

AVG Technologies N.V.
Form 20-F
March 25, 2014
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 20 F

.. REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

OR

.. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR

.. SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of event requiring this shell company report _____

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-35408

AVG TECHNOLOGIES N.V.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter
and translation of Registrant's name into English)

The Netherlands

(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

Gatwickstraat 9-39, 1043 GL Amsterdam, The Netherlands

(Address of principal executive offices)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act.

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|--|--|
| Ordinary Shares, par value 0.01 per share | The New York Stock Exchange |

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act.

None

(Title of Class)

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act.

None

(Title of Class)

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report.

| Title of each class | Number of shares outstanding |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Ordinary shares | 53,150,630 |

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes No

Note Checking the box above will not relieve any registrant required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports).
Yes No

Edgar Filing: AVG Technologies N.V. - Form 20-F

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

U.S. GAAP International Financial Reporting Standards as issued Other

by the International Accounting Standards Board

If Other has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the Registrant has elected to follow. Item 17 Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The registrant is an emerging growth company that has not elected to take advantage of the extended transition period provided in section 13(a) of the Exchange Act for complying with new or revised accounting standards.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|-----------------------------|------|
| PART I | |
| | 1 |
| Item 1. | 4 |
| Item 2. | 4 |
| Item 3. | 4 |
| Item 4. | 37 |
| Item 4A. | 56 |
| Item 5. | 56 |
| Item 6. | 87 |
| Item 7. | 97 |
| Item 8. | 100 |
| Item 9. | 101 |
| Item 10. | 102 |
| Item 11. | 110 |
| Item 12. | 111 |
| PART II | |
| Item 13. | 111 |
| Item 14. | 111 |
| Item 15. | 111 |
| Item 16A. | 112 |
| Item 16B. | 112 |
| Item 16C. | 112 |
| Item 16D. | 113 |
| Item 16E. | 113 |
| Item 16F. | 114 |
| Item 16G. | 114 |
| Item 16H. | 115 |
| Item 17. | 115 |
| Item 18. | 115 |
| Item 19. | 116 |
| <u>Financial Statements</u> | F-1 |

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report contains forward-looking statements about us, our markets and our industry. These statements involve known and unknown substantial risks, uncertainties and other factors as described in detail under Item 3. Key Information D. Risk factors in this Annual Report that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievement to be materially different from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. All statements, other than statements of historical fact, included in this Annual Report regarding our strategy, future operations, future financial position, future net sales, projected expenses, prospects and plans and objectives of management are forward-looking statements.

In some cases, you can also identify forward-looking statements by terms such as anticipate, believe, estimate, expect, intend, may, might, plan, project, will, would, should, could, can, predict, potential, continue, and the negatives of these terms, and similar expressions intended to identify forward-looking statements. However, not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words.

All forward-looking statements reflect our current views about future events and are based on assumptions and subject to risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements in this Annual Report include, but are not limited to, statements about:

changes in international or national tax regulations and related proposals;

changes in our growth strategies;

changes in our future prospects, business development, results of operations and financial condition;

changes to the online and computer threat environment and the endpoint security industry;

competition from local and international companies, new entrants in the market and changes to the competitive landscape;

the adoption of new, or changes to existing, laws and regulations;

flaws in the assumptions underlying the calculation of our key metrics, including the number of our active users, revenue per average active user, subscription revenue per subscriber and platform revenue per thousand searches;

potential effects of changes in the applicable search guidelines of our search partners;

the termination of or changes to our relationships with our partners, including Google, and other third parties;

changes in our and our partners' responses to privacy concerns;

our ability to successfully diversify our portfolio of search partners;

our plans to launch new products, such as AVG Zen, and online services and monetize our full user base;

our ability to attract and retain active and subscription users;

our ability to retain key personnel and attract new talent;

our ability to adequately protect our intellectual property;

flaws in our internal controls or IT systems;

our geographic expansion plans;

the anticipated costs and benefits of our acquisitions;

the outcome of ongoing or any future litigation or arbitration, including litigation or arbitration relating to intellectual property rights;

Table of Contents

our legal and regulatory compliance efforts; and

worldwide economic conditions and their impact on demand for our products and services.

Given these risks and uncertainties, you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements.

Also, forward-looking statements represent our management's beliefs and assumptions only as of the date of this Annual Report. You should read this Annual Report and the documents that we have filed as exhibits to this Annual Report completely and with the understanding that our actual future results may be materially different from what we expect.

Except as required by law, we assume no obligation to update these forward-looking statements, or to update the reasons actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements, even if new information becomes available in the future.

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION

This Annual Report contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ significantly from future results as a result of factors such as those set forth in Item 3. Key Information D. Risk factors and Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects G. Safe harbor.

The financial information included in this Annual Report is based on generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, or U.S. GAAP, unless otherwise indicated.

In presenting and discussing our financial position, operating results and cash flows, management uses certain non-GAAP financial measures. These non-GAAP financial measures should not be viewed in isolation or as alternatives to the equivalent U.S. GAAP measures and should be used in conjunction with the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measures. A discussion of non-GAAP measures included in this Annual Report and a reconciliation of such measures to the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measures are contained in this Annual Report under Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects A. Operating results Non-GAAP Measures.

Unless otherwise indicated or the context otherwise requires, all references in this Annual Report to AVG or the Company, we, our, ours, us or similar terms refer to AVG Technologies N.V. and its subsidiaries, or if before November 25, 2011, to our predecessor company and former wholly owned subsidiary AVG Technologies N.V. and its subsidiaries. See Item 4. Information on the Company A. History and development of the company. AVG The Online Security Company, Devices. Data. People., AVG Zen, Be Yourself, LinkScan, AVG CloudCare™, AVG PC TuneUp and our logo are our key brands, and are variously registered in several jurisdictions. This Annual Report contains references to these and others of our trademarks and names and those of other entities and all these references may omit the ® or symbols solely for convenience. Such references are not intended, however, to imply that we will not enforce our rights in any of our marks to the fullest extent permitted by law. This Annual Report also contains references to third party trademarks, and references to these third party marks may omit the ® or symbols solely for convenience. All trademarks are property of their respective owners.

Table of Contents**ITEM 1. IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISORS**

Not Applicable.

ITEM 2. OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE

Not Applicable.

ITEM 3. KEY INFORMATION**A. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

We have derived the consolidated statements of comprehensive income data and the consolidated balance sheets data for the years ended, and as of, December 31, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013 from our audited consolidated financial statements. You should read the consolidated financial data set forth below in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes and the information under Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects, appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report in Form 20-F. Our reporting currency is the U.S. dollar. Our historical results are not necessarily indicative of our results to be expected in any future period. We have prepared the financial statements included in this Annual Report in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

| | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars, except for share data and per share data) | | | | |
| Statement of Comprehensive Income | | | | | |
| Data and Other Operating Metrics: | | | | | |
| Revenue: | | | | | |
| Subscription | \$ 151,365 | \$ 166,904 | \$ 175,654 | \$ 196,858 | \$ 250,839 |
| Platform-derived | 30,603 | 50,314 | 96,738 | 159,108 | 156,274 |
| Total revenue | 181,968 | 217,218 | 272,392 | 355,966 | 407,113 |
| Cost of revenue: ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | |
| Subscription | (30,112) | (26,686) | (23,374) | (27,064) | (30,027) |
| Platform-derived | (1,308) | (2,293) | (7,849) | (27,320) | (38,818) |
| Total cost of revenue | (31,420) | (28,979) | (31,223) | (54,384) | (68,845) |
| Gross profit | 150,548 | 188,239 | 241,169 | 301,582 | 338,268 |
| Operating expenses: ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | |
| Research and development | (19,533) | (23,364) | (35,008) | (55,485) | (60,885) |
| Sales and marketing | (45,988) | (58,562) | (76,933) | (92,198) | (96,382) |
| General and administrative | (24,404) | (40,683) | (60,710) | (73,491) | (70,902) |
| Total operating expenses | (89,925) | (122,609) | (172,651) | (221,174) | (228,169) |

Edgar Filing: AVG Technologies N.V. - Form 20-F

| | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| Operating income | 60,623 | 65,630 | 68,518 | 80,408 | 110,099 |
| Other income (expense), net | (1,600) | 1,722 | (17,104) | (22,939) | (7,379) |
| Income before income taxes and loss from investment in equity affiliate | 59,023 | 67,352 | 51,414 | 57,469 | 102,720 |
| Income tax (provision) benefit | (6,538) | (9,394) | 49,260 | (11,141) | (39,006) |
| Loss from investment in equity affiliate | | (46) | (242) | (511) | |

Table of Contents

| | Year ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars, except for share data and per share data) | | | | |
| Statement of Comprehensive Income Data and Other Operating Metrics (continued): | | | | | |
| Net income | 52,485 | 57,912 | 100,432 | 45,817 | 63,714 |
| Preferred share dividends | (1,802) | (7,210) | (7,208) | (753) | |
| Distributed and undistributed earnings to participating securities | | (12,676) | (27,513) | | |
| Net income available to ordinary shareholders basic ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 50,683 | \$ 38,026 | \$ 65,711 | \$ 45,064 | \$ 63,714 |
| Earnings per ordinary share basic ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 1.19 | \$ 1.06 | \$ 1.83 | \$ 0.86 | \$ 1.18 |
| Earnings per ordinary share diluted ⁽²⁾ | \$ 1.09 | \$ 0.99 | \$ 1.69 | \$ 0.84 | \$ 1.16 |
| Weighted-average ordinary shares outstanding basic ⁽¹⁾ | 42,750,000 | 36,000,000 | 36,000,000 | 52,395,427 | 54,208,065 |
| Weighted-average ordinary shares outstanding diluted ⁽²⁾ | 48,155,490 | 38,585,664 | 38,974,953 | 54,308,518 | 54,710,704 |

- (1) We have recognized employee share-based compensation in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the periods indicated as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars) | | |
| Cost of revenue | \$ 21 | \$ | \$ 40 |
| Research and development | 1,116 | 1,652 | 1,013 |
| Sales and marketing | 949 | 2,036 | 1,172 |
| General and administrative | 4,310 | 12,495 | 6,702 |
| Total share-based compensation expense | \$ 6,396 | \$ 16,183 | \$ 8,927 |

- (2) During the 2011 fiscal year the Company had 12 million Class D preferred shares which were entitled to a preference dividend of approximately \$1.8 million per calendar quarter, as well as their pro rata amount of net income assuming distribution to each separate class of shareholder. These shares were excluded from calculations of net income available to ordinary shareholders basic. At the time of the initial public offering, or IPO, these shares converted to ordinary shares on a 1-for-1 basis, and preference dividends are no longer payable.

| | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Cash dividends declared per ordinary share | \$ 3.28 | \$ 0.83 | \$ 4.53 | \$ | \$ |
| Cash dividends declared per preferred share | \$ | \$ 1.43 | \$ 5.13 | \$ 0.21 | \$ |

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|--|--------------|--------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars, except for user data) | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 82,911 | \$ 119,306 | \$ 145,204 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | \$ (69,544) | \$ (30,242) | \$ (39,755) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | \$ (15,329) | \$ (100,325) | \$ (114,295) |
| Active users (in millions) ⁽¹⁾ | 108 | 146 | 177 |
| Subscription users (in millions) ⁽²⁾ | 15 | 15 | 16 |
| Revenue per average active user ⁽³⁾ | \$ 2.65 | \$ 2.80 | \$ 2.52 |

- (1) Active users are those that (i) have downloaded and installed our free software on a PC and have connected to our server at least twice, including at least once in the preceding 30-day period, (ii) represent a unique

Table of Contents

- mobile device, which has installed one or more of our mobile applications, from which at least one application has contacted our server twice in the preceding 30-day period (with at least 24 hours between the first and second contact), (iii) have a valid subscription license for our software solutions or (iv) represent a unique device using our secure search solution that has made at least one secure search in the preceding 30-day period. For further detail on our definition and counting of active users, see Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects A. Operating results Key Metrics Active, subscription, and stand-alone secure users.
- (2) Subscription users are active users who subscribe to our premium products and online services, the primary component of our subscription revenue. Payments from subscription users make up the substantial majority of subscription revenue. For further detail on our definition and counting of subscription users, see Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects A. Operating results Key Metrics Active, subscription, and stand-alone secure users.
- (3) The number of average active users is calculated as the simple average of active users at the beginning of a period and the end of a period.

| | As of December 31, | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars, except for share data) | | | | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 47,711 | \$ 63,146 | \$ 60,740 | \$ 51,890 | \$ 42,349 |
| Working capital (deficit) | (41,804) | (34,234) | (100,871) | (102,192) | (119,569) |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets, net | 40,711 | 42,213 | 106,402 | 122,483 | 144,420 |
| Total assets | 143,787 | 175,957 | 311,635 | 323,466 | 306,782 |
| Deferred revenue, current | 101,147 | 107,214 | 120,269 | 148,308 | 164,136 |
| Deferred revenue, less current portion | 27,925 | 28,213 | 30,839 | 32,848 | 33,050 |
| Debt | 1,072 | 1,050 | 225,440 | 97,231 | 30,000 |
| Total liabilities | 159,518 | 176,717 | 441,960 | 346,036 | 297,616 |
| Net assets (liabilities) | (15,731) | (760) | (130,325) | (22,570) | 9,166 |
| Class D preferred shares ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 191,954 | 191,954 | 191,954 | | |
| Total shareholders' equity (deficit) ⁽²⁾ | (207,685) | (192,714) | (322,279) | (22,570) | 9,166 |

- (1) In connection with the initial investment in us in October 2009 by TA Coöperatief (which subsequently transferred such investment to TA Sàrl), we amended our articles of association and converted 5,850,000 outstanding Class A ordinary shares and 3,150,000 outstanding Class B ordinary shares into an aggregate of 9,000,000 Class D preferred shares, which our shareholders then sold to TA Coöperatief. At the same time we also issued 3,000,000 Class D preferred shares to TA Coöperatief for \$47.8 million, net of issuance costs. We recorded a distribution in excess of capital in shareholders' equity (deficit) of \$144.1 million in connection with the conversion into 9,000,000 Class D preferred shares of ordinary shares and the issuance of 3,000,000 Class D preferred shares to TA Coöperatief.
- (2) Upon the closing of the IPO, the Class D preferred shares were converted into 12,000,000 ordinary shares. In addition, we closed the IPO of 8,000,000 ordinary shares at an offering price of \$16.00 per share. We offered 4,000,000 ordinary shares and the selling shareholders offered 4,000,000 ordinary shares. We did not receive any proceeds from the sale of the ordinary shares by the selling shareholders other than the proceeds from options which were exercised by certain selling shareholders in connection with the IPO. The IPO resulted in net proceeds of \$50.9 million, after deducting underwriting discounts, commissions and offering expenses paid by us.

B. CAPITALIZATION AND INDEBTEDNESS

Not Applicable.

C. REASONS FOR THE OFFER AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not Applicable.

Table of Contents

D. RISK FACTORS

An investment in our ordinary shares involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below and the other information in this Annual Report before making an investment in our ordinary shares. Our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially and adversely affected if any of these risks occurs, and as a result, the market price of our ordinary shares could decline.

This Annual Report also contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. See

Forward-looking statements Our actual results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors.

Risks relating to our business and our industry

We must successfully adapt our business in response to the fast changing technological environment facing our consumers and small and medium-sized businesses, including the increasing use of mobile devices and the introduction of new systems, networks and standards, or our business, operating results and financial condition may be adversely affected.

The technology environment has changed and continues to change rapidly as the use of mobile devices, including smartphones and tablets, increases significantly, as consumers increasingly engage with Internet based services such as social network applications and as an increasing percentage of the world's population is connected to the Internet. In order to deliver high quality products, it is important that our products work well with a range of mobile devices, systems, networks, and standards that we do not control. We may not be successful in developing relationships with key participants in the mobile industry or in developing products that operate effectively with these devices, systems, networks, or standards.

These technological changes may threaten our existing revenue streams, which are currently principally reliant on PC-based products and services to generate both subscription and platform-derived revenues. We have not currently achieved any material monetization from our mobile-based or integrated cross-platform products and services; our future success will be dependent on our ability to do so.

Our failure to adapt to these changes and deliver new products and services meeting the consumers' needs that can be successfully monetized would materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our business model is evolving and we may be unable to increase or monetize our active user base sufficiently to increase or maintain our profitability.

Our business model has evolved in recent years toward seeking to increase and monetize our active user base through a variety of means rather than drawing revenue primarily from users purchasing subscriptions to our premium products and online services. To expand our user base, we have added and plan to continue to add new products and online services to our product portfolio, broadening our focus beyond security solutions and increasing our support for mobile computing devices, including smartphones and tablets. The evolution of our business model is ongoing and may depart further from the subscription-based model we used for much of our operating history.

We may be unsuccessful in executing our new business model of adding compelling new products and online services and monetizing all of our active user base. To date our primary means of monetizing our active user base indirectly has been our dynamic secure search solution provided pursuant to agreements with search providers such as Google,

Yahoo!, Seznam and Yandex. These search agreements have provided us with a significant revenue stream in past years. This revenue stream was impacted by the Google guideline changes announced in February 2013 which subsequently led to our decision in November 2013 to exit in a controlled

Table of Contents

manner the third party search distribution business, in which we distributed our stand-alone secure search solution in connection with the download of other companies' products. The guideline changes, primarily the requirement for a customer to opt-in to accept the AVG search settings, also impacted our organic user base and we expect that revenue stream to decline in 2014. If there are further guideline changes which we are unable to mitigate, if all search providers decide to adopt an opt in policy, or if we cannot find additional methods of monetizing our active user base through products and online services that users find compelling, we may not be able to continue our recent growth and increase our revenue, margins and profitability. If our new methods of monetizing our active user base, attempts to expand that user base, or creation of new products and online services cannot be sustained, our revenue, margins and profitability could be adversely affected.

We must maintain our relationships with our existing users and attract new users if we are to continue to expand and improve the quality of our user base, which we may be unable to do.

A significant portion of our users cease using our products in any given period because the cost of switching to the products and online services of competitors on existing hardware, including mobile devices, is minimal and because competitors' products are often preinstalled on new hardware purchased by our users, among other reasons. For example, in the security software market, new PCs are widely distributed with one of our competitors' security products preinstalled. To continue to expand our user base, we must retain our existing users to the extent possible and continuously attract new users, both to replace the many users who exit our user base and to expand that base. Any failure to continue to expand our user base could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

In addition, our ability to monetize our active user base varies depending on many characteristics of those users, including level of engagement with our products and services, amount and nature of Internet and computing activities, geographic location and income level and the device which they use. If we are unable to retain and recruit users whose characteristics contribute to a user base with optimum potential for monetization, our business, operating results and financial condition could suffer materially. For example the vast majority of our current income is derived from our PC user base and if we are unable to monetize our mobile user base successfully, our growth may be adversely impacted. The significant turnover in our user base also limits our ability to predict our revenue and cash flows for future periods, making it more difficult for us to manage our business.

Our search-traffic program is crucial to our effort to monetize more of our active user base and this program is vulnerable to technological and regulatory change, including the rise of mobile computing, and our reliance on a limited number of search providers.

Our most successful program to monetize our active user base has been our dynamic secure search solution, including our browser toolbar, which gives our users a convenient way to access a search engine at any time and to be secure that the sites that are the results of the search will not infect or damage their computers or other devices. Search engine companies pay us for the search queries we steer to them via our dynamic secure search solution. If software companies that provide Internet browsers prevent the addition of our toolbar, either directly by limiting space for toolbars in the browser interface or indirectly by restricting access to software code, our ability to monetize all of our active user base will be adversely affected. The rise of mobile computing makes this risk more acute as most mobile devices have smaller screens than desktop and laptop computers and software developed for these devices may not as readily accommodate additional toolbars as software developed for desktop and laptop computers. We have not yet begun distributing our toolbar with software designed specifically for mobile devices but intend to do so in future, as mobile represents a small but expanding proportion of the total search market. In addition, we currently expect that revenue per average active mobile user will be lower than revenue from traditional active users. As such, there can be no assurance that revenue from our dynamic secure search solution or other similar solutions we may develop will

grow in line with our expectations, or that we will be able to maintain or expand our current and future platform-derived agreements or enter into additional agreements to generate platform-derived revenue. The failure of these markets to continue to grow, or our inability to penetrate these markets, would have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Table of Contents

For the majority of platform-derived revenue from our dynamic secure search, we have historically relied upon agreements with Yahoo! and Google to generate revenue from our dynamic secure search solution. Although since our November 2012 renewal of our relationship with Google we have had non-exclusive arrangements with search engine companies, and now generate platform-derived revenue from multiple search engine companies, the amount of revenue generated from search engine companies other than Yahoo! and Google is relatively small. Platform-derived revenue generated from our active users in certain geographical regions is dependent on Google, as alternative search providers do not generate significant income in those regions. Revenue generated by Google accounted for 28% of our total revenue in 2013, a decrease from 44% of our total revenue in 2012 (revenue generated by Google accounted for 28% of our total revenue in 2011). Our revenue generated by Yahoo! accounted for 9% of our total revenue in 2013, none in 2012 and 6% in 2011. We have revenue concentration risk as we rely on our contractual arrangements with Google and Yahoo! for a significant portion of our revenue and we cannot guarantee that the revenue generated from the Google and Yahoo! sourced search agreements will continue.

In November 2012 we renewed our relationship with Google by signing a new Internet search and advertising services agreement on a non-exclusive basis and subsequently signed search distribution agreements with other partners including Yahoo!. We expect that we will continue to diversify our dynamic secure search revenue stream but anticipate that Google and Yahoo! will continue to account for a significant percentage of our revenue.

Search engine companies pay us a percentage of any search revenue generated whenever a user clicks on an ad (also called a sponsored link) served by that search engine company and hosted on one of AVG's search domains. Our customers can access our secure search via the toolbar, default search, address bar or the AVG search homepage. Any decline in the popularity of our products or a search engine we utilize could result in a decrease in revenue from this source under our agreements with search engine companies. Certain search engine companies can also unilaterally make changes to their policies and guidelines, which primarily concern the operations and technology of their search services. These changes could require us to modify or suspend certain activities relating to our toolbar or search solutions, which could be costly for us to implement or lead to a reduction in the number of search customers and impact revenue. For example, Google released guidelines in February 2013 that restrict our ability to change our customers search settings. We may also need relevant applicable search engine companies' permission to offer the toolbar or our dynamic search solution in a form other than that previously approved in connection with our initial contracts, which, if they did not grant such permission, could adversely impact our ability to generate revenue by preventing us from offering the toolbar or search solutions as we otherwise would. In addition, the search engine companies may terminate their respective agreements with us if the advertising revenue generated, query volume or traffic quality through our dynamic secure search solution falls below a certain level over a certain time period, and they have other customary termination rights under the agreement, including upon a change of control. Similarly, the revenue share under such agreements varies according to the amount of traffic we deliver to each search engine. The agreements expire on November 30, 2014 and January 22, 2016 with Google and Yahoo! respectively with a mutual right of termination for convenience on 180 days notice to take effect on or after January 23, 2015 in the case of Yahoo!. Neither search engine company is under any obligation to renew its agreement. If, upon the termination or expiration of our agreements, we fail to enter into new agreements with Google or Yahoo! or a similar search provider on substantially the same or more favorable terms, our revenue would significantly decrease. We may also be unsuccessful in diversifying our search revenue and Google and Yahoo! will likely remain responsible in the near term for the majority of our platform-derived revenue, so we will likely continue to have significant revenue concentration risk.

If we are unable to diversify platform revenue beyond secure search, our business, financial condition and results of operation will be negatively impacted.

A key aspect of our strategy to maximize the value of our active user base by indirect monetization occurs when we receive payments from third parties for activities undertaken by our user base but for which the user does not pay directly. Historically our platform revenue has been almost exclusively derived from our

Table of Contents

relationships with search providers. While we have sought to diversify this revenue stream outside of the search business, these diversification activities to date have not yielded any meaningful revenue. As our search-based platform revenue declined in 2013 and, following the exit from the third party search distribution business, is expected to further decline in the future, the diversification of our platform business to areas outside of search has gained greater importance if we are to maintain our revenue growth. Failure to generate significant revenue from non-search related platform activities would materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If we are unable to maintain and enhance our brand, our business and operating results may be harmed.

We believe that maintaining and enhancing our brand identity is critical to our business. The successful promotion of our brand will depend largely upon our marketing and public relations efforts, the quality of our Internet software solutions and our ability to differentiate ourselves from our competitors. The promotion of our brand may require us to make substantial expenditures, which will likely increase as our market becomes more competitive. As we expand into new markets, particularly the mobile market, and product sectors within the PC market, such as PC optimization, and the small and medium sized businesses (SMB) market with cloud-based products, our brand may not be as well recognized and additional sales and marketing expenditure may be required. If we are not successful at maintaining and enhancing our brand, our ability to attract new users and talent would be adversely affected and we could lose users, third-party distributors and resellers.

Our business depends on our brand recognition and the failure to maintain or enhance our brand image could adversely affect our business and market position. In particular, we rely on our active users as primary drivers of our user-driven marketing strategy and any negative change to the perception of our brand among our active users could have a material adverse effect on our business.

As we develop and further enhance our brand, marketing messages and visual identification, there are risks that we may adversely impact our business if such developments are not effective.

In addition, independent industry analysts often provide reviews of our solutions, as well as those of our competitors. Perception of our products in the marketplace may be significantly influenced by these reviews. Our brand could be harmed if industry analysts provide negative reviews of our software solutions or our Company. Furthermore, we depend upon certain third-party distributors of our solutions and actions by those third parties could have a negative effect on our brand.

We may not acquire and retain sufficient mobile users and may incur significant costs in our attempts to address the emerging mobile market.

There has been a significant shift toward proportionally reduced use of PCs as individuals spend more time on smartphones and tablets and we believe this shift will accelerate. Tablets and smartphones are generally referred to together as mobile computing or simply mobile. This shift to mobile has resulted in reduced PC shipments and increased sales of smartphones and tablets. Almost all of AVG's current revenue comes from PC-based products. We consider the acquisition and monetization of users in the mobile space to be key for AVG's future development. As of December 31, 2013 we have acquired 68 million users in the mobile space, primarily users of smartphones. The mobile sector is developing and changing much more quickly than the PC sector. There can be no guarantee that we will continue to acquire users or that our current users will continue to use our products. Trends in mobile may differ from those we predict and we may therefore design irrelevant or unwanted products which customers may not wish to use or pay for. User churn in mobile products may differ materially from churn in PC products and our systems may not be able to track such churn effectively leading to incorrect investment decisions. Commercial, privacy and such

other laws and regulation may change and affect trends in the mobile market, and our ability to attract and retain users may require additional effort and cost to comply and compete in this sector. We may lack the scale to be able to effectively compete in the mobile sector, and competition may increase to the point where meaningful monetization is not possible. Any one of these factors or a combination of these factors may make our move into mobile unsuccessful and materially harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

We are subject to fluctuations in demand for our products and online services as a result of numerous factors, most of which are beyond our control.

Demand for our products and online services fluctuates from period to period due to factors such as general economic conditions, competition, product obsolescence, technological change, shifts in buying patterns, financial and business conditions of our current and potential customers and levels of Internet usage. Technological change includes the move away from PC-based computing to mobile-based computing and the associated slowdown of shipments in the PC market leading to a potential reduction of our total addressable market. There are also particular factors driving demand for each of our specific products and online services. For instance, demand for our Internet software solutions among our targeted customer base of small businesses and consumers is driven by our small business customers' need for protection of business-critical data, consumers' need for protection of their own personal data, potential users' awareness of Internet security threats (including cybercrime) generally, the perceived potential damage caused by information loss and other factors. In addition, while concerns related to Internet privacy and the protection and control of Internet users' personal information could potentially result in greater demand for some of our products and online services, there is also a risk that the growing awareness of potential privacy breaches and unintended sharing of personal information could slow overall growth in the adoption of Internet solutions, which we depend on to drive demand for our products and online services. Most of these factors are beyond our control. A change in the factors driving demand for our products and online services generally or for particular products and online services could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Any failure or perceived failure to anticipate, prepare for and respond promptly to technological developments and the changing nature of online security threats could harm our competitive position and business prospects.

The needs of our customers and the threats they face evolve constantly. For example, in the endpoint security market, hackers and cybercriminals continuously develop and employ increasingly sophisticated techniques to penetrate systems and networks and access information. Although the market expects timely introduction of software solutions to respond to new threats, the development of these solutions can be challenging and time-consuming. We may experience delays in the introduction of new solutions, updates, enhancements and features. If we fail or are perceived to fail to respond to the rapidly changing needs of our users by developing and introducing on a timely basis Internet security solutions that effectively protect against new security threats, our competitive position, reputation and business prospects could be harmed.

Similarly, the endpoint security market is dynamic, characterized by new technologies and access points to the Internet, which also require protection from hackers and cybercriminal attacks. Responding to the challenges posed by these new platforms and their corresponding new threats can be difficult and require significant reengineering of our software. We may therefore fail or be perceived to fail to provide solutions for these new technology platforms as threats to them arise, which could harm our competitive position, reputation and business prospects and would have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If our upgrades and new products and online services, whether acquired or internally developed, fail to achieve widespread market acceptance, our competitive position and business prospects will be harmed.

Our ability to attract new users and to maintain or increase revenue from existing users depends largely upon our ability to continue providing competitive new products and enhanced solutions that meet the changing needs of our target user base as these needs arise. For example, we are introducing a number of new products to both the consumer and the SMB market such as AVG Zen and AVG Managed Workplace. If either these or similar new products fail to gain acceptance, our business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected. We plan to continue to invest in acquisitions, and to increase spending on research and development, marketing, promotion and

sales of our products and online services, with new features, functionalities, enhancements and upgrades. Acquisition of new companies and products creates integration risk,

Table of Contents

while development of upgrades and new products and online services involves significant time, labor and expense and is subject to risks and challenges including management of the length of the development cycle, adaptation to evolving industry standards, entry into new markets, integration into our existing product line, regulatory compliance, evolution in sales methods and acquisition and maintenance of intellectual property rights. If we fail to launch these upgrades or new solutions on time, or they do not achieve widespread market acceptance, do not meet user expectations, do not operate in an efficient manner, otherwise create the perception of slow operating performance, or generate revenue that is not sufficient to recoup or justify the cost of development, our business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected.

A significant percentage of our total revenue comes from purchases of subscriptions to our premium products, which must by their terms be renewed by our users to remain in force.

Historically, a significant majority of our active users have been users of our free products and online services. Our growth strategy is based in part on offering premium products on top of our core, free products. To the extent we are not able to convert these free users into subscription users, or otherwise attract users to our subscription products and services, our ability to generate subscription revenue would be adversely affected.

We generally provide our premium Internet security solutions pursuant to one-year and two-year subscriptions, after which the relevant product or services either cease to operate or are no longer updated with the latest online threat information (rendering the product increasingly less useful as new threats emerge). In 2013, subscription revenue accounted for approximately 62% of our total revenue, compared to 55% of our total revenue in 2012. While we offer subscription users the option to renew their subscriptions, a significant portion of our subscription users choose not to do so. We have taken steps to increase our renewal rates by, for example, adding an auto-renew option on some of our premium products and online services, but there can be no assurance that these efforts will be successful in increasing our renewal rates. The majority of users purchasing a license online in 2013 opted for auto-renewal. The European Union, or EU, has introduced the EU Consumer Rights Directive, scheduled to be enforced in EU member states beginning June 2014, that will restrict the use of auto-renewals, and we are currently implementing a new annual subscription model which is compliant with this Directive. Proposals to restrict auto-renewals are also under consideration in the United States, or U.S. To the extent that we must reduce or eliminate use of auto-renewals in these or other markets, our renewal rates may fall, potentially reducing the number of our subscription users. As a consequence, the growth of our subscription revenue depends significantly on attracting new subscription users, and this dependence could increase due to regulations concerning auto-renewal that are outside of our control. Furthermore, the success of our auto-renewal programs is dependent on maintaining high levels of credit card authorization rates, which are subject to factors outside our control. For example, recent high-profile data thefts, particularly in the U.S., have necessitated the wholesale replacement of consumer credit cards and resulted in a decline in credit card authorization rates. Any failure to maintain or improve the renewal rates of our subscription users or to attract new subscription users could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Furthermore, our ability to measure the conversion of free users into subscription users is limited as there are multiple upgrade paths to our premium products and online services, not all of which we are currently able to track or track accurately. If we are unable to effectively track changes in conversion rates, regardless of the reason for such changes, we may be unable to react to changing market dynamics, and this may negatively affect our growth and revenue. Uncertainty about the renewal rates of our subscription users also limits visibility with respect to future subscription revenue.

We are also planning to adapt our business model going forward for both SMBs and consumers to move towards a Software-as-a-Service (SaaS) model which may involve customers paying on a monthly basis rather than the current annual prepayment. Such a change may result in reduced customer retention and cash flow if our customers fail to

adopt our new billing methods.

Table of Contents

Our business will suffer if the SMB market for our products and online services proves less profitable than projected or if we fail to effectively acquire and service SMB customers.

We market and sell a significant proportion of our products and online services to SMBs which in 2013 represented 12% of our revenue, 12% of which was derived from a hosted SaaS model. We are seeking to continue to transition this business towards a SaaS-based model. Some of our competitors, by contrast, have emphasized sales to larger enterprises, which are attractive customers due to the high revenue and low relative costs they offer per transaction and high renewal rates. SMBs frequently have limited budgets and may choose to allocate resources to items other than our products and online services, especially in times of economic uncertainty. We believe that the SMB market is underserved, however, and we intend to continue to devote substantial resources to it. We aim to grow our revenue by adding new SMB customers, selling additional services to existing SMB customers and encouraging existing SMB customers to renew their subscriptions to our premium products and by the transformation of the business into a SaaS model. If the SMB market fails to be as profitable for us as we project, we are unable to market and sell our services to SMBs effectively or we are unable to successfully transform this business into a SaaS model, our ability to remain profitable may be harmed.

We operate in highly competitive markets and many of our competitors have significantly greater resources than we do.

The markets for the software solutions we offer are highly competitive and subject to rapid technological changes as customers' needs and the threats they face evolve. We expect competition to increase in the future and we may not be able to compete successfully against current or potential competitors. In particular, some of our competitors may make acquisitions or enter into agreements or other strategic relationships to offer more comprehensive products and/or services, and new competitors may enter the market through acquisitions, agreements or strategic or other such relationships.

We and other vendors compete on price and functionality at different price points. Additional competition may cause increased pricing pressure, which could reduce our revenue per user. For example, in the endpoint security software market, while we have historically been able to reduce pricing pressure on our premium solutions and limit the decline in revenue per subscription user by releasing regular product enhancements, there can be no assurance that pricing pressure will not adversely affect our subscription revenue, which would have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. Similarly, across our markets, our competitors may offer free products perceived to be superior to our offerings, causing our free and/or subscription users to switch and shrinking our monetizable user base.

Our main competitors fall into the following categories:

vendors with freemium pricing like our own, such as Avast!, Avira, PC Tools (which was acquired by Symantec), Carbonite and Dropbox;

traditional vendors such as Intel Security (formerly McAfee, which was acquired by Intel Corporation), Symantec and Trend Micro (which primarily provide software solutions, including security software, for large enterprises) and Eset, Kaspersky Labs, Panda Software, Sophos, Rising, Kingsoft, Check Point and F-Secure (which offer more customized and segment-focused products);

vendors offering PC optimization products, such as UniBlue;

secure search toolbar providers;

SMB SaaS players such as Kaseya and Solarwinds;

other vendors in the emerging mobile market, such as Lookout; and

large corporations offering a wide variety of products, only a few of which compete with ours, such as Microsoft, Google, which recently introduced free security software solutions, Apple, which offers cloud-based data protection, Qihoo, Tencent and Facebook.

Table of Contents

Many of these competitors have significantly greater brand name recognition and financial resources to devote to the development, promotion and sale of their products and/or services than we do. For example, Microsoft entered the endpoint security market through the introduction of Microsoft Security Essentials (Windows Defender under Windows 8), a free Internet security product that has captured a significant share of the free endpoint security market. Similarly, Google, one of our two primary providers for our platform-derived revenue stream, has offered products in the security space, for example, by adding a malware alarm to its search engine results display and by offering the Google App verification service, and it may increase its security offerings in the future. Further, smaller and/or more regionally focused vendors may be able to adapt more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer demand as recently evidenced by the rapid growth of Internet companies like Qihoo and Tencent.

Software vendors have begun to employ a cloud-based or SaaS business model in which vendors host applications for use by customers over the Internet. This model may disrupt existing models like the model we employ for most of our products and online services, based on software downloaded from the Internet that resides on a user's hard drive. Our competitors, including new cloud-based entrants or larger competitors with greater resources to shift to a new model, may have success with cloud-based provision of software, decreasing the demand for those of our products based on another model or new and existing products we may provide using the SaaS model, such as in the SMB market.

In the future, we may face competition from both emerging and established companies operating in our sector and in other areas of the IT business that may develop or acquire software that they may offer as part of their broader product or service offerings and/or for free. Original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, or operating system vendors may seek to include competitive, pre-installed, fully functional Internet software and mobile solutions with their core product offering. For example, Intel acquired McAfee, one of our leading competitors, and indicated it may integrate McAfee's security solutions into its hardware products, which may adversely affect sales of our aftermarket and/or standalone security solutions. In addition, other competitors may leverage their greater resources to develop relationships with OEMs or operating system vendors, thereby diminishing demand for our products and adversely affecting our ability to acquire and retain customers.

Our business relies on the significant experience and expertise of our key management and technical staff and we must continue to attract and retain highly skilled personnel in order to grow our business successfully.

Our future performance depends on the continued service and performance of our key management, research and development and sales personnel. We may fail to retain key management, research and development and sales employees, or to attract the qualified staff needed to manage and grow our business. We may also fail to attract and retain highly qualified technical, services and management personnel in the future, or may face time-consuming and costly integration of replacement personnel.

In particular, J.R. Smith, our former chief executive officer, or CEO, and member of our Management Board announced his intention to resign as CEO in March 2013 and did not put himself forward for election to the Supervisory Board. Mr. Smith remained CEO of our Company until a successor, Mr. Gary Kovacs was appointed in July 2013.

The appointment of a new CEO may cause a distraction to or hamper retention of our employees. Further, Mr. Kovacs is based in the United States away from our main operating centers in Europe. The new CEO may introduce changes or developments to the vision or strategy of the Company which may prove to be less successful than our prior strategy.

Any of these factors could adversely affect our business, revenue and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Our failure to adequately protect our customers' personal data and information or to comply with applicable laws, regulations and other obligations relating to privacy and data security could have a material adverse effect on our business.

A wide variety of provincial, state, national and foreign laws and regulations apply to the collection, use, retention, protection, disclosure, transfer and other processing of personal data and information. These data protection and privacy-related laws and regulations are evolving and may result in ever-increasing regulatory and public scrutiny and escalating levels of enforcement and sanctions. Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations, or to protect such data, could result in enforcement action, including fines, imprisonment of Company officials and public censure, claims for damages by customers and other affected individuals, damage to reputation and loss of goodwill (both in relation to existing customers and prospective customers), any of which could have a material adverse effect on our operations, financial performance and prospects. Evolving and changing definitions of personal data and information, especially relating to classification of IP addresses, machine identification, location data and other information, may limit or inhibit our ability to operate or expand our business, including limiting strategic partnerships that may involve the use or sharing of personal data and information. We offer a privacy product which monitors consumers' exposure to potential privacy breaches. As a provider of privacy products, any breach of the regulations or loss of customer data would have a potentially negative impact on our reputation in the privacy and security market. Even the perception of privacy concerns, whether or not valid, may harm our reputation and inhibit adoption of our products and services by current and future customers.

We are subject to privacy laws, which have become increasingly stringent.

We collect and process personal data (including email addresses, IP addresses, device identifiers, customer satisfaction data, physical names and addresses, customer service issues and website visits) as part of our business. In addition, a majority of our online customers purchase our services online with a credit card. As a result, not only must we comply with strict data protection and privacy laws in the EU, the U.S. and certain other jurisdictions where we operate, we must also comply with the laws applicable to merchants receiving credit card information and the standards adopted by credit card issuers. Those laws and standards regulate and restrict our ability to collect and use personal data and information relating to customers and potential customers. This could impact our strategy for future growth, which involves greater interaction with our customer base.

In the EU, there have been significant developments in the laws and regulations concerning data protection and privacy, including in relation to the use of cookies and the solicitation, collection, processing or other use of personal data and information, including data relating to consumers. In particular, the Privacy and Electronic Communications Regulations (PECR) or Cookies Law have been enacted by more jurisdictions across the EU. This increases our compliance obligations and creates additional work for our technical teams which could otherwise be focused on revenue generating activity. Data protection authorities have issued fines in cases of non-compliance. A new data protection regulation is under review by the European Parliament providing for fines of up to 2% of annual world-wide revenue for non-compliance, demanding breach notification requirements, more onerous data subject consent requirements (including requirements that consent must always be explicit), a so-called right to be forgotten and requirements to ensure privacy by design and by default.

In the U.S., the Governor of the State of California has signed two bills into law. The first, Assembly Bill No. 370, amends the California Online Privacy Protection Act and requires the conspicuous posting of a privacy policy on all commercial websites that collect the personally identifiable information (PII) of California residents. The amendments require that each privacy policy also disclose how the website operator responds to mechanisms, such as Do Not Track signals, that provide consumers with the ability to exercise choice regarding PII collection over time and across third-party websites. The second bill, Senate Bill No. 46, amends California's data breach notification law, adding to

the definition of personal information certain information that would permit access to an online account, and imposing additional disclosure requirements if a breach involves personal information that would permit access to an online account or email account. A breach of this

Table of Contents

information, if unencrypted, with respect to any California resident would trigger the state's data breach notification obligations. Additionally, starting in 2015, a new California law, Senate Bill No. 568, will require websites to provide a delete button for minors to remove posts or photos that they may later regret (the so-called "right to be forgotten"). There have also been guidance and proposals for regulation of mobile app development, potentially leading to an increase in opting out by customers and a narrowing of permissible purposes for the use and processing of personal data information. All of the foregoing requirements, and any additional laws, regulations or policies that may come into force in the future, are likely to increase our compliance burden, may discourage potential customers from using our website and our services, may reduce our ability to monetize our products and services, may reduce the opportunity presented by the emerging mobile market and may otherwise adversely affect our business.

These developments in law and regulation, and any future developments, could also affect our ability to execute our strategy of building additional commercial partnerships, as the collection, processing, use and sharing of customer data potentially needed to derive value from those partnerships may be prohibited or restricted. Any present or future laws which restrict the collection and use of personal data and information could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Additionally, the requirements imposed by data protection laws and regulations in one jurisdiction can conflict with laws, and the demands of regulators and other governmental authorities, in other jurisdictions. For example, U.S. authorities can demand access to data that is accessible to or controlled or collected by our group companies that are located in the U.S., pursuant to the USA PATRIOT Act including data collected or controlled by affiliates located outside of the U.S. If any of this data includes personal data and/or confidential information, it is possible that any such disclosure could cause us to breach data protection and privacy laws and regulations in one or more member states of the EU or other jurisdictions.

Our data security defenses could be breached.

Notwithstanding our efforts to secure our IT, data security and other systems, we are exposed to the risk that this personal data and information could be wrongfully accessed or used, whether by employees, customers or other third parties, or otherwise lost or disclosed or processed in a manner that violates applicable data protection laws and regulations. In certain jurisdictions where we operate, we are under an affirmative legal obligation to notify the appropriate government authorities or data subjects in circumstances where personal data and information may have been wrongly accessed or used, or otherwise lost or disclosed. Efforts to mitigate and comply with such laws, and even the perception of a data security breach, increase the risk that such incidents will lead to sanctions (including fines and other penalties), claims by data subjects and disclosure leading to public knowledge of the breach, potentially causing reputational damage to our business. The European Commission is considering proposals to broaden the obligation to implement security measures and report security incidents, and similar proposals may be made in the U.S.

Regulation of the Internet and the lack of certainty regarding application of existing laws to the Internet could substantially harm our operating results and business.

We are subject to laws and regulations applicable to doing business on the Internet over both PCs and mobile devices. It is often not clear how existing laws governing issues such as property ownership, sales tax and other taxes, libel and personal privacy apply to the Internet as these laws have in some cases failed to keep pace with technological change. Recently enacted laws governing the Internet could also impact our business. For instance, existing and future regulations on taxing Internet use or transactions or restricting the exchange of information over the Internet could result in reduced growth or a decline in the use of the Internet and could diminish the viability of our services. In particular, the EU has approved rules that are effective beginning June 2014 to restrict the use of automatic renewals

(and the U.S. is considering similar proposals), and we are currently implementing a new annual subscription model which is compliant with these new EU requirements. The new annual subscription model may reduce the renewal rate of and subscription revenue for our premium

Table of Contents

products. Furthermore, it is possible that governments of one or more countries may censor, limit or block certain users' access to our websites. Changing legal, regulatory and industry standards and industry self-regulation regarding the collection, use and disclosure of certain data may have similar effects. Any such adverse legal or regulatory developments could substantially harm our operating results and our business.

Prior to our initial public offering, we discovered that we and our resellers had sold or provided certain products to a small number of users in jurisdictions that are the subject of export controls laws and economic sanctions administered by the U.S. Commerce Department's Bureau of Industry and Security and the U.S. Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control, resulting in possible inadvertent violations of certain export controls laws and sanctions in the past. Despite having controls in place, we cannot guarantee that we will not violate such laws in the future.

While we have implemented and are actively maintaining, monitoring and improving an export control compliance program, which is intended to prevent access to our products to denied persons and to users located in prohibited countries as listed by the U.S. Commerce Department's Bureau of Industry & Security (BIS) and the U.S. Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC), we cannot guarantee that our compliance program will be sufficient to prevent all violations of export control laws. Our products are primarily free mass market software products and AVG believes it is in compliance with applicable export controls laws and economic sanctions and has taken the necessary actions to prevent the access of its products to denied persons and to users in prohibited countries.

However, previously we filed voluntary self-disclosures with OFAC and BIS to report occasional distributions of our products in Cuba, Iran, North Korea, Sudan and Syria during the five years before our IPO. We implemented a remediation plan, and the matters were closed without the imposition of any fines or penalties on us. In December 2011, we received a cautionary letter from OFAC, and in September 2013 we received a warning letter from BIS. There can be no assurance that our compliance policies, procedures and controls will be effective to prevent future violations. If we are found to be in violation of BIS, OFAC or similar regulations in the future, we may face criminal and civil penalties and reputational harm, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.

Our future revenue depends on our ability to continue to market to new users, which we may not be able to accomplish on a cost-effective basis or at all.

We are dependent on the acquisition of new users, many of whom have not previously used products and online services such as those we provide. We rely and have relied on a variety of marketing methods to attract new users to our solutions, such as generating interest through our user base and social networking services to create a user-driven marketing effect, public relations campaigns around new product and service launches and Internet security threats and efforts to improve our positioning in response to online searches through search engine optimization, search engines and other online advertising. Our ability to attract new users depends on the perceived value of our products and online services versus that of the premium and free products and online services offered by our competitors. This dependence increases as we move into new markets such as PC optimization and privacy and address new platforms such as mobile and as the number of users in our traditional PC market may begin to decline as a result of increased mobile usage. If our current marketing initiatives are not successful or become less effective, or if the cost of such initiatives were to significantly increase, we may not be able to attract new customers as efficiently and, as a result, our business and results of operations would be adversely affected.

We have historically relied heavily on user-driven marketing to attract new users to our solutions, although we expect to increase our spending on marketing in the future. If we are unable to maintain or increase the efficacy of our user-driven marketing strategy, particularly in the new markets we may enter, or if our more costly marketing

campaigns do not have the desired impact, we may be required to increase marketing expenses still further to compensate, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations. We cannot assure our shareholders that we will be successful in maintaining or expanding our user base on a cost-effective basis or at all, and failure to do so would adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

Table of Contents

Our software solutions may contain undetected errors, defects or security vulnerabilities, which could cause harm to our reputation and adversely affect our business.

Our software is inherently complex and may contain material defects, errors or vulnerabilities that may cause it to fail to perform in accordance with our technical specifications and program documentation, or with user expectations. As may happen to any vendor of software, errors, failures and bugs may be found in some of our new offerings or updates after initial distribution of those offerings or updates, particularly given that end users may deploy our products and services in computing environments with operating systems, software and/or hardware different than those in which we test our products and services before release. The costs incurred in analyzing, correcting or eliminating any material defects or errors in our software may be substantial. Furthermore, we may not be able to correct any defects or errors or address vulnerabilities promptly, or at all, or may become subject to legal disputes, claims and proceedings, including class action lawsuits, causing significant harm to our reputation and competitive position.

Any defects, errors or vulnerabilities may cause interruptions to the availability of our software and result in lost or delayed market acceptance and sales, or may require us to issue refunds to our customers. Security products are critical to the businesses of many of our customers, which may make them more sensitive to defects in our products than to defects in other types of software. We could face claims for product liability, tort, breach of warranty or damages caused by faulty installation of, or defects in, our products. In the event of claims, provisions in our contracts relating to warranty disclaimers and liability limitations may be unenforceable. Defending a lawsuit, regardless of its merit, could be costly and divert management attention. Defects, errors and vulnerabilities may also lead to loss of existing or potential customers, to diversion of development resources, or to increasing our services, warranty, product replacement and product liability insurance costs, and may damage our reputation. Our product liability insurance coverage may be inadequate or future coverage may be unavailable on acceptable terms or at all.

We have experienced rapid growth in recent years and we may not be able to sustain the same rate of growth or maintain our operating or profit margins going forward.

Our revenue has grown significantly in recent years, increasing by 14.4% in 2013, compared with 2012, 30.7% in 2012, compared with 2011 and 25.4% in 2011 compared with 2010. Although our operating income margins increased by 19.7% in 2013 compared to 2012, this followed a decrease of 10.2% in 2012 compared to 2011 and a decrease of 16.7% in 2011 compared to 2010. We cannot provide assurance that our operating margins will not decrease again. We operate in a dynamic and changing market and investors should not rely on our historical results as an indication of our future operating performance. Further, as a result of the increased scale of our business, we believe that it is unlikely that we will be able to maintain the historical rate of growth of our revenue in future periods, and that in 2014 we may see a decline in revenue following our decision to exit third-party search distribution activities and the continuing impact of changes in search engine guidelines on platform-derived revenue.

In addition, we plan to pursue a strategy of continued growth, which has required and will continue to require substantial managerial and financial resources particularly as we seek to expand into the mobile market. Our current systems, procedures and staffing may not be adequate to support our future operations. To accommodate our recent and future growth, we must continue to expand our operational, engineering and financial systems and IT infrastructure, improve our accounting and other internal management procedures and systems and hire additional staff. In addition, our efforts to expand our business geographically include developing local language versions of our security software solutions, opening new offices and call centers, including centers to support our solutions in additional languages, hiring staff in new areas and adding additional functionality to our solutions. If we fail to manage our growth adequately, to adapt our operational, financial and management information systems and IT infrastructure, or to motivate or manage our employees effectively, the quality of our products and the management of our operations and costs would suffer, which could adversely affect our operating results. Furthermore, like many peer

companies, we engage contractors as well as

Table of Contents

employees. There is some risk that relevant authorities, both in jurisdictions where we have well-established operations and those we have recently entered, could seek to reclassify our arrangements with certain contractors as employment relationships, with potentially adverse tax and regulatory consequences.

As we expand we may also choose to rationalize our operations in certain business areas. This may lead to reductions in our headcount, changes in our systems or alterations to our processes, any of which may compromise the effectiveness of our operations or reduce staff morale and efficiency and which may be costly to implement or maintain. In particular, in early 2013 we restructured the way we support our PC optimization product portfolio, which may limit our ability to maintain and grow revenues from that technology, and in late 2013 we commenced a restructuring involving a reduction in headcount and the relocation of our e-Shop to the Netherlands on January 1, 2014, which could adversely impact our operations and financial results if not executed effectively or if we lose skills, experience or capabilities that we need to be successful in the future.

In addition, we regularly evaluate and, where appropriate, implement changes to, our internal control structure. Implementing any such changes to our internal control structure may divert management attention, entail substantial costs and take significant time to complete. At the same time we are seeking to rationalize our financial operations and increase automation while reducing costs. These changes may not, however, be effective in maintaining the adequacy of our internal control structure in line with the growth of the business, and any failure to maintain that adequacy, or consequent inability to produce financial statements or provide accurate data from which we derive our key metrics, or monitor business developments on a timely basis, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

If our revenue growth for any period falls short of our expectations, we may not be able to adjust our costs in a timely manner, which could reduce our profit margin in that period.

Although we base our planned operating expenses in part on our expectations of future revenue, a substantial portion of our expenses is fixed in the short term and cannot be reduced quickly if our future revenue falls short of expectations. In particular, in November 2013, we made the decision to gradually exit our third party search distribution business in a controlled manner. If we are not able to exit the search distribution business in the manner we anticipate or if there are further changes which alter our plans to monetize our active user base, our revenue may not meet our expectations. Accordingly, if the rate of growth of our revenue in any period is significantly less than we anticipated, because either our user base is smaller, our subscription renewal rate is lower than expected or our efforts to monetize our active user base fall short, we may be unable to adjust our cost base proportionally on an accurate and timely basis, which would reduce our operating margin.

Our current operations are international in scope and we plan further geographic expansion, creating a variety of operational challenges.

Our offices, personnel and customers are dispersed around the world. We face difficulties including costs associated with developing software and providing support in many languages, varying seasonality patterns, potential adverse movement of currency exchange rates, longer payment cycles and difficulties in collecting accounts receivable in some countries, tariffs and trade barriers, a variety of regulatory or contractual limitations on our ability to operate, adverse tax events, reduced protection of intellectual property rights in some countries and a geographically and culturally diverse workforce and customer base. The geographic distribution of employees in particular has expanded over time and we plan to continue this expansion as we move employees away from our traditional operational center in the Czech Republic. Failure to overcome any of these difficulties could negatively affect our results of operations. We intend to further expand our operations globally by initially seeking to expand our user base, developing a local version of our product, seeking to introduce localized services and finding a local distributor to manage the distribution of our premium solutions. If these efforts are unsuccessful in creating and expanding our global user base,

or if our expansion increases the difficulties of running a global company, our results of operations could be harmed.

Table of Contents

We may not be able to integrate businesses we have acquired or may in the future acquire and those acquisitions may fail to provide us with the benefits we anticipated.

We have acquired and made investments in other companies and services to expand our technology capabilities, our product breadth and functionalities, our user base and our geographical presence, and we intend to continue to make acquisitions and investments in the future, which may be of a more significant magnitude than the ones we have undertaken historically. The integration of acquired businesses and their operations, technologies and products involves the incurrence of acquisition costs and may expose us to liabilities, including unanticipated liabilities and tax liabilities, operating difficulties and expenditures associated with the assimilation and retention of employees of the acquired business, acquisition legal contingencies, risks related to maintaining procedures, controls and quality standards and other risks and difficulties. These difficulties may increase relative to the size of an acquisition. We may not be able to achieve the anticipated benefits from any acquisition or investment and the consideration paid for an acquisition or investment may also affect our financial results. Such acquisitions and investments could divert management's time and focus from operating our business and divert other resources needed in other parts of our business. The financing of acquisitions or investments in other companies may require us to use a substantial portion of our available cash, raise debt, which would increase our interest expense, or to issue shares or other rights to purchase shares, which may result in dilution to existing shareholders and decrease our earnings per share. Moreover, acquisitions may result in write-offs and restructuring charges as well as in creation of goodwill and other intangible assets that are subject to regular impairment testing, which could result in future impairment charges. All of these factors could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We are subject to fluctuations in our financial results, making it difficult to project future results.

A variety of factors cause volatility in our financial results, making any projections of future results uncertain. Such factors include but are not limited to fluctuations in demand for or pricing of our products and services, impacts of acquisitions, timing of product orders and payments, issues relating to alliances with third parties, product and geographic mix, timing of new products and customers, currency exchange fluctuations and potential accelerations of prepaid expenses and deferred costs and the impact of taxation with respect to the foregoing.

Accurately measuring the number and retention of our active and subscription users is difficult and our failure to accurately measure the number and retention of our active and subscription users at any time will compromise our ability to monitor our key performance indicators, which in turn could adversely affect our ability to manage our business.

We measure the number of active users as those that (i) have downloaded and installed our free software on a PC and have connected to our server at least twice, including at least once in the preceding 30-day period, (ii) represent a unique mobile device, which has installed one or more of our mobile applications, from which at least one application has contacted our server twice in the preceding 30-day period (with at least 24 hours between the first and second contact), (iii) have a valid subscription license for our software solutions or (iv) represent a unique device using our secure search solution that has made at least one secure search in the preceding 30-day period. Subscription users are active users who subscribe to our premium products and online services, the primary component of our subscription revenue. We include in the number of free users those with trial licenses and licenses distributed for promotional purposes. We believe that our methodology for counting our user base is more conservative than methodologies used by other software companies, some of which count users with less frequent interaction.

We will continue to attempt to improve the accuracy of our measurement of the numbers of our active users, but we cannot provide assurance that we will be successful in doing so. For example, we have made various adjustments to our counting methodology over time, including by changing the way users are recognized and counted during upgrade

campaigns. While we believe these adjustments have improved the overall accuracy of our user numbers, we have still discovered some errors. As a result, we also introduced two new systems for

Table of Contents

counting user numbers. This project is ongoing, however, and will not be fully implemented until all users have migrated to the new version of our products. Once these counting systems are fully implemented, based on initial results, we expect that we will have greater confidence in the accuracy of our user counts, but these systems remain new and have not been tested over time, and there can be no assurance that they will meet our expectations. We seek to analyze and eliminate any double count of the number of active users who are using our search product or our PC optimization product as well as our PC security product in our user base.

We also face difficulties in quantifying user retention, both in terms of user churn and subscription renewal rates. This difficulty is in part due to our limited ability to track non-subscription users based on personally identifiable information. For instance, when a non-subscription user replaces a device on which he or she uses our products and services, this event typically registers as the loss of an existing user and the gain of a new one, causing no change to the size of our user base but inflating the level of apparent churn. We compile renewal rates for users of particular subscription products, but such rates only describe the activities of subscription users representing a small portion of our total active user base. Furthermore, we offer a variety of products and online services and do not have integrated methods of quantifying retention of our users across these products and services. This inability to quantify certain aspects of aggregate user behavior may adversely affect our business if we are unable to accurately monitor the results of our strategic decisions on pricing and product introduction and marketing. In particular, if we are unable to accurately assess and predict user behavior, our initiative to up-sell and cross-sell our expanding set of products and services to users across our platform may be harmed.

Our understanding and management of our business depends on accurate measures of the numbers of our active and subscription users and other key performance indicators derived from these metrics and our management decisions may be suboptimal if our measurements of these key metrics are inaccurate. Furthermore, if a significant understatement or overstatement of our active user or subscription user metrics were to occur, the market might perceive us to be underperforming or to have inadequate systems, which could adversely affect our share price. Additionally, as we grow into other markets and particularly the mobile market, certain of our other users may also be among our PC users, and we are currently unable to determine the extent of this duplication. This means that the number of our underlying customers is likely to be less than the number of our active users when calculated as described above.

We currently define a customer, as opposed to an active user, as a person who purchases or uses a product or service, or multiple products or services. We do not currently have the means to accurately count the number of our customers. We intend to develop such means, but our ability to do so will be constrained by our technical capabilities.

We depend on download sites and search engines to attract a significant percentage of our users and if those sites or search engines change their listings, increase their pricing or experience a material reduction in their online traffic, our ability to attract new users would be adversely affected.

Many of our users locate our products and online services and our website through download sites and search engines. A significant number of new users of our endpoint security solution acquire that software through just one of the many download sites that features our products, CNET's Download.com website. If any of our key download sites such as Download.com cease to feature or carry our solutions or traffic to them declines, fewer potential users may download our products, particularly our AVG Anti-Virus Free Edition. Search engines typically provide two types of search results—algorithmic and purchased listings—and we rely on both types. Algorithmic listings cannot be purchased and are determined and displayed based on criteria formulated by the relevant search engine. Search engines revise their algorithms from time to time in an attempt to optimize their search results. If the search engines on which we rely for algorithmic listings modify their algorithms in a manner that reduces the prominence of our listings, fewer potential users may click through to our websites, requiring us to resort to more costly methods of attracting this traffic.

Furthermore, the majority of our traffic from search engines came from a single source, Google. This traffic is unrelated to our agreement with Google to steer search queries to Google in connection with our dynamic secure search solution. The concentration of search traffic

Table of Contents

from a single search engine increases our vulnerability to potential algorithm modifications by that search engine. Any failure to replace the traffic to our website coming from search engines could reduce our revenue or require us to increase our customer acquisition expenditures.

Similarly, we have in the past and may in the future be the target of so-called cybersquatters, who seek to register Internet domain names that are confusingly similar to our own domains or marks. Although we have not suffered material losses due to cybersquatters to date, we nonetheless make diligent efforts to block their activities. Some cybersquatters have taken our free product and sold it to users, which could lead to confusion among our users and, ultimately, a loss of these users, which would reduce any platform revenue that we would have received from these users. In addition, although no cyber-squatting incidents have raised material security concerns relating to our business and products to date, there can be no guarantee that future cybersquatting incidents will not cause material losses or raise security concerns. If search engines rank cybersquatters' imitation websites above our own sites, existing or potential customers may be misled, and this could harm our reputation, cost us consumer goodwill and negatively affect our operating results.

Adverse conditions in national and global economies or adverse information technology spending trends in the consumer and SMB markets may adversely affect our business and financial results.

National and global economies have recently experienced a prolonged downturn and the future severity of adverse economic conditions and the length of time such conditions may persist is unknown. In particular, ongoing concerns about sovereign debt in both the EU and the U.S. have increased economic uncertainty and raised the possibility of further disruption in the global capital markets. During challenging economic times, periods of high unemployment and in tight credit markets, many of our users may delay or reduce technology purchases. This is true of both consumers and SMBs, whether independent or part of a network. Such delays or reductions in purchasing could result in reductions in sales primarily of our online services, as well as our products, difficulties in collecting our accounts receivable, slower adoption of new technologies, lower renewal rates and increased price competition.

Other trends (whether the result of economic factors or otherwise), such as reduction in interest in our products leading to declines in traffic to our sites, declines in the demand for PCs, servers and other computing devices, or softness in small business and consumer information technology spending, could have similar effects. Nearly all of our revenue is currently derived from our PC users and there has been a global slowdown in PC shipments as consumers and businesses switch to mobile devices. The persistence of any of these conditions would likely harm our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

In 2013, 49.6% of our total revenue was comprised of sales into the U.S. and we are therefore exposed to conditions in the U.S. Any continuation of or further deterioration in these conditions or a reduction in consumer or small business information technology spending for any reason could result in a downturn in sales of our premium software solutions or adoption of our online services such as our hosted SaaS offering, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on our growth, business, revenue and results of operations.

False detection of viruses or other security or privacy threats by our products and online services could adversely affect our business.

Some of our solutions identify threats by behavioral monitoring, which is a methodology that seeks to identify threats by their behavior rather than scanning for malicious code. There are inherent inaccuracies in the detection of threats based on behavioral monitoring and as a result, our anti-virus and other Internet security services may falsely indicate the presence of viruses and other threats that do not actually exist even when these programs are working correctly. These false positives may impair the perceived reliability of our services and may therefore harm our market

reputation. Also, our anti-spam and anti-spyware services may falsely identify emails or programs as unwanted spam or potentially unwanted programs, or alternatively fail to properly identify unwanted emails or programs, particularly as spam emails or spyware are often designed to circumvent Internet

Table of Contents

security software. Parties whose emails or programs are blocked by our services may seek redress against us for labeling them as spammers or spyware, or for interfering with their business. In addition, false identification of emails or programs as unwanted spam or potentially unwanted software may reduce the popularity and adoption of our services. Also, since our launch of Do-Not-Track functionality we may falsely identify and block tracking advertising networks or websites. If our system restricts important files, applications or tracking advertising networks or websites based on falsely identifying them as malware or some other item that should be restricted, this could adversely affect customers' systems and cause material system failures. Any such false identification of important files, applications or tracking advertising networks or websites could result in negative publicity, loss of customers and sales, increased costs to remediate and costly litigation.

Our software products and IT infrastructure may be subject to intentional disruption that could harm our reputation and future sales.

We have been the target of spam attacks on our email addresses and denial of service and other sophisticated attacks on our websites, mail system and firewalls. Although we believe we have sufficient controls in place to prevent intentional disruptions or to regain control following such an attack, we expect to be an ongoing target of attacks specifically designed to impede the performance of our products. Similarly, experienced computer programmers, including programmers on our staff, could attempt to penetrate our network security or the security of our solutions and misappropriate proprietary information or cause interruption of our services. Because the techniques used by such computer programmers to access or sabotage networks change frequently and may not be recognized until launched against a target, we may be unable to anticipate these attacks. In addition, hackers have created pirated versions of our software, malware that attacks our software after it has been downloaded onto customers' computers and malware that deceptively assumes our brand name and imitates the interface of our software, encouraging our users and potential users to download it in place of our software. We may face legal liability, our activities could be adversely affected and our reputation, brand and future sales could be harmed if these intentionally disruptive efforts are or are perceived to be successful.

We may be required to expend significant resources both to protect us against system architecture and network failure and disruption and to enable us to grow our business.

Our core web server content is stored in five data centers, which are located in Amsterdam, London, Toronto, Hong Kong and Prague. Virus definition files are sent to Akamai Technologies GmbH, a content delivery network, for distribution to our customers and backed up at our primary and secondary data centers, which are located at separate sites in Brno for redundancy purposes. While we have disaster recovery plans for critical business systems, we currently do not have written or formal business continuity plans with regard to our virus research and systems in general. Furthermore, our systems are composed of various components which were not designed to interoperate with one another, potentially leading to significant system breakdowns. Although we believe we have the technical knowledge necessary to mitigate problems relating to our systems and system architecture, we may at any time be required to expend significant capital or other resources (including staff and management time and resources) to protect ourselves against network failure and disruption, including the replacement or upgrading of our existing business continuity systems, procedures and security measures. In addition, our evolving business model and growth plan will require expansion and upgrading of our systems to support additional users, localities, products and online services. These expansions and upgrades will likely consume significant capital and managerial resources.

If replacements, expansions, upgrades and/or other maintenance are not implemented successfully or completed efficiently, or there are operational failures, the quality of our product portfolio and service experienced by our users will be adversely affected. If, as a result, users were to reduce or stop their use of our Internet security solutions, this could have a material adverse effect on our operations, financial performance and prospects.

Table of Contents

We may become subject to unanticipated tax liabilities that have a material adverse effect on us or our shareholders.

AVG Technologies N.V. is a company incorporated under the laws of the Netherlands and on this basis is subject to Netherlands tax laws as a Netherlands resident taxpayer. We believe that it is resident solely in the Netherlands for tax purposes and that we, and in certain cases, the holders of our shares, can rely on this position for purposes of the application of tax treaties between the Netherlands and other jurisdictions. However, if our tax position were successfully challenged by applicable tax authorities, or if there were changes in the tax laws, tax treaties, or the interpretation or application thereof (which could in certain circumstances have retroactive effect) or in the manner in which we conduct our activities and manage our operations, AVG Technologies N.V. could be considered or may become a resident of a jurisdiction other than the Netherlands, which could subject us to unanticipated tax liabilities, possibly on a retroactive basis and holders of our shares could become subject to different tax treatment in respect of the acquisition, holding (including in respect of dividend payments on our shares or other distributions), redemption or disposal of our shares.

Challenges by various tax authorities to our international structure may, if successful, increase our effective tax rate and adversely affect our earnings.

We are a Dutch public limited liability company holding subsidiaries that operate in multiple jurisdictions in addition to the Netherlands, including the United States, Czech Republic, Germany, Cyprus, United Kingdom, Israel, France, Australia, Canada, Switzerland and China. We have undertaken, and may in the future undertake, internal re-organizations which alter the proportion of people, resources and revenue in any given jurisdiction over time. In particular we have moved away from our original Czech-based operations towards a more international company. As a result, we will need to modify our tax structure to reflect these business developments.

Our income taxes are based upon the applicable tax laws and tax rates in the Netherlands and these jurisdictions as well as upon our operating structures in these countries. At the end of 2013 we made the decision to transfer our e-commerce operations from Cyprus to the Netherlands beginning January 2014, which will also impact our tax structure. We determine the amount of taxes we are required to pay based on our interpretation of the applicable laws and regulations in the jurisdictions in which we operate and our application of the general transfer pricing principles to our cross-border intercompany transactions. Many countries' tax laws and international treaties impose taxation upon entities that conduct a trade or business or operate through a permanent establishment in those countries. However, these applicable laws and treaties are subject to interpretation. The tax authorities in these countries may contend that a greater portion of the income of the group should be subject to income or other tax in their respective jurisdictions. This may result in an increase to our effective tax rate and adversely affect our results of operations. Moreover, tax audits may expose us to potential adverse tax consequences, including interest payments and potential penalties.

Changes in international or national tax laws and regulations or in the channels in which we distribute our solutions may adversely affect our business and reported results.

Our business is internationally organized in multiple jurisdictions that can be subject to changes in international or national tax regulations and related proposals.

Changes in such tax laws, regulations, related interpretations and tax accounting standards in the United States, Czech Republic, Germany, Cyprus, United Kingdom, Israel, France, Australia, China, Canada, Switzerland, the Netherlands and other jurisdictions may result in a higher tax rate on our earnings, which may result in a significant negative impact on our earnings and cash flow from operations. Governments are increasingly considering tax law changes as a means to cover budgetary shortfalls resulting from the recent economic environment. If such proposals were enacted,

or if modifications were made to certain existing tax treaties, or if tax authorities were to change their interpretations of such existing laws or treaties, the consequences may have a material adverse impact on us, including increasing our tax burden, increasing costs of our tax compliance or otherwise adversely affecting our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Table of Contents

In addition, even if tax laws and regulations remain unchanged, a change in the channels in which we distribute our solutions could adversely affect our effective tax rate. For example, growth in retail box-on-shelf sales would subject us to additional income tax in the jurisdiction of the relevant selling entity, resulting in a higher portion of our income being subject to a higher tax rate. These events could increase our effective tax rate and therefore reduce our net profits.

Laws regarding the tax deductibility of interest in the Netherlands may adversely affect our financial position and results of operations.

The Dutch government has approved legislation limiting the deductibility of interest. The law limits the interest deductions in respect of all loans used to acquire a Dutch target company which is either (i) included in a corporation tax consolidation (i.e. fiscal unity) with the acquirer or (ii) merged with the acquirer. Interest expenses incurred on borrowings obtained to finance such acquisition structures would be deductible against the stand-alone taxable income of the acquirer only (i.e., in effect, the fiscal unity or legal merger is disregarded). A grandfathering applies for leveraged acquisitions that resulted in the inclusion of the Dutch target company in a fiscal unity or legal merger with the acquirer before November 15, 2011. The legislation should not limit the tax deductibility of the interest payable by us under our current indebtedness. However, in certain circumstances if we were to incur new indebtedness, the legislation would limit the tax deductibility of the interest payable by us in the future and could therefore have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operation.

U.S. states may seek to impose state and local business taxes.

Even if AVG's non-U.S. entities are not subject to U.S. federal income tax, those entities could still be liable for U.S. state and local business activity taxes based upon income or gross receipts. States generally impose business activity taxes on entities deriving revenue from customers located within the state, owning or leasing property in the state or employing personnel in the state. States are becoming increasingly aggressive in asserting nexus for business activity tax purposes. Therefore, in states where we have customers, employees, agents or any activity, state tax authorities may attempt to assert nexus. If, based on our sale of products or services in the state, a state tax authority asserts that our activities give rise to nexus, we could be subject to an increased state and local tax burden.

We may not be able to make the necessary changes in our systems to achieve compliance with new valued-added tax (VAT) regulations in the European Union (EU), or may otherwise fail to comply with our obligations to collect and pay VAT on sales made within the EU.

Effective January 1, 2015, all businesses that supply e-services, broadcasting or telecommunications services to EU consumers will need to charge VAT at the rate of the member state where the customer is based, instead of at the rate where the business is based. These changes are the final phase of the VAT Package within EU Council Directive 2008/8/EC of February 12, 2008, amending Directive 2006/112/EC as regards the place of supply of services. This rule has applied to non-EU suppliers for many years, but is now applicable to suppliers based within the EU, including us. To simplify the process of collection and accounting for VAT in the twenty-eight member states of the EU, a one-stop-shop registration scheme (OSS) will enable suppliers to register for VAT in only one member state, instead of in every country where it has customers.

As a consequence of this change, we will need to adapt our systems and processes to ensure we can accurately determine the member state of residence of customers in the EU so that we can collect VAT at the correct rate and remit it to the tax authorities. If we are unable to adapt our systems and processes by January 1, 2015 we may risk penalties for non-compliance as well as any potential costs of paying VAT that we should have, but have not collected, together with associated interest, or in refunding customers where we may have incorrectly collected VAT.

Any non-compliance with our obligations to collect and pay VAT, or any impact on our customers in the EU from an inadequate VAT collection process, could have an adverse impact on our reputation and on the willingness of customers to do business with us, potentially leading to a reduction in active users and in revenue. In addition, the requirement to adapt our systems to meet this requirement may divert resources and funds that could otherwise have been available to support our future growth.

Table of Contents

End-user taxation in our key sales jurisdictions could impact our revenues and a successful assertion that we should have been collecting (or collecting additional) sales tax or other transaction taxes on prior sales of products or services could result in substantial tax liabilities.

Point-of-sale taxation, including U.S. state and local sales/use taxes, of our products and of services provided over the Internet or other charges imposed by government agencies or by private organizations for accessing the Internet, may be imposed on our end users and/or on us. Increased taxation on our end users for the purchase or use of our products and online services could have a material impact on the purchasing decisions of our end users and adversely affect our revenues and could also increase our internal costs. If we do not collect reimbursement for such assessments from our customers, we would become liable for these taxes. In addition, a successful assertion by any state, local jurisdiction or country that we should have been collecting (or should have been collecting additional) sales or other transaction taxes on the sale of our products or services could result in substantial tax liabilities related to past sales.

Historically, we have taken the position that our sales of software delivered over the Internet are not subject to sales/use taxes in the states in the United States. This conclusion was based on one or both of the following propositions: (i) many states do not impose sales/use tax on electronic delivery of our products; and (ii) AVG Cyprus (and, subsequent to our internal reorganization, AVG eCommerce CY Limited), operating our ecommerce online sales platform, and AVG Netherlands B.V., operating our search partnerships and third party search distribution, did not have a physical presence and did not have nexus in any state in the United States. However, it is possible that a state or local jurisdiction in which we do not charge and collect sales/use tax or other transaction taxes could assert that we should have previously been collecting such taxes in prior periods; if successful, such challenges could require us to pay substantial amounts of taxes, interest and penalties, which could have an adverse effect on our business.

In addition, national and local governments are facing deteriorating fiscal situations and tax authorities are currently reviewing the appropriate treatment of transactions and companies engaged in electronic commerce. New or revised tax laws and regulations are being passed that may subject us or our customers to additional sales, income and other taxes. In particular, there has recently been much activity and legislation as well as audits and court cases involving imposition of sales/use taxes on e-commerce transactions in several U.S. states.

For example, some states are adopting statutes (sometimes colloquially referred to as Amazon Laws) which attribute to out-of-state vendors the in-state physical presence of a referral source, and these laws may adversely affect our business. If the vendor compensates even unrelated parties for driving business to its website (i.e., by click-through) then, in some states, there is a rebuttable presumption the vendor has nexus, which enables the state to charge sales tax on the vendor's transactions. We use referral sources which we compensate, and there is a risk that we will have to collect sales/use taxes or terminate our relationship with important referral sources, including those based in key states such as California and New York that have adopted these so-called Amazon Laws. In addition to click-through nexus statutes, some states have introduced extensive and onerous reporting requirements that are burdensome and costly and could affect our business. Although the constitutionality of these click-through statutes and reporting requirements is being challenged on various grounds, if these laws are upheld as constitutional, such a result likely would lead to more widespread adoption of similar legislation which could further negatively impact our business.

We rely on software licensed from third parties, including server software, and intellectual property rights associated with such software, that are required for the provision of our services. These licenses may be difficult to retain or the third-party software could cause errors or failures of our solutions.

We rely on software licensed from third parties to offer some of our services and software solutions, including server software from Mailshell, and other third-party technology. In addition, we may need to obtain future licenses from third parties to use intellectual property rights associated with the development of our solutions, which might not be

available to us on acceptable terms, or at all. Any loss of the right to use any third-party software required for the development and maintenance of our solutions could result in delays in the

Table of Contents

provision of our solutions until equivalent technology is either developed by us, or, if available, is identified, obtained and integrated, which could harm our business. Any errors or defects in third-party software could result in errors or a failure of our solutions which could result in legal disputes, claims and proceedings, including class action law suits, and harm our business.

Some of our solutions contain open source software, which may pose increased risk to our proprietary software.

We may have in the past and could in the future combine our proprietary software with certain open source software in a certain manner, which could, under certain of the open source licenses, require us to release the source code of our proprietary software. In addition to risks related to license requirements, usage of certain open source software can lead to greater risks than use of third-party commercial software, as open source licensors generally do not provide warranties or controls on the origin of software. We cannot be sure that all open source code is submitted by our developers to our internal review process for approval prior to its inclusion in our products. In addition, many of the risks associated with the usage of open source software may be difficult to eliminate and could, if not properly addressed, negatively affect our business.

We are and could be subject to further claims for infringing third-party intellectual property rights, which could adversely affect our business.

Because of the nature of our business, including development and acquisition of intellectual property rights and the hiring of employees formerly employed by competitors, we are and could become further subject to claims, some of which could be material, of infringement by competitors and other third parties with respect to current and future software solutions, patents, trademarks, copyrights, licenses or other intellectual property rights. In addition, we license and utilize certain third-party proprietary and open source software as part of our solutions offering. If an author or another third party that distributes such third-party or open source software were to allege that we had not complied with the conditions of one or more of these licenses, we could be required to incur significant legal expenses defending against such allegations and could be subject to significant damages, required to disclose and/or provide part of the source code of our proprietary software for no fee, enjoined from the sale of our services and required to comply with conditions that could disrupt the distribution and sale of some of our services.

To the extent that we gain greater visibility and market exposure, we may face a higher risk of being the subject of intellectual property rights infringement claims. Moreover, some of these claims may involve patent holding companies (non-practicing entities) or other adverse patent owners who have no relevant product revenue of their own and against whom our own patent portfolio may therefore provide little or no deterrence. Any claims of infringement by a third party, even those without merit, could damage our reputation and the value of our brand, cause us to incur substantial defense costs and distract our management and employees from our business. Furthermore, a party making such a claim, if successful, could secure a judgment that requires us to pay substantial damages. A judgment could also include an injunction or other court order that could prevent or limit us from offering our software. In addition, we might be required to seek a license for the use of the infringed intellectual property rights, which may not be available on commercially reasonable terms or at all. Alternatively, we might be required to develop non-infringing technology, which could require significant effort and expense and which might not be successful.

Third parties may also assert infringement claims relating to our software against our customers. Any of these claims might require us to initiate or defend potentially protracted and costly litigation on their behalf, regardless of the merits of these claims. If any of these claims were to succeed, we might be forced to pay damages on behalf of our customers, which could adversely affect our business.

Table of Contents

Protection of our intellectual property rights is currently limited and any misuse of our intellectual property rights by others could adversely affect our revenue and results of operations.

Proprietary technology used in our software is important to our success. To protect our intellectual property rights related to our software, products, services, solutions and development, we have to date focused primarily on patents, trademarks, copyrights, trade secrets, confidentiality procedures and protections afforded by contractual provisions. For example, we have registered trademarks such as AVG and our logo in the United States, the European Union and in various countries throughout the world where we currently do business or are planning to do business.

Intellectual property rights laws and regulations are uncertain.

The protection from and enforcement of any intellectual property rights in the markets in which we operate are uncertain. The laws of countries in which we operate or intend to expand our operations, including China, may afford little or no protection to our patents, copyrights, trade secrets and other intellectual property rights. While we monitor the use of and respect for our intellectual property rights, policing unauthorized use of our trademarks and our patented, copyrighted and trade secret technologies and proving infringement or misappropriation of our intellectual property rights are difficult. In addition, certain of our licenses are click-through licenses or are unsigned, which may render them unenforceable under the laws of some jurisdictions. Furthermore, any changes in, or unexpected interpretations of, the copyright, trade secret and other intellectual property rights laws in any country in which we operate or intend to expand our operations may adversely affect our ability to enforce our copyright, trade secret and other intellectual property rights. Costly and time-consuming litigation could be necessary to enforce or defend our intellectual property rights and/or to determine the scope of our confidential information, intellectual property right and trade secret protection. If we are unable to protect our intellectual property rights or if third parties independently develop similar technologies to ours or otherwise gain legal access to our or similar technologies, our competitive position and brand recognition could suffer and our revenue, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

Protection of trade secrets is limited.

We seek to protect our software, trade secrets and proprietary information, in part, by generally requiring our employees and consultant contractors to enter into agreements providing for the maintenance of confidentiality and the assignment of rights to inventions made by them while employed by or providing consulting services to us. We also enter into non-disclosure agreements with our distributors, resellers and other business partners to protect our confidential and proprietary information. There can be no assurance that our confidentiality agreements with our employees and third parties will not be breached, that we will be able effectively to enforce these agreements or to have adequate remedies for any breach or that our trade secrets and other proprietary information will not be disclosed or otherwise cease to be protected. Accordingly, despite our efforts, we may be unable to prevent third parties infringing or misappropriating our intellectual property rights and using our technology for their competitive advantage. Any such infringement or misappropriation could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We may encounter difficulties and uncertainties in obtaining or enforcing patents.

Currently we have several patent applications pending in various jurisdictions, including the United States, the European Patent Organization and Canada, and filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty. For example, we have applied for patent protection for our LinkScanner technology, as well as our software for detection of harmful software and code analyses. We do not know whether any pending patent applications we have filed or will file in the future will result in the issuance of patents. Moreover, any patents that have been granted to us or may be granted may

be opposed, contested or designed around by a third party, or may be subject to other limitations. We therefore may not receive significant competitive advantages from any patent rights that have been or that may ultimately be granted to us.

Table of Contents

The value of our products and online services is partially dependent on the quality of our support services and our failure to offer adequate support services could have an adverse effect on our reputation and results of operations.

Our users depend on us and, to some extent, on our user community, to resolve installation, technical or other issues relating to our software solutions and online services. We consider a high level of service to be critical for the successful marketing and adoption of our software as well as for retaining our existing users. In providing that service, we rely in part on the feedback and knowledge shared through our active online user community. Also, we have outsourced certain of our consumer support functions to third-party service providers, which may fail to perform at the level specified in our contracts with them. We also now provide a service in certain geographies where free user support is available for free users. If we, our user community or our third-party service providers do not provide accurate technical assistance or otherwise succeed in helping our users resolve issues related to the use of our software solutions and online services, our reputation could be harmed and our results of operations could be adversely affected. Similarly if we are unable to successfully operate the model for free user support for free users or the basis for the operation of that model changes, then our financial results may be negatively impacted or we may be forced to discontinue the service which could damage our reputation.

In addition to our direct sales and distribution channels, we rely on third-party distributors and resellers to sell or distribute our software. If we fail to sell or distribute our products effectively through our third-party distributors and resellers, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

Software sales made indirectly through third-party distributors and resellers accounted for 15.5% of our total revenue in 2013, and although it may decline, we expect this sales channel to continue to represent a significant portion of our total revenue particularly as our SMB SaaS business continues to develop as this is primarily sold through distributors and resellers. We have limited control over the amount of software that these indirect sales partners purchase from us or sell on our behalf. Weakness in the end-user market could negatively affect the cash flow of our distributors and resellers who could, in turn, delay paying their obligations to us. Furthermore, a change in the credit quality at one of our distributors or other counterparties can increase the risk that such counterparty is unable or unwilling to pay amounts owed to us, which could directly or indirectly (through a disruption in our distribution network) have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Any material decrease in the volume of revenue generated by our indirect sales channels could adversely affect our revenue and results of operations.

In 2012 we began to distribute our stand-alone LinkScanner product via third parties and pay them a bounty for distribution. End users adopt our stand-alone LinkScanner solution and hence our dynamic search solution as a result of this distribution and we generate revenue from the search activity of these users. These deals generated a significant proportion of our platform growth in 2012. There were significant changes in the search distributions market during 2013 which were initially triggered by the Google guideline changes that became effective in February 2013. Developments in this market led us to announce our plan to gradually exit the third party search distribution business in November 2013. The revenue we generated depended on the quality and volume of the search activity by our users and this traffic declined in quality and volume, the bounties we were able to pay became worth more than the search traffic they generated and the results of our operations were negatively impacted. Further guideline changes or other factors which make it difficult or impossible for us to compete for traffic for our subscription or platform products could further negatively impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

While we believe we conduct appropriate due diligence on our sales partners, we may not be able to monitor their activities for regulatory or contractual compliance, which may jeopardize our reputation or our relationships with our search engine partners. Furthermore, certain national regulations could require licenses from governments or government agencies for the use of our products and these regulations may limit our penetration of certain markets by us or our indirect sales partners. For instance, we were required to obtain a business license to begin distribution of

our products in China, which entailed defining the scope of our business and would require a revised license if that scope changed. China is the only jurisdiction important to our business in which

Table of Contents

we have sought a license to date, but developments such as release of new products, entry into new markets or regulatory changes in existing markets could oblige us to seek additional licenses in other jurisdictions in the future. If we violate the terms of a required license, it could cause us to lose the license, damage our reputation or require the reorganization of our distribution model.

While we have standard contract terms for our third-party distributors and resellers that allow those parties the flexibility to decide where to sell our solutions and the price at which they are sold, some of our distribution and resale contracts contain provisions relating to exclusivity or allocation of territories and/or minimum price requirements. Challenges to these exclusivity arrangements, regardless of merit, could divert management time and attention and have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. This risk may be highest among third-party distribution contracts that we may seek to terminate.

Any material disruption of our relationships with major providers of Internet distribution services, including Akamai, and payment services could adversely affect our business.

Third parties supply us with certain products and services that are integral to our business. For example, we depend on Akamai for the distribution of our security software, for software updates to our active users and for acceleration and caching of our Web pages. We consider the possibility of Akamai failing to be remote and we have not developed a formal back-up or business continuity plan in the event of Akamai's failure. If Akamai's systems were to fail, we would be able to accommodate the distribution of our software and software updates on our own systems, but the delivery of our content would be slower. These services are material to our business. Accordingly, any disruption in the relationship between us and Akamai or between us and one of our Internet payment service providers, including any disruption of service, or the existence of any dispute, may harm our business and results of operations.

We are exposed to risks associated with credit card fraud and credit card payment processing.

Our customers use credit and debit cards to pay for our services and products. We may suffer losses as a result of orders placed with fraudulent credit and debit cards. We, our payment providers, contracted support partners, reseller network and other partners may not have the means to detect or control payment fraud, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations. The secure transmission of confidential credit and debit card information is essential to maintain customer and supplier confidence in us. Advances in technology or other developments could make it possible to compromise or breach the technology that we use to protect subscriber and transaction data. It is possible that our security measures may not prevent security breaches, and we may be unsuccessful in, protecting against these potential exposures. Security breaches, whether of our system or third party systems, could significantly harm our business or our reputation. In addition, we currently adhere to the Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard, which is a worldwide information security standard defined by the Payment Card Industry Data Security Standards Council, as required by our payment providers. The standard was created to help payment card industry organizations that process card payments prevent credit and debit card fraud through increased controls around data and its exposure to compromise. If we are unable to comply with the standard, or similar standards, or if there is a perceived breach of such standards, such incidents may lead to sanctions (including fines and other penalties), claims by banks, card holders and others and disclosure leading to public knowledge of such incidents, potentially causing reputational damage to our business. Even if we comply with all of the above regulations, we are subject to credit card authorization procedures that are conducted by third parties and beyond our control.

For credit and debit card transactions we pay interchange and other fees which may increase over time and raise our operating costs and lower profitability. In addition, our credit card fees may be increased by credit card companies if our chargeback rate, or the rate of payment refunds, exceeds certain minimum thresholds. If we are unable to maintain our chargeback rate at acceptable levels, our credit card fees for chargeback transactions, or for all credit card

transactions, may be further increased, and credit card companies may increase our fees or terminate their relationship with us. Any increase in the credit card fees we are required to pay could adversely

Table of Contents

affect our results of operations and cash flows. The termination of our ability to process payments on any major credit or debit card could significantly impair our ability to operate and grow our business.

We are also subject to rules regarding payments of disputed charges, and if we have disputed charges over the permitted amount, we could be subject to fines and penalties and our ability to use credit cards and debit cards as a payment mechanism may be terminated. We rely on third parties to provide payment processing services, including the processing of credit and debit cards, and it could disrupt our business if these companies become unwilling or unable to provide these services to us on commercially reasonable terms or at all. We are also subject to payment card association operating rules, certification requirements and rules governing electronic funds transfers, which could change or be reinterpreted or otherwise make it difficult or impossible for us to comply. If we fail to comply with these rules or requirements, we may be subject to fines and higher transaction fees and lose our ability to accept credit and debit card payments from our customers, process or facilitate other types of online payments, and our business and operating results could be adversely affected.

We may be unable to obtain additional financing or generate sufficient cash flows to make additional investments or fund potential acquisitions.

We may need to raise additional funds in the future in order to invest in or acquire complementary businesses, technologies, products or services. Additional financing may not be available on terms acceptable to us, or at all. If we raise additional funds by issuing equity securities, our shareholders may experience further dilution of their ownership interests. If we raise additional funds by issuing debt securities or obtaining loans from third parties, the terms of those debt securities or financing arrangements may include covenants or other restrictions on our business that could impair our operational flexibility and would also require us to fund additional interest expense. If additional financing is not available or is not available on acceptable terms when required, we may be unable successfully to develop or enhance our software solutions and online services, which could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our indebtedness could affect our financing options and liquidity.

In April 2013, we entered into a new credit agreement with HSBC Bank plc comprised of a term loan facility of \$25 million (Facility A) and a \$50 million revolving credit facility (Facility B) together with an accordion that permits an increase in Facility B of another \$50 million with the agreement of the lender(s). Facility A and Facility B were fully drawn and used to refinance existing facilities and thereafter they are planned to be used for general corporate purposes.

Our indebtedness could have important consequences to our business or the holders of our ordinary shares, including:

making us more vulnerable to economic downturns and interest rate changes;

limiting our ability to withstand competitive pressures; and

preventing us from paying dividends on our ordinary shares or other distributions to shareholders

We are subject to debt covenants that impose operating and financial restrictions on us and could limit our ability to grow our business.

Covenants in our credit agreement impose operating and financial restrictions on us. These restrictions prohibit or limit, among other things, our incurrence of additional indebtedness, the creation of certain types of liens, mergers or consolidations, certain change in control transactions, asset sales, payment of dividends or other distributions to shareholders, investments and transactions with affiliates. These restrictions could also limit our ability to take advantage of business opportunities. We must maintain financial covenants under the credit agreement measured as of the end of each quarter, including a covenant to maintain a specified leverage ratio and

Table of Contents

interest coverage ratio. Our ability to comply with these ratios may be affected by events beyond our control, including prevailing economic, financial and industry conditions. Under the credit agreement, a change in control in our company, including any new shareholder or a group of shareholders acting together acquiring at least 35% of our issued share capital, would require mandatory prepayment of Facility B. As of December 31, 2013, we were in compliance with all required covenants and the change of control restriction.

However, if we are unable to comply with the covenants and ratios in our credit agreement in the future, we may be in default under the agreement. A default would result in an increase in the rate of interest and may cause the loan to be accelerated. These developments could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Changes in the relative value of currencies against the U.S. dollar could adversely affect our results of operations.

At the parent company level, our reporting currency is the U.S. dollar and our revenue and costs are reported in U.S. dollars. The majority of our revenue is earned in U.S. dollars while a significant portion is also earned in euros and in other European currencies, including British pounds sterling and Czech crowns. A substantial portion of our costs are incurred in currencies other than the US dollar, namely Czech crowns, euros, British Pound, Israeli shekel and Australian and Canadian dollars. We are exposed to transaction currency risk from fluctuations in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and British pound sterling, Czech crown, Israeli shekel, Australian and Canadian dollar and euro. In addition, we are exposed to translational risk resulting from our international sales denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars and the resulting foreign currency balances held on our balance sheet. We enter into foreign exchange contracts to hedge our transactional exposure between the U.S. dollar and British pound sterling, Czech crown, Israeli shekel and euro. However, these hedging arrangements may not fully protect us from currency risk. As a result, currently exchange rate fluctuations could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

If we fail to maintain proper and effective internal controls, our ability to produce accurate financial statements would be impaired, which could adversely affect our operating results, our ability to operate our business and our share price.

We must ensure that we have adequate internal financial and accounting controls and procedures in place to produce accurate financial statements on a timely basis. Section 404(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires annual management assessments of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting. We qualify as an emerging growth company under the JOBS Act, potentially delaying the time at which we have to comply with section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, which will require a report by our independent registered public accounting firm on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. We have a fully established system of internal controls over financial reporting which has been tested for design of operational effectiveness by management.

While our management concluded that there were no material weaknesses in our internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, there can be no assurance that our internal controls over financial processes and reporting will be effective in the future or that material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in our internal controls will not be discovered in the future.

Ongoing maintenance and improvement of our system of internal controls and testing will entail substantial costs.

We have compliance burdens as a public company and as a foreign company reporting in the United States.

As a public company, we implement and maintain and following any changes in applicable laws and regulations may be required to continue to implement and maintain processes and controls due to certain laws

Table of Contents

and rules and various requirements applicable to public companies. We also incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses as a public company. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act and rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, and the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE impose various requirements on public companies beyond establishment and maintenance of effective disclosure and financial controls. We will need to continue to strengthen our internal procedures in a range of areas to comply with these standards. In addition, our entry into the U.S. capital markets imposes complex tax burdens and disclosure obligations unfamiliar to us as a company foreign to the United States. Similarly, our financial statements historically were prepared and will continue to be prepared in accordance with international financial reporting standards as adopted by the European Union, but since our IPO, we have prepared and will continue to prepare our financials under U.S. GAAP for purposes of our reporting as a public company in the United States as well. Compliance with these laws and standards will continue to increase our legal, insurance and financial compliance costs and consume staff and management time, potentially harming our business and financial position.

Risks relating to ownership of our ordinary shares

Our share price has been and may continue to be volatile and the value of an investment in our ordinary shares may decline.

Actual or anticipated fluctuations in our quarterly financial or operating results, the risks described above and additional factors listed below, some of which are beyond our control, may cause significant volatility in our share price. These additional factors include:

the failure of financial analysts to cover our ordinary shares or changes in financial estimates by analysts;

changes in financial estimates by financial analysts, or any failure by us to meet or exceed any of these estimates, or changes in the recommendations of any financial analysts that elect to follow our ordinary shares or the shares of our competitors;

announcements by us or our competitors of significant contracts or acquisitions;

future sales or expected future sales of our ordinary shares; and

investor perceptions of us and the industries in which we operate.

In addition, the stock market in general has experienced substantial price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of particular companies affected. These broad market and industry factors may materially harm the market price of our ordinary shares, regardless of our operating performance. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of certain companies' securities, securities class action litigation has been instituted against these companies. Similar litigation, if instituted against us, could adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations.

Concentration of ownership among our directors, officers and large shareholders and their affiliates may prevent new investors from influencing corporate decisions.

Current members of our Management Board, our Supervisory Board and our senior management and holders of greater than 5% of our ordinary shares and their affiliates beneficially owned an aggregate of approximately 55.66% of our outstanding ordinary shares as of February 28, 2014. As a result, these persons will be in a position to exert significant influence over the outcome of matters submitted to a vote of our shareholders, including matters such as approval of the financial statements, declarations of dividends, the appointment and removal of the members of our Management Board and our Supervisory Board, capital increases and amendments to our articles of association. In addition, one of the members of our Supervisory Board is affiliated with a holder of greater than 5% of our ordinary shares.

In addition, certain shareholders' significant shareholdings in us may have the effect of making certain transactions more difficult without the support of these shareholders and may have the effect of delaying or preventing our acquisition or other change in control.

Table of Contents

Anti-takeover provisions in our articles of association may prevent or delay change-of-control transactions.

Our articles of association contain provisions that may have the effect of making a takeover of our Company more difficult or less attractive, including:

the staggered four-year terms of our Supervisory Board members, as a result of which only approximately one-fourth of our Supervisory Board members will be subject to election in any one year;

a provision that our Management Board and Supervisory Board members may only be removed at the General Meeting of Shareholders by a two-thirds majority of votes cast representing at least 50% of our outstanding share capital if such removal is not proposed by our Supervisory Board;

the authorization of a class of preference shares that may be issued by our Management Board, subject to the approval of our Supervisory Board, in such a manner as to dilute the interest of any potential acquirer;

requirements that certain matters, including an amendment of our articles of association, may only be brought to our shareholders for a vote upon a proposal by our Management Board that has been approved by our Supervisory Board; and

minimum shareholding thresholds, based on nominal value, for shareholders to call General Meetings of our Shareholders or to add items to the agenda for those meetings.

We are a Dutch public company with limited liability. The rights of our shareholders may be different from the rights of shareholders in companies governed by the laws of U.S. or other jurisdictions.

We are a Dutch public company with limited liability (*naamloze vennootschap*). Our corporate affairs are governed by our articles of association and by the laws governing companies incorporated in the Netherlands. The rights of shareholders and the responsibilities of members of our Management Board and Supervisory Board may be different from the rights and obligations of shareholders in companies governed by the laws of the U.S. or other jurisdictions. In the performance of its duties, our Management Board and Supervisory Board are required by Dutch law to consider the interests of our company, its shareholders, its employees and other stakeholders, in all cases with due observation of the principles of reasonableness and fairness. It is possible that some of these parties will have interests that are different from, or in addition to, the interests of our shareholders.

We are a foreign private issuer and, as a result, are not subject to U.S. proxy rules and are subject to Exchange Act reporting obligations that, to some extent, are more lenient and less frequent than those of a U.S. domestic public company.

We report under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, as a non-U.S. company with foreign private issuer status. Because we qualify as a foreign private issuer under the Exchange Act and although we are subject to Dutch laws and regulations with regard to such matters and intend to furnish quarterly financial information to the SEC, we are exempt from certain provisions of the Exchange Act that are applicable to U.S. domestic public companies, including (i) the sections of the Exchange Act regulating the solicitation of proxies,

consents or authorizations in respect of a security registered under the Exchange Act; (ii) the sections of the Exchange Act requiring insiders to file public reports of their stock ownership and trading activities and liability for insiders who profit from trades made in a short period of time; and (iii) the rules under the Exchange Act requiring the filing with the SEC of quarterly reports on Form 10-Q containing unaudited financial and other specified information, or current reports on Form 8-K, upon the occurrence of specified significant events. In addition, foreign private issuers are not required to file an Annual Report on Form 20-F until 120 days after the end of each fiscal year, while U.S. domestic issuers that are accelerated filers are required to file an Annual Report on Form 10-K within 75 days after the end of each fiscal year. Foreign private issuers are also exempt from Regulation FD (Fair Disclosure), aimed at preventing issuers from making selective disclosures of material information. As a result of the above, our shareholders may not have the same protections afforded to shareholders of companies that are not foreign private issuers.

Table of Contents

As a foreign private issuer and as permitted by the listing requirements of the New York Stock Exchange, we rely on certain home country governance practices rather than the corporate governance requirements of the New York Stock Exchange.

We are a foreign private issuer. As a result, in accordance with the listing requirements of the NYSE, we rely on home country governance requirements and certain exemptions thereunder rather than relying on the corporate governance requirements of the NYSE. See Item 16G. Corporate Governance. Accordingly, our shareholders may not have the same protections afforded to shareholders of companies that are not foreign private issuers.

We comply with the Dutch Corporate Governance Code but do not apply all best practice provisions of the Dutch Corporate Governance Code.

As a Dutch company we are subject to the Dutch Corporate Governance Code, or DCGC. The DCGC contains both principles and best practice provisions for Management Boards, Supervisory Boards, shareholders and General Meetings of Shareholders, financial reporting, auditors, disclosure, compliance and enforcement standards. The DCGC applies to all Dutch companies listed on a government-recognized stock exchange, whether in the Netherlands or elsewhere, including the NYSE. The principles and best practice provisions apply to our Management Board and our Supervisory Board (in relation to role and composition, conflicts of interest and independency requirements, board committees and remuneration), shareholders and the General Meeting of Shareholders (for example, regarding anti-takeover protection and obligations of the Company to provide information to its shareholders) and financial reporting (such as external auditor and internal audit requirements). We do not apply all best practice provisions of the DCGC. See Item 16G. Corporate Governance. This may affect the rights of our shareholders, who may not have the same level of protection as shareholders in a Dutch company that fully complies with the DCGC.

Our ordinary shares are not traded on any exchange outside the United States.

Our ordinary shares are listed only in the United States on the NYSE and we have no plans to list our ordinary shares in any other jurisdiction. As a result, a holder of our ordinary shares outside the United States may not be able to effect transactions in our ordinary shares as readily as the holder may if our securities were listed on an exchange in that holder's home jurisdiction.

We may not be able and currently have no intention to pay further dividends for the foreseeable future.

Payment of future dividends may be made only if our equity exceeds the amount of the paid-in and called-up part of the issued share capital, increased by the reserves required to be maintained by law or by our articles of association. We currently intend to retain future earnings, if any, to finance the growth and development of our business. Also, our credit agreement restricts the payment of dividends to holders of our ordinary shares. As a result, we currently have no intention to pay dividends. Accordingly, investors cannot rely on dividend income from our ordinary shares and any returns on an investment in our ordinary shares will likely depend entirely upon any future appreciation in the price of our ordinary shares.

Raising additional capital by issuing securities may cause dilution to existing shareholders, restrict our operations or require us to relinquish proprietary rights.

We may in the future seek the additional capital necessary to fund acquisitions through public or private equity offerings. To the extent that we raise additional capital through the sale of equity or convertible debt securities, the ownership interest of our existing shareholders will be diluted, unless pre-emptive rights are granted, and the terms of such securities may include liquidation or other preferences that adversely affect the rights of our shareholders. Debt

financing, if available, may involve agreements that include covenants limiting or restricting our ability to take specific actions such as incurring additional debt, making capital expenditures or declaring dividends.

Table of Contents

Certain holders of our ordinary shares may not be able to exercise pre-emptive rights and as a result may experience substantial dilution upon future issuances of ordinary shares.

Holders of our ordinary shares in principle have a pre-emptive right with respect to any issue of ordinary shares or the granting of rights to subscribe for ordinary shares, unless explicitly provided otherwise in a resolution by the General Meeting of Shareholders or by a resolution of our Management Board with the approval by our Supervisory Board. Our General Meeting of Shareholders has empowered our Management Board, with the approval by our Supervisory Board, to limit or exclude pre-emptive rights on shares for a period of eighteen months from the date of our Extraordinary General Meeting of Shareholders on July 30, 2013, which could cause existing shareholders to experience substantial dilution of their interest in us.

Claims of U.S. civil liabilities may not be enforceable against us.

We are incorporated under the laws of the Netherlands and substantial portions of our assets are located outside of the United States. In addition, one member of our Management Board, certain members of our Supervisory Board, certain members of our senior management and certain experts named herein reside outside the United States. As a result, it may be difficult for investors to effect service of process within the United States upon us or such other persons residing outside the United States, or to enforce outside the United States judgments obtained against such persons in U.S. courts in any action, including actions predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the U.S. federal securities laws. In addition, it may be difficult for investors to enforce, in original actions brought in courts in jurisdictions located outside the United States, rights predicated upon the U.S. federal securities laws.

There is no treaty between the United States and the Netherlands for the mutual recognition and enforcement of judgments (other than arbitration awards) in civil and commercial matters. Therefore, a final judgment for the payment of money rendered by any federal or state court in the United States based on civil liability, whether or not predicated solely upon the U.S. federal securities laws, would not be enforceable in the Netherlands unless the underlying claim is re-litigated before a Dutch court of competent jurisdiction. Under current practice however, a Dutch court may be expected to recognize the binding effect of a final, conclusive and enforceable money judgment of a federal or state court in the United States without re-examination or re-litigation of the substantive matters adjudicated thereby, if (i) that judgment resulted from legal proceedings compatible with Dutch notions of due process, (ii) that judgment does not contravene public policy of the Netherlands and (iii) the jurisdiction of the U.S. federal or state court has been based on internationally accepted principles of private international law.

Based on the foregoing, there can be no assurance that U.S. investors will be able to enforce against us or members of our Management Board or Supervisory Board, officers or certain experts named herein who are residents of the Netherlands or countries other than the United States any judgments obtained in U.S. courts in civil and commercial matters, including judgments under the U.S. federal securities laws.

In addition, there is doubt as to whether a Dutch court would impose civil liability on us, the members of our Management Board or Supervisory Board, our officers or certain experts named herein in an original action predicated solely upon the U.S. federal securities laws brought in a court of competent jurisdiction in the Netherlands against us or such members, officers or experts, respectively.

We may not be able to make distributions or repurchase shares without subjecting our shareholders to Dutch withholding tax.

Dutch dividend withholding tax may be levied on dividends and similar distributions made by us to our shareholders at the statutory rate of 15%. If dividend distributions are structured as a repayment of capital or a repurchase of shares,

Dutch withholding tax may still be due at 15%. Such repayment of capital or repurchase of shares will be exempt from dividend withholding tax only in limited circumstances. See also Item 10. Additional Information E. Taxation below.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY

A. HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMPANY

Our legal name is AVG Technologies N.V. and our commercial name is AVG or AVG Technologies. We are a public company with limited liability (*naamloze vennootschap*) incorporated under the laws of the Netherlands. We are registered with the Trade Register of the Chamber of Commerce of Amsterdam under number 52197204 and have an official seat in Amsterdam, the Netherlands. Our registered office address is at Gatwickstraat 9-39, 1043 GL Amsterdam, the Netherlands, with telephone number +31 20 522 6210. Our principal executive offices are located at that address. Our principal website address is www.avg.com. The information contained in our website or that can be accessed through our website neither constitutes a part of this Annual Report nor is incorporated by reference herein.

We were incorporated in the Netherlands on March 3, 2011 by a notarial deed of incorporation as a cooperative (*coöperatie*) under the laws of the Netherlands under the name AVG Holding Coöperatief U.A. On November 25, 2011, we entered into a legal merger with our predecessor company and wholly owned subsidiary AVG Technologies N.V., and on November 25, 2011, we were converted into a public company with limited liability. Upon this conversion, the membership rights held by the members of the cooperative were converted into shares. For a discussion of our capital expenditures, see Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects B. Liquidity and Capital Resources.

B. BUSINESS OVERVIEW

Our business

We provide software and online services that deliver peace of mind to users by simplifying, optimizing and securing their Internet experiences. We seek to protect devices, the data that is transmitted by and stored on those devices and therefore the people who ultimately use and rely on those devices. Our business model, based on delivering high-quality solutions in high volume and at no cost to our users, enables us to rapidly acquire new users. Through our large user community, we are able to better understand the needs of our users, become a trusted provider of peace-of-mind software solutions, and thereby intelligently monetize our user base through premium products and value-added online services. Our solutions, which today range from desktop, laptop and mobile software to dynamic secure Internet search solutions, can be accessed and utilized with minimal effort and limited technical know-how from the user. In choosing our solutions, which can be downloaded from the Internet, users become part of a trusted global community that benefits from network effects such as the mutual protection and support of a large user base. Our sales and marketing activities benefit from word-of-mouth recommendations from our large user network to create a viral marketing effect, which is amplified by the speed and ease of use of our products and allows us to gain new customers at a low acquisition cost. This strategy has allowed us to grow our user base to approximately 177 million active users as of December 31, 2013.

We believe that our community of approximately 177 million active users is one of our most valuable assets. We establish a trusted relationship with our community through our solutions with the goal of driving greater user engagement. Community engagement provides important contributions to our product development initiatives, enables rapid response to online threats and assists in our customer support initiatives, enabling us to accurately deliver compelling products and online services that meet the evolving needs of our users. The contributions from our community lower our costs, enabling us to offer free and low-cost offerings that further build upon the value we can deliver. We believe further monetization of our user base through additional products and online services represents a significant market opportunity for us.

Our product portfolio targets the consumer and SMB markets across multiple devices and operating systems and includes Internet security, PC performance optimization, online backup, identity protection and family safety software. In addition, our online services, accessed primarily through our browser toolbar, provide dynamic secure search capabilities through agreements with leading Internet search providers. While a significant majority of our active users have been users of our free products and online services, we also offer products with premium functionality and enhanced customer support when customers purchase an annual or multi-year subscription.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2013, we had approximately 16 million subscription users and 68 million mobile users. We have been successful in growing our product portfolio over time through internal development and select acquisitions.

We employ a differentiated business model focused on monetizing and expanding our user base through (i) subscription revenue from the sale of premium products and (ii) platform-derived revenue from value-add online services that are free to our users. While users often download our subscription solutions directly from our websites, we also utilize resellers and distributors globally, including CNET, Ingram Micro and Wal-Mart. In 2013, 84.5% of our revenue was generated online, with the remainder generated through resellers and distributors. Today, we derive substantially all of our platform-derived revenue through these agreements with leading Internet search providers. In addition, we have entered into agreements with companies such as Google, Yahoo!, Facebook and Samsung that may support our efforts to increase our brand awareness and user penetration. Our success has been built on our innovative, proven and self-reinforcing business model, which leverages our large and engaged user base, low-cost Internet-based distribution and cost-effective research and development.

We were founded in 1991 as a consumer-focused IT security company. While we have increased the breadth of our solutions over the years, we remain focused on our core mission of delivering products and services to make our digital lives easier to secure, simpler to navigate and more enjoyable to experience.

Our development and business milestones since 2010 include:

In 2010, we entered into a new search agreement with Google, replacing Yahoo! as our primary search provider.

In 2011, we acquired iMedix (also known as Visionize), a leading provider of toolbars for web browsers, DroidSecurity, a developer of cloud-based mobile security solutions, which enabled us to enter the mobile security market, TuneUp, a leading provider of PC optimization software, and Bsecure, a provider of applications for cloud-based management of information technology. We also acquired a non-controlling minority stake in Scene (also known as Ookla), which we divested in 2013. We launched AVG Mobilation for Android, as well as the first security solution for tablet devices.

In 2012, we acquired OpenInstall, which provides a cloud-based software installation platform, and Crossloop, which provides software applications for desktop sharing and connecting computer users with service providers. We also acquired the assets and liabilities of our Australian distributor.

In 2012 we renewed our search agreement with Google on a non-exclusive basis. We subsequently signed additional search agreements with Yahoo!, Seznam and Yandex.

In January 2013, we acquired substantially all of the assets and liabilities of Angle Labs, a mobile application developer based in the United States. This was a technology acquisition focused on monetization which also brought two million users.

In May 2013, we acquired certain assets and liabilities of PrivacyChoice LLC (PrivacyChoice), a technology company based in the United States that has developed and provides privacy-related online services used by consumers and businesses. We expect data privacy for consumers and SMBs to be important markets, and this acquisition was intended to complement our current product portfolio with privacy products and key personnel who designed the products.

In June 2013, we acquired certain assets and liabilities from LPI Level Platforms Inc. (LPI), a remote monitoring and management software company based in Canada. This acquisition was a technology and sales distribution acquisition designed to increase our penetration in the SMB market as well as providing access to a larger size of small businesses 1,000 seats or less.

In September 2013, we acquired certain assets from Swedish company ASR Technologies AB, Alma Orucevic-Alagic and Amir Alagic (collectively ASR). This is a file management technology primarily focused on mobile.

Table of Contents

Other than the initial start-up capital provided by our founder, we did not raise equity financing for our operations until our IPO and we have not raised equity financing since our IPO, as our net cash provided by operations has historically been sufficient to fund our growth. We currently expect to retain future earnings, if any, to finance the growth and development of our business and to provide additional liquidity. See the section titled Item 8 Financial Information A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information Dividend Policy in this Annual Report.

Our business model

We believe our business model affords us a significant competitive advantage. Driven by an agile, adaptable and talented workforce, our business model leverages our large and engaged user base, low-cost Internet-based distribution and cost-effective research and development. Benefiting from viral marketing, user-generated product feedback and community support, we strive to continuously improve product quality and user experience and add new users at a low cost of acquisition. This, in turn, improves our monetization potential and allows for incremental investment in product innovation and marketing for future growth. These business model characteristics drive scalable growth, robust operating margins and strong cash flow generation.

Key components of our business model are:

Easy-to-use, high-quality free and premium products. Our business model is built on high-quality products that can be deployed or accessed with minimal effort, while providing a compelling and robust user experience. We focus on developing products that spread virally, that are highly sticky and ultimately drive increased user engagement with our platform. We provide no- or low-cost solutions to facilitate rapid user adoption. We then seek to up-sell or cross-sell to our users once our products have brought them into our user community. Even if users do not purchase our premium products, they are able to download and install our free solutions, expanding our user base and our monetization potential over time.

Monetization and expansion of our user base through value-added free online services. We continuously seek to develop new, free online services that allow us to monetize and expand our user base. Since March 2008, we have deployed our dynamic secure search solution to our online community as part of a value-added service enabling secure search of the Internet. While our dynamic secure search is free to our users, we generate revenue through agreements with search providers to which we direct search queries to generate search results. We acquired iMedix, a leading toolbar provider, to enhance our technical capabilities in this area and to expand our market reach to additional distribution channels including in 2012 distribution of standalone Linkscanner with search outside of our security user base. We decided to undertake a controlled exit from third party search activities at the end of 2013 and we anticipate that this exit will be completed by the end of 2014.

Online business model with cost-effective marketing and low customer acquisition cost. Our business benefits from cost-effective marketing through the use of online distribution channels that provided 84.5% of our revenue in 2013. We are actively seeking to increase the proportion of sales through these channels. By leveraging our large user base and high customer satisfaction, we are able to achieve powerful viral marketing at low cost. We intend to develop our brand further. Our large user base, coupled with low customer acquisition costs, results in a scalable, high-margin business model that is strengthened as our user base grows.

Proven track record of execution, monetization and innovation. As one of the largest software vendors employing a free-to-pay model, we have a proven track record of execution over two decades. Whether through organic development or technology acquisitions, we continue to add products and online services that simplify, optimize and secure our users' Internet experience, while delivering operating leverage. In particular, we have completed several acquisitions, including TuneUp, Exploit Prevention Labs and Sana Security, which we rapidly integrated successfully into our product portfolio. This enabled us to leverage our large user base to quickly adopt these solutions as part of the AVG product portfolio, thereby driving greater revenue from these products.

Table of Contents

Brand awareness through our reseller networks. We also distribute through resellers and distributors, which we refer to as our reseller network. The end-sellers of our solutions in this reseller network vary from large retail stores, such as Wal-Mart, to small individual retailers, both online and offline. This reseller network forms a part of our brand marketing strategy and generates the majority of our small business sales. We believe that our presence among retailers contributes to consumer awareness of our brand. This, in turn, allows us to penetrate the smaller small and medium sized business sector, a group we are increasing our focus on with our SaaS products.

Expansion into mobile. Since 2011 we have increasingly sought to develop our products into the mobile market, specifically represented by smartphones and tablets. Our initial focus has been on the Android platform by distribution of security products. We have acquired 68 million users as of December 31, 2013. We intend to continue to expand into this market via additional peace of mind products. We are working to provide a fully connected solution across both mobile and PC platforms and will make a step in this direction with the release of AVG Zen in 2014.

Large and growing user base driving tangible benefits. The size and growth of our user base and the amount of information generated by our users increase the value of our offerings and drive tangible business results. We seek to drive greater user engagement with our solutions by delivering peace of mind and, over the long term, building a relationship based on trust. We believe this is critical to building a user base that can be monetized effectively. As our users are actively engaging with each other, they provide a first level of community support as well as real-time product feedback that contributes to product innovation. Our business model has enabled us to build a user base of approximately 177 million active users as of December 31, 2013.

Our business model of platform monetization enables us to target multiple end markets as we broaden our products and online services, including endpoint security software and secure search. Our continued investment in developing a talented, agile and adaptable workforce supports our business model. Taken together, these elements enable revenue and user base growth at relatively low cost and also allow us to acquire, maintain and support customers at low overall operating and acquisition costs while leveraging multiple, large, high-growth product markets.

Our solutions

Our solutions, encompassing software and online services, include security, PC optimization, online privacy, cloud-based desktop management, mobile security, content filtering, online backup, remote monitoring and other products on various desktop and mobile operating systems. While our AVG AntiVirus Free Edition is provided free of charge to our users, the majority of our products are provided for a fee on a subscription basis. Our software products are currently available in various languages, used in over 185 countries around the world and sold under software license agreements. They are generally sold and downloaded over the Internet or sold as packaged products through multi-tiered distribution channels. These software license agreements generally include product maintenance, which provides technical services to our customers over the license period and regular updates of the software solutions purchased.

We have historically leveraged our security products and technology to grow our user base. As the threat landscape has evolved from viruses to more sophisticated and multi-faceted computer attacks, we have developed a broad suite of security and IT management solutions that protect against viruses, trojan horses, malware, suspicious code and other threats, and offer a layered approach to threat detection, including behavioral monitoring, signature-based threat detection and real-time threat detection with our LinkScanner technology. We have continued to evolve our portfolio of solutions to address not only security threats but also other online applications that are increasingly relevant to our large and growing user base, including applications dedicated to protecting consumer privacy, and enhancing system

performance.

Table of Contents

Consumer solutions

Our product portfolio includes the following solutions:

Products

Anti-Virus suite

Functionalities

Prevents receiving or unintentionally spreading viruses and other threats

Protects from spyware, adware, rootkits and fake anti-virus

Scans files for viruses before downloading and sharing

Checks links exchanged on social networks for viruses

Checks web pages in real time with AVG LinkScanner

Securely deletes files from disk to prevent recovery using the File Shredder

Internet Security suite

Includes the following additional features in addition to the Anti-Virus suite:

Provides firewall and identity protection

Blocks spam emails

Checks for viruses on downloaded executable binaries from the Internet

Advises on applications slowing the PC

Edgar Filing: AVG Technologies N.V. - Form 20-F

Accelerates download speed of video and executable binaries from selected sites

Encrypts and password-protects private files

Premium Security suite

Includes the following additional features in addition to the Internet Security suite:

Identity theft and misuse surveillance and alerts

Optimizes PC performance

Provides security for Android smartphone devices

Anti-Virus Security FREE
(Android)

Security software for Android smartphones and tablets

Checks apps, web content and SMS for malware before downloading

Theft protection enabling users to locate lost devices using GPS and remote access when lost or stolen to lock device or wipe content

Backup feature protecting contacts, call logs, bookmarks, messages and applications

Performance management enabling users to close unused apps, manage battery consumption, data usage, and device storage

Privacy controls enabling users to wipe data on the device, and the SD card, and to perform full factory reset

Mobile AntiVirus
Security PRO

Provides all of the features of AntiVirus Security FREE, and adds:

Extension of theft protection to secretly capture photographs of unauthorized users of the phone and to lock the phone with a password if the SIM card is replaced

Password protection for sensitive applications

Backup feature extended to applications installed on the phone

Table of Contents

Products

Functionalities

AVG AntiVirus for Mac

Prevents receiving or unintentionally spreading viruses and other threats

Protects from spyware, adware, and fake anti-virus

Allows files to be scanned automatically or on-demand

AVG Threatlabs

Provides safety rating for websites

Family Safety (PC & Mobile)

Unique logins and accounts for every child

Blocks, warns and monitors Internet content

Tracks and filters social media activity

Schedules or limits PC games and Internet access

Sends reports and updates about children's online activity

Remote control from any web-enabled device

TuneUp Utilities and AVG PC
Tuneup

Fixes registry problems that cause freezing and crashing

Optimizes Internet settings and connection for speed

File recovery after accidental deletion

File shredder and disk wiper to permanently erase files

Improves disk speed

Manages battery life by enabling control over the power consumption on the computer

Provides one click access to turn off WiFi and Bluetooth.

Frees up disk space through the discovery of cached, temporary, oversized and duplicate files

AVG Cleaner for Mac

Frees up disk space through the discovery of cached, temporary and duplicate files

AVG Memory & Cache Cleaner for Android

Frees up disk space through the discovery of cached, and temporary files, as well as history, and call / SMS logs

AVG Uninstaller for Android

Reduces battery usage and frees up storage space by ranking apps on the device by frequency of use, percentage of total battery consumption, and WiFi/3G data used, and storage space consumed

AVG PrivacyFix

Provides easy access to privacy controls on Facebook, Google, and LinkedIn and explains the importance of each

Allows control over WiFi settings to prevent unauthorized tracking on mobile devices

AVG Image Shrink and Share for Android

Saves bandwidth by compressing images before they are transmitted from the phone

AVG AlarmClock for Android

Allows setting of wake up notifications on Android, with multiple options for dismissing and snoozing the alarm, as well as several options for sounds

AVG StopWatch for Android

Allows use of the phone as a stopwatch

Table of Contents

Products

Functionalities

| | |
|--|--|
| AVG Volume Manager for Android | Allows control of all volume settings on the phone and of audio alerts |
| AndroZip File Manager Free / Pro (Android) | Provides ability to compress files on android devices, and gives a file system navigator for the phone |
| Andro Task Manager Free / Pro (Android) | Provides ability to kill running tasks on an Android phone |
| Do Not Track | Provides information on ad networks, social buttons and web analytics services that may track the user |

Enables user to block online tracking

Incorporates both passive and active protection

We offer consumers four security suites, one of which provides free basic protection for Internet surfing, searching and social networking. Paid suites provide more extensive protection and other additional features:

| Consumer suites | Description | Anti-Virus | | | |
|--|---|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Free Edition | Anti-Virus | Internet Security | Premium Security |
| <i>Core Protection</i> | | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 |
| Anti-Virus, Anti-Spyware, Anti-Rootkit | Prevents infection and spread of viruses, worms, Trojan horses, spyware and malware | | | | |
| Social Networking Protection | Automatically checks links exchanged on social networks for threats | | | | |
| LinkScanner | Instantaneous, real-time scanning of web pages visited for threats | | | | |
| <i>Chatting and Downloading</i> | | | | | |
| Shield for safe chatting | Protects against infections when exchanging files using chat services | | | | |

Online Shield for safe downloading

Checks for viruses when downloading, sharing or exchanging files

Support

Expert technical support

24 hour/day technical support is offered through telephone, chat and FAQ

Receive priority updates

Automatically receives more frequent updates for latest threats

Shopping and Banking

Identity Protection

Protects personal information

Firewall

Keeps hackers out of the network

Anti-Spam

Blocks spam and scam emails

Network Safety

Intrusion Detection

Protects from remote attacks when connected to networks

Table of Contents

| | Description | Anti-Virus | | | |
|--------------------|---|--------------|------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | | Free Edition | Anti-Virus | Internet Security | Premium Security |
| Consumer suites | | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 | 2014 |
| <i>Performance</i> | | | | | |
| System Tools | Allows management of start-up applications, PC monitoring and Internet browser plug-ins | | | | |
| Quick Tune | Optimizes PC performance | | | | |
| Identity Alert | Protects from identity theft and surveillance misuse | | | | |
| <i>Privacy</i> | | | | | |
| File Shredder | Securely deletes files from disk to prevent recovery | | | | |
| Data Safe | Encrypts and password protects private files | | | | |

Business solutions

AVG CloudCare. Our SMB security platform is called AVG CloudCare. AVG CloudCare simplifies IT management by allowing cloud services and devices to be remotely managed from any web browser or Android and iOS mobile device applications. IT providers can manage multiple customers from a single cloud dashboard, activate services with a single click, generate a variety of reports, view real-time alerts to problems, and drag and drop documents to easily create new centralized policies.

AVG CloudCare Products include:

| Products | Functionalities |
|-----------------------|--|
| AVG Remote IT | Reduces the need for on-site visits with built in Remote Access providing one click connection to the device |
| AVG Anti-Virus | Provides multilayer protection which detects, blocks, and removes viruses and malware from PCs and Servers |
| AVG Content Filtering | Helps customers increase productivity with flexible, real-time content filtering that limits access to a choice of over 60 categories representing millions of sites; policy driven options include time scheduling, whitelist, local admin and detailed reporting history |
| AVG Online Backup | Protects customer data against hardware failure, loss and theft using highly secure, fully encrypted services that offer both cloud and local server backup options |
| AVG Email Security | |

Helps customers enjoy a more secure and productive email service with cloud-hosted anti-spam, archiving, continuity and encryption services

An integrated SaaS billing program provides customer flexibility with options for pay-as-you-go monthly billing and pre-paid yearly subscriptions.

AVG Managed Workplace. Our SMB cloud-based remote monitoring and IT management platform is called AVG Managed Workplace. AVG Managed Workplace allows our IT partners to view and access their customers' entire network environment, including computers, security systems, telecommunications equipment, printing and imaging assets, cloud services, mobile devices and more.

Table of Contents

AVG Managed Workplace has the following key functionalities:

| Products | Functionalities |
|---|---|
| Automation on Demand | Allows user to assign tasks to any devices or groups and run any executable process on a schedule or by alert based on the customer's library or AVG's extensive automation library |
| Monitoring & Alerting | Allows deep fully automated monitoring and alerting of health, availability and performance of infrastructure and services to streamline operations |
| Remote Control & Remote Tools | Uses lightning-fast connections to any Windows or network device and extensive remote management tools to fix problems without opening ports or firewalls |
| Mobile Device Management | Monitors and manages all major smartphones and tablets to solve security, configuration and end-of-life concerns for clients |
| Network Audits | Identifies pain points and security gaps for prospects and clients with fully automated built-in Network Audits |
| Asset Management | Provides accurate, detailed and automatic discovery and monitoring of all network devices and applications with persistent state management |
| Managed Print Services | Allows customers to discover, monitor and remotely manage network printers and imaging devices, including detailed supplies and page count data to drive any managed print offering |
| Network Operating Center (NOC) and Help Desk Services | Provides integrated white label NOC and Help Desk services to let Managed Services Providers seamlessly extend service offerings and add scalability |

Customer support

Customer support forms an integral part of our solution offering and is a key driver of customer satisfaction and loyalty.

Our users have access to agent support channels as well as online resources, including documentation, FAQs, video tutorials and the user community in the free AVG user forum. In addition, our Facebook page and Twitter feed, with over 2.4 million Facebook fans and over 159,000 Twitter followers as of December 2013, have become support forums for both free and subscription users, as well as alternative ways to disseminate important threat information to our user base.

In addition to these resources, for some issues our users are able to access expert technical support free of charge via toll-free phone numbers in the United States and United Kingdom, which operates 24 hours a day.

We include expert technical support for all of our paid subscribers as part of the product suite subscription fee. We offer support to our subscription users with a broad range of pre-sales and post-sales services through the Internet, telephone, chat, email and remote connection for more complex cases and in a variety of languages. We have thirteen call centers, one in the Czech Republic, four in the Philippines, two in India, and one each in Australia, Canada, France, Poland, the United Kingdom and the United States. The call centers in the Philippines, India, Australia, Canada and Poland are outsourced. We operate the remaining call centers. As of December 31, 2013, we had 66

employees and 371 contractors in our own and outsourced call centers. All services operated directly by AVG or by our outsourcing partners are available 24 hours a day.

Table of Contents

Technology

Our technology platform is designed to create simple and secure Internet experiences for our user base. We design our software to be modular, allowing us to integrate new technologies quickly into our product suites and minimize the additional use of system resources as we add new functionalities. We also design our software architecture specifically for consumers and small businesses, which we believe provides a superior foundation for developing solutions for our customers than does architecture designed for larger enterprises. See Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects C. Research and Development, Patents and Licenses, Etc.

Security technology

Our security products utilize multiple protection layer architecture where each layer provides additional protection. We believe that by adding layers we strengthen our solutions' capabilities to protect users. Depending on the product and license type, we enable additional security layers for the benefit of the user, so not all of our security layers are available in all our products. For example, the free version, of our product has fewer protection layers than the paid version.

The protection layers we offer include:

Protection against known malicious computer programs. This layer of protection scans for malicious computer programs previously identified by the AVG security lab.

Protection against known malicious computer program families (heuristic analysis). This layer of protection identifies malicious computer programs based on common attributes associated with a known family of malicious programs.

Protection against unknown malicious computer programs (behavior analysis). This layer of protection identifies malicious computer programs based on what they do, even if the AVG security lab has not seen such programs before.

Protection against web exploits. This layer of protection identifies web exploits by searching for known exploit codes previously seen on web pages and common attributes associated with known exploit families.

Protection against known malicious or phishing web address. This layer of protection identifies web addresses that are known to serve malicious code or to use phishing attacks.

Protection against network attacks. This layer of protection inspects incoming and outgoing network communication to identify computer programs connecting to remote servers or remote connections asking to connect to the computer.

The above protection layers are offered to our users via multiple components in our products, such as Anti-virus, Anti-spyware, Anti-Rootkit, Online Shield, LinkScanner, email protection, Anti-spam, Identity protection, firewall,

IM and P2P protection.

Non-security technology

We have a systems infrastructure that is built and proven to scale to a massive user base. This infrastructure enables product downloads, product updates that are distributed multiple times per day, and the collection of threat data from user machines to assist us in continually tuning our threat protection algorithms and heuristics as well as improving our products' quality.

SMB technology

By coupling powerful security, IT management and automation features with the ability to collect, collate and provide alerts regarding the information needed to quickly identify and address issues across the customer network, together AVG CloudCare and AVG Managed Workplace provide end-to-end visibility into all network connected devices, applications and technologies impacting the end user experience.

Table of Contents

AVG CloudCare is an advanced cloud-based platform designed for IT partners to remotely deploy, manage, monitor, remediate and report on various security and IT management services such as AntiVirus, Online Backup, Content filtering, Remote Access and Email Security Services.

AVG Managed Workplace is also a cloud-based IT service platform designed for IT partners to remotely monitor and manage any type of physical or virtual networked device. Managed Workplace employs multiple network discovery protocols, providing network visibility and unified management of the IT infrastructure for network devices, printers, servers, workstations, mobile devices, applications, networks and cloud, while also providing ease of use, security and control.

Marketing and sales

Brand

We continue to develop our brand, marketing messages and visual identification in order to provide a coherent and unified user experience that supports our future growth.

Online marketing optimization group

Our web lab manages our online customer acquisition and retention and active user base monetization activities. It is a core part of our overall distribution and marketing strategies. The web lab focuses on converting visitors to our websites to become either free or subscription users and it then works to maximize the lifetime value of these customers. It also drives some traffic to our websites through a range of activities including paid search and search engine optimization. Specifically, the web lab optimizes the effectiveness of our web marketing through initiatives that include: evaluating customer behavior; testing and optimizing website configurations, pricing and merchandizing to maximize conversion rates and order values; monetizing our free and paid user bases through targeted up-sell and cross-sell campaigns; monitoring the competitive landscape in order to maintain competitiveness and product differentiation; and helping to drive brand awareness and product visibility in our key markets. Given our focus on increasing web traffic and revenue, we expect our web lab to become an area of increasing importance in the future.

Other channel marketing

In addition to our web lab activities, our marketing operations are supported by a dedicated general marketing team as well as a social media team. Our general marketing team focuses on developing and sustaining a strategic, appealing and differentiated positioning for our solutions through driving brand awareness and product visibility in our key markets. Key activities of our general marketing team include branding, customer insights, social media, segment and product marketing activities and channel marketing.

Sales

We sell most of our products either directly through our website (84.5% of revenue including search agreements in 2013) or indirectly through resellers and distributors (15.5% of revenue in 2013). The majority of our SMB sales are undertaken through resellers and distributors and we expect this trend to continue. We also sell products through other direct and indirect distribution channels such as software development kit, or SDK, arrangements with other software developers.

In the United States and Canada, we sell boxed AVG software through our distribution network to retail stores such as Wal-Mart, Best Buy, Office Depot, Office Max and Staples. We believe that our retail presence helps generate brand

awareness for our solutions as well as revenue.

In addition to direct sales and sales through resellers and distributors, we sell to software developers through SDK arrangements, under which we provide the security component for software produced by those developers.

Table of Contents

Platform revenue

Search contracts

In September 2010, we signed a contract with Google for search and advertising services, through which we generate revenue whenever our users use our browser toolbar which may be installed along with some of our products and which carries out searches through the Google search engine.

In November 2012, we renewed our search agreement with Google on a non-exclusive basis for a two-year term, which at the end of the first year could have been terminated by either party on 60-days notice, but neither party exercised this option. We then signed a search agreement with Yahoo! in December 2012, and have subsequently signed search agreements with Seznam and Yandex, which diversified our revenue.

At the end of 2011, we began distributing our secure search solution through third parties. This business, which involves distributing our stand-alone secure search solution in the download and installation process for other company's products, was negatively impacted by the Google guideline changes of February 2013, which subsequently led to our decision to make a controlled exit from the third party search distribution business. The Google guideline changes, primarily the requirement for a customer to opt in to accept the AVG secure search solution, have also impacted our organic base and we expect that revenue stream to decline in 2014.

Platform diversification

We have sought to deliver additional value from our active user base by indirect monetization or receiving payments from third parties for activities undertaken by our user base but for which the user does not pay directly. As we seek to develop this revenue stream we are looking at additional ways to monetize outside of the search business.

Mobile revenues

We are seeking to expand outside of the PC market and to monetize the growing mobile market. Our focus to date has been to acquire mobile users and we are now seeking to continue to attract users and then monetize. With a number of our new products most specifically AVG Zen to be launched in early 2014 where we are seeking to connect both PC and mobile devices across platforms and drive user growth, retention and revenue growth.

Acquisitions

Technology acquisitions

We have also added functionality to our product offering through strategic acquisitions and integration of complementary technologies. We intend to continue expanding and developing our products through targeted acquisitions.

Our key technology acquisitions since January 2011 include:

DroidSecurity. In January 2011, we acquired DroidSecurity, an Israel-based developer of cloud-based mobile security solutions.

iMedix. In May 2011, we acquired iMedix Web Technologies, an Israel-based developer of a platform for the creation, management and monitoring of toolbar applications.

TuneUp. In August 2011, we acquired TuneUp, a Germany-based developer of intelligent software tools that enable users to get optimal use of their operating systems and programs.

Table of Contents

Bsecure. In November 2011, we acquired substantially all of the assets of Bsecure Solutions, a provider based in the United States of application service offerings featuring Internet filtering and/or cloud-based management of information technology solutions.

Scene. In November 2011, we also acquired a non-controlling minority stake in Scene, a technology company based in the United States that provides network performance solutions complementary to AVG's performance optimization offerings. In addition, we executed a commercial agreement with Scene pursuant to which Scene will exclusively promote our products in certain product categories. Our acquisition agreement provided Scene with an option to repurchase our non-controlling minority stake, which was exercised during 2013.

OpenInstall. In January 2012, we acquired OpenInstall, Inc., a technology company based in the United States that provides a cloud-based software installation platform that allows for more efficient distribution of software products, provides related analytics and is complementary to AVG's secure search, performance optimization and other software offerings.

In addition, we entered into employment agreements with certain employees who were former shareholders of OpenInstall.

Crossloop. In July 2012, we acquired the assets of Crossloop, Inc., a Delaware corporation engaged in the business of offering software applications for desktop sharing and connecting computer users with service providers.

Angle Labs. In January 2013, we acquired substantially all of the assets and liabilities of Angle Labs, a mobile application developer based in the United States. This was a technology acquisition focused on indirect mobile monetization which also brought two million users.

Privacy Choice. In May 2013, we acquired certain assets and liabilities of PrivacyChoice, a technology company based in the United States that has developed and provides privacy-related online services used by consumers and businesses. This acquisition was intended to complement our current product portfolio with a privacy application and allow us to retain the team that designed it, as we view data privacy for consumers and SMBs as an important future market.

LPI Level Platforms. In June 2013 we acquired the business of LPI Level Platforms, a remote monitoring and management software company based in Canada. This acquisition was a technology and sales distribution acquisition designed to increase our penetration in the SMB market as well as provide access to this businesses.

ASR Technologies AB. In September 2013, we acquired certain assets related to a file management technology, primarily focused on mobile, from Swedish company ASR Technologies AB, Alma Orucevic-Alagic and Amir Alagic (collectively ASR).

Marketing and sales acquisitions

We plan to add additional channels and sales capabilities through strategic acquisitions, and, as part of our expansion strategy, expect to focus on acquiring distributors in our most active and profitable markets.

Our sales and marketing acquisitions since 2011 include:

AVG Distribution Switzerland AG. In October 2011, we acquired AVG Distribution Switzerland AG, or AVG DACH, one of our resellers in Germany, Austria and Switzerland, based in Switzerland.

Avalanche. In October 2012, we acquired certain assets and liabilities and the on-going distribution activities for AVG products in the Australian and Pacific region from AVG (AU/NZ) PTY LTD, Avalanche Technology Group Pty Ltd and Coreen Investments Pty Ltd, or collectively, Avalanche

Table of Contents

Government legislation and regulation

Background

The laws and regulations applicable to us, in particular laws relating to doing business on the Internet, consumer protection and information and communication technology, or ICT, are evolving rapidly. In some cases, it is not possible to ascertain with certainty how existing laws will apply in the online context, where laws have not kept pace with technological change and do not contemplate or adequately address certain unique issues raised by the Internet and ICT (e.g., laws relating to intellectual property, sales and other taxes, and data protection and privacy).

To date, we have earned a majority of our revenue in Europe and the United States. However, our revenue in jurisdictions outside of Europe and the United States, both in an aggregate amount and as a percentage of our overall revenue, may grow in the future. Each jurisdiction has unique regulatory bodies and levels of oversight. While over time there may be a convergence in certain regulatory areas relevant to our business, we anticipate that individual jurisdictions will still continue to exercise substantial independent influence over laws and regulations affecting our industries. The summary set forth below, while focusing on the European Union and the United States, is not intended to imply that regulation outside of these areas is not important to our business. Rather, we have found the issues that we present here to be generally applicable across jurisdictions, although the precise terminology and manner in which they are addressed may differ from jurisdiction to jurisdiction. We have an overall international compliance program established to achieve a high level of compliance with the regulations of the individual jurisdictions in which we have operations as well as the broader international regulatory framework.

EU regulatory environment

Applicable law

We are subject to specific laws and regulations with respect to the processing of personal data and information, including user, customer, contractor, partner, supplier data and employee data, in many jurisdictions in which we operate. Data protection laws within the European Union is principally derived from Directive 95/46/EC on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and information and on the free movement of such data (hereinafter referred to as the EU Data Protection Directive). We are subject to certain national data protection legislation in certain member states of the European Union, which implement the EU Data Protection Directive. We are a data controller in relation to most personal data collected and processed by us. We do not generally process any sensitive personal data.

Key legal obligations

The principles under applicable data protection laws in the European Union require us to ensure, among other things, the following:

Lawfulness. This requires, for example, that we must not process data in breach of any other law and we must satisfy certain fair processing conditions in order to process personal data.

Fairness. This requires, for example, that we provide users with a fair processing notice so that we are transparent about the purposes for which data is processed.

Notification. We are required to register/notify as a data controller, if processing personal data in the context of an establishment in a member state.

Proportionality. This requires, for example, that we must ensure that user data is only processed if and to the extent such processing is proportional in relation to the purposes of providing services to users.

Data quality/accuracy. This requires, for example, that we ensure that inaccurate data is corrected.

Data retention. This requires, for example, that we only retain data for so long as is necessary, whether to comply with applicable laws or to provide services to the user.

Table of Contents

Data security. This requires, for example, that we implement appropriate technical and organizational measures to guard against unauthorized or unlawful processing of personal data and against loss or destruction of, or damage to, personal data.

Data transfers. This requires, for example, that we do not transfer data outside the European Economic Area to jurisdictions which do not ensure an adequate level of protection of personal data, without taking certain steps e.g., implementation of model contractual clauses, obtaining data subject consent or carrying out an adequacy assessment).

Data subject rights. This requires, for example, that we respond to subject access requests from data subjects, to provide information about the nature and scope of processing undertaken.

A summary of the steps taken by us to ensure compliance with the above requirements are set out below, together with further details of personal data and online commerce laws and regulations in the European Union:

EU Data Protection Regulatory Framework. The European Commission originally published proposals to revise the European data protection regulatory framework on January 25, 2012 and has received to a large number of proposed amendments through the legislative process. Under the latest proposals, the EU Data Protection Directive (Directive 95/46/EC) would be replaced with a general data protection Regulation (together with a Directive which sets out rules on protecting personal data processed to prevent, investigate or prosecute criminal offences and related matters). Debate continues on this proposed regulation with no clarity at this time of the implementation timetable. We believe it is unlikely to become law before mid to late 2016 at the earliest. The Regulation would replace the current data protection authority notification requirements with an obligation to conduct a data protection impact assessment for risky processing operations, and includes stronger requirements for consent and data breach notification and restrictions on the collection and use of sensitive personal data, as well as stricter enforcement (including, in some circumstances, the power to impose fines of up to 5% of annual worldwide turnover). It also introduces the concepts of privacy by design and a strengthened right to erasure of data. The Regulation will be directly applicable across the EU, harmonizing data protection laws and regulations among EU member states. However, the Regulation's more stringent requirements on privacy user notifications and profiling, for example, might present implementation and compliance challenges in the online and information technology fields. The Regulation does not replace the e-Privacy Directive (2002/58/EC - amended 2009/136/EC), discussed below.

2009 EU e-Privacy Directive. In addition to the EU Data Protection Directive, Directive 2002/58/EC concerning the processing of personal data and the protection of privacy in the electronic communications sector (as amended in 2009 Directive 2009/136/EC), or the e-Privacy Directive, obliges member states to introduce certain national laws regulating privacy in the electronic communications sector. Pursuant to the requirements of the e-Privacy Directive, companies must, among other things, obtain consent to store information or access information already stored, on a user's terminal equipment (e.g., computer or mobile device). These requirements predominantly regulate the use by website owners and application providers of cookies and similar technologies. Prior to providing such consent, users must receive clear and comprehensive information in accordance with the EU Data Protection Directive about the access and storage of information. Certain exemptions to these requirements on which we rely are available for

technical storage or access for the sole purpose of carrying out the transmission of a communication over an electronic communications network or as strictly necessary to provide a service explicitly requested by the user. Our privacy policy and fair processing notices inform users about our practices, and we routinely monitor our compliance with this legislative and regulatory framework.

Mobile apps and smart devices. The EU Article 29 Data Protection Working Party published an opinion on March 15, 2013 that provides key recommendations for mobile app developers, app owners, app stores, device and Operating System manufacturers and other parties on how to comply with the EU Data Protection Directive and the e-Privacy Directive. The key recommendations from this opinion

Table of Contents

are that in most cases, data controllers will have to rely on individuals' consent as a legal basis for the processing of their personal data, and that consent needs to be obtained before the app starts to retrieve or place information. In addition, individuals must have the opportunity, when uninstalling apps, to have their personal data deleted where possible. See mobile privacy below for details of our response to these emerging requirements.

EU Consumer Rights Directive. The EU Consumer Rights Directive, which requires EU member states to adopt laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this directive, will be effective in June 2014 and requires us to make it clear to online consumers of our subscription products if we are selling an automatic renewal subscription service and obtain the consumer's express consent to automatic renewal (rather than getting consent via pre-populated tick boxes). If we do not obtain the online consumer's express consent in advance, the consumer will be entitled to reimbursement of any automatic renewal subscription fees paid. This directive also regulates many other aspects of online commerce, such as provision of information to consumers and refund requirements, which regulation could also result in requirements to modify certain of our business practices.

EU Cyber-security Strategy. In February 2013 the European Commission launched a cyber-security strategy for the European Union. This strategy aims to increase preparedness for security incidents such as hacking or technical failures. The most important part of the strategy is a proposed draft Directive on Network and Information Security (the draft Directive). The draft Directive introduces an obligation for operators of critical network infrastructure (such as infrastructure used for banking, transportation, energy, online payments and e-commerce), providers of information society services (e.g. Internet service providers, search engines, cloud computing providers) and public administrations to implement appropriate security measures and to report security incidents which have a significant impact on the security of core services to a competent authority, which may in turn require notification of members of the public. The requirement to report incidents could require us to disclose information which is commercially sensitive to the competent authorities.

U.S. regulatory environment***Applicable law***

Although the United States does not have a comprehensive federal data protection law, every business in the United States is subject to privacy laws at the federal and/or state level. These privacy laws and other privacy requirements are actively enforced by federal and state authorities, and are also enforced via class action lawsuits and privacy-related litigation.

The first challenge that any business faces in the United States is to identify the privacy laws that apply to its business operations. There are a multitude of federal and state privacy laws. Some privacy laws focus on particular industries, such as: (i) healthcare privacy rules under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act and comparable state laws; (ii) financial services privacy rules under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and comparable state laws; and (iii) telecommunications privacy rules for customer proprietary network information under the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Some privacy laws focus on particular activities, such as: (i) the Fair Credit Reporting Act that applies to companies that gather and share certain data about consumers for credit and other specified purposes; (ii) the Children's Online Privacy Protection Act that applies to companies that engage in online collections of personal information about

children under 13; and (iii) the Electronic Communications Privacy Act and comparable state laws that generally apply to, among other activities, the interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications, and access to certain stored communications.

Some privacy laws focus on particular data types, such as certain laws in California and Massachusetts, and a large number of other states have data security and breach notification requirements that apply to Social Security Numbers, bank account numbers, credit card numbers, health information, and a broad range of other sensitive data fields.

Table of Contents

In the U.S., 2013 was defined by high-profile data breaches at retailers Target and Neiman Marcus, which prompted enforcement agencies and law makers to take action. Numerous state attorneys general are currently investigating the issue. Meanwhile, both houses of Congress continue to propose privacy and cybersecurity-related legislation.

Key legal obligations

A summary of the steps taken by us to ensure compliance with the above requirements are set out below, together with further details of personal information and online commerce laws and regulations in the United States:

Federal Trade Commission: The U.S. Federal Trade Commission, or FTC, has continued to exercise authority over privacy protections generally, using its existing authority over unfair and deceptive practices and other public proceedings to apply greater restrictions on the collection and use of personally identifiable and other information relating to consumers.

The FTC issued guidelines in 2012 entitled *Protecting consumer privacy in an era of rapid change* recommendations for business and policymakers which set forth best practices for businesses to protect the privacy of American consumers and give them greater control over the collection and use of their personal data.

In 2013, the FTC entered into consent agreements with CBR Systems, TRENDnet and Compete Inc., after finding that those companies had failed to employ adequate security measures for the protection of personal information. The FTC also initiated an enforcement action, *FTC v. Wyndham Hotels*, alleging that the hotel chain failed to take reasonable and appropriate measures to secure its customers' personal information in violation of the FTC Act's prohibition against unfair business practices. Also, in January 2014, the FTC announced settlements with twelve companies for falsely claiming compliance with U.S.-EU safe harbor, which allows U.S. companies to obtain personal data regarding EU citizens as long as the companies certify their adherence to certain privacy standards. We operate with technical and organizational security measures to comply with ISO27001 controls in order to mitigate risks associated with appropriate management of certain personal information.

Mobile privacy. Early in 2012 The White House proposed a blueprint for a *Consumer Privacy Bill of Rights* which could lead to legislation or voluntary industry standards that would require the adoption of additional security measures or prevent retention or use of certain data. This proposal has yet to make substantive progress and no legislation has been enacted up as of March 2014.

From this blueprint, in July 2013 the National Telecommunications & Information Agency (*NTIA*) developed and issued a draft *Code of Conduct* which provides advice as to good practices for the development of a short form privacy notice for mobile devices.

In February 2014, the FTC hosted a *Mobile Device Tracking Workshop*, which follows the previous year's guidance relating to mobile app development suggesting regulation in this area is increasingly likely. This guidance from the FTC, in tandem with advice from other agencies, specifically seeks to impose rules on app developers including requirements to improve the transparency for the user through use of privacy short form and enhanced notices.

We are developing a short form privacy notice and have carried out consumer testing. We expect to complete the notice during the summer of 2014.

California State Attorney General. California continues to be the state with the most prolific approach to privacy legislation, with two of three bills enacted in 2013 becoming effective as of 2014, including *Do Not Track* and *Breach notification*. The *children's right to be forgotten* bill, also enacted in 2013, is set to become effective in 2015. We

actively monitor the legislation emerging from each state's Attorney General's Office to ensure compliance.

Table of Contents

Our data protection compliance program

We have implemented certain policies and procedures to address data protection and privacy matters and ensure compliance across the business. We maintain an online privacy policy, which describes, among other things, the categories of data collected, the purposes for which data are collected and how to access and rectify personal data and information held by us. Users can contact us with requests related to that data by dedicated email or online/by voice via our Customer Services department. As part of our purchase and installation process, we notify users about how user data is handled by providing such users with our privacy policy and fair processing notices, which provide information about our data privacy policies. To further enhance our ability to comply with the various data privacy laws and regulations, we regularly review our policies and we provide education and training to our employees. In addition we have implemented information security policies, procedures and training for our employees (and have a privacy director on our staff). We have established a staff portal with access to privacy materials and training. We provide all staff training on privacy and data protection. We have also established a privacy committee composed of members of senior management and employees from functions across the Company, including research and development, product management, investor relations, marketing and sales, legal and security, which provides an information, discussion and awareness platform to help us stay abreast of the trends and developments in the data privacy area. This committee helps govern our privacy program and facilitates communication and compliance on data privacy issues throughout our Company as part of oversight of the compliance program.

Legal proceedings and regulatory matters

Previously, we filed voluntary self-disclosures with OFAC and the BIS, in connection with the inadvertent sale or provision of licenses for use of our software products to a small number of users (relative to our active and subscription user bases) in Cuba, Iran, North Korea, Sudan and Syria. over a period of five years before our initial public offering. We implemented a remediation plan that included terminating access to licenses and blocking updates and upgrades of our products to all users with a geographic Internet protocol (GEOIP) address in Cuba, Iran, North Korea, Sudan or Syria. The matters were closed without the imposition of any fines or penalties on us. In December 2011, we received a cautionary letter from OFAC and in September 2013, we received a warning letter from BIS.

For additional information regarding legal proceedings, see **Litigation contingencies** described in note 19 to our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report.

In addition, we are from time to time subject to certain claims and party to certain legal proceedings incidental to the normal course of our business. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of legal matters, we cannot state with confidence what the eventual outcome of these pending matters will be, what the timing of the ultimate resolution of these matters will be or what the eventual loss, fines or penalties related to each pending matter may be. We believe that we have made adequate reserves related to the costs anticipated to be incurred in connection with these various claims and legal proceedings and believe that liabilities related to such claims and proceedings should not have, in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Competition

We face significant competition in all aspects of our business. The market for consumer and small business software is fragmented and highly competitive. Barriers to entry are low, so to be effective our solutions will have to provide functionality and quality at a compelling cost relative to our competitors, among other elements. The market for consumer and small business security and associated software solutions is rapidly evolving.

Our main competitors fall into the following categories:

vendors with freemium pricing like our own, such as Avast!, Avira, PC Tools (which was acquired by Symantec), Carbonite and Dropbox;

Table of Contents

traditional vendors such as Intel Security (formerly McAfee, which was acquired by Intel Corporation), Symantec and Trend Micro (which primarily provide software solutions, including security software, for large enterprises) and Eset, Kaspersky Labs, Panda Software, Sophos, Rising, Kingsoft, Check Point and F-Secure (which offer more customized and segment-focused products);

vendors offering PC optimization products, such as UniBlue;

secure search toolbar providers;

SMB SaaS players such as Kaseya and Solarwinds;

vendors offering applications specifically addressing mobile users, particularly in the area of security, such as Lookout Inc.;

large corporations offering a wide variety of products, only a few of which compete with ours, such as Microsoft, Google, which introduced free security software solutions, Apple, which offers cloud-based data protection, Qihoo, Tencent and Facebook.

We believe the principal competitive factors in our markets can be categorized as set forth below:

product ease of use;

size and ease of installation;

new features and functionality;

product interoperability and reliability;

integration with third-party applications or web user interfaces;

pace of innovation and product roadmap;

extent of active user base;

user trust and overall community engagement;

brand awareness;

price of products and online services;

ability to develop and sustain partner relationships ;

strength of customer service organization;

customer support and training;

ability to scale operations; and

size and financial stability of operations.

C. ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

A list of our subsidiaries, including name, country of incorporation or residence and breakdown of ownership interest and voting power is provided in Item 19. Exhibits Exhibit 8.1. , which is incorporated herein by reference.

D. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Our principal registered office is located in Amsterdam, the Netherlands. We lease office space for our offices in Amsterdam and for our regional facilities, in Brno and Prague, in the Czech Republic; in San Francisco, California, Fort Walton Beach, Florida, Roswell, Georgia, and Newton, North Carolina, in the United States; Beijing in China; Nicosia in Cyprus; Anglet in France; Tel Aviv in Israel; Ottawa, in Canada; Baar in Switzerland; Melbourne in Australia; and Lincoln in the United Kingdom. Our leases expire at various times during the current and coming years. In 2014, we expect to terminate our lease on our office in Nicosia.

Table of Contents

We believe that our current facilities are suitable and adequate to meet our current needs and that suitable additional or substitute space will be available as needed to accommodate expansion of our operations. In addition to the leasehold improvements, office, furniture and equipment at the above office locations, the balance of our property and equipment comprises computer equipment and vehicles.

Due to organizational reorganizations, we ceased using our leased office spaces in Darmstadt and Munich in Germany. The lease term of these office spaces will end in 2022 and 2014, respectively. The leased office space in Darmstadt is partially sublet.

ITEM 4A. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not Applicable.

ITEM 5. OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS**A. OPERATING RESULTS****Revenue generation**

We generate revenue principally by selling our premium products to consumers and by monetizing our active user base through online services, as described in detail under Item 4. Information on the Company B. Business Overview.

The sources of our revenue are geographically diversified. In 2013, the Americas represented 55.6% of our total revenue, compared to 56.9% in 2012 and 60.0% in 2011. In 2013, Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA) represented 37.8% of our total revenue, compared to 37.7% in 2012 and 34.9% in 2011. The balance of our total revenue was attributable to other geographic regions.

The following table summarizes the growth in our subscription revenue and our platform-derived revenue for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|---|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars, except for percentages) | | |
| Revenue by type: | | | |
| Subscription revenue | \$ 175,654 | \$ 196,858 | \$ 250,839 |
| Platform-derived revenue | \$ 96,738 | \$ 159,108 | \$ 156,274 |
| Total revenue | \$ 272,392 | \$ 355,966 | \$ 407,113 |
| Percentage of total revenue by type: | | | |
| Subscription revenue | 64.5% | 55.3% | 61.6% |
| Platform-derived revenue | 35.5% | 44.7% | 38.4% |
| Total revenue | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Subscription revenue

The primary component of our subscription revenue is derived from the sale of premium products and online services. Payments are made upfront for the ability to access our premium products or online services, usually for a term of one

or two years. These solutions include end market products offerings in security, PC performance optimization and online services, among others. Our premium products and solutions include additional features as well as maintenance and support services (including the right to receive unspecified future upgrades/enhancements of our products, when and if available) during the fixed term of the license. Subscription revenue is generated from the sale of fixed-term software license arrangements, which are generally sold and downloaded over the Internet or sold as packaged products through multi-tiered distribution channels. This

Table of Contents

subscription revenue is recognized ratably over the term of the related license agreement. Due to the upfront nature of the cash payments for these products, the majority of this subscription revenue is released from deferred revenue on the balance sheet.

To a lesser extent, the Company also sells perpetual software licenses. The perpetual license agreements include free maintenance and support services (which include the right to bug fixes, but exclude the right to receive unspecified upgrades/enhancements of the Company's product on a when-and-if-available basis), for which vendor-specific objective evidence of the fair value of undelivered elements does not exist. The Company recognizes all revenue from perpetual license agreements ratably over the expected term for providing maintenance and support services.

We also sell through our reseller network. The sales through our reseller network are undertaken by intermediaries and we offer these intermediaries a discount on our products. As a result, we receive less revenue per customer from sales through our reseller network than we do through our online channel. We largely recognize revenue for products sold through our reseller network on a sell-through basis, and to a lesser extent, upon order based on the intermediaries' right of return.

Growth in our subscription revenue will largely depend on our ability to sell premium versions of our software products to new users as well as our ability to sell new and additional solutions and upgrades to our existing users. This growth will also depend on our continued ability to develop new solutions for our customers.

Platform-derived revenue

To date, our platform-derived revenue consists primarily of revenue generated via our dynamic secure search solution and to a lesser extent, revenue shares from third-party advertisers and the sale of threat analysis data. While the searches conducted by users via our dynamic secure search solution are free to our users, we receive a proportion of the revenue generated by search providers from our users' search activity. This platform-derived revenue is recognized at the time the service is provided.

We have used our dynamic secure search solution to generate revenue through our search agreements with multiple providers, the most significant of which are Google and Yahoo!. In exchange for directing our active users' search queries to search providers, we earn a percentage of the search revenue generated by such search providers. Our platform segment has historically been predominantly dependent on revenue generated by a single search results provider. However, during 2013 we successfully implemented our strategy to diversify and de-risk our platform-derived revenue stream. In the first quarter of 2013 we recommenced distribution of a Yahoo! powered secure search solution, also following the change in Google's guidelines for search distribution that came into effect on February 1, 2013 requiring search users to opt-in to receiving our secure search solution. Revenue derived from Google represented 28% of our revenue in 2013, compared to 44% in 2012. Our revenue generated by Yahoo! accounted for 9% of our revenue in 2013 compared to none in 2012.

During 2013 we announced a partnership with Yandex to distribute a secure search solution powered by their search results. Yandex is Russia's most popular search engine, making it one of only a few examples where a local player is dominating the domestic search market. Yandex also operates in Turkey, where it launched a search engine and a range of other web-based services in 2011, as well as in Ukraine, Kazakhstan and Belarus. This supplemented the agreements concluded during 2012 with Google, Yahoo! and Seznam. Our two-year non-exclusive search contract with Google became effective on December 1, 2012. The agreement included an option at the end of the first year, allowing either party to terminate for convenience upon 60 days' notice which was not invoked. Our agreement with Yahoo! is a three-year non-exclusive search partnership and software distribution contract effective from January 1, 2013. Our diversification strategy applies at a user level whereby for example, a user will either have his or her

searches directed to Yahoo! exclusively or Google exclusively. Where we send traffic is based on customer lifetime value which is influenced by not only revenue per search (itself influenced by the quality of the ad network we are using) but also by the acceptance of our search offering and the length of time a search user utilizes our secure search solution (influenced by type of offer, search experience and strong brand identification).

Table of Contents

We intend to continue to explore opportunities with search providers and search aggregators in order to further embed diversification within this revenue stream. In addition we continue to develop enhancements to our secure search solution, allowing us to prolong user lifetime. Growth in our platform-derived revenue will depend on our ability to effectively monetize and expand our user base through additional online services, greater user engagement and extending overall lifetimes of our products.

During 2013, we continued our monetization initiatives by offering services to users outside of our organic user base through partnerships whereby our secure search solution is included with third-party application downloads. However, in November 2013 we announced our decision to exit the third party search distribution business as a result of continued and increasing competitive pressure, combined with the manner of distribution and nature of potential partners' products often conflicting with our brand position. We expect to complete our exit from this business during 2014.

During 2013 we successfully began indirect monetization of our mobile user base through third-party advertising from which we collected a revenue share. Although mobile revenue was de minimis in 2013, as we continue to grow our mobile user base and optimize such advertising programs, we expect that this will represent an increasing share of our platform-derived revenue stream over time, though we expect that it will still represent a immaterial share of overall revenue in 2014.

Growth in our platform-derived revenue will depend on our ability to effectively monetize and expand our user base through additional online services, greater user engagement and extended overall lifetimes of our products. Our diversification strategy allowed us to successfully mitigate some of the impact of guideline changes from our search providers during 2013; however, we may not be able to mitigate certain unknown or unanticipated guideline changes (both at the search provider and browser level) in the future.

Key metrics

We monitor a number of metrics to evaluate our business, measure our performance, identify trends affecting our business, formulate financial projections and make strategic decisions. We also provide certain other metrics that we believe may be helpful to investors in understanding the business.

Active, subscription, and stand-alone secure search users

We measure the number of active users as those that (i) have downloaded and installed our free software on a PC and have connected to our server at least twice, including at least once in the preceding 30-day period, (ii) represent a unique mobile device, which has installed one or more of our mobile applications, from which at least one application has contacted our server twice in the preceding 30-day period (with at least 24 hours between the first and second contact), (iii) have a valid subscription license for our software solutions or (iv) represent a unique device using our secure search solution that has made at least one secure search in the preceding 30-day period. Subscription users are active users who subscribe to our premium products and online services, the primary component of our subscription revenue. We include in the number of free users those with trial licenses and licenses distributed for promotional purposes. We believe that our methodology for counting our user base is more conservative than methodologies used by other software companies, some of which count users with less frequent interaction.

We believe that the number of active users is currently the most accurate depiction of our user engagement and that active users are the most likely users of the new products and cloud-based services we intend to offer in the future. The active user metric is calculated on a per device basis, so in some cases, a single user may use multiple devices, which may increase this number, while in other cases multiple people may use a single device, which may decrease

this number.

Our number of subscription users equals our number of eligible license sales multiplied by the numbers of devices protected per license. If the license is sold through our web-based channel, subscription users are counted

Table of Contents

at the time of purchase. If the license is sold through a retail channel, all subscription users under a license are counted the first time any installation under that license occurs. For example, if a user purchases a three-device license and protects only two devices, this would be counted as three users for our subscription user calculation. We seek to apply this method consistently as we exclude unlicensed or unauthorized devices of abusing users (those users who are protecting more devices than authorized under their license) from our user base calculations. We also exclude illegal users (those who use false or illicit license keys), even though we still incur costs to support these users and provide them with updates because we believe they form an important part of our viral marketing strategy. Subscription users are counted as such for the entirety of their valid license period, irrespective of their connectivity to our servers. They are converted to free users upon the expiration of the license, unless the license is renewed.

| | For the year ended December 31, | | |
|---|--|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| | | (unaudited) | |
| Active users at period end (in millions) | 108 | 146 | 177 |
| Average active users ⁽¹⁾ (in millions) | 103 | 127 | 161 |
| Subscription users ⁽¹⁾ at period end (in millions) | 15 | 15 | 16 |
| Average subscription users | 14 | 15 | 16 |

(1) In this Annual Report, unless otherwise indicated, active user and subscription user numbers have been rounded to the nearest million. Active users as of December 31, 2013, included approximately 68 million users of our mobile products.

In 2014 we expect to refine the definition of active users in relation to users of our mobile products such that it will represent a unique mobile device that has installed one or more of our mobile applications, from which at least one application has contacted our server once (rather than twice) in the preceding 30-day period. If this change had been implemented as of December 31, 2013 active user numbers at that date would have been 180 million instead of 177 million, of which users of our mobile products would have been 71 million instead of 68 million.

We recognize that potential flaws in our user metrics may exist and we will continue to assess opportunities to improve our methodology of calculating these metrics. We may choose in the future to further alter this calculation methodology beyond the change already planned for 2014 to reflect changing circumstances or additional information. In addition, the evolution of our product portfolio, both in 2014 and beyond, may necessitate revisions in our user count methodology that are not possible to quantify currently. With our product development activities seeking to connect both PC and mobile devices across platforms in the consumer market and the planned further expansion of our SMB SaaS offering, we may in the future further revise our user count methodologies. See Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Accurately measuring the number and retention of our active and subscription users is difficult .

Revenue per average active user

Revenue per average active user is defined as total revenue divided by the average number of active users in that period. We monitor revenue per average active user because we believe it reflects whether we have been successful in our strategies to increase the combined platform and subscription revenue from each user. We monitor total revenue per average active user, because each active user provides opportunities for us to realize both platform and subscription revenue through our platform monetization initiatives, such as our dynamic secure search solution, and through our multiple product strategy of up-selling and cross-selling our expanding set of premium products and

online services to all of our users. We monitor revenue per average active user to assist us in making strategic decisions primarily in three ways:

seeking to optimize the revenue per user we currently receive from our existing user base in order to increase our revenue per average active user in the near term, including by optimizing the monetization methods used with different user base segments;

Table of Contents

determining whether to invest in increasing the size of different user base segments to the extent that we have current opportunities to monetize them; and

determining whether to invest in increasing the size of different user base segments to the extent that we have identified opportunities to monetize them in the future.

As a result, revenue per average active user represents the outcome of our strategic priorities and may vary across periods depending on the extent to which we are pursuing each of the strategies described above.

| | For the year ended December 31, | | |
|--|--|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars, except for user data and per user data) | | |
| Total revenue | \$ 272,392 | \$ 355,966 | 407,113 |
| Active users at period end (in millions) | 108 | 146 | 177 |
| Average active users (in millions) | 103 | 127 | 161 |
| Revenue per average active user | \$ 2.65 | \$ 2.80 | \$ 2.53 |

During 2013 we experienced an expected reduction in our revenue per average active user, due to our successful acquisition of mobile users which monetize at a significantly lower level than our historic PC users, a trend we expect to continue in the near future as our mobile growth is expected to out-pace our PC growth. Excluding mobile active users, our revenue per average active user would have increased from \$3.27 in 2012 to \$3.54 in 2013, an increase of approximately 8%, and from \$2.76 in 2011 to \$3.27 in 2012, an increase of approximately 18%.

Subscription revenue per average subscriber

Subscription revenue per average subscriber is defined as subscription revenue divided by the average number of subscription users in the period.

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|--|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars, except for user data and per user data) | | |
| Subscription revenue | \$ 175,654 | \$ 196,858 | \$ 250,839 |
| Subscribers (in millions) | 15 | 15 | 16 |
| Average subscribers (in millions) | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Subscription revenue per average subscriber | \$ 12.39 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 15.90 |

Subscription revenue per average subscriber increased by 21% from 2012 to 2013, principally as a result of increased revenue from our PC optimization products and an increase in the proportion of active users that use multiple products. We have not historically recognized users with a valid subscription license to our AVG-branded PC optimization product as unique subscribers because the primary channel for subscription sales of this product is through our existing user base. If we had recognized users of our AVG-branded PC optimization product as subscribers as of December 31, 2013 our subscribers would have been 1.5 million higher as compared with 0.9 million higher as of December 31, 2012, although we estimate that 58% of these users subscribed to other products in our subscription base, as compared to 45% as of December 31, 2012, and thus did not represent unique subscribers.

Future growth in this metric will be dependent on the expansion of our premium products portfolio to drive revenue from cross-selling into the same user base. In addition user segment mix may drive variances in this metric, with licenses sold in the SMB sector generally involving higher discounts due to the nature of multi-seat licenses sold through multi-tiered distribution channels.

Table of Contents***Search volume and platform-derived revenue per thousand searches***

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|--|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars, except for search volume data and per thousand searches data) | | |
| Platform-derived revenue | \$ 96,738 | \$ 159,108 | \$ 156,274 |
| Search volume (in millions) | 4,091 | 7,202 | 6,712 |
| Platform-derived revenue per thousand searches | \$ 24 | \$ 22 | \$ 23 |

Search volume is defined as by the number of searches in thousands conducted by our active users using secure search functionality in our products, including toolbars, and our secure search web pages. We do not include DNS-error-page-related searches in calculating search volumes due to their distorting impact. We consider the search volume to be the primary driver of platform-derived revenue as search volume provides us with the opportunity to earn money from our arrangements with search engine companies. Searches are the initial step in the monetization process, with the final step being the generation of platform-derived revenue as reported in our financial statements, and hence it is search volume that is measured in considering the drivers of platform-derived revenue.

Platform-derived revenue per thousand searches, or RPM, is defined as platform-derived revenue divided by search volume in thousands.

Search volume decreased in 2012 to 2013, primarily due to an AVG product-strategy decision to cause clicked-on links to open in a new tab as opposed to replacing the search result page, which has the consequence of reducing search volumes, but increasing the net contribution per search. As a consequence, platform-derived revenue per thousand searches increased from 2012 to 2013.

Search volume increased from 2011 to 2012 as a consequence of a significant growth in our active users using PC products and in engagement with our secure search functionality by those users. Platform-derived revenue per thousand searches decreased from 2011 to 2012, primarily as a consequence of a change in the geographical mix during a period of significant growth in search volumes.

The level of platform-derived revenue per thousand searches is dependent on a number of different factors that may affect the revenue share we receive from our search partners. These include the geographical mix of users using our secure search tools, the nature of the searches they make and how they respond to advertising offers as well as the quality and coverage of advertising provided by our search partners. The geographical mix of searches is an important variable as some regions, for example the U.S., have a comparatively more developed online advertising market, resulting in greater coverage and quality of advertising offered through our search partners. This leads to comparatively higher absolute revenue through our revenue share arrangements.

Non-GAAP measures

In addition to financial measures calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP, we use a number of financial measures that are not calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP. These non-GAAP measures provide additional information on the performance or liquidity of our business and so we believe are useful for investors.

Adjusted net income, free cash flow and their related ratios are non-GAAP measures and should not be considered alternatives to the applicable U.S. GAAP measures. In particular, adjusted net income and free cash flow, and their related ratios, should not be considered as measurements of our financial performance or liquidity under U.S. GAAP, as alternatives to income, operating income or any other performance measures derived in accordance with U.S. GAAP or as alternatives to cash flow from operating activities as a measure of our liquidity.

Table of Contents

Adjusted net income and free cash flow are measures of financial performance and liquidity, respectively, and have limitations as analytical tools, and should not be considered in isolation from, or as substitutes for, analysis of our results of operations, including our operating income and cash flows, as reported under U.S. GAAP. We provide these non-GAAP financial measures because we believe that such measures provide important supplemental information to management and investors about the Company's core operating results and liquidity, primarily because the non-GAAP financial measures exclude certain expenses and other amounts that management does not consider to be indicative of the Company's core operating results or business outlook or liquidity. Management uses these non-GAAP financial measures, in addition to the corresponding U.S. GAAP financial measures, in evaluating the Company's operating performance, in planning and forecasting future periods, in making decisions regarding business operations and allocation of resources, and in comparing the Company's performance against its historical performance. Some of the limitations of adjusted net income and free cash flow and their related ratios as measures are:

they do not reflect our cash expenditure or future requirements for capital expenditure or contractual commitments, nor do they reflect the actual cash contributions received from customers;

they do not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;

although amortization and share-based compensation are non-cash charges, the assets being amortized will often have to be replaced in the future and such measures do not reflect any cash requirements for such replacements; and

other companies in our industry may calculate these measures differently than we do, limiting their usefulness as comparative measures.

Because of these limitations, investors should rely on our consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and treat our adjusted net income and free cash flow as supplemental information only.

Adjusted net income

Adjusted net income is defined as net income for the period, adding back: share-based payments; acquisition-related intangible asset amortization expense; benefit (provision) for income taxes and deducting from adjusted profit before taxes the impact of a normalized tax rate; and other one-off charges.

Adjusted net income as disclosed in this Annual Report reflects an adjustment to normalize tax as stated above, since the Company's profit and loss tax charge varies from period to period and has shown significant variations from its cash tax charge. In particular, the Company's entry into an innovation tax regime in the Netherlands resulted in a significant tax credit in June 2011, which reverses over subsequent periods. In order to remove the period to period impact of these variations, the Company has used an estimated normalized tax rate in its historic non-GAAP financial reporting and future projections, to better reflect the core operational changes in the business. During 2013 we updated the normalized tax rate to 12.5%, as compared to 14% applied in 2012 and 2011. The normalized tax rate of approximately 12.5% is based on an estimate of the Company's future cash tax rate as well as its recent cash and income statement tax charges. The tax rate reflected on the income statement for 2012 and 2013 was respectively on average approximately 19.4% and 38.0% and the tax paid reflected on the cash flow statement in 2012 and 2013 was approximately respectively 15.2% and 15.6%.

In the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded add-backs to adjusted net income totaling \$11.2 million (net of tax \$9.7 million). This included \$3.6 million in charges associated with the rationalization of the Company's global operations, including \$1.7 million costs in relation to the program announced in the fourth quarter of 2012, principally focusing on the absorption of activities from our TuneUp operation within other AVG entities. In December 2013 the Company commenced a program to further streamline our business focus and resource allocation, in particular following our November announcement of our decision to make a controlled exit from the business of distributing our secure search solution through third parties. This led to a

Table of Contents

charge of \$1.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2013. In addition we incurred severance and other restructuring costs of \$0.5 following our acquisition of the business of LPI Level Platforms. We incurred \$3.2 million costs in respect of a class action litigation relating to the design, sale and marketing of our AVG PC TuneUp software including a net settlement of \$1.5 million (gross liability of \$2.5 million less \$1.0 million contribution from the Australian based former provider of the software), and \$1.6 million in legal fees associated with the negotiation of the settlement. We additionally recognized \$2.6 million of accelerated amortization of deferred financing costs due to the full voluntary repayment and termination of our long-term debt facility entered into on March 15, 2011 and originally due to mature on March 15, 2016. Also in the year ended December 31 2013, we further incurred \$1.8 million in acquisition related charges, including \$1.6 million in respect of PrivacyChoice LLC and \$0.2 million related to ASR Technologies AB.

In the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded add-backs to adjusted net income of totaling \$7.2 million (net of tax \$6.2 million). In December 2012 the Company undertook a program to rationalize our global operations, including our TuneUp operation and headcount reductions in various locations. The purpose of this program was to reduce overhead costs in order to redirect spending to research and development in 2013. This led to a charge of \$5.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2012. In addition we recorded a charge of \$2.1 million in acquisition-related charges related to our acquisition in 2011 of the mobile platform company, Droid. We believe these charges should be excluded from adjusted net income as they do not reflect the ongoing costs of operations of the Company.

In the year ended December 31, 2011, we made an adjustment to adjusted net income \$3.7 million (net of tax \$3.2 million) related to the settlement of pre-existing relationships with a reseller on purchase of a reseller based in Germany which serviced the Germany, Austria and Switzerland region, or the DACH reseller. In total, we paid \$9.1 million for the DACH reseller including an amount of \$3.7 million that was ultimately determined to be in connection with the settlement of pre-existing relationships between the parties, separate from the acquisition. We believe that the amount attributable to settling the pre-existing relationships of \$3.7 million (net of tax \$3.2 million) should be excluded from adjusted net income.

In evaluating adjusted net income, the Company may incur future expenses similar to the adjustments reflected below. For example, while share-based compensation is a component of cost of revenue and operating expenses, the impact to our consolidated financial statements compared to other companies can vary significantly due to such factors as assumed life of the options and assumed volatility of our ordinary shares. Our presentation of adjusted net income should not be construed as an inference that our future results will be unaffected by amortization, share-based compensation or any unusual items. Furthermore, we may in future periods make additional adjustments to the definition of adjusted net income to reduce the impact of any unusual or distortive items.

The following table presents a reconciliation of adjusted net income to net income for each of the periods indicated.

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars) | | |
| Adjusted net income: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 100,432 | \$ 45,817 | \$ 63,714 |
| Add back: Share-based compensation | 6,396 | 16,183 | 8,928 |
| Add back: Acquisition amortization ⁽¹⁾ | 4,466 | 8,215 | 12,271 |
| Add back: Adjustments for other items, net of tax ⁽²⁾ | 3,673 | 7,213 | 11,218 |

Edgar Filing: AVG Technologies N.V. - Form 20-F

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Add back: Income tax provision (benefit) | (49,260) | 11,141 | 39,006 |
| Adjusted profit before taxes | 65,707 | 88,569 | 135,137 |
| Less: Tax effect ⁽³⁾ | (9,199) | (12,400) | (16,892) |
| Adjusted net income | \$ 56,508 | \$ 76,169 | \$ 118,245 |

Table of Contents

- (1) Includes amortization of acquired intangibles.
- (2) Other adjustments between GAAP and non-U.S. GAAP measures for the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 comprise \$2.6 million of accelerated amortization of deferred financing costs due to the full voluntary repayment and termination of long-term debt, \$3.2 million in charges associated with a litigation settlement, \$3.6 million in charges associated with the rationalization of the Company's global operations, including integrating the business acquired from LPI Level Platforms Inc., and \$1.8 million in acquisition related charges primarily relating to the PrivacyChoice integration. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 the Company recorded \$5.1 million in charges associated with the rationalization of the Company's global operations and \$2.1 million in acquisition related charges. In the fourth quarter of 2011 the Company recorded an adjustment of \$3.7 million related to acquisition-related charges.
- (3) Adjusted for impact of normalized tax rate of 12.5% in the twelve months ended December 31, 2013 and 14% in 2012 and 2011. The normalized tax rate of 12.5% is based on an estimate of our future cash tax rate as well as our recent cash and income statement tax charges.

The following table presents the changes between 2011 and 2012, and between 2012 and 2013, in adjusted net income.

| | Year ended December 31, | | | Change 2011 vs. 2012 | | Change 2012 vs. 2013 | |
|-----------------------------|--|-----------|------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | In dollars | Percentage | In dollars | Percentage |
| | (unaudited, in thousands of U.S. dollars, except for percentages) | | | | | | |
| Adjusted net income | \$ 56,508 | \$ 76,169 | \$ 118,245 | \$ 19,661 | 34.8% | \$ 42,076 | 55.2% |
| Percentage of total revenue | 20.7% | 21.4% | 29.0% | | | | |

In 2013 adjusted net income increased compared to 2012 primarily due to cost management actions, that led to an improvement in margins at the operating income level; adjusted operating income increased by \$27.9 million or 25%, compared to a \$51.1 million, or 14%, increase in revenue. This was supplemented by a \$15.6 million saving in other income (expense), principally due to the restructuring of our long term debt.

In 2012 adjusted net income increased compared to 2011 primarily due to the growth in revenue, which more than offset increased expenditure and investment in new areas such as mobile, as well as an increased interest charge.

The normalized tax rate of 12.5% (14% in 2012 and 2011) is based on an estimate of our future cash tax rate as well as our recent cash and income statement tax charges.

Free cash flow

Free cash flow is defined as net cash provided by operating activities less capital expenditures, which we define as payments for property and equipment and intangible assets. We disclose free cash flow in this Annual Report because we view free cash flow as an additional measure of liquidity that is indicative of the level of cash available for other purposes, including, but not limited to, further investment in the business, acquisitions, debt repayments, or share repurchases.

In evaluating free cash flow, you should be aware that levels of both cash generation and capital expenditures may change in the future. Our presentation of free cash flow should not be construed as an inference that our future results will be unaffected by these expenses or any unusual or non-recurring items.

We use free cash flow as a measure of liquidity and not as a performance measure. Free cash flow reflects interest paid on external debt and as a consequence its use to measure the operating performance of the business is significantly affected by the capital structure of the Company. Reductions in the level of external debt in 2012 and 2013 resulted in significant reductions in the level of interest payments and as such a significant contributor to the increase in free cash flow over that period. Similarly, any future increase in borrowing would have an adverse impact on the level of free cash flow as a consequence of the increased interest payments.

Table of Contents

The following table presents a reconciliation of free cash flow to net cash provided by operating activities, the most comparable U.S. GAAP measure, for each of the periods indicated.

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars) | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 82,911 | \$ 119,306 | \$ 145,204 |
| Less: Payments for property and equipment and intangible assets | (11,373) | (17,914) | (16,726) |
| Free cash flow | \$ 71,538 | \$ 101,392 | \$ 128,478 |

The following table presents the changes in free cash flow between 2013 versus 2012 and 2012 versus 2011.

| | Year ended December 31 | | | Change 2011 vs. | | Change 2012 vs. | |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | | 2013 | |
| | | | | In dollars | Percentage | In dollars | Percentage |
| Free cash flow | \$ 71,538 | \$ 101,392 | \$ 128,479 | \$ 29,854 | 41.7% | \$ 27,087 | 26.7% |

In 2013, free cash flow increased by \$27.1 million compared to 2012 reflecting an increase in cash from operating activities of \$25.9 million or 21.7% combined with lower capital expenditure, which at \$16.7 million was \$1.2 million lower than in 2012

The increase in free cash flow from 2012 to 2013 was principally driven by growth of \$40.2 million in subscription bookings and by a reduction of \$11.9 million in interest paid, partially offset by increased cost of revenue and operating costs of \$23.3 million as noted in the section *Key factors affecting our performance* below.

There were no unusual movements in working capital during the period and our capital expenditure decreased by \$1.2 million compared to 2012 due to prudent cost management.

In 2012, free cash flow increased by \$29.9 million compared to 2011 reflecting an increase of \$36.4 million or 43.9% in cash from operating activities partially offset by an increase in capital expenditure, which at \$17.9 million was \$6.5 million higher than in 2011

The increase in free cash flow from 2011 to 2012 was principally a consequence of increased sales, driven by growth in platform-derived revenues and subscription bookings, partially offset by spending on activities to drive those bookings and investment in areas such as mobile, together with an increase in interest paid.

Key factors affecting our performance

The following discussion highlights the key factors we believe affect our results of operations.

Growth of our product portfolio

A key driver of our future growth is our ability to cross-sell products into our user base. Our acquisition of TuneUp Software GmbH, and the subsequent growth of our PC optimization software revenue stream, is a clear example of successfully growing our product portfolio. During 2013 we have experienced growth of the number of applications per subscription user, which we intend to grow in the coming periods through internal product developments such as

AVG Zen and also inorganically through acquisitions.

Growth of our user base

Growth of our user base is a primary driver of our revenue. Growth in our subscription user base is a key driver of our subscription revenue and growth in our active user base, along with search volume, drives platform-derived revenue.

Table of Contents*Active users*

The primary driver of growth of our active user base has been increasing user awareness of our solutions and the AVG brand, which we promote through key mobile application stores, online viral marketing, including word-of-mouth advertising, blogs and other media referrals. In addition, periodic enhancements and functionality additions made to our free solutions also contribute to overall active user base growth. This has allowed us to grow our active base of mobile users by 160% from 26 million as of December 31, 2012 to 68 million as of December 31, 2013. Growth of our active user base also reflects our general strategy toward localization, i.e., producing versions of our products in local languages and in some cases adapted for specific markets. If these various strategies do not work and we are not able to grow our active user base over the long term, our business and profitability could be adversely affected.

Subscription users

Subscription users are those who subscribe to premium products, the primary component of subscription revenue. Growth in our subscription user base is driven by increasing user awareness of our premium products and brand, leading to both greater numbers of visits to our website and increasing traffic to our web-based distribution platform, which generates direct sales (i.e., without an upgrade from the free product). Enhancements and functionality additions to our premium solutions (as compared with our free product) have contributed to the growth of our subscription user base, particularly as those solutions have evolved to more comprehensive software suites. The growth of our active user base has also contributed to growth of our subscription user base by increasing the pool of users who may potentially upgrade to our premium solutions (and are accordingly converted into subscription users). As with direct sales, this dynamic is driven by the enhancements and additional functionality of our premium solutions. If users do not recognize the value of our premium solutions, or do not find our solutions compelling relative to the cost of these solutions, they may choose to utilize our free solutions. If users choose not to purchase or renew access to our premium solutions and we experience a significant decline in our subscription user base, our revenue and profitability may suffer.

User retention

One element affecting the growth and stability of our user base is our ability to retain existing users, which is influenced by a variety of factors. For example, our ability to retain both subscription users and active users is affected by the frequency with which our users replace their personal computers, as free trial versions of antivirus and other software are typically preinstalled on new personal computers. Personal computer lifetime creates an interesting dynamic for us, since while potentially decreasing opportunities for growth in our antivirus and other software categories it generates increasing demand for our PC Optimization software. Users at mobile devices have lower retention rates than those using personal computers, also by virtue of the lower average lifetime of a mobile handset. In addition, due to our limited ability to track users based on personally identifiable information, even if existing users continue using our products on new personal computers, it historically has appeared to us as the loss of an existing customer and the addition of a new customer. Assessment of retention of free users is particularly difficult as we have historically collected little or no identifiable information on these users. User retention also depends on the mix of products sold to consumers versus small businesses, as different user types have different retention characteristics. Another factor affecting subscription user retention is the extent to which we invest in various marketing and customer loyalty programs, such as discounted pricing for renewals and two-year subscriptions, as well as the cross-selling of products within our user base. We may adjust our pricing strategy from time to time with the objective of increasing our revenue per average active user, which may cause decreases in our subscription renewal rates even if it increases overall revenue. For example, we may reduce discounts given to renewing users, which could increase overall revenue if the increase in revenue from each renewing user is not fully offset by the decrease in revenue caused by the resulting decline in renewal rates. Since 2011 we have successfully implemented and optimized an auto-renewal

strategy for subscription users (an opt-in or opt-out option selected by the user at the time of purchase to automatically renew the relevant subscription and to charge the user's pre-designated debit or credit card) where possible and permitted by law to increase our retention of subscription users. Approximately 76% of subscription users purchasing a license online in 2013

Table of Contents

opted for auto-renewal (compared to 71% in 2012 and 43% in 2011). In the fourth quarter of 2013 we saw the first material maturation of expiring PC Optimization licenses originally purchased with an auto-renewal. As we have successfully demonstrated with our auto-renewal strategy for our anti-virus products in the last three years, we will seek to optimize the auto-renewal strategy for PC Optimization users which represents a strong growth opportunity in the coming periods. However, certain proposed regulations in the European Union and the United States may not allow for auto-renewal in the future, which may result in a lower renewal rate by our existing subscription users. See Item 3. Key Information D.Risk Factors Regulation of the Internet and the lack of certainty regarding application of existing laws to the Internet could substantially harm our operating results and business and Item 3. Key Information D.Risk Factors A significant percentage of our total revenue comes from purchases of subscriptions to our premium products, which must by their terms be renewed by our users to remain in force.

Platform monetization of our active user base

We expect the continuing impact of Google's guideline changes will lead to a material decrease in 2014 in platform revenue we derive from the use of our secure search solution within our own user base, which, due to its organic nature, represents a high quality margin monetization route. Combined with our November 2013 announcement of our decision to make a controlled exit from our business focusing on the distribution of our secure search solution through third-party application partnerships, we expect platform-derived revenue will represent a decreasing percentage of our overall revenue in the near term.

However, monetization of our active user base through platform-derived revenue in addition to subscription revenue remains a key element of our overall performance and strategy, driven by our ability to provide new premium products and online services that generate subscription and platform-derived revenue. Our subscription revenue includes the sale of premium products and solutions, including our premium Internet Suite, PC optimization software, parental control software, and system optimization among others. The primary component of platform-derived revenue is from search agreements, whereby we deliver user Internet search requests submitted into our dynamic secure search solution to our search providers. In 2014 we also seek to increase the contribution from indirect monetization of our mobile user base, through our partnerships with mobile advertising networks, although we do not expect this to be material in the near term.

Platform-derived revenue from our secure search solution is dependent upon the volume of Internet searches conducted. We have formed a number of strategic alliances and agreements that allow us to market and cross-sell products and online services to our active users. If we are not able to provide additional products and online services that enable us to further monetize our platform, grow the number of users who use our dynamic secure search solution, or continue and extend key agreements that provide a substantial portion of our platform-derived revenue our revenue and profitability could be adversely affected. Further we continue to develop enhancements to our secure search solution, allowing us to prolong user engagement and lifetime. If we are not able to provide such enhancements our revenue and profitability could be adversely affected.

Similarly, successful indirect monetization of our mobile user base will depend on our ability to successfully optimize in-application advertisements and promotions, and the continuing expansion of our mobile user base.

Searches conducted

The substantial majority of our platform-derived business to date and expected for the near term is based on secure Internet searches. When users install our toolbar or various other applications, we provide them with our dynamic secure Internet search functionality. Except for DNS-error-page-related queries, which are sent to Yahoo!, we establish a primary search provider for a particular geography based on maximization of customer lifetime value per

offer of our secure search solution. Thus our platform-derived revenue from search is diversified across search providers comprised of Yahoo!, Google, Seznam and Yandex.

A search engine's advertisers pay the search engine a fee each time a user clicks on one of the advertisers' ads displayed on the search engine's sponsored links. We receive a percentage of that fee, which we recognize

Table of Contents

as platform-derived revenue. This is dependent on the fees the search engine receives from the click-through of a user. The fees per click are based on a variety of factors that are beyond our control and our revenue and profitability may be harmed if these factors do not move in our favor. Our platform-derived revenue may therefore be affected by both factors we can influence, such as the number of toolbar installations and engagement with the toolbar driving search volumes, but also by factors beyond our control, such as individual user behaviors in choosing to click on advertisement links as well as the fees earned by the search provider for each click on an advertisement link, which in turn affects our share of those fees. If we are not able to grow the aggregate number of clicks or searches, the conversion of search queries to click-throughs, or the lifetime value of a search user, our platform-derived revenue may be impacted.

Security demand and awareness

Our free Internet security software serves as our primary customer acquisition vehicle, across both personal computers and mobile devices. Internet users view security software as a prerequisite for a secure, safe Internet experience.

The size of our user base, and consequently our result of operations, are influenced by general growth in the consumer and small business security market, broader interest in security software and changes in the awareness among consumers and small businesses as to the need for Internet security. The growing use and popularity of the Internet has led to increased demand for Internet security solutions, including our products. In addition, newsworthy events involving or predicting harm caused by significant and extensive breaches of Internet security cause demand for our products to increase. As cybercrime has increased in both frequency and sophistication, our users have demanded more comprehensive Internet security solutions. We believe that as online activity and the interconnectivity of devices continues to increase, the security requirements of our users will also increase.

Online marketing and distribution

Our business model benefits our profitability by facilitating and encouraging viral marketing and soliciting product development ideas from our active users, which can reduce operating expenses. In particular, our model helps to limit the growth in our sales and marketing and customer acquisition expenses.

From 2011 to 2013, our revenue was increasingly derived from our own online channels, including sales of our software solutions through our own web-based distribution platform and our platform-derived revenue, as opposed to our reseller network (which includes offline sales and sales through third-party distributors (whether in retail stores or on the Internet)). Growth in our web-based distribution has had the effect of increasing the percentage contribution of our sales to our overall profitability by eliminating costs incurred with respect to third-party distributors and lowering our own cost of sales. Over the near term, we expect revenue from our reseller network to increase as a percentage of total revenue due to the expected contraction in platform-derived revenue combined with the impact of our 2013 acquisition of the business of LPI Level Platforms and our further investment into the expansion of our SMB SaaS offerings, sales of which are principally made through our channel partners.

The following table summarizes our revenue by distribution channel for each of the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013:

| Year ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| (in thousands of U.S. dollars) | | |

Edgar Filing: AVG Technologies N.V. - Form 20-F

| | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Reseller network | \$ 68,246 | \$ 64,307 | \$ 63,155 |
| Web-based and platform-derived | 204,146 | 291,659 | 343,958 |
| Total | \$ 272,392 | \$ 355,966 | \$ 407,113 |

Table of Contents***Currency fluctuations***

Our reporting currency is the U.S. dollar, notwithstanding that we are organized under the laws of the Netherlands. In 2013, 56% of our revenue was generated in U.S. dollars, while 19% was generated in euros, 13% in British pounds sterling and 4% in Czech crowns. In addition, in 2013, we incurred 52% of our cost in U.S. dollar, 15% in euros, 5% in British pounds sterling and 19% in Czech crowns. We are exposed to translational risk resulting from the retranslation of the results of subsidiaries with functional currencies other than the U.S. dollar, in particular our Czech, Israeli, Euro-functional and U.K. subsidiaries. In 2013, the effect of this exposure in Other comprehensive income was a loss of \$4.3 million.

The Company enters into foreign exchange contracts to economically hedge transactional exposures between the U.S. dollar and British pound sterling, Czech crown, Israeli shekel, Canadian and Australian dollar and the euro, reducing some of our exposure but not eliminating it.

Effective tax rate

Through May 31, 2011, our effective tax rate was mainly determined by the nominal corporate tax rate of 10% in Cyprus and a relatively low corporate tax rate in the Czech Republic. On June 1, 2011, we entered into an innovation tax regime in the Netherlands and recognized tax benefits (deferred tax assets) which significantly impacted our effective tax rate. As a result, we received a credit, or a benefit to our income statement of \$56.3 million in June 2011, and then an offsetting charge of \$8.0 million in 2011, \$13.6 million in 2012 and \$13.1 million in 2013. As a result, our effective tax rate was (96.3)% in 2011, 19.4% in 2012 and 38.0% in 2013.

The primary reason for the increase in tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 is due to the deferred tax asset for deferred revenue. Deferred tax assets are measured using the enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the periods in which the deferred taxes are expected to be settled or realized. As a result of the centralization of all intellectual property in the Netherlands during 2013 and alignment of the intercompany transfer pricing methodology, the enacted tax rates used for realization of the deferred tax assets decreased significantly. By undertaking these new intercompany transfer arrangements, resulting in a different deferred revenue allocation, we have incurred an increase in the effective tax rate in 2013, but expect a lower effective tax rate in subsequent years. The effective Dutch tax rate is expected to be significantly lower than the statutory tax rate in the Netherlands primarily as a result of the innovation box tax regime in the Netherlands and as a consequence of a tax ruling relating to the relocating of our e-commerce operations in the Netherlands beginning January 1, 2014.

As of June 1, 2011, we reorganized our operating model by centralizing the ownership of certain intangible intellectual property rights (and the future development of those rights) in the Netherlands. In addition, as of January, 2014, we relocated our Cypriot e-commerce entity to the Netherlands and obtained a special deduction which will result in an expected cash tax rate of 11.25% over the following ten years. As a result of these group tax restructurings our future effective tax rate will mainly be determined by the innovation box tax regime in the Netherlands and the effective corporate tax rate of AVG e-commerce distribution entity of 11.25% in the Netherlands.

Effective January 1, 2007, and as further amended on January 1, 2010, Dutch corporate tax legislation provides for a specific tax benefit for income generated by the exploitation of technology that results, among other things, from research and development activities, generally referred to as the innovation box. On May 31, 2011, we entered into an agreement with the Dutch tax authorities regarding the application of this regime to AVG, which was updated on November 30, 2012. The agreement confirms the application of the tax incentive to the Dutch operations, and establishes the methodology to be used to determine our income from the technology. In addition, this agreement confirms the amount of depreciation deduction that we may take each year and also confirms the tax deductible

depreciation of the intellectual property rights centralized in the Netherlands which have an agreed tax base increased to fair market value as per June 1, 2011, and tax deductibility of certain related expenses, including interest expenses. As a result, income attributable to certain research and development

Table of Contents

activities is subject to an effective tax rate of 5%, in lieu of the Dutch statutory corporate income tax rate of 25%. Our effective tax rate is subject to the uncertainties described under Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Challenges by various tax authorities to our international structure may, if successful, increase our effective tax rate and adversely affect our earnings and Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Changes in international or national tax laws and regulations or in the channels in which we distribute our solutions may adversely affect our business and reported results .

Cost of revenue

Cost of revenue consists of fees paid to third parties that distribute our search solutions, customer support, costs of electronic downloads, commissions to payment providers, amortization of purchased technology, costs of packaging and license fees for technologies implemented into our products. Following the acquisition of the business of LPI Level Platforms, cost of revenue also includes the cost associated with our network operating center, providing outsourced network monitoring and management to small and medium sized businesses. Following our November 2013 announcement of our decision to make a controlled exit from the third-party distribution of our search solutions we expect cost of revenue to decrease in dollar terms in the future, providing improved gross profit margins across our combined subscription and platform-derived revenue streams.

Operating expenses

We classify our operating expenses into three categories: research and development, sales and marketing and general and administration. These categories correspond to different functional groups within our Company.

Our operating expenses primarily consist of personnel costs, marketing costs, professional service fees, contract research and development costs and depreciation and amortization costs. Personnel costs for each category of operating expenses include salaries, bonuses, social and health insurance, other employee benefits and share-based compensation for personnel in that category, as well as an allocation of our facilities costs. We allocate share-based compensation expense resulting from the amortization of the fair value of options. We allocate overhead, such as rent, computer and other technology costs, to each expense category based on worldwide headcount in that category.

Research and development

Research and development expense primarily consists of personnel costs for our product development, product management employees and fees to our contract development vendors. We have devoted our development initiatives primarily to expanding our product line, building out a larger product management organization and increasing the functionality and enhancing the ease of use of our solutions. Our primary research and development operations are in the Czech Republic, where we are able to take advantage of strong technical talent. Technology acquisitions have seen us increase our development base in Israel, Canada and in the United States. We have also increased our investment in our innovation center in Amsterdam since 2011. We expect to increase our research and development expense on a dollar basis, and as a percentage share of revenues over the near term as we pursue our transition into product development principally in cloud-based offerings to consumers, SMBs, and mobile.

Sales and marketing

Sales and marketing expense primarily consists of personnel costs for our sales, marketing, business development and sales operation support employees and executives; the cost of marketing programs such as online lead generation; promotional events and webinars; sales commissions payable to third parties that refer customers to us; and the cost of business development programs. We also include the distribution of updates for our free product in this category, on

the basis of this representing a customer acquisition expense for us. During 2013 we generated significant annualized savings from the closure of our local operations in Germany supporting TuneUp. In the near term we expect to realize savings associated with redirecting the sales effort to support

Table of Contents

distribution of our secure search solution to third parties, but to a lesser extent. Such savings will contribute to growing investment in our sales efforts to support our service offerings to small and mediums sized businesses and the development of partnerships with mobile network operators, particularly in the United States, but also in Europe and in Australasia, to increase brand awareness, product adoption and user growth. In the near term we expect to increase our sales and marketing expense on a dollar basis, but generate margin expansion from a decrease in sales and marketing spend as a proportion of revenue.

General and administration

General and administration expense primarily consists of personnel costs for our executive, information technology, finance, legal, human resources, corporate development and administrative personnel, as well as legal, accounting and other professional service fees, other corporate expenses, merger and acquisition costs that are charged to the profit and loss account and depreciation and amortization. In the coming year we expect a decrease in general and administrative expense as a percentage of revenue and on a dollar basis, reflecting principally the continued optimization of our global operations rationalization program, while maintaining our investments in our public company infrastructure.

Income tax expense

Income tax expense consists of the taxes we pay in several countries on our taxable income as well as deferred tax with respect to timing differences relating to our deferred revenue. For further information on the breakdown of our income tax components, see our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report beginning on page F-1.

Results of operations

The following table summarizes our consolidated results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars) | | |
| Statement of Comprehensive Income Data and Other Key Metrics: | | | |
| Revenue: | | | |
| Subscription | \$ 175,654 | \$ 196,858 | \$ 250,839 |
| Platform-derived | 96,738 | 159,108 | 156,274 |
| Total revenue | 272,392 | 355,966 | 407,113 |
| Cost of revenue: ⁽¹⁾ | | | |
| Subscription | (23,374) | (27,064) | (30,028) |
| Platform-derived | (7,849) | (27,320) | (38,817) |
| Total cost of revenue | (31,223) | (54,384) | (68,845) |
| Gross profit | 241,169 | 301,582 | 338,268 |

| | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Operating expenses: ⁽¹⁾ | | | |
| Research and development | (35,008) | (55,485) | (60,885) |
| Sales and marketing | (76,933) | (92,198) | (96,382) |
| General and administrative | (60,710) | (73,491) | (70,902) |
| Total operating expenses | (172,651) | (221,174) | (228,169) |
| Operating income | 68,518 | 80,408 | 110,099 |
| Other income (expense), net | (17,104) | (22,939) | (7,379) |
| Income before income taxes and loss from investment in equity affiliate | 51,414 | 57,469 | 102,720 |
| Income tax (provision) benefit | 49,260 | (11,141) | (39,006) |
| Loss from investment in equity affiliate | (242) | (511) | |
| Net income | 100,432 | 45,817 | 63,714 |

Table of Contents

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars) | | |
| Other operating metrics: | | | |
| Adjusted net income (non-GAAP) ⁽²⁾ | 56,508 | 76,169 | 118,245 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 82,911 | 119,306 | 145,204 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (69,544) | (30,242) | (39,755) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (15,329) | (100,325) | (114,295) |
| Free cash flow (non-GAAP) ⁽³⁾ | \$ 71,538 | \$ 101,392 | \$ 128,478 |

- (1) We have recognized employee share-based compensation in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars) | | |
| Cost of revenue | \$ 21 | \$ 40 | \$ 40 |
| Research and development | 1,116 | 1,652 | 1,013 |
| Sales and marketing | 949 | 2,036 | 1,172 |
| General and administrative | 4,310 | 12,495 | 6,702 |
| Total share-based compensation expense | \$ 6,396 | \$ 16,183 | \$ 8,927 |

- (2) Adjusted net income is defined as net income for the period, adding back: share-based payments; acquisition-related intangible asset amortization expense; benefit (provision) for income taxes and deducting from adjusted profit before taxes the impact of a normalized tax rate of 12.5% in 2013 (14% in 2011 and 2012) based on the effective tax rates of the relevant jurisdictions for the applicable adjustments; and certain expense items totaling \$8.6 million in 2013, \$7.2 million in 2012, and \$3.7 million in 2011. For a reconciliation of adjusted net income to net income, the most comparable U.S. GAAP measure, see Non-U.S. GAAP Measures Adjusted net income .
- (3) Free cash flow is defined as net cash provided by operating activities less payments for property and equipment and intangible assets. For a reconciliation of free cash flow to net cash provided by operating activities, the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP financial measure, see Non-U.S. GAAP Measures Free cash flow.

Table of Contents

The following table presents our consolidated results of operations for the periods indicated as a percentage of total revenue. Percentages from certain line items do not sum to the subtotal or total line items due to rounding. The period-to-period comparisons of results are not necessarily indicative of results for future periods.

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income data: | | | |
| Revenue: | | | |
| Subscription | 64.5% | 55.3% | 61.6% |
| Platform-derived | 35.5% | 44.7% | 38.4% |
| Total revenue | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Cost of revenue: | | | |
| Subscription | 8.6% | 7.6% | 7.4% |
| Platform-derived | 2.9% | 7.7% | 9.5% |
| Total cost of revenue | 11.5% | 15.3% | 16.9% |
| Gross profit | 88.5% | 84.7% | 83.1% |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Research and development | 12.9% | 15.6% | 15.0% |
| Sales and marketing | 28.2% | 25.9% | 23.7% |
| General and administrative | 22.3% | 20.6% | 17.4% |
| Total operating expenses | 63.4% | 62.1% | 56.0% |
| Operating income | 25.2% | 22.6% | 27.0% |
| Other income (expense), net | (6.3)% | (6.4)% | (1.8)% |
| Income before income taxes and loss from investment in equity affiliate | 18.9% | 16.1% | 25.2% |
| Income tax (provision) benefit | 18.1% | (3.1)% | (9.6)% |
| Loss from investment in equity affiliate | (0.1)% | (0.1)% | % |
| Net income | 36.9% | 12.9% | 15.7% |

Years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013*Revenue*

| | Year ended December 31, | | | Change 2011 vs. | Change 2012 vs. |
|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 |
| | | | | In dollars | Percentage |
| (in thousands of U.S. dollars, except for percentages, user data and per user data) | | | | | |
| Subscription revenue | \$ 175,654 | \$ 196,858 | \$ 250,839 | \$ 21,204 | 12.1% |
| | | | | \$ 53,981 | 27.4% |

Edgar Filing: AVG Technologies N.V. - Form 20-F

| | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------|------------|--------|
| Percentage of total revenue | 64.5% | 55.3% | 61.6% | | | | |
| Platform-derived revenue | \$ 96,738 | \$ 159,108 | \$ 156,274 | \$ 62,370 | 64.5% | \$ (2,834) | (1.8)% |
| Percentage of total revenue | 35.5% | 44.7% | 38.4% | | | | |
| Total revenue | \$ 272,392 | \$ 355,966 | \$ 407,113 | \$ 83,574 | 30.7% | \$ 51,147 | 14.4% |
| Average active users (in millions)(unaudited) | 103 | 127 | 161 | 24 | 23.3% | 34 | 26.8% |
| Revenue per average active user (unaudited) | \$ 2.65 | \$ 2.80 | \$ 2.53 | \$ 0.16 | 5.8% | \$ (0.27) | (9.8)% |

The increase in subscription revenue in 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily attributable to an increase in revenue from first purchases of our PC optimization software by 118%. Revenue from the renewal of our core security products (excluding renewals of our PC optimization and acquired Managed Workplace products) increased by 21% to \$98 million. Our subscription revenue growth was further supplemented by \$5 million of revenue due to our acquisition of the business of LPI Level Platforms and a smaller increase in security first

Table of Contents

purchases. The continued year-on-year gains in renewal revenue were assisted by the impact of auto-renewal, which has been adopted by the majority of online purchasers. This presents further opportunities for growth in the near future due to increasing maturation of licenses originally purchased through auto-renewal across both our security and increasingly our PC optimization software. Based on our current portfolio of products, we anticipate our subscription revenue growth rates will decline in the near term.

The increase in subscription revenue in 2012 compared to 2011 was primarily attributable to increased renewals, revenue from which increased by 24% to \$82 million. First purchases increased by \$5 million, where we experienced strong growth in revenue attributable to our PC optimization software, partially offset by lower first purchases of our security software primarily in the small and medium sized business sector.

The decrease in platform-derived revenue in 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily attributable to the impact of Google's guideline and browser policy changes which resulted in revenue from use of our secure search solution within our own user base declining by \$8 million (6%) in 2013. This was offset by a \$6 million increase in revenue from the distribution of our secure search solution through third-parties. In November 2013 we announced our decision to make a controlled exit from third-party distribution activities as a result of continued and increasing competitive pressure, combined with the manner of distribution and nature of potential partners' products often conflicting with our brand position. Combined with the ongoing impact of the guideline changes (but excluding any such changes not currently foreseen) we expect a material decline in platform-derived revenue in 2014, with platform revenue from our third party distribution expected to make an insignificant contribution to revenue in 2015.

The increase in platform-derived revenue in 2012 compared to 2011 was primarily attributable to growth in the distribution of our dynamic secure search solution through third parties. In addition we generated growth in our traditional platform-derived distribution to our own user base, and generated increased numbers of searches, up by approximately 80% year-over-year.

The decrease in revenue per average active user in 2013 compared to 2012 was caused by our accelerated growth in our mobile active user base, attributable to our successful acquisition strategy, which has offset the monetization success we have generated in other areas of our business. Excluding mobile active users and revenue, our revenue per average active user would have grown by 8% in 2013 to \$3.54 compared to \$3.27 in 2012 and \$2.76 in 2011.

Cost of revenue

| | Year ended December 31, | | | Change 2011 vs. 2012 | | Change 2012 vs. 2013 | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | In dollars | Percentage | In dollars | Percentage |
| Cost of revenue | \$ 31,223 | \$ 54,384 | \$ 68,845 | \$ 23,161 | 74.2% | \$ 14,461 | 26.6% |
| Percentage of total revenue | 11.5% | 15.3% | 16.9% | | | | |

The increase in the cost of revenue in 2013 compared to 2012 primarily reflects our accelerated distribution of our dynamic secure search solution through third parties to whom we paid related traffic-acquisition costs, which increased by \$11 million during 2013. We also incurred a \$2 million increase in respect of amortization costs of acquired technologies. Our subscription cost of revenue increased by 11% compared to 2012 as we continue to drive margin expansion due to our small variable cost of revenue base. As we undertake our controlled exit from our third party search distribution business we anticipate our cost of revenue will decrease in the near term.

The increase in the cost of revenue in 2012 compared to 2011 was caused by the acceleration of distribution through third parties of our secure search solution (which caused a \$16 million increase in traffic acquisition costs) and increased search provider fees, as well as an increase in subscription related costs in line with the growth in subscription revenues. We recorded an increase of \$2 million in respect of amortization costs of

Table of Contents

acquired technologies. In 2012 we benefitted from a \$4 million cost saving in our search platform costs due to the 2011 acquisition of our search technology partner, iMedix. For our subscription business the gross margin remained in line with the levels of 2011.

Due to an increasingly competitive market in our third party search distribution business we experienced an erosion in gross profit margin on a consolidated basis (from 84.7% in 2012 to 83.1% in 2013), with platform-derived gross profit margin decreasing by eight percentage points to 75% during 2013. For our subscription business we increased our gross margin by two percentage points during 2013 to 88%. We anticipate that the realization of our exit from third party search distribution activities during 2014 will allow us to return to gross margin levels more consistent with 2012.

Research and development

| | Year ended December 31, | | | Change 2011 vs. 2012 | | Change 2012 vs. 2013 | |
|-----------------------------|--|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | In dollars | Percentage | In dollars | Percentage |
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars, except for percentages) | | | | | | |
| Research and development | \$ 35,008 | \$ 55,485 | \$ 60,885 | \$ 20,477 | 58.5% | \$ 5,400 | 9.7% |
| Percentage of total revenue | 12.9% | 15.6% | 15.0% | | | | |

The increase in research and development expenses in 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily the result of investments to support strategic initiatives driving future top-line growth through our multi-product strategy. The year-over-year cost increase included \$7 million of incremental costs attributable to the research and development activities in our LPI Level Platforms and PrivacyChoice acquisitions and supporting the continued development of our mobile and CloudCare small business solutions. Depreciation and amortization costs also increased by \$2 million (including the amortization cost of acquired technologies). This was partially offset by year-over-year savings of \$3 million related to the closure of our TuneUp operations, which was announced in 2012. The PC optimization product development undertaken locally was absorbed in our other development locations by virtue of rationalizing and repurposing resources dedicated to other activities.

The increase in research and development expenses in 2012 compared to 2011 included \$12 million of incremental costs attributable to the research and development activities in our TuneUp operation, supporting the development of our platform product portfolio, our mobile solutions, and CloudCare small business solutions and investing in our innovation center in Amsterdam. We also recorded incremental contingent consideration charges of \$4 million in 2012 in relation to our acquisition of the iMedix search team and OpenInstall. In addition we incurred \$2 million of incremental costs related to share-based compensation, acquisition amortization and one-off costs.

As we continue our investment in expanding our product portfolio, particularly with respect to our new AVG Zen product designed to allow consumers to control their privacy, protection, and performance across their multiple desktop and mobile devices, we expect to increase our research and development expense on a dollar basis. Combined with further incremental investment in our mobile and small business service offerings, and in the context of our expected decline in platform-derived revenue, we plan to increase our research and development expenditure as a percentage of revenue to approximately 18% in the near term.

Sales and marketing

| | Year ended December 31, | | | Change 2011 vs. 2012 | | Change 2012 vs. 2013 | |
|--------------------------------|--|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | In dollars | Percentage | In dollars | Percentage |
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars, except for percentages) | | | | | | |
| Sales and marketing | \$ 76,933 | \$ 92,198 | \$ 96,382 | \$ 15,265 | 19.8% | \$ 4,184 | 4.5% |
| Percentage of total revenue | 28.2% | 25.9% | 23.7% | | | | |

Table of Contents

The increase in sales and marketing expenses in 2013 compared to 2012 reflected the successful execution of our acquisitive growth strategy, with a year-over-year increase of \$5 million due to the 2013 acquisitions of the businesses of LPI Level Platforms and Privacy Choice and the October 2012 acquisition of Avalanche. We incurred \$2 million of further incremental costs supporting the sales and marketing efforts associated with our CloudCare small business solution. Further, depreciation and amortization costs increased by \$1 million. These were largely offset by savings of \$3 million from the closure of local TuneUp operations and reduced operating expenses associated with the development of our e-commerce, content management, order processing and analytics platform of \$2 million.

Notwithstanding the investments in new initiatives to drive revenue growth and market share, we expanded our operating margins in 2013, with sales and marketing costs decreasing by approximately 2 percentage points year over year.

The increase in 2012 compared to 2011 reflected the \$10 million year-over-year cost increase in costs attributable to our TuneUp acquisition completed during 2011. We incurred \$6 million of further incremental costs supporting the sales and marketing efforts associated with our search distribution, mobile solutions and CloudCare small business solution. In addition we incurred \$3 million in incremental operating expenses associated with updating our e-commerce, content management, order processing and analytics platform. Further we incurred \$5 million of incremental costs in share-based compensation, acquisition amortization and other costs. These increases were partially offset by optimization of costs supporting our reseller network as well as savings from adopting a carefully targeted approach to our central brand costs in 2012.

General and administration

| | Year ended December 31, | | | Change 2011 vs. 2012 | | Change 2012 vs. 2013 | |
|-----------------------------|--|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | In dollars | Percentage | In dollars | Percentage |
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars, except for percentages) | | | | | | |
| General and Administration | \$ 60,710 | \$ 73,491 | \$ 70,902 | \$ 12,781 | 21.1% | \$ (2,589) | (3.5)% |
| Percentage of total revenue | 22.3% | 20.6% | 17.4% | | | | |

The decrease in general and administration expenses in 2013 compared to 2012 was comprised of a \$6 million decrease in share-based compensation expenses, partially offset by a \$1 million increase in one-off adjustment costs and \$2 million in other costs. The decrease in share-based compensation costs reflected the \$9 million cost recognized in 2012 in relation to shares issuable to the former TuneUp founders and offset by \$3 million increase in other share based compensation. The increase in one-off adjustment costs reflected \$3 million incremental costs of the class action litigation relating to the design, sale and marketing of our AVG PC TuneUp software, offset by \$2 million saving in optimization program costs. The \$2 million increase in other costs largely reflected the impact of our acquisition growth strategy with \$3 million in incremental costs from our Avalanche and LPI Level Platforms acquisitions, partially offset by \$1 million in savings generated from the closure of local TuneUp operations.

The increase in 2012 compared to 2011 comprised \$8 million of incremental share-based compensation expenses and a \$5 million, or 10%, increase in other costs. The incremental share-based compensation costs included \$6 million additional costs in relation to shares issuable to the former TuneUp founders, with a cost for the year-ended December 31, 2012 of \$9 million compared to \$3 million in the prior year. Of this increase \$3 million related to a modification to the original agreement with the former owners entered into in December 2012, and the subsequent

resignation of one of the founders. The increase in other costs was primarily attributable to increased legal fees of \$3 million, including the cost of protecting and defending our patent portfolio, as well as incremental depreciation and amortization costs of \$1 million.

Table of Contents*Other income (expense), net*

| | Year ended December 31, | | | Change 2011 vs. 2012 | | Change 2012 vs. 2013 | |
|-----------------------------|--|-------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | In dollars | Percentage | In dollars | Percentage |
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars, except for percentages) | | | | | | |
| Other income (expense), net | \$ (17,104) | \$ (22,939) | \$ (7,379) | \$ (5,835) | 34.1% | \$ 15,560 | (67.8)% |
| Percentage of total revenue | (6.3)% | (6.4)% | (1.8)% | | | | |

Other expenses decreased by \$15.6 million in 2013 as compared to 2012, primarily due a \$13.2 million decrease in the aggregated cost of interest on our outstanding debt and amortization of finance costs (including \$2.6 million of accelerated amortization of deferred financing costs due to the full voluntary repayment and termination of our long-term debt facility entered into on March 15, 2011 and originally due to mature on March 15, 2016). In addition, we recognized a foreign exchange gain of \$0.3 million in the period compared to \$2.1 million loss in the year ended 31 December 2012. Foreign exchange exposures have been hedged more actively in 2013 as compared to prior years. AVG is primarily exposed to adverse movements in the Czech crown, Euro, Pound sterling and Israeli shekel and to a lesser extent to Australian and Canadian dollar. The functional currency of our operating subsidiaries is the same as the local currency of that subsidiary with the exception of the Dutch and Cypriot subsidiaries which have the US dollar as their functional currency. Changes in those subsidiaries' assets and liabilities denominated in other currencies that are due to exchange rate fluctuations are recognized as foreign exchange gains or losses in our income statement. AVG now utilizes hedge instruments to cover balance sheet accounting exposure.

Other income decreased by \$5.8 million in 2012 as compared to 2011, primarily due to the aggregated cost of interest on our outstanding term loan and amortization of finance cost increasing by 28% year over year to \$20.9 million. In addition, we had foreign exchange losses of \$2.1 million in the period compared to a \$0.1 million loss in the prior period, mainly due to adverse movements in the Czech crown and euro.

We anticipate significant savings in interest expense in 2014 due to the refinancing in April 2013 and significant lower debt levels.

As a result of all the above factors, our income before income taxes and loss from investment in equity affiliate, as a percentage of total revenue, for each of 2011, 2012 and 2013 was 18.9%, 16.1% and 24.6% respectively.

Provision for income taxes and loss from investment in affiliate

| | Year ended December 31, | | | Change 2011 vs. 2012 | | Change 2012 vs. 2013 | |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | In dollars | Percentage | In dollars | Percentage |
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars, except for percentages) | | | | | | |
| Benefit (Provision) for income taxes | \$ 49,260 | \$ (11,141) | \$ (39,006) | \$ (60,401) | NM | \$ (27,865) | NM |
| Percentage of total revenue | 18.1% | (3.1)% | (9.6)% | | | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----|-------|----|-----|----|
| Loss from investment in equity affiliate | \$ (242) | \$ (511) | \$ | (269) | NM | 511 | NM |
| Percentage of total revenue | (0.1)% | (0.1)% | | | | | |

The statutory rate of tax on income in the Netherlands is 25%. Until December 31, 2013, a substantial proportion of our income is generated in jurisdictions with lower tax rates. In particular, income from our ecommerce distribution channel was recognized in Cyprus through the end of 2013, which has a tax rate of 12.5% (prior to 2013, the tax rate in Cyprus was 10%), and in the Czech Republic which has a tax rate of 19%. In addition, we benefit from the innovation tax regime with respect to income generated in the Netherlands.

Table of Contents

Our effective tax rate was 38.0% in 2013, 19.4% in 2012, and (96.3%) in 2011. The 2011 effective tax rate was (96.3%) due to a tax benefit recognition of \$49.3 million. The tax benefit was largely a result of a group reorganization identified more fully above under **Key Factors Affecting Our Performance Effective tax rate**. We transferred a Cypriot entity to the Netherlands as part of a group reorganization, which was cleared with the Dutch tax authorities and resulted in significant tax basis step-up under Dutch law in the assets transferred which consisted primarily of intellectual property. This gave rise to a deferred tax asset of \$56.3 million, which led to a tax credit in June 2011. We expect that our cash tax rate will be lower over the following years as a result of the 2011 change.

The primary reason for the increase in tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 is due to the deferred tax asset for deferred revenue. As a result of the centralization of all intellectual property in the Netherlands during 2013 and alignment of the intercompany transfer pricing methodology, the enacted tax rates used for realization of the deferred tax assets decreased significantly. By undertaking this new deferred revenue allocation approach, the Company has incurred a significant increase in the effective tax rate in 2013, but expects a lower effective tax rate in subsequent years. The effective Dutch tax rate is significantly lower than the statutory tax rate in the Netherlands primarily as a result of the innovation box tax regime in the Netherlands.

Net income

| | Year ended December 31, | | | Change 2011 vs. | | Change 2012 vs. | |
|-----------------------------|--|-----------|-----------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | In dollars | Percentage | In dollars | Percentage |
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars, except for percentages) | | | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 100,432 | \$ 45,817 | \$ 63,714 | \$ (54,615) | (54.4)% | \$ 17,897 | 39.1% |
| Percentage of total revenue | 36.9% | 12.9% | 15.7% | | | | |

The volatility in the net income relates in significant part to the recognition of a tax benefit in 2011, due to our group reorganization identified more fully under **Key Factors Affecting Our Performance Effective tax rate**.

For comparison purpose, the **Income before income taxes and loss from investment in equity affiliate** was \$51.4 million in 2011, \$57.5 million in 2012 and \$102.7 million in 2013.

The increase in income before income taxes and loss from investment in equity affiliate in 2013 compared to 2012 amounted to \$45.2 million. We generated additional operating income of \$29.7 million reflecting \$51.0 million of incremental subscription gross profit and offset by a \$14.3 million decline in platform-derived gross profit and \$7.0 million incremental operating expenses. The increase in operating income from our TuneUp operation increased materially in 2013, also due to the build-up of deferred revenue balances in the two years following acquisition and combined with year-over-year savings of \$6.6 million in the adjusted operating costs of local operations (excluding the impact of share based compensation, acquisition amortization costs and other one-off expenses). Otherwise our 2013 result was net of the initially dilutive investments in our strategic growth initiatives and acquisitions (including Avalanche, LPI Level Platforms, PrivacyChoice, and the continued development of our Mobile and CloudCare small business solutions, as well as the investment in the overhaul of our e-commerce platform). Our \$15.6 million year-over-year saving in other expenses, largely due to our debt restructuring and related payments in 2013, further contributed to the increase of income before income taxes and loss from investment in equity affiliate.

The increase in income before income taxes and loss from investment in equity affiliate in 2012 compared to 2011 amounted to \$6.1 million, and comprised an \$11.9 million increase in operating income, offset by a \$5.8 million

increase in other expenses.

Table of Contents

B. LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

To date, all of our working capital has been principally generated by operations. As of December 31, 2013, we had indebtedness of \$30 million and cash and cash equivalents of \$42.3 million, excluding \$5.7 million restricted cash.

We generate a significant proportion of our cash through online sales of our premium products and online services as well as through the platform-derived revenue generated primarily via our dynamic secure search solution. We also benefit from a strong working capital cycle, such that our license fees are paid at the beginning of the subscription period, regardless of whether those subscriptions are for one or two years. The cash received from these advance payments is used to fund the operating, investing and financing activities of our business. For accounting purposes, these advance payments must be deferred and recognized over the term of the license period. As such, we typically reflect a working capital deficiency in our balance sheet. In the ordinary course of business, deferred revenue does not represent a cash obligation, but rather an obligation to perform services or deliver products. Therefore, we believe that our negative working capital position as of December 31, 2013 was not indicative of a liquidity issue, but rather an outcome of the required accounting for our business model

The majority of our platform sales are paid within 60 days of the revenue-generating activity. We also generate cash from sales through our reseller and distributor network. A substantial number of our resellers participate in the CloudCare solution that we offer. Once a month, the credit card of the reseller is charged for the licenses sold in the prior month. We generally collect our reseller/distributor network sales within 60 days.

In April 2013, we entered into a new credit agreement with HSBC Bank plc comprised of a term loan facility of \$25 million (Facility A) and a \$50 million revolving credit facility (Facility B) together with an accordion that permits an increase in Facility B of up to \$50 million with the agreement of the lender(s). Facility A and Facility B were fully drawn and used to refinance existing facilities and thereafter they are planned to be used for general corporate purposes. We must maintain financial covenants under the credit agreement measured as of the end of each quarter, including a covenant to maintain a specified consolidated leverage ratio and interest coverage ratio. As of December 31, 2013, we were in compliance with all required covenants.

Facility A was repaid in six equal monthly installments, with the last installment paid in October 25, 2013. Facility A had an interest rate equal to the 1-month LIBOR rate plus a margin of 2.5% and a mandated lead arranger rate, payable monthly in arrears.

Facility B has a final maturity date in April 2016, bears interest at the 1-month LIBOR rate plus a margin of 2.5% and, where applicable, has a mandated lead arranger rate and is payable in arrears. The standard interest periods agreed are one, two, three and six months or any other period upon acceptance by the lender(s). The margin is subject to specified consolidated financial ratios.

Under the new facility the average interest rate in 2013 was 3.05%. However, the overall average interest rate between the new and old facility in 2013 was 5.21% compared to 7.60% in 2012. Interest paid was \$3,753 in 2013 and \$15,650 in 2012 and the average outstanding debt was \$67,705 and \$195,895 in 2013 and 2012 respectively.

The credit agreement contains financial covenants measured at the end of each quarter, including a covenant to maintain a specified consolidated leverage ratio and interest coverage ratio. As of December 31, 2013, we were in compliance with all required covenants.

Collateral to the credit agreement is certain of our property and equipment, intangible assets (IP rights), cash and cash equivalents, equity rights in certain consolidated subsidiaries and certain intercompany receivables with covenants

obliging us to also pledge certain new assets. Property and equipment with a carrying value of \$7,828, intangible assets with a carrying value of \$23,195 as well as cash and cash equivalents amounting to \$30,482 have been pledged as collateral to the credit agreement as of December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

In connection with the credit agreement, we paid fees of \$1,203. These fees are presented as deferred charges and are being amortized to interest expense over the remaining term of the credit agreement using the effective interest rate method. During the quarter ended December 31, 2013, the Company repaid \$20,000 on Facility B.

On April 29, 2013, we fully repaid the outstanding balance and terminated the credit facility, which was entered into on March 15, 2011. The related unamortized deferred finance cost of \$2,643 was expensed in April 2013. As of December 31, 2012, the outstanding principal and unamortized deferred financing costs amounted \$100,863 and \$3,632, respectively.

We have historically incurred costs ratably throughout the year. We do not currently rely upon our credit facility to finance our working capital needs. Our marketing expenditures are generally linked to our marketing campaigns. Some staff bonuses are paid quarterly while the majority of bonuses including management bonuses are paid bi-annually. Our primary uses for cash have been to repay our indebtedness, to repurchase our shares to lessen dilution from outstanding share awards, to develop our products, to acquire new technologies, to invest in infrastructure and people to drive growth and to pay income tax. Our credit facility restricts the payment of dividends to holders of our ordinary shares. Going forward, we expect our primary need for cash to be continued acquisitions and other investments in the business.

We believe that our financial reserves will be sufficient to fund our anticipated cash needs for working capital, capital expenditures and debt service for at least the next 12 months. Uncertainty regarding our ability to sustain the platform derived revenue and to increase the revenue from mobile subscriptions, as well as uncertainty regarding development costs and the costs of potential acquisitions as we consider further diversification of our product line, may have a material effect on liquidity in the future, particularly beyond the next 12 months.

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars) | | |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Data: | | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 82,911 | \$ 119,306 | \$ 145,204 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (69,544) | (30,242) | (39,755) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (15,329) | (100,325) | (114,295) |
| Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash and cash equivalents | (444) | 2,411 | (695) |
| Change in cash and cash equivalents | \$ (2,406) | \$ (8,850) | \$ (9,541) |

Operating activities

In 2013, net cash flow provided by operating activities was \$145.2 million, including our profit for the period of \$63.7 million and adjustments of \$81.5 million before movements in working capital. Cash inflows included an increase in deferred revenue of \$13.9 million reflecting an increase in our subscription product sales.

In 2012, net cash flow provided by operating activities was \$119.3 million, including our profit for the period of \$45.8 million and adjustments of \$43.5 million before movements in working capital. Cash inflows included an increase in deferred revenue of \$27.7 million reflecting an increase in our subscription product sales.

In 2011, net cash flow provided by operating activities was \$82.9 million, including our profit for the year of \$100.4 million and an increase in our deferred tax asset of \$(56.2) million and adjustments of \$(34.9) million before movements in working capital. Cash inflows in 2011 included an increase in deferred revenue of \$15.0 million, reflecting an increase in our rate of growth of product sales.

Table of Contents

Investing activities

Cash used in investing activities in 2013 was \$39.8 million. Capital expenditures were \$16.7 million for capital equipment to continue to support the infrastructure requirements of our growing business. We also spent \$27.7 million on several acquisitions. Our first acquisition was Angle Labs in January 2013, a mobile application developer in the United States. In May 2013, we acquired the business of PrivacyChoice LLC a U.S. based technology company that developed and provides privacy-related online services used by consumers and businesses, and in June 2013 we acquired the business of LPI Level Platforms Inc., a remote monitoring and management Software Company based in Ottawa, Canada. Finally, in September, we acquired certain assets from ASR Technologies AB, a Swedish company.

In May 2013 Scene LLC exercised its call option and repurchased AVG's interest at \$9.8 million and AVG derecognized the investment in Scene for the same amount.

Cash used in investing activities in 2012 was \$30.2 million. Capital expenditures were \$17.9 million for increased capital equipment to continue to support the infrastructure requirements of our growing business. We also spent \$11.9 million acquiring OpenInstall (a user management and information platform), Crossloop (a desktop sharing related software company) and the business of Avalanche (our Australian distributor).

Cash used in investing activities in 2011 was \$69.5 million. Capital expenditures were \$11.4 million for increased capital equipment to continue to support the infrastructure requirements of our growing business. We also spent \$48.5 million acquiring TuneUp (a PC optimization software firm), iMedix (a former search partner), Droid (a mobile phone security software company), AVG DACH (a Switzerland based distributor of AVG products) and Bsecure (a provider of application service offerings featuring Internet filtering and/or cloud-based management of information technology solutions).

In 2011, we also consummated a unit purchase agreement with Scene (a provider of broadband speed testing and web based network diagnostic applications), pursuant to which AVG acquired a 15% interest in Scene for a cash consideration of \$9.8 million.

Financing activities

In 2013, cash used in financing activities was \$114.3 million. This preliminary consisted of cash used in the amount of \$72.1, which is the net effect of the repayment of our indebtedness and proceeds from our new credit facility, and \$43.4 million for the repurchase of our shares. The repurchase of our shares covers obligations to deliver shares under our share based compensation plan. The proceeds from exercised share options and repurchased share options from employees amounted to \$8.9 million and \$5.1 million, respectively. We also used \$2.6 million for the payment of contingent and deferred purchase consideration relating preliminary to the prior acquisitions of the business of Avalanche and DroidSecurity.

Cash used in financing activities in 2012 was \$100.3 million consisting primarily of the repayment of the principal of the term loan of \$134.1 million and the proceeds from issuance of ordinary shares at our IPO of \$55.7 million, net of IPO costs of \$8.3 million. We used \$15.3 million for the payment of contingent and deferred purchase consideration relating to the prior acquisitions TuneUp Software, BeSecure, DroidSecurity, iMedix and OpenInstall.

Cash used in financing activities in 2011 was \$15.3 million consisting primarily of the receipt of the loan and the distributions associated with that loan, as well as additional dividends resulting in total dividends paid of \$229.9 million during 2011.

Capital expenditure and other investments

Our net capital expenditure in 2011, 2012 and 2013 amounted to approximately \$11.4 million, \$17.9 million and \$16.7 million, respectively. We have historically incurred capital expenditure costs in relation to the

Table of Contents

acquisition of technology and the development of our Internet security solutions. We expect to continue to invest in technology acquisitions and information technology infrastructure through 2014 and thereafter and may spend a significant amount of cash on acquisitions and the further development of our solutions. To date, we have been able to finance all of our investments and capital expenditures out of cash generated from operations.

Critical accounting policies

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that can have a significant impact on the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenue and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, at the respective dates of our financial statements. We base our estimates, assumptions and judgments on historical experience and various other factors that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We evaluate our estimates, assumptions and judgments on a regular basis and make changes accordingly. We also discuss our critical accounting estimates with our Audit Committee and our Supervisory Board.

We believe the following to be critical accounting policies because they are important to the portrayal of our financial condition or results of operations and they require critical management estimates and judgments about matters that are uncertain:

Goodwill impairment;

Impairment of long-lived assets;

Revenue recognition;

Research and development costs;

Loss contingencies;

Share-based compensation;

Income taxes; and

Developments in U.S. GAAP.

Goodwill impairment

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price in a business combination over the fair value of tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired less liabilities assumed. We perform an annual impairment test in the fourth

quarter of each financial year or more frequently if impairment indicators are present. We operate in one operating segment and this segment comprises of our only reporting unit.

In performing the goodwill impairment tests, we assessed relevant qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of our one reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. The qualitative factors we considered included, but were not limited to, general economic conditions, outlook for the software industry, our recent and forecasted financial performance and the fair value of our shares. After considering such factors, we concluded it is not more likely than not that the fair value of our reporting unit is less than its carrying amount and thus did not perform the two-step impairment test.

Impairment of long-lived assets

We assess the impairment of identifiable intangible assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that an asset's carrying amount may not be recoverable. Recoverability of certain finite-lived intangible assets is measured by the comparison of the carrying amount of the asset group to which the assets are assigned

Table of Contents

to the sum of the undiscounted estimated future cash flows the asset group is expected to generate. If the asset is considered to be impaired, such amount is measured as the difference between the carrying amount of the asset and its fair value. Recoverability of developed technology is measured by the comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to the sum of undiscounted estimated future product revenues offset by estimated future costs to dispose of the product. If the asset is considered to be impaired, such amount is measured as the difference between the carrying amount of the asset and its fair value.

In 2012, we recognized impairments of approximately \$1.3 million from our subsidiaries in Germany, China and Hong Kong, which were closed in the first quarter of 2013. In 2013, we did not recognize any impairments.

Revenue recognition

Our revenue, which is presented net of sales taxes and other similar assessments, is derived from the following sources: (i) subscription revenue, which principally consists of revenue from term-based and perpetual software license agreements, bundled with maintenance and support; and (ii) platform-derived revenues. We apply software revenue recognition guidance to all transactions except where no software is involved.

Subscription revenues are in most circumstances deferred and recognized over the license term, or, for perpetual licenses, over the expected term for providing maintenance and support services. In general revenue is deferred over periods of one to two years, depending on the product concerned. Revenue is reduced for estimates of sales incentives and sales returns. The deferral of revenue in this way has a substantial influence on our reported results and on our balance sheet; as at December 31, 2013 there was \$197 million in deferred revenues recorded in our consolidated balance sheet compared with \$181 million as of December 31, 2012.

Platform-derived revenues are principally generated from agreements with search partners based on a portion of the revenue they generate from advertising and are recognized in the month that the advertising services are provided.

To a lesser extent, platform-derived revenues are generated from fees from collaborative arrangements with third parties, which are recognized based on the fees earned in the period concerned, typically based on the number of active clients with the installed third party content or functionality multiplied by the applicable client fee.

Research and development costs

Costs incurred internally in researching and developing computer software products are charged to expense until technological feasibility has been established for the product. Authoritative literature requires that once technological feasibility is established, all software costs are capitalized until the product is available for general release to customers. Judgment is required in determining when technological feasibility of a product is established. We have determined that technological feasibility for our software products is reached shortly before the release of those products and as a result, the development costs incurred after the establishment of technological feasibility and before release of products have historically not been material.

Loss contingencies

We are subject to the possibility of various losses arising in the ordinary course of business. We consider the likelihood of loss or impairment of an asset or the incurrence of a liability, as well as our ability to reasonably estimate the amount of loss, in determining loss contingencies. An estimated loss contingency is accrued when it is probable that an asset has been impaired or a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. We regularly evaluate current information available to us to determine whether such accruals should be adjusted and

whether new accruals are required.

Table of Contents

Third parties, including customers, have in the past and may in the future assert claims or initiate litigation related to exclusive patent, copyright, trademark and other intellectual property rights to technologies and related standards that are relevant to us. These assertions have increased over time as a result of our growth and the general increase in the pace of patent claims assertions. If any infringement or other intellectual property claim made against us by any third party is successful, or if we fail to develop non-infringing technology or license the proprietary rights on commercially reasonable terms and conditions, our business, operating results and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

Share-based compensation

Under U.S. GAAP, we account for our share-based compensation for employees in accordance with the provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, which requires us to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for the options on our ordinary shares, or for the restricted share units, based on the fair value of the award on the grant date. We selected the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model as the most appropriate method for determining the estimated fair value of our share-based awards without market conditions. For performance-based options that include vesting conditions relating to the market performance of our ordinary shares, a Monte Carlo pricing model was used in order to reflect the valuation impact of price hurdles that have to be met as conditions to vesting.

The resulting cost of an equity incentive award is recognized as expense over the requisite service period of the award, which is usually the vesting period. We recognize compensation expense over the vesting period using the straight-line method and classify these amounts in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income based on the department to which the related employee reports.

We account for share-based compensation for non-employees in accordance with the authoritative guidance for equity-based payments to non-employees. Share-based awards issued to non-employees are accounted for at their estimated fair value, which is also determined using the Black-Scholes-Merton and Monte Carlo pricing models. We believe that the fair value of options is more reliably measured than the fair value of the services received. As such, the fair value of options granted to non-employees is re-measured as the options vest, and the resulting increase in value, if any, is recognized as expense during the period the related services are rendered.

Income tax

The income tax expense for the period comprises current and deferred tax. Income tax is recognized in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income, except to the extent it relates to items recognized in other comprehensive income or directly in equity. The provision for income taxes is computed using the asset and liability method, under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and for operating loss and tax credit carry forwards in each jurisdiction in which we operate. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the currently enacted tax rates that apply to taxable income in effect for the years in which those tax assets are expected to be realized or settled. We record a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount that is believed more likely than not to be realized. As a result of the centralization of all intellectual property in the Netherlands and alignment of the intercompany transfer pricing methodology during 2013, we concluded that the deferred revenue related to sold licenses after April 1, 2013 should be allocated to the Netherlands in full. Under Dutch tax accounting, revenue is not deferred and as such a deferred tax asset for the deferred revenue is recorded at the Dutch enacted tax rate.

Tax returns are subject to examination by various taxing authorities. Although we believe that adequate accruals have been made in each period for unsettled issues, additional benefits or expenses could occur in future years from resolution of outstanding matters. We record additional expenses each period relating to the expected interest and penalties it would be required to pay a tax authority if we do not prevail. Management continues to assess our potential tax liability and revises its estimates. We apply the authoritative guidance on income taxes that prescribes a minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements.

Table of Contents

Developments in U.S. GAAP

There was no material impact on our results or financial position as a consequence of adopted accounting pronouncements during 2013. Reference is made to the consolidated financial statements Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.

The most significant expected future changes in U.S. GAAP that might have an impact on AVG relate to lease accounting and revenue recognition.

Lease accounting. We expect that a new lease accounting standard, once implemented, will result in an increase in balance sheet assets and liabilities as a consequence of bringing property and vehicle leases onto the balance sheet, however, we do not expect a significant change to net income.

Revenue recognition. The proposals for new revenue recognition principles, as currently drafted, do not appear to result in a significant change in our policy of deferring revenue over the relevant subscription or license period, although specific guidance on the impact on the software industry has yet to be developed and so this is a preliminary assessment only. We will continue to monitor as this proposed standard develops.

C. RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, PATENTS AND LICENSES, ETC.

Research and development

Our innovation department is based in Amsterdam, the Netherlands. The focus of this group is to continuously develop, enhance and integrate new intellectual property in relation to the group's current and future product portfolio. In driving and coordinating our growing product portfolio, the Amsterdam innovation team forms a key part of our software innovation strategy. In addition, our Amsterdam innovation group provides strategic direction to the further innovation and improvement of the product portfolio of the group.

Our research and development organization is responsible for the design, development and testing of our solutions. Our research and development initiatives are focused primarily on improving and enhancing our existing products as well as developing complementary products and online services. We employ the majority of our research and development personnel in the Czech Republic, where we can draw upon a local, highly skilled technology workforce at a lower cost.

In addition, we have an active user community that serves as a catalyst for technological improvements and development of our products as well as a testing ground for our latest enhancements. We believe that by engaging our user community in product development, we are able to better tailor our solutions to the needs of our users and provide those solutions in a cost-efficient manner.

Our research and development departments are based in Brno, Czech Republic, and various locations in the United States, including the San Francisco Bay Area. We also have a mobile security expertise center in Israel which plays a key role in our strategy of protecting our users across various Internet-enabled devices. In addition to conducting our own research and development, we engage contractors in various locations for specific projects. Our research and development expenses were \$35.0 million, \$55.5 million and \$60.9 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013.

Intellectual property

We rely on a combination of copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, as well as confidentiality procedures and contractual restrictions, to establish and protect our proprietary and intellectual property rights. These laws, procedures and restrictions provide only limited protection. As of February 28, 2014, we have been granted twelve U.S. patents and nineteen foreign patents (including in Cyprus, Czech Republic, Germany, Great Britain, South Africa, Russia, Japan, Australia and Singapore) and have filed several pending patent applications in various jurisdictions, including the United States, the European Patent Organization, Canada and Russia, and

Table of Contents

under the Patent Cooperation Treaty, but no patents may issue with respect to our current patent applications in a manner that gives us the protection that we seek or at all. Any patents granted to us now or in the future may be challenged, invalidated or circumvented, may not provide sufficiently broad protection and/or may not prove to be enforceable in actions against alleged infringers.

We endeavor to enter into agreements with our employees, contractors, distributors, resellers, business partners and other third parties with which we do business or wish to do business in order to limit access to and disclosure of our proprietary information. We cannot be certain that the steps we have taken will prevent unauthorized use, disclosure or reverse engineering of our technology. Moreover, others may independently develop technologies that are competitive with ours or that infringe our intellectual property rights. The enforcement of our intellectual property rights also depends on any legal actions against these infringers being successful, but these actions may not be successful, even when our rights have been infringed.

Furthermore, effective patent, trademark, copyright and trade secret protection may not be available in every country in which our products, services and solutions are sold. In addition, the legal standards relating to the validity, enforceability and scope of protection of intellectual property rights are uncertain and still evolving.

D. TREND INFORMATION

Trend information is included throughout the other sections of this Item 5. In addition, we expect our operating results to continue to fluctuate in future quarters. Specific material drivers of these trends are identified in the discussion above with respect to the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013.

The sales seasonality of our business, with increased sales typically on campaign launches in the fourth quarter and lower sales in the summer months, has been mitigated by the pro rata release of our revenue over the license period for each subscription. As our platform-derived revenues become a larger proportion of our total revenues, we would anticipate, other things being equal, seeing increased seasonality in our revenues with reduced sales in the summer period.

E. OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

As of December 31, 2013, we had no off-balance sheet arrangements that have, or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future material effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

F. TABULAR DISCLOSURE OF CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2013:

| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 3-5 years | More than 5 years |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| | (in thousands of U.S. dollars) | | | | |
| Long-term debt, including current portion ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 32,587 | \$ 1,115 | \$ 31,472 | \$ | \$ |
| Operating lease obligations | 20,434 | 6,679 | 9,629 | 4,126 | |
| Contingent purchase obligation ⁽²⁾ | 2,250 | 2,250 | | | |
| Deferred acquisition payments | 5,128 | 3,288 | 1,840 | | |

| | | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----|
| Purchase obligations | 2,569 | 1,402 | 1,167 | | |
| Total | \$ 62,968 | \$ 14,734 | \$ 44,108 | \$ 4,126 | \$ |

- (1) The long-term debt, including current portion, includes the estimated variable interest and commitment fee payments of \$2,587. Reference is made to the consolidated financial statements Note 12 *Debt*.
- (2) The contingent purchase obligation of \$2,250 concerns the nominal obligation, relating to the acquisition of Avalanche.

Table of Contents**G. SAFEHARBOR**

The safe harbor provided in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Exchange Act, or the statutory safe harbors, shall apply to forward-looking information provided pursuant to Item 5.F above. For our cautionary statement on the forward-looking statements in this Annual Report, see section Forward-Looking Statements on page 1 of this Annual Report.

ITEM 6. DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES**A. DIRECTORS AND SENIOR MANAGEMENT****Board structure**

We have a two-tier board structure consisting of our Management Board (raad van bestuur) and a separate Supervisory Board (raad van commissarissen).

Members of our Supervisory Board, Management Board and senior management***Supervisory Board***

The following table sets out information with respect to each of the members of our Supervisory Board and their respective ages, as of the date of this Annual Report. The terms of office of all members of our Supervisory Board expire according to a rotation plan drawn up by our Supervisory Board. The business address of our Supervisory Board members is our registered office address at Gatwickstraat 9-39, 1043 GL Amsterdam, the Netherlands. The term of each of our Supervisory Board members will terminate on the date of the annual General Meeting of Shareholders in the year indicated below.

Our Supervisory Board is currently composed of the following members, of whom Messrs. Eichler, Esser, Fuller, Haars, and Tenwick are independent under applicable NYSE standards:

| Name | Position | Age | Date of appointment | Termination date |
|-------------------|--|------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Dale L. Fuller | Member of the Supervisory Board (Chairman) | 55 | March 4, 2009 | 2014 |
| Gabriel Eichler | Member of the Supervisory Board (Vice-Chairman) | 64 | November 24, 2005 | 2014 |
| Frank Esser | Member of the Supervisory Board | 55 | July 30, 2013 | 2017 |
| Jan G. Haars | Member of the Supervisory Board | 62 | August 10, 2011 | 2015 |
| Jonathan W. Meeks | Member of the Supervisory Board | 41 | October 1, 2009 | 2015 |
| Colin Tenwick | Member of the Supervisory Board | 54 | October 15, 2011 | 2017 |

Dale L. Fuller has served as chairman of our Supervisory Board since 2009. Since 2008, Mr. Fuller served as director of MobiSocial, Inc. Mr. Fuller was a director of moka5, Inc., WebGistix Corporation, Perch, Inc, ProSite, Inc, Zoran Corporation, Guidance Software, Inc., Krugle, Inc, McAfee, Inc., Phoenix Technologies Limited and Quest Aircraft Company, LLC. He served as president, chief executive officer and chief financial officer of moka5 from 2008 to 2013, as interim chief executive officer and president of McAfee, Inc. from 2006 until 2007 and as chief executive

officer and president of Borland Software Corporation from 1999 to 2005. In an earlier part of his career, he founded the Internet community site WhoWhere, Inc., later acquired by Lycos, Inc. As a start-up company CEO, Mr. Fuller led the expansion of several domain sites, including angelfire.com and Mailcity.com. Mr. Fuller holds an honorary doctorate from St. Petersburg State University.

Gabriel Eichler, has served as vice-chairman of our Supervisory Board since May 2013, is the senior partner of Benson Oak s.r.o., which he founded in 1991, a private equity firm operating in central Europe with a focus on the Czech Republic and Slovakia. He served as chairman of our Supervisory Board from 2005 to 2007. His previous executive positions include: chairman, president and chief executive officer of VS , a.s., a steel

Table of Contents

company acquired by United States Steel Corporation; vice chairman and chief financial officer of ČEZ, a.s., the Czech power company; partner and executive vice president at CEDC, a U.S.-based private equity group; chief international economist of Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, responsible for risk assessment of more than 100 countries, and regional general manager for Bank of America in Paris, Vienna and Frankfurt. In non-executive positions he serves as chairman of the supervisory board of BO Chemie B.V., and previously served as vice chairman of the supervisory board of Československá obchodní banka, a.s., as a member of the supervisory boards of Slovenská Sporiteľňa, a.s. and Česká Pojišťovna, a.s., as a director of Ness Technologies Inc., and the EastWest Institute. In the early 1990s he advised the Czechoslovak government on economic transformation. Mr. Eichler holds a B.A. in economics from Brandeis University and a M.A. degree in International Relations from The University of Chicago and performed postgraduate work in economics at the University of Toronto.

Frank Esser is a member of the supervisory board of Rentabiliweb Group S.A.S. From 2010 through March, 2014, Mr. Esser served as a member of the supervisory board of Vodafone GmbH. He served as chairman and chief executive officer at SFR, a French telecommunications operator from 2002 to 2012. He has held previous executive positions at a number of additional telecommunication firms. These include chief executive of international telecoms company Mannesmann Eurokom GmbH. Mr. Esser also served as a member of the management board of the French listed company Vivendi.

Jan G. Haars is a member of the supervisory board of Delta Lloyd N.V., the chairman of the supervisory board of Rabobank Amsterdam, the chairman of the supervisory board of Kwast Wijnkopers B.V., member of the supervisory board of World Wise Marine Holding B.V. and Offshore Ship Designers Ltd. and the chairman of the Dutch national ballet foundation. Mr. Haars previously served as a member of the supervisory board of AFC Ajax N.V., until 2011, and as chief financial officer of Corio N.V., a real estate investment company, from 2007 to 2010, as Corio's interim chief financial officer from 2006 to 2007, and as chief financial officer of TNT N.V., a global logistics company that has since split into TNT Express N.V. and PostNL N.V., from 2002 to 2006. He previously held leadership positions at Unilever N.V., Rabobank Nederland, Royal Boskalis Westminster and Thyssen Bornemisza Group, Inc. Mr. Haars holds a MSc from the University of Twente in applied mathematics and has also completed executive programs at Stanford University, INSEAD, IMD and the City University Business School.

Jonathan W. Meeks has served as a managing director at TA Associates, L.P. since 2006, where he focuses on recapitalizations and management buyouts of technology growth companies. He joined TA Associates in 1997 and served as vice president from 2000 to 2003 and as principal from 2003 to 2006. Previously, Mr. Meeks was a financial analyst in the Information Services Group at Robertson, Stephens & Co., LLC. He is a director of Amann Girrbach AG, Online Printers GmbH, Bigpoint GmbH, Fotolia LLC and Radialpoint, Inc. He was a director at eCircle Ltd, GlobeOp Financial Services S.A., SmartStream Technologies Ltd., Lava Trading Inc., Creditex, Inc., OpenLink Financial, Inc., Monotype Imaging, Inc. and numerous other companies. Mr. Meeks holds a B.S., with distinction, in mathematics from Yale University.

Colin Tenwick is the former chairman and chief executive officer of Livebookings Holdings Ltd, and former chairman of Control Circle Ltd and CT Partnership Ltd. He is a former member of the board of auFeminin.com. Mr. Tenwick served from 2001 to 2010 as chief executive officer of StepStone ASA, a global human capital management company which was acquired by Axel Springer AG in 2009. Before his tenure at StepStone, Mr. Tenwick held leadership positions at Red Hat, Sybase and Ingres. Mr. Tenwick holds a BA from the University of Brighton in business studies.

Messrs. Eichler, Fuller, Haars, and Meeks were elected pursuant to a shareholders agreement that was in effect prior to our IPO.

Rafal W. Bator stepped down as a member of our Supervisory Board, effective June 19, 2013. Dariusz R. Prończuk resigned as a member of our Supervisory Board on December 12, 2013.

Table of Contents***Management Board***

The following table sets out information with respect to each of the members of our Management Board, their respective ages and their positions at our Company as of the date of this Annual Report. The business address of our Management Board members is our registered office address at Gatwickstraat 9-39, 1043 GL Amsterdam, the Netherlands. The term of each of our Management Board members will terminate on the date of the annual General Meeting of Shareholders in the year indicated below.

Our Management Board is currently composed of Gary Kovacs and John Little.

| Name | Position | Age | Date of appointment | Termination date |
|-------------|---|------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Gary Kovacs | Chief Executive Officer and Managing Director | 50 | September 24, 2013 | 2017 |
| John Little | Chief Financial Officer and Managing Director | 48 | June 16, 2008 | 2014 |

Gary Kovacs has served as our chief executive officer and a managing director of our Management Board since 2013. Prior to joining us, Mr. Kovacs served as chief executive officer of Mozilla Corporation. Prior to joining Mozilla, Mr. Kovacs held senior leadership roles as Senior VP of Markets, Solutions & Products at Sybase through to the acquisition by SAP, and as General Manager and VP of Mobile & Devices at Adobe. Previously, he led Zi Corporation, a company specializing in embedded software and services for mobile and consumer devices. Before founding Zi Corporation, Mr. Kovacs spent 10 years at IBM in leadership positions in product management, sales, marketing and operations within the global software division. Mr. Kovacs graduated from the University of Calgary, in Canada, with his Bachelor of Commerce and an MBA with distinction. Mr. Kovacs serves as a board member of ePhox Corporation, Sensory, Inc. and Make-a-Wish Foundation (Bay Area Chapter). He is also a member of the University of Calgary Management Advisory Council.

John Little has served as our chief financial officer and a managing director of our Management Board since 2008. Prior to joining us, Mr. Little was with MiNC Property Enterprises, a real estate investment company where he served as chief financial officer from 2002 to 2006 and as global head of investment from 2006 to 2007. From 2000 to 2002, Mr. Little served as chief financial officer at Wood & Co. Financial Services a.s., a regional stockbroking firm in Prague. Previously he served as group financial controller at Cazenove & Co., financial controller at Royal Bank of Scotland Group plc, and audit manager at Deloitte & Touche LLP. Mr. Little is a member of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales and holds a degree in history from Oxford University.

On March 7, 2013, J.R. Smith announced his planned resignation from the position of CEO and managing director of our Management Board. Mr. Smith resigned as CEO and member of our Management Board on July 25, 2013.

John J. Giamatteo served as our chief operating officer since 2011, was a member of senior management and was appointed as a member of our Management Board on June 19, 2013. Mr. Giamatteo resigned as member of our Management Board on September 2, 2013.

Senior management

Our Management Board is supported by the following members of the management team or the senior management. The following table sets out information with respect to each of the members of senior management, their respective ages and their positions at our Company as of the date of this Annual Report. The business address of the members of our senior management is our registered office address at Gatwickstraat 9-39, 1043 GL Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

Senior management is currently composed of the following persons:

| Name | Position | Age |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| Yuval Ben-Itzhak | Chief Technology Officer | 43 |
| R. David Ferguson | General Manager, consumer and mobile | 53 |
| Todd Simpson | Chief Strategy Officer | 48 |

Table of Contents

Yuval Ben-Itzhak has served as our chief technology officer since 2010 and is responsible for the strategic and technical development of the AVG product line, as well as for developing key partnerships within the industry and identifying technologies offering potential for acquisition. He also served as our senior vice president, engineering from 2009 to 2010. Prior to joining us, Mr. Ben-Itzhak was chief technology officer of Finjan Software, Ltd. a web security company. Prior to that, Mr. Ben-Itzhak was chief technology officer of KaVaDo Inc., a web application security company he founded in 2000 that was acquired by Protegrity Corporation, and chief technology officer at Ness Technologies, Inc., a provider of end-to-end IT solutions and services. He holds a BSc. in Information Systems and Engineering, cum laude, from Ben-Gurion University, Israel.

R. David Ferguson has served as our general manager, consumer and mobile since February 2013 and is responsible for running our global consumer business, including our mobile business. Prior to this, he served as a chief web and customer officer from 2010 to February 2013, president and general manager, APAC, and general manager, China, from 2009 to 2010, where he built local teams and established our China presence, and as chief marketing officer from 2008 to 2009. Prior to joining us, Mr. Ferguson was chief strategy and marketing officer of Dot Mobile Limited from 2006 to 2008. Prior to that, he held a variety of positions at Bulldog Communications Limited (acquired by Cable & Wireless Plc), Telewest Plc (now Virgin Media Business Ltd), Ernst & Young LLP and CACI International Inc. He holds a B.A. in economics and philosophy from University College London, an MBA from the University of Strathclyde Business School and a Diploma from the Chartered Institute of Marketing.

Todd Simpson has served as our chief strategy officer responsible for aligning our strategy with market opportunities, corporate development, and industry partnerships since January 2014. Prior to AVG, Mr. Simpson was InterDigital's executive vice president of Innovation Partners, Mozilla's chief of innovation, Ditech Networks president and chief executive officer, Jasomi Networks chief executive officer, and Zi Corporation's chief technology officer. Mr. Simpson has founded and run several startups, including Call Genie, EZone Networks, and Worldplay Networks. He holds a Bachelor of Science and Ph.D. in Computer Science from the University of Calgary.

Other information

No family relationships exist among the members of our Management Board or Supervisory Board.

Mr. Meeks is a managing director at TA Associates, L.P., which is the ultimate General Partner of each investment fund managed by TA Associates Management L.P. Except as set forth above or disclosed under Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions - B. Related Party Transactions, no member of our Management Board or Supervisory Board has a conflict of interest (actual or potential) between his private interests and his duties to us or any other duties.

B. COMPENSATION

The information required by Item 6 B. is incorporated by reference from pages 4, 6, 9, 11 and 12 of our 2013 Remuneration Report which is included as exhibit 99.1 on Form 6-K, as furnished with the SEC on March 24, 2014.

C. BOARD PRACTICES

General

Below is a summary of relevant information concerning our Management Board, our Supervisory Board and senior management as well as a brief summary of certain significant provisions of Dutch corporate law, our articles of association and the Dutch Corporate Governance Code, or DCGC, in respect of our Management Board and Supervisory Board. See also Item 16G. Corporate Governance.

Table of Contents

Supervisory Board

Our Supervisory Board is responsible for supervising the conduct of and providing advice to our Management Board and for supervising our business generally. Our Supervisory Board may also, on its own initiative, provide our Management Board with advice and may request any information from our Management Board that it deems appropriate. In performing its duties, our Supervisory Board is required to take into account the interests of our business as a whole.

Pursuant to the articles of association, our Supervisory Board must consist of at least three members. Our Supervisory Board determines the number of Supervisory Board members with due observance of this minimum. Only natural persons can be Supervisory Board members.

A General Meeting of Shareholders appoints our Supervisory Board members in accordance with nominations by our Supervisory Board.

If the nomination by our Supervisory Board consists of a list of two or more candidates, this list is binding and the vacant seat must be filled by election of a person from the binding list of candidates. However, a General Meeting of Shareholders may, by a resolution passed with a majority of the votes cast representing more than one-third of our issued share capital, resolve that such list is not binding.

A resolution of a General Meeting of Shareholders to appoint a Supervisory Board member other than pursuant to a nomination by our Supervisory Board requires at least two-thirds of the votes cast representing more than half of our issued share capital.

Our Supervisory Board members are in principle appointed for a term of four years, and our Supervisory Board follows a general policy that no person is appointed to our Supervisory Board for more than three four-year terms (counting starting at the closing of our IPO). Our Supervisory Board members are required to resign according to a rotation plan determined by our Supervisory Board.

Our Supervisory Board elected Dale Fuller as its chairman and Gabriel Eichler as its vice-chairman from among the independent Supervisory Board members. Our Supervisory Board has prepared a profile (*profielschets*) of its size and composition, which takes into account the nature of the business, its activities and the desired expertise and background of our Supervisory Board members. With each appointment of a member of our Supervisory Board, the profile must be taken into account.

A General Meeting of Shareholders may at any time suspend or remove Supervisory Board members. A resolution to suspend or remove a Supervisory Board member other than pursuant to a proposal by our Supervisory Board requires at least a two-thirds majority of the votes cast representing more than half of our issued share capital.

Management Board

Our Management Board is responsible for the day-to-day management of our Company and for our strategy, policy and operations under the supervision of our Supervisory Board. Our Management Board is required to keep our Supervisory Board informed, and to consult with our Supervisory Board, on important matters and to submit certain important decisions to our Supervisory Board for its approval, as more fully described below.

The number of members of our Management Board is determined by our Supervisory board after consultation with our Management Board. The members of our Management Board are appointed by a General Meeting of

Shareholders, upon nomination by our Supervisory Board. If the nomination by our Supervisory Board consists of a list of two or more candidates, this list is binding and the vacant seat must be filled by election of a person from the binding list of candidates. However, a General Meeting of Shareholders may, by a resolution passed with a majority of the votes cast representing more than one-third of our issued share capital,

Table of Contents

resolve that such list is not binding. Members of our Management Board will retire no later than the day on which the annual General Meeting of Shareholders is held, in the fourth calendar year after the year in which such member was appointed. Such Management Board member is then immediately available for reappointment.

A resolution of a General Meeting of Shareholder to appoint a Management Board member other than pursuant to a nomination by our Supervisory Board requires a two-thirds majority of the votes cast representing more than half of our issued share capital.

A General Meeting of Shareholders may suspend or remove Management Board members at any time. A resolution to suspend or remove a Management Board member other than pursuant to a proposal by our Supervisory Board requires at least a two-thirds majority of the votes cast representing more than half of our issued share capital. Our Supervisory Board may also suspend Management Board members. A suspension by our Supervisory Board may at all times be discontinued by the General Meeting of Shareholders.

Our Management Board as a whole is entitled to represent our Company. In addition, each member of our Management Board is solely authorized to represent our Company.

Certain resolutions of our Management Board identified in the by-laws of our Supervisory Board require the approval of our Supervisory Board. Such resolutions include but are not limited to those determining our operational and financial objectives, setting the annual budget, declaring dividends and proposing amendments to our articles of association for shareholder approval. Our Supervisory Board may resolve that further actions of our Management Board must be approved by our Supervisory Board. The actions of our Management Board that are subject to the approval of our Supervisory Board must be clearly specified and notified to our Management Board in writing. Furthermore, our Management Board requires the approval of the General Meeting of Shareholders for resolutions regarding a significant change in the identity or character of our Company or our business. The absence of approval of the Supervisory Board or the General Meeting of Shareholders for resolutions does not affect the authority of our Management Board to represent the Company.

Pursuant to the articles of association and the DCGC, our Management Board, with the approval of our Supervisory Board, has adopted by-laws governing its internal organization. These rules may be amended from time to time and without any prior public notification by our Management Board, but only after approval of our Supervisory Board.

The remuneration for the individual members of our Management Board is set by our Supervisory Board in accordance with a remuneration policy. Our Supervisory Board makes a proposal on the remuneration policy which the General Meeting of Shareholders can then choose to adopt. Other contractual terms of employment of our Management Board members are determined by our Supervisory Board. Any proposal by our Supervisory Board to establish a plan to grant members of our Management Board our ordinary shares or rights to acquire our ordinary shares must be submitted for approval by a General Meeting of Shareholders.

Supervisory Board committees

Our Supervisory Board has established from among its members three specialized committees: the Audit Committee, the Remuneration Committee and the Nominations and Governance Committee. The charters and membership of these committees are as follows:

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee assists our Supervisory Board in supervising the activities of our Management Board with respect to:

operation of internal risk management and control systems, including supervision of the enforcement of relevant legislation and regulations, and supervision of the operation of codes of conduct;

Table of Contents

provision of our financial information (choice of accounting policies, application and assessment of the effects of new rules, information about the treatment of estimated items in the financial accounts, forecasts, work of internal and external auditors, etc.);

compliance with recommendations and observations of internal and external auditors;

the role and functioning of our audit department;

our tax planning policy;

our relations with the external auditor, including, in particular, its independence and non-audit services for us;

the financing of our Company; and

our ICT applications.

In addition, the Audit Committee is, among other things, responsible for establishing policies for the hiring of current or former employees of the external auditor, approving the compensation of our external auditor and recommending the appointment of the external auditor to a General Meeting of Shareholders.

The role and responsibilities of the Audit Committee, as well as its composition and the manner in which it operates and discharges its duties, are set out in regulations for the Audit Committee, as drawn up by our Supervisory Board. The Audit Committee regulations and its composition are on our website. This committee may not be chaired by the chairman of our Supervisory Board or a former member of our Management Board, at least one member must be a financial expert and each member must be financially literate or become financially literate within a reasonable period of time after appointment. The members of the Audit Committee are Mr. Haars (chairman), Mr. Eichler and Mr. Esser. Mr. Esser joined the Audit Committee on September 23, 2013 and Mr. Fuller stepped down on that date. The Audit Committee is independent under applicable NYSE standards.

The Audit Committee meets as often as one or more members of the Audit Committee deem necessary, but in any event meets at least four times a year. The Audit Committee meets at least once a year with our external accountant, without our Management Board being present.

Remuneration Committee

The Remuneration Committee advises our Supervisory Board on the remuneration of the members of our Management Board and monitors our remuneration policy. The duties of the Remuneration Committee include the following:

drafting a proposal to our Supervisory Board for the remuneration policy to be pursued;

drafting a proposal for the remuneration of the individual members of our Management Board for adoption by our Supervisory Board, which proposal shall in any event include (a) the remuneration structure and (b) the amount of the fixed remuneration, the shares and/or options to be granted and/or other variable remuneration components, pension rights, severance pay and other forms of compensation to be awarded, as well as the relevant performance criteria and their application; and

preparing the annual remuneration report as referred to in best practice provision II.2.12. of the DCGC. The role and responsibilities of the Remuneration Committee, as well as its composition and the manner in which it operates and discharges its duties, are set out in regulations for the Remuneration Committee, as drawn up by our Supervisory Board. The Remuneration Committee regulations and its composition are on our website. This committee may not be chaired by the chairman of our Supervisory Board, a former member of our Management Board or by a Supervisory Board member who is a member of the management board of another listed company. In addition, no more than one member of the Remuneration Committee may be a member of the management board of another Dutch listed company. The members of the Remuneration Committee are Mr. Tenwick (chairman), Mr. Eichler and Mr. Fuller.

Table of Contents

The Remuneration Committee meets as often as one or more members of the Remuneration Committee deem necessary, but meets in any event at least twice a year.

Nominations and Governance Committee

The focus of the Nominations and Governance Committee is:

drawing up of selection criteria and appointment procedures for Supervisory Board members and Management Board members;

periodically assessing the size and composition of our Supervisory Board and our Management Board and making a proposal for a composition profile of our Supervisory Board;

periodically assessing the functioning of individual Supervisory Board members and Management Board members and reporting on this to our Supervisory Board;

making proposals for appointments and reappointments of members of our Management Board and our Supervisory Board; and

supervising the policy of our Management Board on the selection criteria and appointment procedures for senior management.

The role and responsibilities of the Nominations and Governance Committee, as well as its composition and the manner in which it operates and discharges its duties, are set out in regulations for the Nominations and Governance Committee, as drawn up by our Supervisory Board. The Nominations and Governance Committee regulations and its composition are on our website. The members of the Nominations and Governance Committee are Mr. Fuller (chairman), Mr. Haars and Mr. Tenwick.

The Nominations and Governance Committee meets as often as one or more members of the Nominations and Governance Committee deem necessary, but meets in any event at least twice a year.

Insurance and indemnification

Members of our Management Board and Supervisory Board have the benefit of indemnification provisions in the articles of association. These provisions give members of our Management Board and Supervisory Board the right, to the fullest extent permitted by law, to recover from us amounts, including but not limited to litigation expenses, and any damages they are ordered to pay, in relation to acts or omissions in the performance of their duties. However, there is generally no entitlement to indemnification for acts or omissions that amount to willful misconduct or intentional recklessness. In addition, we have entered into agreements to indemnify members of our Management Board and Supervisory Board against expenses and liabilities to the fullest extent permitted by law. These agreements also provide, subject to certain exceptions, for indemnification for related expenses including, among others, attorneys fees, judgments, penalties, fines and settlement amounts incurred by any of these individuals in any action or proceeding. In addition to such indemnification, we provide the members of our Management Board and Supervisory

Board with directors and officers liability insurance.

Insofar as indemnification of liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, may be permitted to members of the board of directors, officers or persons controlling us pursuant to the foregoing provisions, we have been informed that, in the opinion of the SEC, such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and is therefore unenforceable.

Other Information

During the year ended December 31, 2013, no member of our Management Board or Supervisory Board or Senior Management, was entitled to any benefits upon termination of his employment under his employment or other service contract other than, with respect to the members of our Management Board, in continuation of certain compensation for the term of any non-compete agreement or otherwise in accordance with applicable law.

Table of Contents**D. EMPLOYEES**

We believe that the quality and skills of our executive management team and other personnel within the organization have been and will be critical to our success. We continue to place emphasis on creating a culture that fosters innovation, creativity and teamwork. We are continuing to recruit talented, innovative and entrepreneurial personnel in order to support our technology development and growth strategies.

The table below shows the number of our employees by function as of December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013:

| | As of December 31, | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Business functional area: | | | |
| Research and development | 343 | 385 | 482 |
| Sales and marketing | 234 | 242 | 264 |
| General and administration | 221 | 248 | 201 |
| Customer support | 72 | 43 | 66 |
| Total | 870 | 918 | 1,013 |

The increase in our employees is primarily related to our acquisition in Canada and to further strengthen our research and development activities. The increase in employees is partly offset by employee reductions incurred in connection with the reorganization of our global operations that began in 2012.

| | As of December 31, | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Geography: | | | |
| Americas | 133 | 128 | 232 |
| EMEA | 720 | 760 | 750 |
| APAC | 17 | 30 | 31 |
| Total | 870 | 918 | 1,013 |

Except for any mandatory governmental body that negotiates collective bargaining agreements on behalf of certain sectors in certain jurisdictions, any national or local mandatory collective bargaining agreements to which we and/or our local subsidiaries would be a default party under applicable national or local law, and except for employees having private memberships in labor organizations or unions, none of our employees is represented by a labor organization or is party to any collective bargaining agreements. To date, we have never experienced any work stoppage or other material disruptions to our operations arising from labor disputes with our employees.

E. SHARE OWNERSHIP

Information with respect to share ownership of members of our Management and Supervisory Boards and our senior management is included in Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions.

Option Plan and Restricted Stock Unit Plan

In order to attract, retain and motivate members of our Management Board and Supervisory Board, employees and other individuals having business relationships with us and to reward such persons for their loyalty and commitment, we established an option plan, or the Option Plan, in June 2009 under which we granted options to acquire ordinary shares. The Option Plan was subsequently amended and restated effective on October 1, 2009, June 30, 2010, March 11, 2011, September 29, 2011, and January 30, 2012. The Option Plan was most recently amended on May 7, 2013 and currently includes a restricted stock unit plan.

Table of Contents

The total number of shares in respect of which options and restricted stock units pool may be granted under the Option Plan is limited at 9,059,948. Options that lapse or are forfeited and restricted stock units that are forfeited are available to be granted again. Options and restricted stock units granted to members of the Management Board of the Company and the Supervisory Board require prior approval of the General Meeting of Shareholders. On June 19, 2013, the General Meeting authorized the Supervisory Board to grant up to a maximum of 500,000 options or restricted stock units in the aggregate in a year to members of the Management Board.

The vesting of certain restricted stock units granted to our CEO is subject to satisfaction of market based financial performance criteria, the market restricted share units. The market restricted stock units will vest if the average closing price of the Company's shares on the New York Stock Exchange during a 30 consecutive trading day period exceeds 2.5 times the closing price of the shares on the Start Date, as defined in the RSU agreement, (the Share Price Goal), provided that (i) if the Share Price Goal is achieved prior to the first anniversary of the Start Date, the vesting shall occur on the first anniversary of the Start Date and (ii) the CEO remains employed through the applicable vesting date and has not provided a notice of termination as of the applicable vesting date.

Options and restricted stock units generally vest over a period of four years, whereby 25% of the options vest on the first anniversary of the start date and the remaining options vest quarterly thereafter, in equal portions during the remaining vesting period. The contractual life of all options is 10 years.

Participants have no voting rights with respect to shares represented by options or restricted stock units until the date of the issuance of such shares. Participants in the Restricted Stock Units Plan may, if the Supervisory Board of the Company so determines, be credited with dividend equivalents paid with respect to shares underlying a restricted stock unit award. Dividend equivalents shall be forfeited in the event that the restricted stock units with respect to which such dividend equivalents were credited are forfeited.

On June 30, 2011, the Supervisory Board approved an amendment to the Option Plan to remove performance-based vesting conditions on options, including for options that were granted but unvested at such time by splitting such options into two awards, one for two-thirds as many options (Base options) and another for one-third as many options (Additional options). The Base options remained subject to service period vesting requirements as per the terms of the original plan. The Additional options were granted on the date of occurrence of a listing with service period vesting requirements after such date. The amendment is accounted for as a share option modification. The modification resulted in no incremental share-based compensation expense.

In March 2011, we obtained a \$235 million credit facility to fund the payment of a distribution of \$183.4 million to our shareholders and to provide additional capital resources for strategic transactions. By reason of this transaction, the exercise prices of the outstanding options were adjusted under the capitalization adjustment provision of the Option Plan; provided, however, that the minimum exercise price of any option is at least 0.01.

Vesting principles

The Option Plan gives discretion to our Supervisory Board to determine the vesting schedules of all options and restricted stock units granted and to make the exercisability of options and restricted stock units subject to certain financial performance criteria. We have granted options and restricted stock units with time-based vesting and performance-based vesting, although options and restricted stock units could not be exercised while we were a private company. Options that were vested on the date of the IPO, became exercisable on that date and will, unless subject to a one-year postponement, remain exercisable until up to ten years after the date of grant, subject to forfeiture or reduction of the exercise period of the option in connection with the holder's termination of employment.

The exercise price of options held by option holders who are not subject to taxation in the U.S. may be reduced taking into account any dividend or distributions on ordinary shares that would have been payable on ordinary shares underlying options.

Table of Contents

In the event of a sale to a third party of more than 50% of our issued and outstanding shares and/or all or substantially all of the shares of our subsidiaries and/or a sale of all or substantially all of our assets and business, the Option Plan permits our Supervisory Board to accelerate the vesting of unvested options and/or to declare that options that are not vested at the time of the sale will be forfeited. Options may not be granted under the Option Plan for an exercise price less than 90% (or 100% for U.S. taxpayers who are option holders) of the fair market value (as defined in the Option Plan) of our shares.

Subject to certain exceptions, unless our Supervisory Board otherwise determines in a specific instance, all unvested options and restricted stock units granted under the Option Plan are forfeited upon an option holder's termination of the employment or other business relationship with us. If an option holder is terminated for cause, both vested and unvested options and restricted stock units will be forfeited upon a termination of the employment or other relevant business relationship with us. Unless our Supervisory Board determines otherwise, all vested options that are not exercised within 90 days of any other termination of the employment or business relationship of an option holder with us will be forfeited.

As of December 31, 2013, options and restricted stock units combined for a total of 2,054,699 ordinary shares were available for future grants under the Option Plan. No options or restricted stock units may be granted under the Option Plan after June 8, 2019.

As of December 31, 2013, the total number of our outstanding options and restricted stock units was 3,788,609. The weighted-average exercise price for all of our outstanding options is \$18.31.

ITEM 7. MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

A. MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS

The table below sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of our ordinary shares as of February 28, 2014, by:

each person, or group of affiliated persons, who is known by us, based on information in our share register and information provided by such persons, to beneficially own more than 5% of our ordinary shares;

each of the members of our Management Board and Supervisory Board; and

each of our other current members of senior management.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC. These rules generally attribute beneficial ownership of securities to persons who possess sole or shared voting or investment power with respect to those securities and include shares subject to options that are exercisable within 60 days after the date of this Annual Report. Such shares are also deemed outstanding for purposes of computing the percentage ownership of the person holding the option, but not the percentage ownership of any other person.

Table of Contents

Unless otherwise noted, all persons named in the table below may be contacted at our executive offices and, to our knowledge, have sole voting and investment power over the shares listed. Percentage computations are based on 52,694,253 ordinary shares outstanding as of February 28, 2014. As of that date, there were eight holders of record of our ordinary shares, five of which were U.S. holders, including AVG Technologies N.V., which holds 2,068,898 shares in its own capital as treasury shares. All holders of our outstanding ordinary shares have the same voting rights with respect to such shares.

Name of Beneficial Owner

| | | Beneficially Owned | Percent |
|---|------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| 5% shareholders | | | |
| PEF V Information Technology II Sàrl | (1) | 5,405,771 | 10.26 |
| Entities affiliated with TA Associates | (2) | 10,236,384 | 19.43 |
| Entities affiliated with Okumus Fund Management | (3) | 8,297,609 | 15.75 |
| Westwood Management Corp. | (4) | 3,785,389 | 7.18 |
| Management Board members, Supervisory Board members and senior management | | | |
| Dale L. Fuller | (5) | 261,222 | * |
| Gabriel Eichler | (6) | 1,673,593 | 3.18 |
| Jan G. Haars | | 12,500 | * |
| Jonathan W. Meeks | (7) | 10,236,384 | 19.43 |
| Colin Tenwick | (8) | 11,250 | * |
| Frank Esser | | | * |
| Gary Kovacs | | | * |
| John Little | (9) | 136,621 | * |
| Yuval Ben-Itzhak | (10) | 40,609 | * |
| R. David Ferguson | (11) | 165,000 | * |
| Todd Simpson | | | * |
| All Management Board members, Supervisory Board members and senior management as a group (11 persons) | (12) | 12,537,179 | 23.79 |

* Represents beneficial ownership of less than one percent (1%) of the outstanding ordinary shares.

- (1) PEF V Information Technology II S.à.r.l (PEF Sàrl) is wholly owned by Polish Enterprise Fund V, L.P. (PEF V), a Delaware limited partnership. Polish Enterprise Investors III, LLC (PEI III), is the sole general partner of PEF V. Divestment and voting decisions are made on behalf of PEI III by its members. PEF V and PEI III disclaim beneficial ownership over all shares held by PEF Sàrl except to the extent of their indirect pecuniary interest therein. During 2013, PEF Sàrl sold 9,135,408 ordinary shares, which decreased its percentage ownership from 26.92% to 10.26%. Number of shares based upon Form 13G filed February 13, 2014.
- (2) TA Associates, L.P. is the General Partner of (i) TA Associates X L.P. which is the General Partner of TA X L.P. (ii) TA Associates AP VI L.P. which is the General Partner of TA Atlantic and Pacific VI L.P. (iii) TA Associates SPF II L.P. which is the General Partner of TA Strategic Partners Fund II L.P. (iv) TA Associates SPF II L.P. which is the General Partner of TA Strategic Partners Fund II-A L.P. and (v) TA Investors III L.P. During 2013, entities associated with TA Associates sold 2,500,000 ordinary shares, which decreased its percentage ownership from 23.58% to 19.43%. Mr. Meeks is a managing director at TA Associates, L.P. Number of shares based upon Form 13G filed February 14, 2014.

- (3) During 2013, entities associated with Okumus Fund Management (Okumus Fund Management Ltd., Okumus Opportunistic Value Fund, Ltd., and Ahmet H. Okumus) purchased 2,869,087 ordinary shares, which increased its percentage ownership from 10.05% to 15.75%. Number of shares based upon Form 13G filed December 20, 2013.
- (4) Number of shares based upon Form 13G filed February 11, 2014.
- (5) Includes options to purchase 10,417 shares and that are exercisable within 60 days after February 28, 2014.

Table of Contents

- (6) Represents 1,673,593 shares held by CVP II, Inc. Mr. Eichler may be deemed to have an indirect pecuniary interest in shares held by CVP II, Inc. He disclaims beneficial ownership of such securities except to the extent of any potential entitlement as a beneficiary therein and any pecuniary interest therein.
- (7) Represents 10,236,384 shares held by entities affiliated with TA Associates, LP. Jonathan W. Meeks is a managing director at TA Associates, L.P., which has ultimate voting and investment power over shares held by the entities affiliated with TA Associates that are set out in footnote (2) above. Mr. Meeks may be deemed to have an indirect pecuniary interest in shares held by entities affiliated with TA Associates. He disclaims beneficial ownership of such securities except to the extent of any pecuniary interest therein.
- (8) Includes options to purchase 1,250 shares that are exercisable within 60 days after February 28, 2014.
- (9) Includes 25,000 restricted stock units that are exercisable within 60 days after February 28, 2014
- (10) Includes 25,000 restricted stock units that are exercisable within 60 days after February 28, 2014.
- (11) Includes options to purchase 6,250 shares and 25,000 restricted stock units that are exercisable within 60 days after February 28, 2014.
- (12) Includes options to purchase 17,917 shares and 75,000 restricted stock units that are exercisable within 60 days after February 28, 2014.

B. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Except as disclosed below, none of the members of our Management Board or Supervisory Board, and none of the members of our senior management, have since January 1, 2013 had a material interest in any transactions to which we were a party. Our policy is that any related party transactions are made on an arm's-length basis and on terms no less favorable than if such transactions were carried out with unaffiliated third parties.

Registration Rights Agreement

We have entered into a registration rights agreement with TA Coöperatief, PEF Sàrl, Grisoft Holdings and Intel Capital, or, together with any of their permitted transferees (including TA Sàrl), the holders of registrable securities. Each of TA Sàrl, PEF Sàrl or any two holders of registrable securities, so long as those two holders hold in aggregate at least 10% of the registrable securities then outstanding, are entitled to rights with respect to the registration of their ordinary shares under the Securities Act or (i) registration of those ordinary shares under the securities laws of the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, the Czech Republic or Poland and/or (ii) listing of those ordinary shares under the stock exchange rules of the London Stock Exchange, NYSE Euronext, the Prague Stock Exchange or the Warsaw Stock Exchange. Subject to certain limitations in the agreement, the holders of registrable securities may require such registration on one occasion, or, in the case of TA Sàrl, two occasions, beginning 90 days after the IPO. Additionally, after 18 months following the IPO, either TA Sàrl or PEF Sàrl may require registration on a second occasion using TA Sàrl's second demand registration request if it has not yet been used.

We are obligated to use our reasonable best efforts to qualify to register securities on Form S-3 or Form F-3 under United States securities laws or any comparable short-form registration statement under the securities laws of the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, the Czech Republic or Poland. Subject to certain limitations, following our qualification to register securities under Form S-3 or Form F-3, we are required to register the securities of any of TA Sàrl, PEF Sàrl, Grisoft Holdings, Intel Capital or their affiliates holding 10% or more of the registrable securities then outstanding, provided that the ordinary shares anticipated to be included in such offering will have an aggregate sale price (net of underwriting discounts and commissions) in excess of \$1,000,000, provided, however, that we will not be required to register ordinary shares on request pursuant to this provision more than twice in any 12-month period.

If we register any of our ordinary shares either for our own account, for shareholders, or both, the holders of registrable securities will be entitled to include their shares in that registration, subject to the ability of the managing underwriter in that transaction to limit the number of ordinary shares in any offering made pursuant thereto.

Table of Contents

We will bear the costs and underwriting expenses, subject to certain restrictions, associated with any registration pursuant to the registration rights agreement. In conjunction with the managing underwriter, we may request that the holders of registrable securities abstain from selling or offering to sell any ordinary share or option or warrant thereon for a period of 180 days following the date this registration becomes effective, provided that such lock-up is conditional upon all shareholders then holding 1% or more of our shares agreeing to substantially similar restrictions. All registration rights covered by the agreement will terminate on the fourth anniversary of our IPO.

Indemnification Agreements

We have entered into indemnification agreements with members of our Management Board and Supervisory Board. The indemnification agreements and our articles of association require us to indemnify the members of our Management Board and Supervisory Board to the fullest extent permitted by law. See Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees C. Board Practices Insurance and Indemnification for a description of these indemnification agreements.

Option Plan

See Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees E. Share Ownership Option Plan for a description of the Option Plan.

Sale of Equity Affiliate

On March 28, 2013, we sold our minority share in Zbang It Ltd. (Zbang) for one U.S. dollar and purchased the technology of Zbang for approximately \$1.4 million. The purchase price was offset by an outstanding loan from Zbang payable to us. For additional information, see Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report.

C. INTERESTS OF EXPERTS AND COUNSEL

Not Applicable.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

A. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS AND OTHER FINANCIAL INFORMATION

See Item 18. Financial Statements.

Dividend policy

Under our articles of association, our Management Board determines, subject to the approval of our Supervisory Board, what portion of our profits will be reserved. Any profits remaining will be put at the disposal of a general meeting of shareholders. Our Management Board, with the approval of the supervisory board, is required to make a proposal for that purpose, which is then dealt with as a separate agenda item at the General Meeting of Shareholders. Our Management Board is permitted, subject to certain requirements and subject to approval of our Supervisory Board, to declare interim dividends without the approval of a General Meeting of Shareholders.

Our Management Board may, subject to the approval of our Supervisory Board, resolve to make distributions on the ordinary shares not in cash, but in ordinary shares, or resolve that shareholders shall have the option to receive a

distribution in cash and/or in ordinary shares, provided that our Management Board is designated by a General Meeting of Shareholders as the competent corporate body to resolve to issue ordinary shares.

We may make distributions to shareholders only to the extent that the Company's equity exceeds the amount of the paid-in and called-up part of the issued share capital, increased by the reserves we are required to maintain pursuant to our articles of association or the provisions of applicable law and to the extent that the

Table of Contents

Company has no debt covenant restrictions. Any distribution of profits will be made after the adoption of the annual accounts showing that such distribution of profits is permitted.

We currently intend to retain future earnings, if any, to finance the growth and development of our business and to provide additional liquidity. As a result, we currently have no intention to pay dividends and will not make additional distributions or dividends in respect of the year ended December 31, 2013.

In addition, our term loan facility restricts the payment of dividends to holders of our ordinary shares. See Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects B. Liquidity and Capital Resources.

Dividend payments are subject to withholding tax in the Netherlands. See Item 10. Additional Information E. Taxation.

B. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS AND OTHER FINANCIAL INFORMATION

No significant changes have occurred since the date of our consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 9. THE OFFER AND LISTING**A. OFFER AND LISTING DETAILS**

The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices of our ordinary shares since the listing of our ordinary shares on the NYSE on February 7, 2012 through February 28, 2014:

| Period | High | Low |
|------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| Annual information | | |
| 2013 | \$ 26.56 | \$ 12.10 |
| 2012 | \$ 16.39 | \$ 9.42 |
| Quarterly information | | |
| 4 th quarter 2013 | \$ 25.50 | \$ 15.89 |
| 3 rd quarter 2013 | \$ 26.56 | \$ 19.09 |
| 2 nd quarter 2013 | \$ 19.96 | \$ 12.51 |
| 1 st quarter 2013 | \$ 16.35 | \$ 12.10 |
| 4 th quarter 2012 | \$ 16.39 | \$ 11.21 |
| 3 rd quarter 2012 | \$ 14.35 | \$ 9.42 |
| 2 nd quarter 2012 | \$ 15.49 | \$ 11.36 |
| 1 st quarter 2012 | \$ 15.49 | \$ 12.77 |
| Monthly information | | |
| February 2014 | \$ 19.60 | \$ 15.48 |
| January 2014 | \$ 17.72 | \$ 16.01 |
| December 2013 | \$ 17.48 | \$ 15.89 |
| November 2013 | \$ 20.54 | \$ 16.55 |
| October 2013 | \$ 25.50 | \$ 19.03 |
| September 2013 | \$ 26.56 | \$ 21.28 |

On February 28, 2014, the closing price per share of our ordinary shares on the NYSE was \$18.73.

B. PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

Not applicable.

C. MARKETS

Our ordinary shares are listed on the NYSE under the symbol AVG.

D. SELLING SHAREHOLDERS

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

E. DILUTION

Not applicable.

F. EXPENSES OF THE ISSUE

Not applicable.

ITEM 10. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

A. SHARE CAPITAL

Not applicable.

B. MEMORANDUM AND ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION

Our shareholders adopted the Amended and Restated Articles of Association filed as Exhibit 3.2 to our registration statement on Form F-1 (file no. 333-178992) with the SEC on January 13, 2012.

We incorporate by reference into this Annual Report on Form 20-F the description of our Amended and Restated Articles of Association effective upon the closing of our IPO contained in our F-1 registration statement (File No. 333-178992) originally filed with the SEC on January 13, 2012, as amended. Such description sets forth a summary of certain provisions of our articles of association as currently in effect.

C. MATERIAL CONTRACTS

Except as otherwise disclosed in this Annual Report on Form 20-F, we are not currently, and have not been in the last two years, party to any material contract, other than contracts entered into in the ordinary course of business.

D. EXCHANGE CONTROLS

Cash dividends payable on our ordinary shares and cash interest payments to holders of our debt securities may be remitted from the Netherlands to non-residents without legal restrictions imposed by the laws of the Netherlands, except that (i) such payments must be reported to the Dutch Central Bank for statistical purposes only and (ii) the transfer of funds to jurisdictions subject to general economic sanctions adopted in connection with policies of the United Nations, European Commission or similar measures imposed directly by the Government of the Netherlands may be restricted.

E. TAXATION

DUTCH TAXATION

The following are the material principal Dutch tax consequences of the acquisition, holding, redemption and disposal of ordinary shares, but it does not purport to be a comprehensive description of all Dutch tax considerations that may be relevant. This summary is intended as general information only and each prospective investor should consult a professional tax adviser with respect to the tax consequences of an investment in ordinary shares.

This summary is based on tax legislation, published case law, treaties, regulations and published policy, in each case as in force as of the date of this prospectus, and it does not take into account any developments or amendments thereof after that date whether or not such developments or amendments have retroactive effect.

Table of Contents

This summary does not address the Dutch tax consequences for:

- (a) holders of ordinary shares holding a substantial interest (*aanmerkelijk belang*) or deemed substantial interest (*fictief aanmerkelijk belang*) in us and holders of ordinary shares of whom a certain related person holds a substantial interest in us. Generally speaking, a substantial interest in us arises if a person, alone or, where such person is an individual, together with his or her partner (a statutory defined term), certain relatives or certain other related persons, directly or indirectly, holds (i) an interest of 5% or more of the total issued share capital of us or of 5% or more of a certain class of shares in the capital of us, (ii) rights to acquire, directly or indirectly, such interest (including the right to convert notes or share options into shares) or (iii) certain profit-sharing rights in us. A deemed substantial interest arises if a substantial interest or part thereof has been disposed of, or is deemed to have been disposed of, on a non-recognition basis;
- (b) investment institutions (*fiscale beleggingsinstellingen*);
- (c) pension funds, exempt investment institutions (*vrijgestelde beleggingsinstellingen*) or other entities that are exempt from Dutch corporate income tax; and
- (d) corporate holders of ordinary shares qualifying for the participation exemption (*deelnemingsvrijstelling*). Generally speaking, a shareholding is considered to qualify for the participation exemption if it represents an interest of 5% or more of the nominal paid-in share capital.

Where this summary refers to a holder of ordinary shares, such reference is restricted to a holder holding legal title to, as well as an economic interest in, such ordinary shares.

Dividend tax

Withholding requirement

We are required to withhold 15% Dutch dividend tax in respect of dividends paid on the ordinary shares. Under the Dutch Dividend Tax Act of 1965 (*Wet op de dividendbelasting 1965*), dividends are defined as the proceeds from shares, which include:

- (a) proceeds in cash or in kind including direct or indirect distributions of profit;
- (b) liquidation proceeds, proceeds on redemption of the ordinary shares and the consideration for the repurchase of the ordinary shares by us in excess of its average paid-in capital recognized for Dutch dividend tax purposes, unless a particular statutory exemption applies;
- (c) the nominal value of ordinary shares issued to a holder of ordinary shares or an increase in the nominal value of the ordinary shares, except when the (increase in the) nominal value of the ordinary shares is funded out

of our paid-in capital as recognized for Dutch dividend tax purposes; and

- (d) partial repayments of paid-in capital for tax purposes, if and to the extent there are qualifying profits (*zuivere winst*), unless a general meeting of shareholders has resolved in advance to make such repayment and provided that the nominal value of the ordinary shares concerned has been reduced by an equal amount by way of an amendment of the articles of association and the paid-in capital is recognized as capital for Dutch dividend tax purposes.

Residents of the Netherlands

If a holder of ordinary shares is a resident of the Netherlands or a deemed resident of the Netherlands or is an individual who has opted to be treated as a resident for the purposes of the Dutch Income Tax Act 2001 (*Wet inkomstenbelasting 2001*), Dutch dividend tax which is withheld with respect to proceeds from the ordinary

Table of Contents

shares will generally be creditable for Dutch corporate income tax or Dutch income tax purposes if the holder is the beneficial owner thereof. The same generally applies to holders of ordinary shares that are neither residents nor deemed to be residents of the Netherlands if the ordinary shares are attributable to a Dutch permanent establishment of such non-resident holder.

Non-residents of the Netherlands

If a holder of ordinary shares is a resident of a country other than the Netherlands and if a treaty for the avoidance of double taxation with respect to taxes on income is in effect between the Netherlands and that country, and such holder is the beneficial owner of the proceeds from the ordinary shares and a resident for the purposes of such treaty, the holder may, depending on the terms of that particular treaty, qualify for full or partial relief at source or for a refund in whole or in part of the Dutch dividend tax.

A refund of the Dutch dividend tax is available to an entity which is resident in another European Union member state or a state designated in a Dutch ministerial decree that is party to the European Economic Area Agreement, provided that such entity is not subject to corporate income tax in that state and would not be subject to Dutch corporate income tax if it were tax resident in the Netherlands.

Beneficial owner anti-dividend stripping legislation

In general terms, dividend stripping can be described as the situation in which a foreign or domestic person or entity (usually, but not necessarily, the original shareholder) has transferred his shares in our Company or his entitlement to dividend distributions to a party that has a more favorable right to a refund or reduction of Dutch dividend withholding tax than the transferor. In these situations, the transfer of shares in our Company, or of an entitlement to dividend distributions, is deemed to be made with a view to allowing the transferor to avoid Dutch dividend withholding tax while retaining a beneficial interest in our shares and the associated dividend distributions. Dutch dividend stripping rules may also apply to the transfer of our shares or the entitlement to dividend distributions as described above if the avoidance of dividend withholding tax is not the main purpose of the transfer.

Under the Dutch dividend stripping rules, a recipient of proceeds from the ordinary shares will not be entitled to any exemption, reduction, refund or credit of Dutch dividend tax if such recipient is not considered to be the beneficial owner of such proceeds. The recipient will, among other things, not be considered the beneficial owner of these proceeds if, in connection with such proceeds, the recipient has paid a consideration as part of a series of transactions in respect of which it is likely that:

- (a) the proceeds have in whole or in part accumulated, directly or indirectly, to a person or legal entity that would:
 - i. as opposed to the recipient paying the consideration, not be entitled to an exemption from dividend tax; or
 - ii. in comparison to the recipient paying the consideration, to a lesser extent be entitled to a lower rate or refund of dividend tax; and

- (b) such person or legal entity has, directly or indirectly, retained or acquired an interest in shares, profit-sharing certificates or loans, comparable to the interest it had in similar instruments prior to the series of transactions being initiated.

The term series of transactions includes transactions that have been entered into on a regulated stock market and transactions with respect to the sole acquisition of one or more dividend rights or of the establishment of short-term rights of enjoyment on the shares (e.g., usufruct).

Table of Contents**Corporate and individual income tax*****Residents of the Netherlands***

If a holder of ordinary shares is a resident or deemed to be a resident of the Netherlands for Dutch tax purposes and is fully subject to Dutch corporate income tax or is only subject to Dutch corporate income tax in respect of an enterprise to which the ordinary shares are attributable, income derived from the ordinary shares and gains realized upon the redemption or disposal of the ordinary shares are generally taxable in the Netherlands (at up to a maximum rate of 25% (tax rate for the year 2013)).

If an individual holder of ordinary shares is a resident or deemed to be a resident of the Netherlands for Dutch tax purposes (including an individual holder who has opted to be taxed as a resident of the Netherlands), income derived from the ordinary shares and gains realized upon the redemption or disposal of the ordinary shares are taxable at the progressive rates (up to a maximum rate of 52% (tax rate for the year 2013)) under the Dutch Income Tax Act 2001 (Wet inkomstenbelasting 2001) if:

- (a) the holder is an entrepreneur (*ondernemer*) and has an enterprise to which the ordinary shares are attributable or the holder has, other than as a shareholder, a co-entitlement to the net worth of an enterprise (*medegerechtigde*) to which the ordinary shares are attributable; or
- (b) such income or gains qualify as income from miscellaneous activities (*resultaat uit overige werkzaamheden*), which include the performance of activities with respect to the ordinary shares that exceed regular, active portfolio management (*normaal, actief vermogensbeheer*).

If neither condition (a) nor condition (b) applies to the holder of the ordinary shares, taxable income with regard to the ordinary shares will be determined on the basis of a deemed return on income from savings and investments (*sparen en beleggen*), rather than on the basis of income actually received or gains actually realized. At present, this deemed return on income from savings and investments has been fixed at a rate of 4% of the individual's yield basis (*rendementsgrondslag*) at the beginning of the calendar year, insofar as this yield basis exceeds a certain threshold of 21,139 for 2013 (*heffingsvrij vermogen*). The individual's yield basis is determined as the fair market value of certain qualifying assets held by the holder of the ordinary shares less the fair market value of certain qualifying liabilities at the beginning of the calendar year. The fair market value of the ordinary shares will be included as an asset in the individual's yield basis. The 4% deemed return on income from savings and investments will be taxed at a rate of 30% (tax rate for the year 2013).

Non-residents of the Netherlands

If a holder of ordinary shares is not a resident nor is deemed to be a resident of the Netherlands for Dutch tax purposes (nor has opted to be taxed as a resident of the Netherlands), such holder is not liable for any Dutch taxes in respect of income derived from the ordinary shares and gains realized upon the redemption or disposal of the ordinary shares, unless:

- (a) The holder is not an individual and such holder (i) has an enterprise that is, in whole or in part, carried on through a permanent establishment or a permanent representative in the Netherlands to which permanent

establishment or permanent representative the ordinary shares are attributable, or (ii) is (other than by way of securities) entitled to a share in the profits of an enterprise or has a co-entitlement to the net worth of an enterprise which is effectively managed in the Netherlands and to which the ordinary shares are attributable. This income is subject to Dutch corporate income tax at up to a maximum rate of 25% (tax rate for the year 2013).

- (b) The holder is an individual and such holder (i) has an enterprise or an interest in an enterprise that is, in whole or in part, carried on through a permanent establishment or a permanent

Table of Contents

representative in the Netherlands to which permanent establishment or permanent representative the ordinary shares are attributable, or (ii) realizes income or gains with respect to the ordinary shares that qualify as income from miscellaneous activities (resultaat uit overige werkzaamheden) in the Netherlands with respect to the ordinary shares which exceed regular, active portfolio management (normaal, actief vermogensbeheer), or (iii) is (other than by way of securities) entitled to a share in the profits of an enterprise that is effectively managed in the Netherlands and to which enterprise the ordinary shares are attributable.

Income derived from the ordinary shares as specified under (i) and (ii) by an individual is subject to individual income tax at up to a maximum rate of 52% (tax rate for the year 2013). Income derived from a share in the profits as specified under (iii) that is not already included under (i) or (ii) will be taxed on the basis of a deemed return on income from savings and investments (as described above under Residents of the Netherlands). The fair market value of the share in the profits of the enterprise (which includes the ordinary shares) will be part of the individual's Dutch yield basis.

Gift and inheritance tax

Residents of the Netherlands

Generally, gift and inheritance tax (schenkbelasting and erfbelasting) will be due in the Netherlands in respect of the acquisition or deemed acquisition of the ordinary shares by way of a gift by, or on the death of, a holder of ordinary shares that is a resident or deemed to be a resident of the Netherlands for the purposes of Dutch gift and inheritance tax at the time of the gift or his death.

A holder of ordinary shares with Dutch nationality is deemed to be a resident of the Netherlands for the purposes of Dutch gift and inheritance tax if he has been resident in the Netherlands and dies or makes a gift within 10 years after leaving the Netherlands. A holder of any other nationality is deemed to be a resident of the Netherlands for the purposes of Dutch gift tax if he has been resident in the Netherlands and makes a gift within a 12-month period after leaving the Netherlands. The same 12-month rule may apply to entities that have transferred their seat of residence out of the Netherlands.

Non-residents of the Netherlands

No gift or inheritance taxes will arise in the Netherlands in respect of the acquisition of the ordinary shares by way of a gift by, or as a result of the death of, a holder that is neither a resident nor deemed to be a resident of the Netherlands for the purposes of Dutch gift and inheritance tax, unless in the case of a gift of the ordinary shares by a holder who at the date of the gift was neither a resident nor deemed to be a resident of the Netherlands, such holder dies within 180 days after the date of the gift and at the time of his or her death is a resident or deemed to be a resident of the Netherlands. A gift made by a non-resident under a condition precedent is deemed to be made at the time the condition precedent is fulfilled and could be subject to Dutch gift and inheritance tax if the donor is a (deemed) resident of the Netherlands at that time.

Value Added Tax

In general, no value added tax will arise in respect of payments in consideration for the issue of the ordinary shares or in respect of a cash payment made under the ordinary shares, or in respect of a transfer of ordinary shares.

Other taxes and duties

No registration tax, customs duty, transfer tax, stamp duty, capital tax or any other similar documentary tax or duty will be payable in the Netherlands by a holder in respect of or in connection with the subscription, issue, placement, allotment, delivery or transfer of the ordinary shares.

Table of Contents

U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS

The following are the material U.S. federal income tax consequences to the U.S. Holders as defined below, of owning and disposing of ordinary shares, but this does not purport to be a comprehensive description of all tax considerations that may be relevant to a particular person's decision to hold, or dispose of ordinary shares.

This discussion applies only to a U.S. Holder that holds ordinary shares as capital assets for U.S. federal income tax purposes. In addition, it does not describe all of the tax consequences that may be relevant in light of the U.S. Holder's particular circumstances, including alternative minimum tax consequences, the potential application of the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") known as the Medicare contribution tax, state, local or non-United States tax laws, and tax consequences applicable to U.S. Holders subject to special rules, such as:

certain financial institutions;

dealers or traders in securities who use a mark-to-market method of tax accounting;

persons holding ordinary shares as part of a hedging transaction, straddle, wash sale, conversion transaction or integrated transaction or persons entering into a constructive sale with respect to the ordinary shares;

persons whose functional currency for U.S. federal income tax purposes is not the U.S. dollar;

entities classified as partnerships for U.S. federal income tax purposes;

tax-exempt entities, including individual retirement accounts or Roth IRAs;

persons that own or are deemed to own ten percent or more of our voting stock;

persons who acquired ordinary shares pursuant to the exercise of an employee stock option or otherwise as compensation; or

persons holding ordinary shares in connection with a trade or business conducted outside of the United States.

If an entity that is classified as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes holds ordinary shares, the U.S. federal income tax treatment of a partner will generally depend on the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. Partnerships holding ordinary shares and partners in such partnerships should consult their tax advisers as to the particular U.S. federal income tax consequences of holding and disposing of the ordinary shares.

This discussion is based on the Code, administrative pronouncements, judicial decisions, final, temporary and proposed Treasury regulations, and the income tax treaty between the Netherlands and the United States (the Treaty) all as of the date hereof, any of which is subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect.

A U.S. Holder is a beneficial owner of ordinary shares who is eligible for the benefits of the Treaty and is, for U.S. federal income tax purposes:

a citizen or individual resident of the United States;

a corporation, or other entity taxable as a corporation, created or organized in or under the laws of the United States, any state therein or the District of Columbia;

an estate the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of its source; or

a trust if (a) (i) a court within the United States is able to exercise primary supervision over its administration and (ii) one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all of the substantial decisions of such trust; or (b) it has a valid election in effect to be treated as a United States person for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Table of Contents

U.S. Holders should consult their tax advisers concerning the U.S. federal, state, local and foreign tax consequences of owning and disposing of ordinary shares in their particular circumstances.

This discussion assumes that we are not, and will not become, a passive foreign investment company, as described below.

Taxation of distributions

Distributions paid on ordinary shares, other than certain pro rata distributions payable only in ordinary shares, generally will be treated as dividends to the extent paid out of our current or accumulated earnings and profits (as determined under U.S. federal income tax principles). Because we do not maintain calculations of our earnings and profits under U.S. federal income tax principles, it is expected that distributions generally will be reported to U.S. Holders as dividends. Subject to applicable limitations, dividends paid to certain non-corporate U.S. Holders may be taxable at preferential rates applicable to long-term capital gain. U.S. Holders should consult their tax advisers regarding the availability of the preferential rates. The amount of a dividend will include any amounts withheld by us in respect of Dutch income taxes. The amount of the dividend will be treated as foreign-source dividend income to U.S. Holders and will not be eligible for the dividends-received deduction generally available to U.S. corporations under the Code. Dividends will be included in a U.S. Holder's income on the date of the U.S. Holder's receipt of the dividend. The amount of any dividend income paid in euros will be the U.S. dollar amount calculated by reference to the exchange rate in effect on the date of receipt, regardless of whether the payment is in fact converted into U.S. dollars. If the dividend is converted into U.S. dollars on the date of receipt, a U.S. Holder should not be required to recognize foreign currency gain or loss in respect of the dividend income. A U.S. Holder may have foreign currency gain or loss if the dividend is converted into U.S. dollars after the date of receipt.

Subject to applicable limitations, some of which vary depending upon the U.S. Holder's circumstances, Dutch income taxes withheld from dividends on ordinary shares at a rate not exceeding the rate provided by the Treaty will be creditable against the U.S. Holder's U.S. federal income tax liability. The rules governing foreign tax credits are complex and U.S. Holders should consult their tax advisers regarding the creditability of foreign taxes in their particular circumstances. In lieu of claiming a foreign tax credit, U.S. Holders may, at their election, deduct foreign taxes, including any Dutch income tax, in computing their taxable income, subject to generally applicable limitations under U.S. law. An election to deduct foreign taxes instead of claiming foreign tax credits applies to all foreign taxes paid or accrued in the taxable year.

Sale or other taxable disposition of ordinary shares

For U.S. federal income tax purposes, gain or loss realized on the sale or other taxable disposition of ordinary shares will be capital gain or loss and will be long-term capital gain or loss if the U.S. Holder held the ordinary shares for more than one year. The amount of the gain or loss will equal the difference between the amount realized on the disposition and the U.S. Holder's tax basis in the ordinary shares disposed of, in each case as determined in U.S. dollars. This gain or loss will generally be U.S.-source gain or loss for foreign tax credit purposes. The deductibility of capital losses is subject to limitations.

Passive foreign investment company rules

We believe that we were not a passive foreign investment company (PFIC) for U.S. federal income tax purposes for the 2013 taxable year. However, because PFIC status depends on the composition of a company's income and assets and the market value of its assets from time to time, there can be no assurance that we will not be a PFIC for any taxable year.

If we were a PFIC for any taxable year during which a U.S. Holder held ordinary shares, gain recognized by a U.S. Holder on a sale or other disposition (including certain pledges) of the ordinary shares would be allocated ratably over the U.S. Holder's holding period for the ordinary shares. The amounts allocated to the taxable year

Table of Contents

of the sale or other disposition and to any year before we became a PFIC would be taxed as ordinary income. The amount allocated to each other taxable year would be subject to tax at the highest rate in effect for individuals or corporations, as appropriate, for that taxable year, and an interest charge would be imposed on the amount allocated to that taxable year. Further, to the extent that any distribution received by a U.S. Holder on its ordinary shares exceeds 125% of the average of the annual distributions on the ordinary shares received during the preceding three years or the U.S. Holder's holding period, whichever is shorter, that distribution would be subject to taxation in the same manner as gain, described immediately above. Certain elections may be available that would result in alternative treatments (such as mark-to-market treatment) of the ordinary shares. U.S. Holders should consult their tax advisers to determine whether any of these elections would be available and, if so, what the consequences of the alternative treatments would be in their particular circumstances.

Information reporting and backup withholding

Payments of dividends and sales proceeds that are made within the United States or through certain U.S.-related financial intermediaries generally are subject to information reporting, and may be subject to backup withholding, unless (i) the U.S. Holder is a corporation or other exempt recipient or (ii) in the case of backup withholding, the U.S. Holder provides a correct taxpayer identification number and certifies that it is not subject to backup withholding.

The amount of any backup withholding from a payment to a U.S. Holder will be allowed as a credit against the U.S. Holder's U.S. federal income tax liability and may entitle it to a refund, provided that the required information is timely furnished to the IRS.

Certain U.S. Holders who are individuals may be required to report information relating to their ownership of an interest in certain foreign financial assets, including stock of a non-U.S. person, generally on Form 8938, subject to exceptions (including an exception for stock held through a U.S. financial institution). U.S. Holders should consult their tax advisers regarding their reporting obligations with respect to ordinary shares.

If we were a PFIC for any taxable year during which a U.S. Holder held ordinary shares, such U.S. Holder would generally be required to file IRS Form 8621 with such U.S. Holder's annual U.S. federal income tax return, subject to certain exceptions.

F. DIVIDENDS AND PAYING AGENTS

Not applicable.

G. STATEMENT BY EXPERTS

Not applicable.

H. DOCUMENTS ON DISPLAY

We are subject to the informational requirements of the Exchange Act. Accordingly, we are required to file reports and other information with the SEC, including annual reports on Form 20-F and reports on Form 6-K. You may inspect and copy reports and other information filed with the SEC at the Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the

SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, the SEC maintains an Internet website that contains reports and other information about issuers, like us, that file electronically with the SEC. The address of that website is www.sec.gov.

I. SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

ITEM 11. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our principal market risks relate to interest rate risk, currency risk, credit risk and liquidity risk.

Interest rate risk

We were not subject to significant exposure to market interest rates prior to April 2013 as the existence of a floor to the interest rate payable in our previous long-term loan facility meant that interest rates would have had to increase by more than 100 basis points before we would start to be affected by such movements.

Since April 2013 we are exposed to movements in market interest rates as the interest rate we pay on our revolving credit facility is linked to short-term U.S. dollar LIBOR, or to equivalent benchmark rates where we borrow in other currencies. An increase of 100 basis points in short-term LIBOR would result in an additional annualized interest charge of \$0.3 million based on the outstanding balance of the revolving credit facility as of December 31, 2013.

Currency risk

We are exposed to movements in market currency rates, as there is a difference between the currencies in which we generate revenue and those in which we incur expenses. In 2013, revenue and expenses were 56% and 52%, respectively, in U.S. dollars, 19% and 15%, respectively, in euros, 13% and 5%, respectively, in British pounds sterling, and 4% and 19%, respectively, in Czech crowns, while in 2012 revenue and expenses were 78% and 55%, respectively, in U.S. dollars, 12% and 16%, respectively, in euros, 8% and 6%, respectively, in British pounds sterling, and 1% and 8%, respectively, in Czech crowns.

Our principal transaction exposures against the U.S. dollar are in euro, Czech crown, and British pounds sterling. We estimate that a 10% rise in the U.S. dollar against these currencies would have resulted in a reduction in net income in 2013 and 2012 on the order of \$0.3 million and \$1.5 million respectively. We enter into foreign exchange contracts to economically hedge transactional exposures between the U.S. dollar, euro, British pounds sterling, Czech crown, Israeli shekel, Canadian and Australian dollar, reducing some of our exposures but not eliminating them.

Credit risk

We are exposed to credit risks, principally in relation to the security of our deposits with financial institutions and to trade receivables. We manage our exposure to financial institutions by diversifying our deposits between different international financial institutions with high credit ratings and by minimizing the deposits we hold with local banks in the different jurisdictions in which we operate. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, we had \$43.7 million and \$52.4 million respectively of cash held with financial institutions. For trade receivables, our largest exposures at December 31, 2013 and 2012 were to our principal search partners, because we are paid on a monthly basis. We monitor our credit exposures to other trade receivables and provide an allowance for doubtful accounts as we deem necessary.

Liquidity risk

We ensure that we have adequate liquidity to meet our obligations as they fall due by continuously monitoring forecast and actual cash flows and by matching the maturity profiles of financial assets and liabilities where appropriate. We are dependent on a long-term credit facility and so we must ensure that we are compliant with its terms. As we generate positive cash flows from our operating activities we are able to cover our normal operating expenditures and pay outstanding short-term liabilities as they fall due without requiring additional financing, as well

as having sufficient funds to meet our capital expenditure requirements. Those positive cash flows are also sufficient to make payments of interest and principal required on our revolving loan facility. At December 31, 2013 no amounts were due for repayment within one year, compared with \$12.2 million due for

Table of Contents

repayment at December 31, 2012 under our previous long-term loan facility. We consider the impact on liquidity each time we make an acquisition in order to ensure we do not adversely affect our ability to meet our financial obligations as they fall due.

ITEM 12. DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES

Not Applicable.

ITEM 13. DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARRANGEMENTS AND DELINQUENCIES

None.

ITEM 14. MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not Applicable.

ITEM 15. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

A. DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

In accordance with Rule 13a-15(b) of the Exchange Act, our management evaluated, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of December 31, 2013.

Based upon their evaluation of these disclosure controls and procedures, the chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2013 to ensure that information required to be disclosed under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported, within the time period specified in the SEC rules and forms, and to ensure that information required to be disclosed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

B. MANAGEMENT'S ANNUAL REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of published financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, has assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework and criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission 1992. Based on this assessment, our management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2013, our internal controls over financial reporting were effective based on that framework.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness to future periods are subject to risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Table of Contents**C. CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

During the year ended December 31, 2013 there were no changes to our internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 16A. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT

Our Supervisory Board has determined that Jan Haars is an audit committee financial expert, as that term is defined in Item 16A(b) of Form 20-F, and is independent for the purposes of NYSE corporate governance rules and Rule 10A-3 of the Exchange Act.

ITEM 16B. CODE OF ETHICS

Our Management and Supervisory Boards have adopted a Code of Conduct that applies to members of our Management and Supervisory Boards, senior management and employees, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller and any other persons who perform similar functions for us. We have posted the Code of Conduct on our website, www.avg.com, at Investor Relations Corporate Governance Code of Conduct, Whistleblowing Policy.

ITEM 16C. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

PricewaterhouseCoopers Accountants N.V. (PwC) was appointed as our independent registered public accounting firm, on July 30, 2013 for the audit of the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013, for which audited financial statements appear in this Annual Report on Form 20-F.

BDO Audit & Assurance B.V. (BDO) has served as our independent registered public accounting firm for the audits of each of the fiscal years ending on December 31, 2011 and 2012, for which audited financial statements appear in this Annual Report on Form 20-F.

The following is a summary of the fees billed by PwC and BDO respectively, and member firms in their respective networks, for professional services rendered for fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013:

| | Year ended December 2012 | | | Year ended December 2013 | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| | BDO | Other BDO network firms | Total | PwC | Other PWC network firms | Total |
| Audit fees ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 858 | \$ 629 | \$ 1,487 | \$ 737 | \$ 217 | \$ 954 |
| Audit-related fees ⁽²⁾ | | 11 | 11 | | | |
| Tax fees ⁽³⁾ | | | | | | |
| All other fees ⁽⁴⁾ | | | | 4 | 38 | 42 |
| Total | \$ 858 | \$ 640 | \$ 1,498 | \$ 741 | \$ 255 | \$ 996 |

(1) Aggregate audit fees consist of fees billed or accrued for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for the audit of our annual financial statements and review of the interim condensed consolidated financial statements included in quarterly filings and services that are normally provided by the accountant in

connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements, except for those not required by statute or regulation.

- (2) Audit-related fees consist of fees billed or accrued services rendered during the fiscal year for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our consolidated financial statements and are not reported under Audit fees.
- (3) Tax fees consist of fees for professional services rendered during the fiscal year by the principal accountant for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning, assistance with tax audits and appeals.
- (4) All other fees consisted of fees billed or accrued for products and services provided by the principal accountant, other than the services reported above under other captions in the above table.

Table of Contents**Audit Committee's pre-approval policies and procedures**

Our Audit Committee has adopted a pre-approval policy for the engagement of our independent accountant to perform certain audit and non-audit services. Pursuant to this policy, which is designed to assure that such engagements do not impair the independence of our auditors, the Audit Committee may pre-approve specified categories of services that may be performed by our independent accountants, and the maximum pre-approved fees that may be paid as compensation for each pre-approved service in those categories.

ITEM 16D. EXEMPTIONS FROM THE LISTING STANDARDS FOR AUDIT COMMITTEES

None.

ITEM 16E. PURCHASE OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS

The Company has entered into a conditional share repurchase program under which it repurchases shares in amounts designed to cover obligations to deliver shares under its share based incentive plans.

Under the share repurchase program the Company may, between May 9, 2013 and November 9, 2014, repurchase from time to time in both open market and privately negotiated transactions up to 1,500,000 ordinary shares. The share repurchase program may occur in tranches. The share repurchase program was authorized by the Company's shareholders on January 12, 2012 and approved by the Supervisory Board on May 7, 2013.

On November 5, 2013, the Supervisory Board approved an increase of the maximum number of shares to be repurchased from 2,500,000 to 4,000,000 to cover the Company's obligations to deliver shares under its employee stock options incentive and restricted share units plans.

Under the first tranche of the share repurchase program the Company had, as of November 5, 2013, repurchased in open market transactions 1,500,000 ordinary shares. Under the second tranche of the share repurchase program, the Company may repurchase up to 2,500,000 ordinary shares between November 13, 2013 and May 10, 2014. This arrangement does not require the Company to acquire any specific number of shares and may be terminated at any time, except during closed trading periods under the Company's insider trading policy.

The following table provides a summary of shares repurchased by the Company, in 2013:

| Period | Total number of shares purchased | Average price paid per share (USD) | Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans | Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans |
|------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| June 19 - 30, 2013 | 80,000 | 18.86 | 80,000 | 2,500,000 |
| July 1 - 29, 2013 | 224,150 | 21.01 | 304,150 | 2,500,000 |
| August 1 - 31, 2013 | 263,243 | 21.47 | 567,393 | 2,500,000 |
| September 1 - 30, 2013 | 199,000 | 23.69 | 766,393 | 2,500,000 |
| October 3 - 31, 2013 | 428,950 | 21.76 | 1,195,343 | 2,500,000 |
| November 1 - 30, 2013 | 653,157 | 20.32 | 1,848,500 | 4,000,000 |

Edgar Filing: AVG Technologies N.V. - Form 20-F

| | | | | | |
|-------------------|----------|------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| December 1 | 31, 2013 | 254,714 | 16.57 | 2,103,214 | 4,000,000 |
| Total 2013 | | 2,103,214 | 20.64 | | |
| Jan 1 | 31, 2014 | 212,000 | 16.77 | 2,315,214 | 4,000,000 |
| Feb 1 | 28, 2014 | 268,245 | 15.93 | 2,583,459 | 4,000,000 |
| Total | | 2,583,459 | 19.83 | | |

Table of Contents

ITEM 16F. CHANGE IN REGISTRANT'S CERTIFYING ACCOUNTANT

Following a competitive selection process supervised by the Audit Committee, the Company proposed that PricewaterhouseCoopers Accountants N.V. (PwC) be appointed as its external auditor. The appointment of PwC as external auditor was approved by shareholders on July 30, 2013, BDO Audit & Assurance B.V. (BDO) was dismissed on June 19, 2013.

BDO's reports on the Company's consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 contained no adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion and were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles.

During the Company's two most recent fiscal years and the subsequent interim period preceding the expiry of BDO's engagement as external auditor, there were: (i) no disagreements (within the meaning of Item 304(a) of Regulation S-K) with BDO on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedure, which disagreements, if not resolved to the satisfaction of BDO, would have caused it to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreements in its reports on the consolidated financial statements of the Company; and (ii) no reportable events (as such term is defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K).

The Company has provided BDO with a copy of this Form 20-F prior to its filing with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and requested BDO to furnish to the Company a letter addressed to the SEC stating that it agrees with the statements made above. A copy of BDO's letter dated March 24, 2014, is attached as Exhibit 15.3 to this Form 20-F.

During the Company's two most recent fiscal years and the subsequent interim period preceding the PwC's appointment as external auditor, neither the Company nor anyone on its behalf consulted PwC regarding either: (i) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed, or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on the Company's consolidated financial statements, and no written report or oral advice was provided to the Company that PwC concluded was an important factor considered by the Company in reaching a decision as to any accounting, auditing or financial reporting issue; or (ii) any matter that was the subject of a disagreement or reportable event (within the meaning of Item 304(a) of Regulation S-K and Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K, respectively).

In approving the selection of PwC as the Company's independent certifying accountant, the Audit Committee considered all relevant factors, including any non-audit services previously provided by PwC to the Company.

ITEM 16G. CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Dutch Corporate Governance

The Dutch Corporate Governance Code (DCGC) was released in 2003, amended in 2009 and can be found on www.commissiecorporategovernance.nl. The DCGC contains both principles and best practice provisions for management boards, supervisory boards, shareholders and general meetings of shareholders, financial reporting, auditors, disclosure, compliance and enforcement standards. The DCGC applies to all Dutch companies listed on a government-recognized stock exchange, whether in the Netherlands or elsewhere, including the NYSE. We will disclose in our Dutch annual report for financial year 2013 (the second year of listing on a government-recognized stock exchange) the extent to which we apply the provisions of the DCGC and any deviations therefrom. The most substantial deviations from the DCGC are summarized below.

Remuneration

Our Supervisory Board is authorized to grant options and restricted stock units to the members of our Management Board that do not have predetermined performance criteria and which are exercisable

Table of Contents

within three years from the year the options were granted. The number of options and restricted stock units to acquire ordinary shares which may be granted to the Management Board is not dependent on the achievement of targets specified beforehand. Under the Option Plan, options may be granted for an exercise price of 90% of the fair market value (deviations from: best practice provisions II.2.4, II.2.5 and II.2.6).

The members of our Management Board may receive more than one year's salary in the event of involuntary removal (deviation from: best practice provision II.2.8).

We have granted and intend to grant options and restricted stock units in the future to members of our Supervisory Board (deviation from: best practice provision III.7.1).

Board nominations and shareholder voting

Pursuant to our articles of association, the Supervisory Board will nominate one or more candidates for each vacant seat on the Management Board or the Supervisory Board. A resolution of our general meeting of shareholders to appoint a member of the Management Board or the Supervisory Board other than pursuant to a nomination by our Supervisory Board requires at least two-thirds of the votes cast representing more than half of our issued share capital (deviation from: best practice provision IV.1.1).

Until May 7, 2013, our Supervisory Board retained decision-making authority in situations where the chairman was absent on a case by case basis, instead of electing a vice-chairman of the Supervisory Board. On May 7, 2013, our Supervisory Board appointed Mr. Eichler as vice-chairman of the Supervisory Board. Therefore, the Company currently complies with best practice provisions III.4.1.(f) and III.4.4.

External auditor

To comply with NYSE regulations, our Audit Committee is directly responsible for approving the compensation of our external auditor, instructing the external auditor to provide non-audit services and recommending the appointment of the external auditor to a General Meeting of Shareholders (deviation from: best practice principle V.2).

NYSE Corporate Governance Rules

The following is the only significant way in which our corporate governance practices differ from those followed by domestic companies within 90 days of listing on the NYSE under the listing standards of the NYSE:

Equity Compensation Plans Under Section 303A.08 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual, shareholders must be given the opportunity to vote on all equity-compensation plans and material revisions thereto, with certain limited exemptions as described in the Rule. Our shareholders have delegated the power to approve equity plans and material revisions thereto to our Supervisory Board, which is permitted under Dutch law.

ITEM 16H. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

ITEM 17. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

See Item 18.

ITEM 18. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

See page F-1.

Table of Contents**ITEM 19. EXHIBITS**

| Number | Description |
|---------------|---|
| 1.1 | Articles of Association of AVG Technologies N.V. in effect since the closing of our IPO ⁽¹⁾ |
| 1.2 | Supervisory Board By-Laws of AVG Technologies N.V. in effect since the closing of our IPO ⁽¹⁾ |
| 1.3 | Management Board By-Laws of AVG Technologies N.V. in effect since the closing of our IPO ⁽¹⁾ |
| 4.1 | Google Search and Advertising Services Agreement between Google Ireland Limited and AVG Technologies CY Limited effective from October 1, 2010 ⁽¹⁾ |
| 4.2 | Amendment No. 1 to Google Search and advertising Services Agreement between Google Ireland Limited and AVG Technologies CY Limited effective from December 1, 2011 ⁽¹⁾ |
| 4.3 | Transfer of Contract regarding Google Search and Advertising Services Agreement between Google Ireland Limited, AVG Technologies CY Limited and AVG Netherlands B.V. effective from December 23, 2011 ⁽¹⁾ |
| 4.4 | Amendment No. 2 to Google Search and Advertising Services Agreement between Google Ireland Limited and AVG Netherlands B.V. effective from June 1, 2012 ⁽²⁾ |
| 4.5 | Amendment No. 3 to Google Search and Advertising Services Agreement between Google Ireland Limited and AVG Netherlands B.V. effective from October 1, 2012 ⁽²⁾ |
| 4.6 | Amendment No. 4 to Google Search and Advertising Services Agreement between Google Ireland Limited and AVG Netherlands B.V. effective from November 1, 2012 ⁽²⁾ |
| 4.7 | Amendment No. 5 to Google Search and Advertising Services Agreement between Google Ireland Limited and AVG Netherlands B.V. effective from December 1, 2012 ⁽²⁾ |
| 4.8 | Amendment No. 6 to Google Search and Advertising Services Agreement between Google Ireland Limited and AVG Netherlands B.V. effective from February 1, 2013 ⁽²⁾ |
| 4.9 | Addendum to Google Search and Advertising Services Agreement between Google Ireland Limited and AVG Netherlands B.V. effective from October 1, 2013 |
| 4.10 | Credit Agreement among AVG Technologies N.V. and certain of its subsidiaries, as borrowers, the several financial institutions (lenders) party thereto, HSBC Bank, Plc. As mandated lead arranger and agent, and HSBC Corporate Trustee Company (UK) Limited, as security agent, dated April 25, 2013 |
| 4.11 | Credit Agreement among AVG Holding Coöperatief U.A. and AVG Technologies N.V., as borrowers, the several lenders party thereto, Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., as Syndication Agent, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, dated March 15, 2011 ⁽¹⁾ |
| 4.12 | Amendment No. 1 to Credit Agreement by and among AVG Holding Coöperatief U.A., AVG Technologies N.V. and the guarantors and lenders party thereto dated August 10, 2011 ⁽¹⁾ |
| 4.13+ | Amended and Restated 2013 Option Plan, dated May 7, 2013 |
| 4.14+ | Appendix to 2013 Option Plan Restricted Share Unit Plan, dated May 7, 2013 |
| 4.15+ | |

Form of Indemnification Agreement with the members of the Management Board and Supervisory Board⁽¹⁾

4.16 Consultancy Agreement dated December 2, 2011 by and between AVG Technologies N.V. and Czech Value Participations I, Inc.⁽¹⁾

Table of Contents

| Number | Description |
|---------------|--|
| 4.17 | Asset Purchase Agreement by and between AVG Technologies CY Limited and TuneUp Software GmbH and AVG Technologies N.V. dated August 17, 2011 ⁽¹⁾ |
| 4.18 | Share Purchase Agreement by and between AVG Technologies GER GmbH, AVG Technologies N.V., AVG Technologies CY Limited Blitz F11-eins-null GmbH, Christoph Laumann and Tibor Schiemann dated July 30, 2011 ⁽¹⁾ |
| 4.19 | Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement dated as of October 1, 2009 by and among AVG Technologies N.V. and certain of its shareholders ⁽¹⁾ |
| 8.1 | Subsidiaries of AVG Technologies N.V. |
| 12.1 | Certification by Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 12.2 | Certification by Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 13.1 | Certification by Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 13.2 | Certification by Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 15.1 | Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers Accountants N.V., independent registered public accounting firm |
| 15.2 | Consent of BDO Audit & Assurance B.V., independent registered public accounting firm |
| 15.3 | Letter on change in certifying accountant from BDO Audit & Assurance B.V. |
| 15.4 | Remuneration Report for the year 2013 ⁽³⁾ |
| 101.INS # | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH # | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL # | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF # | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB # | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE # | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |

Pursuant to a request for confidential treatment, portions of this exhibit have been redacted from the publicly filed document and have been furnished separately to the SEC as required by Rule 406 under the Securities Act or by Rule 24b-2 under the Exchange Act.

+ Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan.

Pursuant to Rule 406T of SEC Regulation S-T, interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, and otherwise are not subject to liability under these sections.

(1) Incorporated by reference to our registration statement on Form F-1 (file no. 333-178992), as amended.

(2) Incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 20-F, filed April 5, 2013 (File no. 001-35408).

- (3) Incorporated by reference to our Report of Foreign Private Issuer on Form 6K, filed March 24, 2014 (File no. 001-35408).

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this Annual Report on behalf:

By:

/s/ John Little

Name: John Little

Title: Chief Financial Officer,
Managing Director

Date: March 24, 2014

Table of Contents

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | |
|---|------|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms</u> | F-2 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2013</u> | F-4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013</u> | F-5 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Preferred Stock and Shareholders' (Deficit) Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013</u> | F-6 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013</u> | F-9 |
| <u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | F-10 |

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Supervisory Board and Shareholders

AVG Technologies N.V.

Amsterdam, Netherlands

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of AVG Technologies N.V. (the Company) as of December 31, 2012 and the related consolidated statements of comprehensive income, preferred stock and shareholders' (deficit) equity, and cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2012. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of AVG Technologies N.V. at December 31, 2012, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

BDO Audit & Assurance B.V.

On behalf of it,

/s/ J.A. de Rooij RA

Amstelveen, Netherlands,

April 5, 2013

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders of AVG Technologies N.V.:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of comprehensive income, of preferred stock and shareholders' (deficit) equity and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of AVG Technologies N.V. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2013 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ R.M. van Tongeren RA

PricewaterhouseCoopers Accountants N.V.

Amsterdam, the Netherlands

March 24, 2014

Table of Contents**AVG TECHNOLOGIES N.V.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in thousands of U.S. dollars except for share data)

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 51,890 | \$ 42,349 |
| Restricted cash | 514 | 4,654 |
| Trade accounts receivable, net | 32,664 | 26,160 |
| Inventories | 702 | 1,017 |
| Deferred income taxes | 24,361 | 25,058 |
| Prepaid expenses | 5,080 | 5,927 |
| Other current assets | 6,684 | 5,416 |
| Total current assets | 121,895 | 110,581 |
| Property and equipment, net | 14,594 | 15,294 |
| Deferred income taxes | 53,805 | 33,820 |
| Intangible assets, net | 41,207 | 59,577 |
| Goodwill | 81,276 | 84,843 |
| Investment | 9,750 | 160 |
| Other assets | 939 | 2,507 |
| Total assets | \$ 323,466 | \$ 306,782 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS (DEFICIT) EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 8,757 | \$ 11,356 |
| Accrued compensation and benefits | 21,575 | 18,245 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 28,787 | 31,569 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 12,226 | |
| Income taxes payable | 3,343 | 4,680 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | 1,091 | 163 |
| Deferred revenue | 148,308 | 164,136 |
| Total current liabilities | 224,087 | 230,149 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 85,005 | 30,000 |
| Deferred revenue, less current portion | 32,848 | 33,050 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | | 342 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 4,096 | 4,075 |
| Total liabilities | 346,036 | 297,616 |

Commitments and contingencies (Note 14)

Shareholders (deficit) equity

| | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Ordinary shares (par value: 0.01; 54,385,951 Ordinary shares issued and 54,019,154 Ordinary shares outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 54,763,151 Ordinary shares issued and 53,150,630 Ordinary shares outstanding at December 31, 2013) | 722 | 727 |
| Distributions in excess of capital | (130,432) | (128,809) |
| Treasury shares | (3,826) | (33,179) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (4,090) | (8,343) |
| Retained earnings | 115,056 | 178,770 |
| Total shareholders (deficit) equity | (22,570) | 9,166 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders (deficit) equity | \$ 323,466 | \$ 306,782 |

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**AVG TECHNOLOGIES N.V.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

(in thousands of U.S. dollars except for share data and per share data)

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Revenue: | | | |
| Subscription | \$ 175,654 | \$ 196,858 | \$ 250,839 |
| Platform-derived | 96,738 | 159,108 | 156,274 |
| Total revenue | 272,392 | 355,966 | 407,113 |
| Cost of revenue: | | | |
| Subscription | (23,374) | (27,064) | (30,027) |
| Platform-derived | (7,849) | (27,320) | (38,818) |
| Total cost of revenue | (31,223) | (54,384) | (68,845) |
| Gross profit | 241,169 | 301,582 | 338,268 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Research and development | (35,008) | (55,485) | (60,885) |
| Sales and marketing | (76,933) | (92,198) | (96,382) |
| General and administrative | (60,710) | (73,491) | (70,902) |
| Total operating expenses | (172,651) | (221,174) | (228,169) |
| Operating income | 68,518 | 80,408 | 110,099 |
| Other income (expense): | | | |
| Interest income | 69 | 132 | 90 |
| Interest and finance cost | (16,581) | (21,167) | (7,954) |
| Other, net | (592) | (1,904) | 485 |
| Other income and expense, net | (17,104) | (22,939) | (7,379) |
| Income before income taxes and loss from investment in equity affiliate | | | |
| | 51,414 | 57,469 | 102,720 |
| Income tax (provision) benefit | 49,260 | (11,141) | (39,006) |
| Loss from investment in equity affiliate, net of tax | (242) | (511) | |
| Net income | \$ 100,432 | \$ 45,817 | \$ 63,714 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | | | |
| | \$ (4,752) | \$ 2,234 | \$ (4,253) |

Currency translation gain (loss), net of taxes of \$-, \$(280)
and \$(308) for 2011, 2012 and 2013 respectively

| | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | (4,752) | 2,234 | (4,253) |
| Comprehensive income | 95,680 | 48,051 | 59,461 |
| Earnings per share: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 100,432 | \$ 45,817 | \$ 63,714 |
| Preferred share dividends | (7,208) | (753) | |
| Distributed and undistributed earnings to participating securities | (27,513) | | |
| Net income available to ordinary shareholders basic | \$ 65,711 | \$ 45,064 | \$ 63,714 |
| Net income available to ordinary shareholders diluted | \$ 65,711 | \$ 45,817 | \$ 63,714 |
| Earnings per ordinary share basic | \$ 1.83 | \$ 0.86 | \$ 1.18 |
| Earnings per ordinary share diluted | \$ 1.69 | \$ 0.84 | \$ 1.16 |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding basic | 36,000,000 | 52,395,427 | 54,208,065 |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding diluted | 38,974,953 | 54,308,518 | 54,710,704 |
| Cash dividends declared per ordinary share | \$ 4.53 | \$ | \$ |
| Cash dividends declared per preferred share | \$ 5.13 | \$ 0.21 | \$ |

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

AVG TECHNOLOGIES N.V.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF PREFERRED STOCK AND SHAREHOLDERS (DEFICIT) EQUITY

(in thousands of U.S. dollars except for share data)

| | Class D Preferred Shares | | Class A | | Class B | | Ordinary Shares Class B1 | | Class B2 | | Class Shares |
|--|-----------------------------|------------|------------|--------|--------------|--------|-----------------------------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | |
| Shares, January 1, | 12,000,000 | \$ 191,954 | 16,200,000 | \$ 212 | 12,600,000 | \$ 169 | | \$ | | \$ | 7,200,000 |
| Comprehensive (loss) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Designation Class B Class B2 | | | | | (12,600,000) | (169) | 9,316,224 | 125 | 3,283,776 | 44 | |
| Dividends paid and accrued | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Issuance of new shares under the warrant | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dividends paid and accrued | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Share-based compensation, net of tax | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shares, December 31, | 12,000,000 | \$ 191,954 | 16,200,000 | \$ 212 | | \$ | 9,316,224 | \$ 125 | 3,283,776 | \$ 44 | 7,200,000 |

| | Accumulated Other Distributions in Excess of Capital | | Comprehensive Income (loss) | | Retained Earnings | | Total Shareholders (Deficit) Equity | |
|----------------------------------|--|-----------|--------------------------------|---------|-------------------|--------|---|-----------|
| Balances, January 1, 2011 | \$ | (202,320) | \$ | (1,572) | \$ | 10,702 | \$ | (192,714) |

Edgar Filing: AVG Technologies N.V. - Form 20-F

| | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|------------|-----------|--------------|--|-----------|
| Net income | | | | 100,432 | | 100,432 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | | (4,752) | | | | (4,752) |
| Re-designation of Class B shares as Class B1 and B2 | | | | | | |
| Cash dividends declared and paid on ordinary shares and share options | (139,068) | | | (29,255) | | (168,323) |
| Cash dividends declared and paid on preferred shares | (51,485) | | | (10,085) | | (61,570) |
| Share-based compensation, net of repurchases | 4,648 | | | | | 4,648 |
| Balances, December 31, 2011 | \$ (388,225) | \$ (6,324) | \$ 71,794 | \$ (322,279) | | |

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

AVG TECHNOLOGIES N.V.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF PREFERRED STOCK AND SHAREHOLDERS (DEFICIT) EQUITY (CONTINUED)

(in thousands of U.S. dollars except for share data)

| | Class D Preferred Shares | | Class A | | Class B | | Ordinary Shares | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------|--------------|--------|---------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | Class B1 Shares | Class B1 Amount | Class B2 Shares | Class B2 Amount | Class E Shares | Class E Amount |
| Balance at December 31, | 12,000,000 | \$ 191,954 | 16,200,000 | \$ 212 | \$ | | 9,316,224 | \$ 125 | 3,283,776 | \$ 44 | 7,200,000 | \$ |
| Income | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes of | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Conversion of preferred shares and Class A, B1, and E shares to ordinary shares | (12,000,000) | (191,954) | (16,200,000) | (212) | | | (9,316,224) | (125) | (3,283,776) | (44) | (7,200,000) | |
| Proceeds from issuance of ordinary shares | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Issuance (net of tax credit of \$3) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Repurchase of shares | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Exercise of options | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Withholding tax credit of | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dividends paid and | | | | | | | | | | | | |

on
 red
 s
 -based
 ensation,
 chases
 ability
 ls
 ces,
 mber 31,

| | Ordinary Shares | | Treasury Shares | | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Distributions in Income | | Total Shareholders (Deficit) Retained Earnings Equity | |
|--|-----------------|--------|-----------------|---------|---|------------|---|--------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | Excess of Capital (loss) | | | |
| Balances, December 31, 2011 | | | | | \$ (388,225) | \$ (6,324) | \$ 71,794 | \$ (322,279) |
| Net income | | | | | | | 45,817 | 45,817 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes of \$(280) | | | | | | 2,234 | | 2,234 |
| Conversion of preferred shares and Class A, B1, B2 and E shares to ordinary shares | 48,000,000 | 639 | | | | 191,791 | | 191,954 |
| Share proceeds from IPO | 4,000,000 | 52 | | | | 63,948 | | 64,000 |
| Share issuance costs, net of taxes of \$1,703 | | | | | | (11,302) | | (11,302) |
| Repurchase of own shares | | | (370,925) | (3,869) | | | | (3,869) |
| Exercise of share options (including excess tax benefit of \$480) | 2,385,951 | 31 | 4,128 | 43 | | 785 | | 859 |
| Cash dividends declared and paid on preferred shares | | | | | | | (2,555) | (2,555) |
| Share-based compensation, net of repurchases | | | | | | 12,571 | | 12,571 |

and liability
awards

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|--------|-----------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Balances, December 31, 2012 | 54,385,951 | \$ 722 | (366,797) | \$ (3,826) | \$ (130,432) | \$ (4,090) | \$ 115,056 | \$ (22,570) |
|--|------------|--------|-----------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------|

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-7

Table of Contents

AVG TECHNOLOGIES N.V.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF PREFERRED STOCK AND SHAREHOLDERS (DEFICIT) EQUITY (CONTINUED)

(in thousands of U.S. dollars except for share data)

| | Ordinary Shares | | Treasury Shares | | Distributions in Excess of Capital | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (loss) | Retained Earnings | Total Shareholders (Deficit) Equity |
|--|-----------------|--------|-----------------|-------------|---|---|----------------------|--|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | | | | |
| Balances, December 31, 2012 | 54,385,951 | \$ 722 | (366,797) | \$ (3,826) | \$ (130,432) | \$ (4,090) | \$ 115,056 | \$ (22,570) |
| Net income | | | | | | | 63,714 | 63,714 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes of \$(308) | | | | | | (4,253) | | (4,253) |
| Repurchase of own shares | | | (2,103,214) | (43,411) | | | | (43,411) |
| Exercise of share options (including excess tax benefit of nil) | 377,200 | 5 | 857,490 | 14,058 | (5,169) | | | 8,894 |
| Share-based compensation, net of repurchases and liability awards | | | | | 6,792 | | | 6,792 |
| Balances, December 31, 2013 | 54,763,151 | \$ 727 | (1,612,521) | \$ (33,179) | \$ (128,809) | \$ (8,343) | \$ 178,770 | \$ 9,166 |

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**AVG TECHNOLOGIES N.V.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(in thousands of U.S. dollars)

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 100,432 | \$ 45,817 | \$ 63,714 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities | | | |
| Depreciation, amortization and impairment | 11,849 | 18,869 | 24,897 |
| Share-based compensation | 6,396 | 16,183 | 8,927 |
| Deferred income taxes | (56,217) | 2,166 | 18,108 |
| Change in the fair value of contingent consideration liabilities | 425 | (130) | 1,238 |
| Amortization of financing costs and loan discount | 2,108 | 5,928 | 4,127 |
| Loss from investment in equity affiliate | 242 | 511 | |
| Loss (gain) on sale of property and equipment | 289 | (41) | (114) |
| Net change in assets and liabilities, excluding effects of acquisitions: | | | |
| Trade accounts receivable, net | 1,219 | (6,178) | 7,250 |
| Inventories | (293) | 197 | (318) |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 3,445 | 9,426 | 10,305 |
| Accrued compensation and benefits | 2,677 | 1,712 | (3,314) |
| Increase in deferred revenue | 15,006 | 27,675 | 13,946 |
| Income taxes payable | 1,372 | (974) | 1,340 |
| Other assets | (4,470) | (951) | 750 |
| Other liabilities | (1,569) | (904) | (5,652) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 82,911 | 119,306 | 145,204 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Purchase of property and equipment and intangible assets | (11,373) | (17,914) | (16,726) |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment | 46 | 83 | 261 |
| Cash payments for acquisitions, net of cash acquired | (48,467) | (11,897) | (27,686) |
| Purchase of investments | (9,750) | | (160) |
| Proceeds from sale of investments | | | 9,750 |
| Decrease (increase) in restricted cash | | (514) | (5,194) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (69,544) | (30,242) | (39,755) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Payment of contingent consideration | (4,222) | (11,786) | (2,648) |
| Payment of deferred purchase consideration | | (3,500) | |
| Proceeds of credit agreement | 230,285 | | 75,000 |
| Debt issuance costs | (7,004) | | (1,203) |

Edgar Filing: AVG Technologies N.V. - Form 20-F

| | | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Repayments of principal on current credit agreement | | | (45,000) |
| Repayments of principal on former credit facility | (1,125) | (134,137) | (100,863) |
| Proceeds from issuance of ordinary shares | | 64,000 | |
| Share issuance costs | (4,703) | (8,302) | |
| Decrease (increase) in restricted cash | 1,333 | | |
| Dividends paid | (229,893) | (2,555) | |
| Excess tax benefit | | 480 | |
| Proceeds from exercise of share options | | 379 | 8,894 |
| Repurchases of share rights and options from employees | | (1,035) | (5,064) |
| Repurchase of own shares | | (3,869) | (43,411) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (15,329) | (100,325) | (114,295) |
| Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash and cash equivalents | (444) | 2,411 | (695) |
| Change in cash and cash equivalents | (2,406) | (8,850) | (9,541) |
| Beginning cash and cash equivalents | 63,146 | 60,740 | 51,890 |
| Ending cash and cash equivalents | \$ 60,740 | \$ 51,890 | \$ 42,349 |
| Supplemental cash flow disclosures: | | | |
| Income taxes paid | \$ (6,746) | \$ (8,755) | \$ (16,045) |
| Interest paid | \$ (13,479) | \$ (15,650) | \$ (3,753) |
| Supplemental non-cash disclosures: | | | |
| Issuance of ordinary shares on conversion of Class D preferred shares | \$ | \$ 191,954 | \$ |

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

AVG TECHNOLOGIES N.V.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars except for share data and per share data, unless otherwise stated)

Note 1. Organization and basis of presentation and business

Organization and basis of presentation

AVG Technologies N.V. is a limited liability company (*Naamloze Vennootschap*) incorporated under Dutch law by deed of incorporation dated March 3, 2011, under the name AVG Holding Coöperatief U.A. (AVG Coop). AVG Technologies N.V. began trading on February 2, 2012 on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol AVG .

On March 3, 2011, the shareholders of the predecessor AVG Technologies N.V. (predecessor AVG) transferred all of their shares in predecessor AVG to AVG Coop. As a result of this transaction, AVG Coop became the sole shareholder of the predecessor AVG. In exchange for the shares in the predecessor AVG transferred to AVG Coop, the members received an interest in AVG Coop equal to the capital contributed. On November 25, 2011, AVG Coop entered into a legal merger with predecessor AVG, predecessor AVG ceased to exist and AVG Coop was converted into a public company with limited liability and changed its name to AVG Technologies N.V. Upon this conversion, the membership rights held by the members of AVG Coop were converted into the same class and number of shares that were previously transferred by the shareholders of predecessor AVG to AVG Coop.

Predecessor AVG, the entity that ceased to exist, was a limited liability company incorporated in the Netherlands on August 16, 2005 under the name Grisoft International B.V.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of AVG Technologies N.V. and its wholly owned subsidiaries (collectively, the Company, or AVG).

Business

The Company is primarily engaged in the development and sale of online service solutions and Internet security software branded under the AVG name.

Note 2. Summary of significant accounting policies

Principles of consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of AVG Technologies N.V. and its subsidiaries are prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP). Subsidiaries are defined as being those companies over which AVG Technologies N.V. has control through a majority of voting rights to exercise control or to obtain the majority of the benefits and be exposed to majority of the risk. Subsidiaries are consolidated from the date on which control is obtained until the date that such control ceases. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Investment in equity affiliate

Investments in the common shares of companies, in which the Company believes it exercises significant influence over operating and financial policies, are accounted for using the equity method, reflecting its shares of gain and losses less dividend distribution and impairments.

Use of estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of

Table of Contents

contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates are based upon historical factors, current circumstances and the experience and judgment of management. Management evaluates its assumptions and estimates on an ongoing basis. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Concentrations of credit risk

A significant portion of the Company's revenue and net income is derived from international direct sales and sales to resellers and distributors. Fluctuations of the U.S. dollar against other currencies, changes in local regulatory or economic conditions, piracy, or non-performance by resellers or distributors could adversely affect operating results.

The majority of platform-derived revenue from the Company's dynamic secure search was generated through agreements with search engine companies, including Google and Yahoo!. The Company's revenue generated from Google accounted for 28% of the Company's total revenue in 2013 (44% in 2012 and 28% in 2011). The Company's revenue generated from Yahoo! accounted for 9% of the Company's total revenue in 2013 (none in 2012 and 6% in 2011). Changes in local regulatory or economic conditions, piracy, or non-performance of Google could adversely affect operating results.

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents and trade accounts receivable. The Company places its cash and cash equivalents, consisting mostly of deposits, with high credit qualified financial institutions. The Company performs periodic evaluations of the relative credit standing of those financial institutions. The credit risk in trade accounts receivable is substantially mitigated by the Company's credit evaluation process, reasonably short collection terms, and the geographical dispersion of sales transactions. The Company does not obtain rights to collateral to reduce its credit risk.

Foreign currency

The reporting currency of the Company is the U.S. dollar. The functional currency of AVG Technologies N.V. is the U.S. dollar as it is the currency of the primary economic environment in which its operations are conducted. The functional currency of the Company's subsidiaries is generally the local currency of such entity. Transactions in currencies other than the functional currency of the Company are recorded at the rates of exchange prevailing at the date of the transaction. Monetary assets and liabilities in currencies other than the operation's functional currency are remeasured at rates of exchange prevailing at the balance sheet date to the operation's functional currency. Foreign currency transaction gains and losses are included in other income (expense), net, in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

Upon consolidation, the results of operations of subsidiaries, whose functional currency is other than the reporting currency of the Company, the U.S. dollar, are translated at the average exchange rate for the period. Assets and liabilities, excluding equity account balances which are translated at historical rates, are translated at period end exchange rates.

The translation adjustments resulting from this process are included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). In the event of liquidation of a foreign subsidiary, the accumulated translation adjustment attributable to that foreign subsidiary is reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and included in other income (expense), net.

Revenue recognition

The Company's revenue, which is presented net of sales taxes and any other similar assessments, is derived from the following sources: (i) subscription revenue, which principally consists of revenue from term-based software license agreements, bundled with maintenance and support and (ii) platform-derived revenues.

Table of Contents*Subscription revenues*

The Company sells term-based software licenses through direct sales to customers and indirect sales with partners, distributors and resellers. The Company recognizes its software revenue when (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (ii) delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, (iii) fees are fixed or determinable and (iv) collectability is probable. If the Company determines that any one of the four criteria is not met, the Company defers recognition of revenue until all the criteria are met.

Persuasive evidence is a binding purchase order or license agreement. Delivery generally occurs upon delivery of a license key. If a significant portion of a fee is due after the Company's normal payment terms of typically up to 90 days, the Company recognizes revenue as the fees become due. If the Company determines that collection of a fee is not reasonably assured, the Company defers the fees and recognizes revenue upon cash receipt, provided all other revenue recognition criteria are met.

The term-based software license agreements include free maintenance and support services (including the right to receive unspecified upgrades/enhancements of the Company's products on a when-and-if-available basis), for which vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE) of the fair value of undelivered elements does not exist. These arrangements are offered to customers over a specified period of time, and the Company recognizes all revenue from the arrangement ratably over the license term, which coincides with the maintenance and support service period.

The Company also sells perpetual software licenses primarily through indirect sales with partners, distributors and resellers and, to a lesser extent, through direct sales to customers. The perpetual license agreements include free maintenance and support services (which include the right to bug fixes, but exclude the right to receive unspecified upgrades/enhancements of the Company's product on a when-and-if-available basis), for which VSOE of the fair value of undelivered elements does not exist. The Company recognizes all revenue from arrangements ratably over the expected term for providing maintenance and support services.

For licensing of the Company's software to partners, revenue is recognized when the partner reports the sale of the software products to an end-user, generally on a monthly basis.

Deferred revenue consists principally of the unamortized balance of arrangements which include term-based and perpetual software license agreements, bundled with maintenance and support.

The Company reduces revenue for estimates of sales incentives and sales returns. On occasion, the Company offers additional channel rebates and end-user rebates for specified products for a limited period. The Company estimates reserves for channel rebates based on distributors' and resellers' actual performance against the terms and conditions of the rebate programs. The reserves for end-user rebates are estimated based on the terms and conditions of the promotional program, actual sales during the promotion, the number of actual redemptions received, historical redemption trends by product and by type of promotional program, and the value of the rebate. Additionally, end users may return the Company's products, subject to varying limitations, through distributors and resellers or to the Company directly for a refund within a reasonably short period from the date of purchase. The Company estimates and records reserves for sales returns based on historical experience.

Platform-derived revenues

Platform-derived revenues are principally generated from search engines. The third parties are obligated to pay the Company a portion of the revenue they earn from search advertisements to end users on its Internet properties. Amounts earned from such third parties are reflected as revenue in the period in which such advertising services are

provided.

Other platform-derived revenues are comprised of advertising fees and product fees. Product fees earned through collaborative arrangements with third parties, whereby the Company incorporates content or functionality of the third party into the Company's product offerings. Fees earned in a period are generally based on the number of active clients with the installed third party content or functionality multiplied by the applicable client fee.

F-12

Table of Contents

Each contract is evaluated to determine whether the Company is the principal in the arrangement. When the Company concludes that it is the principal, revenues are recognized on a gross basis, otherwise revenues are recognized on a net basis.

Cost of revenue

The cost of revenue related to subscription revenues primarily consists of customer support, costs of electronic downloads, commissions to payment providers, amortization of purchased technology, costs of packaging, license fees for technologies implemented into the Company's products and costs associated with the Company's network operating center.

The cost of revenue related to platform-derived revenues primarily consists of fees paid to third parties that distribute the Company's search solutions and to traffic acquisition costs. Traffic acquisition costs are comprised of payments made to companies that direct consumer traffic to the Company, under agreements of varying duration. The agreements have fixed payments that are expensed ratably over the term the fixed payment covers.

Cash and cash equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents are stated at cost, which approximates fair value. Cash and cash equivalents exclude restricted cash.

Trade accounts receivable

Trade accounts receivable are amounts due from customers for products and licenses sold in the ordinary course of business. The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts to reserve for potentially uncollectible trade receivables. Additions to the allowance for doubtful accounts are recorded as general and administrative expenses. The Company reviews its trade receivables to identify specific customers with known disputes or collectability issues. In addition, the Company maintains an allowance for all other receivables not included in the specific reserve by applying specific rates of projected uncollectible receivables to the various aging categories. In determining these percentages, the Company analyzes its historical collection experience, customer credit-worthiness, current economic trends and changes in customer payment terms.

Derivative instruments and hedging activities

The Company periodically enters into foreign currency option contracts to reduce the risks associated with changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The Company recognizes all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. The foreign currency contracts do not meet the requirements for hedge accounting.

Inventories

Inventories primarily consist of finished goods and are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined using the first-in, first-out method. Adjustments to reduce the cost of inventory to its net realizable value are made, if required, for estimated excess, obsolescence or impaired balances.

Property and equipment

Property, equipment and leasehold improvements are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives to the residual value of the related assets, generally as follows:

computer equipment two to four years;

office furniture and equipment two to five years;

F-13

Table of Contents

vehicles four to five years; and

leasehold improvements the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the asset.

Repairs and maintenance expenditures, which are not considered improvements and do not extend the useful life of property and equipment, are expensed as incurred.

Property and equipment are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by comparing the carrying amount of the assets to the future undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the assets. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets.

Leased assets

The Company has various operating leases for its buildings and equipment. Leases that do not transfer substantially all of the benefits and risks of ownership to the lessee or meet any of the other criteria for capitalization are classified as operating leases. For these leases, lease payments are recognized as expense on a straight-line basis over the lease term. The Company does not have any leases classified as a capital lease.

Dividends

Dividends are declared in accordance with the relevant laws and regulations applicable to AVG Technologies N.V. and are recognized when they become legally payable.

Business combinations

The Company uses the acquisition method of accounting under the authoritative guidance on business combinations.

The acquired company's operating results are included in the Company's consolidated financial statements starting on the date of acquisition. The purchase price is equivalent to the fair value of the consideration transferred and liabilities incurred, including liabilities related to contingent consideration. Changes in fair value of contingent consideration subsequent to the acquisition date are recognized in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income in the period in which they occur.

The Company usually enters into agreements with the former owners of the acquiree to transfer additional payments or equity interests as part of the exchange for control of the acquiree if specified future events occur or conditions are met. For these arrangements for additional payments or equity interests to the former owners, the Company considers the indicators as described in the Accounting Standard Codification (ASC) 805 Business combinations to determine whether these payments are part of the purchase price or are future compensation costs.

Tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the date of acquisition are recorded at the acquisition date fair value. Goodwill is recognized for the excess of purchase price over the net fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. When the fair value of net assets acquired exceeds the fair value of consideration transferred plus any non-controlling interest in the acquiree, the excess is recognized as a gain.

Amounts allocated to assets and liabilities are based upon fair values. The determination of such fair values requires management to make significant estimates and assumptions, especially with respect to the identifiable intangible

assets. Those estimates are based upon assumptions believed to be reasonable and that of a market participant and are based on historical experience and information obtained from the management of the acquired companies.

Table of Contents

When pre-acquisition contingencies are identified, the Company estimates the fair value of such contingencies, which are included under the acquisition method as part of the assets acquired or liabilities assumed, as appropriate. Differences from these estimates are recorded in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income in the period in which they are identified.

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price in a business combination over the fair value of tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired less liabilities assumed. The Company performs an annual impairment test in the fourth quarter of each financial year or more frequently if impairment indicators are present. The Company operates in one operating segment and this segment comprises its only reporting unit.

In performing the goodwill impairment tests, the Company assessed relevant qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of its one reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. The qualitative factors AVG considered included, but were not limited to, general economic conditions, outlook for the software industry, the Company's recent and forecasted financial performance and the fair value of the Company's shares. After considering such factors, the Company concluded it is not more likely than not that the fair value of its reporting unit is less than its carrying amount and thus did not perform the two-step impairment test.

Intangible assets

In connection with its acquisitions, the Company generally recognizes assets for customer relationships and developed technology, which consists of acquired product rights, technologies and databases. Finite-lived intangible assets are carried at cost less accumulated amortization. Such amortization is provided on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets, generally between two and five years. Amortization for developed technology is recognized in cost of revenue. Amortization for customer relationships is recognized in sales and marketing.

The Company recognizes assets for software programs developed, which are to be used solely to meet the Company's internal needs. The recognized assets are comprised of the costs incurred during the application development stage for these software programs. Amortization is computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets, generally between three and five years.

The Company assesses the impairment of identifiable intangible assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that an asset's carrying amount may not be recoverable. Recoverability of certain finite-lived intangible assets is measured by the comparison of the carrying amount of the asset group to which the assets are assigned to the sum of the undiscounted estimated future cash flows the asset group is expected to generate. If the asset is considered to be impaired, such amount is measured as the difference between the carrying amount of the asset and its fair value. Recoverability of developed technology is measured by the comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to the sum of undiscounted estimated future product revenues offset by estimated future costs to dispose of the product. If the asset is considered to be impaired, such amount is measured as the difference between the carrying amount of the asset and its fair value.

Investments

Non-Marketable equity investments

Non-marketable equity investments are measured in accordance with the cost method when the equity method does not apply. The Company records the realized gains or losses on the sale of non-marketable cost method investments in

other income (expense), net. Non-marketable equity investments are subject to periodic impairment review and are considered to be impaired when the fair value is below the cost basis. The impairment review is based on the Company's assessment of the severity and duration of the impairment, and qualitative and quantitative analysis, i.e. the technological feasibility of the investee's products and technologies.

Table of Contents*Debt securities*

Debt securities are classified as held-to-maturity and carried at amortized cost as management has the positive intent and ability to hold them until maturity. Dividend and interest income from these securities is included in earnings. Management evaluates debt securities for other-than-temporary impairment in each reporting period and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. For securities in an unrealized loss position, management considers the extent and duration of the unrealized loss and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer. Management also assesses whether it intends to sell, or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell, a security in an unrealized loss position before recovery of its amortized cost basis. If the criteria regarding either intent or requirement to sell is met, the entire difference between amortized cost and fair value is recognized as impairment through earnings. For debt securities that do not meet the aforementioned criteria, the amount of impairment is split into two components as follows: (i) other-than-temporary impairment related to credit loss, which is recognized in earnings and (ii) other-than-temporary impairment related to other factors, which is recognized in other comprehensive income (loss).

Income taxes

The income tax expense for the period comprises current and deferred tax. Income tax is recognized in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income, except to the extent it relates to items recognized in other comprehensive income or directly in equity. The provision for income taxes is computed using the asset and liability method, under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and for operating loss and tax credit carry forwards in each jurisdiction in which the Company operates. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the currently enacted tax rates that apply to taxable income in effect for the years in which those tax assets are expected to be realized or settled. Unless otherwise disclosed, goodwill is not deductible for tax purposes. The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount that is believed more likely than not to be realized.

Tax returns are subject to examination by various taxing authorities. Although the Company believes that adequate accruals have been made in each period for unsettled issues, additional benefits or expenses could occur in future years from resolution of outstanding matters. The Company records additional expenses each period relating to the expected interest and penalties it would be required to pay a tax authority if the Company does not prevail. Management continues to assess the Company's potential tax liability and revises its estimates. The Company applies the authoritative guidance on income taxes that prescribes a minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements.

Share-based compensation

Compensation costs related to employee share option grants are based on the fair value of the options on the date of grant, net of estimated forfeitures. Compensation costs on share based awards with graded vesting are recognized on an accelerated basis as though each separately vesting portion of the award was, in substance, a separate award.

Cash-settled, share-based compensation awards are recognized as a liability and re-measured at each balance sheet date through the consolidated statement of comprehensive income.

Compensation costs for restricted share units are measured based on the closing fair market value of the Company's ordinary shares on the date of grant, net of estimated forfeitures.

Advertising costs

Advertising costs are charged to operations as incurred, when service is received or goods are delivered, and include electronic and print advertising, trade shows, collateral production and all forms of direct marketing.

F-16

Table of Contents***Research and development***

Research and development costs include salaries and benefits of researchers and engineers, supplies and other expenses incurred in research and development efforts. Costs incurred in the research phase of new software products are expensed as incurred. The Company expenses software development costs, including costs to develop software products to be marketed to external users, before technological feasibility of such products is reached. The Company has determined that technological feasibility was reached shortly before the release of those products and as a result, the development costs incurred after the establishment of technological feasibility and before the release of those products were not material, and accordingly, were expensed as incurred.

Employee benefit plan

The Company maintains a defined contribution 401(k) retirement savings plan for its U.S. employees. Each participant in the 401(k) retirement savings plan may elect to contribute a percentage of his or her annual compensation up to a specified maximum amount allowed under U.S. Internal Revenue Service regulations. The Company matches employee contributions to a maximum of 4% of the participant annual compensation.

Termination benefits and other termination costs

Contractual termination benefits are payable when employment is terminated due to an event specified in the provisions of a social/labor plan or statutory law. A liability is recognized when it is probable that employees will be entitled to the benefits and the amount can be estimated. One-time termination benefits are payable when the Company offers, for a short period of time, additional benefits to employees electing voluntary termination, including early retirement. A liability is recognized when the Company is committed to make payments and the number of affected employees and the benefits received are known to both parties. Other involuntary termination benefits are payable when employment is terminated due to an event not specified in the provisions of a social/labor plan or statutory law. A liability is recognized when the Company is demonstrably committed to terminating the employment of current employees according to a detailed formal plan without possibility of withdrawal and can reasonably estimate such amount. Benefits falling due more than 12 months after the balance sheet date are discounted to present value.

Contract termination costs for a contract terminated before the end of its term are recognized when the Company terminates the contract in accordance with the contract terms. A liability for costs that will continue to be incurred under a contract for its remaining term without economic benefit to the entity is recognized at the cease-use date. Other costs associated with an exit or disposal activity are recognized when incurred, even if the costs are incremental to other operating costs.

Loss contingencies

The Company provides for contingent liabilities when (i) it is probable that an asset has been impaired or a liability has been incurred at the date of the financial statements and (ii) the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Disclosure in the notes to the financial statements is provided for loss contingencies that do not meet both these conditions if there is a reasonable possibility that a loss may have been incurred.

Debt issuance costs and debt discounts (or premiums)

Debt issuance costs include third party financing arrangement fees and legal fees associated with the Company's long-term debt. These costs are reported in the balance sheet as deferred charges and are amortized over the life of the

related debt using the effective interest method and are included in interest and finance costs.

Debt discounts (or premium) are reported as a direct reduction of (or addition to) the face amount of the debt and are amortized over the life of the related debt using the effective interest method and are included in interest and finance costs.

Table of Contents***Segment reporting***

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise for which separate financial information is available and is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Company's chief operating decision maker is the Management Board. The Management Board reviews financial information presented on a consolidated basis for evaluating financial performance and allocating resources. There are no segment managers who are held accountable for operations below the consolidated financial statement level. Accordingly, the Company reports as a single reporting segment.

Earnings per share

In accordance with ASC 260 *Earnings Per Share*, basic earnings per ordinary share is computed based on the weighted-average number of ordinary shares outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings per ordinary share is computed based on the weighted-average number of ordinary shares outstanding during each period, plus potential ordinary shares considered outstanding during the period, as long as the inclusion of such shares is not anti-dilutive.

The Company applied the two-class method when computing its earnings per share, which required that net income per share for each class of share (ordinary shares and preferred shares) be calculated assuming 100% of the Company's net income is distributed as dividends to each class of share based on their contractual rights. Since the conversion of Class D preferred shares to ordinary shares on February 7, 2012, the Company has only one class of securities that participate in dividends. Therefore, the two-class method has not been applicable for computing the earnings per share from that date forward.

Share issuance costs

Direct costs incurred in obtaining capital by issuing shares, as well as costs incurred through contractual obligations for other offerings are deducted from the related proceeds and the net amount recorded as additional paid-in capital in the period when such shares are issued.

Treasury shares

Treasury shares refer to the Company's own outstanding shares that were reacquired by the Company. Treasury shares that were repurchased for purposes other than retirement, or whose ultimate disposition has not yet been decided, are recognized at cost and deducted from shareholders' deficit. When the treasury shares are reissued, proceeds in excess of cost are credited to Additional paid-in capital. Any deficiency is charged to Retained earnings. Any ordinary shares that the Company holds in its own capital may not be voted and no dividends are allocated to the treasury shares.

Adopted accounting pronouncements***Disclosures about offsetting assets and liabilities***

In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued new guidance related to ASC Topic 210, Balance Sheet: Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. This Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2011-11 requires new disclosures that enable users of financial statements to understand significant quantitative differences in balance sheets prepared under U.S. GAAP related to the offsetting of financial instruments. In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-01 related to ASC Topic 210, Balance Sheet: Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. The amendments limit the scope of ASU 2011-11 to certain derivative

instruments, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and lending arrangements that are either offset on the balance sheet or subject to an enforceable master netting agreement or similar agreement. Both ASU 2011-11 and ASU 2013-01 are effective for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those years. The adoption of these amendments did not have a material impact on the presentation of the Company's consolidated financial statements and as such no disclosures are required.

Table of Contents*Reporting of amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income*

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02 related to ASC Topic 220, Comprehensive Income: Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. This guidance sets the presentation requirements related to the reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The ASU is effective in fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of this amendment did not have a material impact on the presentation of the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Technical corrections and improvements

In October 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-04, Technical Corrections and Improvements. This ASU makes certain technical corrections (i.e. relatively minor corrections and clarifications) and conforming fair value amendments to the FASB Accounting Standards Codification. AVG adopted this ASU and did not identify a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Note 3. Acquisitions**2013 Acquisitions*****Purchase of the business of Angle Labs***

On January 28, 2013, AVG Netherlands B.V. and OpenInstall, Inc. acquired the assets and liabilities of Angle Labs, a mobile application developer based in the United States. The results of operations from the acquired Angle Labs business were included in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income from the date of acquisition. Supplemental pro forma information for Angle Labs is not material to the Company's financial results and therefore is not included. AVG incurred acquisition-related transaction costs of \$55 which were recorded in general and administrative expenses.

The net assets acquired in the transaction were determined as follows:

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Net assets, excluding intangible assets | \$ 50 |
| Intangible assets ⁽¹⁾ | 3,170 |
| Goodwill | |
| Total purchase consideration | \$ 3,220 |

- (1) Intangible assets included developed technology of \$3,170, which is amortized over its estimated useful life of three years.

| | |
|--|----------|
| Components of consideration: | |
| Cash consideration paid | \$ 2,865 |
| Deferred purchase consideration ⁽²⁾ | 355 |

\$ 3,220

(2) The purchase consideration was deferred for a period of 24 months after the acquisition date and serves as a security for the indemnification obligations of the selling shareholders.

At the time of acquisition AVG also entered into employment agreement with an employee of Angle Labs. The employment agreement included an incentive compensation arrangement for this employee for up to a maximum of \$350 of payments contingent upon this employee providing continued service to AVG for twelve months after the acquisition date. Such payments are accounted for as compensation expense in the periods earned. During the year ended December 31, 2013, AVG recorded a compensation expense of \$350, which was included in research and development expenses.

F-19

Table of Contents***Purchase of the business of PrivacyChoice LLC***

On May 14, 2013, AVG Netherlands B.V. acquired certain assets and liabilities from PrivacyChoice LLC (PrivacyChoice), a technology company based in the United States that has developed and provides privacy-related online services used by consumers and businesses. The results of operations from the acquired business were included in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income from the date of acquisition. Supplemental pro forma information for PrivacyChoice was not material to AVG's financial results and was therefore not included. AVG incurred acquisition-related transaction costs of \$66 which were recorded in general and administrative expenses.

The net assets acquired in the transaction were determined as follows:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Intangible assets ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 3,480 |
| Goodwill ⁽²⁾ | 360 |
| Total purchase consideration | \$ 3,840 |

- (1) Intangible assets included developed technology of \$1,380 and a non-compete agreement of \$2,100, which are amortized over their estimated useful lives of five and three years respectively.
- (2) The goodwill resulted primarily from the Company's expectation of synergies from the integration of PrivacyChoice technology with the Company's existing solutions.

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Components of consideration: | |
| Cash consideration paid | \$ 3,200 |
| Deferred purchase consideration ⁽³⁾ | 640 |
| | \$ 3,840 |

- (3) The purchase consideration was deferred for the period of 18 months after the acquisition date and serves as a security for the indemnification obligations of the selling shareholders.

At the time of acquisition AVG also entered into employment agreements with certain employees of PrivacyChoice. The employee agreements included an incentive compensation arrangement for these employees for up to a maximum of \$2,560 of payments contingent upon these employees providing continued service to AVG and achieving certain technical milestones within twelve months after the acquisition date. Such payments are accounted for as compensation expense in the periods earned. During the year ended December 31, 2013, AVG recorded a compensation expense of \$1,600, which was included in research and development expenses.

Purchase of the business of LPI Level Platforms Inc.

On June 28, 2013, AVG Netherlands B.V. and AVG Technologies Canada Inc. acquired certain assets and liabilities from LPI Level Platforms Inc. (LPI), a remote monitoring and management software company based in Canada. The results of operations of the acquired LPI business were included in the consolidated statements of comprehensive

income from the date of acquisition. Supplemental pro forma information for LPI is not material to AVG's financial results and therefore is not included. AVG incurred acquisition-related transaction costs of \$302 which were recorded in general and administrative expenses.

The net assets acquired in the transaction were determined as follows:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Net assets, excluding intangible assets | \$ 1,001 |
| Intangible assets ⁽¹⁾ | 19,310 |
| Goodwill ⁽²⁾ | 3,513 |
| Deferred tax liability | (406) |
| Total purchase consideration | \$ 23,418 |

F-20

Table of Contents

- (1) Intangible assets included developed technology of \$8,560 and customer relationships of \$10,750, which are amortized over their estimated useful lives of five years.
- (2) The goodwill resulted primarily from the Company's expectation of synergies from the integration of LPI's technology with the Company's existing solutions and LPI's workforce.

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Components of consideration: | |
| Cash consideration paid | \$ 20,130 |
| Deferred purchase consideration ⁽³⁾ | 3,288 |
| | \$ 23,418 |

- (3) The purchase consideration was deferred for the period of 18 months after the acquisition date and serves as a security for the indemnification obligations of the selling shareholders.

Purchase of the business of ASR Technologies AB

On September 2, 2013, AVG Netherlands B.V. acquired certain assets from Swedish company ASR Technologies AB, Alma Orucevic-Alagic and Amir Alagic (collectively ASR). The results of operations of the acquired ASR business were included in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income from the date of acquisition. Supplemental pro forma information for ASR is not material to AVG's financial results and therefore is not included. AVG incurred acquisition-related transaction costs of \$45 which were recorded in general and administrative expenses.

The net assets acquired in the transaction were provisionally determined as follows:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------|
| Intangible assets ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 2,341 |
| Goodwill | |
| Total purchase consideration | \$ 2,341 |

- (1) Intangible assets included developed technology of \$2,341 amortized over its estimated useful life of three years.

| | |
|--|----------|
| Components of consideration: | |
| Cash consideration paid | \$ 1,491 |
| Deferred purchase consideration ⁽²⁾ | 850 |
| | \$ 2,341 |

(2) The purchase consideration was deferred for the period of 24 months after the acquisition date and serves as a partial remedy for the indemnification obligations.

At the time of acquisition AVG also engaged ASR to complete certain technical milestones. The acquisition agreement included an incentive compensation arrangement for ASR for up to a maximum of \$459 of payments contingent upon achieving these technical milestones within nine months after the acquisition date. Such payments are accounted for as compensation expense in the periods earned. During the year ended December 31, 2013, AVG recorded an incentive compensation expense of \$204, which was included in research and development expenses.

2012 Acquisitions

Purchase of OpenInstall, Inc.

On January 13, 2012, AVG Technologies USA, Inc. acquired 100% of the outstanding shares of OpenInstall, Inc. (OpenInstall), a technology company based in the United States that provides a cloud-based software installation platform that allows for more efficient distribution of software products, provides related analytics and is complementary to AVG s secure search, performance optimization and other software offerings.

Table of Contents

The results of operations from the acquired business were included in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income from the date of acquisition. Supplemental pro forma information for OpenInstall was not material to the Company's financial results and was therefore not included. The Company recorded acquisition-related transaction costs of \$468, which were included in general and administrative expenses.

The net assets acquired in the transaction, and the goodwill arising from it, were determined as follows:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Net assets ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 41 |
| Intangible assets ⁽²⁾ | 3,265 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | (1,216) |
| Goodwill ⁽³⁾ | 3,559 |
| Total purchase consideration | \$ 5,649 |

- (1) Net assets included property and equipment of \$19, and net working capital of \$22. The cash acquired in the transaction totaled \$102.
- (2) Intangible assets included developed technology of \$3,200 and domain names of \$65, which are amortized over their estimated useful lives of 5 and 8 years respectively.
- (3) The goodwill resulted primarily from the Company's expectation of synergies from the integration of OpenInstall technology with the Company's existing solutions.

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Components of consideration: | |
| Cash consideration paid | \$ 4,049 |
| Deferred purchase consideration ⁽⁴⁾ | 1,600 |
| | \$ 5,649 |

- (4) The purchase consideration was deferred for a period up to 12 months after the acquisition date and was paid during the financial year 2012.

At the time of acquisition the Company also entered into employment agreements with certain employee shareholders of OpenInstall, which include an incentive compensation arrangement for up to a maximum of \$22.5 million of payments contingent upon achieving certain profit targets over three years and a retention compensation of \$2.5 million in cash over two years. Such payments are accounted for as compensation expense in the periods earned. During the financial year 2013, the Company recorded a total compensation expense of \$685, as compared with \$2,870 in 2012, which was included in research and development expenses.

Purchase of Crossloop, Inc.

On July 6, 2012, the Company acquired the assets of Crossloop, Inc. (Crossloop), a Delaware corporation engaged in the business of offering software applications for desktop sharing and connecting computer users with service providers. The results of operations from the acquired Crossloop business were included in the consolidated

statements of comprehensive income from the date of acquisition. Supplemental pro forma information for Crossloop was not material to the Company's financial results and was therefore not included. The Company recorded acquisition-related transaction costs of \$147, which were included in general and administrative expenses.

The net assets acquired in the transaction were determined as follows:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------|
| Intangible assets ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 600 |
| Goodwill | |
| Total purchase consideration | \$ 600 |

- (1) Intangible assets included developed technology of \$600, which is amortized over its estimated useful life of 5 years.

Table of Contents

| Components of consideration: | |
|---|--------|
| Cash consideration paid | \$ 500 |
| Cash consideration expected to be paid in earn-out payment ⁽²⁾ | 100 |
| | \$ 600 |

- (2) The earn-out payment was contingent upon achievement of certain milestones on or before October 1, 2012 and the fulfillment of some contractual conditions. During financial year 2013, the Company paid earn out payments classified as contingent consideration of \$50. In addition, the Company revised its estimate regarding the expected earn out payment, which resulted in a release of \$50 to general and administrative expenses.

Purchase of Avalanche

On October 1, 2012, AVG Technologies AU Pty Ltd and AVG Ecommerce CY Ltd entered into an asset purchase agreement to acquire certain assets and liabilities and the on-going distribution activities of AVG products in the Australian and Pacific region from AVG (AU/NZ) PTY LTD, Avalanche Technology Group Pty Ltd and Coreen Investments Pty Ltd (collectively *Avalanche*). The results of operations from the acquired *Avalanche* business were included in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income from the date of acquisition. Supplemental pro forma information for *Avalanche* was not material to the Company's financial results and was therefore not included. The Company incurred acquisition-related transaction costs of \$313, which were recorded in general and administrative expenses.

The net assets acquired in the transaction, and the goodwill arising from it, were determined as follows:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Net assets ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 672 |
| Intangible assets ⁽²⁾ | 3,974 |
| Goodwill ⁽³⁾ | 5,647 |
| Total purchase consideration | \$ 10,293 |

- (1) Net assets included property and equipment of \$162, deferred tax assets of \$644 and net working capital and other liabilities of \$(134).
- (2) Intangible assets included customer lists of \$3,797, software of \$21 and other intangible assets of \$156, which are amortized over their estimated useful lives of 5, 3 and 2 years respectively.
- (3) The goodwill resulted primarily from the Company's expectation of synergies from the integration of *Avalanche* distribution network.

| Components of consideration: | |
|---|----------|
| Cash consideration paid | \$ 7,450 |
| Cash consideration expected to be paid in earn-out payment ⁽⁴⁾ | 2,843 |

\$ 10,293

- (4) If certain performance milestones have been met the Company is required to pay a performance earn-out with respect to the years ended on June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2014. The earn-out payments are capped at \$2,250 a year. The estimated fair value at acquisition date of the performance earn-out was \$2,843. During financial year 2013, the Company paid earn-out payments classified as contingent consideration of \$2,250. In addition, the Company revised its estimate regarding the expected earn-out payment 2013 to the capped amount. This resulted in an additional charge of \$532 in the year ended December 31, 2013.

F-23

Table of Contents**2011 Acquisitions*****Purchase of DroidSecurity Ltd.***

On January 4, 2011, the Company acquired 100% of the outstanding shares of DroidSecurity Ltd. (Droid), an Israeli producer of anti-virus software for Android devices. The results of operations of Droid were included in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income since the date of acquisition. Supplemental pro forma information for Droid was not material to the Company's financial results and was therefore not included. For financial year 2011, the Company recorded acquisition-related transaction costs of \$202, which were included in general and administrative expenses.

The net assets acquired in the transaction, and the goodwill, were determined as follows:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Net liabilities ⁽¹⁾ | \$ (297) |
| Intangible assets ⁽²⁾ | 1,104 |
| Goodwill ⁽³⁾ | 4,782 |
| Total purchase consideration | \$ 5,589 |

- (1) Net liabilities included deferred tax liability of \$276 and net working capital and other liabilities of \$21. The cash acquired in the transaction totaled \$525.
- (2) Intangible assets included developed technology of \$1,104, which is amortized over its estimated useful life of 4 years.
- (3) The goodwill resulted primarily from the Company's expectation of synergies from the integration of Droid software with the Company's existing solutions.

| | |
|--|----------|
| Components of consideration: | |
| Cash consideration paid | \$ 4,400 |
| Cash consideration expected to be paid in earn out payments ⁽⁴⁾ | 1,189 |
| | \$ 5,589 |

- (4) Earn out payments are capped at \$5,300 and are primarily based on the number of users of Droid software in the period from acquisition to December 31, 2013. A portion of earn out payments with a fair value of \$1,189 was classified as contingent consideration, with the remaining portion classified as compensation expense. During financial years 2011, 2012 and 2013, the Company paid in earn out payments classified as contingent consideration \$738, \$546 and \$348, respectively. In addition the Company recorded compensation expense of \$1,142, \$2,461 and \$2,050, respectively. The increase in 2012 compared to 2011 was a consequence of reassessed expected number of users, which resulted in the recognition of an additional compensation expense of \$2,080 in 2012.

Purchase of iMedix Web Technologies Ltd.

On May 18, 2011, the Company acquired 100% of the outstanding shares of iMedix Web Technologies Ltd. (iMedix), a software developer based in Israel. The results of operations of iMedix were included in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income since the date of acquisition. Supplemental pro forma information for iMedix was not material to the Company's financial results and was therefore not included. For financial year 2011, the Company recorded acquisition-related transaction costs of \$309, which were included in general and administrative expenses.

Table of Contents

The net assets acquired in the transaction, and the goodwill, were determined as follows:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Net liabilities ⁽¹⁾ | \$ (1,262) |
| Intangible assets ⁽²⁾ | 3,634 |
| Goodwill ⁽³⁾ | 3,300 |
| Total purchase consideration | \$ 5,672 |

- (1) Net liabilities included a deferred tax liability of \$808 and net working capital and other liabilities of \$454. The cash acquired in the transaction amounted to \$600.
- (2) Intangible assets included developed technology of \$2,824, customer relationships of \$539 and trade-names, which were classified as indefinite-lived intangibles, of \$271. Developed technology and customer relationships are amortized over their estimated useful lives of 5 years and 3 years, respectively.
- (3) The goodwill resulted primarily from the Company's expectation of synergies from the integration of iMedix software with the Company's existing solutions.

| | |
|--|----------|
| Components of consideration: | |
| Cash consideration paid | \$ 3,761 |
| Deferred purchase consideration ⁽⁴⁾ | 1,911 |
| | \$ 5,672 |

- (4) The purchase consideration was deferred for the period of 12 months after the acquisition date and served as a security for the indemnification obligations of the selling shareholders. During financial year 2012, the Company paid \$1,900 of deferred purchase consideration.

At the time of acquisition the Company also entered into employment agreements with certain employees of iMedix, which included an incentive and retention compensation arrangement. These employees are entitled to a special bonus based on certain percentage of revenues achieved over three years. Such payments are accounted for as compensation expense in the periods earned. During the financial years 2011, 2012 and 2013, the Company recorded compensation expenses of \$1,697, \$2,697 and \$1,822, respectively, which were included in research and development expenses.

Purchase of TuneUp Software GmbH

On August 19, 2011, AVG Technologies GER GmbH acquired 100% of the voting interest in TuneUp Software GmbH (TuneUp), a Germany-based provider of intelligent software tools that enable users to optimize use of their operating systems and programs. The results of operations of TuneUp were included in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income since the date of acquisition. For financial year 2011, the Company incurred acquisition-related transaction costs of \$1,817, which were recorded in general and administrative expenses.

Table of Contents

The net assets acquired in the transaction, and the goodwill arising at acquisition, were determined as follows:

| | Carrying value | Fair value adjustments | Fair value |
|--|----------------|------------------------|---------------|
| Assets acquired: | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 2,567 | \$ | \$ 2,567 |
| Trade accounts receivable, net | 829 | | 829 |
| Inventories | 74 | | 74 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 826 | 644 | 1,470 |
| Property and equipment, net | 815 | | 815 |
| Intangible assets ⁽²⁾ | 28 | 17,062 | 17,090 |
| Goodwill ⁽³⁾ | | 29,195 | 29,195 |
| Total assets acquired | 5,139 | 46,901 | 52,040 |
| Liabilities assumed: | | | |
| Accounts payable | 658 | | 658 |
| Accrued and other liabilities | 1,854 | | 1,854 |
| Deferred revenues | 14,941 | (13,784) | 1,157 |
| Deferred taxes | | 3,351 | 3,351 |
| Total liabilities assumed | 17,453 | (10,433) | 7,020 |
| Net assets acquired | \$ (12,314) | \$ 57,334 | \$ 45,020 |
| Components of consideration: | | | |
| Cash consideration paid ⁽⁴⁾ | | | \$ 34,430 |
| Cash consideration expected to be paid in earn out payments ⁽⁴⁾ | | | 10,590 |
| | | | \$ 45,020 |

- (1) Included in cash consideration paid was 5 million (\$7.1 million) paid by AVG Technologies CY (a wholly owned subsidiary of AVG Technologies N.V.) in exchange for the internally developed intellectual property of TuneUp, which was received by TuneUp on August 17, 2011, prior to the closing of the acquisition. This has been adjusted from the cash and cash equivalents at acquisition of TuneUp along with a dividend payment of 3.5 million (\$5.0 million) paid to the founders of TuneUp which was paid using the funds received from AVG Technologies CY immediately prior to acquisition.
- (2) Intangible assets included developed technology of \$6,252, customer relationships of \$1,378, brand and domain names of \$9,432 and other intangibles of \$28. Developed technology, customer relationships, brand and domain names and other intangibles are amortized over their estimated useful lives of 4 years, 5 years, 8 years and 4 years, respectively.
- (3) The goodwill resulted primarily from the Company's expectation of synergies from the integration of TuneUp software with the Company's existing solutions.
- (4)

Contingent cash consideration up to 10.0 million (\$14.4 million), which, on the date of acquisition, was estimated to have a fair value by the Company at 7.4 million (\$10.6 million), was contingent based on the EBITDA value achieved and revenues generated from sales of TuneUp during financial year 2011. A payment of 7.5 million (\$9.2 million) was made during the financial year 2012 and a payment of 1.1 million (\$1.4 million) in the financial year 2013.

As part of the acquisition and apart from the contingent cash consideration above, the former owners of TuneUp were due to receive shares of AVG with a total value of 11.5 million or \$16.5 million subject to their continued employment with the Company and other vesting conditions. The Company has been recognizing these share-based compensation expenses over a four-year vesting period. On December 20, 2012, the Company entered into a modification of this agreement as further described in Note 22.

Table of Contents**Pro forma effect of TuneUp acquisition**

The following unaudited pro forma financial information presents the Company's combined results with TuneUp as if the acquisition had occurred at the beginning of 2011.

| | Year Ended December 31, 2011 |
|-----------------------|---|
| Pro forma net revenue | \$ 281,506 |
| Pro forma net income | \$ 98,277 |

The amounts of net revenue and net loss of TuneUp included in the Company's consolidated statement of comprehensive income from the acquisition date to December 31, 2011 were \$1,234 and \$5,027, respectively.

The above unaudited pro forma financial information includes an adjustment for amortization of identifiable intangible assets that were acquired of \$1.8 million, an adjustment for incremental share-based compensation expense resulting from issuance of AVG shares to the sellers of TuneUp of \$1.2 million, and an adjustment to eliminate the acquisition related costs incurred of \$2.7 million in the historic statements of comprehensive income, in each case for financial year 2011. The related tax effect of the adjustments was included in the preparation of the above unaudited pro forma financial information. No effect has been given to cost reductions or synergies in this presentation. In management's opinion, the unaudited pro forma combined net revenue and net income are not indicative of the actual results that would have occurred had the acquisition been consummated at the beginning of 2011, nor are they indicative of future results of the combined companies.

Purchase of AVG Distribution Switzerland AG

On October 31, 2011, AVG Technologies Holdings B.V. purchased 100% of the shares of AVG Distribution Switzerland AG (AVG DACH), a Switzerland-based distributor of AVG products in Germany, Austria and Switzerland for 3.9 million (\$5.4 million) and settled its pre-existing agency and distribution agreements with AVG DACH for 2.6 million (\$3.7 million), in cash. The results of operations of AVG DACH were included in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income from the date of acquisition. Supplemental pro forma information for AVG DACH was not material to the Company's financial results and was therefore not included. For financial year 2011, the Company incurred acquisition-related transaction costs of \$70, which were recorded in general and administrative expenses.

The net assets acquired in the transaction, and the goodwill arising from it, were determined as follows:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------|
| Net liabilities ⁽¹⁾ | \$ (598) |
| Intangible assets ⁽²⁾ | 4,741 |
| Goodwill ⁽³⁾ | 1,295 |
| Total purchase consideration | \$ 5,438 |

Edgar Filing: AVG Technologies N.V. - Form 20-F

- (1) Net liabilities included deferred tax liability of \$474 and net working capital and other liabilities of \$124. The cash acquired in the transaction totaled \$669.
- (2) Intangible assets included developed technology of \$625, customer relationships of \$4,099 and brand and domain names of \$17, which are amortized over their estimated useful lives of 5 years, 5 years and 8 years, respectively.
- (3) The goodwill resulted primarily from the Company's expectation of synergies from the integration of AVG DACH distribution network.

Components of consideration:

| | |
|-------------------------|----------|
| Cash consideration paid | \$ 5,438 |
|-------------------------|----------|

| | |
|--|----------|
| | \$ 5,438 |
|--|----------|

F-27

Table of Contents**Purchase of Bsecure**

On October 26, 2011, AVG Technologies CY Limited and AVG Exploit Prevention Labs, Inc. entered jointly into an asset purchase agreement to acquire certain assets and liabilities from Bsecure Solutions, Inc., Bsecure Technologies, Inc., Bsecure Online, Inc., Bsecure Development, Inc. and Joseph Gregory (Bsecure), a U.S.-based provider of application service offerings featuring Internet filtering and/or cloud-based management of information technology solutions. The results of operations from the acquired Bsecure business were included in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income from the date of acquisition, November 23, 2011. Supplemental pro forma information for Bsecure was not material to the Company's financial results and was therefore not included. For financial year 2011, the Company incurred acquisition-related transaction costs of \$355, which were recorded in general and administrative expenses.

The net assets acquired in the transaction, and the goodwill arising from it, were determined as follows:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Net liabilities ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 584 |
| Intangible assets ⁽²⁾ | 4,510 |
| Goodwill ⁽³⁾ | 1,445 |
| Total purchase consideration | \$ 6,539 |

- (1) Net assets included deferred revenue liability of \$832, deferred tax assets of \$1,166 and net working capital and other assets of \$250.
- (2) Intangible assets included developed technology of \$4,000, customer relationships of \$490 and brand and domain names of \$20, which are amortized over their estimated useful lives of 5 years, 5 years and 8 years, respectively.
- (3) The goodwill resulted primarily from the Company's expectation of synergies from the integration of Bsecure technology with the Company's existing solutions.

| | |
|--|----------|
| Components of consideration: | |
| Cash consideration paid | \$ 4,799 |
| Cash consideration expected to be paid in earn out payments ⁽⁴⁾ | \$ 1,740 |
| | \$ 6,539 |

- (4) The earn out payments with a fair value of \$1,740 are dependent upon achievement of certain milestones and were classified as contingent consideration. A payment of \$2,000 was made during the financial year 2012.

Note 4. Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash

As of December 31, 2012 and 2013, cash amounting to \$46,088 and \$30,482, respectively has been pledged as collateral to secure the long term debt (Note 12).

Cash restrictions as to withdrawal or usage related to the restricted cash as of December 31, 2013 were due to office lease agreements and credit cards, as well as amounts placed in escrow in compliance with certain asset purchase agreements.

Note 5. Trade accounts receivable

The Company sells software and licenses through direct sales to customers and indirect sales with partners, distributors and resellers. In addition, the Company generates platform-derived revenues from third party Internet search engines. The trade accounts receivable primarily include receivables from the resellers and payment gateway providers as well as the receivables from the Internet search engines.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2013, the accounts receivable pledged as collateral to the long term debt totaled \$30,633 and nil, respectively (Note 12).

Table of Contents

The allowance for doubtful accounts was comprised of the following activity:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 976 | \$ 1,734 | \$ 1,812 |
| Charged to operating expenses | 884 | 216 | 356 |
| Amount written-off or used | (126) | (138) | (476) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 1,734 | \$ 1,812 | \$ 1,692 |

The reserve for software returns was comprised of the following activity:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 715 | \$ 1,228 | \$ 2,067 |
| Charged against revenue | 2,107 | 2,990 | 1,313 |
| Amount written-off or used | (1,594) | (2,151) | (2,198) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 1,228 | \$ 2,067 | \$ 1,182 |

Note 6. Property and equipment

The following table summarizes property and equipment by categories for the periods presented:

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| Computer equipment | \$ 23,015 | \$ 28,646 |
| Office furniture and equipment | 4,261 | 4,458 |
| Vehicles | 3,428 | 2,631 |
| Leasehold improvements | 3,344 | 4,994 |
| Total property and equipment | 34,048 | 40,729 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | (19,454) | (25,435) |
| Total property and equipment, net | \$ 14,594 | \$ 15,294 |

Depreciation expense, including impairments, was \$5,348, \$7,619 and \$8,083 in financial years 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively.

During the financial year 2012, the Company recorded an impairment loss on furniture and equipment, leasehold improvements and computer equipment, for a total amount of \$1,285 as a result of the Company's intention to wind

down its subsidiaries in Germany, China and Hong Kong as a consequence of a restructuring (Note 18).

During the financial year ended December 31, 2013, the Company received a government grant, related to certain capital expenditures. The grant is subject to specific conditions, which the Company believes it will fulfill and as such the grant, equivalent to \$1,578, is recorded as a deduction on property and equipment.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2013, property and equipment with a carrying value of \$1,777 and \$7,828 respectively, have been pledged as collateral to secure the long term debt (Note 12).

Table of Contents**Note 7. Intangible assets**

The following tables summarize intangible assets by categories for the periods presented:

| | December 31, 2012 | | | Weighted-Average Remaining Useful Life |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Amount | |
| Customer relationships | \$ 15,600 | \$ (6,304) | 9,296 | 4.0 years |
| Developed technology | 25,821 | (12,133) | 13,688 | 4.0 years |
| Software | 18,208 | (7,737) | 10,471 | 5.0 years |
| Brand and domain names and other intangibles | 9,867 | (2,418) | 7,449 | 6.5 years |
| Indefinite-lived trade names and other intangibles | 303 | | 303 | Indefinite |
| Total | \$ 69,799 | \$ (28,592) | 41,207 | |

| | December 31, 2013 | | | Weighted-Average Remaining Useful Life |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Amount | |
| Customer relationships | \$ 26,204 | \$ (9,971) | \$ 16,233 | 4.2 years |
| Developed technology | 42,878 | (19,443) | 23,435 | 4.7 years |
| Software | 23,687 | (11,748) | 11,939 | 4.5 years |
| Brand and domain names and other intangibles | 11,046 | (3,379) | 7,667 | 5.3 years |
| Indefinite-lived trade names and other intangibles | 303 | | 303 | Indefinite |
| Total | \$ 104,118 | \$ (44,541) | \$ 59,577 | |

The major additions, other than acquisitions through business combination, related to a new customer platform.

Amortization expense was \$ 6,501, \$11,250 and \$16,814 in the year ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2013, intangible assets with a carrying value of \$17,928 and \$23,195 respectively, have been pledged as collateral to the long term debt (Note 12).

Total future amortization expense for intangible assets that have definite lives, based upon the Company's existing intangible assets and their current estimated useful lives as of December 31, 2013, is estimated as follows:

Edgar Filing: AVG Technologies N.V. - Form 20-F

| | |
|------------|-----------|
| 2014 | \$ 19,961 |
| 2015 | 15,832 |
| 2016 | 11,385 |
| 2017 | 7,578 |
| 2018 | 3,814 |
| Thereafter | 704 |
| Total | \$ 59,274 |

During the financial year 2012, the Company recorded an impairment loss on software of \$71 as a result of a restructuring.

F-30

Table of Contents**Note 8. Goodwill**

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill were as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| Net balance as of January 1 | \$ 71,367 | \$ 81,276 |
| Acquired through acquisitions ⁽¹⁾ | 9,206 | 3,873 |
| Adjustments | | |
| Effects of foreign currency rate changes | 703 | (306) |
| Net balance as of December 31 | \$ 81,276 | \$ 84,843 |

(1) See Note 3 for acquisitions completed in financial years 2012 and 2013.

As of December 31, 2012, goodwill totalling \$37,357 was pledged as collateral to secure the long term debt (Note 12).

As of December 31, 2013, no goodwill has been pledged.

There were no accumulated goodwill impairment losses as of December 31, 2012 and 2013.

Note 9. Investment in equity affiliate

On October 26, 2010, the Company acquired a 34.35% interest in Zbang It Ltd. (Zbang), an Israeli-based private company, for \$800 in cash. Zbang is a developer of an integrated online communication application.

The Company accounted for its investment in Zbang under the equity method of accounting. At December 31, 2012, Zbang owed the Company \$1,177, including accrued interest of \$52, under an unsecured loan agreement. In December 2012, the Company commenced negotiation to purchase Zbang's technology and hire its developers and sell its minority share in Zbang. On March 28, 2013, the Company purchased the technology for \$1,420 and sold its minority share in Zbang for one U.S. dollar. The purchase consideration payable was off-set with the outstanding loan.

The changes in investment in equity affiliate were as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| Net balance as of January 1 | \$ 511 | \$ |
| Result from investment in equity affiliate | (511) | |
| Net balance as of December 31 | \$ | \$ |

The Company had an option to purchase the remaining 65.65% ownership interest in Zbang at specified dates for consideration as determined pursuant to the terms specified in an option agreement entered into with Zbang. The period to exercise this option began on April 1, 2011 and expired on March 31, 2013. The Company determined the

value of the option using a binomial option-pricing model. The value of the option was not considered material to the financial statements as of December 31, 2012.

Note 10. Investments
Scene, LLC

On November 25, 2011, AVG consummated a unit purchase agreement with Scene, LLC (Scene), a U.S.-based provider of broadband speed testing and web-based network diagnostic applications, pursuant to which AVG acquired a 15% interest in Scene for a cash consideration of \$9,750 paid at closing. In addition, on

F-31

Table of Contents

November 25, 2011, AVG entered into a put and call agreement with Scene. AVG decided not to exercise the put option. During a three-month period beginning on March 1, 2013, Scene had the option to purchase AVG's interest (call option) at a fixed price of \$9,750 plus the amount of tax distributions owed to AVG. Additional amounts would be owed to AVG if Scene undertook a change in control transaction during the one year period following the delivery of the call notice. Scene exercised its call option and on May 31, 2013, Scene repurchased AVG's interest at \$9,750 and AVG derecognized the investment in Scene in the same amount.

Further, on November 25, 2011, the Company entered into a strategic cooperation agreement with Scene to market and promote their respective products and paid a \$2,000 fee for marketing and promotional services. This agreement ended December 31, 2012.

The Company accounted for its investment in Scene as a debt security as the risks and rewards associated with the investment were retained by Scene because of impact of the put and call options. During the financial years 2011, 2012 and 2013, AVG received distributions of nil, \$339 and \$225, respectively, which were recorded as dividend income in other income (expense).

Wireless Interaction & NFC Accelerator 2013 B.V.

In 2013, the Company acquired a 10% share in Wireless Interactions & NFC Accelerator 2013 B.V. for cash consideration of \$160. The Company accounts for this investment under the cost-method.

Note 11. Related party transactions

Czech Value Participations I Inc.

On December 2, 2011, AVG entered into a consultancy agreement with Czech Value Participations I Inc. (CVP1), effective as of February 1, 2011, under which Robert Cohen, a contractor to CVP1, managing partner of Benson Oak Capital and former observer of the Company's Supervisory Board, advised the Company with respect to corporate development, including mergers and acquisitions policy and activities. Mr. Cohen has certain powers to direct Orangefield Trust B.V., the managing director of Grisoft Holdings B.V., a major shareholder of the Company, on how to vote the shares in AVG held of record by Grisoft Holdings B.V. Under this agreement, which was terminated in June 2012, the Company paid CVP1 approximately \$19 per month plus a service success fee. The total fee, including the service success fee, for services rendered in 2011, 2012 and 2013 was \$539, \$241 and nil, respectively, and was recorded in general and administrative expenses. At December 31, 2012 and 2013, the Company did not owe a fee to CVP1.

Zbang

The Company had related party transactions with Zbang involving the purchase of Zbang's technology and the termination of the financing arrangement described in Note 9.

Note 12. Debt

Credit agreement

On April 25, 2013, the Company entered into a new credit agreement with HSBC Bank plc, as mandated lead arranger and agent (the credit agreement). The credit agreement comprises a term loan facility of \$25,000 (Facility A) and a \$50,000 revolving credit facility (Facility B) together with an accordion that permits an increase in Facility B up to \$50,000 with the agreement of the lender(s). Facility A and Facility B were fully drawn and used to refinance existing facilities and thereafter they are planned to be used for general corporate purposes.

F-32

Table of Contents

Facility A was repaid in six equal monthly installments, with the first repayment on the date falling one month after the date of the credit agreement and the final repayment on the final maturity date, which was in six months from the date of the credit agreement. Facility A bore interest at a LIBOR rate plus a margin of 2.5% and if applicable, a mandated lead arranger rate and was payable monthly in arrears.

Facility B has a final maturity date in three years and bears interest at a LIBOR rate plus a margin of 2.5% subject to specified consolidated financial ratios, and if applicable, a mandated lead arranger rate, and is payable in arrears. The standard interest periods agreed are one, two, three and six months or any other period agreed to by the lender(s).

The credit agreement contains financial covenants measured at the end of each quarter, including a covenant to maintain a specified consolidated leverage ratio and interest coverage ratio. As of December 31, 2013, the Company was in compliance with all required covenants.

Collateral to the credit agreement is certain property and equipment, intangible assets (IP rights), cash and cash equivalents, equity rights in certain subsidiaries and certain intercompany receivables of the Company with covenants obliging AVG to also pledge new assets according to the criteria set. Certain property and equipment with a carrying value of \$7,828 (Note 6), intangible assets with a carrying value of \$23,195 (Note 7), as well as cash and cash equivalents amounting to \$30,482 (Note 4), have been pledged as collateral to the credit agreement as of December 31, 2013.

In connection with entering into the credit agreement, AVG paid fees of \$1,203. These fees are presented as deferred charges and are being amortized to interest expense over the remaining term of the credit agreement using the effective interest rate method.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company repaid \$25,000 on Facility A and \$20,000 on Facility B. As of December 31, 2013, the mandatory principal payments under the credit agreement were as follows:

| | |
|-------|-----------|
| 2014 | \$ |
| 2015 | |
| 2016 | 30,000 |
| Total | \$ 30,000 |

At December 31, 2013, committed undrawn amounts available under the credit agreement were \$20,000.

Credit facility, March 15, 2011

On March 15, 2011, the Company entered into a credit agreement with a group of financial institutions (the Credit Facility). The credit facility provided a \$235 million loan that was unconditionally and irrevocably guaranteed, jointly and severally, by certain AVG Technologies N.V. subsidiaries and further collateral was given by certain tangible and intangible assets of the Company and its subsidiaries with covenants obliging the Company to pledge new assets over a certain threshold. The credit facility bore interest at an adjusted LIBOR rate plus 6.0% with a LIBOR floor of 1.5%. Interest on the loan was payable in arrears.

The credit facility was fully drawn down with net cash proceeds of \$223,754 received after deducting the issuance costs of \$11,246, which included an original issue discount, financing arrangement fees and legal fees, and making

payments for other direct and incremental costs related to the credit facility. The proceeds AVG Technologies N.V. received were used to pay dividends to the Company's shareholders.

In connection with certain amendments made to the credit facility, AVG paid fees to the lenders of \$423 in 2011. These fees were amortized as an adjustment of interest expense over the remaining term of the credit facility using the interest method.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2012, the outstanding principal and unamortized deferred financing costs amounted to \$100,863 and \$3,632, respectively.

On April 25, 2013, the Company fully repaid the outstanding balance and terminated the credit facility. The related unamortized deferred finance cost of \$2,643 was expensed.

Revolving credit facility, May 5, 2008

On February 2, 2011, the Company fully repaid the outstanding balance of \$1,125 and terminated this revolving credit facility.

Note 13. Derivative Instruments

The fair value of foreign currency contracts outstanding is presented below:

| | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2013 | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | Notional U.S. dollar equivalent | Fair Value | Notional U.S. dollar equivalent | Fair Value |
| Assets- | | | | |
| Foreign currency contracts | \$ 17,000 | \$ 40 | \$ 20,510 | \$ 154 |
| Liabilities- | | | | |
| Foreign currency contracts | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,448 | \$ 10 |

During 2011, 2012 and 2013, the Company recorded net losses on foreign currency contracts of \$522, \$102 and \$39, respectively.

Note 14. Fair Value Measurements

The Company measures and reports its derivative instruments and contingent purchase consideration liabilities at fair value. Fair value is defined as an exit price that would be received for the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The fair value hierarchy defines a three-level valuation hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements as follows:

Level 1: Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2: Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; inputs other than quoted prices that are

observable for the assets or liabilities; or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs reflecting the Company's own assumptions incorporated in valuation techniques used to determine fair value. These assumptions are required to be consistent with market participant assumptions that are reasonably available.

Table of Contents**Assets and liabilities measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis**

The following table summarizes the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, by level, within the fair value hierarchy:

| | December 31, 2012 | | | Total |
|--|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Foreign currency contracts ⁽¹⁾ | \$ | \$ 40 | \$ | \$ 40 |
| Total assets measured at fair value | \$ | \$ 40 | \$ | \$ 40 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Contingent purchase consideration liabilities ⁽²⁾ | \$ | \$ | \$ 3,395 | \$ 3,395 |
| Total liabilities measured at fair value | \$ | \$ | \$ 3,395 | \$ 3,395 |

| | December 31, 2013 | | | Total |
|--|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Foreign currency contracts ⁽¹⁾ | \$ | \$ 154 | \$ | \$ 154 |
| Total assets measured at fair value | \$ | \$ 154 | \$ | \$ 154 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Foreign currency contracts ⁽¹⁾ | \$ | \$ 10 | \$ | \$ 10 |
| Contingent purchase consideration liabilities ⁽²⁾ | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,984 | \$ 1,984 |
| Total liabilities measured at fair value | \$ | \$ 10 | \$ 1,984 | \$ 1,994 |

- (1) Contract fair values are determined based on quoted prices for similar assets in active markets using inputs such as currency rates and forward points.
- (2) The fair values of the contingent purchase consideration liabilities are determined for each arrangement individually. The fair value is determined using the income approach with significant inputs that are not observable in the market. Key assumptions include discount rates consistent with the level of risk of achievement and probability adjusted financial projections. The expected outcomes are recorded at net present value, which requires adjustment over the life of the instruments for changes in risks and probabilities.
- The following table sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of the Company's Level 3 financial liabilities:

Year Ended December 31,

Edgar Filing: AVG Technologies N.V. - Form 20-F

| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Fair value beginning of period | \$ 3,159 | \$ 12,835 | \$ 3,395 |
| Additions due to acquisitions | 13,519 | 2,943 | |
| Change in fair value of Level 3 liabilities ⁽³⁾ | 425 | (130) | 1,237 |
| Effects of foreign currency exchange | (1,101) | (467) | |
| Payments of contingent consideration | (3,167) | (11,786) | (2,648) |
| Fair value end of period | \$ 12,835 | \$ 3,395 | \$ 1,984 |

- (3) The changes in fair value of the contingent and deferred purchase consideration liabilities were due to the passage of time and changes in the probability of achievement used to develop the estimate.

F-35

Table of Contents***Assets and liabilities measured and recorded at fair value on a non-recurring basis***

As a result of a restructuring in 2012, AVG concluded that the fair value of the software and property and equipment held by subsidiaries located in Germany, Hong Kong and China was nil and recorded an asset impairment of \$1,286 as of December 31, 2012, since the future estimated cash flow on assets was nil. The fair value amounts were derived using the income approach, which required Level 3 inputs such as estimated future cash flows.

Assets and liabilities for which fair value is only disclosed

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, trade accounts receivable and accounts payable reported in the consolidated balance sheets approximate their respective fair values because of the short term nature of these accounts.

The carrying amount of long-term debt as of December 31, 2013 of \$30,000 approximates its fair value. The valuation of long-term debt considers specific contractual terms, present value concepts and other internal assumptions related to (i) contract maturities; (ii) the uniqueness of the contract terms; and (iii) AVG's creditworthiness or that of AVG's counterparties (adjusted for collateral related to the asset positions). Based on the Company's calculations, AVG expects that the value will change in a generally proportionate manner to changes in the benchmark interest rate. Accordingly, the long-term debt was fair valued at par and was classified as Level 3.

The fair value of long-term debt as of December 31, 2012, was \$100,611 as compared to its carrying amount of \$97,231. The fair value of the long-term debt was estimated through Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy (average quoted price in the over-the-counter-market).

The fair value of AVG's investment in Scene as of December 31, 2012, was estimated at \$9,750. AVG classified its investment in Scene as Level 3, as unobservable inputs that were significant to the fair value measurement used in the valuation of the investment. The valuation reflected variables such as Scene's then capital structure and the terms of the investment including put and call options.

Note 15. Consolidated Balance Sheet Detail***Other current assets***

Other current assets consist of the following:

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| Loan provided to equity affiliate | \$ 1,177 | \$ |
| Income tax receivable | 2,367 | 728 |
| VAT receivable | 950 | 1,755 |
| Withholding tax receivable | 1,490 | 347 |
| Foreign currency contracts | 40 | 154 |
| Contingent asset | | 1,000 |
| Advances for share repurchases | | 699 |
| Other receivables | 660 | 733 |

| | | |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|
| Total other current assets | \$ 6,684 | \$ 5,416 |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|

F-36

Table of Contents***Other non-current assets***

Other non-current assets consist of the following:

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| Restricted cash (non-current portion) | \$ | \$ 1,054 |
| Prepayments | 731 | 557 |
| Unamortized deferred financing costs | | 612 |
| Deposits (office lease) | 208 | 284 |
| Total other non-current assets | \$ 939 | \$ 2,507 |

Accrued compensation and benefits

Accrued compensation and benefits consist of the following:

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| Salary and related benefits | \$ 5,724 | \$ 6,544 |
| Accrued vacation | 2,292 | 2,777 |
| Accrued incentive payments | 11,036 | 7,699 |
| Severance accrual | 2,523 | 1,225 |
| Total accrued compensation and benefits | \$ 21,575 | \$ 18,245 |

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities consist of the following:

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| Accrued legal and professional fees | \$ 6,825 | \$ 6,935 |
| Accrued marketing | 3,405 | 3,516 |
| Accrued rent and service costs | 2,031 | 918 |
| Accrued sale commissions, rebates and discounts | 2,517 | 3,704 |
| Other accrued expenses | 8,594 | 9,738 |
| Cash settlement payable to the former owners of TuneUp | 3,374 | 1,486 |
| Deferred purchase consideration | | 3,288 |
| Contingent purchase consideration | 2,041 | 1,984 |
| Total accrued expenses and other current liabilities | \$ 28,787 | \$ 31,569 |

Other non-current liabilities

Other non-current liabilities consist of the following:

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| Deferred rent | \$ 1,746 | \$ 1,878 |
| Deferred purchase consideration | | 1,840 |
| Contingent purchase consideration | 1,354 | |
| Cash settlement payable to the former owners of TuneUp (Note 22) | 883 | |
| Other | 113 | 357 |
| Total other non-current liabilities | \$ 4,096 | \$ 4,075 |

F-37

Table of Contents**Note 16. Advertising Costs**

Advertising costs include electronic and print advertising, trade shows, collateral production and all forms of direct marketing. Advertising costs included in sales and marketing expense for financial years 2011, 2012 and 2013 were \$33,486, \$35,822 and \$34,550 respectively.

Note 17. Other Income (Expense), Net

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Interest income | \$ 69 | \$ 132 | \$ 90 |
| Interest on long-term debt | \$ (14,310) | \$ (15,017) | \$ (3,605) |
| Amortization of financing costs and loan discount | (2,108) | (5,928) | (4,127) |
| Bank charges and other finance costs | (163) | (222) | (222) |
| Interest and finance costs | \$ (16,581) | \$ (21,167) | \$ (7,954) |
| Foreign currency exchange transaction gains (losses), net | \$ (73) | \$ (2,142) | \$ 296 |
| Foreign currency contract gains (losses), net | (522) | (102) | (39) |
| Dividend income | | 339 | 225 |
| Other | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Other, net | \$ (592) | \$ (1,904) | \$ 485 |
| Total other income (expense), net | \$ (17,104) | \$ (22,939) | \$ (7,379) |

Note 18. Restructuring

Restructuring charges consist of costs associated with the 2012/13 restructuring and the 2013/14 restructuring. These charges include employee severance pay and related costs, facility restructuring costs, contract termination and other non-cash charges associated with the exit of facilities, as well as reversals of restructuring charges arising from changes in estimates.

For the years ended December 31, 2012, and 2013, restructuring charges were comprised of the following:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | Total |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| | 2012 | 2013 | 2013 | |
| | 2012/13 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | |
| | restruc- | restruc- | restruc- | Total |
| | turing | turing | turing | Total |
| Employee severance pay and related costs | \$ 2,885 | \$ 1,007 | \$ 1,894 | \$ 2,901 |
| Non-cancelable lease, contract termination, and other charges | 975 | 652 | | 652 |
| Other non-cash charges | 1,286 | | 526 | 526 |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Total restructuring charges | \$ 5,146 | \$ 1,659 | \$ 2,420 | \$ 4,079 |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|

2013/14 Restructuring

During the financial year 2013, the Company made a decision to mitigate risks connected with third party search distribution activities following Google's guideline changes earlier in 2013. This decision involves a controlled exit from third party search distribution activities and a realignment of the Company's resources towards mobile and industry partnerships. As part of this restructuring, the Company initiated a further rationalization of its global operations, involving a transfer of business activities to different locations and targeted headcount reductions.

Table of Contents

Restructuring related costs in 2013 totaled \$2,420, of which \$101 was included in the cost of revenue, \$1,079 in sales and marketing, \$729 in research and development and \$511 in general and administrative. Future restructuring charges relating to the 2013/14 restructuring are estimated at \$1,517, of which \$39 relates to severance pay and \$1,478 relates to non-cash charges.

The following table summarizes the restructuring accruals in the rationalization of operations related liabilities:

| | Severance and other benefits |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Balance at January 1, 2013 | \$ |
| Costs incurred and charged to expense | 1,894 |
| Costs paid or otherwise settled | (669) |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$ 1,225 |
| Cumulative costs incurred to date | \$ 1,894 |

2012/13 Restructuring

During the financial year 2012, the Company initiated a rationalization of the Company's global operations, involving a wind down of its subsidiaries in Germany, China and Hong Kong, while their business activities were absorbed by other AVG entities. The Company completed the rationalization of operations during the second quarter of financial year 2013.

Restructuring related costs in 2012 and 2013 totaled \$5,146 and \$1,659, respectively, of which, \$57 and \$(7) were included in the cost of revenue, \$1,494 and \$658 in sales and marketing, \$1,222 and \$167 in research and development and \$2,373 and \$841 in general and administrative. Future restructuring charges relating to the 2012/13 restructuring are estimated to be immaterial.

The following table summarizes the restructuring accruals in the rationalization of operations related liabilities:

| | Severance and other benefits | Closure and other contractual liabilities | Total |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2012 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Costs incurred and charged to expense | 2,885 | 975 | 3,860 |
| Costs paid or otherwise settled | (411) | | (411) |
| Effects of foreign currency exchange | 49 | 18 | 67 |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$ 2,523 | \$ 993 | \$ 3,516 |
| Costs incurred and charged to expense | 1,029 | 150 | 1,179 |
| Unwinding | | 122 | 122 |
| Costs paid or otherwise settled | (3,534) | (532) | (4,066) |

Edgar Filing: AVG Technologies N.V. - Form 20-F

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Changes in estimates | (22) | 380 | 358 |
| Effects of foreign currency exchange | 4 | 33 | 37 |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$ | \$ 1,146 | \$ 1,146 |
| Cumulative costs incurred to date | \$ 3,892 | \$ 1,627 | \$ 5,519 |

F-39

Table of Contents**Note 19. Commitments and Contingencies*****Lease commitments***

The Company leases its facilities and certain equipment under operating leases that expire at various dates through 2022. Some of the leases contain renewal options, escalation clauses, rent concessions, and leasehold improvement incentives. Rent expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term. Rent expense was \$4,382, \$6,561 and \$7,615 in financial years 2011, 2012, and 2013, respectively.

The following is a schedule by years of minimum future rentals on non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2013:

| | Lease | Sublease income | Net lease |
|--|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| 2014 | \$ 6,679 | \$ (656) | \$ 6,023 |
| 2015 | 5,014 | (770) | 4,244 |
| 2016 | 2,999 | (614) | 2,385 |
| 2017 | 1,616 | (408) | 1,208 |
| 2018 | 949 | (228) | 721 |
| Thereafter | 3,177 | (684) | 2,493 |
| Total minimum future lease payments | \$ 20,434 | \$ (3,360) | \$ 17,074 |

Purchase obligations

The Company has purchase obligations that are associated with agreements for purchases of goods or services. Management believes that cancellation of these contracts is unlikely and thus the Company expects to make future cash payments according to the contract terms.

The following is a schedule by years of purchase obligations as of December 31, 2013:

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| 2014 | \$ 1,402 |
| 2015 | 1,161 |
| 2016 | 5 |
| 2017 | 1 |
| 2018 | |
| Total minimum future purchase obligations | \$ 2,569 |

Other commitments

In connection with AVG's business combinations, AVG has agreed to pay certain additional amounts contingent upon the achievement of certain revenue targets and other milestones or upon the continued employment with AVG of certain employees of the acquired entities. AVG recorded such expense of \$4,619, \$8,138 and \$6,316 during the year ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, AVG estimated that future

compensation expense of up to \$2,336 may be recognized as expense pursuant to these business combination agreements.

Indemnification

The Company has agreements whereby it indemnifies its managing and supervisory directors for certain events or occurrences while the director is, or was, serving at the Company's request in such capacity. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is not limited; however, the Company has directors' insurance coverage that reduces

Table of Contents

its exposure and may enable the Company to recover a portion of any future amounts paid. The Company believes the estimated fair value of these indemnification agreements in excess of applicable insurance coverage is minimal.

The Company provides limited product warranties to its licensees, distribution, reseller and other commercial partners and some of its software distribution, reseller and other commercial partner agreements contain provisions that indemnify such parties from damages and costs resulting from claims that the Company's software infringes the intellectual property rights of a third party. The Company's exposure under these warranty and indemnification provisions is generally limited to the total amount paid under the agreement. However, certain agreements may include warranty and/or indemnification provisions that could potentially expose the Company to losses in excess of the amount received under the agreement. To date, there have been no material claims under such warranty and/or indemnification provisions. Accordingly, the Company has not recorded a liability on its consolidated balance sheets for these provisions.

Certain employees of the Company have signed non-competition agreements pursuant to which the employee is obligated to abstain from any competitive activity within the scope of the Company's business for up to 24 months following termination of the employment relationship. Dependent upon the possibility of waiver of such non-compete restrictions available to the Company, unless such waiver would only lift the non-compete restriction but not the obligation to pay, during such period the Company is obligated to pay the employee a certain percentage of her or his salary. In 2013, the Company paid \$805 under these agreements and payments made under these agreements in financial years 2011 and 2012 were not material to the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Litigation contingencies

On May 22, 2012, AVG received notification of a class action litigation relating to the design, sale and marketing of its AVG PC TuneUp software. This notification was amended on September 5, 2012 adding the Australia based provider as a defendant. On August 14, 2013 the parties agreed to a settlement in principle and as a consequence AVG estimated and recorded a liability of \$2,600. In relation to this settlement, AVG also estimated and recorded a receivable of \$1,000 from the Australia based provider. On January 14, 2014, AVG transferred \$1,500 to an escrow account with the court appointed administrator, while on the same day the Australia based provider transferred \$1,000 to the same account.

In addition, AVG is involved in other legal proceedings, disputes and claims in the ordinary course of business. While the outcome of these matters is currently not determinable, the final resolution of these lawsuits, disputes and claims individually, or in the aggregate, is not expected to have a material adverse effect on AVG's financial condition or results of operations.

Note 20. Geographic and major customer information

The Company operates in one reportable segment. Revenues are attributed to countries based on the location of the Company's channel partners as well as end-users of AVG.

The following table represents revenue attributed to countries based on the location of the end-users:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |

Edgar Filing: AVG Technologies N.V. - Form 20-F

| | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Revenue: | | | |
| United States | \$ 147,521 | \$ 179,893 | \$ 202,012 |
| United Kingdom | 44,831 | 56,012 | 56,220 |
| Other countries ⁽¹⁾ | 80,040 | 120,061 | 148,881 |
| | \$ 272,392 | \$ 355,966 | \$ 407,113 |

(1) No individual country represented more than 10% of the respective totals.

F-41

Table of Contents

The table below lists the Company's property and equipment, net, by country.

| | December 31, | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| Long-lived assets: | | |
| Czech Republic | \$ 10,980 | \$ 10,520 |
| United States | 2,344 | 2,405 |
| Other countries ⁽¹⁾ | 1,270 | 2,369 |
| | \$ 14,594 | \$ 15,294 |

(1) No individual country represented more than 10% of the respective totals.

Major customers

Revenues in financial years 2011, 2012 and 2013 derived from major customers were as follows (in percentages of total revenue):

| | December 31, | | |
|--------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Yahoo! | 6% | | 9% |
| Google | 28% | 44% | 28% |

Accounts receivable balances with major customers were as follows (in percentage of total accounts receivable):

| | December 31, | |
|--------|---------------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| Yahoo! | | 18% |
| Google | 36% | 19% |

Note 21. Ordinary and Preferred Shares**Ordinary shares**

The Company's authorized, issued and outstanding ordinary shares consist of the following:

| | December 31, 2012 | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | Shares | Shares | Shares | Par value |
| | Authorized | Issued | Outstanding | |
| Ordinary shares | 120,000,000 | 54,385,951 | 54,019,154 | \$ 722 |

| | | | | |
|-------|-------------|------------|------------|--------|
| Total | 120,000,000 | 54,385,951 | 54,019,154 | \$ 722 |
|-------|-------------|------------|------------|--------|

| | Shares Authorized | December 31, 2013 Shares Issued | Shares Outstanding | Par value |
|-----------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Ordinary shares | 120,000,000 | 54,763,151 | 53,150,630 | \$ 727 |
| Total | 120,000,000 | 54,763,151 | 53,150,630 | \$ 727 |

On April 30, 2013, the Company issued 377,200 ordinary shares as a result of the agreement with the former owners of TuneUp described in Note 22.

Table of Contents

On February 7, 2012, the Company issued 2,382,591 ordinary shares as a result of the exercise of the same number of share options. Upon the exercise of the same number of share options, the Company issued 1,920 and 1,440 ordinary shares on May 15, 2012 and June 6, 2012, respectively.

The pertinent rights and privileges of holders of ordinary shares are as follows:

Preemptive rights: Each holder of the ordinary shares has in principle preemptive rights in respect of all ordinary share issuances or grants or the rights to subscribe for ordinary shares, in proportion to the aggregate nominal value of ordinary shares held by such holder. Shareholders, however, have no preemptive rights in respect of the issuance of ordinary shares, or the grant of the right to subscribe for ordinary shares, which are issued or granted for a consideration other than cash, which are issued or granted to employees of the Company or of a group company of the Company, or in respect of the issuance of ordinary shares to any person who exercises a previously existing right to subscribe for ordinary shares. A General Meeting of Shareholders, however, resolved to restrict or exclude preemptive rights in respect of the issuance of ordinary shares and the grant of the right to subscribe for ordinary shares.

Liquidation rights: Each holder of preferred shares outstanding (if issued) will receive from the balance of the Company's assets after payment of all debts and the costs of liquidation, to the extent possible, the amount due on the preference shares, increased by a percentage equal to the average one-month EURIBOR, weighted to reflect the number of days for which the payment is made, plus a premium to be determined by the Management Board, subject to the approval of the Supervisory Board, of at least one percentage point and at most four percentage points, depending on the prevailing market conditions, calculated over each year or part of a year of the period commencing on the first day following the period over which the last distribution on the preference shares was paid and ending on the day of the payment on the preference shares. The previous sentence does not apply if the preference shares outstanding were issued and paid at the expense of the Company's reserves. The balance remaining thereafter will be distributed to the holders of ordinary shares. All distributions on ordinary shares will be made in proportion to the number of ordinary shares held by each shareholder.

Voting rights: Each of the ordinary shares is entitled to one vote. Decisions of General Meetings of Shareholders are taken by an absolute majority of valid votes cast, except where the laws of the Netherlands or the articles of association provide otherwise.

Distributions rights: The Company makes distributions to shareholders only to the extent that the Company's equity exceeds the amount of the paid-in and called-up part of the issued share capital, increased by the reserves that are required to be maintained pursuant to the provisions of Dutch law or the articles of association. The Management Board determines, subject to the approval of the Supervisory Board, what portion of the profits will be reserved. Any profits remaining after payment of the preferred dividend on the preference shares, if issued, and reservation of profits will be put at the disposal of a General Meeting of Shareholders. The preference shares, if issued, would be entitled to receive annual preferential dividends of € 1,000 in aggregate if the preference shares are fully paid up at the expense of the Company's reserves or, in other cases, of a percentage equal to the average one-month EURIBOR, weighted to reflect the number of days for which the payment is made, plus a premium to be determined by the Management Board, subject to the approval of the Supervisory Board, of at least one percentage point and at most four percentage points, depending on the prevailing market conditions. Distributions on the preference shares are calculated over the paid-up part of their nominal value.

Dividends restrictions: The credit facility (Note 12) contains restrictions that limit the Company's ability to make distributions to shareholders.

Treasury shares

Edgar Filing: AVG Technologies N.V. - Form 20-F

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company repurchased 2,103,214 ordinary shares through the share repurchase program described below and held these shares in treasury.

F-43

Table of Contents

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company re-issued 857,490 treasury shares, of which 169,912 treasury shares were re-issued to the former owners of TuneUp and 687,578 treasury shares were re-issued upon exercise of share options.

As of December 31, 2013 there were 1,612,521 shares held in treasury at a carrying value of \$33,179.

On August 24, 2012 the Company repurchased 370,925 of its own ordinary shares for \$3,869 with the intention of holding them in treasury to re-issue them in the future. Upon exercise of share options on November 15, 2012, the Company re-issued 4,128 of these treasury shares. As of December 31, 2012 there were 366,797 shares held in treasury at a carrying value of \$3,826.

Share repurchase program

The Company has entered into a conditional share repurchase program, designed to repurchase shares to cover obligations to deliver shares under its employee stock options incentive and restricted share units plans (Note 22). Under the share repurchase program the Company may, between May 9, 2013 and November 9, 2014, repurchase from time to time in both open market and privately negotiated transactions up to 4,000,000 ordinary shares. The share repurchase program may occur in tranches. The share repurchase program was authorized by the Company's shareholders on January 12, 2012 and approved by the Supervisory Board on May 7, 2013. On November 5, 2013, the Supervisory Board approved an increase of the maximum number of shares to be repurchased from 2,500,000 to 4,000,000 to cover AVG's obligations to deliver shares under its employee stock options incentive and restricted share units plans.

Under the first tranche of the share repurchase program the Company had, up to November 5, 2013, repurchased in open market transactions 1,500,000 ordinary shares for a total consideration of \$33,110 and a weighted average price per share of \$22.07.

Under the second tranche of the share repurchase program, the Company may repurchase up to 2,500,000 ordinary shares between November 13, 2013 and May 10, 2014. This arrangement does not require the Company to acquire any specific number of shares and may be terminated at any time, except during closed periods. Under this tranche, up to December 31, 2013 the Company repurchased in open market transactions 603,214 ordinary shares for a total consideration of \$10,301 and a weighted average price per share of \$17.08.

The following table summarizes the Company's share repurchases:

| | 2013 |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| Total number of shares repurchased | 2,103,214 |
| Dollar amount of shares repurchased | \$ 43,411 |
| Average price paid per share | \$ 20.64 |
| Range of price paid per share | \$ 15.89 26.16 |

Initial public offering

AVG publicly filed its initial Form F-1 with the SEC on January 13, 2012 and on February 7, 2012 closed its initial public offering (IPO) of 8,000,000 ordinary shares at an offering price of \$16.00 per share. AVG offered 4,000,000 ordinary shares and the selling shareholders offered 4,000,000 ordinary shares. AVG did not receive any proceeds from the sale of the ordinary shares by the selling shareholders other than the proceeds from options which were

exercised by certain selling shareholders in connection with the IPO. The IPO resulted in net proceeds to AVG of \$52,698, after deducting underwriting discounts, commissions and offering expenses paid by AVG, net of income tax benefit. The right that was granted to the underwriters to purchase up to 1,200,000 ordinary shares from certain of the selling shareholders within 30 days of the IPO to cover over-allotments was not exercised.

Table of Contents

Costs (net of income tax benefit of \$1,703) of \$11,302 directly associated with the IPO have been recorded as a reduction of the proceeds received in determining the amount to be recorded in additional paid-in capital. These costs were capitalized and recorded as prepaid share issuance cost prior to the closing of the IPO.

On February 7, 2012, upon the closing of the IPO, the Company's Articles of Association were amended and restated in their entirety. As a result of this amendment, the authorized capital of the Company changed to 2,400,000 (prior to the amendment 2,250,000). The authorized capital is comprised of 240,000,000 shares with a nominal value of 0.01 per share and is divided into 120,000,000 ordinary shares and 120,000,000 preferred shares.

Upon the closing of the IPO, class A, B1, B2 and E shares were automatically converted into 36,000,000 ordinary shares with all special rights associated with the existing classes of shares ceasing to be applicable. Class D preferred shares were converted into 12,000,000 ordinary shares, with all special rights associated with Class D preferred shares ceasing to be applicable. In connection with this conversion, the accrued and unpaid dividends on Class D preferred shares of \$2,555 were paid in cash. The Class D preferred shares carrying value was reclassified from the mezzanine section of the balance sheet to shareholders' deficit.

Note 22. Share-based Compensation

The following table sets forth the total share-based compensation expense under the 2009 Option Plan as amended and the share-based compensation expense related to the shares of AVG that the former owners of TuneUp will receive subject to their non-competition and other vesting conditions (Note 3) recognized in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Cost of revenue | \$ (21) | \$ | \$ (40) |
| Research and development | (1,116) | (1,652) | (1,013) |
| Sales and marketing | (949) | (2,036) | (1,172) |
| General and administrative | (4,310) | (12,495) | (6,702) |
| Total | \$ (6,396) | \$ (16,183) | \$ (8,927) |

Option Plan

The Option Plan was designed in order to grant options on ordinary shares in the capital of AVG Technologies N.V. to certain employees of AVG Technologies N.V. and its subsidiaries. The purpose of the Option Plan is to provide employees with an opportunity to participate directly in the growth of the value of the Company by receiving options for shares.

Each option converts into one ordinary share of AVG Technologies N.V. on exercise.

The Option Plan was initially approved and adopted by a General Meeting of Shareholders on June 8, 2009 and was subsequently amended and restated effective on October 1, 2009, June 30, 2010, March 11, 2011, September 29, 2011 and January 30, 2012. The Option Plan was most recently amended on May 7, 2013 and currently includes a restricted stock unit plan.

The total number of shares in respect of which options and restricted stock units pool may be granted under the Option Plan is limited at 9,059,948. Options that lapse or are forfeited and restricted stock units that are forfeited are available to be granted again. Options and restricted stock units granted to members of the Management Board of the Company and the Supervisory Board require prior approval of the General Meeting of Shareholders. On June 19, 2013, the General Meeting authorized the Supervisory Board to grant up to a maximum of 500,000 options or restricted stock units in the aggregate in a year to members of the Management Board.

F-45

Table of Contents

The vesting of certain restricted stock units, the market restricted share units, granted to our CEO is subject to satisfaction of market based financial performance criteria. The market restricted stock units will vest if the average closing price of the Company's shares on the New York Stock Exchange during a 30 consecutive trading day period exceeds 2.5 times the closing price of the shares on the Start Date, as defined in the RSU agreement, (the Share Price Goal), provided that (i) if the Share Price Goal is achieved prior to the first anniversary of the Start Date, the vesting shall occur on the first anniversary of the Start Date and (ii) the CEO remains employed through the applicable vesting date and has not provided a notice of termination as of the applicable vesting date.

Options and restricted stock units generally vest over a period of four years, whereby 25% of the options vest on the first anniversary of the start date and the remaining options vest quarterly thereafter, in equal portions during the remaining vesting period. The contractual life of all options is 10 years.

Participants have no voting rights with respect to shares represented by restricted stock units until the date of the issuance of such shares. Participants in the Restricted Stock Units Plan may, if the Supervisory Board of the Company so determines, be credited with dividend equivalents paid with respect to shares underlying a restricted stock unit award. Dividend equivalents shall be forfeited in the event that the restricted stock units with respect to which such dividend equivalents were credited are forfeited.

On June 30, 2011, the Supervisory Board approved an amendment to the Option Plan to remove performance-based vesting conditions on options, including for options that were granted but unvested at such time by splitting such options into two awards, one for two-thirds as many options (Base options) and another for one-third as many options (Additional options). The Base options remained subject to service period vesting requirements as per the terms of the original plan. The Additional options were granted on the date of occurrence of a listing with service period vesting requirements after such date. The amendment is accounted for as a share option modification. The modification resulted in no incremental share-based compensation expense.

Share option activity

The following table summarizes share option activity:

| | Number of Share Options Outstanding | Weighted- Average Exercise | Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value ⁽¹⁾ |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Outstanding January 1, 2013 | 3,282,586 | \$ 16.40 | 8.35 | \$ 4,377 |
| Granted | 1,519,080 | 19.77 | | |
| Cancelled | | | | |
| Exercised | (686,528) | 13.27 | | |
| Expired | (100,614) | 21.26 | | |
| Forfeited | (924,999) | 17.62 | | |
| Repurchased | (100,916) | 15.89 | | |
| Outstanding December 31, 2013 | 2,988,609 | 18.31 | 8.45 | \$ 22,152 |

Edgar Filing: AVG Technologies N.V. - Form 20-F

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------|------|-----------|
| Vested and expected to vest | December 31, 2013 | 2,893,557 | 18.26 | 8.42 | \$ 21,581 |
| Exercisable | December 31, 2013 | 1,147,232 | 16.50 | 7.50 | \$ 10,573 |

- (1) Intrinsic value is calculated as the difference between the fair value of AVG's ordinary shares as of the end of each reporting period and the exercise price of the option.

F-46

Table of Contents

Additional information regarding the Company's share options outstanding as of December 31, 2013 is summarized below:

| Strike Price | Options Outstanding | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| | Number of Share Options Outstanding | Weighted-Average Remaining Life (Years) | Weighted-Average Exercise Price Per Share |
| \$0.01 \$5.00 | | | \$ |
| \$5.00 \$12.00 | 132,086 | 5.44 | 7.69 |
| \$12.00 \$20.00 | 1,809,483 | 8.52 | 15.86 |
| \$20.00 \$25.00 | 1,047,040 | 8.71 | 23.88 |
| Total | 2,988,609 | 8.45 | \$ 18.31 |

Determining the fair value of share options

The fair value of each stock option award is estimated, based on several assumptions, on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. The principal assumptions utilized in valuing stock options include the expected stock price volatility (based on the historic average of the common stock of two peer companies and the Company's historic stock price volatility over the expected term); the expected option life (an estimate based on a simplified approach); the expected dividend yield; and the risk-free interest rate (an estimate based on the yield of United States Treasury zero coupon bond with a maturity equal to the expected life of the option).

Since the Company is publicly traded on the New York Stock Exchange the fair value of the ordinary shares underlying the share options is determined based on the market price. Historically, the fair value has been determined by an unrelated party's contemporaneous external valuation of the Company's market value.

A summary of the weighted-average assumptions is as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Risk free interest rate | 1.54% | 0.65% | 0.83% |
| Weighted-average expected lives (years) | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.0 |
| Volatility | 40.13% | 39.86% | 36.71% |
| Dividend Yield | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Weighted-average grant date fair value (per share) | 6.03 | 5.29 | 5.91 |
| Value Granted (total) | \$ 6,381 | \$ 10,622 | \$ 8,979 |
| Number granted in year | 1,058,161 | 2,007,851 | 1,519,080 |

As of December 31, 2013, total compensation cost related to unvested share options granted to employees not yet recognized was \$6,189 net of estimated forfeitures. This cost will be amortized to expense over a weighted-average remaining period of 1.79 years and will be adjusted for subsequent changes in estimated forfeitures. In financial years 2011, 2012 and 2013, the Company, at its discretion, repurchased options held by a limited number of terminated employees in an amount of \$186, \$919 and \$702, respectively.

Market restricted stock units activity

The market restricted stock units will vest if the average closing price of the Company's shares on the New York Stock Exchange during a 30 consecutive trading day period exceeds 2.5 times the closing price of the shares on the Start Date, as defined in the RSU agreement (the "Share Price Goal"), provided that (i) if the Share Price Goal is achieved prior to the first anniversary of the Start Date, the vesting shall occur on the first anniversary of the Start Date and (ii) continued service through the applicable vesting date and has not provided a notice of termination as of the applicable vesting date.

F-47

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes market restricted stock units activity:

| | Number of market restricted stock units outstanding | Weighted-average ordinary fair value per share at grant date |
|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Outstanding January 1, 2013 | | \$ |
| Granted | 100,000 | 5.56 |
| Paid | | |
| Forfeited | | |
| Outstanding December 31, 2013 | 100,000 | \$ 5.56 |

Determining the fair value of market restricted stock units activity

The fair value and requisite service period of the market RSU s was calculated using the Monte Carlo method. The inputs for expected volatility, expected dividends, and risk-free rate used in estimating the fair value and requisite service period of the market RSU s are the same as those used to calculate the fair value of options issued under the employee share option plan.

As of December 31, 2013, total compensation cost related to unvested market restricted share units granted to employees not yet recognized was \$519 net of estimated forfeitures. This cost will be amortized to expense over a weighted-average requisition period of 3.5 years and will be adjusted for subsequent changes in fair value and forfeiture.

Restricted stock units activity

The following table summarizes restricted stock units activity:

| | Number of restricted stock units outstanding | Weighted- average ordinary fair value per share at grant date |
|-------------------------------|---|--|
| Outstanding January 1, 2013 | | \$ |
| Granted | 850,000 | 19.12 |
| Paid | | |
| Forfeited | (150,000) | 13.26 |
| Outstanding December 31, 2013 | 700,000 | \$ 20.38 |

As of December 31, 2013, total compensation cost related to unvested restricted share units granted to employees not yet recognized was \$10,455 net of forfeitures. This cost will be amortized to expense over a weighted-average

remaining period of 3.58 years and will be adjusted for subsequent changes in estimated forfeitures.

Shares issued to the former owners of TuneUp

As part of the acquisition of TuneUp, the former owners of TuneUp were due to receive shares of AVG with, at acquisition date, a total fair value of 11.5 million subject to their continued employment with the Company and other vesting conditions.

On December 20, 2012, the Company entered into a modification to the original agreement with the former owners. As a result of this modification, the remaining unvested share-based compensation was accounted for as cash-settlement in the amount of 4.3 million or \$5.7 million was to be paid in cash instead of shares. The cash will be settled in three installments that are due in January 2013, August 2013 and August 2014, for respectively 2.1 million, 1.1 million and 1.1 million.

Table of Contents

In the fourth quarter of 2012, one of the former owners ceased employment, which triggered accelerated vesting under the modified terms of the award, and as a consequence, share-based compensation in the amount of 2.2 million or \$2.9 million was expensed. In the first quarter of 2013, the second former owner ceased employment as well, hence the remaining share-based compensation in the amount of 2.0 million or \$2.9 million was accelerated and expensed.

In financial year 2012 and 2013, the Company recognized compensation expense of \$9,543 and \$3,133, respectively, which were included in general and administrative expenses. As of December 31, 2013, the Company has a liability of \$1,486 in relation to the cash-settlements as described above. All shares issuable to the former owners of TuneUp were issued on April 30, 2013 (Note 15).

Note 23. 401(k) Plans

The Company maintains a defined contribution 401(k) retirement savings plan for its U.S. employees. Each participant in the 401(k) retirement savings plan may elect to contribute a percentage of his or her annual compensation up to a specified maximum amount allowed under U.S. Internal Revenue Service regulations. The Company matches employee contributions to a maximum of 4% of the participant annual compensation. The Company contributed \$245, \$384 and \$380 during 2011, 2012, and 2013, respectively.

For the non-US employees, the Company does not pay or reimburse pension premiums other than any applicable statutory national premiums for state pension.

Note 24. Income Taxes

The Company's components of income before income taxes are as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|----------|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Domestic | \$ 36,764 | \$ 37,071 | \$ (105,606) |
| Foreign | 14,408 | 19,887 | 208,326 |
| | \$ 51,172 | \$ 56,958 | \$ 102,720 |

The components of the income tax provision (benefit) are as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Current: | | | |
| Domestic | \$ 3,358 | \$ (361) | \$ |
| Foreign | 3,599 | 9,336 | 20,898 |
| Total current provision | \$ 6,957 | \$ 8,975 | \$ 20,898 |
| Deferred: | | | |

Edgar Filing: AVG Technologies N.V. - Form 20-F

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| Domestic | (50,646) | 8,701 | (396) |
| Foreign | (5,571) | (6,535) | 18,504 |
| Total deferred provision (benefit) | \$ (56,217) | \$ 2,166 | \$ 18,108 |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | \$ (49,260) | \$ 11,141 | \$ 39,006 |

F-49

Table of Contents

Reconciliation of income tax computed at the Netherlands statutory tax rate to the income tax provision (benefit) is as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Income tax expense at statutory rate ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 12,793 | \$ 14,240 | \$ 25,680 |
| Foreign tax rate differential | (6,114) | (6,717) | (12,682) |
| Dutch tax ruling innovation box regime | (1,103) | (2,224) | 22,616 |
| Dutch tax ruling tax restructuring deferred tax asset | (58,494) | | |
| Dutch tax ruling additional tax benefit | (1,618) | (3,623) | |
| Non-deductible expenses | 3,200 | 4,170 | 2,075 |
| Valuation allowance | 4,220 | 5,512 | (187) |
| Other | (2,144) | (217) | 1,504 |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | \$ (49,260) | \$ 11,141 | \$ 39,006 |

(1) The statutory rate was 25% in 2011, 2012 and 2013.

Dutch tax ruling

On June 1, 2011, the Company entered into an innovation box regime in the Netherlands, resulting in the recognition of tax benefits (deferred tax assets), which significantly impacted its effective tax rate in 2011. Under the innovation box regime the income attributable to certain research and development activities is subject to an effective rate of 5%, in lieu of the Dutch statutory corporate income tax rate of 25%. As a consequence, the enacted Dutch tax rate was 22%, 19% and 16% for 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively. The current innovation box ruling will end December 2020.

Simultaneously, the Company entered into an agreement regarding special deductions on acquired intellectual property, which was updated in December 2012 and December 2013. This agreement on special deductions will end on December 31, 2018. The effects on per share data is \$0.04, \$0.07 and nil for 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively.

Table of Contents

Deferred income taxes reflect the net effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of deferred income tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Fixed assets and intangible assets | \$ 33,641 | \$ 19,729 |
| Deferred revenues | 31,392 | 27,610 |
| Provisions and reserves | 1,133 | 2,226 |
| Net operating loss carry forwards | 23,895 | 18,387 |
| Other | 179 | 2,468 |
| Gross deferred tax assets | \$ 90,240 | \$ 70,420 |
| Valuation allowance | (11,359) | (11,124) |
| Total deferred tax asset | \$ 78,881 | \$ 59,296 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Other | (1,806) | (923) |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | \$ (1,806) | \$ (923) |
| Net deferred tax asset | \$ 77,075 | \$ 58,373 |
| Deferred tax asset current portion | \$ 24,361 | \$ 25,058 |
| Deferred tax asset non-current portion | 53,805 | 33,820 |
| Deferred tax liability current portion | (1,091) | (163) |
| Deferred tax liability non-current portion | | (342) |
| Net deferred tax asset | \$ 77,075 | \$ 58,373 |

The Company maintains a valuation allowance on net operating losses and other deferred tax assets in jurisdictions for which it does not believe it is more-likely-than-not to realize those deferred tax assets based upon all available positive and negative evidence, including historical operating performance, carryback periods, reversal of taxable temporary differences, tax planning strategies and earnings expectations.

The movement of the valuation allowance on net operating losses and other deferred tax assets is as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 736 | \$ 6,200 | \$ 11,359 |
| Charged to expenses | 5,464 | 5,159 | 1,305 |
| Credited to expenses | | | (1,540) |

Edgar Filing: AVG Technologies N.V. - Form 20-F

| | | | |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Balance at end of period | \$ 6,200 | \$ 11,359 | \$ 11,124 |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|

As of December 31, 2013, the Company had net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$71,007 which will be available to offset future taxable income. If not used, approximately \$54,989 of these carry forwards will expire between 2020 and 2033. The remaining portion of the carry forwards arose in jurisdictions where losses do not expire.

The Company intends to remit all earnings in its foreign subsidiaries and has established deferred tax liability of approximately \$300 for unremitted earnings.

As of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2013, the Company had no unrecognized tax benefits.

F-51

Table of Contents

The Company recognizes interest and penalties accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits in tax expense. The Company had no amounts accrued for interest and penalties during the years presented.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits for the years presented is as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Balance at January 1, | \$ 1,541 | \$ | \$ |
| Additions for tax positions taken during the current period | | | |
| Reductions due to settlements with taxing authorities | (1,541) | | |
| Balance at December 31, | \$ | \$ | \$ |

The Company files numerous consolidated and separate company income tax returns in its domestic and foreign jurisdictions. The Company has no ongoing examinations with local tax authorities. The Company does not expect the amount of unrecognized tax benefits to materially change within the next twelve months. The table below summarizes the open tax years in major jurisdictions as of December 31, 2013:

| Jurisdiction | Open Years | | Ongoing Examinations |
|---------------------|-------------------|------|---------------------------------|
| Netherlands | 2008 | 2013 | N/A |
| Czech Republic | 2011 | 2013 | N/A |
| United Kingdom | 2012 | 2013 | N/A |
| United States | 2011 | 2013 | N/A |
| Germany | 2009 | 2013 | N/A |
| France | 2011 | 2013 | N/A |
| Hong Kong | 2011 | 2013 | N/A |
| Cyprus | 2011 | 2013 | N/A |
| China | 2010 | 2013 | N/A |
| Israel | 2010 | 2013 | N/A |
| Switzerland | 2010 | 2013 | N/A |
| Australia | 2012 | 2013 | N/A |
| Canada | 2013 | | N/A |

Note 25. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings available to ordinary shareholders per share is computed based on the weighted-average number of ordinary shares outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings available to ordinary shareholders per share is computed based on the weighted-average number of ordinary shares outstanding during each period, plus potential ordinary shares considered outstanding during the period, as long as the inclusion of such shares is not anti-dilutive. Potential ordinary shares consist of the incremental ordinary shares issuable upon the exercise of share options (using the treasury shares method). Until April 30, 2013 shares issuable upon subscription of AVG shares by TuneUp former owners (using the treasury shares method) and until February 7, 2012, upon closing of the Company's IPO, ordinary

shares issuable upon the conversion of the Company's Class D preferred shares to ordinary shares (using the if-converted method), were included in the number of potential ordinary shares.

The Company applied the two-class method when computing its earnings per share, which requires that net income per share for each class of share (ordinary shares and preferred shares) be calculated assuming 100% of the Company's net income is distributed as dividends to each class of share based on their contractual rights. Class D preferred shareholders had the right to participate with ordinary shareholders in dividends and unallocated income. Since the conversion of Class D preferred shares to ordinary shares on February 7, 2012, the

Table of Contents

Company has only one class of securities that participate in dividends. Therefore, the two-class method is not applicable for computing the earnings per share for financial statements for fiscal years 2012 and 2013.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per outstanding ordinary share:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Numerator: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 100,432 | \$ 45,817 | \$ 63,714 |
| Preferred share dividends | (7,208) | (753) | |
| Distributed and undistributed earnings to participating securities | (27,513) | | |
| Net income available to ordinary shareholders basic | \$ 65,711 | \$ 45,064 | \$ 63,714 |
| Preferred share dividends | | 753 | |
| Net income available to ordinary shareholders diluted | \$ 65,711 | \$ 45,817 | \$ 63,714 |
| Denominator: | | | |
| Weighted-average ordinary shares outstanding basic | 36,000,000 | 52,395,427 | 54,208,065 |
| Potential ordinary shares | 2,974,953 | 1,913,091 | 502,639 |
| Weighted-average ordinary shares outstanding diluted | 38,974,953 | 54,308,518 | 54,710,704 |
| Earnings per ordinary share basic | \$ 1.83 | \$ 0.86 | \$ 1.18 |
| Earnings per ordinary share diluted | \$ 1.69 | \$ 0.84 | \$ 1.16 |

The following securities that could potentially dilute basic earnings per share in the future have been excluded from the above computation of earnings per share as their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Class D preferred shares | 12,000,000 | | |
| Performance restricted stock units | | | 26,630 |
| Options to purchase ordinary shares | 247,313 | 2,710,994 | 1,235,448 |
| Anti-dilutive shares | 12,247,313 | 2,710,994 | 1,262,078 |

Note 26. Subsequent Events***E-Shop transfer from Cyprus to Netherlands***

At the end of 2013 the Company made the decision to transfer its e-commerce operations from Cyprus to the Netherlands effective January 1, 2014, which will impact the Company's tax structure. This is not expected to have a material impact in 2014.

Crossloop

On January 31, 2014, the Company terminated its CrossLoop service and agreed to refund customers for unused licenses. This will not have a material impact in 2014.

F-53