Texas Roadhouse, Inc. Form 10-Q August 08, 2014 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended July 1, 2014

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

to

Commission File Number 000-50972

Texas Roadhouse, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

20-1083890 (IRS Employer Identification Number)

6040 Dutchmans Lane, Suite 200

Louisville, Kentucky 40205

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(502) 426-9984

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulations S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x.

The number of shares of common stock outstanding were 69,517,901 on July 30, 2014.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1 Financial Statements (Unaudited) Texas Roadhouse, Inc. and Subsidiaries	3
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets July 1, 2014 and December 31, 2013	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income For the 13 and 26 Weeks Ended July 1, 2014 and June 25,	
<u>2013</u>	4
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity For the 26 Weeks Ended July 1, 2014	5
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows For the 26 Weeks Ended July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013	6
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Item 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	17
Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	28
Item 4 Controls and Procedures	29
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	20
Item 1 Legal Proceedings	30
Item 1A Risk Factors	30
Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	31
Item 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities	31
Item 4 Mine Safety Disclosures	31
Item 5 Other Information	31
Item 6 Exhibits	31
<u>Signatures</u>	32
2	

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Texas Roadhouse, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

(unaudited)

	July 1, 2014	,	Adjusted) per 31, 2013
Assets	• ,		
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 77,461	\$	94,874
Receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$9 at July 1, 2014 and \$4 at			
December 31, 2013	17,170		25,391
Inventories, net	11,760		11,954
Prepaid income taxes			421
Prepaid expenses	8,614		10,250
Deferred tax assets	3,678		2,853
Total current assets	118,683		145,743
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$326,489 at July 1, 2014 and			
\$304,536 at December 31, 2013	612,528		586,212
Goodwill	117,197		117,197
Intangible assets, net	6,989		7,876
Other assets	21,157		20,616
Total assets	\$ 876,554	\$	877,644
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity			
Current liabilities:			
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 113	\$	243
Accounts payable	40,967		38,404
Deferred revenue gift cards	36,375		62,723
Accrued wages	31,074		28,994
Income taxes payable	6,161		
Accrued taxes and licenses	16,100		17,434
Dividends payable	10,450		
Other accrued liabilities	29,243		27,382
Total current liabilities	170,483		175,180
Long-term debt, excluding current maturities	50,760		50,990
Stock option and other deposits	5,849		5,311
Deferred rent	25,315		23,742
Deferred tax liabilities	3,857		5,774
Fair value of derivative financial instruments	2,082		2,696
Other liabilities	20,166		20,091

Total liabilities	278,512	283,784
Texas Roadhouse, Inc. and subsidiaries stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock (\$0.001 par value, 1,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued or		
outstanding)		
Common stock (\$0.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 69,613,056 and 70,352,257		
shares issued and outstanding at July 1, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively)	70	70
Additional paid-in-capital	189,603	215,051
Retained earnings	402,813	374,190
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,276)	(1,652)
Total Texas Roadhouse, Inc. and subsidiaries stockholders equity	591,210	587,659
Noncontrolling interests	6,832	6,201
Total equity	598,042	593,860
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 876,554 \$	877,644

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Texas Roadhouse, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income

(tabular amounts in thousands, except per share data)

(unaudited)

	Ju	13 Week uly 1, 2014		d ine 25, 2013	26 Week July 1, 2014	Ended June 25, 2013		
Revenue:	•		•	,	J., 1			
Restaurant sales	\$	391,819	\$	348,929	\$ 785,775	\$ 705,493		
Franchise royalties and fees		3,544		3,190	6,730	6,302		
Total revenue		395,363		352,119	792,505	711,795		
Costs and expenses:								
Restaurant operating costs (excluding depreciation and amortization shown separately below):								
Cost of sales		137,082		120,212	271,894	244,764		
Labor		115,182		101,723	229,854	203,384		
Rent		8,128		7,152	16,170	14,209		
Other operating		60,362		54,989	121,215	110,767		
Pre-opening		4,455		4,240	8,732	7,064		
Depreciation and amortization		14,433		12,190	28,518	24,402		
Impairment and closure		9		27	26	84		
General and administrative		21,311		21,789	41,511	39,156		
Total costs and expenses		360,962		322,322	717,920	643,830		
Income from operations		34,401		29,797	74,585	67,965		
Interest expense, net		514		567	1,072	1,162		
Equity income from investments in unconsolidated					ĺ	ĺ		
affiliates		(353)		(218)	(565)	(398)		
Income before taxes		34,240		29,448	74,078	67,201		
Provision for income taxes		10,215		8,583	22,445	19,117		
Net income including noncontrolling interests	\$	24,025	\$	20,865	\$ 51,633	\$ 48,084		
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests		944		902	2,087	1,950		
Net income attributable to Texas Roadhouse, Inc. and								
subsidiaries	\$	23,081	\$	19,963	\$ 49,546	\$ 46,134		
Other comprehensive income, net of tax: Unrealized gain on derivatives, net of tax of (\$0.1) million,								
(\$0.2) million, (\$0.2) million and (\$0.3) million, respectively		196		342	376	538		
Total comprehensive income	\$	23,277	\$	20,305	\$ 49,922	\$ 46,672		
Net income per common share attributable to Texas Roadhouse, Inc. and subsidiaries:								

Basic	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.29 \$	0.71	\$ 0.66
Diluted	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.28 \$	0.70	\$ 0.65
Weighted-average shares outstanding:				
Basic	69,705	70,030	69,918	69,693
Diluted	70,577	71,267	70,822	70,924
Cash dividends declared per share	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.12 \$	0.30	\$ 0.24

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Texas Roadhouse, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity

(in thousands, except share data)

(unaudited)

	Shares	Par Value	Additional Paid in Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total Texas Roadhouse, Inc. and Subsidiaries	Noncontrolling Interests	Total
Balance, December 31, 2013	70.252.257	\$ 70	ф 215.051 (\$ 374,190	¢ (1.652) ¢	587,659	¢ (201	502.860
2013	70,352,257	\$ 70	\$ 215,051	\$ 3/4,190	\$ (1,652) \$	387,039	\$ 6,201	\$ 593,860
Net income				49,546		49,546	2,087	51,633
Unrealized gain on				,		,	,	,
derivatives, net of tax of								
\$(0.2) million					376	376		376
Noncontrolling interests							720	720
contribution Distributions to							730	730
noncontrolling interests							(2,186)	(2,186)
Dividends declared and							(2,160)	(2,180)
paid (\$0.15 per share)				(10,473)		(10,473)		(10,473)
Dividends declared				(==,)		(-0,110)		(10,110)
(\$0.15 per share)				(10,450)		(10,450))	(10,450)
Shares issued under								
stock option plan								
including tax effects	165,530		3,680			3,680		3,680
Repurchase shares of								
common stock	(1,260,000)	(1)	(31,759)			(31,760)		(31,760)
Settlement of restricted stock units	536,501	1				1		1
Indirect repurchase of	330,301	1				1		1
shares for minimum tax								
withholdings	(181,232)		(4,768)			(4,768))	(4,768)
Share-based	(11,202)		(1,700)			(1,700)		(1,700)
compensation			7,399			7,399		7,399
Balance, July 1, 2014	69,613,056	\$ 70	\$ 189,603	\$ 402,813	\$ (1,276) \$	591,210	\$ 6,832	\$ 598,042

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Texas Roadhouse, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(in thousands)

(unaudited)

	26 W	eeks Ended			
	July 1, 2014		June 25, 2013		
Cash flows from operating activities:					
Net income including noncontrolling interests	\$ 51,633	\$	48,084		
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization	28,518		24,402		
Deferred income taxes	(2,979)		(1,358)		
Loss on disposition of assets	2,205		1,953		
Equity income from investments in unconsolidated affiliates	(565)		(398)		
Distributions of income received from investments in unconsolidated affiliates	290		253		
Provision for doubtful accounts	5		102		
Share-based compensation expense	7,399		6,971		
Changes in operating working capital:					
Receivables	8,946		4,237		
Inventories	194		(273)		
Prepaid expenses	1,636		564		
Other assets	(266)		(1,404)		
Accounts payable	(809)		1,860		
Deferred revenue gift cards	(26,348)		(24,567)		
Accrued wages	2,080		2,105		
Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation	(1,765)		(2,098)		
Prepaid income taxes and income taxes payable	8,347		6,526		
Accrued taxes and licenses	(1,334)		413		
Other accrued liabilities	1,861		(2,225)		
Deferred rent	1,573		1,531		
Other liabilities	72		1,919		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 80,693	\$	68,597		
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Capital expenditures property and equipment	(53,971)		(35,159)		
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment, including insurance proceeds, and other	1,193		4		
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ (52,778)	\$	(35,155)		
Cash flows from financing activities:					
Repurchase of shares of common stock	(31,760)				
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(2,186)		(1,806)		
Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation	1,765		2,098		
Proceeds from (repayments of) stock option and other deposits, net	538		(40)		
Indirect repurchase of shares for minimum tax withholdings	(4,768)		(4,197)		
Principal payments on long-term debt and capital lease obligations	(360)		(165)		
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	1,916		10,551		
Dividends paid to shareholders	(10,473)		(21,512)		

Edgar Filing: Texas Roadhouse, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net cash used in financing activities	\$ (45,328)	\$ (15,071)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(17,413)	18,371
Cash and cash equivalents beginning of period	94,874	81,746
Cash and cash equivalents end of period	\$ 77,461	\$ 100,117
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,194
Income taxes paid	\$ 17,077	\$ 13,948
Capital expenditures included in accounts payable	\$ 4,756	\$ 1,822
Receivable from minority interest in joint venture	\$ 730	\$

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Texas Roadhouse, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(tabular amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

(unaudited)

(1) Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Texas Roadhouse, Inc. (TRI), our wholly-owned subsidiaries and subsidiaries in which we own more than a 50 percent interest (collectively the Company, we, our and/or us) as a July 1, 2014 and December 31, 2013 and for the 13 and 26 weeks ended July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013. Our wholly-owned subsidiaries include: Texas Roadhouse Holdings LLC (Holdings), Texas Roadhouse Development Corporation (TRDC), Texas Roadhouse Management Corporation (Management Corp.) and Strategic Restaurant Concepts, LLC (Strategic Concepts). We and our subsidiaries operate restaurants primarily under the Texas Roadhouse name. Holdings also provides supervisory and administrative services for certain other franchise Texas Roadhouse restaurants. TRDC sells franchise rights and collects the franchise royalties and fees. Management Corp. provides management services to the Company and certain other franchise Texas Roadhouse restaurants. All significant balances and transactions between the consolidated entities have been eliminated.

As of July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013, we owned 5.0% to 10.0% equity interests in 23 franchise restaurants. Additionally, as of July 1, 2014, we owned a 40% equity interest in four non-Texas Roadhouse restaurants as part of a joint venture agreement with a casual dining restaurant operator in China. The unconsolidated restaurants are accounted for using the equity method. While we exercise significant control over these Texas Roadhouse franchise restaurants, we do not consolidate their financial position, results of operations or cash flows as it is immaterial to our consolidated financial position, results of operations and/or cash flows. Our investments in these unconsolidated affiliates are included in Other assets in our unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets, and we record our percentage share of net income earned by these unconsolidated affiliates in our unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income under Equity income from investments in unconsolidated affiliates. All significant intercompany balances and transactions for these unconsolidated restaurants have been eliminated.

We have made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the reporting of revenue and expenses during the periods to prepare these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Significant items subject to such estimates and assumptions include the carrying amount of property and equipment, goodwill, obligations related to insurance reserves, share-based compensation expense and income taxes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP, except that certain information and footnotes have been condensed or omitted pursuant to rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Operating results for the 13 and 26 weeks ended July 1, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 30, 2014. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified in our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements to conform with curren	t year
presentation.	

Our significant interim accounting policies include the recognition of income taxes using an estimated annual effective tax rate.

(2) Share-based Compensation

On May 16, 2013, our stockholders approved the Texas Roadhouse, Inc. 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the Plan). The Plan provides for the granting of incentive and non-qualified stock options to purchase shares of common stock, stock appreciation rights, and full value awards, including restricted stock, restricted stock units (RSUs), deferred stock units, performance stock and performance stock units. As a result of the approval of the Plan, no future awards will be made under the Texas Roadhouse, Inc. 2004 Equity Incentive Plan.

Beginning in 2008, we changed the method by which we provide share-based compensation to our employees by eliminating stock option grants and, instead, granting RSUs as a form of share-based compensation. An RSU is the conditional right to receive one share of common stock upon satisfaction of the vesting requirement.

7

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the share-based compensation recorded in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income:

	13 Weeks Ended					26 Weeks Ended			
	July 1, 2014		June 25, 2013		July 1, 2014		June 25, 2013		
Labor expense	\$	1,402	\$	1,341	\$	2,770	\$	2,671	
General and administrative expense		2,376		2,118		4,629		4,300	
Total share-based compensation expense	\$	3,778	\$	3,459	\$	7,399	\$	6,971	

Share-based compensation activity by type of grant as of July 1, 2014 and changes during the 26 weeks then ended are presented below.

Summary Details for Share Options

	Shares	S		Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	1,043,438	\$	13.77		
Granted					
Forfeited	(1,226)		14.82		
Exercised	(165,530)		11.58		
Outstanding at July 1, 2014	876,682	\$	14.19	1.95	\$ 10,665
Exercisable at July 1, 2014	876,682	\$	14.19	1.95	\$ 10,665

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the 13 weeks ended July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013 was \$0.8 million and \$2.9 million, respectively. During the 26 weeks ended July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013, the total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$2.3 million and \$6.2 million, respectively. No stock options vested during the 13 or 26 week periods ended July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013.

Summary Details for RSUs

	Shares	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value		Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	1,283,862	\$	18.68		
Granted	278,816		25.27		
Forfeited	(40,880)		18.37		
Vested	(536,501)		17.37		
Outstanding at July 1, 2014	985,297	\$	21.27	8.61	\$ 25,963

As of July 1, 2014, with respect to unvested RSUs, there was \$10.9 million of unrecognized compensation cost that is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.4 years. The vesting terms of the RSUs range from approximately 1.0 to 5.0 years. The total intrinsic value of RSUs vested during the 13 weeks ended July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013 was \$2.7 million and \$3.3 million, respectively. For the 26 weeks ended July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013, the total intrinsic value of RSUs vested was \$14.2 million and \$13.2 million, respectively.

(3) Long-term Debt

Long-term debt consisted of the following:

		July 1, 2014		cember 31, 2013
Installment loans, due 2014	2020	\$ 873	\$	1,233
Revolver		50,000		50,000
		50,873		51,233
Less current maturities		113		243
		\$ 50,760	\$	50,990

The weighted-average interest rate for installment loans outstanding at July 1, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was 10.46% and 10.54%, respectively. The debt is secured by certain land and building assets and is subject to certain prepayment penalties.

On November 1, 2013, we entered into Omnibus Amendment No. 1 and Consent to Credit Agreement and Guaranty with respect to our revolving credit facility dated as of August 12, 2011 with a syndicate of commercial lenders led by JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., PNC Bank, N.A., and Wells Fargo, N.A. The amended revolving credit facility, which has a maturity date of November 1, 2018, remains an unsecured, revolving credit agreement under which we may borrow up to \$200.0 million. The amendment provides us with the option to increase the revolving credit facility by \$200.0 million, up to \$400.0 million, subject to certain limitations.

The terms of the amended revolving credit facility require us to pay interest on outstanding borrowings at the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus a margin of 0.875% to 1.875%, depending on our leverage ratio, or the Alternate Base Rate, which is the higher of the issuing bank s prime lending rate, the Federal Funds rate plus 0.50% or the Adjusted Eurodollar Rate for a one month interest period on such day plus 1.0%. We are also required to pay a commitment fee of 0.125% to 0.30% per year on any unused portion of the amended revolving credit facility, depending on our leverage ratio. The weighted-average interest rate for the revolving credit facility at both July 1, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was 3.96%, including the impact of interest rate swaps. At July 1, 2014, we had \$50.0 million outstanding under the revolving credit facility and \$144.6 million of availability, net of \$5.4 million of outstanding letters of credit.

The lenders obligation to extend credit under the amended revolving credit facility depends on us maintaining certain financial covenants, including a minimum consolidated fixed charge coverage ratio of 2.00 to 1.00 and a maximum consolidated leverage ratio of 3.00 to 1.00. The amended revolving credit facility permits us to incur additional secured or unsecured indebtedness outside the facility, except for the incurrence of secured indebtedness that in the aggregate exceeds 15% of our consolidated tangible net worth or circumstances where the incurrence of secured or unsecured indebtedness would prevent us from complying with our financial covenants. We were in compliance with all covenants as of July 1, 2014.

(4) Income taxes

A reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax rate to our effective tax rate for the 13 and 26 weeks ended July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013 is as follows:

	13 Weeks	Ended	26 Weeks Ended		
	July 1, 2014	June 25, 2013	July 1, 2014	June 25, 2013	
Tax at statutory federal rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%	
State and local tax, net of federal					
benefit	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.7	
FICA tip tax credit	(6.5)	(6.7)	(5.9)	(5.8)	
Work opportunity tax credit	(0.7)	(1.5)	(0.6)	(2.6)	
Incentive stock options	(0.1)	(0.8)	(0.1)	(0.7)	
Nondeductible officer compensation	0.3	(0.1)	0.3	0.3	
Net income attributable to					
noncontrolling interests	(0.9)	(1.1)	(0.9)	(1.0)	
Other	(0.8)	0.7	(1.0)	(0.4)	
	,		` ,	` ′	
Total	29.8%	29.2%	30.3%	28.5%	

(5) Derivative and Hedging Activities

We enter into derivative instruments for risk management purposes only, including derivatives designated as hedging instruments under Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 815, *Derivatives and Hedging* (ASC 815). We use interest rate-related derivative instruments to manage our exposure to fluctuations of interest rates. By using these instruments, we expose ourselves, from time to time, to credit risk and market risk. Credit risk is the failure of the counterparty to perform under the terms of the derivative contract. When the fair value of a derivative contract is positive, the counterparty owes us, which creates credit risk for us. We attempt to minimize the credit risk by entering into transactions with high-quality counterparties whose credit rating is evaluated on a quarterly basis. Our counterparty in the interest rate swaps is JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. Market risk is the adverse effect on the value of a financial instrument that results from a change in interest rates. We attempt to minimize market risk by establishing and monitoring parameters that limit the types and degree of market risk that may be taken.

Interest Rate Swaps

On October 22, 2008, we entered into an interest rate swap, starting on November 7, 2008, with a notional amount of \$25.0 million to hedge a portion of the cash flows of our variable rate borrowings. We have designated the interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge of our exposure to variability in future cash flows attributable to interest payments on a \$25.0 million tranche of floating rate debt borrowed under our revolving credit facility. Under the terms of the swap, we pay a fixed rate of 3.83% on the \$25.0 million notional amount and receive payments from the counterparty based on the one month LIBOR rate for a term ending on November 7, 2015, effectively resulting in a fixed rate on the \$25.0 million notional amount.

On January 7, 2009, we entered into an interest rate swap, starting on February 7, 2009, with a notional amount of \$25.0 million to hedge a portion of the cash flows of our variable rate borrowings. We have designated the interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge of our exposure to variability in future cash flows attributable to interest payments on a \$25.0 million tranche of floating rate debt borrowed under our revolving credit facility. Under the terms of the swap, we pay a fixed rate of 2.34% on the \$25.0 million notional amount and receive payments from the counterparty based on the one month LIBOR rate for a term ending on January 7, 2016, effectively resulting in a fixed rate on the \$25.0 million notional amount.

We entered into the above interest rate swaps with the objective of eliminating the variability of our interest cost that arises because of changes in the variable interest rate for the designated interest payments. Changes in the fair value of the interest rate swaps will be reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income or loss (AOCI). Additionally, amounts related to the yield adjustment of the hedged interest payments are subsequently reclassified into interest expense in the same period which the related interest affects earnings. We will reclassify any gain or loss from accumulated other comprehensive income or loss, net of tax, in our unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet to interest expense in our unaudited condensed consolidated statement of income and comprehensive income when the interest rate swap expires or at the time we choose to terminate the swap. See note 11 for fair value discussion of these interest rate swaps.

The following table summarizes the fair value and presentation in the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets for derivatives designated as hedging instruments under FASB ASC 815:

Edgar Filing: Texas Roadhouse, Inc. - Form 10-Q

		Deriva	ative Assets	Derivative Liabilities			
	Balance Sheet Location	July 1, 2014	December 31, 2013		July 1, 2014	Dec	cember 31, 2013
Derivative Contracts Designated as Hedging Instruments under ASC 815	(1)						
Interest rate swaps	(1)	\$	\$	\$	2,082	\$	2,696
Total Derivative Contracts		\$	\$	\$	2,082	\$	2,696

⁽¹⁾ Derivative assets and liabilities are included in fair value of derivative financial instruments in the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets.

The following table summarizes the effect of our interest rate swaps in the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income for the 13 and 26 weeks ended July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013:

	13 Weeks Ended				26 Weeks Ended			
	July 1, 2014		June 25, 2013		July 1, 2014		June 25, 2013	
Gain recognized in AOCI, net of tax	ф	106	ф	242	¢.	27/	¢.	520
(effective portion) Loss reclassified from AOCI to income	3	196	\$	342	3	376	2	538
(effective portion)	\$	383	\$	369	\$	740	\$	728

The loss reclassified from AOCI to income was recognized in interest expense on our unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income. For each of the 13 and 26 weeks ended July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013, we did not recognize any gain or loss due to hedge ineffectiveness related to the derivative instruments in the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income.

(6) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Discontinued Operations

(Accounting Standards Update 2014-08, ASU 2014-08)

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-08, *Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity*, which amends the requirements for reporting discontinued operations and modifies related disclosure requirements. ASU 2014-08 is effective prospectively for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2014 (our 2015 fiscal year). The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Revenue Recognition

(Accounting Standards Update 2014-09, ASU 2014-09)

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*, which requires an entity to recognize the amount of revenue to which it expects to be entitled for the transfer of promised goods or services to customers. The ASU will replace most existing revenue recognition guidance in GAAP when it becomes effective. ASU 2014-09 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2016 (our 2017 fiscal year). Early adoption is not permitted. The standard permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method. We are evaluating the effect that ASU 2014-09 will have on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, cash flows and related disclosures. We have not yet selected a transition method nor have we determined the effect of the standard on our ongoing financial reporting.

(7) Commitments and Contingencies

The estimated cost of completing capital project commitments at July 1, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was approximately \$71.5 million and \$65.2 million, respectively.

Effective December 31, 2013, we sold two restaurants, which operated under the name Aspen Creek, located in Irving, Texas and Louisville, Kentucky. We assigned the leases associated with these restaurants to the acquirer, but remain contingently liable if the acquirer defaults, under the terms of the leases. We are contingently liable for the initial terms of the leases and any renewal periods. The Irving lease has an initial term that expires December 2019, along with three five-year renewals. The Louisville lease has an initial term that expires November 2023, along with three five-year renewals. The assignment of the Louisville lease releases us from liability after the initial lease term expiration contingent upon certain conditions being met by the acquirer. As the fair value of the guarantees is not considered significant, no liability has been recorded.

We entered into real estate lease agreements for five franchises, listed in the table below, before granting franchise rights for those restaurants. We have subsequently assigned the leases to the franchisees, but remain contingently liable if a franchisee defaults, under the terms of the lease.

	Lease Assignment Date	Current Lease Term Expiration
Everett, Massachusetts (1)	September 2002	February 2018
Longmont, Colorado (1)	October 2003	May 2019
Montgomeryville, Pennsylvania	October 2004	June 2021
Fargo, North Dakota (1)	February 2006	July 2016
Logan, Utah	January 2009	August 2019

⁽¹⁾ As discussed in note 9, these restaurants are owned, in whole or part, by certain officers, directors and 5% shareholders of the Company.

Table of Contents

We are contingently liable for the initial terms of the leases and any renewal periods. All of the leases have three five-year renewals. As the fair value of the guarantees is not considered significant, no liability has been recorded.

During the 26 weeks ended July 1, 2014, we bought most of our beef from four suppliers. Although there are a limited number of beef suppliers, we believe that other suppliers could provide a similar product on comparable terms. A change in suppliers, however, could cause supply shortages and a possible loss of sales, which would affect operating results adversely. We have no material minimum purchase commitments with our vendors that extend beyond a year.

On September 30, 2011, the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) filed a lawsuit styled Equal Employment Opportunity Commission v. Texas Roadhouse, Inc., Texas Roadhouse Holdings LLC, Texas Roadhouse Management Corp. in the United States District Court, District of Massachusetts, Civil Action Number 1:11-cv-11732. The complaint alleges that applicants over the age of 40 were denied employment in our restaurants in bartender, host, server and server assistant positions due to their age. The EEOC is seeking injunctive relief, remedial actions, payment of damages to the applicants and costs. We have filed an answer to the complaint, and the case is in discovery. We deny liability; however, in view of the inherent uncertainties of litigation, the outcome of this case cannot be predicted at this time. We cannot estimate the possible amount or range of loss, if any, associated with this matter.

Occasionally, we are a defendant in litigation arising in the ordinary course of our business, including slip and fall accidents, employment related claims and claims from guests or employees alleging illness, injury or food quality, health or operational concerns. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

(8) Divestitures and Acquisitions

On December 31, 2013, we sold our Aspen Creek concept, including two restaurants, and, pursuant to the terms of the purchase agreement, we received two Texas Roadhouse franchise restaurants in Ohio and \$1.5 million in cash, for an aggregate transaction value of \$6.0 million. The acquisition of the two franchise restaurants did not have a significant net revenue or accretive impact. The acquisition is consistent with our long-term strategy to increase net income and earnings per share.

The acquisition of the two franchise restaurants was accounted for using the purchase method as defined in ASC 805, *Business Combinations* (ASC 805). Based on a purchase price of \$4.5 million, \$3.7 million of goodwill was generated by the acquisition, which is not amortizable for book purposes, but is deductible for tax purposes.

The purchase price has been allocated as follows:

Amounts Measurement
Previously Period As
Recorded (1) Adjustments (2) Adjusted

Edgar Filing: Texas Roadhouse, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Current assets	\$	64	\$	64
	Ф		·	-
Property and equipment, net		558	19	577
Goodwill		3,013	730	3,743
Intangible asset		1,154	(749)	405
Current liabilities		(139)		(139)
Other liabilities		(150)		(150)
	\$	4,500	\$	4,500

- (1) As previously reported in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K.
- (2) Measurement period adjustments were made during the 13 weeks ended April 1, 2014.

As a result of this acquisition, we recorded an intangible asset associated with reacquired franchise rights of \$0.4 million in accordance with ASC 805. ASC 805 requires that a business combination between two parties that have a preexisting relationship be evaluated to determine if a settlement of a preexisting relationship exists. ASC 805 also requires that certain reacquired rights (including the rights to the acquirer s trade name under a franchise agreement) be recognized as intangible assets apart from goodwill.

The fair value of \$0.4 million assigned to the intangible asset acquired was determined primarily using valuation methods that discount expected future cash flow to present value using estimates and assumptions determined by management. The intangible asset has a weighted-average life of approximately 2.7 years based on the remaining terms of the franchise agreements. We expect the annual expense for the next three years to average approximately \$0.1 million.

Pro forma results of operations have not been presented because the effects of the acquisitions were not material to our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

(9) Related Party Transactions

The Longview, Texas restaurant, which was acquired by us in connection with the completion of our initial public offering, leases the land and restaurant building from an entity controlled by Steven L. Ortiz, our Chief Operating Officer. The initial lease term was 15 years and was scheduled to terminate in November 2014. We exercised our first renewal term so the lease will now expire on October 31, 2019. The lease can be renewed for three additional terms of five years each. Rent is approximately \$19,000 per month. The lease can be terminated if the tenant fails to pay the rent on a timely basis, fails to maintain the insurance specified in the lease, fails to maintain the building or property or becomes insolvent. Total rent payments were approximately \$0.1 million for each of the 13 weeks ended July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013. For each of the 26 week periods ended July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013, rent payments were approximately \$0.1 million.

The Bossier City, Louisiana restaurant, of which Mr. Ortiz beneficially owns 66.0% and we own 5.0%, leases the land and restaurant building from an entity owned by Mr. Ortiz. The lease term is 15 years and will terminate on March 31, 2020. Rent is approximately \$16,600 per month and escalates 10% each five year period during the term. The next rent escalation is in the second quarter of 2015. The lease can be terminated if the tenant fails to pay rent on a timely basis, fails to maintain insurance, abandons the property or becomes insolvent. Total rent payments were approximately \$0.1 million for each of the 13 weeks ended July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013. For each of the 26 week periods ended July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013, rent payments were approximately \$0.1 million.

We have 15 franchise restaurants owned in whole or part, by certain of our officers, directors and stockholders of the Company as of July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013. These entities paid us fees of approximately \$0.6 million for both of the 13 weeks ended July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013. For the 26 week periods ended July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013, these entities paid us fees of approximately \$1.3 million and \$1.2 million, respectively. As disclosed in note 7, we are contingently liable on leases which are related to three of these restaurants.

(10) Earnings Per Share

The share and net income per share data for all periods presented are based on the historical weighted-average shares outstanding. The diluted earnings per share calculations show the effect of the weighted-average stock options and RSUs outstanding from our equity incentive plans as discussed in note 2.

The following table summarizes the options and nonvested stock that were outstanding but not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because their inclusion would have had an anti-dilutive effect:

	13 Wee	ks Ended	26 Wee	ks Ended
	July 1, 2014	June 25, 2013	July 1, 2014	June 25, 2013
Options		7,387		38,445
Nonvested stock				
Total		7,387		38,445

The following table sets forth the calculation of weighted-average shares outstanding (in thousands) as presented in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income:

		13 Week	s Ende	d	26 Weeks Ended			
	July	1, 2014	Jun	ne 25, 2013	July 1, 2014	Jui	ne 25, 2013	
Net income attributable to Texas Roadhouse, Inc. and subsidiaries	\$	23,081	\$	19,963	\$ 49,546	\$	46,134	
Basic EPS:								
Weighted-average common shares outstanding		69,705		70,030	69,918		69,693	
č								
Basic EPS	\$	0.33	\$	0.29	\$ 0.71	\$	0.66	
Diluted EPS:								
Weighted-average common shares outstanding		69,705		70,030	69,918		69,693	
Dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock								
units		872		1,237	904		1,231	
Shares diluted		70,577		71,267	70,822		70,924	
Diluted EPS	\$	0.33	\$	0.28	\$ 0.70	\$	0.65	

(11) Fair Value Measurements

ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC 820), establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. ASC 820 establishes a three-level hierarchy, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs in measuring fair value. The valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability on the measurement date.

Level 1 Inputs based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets.

Level 2 Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the assets, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 Inputs that are unobservable for the asset.

There were no transfers among levels within the fair value hierarchy during the 13 and 26 weeks ended July 1, 2014.

The following table presents the fair values for our financial assets and liabilities measured on a recurring basis:

	Level	alue Measuremen ly 1, 2014	December 31, 2013	
Interest rate swaps	2	\$ (2,082)	\$ (2,696)	
Deferred compensation plan - assets	1	13,712	11,916	
Deferred compensation plan - liabilities	1	(13,698)	(11,913)	

The fair values of our interest rate swaps were determined based on industry-standard valuation models. Such models project future cash flows and discount the future amounts to present value using market-based observable inputs, including interest rate curves. See note 5 for discussion of our interest rate swaps.

The Second Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan of Texas Roadhouse Management Corp., as amended, (the Deferred Compensation Plan) is a nonqualified deferred compensation plan which allows highly compensated employees to defer receipt of a portion of their compensation and contribute such amounts to one or more investment funds held in a rabbi trust. We report the accounts of the rabbi trust in our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. These investments are considered trading securities and are reported at fair value based on third-party broker statements. The realized and unrealized holding gains and losses related to these investments, as well as the offsetting compensation expense, are recorded in general and administrative expense in the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income.

The following table presents the fair values for our assets and liabilities measured on a nonrecurring basis:

		Fair Value Measurements				Total losses			
	Level	July 1, 2014	Decemb	ber 31, 2013	July	1, 2014	June 25, 201.	3	
Long-lived assets	2	\$	\$	1,203	\$	15	\$		

Long-lived assets included land and building related to a previously closed restaurant which were sold for a purchase price of \$1.2 million, net of closing costs, during the 13 weeks ended July 1, 2014. At December 31, 2013, these assets were valued using Level 2 inputs, primarily discussions with the broker regarding recent offers on the property, and included cost to market and/or sell the assets.

At July 1, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the fair values of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximated their carrying values based on the short-term nature of these instruments. The fair value of our revolving credit facility at July 1, 2014 and December 31, 2013 approximated its carrying value since it is a variable rate credit facility (Level 2). The fair value of our installment loans is estimated based on the current rates offered to us for instruments of similar terms and maturities. The carrying amounts and related estimated fair values for our installment loans are as follows:

	July 1, 2014				December 31, 2013			
		rrying nount	Fai	ir Value		Carrying Amount	Fa	air Value
Installment loans - Level								
2	\$	873	\$	1,025	\$	1,233	\$	1,434

15

(12) Stock Repurchase Program

On May 22, 2014, our Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program which authorized us to repurchase up to \$100.0 million of our common stock. This stock repurchase program has no expiration date and replaced a previous stock repurchase program which was approved on February 16, 2012. The previous program authorized us to repurchase up to \$100.0 million of our common stock. All repurchases to date have been made through open market transactions. The timing and the amount of any repurchases will be determined by management under parameters established by our Board of Directors, based on its evaluation of our stock price, market conditions and other corporate considerations.

For the 13 week period ended July 1, 2014 we paid approximately \$7.6 million to repurchase 300,000 shares of our common stock. For the 26 week period ended July 1, 2014 we paid approximately \$31.8 million to repurchase 1,260,000 shares of our common stock. We did not repurchase any shares of our common stock during the 13 and 26 week periods ended June 25, 2013. As of July 1, 2014, we had \$96.4 million remaining under our authorized stock repurchase program.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT

This report contains forward-looking statements based on our current expectations, estimates and projections about our industry, management s beliefs, and certain assumptions made by us. Words such as anticipates, expects, intends, estimates, may, will and variations of these words or similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. In addition, any statements that refer to expectations, projections or other characterizations of future events or circumstances, including any underlying assumptions, are forward-looking statements. Such statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Therefore, our actual results could differ materially and adversely from those expressed in any forward-looking statements as a result of various factors. The section entitled Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, and in our other Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) filings, discusses some of the important risk factors that may affect our business, results of operations, or financial condition. You should carefully consider those risks, in addition to the other information in this report, and in our other filings with the SEC, before deciding to invest in our company or to maintain or increase your investment. We undertake no obligation to revise or update publicly any forward-looking statements for any reason. The information contained in this Form 10-Q is not a complete description of our business or the risks associated with an investment in our common stock. We urge you to carefully review and consider the various disclosures made by us in this report and in our other reports filed with the SEC that discuss our business in greater detail and advise interested parties of certain risks, uncertainties and other factors that may affect our business, results of operations or financial condition.

OVERVIEW

Texas Roadhouse is a growing, moderately priced, full-service restaurant chain. Our founder, chairman and chief executive officer, W. Kent Taylor, started the business in 1993 with the opening of the first Texas Roadhouse in Clarksville, Indiana. Since then, we have grown to 433 restaurants in 49 states and three foreign countries. Our mission statement is Legendary Food, Legendary Service®. Our operating strategy is designed to position each of our restaurants as the local hometown destination for a broad segment of consumers seeking high quality, affordable meals served with friendly, attentive service. As of July 1, 2014, our 433 restaurants included:

- 358 company restaurants, of which 343 were wholly-owned and 15 were majority-owned. The results of operations of company restaurants are included in our unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income. The portion of income attributable to minority interests in company restaurants that are not wholly-owned is reflected in the line item entitled. Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in our unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income.
- 75 franchise restaurants, including five international restaurants. We have a 5.0% to 10.0% ownership interest in 23 franchise restaurants in the United States. The income derived from our minority interests in these franchise restaurants is reported in the line item entitled Equity income from investments in unconsolidated affiliates in our unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income. Additionally, we provide various management services to these franchise restaurants, as well as seven additional franchise restaurants in which we have no ownership interest.

We have contractual arrangements which grant us the right to acquire at pre-determined valuation formulas the remaining equity interests in 13 of the 15 majority-owned company restaurants and 66 of the franchise restaurants.

Presentation of Financial and Operating Data

Throughout this report, the 13 weeks ended July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013 are referred to as Q2 2014 and Q2 2013, respectively. The 26 weeks ended July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013 are referred to as 2014 YTD and 2013 YTD, respectively.

Long-term Strategies to Grow Earnings Per Share and Create Shareholder Value

Our long-term strategies with respect to increasing net income and earnings per share, along with creating shareholder value, include the following:

Expanding Our Restaurant Base. We will continue to evaluate opportunities to develop Texas Roadhouse restaurants in existing and new domestic and international markets. Domestically, we will remain focused primarily on mid-sized markets where we believe a significant demand for our restaurants exists because of population size, income levels, the presence of shopping and entertainment centers and a significant employment base. Our ability to expand our restaurant base is influenced by many factors beyond our control and therefore we may not be able to achieve our anticipated growth.

Our average capital investment for Texas Roadhouse restaurants opened during 2013, including pre-opening expenses, was \$4.2

Table of Contents

million. This average includes 10 times the annual base rent amount for restaurants where we do not own the land. We anticipate that our 2014 development costs will be higher than our 2013 costs. We continue to focus on driving sales and managing restaurant development costs in order to further increase our restaurant development in the future.

We may, at our discretion, add franchise restaurants, domestically and/or internationally, primarily with franchisees who have demonstrated prior success with Texas Roadhouse or other restaurant concepts and in markets in which the franchisee demonstrates superior knowledge of the demographics and restaurant operating conditions. In conjunction with this strategy, we signed our first international franchise development agreement in 2010 for the development of Texas Roadhouse restaurants in eight countries in the Middle East over the next ten years, in which five restaurants are currently open. Additionally, in 2010, we entered into a joint venture agreement with a casual dining restaurant operator in China for minority ownership in four non-Texas Roadhouse restaurants, all of which are currently open. In 2013, we signed a franchise development agreement for the development of Texas Roadhouse restaurants in Taiwan over five years. We continue to explore opportunities in other countries for international expansion. We may also look to acquire franchise restaurants under terms favorable to the Company and our stockholders. Additionally, from time to time, we will evaluate potential mergers, acquisitions, joint ventures or other strategic initiatives to acquire or develop additional concepts. Of the 358 restaurants we owned and operated at July 1, 2014, we owned and operated 357 as Texas Roadhouse restaurants. We currently plan to open approximately 25 company restaurants in 2014. In addition, we anticipate our existing franchise partners will open as many as five Texas Roadhouse restaurants in 2014, including as many as four internationally.

Maintaining and/or Improving Restaurant Level Profitability. We plan to maintain, or possibly increase, restaurant level profitability through a combination of increased comparable restaurant sales and operating cost management. In general, we continue to balance the impact of inflationary pressures with our value positioning as we remain focused on the long-term success of Texas Roadhouse. This may create a challenge in terms of maintaining and/or increasing restaurant margin, as a percentage of restaurant sales, in any given year, depending on the level of inflation we experience. However, in addition to restaurant margins, as a percentage of sales, we also focus on restaurant margin dollar growth per store week as a measure of restaurant level profitability. In terms of driving higher guest traffic counts, we remain focused on encouraging repeat visits by our guests through our continued commitment to operational standards relating to our quality of food and service. In order to attract new guests and increase the frequency of visits of our existing guests, we also continue to drive various localized marketing programs, to focus on speed of service and to increase throughput by adding seats in certain restaurants.

Leveraging Our Scalable Infrastructure. To support our growth, we continue to make investments in our infrastructure. Over the past several years, we have made significant investments in our infrastructure, including information systems, real estate, human resources, legal, marketing, international and operations. In 2013, general and administrative costs increased at a faster growth rate than our revenue, excluding the impact of a legal settlement charge of \$5.0 million recorded in the first quarter of 2012. Our longer term goal is to have general and administrative costs increase at a slower growth rate than our revenue. Whether we are able to leverage our infrastructure in future years will depend, in part, on our new restaurant openings, our comparable restaurant sales growth rate going forward and the level of investment we continue to make in our infrastructure.

Returning Capital to Shareholders. We continue to pay dividends and evaluate opportunities to return capital to our shareholders through repurchases of common stock. In 2011, our Board of Directors declared our first quarterly dividend of \$0.08 per share of common stock. We have consistently grown our per share dividend each year since that time and our long-term strategy includes increasing our regular quarterly dividend per share over time. Most recently, on May 22, 2014, our Board of Directors authorized the payment of a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.15 per share of common stock which was paid on July 3, 2014. The declaration and payment of cash dividends on our common stock is at the discretion of our Board of Directors, and any decision to declare a dividend will be based on a number of factors, including, but not limited to, earnings, financial condition, applicable covenants under our credit facility and other contractual restrictions, or other factors deemed relevant.

In 2008, our Board of Directors approved our first stock repurchase program. Since then, we have paid \$190.1 million through our authorized stock repurchase programs to repurchase 13,993,362 shares of our common stock at an average price per share of \$13.58. On May 22, 2014, our Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program under which we may repurchase up to \$100.0 million of our common stock. This stock repurchase program has no expiration date and replaced a previous stock repurchase program which was approved on February 16, 2012. All repurchases to date under our stock repurchase programs have been made through open market transactions. Our long term strategy includes repurchasing shares of our common stock to at least offset the dilutive impact of our share-based compensation programs. Beyond that, we will be opportunistic in repurchasing shares of our common stock.

Key Measures We Use to Evaluate Our Company

Key measures we use to evaluate and assess our business include the following:

Number of Restaurant Openings. Number of restaurant openings reflects the number of restaurants opened during a particular fiscal period. For company restaurant openings we incur pre-opening costs, which are defined below, before the restaurant opens. Typically new restaurants open with an initial start-up period of higher than normalized sales volumes, which decrease to a steady

18

Table of Contents

level approximately three to six months after opening. However, although sales volumes are generally higher, so are initial costs, resulting in restaurant operating margins that are generally lower during the start-up period of operation and increase to a steady level approximately three to six months after opening.

Comparable Restaurant Sales Growth. Comparable restaurant sales growth reflects the change in year-over-year sales for the company restaurants in the comparable restaurant base. We define the comparable restaurant base to include those restaurants open for a full 18 months before the beginning of the current interim period excluding restaurants closed during the period. Comparable restaurant sales growth can be impacted by changes in guest traffic counts or by changes in the per person average check amount. Menu price changes and the mix of menu items sold can affect the per person average check amount.

Average Unit Volume. Average unit volume represents the average quarterly or annual restaurant sales for company Texas Roadhouse restaurants open for a full six months before the beginning of the period measured. Average unit volume excludes any sales at restaurants closed during the period. Growth in average unit volumes in excess of comparable restaurant sales growth is generally an indication that newer restaurants are operating with sales levels in excess of the company average. Conversely, growth in average unit volumes less than growth in comparable restaurant sales growth is generally an indication that newer restaurants are operating with sales levels lower than the company average.

Store Weeks. Store weeks represent the number of weeks that our company restaurants were open during the reporting period.

Restaurant Margin. Restaurant margin represents restaurant sales less cost of sales, labor, rent and other operating costs. Depreciation and amortization expense, substantially all of which relates to restaurant-level assets, is excluded from restaurant operating costs and is shown separately as it represents a non-cash charge for the investment in our restaurants. Restaurant margin is widely regarded as a useful metric by which to evaluate restaurant-level operating efficiency and performance. Restaurant margin is not a measurement determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and should not be considered in isolation, or as an alternative, to income from operations or other similarly titled measures of other companies. Restaurant margin, as a percentage of restaurant sales, may fluctuate based on inflationary pressures, commodity costs and wage rates. We also focus on restaurant margin dollar growth per store week as a measure of restaurant-level profitability as it provides additional insight on operating performance.

Other Key Definitions

Managing Partners and Market Partners. Managing partners are single unit operators who have primary responsibility for the day-to-day operations of the entire restaurant and are responsible for maintaining the standards of quality and performance we establish. Market partners, generally, have supervisory responsibilities for up to 10 to 15 restaurants. In addition to supervising the operations of our restaurants, they are also responsible for the hiring and development of each restaurant s management team and assist in the new restaurant site selection process.

Restaurant Sales. Restaurant sales include gross food and beverage sales, net of promotions and discounts, for all company restaurants. Sales taxes collected from customers and remitted to governmental authorities are accounted for on a net basis and therefore are excluded from restaurant sales in the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income.

Franchise Royalties and Fees. Domestic franchisees typically pay a \$40,000 initial franchise fee for each new restaurant. In addition, at each renewal period, we receive a fee equal to the greater of 30% of the then-current initial franchise fee or \$10,000 to \$15,000. Franchise royalties consist of royalties in an amount up to 4.0% of gross sales, as defined in our franchise agreement, paid to us by our domestic franchisees. In addition, we include royalties and fees paid to us by our international franchisee. The terms of the international agreements may vary significantly from our domestic agreements.

Restaurant Cost of Sales. Restaurant cost of sales consists of food and beverage costs.

Restaurant Labor Expenses. Restaurant labor expenses include all direct and indirect labor costs incurred in operations except for profit sharing incentive compensation expenses earned by our restaurant managing partners. These profit sharing expenses are reflected in restaurant other operating expenses. Restaurant labor expenses also include share-based compensation expense related to restaurant-level employees.

Restaurant Rent Expense. Restaurant rent expense includes all rent, except pre-opening rent, associated with the leasing of real estate and includes base, percentage and straight-line rent expense.

Restaurant Other Operating Expenses. Restaurant other operating expenses consist of all other restaurant-level operating costs, the major components of which are utilities, supplies, advertising, repair and maintenance, property taxes, credit card and gift card fees, gift card breakage income and general liability insurance. Profit sharing incentive compensation expenses earned by our restaurant managing partners and market partners are also included in restaurant other operating expenses.

Table of Contents

Pre-opening Expenses. Pre-opening expenses, which are charged to operations as incurred, consist of expenses incurred before the opening of a new restaurant and are comprised principally of opening team and training compensation and benefits, travel expenses, rent, food, beverage and other initial supplies and expenses. Pre-opening costs vary by location depending on a number of factors, including the size and physical layout of each location; the number of management and hourly employees required to operate each restaurant; the availability of qualified restaurant staff members; the cost of travel and lodging for different geographic areas; the timing of the restaurant opening; and the extent of unexpected delays, if any, in obtaining final licenses and permits to open the restaurants.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense. Depreciation and amortization expense (D&A) includes the depreciation of fixed assets and amortization of intangibles with definite lives, substantially all of which relates to restaurant-level assets.

Impairment and Closure Costs. Impairment and closure costs include any impairment of long-lived assets, including goodwill, associated with restaurants where the carrying amount of the asset is not recoverable and exceeds the fair value of the asset and expenses associated with the closure of a restaurant. Closure costs also include any gains or losses associated with the sale of a closed restaurant and/or assets held for sale as well as lease costs associated with closed restaurants.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses (G&A) are comprised of expenses associated with corporate and administrative functions that support development and restaurant operations and provide an infrastructure to support future growth including the net amount of advertising costs incurred less amounts remitted by company and franchise restaurants. Supervision and accounting fees received from certain franchise restaurants are offset against G&A. G&A also includes share-based compensation expense related to executive officers, support center employees and area managers, including market partners. The realized and unrealized holding gains and losses related to the investments in our deferred compensation plan, as well as offsetting compensation expense, are also recorded in G&A.

Interest Expense, Net. Interest expense includes the cost of our debt obligations including the amortization of loan fees, reduced by interest income and capitalized interest. Interest income includes earnings on cash and cash equivalents.

Equity Income from Unconsolidated Affiliates. As of July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013, we owned a 5.0% to 10.0% equity interest in 23 franchise restaurants. Additionally, as of July 1, 2014, we owned a 40% equity interest in four non-Texas Roadhouse restaurants as part of a joint venture agreement with a casual dining restaurant operator in China. Equity income from unconsolidated affiliates represents our percentage share of net income earned by these unconsolidated affiliates.

Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests. Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests represents the portion of income attributable to the other owners of the majority-owned or controlled restaurants. Our consolidated subsidiaries at July 1, 2014 included 16 majority-owned restaurants, 15 of which were open. Our consolidated subsidiaries June 25, 2013 included 15 majority-owned restaurants, all of which were open.

Results of Operations

		13 Weeks			26 Weeks Ended				
	July 1, 2		June 25,		July 1, 2		June 25,		
(\$ in thousands)	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%	
Revenue:									
Restaurant sales	391,819	99.1	348,929	99.1	785,775	99.2	705,493	99.1	
Franchise royalties and fees	3,544	0.9	3,190	0.9	6,730	0.8	6,302	0.9	
Total revenue	395,363	100.0	352,119	100.0	792,505	100.0	711,795	100.0	
Costs and expenses:									
(As a percentage of restaurant sales)									
Restaurant operating costs (excluding depreciation and amortization shown separately									
below): Cost of sales	137,082	35.0	120,212	34.5	271,894	34.6	244,764	34.7	
Labor	115,182	29.4	101,723	29.2	229,854	29.3	203,384	28.8	
Rent	8,128	2.1	7,152	2.0	16,170	2.1	14,209	2.0	
Other operating	60,362	15.4	54,989	15.8	121,215	15.4	110,767	15.7	
(As a percentage of total revenue)	·		·		·		·		
Pre-opening	4,455	1.1	4,240	1.2	8,732	1.1	7,064	1.0	
Depreciation and amortization	14,433	3.7	12,190	3.5	28,518	3.6	24,402	3.4	
Impairment and closure	9	NM	27	NM	26	NM	84	NM	
General and administrative	21,311	5.4	21,789	6.2	41,511	5.2	39,156	5.5	
Total costs and expenses	360,962	91.3	322,322	91.5	717,920	90.6	643,830	90.5	
Income from operations	34,401	8.7	29,797	8.5	74,585	9.4	67,965	9.5	
Interest expense, net	514	0.1	567	0.2	1,072	0.1	1,162	0.2	
Equity income from investments in unconsolidated									
affiliates	(353)	(0.1)	(218)	(0.1)	(565)	(0.1)	(398)	(0.1)	
Income before taxes	34,240	8.7	29,448	8.4	74,078	9.3	67,201	9.4	
Provision for income taxes	10,215	2.6	8,583	2.4	22,445	2.8	19,117	2.7	
Net income including									
noncontrolling interests	24,025	6.1	20,865	6.0	51,633	6.5	48,084	6.8	
Net income attributable to	044	0.2	202	0.2	2.007	0.2	1.050	0.2	
noncontrolling interests	944	0.2	902	0.3	2,087	0.3	1,950	0.3	
Net income attributable to Texas Roadhouse, Inc. and									
subsidiaries	23,081	5.8	19,963	5.7	49.546	6.3	46,134	6.5	
subsidiaries	23,061	5.6	19,903	3.1	49,340	0.3	40,134	0.3	
		13 Weeks	Ended			26 Weeks	Ended		
	July 1, 20		June 25, 2	2013	July 1, 20		June 25,	2013	
	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%	
Restaurant margin (\$ in thousands)	71,065	18.1	64,853	18.6	146,642	18.7	132,369	18.8	

15,390

16,084

NM Not meaningful

Restaurant margin \$/store

15,472

week

15,781

Table of Contents

Restaurant Unit Activity

		Company	Franchise	Total
Balance at December 31, 2013		346	74	420
Openings Texas Roadhouse		12	1	13
Openings Other				
Acquisitions (Dispositions)				
Closures				
Balance at July 1, 2014		358	75	433
	22			

Q2 2014 (13 weeks) Compared to Q2 2013 (13 weeks) and 2014 YTD (26 weeks) compared to 2013 YTD (26 weeks)

Restaurant Sales. Restaurant sales increased by 12.3% in Q2 2014 as compared to Q2 2013 and 11.4% in 2014 YTD compared to 2013 YTD. These increases were primarily attributable to the opening of new restaurants combined with an increase in average unit volume primarily due to comparable restaurant sales growth. In addition, restaurant sales were positively impacted by the acquisition of two franchise restaurants in the fourth quarter of 2013. This impact was mostly offset by the sale of two non-Texas Roadhouse restaurants in the same quarter.

The following table summarizes certain key drivers and/or attributes of restaurant sales at company restaurants for the periods presented.

	Q2 2	2014	Q2 2013	2014 YTD		2013 YTD
Company Restaurants						
Increase in store weeks		9.0%	6.4%	8.7	%	7.4%
Increase in average unit volumes		2.4%	3.7%	2.3	%	3.3%
Other (1)		0.9%	(0.2)%	0.4	%	(0.4)%
Total increase in restaurant sales		12.3%	9.9%	11.4	%	10.3%
Store weeks		4,593	4,214	9,117		8,388
Comparable restaurant sales growth		2.9%	4.5%	2.9	%	4.1%
Texas Roadhouse restaurants only:						
Comparable restaurant sales growth		2.9%	4.5%	2.9	%	4.1%
Average unit volume (in thousands)	\$	1,099	\$ 1,073	\$ 2,220	\$	2,170
Weekly sales by group:						
Comparable restaurants (313 and 283 units,						
respectively)	\$	84,964	\$ 83,432			
Average unit volume restaurants (20 and 28 units,						
respectively)(2)	\$	77,873	\$ 76,603			
Restaurants less than six months old (24 and 16						
units, respectively)	\$	97,734	\$ 84,779			

⁽¹⁾ Includes the impact of the year-over-year change in sales volume of all non-Texas Roadhouse restaurants, along with Texas Roadhouse restaurants open less than six months before the beginning of the period measured and, if applicable, the impact of restaurants closed during the period.

The increases in store weeks for the periods presented above is primarily attributable to the opening of new restaurants. Company restaurant count activity is shown in the restaurant unit activity table above.

The increase in average unit volume for Q2 2014 and 2014 YTD was primarily driven by positive comparable restaurant sales growth, partially offset by lower year-over-year sales for the newer restaurants included in our average unit volumes but excluded from comparable restaurant sales. Comparable restaurant sales growth of 2.9% in both Q2 2014 and 2014 YTD was due to an increase in our per person average check of

⁽²⁾ Average unit volume restaurants include restaurants open a full six to 18 months before the beginning of the period measured.

1.4% and an increase in guest traffic counts of 1.5%.

The increase in average unit volumes for Q2 2013 and 2013 YTD was primarily driven by positive comparable restaurant sales growth, partially offset by lower year-over-year sales for the newer restaurants included in our average unit volumes but excluded from comparable restaurant sales. Comparable restaurant sales growth of 4.5% in Q2 2013 was due to an increase in our per person average check of 2.3% and an increase in guest traffic counts of 2.2%. Comparable restaurant sales growth of 4.1% for 2013 YTD was primarily due to an increase in our per person average check of 2.6%, along with an increase in guest traffic counts of 1.4%.

The increase in our per person average check for the periods presented was driven by menu price increases taken throughout 2013 and 2012. In 2013, we increased menu prices approximately 1.5% in early December. In 2012, we increased menu prices approximately 2.2% in the first quarter and approximately 2.2% in early December. These menu price increases were taken as a result of inflationary pressures, mainly commodities.

In 2014, we plan to open approximately 25 company restaurants, 12 of which opened in 2014 YTD. We have either begun construction or have sites under contract for purchase or lease for all of the remaining planned restaurant openings in 2014.

Table of Contents

Franchise Royalties and Fees. Franchise royalties and fees increased by \$0.4 million, or by 11.1%, in Q2 2014 from Q2 2013 and increased by \$0.4 million or by 6.8% in 2014 YTD from 2013 YTD. These increases were primarily attributable to the opening of new franchise restaurants and an increase in average unit volume, partially offset by the impact of the acquisition of two franchise restaurants in the fourth quarter of 2013. Franchise comparable restaurant sales increased 3.5% in Q2 2014 and 3.6% in 2014 YTD. The acquired franchise restaurants generated approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million in franchise royalties in Q2 2013 and 2013 YTD, respectively. Franchise restaurant count activity is shown in the restaurant unit activity table above. In 2014, we anticipate our franchise partners will open as many as five Texas Roadhouse restaurants, including as many as four internationally.

Restaurant Cost of Sales. Restaurant cost of sales, as a percentage of restaurant sales, increased to 35.0% in Q2 2014 from 34.5% in Q2 2013 and remained relatively flat at 34.6% in 2014 YTD from 34.7% in 2013 YTD. The increase in Q2 2014 was primarily attributable to commodity inflation partially offset by approximately 1.5% of menu pricing actions in late 2013 and the benefit of operating efficiencies associated with process improvements at the restaurant level. In 2014 YTD, approximately 1.5% of menu pricing actions in late 2013, along with the benefit of operating efficiencies associated with process improvements at the restaurant level, more than offset commodity inflation. Commodity inflation of approximately 3.8% in Q2 2014 and 2.1% in 2014 YTD were driven by higher food costs, primarily beef, produce and dairy. We expect low single digit commodity cost inflation for full year 2014.

Restaurant Labor Expenses. Restaurant labor expenses, as a percentage of restaurant sales, increased to 29.4% in Q2 2014 compared to 29.2% in Q2 2013 and increased to 29.3% in 2014 YTD from 28.8% in 2013 YTD. The increases were primarily attributable to higher average wage rates, along with higher costs associated with restaurant cleaning and health insurance and labor inefficiencies, partially offset by increases in average unit volume.

We reclassified certain restaurant cleaning costs from restaurant other operating expenses to restaurant labor expenses. This reclass had no impact on restaurant margin. Health insurance costs were higher due to an increase in premiums, along with offering coverage to an expanded population of employees. The increase in labor inefficiencies in Q2 2014 and 2014 YTD was primarily driven by recently opened restaurants.

We anticipate our labor costs will be pressured throughout the remainder of 2014 by inflation due to state-mandated increases in minimum and tip wage rates, along with higher healthcare costs. At the beginning of 2014, we offered health coverage to employees which included hourly employees that work a minimum of 35 hours per week. As a result of this change, we expect our health benefit costs will be \$2.5 to \$3.0 million higher in 2014 compared to prior year. These increases in costs may or may not be offset by menu price adjustments and/or guest traffic growth.

Restaurant Rent Expense. Restaurant rent expense, as a percentage of restaurant sales, remained relatively unchanged at 2.1% in Q2 2014 and 2014 YTD compared to 2.0% in Q2 2013 and 2013 YTD. The impact of leasing more land and buildings than we have in the past was offset by the benefit from an increase in average unit volume.

Restaurant Other Operating Expenses. Restaurant other operating expenses, as a percentage of restaurant sales, decreased to 15.4% in Q2 2014 compared to 15.8% in Q2 2013 and decreased to 15.4% in 2014 YTD compared to 15.7% in 2013 YTD. The decreases are primarily attributable to an increase in average unit volume and lower costs associated with liquor taxes, restaurant cleaning, supplies and linens, partially offset by higher utility costs. In addition, Q2 2014 was impacted by an increase in losses associated with the disposal of assets related to restaurant remodels and renovations.

Lower liquor taxes were a result of legislative changes in Texas which lowered our tax rate associated with liquor sales effective at the beginning of 2014, while lower restaurant cleaning costs were due to the reclassification of wages as discussed above under restaurant labor. Lower supply and linen costs were primarily driven by purchasing initiatives. In Q2 2014, higher utility costs were primarily driven by higher electricity rates. For 2014 YTD, utility costs were driven by higher electricity, along with higher natural gas prices, which were up considerably in the first quarter of 2014.

Restaurant Pre-opening Expenses. Pre-opening expenses increased to \$4.5 million in Q2 2014 from \$4.2 million in Q2 2013 and increased to \$8.7 million in 2014 YTD from \$7.1 million in 2013 YTD. The increase in Q2 2014 was primarily attributable to an increase in spending on a per store basis and more restaurants in the development pipeline, partially offset by a decrease in the number of restaurants opened in Q2 2014 compared to Q2 2013. The increase in 2014 YTD was primarily attributable to an increase in the number of restaurants opened in 2014 YTD, an increase in spending on a per store basis and more restaurants in the development pipeline.

In Q2 2014, we opened six restaurants compared to seven restaurants in Q2 2013. In 2014 YTD, we opened 12 restaurants compared to ten restaurants in 2013 YTD. Overall, we plan to open approximately 25 company restaurants in 2014 compared to 26 company restaurants in 2013. Pre-opening costs will fluctuate from quarter to quarter based on the specific pre-opening costs incurred for each restaurant, the number and timing of restaurant openings and the number and timing of restaurant managers hired.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense. D&A, as a percentage of total revenue, increased to 3.7% in Q2 2014 from 3.5% Q2

Table of Contents

2013 and increased to 3.6% in 2014 YTD from 3.4% in 2013 YTD. The increases were primarily due to higher depreciation, as a percentage of revenue, at new restaurants and lower depreciation expense in Q2 2013 and 2013 YTD due to annual expense being recorded over 53 weeks in fiscal 2013. The increase was partially offset by an increase in average unit volume.

General and Administrative Expenses. G&A, as a percentage of total revenue, decreased to 5.4% in Q2 2014 from 6.2% in Q2 2013 and decreased to 5.2% in 2014 YTD from 5.5% in 2013 YTD. The decreases were primarily attributable to lower costs associated with our annual managing partner conference and an increase in average unit volume partially offset by higher costs due to continued investment in our infrastructure, specifically relating to food and service, as we continue to develop more restaurants. In Q2 2014 and 2014 YTD, we incurred costs of \$1.6 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, related to our annual managing partner conference compared to \$3.9 million and \$4.3 million in Q2 2013 and 2013 YTD, respectively. Our annual managing partner conference costs were higher in 2013 compared to 2014 primarily due to the location of our conference in conjunction with the 20th anniversary of our first restaurant opening.

Interest Expense, Net. Interest expense remained relatively flat at \$0.5 million in Q2 2014 compared to \$0.6 million in Q2 2013 and \$1.1 million in 2014 YTD and compared to \$1.2 million in 2013 YTD.

Income Tax Expense. Our effective tax rate increased to 29.8% in Q2 2014 from 29.2% in Q2 2013 and increased to 30.3% in 2014 YTD from 28.5% in 2013 YTD. These increases were primarily attributable to lower deductible incentive stock option activity and the expiration of the Work Opportunity Tax Credits (WOTC) at the end of 2013.

We expect the tax rate to be 30.0% to 31.0% for fiscal 2014 compared to 28.9% in fiscal 2013 primarily due to the expiration of WOTC.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The following table presents a summary of our net cash provided by (used in) operating, investing and financing activities:

	26 Weeks Ended						
(in 000 s)	July	1, 2014	Ju	ne 25, 2013			
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	80,693	\$	68,597			
Net cash used in investing activities		(52,778)		(35,155)			
Net cash used in financing activities		(45,328)		(15,071)			
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$	(17,413)	\$	18,371			

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$80.7 million in 2014 YTD compared to \$68.6 million in 2013 YTD. This increase was primarily due to an increase in net income and depreciation and amortization expense, along with changes in working capital. The increase in net income before noncash items, particularly depreciation and amortization expense, was driven by the continued opening of new restaurants and an increase in comparable restaurant sales at existing restaurants, partially offset by higher income tax expense. The changes in working capital are

primarily driven by an increase in receivables which is primarily due to an increase in amounts due from our third party gift card retailers as the program has expanded.

Our operations have not required significant working capital and, like many restaurant companies, we have been able to operate with negative working capital. Sales are primarily for cash, and restaurant operations do not require significant inventories or receivables. In addition, we receive trade credit for the purchase of food, beverages and supplies, thereby reducing the need for incremental working capital to support growth.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$52.8 million in 2014 YTD compared to \$35.2 million in 2013 YTD. This increase was primarily due to the timing of restaurant openings, along with increased spending on capital expenditures related to future planned restaurant openings, partially offset by proceeds from the sale of the land and building related to a previously closed restaurant. We opened 12 company restaurants in 2014 YTD compared to ten company restaurants in 2013 YTD. We plan to open approximately 25 company restaurants in 2014 compared to 26 restaurants in 2013.

We require capital principally for the development of new company restaurants and the refurbishment of existing restaurants. We either lease our restaurant site locations under operating leases for periods of five to 30 years (including renewal periods) or purchase the land where it is cost effective. As of July 1, 2014, 123 of the 358 company restaurants have been developed on land which we own.

The following table presents a summary of capital expenditures related to the development of new restaurants and the refurbishment of existing restaurants:

(in 000 s)	20	14 YTD	2013 YTD
New company restaurants	\$	35,101	\$ 22,809
Refurbishment of existing restaurants (1)		18,870	12,350
Total capital expenditures	\$	53,971	\$ 35,159
Restaurant-related repairs and maintenance expense (2)	\$	8,123	\$ 7,229

⁽¹⁾ Includes minimal capital expenditures related to support center office.

Our future capital requirements will primarily depend on the number of new restaurants we open, the timing of those openings and the restaurant prototype developed in a given fiscal year. These requirements will include costs directly related to opening new restaurants and may also include costs necessary to ensure that our infrastructure is able to support a larger restaurant base. In fiscal 2014, we expect our capital expenditures to be \$100.0 to \$110.0 million, the majority of which will relate to planned restaurant openings, including approximately 25 restaurant openings in 2014. These amounts exclude any cash used for franchise acquisitions. We intend to satisfy our capital requirements over the next 12 months with cash on hand, net cash provided by operating activities and, if needed, funds available under our amended revolving credit facility. For 2014, we anticipate net cash provided by operating activities will exceed capital expenditures. We currently anticipate this excess will be used to repurchase common stock and/or pay dividends, as approved by our Board of Directors.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$45.3 million in 2014 YTD as compared to \$15.1 million in 2013 YTD. This increase was primarily due to an increase in spending on share repurchases along with a decrease in proceeds from the exercise of stock options. The increase was partially offset by lower dividend payments in 2014 YTD due to the timing of the declaration and payment dates and an extra dividend payment in Q1 2013 of \$6.9 million.

On May 22, 2014, our Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program under which we may repurchase up to \$100.0 million of our common stock. This stock repurchase program has no expiration date and replaced a previous stock repurchase program which was approved on February 16, 2012. The previous program authorized us to repurchase up to \$100.0 million of our common stock. All repurchases to date under our stock repurchase program have been made through open market transactions. The timing and the amount of any repurchases will be determined by management under parameters established by the Board of Directors, based on its evaluation of our stock price, market conditions and other corporate considerations. During Q2 2014, we paid approximately \$7.6 million to repurchase 300,000 shares of our common stock. During 2014 YTD, we paid approximately \$31.8 million to repurchase 1,260,000 shares of our common stock, and we had \$96.4 million remaining under our authorized stock repurchase program as of July 1, 2014.

On May 22, 2014, our Board of Directors authorized the payment of a cash dividend of \$0.15 per share of common stock. The payment of this dividend totaling \$10.5 million was distributed on July 3, 2014 to shareholders of record at the close of business on June 18, 2014. The declared dividends are included as a liability in our unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet as of July 1, 2014.

⁽²⁾ These amounts were recorded as an expense in the income statement as incurred.

In 2014 YTD, we paid distributions of \$2.2 million to equity holders of 15 of our majority-owned company restaurants. In 2013 YTD, we paid distributions of \$1.8 million to equity holders of 14 of our majority-owned company restaurants.

On November 1, 2013, we entered into Omnibus Amendment No. 1 and Consent to Credit Agreement and Guaranty with respect to our revolving credit facility dated as of August 12, 2011 with a syndicate of commercial lenders led by JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., PNC Bank, N.A., and Wells Fargo, N.A. The amended revolving credit facility, which has a maturity date of November 1, 2018, remains an unsecured, revolving credit agreement under which we may borrow up to \$200.0 million. The amendment provides us with the option to increase the revolving credit facility to \$200.0 million, up to \$400.0 million, subject to certain limitations.

The terms of the amended revolving credit facility require us to pay interest on outstanding borrowings at the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus a margin of 0.875% to 1.875%, depending on our leverage ratio, or the Alternate Base Rate, which is the higher of the issuing bank s prime lending rate, the Federal Funds rate plus 0.50% or the Adjusted Eurodollar Rate for a one month interest period on such day plus 1.0%. We are also required to pay a commitment fee of 0.125% to 0.30% per year on any unused portion of the amended revolving credit facility, depending on our leverage ratio. The weighted-average interest rate for the revolving credit facility at both July 1, 2014 and June 25, 2013 was 3.96%, including the impact of interest rate swaps. At July 1, 2014, we had \$50.0 million outstanding under the revolving credit facility and \$144.6 million of availability, net of \$5.4 million of outstanding letters of credit.

The lenders obligation to extend credit under the amended revolving credit facility depends on us maintaining certain financial covenants, including a minimum consolidated fixed charge coverage ratio of 2.00 to 1.00 and a maximum consolidated leverage ratio of 3.00 to 1.00. The amended revolving credit facility permits us to incur additional secured or unsecured indebtedness outside the facility, except for the incurrence of secured indebtedness that in the aggregate exceeds 15% of our consolidated tangible net worth or circumstances where the incurrence of secured or unsecured indebtedness would prevent us from complying with our financial covenants. We were in compliance with all covenants as of July 1, 2014.

At July 1, 2014, in addition to the amounts outstanding on our revolving credit facility, we had one other note payable totaling \$0.9 million with a fixed interest rate of 10.46%, which relates to the financing of a specific restaurant. Our total weighted-average effective interest rate at July 1, 2014 was 4.07%, including the impact of interest rate swaps discussed below.

On October 22, 2008, we entered into an interest rate swap, starting on November 7, 2008, with a notional amount of \$25.0 million to hedge a portion of the cash flows of our variable rate borrowings. We have designated the interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge of our exposure to variability in future cash flows attributable to interest payments on a \$25.0 million tranche of floating rate debt borrowed under our revolving credit facility. Under the terms of the swap, we pay a fixed rate of 3.83% on the \$25.0 million notional amount and receive payments from the counterparty based on the one month LIBOR rate for a term ending on November 7, 2015, effectively resulting in a fixed rate on the \$25.0 million notional amount. Our counterparty in this interest rate swap is JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

On January 7, 2009, we entered into another interest rate swap, starting on February 7, 2009, with a notional amount of \$25.0 million to hedge a portion of the cash flows of our variable rate borrowings. We have designated the interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge of our exposure to variability in future cash flows attributable to interest payments on a \$25.0 million tranche of floating rate debt borrowed under our revolving credit facility. Under the terms of the swap, we pay a fixed rate of 2.34% on the \$25.0 million notional amount and receive payments from the counterparty based on the one month LIBOR rate for a term ending on January 7, 2016, effectively resulting in a fixed rate on the \$25.0 million notional amount. Our counterparty in this interest rate swap is JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes the amount of payments due under specified contractual obligations as of July 1, 2014:

			Pa	yment	s Due by Peri	iod		
	Total	Ι	Less than 1 year	(in t	1-3 Years thousands)		3-5 Years	 Iore than 5 years
Long-term debt								
obligations	\$ 50,873	\$	113	\$	288	\$	50,354	\$ 118
Interest (1)	4,574		2,059		1,751		760	4
Operating lease								
obligations	574,825		31,828		61,181		61,932	419,884
Capital obligations	71,511		71,511					
Total contractual								
obligations	\$ 701,783	\$	105,511	\$	63,220	\$	113,046	\$ 420,006

(1) Assumes constant rate until maturity for our fixed and variable rate debt. Uses interest rates as of July 1, 2014 for our variable rate debt. Interest payments on our variable-rate revolving credit facility balance at July 1, 2014 are calculated based on the assumption that debt relating to the interest rate swaps covering notional amounts totaling \$50.0 million remains outstanding until the expiration of the respective swap arrangements. The interest rates used in determining interest payments to be made under the interest rate swap agreements were determined by taking the applicable fixed rate of each swap plus the 0.875% margin, which was in effect as of July 1, 2014. Additionally, we have assumed that \$50.0 million in revolving credit facility borrowings remain outstanding after the termination of the interest rate swaps and have calculated interest payments using the weighted average interest rate of 1.03%, which was the interest rate associated with our amended revolving credit facility on July 1, 2014.

We have no material minimum purchase commitments with our vendors that extend beyond a year. See note 7 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for a discussion of contractual obligations.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Except for operating leases (primarily restaurant leases), we do not have any material off-balance sheet arrangements.

Guarantees

Effective December 31, 2013, we sold two restaurants, which operated under the name Aspen Creek, located in Irving, Texas and Louisville, Kentucky. We assigned the leases associated with these restaurants to the acquirer, but remain contingently liable under the terms of the leases if the acquirer defaults. We are contingently liable for the initial terms of the leases and any renewal periods. The Irving lease has an initial term that expires December 2019, along with three five-year renewals. The Louisville lease has an initial term that expires November 2023, along with three five-year renewals. The assignment of the Louisville lease releases us from

Table of Contents

liability after the initial lease term expiration contingent upon certain conditions being met by the acquirer. As the fair value of the guarantees is not considered significant, no liability has been recorded.

We entered into real estate lease agreements for five franchises, listed in the table below, before granting franchise rights for those restaurants. We have subsequently assigned the leases to the franchisees, but remain contingently liable if a franchisee defaults, under the terms of the lease.

	Lease Assignment Date	Current Lease Term Expiration
Everett, Massachusetts (1)	September 2002	February 2018
Longmont, Colorado (1)	October 2003	May 2019
Montgomeryville, Pennsylvania	October 2004	June 2021
Fargo, North Dakota (1)	February 2006	July 2016
Logan, Utah	January 2009	August 2019

⁽¹⁾ As discussed in note 9, these restaurants are owned, in whole or part, by certain officers, directors and 5% shareholders of the Company.

We are contingently liable for the initial term of the lease and any renewal periods. All of the leases have three five-year renewals. As the fair value of the guarantees is not considered significant, no liability has been recorded.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Discontinued Operations

(Accounting Standards Update 2014-08, ASU 2014-08)

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-8, *Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity*, which amends the requirements for reporting discontinued operations and modifies related disclosure requirements. ASU 2014-8 is effective prospectively for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2014 (our 2015 fiscal year). The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Revenue Recognition

(Accounting Standards Update 2014-09, ASU 2014-09)

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, which requires an entity to recognize the amount of revenue to which it expects to be entitled for the transfer of promised goods or services to customers. The ASU will replace most existing

revenue recognition guidance in GAAP when it becomes effective. ASU 2014-09 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2016 (our 2017 fiscal year). Early adoption is not permitted. The standard permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method. We are evaluating the effect that ASU 2014-09 will have on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, cash flows and related disclosures. We have not yet selected a transition method, nor have we determined the effect of the standard on our ongoing financial reporting.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates on debt and changes in commodity prices. Our exposure to interest rate fluctuations is limited to our outstanding bank debt. The terms of the revolving credit facility require us to pay interest on outstanding borrowings at London Interbank Offering Rate (LIBOR) plus a margin of 0.875% to 1.875%, depending on our leverage ratio, or the Alternate Base Rate, which is the higher of the issuing bank sprime lending rate, the Federal Funds rate plus 0.50% or the Adjusted Eurodollar Rate for a one month interest period on such day plus 1.0%. At July 1, 2014, we had \$50.0 million outstanding under the revolving credit facility, which bears interest at approximately 87.5 to 187.5 basis points (depending on our leverage ratios) over LIBOR. We had one other note payable totaling \$0.9 million with a fixed interest rate of 10.46%.

On October 22, 2008, we entered into an interest rate swap, which started on November 7, 2008, with a notional amount of \$25.0 million to hedge a portion of the cash flows of our variable rate borrowings. We have designated the interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge of our exposure to variability in future cash flows attributable to interest payments on a \$25.0 million tranche of floating rate debt borrowed under our revolving credit facility. Under the terms of the swap, we pay a fixed rate of 3.83% on the \$25.0 million notional amount and receive payments from the counterparty based on the one month LIBOR rate for a term ending on November 7, 2015, effectively resulting in a fixed rate on the LIBOR component of the \$25.0 million notional amount.

On January 7, 2009, we entered into another interest rate swap, starting February 7, 2009, with a notional amount of \$25.0 million to hedge a portion of the cash flows of our variable rate borrowings. We have designated the interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge of our exposure to variability in future cash flows attributable to interest payments on a \$25.0 million tranche of floating rate debt borrowed under our revolving credit facility. Under the terms of the swap, we pay a fixed rate of 2.34% on the \$25.0 million notional amount and receive payments from the counterparty based on the one month LIBOR rate for a term ending on January 7, 2016, effectively resulting in a fixed rate LIBOR component of the \$25.0 million notional amount.

Table of Contents

By using a derivative instrument to hedge exposures to changes in interest rates, we expose ourselves to credit risk. Credit risk is the failure of the counterparty to perform under the terms of the derivative contract. We attempt to minimize the credit risk by entering into transactions with high-quality counterparties whose credit rating is evaluated on a quarterly basis. Our counterparty in the interest rate swap is JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A.

Many of the ingredients used in the products sold in our restaurants are commodities that are subject to unpredictable price volatility. Currently, we do not utilize fixed price contracts for certain commodities such as certain produce and certain dairy products, therefore, we are subject to prevailing market conditions when purchasing those types of commodities. For other commodities, we employ various purchasing and pricing contract techniques in an effort to minimize volatility, including fixed price contracts for terms of one year or less and negotiating prices with vendors with reference to fluctuating market prices. We currently do not use financial instruments to hedge commodity prices, but we will continue to evaluate their effectiveness. Extreme and/or long term increases in commodity prices could adversely affect our future results, especially if we are unable, primarily due to competitive reasons, to increase menu prices. Additionally, if there is a time lag between the increasing commodity prices and our ability to increase menu prices or if we believe the commodity price increase to be short in duration and we choose not to pass on the cost increases, our short-term financial results could be negatively affected.

We are subject to business risk as our beef supply is highly dependent upon four vendors. If these vendors were unable to fulfill their obligations under their contracts, we may encounter supply shortages and incur higher costs to secure adequate supplies, any of which would harm our business.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

Our management, including the Chief Executive Officer (the CEO) and the Chief Financial Officer (the CFO) has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to, and as defined in, Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the evaluation, the CEO and the CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Changes in internal control

During the period covered by this report, there were no changes with respect to our internal control over financial reporting that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Occasionally, we are a defendant in litigation arising in the ordinary course of our business, including slip and fall accidents, employment related claims and claims from guests or employees alleging illness, injury or food quality, health or operational concerns. None of these types of litigation, most of which are covered by insurance, has had a material effect on us and, as of the date of this report, we are not party to any litigation that we believe could have a material adverse effect on our business other than the litigation discussed below.

On September 30, 2011, the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) filed a lawsuit styled Equal Employment Opportunity Commission v. Texas Roadhouse, Inc., Texas Roadhouse Holdings LLC, Texas Roadhouse Management Corp. in the United States District Court, District of Massachusetts, Civil Action Number 1:11-cv-11732. The complaint alleges that applicants over the age of 40 were denied employment in our restaurants in bartender, host, server and server assistant positions due to their age. The EEOC is seeking injunctive relief, remedial actions, payment of damages to the applicants and costs. We have filed an answer to the complaint, and the case is in discovery. We deny liability; however, in view of the inherent uncertainties of litigation, the outcome of this case cannot be predicted at this time. We cannot estimate the possible amount or range of loss, if any, associated with this matter.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Information regarding risk factors appears in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, under the heading Special Note Regarding Forward-looking Statements and in the Form 10-K Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors. There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

30

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

On May 22, 2014, our Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program which authorized us to repurchase up to \$100.0 million of our common stock of which \$96.4 million remained outstanding at July 1, 2014. This stock repurchase program has no expiration date and replaced a previous stock repurchase program which was approved on February 16, 2012. The previous program authorized us to repurchase up to \$100.0 million of our common stock and did not have an expiration date. All repurchases to date under our stock repurchase program have been made through open market transactions. The timing and the amount of any repurchases through this program will be determined by management under parameters established by our Board of Directors, based on its evaluation of our stock price, market conditions and other corporate considerations.

The following table includes information regarding purchases of our common stock made by us during the 13 weeks ended July 1, 2014 in connection with the repurchase programs described above:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
April 2 to April 29	80,000	\$ 25.08	80,000	\$ 31,705,319
April 30 to May 27	95,000	\$ 24.65	95,000	\$ 99,623,993
May 28 to July 1	125,000	\$ 25.90	125,000	\$ 96,388,425
Total	300,000		300,000	

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the
	Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the
	Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document

Date: August 8, 2014

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

TEXAS ROADHOUSE, INC.

By: /s/ W. KENT TAYLOR

W. Kent Taylor Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer)

Date: August 8, 2014 By: /s/ G. PRICE COOPER, IV

G. Price Cooper, IV Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer) (chief accounting officer)

32