanding principal under this loan is due in May 2005. The mortgage loan is secured by a first lien on the Company's Dallas headquarters and contains a covenant requiring the Company to have at least \$5.0 million in net equity at the end of each of its fiscal quarters beginning with the quarter ending August 31, 2004. The mortgage loan also contains cross-default provisions with respect to the Company's term loan and revolving credit agreement, such that a default under the credit facility which leads to the acceleration of amounts due under the facility and the enforcement of liens against the mortgaged property also creates a default under the mortgage loan.

TERM LOAN AND REVOLVING CREDIT AGREEMENT

The Company's credit facility agreement provides for an amortizing term loan of \$10.0 million and a revolving credit commitment equal to the lesser of \$25.0 million minus the principal outstanding under the term loan and the balance of any letters of credit (\$17.2 million maximum at May 31, 2003) or a defined borrowing base comprised primarily of eligible U.S. and U.K. accounts receivable (\$0.4 million maximum at May 31, 2003).

The term loan principal is due in equal monthly installments of approximately \$0.3 million through July 2005 with final payments totaling approximately \$0.6 million due in August 2005. Interest on the term loan is also payable monthly and accrues at a rate equal to the then prevailing prime rate of interest plus 2.75% (7.0% as of May 31, 2003).

Any advances under the revolver loan will accrue interest at the prime rate plus a margin of 0.5% to 1.5%, or at the London Inter-bank Offering Rate plus a margin of 3% to 4%. The Company may request an advance under the revolver loan at any time during the term of the revolver agreement so long as the requested advance does not exceed the then available borrowing base. The Company has not requested an advance under the revolver as of the date of this filing. The term loan and the revolving credit agreement expire on August 29, 2005.

Borrowings under the credit facility are secured by first liens on virtually all of the Company's personal property and by a subordinated lien on the Company's Dallas headquarters. The credit facility contains cross-default provisions with respect to the Company's mortgage loan, such that an event of default under the mortgage loan which allows the mortgage lender to accelerate the mortgage loan or terminate the agreement creates a default under the credit facility.

The credit facility contains terms, conditions and representations that are

generally customary for asset-based credit facilities, including requirements that the Company comply with certain significant financial and operating covenants. In particular, the Company is initially required to have EBITDA (as defined in the credit facilities) in minimum cumulative amounts on a monthly basis through August 31,

24

2003. While lower amounts are allowed within each fiscal quarter, the Company must generate cumulative EBITDA of \$9.0 million for the twelve-month period ending August 31, 2003. Thereafter, the Company is required to have minimum cumulative EBITDA of \$15 million and \$20 million for the 12-month periods ending November 30, 2003 and February 29, 2004, respectively, and \$25 million for the 12-month periods ending each fiscal quarter thereafter. The Company is also required to maintain defined levels of actual and projected service revenues and is prohibited from incurring capital expenditures in excess of \$4.0 million for any fiscal year beginning on or after March 1, 2003 except in certain circumstances and with the lender's prior approval. As of May 31, 2003, the Company was in compliance with all financial and operating covenants.

FUTURE COMPLIANCE WITH COVENANTS

The Company believes its cash reserves and internally generated cash flow along with any cash availability under its revolver loan will be sufficient to meet its operating cash requirements for the next twelve months. In order to meet the increasing EBITDA requirements in its credit agreements as described above, however, the Company will have to continue to increase its revenues and/or lower its expenses in future quarters as compared to the quarter completed on May 31, 2003. To comply with the minimum cumulative EBITDA covenant for the remainder of fiscal 2004, the Company may not incur a loss before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization in excess of \$4.3 million for the quarter ending August 31, 2003, and it must generate average EBITDA of at least \$3.7 million per quarter for the two-quarter period ending November 30, 2003 and average EBITDA of at least \$5.1 million per quarter for the three-quarter period ending February 29, 2004. The Company generated EBITDA of \$4.6 million for the quarter ended May 31, 2003. A reconciliation of net income for the quarter to EBITDA follows:

	(\$000s) 	
Net income Add back EBITDA elements	\$	936
Interest		545
Taxes		744
Depreciation and amortization	2,	,382
EBITDA	4,	,607
	===	

If the Company is not able to achieve these EBITDA levels and maintain compliance with its other various debt covenants, the lenders have all remedies available to them under the terms of the various loan agreements, including, without limitation, the ability to declare all debt immediately due and payable and to enforce security interests. Under such circumstances, the Company's cash position and liquidity would be severely impacted, and it is possible the Company would not be able to continue its business.

IMPACT OF INFLATION

The Company does not expect any significant short-term impact of inflation on its financial condition. Technological advances should continue to reduce costs in the computer and communications industries. Further, the Company presently is not bound by long term fixed price sales contracts. The absence of such contracts reduces the Company's exposure to inflationary effects.

ITEM 3 QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

INTEREST RATE RISKS

The Company invests cash balances in excess of operating requirements in short-term securities that generally have maturities of 90 days or less. The carrying value of these securities approximates market value, and there is no long-term interest rate risk associated with this investment.

25

At May 31, 2003, the Company's outstanding long-term debt was comprised of the following (in thousands):

	May 31, 2003
Mortgage loan, bearing interest payable monthly at the greater of 10.5% or the prime rate plus 2.0%; principal due May 28, 2005	\$10 , 500
Amortizing term loan, principal due in equal monthly installments of approximately \$0.3 million through July 2005 with final payments totaling approximately \$0.6 million in August 2005; interest payable monthly, accruing at a rate equal to the prime rate plus 2.75% (7.0% at May 31, 2003)	7,777
	\$18 , 277
	======

The following table provides information about the Company's credit agreements that are sensitive to changes in interest rates. For the credit agreements, the table presents cash flows for scheduled principal payments and related weighted-average interest rates by expected maturity dates.

Weighted-average variable rates are based on rates in effect as of May 31, 2003.

	Fiscal				
	2004		2005		 2006
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Long-term debt variable rate U.S. \$ Projected weighted average	\$	2,500	\$	3,333	\$ 12,444

interest rate 9.2% 9.6% 10.0%

FOREIGN CURRENCY RISKS

The Company transacts business in certain foreign currencies including, particularly, the British pound and the Euro. The Company's primary software application development, research and development and other administrative activities are conducted from offices in the United States and the United Kingdom, and its primary manufacturing operations are conducted in the United States. Virtually all sales arranged through the Company's U.S. offices are denominated in U.S. dollars, which is the functional and reporting currency of the U.S. entity. Sales arranged through the Company's U.K. subsidiary are denominated in various currencies, including the British pound, the U.S. dollar and the Euro; however, the U.K. subsidiary's functional currency is the British pound. For the fiscal year ended February 28, 2003, sales originating from the Company's U.K. subsidiary represented approximately 34% of consolidated sales. This percentage is expected to remain materially unchanged for fiscal 2004. As a result of its international operations, the Company is subject to exposure from adverse movements in certain foreign currency exchange rates. The Company has not historically used foreign currency options or forward contracts to hedge its currency exposures because of variability in the timing of cash flows associated with its larger contracts where payments are tied to the achievement of project milestones, and it did not have any such hedge instruments in place at May 31, 2003. Rather, the Company attempts to mitigate its foreign currency risk by transacting business in the functional currency of each of its major subsidiaries, thus creating natural hedges by paying expenses incurred in the local currency in which revenues will be received.

At May 31, 2003, the Company had an intercompany balance payable to its U.K. subsidiary totaling approximately \$25.7 million. The Company considers such intercompany balance to be a long-term investment, as defined under the guidance of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 52 - Foreign Currency Translation, and management has no plans within the foreseeable future to pay amounts owed to its U.K. subsidiary. Accordingly, foreign exchange fluctuations on the balance are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss in the statement of stockholders' equity.

26

As noted above, the Company's operating results are exposed to changes in certain exchange rates including, particularly, those between the U.S. dollar, the British pound and the Euro. When the U.S. dollar strengthens against the other currencies, the Company's sales are negatively affected upon the translation of U.K. operating results to the reporting currency. The effect of these changes on the Company's operating profits varies depending on the level of British pound denominated expenses and the U.K. subsidiary's overall profitability. For the fiscal year ended February 28, 2003, the result of a hypothetical, uniform 10% strengthening in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to the British pound and the Euro would have been a decrease in sales of approximately \$3.4 million and a reduction in the net loss of approximately \$1.3 million. In addition to the direct effects of changes in exchange rates, which are a changed dollar value of the resulting sales and/or operating expenses, changes in exchange rates also could affect the volume of sales or the foreign currency sales price as competitors' products become more or less attractive. The Company's sensitivity analysis of the effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates does not factor in a potential change in sales levels or local currency prices.

ITEM 4 CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer have reviewed and evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 240.13a-14(c) and 15d-14(c) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of a date within ninety days before the filing date of this quarterly report. Based on that review and evaluation, which included inquiries made to certain other employees of the Company, the chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that the Company's current disclosure controls and procedures, as designed and implemented, are reasonably adequate to ensure that they are provided with material information relating to the Company required to be disclosed in the reports the Company files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. While the Company recently implemented certain enhancements to its procedures and controls for determining and disclosing system backlog, the Company does not consider such enhancements to be significant changes to its disclosure procedures and controls. There have not been any significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation. There were no significant deficiencies or material weaknesses, and therefore no corrective actions were taken.

27

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY MATTERS

In the matter of Aerotel, Ltd. et al, v. Sprint Corporation, et al, Cause No. 99-CIV-11091 (SAS), pending in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, Aerotel, Ltd., has sued Sprint Corporation alleging that certain prepaid services offered by Sprint are infringing Aerotel's U.S. Patent No. 4,706,275 ("275 patent"). According to Sprint, the suit originally focused on land-line prepaid services not provided by the Company. As part of an unsuccessful mediation effort, Aerotel also sought compensation for certain prepaid wireless services provided to Sprint PCS by the Company. As a result of the mediation effort, Sprint requested that the Company provide a defense and indemnification to Aerotel's infringement claims, to the extent that they pertain to any wireless prepaid services offered by the Company. In response to this request, the Company provided certain assistance to Sprint's counsel in defending against such claims, to the extent they dealt with issues unique to the system and services provided by the Company. Furthermore, the Company and Sprint recently entered into an agreement to settle and release the Company from any obligations to Sprint in connection with the Aerotel litigation. Under the agreement, the Company will make certain payments, which are not significant to the Company's consolidated financial position, to Sprint.

PENDING LITIGATION

David Barrie, et al., on Behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly Situated v. InterVoice-Brite, Inc., et al.; No. 3-01CV1071-D, pending in the United States District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division:

Several related class action lawsuits were filed in the United States

District Court for the Northern District of Texas on behalf of purchasers of common stock of the Company during the period from October 12, 1999 through June 6, 2000 (the "Class Period"). Plaintiffs have filed claims, which were consolidated into one proceeding, under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 10b-5 against the Company as well as certain named current and former officers and directors of the Company on behalf of the alleged class members. In the complaint, Plaintiffs claim that the Company and the named current and former officers and directors issued false and misleading statements during the Class Period concerning the financial condition of the Company, the results of the Company's merger with Brite and the alleged future business projections of the Company. Plaintiffs have asserted that these alleged statements resulted in artificially inflated stock prices.

The Company believes that it and its officers and directors complied with their obligations under the securities laws, and intends to defend the lawsuit vigorously. The Company responded to this complaint by filing a motion to dismiss the complaint in the consolidated proceeding. The Company asserted that the complaint lacked the degree of specificity and factual support to meet the pleading standards applicable to federal securities litigation. On this basis, the Company requested that the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas dismiss the complaint in its entirety. Plaintiffs responded to the Company's request for dismissal. On August 8, 2002, the Court entered an order granting the Company's motion to dismiss the class action lawsuit. In the order dismissing the lawsuit, the Court granted plaintiffs an opportunity to reinstate the lawsuit by filing an amended complaint. Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint on September 23, 2002. The Company has filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint, and plaintiffs have filed a response in opposition to the Company's motion to dismiss. At the direction of the Court, the parties attended a mediation with a neutral third-party mediator during June 2003. The mediation did not result in a settlement. All discovery and other proceedings not related to the dismissal have been stayed pending resolution of the Company's request to dismiss the amended complaint.

28

Telemac Arbitration

On March 28, 2003 the Company announced a settlement of an arbitration proceeding in the Los Angeles, California, office of JAMS initiated by Telemac Corporation ("Telemac") against the Company, InterVoice Brite Ltd. and Brite Voice Systems, Inc., JAMS Case No. 1220026278. Telemac's allegations arose out of the negotiation of an Amended and Restated Prepaid Phone Processing Agreement between Telemac and Brite Voice Systems Group, Ltd., and certain amendments thereto, under which Telemac licensed prepaid wireless software for use in the United Kingdom under agreement with O2, formerly BT Cellnet, a provider of wireless telephony in the United Kingdom. The terms of the settlement included a cash payment to Telemac, which was not significant to the Company's consolidated financial condition.

ITEM 6 EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) Exhibits

10.1 Separation Agreement with Rob-Roy J. Graham dated June 25, 2003(1)

- 99.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, signed by David W. Brandenburg(1)
- 99.2 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, signed by Rob-Roy J. Graham(1)

- (1) Filed herewith.
 - (b) Reports on Form 8-K
 - A report on Form 8-K was filed March 12, 2003 to announce the Company's intention to review certain intangible assets for impairment and to announce preliminary sales and other financial data relating to its fiscal quarter ended February 28, 2003.
 - 2. A report on Form 8-K was filed March 31, 2003 to announce a modification and extension of its license and managed services agreement with Telemac, the settlement of the Telemac arbitration and the extension of its existing contract with O2.
 - 3. A report on Form 8-K was filed May 6, 2003 to announce the Company's financial results for the quarter ended February 28, 2003 and the Company's outlook for the future.

29

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

INTERVOICE, INC.

Date: July 15, 2003 By: /s/ MARK C. FALKENBERG

Mark C. Falkenberg

Mark C. Falkenberg Chief Accounting Officer

CERTIFICATIONS

- I, David W. Brandenburg, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Intervoice, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrants' disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
 - c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
 - any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
- 6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: July 15, 2003

/s/ David W. Brandenburg

David W. Brandenburg Chief Executive Officer and Chairman

31

CERTIFICATIONS

- I, Rob-Roy J. Graham, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Intervoice, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrants' disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and
 - c) presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or

other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and

6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: July 15, 2003

/s/ Rob-Roy J. Graham

Rob-Roy J. Graham

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

32

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

EXHIBITS
NO. DESCRIPTION

- 10.1 Separation Agreement with Rob-Roy J. Graham dated June 25, 2003.(1)
- 99.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, signed by David W. Brandenburg(1)
- 99.2 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, signed by Rob-Roy J. Graham(1)

33

⁽¹⁾ Filed herewith.