

CAMDEN NATIONAL CORP
Form 10-Q
November 04, 2011

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C.20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. 0-28190

CAMDEN NATIONAL CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MAINE
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

01-0413282
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

2 ELM STREET, CAMDEN, ME
(Address of principal executive offices)

04843
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (207) 236-8821

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practical date:

Outstanding at November 1, 2011: Common stock (no par value) 7,691,508 shares.

CAMDEN NATIONAL CORPORATION

FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2011
TABLE OF CONTENTS OF INFORMATION REQUIRED IN REPORT

| | PAGE |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| ITEM 1. | FINANCIAL STATEMENTS |
| | Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm 3 |
| | Consolidated Statements of Condition September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 4 |
| | Consolidated Statements of Income Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 5 |
| | Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 6 |
| | Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 7 |
| | Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 8-25 |
| ITEM 2. | MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS 26-39 |
| ITEM 3. | QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK 40-41 |
| ITEM 4. | CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES 41 |
| PART II. OTHER INFORMATION | |
| ITEM 1. | LEGAL PROCEEDINGS 41 |
| ITEM 1A. | RISK FACTORS 41 |
| ITEM 2. | UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS 41 |
| ITEM 3. | DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES 42 |
| ITEM 4. | [REMOVED AND RESERVED] 42 |

| | | |
|---------------|-------------------|----|
| ITEM 5. | OTHER INFORMATION | 42 |
| ITEM 6. | EXHIBITS | 43 |
| SIGNATURES | | 44 |
| EXHIBIT INDEX | | 45 |
| EXHIBITS | | |

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Shareholders and Board of Directors
Camden National Corporation

We have reviewed the accompanying interim consolidated financial information of Camden National Corporation and Subsidiaries as of September 30, 2011, and for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures to financial data and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is to express an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Berry Dunn McNeil & Parker, LLC
Berry Dunn McNeil & Parker, LLC

Bangor, Maine
November 4, 2011

CAMDEN NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CONDITION

| (In Thousands, Except Number of Shares) | September 30, 2011 (unaudited) | December 31, 2010 |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 89,266 | \$ 31,009 |
| Securities | | |
| Securities available-for-sale, at fair value | 591,955 | 553,579 |
| Securities held-to-maturity, at amortized cost (fair value \$38,037 at December 31, 2010) | — | 36,102 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock, at cost | 21,962 | 21,962 |
| Total securities | 613,917 | 611,643 |
| Trading account assets | 2,162 | 2,304 |
| Loans held for sale | 762 | 5,528 |
| Loans | 1,512,312 | 1,524,752 |
| Less allowance for loan losses | (23,011) | (22,293) |
| Net loans | 1,489,301 | 1,502,459 |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets | 45,389 | 45,821 |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 44,019 | 43,155 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 23,970 | 25,044 |
| Deferred tax asset | 11,341 | 12,281 |
| Interest receivable | 6,519 | 6,875 |
| Prepaid FDIC assessment | 5,088 | 6,155 |
| Other real estate owned | 1,759 | 2,387 |
| Other assets | 13,223 | 11,346 |
| Total assets | \$ 2,346,716 | \$ 2,306,007 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Liabilities | | |
| Deposits | | |
| Demand | \$ 278,900 | \$ 229,547 |
| Interest checking, savings and money market | 823,349 | 721,905 |
| Retail certificates of deposit | 417,456 | 464,662 |
| Brokered deposits | 121,552 | 99,697 |
| Total deposits | 1,641,257 | 1,515,811 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 126,953 | 214,236 |
| Other borrowed funds | 279,033 | 302,069 |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 43,691 | 43,614 |
| Accrued interest and other liabilities | 33,843 | 24,282 |
| Total liabilities | 2,124,777 | 2,100,012 |
| Shareholders' Equity | | |
| Common stock, no par value; authorized 20,000,000 shares, issued and outstanding 7,678,143 and 7,658,496 shares on September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively | 51,375 | 50,936 |
| Retained earnings | 165,300 | 150,730 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | | |
| Net unrealized gains on securities available-for-sale, net of tax | 13,485 | 6,229 |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Net unrealized losses on derivative instruments, at fair value, net of tax | (7,072) | (709) |
| Net unrecognized losses on postretirement plans, net of tax | (1,149) | (1,191) |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive income | 5,264 | 4,329 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 221,939 | 205,995 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 2,346,716 | \$ 2,306,007 |

See Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CAMDEN NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(unaudited)

| (In Thousands, Except Number of Shares and per Share Data) | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Interest Income | | | | |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$19,515 | \$20,685 | \$59,241 | \$61,725 |
| Interest on U.S. government and sponsored enterprise obligations | 4,439 | 5,037 | 14,241 | 15,366 |
| Interest on state and political subdivision obligations | 387 | 528 | 1,284 | 1,601 |
| Interest on federal funds sold and other investments | 45 | 28 | 125 | 84 |
| Total interest income | 24,386 | 26,278 | 74,891 | 78,776 |
| Interest Expense | | | | |
| Interest on deposits | 2,842 | 3,734 | 8,820 | 11,812 |
| Interest on borrowings | 2,265 | 2,953 | 7,913 | 9,357 |
| Interest on junior subordinated debentures | 632 | 712 | 1,983 | 2,108 |
| Total interest expense | 5,739 | 7,399 | 18,122 | 23,277 |
| Net interest income | 18,647 | 18,879 | 56,769 | 55,499 |
| Provision for credit losses | 1,182 | 1,291 | 3,271 | 5,237 |
| Net interest income after provision for credit losses | 17,465 | 17,588 | 53,498 | 50,262 |
| Non-Interest Income | | | | |
| Income from fiduciary services | 1,517 | 1,618 | 4,503 | 4,697 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 1,296 | 1,151 | 3,879 | 3,716 |
| Other service charges and fees | 878 | 945 | 2,691 | 2,507 |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 910 | 401 | 1,784 | 1,119 |
| Brokerage and insurance commissions | 307 | 419 | 1,050 | 1,065 |
| Mortgage banking income | 368 | 160 | 500 | 332 |
| Net gain (loss) on sale of securities | 177 | (188) | 197 | (188) |
| Other income | 433 | 2,331 | 1,433 | 2,765 |
| Total non-interest income before other-than-temporary impairment of securities | 5,886 | 6,837 | 16,037 | 16,013 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment of securities | (61) | (38) | (88) | (217) |
| Total non-interest income | 5,825 | 6,799 | 15,949 | 15,796 |
| Non-Interest Expenses | | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 7,437 | 6,949 | 21,402 | 19,472 |
| Furniture, equipment and data processing | 1,149 | 1,150 | 3,518 | 3,396 |
| Net occupancy | 944 | 899 | 2,960 | 2,830 |
| Consulting and professional fees | 601 | 591 | 2,143 | 1,929 |
| Regulatory assessments | 410 | 832 | 1,515 | 2,149 |
| Other real estate owned and collection costs | 517 | 636 | 1,423 | 2,768 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 144 | 144 | 433 | 432 |
| Other expenses | 2,105 | 2,258 | 6,470 | 6,262 |
| Total non-interest expenses | 13,307 | 13,459 | 39,864 | 39,238 |
| Income before income taxes | 9,983 | 10,928 | 29,583 | 26,820 |
| Income Taxes | 3,054 | 3,487 | 9,245 | 8,480 |
| Net Income | \$6,929 | \$7,441 | \$20,338 | \$18,340 |

| Per Share Data | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Basic earnings per share | \$0.90 | \$0.97 | \$2.65 | \$2.40 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$0.90 | \$0.97 | \$2.65 | \$2.39 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding | 7,677,972 | 7,657,098 | 7,671,911 | 7,655,097 |
| Diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding | 7,683,570 | 7,663,051 | 7,680,401 | 7,660,919 |

See Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CAMDEN NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
(unaudited)

| (In Thousands, Except Number of Shares and per Share Data) | Common Stock | | Retained | Accumulated Other Comprehensive | Total |
|---|-----------------------|----------|-----------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Shares Outstanding | Amount | Earnings | Income (Loss) | Shareholders' Equity |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | 7,644,837 | \$50,062 | \$133,634 | \$ 6,865 | \$ 190,561 |
| Net income | — | — | 18,340 | — | 18,340 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: | | | | | |
| Change in fair value of securities available-for-sale | — | — | — | 3,734 | 3,734 |
| Change in fair value of cash flow hedges | — | — | — | (3,375) | (3,375) |
| Change in net unrecognized losses on postretirement plans | — | — | — | 24 | 24 |
| Total comprehensive income | — | — | 18,340 | 383 | 18,723 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | — | 623 | — | — | 623 |
| Exercise of stock options and issuance of restricted stock | 10,940 | 78 | — | — | 78 |
| Common stock repurchased | (1,385) | — | (44) | — | (44) |
| Cash dividends declared (\$0.75 per share) | — | — | (5,751) | — | (5,751) |
| Balance at September 30, 2010 | 7,654,392 | \$50,763 | \$146,179 | \$ 7,248 | \$ 204,190 |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 7,658,496 | \$50,936 | \$150,730 | \$ 4,329 | \$ 205,995 |
| Net income | — | — | 20,338 | — | 20,338 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: | | | | | |
| Change in fair value of securities available-for-sale | — | — | — | 7,256 | 7,256 |
| Change in fair value of cash flow hedges | — | — | — | (6,363) | (6,363) |
| Change in net unrecognized losses on postretirement plans | — | — | — | 42 | 42 |
| Total comprehensive income | — | — | 20,338 | 935 | 21,273 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | — | 593 | — | — | 593 |
| Exercise of stock options and issuance of restricted stock | 27,782 | 118 | — | — | 118 |
| Common stock repurchased | (8,135) | (272) | — | — | (272) |
| Cash dividends declared (\$0.75 per share) | — | — | (5,768) | — | (5,768) |
| Balance at September 30, 2011 | 7,678,143 | \$51,375 | \$165,300 | \$ 5,264 | \$ 221,939 |

See Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CAMDEN NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(unaudited)

| (In Thousands) | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Operating Activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 20,338 | \$ 18,340 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Provision for credit losses | 3,271 | 5,237 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,618 | 2,560 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 593 | 623 |
| Decrease (increase) in interest receivable | 356 | (101) |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 433 | 432 |
| Net decrease (increase) in trading assets | 142 | (448) |
| Net (gain) loss on sale of securities | (197) | 188 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment of securities | 88 | 217 |
| Increase in other real estate owned valuation allowance | 188 | 21 |
| Originations of mortgage loans held for sale | (11,848) | (4,690) |
| Proceeds from the sale of mortgage loans | 16,817 | 2,234 |
| Gain on sale of mortgage loans | (203) | (83) |
| Decrease in prepaid FDIC assessment | 1,067 | 1,511 |
| Increase in other assets | (5,660) | (4,391) |
| Increase (decrease) in other liabilities | 3,238 | (825) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 31,241 | 20,825 |
| Investing Activities | | |
| Proceeds from maturities of securities held-to-maturity | 251 | 1,130 |
| Proceeds from sales and maturities of securities available-for-sale | 133,416 | 121,929 |
| Purchase of securities available-for-sale | (125,358) | (178,245) |
| Net decrease (increase) in loans | 7,231 | (13,858) |
| Recoveries on previously charged-off loans | 865 | 653 |
| Proceeds from the sale of other real estate owned | 1,638 | 4,169 |
| Proceeds from bank-owned life insurance | 370 | — |
| Purchase of premises and equipment | (722) | (1,736) |
| Net cash provided (used) by investing activities | 17,691 | (65,958) |
| Financing Activities | | |
| Net increase in deposits | 125,449 | 86,432 |
| Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank long-term advances | 190,000 | 20,177 |
| Repayments on Federal Home Loan Bank long-term advances | (277,265) | (65,489) |
| Net change in short-term Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings | (37,275) | (24,335) |
| Net increase in other borrowed funds | 14,335 | 37,670 |
| Common stock repurchase | (272) | (44) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 118 | 78 |
| Cash dividends paid on common stock | (5,765) | (5,746) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 9,325 | 48,743 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 58,257 | 3,610 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 31,009 | 29,772 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 89,266 | \$ 33,382 |
| Supplemental information | | |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Interest paid | \$ 18,433 | \$ 23,777 |
| Income taxes paid | 8,340 | 9,860 |
| Transfer from loans to other real estate owned | 1,198 | 1,341 |

See Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CAMDEN NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in Tables Expressed in Thousands, Except Number of Shares and per Share Data)

NOTE 1 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with instructions for Form 10-Q and, therefore, do not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") for complete presentation of financial statements. In the opinion of management, the consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring accruals) necessary to present fairly the consolidated statements of condition of Camden National Corporation (the "Company") as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the consolidated statements of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, and the consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. All significant intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation. Certain items from the prior year were reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. The income reported for the three month and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011, is not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year. The information in this report should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in the Company's December 31, 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

NOTE 2 – EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per common share ("EPS") excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income applicable to common stock by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the year. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if certain securities or other contracts to issue common stock (such as stock options) were exercised or converted into additional common shares that would then share in the earnings of the Company. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income applicable to common stock by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the year, plus an incremental number of common-equivalent shares computed using the treasury stock method. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share under the two-class method, as unvested share-based payment awards include the nonforfeitable right to receive dividends and therefore are considered participating securities:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net income, as reported | \$6,929 | \$7,441 | \$20,338 | \$18,340 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding – basic | 7,677,972 | 7,657,098 | 7,671,911 | 7,655,097 |
| Dilutive effect of stock-based compensation | 5,598 | 5,953 | 8,490 | 5,822 |
| Weighted-average common and potential common shares – diluted | 7,683,570 | 7,663,051 | 7,680,401 | 7,660,919 |
| Basic earnings per share – common stock | \$0.90 | \$0.97 | \$2.65 | \$2.40 |
| Basic earnings per share – unvested share-based payment awards | 0.87 | 0.97 | 2.51 | 2.40 |
| Diluted earnings per share – common stock | 0.90 | 0.97 | 2.65 | 2.39 |
| Diluted earnings per share – unvested share-based payment awards | 0.90 | 0.97 | 2.65 | 2.39 |

For the three month and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011, options to purchase 108,200 and 102,400 shares, respectively, of common stock were not considered in the computation of potential common shares for purposes of diluted EPS, because the exercise prices of the options were greater than the average market price of the common stock for the respective periods. For both the three month and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010, options to purchase 92,050 and 87,750 shares of common stock, respectively, were not considered in the computation of potential common shares for purposes of diluted EPS, because the exercise prices of the options were greater than the average market price of the common stock for the respective periods.

NOTE 3 – SECURITIES

The following tables summarize the amortized costs and estimated fair values of securities available-for-sale and held-to-maturity, as of the dates indicated:

| | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| September 30, 2011 | | | | |
| Available-for-sale | | | | |
| Obligations of U.S. government sponsored enterprises | \$59,908 | \$510 | \$(28) | \$60,390 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 39,234 | 3,004 | — | 42,238 |
| Mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by U.S. | | | | |
| government sponsored enterprises | 454,125 | 19,907 | (90) | 473,942 |
| Private issue collateralized mortgage obligations | 12,942 | — | (1,799) | 11,143 |
| Total debt securities | 566,209 | 23,421 | (1,917) | 587,713 |
| Equity securities | 5,000 | — | (758) | 4,242 |
| Total securities available-for-sale | \$571,209 | \$23,421 | \$(2,675) | \$591,955 |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | |
| Available-for-sale | | | | |
| Obligations of U.S. government sponsored enterprises | \$49,870 | \$237 | \$(750) | \$49,357 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 13,777 | 443 | — | 14,220 |
| Mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by U.S. | | | | |
| government sponsored enterprises | 451,909 | 15,986 | (3,053) | 464,842 |
| Private issue collateralized mortgage obligations | 23,441 | — | (2,719) | 20,722 |
| Total debt securities | 538,997 | 16,666 | (6,522) | 549,141 |
| Equity securities | 5,000 | — | (562) | 4,438 |
| Total securities available-for-sale | \$543,997 | \$16,666 | \$(7,084) | \$553,579 |
| Held-to-maturity | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$36,102 | \$1,935 | \$— | \$38,037 |
| Total securities held-to-maturity | \$36,102 | \$1,935 | \$— | \$38,037 |

During the first quarter of 2011, \$36.1 million of municipal bonds that had been previously classified as held-to-maturity at purchase were moved to the available-for-sale category and the associated unrealized gains and temporary unrealized losses on these securities are now being reported on an after-tax basis in shareholders' equity as accumulated other comprehensive income or loss. This change reflects management's decision during the first quarter of 2011 to more actively manage these investments in changing economic environments.

Unrealized gains on securities available-for-sale at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 and included in accumulated other comprehensive income amounted to \$13.5 million and \$6.2 million, net of deferred taxes of \$7.2 million and \$3.4 million, respectively.

Impaired Securities

Management reviews the Company's investment portfolio on a periodic basis to determine the cause, magnitude and duration of declines in the fair value of each security. Thorough evaluations of the causes of the unrealized losses are performed to determine whether the impairment is temporary or other-than-temporary in nature. Considerations such as the ability of the securities to meet cash flow requirements, levels of credit enhancements, risk of curtailment, recoverability of invested amount over a reasonable period of time and the length of time the security is in a loss position, for example, are applied in determining other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI"). Once a decline in value is

determined to be other-than-temporary, the value of the security is reduced and a corresponding charge to earnings is recognized.

The following table shows the unrealized gross losses and estimated fair values of investment securities at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, by length of time that individual securities in each category have been in a continuous loss position:

| | Less Than 12 Months | | 12 Months or More | | Total | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| September 30, 2011 | | | | | | |
| U.S. government sponsored enterprises | \$19,968 | \$(28) | \$— | \$— | \$19,968 | \$(28) |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 20,289 | (90) | 74 | — | 20,363 | (90) |
| Private issue collateralized mortgage obligations | — | — | 11,142 | (1,799) | 11,142 | (1,799) |
| Equity securities | — | — | 4,243 | (758) | 4,243 | (758) |
| Total | \$40,257 | \$(118) | \$15,459 | \$(2,557) | \$55,716 | \$(2,675) |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | | |
| U.S. government sponsored enterprises | \$29,145 | \$(750) | \$— | \$— | \$29,145 | \$(750) |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 96,604 | (3,053) | 85 | — | 96,689 | (3,053) |
| Private issue collateralized mortgage obligations | 2,160 | (79) | 18,562 | (2,640) | 20,722 | (2,719) |
| Equity securities | — | — | 4,438 | (562) | 4,438 | (562) |
| Total | \$127,909 | \$(3,882) | \$23,085 | \$(3,202) | \$150,994 | \$(7,084) |

At September 30, 2011, \$55.7 million of the Company's investment securities had unrealized losses that are considered temporary. A portion of the unrealized loss was related to the private issue collateralized mortgage obligations ("CMOs"), which includes \$10.1 million that have been downgraded to non-investment grade. The Company's share of these downgraded CMOs is in the senior tranches. Management believes the unrealized loss for the CMOs is the result of current market illiquidity and the underestimation of value in the market. Including the CMOs, there were 20 securities with a fair value of \$15.5 million in the investment portfolio which had unrealized losses for twelve months or longer. Management currently has the intent and ability to retain these investment securities with unrealized losses until the decline in value has been recovered. Stress tests are performed regularly on the higher risk bonds in the investment portfolio using current statistical data to determine expected cash flows and forecast potential losses. The stress tests at September 30, 2011, indicated potential future credit losses in the most likely scenario on four private issue CMOs. Based on these results, the Company recorded a \$61,000 OTTI write-down during the third quarter of 2011.

At September 30, 2011, the Company held Duff & Phelps Select Income Fund Auction Preferred Stock with an amortized cost of \$5.0 million which failed at auction during 2008. The security is rated Triple-A by Moody's and Standard and Poor's. Management believes the failed auctions are a temporary liquidity event related to this asset class of securities. The Company is currently collecting all amounts due according to contractual terms and has the ability and intent to hold the securities until they clear auction, are called, or mature; therefore, the securities are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired.

Security Gains and Losses

The following information details the Company's sales of securities:

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Available-for-sale | | |
| Proceeds from sales of securities | \$ 15,128 | \$ 4,210 |
| Gross realized gains | 270 | — |
| Gross realized (losses) | (73) | (188) |

During the first nine months of 2011, the Company sold sixteen municipal bonds that the Company was monitoring that either had below “A” ratings, split ratings, withdrawn ratings, or negative outlooks or were revenue bonds. Due to increased pressures on state and local government revenues around the country as municipalities struggle with a weakened economy, management decided to sell these securities. The Company also sold three private issue CMOs in response to favorable market pricing, which reduced its CMO holdings by \$7.8 million. The Company had not recorded any OTTI on these securities.

Securities Pledged

At September 30, 2011 and 2010, securities with an amortized cost of \$486.8 million and \$378.2 million and estimated fair values of \$508.6 million and \$395.9 million, respectively, were pledged to secure Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”) advances, public deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other purposes required or permitted by law.

Contractual Maturities

The amortized cost and estimated fair values of debt securities by contractual maturity at September 30, 2011 are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|---------------|
| Available-for-sale | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$758 | \$763 |
| Due after one year through five years | 66,151 | 67,376 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 99,902 | 105,028 |
| Due after ten years | 399,398 | 414,546 |
| | \$566,209 | \$587,713 |

NOTE 4 – LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The composition of the Company's loan portfolio, excluding residential loans held for sale, at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was as follows:

| | September 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Residential real estate loans | \$ 580,672 | \$ 596,655 |
| Commercial real estate loans | 458,348 | 464,037 |
| Commercial loans | 191,060 | 180,592 |
| Home equity loans | 270,468 | 270,627 |
| Consumer loans | 12,188 | 13,188 |
| Deferred loan fees net of costs | (424) | (347) |
| Total loans | \$ 1,512,312 | \$ 1,524,752 |

The Company's lending activities are primarily conducted in Maine. The Company originates single family and multi-family residential loans, commercial real estate loans, business loans, municipal loans and a variety of consumer loans. In addition, the Company makes loans for the construction of residential homes, multi-family properties and commercial real estate properties. The ability and willingness of borrowers to honor their repayment commitments is generally dependent on the level of overall economic activity within the geographic area and the general economy. During the first nine months of 2011, the Company sold \$16.6 million of fixed-rate residential mortgage loans on the secondary market that resulted in a net gain on the sale of loans of \$203,000. For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company sold \$20.1 million of fixed-rate residential mortgage loans on the secondary market, which resulted in a net gain on the sale of loans of \$106,000.

The allowance for loan losses ("ALL") is management's best estimate of the inherent risk of loss in the Company's loan portfolio as of the statement of condition date. Management makes various assumptions and judgments about the collectability of the loan portfolio and provides an allowance for potential losses based on a number of factors including historical losses. If those assumptions are incorrect, the ALL may not be sufficient to cover losses and may cause an increase in the allowance in the future. Among the factors that could affect the Company's ability to collect loans and require an increase to the allowance in the future are: general real estate and economic conditions; regional credit concentration; industry concentration, for example in the hospitality, tourism and recreation industries; and a requirement by federal and state regulators to increase the provision for loan losses or recognize additional charge-offs.

The Board of Directors monitors credit risk management through the Directors' Loan Committee and the Risk Management Group. The Directors' Loan Committee reviews large exposure credit requests, monitors asset quality on a regular basis and has approval authority for credit granting policies. The Risk Management Group oversees management's systems and procedures to monitor the credit quality of the loan portfolio, conduct a loan review program, maintain the integrity of the loan rating system and determine the adequacy of the ALL. The Company's practice is to identify problem credits early and take charge-offs as promptly as practicable. In addition, management continuously reassesses its underwriting standards in response to credit risk posed by changes in economic conditions. For purposes of determining the ALL, the Company disaggregates its portfolio loans into portfolio segments, which include residential real estate, commercial real estate, commercial, home equity, and consumer.

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

The following is a summary of activity in the ALL for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$22,989 | \$22,266 | \$22,293 | \$20,246 |
| Loan charge-offs | (1,400) | (1,395) | (3,417) | (3,805) |
| Recoveries on loans previously charged off | 235 | 173 | 865 | 653 |
| Net charge-offs | (1,165) | (1,222) | (2,552) | (3,152) |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,187 | 1,292 | 3,270 | 5,242 |
| Balance at end of period | \$23,011 | \$22,336 | \$23,011 | \$22,336 |

The following table presents activity in the ALL by portfolio segment for the three months ended September 30, 2011:

| | Residential Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial | Home Equity | Consumer | Unallocated | Total |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------------|----------|-------------|-----------|
| ALL: | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 6,109 | \$ 6,324 | \$ 4,473 | \$ 2,478 | \$ 453 | \$ 3,152 | \$ 22,989 |
| Loans charged off | (239) | (621) | (325) | (205) | (10) | — | (1,400) |
| Recoveries | 1 | 124 | 83 | 25 | 2 | — | 235 |
| Provision (reduction) | 75 | 179 | 633 | 188 | (12) | 124 | 1,187 |
| Ending balance | \$ 5,946 | \$ 6,006 | \$ 4,864 | \$ 2,486 | \$ 433 | \$ 3,276 | \$ 23,011 |

The following table presents the activity in the ALL and select loan information by portfolio segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2011:

| | Residential Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial | Home Equity | Consumer | Unallocated | Total |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| ALL: | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 3,273 | \$ 8,198 | \$ 5,633 | \$ 2,051 | \$ 202 | \$ 2,936 | \$ 22,293 |
| Loans charged off | (1,036) | (946) | (1,080) | (325) | (30) | — | (3,417) |
| Recoveries | 114 | 307 | 239 | 195 | 10 | — | 865 |
| Provision (reduction) | 3,595 | (1,553) | 72 | 565 | 251 | 340 | 3,270 |
| Ending balance | \$ 5,946 | \$ 6,006 | \$ 4,864 | \$ 2,486 | \$ 433 | \$ 3,276 | \$ 23,011 |
| Ending Balance: | | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 2,669 | \$ 1,411 | \$ 831 | \$ 370 | \$ 91 | \$ — | \$ 5,372 |
| Ending Balance: | | | | | | | |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 3,277 | \$ 4,595 | \$ 4,033 | \$ 2,116 | \$ 342 | \$ 3,276 | \$ 17,639 |
| Loans ending balance: | | | | | | | |
| Ending Balance: | | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 12,305 | \$ 9,596 | \$ 4,343 | \$ 1,343 | \$ 159 | \$ — | \$ 27,746 |
| Ending Balance: | | | | | | | |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 567,943 | \$ 448,752 | \$ 186,717 | \$ 269,125 | \$ 12,029 | \$ — | \$ 1,484,566 |

| | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|------|--------------|
| Loans ending balance | \$ 580,248 | \$ 458,348 | \$ 191,060 | \$ 270,468 | \$ 12,188 | \$ — | \$ 1,512,312 |
|----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|------|--------------|

The following table presents activity in the ALL and select loan information by portfolio segment for the year ended December 31, 2010:

| | Residential Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial | Home Equity | Consumer | Unallocated | Total |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| ALL: | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 2,693 | \$ 6,930 | \$ 5,015 | \$ 1,773 | \$ 184 | \$ 3,651 | \$ 20,246 |
| Loans charged off | (1,262) | (1,382) | (1,502) | (932) | (469) | — | (5,547) |
| Recoveries | 225 | 232 | 553 | 123 | 136 | — | 1,269 |
| Provision (reduction) | 1,617 | 2,418 | 1,567 | 1,087 | 351 | (715) | 6,325 |
| Ending balance | \$ 3,273 | \$ 8,198 | \$ 5,633 | \$ 2,051 | \$ 202 | \$ 2,936 | \$ 22,293 |
| Ending Balance: | | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 840 | \$ 660 | \$ 631 | \$ 316 | \$ 25 | \$ — | \$ 2,472 |
| Ending Balance: | | | | | | | |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 2,433 | \$ 7,538 | \$ 5,002 | \$ 1,735 | \$ 177 | \$ 2,936 | \$ 19,821 |
| Loans ending balance: | | | | | | | |
| Ending Balance: | | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 9,330 | \$ 6,182 | \$ 4,486 | \$ 1,711 | \$ 25 | \$ — | \$ 21,734 |
| Ending Balance: | | | | | | | |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 586,978 | \$ 457,855 | \$ 176,106 | \$ 268,916 | \$ 13,163 | \$ — | \$ 1,503,018 |
| Loans ending balance | \$ 596,308 | \$ 464,037 | \$ 180,592 | \$ 270,627 | \$ 13,188 | \$ — | \$ 1,524,752 |

The Company focuses on maintaining a well-balanced and diversified loan portfolio. Despite such efforts, it is recognized that credit concentrations may occasionally emerge as a result of economic conditions, changes in local demand, natural loan growth and runoff. To ensure that credit concentrations can be effectively identified, all commercial and commercial real estate loans are assigned Standard Industrial Classification codes, North American Industry Classification System codes, and state and county codes. Shifts in portfolio concentrations are continuously monitored by the Company's Risk Management Group.

To further identify loans with similar risk profiles, the Company categorizes each portfolio segment into classes by credit risk characteristic and applies a credit quality indicator to each portfolio segment. The indicators for commercial, commercial real estate and residential real estate loans are represented by Grades 1 through 10 from lowest to highest risk rating. The Company uses the following definitions when assessing grades for the purpose of evaluating the risk and adequacy of the ALL:

Grade 1 – Substantially risk free loans. Loans to borrowers of unquestioned financial strength with stable earnings, cash flows and sufficient primary and secondary sources of repayment. These loans have no known or suspected shortcomings or weaknesses. Most loans in this category are secured by properly margined liquid collateral. Loan to value and loan to cost parameters are most conservative.

Grade 2 – Loans with minimal risk. Includes loans to borrowers with a solid financial condition and good liquidity, significant cash flows and interest coverage and well-defined repayment strength. Loan to value and loan to cost parameters are conservative.

Grade 3 – Loans with very modest risk. Borrowers in this category exhibit strong sources of repayment, consistent earnings and acceptable profitability growth. Working capital, debt to worth and coverage ratios are comparable with industry standards and there are no known negative trends. Collateral protection is adequate. Loan to value parameters do not exceed the maximum established by the Company’s loan policy.

Grade 4 – Loans with less than average risk. Loans to borrowers with adequate repayment source or a recently demonstrated ability to service debt with acceptable margins. Working capital, debt to worth and coverage ratios may be on the lower end of industry standards, but are not considered unsatisfactory. There may be minor negative trends but collateral position is adequate. Loan to value and debt coverage ratios meet the criteria in the Company’s loan policy.

Grade 5 – Average risk loans. Loans to borrowers with acceptable financial strength but possible vulnerability to changing economic conditions or inconsistent earnings history. Borrower evidences a reasonable ability to service debt in the normal course of business and has available and adequate secondary sources of repayment. Working capital, debt to worth and coverage ratios may be below industry standards, but are not considered unsatisfactory. Loan to value and debt coverage ratios meet the criteria outlined in the Company’s loan policy.

Grade 6 – Loans with maximum acceptable risk (Watch List). Loans in this grade exhibit the majority of the attributes associated with Grade 5, perform at that level, but have been recognized to possess characteristics or deficiencies that warrant monitoring. These loans have potential weaknesses which may, if not checked or corrected, weaken the assets or inadequately protect the Company's credit position at some future date.

A Grade 6-Watch rating is assigned to a loan when one or more of the following circumstances exist:

- Lack of sufficient current information to properly assess the risk of the loan facility or value of pledged collateral.
- Adverse economic, market or other external conditions which may directly affect the obligor's financial condition.
- Significant cost overruns occurred.
- Market share may exhibit some volatility. Sales and profits may be tied to business, credit or product cycles.

Grade 7 – Loans with potential weakness (Special Mention). Loans in this category are currently protected based on collateral and repayment capacity and do not constitute undesirable credit risk, but have potential weakness that may result in deterioration of the repayment process at some future date. This classification is used if a negative trend is evident in the obligor's financial situation. Special mention loans do not sufficiently expose the Company to warrant adverse classification.

Grade 8 – Loans with definite weakness (Substandard). Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the obligor or by collateral pledged. Borrowers experience difficulty in meeting debt repayment requirements. Deterioration is sufficient to cause the Company to look to the sale of collateral.

Grade 9 – Loans with potential loss (Doubtful). Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in the substandard grade with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation of the loan in full highly questionable and improbable. The possibility of some loss is extremely high, but because of specific pending factors that may work to the advantage and strengthening of the asset, its classification as an estimated loss is deferred until its more exact status may be determined.

Grade 10 – Loans with definite loss (Loss). Loans classified as loss are considered uncollectible. The loss classification does not mean that the asset has absolutely no recovery or salvage value, but rather that it is not practical or desirable to defer writing off the asset because recovery and collection time may be protracted.

Asset quality indicators are periodically reassessed to appropriately reflect the risk composition of the Company's loan portfolio. Home equity and consumer loans are not individually risk rated, but rather analyzed as groups taking into account delinquency rates and other economic conditions which may affect the ability of borrowers to meet debt service requirements, including interest rates and energy costs. Performing loans include loans that are current and loans that are past due less than 90 days. Loans that are past due over 90 days and non-accrual loans are considered non-performing.

The following table summarizes credit risk exposure indicators by portfolio segment as of September 30, 2011:

| | Residential Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial | Home Equity | Consumer |
|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------------|----------|
| Pass (Grades 1-6) | \$563,468 | \$393,868 | \$162,316 | \$— | \$— |
| Performing | — | — | — | 269,125 | 12,029 |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Special Mention (Grade 7) | 883 | 16,860 | 9,513 | — | — |
| Substandard (Grade 8) | 15,897 | 47,620 | 18,648 | — | — |
| Non-performing | — | — | — | 1,343 | 159 |
| Doubtful (Grade 9) | — | — | 583 | — | — |
| Total | \$580,248 | \$458,348 | \$191,060 | \$270,468 | \$12,188 |

14

The following table summarizes credit risk exposure indicators by portfolio segment as of December 31, 2010:

| | Residential Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial | Home Equity | Consumer |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------------|----------|
| Pass (Grades 1-6) | \$583,460 | \$390,488 | \$146,412 | \$— | \$— |
| Performing | — | — | — | 268,873 | 13,163 |
| Special Mention (Grade 7) | — | 22,692 | 11,089 | — | — |
| Substandard (Grade 8) | 12,848 | 50,852 | 23,091 | — | — |
| Non-performing | — | — | — | 1,754 | 25 |
| Doubtful (Grade 9) | — | 5 | — | — | — |
| Total | \$596,308 | \$464,037 | \$180,592 | \$270,627 | \$13,188 |

The Company closely monitors the performance of its loan portfolio. In situations when the financial condition of the borrower is deteriorating, payment in full of both principal and interest is not expected as scheduled or principal or interest has been in default for 90 days or more, a loan is placed on non-accrual status. Exceptions may be made if the asset is well-secured by collateral sufficient to satisfy both the principal and accrued interest in full and collection is assured by a specific event such as the closing of a pending sale contract. When one loan to a borrower is placed on non-accrual status, all other loans to the borrower are re-evaluated to determine if they should also be placed on non-accrual status. All previously accrued and unpaid interest is reversed at this time. A loan may be returned to accrual status when collection of principal and interest is assured and the borrower has demonstrated timely payments of principal and interest for a reasonable period. Unsecured loans are not normally placed on non-accrual status, as they are charged-off once their collectability is in doubt.

A loan is classified as non-accrual generally when it becomes 90 days past due as to interest or principal payments. All previously accrued but unpaid interest on non-accrual loans is reversed from interest income in the current period. Interest payments received on non-accrual loans (including impaired loans) are applied as a reduction of principal. A loan remains on non-accrual status until all principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

The following is a loan aging analysis by portfolio segment (including loans past due over 90 days and non-accrual loans) and a summary of non-accrual loans, which include troubled debt restructured loans ("TDRs"), and loans past due over 90 days and accruing as of September 30, 2011:

| | 30-59 days Past Due | 60-89 days Past Due | Greater Than 90 Days | Total Past Due | Current | Total Loans Outstanding | Loans > 90 Days Past Due and Non-Accrual Accruing | Loans |
|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------------|--|-----------|
| Residential real estate | \$ 505 | \$ 1,262 | \$ 7,333 | \$ 9,100 | \$ 571,148 | \$ 580,248 | \$ — | \$ 9,060 |
| Commercial real estate | 2,057 | 1,935 | 5,116 | 9,108 | 449,240 | 458,348 | — | 9,596 |
| Commercial | 1,482 | 193 | 2,406 | 4,081 | 186,979 | 191,060 | — | 4,278 |
| Home equity | 284 | 149 | 1,041 | 1,474 | 268,994 | 270,468 | — | 1,343 |
| Consumer | 126 | 8 | 159 | 293 | 11,895 | 12,188 | — | 159 |
| Total | \$ 4,454 | \$ 3,547 | \$ 16,055 | \$ 24,056 | \$ 1,488,256 | \$ 1,512,312 | \$ — | \$ 24,436 |

The following is a loan aging analysis by portfolio segment (including loans past due over 90 days and non-accrual loans) and a summary of non-accrual loans which include TDRs, and loans past due over 90 days and accruing as of December 31, 2010:

| | 30-59 days Past Due | 60-89 days Past Due | Greater than 90 Days | Total Past Due | Current | Total Loans Outstanding | Loans > 90 Days Past Due and Accruing | Non-Accrual Loans |
|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------------|--|----------------------|
| Residential real estate | \$ 1,488 | \$ 1,533 | \$5,616 | \$8,637 | \$587,671 | \$ 596,308 | \$ 424 | \$ 7,225 |
| Commercial real estate | 1,642 | 979 | 4,166 | 6,787 | 457,250 | 464,037 | 214 | 6,072 |
| Commercial | 911 | 883 | 2,888 | 4,682 | 175,910 | 180,592 | 15 | 4,421 |
| Home equity | 590 | 170 | 739 | 1,499 | 269,128 | 270,627 | 58 | 1,696 |
| Consumer | 164 | 28 | 25 | 217 | 12,971 | 13,188 | — | 25 |
| Total | \$ 4,795 | \$ 3,593 | \$13,434 | \$21,822 | \$1,502,930 | \$ 1,524,752 | \$ 711 | \$ 19,439 |

The Company takes a conservative approach in credit risk management and remains focused on community lending and reinvesting. The Company's Credit Administration works closely with borrowers experiencing credit problems to assist in loan repayment or term modifications. TDR loans consist of loans where the Company, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial difficulties, granted a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. TDRs involve term modifications or a reduction of either interest or principal. Once such an obligation has been restructured, it will continue to remain in restructured status until paid in full. Loans restructured due to credit difficulties that are now performing were \$3.3 million and \$2.3 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Loans that were restructured on or after January 1, 2011 were reassessed during the third quarter of 2011 as a result of the adoption of the new accounting guidance for TDRs. In its reassessment, the Company did not identify any modifications constituting TDRs under the new guidance that were not previously considered TDRs. At September 30, 2011, the allowance related to TDRs was \$124,000. The specific reserve component was determined by using the fair value of the underlying collateral, which was obtained through independent appraisals and internal evaluations, or by discounting the total expected future cash flows from the borrower. There were no commitments to lend additional funds to borrowers with loans classified as TDRs at September 30, 2011, and there were no TDR loans that subsequently defaulted during the first nine months of 2011.

The following is a summary of all TDR loans (accruing and non-accruing) by portfolio segment as of September 30, 2011:

| | Number of Contracts | Pre-Modification | Post-Modification | Current Balance |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | | Outstanding Recorded Investment | Outstanding Recorded Investment | |
| Troubled-Debt Restructurings | | | | |
| Residential real estate | 18 | \$ 2,797 | \$ 3,437 | \$ 3,363 |
| Commercial real estate | 2 | 824 | 824 | 371 |
| Commercial | 2 | 163 | 163 | 103 |
| Total | 22 | \$ 3,784 | \$ 4,424 | \$ 3,837 |

Impaired loans consist of non-accrual and TDR loans. All impaired loans are allocated a portion of the allowance to cover potential losses. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were no impaired loans without a related recorded allowance.

The following is a summary of impaired loan balances and associated allowance by portfolio segment as of September 30, 2011:

| | Recorded Investment | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance | Three Months Ended | Interest | Nine Months Ended | Interest |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| | | | | Average Recorded Investment | Income Recognized | Average Recorded Investment | Income Recognized |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | | | | | |
| Residential real estate | \$ 12,305 | \$ 12,905 | \$ 2,669 | \$ 11,567 | \$ 35 | \$ 11,395 | \$ 95 |
| Commercial real estate | 9,596 | 10,872 | 1,411 | 9,175 | — | 7,379 | 3 |
| Commercial | 4,343 | 4,640 | 831 | 3,890 | 2 | 4,020 | 2 |
| Home equity | 1,343 | 1,410 | 370 | 1,327 | — | 1,418 | 1 |
| Consumer | 159 | 319 | 91 | 135 | — | 95 | — |

| | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------|-----------|--------|
| Ending Balance | \$ 27,746 | \$ 30,146 | \$ 5,372 | \$ 26,094 | \$ 37 | \$ 24,307 | \$ 101 |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------|-----------|--------|

The following is a summary of impaired loan balances and associated allowance by portfolio segment as of December 31, 2010:

| | Recorded Investment | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| With an allowance recorded: | | | | | |
| Residential real estate | \$9,330 | \$9,750 | \$840 | \$7,739 | \$30 |
| Commercial real estate | 6,182 | 7,198 | 660 | 6,334 | 4 |
| Commercial | 4,486 | 4,708 | 631 | 4,499 | 1 |
| Home equity | 1,711 | 2,049 | 316 | 1,118 | 1 |
| Consumer | 25 | 185 | 25 | 113 | — |
| Ending Balance | \$21,734 | \$23,890 | \$2,472 | \$19,803 | \$36 |

NOTE 5 – GOODWILL, CORE DEPOSIT AND TRUST RELATIONSHIP INTANGIBLES

The Company has recognized goodwill and certain identifiable intangible assets in connection with certain acquisitions of other businesses in prior years. During the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company completed its annual impairment evaluation of goodwill and did not identify any impairment. The changes in core deposit intangible and trust relationship intangible for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 are shown in the table below:

| | Core Deposit Intangible Accumulated | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--------------|---------|
| | Total | Amortization | Net |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$14,444 | \$ (10,930) | \$3,514 |
| 2011 amortization | — | (377) | (377) |
| Balance at September 30, 2011 | \$14,444 | \$ (11,307) | \$3,137 |

| | Trust Relationship Intangible Accumulated | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--------------|-------|
| | Total | Amortization | Net |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$753 | \$ (226) | \$527 |
| 2011 amortization | — | (56) | (56) |
| Balance at September 30, 2011 | \$753 | \$ (282) | \$471 |

The following table reflects the expected amortization schedule for intangible assets at September 30, 2011:

| | Trust Relationship Intangible | Core Deposit Intangible |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2011 | \$ 125 | \$ 19 |
| 2012 | 502 | 75 |
| 2013 | 502 | 75 |
| 2014 | 502 | 75 |
| 2015 | 502 | 75 |
| Thereafter | 1,004 | 152 |
| Total unamortized intangible | \$ 3,137 | \$ 471 |

NOTE 6 – EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

The Company maintains an unfunded, non-qualified supplemental executive retirement plan for certain officers. The components of net period benefit cost for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|------------------------------------|-------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net period benefit cost | | | | |
| Service cost | \$58 | \$45 | \$174 | \$135 |
| Interest cost | 108 | 107 | 324 | 321 |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 17 | 7 | 51 | 23 |
| Recognized prior service cost | 4 | 4 | 12 | 14 |
| Net period benefit cost | \$187 | \$163 | \$561 | \$493 |

Other Postretirement Benefit Plan

The Company provides medical and life insurance to certain eligible retired employees. The components of net period benefit cost for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|------------------------------------|-------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net period benefit cost | | | | |
| Service cost | \$16 | \$18 | \$48 | \$52 |
| Interest cost | 38 | 35 | 114 | 107 |
| Net period benefit cost | \$54 | \$53 | \$162 | \$159 |

NOTE 7 – STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

On February 22, 2011, the Company granted 1,500 restricted stock awards to certain officers of the Company and/or its wholly-owned subsidiary Camden National Bank, under the 2003 Stock Option and Incentive Plan. The holders of these awards participate fully in the rewards of stock ownership of the Company, including voting and dividend rights. The restricted stock awards have been determined to have a fair value of \$33.60, based on the market price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. The restricted stock awards vest over a three-year period.

On February 22, 2011, the Company awarded options to purchase 26,000 shares of common stock from the 2003 Stock Option and Incentive Plan to certain officers of the Company and/or Camden National Bank. The expected volatility, expected life, expected dividend yield, and expected risk free interest rate for this grant used to determine the fair value of the options on February 22, 2011 were 52%, 5 years, 2.98%, and 1.99%, respectively. The options have been determined to have a fair value of \$12.41 per share. The options vest over a five-year period and have a contractual life of ten years from the date of grant.

On September 27, 2011, the Company awarded options to purchase 1,000 shares of common stock from the 2003 Stock Option and Incentive Plan to certain officers of the Company. The expected volatility, expected life, expected dividend yield, and expected risk free interest rate for this grant used to determine the fair value of the options on September 27, 2011 were 53%, 5 years, 3.61%, and 1.02%, respectively. The options have been determined to have a fair value of \$9.53 per share. The options vest over a five-year period and have a contractual life of ten years from the

date of grant.

Under the Company's Amended and Restated Long-Term Performance Share Plan, 18,902 shares vested during the first quarter of 2011 upon the achievement of certain revenue and expense goals under the 2008-2010 Long-Term Performance Share Plan metrics. Under the Company's Management Stock Purchase Plan, 5,541 shares were granted in lieu of the management employees' annual incentive bonus during the first three months of 2011. During the first quarter of 2011, the Company granted 2,135 deferred stock awards under the Company's Defined Contribution Retirement Plan.

18

NOTE 8 – FAIR VALUE

GAAP permits an entity to choose to measure eligible financial instruments and other items at fair value. The Company has not made any fair value elections as of September 30, 2011.

Pursuant to GAAP, fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. A three-level hierarchy exists in GAAP for fair value measurements based upon the inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability.

Level 1: Valuation is based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.

Level 2: Valuation is determined from quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, from quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active or by model-based techniques in which all significant inputs are observable in the market.

Level 3: Valuation is derived from model-based and other techniques in which at least one significant input is unobservable and which may be based on the Company's own estimates about the assumptions that market participants would use to value the asset or liability.

When available, the Company attempts to use quoted market prices in active markets to determine fair value and classifies such items as Level 1 or Level 2. If quoted market prices in active markets are not available, fair value is often determined using model-based techniques incorporating various assumptions including interest rates, prepayment speeds and credit losses. Assets and liabilities valued using model-based techniques are classified as either Level 2 or Level 3, depending on the lowest level classification of an input that is considered significant to the overall valuation.

The following table summarizes assets and liabilities measured at estimated fair value on a recurring basis:

| | Readily Available Market Prices (Level 1) | Observable Market Prices (Level 2) | Company Determined Market Prices (Level 3) | Total |
|--|---|---|--|----------|
| Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2011 | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Securities available-for-sale: | | | | |
| Obligations of U.S. government sponsored enterprises | \$— | \$60,390 | \$— | \$60,390 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | — | 42,238 | — | 42,238 |
| Mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by U.S. government sponsored enterprises | — | 473,942 | — | 473,942 |
| Private issue collateralized mortgage obligations | — | 11,143 | — | 11,143 |
| Equity securities | — | 4,242 | — | 4,242 |
| Trading account assets | 2,162 | — | — | 2,162 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Interest rate swap agreements | — | 10,880 | — | 10,880 |
| Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010 | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Securities available-for-sale: | | | | |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-------|----------|-----|----------|
| Obligations of U.S. government sponsored enterprises | \$— | \$49,357 | \$— | \$49,357 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | — | 14,220 | — | 14,220 |
| Mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by U.S. government sponsored enterprises | — | 464,842 | — | 464,842 |
| Private issue collateralized mortgage obligations | — | 20,722 | — | 20,722 |
| Equity securities | — | 4,438 | — | 4,438 |
| Trading account assets | 2,304 | — | — | 2,304 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Interest rate swap agreements | — | 1,091 | — | 1,091 |

19

The following table summarizes assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis:

| | Readily Available Market Prices (Level 1) | Observable Market Prices (Level 2) | Company Determined Market Prices (Level 3) | Total |
|--|---|---|--|----------|
| Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2011 | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Impaired loans | \$— | \$22,374 | \$— | \$22,374 |
| Other real estate owned | — | — | 1,759 | 1,759 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | — | 1,173 | — | 1,173 |
| Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010 | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Impaired loans | \$— | \$19,262 | \$— | \$19,262 |
| Other real estate owned | — | — | 2,387 | 2,387 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | — | 1,381 | — | 1,381 |

The following table reconciles the beginning and ending balances of other real estate owned (“OREO”) measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis using significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs:

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Balance at beginning of year | \$2,387 | \$5,479 |
| Additions | 1,198 | 1,341 |
| Write-downs | (188) | (21) |
| Disposals | (1,638) | (4,169) |
| Balance at end of period | \$1,759 | \$2,630 |

OREO properties acquired through foreclosure or deed-in-lieu of foreclosure are recorded at the fair value of the real estate, less costs to sell. Any write-down of the recorded investment in the related loan is charged to the allowance for loan losses upon transfer to OREO. Upon acquisition of a property, a current appraisal or a broker’s opinion is used to substantiate fair value for the property. After foreclosure, management periodically obtains updated valuations of the OREO assets and, if additional impairments are deemed necessary, the subsequent write-downs for declines in value are recorded through a valuation allowance and a provision for losses charged to other non-interest expense.

The carrying amounts and estimated fair value for financial instrument assets and liabilities are presented in the following table:

| | September 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| Financial assets: | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$89,266 | \$89,266 | \$31,009 | \$31,009 |
| Securities available-for-sale | 591,955 | 591,955 | 553,579 | 553,579 |
| Securities held-to-maturity | — | — | 36,102 | 38,037 |
| Trading account assets | 2,162 | 2,162 | 2,304 | 2,304 |
| Loans held for sale | 762 | 762 | 5,528 | 5,575 |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| FHLB and Federal Reserve Bank stock | 21,962 | 21,962 | 21,962 | 21,962 |
| Loans receivable, net of allowance | 1,489,301 | 1,518,618 | 1,502,459 | 1,523,451 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 768 | 1,173 | 898 | 1,381 |
| Interest receivable | 6,519 | 6,519 | 6,875 | 6,875 |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | |
| Deposits | 1,641,257 | 1,650,443 | 1,515,811 | 1,522,899 |
| FHLB advances | 126,953 | 134,664 | 214,236 | 220,099 |
| Commercial repurchase agreements | 106,271 | 111,844 | 106,355 | 114,188 |
| Other borrowed funds | 172,762 | 172,762 | 195,714 | 195,714 |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 43,691 | 43,691 | 43,614 | 50,843 |
| Interest payable | 1,577 | 1,577 | 1,841 | 1,841 |
| Interest rate swap agreements | 10,880 | 10,880 | 1,091 | 1,091 |

The following assumptions, methods and calculations were used in determining the estimated fair value of financial instruments:

Cash and Due from Banks: The carrying amounts of cash and due from banks approximate their fair value.

Securities Available-for-Sale and Trading Account Assets: The fair value of debt securities available-for-sale and trading account assets is reported utilizing prices provided by an independent pricing service based on recent trading activity and other observable information including, but not limited to, dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, market interest rate curves, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information, and the bond's terms and conditions. The fair value of equity securities available-for-sale was calculated using a discounted cash flow analysis using observable information including, but not limited to, cash flows, risk-adjusted discount rates and market spreads.

Securities Held-to-Maturity: Fair values of securities held-to-maturity are based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments.

FHLB and Federal Reserve Bank Stock: The carrying amount approximates fair value.

Loans Held for Sale: Fair value is based on executed sales agreements.

Loans: For variable rate loans that reprice frequently and have no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. The fair value of other loans is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities. Loan impairment is deemed to exist when full repayment of principal and interest according to the contractual terms of the loan is no longer probable. Impaired loans are reported based on one of three measures: the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate; the loan's observable market price; or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If the fair value measure is less than an impaired loan's recorded investment, an impairment loss is recognized as part of the ALL. Accordingly, certain impaired loans may be subject to measurement at fair value on a non-recurring basis. Management has estimated the fair values of these assets using Level 2 inputs, such as the fair value of collateral based on independent third-party appraisals for collateral-dependent loans.

Mortgage Servicing Rights: The fair value of mortgage servicing rights is based on a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated net servicing income. The Company obtains a third-party valuation based upon loan data including note rate, loan type and the term of the underlying loans. The model utilizes a variety of observable inputs for its assumptions, the most significant of which are loan prepayment assumptions and the discount rate used to discount future cash flows. Other assumptions include delinquency rates, servicing cost inflation and annual unit loan cost.

Interest Receivable and Payable: The carrying amounts approximate their fair value.

Deposits: The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity is equal to the carrying amount. The fair value of certificates of deposit is estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates and remaining maturities for currently offered certificates of deposit.

Borrowings: The carrying amounts of short-term borrowings from the FHLB, securities sold under repurchase agreements, notes payable and other short-term borrowings approximate fair value. The fair values of long-term borrowings and commercial repurchase agreements are based on the discounted cash flows using current rates for

advances of similar remaining maturities.

Junior Subordinated Debentures: The fair value is estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies current rates for debentures of similar maturity.

Derivatives: The determination of the fair value of many derivatives is mainly derived from inputs that are observable in the market place. Such inputs include yield curves, publicly available volatilities, and floating indexes, and accordingly, are classified as Level 2 inputs. Valuations of derivative assets and liabilities reflect the value of the instruments including the values associated with counterparty risk. With the issuance of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification Topic 820, these values must also take into account the Company's own credit standing, thus including in the valuation of the derivative instrument the value of the net credit differential between the counterparties to the derivative contract. The Company does not determine credit value adjustment on derivative assets and liabilities where the Company and/or its affiliates are the counterparties, because it believes there is no material exposure to counterparty credit risk.

NOTE 9 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Legal Contingencies

In the normal course of business, the Company and its subsidiaries are subject to pending and threatened legal actions. Although the Company is not able to predict the outcome of such actions, after reviewing pending and threatened actions with counsel, management believes that based on the information currently available the outcome of such actions, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position as a whole.

Reserves are established for legal claims only when losses associated with the claims are judged to be probable, and the loss can be reasonably estimated. In many lawsuits and arbitrations, it is not possible to determine whether a liability has been incurred or to estimate the ultimate or minimum amount of that liability until the case is close to resolution, in which case a reserve will not be recognized until that time.

In October 2010, Daniel G. Lilley Law Offices, P.A. filed a complaint against Camden National Bank in the Superior Court in Oxford County, Maine claiming that Camden National Bank owed Daniel G. Lilley Law Offices, P.A. compensation for a benefit that the Law Offices provided to Camden National Bank. While the plaintiff has not yet given a final calculation of the damages sought, it appears that it seeks payment of approximately \$574,000, or 40% of the benefit it alleges was retained by Camden National Bank from The Steamship Navigation Company judgment in September 2004. The case was transferred to the Business Court in Portland and a motion to dismiss was filed with the court by Camden National Bank. On May 19, 2011, the court granted Camden National Bank's motion to dismiss the complaint. However, on June 8, 2011, Daniel G. Lilley Law Offices, P. A. filed an appeal to this ruling. The appeal is currently pending.

In March 2010, D&F Properties, LLC, Dumont's Pit Stop, Inc., Duane J. Dumont, and Frances Dumont collectively, ("Dumont") filed a counterclaim with the Kennebec Superior Court against Camden National Bank, an officer of Camden National Bank and other third parties alleging various claims including breach of contract, misrepresentation against Dumont and negligence. The counterclaim was filed in response to a foreclosure complaint filed by Camden National Bank against Dumont. The counterclaim, among other things, actual damages, punitive damages, interest, attorney fees and all other relief allowed under the law. No specific dollar amount of damages is stated in the counterclaim. The case was transferred to the Business Court in Portland and a motion for judgment on the pleadings has been filed with the court. Briefing on that motion has now been completed and oral argument was held on August 26, 2011. Camden National Bank has filed a motion to strike the demand for a jury trial that was the subject of a hearing on that same date. On October 3, 2011, the Court entered orders (a) granting in part and denying in part Camden National Bank's motion for judgment on the pleadings and (b) denying the motion to strike the demand for a jury trial. Thus, the case will proceed to further discovery and motions and a possible jury trial.

On September 29, 2011, Camden National Bank filed additional claims against several of the Dumont parties including counts for breach of contract on a note, as well as breach of Maine's fraudulent conveyance statute and common law fraud. No responsive pleading has yet been filed by the Dumont parties.

As of September 30, 2011, there are no loss contingencies that are both probable and estimable and, therefore, no accrued liability has been recognized.

Financial Instruments

In the normal course of business, the Company is a party to both on-and off-balance sheet financial instruments involving, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk and interest rate risk in addition to the amounts recognized in the

Consolidated Statements of Condition.

The following is a summary of the contractual and notional amounts of the Company's financial instruments:

| | September 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Lending-Related Instruments: | | |
| Loan origination commitments and unadvanced lines of credit: | | |
| Home equity | \$ 252,097 | \$ 249,193 |
| Commercial and commercial real estate | 13,977 | 15,348 |
| Residential | 2,958 | 3,356 |
| Letters of credit | 1,128 | 1,929 |
| Other commitments | 2,304 | 76 |
| Derivative Financial Instruments: | | |
| Forward commitments to sell residential mortgage loans | 3,077 | 9,355 |
| Derivative mortgage loan commitments | 2,765 | — |
| Customer loan swaps | 12,310 | — |
| Interest rate swaps | 43,000 | 30,000 |

Lending-Related Instruments

The contractual amounts of the Company's lending-related financial instruments do not necessarily represent future cash requirements since certain of these instruments may expire without being funded and others may not be fully drawn upon. These instruments are subject to the Company's credit approval process, including an evaluation of the customer's creditworthiness and related collateral requirements. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company uses derivative financial instruments for risk management purposes and not for trading or speculative purposes. The Company controls the credit risk of these instruments through collateral, credit approvals and monitoring procedures.

The Company has a notional amount of \$43.0 million in interest rate swap agreements on its junior subordinated debentures and \$11.2 million in cash held as collateral. The Company swapped the variable cost for a fixed cost and the terms of the interest rate swap agreements are as follows:

| | Notional Amount | Fixed Cost | Maturity Date |
|----|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
| \$ | 10,000 | 5.09 | % June 30, 2021 |
| | 10,000 | 5.84 | % June 30, 2029 |
| | 10,000 | 5.71 | % June 30, 2030 |
| | 5,000 | 4.35 | % June 30, 2031 |
| | 8,000 | 4.14 | % July 7, 2031 |

The fair value of the swap agreements on its junior subordinated debentures at September 30, 2011 was a liability of \$10.9 million and, as this instrument qualifies as a highly effective cash flow hedge, the change in fair value was recorded in other comprehensive income, net of tax, and other liabilities.

The Company has a notional amount of \$6.2 million in an interest rate swap agreement with a commercial customer and an interest rate swap agreement of an equal notional amount with a dealer bank related to the Company's commercial loan level derivative program. As the two swap agreements have substantially equivalent and offsetting terms, they do not materially change the Company's interest rate risk.

Forward Commitments to Sell Residential Mortgage Loans

The Company enters into forward commitments to sell residential mortgages in order to reduce the market risk associated with originating loans for sale in the secondary market. Commitments totaled \$3.1 million and \$9.4 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. At September 30, 2011, the commitment to sell loans was marked to market. No gain or loss was recognized as the commitment value approximated the market value at September 30, 2011.

As part of originating residential mortgage and commercial loans, the Company may enter into rate lock agreements with customers, and may issue commitment letters to customers, which are considered interest rate lock or forward commitments. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, based upon the pipeline of mortgage loans with rate lock commitments and commercial loans with commitment letters, and the change in fair value of those commitments due to changes in market interest rates, the Company determined the impact on the consolidated financial statement was not material.

NOTE 10 - ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The following table presents the components of accumulated other comprehensive income and the related tax effects allocated to each component for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010:

| | Before-Tax Amount | Tax Effect | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income |
|--|----------------------|------------|---|
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | \$ 10,552 | \$(3,687) | \$ 6,865 |
| Unrealized losses on securities available-for-sale: | | | |
| Change in fair value of securities arising during the period | 5,339 | (1,868) | 3,471 |
| Net security losses realized during the period | 405 | (a) (142) | 263 |
| Postretirement plans: | | | |
| Net actuarial loss | 23 | (8) | 15 |
| Net prior service amortization | 14 | (5) | 9 |
| Net change in fair value of cash flow hedges | (5,191) | 1,817 | (3,375) |
| Balance, September 30, 2010 | \$ 11,142 | \$(3,893) | \$ 7,248 |
| | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | \$ 6,660 | \$(2,331) | \$ 4,329 |
| Unrealized gains on securities available-for-sale: | | | |
| Change in fair value of securities arising during the period | 11,272 | (3,945) | 7,327 |
| Net security gains realized during the period | (109) | (a) 38 | (71) |
| Postretirement plans: | | | |
| Net actuarial loss | 51 | (17) | 34 |
| Net prior service cost amortization | 12 | (4) | 8 |
| Net change in fair value of cash flow hedges | (9,789) | 3,426 | (6,363) |
| Balance, September 30, 2011 | \$ 8,097 | \$(2,833) | \$ 5,264 |

(a) Net security (gains) losses include before-tax OTTI credit related losses of \$88,000 and \$217,000 for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

NOTE 11 – RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses

In July 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2010-20, Receivables (Topic 310): Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses. This ASU is intended to provide additional information to assist financial statement users in assessing an entity’s credit risk exposures and evaluating the adequacy of its allowance for credit losses. The guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after December 15, 2010. Other than requiring additional disclosures, adoption of this new guidance did not have a material effect on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

Troubled Debt Restructuring

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-02, Receivables (Topic 310): A Creditor’s Determination of whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring. The new guidance clarifies when a loan modification or restructuring is considered a troubled debt restructuring (“TDR”) in order to address current diversity in practice and lead to more consistent application of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In evaluating whether a restructuring constitutes a TDR, a creditor must separately conclude that the restructuring constitutes a concession and the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. Additionally, the guidance clarifies that a creditor is precluded from using the effective interest rate test in the debtor’s guidance on restructuring of payables when evaluating whether a restructuring constitutes a TDR. The guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after June 15, 2011. The adoption of this update did not have a significant impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

Transfer and Servicing

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-03, Transfer and Servicing (Topic 860): Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements. This ASU removes from the assessment of effective control the criterion relating to the transferor’s ability to repurchase or redeem financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee. The guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after December 15, 2011. The Company believes the adoption of this new guidance will not have a material effect on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

Fair Value Measurement

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRs. This ASU clarifies how to measure fair value, but does not require additional fair value measurement and is not intended to affect current valuation practices outside of financial reporting. However, additional information and disclosure will be required for transfers between Level 1 and Level 2, the sensitivity of a fair value measurement categorized as Level 3, and the categorization of items that are not measured at fair value by level of the fair value hierarchy. The guidance is effective during interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the clarifications provided in ASU No. 2011-04 on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

Comprehensive Income

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income. This ASU will require that all non-owner changes in shareholders’ equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In the two-statement approach, the first statement should present total net income and its components followed consecutively

by a second statement that should present total other comprehensive income, the components of other comprehensive income, and the total of comprehensive income. This guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Other than matters of presentation, the Company believes the adoption of this new guidance will not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Intangibles

In August 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment. This ASU permits an entity to first assess qualitative factors in determining whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for identifying whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test described in Topic 350. Previous guidance under Topic 350 required an entity to test goodwill for impairment, on at least an annual basis, by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill (step one). If the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then the second step of the test must be performed to measure the amount of the impairment loss, if any. Under the amendments in this ASU, an entity is not required to calculate the fair value of a reporting unit unless the entity determines that it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount. This guidance is effective for annual periods for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The Company believes the adoption of this new guidance will not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 12 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company has evaluated events and transactions subsequent to September 30, 2011 for potential recognition or disclosure as required by GAAP.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The discussions set forth below and in the documents we incorporate by reference herein contain certain statements that may be considered forward-looking statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 including certain plans, exceptions, goals, projections, and statements, which are subject to numerous risks, assumptions, and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements can identify forward-looking statements by the use of the words “believe,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “intend,” “estimate,” “assume,” “plan”, “target”, or “goal” or future or conditional verbs “will,” “may”, “might”, “should”, “could” and other expressions which predict or indicate future events or trends and which do not relate to historical matters. Forward-looking statements should not be relied on, because they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, some of which are beyond the control of Camden National. These risks, uncertainties and other factors may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of Camden National to be materially different from the anticipated future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements.

Some of the factors that might cause these differences include, but are not limited to, the following:

• general, national, regional or local economic conditions that are less favorable than anticipated, including continued global recession, impacting the performance of our investment portfolio, quality of credits or the overall demand for services;

- changes in loan default and charge-off rates, which could affect the allowance for credit losses;
- declines in the equity and financial markets, which could result in impairment of goodwill;

• reductions in deposit levels, which could necessitate increased and/or higher cost borrowing to fund loans and investments;

• declines in mortgage loan refinancing, equity loan and line of credit activity, which could reduce net interest and non-interest income;

• changes in the domestic interest rate environment and inflation, as substantially all of our assets and virtually all of our liabilities are monetary in nature;

- changes in carrying value of investment securities and other assets;

• further actions by the U.S. government and Treasury Department, similar to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation conservatorship, which could have a negative impact on our investment portfolio and earnings;

- misalignment of our interest-bearing assets and liabilities;
- increases in loan repayment rates affecting interest income and the value of mortgage servicing rights;

• changing business, banking, or regulatory conditions or policies, or new legislation affecting the financial services industry, such as the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, that could lead to changes in the competitive balance among financial institutions, restrictions on bank activities, changes in costs (including deposit insurance premiums), increased regulatory scrutiny, declines in consumer confidence in depository institutions, or

changes in the secondary market for bank loan and other products; and

changes in accounting rules, Federal and state laws, IRS regulations, and other regulations and policies governing financial holding companies and their subsidiaries which may impact our ability to take appropriate action to protect our financial interests in certain loan situations.

You should carefully review all of these factors, and be aware that there may be other factors that could cause differences, including the risk factors listed in Part II, Item 1A, "Risk Factors," and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Readers should carefully review the risk factors described therein and should not place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

In preparing the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements, management is required to make significant estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses. Actual results could differ from our current estimates, as a result of changing conditions and future events. Several estimates are particularly critical and are susceptible to significant near-term change, including the allowance for credit losses, accounting for acquisitions and our review of goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets for impairment, valuation of other real estate owned, other-than-temporary impairment of investments, accounting for postretirement plans, and income taxes. Our significant accounting policies and critical estimates are summarized in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Allowance for Credit Losses. The allowance for credit losses consists of two components: (1) the allowance for loan losses ("ALL") which is present as a contra to total gross loans in the asset section of the balance sheet, and (2) the reserve for unfunded commitments included in other liabilities on the balance sheet. In preparing the Consolidated Financial Statements, the ALL requires the most significant amount of management estimates and assumptions. The ALL, which is established through a charge to the provision for credit losses, is based on our evaluation of the level of the allowance required in relation to the estimated loss exposure in the loan portfolio. We regularly evaluate the ALL for adequacy by taking into consideration, among other factors, local industry trends, management's ongoing review of individual loans, trends in levels of watched or criticized assets, an evaluation of results of examinations by regulatory authorities and other third parties, analyses of historical trends in charge-offs and delinquencies, the character and size of the loan portfolio, business and economic conditions and our estimation of probable losses.

In determining the appropriate level of ALL, we use a methodology to systematically measure the amount of estimated loan loss exposure inherent in the loan portfolio. The methodology includes four elements: (1) identification of loss allocations for specific loans, (2) loss allocation factors for certain loan types based on credit grade and loss experience, (3) general loss allocations for other environmental factors, and (4) the unallocated portion of the allowance. The specific loan component relates to loans that are classified as doubtful, substandard or special mention. For such loans that are also classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value or observable market price) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. This methodology is in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We use a risk rating system to determine the credit quality of our loans and apply the related loss allocation factors. In assessing the risk rating of a particular loan, we consider, among other factors, the obligor's debt capacity, financial condition and flexibility, the level of the obligor's earnings, the amount and sources of repayment, the performance with respect to loan terms, the adequacy of collateral, the level and nature of contingencies, management strength, and the industry in which the obligor operates. These factors are based on an evaluation of historical information, as well as a subjective assessment and interpretation of current conditions. Emphasizing one factor over another, or considering additional factors that may be relevant in determining the risk rating of a particular loan but which are not currently an explicit part of our methodology, could impact the risk rating assigned to that loan. We periodically reassess and revise the loss allocation factors used in the assignment of loss exposure to appropriately reflect our analysis of loss experience. Portfolios of more homogenous populations of loans including home equity and consumer loans are analyzed as groups taking into account delinquency rates and other economic conditions which may affect the ability of borrowers to meet debt service requirements, including interest rates and energy costs. We also consider the results of regulatory examinations, historical loss ranges, portfolio composition, and other changes in the portfolio. An additional allocation is determined based on a judgmental process whereby management considers qualitative and quantitative assessments of other environmental factors. For example, a significant portion of our loan portfolio is concentrated among borrowers in southern Maine and a substantial portion of the portfolio is collateralized by real estate in this area. A portion of the commercial and commercial real estate loans are also to borrowers in the

hospitality, tourism and recreation industries. Finally, an unallocated portion of the total allowance is maintained to allow for measurement imprecision attributable to uncertainty in the economic environment.

Because the methodology is based upon historical experience and trends as well as management's judgment, factors may arise that result in different estimations. Significant factors that could give rise to changes in these estimates may include, but are not limited to, changes in economic conditions in our market area, concentration of risk, declines in local property values, and the results of regulatory examinations. While management's evaluation of the ALL as of September 30, 2011 determined the allowance to be appropriate, under adversely different conditions or assumptions, we may need to increase the allowance. The Risk Management Group reviews the ALL with Camden National Bank's Board of Directors on a monthly basis. A more comprehensive review of the ALL is reviewed with the Company's Board of Directors, as well as Camden National Bank's Board of Directors, on a quarterly basis.

The adequacy of the reserve for unfunded commitments is determined similarly to the ALL, with the exception that management must also estimate the likelihood of these commitments being funded and becoming loans. This is accomplished by evaluating the historical utilization of each type of unfunded commitment and estimating the likelihood that the historical utilization rates could change in the future.

Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets for Impairment. We record all assets and liabilities acquired in purchase acquisitions at fair value, which is an estimate determined by the use of internal or other valuation techniques. These valuation estimates result in goodwill and other intangible assets and are subject to ongoing periodic impairment tests and are evaluated using various fair value techniques. Goodwill impairment evaluations are required to be performed annually and may be required more frequently if certain conditions indicating potential impairment exist. Identifiable intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful lives and are subject to impairment tests if events or circumstances indicate a possible inability to realize the carrying amount. If we were to determine that our goodwill was impaired, the recognition of an impairment charge could have an adverse impact on our results of operations in the period that the impairment occurred or on our financial position. Goodwill is evaluated for impairment using several standard valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analyses, as well as an estimation of the impact of business conditions. The use of different estimates or assumptions could produce different estimates of carrying value.

Valuation of Other Real Estate Owned (“OREO”). Periodically, we acquire property in connection with foreclosures or in satisfaction of debt previously contracted. The valuation of this property is accounted for individually based on its fair value on the date of acquisition. At the acquisition date, if the fair value of the property less the costs to sell is less than the book value of the loan, a charge or reduction in the ALL is recorded. If the value of the property becomes permanently impaired, as determined by an appraisal or an evaluation in accordance with our appraisal policy, we will record the decline by charging against current earnings. Upon acquisition of a property, we use a current appraisal or broker’s opinion to substantiate fair value for the property.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment (“OTTI”) of Investments. We record an investment impairment charge at the point we believe an investment has experienced a decline in value that is other-than-temporary. In determining whether an OTTI has occurred, we review information about the underlying investment that is publicly available, analysts’ reports, applicable industry data and other pertinent information, and assess our ability to hold the securities for the foreseeable future. The investment is written down to its current market value at the time the impairment is deemed to have occurred. Future adverse changes in market conditions, continued poor operating results of underlying investments or other factors could result in further losses that may not be reflected in an investment’s current carrying value, possibly requiring an additional impairment charge in the future.

Effectiveness of Hedging Derivatives. The Company maintains an overall interest rate risk management strategy that incorporates the use of interest rate contracts, which are generally non-leveraged generic interest rate and basis swaps, to minimize significant fluctuations in earnings that are caused by interest rate volatility. Interest rate contracts are used by the Company in the management of its interest rate risk position. The Company’s goal is to manage interest rate sensitivity so that movements in interest rates do not significantly adversely affect earnings. When interest rates fluctuate, hedged assets and liabilities appreciate or depreciate in fair value. Gains or losses on the derivative instruments that are linked to the hedged assets and liabilities are expected to substantially offset this unrealized appreciation or depreciation. The Company utilizes a third-party service to evaluate the effectiveness of its cash flow hedges on a quarterly basis. The effective portion of a gain or loss on a cash flow hedge is recorded in other comprehensive income, net of tax, and other assets or other liabilities on the Consolidated Statements of Condition. The ineffective portions of cash flow hedging transactions are included in “other income” in the Consolidated Statements of Income, if material.

Accounting for Postretirement Plans. We use a December 31 measurement date to determine the expenses for our postretirement plans and related financial disclosure information. Postretirement plan expense is sensitive to changes in the number of eligible employees (and their related demographics) and to changes in the discount rate and other expected rates, such as medical cost trends rates. As with the computations on plan expense, cash contribution requirements are also sensitive to such changes.

Stock-Based Compensation. The fair value of restricted stock and stock options is determined on the date of grant and amortized to compensation expense, with a corresponding increase in common stock, over the longer of the service period or performance period, but in no event beyond an employee's retirement date. For performance-based restricted stock, we estimate the degree to which performance conditions will be met to determine the number of shares that will vest and the related compensation expense. Compensation expense is adjusted in the period such estimates change. Non-forfeitable dividends, if any, paid on shares of restricted stock are recorded to retained earnings for shares that are expected to vest and to compensation expense for shares that are not expected to vest.

Income Taxes. We account for income taxes by deferring income taxes based on the estimated future tax effects of differences between the tax and book basis of assets and liabilities considering the provisions of enacted tax laws. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included in the Consolidated Statements of Condition. We must also assess the likelihood that any deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income and establish a valuation allowance for those assets determined not likely to be recoverable. Judgment is required in determining the amount and timing of recognition of the resulting deferred tax assets and liabilities, including projections of future taxable income. Although we have determined a valuation allowance is not required for all deferred tax assets, there is no guarantee that these assets will be realized. Although not currently under review, income tax returns for the years ended December 31, 2008 through 2010 are open to audit by federal and Maine authorities. If we, as a result of an audit, were assessed interest and penalties, the amounts would be recorded through other non-interest expense.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Executive Overview

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011:

Net income of \$20.3 million increased \$2.0 million, compared to the nine month period ended September 30, 2010. Net income per diluted share increased to \$2.65, compared to \$2.39 per diluted share earned during the first nine months of 2010. The following were major factors contributing to the results of the first nine months of 2011 compared to the same period of 2010:

• Net interest income on a fully-taxable equivalent basis increased 2% to \$57.7 million due to a decrease in the total cost of funds of 37 basis points and an increase in average earning assets of \$66.9 million, partially offset by a decline in the net interest margin to 3.55% from 3.60%.

• The provision for credit losses of \$3.3 million decreased \$2.0 million compared to the same period of 2010 due primarily to asset quality stabilization.

• Net charge-offs totaled \$2.6 million, or an annualized rate of 0.22% of average loans, compared to \$3.2 million, or 0.27% of average loans, for the same period of 2010.

- Non-interest income increased to \$15.9 million, a 1% increase from the first nine months of 2010.
- Non-interest expense of \$39.9 million increased \$626,000, or 2%, compared to the first nine months of 2010.

For the three months ended September 30, 2011:

Net income of \$6.9 million decreased \$512,000 compared to the three month period ended September 30, 2010. Net income per diluted share decreased to \$0.90, compared to \$0.97 per diluted share earned during the same three months of 2010. The following were major factors contributing to the results of the third quarter of 2011 compared to the same period of 2010:

• Net interest income on a fully-taxable equivalent basis decreased 2% to \$18.9 million compared to the same period of 2010.

• The provision for credit losses of \$1.2 million decreased \$109,000 compared to the same period of 2010 as credit trends continued to show signs of stabilization.

• Non-interest income of \$5.8 million decreased \$974,000, or 14%, compared to the same period of 2010, primarily related to the \$2.0 million in proceeds received from a legal settlement during the third quarter of 2010.

- Non-interest expense of \$13.3 million decreased \$152,000, or 1%, compared to the third quarter of 2010.

Financial condition at September 30, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010:

• Total loans (excluding loans held for sale) decreased \$12.4 million to \$1.5 billion, which primarily related to the impact of soft economic conditions on loan demand and the sale of thirty-year fixed rate mortgages within the residential real estate portfolio as a result of the current low interest rate environment.

- Investment securities increased \$2.3 million to \$613.9 million.

Deposits increased \$125.4 million to \$1.6 billion due to strong growth in core deposits of 16%, partially offset by a decline in retail certificates of deposit.

Shareholders' equity increased 8% due to current year earnings and other comprehensive income, in part offset by dividends declared.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the interest earned on loans, securities, and other earning assets, plus loan fees, less the interest paid on interest-bearing deposits and borrowings. Net interest income, which is our largest source of revenue and accounts for approximately 80% of total revenues, is affected by factors including, but not limited to: changes in interest rates, loan and deposit pricing strategies and competitive conditions, the volume and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, and the level of non-performing assets.

Net interest income was \$57.7 million on a fully-taxable equivalent basis for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$56.6 million for the first nine months of 2010. The increase in net interest income is primarily related to the decrease in total cost of funds of 37 basis points due to a favorable change in our funding mix combined with continued reduction in interest rates on deposit and wholesale funding and an increase in our average earning assets of \$66.9 million, partially offset by a decline in our net interest margin to 3.55% from 3.60% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The yield on earning assets for the first nine months of 2011 decreased 41 basis points compared to the same period in 2010, reflecting the impact of the low interest rate environment on both investment and loan yields as these earning assets were booked or repriced. Balance sheet growth was funded primarily by growth in average core deposits (demand deposits, interest checking, savings and money market accounts) of \$105.9 million, or 12%. Average balances on certificates of deposit declined \$87.4 million as the Company utilized lower cost wholesale funding sources.

The following table presents, for the periods noted, average balances, interest income, interest expense, and the corresponding average yields earned and rates paid, as well as net interest income, net interest rate spread and net interest margin:

Average Balance, Interest and Yield/Rate Analysis

| (Dollars in Thousands) | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 | | |
|---|---|----------|----------------|---|----------|----------------|
| | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/ Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/ Rate |
| ASSETS | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Securities – taxable | \$568,477 | \$14,346 | 3.36 % | \$497,312 | \$15,434 | 4.14 % |
| Securities – nontaxable (1) | 45,220 | 1,975 | 5.82 % | 55,047 | 2,463 | 5.97 % |
| Trading account assets | 2,256 | 20 | 1.16 % | 1,895 | 16 | 1.13 % |
| Loans (1)(2) : | | | | | | |
| Residential real estate | 593,072 | 22,799 | 5.13 % | 623,409 | 25,125 | 5.37 % |
| Commercial real estate | 465,988 | 19,302 | 5.46 % | 440,720 | 19,039 | 5.70 % |
| Commercial | 177,952 | 6,904 | 5.12 % | 175,689 | 7,236 | 5.43 % |
| Municipal | 20,967 | 719 | 4.58 % | 16,417 | 675 | 5.50 % |
| Consumer | 281,608 | 9,769 | 4.64 % | 278,116 | 9,887 | 4.75 % |
| Total loans | 1,539,587 | 59,493 | 5.13 % | 1,534,351 | 61,962 | 5.36 % |
| Total interest-earning assets | 2,155,540 | 75,834 | 4.67 % | 2,088,605 | 79,875 | 5.08 % |
| Cash and due from banks | 32,540 | | | 33,930 | | |
| Other assets | 155,105 | | | 162,127 | | |
| Less: allowance for loan losses | (22,822) | | | (21,913) | | |
| Total assets | \$2,320,363 | | | \$2,262,749 | | |
| LIABILITIES & SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Interest checking accounts | \$252,637 | 411 | 0.22 % | \$249,441 | 681 | 0.36 % |
| Savings accounts | 169,586 | 314 | 0.25 % | 153,781 | 358 | 0.31 % |
| Money market accounts | 331,936 | 1,777 | 0.75 % | 285,972 | 1,781 | 0.83 % |
| Certificates of deposit | 441,394 | 4,876 | 1.48 % | 528,784 | 7,694 | 1.95 % |
| Total retail deposits | 1,195,553 | 7,378 | 0.83 % | 1,217,978 | 10,514 | 1.15 % |
| Brokered deposits | 122,788 | 1,442 | 1.57 % | 104,135 | 1,298 | 1.67 % |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 43,653 | 1,983 | 6.07 % | 43,553 | 2,108 | 6.47 % |
| Borrowings | 479,949 | 7,319 | 2.04 % | 477,023 | 9,357 | 2.62 % |
| Total wholesale funding | 646,390 | 10,744 | 2.22 % | 624,711 | 12,763 | 2.73 % |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 1,841,943 | 18,122 | 1.32 % | 1,842,689 | 23,277 | 1.69 % |
| Demand deposits | 241,480 | | | 200,515 | | |
| Other liabilities | 23,296 | | | 22,197 | | |
| Shareholders' equity | 213,644 | | | 197,348 | | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$2,320,363 | | | \$2,262,749 | | |
| Net interest income (fully-taxable equivalent) | | 57,712 | | | 56,598 | |
| Less: fully-taxable equivalent adjustment | | (943) | | | (1,099) | |
| Net interest income | | \$56,769 | | | \$55,499 | |
| Net interest rate spread (fully-taxable equivalent) | | | 3.35 % | | | 3.39 % |
| Net interest margin (fully-taxable equivalent) | | | 3.55 % | | | 3.60 % |

(1) Reported on tax-equivalent basis calculated using a tax rate of 35%.

(2) Loans held for sale and non-accrual loans are included in total average loans.

Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses is a recorded expense determined by management that adjusts the allowance for loan losses to a level, which, in management's best estimate, is necessary to absorb probable losses within the existing loan portfolio. The provision for loan losses reflects loan quality trends, including, among other factors, the levels of and trends related to non-accrual loans, past due loans, potential problem loans, criticized loans, net charge-offs or recoveries and growth in the loan portfolio. Accordingly, the amount of the provision reflects both the necessary increases in the allowance for loan losses related to newly identified criticized loans, as well as the actions taken related to other loans including, among other things, any necessary increases or decreases in required allowances for specific loans or loan pools. The provision for credit losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 totaled \$3.3 million, compared with \$5.2 million for the same period in 2010. Please see to the caption "Asset Quality" located below for additional discussion regarding the allowance for loan losses.

Non-Interest Income

Non-interest income represents 22% of total revenues (net interest income and non-interest income), before net securities gains, losses and OTTI, for both the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Non-interest income of \$15.9 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2011 increased by \$153,000, or 1%, compared to \$15.8 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2010. The following table presents the components of non-interest income:

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 |
| Income from fiduciary services | \$1,517 | \$1,618 | \$4,503 | \$4,697 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 1,296 | 1,151 | 3,879 | 3,716 |
| Other service charges and fees | 878 | 945 | 2,691 | 2,507 |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 910 | 401 | 1,784 | 1,119 |
| Brokerage and insurance commissions | 307 | 419 | 1,050 | 1,065 |
| Mortgage banking income | 368 | 160 | 500 | 332 |
| Net gain (loss) on sale of securities | 177 | (188) | 197 | (188) |
| Other income | 433 | 2,331 | 1,433 | 2,765 |
| Non-interest income before other-than-temporary impairment of securities | 5,886 | 6,837 | 16,037 | 16,013 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment of securities | (61) | (38) | (88) | (217) |
| Total non-interest income | \$5,825 | \$6,799 | \$15,949 | \$15,796 |

The significant changes in non-interest income between the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 include:

- Decrease in other income of \$1.3 million, related to proceeds of \$2.0 million received from a legal settlement during the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

• Increase in income on bank-owned life insurance of \$665,000, primarily related to revenue recorded from insurance proceeds.

- Net gain on sale of securities increased \$385,000 and OTTI decreased \$129,000.

Non-interest income for the three month periods ended September 30, 2011, and September 30, 2010 totaled \$5.8 million and \$6.8 million, respectively. The significant changes between these periods include:

• Decrease in other income of \$1.9 million, related to proceeds from a \$2.0 million legal settlement received during the three months ended September 30, 2010.

- Net gain on sale of securities increased \$365,000.

• Increase in income on bank-owned life insurance of \$509,000, primarily related to revenue recorded from insurance proceeds.

Non-Interest Expenses

Non-interest expenses increased \$626,000, or 2%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The following table presents the components of non-interest expense:

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$7,437 | \$6,949 | \$21,402 | \$19,472 |
| Furniture, equipment and data processing | 1,149 | 1,150 | 3,518 | 3,396 |
| Net occupancy | 944 | 899 | 2,960 | 2,830 |
| Consulting and professional fees | 601 | 591 | 2,143 | 1,929 |
| Regulatory assessments | 410 | 832 | 1,515 | 2,149 |
| OREO and collection costs | 517 | 636 | 1,423 | 2,768 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 144 | 144 | 433 | 432 |
| Other expenses | 2,105 | 2,258 | 6,470 | 6,262 |
| Total non-interest expenses | \$13,307 | \$13,459 | \$39,864 | \$39,238 |

The significant changes in non-interest expenses between the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 include:

- Increase in salaries and employee benefits of \$1.9 million, or 10%, primarily related to increases in employees' incentive compensation of \$1.3 million based on our financial performance, which exceeded the benchmarks determined by the Board of Directors. The 2010 employee incentive plans were reduced by 50% in response to last year's weak economic conditions and market uncertainties.

- Decrease in costs associated with foreclosure and collection costs and expenses on OREO of \$1.3 million, or 49%, due to a decrease in losses on OREO sales.

- Decrease in regulatory assessments of \$634,000, or 30%, related to a decrease in the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation deposit assessment fee.

- Increase in consulting and professional fees of \$214,000, or 11%, primarily related to the engagement of an outside consultant to facilitate an in-depth review of our processes in order to streamline our business and build capacity for the long-term.

Non-interest expenses decreased \$152,000, or 1%, for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The significant changes between these periods include:

- Increase in salaries and employee benefits of \$488,000, or 7%, reflect an increase in employees' incentive compensation of \$326,000, based on our 2011 financial performance, which exceeded the benchmarks determined by the Board of Directors.

- Decrease in OREO and collection cost of \$119,000, or 19%, related to a decrease in OREO write-downs of \$138,000.

- Decrease in other expense of \$153,000, or 7%, primarily related to debit card expense and website maintenance.

-

Decrease in regulatory assessments of \$422,000, or 51%, related to the decrease in the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation deposit assessment fee.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Overview

Total assets of \$2.3 billion at September 30, 2011 increased \$40.7 million compared to December 31, 2010. Total loans of \$1.5 billion at September 30, 2011, decreased \$17.2 million, or 1%, compared to December 31, 2010. The decrease was primarily related to the decline in the residential real estate loan portfolio of \$16.0 million resulting from the Company's sale of all of its thirty-year fixed rate mortgage production given the current low interest rate environment. We have experienced mixed loan growth results since year-end with commercial loans growing 6%, commercial real estate loans decreasing 1% and consumer loan balances declining 8%.

Total deposits of \$1.6 billion at September 30, 2011 increased \$125.4 million, or 8%, compared to December 31, 2010. Since year-end, we have experienced strong core deposit growth of \$150.8 million, or 16%, while retail certificates of deposit declined \$47.2 million, or 10%. The growth in checking, savings and money market accounts is primarily due to a combination of businesses and individuals maintaining higher balances in short-term deposits, the acquisition of several large deposit relationships and the typical seasonal inflow of deposits during the third quarter of each year.

During the first nine months of 2011, average assets of \$2.3 billion increased \$57.6 million, compared to the same period in 2010. This increase was primarily the result of an increase in average investments of \$61.3 million and average loans of \$5.2 million. Average interest bearing liabilities decreased \$746,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same period of 2010; however, the deposit mix changed with an increase in average core deposits (interest checking, savings and money market accounts) of \$65.0 million and average wholesale funding (including brokered deposits) of \$21.7 million, partially offset by a decline in average retail certificates of deposit of \$87.4 million. In addition, average demand deposit balances grew \$41.0 million. Average shareholders' equity increased \$16.3 million, which was primarily the result of retained earnings and other comprehensive income, partially offset by dividends declared to shareholders.

Investment Securities

Investments in securities of U.S. government sponsored enterprises, states and political subdivisions, mortgage-backed securities, Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") and Federal Reserve Bank ("FRB") stock, investment grade corporate bonds and equities are used to diversify our revenues, to provide interest rate and credit risk diversification and to provide for liquidity and funding needs. Total investment security balances at September 30, 2011 of \$613.9 million increased \$2.3 million from December 31, 2010. At December 31, 2010, we held investment securities in both the available-for-sale and held-to-maturity categories. During the first quarter of 2011, \$36.1 million of municipal bonds that had been previously classified as held-to-maturity at purchase were moved to the available-for-sale category. This change reflects management's decision during the first quarter of 2011 to more actively manage these investments in changing economic environments.

Unrealized gains or losses on securities classified as available-for-sale are recorded as adjustments to shareholders' equity, net of related deferred income taxes and are a component of other comprehensive income in the Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity. At September 30, 2011, we had \$13.5 million of unrealized gains on securities available-for-sale, net of deferred taxes, compared to \$6.2 million of unrealized gains, net of deferred taxes, at December 31, 2010.

Within our available-for-sale portfolio, we hold senior tranches of non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations ("CMOs"), which were rated Triple-A by Moody's, S&P and/or Fitch at the time of purchase. At September 30, 2011, six of our CMOs were non-investment grade, had fair values of \$10.1 million, and unrealized losses of \$1.8 million. Management believes the unrealized losses for the CMOs are primarily a reflection of the lack of liquidity in the market and do not reflect the credit quality. The Bank evaluates the unrealized losses within its portfolio each quarter

to determine if the impairment is other-than-temporary.

Included in the analyses is a base case scenario as well as several stress tests scenarios, which determine expected cash flows and forecast potential losses. Stress tests are performed monthly on the higher risk bonds using current statistical data to determine expected cash flows and forecast potential losses. Information on the securities is derived from the Bloomberg and FTM models. These analyses allow the Bank to track loan data, performance data for the individual tranche and the entire issue and prepayment history. The base case uses both current data and historical performance and provides a basis for determining if a credit loss is projected during the life of the bond. Inputs in the base case are modified when deemed appropriate to better reflect future expected cash flows. Based on the results of this analysis, the Company recorded a \$61,000 OTTI write-down during the third quarter of 2011.

At September 30, 2011, the Company held Duff & Phelps Select Income Fund Auction Preferred Stock with an amortized cost of \$5.0 million which has failed at auction. The security is rated Triple-A by Moody's and Standard and Poor's. Management believes the failed auctions are a temporary liquidity event related to this asset class of securities. The Company is currently collecting all amounts due according to contractual terms and has the ability and intent to hold the securities until they clear auction, are called, or mature; therefore, the securities are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired.

Federal Home Loan Bank Stock

We are required to maintain a level of investment in FHLB of Boston (“FHLBB”) stock based on the level of our FHLB advances. As of September 30, 2011, our investment in FHLB stock totaled \$21.0 million. No market exists for shares of the FHLB. FHLB stock may be redeemed at par value five years following termination of FHLB membership, subject to limitations which may be imposed by the FHLB or its regulator, the Federal Housing Finance Board, to maintain capital adequacy of the FHLB. While we currently have no intention to terminate our FHLB membership, the ability to redeem our investment in FHLB stock would be subject to the conditions imposed by the FHLB. In early 2009, the FHLBB advised its members that it was focused on preserving capital in response to ongoing market volatility. Accordingly, payments of quarterly dividends were suspended for 2009 and 2010 and the FHLBB placed a moratorium on excess stock repurchases from its members. The FHLBB commenced quarterly dividends in 2011 at a current annual yield of approximately the daily average of the three-month LIBOR yield.

Loans

At September 30, 2011, total loans of \$1.5 billion (including loans held-for-sale) decreased \$17.2 million from December 31, 2010, primarily due to a \$16.0 million decline in the residential real estate portfolio, resulting from the \$16.6 million sale of thirty-year fixed-rate mortgages and partially offset by an increase in commercial real estate and other commercial loans of \$4.8 million.

Asset Quality

Non-Performing Assets. Non-performing assets include non-accrual loans, accruing loans 90 days or more past due, renegotiated loans and property acquired through foreclosure or repossession.

The following table sets forth the amount of our non-performing assets as of the dates indicated:

| (Dollars in Thousands) | September 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|-------|---|
| Non-accrual loans | | | | |
| Residential real estate | \$ 9,060 | \$ 7,225 | | |
| Commercial real estate | 9,596 | 6,072 | | |
| Commercial | 4,278 | 4,421 | | |
| Consumer | 1,502 | 1,721 | | |
| Total non-accrual loans | 24,436 | 19,439 | | |
| Accruing loans past due 90 days | — | 711 | | |
| Renegotiated loans not included above | 3,310 | 2,295 | | |
| Total non-performing loans | 27,746 | 22,445 | | |
| Other real estate owned | 1,759 | 2,387 | | |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ 29,505 | \$ 24,832 | | |
| Non-performing loans to total loans | 1.83 | % | 1.47 | % |
| Allowance for credit losses to non-performing loans | 83.03 | % | 99.44 | % |
| Non-performing assets to total assets | 1.26 | % | 1.08 | % |
| Allowance for credit losses to non-performing assets | 78.08 | % | 89.88 | % |

Potential Problem Loans. Potential problem loans consist of classified accruing commercial and commercial real estate loans that were between 30 and 89 days past due. Such loans are characterized by weaknesses in the financial condition of our borrowers or collateral deficiencies. Based on historical experience, the credit quality of some of these loans may improve due to changes in collateral values or the financial condition of the borrowers, while the credit quality of other loans may deteriorate, resulting in some amount of loss. These loans are not included in the

above analysis of non-accrual loans. At September 30, 2011, potential problem loans amounted to approximately \$1.5 million, or 0.10% of total loans, compared to \$1.8 million, or 0.12% of total loans, at December 31, 2010.

Past Due Loans. Past due loans consist of accruing loans that were between 30 and 89 days past due. The following table sets forth information concerning the past due loans at the dates indicated:

| (Dollars in Thousands) | September 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------|-------|
| Loans 30-89 days past due: | | | |
| Residential real estate loans | \$ 1,447 | \$ | 2,493 |
| Commercial real estate | 1,149 | 1,439 | |
| Commercial loans | 1,226 | 928 | |
| Consumer loans | 505 | 926 | |
| Total loans 30-89 days past due | \$ 4,327 | \$ | 5,786 |
| Loans 30-89 days past due to total loans | 0.29 | % | 0.38 |
| | | | % |

Allowance for Loan Losses. We use a methodology to systematically measure the amount of estimated loan loss exposure inherent in the loan portfolio for purposes of establishing a sufficient ALL. The ALL is management's best estimate of the probable loan losses as of the balance sheet date. The allowance is increased by provisions charged to earnings and by recoveries of amounts previously charged-off, and is reduced by charge-offs on loans. During the first nine months of 2011, there were no significant changes to the allowance assessment methodology; however, we aggregated certain categories of loans with similar characteristics to more closely reflect the process by which management collectively evaluates loans for impairment.

The following table sets forth information concerning the activity in our ALL during the periods indicated:

| (Dollars in Thousands) | Nine Months Ended | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------|--------|---|
| | 2011 | 2010 | | |
| Allowance at the beginning of the period | \$22,293 | \$20,246 | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 3,270 | 5,242 | | |
| Charge-offs: | | | | |
| Residential real estate loans | 1,036 | 1,103 | | |
| Commercial real estate | 946 | 844 | | |
| Commercial loans | 1,080 | 1,098 | | |
| Consumer loans | 355 | 760 | | |
| Total loan charge-offs | 3,417 | 3,805 | | |
| Recoveries: | | | | |
| Residential real estate loans | 114 | 220 | | |
| Commercial real estate loans | 307 | 30 | | |
| Commercial loans | 239 | 208 | | |
| Consumer loans | 205 | 195 | | |
| Total loan recoveries | 865 | 653 | | |
| Net charge-offs | (2,552) | (3,152) | | |
| Allowance at the end of the period | \$23,011 | \$22,336 | | |
| Components of allowance for credit losses: | | | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$23,011 | \$22,336 | | |
| Liability for unfunded credit commitments | 26 | 47 | | |
| Balance of allowance for credit losses at end of the period | \$23,037 | \$22,383 | | |
| Average loans outstanding | \$1,539,587 | \$1,534,351 | | |
| Net charge-offs (annualized) to average loans outstanding | 0.22 | % | 0.27 | % |
| Provision for credit losses (annualized) to average loans outstanding | 0.16 | % | 0.26 | % |
| Allowance for credit losses to total loans | 1.52 | % | 1.45 | % |
| Allowance for credit losses to net charge-offs (annualized) | 677.13 | % | 532.63 | % |
| Allowance for credit losses to non-performing loans | 83.03 | % | 106.10 | % |
| Allowance for credit losses to non-performing assets | 78.08 | % | 94.34 | % |

During the first nine months of 2011, the Company provided \$3.3 million of expense to the ALL compared to \$5.2 million for the same period of 2010. The determination of an appropriate level of ALL, and subsequent provision for loan losses, which affects earnings, is based on our analysis of various economic factors and our review of the loan portfolio, which may change due to numerous factors including, but not limited to, loan growth, payoffs of lower quality loans, recoveries on previously charged-off loans, improvement in the financial condition of the borrowers,

risk rating downgrades/upgrades and charge-offs. We utilize a comprehensive approach toward determining the ALL, which includes an expanded risk rating system to assist us in identifying the risks being undertaken, as well as migration within the overall loan portfolio. Non-performing assets as a percentage of total assets increased to 1.26 % at September 30, 2011 compared to 1.03% and 1.08% at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2010, respectively, as a result of an increase in non-accrual loans. Our local economy continues to experience a decline in retail sales, rising unemployment, and an overall decline in real estate values. We believe the ALL of \$ 23.0 million, or 1.52% of total loans outstanding and 83.03% of total non-performing loans at September 30, 2011, was appropriate given the current economic conditions in our service area and the condition of the loan portfolio, although, if conditions continue to deteriorate, the provision will likely be increased. The ALL was 1.45% of total loans outstanding and 106.10% of total non-performing loans at September 30, 2010, and 1.46% of total loans outstanding and 99.44% of total non-performing loans at December 31, 2010.

Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity

Total liabilities increased \$24.8 million, or 1%, since December 31, 2010, to \$2.1 billion at September 30, 2011. Total deposits, including brokered deposits, increased \$125.4 million since December 31, 2010, resulting from increases in interest checking, savings and money market balances of \$101.4 million, demand deposits of \$49.4 million, and brokered deposits of \$21.9 million, partially offset by a decline in retail certificates of deposit of \$47.2 million. Borrowings decreased \$110.3 million, which was comprised primarily of an \$87.3 million decrease in advances from the FHLB and a decrease in other borrowings of \$23.0 million, comprised mainly of decreased short-term borrowings.

Total shareholders' equity increased \$15.9 million, or 8%, since December 31, 2010, which was primarily a result of current year earnings of \$20.3 million, and an increase in other comprehensive income of \$935,000, offset by dividends declared to shareholders of \$5.8 million.

The following table presents certain information regarding shareholders' equity as of or for the periods indicated:

| | As of or For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 | | As of or For the Year Ended December 31, 2010 | |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Return on average equity | 12.73 | % | 12.42 | % |
| Average equity to average assets | 9.21 | % | 8.77 | % |
| Dividend payout ratio | 28.34 | % | 30.95 | % |
| Dividends declared per share | \$ 0.75 | | \$ 1.00 | |
| Book value per share | 28.91 | | 26.90 | |

LIQUIDITY

Our liquidity needs require the availability of cash to meet the withdrawal demands of depositors and credit commitments to borrowers. Liquidity is defined as our ability to maintain availability of funds to meet customer needs, as well as to support our asset base. The primary objective of liquidity management is to maintain a balance between sources and uses of funds to meet our cash flow needs in the most economical and expedient manner. Due to the potential for unexpected fluctuations in both deposits and loans, active management of liquidity is necessary. We maintain various sources of funding and levels of liquid assets in excess of regulatory guidelines in order to satisfy their varied liquidity demands. We monitor liquidity in accordance with internal guidelines and all applicable regulatory requirements. As of September 30, 2011 and 2010, our level of liquidity exceeded target levels. We believe that we currently have appropriate liquidity available to respond to liquidity demands. Sources of funds that we utilize consist of deposits, borrowings from the FHLB and other sources, cash flows from operations, prepayments and maturities of outstanding loans, investments and mortgage-backed securities and the sales of mortgage loans.

Deposits continue to represent our primary source of funds. For the first nine months of 2011, average deposits (including brokered deposits) of \$1.6 billion increased \$37.2 million compared to the same period of 2010. Comparing average deposits for the first nine months of 2011 to the same period of 2010, we experienced growth in the average balances of money market accounts of \$46.0 million, demand deposits of \$41.0 million, brokered deposits of \$18.7 million, savings of \$15.8 million, and interest checking of \$3.2 million, while average retail certificates of deposit balances declined \$87.4 million, respectively. Included in the money market and interest checking deposit categories are deposits from our wealth management subsidiary, Acadia Trust, N.A., which represent client funds. Balance in the Acadia Trust, N.A. client accounts, which totaled \$97.9 million on September 30, 2011, fluctuate with changes in the portfolios of the clients of Acadia Trust, N.A. The movement from retail certificates of deposit to other core deposit categories reflects customers continuing shift to more liquid deposit instruments given the current low interest rate environment.

Borrowings are used to supplement deposits as a source of liquidity. In addition to borrowings from the FHLB, we purchase federal funds, sell securities under agreements to repurchase and utilize Treasury Tax and Loan accounts. Average borrowings and long-term debt for the first nine months of 2011 was \$523.6 million, an increase of \$3.0 million from the first nine months of 2010. We secure borrowings from the FHLB, whose advances remain the largest non-deposit-related funding source, with qualified residential real estate loans, certain investment securities and certain other assets available to be pledged. The carrying value of loans pledged as collateral at the FHLB was \$689.6 million and \$719.0 million at September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The carrying value of securities pledged as collateral at the FHLB was \$9.3 million and \$79.5 million at September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Through Camden National Bank, we have an available line of credit with the FHLBB of \$9.9 million at September 30, 2011 and 2010. We had no outstanding balance on the line of credit with the FHLBB at September 30, 2011. Long-term borrowings represent securities sold under repurchase agreements with major brokerage firms and a note payable with a maturity date over one year. Both wholesale and retail repurchase agreements are secured by mortgage-backed securities and government sponsored enterprises. The Company has \$10.0 million in lines of credit with a maturity date of December 22, 2011. We had no outstanding balance on this line of credit at September 30, 2011.

We believe the investment portfolio and residential loan portfolio provide a significant amount of contingent liquidity that could be accessed in a reasonable time period through sales of those portfolios. We also believe that we have additional untapped access to the brokered deposit market, commercial reverse repurchase transaction market and the Federal Reserve Bank discount window. These sources are considered as liquidity alternatives in our contingent liquidity plan. We believe that the level of liquidity is sufficient to meet current and future funding requirements; however, changes in economic conditions, including consumer saving habits and the availability or access to the national brokered deposit and commercial repurchase markets, could significantly impact our liquidity position.

CAPITAL RESOURCES

Under FRB guidelines, we are required to maintain capital based on risk-adjusted assets. These capital requirements represent quantitative measures of our assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Our capital classification is also subject to qualitative judgments by our regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors. Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require us to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined in the regulations), and of Tier 1 capital to average assets (as defined in the regulations). These guidelines apply to us on a consolidated basis. Under the current guidelines, banking organizations must maintain a risk-based capital ratio of 8.0%, of which at least 4.0% must be in the form of core capital (as defined in the regulations). Our risk-based ratios and those of Camden National Bank, exceeded regulatory guidelines at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The Company's Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets was 14.80% and 13.80% at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, and total capital to risk-weighted assets was 16.05% and 15.05% at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. In addition to risk-based capital requirements, the FRB requires bank holding companies to maintain a minimum leverage capital ratio of core capital to total assets of 4.0%. Total assets for this purpose do not include goodwill and any other intangible assets and investments that the FRB determines should be deducted. Our leverage ratio was 9.43% and 8.77% at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Although the junior subordinated debentures are recorded as a liability on our Consolidated Statements of Condition, we are permitted, in accordance with regulatory guidelines, to include, subject to certain limits, the trust preferred securities in our calculation of risk-based capital. At September 30, 2011, \$43.0 million of the trust preferred securities was included in Tier 1 and total risk-based capital.

As part of our goal to operate a safe, sound and profitable financial organization, we are committed to maintaining a strong capital base. Shareholders' equity totaled \$221.9 million and \$206.0 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, which amounted to 9.5% and 8.9% of total assets at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Our principal cash requirement is the payment of dividends on our common stock, as and when declared by the Board of Directors. We paid dividends to shareholders in the aggregate amount of \$5.8 million for each of the nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. Our Board of Directors approves cash dividends on a quarterly basis after careful analysis and consideration of various factors, including the following: a) capital position relative to total assets, b) risk-based assets, c) total classified assets, d) economic conditions, e) growth rates for total assets and total liabilities, f) earnings performance and projections and g) strategic initiatives and related capital requirements. All dividends declared and distributed by the Company will be in compliance with applicable state corporate law and regulatory requirements.

We are primarily dependent upon the payment of cash dividends by our subsidiaries to service our commitments. We, as the sole shareholder of our subsidiaries, are entitled to dividends, when and as declared by each subsidiary's respective Board of Directors from legally available funds. Camden National Bank declared dividends in the aggregate amount of \$9.0 million for both the first nine months of 2011 and 2010. Under regulations prescribed by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC"), without prior OCC approval, the Bank may not declare dividends in any year in excess of the Bank's (i) net income for the current year, (ii) plus its retained net income for the prior two years. If we are required to use dividends from the Bank to service unforeseen commitments in the future, we may be required to reduce the dividends paid to our shareholders going forward.

On September 27, 2011, The Board of Directors authorized the 2011 Common Stock Repurchase Program ("The Repurchase Program"). The Repurchase Program will allow for the repurchase of up to 500,000 shares, or

approximately 6.5%, of the Company's outstanding common stock over the next year when it feels conditions warrant.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMITMENTS

In the normal course of business, we are a party to credit related financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk, which are not reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Condition. These financial instruments include lending commitments and letters of credit. Those instruments involve varying degrees of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Condition. We follow the same credit policies in making commitments to extend credit and conditional obligations as we do for on-balance sheet instruments, including requiring similar collateral or other security to support financial instruments with credit risk. Our exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the customer is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total amount does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. At September 30, 2011, we had the following levels of commitments to extend credit:

| (Dollars in Thousand) | Total | | Commitment Expires in: | | |
|--|------------------|----------|------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| | Amount Committed | <1 Year | 1 – 3 Years | 4 – 5 Years | >5 Years |
| Letters of Credit | \$1,128 | \$1,128 | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Commercial Commitment Letters | 13,977 | 13,977 | — | — | — |
| Residential Loan Origination | 2,958 | 2,958 | — | — | — |
| Home Equity Line of Credit Commitments | 252,097 | 75,521 | 3,101 | 557 | 172,918 |
| Other Commitments to Extend Credit | 2,304 | 2,304 | — | — | — |
| Total | \$272,464 | \$95,888 | \$3,101 | \$557 | \$172,918 |

We are a party to several off-balance sheet contractual obligations through lease agreements on a number of branch facilities. We have an obligation and commitment to make future payments under these contracts. At September 30, 2011, we had the following levels of contractual obligations:

| (Dollars in Thousands) | Total | | Payments Due per Period | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| | Amount Committed | <1 Year | 1 – 3 Years | 4 – 5 Years | >5 Years |
| Operating Leases | \$2,350 | \$601 | \$622 | \$331 | \$796 |
| Capital Leases | 1,866 | 129 | 258 | 257 | 1,222 (a) |
| FHLBB Borrowings – Advances | 126,953 | 15,073 | 35,616 | 56,264 | 20,000 |
| Commercial Repurchase Agreements | 106,271 | 55,000 | 46,000 | — | 5,271 |
| Other Borrowed Funds | 171,183 | 171,183 | — | — | — |
| Junior Subordinated Debentures | 43,691 | — | — | — | 43,691 |
| Note Payable | 442 | 293 | 144 | 5 | — |
| Total | \$452,756 | \$242,279 | \$82,640 | \$56,857 | \$70,980 |

(a) Excludes contingent rentals, which are based on the Consumer Price Index and reset every five years. Total contingent rentals for year one through year five are \$32,000.

Borrowings from the FHLBB consist of short- and long-term fixed and variable rate borrowings that are collateralized by all stock in the FHLBB and a blanket lien on qualified collateral consisting primarily of loans with first mortgages secured by one to four-family properties, certain pledged investment securities and other qualified assets. Other borrowed funds include treasury, tax and loan deposits and securities sold under repurchase agreements. We have an obligation and commitment to repay all borrowings and debentures. These commitments, borrowings, junior subordinated debentures and the related payments are made during the normal course of business.

We may use derivative instruments as partial hedges against large fluctuations in interest rates. We may also use fixed-rate interest rate swap and floor instruments to partially hedge against potentially lower yields on the variable prime rate loan category in a declining rate environment. If rates were to decline, resulting in reduced income on the adjustable rate loans, there would be an increased income flow from the interest rate swap and floor instruments. We may also use variable-rate interest rate swap and cap instruments to partially hedge against increases in short-term borrowing rates. If rates were to rise, resulting in an increased interest cost, there would be an increased income flow from the interest rate swap and cap instruments. These financial instruments are factored into our overall interest rate risk position. We regularly review the credit quality of the counterparty from which the instruments have been purchased. At September 30, 2011, the Company had five interest rate swaps, three with a notional amount of \$10.0 million, one with a notional amount of \$8.0 million, and one with a notional amount of \$5.0 million, related to the junior subordinated debentures, expiring on June 30, 2021, June 30, 2029, June 30, 2030, July 7, 2031, and June 30, 2031, respectively.

At September 30, 2011, the Company had a notional amount of \$6.2 million in an interest rate swap agreement with a commercial customer and an equal notional amount with a dealer bank related to the Company's commercial loan level derivative program. This program allows the Company to retain variable-rate commercial loans while allowing the customer to synthetically fix the loan rate by entering into a variable-to-fixed interest rate swap. It is anticipated that over time, customer interest rate derivatives will reduce the interest rate risk inherent in the longer-term, fixed-rate commercial business.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES
ABOUT MARKET RISK

MARKET RISK

Market risk is the risk of loss in a financial instrument arising from adverse changes in market rates/prices, such as interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices and equity prices. Our primary market risk exposure is interest rate risk. The ongoing monitoring and management of this risk is an important component of our asset/liability management process, which is governed by policies established by the Camden National Bank's Board of Directors that are reviewed and approved annually. The Board of Directors' Asset/Liability Committee ("Board ALCO") delegates responsibility for carrying out the asset/liability management policies to the Management Asset/Liability Committee ("Management ALCO"). In this capacity, Management ALCO develops guidelines and strategies impacting our asset/liability management-related activities based upon estimated market risk sensitivity, policy limits and overall market interest rate levels/trends. Management ALCO and Board ALCO jointly meet on a quarterly basis to review strategies, policies, economic conditions and various activities as part of the management of these risks.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk represents the sensitivity of earnings to changes in market interest rates. As interest rates change, the interest income and expense streams associated with our financial instruments also change, thereby impacting net interest income ("NII"), the primary component of our earnings. Board and Management ALCO utilize the results of a detailed and dynamic simulation model to quantify the estimated exposure of NII to sustained interest rate changes. While Board and Management ALCO routinely monitor simulated NII sensitivity over a rolling two-year horizon, they also utilize additional tools to monitor potential longer-term interest rate risk.

The simulation model captures the impact of changing interest rates on the interest income received and interest expense paid on all interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities reflected on our Consolidated Statements of Condition, as well as for derivative financial instruments, if any. None of the assets used in the simulation were held for trading purposes. This sensitivity analysis is compared to ALCO policy limits, which specify a maximum tolerance level for NII exposure over a one-year horizon, assuming no balance sheet growth, given a 200 basis point ("bp") upward and 200 bp downward shift in interest rates. Although our policy specifies a downward shift of 200 bp, this could result in negative rates as many benchmark rates are currently below 2.00%. A parallel and pro rata shift in rates over a 12-month period is assumed. Using this approach, we are able to produce reports that illustrate the effect that both a gradual change of rates (year-1) and a "rate shock" (year-2 and beyond) has on margin expectations. In the down 100 bp scenario, Federal Funds and Treasury yields are floored at 0.01% while Prime is floored at 3.00%. All other market rates are floored at 0.25%.

During the first nine months of 2011 and 2010, our NII sensitivity analysis reflected the following changes to NII assuming no balance sheet growth and a parallel shift in interest rates over a one-year horizon. All rate changes were "ramped" over the first 12-month period and then maintained at those levels over the remainder of the ALCO simulation horizon.

| Rate Change | Estimated Changes in NII | |
|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 |
| Year 1 | | |
| +400 bp | (0.68)% | (0.30)% |
| +200 bp | (0.68)% | (0.40)% |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---------|-------|----|-------|----|
| -100 bp | (0.54 |)% | (0.00 |)% |
| Year 2 | | | | |
| +400 bp | 0.65 | % | (1.50 |)% |
| +200 bp | 0.96 | % | 0.50 | % |
| -100 bp | (7.90 |)% | (6.00 |)% |

The preceding sensitivity analysis does not represent a forecast and should not be relied upon as being indicative of expected operating results. These hypothetical estimates are based upon numerous assumptions including, among others, the nature and timing of interest rate levels, yield curve shape, prepayments on loans and securities, deposit decay rates, pricing decisions on loans and deposits and reinvestment/replacement of asset and liability cash flows. While assumptions are developed based upon current economic and local market conditions, we cannot make any assurances as to the predictive nature of these assumptions, including how customer preferences or competitor influences might change.

The most significant factors affecting the changes in market risk exposure during the first nine months of 2011 were the slowdown in loan growth and continued growth in core deposits placing pressure on asset yield and overall balance sheet spread. If rates remain at or near current levels and the balance sheet mix remains similar, net interest income is projected to trend downward as the investment and loan cashflows materialize and are replaced in today's lower rate environment with insufficient offsets from funding cost reductions. A falling interest rate environment places the most stress on net interest income as asset yields continue to fall and funding costs hit their assumed floor. In a rising interest rate environment, net interest income levels are initially expected to trend closely with the current rates scenario and improve by the second year and throughout the remainder of the five year simulation. Initially, deposit rate increases offset improving asset yields, but as asset cashflows materialize into the second year and loans reprice in the higher rate environment, positive net interest momentum takes hold. If the yield curve were to flatten as rates rise, net interest income improves but not to quite the same level as created with the parallel yield curve environment. Long term, rising rate scenarios provide the best case net interest income for the Company. The risk in the various rate scenarios is within our policy limits.

Periodically, if deemed appropriate, we use interest rate swaps, floors and caps, which are common derivative financial instruments, to hedge our interest rate risk position. The Board of Directors has approved hedging policy statements governing the use of these instruments. As of September 30, 2011, we had a notional principal amount of \$43.0 million in interest rate swap agreements related to the junior subordinated debentures, and a \$6.2 million interest rate swap related to the Company's commercial loan level derivative program. The Board and Management ALCO monitor derivative activities relative to their expectations and our hedging policies.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As required by Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), the Company's management conducted an evaluation with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial & Accounting Officer), regarding the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the last fiscal quarter covered by this report. In designing and evaluating the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, the Company and its management recognize that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only a reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating and implementing possible controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial & Accounting Officer) concluded that they believe the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

There was no change in the internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The information presented in Note 9 "Commitments and Contingencies" to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Part 1, Item 1 is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes in the Risk Factors described in Part 1, Item 1A of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table provides information as of and for the quarter ended September 30, 2011, regarding shares of common stock of the Company that were repurchased under the 2003 Stock Option and Incentive Plan.

| | Total number of shares purchased | Average price paid per share | Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plan |
|---|---|------------------------------------|--|
| Purchases of Equity Securities (1) | | | |
| 7/1/2011 to 7/31/2011 | — | \$— | — |
| 8/1/2011 to 8/31/2011 | — | — | — |
| 9/1/2011 to 9/30/2011 | — | — | — |
| Total Purchases of Equity Securities | — | \$— | — |

(1) In September 2011, the Board of Directors of the Company voted to authorize a Common Stock Repurchase Program (the "Repurchase Program") which will allow for the repurchase of up to 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The authority, which expires on September 30, 2012, may be exercised from time to time and in such amounts as market conditions warrant. During the third quarter of 2011, we made no repurchases under the Repurchase Program.

(2) Pursuant to the Company's share-based compensation plans, employees may deliver back shares of stock previously issued in payment of the exercise price of stock options or to satisfy the minimum tax withholdings obligation in conjunction with such recipient's vesting of stock-based compensation.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

ITEM 4. (REMOVED AND RESERVED)

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None

42

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

(a) Exhibits

(23.1) Consent of Berry Dunn McNeil & Parker, LLC relating to the financial statements of Camden National Corporation*

(31.1) Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934*

(31.2) Certification of Chief Financial Officer, Principal Financial & Accounting Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934*

(32.1) Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

(32.2) Certification of Chief Financial Officer, Principal Financial & Accounting Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

(101) – XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language)***

The following materials from Camden National Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2011, formatted in xBRL: (i) Consolidated Statements of Condition September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010; (ii) Consolidated Statements of Income Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010; (iii) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010; (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010; and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

* Filed herewith

**Furnished herewith

*** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the XBRL related information in Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CAMDEN NATIONAL CORPORATION
(Registrant)

/s/ Gregory A. Dufour
Gregory A. Dufour
President and Chief Executive Officer

November 4, 2011
Date

/s/ Deborah A. Jordan
Deborah A. Jordan
Chief Financial Officer and Principal
Financial & Accounting Officer

November 4, 2011
Date

Exhibit Index

- (23.1) Consent of Berry Dunn McNeil & Parker, LLC relating to the financial statements of Camden National Corporation*
- (31.1) Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
- (31.2) Certification of Chief Financial Officer, Principal Financial & Accounting Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
- (32.1) Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- (32.2) Certification Chief Financial Officer, Principal Financial & Accounting Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- (101) XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language)