

Nalco Holding CO
Form 10-K
February 28, 2008

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For
the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For
the transition period from to .

Commission File No. 001-32342

NALCO HOLDING COMPANY
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

16-1701300 (State or other jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization) (I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number) 1601 West Diehl Road
Naperville, IL 60563-1198

Edgar Filing: Nalco Holding CO - Form 10-K

(630) 305-1000 (Address, Including Zip Code, and Telephone Number, Including Area Code, of Registrant's Principal Executive Offices)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

class	Name of each exchange on which registered	Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange	Title of each
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:				
None				

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company, as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Yes No

The aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2007 was \$3,851,053,308.

As of January 31, 2008, the number of shares of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding was 140,423,756 shares.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's proxy statement (the "Proxy Statement") for the annual meeting of shareholders to be held May 2, 2008 are incorporated by reference into Part III.

FORM 10-K
NALCO HOLDING COMPANY
TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Part I									
Item 1. Business	2	Item 1A. Risk Factors	21	Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	26	Item 2. Properties	26				
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	27	Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	27	Part II	Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	28	Item 6. Selected Financial Data	29			
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	35	Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	57	Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	59	Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	101	Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	101	Item 9B. Other Information	101
Part III	Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	102	Item 11. Executive Compensation	102	Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	102	Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	102	Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services	102	Part IV
Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	103	Signatures	118								

1

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Overview

On August 31, 2003, Nalco Holdings LLC, our subsidiary and a company formed by The Blackstone Group, Apollo Management, L.P. and GS Capital Partners (the “Sponsors”), entered into a stock purchase agreement pursuant to which it agreed to purchase all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of Ondeo Nalco Company (which is now known as Nalco Company) and the Nalco International SAS Subsidiaries, which had been operated as a single business unit, from subsidiaries of Suez S.A. (Suez or Seller). The aggregate purchase price was \$4,102.9 million in cash, after giving effect to direct costs of the Acquisition, closing date working capital and indebtedness purchase price adjustments, a post-closing working capital and indebtedness purchase price adjustment, and certain other post-closing adjustments. The Transactions closed on November 4, 2003. In this report, we refer to this acquisition as the “Acquisition,” and the term “Transactions” means, collectively, the Acquisition and the related financings to fund the Acquisition.

All references in this report to “Nalco,” the “Company,” “we,” “our,” and “us” mean, unless the context indicates otherwise, (1) Nalco Holding Company and its subsidiaries and affiliates on a consolidated basis, (2) the Predecessor, and (3) the Successor. In addition, when the context so requires, we use the term “Predecessor” to refer to the historical operations of the Predecessor prior to the Acquisition and “Successor” to refer to our historical operations following the Acquisition.

3D TRASAR®, ACTRENE®, ADOMITE®, AQUAMAX™, BIO-MANAGE®, BRIGHT WATER®, Clean n Cor™, ControlBrom®, Core Shell®, COKE-LESS®, EN/ACT®, ENERCEPT®, ENERSPERSE®, EXTRA WHITE™, FastPath™, FREEFLOW®, Nalco ACT®, NALMET®, NEOSTARSM, NexGuard®, OdorTech®, PARETO™, PORTA-FEED®, PROSPEC® Treat Service, PT TRASAR®, RO TRASAR®, SCORPION® II, SMART Solutions®, STA•BR•EX®, SULFA-CHECK®, SurFlo Certified®, THERMOGAIN®, TRASAR®, Tri-ACT®, ULTIMER®, UltraTreat®, ULTRION®, VALUELINE®, VANTAGE®, WaterServ™ and certain other products and services named in this document are our registered trademarks and service marks. ROFA® and ROTAMIX® are trademarks of Nalco Mobotec. Filtrete™ is a trademark of 3M.

We are the world’s leading water treatment and process improvement company, delivering significant environmental, social and economic performance benefits to a variety of industrial and institutional customers. Our products and services are used in water treatment applications to prevent corrosion, contamination and the buildup of harmful deposits, in production processes to enhance process efficiency, extend asset life and improve our customers’ end products, and in air emission control programs to reduce harmful releases. We help our customers reduce energy, water and other natural resource consumption while minimizing their environmental releases and improving their bottom line.

Through our sales, service, research and marketing team of more than 7,000 technically trained professionals, we serve more than 70,000 customer locations. We focus on providing our customers with technologically advanced engineered solutions and services. These technologically advanced engineered solutions and services enable our customers to improve their business by increasing production yields, lowering manufacturing costs, extending asset lives and maintaining environmental standards. The cost of our technologically advanced engineered solutions and services represents a small share of our customers’ overall production expense.

We derive our strength and stability from the quality of the products and services we provide and the diversity of our revenues. We serve a broad range of end markets, including aerospace, paper, chemical, pharmaceutical, petroleum, steel, power, food and beverage, medium and light manufacturing, marine, metalworking and institutions such as hospitals, universities and hotels. We believe we offer the broadest product portfolio in our industry, including more than 10,000 products and 5,500 unique formulations. We have also established a global presence, with about 11,500 employees operating in more than 130 countries, supported by a comprehensive network of

2

manufacturing facilities, sales offices and research centers. This global presence provides a competitive advantage by enabling us to offer a consistently high level of service to our local, regional and multinational customers.

Our Competitive Strengths

Our company has benefited from the following competitive strengths:

Leading Market Positions. We are the #1 provider of water treatment services to industrial and institutional end markets. We are also a leading provider of integrated water treatment and process improvement services, maintaining the #1 position in the petroleum and petrochemical markets and a #3 position in the pulp and paper market. We believe that our leading positions across our primary markets provide a competitive advantage in retaining existing business and competing for new business.

Diverse Customers and Industries Served. We provide products and services to more than 70,000 customer locations across a broad range of industries and institutions, including — at our latest study — about 65% of Industry Week magazine's top 500 U.S. manufacturers and all of the Euro Stoxx 50 companies. In 2007, no single customer accounted for more than 3% of our net sales. Our business is also diversified geographically. In 2007, 48% of total sales were to customers in North America, 29% in Europe, Africa and the Middle East, 9% in Latin America and 14% in the Asia/Pacific region. We believe this diversification minimizes the potential impact of volatility from any one customer, industry or geographic area.

Global Reach. We have a direct sales and marketing presence in 130 countries across six continents. This enables us to provide a consistently high level of service to local, regional and multinational customers. We believe our global presence offers us a competitive advantage in meeting the global needs of our multinational customers, which are increasingly seeking single-source suppliers and positions us to extend our reach to higher growth markets. Our geographical diversity also mitigates the potential impact of volatility in any individual country or region. In 2007, we derived approximately \$2,153 million, or 55% of our net sales, from our non-U.S. subsidiaries (excluding sales to our U.S. operations).

World Class Sales Team. Through the expertise of our more than 7,000 sales engineers and service technicians, we provide our customers with relevant industry knowledge and experience in order to solve technically challenging and dynamic problems. Our team of experts has significant experience with more than 40% of our approximately 2,000-person North American sales team having more than ten years of service with our Company. We believe this contributes significantly to the number and strength of relationships with our customers. We also invest heavily in recruiting and continuously training our sales professionals. For example, new hires spend more than half of their first year on training. Sales and marketing expense was \$914.5 million, \$827.7 million, and \$788.6 million for the years 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively. Of those amounts, approximately 90% represented the cost of our sales force and their related expenses during these time periods.

Integrated Technology, Sales and Service. We combine on-site service, innovative technology and engineering excellence to create value for our customers. Our technical sales professionals identify problems and opportunities at the customer's plant and our research teams then work to develop effective solutions to these needs, often working jointly with our customers. Many of our customers specify our formulations into their processes and products. This approach has led to over 5,500 unique formulations, the development of more than 2,000 active patents worldwide and a high degree of customer loyalty.

Stable and Significant Cash Flow Generation. We have produced consistent cash flows and maintained high margins over a sustained period of time. We attribute this to (1) the diversity of our revenues, (2) the service nature of our

business, (3) the high value we offer our customers, (4) the strength of our customer relationships, (5) our limited dependency on any single raw material and (6) our low capital expenditures relative to our net sales.

3

Deep Management Team. Our senior management team consists of professionals with significant experience within our Company and the water treatment and industrial process improvement industry. Bradley J. Bell, former Chief Financial Officer of Rohm and Haas Company, is our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Our Energy Services, Industrial and Institutional Services, Asia/Pacific and European divisions are led by long-time Nalco veterans. Our Paper Services business and Alternate Channels and Supply Chain operations are led by established industry veterans who joined the Company in the past few years.

Our Business Strategy

We have historically experienced sales growth in excess of industrial production growth in our core markets. We are pursuing a strategy designed to generate real sales growth at 5% annually for our base business. In addition, we intend to further accelerate our growth through developing alternate channels to market, investing in emerging geographies and expanding sales force capability to sell a broader product range. We also continue to look to acquire technologies that provide significant value to our customers, and that can be leveraged across our extensive sales force. Earnings and cash flow growth are targeted to grow at faster rates than our underlying sales growth as we improve productivity and working capital management. The key elements of this strategy are:

Pursue High-Growth Markets. We intend to continue to focus on high-growth markets and segments. Geographically, we plan to leverage our global reach by capitalizing on our presence in high-growth emerging markets including Asia, Eastern Europe and Latin America. For example, we are focusing significant attention on the high-growth China, Russia and India markets, with a substantial effort to hire and train outstanding sales engineers and service technicians to meet growing customer demand. In China, our focus has resulted in more than 25% compound annual growth in sales since 1999. We are also forming new ventures in West Africa, Eastern Europe and the Caspian region that will permit us to pursue energy services opportunities at new customer production facilities planned in these areas.

Maintain Technological Leadership. We strive to develop new technologies and products through a focused commitment to technology, research and development. The evolution of our existing products and the development of new technologies have historically allowed us to sustain and enhance the profitability of our business and further penetrate our target markets, including our existing customer base. For example, over the past 15 years we have developed several generations of our TRASAR automated feed and control technology for cooling water treatment programs. We launched the latest generation of this technology, our 3D TRASAR cooling water stress management program, in 2004. In 2007, we extended our reach with this platform by introducing 3D TRASAR for Reverse Osmosis membrane control. In 2008, we plan to further expand on this breakthrough technology system by introducing 3D TRASAR control technology for Boilers. Our engineers will continue to work closely with our customers in an effort to identify new product opportunities and jointly develop new technologies. In addition, we continue to pursue technology ventures and acquisitions, taking an 87.5% interest in Mobotec USA in late 2007 to expand our reach into the air pollution reduction market and forming NanoSpecialties LLC in July 2007 to work with Keystone Nano to develop more precise applications for various water and process technologies.

Pursue High-Growth Industry Segments. While we have shown the ability to exceed market growth rates even in many mature markets through innovative technology and advanced engineering, monitoring and control services, we believe that selecting the right industries on which to focus resources helps us exceed underlying market growth rates. In the late 1990s, we decided to disproportionately invest in research, development and training resources to support fast growth in the deepwater oil production industry. As a result, we are capturing well over 70% of business on these large platforms, exceeding our average market share in our overall Energy Services business. In Paper Services, we believe that the tissue and towel and digital printing segments will grow more rapidly than other Paper segments, and have invested disproportionately in these areas. We have also expanded our business to provide hygiene water and air

services by developing diagnostic and on-site service capabilities to reduce the health risks customers face due to pathogens in water and air. Our

4

December 2007 acquisition of a majority interest in Mobotec USA — now known as Nalco Mobotec — extends our reach into rapidly growing energy use reduction and air emission control markets, with capability to reduce many critical pollutants, including greenhouse gases, nitrogen and sulfur oxides (NO_x /SO_x), mercury, hydrogen chloride and particulates. Interest in these applications continues to grow across many industry segments.

Build Upon Our Customer Base. We seek to strengthen our position with our existing customer base as well as pursue new customers by continuing to serve as the leading global provider of fully integrated water treatment services and industrial process solutions, while building an air quality control platform. An essential element of our strategy is to continue integrating our sales and technical staff into our customers' daily operations and process planning. Historically, this strategy has allowed us to expand our service and product offerings with existing customers and has led to the development of new technologies. We continually seek to add value for our customers by identifying those services, products and equipment that will enhance their profitability through reduced costs, improved yields and decreased capital spending.

Expand Support of Multinational Customers. As one of a small number of companies that can provide turnkey water management solutions on a global basis, we seek to leverage our relationships with multinational companies by servicing them globally. We expect to benefit significantly as larger customers further consolidate their supplier base and increase their reliance on full service providers, such as our Company.

Continue to Reduce Costs. We have initiated a comprehensive cost reduction plan that yielded savings in 2007 of \$79 million compared to 2006. Through work process redesign and other cost control initiatives, we achieved savings of \$71 million, \$89 million and \$88 million in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The savings target we work to exceed is \$75 million annually. We expect our cost reduction plan to achieve incremental efficiencies through work process redesign and other targeted cost improvements, which address inefficiencies in our sales, service and support functions around the world. Work process redesign efforts are also expected to improve important customer performance metrics such as on-time delivery.

Maximize Cash Flow. We believe that there are significant opportunities to increase our cash flow. We believe that the capital expenditures required to maintain our business are low relative to our sales. We generally expect capital expenditures at near \$100 million annually. In 2008, we expect to spend about \$120 million. In 2007, net capital expenditures were \$114.6 million. While management has historically focused on particular components of working capital, the large increase in raw material costs and commensurate price increases we achieved have driven up working capital requirements during the past three years. This working capital demand was further stretched in 2007 as we went through the initial stages of implementing a new order-to-cash process that included both significant enterprise system changes and fundamental shifts in roles and responsibilities related to order placement and processing. We believe there is a significant opportunity to reduce our working capital needs, particularly in the area of accounts receivable. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we used cash flow from operations of \$323.4 million for capital expenditures, share repurchase activities, the acquisition of a majority interest in Mobotec USA, common stock dividends, and a modest reduction in net debt. Net debt did not decrease by the amount of debt payments due to currency fluctuations and accretion of non-cash interest bearing senior discount notes.

Focus on Supply Chain Management. We have a dedicated global supply chain team that focuses on managing manufacturing, procurement and logistics activities. We believe that by coordinating these functions, we achieve better inventory management and lower overall Company costs for our delivered end products. We believe that the introduction of a common SAP platform for our North American and European operations will improve our ability to coordinate production, inventory and delivery within each region and across these regions. In 2007, we expanded this platform to Brazil, Australia and New Zealand and added new order-to-cash process capabilities in North America and Europe. We believe that we will be able to make additional improvements in our inventory management and lower

procurement costs as we develop our abilities to fully utilize these systems and expand the geographic reach of the systems to the rest of the world.

5

Our Divisions and Offerings

Industrial and Institutional Services Energy Services Paper Services Market
 Positions \$7.4 billion global market(1)(2)
 #1 Market Position \$3.9 billion global market(1)
 #1 Market Position \$8.5 billion global market(1)
 #3 Market Position Market Share(3) 18% 32% 9% 2007 Net Sales(4) \$1,761 million \$1,180 million
 \$748 million Representative
 Markets Food, Beverage
 Buildings, Hotels, Hospitals
 Chemicals, Pharmaceuticals
 Manufacturing, Metals,
 Power Utilities,
 Mining, Marine Exploration
 Field Development
 Production
 Refining
 Petrochemical
 Manufacturing Fine Paper
 Uncoated Free Sheet
 Coated Free Sheet
 Newsprint
 Tissue and Towel
 Containerboard

(1)

Approximate market size based on internal estimates and industry publications and surveys. (2) Represents the water treatment and services markets, which accounted for approximately 70% of our Industrial and Institutional Services division's net sales in 2007. (3) Market share calculations include segment sales from India and Japan, as well as end-market allocations from an all-inclusive Emerging Market business unit within I&IS. (4) Divisional net sales exclude approximately \$223 million of sales reflected in our Other segment, including our sales in India, Japan, and an Integrated Channels group.

Industrial and Institutional Services

Our Industrial and Institutional Services Division provides water treatment programs, process-focused programs, and emissions reduction across a broad range of end users. Our offerings are organized according to the markets we serve so we can address the unique drivers faced by each segment. Innovative treatment of boiler water, cooling water, influent, and wastewater, along with practical solutions for pollutant control, allow our customers to increase production efficiency, reduce total cost of operation, conserve water and energy, meet compliance requirements and extend the useful life of their assets. We serve customers in the aerospace, chemical, pharmaceutical, mining and primary metals, power, food and beverage, medium and light manufacturing, marine and metalworking industries as well as institutional clients such as hospitals, universities, commercial buildings and hotels. Our Industrial and Institutional Services segment generated net sales of \$1.761 billion in 2007, representing 45% of net sales.

Water Treatment Applications

Our water treatment capabilities are applied across our three core divisions. In our Industrial and Institutional Services division, water treatment programs accounted for 73% of net ongoing sales in 2007, excluding the impact of our waste coal agglomeration, or synfuel, business. This waste coal business will not continue into 2008 due to expiring customer tax incentives. Including the waste coal business, process applications were 30% of Industrial and Institutional Services division sales in 2007, with water treatment representing 70% of sales. The increase in the proportion of the business that is classified as process from 2006 results is primarily driven by the reclassification of some mining applications to process, including applications used in water-based production processes. Water applications also accounted for 19% of our Energy Services net sales and 17% of our Paper Services net sales in 2007. The following descriptions include water treatment applications in the Industrial and Institutional Services division. Boiler water, cooling water, raw water and wastewater programs are also used in our Paper Services and Energy Services divisions.

Boiler Water Applications. Nalco is the world leader in boiler water treatment technology. We have more than 80 years of experience in this core area and continue to invest and innovate to enhance reliability, reduce water use and improve efficiency for our customers. Corrosion and scale buildup are the most common problems addressed by our boiler water treatment programs. We have helped our customers overcome various boiler system challenges by providing integrated chemical solutions,

process improvements and mechanical component modifications to optimize boiler performance. Our TRASAR technology is recognized as an innovative water treatment program that prevents operational problems. TRASAR technology continues to evolve and today is used in conjunction with a number of our boiler water treatment programs, such as NexGuard. NexGuard combines the most advanced boiler internal treatment chemistry with TRASAR technology and advanced diagnostic, monitoring, feed and control equipment. Other applications of TRASAR include TRASAR Recovery Boiler Leak Indication, which is designed to determine when a loss of concentrated boiler water occurs in operating boilers. We also develop condensate treatment programs designed to prevent corrosion, overheating and rupture of boilers. Two examples of these programs are Nalco ACT and Tri-ACT. We have been awarded a Research and Development 100 Award for Nalco ACT, our revolutionary condensate treatment comprised of food industry ingredients, making Nalco ACT unique in both its technical and safety merits. Tri-ACT is a series of corrosion inhibitors that includes blends of neutralizers, filmers and oxygen scavengers to provide uniform protection of the condensate system.

Cooling Water Applications. Our cooling water treatment programs are designed to control the main problems associated with cooling water systems — corrosion, scale and microbial fouling and contamination — in open recirculating, once-through and closed systems. In 2004, we launched our 3D TRASAR stress management system for cooling water, the world's first automated system for simultaneous control of corrosion, scale and microbial fouling and contamination. This multi-patented combination of services, equipment, chemistry, automation and control builds on the strengths of our TRASAR technology offering and is an effective tool used to prevent problems, identify efficiency improvements and improve control in cooling water systems. 3D TRASAR detects system upsets, responds in real-time and communicates with users via the Internet.

Our award-winning STA•BR•EX technology is the world's first stable, liquid bromine-based anti-microbial designed to control biofilms caused by microorganisms in cooling tower, condenser and heat exchanger systems. In 2006, we introduced ControlBrom stabilized bromine offerings to provide customers with the advantages of stabilized bromine and the benefits of flexible and cost-efficient on-site activation.

Wastewater Applications. Our wastewater products and programs focus on improving overall plant economics, addressing compliance issues, optimizing equipment efficiency and improving operator capabilities and effectiveness. This is accomplished by a team of highly trained sales engineers, marketers, and technical support staff members along with innovative programs, such as ULTIMER dispersion technology. ULTIMER polymers, for which Nalco won a United States Presidential Green Chemistry Challenge Award and a Research and Development 100 Award, are water-based and yield a more stable, soluble, user-friendly product. ULTRION is another important wastewater program from Nalco. These liquid cationic coagulants clarify water more effectively than alum, reduce treated water-suspended solids, increase the efficiency of water clarification systems, reduce or eliminate the need for pH adjustment, and decrease settled sludge volume. For removing heavy metals from wastewater, we use our patented NALMET technology, which can reduce regulated metals to below-compliance limits in one step. To improve sludge dryness and reduce sludge handling costs, we use our proprietary Core Shell high-activity flocculants.

Multi-pollutant control applications

Nalco's acquisition of an 87.5% interest in Mobotec USA, Inc., has led to the formation of Nalco Mobotec, a combined force that helps customers address the evolving regulatory environment with effective solutions for drastic reduction of NO_x, SO_x, CO, particulates (PM) and mercury. Nalco Mobotec's cost-effective technologies for emissions reduction include the ROFA rotating opposed fire air system, which sets the volume of the furnace in rotation via patented asymmetrically placed air nozzles. This induced turbulence and rotation prevents laminar flow, with superior mixing and temperature distribution in the furnace for more effective combustion. The ROFA system can also dramatically reduce NO_x, SO₃, CO, PM and fly ash LOI (unburned carbon), while increasing efficiency by reducing

excess combustion air. Another Nalco Mobotec innovation is ROTAMIX rotary mixing technology, a patented technique for mixing chemicals and/or additional fuel. Developed for

7

optimum reduction of NO_x, it combines asymmetrical air nozzles with automatically regulated lances to inject reducing chemicals into the furnace, where the temperature is most favorable. With ROTAMIX, demand of chemicals can be drastically reduced when compared to an SNCR system.

Mining and Mineral Processing Applications

We provide a wide range of quality products, programs and services to help the mining and mineral processing industry improve product quality and productivity in a safe and environmentally responsible manner. Specifically, these offerings help increase recovery of the valued mineral, improve water quality for re-use or discharge to the environment, reduce contaminants in our customer's products, increase plant throughput/utilization, reduce energy consumption, increase equipment life through scale and corrosion control and reduce or eliminate dust. The industries we serve include alumina and bauxite, coal, copper, precious metals, iron ore, aggregates, kaolin, phosphate, and soda ash.

Colloidal Technologies Applications

We invented and patented a commercial process for the production of stable concentrated silica solutions in 1941. Today, we operate one of the single largest colloidal silica facilities in the world, and our broad range of colloidal silica products is used in applications in about 40 countries. We manufacture colloidal silica, other specialty colloidal particles and complementary process chemicals used in the polishing of silicon wafers, semiconductor chips, memory disks and other electronic substrates; in the manufacture of catalyst supports, vacuum formed shapes, high temperature refractories and specialty coatings; and in the precision investment casting of metal parts. Our technically proficient sales engineers work closely with our customers to design and implement custom nanoparticle solutions for their raw material or process optimization requirements. For example, in the investment casting industry, we offer complete investment casting shell programs, including colloidal silica binders, polymers, wetting agents, antifoams, wax cleaners, biocides and refractories, designed to shorten drying cycles, increase prime coat adhesion, improve casting surface quality and reduce casting defects.

Finishing Technologies Applications

As a leading innovator in metalworking and surface finishing products and services for manufacturers around the globe, the Finishing Technologies group works closely with customers to optimize their production processes. We serve a broad range of manufacturers, including makers of aerospace, automotive, truck, and recreational vehicle components. Utilizing specialized process simulation facilities, our experienced sales engineers collaborate with our dedicated research department to deliver process products and programs offering better finished product quality, higher production rates, and lower production costs with less effort while minimizing environmental footprint. Finishing Technologies counts among its customers many of the world's most recognized brand names. Our extensive product line includes metalworking fluids, conversion coatings, cleaners, and corrosion inhibitors.

We expect growth to be fueled by product innovation and investment in the technical training of our sales force. R&D in the areas of metalworking fluids, cleaners and rust preventatives as well as products and programs for the monitoring, control and mitigation of pathogens in the metalworking environment are expected to generate new offerings in 2008.

Membrane Technologies Applications

Membrane technology is being adopted at an ever-increasing rate as a cost-effective technology for purifying water for both industrial and potable applications. Our products address the needs of all types of membrane systems, ranging

from seawater desalination and well and surface water purification, to advanced water recycle processes and wastewater treatment.

Our unique 3D TRASAR technology for RO, in combination with our state of the art chemistry, provides added protection against the formation of mineral scale and allows reverse osmosis systems

8

to operate without unscheduled downtime. 3D TRASAR technology for RO uses only the required amount of antiscalant, even at high recovery rates and using difficult water sources. Furthermore, 3D TRASAR technology for RO allows for optimization of reverse osmosis desalination facilities, insuring that the yield of pure water is maximized, and the amount of water sent to waste is at its absolute minimum.

To combat microbial fouling — the most common and difficult problem to treat in a membrane system — our product range includes non-oxidizing biocides that control a wide spectrum of microorganisms. Our technology and experience allow us to implement customized treatment schemes that maximize the operating time of the facility.

Using our patented PT TRASAR technology, it is now possible to safely reduce incidences of particulate fouling by continuously monitoring, controlling and optimizing the addition of proprietary filter aid polymers that enhance the performance of the pretreatment to the RO membranes. In addition, PT TRASAR will help reduce the potential risk for membrane fouling by residual filter aid.

Our innovative, performance-enhancing polymer chemistries can more than double the throughput of membrane-based wastewater treatment systems. This, in turn, allows for the design of more compact membrane bioreactor systems with significantly lower capital and operating costs for both industrial and municipal applications.

Odor Control Applications

We offer programs to combat odor centering around three approaches: odor neutralization, precipitation and biological hydrogen sulfide prevention. Our odor neutralization technology focuses on OdorTech, one of the most versatile odor solutions we offer. OdorTech products are applied by an atomized mist at the point of release of the airborne odor and effectively neutralize common odors, such as ammonia, amines, mercaptans, hydrogen sulfide and sulfur dioxide. Common applications include roof vents, truck wash staging areas, landfills, garbage areas, HVAC equipment, automotive interiors and storage areas. Our precipitation products are specially designed for hydrogen sulfide for aqueous applications and may also serve as coagulants or clarification aides. These products are commonly used in sludge tanks, floor drains, clarifiers, lift stations and equalization tanks. Our bioengineering products offer a wide range of special blends of bacteria and chemicals that prevent the formation of hydrogen sulfide or degrading sulfur compounds. The treatments, which produce no sludge, are non-hazardous and are effective for long duration control, are commonly used to control odor in digesters, gas scrubbers, lift stations, floor drains, aeration basins and clarifiers.

Environmental Hygiene Services

We offer a complete line of specialized services designed to assess, control and reduce risk from water-borne pathogens such as Legionella. Trained hygiene service specialists perform risk assessments to identify areas within water systems that could be at risk for pathogen proliferation. We then develop a site-specific, prioritized recommendation plan to reduce the risk of pathogen exposure that can lead to illnesses such as Legionnaires' Disease. Our water system Cleaning & Disinfection services remediate sources of pathogen risk. We also offer Pathogen Analytical services to measure program performance. In 2007, EHS introduced the revolutionary FastPath test that allows the user to determine the presence of Legionella pneumophila serogroup 1 bacteria (the bacteria most associated with Legionnaires' Disease) in a matter of 25 minutes in the field. With the FastPath test, the user can quickly and easily discover a potentially dangerous situation and take immediate corrective action. Our protocols help customers comply with guidelines created by professional organizations, state and federal government agencies, and local governments.

Our Building Environment Solutions program focuses on lowering energy costs and carbon footprint while increasing the satisfaction of building occupants through improved indoor air quality. The COIL-FLO coil-cleaning program

removes microbial contamination, dust and debris so HVAC units operate more efficiently and without odor. We offer Filtrete Commercial High Performance HVAC filters from 3M that reduce particles so building air is fresher and coils remain cleaner. The low

9

airflow resistance of the filters reduces fan energy consumption. Our HVAC audit process estimates potential energy and emission reduction with an ROI analysis, after which we implement the solution, and add a monitoring program to measure air particles, energy consumption, and HVAC efficiency.

Alternate Channels

One of our strategic goals is to develop alternate channels to market. One new channel is WaterServ, a program of products and services that takes technology created for larger, industrial customers and adapts it to meet the needs of smaller customers using an appropriately scaled business model. Through WaterServ, smaller customers can take advantage of many of our programs, including boiler water, HVAC, facility care, coil cleaning, air filtration, hygiene service and automation programs.

WaterServ is run via hubs in different cities. Building on the success of a test hub initiated in Chicago in 2005, several additional hubs were developed in 2006 and 10 more added at the beginning of 2007. The 10 hubs added in 2007 were integrated with existing Institutional and light manufacturing business in those geographies. From these hubs, a specially trained team can sell and service smaller customers, creating a stronger relationship with the customer. Using standardized equipment and service packages, we can streamline and lower costs to serve and maintain these accounts. The CRM software enables us to use real, data-driven information to develop sales efficiency and effectiveness key performance indicators (KPIs). TRASAR technology with remote 24-hour monitoring and alarming capability is the key differentiator to provide results with reduced sales engineer involvement in routine maintenance activities, while providing performance superior to competitive offerings currently available. In 2007, we generated 9% sales growth from our WaterServ hubs, taking total sales using this business approach to \$80 million.

Energy Services

Our Energy Services division provides on-site, technology driven solutions to the global natural gas, petroleum and petrochemical industries. In addition to recovery, production and process enhancements, we deliver a full range of water treatment offerings to refineries and petrochemical plants. Our upstream process applications improve oil and gas recovery and production, extend production equipment life and decrease operating costs through services that include scale, paraffin and corrosion control, oil and water separation, and gas hydrate management solutions. Our downstream process applications increase refinery and petrochemical plant efficiency and the useful lives of customer assets, while improving refined and petrochemical product quality and yields. Our customers include nearly all of the largest publicly traded oil companies. Our ten largest Energy Services customers in 2007 have been with us for more than ten years, with most more than 20 years. Our Energy Services division generated 2007 net sales of \$1.180 billion, representing 30% of our net sales. We continue to emphasize safety and environmental leadership in our product development and implementation efforts.

Our Energy Services division is divided into a Downstream refinery and petrochemical processing service business and an Upstream group composed of our Oilfield Chemicals and Adomite business.

Adomite Well Service Applications

We support the Drilling/Exploration service industry by designing and supplying chemicals for drilling activities. Our Adomite business supplies chemicals for the cementing, drilling, fracturing and acidizing phases of oil and gas exploration, as well as conducting independent research and jointly developing products with major well service companies.

Oilfield Applications

Oil and natural gas production is increasing in harsh environments, including extreme temperature and pressure in remote locations. Exploration and production projects are gaining in scope and size, and with elevated oil prices, the stakes have increased in flow assurance and infrastructure protection. Focusing on these new challenges, the Oilfield Chemicals group offers solutions to production flow challenges such as hydrate formation, paraffin deposition and emulsified streams.

10

We are the technology leader in the offshore marketplace and are the only supplier of SurFlo Certified products that have undergone aggressive testing to ensure safety and effectiveness in offshore, deepwater and ultra-deepwater environments. Our FREEFLOW natural gas hydrate inhibition program represents an industry step-change, economically and safely displacing traditional hydrate control methods. Our expertise in new production techniques, such as seawater flood injection, helps our customers maximize the production of their operation while ensuring the safety and reliability of their infrastructure. Produced oil contains water that is costly to transport and damaging to infrastructure. Our oil and water separation technologies and custom-blended products break oil and water emulsions, allowing water removal. Our water clarifiers work to purify the water and make it safe for environmental re-introduction.

The deposition of sludge on the inside of pipelines can cause serious injection restraints in produced water injection systems, increased incidence of localized corrosion and higher operating costs. Clean n Cor pipeline performance technology incorporates hands-on technical expertise with advanced chemistry and in-field monitoring. Clean n Cor provides a comprehensive treatment solution that removes deposits from inner pipe walls and filter equipment and then prevents otherwise aggressive corrosion from taking place.

Capital expenditure investments in the heavy oil and tar sands market by large, integrated energy companies are expected to grow significantly in the coming years. For this reason, we are investing heavily in the development of new technologies to address the many challenges in these areas. For example, our novel Statistical Bottle Test approach reduces trial and error by helping us more accurately select the chemistries that will perform best in the field. This enables us to quickly identify solutions and to understand which new technologies will be applicable in the heavy oil and tar sands market.

Downstream Refining Applications

Our industry-focused sales engineers provide process and water treatment applications specific to the petroleum refining and fuels industry, enabling our customers to profitably refine and upgrade hydrocarbons. Our heavy oil upgrading programs minimize operation costs and mitigate fouling, corrosion, foaming and the effects of heavy metals when refining lower-quality crude oils.

The SCORPION II program combats naphthenic acid corrosion problems, allowing refiners to take advantage of discounted high acid crudes through combined chemistry, novel monitoring and simulation technologies. Our proprietary NEOSTAR crude oil database and our newly patented chemistries are coupled with over 20 years of experience in the treatment of high-temperature corrosion. As energy costs continue to rise, refiners are looking at innovative ways to reduce consumption. We partner with our customers to deliver energy utilization efficiency.

Crude unit fouling can increase energy and maintenance costs, while reducing crude output. Our THERMOGAIN program includes unique chemistries and patented crude stability tests to prevent crude unit fouling.

Our total systems approach to water and process enables our customers to maximize energy on the utilities side. With advances in monitoring, chemistry and application, the 3D TRASAR cooling water stress management program has reduced shutdowns for customers, resulting in maximum operational efficiency.

The refining industry is also addressing clean fuels regulations that require drastic reduction in the level of sulfur allowed in fuels. Our H₂S Scavengers, such as the SULFA-CHECK system, help our customers to meet regulatory standards. Hydro-processing to meet low-sulfur fuel specifications can increase the corrosiveness of fuels. We offer an entire line of fuel additives, including corrosion inhibitors, to protect engine fuel systems and pre-market underground storage tanks and piping. In addition, we offer fuel stabilizers, pour point depressants, cetane improvers, detergents

and antioxidants for home heating oil and premium diesel and gasoline packages. The PROSPEC Treat Service combines our knowledge of fuel specifications, test requirements, product selection, application technology and on-site coordination to solve expensive finished product problems in refinery tank farms, third party terminals and ports.

11

Downstream Chemical Processing Applications

Our industry-focused sales engineers provide on-site technical service and innovative chemical processing and water treatment technologies at more than 250 chemical plants in 45 countries worldwide. We guide chemical plants through obstacles that arise during operation and help maximize return on investment through products and services tailored to each customer's requirements, climate and logistics. We support olefins producers worldwide with proprietary treatment programs for ethylene and butadiene plant performance maximization.

The ACTRENE fouling control program represents a significant advancement in the olefins chemical process industry, dramatically increasing operations run-lengths. This technology reliably mitigates and controls fouling in fractional distillation units. COKELESS coking control technology protects radiant and transfer line exchanger sections of ethylene plant furnaces without adverse effects to metallurgy or downstream processes. AQUAMAX corrosion control provides cost-effective, sodium-free corrosion control in dilution steam systems. We also provide a comprehensive line of antifoams, antifoulants and corrosion inhibitors for butadiene operations.

Water Treatment Applications

We provide total water management solutions specific to customers' refining and chemical processing needs in the areas of water clarification, boiler treatment, cooling water treatment and wastewater treatment. See "— Industrial and Institutional Services — Water Treatment Applications."

Paper Services

Our Paper Services division offers a comprehensive portfolio of programs that are used in all principal steps of the papermaking process and across all grades of paper, including graphic grades, board and packaging, and tissue and towel. Our customers include the 20 largest paper companies in the world. Eight of our ten largest Paper Services customers in 2007 have been with us for more than 15 years. Our Paper Services segment generated 2007 net sales of \$748 million, representing 19% of our net sales.

Today's pulp and papermakers are under pressure to improve the overall efficiency of their operations in the face of capacity challenges, shifting market demand for their finished products and escalating costs. Their customers demand that end product properties — such as brightness, tissue softness, or paper strength — perform to increasingly challenging specifications in a very competitive environment. To help our customers excel, we developed our SMART Solutions offering, a grade-based approach for improving customers' end product performance and optimizing operational efficiency. It is through the dedication of our well-trained sales engineers, industry technical consultants, and research and development teams that we are able to successfully implement our SMART Solutions offering and innovative technology.

Pulp Applications

Careful management of fiber and energy resources is critical to both the quality and the efficiency of the pulping operation, especially as wood and energy costs are elevated and manufacturers are forced to use variable sources of fiber. Our SMART Solutions for Fiber and Energy Management provides pulp manufacturers the answers to increase efficiency, improve pulp quality and decrease the total cost of operation.

Our SMART Solutions for Fiber and Energy Management impact every grade of paper produced, providing the sustainability benefits and cost competitiveness our customers need to survive in today's marketplace. Whether it is the mechanical, chemical, or de-inked pulping process, our programs and services are designed and tailored to meet the

individual customer's needs for every phase of the pulping and bleaching process.

We offer complete programs to manage organic and inorganic contaminants and entrained air in the pulping process to avoid off-quality pulp or connected process problems. Our programs maximize process efficiency and increase pulp cleanliness and brightness in bleaching operations, as well as predict and monitor scaling potential utilizing on-line monitoring to design effective treatment programs and avoid costly failures.

12

Paper Applications

As we approach the papermaking process, we take into account the varying needs of producers of different paper grades. Manufacturers of board and packaging, graphic papers, and tissue and towel have very different needs based on the demands of their customers. For example, maintaining strength in board and packaging is achieved very differently than the softness demanded by consumers of tissue and towel products.

In addition, different paper segments have varying needs based on current market trends. A papermaker in a segment experiencing strong growth may need to maximize production rates, optimize finished sheet quality and minimize paper machine down time. For a segment facing slower growth, we may instead be asked to focus on improving operating efficiencies and reducing the total cost of a customer's operations.

Our paper process applications focus on opportunities to reduce our customers' total operating costs, increase machine efficiency, improve sheet properties, enhance product quality, and extend machine life. These customer benefits are achieved through a variety of programs, including microorganism control, increased retention, drainage and formation, felt cleaning, increased paper strength, prevention of surface pitch and stickies deposition, and chemical fiber de-inking. Advanced sensing, monitoring and automation technologies are incorporated into the applications to optimize program effectiveness and minimize risk.

Specific to each grade segment, our programs deliver value in the form of improved brightness, lower basis weight, improved bulk and softness, improved printability and many other key business drivers that our customers determine are critical to the success of their business. We integrate the entire papermaking process through mechanical, operational and chemical means to concentrate specifically on what our customers need to succeed in their market segments and improve overall operational efficiency.

We launched EXTRA WHITE Brightness Technology as the first in a series of advancements that form the foundation of SMART Solutions for Optical Management. This innovative new technology delivers cost-effective brightness and whiteness development in an environmentally friendly and safe manner, while having a positive impact on operational efficiency as compared to traditional brightness development methods.

Additionally, the PARETO Wet-End Optimization Technology is used to improve and optimize the delivery of retention aid chemicals to the paper machine. An integral part of SMART Solutions for Efficiency, PARETO technology focuses on the many variables that impact quality and efficiency in modern papermaking, delivering optimization of key system inputs. PARETO technology can provide significant improvement in chemical efficiencies at minimized consumption of key resources such as water and energy, allowing our customers to improve their environmental performance.

Our SMART Solutions for Efficiency offering is also supported by an exclusive global distributor agreement with HelioJET Cleaning Technologies, Inc., a manufacturer of high-pressure, high-temperature water delivery systems. The Nalco/HelioJET program combines engineering, operational and chemical elements with an unsurpassed knowledge base to ensure that the unit operations of forming, pressing and drying and their associated parts perform to their design specifications.

Our Paper Services division's SMART Solutions offering helps create significant additional growth opportunities. Our grade-based approach led to a focused initiative in the high-value tissue and towel segment. With the appropriate alignment of technical support resources, and an unprecedented investment in innovation we have developed an exceptional program portfolio for tissue and towel customers.

An example of these programs is our SMART Solutions for Coatings, which results in the proper balance of mechanical, operational and chemical components to achieve the desired sheet characteristics and to maximize machine runnability.

13

Water Treatment Applications

We bring a comprehensive focus to water-related problems in all areas of pulp and paper mills. Water is one of the primary components of the papermaking system. For each ton of paper produced, thousands of gallons of water are used. Careful management of the water throughout the process not only significantly impacts the water, fiber and energy costs of an operation, but also significantly influences the final properties of the sheet and machine efficiency. Using an engineering approach — evaluating the mechanical, operational and chemical aspects of an application — our sales engineers effectively demonstrate how sustainable improvement projects can be identified and implemented. See “— Industrial and Institutional Services — Water Treatment Applications.”

Our Services

Our business is focused on providing integrated solutions to complex issues for our customers. Differences in customer equipment and processes drive substantial variation in the individual programs we create. In addition, fluctuations within an operation, such as changes in water quality or petroleum characteristics, require us to continually adapt our solutions to meet our customers’ needs. These solutions are often adapted on-site by our technical sales professionals. Our sales teams are supported by analytical services, consulting services, technical field services and environmental hygiene services.

Analytical Services

Our highly trained analytical researchers combine in-field work and laboratory analysis to develop recommendations. Our ISO 9001:2000-certified laboratories use state-of-the-art equipment, including mass and nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometers and scanning electron microscopes, to conduct sample testing and process failure analysis for water treatment, paper process and energy process applications. We have provided analytical services for more than 50 years and our more than 100 analytical researchers have an average of more than ten years of experience in this field.

Consulting Services

Our on-site experts, industry technical consultants and researchers develop appropriate solutions for a broad range of customer requirements, such as single process optimization, system-wide program implementation, troubleshooting or increasing efficiencies. We provide numerous plant, process and application audits and surveys in water, energy or paper processing. We offer consulting for all water use and discharge areas and make recommendations for improvements, cost reductions or efficiency improvements through our Advanced Recycle Technology programs. Our technically trained sales professionals can also rely on guidance from a global knowledge management system that allows access to our specialized experts anywhere in the world. We take a multi-disciplinary approach to developing solutions by evaluating the mechanical, operational and chemical aspects of each process. By monitoring interactions among these three system components, our field engineers are able to anticipate and solve problems and prevent damage to customer processes. We utilize advanced database and computer analytical programs to support these efforts, including our VANTAGE database, which captures analytical data, prepares diagnostic images and reports on a real-time basis.

Technical and Field Services

We provide expert technical assistance for chemical feed and control equipment installation, start-up, calibration, preventative maintenance and repair throughout the world. In addition to the components we maintain regionally, we provide on-site services, including on-site testing, on-site system troubleshooting, inventory management, chemical

usage determination, chemical dosage audits and cleaning services.

14

Customer Training

In order to educate our customers and promote more efficient and effective systems and processes in their operations, we have developed various training programs and interactive online training that teach operators and engineers how to work more effectively and efficiently. We conduct water treatment seminars to formally train our customers how to use our equipment and chemicals and how to implement best practices. For example, we provide water treatment workshops in a classroom setting where attendees discuss technical and industry-related issues among their peers and are able to review tailored answers to their operation-specific questions. Facilitators guide the attendees through various topics such as trending, energy management, cost implications, and best practices. These peer group discussions help the attendees troubleshoot and create support network groups. The latest addition to our training curriculum is our interactive, web-based program, Nalco University, which provides an economical and convenient alternative to our seminars.

Equipment

We offer complete equipment systems as part of our integrated offering, ranging from reusable shipping containers and feed and process control equipment to integrated wireless, web-based, data collection services. In addition, we offer a range of field test kits, process-monitoring equipment, and complete chemical feed and storage systems proven and tested for industrial environments.

We recognize the importance of accurate, reliable chemical feed to the success of manufacturing process and water treatment programs. Pre-packaged chemical feed systems ensure easy installation, start-up and reliable chemical feed, including our ValueLine Polymer Feeders and a line of modular pump and control systems. These chemical feed systems are used to pump chemicals into a customer's manufacturing and/or water treatment process. Some feed systems also have mixing technologies that produce high-quality solutions without using mechanical agitators. Additionally, we have set the standard for returnable chemical delivery systems and "hands-off" chemical handling. Our PORTA-FEED container units are returnable shipping containers set up at a customer's plant to feed our chemicals into the customer's system. When the chemical level is low, a refill unit is delivered to the plant and we take the empty PORTA-FEED unit back for cleaning and re-use. Since the introduction of the PORTA-FEED program in 1985, we have eliminated the disposal of over four million chemical drums.

We also understand that it is crucial to all businesses to have the power to monitor and control their chemical treatment programs on an on-going basis in an efficient and easy-to-use manner. Our web-based monitoring service is a powerful multi-functional microprocessor with an embedded web server available in two series. Our Boiler Controller is designed to provide reliable automation for corrosion and scaling control programs in boilers. Our Cooling Tower Controller provides reliable automation of corrosion, scaling and microbiological growth control programs in cooling water applications. Both series include a revolutionary digital communications package which allows the customer to change set points, manually activate or deactivate pumps and valves, upgrade software, and receive reports or alarms, from any personal computer that has Internet access.

We have developed equipment that works with our innovative TRASAR and 3D TRASAR technology to provide real-time, on-line monitoring of actual chemical levels in a system. Through our TRASAR system, we chemically "bar-code" treatment molecules with a fluorescent tracer that reacts to specific light wavelengths. Once the product is fed into a system, the tracer is optically excited and detected. Our equipment monitors the level of chemicals and continuously makes automatic adjustments as necessary through chemical injection systems linked to the TRASAR or 3D TRASAR controller. By preventing overfeeding and underfeeding, and eliminating the unnecessary application of chemicals, this real-time, on-line monitoring capability saves water and energy as well as improves efficiency, reliability and productivity.

We offer integrated UltraTreat systems that include industrial reverse osmosis systems, water softening equipment, multi-media and carbon filtration and high efficiency filters.

15

Joint Ventures

During our history, we have entered into general partnerships or joint ventures for limited scope business opportunities. For example, we conducted our energy services business through a joint venture with Exxon Chemical Company, a division of Exxon Mobil Corporation, until 2001 when we redeemed Exxon's interest in the joint venture and it became a wholly owned subsidiary of our Company. We re-established a joint venture relationship with USFilter Corporation, Treated Water Outsourcing, to pursue process water treatment outsourcing projects and to supply standard water treatment equipment packages with our chemicals and service offerings. In June 2004, we entered into a joint venture with Katayama Chemical, Inc., or KCI, for the marketing and sale of our water treatment and process chemicals in Japan. KCI is a leading participant in these markets in Japan and the venture will permit the combination of our broad product portfolio with KCI's strong market presence. This joint venture will not include manufacturing, research and administrative resources, which will continue to be provided to the joint venture by the parents. Additionally, we maintain longstanding partnerships in Saudi Arabia, relating to base-water treatment, and in Spain, relating to oil-free emulsion polymers.

We will continue to evaluate the potential for partnerships and joint ventures that can assist us in increasing our geographic, technological and product reach. For example, we continue to evaluate partnerships that will expand our offerings to our middle market customers and that will permit us a more significant local identity in certain Asian countries.

Competition

Water management and process improvement service companies compete on the basis of their demonstrated value, technical expertise, chemical formulations, consulting services, detection equipment, monitoring services, and dosing and metering equipment. In general, the markets in which our Company competes are led by a few large companies, with the rest of the market served by smaller entities focusing on more limited geographic regions.

The market for water treatment chemicals is highly fragmented, but is led by our Company and GE Water Technologies. The remainder of the market is comprised of mainly regional and local players. Regional service providers tend to be mid-sized and focus either on a limited geographic region or a smaller subset of products and services and include companies such as Ashland Inc.'s Drew Industrial Division, ChemTreat, Inc. and Kurita Water Industries Ltd. Local players are smaller and tend to focus on servicing local businesses typically requiring less sophisticated applications.

The largest participants in the energy services sector are our Company, Baker Petrolite Corporation, GE Water Technologies and Champion Technologies, Inc. The remainder of the market consists of smaller, regional niche companies focused on limited geographic areas.

The market for specialty and water treatment chemicals used in the pulp and paper industry is fragmented. The top suppliers of water treatment services to the pulp and paper industry are our Company, Hercules Incorporated, Kemira Oyj, Ciba Specialty Chemical Holding Inc., BASF AG and Akzo Nobel N.V.'s EKA Chemicals AB. The remainder of the market is comprised of smaller, regional participants.

Research and Development

We benefit from a high quality research and development effort consisting of more than 490 personnel worldwide, more than 200 of whom have Ph.D.s, dedicated to developing new technology and providing support. Our laboratories, which are located in the United States, the Netherlands and Singapore, are involved in the research and

development of chemical products and in providing technical support, including chemical analyses of water and process samples. Research and development spending was \$65.8 million, \$60.6 million and \$57.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. In recent years, we have received numerous research and development awards, including awards for ULTIMER 00LT053, ULTIMER, Nalco ACT, NALCO98DF063, TRASAR3000, our high stress polymers and STA•BR•EX.

We believe that continued research and development activities are critical to maintaining our leadership position in the industry and will provide us with a competitive advantage as we seek additional business with new and existing customers.

Intellectual Property

We own or have licenses to use a large number of patents relating to a large number of products and processes. We currently have more than 600 patents in the United States and more than 2,000 worldwide with remaining durations ranging from less than one year to 20 years. The average remaining duration is approximately nine years. We also have over 300 registered U.S. trademarks covering our products. Our rights under such patents and licenses and trademarks are of significant importance in the operation of the business. Patents related to our TRASAR and 3D TRASAR technology and trademarks related to Nalco Company and Calgon are considered material to our business. We believe that no other patent, trademark or license is material to our business.

Raw Materials

We purchase more than 6,900 raw materials, with the largest single raw material representing just over 1% of sales, or about 4% of raw material purchases. While single raw material purchases are not significant to us, we do purchase similar categories of products in many cases. Four categories of raw material purchases represented more than 10% of our total North American purchases in 2007: Organics; Monomers; Polymers; and Solvents, Oils and Alcohols. In addition, another four categories of raw materials account for more than 5% of our raw material spend: Amines; Surfactants; Biocides; and Inorganics and Salts. Our raw material purchases also include a variety of packages, bases, defoamers, fatty acids and phosphonates, among many other types of materials. For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, we purchased direct materials valued at \$1,126.0 million, \$1,053.0 million and \$923.0 million, respectively. In addition, joint ventures consolidated by us made direct material purchases valued at \$79.7 million, \$60.6 million and \$63.4 million in the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Working Capital

To better serve and meet the needs of our customers, approximately 14% of our inventories are maintained at customer sites as consignment inventories. Although the consignment inventory model is in place throughout the world, its use is most prevalent in North America. The decision to put inventory at a customer's site is usually based on a request from the customer. Processes are in place to follow movements, and inventory quantities at most sites are physically counted at least annually to validate these processes and to ensure that the accounting records are properly stated.

Employees

As of December 31, 2007, we had about 11,560 employees, of whom approximately 4,820 were employed in North America, approximately 3,010 were employed in Europe, the Middle East and Africa, approximately 1,630 were employed in Latin America and approximately 2,100 were employed in the Pacific region. We consider relations with our employees to be good.

Environmental Matters

Governmental provisions regulating the discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment, have had, and will continue to have, an effect on us and on our operations. Under some environmental laws, we may be jointly and severally liable for the costs of environmental contamination on or

emanating from our properties and at off-site locations where we disposed or arranged for the disposal or treatment of regulated materials, and may also incur liability for damages to natural resources. We have made and continue to make expenditures for projects relating to the environment. We are currently identified as a potentially responsible party at certain contaminated waste disposal sites. We do not anticipate that these matters will result in material liabilities; however, there can be no assurance that discovery of previously unknown conditions or other circumstances will not require significant expenditures by us.

17

We do not believe that compliance with environmental protection laws and regulations will have a material effect upon our capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position, although there can be no assurance to that effect. Our capital expenditures for environmental control facilities during 2007 and future periods are not presently expected to be material to us. We believe that any liability that may result from the resolution of environmental matters for which sufficient information is available to support cost estimates will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations. However, we cannot predict the effect on our financial position of expenditures for aspects of certain matters for which there is insufficient information. In addition, we cannot predict the effect of compliance with environmental laws and regulations with respect to unknown environmental matters or future environmental requirements on our financial position, results of operations, liquidity or cash flow.

We have been named as a defendant in multi-party lawsuits based on our claimed involvement in the supply of allegedly hazardous materials. The plaintiffs seek damages for alleged personal injury or the potential of personal injury resulting from exposure to various chemicals. These matters have had de minimis impact on our business historically, and we do not anticipate that these matters present any material risk to our business or competitive position in the future. Notwithstanding our past experience, we cannot predict with certainty the outcome of any such tort claims or the involvement we might have in such matters in the future.

We are also subject to a variety of regulations relating to the production and handling of our products, as well as the conduct and condition of our production facilities. We do not believe that these regulatory requirements will have a material effect on capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position. Recently adopted chemical registration regulations in the European Union, referred to as REACH, will result in additional costs to us and our competitors. These costs are presently not anticipated to materially impact earnings or our competitive position and we have developed a program to meet the regulations.

Executive Officers

	Name
Title	
Age	
Dr. William H. Joyce	Director, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer
72	Bradley J. Bell
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	55
Richard A. Bendure	Group Vice President and President, Pacific Business Operations
39	David Johnson
Group Vice President and President, Europe, Africa and Middle East Operations	47
Mary Kay Kaufmann	Group Vice President and President, Industrial and Institutional Services division — Middle Market
48	Louis L. Loosbrock
Group Vice President and President, Industrial and Institutional Services division — Heavy Industry	54
Scott C. Mason	Group Vice President and President, Alternate Channels and Global Supply Chain
49	Mark R. Stoll
Group Vice President and President, Pacific Support Operations	51
Gregory N. Nelson	Group Vice President and President, Services and Equipment
52	Steve M. Taylor
Group Vice President and President, Energy Services division	45
John P. Yimoyines	Group Vice President and President, Paper Services division
59	

Dr. William H. Joyce retired effective on December 30, 2007. Prior to his retirement, Dr. Joyce had been our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer since joining us in November 2003. Dr. Joyce was formerly the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Hercules Incorporated, a position he took in May 2001. Dr. Joyce had been Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Union Carbide Corporation from 1996 through May 2001. From 1995 to 1996, Dr. Joyce was President and Chief Executive Officer, and from 1993 to 1995 he was President of Union Carbide. Prior to that, he had been Chief Operating Officer of Union Carbide since 1992.

Bradley J. Bell has been our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since joining us in November 2003. From 1997 to 2003, Mr. Bell served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Rohm and Haas Company. Prior to that, Mr. Bell served as Vice President and Treasurer of both the Whirlpool Corporation, from 1987 to 1997, and the Bundy Corporation, from 1980 to 1987.

Richard A. Bendure has been our Group Vice President and President, Pacific Business Operations since May 2007. Prior to that, Mr. Bendure served as General Manager of North Asia and President of Katayama Nalco since 2004, taking on the additional responsibility for managing the regional supply chain in 2006. In 2003, Mr. Bendure served as General Manager of the Asia Pacific Paper group. From 2001 to 2003, Mr. Bendure served as the General Marketing Manager for the Asia Pacific division. In 2001, Mr. Bendure served as Marketing Manager for E-commerce, and in 2000 was a Marketing Manager for the Paper Chemicals division based in Naperville. Prior to joining Nalco, Mr. Bendure worked for ECC International and Calgon Corporation in sales, sales management, marketing and technical management roles.

David Johnson has been our Group Vice President and President of Europe, Africa, Middle East (EAME) Operations since May 2007. Prior to that, Mr. Johnson was Vice President of the global Downstream business for our Energy Services division since 2006. He was the Global Strategic Business Leader for Energy Services Downstream from 2004 to 2005. In 2003, Mr. Johnson was General Sales Manager for the Downstream business in North America. He became General Marketing Manager for the Refinery and Fuel Management (RFM) business unit in 2002. From 1999 to 2002, Mr. Johnson was Business Manager for the EAME RFM group of the Nalco/Exxon joint venture, after having served as the group's Marketing Manager from 1996 to 1999. Mr. Johnson was Market Development Manager for the EAME RFM group from 1994 to 1996, and he held the same position from 1990 to 1994 for the Oil Field Chemicals (OFC), RFM and Chemical Process Industries groups. From 1987 to 1990, Mr. Johnson worked in the U.K. as Area Manager for OFC. He held the same position, based in Norway, from 1985 to 1987 and, prior to that, Mr. Johnson was a Technical Sales Representative for OFC from 1983 to 1985. Before moving into sales, Mr. Johnson worked as a Scientific Investigator in hydrocarbons research from 1981 to 1983. He began his career at Nalco in 1978 in our U.K.-based Weavergate Works Laboratory as a Scientific Assistant.

Mary Kay Kaufmann is our Group Vice President and President, Industrial and Institutional Services (I&IS) — Middle Market. She has held this position since May 2007. Beginning in 2006, Ms. Kaufmann was Vice President, Nalco Business Transformation Team. From 2004 to 2006, she was Strategic Business Unit Leader for Food, Beverage and Pharmaceutical industries in the Americas and Europe. Ms. Kaufmann was Vice President of Sales for I&IS — North America from 2002 to 2004. Prior to that, she was General Manager from 1997 to 2002. From 1992 to 1997, she was Business Manager for the Chemical Process Industry group. Ms. Kaufmann was Marketing Manager for Product Development from 1989 to 1992 and Product Manager of both Boiler and Cooling chemicals from 1985 to 1989. She began her career at Nalco in 1980 as a Sales Representative, and also served as an Area Manager before taking the Product Manager position.

Louis L. Loosbrock has been our Group Vice President and President, Industrial and Institutional Services (I&IS) — Heavy Industry since May 2007. Prior to that, Mr. Loosbrock had been Group Vice President and President of our Pacific division since April 2003. Mr. Loosbrock was Group Vice President and President of our Pulp and Paper division from April 2002 to April 2003. Mr. Loosbrock served as General Manager, Global Mining from 1998 to 2002; Sales Manager from 1986 to 1989 and 1991 to 1998; and Marketing Manager from 1989 to 1991. He began his career with us in 1977 as a Sales Representative in the Mining Group and in 1981 was named District Manager.

Scott C. Mason is our Group Vice President and President, Alternate Channels and Global Supply Chain. He joined us in January 2006 as Group Vice President and President, Alternate Channels and Operations Planning. Mr. Mason was formerly Vice President of GrafTech International Ltd. and served as President, Advanced Carbon Solutions (2005).

He was President, Graphite Power Systems from 2003 to 2005. From 2000 to 2002, Mr. Mason was President, Advanced Energy Technologies. He was elected Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of Carbon Savoie (France) from 2003 to 2005, and

19

he was elected Chief Executive Officer and President, UCAR SpA (Italy) from 2003 to 2005. Prior to that, Mr. Mason held numerous positions with Union Carbide Corporation, where he began his career in 1981.

Gregory N. Nelson separated from our Company on January 7, 2008. Mr. Nelson had been our Group Vice President and President, Services and Equipment. He was also our Managing Director, European Operations, until June 2007. Mr. Nelson joined us in January 2005 as President, Alternate Channels and Global Services. Prior to joining us, Mr. Nelson was Chief Procurement Officer and Vice President for Supply Chain at Sun Chemical from 2003 to 2004 and Vice President for Global Procurement at Dow Chemical and Union Carbide from 1997 to 2003. Mr. Nelson started his career at ExxonMobil Chemical Company in 1977 as an engineer and held several positions in manufacturing, logistics and strategic business planning until 1997.

Mark R. Stoll has been our Group Vice President and President, Pacific Support Operations since May 2007. Prior to that, Mr. Stoll was Vice President, Field Operations for the Industrial and Institutional Services division since 2006.

In 2003, Mr. Stoll was named Vice President, Strategic Business Units, for the Industrial and Institutional Services division. In 2000, Mr. Stoll was named Sales Manager for the Finishing Technologies group and later that year promoted to General Manager of the global Colloidal Technologies and Performance Products group. Mr. Stoll was named Business Manager for the General Industries group in 1998. He was Sales Manager for the General Industries group from 1994 to 1998. Mr. Stoll began his career with Nalco in 1978 as a Sales Representative in the Metal Industry Chemicals group and held the position of District Manager from 1983 to 1994.

Steve M. Taylor has been Group Vice President and President of our Energy Services division since May 2007. Prior to that, he served as Vice President of Upstream Energy Services since 2006. In 2005, Mr. Taylor served as Strategic Business Unit Leader of our Oilfield Chemicals group. In 2004, he was named Division Vice President of Marketing for Upstream and Downstream in our Energy Services division. From 2002 to 2004, Mr. Taylor served as Worldwide Marketing Manager. He served as North American Oilfield Chemicals Sales Manager from 1998 until 2002. He began his career with Nalco in 1995 as Middle East Sales Manager for Nalco/Exxon Energy Chemicals.

John P. Yimoyines is our Group Vice President and President, Paper Services division. Mr. Yimoyines has served in this capacity since June 2006. Prior to joining us, Mr. Yimoyines spent 35 years in the chemical industry working first for Union Carbide and then Dow after the acquisition of Union Carbide by Dow. He was named Vice President-Corporate Ventures at Union Carbide in 1994 and became Vice President, General Manager, Specialty Polyolefins in 1998. He carried this title into Dow in 2001, where he was later named Vice President, Technology Licensing and Catalysts in 2004. Mr. Yimoyines has been instrumental in the formation of several international joint ventures.

Web Site

The Company's Web site address is www.nalco.com. The Company makes available free of charge on or through its Web site its Code of Ethical Business Conduct, Officer Code of Ethics, annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Web site also includes the Company's corporate governance guidelines and the charters for the audit, compensation, nominating and corporate governance and safety, health and environment committees of the Board of Directors.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

If we are unable to respond to the changing needs of a particular industry and to anticipate, respond to or utilize changing technologies and develop new offerings, it could become more difficult for us to respond to our customers' needs and cause us to be less competitive.

We have historically been able to maintain our market positions and margins through continuous innovation of products and development of new offerings to create value for our customers. Recent innovations and developments that we have relied on include our 3D TRASAR system for controlling and monitoring chemical feed. We may not be successful in continuing to make similar innovations in the future. Our future operating results will depend to a significant extent on our ability to continue to introduce new products and applications and to develop new offerings that offer distinct value for our customers. Many of our products may be affected by rapid technological change and new product introductions and enhancements. We expect to continue to enhance our existing products and identify, develop and manufacture new products with improved capabilities and make improvements in our productivity in order to maintain our competitive position. We intend to devote sizeable resources to the development of new technologically advanced products and systems and to continue to devote a substantial amount of expenditures to the research and development functions of our business. However, we cannot assure you that:

• we will be
 successful in developing new products or systems or bringing them to market in a timely manner;
 • products or
 technologies developed by others will not render our offerings obsolete or non-competitive;
 • the market will
 accept our innovations;
 • our competitors will
 not be able to produce our core non-patented products at a lower cost;
 • we will have
 sufficient resources to research and develop all promising new technologies and products; or
 • significant research
 and development efforts and expenditures for products will ultimately prove successful.

Our ability to anticipate, respond to and utilize changing technologies is crucial because we compete with many companies in each of the markets in which we operate. For example, we compete with hundreds of companies in the water treatment chemicals market, including our largest global competitor, GE Water Technologies. Our ability to compete effectively is based on a number of considerations, such as product and service innovation, product and service quality, distribution capability and price. Moreover, water treatment for industrial customers depends on the particular needs of the industry. For example, the paper industry requires a specific water quality for bleaching paper; certain industrial boilers require demineralized water; the pharmaceuticals industry requires ultra pure water for processing; and, in the case of municipal services, water treatment includes clarification for re-use, sludge dewatering and membrane ultra filtration. We may not have sufficient financial resources to respond to the changing needs of a particular industry and to continue to make investments in our business, which could cause us to become less competitive.

Our substantial leverage could harm our business by limiting our available cash and our access to additional capital.

We are a highly leveraged company. As of December 31, 2007, our total consolidated indebtedness was \$3,324.1 million and we had \$200.0 million of borrowing capacity available under our revolving credit facility (excluding \$23.1 million of outstanding letters of credit).

Our high degree of leverage could have important consequences for you, including the following:

- It may limit our and our subsidiaries' ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, product development, debt service requirements, acquisitions and general corporate or other purposes on favorable terms or at all;

21

substantial portion of our subsidiaries' cash flows from operations must be dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on their and our indebtedness and thus will not be available for other purposes, including operations, capital expenditures and future business opportunities;

ability to adjust to changing market conditions and place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to those of our competitors that are less highly-leveraged;

ability to make strategic acquisitions or cause us to make non-strategic divestitures; and

vulnerable than a less leveraged company to a downturn in general economic conditions or in our business, or we may be unable to carry out capital spending that is important to our growth.

- A
- It may limit our
- It may restrict our
- We may be more

At December 31, 2007, we had \$1,151.3 million of variable rate debt. A 1% increase in the average interest rate would increase future interest expense by approximately \$11.5 million per year.

Despite our current leverage, we may still be able to substantially increase our indebtedness. This could further exacerbate the risks that we and our subsidiaries face.

We and our subsidiaries may be able to substantially increase our indebtedness in the future. The terms of the indentures governing our subsidiaries' notes do not fully prohibit our subsidiaries or us from doing so. Nalco Company's revolving credit facility provides commitments of up to \$250.0 million, all but \$50.0 million of which would have been available for future borrowings as of December 31, 2007 (excluding \$23.1 million of outstanding letters of credit). If new debt is added to our current debt levels, the related risks that we and our subsidiaries now face could intensify.

Our subsidiaries' debt agreements contain restrictions that limit our flexibility in operating our business.

Nalco Company's senior credit agreement and the indentures governing our subsidiaries' existing notes contain a number of significant covenants that, among other things, restrict our or our subsidiaries' ability to:

additional indebtedness;

make other distributions or repurchase certain capital stock;

investments;

types of transactions with our affiliates;

other payments by restricted subsidiaries;

security in other transactions; and

merge with or into other companies.

- incur
- pay dividends on or
- make certain
- enter into certain
- pay dividends or
- use assets as
- sell certain assets or

In addition, under the senior credit agreement, Nalco Holdings LLC is required to satisfy and maintain specified financial ratios and tests. Events beyond our control may affect its ability to comply with those provisions and Nalco Holdings LLC may not be able to meet those ratios and tests. The breach of any of these covenants would result in a

default under the senior credit agreement and the lenders could elect to declare all amounts borrowed under the senior credit agreement, together with accrued interest, to be due and payable and could proceed against the collateral securing that indebtedness.

The terms of Nalco Company's senior credit agreement limit Nalco Holdings LLC and its subsidiaries from paying dividends or otherwise transferring their assets to us.

Our operations are conducted through our subsidiaries and our ability to make payments on any obligations we may have is dependent on the earnings and the distribution of funds from our subsidiaries. However, the terms of Nalco Company's senior credit agreement limit the amount of dividends and other transfers by Nalco Holdings LLC and its subsidiaries to us.

Our significant non-U.S. operations expose us to global economic and political changes that could impact our profitability.

We have significant operations outside the United States, including joint ventures and other alliances. We conduct business in approximately 130 countries and, in 2007, approximately 55% of our net sales originated outside the United States and some of our business is conducted in politically unstable countries such as Venezuela. There are inherent risks in our international operations, including:

controls and currency restrictions;

fluctuations and devaluations;

barriers;

export duties and quotas;

economic conditions;

regulations;

managing international operations and the burden of complying with foreign laws;

expropriation or other government actions;

ability to repatriate dividends from our subsidiaries;

conditions and possible terrorist attacks against American interests; and

governments have been hostile to U.S.-based businesses.

- exchange
- currency
- tariffs and trade
- changes in local
- changes in laws and
- difficulties in
- exposure to possible
- restrictions on our
- unsettled political
- countries whose

Our international operations also expose us to different local political and business risks and challenges. For example, in certain countries we are faced with periodic political issues that could result in currency risks or the risk that we are required to include local ownership or management in our businesses. We are also periodically faced with the risk of economic uncertainty, which has impacted our business in these countries. Other risks in international business also include difficulties in staffing and managing local operations, including our obligations to design local solutions to manage credit risk to local customers and distributors.

Our overall success as a global business depends, in part, upon our ability to succeed in differing economic, social and political conditions. We may not continue to succeed in developing and implementing policies and strategies that are effective in each location where we do business, which could negatively affect our profitability.

Environmental, safety and production and product regulations or concerns could subject us to liability for fines or damages, require us to modify our operations and increase our manufacturing and delivery costs.

We are subject to the requirements of environmental and occupational safety and health laws and regulations in the United States and other countries. These include obligations to investigate and clean up environmental contamination on or from properties or at off-site locations where we are identified as a responsible party. For example, we are currently identified as a potentially responsible party at certain waste management sites. We have also been named as

a defendant in multi-party and individual lawsuits based on claims of exposure to hazardous materials and claims that our products are defective or dangerous. We have also been named as a defendant in lawsuits where our products have not caused injuries, but the claimants wish to be monitored for potential future injuries.

We cannot predict with certainty the outcome of any such tort claims or the involvement we or our products might have in such matters in the future and there can be no assurance that the discovery of previously unknown conditions will not require significant expenditures. In each of these chemical exposure cases, our insurance carriers have accepted the claims on our behalf (with or without reservation) and our financial exposure should be limited to the amount of our deductible; however, we cannot predict the number of claims that we may have to defend in the future and we may not be able to continue to maintain such insurance.

23

On November 27, 2006, the U.K. Health and Safety Executive (“HSE”) issued a summons charging one of our subsidiaries with a violation of the Health and Safety at Work Act. The summons was re-issued in the Crown Court of Worcester on July 23, 2007. The charge relates to a Legionella outbreak that is claimed to have originated at cooling towers owned by one of the subsidiary’s customers. The Legionella outbreak is believed to have resulted in two fatalities and multiple injuries. The customer, H.P. Bulmer Limited, is also charged. Our subsidiary entered a guilty plea to a portion of the charge, exposing non-employees to a health risk, on September 3, 2007. Similarly, our subsidiary’s customer submitted a guilty plea. Our subsidiary has presented a position paper and a mitigation statement and is awaiting a ruling on the amount of a penalty.

We have made and will continue to make capital and other expenditures to comply with environmental requirements. Although we believe we are in material compliance with environmental law requirements, we may not have been and will not at all times be in complete compliance with all of these requirements, and may incur material costs, including fines or damages, or liabilities in connection with these requirements in excess of amounts we have reserved. In addition, these requirements are complex, change frequently and have tended to become more stringent over time. In the future, we may discover previously unknown contamination that could subject us to additional expense and liability. In addition, future requirements could be more onerous than current requirements.

The activities at our production facilities are subject to a variety of federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations (“production regulations”). Similarly, the solid, air and liquid waste streams produced from our production facilities are subject to a variety of regulations (“waste regulations”) and many of our products and the handling of our products are governmentally regulated or registered (“product regulations”). Each of the production, waste and product regulations is subject to expansion or enhancement. Any new or tightened regulations could lead to increases in the direct and indirect costs we incur in manufacturing and delivering products to our customers. For example, the European Commission has imposed new chemical registration requirements on the manufacturers and users of all chemicals, not just those which are considered to be harmful or hazardous. Such regulations, referred to as REACH, will cause all chemical companies to incur additional costs to conduct their businesses in European Commission countries. Similarly, certain of our products are used to assist in the generation of tax credits for our customers, and the termination or expiration of such tax credits could impact the sale of these products. In addition to an increase in costs in manufacturing and delivering products, a change in production regulations or product regulations could result in interruptions to our business and potentially cause economic or consequential losses should we be unable to meet the demands of our customers for products.

We may not be able to achieve all of our expected cost savings.

For the years 2004 through 2007, our average annual cost savings were \$82 million. In 2007, we achieved cost savings of \$79 million, which was slightly above our target of \$75 million. A variety of risks could cause us not to achieve the benefits of our expected cost savings, including, among others, the following:

- higher than expected severance costs related to staff reductions;
- higher than expected retention costs for employees that will be retained;
- delays in the anticipated timing of activities related to our cost-saving plan, including the reduction of inefficiencies in our administrative and overhead functions; and
- other unexpected costs associated with operating the business.

We have experienced in the past, and could again experience in the future, difficulties in securing the supply of certain raw materials we and our competitors need to manufacture some of our products, and we have also been impacted by significant increases in raw material costs.

In 2004 and 2006, certain of the raw materials used by us and other chemical companies faced supply limitation. If these limitations occur again in the future, we risk shortfalls in our sales and the potential of claims from our customers if we are unable to fully meet contractual requirements.

24

Also, limitations on raw materials and rising prices for underlying materials have resulted in the past, and could result in the future, in price increases for raw materials we purchase. In the past, our margins have been impacted by such raw materials price increases, and our margins could be similarly impacted in the future if we are unable to pass any future raw material price increases through to our customers.

Our pension plans are currently underfunded and we may have to make significant cash payments to the plans, reducing the cash available for our business.

We sponsor various pension plans worldwide that are underfunded and require significant cash payments. For example, in 2006 and 2007, we contributed \$70.8 million and \$86.8 million, respectively, to our pension plans. We expect to contribute at least \$36.0 million to the U.S. pension plan in 2008. We may also opt to make additional voluntary contributions to various pension plans worldwide in 2008. If the performance of the assets in our pension plans does not meet our expectations, or if other actuarial assumptions are modified, our contributions could be even higher than we expect. If our cash flow from operations is insufficient to fund our worldwide pension liability, we may be forced to reduce or delay capital expenditures, seek additional capital or seek to restructure or refinance our indebtedness.

As of November 30, 2007, our worldwide pension plans were underfunded by \$313.5 million (based on the actuarial assumptions used for purposes of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 87, Employers' Accounting for Pensions). Our U.S. pension plans are subject to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, or ERISA. Under ERISA, the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, or PBGC, has the authority to terminate an underfunded pension plan under certain circumstances. In the event our U.S. pension plans are terminated for any reason while the plans are underfunded, we will incur a liability to the PBGC that may be equal to the entire amount of the underfunding. Prior to the closing of the Acquisition, the PBGC requested and received information from us regarding our business, the Transactions and our pension plans. The PBGC took no further action with respect to their inquiry.

On August 17, 2006, the President signed into law a new pension reform bill — the Pension Protection Act of 2006 (PPA). The PPA affects defined benefit and defined contribution plans, the employers who sponsor such plans, and plan participants. The PPA will influence the amount and timing of our pension funding requirements, our decisions regarding pension funding, and the timing of payouts to those of our employees who are plan participants. The changes resulting from the PPA might also impact our employees and influence their employment decisions.

We have recorded a significant amount of goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets, and we may never realize the full value of our intangible assets.

We have recorded a significant amount of goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets, including customer relationships, trademarks and developed technologies. Goodwill and other net identifiable intangible assets were approximately \$3.6 billion as of December 31, 2007, or approximately 60% of our total assets. Goodwill, which represents the excess of cost over the fair value of the net assets of the businesses acquired, was approximately \$2.5 billion as of December 31, 2007, or 41% of our total assets. Goodwill and net identifiable intangible assets are recorded at fair value on the date of acquisition and, in accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, will be reviewed at least annually for impairment. Impairment may result from, among other things, deterioration in our performance, adverse market conditions, adverse changes in applicable laws or regulations, including changes that restrict the activities of or affect the products and services sold by our business, and a variety of other factors. Some of the products and services we sell to our customers, including but not limited to those in the synthetic fuel industry, are dependent upon laws and regulations, and changes to such laws or regulations could impact the demand for our products and services. The amount of any quantified impairment must be expensed

immediately as a charge to results of operations. Depending on future circumstances, it is possible that we may never realize the full value of our intangible assets. Any future determination of impairment of a significant portion of goodwill or other identifiable intangible assets would have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

25

Our future success will depend in part on our ability to protect our intellectual property rights, and our inability to enforce these rights could permit others to offer products competitive with ours, which could reduce our ability to maintain our market position and maintain our margins.

We rely on the patent, trademark, copyright and trade secret laws of the United States and other countries to protect our intellectual property rights. However, we may be unable to prevent third parties from using our intellectual property without authorization. The use of our intellectual property by others could reduce any competitive advantage we have developed or otherwise harm our business. If we had to litigate to protect these rights, any proceedings could be costly, and we may not prevail.

We have obtained and applied for several U.S. and foreign trademark registrations, and will continue to evaluate the registration of additional service marks and trademarks, as appropriate. Our pending applications may not be approved by the applicable governmental authorities and, even if the applications are approved, third parties may seek to oppose or otherwise challenge these registrations. A failure to obtain trademark registrations in the United States and in other countries could limit our ability to protect our trademarks and impede our marketing efforts in those jurisdictions.

The market price of our common stock may be volatile, which could cause the value of your investment to decline.

Securities markets worldwide experience significant price and volume fluctuations. This market volatility, as well as general economic, market or potential conditions, could reduce the market price of our common stock in spite of our operating performance. In addition, our operating results could be below the expectations of securities analysts and investors, and in response, the market price of our common stock could decrease significantly.

Provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws and Delaware law may discourage a takeover attempt.

Provisions contained in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws and Delaware law could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if doing so might be beneficial to our stockholders. Provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws impose various procedural and other requirements, which could make it more difficult for stockholders to effect certain corporate actions. For example, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation authorizes our board of directors to determine the rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions of unissued series of preferred stock, without any vote or action by our stockholders. Thus, our board of directors can authorize and issue shares of preferred stock with voting or conversion rights that could adversely affect the voting or other rights of holders of our common stock. These rights may have the effect of delaying or deterring a change of control of our company. In addition, a change of control of our company may be delayed or deterred as a result of our having three classes of directors. Additionally, Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law provides that, subject to specified exceptions, a Delaware corporation shall not engage in business combinations with any entity that acquires enough shares of our common stock without the consent of our board of directors to be considered an “interested stockholder” under Delaware law for a three-year period following the time that the stockholder became an interested stockholder. These provisions could limit the price that certain investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

PROPERTIES

ITEM 2.

Our principal administrative offices and research center are located in Naperville, Illinois. These facilities are leased. We maintain administrative and research facilities in Sugar Land, Texas and Leiden, Netherlands, both of which we own, and in Singapore, which we lease. We position our manufacturing locations and warehouses in a manner to permit ready access to our customers. We

26

operate 19 plants in North America, 6 plants in Latin America, 11 plants in Europe and the Middle East and 13 plants in the Pacific region. We own all of our major manufacturing facilities and we also have a network of small leased sales offices in the United States and, to a lesser extent, in other parts of the world.

ITEM 3.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Various claims, lawsuits and administrative proceedings are pending or threatened against us and our subsidiaries, arising from the ordinary course of business with respect to commercial, contract, intellectual property, product liability, employee, environmental and other matters. Historically, we have not faced any litigation matters or series of litigation matters that have had a material adverse impact on our business. In addition, we do not believe that there is any pending or reasonably predictable litigation, either individually or in the aggregate, that is likely to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, liquidity or cash flow. However, we cannot predict with certainty the outcome of any litigation or the potential for future litigation.

On November 27, 2006, the U.K. Health and Safety Executive (“HSE”) issued a criminal summons charging our U.K. subsidiary with a violation of the Health and Safety at Work Act. The summons was re-issued in the Crown Court of Worcester on July 23, 2007. The charge relates to a Legionella outbreak that is claimed to have originated at cooling towers owned by one of the subsidiary’s customers. The Legionella outbreak is believed to have resulted in two fatalities and multiple injuries. The customer, H. P. Bulmer Limited, is also charged. Our subsidiary submitted a guilty plea to a portion of the charge, exposing non-employees to a health risk, on September 3, 2007. Similarly, our subsidiary’s customer submitted a guilty plea. Our subsidiary has presented a position paper and mitigation statement and is awaiting a ruling on the amount of a penalty. We have established an accrual in accordance with SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, that we consider appropriate in the circumstances. Based on information currently available, we do not believe that the outcome of this matter will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

ITEM 4.

SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

27

PART II

ITEM 5.

MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange (ticker symbol NLC). As of January 17, 2008, the number of holders of record of our common stock was approximately 16,000.

High and low sales prices per share of our common stock for each quarter during 2007 and 2006 were as follows:

2007	2006	Quarter Ended	High	Low	High	Low	March 31	\$ 25.17	\$ 19.94	\$ 18.88	\$ 16.69	June 30	
29.29	23.75	19.65	15.83	September 30	30.08	22.51	19.44	16.40	December 31	30.98	21.32	21.51	18.15

The closing sales price on December 31, 2007 was \$24.18.

Our Board of Directors instituted a quarterly cash dividend by declaring a dividend of \$0.035 per share of common stock in the quarter ended March 31, 2007 and in each succeeding quarter. Nalco Holding Company's operations are conducted through its subsidiaries and its ability to make payments on any obligations it may have is dependent on the earnings and the distribution of funds from its subsidiaries. However, the terms of Nalco Company's senior credit agreement limit the amount of dividends and other transfers by Nalco Holdings LLC and its subsidiaries to Nalco Holding Company. As a result, Nalco Holding Company is limited in its ability to pay dividends on its common stock.

The table below sets forth information regarding repurchases of our common stock during the three months ended December 31, 2007:

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
October 1, 2007 – October 31, 2007	— \$ —	—	\$ 263,009,535
November 1, 2007 –			

Edgar Filing: Nalco Holding CO - Form 10-K

November 30, 2007	3,089,600	\$ 22.98	3,089,600	\$ 192,004,538	December 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007
— \$ —	— \$ 192,004,538	Total	3,089,600	\$ 22.98	3,089,600 \$ 192,004,538

(1) On

July 31, 2007, our Board of Directors authorized a \$300 million share repurchase program, and gave our management discretion in determining the conditions under which shares may be purchased from time to time. We intend to repurchase all shares under this authorization in open market transactions. There is no set timetable for share repurchases, and the program has no stated expiration date.

28

The following graph compares the cumulative total return of our common stock to the cumulative total return of the S&P 500 Stock Index, Russell 1000 Index and the S&P Specialty Chemicals Index through the year ended December 31, 2007, the last trading day of our fiscal year. The graph assumes that the value of the investment in our common stock and each index was \$100 at November 10, 2004 and that all dividends were reinvested.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The Successor financial statements include the consolidated audited financial statements of Nalco Holding Company and its subsidiaries. The Predecessor statement of operations represents the combined statement of operations of Ondeo Nalco Group, which includes the consolidated statement of operations of Ondeo Nalco Company and the combined statement of operations of the Nalco International SAS Subsidiaries.

You should read the following data in conjunction with “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

	Successor	Predecessor	Year ended December 31		November 4,			
	2003 through	2003 through	2003 through	2003 through	2003 through	2003 through	2003 through	2003 through
	December 31,	January 1,	December 31,	December 31,	December 31,	December 31,	December 31,	December 31,
	2003	2003	2003	2003	2003	2003	2003	2003
	(dollars in millions, except per share data)	(dollars in millions, except per share data)	(dollars in millions, except per share data)	(dollars in millions, except per share data)	(dollars in millions, except per share data)	(dollars in millions, except per share data)	(dollars in millions, except per share data)	(dollars in millions, except per share data)
Statement of Operations Data:								
Net sales	\$ 3,912.5	\$ 3,602.6	\$ 3,312.4	\$ 3,033.3	\$ 460.1	\$ 2,306.5	Operating	
costs and expenses:								
Costs of product sold	2,154.1	1,992.3	1,841.0	1,578.8	249.9			
Selling, administrative, and research expenses	1,122.9	1,204.8	1,097.7	1,020.9	1,038.8	174.7		
Impairment of goodwill (1)	892.4	—	—	—	244.4	Amortization of intangible assets (1)	62.1	
In-process research and development (6)	70.1	81.6	96.3	15.4	68.9	—	—	—
Business optimization expenses (2)	15.3	9.5	25.6	1.7	0.8	20.3	Sponsor monitoring fees	—
Sponsor monitoring agreement termination fee (7)	—	11.2	1.8	—	—	—	35.0	—
Loss (gain) on sale of business	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.2
Operating costs and expenses	3,436.3	3,169.6	2,969.1	2,884.1	442.6	2,357.0	Operating earnings (loss)	
Other income (expense), net (3)	476.2	433.0	343.3	149.2	17.5	(50.5)	(4.8)	(4.4)
Interest income	(10.8)	(1.0)	(9.2)	9.1	9.1	8.4	10.2	0.6
Interest expense	(272.0)	(258.0)	(250.8)	(49.6)	(32.7)	7.1	7.1	(274.0)
Earnings (loss) before income taxes and minority interests	206.5	165.7	96.8	(102.2)	(32.5)	(85.3)	Income tax provision (benefit)	69.3
Income tax provision (benefit)	30.8	(8.3)	68.7	Minority interests	(8.2)	(7.9)	(5.7)	(5.8)
Minority interests	—	—	—	—	—	0.1	(4.2)	Net earnings (loss)
Net earnings (loss) per share (4):	\$ 129.0	\$ 98.9	\$ 47.8	\$ (138.8)	\$ (24.1)	\$ (158.2)	Common Share Data:	Net
Basic	\$ 0.90	\$ 0.69	\$ 0.34	\$ (1.42)	\$ (0.27)	—		
Diluted	\$ 0.88	\$ 0.67	\$ 0.33	\$ (1.42)	\$ (0.27)	—	Weighted average shares (millions):	
Basic	143.2	143.0	141.7	97.7	90.6	—	Diluted	146.7
Diluted	146.7	146.7	146.6	97.7	90.6	—	Cash dividends declared per share \$ 0.14	—
Cash dividends declared per share \$ 0.14	—	—	—	—	—	—	Statement of Cash Flows Data:	
Net cash provided by (used for):								
Operating activities	\$ 323.4	\$ 284.8	\$ 199.9	\$ 237.5	\$ 88.7	\$ 144.4	Investing activities	(141.7)
Investing activities	(141.7)	(99.6)	(75.9)	(72.2)	(4,145.1)	(12.3)	Financing activities	(105.3)
Financing activities	(105.3)	(179.6)	(125.9)	(232.8)	4,130.3	(234.2)	Other Financial Data	
EBITDA (5)	\$ 657.6	\$ 621.8	\$ 555.6	\$ 343.2	\$ 54.5	\$ 106.8	Non-cash charges included in	
EBITDA (6)	35.6	35.5	19.8	174.4	23.9	268.7	Unusual items included in EBITDA (7)	27.8
Capital expenditures, net (8)	19.2	8.3	59.7	6.3	48.5	114.6	93.4	74.6
Depreciation	85.6	132.3	131.0	133.3	114.3	22.5	101.8	Amortization of intangible assets
Amortization of intangible assets	62.1	70.1	81.6	96.3	15.4	68.9		

As of December 31 (dollars in millions)									
2007 2006 2005 2004 2003 Balance Sheet Data:									
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 119.9	\$ 37.3	\$ 30.8	\$ 33.3	\$ 100.0	Working capital (9)	629.7		
560.9	498.0	424.2	398.7	Property, plant and equipment, net	762.3	743.4	755.3	847.3	
865.6	Total assets	5,978.6	5,656.5	5,552.4	5,933.9	6,163.8	Total debt (including current portion of long-term debt)	3,324.1	3,188.8
								3,266.8	3,442.5
									3,314.7
890.9	705.5	710.4	1,069.0				Total shareholders' equity		1,117.8

(1) The Predecessor adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, as of January 1, 2002. Under SFAS No. 142, goodwill and intangible assets deemed to have indefinite lives are no longer amortized but are subject to annual impairment tests. In the period from January 1, 2003 through November 3, 2003, the Predecessor recorded a goodwill impairment charge of \$244.4 million based on the price paid in the Acquisition. (2) The Successor incurs business optimization expenses, representing mostly employee severance and related costs, in connection with its programs to redesign and optimize its business and work processes. The Predecessor incurred significant business optimization expenses as a result of the global integration of the Nalco/Exxon Energy Chemicals, L.P. (Nalco/Exxon) joint venture and the implementation of global cost reduction programs in the sales, marketing, manufacturing and support services operations. The costs incurred included severance, asset write-offs, facility closing costs and other items. (3) Other income (expense), net consists of gains/(losses) on the disposals of assets, franchise taxes, equity earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries, recognized gains and losses on foreign currency transactions and other miscellaneous income (expense). Also included for the year ended December 31, 2004 was a \$13.7 million charge for the early repayment of senior discount notes. A \$10.4 million charge for the settlement of an interest rate swap was also included during the period from January 1, 2003 through November 3, 2003. (4) Net earnings (loss) per share is calculated by dividing net earnings (loss) by the weighted average shares outstanding. Net earnings (loss) per share is not applicable for the historical Predecessor period as there were no shares outstanding during that period. (5) EBITDA, a measure used by management to measure operating performance, is defined as net earnings plus interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization. EBITDA is reconciled to net earnings (loss) in the following table. Our management believes EBITDA is useful to the investors because it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of companies in our industry. EBITDA is not a recognized term under U.S. GAAP and does not purport to be an alternative to net earnings (loss) as an indicator of operating performance or to cash flows from operating activities as a measure of liquidity. Because not all companies use identical calculations, this presentation of EBITDA may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies. Additionally, EBITDA is not intended to be a measure of cash flow for management's discretionary use, as it does not consider certain cash requirements such as interest payments, tax payments and debt service requirements. The amounts shown for EBITDA as presented herein differ from the amounts calculated under the definition of EBITDA used in our debt instruments. The definition of EBITDA used in our debt instruments is further adjusted for certain cash and non-cash charges and is used to determine compliance with financial covenants and our ability to engage in certain activities such as incurring additional debt and making certain payments.

The following is a reconciliation of net earnings (loss) to EBITDA:

Successor 2003 through December 31, 2003	Predecessor January 1, 2003 through November 3, 2003	Year ended December 31				November 4, 2003					
(dollars in millions)		2007	2006	2005	2004	Net earnings (loss)					
\$ (24.1)	\$ (158.2)					\$ 129.0	\$ 98.9	\$ 47.8	\$ (138.8)		
	Interest expense, net				264.9	262.9	249.6	240.6	49.0	25.6	Income tax
	provision (benefit)	69.3	58.9	43.3	30.8	(8.3)	68.7	Depreciation	132.3	131.0	133.3
114.3	22.5	101.8	Amortization of intangible assets			62.1	70.1	81.6	96.3	15.4	68.9
EBITDA	\$ 657.6	\$ 621.8	\$ 555.6	\$ 343.2	\$ 54.5	\$ 106.8					

(6) EBITDA, as defined above, was reduced by the following non-cash charges, each of which is further discussed below:

Successor 2003 through December 31, 2003	Predecessor January 1, 2003 through November 3, 2003	Year ended December 31				November 4, 2003					
(dollars in millions)		2007	2006	2005	2004	Impairment of goodwill					
						\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 244.4
	In-process research and development				122.3						14.6
	— Asset write-offs	2.5	2.8	1.1	—	4.2	Profit sharing and 401(k) expense funded by Suez				28.6
25.6	13.2	27.8	4.0	20.0	Other	7.0	7.4	3.8	8.6	(1.3)	0.1
19.8	\$ 174.4	\$ 23.9	\$ 268.7								\$ 35.6
	Impairment of Goodwill										\$ 35.5

Goodwill impairment of \$244.4 million was recorded by the Predecessor in accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. Goodwill was evaluated for impairment based on the purchase price for the Predecessor.

In-process Research and Development

In-process research and development was a one-time charge of \$122.3 million recorded during the year ended December 31, 2004, as a result of purchase accounting entries related to the Acquisition.

Inventory Step Up

As a result of purchase accounting for the Acquisition, the Successor wrote up its inventory to fair value and subsequently charged the write up to cost of sales as the inventory was sold. The Successor statements of operations reflect the inventory step-up in cost of product sold.

Asset Write-Offs

In conjunction with integration and process improvement initiatives, the Predecessor wrote off assets consisting primarily of a \$5.0 million investment in start up ventures in 2003.

Profit Sharing and 401(k) Expense Funded by Suez

In conjunction with the Acquisition, the Successor entered into an agreement with Suez whereby Suez will reimburse the Successor for certain profit sharing and 401(k) matching contributions made to the Profit-Sharing Trust.

32

Other

Other non-cash charges include the non-cash impact on earnings of our equity investments and minority interests. Successor non-cash charges include the non-cash portion of rent expense under the sublease that the Successor entered into with a Suez subsidiary in conjunction with the Acquisition.

In addition, in 2007, we reduced the estimated residual value on a leveraged lease investment, resulting in a non-cash loss of \$2.3 million.

(7) In addition to incurring non-cash charges and business optimization expenses, our EBITDA was impacted by the following unusual (income) expenses, each of which is further discussed below:

Successor 2003 through December 31, 2003	Predecessor January 1, 2003 through November 3, 2003	Year ended December 31				November 4,				
(dollars in millions)		2007	2006	2005	2004	Loss (gain) on pension and OPEB settlement and curtailment				
\$ 0.5	\$ 1.7	\$ 0.5	\$ 0.1	\$ (0.1)	\$ 7.9	Loss (gain) on sales, net of expenses	2.0	6.0	4.1	0.2
1.1	12.4	Other unusual items	25.3	11.5	3.7	59.4	5.3	25.7	Suez management fees, net	—
—	—	2.5	\$ 27.8	\$ 19.2	\$ 8.3	\$ 59.7	\$ 6.3	\$ 48.5		
Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits (OPEB) Settlement and Curtailment										

In 2003, the Predecessor incurred \$7.9 million of curtailment and settlement charges primarily as a result of the transfer of senior executives back to Suez prior to the Acquisition.

Loss (Gain) on Sales, Net of Expenses

For the year ended December 31, 2006, the Successor recorded a loss of \$2.1 million from the sale-leaseback of its facility in Austria, and it also recognized losses of \$1.2 million from the disposal of equipment at a plant in the U.K. In 2005, the Successor recognized an impairment loss of \$2.4 million on a business that was held for sale.

In 2003, the Predecessor recorded a loss of \$5.3 million on the sale of its South African operations as well as \$8.1 million of one-time costs associated with the Acquisition.

Other Unusual Items

Other unusual items include the non-cash compensation expenses recognized from grants of stock appreciation or similar rights, stock options or other rights to officers, directors and employees. Our share-based compensation plan expenses for the years 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were \$15.5 million, \$1.8 million, \$0.3 million, and \$0.1 million, respectively. Included in 2007 was a \$12.0 million expense for a grant of nonvested common stock as part of an agreement with our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Dr. William H. Joyce, who retired effective December 30, 2007. There were no share-based compensation plan expenses in 2003.

For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, significant other unusual items included consultancy fees in connection with our work process redesign initiatives of \$4.0 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, and consulting

and legal fees associated with our legal entity restructure of \$5.2 million in each year.

In 2005, the Successor incurred \$1.0 million of costs on behalf of the Sponsors' secondary offering. In addition, the Successor wrote off \$1.1 million of inventory, which pre-dated the Acquisition.

The Successor's results for the year ended December 31, 2004 were impacted by the effects of certain unusual items, including a \$35.0 million charge for the termination of the Monitoring Fee

33

Agreement with affiliates of the Sponsors, a \$13.7 million charge for the early repayment of senior discount notes, \$1.4 million of expenses associated with changing the name of the Successor's operating company from Ondeo Nalco Company to Nalco Company, \$1.8 million in connection with the re-audit of the Predecessor's 2001 financial statements, \$1.9 million of expenses related to the registration of publicly tradable senior notes and senior subordinated notes that were exchanged for privately placed notes issued in connection with the Acquisition, and other expenses resulting from the Acquisition.

During the period from November 4, 2003 through December 31, 2003, the Successor's results were impacted by the effects of certain other unusual items, most notably \$3.3 million of legal and environmental costs related to events that occurred prior to Suez' 1999 acquisition of Nalco Chemical Company and litigation costs not covered by excess liability insurance.

The most significant other unusual item affecting the Predecessor's results during the period from January 1, 2003 through November 3, 2003 was a \$10.4 million charge for the settlement of an interest rate swap.

Suez Management Fees, Net

In connection with the Acquisition, agreements under which fees were paid by the Predecessor to Suez, or received from Suez, were terminated.

(8) Capital expenditures are net of proceeds from disposal of assets. (9) Working capital is defined as current assets (excluding cash and cash equivalents) less current liabilities (excluding short-term debt and current portion of long-term debt) and calculated as follows:

of December 31 (dollars in millions)	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	Current assets less current liabilities	As
						\$	
619.2	\$ 448.0	\$ 506.2	\$ 439.8	\$ 446.8	Less cash and cash equivalents	(119.9)	(37.3)
(33.3)	(100.0)	Plus short-term debt	130.4	150.2	22.6	17.7	51.9
\$ 629.7	\$ 560.9	\$ 498.0	\$ 424.2	\$ 398.7			Working capital as defined

34

ITEM 7.

MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations includes references to the Transactions, defined as the acquisition of Ondeo Nalco Group, comprised of Nalco Company and Nalco International SAS Subsidiaries, by Nalco Holdings from Suez (“Suez”) and the related financings in connection with such acquisition (the “Acquisition”).

“Safe Harbor” Statement Under Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995

This Annual Report for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 (the “Annual Report”) includes “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These forward-looking statements include statements concerning our plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events, future revenue or performance, capital expenditures, financing needs, plans or intentions relating to acquisitions, business trends and other information that is not historical information. When used in this Annual Report, the words “estimates,” “expects,” “anticipates,” “projects,” “plans,” “intends,” “believes,” “forecasts,” conditional verbs, such as “will,” “should,” “could” or “may,” and variations of such words or similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements, including, without limitation, management’s examination of historical operating trends and data are based upon our current expectations and various assumptions. Our expectations, beliefs and projections are expressed in good faith and we believe there is a reasonable basis for them. However, there can be no assurance that management’s expectations, beliefs and projections will be achieved.

There are a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report. Additionally, important factors could cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements we make in this Annual Report. As stated in Item 1A, “Risk Factors,” such risks, uncertainties and other important factors include, among others:

- substantial leverage;
 - flexibility in operating our business contained in our debt agreements;
 - rates as a result of our variable rate indebtedness;
 - from our customers;
 - change and innovation;
 - with our non-U.S. operations;
 - currency exchange rates;
 - the markets in which we operate;
 - environmental, health and safety regulations;
 - our production facilities;
- our
 - limitations on
 - increases in interest
 - pricing pressure
 - technological
 - risks associated
 - fluctuations in
 - high competition in
 - adverse changes to
 - operating hazards in
 - inability to achieve

expected cost savings;

securing the raw materials we use;

pension benefit obligations and the current underfunding of our pension plans;

the full value of our intangible assets;

and retain skilled employees, particularly research scientists, technical sales professionals and engineers; and

protect our intellectual property rights.

- difficulties in
- our significant
- our ability to realize
- our ability to attract
- our ability to

There may be other factors that may cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements.

35

All forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf apply only as of the date of this Annual Report and are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements included in this Annual Report. We undertake no obligation to update or revise forward-looking statements which may be made to reflect events or circumstances that arise after the date made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Direct contribution, EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Free Cash Flow are measures used by management to evaluate operating performance. Adjusted EBITDA is also used to determine our compliance with financial covenants and our ability to engage in certain activities such as incurring additional debt and making certain payments.

Direct contribution is defined as net sales, less cost of product sold, selling and service expenses, marketing expenses, research expenses and capital charges (an internal non-GAAP charge based on trade accounts receivable, inventories and equipment specifically identifiable to each of our operating segments). EBITDA is defined as net earnings plus interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization. Adjusted EBITDA is defined as EBITDA further adjusted for certain cash and non-cash charges, as permitted under our senior discount note, senior note and senior subordinated note indentures and our senior credit facility. Free Cash Flow is defined as net cash provided by operating activities, less capital expenditures and minority interest charges.

Direct contribution provides investors with the measurement used by our management to evaluate the performance of our segments. We believe EBITDA is useful to the investors because it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of companies in our industry. We consider the inclusion of supplementary adjustments to EBITDA applied in presenting Adjusted EBITDA appropriate to provide additional information to investors to demonstrate compliance with our financing covenants. We believe Free Cash Flow provides investors with a measure of our ability to generate cash for the repayment of debt.

Direct contribution, EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Free Cash Flow are not recognized terms under U.S. GAAP and do not purport to be alternatives to net earnings as an indicator of operating performance or to cash flows from operating activities as a measure of liquidity. Direct contribution is reconciled to consolidated earnings before income taxes and minority interests in Note 22 of our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report. The most direct comparable GAAP financial measures of each other non-GAAP financial measure, as well as the reconciliation between each other non-GAAP financial measure and the GAAP financial measure, are presented in the discussions of the non-GAAP financial measures below. Because not all companies use identical calculations, our measures may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Revenue Recognition

Revenue from sales of products, including chemicals and equipment, is recognized at the time: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (2) ownership and all risks of loss have been transferred to the buyer, which is generally upon shipment, (3) the price is fixed and determinable, and (4) collectibility is reasonably assured. Revenue from services is recognized when the services are provided to the customer.

Significant estimates used in recognizing revenues include (1) the delay between the time that chemicals/equipment are shipped and when they are received by customers and title transfers and (2) the amount of credit memos to be issued in subsequent periods.

We provide our chemical and equipment offerings to our customers using various commercial arrangements, but the following are most commonly used:

Ship-and-bill arrangements. Following the receipt of a purchase order from the customer, we invoice the customer at the time that the chemicals/equipment are shipped, based on agreed pricing and the

36

volume of chemicals shipped or units of equipment shipped. At the end of each period, for those shipments where title to the product and the risks of loss and rewards of ownership do not transfer until the product has been received by the customer, adjustments to revenues and cost of product sold are made to account for the delay between the time that the chemicals/equipment are shipped and when they are received by the customer. Our sales policy does not provide for general rights of return and does not contain customer acceptance clauses.

Usage with level billing arrangements. At the beginning of the contractual relationship, we prepare an estimate of the chemicals that will be used by the customer. As agreed with the customer, a fixed amount is invoiced monthly based on this estimate. At the end of each quarter, we reconcile the actual amount of chemicals used by the customer and bill the customer for products actually used during that period. Revenue is recognized to reflect actual usage. For any products not consumed in the customer's operations in a particular period, we retain ownership of such products on a consigned basis and do not recognize any revenue.

Fixed-fee arrangements. At the beginning of the contractual relationship, we prepare an estimate of the chemicals that will be used by the customer during the contractual period, which is usually twelve months. A fixed amount is automatically invoiced to the customer on a periodic basis, typically monthly. We retain ownership, on a consigned basis, of any inventory at the customer site that is not consumed in the customer's operations in a particular period. Revenue is recognized on a ratable basis over the contractual period, which is generally consistent with the customer's usage of the chemicals.

Under these arrangements, as part of the sales cycle for our chemical programs, our technical and sales representatives may visit our customers' facilities to develop and monitor the chemical programs. Typically, there is no contractual obligation for these visits, or any penalty for not visiting the customer sites. During these visits, our employees perform routine testing activities to ensure that our chemical products' functionalities are having the desired effect on the customers' equipment (e.g., boilers, chillers and water treatment plants). In the majority of instances, our customers perform the on-going application of the chemicals. At the same time, the sales representatives investigate and determine whether the customers have needs for additional applications from Nalco. We consider our technical and sales representatives' activities in this regard to be an integral and inherent part of our selling process and not a separate deliverable for revenue recognition purposes.

Some of our customers, however, look to us for our technical expertise only, without the purchase of chemicals/equipment. We offer specialized services designed to assess, control, and reduce risk from water-borne pathogens such as Legionella, and we provide other technical and analytical services.

We invoice for our services using various commercial arrangements, but the following arrangements are the most common:

Perform-and-bill arrangements. Revenue is recognized in the same period that the related service is performed, based on the agreed pricing, which can be either a price per hour or a fixed fee.

Fixed-fee arrangements. At the beginning of the contractual relationship, we estimate the saleable service that will be performed during a given period, usually twelve months. A fixed amount is automatically invoiced to the customer on a periodic basis, which may be monthly, quarterly, or annually, either in arrears or in advance of the services provided. Because an unspecified number of similar acts are performed within a fixed period of performance, revenue is recognized ratably over the period of performance.

Time and material arrangements. The fee with the customer is structured on a time and material basis, and revenue is recognized in the same period that the work is completed.

In certain arrangements, which are usually reserved for our largest customers, we provide some combination or all of the following deliverables: (1) chemicals, (2) equipment and (3) on-site technical expertise. In these arrangements, we usually remain the owner of any equipment at the customer site. At the end of the contractual relationship, the equipment is either recovered or sold to the customer or a competitor. Additionally, our representatives may have a regular presence at a customer's facility, which is provided under a contract. Over time, the representatives have gained a true expertise in the

37

particular production/water treatment process at the customer's facility, and are able to selectively apply our specific chemical programs given the customer environment (water quality, equipment type, etc.). The regular presence of the representative permits us to closely track the results of the program and to make modifications to the program as necessary for the highest efficiency.

The following two types of commercial arrangements are the most commonly used for the sale of multiple deliverables:

Production-based arrangements. Our billing is based on a customer's production-based formula (e.g., dollars per ton of paper produced) within certain technical parameters. We use a combination of our service chemicals, on-site technical expertise and equipment to satisfy the customer requirement. The chemicals and equipment used and on-site technical expertise required are highly correlated with the customer's production. Revenue is recognized monthly based on the production-based formula.

Usage-based arrangements. For these arrangements, we invoice according to the consumption of chemicals by the customer. The agreed price by kilogram or pound of chemical consumed also includes the availability of on-site expertise and the use of equipment to satisfy the customer requirement. Revenue is recognized monthly based on the usage-based formula.

For all of our commercial arrangements, we also record estimated reserves for anticipated non-collectible accounts and for product returns and other credits at the time revenue is recognized.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets Other Than Intangibles

We conduct periodic reviews for idle equipment and review business plans for possible impairment implications. PORTA-FEED stainless steel containers, utilized to ship chemicals, represent approximately 6.2% of our property, plant and equipment and are managed globally to optimize the delivery of chemicals to customer and company sites around the world. Due to their high mobility, there is a risk that PORTA-FEEDs could be damaged or lost in transit. We perform continuous cycle counts of our PORTA-FEEDs and when such counts are inconsistent with our tracking system, we investigate the discrepancy in order to locate the container. If the PORTA-FEED is not found, we write off the asset immediately.

We capitalize qualifying costs incurred in the acquisition and development of software for internal use, including the costs of the software, materials, consultants, interest and payroll and payroll-related costs for employees dedicated to the development. We must use our judgment in determining whether the direct costs of materials and services consumed in developing or obtaining internal-use computer software and an employee's time and travel expenses directly associated with developing software are recoverable and should be capitalized. We base our determination on the nature and the extent of the activity that the employee is performing. A significant portion of our capitalized software costs relates to our SAP implementation.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The carrying values of goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives are reviewed for possible impairment at least annually and when events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. The carrying values of intangible assets with finite lives are reviewed for possible impairment when events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable.

We have defined our reportable segments as our reporting units for our goodwill accounting. We use a two-step process to test goodwill for impairment. First, the reporting unit's fair value is compared to its carrying value. Fair value is estimated using a combined market multiple and discounted cash flow approach. If a reporting unit's carrying amount exceeds its fair value, an indication exists that the reporting unit's goodwill may be impaired, and the second step of the impairment test would be performed. The second step of the goodwill impairment test is used to measure the amount of the impairment loss. In the second step, the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is determined by allocating the reporting unit's fair value to all of its assets and liabilities other than

38

goodwill in a manner similar to a purchase price allocation. The implied fair value of the goodwill that results from the application of this second step is then compared to the carrying amount of the goodwill and an impairment charge would be recorded for the difference if the carrying value exceeds the implied fair value of the goodwill.

We test the carrying value of other intangible assets with indefinite lives by comparing the fair value of the intangible assets to the carrying value. Fair value is estimated using a relief of royalty approach, a discounted cash flow methodology.

The estimates and assumptions we use are consistent with the business plans and estimates we use to manage operations and to make acquisition and divestiture decisions. The use of different assumptions could impact whether an impairment charge is required and, if so, the amount of such impairment. Future outcomes may also differ. If we fail to achieve estimated volume and pricing targets, experience unfavorable market conditions or achieve results that differ from our estimates, then revenue and cost forecasts may not be achieved, and we may be required to recognize impairment charges.

Pensions

Accounting for pensions involves the selection of a discount rate and an expected rate of return that we apply to a market-related value of plan assets. This methodology generally reduces the volatility of pension expense that would have otherwise resulted from changes in the value of the pension plan assets and pension liability discount rates. The discount rate used to determine the present value of our future U.S. pension obligations is based on a yield curve constructed from a portfolio of high quality corporate debt securities with various maturities. Each year's expected future benefit payments are discounted to their present value at the appropriate yield curve rate, thereby generating the overall discount rate for U.S. pension obligations. The discount rates for our foreign pension plans are selected by reference to high quality corporate bond rates in those countries that have developed corporate bond markets. In those countries where developed corporate bond markets do not exist, the discount rates are selected by reference to local government bond rates with a premium added to reflect the additional risk for corporate bonds.

The assets in the principal domestic pension plan are diversified across equity and fixed income investments. The investment portfolio has target allocations of approximately 49% equity, 32% fixed income and 19% alternative investments such as hedge funds and private equity. Other assets such as real estate may be used judiciously to enhance portfolio returns and diversification.

The expected long-term rate of return is established using historical market data for each asset class as well as the target allocation. Historical markets are analyzed and long-term historical relationships between equity and fixed income investments are preserved consistent with the widely accepted capital market principle that assets with higher volatility will generate a greater return over the long run. Active management is employed in most asset classes, which also contributes to the return assumption. The total weighted-average return on each asset class supports our long-term expected rate of return assumption.

We review our long-term rate of return assumptions annually. While we believe our assumptions of future returns are reasonable and appropriate, significant differences in our actual experience or significant changes in our assumptions may materially affect our pension obligations and our future pension expense. The expected long-term rate of return is applied to a calculated value of plan assets, which recognizes changes in the fair value of plan assets in a systematic manner over five years in determining pension expense.

Other Postretirement Benefits

Accounting for other postretirement benefits requires the selection of a discount rate and health care cost trend rates used to value benefit obligations. The discount rate used to determine the present value of our future postretirement benefit obligations other than pensions is based on a yield curve constructed from a portfolio of high quality corporate debt securities with various maturities. Each

39

year's expected future benefit payments are discounted to their present value at the appropriate yield curve rate, thereby generating the overall discount rate for our other postretirement benefit obligations. We develop our estimate of the health care cost trend rates through review of our recent health care cost trend experience and discussions with our actuary regarding the experience of similar companies.

Income Taxes

We estimate our income tax expense in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, which has been further clarified by Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (FIN 48), an interpretation of SFAS No. 109. This results in our recording deferred tax assets and liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet to recognize the temporary differences caused by the timing of recognition of income and expense for financial statement and tax purposes. Valuation allowances are recorded based on the realizability of the deferred tax assets. Relevant factors to determine the realizability of the assets include future taxable income, the expected timing of the reversal of temporary differences, tax planning strategies and the expiration dates of the various tax attributes. Valuation allowances are established for those assets that are determined to be more likely than not to expire without benefit, or for which income of the proper character is not anticipated.

The effect of a valuation allowance for a deferred tax asset that is expected to originate in the current year is included in the annual effective tax rate for the year. The effect of a change in the beginning-of-the-year balance of a valuation allowance resulting from a change in judgment about the realizability of the related deferred tax asset in future years is recognized in the interim period in which the change occurs.

The tax positions we take are based on our interpretations of tax laws and regulations in the applicable federal, state and international jurisdictions. We believe that our tax returns properly reflect the tax consequences of our operations, and that our reserves for tax contingencies are appropriate and sufficient for the positions taken. However, these positions are subject to audit and review by the tax authorities, which may result in future taxes, interest and penalties. Because of the uncertainty of the final outcome of these examinations, we have reserved for potential reductions of tax benefits (including related interest) for amounts that do not meet the more-likely-than-not thresholds for recognition and measurement as required by FIN 48. The tax reserves are reevaluated throughout the year, taking into account new legislation, regulations, case law and audit results.

Environmental

Our business and operations are subject to extensive environmental laws and regulations governing, among other things, air emissions, wastewater discharges, the use and handling of hazardous substances, waste disposal and the investigation and remediation of soil and groundwater contamination. As with other companies engaged in similar manufacturing activities and providing similar services, some risk of environmental liability is inherent in our operations.

We have been named as a potentially responsible party, or PRP, by the Environmental Protection Agency or state enforcement agencies at five waste sites where some financial contribution is or may be required. These agencies have also identified many other parties who may be responsible for clean up costs at the waste disposal sites. Our financial contribution to remediate these sites is expected to be minor. There has been no significant financial impact on us up to the present, nor is it anticipated that there will be in the future, as a result of these matters. We have made and will continue to make provisions for these costs if our liability becomes probable and when costs can be reasonably estimated.

We have also been named as a defendant in a number of lawsuits alleging personal injury due to exposure to hazardous substances, including multi-party lawsuits alleging personal injury in connection with our products and services. While we do not believe that based upon present information that any of these suits will be material to us, there can be no assurance that these environmental matters could not have, either individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on our future financial condition or results of operations.

40

Historically, our expenditures relating to environmental compliance and clean up activities have not been significant. The environmental reserves represent our current estimate of our proportional clean up costs and are based upon negotiation and agreement with enforcement agencies, our previous experience with respect to clean up activities, detailed review of known conditions, and information about other PRPs. The reserves are not reduced by any possible recoveries from insurance companies or other PRPs not specifically identified. Although given the evolving nature of environmental regulations, we cannot determine whether or not a material effect on future operations is reasonably likely to occur, we believe that the recorded reserve levels are appropriate estimates of the potential liability. Although settlements will require future cash outlays, it is not expected that such outlays will materially impact our liquidity position, although there can be no assurance that such impacts could not occur.

Executive Level Overview

We generated solid sales and profit growth in 2007, even as second-half profitability was constrained by continuing problems in Europe and by product and other cost increases late in the year. Sales for the year ended December 31, 2007 grew 8.6% to \$3,912.5 million from \$3,602.6 million, including 4.9% organic sales growth. We define organic growth as nominal, or actual, sales growth less the impacts of changes in foreign currency translation rates and acquisitions and divestitures.

Net earnings rose 30.4% to \$129.0 million from \$98.9 million in 2006. Diluted earnings per share (EPS) increased 31.3% to 88 cents per share from the year earlier 67 cents. Earnings benefited from a fourth quarter insurance settlement from the 2005 hurricanes that resulted in a \$12.3 million improvement to Adjusted EBITDA and a \$7.6 million increase in net earnings, or 5 cents per share. This gain was offset in net earnings and EPS by a \$12.0 million expense for a grant of nonvested common stock as part of an agreement with our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Dr. William H. Joyce. Dr. Joyce retired effective December 30. For purposes of Adjusted EBITDA, this expense was neutral as it was added to EBITDA as part of other unusual charges. Adjusted EBITDA increased 7.3% to \$729.8 million in the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$680.1 million in 2006.

Energy Services led organic growth for the year, up 8.8% on continued strength in Oilfield and Downstream businesses. Industrial and Institutional Services (I&IS) grew 5.3% led by rapid growth in Asia, Latin America and several North American markets — including our Power, Mining and Food and Beverage business units. Paper Services revenues were essentially flat. Other segment sales declined 2.3% as good organic growth in our Katayama Nalco joint venture in Japan and modest gains in India were more than offset by a sizable drop in an Integrated Channels group that pursues opportunistic sales. Nearly 60% of our sales came from business units growing at a 10% average organic rate.

Direct contribution margins expanded in Energy Services from 21.4% to 21.8% for the year, even as the business continued to invest heavily in hiring and training sales engineers to support new business capture for start-up in 2008. I&IS direct contribution margins contracted 0.5 percentage points to 22.0% due to increased investment in organic operating expenses in Europe that did not translate into organic growth for the region. Paper Services direct contribution margins held relatively flat, with a modest 0.1 percentage point dip as declining results in Europe offset solid performance improvement in the Americas.

Across the Company, sales in every region grew at least 6.5% organically except the European region, which declined 0.5% organically for the year. North America was up 6.5%, Asia 7.5% and Latin America 9.4% organically. Profitability in Europe declined more sharply as operating expense investments in growth areas were not offset by revenue growth and profits in other parts of Europe.

On December 10, 2007, we acquired an 87.5% interest in Mobotec USA — naming our new entity Nalco Mobotec. Cash paid for this acquisition, net of cash acquired, was \$28 million. Additional value to the selling shareholders may be paid through earn-out clauses that will be

41

treated as compensation if targets are reached. During the 21 days Nalco Mobotec was part of the Company, it added \$2.5 million to sales and \$0.5 million to EBITDA. Nalco Mobotec provides industrial and power utility customers with a wide range of solutions that save energy and reduce many critical pollutants, including greenhouse gases, nitrogen and sulfur oxides (NO_x /SO_x), mercury, hydrogen chloride and particulates. This business fits well in our strategic focus on providing customers with sustainable solutions — or solutions that combine economic, environmental and social benefits.

Free Cash Flow was \$200.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, even with a sizable, unplanned increase in receivables and a decision to accelerate pension funding. This was an increase of 9.3% over the \$183.5 million of Free Cash Flow generated in 2006. Net cash provided by operating activities is reconciled to Free Cash Flow as follows:

		Year ended			
December 31 (dollars in millions)	2007	2006	Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 323.4	\$ 284.8
Minority interests	(8.2)	(7.9)	Additions to property, plant, and equipment, net	(114.6)	(93.4)
Free cash flow	\$ 200.6	\$ 183.5			

We moved forward pension funding from 2008 into 2007 by nearly \$20 million following the August 2007 release of new rules under the Pension Protection Act of 2006. For the year, pension funding exceeded expense levels by more than \$40 million. Days Sales Outstanding (DSO) increased by 6 days to 72 days during 2007 following implementation of new order-to-cash work processes and systems that had been intended to shrink DSO, among other benefits. These changes, made as part of an initiative we called Nalco Business Transformation (NBT), are expected to deliver productivity improvements and enhanced cash generation over time. However, during 2007, we only gained a small portion of the productivity gains we expect, and added more than \$60 million to working capital with the DSO increase.

Our waste coal agglomeration, or synfuel business, accounted for \$79.5 million in sales and \$23.3 million in direct contribution for I&IS during 2007. In 2006, revenues were \$62.0 million and direct contribution was \$16.6 million. As a result of expiring customer tax incentives, this business does not continue into 2008. There are no asset write-offs or other charges expected as a result of the business elimination.

Other notable aspects of our 2007 performance included:

- Successful introductions of new technology played a critical role in this growth, including our Clean n Cor pipeline treatment program in Energy Services, our EXTRA WHITE brightness technology in Paper Services, and an extension of our 3D TRASAR control technology to optimize reverse osmosis applications across the segments.

- Our WaterServ alternate channel business generated 9% growth and profitability levels similar to the Company average. While not yet growing at the pace we believe is possible, we are fully confident in the long-term success of this effort to serve smaller customers effectively and profitably.

- We continued to make progress on cost savings initiatives, achieving \$79 million in 2007, and bringing our four-year average savings since initiating these efforts to \$82 million. Productivity improvements in our supply chain drove a significant portion of these gains.

- We added to our sales, safety and technical training as we continue to emphasize the need for both superior, proprietary technology and superior on-site engineering and service. We launched a new employee on-boarding program that has helped to speed

the integration of new hires — of which we had 450 net additions during the year — into the Nalco team.

42

• We repurchased 4.6 million shares at a cost of \$108 million in the third and fourth quarters as part of a \$300 million share repurchase authorization approved mid-year by our Board of Directors.

2008 Outlook

In 2008, we expect to deliver a solid improvement in results. Although some of the world's economies face weakening trends, we provide critical water treatment offerings that are needed almost independent of the business cycle. Our products and services generate energy and water savings that are all the more valuable in high energy cost environments. Process applications that depend more on customer production levels are highly concentrated in the strong upstream and downstream energy industries, along with the solid mining industry. Taken together, we expect continued solid top line growth.

Key areas of focus in 2008 include:

Improving growth and profitability in the Company's European business;

• Driving improved profitability across the portfolio. This will entail the prompt capture of additional price increases commensurate with any further raw material and freight cost increases. If oil prices remain at current levels, we could expect increases in combined product and freight costs of \$100 million above 2007. We expect cost levels to continue to rise during the first several months of 2008. We have launched price changes effective January 1 with these increases in view, and will follow with more as needed to maintain profit levels;

• Improved profitability will also entail better cost and spending disciplines, bringing more of what we expect to be solid top line growth down to the bottom line;

• Continuing our cost savings and productivity initiatives to generate an additional \$75 million in benefits again this year;

• Increasing Company-wide focus on generating Free Cash Flow. We are moving to fold this into regional and business objectives across the Company, and are making Free Cash Flow targets an element of our management incentive plans.

As we look to 2008, our expectations for Adjusted EBITDA take into account the expiration of the synfuel business. Without synfuels, 2007 sales would have been \$3.833 billion and Adjusted EBITDA would have been \$707 million.

During 2008, Adjusted EBITDA is targeted to grow at an 8%-or-better pace from that \$707 million base. We are more cautious in our outlook than we have been in the past because of the time needed to drive improvements in Europe, product cost increase potential and our typical one-quarter lag in recovering these cost increases through our own pricing actions, and the potential for modest recession impacts. What had been insurance recovery in 2007 will begin to be replaced by operating earnings as Gulf of Mexico platforms approach more normal levels of activity. Further, over time, we expect to replace earnings emanating from synfuels, beginning with the exciting addition of Mobotec, and with other growth strategies under development.

This expected level of Adjusted EBITDA performance would translate into diluted EPS growth of greater than 35% before considering the effect of any further share repurchase activity.

Free Cash Flow growth is expected to be substantial in 2008. Importantly, we expect to have fixed our receivables challenge. Bringing down our DSO to year-end 2006 levels will generate in excess of \$60 million. This should offset the increase in receivables that would have been expected based on planned 2008 revenue growth. Added to higher

cash earnings, Free Cash Flow is expected in the high \$200 million range.

This remains a fundamentally sound business with a strong growth profile. While we are not immune to our customer's economic conditions, we are cushioned against difficult economic conditions by our ability to save our customers' energy, water, capital requirements and other input costs. In addition, some of the improvements we will capture in 2008 build on money spent in 2007.

43

Results of Operations

Year Ended December 31, 2007 Compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2006

Consolidated

Net sales for the year ended December 31, 2007 were \$3,912.5 million, an increase of 8.6% over the \$3,602.6 million reported for the year ended December 31, 2006. On an organic basis, which excludes the impacts of changes in foreign currency translation rates and acquisitions and divestitures, net sales were up 4.9%. Organic growth was reported by every geographic region except Europe, where sales declined modestly.

Gross profit, defined as the difference between net sales and cost of product sold, of \$1,758.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 rose \$148.1 million, or 9.2%, over the \$1,610.3 million for 2006. On an organic basis, gross profit increased by 5.3%. Contributing to the improvement was the impact of higher sales volumes and cost savings, which were partly offset by higher product and freight costs rising late in the year. A \$12.3 million business interruption insurance settlement from the 2005 Gulf hurricanes that we received in the fourth quarter 2007 also benefited the current year. Gross profit margin for the year ended December 31, 2007 was 44.9% compared to 44.7% for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Selling, administrative, and research expenses for the year ended December 31, 2007 of \$1,204.8 million increased \$107.1 million, or 9.8%, from \$1,097.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. Organically, selling, administrative, and research expenses were up \$64.7 million, or 5.9%. Nearly three-fourths of this increase was attributable to selling expense, which was mainly resulted from higher salaries, employee incentives, employee benefits, training, travel, and outside services. Administrative expenses rose \$7.8 million on an organic basis. The increase was primarily driven by a \$12.0 million expense for a grant of nonvested common stock as part of an agreement with our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Dr. William H. Joyce, who retired effective December 30, partly offset by lower incentive plan expenses.

Amortization of intangible assets was \$62.1 million and \$70.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The decrease was attributable to lower amortization of customer relationships, which are amortized using an accelerated method.

Business optimization expenses, representing mostly employee severance and related costs associated with the continuing redesign and optimization of business and work processes, were \$15.3 million and \$9.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Current year expenses included \$5.3 million of non-cash charges that resulted from some share-based payments to exiting executives, who were not replaced.

Other income (expense), net was a net expense of \$4.8 million and \$4.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The \$0.4 million unfavorable difference was mainly attributed to lower earnings by our affiliate entities and higher franchise taxes and other miscellaneous charges, which were partly offset by a favorable change in foreign currency transaction gains and losses of \$2.5 million.

Net interest expense, defined as the combination of interest income and interest expense, of \$264.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 increased by \$2.0 million from the \$262.9 million reported for the year ended December 31, 2006. Translation rate changes due to the weaker U.S. dollar versus the euro increased interest expense by \$5.2 million, and accretion of our senior discount notes was \$3.0 million higher than a year ago. The net impact of a lower average debt level and higher interest rates on our variable rate debt compared to the year-ago period partly offset these increases.

The effective tax rate was 33.6% for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to an effective tax rate of 35.5% for the year ended December 31, 2006. The rate varies from the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate of 35% primarily due to the incremental U.S. federal income tax on dividends

44

received from non-U.S. subsidiaries, foreign taxes provided at other than the 35% U.S. statutory rate, U.S. state income taxes, nondeductible expenses, and other permanent differences between income and expense for financial statement and tax purposes.

The aforementioned items also contributed to the variation between the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate and our effective income tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The year ended December 31, 2007 also included two discrete events related to our tax positions in The Netherlands. During the period, we completed our consultations with the tax authorities regarding their analysis of certain interest deductions incurred by two of our Dutch subsidiaries. The tax effect of these interest deductions represented \$7.9 million of previously unrecognized tax benefits. The Dutch tax authorities concluded that all of the interest deductions we considered at risk were valid deductions under the applicable law. Therefore, we reversed an existing tax reserve, and reduced tax expense during the year by \$7.9 million. In addition, we determined that certain net loss carryforwards in The Netherlands are likely to expire without being utilized. Therefore, we established valuation allowances on those losses, which resulted in \$2.4 million of additional tax expense during 2007.

We also implemented various foreign reorganizations in 2007 that provided tax savings during 2007, and are expected to provide on-going savings in future years.

Minority interest expense was \$0.3 million higher than the \$7.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. Higher earnings by most of our non-wholly owned subsidiaries, most notably Saudi Arabia and Japan, accounted for the increase.

Segment Reporting

Net sales by reportable segment for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 may be compared as follows:

Year Ended December 31 in the Following Factors (dollars in millions)	Attributable to Changes				
	2007	2006	% Change	Currency	
Translation					
Acquisitions/					
Divestitures					
Organic					
Industrial & Institutional Services	\$ 1,760.9	\$ 1,605.1	9.7 %	4.2 %	0.2 %
Energy Services	1,180.1	1,053.2	12.0 %	3.2 %	—
Paper Services	748.4	722.9	2.8 %	8.8 %	
Other	223.1	221.4	0.8 %	3.1 %	—
Net sales	\$ 3,912.5	\$ 3,602.6	8.6 %	3.6 %	(2.3) %
			0.1 %	4.9 %	

The Industrial and Institutional Services division reported sales of \$1,760.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, a 9.7% increase over the \$1,605.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. On an organic basis, sales rose 5.3%. Double-digit organic increases were reported by our Pacific and North American Mining businesses and our Pacific and Latin American Water Centric businesses. Strong sales growth was also reported by our North American Food and Beverage, Power and Institutional businesses, as well as our global Colloidal Technologies group and our Emerging Markets business in Eastern Europe, the Middle East and North Africa. Lower organic sales in Western Europe, Finishing Technologies, and North America Manufacturing partly offset these gains.

The Energy Services division reported sales of \$1,180.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, a 12.0 % improvement over the \$1,053.2 million for the year-ago-period. Organically, sales increased 8.8%. Both our Upstream Oilfield and Downstream businesses accounted for virtually all of this increase with Upstream Oilfield up

double-digits. Our Adomite business reported a modest organic sales improvement.

The Paper Services division reported sales of \$748.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, a 3.5% increase over the \$722.9 million reported for 2006. Organically, sales were flat from the year-ago period, as Latin America reported impressive growth and North America sales grew slightly as a result of introducing new technology and services. Pacific sales were flat and European sales declined on an organic basis.

45

In our Other segment, improved performances by our Katayama Nalco joint venture and subsidiary in India were more than offset by lower organic sales by our Integrated Channels group.

Direct contribution by reportable segment for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 may be compared as follows:

Year Ended December 31 in the Following Factors (dollars in millions)	Attributable to Changes						
	2007	2006	% Change	Currency Translation	Acquisitions/ Divestitures	Organic	Industrial & Institutional Services
Energy Services	257.8	225.2	14.5 %	3.5 %	—	11.0 %	Paper Services
Other	(71.7)	(56.1)	(27.8)%	(5.0)%	—	(22.8)%	

Direct contribution of the Industrial and Institutional Services division was \$387.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, an improvement of 7.5% over the \$360.6 million reported for the year-ago period. Organically, direct contribution improved 3.3%, as a result of the 5.3% organic increase in sales. Operating expenses were up 6.0% organically, mostly due to increased salaries, employee incentives, employee benefits, travel, bad debt expenses, and capital charges, which factor in the balance sheet impacts of the division's customer consigned inventory and receivables. In Europe, higher organic operating expenses did not result in organic growth in sales.

The Energy Services division reported direct contribution of \$257.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, a 14.5% increase over the \$225.2 million reported for 2006. On an organic basis, direct contribution rose 11.0%. Higher sales volume and improved margins accounted for the increase despite investments in additional manpower for new business in Eastern Europe and the Middle East that will generate sales beginning in 2008. Operating expenses were up 12.2% organically, with the largest increases in salaries, employee incentives, employee benefits, travel, outside services, and capital charges.

The Paper Services division reported direct contribution of \$118.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, a 2.7% increase over the direct contribution of \$115.2 million reported for the year ended December 31, 2006. Organically, direct contribution was down 0.5%, as improved results in the Americas were more than offset by a significant decline in Europe and a more modest decrease in Asia. Operating expenses were up 5.9% organically. Salaries, employee incentives, employee benefits, training, travel, and outside services increased as bad debt expenses decreased.

The direct contribution loss of \$71.7 million reported in Other for the year ended December 31, 2007, represented an increase of \$15.6 million from the \$56.1 million direct contribution loss reported in 2006, which was mostly attributable to an unfavorable change in raw material purchase price and manufacturing spending and volume variances. A \$12.3 million business interruption insurance settlement from the 2005 Gulf hurricanes that we received in the fourth quarter 2007 partly offset these changes.

Year Ended December 31, 2006 Compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2005

Consolidated

Net sales for the year ended December 31, 2006 were \$3,602.6 million, an 8.8% increase from the \$3,312.4 million reported for the year ended December 31, 2005. On an organic basis, net sales were up 7.8%. Of this improvement,

4.2% was attributed to price increase, while the remaining 3.6% was driven by real growth, which we define as organic growth less price increases that are passed on to simply recover higher costs.

Gross profit, defined as the difference between net sales and cost of product sold, of \$1,610.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 increased by \$138.9 million, or 9.4%, over the \$1,471.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. On an organic basis, gross profit increased by 8.4%. Higher sales volume and the impact of higher selling prices, partly offset by the effect of increased costs of

46

raw and other purchased materials, contributed to this change. The improvement was also partly attributable to an inventory write-off of \$9.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2005. In 2006, we recognized partial business interruption insurance recoveries of \$2.5 million related to the 2005 Gulf hurricanes. Gross profit margin for the year ended December 31, 2006 was 44.7% compared to 44.4% for 2005.

Selling, administrative, and research expenses for the year ended December 31, 2006 of \$1,097.7 million increased \$76.8 million, or 7.5%, from \$1,020.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. On an organic basis, selling, administrative, and research expenses increased 6.6%. Slightly less than half of this increase was attributable to selling expense, which was primarily due to higher salaries, commission expenses, employee benefits, bad debts, and outside services. Administrative expenses increased from 2005 due to higher employee incentive plan expenses, employee benefits, and outside consulting related to our work process redesign initiatives and the rationalization of our legal entity structure.

Amortization of intangible assets was \$70.1 million and \$81.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The decrease was attributable to lower amortization of customer relationships, which are amortized using an accelerated method.

Business optimization expenses, representing mostly employee severance and related costs associated with the continuing redesign and optimization of business and work processes, were \$9.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. Business optimization expenses were \$25.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, which included a \$14.2 million charge to support a plan to de-layer our management structure and reduce costs in lower-performing business units.

Other income (expense), net unfavorably changed by \$7.5 million from the net other income of \$3.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. An unfavorable change in foreign exchange gains and losses of \$8.8 million due mostly to the weakening of the U.S. dollar versus the euro during 2006 accounted for most of the variation.

Net interest expense, defined as the combination of interest income and interest expense, of \$262.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 increased by \$13.3 million from the \$249.6 million reported for the year ended December 31, 2005. The impact of higher interest rates on variable rate borrowings more than offset the impact of a lower average debt level compared to 2005.

The effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2006 was 35.5% compared to an effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2005 of 44.7%. The reduction in the effective tax rate was primarily caused by the growth in pretax earnings and the restructuring of our foreign operations to facilitate the tax-efficient repatriation of cash to the U.S.

Minority interest expense was \$2.2 million higher in 2006 than the \$5.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, reflecting improved results for our non-wholly owned subsidiaries in Spain, Saudi Arabia, and Japan.

Segment Reporting

Net sales by reportable segment for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 may be compared as follows:

Edgar Filing: Nalco Holding CO - Form 10-K

Year Ended December 31		Attributable to Changes					
in the Following Factors (dollars in millions)		2006	2005	% Change	Currency		
Translation	Acquisitions/ Divestitures						
	Organic Industrial & Institutional Services	\$ 1,605.1	\$ 1,497.4	7.2 %	1.1 %	—	6.1 %
Energy Services	1,053.2	901.0	16.9 %	1.3 %	—	15.6 %	Paper Services 722.9 699.2 3.4
%	1.2 %	—	2.2 %	Other 221.4 214.8 3.0 % (1.7)%	—	4.7 %	Net sales \$ 3,602.6 \$
3,312.4	8.8 %	1.0 %	—	7.8 %			

The Industrial and Institutional Services division posted sales of \$1,605.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, an increase of 7.2% over the \$1,497.4 million for 2005. Price increases accounted

for almost three-fourths of the 6.1% organic increase in sales. Solid improvements were made in the Power, Chemical and Food and Beverage markets in North America, and strong growth was reported in Latin America, the Emerging Markets in Eastern Europe, the Middle East and Africa, and the Asian Mining market. Business units within the division that produce personal care ingredients and colloidal micro-particle products also posted exceptional sales gains.

The Energy Services division reported sales of \$1,053.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, a 16.9% gain over the \$901.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. Sales improved by 15.6% on an organic basis, with more than two-thirds of this improvement attributed to real growth. All three business units within the division — Adomite, Downstream, and Upstream Oilfield — reported double-digit organic improvements.

The Paper Services division posted sales of \$722.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, a 3.4% increase over the \$699.2 million reported for 2005, with 2.2% attributed to organic growth. The North American region reported positive organic growth as a result of price increases, while organic improvements in Latin America and Asia were primarily the result of real growth. These organic growth gains were partly offset by a decline in the European region.

The 4.7% organic growth in sales reported by the Other segment was attributable to price gains made by our Integrated Channels business and Katayama Nalco joint venture, and real growth by our subsidiary in India.

Direct contribution by reportable segment for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 may be compared as follows:

Year Ended December 31		Attributable to Changes			
in the Following Factors (dollars in millions)		2006	2005	% Change	Currency
Translation	Acquisitions/				
Divestitures	Organic Industrial & Institutional Services	\$ 360.6	\$ 329.3	9.5 %	1.2 % — 8.3 %
Energy Services	225.2 173.9	29.5 %	1.6 %	— 27.9 %	Paper Services 115.2 118.7 (3.0 %)
1.4 %	— (4.4)%	Other (56.1) (75.6)	25.6 %	(1.8)%	— 27.4 %

Direct contribution of the Industrial and Institutional Services division was \$360.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, an increase of 9.5% over the \$329.3 million reported for the year ended December 31, 2005. Organically, direct contribution improved 8.3%. The Industrial and Institutional Services division's success in implementing price increases contributed to most of the improvement.

The Energy Services division reported direct contribution of \$225.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, a 29.5% increase over the \$173.9 million reported for 2005. On an organic basis, direct contribution increased 27.9%. Higher sales volume and the impact of price increases accounted for most of the improvement. Partial business interruption insurance recoveries of \$2.5 million related to the 2005 Gulf hurricanes recognized in 2006 also contributed to the increase. Operating expenses were up 7.1% organically from 2005 mainly as a result of higher salaries, commissions and benefit expenses.

The Paper Services division reported direct contribution of \$115.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, a 3.0% decrease from the \$118.7 million reported for 2005. Organically, direct contribution was down 4.4%, as costs of raw and other purchased materials continued to exceed price increases. Due to tight controls on spending, operating expenses were flat on an organic basis.

The direct contribution loss of \$56.1 million reported in Other for the year ended December 31, 2006, represented an improvement of \$19.5 million from the \$75.6 million direct contribution loss reported for the year ended December 31, 2005. Significant contributors to the year-over-year change include supply chain variances that benefited 2006 results, unfavorable supply chain variances in 2005 due to the Gulf hurricanes, and favorable adjustments in 2005 for commissions and insurance/legal claims that did not recur in 2006.

48

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Operating activities. Historically, our main source of liquidity has been our solid cash flow generated by operating activities. For the year ended December 31, 2007, cash provided by operating activities was \$323.4 million, an increase of \$38.6 million from 2006. The improvement was mostly the result of the increase in net earnings and a reduction in non-cash deferred income tax benefits included in the income tax provision, partly offset by higher contributions to pension plans. Overall, working capital usage in 2007 was comparable to 2006. Cash generated in 2007 by reductions in inventories and accounts payable was offset by higher cash requirements for receivables and variable incentive payments made in 2007 as we exceeded our 2006 targets. Variable incentive payments were not made in 2006 for 2005 variable incentive plans because actual results fell short of that year's targets.

For the year ended December 31, 2006, cash provided by operating activities was \$284.8 million, an increase of \$84.9 million from 2005. The improvement was mainly the result of the increase in net earnings and not making any payments in 2006 for 2005 variable incentive plans. Partly offsetting these improvements were higher contributions to the principal U.S. pension plan and higher cash requirements for inventories and accounts payable.

Investing activities. Cash used for investing activities was \$141.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, which was mostly attributable to net property additions of \$114.6 million and the December 2007 acquisition of an 87.5% interest in Mobotec USA, Inc. for \$27.9 million, net of \$6.4 million of cash acquired.

Cash used for investing activities was \$99.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, most of which was attributable to net property additions of \$93.4 million. Of the remainder, \$6.4 million relates to net cash used to increase the investment in our subsidiary in India.

Net property additions of \$74.6 million accounted for most of the \$75.9 million of cash used for investing activities in the year ended December 31, 2005.

Financing activities. Net cash used for financing activities was \$105.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. Share repurchases of \$108.0 million, common stock dividends of \$15.1 million, term loan repayments of \$48.3 million, and a \$12.8 million reduction in bank overdrafts were partly offset by \$50.0 million of short-term borrowings against our revolving credit facility and a \$34.0 million increase in borrowings against our receivables facility. Upon the expiration of our \$100 million receivables facility in June 2007, we entered into a new three-year receivables facility that provides up to \$160 million in funding.

On July 31, 2007, our Board of Directors authorized a \$300 million share repurchase program, and gave our management discretion in determining the conditions under which shares may be purchased from time to time. The program has no stated expiration date. Under the program, we repurchased 4.6 million of our common shares at a cost of \$108.0 million in 2007.

Net cash used for financing activities totaled \$179.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, which was mostly attributable to a net decrease in borrowings. We prepaid the remaining balance of \$31.9 million on the U.S. dollar portion of our term loan A debt, made a scheduled payment on our euro-denominated term loan A debt of \$3.2 million, and prepaid \$170.0 million of our term loan B borrowings.

Most of the \$125.9 million of cash used for financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2005 was attributable to prepayments of our term loan A debt totaling \$99.0 million and a \$22.0 million reduction in borrowings from our receivables facility.

We are highly leveraged. Our liquidity requirements are significant, primarily due to debt service requirements as well as research and development and capital investment. Our primary source of liquidity will continue to be cash flow generated from operations, but we also have availability under a \$250 million revolving credit facility and a \$160 million receivables facility, in each case subject to certain conditions. We believe that our financial position and financing structure will provide flexibility in worldwide financing activities and permit us to respond to changing conditions in credit markets.

Senior credit facilities. Our revolving credit facility is part of our senior credit facilities that were entered into on November 4, 2003. Our senior credit facilities initially included a \$300 million term

loan A facility (including an €88.0 million tranche) maturing on November 4, 2009 and a \$1,300 million term loan B facility maturing on November 4, 2010. Borrowings under the senior credit facilities bear interest at a floating base rate plus an applicable margin. The applicable margin for borrowings under the revolving credit facility and the term loan A facility range from 1.00% to 1.50% with respect to base rate borrowings and 2.00% to 2.50% with respect to LIBOR or Eurocurrency borrowings depending on our leverage ratio as defined by the facilities. The applicable margin for borrowings under the term loan B facility is 0.75% with respect to base rate borrowings and 1.75% with respect to LIBOR or Eurocurrency borrowings. The applicable margin for borrowings under the term loan B facility is not subject to adjustment.

In addition to paying interest on outstanding principal under the senior credit facilities, we are required to pay a commitment fee to the lenders under the revolving credit facility in respect of the unutilized commitments at a rate equal to 0.50%. We also pay customary letter of credit fees.

The term loan A facility was scheduled to amortize each year in quarterly amounts at a rate of 5% per annum in year one, 10% per annum in year two, 15% per annum in year three, 20% per annum in year four and 25% per annum in each of years five and six. In addition to the \$15.3 million of scheduled payments due for the year ended December 31, 2004, we repaid an additional \$82.6 million using cash generated from operations and proceeds from our former accounts receivable securitization facility. An additional \$99.0 million, \$35.1 million and \$24.3 million was repaid during the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively, using cash provided by operations.

The term loan B facility was scheduled to amortize each year in an amount equal to 1% per annum in equal quarterly installments for the first six years and nine months, with the remaining amount payable on November 4, 2010. In addition to the \$13.0 million of scheduled payments due for the year ended December 31, 2004, we repaid an additional \$206.0 million using cash generated from operations and proceeds from our former accounts receivable securitization facility. We repaid an additional \$24.0 million during 2007 using cash from operations, and an additional \$170.0 million was repaid during 2006, using mostly cash provided by operations.

Principal amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility will be due and payable in full at maturity on November 4, 2009. As of December 31, 2007, we had borrowed \$50.0 million under the revolving credit facility, which was classified as short-term debt because of our intent to repay it in 2008.

The senior credit facilities contain a number of covenants that, among other things, restrict, subject to certain exceptions, our ability and our subsidiaries' ability, including Nalco Company, to sell assets, incur additional indebtedness or issue preferred stock, repay other indebtedness, pay dividends and distributions or repurchase certain capital stock, create liens on assets, make investments, loans or advances, make certain acquisitions, engage in mergers or consolidations, enter into sale and leaseback transactions, engage in certain transactions with affiliates, amend certain material agreements governing our indebtedness, change the business conducted by us and our subsidiaries (including Nalco Company) and enter into hedging agreements. In addition, the senior credit facilities require Nalco Company to maintain the following financial covenants: a maximum total leverage ratio, a minimum interest coverage ratio and a maximum capital expenditures limitation. We were in compliance with all covenants at December 31, 2007.

Senior discount notes, senior notes and senior subordinated notes. As part of the Transactions, in November 2003, Nalco Company issued \$665 million aggregate principal amount of 7¾% U.S. dollar-denominated senior notes due 2011, €200 million aggregate principal amount of 7¾% euro-denominated senior notes due 2011, \$465 million aggregate principal amount of 87/8% U.S. dollar-denominated senior subordinated notes due 2013 and €200 million aggregate principal amount of 9% euro-denominated senior subordinated notes due 2013.

On January 21, 2004, our subsidiaries, Nalco Finance Holdings LLC and Nalco Finance Holdings Inc., issued \$694.0 million aggregate principal amount at maturity of 9.0% senior discount notes due 2014. Prior to February 1, 2009, interest will accrue on the notes in the form of an increase in the accreted value of such notes. The accreted value of each note will increase from the date of issuance until

50

February 1, 2009 at a rate of 9.0% per annum, reflecting the accrual of non-cash interest, such that the accreted value will equal the principal amount at maturity on February 1, 2009. Cash interest payments on the notes will be due and payable beginning in 2009. Our primary source of liquidity for such payments will be cash flow generated from the operations of subsidiaries, including Nalco Holdings and Nalco Company. However, the terms of Nalco Company's senior credit agreement limit the amount of dividends and other transfers by Nalco Holdings and our other subsidiaries to the issuers of the senior discount notes. In addition, the terms of certain of the indentures governing the existing senior notes and senior subordinated notes of Nalco Company significantly restrict Nalco Company and our other subsidiaries from paying dividends, making distributions and otherwise transferring assets to the issuers. The ability of Nalco Company to make such payments is governed by a formula based on its consolidated net income. In addition, as a condition to making such payments to the issuers based on such formula, Nalco Holdings must have an Adjusted EBITDA to interest expense ratio of at least 2.0 to 1 after giving effect to any such payments. Notwithstanding such restrictions, such indentures permit an aggregate of \$50.0 million of such payments to be made whether or not there is availability under the formula or the conditions to its use are met.

In December 2004, Nalco Finance Holdings LLC and Nalco Finance Holdings Inc. redeemed a portion of the senior discount notes with an accreted value of \$162.3 million using proceeds from the initial public offering of common stock of Nalco Holding Company. The issuers paid a \$14.6 million premium to redeem the notes. After the partial redemption, the aggregate principal amount at maturity of the notes declined to \$460.8 million from \$694.0 million.

The indentures governing the senior discount notes, the senior notes and senior subordinated notes limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to:

- incur additional indebtedness;
- pay dividends on or make other distributions or repurchase certain capital stock;
- make certain investments;
- enter into certain types of transactions with affiliates;
- limit dividends or other payments by our restricted subsidiaries;
- use assets as security in other transactions; and
- sell certain assets or merge with or into other companies.

Subject to certain exceptions, the indentures governing the senior discount notes, the senior notes and senior subordinated notes permit our restricted subsidiaries and us to incur additional indebtedness, including secured indebtedness.

Covenant compliance. The breach of covenants in our senior credit agreement that are tied to ratios based on Adjusted EBITDA could result in a default under that agreement and the lenders could elect to declare all amounts borrowed due and payable. Any such acceleration would also result in a default under our indentures. Additionally, under our debt agreements, our ability to engage in activities such as incurring additional indebtedness, making investments and paying dividends is also tied to ratios based on Adjusted EBITDA.

Adjusted EBITDA is used to determine our compliance with many of the covenants contained in the indentures governing the notes and in our senior credit agreement. Adjusted EBITDA is defined as EBITDA further adjusted to exclude unusual items and other adjustments permitted in calculating covenant compliance under the indentures and our senior credit facility. We believe that the inclusion of supplementary adjustments to EBITDA applied in presenting Adjusted EBITDA are appropriate to provide additional information to investors to demonstrate compliance with our financing covenants.

51

Adjusted EBITDA is calculated as follows:

Year ended December 31 (dollars in millions)	2007	2006	2005	Net earnings	\$ 129.0	\$ 98.9	\$ 47.8
Interest, net	264.9	262.9	249.6	Income tax provision	69.3	58.9	43.3
131.0	133.3	Amortization	62.1	70.1	81.6	EBITDA	657.6
35.6	35.5	19.8	Business optimization expenses (2)	15.3	9.5	25.6	Unusual items (3)
19.2	8.3	Other adjustments (4)	(6.5)	(5.9)	(6.3)	Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 729.8
							\$ 680.1
							\$ 603.0
							(1)

Non-cash charges are further detailed on the following table:

Year ended December 31 (dollars in millions)	2007	2006	2005	Asset write-offs	\$ —	\$ 2.5	\$ 2.8	Profit sharing and 401(k) expense funded by Suez	28.6	25.6	13.2	Other	7.0	7.4	3.8	Non-cash charges	\$ 35.6
								\$ 35.5	\$ 19.8								

Profit Sharing and 401(k) Expense Funded by Suez

In conjunction with the Acquisition, we entered into an agreement with Suez whereby Suez will reimburse us for certain profit-sharing and 401(k) matching contributions made by us to the Profit-Sharing Trust.

Other

Other non-cash charges include the non-cash impact on earnings of our equity investments and minority interests. Non-cash charges also include the non-cash portion of rent expense under the sublease that we entered into with Suez in conjunction with the Acquisition.

In addition, in 2007, we reduced the estimated residual value on a leveraged lease investment, resulting in a non-cash loss of \$2.3 million.

(2)
Business optimization expenses for 2007, 2006 and 2005 include costs associated with the redesign and optimization of business and work processes. See Note 19 to Item 8 for more information.

(3) Unusual
items are further detailed on the following table:

Year ended December 31 (dollars in millions)	2007	2006	2005	Pension settlements and curtailments	\$ 0.5	\$ 1.7
\$ 0.5	Loss (gain) on sales, net of expenses	2.0	6.0	4.1	Other unusual items	25.3
27.8	\$ 19.2	\$ 8.3				11.5
						3.7

Loss (Gain) on Sales, Net of Expenses

For the year ended December 31, 2006, we recorded a loss of \$2.1 million from the sale-leaseback of our facility in Austria, and we also recognized losses of \$1.2 million from the disposal of equipment at a plant in the U.K.

In 2005, we recognized an impairment loss of \$2.4 million on a business that was held for sale.

Other Unusual Items

Other unusual items include the non-cash compensation expenses recognized from grants of stock appreciation or similar rights, stock options or other rights to officers, directors and employees. Our share-based compensation plan expenses for the years 2007, 2006 and 2005 were \$15.5 million, \$1.8 million, and \$0.3 million, respectively. Included in 2007 was a \$12.0 million expense for a grant of nonvested common stock as part of an agreement with our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Dr. William H. Joyce, who retired effective December 30, 2007.

For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, significant other unusual items included consultancy fees in connection with our work process redesign initiatives of \$4.0 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, and consulting and legal fees associated with our legal entity restructure of \$5.2 million in each year.

In 2005, we incurred \$1.0 million of costs on behalf of our Sponsors' secondary offering. In addition, we wrote off \$1.1 million of inventory, which pre-dated the Acquisition.

(4) We are required to make adjustments to EBITDA for franchise taxes and 401(k) matching contributions.

Our covenant levels and ratios for the year ended December 31, 2007 are as follows:

Covenant

Level at

December 31,

2007 Actual

Ratios Senior credit facility (1)	Minimum Adjusted EBITDA to cash interest ratio	1.80x	3.34x
Maximum net debt to Adjusted EBITDA ratio	5.50x	3.81x	Indentures (2)
EBITDA to fixed charge ratio required to incur additional debt pursuant to ratio provisions	2.00x	2.88x	Minimum Adjusted

(1) Our

senior credit facility requires us to maintain an Adjusted EBITDA to cash interest ratio which started at a minimum of 1.65x and a net debt to Adjusted EBITDA ratio which started at a maximum of 6.75x, in each case for the most recent four quarter period. Failure to satisfy these ratio requirements would constitute a default under the senior credit agreement. If our lenders failed to waive any such default, our repayment obligations under the senior credit agreement could be accelerated, which would also constitute a default under our indentures. (2) Our ability to incur additional debt and make certain restricted payments under our indentures, subject to specified exceptions, is tied to an Adjusted EBITDA to fixed charge ratio of at least 2.0 to 1, except that we may incur certain debt and make certain restricted payments and certain permitted investments without regard to the ratio, such as up to an aggregate principal amount of \$1,950 million (including \$951.8 million that was outstanding under our term loan facilities as of December 31, 2007) and investments in similar business and other investments equal to 6% of Nalco Holding Company consolidated assets.

Local lines of credit. Certain of our non-U.S. subsidiaries have lines of credit to support local requirements. As of December 31, 2007, the aggregate outstanding balance under these local lines of credit was approximately \$20.3 million. Certain of these lines of credit are equally and ratably secured with obligations under our senior credit facilities.

Receivables facility. In June 2004, Nalco Company entered into a three-year accounts receivable securitization facility with a commercial paper conduit sponsored by JPMorgan Chase Bank, one of the lenders under Nalco Company's senior credit facilities, which provided up to \$100.0 million in funding. When that facility expired in June 2007, Nalco Company entered into a new three-year facility with a commercial paper conduit sponsored by Bank of America, N.A., one of the other lenders under Nalco Company's senior credit facilities. The new facility provides up to \$160.0 million in funding, based on availability of eligible receivables and satisfaction of other customary conditions.

53

Under the facility, pursuant to a receivables purchase agreement, Nalco Company (the seller) sells trade accounts receivable (the receivables) to Nalco Receivables LLC (the transferor), a wholly owned bankruptcy-remote special purpose limited liability company. Pursuant to a receivables transfer agreement, the transferor then transfers an undivided interest in the purchased receivables to the commercial paper conduit or the related bank sponsor (the transferees) in exchange for cash. The transferor's purchase of receivables from the seller is financed through the simultaneous transfer of this undivided interest in the purchased receivables, together with cash contributed to it by Nalco Company and the advances made by the seller under an intercompany note.

Nalco Company, as the receivables collection agent, services, administers and collects the receivables under the receivables transfer agreement for which it receives a monthly servicing fee at a rate of 1% per annum of the average daily outstanding balance of receivables. Borrowings under the receivables facility bear interest at a floating base rate plus a program fee. The program fee varies based upon our leverage ratio as calculated under the senior credit facilities and is currently 0.175% of the amount funded. In addition, the transferor is required to pay a facility fee that varies based upon the same ratio and is currently 0.225% of \$163.2 million. These rates are per annum and payments of these fees are made to the lenders on the monthly settlement date.

Availability of funding under the receivables facility depends primarily upon the outstanding trade accounts receivable balance from time to time. Aggregate availability is determined by using a formula that reduces the gross receivables balance by factors that take into account historical default and dilution rates, excessive concentrations and average days outstanding and the costs of the facility. Based on the terms of this facility and on the criteria described above, as of December 31, 2007, approximately \$212.0 million of our accounts receivable balance was considered eligible for financing under the program, of which approximately \$143.0 million would have been available for funding. As of December 31, 2007, we had \$134.0 million of outstanding borrowings under this facility, based on the amount of receivables eligible for financing as of November 30, 2007.

This facility is treated as a general financing agreement resulting in the funding and related receivables being shown as liabilities and assets, respectively, on our consolidated balance sheet and the costs associated with the receivables facility being recorded as interest expense.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

The following table summarizes our expected cash outflows resulting from our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2007:

Payments Due By Period (dollars in millions)					Total	2008	2009							
to														
2010	2011													
to														
2012	2013													
and														
beyond	Contractual Obligations:													
3,294.1	\$ 60.2	\$ 1,053.7	\$ 959.8	\$ 1,220.4	Interest	1,100.6	206.0	472.0	299.8	122.8				
Total debt obligations	4,394.7	266.2	1,525.7	1,259.6	1,343.2	Operating lease obligations (1)								
280.9	20.8	40.8	54.8	164.5	Purchase obligations (2)	—	—	—	—	—	Other long-term liabilities			
(3)(4)	232.5	36.0	59.5	66.3	70.7	Total	\$ 4,908.1	\$ 323.0	\$ 1,626.0	\$ 1,380.7	\$ 1,578.4			

(1)

Represents future minimum rental payments related to administrative, research, manufacturing, and warehouse facilities. Operating leases are also in place for vehicles and office equipment, the amounts of which are not readily available. (2) Excluded from the table are open purchase orders for raw materials and supplies used in the normal course of business, supply contracts with customers, distribution agreements, joint venture agreements and other contracts without express funding requirements.

54

(3) Does not reflect obligations under the Contribution Agreement relating to our Profit Sharing and Savings Plan, for which Suez has agreed to reimburse us. (4) The amounts in long-term liabilities represent our expected minimum pension funding requirements for the principal domestic defined benefit pension plan through 2017. Amounts beyond 2017 are not reasonably estimable.

Our contractual obligations and commitments over the next several years are significant. Our primary source of liquidity will continue to be cash flow generated from operations. In the period January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2007, our cumulative cash flow from operations was \$808.1 million. We have availability under a \$250 million revolving credit facility to assist us, if required, in meeting our working capital needs and other contractual obligations. This multi-year revolving credit facility matures in November 2009. If our cash flow from operations is insufficient to fund our debt service and other obligations, we may be forced to reduce or delay capital expenditures, seek additional capital or seek to restructure or refinance our indebtedness. At December 31, 2007, we had \$50.0 million of borrowings under the \$250 million revolving credit facility, excluding \$23.1 million of outstanding letters of credit.

We believe that cash flow generated from operations and our borrowing capacity will be adequate to meet our obligations and business requirements. Our ability to fund these items and to reduce debt may be affected by general economic, financial, competitive, legislative and regulatory factors, and the cost of litigation claims, among other things.

The following table summarizes our expected cash outflows resulting from commercial commitments as of December 31, 2007. Commercial commitments include lines of credit, guarantees and other potential cash outflows resulting from a contingent event that requires our performance pursuant to a funding commitment.

Amount of Commitment Expiration Per Period (dollars in millions)		Total	2008	2009	
to					
2010	2011				
to					
2012	2013				
and					
beyond	Commercial Commitments:	Standby letters of credit (1)	\$ 44.4	\$ 41.3	\$ 3.1
\$ —	\$ — Guarantees — — — — —	Other commercial commitments	1.7	1.3	0.4
46.1	\$ 42.6 \$ 3.5 \$ — \$ —				Total \$

(1) The

final maturity of current instruments is subject to annual renewal.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet financing or unconsolidated special purpose entities.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. The interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements by prescribing a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected

to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. We adopted the provisions of FIN 48 as of January 1, 2007, as required. The adoption of FIN 48 decreased total assets and total liabilities by \$5.6 million and \$13.5 million, respectively, and increased shareholders' equity by \$7.9 million.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value

55

measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. This statement indicates, among other things, that a fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell an asset or transfer a liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value based upon an exit price model. Relative to SFAS No. 157, the FASB has issued FASB Staff Positions (FSP) 157-1 and 157-2. FSP 157-1 amends SFAS No. 157 to exclude SFAS No. 13, Accounting for Leases, and its related interpretive accounting pronouncements that address leasing transactions, while FSP 157-2 delays the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for one year for certain nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis. We are required to adopt the recognition and disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 157 for financial assets and financial liabilities and for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities that are remeasured at least annually as of the beginning of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2008. We have evaluated SFAS No. 157 and do not expect that it will have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans — an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R). SFAS No. 158 requires an employer to recognize in its balance sheet an asset for a plan's overfunded status or a liability for a plan's underfunded status, measure a plan's assets and its obligations that determine its funded status as of the end of the employer's fiscal year, and recognize changes in the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan in the year in which the changes occur. Those changes are to be reported in comprehensive income and as a separate component of shareholders' equity. SFAS No. 158 does not change the amount of net periodic benefit cost included in net earnings. We adopted the recognition and disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 158 as of December 31, 2006, as required. Based on the funded status of our plans as of December 31, 2006, the adoption of SFAS No. 158 decreased total assets by \$2.7 million, decreased total liabilities by \$0.9 million and reduced shareholders' equity by \$1.8 million. We will change our measurement date to our December 31 year end from the current measurement date of November 30 in 2008, as required.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities — Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115. SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. This statement also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. At the adoption date, unrealized gains and losses on existing items for which fair value has been elected are reported as a cumulative adjustment in retained earnings. Subsequent to adopting SFAS No. 159, changes in fair value are recognized in earnings. We are required to adopt SFAS No. 159 as of the beginning of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2008. We have evaluated SFAS No. 159 and do not expect that it will have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), Business Combinations, which replaces SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations. SFAS No. 141(R) retains the underlying concepts of SFAS No. 141 in that all business combinations are still required to be accounted for at fair value under the acquisition method of accounting, but SFAS No. 141(R) changed the method of applying the acquisition method in a number of significant aspects. Acquisition costs will generally be expensed as incurred; noncontrolling interests will be valued at fair value at the acquisition date; in-process research and development will be recorded at fair value as an indefinite-lived intangible asset at the acquisition date; restructuring costs associated with a business combination will generally be expensed subsequent to the acquisition date; and changes in deferred tax asset valuation allowances and income tax uncertainties after the acquisition date generally will affect income tax expense. SFAS No. 141(R) is effective on a prospective basis for all business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual period subsequent to December 15, 2008, with the exception of the accounting for valuation allowances on deferred taxes and acquired

tax contingencies. SFAS No. 141(R) amends SFAS No. 109 such that adjustments made to valuation allowances on deferred taxes and acquired tax contingencies

56

associated with acquisitions that closed prior to the effective date of SFAS No. 141(R) would also apply the provisions of SFAS No. 141(R). Early adoption is not permitted. We are currently evaluating the effects, if any, that SFAS No. 141(R) may have on our financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements — an amendment of ARB No. 51. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008, with earlier adoption prohibited. This statement requires the recognition of a noncontrolling interest (minority interest) as equity in the consolidated financial statements and separate from the parent's equity. The amount of net earnings attributable to the noncontrolling interest will be included in consolidated net income on the face of the income statement. The statement also amends certain of ARB No. 51's consolidation procedures for consistency with the requirements of SFAS No. 141(R). This statement also includes expanded disclosure requirements regarding the interests of the parent and its noncontrolling interest. We are currently evaluating SFAS No. 160 and anticipate that it will not have a significant impact on the reporting of our results of operations.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are subject to inherent risks attributed to operating in a global economy. We may utilize derivative financial instruments as necessary to manage foreign currency exchange rate risks. We manage foreign currency exchange risk arising from financial activities pursuant to our corporate guidelines. Forward contracts and, occasionally, swaps and options are utilized to protect these cash flows from adverse movements in exchange rates, and we account for these instruments by marking them to market at each balance sheet date. We may also employ hedge accounting as permitted by SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. In addition, we use certain foreign currency debt as a hedge of the foreign currency exposure of a portion of our net investment in foreign operations.

Upon issuance, we designated the €200.0 million aggregate principal amount of 7¾% senior notes due 2011, the €200.0 million aggregate principal amount of 9% senior subordinated notes due 2013, and the €88.0 million term loan as a hedge of our net investment in subsidiary companies whose assets, liabilities, and operations are measured using the euro as their functional currency. Because of the high degree of effectiveness between the hedging instruments and the exposure being hedged, fluctuations in the value of the euro-denominated debt due to exchange rate changes are offset by changes in the net investment. Accordingly, changes in the value of the euro-denominated debt are recognized in foreign currency translation adjustment, a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, to offset changes in the value of our net investment in subsidiary companies whose financial statements are measured using the euro as their functional currency.

We formally assess, on a quarterly basis, whether the euro-denominated debt is effective at offsetting changes in the value of the underlying exposure. For the year ended December 31, 2007, we recorded a \$71.0 million non-cash pretax loss in the accumulated foreign currency translation adjustment account related to this hedge. No hedge ineffectiveness was recorded in income.

We also manage operational (transactional) foreign currency risk, particularly in emerging markets, by closely managing both pricing and raw material sourcing. Risks associated with foreign exchange translation exposures are not hedged.

We have exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. Based on our derivative foreign currency instruments outstanding at December 31, 2007 and 2006, a 10% strengthening or weakening in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to the currencies in which those derivative foreign currency instruments are denominated would result

in a gain or loss in fair values of less than \$5.4 million and \$2.0 million, respectively.

At December 31, 2007, Nalco Company's 2008 forecasted natural gas utility requirements were approximately 50% hedged utilizing natural gas forward contracts at an average cost of \$8.19 per MMBTU. These contracts had a notional value of \$6.4 million and have delivery dates from

57

January 2008 through December 2008. Based on year-end NYMEX prices, we had a net unrealized loss on our natural gas forward contracts at December 31, 2007 of \$0.4 million. Assuming that year-end natural gas prices were to increase or decrease by 10%, the gain or loss in fair value would be approximately \$0.6 million.

At December 31, 2007, we had \$1,151.3 million of variable rate debt. A 1% increase in the average interest rate would increase future interest expense by approximately \$11.5 million per year.

Our sensitivity analysis of the effects of the changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates do not reflect the effect of such changes on the related hedged transactions or on other operating transactions. The analysis also does not factor in a potential change in the level of variable rate borrowings or derivative instruments outstanding that could take place if these hypothetical conditions prevailed.

We are exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the derivative financial instruments. We limit this exposure by entering into agreements directly with a number of major financial institutions that meet our credit standards and that are expected to satisfy fully their obligations under the contracts. We view derivative financial instruments as a risk management tool and do not use them for speculative or trading purposes.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Index to Financial Statements

Nalco Holding Company and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Financial Statements

Report of
Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm 60 Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm 61
Consolidated Financial Statements: Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 62
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 63 Consolidated
Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 64 Consolidated
Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 65 Notes to Consolidated
Financial Statements 66
59

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Nalco Holding Company

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Nalco Holding Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules listed in the Index at Item 15(a)(2). These financial statements and schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Nalco Holding Company and subsidiaries at December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Notes 2 and 10 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, as of January 1, 2007. As discussed in Notes 2 and 14 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted the recognition and disclosure provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, as of December 31, 2006.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Nalco Holding Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 27, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP
Chicago, Illinois
February 27, 2008

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Nalco Holding Company

We have audited Nalco Holding Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Nalco Holding Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Nalco Holding Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Nalco Holding Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007, and our report dated February 27, 2008, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP
Chicago, Illinois
February 27, 2008

Nalco Holding Company and Subsidiaries
 Consolidated Balance Sheets
 (dollars in millions)

December 31, 2007		December 31, 2006	
Assets			
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 119.9	\$ 37.3	Trade accounts receivable, less allowances of \$19.5 and \$19.0 in 2007 and 2006, respectively
805.6	695.3	Inventories	350.4
340.7	25.9	29.0	Prepaid expenses and other current assets
86.7	65.1	Total current assets	1,388.5
1,167.4	762.3	743.4	Goodwill
2,459.8	1,121.4	1,169.5	Deferred financing costs
34.2	44.3	Receivable from former shareholder	37.7
60.0	174.7	172.0	Total assets
\$ 5,978.6	\$ 5,656.5	Liabilities and shareholders' equity	
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable	\$ 316.4	\$ 288.2	Accrued expenses
159.8	137.5	Accrued compensation	125.9
108.8	130.4	Income taxes	36.8
34.7	769.3	719.4	Long-term debt
3,193.7	327.5	314.3	Accrued pension benefits
314.4	430.7	Other liabilities	234.7
250.0	21.2	12.6	Shareholders' equity:
1.4	1.4	Common stock	1.4
1.4	—	Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	100.7
(16.2)	374.0	164.8	Total shareholders' equity
1,117.8	890.9	Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 5,978.6
\$ 5,656.5			

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Nalco Holding Company and Subsidiaries
 Consolidated Statements of Operations
 (dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

Year ended December 31	2007	2006	2005	Net sales	\$ 3,912.5	\$ 3,602.6	\$ 3,312.4	Operating costs and expenses:
				Cost of product sold	2,154.1	1,992.3	1,841.0	Selling, administrative and research expenses
	1,204.8	1,097.7	1,020.9	Amortization of intangible assets	62.1	70.1	81.6	Business optimization expenses
	15.3	9.5	25.6	Total operating costs and expenses	3,436.3	3,169.6	2,969.1	
Operating earnings	476.2	433.0	343.3	Other income (expense), net	(4.8)	(4.4)	3.1	Interest income
	9.1	9.1	8.4	Interest expense	(274.0)	(272.0)	(258.0)	Earnings before income taxes and minority interests
	206.5	165.7	96.8	Income tax provision	69.3	58.9	43.3	Minority interests
(8.2)	(7.9)	(5.7)	Net earnings	\$ 129.0	\$ 98.9	\$ 47.8	Net earnings per share:	Basic
0.90	\$ 0.69	\$ 0.34	Diluted	\$ 0.88	\$ 0.67	\$ 0.33	Weighted-average shares	outstanding
(millions):			Basic	143.2	143.0	141.7	Diluted	146.7
				146.7	146.6			

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Nalco Holding Company and Subsidiaries
 Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity
 (dollars in millions)

	Common Stock		Treasury Stock		Additional	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Balance at January 1, 2005	
Paid-In Capital Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit) Other Comprehensive Income						
Income (Loss)	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Balance at January 1, 2005	141,663,369 \$ 1.4 — \$ —
\$ 738.8	\$ (162.9)	\$ 133.1			Share-based compensation	— — — — 0.3 — — Warrant
exercise	1,074,082	—	—	—	Net earnings	— — — — — 47.8 — \$ 47.8 Other
comprehensive income (loss):						Minimum pension liability adjustment – net of tax benefit of \$0.8 — — — — — — (1.8) (1.8) Gain on derivatives – net of tax of \$0.2 — — — — —
— — 0.2 0.2					Currency translation adjustments – net of tax of \$7.9	— — — — — — (51.4)
(51.4) Comprehensive loss						\$ (5.2) Balance at December 31, 2005
142,737,451	1.4	—	739.1	(115.1)	80.1	Share-based compensation 6,645 — — —
1.8	—	—	Warrant exercise 310,917	—	—	Net earnings — — — — —
98.9	—	\$ 98.9	Other comprehensive income (loss):			Minimum pension liability adjustment – net of tax of \$0.4 — — — — — — 0.8 0.8 Loss on derivatives – net of tax benefit of \$0.8 — — — — — — (1.3) (1.3) Currency translation adjustments – net of tax benefit of \$9.6 — — — — — — 87.0 87.0 Comprehensive income \$ 185.4 Adjustment to adopt SFAS No. 158 – net of tax benefit of \$5.6 — — — — — — (1.8) Balance at December 31, 2006 143,055,013 1.4 — — 740.9 (16.2) 164.8 Share-based compensation 56,249 — — — 8.8 — — Warrant exercise 1,265,806 — — — — — — Purchases of treasury stock — — 4,588,500 (108.0) — — — Dividends on common stock (\$0.14 per share) — — — — — — (20.0) — Adoption of Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48) — — — — — — 7.9 — Net earnings — — — — — 129.0 — \$ 129.0 Other comprehensive income (loss): Net actuarial gains – net of tax of \$26.4 — — — — — — 57.5 57.5 Net prior service credit – net of tax of \$6.5 — — — — — — 11.8 11.8 Loss on derivatives – net of tax benefit of \$0.4 — — — — — — (0.6) (0.6) Currency translation adjustments – net of tax of \$22.3 — — — — — — 140.5 140.5 Comprehensive income \$ 338.2 Balance at December 31, 2007 144,377,068 \$ 1.4 4,588,500 \$ (108.0) \$ 749.7 \$ 100.7 \$ 374.0

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Nalco Holding Company and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(dollars in millions)

Year ended December 31	2007	2006	2005	Operating activities	Net earnings	\$ 129.0	\$ 98.9	\$
47.8				Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:				Depreciation
132.3	131.0	133.3	Amortization	62.1	70.1	81.6	Amortization of deferred financing costs and accretion of senior discount notes	45.1
			43.5	40.2	Equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries, net of distributions	0.9	1.9	2.5
			Deferred income taxes	0.2	(30.0)	(39.2)	Amortization of unearned employee compensation and accretion of obligation	28.6
41.4	44.9	43.9	Defined benefit pension plan contributions	(86.8)	(70.8)	(30.0)	Other, net	21.6
21.6	17.3	Changes in current assets and liabilities:			Trade accounts receivable	(55.4)	(40.6)	
(59.9)	Inventories	6.2	(15.4)	(8.1)	Accounts payable	7.3	(8.8)	29.8
12.9	(72.5)	Net cash provided by operating activities	323.4	284.8	199.9	Investing activities		
		Purchase price adjustment on acquisition of Ondeo Nalco Group	—	—	(3.2)	Business purchases/sales, net	(28.3)	(6.4)
		—	Additions to property, plant, and equipment, net	(114.6)	(93.4)	(74.6)	Other investing activities	1.2
		0.2	1.9	Net cash used for investing activities	(141.7)	(99.6)	(75.9)	
		Financing activities			Cash dividends	(15.1)	—	—
24.3	Payments of long-term debt	(40.1)	(205.6)	(145.8)	Short-term debt, net	13.6	30.8	2.9
	Deferred financing costs	—	(0.8)	(1.3)	Purchases of treasury stock	(108.0)	—	—
	activities	(5.9)	(4.0)	(6.0)	Net cash used for financing activities	(105.3)	(179.6)	(125.9)
	Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	6.2	0.9	(0.6)	Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	82.6	6.5	(2.5)
30.8	33.3	Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period	37.3					
		Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period	\$ 119.9	\$ 37.3	\$ 30.8	Supplemental cash flows information		
		Cash paid during the period for:			Interest	\$ 227.8	\$ 226.3	\$ 217.6
		Income taxes	81.4	88.6	80.4			

See notes to consolidated financial statements

Nalco Holding Company and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(dollars in millions, except per share amounts)
December 31, 2007

1. Description of Business and Change in Ownership

Description of Business

We are engaged in the worldwide manufacture and sale of highly specialized service chemical programs. This includes production and service related to the sale and application of chemicals and technology used in water treatment, pollution control, energy conservation, oil production and refining, steelmaking, papermaking, mining, and other industrial processes.

Change in Ownership

On November 4, 2003, Nalco Holding Company's indirect subsidiary, Nalco Holdings LLC (the Buyer), a newly formed entity controlled by affiliates of The Blackstone Group, L.P., Apollo Management, L.P., and The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. (collectively, the Sponsors), pursuant to a Stock Purchase Agreement (as amended, the Stock Purchase Agreement) with Suez S.A. (Suez) and certain of its affiliates, acquired the net assets of Ondeo Nalco Group (as defined below) for \$4,127.1 million, including direct costs of the acquisition of \$125.6 million, excluding assumed debt of \$30.2 million, and subject to certain closing and post-closing adjustments (the Acquisition).

The Ondeo Nalco Group (the Predecessor) included Ondeo Nalco Company and subsidiaries (ONC) and certain subsidiaries of Nalco International SAS (NIS) plus Calgon Europe Limited (UK), owned by Degremont (a former related party). Ondeo Industrial Solutions North America, a subsidiary of ONC, was excluded from the Predecessor, as the Buyer did not acquire it.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

All intercompany balances and transactions are eliminated. Investments in companies or partnerships in which we do not have control, but have the ability to exercise significant influence over operating and financial policies, are reported using the equity method.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 to conform to the current year presentation.

These reclassifications had no effect on net earnings reported for any period.

Foreign Currency Translation

Local currencies are the functional currencies for most foreign operations. Their balance sheets and income statements are translated at current and average exchange rates, respectively, with any resulting translation adjustments included in the currency translation adjustment account in shareholders' equity. The financial statements of any foreign subsidiaries that operate in highly

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

inflationary environments are translated using a combination of current, average, and historical exchange rates, with the resulting translation impact included in results of operations. Exchange adjustments resulting from transactions executed in different currencies are included in other income (expense) in the statements of operations.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Credit risk represents the accounting loss that would be recognized at the reporting date if counterparties failed to perform as contracted. We believe the likelihood of incurring material losses due to concentration of credit risk is remote. The principal financial instruments subject to credit risk are as follows:

Cash and Cash Equivalents

A formal policy exists of placing these instruments in investment grade companies and institutions and limiting the size of an investment with any single entity.

Accounts Receivable

A large number of customers in diverse industries and geographies, as well as the practice of establishing reasonable credit lines, limits credit risk. The allowance for doubtful accounts is adequate to cover potential credit risk losses.

Foreign Exchange Contracts and Derivatives

Formal policies exist, which establish credit limits and investment grade credit criteria of "A" or better for all counterparties.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include all cash balances and highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable are carried at their face amounts less an allowance for doubtful accounts. On a periodic basis, we evaluate our accounts receivable and establish the allowance for doubtful accounts based on a combination of specific customer circumstances and credit conditions and based on a history of write-offs and collections. Our policy is generally to not charge interest on trade receivables after the invoice becomes past due. A receivable is considered past due if payments have not been received within agreed upon invoice terms. Write-offs are recorded at the time a customer receivable is deemed uncollectible.

Inventory Valuation

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. Approximately 60% and 57% of the inventories at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, are valued using the average cost or first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. The remaining inventories are valued using the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method. Reported inventory amounts would have been \$11.5 million higher at December 31, 2007 and \$1.3 million lower at December 31, 2006 if the FIFO method of accounting had been used for all inventories.

The LIFO method is used only in the United States. Most of the countries outside of the United States where we have subsidiaries do not permit the use of the LIFO method. In those countries where its use is permitted, we have not adopted the LIFO method of inventory valuation because the value of the inventories in those countries is not significant.

67

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

We assess the recoverability of goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be fully recoverable.

We have defined our reportable segments as our reporting units for our goodwill accounting. We use a two-step process to test goodwill for impairment. First, the reporting unit's fair value is compared to its carrying value. Fair value is estimated using a combined market multiple and discounted cash flow approach. If a reporting unit's carrying amount exceeds its fair value, an indication exists that the reporting unit's goodwill may be impaired, and the second step of the impairment test would be performed. The second step of the goodwill impairment test is used to measure the amount of the impairment loss. In the second step, the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is determined by allocating the reporting unit's fair value to all of its assets and liabilities other than goodwill in a manner similar to a purchase price allocation. The implied fair value of the goodwill that results from the application of this second step is then compared to the carrying amount of the goodwill and an impairment charge would be recorded for the difference if the carrying value exceeds the implied fair value of the goodwill.

We test the carrying value of other intangible assets with indefinite lives by comparing the fair value of the intangible assets to the carrying value. Fair value is estimated using a relief of royalty approach, a discounted cash flow methodology.

We amortize customer relationships using a declining-balance method over an estimated useful life of 16 years to reflect the pattern in which the economic benefits of that asset are realized. This amortization method considers the expected rate of customer attrition, which was based on historical attrition data that was also used in estimating the fair value of the customer relationship intangible acquired at the Acquisition date.

The straight-line method is used for all other assets subject to amortization. Patents and developed technology are being amortized over an estimated useful life of 10 years.

Deferred Financing Costs

Deferred financing costs are incurred to obtain long-term financing and are amortized using the effective interest method over the term of the related debt. The amortization of deferred financing costs, which is classified in interest expense in the statement of operations, was \$10.1 million, \$11.4 million and \$10.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Asset Retirement Obligations

The fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation is recognized in the period in which it is incurred. The liability is adjusted to its present value in subsequent periods as accretion expense is recorded. The corresponding asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset and depreciated over the asset's useful life.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are recognized during the period in which transactions enter into the determination of financial statement income, with deferred income taxes being provided for the tax effect of temporary differences between the

carrying amount of assets and liabilities and their tax bases.

Deferred income taxes are provided on the undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries except to the extent such earnings are considered to be permanently reinvested in the subsidiary. In cases where available foreign tax credits will not offset income taxes, appropriate provisions are included in the consolidated statement of operations.

68

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Valuation allowances are determined based on the realizability of the deferred tax assets. Relevant factors to determine the realizability of the assets include future taxable income, the expected timing of the reversal of temporary differences, tax planning strategies and the expiration dates of the various tax attributes. Valuation allowances are established for those assets that are determined to be more likely than not to expire without benefit, or for which income of the proper character is not anticipated.

The effect of a valuation allowance for a deferred tax asset that is expected to originate in the current year is included in the annual effective tax rate for the year. The effect of a change in the beginning-of-the-year balance of a valuation allowance resulting from a change in judgment about the realizability of the related deferred tax asset in future years is recognized in the interim period in which the change occurs.

We record liabilities for income tax uncertainties in accordance with the recognition and measurement criteria described in Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. The tax benefits and related reserves are measured throughout the year, taking into account new legislation, regulations, case law and audit results. We recognize income tax-related interest and penalties within the income tax provision.

Derivative Instruments

In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended by SFAS No. 138, Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities, derivatives are recognized as either assets or liabilities in the balance sheets at fair value.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue from sales of products, including amounts billed to customers for shipping and handling costs, is recognized at the time: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (2) ownership and all risks of loss have been transferred to the buyer, which is generally upon shipment, (3) the price is fixed and determinable, and (4) collectibility is reasonably assured. Revenue from services is recognized when the services are provided to the customer.

Cost of Product Sold

Cost of product sold includes the cost of inventory (materials and conversion costs) sold to customers, shipping and handling costs, and certain warehousing costs. It also includes inbound freight charges, purchasing and receiving costs, packaging, quality assurance costs, internal transfer costs, and other costs of our distribution network. It also includes supply chain administration, safety, health and environmental administration, and the costs of labor for services provided, whether as saleable services or as part of a multiple deliverables arrangement.

Selling, Administrative and Research Expenses

Selling expenses, which include the cost of our sales force and marketing staff and their related expenses, were \$914.5 million, \$827.7 million and \$788.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Administrative expenses primarily represent the cost of support functions, including information technology, finance, human resources and legal, as well as expenses for support facilities, executive management and management

incentive plans. Administrative expenses were \$224.5 million, \$209.4 million and \$174.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Research and development expenses represent the cost of our research and development personnel and their related expenses, including research facilities in the United States, the Netherlands and

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Singapore. Research and development expenses, totaled \$65.8 million, \$60.6 million and \$57.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Research and development costs are charged to expense as incurred.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FIN 48. The interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements by prescribing a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. We adopted the provisions of FIN 48 as of January 1, 2007, as required. The impact of adoption on our consolidated balance sheet is disclosed in Note 10.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. This statement indicates, among other things, that a fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell an asset or transfer a liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value based upon an exit price model. Relative to SFAS No. 157, the FASB has issued FASB Staff Positions (FSP) 157-1 and 157-2. FSP 157-1 amends SFAS No. 157 to exclude SFAS No. 13, Accounting for Leases, and its related interpretive accounting pronouncements that address leasing transactions, while FSP 157-2 delays the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for one year for certain nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis. We are required to adopt the recognition and disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 157 for financial assets and financial liabilities and for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities that are remeasured at least annually as of the beginning of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2008. We have evaluated SFAS No. 157 and do not expect that it will have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans — an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R). SFAS No. 158 requires an employer to recognize in its balance sheet an asset for a plan's overfunded status or a liability for a plan's underfunded status, measure a plan's assets and its obligations that determine its funded status as of the end of the employer's fiscal year, and recognize changes in the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan in the year in which the changes occur. Those changes are to be reported in comprehensive income and as a separate component of shareholders' equity. SFAS No. 158 does not change the amount of net periodic benefit cost included in net earnings. We adopted the recognition and disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 158 as of December 31, 2006, as required. Based on the funded status of our plans as of December 31, 2006, the adoption of SFAS No. 158 decreased total assets by \$2.7 million, decreased total liabilities by \$0.9 million and reduced shareholders' equity by \$1.8 million. We will change our measurement date to our December 31 year end from the current measurement date of November 30 in 2008, as required.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities — Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115. SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. This statement also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. At the adoption date, unrealized gains and losses on existing items

for which fair value has been elected are reported as a cumulative adjustment in retained earnings. Subsequent to adopting SFAS

70

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

No. 159, changes in fair value are recognized in earnings. We are required to adopt SFAS No. 159 as of the beginning of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2008. We have evaluated SFAS No. 159 and do not expect that it will have a significant impact on our financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), Business Combinations, which replaces SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations. SFAS No. 141(R) retains the underlying concepts of SFAS No. 141 in that all business combinations are still required to be accounted for at fair value under the acquisition method of accounting, but SFAS No. 141(R) changed the method of applying the acquisition method in a number of significant aspects. Acquisition costs will generally be expensed as incurred; noncontrolling interests will be valued at fair value at the acquisition date; in-process research and development will be recorded at fair value as an indefinite-lived intangible asset at the acquisition date; restructuring costs associated with a business combination will generally be expensed subsequent to the acquisition date; and changes in deferred tax asset valuation allowances and income tax uncertainties after the acquisition date generally will affect income tax expense. SFAS No. 141(R) is effective on a prospective basis for all business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual period subsequent to December 15, 2008, with the exception of the accounting for valuation allowances on deferred taxes and acquired tax contingencies. SFAS No. 141(R) amends SFAS No. 109 such that adjustments made to valuation allowances on deferred taxes and acquired tax contingencies associated with acquisitions that closed prior to the effective date of SFAS No. 141(R) would also apply the provisions of SFAS No. 141(R). Early adoption is not permitted. We are currently evaluating the effects, if any, that SFAS No. 141(R) may have on our financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements — an amendment of ARB No. 51. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008, with earlier adoption prohibited. This statement requires the recognition of a noncontrolling interest (minority interest) as equity in the consolidated financial statements and separate from the parent's equity. The amount of net earnings attributable to the noncontrolling interest will be included in consolidated net income on the face of the income statement. The statement also amends certain of ARB No. 51's consolidation procedures for consistency with the requirements of SFAS No. 141(R). This statement also includes expanded disclosure requirements regarding the interests of the parent and its noncontrolling interest. We are currently evaluating SFAS No. 160 and anticipate that it will not have a significant impact on the reporting of our results of operations.

3. Acquisitions

On December 10, 2007 (the Effective Date), we purchased an 87.5% interest in Mobotec USA, Inc. (Mobotec), a leading provider of combustion optimization and emission reduction capabilities, including engineering, equipment and chemistry for industrial and utility boilers. We accounted for the acquisition as a purchase, and the results of Mobotec's operations were included in our consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition. The purchase price was \$27.9 million, net of \$6.4 million of cash acquired. The purchase price is subject to certain adjustments as defined by the share purchase agreement. On a preliminary basis, the purchase price exceeded the fair value of the net tangible assets acquired by \$34.0 million, which was allocated to goodwill.

Concurrent with the purchase of the 87.5% interest in Mobotec, we entered into an agreement with the minority shareholder that provides us with two options to purchase the remaining shares of Mobotec. Each option provides us with the right to purchase 50% of the remaining shares of Mobotec. The first option and second option can be exercised no earlier than two years and four years, respectively, after the Effective Date. Both options expire five years after the Effective Date. The exercise price for each option is based on Mobotec's EBITDA, as defined in the

agreement, for the four calendar quarters that precede the exercise date. The agreement also provides the minority shareholder with two options to sell the remaining shares of Mobotec to us, with terms identical to our purchase options.

71

3. Acquisitions (continued)

In November 2006, we increased the investment in our subsidiary in India from 80% to more than 97%. The purchase price for this additional investment was \$6.4 million, net of a reimbursement of \$8.3 million received from Suez pursuant to the terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement. The purchase price exceeded the fair value of the net tangible assets acquired by \$3.9 million, which was allocated to goodwill. We increased our investment by approximately an additional 1% during 2007 for a purchase price of \$0.4 million, of which \$0.2 million was allocated to goodwill. A tender offer is outstanding for the remaining shares not owned by us.

4. Securitization of Accounts Receivable

In June 2004, we entered into a three-year accounts receivable securitization facility with a commercial paper conduit sponsored by one of the lenders under our senior credit facilities that provided up to \$100.0 million in funding. When that facility expired in June 2007, we entered into a new three-year facility with a commercial paper conduit sponsored by another lender under our senior credit facilities. The new facility provides up to \$160.0 million in funding, based on availability of eligible receivables and satisfaction of other customary conditions.

Under the facility, Nalco Company (the Seller), a wholly owned subsidiary of Nalco Holdings LLC, transfers all eligible trade accounts receivable (the Receivables) to a bankruptcy-remote, wholly owned, special purpose limited liability company (the Transferor). Pursuant to a Receivables Transfer Agreement, the Transferor then transfers an undivided interest in the Receivables to the commercial paper conduit or the related bank sponsor (the Transferees) in exchange for cash.

The financing fee charged by the Transferees under the facility is based on the amount funded and the conduit's cost of funds for issuing commercial paper plus a margin that varies based on the leverage ratio as calculated under our senior credit facilities. The Transferees also charge a facility fee, which varies based on the same ratio, on 102% of the total funding commitment under the facility. Nalco Company services, administers and collects the Receivables, for which it receives a monthly servicing fee of 1% per annum of the average daily outstanding balance of Receivables.

Availability of funding under the facility depends primarily upon the outstanding Receivables balance from time to time. The facility may be terminated for, among other reasons, material breaches of representations and warranties, bankruptcies of the Seller or the Transferor, a judgment or order for the payment of money rendered against the Transferor, cross-defaults to our other debt, or breach of specified financial covenants. We are currently in compliance with these covenants.

The facility is accounted for as a secured borrowing, resulting in the funding and related Receivables being shown as liabilities and assets, respectively, on our consolidated balance sheet and the costs associated with the facility being recorded as interest expense. We had outstanding borrowings of \$134.0 million at December 31, 2007 under the new facility, and we had outstanding borrowings of \$100.0 million at December 31, 2006 under the former facility.

5. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following:

December 31,

Edgar Filing: Nalco Holding CO - Form 10-K

2007 December 31,

2006 Finished products	\$ 268.9	\$ 264.5	Raw materials and work-in-process	81.5	76.2	\$ 350.4	\$
------------------------	----------	----------	-----------------------------------	------	------	----------	----

340.7

As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, our finished products inventories included \$50.4 million and \$50.5 million, respectively, of consignment inventories at customer sites to better serve and meet the needs of our customers.

72

6. Goodwill

Changes in the carrying value of goodwill from January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2007 are summarized below:

				Balance
as of January 1, 2006	\$ 2,196.7	Acquisitions	3.9	Excess accruals for costs to exit activities
(2.2)		Effect of foreign currency translation	102.5	Balance as of December 31, 2006
34.2		Other	3.0	Effect of foreign currency translation
				122.7
				Balance as of December 31, 2007
				\$ 2,459.8

We evaluate goodwill for impairment in the fourth quarter of each year and whenever a triggering event occurs. We completed our annual goodwill impairment test in the fourth quarter of 2007 and determined that no goodwill was impaired.

7. Other Intangible Assets

Intangible assets are summarized as follows:

December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006	Gross						
Amount	Accumulated							
Amortization	Gross							
Amount	Accumulated							
Amortization Intangible assets subject to amortization:								
(302.9)	\$ 507.2	\$ (237.9)	Patents and developed technology	102.3	(42.5)	100.6	(32.3)	Other
2.5	(0.9)	2.5	(0.6)	Intangibles not subject to amortization:		Trademarks and trade		
names	830.0	—	830.0	—	\$ 1,467.7	\$ (346.3)	\$ 1,440.3	\$ (270.8)

Estimated annual amortization expense for the years 2008 through 2012 is as follows:

				Year ending December 31	2008	\$ 54.2	2009
46.7	2010	40.5	2011	35.4	2012	31.1	

8.

Contribution Agreement With Profit-Sharing Trust and Reimbursement Agreement with Suez

The Predecessor previously had an Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP), which gave most U.S. employees an additional opportunity to share in the ownership of the Predecessor's stock. Preferred shares were allocated to eligible employees based on a percentage of pretax earnings.

At the inception of the ESOP, the Predecessor and a trustee entered into a trust agreement, constituting the ESOP Trust, to fund benefits under the Predecessor's ESOP. As part of its acquisition of Nalco Chemical Company in November 1999, Suez purchased from the trustee all of the issued and outstanding Series B ESOP Convertible Preferred Stock at a price of \$1,060 per share. The trustee credited proceeds from the sale of allocated shares to participants' accounts. Under the terms of an agreement (the Contribution Agreement), the Predecessor and the ESOP trustee agreed that the trustee would use proceeds from the sale of shares held in the loan suspense account to repay the outstanding principal and accrued interest on the ESOP loans. It was also agreed that all proceeds remaining after the repayment of the loans and accrued interest would be allocated to participants' accounts. In return, the Predecessor agreed to make contributions to the Profit Sharing, Investment and Pay Deferral Plan Trust (the Trust) on or before December 31, 2010, having a present value equal to \$124.6 million, the outstanding principal and accrued interest paid on the ESOP loans. The plan was amended effective January 1, 2003 to also permit matching contributions under the Company's 401(k) plan to count as contributions to the Trust. The Contribution Agreement provides for specified minimum annual contributions to be made to the Trust, with interest accruing on the outstanding contribution balance at an annual rate of 8.5% compounded monthly. The contribution commitment becomes due and payable in its entirety if one or more events that are specified in the Contribution Agreement occur.

Pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement, we entered into an agreement (the Reimbursement Agreement) with Suez on November 4, 2003, whereby Suez shall reimburse us for all contributions we make to the Trust in order to satisfy our obligations under the Contribution Agreement. As part of the allocation of the Acquisition purchase price, we recorded a receivable from Suez of \$112.7 million, equivalent to our recorded liability to the Trust, and recorded a

\$115.0 million unearned employee profit sharing asset, which is being amortized to reflect profit sharing expense in the period earned by employees. Interest accretes on the Suez receivable at the same rate that it accretes on our obligation to the Trust. The receivable does not have specific due dates, but under the terms of the

74

8.

Contribution Agreement With Profit-Sharing Trust and Reimbursement Agreement with Suez (continued)

Reimbursement Agreement, Suez is required to reimburse us immediately after we make any contributions to the Trust. The receivable is not secured by any Suez asset. However, under the terms of a sublease agreement with Leo Holding Company (Leo), a subsidiary of Suez, whereby we sublease our corporate headquarters and research facility from Leo, if Suez fails to pay any of the payments it is required to make under the Reimbursement Agreement, we have the right to set off such overdue amounts against the rent due under the sublease agreement (see Note 13). Conversely, under the terms of the Reimbursement Agreement, if we fail to pay when due any sublease rent (as defined in the sublease agreement), Suez shall have the right to reduce its reimbursement obligations to us pursuant to the Reimbursement Agreement by an amount equal to such shortfall.

Contributions to the Trust and expenses recorded related to the Contribution Agreement are as follows:

Year ended December 31	2007	2006	2005	Contributions to the Trust	\$ 24.9	\$ 16.9	\$ 21.5	Expense
recorded:				Amortization of unearned employee profit sharing	\$ 24.5	\$ 20.5	\$ 7.0	Accretion of
obligation to Trust	4.1	5.1	6.2	Total included in operating expenses	\$ 28.6	\$ 25.6	\$ 13.2	

Payments received from Suez and income recorded related to the reimbursement arrangement are as follows:

Year ending December 31	2007	2006	2005	Payments received from Suez	\$ 24.9	\$ 16.9	\$ 21.5	Income
recorded:				Accretion of receivable from Suez	\$ 4.1	\$ 5.1	\$ 6.2	

Because Suez reimburses us for all payments that we make toward satisfying our obligations under the Contribution Agreement, expenses related to the Contribution Agreement are non-cash in nature. Once our remaining Contribution Agreement obligations have been fully satisfied, which could be as early as 2009, subsequent profit sharing and 401(k) matching contribution expenses will require the use of cash.

9. Property,

Plant, and Equipment

Property, plant, and equipment (including major improvements) are recorded at cost. Depreciation of buildings and equipment is calculated over their estimated useful lives generally using the straight-line method.

The estimated useful lives of the major classes of depreciable assets acquired since the date of the Acquisition are as follows: buildings — 33 to 40 years; software — 5 years; equipment — 3 to 15 years.

No interest was capitalized during 2007. Interest capitalized during 2006 and 2005 was \$0.5 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

9. Property,

Plant, and Equipment (continued)

Property, plant, and equipment consist of the following:

December 31,

2007 December 31,

2006 Land	\$ 81.1	\$ 76.0	Buildings	191.8	175.6	Software	145.7	117.9	Equipment	894.3	
791.4	1,312.9	1,160.9	Accumulated depreciation	(550.6)	(417.5)	Property, plant, and equipment, net					
	\$ 762.3	\$ 743.4									

We recognized \$2.0 million and \$3.6 million of asset retirement obligations during 2007 and 2006, respectively, for remediation and demolition activities at certain manufacturing sites where legal obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets exist and a range of potential settlement dates for the obligations can be determined. The liability for other asset retirement obligations cannot currently be measured as the retirement dates are not yet determinable. We will recognize the liability when sufficient information exists to estimate a range of potential settlement dates.

10. Income Tax

The provision for income taxes was calculated based upon the following components of earnings before income taxes and minority interests:

Year ending December 31	2007	2006	2005	United States	\$ 41.3	\$ (25.1)	\$ (93.7)	Foreign	165.2
	190.8	190.5	Earnings before income taxes and minority interests		\$ 206.5	\$ 165.7	\$ 96.8		

The components of the income tax provision are as follows:

Year ending December 31	2007	2006	2005	Current:	United States	\$ —	\$ (0.3)	\$ (4.6)				
State and local	0.5	0.8	0.6	Foreign	68.6	88.4	86.5	Total current	69.1	88.9	82.5	
Deferred:				United States	17.8	(9.8)	(21.0)	State and local	0.6	(1.4)	(0.7)	Foreign
(18.2)	(18.8)	(17.5)	Total deferred	0.2	(30.0)	(39.2)	Income tax provision	\$ 69.3	\$ 58.9			
\$ 43.3												

76

10. Income Tax (continued)

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the financial statement basis and the tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted statutory tax rates applicable to future years. Net deferred income tax assets (liabilities) are as follows:

December 31,

2007 December 31,

2006 Retirement benefits	\$ 60.8	\$ 65.7	Pension	80.6	120.0	United States net operating loss	
carryforwards	67.9	94.8	Foreign tax loss carryforwards	58.1	48.6	Leveraged lease investments	10.5
6.7 Accruals	28.2	29.0	Other deferred tax assets	109.5	73.5	Total deferred tax assets	415.6
438.3 Valuation allowance	(88.1)	(75.2)	Net deferred tax assets	\$ 327.5	\$ 363.1	Property	\$ (113.2)
\$ (122.8) Software amortization	(1.5)	(5.4)	Intangible assets	(465.9)	(479.4)	Other deferred tax	
liabilities	(27.8)	(40.8)	Total deferred tax liabilities	(608.4)	(648.4)	Net deferred tax assets	327.5
363.1 Total deferred income taxes	\$ (280.9)	\$ (285.3)	Included in:			Deferred income taxes – current	
asset	\$ 25.9	\$ 29.0	Other noncurrent assets	31.3	—	Income taxes (10.6)	—
noncurrent liability	(327.5)	(314.3)	\$ (280.9)	\$ (285.3)		Deferred income taxes –	

These deferred tax assets and liabilities are classified in the balance sheets based on the balance sheet classification of the related assets and liabilities.

Nalco Holding Company and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, various states and foreign jurisdictions, as required. With few exceptions, we are no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or foreign income tax examinations by tax authorities for periods prior to 2001. To the extent that we are subject to additional tax assessments greater than \$150,000 that relate to tax periods before November 4, 2003, we are indemnified by our former shareholder, Suez, and therefore have recorded a receivable for the related indemnity claim.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FIN 48. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements by prescribing a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition.

We adopted the provisions of FIN 48 on January 1, 2007, as required. As a result of the adoption of FIN 48, we recorded a decrease in the liability for unrecognized tax benefits of \$13.5 million. Because a portion of this amount is subject to the Suez indemnity, we also reduced the receivable by their share of the exposures, or \$5.6 million. The remaining \$7.9 million was credited to retained earnings

10. Income Tax (continued)

as of January 1, 2007.

Unrecognized

Tax Benefit Suez

Portion Nalco

Portion December 31, 2006 closing	\$ 26.0	\$ 8.6	\$ 17.4	Change to adopt FIN 48	(13.5)	(5.6)	(7.9)
) January 1, 2007 opening	\$ 12.5	\$ 3.0	\$ 9.5				

A reconciliation of the 2007 beginning and ending amounts of unrecognized tax benefits follows:

Unrecognized

Tax Benefit Suez

Portion Nalco

Portion Balance at January 1, 2007	\$ 12.5	\$ 3.0	\$ 9.5	Additions related to current year	2.4	—	2.4
Additions related to prior years	0.9	0.9	—	Reduction for positions taken in prior years	(9.2)	(1.2)	(8.0)
(8.0) Settlements	(1.4)	(1.1)	(0.3)	Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 5.2	\$ 1.6	\$ 3.6
Unrecognized tax position	\$ 4.5	\$ 1.2	\$ 3.3	Interest	0.7	0.4	0.3
December 31, 2007	\$ 5.2	\$ 1.6	\$ 3.6	Penalties	—	—	—

As of December 31, 2007, full recognition of the \$5.2 million of tax benefits not previously recorded would have resulted in a reduction of the Suez receivable of \$1.6 million and a favorable impact to the income tax provision of \$3.3 million, with the remaining Nalco share reducing goodwill by \$0.3 million.

During 2007, our subsidiary companies in China restructured their operations in a way that allows them to benefit from a local tax incentive. Because the incentive legislation is not clear in all respects, the exact amount of the benefit is uncertain. We have recorded benefits of \$0.4 million, which have been tentatively approved by the tax authorities. We may be entitled to additional benefits of \$0.7 million. While we cannot reasonably estimate the likelihood of receiving the additional benefits, we expect a determination during 2008. If we are entitled to the additional benefits, we will release the unrecognized tax benefit reserve and reduce tax expense by the additional \$0.7 million.

The effective rate of the provision for income taxes differs from the United States statutory federal tax rate due to the following items:

Year ended December 31	2007	2006	2005	United States statutory federal tax rate	\$ 72.3	\$ 58.0	\$ 33.9
State income taxes, net of federal benefits	1.4	(0.6)	(0.1)	Foreign tax rate differential	(25.1)	(13.0)	(7.7)
(7.7) Withholding taxes	7.8	8.1	6.4	U.S. tax on foreign earnings	11.4	3.8	9.7
incentives	(1.6)	(1.7)	(1.3)	Changes in valuation allowances	1.6	(2.4)	(1.8)
positions	(5.9)	3.1	1.2	Nondeductible items	10.3	6.2	5.1
Income tax provision	\$ 69.3	\$ 58.9	\$ 43.3	Other	(2.9)	(2.6)	(2.1)

10. Income Tax (continued)

No provision has been made for United States or foreign income taxes related to approximately \$685.9 million of undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries at December 31, 2007, as we consider these earnings to be permanently reinvested. It is not practicable to estimate the additional income taxes and applicable withholding that would be payable on the remittance of such undistributed earnings.

The Internal Revenue Service (the Service) has completed its examination of the consolidated federal income tax returns of our subsidiary, Nalco Company, and Nalco Company's subsidiaries for the years 2003 and 2004. The Service has disallowed federal tax deductions for a series of expenses, some of which will continue to amortize for tax purposes through 2011. These expenses relate to fees paid to the Sponsors and financial institutions for debt issuance and consulting services. We believe that the deductions as reflected in our audited financial statements are proper, and no reserve has been taken for these amounts. We intend to vigorously pursue all of our remedies, including litigation if necessary, with the expectation that the deductions should be sustained on their merits. Nonetheless, should the Service prevail on the disallowance of any of these expenses, any incremental tax would offset existing net operating loss carryforwards, rather than require an immediate cash payment. Because a substantial portion of the disputed tax deductions create temporary differences, that portion of the disallowed tax benefits would be charged to deferred tax liabilities, and not the tax provision.

deducted through December 31, 2007	\$ 92.9	Remaining deductions through December 31, 2011	23.3	Portion Total
deductions disputed	\$ 116.2			

We have United States federal net operating losses of approximately \$144.9 million (\$50.7 million tax effect) expiring between 2024 and 2027. We have identified a tax planning strategy that would be implemented prior to 2024 in order to utilize these losses if future taxable income does not otherwise consume the loss carryforwards. In addition, we have United States federal net operating losses of approximately \$23.1 million (\$8.1 million tax effect) incurred in separate return limitation years. Because of the limits on their utilization, we have a full valuation allowance on these losses. These losses will expire between 2020 and 2023 if they are not utilized during the carryforward period. If use of the losses occurs before January 1, 2009, the effective date of SFAS No. 141(R), reversal of the valuation allowance would be credited to goodwill. Use of the losses after December 31, 2008 would be credited to the income tax provision.

We have state net operating loss carryforwards, which could reduce future state income taxes by \$9.1 million. A valuation allowance of \$2.6 million has been established for the portion of which realization is uncertain due to relatively short carryforward periods. Implementation of the tax planning strategy for the United States federal losses would also utilize the losses of the states with longer carryforward periods.

We have United States foreign tax credit carryforwards which could provide additional tax benefit of \$35.4 million. A full valuation allowance has been established, as it is uncertain that we will be able to utilize the credits within the ten-year carryforward period.

We have approximately \$116.4 million (\$32.6 million tax effect) of U.K. capital loss carryforwards that do not expire. The losses can only be used to offset future capital gains in the U.K. A full valuation allowance has been established pending the recognition of taxable U.K. capital gains. We also have approximately \$20.0 million of U.K. ordinary tax losses (\$5.6 million tax effect) that do not expire. We have identified a tax planning strategy that would be implemented in order to utilize these losses if future taxable income does not otherwise consume the loss

carryforwards.

79

10. Income Tax (continued)

We have a net operating loss carryforward in Brazil of approximately \$20.0 million (\$6.8 million tax effect) that does not expire. A full valuation allowance has been established, as we do not foresee future taxable income to utilize the carryforward in this entity.

We have net operating loss carryforwards in The Netherlands of approximately \$24.3 million (\$6.2 million tax effect) that expire between 2013 and 2017 under current law. A valuation allowance has been established on \$10.0 million (\$2.6 million tax effect) associated with periods that are limited such that we do not expect to utilize the loss carryforward. In addition, there is an unlimited carryforward loss of \$18.5 million (\$4.7 million tax effect) that can also shelter future taxable income in The Netherlands.

We have other foreign net operating loss carryforwards with approximately \$2.3 million tax effect that expire no sooner than 2015, for which no valuation allowance is considered necessary.

11. Debt

Debt consists of the following:

December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006	Short-term	Checks outstanding and bank overdrafts	\$ 14.1	\$ 25.8	Notes payable to banks	6.1																					
			0.9	Current maturities of long-term debt	32.4	23.5	Unsecured notes, due May 2008	27.8	—	Revolving credit facility	50.0	—	Securitized trade accounts receivable facility	—	100.0	\$ 130.4	\$ 150.2											
		Long-term	Securitized trade accounts receivable facility	\$ 134.0	\$ —	Term loan A, due November 2009	64.8	81.0	Term loan B, due November 2010	887.0	911.0	Senior notes, due November 2011	959.6	928.1	Senior subordinated notes, due November 2013	759.6	728.1	Unsecured notes, due May 2008	—	27.8	Senior discount notes, due February 2014	420.6	385.6	Other	0.5	0.5	3,226.1	3,062.1
		Less: Current portion	32.4	23.5	\$ 3,193.7	\$ 3,038.6																						

The weighted-average interest rate on short-term debt was 6.7% and 5.5% at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

In January 2004, Nalco Holding Company's 100% owned subsidiaries, Nalco Finance Holdings LLC and Nalco Finance Holdings Inc. (together, the Issuers), issued \$694.0 million aggregate principal amount at maturity of 9.0% senior discount notes due 2014.

11. Debt (continued)

Prior to February 1, 2009, interest will accrue on the senior discount notes in the form of an increase in the accreted value of such notes. Thereafter, cash interest on the senior discount notes will accrue and be payable semiannually in arrears on February 1 and August 1 of each year, commencing on August 1, 2009, at a rate of 9.0% per annum. The accreted value of each note will increase from the date of issuance until February 1, 2009 at a rate of 9.0% per annum, reflecting the accrual of non-cash interest, such that the accreted value will equal the principal amount at maturity on February 1, 2009.

In December 2004, the Issuers redeemed a portion of the senior discount notes with an accreted value of \$162.3 million using proceeds from the initial public offering of common stock of Nalco Holding Company. The Issuers paid a \$14.6 million premium to redeem the notes, and incurred a \$13.7 million loss on the extinguishment of these notes.

After the partial redemption, the aggregate principal amount at maturity of the notes declined to \$460.8 million from \$694.0 million.

At December 31, 2007, the senior discount notes had an accreted value of approximately \$909.16 per \$1,000 principal amount at maturity of notes, resulting in a total accreted value of \$419.0 million. The \$1.6 million difference between the carrying value of the notes and the total accreted value represents a premium, which is being amortized over the term of the notes.

Nalco Holding Company and the Issuers do not generate any revenue, and Nalco Finance Holdings Inc. was incorporated solely to accommodate the issuance of the notes by Nalco Finance Holdings LLC. All of Nalco Holding Company's consolidated assets are owned, and all of its consolidated net sales are earned, by its direct and indirect subsidiaries. As of December 31, 2007, Nalco Holding Company's subsidiaries had \$1,198.0 million of restricted net assets.

The terms of the senior credit facilities of Nalco Company, a wholly owned subsidiary of Nalco Holdings LLC, limit the amount of dividends and other transfers by Nalco Holdings LLC and its subsidiaries to the Issuers or Nalco Holding Company. Further, the terms of the indentures governing the senior notes and senior subordinated notes of Nalco Company significantly restrict Nalco Company and the Issuers' other subsidiaries from paying dividends or otherwise transferring assets to the Issuers or Nalco Holding Company. The ability of Nalco Company to make such payments is governed by a formula based on its consolidated net income, as well as meeting certain other conditions. Notwithstanding such restrictions, such indentures permit an aggregate of \$50.0 million of such payments to be made whether or not there is availability under the formula or the conditions to its use are met.

The Issuers' subsidiaries will be permitted under the terms of the senior credit facilities and other indebtedness to incur additional indebtedness that may severely restrict or prohibit the making of distributions, the payment of the dividends or the making of loans by such subsidiaries to the Issuers or Nalco Holding Company.

In connection with the Acquisition, Nalco Company issued senior notes and senior subordinated notes in a private offering and entered into senior secured credit facilities.

On November 4, 2003, Nalco Company issued senior notes and senior subordinated notes (Notes). The senior notes were issued in the principal amount of \$665.0 million and €200.0 million and bear interest at 7.75%. The senior subordinated notes were issued in the principal amount of \$465.0 million and €200.0 million and bear interest at 8.875% and 9.0%, respectively. Interest is payable semi-annually on May 15 and November 15. The Notes do not

have required principal payments prior to maturity. Nalco Holding Company's and Nalco Company's direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries that guarantee its obligations under the senior credit facilities guarantee the Notes.

81

11. Debt (continued)

At its option, Nalco Company may redeem some or all of the senior notes and senior subordinated notes, beginning November 15, 2007 and November 15, 2008, respectively, at the redemption prices set forth below (expressed as percentages of principal amount), plus accrued interest, if any, if redeemed during the twelve-month period commencing on November 15 of the years set forth below:

Senior notes	Senior subordinated notes	Period	Redemption
Price	Period	U.S. dollar	
Redemption Price	Euro	Redemption	
Price 2007	103.875%	2008	104.438%
thereafter	100.000%	2010	101.479%
		2011 and thereafter	100.000%
		2009	102.958%
		2009 and thereafter	103.000%
		2008	104.500%
		2008	101.938%
		2009	102.958%
		2009 and thereafter	100.000%

Nalco Company may redeem some or all of the senior subordinated notes prior to November 15, 2008, at a price equal to the principal amount of the notes, plus a specified “make-whole” premium.

On November 4, 2003, Nalco Company entered into senior secured credit facilities which provided for a revolving credit facility and three term loans: a \$200.0 million term loan A, a €88.0 million term loan A, and a \$1,300.0 million term loan B. The senior secured credit facilities are unconditionally guaranteed by Nalco Holdings LLC, Nalco Company, and certain domestic subsidiaries of Nalco Holdings LLC (collectively, the Guarantors). The repayment of these facilities is secured by substantially all the assets of the Guarantors, including, but not limited to, a pledge of their capital stock and 65% of the capital stock of each non-U.S. subsidiary owned by the Guarantors. The revolving credit facility, which expires in November 2009, provides for borrowings up to \$250 million, a portion of which may be made available to Nalco Company’s non-U.S. subsidiary borrowers in euros. The revolving credit facility also includes borrowing capacity available for letters of credit. The facility bears interest at a rate equal to an applicable margin plus, at our option, either (a) a base rate determined by reference to the greater of (1) the prime rate, (2) the three-month certificate of deposit rate plus 0.5%, and (3) the federal funds rate plus 0.5% or (b) LIBOR or EURIBOR plus an applicable margin ranging from 1.0% to 2.5%, depending on the type of borrowing and our leverage ratio, as defined in the credit agreement.

Interest is generally due quarterly in arrears, and is also due upon expiration of any particular loan. In addition, there is an annual loan commitment fee of 0.5% on the unused portion of the revolving credit facility. We are also required to pay a participation fee in respect of the undrawn portion of the letters of credit, at a rate per annum equal to LIBOR or EURIBOR plus an applicable margin, a fronting fee at a rate of 0.25% per annum of the daily average amount, as well as customary letter of credit fees. As of December 31, 2007, we had \$23.1 million in outstanding letters of credit, none of which had been drawn against.

Term loan A bears interest at the same rate as the revolving credit facility. The applicable margin for borrowings under the term loan B facility is 0.75% with respect to base rate borrowings and 1.75% with respect to LIBOR or Eurocurrency borrowings. Unlike the term loan A and the revolving credit facility, term loan B is not subject to adjustment based on our leverage ratio (as defined in the credit agreement).

In addition to the \$24.3 million of scheduled payments in 2007 under term loan facilities, we prepaid an additional \$24.0 million using cash generated from operations. Using mostly cash generated from operations during 2006, we made scheduled payments under our term loan facilities of \$3.2 million, and we prepaid an additional \$201.9 million of term loan borrowings. We prepaid \$99.0 million of term loan borrowings during 2005 using cash generated from

operations.

82

11. Debt (continued)

At December 31, 2007, we had \$1,001.8 million outstanding under the senior secured credit facilities with a weighted-average interest rate of 6.90%. The amounts outstanding, as well as the base rates and applicable margins, at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 were as follows:

	2007	2006	Amount	Weighted		2007	2006	Amount	Weighted
Average Base Rate	4.86 %	3.75 %	\$ 50.0	2.00 %		4.86 %	3.75 %	\$ 50.0	2.00 %
Margin	2.00 %	2.25 %	\$ —	—	Term loan A (euro)	4.71 %	4.71 %	€ 44.0	4.71 %
Average Base Rate	5.17 %	5.43 %	\$ 887.0	1.75 %		5.17 %	5.43 %	\$ 911.0	1.75 %
Margin	1.75 %	1.75 %	\$ —	—	Term loan B	1.75 %	1.75 %	\$ 911.0	1.75 %

The \$27.8 million of unsecured notes bear interest at 6.25% with interest payments due on May 15 and November 15. The \$0.5 million in other long-term debt at December 31, 2007 was borrowed by one foreign subsidiary and is a non-interest bearing note.

The senior secured credit facilities, senior notes, and senior subordinated notes contain a number of covenants that, among other things, restrict, subject to certain exceptions, our ability to sell assets; incur additional indebtedness or issue preferred stock; repay other indebtedness; pay dividends or repurchase stock; create liens on assets; make investments, loans or advances; make acquisitions, mergers or consolidations; enter into sale and leaseback transactions; engage in certain transactions with affiliates; amend certain material agreements governing our indebtedness; change our business; and enter into hedging contracts. In addition, we must maintain financial covenants including a maximum total leverage ratio, minimum interest coverage ratio, and maximum capital expenditure limitation. As of December 31, 2007, we were in compliance with all of these covenants.

The following table presents the projected annual maturities of long-term debt for years after 2007:

Year ending December 31	2008	\$ 32.4	2009
2010	1,021.1	2011	959.7
2012	0.1	Thereafter	1,220.4
			\$ 3,266.3

The \$40.2 million difference between the total projected annual maturities of long-term debt of \$3,266.3 million and the carrying value of \$3,226.1 million is mostly attributable to the \$41.8 million difference between the \$460.8 million aggregate principal amount at maturity of the senior discount notes and their accreted value of \$419.0 million. Partly offsetting that difference is the \$1.6 million unamortized premium attributable to those notes.

12. Leases

We lease administrative, research, manufacturing, and warehouse facilities and data processing and other equipment under non-cancelable leases that expire at various dates through 2027. Rent expense totaled \$39.4 million, \$36.7 million and \$39.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

12. Leases (continued)

Future minimum rental payments for operating leases related to facilities, with initial or remaining terms greater than one year, are as follows:

					Year ending December 31	2008	\$ 20.8	2009	
17.6	2010	23.2	2011	39.2	2012	15.6	Thereafter	164.5	\$ 280.9

The amounts in the table above include future minimum rental payments for our corporate headquarters and research facility of \$9.0 million in 2008, \$9.0 million in 2009, \$17.1 million in 2010, \$35.1 million in 2011, \$12.7 million in 2012, and \$137.4 million from 2013 through 2023.

13. Sale-Leaseback Transaction

In December 2002, the Predecessor entered into an agreement whereby it sold and leased back its corporate headquarters and research facility in Naperville, IL. As a result of the sale, the Predecessor received proceeds of \$144.8 million, net of \$5.2 million of transaction costs. The related lease was for an initial term of 25 years, and required the Predecessor to make total minimum payments of \$264.2 million over the initial lease term. The lease agreement provided for two fixed rate renewal periods of 5 years each and two fair value renewal periods of 5 years each.

The Predecessor's payment obligations, along with certain other items under the lease agreement, were fully guaranteed by Suez. Because of the guarantee, the Predecessor was precluded from accounting for this transaction as a sale and leaseback of the property and instead accounted for it as a financing, with an effective interest rate of 5.5%. The Predecessor provided a cross guarantee to Suez of any payments made by Suez under its guarantee.

Under the terms of an agreement executed November 4, 2003 between Leo Holding Company (Leo), a subsidiary of Suez, and us, we assigned our rights and obligations under the lease agreement to Leo. Simultaneously, Suez was released from its guarantee, and we entered into an agreement with Leo whereby we sublease our corporate headquarters and research facility from Leo. The terms of the sublease agreement are generally identical to those of the lease agreement that was assigned to Leo. As a result of these transactions, the leased property, the remaining obligation under the lease assigned to Leo, and the related deferred income taxes were removed from our balance sheet as part of the allocation of the Acquisition purchase price. We account for the sublease as an operating lease.

On November 4, 2003, we entered into a Reimbursement Agreement with Suez whereby Suez shall reimburse us for all contributions we make to the Profit Sharing, Investment and Pay Deferral Plan Trust (see Note 8). Under the terms of the sublease agreement, if Suez fails to pay any of the payments required to be made under the Reimbursement Agreement, we shall have the right to set off such overdue amounts against the rent due under the sublease agreement.

14. Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

We have several noncontributory, defined benefit pension plans covering most employees in the U.S. and those with certain foreign subsidiaries. The principal domestic plan represents approximately 52% of the benefit obligation and 54% of the total fair value of plan assets at December 31, 2007. We also provide a supplementary, nonqualified, unfunded plan for U.S. employees whose pension benefits exceed ERISA limitations. In addition, we have defined benefit postretirement plans that provide medical, dental, and life insurance benefits for substantially all U.S. retirees and eligible dependents. We retain the right to change or terminate these benefits.

At the end of 2002, the domestic pension plan was amended such that beginning January 2003, pension benefits no longer accrue for those participants in the pension plan hired or rehired after October 1999 and for those participants hired before November 1999, but with less than five years of vesting service at the end of 2002. However, these participants will continue to earn vesting service. For those participants hired before November 1999 and with more than five years of vesting service, the pension plan was amended to reduce future benefit accruals and to increase the reduction factors for early retirement.

Also during 2002, eligibility for participation in the defined benefit postretirement medical and dental plans was changed from age 55 with at least ten years of service to age 55 with at least ten years of service after age 45. Also, employees with less than five years of service at the end of 2003 will only be provided access to coverage at retirement; we will not contribute to the cost of the coverage. The plans were also amended such that retirees and their dependents are required to pay 50% of plan costs in 2005 and beyond.

Beginning in 2005, a November 30 measurement date was used for the defined benefit plans for pension and other postretirement benefits. A December 31 measurement date had been used in prior years.

On December 31, 2006, we adopted the recognition and disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 158, which required us to recognize the funded status of our pension and other postretirement benefit plans in our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2006, with a corresponding adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income, net of income taxes. The adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income at adoption represented the net unrecognized prior service costs and credits and the net unrecognized actuarial gains and losses which were previously netted against the funded status of the plans in our consolidated balance sheet pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 87 and SFAS No. 106. Prior service costs and credits and actuarial gains and losses that arise in subsequent periods and that are not recognized as net pension and other postretirement benefit expense in the same periods are recognized as a component of other comprehensive income. The amounts in accumulated other comprehensive income are recognized as a component of net pension and other postretirement benefit expense in accordance with our accounting policy for amortizing such amounts.

14. Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans (continued)

The following tables detail the changes in the funded status of defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit plans:

Pension Benefits	2007	2006	Change in benefit obligation		Benefit obligation at beginning of year		\$	
866.4	\$ 793.1		Service cost	28.6	29.5	Interest cost	45.3	42.7
			Participant contributions	1.9	1.5	Plan amendments	(22.9)	—
			Settlements and curtailments	—	(1.5)	Actuarial (gain) loss	(61.6)	13.3
			Benefits paid	(47.9)	(56.9)	Other	—	1.9
			Foreign currency exchange rate changes	19.8	42.8	Benefit obligation at end of year	829.6	866.4
			Change in plan assets			Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	432.2	358.9
			Actual return on plan assets	33.4	34.0	Employer contributions	86.8	70.8
			Participant contributions	1.9	1.5	Settlements	(0.4)	(1.4)
			Benefits paid	(47.9)	(56.9)	Other	—	2.2
			Foreign currency exchange rate changes	10.1	23.1	Fair value of plan assets at end of year	516.1	432.2
			Funded status at measurement date	(313.5)	(434.2)	Employer contributions subsequent to measurement date	0.6	1.6
			Funded status at December 31	\$ (312.9)	\$ (432.6)			

86

14. Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans (continued)

Other Postretirement Benefits	2007	2006	Change in benefit obligation		Benefit obligation at beginning					
of year	\$ 163.8	\$ 161.8	Service cost	5.4	5.6	Interest cost	8.4	8.2	Participant contributions	6.6
	5.9	Medicare subsidy	0.6	0.4	Plan amendments	—	(3.5)	Actuarial (gain) loss	(22.2)	(4.9)
	0.4	1.9	Benefits paid	(12.2)	(11.6)	Benefit obligation at end of year	150.8	163.8	Change in plan	
assets	Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	—	—	Employer contributions	4.9	5.7	Participant			
	contributions	6.6	5.9	Benefits paid	(11.5)	(11.6)	Fair value of plan assets at end of year	—	—	
Funded status at measurement date	(150.8)	(163.8)	Employer contributions subsequent to measurement date	0.8	0.6	Funded status at December 31	\$ (150.0)	\$ (163.2)		

Amounts recognized in the balance sheets consist of:

	Pension Benefits	Other Postretirement								
Benefits	December 31,									
2007	December 31,									
2006	December 31,									
2007	December 31,									
2006	Other assets	\$ 4.9	\$ 0.5	\$ —	\$ —	Accrued compensation	(3.4)	(2.4)	(8.3)	(7.8)
	pension/postretirement benefits	(314.4)	(430.7)	(141.7)	(155.4)	Net amount recognized	\$ (312.9)	\$ (432.6)	\$ (150.0)	\$ (163.2)

The following amounts that have not yet been recognized in net pension expense and net other postretirement benefit expense are included in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31, 2007:

											Net
Prior											
Service Cost											
(Credit)	Net Actuarial										
Loss (Gain)	Pension benefits	\$ (21.7)	\$ (34.4)	Other postretirement benefits	(9.7)	(27.4)					

The accumulated benefit obligation for all defined benefit pension plans was \$666.5 million and \$723.7 million at November 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

14. Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans (continued)

The projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation, and fair value of plan assets for all defined benefit pension plans with projected benefit obligations in excess of plan assets were as follows:

2007	2006	Projected benefit obligation	\$ 815.2	\$ 854.0	Accumulated benefit obligation	656.5	716.2
		Fair value of plan assets	496.8	419.2			

The projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation, and fair value of plan assets for all defined benefit pension plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets were as follows:

2007	2006	Projected benefit obligation	\$ 772.2	\$ 851.4	Accumulated benefit obligation	622.9	714.3
		Fair value of plan assets	459.9	417.1			

Net pension expense for all defined benefit pension plans was comprised of:

Year ended December 31	2007	2006	2005	Service cost	\$ 28.6	\$ 29.5	\$ 28.2	Interest cost	45.3
	42.7	40.2		Expected return on plan assets	(33.8)	(29.6)	(25.1)	Amortization of prior service cost	0.1
	0.1	0.1		Amortization of net actuarial loss	0.7	0.5	—	Settlements and curtailments	0.5
	0.5			Net benefit expense	\$ 41.4	\$ 44.9	\$ 43.9		

Net other postretirement benefit expense was comprised of:

Year ended December 31	2007	2006	2005	Service cost	\$ 5.4	\$ 5.6	\$ 5.5	Interest cost	8.4	8.2
	8.7			Amortization of prior service credit	(4.7)	(4.0)	(4.0)	Amortization of net actuarial gain	(0.3)	
	(0.3)			—	Net benefit expense	\$ 8.8	\$ 9.5	\$ 10.2		

The following amounts included in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31, 2007 are expected to be recognized in net pension expense and net other postretirement benefit expense during the year ended December 31, 2008:

Net Prior Service (Credit)	Net Actuarial Loss (Gain)	Net pension expense	\$ (2.2)	\$ 0.3	Net other postretirement benefit expense	(4.7)	(1.0)
----------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------	----------	--------	--	-------	-------

14. Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans (continued)

The weighted-average assumptions used for the U.S. defined benefit plans as of the measurement date for each of the last two years were as follows:

Pension Benefits	Other Postretirement Benefits	2007	2006	2007	2006	Discount rates	6.25%	5.65%
6.45 %	5.65 %	Rates of increase in compensation levels	4.44%	3.94%	4.37 %	3.87 %		

The weighted-average assumptions used for the foreign defined benefit pension plans as of the measurement date for each of the last two years were as follows:

2006 Discount rates	5.78 %	4.78 %	Rates of increase in compensation levels	3.88 %	3.58 %	2007
---------------------	--------	--------	--	--------	--------	------

The weighted-average assumptions used to determine net pension and other postretirement benefit expense for the U.S. defined benefit plans were as follows:

Year ended December 31	2007	2006	2005	Discount rates	5.65 %	5.75 %	5.75 %	Rates of increase in compensation levels:
				Pension benefits	3.94 %	3.94 %	3.94 %	Other postretirement benefits
	3.87 %	3.87 %	3.87 %	Expected long-term return on plan assets	8.50 %	8.50 %	8.50 %	

The weighted-average assumptions used to determine net pension expense for the foreign defined benefit pension plans were as follows:

Year ended December 31	2007	2006	2005	Discount rates	4.80 %	4.80 %	5.17 %	Rates of increase in compensation levels
	3.62 %	3.40 %	3.36 %	Expected long-term return on plan assets	6.43 %	6.48 %	6.74 %	

The assets in the principal domestic pension plan are invested to obtain a reasonable long-term rate of return at an acceptable level of investment risk. Risk tolerance is established through careful consideration of plan liabilities, plan funded status, and corporate financial condition. Investment risk is measured and monitored on an ongoing basis through periodic investment portfolio reviews, liability measurements and asset/liability studies. A similar approach to assessing investment risk and obtaining reasonable investment returns is employed for the foreign pension plans.

The assets in the principal domestic pension plan are diversified across equity, fixed income and alternative investments such as hedge funds and private equity. The investment portfolio has target allocations of approximately 49% equity, 32% fixed income and 19% alternative investments. Other assets such as real estate may be used judiciously to enhance portfolio returns and diversification. The foreign pension plans have comparable asset allocation to the principal domestic plan, with some variances for local practices.

The expected long-term rate of return is established using historical market data for each asset class as well as the target allocation. Historical markets are analyzed and long-term historical relationships between equity and fixed income investments are preserved consistent with the widely accepted capital market principle that assets with higher volatility will generate a greater return over the long run. Active management is employed in most asset classes, which also contributes to the return assumption. The total weighted-average return on each asset class supports the long-term expected rate of return assumption.

89

14. Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans (continued)

The percentages of each major class of plan assets held by the principal domestic defined benefit pension plan as of the measurement date for each of the last two years and target allocations were as follows:

Actual	Target	Allocations	2007	2006	2007	2006	Equity securities	57.8 %	61.1 %	49.0 %	53.0
% Fixed income securities	29.9	31.2	32.0	32.0	Alternative investments	11.7	5.9	19.0	15.0		
Cash	0.6	1.8	—	—	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %			

The assumed health care cost trend rates used as of the measurement date for each of the last two years were as follows:

2007	2006	Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year	Pre-age 65	9.5 %	10.0 %	Post-age 65
10.5 %	11.0 %	Ultimate trend rate	Pre-age 65	6.0 %	6.0 %	Post-age 65 6.0 % 7.0 %
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate trend rate			Pre-age 65	2014	2011	Post-age 65 2015 2011

A one-percentage-point change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

One-Percentage-Point	Increase	Decrease	Effect on total of service and interest cost components	\$ 0.5	\$(0.5)
) Effect on postretirement benefit obligation	5.1	(4.9)			

We expect to contribute \$56.4 million to our pension plans and \$8.3 million to our other postretirement benefit plans in 2008.

The following estimated future benefit payments are expected to be paid in the years indicated:

Other Postretirement Benefits	Year	Pension
Subsidy	Net 2008	\$ 48.6
	2009	\$ 9.1
	2010	\$ 0.8
	2011	\$ 8.3
	2012	51.6
	2013	9.8
	2014	0.9
	2015	8.9
	2016	53.2
	2017	10.6
	2018	63.4
	2019	9.2
	2020	54.2
	2021	90

15. Equity Compensation Plans

The Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (the ‘‘Plan’’) was adopted to aid us in recruiting and retaining key employees, directors and consultants and to motivate them to exert their best efforts on our behalf. The Plan permits the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and other stock-based awards for up to 7.5 million shares of common stock.

Stock option awards granted in 2005, 2006 and 2007 have a contractual term of ten years, and vest ratably over four years. Option awards granted in 2005 began vesting on the first anniversary of the grant date, and will continue vesting on the anniversary date in the succeeding three years. Option awards granted in 2006 and 2007 began vesting on December 31 of the year granted, and will continue vesting on December 31 of the following three years. The exercise price of option awards is equal to the market price of Nalco Holding Company common stock on the date the awards are approved by our Board of Directors. The related grant date is determined pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), Share Based Payment.

The fair value of option awards was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model and the following assumptions:

2007	2006	2005	Expected life (years)	6.25	6.25	6.25	Risk-free interest rate	4.69%	4.96%	3.88%
Expected volatility	25.50%	34.15%	30.30%	Expected dividend yield	0.58%	0.73%	0.50%			

Because Nalco Holding Company has been a public company only since November 2004, there is limited historical data on the volatility of its common stock. As a result, the expected volatility of the 2005 option awards was estimated based on the average volatility of the common stock of a peer group of companies. The expected volatility of the 2006 and 2007 option awards was estimated using an implied volatility from traded options on Nalco Holding Company common stock. Since historical information concerning option exercise behavior by our employees is non-existent and such information is not readily available from a peer group of companies, the expected life was estimated using the ‘‘simplified method’’ permitted by Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 issued by the SEC.

The following table summarizes the status of option awards as of December 31, 2007, and changes during the year then ended:

Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value	Outstanding at January 1, 2007	228,183	\$ 17.62	Granted	81,094	24.01	Exercised	
—	—	Forfeited	(30,771)	20.90			Outstanding at December 31, 2007	278,506	19.12	8.3	

Edgar Filing: Nalco Holding CO - Form 10-K

years \$ 1.4 Exercisable at December 31, 2007 125,386 18.45 8.2 years \$ 0.7

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted during 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$8.15, \$6.48 and \$6.22, respectively.

Restricted stock awards are granted to non-management directors and certain key employees. Awards granted to non-management directors vest approximately two years after the grant date. Awards granted to key employees vest ratably over a period of two to four years following the grant date. The fair value of restricted stock awards is determined based on the market price of Nalco Holding Company common stock on the date of grant. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of restricted stock awards granted during 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$25.53, \$17.83 and \$19.18, respectively.

91

15. Equity Compensation Plans (continued)

The following table summarizes the status of restricted stock awards as of December 31, 2007, and changes during the year then ended:

Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Grant-Date						
Fair Value Restricted stock awards at January 1, 2007	77,998	\$ 18.00	Granted	47,978	25.53	Vested	
(36,305)	20.23	Forfeited	(11,010)	17.40	Restricted stock awards at December 31, 2007	78,661	
21.65							

We recognize compensation expense related to option and restricted stock awards on a straight-line basis over the vesting periods. As of December 31, 2007, there was \$2.2 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested option and restricted stock awards. We expect to recognize that cost over a weighted-average period of 2.2 years. The fair value of restricted stock awards that vested during 2007 and 2006 was \$0.9 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. No restricted stock awards vested in 2005, and no option awards were exercised in 2006 or 2005.

Performance share awards provide for the issuance of common stock to certain key employees if specified performance targets are achieved. The number of common shares that will be issued is dependent upon vesting and actual performance of the Company relative to certain financial targets approved by our Board of Directors, and could range from 0% to 150% of the performance shares granted. The performance shares vest over periods ranging from six to thirty-five months following the grant date. The fair value of performance share grants is determined based on the market price of Nalco Holding Company common stock on the date of grant, and the amount of compensation expense recognized reflects estimated forfeiture rates and management's assessment of the probability that performance goals will be achieved. We recognize compensation expense related to performance share grants ratably over the vesting periods.

The following table summarizes the status of performance share awards as of December 31, 2007, and changes during the year then ended:

Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Grant-Date						
Fair Value Performance share awards at January 1, 2007	190,595	\$ 16.86	Granted	189,412	24.01	Vested	
(46,535)	16.86	Forfeited	(59,076)	18.83	Performance share awards at December 31, 2007	274,396	
21.37							

There was \$3.9 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to performance share awards as of December 31, 2007, which we expect to recognize over a weighted-average period of 1.8 years. The fair value of performance share awards that vested during 2007 and 2006 was \$1.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. No performance shares were granted prior to 2006.

During 2004, Nalco LLC, wholly owned by the Sponsors and our direct parent company at the time, established the Nalco LLC 2004 Unit Plan (the “Unit Plan”) for purposes of (i) attracting and retaining exceptional officers and other key employees, non-employee directors and consultants and (ii) enabling such individuals to acquire an equity interest in Nalco LLC and to participate in its and our long-term growth and financial success.

92

15. Equity Compensation Plans (continued)

During 2004, Nalco LLC granted certain of our officers and key employees rights to purchase a designated number of one or more classes of equity interests (“Units”) in Nalco LLC. Those officers and key employees who elected to purchase such Units did so at the Units’ fair value, discounted for any vesting provisions, as determined by a valuation consultant, since Nalco LLC is a private company and there is no public market for its shares.

The Units subject to the Unit Plan include class A, class B, class C, and class D Units. The class A Units were fully vested at the time of purchase by an employee and have economic characteristics that are similar to those of shares of common stock in a private corporation. The class B, class C, and class D Units are subject to vesting provisions, meaning that in order for such Units to be entitled to distributions or other benefits, an employee will have to continue to provide services for a certain period of time. The Unit Plan was modified in 2006 to reduce the vesting period for class C and class D Units and to eliminate provisions that accelerated the vesting of those Units if specified EBITDA performance targets were achieved. The modifications did not result in incremental compensation expense.

Though Nalco LLC established the Unit Plan, we account for the Unit Plan since its economic substance is substantially the same for our employees and us. As of December 31, 2007, there was \$0.1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to the Unit Plan, which we expect to recognize over a weighted-average period of 1.0 years.

Compensation cost charged to earnings for all equity compensation plans discussed above was \$3.5 million, \$1.8 million and \$0.3 million for 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The total income tax benefit recognized in the consolidated statement of operations was \$1.3 million, \$0.7 million and \$0.1 million for 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

We also recognized a \$5.3 million non-cash charge to earnings during 2007 that resulted from modifying the terms of some Unit Plan awards for certain exiting employees. This charge has been reported as part of business optimization expenses in the consolidated statement of operations. Due to the tax attributes of the Unit Plan, no tax benefit was recognized for this expense that resulted from modifying the terms of the awards.

In November 2007, it was announced that Dr. William H. Joyce, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, had stated his intention to retire on December 30, 2007. At the same time, it was also announced that we had entered into an amended Employment and Consulting Agreement with Dr. Joyce concerning his remaining service as CEO through the remainder of 2007, his consulting services through March 2008, and certain compensation matters. As part of the agreement, Dr. Joyce received a grant of nonvested common stock valued at \$12.0 million. Vesting of the stock will be subject to certain performance and other conditions.

Because Dr. Joyce is not required to provide substantive services to us subsequent to 2007 and vesting of the stock is considered probable, we charged the entire \$12.0 million of compensation expense to earnings and recognized an income tax benefit of \$4.6 million in 2007. Because the grant includes a provision requiring cash settlement in the event of the death of Dr. Joyce, it is classified with other liabilities in the balance sheet until settled.

16. Shareholders' Equity

Shareholders' equity consists of the following:

December 31,

2007 December 31,

2006 Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share; authorized 100,000,000 shares; none issued	\$ —	\$ —	Common				
stock, par value \$0.01 per share; authorized 500,000,000 shares; 144,377,068 shares and 143,055,013 shares issued at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively	1.4	1.4	Additional paid-in capital	749.7	740.9		
Treasury stock, at cost; 4,588,500 shares at December 31, 2007	(108.0)	—	Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	100.7	(16.2)	Accumulated other comprehensive income:	Net prior service credit
							20.4
8.6 Net actuarial gain (loss)	46.1	(11.4)	Derivatives	(1.9)	(1.3)	Currency translation adjustments	
309.4	168.9	374.0	164.8	\$ 1,117.8	\$ 890.9		

In November 2004, a warrant to purchase, for \$0.01 per share, up to 6,191,854 shares of Nalco Holding Company common stock was issued as part of a dividend to Nalco LLC, our sole stockholder on the record date of the dividend. The warrant enables Nalco LLC to deliver shares to members of our management who have the right to put, or sell, their vested class B, class C and class D Units to Nalco LLC. Subject to limited exceptions, the warrant becomes exercisable upon the occurrence of the same specified events applicable to the vesting of the Nalco LLC class B Units, class C Units and class D Units (except that there is no service requirement comparable to that applicable to the individual holders of the class B, class C and class D Units). The warrant terminates and becomes void as of the date it becomes exercised in full. Nalco LLC exercised warrants to acquire 1,265,806 and 310,917 shares of Nalco Holding Company common stock during 2007 and 2006, respectively. At December 31, 2007, up to 3,541,049 shares of Nalco Holding Company common stock could be purchased by Nalco LLC under the warrant, subject to certain vesting conditions. The amount includes 764,407 shares of common stock that would be required to be deposited into an escrow account under the terms of the warrant. Nalco Holding Company would beneficially own such shares, which would be used solely for the purpose of delivering shares of common stock pursuant to incentive compensation plans.

On July 31, 2007, our Board of Directors authorized a \$300 million share repurchase program, and gave our management discretion in determining the conditions under which shares may be purchased from time to time. The program has no stated expiration date. We repurchased 4,588,500 shares at a cost of \$108.0 million during 2007.

17. Financial Instruments and Risk Management

We use derivative instruments to manage well-defined foreign exchange and energy cost exposures. All derivative instruments are recognized in the consolidated balance sheets at fair value. Changes in the fair value of derivatives that are not hedges are recognized in income as they occur. If the derivative instruments are designated as hedges, depending on their nature, the effective portions of changes in their fair values are either offset in income against the changes in the fair values of the items being hedged, or reflected initially as a separate component of shareholders' equity and subsequently recognized in income when the hedged items are recognized in income. The ineffective portions of changes in the fair values of derivative instruments designated as hedges are immediately recognized in income. Derivative instruments are not held or issued for trading or speculative purposes.

17. Financial Instruments and Risk Management (continued)

We are exposed to credit-related losses in the event of nonperformance by counterparties to derivative financial instruments, but we do not expect any counterparties to fail to meet their obligations given their high credit ratings.

Foreign Exchange Risk Management

We have used various types of foreign exchange contracts, including currency swaps and forward exchange contracts, to manage foreign exchange risk. In addition, we use certain foreign currency debt as a hedge of the foreign currency exposure of a portion of our net investment in foreign operations.

Upon issuance, we designated the €200.0 million senior notes, the €200.0 million senior subordinated notes, and the €88.0 million term loan as a hedge of our net investment in subsidiary companies whose assets, liabilities, and operations are measured using the euro as their functional currency. Because of the high degree of effectiveness between the hedging instruments and the exposure being hedged, fluctuations in the value of the euro-denominated debt due to exchange rate changes are offset by changes in the net investment. Accordingly, changes in the value of the euro-denominated debt are recognized in foreign currency translation adjustment, a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, to offset changes in the value of our net investment in subsidiary companies whose financial statements are measured using the euro as their functional currency.

We formally assess, on a quarterly basis, whether the euro-denominated debt is effective at offsetting changes in the value of the underlying exposure. No hedge ineffectiveness was recorded in income during 2007, 2006 and 2005. Gains and losses from the net investment hedge reported as a component of other comprehensive income in the foreign currency translation adjustment account were as follows:

Year ended December 31	2007	2006	2005	Gain (loss) before tax	\$ (71.0)	\$ (63.3)	\$ 85.3	Income tax
(benefit)	(27.0)	(24.1)	31.9	Net gain (loss)	\$ (44.0)	\$ (39.2)	\$ 53.4	

Certain of our forward exchange contracts at December 31, 2007 were designated as cash flow hedges of the variability of the cash flows from forecasted 2008 royalty payments due to changes in foreign exchange rates.

The fair value of all forward exchange contracts was a net liability of \$3.0 million at December 31, 2007, and a net asset of \$0.4 million at December 31, 2006. We expect to reclassify \$2.7 million of pretax losses on cash flow hedges of anticipated foreign currency transactions from accumulated other comprehensive income to earnings during 2008, when the hedged transaction affects earnings. Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income are recorded with foreign currency exchange adjustments in other income (expense).

Energy Cost Risk Management

During 2006, we began entering into derivative instruments such as commodity forward contracts to manage our exposure to fluctuations in the cost of natural gas used in our business. These instruments are designated as cash flow hedges, with changes in their fair values included in other comprehensive income to the extent the hedges are effective. Amounts included in other comprehensive income are reclassified into cost of product sold in the period during which the hedged transaction is recognized in earnings. Hedge ineffectiveness was immaterial in 2007 and 2006. The fair value of these contracts was a net liability of \$0.4 million and \$2.4 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006. Contracts outstanding at December 31, 2007 were short-term in nature, with the maximum hedge period not

exceeding one year. We expect to reclassify \$0.4 million of pretax losses on cash flow hedges of anticipated 2008 natural gas purchases from accumulated other comprehensive income to earnings during 2008.

95

18. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, trade accounts receivable, accounts payable and short-term debt approximate their fair values at December 31, 2007 and 2006, because of the short-term maturities and nature of these balances.

Long-term debt

The fair value of our senior notes, senior subordinated notes and senior discount notes was estimated based on their quoted market prices. The carrying value of amounts outstanding under our senior secured credit facilities is considered to approximate fair value because interest accrues at rates which fluctuate with interest rate trends. The carrying value of other long-term debt outstanding, other than the 6.25% fixed rate unsecured notes, also approximates fair value due to the variable nature of their interest rates. The fair value of the 6.25% fixed rate unsecured notes was based on its quoted market price or the quoted market price for similar debt instruments.

The estimated fair value of long-term debt at December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$3,219.7 million and \$3,117.6 million, respectively, and the related carrying value was \$3,193.7 million and \$3,038.6 million, respectively.

Derivatives

The fair values of derivative financial instruments, as disclosed in Note 17, were estimated based on current settlement prices and quoted market prices of comparable contracts and represent their carrying values.

19. Business Optimization Expenses

We continuously redesign and optimize our business and work processes. Business process optimization expenses, representing mostly employee severance and related costs, were \$15.3 million, \$9.5 million and \$25.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

20. Summary of Other Income (Expense)

The components of other income (expense), net in the statements of operations include the following:

Year ended December 31	2007	2006	2005	Franchise taxes	\$ (3.0)	\$ (2.5)	\$ (1.4)	Equity in earnings
of unconsolidated subsidiaries	1.4	3.5	1.6	Foreign currency exchange adjustments	(1.1)	(3.6)		
5.2 Other income (expense), net	(2.1)	(1.8)	(2.3)		\$ (4.8)	\$ (4.4)	\$ 3.1	

21. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net earnings by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock.

21. Earnings Per Share (continued)

Basic and diluted earnings per share were calculated as follows:

Year ended December 31	2007	2006	2005 (in millions)						
share:									
Net earnings		\$ 129.0	\$ 98.9	\$ 47.8					
Denominator for basic earnings per share – weighted average common shares outstanding		143.2	143.0	141.7					
Effect of dilutive securities:									
Stock purchase warrant	3.4	3.7	4.9						
Share-based compensation plans					0.1	—	—		
Denominator for diluted earnings per share	146.7	146.7	146.6						

22. Segment Information

We provide integrated water treatment and process improvement services for industrial and institutional applications, using technologically advanced solutions, combining chemical products and equipment, and consistent, reliable on-site service and expertise.

These solutions and services enable our customers to improve production yields, lower manufacturing costs, extend asset lives and maintain environmental standards at costs that represent a small share of their overall production expense.

We are organized based on the end markets we serve. The organization is comprised of the following reportable segments:

Industrial and Institutional Services — This segment serves the global water treatment and process chemical needs of the industrial, institutional, and municipal markets.

Energy Services — This segment serves the process chemicals and water treatment needs of the global petroleum and petrochemical industries in both upstream and downstream applications.

Paper Services — This segment serves the process chemicals and water treatment needs of the global pulp and paper industry.

Other — This segment serves the alternative channels to market, supply chain activities, and certain other operating expenses not allocated to a segment. It also includes our subsidiary in India and the Katayama Nalco joint venture.

We evaluate the performance of our segments based on “direct contribution”, which is defined as net sales, less cost of products sold (excluding variances to standard costs), selling and service expenses, marketing expenses, research expenses and “capital charges” directly attributable to each segment. Each segment is assessed an internal non-GAAP “capital charge” based on trade accounts receivable, inventories and equipment specifically identifiable to the segment. The capital charges included in each segment’s direct contribution are eliminated to arrive at our consolidated direct contribution. There are no intersegment revenues. Prior year data have been reclassified between segments to conform to the current year presentation.

22. Segment Information (continued)

Net sales by reportable segment were as follows:

Year ended December 31	2007	2006	2005	Industrial and Institutional Services	\$ 1,760.9	\$ 1,605.1	\$		
1,497.4	Energy Services	1,180.1	1,053.2	901.0	Paper Services	748.4	722.9	699.2	Other
223.1	221.4	214.8	Net sales	\$ 3,912.5	\$ 3,602.6	\$ 3,312.4			

The following table presents direct contribution by reportable segment and reconciles the total segment direct contribution to earnings before income taxes and minority interests:

Year ended December 31	2007	2006	2005	Segment direct contribution:			Industrial and		
Institutional Services	\$ 387.6	\$ 360.6	\$ 329.3	Energy Services	257.8	225.2	173.9	Paper Services	
118.2	115.2	118.7	Other	(71.7)	(56.1)	(75.6)	Capital charge elimination	86.2	77.1
79.1	Total segment direct contribution	778.1	722.0	625.4	Expenses not allocated to segments:				
	Administrative expenses	224.5	209.4	174.9	Amortization of intangible assets	62.1	70.1	81.6	
	Business optimization expenses	15.3	9.5	25.6	Operating earnings	476.2	433.0	343.3	Other
	income (expense), net	(4.8)	(4.4)	3.1	Interest income	9.1	9.1	8.4	Interest expense
	(272.0)	(258.0)	Earnings before income taxes and minority interests	\$ 206.5	\$ 165.7	\$ 96.8			

Administrative expenses primarily represent the cost of support functions, including information technology, finance, human resources and legal, as well as expenses for support facilities, executive management and management incentive plans.

We have a single supply chain organization that serves all the reportable segments. As such, asset and capital expenditure information by reportable segment has not been reported and is not available, since we do not produce such information internally. In addition, although depreciation expense is a component of each reportable segment's direct contribution, it is not discretely identifiable.

Net sales by geographic region were as follows:

Year ended December 31	2007	2006	2005	United States	\$ 1,759.6	\$ 1,626.3	\$ 1,494.3	Other Americas
488.1	441.8	380.7	Europe/Middle East/Africa	1,103.2	1,036.0	977.5	Asia/Pacific	561.6
498.5	459.9	\$ 3,912.5	\$ 3,602.6	\$ 3,312.4				

98

22. Segment Information (continued)

Long-lived assets by geographic region were as follows:

December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006	United States	\$ 2,515.2	\$ 2,588.8	Other Americas	434.4	396.2	Europe/Middle East/Africa
			1,248.8	1,133.9	Asia/Pacific	391.7	370.2	\$ 4,590.1
								\$ 4,489.1

Net sales by geographic area were determined based on origin of sale. Geographic data on long-lived assets is based on physical location of those assets. There were no sales from a single foreign country that were material to our consolidated net sales.

23. Contingencies and Litigation

Various claims, lawsuits and administrative proceedings are pending or threatened against us, arising from the ordinary course of business with respect to commercial, contract, intellectual property, product liability, employee, environmental and other matters. Historically, these matters have not had a material impact on our consolidated financial position. However, we cannot predict the outcome of any litigation or the potential for future litigation.

We have been named as a potentially responsible party (PRP) by the Environmental Protection Agency or state enforcement agencies at five waste sites where some financial contribution is or may be required. These agencies have also identified many other parties who may be responsible for clean up costs at these waste disposal sites. We are also remediating a small ground contamination that we discovered at our plants in Colombia and Venezuela, and we recently paid a small fine for a release at our plant in Botany, Australia. Our financial contribution to remediate these sites is not expected to be material. There has been no significant financial impact on us up to the present, nor is it anticipated that there will be in the future, as a result of these matters. We have made and will continue to make provisions for these costs if our liability becomes probable and when costs can be reasonably estimated.

Our undiscounted reserves for known environmental clean up costs were \$1.9 million at December 31, 2007. These environmental reserves represent our current estimate of our proportional clean-up costs (and the cost to remediate the Colombia site) and are based upon negotiation and agreement with enforcement agencies, our previous experience with respect to clean-up activities, a detailed review by us of known conditions, and information about other PRPs. They are not reduced by any possible recoveries from insurance companies or other PRPs not specifically identified. Although we cannot determine whether or not a material effect on future operations is reasonably likely to occur, given the evolving nature of environmental regulations, we believe that the recorded reserve levels are appropriate estimates of the potential liability. Although settlement will require future cash outlays, it is not expected that such outlays will materially impact our liquidity position.

Expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2007, relating to environmental compliance and clean up activities, were not significant.

We have been named as a defendant in lawsuits based on claimed involvement in the supply of allegedly defective or hazardous materials and the claimed presence of hazardous substances at our plants. We have also been named as a defendant in lawsuits where our products have not caused injuries, but the claimants seek amounts so they might be monitored in the future for potential injuries arising from our products. The plaintiffs in these cases seek damages for

alleged personal injury or potential injury resulting from exposure to our products or other chemicals. These matters have had a de minimis impact on our business historically, and we do not anticipate these matters will present any material risk to our business in the future. Notwithstanding, we cannot predict the outcome of any such lawsuits or the involvement we might have in these matters in the future.

99

23. Contingencies and Litigation (continued)

On November 27, 2006, the U.K. Health and Safety Executive (“HSE”) issued a criminal summons charging our U.K. subsidiary with a violation of the Health and Safety at Work Act. The summons was re-issued in the Crown Court of Worcester on July 23, 2007. The charge relates to a Legionella outbreak that is claimed to have originated at cooling towers owned by one of the subsidiary’s customers. The Legionella outbreak is believed to have resulted in two fatalities and multiple injuries. The customer, H. P. Bulmer Limited, is also charged. Our subsidiary entered a guilty plea to a portion of the charge, exposing non-employees to a health risk, on September 3, 2007. Similarly, our subsidiary’s customer submitted a guilty plea. Our subsidiary has presented a position paper and mitigation statement and is awaiting a ruling on the amount of a penalty. We have established an accrual in accordance with SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, that we consider appropriate in the circumstances. Based on information currently available, we do not believe that the outcome of this matter will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In the ordinary course of our business, we are also a party to a number of lawsuits and are subject to various claims relating to trademarks, employee matters, contracts, transactions, chemicals and other matters, the outcome of which, in our opinion, should not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position. However, we cannot predict the outcome of any litigation or the potential for future litigation. Were an unfavorable ruling to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the results of operations for the period in which the ruling occurs. We maintain accruals where the outcome of the matter is probable and can be reasonably estimated.

24. Quarterly Results of Operations (Unaudited)

First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Fourth Quarter(1) 2007	Net sales	\$ 909.3	\$ 970.9	\$ 998.2	\$ 1,034.1	Cost of product sold			
503.5	536.7	548.2	565.7		Business optimization expenses	—	2.3	7.2	5.8	Earnings before			
					income taxes and minority interests	36.2	57.2	62.5	50.6	Net earnings			
					Net earnings per share:					19.6	41.8	36.5	31.1
					Basic	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.22	Diluted	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.28	
						\$ 0.25	\$ 0.22						

First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Fourth Quarter 2006	Net sales	\$ 849.4	\$ 891.0	\$ 915.4	\$ 946.8	Cost of product sold			
499.5	506.2	511.6			Business optimization expenses	3.8	1.8	3.0	0.9	Earnings before income			
					taxes and minority interests	18.4	37.7	48.6	61.0	Net earnings			
					earnings per share:					8.8	21.5	30.7	37.9
					Basic	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.26	Diluted	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.15	\$
						0.21	\$ 0.26						

(1) Cost of product sold was reduced by a \$12.3 million insurance settlement for lost profitability caused by hurricanes during

2005. Earnings before income taxes and minority interests include the net impact of the \$12.3 million insurance settlement and a \$12.0 million charge resulting from a grant of nonvested common stock to Dr. William H. Joyce, our Chairman and CEO, who retired on December 30, 2007.

100

ITEM 9.

CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a)

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.

Our principal executive officer and chief financial officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of our “disclosure controls and procedures” (as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period, has concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective and designed to ensure that material information relating to Nalco Holding Company and its consolidated subsidiaries would be made known to him by others within those entities as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

(b)

Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the principal executive officer and chief financial officer, an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting was conducted as of December 31, 2007 based on the framework in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on that evaluation, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2007.

The Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report, which is included in Item 8.

(c)

Changes in internal controls over financial reporting.

There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the fourth quarter of 2007 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

There was no information required to be disclosed in a report on Form 8-K during the fourth quarter of 2007 that was not previously reported.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Information regarding our executive officers is included in Item 1 of Part I of this report.

Information with respect to our directors, included under the headings “What is the composition of the Board of Directors and how often are members elected?”, “Who are this year’s nominees?”, “Class II Directors — Terms Expiring in 2009”, and “Class III Directors — Terms Expiring in 2010” in the Proxy Statement, is incorporated herein by reference.

Information with respect to the Audit Committee and Audit Committee financial experts, included under the heading “What are the committees of the board?” in the Proxy Statement, is incorporated herein by reference.

Information regarding Section 16(a) compliance, included under the heading “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance” in the Proxy Statement, is incorporated herein by reference.

Information regarding our code of ethics, included under the heading “Code of Ethical Business Conduct and Officer Code of Ethics” in the Proxy Statement, is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information with respect to compensation of our executive officers and directors, included under the heading “COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS” in the Proxy Statement, is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12.
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information with respect to security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management, included under the heading “STOCK OWNERSHIP INFORMATION” in the Proxy Statement, is incorporated herein by reference.

Information with respect to the number of shares of our common stock that could be issued under equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2007, included in the table titled “EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION” in the Proxy Statement, is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13.
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information regarding certain relationships and related transactions, and director independence, included under the headings “How does the Board determine which directors are considered independent?” and “Are there any other material business relationships with entities associated with any of the Company’s Directors or Executive Officers or any other “related persons”?” in the Proxy Statement, is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14.
PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Information with respect to principal accounting fees and services, included under the heading “What fees did the Company pay to Ernst & Young LLP for audit and other services for fiscal years 2007 and 2006?” in the Proxy

Statement, is incorporated herein by reference.

102

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) (1) Financial Statements

The following consolidated financial statements of Nalco Holding Company and subsidiaries are filed as part of this report under Item 8 — Financial Statements and Supplementary Data:

Consolidated Balance Sheets — December 31, 2007 and 2006

Consolidated Statements of Operations — Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity — Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows — Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(a) (2) Financial statement schedules:

Schedule I — Condensed Financial Information

Schedule II — Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

All other financial statement schedules are not required under the relevant instructions or are inapplicable and therefore have been omitted.

103

Schedule I – Condensed Financial Information

Nalco Holding Company (Parent Company Only)

Condensed Balance Sheets
 December 31, 2007 and 2006
 (dollars in millions)

December 31,									
2007	December 31,								
2006	Investment in subsidiaries	\$ 1,085.0	\$ 855.1	Deferred tax asset	51.1	38.6	Total Assets	\$ 1,136.1	
	\$ 893.7	Dividends payable	\$ 4.9	\$ —	Interest payable to subsidiaries	0.2	—	Notes payable to subsidiaries	
	13.2	2.8	Total shareholders' equity	1,117.8	890.9	Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$ 1,136.1		
	\$ 893.7								

See accompanying note to condensed financial statements.

Schedule I – Condensed Financial Information – Continued

Nalco Holding Company (Parent Company Only)

Condensed Statements of Operations

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

(dollars in millions)

Years ended December 31	2007	2006	2005	Net Sales	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	Operating costs and expenses:			
				Selling, administrative, and research expenses	—	—	1.0	Total operating costs and expenses	—	—	1.0
				Operating earnings (loss)	—	—	(1.0)	Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	117.1	88.3	38.8
				Other expenses	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.9)	Interest expense to subsidiaries	(0.5)	(0.2)	(0.1)
				Earnings before income taxes	116.5	88.0	36.8	Income tax provision (benefit)	(12.5)	(10.9)	(11.0)
				Net earnings	\$ 129.0	\$ 98.9	\$ 47.8				

See accompanying note to condensed financial statements.

Schedule I – Condensed Financial Information – Continued

Nalco Holding Company (Parent Company Only)

Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

(dollars in millions)

Year ended December 31	2007	2006	2005	Operating activities	Net earnings	\$ 129.0	\$ 98.9
\$ 47.8	Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(117.1)	(88.3)	(38.8)	Dividends from subsidiaries	113.1	
—	—	Deferred income taxes	(12.5)	(10.9)	(11.0)	Other	0.2
—	—	0.1	Net cash provided by (used for)	operating activities	112.7	(0.3)	(1.9)
10.4	0.3	1.8	Investing activities	Advances from subsidiaries			
10.4	0.3	1.8	Net cash provided by investing activities	10.4	0.3	1.8	Financing activities
			Cash dividends	(15.1)	—	—	Purchases of treasury stock
			(123.1)	—	—	(108.0)	—
			Decrease in cash	—	—	(0.1)	Cash at beginning of period
			—	—	0.1	Cash at the end of period	\$ — \$ — \$ —

See accompanying note to condensed financial statements.

Schedule I – Condensed Financial Information – Continued

Nalco Holding Company (Parent Company Only)

Note to Condensed Financial Statements

December 31, 2007

1. Basis of Presentation

Under the terms of agreements governing indebtedness of certain subsidiaries of Nalco Holding Company (the “Company”), such subsidiaries are significantly restricted from making dividend payments, loans or advances to the Company. These restrictions have resulted in the restricted net assets (as defined in Rule 4-08(e)(3) of Regulation S-X) of the Company’s subsidiaries exceeding 25% of the consolidated net assets of the Company and its subsidiaries.

The unaudited financial statements for Nalco Holding Company (Parent Company Only) summarize the results of operations and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, and the financial position as of December 31, 2007 and 2006. In these statements, the Company’s investment in subsidiaries is stated at cost plus equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries since the date of acquisition, November 4, 2003. The Company’s share of net income of its unconsolidated subsidiaries is included in consolidated income using the equity method. The Nalco Holding Company parent-company-only financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements of Nalco Holding Company and subsidiaries.

107

Schedule II – Valuation and Qualifying Accounts
 Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

Increase (Decrease) of Period	Additions Charged to Costs and Expenses	Other Changes Charged to Other Accounts Currency Translation Adjustments	Other	Balance at Beginning of Period	Balance at End of Period
					Year Ended December 31, 2007
4.3	\$ —	\$ 1.0	\$ (4.8)	(1)	\$ 19.5
					Year Ended December 31, 2006
—	88.1				\$ 19.0
\$ 5.1	\$ —	\$ 1.1	\$ (3.8)	(1)	\$ 19.0
4.1	—	75.2			Year Ended December 31, 2005
21.1	\$ 2.8	\$ —	\$ (0.9)	\$ (6.4)	(1)
11.9	(3)	(2.8)	—	51.4	

(1)

Account write-offs net of recoveries. (2) Reclassification from deferred tax assets. (3) Additional allowance resulting from the Acquisition charged to goodwill of \$0.6 and reclassification from deferred tax assets of \$11.3.

(a) (3)

Exhibits required to be filed by Item 601 of Regulation S-K:

2.1 Stock

Purchase Agreement among Nalco Holdings LLC (formerly known as Blackstone/Neptune Acquisition Company L.L.C.), Leo Holding Company and Nalco International SAS, dated as of August 31, 2003, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 2.1 of the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Nalco Company filed on May 17, 2004 (File No. 333-115560). 3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Nalco Holding Company, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 3.1 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on November 18, 2004 (File No. 001-32342). 3.2 Amended and Restated By-laws of Nalco Holding Company, which are incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on May 7, 2007 (File No. 001-32342). 4.1 Form of Certificate of Common Stock, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 4.1 of Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of Nalco Holding Company filed on October 13, 2004 (File No. 333-118583). 4.2 Indenture, dated as of May 1, 1998, between Nalco Chemical Company and The Chase Manhattan Bank, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 4.1 of the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Nalco Company filed on May 17, 2004 (File No. 333-115560). 4.3 First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 3, 1999, by and between Nalco Chemical Company and The Chase Manhattan Bank, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 4.2 of the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Nalco Company filed on May 17, 2004 (File No. 333-115560). 4.4 Senior Notes Indenture, dated as of November 4, 2003, among Nalco Company, the Guarantors named therein and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 4.3 of the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Nalco Company filed on May 17, 2004 (File No. 333-115560). 4.5 Senior Notes Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 12, 2003, among Nalco Company, the guarantors named therein and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 4.4 of the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Nalco Company filed on May 17, 2004 (File No. 333-115560). 4.6 Senior Subordinated Notes Indenture, dated as of November 4, 2003, among Nalco Company, the Guarantors named therein and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 4.5 of the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Nalco Company filed on May 17, 2004 (File No. 333-115560). 4.7 Senior Subordinated Notes Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 12, 2003, among Nalco Company, the guarantors named therein and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 4.6 of the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Nalco Company filed on May 17, 2004 (File No. 333-115560). 4.8 Indenture, dated as of January 21, 2004 among Nalco Finance Holdings LLC, Nalco Finance Holdings Inc. and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 4.7 of the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Nalco Company filed on May 17, 2004 (File No. 333-115560).

109

10.1 Credit

Agreement, dated as of November 4, 2003, among Nalco Holdings LLC, Nalco Company, as U.S. Borrower, the Foreign Subsidiary Borrowers from time to time party thereto, the Lenders party thereto, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Banc of America Securities LLC, as Global Coordinators, Citicorp North America, Inc., as Administrative Agent, Bank of America, N.A., as Documentation Agent, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Goldman Sachs Credit Partners L.P. and UBS Securities LLC, as Co-Syndication Agents, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Banc of America Securities LLC, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Goldman Sachs Credit Partners L.P. and UBS Securities LLC, as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Managers, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.1 of the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Nalco Company filed on May 17, 2004 (File No. 333-115560). 10.2 U.S. Guarantee and Collateral Agreement, dated as of November 4, 2003, among Nalco Holdings LLC, Nalco Company, each domestic subsidiary of Nalco Holdings LLC named therein and Citicorp North America, Inc., as Collateral Agent, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.2 of the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Nalco Company filed on May 17, 2004 (File No. 333-115560). 10.3 Guarantee Agreement, dated as of August 31, 2003, between Suez and Blackstone/Neptune Acquisition Company L.L.C., which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.3 of the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Nalco Company filed on May 17, 2004 (File No. 333-115560). 10.4 Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of November 4, 2003 between Suez and Nalco Company, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.5 of the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Nalco Company filed on May 17, 2004 (File No. 333-115560). 10.5 Sublease Agreement, dated as of November 4, 2003 between Leo Holding Company, as sublandlord and Ondeo Nalco Company, as subtenant, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.6 of the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Nalco Company filed on May 17, 2004 (File No. 333-115560). 10.6 Transaction Fee Agreement, dated as of November 4, 2003, among Nalco Company, Goldman, Sachs & Co., Apollo Management V, L.P., and Blackstone Management Partners IV L.L.C., which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.7 of the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Nalco Company filed on May 17, 2004 (File No. 333-115560). 10.7 Monitoring Fee Agreement, dated as of November 4, 2003, between Nalco Company, Blackstone Management Partners IV L.L.C., Apollo Management V, L.P. and Goldman, Sachs & Co., which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.8 of the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Nalco Company filed on May 17, 2004 (File No. 333-115560). 10.8 Amendment No. 1, dated as of November 4, 2003 to the Monitoring Fee Agreement, dated as of November 4, 2003, among Nalco Company, Blackstone Management Partners IV L.L.C., Apollo Management V, L.P. and Goldman, Sachs & Co. which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.9 of the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Nalco Company filed on May 17, 2004 (File No. 333-115560). 10.9 Sponsor Services Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2004, amending and restating the Monitoring Fee Agreement, among Nalco Company, Blackstone Management Partners IV L.L.C., Apollo Management V, L.P. and Goldman, Sachs & Co., which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.2 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on November 18, 2004 (File No. 001-32342).

10 .10†

Severance Agreement, effective as of January 1, 2004, between Nalco Company and William J. Roe, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.10 of Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Nalco Company filed on August 11, 2004 (File No. 333-115560). 10 .11† Employment Agreement, effective as of November 1, 2003, between Nalco Company and Bradley J. Bell, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.11 of the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Nalco Company filed on May 17, 2004 (File No. 333-115560). 10 .12† Severance Agreement, effective as of July 1, 2005, between Nalco Company and Gregory N. Nelson, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.13 of the Annual Report Form 10-K Nalco Holding Company filed on March 1, 2007 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .13 Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 25, 2004, among Nalco Company, Nalco Energy Services, L.P. and Nalco Receivables LLC, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.16 of Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Nalco Company filed on August 11, 2004 (File No. 333-115560). 10 .14 Receivables Transfer Agreement, dated as of June 25, 2004, among Nalco Receivables LLC, Nalco Company, JPMorgan Chase Bank and the several transferees and funding agents party hereto from time to time, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.17 of Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Nalco Company filed on August 11, 2004 (File No. 333-115560). 10 .15† Employment Agreement, dated as of August 3, 2004, between Nalco LLC and William H. Joyce, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.18 of Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of Nalco Company filed on August 11, 2004 (File No. 333-115560). 10 .16† Nalco LLC 2004 Unit Plan, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.24 of the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of Nalco Holding Company filed on August 26, 2004 (File No. 333-118583). 10 .17 Nalco LLC Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement, dated May 17, 2004, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.25 of the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of Nalco Holding Company filed on August 26, 2004 (File No. 333-118583). 10 .18 Warrant Agreement, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.4 on Form 8-K of the Nalco Holding Company filed on November 18, 2004 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .19 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2004, among Nalco Holding Company, Nalco LLC and the other parties named therein, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.1 on Form 8-K of the Nalco Holding Company filed on November 18, 2004 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .20 Stockholders Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2004, between Nalco Holding Company and Nalco LLC, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.3 on Form 8-K of the Nalco Holding Company filed on November 18, 2004 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .21† Amended and Restated Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, which is incorporated herein by reference from Annex A on Form Defr14a of Nalco Holding Company filed on April 18, 2007 (File No. 001-32342).

111

10 .22†

Management Members Agreement (Class A units), dated as of June 11, 2004, between Nalco LLC and William H. Joyce, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.30 of the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of Nalco Holding Company filed on August 26, 2004 (File No. 333-118583). 10 .23† Management Members Agreement (Class A units), dated as of June 11, 2004, between Nalco LLC and Bradley J. Bell, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.31 of the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of Nalco Holding Company filed on August 26, 2004 (File No. 333-118583). 10 .24† Management Members Agreement (class B, class C and class D Units), dated as of June 11, 2004, between Nalco LLC and William H. Joyce, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.35 of the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of Nalco Holding Company filed on August 26, 2004 (File No. 333-118583). 10 .25† Management Members Agreement (class B, class C and class D Units), dated as of June 11, 2004, between Nalco LLC and Bradley J. Bell, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.36 of the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of Nalco Holding Company filed on August 26, 2004 (File No. 333-118583). 10 .26† Management Members Agreement (class B, class C and class D Units), dated as of June 11, 2004, between Nalco LLC and William J. Roe, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.37 of the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of Nalco Holding Company filed on August 26, 2004 (File No. 333-118583). 10 .27† Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement, dated as of May 6, 2005, between Nalco Holding Company and Gregory N. Nelson, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.29 of the Annual Report Form 10-K Nalco Holding Company filed on March 1, 2007 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .28† Form of Nalco Company Death Benefit Agreement and Addendum to Death Benefit Agreement, effective January 17, 2005, between Nalco Company and Gregory N. Nelson, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.30 of the Annual Report Form 10-K Nalco Holding Company filed on March 1, 2007 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .29† Form of Nalco Holding Company Amended and Restated 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for the 2008 Grant, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on February 11, 2008 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .30† Management Incentive Plan of Nalco Company (as amended and restated May 4, 2006), which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on May 8, 2006 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .31† Long Term Cash Incentive Plan of Nalco Company, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.2 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on March 1, 2005 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .32† Death Benefit Agreement and Addendum, effective May 26, 2005, between Nalco Company and William H. Joyce, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.3 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on May 27, 2005 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .33† The Nalco Company Supplemental Profit Sharing Plan, effective May 1, 2005, between Nalco Holding Company and William H. Joyce, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on May 11, 2005 (File No. 001-32342).

112

10 .34† Form of Nalco Company Death Benefit Agreement and Addendum to Death Benefit Agreement, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.2 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on May 11, 2005 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .35† Change of Control Employment Agreement, effective May 10, 2005, between Nalco Holding Company and William H. Joyce, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.3 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on May 11, 2005 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .36 Amendment No. 3, dated as of October 19, 2005, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of November 4, 2003, among Nalco Holdings LLC, Nalco Company, as U.S. Borrower, the Foreign Subsidiary Borrowers from time to time party thereto, the Lenders party thereto, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Banc of America Securities LLC, as Global Coordinators, Citicorp North America, Inc., as Administrative Agent, Bank of America, N.A., as Documentation Agent, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Goldman Sachs Credit Partners L.P. and UBS Securities LLC, as Co-Syndication Agents, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Banc of America Securities LLC, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Goldman Sachs Credit Partners L.P. and UBS Securities LLC, as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Managers, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.2 on the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Nalco Holding Company filed on November 14, 2005 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .37 Amendment No. 2, dated as of May 31, 2005, to the Receivables Transfer Agreement dated as of June 25, 2004, among Nalco Receivables LLC, Nalco Company, JPMorgan Chase Bank and the several transferees and funding agents party hereto from time to time, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.1 on the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Nalco Holding Company filed on August 15, 2005 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .38 Amendment No. 3, dated as of September 30, 2005, to the Receivables Transfer Agreement dated as of June 25, 2004, among Nalco Receivables LLC, Nalco Company, JPMorgan Chase Bank and the several transferees and funding agents party hereto from time to time, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.1 on the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Nalco Holding Company filed on November 14, 2005 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .39 Amendment No. 1, dated as of December 30, 2005, to the Nalco LLC Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement, dated May 17, 2004, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.51 of the Annual Report Form 10-K Nalco Holding Company filed on March 2, 2006 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .40† Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (2006 Grant), effective February 15, 2006, between Nalco Holding Company and Chinh E. Chu, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.52 of the Annual Report Form 10-K Nalco Holding Company filed on March 2, 2006 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .41† Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (2006 Grant), effective February 15, 2006, between Nalco Holding Company and Joshua J. Harris, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.53 of the Annual Report Form 10-K Nalco Holding Company filed on March 2, 2006 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .42† Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (2006 Grant), effective February 15, 2006, between Nalco Holding Company and Sanjeev Mehra, assigned to Goldman Sachs Group, Inc, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.54 of the Annual Report Form 10-K Nalco Holding Company filed on March 2, 2006 (File No. 001-32342).

10 .43†

Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (2006 Grant), effective February 15, 2006, between Nalco Holding Company and Rodney F. Chase, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.55 of the Annual Report Form 10-K Nalco Holding Company filed on March 2, 2006 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .44† Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (2006 Grant), effective February 15, 2006, between Nalco Holding Company and Richard B. Marchese, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.56 of the Annual Report Form 10-K Nalco Holding Company filed on March 2, 2006 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .45† Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (2006 Grant), effective February 15, 2006, between Nalco Holding Company and Paul H. O'Neill, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.57 of the Annual Report Form 10-K Nalco Holding Company filed on March 2, 2006 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .46† Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (2006 Grant), effective February 15, 2006, between Nalco Holding Company and Douglas A. Pertz, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.58 of the Annual Report Form 10-K Nalco Holding Company filed on March 2, 2006 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .47† Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (2006 Grant), effective February 15, 2006, between Nalco Holding Company and Daniel S. Sanders, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.59 of the Annual Report Form 10-K Nalco Holding Company filed on March 2, 2006 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .48 Omnibus Amendment, dated as of December 31, 2005, Amendment No.1 to the Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 25, 2004, among Nalco Company, Nalco Energy Services, L.P. and Nalco Receivables LLC, and Amendment No. 4 to the Receivables Transfer Agreement, dated as of June 25, 2004, among Nalco Receivables LLC, Nalco Company, JPMorgan Chase Bank and the several transferees and funding agents party hereto from time to time, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.60 of the Annual Report Form 10-K Nalco Holding Company filed on March 2, 2006 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .49 Amendment No. 5, dated as of December 31, 2005, to the Receivables Transfer Agreement, dated as of June 25, 2004, among Nalco Receivables LLC, Nalco Company, JPMorgan Chase Bank and the several transferees and funding agents party hereto from time to time, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.61 of the Annual Report Form 10-K Nalco Holding Company filed on March 2, 2006 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .50 Amendment No. 4, dated as of February 22, 2006, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of November 4, 2003, among Nalco Holdings LLC, Nalco Company, as U.S. Borrower, the Foreign Subsidiary Borrowers from time to time party thereto, the Lenders party thereto, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Banc of America Securities LLC, as Global Coordinators, Citicorp North America, Inc., as Administrative Agent, Bank of America, N.A., as Documentation Agent, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Goldman Sachs Credit Partners L.P. and UBS Securities LLC, as Co-Syndication Agents, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Banc of America Securities LLC, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Goldman Sachs Credit Partners L.P. and UBS Securities LLC, as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Managers, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.62 of the Annual Report Form 10-K Nalco Holding Company filed on March 2, 2006 (File No. 001-32342).

114

10 .51†

Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (2007 Grant), effective February 15, 2007, between Nalco Holding Company and Chinh E. Chu, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on February 20, 2007 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .52†

Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (2007 Grant), effective February 15, 2007, between Nalco Holding Company and Joshua J. Harris, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on February 20, 2007 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .53†

Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (2007 Grant), effective February 15, 2007, between Nalco Holding Company and Sanjeev Mehra, assigned to Goldman Sachs Group, Inc, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on February 20, 2007 (File No. 001-32342) 10 .54† Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (2007 Grant), effective February 15, 2007, between Nalco Holding Company and Rodney F. Chase, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on February 20, 2007 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .55† Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted

Stock Unit Agreement (2007 Grant), effective February 15, 2007, between Nalco Holding Company and Richard B. Marchese, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on February 20, 2007 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .56† Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted

Stock Unit Agreement (2007 Grant), effective February 15, 2007, between Nalco Holding Company and Paul H. O'Neill, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on February 20, 2007 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .57† Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted

Stock Unit Agreement (2007 Grant), effective February 15, 2007, between Nalco Holding Company and Douglas A. Pertz, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on February 20, 2007 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .58† Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted

Stock Unit Agreement (2007 Grant), effective February 15, 2007, between Nalco Holding Company and Daniel S. Sanders, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on February 20, 2007 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .59† Amended and Restated Employment and Consulting

Agreement, effective November 2, 2007, between Dr. William H. Joyce and Nalco LLC, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on November 2, 2007 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .60† Amendment to Management Members Agreement (Class A Units), effective

September 28, 2007, between Nalco LLC and William J. Roe, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on September 28, 2007 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .61†

Amendment No. 1 to the Nalco Company Supplemental Profit Sharing Plan, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.2 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on August 9, 2007 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .62†

Amendment No. 1 to the Nalco Company Supplemental Retirement Income Plan, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on August 9, 2007 (File No. 001-32342).

115

10 .63

Receivables Transfer Agreement of Nalco Company dated June 22, 2007, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.2 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on June 26, 2007 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .64

Amendment No. 5, dated as of December 15, 2006, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of November 4, 2003, among Nalco Holdings LLC, Nalco Company, as U.S. Borrower, the Foreign Subsidiary Borrowers from time to time party thereto, the Lenders party thereto, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Banc of America Securities LLC, as Global Coordinators, Citicorp North America, Inc., as Administrative Agent, Bank of America, N.A., as Documentation Agent, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Goldman Sachs Credit Partners L.P. and UBS Securities LLC, as Co-Syndication Agents, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Banc of America Securities LLC, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Goldman Sachs Credit Partners L.P. and UBS Securities LLC, as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Managers, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.81 of the Annual Report Form 10-K Nalco Holding Company filed on March 1, 2007 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .65†

Expatriate Agreement, dated as of November 15, 2005, between Nalco Company and Gregory N. Nelson, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 10.82 of the Annual Report Form 10-K Nalco Holding Company filed on March 1, 2007 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .66

Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement of Nalco Company dated June 22, 2007, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on June 26, 2007 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .67†

Nalco Holding Company Amended and Restated 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, effective March 7, 2008, between Nalco Holding Company and David Johnson, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on February 19, 2008 (File No. 001-32342). 10 .68*†

Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, effective January 10, 2006, between Nalco Holding Company and David Johnson. 10 .69*†

Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, effective June 7, 2007, between Nalco Holding Company and David Johnson. 10 .70*†

Form of Nalco Company Death Benefit Agreement and Addendum to Death Benefit Agreement, effective December 2, 2007, between Nalco Company and David Johnson. 10 .71*†

Consulting Agreement, effective as of March 15, 2006, between Nalco Company and John P. Yimoyines. 10 .72*†

Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, effective June 7, 2007, between Nalco Holding Company and John P. Yimoyines. 10 .73*†

Nalco Holding Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, effective June 12, 2006, between Nalco Holding Company and John P. Yimoyines. 10 .74*†

Separation Agreement, effective June 30, 2007, between Nalco Company and William J. Roe. 10 .75*†

Separation Agreement, effective May 18, 2007, between Nalco Company and Daniel M. Harker. 14

Code of Ethical Business Conduct, which is incorporated herein by reference from Exhibit 99.2 on Form 8-K of Nalco Holding Company filed on May 7, 2007 (File No. 001-32342). 21 .1*

Active Subsidiaries of Nalco Holding Company

116

23 .1*

Consent of Ernst & Young LLP 31 .1* Certification of the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. 31 .2* Certification of the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. 32 .1* Certification of Bradley J. Bell, our Principal Executive Officer, and our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* filed

herewith † indicates a management contract or compensatory plan. Shareholders may obtain copies of Exhibits without charge upon written request to the Corporate Secretary, Nalco Holding Company, 1601 West Diehl Road, Naperville, IL 60563.

117

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

NALCO HOLDING COMPANY

(Registrant)

By: /s/

BRADLEY J. BELL
Bradley J. Bell
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: February 28, 2008

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on February 28, 2008.

Signature

Title /s/ BRADLEY J. BELL Principal
Executive Officer Bradley J. Bell /s/ BRADLEY J. BELL Executive Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer Bradley J. Bell /s/ FREDERIC JUNG Controller Frederic Jung /s/
RODNEY F. CHASE Director Rodney F. Chase /s/ RICHARD B. MARCHESE Director Richard B. Marchese /s/
DOUGLAS A. PERTZ Director Douglas A. Pertz /s/ DANIEL S. SANDERS Director Daniel S. Sanders