

TAL International Group, Inc.
Form 10-K
February 18, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

ý **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For The Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2010

or

o **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the Transition Period from to
Commission file number- 001-32638**

TAL International Group, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in the charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

20-1796526
(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

100 Manhattanville Road, Purchase, New York
(Address of principal executive office)

10577-2135
(Zip Code)

(914) 251-9000

(Registrant's telephone number including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange On Which Registered
Common stock, \$0.001 par value per share	The New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No ý

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No ý

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirement for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in the Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes No

The aggregate market value of voting common shares held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2010 was approximately \$258.2 million.

As of February 15, 2011, there were 30,868,575 shares of the Registrant's common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Part of Form 10-K

Part II, Item 5, Part III, Items 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14

Document Incorporated by Reference

Portion of the Registrant's proxy statement to be filed in connection with the Annual Meeting of the Stockholders of the Registrant to be held on April 26, 2011.

Table of Contents**Table of Contents**

	Page
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item 1. Business</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>64</u>
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>Item 9. Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>PART IV</u>	
<u>Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>69</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>78</u>
<u>Index to Financial Statements</u>	<u>F-1</u>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>F-2</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	<u>F-3</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	<u>F-4</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income</u>	<u>F-5</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	<u>F-6</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>F-7</u>
<u>Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u>	<u>S-1</u>

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This annual report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, that involve substantial risks and uncertainties. In addition, we, or our executive officers on our behalf, may from time to time make forward-looking statements in reports and other documents we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, or in connection with oral statements made to the press, potential investors or others. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, including statements regarding our strategy, future operations, future financial position, future revenues, projected costs, prospects, plans and objectives of management are forward-looking statements. The words "expect," "estimate," "anticipate," "predict," "believe," "think," "plan," "will," "should," "intend," "seek," "potential" and similar expressions and variations are intended to identify forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words.

Forward-looking statements in this report are subject to a number of known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements, including, but not limited to, the risks and uncertainties described in the section entitled "Risk Factors" in this report as well as in the other documents we file with the SEC from time to time, and such risks and uncertainties are specifically incorporated herein by reference.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date the statements are made. Except as required under the federal securities laws and rules and regulations of the SEC, we undertake no obligation to update or revise forward-looking statements to reflect actual results, changes in assumptions or changes in other factors affecting forward-looking information. We caution you not to unduly rely on the forward-looking statements when evaluating the information presented in this report.

WEBSITE ACCESS TO COMPANY'S REPORTS AND CODE OF ETHICS

Our Internet website address is <http://www.talinternational.com>. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act are available free of charge through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC.

We have adopted a code of ethics that applies to all of our employees, officers, and directors, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer. The text of our code of ethics is posted within the Corporate Governance portion of the Investors section of our website.

Also, copies of our annual report and Code of Ethics will be made available, free of charge, upon written request to:

TAL International Group, Inc.
100 Manhattanville Road
Purchase, New York 10577
Attn: Marc Pearlin, Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
Telephone: (914) 251-9000

SERVICE MARKS MATTERS

The following items referred to in this annual report are registered or unregistered service marks in the United States and/or foreign jurisdictions pursuant to applicable intellectual property laws and are the property of TAL International and our subsidiaries: TAL® and Trader®.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Our Company

We are one of the world's largest and oldest lessors of intermodal containers and chassis. Intermodal containers are large, standardized steel boxes used to transport freight by ship, rail or truck. Because of the handling efficiencies they provide, intermodal containers are the primary means by which many goods and materials are shipped internationally. Chassis are used for the transportation of containers domestically.

Business Segments

We operate our business in one industry, intermodal transportation equipment, and have two business segments:

Equipment leasing we own, lease and ultimately dispose of containers and chassis from our lease fleet, as well as manage containers owned by third parties.

Equipment trading we purchase containers from shipping line customers, and other sellers of containers, and resell these containers to container traders and users of containers for storage or one-way shipment.

Equipment Leasing Segment

Our equipment leasing operations include the acquisition, leasing, re-leasing and ultimate sale of multiple types of intermodal transportation equipment, primarily intermodal containers. We have an extensive global presence, offering leasing services through 18 offices in 11 countries and 216 third-party container depot facilities in 39 countries as of December 31, 2010. Our customers are among the world's largest shipping lines and include, among others, APL-NOL, CMA CGM, Maersk Line, Mediterranean Shipping Company and NYK Line.

We primarily lease three principal types of equipment: (1) dry freight containers, which are used for general cargo such as manufactured component parts, consumer staples, electronics and apparel, (2) refrigerated containers, which are used for perishable items such as fresh and frozen foods, and (3) special containers, which are used for heavy and oversized cargo such as marble slabs, building products and machinery. We also lease chassis, which are used for the transportation of containers domestically, and tank containers, which are used to transport bulk liquid products such as chemicals.

We generally lease our equipment on a per diem basis to our customers under three types of leases: long-term leases, finance leases and service leases. Long-term leases typically have initial contractual terms ranging from three to eight years and provide us with stable cash flow and low transaction costs by requiring customers to maintain specific units on-hire for the duration of the lease. Finance leases are typically structured as full payout leases, and provide for a predictable recurring revenue stream with the lowest daily cost to the customer because customers are generally required to retain the equipment for the duration of its useful life. Service leases command a premium per diem rate in exchange for providing customers with a greater level of operational flexibility by allowing the pick-up and drop-off of units during the lease term. We also have expired long-term leases whose fixed terms have ended but for which the related units remain on-hire and for which we continue to receive rental payments pursuant to the terms of the initial contract. Some leases have contractual terms that have features reflective of both long-term and service leases, and we classify such leases as either long-term or service leases, depending upon which features we believe are more predominant.

Our leases require lessees to maintain the equipment in good operating condition, defend and indemnify us from liabilities relating to the equipments' contents and handling, and return the equipment to specified drop-off locations. As of December 31, 2010, 65% of our on-hire containers and

Table of Contents

chassis were on long-term leases, 9% were on finance leases, 19% were on service leases and 7% were on long-term leases whose fixed terms have expired. As of December 31, 2010, our long-term leases had an average remaining lease term of 49 months.

Our equipment leasing revenues primarily consist of leasing revenues derived from the lease of our owned equipment and, to a lesser extent, fees received for managing equipment owned by third parties. The most important driver of our profitability is the extent to which leasing revenues, which are driven primarily by our owned equipment fleet size, utilization and average rental rates, exceed our ownership and operating costs.

Equipment Trading Segment

Through our extensive operating network, we purchase containers from shipping line customers and other sellers of containers and resell these containers to container traders and users of containers for storage and one-way shipments. Over the last five years, we have sold an average of approximately 40,000 twenty-foot equivalent units (TEU) of containers purchased for resale.

Total revenues for the equipment trading segment are primarily made up of equipment trading revenues, which represents the proceeds from sales of trading equipment. The profitability of this segment is largely driven by the volume of units purchased and sold, our per-unit selling margin, and our direct operating and administrative expenses.

Industry Overview

Intermodal containers provide a secure and cost-effective method of transporting raw materials, component parts and finished goods because they can be used in multiple modes of transport. By making it possible to move cargo from a point of origin to a final destination without repeated unpacking and repacking, containers reduce freight and labor costs. In addition, automated handling of containers permits faster loading and unloading of vessels, more efficient utilization of transportation equipment and reduced transit time. The protection provided by sealed containers also reduces cargo damage and the loss and theft of goods during shipment.

Over the last twenty-five years, containerized trade has grown at a rate greater than that of general worldwide economic growth. According to Clarkson Research Studies ("Clarkson"), worldwide containerized cargo volume increased at a compound annual growth rate ("CAGR") of 8.9% from 1986 to 2010. We believe that this high historical growth was due to several factors, including the shift in global manufacturing capacity to lower labor cost areas such as China and India, the continued integration of developing high growth economies into global trade patterns and the continued conversion of cargo from bulk shipping into containers.

Container leasing firms maintain inventories of new and used containers in a wide range of worldwide locations and supply these containers primarily to shipping line customers under a variety of short and long-term lease structures. According to Containerisation International as reported in mid-2010, container lessors' ownership was approximately 11.3 million TEU or 41% of the total worldwide container fleet of 27.5 million TEU.

Leasing containers helps shipping lines improve their overall container fleet efficiency and provides the shipping lines with an alternative source of equipment financing. Given the uncertainty and variability of export volumes, and the fact that shipping lines have difficulty in accurately forecasting their container requirements on a day-by-day, port-by-port basis, the availability of containers for lease on short notice reduces a shipping line's need to purchase and maintain larger container inventory buffers. In addition, the drop-off flexibility provided by operating leases also allows the shipping lines to adjust their container fleet sizes and the mix of container types in their fleets both seasonally and over time and helps to balance trade flows. Leasing containers also provides shipping lines with an additional source of funding to help them manage a high-growth, asset-intensive business.

Table of Contents

Spot leasing rates are typically a function of, among other things, new equipment prices (which are heavily influenced by steel prices), interest rates and the equipment supply and demand balance at a particular time and location. Average leasing rates on an entire portfolio of leases respond more gradually to changes in new equipment prices or changes in the balance of container supply and demand because lease agreements are generally only re-priced upon the expiration of the lease. In addition, the value that lessors receive upon resale of equipment is closely related to the cost of new equipment.

Operations

We operate our business through 18 worldwide offices located in 11 different countries as of December 31, 2010. Our field operations include a global sales force, a global container operations group, an equipment resale group, and a logistics services group. Our headquarters are located in Purchase, New York, USA.

Our Equipment

Intermodal containers are designed to meet a number of criteria outlined by the International Standards Organization (ISO). The standard criteria include the size of the container and the gross weight rating of the container. This standardization ensures that containers can be used by the widest possible number of transporters and it facilitates container and vessel sharing by the shipping lines. The standardization of the container is also an important element of the container leasing business since we can operate one fleet of containers that can be used by all of our major customers.

Our fleet primarily consists of three types of equipment:

Dry Containers. A dry container is essentially a steel constructed box with a set of doors on one end. Dry containers come in lengths of 20, 40 or 45 feet. They are 8 feet wide, and either 8¹/₂ or 9¹/₂ feet tall. Dry containers are the least expensive and most widely used type of intermodal container and are used to carry general cargo such as manufactured component parts, consumer staples, electronics and apparel.

Refrigerated Containers. Refrigerated containers include an integrated cooling machine and an insulated container, come in lengths of 20 or 40 feet, and are 8 feet wide, and either 8¹/₂ or 9¹/₂ feet tall. These containers are typically used to carry perishable cargo such as fresh and frozen produce.

Special Containers. Most of our special containers are open top and flat rack containers. Open top containers come in similar sizes as dry containers, but do not have a fixed roof. Flat rack containers come in varying sizes and are steel platforms with folding ends and no fixed sides. Open top and flat rack containers are generally used to move heavy or bulky cargos, such as marble slabs, steel coils or factory components, that cannot be easily loaded on a fork lift through the doors of a standard container.

Over the last few years, we have added two equipment types to our fleet:

Tank Containers. Tank containers are stainless steel cylindrical tanks enclosed in rectangular steel frames, with the same outside dimensions as 20 foot dry containers. They carry bulk liquids such as chemicals.

Chassis. An intermodal chassis is a rectangular, wheeled steel frame, generally 23¹/₂, 40 or 45 feet in length, built specifically for the purpose of transporting intermodal containers domestically. Longer sized chassis, designed solely to accommodate domestic containers, can be up to 53 feet in length. Once mounted, the chassis and container are the functional equivalent of a trailer. When mounted on a chassis, the container may be trucked either to its destination

Table of Contents

or to a railroad terminal for loading onto a rail car. Our chassis are primarily used in the United States.

Our Leases

Most of our revenues are derived from leasing our equipment fleet to our core shipping line customers. The majority of our leases are structured as operating leases, though we also provide customers with finance leases. Regardless of lease type, we seek to exceed our targeted return on our investments over the life cycle of the equipment by managing utilization, lease rates, and the used equipment sale process.

Our lease products provide numerous operational and financial benefits to our shipping line customers. These benefits include:

Operating Flexibility. The timing, location and daily volume of cargo movements for a shipping line are often unpredictable. Leasing containers and chassis helps the shipping lines manage this uncertainty and minimize the requirement for large inventory buffers by allowing them to pick-up leased equipment on short notice.

Fleet Size and Mix Flexibility. The drop-off flexibility included in container and chassis operating leases allows shipping lines to more quickly adjust the size of their fleets and the mix of container types in their fleets as their trade volumes and patterns change due to seasonality, market changes or changes in company strategies.

Alternative Source of Financing. Container and chassis leases provide an additional source of equipment financing to help shipping lines manage the high level of investment required to maintain pace with the rapid growth of the asset intensive container shipping industry.

Operating Leases. Operating leases are structured to allow customers flexibility to pick-up equipment on short notice and to drop-off equipment prior to the end of its useful life. Because of this flexibility, most of our containers and chassis will go through several pick-up and drop-off cycles. Our operating lease contracts specify a per diem rate for equipment on-hire, where and when such equipment can be returned, how the customer will be charged for damage and the charge for lost or destroyed equipment, among other things.

We categorize our operating leases as either long-term leases or service leases. Some leases have contractual terms that have features reflective of both long-term and service leases. We classify such leases as either long-term or service leases, depending upon which features we believe are predominant. Long-term leases typically have initial contractual terms ranging from three to eight years with an average term of approximately five years at lease inception. Our long-term leases require our customers to maintain specific units on-hire for the duration of the lease term, and they provide us with predictable recurring cash flow. As of December 31, 2010, 65% of our on-hire containers and chassis were under long-term operating leases. As of December 31, 2010, our long-term leases had an average remaining duration of 49 months, assuming no leases are renewed. However, we believe that many of our customers will renew leases for equipment that is less than sale age at the expiration of the lease. In addition, our equipment typically remains on-hire at the contractual per diem rate for an additional six to twelve months beyond the end of the contractual lease term due to the logistical requirements of our customers having to return the containers and chassis to specific drop-off locations.

We also have expired long-term leases whose fixed terms have ended but for which the related units remain on-hire and for which we continue to receive rental payments pursuant to the terms of the initial contract. As of December 31, 2010, 7% of our on-hire containers and chassis were on long-term leases whose fixed terms have expired but for which the related units remain on-hire and for which we continue to receive rental payments.

Table of Contents

Some of our long-term leases give our customers Early Termination Options ("ETOs"). If exercised, ETOs allow customers to return equipment prior to the expiration of the long-term lease. However, if an ETO is exercised, the customer is required to pay a penalty per diem rate that is applied retroactively to the beginning of the lease. As a result of this retroactive penalty, ETOs have historically been exercised infrequently.

Service leases allow our customers to pick-up and drop-off equipment during the term of the lease, subject to contractual limitations. Service leases provide the customer with a higher level of flexibility than term leases and, as a result, typically carry a higher per diem rate. The terms of our service leases can range from twelve months to five years, though because equipment can be returned during the term of a service lease and since service leases are generally renewed or modified and extended upon expiration, lease term does not dictate expected on-hire time for our equipment on service leases. As of December 31, 2010, 19% of our on-hire containers and chassis were under service leases and this equipment has been on-hire for an average of 46 months.

Finance Leases. Finance leases provide our customers with an alternative method to finance their equipment acquisitions. Finance leases typically have lease terms ranging from five to ten years. Finance leases are generally structured for specific quantities of equipment, generally require the customer to keep the equipment on-hire for its remaining useful life, and typically provide the customer with a purchase option at the end of the lease term. As of December 31, 2010, approximately 9% of our on-hire containers and chassis were under finance leases.

Lease Documentation. In general, our lease agreements consist of two basic elements, a master lease agreement and a lease addendum. Lease addenda typically contain the business terms (including daily rate, term duration and drop-off schedule, among other things) for specific leasing transactions, while master lease agreements typically outline the general rights and obligations of the lessor and lessee under all of the lease addenda covered by the master lease agreement (lease addenda will specify the master lease agreement that governs the lease addenda). For most customers, we have a small number of master lease agreements (often one) and a large number of lease addenda.

Our master lease agreements generally require the lessees to pay rentals, depot charges, taxes and other charges when due, to maintain the equipment in good condition, to return the equipment in accordance with the return condition set forth in the master lease agreement, to use the equipment in compliance with all federal, state, local and foreign laws, and to pay us for the value of the equipment as determined by us if the equipment is lost or destroyed. The default clause gives us certain legal remedies in the event that the lessee is in breach of the lease.

The master lease agreements usually contain an exclusion of warranties clause and require lessees to defend and indemnify us in most instances from third-party claims arising out of the lessee's use, operation, possession or lease of the equipment. Lessees are generally required to maintain all risks physical damage insurance, comprehensive general liability insurance and to indemnify us against loss. We also maintain our own off-hire physical damage insurance to cover our equipment when it is not on-hire to lessees and third-party liability insurance for both on-hire and off-hire equipment. Nevertheless, such insurance or indemnities may not fully protect us against damages arising from the use of our containers.

Logistics Management, Re-leasing, Depot Management and Equipment Disposals. We believe that managing the period after our equipments' first lease is the most important aspect of our business. Successful management of this period requires disciplined logistics management, extensive re-lease capability, careful cost control and effective sales of used equipment.

Logistics Management. Since the late 1990's, the shipping industry has been characterized by large regional trade imbalances, with loaded containers generally flowing from export-oriented economies in Asia to North America and Western Europe. Because of these trade imbalances, shipping lines have an

Table of Contents

incentive to return leased containers in North America and Europe to reduce the cost of empty container backhaul. TAL attempts to mitigate the risk of these unbalanced trade flows by maintaining a large portion of our fleet on long-term and finance leases and by contractually restricting the ability of our customers to return containers outside of Asian demand locations.

In addition, TAL attempts to minimize the costs of any container imbalances by moving empty containers as cheaply as possible. While we believe we manage our logistics risks and costs effectively, logistical risk remains an important element of our business due to competitive pressures, changing trade patterns and other market factors and uncertainties.

Re-Leasing. Since our operating leases allow customers to return containers and chassis, we typically are required to place containers and chassis on several leases during their useful lives. Initial lease transactions for new containers and chassis can usually be generated with a limited sales and customer service infrastructure because initial leases for new containers and chassis typically cover large volumes of units and are fairly standardized transactions. Used equipment, on the other hand, is typically leased out in small transactions that are structured to accommodate pick-ups and returns in a variety of locations. As a result, leasing companies benefit from having a large number of customers and maintaining a high level of operating contact with these customers.

Depot Management. As of December 31, 2010, we managed our equipment fleet through 216 third-party owned and operated depot facilities located in 39 countries. Depot facilities are generally responsible for repairing our containers and chassis when they are returned by lessees and for storing the equipment while it is off-hire. We have a worldwide operations group that is responsible for managing our depot contracts and they also regularly visit the depot facilities to conduct inventory and repair audits. We also supplement our internal operations group with the use of independent inspection agents.

We are in constant communication with our depot partners through the use of electronic data interchange, or EDI. Our depots gather and prepare all information related to the activity of our equipment at their facilities and transmit the information via EDI and the Internet to us. The information we receive from our depots updates our fully integrated container fleet management and tracking system.

Most of the depot agency agreements follow a standard form and generally provide that the depot will be liable for loss or damage of equipment and, in the event of loss or damage, will pay us the previously agreed loss value of the applicable equipment. The agreements require the depots to maintain insurance against equipment loss or damage and we carry insurance to cover the risk that the depot's insurance proves insufficient.

Our container repair standards and processes are generally managed in accordance with standards and procedures specified by the Institute of International Container Lessors (IICL). The IICL establishes and documents the acceptable interchange condition for containers and the repair procedures required to return damaged containers to the acceptable interchange condition. At the time that containers are returned by lessees, the depot arranges an inspection of the containers to assess the repairs required to return the containers to acceptable IICL condition. This inspection process also splits the damage into two components, customer damage and normal wear and tear. Items typically designated as customer damage include dents in the container and debris left in the container, while items such as rust are typically designated as normal wear and tear.

Our leases are generally structured so that the lessee is responsible for the customer damage portion of the repair costs, and customers are billed for damages at the time the equipment is returned. We sometimes offer our customers a repair service program whereby we, for an additional payment by the lessee (in the form of a higher per-diem rate or a flat fee at off-hire), assume financial responsibility for all or a portion of the cost of repairs upon return of the equipment (but not of total loss of the equipment), up to a pre-negotiated amount.

Table of Contents

Equipment Disposals. Our in-house equipment sales group has a worldwide team of specialists that manage the sale process for our used containers and chassis from our lease fleet. We generally sell to portable storage companies, freight forwarders (who often use the containers for one-way trips) and other purchasers of used containers. We believe we are one of the world's largest sellers of used containers.

We have sold approximately 70,000 TEU of our owned and managed used containers on average over the last five years. The sale prices we receive for our used containers from our lease fleet are influenced by many factors, including the level of demand for used containers compared to the number of used containers available for disposal in a particular location, the cost of new containers, and the level of damage on the containers. While our total revenue is primarily made up of leasing revenues, gains or losses on the sale of used containers can have a significant positive or negative impact on our profitability.

Equipment Trading. We also buy and sell new and used containers and chassis acquired from third parties. We typically purchase our equipment trading fleet from our shipping line customers or other sellers of used or new equipment. Trading margins are dependent on the volume of units purchased and resold, selling prices, cost paid for equipment sold and selling and administrative costs. We have sold approximately 40,000 TEU of containers purchased from third parties for resale on average over the last five years.

Management Services

Approximately 3% of our fleet is managed for third-party owners. We receive a specified percentage of the net revenue generated by our managed containers in return for our management services. If operating expenses were to exceed revenues, the owners are obligated to pay the excess or we may deduct the excess, including our management fee, from future net revenues. We typically receive a commission for selling managed containers, though in some cases, we are compensated for sales through a percentage sharing of sale proceeds over an agreed floor amount. Typically the terms of the management agreements are 10 to 12 years from the acceptance dates of containers under the agreement.

Environmental

We face a number of environmental risks, including potential liability due to accidental discharge from our containers, potential equipment obsolescence and retrofitting expenses due to changes in environmental regulations, and increased risk of container performance problems due to container design changes driven by environmental factors. While we maintain environmental liability insurance coverage and the terms of our leases and other arrangements for use of our containers that place the responsibility for environmental liability on the end user, we still may be subject to environmental liability in connection with our current or historical operations. In certain countries like the United States, the owner of a leased container may be liable for the costs of environmental damage from the discharge of the contents of the container even though the owner is not at fault. We have not yet experienced any such claims, although we cannot assure you that we will not be subject to such claims in the future. Liability insurance policies, including ours, usually exclude claims for environmental damage. Our lessees are required to indemnify us from such claims. Some of our lessees may have separate insurance coverage for environmental damage, but we cannot assure you that any such policies would cover or otherwise offset any liability we may have as the owner of a leased container. Our standard master tank container lease agreement insurance clause requires our tank container lessees to provide pollution liability insurance. Such insurance or indemnities may not fully protect us against damages arising from environmental damage.

We also face risks from changing environmental regulations, particularly with our refrigerated container product line. Many countries, including the United States, restrict, prohibit or otherwise

Table of Contents

regulate the use of chemical refrigerants due to their ozone depleting and global warming effects. Over 99% of our refrigerated containers currently use R134A or 404A refrigerant. While R134A and 404A do not contain CFC's (which have been restricted since 1995), the European Union has instituted regulations to phase out the use of R134A in automobile air conditioning systems beginning in 2011 due to concern that the release of R134A into the atmosphere may contribute to global warming. While the European Union regulations do not currently restrict the use of R134A in refrigerated containers or trailers, it is possible that the phase out of R134A in automobile air conditioning systems will be extended to intermodal containers in the future. Further, certain manufacturers of refrigerated containers, including the largest manufacturer of cooling machines for refrigerated containers, have begun testing units that utilize alternative refrigerants, such as carbon dioxide, that may have less global warming potential than R134A and 404A. If future regulations prohibit the use or servicing of containers using R134A or 404A refrigerants, we could be forced to incur large retrofitting expenses. In addition, refrigerated containers that are not retrofitted may become difficult to lease and command lower rental rates and disposal prices.

Also, the insulation foam in the walls of refrigerated containers requires the use of a blowing agent that contains CFC's. Manufacturers are in various stages of phasing out the use of this blowing agent in the manufacturing process, however, if future regulations prohibit the use or servicing of containers with insulation manufactured with this blowing agent we could be forced to incur large retrofitting expenses and those that are not retrofitted may become more difficult to lease and command lower rental rates and disposal prices.

An additional environmental concern affecting our operations relates to the construction materials used in our dry containers. The floors of dry containers are plywood usually made from tropical hardwoods. Due to concerns regarding de-forestation of tropical rain forests and climate change, many countries which have been the source of these hardwoods have implemented severe restrictions on the cutting and export of these woods. Accordingly, container manufacturers have switched a significant portion of production to more readily available alternatives such as birch, bamboo, and other farm grown wood species. Container users are also evaluating alternative designs that would limit the amount of plywood required and are also considering possible synthetic materials to replace the plywood. These new woods or other alternatives have not proven their durability over the typical 13-15 year life of a dry container, and if they cannot perform as well as the hardwoods have historically the future repair and operating costs for these containers could be significantly higher and the useful life of the containers may be decreased.

Credit Controls

We monitor our customers' performance and our lease exposures on an ongoing basis. Our credit management processes are aided by the long payment experience we have with most of our customers and our broad network of relationships in the shipping industry that provides current information about our customers' market reputations. Credit criteria may include, but are not limited to, customer payment history, customer financial position and performance (e.g., net worth, leverage and profitability), trade routes, country of domicile, social and political climate, and the type of, and location of, equipment that is to be supplied. To mitigate the impact from potential defaults, we currently maintain a credit insurance policy that in certain circumstances covers losses and costs incurred in default situations. However, this policy has significant deductibles, exclusions and payment and other limitations, and therefore may not protect us from losses arising from customer defaults.

Marketing and Customer Service

Our global sales and customer service force is responsible for developing and maintaining relationships with senior operations staff at our shipping line customers, negotiating lease contracts and maintaining day-to-day coordination with junior level staff at our customers. This close customer

Table of Contents

communication helps us to negotiate lease contracts that satisfy both our financial return requirements and our customers' operating needs and ensures that we are aware of our customers' potential equipment shortages and that they are aware of our available equipment inventories.

Customers

We believe that we have strong, long standing relationships with our largest customers, most of whom we have done business with for over 20 years. We currently have equipment on-hire to more than 300 customers, although approximately 83% of our units are on-hire to our 20 largest customers. Our customers are mainly international shipping lines, but we also lease containers to freight forwarding companies and manufacturers. The shipping industry has been consolidating for a number of years, and further consolidation could increase the portion of our revenues that come from our largest customers. Our five largest customers accounted for approximately 50% of our 2010 leasing revenues. Our largest customer is CMA CGM, which accounted for approximately 16% of our leasing revenues in 2010, 17% in 2009 and 12% in 2008. Mediterranean Shipping Company accounted for approximately 12% of our leasing revenues in 2010 and 10% in 2009. APL-NOL accounted for approximately 11% of our leasing revenues in 2010, 12% in 2009 and 15% in 2008. No other customer exceeded 10% of our leasing revenues in 2010, 2009 or 2008. A default by any of these major customers could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and future prospects.

Currency

Although we have significant foreign-based operations, the U.S. dollar is the operating currency for the large majority of our leases and company obligations, and most of our revenues and expenses are denominated in U.S. dollars. However we pay our non-U.S. staff in local currencies; and our direct operating expenses and disposal transactions for our older containers are often structured in foreign currencies. We record realized and unrealized foreign currency exchange gains and losses primarily due to fluctuations in exchange rates related to our Euro and Pound Sterling transactions and related assets.

Systems and Information Technology

We have a proprietary, fully integrated fleet management system. The system tracks all of our equipment individually by unit number, provides design specifications for the equipment, tracks on-hire and off-hire transactions, matches each on-hire unit to a lease contract and each off-hire unit to a depot contract, maintains the major terms for each lease contract, calculates the monthly bill for each customer and tracks and bills for equipment repairs. Our system is EDI capable, which means it can receive and process equipment activity transactions electronically.

In addition, our system allows our business partners to conduct business with us through the Internet. It allows customers to check our equipment inventories, review design specifications, request clearances for returning equipment (the system will issue the clearance electronically if the return to the specified location is currently allowed by the contract covering the equipment), request bookings for equipment pick-ups and review and approve repair bills.

Suppliers

We have long relationships with all of our major suppliers. We purchase most of our containers and chassis in China. There are four large manufacturers of dry and special containers and three large manufacturers of refrigerated containers, though for both dry containers and refrigerated containers, the largest manufacturer accounts for 50% or more of global production volume. Our operations staff reviews the designs for our containers and periodically audits the production facilities of our suppliers. In addition, we use our Asian operations group and third party inspectors to visit factories when our containers are being produced to provide an extra layer of quality control. Nevertheless, defects in our containers do sometimes occur. We work with the manufacturers to correct these defects, and our manufacturers have generally honored their warranty obligations in such cases.

Table of Contents

Competition

We compete with over ten other major intermodal equipment leasing companies, many smaller lessors, manufacturers of intermodal equipment and companies offering finance leases as distinct from operating leases. It is common for our customers to utilize several leasing companies to meet their equipment needs.

Our competitors compete with us in many ways, including lease pricing, lease flexibility, supply reliability and customer service. In times of weak demand or excess supply, leasing companies often respond by lowering leasing rates and increasing the logistical flexibility offered in their lease agreements. In addition, new entrants into the leasing business have been attracted by the high rate of containerized trade growth in recent years, and they are often aggressive on pricing and lease flexibility.

While we are forced to compete aggressively on price, we attempt to emphasize our supply reliability and high level of customer service to our customers. We invest heavily to ensure adequate equipment availability in high demand locations, dedicate large portions of our organization to building customer relationships, and maintaining close day-to-day coordination with customers' operating staffs, and we have developed powerful and user-friendly systems that allow our customers to transact with us through the Internet.

Employees

As of December 31, 2010, we employed 172 people in 18 offices, in 11 countries. We believe that our relations with our employees are good and we are not a party to any collective bargaining agreements.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Container leasing demand can be negatively affected by numerous market factors as well as external political and economic events that are beyond our control. Decreasing leasing demand could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Demand for containers depends largely on the rate of world trade and economic growth. Demand for leased containers is also driven by our customers' "lease vs. buy" decisions. Cyclical recessions can negatively affect lessors' operating results because during economic downturns or periods of reduced trade, shipping lines tend to lease fewer containers, or lease containers only at reduced rates, and tend to rely more on their own fleets to satisfy a greater percentage of their requirements. As a result, during periods of weak global economic activity, we typically experience decreasing leasing demand, decreasing equipment utilization, lower average rental rates, decreased leasing revenue, decreased used container resale prices and significantly decreased profitability. These effects can be severe.

For example, our profitability decreased 60.2% from the third quarter of 2008 to the third quarter of 2009 due to the effects of the global financial crisis, and our profitability would have decreased further if trade activity did not start to recover at the end of 2009. TAL's performance and profitability will likely be similarly impacted by economic recessions or other events that negatively affect the level of global containerized trade in the future.

Other general factors affecting demand for leased containers, container utilization and per diem rental rates include:

the available supply and prices of new and used containers;

changes in the operating efficiency of our customers, economic conditions and competitive pressures in the shipping industry;

the availability and terms of equipment financing for our customers;

fluctuations in interest rates and foreign currency values;

import/export tariffs and restrictions;

customs procedures;

foreign exchange controls and

other governmental regulations and political or economic factors that are inherently unpredictable and may be beyond our control.

Any of the aforementioned factors may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Lease rates may decrease due to weak leasing demand, a decrease in new container prices or other factors, resulting in reduced revenues, lower margins, and reduced profitability and cash flows.

Market leasing rates are typically a function of, among other things, new equipment prices (which are heavily influenced by steel prices), interest rates, the type and length of the lease, the equipment supply and demand balance at a particular time and location, and other factors more fully described below. A decrease in market leasing rates generally leads to decreased average lease rates for TAL which can have a materially adverse effect on our leasing revenues, profitability and cash flow.

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

A decrease in market leasing rates negatively impacts the leasing rates on both our new container investments and the existing containers in our fleet. Most of our existing containers are on operating leases, which means that the lease term is shorter than the expected life of the container, so the lease rate we receive for the container is subject to change at the expiration of the current lease. As a result,

Table of Contents

during periods of low market lease rates, the average lease rate we receive for our containers is negatively impacted by both the addition of new containers at low lease rates as well as the turnover of existing containers from leases with higher lease rates to leases with lower lease rates. This occurred during the second half of 2008 and continued in 2009 due to extremely weak leasing demand, and we also faced an extended period of decreasing average leasing rates from 1998-2003 due to a decrease in steel prices and new container prices. In both the 2009 and 1998-2003 periods, the reductions in our leasing rates contributed to significant decreases in our profitability.

In 2010, the size of TAL's owned fleet increased significantly due to large purchases of new equipment. Those containers were purchased at relatively high prices and leased out during 2010 at lease rates well above TAL's portfolio average. The high level of procurement in 2010 created a concentration of leases with historically high leasing rates that will generally expire from 2015 through 2017. If container prices and market leasing rates revert back toward historical average levels at the time those leases expire, TAL could be forced to release those containers at significantly reduced lease rates and TAL's average lease rates, operating margins, profitability and financial position would be adversely affected, even if trade growth and demand for leased containers remains strong.

Lessee defaults may adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flow by decreasing revenues and increasing storage, positioning, collection, recovery and lost equipment expenses.

Our containers and chassis are leased to numerous customers. Rent and other charges, as well as indemnification for damage to or loss of our equipment, are payable under the leases and other arrangements by the lessees. Inherent in the nature of the leases and other arrangements for use of the equipment is the risk that once the lease is consummated, we may not receive, or may experience delay in realizing, all of the amounts to be paid in respect of the equipment. A delay or diminution in amounts received under the leases and other arrangements could adversely affect our business and financial prospects and our ability to make payments on our debt.

The cash flow from our equipment, principally lease rentals, management fees and proceeds from the sale of owned equipment, is affected significantly by our ability to collect payments under leases and other arrangements for the use of the equipment and our ability to replace cash flows from terminating leases by re-leasing or selling equipment on favorable terms. All of these factors are subject to external economic conditions and performance by lessees and service providers that are beyond our control.

In addition, when lessees or sublessees of our containers and chassis default, we may fail to recover all of our equipment, and the containers and chassis we do recover may be returned in damaged condition or to locations where we will not be able to efficiently re-lease or sell them. As a result, we may have to repair and reposition these containers and chassis to other places where we can re-lease or sell them, and we may lose lease revenues and incur additional operating expenses in repossessing and storing the equipment.

The recent global economic crisis and the resulting decrease in trade volumes led to exceptionally poor financial performance for most of our customers in 2009, and while industry performance generally improved in 2010, many of our customers continue to be in a much weaker financial condition than they were prior to the economic crisis. In addition, the current order book for container vessels remains large relative to the size of the existing container fleet, and the freight rates our customers receive for moving containers will come under renewed pressure if global containerized trade growth falls below the expected annual increase of container vessel capacity, which is currently expected to be near 10% in 2011. Due to the weakened financial condition of our customer base and the potential near-term pressure on freight rates, we continue to face an increased level of customer default risk, and thus we continue to face increased risk that our financial performance and cash flow could be severely affected by defaults from our major customers.

Table of Contents

Our balance sheet includes an allowance for doubtful accounts as well as an equipment reserve related to the expected costs of recovering and remarketing containers currently in the possession of customers that have either defaulted or that we believe currently present a significant risk of loss. However, we do not maintain a general equipment reserve for equipment on-hire under operating leases to performing customers. As a result, any major customer default would have a significant impact on our profitability at the time the customer defaulted. Such a default could also have a material adverse effect on our business condition and financial prospects

Environmental regulations may result in equipment obsolescence or require substantial investments to retrofit existing equipment, especially for our refrigerated containers. Additionally, environmental concerns are leading to significant design changes for new containers that have not been extensively tested, which increases the risks we face from potential technical problems.

Many countries, including the United States, restrict, prohibit or otherwise regulate the use of chemical refrigerants due to their ozone depleting and global warming effects. Over 99% of our refrigerated containers currently use R134A or 404A refrigerant. While R134A and 404A do not contain CFC's (which have been restricted since 1995), the European Union has instituted regulations to phase out the use of R134A in automobile air conditioning systems beginning in 2011 due to concern that the release of R134A into the atmosphere may contribute to global warming. While the European Union regulations do not currently restrict the use of R134A in refrigerated containers or trailers, it is possible that the phase out of R134A in automobile air conditioning systems will be extended to intermodal containers in the future. Further, certain manufacturers of refrigerated containers, including the largest manufacturer of cooling machines for refrigerated containers, have begun testing units that utilize alternative refrigerants, such as carbon dioxide, that may have less global warming potential than R134A and 404A. If future regulations prohibit the use or servicing of containers using R134A or 404A refrigerants, we could be forced to incur large retrofitting expenses. In addition, refrigerated containers that are not retrofitted may become difficult to lease and command lower rental rates and disposal prices.

Also, the insulation foam in the walls of refrigerated containers requires the use of a blowing agent that contains CFC's. Manufacturers are in various stages of phasing out the use of this blowing agent in the manufacturing process, however, if future regulations prohibit the use or servicing of containers with insulation manufactured with this blowing agent we could be forced to incur large retrofitting expenses and those that are not retrofitted may become more difficult to lease and command lower rental rates and disposal prices.

An additional environmental concern affecting our operations relates to the construction materials used in our dry containers. The floors of dry containers are plywood usually made from tropical hardwoods. Due to concerns regarding de-forestation of tropical rain forests and climate change, many countries which have been the source of these hardwoods have implemented severe restrictions on the cutting and export of these woods. Accordingly, container manufacturers have switched a significant portion of production to more readily available alternatives such as birch, bamboo, and other farm grown wood species. Container users are also evaluating alternative designs that would limit the amount of plywood required and are also considering possible synthetic materials to replace the plywood. These new woods or other alternatives have not proven their durability over the typical 13-15 year life of a dry container, and if they cannot perform as well as the hardwoods have historically the future repair and operating costs for these containers could be significantly higher and the useful life of the containers may be decreased.

Table of Contents

Used container selling prices may decrease leading to lower gains or potentially large losses on the disposal of our equipment.

Although our revenues primarily depend upon equipment leasing, our profitability is also affected by the residual values of our containers upon the expiration of their leases because, in the ordinary course of our business, we sell certain containers when they are returned to us. The volatility of the residual values of such equipment may be significant. These values, which can vary substantially, depend upon, among other factors, the global supply and demand balance for containers, the location of the containers, worldwide steel prices and the cost of new containers, the supply and demand for used containers at a particular location, applicable maintenance standards, refurbishment needs, inflation rates, market conditions, materials and labor costs and equipment obsolescence. Most of these factors are outside of our control. Operating leases, which represent the predominant form of leases in our portfolio, are subject to greater residual value risk than finance leases.

Containers are typically sold if it is in our best interest to do so after taking into consideration the book value, remaining useful life, repair condition, suitability for leasing or other uses and the prevailing local sales price for the containers. As these considerations vary, gains or losses on sale of equipment will also fluctuate and may be significant if we sell large quantities of containers.

Used container selling prices and the gains or losses that we have recognized from selling used containers have varied widely over the last fifteen years. From 1999 through 2003 our average sale prices for used containers were historically low due to low prices for new containers and an extreme over-supply of used containers in North America and Europe following the Asia crisis. We recorded large losses on the disposal of our equipment during these years.

If current market conditions deteriorate due to a decrease in trade volumes, a decrease in new container prices, an increase in the supply of containers available for sale, a significant change in global trading patterns or other factors, sale prices could decrease significantly causing the impact of disposals to quickly change from gains to substantial losses.

Equipment trading is dependent upon a steady supply of used equipment.

We purchase used containers for resale from our shipping line customers and other sellers. If the supply of equipment becomes limited because these sellers develop other means for disposing of their equipment or develop their own sales network, we may not be able to purchase the inventory necessary to meet our goals, and our equipment trading revenues and our profitability could be negatively impacted.

Abrupt changes in selling prices on equipment purchased for resale could negatively affect our equipment trading margins.

We purchase and sell containers opportunistically as part of our equipment trading segment. We purchase equipment for resale on the premise that we will turnover this inventory in a relatively short time frame. If selling prices rapidly deteriorate and we are holding a large inventory that was purchased when prices for equipment were higher, then our gross margins could decline or become negative.

If we are unable to finance capital expenditures, our business and growth plans will be adversely affected.

We periodically make capital investments to, among other things, maintain and expand our container fleet. We have relied heavily on the asset securitization market to finance a majority of our new container investments. During the financial crisis of 2008 and 2009, the asset securitization market was not available to us. If similar or other disruptions in the capital markets and in the asset securitization market in particular occur, it would be more difficult and more expensive for us to fund additional container investments. If we are unsuccessful in obtaining sufficient additional financing on acceptable terms, we will not be able to invest in our fleet and our profitability will decrease.

Table of Contents

We have a substantial amount of debt outstanding on a consolidated basis and have significant debt service obligations which could adversely affect our financial condition or our ability to fulfill our obligations and make it more difficult for us to fund our operations.

We have a significant amount of debt outstanding on a consolidated basis. As of December 31, 2010, we had outstanding indebtedness of \$1.6 billion under our asset backed securities and other debt facilities. In addition, we have capital lease obligations in the amount of \$131.8 million. Our interest and debt expense for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 was \$79.1 million. As of December 31, 2010, our total net debt (total debt plus equipment purchases payable less cash) to total revenue earning assets was 76%.

Our substantial debt could have important consequences for investors, including the following:

require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to make payments on our debt, thereby reducing funds available for operations, future business opportunities and other purposes;

limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;

make it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations with respect to our debt obligations, and any failure to comply with such obligations, including financial and other restrictive covenants, could result in an event of default under the agreements governing such indebtedness, which could lead to, among other things, an acceleration of our indebtedness or foreclosure on the assets securing our indebtedness and which could have a material adverse effect on our business or prospects;

limit our ability to borrow additional funds, or to sell assets to raise funds, if needed, for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other purposes;

make it more difficult for us to pay dividends on our common stock;

increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions, including changes in interest rates; and

place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors having less debt.

We may not generate sufficient revenues to service and repay our debt and have sufficient funds left over to achieve or sustain profitability in our operations, meet our working capital and capital expenditure needs or compete successfully in our markets.

Despite our substantial leverage, we and our subsidiaries may be able to incur additional indebtedness. This could further exacerbate the risks described above.

We and our subsidiaries may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. Although our asset backed securities and other credit facilities contain restrictions on the incurrence of additional indebtedness, such restrictions are subject to a number of qualifications and exceptions, and, under certain circumstances, indebtedness incurred in compliance with such restrictions could be substantial. To the extent that new indebtedness is added to our and our subsidiaries' current debt levels, the risks described above would increase.

We will require a significant amount of cash to service and repay our outstanding indebtedness and fund future capital expenditures. Our ability to generate cash depends on many factors beyond our control.

Our ability to make payments on and repay our indebtedness and to fund planned capital expenditures will depend on our ability to generate cash in the future.

Table of Contents

We cannot assure investors that:

our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations to service and repay our debt and to fund working capital and future capital expenditures;

future borrowings will be available under our current or future credit facilities in an amount sufficient to enable us to repay our debt; or

we will be able to refinance any of our debt on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

If we cannot generate sufficient cash from our operations to meet our debt service and repayment obligations, we may need to reduce or delay capital expenditures, the development of our business generally and any acquisitions. In addition, we may need to refinance our debt, obtain additional financing or sell assets, which we may not be able to do on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

Our customers may decide to lease fewer containers. Should shipping lines decide to buy a larger percentage of the containers they operate, our utilization rate and level of investment would decrease, resulting in decreased leasing revenues, increased storage costs, increased positioning costs and lower growth.

We, like other suppliers of leased containers, are dependent upon decisions by shipping lines to lease rather than buy their container equipment. Should shipping lines decide to buy a larger percentage of the containers they operate, our utilization rate would decrease, resulting in decreased leasing revenues, increased storage costs and increased positioning costs. A decrease in the portion of leased containers would also reduce our investment opportunities and significantly constrain our growth. Most of the factors affecting the decisions of our customers are outside our control.

While the percentage of leased containers has been fairly consistent historically, this percentage decreased steadily from 2004 to 2008. We believe that the increasing share of containers owned directly by the shipping lines during this time was the result of the improved financial performance, increased operating scale and improved information systems of our customers, which made it easier for our customers to finance and deploy new container purchases efficiently.

We are dependent upon continued demand from our large customers and any default or significant reduction in leasing business from any of our large customers, and especially our largest customer, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and future prospects.

Our largest customers account for a significant portion of our revenues. Our five largest customers represented approximately 50% of our leasing revenues in the 2010 fiscal year, with our single largest customer representing approximately 16% during this period. Furthermore, the shipping industry has been consolidating for a number of years, and further consolidation is expected and could increase the portion of our revenues that come from our largest customers. The loss or significant reduction of orders from any of our large customers could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and future prospects.

In addition, several of our largest customers, including our largest customer, have undertaken significant financial restructurings as a result of large financial losses incurred in 2009. We expect the financial performance and financial condition of these customers, though improved from the depths of the crisis, will continue to be pressured due to ongoing growth in vessel capacity. A default by any of our large customers, and especially our largest customer, would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and future prospects.

We face extensive competition in the container leasing industry.

We may be unable to compete favorably in the highly competitive container leasing and sales business. We compete with more than ten other major leasing companies, many smaller lessors, manufacturers of container equipment, companies offering finance leases as distinct from operating

Table of Contents

leases, promoters of container ownership and leasing as a tax shelter investment, shipping lines, which sometimes lease their excess container stocks, and suppliers of alternative types of equipment for freight transport. Some of these competitors may have greater financial resources and access to capital than we do. Additionally, some of these competitors may, at times, accumulate a high volume of underutilized inventories of containers, which could lead to significant downward pressure on lease rates and margins.

Competition among container leasing companies depends upon many factors, including, among others, lease rates, lease terms (including lease duration, drop-off restrictions and repair provisions), customer service, and the location, availability, quality and individual characteristics of equipment. New entrants into the leasing business have been attracted by the high rate of containerized trade growth in recent years, and new entrants have generally been less disciplined than we are in pricing and structuring leases. As a result, the entry of new market participants together with the already highly competitive nature of our industry may reduce lease rates and undermine our ability to maintain our current level of container utilization or achieve our growth plans.

Litigation to enforce our leases and recover our containers has inherent uncertainties that are increased by the location of our containers in jurisdictions that have less developed legal systems.

While almost all of our lease agreements are governed by New York law and provide for the non-exclusive jurisdiction of the courts located in the state of New York, our ability to enforce the lessees' obligations under the leases and other arrangements for use of the containers often is subject to applicable laws in the jurisdiction in which enforcement is sought. It is not possible to predict, with any degree of certainty, the jurisdictions in which enforcement proceedings may be commenced. Our containers are manufactured in Asia, primarily in China, and a substantial portion of our containers are leased out of Asia, primarily China, and are used by our customers in service between Asia and North America, Europe, Central and South America, the Middle East, and Africa and in inter-Asia trade. Litigation and enforcement proceedings have inherent uncertainties in any jurisdiction and are expensive. These uncertainties are enhanced in countries that have less developed legal systems where the interpretation of laws and regulations is not consistent, may be influenced by factors other than legal merits and may be cumbersome, time-consuming and even more expensive. For example, repossession from defaulting lessees may be difficult and more expensive in jurisdictions whose laws do not confer the same security interests and rights to creditors and lessors as those in the United States and where the legal system is not as well developed. As a result, the remedies available and the relative success and expedience of collection and enforcement proceedings with respect to the containers in various jurisdictions cannot be predicted. As more of our business shifts to areas outside of the United States and Europe, such as China, it may become more difficult and expensive to enforce our rights and recover our containers.

In 2008 and 2009, the success of our recovery efforts for defaulted leases was hampered by undeveloped creditor protections and legal systems in a number of countries. In 2008, we experienced an increase in average recovery costs per unit and a decrease in the percentage of containers recovered in default situations primarily due to excessive charges applied to our containers by the depot or terminal facilities that had been storing the containers for the defaulted lessee. In these cases, the payments demanded by the depot or terminal operators often significantly exceeded the amount of storage costs that we would reasonably expect to pay for the release of the containers. However, our legal remedies were limited in many of the jurisdictions where the containers were being stored, and we were sometimes forced to accept the excessive storage charges to gain control of our containers. If the number and size of defaults increases in the future, and if a large percentage of the defaulted containers are being stored in countries with less developed legal systems, losses resulting from recovery payments and unrecovered containers could be large and our profitability significantly reduced.

Table of Contents

We may incur future asset impairment charges.

An asset impairment charge may result from the occurrence of unexpected adverse events or management decisions that impact our estimates of expected cash flows generated from our long-lived assets. We review our long-lived assets, including our container and chassis equipment, goodwill and other intangible assets for impairment, when events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. We may be required to recognize asset impairment charges in the future as a result of reductions in demand for specific container and chassis types, a weak economic environment, challenging market conditions, events related to particular customers or asset type, or as a result of asset or portfolio sale decisions by management.

During the fourth quarter of 2010 we increased the estimated residual values used in our depreciation calculations for several of our containers types. If, in the future, we experience weak demand for these specific container types the amount of a potential impairment charge would be higher than if we had not increased our residual estimates.

Changes in market price, availability or transportation costs of containers in China could adversely affect our ability to maintain our supply of containers.

China is currently the largest container producing nation in the world, and we currently purchase substantially all of our dry containers, special containers and refrigerated containers from manufacturers based in China. In addition, over the last several years, there has been a consolidation in the container manufacturing industry, resulting in two manufacturers controlling over 65% of the market. In the event that it were to become more expensive for us to procure containers in China or to transport these containers at a low cost from the factory locations in China to the locations where they are needed by our customers, because of further consolidation among container suppliers, a dispute with one of our manufacturers, changes in trade patterns, increased tariffs imposed by the United States or other governments or for any other reason, we would have to seek alternative sources of supply. We may not be able to make alternative arrangements quickly enough to meet our equipment needs, and the alternative arrangements may increase our costs.

We may incur costs associated with relocation of leased equipment.

When lessees return equipment to locations where supply exceeds demand, we routinely reposition containers to higher demand areas. Positioning expenses vary depending on geographic location, distance, freight rates and other factors, and may not be fully covered by drop-off charges collected from the last lessees of the equipment or pick-up charges paid by the new lessees. Positioning expenses can be significant if a large portion of our containers are returned to locations with weak demand. For example, prior to the Asia crisis of the late 1990's containerized trade was relatively evenly balanced globally, and as a result, many of our lease contracts provided extensive drop-off flexibility in North America and Europe. However, global containerized trade patterns changed dramatically in the aftermath of the Asia crisis, and demand for leased containers in North America and Europe substantially decreased. We incurred significant positioning expenses from 2000-2003 to shift our inventory of containers from North America and Europe to Asia.

We currently seek to limit the number of containers that can be returned and impose surcharges on containers returned to areas where demand for such containers is not expected to be strong. However, future market conditions may not enable us to continue such practices. In addition, we cannot assure you that we have accurately anticipated which port locations will be characterized by weak or strong demand in the future, and our current contracts will not provide much protection against positioning costs if ports that we expect to be strong demand ports turn out to be surplus container ports at the time leases expire. In particular, we could incur significant positioning costs in the future if trade flows change from net exports to net imports in locations such as the main ports in

Table of Contents

China that we currently consider to be high demand locations and where our leases typically allow large numbers of containers to be returned to us.

Our asset backed securities and other credit facilities impose significant operating and financial restrictions, which may prevent us from pursuing certain business opportunities and taking certain actions.

Our asset backed securities and other credit facilities impose, and the terms of any future indebtedness may impose, significant operating, financial and other restrictions on us and our subsidiaries. These restrictions will limit or prohibit, among other things, our ability to:

incur additional indebtedness;

pay dividends on or redeem or repurchase our stock;

issue capital stock of TAL and our subsidiaries;

make loans and investments;

create liens;

sell certain assets or merge with or into other companies;

enter into certain transactions with stockholders and affiliates;

cause our subsidiaries to make dividends, distributions and other payments to TAL; and

otherwise conduct necessary corporate activities.

These restrictions could adversely affect our ability to finance our future operations or capital needs and pursue available business opportunities. A breach of any of these restrictions could result in a default in respect of the related indebtedness. If a default occurs, the relevant lenders could elect to declare the indebtedness, together with accrued interest and fees, to be immediately due and payable and proceed against any collateral securing that indebtedness, which will constitute substantially all of our material container assets.

Sustained Asian economic instability could reduce demand for leasing.

A number of the shipping lines to which we lease containers are entities domiciled in Asian countries. In addition, many of our customers are substantially dependent upon shipments of goods exported from Asia. From time to time, there have been economic disruptions, financial turmoil and political instability in this region. If these events were to occur in the future, they could adversely affect these customers and lead to reduced demand for leasing of our containers or otherwise adversely affect us.

It may become more expensive for us to store our off-hire containers.

We are dependent on third party depot operators to repair and store our equipment in port areas throughout the world. In many locations the land occupied by these depots is increasingly being considered as prime real estate. Accordingly, local communities are considering increasing restrictions on the depot operations which would increase their costs and in some cases force depots to relocate to sites further from the port areas. If these changes affect a large number of our depots it could significantly increase the cost of maintaining and storing our off-hire

containers.

Manufacturers of our equipment may be unwilling or unable to honor manufacturer warranties covering defects in our equipment.

We obtain warranties from the manufacturers of our equipment. When defects in the containers occur, we work with the manufacturers to identify and rectify the problem. However, there is no assurance that manufacturers will be willing or able to honor warranty obligations. If defects are discovered in containers that are not covered by manufacturer warranties we could be required to expend significant amounts of money to repair the containers and/or the useful life of the containers could be shortened and the value of the containers reduced.

Table of Contents

We rely on our information technology systems to conduct our business. If these systems fail to adequately perform these functions, or if we experience an interruption in their operation, our business and financial results could be adversely affected.

The efficient operation of our business is highly dependent on two of our information technology systems: our equipment tracking and billing system and our customer interface system. For example, these systems allow customers to place pick-up and drop-off orders on the Internet, view current inventory and check contractual terms in effect with respect to any given container lease agreement. We correspondingly rely on such information systems to track transactions, such as container pick-ups and drop-offs, repairs, and to bill our customers for the use and damage to our equipment. We also use the information provided by these systems in our day-to-day business decisions in order to effectively manage our lease portfolio and improve customer service. The failure of these systems to perform as we anticipate could disrupt our business and results of operations and cause our relationships with our customers to suffer. In addition, our information technology systems are vulnerable to damage or interruption from circumstances beyond our control, including fire, natural disasters, power loss and computer systems failures and viruses. Any such interruption could have a material adverse effect on our business.

A number of key personnel are critical to the success of our business.

Most of our senior executives and other management level employees have been with us for over ten years and have significant industry experience. We rely on this knowledge and experience in our strategic planning and in our day-to-day business operations. Our success depends in large part upon our ability to retain our senior management, the loss of one or more of whom could have a material adverse effect on our business. Our success also depends on our ability to retain our experienced sales force and technical personnel as well as recruiting new skilled sales, marketing and technical personnel. Competition for these persons in our industry is intense and we may not be able to successfully recruit, train or retain qualified personnel. If we fail to retain and recruit the necessary personnel, our business and our ability to retain customers and provide acceptable levels of customer service could suffer.

The international nature of the container industry exposes us to numerous risks

We are subject to risks inherent in conducting business across national boundaries, any one of which could adversely impact our business. These risks include:

regional or local economic downturns;

changes in governmental policy or regulation;

restrictions on the transfer of funds into or out of countries in which we operate;

compliance with U.S. Treasury sanctions regulations restricting doing business with certain nations or specially designated nationals;

import and export duties and quotas;

domestic and foreign customs and tariffs;

international incidents;

military outbreaks;

government instability;

nationalization of foreign assets;

government protectionism;

Table of Contents

compliance with export controls, including those of the U.S. Department of Commerce;

compliance with import procedures and controls, including those of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security;

potentially negative consequences from changes in tax laws;

requirements relating to withholding taxes on remittances and other payments by subsidiaries;

labor or other disruptions at key ports;

difficulty in staffing and managing widespread operations; and

restrictions on our ability to own or operate subsidiaries, make investments or acquire new businesses in these jurisdictions.

Any one or more of these factors could impair our current or future international operations and, as a result, harm our overall business.

The lack of an international title registry for containers increases the risk of ownership disputes.

There is no internationally recognized system of recordation or filing to evidence our title to containers nor is there an internationally recognized system for filing security interest in containers. Although this has not occurred to date, the lack of a title recordation system with respect to containers could result in disputes with lessees, end-users, or third parties who may improperly claim ownership of the containers.

Certain liens may arise on our containers.

Depot operators, repairmen and transporters may come into possession of our containers from time to time and have sums due to them from the lessees or sublessees of the containers. In the event of nonpayment of those charges by the lessees or sublessees, we may be delayed in, or entirely barred from, repossessing the containers, or be required to make payments or incur expenses to discharge such liens on the containers.

As a U.S. corporation, we are subject to the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, and a determination that we violated this act may affect our business and operations adversely.

As a U.S. corporation, we are subject to the regulations imposed by the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA), which generally prohibits U.S. companies and their intermediaries from making improper payments to foreign officials for the purpose of obtaining or keeping business. Any determination that we have violated the FCPA could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

As a U.S. corporation, we are subject to U.S. Executive Orders and U.S. Treasury Sanctions Regulations regarding doing business in or with certain nations and specially designated nationals (SDNs).

As a U.S. corporation, we are subject to U.S. Executive Orders and U.S. Treasury sanctions regulations restricting or prohibiting business dealings in or with certain nations and with certain specially designated nationals (individuals and legal entities). Any determination that we have violated such Executive Orders and U.S. Treasury sanctions regulations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Table of Contents

Future changes to lease accounting rules under U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) could significantly impact how we and our customers conduct our businesses and account for leases.

As a U.S. publicly held company we are required to prepare and report our consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP. On August 17, 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued *Proposed Accounting Standards Update Leases (Topic 840)*. This Exposure Draft proposes a new approach to lease accounting that differs significantly from current practice, most notably it would require lessees to record leased assets on their balance sheets. If this proposed new accounting standard becomes effective in its current form, it could significantly impact our customers' lease versus buy decisions and could, therefore, have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations and cash flows.

We may incur increased costs associated with the implementation of new security regulations, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We may be subject to regulations promulgated in various countries, including the United States, seeking to protect the integrity of international commerce and prevent the use of containers for international terrorism or other illicit activities. For example, the Container Safety Initiative, the Customs-Trade Partnership Against Terrorism and Operation Safe Commerce are among the programs administered by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security that are designed to enhance security for cargo moving throughout the international transportation system by identifying existing vulnerabilities in the supply chain and developing improved methods for ensuring the security of containerized cargo entering and leaving the United States. Moreover, the International Convention for Safe Containers, 1972 (CSC), as amended, adopted by the International Maritime Organization, applies to containers and seeks to maintain a high level of safety of human life in the transport and handling of containers by providing uniform international safety regulations. As these regulations develop and change, we may incur increased compliance costs due to the acquisition of new, compliant containers and/or the adaptation of existing containers to meet any new requirements imposed by such regulations. Additionally, certain companies are currently developing or may in the future develop products designed to enhance the security of containers transported in international commerce. Regardless of the existence of current or future government regulations mandating the safety standards of intermodal shipping containers, our competitors may adopt such products or our customers may require that we adopt such products in the conduct of our container leasing business. In responding to such market pressures, we may incur increased costs, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Terrorist attacks could negatively impact our operations and our profitability and may expose us to liability and reputational damage.

Terrorist attacks may negatively affect our operations. Such attacks have contributed to economic instability in the United States and elsewhere, and further acts of terrorism, violence or war could similarly affect world trade and the industries in which we and our customers operate. In addition, terrorist attacks or hostilities may directly impact ports our containers come in and out of, depots, our physical facilities or those of our suppliers or customers and could impact our sales and our supply chain. A severe disruption to the worldwide ports system and flow of goods could result in a reduction in the level of international trade and lower demand for our containers. The consequences of any terrorist attacks or hostilities are unpredictable, and we may not be able to foresee events that could have an adverse effect on our operations.

It is also possible that one of our containers could be involved in a terrorist attack. Although our lease agreements require our lessees to indemnify us against all damages arising out of the use of our containers, and we carry insurance to potentially offset any costs in the event that our customer indemnifications prove to be insufficient, our insurance does not cover certain types of terrorist attacks,

Table of Contents

and we may not be fully protected from liability or the reputational damage that could arise from a terrorist attack which utilizes one of our containers.

Environmental liability may adversely affect our business and financial situation.

We are subject to federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, including those governing the discharge of pollutants to air and water, the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes and the cleanup of contaminated sites. We could incur substantial costs, including cleanup costs, fines and third-party claims for property damage and personal injury, as a result of violations of or liabilities under environmental laws and regulations in connection with our current or historical operations. Under some environmental laws in the United States and certain other countries, the owner of a leased container may be liable for environmental damage, cleanup or other costs in the event of a spill or discharge of material from a container without regard to the owner's fault. We have not yet experienced any such claims, although we cannot assure you that we will not be subject to such claims in the future. Liability insurance policies, including ours, usually exclude claims for environmental damage. Some of our lessees may have separate insurance coverage for environmental damage, but we cannot assure you that any such policies would cover or otherwise offset any liability we may have as the owner of a leased container. Our standard master tank container lease agreement insurance clause requires our tank container lessees to provide pollution liability insurance. Such insurance or indemnities may not fully protect us against damages arising from environmental damage.

Fluctuations in foreign exchange rates could reduce our profitability.

The majority of our revenues and costs are billed in U.S. dollars. Most of our non-U.S. dollar transactions are individually of small amounts and in various denominations and thus are not suitable for cost-effective hedging. In addition, almost all of our container purchases are paid for in U.S. dollars.

Our operations and used container sales in locations outside of the U.S. have some exposure to foreign currency fluctuations, and trade growth and the direction of trade flows can be influenced by large changes in relative currency values. Adverse or large exchange rate fluctuations may negatively affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Most of our equipment fleet is manufactured in China. Although the purchase price is in U.S. dollars, our manufacturers pay labor and other costs in the local currency, the Chinese Yuan. To the extent that our manufacturers' costs increase due to changes in the valuation of the Chinese Yuan, the dollar price we pay for equipment could be affected.

Increases in the cost of or the lack of availability of insurance could increase our risk exposure and reduce our profitability.

Our lessees and depots are required to maintain all risks physical damage insurance, comprehensive general liability insurance and to indemnify us against loss. We also maintain our own contingent liability insurance and off-hire physical damage insurance. Nevertheless, lessees' and depots' insurance or indemnities and our insurance may not fully protect us. The cost of such insurance may increase or become prohibitively expensive for us and our customers and such insurance may not continue to be available.

We also maintain director and officer liability insurance. Potential new accounting standards and new corporate governance regulations may make it more difficult and more expensive for us to obtain director and officer liability insurance, and we may be required to incur substantial costs to maintain increased levels of coverage or it may not continue to be available.

Table of Contents

We are a "controlled company" within the meaning established by the New York Stock Exchange and, as a result, qualify for, and intend to rely on, exemptions from certain corporate governance requirements.

The Resolute Fund, L.P., its affiliated funds and the other parties to a shareholders agreement among the investors who acquired our company in November 2004, management and certain of our other shareholders, as a group, control a majority of our outstanding common stock, and, as a result, we are considered a "controlled company" within the meaning of the corporate governance standards of the New York Stock Exchange. Under these rules, a "controlled company" is exempt from complying with certain corporate governance requirements, including (1) the requirement that a majority of the board of directors consist of independent directors, (2) the requirement that we have a nominating/corporate governance committee that is composed entirely of independent directors and (3) the requirement that we have a compensation committee that is composed entirely of independent directors. As a result, our board of directors does not consist of a majority of independent directors nor does our board of directors have compensation and nominating/corporate governance committees consisting entirely of independent directors. Accordingly, investors do not have the same protections afforded to stockholders of companies that are subject to all of the corporate governance requirements of the New York Stock Exchange.

Our strategy to selectively pursue complementary acquisitions and joint ventures may present unforeseen integration obstacles or costs.

We may selectively pursue complementary acquisitions and joint ventures. Acquisitions involve a number of risks and present financial, managerial and operational challenges, including:

potential disruption of our ongoing business and distraction of management;

difficulty with integration of personnel and financial and other systems;

hiring additional management and other critical personnel; and

increasing the scope, geographic diversity and complexity of our operations.

In addition, we may encounter unforeseen obstacles or costs in the integration of acquired businesses. Also, the presence of one or more material liabilities of an acquired company that are unknown to us at the time of acquisition may have a material adverse effect on our business. Our acquisition and joint venture strategy may not be successfully received by customers, and we may not realize any anticipated benefits from acquisitions or joint ventures.

The price of our common stock may be highly volatile and may decline regardless of our operating performance.

The trading price of our common shares is likely to be subject to wide fluctuations. Factors affecting the trading price of our common shares may include:

variations in our financial results;

changes in financial estimates or investment recommendations by securities analysts following our business;

the public's response to our press releases, our other public announcements and our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission;

changes in accounting standards, policies, guidance or interpretations or principles;

future sales of common stock by us and our directors, officers and significant stockholders;

announcements of technological innovations or enhanced or new products by us or our competitors;

Table of Contents

our failure to achieve operating results consistent with securities analysts' projections;

the operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors may deem comparable to us;

changes in our dividend policy;

fluctuations in the worldwide equity markets;

recruitment or departure of key personnel;

our failure to timely address changing customer preferences;

broad market and industry factors; and

other events or factors, including those resulting from war, incidents of terrorism or responses to such events.

In addition, if the market for intermodal equipment leasing company stocks or the stock market in general experiences loss of investor confidence, the trading price of our common shares could decline for reasons unrelated to our business or financial results. The trading price of our common shares might also decline in reaction to events that affect other companies in our industry even if these events do not directly affect us.

Future sales of shares of our common stock by us or our existing controlling stockholders could cause our stock price to decline

We filed a Form S-3 with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission that became effective on December 30, 2010 and established a shelf registration for up to \$300 million of debt and equity securities by TAL and the sale of up to five million shares of our common stock by original investors in TAL. The price of our shares could be negatively impacted if we or any of the original investors in TAL undertake an offering to sell shares pursuant to this shelf registration.

If securities analysts do not publish research or reports about our business or if they downgrade our stock, the price of our stock could decline.

The trading market for our common shares relies in part on the research and reports that industry or financial analysts publish about us or our business or our industry. We have no influence or control over these analysts. Furthermore, if one or more of the analysts who do cover us downgrades our stock, the price of our stock could decline. If one or more of these analysts ceases coverage of our company, we could lose visibility in the market, which in turn could cause our stock price to decline.

Our failure to comply with required public company corporate governance and financial reporting practices and regulations could materially and adversely impact our financial condition, operating results and the price of our common stock.

The Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 requires that we maintain effective internal controls for financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures. If we do not maintain compliance with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002, or if we or our independent registered public accounting firm identify deficiencies in our internal controls over financial reporting that are deemed to be material weaknesses, we could suffer a loss of investor confidence in the reliability of our financial statements, which could cause the market price of our stock to decline. We can also be subject to sanctions or investigations by the New York Stock Exchange, the Securities and Exchange Commission or other regulatory authorities for failure to comply with public company corporate governance and financial reporting practices and regulations.

Table of Contents

Our internal controls over financial reporting may not detect all errors or omissions in the financial statements.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act requires an annual management assessment of the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting and a report by our independent registered public accounting firm. If we fail to maintain the adequacy of internal controls over financial accounting, we may not be able to conclude on an ongoing basis that we have effective internal controls over financial reporting in accordance with the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 and related regulations. Although our management has concluded that adequate internal control procedures are currently in place, no system of internal controls can provide absolute assurance that the financial statements are accurate and free of material errors. As a result, the risk exists that our internal controls may not detect all errors or omissions in the financial statements.

Adverse changes in business conditions could negatively impact our income tax provision or cash payments.

Our net deferred tax liability balance includes a deferred tax asset for U.S. federal and various states resulting from net operating loss carryforwards. A reduction to our future earnings, which will lower taxable income, may require us to record a charge against earnings, in the form of a valuation allowance, if it is determined it is more-likely-than-not that some or all of the loss carryforwards will not be realized.

In addition, under certain conditions, if our future investment in new container and chassis operating leases is significantly less than estimated, we may fail to benefit from future accelerated depreciation for income tax purposes. If this occurs we could pay significant income taxes sooner than we currently project.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Office Locations. As of December 31, 2010, our employees are located in 18 offices in 11 different countries. We have 7 offices in the U.S. including our headquarters in Purchase, New York. We have 11 offices outside the U.S. We lease all of our office space.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time we are a party to litigation matters arising in connection with the normal course of our business. While we cannot predict the outcome of these matters, in the opinion of our management, any liability arising from these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our business. Nevertheless, unexpected adverse future events, such as an unforeseen development in our existing proceedings, a significant increase in the number of new cases or changes in our current insurance arrangements could result in liabilities that have a material adverse impact on our business.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders of TAL International Group, Inc. during the fourth quarter of 2010.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS, AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Our common stock has been traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "TAL" since October 12, 2005. Prior to that time, there was no public market for our common stock.

The following table reflects the range of high and low sales prices, as reported on the New York Stock Exchange, for our common stock in each quarter of the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

	High	Low
2010:		
Fourth Quarter	\$ 31.71	\$ 23.50
Third Quarter	\$ 28.97	\$ 20.94
Second Quarter	\$ 27.19	\$ 19.85
First Quarter	\$ 20.29	\$ 12.91
2009:		
Fourth Quarter	\$ 15.19	\$ 11.10
Third Quarter	\$ 14.65	\$ 8.81
Second Quarter	\$ 11.46	\$ 5.96
First Quarter	\$ 14.40	\$ 5.51

On February 15, 2011, the closing price of a share of our common stock was \$35.83, as reported on the New York Stock Exchange. On that date, there were approximately 49 holders of record of the common stock and approximately 9,300 beneficial holders, based on information obtained from our transfer agent.

Table of Contents**PERFORMANCE GRAPH**

The graph below compares our cumulative shareholder returns with the S&P 500 Stock Index and the Russell 2000 Stock Index for the period from October 12, 2005 (the date our common stock began trading) to December 31, 2010. The graph assumes the investment of \$100 as of October 12, 2005 and the reinvestment of all dividends.

**Comparison of Cumulative Total Return
October 12, 2005 through December 31, 2010**

Company/Index	Base Period 10/12/2005	INDEXED RETURNS Years Ending					
		12/31/2005	12/31/2006	12/31/2007	12/31/2008	12/31/2009	12/31/2010
TAL International Group, Inc.	100	114.72	151.18	136.68	92.01	86.66	210.72
S&P 500 Index	100	106.52	123.34	130.12	81.98	103.67	118.93
Russell 2000 Index	100	108.62	128.58	126.56	83.80	107.94	136.93

Dividends

We paid the following quarterly dividends during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 on our issued and outstanding common stock:

Record Date	Payment Date	Aggregate Payment	Per Share Payment
December 2, 2010	December 23, 2010	\$ 12.2 million	\$ 0.40
September 2, 2010	September 23, 2010	\$ 10.7 million	\$ 0.35
June 3, 2010	June 24, 2010	\$ 9.1 million	\$ 0.30
March 11, 2010	March 25, 2010	\$ 7.6 million	\$ 0.25
December 1, 2009	December 22, 2009	\$ 0.3 million	\$ 0.01
September 3, 2009	September 24, 2009	\$ 0.3 million	\$ 0.01
June 2, 2009	June 23, 2009	\$ 0.3 million	\$ 0.01
March 12, 2009	March 26, 2009	\$ 0.3 million	\$ 0.01

We increased our quarterly cash dividend to \$0.45 per share for the first quarter of 2011. We cannot provide any assurance as to future dividends because they depend on our future earnings, capital requirements, and financial condition.

Table of Contents

Stock Repurchase Program

On March 13, 2006, our Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program for the repurchase of our common stock. The stock repurchase program, as now amended, authorizes us to repurchase up to 4.0 million shares of our common stock.

There was no share purchase activity during the fourth quarter ended December 31, 2010.

Stock repurchases under this program may be made through open market and/or privately negotiated transactions at such times and in such amounts as a committee of our Board of Directors deems appropriate. The timing and actual number of shares repurchased will depend on a variety of factors including price, corporate and regulatory requirements, restrictions regarding a repurchase program included in our credit facilities and other market conditions. The stock repurchase program does not have an expiration date and may be limited or terminated by the Board of Directors at any time without prior notice.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities; Use of Proceeds From Registered Securities

None.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference from our proxy statement to be issued in connection with the Annual Meeting of our Stockholders to be held on April 26, 2011, which proxy statement will be filed with the SEC within 120 days of the close of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following table sets forth certain selected historical financial, operating and other data of TAL International Group, Inc. The selected historical consolidated statement of operations data, balance sheet data and other financial data for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were derived from the Company's audited consolidated financial statements and related notes. The data below should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified by reference to, our Management's Discussion and Analysis and our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained elsewhere in this report. The historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in any future period.

	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007	Year Ended December 31, 2006
Statement of Operations Data:					
Leasing revenues	\$ 328,530	\$ 309,261	\$ 319,292	\$ 286,273	\$ 273,157
Equipment trading revenues	34,636	39,693	95,394	49,214	23,665
Management fee income	2,932	2,629	3,136	5,475	6,454
Other revenues	702	954	2,170	2,303	2,301
Total revenues	366,800	352,537	419,992	343,265	305,577
Operating expenses (income):					
Equipment trading expenses	28,814	37,538	84,216	43,920	21,863
Direct operating expenses	24,489	36,942	28,246	28,552	25,938
Administrative expenses	41,724	40,908	46,154	39,843	36,950
Depreciation and amortization(3)	115,927	115,688	110,450	101,670	103,849
(Reversal) provision for doubtful accounts	(843)	545	4,878	792	(529)
Net (gain) on sale of leasing equipment	(25,765)	(9,278)	(23,534)	(12,119)	(6,242)
Net (gain) on sale of container portfolios		(185)	(2,789)		
Total operating expenses	184,346	222,158	247,621	202,658	181,829
Operating income	182,454	130,379	172,371	140,607	123,748
Other expenses (income):					
Interest and debt expense	79,104	68,807	64,983	52,129	47,578
Write-off of deferred financing costs	675		250	204	2,367
(Gain) on debt extinguishment(1)		(14,130)	(23,772)		
Net loss (gain) on interest rate swaps(2)	13,029	(35,152)	76,047	27,883	8,282
Total other expenses	92,808	19,525	117,508	80,216	58,227

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Income before income taxes	89,646	110,854	54,863	60,391	65,521
Income tax expense	31,922	39,268	19,067	21,600	23,388
Net income	\$ 57,724	\$ 71,586	\$ 35,796	\$ 38,791	\$ 42,133

Earnings Per Share

Data:

Basic income per share applicable to common stockholders	\$ 1.90	\$ 2.31	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.17	\$ 1.28
Diluted income per share applicable to common stockholders	\$ 1.88	\$ 2.30	\$ 1.09	\$ 1.16	\$ 1.26
Weighted average common shares outstanding:					
Basic	30,440,816	31,021,339	32,572,901	33,183,252	32,987,077
Diluted	30,716,668	31,072,265	32,693,320	33,369,958	33,430,438
Cash dividends paid per common share	\$ 1.30	\$ 0.04	\$ 1.61	\$ 1.43	\$ 0.45

- (1) Gain on debt extinguishment of \$14.1 million and \$23.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, was due to the repurchase of a portion of asset backed term notes issued during 2006.
- (2) Net losses and gains on interest rate swaps are primarily due to changes in interest rates. Certain swaps were designated as cash flow hedges during the periods from November 2005 to April 2006, and June 2010 to

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

October 2010. Changes in fair value of the swaps not designated as cash flow hedges were recorded in the statement of operations.

(3)

Depreciation expense was reduced by \$5.5 million (\$3.6 million after tax or \$0.12 per diluted share) beginning October 1, 2010 as the result of an increase in the residual value estimates included in the Company's depreciation policy (see Note 2 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements).

	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007	Year Ended December 31, 2006
Balance Sheet Data (end of period):					
Cash and cash equivalents (including restricted cash)	\$ 85,612	\$ 73,604	\$ 56,958	\$ 70,695	\$ 58,167
Accounts receivable, net	48,311	33,086	42,335	41,637	39,318
Revenue earning assets, net	2,286,831	1,603,819	1,764,522	1,500,056	1,253,877
Total assets	2,517,215	1,800,978	1,955,498	1,705,887	1,455,663
Total debt	1,770,332	1,161,298	1,351,036	1,174,654	958,317
Stockholders' equity	428,410	418,829	364,471	393,477	398,750
Other Financial Data:					
Capital expenditures	\$ 844,214	\$ 57,957	\$ 492,635	\$ 392,883	\$ 253,340
Proceeds from sale of equipment leasing fleet, net of selling costs	99,275	69,473	83,956	63,006	58,462
Selected Fleet Data(1)(2):					
Dry container units	720,008	592,953	640,838	576,887	547,172
Refrigerated container units	45,215	36,061	37,740	37,511	35,038
Special container units	45,234	47,857	50,893	45,668	42,183
Equipment trading units	33,373	14,947	16,735	14,583	8,815
Chassis	9,208	8,778	8,796	7,955	6,579
Tank container units	2,648	1,350	1,319	110	
Total container units/chassis	855,686	701,946	756,321	682,714	639,787
Total containers/chassis in TEU	1,397,183	1,139,523	1,224,452	1,111,164	1,037,323
Average utilization %(3)	96.1%	88.0%	94.4%	94.9%	92.6%

(1)

Includes our operating fleet (which is comprised of our owned and managed fleet) plus certain other units including finance leases.

(2)

Calculated as of the end of the relevant period.

(3)

Average utilization is computed by dividing our total units on lease by the total units in our fleet excluding new units not yet leased.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The statements in this discussion regarding industry outlook, our expectations regarding our future performance, liquidity and capital resources and other non-historical statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, the risks and uncertainties described under "Risk Factors" and "Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" as discussed elsewhere in this Form 10-K. Our actual results may differ materially from those contained in or implied by any forward-looking statements.

Our Company

We are one of the world's largest and oldest lessors of intermodal containers and chassis. Intermodal containers are large, standardized steel boxes used to transport freight by ship, rail or truck. Because of the handling efficiencies they provide, intermodal containers are the primary means by which many goods and materials are shipped internationally. Chassis are used for the transportation of containers domestically.

We operate our business in one industry, intermodal transportation equipment, and have two business segments:

Equipment leasing we own, lease and ultimately dispose of containers and chassis from our lease fleet, as well as manage containers owned by third parties.

Equipment trading we purchase containers from shipping line customers, and other sellers of containers, and resell these containers to container traders and users of containers for storage or one-way shipment.

Operations

Our consolidated operations include the acquisition, leasing, re-leasing and subsequent sale of multiple types of intermodal containers and chassis. As of December 31, 2010, our total fleet consisted of 855,686 containers and chassis, including 28,089 containers under management for third parties, representing 1,397,183 twenty-foot equivalent units (TEU). We have an extensive global presence, offering leasing services through 18 offices in 11 countries and 216 third party container depot facilities in 39 countries as of December 31, 2010. Our customers are among the world's largest shipping lines and include, among others, APL-NOL, CMA CGM, Maersk Line, Mediterranean Shipping Company and NYK Line. For the year ended December 31, 2010, our twenty largest customers accounted for 78% of our leasing revenues, our five largest customers accounted for 50% of our leasing revenues, and our largest customer accounted for 16% of our leasing revenues.

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following tables provide the composition of our equipment fleet as of the dates indicated below (in both units and TEU's):

	Equipment Fleet in Units								
	December 31, 2010			December 31, 2009			December 31, 2008		
	Owned	Managed	Total	Owned	Managed	Total	Owned	Managed	Total
Dry	694,351	25,657	720,008	564,885	28,068	592,953	610,759	30,079	640,838
Refrigerated	44,955	260	45,215	35,611	450	36,061	37,119	621	37,740
Special	43,062	2,172	45,234	45,238	2,619	47,857	48,054	2,839	50,893
Tank	2,648		2,648	1,350		1,350	1,319		1,319
Chassis	9,208		9,208	8,778		8,778	8,796		8,796
Equipment leasing fleet	794,224	28,089	822,313	655,862	31,137	686,999	706,047	33,539	739,586
Equipment trading fleet(1)	33,373		33,373	14,947		14,947	16,735		16,735
Total	827,597	28,089	855,686	670,809	31,137	701,946	722,782	33,539	756,321
Percentage	96.7%	3.3%	100.0%	95.6%	4.4%	100.0%	95.6%	4.4%	100.0%

(1)

Includes 25,189 units on lease under sale-leaseback transactions as of December 31, 2010.

	Equipment Fleet in TEU's								
	December 31, 2010			December 31, 2009			December 31, 2008		
	Owned	Managed	Total	Owned	Managed	Total	Owned	Managed	Total
Dry	1,116,392	46,462	1,162,854	899,599	50,426	950,025	968,772	53,692	1,022,464
Refrigerated	85,166	455	85,621	65,971	758	66,729	68,270	1,022	69,292
Special	74,273	3,622	77,895	77,617	4,255	81,872	82,322	4,624	86,946
Tank	2,698		2,698	1,400		1,400	1,369		1,369
Chassis	16,367		16,367	15,612		15,612	15,645		15,645
Equipment leasing fleet	1,294,896	50,539	1,345,435	1,060,199	55,439	1,115,638	1,136,378	59,338	1,195,716
Equipment trading fleet(1)	51,748		51,748	23,885		23,885	28,736		28,736
Total	1,346,644	50,539	1,397,183	1,084,084	55,439	1,139,523	1,165,114	59,338	1,224,452
Percentage	96.4%	3.6%	100.0%	95.1%	4.9%	100.0%	95.2%	4.8%	100.0%

(1)

Includes 40,425 TEU on lease under sale-leaseback transactions as of December 31, 2010.

We primarily lease three principal types of equipment: (1) dry freight containers, which are used for general cargo such as manufactured component parts, consumer staples, electronics and apparel, (2) refrigerated containers, which are used for perishable items such as fresh and frozen foods, and (3) special containers, which are used for heavy and oversized cargo such as marble slabs, building products and machinery. We also lease chassis, which are used for the transportation of containers domestically, and tank containers, which are used to transport bulk liquid products such as chemicals. Our in-house equipment sales group manages the sale process for our used containers and chassis from our

equipment leasing fleet and buys and sells used and new containers and chassis acquired from third parties.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2010, the percentages of our equipment fleet and leasing revenues by equipment type are as follows:

Equipment Type	Percent of total fleet units	Percent of leasing revenue
Dry	84.1%	59.1%
Refrigerated	5.3	25.6
Special	5.3	10.3
Chassis	1.1	2.4
Tank	0.3	1.5
Equipment leasing fleet	96.1	98.9
Equipment trading fleet	3.9	1.1
Total	100.0%	100.0%

We generally lease our equipment on a per diem basis to our customers under three types of leases: long-term leases, finance leases and service leases. Long-term leases, typically with initial contractual terms ranging from three to eight years, provide us with stable cash flow and low transaction costs by requiring customers to maintain specific units on-hire for the duration of the lease. Finance leases, which are typically structured as full payout leases, provide for a predictable recurring revenue stream with the lowest daily cost to the customer because customers are generally required to retain the equipment for the duration of its useful life. Service leases command a premium per diem rate in exchange for providing customers with a greater level of operational flexibility by allowing the pick-up and drop-off of units during the lease term. We also have expired long-term leases whose fixed terms have ended but for which the related units remain on-hire and for which we continue to receive rental payments pursuant to the terms of the initial contract. Some leases have contractual terms that have features reflective of both long-term and service leases and we classify such leases as either long-term or service leases, depending upon which features we believe are more predominant.

The following table provides a summary of our equipment leasing fleet portfolio by lease type, based on total on-hire units as of the dates indicated below:

Lease Portfolio	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
Long-term leases	65.4%	68.7%	60.9%
Finance leases	8.8	11.7	10.0
Service leases	18.5	15.2	19.5
Expired long-term leases (units on hire)	7.3	4.4	9.6
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

As of December 31, 2010, our long-term leases had an average remaining contract term of approximately 49 months, assuming no leases are renewed.

Operating Performance

Our profitability is primarily determined by the extent to which our leasing and other revenues exceed our ownership, operating and administrative expenses. Our profitability is also impacted by the gain or loss that we realize on the sale of our used equipment and the net sales margins on our equipment trading activities.

Our leasing revenues are primarily driven by our owned fleet size, utilization and average rental rates. Our leasing revenues also include ancillary fees driven by drop-off volumes. During 2010, our leasing revenue increased rapidly over the course of the year. Leasing revenues for the full year of 2010

Table of Contents

increased 6.2% from the 2009 level to reach \$328.5 million, but the year-to-year comparison does not fully reflect the rate at which leasing revenues increased during 2010. Our leasing revenues decreased throughout 2009 as lower trade volumes associated with the financial crisis limited our investment in new units and pressured our utilization and average rental rates. During 2010, trade volumes rapidly recovered to pre-crisis levels, allowing us to make large investments in our fleet and helping us drive a rapid recovery in our utilization and average rental rates. During 2010, our leasing revenue increased 29.6% from \$72.9 million in the first quarter to \$94.5 million in the fourth quarter.

Owned fleet size. As of December 31, 2010, our owned fleet included 1,346,644 TEUs, an increase of 24.2% from December 31, 2009. The increase in fleet size during 2010 was due to several factors, including large purchases of new containers and the completion of several sale-leaseback transactions for trading containers.

TAL increased new container purchases in 2010 due to a strong recovery in demand for leased containers. Trade volumes were exceptionally weak from the end of 2008 through the middle of 2009, leading to a decrease in demand for containers and a build-up of excess container inventories. In response to this, leasing companies and shipping lines effectively ceased purchasing containers in 2009, and we estimate that global container capacity decreased five percent or more from September 2008 through the end of 2009 as containers aged out of service and were not replaced. However, trade volumes began to recover in the second half of 2009, and by the summer of 2010 had reached or surpassed 2008 peak levels on most trade routes. In 2010, this combination of decreased container capacity and recovering trade volumes led to a global shortage of containers, strong leasing demand and rapidly increasing utilization for TAL. In response to the strong leasing demand in 2010, TAL purchased over \$880 million of new equipment, including over 300,000 TEU of dry containers and approximately 25,000 TEU of refrigerated containers.

TAL's purchases of new containers in 2010 have also been supported by a shift in the mix of shipping-line owned versus leased containers. Historically, shipping lines have purchased and owned 55% to 60% of the containers they operate, and leased 40% to 45% of their operated containers from leasing companies like TAL. However, most shipping lines were cautious about committing to large purchases of new containers during 2010 despite the global container shortage. Many shipping lines continue to face financial constraints due to lingering effects of the financial crisis and increased capital outlays associated with their aggressive ship building programs, and in 2010 they relied more heavily on the leasing market for access to additional container capacity. This trend has continued into the early part of 2011 and as of the end of January 2011, TAL has ordered over \$300 million of new equipment, including over 100,000 TEU of new dry containers and approximately 3,500 TEU of new refrigerated containers for delivery in the first half of 2011.

Utilization. Our average utilization was 96.1% in the year ended December 31, 2010, an increase of 8.1% from the year ended December 31, 2009. Ending utilization increased 8.0% from 90.3% as of December 31, 2009 to 98.3% as of December 31, 2010. The increase in our utilization during 2010 was mainly the result of the rapid increase in trade volumes during the first half of the year and constrained new container production capacity. While TAL purchased a record number of new containers in 2010, overall container production for the market was fairly low as the container manufacturers needed to ramp-up production after effectively closing their factories in 2009. This combination of strong containerized trade volumes and constrained new container production capacity led to exceptionally strong leasing demand and a rapid increase in our utilization.

The global container shortage also led to a significant decrease in container drop-off volumes from our customers, which caused our inventory of old containers available for sale to decrease throughout the year. While global containerized trade volumes have decreased seasonally from the third quarter of 2010, our utilization has remained strong into the first part of 2011 as shipping lines have generally preferred to retain leased containers on-hire to help ease the shortages they faced during the peak

Table of Contents

season in 2010 and to continue to enjoy historical leasing rates that in many cases are well below current market levels.

Utilization and leasing demand for our refrigerated containers remained solid in 2010. The utilization of our refrigerated containers does not heavily influence our overall utilization since they represent only 5.3% of the units in our fleet. However, these container types are significantly more expensive than dry containers, generate higher per diem lease rates and currently represent approximately 25.6% of our leasing revenue. Leasing demand for special containers remained steady while utilization for our chassis product line improved due to increased leasing demand driven by a rebound in the container volumes coming into the United States.

The following tables set forth our equipment fleet utilization (1) for the periods indicated below:

Average Utilization	Year Ended December 31,	Quarter Ended December 31,	Quarter Ended September 30,	Quarter Ended June 30,	Quarter Ended March 31,
2010	96.1%	98.6%	98.1%	95.4%	91.8%
2009	88.0%	88.7%	86.2%	87.0%	90.1%
2008	94.4%	94.0%	95.5%	94.3%	93.8%

Ending Utilization	December 31,	September 30,	June 30,	March 31,
2010	98.3%	98.5%	97.1%	93.4%
2009	90.3%	87.6%	85.9%	88.5%
2008	92.4%	95.8%	95.4%	94.0%

(1)

Utilization is computed by dividing our total units on lease by the total units in our fleet excluding new units not yet leased.

Average rental rates. Our average rental rates increased steadily throughout 2010 after decreasing during 2009. In 2009, our average dry container rental rates decreased 10.1% over the course of the year, primarily due to lease incentives provided to certain customers in order to encourage them to pick up containers and extend containers on-lease during the difficult economic and trade environment. Our market environment changed dramatically in 2010, and our average dry container lease rates increased 20.0% from their level as of the end of 2009 as some of the 2009 incentive deals expired and as we placed new containers purchased in 2010 onto leases with rates much higher than our portfolio average. Lease rates for new dry containers in 2010 have been supported by strong leasing demand and very high prices for new containers. New dry container prices increased over 40% during 2010 due to increasing steel prices, the strong demand for containers and container production capacity constraints. New dry container prices and market leasing rates have remained historically high into the first part of 2011, and we expect our average dry container lease rates to continue to trend upward during 2011 unless new container prices fall significantly from their current level.

In 2010, average lease rates for refrigerated and special containers over the course of the year were 0.5% lower and 0.8% higher, respectively, compared to 2009. The decrease in average lease rates for our refrigerated containers was primarily due to lease rate concessions provided to certain customers in 2009 for lease extension transactions. In addition, market leasing rates for new refrigerated containers remain slightly below our portfolio average rates due to a decrease in the price of new refrigerated containers over the last several years. The increase in average lease rates for our special containers was due to the placement of new special containers purchased in 2010 onto leases with rates higher than our portfolio average, combined with the expiration of a lease contract at rates lower than our portfolio average.

Fee and ancillary revenue. Fee and ancillary revenue decreased \$12.9 million, or 40.4% in 2010 compared to 2009, and this decrease partially offset the growth in our leasing revenue from our larger owned fleet size, increase in utilization and increase in average dry container lease rates. Most of our

Table of Contents

fee and ancillary revenue, including repair charges, handling fees and logistical fees, are related to container drop-offs. Due to the global shortage of dry containers, the volume of container drop-offs was exceptionally low during 2010. We expect our drop-off volumes and fee and ancillary revenue to increase when the supply and demand balance for dry containers returns to a more normal situation.

Equipment disposals. During 2010, we recognized a \$25.8 million gain on the sale of our used containers compared to a \$9.3 million gain in 2009. The increase compared to 2009 mainly resulted from higher selling prices. Selling prices for used dry containers in 2010 have increased 70% or more from the beginning of the year due to the shortage of global container capacity, which has led to a significant decrease in the numbers of older containers being made available for disposal. Increased trade volumes have also led to an increase in demand for used containers for one-way shipments to locations with high back-haul costs.

In 2010, we sold approximately 70,000 TEUs of our owned containers, or 6.6% of our owned equipment leasing fleet as of the beginning of the year. This annual disposal rate is in line with the 6 to 8% annual disposal rate we have been experiencing for the last several years, and is generally consistent with our expected long-term average disposal rate given the 12-14 year expected useful life of our containers. However, our inventory of disposal containers was exceptionally low at the end of 2010 and container drop-off volumes have remained low into the first part of 2011. As a result, our disposal volume in 2011 will be constrained until container drop-offs return to a normal level.

Equipment trading. For the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized a net equipment trading margin of \$5.8 million on the sale of equipment purchased for resale, compared to a \$2.2 million margin in 2009. In 2009, our trading margins decreased from the high levels achieved in previous years as we decreased our purchase volumes due to concerns about future selling prices, and as we experienced low per-unit margins from selling containers that had been purchased in prior years at relatively high prices. In 2010, our per unit trading margins have improved due to an increase in used container selling prices, though overall trading margins were constrained in 2010 due to low trading volumes. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2009, we started to significantly increase our purchases of trading containers, and our equipment trading inventory increased to 51,748 TEU as of December 31, 2010 compared to 23,885 TEU as of December 31, 2009. However, most of these trading containers were purchased through sale-leaseback transactions with our shipping line customers, and container drop-offs under these deals have been slow due to the worldwide shortage of containers.

Equipment ownership expenses. Our ownership expenses, principally depreciation and interest expense, increased by \$10.5 million, or 5.7% in 2010 as compared to 2009. During 2010, TAL purchased a large volume of new containers and as a result, the net book value of our revenue earning assets increased by over 40% during the year. However, the average net book value of our revenue earning assets increased only 11% in 2010 compared to 2009. The change in the average net book value of our equipment from 2009 to 2010 was significantly less than the change in ending net book value since the book value of our equipment fleet decreased over the course of 2009 and since many of the containers purchased in 2010 were delivered in the second half of the year.

Interest expense increased \$10.3 million or 15% in 2010, due to an increase in our average outstanding debt and an increase in our average effective interest rate. Our average debt balance increased 4.7% in 2010, slightly lower than the 11% growth in our average revenue earning asset since we typically pay for our equipment several months after it is delivered. However, our debt balance as of December 31, 2010 was 50% higher than it was at the beginning of the year due to the large payments made for equipment in the second half of the year, and accordingly we expect our average debt balance and annual interest expense to be significantly higher in 2011 than it was in 2010.

In 2010, our average effective interest rate increased from 5.4% in 2009 to 5.9% in 2010, since the debt incurred in 2010 to finance new equipment purchases generally included larger financing spreads than those on our debt facilities arranged in prior years. This increase in financing spreads was partially offset by the early termination of interest rate swap contracts in the fourth quarter. We terminated swaps with a notional value of \$300 million that were due to expire in 2011 and 2012 and partially replaced them with longer dated swaps reflective of lower market interest rates.

Table of Contents

Depreciation expense increased only \$0.2 million in 2010 despite our large equipment investments. New investments, net of disposals, in 2010 led to \$12.9 million of incremental depreciation expense in 2010, but this increase was offset by a \$7.2 million reduction in depreciation expense due to another vintage year of containers becoming fully depreciated at the end of 2009 and a \$5.5 million reduction in depreciation expense due to the change in residual value estimates that went into effect on October 1, 2010.

After conducting our annual review of our historical disposal experience, we decided to increase the estimated residual values used in our equipment depreciation policy. We have experienced a number of years of consistently high disposal gains, including during the difficult market of 2009, and based on this review we have reset the estimated residual values for a number of our major container types to be more reflective of values we have realized for this equipment over the last several years. TAL's previous residual value estimates were established in 2004, after several years of exceptionally weak disposal markets. Disposal prices were very low from 1999 through 2003 due to very low new container prices and an accumulation of a large volume of older containers in North America and Europe in the aftermath of the Asia-crisis. Since 2004, disposal prices have remained in excess of our residual estimates and the current adjustment to the estimates reflect this recent favorable experience.

Over time, the higher residual estimates will lead to a decrease in our disposal gains that should offset much of the decrease in our depreciation expense. However, for the next several years, we do not expect the change in residual estimates to have a meaningful impact on our disposal gains since a large number of the containers we expect to sell over the next few years have already been depreciated to prior residual values. As a result, for the next several years, we expect the change in our residual value estimates to lead to an increase in our profitability compared to what we would have achieved with our previous residual estimates.

Credit performance. Our credit performance remained strong in 2010, and we recorded a reversal of \$0.8 million for credit provisions taken in prior years that were not needed. The financial performance of the shipping industry has improved significantly, and most shipping lines were highly profitable in 2010 after generating large losses in 2009. However there is concern that our customers may again face pressure from falling freight rates in 2011 if trade growth falls short of the projected 10% growth in vessel capacity. In addition, several major shipping lines, including one of our largest customers, continue to be involved in comprehensive financial restructuring negotiations with their major creditors. As a result, the potential for credit losses remains and we will continue to be vigilant with our credit and collection processes.

Operating expenses. Our direct operating expenses were \$24.5 million in 2010, compared to \$36.9 million in 2009. We incurred significantly less repair and storage costs in 2010 due to less redeliveries and fewer idle containers during the period. Our administrative expenses increased \$0.8 million in 2010 due to higher incentive compensation expense associated with TAL's improved financial performance in 2010, partially offset by lower salaries and fringe benefits associated with certain employee terminations during 2009.

Table of Contents**Dividends**

We paid the following quarterly dividends during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 on our issued and outstanding common stock:

Record Date	Payment Date	Aggregate Payment	Per Share Payment
December 2, 2010	December 23, 2010	\$ 12.2 million	\$ 0.40
September 2, 2010	September 23, 2010	\$ 10.7 million	\$ 0.35
June 3, 2010	June 24, 2010	\$ 9.1 million	\$ 0.30
March 11, 2010	March 25, 2010	\$ 7.6 million	\$ 0.25
December 1, 2009	December 22, 2009	\$ 0.3 million	\$ 0.01
September 3, 2009	September 24, 2009	\$ 0.3 million	\$ 0.01
June 2, 2009	June 23, 2009	\$ 0.3 million	\$ 0.01
March 12, 2009	March 26, 2009	\$ 0.3 million	\$ 0.01

Treasury Stock

There were no material repurchases of Treasury Stock during the year ended December 31, 2010. The following amounts of Treasury Stock were repurchased in the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008:

Year	Shares Purchased	Amount Paid
2009	1,953,692	\$ 17.4 million
2008	643,200	\$ 11.0 million

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

The following table summarizes our results of operations for the three years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 in dollars (in thousands) and as a percentage of total revenues.

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2010		2009		2008	
	Dollars	Percent	Dollars	Percent	Dollars	Percent
Leasing revenues	\$ 328,530	89.6%	\$ 309,261	87.7%	\$ 319,292	76.0%
Equipment trading revenues	34,636	9.4	39,693	11.2	95,394	22.7
Management fee income	2,932	0.8	2,629	0.8	3,136	0.8
Other revenues	702	0.2	954	0.3	2,170	0.5
Total revenues	366,800	100.0	352,537	100.0	419,992	100.0
Operating expenses (income):						
Equipment trading expenses	28,814	7.9	37,538	10.6	84,216	20.1
Direct operating expenses	24,489	6.7	36,942	10.5	28,246	6.7
Administrative expenses	41,724	11.4	40,908	11.6	46,154	11.0
Depreciation and amortization	115,927	31.6	115,688	32.8	110,450	26.3
(Reversal) provision for doubtful accounts	(843)	(0.2)	545	0.2	4,878	1.2
Net (gain) on sale of leasing equipment	(25,765)	(7.0)	(9,278)	(2.6)	(23,534)	(5.6)
Net (gain) on sale of container portfolios			(185)	(0.1)	(2,789)	(0.7)
Total operating expenses	184,346	50.4	222,158	63.0	247,621	59.0
Operating income	182,454	49.6	130,379	37.0	172,371	41.0
Other expenses (income):						
Interest and debt expense	79,104	21.6	68,807	19.5	64,983	15.5
Write-off of deferred financing costs	675	0.2			250	0.1
(Gain) on debt extinguishment			(14,130)	(4.0)	(23,772)	(5.7)
Net loss (gain) on interest rate swaps	13,029	3.6	(35,152)	(10.0)	76,047	18.1
Total other expenses	92,808	25.4	19,525	5.5	117,508	28.0
Income before income taxes	89,646	24.2	110,854	31.5	54,863	13.0
Income tax expense	31,922	8.7	39,268	11.2	19,067	4.5
Net income	\$ 57,724	15.5%	\$ 71,586	20.3%	\$ 35,796	8.5%

Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2010 to Year Ended December 31, 2009

Leasing revenues. The principal components of our leasing revenues are presented in the following table. Per diem revenue represents revenue earned under operating lease contracts; fee and ancillary lease revenue represent fees billed for the pick-up and drop-off of containers in certain geographic

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

locations and billings of certain reimbursable operating costs such as repair and handling expenses; and finance lease revenue represents interest income earned under finance lease contracts.

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2010	2009
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Leasing revenues:		
Operating lease revenues:		
Per diem revenue	\$ 291,219	\$ 256,879
Fee and ancillary lease revenue	19,002	31,880
Total operating lease revenue	310,221	288,759
Finance lease revenues	18,309	20,502
Total leasing revenues	\$ 328,530	\$ 309,261

Total leasing revenues were \$328.5 million for 2010, compared to \$309.3 million for 2009, an increase of \$19.2 million, or 6.2%.

Per diem revenue increased by \$34.3 million, or 13.4%, compared to 2009. The primary reasons for the increase are as follows:

\$32.2 million increase due to an increase in average units on hire. This reflects increased utilization and an increase in the average number of dry, refrigerated and tank containers in our fleet;

\$4.8 million increase due to higher per diem rates resulting from a significant number of units placed on-hire during 2010 at lease rates exceeding our portfolio average and the expiration of lease incentives provided over the course of 2009 and early 2010;

\$3.3 million increase due to several purchase leaseback transactions completed at the end of 2009 and early 2010 in our equipment trading segment; and

\$6.6 million decrease due to the recognition of fee revenue for the early termination of contracts during 2009 that did not reoccur in 2010.

Fee and ancillary lease revenue decreased by \$12.9 million as compared to the prior year, primarily due to a decrease in repair and handling revenue resulting from a significant decrease in drop off volume.

Finance lease revenue decreased by \$2.2 million in 2010, primarily due to a decrease in the average size of our finance lease portfolio.

Equipment trading activities. Equipment trading revenues represent the proceeds on the sale of equipment purchased for resale. Equipment trading expenses represent the cost of equipment sold, including costs associated with the acquisition, maintenance and selling of trading inventory, such as positioning, repairs, handling and storage costs, and estimated direct selling and administrative costs.

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2010	2009
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Equipment trading revenues	\$ 34,636	\$ 39,693
Equipment trading expenses	(28,814)	(37,538)
Equipment trading margin	\$ 5,822	\$ 2,155

Table of Contents

The equipment trading margin increased \$3.7 million compared to 2009. The trading margin increased by \$5.0 million due to an increase in selling prices, partially offset by a decrease of \$1.3 million due to a decrease in sales volume.

Direct operating expenses. Direct operating expenses primarily consist of our costs to repair equipment returned off lease, to store the equipment when it is not on lease, and to reposition equipment that has been returned to locations with weak leasing demand.

Direct operating expenses were \$24.5 million for 2010, compared to \$36.9 million for 2009, a decrease of \$12.4 million. The primary reasons for the decrease are outlined below:

\$13.4 million decrease in storage and handling costs due to a decrease in idle units;

\$2.3 million decrease in repair costs due to a lower repair volume, primarily for our dry and refrigerated containers;

\$2.7 million increase in surveying costs due to an increase in new equipment purchases; and

\$0.6 million increase in equipment and credit insurance premiums.

Administrative expenses. Administrative expenses were \$41.7 million for 2010, compared to \$40.9 million for 2009, an increase of \$0.8 million, or 2.0%. The increase was primarily due to a \$3.0 million increase in employee incentive costs related to TAL's improved operating and financial performance in 2010, partially offset by a decrease of \$2.1 million in salaries associated with certain employee terminations that occurred in 2009.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization was \$115.9 million for 2010, compared to \$115.7 million for 2009, an increase of \$0.2 million. Depreciation increased by approximately \$12.9 million due to a net increase in the size of the depreciable fleet, partially offset by a decrease of \$5.5 million due to an increase in the estimated residual values included in our depreciation policy on October 1, 2010 and a decrease of \$7.2 million due to another vintage year of older equipment becoming fully depreciated in the fourth quarter of 2009.

(Reversal) provision for doubtful accounts. There was a net reversal for doubtful accounts of \$0.8 million for 2010, compared to a provision for doubtful accounts of \$0.5 million for 2009. The decrease in the provision for doubtful accounts was due to the reversal of certain provisions recorded in 2009 due to better than expected container recoveries and collections.

Net (gain) on sale of leasing equipment. Gain on sale of equipment was \$25.8 million for 2010, compared to a gain of \$9.3 million for 2009, an increase of \$16.5 million. Gain on sale increased by \$14.9 million due to higher selling prices, partially offset by a \$0.9 million decrease due to lower selling volumes. In addition, upfront gains on units placed on finance leases increased by \$2.1 million. We recognize an up-front gain or loss when we place existing equipment on finance leases and the market value of the equipment is different from our net book value. We do not generate up-front gains or losses when we place existing equipment on operating leases.

Interest and debt expense. Interest and debt expense was \$79.1 million for 2010, compared to \$68.8 million for 2009, an increase of \$10.3 million. Interest and debt expense increased by \$7.0 million due to a higher average debt balance mostly due to new equipment purchases during 2010, and increased by \$3.3 million due to a higher effective interest rate.

(Gain) on debt extinguishment. There were no gains on debt extinguishment for 2010. Gain on debt extinguishment of \$14.1 million (net of the write-off of deferred financing costs of approximately \$0.2 million) for 2009 was due to the repurchase of a portion of certain asset backed term notes.

Table of Contents

Net (gain) loss on interest rate swaps. Net loss on interest rate swaps was \$13.0 million for 2010, compared to a net gain of \$35.2 million for 2009. The fair value of our interest rate swap contracts, none of which were designated as hedging instruments, decreased in 2010 due to a decrease in long-term interest rates, while the fair value of our swap contracts increased in 2009 due to an increase in long-term interest rates in that year.

Income tax expense. Income tax expense was \$31.9 million for 2010, compared to \$39.3 million for 2009. The effective tax rates were 35.6% in 2010 and 35.4% in 2009.

While we record income tax expense we do not pay any significant federal, state or foreign income taxes due to the availability of net operating loss carryovers and accelerated tax depreciation for our equipment. The majority of the expense recorded for income taxes is recorded as a deferred tax liability on the balance sheet. We anticipate that the deferred income tax liability will continue to grow for the foreseeable future.

Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2009 to Year Ended December 31, 2008

Leasing revenues. The principal components of our leasing revenues are presented in the following table. Per diem revenue represents revenue earned under operating lease contracts; fee and ancillary lease revenue represent fees billed for the pick-up and drop-off of containers in certain geographic locations and billings of certain reimbursable operating costs such as repair and handling expenses; and finance lease revenue represents interest income earned under finance lease contracts.

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2009	2008
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Leasing revenues:		
Operating lease revenues:		
Per diem revenue	\$ 256,879	\$ 266,978
Fee and ancillary lease revenue	31,880	31,935
Total operating lease revenue	288,759	298,913
Finance lease revenues	20,502	20,379
Total leasing revenues	\$ 309,261	\$ 319,292

Total leasing revenues were \$309.3 million for 2009, compared to \$319.3 million for 2008, a decrease of \$10.0 million, or 3.1%.

Per diem revenue decreased by \$10.1 million compared to 2008. The primary reasons for the decrease are as follows:

\$8.1 million decrease due to overall lower utilization;

\$12.1 million decrease due to lower per diem rates primarily related to certain lease concessions that were given in return for extended on hire time;

\$2.0 million increase due to an increase in average fleet size, reflecting large fleet investments made in 2008 partially offset by limited procurement during 2009; and

\$8.0 million increase due to the recognition of fee revenue for the early termination of certain lease contracts. In 2009, we negotiated the early termination of several contracts for fees of approximately \$11.0 million. As of December 31, 2009, approximately \$3.0 million of these fees remained as deferred revenue.

Table of Contents

Fee and ancillary lease revenue as well as finance lease revenue remained relatively unchanged from the 2008 level.

Equipment trading activities. Equipment trading revenues represent the proceeds on the sale of equipment purchased for resale. Equipment trading expenses represent the cost of equipment sold, including costs associated with the acquisition, maintenance and selling of trading inventory, such as positioning, repairs, handling and storage costs, and estimated direct selling and administrative costs.

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2009	2008
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Equipment trading revenues	\$ 39,693	\$ 95,394
Equipment trading expenses	(37,538)	(84,216)
 Equipment trading margin	 \$ 2,155	 \$ 11,178

The equipment trading margin decreased \$9.0 million compared to 2008. The trading margin decreased by \$6.6 million due to a lower per unit trading margin and by \$2.7 million due to a lower volume of units sold. These decreases were partially offset by a decrease in selling costs and administrative expenses related to the volume of units sold.

Direct operating expenses. Direct operating expenses primarily consist of our costs to repair equipment returned off lease, to store the equipment when it is not on lease, and to reposition equipment that has been returned to locations with weak leasing demand.

Direct operating expenses were \$36.9 million for 2009, compared to \$28.2 million for 2008, an increase of \$8.7 million. The primary reasons for the increase are outlined below:

\$10.2 million increase in storage costs due to an increase in units off-hire;

\$1.0 million increase in repair costs due to a higher repair volume, primarily for our dry and refrigerated containers;

\$1.3 million decrease in surveying costs due to a decrease in new equipment purchases; and

\$1.2 million decrease in other operating costs due to lower positioning costs in 2009.

Administrative expenses. Administrative expenses were \$40.9 million for 2009, compared to \$46.2 million for 2008, a decrease of \$5.3 million, or 11.5%. This decrease was mainly due to lower employee incentive costs of \$3.0 million, lower foreign exchange losses of \$0.8 million, lower travel expenses of \$0.7 million, and lower consulting fees of \$0.7 million.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization was \$115.7 million for 2009, compared to \$110.5 million for 2008, an increase of \$5.2 million, or 4.7%. Depreciation increased by \$18.5 million due to a larger depreciable fleet, resulting from our large investment in equipment in 2008. This increase was partially offset by a \$9.3 million decrease due to another vintage year of older equipment becoming fully depreciated in the fourth quarter of 2008 and a \$3.7 million decrease due to disposals.

Provision for doubtful accounts. There was a provision for doubtful accounts of \$0.5 million for 2009, compared to \$4.9 million for 2008, a decrease of \$4.4 million. In 2008, a \$2.7 million reserve was established for amounts estimated to be uncollectible under a finance lease receivable for one of our customers, as well as an additional provision of \$1.4 million against our finance lease portfolio taken to increase the level of our general reserve. In 2009, provisions for several small customer defaults were largely off-set by the reversal of certain provisions recorded in 2008 due to better than expected container recoveries.

Table of Contents

Net (gain) on sale of leasing equipment. Gain on sale of equipment was \$9.3 million for 2009, compared to a gain of \$23.5 million for 2008, a decrease of \$14.2 million. Gain on sale decreased \$12.8 million due to lower selling prices and higher selling costs. In addition, in 2009 we recorded a \$1.5 million loss on new factory units placed on a finance lease. These units were purchased in 2008 when equipment prices were historically high and we leased them out in 2009 at a lower implied price per container. We recognize an up-front gain or loss when we place existing equipment on finance leases and the market value of the equipment is different from our net book value. We do not incur up-front gains or losses when we place existing equipment on operating leases.

Net (gain) on sale of container portfolios. Gain on sale of container portfolios was \$0.2 million for 2009, and \$2.8 million for 2008. In the third quarter of 2009 we sold container portfolios for total proceeds of \$8.5 million, while in the third quarter of 2008 we sold container portfolios for total proceeds of \$40.5 million.

Interest and debt expense. Interest and debt expense was \$68.8 million for 2009, compared to \$65.0 million for 2008, an increase of \$3.8 million. The increase was primarily due to a higher effective interest rate on the Company's consolidated debt balances.

(Gain) on debt extinguishment. Gains on debt extinguishment of \$14.1 million and \$23.8 million (net of the write-off of deferred financing costs of approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million) for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 were due to the repurchase of a portion of asset backed term notes in each of those years.

Net (gain) loss on interest rate swaps. Net gain on interest rate swaps was \$35.2 million for 2009, compared to a net loss of \$76.0 million for 2008. The net fair value of the interest rate swap contracts increased in 2009 due to an increase in long-term interest rates over the course of the year, while the fair value of our interest rates swaps decreased in 2008 due to a decrease in long-term interest rates during 2008.

Income tax expense. Income tax expense was \$39.3 million for 2009, compared to \$19.1 million for 2008, and the effective tax rates were 35.4% in 2009 and 34.8% in 2008. The 2008 effective tax rate was lower than 2009 primarily due to a decrease in the required state rate in 2008.

While we record income tax expense we do not pay any significant federal, state or foreign income taxes due to the availability of net operating loss carryovers and accelerated tax depreciation for our equipment. The majority of the expense recorded for income taxes is recorded as a deferred tax liability on the balance sheet. We anticipate that the deferred income tax liability will continue to grow for the foreseeable future.

Business Segments

We operate our business in one industry, intermodal transportation equipment, and in two business segments, Equipment leasing and Equipment trading.

Equipment leasing

We own, lease and ultimately dispose of containers and chassis from our lease fleet, as well as manage containers owned by third parties. Equipment leasing segment revenues represent leasing revenues from operating and finance leases, fees earned on managed container leasing activities, as well as other revenues. Expenses related to equipment leasing include direct operating expenses, administrative expenses, depreciation expense, and interest expense. The Equipment leasing segment also includes gains and losses on the sale of owned leasing equipment.

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table lists selected revenue and expense items for our Equipment leasing segment for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Equipment leasing segment:			
Total revenues	\$ 328,619	\$ 311,875	\$ 324,083
Depreciation and amortization expense	115,261	115,429	110,400
Interest and debt expense	77,261	68,004	63,797
Net (gain) on sale of leasing equipment	(25,721)	(9,278)	(23,534)
Income before income taxes(1)	96,851	60,691	98,724

(1) Income before income taxes excludes net gains and losses on interest rate swaps, and net gain on debt extinguishment.

Segment Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2010 to Year Ended December 31, 2009

Equipment leasing revenues. Total revenues for the Equipment leasing segment were \$328.6 million in 2010 compared to \$311.9 million in 2009, an increase of \$16.7 million, or 5.4%. The primary reasons for the increase are as follows:

\$32.2 million increase due to an increase in average units on hire. This reflects an increased utilization and an increase in the average number of average dry, refrigerated and tank containers in our fleet;

\$4.8 million increase due to higher per diem rates resulting from a significant number of units placed on-hire during 2010 at lease rates exceeding our portfolio average and the expiration of lease incentives provided in 2009;

\$12.9 million decrease in fee and ancillary lease revenue primarily due to a decrease in repair and handling revenue resulting from a significant decrease in drop off volume;

\$6.6 million decrease due to the recognition of fee revenue for the early termination of contracts during 2009 that did not reoccur in 2010; and

\$2.2 million decrease in finance lease revenue, primarily due to a decrease in the average size of our finance lease portfolio.

Equipment leasing income before income taxes. Income before income taxes for the Equipment leasing segment was \$96.9 million in 2010 compared to \$60.7 million in 2009, an increase of \$36.2 million, or 59.6%. The primary reasons for the increase in pretax income are as follows:

\$16.7 million increase in Equipment leasing revenue in 2010;

\$16.4 million increase in gain on the sale of leasing equipment, primarily due to higher selling prices;

\$12.4 million decrease in direct operating expenses, primarily resulting from decreased storage and repair costs associated with improved utilization and decreased volumes of idle containers;

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

\$0.2 million decrease in depreciation expense, primarily due to decreases resulting from the increase in the estimated residual values of leasing equipment and another vintage year of older

Table of Contents

equipment becoming fully depreciated in the fourth quarter of 2009, partially offset by a net increase in the size of the depreciable fleet; and

\$9.3 million increase in interest and debt expense primarily due to a higher average debt balance mostly due to new equipment purchases during 2010 and a higher effective interest rate.

Segment Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2009 to Year Ended December 31, 2008

Equipment leasing revenues. Total revenues for the Equipment leasing segment were \$311.9 million in 2009 compared to \$324.1 million in 2008, a decrease of \$12.2 million, or 3.8%. The primary reasons for the decrease are as follows:

\$8.1 million decrease due to overall lower utilization;

\$12.1 million decrease due to lower per diem rates primarily related to certain lease concessions that were given in return for extended on hire time;

\$2.0 million increase due to an increase in average fleet size, reflecting large fleet investments made in 2008 partially offset by limited procurement during 2009;

\$8.0 million increase due to the recognition of fee revenue for the early termination of certain lease contracts.

Fee and ancillary lease revenue as well as finance lease revenues remained relatively unchanged in 2009 from the 2008 level.

Equipment leasing income before income taxes. Income before income taxes for the Equipment leasing segment was \$60.7 million in 2009 compared to \$98.7 million in 2008, a decrease of \$38.0 million, or 38.5%. The primary reasons for the decrease in pretax income are as follows:

\$14.2 million decrease in gain on the sale of leasing equipment, primarily due to lower selling prices in 2009.

\$12.2 million decrease in Equipment leasing revenues;

\$5.0 million increase in depreciation expense, primarily due to an increase in the depreciable fleet;

\$4.2 million increase in interest expense, primarily due to an increase in the average effective rate;

\$8.7 million increase in direct operating expenses, primarily related to increased storage costs associated with lower utilization;

Equipment trading

We purchase containers from shipping line customers and other sellers of containers, and resell these containers to container traders and users of containers for storage or one-way shipment. Equipment trading segment revenues represent the proceeds on the sale of containers purchased for resale. Expenses related to equipment trading include the cost of containers purchased for resale that were sold and related selling costs, as well as direct operating expenses, administrative expenses and interest expense.

Table of Contents

The following table lists selected revenue and expense items for our Equipment trading segment for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Equipment trading segment:			
Total leasing revenues	\$ 3,545	\$ 961	\$ 501
Equipment trading revenues	\$ 34,636	39,693	95,394
Equipment trading expense	(28,814)	(37,538)	(84,216)
Equipment trading margin	\$ 5,822	2,155	11,178
Interest expense	1,843	803	1,186
Income before income taxes(1)	5,824	881	8,414

(1) Income before income taxes excludes net gains and losses on interest rate swaps, and net gain on debt extinguishment.

Segment Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2010 to Year Ended December 31, 2009

Equipment trading margin. The Equipment trading margin, the difference between Equipment trading revenues and expenses, increased \$3.7 million in 2010 compared to 2009. The trading margin increased by \$5.0 million due to an increase in selling prices, partially offset by a decrease of \$1.3 million due to a decrease in sales volume.

Equipment trading income before income taxes. Income before income taxes for the Equipment trading segment was \$5.8 million in 2010 compared to \$0.9 million in 2009. Income before income taxes increased due to an increase in the equipment trading margin, as well as an increase in leasing revenues due to several purchase leaseback transactions completed at the end of 2009 and early 2010.

Segment Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2009 to Year Ended December 31, 2008

Equipment trading margin. The Equipment trading margin, the difference between Equipment trading revenues and expenses, decreased \$9.0 million in 2009 compared to 2008. The trading margin decreased by \$6.6 million due to a lower per unit trading margin and by \$2.7 million due to a lower volume of units sold. These decreases were partially offset by a decrease in selling costs and administrative expenses related to the decrease in the volume of units sold.

Equipment trading income before income taxes. Income before income taxes for the Equipment trading segment was \$0.9 million in 2009 compared to \$8.4 million in 2008, a decrease of \$7.5 million, or 89.3%, which was primarily due to the Equipment trading margin decrease of \$9.0 million. The decrease in administrative expenses was primarily due to lower allocated corporate expenses. Corporate expenses are allocated to the equipment trading segment primarily based on the volume of units sold in the equipment trading fleet relative to total units sold from both the equipment trading and equipment leasing fleets.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal sources of liquidity are cash flows provided by operating activities, proceeds from the sale of our leasing equipment, principal payments on finance lease receivables and borrowings under our credit facilities. Our cash in-flows and borrowings are used to finance capital expenditures, meet debt service requirements, and pay dividends.

Table of Contents

We continue to have sizable cash in-flows. For 2010, cash provided by operating activities, together with the proceeds from the sale of our leasing equipment and principal payments on our finance leases, was \$297.9 million. In addition, as of December 31, 2010 we had \$62.6 million of unrestricted cash and \$212.5 million of additional borrowing capacity under our current credit facilities. In January 2011, we issued \$174 million of fixed rate secured notes under the Asset Backed Securitization facilities. The notes, which were rated "A" by Standard & Poor's, were issued at par with an annual interest rate of 4.6% and have a scheduled maturity of January 2021.

As of December 31, 2010, major committed cash outflows in the next 12 months include \$306.7 million of committed but unpaid capital expenditures and \$217.7 million of scheduled principal payments on our existing debt facilities.

We believe that cash provided by operating activities and existing cash, proceeds from the sale of our leasing equipment, principal payments on our finance lease receivables and availability under our borrowing facilities will be sufficient to meet our obligations over the next 12 months.

At December 31, 2010, our outstanding indebtedness was comprised of the following (amounts in millions):

	Current Amount Outstanding	Current Maximum Borrowing Level
Asset backed securitization term notes (ABS)	\$ 984.9	\$ 984.9
Term loan facilities	441.1	441.1
Asset backed warehouse facility	122.5	325.0
Revolving credit facility	90.0	100.0
Capital lease obligations	131.8	131.8
 Total Debt	 \$ 1,770.3	 \$ 1,982.8

The maximum commitment levels depicted in the chart above may not reflect the actual availability under all of the credit facilities. Certain of these facilities are governed by borrowing bases that limit borrowing capacity to an established percentage of relevant assets.

As of December 31, 2010 we had \$574.1 million of debt outstanding on facilities with fixed interest rates. The weighted average interest rate on these fixed rate facilities as of December 31, 2010 was 4.99% and they are scheduled to mature between 2014 and 2020 and have a weighted average remaining term of 4.7 years as of December 31, 2010.

As of December 31, 2010 we had \$1,196.2 million of debt outstanding on facilities with interest rates based on floating rate indices (such as LIBOR). As of December 31, 2010, the weighted average interest rate on these floating rate debt obligations was 1.94% and they are scheduled to mature between 2012 and 2018 and have a weighted average remaining term of 3.1 years as of December 31, 2010.

We economically hedge the risks associated with fluctuations in interest rates on our floating rate borrowings by entering into interest rate swap contracts that convert our floating rate debt to a fixed rate basis, thus reducing the impact of interest rate changes on future interest expense. As of December 31, 2010, we had interest rate swaps in place with a total notional value of \$987.6 million to fix the floating interest rates on a portion of our floating rate debt obligations. As of December 31, 2010, the weighted average fixed leg interest rate on our interest rate swap contracts was 3.48% with a weighted average remaining term of 3.4 years.

Table of Contents

Asset Backed Securitization Term Notes

Our Asset Backed Securitization ("ABS") facilities have been the primary funding source used to finance our existing container fleet and new container purchases since April 2006 when our indirect wholly owned subsidiary, TAL Advantage I LLC, issued the Series 2006-2 and 2005-1 variable rate notes. This was followed in 2010, when our indirect wholly owned subsidiary TAL Advantage IV LLC issued the Series 2010-1 and Series 2010-2 fixed rate secured notes. The facilities are designed to reduce borrowing costs and enhance financing resources for our container fleet. Under the facilities, our indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries issue asset backed notes. The issuance of asset backed notes is the primary business objective of those subsidiaries.

Our borrowings under the ABS facilities amortize in equal monthly installments. The borrowing capacity under the ABS facilities is determined by applying an advance rate against the sum of the net book values of designated eligible containers and accounts receivable for sold containers not aged more than 60 days plus 100% of restricted cash. The net book values for purposes of calculating our borrowing capacity is the original equipment cost depreciated over 12 years to a range of 20% to 32% of original equipment cost, depending on the type of equipment. Advance rates under the ABS facilities range from 76% to 82%. We are required to maintain restricted cash balances on deposit in designated bank accounts equal to either five or nine months of interest expense depending on the type of facility.

Term Loan Facilities

We utilize our term loan facilities as an important funding source for the purchase of new containers, as well as to support our finance lease business and a port equipment financing transaction. The term loan facilities generally amortize in monthly installments.

The borrowing capacity under the term loan facilities is determined by applying an advance rate in the range of 75% to 90% against the net book values of designated eligible containers. The net book value for purposes of calculating our borrowing capacity for certain of our term loan facilities is the original equipment cost depreciated over 12 years to a range of 20% to 32% of original equipment cost depending on equipment type. Borrowings under the term loan facilities used to support our finance lease business are secured by the finance lease receivables associated with the related containers.

Asset Backed Warehouse Facility

On October 26, 2009, one of our indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries entered into an asset backed warehouse facility with a maximum borrowing capacity of \$325 million. We use the asset backed warehouse facility to fund new equipment purchases. This facility initially has a 24 month revolving credit period, commencing on the date of the facility, followed by a four year term period. During the term period, the asset backed warehouse facility amortizes on a level basis over the four year period to 60% of the outstanding balance.

The borrowing capacity under the asset backed warehouse facility is determined by applying the advance rate of 75% against the sum of the net book values of designated eligible containers and accounts receivable for sold containers not outstanding more than 60 days plus 100% of restricted cash. The net book value for purposes of calculating our borrowing capacity is the original equipment cost depreciated over 12 years to a range of 20% to 32% of original equipment cost depending on equipment type. The Company is required to maintain restricted cash balances on deposit in a designated bank account equal to three months of interest expense.

Table of Contents

Revolving Credit Facility

The borrowing capacity under the revolving credit facility is \$100.0 million. We are required to maintain unencumbered assets equivalent to 50% of the maximum commitment.

Capital Lease Obligations

We have entered into a series of lease transactions with various financial institutions to finance the purchase of chassis and new containers. Each lease is accounted for as a capital lease, with interest expense recognized on a level yield basis over the period preceding early purchase options, if any, which is generally five to ten years from the transaction date.

Debt Covenants

We are subject to certain financial covenants under our debt facilities. At December 31, 2010, we were in compliance with all such covenants. Below are the primary financial covenants to which we are subject:

Minimum Earnings Before Interest and Taxes ("EBIT") to Cash Interest Expense;

Minimum Tangible Net Worth ("TNW"); and

Maximum Indebtedness to TNW.

Non-GAAP Measures

We rely primarily on our results measured in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") in evaluating our business. EBIT, Cash Interest, TNW, and Indebtedness are non-GAAP financial measures used to determine our compliance with certain covenants contained in our debt agreements and should not be used as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. However, we believe that the inclusion of this non-GAAP information provides additional information to investors regarding our debt covenant compliance.

Minimum EBIT to Cash Interest Expense

For the purpose of this covenant, EBIT is calculated based on the cumulative sum of our earnings for the last four quarters (excluding income taxes, interest expense, amortization / write-off of deferred financing charges, unrealized gain or loss on interest rate swaps and certain non-cash charges). Cash Interest Expense is calculated based on interest expense adjusted to exclude interest income, amortization of deferred financing costs, and the difference between current and prior period interest expense accruals.

Minimum EBIT to Cash Interest Expense is calculated at the consolidated level and for TAL Advantage I LLC, TAL Advantage II LLC, TAL Advantage III LLC and TAL Advantage IV LLC, wholly owned special purpose entities whose primary activity is to issue asset backed notes. The Consolidated Minimum EBIT to Cash Interest Expense ratio is fixed at 1.10 to 1.00 for our Asset backed securitizations (ABS), the Asset backed warehouse facility, certain term loan facilities and Revolving credit facility. Minimum EBIT to Cash Interest Expense ratio is fixed at 1.10 to 1.00 for the TAL Advantage I LLC and TAL Advantage IV LLC ABS term notes and certain other term loan facilities. The TAL Advantage III LLC Minimum EBIT to Cash Interest Expense ratio is fixed at 1.30 to 1.00 for the Asset backed warehouse facility.

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Below is the calculation of EBIT to Cash Interest Expense (based on the last four quarters) as of December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	Consolidated(1)	TAL Adv I	TAL Adv II	TAL Adv III	TAL Adv IV(2)
EBIT to Cash Interest Expense:					
Net income	\$ 57,724	\$ 37,117	\$ 2,862	\$ 42	\$ 5,117
Plus: Income tax expense	31,922	20,428	1,574	27	2,814
Interest expense including write-off of deferred financing costs	79,779	31,791	13,592	5,904	7,541
Net loss on interest rate swaps	13,029	2,138	4,116	1,999	
Realized loss on interest rate swaps	(12,792)	(8,640)	(4,152)		
All other non-cash expenses (income)	1,446	(182)	(21)	(33)	155
EBIT	\$ 171,108	\$ 82,652	\$ 17,971	\$ 7,939	\$ 15,627
Interest expense (excluding interest income of \$52, \$0, \$0,\$0 and \$0 respectively)	\$ 79,831	\$ 31,792	\$ 13,592	\$ 5,904	\$ 7,542
Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs	(2,841)	(600)	(291)	(1,300)	(204)
Accrued interest (represents 2010 interest expense not paid)	(4,734)	(692)	(401)	(257)	(634)
Cash payments of prior period accrued interest	4,213	1,078	403	557	
Cash Interest Expense	\$ 76,469	\$ 31,578	\$ 13,303	\$ 4,904	\$ 6,704
EBIT to Cash Interest Expense Ratio	2.24	2.62	1.35	1.62	2.33
Required Minimum EBIT to Cash Interest Expense Ratio	1.10/1.05	1.10	1.10	1.30	1.10

(1) The consolidated amounts shown above include all consolidated subsidiaries of TAL International Group, Inc., including TAL Advantage I, LLC, TAL Advantage II, LLC, and TAL Advantage III, LLC and TAL Advantage IV, LLC.

(2) TAL Advantage IV, LLC commenced operations effective July 1, 2010. Therefore, the calculation of EBIT to cash interest expense represents the period from July 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010.

Minimum TNW and Maximum Indebtedness to TNW Covenants

We are required to meet consolidated Minimum TNW and Maximum Indebtedness to TNW covenants. For the purposes of calculating these covenants, all amounts are based on the consolidated balance sheet of TAL International Group, Inc.

For the ABS facilities, asset backed warehouse facility and certain term loan facilities, the required minimum TNW is calculated as \$321.4 million plus 50% of cumulative net income or loss since January 1, 2006. At December 31, 2010, the required minimum TNW for the ABS facilities was \$444.4 million.

The Maximum Indebtedness to TNW ratio is fixed at 4.75 to 1.00 for the ABS facilities, asset backed warehouse facility, certain term loan facilities and the revolving credit facility.

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Below is the calculation of the covenant compliance for the consolidated Minimum TNW and consolidated Maximum Indebtedness to TNW as of December 31, 2010 for the ABS, Asset backed credit facility and other facilities (in thousands):

	Other Facilities*	Series 2005 & 2006 ABS Notes	Series 2010 ABS Notes**
Minimum TNW:			
Tangible Assets			
Total Assets	\$ 2,517,215	\$ 2,517,215	\$ 2,517,215
Deferred Financing Costs	(17,802)	(17,802)	(17,802)
Goodwill	(71,898)	(71,898)	(71,898)
Intangibles	(755)	(755)	(755)
Fair value of derivative instruments (asset)	(2,024)	(2,024)	(2,024)
Total Tangible Assets	\$ 2,424,736	\$ 2,424,736	\$ 2,424,736
All indebtedness:			
Total debt	\$ 1,770,332	\$ 1,770,332	\$ 1,770,332
Accrued interest	4,734	4,734	4,734
Fair value of derivative instruments (liability)	61,647	N/A	N/A
Equipment purchases payable	57,756	57,756	57,756
Total Indebtedness	\$ 1,894,469	\$ 1,832,822	\$ 1,832,822
TNW (Total Tangible Assets less Total Indebtedness)	\$ 530,267	\$ 591,914	\$ 591,914
Required Minimum TNW	\$ 300,000	\$ 444,366	\$ 444,366
Maximum Indebtedness to TNW:			
Total Indebtedness	\$ 1,894,469	\$ 1,832,822	\$ 1,832,822
Fair value of derivative instruments (liability)	N/A	61,647	N/A
Total Indebtedness for Maximum Indebtedness to TNW	\$ 1,894,469	\$ 1,894,469	\$ 1,832,822
TNW	\$ 530,267	\$ 591,914	\$ 591,914
Total Indebtedness / TNW	3.57	3.20	3.10
Maximum Allowable Indebtedness to TNW	4.75 / 5.00	4.75	4.75

* The Maximum Indebtedness to TNW covenant applies to the revolving credit facility and certain term loan facilities.

** Also applies to certain term loan facilities and the asset backed warehouse facility.

N/A Not applicable for calculation purposes.

Failure to comply with these covenants would result in a default under the related credit agreements and could result in the acceleration of our outstanding debt if we were unable to obtain a waiver from the creditors.

Table of Contents

Shelf Registration

TAL has an effective shelf registration statement on file with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission that enables it to issue up to \$300 million of public debt and equity securities. The registration statement expires on December 29, 2013.

Dividends Paid

We paid the following quarterly dividends during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 on our issued and outstanding common stock:

Record Date	Payment Date	Aggregate Payment	Per Share Payment
December 2, 2010	December 23, 2010	\$ 12.2 million	\$ 0.40
September 2, 2010	September 23, 2010	\$ 10.7 million	\$ 0.35
June 3, 2010	June 24, 2010	\$ 9.1 million	\$ 0.30
March 11, 2010	March 25, 2010	\$ 7.6 million	\$ 0.25
December 1, 2009	December 22, 2009	\$ 0.3 million	\$ 0.01
September 3, 2009	September 24, 2009	\$ 0.3 million	\$ 0.01
June 2, 2009	June 23, 2009	\$ 0.3 million	\$ 0.01
March 12, 2009	March 26, 2009	\$ 0.3 million	\$ 0.01

Treasury Stock

There were no material repurchases of Treasury Stock during the year ended December 31, 2010. The following amounts of Treasury Stock were repurchased in the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008:

Year	Shares Purchased	Amount Paid
2009	1,953,692	\$ 17.4 million
2008	643,200	\$ 11.0 million

Cash Flow

The following table sets forth certain cash flow information for the three years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 163,162	\$ 162,686	\$ 201,013
Purchases of leasing equipment	\$ (838,827)	\$ (30,859)	\$ (450,902)
Investments in finance leases	(5,387)	(27,098)	(41,733)
Proceeds from sale of equipment, net of selling costs	99,275	69,473	83,956
Proceeds from sale of container portfolios		8,532	40,539
Cash collections on finance lease receivables, net of income earned	35,484	31,533	28,232
Other	(211)	(151)	134
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	\$ (709,666)	\$ 51,430	\$ (339,774)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	\$ 549,208	\$ (195,024)	\$ 126,923

Table of Contents

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities increased by \$0.5 million to \$163.2 million in 2010 compared to \$162.7 million in 2009.

Operating cash flows increased by \$26.4 million in 2010 due to increased profitability. This increase was offset by the following:

Payments of \$14.6 million to the Company's interest rate swap counterparty for the termination of an interest rate swap contract with a notional value of \$200 million that had been designated as a hedging instrument.

Payments of \$12.8 million to the Company's interest rate swap counterparty for the termination of non-designated interest rate swap contracts with a notional value of \$300 million.

In addition, accounts receivable as of December 31, 2010 increased by \$15.6 million as compared to December 31, 2009. A large portion of this increase is deferred revenue as of December 31, 2010, which will be recognized over the lease term. Of this amount, \$10.4 million was collected in January 2011.

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$38.3 million to \$162.7 million in 2009 compared to \$201.0 million in 2008 primarily due to a decrease in the level of operating profitability.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$709.7 million in 2010, compared to net cash provided by investing activities of \$51.4 million in 2009. Major reasons for the increase in cash used were as follows:

We paid \$844.2 million, including investments in finance leases of \$5.4 million, for capital expenditures in 2010 compared to \$58.0 million, including investments in finance leases of \$27.1 million, for 2009. Capital expenditures increased in 2010 primarily due to an increase in the number of leasing units purchased, as well as the high cost of new equipment.

There were no sale proceeds from the disposal of container portfolios in 2010, as no such sales occurred during the year, compared to sale proceeds of \$8.5 million in 2009.

Sales proceeds from the disposal of equipment increased \$29.8 million to \$99.3 million in 2010 compared to \$69.5 million in 2009. Proceeds from the disposal of used containers in 2010 increased primarily as a result of higher selling prices.

Cash collections on finance leases, net of income earned, increased by \$4.0 million to \$35.5 million in 2010 compared to \$31.5 million in 2009 due to a combination of the increase in the age of existing finance leases and the overall reduction in the size of our finance lease portfolio.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$51.4 million in 2009, compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$339.8 million in 2008. The major changes were as follows:

Capital expenditures were \$58.0 million, including investments in finance leases of \$27.1 million, for 2009 compared to \$492.6 million, including investments in finance leases of \$41.7 million, for 2008. Capital expenditures decreased by \$434.6 million in 2009 primarily due to a decrease in the number of leasing units purchased.

Sales proceeds from the disposal of equipment decreased \$14.5 million to \$69.5 million in 2009 compared to \$84.0 million in 2008. Proceeds from the disposal of used containers decreased in 2009 primarily due to lower selling prices.

Table of Contents

Proceeds from the sale of container portfolios decreased by \$32.0 million to \$8.5 million in 2009, compared to \$40.5 million in 2008.

Cash collections on finance leases, net of income earned, increased by \$3.3 million to \$31.5 million for 2009 compared to \$28.2 million for 2008 as a result of an increase in our finance lease portfolio.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$549.2 million in 2010 compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$195.0 million in 2009. The major changes were as follows:

During 2010, we had net borrowings of \$609.8 under our various debt facilities, which were primarily used to finance the purchase of new equipment, compared to net payments of \$154.9 million during 2009. In 2010, we paid \$39.6 million in dividends as compared to \$1.2 million in dividends paid during 2009, and in 2009, we repurchased treasury stock for \$17.4 million and paid \$20.7 million for the repurchase of debt prior to maturity.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$195.0 million for 2009 compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$126.9 million for 2008. The major changes were as follows:

During 2009, we had net payments of \$154.9 million under our various credit facilities and capital lease obligations as compared to net borrowings of \$215.8 million in 2008. In 2009 and 2008, we paid \$20.7 million and \$24.1 million for the repurchase of our debt prior to maturity, respectively. During 2009, we used \$17.4 million to purchase treasury shares and \$1.2 million to pay dividends on our common stock outstanding, as compared to \$11.0 million to purchase treasury stock and \$52.5 million to pay dividends on our common stock outstanding in 2008.

Contractual Obligations

We are party to various operating leases and are obligated to make payments related to our long term borrowings. We are also obligated under various commercial commitments, including obligations to our equipment manufacturers. Our equipment purchase obligations are in the form of conventional accounts payable, and are satisfied from cash flows from operating and/or long term financing activities.

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of December 31, 2010 (does not include amounts potentially due under guarantees, as amounts, if any, are indeterminable):

Contractual Obligations:	Contractual Obligations by Twelve Month Period Ending December 31,					
	Total	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015 and thereafter
	(Dollars in millions)					
Total debt obligations(1)	\$ 1,949.4	\$ 281.8	\$ 374.2	\$ 303.8	\$ 261.4	\$ 728.2
Capital lease obligations(2)	157.4	18.8	18.9	17.0	20.7	82.0
Operating leases (mainly facilities)	2.8	1.8	0.8	0.2		
Purchase obligations:						
Equipment purchases payable	57.8	57.8				
Equipment purchase commitments	248.9	248.9				
Total contractual obligations	\$ 2,416.3	\$ 609.1	\$ 393.9	\$ 321.0	\$ 282.1	\$ 810.2

(1)

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Amounts include actual and estimated interest for floating-rate debt based on December 31, 2010 rates and the net effect of the interest rate swaps.

(2)

Amounts include interest.

Table of Contents

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At December 31, 2010, we did not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, which are often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements. We are, therefore, not exposed to any financing, liquidity, market or credit risk that could arise if we had engaged in such relationships.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, which require us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts and disclosures reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Our estimates are based on historical experience and currently available information. Actual results could differ from such estimates. The following paragraphs summarize our critical accounting policies. Additional accounting policies are discussed in the notes to our historical financial statements contained elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Revenue Recognition

Operating Leases with Customers

We enter into long-term leases and service leases with ocean carriers, principally as lessor in operating leases, for marine cargo equipment. Long-term leases provide our customers with specified equipment for a specified term. Our leasing revenues are based upon the number of equipment units leased, the applicable per diem rate and the length of the lease. Long-term leases typically range for a period of three to eight years. Revenues are recognized on a straight-line basis over the life of the respective lease. Advanced billings are deferred and recognized in the period earned. Service leases do not specify the exact number of equipment units to be leased or the term that each unit will remain on-hire but allow the lessee to pick up and drop off units at various locations specified in the lease agreement. Under a service lease, rental revenue is based on the number of equipment units on hire for a given period. Revenue for customers where collection is not reasonably assured is deferred and recognized when the amounts are received.

In accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification No. 605 "Revenue Recognition" (ASC 605), we recognize billings to customers for damages and certain other operating costs as leasing revenue as it is earned based on the terms of the contractual agreements with the customer. As principal, we are responsible for fulfillment of the services, supplier selection and service specifications, and we have ultimate responsibility to pay the supplier for the services whether or not we collect the amount billed to the lessee.

Finance Leases with Customers

We enter into finance leases as lessor for some of the equipment in our fleet. The net investment in finance leases represents the receivables due from lessees, net of unearned income. Unearned income is recognized on a level yield basis over the lease term and is recorded as leasing revenue. Finance leases are usually long-term in nature, typically ranging for a period of five to ten years and typically include a bargain purchase option that enables the lessee to purchase the equipment at the end of the lease term.

Equipment Trading Revenues and Expense

Equipment trading revenues represent the proceeds from the sale of equipment purchased for resale and are recognized as units are sold and delivered to the customer. The related expenses

Table of Contents

represent the cost of equipment sold as well as other selling costs that are recognized as incurred and are reflected as equipment trading expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

Management Fee Income

We manage equipment which is owned by third parties and we earn management fees based on the income earned by the leasing and sales of such equipment. Management fees are recognized as services are provided. We collect amounts billed and pay operating costs as agent on behalf of the third parties that own such equipment. These billings and operating costs are not included in revenue and expense; instead, the net amounts owed to these equipment owners are reflected as accrued expenses in our financial statements until paid as required by our contracts.

Other Revenues

Other revenues include fee income for third party positioning of equipment.

Leasing Equipment

In general, the Company purchases new equipment from equipment manufacturers for the purpose of leasing such equipment to customers. Occasionally, the Company may also purchase used equipment with the intention of selling such equipment. Used units are typically purchased with an existing lease in place or were previously owned by one of the Company's third party owner investors.

Leasing equipment is recorded at cost and depreciated to an estimated residual value on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives. The Company reviews its depreciation policies on a regular basis to determine whether changes have taken place that would suggest that a change in its depreciation policies, useful lives of its equipment or the assigned residual values is warranted.

We conducted a review of our historical disposal experience and have reset the estimated residual values for a number of our major container types to be more reflective of values we have realized for this equipment over the last several years. The estimated useful lives of all leasing equipment remain the same. Effective October 1, 2010, the estimated useful lives and residual values for the Company's leasing equipment from the date of manufacture are as follows:

	Useful Lives (Years)	Residual Values (\$)
Dry container units	13	\$900 to \$1,200
Refrigerated container units	12	\$2,500 to \$3,400
Special container units	14	\$600 to \$2,100
Tank container units	20	\$3,000
Chassis	20	\$1,200

Prior to October 1, 2010, the Company's estimated residual value ranges of dry, refrigerated and special containers were \$750 to \$900, \$2,200 to \$2,700 and \$600 to \$1,200, respectively.

Costs incurred to place new equipment into service, including costs to transport the equipment to its initial on-hire location, are capitalized. The Company charges to expense inspection costs on new equipment and repair and maintenance costs that do not extend the lives of the assets at the time the costs are incurred, and include these costs in direct operating expenses.

If indicators of impairment are present, a determination is made as to whether the carrying value of the Company's fleet exceeds its estimated future undiscounted cash flows. Leasing equipment is tested for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that its carrying amount may not be recovered. Key indicators of impairment on leasing equipment include, among other

Table of Contents

factors, a sustained decrease in operating profitability, a sustained decrease in utilization, or indications of technological obsolescence.

When testing for impairment, leasing equipment is generally grouped by equipment type, and is tested separately from other groups of assets and liabilities. Some of the significant estimates and assumptions used to determine future undiscounted cash flows and the measurement for impairment are the remaining useful life, expected utilization, expected future lease rates, and expected disposal prices of the equipment. The Company considers the assumptions on expected utilization and the remaining useful life to have the greatest impact on our estimate of future undiscounted cash flows. These estimates are principally based on the Company's historical experience and management's judgment of market conditions.

An allowance is provided through provision for doubtful accounts based on the net book value of a percentage of the units on lease to certain customers that are considered to be non-performing which the Company believes it will not ultimately recover. The percentage is developed based on historical experience.

Equipment Held for Sale

When leasing equipment is returned off lease, we make a determination of whether to repair and re-lease the equipment or sell the equipment. At the time we determine that equipment will be sold, we reclassify the appropriate amounts previously recorded as leasing equipment to equipment held for sale. In accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification No. 360 "Property, Plant and Equipment (ASC 360), equipment held for sale is carried at the lower of its estimated fair value, based on current transactions, less costs to sell, or carrying value; depreciation on such assets is halted and disposals generally occur within 90 days. Subsequent changes to the asset's fair value, either increases or decreases, are recorded as adjustments to the carrying value of the equipment held for sale; however, any such adjustments may not exceed the equipment's carrying value at the time it was initially classified as held for sale. Initial write-downs of assets held for sale are recorded as an impairment charge and are included in net (gain) loss on sale of leasing equipment. Realized gains and losses resulting from the sale of equipment held for sale are recorded as a (gain) loss on sale of leasing equipment, and cash flows associated with the disposal of equipment held for sale are classified as cash flows from investing activities.

Equipment Held for Resale Trading Activity

On an opportunistic basis, we purchase used equipment with markings or specifications different from our own equipment for purposes of reselling it within a short time frame for a net profit.

Equipment purchased for resale is reported as equipment held for sale due to the short timeframe, generally less than one year, between the time the equipment is purchased and the time the equipment is sold. Due to this short expected holding period, cash flows associated with equipment held for resale are classified as operating cash flows. Equipment trading revenues represent the proceeds from the sale of this equipment, while Equipment trading expense includes the cost of equipment sold, any costs to sell such equipment, including administrative costs, and costs associated with the inventory such as storage and handling charges.

Table of Contents

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Our allowance for doubtful accounts is updated on a regular basis and is based upon a review of the collectability of our receivables. This review considers the risk profile of the customer, credit quality indicators such as the level of past-due amounts and economic conditions. An account is considered past due when a payment has not been received in accordance with the contractual terms. Accounts are generally charged off after an analysis is completed which indicates that collection of the full principal balance is in doubt. Changes in economic conditions or other events may necessitate additions or deductions to the allowance for doubtful accounts. The allowance for doubtful accounts is intended to provide for losses inherent in our receivables, and requires the application of estimates and judgments as to the outcome of collection efforts and the realization of collateral, among other things. We believe our allowance for doubtful accounts is adequate to provide for credit losses inherent in our existing receivables. However, actual losses could exceed the amounts provided for in certain periods.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes using the asset and liability method, which requires recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for expected future tax consequences of temporary differences that currently exist between tax bases and financial reporting bases of our assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. In assessing the ability to realize deferred tax assets, we consider whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

If applicable, we accrue income tax liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits resulting from uncertain tax positions by evaluating whether the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit and then measures the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Potential interest and penalties associated with such uncertain tax positions are recorded as a component of income tax expense.

Goodwill

We account for goodwill in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification No. 350, "Intangibles - Goodwill and Other" (ASC 350). ASC 350 requires goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives to be reviewed for impairment annually or more frequently if circumstances indicate a possible impairment. In connection with the acquisition that occurred in 2004, we recorded \$71.9 million of goodwill. Management determined that the Company has two reporting units, Equipment leasing and Equipment trading, and allocated \$70.9 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, to each reporting unit. The annual impairment test is conducted by comparing the Company's carrying amount, to the fair value of the Company using a market capitalization approach. Market capitalization of the entity is compared to the carrying value of the entity since virtually all of the goodwill is allocated to, and nearly all of the market capitalization is attributable to, the Equipment leasing reporting unit. If the carrying value of the entity exceeds its market capitalization, then a second step would be performed that compares the implied fair value of goodwill with the carrying amount of goodwill. The determination of implied fair value of goodwill would require management to compare the estimated fair value of the reporting units to the estimated fair value of the assets and liabilities of the reporting units. Any excess fair value represents the implied fair value of goodwill. To the extent that the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, an impairment loss would be recorded. Our annual review of goodwill, conducted in the fourth quarter of 2010, indicated that no impairment of goodwill existed.

Table of Contents**ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK****Interest Rate Risk**

We enter into interest rate swap contracts to fix the interest rates on a portion of our debt. We assess and manage the external and internal risk associated with these derivative instruments in accordance with our overall operating goals. External risk is defined as those risks outside of our direct control, including counterparty credit risk, liquidity risk, systemic risk and legal risk. Internal risk relates to those operational risks within the management oversight structure and includes actions taken in contravention of our policy.

The primary external risk of our interest rate swap contracts is in derivative asset positions counterparty credit exposure, which is defined as the ability of a counterparty to perform its financial obligations under a derivative contract. All derivative agreements are with highly rated financial institutions. Credit exposures are measured based on the market value of outstanding derivative instruments. Both current exposures and potential exposures are calculated for each derivative contract to monitor counterparty credit exposure.

As of December 31, 2010, we had in place total interest rate swap contracts to fix the floating interest rates on a portion of the borrowings under our debt facilities as summarized below:

Total Notional Amount at December 31, 2010	Weighted Average Fixed Leg Interest Rate at December 31, 2010	Weighted Average Remaining Term
\$987.6 million	3.48%	3.4 years

These interest rate swap contracts are not designated as hedging instruments, and the change in their fair values will be recognized in the consolidated statements of operations as net gains or losses on interest rate swaps.

Since approximately 82.6% of our variable rate debt is hedged using interest rate swaps, our interest expense is not significantly affected by changes in interest rates. However, our earnings are impacted by changes in interest rate swap valuations which cause gains or losses to be recorded. During the year ended December 31, 2010, net losses on interest rate swaps totaled \$13.0 million, compared to net gains on interest rate swaps of \$35.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009.

During 2010, we terminated an interest rate swap contract that had been designated as a cash flow hedge. Therefore, the cumulative losses on the swap as of the date of termination were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss/income. This amount will be amortized to interest expense over the original term of the interest rate swap contract. Amounts in accumulated other comprehensive loss/income attributable to this swap would be recognized in earnings immediately in conjunction with the termination of the related debt.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

Although we have significant foreign based operations, the U.S. dollar is the operating currency for the large majority of our leases (and company obligations), and most of our revenues and expenses in 2010 and 2009 were denominated in U.S. dollars. However we pay our non-U.S. staff in local currencies, and our direct operating expenses and disposal transactions for our older containers are often structured in foreign currencies. We recorded unrealized foreign currency exchange losses of \$0.4 million and \$0.2 million for the in the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, which resulted primarily from fluctuations in exchange rates related to our Euro and Pound Sterling transactions and related assets.

Table of Contents

In April 2008, we entered into a foreign currency rate swap agreement to exchange Euros for U.S. Dollars based on expected payments under its Euro denominated finance lease receivables. The foreign currency rate swap agreement expires in April 2015. The fair value of this derivative contract was approximately \$0.9 million at December 31, 2010, and is reported as an asset in Fair Value of Derivative Instruments on the consolidated balance sheet.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule listed under Item 15 Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules are filed as a part of this Item 8. Supplementary financial information may be found in Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT REGARDING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our President and Chief Executive Officer along with our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based upon their evaluation of these disclosure controls and procedures, our President and Chief Executive Officer along with the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer concluded, as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act). Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer or persons performing similar functions to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of our financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Our internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that: (a) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and dispositions of assets; (b) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and Board of Directors; and (c) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, our internal controls and procedures may not prevent or detect misstatements. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can only provide reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. Also, projections of any evaluation

Table of Contents

of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As of December 31, 2010, our management, with the participation of our President and Chief Executive Officer and our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). Based on this evaluation, management has determined that TAL International Group, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting is effective as of December 31, 2010.

Ernst & Young LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited our 2010 consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, has issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting. The report appears elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of
TAL International Group, Inc.

We have audited TAL International Group, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). TAL International Group, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, TAL International Group, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of TAL International Group, Inc. as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010 of TAL International Group, Inc. and our report dated February 18, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

New York, New York
February 18, 2011

Table of Contents

Changes in Internal Controls

There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference from the sections captioned "Election of Directors," "Occupations of Directors and Executive Officers," and "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in our proxy statement to be issued in connection with the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on April 26, 2011 (the "2011 Proxy Statement"), which will be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference from the section captioned "Compensation and Other Information Concerning Directors and Officers" in the 2011 Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference from the section captioned "Management and Principal Holders of Voting Securities" in the 2011 Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference from the section captioned "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" in the 2011 Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference from the section captioned "Auditor Fees" in the 2011 Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the close of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

- (a)
 (1) *Financial Statements.*

The following financial statements are included in Item 8 of this report:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	Page F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008	F-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-7

- (a)
 (2) *Financial Statement Schedules*

The following financial statement schedule for the Company is filed as part of this report:

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	S-1
---	-----

Schedules not listed above have been omitted because the information required to be set forth therein is not applicable or is shown in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements or notes thereto.

- (a)
 (3) *List of Exhibits.*

The following exhibits are filed as part of and incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

Exhibit No.	Description
2.1	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated July 10, 2004, by and between TA Leasing Holding Co, Inc. and Klesch & Company Limited (incorporated by reference from exhibit number 2.1 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed on June 30, 2005, file number 333-126317)
2.2	First Amendment to Stock Purchase Agreement, dated August 10, 2004, by and among TA Leasing Holding Co, Inc., Klesch & Company Limited and Transamerica Corporation (incorporated by reference from exhibit number 2.2 to Amendment No. 1 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed on August 26, 2005, file number 333-126317)
2.3	Second Amendment to Stock Purchase Agreement, dated September 30, 2004, by and among TA Leasing Holding Co, Inc., Klesch & Company Limited and Transamerica Corporation (incorporated by reference from exhibit number 2.3 to Amendment No. 1 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed on August 26, 2005, file number 333-126317)
2.4	Third Amendment to Stock Purchase Agreement, dated November 3, 2004, by and among TA Leasing Holding Co, Inc., Klesch & Company Limited, TAL International Group, Inc. and Transamerica Corporation (incorporated by reference from exhibit number 2.4 to Amendment No. 1 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed on August 26, 2005, file number 333-126317)

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
2.5	Fourth Amendment to Stock Purchase Agreement, dated January 3, 2005, by and among TA Leasing Holding Co, Inc., Klesch & Company Limited, TAL International Group, Inc. and Transamerica Corporation (incorporated by reference from exhibit number 2.5 to Amendment No. 1 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed on August 26, 2005, file number 333-126317)
2.6	Fifth Amendment to Stock Purchase Agreement, dated March 31, 2005, by and among TA Leasing Holding Co, Inc., Klesch & Company Limited, TAL International Group, Inc. and Transamerica Corporation (incorporated by reference from exhibit number 2.6 to Amendment No. 1 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed on August 26, 2005, file number 333-126317)
3.1	Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of TAL International Group, Inc. (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 3.1 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-K filed on March 20, 2006)
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of TAL International Group, Inc. (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 3.2 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-K filed on March 20, 2006)
4.1	Form of Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference from exhibit number 4.1 to Amendment No. 3 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed on October 5, 2005, file number 333-126317)
4.2	Amended and Restated Indenture dated as of April 12, 2006 by and between TAL Advantage I LLC and U. S. Bank National Association (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.35 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on May 12, 2006)
4.3	First Supplemental Indenture between TAL Advantage I LLC and U.S. Bank National Association dated June 26, 2007 to the Amended and Restated Indenture dated as of April 12, 2006 (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.58 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on August 8, 2008)
4.4	Second Supplemental Indenture between TAL Advantage I LLC and U.S. Bank National Association dated November 19, 2007 to the Amended and Restated Indenture dated as of April 12, 2006 (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.59 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on August 8, 2008)
4.5	Amended and Restated Series 2005-1 Supplement dated as of April 12, 2006 between Advantage I LLC and U. S. Bank National Association (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.40 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on May 12, 2006)
4.6	Amended and Restated Management Agreement dated as of April 12, 2006 by and between TAL International Container Corporation and TAL Advantage I LLC (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.36 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on May 12, 2006)
4.7	Amended and Restated Contribution and Sale Agreement dated as of April 12, 2006 by and between TAL International Container Corporation and TAL Advantage I LLC (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.37 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on May 12, 2006)

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
4.8	Amended and Restated Series 2005-1 Note Purchase Agreement dated as of April 7, 2006 by and between TAL Advantage I LLC, the Noteholders from time to time party thereto and the other financial institutions from time to time party thereto (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.41 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on May 12, 2006)
4.9	Series 2006-1 Supplement dated as of April 12, 2006 by and between TAL Advantage I LLC and U. S. Bank National Association (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.38 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on May 12, 2006)
4.10	Series 2006-1 Note Purchase Agreement dated as of April 7, 2006 by and between TAL Advantage I LLC, TAL International Container Corporation, and Fortis Securities LLC and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.39 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on May 12, 2006)
4.11	Intercreditor Agreement Dated April 12, 2006 by and among TAL International Container Corporation, TAL Advantage I LLC, U. S. Bank National Association and Fortis Capital Corp. (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.11 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-K filed on March 3, 2009)
4.12	Omnibus Amendment No. 2, dated June 1, 2006 (incorporated by reference from exhibit 10.42 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 8-K filed on June 6, 2006)
4.13	Credit Agreement, dated as of July 31, 2006, by and among TAL International Container Corporation, Fortis Capital Corp. and the Lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.43 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 8-K filed on August 4, 2006)
4.14	Amendment No. 1 dated July 13, 2007 to Credit Agreement, dated as of July 31, 2006, by and among TAL International Container Corporation, Fortis Capital Corp. and the Lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.47 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 8-K filed on July 17, 2007)
4.15	Security Agreement, dated as of July 31, 2006, by and among TAL International Container Corporation and Fortis Capital Corp. (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.44 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 8-K filed on August 4, 2006)
4.16	Pledge Agreement, dated as of July 31, 2006, by and among TAL International Container Corporation and Fortis Capital Corp. (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.45 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 8-K filed on August 4, 2006)
4.17	Guaranty, dated as of July 31, 2006, made by TAL International Group, Inc. (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.46 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 8-K filed on August 4, 2006)
4.18	Credit Agreement, dated as of August 15, 2007, by and among TAL International Container Corporation, National City Bank, as Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent, and the Lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.48 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 8-K filed August 16, 2007)
4.19	Security Agreement, dated as of August 15, 2007, by and among TAL International Container Corporation and National City Bank, as Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.49 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 8-K filed on August 16, 2007)

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
4.20	Pledge Agreement, dated as of August 15, 2007, by and among TAL International Container Corporation and National City Bank, as Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.50 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 8-K filed on August 16, 2007)
4.21	Guaranty, dated as of August 15, 2007, made by TAL International Group, Inc. (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.51 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 8-K filed on August 16, 2007)
4.22	Indenture, dated as of March 27, 2008, by and between TAL Advantage II LLC and U. S. Bank National Association (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.52 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on May 9, 2008)
4.23	First Supplemental Indenture between TAL Advantage II LLC and U.S. Bank National Association dated June 20, 2008 to the Indenture dated March 27, 2008 (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.60 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on August 8, 2008)
4.24	Third Supplemental Indenture between TAL Advantage I LLC and U.S. Bank National Association dated June 23, 2008 to the Amended and Restated Indenture dated as of April 12, 2006 (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.61 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on August 8, 2008)
4.25	Series 2008-1 Supplement, dated as of March 27, 2008, by and between TAL Advantage II LLC and U. S. Bank National Association (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.53 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on May 9, 2008)
4.26	Management Agreement dated as of March 27, 2008, by and between TAL Advantage II LLC and TAL International Container Corporation (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.54 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on May 9, 2008)
4.27	Contribution and Sale Agreement, dated as of March 27, 2008, by and between TAL Advantage II LLC and TAL International Container Corporation (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.55 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on May 9, 2008)
4.28	Series 2008-1 Note Purchase Agreement, dated as of March 27, 2008, by and among TAL Advantage II LLC, Fortis Capital Corp., the other purchasers party thereto from time to time and the other parties named therein (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.56 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on May 9, 2008)
4.29	Guaranty dated March 27, 2008 made by TAL International Group, Inc. (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.57 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on May 9, 2008)
4.30	Appendix A dated as of March 27, 2009 to Indenture dated as of March 27, 2008 by and between TAL Advantage II LLC and U. S. Bank National Association (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.30 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-K filed on March 1, 2010)
4.31	Indenture, dated as of October 23, 2009, between TAL Advantage III LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.31 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-K filed on March 1, 2010)

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
4.32	Series 2009-1 Supplement dated as of October 23, 2009 between TAL Advantage III LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.32 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-K filed on March 1, 2010)
4.33	Management Agreement dated as of October 23, 2009 between TAL International Container Corporation and TAL Advantage III LLC (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.33 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-K filed on March 1, 2010)
4.34	Contribution and Sale Agreement dated as of October 23, 2009 between TAL International Container Corporation and TAL Advantage III LLC (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.34 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-K filed on March 1, 2010)
4.35	Series 2009-1 Note Purchase Agreement dated as of October 23, 2009 between TAL Advantage III LLC, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Wachovia Bank, National Association, the other Noteholders from time to time party thereto and the other financial institutions from time to time party thereto (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.35 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-K filed on March 1, 2010)
4.36	Amendment No. 1 dated December 21, 2009 to Series 2009-1 Note Purchase Agreement dated as of October 23, 2009 between TAL Advantage III LLC, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Wachovia Bank, National Association, the other Noteholders from time to time party thereto and the other financial institutions from time to time party thereto (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.36 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-K filed on March 1, 2010)
4.37	Amendment No. 1 dated February 16, 2010 to Series 2009-1 Supplement dated as of October 23, 2009 between TAL Advantage III LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.37 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-K filed on March 1, 2010)
4.38	Amendment No. 2 dated February 16, 2010 to Series 2009-1 Note Purchase Agreement dated as of October 23, 2009 between TAL Advantage III LLC, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Wachovia Bank, National Association, the other Noteholders from time to time party thereto and the other financial institutions from time to time party thereto (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.38 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-K filed on March 1, 2010)
4.39	Amendment No. 2 dated April 9, 2010 to Series 2009-1 Supplement dated as of October 23, 2009 between TAL Advantage III LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.39 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on May 7, 2010)
4.40	Amendment No. 3 dated April 9, 2010 to Series 2009-1 Note Purchase Agreement dated as of October 23, 2009 between TAL Advantage III, LLC, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, the other Noteholders from time to time party thereto and the other financial institutions from time to time party thereto (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.40 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on May 7, 2010)
4.41	Amendment No. 1 dated April 23, 2010 to the Indenture dated as of October 23, 2010, between TAL Advantage III LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.41 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on May 7, 2010)

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
4.42	Amendment No. 3 dated April 23, 2010 to Series 2009-1 Supplement dated as of October 23, 2009 between TAL Advantage III LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.42 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on May 7, 2010)
4.43	Amendment No. 4 dated April 23, 2010 to Series 2009-1 Note Purchase Agreement dated as of October 23, 2009 between TAL Advantage III, LLC, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, the other Noteholders from time to time party thereto and the other financial institutions from time to time party thereto (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.43 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on May 7, 2010)
4.44	Amendment No. 4 dated June 21, 2010 to Series 2009-1 Supplement dated as of October 23, 2009 between TAL Advantage III LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.44 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010)
4.45	Amendment No. 5 dated June 21, 2010 to Series 2009-1 Note Purchase Agreement dated as of October 23, 2009 between TAL Advantage III LLC, Wells Fargo Securities LLC, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, the other Noteholders from time to time party thereto and the other financial institutions from time to time party thereto (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.45 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010)
4.46	Amendment No. 2 dated June 21, 2010 to the Indenture dated as of October 23, 2009 by and between TAL Advantage III LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.46 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010)
4.47	Indenture, dated as of June 28, 2010, by and between TAL Advantage IV, LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Indenture Trustee (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.47 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010)
4.48	Series 2010-1 Supplement dated as of June 28, 2010, by and between TAL Advantage IV, LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Indenture Trustee (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.48 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010)
4.49	Management Agreement dated as of June 28, 2010 by and between TAL International Container Corporation and TAL Advantage IV LLC (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.49 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010)
4.50	Contribution and Sale Agreement dated as of June 28, 2010 by and between TAL International Container Corporation and TAL Advantage IV LLC (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.50 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010)
4.51	Transition Agent Agreement dated as of June 28, 2010 by and between Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, TAL International Container Corporation and TAL Advantage IV LLC (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.51 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010)

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
4.52	Series 2010-1 Note Purchase Agreement dated as of June 28, 2010 by and between TAL Advantage IV LLC, TAL International Container Corporation and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.52 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010)
4.53	Amendment No. 3 dated as of July 16, 2010 to the Indenture dated as of October 23, 2009 by and between TAL Advantage III LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.53 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010)
4.54	Amendment No. 1 dated as of July 16, 2010 to the Management Agreement dated as of October 23, 2009 by and between TAL Advantage III LLC and TAL International Container Corporation (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.54 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010)
4.55	Amendment No. 5 dated July 16 2010 to Series 2009-1 Supplement dated as of October 23, 2009 by and between TAL Advantage III LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.55 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010)
4.56	Amendment No. 6 dated July 16, 2010 to Series 2009-1 Note Purchase Agreement dated as of October 23, 2009 between TAL Advantage III LLC, Wells Fargo Securities LLC, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, the other Noteholders from time to time party thereto and the other financial institutions from time to time party thereto (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.56 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010)
4.57	Series 2010-2 Supplement dated as of October 19, 2010, by and between TAL Advantage IV, LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Indenture Trustee (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.57 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on November 1, 2010)
4.58	Series 2010-2 Note Purchase Agreement dated as of October 19, 2010 by and between TAL Advantage IV LLC, TAL International Container Corporation and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 4.58 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on November 1, 2010)
4.59*	Series 2011-1 Supplement dated as of January 21, 2011, by and between TAL Advantage IV, LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Indenture Trustee.
4.60*	Series 2011-1 Note Purchase Agreement dated as of January 21, 2011 by and between TAL Advantage IV LLC, TAL International Container Corporation and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC.
10.1	Amended and Restated Shareholders Agreement, dated as of October 11, 2005, by and among TAL International Group, Inc. and certain of its stockholders (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.7 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-K filed on March 20, 2006)

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
10.2	Investor Subscription Agreement, dated as of November 3, 2004, by and among TAL International Group, Inc., The Resolute Fund, L.P., The Resolute Fund Singapore PV, L.P., The Resolute Fund Netherlands PV I, L.P., The Resolute Fund Netherlands PV II, L.P., The Resolute Fund NQP, L.P., JZ Equity Partners plc, Fairholme Partners, L.P., Fairholme Ventures II, LLC, Fairholme Holdings, Ltd., Edgewater Private Equity Fund III, L.P., Edgewater Private Equity Fund IV, L.P. and Seacon Holdings Limited (incorporated by reference from exhibit number 10.8 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed on June 30, 2005, file number 333-126317)
10.3	Amendment No. 1 to Investor Subscription Agreement, dated as of October 11, 2005, by and among TAL International Group, Inc., The Resolute Fund, L.P., The Resolute Fund Singapore PV, L.P., The Resolute Fund Netherlands PV I, L.P., The Resolute Fund Netherlands PV II, L.P., The Resolute Fund NQP, L.P., JZ Equity Partners plc, Fairholme Partners, L.P., Fairholme Ventures II, LLC, Fairholme Holdings, Ltd., Edgewater Private Equity Fund III, L.P., Edgewater Private Equity Fund IV, L.P. and Seacon Holdings Limited (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.32 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-K filed on March 20, 2006)
10.4	Amended and Restated Management Subscription Agreement, dated as of October 11, 2005, by and among TAL International Group, Inc., Brian M. Sondey, Chand Khan, Frederico Baptista, Adrian Dunner, John C. Burns, Bernd Schackier and John Pearson (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.9 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-K filed on March 20, 2006)
10.5	Amended and Restated Tax Sharing Agreement, dated as of August 1, 2005, by and among TAL International Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries named therein (incorporated by reference from exhibit number 10.12 to Amendment No. 1 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed on August 26, 2005, file number 333-126317)
10.6 ⁺	Employment Agreement, dated as of November 3, 2004, by and between TAL International Group, Inc. and Brian M. Sondey (incorporated by reference from exhibit number 10.13 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed on June 30, 2005, file number 333-126317)
10.7 ⁺	2004 Management Stock Plan (incorporated by reference from exhibit number 10.14 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed on June 30, 2005, file number 333-126317)
10.8 ⁺	First Amendment to 2004 Management Stock Plan (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.34 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-K filed on March 20, 2006)
10.9 ⁺	Stock Option Agreement, dated November 3, 2004, by and between TAL International Group, Inc. and Brian M. Sondey (incorporated by reference from exhibit number 10.15 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed on June 30, 2005, file number 333-126317)
10.10 ⁺	Stock Option Agreement, dated November 3, 2004, by and between TAL International Group, Inc. and Chand Khan (incorporated by reference from exhibit number 10.16 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed on June 30, 2005, file number 333-126317)
10.11 ⁺	Stock Option Agreement, dated November 3, 2004, by and between TAL International Group, Inc. and Frederico Baptista (incorporated by reference from exhibit number 10.17 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed on June 30, 2005, file number 333-126317)
10.12 ⁺	Stock Option Agreement, dated November 3, 2004, by and between TAL International Group, Inc. and John C. Burns (incorporated by reference from exhibit number 10.18 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed on June 30, 2005, file number 333-126317)

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
10.13+	Stock Option Agreement, dated November 3, 2004, by and between TAL International Group, Inc. and Adrian Dunner (incorporated by reference from exhibit number 10.21 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed on June 30, 2005, file number 333-126317)
10.14+	Form of Indemnity Agreement between TAL International Group, Inc., certain of its subsidiaries, each of their respective current directors and certain of their respective current officers (incorporated by reference from exhibit number 10.22 to Amendment No. 2 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form S-1 filed on September 20, 2005, file number 333-126317)
10.15+	2005 Management Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.33 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-K filed on March 20, 2006)
10.16	Agreement dated June 1, 2010 by and among TAL International Group, Inc. and certain of its stockholders (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.16 to TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010)
12.1*	Computation of Ratio of Consolidated Earnings to Consolidated Fixed Charges
14.1	Code of Ethics (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 14.1 to the TAL International Group, Inc.'s Form 8-K filed on April 3, 2006)
21.1*	List of Subsidiaries
23.1*	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
24.1*	Powers of Attorney (included on the signature page to this Annual Report on Form 10-K)
31.1*	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
31.2*	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
32.1**	Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
32.2**	Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350

+ Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

* Filed herewith.

** Furnished herewith.

(b) *Exhibits.*

The Company hereby files as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K the exhibits listed in Item 15(a)(3) set forth above.

(c) *Financial Statement Schedules*

The Company hereby files as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K the financial statement schedule listed in Item 15(a)(2) set forth above.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 18, 2011

TAL International Group, Inc.
By: /s/ BRIAN M. SONDEY

Brian M. Sondey
President and Chief Executive Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY AND SIGNATURES

We, the undersigned officers and directors of TAL International Group, Inc. hereby severally constitute and appoint Brian M. Sondey and John Burns and each of them singly, our true and lawful attorneys, with the power to them and each of them singly, to sign for us and in our names in the capacities indicated below, any amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and generally to do all things in our names and on our behalf in such capacities to enable TAL International Group, Inc. to comply with the provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and all the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant, in the capacities indicated, on the 18th day of February 2011.

Signature	Title(s)
<p style="text-align: center;">/s/ BRIAN M. SONDEY</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Brian M. Sondey</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer), Director</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">/s/ JOHN BURNS</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">John Burns</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">/s/ MALCOLM P. BAKER</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Malcolm P. Baker</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Director</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">/s/ A. RICHARD CAPUTO, JR.</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">A. Richard Caputo, Jr.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Director</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">/s/ CLAUDE GERMAIN</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Claude Germain</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Director</p>

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Signature	Title(s)
<hr/> <i>/s/ BRIAN J. HIGGINS</i> Brian J. Higgins	Director
<hr/> <i>/s/ JOHN W. JORDAN II</i> John W. Jordan II	Director
<hr/> <i>/s/ FREDERIC H. LINDEBERG</i> Frederic H. Lindeberg	Director
<hr/> <i>/s/ DAVID W. ZALAZNICK</i> David W. Zalaznick	Director
<hr/> <i>/s/ DOUGLAS J. ZYCH</i> Douglas J. Zych	Director

Table of Contents

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008 <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>F-2</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009</u>	<u>F-3</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	<u>F-4</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	<u>F-5</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	<u>F-6</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>F-7</u>
<u>Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u>	<u>S-1</u>

F-1

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of
TAL International Group, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of TAL International Group, Inc. as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index Item 15(a)(2). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of TAL International Group, Inc. at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), TAL International Group, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 18, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

New York, New York
February 18, 2011

Table of Contents**TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.****Consolidated Balance Sheets****(Dollars in thousands, except share data)**

	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
ASSETS:		
Leasing equipment, net of accumulated depreciation and allowances of \$511,634 and \$420,517	\$ 2,086,194	\$ 1,357,539
Net investment in finance leases, net of allowances of \$1,169 and \$1,618	171,417	199,989
Equipment held for sale	29,220	46,291
Revenue earning assets	2,286,831	1,603,819
Cash and cash equivalents (including restricted cash of \$23,018 and \$13,714)	85,612	73,604
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$429 and \$757	48,311	33,086
Goodwill	71,898	71,898
Deferred financing costs	17,802	8,882
Other assets	4,737	7,015
Fair value of derivative instruments	2,024	2,674
Total assets	\$ 2,517,215	\$ 1,800,978
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
Equipment purchases payable	\$ 57,756	\$ 3,312
Fair value of derivative instruments	61,647	61,799
Accounts payable and other accrued expenses	59,329	42,845
Net deferred income tax liability	139,741	112,895
Debt	1,770,332	1,161,298
Total liabilities	2,088,805	1,382,149
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.001 par value, 500,000 shares authorized, none issued		
Common stock, \$.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 33,725,066 and 33,592,066 shares issued respectively	34	33
Treasury stock, at cost, 3,011,843 and 3,009,171 shares, respectively	(37,535)	(37,489)
Additional paid-in capital	399,816	398,016
Accumulated earnings	76,053	58,253
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(9,958)	16
Total stockholders' equity	428,410	418,829
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 2,517,215	\$ 1,800,978

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.****Consolidated Statements of Operations****(Dollars in thousands, except share data)**

	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008
Revenues:			
Leasing revenues:			
Operating leases	\$ 310,221	\$ 288,759	\$ 298,913
Finance leases	18,309	20,502	20,379
Total leasing revenues	328,530	309,261	319,292
Equipment trading revenues	34,636	39,693	95,394
Management fee income	2,932	2,629	3,136
Other revenues	702	954	2,170
Total revenues	366,800	352,537	419,992
Operating expenses (income):			
Equipment trading expenses	28,814	37,538	84,216
Direct operating expenses	24,489	36,942	28,246
Administrative expenses	41,724	40,908	46,154
Depreciation and amortization	115,927	115,688	110,450
(Reversal) provision for doubtful accounts	(843)	545	4,878
Net (gain) on sale of leasing equipment	(25,765)	(9,278)	(23,534)
Net (gain) on sale of container portfolios		(185)	(2,789)
Total operating expenses	184,346	222,158	247,621
Operating income	182,454	130,379	172,371
Other expenses (income):			
Interest and debt expense	79,104	68,807	64,983
Write-off of deferred financing costs	675		250
(Gain) on debt extinguishment		(14,130)	(23,772)
Net loss (gain) on interest rate swaps	13,029	(35,152)	76,047
Total other expenses:	92,808	19,525	117,508
Income before income taxes	89,646	110,854	54,863
Income tax expense	31,922	39,268	19,067
Net income	\$ 57,724	\$ 71,586	\$ 35,796
Net income per common share:			
Basic	\$ 1.90	\$ 2.31	\$ 1.10

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Diluted	\$	1.88	\$	2.30	\$	1.09
---------	----	------	----	------	----	------

Weighted average number of
common shares

outstanding Basic	30,440,816	31,021,339	32,572,901
-------------------	------------	------------	------------

Weighted average number of
common shares

outstanding Diluted	30,716,668	31,072,265	32,693,320
---------------------	------------	------------	------------

Cash dividends paid per
common share

\$	1.30	\$	0.04	\$	1.61
----	------	----	------	----	------

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

F-4

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income

(Dollars in thousands, except share amounts)

	Common Stock		Treasury Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Earnings (Deficit)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Comprehensive Income
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount				
Balance at December 31, 2007	33,482,316	\$ 33	412,279	\$ (9,171)	\$ 395,230	\$ 4,858	\$ 2,527	
Stock compensation issuance of stock options					22			
Stock compensation issuance of restricted stock	1,000				1,181			
Stock options exercised	2,500				45			
Treasury stock acquired			643,200	(10,955)				
Comprehensive income:								
Net income						35,796		\$ 35,796
Foreign currency translation adjustment							(1,556)	(1,556)
Amortization of cash flow hedges, net of income taxes of \$(439)							(795)	(795)
Comprehensive income								\$ 33,445
Common stock dividends declared						(52,744)		
Balance at December 31, 2008	33,485,816	33	1,055,479	(20,126)	396,478	(12,090)	176	
Stock compensation issuance of stock options/modification					125			
Stock compensation restricted stock activity	106,250				1,413			
Treasury stock acquired			1,953,692	(17,363)				
Comprehensive income:								
Net income						71,586		71,586
Foreign currency translation adjustment							415	415
Amortization of cash flow hedges, net of income taxes of \$(320)							(575)	(575)
Comprehensive income								\$ 71,426
Common stock dividends declared						(1,243)		
Balance at December 31, 2009	33,592,066	33	3,009,171	(37,489)	398,016	58,253	16	
Stock compensation issuance of stock options/modification					140			
Stock compensation restricted stock activity	128,000	1			1,570			
Stock options exercised	5,000				90			
Treasury stock acquired			2,672	(46)				
Comprehensive income:								
Net income						57,724		57,724
Foreign currency translation adjustment							(438)	(438)
Change in fair value cash flow hedges, net of income taxes \$(5,171)							(9,467)	(9,467)

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Amortization of cash flow hedges, net of income taxes of \$(43)									(69)	(69)		
Comprehensive income									\$	47,750		
Common stock dividends declared										(39,924)		
Balance at December 31, 2010	33,725,066	\$	34	3,011,843	\$	(37,535)	\$	399,816	\$	76,053	\$	(9,958)

F-5

Table of Contents**TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(Dollars in thousands)**

	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 57,724	\$ 71,586	\$ 35,796
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	115,927	115,688	110,450
Net (gain) on sale of leasing equipment	(25,765)	(9,278)	(23,534)
Net (gain) on sale of container portfolios		(185)	(2,789)
Deferred income taxes	32,113	39,248	18,039
(Gain) on debt extinguishment		(14,130)	(23,772)
Net loss (gain) on interest rate swaps	13,029	(35,152)	76,047
Realized loss on interest rate swaps terminated prior to their contractual maturities	(27,447)		
Amortization of deferred financing costs	2,166	1,170	1,046
Stock compensation charge	1,710	1,538	1,203
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(15,624)	687	(126)
Deferred revenue	16,770	873	1,828
Accounts payable	(3,108)	(792)	2,046
Accrued expenses	(704)	(6,682)	1,037
Income taxes payable	(53)	82	(41)
Other assets	361	(789)	361
Net equipment purchased for resale activity	(3,003)	(2,368)	716
Other, net	(934)	1,190	2,706
Net cash provided by operating activities	163,162	162,686	201,013
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchases of leasing equipment	(838,827)	(30,859)	(450,902)
Investments in finance leases	(5,387)	(27,098)	(41,733)
Proceeds from sale of equipment leasing fleet, net of selling costs	99,275	69,473	83,956
Proceeds from sale of container portfolios		8,532	40,539
Cash collections on finance lease receivables, net of income earned	35,484	31,533	28,232
Other	(211)	(151)	134
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(709,666)	51,430	(339,774)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Stock options exercised	90		45
Financing fees paid under debt facilities	(11,761)	(3,310)	(3,210)
Borrowings under debt facilities	901,500	104,153	452,983
Payments under debt facilities	(329,869)	(260,788)	(274,445)
Payment to extinguish debt due to repurchase		(20,650)	(24,104)
Proceeds received from capital leases	50,012	10,000	44,366
Payments under capital lease obligations	(11,882)	(8,274)	(7,122)
Purchases of treasury stock		(17,363)	(10,955)
(Increase) decrease in restricted cash	(9,304)	2,446	1,899
Common stock dividends paid	(39,578)	(1,238)	(52,534)
	549,208	(195,024)	126,923

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-K

Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities

Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	2,704	19,092	(11,838)
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	59,890	40,798	52,636
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 62,594	\$ 59,890	\$ 40,798

Supplemental disclosures:

Interest paid	\$ 75,840	\$ 66,769	\$ 64,388
Income taxes (refunded) paid	\$ (59)	\$ (218)	\$ 313

Supplemental non-cash financing activities:

Accrued and unpaid purchases of equipment	\$ 57,756	\$ 3,312	\$ 27,224
Purchases of leasing equipment financed through capital lease obligations	\$	\$	\$ 9,375

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 Description of the Business and Basis of Presentation

TAL International Group, Inc. ("TAL" or the "Company") leases intermodal transportation equipment, primarily maritime containers, and provides maritime container management services, through a worldwide network of offices, third party depots and other facilities. The Company operates in both international and domestic markets. The majority of the Company's business is derived from leasing its containers to shipping line customers through a variety of long-term and short-term contractual lease arrangements. The Company also sells its own containers and containers purchased from third parties for resale. TAL also enters into management agreements with third party container owners under which the Company manages the leasing and selling of containers on behalf of the third party owners.

Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the respective entities and their subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain reclassifications have been made to the accompanying prior period financial statements and notes to conform to the current year's presentation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents, which includes restricted cash, consists of all cash balances and highly liquid investments having original maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company's allowance for doubtful accounts is provided based upon a review of the collectability of its receivables. This review is based on the risk profile of the receivables, credit quality indicators such as the level of past-due amounts and economic conditions. Generally, the Company does not require collateral on accounts receivable balances. An account is considered past due when a payment has not been received in accordance with the contractual terms. Accounts are generally charged off after an analysis is completed which indicates that collection of the full principal balance is in doubt. Changes in economic conditions or other events may necessitate additions or deductions to the allowance for doubtful accounts. The allowance for doubtful accounts is intended to provide for losses inherent in the receivables, and requires the application of estimates and judgments as to the outcome of collection efforts and the realization of collateral, among other things. The Company believes its allowance for doubtful accounts is adequate to provide for credit losses inherent in its existing receivables.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The equipment leases and trade receivables subject the Company to potential credit risk. The Company extends credit to its customers based upon an evaluation of the customer's financial condition and credit history. The Company's largest customer is CMA CGM, which accounted for approximately 16% of leasing revenues in 2010, 17% in 2009 and 12% in 2008. Mediterranean Shipping Company accounted for approximately 12% of the Company's leasing revenues in 2010 and 10% in 2009. APL-NOL accounted for approximately 11% of the Company's leasing revenues in 2010, 12% in 2009 and 15% in 2008. No other customer exceeded 10% of the Company's leasing revenues in 2010, 2009

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

or 2008. As of December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, Mediterranean Shipping Company accounted for approximately 50%, 48% and 41% of the Company's net investment in finance leases.

Net Investment in Finance Leases

The amounts reported as net investment in finance leases are recorded at the present value of the aggregate future lease payments, including any purchase options granted to customers, less allowances for uncollectible amounts and unearned income. Allowances are provided based upon a review of the collectability of gross finance lease receivables, including the underlying collateral, and considers the risk profile of the receivables, credit quality indicators such as the level of past due amounts, if any, and economic conditions. Finance lease receivables are generally charged off after an analysis is completed which indicates that collection of the full principal balance is in doubt. Interest from these leases is recognized over the term of the lease using the effective interest method as a component of leasing revenues.

Leasing Equipment

In general, the Company purchases new equipment from equipment manufacturers for the purpose of leasing such equipment to customers. Occasionally, the Company may also purchase used equipment with the intention of selling such equipment. Used units are typically purchased with an existing lease in place or were previously owned by one of the Company's third party owner investors.

Leasing equipment is recorded at cost and depreciated to an estimated residual value on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives. The Company reviews its depreciation policies on a regular basis to determine whether changes have taken place that would suggest that a change in its depreciation policies, useful lives of its equipment or the assigned residual values is warranted.

After conducting its annual review of historical disposal experience, TAL decided to increase the estimated residual values used in its equipment depreciation policy, effective October 1, 2010. The Company has experienced a number of years of consistently high disposal gains, including during the difficult market of 2009, and based on this review, has reset the estimated residual values for a number of its major container types to be more reflective of values realized for this equipment over the last several years. The estimated useful lives of all leasing equipment remain the same. The increase in assigned residual values resulted in a decrease in the Company's depreciation expense of \$5.5 million (\$3.6 million after tax or \$0.12 per diluted share) for the quarter and year ended December 31, 2010. Based on TAL's fleet as of December 31, 2010, the increase in the assigned residual values would result in the future decrease of depreciation expense of approximately \$21.6 million annually (\$13.9 million after tax or \$0.45 per diluted share) assuming no other changes to estimated residual values, depreciation method and estimated useful lives of the fleet. Beginning October 1, 2010, the estimated

Table of Contents**TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

useful lives and residual values for the Company's leasing equipment from the date of manufacture are as follows:

	Useful Lives (Years)	Residual Values (\$)
Dry container units	13	\$900 to \$1,200
Refrigerated container units	12	\$2,500 to \$3,400
Special container units	14	\$600 to \$2,100
Tank container units	20	\$3,000
Chassis	20	\$1,200

Prior to October 1, 2010, the Company's estimated residual value ranges of dry, refrigerated and special containers were \$750 to \$900, \$2,200 to \$2,700 and \$600 to \$1,200, respectively.

Costs incurred to place new equipment into service, including costs to transport the equipment to its initial on-hire location, are capitalized. The Company charges to expense inspection costs on new equipment and repair and maintenance costs that do not extend the lives of the assets at the time the costs are incurred, and includes these costs in direct operating expenses.

If indicators of impairment are present, a determination is made as to whether the carrying value of the Company's fleet exceeds its estimated future undiscounted cash flows. Leasing equipment is tested for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that its carrying amount may not be recovered. Key indicators of impairment on leasing equipment include, among other factors, a sustained decrease in operating profitability, a sustained decrease in utilization, or indications of technological obsolescence.

When testing for impairment, leasing equipment is generally grouped by equipment type, and is tested separately from other groups of assets and liabilities. Some of the significant estimates and assumptions used to determine future undiscounted cash flows and the measurement for impairment are the remaining useful life, expected utilization, expected future lease rates, and expected disposal prices of the equipment. The Company considers the assumptions on expected utilization and the remaining useful life to have the greatest impact on our estimate of future undiscounted cash flows. These estimates are principally based on the Company's historical experience and management's judgment of market conditions.

The net book value of the leasing equipment by principal equipment type at December 31, 2010 and 2009 was (in thousands):

	2010	2009
Dry container units	\$ 1,430,592	\$ 870,446
Refrigerated container units	427,148	274,889
Special container units	112,512	119,075
Tank container units	58,267	33,885
Chassis	57,675	59,244
	\$ 2,086,194	\$ 1,357,539

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Included in the amounts above are units not on lease at December 31, 2010 and 2009 with a total net book value of \$107.1 million and \$93.5 million, respectively. Amortization on equipment purchased under capital lease obligations is included in depreciation and amortization expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

An allowance is provided through the provision for doubtful accounts based on the net book value of a percentage of the units on lease to certain customers that are considered to be non-performing which the Company believes it will not ultimately recover. The percentage is developed based on historical experience.

Equipment Held for Sale

When leasing equipment is returned off lease, the Company makes a determination of whether to repair and re-lease the equipment or sell the equipment. At the time the Company determines that equipment will be sold, it reclassifies the appropriate amounts previously recorded as leasing equipment to equipment held for sale. In accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification No. 360 "Property, Plant and Equipment (ASC 360), equipment held for sale is carried at the lower of its estimated fair value, based on current transactions, less costs to sell, or carrying value; depreciation on such assets is halted and disposals generally occur within 90 days. Subsequent changes to the asset's fair value, either increases or decreases, are recorded as adjustments to the carrying value of the equipment held for sale; however, any such adjustments may not exceed the equipment's carrying value at the time it was initially classified as held for sale. Initial write-downs of assets held for sale are recorded as an impairment charge and are included in net gain on sale of leasing equipment. Realized gains and losses resulting from the sale of equipment held for sale are recorded as a net gain on sale of leasing equipment, and cash flows associated with the disposal of equipment held for sale are classified as cash flows from investing activities.

Equipment Held for Resale Trading Activity

On an opportunistic basis, the Company purchases used equipment with markings or specifications different from its own equipment for purposes of reselling it within a short time frame for a net profit.

Equipment purchased for resale is reported as equipment held for sale due to the short timeframe, generally less than one year, between the time the equipment is purchased and the time the equipment is sold. Due to this short expected holding period, cash flows associated with equipment held for resale are classified as operating cash flows. Equipment trading revenues represent the proceeds from the sale of this equipment, while Equipment trading expense includes the cost of equipment sold, any costs to sell such equipment, including administrative costs, and costs associated with the inventory such as storage and handling charges.

Goodwill

The Company accounts for goodwill in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification No. 350, "Intangibles Goodwill and Other" (ASC 350). ASC 350 requires goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives to be reviewed for impairment annually or more frequently if circumstances indicate a possible impairment. In connection with the acquisition that occurred in 2004, the Company recorded \$71.9 million of goodwill. Management determined that the Company has two reporting units, Equipment leasing and Equipment trading, and allocated \$70.9 million and

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

\$1.0 million, respectively, to each reporting unit. The annual impairment test is conducted by comparing the Company's carrying amount, to the fair value of the Company using a market capitalization approach. Market capitalization of the entity is compared to the carrying value of the entity since virtually all of the goodwill is allocated to, and nearly all of the market capitalization is attributable to, the Equipment leasing reporting unit. If the carrying value of the entity exceeds its market capitalization, then a second step would be performed that compares the implied fair value of goodwill with the carrying amount of goodwill. The determination of implied fair value of goodwill would require management to compare the estimated fair value of the reporting units to the estimated fair value of the assets and liabilities of the reporting units. Any excess fair value represents the implied fair value of goodwill. To the extent that the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, an impairment loss would be recorded. The Company's annual review of goodwill, conducted in the fourth quarter of 2010, indicated that no impairment of goodwill existed.

Deferred Financing Costs

Deferred financing costs represent the fees incurred in connection with the financing of the Company's debt obligations and are amortized on a straight line basis over the estimated term of the obligations. Unamortized deferred financing costs are written off when the related debt obligations are refinanced or extinguished prior to maturity and are determined to be an extinguishment of debt.

Other Assets

The Company's other assets primarily consist of leasehold improvements, other fixed assets and intangible assets.

Leasehold improvements are recorded at cost and amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the initial term of the respective lease or the estimated useful life of the improvement. Costs of major additions and improvements are capitalized. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. Other fixed assets, which consist primarily of computer software, computer equipment and furniture, are recorded at cost and amortized on a straight-line basis over their respective estimated useful lives, which range from three to seven years. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company had leasehold improvements and other fixed assets of \$0.9 million and \$1.0 million, net of accumulated amortization of \$5.3 million and \$5.1 million, respectively.

As a result of the acquisition that occurred in 2004 and subsequent purchase of managed containers, the Company recorded intangible assets related to the fair value of its lease relationships. The fair value of the assets on the date of the acquisition and purchase were \$8.9 million, which is being amortized over three to seven years. Accumulated amortization was \$8.1 million and \$7.0 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Estimated amortization for the next year will be approximately \$0.7 million and less than \$0.1 million for the each of the following three years.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company believes the carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, finance lease receivable and other assets approximated fair value at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

The Company estimates that at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the carrying value of the Company's debt instruments was approximately \$9.5 million and \$116.4 million higher, respectively,

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

than its fair value. The Company estimated the fair value of its debt instruments based on the net present value of its future debt payments, using a discount rate which reflects the Company's estimate of current market interest rate spreads as of the respective balance sheet dates.

Revenue Recognition

Operating Leases with Customers

The Company enters into long-term leases and service leases with ocean carriers, principally as lessor in operating leases, for marine cargo equipment. Long-term leases provide our customers with specified equipment for a specified term. The Company's leasing revenues are based upon the number of equipment units leased, the applicable per diem rate and the length of the lease. Long-term leases typically range for a period of three to eight years. Revenues are recognized on a straight-line basis over the life of the respective lease. Advanced billings are deferred and recognized in the period earned. Service leases do not specify the exact number of equipment units to be leased or the term that each unit will remain on-hire but allow the lessee to pick up and drop off units at various locations specified in the lease agreement. Under a service lease, rental revenue is based on the number of equipment units on hire for a given period. Revenue for customers where collection is not reasonably assured is deferred and recognized when the amounts are received.

In accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification No. 605 "Revenue Recognition" (ASC 605), the Company recognizes billings to customers for damages and certain other operating costs as leasing revenue as it is earned based on the terms of the contractual agreements with the customer. As principal, the Company is responsible for fulfillment of the services, supplier selection and service specifications, and has ultimate responsibility to pay the supplier for the services whether or not it collects the amount billed to the lessee.

Finance Leases with Customers

The Company enters into finance leases as lessor for some of the equipment in the Company's fleet. The net investment in finance leases represents the receivables due from lessees, net of unearned income. Unearned income is recognized on a level yield basis over the lease term and is recorded as leasing revenue. Financing leases are usually long-term in nature, typically ranging for a period of five to ten years and typically include a bargain purchase option to purchase the equipment at the end of the lease term.

Equipment Trading Revenues and Expense

Equipment trading revenues represent the proceeds from the sale of equipment purchased for resale and are recognized as units are sold and delivered to the customer. The related expenses represent the cost of equipment sold as well as other selling costs that are recognized as incurred and are reflected as equipment trading expenses in the consolidated statements of operations.

Management Fee Income

The Company manages equipment which is owned by third parties and it earns management fees based on the income earned by the leasing and sales of such equipment. Management fees are recognized as services are provided. The Company collects amounts billed and pays operating costs as

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

agent on behalf of the third parties that own such equipment. These billings and operating costs are not included in revenue and expense; instead, the net amounts owed to these equipment owners are reflected as accrued expenses in the Company's financial statements until paid as required by our contracts. As of both December 31, 2010 and 2009, approximately \$3.6 million was reflected in accounts payable and other accrued expenses, which represents unpaid net earnings owed to third party owners of managed equipment.

Other Revenues

Other revenues principally include fee income for third party positioning of equipment.

Direct Operating Expenses

Direct operating expenses are directly related to the Company's equipment under and available for lease. These expenses primarily consist of the Company's costs to repair and maintain the equipment, to reposition the equipment, to store the equipment when it is not on lease and to inspect newly manufactured equipment. These costs are recognized when incurred. In limited situations, certain positioning costs may be capitalized.

Derivative Instruments

The Company uses derivatives in the management of its interest rate exposure on its long-term borrowings and its foreign currency rate exposure. The Company accounts for derivative instruments in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification No. 815 "Derivatives and Hedging" (ASC 815). ASC 815 requires that all derivative instruments be recorded on the balance sheet at their fair value and established criteria for both the designation and effectiveness of hedging activities. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company did not have any derivative instruments that were designated and accounted for as hedging instruments (see Note 4).

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability method, which requires recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for expected future tax consequences of temporary differences that currently exist between tax bases and financial reporting bases of the Company's assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. In assessing the ability to realize deferred tax assets, the Company considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

If applicable, the Company accrues income tax liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits resulting from uncertain tax positions by evaluating whether the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit and then measures the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Potential interest and penalties associated with such uncertain tax positions are recorded as a component of income tax expense (see Note 10).

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Foreign Currency Translation and Remeasurement

The net assets and operations of foreign subsidiaries included in the consolidated financial statements are attributable primarily to the Company's UK subsidiary. The accounts of this subsidiary have been converted at rates of exchange in effect at year-end as to balance sheet accounts and at a weighted average of exchange rates for the year as to income statement accounts. The effects of changes in exchange rates in translating foreign subsidiaries' financial statements are included in stockholders' equity as accumulated other comprehensive loss/income.

The Company also has certain cash accounts and certain finance lease receivables that are denominated in a currency other than the functional currency of the Company. These assets are generally denominated in Euros or British Pounds, and are remeasured at each balance sheet date at rates of exchange in effect at that date.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification No. 718 "Compensation - Stock Compensation" (ASC 718) which requires that compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements. The cost is measured at the grant date, based on the calculated fair value of the award, and is recognized as an expense over the employee's requisite service period (generally the vesting period of the equity award).

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income includes net income, unrealized gains and losses and related amortization, net of income taxes on derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges and foreign currency translation adjustments. No other elements of comprehensive income exist.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share reflect the potential dilution that would occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock, utilizing the treasury stock method.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

Table of Contents**TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 3 Debt**

Debt consisted of the following (amounts in thousands):

	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Asset backed securitization term notes (ABS)	984,880	700,194
Term loan facilities	441,133	317,415
Asset backed warehouse facility	122,500	50,000
Revolving credit facility	90,000	
Capital lease obligations	131,819	93,689
 Total Debt	 \$ 1,770,332	 \$ 1,161,298

As of December 31, 2010 the Company had \$574.1 million of debt outstanding on facilities with fixed interest rates. The weighted average interest rate on these fixed rate facilities as of December 31, 2010 was 4.99% and they are scheduled to mature between 2014 and 2020 and have a weighted average remaining term of 4.7 years as of December 31, 2010.

As of December 31, 2010 the Company had \$1,196.2 million of debt outstanding on facilities with interest rates based on floating rate indices (such as LIBOR). As of December 31, 2010, the weighted average interest rate on these floating rate debt obligations was 1.94% and they are scheduled to mature between 2012 and 2018 and have a weighted average remaining term of 3.1 years as of December 31, 2010.

The Company economically hedges the risks associated with fluctuations in interest rates on its floating rate borrowings by entering into interest rate swap contracts that convert its floating rate debt to a fixed rate basis, thus reducing the impact of interest rate changes on future interest expense. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had in place interest rate swaps with a total notional value of \$987.6 million to fix the floating interest rates on a portion of its floating rate debt obligations. As of December 31, 2010, the weighted average fixed leg interest rate on the Company's interest rate swap contracts was 3.48% with a weighted average remaining term of 3.4 years (see Note 4 for additional information on the Company's interest rate swap contracts).

Asset Backed Securitization Term Notes

The Company's Asset Backed Securitization ("ABS") facilities have been the primary funding source used to finance its existing container fleet and new container purchases since their inception in April of 2006. The facilities are designed to reduce borrowing costs and enhance financing resources for the Company's container fleet. Under the facilities, indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company issue asset backed notes. The issuance of asset backed notes is the primary business objective of those subsidiaries.

The Company's borrowings under the ABS facilities amortize in equal monthly installments. The borrowing capacity under the ABS facilities is determined by applying an advance rate against the sum of the net book values of designated eligible containers and accounts receivable for sold equipment not outstanding more than 60 days plus 100% of restricted cash. The net book values for purposes of calculating the Company's borrowing capacity is the original equipment cost depreciated over 12 years to a range of 20% to 32% of original equipment cost, depending on the type of equipment. Advance rates under the ABS facilities range from 76% to 82%. The Company is required to maintain restricted

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 3 Debt (Continued)

cash balances on deposit in designated bank accounts equal to either five or nine months of interest expense depending on the type of facility.

During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company repurchased approximately \$35.0 million and \$48.2 million of asset backed term notes issued in 2006 and recorded gains on debt extinguishment of \$14.1 million and \$23.8 million, net of the write-off of deferred financing costs of \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.

Term Loan Facilities

The Company utilizes its term loan facilities as an important funding source for the purchase of new containers, as well as to support its finance lease business and a port equipment financing transaction. The term loan facilities generally amortize in monthly installments.

The borrowing capacity under the term loan facilities is determined by applying an advance rate in the range of 75% to 90% against the net book values of designated eligible containers. The net book value for purposes of calculating the Company's borrowing capacity for certain of our term loan facilities is the original equipment cost depreciated over 12 years to a range of 20% to 32% of original equipment cost depending on equipment type. Borrowings under the term loan facilities used to support the Company's finance lease business are secured by the finance lease receivables associated with the related containers.

Asset Backed Warehouse Facility

On October 26, 2009, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company entered into an asset backed warehouse facility with a maximum borrowing capacity of \$325 million. The asset backed warehouse facility is used by the Company to fund new equipment purchases. This facility initially has a 24 month revolving credit period, commencing on the date of the facility, followed by a four year term period. During the term period, the asset backed warehouse facility amortizes on a level basis to 60% of the outstanding balance.

The borrowing capacity under the asset backed warehouse facility is determined by applying the advance rate of 75% against the sum of the net book values of designated eligible containers and accounts receivable for sold containers not outstanding more than 60 days plus 100% of restricted cash. The net book value for purposes of calculating the Company's borrowing capacity is the original equipment cost depreciated over 12 years to a range of 20% to 32% of original equipment cost depending on equipment type. The Company is required to maintain restricted cash balances on deposit in a designated bank account equal to three months of interest expense.

Revolving Credit Facility

The borrowing capacity under the revolving credit facility is \$100.0 million. The Company is required to maintain unencumbered assets equivalent to 50% of the maximum commitment.

Table of Contents**TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 3 Debt (Continued)**

Debt maturities (excluding capital lease obligations) amounts in thousands:

Fiscal years ending December 31,	
2011	\$ 203,978
2012	303,422
2013	248,655
2014	216,816
2015	300,008
2016 and thereafter	365,634
Total	\$ 1,638,513

Capital Lease Obligations

The Company has entered into a series of lease transactions with various financial institutions to finance the purchase of chassis and new containers. Each lease is accounted for as a capital lease, with interest expense recognized on a level yield basis over the period preceding early purchase options, if any, which is generally five to ten years from the transaction date.

At December 31, 2010, future lease payments under these capital leases are as follows (in thousands):

2011	\$ 18,767
2012	18,933
2013	17,049
2014	20,659
2015	36,349
2016 and thereafter	45,678
Less: amount representing interest	(25,616)
Capital lease obligation	\$ 131,819

Note 4 Derivative Instruments***Interest Rate Swaps***

The Company has entered into interest rate swap agreements to manage interest rate risk exposure. The interest rate swap agreements utilized by TAL effectively modify the Company's exposure to interest rate risk by converting its floating rate debt to a fixed rate basis, thus reducing the impact of interest rate changes on future interest expense. These agreements involve the receipt of floating rate amounts in exchange for fixed rate interest payments over the lives of the agreements without an exchange of the underlying principal amounts. The counterparties to these agreements are highly rated financial institutions. In the unlikely event that the counterparties fail to meet the terms of the interest rate swap agreements, the Company's exposure is limited to the interest rate differential on the notional amount at each monthly settlement period over the life of the agreements. The Company does not anticipate any non-performance by the counterparties. Substantially all of the assets of certain indirect, wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company have been pledged as collateral for the underlying

Table of Contents**TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 4 Derivative Instruments (Continued)**

indebtedness and the amounts payable under the interest rate swap agreements for each of these entities. In addition, certain assets of TAL International Container Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, are pledged as collateral for the Revolving Credit Facility and the amounts payable under certain interest rate swap agreements.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had in place total interest rate swap contracts to fix the floating interest rates on a portion of the borrowings under its debt facilities as summarized below:

Total Notional Amount at December 31, 2010	Weighted Average Fixed Leg Interest Rate at December 31, 2010	Weighted Average Remaining Term
\$987.6 million	3.48%	3.4 years

Most of the interest rate swap contracts entered into since April 12, 2006 have not been accounted for as hedging instruments under FASB Accounting Standards Codification No. 815 (ASC 815) *Derivatives and Hedging*, and changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap contracts are reflected in the statements of operations as net loss / gain on interest rate swaps. Prior to April 12, 2006, the Company had designated all existing swap contracts as cash flow hedges and then de-designated these contracts on April 12, 2006. As of December 31, 2010, the unamortized pre-tax balance reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss/income of these previously designated swap contracts was approximately \$0.4 million, all of which is expected to be amortized over the next 10 months.

In June 2010, the Company entered into a forward starting interest rate swap contract with a notional value of \$200 million to fix interest rates on future borrowings for containers committed to lease prior to delivery and payment. In connection with the closing of term notes issued on October 19, 2010 under the ABS facilities, the Company terminated this swap contract and paid \$14.6 million to its counterparty. Since this swap was designated as a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of the loss recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss/income as of the date the contract was terminated will be amortized to interest expense over the original term of the swap contract. Amounts representing the ineffective portion during the period in 2010 the hedge was designated were immaterial and were recorded to net gain (loss) on interest rate swaps in the Company's consolidated statement of operations. As of December 31, 2010, the unamortized pre-tax balance reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss/income attributable to this terminated interest rate swap contract was approximately \$14.0 million, of which \$3.0 million is expected to be amortized to interest expense over the next 12 months.

Amounts recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss/income attributable to the de-designated and terminated interest rate swap contracts would be recognized in earnings immediately in conjunction with the termination of the related debt agreements.

In the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company terminated various non-designated interest rate swap contracts with a notional value of \$300 million that were set to expire in 2011 and 2012, and partially replaced them at current market rates with longer dated interest rate swap contracts with a notional value of \$200 million. The Company paid \$12.8 million to its swap counterparties to terminate these contracts. Since these swaps were non-designated, the cumulative loss had been previously recognized in the Company's statements of operations as net loss on interest rate swaps.

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 4 Derivative Instruments (Continued)

Under the criteria established by FASB Accounting Standards Codification No.820 "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures" (ASC 820), the Company has elected to use the income approach to value its interest rate swap contracts. The fair value measurements of the Company's interest rate swap contracts are based on observable Level 2 market expectations at measurement date and standard valuation techniques to convert future amounts to a single present amount (discounted) assuming that participants are motivated, but not compelled to transact. The Level 2 inputs for the interest rate swap valuations are limited to quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets (specifically futures contracts) and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (specifically LIBOR cash and swap rates, implied volatility for options, caps and floors, basis swap adjustments, and credit risk at commonly quoted intervals).

Foreign Currency Rate Swaps

In April 2008, the Company entered into foreign currency rate swap agreements to manage foreign currency rate risk exposure by exchanging Euros for U.S. Dollars based on expected payments under its Euro denominated finance lease receivables. The Company will pay a total of approximately 4.8 million Euros and receive approximately \$7.3 million over the remaining term of foreign currency rate swap agreements which expire in April 2015. The Company does not account for the foreign currency rate swap agreements as hedging instruments under ASC 815, and therefore changes in the fair value of the foreign currency rate swap agreements are reflected in the statements of operations in administrative expenses.

Under the criteria established by ASC 820, the Company has elected to use the income approach to value the foreign currency rate swap contracts, using observable Level 2 market expectations at the measurement date and standard valuation techniques to convert future amounts to a single present amount (discounted) assuming that participants are motivated, but not compelled to transact. Level 2 inputs for the forward valuations are limited to quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets (specifically spot currency rates) and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (specifically forward currency points, LIBOR cash and swap rates, and credit risk at commonly quoted intervals).

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 4 Derivative Instruments (Continued)

Location of Derivative Instruments in Financial Statements

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments
(in millions)

Instrument	Asset Derivatives				Liability Derivatives			
	December 31, 2010		December 31, 2009		December 31, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
Interest rate swap contracts not designated	Fair value of derivative instruments	\$ 1.1	Fair value of derivative instruments	\$ 2.2	Fair value of derivative instruments	\$ 61.6	Fair value of derivative instruments	\$ 61.8
Foreign exchange contracts	Fair value of derivative instruments	\$ 0.9	Fair value of derivative instruments	\$ 0.5	Fair value of derivative instruments	\$	Fair value of derivative instruments	\$
Total Derivatives		\$ 2.0		\$ 2.7		\$ 61.6		\$ 61.8

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments
Effect of Derivative Instruments on Statement of Operations
(in millions)

Derivative Instrument	Location of (Gain) / Loss Recognized in Income on Derivatives	Amount of (Gain) / Loss Recognized in Income on Derivatives		
		Years ended December 31,		
		2010	2009	2008
Interest rate swap contracts not designated	Net loss (gain) on interest rate swaps	\$ 13.0	\$ (35.2)	\$ 76.0
Foreign exchange contracts	Administrative expenses	0.4	0.5	(1.0)
Total		\$ 13.4	\$ (34.7)	\$ 75.0

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 5 Net Investment in Finance Leases

The following table represents the components of the net investment in finance leases (in thousands):

	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Gross finance lease receivables(1)	\$ 223,611	\$ 269,654
Allowance on gross finance lease receivables(2)	(1,169)	(1,618)
Gross finance lease receivables, net of allowance	222,442	268,036
Unearned income(3)	(51,025)	(68,047)
Net investment in finance leases	\$ 171,417	\$ 199,989

(1)

At the inception of the lease, the Company records the total minimum lease payments, executory costs, if any, and unguaranteed residual value as gross finance lease receivables. The gross finance lease receivable is reduced as customer payments are received. The unguaranteed residual value is generally equal to the purchase option at the end of the lease. Approximately \$7.3 million and \$8.6 million of unguaranteed residual value at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were included in gross finance lease receivables. There were no executory costs included in gross finance lease receivables as of December 31, 2010 and 2009.

(2)

The Company evaluates potential losses in its finance lease portfolio by regularly reviewing the specific receivables in the portfolio and analyzing historical loss experience. For the period 2004 through 2010, the Company's loss experience on its gross finance lease receivables, after considering equipment recoveries, was less than 1%.

(3)

The difference between the gross finance lease receivable and the cost of the equipment or carrying amount at the lease inception is recorded as unearned income. Unearned income together with initial direct costs, are amortized to income over the lease term so as to produce a constant periodic rate of return. There were no unamortized initial direct costs as of December 31, 2010 and 2009.

In order to estimate its allowance for losses contained in the gross finance lease receivables, the Company categorizes the credit worthiness of the receivables in the portfolio based on internal customer credit ratings, which are reviewed and updated, as appropriate, on an ongoing basis. The internal customer credit ratings are developed based on a review of the financial performance and condition, operating environment, geographical location and trade routes of our customers.

The categories of gross finance lease receivables based on the Company's internal customer credit ratings can be described as follows:

Tier 1 These customers are typically large international shipping lines who have been in business for many years and have world-class operating capabilities and significant financial resources. In most cases, the Company has had a long commercial relationship with these customers and currently maintains regular communication with them at several levels of management which provides TAL with insight into the customer's current operating and financial performance. In the Company's view, these customers have the greatest ability to withstand cyclical down turns and would likely have greater

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 5 Net Investment in Finance Leases (Continued)

access to needed capital than lower-rated customers. The Company views the risk of default for Tier 1 customers to range from minimal to modest.

Tier 2 These customers are typically either smaller shipping lines with less operating scale or shipping lines with a high degree of financial leverage, and accordingly the Company views these customers as subject to higher volatility in financial performance over the business cycle. The Company generally expects these customers to have less access to capital markets or other sources of financing during cyclical down turns. The Company views the risk of default for Tier 2 customers as moderate.

Tier 3 Customers in this category exhibit volatility in payments on a regular basis, thus they are considered non-performing. The Company has initiated or implemented plans to recover equipment on lease to these customers and believes that default is likely, or has already occurred.

Based on the above categories, the Company's gross finance lease receivables as of December 31, 2010, are as follows (in thousands):

Tier 1	\$ 127,813
Tier 2	95,798
Tier 3	
	\$ 223,611

Contractual maturities of the Company's gross finance lease receivables subsequent to December 31, 2010 are as follows (in thousands):

2011	\$ 48,962
2012	44,610
2013	39,407
2014	31,108
2015	25,315
2016 and thereafter	34,209
	\$ 223,611

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 6 Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except share data):

	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008
Numerator:			
Net income applicable to common stockholders for basic and diluted earnings per share	\$ 57,724	\$ 71,586	\$ 35,796
Denominator:			
Weighted average shares outstanding for basic earnings per share	30,440,816	31,021,339	32,572,901
Dilutive stock awards	275,852	50,926	120,419
Weighted average shares for diluted earnings per share	30,716,668	31,072,265	32,693,320
Earnings per share: Basic	\$ 1.90	\$ 2.31	\$ 1.10
Earnings per share Diluted	\$ 1.88	\$ 2.30	\$ 1.09

The following table sets forth the number of options to purchase shares of common stock and restricted stock shares that were not included in the calculation of weighted average shares for diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 because their effects were antidilutive:

	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008
Options	11,500	597,191	18,000
Restricted stock		61,500	

Table of Contents**TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 7 Capital Stock and Stock Options****Dividends**

We paid the following quarterly dividends during the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 on our issued and outstanding common stock:

Record Date	Payment Date	Aggregate Payment	Per Share Payment
December 2, 2010	December 23, 2010	\$ 12.2 million	\$ 0.40
September 2, 2010	September 23, 2010	\$ 10.7 million	\$ 0.35
June 3, 2010	June 24, 2010	\$ 9.1 million	\$ 0.30
March 11, 2010	March 25, 2010	\$ 7.6 million	\$ 0.25
December 1, 2009	December 22, 2009	\$ 0.3 million	\$ 0.01
September 3, 2009	September 24, 2009	\$ 0.3 million	\$ 0.01
June 2, 2009	June 23, 2009	\$ 0.3 million	\$ 0.01
March 12, 2009	March 26, 2009	\$ 0.3 million	\$ 0.01

Treasury Stock

On March 13, 2006, the Board of Directors authorized a stock buyback program for the repurchase of Company's common stock. The stock repurchase program, as now amended, authorizes the Company to repurchase up to 4.0 million shares of its common stock.

There were no material repurchases of Treasury Stock during the year ended December 31, 2010. The following amounts of Treasury Stock were repurchased in the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008:

Year	Shares Purchased	Amount Paid
2009	1,953,692	\$ 17.4 million
2008	643,200	\$ 11.0 million

As of December 31, 2010, a total of 988,157 shares may yet be repurchased under the stock repurchase program.

Stock Based Compensation Plans

In October 2005, the Company adopted the TAL International Group, Inc. 2005 Management Omnibus Incentive Plan (the "2005 Plan"), which provided for the issuance of awards in the form of stock options, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock. A total of 2,500,000 shares of common stock were reserved for issuance under the 2005 Plan.

The Company records compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification No. 718 (ASC 718) *Compensation Stock Compensation*. The cost is measured at the grant date, based on the calculated fair value of the award, and is recognized as an expense over the employee's requisite service period (generally the vesting period of the equity award).

The following compensation costs were reported in administrative expenses in the Company's statements of operations related to the Company's stock-based compensation plans as a result of stock

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 7 Capital Stock and Stock Options (Continued)

options granted in 2006 and restricted shares granted during the years 2010, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008
Stock options	\$ 140	\$ 125	\$ 22
Restricted stock	1,570	1,413	1,181
Stock-based compensation	\$ 1,710	\$ 1,538	\$ 1,203

Cash received from employee exercises of stock options during 2010, 2009 and 2008 was approximately \$90,000, \$0, and \$45,000, respectively. TAL did not recognize any tax benefits associated with these exercises, as the options represent "qualified" options for U.S. income tax purposes, which do not statutorily result in a tax deduction upon exercise.

Total unrecognized compensation cost of approximately \$1.5 million as of December 31, 2010 related to restricted shares granted during 2010 and 2009 will be recognized over a weighted average vesting period of approximately 1.6 years.

Stock option activity under the Plans from January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010 was as follows:

	Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Life (Yrs)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value \$ in 000's
Outstanding January 1, 2010	597,191	\$ 18.17	5.8	
Granted				
Exercised	(5,000)	\$ 18.00		
Canceled	(5,000)	\$ 24.44		
Outstanding: December 31, 2010	587,191	\$ 18.11	4.8	\$ 7,490
Exercisable: December 31, 2010	587,191	\$ 18.11	4.8	\$ 7,490

Table of Contents**TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 7 Capital Stock and Stock Options (Continued)**

Restricted stock activity January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010 was as follows:

	Number of shares outstanding	Weighted Average Grant date fair value
Nonvested at January 1, 2010	212,250	\$ 17.77
Granted	122,000	15.26
Vested	(80,500)	23.00
Forfeited		
Nonvested at December 31, 2010	253,750	\$ 14.90

Note 8 Segment and Geographic Information***Industry Segment Information***

The Company's operations include the acquisition, leasing, re-leasing and subsequent sale of multiple types of intermodal containers and chassis. Intermodal containers are large, standardized steel boxes used to transport freight by ship, rail or truck. Chassis are used for the transportation of containers domestically. The Company primarily leases three principal types of equipment: (1) dry freight containers, which are used for general cargo such as manufactured component parts, consumer staples, electronics and apparel, (2) refrigerated containers, which are used for perishable items such as fresh and frozen foods, and (3) special containers, which are used for heavy and oversized cargo such as marble slabs, building products and machinery. Chassis are used for the transportation of containers domestically via rail and roads, and tank containers which are used to transport bulk liquid products such as chemicals.

The Company conducts its business activities in one industry, intermodal transportation equipment, and has two segments:

Equipment leasing the Company owns, leases and ultimately disposes of containers and chassis from its lease fleet, as well as manages containers owned by third parties.

Equipment trading the Company purchases containers from its shipping line customers and other sellers of containers, and resells these containers to container traders and users of containers for storage or one-way shipment.

Table of Contents**TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 8 Segment and Geographic Information (Continued)**

The following tables show segment information for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, and the consolidated totals reported (in thousands):

2010	Equipment Leasing	Equipment Trading	Totals
Total revenues	\$ 328,619	\$ 38,181	\$ 366,800
Equipment trading expenses		28,814	28,814
Depreciation and amortization	115,261	666	115,927
Net (gain) on sale of equipment	(25,721)	(44)	(25,765)
Interest and debt expense	77,261	1,843	79,104
Income before income taxes(1)	96,851	5,824	102,675
Goodwill at December 31	70,898	1,000	71,898
Total assets at December 31	2,474,277	42,938	2,517,215
Purchases of leasing equipment(2)	823,183	15,644	838,827
Investments in finance leases(2)	5,387		5,387

(1) Segment income before taxes excludes net loss on interest rate swaps of \$13,029.

(2) Represents cash disbursements for purchases of leasing equipment as reflected in the consolidated statements of cash flows for the period indicated.

2009	Equipment Leasing	Equipment Trading	Totals
Total revenues	\$ 311,875	\$ 40,662	\$ 352,537
Equipment trading expenses		37,538	37,538
Depreciation and amortization	115,429	259	115,688
Net (gain) on sale of equipment	(9,278)		(9,278)
Interest and debt expense	68,004	803	68,807
Income before income taxes(3)	60,691	881	61,572
Goodwill at December 31	70,898	1,000	71,898
Total assets at December 31	1,782,002	18,976	1,800,978
Purchases of leasing equipment(4)	30,859		30,859
Investments in finance leases(4)	27,098		27,098

(3) Segment income before taxes excludes net gain on interest rate swaps of \$35,152 and gain on debt extinguishment of \$14,130.

(4) Represents cash disbursements for purchases of leasing equipment as reflected in the consolidated statements of cash flows for the period indicated.

Table of Contents**TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 8 Segment and Geographic Information (Continued)**

2008	Equipment Leasing	Equipment Trading	Totals
Total revenues	\$ 324,083	\$ 95,909	\$ 419,992
Equipment trading expenses		84,216	84,216
Depreciation and amortization	110,400	50	110,450
Net (gain) on sale of equipment	(23,534)		(23,534)
Interest and debt expense	63,797	1,186	64,983
Income before income taxes(5)	98,724	8,414	107,138
Goodwill at December 31	70,898	1,000	71,898
Total assets at December 31	1,936,111	19,387	1,955,498
Purchases of leasing equipment(6)	450,902		450,902
Investments in finance leases(6)	41,733		41,733

(5) Segment income before taxes excludes net loss on interest rate swaps of \$76,047 and gain on debt extinguishment of \$23,772.

(6) Represents cash disbursements for purchases of leasing equipment as reflected in the consolidated statements of cash flows for the period indicated.

Geographic Segment Information

The Company's customers use the containers for their global trade utilizing many worldwide trade routes. The Company earns its revenues from international containers which are deployed by its customers around the world. Substantially all of the Company's leasing related revenues are denominated in U.S. dollars. The following table represents the allocation of domestic and international revenues for the periods indicated based the customers' primary domicile and allocates equipment trading revenue based on the location of sale (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008
Total revenues:			
United States of America	\$ 32,838	\$ 32,781	\$ 45,917
Asia	148,672	140,263	190,900
Europe	161,930	154,906	148,828
Other international	23,360	24,587	34,347
Total	\$ 366,800	\$ 352,537	\$ 419,992

As the Company's containers are used internationally, where no one container is domiciled in one particular place for a prolonged period of time, substantially all of the Company's long-lived assets are considered to be international.

Table of Contents**TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 9 Net (Gain) on Sale of Leasing Equipment**

The net (gain) on sale of leasing equipment consists of the following (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008
Impairment loss on equipment held for sale	\$ 221	\$ 1,598	\$ 849
(Gain) on sale of equipment net of selling costs	(25,986)	(10,876)	(24,383)
Net (gain) on sale of leasing equipment	\$ (25,765)	\$ (9,278)	\$ (23,534)

Note 10 Income Taxes

The following table sets forth the income tax expense for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008
Current taxes:			
Federal	\$	\$	\$ 223
State			
Foreign			(18)
			205
Deferred taxes:			
Federal	31,378	39,007	19,113
State	450	355	(285)
Foreign	94	(94)	34
	31,922	39,268	18,862
Total	\$ 31,922	\$ 39,268	\$ 19,067

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 10 Income Taxes (Continued)

The following table reconciles federal income taxes computed at the statutory rate with income tax expense (benefit) (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008
Federal income taxes at statutory rate	\$ 31,376	\$ 38,799	\$ 19,203
State income taxes (net of federal income tax benefit)	293	363	214
Reversal of deferred state tax liabilities (net of federal income tax expense)		(133)	(400)
Other/effect of permanent differences	253	239	50
	\$ 31,922	\$ 39,268	\$ 19,067

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are comprised of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Deferred income tax assets:		
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 83,023	\$ 65,877
Allowance for losses	1,072	1,179
Derivative instruments	26,915	21,522
Deferred income	5,578	3,816
Accrued liabilities & other	5,775	1,238
	122,363	93,632
Deferred income tax liabilities:		
Accelerated depreciation	252,651	198,877
Goodwill amortization	9,453	7,650
	262,104	206,527
Net deferred income tax liability	\$ 139,741	\$ 112,895

The Company has U.S. Federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$235 million at December 31, 2010. These losses will expire in 2025 through 2031. The Company has unrealized excess tax benefits related to restricted stock compensation costs of \$1.8 million that we expect to be credited to stockholders' equity in future periods. The Company expects to fully utilize these losses to offset future taxable income that will be generated by the reversal of temporary differences, mainly accelerated depreciation, prior to their expiration. The Company continues to monitor changes in its stock ownership which can potentially trigger annual limitations to the amount of net operating losses that may be utilized in future years under Internal Revenue Code Section 382. The Company does not believe any of its net operating loss carry forwards are currently subject to Section 382 limitations.

It is the Company's policy to permanently reinvest its foreign earnings. U.S. income taxes have not been provided on the undistributed earnings of the Company's foreign subsidiary. As of December 31, 2010 the cumulative undistributed earnings were approximately \$3.5 million.

Table of Contents**TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 10 Income Taxes (Continued)**

In accordance with the requirement to determine if the Company has any unrecognized tax benefits, the Company has continued to evaluate all tax positions and has determined that the cumulative effect of any uncertain tax positions and resulting unrecognized tax benefits did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated results of operations and financial position. As of January 1, 2010 and December 31, 2010, the Company did not have any material unrecognized tax benefits. There were no increases or decreases in unrecognized tax benefits during the year resulting from prior period tax positions, current period tax positions, settlements with tax authorities or the lapse of any statute of limitations, and no material changes in unrecognized tax benefits are expected over the next twelve months. Accordingly, there is no impact to the effective tax rate. Estimated interest and penalties related to potential underpayment on any unrecognized tax benefits would be classified as a component of tax expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company has not recorded any interest or penalties associated with unrecognized tax benefits. The 2004 through 2010 tax years remain subject to examination by major tax jurisdictions.

Note 11 Savings Plan

The Company's employees participate in a defined contribution plan generally covering all of its U.S. salaried employees. Under the provisions of the Plan, an employee is vested with respect to Company contributions after four years of service. The Company matches employee contributions up to 3% of qualified compensation and may, at its discretion, make voluntary contributions. Contributions for each of the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were approximately \$0.3 million.

Note 12 Rental Income Under Operating Leases

The following are the minimum future rentals at December 31, 2010 due TAL under non-cancelable operating leases of the Company's equipment (in thousands):

2011	\$	240,237
2012		205,813
2013		184,439
2014		161,523
2015		125,590
2016 and thereafter		189,573
	\$	1,107,175

Minimum lease revenues are recognized on a straight line basis over the lease term or, absent a specified lease term, estimated on hire period, inclusive of any free or reduced rent periods.

Note 13 Commitments and Contingencies***Lease Commitments***

The Company has cancelable and non-cancelable operating lease agreements principally for facilities and for equipment used in the Company's operations. Total rent expense was approximately \$2.2 million, \$2.3 million and \$2.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents**TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 13 Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)**

Future minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable operating leases at December 31, 2010 were as follows (in thousands):

2011	\$ 2,261
2012	1,019
2013	183
2014	3
2015	
	\$ 3,466

At December 31, 2010 the aggregate future minimum rentals to be received under non-cancelable subleases were as follows (in thousands):

2011	\$ 430
2012	179
	\$ 609

Residual Value Guarantees

During 2008, the Company entered into commitments for equipment residual value guarantees in connection with certain finance leases that were sold or brokered to financial institutions. The guarantees represent the Company's commitment that these assets will be worth a specified amount at the end of lease terms (if the lessee does not default on the lease) which expires in 2016. At December 31, 2010, the maximum potential amount of the guarantees under which the Company could be required to perform was approximately \$27.1 million. The carrying values of the guarantees of \$1.1 million have been deferred and are included in accounts payable and accrued expenses, and approximate fair value as of December 31, 2010. The Company accounts for the residual value guarantees under Accounting Standards Codification 450 (Contingencies) and expects the market value of the equipment covered by the guarantees will equal or exceed the value of the guarantees. Under the criteria established by ASC 820, the Company performed fair value measurements of the guarantees using Level 2 inputs, which were based on significant other observable inputs other than quoted prices, either on a direct or indirect basis.

Purchase Commitments

At December 31, 2010, the Company had commitments to purchase equipment in the amount of \$248.9 million payable in 2011.

Contingencies

The Company is party to various pending or threatened legal or regulatory proceedings arising in the ordinary course of its business. Based upon information presently available, management of the Company does not expect any liabilities arising from these matters to have a material effect on the consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

Table of Contents**TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 13 Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)****Indemnities**

The Revolving Credit Facility, the Asset Backed Securitization facilities and the Asset Backed Credit Facilities contain standard provisions present in loans of these types which obligate the Company to reimburse the lenders thereunder for any increased costs associated with continuing to hold the loans thereunder on its books which arise as a result of broadly defined regulatory changes, including changes in reserve requirements and bank capital requirements. These indemnities would have the practical effect of increasing the interest rate on the Company's debt if they were to be triggered. In all cases, the Company has the right to repay the applicable loan and avoid the increased costs. The term of these indemnities matches the length of the related term of the applicable loan.

Note 14 Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following table sets forth certain key interim financial information for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009:

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
(In thousands, except per share amounts)				
2010:				
Total revenues	\$ 79,598	\$ 87,364	\$ 95,802	\$ 104,036
Net income(1)(2)	\$ 5,899	\$ 4,652	\$ 11,777	\$ 35,396
Net income per basic common share	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.39	\$ 1.16
Net income per diluted common share	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.38	\$ 1.15
2009:				
Total revenues	\$ 100,155	\$ 90,059	\$ 82,916	\$ 79,407
Net income(3)	\$ 16,616	\$ 35,777	\$ 3,176	\$ 16,017
Net income per basic common share	\$ 0.52	\$ 1.15	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.53
Net income per diluted common share	\$ 0.52	\$ 1.15	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.52

- (1) 2010 net income reflects net losses on interest rate swaps of \$6,784 (\$4,558 on an after-tax basis), \$15,002 (\$9,677 on an after-tax basis), and \$9,709 (\$6,262 on an after-tax basis) in the first, second and third quarters of 2010, respectively. Net gains of \$18,466 (\$11,917 on an after-tax basis) are reflected in the fourth quarter of 2010.
- (2) Depreciation expense was reduced by \$5.5 million (\$3.6 million after tax or \$0.12 per diluted share) beginning October 1, 2010 as the result of an increase in the residual value estimates included in the Company's depreciation policy (see Note 2).
- (3) 2009 net income reflects net gains on interest rate swaps of \$5,063 (\$3,261 on an after-tax basis), \$24,455 (\$15,749 on an after-tax basis), and \$12,569 (\$8,114 on an after-tax basis) in the first, second and fourth quarters of 2009, respectively. Net losses of \$6,935 (\$4,466 on an after-tax basis) are reflected in the third quarter of 2009. Gain on extinguishment of debt of \$14,130 (\$9,105 on an after-tax basis) is reflected in the second quarter of 2009.

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 15 Foreign Currency Activities

The Company recorded \$0.4 million and \$0.2 million of unrealized foreign currency exchange losses in the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The unrealized foreign currency exchange losses resulted primarily from fluctuations in exchange rates related to its Euro and Pound Sterling transactions and related assets.

Note 16 Subsequent Events

Asset Backed Securitization

In January 2011, the Company issued \$174 million of fixed rate secured notes under the ABS facilities. The notes, which were rated "A" by Standard & Poor's, were issued at par with an annual interest rate of 4.6% and have a scheduled maturity of January 2021.

Quarterly Dividend

On February 8, 2011, the Company's Board of Directors approved and declared a \$0.45 per share quarterly cash dividend on its issued and outstanding common stock, payable on March 24, 2011 to shareholders of record at the close of business on March 3, 2011.

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE II

TAL International Group, Inc.

Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

Years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

(In thousands)

	Beginning Balance	Additions/ (Reversals)	(Write-offs) Reversals	Other(a)	Ending Balance
Finance Lease Allowance for doubtful accounts:					
For the year ended December 31, 2010	\$ 1,618	\$ (441)	\$	\$ (8)	\$ 1,169
For the year ended December 31, 2009	\$ 1,420	\$ (1,517)	\$ 1,713	\$ 2	\$ 1,618
For the year ended December 31, 2008	\$	\$ 4,117	\$ (2,700)	\$ 3	\$ 1,420
Accounts Receivable Allowance for doubtful accounts:					
For the year ended December 31, 2010	\$ 757	\$ (124)	\$ (194)	\$ (10)	\$ 429
For the year ended December 31, 2009	\$ 807	\$ 398	\$ (437)	\$ (11)	\$ 757
For the year ended December 31, 2008	\$ 961	\$ 329	\$ (471)	\$ (12)	\$ 807
Allowance for equipment loss:					
For the year ended December 31, 2010	\$ 1,051	\$ (278)	\$ (773)	\$	\$
For the year ended December 31, 2009	\$ 316	\$ 1,664	\$ (929)	\$	\$ 1,051
For the year ended December 31, 2008	\$ 92	\$ 432	\$ (208)	\$	\$ 316

(a) Primarily relates to the effect of foreign currency translation.

S-1