OWENS ILLINOIS INC /DE/ Form 10-K February 13, 2014

Use these links to rapidly review the document <u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u> <u>ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u> <u>PART IV</u> INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE

## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D. C. 20549

## **FORM 10-K**

(Mark One)

ý ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

or

• TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 1-9576

## **OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

**22-2781933** (IRS Employer Identification No.)

One Michael Owens Way, Perrysburg, Ohio (Address of principal executive offices)

43551 (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (567) 336-5000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

**Title of each class** Common Stock, \$.01 par value Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **None**  Name of each exchange on which registered New York Stock Exchange

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ý No o

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No ý

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  $\circ$  No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (\$232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  $\acute{y}$  No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

-	d filer o Smaller reporting
y o (Do not check	k if a company o
smaller report	ting
company)	)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No ý

The aggregate market value (based on the consolidated tape closing price on June 30, 2013) of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of Owens-Illinois, Inc. was approximately \$4,901,946,000. For the sole purpose of making this calculation, the term "non-affiliate" has been interpreted to exclude directors and executive officers of the Company. Such interpretation is not intended to be, and should not be construed to be, an admission by Owens-Illinois, Inc. or such directors or executive officers of the Company that such directors and executive officers of the Company are "affiliates" of Owens-Illinois, Inc., as that term is defined under the Securities Act of 1934.

The number of shares of common stock, \$.01 par value of Owens-Illinois, Inc. outstanding as of January 31, 2014 was 164,757,843.

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Owens-Illinois, Inc. Proxy Statement for The Annual Meeting of Share Owners To Be Held Thursday, May 15, 2014 ("Proxy Statement") are incorporated by reference into Part III hereof.

#### **TABLE OF GUARANTORS**

		Primary Standard Industrial	LR.S
Exact Name of Registrant	State/Country of	Classification	Employee
	Incorporation or	Code	Identification
As Specified In Its Charter	Organization	Number	<b>Number</b>
Owens-Illinois Group, Inc	Delaware	6719	34-1559348
Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc	Delaware	6719	34-1559346

The address, including zip code, and telephone number, of each additional registrant's principal executive office is One Michael Owens Way, Perrysburg, Ohio 43551; (567) 336-5000. These companies are listed as guarantors of the debt securities of the registrant. The consolidating condensed financial statements of the Company depicting separately its guarantor and non-guarantor subsidiaries are presented in the notes to the consolidated financial statements. All of the equity securities of each of the guarantors set forth in the table above are owned, either directly or indirectly, by Owens-Illinois, Inc.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I		<u>1</u>
<u>ITEM 1.</u>	BUSINESS	<u>1</u>
<u>ITEM 1A.</u>	<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	$\frac{1}{8}$ $\frac{16}{17}$ $\frac{19}{19}$
<u>ITEM 1B.</u>	UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	<u>16</u>
<u>ITEM 2.</u>	<u>PROPERTIES</u>	<u>17</u>
<u>ITEM 3.</u>	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	<u>19</u>
<u>ITEM 4.</u>	MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES	<u>19</u>
<u>PART II</u>		
		<u>20</u>
<u>ITEM 5.</u>	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHARE OWNER MATTERS AND ISSUER	
	PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	<u>20</u>
<u>ITEM 6.</u>	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	<u>22</u>
<u>ITEM 7.</u>	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF	
	OPERATIONS	<u>26</u>
ITEM 7A.	QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	<u>47</u>
<u>ITEM 8.</u>	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	<u>50</u>
<u>ITEM 9.</u>	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL	101
	DISCLOSURE	<u>106</u>
ITEM 9A.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	<u>106</u>
ITEM 9B.	OTHER INFORMATION	<u>109</u>
<u>PART III</u>		100
ITEN 10		<u>109</u>
<u>ITEM 10.</u>	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	<u>109</u>
<u>ITEM 11.</u> ITEM 12.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED	<u>109</u>
<u>11EM 12.</u>	STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	100
ITEM 13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	<u>109</u>
<u>ITEM 15.</u> ITEM 14.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	$\frac{110}{110}$
PART IV	FRINCIFAL ACCOUNTAINT FEES AND SERVICES	<u>110</u>
		111
ITEM 15.	EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	<u>111</u> <u>111</u>
SIGNATURES	LAHIDITO AND THANGAL STATEMENT SCHEDULLS	<u>111</u>
<u>SIGNAI UNES</u>		201
EXHIBITS		201

#### PART I

#### ITEM 1. BUSINESS

#### **General Development of Business**

Owens-Illinois, Inc. (the "Company"), through its subsidiaries, is the successor to a business established in 1903. The Company is the largest manufacturer of glass containers in the world with 77 glass manufacturing plants in 21 countries. It competes in the glass container segment of the rigid packaging market and is the leading glass container manufacturer in most of the countries where it has manufacturing facilities.

#### **Company Strategy**

The Company's ambition is to be the world's leading maker of brand-building glass containers, delivering unmatched quality, innovation and service to its customers; generating strong financial results for its investors; and providing a safe, motivating and engaging work environment for its employees. To accomplish this ambition, the Company is focused on the following objectives:

**Reduce structural costs** through specific programs such as permanent footprint adjustments, asset optimization and global cost-cutting initiatives;

**Grow selectively** by taking advantage of the Company's position in emerging markets around the world and strengthening the Company's positions in Europe and North America;

**Deliver brand-building product innovation** to the Company's customers to help them build, develop and expand their brands; and

**Invest strategically in process innovation and research and development** to reduce manufacturing costs and improve efficiency, flexibility, reliability and sustainability.

#### **Reportable Segments**

The Company has four reportable segments based on its geographic locations: Europe, North America, South America and Asia Pacific. Information as to sales, earnings from continuing operations before interest income, interest expense, and provision for income taxes and excluding amounts related to certain items that management considers not representative of ongoing operations ("segment operating profit"), and total assets by reportable segment is included in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### **Products and Services**

The Company produces glass containers for alcoholic beverages, including beer, flavored malt beverages, spirits and wine. The Company also produces glass packaging for a variety of food items, soft drinks, teas, juices and pharmaceuticals. The Company manufactures glass containers in a wide range of sizes, shapes and colors and is active in new product development and glass container innovation.

#### Customers

In most of the countries where the Company competes, it has the leading position in the glass container segment of the rigid packaging market based on sales revenue. The Company's largest customers consist mainly of the leading food and beverage manufacturers in the world, including (in alphabetical order) Anheuser-Busch InBev, Brown Forman, Carlsberg, Coca-Cola, Constellation, Diageo, Heineken, Kirin, MillerCoors, Nestle, PepsiCo, Pernod Ricard, SABMiller, and Saxco International. No customer represents more than 10% of the Company's consolidated net sales.

The Company sells most of its glass container products directly to customers under annual or multi-year supply agreements. Multi-year contracts typically provide for price adjustments based on cost changes. The Company also sells some of its products through distributors. Many customers provide the Company with regular estimates of their product needs, which enables the Company to schedule glass container production to maintain reasonable levels of inventory. Due to the significance of transportation costs and the importance of timely delivery, glass container manufacturing facilities are generally located in close proximity to customers.

#### **Markets and Competitive Conditions**

The Company's principal markets for glass container products are in Europe, North America, South America and Asia Pacific.

*Europe.* The Company has a leading share of the glass container segment of the rigid packaging market in Europe, with 35 glass container manufacturing plants located in the Czech Republic, Estonia, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy, the Netherlands, Poland, Spain and the United Kingdom. The Company is also involved in two joint ventures that manufacture glass containers in Italy. These plants primarily produce glass containers for the beer, wine, champagne, spirits and food markets in these countries. Throughout Europe, the Company competes directly with a variety of glass container manufacturers including Verallia, Ardagh Group, Vetropak and Vidrala.

*North America.* The Company has 19 glass container manufacturing plants in the U.S. and Canada, and is also involved in a joint venture that manufactures glass containers in the U.S. The Company has the leading share of the glass container segment of the U.S. rigid packaging market, based on sales revenue by domestic producers. The principal glass container competitors in the U.S. are Verallia North America and Ardagh Group. Imports from Canada, China, Mexico, Taiwan and other countries also compete in U.S. glass container segments. Additionally, there are several major consumer packaged goods companies that self-manufacture glass containers.

*South America.* The Company has 13 glass manufacturing plants in South America, located in Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador and Peru. In South America, the Company maintains a diversified portfolio serving several markets, including beer, non-alcoholic beverages, spirits, flavored malt beverages, wine, food and pharmaceuticals. The region also has a large infrastructure for returnable/refillable glass containers. The Company competes directly with Verallia in Brazil and Argentina, and does not believe that it competes with any other large, multinational glass container manufacturers in the rest of the region.

Asia Pacific. The Company has 10 glass container manufacturing plants in the Asia Pacific region, located in Australia, China, Indonesia and New Zealand. It is also involved in joint venture operations in China, Malaysia and Vietnam. In Asia Pacific, the Company primarily produces glass containers for the beer, wine, food and non-alcoholic beverage markets. The Company competes directly with Orora (formerly Amcor Limited) in Australia, and does not believe that it competes with any other large, multinational glass container manufacturers in the rest of the region. In China, the glass container segments of the packaging market are regional and highly fragmented with a large number of local competitors.

In addition to competing with other large and well-established manufacturers in the glass container segment, the Company competes in all regions with manufacturers of other forms of rigid packaging, principally aluminum cans and plastic containers. Competition is based on quality, price, service, innovation and the marketing attributes of the container. The principal competitors producing metal containers include Amcor, Ball Corporation, Crown Holdings, Inc., Rexam plc, and Silgan Holdings Inc. The principal competitors producing plastic containers include Amcor, Consolidated Container Holdings, LLC, Reynolds Group Holdings Limited, Plastipak Packaging, Inc. and

Silgan Holdings Inc. The Company also competes with manufacturers of non-rigid packaging alternatives, including flexible pouches, aseptic cartons and bag-in-box containers.

The Company seeks to provide products and services to customers ranging from large multinationals to small local breweries and wineries in a way that creates a competitive advantage for the Company. The Company believes that it is often the glass container partner of choice because of its innovation and branding capabilities, its global footprint and its expertise in manufacturing know-how and process technology.

#### Seasonality

Sales of many glass container products such as beer, beverages and food are seasonal. Shipments in the U.S. and Europe are typically greater in the second and third quarters of the year, while shipments in the Asia Pacific region are typically greater in the first and fourth quarters of the year, and shipments in South America are typically greater in the third and fourth quarters of the year.

#### Manufacturing

The Company has 77 glass manufacturing plants. It constantly seeks to improve the productivity of these operations through the systematic upgrading of production capabilities, sharing of best practices among plants and effective training of employees.

The Company operates machine shops that rebuild and repair high-productivity glass forming machines, as well as a mold shop that manufactures molds and related equipment. The Company also provides engineering support for its glass manufacturing operations through facilities located in the U.S., Australia, Poland and Peru.

#### **Suppliers and Raw Materials**

The primary raw materials used in the Company's glass container operations are sand, soda ash, limestone and recycled glass. Each of these materials, as well as the other raw materials used to manufacture glass containers, has historically been available in adequate supply from multiple sources. One of the sources is a soda ash mining operation in Wyoming in which the Company has a 25% interest.

#### Energy

The Company's glass container operations require a continuous supply of significant amounts of energy, principally natural gas, fuel oil and electrical power. Adequate supplies of energy are generally available at all of the Company's manufacturing locations. Energy costs typically account for 10-25% of the Company's total manufacturing costs, depending on the cost of energy, the type of energy available, the factory location and the particular energy requirements. The percentage of total cost related to energy can vary significantly because of volatility in market prices, particularly for natural gas and fuel oil in volatile markets such as North America and Europe.

In North America, approximately 90% of the sales volume is tied to customer contracts that contain provisions that pass the price of natural gas to the customer, effectively reducing the North America segment's exposure to changing natural gas market prices. Also, in order to limit the effects of fluctuations in market prices for natural gas, the Company uses commodity futures contracts related to its forecasted requirements in North America. The objective of these futures contracts is to reduce potential volatility in cash flows and expense due to changing market prices. The Company continually evaluates the energy markets with respect to its forecasted energy requirements to optimize its use of commodity futures contracts.



In Europe and Asia Pacific, the Company enters into fixed price contracts for a significant amount of its energy requirements. These contracts typically have terms of 12 months or less in Europe and one to three years in Asia Pacific. In South America, the Company enters into fixed price contracts for its energy requirements. These contracts typically have terms of two years, with annual price adjustments for inflation.

#### **Technical Assistance License Agreements**

The Company has agreements to license its proprietary glass container technology and to provide technical assistance to a limited number of companies around the world. These agreements cover areas related to manufacturing and engineering assistance. The worldwide licensee network provides a stream of revenue to help support the Company's development activities. In the years 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company earned \$16 million, \$17 million and \$16 million, respectively, in royalties and net technical assistance revenue.

#### **Research, Development and Engineering**

Research, development and engineering constitute important parts of the Company's technical activities. Expenditures for these activities were \$62 million, \$62 million and \$71 million for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company primarily focuses on advancements in the areas of product innovation, manufacturing process control, melting technology, automatic inspection, light-weighting and further automation of manufacturing activities. The Company's research and development activities are conducted at its corporate facilities in Perrysburg, Ohio. During 2013, the Company completed the construction of a new research and development facility at this location. This new facility will enable the Company to expand its research and development capabilities.

The Company holds a large number of patents related to a wide variety of products and processes and has a substantial number of patent applications pending. While the aggregate of the Company's patents are of material importance to its businesses, the Company does not consider that any patent or group of patents relating to a particular product or process is of material importance when judged from the standpoint of any individual segment or its businesses as a whole.

#### Sustainability and the Environment

The Company is committed to reducing the impact its products and operations have on the environment. As part of this commitment, the Company has set targets for increasing the use of recycled glass in its manufacturing process, while reducing energy consumption and carbon dioxide equivalent (" $CO_2$ ") emissions. Specific actions taken by the Company include working with governments and other organizations to establish and financially support recycling initiatives, partnering with other entities throughout the supply chain to improve the effectiveness of recycling efforts, reducing the weight of glass packaging and investing in research and development to reduce energy consumption in its manufacturing process.

The Company's worldwide operations, in addition to other companies within the industry, are subject to extensive laws, ordinances, regulations and other legal requirements relating to environmental protection, including legal requirements governing investigation and clean-up of contaminated properties as well as water discharges, air emissions, waste management and workplace health and safety. The Company strives to abide by and uphold such laws and regulations.

#### Glass Recycling and Bottle Deposits

The Company is an important contributor to recycling efforts worldwide and is among the largest users of recycled glass containers. If sufficient high-quality recycled glass were available on a consistent basis, the Company has the technology to make glass containers using 100% recycled glass. Using

recycled glass in the manufacturing process reduces energy costs and prolongs the operating life of the glass melting furnaces.

In the U.S., Canada, Europe and elsewhere, government authorities have adopted or are considering legal requirements that would mandate certain recycling rates, the use of recycled materials, or limitations on or preferences for certain types of packaging. The Company believes that governments worldwide will continue to develop and enact legal requirements around guiding customer and end-consumer packaging choices.

Sales of beverage containers are affected by governmental regulation of packaging, including deposit laws and extended producer responsibility regulations. As of December 31, 2013, there were a number of U.S. states, Canadian provinces and territories, European countries and Australian states with some form of incentive for consumer returns in their law. The structure and enforcement of such laws and regulations can impact the sales of beverage containers in a given jurisdiction. Such laws and regulations also impact the availability of post-consumer recycled glass for the Company to use in container production.

A number of U.S. states and Canadian provinces have recently considered or are now considering laws and regulations to encourage curbside, deposit and on-premise recycling. Although there is no clear trend in the direction of these state and provincial laws and regulations, the Company believes that U.S. states and Canadian provinces, as well as municipalities within those jurisdictions, will continue to adopt recycling laws, which will impact supplies of recycled glass. As a large user of recycled glass for making new glass containers, the Company has an interest in laws and regulations impacting supplies of such material in its markets.

#### Air Emissions

In Europe, the European Union Emissions Trading Scheme ("EUETS") is in effect to facilitate emissions reduction. The Company's manufacturing facilities which operate in EU countries must restrict the volume of their  $CO_2$  emissions to the level of their individually allocated emissions allowances as set by country regulators. If the actual level of emissions for any facility exceeds its allocated allowance, additional allowances can be bought to cover deficits; conversely, if the actual level of emissions for any facility is less than its allocation, the excess allowances can be sold. The EUETS has not had a material effect on the Company's results to date. However, should the regulators significantly restrict the number of emissions allowances available, it could have a material effect in the future.

In North America, the U.S. and Canada are engaged in significant legislative and regulatory activity relating to  $CO_2$  emissions, at the federal, state and provincial levels of government. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") regulates emissions of hazardous air pollutants under the Clean Air Act, which grants the EPA authority to establish limits for certain air pollutants and to require compliance, levy penalties and bring civil judicial action against violators. The structure and scope of the EPA's  $CO_2$  regulations are currently the subject of litigation and are expected to be the subject of federal legislative activity. The EPA regulations, if preserved as proposed, could have a significant long-term impact on the Company's US operations. The EPA also implemented the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule, which set stringent emissions limits in many states starting in 2012. The state of California in the U.S and the province of Quebec in Canada adopted cap-and-trade legislation aimed at reducing greenhouse gas emissions starting in 2013.

In Asia Pacific, the *National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act 2007* commenced on July 1, 2008 in Australia. This act established a mandatory reporting system for corporate greenhouse gas emissions and energy production and consumption. In 2011, the Australian government adopted a carbon pricing mechanism that took effect in 2012, which requires certain manufacturers to pay a tax based on their

carbon-equivalent emissions. An emissions trading scheme has also been in effect in New Zealand since 2008.

In South America, the Brazilian government passed a law in 2009 requiring companies to reduce the level of greenhouse gas emissions by the year 2020. In the other South American countries, national and local governments are considering proposals that would impose regulations to reduce  $CO_2$  emissions, but no legislation has been implemented to date.

The Company is unable to predict what environmental legal requirements may be adopted in the future. However, the Company continually monitors its operations in relation to environmental impacts and invests in environmentally friendly and emissions-reducing projects. As such, the Company has made significant expenditures for environmental improvements at certain of its facilities over the last several years; however, these expenditures did not have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations or cash flows. The Company is unable to predict the impact of future environmental legal requirements on its results of operations or cash flows.

#### Employees

The Company's worldwide operations employed approximately 22,500 persons as of December 31, 2013. Approximately 79% of North American employees are hourly workers covered by collective bargaining agreements. The principal collective bargaining agreement, which at December 31, 2013, covered approximately 91% of the Company's union-affiliated employees in North America, will expire on March 31, 2016. Approximately 60% of employees in South America are unionized, although according to the labor legislation in each country, 100% of employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements. The majority of the hourly workers in Australia and New Zealand are also covered by collective bargaining agreements in South America, Australia and New Zealand have varying terms and expiration dates. In Europe, a large number of the Company's employees are employed in countries in which employment laws provide greater bargaining or other rights to employees than the laws of the U.S. Such employment rights require the Company to work collaboratively with the legal representatives of the employees to effect any changes to labor arrangements. The Company considers its employee relations to be good and does not anticipate any material work stoppages in the near term.

#### **Available Information**

The Company's website is www.o-i.com. The Company's annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 can be obtained from this site at no cost. The Company's SEC filings are also available for reading and copying at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains a website at www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC.

The Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and the charters of the Audit, Compensation, Nominating/Corporate Governance and Risk Oversight Committees are also available on the Investor Relations section of the Company's website. Copies of these documents are available in print to share owners upon request, addressed to the Corporate Secretary at the address above.

### **Executive Officers of the Registrant**

Name and Age	Position
Albert P. L. Stroucken (66)	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer since 2006. Previously Chief
	Executive Officer of HB Fuller Company, a manufacturer of
	adhesives, sealants, coatings, paints and other specialty chemical
Starker D. Drambar, $I_{\rm e}$ (42)	products 1998-2006; Chairman of HB Fuller Company 1999-2006.
Stephen P. Bramlage, Jr. (43)	Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President since 2012; President of O-I Asia Pacific 2011-2012; General Manager of O-I
	New Zealand 2010-2011; Vice President of Finance 2008-2010; Vice
	President and Chief Financial Officer of O-I Europe 2008; Vice
	President and Treasurer 2006-2008.
James W. Baehren (63)	Senior Vice President and General Counsel since 2003; Senior Vice
	President Strategic Planning 2006-2012; Chief Administrative
	Officer 2004-2006; Corporate Secretary 1998-2010; Vice President
	and Director of Finance 2001-2003.
Paul A. Jarrell (51)	Senior Vice President since 2011; Chief Administrative Officer since
	2013; Chief Human Resources Officer 2011-2012. Previously
	Executive Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer for
	DSM, a life sciences and materials company based in The
	Netherlands 2009-2011; Vice President and Director of Human Resources for ITT, a fluid technologies and engineered products
	company 2006-2009.
Erik C. M. Bouts (52)	Vice President and President of O-I Europe since 2013. Previously
	Chief Executive Officer of the Glidden Company, part of AkzoNobel
	Architectural Paints Division in the U.S. 2007-2012; President and
	Chief Executive Officer of Philips Lighting Company North
	America, a division of Philips Electronics 2002-2006.
Arnaud N. J. M. de Weert (50)	Vice President and President of O-I North America since 2012.
	Previously Chief Operating Officer of Constellium, a manufacturer
	of aluminum products based in France 2011-2012; Operating Partner/Senior Advisor at Apollo Management, a U.S. private equity
	company 2009-2011; President Europe for Novelis AG, a
	manufacturer of rolled aluminum products 2006-2009.
Andres A. Lopez (51)	Vice President and President of O-I South America since 2009; Vice
	President of global manufacturing and engineering 2006-2009.
Sergio B. O. Galindo (46)	Vice President and President of O-I Asia Pacific since 2012; General
	Manager of O-I Colombia 2009-2012.
Financial Information about Foreign and Domestic Operations	

Information as to net sales, segment operating profit, and assets of the Company's reportable segments is included in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

# Asbestos-Related Liability The Company has made, and will continue to make, substantial payments to resolve claims of persons alleging exposure to asbestos-containing products and may need to record additional charges in the future for estimated asbestos-related costs. These substantial payments have affected and may continue to affect the Company's cost of borrowing and the ability to pursue acquisitions.

The Company is a defendant in numerous lawsuits alleging bodily injury and death as a result of exposure to asbestos dust. From 1948 to 1958, one of the Company's former business units commercially produced and sold approximately \$40 million of a high-temperature, calcium-silicate based pipe and block insulation material containing asbestos. The Company exited the pipe and block insulation business in April 1958. The typical asbestos personal injury lawsuit alleges various theories of liability, including negligence, gross negligence and strict liability and seeks compensatory, and in some cases, punitive damages, in various amounts (herein referred to as "asbestos claims").

The Company believes that its ultimate asbestos-related liability (i.e., its indemnity payments or other claim disposition costs plus related legal fees) cannot reasonably be estimated. Beginning with the initial liability of \$975 million established in 1993, the Company has accrued a total of approximately \$4.3 billion through 2013, before insurance recoveries, for its asbestos-related liability. The Company's ability to reasonably estimate its liability has been significantly affected by, among other factors, the volatility of asbestos-related litigation in the United States, the significant number of co-defendants that have filed for bankruptcy, the magnitude and timing of co-defendant bankruptcy trust payments, the inherent uncertainty of future disease incidence and claiming patterns against the Company, and the success of efforts by co-defendants to restrict or eliminate their liability in the litigation.

The Company conducted a comprehensive review of its asbestos-related liabilities and costs in connection with finalizing and reporting its results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 and concluded that an increase in its accrual for future asbestos-related costs in the amount of \$145 million (pretax and after tax) was required.

The ultimate amount of distributions that may be required to fund the Company's asbestos-related payments cannot reasonably be estimated. The Company's reported results of operations for 2013 were materially affected by the \$145 million (pretax and after tax) fourth quarter charge and asbestos-related payments continue to be substantial. Any future additional charge may likewise materially affect the Company's results of operations for the period in which it is recorded. Also, the continued use of significant amounts of cash for asbestos-related costs has affected and may continue to affect the Company's cost of borrowing and its ability to pursue global or domestic acquisitions.

#### Substantial Leverage The Company's indebtedness could adversely affect the Company's financial health.

The Company has a significant amount of debt. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had approximately \$3.6 billion of total debt outstanding, a decrease from \$3.8 billion at December 31, 2012.

The Company's indebtedness could result in the following consequences:

Increased vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;

Increased vulnerability to interest rate increases for the portion of the debt under the secured credit agreement;

Require the Company to dedicate a substantial portion of cash flow from operations to payments on indebtedness, thereby reducing the availability of cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, development efforts and other general corporate purposes;

Limit flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in the Company's business and the rigid packaging market;

Place the Company at a competitive disadvantage relative to its competitors that have less debt; and

Limit, along with the financial and other restrictive covenants in the documents governing indebtedness, among other things, the Company's ability to borrow additional funds.

## Ability to Service Debt To service its indebtedness, the Company will require a significant amount of cash. The Company's ability to generate cash depends on many factors beyond its control.

The Company's ability to make payments on and to refinance its indebtedness and to fund working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, development efforts and other general corporate purposes depends on its ability to generate cash in the future. The Company has no assurance that it will generate sufficient cash flow from operations, or that future borrowings will be available under the secured credit agreement, in an amount sufficient to enable the Company to pay its indebtedness, or to fund other liquidity needs. If short term interest rates increase, the Company's debt service cost will increase because some of its debt is subject to short term variable interest rates. At December 31, 2013, the Company's debt subject to variable interest rates represented approximately 25% of total debt.

The Company may need to refinance all or a portion of its indebtedness on or before maturity. If the Company is unable to generate sufficient cash flow and is unable to refinance or extend outstanding borrowings on commercially reasonable terms or at all, it may have to take one or more of the following actions:

Reduce or delay capital expenditures planned for replacements, improvements and expansions;

Sell assets;

Restructure debt; and/or

Obtain additional debt or equity financing.

The Company can provide no assurance that it could affect or implement any of these alternatives on satisfactory terms, if at all.

## Debt Restrictions The Company may not be able to finance future needs or adapt its business plans to changes because of restrictions placed on it by the secured credit agreement and the indentures and instruments governing other indebtedness.

The secured credit agreement, the indentures governing the senior debentures and notes, and certain of the agreements governing other indebtedness contain affirmative and negative covenants that limit the ability of the Company to take certain actions. For example, these indentures restrict, among other things, the ability of the Company and its restricted subsidiaries to borrow money, pay dividends on, or redeem or repurchase its stock, make investments, create liens, enter into certain transactions with affiliates and sell certain assets or merge with or into other companies. These restrictions could adversely affect the Company's ability to operate its businesses and may limit its ability to take advantage of potential business opportunities as they arise.

Failure to comply with these or other covenants and restrictions contained in the secured credit agreement, the indentures or agreements governing other indebtedness could result in a default under those agreements, and the debt under those agreements, together with accrued interest, could then be declared immediately due and payable. If a default occurs under the secured credit agreement, the Company could no longer request borrowings under the agreement, and the lenders could cause all of the outstanding debt obligations under such secured credit agreement to become due and payable, which would result in a default under a number of other outstanding debt securities and could lead to an acceleration of obligations related to these debt securities. A default under the secured credit

agreement, indentures or agreements governing other indebtedness could also lead to an acceleration of debt under other debt instruments that contain cross acceleration or cross-default provisions.

#### International Operations The Company is subject to risks associated with operating in foreign countries.

The Company operates manufacturing and other facilities throughout the world. Net sales from international operations totaled approximately \$5.2 billion, representing approximately 74% of the Company's net sales for the year ended December 31, 2013. As a result of its international operations, the Company is subject to risks associated with operating in foreign countries, including:

Political, social and economic instability;

War, civil disturbance or acts of terrorism;

Taking of property by nationalization or expropriation without fair compensation;

Changes in governmental policies and regulations;

Devaluations and fluctuations in currency exchange rates;

Imposition of limitations on conversions of foreign currencies into dollars or remittance of dividends and other payments by foreign subsidiaries;

Imposition or increase of withholding and other taxes on remittances and other payments by foreign subsidiaries;

Hyperinflation in certain foreign countries;

Impositions or increase of investment and other restrictions or requirements by foreign governments;

Loss or non-renewal of treaties or other agreements with foreign tax authorities;

Changes in tax laws, or the interpretation thereof, affecting foreign tax credits or tax deductions relating to our non-U.S. earnings or operations; and

Complying with the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, which prohibits companies and their intermediaries from engaging in bribery or other prohibited payments to foreign officials for the purposes of obtaining or retaining business or gaining an unfair business advantage and requires companies to maintain accurate books and records and internal controls.

The risks associated with operating in foreign countries may have a material adverse effect on operations.

## Foreign Currency Exchange Rates The Company is subject to the effects of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, which could adversely impact the Company's financial results.

The Company's reporting currency is the U.S. dollar. A significant portion of the Company's net sales, costs, assets and liabilities are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, primarily the Euro, Brazilian real, Colombian peso and Australian dollar. In its consolidated financial statements, the Company translates local currency financial results into U.S. dollars based on the exchange rates prevailing during the reporting period. During times of a strengthening U.S. dollar, the reported revenues and earnings of the Company's

international operations will be reduced because the local currencies will translate into fewer U.S. dollars. This could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

## Competition The Company faces intense competition from other glass container producers, as well as from makers of alternative forms of packaging. Competitive pressures could adversely affect the Company's financial health.

The Company is subject to significant competition from other glass container producers, as well as from makers of alternative forms of packaging, such as aluminum cans and plastic containers. The Company also competes with manufacturers of non-rigid packaging alternatives, including flexible pouches and aseptic cartons, in serving the packaging needs of certain end-use markets, including juice customers. The Company competes with each rigid packaging competitor on the basis of price, quality, service and the marketing and functional attributes of the container. Advantages or disadvantages in any of these competitive factors may be sufficient to cause the customer to consider changing suppliers and/or using an alternative form of packaging. The adverse effects of consumer purchasing decisions may be more significant in periods of economic downturn and may lead to longer term reductions in consumer spending on glass packaged products.

Pressures from competitors and producers of alternative forms of packaging have resulted in excess capacity in certain countries in the past and have led to capacity adjustments and significant pricing pressures in the rigid packaging market.

#### High Energy Costs Higher energy costs worldwide and interrupted power supplies may have a material adverse effect on operations.

Electrical power, natural gas, and fuel oil are vital to the Company's operations as it relies on a continuous energy supply to conduct its business. Depending on the location and mix of energy sources, energy accounts for 10% to 25% of total production costs. Substantial increases and volatility in energy costs could cause the Company to experience a significant increase in operating costs, which may have a material adverse effect on operations.

## Global Economic Environment The global credit, financial and economic environment could have a material adverse effect on operations and financial condition.

The global credit, financial and economic environment could have a material adverse effect on operations, including the following:

Downturns in the business or financial condition of any of the Company's customers or suppliers could result in a loss of revenues or a disruption in the supply of raw materials;

Tightening of credit in financial markets could reduce the Company's ability, as well as the ability of the Company's customers and suppliers, to obtain future financing;

Volatile market performance could affect the fair value of the Company's pension assets and liabilities, potentially requiring the Company to make significant additional contributions to its pension plans to maintain prescribed funding levels;

The deterioration of any of the lending parties under the Company's revolving credit facility or the creditworthiness of the counterparties to the Company's derivative transactions could result in such parties' failure to satisfy their obligations under their arrangements with the Company; and

A significant weakening of the Company's financial position or results of operations could result in noncompliance with the covenants under the Company's indebtedness.



#### Business Integration Risks The Company may not be able to effectively integrate additional businesses it acquires in the future.

The Company may consider strategic transactions, including acquisitions that will complement, strengthen and enhance growth in its worldwide glass operations. The Company evaluates opportunities on a preliminary basis from time to time, but these transactions may not advance beyond the preliminary stages or be completed. Such acquisitions are subject to various risks and uncertainties, including:

The inability to integrate effectively the operations, products, technologies and personnel of the acquired companies (some of which are located in diverse geographic regions) and achieve expected synergies;

The potential disruption of existing business and diversion of management's attention from day-to-day operations;

The inability to maintain uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies;

The need or obligation to divest portions of the acquired companies;

The potential impairment of relationships with customers;

The potential failure to identify material problems and liabilities during due diligence review of acquisition targets;

The potential failure to obtain sufficient indemnification rights to fully offset possible liabilities associated with acquired businesses; and

The challenges associated with operating in new geographic regions.

In addition, the Company cannot make assurances that the integration and consolidation of newly acquired businesses will achieve any anticipated cost savings and operating synergies.

## Customer Consolidation The continuing consolidation of the Company's customer base may intensify pricing pressures and have a material adverse effect on operations.

Many of the Company's largest customers have acquired companies with similar or complementary product lines. This consolidation has increased the concentration of the Company's business with its largest customers, the loss of which could have a material adverse effect on operations. In many cases, such consolidation has been accompanied by pressure from customers for lower prices, reflecting the increase in the total volume of products purchased or the elimination of a price differential between the acquiring customer and the company acquired. Increased pricing pressures from the Company's customers may have a material adverse effect on operations.

#### Seasonality Profitability could be affected by varied seasonal demands.

Due principally to the seasonal nature of the consumption of beer and other beverages, for which demand is stronger during the summer months, sales of the Company's products have varied and are expected to vary by quarter. Shipments in the U.S. and Europe are typically greater in the second and third quarters of the year, while shipments in the Asia Pacific region are typically greater in the first and fourth quarters of the year, and shipments in South America are typically greater in the third and fourth quarters of the year. Unseasonably cool weather during peak demand periods can reduce demand for certain beverages packaged in the Company's containers.

#### Raw Materials Profitability could be affected by the availability of raw materials.

The raw materials that the Company uses have historically been available in adequate supply from multiple sources. For certain raw materials, however, there may be temporary shortages due to weather or other factors, including disruptions in supply caused by raw material transportation or production delays. These shortages, as well as material volatility in the cost of any of the principal raw materials that the Company uses, may have a material adverse effect on operations.

## Environmental Risks The Company is subject to various environmental legal requirements and may be subject to new legal requirements in the future. These requirements may have a material adverse effect on operations.

The Company's operations and properties are subject to extensive laws, ordinances, regulations and other legal requirements relating to environmental protection, including legal requirements governing investigation and clean-up of contaminated properties as well as water discharges, air emissions, waste management and workplace health and safety. Such legal requirements frequently change and vary among jurisdictions. The Company's operations and properties must comply with these legal requirements. These requirements may have a material adverse effect on operations.

The Company has incurred, and expects to incur, costs for its operations to comply with environmental legal requirements, and these costs could increase in the future. Many environmental legal requirements provide for substantial fines, orders (including orders to cease operations), and criminal sanctions for violations. These legal requirements may apply to conditions at properties that the Company presently or formerly owned or operated, as well as at other properties for which the Company may be responsible, including those at which wastes attributable to the Company were disposed. A significant order or judgment against the Company, the loss of a significant permit or license or the imposition of a significant fine may have a material adverse effect on operations.

A number of governmental authorities have enacted, or are considering enacting, legal requirements that would mandate certain rates of recycling, the use of recycled materials and/or limitations on certain kinds of packaging materials. In addition, some companies with packaging needs have responded to such developments and/or perceived environmental concerns of consumers by using containers made in whole or in part of recycled materials. Such developments may reduce the demand for some of the Company's products and/or increase the Company's costs, which may have a material adverse effect on operations.

#### Taxes Potential tax law changes could adversely affect net income and cash flow.

The Company is subject to income tax in the numerous jurisdictions in which it operates. Increases in income tax rates or other tax law changes could reduce the Company's net income and cash flow from affected jurisdictions. In particular, potential tax law changes in the U.S. regarding the treatment of the Company's unrepatriated non-U.S. earnings could have a material adverse effect on net income and cash flow. In addition, the Company's products are subject to import and excise duties and/or sales or value-added taxes in many jurisdictions in which it operates. Increases in these indirect taxes could affect the affordability of the Company's products and, therefore, reduce demand.

#### Labor Relations Some of the Company's employees are unionized or represented by workers' councils.

The Company is party to a number of collective bargaining agreements with labor unions which at December 31, 2013, covered approximately 79% of the Company's employees in North America. Approximately 60% of employees in South America are unionized, although according to the labor legislation of each country, 100% of employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements. The agreement covering substantially all of the Company's union-affiliated employees in its U.S. glass container operations expires on March 31, 2016. The majority of the hourly workers in Australia and

New Zealand are also covered by collective bargaining agreements. The collective bargaining agreements in South America, Australia and New Zealand have varying terms and expiration dates. Upon the expiration of any collective bargaining agreement, if the Company is unable to negotiate acceptable contracts with labor unions, it could result in strikes by the affected workers and increased operating costs as a result of higher wages or benefits paid to union members. In Europe, a large number of the Company's employees are employed in countries in which employment laws provide greater bargaining or other rights to employees than the laws of the U.S. Such employment rights require the Company to work collaboratively with the legal representatives of the employees to effect any changes to labor arrangements. For example, most of the Company's employees in Europe are represented by workers' councils that must approve any changes in conditions of employment, including salaries and benefits and staff changes, and may impede efforts to restructure the Company's workforce. Although the Company believes that it has a good working relationship with its employees, if the Company's employees were to engage in a strike or other work stoppage, the Company could experience a significant disruption of operations and/or higher ongoing labor costs, which may have a material adverse effect on operations.

## Key Management and Personnel Retention Failure to retain key management and personnel could have a material adverse effect on operations.

The Company believes that its future success depends, in part, on its experienced management team and certain key personnel. The loss of certain key management and personnel could limit the Company's ability to implement its business plans and meet its objectives.

#### Joint Ventures Failure by joint venture partners to observe their obligations could have a material adverse effect on operations.

A portion of the Company's operations is conducted through joint ventures, including joint ventures in the Europe, North America and Asia Pacific segments. If the Company's joint venture partners do not observe their obligations or are unable to commit additional capital to the joint ventures, it is possible that the affected joint venture would not be able to operate in accordance with its business plans, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

#### Information Technology Failure or disruption of information technology could disrupt operations and adversely affect operations.

The Company relies on information technology to operate its plants, to communicate with its employees, customers and suppliers, and to report financial and operating results. As with all large systems, the Company's information technology systems could fail on their own accord or may be vulnerable to a variety of interruptions due to events, including, but not limited to, natural disasters, terrorist attacks, telecommunications failures, computer viruses, hackers or other security issues. While the Company has disaster recovery programs in place, failure or disruption of the Company's information technology systems could result in transaction errors, loss of customers, business disruptions, or loss of or damage to intellectual property, which could have a material adverse effect on operations.

The Company continues to undertake the phased implementation of an Enterprise Resource Planning ("ERP") software system. The implementation of a new ERP system poses several challenges related to, among other things, training of personnel, communication of new rules and procedures, migration of data and the potential instability of the system. While the Company has taken steps to mitigate these challenges, the unsuccessful implementation of the ERP system could have a material adverse effect on the Company's operations.

#### Intellectual Property The loss of the Company's intellectual property rights may negatively impact its ability to compete.

If the Company is unable to maintain the proprietary nature of its technologies, its competitors may use its technologies to compete with it. The Company has a number of patents. The Company's patents may not withstand challenge in litigation, and patents do not ensure that competitors will not develop competing products or infringe upon the Company's patents. Additionally, the Company markets its products internationally and the patent laws of foreign countries may offer less protection than the patent laws in the U.S. The Company also relies on trade secrets, know-how and other unpatented technology, and others may independently develop the same or similar technology or otherwise obtain access to the Company's unpatented technology.

#### Accounting The Company's financial results are based upon estimates and assumptions that may differ from actual results.

In preparing the Company's consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, several estimates and assumptions are made that affect the accounting for and recognition of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. These estimates and assumptions must be made because certain information that is used in the preparation of the Company's financial statements is dependent on future events, cannot be calculated with a high degree of precision from data available or is not capable of being readily calculated based on generally accepted methodologies. In some cases, these estimates are particularly difficult to determine and the Company must exercise significant judgment. The Company believes that accounting for long-lived assets, pension benefit plans, contingencies and litigation, and income taxes involves the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements. Actual results for all estimates could differ materially from the estimates and assumptions that the Company uses, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

#### Accounting Standards The adoption of new accounting standards or interpretations could adversely impact the Company's financial results.

The Company's implementation of and compliance with changes in accounting rules and interpretations could adversely affect its operating results or cause unanticipated fluctuations in its results in future periods. The accounting rules and regulations that the Company must comply with are complex and continually changing. Recent actions and public comments from the SEC have focused on the integrity of financial reporting generally. The Financial Accounting Standards Board has recently introduced several new or proposed accounting standards, or is developing new proposed standards, which would represent a significant change from current industry practices. In addition, many companies' accounting policies are being subjected to heightened scrutiny by regulators and the public. While the Company believes that its financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, the Company cannot predict the impact of future changes to accounting principles or its accounting policies on its financial statements going forward.

## Goodwill A significant write down of goodwill would have a material adverse effect on the Company's reported results of operations and net worth.

Goodwill at December 31, 2013 totaled \$2.1 billion. The Company evaluates goodwill annually (or more frequently if impairment indicators arise) for impairment using the required business valuation methods. These methods include the use of a weighted average cost of capital to calculate the present value of the expected future cash flows of the Company's reporting units. Future changes in the cost of capital, expected cash flows, or other factors may cause the Company's goodwill to be impaired, resulting in a non-cash charge against results of operations to write down goodwill for the amount of



the impairment. If a significant write down is required, the charge would have a material adverse effect on the Company's reported results of operations and net worth.

## Pension Funding An increase in the underfunded status of the Company's pension plans could adversely impact the Company's operations, financial condition and liquidity.

The Company contributed \$96 million, \$219 million and \$59 million to its defined benefit pension plans in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The amount the Company is required to contribute to these plans is determined by the laws and regulations governing each plan, and is generally related to the funded status of the plans. A deterioration in the value of the plans' investments or a decrease in the discount rate used to calculate plan liabilities generally would increase the underfunded status of the plans. An increase in the underfunded status of the plans could result in an increase in the Company's obligation to make contributions to the plans, thereby reducing the cash available for working capital and other corporate uses, and may have an adverse impact on the Company's operations, financial condition and liquidity.

#### ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

## **ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

The principal manufacturing facilities and other material important physical properties of the Company at December 31, 2013 are listed below. All properties are glass container plants and are owned in fee, except where otherwise noted.

North American Operations	
United States	
Atlanta, GA	Portland, OR
Auburn, NY	Streator, IL
Brockway, PA	Toano, VA
Crenshaw, PA	Tracy, CA
Danville, VA	Waco, TX
Lapel, IN	Windsor, CO
Los Angeles, CA	Winston-Salem, NC
Muskogee, OK	Zanesville, OH
Oakland, CA	,
Canada	
Brampton, Ontario	Montreal, Quebec
Drumpton, Onumo	Mondoul, Quebee
Asia Pacific Operations	
Australia	
Adelaide	Melbourne
Brisbane	Sydney
China	Sydney
Shanghai	Xianxian
Tianjin	Zhaoqing
Tianjin (mold shop)	
Indonesia	
Jakarta	
New Zealand	
Auckland	
European Operations	
Czech Republic	
Dubi	Nove Sedlo
Estonia	
Jarvakandi	
France	
Beziers	Vayres
Gironcourt	Veauche
Labegude	Vergeze
Puy-Guillaume	Wingles
Reims	5
Germany	
Bernsdorf	Rinteln
Holzminden	
	17
	17

Hungary Oroshaza	
Italy	
Asti	Origgio
Aprilia	Ottaviano
Bari	San Gemini
Marsala	San Polo
Mezzocorona	Villotta
The Netherlands	
Leerdam	Schiedam
Maastricht	
Poland	
Jaroslaw	Poznan
Spain	
Barcelona	Sevilla
United Kingdom	
Alloa	Harlow
South American Operations	
Argentina	
Rosario	
Brazil	
Fortaleza	Sao Paulo
Recife	Vitoria de Santo Antao (glass container and
Rio de Janeiro (glass container and tableware)	tableware)
Colombia	
Buga (tableware)	Soacha
Envigado	Zipaquira
Ecuador	
Guayaquil	
Peru	
Callao	Lurin(1)
Other Operations	
Machine Shops and Engineering Support Center	
Brockway, Pennsylvania	Jaroslaw, Poland
Cali, Colombia	Lurin, Peru
Hawthorn, Australia	Perrysburg, Ohio
····· , ····	,

**Corporate Facilities** Hawthorn, Australia(1) Perrysburg, Ohio(1)

(1)

This facility is leased in whole or in part.

18

Bussigny-Lausanne, Switzerland(1)

The Company believes that its facilities are well maintained and currently adequate for its planned production requirements over the next three to five years.

#### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For further information on legal proceedings, see Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable

#### PART II

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON STOCK AND RELATED SHARE OWNER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The price range for the Company's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange, as reported by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc., was as follows:

	20	13		20	12	
	High		Low	High		Low
First Quarter	\$ 27.66	\$	21.82	\$ 24.83	\$	20.24
Second Quarter	28.89		24.26	24.50		18.16
Third Quarter	31.27		27.74	20.05		17.07
Fourth Quarter	35.78		28.82	21.37		18.57

The number of share owners of record on December 31, 2013 was 1,202. Approximately 91% of the outstanding shares were registered in the name of Depository Trust Company, or CEDE, which held such shares on behalf of a number of brokerage firms, banks, and other financial institutions. The shares attributed to these financial institutions, in turn, represented the interests of more than 34,000 unidentified beneficial owners. No dividends have been declared or paid since the Company's initial public offering in December 1991 and the Company does not anticipate paying any dividends in the near future. For restrictions on payment of dividends on the Company's common stock, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Capital Resources and Liquidity Current and Long-Term Debt and Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Information with respect to securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans is included herein under Item 12.

The Company purchased 407,000 shares of its common stock during the fourth quarter of 2013 (1.1 million shares for the year) pursuant to authorization by its Board of Directors in August 2012 to purchase up to \$75 million of the Company's common stock until December 31, 2013. The following table provides information about the Company's purchases of its common stock during the fourth quarter of 2013:

#### **Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased (in thousands)		ge Price er Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan (in thousands)	Approxima Dollar Value Shares tha May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan (in millions	of t
October 1 - October 31, 2013		_				
November 1 - November 30, 2013	407	\$	32.44			
December 1 - December 31, 2013				2,491	\$	0

In December 2013, the Company's Board of Directors granted authorization to the Company to repurchase up to \$100 million of its common stock through December 31, 2015.

#### PERFORMANCE GRAPH COMPARISON OF CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN AMONG OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC., S&P 500, AND PACKAGING GROUP

	Years Ending December 31,											
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013						
Owens-Illinois, Inc.	\$ 100.00	\$ 120.27	\$ 112.33	\$ 70.91	\$ 77.83	\$ 130.92						
S&P 500	100.00	126.46	145.51	148.59	172.37	228.19						
Packaging Group	100.00	126.66	151.10	140.92	153.88	213.09						

The above graph compares the performance of the Company's Common Stock with that of a broad market index (the S&P 500 Composite Index) and a packaging group consisting of companies with lines of business or product end uses comparable to those of the Company for which market quotations are available.

The packaging group consists of: AptarGroup, Inc., Ball Corp., Bemis Company, Inc., Crown Holdings, Inc., Owens-Illinois, Inc., Sealed Air Corp., Silgan Holdings Inc., and Sonoco Products Co.

The comparison of total return on investment for each period is based on the investment of \$100 on December 31, 2008 and the change in market value of the stock, including additional shares assumed purchased through reinvestment of dividends, if any.

### ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected consolidated financial data presented below relates to each of the five years in the period ended December 31, 2013. The financial data for each of the five years in the period ended December 31, 2013 was derived from the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company.

		Years	ende	d Decemb	er 3	1,		
2013		2012		2011		2010		2009
		(Do	ollars	s in millior	ıs)			
\$	\$		\$		\$		\$	6,652
(5,636)		(5,626)		(5,969)		(5,281)		(5,316)
1,331		1,374		1,389		1,352		1,336
( )		( )		( )		( )		(551)
(189)		(181)		(844)		(123)		(347)
574				(82)				438
(239)		(248)		(314)		(249)		(222)
335		328		(396)		426		216
(120)		(108)		(85)		(129)		(83)
215		220		(481)		297		133
						31		66
(18)		(2)		1		(331)		
197		218		(480)		(3)		199
(13)		(34)		(20)		(42)		(36)
\$ 184	\$	184	\$	(500)	\$	(45)	\$	163
\$	(5,636) 1,331 (568) (189) 574 (239) 335 (120) 215 (18) 197 (13)	\$ 6,967 \$ (5,636) 1,331 (568) (189) 574 (239) 335 (120) 215 (18) 197 (13)	2013       2012         (b)         \$       6,967       \$       7,000         \$       6,967       \$       7,000       (5,626)         1,331       1,374       1,374         (568)       (617)       (181)         (568)       (617)       (181)         574       576       (239)         (239)       (248)         (120)       (108)         215       220         (18)       (2)         197       218         (13)       (34)	2013       2012         ( $0$ ( $0$ ( $0$ ( $0$ ( $0$ ( $0$ 1,331       1,374         ( $0$ ( $0$ 1,331       1,374         ( $0$	2013       2012       2011 (Dollars in million (5,636)         \$       6,967       \$       7,000       \$       7,358 (5,969)         1,331       1,374       1,389         1,331       1,374       1,389         (568)       (617)       (627) (189)         (181)       (844)         2012       (239)       (248)         (239)       (248)       (314)         215       220       (481)         (18)       (2)       1         197       218       (480) (20)	2013       2012       2011 (Dollars in millions)         \$ $6,967$ \$ $7,358$ \$         1,331 $1,374$ $1,389$ 1         1,331 $1,374$ $1,389$ (617)       (627)         (189)       (181)       (844)       (844)         2013 $576$ (82)       (314)         1,239       228       (396)       (314)         215       220       (481)       (480)         1197       218       (480)       (20)	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

	Years ended December 31,									
		2013		2012		2011		2010		2009
Basic earnings (loss) per share of common stock:										
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$	1.22	\$	1.13	\$	(3.06)	\$	1.58	\$	0.66
Earnings from discontinued operations								0.14		0.31
Gain (loss) on disposal of discontinued operations		(0.11)		(0.01)		0.01		(2.00)		
Net earnings (loss)	\$	1.11	\$	1.12	\$	(3.05)	\$	(0.28)	\$	0.97
Weighted average shares outstanding (in thousands)		164,425		164,474		163,691		164,271		167,687
Diluted earnings (loss) per share of common stock:										
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$	1.22	\$	1.12	\$	(3.06)	\$	1.56	\$	0.65
Earnings from discontinued operations		(0.44)		(0.04)		0.04		0.14		0.30
Gain (loss) on disposal of discontinued operations		(0.11)		(0.01)		0.01		(1.97)		
Net earnings (loss)	\$	1.11	\$	1.11	\$	(3.05)	\$	(0.27)	\$	0.95
Diluted average shares (in thousands)		165,828		165,768		163,691		167,078		170,540

For the year ended December 31, 2011, diluted earnings per share of common stock was equal to basic earnings per share of common stock due to the loss from continuing operations.

	Years ended December 31,									
	2013 2012		2012 20		2011		2010		2009	
	(De					s in millio				
Other data:										
The following are included in earnings from continuing operations:										
Depreciation	\$	350	\$	378	\$	405	\$	369	\$	364
Amortization of intangibles		47		34		17		22		21
Amortization of deferred finance fees (included in interest expense)		32		33		32		19		10
Balance sheet data (at end of period):										
Working capital (current assets less current liabilities)	\$	296	\$	486	\$	498	\$	698	\$	800
Total assets		8,419		8,598		8,975		9,793		8,764
Total debt		3,567		3,773		4,033		4,278		3,608
Share owners' equity		1,603		1,055		1,041		2,065		1,773
Free cash flow(f)	\$	339	\$	290	\$	220	\$	100	\$	322

Note that items (b) through (e) below relate to items management considers not representative of ongoing operations.

(a)

Amounts for 2009 - 2011 have been adjusted to reflect the retrospective application of a change in the method of valuing U.S. inventories to average cost from last-in, first-out.

Amounts related to the Company's Venezuelan operations have been reclassified to discontinued operations for 2009 - 2010 as a result of the expropriation of those operations in 2010.

Amount for 2010 includes charges of \$12 million (\$7 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for acquisition-related fair value inventory adjustments.

Amount for 2013 includes charges of \$145 million (pretax and after tax) to increase the accrual for estimated future asbestos-related costs and \$119 million (\$92 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges.

Amount for 2012 includes charges of \$155 million (pretax and after tax) to increase the accrual for estimated future asbestos-related costs, \$168 million (\$144 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges, and a gain of \$61 million (\$33 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) related to cash received from the Chinese government as compensation for land in China that the Company was required to return to the government.

Amount for 2011 includes charges of \$165 million (pretax and after tax) to increase the accrual for estimated future asbestos-related costs, \$641 million (\$640 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) to write down goodwill in the Asia Pacific segment and \$112 million (\$91 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges.

Amount for 2010 includes charges of \$170 million (pretax and after tax) to increase the accrual for estimated future asbestos-related costs, \$13 million (\$11 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges, and \$20 million (pretax and after tax amount attributable to the Company) for acquisition-related restructuring, transaction and financing costs.

Amount for 2009 includes charges of \$180 million (pretax and after tax) to increase the accrual for estimated future asbestos-related costs, \$207 million (\$180 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges, and \$18 million (\$17 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for the remeasurement of certain bolivar-denominated assets and liabilities held outside of Venezuela.

#### (d)

(b)

(c)

Amount for 2013 includes charges of \$9 million (pretax and after tax amount attributable to the Company) for note repurchase premiums.

Amount for 2011 includes charges of \$16 million (pretax and after tax amount attributable to the Company) for note repurchase premiums.

Amount for 2010 includes charges of \$6 million (pretax and after tax amount attributable to the Company) for note repurchase premiums. In addition, the Company recorded a reduction of interest expense of \$9 million (pretax and after tax amount attributable to the Company) to recognize the unamortized proceeds from terminated interest rate swaps.

Amount for 2009 includes charges of \$5 million (pretax and after tax amount attributable to the Company) for note repurchase premiums, net of a gain from the termination of interest rate swap agreements on the notes.

Includes additional interest charges for the write-off of unamortized deferred financing fees related to the early extinguishment of debt as follows: \$2 million (pretax and after tax amount attributable to the Company) for 2013; \$9 million (\$8 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for 2011; and \$3 million (pretax and after tax amount attributable to the Company) for 2010.

Amount for 2012 includes a tax benefit of \$14 million for certain tax adjustments.

Amount for 2011 includes a tax benefit of \$15 million for certain tax adjustments.

Amount for 2010 includes a net tax benefit of \$24 million related to the reversal of deferred tax valuation allowances and a non-cash tax benefit transferred from other income categories of \$8 million.

Amount for 2009 includes a non-cash tax benefit transferred from other income categories of \$48 million.

(f)

The Company defines free cash flow as cash provided by continuing operating activities less additions to property, plant and equipment from continuing operations. Free cash flow does not conform to U.S. GAAP and should not be construed as an alternative to the cash flow measures reported in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The Company uses free cash flow for internal reporting, forecasting and budgeting and believes this information allows the board of directors, management, investors and analysts to better understand the Company's financial performance. Free cash flow is calculated as follows (dollars in millions):

Years ended December 31,	2	2013	2	2012	2	2011	2	2010	2	2009
Cash provided by continuing operating activities	\$	700	\$	580	\$	505	\$	600	\$	729
Additions to property, plant and equipment continuing		(361)		(290)		(285)		(500)		(407)
Free cash flow	\$	339	\$	290	\$	220	\$	100	\$	322

#### ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Company's measure of profit for its reportable segments is segment operating profit, which consists of consolidated earnings from continuing operations before interest income, interest expense, and provision for income taxes and excludes amounts related to certain items that management considers not representative of ongoing operations as well as certain retained corporate costs. The segment data presented below is prepared in accordance with general accounting principles for segment reporting. The line titled "reportable segment totals", however, is a non-GAAP measure when presented outside of the financial statement footnotes. Management has included reportable segment totals below to facilitate the discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations. The Company's management uses segment operating profit, in combination with selected cash flow information, to evaluate performance and to allocate resources.

Financial information regarding the Company's reportable segments is as follows (dollars in millions):

	2013		2012		2011
Net sales:					
Europe	\$	2,787	\$	2,717	\$ 3,052
North America		2,002		1,966	1,929
South America		1,186		1,252	1,226
Asia Pacific		966		1,028	1,059
Reportable segment totals		6,941		6,963	7,266
Other		26		37	92
Net sales	\$	6,967	\$	7,000	\$ 7,358

	2013		2012		2011	
Segment operating profit:						
Europe	\$	305	\$ 307	\$	345	
North America		307	288		222	
South America		204	227		250	
Asia Pacific		131	113		83	
Reportable segment totals		947	935		900	
Items excluded from segment operating profit:						
Retained corporate costs and other		(119)	(106)		(75)	
Restructuring, asset impairment and related charges		(119)	(168)		(112)	
Charge for asbestos related costs		(145)	(155)		(165)	
Gain on China land compensation		( - )	61		( )	
Charge for goodwill impairment					(641)	
Interest income		10	9		11	
Interest expense		(239)	(248)		(314)	
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes Provision for income taxes		335 (120)	328 (108)		(396) (85)	
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations		215	220		(481)	
Gain (loss) from discontinued operations		(18)	(2)		1	
Net earnings (loss)		197	218		(480)	
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests		(13)	(34)		(20)	
Net earnings (loss) attributable to the Company	\$	184	\$ 184	\$	(500)	
Net earnings (loss) from continuing operations attributable to the Company	\$	202	\$ 186	\$	(501)	

Note: all amounts excluded from reportable segment totals are discussed in the following applicable sections.

Executive Overview Comparison of 2013 with 2012

2013 Highlights

Net sales lower due to unfavorable effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes and sales volume, partially offset by higher selling prices.

Segment operating profit higher due to improved selling prices and global cost control initiatives, partially offset by cost inflation and the unfavorable effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes.

Strong cash generation used to prepay debt and continue share repurchase program.

Net sales were \$33 million lower than the prior year due primarily to the unfavorable effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates and sales volume. The unfavorable effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes were driven by a weaker Brazilian real and Australian dollar, partially offset by a stronger Euro. Higher selling prices had a positive impact on net sales.

Segment operating profit for reportable segments was \$12 million higher than the prior year. The increase was attributable to higher selling prices and lower operating expenses due to global cost control initiatives. Cost inflation and the effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes unfavorably impacted segment operating profit in 2013.

Interest expense in 2013 decreased \$9 million over 2012. The decrease was due to debt reduction initiatives and lower interest rates, partially offset by note repurchase premiums and the write-off of finance fees related to debt that was repaid during 2013 prior to its maturity.

The Company recorded earnings from continuing operations attributable to the Company in 2013 of \$202 million, or \$1.22 per share (diluted), compared with \$186 million, or \$1.12 per share, for 2012. Earnings in both periods included items that management considered not representative of ongoing operations. These items decreased earnings from continuing operations attributable to the Company by \$248 million, or \$1.50 per share, in 2013 and \$252 million, or \$1.52 per share, in 2012.

#### Results of Operations Comparison of 2013 with 2012

#### Net Sales

The Company's net sales in 2013 were \$6,967 million compared with \$7,000 million in 2012, a decrease of \$33 million. Net sales were lower due to the unfavorable effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes, primarily due to a weaker Brazilian real and Australian dollar in relation to the U.S. dollar, partially offset by a stronger Euro. Glass container shipments, in tonnes, were down slightly in 2013 compared to 2012, with all regions reporting lower or flat sales volumes. Net sales benefited in 2013 from higher selling prices.

The change in net sales of reportable segments can be summarized as follows (dollars in millions):

Net sales 2012		\$ 6,963
Price	\$ 118	
Sales volume	(48)	
Effects of changing foreign currency rates	(92)	
Total effect on net sales		(22)
Net sales 2013		\$ 6,941

*Europe:* Net sales in Europe in 2013 were \$2,787 million compared with \$2,717 million in 2012, an increase of \$70 million, or 3%. Net sales increased \$68 million due to the favorable effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes, as the Euro strengthened in relation to the U.S. dollar. Higher selling prices benefited net sales in the current year by \$18 million. Glass container shipments in 2013 were down less than 1% compared to the prior year, particularly in the beer and non-alcoholic beverage categories. The lower sales volume, which reduced net sales by \$16 million, was mainly due to the macroeconomic environment in Europe, partially offset by an increase in wine bottle sales due to the Company's efforts to recover wine share lost in 2012.

*North America:* Net sales in North America in 2013 were \$2,002 million compared with \$1,966 million in 2012, an increase of \$36 million, or 2%. The increase in net sales was due to higher selling prices of \$44 million. The benefit of higher selling prices was partially offset by the unfavorable effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes, which decreased net sales by \$8 million due to a weakening of the Canadian dollar in relation to the U.S. dollar. Glass container shipments were flat in the current year compared to the prior year, as lower megabeer bottle sales were offset by higher shipments of craft beer and non-alcoholic beverage containers.

*South America:* Net sales in South America in 2013 were \$1,186 million compared with \$1,252 million in 2012, a decrease of \$66 million, or 5%. The unfavorable effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes decreased net sales \$99 million in 2013 compared to 2012, principally due to a 10% decline in the Brazilian real in relation to the U.S. dollar. Lower sales volume in the current year reduced net sales by \$18 million due to a decline in glass container shipments of less than 1%, driven by lower beer demand across the region, general economic uncertainty and the impact of general

strikes in Colombia in the mining, agriculture and transportation industries. Higher selling prices benefited net sales \$51 million in the current year.

*Asia Pacific:* Net sales in Asia Pacific in 2013 were \$966 million compared with \$1,028 million in 2012, a decrease of \$62 million, or 6%. The unfavorable effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes decreased net sales \$53 million in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to the weakening of the Australian dollar in relation to the U.S. dollar. Glass container shipments were down almost 1% compared to the prior year, resulting in a \$14 million decline in net sales, driven by lower shipments of beer bottles partially offset by gains in non-alcoholic beverage and food containers. Improved pricing increased net sales \$5 million in the current year.

#### Segment Operating Profit

Operating profit of the reportable segments includes an allocation of some corporate expenses based on both a percentage of sales and direct billings based on the costs of specific services provided. Unallocated corporate expenses and certain other expenses not directly related to the reportable segments' operations are included in Retained corporate costs and other. For further information, see Segment Information included in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Segment operating profit of reportable segments in 2013 was \$947 million compared to \$935 million in 2012, an increase of \$12 million, or 1%. The increase in segment operating profit was the result of higher selling prices and lower operating expenses due to global cost control initiatives. Cost inflation negatively impacted segment operating profit in the current year. The effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates unfavorably impacted segment operating profit in 2013, primarily due to a weaker Brazilian real and Australian dollar in relation to the U.S. dollar, partially offset by a stronger Euro. Manufacturing and delivery costs were flat with the prior year as lower global production volumes were offset by benefits realized from permanent footprint initiatives.

The change in segment operating profit of reportable segments can be summarized as follows (dollars in millions):

Segment operating profit 2012		\$ 935
Price and product mix	\$ 118	
Sales volume	(3)	
Cost inflation	(134)	
Manufacturing and delivery		
Operating expenses and other	44	
Effects of changing foreign currency rates	(13)	
Total net effect on segment operating profit		12
Segment operating profit 2013		\$ 947

*Europe:* Segment operating profit in Europe in 2013 was \$305 million compared with \$307 million in 2012, a decrease of \$2 million, or 1%. The higher selling prices discussed above increased segment operating profit by \$18 million, while the decline in sales volume decreased segment operating profit by \$3 million. Cost inflation in the current year reduced segment operating profit by \$39 million. Cost control initiatives and the asset optimization program, partially offset by higher spending on equipment repairs and logistical costs, had a net \$16 million positive impact on segment operating profit during 2013, while the favorable effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes increased segment operating profit by \$6 million.

The Company continued implementing the European asset optimization program to increase the efficiency and capability of its European operations. Through this program over the next several years,

the Company expects to improve the long term profitability of this region through investments and by addressing higher cost facilities to better align its European manufacturing footprint with market and customer needs.

*North America:* Segment operating profit in North America in 2013 was \$307 million compared with \$288 million in 2012, an increase of \$19 million, or 7%. Higher selling prices in the current year increased segment operating profit by \$44 million and lower operating expenses, driven by cost control initiatives, had a \$17 million positive impact on segment operating profit. Cost inflation had a \$40 million unfavorable impact on segment operating profit by \$2 million in the current year.

*South America:* Segment operating profit in South America in 2013 was \$204 million compared with \$227 million in 2012, a decrease of \$23 million, or 10%. The lower sales volume in the current year decreased segment operating profit by \$2 million, while higher selling prices improved segment operating profit by \$51 million, despite the price controls imposed by the Argentina government in the first half of the year. Cost inflation in the current year decreased segment operating and delivery costs resulted in a \$15 million decline in 2013 compared to the prior year. The higher manufacturing and delivery costs were primarily due to a higher number of furnace rebuilds and repairs in the current year and the effects of the general strikes in Colombia, partially offset by the benefits of the new furnace in Brazil that started production at the end of 2012. The unfavorable effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes decreased segment operating profit by \$14 million in the current year while other costs increased by \$6 million.

*Asia Pacific:* Segment operating profit in Asia Pacific in 2013 was \$131 million compared with \$113 million in 2012, an increase of \$18 million, or 16%. The increase in segment operating profit was primarily due to a \$18 million decline in manufacturing and delivery costs driven by the benefits realized from the permanent footprint adjustments made over the past year. Higher selling prices and sales volume increased segment operating profit by \$5 million and \$1 million, respectively, and lower operating expenses, driven by cost control initiatives, had a \$16 million positive impact in the current year. Cost inflation reduced segment operating profit by \$18 million, while the unfavorable effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes decreased segment operating profit by \$4 million in 2013.

#### Interest Expense

Interest expense in 2013 was \$239 million compared with \$248 million in 2012. Interest expense for 2013 included \$11 million for note repurchase premiums and the write-off of finance fees related to the discharge of the €300 million senior notes due 2017 and \$3 million for loss on debt extinguishment and the write-off of finance fees related to the repurchase of a portion of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes. Exclusive of these items, interest expense decreased \$23 million in the current year. The decrease was principally due to debt reduction initiatives and lower interest rates.

#### Provision for Income Taxes

The Company's effective tax rate from continuing operations for 2013 was 35.8%, compared with 32.9% for 2012. Excluding the amounts related to items that management considers not representative of ongoing operations, the Company's effective tax rate for 2013 was 21.9%, compared with 22.1% for 2012.

#### Net Earnings Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests

Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests for 2013 was \$13 million compared to \$34 million for 2012. The decrease was primarily due to \$14 million included in 2012 related to a gain recorded by the Company for cash received from the Chinese government as compensation for land in China that the Company was required to return to the government.

#### Earnings from Continuing Operations Attributable to the Company

For 2013, the Company recorded earnings from continuing operations attributable to the Company of \$202 million compared with \$186 million for 2012. The after tax effects of the items excluded from segment operating profit, the unusual tax items and the additional interest charges increased or decreased earnings in 2013 and 2012 as set forth in the following table (dollars in millions).

Description	(11) 3.	e)		
Restructuring, asset impairment and related charges	\$	(92)	\$	(144)
Gain on China land compensation				33
Note repurchase premiums and write-off of finance fees		(11)		
Net benefit related to changes in unrecognized tax positions				14
Charge for asbestos related costs		(145)		(155)
Total	\$	(248)	\$	(252)

#### Executive Overview Comparison of 2012 with 2011

#### 2012 Highlights

Net sales lower due to foreign currency exchange rate changes and 5% decline in glass container shipments, partially offset by higher selling prices

Increased segment operating profit due to higher selling prices to offset cost inflation, as well as improved manufacturing performance in North America and cost savings from permanent footprint adjustments made in Australia

Strong cash generation used to prepay debt, make discretionary pension contributions and initiate a share repurchase program

Net sales were \$358 million lower than the prior year, primarily due to the unfavorable effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates and lower sales volumes, partially offset by improved pricing.

Segment operating profit for reportable segments was \$35 million higher than the prior year. The increase was mainly attributable to higher selling prices to offset inflation, improvements made in North America to correct the production and supply chain issues from 2011, cost savings achieved from the permanent footprint adjustments made in Australia and global cost-cutting initiatives. These increases to segment operating profit were partially offset by the unfavorable effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates, the unfavorable impacts of the production curtailments in Europe and lower sales volume.

Interest expense in 2012 decreased \$66 million over 2011. The decrease was principally due to the refinancing of higher cost debt in connection with the Company's new bank credit agreement completed in mid-2011, as well as the non-recurrence of note repurchase premiums and the write-off of finance fees related to debt redeemed in 2011. Interest expense also decreased due to the prepayment in 2012 of term loans under the Company's bank credit agreement.

The Company recorded earnings from continuing operations attributable to the Company in 2012 of \$186 million, or \$1.12 per share (diluted), compared to a loss from continuing operations attributable to the Company of \$501 million, or \$3.06 per share, for 2011. Earnings in both periods included items that management considered not representative of ongoing operations. These items

decreased earnings from continuing operations attributable to the Company by \$252 million, or \$1.52 per share, in 2012 and \$905 million, or \$5.49 per share, in 2011.

### Results of Operations Comparison of 2012 with 2011

#### Net Sales

The Company's net sales in 2012 were \$7,000 million compared with \$7,358 million in 2011, a decrease of \$358 million, or 5%. The decrease in net sales was caused by the unfavorable effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates and lower sales volumes, partially offset by improved pricing. The unfavorable effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates were primarily due to a weaker Euro and Brazilian real in relation to the U.S. dollar. Glass container shipments, in tonnes, were down approximately 5% in 2012 compared to 2011, driven by lower sales in Europe and Asia Pacific, partially offset by higher sales in South America. Average selling prices improved in 2012 over the prior year as the Company increased prices to recover high cost inflation.

The change in net sales of reportable segments can be summarized as follows (dollars in millions):

	\$	7,266
\$ 322		
(18)		
(287)		
(320)		
\$	(18) (287)	(18) (287)

Total effect on net sales	(303)

Net sales 2012

\$ 6,963

*Europe:* Net sales in Europe in 2012 were \$2,717 million compared with \$3,052 million in 2011, a decrease of \$335 million, or 11%. The decrease in net sales was partly attributable to the unfavorable effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes as the Euro declined in value in relation to the U.S. dollar by approximately 8% in 2012 compared to the prior year. The decrease in net sales was also due to lower glass container shipment levels which were down approximately 9% in 2012 compared to 2011. Lower wine and food bottle shipments accounted for the majority of the volume decrease, primarily a result of macroeconomic conditions in the region and the Company's pricing strategy. Partially offsetting these decreases to net sales were higher selling prices resulting from the successful negotiation of annual customer contracts to recover high cost inflation.

*North America:* Net sales in North America in 2012 were \$1,966 million compared with \$1,929 million in 2011, an increase of \$37 million, or 2%. The increase in net sales was due to improved pricing, as the Company increased selling prices in the current year to recover high cost inflation. Glass container shipments in 2012 were similar to 2011 shipments.

*South America:* Net sales in South America in 2012 were \$1,252 million compared with \$1,226 million in 2011, an increase of \$26 million, or 2%. The increase in net sales was due to improved pricing and higher glass container shipments. The Company increased selling prices in 2012 to recover high cost inflation. Glass container shipments were up about 6% in the current year, particularly in the beer category. Partially offsetting these increases to net sales was the unfavorable effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes as the Brazilian real declined in value in relation to the U.S. dollar by approximately 17% in 2012 compared to 2011.

*Asia Pacific:* Net sales in Asia Pacific in 2012 were \$1,028 million compared with \$1,059 million in 2011, a decrease of \$31 million, or 3%. The decrease in net sales was caused by lower glass container shipments, partially offset by higher selling prices to recover high cost inflation. Glass container

shipments, in tonnes, were down approximately 9% in 2012 compared to the prior year. In 2012, the Company continued to experience declines in shipments of wine and beer bottles primarily due to the off-shoring of Australian wine bottling and lower beer consumption due to macroeconomic conditions.

#### Segment Operating Profit

Operating profit of the reportable segments includes an allocation of some corporate expenses based on both a percentage of sales and direct billings based on the costs of specific services provided. Unallocated corporate expenses and certain other expenses not directly related to the reportable segments' operations are included in Retained corporate costs and other. For further information, see Segment Information included in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Segment operating profit of reportable segments in 2012 was \$935 million compared to \$900 million in 2011, an increase of \$35 million, or 4%. The increase in segment operating profit was primarily due to higher selling prices to recover high cost inflation, improved manufacturing performance in North America, footprint adjustments in Australia and global cost-cutting initiatives. These increases in segment operating profit were partially offset by the unfavorable effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates, production curtailments in Europe and lower sales volume. Costs of goods sold were comparable to the prior year as lower costs in 2012 due to the improvements made in North America to correct the production and supply chain issues from 2011 and cost savings achieved from the permanent footprint adjustments made in Australia were offset by the unfavorable impacts of the production curtailments in Europe in the second half of 2012. Operating expenses were lower in 2012 compared to 2011 due to global cost reductions and the non-recurrence of expenses in 2011 related to the implementation of an ERP system.

The change in segment operating profit of reportable segments can be summarized as follows (dollars in millions):

Comment encerting and the 2011		¢	000
Segment operating profit 2011		Э	900
Price and product mix	\$ 322		
Cost inflation	(194)		
Price / inflation spread	128		
Sales volume	(77)		
Manufacturing and delivery	()		
Operating expenses and other	21		
Effects of changing foreign currency rates	(37)		
Total net effect on segment operating profit			35
Segment operating profit 2012		\$	935

*Europe:* Segment operating profit in Europe in 2012 was \$307 million compared with \$345 million in 2011, a decrease of \$38 million, or 11%. The decrease in segment operating profit was mainly attributable to lower sales volume, higher costs of goods sold and the unfavorable effect of foreign currency exchange rate changes. Higher costs of goods sold were driven by lower fixed cost absorption due to production curtailment measures implemented in 2012 to balance capacity with lower demand in the region. These decreases to segment operating profit more than offset the favorable effects of higher production efficiencies experienced in the first half of 2012, as well as the favorable effects of higher selling prices to recover high cost inflation and current year cost control initiatives.

The Company continued implementing the European Asset Optimization program to increase the efficiency and capability of its European operations. Through this program over the next several years, the Company expects to improve the long term profitability of this region through investments and by

addressing higher cost facilities to better align its European manufacturing footprint with market and customer needs.

*North America:* Segment operating profit in North America in 2012 was \$288 million compared with \$222 million in 2011, an increase of \$66 million, or 30%. The increase in segment operating profit was primarily due to strong manufacturing performance and improvements made to correct the production and supply chain issues experienced in the prior year. High production rates in 2012, along with the restarting of two idled furnaces in the second half of 2011, resulted in higher fixed cost absorption compared to the prior year. Segment operating profit also increased during 2012 due to higher selling prices to recover high cost inflation, cost control initiatives and the non-recurrence of expenses in 2011 related to the implementation of an ERP system.

*South America:* Segment operating profit in South America in 2012 was \$227 million compared with \$250 million in 2011, a decrease of \$23 million, or 9%. The decrease in segment operating profit was primarily due to the unfavorable effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes. Higher selling prices to recover high cost inflation and higher sales volume in 2012 benefited segment operating profit compared to the prior year, but were partially offset by higher transportation costs as the region imported glass containers from its facilities in other countries into Brazil to support the continued growth in that country. To partially alleviate the capacity constraints in Brazil, the Company completed the construction of a new furnace late in 2012 and incurred additional costs associated with the start-up of this new furnace.

*Asia Pacific:* Segment operating profit in Asia Pacific in 2012 was \$113 million compared with \$83 million in 2011, an increase of \$30 million, or 36%. The increase in segment operating profit was primarily due to the benefits realized from the permanent footprint adjustments made in Australia over the past year, overall cost-cutting initiatives in the region and higher selling prices to recover high cost inflation, partially offset by lower sales volume. The increase in segment operating profit was also due to the non-recurrence of approximately \$9 million of costs related to flooding in Australia during the first quarter of 2011.

#### Interest Expense

Interest expense in 2012 was \$248 million compared with \$314 million in 2011. The 2011 amount includes \$25 million of additional interest charges for note repurchase premiums and the related write-off of unamortized finance fees related to the cancellation of the Company's previous bank credit agreement and the redemption of the senior notes due 2014. Exclusive of these items, interest expense decreased \$41 million. The decrease in interest expense was principally due to the refinancing of higher cost debt in connection with the Company's new bank credit agreement completed in mid-2011 and the prepayment in 2012 of term loans under the bank credit agreement.

#### Provision for Income Taxes

The Company's effective tax rate from continuing operations for 2012 was 32.9%, compared with -21.5% for 2011. The effective tax rate for 2011 was impacted by the goodwill impairment charge, which was not deductible for income tax purposes. Excluding the amounts related to items that management considers not representative of ongoing operations, the Company's effective tax rate for 2012 was 22.1%, compared with 21.6% for 2011.

#### Net Earnings Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests

Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests for 2012 was \$34 million compared to \$20 million for 2011. The increase was due to \$14 million included in 2012 related to a gain recorded

by the Company for cash received from the Chinese government as compensation for land in China that the Company was required to return to the government.

#### Earnings from Continuing Operations Attributable to the Company

For 2012, the Company recorded earnings from continuing operations attributable to the Company of \$186 million compared to a loss of \$501 million for 2011. The after tax effects of the items excluded from segment operating profit, the unusual tax items and the additional interest charges increased or decreased earnings in 2012 and 2011 as set forth in the following table (dollars in millions).

		Net Ea Incre (Decr	ease	8
Description	2	2012	2	2011
Restructuring, asset impairment and related charges	\$	(144)	\$	(91)
Gain on China land compensation		33		
Note repurchase premiums and write-off of finance fees				(24)
Net benefit related to changes in unrecognized tax positions		14		15
Charge for asbestos related costs		(155)		(165)
Charge for goodwill impairment				(640)
Total	\$	(252)	\$	(905)

#### Items Excluded from Reportable Segment Totals

#### Retained Corporate Costs and Other

Retained corporate costs and other for 2013 were \$119 million compared with \$106 million for 2012. Retained corporate costs and other for 2013 reflect lower earnings from global machine and equipment sales and other technical and engineering services, in addition to lower earnings from the Company's equity investment in a soda ash mining operation.

Retained corporate costs and other for 2012 were \$106 million compared with \$75 million for 2011. Retained corporate costs and other for 2012 reflect lower earnings from global machine and equipment sales and other technical and engineering services, in addition to higher management incentive compensation expense and lower earnings from the Company's equity investment in a soda ash mining operation.

#### Restructuring, Asset Impairment and Related Charges

During 2013, the Company recorded charges totaling \$119 million for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges. These charges reflect completed and planned plant and furnace closures in Europe, South America and Asia Pacific, as well as global headcount reduction initiatives. These charges also include an asset impairment charge related to the Company's operations in Argentina, primarily due to macroeconomic issues in that country.

During 2012, the Company recorded charges totaling \$168 million for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges. These charges reflect completed and planned plant and furnace closures in Europe and Asia Pacific, as well as global headcount reduction initiatives.

During 2011, the Company recorded charges totaling \$112 million for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges. These charges reflect completed and planned furnace closures in Europe and Asia Pacific, as well as global headcount reduction initiatives.

See Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

#### Charge for Asbestos Related Costs

The fourth quarter of 2013 charge for asbestos-related costs was \$145 million, compared to the fourth quarter of 2012 charge of \$155 million. These charges resulted from the Company's comprehensive annual review of asbestos-related liabilities and costs. In each year, the Company concluded that an increase in the accrued liability was required to provide for estimated indemnity payments and legal fees arising from asbestos personal injury lawsuits and claims pending and expected to be filed during the several years following the completion of the comprehensive review. See "Critical Accounting Estimates" for further information.

Asbestos-related cash payments for 2013 were \$158 million, a decrease of \$7 million from 2012. Deferred amounts payable were approximately \$12 million and \$24 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

During 2013, the Company received approximately 1,700 new filings and disposed of approximately 1,700 claims. As of December 31, 2013, the number of asbestos-related claims pending against the Company was approximately 2,600. The Company anticipates that cash flows from operations and other sources will be sufficient to meet all asbestos-related obligations on a short-term and long-term basis. See Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

#### Gain on China Land Compensation

During 2012, the Company received \$85 million from the Chinese government as compensation for land in China that the Company was required to return to the government. The Company recorded a gain of \$61 million related to the disposal of this land.

#### Charge for Goodwill Impairment

During the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company completed its annual impairment testing and determined that impairment existed in the goodwill of its Asia Pacific segment. Lower projected cash flows, principally in the segment's Australian operations, caused the decline in the business enterprise value. The strong Australian dollar in 2011 resulted in many wine producers in the country exporting their wine in bulk shipments and bottling the wine closer to their end markets. This decreased the demand for wine bottles in Australia, which was a significant portion of the Company's sales in that country, and the Company expects this decreased demand to continue into the foreseeable future. Following a review of the valuation of the segment's identifiable assets, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$641 million to reduce the reported value of its goodwill.

#### **Discontinued** Operations

On October 26, 2010, the Venezuelan government, through Presidential Decree No. 7.751, expropriated the assets of Owens-Illinois de Venezuela and Fabrica de Vidrios Los Andes, C.A., two of the Company's subsidiaries in that country, which in effect constituted a taking of the going concerns of those companies. Shortly after the issuance of the decree, the Venezuelan government installed temporary administrative boards who are in control of the expropriated assets.

Since the issuance of the decree, the Company has cooperated with the Venezuelan government, as it is compelled to do under Venezuelan law, to provide for an orderly transition while ensuring the safety and well-being of the employees and the integrity of the production facilities. The Company has been engaged in negotiations with the Venezuelan government in relation to certain aspects of the expropriation, including the compensation payable by the government as a result of its expropriation. On September 26, 2011, the Company, having been unable to reach an agreement with the Venezuelan government regarding fair compensation, commenced an arbitration against Venezuela through the World Bank's International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes. The Company is unable at this stage to predict the amount, or timing of receipt, of compensation it will ultimately receive.

The loss from discontinued operations of \$18 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 includes \$8 million of special termination benefits related to a previously disposed business and \$10 million for ongoing costs related to the Venezuela expropriation.

#### Capital Resources and Liquidity

As of December 31, 2013, the Company had cash and total debt of \$383 million and \$3.6 billion, respectively, compared to \$431 million and \$3.8 billion, respectively, as of December 31, 2012. A significant portion of the cash was held in mature, liquid markets where the Company has operations, such as the U.S., Europe and Australia, and is readily available to fund global liquidity requirements. The amount of cash held in non-U.S. locations as of December 31, 2013 was \$356 million.

#### Current and Long-Term Debt

On May 19, 2011, the Company entered into the Secured Credit Agreement (the "Agreement"). At December 31, 2013, the Agreement included a \$900 million revolving credit facility, a \$405 million term loan, an 81 million Canadian dollar term loan, and a  $\in$ 85 million term loan, each of which has a final maturity date of May 19, 2016. During 2013, the Company repaid 51 million Australian dollars on Term Loan A, \$120 million on Term Loan B, 20 million Canadian dollars on Term Loan C and  $\in$ 39 million on Term Loan D under the Agreement. At December 31, 2013, the Company had unused credit of \$816 million available under the Agreement.

The Agreement contains various covenants that restrict, among other things and subject to certain exceptions, the ability of the Company to incur certain liens, make certain investments, become liable under contingent obligations in certain defined instances only, make restricted junior payments, make certain asset sales within guidelines and limits, make capital expenditures beyond a certain threshold, engage in material transactions with shareholders and affiliates, participate in sale and leaseback financing arrangements, alter its fundamental business, and amend certain outstanding debt obligations.

The Agreement also contains one financial maintenance covenant, a Leverage Ratio, that requires the Company to not exceed a ratio calculated by dividing consolidated total debt, less cash and cash equivalents, by Credit Agreement EBITDA, as defined in the Agreement. The Leverage Ratio could restrict the ability of the Company to undertake additional financing or acquisitions to the extent that such financing or acquisitions would cause the Leverage Ratio to exceed the specified maximum of 4.0x.

The Leverage Ratio does not conform to U.S. GAAP and should not be construed as an alternative to amounts reported in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The Company uses the Leverage Ratio



to evaluate its liquidity and its compliance with its debt covenants. The Leverage Ratio for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was calculated as follows (dollars in millions):

	2013	2012
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 215	\$ 220
Interest expense	239	248
Provision for income taxes	120	108
Depreciation	350	378
Amortization of intangibles	47	34
EBITDA	971	988
Adjustments in accordance with the Agreement:	7/1	700
Restructuring and asset impairment	119	168
Charges for asbestos-related costs	145	155
Gain on China land compensation	115	(61)
Credit Agreement EBITDA	\$ 1,235	\$ 1,250
Total Debt at December 31	\$ 3,567	\$ 3,773
Less cash	(383)	(431)
Net debt	\$ 3,184	\$ 3,342

Ι	Leverage Ratio (Net debt divided by Credit Agreement EBITDA)	2.6 x	2.7 x

Failure to comply with these covenants and restrictions could result in an event of default under the Agreement. In such an event, the Company could not request borrowings under the revolving facility, and all amounts outstanding under the Agreement, together with accrued interest, could then be declared immediately due and payable. If an event of default occurs under the Agreement and the lenders cause all of the outstanding debt obligations under the Agreement to become due and payable, this would result in a default under a number of other outstanding debt securities and could lead to an acceleration of obligations related to these debt securities. A default or event of default under the Agreement, indentures or agreements governing other indebtedness could also lead to an acceleration of debt under other debt instruments that contain cross acceleration or cross-default provisions.

The Leverage Ratio also determines pricing under the Agreement. The interest rate on borrowings under the Agreement is, at the Company's option, the Base Rate or the Eurocurrency Rate, as defined in the Agreement. These rates include a margin linked to the Leverage Ratio. The margins range from 1.25% to 2.00% for Eurocurrency Rate loans and from 0.25% to 1.00% for Base Rate loans. In addition, a facility fee is payable on the revolving credit facility commitments ranging from 0.25% to 0.50% per annum linked to the Leverage Ratio. The weighted average interest rate on borrowings outstanding under the Agreement at December 31, 2013 was 2.12%. As of December 31, 2013, the Company was in compliance with all covenants and restrictions in the Agreement. In addition, the Company believes that it will remain in compliance and that its ability to borrow funds under the Agreement will not be adversely affected by the covenants and restrictions.

Borrowings under the Agreement are secured by substantially all of the assets, excluding real estate, of the Company's domestic subsidiaries and certain foreign subsidiaries. Borrowings are also secured by a pledge of intercompany debt and equity in most of the Company's domestic subsidiaries and stock of certain foreign subsidiaries. All borrowings under the agreement are guaranteed by substantially all domestic subsidiaries of the Company for the term of the Agreement.

The Company assesses its capital raising and refinancing needs on an ongoing basis and may enter into additional credit facilities and seek to issue equity and/or debt securities in the domestic and international capital markets if market conditions are favorable. Also, depending on market conditions, the Company may elect to repurchase portions of its debt securities in the open market.

The Company has a  $\in$ 215 million European accounts receivable securitization program, which extends through September 2016, subject to periodic renewal of backup credit lines. Information related to the Company's accounts receivable securitization program as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

	2	013	2	2012
Balance (included in short-term loans)	\$	276	\$	264
Weighted average interest rate		1.41%	, ว	1.33%
Cash Flows				

Free cash flow was \$339 million for 2013 compared to \$290 million for 2012. The Company defines free cash flow as cash provided by continuing operating activities less additions to property, plant and equipment. Free cash flow does not conform to U.S. GAAP and should not be construed as an alternative to the cash flow measures reported in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The Company uses free cash flow for internal reporting, forecasting and budgeting and believes this information allows the board of directors, management, investors and analysts to better understand the Company's financial performance. Free cash flow for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 is calculated as follows (dollars in millions):

	rities \$ 700 \$ 5		2012	
Cash provided by continuing operating activities	\$	700	\$	580
Additions to property, plant and equipment		(361)		(290)
Free cash flow	\$	339	\$	290

*Operating activities:* Cash provided by continuing operating activities was \$700 million for 2013 compared to \$580 million for 2012. The increase in cash flows from continuing operating activities was primarily due to a decrease in pension plan contributions of \$123 million in 2013 compared to 2012. Working capital decreased \$124 million in 2013 compared to \$81 million in 2012, primarily due to an increase in accounts payable partially offset by a smaller decrease in accounts receivable. Cash provided by continuing operating activities also benefited from lower interest payments of \$29 million and asbestos payments of \$7 million, offset by an increase in cash paid for restructuring activities of \$12 million and installment payments made in 2013 of \$43 million related to a non-income tax assessment from a foreign tax authority.

During 2013, the Company contributed \$96 million to its defined benefit pension plans, compared with \$219 million in 2012. The decrease in pension plan contributions in the current year was due to the Company making discretionary contributions of approximately \$125 million in 2012, compared to \$65 million of discretionary contributions in 2013. These discretionary contributions, along with other factors, have improved the funded status of the Company's pension plans and reduced required future contributions. In 2014, the Company may elect to continue to contribute amounts in excess of minimum required amounts in order to further improve the funded status of certain plans, and expects that the total contributions for all plans will be approximately \$50 million.

*Investing activities:* Cash utilized in investing activities was \$402 million for 2013 compared to \$221 million for 2012. Capital spending for property, plant and equipment from continuing operations during 2013 was \$361 million compared with \$290 million in the prior year. The increase in capital spending was primarily due to additional planned spending related to the European Asset Optimization program. In 2013, the Company deconsolidated a subsidiary in Europe, resulting in a \$32 million use of cash related to the subsidiary's cash on hand at the time of deconsolidation. Cash utilized in investing activities in 2013 included \$16 million of loans made to noncontrolling partners in South America and Asia Pacific compared to \$21 million of loans made in 2012. During 2012, the Company also received \$85 million from the Chinese government as compensation for the land in China that the Company was required to return to the government.

*Financing activities:* Cash utilized in financing activities was \$321 million for 2013 compared to \$339 million for 2012. Financing activities in 2013 included repayments of long-term debt of \$1,040 million, primarily related to the discharge of the €300 million senior notes due 2017, payments of \$240 million on term loans under the Secured Credit Agreement and the repurchase of \$46 million of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes, partially offset by additions to long-term debt of \$768 million, primarily related to the issuance of the €330 million senior notes due 2021, and additions to short-term loans of \$8 million. Financing activities in 2012 included repayments of long-term debt of \$402 million, partially offset by additions to long-term debt of \$119 million. The Company also repurchased shares of its common stock for \$33 million in 2013 and \$27 million in 2012. The Company received \$25 million in 2013 from the exercise of stock options compared to \$3 million in 2012.

The Company anticipates that cash flows from its operations and from utilization of credit available under the Agreement will be sufficient to fund its operating and seasonal working capital needs, debt service and other obligations on a short-term (twelve months) and long-term basis. Based on the Company's expectations regarding future payments for lawsuits and claims and also based on the Company's expected operating cash flow, the Company believes that the payment of any deferred amounts of previously settled or otherwise determined lawsuits and claims, and the resolution of presently pending and anticipated future lawsuits and claims associated with asbestos, will not have a material adverse effect upon the Company's liquidity on a short-term or long-term basis.

#### Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The following information summarizes the Company's significant contractual cash obligations at December 31, 2013 (dollars in millions).

		Total	 ss than e year	1-3	1 - 3 years 3 - 5 years				re than years
Contractual cash obligations:			-						
Long-term debt	\$	3,258	\$ 3	\$	1,847	\$	255	\$	1,153
Capital lease obligations		37	13		14		4		6
Operating leases		211	50		66		47		48
Interest(1)		731	172		262		166		131
Purchase obligations(2)		1,585	752		551		244		38
Pension benefit plan contributions(3)		30	30						
Postretirement benefit plan benefit payments(1)		134	14		28		28		64
Total contractual cash obligations	\$	5,986	\$ 1,034	\$	2,768	\$	744	\$	1,440

	Amount of commitment expiration per period												
	Т	otal		s than e year	1 - 3 years	3 - 5 years	More than 5 years						
Other commercial commitments:													
Standby letters of credit	\$	84	\$	84									
Total commercial commitments	\$	84	\$	84									

Amounts based on rates and assumptions at December 31, 2013.

<sup>(1)</sup> 

The Company's purchase obligations consist principally of contracted amounts for energy and molds. In cases where variable prices are involved, current market prices have been used. The amount above does not include ordinary course of business purchase orders because the majority

of such purchase orders may be canceled. The Company does not believe such purchase orders will adversely affect its liquidity position.

(3)

In order to maintain minimum funding requirements, the Company is required to make contributions to its defined benefit pension plans of approximately \$30 million in 2014. The Company may elect to contribute amounts in excess of minimum required amounts in order to improve the funded status of certain plans, and expects that the total contributions for all plans will be approximately \$50 million. Future funding requirements for the Company's pension plans will depend largely on actual asset returns and future actuarial assumptions, such as discount rates, and can vary significantly.

The Company is unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate as to when cash settlement with taxing authorities may occur for its unrecognized tax benefits. Therefore, the liability for unrecognized tax benefits is not included in the table above. See Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

#### **Critical Accounting Estimates**

The Company's analysis and discussion of its financial condition and results of operations are based upon its consolidated financial statements that have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("U.S. GAAP"). The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. The Company evaluates these estimates and assumptions on an ongoing basis. Estimates and assumptions are based on historical and other factors believed to be reasonable under the circumstances at the time the financial statements are issued. The results of these estimates may form the basis of the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities and may not be readily apparent from other sources. Actual results, under conditions and circumstances different from those assumed, may differ from estimates.

The impact of, and any associated risks related to, estimates and assumptions are discussed within Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, as well as in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, if applicable, where estimates and assumptions affect the Company's reported and expected financial results.

The Company believes that accounting for property, plant and equipment, impairment of long-lived assets, pension benefit plans, contingencies and litigation, and income taxes involves the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements.

#### Property, Plant and Equipment

The net carrying amount of property, plant and equipment ("PP&E") at December 31, 2013 totaled \$2.6 billion, representing 31% of total assets. Depreciation expense during 2013 totaled \$350 million, representing approximately 6% of total costs and expenses. Given the significance of PP&E and associated depreciation to the Company's consolidated financial statements, the determinations of an asset's cost basis and its economic useful life are considered to be critical accounting estimates.

*Cost Basis* PP&E is recorded at cost, which is generally objectively quantifiable when assets are purchased individually. However, when assets are purchased in groups, or as part of a business, costs assigned to PP&E are based on an estimate of fair value of each asset at the date of acquisition. These estimates are based on assumptions about asset condition, remaining useful life and market conditions,



among others. The Company frequently employs expert appraisers to aid in allocating cost among assets purchased as a group.

Included in the cost basis of PP&E are those costs which substantially increase the useful lives or capacity of existing PP&E. Significant judgment is needed to determine which costs should be capitalized under these criteria and which costs should be expensed as a repair or maintenance expenditure. For example, the Company frequently incurs various costs related to its existing glass melting furnaces and forming machines and must make a determination of which costs, if any, to capitalize. The Company relies on the experience and expertise of its operations and engineering staff to make reasonable and consistent judgments regarding increases in useful lives or capacity of PP&E.

*Estimated Useful Life* PP&E is generally depreciated using the straight-line method, which deducts equal amounts of the cost of each asset from earnings each period over its estimated economic useful life. Economic useful life is the duration of time an asset is expected to be productively employed by the Company, which may be less than its physical life. Management's assumptions regarding the following factors, among others, affect the determination of estimated economic useful life: wear and tear, product and process obsolescence, technical standards, and changes in market demand.

The estimated economic useful life of an asset is monitored to determine its appropriateness, especially in light of changed business circumstances. For example, technological advances, excessive wear and tear, or changes in customers' requirements may result in a shorter estimated useful life than originally anticipated. In these cases, the Company depreciates the remaining net book value over the new estimated remaining life, thereby increasing depreciation expense per year on a prospective basis. Likewise, if the estimated useful life decreases depreciation expense per year on a prospective basis. Changes in economic useful life assumptions did not have a material impact on the Company's reported results in 2013, 2012 or 2011.

#### Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

*Property, Plant and Equipment* The Company tests for impairment of PP&E whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. PP&E held for use in the Company's business is grouped for impairment testing at the lowest level for which cash flows can reasonably be identified, typically a segment or a component of a segment. The Company evaluates the recoverability of property, plant and equipment based on undiscounted projected cash flows, excluding interest and taxes. If an asset group is considered impaired, the impairment loss to be recognized is measured as the amount by which the asset group's carrying amount exceeds its fair value. PP&E held for sale is reported at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell.

Impairment testing requires estimation of the fair value of PP&E based on the discounted value of projected future cash flows generated by the asset group. The assumptions underlying cash flow projections represent management's best estimates at the time of the impairment review. Factors that management must estimate include, among other things: industry and market conditions, sales volume and prices, production costs and inflation. Changes in key assumptions or actual conditions which differ from estimates could result in an impairment charge. The Company uses reasonable and supportable assumptions when performing impairment reviews and cannot predict the occurrence of future events and circumstances that could result in impairment charges.

*Goodwill* Goodwill at December 31, 2013 totaled \$2.1 billion, representing 24% of total assets. Goodwill is tested for impairment annually as of October 1 (or more frequently if impairment indicators arise) using a two-step process. Step 1 compares the business enterprise value ("BEV") of each reporting unit with its carrying value. The BEV is computed based on estimated future cash flows, discounted at the weighted average cost of capital of a hypothetical third-party buyer. If the BEV is

less than the carrying value for any reporting unit, then Step 2 must be performed. Step 2 compares the implied fair value of goodwill with the carrying amount of goodwill. Any excess of the carrying value of the goodwill over the implied fair value will be recorded as an impairment loss. The calculations of the BEV in Step 1 and the implied fair value of goodwill in Step 2 are based on significant unobservable inputs, such as price trends, customer demand, material costs, discount rates and asset replacement costs, and are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

Goodwill is tested for impairment at the reporting unit level, which is the operating segment or one level below the operating segment, also known as a component. Two or more components of an operating segment shall be aggregated into a single reporting unit if the components have similar economic characteristics, based on an assessment of various factors. The Company has determined that the Europe and North America segments are reporting units. The Company aggregated the components of the South America and Asia Pacific segments into single reporting units equal to the reportable segments. The aggregation of the components of these segments was based on their economic similarity as determined by the Company using a number of quantitative and qualitative factors, including gross margins, the manner in which the Company operates the business, the consistent nature of products, services, production processes, customers and methods of distribution, as well as the level of shared resources and assets between the components.

During the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company completed its annual impairment testing and determined that no impairment of goodwill existed. The testing performed as of October 1, 2013, indicated a significant excess of BEV over book value for each unit that has goodwill. If the Company's projected future cash flows were substantially lower, or if the assumed weighted average cost of capital was substantially higher, the testing performed as of October 1, 2013, may have indicated an impairment of one or more of these reporting units and, as a result, the related goodwill may also have been impaired. However, less significant changes in projected future cash flows or the assumed weighted average cost of capital would not have indicated an impairment. For example, if projected future cash flows had been decreased by 5%, or if the weighted average cost of capital had been increased by 5%, or both, the resulting lower BEV's would still have exceeded the book value of each of these reporting units.

The Company will monitor conditions throughout 2014 that might significantly affect the projections and variables used in the impairment test to determine if a review prior to October 1 may be appropriate. If the results of impairment testing confirm that a write down of goodwill is necessary, then the Company will record a charge in the fourth quarter of 2014, or earlier if appropriate. In the event the Company would be required to record a significant write down of goodwill, the charge would have a material adverse effect on reported results of operations and net worth.

*Other Long-Lived Assets* Other long-lived assets include, among others, equity investments and repair parts inventories. The Company's equity investments are non-publicly traded ventures with other companies in businesses related to those of the Company. Equity investments are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the investment may not be recoverable. In the event that a decline in fair value of an investment occurs, and the decline in value is considered to be other than temporary, an impairment loss is recognized. Summarized financial information of equity affiliates is included in Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company carries a significant amount of repair parts inventories in order to provide a dependable supply of quality parts for servicing the Company's PP&E, particularly its glass melting furnaces and forming machines. The Company evaluates the recoverability of repair parts inventories based on undiscounted projected cash flows, excluding interest and taxes, when factors indicate that impairment may exist. If impairment exists, the repair parts are written down to fair value. The Company continually monitors the carrying value of repair parts for recoverability, especially in light of

changing business circumstances. For example, technological advances related to, and changes in, the estimated future demand for products produced on the equipment to which the repair parts relate may make the repair parts obsolete. In these circumstances, the Company writes down the repair parts to fair value.

#### Pension Benefit Plans

*Significant Estimates* The determination of pension obligations and the related pension expense or credits to operations involves significant estimates. The most significant estimates are the discount rate used to calculate the actuarial present value of benefit obligations and the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets. The Company uses discount rates based on yields of high quality fixed rate debt securities at the end of the year. At December 31, 2013, the weighted average discount rate was 4.81% and 4.14% for U.S. and non-U.S. plans, respectively. The Company uses an expected long-term rate of return on assets that is based on both past performance of the various plans' assets and estimated future performance of the assets. Due to the nature of the plans' assets and the volatility of debt and equity markets, actual returns may vary significantly from year to year. The Company refers to average historical returns over longer periods (up to 10 years) in determining its expected rates of return because short-term fluctuations in market values do not reflect the rates of return the Company expects to achieve based upon its long-term rate of return on plan assets is 8.00% for U.S. plans and 6.01% for non-U.S. plans compared to 8.00% for U.S. plans and 6.34% for non-U.S. plans in 2013. The Company recorded pension expense from continuing operations of \$60 million, \$54 million, and \$47 million for the U.S. plans in 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively, and \$41 million, \$38 million, and \$44 million for the non-U.S. plans from its principal defined benefit pension plans. Depending on currency translation rates, the Company expects to record approximately \$55 million of total pension expense for the full year of 2014.

Future effects on reported results of operations depend on economic conditions and investment performance. For example, a one-half percentage point change in the actuarial assumption regarding either the expected return on assets or discount rates used to calculate plan liabilities would result in a change of approximately \$20 million in the pretax pension expense for the full year 2014.

*Recognition of Funded Status* The Company recognizes the funded status of each pension benefit plan on the balance sheet. The funded status of each plan is measured as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and actuarially calculated benefit obligations as of the balance sheet date. Actuarial gains and losses are accumulated in Other Comprehensive Income and the portion of each plan that exceeds 10% of the greater of that plan's assets or projected benefit obligation is amortized to income on a straight-line basis over the average remaining service period of active employees or the average remaining expected life of inactive participants (if all, or almost all, of the plan's participants are inactive).

#### Contingencies and Litigation

The Company believes that its ultimate asbestos-related liability (i.e., its indemnity payments or other claim disposition costs plus related legal fees) cannot reasonably be estimated. The Company's ability to reasonably estimate its liability has been significantly affected by, among other factors, the volatility of asbestos-related litigation in the United States, the significant number of co-defendants that have filed for bankruptcy, the magnitude and timing of co-defendant bankruptcy trust payments, the inherent uncertainty of future disease incidence and claiming patterns, the expanding list of non-traditional defendants that have been sued in this litigation, and the use of mass litigation screenings to generate large numbers of claims by parties who allege exposure to asbestos dust but have no present physical asbestos impairment. The Company continues to monitor trends that may

affect its ultimate liability and continues to analyze the developments and variables affecting or likely to affect the resolution of pending and future asbestos claims against the Company.

The Company conducts a comprehensive review of its asbestos-related liabilities and costs annually in connection with finalizing and reporting its annual results of operations, unless significant changes in trends or new developments warrant an earlier review. If the results of an annual comprehensive review indicate that the existing amount of the accrued liability is insufficient to cover its estimated future asbestos-related costs, then the Company will record an appropriate charge to increase the accrued liability. The Company believes that a reasonable estimation of the probable amount of the liability for claims not yet asserted against the Company is not possible beyond a period of several years. Therefore, while the results of future annual comprehensive reviews cannot be determined, the Company expects the addition of one year to the estimation period will result in an annual charge.

In the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company recorded a charge of \$145 million to increase its accrued liability for asbestos-related costs. This amount was lower than the 2012 charge of \$155 million. The factors and developments that particularly affected the determination of the amount of the 2013 accrual included the following: (i) the rates and average disposition costs of new filings against the Company; (ii) the Company's successful litigation record; (iii) legislative developments and court rulings in several states; and (iv) the impact these and other factors had on the Company's valuation of existing and future claims.

The Company's estimates are based on a number of factors as described further in Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Other litigation is pending against the Company, in many cases involving ordinary and routine claims incidental to the business of the Company and in others presenting allegations that are non-routine and involve compensatory, punitive or treble damage claims as well as other types of relief. The Company records a liability for such matters when it is both probable that the liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated. Recorded amounts are reviewed and adjusted to reflect changes in the factors upon which the estimates are based, including additional information, negotiations, settlements and other events.

#### Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes as required by general accounting principles under which management judgment is required in determining income tax expense and the related balance sheet amounts. This judgment includes estimating and analyzing historical and projected future operating results, the reversal of taxable temporary differences, tax planning strategies, and the ultimate outcome of uncertain income tax positions. Actual income taxes paid may vary from estimates, depending upon changes in income tax laws, actual results of operations, and the final audit of tax returns by taxing authorities. Tax assessments may arise several years after tax returns have been filed. Changes in the estimates and assumptions used for calculating income tax expense and potential differences in actual results from estimates could have a material impact on the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the tax effects of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities measured using enacted tax rates and for operating losses and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined separately for each tax jurisdiction in which the Company conducts its operations or otherwise incurs taxable income or losses. A valuation allowance is recorded when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The realization of deferred tax assets depends on the ability to generate sufficient taxable income within the carryback or carryforward

periods provided for in the tax law for each applicable tax jurisdiction. The Company considers the following possible sources of taxable income when assessing the realization of deferred tax assets:

future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences;

future taxable income exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryforwards;

taxable income in prior carryback years; and

tax planning strategies

The assessment regarding whether a valuation allowance is required or should be adjusted also considers all available positive and negative evidence, including but not limited to:

nature, frequency, and severity of recent losses;

duration of statutory carryforward periods;

historical experience with tax attributes expiring unused; and

near- and medium-term financial outlook.

The weight given to the positive and negative evidence is commensurate with the extent to which the evidence may be objectively verified. Accordingly, it is difficult to conclude a valuation allowance is not required when there is significant objective and verifiable negative evidence, such as cumulative losses in recent years. The Company uses the actual results for the last three years and current year anticipated results as the primary measure of cumulative losses in recent years.

The evaluation of deferred tax assets requires judgment in assessing the likely future tax consequences of events recognized in the financial statements or tax returns and future profitability. The recognition of deferred tax assets represents the Company's best estimate of those future events. Changes in the current estimates, due to unanticipated events or otherwise, could have a material effect on the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

In certain foreign jurisdictions, the Company's analysis indicates that it has cumulative losses in recent years. This is considered significant negative evidence which is objective and verifiable and, therefore, difficult to overcome. However, the cumulative loss position is not solely determinative and, accordingly, the Company considers all other available positive and negative evidence in its analysis. Based on its analysis, the Company has recorded a valuation allowance for the portion of deferred tax assets where based on the weight of available evidence it is unlikely to realize those deferred tax assets.

In the U.S., the Company has experienced cumulative losses in previous years and has recorded a valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets. As of December 31, 2013, however, the Company's U.S. operations are in a three-year cumulative income position, but this is not solely determinative of the need for a valuation allowance. The Company considered this factor and all other available positive and negative evidence and concluded that it is still more likely than not that the net deferred tax assets in the U.S. will not be realized, and accordingly continued to record a valuation allowance. The evidence considered included the magnitude of the current three-year cumulative income compared to historical losses, expected impact of tax planning strategies, interest rates, and the overall business environment. The Company continues to evaluate its cumulative income position and income trend as well as its future projections of sustained profitability and whether this profitability trend constitutes sufficient positive evidence to support a reversal of the valuation allowance (in full or in part). The amount of the valuation allowance recorded in the U.S. as of December 31, 2013 is \$837 million.

The utilization of tax attributes to offset taxable income reduces the overall level of deferred tax assets subject to a valuation allowance. Additionally, the Company's recorded effective tax rate is lower than the applicable statutory tax rate, due primarily to income earned in jurisdictions for which a valuation allowance is recorded. The effective tax rate will approach the statutory tax rate in periods

after valuation allowances are released. In the period in which valuation allowances are released, the Company will record a material tax benefit, which could result in a negative effective tax rate.

#### ITEM 7A. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risks relating to the Company's operations result primarily from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, changes in interest rates, and changes in commodity prices, principally energy and soda ash. The Company uses certain derivative instruments to mitigate a portion of the risk associated with changing foreign currency exchange rates and fluctuating energy prices. These instruments carry varying degrees of counterparty credit risk. To mitigate this risk, the Company has established limits on the exposure with individual counterparties and the Company regularly monitors these exposures. Substantially all of these exposures are with counterparties that are rated single-A or above.

#### Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

#### Earnings of operations outside the United States

A substantial portion of the Company's operations are conducted by subsidiaries outside the U.S. The primary international markets served by the Company's subsidiaries are in Canada, Australia, China, South America (principally Colombia and Brazil), and Europe (principally Italy, France, the Netherlands, Germany, the United Kingdom, Spain and Poland). In general, revenues earned and costs incurred by the Company's major international operations are denominated in their respective local currencies. Consequently, the Company's reported financial results could be affected by factors such as changes in foreign currency exchange rates or highly inflationary economic conditions in the international markets in which the Company's subsidiaries operate. When the U.S. dollar strengthens against foreign currencies, the reported U.S. dollar value of local currency earnings generally decreases; when the U.S. dollar weakens against foreign currencies, the reported U.S. dollar value of local currency earnings generally increases. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, the Company did not have any significant foreign subsidiaries whose functional currency was the U.S. dollar.

#### Borrowings not denominated in the functional currency

Because the Company's subsidiaries operate within their local economic environment, the Company believes it is appropriate to finance those operations with borrowings denominated in the local currency to the extent practicable where debt financing is desirable or necessary. Considerations which influence the amount of such borrowings include long- and short-term business plans, tax implications, and the availability of borrowings with acceptable interest rates and terms. In those countries where the local currency is the designated functional currency, this strategy mitigates the risk of reported losses or gains in the event the foreign currency strengthens or weakens against the U.S. dollar. In those countries where the U.S. dollar is the designated functional currency, however, local currency borrowings expose the Company to reported losses or gains in the event the foreign currency borrowings expose the Company to reported losses or gains in the event the foreign against the U.S. dollar.

Available excess funds of a subsidiary may be redeployed through intercompany loans to other subsidiaries for debt repayment, capital investment, or other cash requirements. The intercompany loans give rise to foreign currency exchange rate risk, which the Company mitigates through the use of forward exchange contracts that effectively swap the intercompany loan and related interest to the appropriate local currency.

The Company believes the near term exposure to foreign currency exchange rate risk of its foreign currency risk sensitive instruments was not material at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

#### Interest Rate Risk

The Company's interest expense is most sensitive to changes in the general level of interest rates applicable to the term loans under its Secured Credit Agreement (see Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information). The Company's interest rate risk management objective is to limit the impact of interest rate changes on net income and cash flow, while minimizing interest payments and expense. To achieve this objective, the Company regularly evaluates its mix of fixed and floating-rate debt, and, from time to time, may enter into interest rate swap agreements.

The following table provides information about the Company's interest rate sensitivity related to its significant debt obligations at December 31, 2013. The table presents principal cash flows and related weighted-average interest rates by expected maturity date.

(dollars in millions)	2	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	There- after	,	Total	V	Fair alue at 31/2013
Long-term debt at											
variable rate:											
Principal by expected											
maturity	\$	17	\$ 340	\$ 277	\$ 4	\$ 5	\$ 14	\$	657	\$	657
Avg. principal											
outstanding	\$	648	\$ 470	\$ 161	\$ 20	\$ 16	\$ 7				
Avg. interest rate		2.12%	2.12%	2.12%	2.12%	2.12%	2.12%				
Long-term debt at											
fixed rate:											
Principal by expected											
maturity			\$ 644	\$ 600		\$ 250	\$ 1,145	\$	2,639	\$	2,924
Avg. principal											
outstanding	\$	2,639	\$ 2,263	\$ 1,620	\$ 1,395	\$ 1,239	\$ 1,145				
Avg. interest rate		5.75%	6.21%	6.47%	6.33%	6.14%	6.00%				

The Company believes the near term exposure to interest rate risk of its debt obligations has not changed materially since December 31, 2012.

#### **Commodity Price Risk**

The Company has exposure to commodity price risk, principally related to energy. In North America, the Company enters into commodity futures contracts related to forecasted natural gas requirements, the objectives of which are to limit the effects of fluctuations in the future market price paid for natural gas and the related volatility in cash flows. The Company continually evaluates the natural gas market and related price risk and periodically enters into commodity futures contracts in order to hedge a portion of its usage requirements. The majority of the sales volume in North America is tied to customer contracts that contain provisions that pass the price of natural gas to the customer. In certain of these contracts, the customer has the option of fixing the natural gas price component for a specified period of time. At December 31, 2013, the Company had entered into commodity futures contracts covering approximately 5,400,000 MM BTUs, primarily related to customer requests to lock the price of natural gas. In Europe, the Company enters into fixed price contracts for a significant amount of its energy requirements. These contracts typically have terms of 12 months or less.

The Company believes the near term exposure to commodity price risk of its commodity futures contracts was not material at December 31, 2013.

#### Forward Looking Statements

This document contains "forward looking" statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933. Forward looking statements reflect the Company's current expectations and projections about future events at the time,

and thus involve uncertainty and risk. The words "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "will," "could," "would," "should," "may," "plan," "estimate," "intend," "predict," "potential," "continue," and the negatives of these words and other similar expressions generally identify forward looking statements. It is possible the Company's future financial performance may differ from expectations due to a variety of factors including, but not limited to the following: (1) foreign currency fluctuations relative to the U.S. dollar, specifically the Euro, Brazilian real and Australian dollar, (2) changes in capital availability or cost, including interest rate fluctuations and the ability of the Company to refinance debt at favorable terms, (3) the general political, economic and competitive conditions in markets and countries where the Company has operations, including uncertainties related to the economic and social conditions in Australia, Europe and South America, disruptions in capital markets, disruptions in the supply chain, competitive pricing pressures, inflation or deflation, and changes in tax rates and laws, (4) consumer preferences for alternative forms of packaging, (5) cost and availability of raw materials, labor, energy and transportation, (6) the Company's ability to manage its cost structure, including its success in implementing restructuring plans and achieving cost savings, (7) consolidation among competitors and customers, (8) the ability of the Company to acquire businesses and expand plants, integrate operations of acquired businesses and achieve expected synergies, (9) unanticipated expenditures with respect to environmental, safety and health laws, (10) the Company's ability to further develop its sales, marketing and product development capabilities, and (11) the timing and occurrence of events which are beyond the control of the Company, including any expropriation of the Company's operations, floods and other natural disasters, events related to asbestos-related claims, and the other risk factors discussed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 and any subsequently filed Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. It is not possible to foresee or identify all such factors. Any forward looking statements in this document are based on certain assumptions and analyses made by the Company in light of its experience and perception of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments, and other factors it believes are appropriate in the circumstances. Forward looking statements are not a guarantee of future performance and actual results or developments may differ materially from expectations. While the Company continually reviews trends and uncertainties affecting the Company's results of operations and financial condition, the Company does not assume any obligation to update or supplement any particular forward looking statements contained in this document.

## ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2013 and 2012 For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011:	Page <u>51</u> <u>54 - 55</u>	
Consolidated Results of Operations Consolidated Comprehensive Income Consolidated Share Owners' Equity Consolidated Cash Flows Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Selected Quarterly Financial Data	52 53 56 57 58 105 50	

### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Share Owners of Owens-Illinois, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Owens-Illinois, Inc. as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of results of operations, comprehensive income, share owners' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Owens-Illinois, Inc. at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (1992 framework) and our report dated February 13, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP Toledo, Ohio February 13, 2014

## Owens-Illinois, Inc.

## CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Dollars in millions, except per share amounts

Years ended December 31,	2013	2012	2011
Net sales	\$ 6,967	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,358
Cost of goods sold	(5,636)	(5,626)	(5,969)
Gross profit	1,331	1,374	1,389
Selling and administrative expense	(506)	(555)	(556)
Research, development and engineering expense	(62)	(62)	(71)
Interest expense	(239)	(248)	(314)
Interest income	10	9	11
Equity earnings	67	64	66
Other expense, net	(266)	(254)	(921)
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes Provision for income taxes	335	328	(396)
Provision for income taxes	(120)	(108)	(85)
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations Gain (loss) from discontinued operations	215 (18)	220 (2)	(481)
Net earnings (loss)	197	218	(480)
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	(13)	(34)	(20)
Net earnings (loss) attributable to the Company	\$ 184	\$ 184	\$ (500)
Amounts attributable to the Company:			

Amounts attributable to the Company.						
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$	202	\$	186	\$	(501)
Gain (loss) from discontinued operations		(18)	18) (2)			1
Net earnings (loss)	\$	184	\$	184	\$	(500)
	Ψ	101	Ψ	101	Ψ	(500)

Basic earnings per share:			
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 1.22 \$	1.13 \$	(3.06)
Gain (loss) from discontinued operations	(0.11)	(0.01)	0.01
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 1.11 \$	1.12 \$	(3.05)

Diluted earnings per share:			
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 1.22	5 1.12	\$ (3.06)
Gain (loss) from discontinued operations	(0.11)	(0.01)	0.01
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 1.11	5 1.11	\$ (3.05)

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Owens-Illinois, Inc.

## CONSOLIDATED COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

### **Dollars in millions**

Years ended December 31,	2013		2013 2012			2011
Net earnings (loss)	\$	197	\$	218	\$	(480)
Other comprehensive income (loss):						
Foreign currency translation adjustments		(232)		(26)		(187)
Pension and other postretirement benefit adjustments, net of tax		609		(156)		(225)
Change in fair value of derivative instruments		2		5		(3)
Other comprehensive income (loss)		379		(177)		(415)
Total comprehensive income (loss)		576		41		(895)
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests		(7)		(42)		(20)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to the Company	\$	569	\$	(1)	\$	(915)
	7	2.07	4	(1)	4	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Owens-Illinois, Inc.

### CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

### **Dollars in millions**

December 31,	2013	2012
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash, including time deposits of \$61 (\$90 in 2012)	\$ 383	\$ 431
Receivables	943	968
Inventories	1,117	1,139
Prepaid expenses	107	110
Total current assets	2,550	2,648
Other assets:	015	20.4
Equity investments	315	294
Repair parts inventories Pension assets	116 68	133
Other assets		675
Goodwill	679	675
Goodwill	2,059	2,079
Total other assets	3,237	3,181
Property, plant and equipment:		
Land, at cost	254	261
Buildings and equipment, at cost:	1 107	1.001
Buildings and building equipment	1,197	1,221
Factory machinery and equipment	4,651	4,861
Transportation, office and miscellaneous equipment	123	136
Construction in progress	213	188
	6,438	6,667
Less accumulated depreciation	3,806	3,898
Net property, plant and equipment	2,632	2,769
Total assets	\$ 8,419	\$ 8,598

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Owens-Illinois, Inc.

## **CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Continued)**

## Dollars in millions, except per share amounts

December 31,	2013	2012
Liabilities and Share Owners' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Short-term loans	\$ 306	\$ 296
Accounts payable	1,144	1,032
Salaries and wages	169	172
U.S. and foreign income taxes	38	43
Current portion of asbestos-related liabilities	150	155
Other accrued liabilities	431	441
Long-term debt due within one year	16	23
Total current liabilities	2,254	2,162
Long-term debt	3,245	3,454
Deferred taxes	196	182
Pension benefits	350	846
Nonpension postretirement benefits	187	264
Other liabilities	286	329
Asbestos-related liabilities	298	306
Commitments and contingencies		
Share owners' equity:		
Share owners' equity of the Company:		
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share, 250,000,000 shares authorized, 183,500,295 and 181,865,751 shares	•	•
issued (including treasury shares), respectively	2	2
Capital in excess of par value	3,040	3,005
Treasury stock, at cost, 18,785,613 and 17,901,925 shares, respectively	(454)	(425)
Retained loss	(11)	(195)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,121)	(1,506)
Total share owners' equity of the Company	1,456	881
Noncontrolling interests	147	174
Total share owners' equity	1,603	1,055
Total liabilities and share owners' equity	\$ 8,419	\$ 8,598

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Owens-Illinois, Inc

## CONSOLIDATED SHARE OWNERS' EQUITY

### **Dollars in millions**

			Sha	re Own	ers'	Equity	of t	he Con	-	-		
									Ac	cumulated		Total
			Ca	pital in				tained		Other		Share
				cess of		•		0	Con	•	on-controlling	,
	Sto			· Value		tock		Loss)		Loss	Interests	Equity
Balance on January 1, 2011	\$	2	\$	3,040	\$	(412)	\$	121	\$	(897)	\$ 211	\$ 2,065
Issuance of common stock												
(0.5 million shares)				5								5
Reissuance of common stock												
(0.3 million shares)						7						7
Stock compensation				1								1
Net earnings (loss)								(500)	)		20	(480)
Other comprehensive loss										(415)		(415)
Acquisition of noncontrolling												
interest				(55)						(9)	(43)	(107)
Distributions to noncontrolling				. ,								
interests											(35)	(35)
											(00)	()
Balance on December 31, 2011		2		2,991		(405)		(379)	)	(1,321)	153	1,041
Issuance of common stock												
(0.8 million shares)				3								3
Reissuance of common stock												
(0.3 million shares)						7						7
Treasury shares purchased												
(1.4 million shares)						(27)						(27)
Stock compensation				11								11
Net earnings								184			34	218
Other comprehensive income (loss)										(185)	8	(177)
Distributions to noncontrolling										()	-	()
interests											(24)	(24)
Contribution from noncontrolling											(21)	(21)
interests											3	3
Interests											5	5
Balance on December 31, 2012		2		3,005		(425)		(195)	)	(1,506)	174	1,055
Issuance of common stock												
(1.4 million shares)				25								25
Reissuance of common stock												
(0.2 million shares)						4						4
Treasury shares purchased												
(1.1 million shares)						(33)						(33)
Repurchase of exchangeable notes				(1)		, í						(1)
Stock compensation				11								11
Net earnings								184			13	197
Other comprehensive income (loss)										385	(6)	379
Distributions to noncontrolling										505	(0)	517
interests											(22)	(22)
Contribution from noncontrolling											(22)	(22)
interests											5	5
Deconsolidation of subsidiary												
Deconsolidation of subsidiary											(17)	(17)

 Balance on December 31, 2013
 \$ 2 \$ 3,040 \$ (454) \$ (11) \$ (1,121) \$ 147 \$ 1,603

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Owens-Illinois, Inc.

## CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS

### **Dollars in millions**

V	2012	2013 2012	
Years ended December 31, Opporting activities:	2013	2012	2011
Operating activities:	\$ 197	¢ 010	\$ (480)
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 197 18	\$ 218 2	
(Gain) loss from discontinued operations	10	Z	(1)
Non-cash charges (credits):	350	378	405
Depreciation Amortization of intangibles and other deferred items	47	378	403
Amortization of finance fees and debt discount	32	34	32
Deferred tax benefit	(3)	(5)	(42)
Pension expense	101	92	(42)
Restructuring, asset impairment and related charges	101	168	112
Gain on China land compensation	119	(61)	112
Future asbestos-related costs	145	155	165
	145	155	641
Charge for goodwill impairment Other	52	8	50
Pension contributions	(96)	(219)	(59)
	(158)	(165)	(170)
Asbestos-related payments Cash paid for restructuring activities	(138)	(103)	(170) (39)
Change in non-current assets and liabilities	(150)	(73)	(100)
	(130)	81	
Change in components of working capital	124	61	(117)
Cash provided by continuing operating activities	700	580	505
Cash utilized in discontinued operating activities	(18)	(5)	(2)
Total cash provided by operating activities	682	575	503
Investing activities:			
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(361)	(290)	(285)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(4)	(5)	(144)
Net cash proceeds related to sale of assets and other	11	95	3
Net payments to fund minority partner loan	(16)	(21)	
Deconsolidation of subsidiary	(32)	, í	
2			
Cash utilized in investing activities	(402)	(221)	(126)
Cash utilized in investing activities	(402)	(221)	(426)
Financing activities:	769	110	1 465
Additions to long-term debt	768	119	1,465
Repayments of long-term debt	(1,040)	(402)	(1,797)
Increase (decrease) in short-term loans	8	(38)	80
Net receipts (payments) for hedging activity	(19)	27	(22)
Payment of finance fees	(7)	(1)	(19)

Net receipts (payments) for nedging activity	(1)	21	(22)
Payment of finance fees	(7)	(1)	(19)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(22)	(24)	(35)
Treasury shares purchased	(33)	(27)	
Contribution from noncontrolling interests	5	3	
Issuance of common stock and other	19	4	5

Cash utilized in financing activities	(321)	(339)	(323)
Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash	(7)	16	6

Increase (decrease) in cash	(48)	31	(240)
Cash at beginning of year	431	400	640
Cash at end of year	\$ 383	\$ 431	\$ 400

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

#### 1. Significant Accounting Policies

**Basis of Consolidated Statements** The consolidated financial statements of Owens-Illinois, Inc. (the "Company") include the accounts of its subsidiaries. Newly acquired subsidiaries have been included in the consolidated financial statements from dates of acquisition.

The Company uses the equity method of accounting for investments in which it has a significant ownership interest, generally 20% to 50%. Other investments are accounted for at cost. The Company monitors other than temporary declines in fair value and records reductions in carrying values when appropriate.

**Nature of Operations** The Company is a leading manufacturer of glass container products. The Company's principal product lines are glass containers for the food and beverage industries. The Company has glass container operations located in 21 countries. The principal markets and operations for the Company's products are in Europe, North America, South America and Asia Pacific.

**Use of Estimates** The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management of the Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results may differ from those estimates, at which time the Company would revise its estimates accordingly.

**Foreign Currency Translation** The assets and liabilities of non-U.S. subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars at year-end exchange rates. Any related translation adjustments are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income in share owners' equity.

**Revenue Recognition** The Company recognizes sales, net of estimated discounts and allowances, when the title to the products and risk of loss are transferred to customers. Provisions for rebates to customers are provided in the same period that the related sales are recorded.

**Shipping and Handling Costs** Shipping and handling costs are included with cost of goods sold in the Consolidated Results of Operations.

**Stock-Based Compensation** The Company has various stock-based compensation plans consisting of stock option grants and restricted share awards. Costs resulting from all share-based compensation plans are required to be recognized in the financial statements. A public entity is required to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. That cost is recognized over the required service period (usually the vesting period). No compensation cost is recognized for equity instruments for which employees do not render the required service.

**Cash** The Company defines "cash" as cash and time deposits with maturities of three months or less when purchased. Outstanding checks in excess of funds on deposit are included in accounts payable.

Accounts Receivable Receivables are stated at amounts estimated by management to be the net realizable value. The Company charges off accounts receivable when it becomes apparent based upon age or customer circumstances that amounts will not be collected.

#### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

#### 1. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

**Allowance for Doubtful Accounts** The allowance for doubtful accounts is established through charges to the provision for bad debts. The Company evaluates the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts on a periodic basis. The evaluation includes historical trends in collections and write-offs, management's judgment of the probability of collecting accounts and management's evaluation of business risk.

Inventory Valuation Inventories are valued at the lower of average costs or market.

**Goodwill** Goodwill represents the excess of cost over fair value of net assets of businesses acquired. Goodwill is evaluated annually, as of October 1, for impairment or more frequently if an impairment indicator exists.

**Intangible Assets and Other Long-Lived Assets** Intangible assets are amortized over the expected useful life of the asset. Amortization expense directly attributed to the manufacturing of the Company's products is included in cost of goods sold. Amortization expense related to non-manufacturing activities is included in selling and administrative and other. The Company evaluates the recoverability of intangible assets and other long-lived assets based on undiscounted projected cash flows, excluding interest and taxes, when factors indicate that impairment may exist. If impairment exists, the asset is written down to fair value.

**Property, Plant and Equipment** Property, plant and equipment ("PP&E") is carried at cost and includes expenditures for new facilities and equipment and those costs which substantially increase the useful lives or capacity of existing PP&E. In general, depreciation is computed using the straight-line method and recorded over the estimated useful life of the asset. Factory machinery and equipment is depreciated over periods ranging from 5 to 25 years with the majority of such assets (principally glass-melting furnaces and forming machines) depreciated over 7 to 15 years. Buildings and building equipment are depreciated over periods ranging from 10 to 50 years. Depreciation expense directly attributed to the manufacturing of the Company's products is included in cost of goods sold. Depreciation expense related to non-manufacturing activities is included in selling and administrative. Depreciation expense includes the amortization of assets recorded under capital leases. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. Costs assigned to PP&E of acquired businesses are based on estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. The Company evaluates the recoverability of PP&E based on undiscounted projected cash flows, excluding interest and taxes, when factors indicate that impairment may exist. If impairment exists, the asset is written down to fair value.

**Derivative Instruments** The Company uses forward exchange contracts, options and commodity futures contracts to manage risks generally associated with foreign exchange rate and commodity market volatility. Derivative financial instruments are included on the balance sheet at fair value. When appropriate, derivative instruments are designated as and are effective as hedges, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. If the underlying hedged transaction ceases to exist, all changes in fair value of the related derivatives that have not been settled are recognized in current earnings. The Company does not enter into derivative financial instruments for trading purposes and is not a party to leveraged derivatives. Cash flows from short-term forward exchange contracts not designated as hedges are classified as a financing activity. Cash flows of commodity futures contracts are classified as operating activities.



### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

#### 1. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

**Fair Value Measurements** Fair value is defined as the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. Generally accepted accounting principles defines a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows:

Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets;

Level 2: Inputs, other than quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or indirectly; and

Level 3: Unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data, which requires the Company to develop assumptions.

The carrying amounts reported for cash and short-term loans approximate fair value. In addition, carrying amounts approximate fair value for certain long-term debt obligations subject to frequently redetermined interest rates. Fair values for the Company's significant fixed rate debt obligations are generally based on published market quotations.

The Company's derivative assets and liabilities consist of natural gas forwards and foreign exchange option and forward contracts. The Company uses an income approach to valuing these contracts. Natural gas forward rates and foreign exchange rates are the significant inputs into the valuation models. These inputs are observable in active markets over the terms of the instruments the Company holds, and accordingly, the Company classifies its derivative assets and liabilities as Level 2 in the hierarchy. The Company also evaluates counterparty risk in determining fair values.

Reclassifications Certain reclassifications of prior years' data have been made to conform to the current year presentation.

**New Accounting Standards** In July 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued guidance related to the presentation of unrecognized tax benefits when net operating loss carryforwards or tax credit carryforwards exist. This new guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods, beginning after December 31, 2013. The Company elected to adopt this standard effective December 31, 2013. The adoption of this standard impacted how the Company presents certain of its unrecognized tax benefits on its balance sheet, with no impact to the results of operations or cash flows.

## 2. Segment Information

The Company has four reportable segments based on its geographic locations: Europe, North America, South America and Asia Pacific. These four segments are aligned with the Company's internal approach to managing, reporting, and evaluating performance of its global glass operations. Certain assets and activities not directly related to one of the regions or to glass manufacturing are reported with Retained corporate costs and other. These include licensing, equipment manufacturing, global engineering, and non-glass equity investments. Retained corporate costs and other also includes certain headquarters administrative and facilities costs and certain incentive compensation and other benefit plan costs that are global in nature and are not allocable to the reportable segments.

60

#### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

#### 2. Segment Information (Continued)

The Company's measure of profit for its reportable segments is segment operating profit, which consists of consolidated earnings from continuing operations before interest income, interest expense, and provision for income taxes and excludes amounts related to certain items that management considers not representative of ongoing operations as well as certain retained corporate costs. The Company's management uses segment operating profit, in combination with selected cash flow information, to evaluate performance and to allocate resources. Segment operating profit for reportable segments includes an allocation of some corporate expenses based on both a percentage of sales and direct billings based on the costs of specific services provided.

Financial information regarding the Company's reportable segments is as follows:

		2013		2012		2011
Net sales:						
Europe	\$	2,787	\$	2,717	\$	3,052
North America		2,002		1,966		1,929
South America		1,186		1,252		1,226
Asia Pacific		966		1,028		1,059
Reportable segment totals		6,941		6,963		7,266
Other		26		37		92
	¢	( )(7	¢	7 000	¢	7 0 5 0
Net sales	\$	6,967	\$	7,000	\$	7,358

	2	2013		2012		011
Segment operating profit:						
Europe	\$	305	\$	307	\$	345
North America		307		288		222
South America		204		227		250
Asia Pacific		131		113		83

Reportable segment totals	947	935	900
Items excluded from segment operating profit:			
Retained corporate costs and other	(119)	(106)	(75)
Restructuring, asset impairment and related charges	(119)	(168)	(112)
Charge for asbestos related costs	(145)	(155)	(165)
Charge for goodwill impairment			(641)
Gain on China land compensation		61	
Interest income	10	9	11
Interest expense	(239)	(248)	(314)

Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	\$	335	\$	328	\$	(396)	
--	----	-----	----	-----	----	-------	--

## Owens-Illinois, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

### 2. Segment Information (Continued)

	Europe			North South Asia Seg				Reportable Segment		etained Corp Costs and Other	 nsolidated Totals	
Total assets:												
2013	\$	3,509	\$	1,995	\$	1,467	\$ 1,150	\$	8,121	\$	298	\$ 8,419
2012		3,362		1,994		1,655	1,349		8,360		238	8,598
2011		3,588		2,020		1,682	1,379		8,669		306	8,975
Equity investments:												
2013	\$	84	\$	25	\$		\$ 155	\$	264	\$	51	\$ 315
2012		63		25			165		253		41	294
2011		59		27			181		267		48	315
Equity earnings:												
2013	\$	17	\$	16	\$		\$ 10	\$	43	\$	24	\$ 67
2012		15		16			5		36		28	64
2011		21		9			3		33		33	66
Capital expenditures:												
2013	\$	130	\$	100	\$	80	\$ 36	\$	346	\$	15	\$ 361
2012		87		68		75	49		279		11	290
2011		127		60		50	37		274		11	285
Depreciation and												
amortization expense:												
2013	\$	139	\$	110	\$	72	\$ 62	\$	383	\$	14	\$ 397
2012		150		107		70	70		397		15	412
2011		164		96		73	80		413		9	422

The Company's net property, plant and equipment by geographic segment are as follows:

	τ	J <b>.S.</b>	No	on-U.S.	5	Fotal
2013	\$	686	\$	1,946	\$	2,632
2012		663		2,106		2,769
2011		667		2,210		2,877

The Company's net sales by geographic segment are as follows:

	U.S.	No	on-U.S.	Fotal
2013	\$ 1,809	\$	5,158	\$ 6,967
2012	1,780		5,220	7,000
2011	1,776		5,582	7,358

Operations outside the U.S. that accounted for more than 10% of consolidated net sales from continuing operations were in France (2013 11%, 2012 11%, 2011 13%).

## **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

## 3. Receivables

Receivables consist of the following at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	2	013	2	012
Trade accounts receivable	\$	757	\$	827
Less: allowances for doubtful accounts and discounts		39		41
Net trade receivables		718		786
Other receivables		225		182
	\$	943	\$	968

The Company uses various factoring programs to sell certain receivables to financial institutions as part of managing its cash flows. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the amount of receivables sold by the Company was \$192 million and \$141 million, respectively. The Company has no continuing involvement with the sold receivables.

## 4. Inventories

Major classes of inventory are as follows:

	2	013	2	012
Finished goods	\$	958	\$	957
Raw materials		113		137
Operating supplies		46		45

\$ 1,117 \$ 1,139

## 5. Equity Investments

Summarized information pertaining to the Company's equity associates follows:

	20	13	20	12	20	)11
For the year:						
Equity in earnings:						
Non-U.S.	\$	27	\$	20	\$	24
U.S.		40		44		42

### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

#### 5. Equity Investments (Continued)

Summarized combined financial information for equity associates is as follows (unaudited):

	2	013	2	2012	
At end of year:					
Current assets	\$	419	\$	327	
Non-current assets		528		496	
Total assets		947		823	
Current liabilities		224		195	
Other liabilities and deferred items		193		158	
Total liabilities and deferred items		417		353	
Net assets	\$	530	\$	470	

	2	2013		2012		011	
For the year:							
Net sales	\$	699	\$	658	\$	689	

Gross profit	\$	185	\$	191	\$	215	
--------------	----	-----	----	-----	----	-----	--

Net earnings \$ 149	) \$	143	\$	174
---------------------	------	-----	----	-----

The Company's significant equity method investments include: (1) 50% of the common shares of Vetri Speciali SpA, a specialty glass manufacturer; (2) a 25% partnership interest in Tata Chemical (Soda Ash) Partners, a soda ash supplier; (3) a 50% partnership interest in Rocky Mountain Bottle Company, a glass container manufacturer; (4) a 50% partnership interest in BJC O-I Glass Pte. Ltd., a glass container manufacturer; and (5) 50% of the common shares of Vetrerie Meridionali SpA ("VeMe"), a glass container manufacturer. During the fourth quarter of 2013, changes were made to the VeMe joint venture agreement that resulted in the Company relinquishing control of the joint venture and, therefore, deconsolidating the entity. No gain or loss was recognized related to the deconsolidation as the fair value of the entity was equal

to the carrying amount of the entity's assets and liabilities. The fair value, which the Company classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy, was computed using a discounted cash flow analysis based on projected future cash flows of the joint venture.

There is a difference of approximately \$13 million as of December 31, 2013 between the amount at which certain investments are carried and the amount of underlying equity in net assets. The portion of the difference related to inventory or amortizable assets is amortized as a reduction of the equity earnings. The remaining difference is considered goodwill.

#### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

#### 6. Goodwill

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	E	urope	orth erica	South America		Asia acific	Oth	er	•	Fotal
Balance as of January 1, 2011	\$	1,009	\$ 743	\$	387	\$ 677	\$	5	\$	2,821
Acquisitions		8								8
Impairment charge						(641)				(641)
Translation effects		(34)	(3)		(33)	(36)				(106)
Balance as of December 31, 2011		983	740		354			5		2,082
Translation effects		23	3		(29)					(3)
Balance as of December 31, 2012		1,006	743		325			5		2,079
Translation effects		38	(9)		(49)					(20)
Balance as of December 31, 2013	\$	1,044	\$ 734	\$	276	\$	\$	5	\$	2,059

Goodwill for the Asia Pacific segment is net of accumulated impairment losses of \$1,135 million as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Goodwill is tested for impairment annually as of October 1 (or more frequently if impairment indicators arise) using a two-step process. Step 1 compares the business enterprise value ("BEV") of each reporting unit with its carrying value. The BEV is computed based on estimated future cash flows, discounted at the weighted average cost of capital of a hypothetical third-party buyer. If the BEV is less than the carrying value for any reporting unit, then Step 2 must be performed. Step 2 compares the implied fair value of goodwill with the carrying amount of goodwill. Any excess of the carrying value of the goodwill over the implied fair value will be recorded as an impairment loss. The calculations of the BEV in Step 1 and the implied fair value of goodwill in Step 2 are based on significant unobservable inputs, such as price trends, customer demand, material costs, discount rates and asset replacement costs, and are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

During the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company completed its annual impairment testing and determined that no impairment existed. During the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company completed its annual impairment testing and determined that impairment existed in the goodwill of its Asia Pacific segment. Lower projected cash flows, principally in the segment's Australian operations, caused the decline in the business enterprise value. The strong Australian dollar in 2011 resulted in many wine producers in the country exporting their wine in bulk shipments and bottling the wine closer to their end markets. This decreased the demand for wine bottles in Australia, which was a significant portion of the Company's sales in that country, and the Company expects this decreased demand to continue into the foreseeable future. Following a review of the valuation of the segment's identifiable assets, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$641 million to reduce the reported value of its goodwill.

#### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

#### 7. Other Assets

Other assets consist of the following at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	2	013	2	012
Deferred tax assets	\$	237	\$	284
Capitalized software		114		115
Deferred returnable packaging costs		124		96
Non-income tax receivable		70		20
Deferred finance fees		33		40
Intangibles		23		29
Other		78		91

\$	679	\$	675
Ψ	017	Ψ	015

Capitalized software includes costs related to the acquisition and development of internal-use software. These costs are amortized over the estimated useful life of the software. Amortization expense for capitalized software was \$14 million, \$15 million and \$11 million for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Estimated amortization related to capitalized software through 2018 is as follows: 2014, \$17 million; 2015, \$16 million; 2016, \$13 million; 2017, \$13 million; and 2018, \$12 million.

#### 8. Derivative Instruments

The Company has certain derivative assets and liabilities which consist of natural gas forwards and foreign exchange option and forward contracts. The Company uses an income approach to value these contracts. Natural gas forward rates and foreign exchange rates are the significant inputs into the valuation models. These inputs are observable in active markets over the terms of the instruments the Company holds, and accordingly, the Company classifies its derivative assets and liabilities as Level 2 in the hierarchy. The Company also evaluates counterparty risk in determining fair values.

#### Commodity Futures Contracts Designated as Cash Flow Hedges

In North America, the Company enters into commodity futures contracts related to forecasted natural gas requirements, the objectives of which are to limit the effects of fluctuations in the future market price paid for natural gas and the related volatility in cash flows. The Company continually evaluates the natural gas market and related price risk and periodically enters into commodity futures contracts in order to hedge a portion of its usage requirements. The majority of the sales volume in North America is tied to customer contracts that contain provisions that pass the price of natural gas to the customer. In certain of these contracts, the customer has the option of fixing the natural gas price component for a specified period of time. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had entered into commodity futures contracts covering approximately 5,400,000 MM BTUs and 7,000,000 MM BTUs, respectively, primarily related to customer requests to lock the price of natural gas.

The Company accounts for the above futures contracts as cash flow hedges at December 31, 2013 and recognizes them on the balance sheet at fair value. The effective portion of changes in the fair value of a derivative that is designated as, and meets the required criteria for, a cash flow hedge is recorded in the Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income component of share owners' equity ("OCI") and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the underlying

#### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

#### 8. Derivative Instruments (Continued)

hedged item affects earnings. An unrecognized gain of \$1 million at December 31, 2013 and an unrecognized loss of \$1 million at December 31, 2012 related to the commodity futures contracts were included in Accumulated OCI, and will be reclassified into earnings over the next twelve to twenty-four months. Any material portion of the change in the fair value of a derivative designated as a cash flow hedge that is deemed to be ineffective is recognized in current earnings. The ineffectiveness related to these natural gas hedges for the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was not material.

The effect of the commodity futures contracts on the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

				Amount of Loss						
	Amount of Gain (Loss	,								
1	Recognized in OCI or	nized in OCI on Accumulated OCI into Income								
Com	modity Futures Cont	racts	(reported in cost of goods sold)							
	(Effective Portion)			(Effective Portion)						
2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011					
\$ 1	\$ (3)	\$ (10)	\$ (1)	\$ (8)	\$ (7)					
Cauton Mate	a Designated as Not	In a stur out Hadaa								

Senior Notes Designated as Net Investment Hedge

During December 2004, a U.S. subsidiary of the Company issued senior notes totaling €225 million. These notes were designated by the Company's subsidiary as a hedge of a portion of its net investment in a non-U.S. subsidiary with a Euro functional currency. Because the amount of the senior notes matched the hedged portion of the net investment, there was no hedge ineffectiveness. Accordingly, the Company recorded the impact of changes in the foreign currency exchange rate on the Euro-denominated notes in OCI. The amount of loss recognized in OCI related to this net investment hedge for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$25 million. During the second quarter of 2011, the senior notes designated as the net investment hedge were redeemed by a subsidiary of the Company. The amount recorded in OCI related to this net investment hedge will be reclassified into earnings when the Company sells or liquidates its net investment in the non-U.S. subsidiary.

#### Forward Exchange Contracts not Designated as Hedging Instruments

The Company may enter into short-term forward exchange or option agreements to purchase foreign currencies at set rates in the future. These agreements are used to limit exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates for significant planned purchases of fixed assets or commodities that are denominated in currencies other than the subsidiaries' functional currency. The Company may also use forward exchange agreements to offset the foreign currency risk for receivables and payables, including intercompany receivables and payables, not denominated in, or indexed to, their functional currencies. The Company records these short-term forward exchange agreements on the balance sheet at fair value and changes in the fair value are recognized in current earnings.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had outstanding forward exchange and option agreements denominated in various currencies covering the equivalent of approximately \$550 million and \$750 million, respectively, related primarily to intercompany transactions and loans.



### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

#### 8. Derivative Instruments (Continued)

The effect of the forward exchange contracts on the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on							
Location of Gain (Loss)	Forward Exchange							
Recognized in Income on	Contracts							
Forward Exchange Contracts	2	013	2012		2	011		
Other expense	\$	(28)	\$	6	\$	(11)		
Balance Sheet Classification								

The Company records the fair values of derivative financial instruments on the balance sheet as follows: (a) receivables if the instrument has a positive fair value and maturity within one year, (b) deposits, receivables, and other assets if the instrument has a positive fair value and maturity after one year, and (c) other accrued liabilities or other liabilities (current) if the instrument has a negative fair value and maturity within one year. The following table shows the amount and classification (as noted above) of the Company's derivatives as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Fair Value Balance				
	Sheet Location	201	12	20	12
Asset Derivatives:	Location	201	15	20	12
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:					
Commodity futures contracts	а	\$	1	\$	
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:					
Foreign exchange contracts	а		3		4
Total asset derivatives		\$	4	\$	4
Liability Derivatives:					
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:					
Commodity futures contracts	С	\$		\$	1
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:			-		0
Foreign exchange contracts	С		7		9
Total liability derivatives		\$	7	\$	10

#### 9. Restructuring Accruals, Asset Impairments and Other Costs Related to Closed Facilities

The Company continually reviews its manufacturing footprint and operating cost structure and may decide to close operations or reduce headcount to gain efficiencies, integrate acquired operations and reduce future expenses. The Company incurs costs associated with these actions

including employee severance and benefits, other exit costs such as those related to contract terminations, and asset impairment charges. The Company also may incur other costs related to closed facilities including environmental remediation, clean up, dismantling and preparation for sale or other disposition.

#### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

#### 9. Restructuring Accruals, Asset Impairments and Other Costs Related to Closed Facilities (Continued)

The Company accounts for restructuring and other costs under applicable provisions of generally accepted accounting principles. Charges for employee severance and related benefits are generally accrued based on contractual arrangements with employees or their representatives. Other exit costs are accrued based on the estimated cost to settle related contractual arrangements. Estimated environmental remediation costs are accrued when specific claims have been received or are probable of being received.

The Company's decisions to curtail selected production capacity have resulted in write downs of certain long-lived assets to the extent their carrying amounts exceeded fair value or fair value less cost to sell. The Company classified the significant assumptions used to determine the fair value of the impaired assets as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy as set forth in the general accounting principles for fair value measurements.

When a decision is made to take these actions, the Company manages and accounts for them programmatically apart from the on-going operations of the business. Information related to major programs (as in the case of the European Asset Optimization and Asia Pacific Restructuring programs below) are presented separately. Minor initiatives are presented on a combined basis as Other Restructuring Actions. When charges related to major programs are completed, remaining accrual balances are classified with Other Restructuring Actions.

#### European Asset Optimization

In 2011, the Company implemented the European Asset Optimization program to increase the efficiency and capability of its European operations and to better align its European manufacturing footprint with market and customer needs. This program involves making additional investments in certain facilities and addressing assets with higher cost structures. As part of this program, the Company recorded charges of \$16 million in 2013, \$86 million in 2012 and \$24 million in 2011 for employee costs, asset impairments and environmental remediation related to decisions to close furnaces and manufacturing facilities in Europe. The Company expects to execute further actions under this program in phases over the next several years.

#### Asia Pacific Restructuring

In 2011, the Company implemented a restructuring plan in its Asia Pacific segment, primarily related to aligning its supply base with lower demand in the region. As part of this plan, the Company recorded charges of \$49 million, \$47 million and \$46 million for the years ended 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, for employee costs and asset impairments related to furnace closures and additional restructuring activities.

#### Other Restructuring Actions

The Company took certain other restructuring actions and recorded charges in 2013 of \$16 million for employee costs related to the closure of flat glass operations in South America, \$13 million for employee costs related to global headcount reduction initiatives and \$3 million for miscellaneous other costs. In 2012, the Company recorded charges of \$13 million for employee costs and asset impairments

#### 69

### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

#### 9. Restructuring Accruals, Asset Impairments and Other Costs Related to Closed Facilities (Continued)

related to a decision to close a machine manufacturing facility in the U.S., \$7 million for employee costs and asset impairments related to a decision to close a mold shop in South America and \$15 million for miscellaneous other costs. In 2011, the Company recorded charges of \$13 million related to headcount reductions, primarily in Europe and South America, and \$12 million for an asset impairment related to a previously closed facility in Europe.

The beginning accrual balance for other restructuring actions as of January 1, 2012 primarily relates to the Company's strategic review of its global manufacturing footprint completed in 2010.

The following table presents information related to restructuring, asset impairment and other costs related to closed facilities:

	Europ Ass Optimiz	et	Asia Pacific Restructuring		Other Restructuring Actions	Total Restructuring
Balance at January 1, 2012	\$	12	\$ 1	7 \$	74	\$ 103
2012 charges		86	4	7	35	168
Write-down of assets to net realizable value		(30)	(2	2)	(16)	(68)
Net cash paid, principally severance and related benefits		(16)	(2	5)	(25)	(66)
Pension charges transferred to other accounts			(1	1)	(4)	(15)
Other, including foreign exchange translation		1				1
Balance at December 31, 2012		53		6	64	123
2013 charges		16	4	.9	32	97
Write-down of assets to net realizable value		(3)	(1	1)	(2)	(16)
Net cash paid, principally severance and related benefits		(37)	(1	6)	(25)	(78)
Pension charges transferred to other accounts			(	(6)		(6)
Other, including foreign exchange translation		1	(	(2)	(5)	(6)
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$	30	\$ 2	0 \$	64	\$ 114

The restructuring accrual balance represents the Company's estimates of the remaining future cash amounts to be paid related to the actions noted above. As of December 31, 2013, the Company's estimates include approximately \$71 million for severance and related benefits costs, \$27 million for environmental remediation costs, and \$16 million for other exit costs. The 2012 charges include approximately \$14 million related to environmental remediation costs at a closed facility in Europe.

70

#### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

### 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits

#### Pension Benefit Plans

The Company has defined benefit pension plans covering a substantial number of employees located in the United States, the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, Canada and Australia, as well as many employees in Germany, France and Switzerland. Benefits generally are based on compensation for salaried employees and on length of service for hourly employees. The Company's policy is to fund pension plans such that sufficient assets will be available to meet future benefit requirements. The Company's defined benefit pension plans use a December 31 measurement date. The following tables relate to the Company's principal defined benefit pension plans.

The changes in the pension benefit obligations for the year are as follows:

	U.S.				Non-	-U.S.	
		2013		2012	2013		2012
Obligations at beginning of year	\$	2,647	\$	2,547	\$ 1,911	\$	1,553
Change in benefit obligations:							
Service cost		27		27	33		26
Interest cost		106		114	72		77
Actuarial (gain) loss, including the effect of change in discount rates		(234)		170	(1)		293
Curtailment and plan amendment					(52)		
Special termination		8					
Participant contributions					7		7
Benefit payments		(279)		(220)	(101)		(101)
Other				9	(4)		
Foreign currency translation					1		56
Net change in benefit obligations		(372)		100	(45)		358
Obligations at end of year	\$	2,275	\$	2,647	\$ 1,866	\$	1,911

The changes in the fair value of the pension plans' assets for the year are as follows:

	U.S.							
		2013		2012		2013		2012
Fair value at beginning of year	\$	2,175	\$	2,011	\$	1,527	\$	1,325
Change in fair value:								
Actual gain on plan assets		365		275		61		118
Benefit payments		(279)		(220)		(101)		(101)
Employer contributions		12		109		92		110
Participant contributions						7		7
Foreign currency translation						(5)		43
Other						(3)		25
Net change in fair value of assets		98		164		51		202

 Fair value at end of year
 \$ 2,273
 \$ 2,175
 \$ 1,578
 \$ 1,527

71

## Owens-Illinois, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

## 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The employer contributions in the U.S. for 2013 include \$8 million related to special termination benefits for a discontinued operation.

The funded status of the pension plans at year end is as follows:

		U.	S.		Non-	U.S.	
		2013		2012	2013		2012
Plan assets at fair value	\$	2,273	\$	2,175	\$ 1,578	\$	1,527
Projected benefit obligations		2,275		2,647	1,866		1,911
Plan assets less than projected benefit obligations		(2)		(472)	(288)		(384)
Items not yet recognized in pension expense:							
Actuarial loss		935		1,461	488		534
Prior service cost (credit)		2		2	(25)		(9)
		937		1,463	463		525
Net an and a second a	¢	025	¢	001	\$ 175	\$	141
Net amount recognized	\$	935	\$	991	\$ 175	\$	141

The net amount recognized is included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2013 and 2012 as follows:

	U.S.					Non-	U.S.		
	2013 2012		2	2013	2	012			
Pension assets	\$	46	\$		\$	22	\$		
Current pension liability, included with Other accrued liabilities		(2)		(3)		(6)		(7)	
Pension benefits		(46)		(469)		(304)		(377)	
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		937		1,463		463		525	
Net amount recognized	\$	935	\$	991	\$	175	\$	141	

#### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

### 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The following changes in plan assets and benefit obligations were recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31, 2013 and 2012 as follows (amounts are pretax):

		U.S				Non-	U.S	S.	
	2013			012	2013			012	
Current year actuarial (gain) loss	\$	(416)	\$	79	\$	28	\$	239	
Amortization of actuarial loss		(110)		(96)		(28)		(22)	
Amortization of prior service credit						1			
Curtailment and plan amendment						(52)			
Settlement						(6)		(11)	
		(526)		(17)		(57)		206	
Translation						(5)		17	
	\$	(526)	\$	(17)	\$	(62)	\$	223	

The accumulated benefit obligation for all defined benefit pension plans was \$4,015 million and \$4,298 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The components of the net pension expense for the year are as follows:

				U.S.					Noi	n-U.S.		
	2	2013	2	2012	2	2011	2	013	2	012	20	011
Service cost	\$	27	\$	27	\$	25	\$	33	\$	26	\$	24
Interest cost		106		114		125		72		77		83
Expected asset return		(183)		(183)		(186)		(91)		(87)		(86)
Amortization:												
Actuarial loss		110		96		83		28		22		24
Prior service credit								(1)				(1)
Net amortization		110		96		83		27		22		23
Net expense	\$	60	\$	54	\$	47	\$	41	\$	38	\$	44

The U.S. pension expense excludes \$8 million of special termination benefits that were recorded in discontinued operations in 2013. The U.S. pension expense excludes \$4 million of special termination benefits that were recorded in restructuring expense in 2012. The non-U.S. pension expense excludes \$6 million and \$11 million of pension settlement costs that were recorded in restructuring expense in 2013 and 2012,

respectively.

### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

#### 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

Amounts that are expected to be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net pension expense during 2014:

	U	.S.	Non-	U.S.
Amortization:				
Actuarial loss	\$	74	\$	22
Prior service cost				(3)
Net amortization	\$	74	\$	19

The following information is for plans with projected and accumulated benefit obligations in excess of the fair value of plan assets at year end:

		Exceeds the	nefit Obliga Fair Value Assets			Accumulated Benefit Obligation Exceeds the Fair Value of Plan Assets U.S. Non-U.S. 2013 2012 2013 2012						
	τ	J <b>.S.</b>	Non-	-U.S.	ι	J <b>.S.</b>	Non	-U.S.				
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012				
Projected benefit												
obligations	\$ 674	\$ 2,647	\$ 1,588	\$ 1,911	\$ 674	\$ 2,647	\$ 1,588	\$ 1,172				
Accumulated benefit												
obligation	646	2,569	1,537	1,729	646	2,569	1,537	1,090				
Fair value of plan assets	626	2,175	1,278	1,527	626	2,175	1,278	858				

The weighted average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations are as follows:

	U.S	•	Non-U	J <b>.S.</b>
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Discount rate	4.81%	4.11%	4.14%	3.89%
Rate of compensation increase	2.97%	2.97%	3.31%	3.08%

The weighted average assumptions used to determine net periodic pension costs are as follows:

		U.S.		Ν	lon-U.S.	
	2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011
Discount rate	4.11%	4.59%	5.24%	3.89%	4.75%	5.28%
Rate of compensation increase	2.97%	3.14%	4.50%	3.08%	3.23%	3.49%
Expected long-term rate of return on assets	8.00%	8.00%	8.00%	6.34%	6.24%	6.44%

Future benefits are assumed to increase in a manner consistent with past experience of the plans, which, to the extent benefits are based on compensation, includes assumed salary increases as presented above. Amortization included in net pension expense is based on the average remaining service of employees.

For 2013, the Company's weighted average expected long-term rate of return on assets was 8.00% for the U.S. plans and 6.34% for the non-U.S. plans. In developing this assumption, the Company evaluated input from its third party pension plan asset managers, including their review of asset class return expectations and long-term inflation assumptions. The Company also considered its historical

#### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

#### 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

10-year average return (through December 31, 2012), which was in line with the expected long-term rate of return assumption for 2013.

It is the Company's policy to invest pension plan assets in a diversified portfolio consisting of an array of asset classes within established target asset allocation ranges. The investment risk of the assets is limited by appropriate diversification both within and between asset classes. The assets for the U.S. plans are maintained in a group trust. The U.S. plans hold no individual assets other than the investment in the group trust. The assets of the group trust and the Company's non-U.S. plans are primarily invested in a broad mix of domestic and international equities, domestic and international bonds, and real estate, subject to the target asset allocation ranges. The assets are managed with a view to ensuring that sufficient liquidity will be available to meet expected cash flow requirements.

The investment valuation policy of the Company is to value investments at fair value. All investments are valued at their respective net asset values. Equity securities for which market quotations are readily available are valued at the last reported sales price on their principal exchange on valuation date or official close for certain markets. Fixed income investments are valued by an independent pricing service. Investments in registered investment companies or collective pooled funds are valued at their respective net asset values. Short-term investments are stated at amortized cost, which approximates fair value. The fair value of real estate is determined by periodic appraisals.

The Company's U.S. pension plan assets held in the group trust are classified as Level 2 assets in the fair value hierarchy. The total U.S. plan assets amounted to \$2,273 million and \$2,175 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and consisted of approximately 72% equity securities and 28% debt securities. The following table sets forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy, the Company's non-U.S. pension plan assets at fair value as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

			20	13				20	12		Target
	Le	vel 1	Le	evel 2	Level 3	L	evel 1	Le	vel 2	Level 3	Allocation
Cash and cash											
equivalents	\$	39	\$	6	\$	\$	36	\$	20	\$	
Equity securities		387		210			367		173		45 - 55%
Debt securities		752		116	2		714		113	3	40 - 50%
Real estate					6					15	0 - 10%
Other		13		47			18		68		0 - 10%

 Total assets at fair value
 \$ 1,191
 \$ 379
 \$ 8
 \$ 1,135
 \$ 374
 \$ 18

The following is a reconciliation of the Company's pension plan assets recorded at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3):

	20	013	20	)12
Beginning balance	\$	18	\$	16
Net increase (decrease)		(10)		2
Ending balance	\$	8	\$	18

#### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

## 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The net increase (decrease) in the fair value of the Company's Level 3 pension plan assets is primarily due to purchases and sales of unlisted real estate funds. The change in the fair value of Level 3 pension plan assets due to actual return on those assets was immaterial in 2013.

In order to maintain minimum funding requirements, the Company is required to make contributions to its defined benefit pension plans of approximately \$30 million in 2014.

The following estimated future benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid in the years indicated:

Year(s)	τ	J <b>.S.</b>	Non	-U.S.
2014	\$	181	\$	82
2015		172		85
2016		174		86
2017		167		87
2018		164		90
2019 - 2023		794		487

The Company also sponsors several defined contribution plans for all salaried and hourly U.S. employees. Participation is voluntary and participants' contributions are based on their compensation. The Company matches contributions of participants, up to various limits, in substantially all plans. Company contributions to these plans amounted to \$8 million in 2013, \$7 million in 2012, and \$8 million in 2011.

#### Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions

The Company provides certain retiree health care and life insurance benefits covering substantially all U.S. salaried and certain hourly employees, and substantially all employees in Canada. Employees are generally eligible for benefits upon retirement and completion of a specified number of years of creditable service. The Company uses a December 31 measurement date to measure its postretirement benefit obligations.

## Owens-Illinois, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

## 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The changes in the postretirement benefit obligations for the year are as follows:

	U.S.					Non-U.S.		
	20	)13	2	012	20	013	2	012
Obligations at beginning of year	\$	181	\$	194	\$	102	\$	95
Change in benefit obligations:								
Service cost		1		1		1		1
Interest cost		5		8		4		4
Actuarial (gain) loss, including the effect of changing discount rates		1		(6)		(7)		3
Benefit payments		(15)		(16)		(4)		(3)
Curtailment		(62)						
Foreign currency translation						(6)		2
Net change in benefit obligations		(70)		(13)		(12)		7
c c		, í		, í		, í		
Obligations at end of year	\$	111	\$	181	\$	90	\$	102
Obligations at the of year	φ	111	φ	101	φ	90	ψ	102

The funded status of the postretirement benefit plans at year end is as follows:

	U.S.				Non-U.S.			
	2	2013	2	2012	2	013	2	2012
Postretirement benefit obligations	\$	(111)	\$	(181)	\$	(90)	\$	(102)
Items not yet recognized in net postretirement benefit cost:								
Actuarial loss		30		36		(2)		5
Prior service credit		(54)		(8)				
		(24)		28		(2)		5
	¢	(105)	•	(1.50)	¢		¢	
Net amount recognized	\$	(135)	\$	(153)	\$	(92)	\$	(97)

The net amount recognized is included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2013 and 2012 as follows:

	U.S.				Non-U.S.			
	2	2013	2	2012	20	013	20	012
Current nonpension postretirement benefit, included with Other accrued liabilities	\$	(10)	\$	(15)	\$	(4)	\$	(4)
Nonpension postretirement benefits		(101)		(166)		(86)		(98)

Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(24)	28	(2)	5
Net amount recognized	\$ (135)	\$ (153)	\$ (92)	\$ (97)

#### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

## 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The following changes in benefit obligations were recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31, 2013 and 2012 as follows (amounts are pretax):

	U.S.					Non-U.S.			
	2013		2012		12 201		20	12	
Current year actuarial (gain) loss	\$	1	\$	(6)	\$	(7)	\$	3	
Curtailment		(57)							
Amortization of actuarial loss		(3)		(5)					
Amortization of prior service credit		7		3					
Other adjustments				(2)					

\$ (52) \$ (10) \$ (7) \$ 3

The components of the net postretirement benefit cost for the year are as follows:

	<b>U.S.</b>						Non-U.S.					
	20	13	20	12	20	)11	20	13	20	12	20	11
Service cost	\$	1	\$	1	\$	1	\$	1	\$	1	\$	1
Interest cost		5		8		10		4		4		4
Curtailment gain		(5)										
Amortization:												
Actuarial loss		3		5		5						
Prior service credit		(7)		(3)		(3)						
Net amortization		(4)		2		2						
Net postretirement benefit (income) cost	\$	(3)	\$	11	\$	13	\$	5	\$	5	\$	5

Amounts that are expected to be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net postretirement benefit cost during 2014:

	U.S		Non-U.S.
Amortization:			
Actuarial loss	\$	2	\$
Prior service credit		(8)	

Net amortization \$ (6) \$

100

The weighted average discount rates used to determine the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation and net postretirement benefit cost are as follows:

		U.S.		Non-U.S.				
	2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011		
Accumulated post retirement benefit obligation	4.63%	4.04%	4.47%	4.47%	3.89%	4.13%		
Net postretirement benefit cost	4.04%	4.47%	5.09%	3.89%	4.13%	5.02%		
		78						

### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

## 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The weighted average assumed health care cost trend rates at December 31 are as follows:

	U.S.		Non-U	J <b>.S.</b>
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year	7.00%	8.00%	5.00%	6.00%
Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate)	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate trend rate	2024	2019	2014	2014

Assumed health care cost trend rates affect the amounts reported for the postretirement benefit plans. A one-percentage-point change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

			Non-U.S.			
	1-Per	t 1-I	1-Percentage-Point			
	Increas	se Decrea	ase Incr	ease	Deci	rease
Effect on total of service and interest cost	\$	\$	\$	1	\$	(1)
Effect on accumulated postretirement benefit obligations		4	(4)	15		(12)
		.1		•	c	1

Amortization included in net postretirement benefit cost is based on the average remaining service of employees.

The following estimated future benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid in the years indicated:

Year(s)	U.S.	Non-U.S.	
2014	\$ 10	) \$ 4	1
2015	10	) 4	1
2016	10	) 4	1
2017	ç	) -	5
2018	ç	) -	5
2019 - 2023	39	) 25	5

Benefits provided by the Company for certain hourly retirees are determined by collective bargaining. Most other domestic hourly retirees receive health and life insurance benefits from a multi-employer trust established by collective bargaining. Payments to the trust as required by the bargaining agreements are based upon specified amounts per hour worked and were \$6 million in each of the years 2013, 2012 and 2011. Postretirement health and life benefits for retirees of foreign subsidiaries are generally provided through the national health care programs of the countries in which the subsidiaries are located.

#### 79

### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

## 11. Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes was calculated based on the following components of earnings (loss) before income taxes:

Continuing operations	2	2013		2012		2011
U.S.	\$	86	\$	32	\$	23
Non-U.S.		249		296		(419)
	\$	335	\$	328	\$	(396)

Discontinued operations	2013		2012	2011
U.S.	\$	(8)	\$	\$
Non-U.S.		(10)	(5	) (2)

\$ (18) \$ (5) \$ (2)

The provision (benefit) for income taxes consists of the following:

	2013	2012	2011
Current:			
U.S.	\$ 7	\$ (4)	\$ (12)
Non-U.S.	116	117	139
	123	113	127
Deferred:			
U.S.		8	11
Non-U.S.	(3)	(13)	(53)
	(3)	(5)	(42)
Total:			
U.S.	7	4	(1)
Non-U.S.	113	104	86

Total for continuing operations		120		108		85	
Total for discontinued operations				(3)		(3)	
	<i>•</i>		<b>.</b>		÷		
	\$	120	\$	105	\$	82	
						00	
						80	

### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

### 11. Income Taxes (Continued)

A reconciliation of the provision for income taxes based on the statutory U.S. Federal tax rate of 35% to the provision for income taxes is as follows:

	2	013	2	012	20	011
Tax provision on pretax earnings (loss) from continuing operations at statutory U.S. Federal tax rate	\$	117	\$	115	\$	(139)
Increase (decrease) in provision for income taxes due to:						
Differences in income taxes on foreign earnings, losses and remittances		(29)		(5)		(13)
Goodwill impairment						224
Changes in valuation allowance		37		(7)		15
Tax audits and settlements		1		(1)		3
Other items		(6)		6		(5)
Provision for income taxes	\$	120	\$	108	\$	85

Deferred income taxes reflect: (1) the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes; and (2) carryovers and credits for income tax purposes.

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	2	013		2012
Deferred tax assets:				
Accrued postretirement benefits	\$	60	\$	89
Asbestos-related liabilities		157		161
Foreign tax credit		356		354
Operating and capital loss carryovers		489		486
Other credit carryovers		46		46
Accrued liabilities		89		95
Pension liability		47		237
Other		73		97
Total deferred tax assets		1,317		1,565
Deferred tax liabilities:				
Property, plant and equipment		126		120
Exchangeable notes		10		19
Intangibles		27		13
Other		59		83
Total deferred tax liabilities		222		235
Valuation allowance		(990)		(1,171)
Net deferred taxes	¢	105	\$	159
inel deferred taxes	\$	105	Э	159

#### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

#### 11. Income Taxes (Continued)

Deferred taxes are included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2013 and 2012 as follows:

2	013	2	2012
\$	64	\$	62
	237		284
			(5)
	(196)		(182)
\$	105	\$	159
		(196)	\$ 64 \$ 237 (196)

The Company reviews the likelihood that it will realize the benefit of its deferred tax assets and therefore the need for valuation allowances on a quarterly basis, or whenever events indicate that a review is required. In determining the requirement for a valuation allowance, the historical and projected financial results of the legal entity or consolidated group recording the net deferred tax asset is considered, along with other positive and negative evidence.

At December 31, 2013, before valuation allowance, the Company had unused foreign tax credits of \$356 million expiring in 2017 through 2022, research tax credit of \$20 million expiring from 2014 to 2033, and alternative minimum tax credits of \$26 million which do not expire and which will be available to offset future U.S. Federal income tax. Approximately \$164 million of the deferred tax assets related to operating and capital loss carryforwards can be carried over indefinitely, with the remaining \$325 million expiring between 2014 and 2031.

In certain foreign jurisdictions, the Company's analysis indicates that it has cumulative losses in recent years. This is considered significant negative evidence which is objective and verifiable and, therefore, difficult to overcome. However, the cumulative loss position is not solely determinative and, accordingly, the Company considers all other available positive and negative evidence in its analysis. Based on its analysis, the Company has recorded a valuation allowance for the portion of deferred tax assets where based on the weight of available evidence it is unlikely to realize those deferred tax assets.

In the U.S., the Company has experienced cumulative losses in previous years and has recorded a valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets. As of December 31, 2013, however, the Company's U.S. operations are in a three-year cumulative income position, but this is not solely determinative of the need for a valuation allowance. The Company considered this factor and all other available positive and negative evidence and concluded that it is still more likely than not that the net deferred tax assets in the U.S. will not be realized, and accordingly continued to record a valuation allowance. The evidence considered included the magnitude of the current three-year cumulative income compared to historical losses, expected impact of tax planning strategies, interest rates, and the overall business environment. The Company continues to evaluate its cumulative income position and income trend as well as its future projections of sustained profitability and whether this profitability trend constitutes sufficient positive evidence to support a reversal of the valuation allowance (in full or in part). The amount of the valuation allowance recorded in the U.S. as of December 31, 2013 is \$837 million.

At December 31, 2013, the Company's equity in the undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries for which income taxes had not been provided approximated \$3.2 billion. The Company intends to

### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

#### 11. Income Taxes (Continued)

reinvest these earnings indefinitely in the non-U.S. operations and has not distributed any of these earnings to the U.S. in 2013, 2012 or 2011. It is not practicable to estimate the U.S. and foreign tax which would be payable should these earnings be distributed. Deferred taxes are provided for earnings of non-U.S. jurisdictions when the Company plans to remit those earnings.

The Company has recognized tax benefits as a result of incentives in certain non-U.S. jurisdictions which expire between 2014 and 2016.

The Company records a liability for unrecognized tax benefits related to uncertain tax positions. The Company accrues interest and penalties associated with unrecognized tax benefits as a component of its income tax expense. The following is a reconciliation of the Company's total gross unrecognized tax benefits for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	2	013	20	12	20	011
Balance at January 1	\$	97	\$	125	\$	143
Additions and reductions for tax positions of prior years		(3)		8		(15)
Additions based on tax positions related to the current year		9		7		30
Reductions due to the lapse of the applicable statute of limitations		(2)		(21)		(8)
Reductions due to settlements				(26)		(18)
Foreign currency translation		(1)		4		(7)
Balance at December 31	\$	100	\$	97	\$	125
Unancessing data have fits which if according descended in a state Commence of statics in some ten and	\$	92	\$	89	\$	114
Unrecognized tax benefits, which if recognized, would impact the Company's effective income tax rate	Ф	92	Ф	69	Ф	114
Accrued interest and penalties at December 31	\$	35	\$	33	\$	49

Interest and penalties included in tax expense for the years ended December 31 \$ 1 \$ (6) \$ 18

Based upon the outcome of tax examinations, judicial proceedings, or expiration of statute of limitations, it is reasonably possible that the ultimate resolution of these unrecognized tax benefits may result in a payment that is materially different from the current estimate of the tax liabilities. The Company believes that it is reasonably possible that the estimated liability could decrease up to \$20 million within the next 12 months. This is primarily the result of audit settlements or statute expirations in several taxing jurisdictions.

The Company is currently under examination in various tax jurisdictions in which it operates, including Argentina, Australia, Ecuador, Germany, and Italy. The years under examination range from 2005 through 2012. The Company believes that there are no jurisdictions in which the outcome of unresolved issues or claims is likely to be material to the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flows. The

Company further believes that adequate provisions for all income tax uncertainties have been made. During 2013, the Company concluded audits in several jurisdictions, including Czech Republic, France, New Zealand, Peru, Poland, Spain and the United Kingdom.

### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

## 12. Debt

The following table summarizes the long-term debt of the Company at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	2013		2012
Secured Credit Agreement:			
Revolving Credit Facility:			
Revolving Loans	\$		\$
Term Loans:			
Term Loan A			53
Term Loan B		405	525
Term Loan C (81 million CAD at December 31, 2013)		76	102
Term Loan D (€85 million at December 31, 2013)		117	163
Senior Notes:			
3.00%, Exchangeable, due 2015		617	642
7.375%, due 2016		593	591
6.875%, due 2017 (€300 million)			396
6.75%, due 2020 (€500 million)		690	660
4.875%, due 2021 (€330 million)		455	
Senior Debentures:			
7.80%, due 2018		250	250
Other		58	95
Total long-term debt		3,261	3,477
Less amounts due within one year		16	23
Long-term debt	\$	3,245	\$ 3,454

On May 19, 2011, the Company entered into the Secured Credit Agreement (the "Agreement"). At December 31, 2013, the Agreement included a \$900 million revolving credit facility, a \$405 million term loan, an 81 million Canadian dollar term loan, and a  $\in$ 85 million term loan, each of which has a final maturity date of May 19, 2016. During 2013, the Company repaid 51 million Australian dollars on Term Loan A, \$120 million on Term Loan B, 20 million Canadian dollars on Term Loan C and  $\in$ 39 million on Term Loan D under the Agreement. At December 31, 2013, the Company had unused credit of \$816 million available under the Agreement.

The Agreement contains various covenants that restrict, among other things and subject to certain exceptions, the ability of the Company to incur certain liens, make certain investments, become liable under contingent obligations in certain defined instances only, make restricted junior payments, make certain asset sales within guidelines and limits, make capital expenditures beyond a certain threshold, engage in material transactions with shareholders and affiliates, participate in sale and leaseback financing arrangements, alter its fundamental business, and amend certain outstanding debt obligations.

The Agreement also contains one financial maintenance covenant, a Leverage Ratio, that requires the Company not to exceed a ratio calculated by dividing consolidated total debt, less cash and cash equivalents, by Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA, as defined in the Agreement. The Leverage Ratio

### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

### 12. Debt (Continued)

could restrict the ability of the Company to undertake additional financing or acquisitions to the extent that such financing or acquisitions would cause the Leverage Ratio to exceed the specified maximum.

Failure to comply with these covenants and restrictions could result in an event of default under the Agreement. In such an event, the Company could not request borrowings under the revolving facility, and all amounts outstanding under the Agreement, together with accrued interest, could then be declared immediately due and payable. If an event of default occurs under the Agreement and the lenders cause all of the outstanding debt obligations under the Agreement to become due and payable, this would result in a default under a number of other outstanding debt securities and could lead to an acceleration of obligations related to these debt securities. A default or event of default under the Agreement, indentures or agreements governing other indebtedness could also lead to an acceleration of debt under other debt instruments that contain cross acceleration or cross-default provisions.

The Leverage Ratio also determines pricing under the Agreement. The interest rate on borrowings under the Agreement is, at the Company's option, the Base Rate or the Eurocurrency Rate, as defined in the Agreement. These rates include a margin linked to the Leverage Ratio. The margins range from 1.25% to 2.00% for Eurocurrency Rate loans and from 0.25% to 1.00% for Base Rate loans. In addition, a facility fee is payable on the revolving credit facility commitments ranging from 0.25% to 0.50% per annum linked to the Leverage Ratio. The weighted average interest rate on borrowings outstanding under the Agreement at December 31, 2013 was 2.12%. As of December 31, 2013, the Company was in compliance with all covenants and restrictions in the Agreement. In addition, the Company believes that it will remain in compliance and that its ability to borrow funds under the Agreement will not be adversely affected by the covenants and restrictions.

Borrowings under the Agreement are secured by substantially all of the assets, excluding real estate, of the Company's domestic subsidiaries and certain foreign subsidiaries. Borrowings are also secured by a pledge of intercompany debt and equity in most of the Company's domestic subsidiaries and stock of certain foreign subsidiaries. All borrowings under the agreement are guaranteed by substantially all domestic subsidiaries of the Company for the term of the Agreement.

During March 2013, the Company issued senior notes with a face value of €330 million due March 31, 2021. The notes bear interest at 4.875% and are guaranteed by substantially all of the Company's domestic subsidiaries. The net proceeds, after deducting debt issuance costs, totaled approximately \$418 million.

During March 2013, the Company discharged, in accordance with the indenture, all €300 million of the 6.875% senior notes due 2017. The Company recorded \$11 million of additional interest charges for note repurchase premiums and the related write-off of unamortized finance fees.

During May 2010, a subsidiary of the Company issued exchangeable senior notes with a face value of \$690 million due June 1, 2015 ("2015 Exchangeable Notes"). The 2015 Exchangeable Notes bear interest at 3.00% and are guaranteed by substantially all of the Company's domestic subsidiaries.

Upon exchange of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes, under the terms outlined below, the issuer of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes is required to settle the principal amount in cash and the Company is required to settle the exchange premium in shares of the Company's common stock. The exchange premium is calculated as the value of the Company's common stock in excess of the initial exchange



### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

### 12. Debt (Continued)

price of approximately \$47.47 per share, which is equivalent to an exchange rate of 21.0642 per \$1,000 principal amount of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes. The exchange rate may be adjusted upon the occurrence of certain events, such as certain distributions, dividends or issuances of cash, stock, options, warrants or other property or effecting a share split, or a significant change in the ownership or structure of the Company, such as a recapitalization or reclassification of the Company's common stock, a merger or consolidation involving the Company or the sale or conveyance to another person of all or substantially all of the property and assets of the Company and its subsidiaries substantially as an entirety.

Prior to March 1, 2015, the 2015 Exchangeable Notes may be exchanged only if (1) the price of the Company's common stock exceeds \$61.71 (130% of the exchange price) for a specified period of time, (2) the trading price of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes falls below 98% of the average exchange value of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes for a specified period of time (trading price was 139% of exchange value at December 31, 2013), or (3) upon the occurrence of specified corporate transactions. The 2015 Exchangeable Notes may be exchanged without restrictions on or after March 1, 2015. As of December 31, 2013, the 2015 Exchangeable Notes are not exchangeable by the holders.

The value of the exchange feature of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes was computed using the Company's non-exchangeable debt borrowing rate at the date of issuance of 6.15% and was accounted for as a debt discount and a corresponding increase to share owners' equity.

During 2013, the Company repurchased \$46 million of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes. The amount by which the cash paid exceeded the fair value of the notes repurchased was recorded as a reduction to share owners' equity. The Company recorded \$3 million of additional interest charges for the loss on debt extinguishment and the related write-off of unamortized finance fees.

The carrying values of the liability and equity components at December 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	2	013	2	012
Principal amount of exchangeable notes	\$	644	\$	690
Unamortized discount on exchangeable notes		27		48
Net carrying amount of liability component	\$	617	\$	642
Carrying amount of equity component	\$	92	\$	93

The debt discount is being amortized over the life of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes. The amount of interest expense recognized on the 2015 Exchangeable Notes for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

	20	013	20	012
Contractual coupon interest	\$	20	\$	21
Amortization of discount on exchangeable notes		18		18
Total interest expense	\$	38	\$	39

### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

### 12. Debt (Continued)

During 2011, the Company recorded additional interest charges of \$25 million for note repurchase premiums and the related write-off of unamortized finance fees related to debt that was repaid prior to maturity.

The Company has a  $\in$ 215 million European accounts receivable securitization program, which extends through September 2016, subject to periodic renewal of backup credit lines. Information related to the Company's accounts receivable securitization program as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

	2013		2	2012
Balance (included in short-term loans)	\$	276	\$	264
Weighted average interest rate		1.41%		1.33%

As of December 31, 2013, the Company has capital lease obligations of \$37 million included in other in the long-term debt table above.

Annual maturities for all of the Company's long-term debt through 2018 are as follows: 2014, \$16 million; 2015, \$984 million; 2016, \$877 million; 2017, \$4 million; and 2018, \$255 million.

Fair values at December 31, 2013, of the Company's significant fixed rate debt obligations are as follows:

	Principal Amount		Indicated Market Price	-	'air alue
Senior Notes:					
3.00%, Exchangeable, due 2015	\$	644	104.71	\$	674
7.375%, due 2016		600	112.76		677
6.75%, due 2020 (€500 million)		690	116.50		804
4.875%, due 2021 (€330 million)		455	105.04		478
Senior Debentures:					
7.80%, due 2018		250	116.50		291
13. Contingencies					

#### Asbestos

The Company is a defendant in numerous lawsuits alleging bodily injury and death as a result of exposure to asbestos dust. From 1948 to 1958, one of the Company's former business units commercially produced and sold approximately \$40 million of a high-temperature, calcium-silicate based pipe and block insulation material containing asbestos. The Company exited the pipe and block insulation business in April 1958. The typical asbestos personal injury lawsuit alleges various theories of liability, including negligence, gross negligence and strict liability and seeks compensatory and in some cases, punitive damages in various amounts (herein referred to as "asbestos claims").

The following table shows the approximate number of plaintiffs and claimants who had asbestos claims pending against the Company at the beginning of each listed year, the number of claims



### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

### 13. Contingencies (Continued)

disposed of during that year, the year's filings and the claims pending at the end of each listed year (eliminating duplicate filings):

	2013	2012	2011
Pending at beginning of year	2,600	4,600	5,900
Disposed	1,700	4,400	4,500
Filed	1,700	2,400	3,200
Pending at end of year	2,600	2,600	4,600

Based on an analysis of the lawsuits pending as of December 31, 2013, approximately 80% of plaintiffs either do not specify the monetary damages sought, or in the case of court filings, claim an amount sufficient to invoke the jurisdictional minimum of the trial court. Approximately 16% of plaintiffs specifically plead damages above the jurisdictional minimum up to, and including, \$15 million or less, and 3% of plaintiffs specifically plead damages greater than \$10 million. Fewer than 1% of plaintiffs specifically plead damages equal to or greater than \$100 million.

As indicated by the foregoing summary, current pleading practice permits considerable variation in the assertion of monetary damages. The Company's experience resolving hundreds of thousands of asbestos claims and lawsuits over an extended period demonstrates that the monetary relief that may be alleged in a complaint bears little relevance to a claim's merits or disposition value. Rather, the amount potentially recoverable is determined by such factors as the severity of the plaintiff's asbestos disease, the product identification evidence against the Company and other defendants, the defenses available to the Company and other defendants, the specific jurisdiction in which the claim is made, and the plaintiff's medical history and exposure to other disease-causing agents.

In addition to the pending claims set forth above, the Company has claims-handling agreements in place with many plaintiffs' counsel throughout the country. These agreements require evaluation and negotiation regarding whether particular claimants qualify under the criteria established by such agreements. The criteria for such claims include verification of a compensable illness and a reasonable probability of exposure to a product manufactured by the Company's former business unit during its manufacturing period ending in 1958.

The Company has also been a defendant in other asbestos-related lawsuits or claims involving maritime workers, medical monitoring claimants, co-defendants and property damage claimants. Based upon its past experience, the Company believes that these categories of lawsuits and claims will not involve any material liability and they are not included in the above description of pending matters or in the following description of disposed matters.

Since receiving its first asbestos claim, the Company as of December 31, 2013, has disposed of the asbestos claims of approximately 393,000 plaintiffs and claimants at an average indemnity payment per claim of approximately \$8,600. Certain of these dispositions have included deferred amounts payable over a number of years. Deferred amounts payable totaled approximately \$12 million at December 31, 2013 (\$24 million at December 31, 2012) and are included in the foregoing average indemnity payment per claim. The Company's asbestos indemnity payments have varied on a per claim basis, and are

#### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

### 13. Contingencies (Continued)

expected to continue to vary considerably over time. As discussed above, a part of the Company's objective is to achieve, where possible, resolution of asbestos claims pursuant to claims-handling agreements. Failure of claimants to meet certain medical and product exposure criteria in the Company's administrative claims handling agreements has generally reduced the number of marginal or suspect claims that would otherwise have been received. In addition, certain courts and legislatures have reduced or eliminated the number of marginal or suspect claims that the Company otherwise would have received. These developments generally have had the effect of increasing the Company's per-claim average indemnity payment over time.

The Company believes that its ultimate asbestos-related liability (i.e., its indemnity payments or other claim disposition costs plus related legal fees) cannot reasonably be estimated. Beginning with the initial liability of \$975 million established in 1993, the Company has accrued a total of approximately \$4.3 billion through 2013, before insurance recoveries, for its asbestos-related liability. The Company's ability to reasonably estimate its liability has been significantly affected by, among other factors, the volatility of asbestos-related litigation in the United States, the significant number of co-defendants that have filed for bankruptcy, the magnitude and timing of co-defendant bankruptcy trust payments, the inherent uncertainty of future disease incidence and claiming patterns against the Company, and the success of efforts by co-defendants to restrict or eliminate their liability in the litigation.

The Company has continued to monitor trends that may affect its ultimate liability and has continued to analyze the developments and variables affecting or likely to affect the resolution of pending and future asbestos claims against the Company. The material components of the Company's accrued liability are based on amounts determined by the Company in connection with its annual comprehensive review and consist of the following estimates, to the extent it is probable that such liabilities have been incurred and can be reasonably estimated: (i) the liability for asbestos claims already asserted against the Company; (ii) the liability for asbestos claims not yet asserted against the Company, but which the Company believes will be asserted in the next several years; and (iii) the legal defense costs likely to be incurred in connection with the foregoing types of claims.

The significant assumptions underlying the material components of the Company's accrual are:

a)

b)

the extent to which settlements are limited to claimants who were exposed to the Company's asbestos-containing insulation prior to its exit from that business in 1958;

the extent to which claims are resolved under the Company's administrative claims agreements or on terms comparable to those set forth in those agreements;

c) the extent of decrease or increase in the incidence of serious disease cases and claiming patterns for such cases;
d) the extent to which the Company is able to defend itself successfully at trial or on appeal;
e)

the number and timing of additional co-defendant bankruptcies; and

f)

the extent to which co-defendants with substantial resources and assets continue to participate significantly in the resolution of future asbestos lawsuits and claims.

As noted above, the Company conducts a comprehensive review of its asbestos-related liabilities and costs annually in connection with finalizing and reporting its annual results of operations, unless

### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

### 13. Contingencies (Continued)

significant changes in trends or new developments warrant an earlier review. If the results of an annual comprehensive review indicate that the existing amount of the accrued liability is insufficient to cover its estimated future asbestos-related costs, then the Company will record an appropriate charge to increase the accrued liability. The Company believes that a reasonable estimation of the probable amount of the liability for claims not yet asserted against the Company is not possible beyond a period of several years. Therefore, while the results of future annual comprehensive reviews cannot be determined, the Company expects the addition of one year to the estimation period will result in an annual charge.

The Company's reported results of operations for 2013 were materially affected by the \$145 million fourth quarter charge for asbestos-related costs and asbestos-related payments continue to be substantial. Any future additional charge would likewise materially affect the Company's results of operations for the period in which it is recorded. Also, the continued use of significant amounts of cash for asbestos-related costs has affected and may continue to affect the Company's cost of borrowing and its ability to pursue global or domestic acquisitions. However, the Company believes that its operating cash flows and other sources of liquidity will be sufficient to pay its obligations for asbestos-related costs and to fund its working capital and capital expenditure requirements on a short-term and long-term basis.

### Other Matters

The Company conducted an internal investigation into conduct in certain of its overseas operations that may have violated the anti-bribery provisions of the United States Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (the "FCPA"), the FCPA's books and records and internal controls provisions, the Company's own internal policies, and various local laws. In October 2012, the Company voluntarily disclosed these matters to the U.S. Department of Justice (the "DOJ") and the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC").

On July 18, 2013, the Company received a letter from the DOJ indicating that it presently did not intend to take any enforcement action and is closing its inquiry into the matter.

The Company is presently unable to predict the duration, scope or result of an investigation by the SEC, if any, or whether the SEC will commence any legal action. The SEC has a broad range of civil sanctions under the FCPA and other laws and regulations including, but not limited to, injunctive relief, disgorgement, penalties, and modifications to business practices. The Company could also be subject to investigation and sanctions outside the United States. While the Company is currently unable to quantify the impact of any potential sanctions or remedial measures, it does not expect such actions will have a material adverse effect on the Company's liquidity, results of operations or financial condition.

The Company received a non-income tax assessment from a foreign tax authority for approximately \$90 million (including penalties and interest). The Company challenged this assessment, but the tax authority's position was upheld in court. The Company strongly disagrees with this ruling and believes it to be contradictory to other court rulings in the Company's favor. Although the Company cannot predict the ultimate outcome of this case, it believes that it is probable that the tax authority's assessment will be overturned by a higher court, and therefore, the Company has not established an accrual. In order to contest the lower court rulings, legal rules require the Company to

### 90

### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

### 13. Contingencies (Continued)

deposit the amount of the tax assessment, which will be remitted in monthly installments over the next twenty-four months. A favorable ruling by the higher court will result in a return to the Company of amounts paid. An unfavorable ruling will result in the forfeiture of the deposit, a charge of approximately \$60 million and a non-income tax refund of \$30 million. As of December 31, 2013, the Company has made installment payments totaling \$43 million, which is included in Other assets on the balance sheet.

Other litigation is pending against the Company, in many cases involving ordinary and routine claims incidental to the business of the Company and in others presenting allegations that are non-routine and involve compensatory, punitive or treble damage claims as well as other types of relief. The Company records a liability for such matters when it is both probable that the liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated. Recorded amounts are reviewed and adjusted to reflect changes in the factors upon which the estimates are based, including additional information, negotiations, settlements and other events.

### 14. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The components of comprehensive income are: (a) net earnings; (b) change in fair value of certain derivative instruments; (c) pension and other postretirement benefit adjustments; and (d) foreign currency translation adjustments. The net effect of exchange rate fluctuations generally reflects changes in the relative strength of the U.S. dollar against major foreign currencies between the beginning and end of the year.

The following table lists the beginning balance, annual activity and ending balance of each component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):

	Exc R	Effect of hange late uations	Chan Cert Deriv Instru	tain ative	]	mployee Benefit Plans		Total cumulated Other nprehensive Loss
Balance on January 1, 2013	\$	455	\$	(14)	\$	(1,947)	\$	(1,506)
Change before reclassifications		(226)		1		503		278
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income				1(a	)	139(b	)	140
Tax effect						(33)		(33)
Other comprehensive income attributable to the Company		(226)		2		609		385
Balance on December 31, 2013	\$	229	\$	(12)	\$	(1,338)	\$	(1,121)

(b)

<sup>(</sup>a)

Amount is included in Cost of goods sold on the Consolidated Results of Operations (see Note 8 for additional information).

Amount is included in the computation of net periodic pension cost and net postretirement benefit cost (see Note 10 for additional information).

### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

### 15. Stock Options and Other Stock Based Compensation

The Company has various nonqualified plans approved by share owners under which it has granted stock options, restricted shares and performance vested restricted share units. At December 31, 2013, there were 4,644,000 shares available for grants under these plans. Total compensation cost for all grants of shares and units under these plans was \$11 million, \$11 million and \$1 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The expense in 2011 was decreased as a result of adjustments made to performance vested restricted share units due to actual and expected attainment of performance goals.

### Stock Options

Options granted prior to March 22, 2005, all of which are exercisable, expire following termination of employment or the day after the tenth anniversary date of the option grant.

For options granted after March 21, 2005, no options may be exercised in whole or in part during the first year after the date granted. In general, subject to change in control, these options become exercisable 25% per year beginning on the first anniversary. In general, options expire following termination of employment or the seventh anniversary of the option grant. The fair value of options is amortized over the vesting periods which range from one to four years.

Stock option information at December 31, 2013 and for the year then ended is as follows:

Options outstanding at January 1, 2013       3,735       \$ 22.65         Granted       354       26.10         Exercised       (1,414)       17.52         Forfeited or expired       (254)       28.90         Options outstanding at December 31, 2013       2,421       25.50       3.4       \$ 30         Options vested or expected to vest at December 31, 2013       2,354       \$ 25.48       3.4       \$ 29		Number of Shares (thousands)	Weighted Average Exercise Price (per share)	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Exercised(1,414)17.52Forfeited or expired(254)28.90Options outstanding at December 31, 20132,42125.503.4\$ 30Options vested or expected to vest at December 31, 20132,354\$ 25.483.4\$ 29		· · · · · ·			
Forfeited or expired(254)28.90Options outstanding at December 31, 20132,42125.503.4\$ 30Options vested or expected to vest at December 31, 20132,354\$ 25.483.4\$ 29	Granted	354	26.10		
Options outstanding at December 31, 2013       2,421       25.50       3.4       \$ 30         Options vested or expected to vest at December 31, 2013       2,354       \$ 25.48       3.4       \$ 29	Exercised	(1,414)	17.52		
Options vested or expected to vest at December 31, 2013 2,354 \$ 25.48 3.4 \$ 29	Forfeited or expired	(254)	28.90		
	Options outstanding at December 31, 2013	2,421	25.50	3.4	\$ 30
Ontions exercisable at December 31 2013 1 538 \$ 25.04 2.4 \$ 21	Options vested or expected to vest at December 31, 2013	2,354	\$ 25.48	3.4	\$ 29
$\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}$	Options exercisable at December 31, 2013	1,538	\$ 25.04	2.4	\$ 21

Certain additional information related to stock options is as follows for the periods indicated:

2013	2012	2011
-010		

Edgar Filling. Owends iteline	515 11		⊏/ -	FOIII	10	-r\
Weighted average grant-date fair value of options granted (per share)	\$	12.39	\$	10.63	\$	13.70
Aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised	\$	16	\$	3	\$	4
Aggregate cash received from options exercised	\$	24	\$	4	\$	5
92						

### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

#### 15. Stock Options and Other Stock Based Compensation (Continued)

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following weighted average assumptions:

	2013	2012	2011
Expected life of options (years)	5.00	4.75	4.75
Expected stock price volatility	55.3%	56.6%	53.2%
Risk-free interest rate	0.9%	0.8%	1.7%
Expected dividend yield	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

The expected life of options is determined from historical exercise and termination data. The expected stock price volatility is determined by reference to historical prices over a period equal to the expected life.

### Restricted Shares and Restricted Share Units

Shares granted to employees prior to March 22, 2005, generally vest after three years or upon retirement, whichever is later. Shares granted after March 21, 2005 and prior to 2011, vest 25% per year beginning on the first anniversary and unvested shares are forfeited upon termination of employment. Restricted share units granted to employees after 2010 vest 25% per year beginning on the first anniversary. Holders of vested restricted share units receive one share of the Company's common stock for each unit. Granted but unvested restricted share units are forfeited upon termination, unless certain retirement criteria are met. Restricted share units granted to directors vest after one year.

The fair value of the restricted shares and restricted share units is equal to the market price of the Company's common stock on the date of the grant. The fair value of restricted shares granted before March 22, 2005, is amortized ratably over the vesting period. The fair value of restricted shares and restricted share units granted after March 21, 2005, is amortized over the vesting periods which range from one to four years.

The activity of restricted shares and restricted share units is as follows:

	Number of Restricted Shares (thousands)	Ave Gran Fair	ghted rage t-Date Value share)
Nonvested at January 1, 2013	465	\$	22.34
Granted	198		26.49
Vested	(176)		21.99
Forfeited	(30)		24.34
Nonvested at December 31, 2013	457		24.14
Awards granted during 2012		\$	22.39
Awards granted during 2011		\$	29.99
			93

### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

### 15. Stock Options and Other Stock Based Compensation (Continued)

	201	13	20	12	2011		
Total fair value of shares vested	\$	5	\$	5	\$	4	

#### Performance Vested Restricted Share Units

Performance vested restricted share units vest on January 1 of the third year following the year in which they are granted. Holders of vested units may receive up to 2 shares of the Company's common stock for each unit, depending upon the attainment of consolidated performance goals established by the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors. If minimum goals are not met, no shares will be issued. Granted but unvested restricted share units are forfeited upon termination of employment, unless certain retirement criteria are met.

The fair value of each performance vested restricted share unit is equal to the product of the fair value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant and the estimated number of shares into which the performance vested restricted share unit will be converted. The fair value of performance vested restricted share units is amortized ratably over the vesting period. Should the estimated number of shares into which the performance vested restricted share unit will be converted change, an adjustment will be recorded to recognize the accumulated difference in amortization between the revised and previous estimates.

Performance vested restricted share unit activity is as follows:

	Number of Performance Vested Restricted Shares Units (thousands)	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value (per unit)
Nonvested at January 1, 2013	813	\$ 26.78
Granted	336	26.10
Vested	(110)	31.12
Forfeited/Cancelled	(157)	29.55
Nonvested at December 31, 2013	882	25.49

Awards granted during 2012	\$ 22.50
Awards granted during 2011	\$ 29.70

110,000 shares were issued in 2013 with a fair value at issuance date of \$3 million related to performance vested restricted share units.

As of December 31, 2013, there was \$16 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to all unvested stock options, restricted shares, restricted share units and performance vested restricted share units. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately two years.

### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

### 16. Other Expense

Other expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 included the following:

The Company recorded charges totaling \$97 million for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges. See Note 9 for additional information.

The Company recorded charges totaling \$22 million for asset impairment related to the Company's operations in Argentina, due primarily to macroeconomic issues in that country. The Company wrote down the value of these assets to the extent their carrying amounts exceeded fair value. The fair value of the assets was computed based on estimated future cash flows. The Company classified the significant assumptions used to determine the fair value of the impaired assets, which was not material, as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

During the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company recorded a charge of \$145 million to increase the accrual for estimated future asbestos-related costs as a result of the findings from the annual review of asbestos-related liabilities. See Note 13 for additional information.

Aggregate foreign currency exchange losses included in other expense were \$9 million in 2013.

Other expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 included the following:

The Company recorded charges totaling \$168 million for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges. See Note 9 for additional information.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company recorded a gain of \$61 million related to cash received from the Chinese government as compensation for land in China that the Company was required to return to the government.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company recorded a charge of \$155 million to increase the accrual for estimated future asbestos-related costs as a result of the findings from the annual review of asbestos-related liabilities. See Note 13 for additional information.

Aggregate foreign currency exchange losses included in other expense were \$8 million in 2012.

Other expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 included the following:

The Company recorded charges totaling \$95 million for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges. See Note 9 for additional information.

The Company recorded charges totaling \$17 million for asset impairment, primarily due to the write down of asset values related to a 2010 acquisition in China as a result of integration challenges. The Company wrote down the value of these assets to the extent their carrying amounts exceeded fair value. The Company classified the significant assumptions used to

determine the fair value of the impaired assets, which was not material, as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

The Company recorded a goodwill impairment charge of \$641 million related to its Asia Pacific segment. See Note 6 for additional information.

### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

### 16. Other Expense (Continued)

During the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company recorded a charge of \$165 million to increase the accrual for estimated future asbestos-related costs as a result of the findings from the annual review of asbestos-related liabilities. See Note 13 for additional information.

Aggregate foreign currency exchange losses included in other expense were \$6 million in 2011.

## 17. Operating Leases

Rent expense attributable to all warehouse, office buildings and equipment operating leases was \$57 million in 2013, \$76 million in 2012, and \$89 million in 2011. Minimum future rentals under operating leases are as follows: 2014, \$50 million; 2015, \$36 million; 2016, \$30 million; 2017, \$26 million; 2018, \$21 million; and 2019 and thereafter, \$48 million.

### 18. Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

Years ended December 31,		2013		2012		2011
Numerator:						
Net earnings (loss) attributable to the Company	\$	184	\$	184	\$	(500)
Denominator (in thousands):						
Denominator for basic earnings per share weighted average shares outstanding		164,425		164,474		163,691
Effect of dilutive securities:						
Stock options and other		1,403		1,294		
Denominator for diluted earnings per share adjusted weighted average shares		165,828		165,768		163,691
Basic earnings per share:						
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$	1.22	\$	1.13	\$	(3.06)
Gain (loss) from discontinued operations	φ	(0.11)	φ	(0.01)	φ	0.01
Net earnings (loss)	\$	1.11	\$	1.12	\$	(3.05)

Earnings (loss) from continuing operations Gain (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ 1.22 (0.11)	\$ 1.12 \$ (0.01)	(3.06) 0.01
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 1.11	\$ 1.11 \$	(3.05)

Options to purchase 1,315,216 and 2,125,963 weighted average shares of common stock which were outstanding during 2013 and 2012, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the options' exercise price was greater than the average market price of the common shares. For the year ended December 31, 2011, diluted earnings per share of common stock was equal to basic earnings per share of common stock due to the loss from continuing operations.

## Owens-Illinois, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

### 18. Earnings Per Share (Continued)

The 2015 Exchangeable Notes have a dilutive effect only in those periods in which the Company's average stock price exceeds the exchange price of \$47.47 per share. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company's average stock price did not exceed the exchange price. Therefore, the potentially issuable shares resulting from the settlement of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes were not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share. See Note 12 for additional information on the 2015 Exchangeable Notes.

### **19. Supplemental Cash Flow Information**

Changes in the components of working capital related to operations (net of the effects related to acquisitions and divestitures) were as follows:

	20	13	2	012	2	2011
Decrease (increase) in current assets:						
Receivables	\$	18	\$	213	\$	(131)
Inventories		(30)		(74)		(102)
Prepaid expenses and other		3		19		1
Increase (decrease) in current liabilities:						
Accounts payable		128		(53)		145
Accrued liabilities		7		(47)		(13)
Salaries and wages		(2)		29		(3)
U.S. and foreign income taxes				(6)		(14)

\$ 124	\$ 81	\$ (117)

Interest paid in cash, including note repurchase premiums, aggregated \$205 million for 2013, \$234 million for 2012, and \$274 million for 2011.

Income taxes paid in cash were as follows:

	2	013	2	012	2	011
U.S.	\$		\$		\$	1
Non-U.S.		128		132		111
Total income taxes paid in cash	\$	128	\$	132	\$	112

## 20. Business Combinations

On August 1, 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of Verrerie du Languedoc SAS, a single-furnace glass container plant in Vergeze, France. The Vergeze plant is located near the Nestle Waters' Perrier bottling facility and has a long-standing supply relationship with Nestle Waters.

On May 31, 2011, the Company acquired the noncontrolling interest in its southern Brazil operations for approximately \$140 million.

The acquisitions, individually and in the aggregate, did not meet the thresholds for a significant acquisition and therefore no pro forma financial information is presented.

### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

### 21. Discontinued Operations

On October 26, 2010, the Venezuelan government, through Presidential Decree No. 7.751, expropriated the assets of Owens-Illinois de Venezuela and Fabrica de Vidrios Los Andes, C.A., two of the Company's subsidiaries in that country, which in effect constituted a taking of the going concerns of those companies. Shortly after the issuance of the decree, the Venezuelan government installed temporary administrative boards to control the expropriated assets.

Since the issuance of the decree, the Company has cooperated with the Venezuelan government, as it is compelled to do under Venezuelan law, to provide for an orderly transition while ensuring the safety and well-being of the employees and the integrity of the production facilities. The Company has been engaged in negotiations with the Venezuelan government in relation to certain aspects of the expropriation, including the compensation payable by the government as a result of its expropriation. On September 26, 2011, the Company, having been unable to reach an agreement with the Venezuelan government regarding fair compensation, commenced an arbitration against Venezuela through the World Bank's International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes. The Company is unable at this stage to predict the amount, or timing of receipt, of compensation it will ultimately receive, and will record any such compensation as a gain from discontinued operations when received.

The loss from discontinued operations of \$18 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 includes \$8 million of special termination benefits related to a previously disposed business and \$10 million for ongoing costs related to the Venezuela expropriation.

### 22. Financial Information for Subsidiary Guarantors and Non-Guarantors

The following presents condensed consolidating financial information for the Company, segregating: (1) Owens-Illinois, Inc., the issuer of senior debentures (the "Parent"); (2) the two subsidiaries which have guaranteed the senior debentures on a subordinated basis (the "Guarantor Subsidiaries"); and (3) all other subsidiaries (the "Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries"). The Guarantor Subsidiaries are 100% owned direct and indirect subsidiaries of the Company and their guarantees are full, unconditional and joint and several. They have no operations and function only as intermediate holding companies.

### **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

### 22. Financial Information for Subsidiary Guarantors and Non-Guarantors (Continued)

Certain reclassifications have been made to conform all of the financial information to the financial presentation on a consolidated basis. The principal eliminations relate to investments in subsidiaries and intercompany balances and transactions.

			Guara	antor	December 31, 2013 Non-Guarantor							
Balance Sheet	Pa	rent	Subsidiaries		Subsidiaries		Eliminations		Cons	solidated		
Current assets:												
Accounts receivable	\$		\$		\$	943	\$		\$	943		
Inventories						1,117				1,117		
Other current assets						490				490		
Total current assets						2,550				2,550		
Investments in and advances to subsidiaries		2,154		1,904				(4,058)				
Goodwill						2,059				2,059		
Other non-current assets						1,178				1,178		
Total other assets		2,154		1,904		3,237		(4,058)		3,237		
Property, plant and equipment, net		_,		-,,		2,632		(1,000)		2,632		
Total assets	\$ :	2,154	\$	1,904	\$	8,419	\$	(4,058)	\$	8,419		
Current liabilities :												
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$		\$		\$	1,782	\$		\$	1,782		
Current portion of asbestos liability Short-term loans and long-term debt due within		150				322				150		
one year						322				322		
Total current liabilities		150				2,104				2,254		
Long-term debt		250				3,245		(250)		3,245		
Asbestos-related liabilities		298				0,210		(200)		298		
Other non-current liabilities		_, 0				1,019				1,019		
Total share owners' equity of the Company		1,456		1,904		1,904		(3,808)		1,456		
Noncontrolling interests		1,150		1,901		147		(3,000)		147		
Total liabilities and share owners' equity	\$	2,154	\$	1,904	\$	8,419	\$	(4,058)	\$	8,419		

## Owens-Illinois, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

## 22. Financial Information for Subsidiary Guarantors and Non-Guarantors (Continued)

Balance Sheet	r	December 31, 2012 Guarantor Non-Guarantor Parent Subsidiaries Subsidiaries Elimination			Com	solidated				
Current assets:	r	arent	Subs	siutaries	50	ibsidiaries	ЕШ	iniations	Con	sonuateu
Accounts receivable	\$		\$		\$	968	\$		\$	968
Inventories	\$		\$		Ф	1,139	Э		<b>þ</b>	968 1,139
						541				541
Other current assets						341				341
Total current assets						2,648				2,648
Investments in and advances to subsidiaries		1,592		1,342				(2,934)		
Goodwill						2,079				2,079
Other non-current assets						1,102				1,102
Total other assets		1,592		1,342		3,181		(2,934)		3,181
Property, plant and equipment, net						2,769				2,769
Total assets	\$	1,592	\$	1,342	\$	8,598	\$	(2,934)	\$	8,598
Current liabilities :										
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$		\$		\$	1,688	\$		\$	1,688
Current portion of asbestos liability		155								155
Short-term loans and long-term debt due within										
one year						319				319
Total current liabilities		155				2,007				2,162
Long-term debt		250				3,454		(250)		3,454
Asbestos-related liabilities		306								306
Other non-current liabilities						1,621				1,621
Total share owners' equity of the Company		881		1,342		1,342		(2,684)		881
Noncontrolling interests						174				174
Total liabilities and share owners' equity	\$	1,592	\$	1,342	\$	8,598	\$	(2,934)	\$	8,598

## Owens-Illinois, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

## 22. Financial Information for Subsidiary Guarantors and Non-Guarantors (Continued)

Parent \$	Guarantor Subsidiaries \$	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	<b>TII I I</b>								
		Subsidiaries									
φ	φ	\$ 6,967	\$	\$	olidated 6,967						
		(5,636)	φ	φ	(5,636)						
		1,331			1,331						
(145)		(690)			(024)						
(145) (20)		(689) (219)			(834) (239)						
( )		· · · ·			(239)						
20					10						
329	329	10	(658)		10						
023	529	67	(000)		67						
184	329	480	(658)		335						
		(120)			(120)						
184	329	360	(658)		215						
101	527		(050)		(18)						
		(10)			(10)						
184	329	342	(658)		197						
		(13)			(13)						
\$ 184	\$ 329	\$ 329	\$ (658)		184						
	184	329       329         184       329         184       329         184       329         184       329	329       329       10         329       329       67         184       329       480         184       329       360         184       329       360         184       329       342         184       329       342         184       329       342	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						

	Year ended December 31, 2013										
					No	n-					
			Gu	arantor	Guar	antor					
Comprehensive Income	Pa	arent	Sub	sidiaries	Subsic	liaries	Elimir	nations	Conse	olidated	
Net earnings (loss)	\$	184	\$	329	\$	342	\$	(658)	\$	197	
Other comprehensive income (loss)		385		385		(199)		(192)		379	
Total comprehensive income (loss)		569		714		143		(850)		576	
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests						(7)				(7)	

Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to the Company	\$ 569	\$ 714	\$ 136	\$ (850) \$	569
	101				

## Owens-Illinois, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

## 22. Financial Information for Subsidiary Guarantors and Non-Guarantors (Continued)

		Year ended December 31, 2012 Non-											
Results of Operations	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated								
Net sales	\$	\$	\$ 7,000	\$	\$ 7,000								
Cost of goods sold			(5,626)		(5,626)								
Gross profit			1,374		1,374								
Research, engineering, selling, administrative, and													
other	(155)		(716)		(871)								
Interest expense	(20)		(228)		(248)								
Net intercompany interest	20		(20)										
Interest income			9		9								
Equity earnings from subsidiaries	339	339		(678)									
Other equity earnings			64		64								
Earnings before income taxes Provision for income taxes	184	339	483 (108)	(678)	328 (108)								
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	184	339	375	(678)	220								
Loss from discontinued operations			(2)		(2)								
Net earnings (loss)	184	339	373	(678)	218								
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	101	007	(34)	(070)	(34)								
Net earnings (loss) attributable to the Company	\$ 184	\$ 339	\$ 339	\$ (678)	\$ 184								

			Gua	Year rantor	Ν	Decembe Ion- Irantor	r 31,	, 2012		
Comprehensive Income	P	arent	Subs	idiaries	Subs	idiaries	Eli	minations	Conse	olidated
Net earnings (loss)	\$	184	\$	339	\$	373	\$	(678)	\$	218
Other comprehensive income		(185)		(185)		(202)		395		(177)
Total comprehensive income		(1)		154		171		(283)		41

	(42)		(42)
154 \$	129 \$	(283) \$	(1)
	154 \$		

## Owens-Illinois, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

## 22. Financial Information for Subsidiary Guarantors and Non-Guarantors (Continued)

	Year ended December 31, 2011 Non-											
Depute of Operations	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated							
Results of Operations Net sales	s s	\$	\$ 7,358	\$	\$ 7,358							
Cost of goods sold	ψ	ψ	(5,969)	ψ	(5,969)							
Gross profit			1,389		1,389							
Research, engineering, selling, administrative, and												
other	(165)		(1,383)		(1,548)							
Interest expense	(20)		(294)		(314)							
Net intercompany interest	20		(20)									
Interest income			11		11							
Equity earnings from subsidiaries	(335)	(335)		670								
Other equity earnings			66		66							
Earnings before income taxes	(500)	(335)	(231)	670	(396)							
Provision for income taxes			(85)		(85)							
Earnings from continuing operations	(500)	(335)	(316)	670	(481)							
Earnings from discontinued operations	(200)	()	1		1							
Net earnings (loss)	(500)	(335)	(315)	670	(480)							
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests			(20)		(20)							
Net earnings (loss) attributable to the Company	\$ (500)	\$ (335)	\$ (335)	\$ 670	\$ (500)							

	Year ended December 31, 2011										
					N	lon-					
			Gı	iarantor	Guai	antor					
Comprehensive Income	Р	arent	Sul	bsidiaries	Subsi	diaries	Eliminations	Coi	nsolidated		
Net earnings (loss)	\$	(500)	\$	(335)	\$	(315)	\$ 670	\$	(480)		
Other comprehensive income		(415)		(415)		(164)	579		(415)		
Total comprehensive income		(915)		(750)		(479)	1,249		(895)		
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests						(20)			(20)		

Comprehensive income attributable to the Company	\$ (915) \$	(750) \$	(499) \$	1,249 \$	(915)
	103				
	105				

## **Owens-Illinois**, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

## 22. Financial Information for Subsidiary Guarantors and Non-Guarantors (Continued)

			Year Guarantor	r ended I No Guara	n-	r 31, 2013		
Cash Flows	P	arent	Subsidiaries	Subsid	iaries	Eliminations	Consoli	idated
Cash provided by (utilized in) operating								
activities	\$	(158)	\$	\$	840	\$	\$	682
Cash utilized in investing activities					(402)			(402)
Cash provided by (utilized in) financing								
activities		158			(479)			(321)
Effect of exchange rate change on cash					(7)			(7)
Net change in cash					(48)			(48)
Cash at beginning of period					431			431
Cash at end of period	\$		\$	\$	383	\$	\$	383

			Guarantor	No Guara	n- antor	er 31, 2012		
Cash Flows	P	arent	Subsidiaries	Subsid	laries	Eliminations	Consol	idated
Cash provided by (utilized in) operating								
activities	\$	(165)	\$	\$	740	\$	\$	575
Cash utilized in investing activities					(221)			(221)
Cash provided by (utilized in) financing								
activities		165			(504)			(339)
Effect of exchange rate change on cash					16			16
Net change in cash					31			31
Cash at beginning of period					400			400
Cash at end of period	\$		\$	\$	431	\$	\$	431

Year ended December 31, 2011 Eliminations Consolidated

		Guarantor Subsidiaries	Guar	on- rantor diaries		
Cash provided by (utilized in) operating						
activities	\$ (170)	\$	\$	673	\$	\$ 503
Cash utilized in investing activities				(426)		(426)
Cash provided by (utilized in) financing						
activities	170			(493)		(323)
Effect of exchange rate change on cash				6		6
Net change in cash				(240)		(240)
Cash at beginning of period				640		640
Cash at end of period	\$	\$	\$	400	\$	\$ 400

**Selected Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited)** The following tables present selected financial data by quarter for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	1,641	First Second Quarter Quarter			ıarter	0	uarter		Total Year
	1,041	\$	1,781		1,784		1,761	\$	6,967
\$							291		1,331
\$	79	\$	135	\$	132	\$	(144)	\$	202
Ŧ	(10)	Ŧ	(3)	Ŧ	(2)	Ŧ	(3)	+	(18)
\$	69	\$	132	\$	130	\$	(147)	\$	184
\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	1.22
	(0.06)		(0.02)		(0.01)		(0.02)		(0.11)
\$	0.42	\$	0.80	\$	0.79	\$	(0.90)	\$	1.11
¢	0.48	¢	0.81	¢	0.70	¢	(0.99)	¢	1.22
		φ		φ		φ		φ	(0.11)
\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	1.11
	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ 79 (10) \$ 69 \$ 0.48 (0.06) \$ 0.42 \$ 0.48 (0.06)	<ul> <li>79 \$ (10)</li> <li>69 \$</li> <li>69 \$</li> <li>0.48 \$ (0.06)</li> <li>0.48 \$</li> <li>0.48 \$</li> </ul>	\$       79       \$       135         (10)       (3)         \$       69       \$       132         \$       69       \$       132         \$       0.48       \$       0.82         (0.06)       \$       0.02)         \$       0.42       \$       0.80         \$       0.48       \$       0.81         (0.06)       \$       (0.02)	\$       79       \$       135       \$         (10)       (3)       (3)       \$         \$       69       \$       132       \$         \$       0.48       \$       0.82       \$         \$       0.42       \$       0.80       \$         \$       0.42       \$       0.80       \$         \$       0.48       \$       0.81       \$         \$       0.48       \$       0.81       \$	\$       79       \$       135       \$       132         (10)       (3)       (2)         \$       69       \$       132       \$       130         \$       0.48       \$       0.82       \$       0.80         (0.06)       (0.02)       (0.01)         \$       0.42       \$       0.80       \$       0.79         \$       0.48       \$       0.81       \$       0.79         \$       0.48       \$       0.81       \$       0.79	\$       79       \$       135       \$       132       \$         (10)       (3)       (2)       \$         (10)       (3)       (2)       \$         (10)       (3)       (2)       \$         (10)       (3)       (2)       \$         (10)       (3)       (2)       \$         (10)       (3)       (2)       \$         (10)       (3)       (2)       \$         (10)       (3)       (2)       \$         (10)       (3)       (2)       \$         (10)       (3)       (2)       \$         (10)       (3)       (2)       \$         (10)       (3)       (2)       \$         (0.06)       (0.82)       \$       0.80       \$         (0.01)       (0.02)       (0.01)       \$         (10)       (0.02)       (0.01)       \$	\$ 79       \$ 135       \$ 132       \$ (144)         (10)       (3)       (2)       (3)         \$ 69       \$ 132       \$ 130       \$ (147)         \$ 69       \$ 132       \$ 130       \$ (147)         \$ 0.48       \$ 0.82       \$ 0.80       \$ (0.88)         (0.06)       (0.02)       (0.01)       (0.02)         \$ 0.48       \$ 0.81       \$ 0.79       \$ (0.90)         \$ 0.48       \$ 0.81       \$ 0.79       \$ (0.88)         (0.06)       (0.02)       (0.01)       (0.22)	\$ 79       \$ 135       \$ 132       \$ (144)       \$         (10)       (3)       (2)       \$ (144)       \$         \$ 69       \$ 132       \$ 130       \$ (147)       \$         \$ 0.48       \$ 0.82       \$ 0.80       \$ (0.88)       \$         \$ 0.48       \$ 0.82       \$ 0.80       \$ (0.88)       \$         \$ 0.42       \$ 0.80       \$ 0.79       \$ (0.90)       \$         \$ 0.48       \$ 0.81       \$ 0.79       \$ (0.88)       \$         \$ 0.48       \$ 0.81       \$ 0.79       \$ (0.88)       \$

(a)

Amounts management considers not representative of ongoing operations include:

Amount for the first quarter included charges totaling \$21 million (\$20 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for the following: (1) \$11 million (pretax and after tax) for note repurchase premiums and the write-off of finance fees related to debt that was repaid prior to its maturity; and (2) \$10 million (\$9 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for restructuring, asset

impairment and related charges. The effect of these charges was a reduction in earnings per share of \$0.12.

Amount for the fourth quarter included net charges totaling \$254 million (\$229 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for the following: (1) \$145 million (pretax and after tax) to increase the accrual for estimated future asbestos-related costs; and (2) \$109 million (\$84 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges. The effect of these charges was a reduction in earnings per share of \$1.38.

(b)

Earnings per share are computed independently for each period presented. As such, the sums of the amounts calculated separately for each quarter do not equal the year-to-date amount.

		First uarter		econd uarter			Third Fourth			Total Year
Net sales		1,739		1,766		1,747		1,748		7,000
Gross profit	\$	378	\$	376	\$	342	\$	278	\$	1,374
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations attributable to the Company(c)	\$	122	\$	134	\$	92	\$	(162)	\$	186
Earnings (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to the Company(C) Earnings (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to the Company	φ	(1)	Ŷ	(1)	φ	(2)	φ	2	φ	(2)
Net earnings (loss) attributable to the Company	\$	121	\$	133	\$	90	\$	(160)	\$	184
Earnings per share of common stock(d):										
Basic:										
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$	0.74	\$	0.82	\$	0.55	\$	(0.99)	\$	1.13
Earnings from discontinued operations	Ŷ	(0.01)	Ψ	(0.01)	Ŷ	(0.01)	Ŷ	0.02	Ŷ	(0.01)
Net earnings (loss)	\$		\$	0.81	\$	0.54	\$	(0.97)	\$	1.12
Diluted:										
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$	0.73	\$	0.81	\$	0.55	\$	(0.99)	\$	1.12
Earnings from discontinued operations		(0.01)		(0.01)		(0.01)		0.02		(0.01)
Net earnings (loss)	\$	0.72	\$	0.80	\$	0.54	\$	(0.97)	\$	1.11

(c)

Amounts management considers not representative of ongoing operations include:

Amount for the third quarter included charges totaling \$33 million (\$23 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges. The effect of these charges was a reduction in earnings per share of \$0.14.

Amount for the fourth quarter included net charges totaling \$229 million (\$229 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for the following: (1) \$155 million (pretax and after tax) to increase the accrual for estimated future asbestos-related costs; (2) \$135 million (\$121 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges;

and (3) a gain of \$61 million (\$33 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) related to cash received from the Chinese government as compensation for land in China that the Company was required to return to the government. The effect of these charges was a reduction in earnings per share of \$1.47.

Amount for the fourth quarter included a tax benefit of \$14 million for certain tax adjustments. The effect of these tax benefits was an increase in earnings per share of \$0.09.

#### (d)

Earnings per share are computed independently for each period presented. As such, the sums of the amounts calculated separately for each quarter do not equal the year-to-date amount.

# ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

#### ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange

Commission's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Also, the Company has investments in certain unconsolidated entities. As the Company does not control or manage these entities, its disclosure controls and procedures with respect to such entities are necessarily substantially more limited than those maintained with respect to its consolidated subsidiaries.

As required by Rule 13a-15(b) of the Exchange Act, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the foregoing, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level as of December 31, 2013.

Management concluded that the Company's system of internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2013. As required by Rule 13a-15(b) of the Exchange Act, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of any change in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting. The Company is undertaking the phased implementation of an Enterprise Resource Planning software system. The phased implementation commenced in the South America segment during 2013, resulting in changes to certain processes in that segment. The Company believes it is maintaining and monitoring appropriate internal controls during the implementation period and further believes that its internal control over financial reporting during the Company's most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to material affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

#### Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The management of Owens-Illinois, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. However, all internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and reporting.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013. In making this assessment management used the criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting as described in "Internal Control Integrated Framework" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO framework) in 1992.

Based on this assessment, using the criteria above, management concluded that the Company's system of internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2013.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, that audited the Company's consolidated financial statements, has issued an attestation report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting which is included below.

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Share Owners of Owens-Illinois, Inc.

We have audited Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (1992 framework) (the COSO criteria). Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Owens-Illinois, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Owens-Illinois, Inc. as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of results of operations, comprehensive income, share owners' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013 and our report dated February 13, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP Toledo, Ohio February 13, 2014

# ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

#### PART III

#### ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Information with respect to non-officer directors and corporate governance is included in the 2014 Proxy Statement in the sections entitled "Election of Directors" and "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

Information with respect to executive officers is included herein on page 7.

#### **Code of Business Conduct and Ethics**

The Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which is applicable to all directors, officers and employees of the Company, including the principal executive officer, the principal financial officer and the principal accounting officer, is available on the Investor Relations section of the Company's web site (www.o-i.com). A copy of the Code is also available in print to share owners upon request, addressed to the Corporate Secretary at Owens-Illinois, Inc., One Michael Owens Way, Perrysburg, Ohio 43551. The Company intends to post amendments to or waivers from its Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (to the extent applicable to the Company's directors, executive officers or principal financial officers) at this location on its web site.

#### ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The section entitled "Executive Compensation," exclusive of the subsection entitled "Board Compensation Committee Report" which is included in the 2014 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

# ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The section entitled "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" which is included in the 2014 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

The following table summarizes securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2013.

	Equity	Compensation Plan	Information
Plan Category	(a) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights(1) (thousands)	(b) Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (thousands)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	2,421	C	
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	2,421	\$ 25.50	4,644

(1)

Represents options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock. There are no outstanding warrants or rights.

#### ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The section entitled "Director and Executive Compensation and Other Information," exclusive of the subsection entitled "Board Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation," which is included in the 2014 Proxy Statement, is incorporated herein by reference.

## ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Information with respect to principal accountant fees and services is included in the 2014 Proxy Statement in the section entitled "Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

# PART IV

## ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

# FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Index of Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules Covered by Report of Independent Auditors.

(i) Registrant	Page	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting		
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2013 and	5 <u>1</u>	
	<u>54 - 55</u>	
For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 20	11	
Consolidated Results of Operations		
Consolidated Comprehensive Income	$\frac{52}{53}$	
Consolidated Share Owners' Equity	52 53 56 57	
Consolidated Cash Flows Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>57</u>	
The consolidated I maleral Statements	<u>58</u>	
Exhibit Index	112	
Financial Statement Schedule		Schedule Page
For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 20	11:	1 age
II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts (Consolidated)		S-1
All other schedules have been omitted since the requir require submission of the schedule.	red information is not present or not present in amounts sufficient to	<u>5-1</u>
(ii) Separate Financial Statements of Affiliates Whose	Securities Are Pledged As Collateral	117
	111	<u>116</u>

# EXHIBIT INDEX

S-K Item 601 No. 3.1	<b>Document</b> Third Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Owens-Illinois, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
3.2	Third Amended and Restated Bylaws of Owens-Illinois, Inc., (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 8-K dated April 23, 2009, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
4.1	Indenture dated as of May 20, 1998, between Owens-Illinois, Inc. and The Bank of New York, as Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 8-K dated May 20, 1998, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
4.2	Officers' Certificate, dated May 20, 1998, establishing the terms of the 7.80% Senior Notes due 2018; including the Form of 7.80% Senior Note due 2018 (filed as Exhibits 4.5 and 4.9, respectively, to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 8-K dated May 20, 1998, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
4.3	Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 26, 2001 among Owens-Illinois, Inc., Owens-Illinois Group, Inc., Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc. and The Bank of New York, as Trustee (May 20, 1998 Indenture) (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Owens-Illinois Inc.'s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
4.4	Indenture, dated as of May 12, 2009, by and among Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc., the guarantors party thereto and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Owens-Illinois Group, Inc.'s Form 8-K dated May 12, 2009, File No. 33-13061, and incorporated herein by reference).
4.5	Indenture, dated as of May 7, 2010, by and among Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc., Owens-Illinois, Inc., the Guarantors party thereto, and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, paying agent, registrar and exchange agent (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
4.6	Form of Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of May 7, 2010, by and among Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc., Owens-Illinois, Inc. and the Initial Purchasers named therein (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Owens-Illinois Group, Inc.'s Form 8-K dated May 7, 2010, File No. 33-13061, and incorporated herein by reference).
4.7	Indenture, dated as of September 15, 2010, by and among OI European Group B.V.; the guarantors party thereto; Deutsche Trustee Company Limited as trustee; Deutsche Bank AG, London Branch as principal paying agent and transfer agent; and Deutsche Bank Luxembourg S.A. as the registrar, Luxembourg paying agent and transfer agent, including the form of the Senior Notes (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Owens-Illinois Group, Inc.'s Form 8-K dated September 15, 2010, File No. 33-13061, and incorporated herein by reference).
	110

S-K Item 601 No.	Document
4.8	Credit Agreement, dated as of May 19, 2011, by and among the Borrowers named therein, Owens-Illinois General, Inc., as Borrower's agent, Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch, as Administrative Agent, and the other Agents, Arrangers and Lenders named therein (filed as exhibit 4.1 to Owens-Illinois Group, Inc.'s Form 8-K dated May 19, 2011, File No. 33-13061, and incorporated herein by reference).
4.9	First Amendment to Credit Agreement and Consent, dated as of June 18, 2013, by and among the Borrowers named therein, Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch, as Administrative Agent, and the other Agents, Arrangers and Lenders named therein (filed as exhibit 4.1 to Owens-Illinois Group, Inc.'s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, File No. 33-13061, and incorporated herein by reference).
4.10	Third Amended and Restated Intercreditor Agreement, dated as of May 19, 2011, by and among Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch, as Administrative Agent for the lenders party to the Credit Agreement (as defined therein) and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as Collateral Agent (as defined therein) and any other parties thereto (filed as exhibit 4.2 to Owens-Illinois Group, Inc.'s Form 8-K dated May 19, 2011, File No. 33-13061, and incorporated herein by reference).
4.11	Third Amended and Restated Pledge Agreement, dated as of May 19, 2011, between Owens-Illinois Group, Inc., Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc., and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as Collateral Agent (as defined therein) and any other parties thereto (filed as exhibit 4.3 to Owens-Illinois Group, Inc.'s Form 8-K dated May 19, 2011, File No. 33-13061, and incorporated herein by reference).
4.12	Security Agreement, dated as of May 19, 2011, between Owens-Illinois Group, Inc., each of the direct and indirect subsidiaries of Owens-Illinois Group, Inc. signatory thereto, and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as Collateral Agent (as defined therein) (filed as exhibit 4.4 to Owens-Illinois Group, Inc.'s Form 8-K dated May 19, 2011, File No. 33-13061, and incorporated herein by reference).
4.13	Indenture dated as of March 22, 2013, by and among OI European Group B.V.; the guarantors party thereto; Deutsche Trustee Company Limited as trustee; Deutsche Bank AG, London Branch as principal paying agent and transfer agent; and Deutsche Bank Luxembourg S.A. as the registrar and Luxembourg transfer agent, including the form of Notes (filed as exhibit 4.1 to Owens-Illinois Group, Inc.'s Form 8-K dated March 22, 2013, File No. 33-13061, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.1*	Amended and Restated Owens-Illinois Supplemental Retirement Benefit Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1998, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.2*	First Amendment to Amended and Restated Owens-Illinois Supplemental Retirement Benefit Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
	113

<b>S-K Item 601 No.</b> 10.3*	<b>Document</b> Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Owens-Illinois Supplemental Retirement Benefit Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2002, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.4*	Third Amendment to Amended and Restated Owens-Illinois Supplemental Retirement Benefit Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.5*	Owens-Illinois, Inc. Directors Deferred Compensation Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.26 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.6*	First Amendment to Owens-Illinois, Inc. Directors Deferred Compensation Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.27 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.7*	Second Amendment to Owens-Illinois, Inc. Directors Deferred Compensation Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1997, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.8*	Amended and Restated 1997 Equity Participation Plan of Owens-Illinois, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1999, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.9*	First Amendment to Amended and Restated 1997 Equity Participation Plan of Owens-Illinois, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.10*	Owens-Illinois, Inc. Executive Deferred Savings Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.11*	2004 Equity Incentive Plan for Directors of Owens-Illinois, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.12*	Owens-Illinois 2004 Executive Life Insurance Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.32 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.13*	Owens-Illinois 2004 Executive Life Insurance Plan for Non-U.S. Employees (filed as Exhibit 10.33 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.14*	Amended and Restated Owens-Illinois, Inc. 2005 Incentive Award Plan dated as of April 24, 2009 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.15*	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement for use under the Owens-Illinois, Inc. 2005 Incentive Award Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.25 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference). 114

S-K Item 601 No.	Document
10.16*	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for use under the Owens-Illinois, Inc. 2005 Incentive Award Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.30 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.17*	Form of Phantom Stock Agreement for use under the Owens-Illinois, Inc. 2005 Incentive Award Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.31 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.18*	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for use under the Owens-Illinois, Inc. 2005 Incentive Award Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.28 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.19*	Form of Performance Share Unit Agreement for use under the Owens-Illinois, Inc. 2005 Incentive Award Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.29 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.20*	Amended and restated letter agreement between Owens-Illinois, Inc. and Albert P.L. Stroucken (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s Form 8-K dated October 26, 2011, File No. 1-9576, and incorporated herein by reference).
12	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges (filed herewith).
21	Subsidiaries of Owens-Illinois, Inc. (filed herewith).
23	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (filed herewith).
24	Owens-Illinois, Inc. Power of Attorney (filed herewith).
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350 (filed herewith).
32.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350 (filed herewith).
101	Financial statements from the annual report on Form 10-K of Owens-Illinois, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2013, formatted in XBRL: (i) the Consolidated Results of Operations, (ii) the Consolidated Comprehensive Income, (iii) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iv) the Consolidated Share Owners' Equity, (v) the Consolidated Cash Flows and (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to this form pursuant to Item 15(c).

115

\*

# SEPARATE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF AFFILIATES WHOSE SECURITIES ARE PLEDGED AS COLLATERAL.

1)

Financial statements of Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc. and subsidiaries including consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related results of operations, comprehensive income, share owners' equity, and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

2)

Financial statements of Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc. and subsidiaries including consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related results of operations, comprehensive income, share owners' equity, and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Share Owner of Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc. (the Company) as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of results of operations, comprehensive income, share owners' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc. at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP Toledo, Ohio February 13, 2014

## **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## **Dollars in millions**

Years ended December 31,	2013	2012	2011
Net sales	\$ 6,967	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,358
Cost of goods sold	(5,621)	(5,615)	(5,972)
Gross profit	1,346	1,385	1,386
Selling and administrative expense	(429)	(482)	(484)
Research, development and engineering expense	(62)	(62)	(71)
Equity earnings	67	64	66
Interest income	9	9	11
Interest expense	(219)	(228)	(294)
Other expense, net	(123)	(93)	(751)
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	589	593	(137)
Provision for income taxes	(120)	(114)	(87)
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations Loss from discontinued operations	469 (10)	479 (5)	(224) (2)
Net earnings (loss)	459	474	(226)
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	(13)	(34)	(20)
Net earnings (loss) attributable to the Company	\$ 446	\$ 440	\$ (246)

Amounts attributable to the Company:			
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 456	\$ 445	\$ (244)
Loss from discontinued operations	(10)	(5)	(2)
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 446	\$ 440	\$ (246)

## **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# CONSOLIDATED COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

## **Dollars in millions**

Years ended December 31,	2	2013	2	2012	2	2011
Net earnings (loss)	\$	459	\$	474	\$	(226)
Other comprehensive income (loss):						
Foreign currency translation adjustments		(232)		(26)		(187)
Pension and other postretirement benefit adjustments, net of tax		35		(184)		25
Change in fair value of derivative instruments		2		5		(3)
Other comprehensive income (loss)		(195)		(205)		(165)
Total comprehensive income (loss)		264		269		(391)
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests		(7)		(42)		(20)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to the Company	\$	257	\$	227	\$	(411)

## **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

## **Dollars in millions**

December 31,	2013	2012
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash, including time deposits of \$61 (\$90 in 2012)	\$ 356	\$ 420
Receivables including amount from related parties of \$1 (\$5 in 2012)	942	976
Inventories	1,117	1,139
Prepaid expenses	100	103
Total current assets	2,515	2,638
Other assets:	,	,
Equity investments	315	294
Repair parts inventories	116	133
Pension assets	22	
Other assets	586	584
Goodwill	2,059	2,079
Total other assets	3,098	3,090
Property, plant and equipment:		
Land, at cost	249	256
Buildings and equipment, at cost:		
Buildings and building equipment	1,153	1,178
Factory machinery and equipment	4,646	4,856
Transportation, office and miscellaneous equipment	100	113
Construction in progress	212	187
	6,360	6,590
Less accumulated depreciation	3,763	3,860
Net property, plant and equipment	2,597	2,730
Total assets	\$ 8,210	\$ 8,458

# **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# **CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Continued)**

#### **Dollars in millions**

December 31,	2013	2012
Liabilities and Share Owners' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Short-term loans	\$ 306	\$ 296
Accounts payable including amount to related parties of \$7 (\$13 in 2012)	1,132	1,030
Salaries and wages	155	161
U.S. and foreign income taxes	40	45
Other accrued liabilities	381	390
Long-term debt due within one year	15	22
Total current liabilities	2,029	1,944
External long-term debt	2,983	3,190
	2,985	5,190
Deferred taxes	232	182
Pension benefits	304	377
NT	06	00
Nonpension postretirement benefits	86	98
Other liabilities	261	299
Share owners' equity:	2 205	0.1.40
Investment by and advances from Parent	2,305	2,142
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(137)	52
Total share any are a country of the Commonly	2 160	2 104
Total share owner's equity of the Company	2,168 147	2,194 174
Noncontrolling interests	147	1/4
Total share owners' equity	2,315	2,368
Total liabilities and share owners' equity	\$ 8,210	\$ 8,458

## **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# CONSOLIDATED SHARE OWNERS' EQUITY

### **Dollars in millions**

	SI	nare Owner's H Compa					
	Adva	ment by and ances from Parent	Co	ccumulated Other omprehensive come (Loss)	Non-controlling Interests	0	al Share wners' quity
Balance on January 1, 2011	\$	2,255	\$	439	\$ 211	\$	2,905
Net intercompany transactions		3					3
Net earnings (loss)		(246)			20		(226)
Other comprehensive loss				(165)			(165)
Acquisition of noncontrolling interests		(55)		(9)	(43)		(107)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests					(35)		(35)
Balance on December 31, 2011		1,957		265	153		2,375
Net intercompany transactions		(255)					(255)
Net earnings		440			34		474
Other comprehensive income (loss)				(213)	8		(205)
Contribution from noncontrolling interests					3		3
Distributions to noncontrolling interests					(24)		(24)
Balance on December 31, 2012		2,142		52	174		2,368
Net intercompany transactions		(283)					(283)
Net earnings		446			13		459
Other comprehensive loss				(189)	(6)		(195)
Contribution from noncontrolling interests					5		5
Distributions to noncontrolling interests					(22)		(22)
Deconsolidation of subsidiary					(17)		(17)
Balance on December 31, 2013	\$	2,305	\$	(137)	\$ 147	\$	2,315

# **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS

## **Dollars in millions**

Years ended December 31,	20	)13	2012		2011
Operating activities:					
Net earnings (loss)	\$	459	\$	474	\$ (226)
Loss from discontinued operations		10		5	2
Non-cash charges (credits):					
Depreciation		345		374	401
Amortization of intangibles and other deferred items		40		27	14
Amortization of finance fees and debt discount		32		33	32
Deferred tax benefit		(3)		(3)	(44)
Restructuring, asset impairment and related charges		119		159	111
Gain on China land compensation				(61)	
Charge for goodwill impairment				(- )	641
Other		36		(58)	(11)
Cash paid for restructuring activities		(78)		(65)	(39)
Change in non-current assets and liabilities		(134)		(54)	(96)
Change in components of working capital		124		(9)	(74)
change in components of working capital		121			(71)
Cash provided by continuing operating activities		950		822	711
Cash utilized in discontinued operating activities		(10)		(5)	(2)
Total cash provided by operating activities		940		817	709
Investing activities:					
Additions to property, plant and equipment		(360)		(290)	(280)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired		(4)		(5)	(144)
Net cash proceeds related to sale of assets and other		10		95	3
Net payments to fund minority partner loan		(16)		(21)	
Deconsolidation of subsidiary		(32)			
Cash utilized in investing activities		(402)		(221)	(421)
Financing activities:					
Additions to long-term debt		768		119	1,465
Repayments of long-term debt	(	(1,040)		(401)	(1,796)
Increase (decrease) in short-term loans		8		(38)	80
Net receipts from (distribution to) parent		(283)		(255)	1
Net receipts (payments) for hedging activity and other		(24)		27	(22)
Payment of finance fees		(7)		(1)	(19)
Contribution from noncontrolling interests		5		3	
Distributions to noncontrolling interests		(22)		(24)	(35)
Cash utilized in financing activities		(595)		(570)	(326)
Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash		(7)		16	6
Increase (decrease) in cash		(64)		42	(32)
Cash at beginning of year		420		378	410

Cash at end of year \$ 356 \$ 420 \$ 378

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 1. Significant Accounting Policies

**Basis of Consolidated Statements** The consolidated financial statements of Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc. (the "Company") include the accounts of its subsidiaries. Newly acquired subsidiaries have been included in the consolidated financial statements from dates of acquisition.

The Company uses the equity method of accounting for investments in which it has a significant ownership interest, generally 20% to 50%. Other investments are accounted for at cost. The Company monitors other than temporary declines in fair value and records reductions in carrying values when appropriate.

**Relationship with Owens-Illinois Group, Inc. and Owens-Illinois, Inc.** The Company is a 100%-owned subsidiary of Owens-Illinois Group, Inc. ("OI Group") and an indirect subsidiary of Owens-Illinois, Inc. ("OI Inc."). Although OI Inc. does not conduct any operations, it has substantial obligations related to outstanding indebtedness and asbestos-related payments. OI Inc. relies primarily on distributions from its direct and indirect subsidiaries to meet these obligations.

For federal and certain state income tax purposes, the taxable income of the Company is included in the consolidated tax returns of OI Inc. and income taxes are allocated to the Company on a basis consistent with separate returns.

**Nature of Operations** The Company is a leading manufacturer of glass container products. The Company's principal product lines are glass containers for the food and beverage industries. The Company has glass container operations located in 21 countries. The principal markets and operations for the Company's products are in Europe, North America, South America and Asia Pacific.

**Use of Estimates** The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management of the Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results may differ from those estimates, at which time the Company would revise its estimates accordingly.

**Foreign Currency Translation** The assets and liabilities of non-U.S. subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars at year-end exchange rates. Any related translation adjustments are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income in share owners' equity.

**Revenue Recognition** The Company recognizes sales, net of estimated discounts and allowances, when the title to the products and risk of loss are transferred to customers. Provisions for rebates to customers are provided in the same period that the related sales are recorded.

**Shipping and Handling Costs** Shipping and handling costs are included with cost of goods sold in the Consolidated Results of Operations.

**Cash** The Company defines "cash" as cash and time deposits with maturities of three months or less when purchased. Outstanding checks in excess of funds on deposit are included in accounts payable.

#### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 1. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Accounts Receivable Receivables are stated at amounts estimated by management to be the net realizable value. The Company charges off accounts receivable when it becomes apparent based upon age or customer circumstances that amounts will not be collected.

**Allowance for Doubtful Accounts** The allowance for doubtful accounts is established through charges to the provision for bad debts. The Company evaluates the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts on a periodic basis. The evaluation includes historical trends in collections and write-offs, management's judgment of the probability of collecting accounts and management's evaluation of business risk.

Inventory Valuation Inventories are valued at the lower of average costs or market.

**Goodwill** Goodwill represents the excess of cost over fair value of net assets of businesses acquired. Goodwill is evaluated annually, as of October 1, for impairment or more frequently if an impairment indicator exists.

**Intangible Assets and Other Long-Lived Assets** Intangible assets are amortized over the expected useful life of the asset. Amortization expense directly attributed to the manufacturing of the Company's products is included in cost of goods sold. Amortization expense related to non-manufacturing activities is included in selling and administrative and other. The Company evaluates the recoverability of intangible assets and other long-lived assets based on undiscounted projected cash flows, excluding interest and taxes, when factors indicate that impairment may exist. If impairment exists, the asset is written down to fair value.

**Property, Plant and Equipment** Property, plant and equipment ("PP&E") is carried at cost and includes expenditures for new facilities and equipment and those costs which substantially increase the useful lives or capacity of existing PP&E. In general, depreciation is computed using the straight-line method and recorded over the estimated useful life of the asset. Factory machinery and equipment is depreciated over periods ranging from 5 to 25 years with the majority of such assets (principally glass-melting furnaces and forming machines) depreciated over 7 to 15 years. Buildings and building equipment are depreciated over periods ranging from 10 to 50 years. Depreciation expense directly attributed to the manufacturing of the Company's products is included in cost of goods sold. Depreciation expense related to non-manufacturing activities is included in selling and administrative. Depreciation expense includes the amortization of assets recorded under capital leases. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. Costs assigned to PP&E of acquired businesses are based on estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. The Company evaluates the recoverability of PP&E based on undiscounted projected cash flows, excluding interest and taxes, when factors indicate that impairment may exist. If impairment exists, the asset is written down to fair value.

**Derivative Instruments** The Company uses forward exchange contracts, options and commodity futures contracts to manage risks generally associated with foreign exchange rate and commodity market volatility. Derivative financial instruments are included on the balance sheet at fair value. When appropriate, derivative instruments are designated as and are effective as hedges, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. If the underlying hedged transaction ceases to exist, all changes in fair value of the related derivatives that have not been settled are recognized in current earnings. The Company does not enter into derivative financial instruments for



#### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 1. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

trading purposes and is not a party to leveraged derivatives. Cash flows from short-term forward exchange contracts not designated as hedges are classified as a financing activity. Cash flows of commodity futures contracts are classified as operating activities.

**Fair Value Measurements** Fair value is defined as the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. Generally accepted accounting principles defined a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows:

Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets;

Level 2: Inputs, other than quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or indirectly; and

Level 3: Unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data, which requires the Company to develop assumptions.

The carrying amounts reported for cash and short-term loans approximate fair value. In addition, carrying amounts approximate fair value for certain long-term debt obligations subject to frequently redetermined interest rates. Fair values for the Company's significant fixed rate debt obligations are generally based on published market quotations.

The Company's derivative assets and liabilities consist of natural gas forwards and foreign exchange option and forward contracts. The Company uses an income approach to valuing these contracts. Natural gas forward rates and foreign exchange rates are the significant inputs into the valuation models. These inputs are observable in active markets over the terms of the instruments the Company holds, and accordingly, the Company classifies its derivative assets and liabilities as Level 2 in the hierarchy. The Company also evaluates counterparty risk in determining fair values.

Reclassifications Certain reclassifications of prior years' data have been made to conform to the current year presentation.

**New Accounting Standards** In July 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued guidance related to the presentation of unrecognized tax benefits when net operating loss carryforwards or tax credit carryforwards exist. This new guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods, beginning after December 31, 2013. The Company elected to adopt this standard effective December 31, 2013. The adoption of this standard impacted how the Company presents certain of its unrecognized tax benefits on its balance sheet, with no impact to the results of operations or cash flows.

Participation in OI Inc. Stock Option Plans and Other Stock Based Compensation The Company participates in the equity compensation plans of OI Inc. under which employees of the Company may be granted options to purchase common shares of OI Inc., restricted common shares of OI Inc., or restricted share units of OI Inc.



#### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 1. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

#### Stock Options

Options granted prior to March 22, 2005, all of which are exercisable, expire following termination of employment or the day after the tenth anniversary date of the option grant.

For options granted after March 21, 2005, no options may be exercised in whole or in part during the first year after the date granted. In general, subject to change in control, these options become exercisable 25% per year beginning on the first anniversary. In general, options expire following termination of employment or the seventh anniversary of the option grant. The fair value of options granted after March 21, 2005, is amortized over the vesting periods which range from one to four years.

#### Restricted Shares and Restricted Share Units

Shares granted to employees prior to March 22, 2005, generally vest after three years or upon retirement, whichever is later. Shares granted after March 21, 2005 and prior to 2011, vest 25% per year beginning on the first anniversary and unvested shares are forfeited upon termination of employment. Restricted share units granted to employees after 2010 vest 25% per year beginning on the first anniversary. Holders of vested restricted share units receive one share of OI Inc.'s common stock for each unit. Granted but unvested restricted share units are forfeited upon termination, unless certain retirement criteria are met.

The fair value of the restricted shares and restricted share units is equal to the market price of OI Inc.'s common stock on the date of the grant. The fair value of restricted shares granted before March 22, 2005, is amortized ratably over the vesting period. The fair value of restricted shares and restricted share units granted after March 21, 2005, is amortized over the vesting periods which range from one to four years.

#### Performance Vested Restricted Share Units

Performance vested restricted share units vest on January 1 of the third year following the year in which they are granted. Holders of vested units may receive up to 2 shares of OI Inc.'s common stock for each unit, depending upon the attainment of consolidated performance goals established by the Compensation Committee of OI Inc.'s Board of Directors. If minimum goals are not met, no shares will be issued. Granted but unvested restricted share units are forfeited upon termination of employment, unless certain retirement criteria are met.

The fair value of each performance vested restricted share unit is equal to the product of the fair value of OI Inc.'s common stock on the date of grant and the estimated number of shares into which the performance vested restricted share unit will be converted. The fair value of performance vested restricted share units is amortized ratably over the vesting period. Should the estimated number of shares into which the performance vested restricted share unit will be converted change, an adjustment will be recorded to recognize the accumulated difference in amortization between the revised and previous estimates.

As discussed in Note 21, costs incurred under these plans by OI Inc. related to stock-based compensation awards granted directly to the Company's employees are included in the allocable costs charged to the Company and other operating subsidiaries of OI Inc. on an intercompany basis.

#### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 2. Segment Information

The Company has four reportable segments based on its geographic locations: Europe, North America, South America and Asia Pacific. These four segments are aligned with the Company's internal approach to managing, reporting, and evaluating performance of its global glass operations. Certain assets and activities not directly related to one of the regions or to glass manufacturing are reported with Other. These include licensing, equipment manufacturing, global engineering, and non-glass equity investments.

The Company's measure of profit for its reportable segments is segment operating profit, which consists of consolidated earnings from continuing operations before interest income, interest expense, and provision for income taxes and excludes amounts related to certain items that management considers not representative of ongoing operations as well as certain retained corporate costs. The Company's management uses segment operating profit, in combination with selected cash flow information, to evaluate performance and to allocate resources. Segment operating profit for reportable segments includes an allocation of some corporate expenses based on both a percentage of sales and direct billings based on the costs of specific services provided.

Financial information regarding the Company's reportable segments is as follows:

	2013		2012		2011
Net sales:					
Europe	\$	2,787	\$	2,717	\$ 3,052
North America		2,002		1,966	1,929
South America		1,186		1,252	1,226
Asia Pacific		966		1,028	1,059
Reportable segment totals		6,941		6,963	7,266
Other		26		37	92
Net sales	\$	6,967	\$	7,000	\$ 7,358

# **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# Tabular data dollars in millions

## 2. Segment Information (Continued)

	2013		2	2012		2011
Segment operating profit:						
Europe	\$	305	\$	307	\$	345
North America		307		288		222
South America		204		227		250
Asia Pacific		131		113		83
Reportable segment totals		947		935		900
Items excluded from segment operating profit:						
Other		(29)		(25)		(2)
Restructuring, asset impairment and related charges		(119)		(159)		(111)
Gain on China land compensation				61		
Charge for goodwill impairment						(641)
Interest income		9		9		11
Interest expense		(219)		(228)		(294)
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	\$	589	\$	593	\$	(137)

	E	urope		North merica		South merica		Asia Pacific	Se	portable egment Fotals	0	ther		nsolidated Totals
Total assets:														
2013	\$	3,509	\$	1,986	\$	1,467	\$	1,150	\$	8,112	\$	98	\$	8,210
2012		3,362		1,986		1,655		1,349		8,352		106		8,458
2011		3,588		2,013		1,682		1,379		8,662		157		8,819
Equity investments:														
2013	\$	84	\$	25	\$		\$	155	\$	264	\$	51	\$	315
2012		63		25				165		253		41		294
2011		59		27				181		267		48		315
Equity earnings:														
2013	\$	17	\$	16	\$		\$	10	\$	43	\$	24	\$	67
2012		15		16				5		36		28		64
2011		21		9				3		33		33		66
Capital expenditures:														
2013	\$	130	\$	100	\$	80	\$	36	\$	346	\$	14	\$	360
2012		87		68		75		49		279		11		290
2011		127		60		50		37		274		6		280
Depreciation and amortization expense:														
2013	\$	139	\$	110	\$	72	\$	62	\$	383	\$	2	\$	385
2012	ψ	159	ψ	107	ψ	70	ψ	70	ψ	397	ψ	4	ψ	401

Edgar Filing: OWENS ILLINOIS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K										
2011	164	96 129	73	80	413	2	415			

#### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 2. Segment Information (Continued)

The Company's net property, plant and equipment by geographic segment are as follows:

	U.S.		No	Non-U.S.		Fotal
2013	\$	651	\$	1,946	\$	2,597
2012		624		2,106		2,730
2011		626		2,210		2,836

The Company's net sales by geographic segment are as follows:

	U.S.	Non-U.S.		5	Fotal
2013	\$ 1,809	\$	5,158	\$	6,967
2012	1,780		5,220		7,000
2011	1,776		5,582		7,358

Operations outside the U.S. that accounted for more than 10% of consolidated net sales from continuing operations were in France (2013 11%, 2012 11%, 2011 13%).

#### 3. Receivables

Receivables consist of the following at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	2	013	2	012
Trade accounts receivable	\$	757	\$	828
Less: allowances for doubtful accounts and discounts		38		40
Net trade receivables		719		788
Other receivables		223		188
	\$	942	\$	976

The Company uses various factoring programs to sell certain receivables to financial institutions as part of managing its cash flows. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the amount of receivables sold by the Company was \$192 million and \$141 million, respectively. The Company has no continuing involvement with the sold receivables.

#### 4. Inventories

Major classes of inventory are as follows:

	2	013	2	012
Finished goods	\$	958	\$	957
Raw materials		113		137
Operating supplies		46		45

\$ 1,117 \$ 1,139

# **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions

## 5. Equity Investments

Summarized information pertaining to the Company's equity associates follows:

	2013		2012		20	11
For the year:						
Equity in earnings:						
Non-U.S.	\$	27	\$	20	\$	24
U.S.		40		44		42
Total	\$	67	\$	64	\$	66
Dividends received	\$	67	\$	50	\$	50

Summarized combined financial information for equity associates is as follows (unaudited):

	2013		2	012
At end of year:				
Current assets	\$	419	\$	327
Non-current assets		528		496
Total assets		947		823
Current liabilities		224		195
Other liabilities and deferred items		193		158
Total liabilities and deferred items		417		353
Net assets	\$	530	\$	470
	Ψ	550	φ	770

	2013		2012		2	011
For the year:						
Net sales	\$	699	\$	658	\$	689

Gross profit	\$ 185	\$ 191	\$ 215
Net earnings	\$ 149	\$ 143	\$ 174

The Company's significant equity method investments include: (1) 50% of the common shares of Vetri Speciali SpA, a specialty glass manufacturer; (2) a 25% partnership interest in Tata Chemical (Soda Ash) Partners, a soda ash supplier; (3) a 50% partnership interest in Rocky Mountain Bottle Company, a glass container manufacturer; (4) a 50% partnership interest in BJC O-I Glass Pte. Ltd., a glass container manufacturer; and (5) 50% of the common shares of Vetrerie Meridionali SpA ("VeMe"), a glass container manufacturer. During the fourth quarter of 2013, changes were made to the VeMe joint venture agreement that resulted in the Company relinquishing control of the joint venture and, therefore, deconsolidating the entity. No gain or loss was recognized related to the deconsolidation as the fair value of the entity was equal to the carrying amount of the entity's assets and liabilities. The fair value, which the Company classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy, was computed using a discounted cash flow analysis based on projected future cash flows of the joint venture.

#### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 5. Equity Investments (Continued)

There is a difference of approximately \$13 million as of December 31, 2013 between the amount at which certain investments are carried and the amount of underlying equity in net assets. The portion of the difference related to inventory or amortizable assets is amortized as a reduction of the equity earnings. The remaining difference is considered goodwill.

#### 6. Goodwill

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

		rth	~ ~ ~	outh	Asia	0.0		<b>T</b> ( <b>1</b>
	urope	erica		erica	acific	Otl		Total
Balance as of January 1, 2011	\$ 1,009	\$ 743	\$	387	\$ 677	\$	5	\$ 2,821
Acquisitions	8							8
Impairment charge					(641)			(641)
Translation effects	(34)	(3)		(33)	(36)			(106)
Balance as of December 31, 2011	983	740		354			5	2,082
Translation effects	23	3		(29)				(3)
Balance as of December 31, 2012	1,006	743		325			5	2,079
Translation effects	38	(9)		(49)				(20)
Balance as of December 31, 2013	\$ 1,044	\$ 734	\$	276	\$	\$	5	\$ 2,059

Goodwill for the Asia Pacific segment is net of accumulated impairment losses of \$1,135 million as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Goodwill is tested for impairment annually as of October 1 (or more frequently if impairment indicators arise) using a two-step process. Step 1 compares the business enterprise value ("BEV") of each reporting unit with its carrying value. The BEV is computed based on estimated future cash flows, discounted at the weighted average cost of capital of a hypothetical third-party buyer. If the BEV is less than the carrying value for any reporting unit, then Step 2 must be performed. Step 2 compares the implied fair value of goodwill with the carrying amount of goodwill. Any excess of the carrying value of the goodwill over the implied fair value will be recorded as an impairment loss. The calculations of the BEV in Step 1 and the implied fair value of goodwill in Step 2 are based on significant unobservable inputs, such as price trends, customer demand, material costs, discount rates and asset replacement costs, and are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

During the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company completed its annual impairment testing and determined that no impairment existed. During the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company completed its annual impairment testing and determined that impairment existed in the goodwill of its Asia Pacific segment. Lower projected cash flows, principally in the segment's Australian operations, caused the decline in the business enterprise value. The strong Australian dollar in 2011 resulted in many wine producers in the country exporting their wine in bulk shipments and bottling the wine closer to their end markets. This decreased the demand for wine bottles in Australia, which was a significant portion of the Company's sales in that country, and the Company expects this decreased demand to continue

#### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 6. Goodwill (Continued)

into the foreseeable future. Following a review of the valuation of the segment's identifiable assets, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$641 million to reduce the reported value of its goodwill.

#### 7. Other Assets

Other assets consisted of the following at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	2	013	2	012
Deferred tax asset	\$	235	\$	282
Capitalized software		39		40
Deferred returnable packaging costs		124		96
Non-income tax receivable		70		20
Deferred finance fees		32		39
Intangibles		23		28
Other		63		79

\$ 586 \$ 584

#### 8. Derivative Instruments

The Company has certain derivative assets and liabilities which consist of natural gas forwards and foreign exchange option and forward contracts. The Company uses an income approach to value these contracts. Natural gas forward rates and foreign exchange rates are the significant inputs into the valuation models. These inputs are observable in active markets over the terms of the instruments the Company holds, and accordingly, the Company classifies its derivative assets and liabilities as Level 2 in the hierarchy. The Company also evaluates counterparty risk in determining fair values.

#### Commodity Futures Contracts Designated as Cash Flow Hedges

In North America, the Company enters into commodity futures contracts related to forecasted natural gas requirements, the objectives of which are to limit the effects of fluctuations in the future market price paid for natural gas and the related volatility in cash flows. The Company continually evaluates the natural gas market and related price risk and periodically enters into commodity futures contracts in order to hedge a portion of its usage requirements. The majority of the sales volume in North America is tied to customer contracts that contain provisions that pass the price of natural gas to the customer. In certain of these contracts, the customer has the option of fixing the natural gas price component for a specified period of time. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had entered into commodity futures contracts covering approximately 5,400,000 MM BTUs and 7,000,000 MM BTUs, respectively, primarily related to customer requests to lock the price of natural gas.

The Company accounts for the above futures contracts as cash flow hedges at December 31, 2013 and recognizes them on the balance sheet at fair value. The effective portion of changes in the fair value of a derivative that is designated as, and meets the required criteria for, a cash flow hedge is recorded in the Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income component of share owners' equity ("OCI") and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the underlying hedged item affects earnings. An unrecognized gain of \$1 million at December 31, 2013 and an

#### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 8. Derivative Instruments (Continued)

unrecognized loss of \$1 million at December 31, 2012 related to the commodity futures contracts were included in Accumulated OCI, and will be reclassified into earnings over the next twelve to twenty-four months. Any material portion of the change in the fair value of a derivative designated as a cash flow hedge that is deemed to be ineffective is recognized in current earnings. The ineffectiveness related to these natural gas hedges for the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was not material.

The effect of the commodity futures contracts on the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

			Amount of Loss							
			<b>Reclassified from</b>							
A	mount of Loss	5	Accumulated OCI into							
Rec	ognized in OC	I on	Income (reported in							
Commodity Futures Contracts			manufacturing, shipping, and							
(E	ffective Portion	n)	delivery	) (Effective P	ortion)					
2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011					
\$1	\$ (3)	\$ (10)	\$ (1)	\$ (8)	\$ (7)					
Senior Notes Designated as Net Investment Hedge										

Senior Notes Designated as Net Investment Hedge

During December 2004, a U.S. subsidiary of the Company issued senior notes totaling €225 million. These notes were designated by the Company's subsidiary as a hedge of a portion of its net investment in a non-U.S. subsidiary with a Euro functional currency. Because the amount of the senior notes matched the hedged portion of the net investment, there was no hedge ineffectiveness. Accordingly, the Company recorded the impact of changes in the foreign currency exchange rate on the Euro-denominated notes in OCI. The amount of loss recognized in OCI related to this net investment hedge for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$25 million. During the second quarter of 2011, the senior notes designated as the net investment hedge were redeemed by a subsidiary of the Company. The amount recorded in OCI related to this net investment hedge will be reclassified into earnings when the Company sells or liquidates its net investment in the non-U.S. subsidiary.

#### Forward Exchange Contracts not Designated as Hedging Instruments

The Company may enter into short-term forward exchange or option agreements to purchase foreign currencies at set rates in the future. These agreements are used to limit exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates for significant planned purchases of fixed assets or commodities that are denominated in currencies other than the subsidiaries' functional currency. The Company may also use forward exchange agreements to offset the foreign currency risk for receivables and payables, including intercompany receivables and payables, not denominated in, or indexed to, their functional currencies. The Company records these short-term forward exchange agreements on the balance sheet at fair value and changes in the fair value are recognized in current earnings.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had outstanding forward exchange and option agreements denominated in various currencies covering the equivalent of approximately \$550 million and \$750 million, respectively, related primarily to intercompany transactions and loans.

#### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 8. Derivative Instruments (Continued)

The effect of the forward exchange contracts on the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on						
Location of Gain (Loss)	Forward Exchange						
Recognized in Income on	Contracts						
Forward Exchange Contracts	2	20	12	2011			
Other expense	\$	(28)	\$	6	\$	(11)	
Balance Sheet Classification							

The Company records the fair values of derivative financial instruments on the balance sheet as follows: (a) receivables if the instrument has a positive fair value and maturity within one year, (b) deposits, receivables, and other assets if the instrument has a positive fair value and maturity after one year, and (c) other accrued liabilities or other liabilities (current) if the instrument has a negative fair value and maturity within one year. The following table shows the amount and classification (as noted above) of the Company's derivatives as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Fa Balance				
	Sheet				
	Location	201	13	20	12
Asset Derivatives:					
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:					
Commodity futures contracts	а	\$	1	\$	
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:					
Foreign exchange contracts	а		3		4
Total asset derivatives		\$	4	\$	4
		Ψ	•	Ψ	•
Liability Derivatives:					
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:					
Commodity futures contracts	с	\$		\$	1
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:					
Foreign exchange contracts	с		7		9
			_		
Total liability derivatives		\$	7	\$	10

9. Restructuring Accruals, Asset Impairments and Other Costs Related to Closed Facilities

The Company continually reviews its manufacturing footprint and operating cost structure and may decide to close operations or reduce headcount to gain efficiencies, integrate acquired operations and reduce future expenses. The Company incurs costs associated with these actions including employee severance and benefits, other exit costs such as those related to contract terminations, and asset impairment charges. The Company also may incur other costs related to closed facilities including environmental remediation, clean up, dismantling and preparation for sale or other disposition.

#### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 9. Restructuring Accruals, Asset Impairments and Other Costs Related to Closed Facilities (Continued)

The Company accounts for restructuring and other costs under applicable provisions of generally accepted accounting principles. Charges for employee severance and related benefits are generally accrued based on contractual arrangements with employees or their representatives. Other exit costs are accrued based on the estimated cost to settle related contractual arrangements. Estimated environmental remediation costs are accrued when specific claims have been received or are probable of being received.

The Company's decisions to curtail selected production capacity have resulted in write downs of certain long-lived assets to the extent their carrying amounts exceeded fair value or fair value less cost to sell. The Company classified the significant assumptions used to determine the fair value of the impaired assets as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy as set forth in the general accounting principles for fair value measurements.

When a decision is made to take these actions, the Company manages and accounts for them programmatically apart from the on-going operations of the business. Information related to major programs (as in the case of the European Asset Optimization and Asia Pacific Restructuring programs below) are presented separately. Minor initiatives are presented on a combined basis as Other Restructuring Actions. When charges related to major programs are completed, remaining accrual balances are classified with Other Restructuring Actions.

#### European Asset Optimization

In 2011, the Company implemented the European Asset Optimization program to increase the efficiency and capability of its European operations and to better align its European manufacturing footprint with market and customer needs. This program involves making additional investments in certain facilities and addressing assets with higher cost structures. As part of this program, the Company recorded charges of \$16 million in 2013, \$86 million in 2012 and \$24 million in 2011 for employee costs, asset impairments and environmental remediation related to decisions to close furnaces and manufacturing facilities in Europe. The Company expects to execute further actions under this program in phases over the next several years.

#### Asia Pacific Restructuring

In 2011, the Company implemented a restructuring plan in its Asia Pacific segment, primarily related to aligning its supply base with lower demand in the region. As part of this plan, the Company recorded charges of \$49 million, \$47 million and \$46 million for the years ended 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, for employee costs and asset impairments related to furnace closures and additional restructuring activities.

#### Other Restructuring Actions

The Company took certain other restructuring actions and recorded charges in 2013 of \$16 million for employee costs related to the closure of flat glass operations in South America, \$13 million for employee costs related to global headcount reduction initiatives and \$3 million for miscellaneous other costs. In 2012, the Company recorded charges of \$13 million for employee costs and asset impairments

## **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 9. Restructuring Accruals, Asset Impairments and Other Costs Related to Closed Facilities (Continued)

related to a decision to close a machine manufacturing facility in the U.S., \$7 million for employee costs and asset impairments related to a decision to close a mold shop in South America and \$15 million for miscellaneous other costs. In 2011, the Company recorded charges of \$13 million related to headcount reductions, primarily in Europe and South America, and \$12 million for an asset impairment related to a previously closed facility in Europe.

The beginning accrual balance for other restructuring actions as of January 1, 2012 primarily relates to the Company's strategic review of its global manufacturing footprint completed in 2010.

The following table presents information related to restructuring, asset impairment and other costs related to closed facilities:

	European Asset Optimization	Asia Pacific Restructuring	Other Restructuring Actions	Total Restructuring
Balance at January 1, 2012	12	17	73	102
2012 charges	86	47	26	159
Write-down of assets to net realizable value	(30)	(22)	(14)	(66)
Net cash paid, principally severance and related benefits	(16)	(25)	(24)	(65)
Pension charges transferred to other accounts		(11)		(11)
Other, including foreign exchange translation	1		1	2
Balance at December 31, 2012	53	6	62	121
2013 charges	16	49	32	97
Write-down of assets to net realizable value	(3)	(11)	(2)	(16)
Net cash paid, principally severance and related benefits	(37)	(16)	(25)	(78)
Pension charges transferred to other accounts		(6)		(6)
Other, including foreign exchange translation	1	(2)	(5)	(6)
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ 30	\$ 20	\$ 62	\$ 112

The restructuring accrual balance represents the Company's estimates of the remaining future cash amounts to be paid related to the actions noted above. As of December 31, 2013, the Company's estimates include approximately \$69 million for severance and related benefits costs, \$27 million for environmental remediation costs, and \$16 million for other exit costs. The 2012 charges include approximately \$14 million related to environmental remediation costs at a closed facility in Europe.

### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions

### 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits

#### Pension Benefit Plans

The Company participates in OI Inc.'s defined benefit pension plans for substantially all employees located in the United States. Benefits generally are based on compensation for salaried employees and on length of service for hourly employees. OI Inc.'s policy is to fund pension plans such that sufficient assets will be available to meet future benefit requirements. Independent actuaries determine pension costs for each subsidiary of OI Inc. included in the plans; however, accumulated benefit obligation information and plan assets pertaining to each subsidiary have not been separately determined. As such, the accumulated benefit obligation and the plan assets related to the pension plans for domestic employees have been retained by another subsidiary of OI Inc. Net expense to results of operations for the Company's allocated portion of the domestic pension costs amounted to \$48 million in 2013, \$20 million in 2012 and \$37 million in 2011.

OI Inc. also sponsors several defined contribution plans for all salaried and hourly U.S. employees of the Company. Participation is voluntary and participants' contributions are based on their compensation. OI Inc. matches contributions of participants, up to various limits, in substantially all plans. OI Inc. charges the Company for its share of the match. The Company's share of the contributions to these plans amounted to \$7 million in 2013, \$6 million in 2012 and \$7 million in 2011.

The Company has defined benefit pension plans covering a substantial number of employees located in the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, Canada and Australia, as well as many employees in Germany, France and Switzerland. Benefits generally are based on compensation for salaried employees and on length of service for hourly employees. The Company's policy is to fund pension plans such that sufficient assets will be available to meet future benefit requirements. The Company's defined benefit pension plans use a December 31 measurement date.

The changes in the non-U.S. pension plans benefit obligations for the year were as follows:

	2013	2012
Obligations at beginning of year	\$ 1,911	\$ 1,553
Change in benefit obligations:		
Service cost	33	26
Interest cost	72	77
Actuarial (gain) loss, including the effect of change in discount rates	(1)	293
Curtailment and plan amendment	(52)	
Participant contributions	7	7
Benefit payments	(101)	(101)
Other	(4)	
Foreign currency translation	1	56
Net change in benefit obligations	(45)	358
Obligations at end of year	\$ 1,866	\$ 1,911

# **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# Tabular data dollars in millions

# 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The changes in the fair value of the non-U.S. pension plans' assets for the year were as follows:

	1	2013		2012
Fair value at beginning of year	\$	1,527	\$	1,325
Change in fair value:				
Actual gain on plan assets		61		118
Benefit payments		(101)		(101)
Employer contributions		92		110
Participant contributions		7		7
Foreign currency translation		(5)		43
Other		(3)		25
Net change in fair value of assets		51		202
Fair value at end of year	\$	1.578	\$	1.527
Fair value at end of year	ወ	1,570	φ	1,527

The funded status of the non-U.S. pension plans at year end was as follows:

	2013		2012
Plan assets at fair value	\$ 1,578	\$	1,527
Projected benefit obligations	1,866		1,911
Plan assets less than projected			
benefit obligations	(288)		(384)
Items not yet recognized in pension expense:			
Actuarial loss	488		534
Prior service credit	(25)		(9)

	463	525	
Net amount recognized	\$ 175	\$ 141	

The net amount recognized is included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2013 and 2012 as follows:

	201		2012
Pension assets	\$	22	\$

Current pension liability, included with Other accrued liabilities	(6)	(7)
Pension benefits	(304)	(377)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	463	525
Net amount recognized	\$ 175	\$ 141

### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The following changes in plan assets and benefit obligations were recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31, 2013 and 2012 as follows (amounts are pretax):

	2013		2	012
Current year actuarial loss	\$	28	\$	239
Amortization of actuarial loss		(28)		(22)
Amortization of prior service credit		1		
Curtailment and plan amendment		(52)		
Settlement		(6)		(11)
		(57)		206
Translation		(5)		17
	\$	(62)	\$	223

The accumulated benefit obligation for all defined benefit pension plans was \$1,790 million and \$1,729 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The components of the non-U.S. pension plans' net pension expense were as follows:

	2013		2012		20	)11
Service cost	\$	33	\$	26	\$	24
Interest cost		72		77		83
Expected asset return		(91)		(87)		(86)
Amortization:						
Actuarial loss		28		22		24
Prior service credit		(1)				(1)
Net amortization		27		22		23
Net expense	\$	41	\$	38	\$	44

The non-U.S. pension expense excludes \$6 million and \$11 million of pension settlement costs that were recorded in restructuring expense in 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Amounts that are expected to be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net pension expense during 2014:

Amortization:	
Actuarial loss	\$ 22
Prior service cost	(3)
Net amortization	\$ 19

### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The following information is for plans with projected and accumulated benefit obligations in excess of the fair value of plan assets at year end:

	Projected Benefit Obligation Exceeds Fair Value of Plan Assets			Accumulated Benefit Obligation Exceeds Fair Value of Plan Assets				
	2013		2012	2013		2012		
Projected benefit obligations	\$ 1,588	\$	1,911	\$ 1,588	\$	1,172		
Accumulated benefit obligation	1,537		1,729	1,537		1,090		
Fair value of plan assets	1,278		1,527	1,278		858		

The weighted average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations were as follows:

	2013	2012
Discount rate	4.14%	3.89%
Rate of compensation increase	3.31%	3.08%

The weighted average assumptions used to determine net periodic pension costs were as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
Discount rate	3.89%	4.75%	5.28%
Rate of compensation increase	3.08%	3.23%	3.49%
Expected long-term rate of return on assets	6.34%	6.24%	6.44%

Future benefits are assumed to increase in a manner consistent with past experience of the plans, which, to the extent benefits are based on compensation, includes assumed salary increases as presented above. Amortization included in net pension expense is based on the average remaining service of employees.

For 2013, the Company's weighted average expected long-term rate of return on assets was 6.34%. In developing this assumption, the Company evaluated input from its third party pension plan asset managers, including their review of asset class return expectations and long-term inflation assumptions. The Company also considered its historical 10-year average return (through December 31, 2012), which was in line with the expected long-term rate of return assumption for 2013.

It is the Company's policy to invest pension plan assets in a diversified portfolio consisting of an array of asset classes within established target asset allocation ranges. The investment risk of the assets is limited by appropriate diversification both within and between asset classes. The assets of the Company's non-U.S. plans are primarily invested in a broad mix of domestic and international equities, domestic and international bonds, and real estate, subject to the target asset allocation ranges. The assets are managed with a view to ensuring that sufficient liquidity will be available to meet expected cash flow requirements.

#### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The investment valuation policy of the Company is to value investments at fair value. All investments are valued at their respective net asset values. Equity securities for which market quotations are readily available are valued at the last reported sales price on their principal exchange on valuation date or official close for certain markets. Fixed income investments are valued by an independent pricing service. Investments in registered investment companies or collective pooled funds are valued at their respective net asset values. Short-term investments are stated at amortized cost, which approximates fair value. The fair value of real estate is determined by periodic appraisals.

The following table sets forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy, the Company's pension plan assets at fair value as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

			20	)13					20	)12			Target
	L	evel 1	Le	evel 2	Lev	el 3	L	evel 1	Le	evel 2	Lev	vel 3	Allocation
Cash and cash													
equivalents	\$	39	\$	6	\$		\$	36	\$	20	\$		
Equity securities		387		210				367		173			45 - 55%
Debt securities		752		116		2		714		113		3	40 - 50%
Real estate						6						15	0 - 10%
Other		13		47				18		68			0 - 10%
	¢	1 101	¢	270	¢	0	¢	1 1 2 5	¢	274	¢	10	
Total assets at fair value	\$	1,191	\$	379	\$	8	\$	1,135	\$	374	\$	18	

The following is a reconciliation of the Company's pension plan assets recorded at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3):

	2	013	20	)12
Beginning balance	\$	18	\$	16
Net increase (decrease)		(10)		2
Ending balance	\$	8	\$	18

The net increase (decrease) in the fair value of the Company's Level 3 pension plan assets is primarily due to purchases and sales of unlisted real estate funds. The change in the fair value of Level 3 pension plan assets due to actual return on those assets was immaterial in 2013.

In order to maintain minimum funding requirements, the Company is required to make contributions to its non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans of approximately \$30 million in 2014.

### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions

### 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The following estimated future benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid in the years indicated:

Year(s)					
2014				\$	82
2015					85
2016					86
2017					87
2018					90
2019 - 2023					487
<b>D</b>	. D	C. 0.1	771	<b>р</b> ,	

Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions

OI Inc. provides certain retiree health care and life insurance benefits covering substantially all U.S. salaried and certain hourly employees and substantially all employees in Canada. Employees are generally eligible for benefits upon retirement and completion of a specified number of years of creditable service. Independent actuaries determine postretirement benefit costs for each subsidiary of OI Inc.; however, accumulated postretirement benefit obligation information pertaining to each subsidiary has not been separately determined. As such, the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation has been retained by another subsidiary of OI Inc.

The Company's net periodic postretirement benefit cost, as allocated by OI Inc., for domestic employees was \$3 million, \$6 million, and \$6 million at December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

The Company's subsidiaries in Canada also have postretirement benefit plans covering substantially all employees. The following tables relate to the Company's postretirement benefit plans in Canada.

The changes in the postretirement benefit obligations for the year were as follows:

	2	013	2	012
Obligations at beginning of year	\$	102	\$	95
Change in benefit obligations:				
Service cost		1		1
Interest cost		4		4
Actuarial (gain) loss, including the effect of changing discount rates		(7)		3
Benefit payments		(4)		(3)
Foreign currency translation		(6)		2
Net change in benefit obligations		(12)		7
Obligations at end of year	\$	90	\$	102

### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions

### 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The funded status of the postretirement benefit plans at year end was as follows:

	2	013	2	2012
Postretirement benefit obligations	\$	(90)	\$	(102)
Items not yet recognized in net postretirement benefit cost:				
Actuarial (gain) loss		(2)		5
Net amount recognized	\$	(92)	\$	(97)

The net amount recognized is included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2013 and 2012 as follows:

	2	013	20	012
Current nonpension postretirement benefit, included with Other accrued liabilities	\$	(4)	\$	(4)
Nonpension postretirement benefits		(86)		(98)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(2)		5
Net amount recognized	\$	(92)	\$	(97)

The following changes in benefit obligations were recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31, 2013 and 2012 as follows (amounts are pretax):

		2	013	2	012	
Current year actuarial (gain) loss		\$	(7	) \$	3	
The components of the net postreti	rement	ben	efit c	ost f	or the	e yeai
	20	13	20	12	20	11
Service cost	\$	1	\$	1	\$	1
Interest cost		4		4		4
Net postretirement benefit cost	\$	5	\$	5	\$	5

The weighted average discount rates used to determine the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation and net postretirement benefit cost were as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
Accumulated post retirement benefit obligation	4.47%	3.89%	4.13%
Net postretirement benefit cost	3.89%	4.13%	5.02%
		144	

## **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions

### 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The weighted average assumed health care cost trend rates at December 31 were as follows:

	2013	2012
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year	5.00%	6.00%
Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate)	5.00%	5.00%
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate trend rate	2014	2014

Assumed health care cost trend rates affect the amounts reported for the postretirement benefit plans. A one-percentage-point change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

	1-I	1-Percentage-Point				
	Incr	ease	Dee	crease		
Effect on total of service and interest cost	\$	1	\$	(1)		
Effect on accumulated postretirement benefit obligations		15		(12)		

Amortization included in net postretirement benefit cost is based on the average remaining service of employees.

The following estimated future benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid in the years indicated:

Year(s)	
2014	\$ 4
2015	4
2016	4
2017	5
2018	5
2019 - 2023	25

Benefits provided by OI Inc. for certain hourly retirees of the Company are determined by collective bargaining. Most other domestic hourly retirees receive health and life insurance benefits from a multi-employer trust established by collective bargaining. Payments to the trust as required by the bargaining agreements are based upon specified amounts per hour worked and were \$6 million in each of the years 2013, 2012 and 2011. Postretirement health and life benefits for retirees of foreign subsidiaries are generally provided through the national health care programs of the countries in which the subsidiaries are located.

# **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# Tabular data dollars in millions

# 11. Income Taxes

The provision (benefit) for income taxes was calculated based on the following components of earnings (loss) before income taxes:

Continuing operations	2	013	2	012	2	2011
U.S.	\$	340	\$	297	\$	282
Non-U.S.		249		296		(419)
	\$	589	\$	593	\$	(137)

Discontinued operations	2013	2012	2011
U.S.	\$	\$	\$
Non-U.S.	(10)	(5)	(2)

\$ (10) \$ (5) \$ (2)

The provision (benefit) for income taxes consists of the following:

	2013	2012	2011
Current:			
U.S.	\$ 7	\$	\$ (8)
Non-U.S.	116	117	139
	123	117	131
Deferred:			
U.S.		10	9
Non-U.S.	(3)	(13)	(53)
	(3)	(3)	(44)
Total:			
U.S.	7	10	1
Non-U.S.	113	104	86

Total \$ 120 \$ 114 \$ 87

## **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# Tabular data dollars in millions

### 11. Income Taxes (Continued)

A reconciliation of the provision for income taxes based on the statutory U.S. Federal tax rate of 35% to the provision for income taxes is as follows:

	2013		2013 2012		2011	
Tax provision on pretax earnings (loss) from continuing operations at statutory U.S. Federal tax rate	\$	206	\$	208	\$	(48)
Increase (decrease) in provision for income taxes due to:						
Differences in income taxes on foreign earnings, losses and remittances		(29)		(5)		(13)
Goodwill impairment						224
U.S. tax consolidation benefit		(51)		(54)		(58)
Changes in valuation allowance		(1)		(46)		(18)
Tax audits and settlements		1		(1)		3
Other items		(6)		12		(3)
Provision for income taxes	\$	120	\$	114	\$	87
	Ψ	120	Ψ	117	Ψ	07

Deferred income taxes reflect: (1) the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes; and (2) carryovers and credits for income tax purposes.

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	20	013	2	012
Deferred tax assets:				
Accrued postretirement benefits	\$	23	\$	27
Foreign tax credit		356		354
Operating and capital loss carryovers		350		373
Other credit carryovers		29		29
Accrued liabilities		70		72
Pension liability		47		74
Other		60		66
Total deferred tax assets		935		995
Deferred tax liabilities:				
Property, plant and equipment		117		113
Exchangeable notes		10		19
Intangibles		27		12
Other		65		84
Total deferred tax liabilities		219		228
Valuation allowance		(651)		(610)

### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 11. Income Taxes (Continued)

Deferred taxes are included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2013 and 2012 as follows:

	2	2013	2	2012
Prepaid expenses	\$	62	\$	62
Other assets		235		282
U.S. and foreign income taxes				(5)
Deferred taxes		(232)		(182)
	<b>•</b>	< <del>-</del>	<b></b>	1.57
Net deferred taxes	\$	65	\$	157

The Company reviews the likelihood that it will realize the benefit of its deferred tax assets and therefore the need for valuation allowances on a quarterly basis, or whenever events indicate that a review is required. In determining the requirement for a valuation allowance, the historical and projected financial results of the legal entity or consolidated group recording the net deferred tax asset is considered, along with other positive and negative evidence.

In certain foreign jurisdictions, the Company's analysis indicates that it has cumulative losses in recent years. This is considered significant negative evidence which is objective and verifiable and, therefore, difficult to overcome. However, the cumulative loss position is not solely determinative and, accordingly, the Company considers all other available positive and negative evidence in its analysis. Based on its analysis, the Company has recorded a valuation allowance for the portion of deferred tax assets where based on the weight of available evidence it is unlikely to realize those deferred tax assets.

At December 31, 2013, before valuation allowance, the Company had unused foreign tax credits of \$356 million expiring in 2017 through 2022, research tax credit of \$20 million expiring from 2014 to 2033, and alternative minimum tax credits of \$9 million which do not expire and which will be available to offset future U.S. Federal income tax. Approximately \$164 million of the deferred tax assets related to operating and capital loss carryforwards can be carried over indefinitely, with the remaining \$186 million expiring between 2014 and 2030.

At December 31, 2013, the Company's equity in the undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries for which income taxes had not been provided approximated \$3.2 billion. The Company intends to reinvest these earnings indefinitely in the non-U.S. operations and has not distributed any of these earnings to the U.S. in 2013, 2012 or 2011. It is not practicable to estimate the U.S. and foreign tax which would be payable should these earnings be distributed. Deferred taxes are provided for earnings of non-U.S. jurisdictions when the Company plans to remit those earnings.

The Company is included in OI Inc.'s consolidated tax returns for U.S. federal and certain state income tax purposes. The consolidated group has net operating losses, capital losses, alternative minimum tax credits, foreign tax credits and research and development credits available to offset future U.S. Federal income tax. Income taxes are allocated to the Company on a basis consistent with separate returns.

The Company has recognized tax benefits as a result of incentives in certain non-U.S. jurisdictions which expire between 2014 and 2016.

### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 11. Income Taxes (Continued)

The Company records a liability for unrecognized tax benefits related to uncertain tax positions. The Company accrues interest and penalties associated with unrecognized tax benefits as a component of its income tax expense. The following is a reconciliation of the Company's total gross unrecognized tax benefits for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	2	013	20	12	20	)11
Balance at January 1	\$	97	\$	125	\$	143
Additions and reductions for tax positions of prior years		(3)		8		(15)
Additions based on tax positions related to the current year		9		7		30
Reductions due to the lapse of the applicable statute of limitations		(2)		(21)		(8)
Reductions due to settlements				(26)		(18)
Foreign currency translation		(1)		4		(7)
Balance at December 31	\$	100	\$	97	\$	125
Unrecognized tax benefits, which if recognized, would impact the Company's effective income tax rate	\$	92	\$	89	\$	114
Accrued interest and penalties at December 31	\$	35	\$	33	\$	49
Interest and penalties included in tax expense for the years ended December 31	\$	1	\$	(6)	\$	18

Based upon the outcome of tax examinations, judicial proceedings, or expiration of statute of limitations, it is reasonably possible that the ultimate resolution of these unrecognized tax benefits may result in a payment that is materially different from the current estimate of the tax liabilities. The Company believes that it is reasonable possible that the estimated liability could decrease up to \$20 million within the next 12 months. This is primarily the result of audit settlements or statute expirations in several taxing jurisdictions.

The Company is currently under examination in various tax jurisdictions in which it operates, including Argentina, Australia, Ecuador, Germany, and Italy. The years under examination range from 2005 through 2012. The Company believes that there are no jurisdictions in which the outcome of unresolved issues or claims is likely to be material to the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flows. The Company further believes that adequate provisions for all income tax uncertainties have been made. During 2013, the Company concluded audits in several jurisdictions, including Czech Republic, France, New Zealand, Peru, Poland, Spain and the United Kingdom.

#### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 12. External Debt

The following table summarizes the external long-term debt of the Company at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	2013	2012
Secured Credit Agreement:		
Revolving Credit Facility:		
Revolving Loans	\$	\$
Term Loans:		
Term Loan A		53
Term Loan B	405	525
Term Loan C (81 million CAD at December 31, 2013)	76	102
Term Loan D (€85 million at December 31, 2013)	117	163
Senior Notes:		
3.00%, Exchangeable, due 2015	617	642
7.375%, due 2016	593	591
6.875%, due 2017 (€300 million)		396
6.75%, due 2020 (€500 million)	690	660
4.875%, due 2021 (€330 million)	455	
Other	45	80
Total long-term debt	2,998	3,212
Less amounts due within one year	15	22
Long-term debt	\$ 2,983	\$ 3,190

On May 19, 2011, the Company entered into the Secured Credit Agreement (the "Agreement"). At December 31, 2013, the Agreement included a \$900 million revolving credit facility, a \$405 million term loan, an 81 million Canadian dollar term loan, and a  $\in$ 85 million term loan, each of which has a final maturity date of May 19, 2016. During 2013, the Company repaid 51 million Australian dollars on Term Loan A, \$120 million on Term Loan B, 20 million Canadian dollars on Term Loan C and  $\in$ 39 million on Term Loan D under the Agreement. At December 31, 2013, the Company had unused credit of \$816 million available under the Agreement.

The Agreement contains various covenants that restrict, among other things and subject to certain exceptions, the ability of the Company to incur certain liens, make certain investments, become liable under contingent obligations in certain defined instances only, make restricted junior payments, make certain asset sales within guidelines and limits, make capital expenditures beyond a certain threshold, engage in material transactions with shareholders and affiliates, participate in sale and leaseback financing arrangements, alter its fundamental business, and amend certain outstanding debt obligations.

The Agreement also contains one financial maintenance covenant, a Leverage Ratio, that requires the Company not to exceed a ratio calculated by dividing consolidated total debt, less cash and cash equivalents, by Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA, as defined in the Agreement. The Leverage Ratio could restrict the ability of the Company to undertake additional financing or acquisitions to the extent that such financing or acquisitions would cause the Leverage Ratio to exceed the specified maximum.

#### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 12. External Debt (Continued)

Failure to comply with these covenants and restrictions could result in an event of default under the Agreement. In such an event, the Company could not request borrowings under the revolving facility, and all amounts outstanding under the Agreement, together with accrued interest, could then be declared immediately due and payable. If an event of default occurs under the Agreement and the lenders cause all of the outstanding debt obligations under the Agreement to become due and payable, this would result in a default under a number of other outstanding debt securities and could lead to an acceleration of obligations related to these debt securities. A default or event of default under the Agreement, indentures or agreements governing other indebtedness could also lead to an acceleration of debt under other debt instruments that contain cross acceleration or cross-default provisions.

The Leverage Ratio also determines pricing under the Agreement. The interest rate on borrowings under the Agreement is, at the Company's option, the Base Rate or the Eurocurrency Rate, as defined in the Agreement. These rates include a margin linked to the Leverage Ratio. The margins range from 1.25% to 2.00% for Eurocurrency Rate loans and from 0.25% to 1.00% for Base Rate loans. In addition, a facility fee is payable on the revolving credit facility commitments ranging from 0.25% to 0.50% per annum linked to the Leverage Ratio. The weighted average interest rate on borrowings outstanding under the Agreement at December 31, 2013 was 2.12%. As of December 31, 2013, the Company was in compliance with all covenants and restrictions in the Agreement. In addition, the Company believes that it will remain in compliance and that its ability to borrow funds under the Agreement will not be adversely affected by the covenants and restrictions.

Borrowings under the Agreement are secured by substantially all of the assets, excluding real estate, of the Company's domestic subsidiaries and certain foreign subsidiaries. Borrowings are also secured by a pledge of intercompany debt and equity in most of the Company's domestic subsidiaries and stock of certain foreign subsidiaries. All borrowings under the agreement are guaranteed by substantially all domestic subsidiaries of the Company for the term of the Agreement.

During March 2013, the Company issued senior notes with a face value of €330 million due March 31, 2021. The notes bear interest at 4.875% and are guaranteed by substantially all of the Company's domestic subsidiaries. The net proceeds, after deducting debt issuance costs, totaled approximately \$418 million.

During March 2013, the Company discharged, in accordance with the indenture, all €300 million of the 6.875% senior notes due 2017. The Company recorded \$11 million of additional interest charges for note repurchase premiums and the related write-off of unamortized finance fees.

During May 2010, a subsidiary of the Company issued exchangeable senior notes with a face value of \$690 million due June 1, 2015 ("2015 Exchangeable Notes"). The 2015 Exchangeable Notes bear interest at 3.00% and are guaranteed by substantially all of the Company's domestic subsidiaries.

Upon exchange of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes, under the terms outlined below, the issuer of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes is required to settle the principal amount in cash and OI Inc. is required to settle the exchange premium in shares of OI Inc.'s common stock. The exchange premium is calculated as the value of OI Inc.'s common stock in excess of the initial exchange price of approximately \$47.47 per share, which is equivalent to an exchange rate of 21.0642 per \$1,000 principal amount of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes. The exchange rate may be adjusted upon the occurrence of certain events, such as certain distributions, dividends or issuances of cash, stock, options, warrants or other property or

### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 12. External Debt (Continued)

effecting a share split, or a significant change in the ownership or structure of the Company or OI Inc., such as a recapitalization or reclassification of OI Inc.'s common stock, a merger or consolidation involving the Company or the sale or conveyance to another person of all or substantially all of the property and assets of the Company and its subsidiaries substantially as an entirety.

Prior to March 1, 2015, the 2015 Exchangeable Notes may be exchanged only if (1) the price of OI Inc.'s common stock exceeds \$61.71 (130% of the exchange price) for a specified period of time, (2) the trading price of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes falls below 98% of the average exchange value of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes for a specified period of time (trading price was 139% of exchange value at December 31, 2012), or (3) upon the occurrence of specified corporate transactions. The 2015 Exchangeable Notes may be exchanged without restrictions on or after March 1, 2015. As of December 31, 2013, the 2015 Exchangeable Notes are not exchangeable by the holders.

For accounting purposes, the 2015 Exchangeable Notes are considered to be non-exchangeable since OI Inc. is directly responsible for settling the exchange premium, if any. The issuer's obligation with respect to the instrument is limited to only the payment of interest and principal. The value of OI Inc.'s obligation to holders of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes was computed using the Company's non-exchangeable debt borrowing rate at the date of issuance of 6.15% and was accounted for as a debt discount and a corresponding capital contribution.

During 2013, the Company repurchased \$46 million of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes. The amount by which the cash paid exceeded the fair value of the notes repurchased was recorded as a reduction to share owners' equity. The Company recorded \$3 million of additional interest charges for the loss on debt extinguishment and the related write-off of unamortized finance fees.

The carrying values of the liability and equity components at December 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	2013		2013 2			2012	
Principal amount of exchangeable notes	\$	644	\$	690			
Unamortized discount on exchangeable notes		27		48			
Net carrying amount of liability component	\$	617	\$	642			
Carrying amount of equity component	\$	92	\$	93			

The debt discount is being amortized over the life of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes. The amount of interest expense recognized on the 2015 Exchangeable Notes for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

	2013		20	)12
Contractual coupon interest	\$	20	\$	21
Amortization of discount on exchangeable notes		18		18

Total interest expense	\$ 38	\$ 39

#### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 12. External Debt (Continued)

During 2011, the Company recorded additional interest charges of \$25 million for note repurchase premiums and the related write-off of unamortized finance fees related to debt that was repaid prior to maturity.

The Company has a  $\in$ 215 million European accounts receivable securitization program, which extends through September 2016, subject to annual renewal of backup credit lines. Information related to the Company's accounts receivable securitization program as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

	2	013	2	012
Balance (included in short-term loans)	\$	276	\$	264
Weighted average interest rate		1.41%		1.33%

As of December 31, 2013, the Company has capital lease obligations of \$24 million included in other in the long-term debt table above.

Annual maturities for all of the Company's long-term debt through 2018 are as follows: 2014, \$15 million; 2015, \$983 million; 2016, \$876 million; 2017, \$2 million; and 2018, \$3 million.

Fair values at December 31, 2013, of the Company's significant fixed rate debt obligations are as follows:

	ncipal 10unt				
Senior Notes:					
3.00%, Exchangeable, due 2015	\$ 644	104.71	\$	674	
7.375%, due 2016	600	112.76		677	
6.75%, due 2020 (€500 million)	690	116.50		804	
4.875%, due 2021 (€330 million)	455	105.04		478	
12 0					

#### 13. Contingencies

Certain litigation is pending against the Company, in many cases involving ordinary and routine claims incidental to the business of the Company and in others presenting allegations that are nonroutine and involve compensatory, punitive or treble damage claims as well as other types of relief. The Company records a liability for such matters when it is both probable that the liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated. Recorded amounts are reviewed and adjusted to reflect changes in the factors upon which the estimates are based including additional information, negotiations, settlements, and other events. The ultimate legal and financial liability of the Company in respect to this pending litigation cannot reasonably be estimated. However, the Company believes, based on its examination and review of such matters and experience to date, that such ultimate liability will not have a material adverse effect on its results of operations or financial condition.

The Company conducted an internal investigation into conduct in certain of its overseas operations that may have violated the anti-bribery provisions of the United States Foreign Corrupt Practices Act

### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 13. Contingencies (Continued)

(the "FCPA"), the FCPA's books and records and internal controls provisions, the Company's own internal policies, and various local laws. In October 2012, the Company voluntarily disclosed these matters to the U.S. Department of Justice (the "DOJ") and the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC").

On July 18, 2013, the Company received a letter from the DOJ indicating that it presently did not intend to take any enforcement action and is closing its inquiry into the matter.

The Company is presently unable to predict the duration, scope or result of an investigation by the SEC, if any, or whether the SEC will commence any legal action. The SEC has a broad range of civil sanctions under the FCPA and other laws and regulations including, but not limited to, injunctive relief, disgorgement, penalties, and modifications to business practices. The Company could also be subject to investigation and sanctions outside the United States. While the Company is currently unable to quantify the impact of any potential sanctions or remedial measures, it does not expect such actions will have a material adverse effect on the Company's liquidity, results of operations or financial condition.

The Company received a non-income tax assessment from a foreign tax authority for approximately \$90 million (including penalties and interest). The Company challenged this assessment, but the tax authority's position was upheld in court. The Company strongly disagrees with this ruling and believes it to be contradictory to other court rulings in the Company's favor. Although the Company cannot predict the ultimate outcome of this case, it believes that it is probable that the tax authority's assessment will be overturned by a higher court, and therefore, the Company has not established an accrual. In order to contest the lower court rulings, legal rules require the Company to deposit the amount of the tax assessment, which will be remitted in monthly installments over the next twenty-four months. A favorable ruling by the higher court will result in a return to the Company of amounts paid. An unfavorable ruling will result in the forfeiture of the deposit, a charge of approximately \$60 million and a non-income tax refund of \$30 million. As of December 31, 2013, the Company has made installment payments totaling \$43 million, which is included in Other assets on the balance sheet.

Other litigation is pending against the Company, in many cases involving ordinary and routine claims incidental to the business of the Company and in others presenting allegations that are non-routine and involve compensatory, punitive or treble damage claims as well as other types of relief. The Company records a liability for such matters when it is both probable that the liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated. Recorded amounts are reviewed and adjusted to reflect changes in the factors upon which the estimates are based, including additional information, negotiations, settlements and other events.

#### 14. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The components of comprehensive income are: (a) net earnings; (b) change in fair value of certain derivative instruments; (c) pension and other postretirement benefit adjustments; and (d) foreign currency translation adjustments. The net effect of exchange rate fluctuations generally reflects changes in the relative strength of the U.S. dollar against major foreign currencies between the beginning and end of the year.

## **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 14. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Continued)

The following table lists the beginning balance, annual activity and ending balance of each component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):

	Exchange Certai Rate Derivat		Exchange Rate Fluctuations		Exchange Ce Rate Der		Exchange Rate Fluctuations		e Certain Derivative			Certain Derivative		Certain Derivative		Certain Derivative		Be	ployee nefit lans		Total umulated Other prehensive Loss
Balance on January 1, 2013	\$	455	\$	(1)	\$	(402)	\$	52													
Change before reclassifications		(226)		1		35		(190)													
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income				1(a)	)	33(b)	)	34													
Tax effect						(33)		(33)													
Other comprehensive income attributable to the Company		(226)		2		35		(189)													
Balance on December 31, 2013	\$	229	\$	1	\$	(367)	\$	(137)													
Balance on December 51, 2015	φ	229	φ	1	φ	(307)	φ	(137)													

(a)

Amount is included in Cost of goods sold on the Consolidated Results of Operations (see Note 8 for additional information).

#### (b)

Amount is included in the computation of net periodic pension cost and net postretirement benefit cost (see Note 10 for additional information).

# 15. Other Expense

Other expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 included the following:

The Company recorded charges totaling \$97 million for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges. See Note 9 for additional information.

The Company recorded charges totaling \$22 million for asset impairment related to the Company's operations in Argentina, due primarily to macroeconomic issues in that country. The Company wrote down the value of these assets to the extent their carrying amounts exceeded fair value. The fair value of the assets was computed based on estimated future cash flows. The Company classified the significant assumptions used to determine the fair value of the impaired assets, which was not material, as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

Aggregate foreign currency exchange losses included in other expense were \$9 million in 2013.

Other expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 included the following:

The Company recorded charges totaling \$159 million for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges. See Note 9 for additional information.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company recorded a gain of \$61 million related to cash received from the Chinese government as compensation for land in China that the Company was required to return to the government.

## **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 15. Other Expense (Continued)

Aggregate foreign currency exchange losses included in other expense were \$8 million in 2012.

Other expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 included the following:

The Company recorded charges totaling \$94 million for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges. See Note 9 for additional information.

The Company recorded charges totaling \$17 million for asset impairment, primarily due to the write down of asset values related to a 2010 acquisition in China as a result of integration challenges. The Company wrote down the value of these assets to the extent their carrying amounts exceeded fair value. The Company classified the significant assumptions used to determine the fair value of the impaired assets, which was not material, as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

The Company recorded a goodwill impairment charge of \$641 million related to its Asia Pacific segment. See Note 6 for additional information.

Aggregate foreign currency exchange losses included in other expense were \$6 million in 2011.

### 16. Operating Leases

Rent expense attributable to all warehouse, office buildings, and equipment operating leases was \$53 million in 2013, \$69 million in 2012, and \$84 million in 2011. Minimum future rentals under operating leases are as follows: 2014, \$46 million; 2015, \$33 million; 2016, \$27 million; 2017, \$23 million; 2018, \$18 million; and 2019 and thereafter, \$42 million.

#### 17. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

Changes in the components of working capital related to operations (net of the effects related to acquisitions and divestitures) were as follows:

	20	2013		012	2	2011
Decrease (increase) in current assets:						
Receivables	\$	19	\$	206	\$	(138)
Inventories		(29)		(74)		(100)
Prepaid expenses		6		(1)		(30)
Increase (decrease) in current liabilities:						
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		126		(83)		185
Salaries and wages		(5)		19		2
U.S. and foreign income taxes		7		(76)		7



Interest paid in cash, including note repurchase premiums, aggregated \$185 million for 2013, \$223 million for 2012, and \$253 million for 2011.

#### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 17. Supplemental Cash Flow Information (Continued)

Income taxes paid in cash were as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
U.S.	\$	\$	\$ 1
Non-U.S.	128	132	111

\$	128	\$	132	\$	112	
----	-----	----	-----	----	-----	--

#### **18. Business Combinations**

On August 1, 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of Verrerie du Languedoc SAS, a single-furnace glass container plant in Vergeze, France. The Vergeze plant is located near the Nestle Waters' Perrier bottling facility and has a long-standing supply relationship with Nestle Waters.

On May 31, 2011, the Company acquired the noncontrolling interest in its southern Brazil operations for approximately \$140 million.

The acquisitions, individually and in the aggregate, did not meet the thresholds for a significant acquisition and therefore no pro forma financial information is presented.

#### **19. Discontinued Operations**

On October 26, 2010, the Venezuelan government, through Presidential Decree No. 7.751, expropriated the assets of Owens-Illinois de Venezuela and Fabrica de Vidrios Los Andes, C.A., two of the Company's subsidiaries in that country, which in effect constituted a taking of the going concerns of those companies. Shortly after the issuance of the decree, the Venezuelan government installed temporary administrative boards to control the expropriated assets.

Since the issuance of the decree, the Company has cooperated with the Venezuelan government, as it is compelled to do under Venezuelan law, to provide for an orderly transition while ensuring the safety and well-being of the employees and the integrity of the production facilities. The Company has been engaged in negotiations with the Venezuelan government in relation to certain aspects of the expropriation, including the compensation payable by the government as a result of its expropriation. On September 26, 2011, the Company, having been unable to reach an agreement with the Venezuelan government regarding fair compensation, commenced an arbitration against Venezuela through the World Bank's International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes. The Company is unable at this stage to predict the amount, or timing of receipt, of compensation it will ultimately receive, and will record any such compensation as a gain from discontinued operations when received.

The loss from discontinued operations of \$10 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 represents ongoing costs related to the Venezuela expropriation.

#### 20. Guarantees of Debt

OI Group and the Company guarantee OI Inc.'s senior debentures on a subordinated basis. The fair value of the OI Inc. debt being guaranteed was \$291 at December 31, 2013.

### **Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc.**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 21. Related Party Transactions

Charges for administrative services are allocated to the Company by OI Inc. based on an annual utilization level. Such services include compensation and benefits administration, payroll processing, use of certain general accounting systems, auditing, income tax planning and compliance, and treasury services.

Allocated costs also include charges associated with OI Inc.'s equity compensation plans. A substantial number of the options, restricted share units and performance vested restricted share units granted under these plans have been granted to key employees of another subsidiary of OI Inc., some of whose compensation costs, including stock-based compensation, are included in an allocation of costs to all operating subsidiaries of OI Inc., including the Company.

Management believes that such transactions are on terms no less favorable to the Company than those that could be obtained from unaffiliated third parties.

The following information summarizes the Company's significant related party transactions:

	Years ended December 31,									
	20	)13	2	012	2	011				
Revenues:										
Sales to affiliated companies	\$		\$		\$					
Expenses:										
Administrative services	\$	2	\$	3	\$	5				
Corporate management fee		80		115		104				
Total expenses	\$	82	\$	118	\$	109				

The above expenses are recorded in the results of operations as follows:

	Years ended December 31,															
	2013 2012			2013 2012 2		2013 2012 2		012		2012 20		2013 2012 20		2012 2011		011
Cost of goods sold	\$	\$	1	\$	1											
Selling, general and adminstrative expenses	8	2	117		108											
Total expenses	\$8	2 \$	118	\$	109											

# REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Share Owner of Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc. (the Company) as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of results of operations, comprehensive income, share owners' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc. at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP Toledo, Ohio February 13, 2014

# **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

# CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

# **Dollars in millions**

Years ended December 31,	2013		2013 2012		2011
Net sales	\$	6,967	\$	7,000	\$ 7,358
Cost of goods sold		(5,621)		(5,615)	(5,972)
Gross profit		1,346		1,385	1,386
Selling and administrative expense		(429)		(482)	(484)
Research, development and engineering expense		(62)		(62)	(71)
Equity earnings		67		64	66
Interest income		9		9	11
Interest expense		(219)		(228)	(294)
Other expense, net		(123)		(93)	(751)
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes Provision for income taxes Earnings (loss) from continuing operations		589 (120) 469		593 (114) 479	(137) (87) (224)
Loss from discontinued operations		(10)		(5)	(221)
Net earnings (loss) Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests		459 (13)		474 (34)	(226) (20)
Net earnings (loss) attributable to the Company	\$	446	\$	440	\$ (246)

\$	456	\$	445	\$	(244)
	(10)		(5)		(2)
¢	116	¢	440	¢	$(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{C})$
\$	440	\$	440	\$	(246)
	\$ \$		(10)	(10) (5)	(10) (5)

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

# **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

# CONSOLIDATED COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

# **Dollars in millions**

Years ended December 31,	2	2013		2013 2012		2012	2	2011
Net earnings (loss)	\$	459	\$	474	\$	(226)		
Other comprehensive income (loss)								
Foreign currency translation adjustments		(232)		(26)		(187)		
Pension and other postretirement benefit adjustments, net of tax		35		(184)		25		
Change in fair value of derivative instruments		2		5		(3)		
Other comprehensive income (loss)		(195)		(205)		(165)		
Total comprehensive income (loss)		264		269		(391)		
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests		(7)		(42)		(20)		
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to the Company	\$	257	\$	227	\$	(411)		

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

#### **Dollars in millions**

December 31,	2013	2012
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash, including time deposits of \$61 (\$90 in 2012)	\$ 356	\$ 420
Receivables including amount from related parties of \$1 (\$5 in 2012)	942	976
Inventories	1,117	1,139
Prepaid expenses	100	103
Total current assets	2,515	2,638
Other assets:	,	,
Equity investments	315	294
Repair parts inventories	116	133
Pension assets	22	
Other assets	586	584
Goodwill	2,059	2,079
Total other assets	3,098	3,090
Property, plant and equipment:		
Land, at cost	249	256
Buildings and equipment, at cost:		
Buildings and building equipment	1,153	1,178
Factory machinery and equipment	4,646	4,856
Transportation, office and miscellaneous equipment	100	113
Construction in progress	212	187
	6,360	6,590
Less accumulated depreciation	3,763	3,860
·		
Net property, plant and equipment	2,597	2,730
Total assets	\$ 8,210	\$ 8,458

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## **CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Continued)**

#### **Dollars in millions**

December 31,	2013	2012
Liabilities and Share Owners' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Short-term loans	\$ 306	\$ 296
Accounts payable including amount to related parties of \$7 (\$13 in 2012)	1,132	1,030
Salaries and wages	155	161
U.S. and foreign income taxes	40	45
Other accrued liabilities	381	390
Long-term debt due within one year	15	22
		1 0 1 1
Total current liabilities	2,029	1,944
	2 0 0 2	2 100
External long-term debt	2,983	3,190
	000	192
Deferred taxes	232	182
Pension benefits	304	377
	501	511
Nonpension postretirement benefits	86	98
	00	70
Other liabilities	261	299
Share owners' equity:		
Investment by and advances from Parent	2,305	2,142
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(137)	52
Total share owner's equity of the Company	2,168	2,194
Noncontrolling interests	147	174
	0.015	0.066
Total share owners' equity	2,315	2,368
Total liabilities and share owners' equity	\$ 8,210	\$ 8,458

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## CONSOLIDATED SHARE OWNERS' EQUITY

#### **Dollars in millions**

		Share Ov ity of the	vner's Company Accumu	latad				
	Investment Advances Parer	from	Othe Comprehe Income (	r ensive	Non-contro Interest	0	Ow	Share ners'  uity
Balance on January 1, 2011	\$	2,255	\$	439	\$	211	\$	2,905
Net intercompany transactions		3						3
Net earnings (loss)		(246)				20		(226)
Other comprehensive loss				(165)				(165)
Acquisition of noncontrolling interests		(55)		(9)		(43)		(107)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests						(35)		(35)
Balance on December 31, 2011		1,957		265		153		2,375
Net intercompany transactions		(255)						(255)
Net earnings		440				34		474
Other comprehensive income (loss)				(213)		8		(205)
Contribution from noncontrolling interests						3		3
Distributions to noncontrolling interests						(24)		(24)
Balance on December 31, 2012		2.142		52		174		2,368
Net intercompany transactions		(283)						(283)
Net earnings		446				13		459
Other comprehensive loss				(189)		(6)		(195)
Contribution from noncontrolling interests						5		5
Distributions to noncontrolling interests						(22)		(22)
Deconsolidation of subsidiary						(17)		(17)
Balance on December 31, 2013	\$	2,305	\$	(137)	\$	147	\$	2,315

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS

### **Dollars in millions**

Years ended December 31,	2013 2012		2012	2011	
Operating activities:					
Net earnings (loss)	\$	459	\$	474	\$ (226)
Loss on disposal of discontinued operations		10		5	2
Non-cash charges (credits):					
Depreciation		345		374	401
Amortization of intangibles and other deferred items		40		27	14
Amortization of finance fees and debt discount		32		33	32
Deferred tax benefit		(3)		(3)	(44)
Restructuring, asset impairment and related charges		119		159	111
Gain on China land compensation				(61)	
Charge for goodwill impairment					641
Other		36		(58)	(11)
Cash paid for restructuring activities		(78)		(65)	(39)
Change in non-current assets and liabilities		(134)		(54)	(96)
Change in components of working capital		124		(9)	(74)
Cash provided by continuing operating activities Cash utilized in discontinued operating activities		950 (10)		822 (5)	711 (2)
Total cash provided by operating activities <b>Investing activities</b>		940		817	709
Additions to property, plant and equipment		(360)		(290)	(280)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired		(4)		(5)	(144)
Net cash proceeds related to sale of assets and other		10		95	3
Net payments to fund minority partner loan Deconsolidation of subsidiary		(16) (32)		(21)	
Cash utilized in investing activities		(402)		(221)	(421)
Financing activities:		(102)		(221)	(121)
Additions to long-term debt		768		119	1,465
Repayments of long-term debt		(1,040)		(401)	(1,796)
Increase (decrease) in short-term loans		8		(38)	80
Net receipts from (distribution to) parent		(283)		(255)	1
Net receipts (payments) for hedging activity and other		(24)		27	(22)
Payment of finance fees		(7)		(1)	(19)
Contribution from noncontrolling interests		5		3	. ,
Dividends paid to noncontrolling interests		(22)		(24)	(35)
Cash utilized in financing activities		(595)		(570)	(326)
Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash		(3)3)		16	6
Lifect of exchange rate intertuations on easi		(7)		10	0
Increase (decrease) in cash		(64)		42	(32)
Cash at beginning of year		420		378	410
Cash at end of year	\$	356	\$	420	\$ 378

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 1. Significant Accounting Policies

**Basis of Consolidated Statements** The consolidated financial statements of Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc. (the "Company") include the accounts of its subsidiaries. Newly acquired subsidiaries have been included in the consolidated financial statements from dates of acquisition.

The Company uses the equity method of accounting for investments in which it has a significant ownership interest, generally 20% to 50%. Other investments are accounted for at cost. The Company monitors other than temporary declines in fair value and records reductions in carrying values when appropriate.

**Relationship with Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc., Owens-Illinois Group, Inc. and Owens-Illinois, Inc.** The Company is a 100%-owned subsidiary of Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc. ("OB Packaging"), and an indirect subsidiary of Owens-Illinois Group, Inc. ("OI Group") and Owens-Illinois, Inc. ("OI Inc."). Although OI Inc. does not conduct any operations, it has substantial obligations related to outstanding indebtedness and asbestos-related payments. OI Inc. relies primarily on distributions from its direct and indirect subsidiaries to meet these obligations.

For federal and certain state income tax purposes, the taxable income of the Company is included in the consolidated tax returns of OI Inc. and income taxes are allocated to the Company on a basis consistent with separate returns.

**Nature of Operations** The Company is a leading manufacturer of glass container products. The Company's principal product lines are glass containers for the food and beverage industries. The Company has glass container operations located in 21 countries. The principal markets and operations for the Company's products are in Europe, North America, South America and Asia Pacific.

**Use of Estimates** The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management of the Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results may differ from those estimates, at which time the Company would revise its estimates accordingly.

**Foreign Currency Translation** The assets and liabilities of non-U.S. subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars at year-end exchange rates. Any related translation adjustments are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income in share owners' equity.

**Revenue Recognition** The Company recognizes sales, net of estimated discounts and allowances, when the title to the products and risk of loss are transferred to customers. Provisions for rebates to customers are provided in the same period that the related sales are recorded.

**Shipping and Handling Costs** Shipping and handling costs are included with cost of goods sold in the Consolidated Results of Operations.

**Cash** The Company defines "cash" as cash and time deposits with maturities of three months or less when purchased. Outstanding checks in excess of funds on deposit are included in accounts payable.

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 1. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Accounts Receivable Receivables are stated at amounts estimated by management to be the net realizable value. The Company charges off accounts receivable when it becomes apparent based upon age or customer circumstances that amounts will not be collected.

**Allowance for Doubtful Accounts** The allowance for doubtful accounts is established through charges to the provision for bad debts. The Company evaluates the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts on a periodic basis. The evaluation includes historical trends in collections and write-offs, management's judgment of the probability of collecting accounts and management's evaluation of business risk.

Inventory Valuation Inventories are valued at the lower of average costs or market.

**Goodwill** Goodwill represents the excess of cost over fair value of net assets of businesses acquired. Goodwill is evaluated annually, as of October 1, for impairment or more frequently if an impairment indicator exists.

**Intangible Assets and Other Long-Lived Assets** Intangible assets are amortized over the expected useful life of the asset. Amortization expense directly attributed to the manufacturing of the Company's products is included in cost of goods sold. Amortization expense related to non-manufacturing activities is included in selling and administrative and other. The Company evaluates the recoverability of intangible assets and other long-lived assets based on undiscounted projected cash flows, excluding interest and taxes, when factors indicate that impairment may exist. If impairment exists, the asset is written down to fair value.

**Property, Plant and Equipment** Property, plant and equipment ("PP&E") is carried at cost and includes expenditures for new facilities and equipment and those costs which substantially increase the useful lives or capacity of existing PP&E. In general, depreciation is computed using the straight-line method and recorded over the estimated useful life of the asset. Factory machinery and equipment is depreciated over periods ranging from 5 to 25 years with the majority of such assets (principally glass-melting furnaces and forming machines) depreciated over 7 to 15 years. Buildings and building equipment are depreciated over periods ranging from 10 to 50 years. Depreciation expense directly attributed to the manufacturing of the Company's products is included in cost of goods sold. Depreciation expense related to non-manufacturing activities is included in selling and administrative. Depreciation expense includes the amortization of assets recorded under capital leases. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. Costs assigned to PP&E of acquired businesses are based on estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. The Company evaluates the recoverability of PP&E based on undiscounted projected cash flows, excluding interest and taxes, when factors indicate that impairment may exist. If impairment exists, the asset is written down to fair value.

**Derivative Instruments** The Company uses forward exchange contracts, options and commodity futures contracts to manage risks generally associated with foreign exchange rate and commodity market volatility. Derivative financial instruments are included on the balance sheet at fair value. When appropriate, derivative instruments are designated as and are effective as hedges, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. If the underlying hedged transaction ceases to exist, all changes in fair value of the related derivatives that have not been settled are recognized in current earnings. The Company does not enter into derivative financial instruments for



#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 1. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

trading purposes and is not a party to leveraged derivatives. Cash flows from short-term forward exchange contracts not designated as hedges are classified as a financing activity. Cash flows of commodity futures contracts are classified as operating activities.

**Fair Value Measurements** Fair value is defined as the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. Generally accepted accounting principles defines a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows:

Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets;

Level 2: Inputs, other than quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or indirectly; and

Level 3: Unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data, which requires the Company to develop assumptions.

The carrying amounts reported for cash and short-term loans approximate fair value. In addition, carrying amounts approximate fair value for certain long-term debt obligations subject to frequently redetermined interest rates. Fair values for the Company's significant fixed rate debt obligations are generally based on published market quotations.

The Company's derivative assets and liabilities consist of natural gas forwards and foreign exchange option and forward contracts. The Company uses an income approach to valuing these contracts. Natural gas forward rates and foreign exchange rates are the significant inputs into the valuation models. These inputs are observable in active markets over the terms of the instruments the Company holds, and accordingly, the Company classifies its derivative assets and liabilities as Level 2 in the hierarchy. The Company also evaluates counterparty risk in determining fair values.

Reclassifications Certain reclassifications of prior years' data have been made to conform to the current year presentation.

**New Accounting Standards** In July 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued guidance related to the presentation of unrecognized tax benefits when net operating loss carryforwards or tax credit carryforwards exist. This new guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods, beginning after December 31, 2013. The Company elected to adopt this standard effective December 31, 2013. The adoption of this standard impacted how the Company presents certain of its unrecognized tax benefits on its balance sheet, with no impact to the results of operations or cash flows.

Participation in OI Inc. Stock Option Plans and Other Stock Based Compensation The Company participates in the equity compensation plans of OI Inc. under which employees of the Company may be granted options to purchase common shares of OI Inc., restricted common shares of OI Inc., or restricted share units of OI Inc.

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 1. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

#### Stock Options

Options granted prior to March 22, 2005, all of which are exercisable, expire following termination of employment or the day after the tenth anniversary date of the option grant.

For options granted after March 21, 2005, no options may be exercised in whole or in part during the first year after the date granted. In general, subject to change in control, these options become exercisable 25% per year beginning on the first anniversary. In general, options expire following termination of employment or the seventh anniversary of the option grant. The fair value of options granted after March 21, 2005, is amortized over the vesting periods which range from one to four years.

#### Restricted Shares and Restricted Share Units

Shares granted to employees prior to March 22, 2005, generally vest after three years or upon retirement, whichever is later. Shares granted after March 21, 2005 and prior to 2011, vest 25% per year beginning on the first anniversary and unvested shares are forfeited upon termination of employment. Restricted share units granted to employees after 2010 vest 25% per year beginning on the first anniversary. Holders of vested restricted share units receive one share of OI Inc.'s common stock for each unit. Granted but unvested restricted share units are forfeited upon termination, unless certain retirement criteria are met.

The fair value of the restricted shares and restricted share units is equal to the market price of OI Inc.'s common stock on the date of the grant. The fair value of restricted shares granted before March 22, 2005, is amortized ratably over the vesting period. The fair value of restricted shares and restricted share units granted after March 21, 2005, is amortized over the vesting periods which range from one to four years.

#### Performance Vested Restricted Share Units

Performance vested restricted share units vest on January 1 of the third year following the year in which they are granted. Holders of vested units may receive up to 2 shares of OI Inc.'s common stock for each unit, depending upon the attainment of consolidated performance goals established by the Compensation Committee of OI Inc.'s Board of Directors. If minimum goals are not met, no shares will be issued. Granted but unvested restricted share units are forfeited upon termination of employment, unless certain retirement criteria are met.

The fair value of each performance vested restricted share unit is equal to the product of the fair value of OI Inc.'s common stock on the date of grant and the estimated number of shares into which the performance vested restricted share unit will be converted. The fair value of performance vested restricted share units is amortized ratably over the vesting period. Should the estimated number of shares into which the performance vested restricted share unit will be converted change, an adjustment will be recorded to recognize the accumulated difference in amortization between the revised and previous estimates.

As discussed in Note 20, costs incurred under these plans by OI Inc. related to stock-based compensation awards granted directly to the Company's employees are included in the allocable costs charged to the Company and other operating subsidiaries of OI Inc. on an intercompany basis.

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 2. Segment Information

The Company has four reportable segments based on its geographic locations: Europe, North America, South America and Asia Pacific. These four segments are aligned with the Company's internal approach to managing, reporting, and evaluating performance of its global glass operations. Certain assets and activities not directly related to one of the regions or to glass manufacturing are reported with Other. These include licensing, equipment manufacturing, global engineering, and non-glass equity investments.

The Company's measure of profit for its reportable segments is segment operating profit, which consists of consolidated earnings from continuing operations before interest income, interest expense, and provision for income taxes and excludes amounts related to certain items that management considers not representative of ongoing operations. The Company's management uses segment operating profit, in combination with selected cash flow information, to evaluate performance and to allocate resources. Segment operating profit for reportable segments includes an allocation of some corporate expenses based on both a percentage of sales and direct billings based on the costs of specific services provided.

Financial information regarding the Company's reportable segments is as follows:

	20	13	2012		2011
Net sales:					
Europe	\$ 2	2,787	\$	2,717	\$ 3,052
North America	2	2,002		1,966	1,929
South America	1	1,186		1,252	1,226
Asia Pacific		966		1,028	1,059
Reportable segment totals	6	5,941		6,963	7,266
Other		26		37	92
Net sales	\$ 6	5.967	\$	7.000	\$ 7,358

	2013		2012		2	011
Segment operating profit:						
Europe	\$	305	\$	307	\$	345
North America		307		288		222
South America		204		227		250
Asia Pacific		131		113		83

Reportable segment totals	947	935	900
Items excluded from segment operating profit:			
Other	(29)	(25)	(2)
Restructuring, asset impairment and related charges	(119)	(159)	(111)
Gain on China land compensation		61	
Charge for goodwill impairment			(641)
Interest income	9	9	11

Interest expense	(219)	(228)	(294)
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes \$	589	\$ 593	\$ (137)

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 2. Segment Information (Continued)

	E	Curope	North merica	South merica	Asia Pacific	Se	portable egment Fotals	0	ther	Co	nsolidated Totals
Total assets:											
2013	\$	3,509	\$ 1,986	\$ 1,467	\$ 1,150	\$	8,112	\$	98	\$	8,210
2012		3,362	1,986	1,655	1,349		8,352		106		8,458
2011		3,588	2,013	1,682	1,379		8,662		157		8,819
Equity investments:											
2013	\$	84	\$ 25	\$	\$ 155	\$	264	\$	51	\$	315
2012		63	25		165		253		41		294
2011		59	27		181		267		48		315
Equity earnings:											
2013	\$	17	\$ 16	\$	\$ 10	\$	43	\$	24	\$	67
2012		15	16		5		36		28		64
2011		21	9		3		33		33		66
Capital expenditures:											
2013	\$	130	\$ 100	\$ 80	\$ 36	\$	346	\$	14	\$	360
2012		87	68	75	49		279		11		290
2011		127	60	50	37		274		6		280
Depreciation and amortization											
expense:											
2013	\$	139	\$ 110	\$ 72	\$ 62	\$	383	\$	2	\$	385
2012		150	107	70	70		397		4		401
2011		164	96	73	80		413		2		415

The Company's net property, plant and equipment by geographic segment are as follows:

	U.S.		No	on-U.S.	Total		
2013	\$	651	\$	1,946	\$	2,597	
2012		624		2,106		2,730	
2011		626		2,210		2,836	

The Company's net sales by geographic segment are as follows:

	U.S.		on-U.S.	Total		
2013	\$ 1,809	\$	5,158	\$	6,967	
2012	1,780		5,220		7,000	
2011	1,776		5,582		7,358	

Operations outside the U.S. that accounted for more than 10% of consolidated net sales from continuing operations were in France (2013 11%, 2012 11%, 2011 13%).

1	7	1
1	1	J

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 3. Receivables

Receivables consist of the following at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	2	013	2	012
Trade accounts receivable	\$	757	\$	828
Less: allowances for doubtful accounts and discounts		38		40
Net trade receivables		719		788
Other receivables		223		188
	\$	942	\$	976

The Company uses various factoring programs to sell certain receivables to financial institutions as part of managing its cash flows. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the amount of receivables sold by the Company was \$192 million and \$141 million, respectively. The Company has no continuing involvement with the sold receivables.

#### 4. Inventories

Major classes of inventory are as follows:

	2	013	2	012
Finished goods	\$	958	\$	957
Raw materials		113		137
Operating supplies		46		45

\$ 1,117 \$ 1,139

#### 5. Equity Investments

Summarized information pertaining to the Company's equity associates follows:

	2013		2012		20	)11
For the year:						
Equity in earnings:						
Non-U.S.	\$	27	\$	20	\$	24
U.S.		40		44		42

Total	\$ 67	\$ 64	\$ 66
Dividends received	\$ 67	\$ 50	\$ 50

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 5. Equity Investments (Continued)

Summarized combined financial information for equity associates is as follows (unaudited):

	2	013	2	012
At end of year:				
Current assets	\$	419	\$	327
Non-current assets		528		496
Total assets		947		823
Current liabilities		224		195
Other liabilities and deferred items		193		158
Total liabilities and deferred items		417		353
	<i>•</i>		<i>•</i>	470
Net assets	\$	530	\$	470

	2	2013		012	2011		
For the year:							
Net sales	\$	699	\$	658	\$	689	

Gross profit	\$ 185	\$ 191	\$ 215	

Net earnings \$ 149	) \$	143	\$	174
---------------------	------	-----	----	-----

The Company's significant equity method investments include: (1) 50% of the common shares of Vetri Speciali SpA, a specialty glass manufacturer; (2) a 25% partnership interest in Tata Chemical (Soda Ash) Partners, a soda ash supplier; (3) a 50% partnership interest in Rocky Mountain Bottle Company, a glass container manufacturer; (4) a 50% partnership interest in BJC O-I Glass Pte. Ltd., a glass container manufacturer; and (5) 50% of the common shares of Vetrerie Meridionali SpA ("VeMe"), a glass container manufacturer. During the fourth quarter of 2013, changes were made to the VeMe joint venture agreement that resulted in the Company relinquishing control of the joint venture and, therefore, deconsolidating the entity. No gain or loss was recognized related to the deconsolidation as the fair value of the entity was equal

to the carrying amount of the entity's assets and liabilities. The fair value, which the Company classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy, was computed using a discounted cash flow analysis based on projected future cash flows of the joint venture.

There is a difference of approximately \$13 million as of December 31, 2013 between the amount at which certain investments are carried and the amount of underlying equity in net assets. The portion of the difference related to inventory or amortizable assets is amortized as a reduction of the equity earnings. The remaining difference is considered goodwill.

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 6. Goodwill

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	E	urope	 orth Ierica	~ ~ ~	outh erica	-	Asia acific	Otł	ner	,	Total
Balance as of January 1, 2011	\$	1,009	\$ 743	\$	387	\$	677	\$	5	\$	2,821
Acquisitions		8									8
Impairment charge							(641)				(641)
Translation effects		(34)	(3)		(33)		(36)				(106)
Balance as of December 31, 2011		983	740		354				5		2,082
Translation effects		23	3		(29)						(3)
Balance as of December 31, 2012		1,006	743		325				5		2,079
Translation effects		38	(9)		(49)						(20)

 Balance as of December 31, 2013
 \$ 1,044
 \$ 734
 \$ 276
 \$ 5
 \$ 2,059

Goodwill for the Asia Pacific segment is net of accumulated impairment losses of \$1,135 million as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Goodwill is tested for impairment annually as of October 1 (or more frequently if impairment indicators arise) using a two-step process. Step 1 compares the business enterprise value ("BEV") of each reporting unit with its carrying value. The BEV is computed based on estimated future cash flows, discounted at the weighted average cost of capital of a hypothetical third-party buyer. If the BEV is less than the carrying value for any reporting unit, then Step 2 must be performed. Step 2 compares the implied fair value of goodwill with the carrying amount of goodwill. Any excess of the carrying value of the goodwill over the implied fair value will be recorded as an impairment loss. The calculations of the BEV in Step 1 and the implied fair value of goodwill in Step 2 are based on significant unobservable inputs, such as price trends, customer demand, material costs, discount rates and asset replacement costs, and are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

During the fourth quarter of 2013, the Company completed its annual impairment testing and determined that no impairment existed. During the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company completed its annual impairment testing and determined that impairment existed in the goodwill of its Asia Pacific segment. Lower projected cash flows, principally in the segment's Australian operations, caused the decline in the business enterprise value. The strong Australian dollar in 2011 resulted in many wine producers in the country exporting their wine in bulk shipments and bottling the wine closer to their end markets. This decreased the demand for wine bottles in Australia, which was a significant portion of the Company's sales in that country, and the Company expects this decreased demand to continue into the foreseeable future. Following a review of the valuation of the segment's identifiable assets, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$641 million to reduce the reported value of its goodwill.

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 7. Other Assets

Other assets consisted of the following at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	2	013	2	012
Deferred tax asset	\$	235	\$	282
Capitalized software		39		40
Deferred returnable packaging costs		124		96
Non-income tax receivable		70		20
Deferred finance fees		32		39
Intangibles		23		28
Other		63		79

\$ 586 \$ 584

#### 8. Derivative Instruments

The Company has certain derivative assets and liabilities which consist of natural gas forwards and foreign exchange option and forward contracts. The Company uses an income approach to value these contracts. Natural gas forward rates and foreign exchange rates are the significant inputs into the valuation models. These inputs are observable in active markets over the terms of the instruments the Company holds, and accordingly, the Company classifies its derivative assets and liabilities as Level 2 in the hierarchy. The Company also evaluates counterparty risk in determining fair values.

#### Commodity Futures Contracts Designated as Cash Flow Hedges

In North America, the Company enters into commodity futures contracts related to forecasted natural gas requirements, the objectives of which are to limit the effects of fluctuations in the future market price paid for natural gas and the related volatility in cash flows. The Company continually evaluates the natural gas market and related price risk and periodically enters into commodity futures contracts in order to hedge a portion of its usage requirements. The majority of the sales volume in North America is tied to customer contracts that contain provisions that pass the price of natural gas to the customer. In certain of these contracts, the customer has the option of fixing the natural gas price component for a specified period of time. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had entered into commodity futures contracts covering approximately 5,400,000 MM BTUs and 7,000,000 MM BTUs, respectively, primarily related to customer requests to lock the price of natural gas.

The Company accounts for the above futures contracts as cash flow hedges at December 31, 2013 and recognizes them on the balance sheet at fair value. The effective portion of changes in the fair value of a derivative that is designated as, and meets the required criteria for, a cash flow hedge is recorded in the Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income component of share owners' equity ("OCI") and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the underlying hedged item affects earnings. An unrecognized gain of \$1 million at December 31, 2013 and an unrecognized loss of \$1 million at December 31, 2012 related to the commodity futures contracts were included in Accumulated OCI, and will be reclassified into earnings over the next twelve to twenty-four months. Any material portion of the change in the fair value of a derivative designated as a cash flow

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 8. Derivative Instruments (Continued)

hedge that is deemed to be ineffective is recognized in current earnings. The ineffectiveness related to these natural gas hedges for the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was not material.

The effect of the commodity futures contracts on the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

	Amount of Gain (Loss)						Amount of Loss Reclassified							
	Recognized in OCI on					from Accumulated OCI into								
<b>Commodity Futures Contracts</b>					ets	Income (reported in cost of								
(Effective Portion)						goods sold) (Effective Portion)								
20	13	20	12	2	2011		2013		12	20	11			
\$	1	\$	(3)	\$	(10)	\$	(1)	\$	(8)	\$	(7)			
Sen	ior Not	es Des	signated	l as N	let Inves	tment	Hedge							

During December 2004, the Company issued senior notes totaling  $\notin$  225 million. These notes were designated by the Company's subsidiary as a hedge of a portion of its net investment in a non-U.S. subsidiary with a Euro functional currency. Because the amount of the senior notes matched the hedged portion of the net investment, there was no hedge ineffectiveness. Accordingly, the Company recorded the impact of changes in the foreign currency exchange rate on the Euro-denominated notes in OCI. The amount of loss recognized in OCI related to this net investment hedge for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$25 million. During the second quarter of 2011, the senior notes designated as the net investment hedge were redeemed by the Company. The amount recorded in OCI related to this net investment hedge will be reclassified into earnings when the Company sells or liquidates its net investment in the non-U.S. subsidiary.

#### Forward Exchange Contracts not Designated as Hedging Instruments

The Company may enter into short-term forward exchange or option agreements to purchase foreign currencies at set rates in the future. These agreements are used to limit exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates for significant planned purchases of fixed assets or commodities that are denominated in currencies other than the subsidiaries' functional currency. The Company may also use forward exchange agreements to offset the foreign currency risk for receivables and payables, including intercompany receivables and payables, not denominated in, or indexed to, their functional currencies. The Company records these short-term forward exchange agreements on the balance sheet at fair value and changes in the fair value are recognized in current earnings.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had outstanding forward exchange and option agreements denominated in various currencies covering the equivalent of approximately \$550 million and \$750 million, respectively, related primarily to intercompany transactions and loans.



#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 8. Derivative Instruments (Continued)

The effect of the forward exchange contracts on the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on							
Location of Gain (Loss)	in Income on Forward Exchange							
Recognized in Income on		(	Conti	racts				
Forward Exchange Contracts	2	013	201	12	2	011		
Other expense	\$	(28)	\$	6	\$	(11)		
Balance Sheet Classification								

Balance Sheet Classification

The Company records the fair values of derivative financial instruments on the balance sheet as follows: (a) receivables if the instrument has a positive fair value and maturity within one year, (b) deposits, receivables, and other assets if the instrument has a positive fair value and maturity after one year, and (c) other accrued liabilities or other liabilities (current) if the instrument has a negative fair value and maturity within one year. The following table shows the amount and classification (as noted above) of the Company's derivatives as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Fa Balance Sheet				
	Location	201	2013		12
Asset Derivatives:					
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:					
Commodity futures contracts	а	\$	1	\$	
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:					
Foreign exchange contracts	а		3		4
Total asset derivatives		\$	4	\$	4
Liability Derivatives:					
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:					
Commodity futures contracts	с	\$		\$	1
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:					
Foreign exchange contracts	с		7		9
Total liability derivatives		\$	7	\$	10

## 9. Restructuring Accruals, Asset Impairments and Other Costs Related to Closed Facilities

The Company continually reviews its manufacturing footprint and operating cost structure and may decide to close operations or reduce headcount to gain efficiencies, integrate acquired operations and reduce future expenses. The Company incurs costs associated with these actions including employee severance and benefits, other exit costs such as those related to contract terminations, and asset

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 9. Restructuring Accruals, Asset Impairments and Other Costs Related to Closed Facilities (Continued)

impairment charges. The Company also may incur other costs related to closed facilities including environmental remediation, clean up, dismantling and preparation for sale or other disposition.

The Company accounts for restructuring and other costs under applicable provisions of generally accepted accounting principles. Charges for employee severance and related benefits are generally accrued based on contractual arrangements with employees or their representatives. Other exit costs are accrued based on the estimated cost to settle related contractual arrangements. Estimated environmental remediation costs are accrued when specific claims have been received or are probable of being received.

The Company's decisions to curtail selected production capacity have resulted in write downs of certain long-lived assets to the extent their carrying amounts exceeded fair value or fair value less cost to sell. The Company classified the significant assumptions used to determine the fair value of the impaired assets as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy as set forth in the general accounting principles for fair value measurements.

When a decision is made to take these actions, the Company manages and accounts for them programmatically apart from the on-going operations of the business. Information related to major programs (as in the case of the European Asset Optimization and Asia Pacific Restructuring programs below) are presented separately. Minor initiatives are presented on a combined basis as Other Restructuring Actions. When charges related to major programs are completed, remaining accrual balances are classified with Other Restructuring Actions.

#### European Asset Optimization

In 2011, the Company implemented the European Asset Optimization program to increase the efficiency and capability of its European operations and to better align its European manufacturing footprint with market and customer needs. This program involves making additional investments in certain facilities and addressing assets with higher cost structures. As part of this program, the Company recorded charges of \$16 million in 2013, \$86 million in 2012 and \$24 million in 2011 for employee costs, asset impairments and environmental remediation related to decisions to close furnaces and manufacturing facilities in Europe. The Company expects to execute further actions under this program in phases over the next several years.

#### Asia Pacific Restructuring

In 2011, the Company implemented a restructuring plan in its Asia Pacific segment, primarily related to aligning its supply base with lower demand in the region. As part of this plan, the Company recorded charges of \$49 million, \$47 million and \$46 million for the years ended 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, for employee costs and asset impairments related to furnace closures and additional restructuring activities.

#### Other Restructuring Actions

The Company took certain other restructuring actions and recorded charges in 2013 of \$16 million for employee costs related to the closure of flat glass operations in South America, \$13 million for

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 9. Restructuring Accruals, Asset Impairments and Other Costs Related to Closed Facilities (Continued)

employee costs related to global headcount reduction initiatives and \$3 million for miscellaneous other costs. In 2012, the Company recorded charges of \$13 million for employee costs and asset impairments related to a decision to close a machine manufacturing facility in the U.S., \$7 million for employee costs and asset impairments related to a decision to close a mold shop in South America and \$15 million for miscellaneous other costs. In 2011, the Company recorded charges of \$13 million related to headcount reductions, primarily in Europe and South America, and \$12 million for an asset impairment related to a previously closed facility in Europe.

The beginning accrual balance for other restructuring actions as of January 1, 2012 primarily relates to the Company's strategic review of its global manufacturing footprint completed in 2010.

The following table presents information related to restructuring, asset impairment and other costs related to closed facilities:

	European Asset Optimization	Asia Pacific Restructuring	Other Restructuring Actions	Total Restructuring
Balance at January 1, 2012	12	17	73	102
2012 charges	86	47	26	159
Write-down of assets to net realizable value	(30)	(22)	(14)	(66)
Net cash paid, principally severance and related benefits	(16)	(25)	(24)	(65)
Pension charges transferred to other accounts		(11)		(11)
Other, including foreign exchange translation	1		1	2
Balance at December 31, 2012	53	6	62	121
2013 charges	16	49	32	97
Write-down of assets to net realizable value	(3)	(11)	(2)	(16)
Net cash paid, principally severance and related benefits	(37)	(16)	(25)	(78)
Pension charges transferred to other accounts		(6)		(6)
Other, including foreign exchange translation	1	(2)	(5)	(6)
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ 30	\$ 20	\$ 62	\$ 112

The restructuring accrual balance represents the Company's estimates of the remaining future cash amounts to be paid related to the actions noted above. As of December 31, 2013, the Company's estimates include approximately \$69 million for severance and related benefits costs, \$27 million for environmental remediation costs, and \$16 million for other exit costs. The 2012 charges include approximately \$14 million related to environmental remediation costs at a closed facility in Europe.

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits

#### Pension Benefit Plans

The Company participates in OI Inc.'s defined benefit pension plans for substantially all employees located in the United States. Benefits generally are based on compensation for salaried employees and on length of service for hourly employees. OI Inc.'s policy is to fund pension plans such that sufficient assets will be available to meet future benefit requirements. Independent actuaries determine pension costs for each subsidiary of OI Inc. included in the plans; however, accumulated benefit obligation information and plan assets pertaining to each subsidiary have not been separately determined. As such, the accumulated benefit obligation and the plan assets related to the pension plans for domestic employees have been retained by another subsidiary of OI Inc. Net expense to results of operations for the Company's allocated portion of the domestic pension costs amounted to \$48 million in 2013, \$20 million in 2012 and \$37 million in 2011.

OI Inc. also sponsors several defined contribution plans for all salaried and hourly U.S. employees of the Company. Participation is voluntary and participants' contributions are based on their compensation. OI Inc. matches contributions of participants, up to various limits, in substantially all plans. OI Inc. charges the Company for its share of the match. The Company's share of the contributions to these plans amounted to \$7 million in 2013, \$6 million in 2012 and \$7 million in 2011.

The Company has defined benefit pension plans covering a substantial number of employees located in the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, Canada and Australia, as well as many employees in Germany, France and Switzerland. Benefits generally are based on compensation for salaried employees and on length of service for hourly employees. The Company's policy is to fund pension plans such that sufficient assets will be available to meet future benefit requirements. The Company's defined benefit pension plans use a December 31 measurement date.

The changes in the non-U.S. pension plans benefit obligations for the year were as follows:

	2013	2012
Obligations at beginning of year	\$ 1,911	\$ 1,553
Change in benefit obligations:		
Service cost	33	26
Interest cost	72	77
Actuarial (gain) loss, including the effect of change in discount rates	(1)	293
Curtailment and plan amendment	(52)	
Participant contributions	7	7
Benefit payments	(101)	(101)
Other	(4)	
Foreign currency translation	1	56
Net change in benefit obligations	(45)	358
Obligations at end of year	\$ 1,866	\$ 1,911

### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The changes in the fair value of the non-U.S. pension plans' assets for the year were as follows:

	2013	2012
Fair value at beginning of year	\$ 1,527	\$ 1,325
Change in fair value:		
Actual gain on plan assets	61	118
Benefit payments	(101)	(101)
Employer contributions	92	110
Participant contributions	7	7
Foreign currency translation	(5)	43
Other	(3)	25
Net change in fair value of assets	51	202
Fair value at end of year	\$ 1,578	\$ 1,527

The funded status of the non-U.S. pension plans at year end was as follows:

	2013	2012
Plan assets at fair value	\$ 1,578	\$ 1,527
Projected benefit obligations	1,866	1,911
Plan assets less than projected benefit obligations	(288)	(384)
Items not yet recognized in pension expense:		
Actuarial loss	488	534
Prior service credit	(25)	(9)
	463	525
Net amount recognized	\$ 175	\$ 141

The net amount recognized is included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2013 and 2012 as follows:

	20	013	201	2
Pension assets	\$	22	\$	
Current pension liability, included with Other accrued liabilities		(6)		(7)

Pension benefits	(304)	(377)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	463	525
Net amount recognized	\$ 175	\$ 141

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The following changes in plan assets and benefit obligations were recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31, 2013 and 2012 as follows (amounts are pretax):

	2	013	2	012
Current year actuarial loss	\$	28	\$	239
Amortization of actuarial loss		(28)		(22)
Amortization of prior service credit		1		
Curtialment and plan amendment		(52)		
Settlement		(6)		(11)
		(57)		206
Translation		(5)		17
	\$	(62)	\$	223

The accumulated benefit obligation for all defined benefit pension plans was \$1,790 million and \$1,729 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The components of the non-U.S. pension plans' net pension expense were as follows:

	20	013	20	012	2	011
Service cost	\$	33	\$	26	\$	24
Interest cost		72		77		83
Expected asset return		(91)		(87)		(86)
Curtailment (gain) loss						
Amortization:						
Actuarial loss		28		22		24
Prior service credit		(1)				(1)
Net amortization		27		22		23
Net expense	\$	41	\$	38	\$	44

The non-U.S. pension expense excludes \$6 million and \$11 million of pension settlement costs that were recorded in restructuring expense in 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Amounts that are expected to be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net pension expense during 2014:

Amortization:		
Actuarial loss	\$	22
Prior service cost		(3)
Net amortization	\$	19
	Ŧ	

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The following information is for plans with projected and accumulated benefit obligations in excess of the fair value of plan assets at year end:

	Projected Benefit Obligation Exceeds Fair Value of Plan Assets		A	Benefit n iir an			
		2013	2012		2013		2012
Projected benefit obligations	\$	1,588	\$ 1,911	\$	1,588	\$	1,172
Accumulated benefit obligation		1,537	1,729		1,537		1,090
Fair value of plan assets		1,278	1,527		1,278		858

The weighted average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations were as follows:

	2013	2012
Discount rate	4.14%	3.89%
Rate of compensation increase	3.31%	3.08%

The weighted average assumptions used to determine net periodic pension costs were as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
Discount rate	3.89%	4.75%	5.28%
Rate of compensation increase	3.08%	3.23%	3.49%
Expected long-term rate of return on assets	6.34%	6.24%	6.44%

Future benefits are assumed to increase in a manner consistent with past experience of the plans, which, to the extent benefits are based on compensation, includes assumed salary increases as presented above. Amortization included in net pension expense is based on the average remaining service of employees.

For 2013, the Company's weighted average expected long-term rate of return on assets was 6.34%. In developing this assumption, the Company evaluated input from its third party pension plan asset managers, including their review of asset class return expectations and long-term inflation assumptions. The Company also considered its historical 10-year average return (through December 31, 2012), which was in line with the expected long-term rate of return assumption for 2013.

It is the Company's policy to invest pension plan assets in a diversified portfolio consisting of an array of asset classes within established target asset allocation ranges. The investment risk of the assets is limited by appropriate diversification both within and between asset classes. The assets of the Company's non-U.S. plans are primarily invested in a broad mix of domestic and international equities, domestic and international bonds, and real estate, subject to the target asset allocation ranges. The assets are managed with a view to ensuring that sufficient liquidity will be available to meet expected cash flow requirements.

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The investment valuation policy of the Company is to value investments at fair value. All investments are valued at their respective net asset values. Equity securities for which market quotations are readily available are valued at the last reported sales price on their principal exchange on valuation date or official close for certain markets. Fixed income investments are valued by an independent pricing service. Investments in registered investment companies or collective pooled funds are valued at their respective net asset values. Short-term investments are stated at amortized cost, which approximates fair value. The fair value of real estate is determined by periodic appraisals.

The following table sets forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy, the Company's pension plan assets at fair value as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

			20	)13					20	)12			Target
	L	evel 1	Le	evel 2	Lev	el 3	L	evel 1	Le	evel 2	Lev	vel 3	Allocation
Cash and cash													
equivalents	\$	39	\$	6	\$		\$	36	\$	20	\$		
Equity securities		387		210				367		173			45 - 55%
Debt securities		752		116		2		714		113		3	40 - 50%
Real estate						6						15	0 - 10%
Other		13		47				18		68			0 - 10%
	¢	1 101	¢	270	¢	0	¢	1 1 2 5	¢	274	¢	10	
Total assets at fair value	\$	1,191	\$	379	\$	8	\$	1,135	\$	374	\$	18	

The following is a reconciliation of the Company's pension plan assets recorded at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3):

	2	013	20	)12
Beginning balance	\$	18	\$	16
Net increase (decrease)		(10)		2
Ending balance	\$	8	\$	18

The net increase (decrease) in the fair value of the Company's Level 3 pension plan assets is primarily due to purchases and sales of unlisted real estate funds. The change in the fair value of Level 3 pension plan assets due to actual return on those assets was immaterial in 2013.

In order to maintain minimum funding requirements, the Company is required to make contributions to its non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans of approximately \$30 million in 2014.

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The following estimated future benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid in the years indicated:

Year(s)						
2014						\$ 82
2015						85
2016						86
2017						87
2018						90
2019 - 2023						487
	-	~	<b>.</b> .	<b>T</b>	-	

Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions

OI Inc. provides certain retiree health care and life insurance benefits covering substantially all U.S. salaried and certain hourly employees and substantially all employees in Canada. Employees are generally eligible for benefits upon retirement and completion of a specified number of years of creditable service. Independent actuaries determine postretirement benefit costs for each subsidiary of OI Inc.; however, accumulated postretirement benefit obligation information pertaining to each subsidiary has not been separately determined. As such, the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation has been retained by another subsidiary of OI Inc.

The Company's net periodic postretirement benefit cost, as allocated by OI Inc., for domestic employees was \$3 million, \$6 million, and \$6 million at December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

The Company's subsidiaries in Canada also have postretirement benefit plans covering substantially all employees. The following tables relate to the Company's postretirement benefit plans in Canada.

The changes in the postretirement benefit obligations for the year were as follows:

	2	013	2	012
Obligations at beginning of year	\$	102	\$	95
Change in benefit obligations:				
Service cost		1		1
Interest cost		4		4
Actuarial (gain) loss, including the effect of changing discount rates		(7)		3
Benefit payments		(4)		(3)
Foreign currency translation		(6)		2
Net change in benefit obligations		(12)		7
Obligations at end of year	\$	90	\$	102

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The funded status of the postretirement benefit plans at year end was as follows:

	2	013	2	2012
Postretirement benefit obligations	\$	(90)	\$	(102)
Items not yet recognized in net postretirement benefit cost:				
Actuarial (gain) loss		(2)		5
Net amount recognized	\$	(92)	\$	(97)

The net amount recognized is included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2013 and 2012 as follows:

	2	013	20	012
Current nonpension postretirement benefit, included with Other accrued liabilities	\$	(4)	\$	(4)
Nonpension postretirement benefits		(86)		(98)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(2)		5
Net amount recognized	\$	(92)	\$	(97)

The following changes in benefit obligations were recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31, 2013 and 2012 as follows (amounts are pretax):

		2	013	2	012	
Current year actuarial (gain) loss		\$	(7	) \$	3	
The components of the net postreti	rement	ben	efit c	ost f	or the	e year
	20	13	20	12	20	11
Service cost	\$	1	\$	1	\$	1
Interest cost		4		4		4
Net postretirement benefit cost	\$	5	\$	5	\$	5

The weighted average discount rates used to determine the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation and net postretirement benefit cost were as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
Accumulated post retirement benefit obligation	4.47%	3.89%	4.13%
Net postretirement benefit cost	3.89%	4.13%	5.02%
		186	

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 10. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The weighted average assumed health care cost trend rates at December 31 were as follows:

	2013	2012
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year	5.00%	6.00%
Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate)	5.00%	5.00%
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate trend rate	2014	2014

Assumed health care cost trend rates affect the amounts reported for the postretirement benefit plans. A one-percentage-point change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

	1-Percentage-Point			
	Incr	ease	Dee	crease
Effect on total of service and interest cost	\$	1	\$	(1)
Effect on accumulated postretirement benefit obligations		15		(12)

Amortization included in net postretirement benefit cost is based on the average remaining service of employees.

The following estimated future benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid in the years indicated:

Year(s)	
2014	\$ 4
2015	4
2016	4
2017	5
2018	5
2019 - 2023	25

Benefits provided by OI Inc. for certain hourly retirees of the Company are determined by collective bargaining. Most other domestic hourly retirees receive health and life insurance benefits from a multi-employer trust established by collective bargaining. Payments to the trust as required by the bargaining agreements are based upon specified amounts per hour worked and were \$6 million in each of the years 2013, 2012, and 2011. Postretirement health and life benefits for retirees of foreign subsidiaries are generally provided through the national health care programs of the countries in which the subsidiaries are located.

### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 11. Income Taxes

The provision (benefit) for income taxes was calculated based on the following components of earnings (loss) before income taxes:

Continuing operations	2	013	2	012	2	2011
U.S.	\$	340	\$	297	\$	282
Non-U.S.		249		296		(419)
	\$	589	\$	593	\$	(137)

Discontinued operations	2013	2012	2011
U.S.	\$	\$	\$
Non-U.S.	(10)	(5)	(2)

## \$ (10) \$ (5) \$ (2)

The provision (benefit) for income taxes consists of the following:

	2013	2012	2011	
Current:				
U.S.	\$ 7	\$	\$ (8)	
Non-U.S.	116	117	139	
	123	117	131	
Deferred:				
U.S.		10	9	
Non-U.S.	(3)	(13)	(53)	
	(3)	(3)	(44)	
Total:				
U.S.	7	10	1	
Non-U.S.	113	104	86	

Total \$ 120 \$ 114 \$ 87

## **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# Tabular data dollars in millions

## 11. Income Taxes (Continued)

A reconciliation of the provision for income taxes based on the statutory U.S. Federal tax rate of 35% to the provision for income taxes is as follows:

	2	013	2	012	20	011
Tax provision on pretax earnings (loss) from continuing operations at statutory U.S. Federal tax rate	\$	206	\$	208	\$	(48)
Increase (decrease) in provision for income taxes due to:						
Differences in income taxes on foreign earnings, losses and remittances		(29)		(5)		(13)
Goodwill impairment						224
U.S. tax consolidation benefit		(51)		(54)		(58)
Changes in valuation allowance		(1)		(46)		(18)
Tax audits and settlements		1		(1)		3
Other items		(6)		12		(3)
Provision for income taxes	¢	120	¢	114	¢	87
FIGURE IN THE TAXES	φ	120	φ	114	φ	07

Deferred income taxes reflect: (1) the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes; and (2) carryovers and credits for income tax purposes.

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	2	2013	2	2012
Deferred tax assets:				
Accrued postretirement benefits	\$	23	\$	27
Foreign tax credit		356		354
Operating and capital loss carryovers		350		373
Other credit carryovers		29		29
Accrued liabilities		70		72
Pension liability		47		74
Other		60		66
Total deferred tax assets		935		995
Deferred tax liabilities:				
Property, plant and equipment		117		113
Exchangeable notes		10		19
Intangibles		27		12
Other		65		84
Total deferred tax liabilities		219		228
Valuation allowance		(651)		(610)
Net deferred taxes	\$	65	\$	157

### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 11. Income Taxes (Continued)

Deferred taxes are included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2013 and 2012 as follows:

	2	2013	2	2012
Prepaid expenses	\$	62	\$	62
Other assets		235		282
U.S. and foreign income taxes				(5)
Deferred taxes		(232)		(182)
	<b>•</b>	< <del>-</del>	<b></b>	1.57
Net deferred taxes	\$	65	\$	157

The Company reviews the likelihood that it will realize the benefit of its deferred tax assets and therefore the need for valuation allowances on a quarterly basis, or whenever events indicate that a review is required. In determining the requirement for a valuation allowance, the historical and projected financial results of the legal entity or consolidated group recording the net deferred tax asset is considered, along with other positive and negative evidence.

In certain foreign jurisdictions, the Company's analysis indicates that it has cumulative losses in recent years. This is considered significant negative evidence which is objective and verifiable and, therefore, difficult to overcome. However, the cumulative loss position is not solely determinative and, accordingly, the Company considers all other available positive and negative evidence in its analysis. Based on its analysis, the Company has recorded a valuation allowance for the portion of deferred tax assets where based on the weight of available evidence it is unlikely to realize those deferred tax assets.

At December 31, 2013, before valuation allowance, the Company had unused foreign tax credits of \$356 million expiring in 2017 through 2022, research tax credit of \$20 million expiring from 2014 to 2033, and alternative minimum tax credits of \$9 million which do not expire and which will be available to offset future U.S. Federal income tax. Approximately \$164 million of the deferred tax assets related to operating and capital loss carryforwards can be carried over indefinitely, with the remaining \$186 million expiring between 2014 and 2030.

At December 31, 2013, the Company's equity in the undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries for which income taxes had not been provided approximated \$3.2 billion. The Company intends to reinvest these earnings indefinitely in the non-U.S. operations and has not distributed any of these earnings to the U.S. in 2013, 2012 or 2011. It is not practicable to estimate the U.S. and foreign tax which would be payable should these earnings be distributed. Deferred taxes are provided for earnings of non-U.S. jurisdictions when the Company plans to remit those earnings.

The Company is included in OI Inc.'s consolidated tax returns for U.S. federal and certain state income tax purposes. The consolidated group has net operating losses, capital losses, alternative minimum tax credits, foreign tax credits and research and development credits available to offset future U.S. Federal income tax. Income taxes are allocated to the Company on a basis consistent with separate returns.

The Company has recognized tax benefits as a result of incentives in certain non-U.S. jurisdictions which expire between 2014 and 2016.

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 11. Income Taxes (Continued)

The Company records a liability for unrecognized tax benefits related to uncertain tax positions. The Company accrues interest and penalties associated with unrecognized tax benefits as a component of its income tax expense. The following is a reconciliation of the Company's total gross unrecognized tax benefits for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	2	013	20	012	20	011
Balance at January 1	\$	97	\$	125	\$	143
Additions and reductions for tax positions of prior years		(3)		8		(15)
Additions based on tax positions related to the current year		9		7		30
Reductions due to the lapse of the applicable statute of limitations		(2)		(21)		(8)
Reductions due to settlements				(26)		(18)
Foreign currency translation		(1)		4		(7)
Balance at December 31	\$	100	\$	97	\$	125
Unrecognized tax benefits, which if recognized, would impact the Company's effective income tax rate	\$	92	\$	89	\$	114
Accrued interest and penalties at December 31	\$	35	\$	33	\$	49
Interest and penalties included in tax expense for the years ended December 31	\$	1	\$	(6)	\$	18

Based upon the outcome of tax examinations, judicial proceedings, or expiration of statute of limitations, it is reasonably possible that the ultimate resolution of these unrecognized tax benefits may result in a payment that is materially different from the current estimate of the tax liabilities. The Company believes that it is reasonable possible that the estimated liability could decrease up to \$20 million within the next 12 months. This is primarily the result of audit settlements or statute expirations in several taxing jurisdictions.

The Company is currently under examination in various tax jurisdictions in which it operates, including Argentina, Australia, Ecuador, Germany, and Italy. The years under examination range from 2005 through 2012. The Company believes that there are no jurisdictions in which the outcome of unresolved issues or claims is likely to be material to the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flows. The Company further believes that adequate provisions for all income tax uncertainties have been made. During 2013, the Company concluded audits in several jurisdictions, including Czech Republic, France, New Zealand, Peru, Poland, Spain and the United Kingdom.

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 12. External Debt

The following table summarizes the external long-term debt of the Company at December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	2013		2012
Secured Credit Agreement:			
Revolving Credit Facility:			
Revolving Loans	\$	\$	
Term Loans:			
Term Loan A			53
Term Loan B	405		525
Term Loan C (81 million CAD at December 31, 2013)	76		102
Term Loan D (€85 million at December 31, 2013)	117		163
Senior Notes:			
3.00%, Exchangeable, due 2015	617		642
7.375%, due 2016	593		591
6.875%, due 2017 (€300 million)			396
6.75%, due 2020 (€500 million)	690		660
4.875%, due 2021 (€330 million)	455		
Other	45		80
Total long-term debt	2,998		3,212
Less amounts due within one year	15		22
Long-term debt	\$ 2,983	\$	3,190

On May 19, 2011, the Company entered into the Secured Credit Agreement (the "Agreement"). At December 31, 2013, the Agreement included a \$900 million revolving credit facility, a \$405 million term loan, an 81 million Canadian dollar term loan, and a  $\in$ 85 million term loan, each of which has a final maturity date of May 19, 2016. During 2013, the Company repaid 51 million Australian dollars on Term Loan A, \$120 million on Term Loan B, 20 million Canadian dollars on Term Loan C and  $\in$ 39 million on Term Loan D under the Agreement. At December 31, 2013, the Company had unused credit of \$816 million available under the Agreement.

The Agreement contains various covenants that restrict, among other things and subject to certain exceptions, the ability of the Company to incur certain liens, make certain investments, become liable under contingent obligations in certain defined instances only, make restricted junior payments, make certain asset sales within guidelines and limits, make capital expenditures beyond a certain threshold, engage in material transactions with shareholders and affiliates, participate in sale and leaseback financing arrangements, alter its fundamental business, and amend certain outstanding debt obligations.

The Agreement also contains one financial maintenance covenant, a Leverage Ratio, that requires the Company not to exceed a ratio calculated by dividing consolidated total debt, less cash and cash equivalents, by Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA, as defined in the Agreement. The Leverage Ratio could restrict the ability of the Company to undertake additional financing or acquisitions to the extent that such financing or acquisitions would cause the Leverage Ratio to exceed the specified maximum.

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 12. External Debt (Continued)

Failure to comply with these covenants and restrictions could result in an event of default under the Agreement. In such an event, the Company could not request borrowings under the revolving facility, and all amounts outstanding under the Agreement, together with accrued interest, could then be declared immediately due and payable. If an event of default occurs under the Agreement and the lenders cause all of the outstanding debt obligations under the Agreement to become due and payable, this would result in a default under a number of other outstanding debt securities and could lead to an acceleration of obligations related to these debt securities. A default or event of default under the Agreement, indentures or agreements governing other indebtedness could also lead to an acceleration of debt under other debt instruments that contain cross acceleration or cross-default provisions.

The Leverage Ratio also determines pricing under the Agreement. The interest rate on borrowings under the Agreement is, at the Company's option, the Base Rate or the Eurocurrency Rate, as defined in the Agreement. These rates include a margin linked to the Leverage Ratio. The margins range from 1.25% to 2.00% for Eurocurrency Rate loans and from 0.25% to 1.00% for Base Rate loans. In addition, a facility fee is payable on the revolving credit facility commitments ranging from 0.25% to 0.50% per annum linked to the Leverage Ratio. The weighted average interest rate on borrowings outstanding under the Agreement at December 31, 2013 was 2.12%. As of December 31, 2013, the Company was in compliance with all covenants and restrictions in the Agreement. In addition, the Company believes that it will remain in compliance and that its ability to borrow funds under the Agreement will not be adversely affected by the covenants and restrictions.

Borrowings under the Agreement are secured by substantially all of the assets, excluding real estate, of the Company's domestic subsidiaries and certain foreign subsidiaries. Borrowings are also secured by a pledge of intercompany debt and equity in most of the Company's domestic subsidiaries and stock of certain foreign subsidiaries. All borrowings under the agreement are guaranteed by substantially all domestic subsidiaries of the Company for the term of the Agreement.

During March 2013, the Company issued senior notes with a face value of €330 million due March 31, 2021. The notes bear interest at 4.875% and are guaranteed by substantially all of the Company's domestic subsidiaries. The net proceeds, after deducting debt issuance costs, totaled approximately \$418 million.

During March 2013, the Company discharged, in accordance with the indenture, all €300 million of the 6.875% senior notes due 2017. The Company recorded \$11 million of additional interest charges for note repurchase premiums and the related write-off of unamortized finance fees.

During May 2010, the Company issued exchangeable senior notes with a face value of \$690 million due June 1, 2015 ("2015 Exchangeable Notes"). The 2015 Exchangeable Notes bear interest at 3.00% and are guaranteed by substantially all of the Company's domestic subsidiaries.

Upon exchange of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes, under the terms outlined below, the Company is required to settle the principal amount in cash and OI Inc. is required to settle the exchange premium in shares of OI Inc.'s common stock. The exchange premium is calculated as the value of OI Inc.'s common stock in excess of the initial exchange price of approximately \$47.47 per share, which is equivalent to an exchange rate of 21.0642 per \$1,000 principal amount of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes. The exchange rate may be adjusted upon the occurrence of certain events, such as certain distributions, dividends or issuances of cash, stock, options, warrants or other property or effecting a share split, or a



#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 12. External Debt (Continued)

significant change in the ownership or structure of the Company or OI Inc., such as a recapitalization or reclassification of OI Inc.'s common stock, a merger or consolidation involving the Company or the sale or conveyance to another person of all or substantially all of the property and assets of the Company and its subsidiaries substantially as an entirety.

Prior to March 1, 2015, the 2015 Exchangeable Notes may be exchanged only if (1) the price of OI Inc.'s common stock exceeds \$61.71 (130% of the exchange price) for a specified period of time, (2) the trading price of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes falls below 98% of the average exchange value of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes for a specified period of time (trading price was 139% of exchange value at December 31, 2012), or (3) upon the occurrence of specified corporate transactions. The 2015 Exchangeable Notes may be exchanged without restrictions on or after March 1, 2015. As of December 31, 2013, the 2015 Exchangeable Notes are not exchangeable by the holders.

For accounting purposes, the 2015 Exchangeable Notes are considered to be non-exchangeable since OI Inc. is directly responsible for settling the exchange premium, if any. The Company's obligation with respect to the instrument is limited to only the payment of interest and principal. The value of OI Inc.'s obligation to holders of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes was computed using the Company's non-exchangeable debt borrowing rate at the date of issuance of 6.15% and was accounted for as a debt discount and a corresponding capital contribution.

During 2013, the Company repurchased \$46 million of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes. The amount by which the cash paid exceeded the fair value of the notes repurchased was recorded as a reduction to share owners' equity. The Company recorded \$3 million of additional interest charges for the loss on debt extinguishment and the related write-off of unamortized finance fees.

The carrying values of the liability and equity components at December 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	2013		2	012
Principal amount of exchangeable notes	\$	644	\$	690
Unamortized discount on exchangeable notes		27		48
Net carrying amount of liability component	\$	617	\$	642
Carrying amount of equity component	\$	92	\$	93

The debt discount is being amortized over the life of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes. The amount of interest expense recognized on the 2015 Exchangeable Notes for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

	2013		20	)12
Contractual coupon interest	\$	20	\$	21
Amortization of discount on exchangeable notes		18		18

Total interest expense	\$ 38	\$ 39

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 12. External Debt (Continued)

During 2011, the Company recorded additional interest charges of \$25 million for note repurchase premiums and the related write-off of unamortized finance fees related to debt that was repaid prior to maturity.

The Company has a  $\in$ 215 million European accounts receivable securitization program, which extends through September 2016, subject to annual renewal of backup credit lines. Information related to the Company's accounts receivable securitization program as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

	2	013	2	2012
Balance (included in short-term loans)	\$	276	\$	264
Weighted average interest rate		1.41%	2	1.33%

As of December 31, 2013, the Company has capital lease obligations of \$24 million included in other in the long-term debt table above.

Annual maturities for all of the Company's long-term debt through 2018 are as follows: 2014, \$15 million; 2015, \$983 million; 2016, \$876 million; 2017, \$2 million; and 2018, \$3 million.

Fair values at December 31, 2013, of the Company's significant fixed rate debt obligations are as follows:

	ncipal 10unt	Indicated Market Price	Fair	r Value
Senior Notes:				
3.00%, Exchangeable, due 2015	\$ 644	104.71	\$	674
7.375%, due 2016	600	112.76		677
6.75%, due 2020 (€500 million)	690	116.50		804
4.875%, due 2021 (€330 million)	455	105.04		478
12 0				

#### 13. Contingencies

Certain litigation is pending against the Company, in many cases involving ordinary and routine claims incidental to the business of the Company and in others presenting allegations that are nonroutine and involve compensatory, punitive or treble damage claims as well as other types of relief. The Company records a liability for such matters when it is both probable that the liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated. Recorded amounts are reviewed and adjusted to reflect changes in the factors upon which the estimates are based including additional information, negotiations, settlements, and other events. The ultimate legal and financial liability of the Company in respect to this pending litigation cannot reasonably be estimated. However, the Company believes, based on its examination and review of such matters and experience to date, that such ultimate liability will not have a material adverse effect on its results of operations or financial condition.

The Company conducted an internal investigation into conduct in certain of its overseas operations that may have violated the anti-bribery provisions of the United States Foreign Corrupt Practices Act

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 13. Contingencies (Continued)

(the "FCPA"), the FCPA's books and records and internal controls provisions, the Company's own internal policies, and various local laws. In October 2012, the Company voluntarily disclosed these matters to the U.S. Department of Justice (the "DOJ") and the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC").

On July 18, 2013, the Company received a letter from the DOJ indicating that it presently did not intend to take any enforcement action and is closing its inquiry into the matter.

The Company is presently unable to predict the duration, scope or result of an investigation by the SEC, if any, or whether the SEC will commence any legal action. The SEC has a broad range of civil sanctions under the FCPA and other laws and regulations including, but not limited to, injunctive relief, disgorgement, penalties, and modifications to business practices. The Company could also be subject to investigation and sanctions outside the United States. While the Company is currently unable to quantify the impact of any potential sanctions or remedial measures, it does not expect such actions will have a material adverse effect on the Company's liquidity, results of operations or financial condition.

The Company received a non-income tax assessment from a foreign tax authority for approximately \$90 million (including penalties and interest). The Company challenged this assessment, but the tax authority's position was upheld in court. The Company strongly disagrees with this ruling and believes it to be contradictory to other court rulings in the Company's favor. Although the Company cannot predict the ultimate outcome of this case, it believes that it is probable that the tax authority's assessment will be overturned by a higher court, and therefore, the Company has not established an accrual. In order to contest the lower court rulings, legal rules require the Company to deposit the amount of the tax assessment, which will be remitted in monthly installments over the next twenty-four months. A favorable ruling by the higher court will result in a return to the Company of amounts paid. An unfavorable ruling will result in the forfeiture of the deposit, a charge of approximately \$60 million and a non-income tax refund of \$30 million. As of December 31, 2013, the Company has made installment payments totaling \$43 million, which is included in Other assets on the balance sheet.

Other litigation is pending against the Company, in many cases involving ordinary and routine claims incidental to the business of the Company and in others presenting allegations that are non-routine and involve compensatory, punitive or treble damage claims as well as other types of relief. The Company records a liability for such matters when it is both probable that the liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated. Recorded amounts are reviewed and adjusted to reflect changes in the factors upon which the estimates are based, including additional information, negotiations, settlements and other events.

#### 14. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The components of comprehensive income are: (a) net earnings; (b) change in fair value of certain derivative instruments; (c) pension and other postretirement benefit adjustments; and (d) foreign currency translation adjustments. The net effect of exchange rate fluctuations generally reflects changes in the relative strength of the U.S. dollar against major foreign currencies between the beginning and end of the year.

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 14. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Continued)

The following table lists the beginning balance, annual activity and ending balance of each component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):

	Net Effect of Exchange Rate Fluctuations		Exchange Rate Fluctuations		Exchange Rate Fluctuations		Certain Derivative	Change in Certain Employee Derivative Benefit Instruments Plans		Benefit		otal mulated other rehensive Loss
Balance on January 1, 2013	\$	455	\$	(1)	\$	(402)	\$	52				
Change before reclassifications		(226)		1		35		(190)				
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income				1(a)		33(b)		34				
Tax effect						(33)		(33)				
Other comprehensive income attributable to the Company		(226)		2		35		(189)				
Balance on December 31, 2013	\$	229	\$	1	\$	(367)	\$	(137)				
Butuice on December 51, 2015	Ψ	22)	Ψ	1	Ψ	(507)	Ψ	(157)				

(a)

Amount is included in Cost of goods sold on the Consolidated Results of Operations (see Note 8 for additional information).

#### (b)

Amount is included in the computation of net periodic pension cost and net postretirement benefit cost (see Note 10 for additional information).

## 15. Other Expense

Other expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 included the following:

The Company recorded charges totaling \$97 million for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges. See Note 9 for additional information.

The Company recorded charges totaling \$22 million for asset impairment related to the Company's operations in Argentina, due primarily to macroeconomic issues in that country. The Company wrote down the value of these assets to the extent their carrying amounts exceeded fair value. The fair value of the assets was computed based on estimated future cash flows. The Company classified the significant assumptions used to determine the fair value of the impaired assets, which was not material, as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

Aggregate foreign currency exchange losses included in other expense were \$9 million in 2013.

Other expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 included the following:

The Company recorded charges totaling \$159 million for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges. See Note 9 for additional information.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company recorded a gain of \$61 million related to cash received from the Chinese government as compensation for land in China that the Company was required to return to the government.

## **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 15. Other Expense (Continued)

Aggregate foreign currency exchange losses included in other expense were \$8 million in 2012.

Other expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 included the following:

The Company recorded charges totaling \$94 million for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges. See Note 9 for additional information.

The Company recorded charges totaling \$17 million for asset impairment, primarily due to the write down of asset values related to a 2010 acquisition in China as a result of integration challenges. The Company wrote down the value of these assets to the extent their carrying amounts exceeded fair value. The Company classified the significant assumptions used to determine the fair value of the impaired assets, which was not material, as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

The Company recorded a goodwill impairment charge of \$641 million related to its Asia Pacific segment. See Note 6 for additional information.

Aggregate foreign currency exchange losses included in other expense were \$6 million in 2011.

## 16. Operating Leases

Rent expense attributable to all warehouse, office buildings, and equipment operating leases was \$53 million in 2013, \$69 million in 2012, and \$84 million in 2011. Minimum future rentals under operating leases are as follows: 2014, \$46 million; 2015, \$33 million; 2016, \$27 million; 2017, \$23 million; 2018, \$18 million; and 2019 and thereafter, \$42 million.

#### 17. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

Changes in the components of working capital related to operations (net of the effects related to acquisitions and divestitures) were as follows:

	20	)13	2	012	2	2011
Decrease (increase) in current assets:						
Receivables	\$	19	\$	206	\$	(138)
Inventories		(29)		(74)		(100)
Prepaid expenses		6		(1)		(30)
Increase (decrease) in current liabilities:						
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		126		(83)		185
Salaries and wages		(5)		19		2
U.S. and foreign income taxes		7		(76)		7



Interest paid in cash, including note repurchase premiums, aggregated \$185 million for 2013, \$223 million for 2012, and \$253 million for 2011.

#### **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 17. Supplemental Cash Flow Information (Continued)

Income taxes paid in cash were as follows:

	20	2012	2013	
1	\$	\$	\$	U.S.
111		132	128	Non-U.S.
	Ŧ	132	128	

\$	128	\$	132	\$	112	
----	-----	----	-----	----	-----	--

#### **18. Business Combinations**

On August 1, 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of Verrerie du Languedoc SAS, a single-furnace glass container plant in Vergeze, France. The Vergeze plant is located near the Nestle Waters' Perrier bottling facility and has a long-standing supply relationship with Nestle Waters.

On May 31, 2011, the Company acquired the noncontrolling interest in its southern Brazil operations for approximately \$140 million.

The acquisitions, individually and in the aggregate, did not meet the thresholds for a significant acquisition and therefore no pro forma financial information is presented.

#### **19. Discontinued Operations**

On October 26, 2010, the Venezuelan government, through Presidential Decree No. 7.751, expropriated the assets of Owens-Illinois de Venezuela and Fabrica de Vidrios Los Andes, C.A., two of the Company's subsidiaries in that country, which in effect constituted a taking of the going concerns of those companies. Shortly after the issuance of the decree, the Venezuelan government installed temporary administrative boards to control the expropriated assets.

Since the issuance of the decree, the Company has cooperated with the Venezuelan government, as it is compelled to do under Venezuelan law, to provide for an orderly transition while ensuring the safety and well-being of the employees and the integrity of the production facilities. The Company has been engaged in negotiations with the Venezuelan government in relation to certain aspects of the expropriation, including the compensation payable by the government as a result of its expropriation. On September 26, 2011, the Company, having been unable to reach an agreement with the Venezuelan government regarding fair compensation, commenced an arbitration against Venezuela through the World Bank's International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes. The Company is unable at this stage to predict the amount, or timing of receipt, of compensation it will ultimately receive, and will record any such compensation as a gain from discontinued operations when received.

The loss from discontinued operations of \$10 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 represents ongoing costs related to the Venezuela expropriation.

#### 20. Related Party Transactions

Charges for administrative services are allocated to the Company by OI Inc. based on an annual utilization level. Such services include compensation and benefits administration, payroll processing, use

## **Owens-Brockway Glass Container Inc.**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Tabular data dollars in millions

#### 20. Related Party Transactions (Continued)

of certain general accounting systems, auditing, income tax planning and compliance, and treasury services.

Allocated costs also include charges associated with OI Inc.'s equity compensation plans. A substantial number of the options, restricted share units and performance vested restricted share units granted under these plans have been granted to key employees of another subsidiary of OI Inc., some of whose compensation costs, including stock-based compensation, are included in an allocation of costs to all operating subsidiaries of OI Inc., including the Company.

Management believes that such transactions are on terms no less favorable to the Company than those that could be obtained from unaffiliated third parties.

The following information summarizes the Company's significant related party transactions:

\$ 82 \$ 118 \$ 109

	Years ended December 31,							
	20	13	2	012	2	011		
Revenues:								
Sales to affiliated companies	\$		\$		\$			
Expenses:								
Administrative services	\$	2	\$	3	\$	5		
Corporate management fee		80		115		104		

Total expenses

The above expenses are recorded in the results of operations as follows:

	Years ended December 31,							
	2013 2012							
Cost of goods sold	\$		\$	1	\$	1		
Selling, general and adminstrative expenses		82		117		108		
Total expenses	\$	82	\$	118	\$	109		

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC.

(Registrant)

/s/ JAMES W. BAEHREN

James W. Baehren Attorney-in-fact

Date: February 13, 2014

201

By:

## Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of Owens-Illinois, Inc. and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signatures	Title	
Albert P. L. Stroucken	Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer); Director	
Stephen P. Bramlage, Jr.	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer; Principal Accounting Officer)	ial
Gary F. Colter	Director	
Jay L. Geldmacher	Director	
Peter S. Hellman	Director	
Anastasia D. Kelly	Director	
John J. McMackin, Jr.	Director	
Hari N. Nair	Director	
Hugh H. Roberts	Director	
Helge H. Wehmeier	Director	
Dennis K. Williams	Director	
Thomas L. Young	Director	
	By: /s/ JAMES W. BAEHREN	
	James W. Baehren	

Attorney-in-fact

Date: February 13, 2014

## INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE

# Financial Statement Schedule of Owens-Illinois, Inc. and Subsidiaries:

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011:

	PAGE
II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts (Consolidated)	<u>S-1</u>

## OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC. SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS (CONSOLIDATED) Years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 (Millions of Dollars)

Reserves deducted from assets in the balance sheets:

## Allowances for losses and discounts on receivables

	begi	nce at nning eriod	cost	Additio rged to ts and enses	her	luctions Note 1)	at	alance end of eriod
2013	\$	41	\$	11	\$ (5)	\$ (8)	\$	39
2012	\$	38	\$	17	\$ (5)	\$ (9)	\$	41
2011	\$	40	\$	8	\$ (6)	\$ (4)	\$	38
					, í			

(1)

Deductions from allowances for losses and discounts on receivables represent uncollectible notes and accounts written off.

## Valuation allowance on net deferred tax assets

	Balance at beginning of period		or of to		comp	arged to other orehensive ncome	Foreign currency translation Oth			ther	Balance at end of er period		
2013	\$	1,171	\$	37	\$	(187)	\$	(7)	\$	(24)	\$	990	
2012	\$	1,176	\$	(7)		(10)			\$	9	\$	1,171	
2011	\$	1,077	\$	15	\$	89	\$	(1)	\$	(4)	\$	1,176	