

RAMBUS INC
Form DEF 14A
March 09, 2016
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A
PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-11(c) or §240.14a-2

RAMBUS INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Edgar Filing: RAMBUS INC - Form DEF 14A

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TO BE HELD ON APRIL 21, 2016

To our stockholders:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Rambus Inc. The Annual Meeting will be held on:

Date: Thursday, April 21, 2016

Time: 9:00 a.m., Pacific Time

Place: Attend the annual meeting online, including voting and submitting questions, at www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/RMBS2016. The following matters will be voted on at the Annual Meeting:

1. Election of four Class I directors;
2. Advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation;
3. Ratification of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm; and

4. Such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the meeting. We are not aware of any other business to come before the meeting.

These items of business are more fully described in the Proxy Statement which is available at www.proxyvote.com. This notice, the Notice of Internet Availability, the 2015 Annual Report and our Proxy Statement for our 2016 annual stockholder meeting and form of proxy are being made available to stockholders on March 9, 2016.

Only stockholders of record as of February 26, 2016, may vote at the Annual Meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, please vote at www.proxyvote.com, call 1-800-690-6903 or complete, sign, date and return the proxy card. Returning the proxy card does NOT deprive you of your right to attend the meeting and to vote your shares at the meeting. The Proxy Statement explains proxy voting and the matters to be voted on in more detail. Please read our Proxy Statement carefully. We look forward to your attendance at the Annual Meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Jae Kim
Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

Sunnyvale, California

March 9, 2016

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT

WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING, PLEASE VOTE AT WWW.PROXYVOTE.COM, AS INSTRUCTED ON THE PROXY CARD OR THE NOTICE OF INTERNET AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS, CALL 1-800-690-6903, OR COMPLETE, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE PROXY CARD AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE

Table of Contents

RAMBUS INC.

PROXY STATEMENT

FOR

2016 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>General Information About The Meeting</u>	1
<u>Proposal One: Election of Directors</u>	7
<u>Nominees</u>	7
<u>Vote Required</u>	7
<u>Information About Nominees and Other Directors</u>	7
<u>Board of Directors Meetings and Committees</u>	11
<u>Director Independence</u>	11
<u>Director Qualifications</u>	11
<u>Corporate Governance Principles</u>	12
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	12
<u>Executive Sessions of the Independent Directors</u>	12
<u>Committees of the Board of Directors</u>	12
<u>Audit Committee</u>	12
<u>Compensation Committee</u>	13
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	14
<u>Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee</u>	14
<u>Identifying and Evaluating Nominees For Directors</u>	15
<u>Consideration of Stockholder Nominees to the Board</u>	15
<u>Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight</u>	16
<u>Transactions with Related Persons</u>	16
<u>Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions with Related Persons</u>	16
<u>Proposal Two: Advisory Vote to Approve Named Executive Officer Compensation</u>	18
<u>Proposal Three: Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	19
<u>Our History with PricewaterhouseCoopers</u>	19
<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	19
<u>Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and the Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	20
<u>Independence of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP</u>	20
<u>Vote Required</u>	20
<u>Equity Compensation Plan Information</u>	21
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners And Management</u>	22
<u>Executive Officers of the Company</u>	24
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	26
<u>Executive Compensation Tables</u>	36
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	36
<u>Grants of Plan Based Awards</u>	37
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End</u>	38
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested</u>	41
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control</u>	41
<u>Compensation of Directors</u>	43
<u>Summary of Director Plan</u>	43
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	45
<u>Report of the Audit Committee</u>	45
<u>Review with Management</u>	45

Edgar Filing: RAMBUS INC - Form DEF 14A

<u>Review and Discussions with the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	45
<u>Conclusion</u>	45
<u>Other Matters</u>	45

Table of Contents

RAMBUS INC.

PROXY STATEMENT

FOR

2016 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

The Board of Directors of Rambus Inc. (Rambus, we, us or the Company) is providing these proxy materials to you for use at our 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Annual Meeting) to be held on Thursday, April 21, 2016 at 9:00 a.m. Pacific Time, and at any postponement or adjournment of the meeting. The purpose of the Annual Meeting is described in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The Annual Meeting will be held virtually via the Internet at www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/RMBS2016. You will be able to vote and submit questions during the meeting.

Our principal executive offices are located at 1050 Enterprise Way, Suite 700, Sunnyvale, California 94089; our telephone number is (408) 462-8000. The Notice of Internet Availability (the Internet Notice) was first mailed on or about March 9, 2016 to stockholders of record as of February 26, 2016 and these proxy solicitation materials combined with the Annual Report for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, including our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 (the Form 10-K) were first made available to you on the Internet, on or about March 9, 2016. We maintain a website at www.rambus.com. The information on our website is not a part of this Proxy Statement.

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE MEETING

Who May Attend

You may attend the Annual Meeting if you owned your shares, either as a stockholder of record or as a beneficial owner as described below, as of the close of business on February 26, 2016 (the Record Date).

Stockholders of Record. If your shares are registered directly in your name, then you are considered to be the stockholder of record with respect to those shares, and we are sending these proxy materials directly to you. Instructions on how to attend and participate via the Internet, including how to demonstrate proof of stock ownership, are posted at www.virtualshareholdermeeting.com/RMBS2016. Stockholders may vote and submit questions while attending the meeting on the Internet.

Beneficial Owners. If your shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, and your broker or nominee is forwarding these proxy materials to you. Your broker or nominee is considered to be the stockholder of record with respect to those shares.

Internet Notice

Pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), we have provided access to our proxy materials over the Internet. Accordingly, the Internet Notice has been sent to our stockholders of record and beneficial owners as of the Record Date. Instructions on how to access the proxy materials over the Internet or to request a printed copy by mail may be found on the Internet Notice. In addition, the Internet Notice provides information on how stockholders may request to receive proxy materials in printed form by mail or electronically by email on an ongoing basis.

Table of Contents

By accessing the proxy materials on the Internet or choosing to receive your future proxy materials by email, you will save us the cost of printing and mailing documents to you and will reduce the impact of our annual stockholders' meetings on the environment. If you choose to receive future proxy materials by email, you will receive an email next year with instructions containing a link to those materials and a link to the proxy voting site. If you choose to receive future proxy materials by mail, you will receive a paper copy of those materials, including a form of proxy. Your election to receive proxy materials by mail or email will remain in effect until you notify us that you are changing or terminating your request.

Who May Vote

You may vote at the Annual Meeting if you owned your shares, either as a stockholder of record or as a beneficial owner, as of the close of business on the Record Date. As of that date, we had a total of 109,899,030 shares of common stock outstanding, which were held of record by approximately 532 stockholders. You are entitled to one vote for each share of our common stock that you own.

As of the Record Date, we had no shares of preferred stock outstanding.

Voting Your Proxy

Stockholders of Record. If you hold your shares in your own name as a holder of record, you may instruct the proxy holders how to vote your common stock by:

voting via the internet at www.proxyvote.com;

voting by telephone at 1-800-690-6903; or

voting by mail (if you requested printed copies of the proxy materials to be mailed to you), by completing, signing, dating and mailing the proxy card in the postage-paid envelope provided.

Even if you vote your shares by proxy, you may also choose to attend the Annual Meeting and vote your shares in person. If you provide instructions in your completed proxy card, the proxy holders will vote your shares in accordance with those instructions. If you sign and return a proxy card without giving specific voting instructions, your shares will be voted FOR all of the proposals described herein.

Beneficial Owners. If you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, you have the right to direct your broker how to vote. Your broker or nominee has enclosed with these materials or provided voting instructions for you to use in directing the broker or nominee how to vote your shares.

Discretionary Voting Power; Matters to be Presented

We are not aware of any matters to be presented at the Annual Meeting other than those described in this Proxy Statement. If any matters not described in this Proxy Statement are properly presented at the meeting, the proxy holders will use their own judgment to determine how to vote your shares. If the meeting is adjourned or postponed, the proxy holders can vote your shares on the new meeting date as well, unless you have subsequently revoked your proxy.

Table of Contents

Changing Your Vote

Stockholders of Record. If you would like to change your vote you can do so in the following ways:

deliver written notice of your revocation to our Corporate Secretary prior to the Annual Meeting;

deliver a properly executed, later dated proxy prior to the Annual Meeting;

vote again on a later date on the Internet or by telephone (only your latest Internet or telephone proxy submitted prior to the Annual Meeting will be counted); or

attend the Annual Meeting and vote at the meeting.

Please note that your attendance at the meeting in and of itself is not enough to revoke your proxy.

Beneficial Owners. If you instructed a broker or nominee to vote your shares following the directions originally included with these materials or provided to you, you can change your vote only by following your broker or nominee's directions for doing so.

Cost of this Proxy Solicitation

We will bear the cost of this proxy solicitation. In addition to soliciting proxies by mail, our directors, officers and employees may solicit proxies in person or by telephone. None of these individuals will receive any additional or special compensation for doing this, but they may be reimbursed for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses. We have also hired Morrow & Co., LLC to help us solicit proxies from brokers, bank nominees and other institutional owners. We expect to pay Morrow & Co., LLC a fee of up to approximately \$9,000 for its services, and we will reimburse certain out-of-pocket expenses.

Meeting Quorum

The Annual Meeting will be held if a majority of our outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote are represented at the meeting or by proxy.

Our Voting Recommendations

When proxies are properly dated, executed and returned, the shares represented by such proxies will be voted at the Annual Meeting in accordance with the directions of the stockholder. However, if no specific instructions are given, the shares will be voted in accordance with the following recommendations of our Board of Directors:

FOR the election of J. Thomas Bentley, E. Thomas Fisher, Charles Kissner and David Shrigley as Class I directors;

Edgar Filing: RAMBUS INC - Form DEF 14A

FOR the advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation, as disclosed in this Proxy Statement; and

FOR the ratification of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes

We treat shares that are voted **ABSTAIN** in person or by proxy as being:

present for purposes of determining whether or not a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting; and

entitled to vote on a particular subject matter at the Annual Meeting.

In the election of directors, any vote you make that is **ABSTAIN** for any nominee will not impact the election of that nominee. In tabulating the voting results for the election of directors, only **FOR** and **AGAINST** votes are counted.

For the other proposals, an **ABSTAIN** vote is the same as voting against the proposal.

If you hold your common stock through a broker, the broker may be prevented from voting shares held in your brokerage account on some proposals (a **broker non-vote**) unless you have given the broker voting instructions. Thus, if you hold your common stock through a broker, it is critical that you cast your vote if you want it to count. If you hold your common stock through a broker and you do not instruct your broker how to vote on Proposals One and Two, it will be considered a broker non-vote and no votes will be cast on your behalf with respect to such Proposals. Shares that are subject to a broker non-vote are counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists but do not count for or against any particular proposal.

Your broker will continue to have discretion to vote any uninstructed shares on Proposal Three, the Ratification of the Appointment of the Company's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Procedure for Submitting Stockholder Proposals

Stockholders may present proposals for action at a future annual meeting only if they comply with the requirements of our bylaws and the proxy rules established by the SEC.

Stockholder proposals, including nominations for the election of directors, which are intended to be presented by such stockholders at our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders must be received by us no later than November 9, 2016 to be considered for inclusion in the proxy statement and proxy card relating to that meeting.

In addition to the SEC rules, our bylaws establish an advance notice procedure for proposals that a stockholder wants to have included in our proxy statement relating to a meeting or to have brought before the meeting. To be timely, a stockholder proposal must be received by the Company's Secretary/General Counsel at the principal executive offices of the Company not later than the 45th day nor earlier than the 75th day before the one-year anniversary of the date the Company's proxy statement was released to stockholders in connection with the previous year's annual meeting. However, if no annual meeting was held in the

Table of Contents

previous year or if the date of the annual meeting is advanced by more than 30 days prior to or delayed by more than 60 days after the one-year anniversary of the date of the previous year's annual meeting, then notice must be received no earlier than the close of business on the 120th day prior to such annual meeting and not later than the close of business on the later of the 90th day prior to such annual meeting, or the tenth day following the day on which public announcement of the date of such annual meeting is first made.

Moreover, your notice must contain specific information concerning the matters to be brought before the meeting. We urge you to read our bylaws in full in order to understand the requirements of bringing a proposal or nomination.

A copy of the full text of the bylaw provision relating to our advance notice procedure may be obtained by writing to our Corporate Secretary or by accessing a copy of our bylaws, which are publicly available at <http://www.sec.gov>. All notices of proposals by stockholders, whether or not included in proxy materials, should be sent to Rambus Inc., 1050 Enterprise Way, Suite 700, Sunnyvale, California 94089, Attention: Secretary.

Communication With the Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors may be contacted by writing to them via regular mail at Board of Directors, Rambus Inc., 1050 Enterprise Way, Suite 700, Sunnyvale, California 94089. If you wish to contact our Board of Directors or any member of the Audit Committee to report questionable accounting or auditing matters you may do so anonymously by using this mailing address and designating the communication as confidential.

Our process for handling communications to our Board of Directors is as follows:

Any stockholder communications that our Board of Directors receives will first go to our Secretary/General Counsel, who will log the date of receipt of the communication as well as (for non-confidential communications) the identity of the correspondent in our stockholder communications log.

Unless the communication is marked confidential, our Secretary/General Counsel will review, summarize and, if appropriate, draft a response to the communication. The summary and response will become part of the stockholder communications log that our Secretary/General Counsel maintains with respect to all stockholder communications.

Our Secretary/General Counsel will then forward the stockholder communication to the member(s) of our Board of Directors (or committee chair if the communication is addressed to a committee) for review.

Any stockholder communication marked confidential will be logged by our Secretary/General Counsel as received but will not be reviewed, opened or otherwise held by our Secretary/General Counsel. Such confidential correspondence will be forwarded to the addressee(s).

Table of Contents

Annual Meeting Attendance

Members of our Board of Directors are invited but not required to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders was attended by all of the members of our Board of Directors.

Householding of Proxy Materials

The SEC has adopted rules that permit companies and intermediaries such as brokers to satisfy delivery requirements for proxy statements with respect to two or more stockholders sharing the same address by delivering a single proxy statement addressed to those stockholders. This process, which is commonly referred to as householding, potentially provides extra convenience for stockholders and cost savings for companies. The Company and some brokers household proxy materials, delivering a single proxy. If your proxy statement is being househanded and you would like to receive separate copies, or if you are receiving multiple copies and would like to receive a single copy, please contact Investor Relations at Rambus Inc., 1050 Enterprise Way, Suite 700, Sunnyvale, California 94089, Attention: Secretary, or ir@rambus.com, or place a collect call to the Company, at (408) 462-8000, and direct the call to the Investor Relations Department.

Delivery of Proxy Materials

To receive current and future proxy materials, such as annual reports, proxy statements and proxy cards, in either paper or electronic form, please contact Investor Relations at ir@rambus.com or <http://investor.rambus.com>, or place a collect call to the Company, at (408) 462-8000, and direct the call to the Investor Relations Department.

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON APRIL 21, 2016

The Notice and Proxy Statement, Annual Report to Stockholders and Form 10-K Combo document are available at www.proxyvote.com. You are encouraged to access and review all of the important information contained in the proxy materials before voting.

Table of Contents**PROPOSAL ONE: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

Our Board of Directors is currently composed of seven members who are divided into two classes with overlapping two-year terms. As of the date of this proxy statement, we have four Class I directors and three Class II directors, as noted under "Nominees" below. At each annual meeting of stockholders, a class of directors is elected for a term of two years to succeed those directors whose terms expire on the annual meeting date. A director serves in office until his or her respective successor is duly elected and qualified or until his or her death or resignation. Any additional directorships resulting from an increase in the number of directors will be distributed among the two classes so that, as nearly as possible, each class will consist of an equal number of directors. Any vacancy occurring mid-term will be filled by a person selected by a majority of the other current members of the Board of Directors. There is no family relationship between any of our directors.

Nominees

Four Class I directors are to be elected at the Annual Meeting for a two-year term ending in 2018. Based upon the recommendation of our Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee, our Board has nominated: J. Thomas Bentley, E. Thomas Fisher, Charles Kissner and David Shrigley for election as Class I directors.

If any of these nominees is unable or declines to serve as a director at the time of the Annual Meeting, proxies will be voted for a substitute nominee or nominees designated by the Board of Directors.

Vote Required

The Company's bylaws require that each director be elected by the majority of votes cast with respect to such director in uncontested elections. The Board of Directors, after taking into consideration the recommendation of the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee of the Board, will determine whether or not to accept the pre-tendered resignation of any nominee for director, in an uncontested election, who receives a greater number of votes AGAINST his or her election than votes FOR such election. There are no cumulative voting rights in the election of directors. Stockholders as of the Record Date may vote their shares for or against some, all or none of the Class I nominees.

Information About Nominees and Other Directors

The members of our Board of Directors have deep executive and board leadership experience derived from their respective tenures as executives and directors of technology companies of various sizes. The following table contains information regarding the Class I nominees and other directors as of February 26, 2016. This information includes the specific experience, qualifications, attributes and skills that led to the Board of Directors' conclusion that the person should serve as a director.

Incumbent Nominees for Class I Directors

Name	Age	Principal Occupation and Business Experience
J. Thomas Bentley	66	Mr. Bentley has served as a director since March 2005, and served as Chairman of the Board from June 2011 to March 2013. He served as a managing director at SVB Alliant (formerly Alliant Partners), a mergers and acquisitions firm, since he co-founded the firm in 1990 until October 2005. Mr. Bentley holds a B.A. in Economics from Vanderbilt University and an M.S. in Management from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Mr. Bentley currently serves on the board of Nanometrics, Inc.

Table of Contents

Name	Age	Principal Occupation and Business Experience
		<p>Mr. Bentley's financial expertise and years of business and leadership experience, including fifteen years as a co-founder of a financial advisory firm, allow him to provide strategic guidance to us and led the Board of Directors to conclude that he should serve as a director. In addition, our Board of Directors' determination that Mr. Bentley is the Audit Committee financial expert lends further support to his financial acumen and qualifications for serving on our Board of Directors.</p>
E. Thomas Fisher	61	<p>Mr. Fisher has served as a director since January 2015. He is currently senior vice president and chief information officer (CIO) of Global Commercial Cloud Services at Oracle Corporation and has held the position since June 2011. Prior to joining Oracle, Mr. Fisher served as CIO and vice president of Cloud Computing at SuccessFactors, Inc., now SAP, from April 2009 to June 2011. Prior to joining SuccessFactors, Mr. Fisher spent seven years at Qualcomm where he served as CIO of CDMA Technologies. Before Qualcomm, he was vice president and acting chief technology officer at eBay Inc. Mr. Fisher holds a bachelor of arts degree from the University of North Carolina in Charlotte.</p> <p>Mr. Fisher's experience as a technology officer of high technology companies, his experience with cloud based products and services as well as his business and leadership experience allow him to provide strategic guidance to the Board and the Company, which led the Board of Directors to conclude that he should serve as a director.</p>
Charles Kissner	68	<p>Mr. Kissner has served as a director since July 2012. He is currently the Chairman of the Board of ShoreTel Inc., a business communications systems company. From January 2007 to February 2015, he was Chairman of Aviat Networks and from June 2010 to July 2011, Mr. Kissner was Chairman and CEO. From 2010 to 2015, he served on the board of Meru Networks, a technology leader in the enterprise wireless systems market. From 1995 to 2006, he served as Chairman and CEO of Stratex Networks, a global provider of wireless transmission solutions. Mr. Kissner previously was Vice President and General Manager of M/A-COM, Inc., a manufacturer of radio and microwave communications products, President and CEO of Aristacom International, a communications software company, Executive Vice President of Fujitsu Network Switching, Inc., and held a number of executive positions at AT&T (now Alcatel-Lucent). He has also served on a number of other public and private boards, as well as not-for-profit boards such as the NPR Foundation and Angel Flight, Inc. He currently serves on the board of non-profit KQED Public Media. Mr. Kissner holds a Bachelor of Science degree from California State Polytechnic University and a Master of Business Administration degree from Santa Clara University.</p> <p>Mr. Kissner's experience as a director and executive of wireless technology and networking companies and his years of business and leadership experience led the Board of Directors to conclude that he should serve as a director.</p>

Table of Contents

Name	Age	Principal Occupation and Business Experience
David Shrigley	67	Mr. Shrigley has served as a director since October 2006. He was most recently the Executive Chairman of Soil and Topography Information, Inc. Mr. Shrigley was a member of the board of Wolfson Microelectronics plc, a supplier of mixed-signal chips for the digital market from November 2006 to December 2008, and was its chief executive officer from March 2007. He served as a general partner at Sevin Rosen Funds, a venture capital firm, from 1999 to 2005. Prior to that, Mr. Shrigley held the position of executive vice president, Marketing, Sales and Service at Bay Networks, a network hardware company. Mr. Shrigley served in various executive positions at Intel Corporation, including vice president and general manager of Asia Pacific sales and marketing operations based in Hong Kong, and vice president and general manager, corporate marketing. Mr. Shrigley holds a B.S. in Business Administration from Franklin University.

Mr. Shrigley's experience as a director and executive officer of high technology companies, his experience in the venture capital industry and his years of international business and leadership experience led the Board of Directors to conclude that he should serve as a director.

The Board unanimously recommends that you vote FOR the election to the Board of Directors of each of the nominees proposed above.

Incumbent Class II Directors Whose Terms Expire in 2017

Name	Age	Principal Occupation and Business Experience
Ronald Black, Ph.D.	52	Dr. Black has served as our chief executive officer and president since June 2012 and as a director since July 2012. Dr. Black was previously the Managing Director of R.D. Black & Company, a consulting firm, since August 2011. From September 2010 to August 2011, Dr. Black was the Chief Executive Officer of MobiWire, formerly Sagem Wireless, a privately-held mobile handset company headquartered near Paris, France that offers products and services to original equipment manufacturers and mobile network operators in the mobile phone marketplace. From June 2009 to October 2010, Dr. Black served as Chairman and CEO of UPEK, Inc. Dr. Black currently serves as a board member of Energy Focus Inc, a publicly held LED lighting technology developer, Microfabrica Inc, a privately held high precision metal parts fabricator, and FlexEnable Limited, a privately held producer of flexible electronics manufacturing platforms. From 2012 to March 2015, Dr. Black served on the board of EnOcean GmbH, a German-based company that manufactures and markets energy harvesting technology, sensors, and radio frequency communication. From September 2010 to November 2012, he served as a board member of AuthenTec, Inc., which he joined following the AuthenTec-UPEK merger in September 2010 and from 2007 to 2013, he served as a board member of Inside Contactless, a France-based company engaged in the semiconductors and information technology industry. From September 2004 to June 2009, he was chief executive officer of Wavecom S.A., a publicly traded French wireless solutions company. Dr. Black holds a Bachelor of Science, a Masters of Science, and a Ph.D. in materials science and engineering from Cornell University in Ithaca, N.Y.

Table of Contents

Name	Age	Principal Occupation and Business Experience
		Dr. Black's status as our chief executive officer, his record as a leader of various technology companies, both domestic and foreign, and his deep technical expertise led the Board of Directors to conclude that he should serve as a director.
Penelope A. Herscher	55	<p>Ms. Herscher has served as a director since July 2006. She currently holds the position of Executive Chairman of FirstRain, Inc., a custom-configured, on-demand intelligence services firm, which she joined in 2005. Ms. Herscher previously held the position of president and chief executive officer of FirstRain, Inc., from 2004 to 2015, executive vice president and chief marketing officer at Cadence Design Systems from 2002 to 2003, and executive vice president and general manager, Design and Verification Business during the second half of 2003. From 1996 to 2002, Ms. Herscher was president and chief executive officer of Simplex Solutions, which was acquired by Cadence in 2002. Before Simplex, she was an executive at Synopsys for eight years and started her career as an R&D engineer with Texas Instruments. She holds a M.A. with honors in Mathematics from Cambridge University in England. Ms. Herscher serves on the boards of FirstRain and Lumentum Holdings Inc. (formerly JDS Uniphase Corporation, which split into two companies, Lumentum Holdings Inc. and Viavi Solutions Inc.). She has also served on the board of several non-profit institutions.</p> <p>Ms. Herscher's experience as chief executive officer of technology companies, the successful sale of a company under her leadership to a larger technology company and her years of business and leadership experience led the Board of Directors to conclude that she should serve as a director.</p>
Eric Stang	56	<p>Mr. Stang has served as a director since July 2008 and has served as Chairman of the Board since March 2013. Mr. Stang currently serves as chairman, president and chief executive officer of Ooma, Inc., a cloudbased communications and connected services company that went public in July 2015. He has held the position of Chairman since December 2014 and the positions of President, chief executive officer and director since January 2009. Prior to joining Ooma, Mr. Stang served as a director, chief executive officer and president of Reliant Technologies, Inc., a developer of medical technology solutions for aesthetic applications, from 2006 to 2008. Mr. Stang previously served as chief executive officer and president of Lexar Media, Inc., a provider of solid state memory products from 2001 to 2006 and Chairman from 2004 to 2006. He currently serves on the board of Invensense. Mr. Stang received his A.B. from Stanford University and M.B.A. from the Harvard Business School. Mr. Stang also serves on the boards of private companies.</p> <p>Mr. Stang's experience as chief executive officer of high technology companies, his prior experience in the memory products market and his years of business and leadership experience led the Board of Directors to conclude that he should serve as a director.</p>

Table of Contents

Board of Directors Meetings and Committees

Our Board of Directors held a total of 15 meetings during 2015. During 2015, each member of our Board of Directors attended 75% or more of the meetings of the Board of Directors and of the committees, if any, of which she or he was a member.

Director Independence

Our Board of Directors has determined that each of the following directors, constituting a majority of our Board of Directors, has no material relationship with us (either directly as a partner, stockholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with us) and is independent under the applicable NASDAQ and SEC rules: J. Thomas Bentley, E. Thomas Fisher, Penelope A. Herscher, Charles Kissner, David Shrigley and Eric Stang.

Each of the committees of our Board of Directors is composed of independent directors as follows:

Audit Committee:	J. Thomas Bentley (Chair)
	Charles Kissner
	David Shrigley
Compensation Committee:	Penelope A. Herscher (Chair)
	E. Thomas Fisher
	Charles Kissner
Corporate Governance/ Nominating Committee:	Eric Stang (Chair)
	David Shrigley

Director Qualifications

Except as may be required by rules promulgated by NASDAQ or the SEC, there are currently no specific, minimum qualifications that must be met by each candidate for our Board of Directors, nor are there any specific qualities or skills that are necessary for one or more of the members of our Board of Directors to possess. The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee considers a number of factors in its assessment of the appropriate skills and characteristics of members of the Board of Directors, as well as the composition of the Board of Directors as a whole. These factors include the members qualification as independent, as well as consideration of judgment, character, integrity, diversity, skills, and experience in such areas as operations, technology, finance, and the general needs of the Board of Directors and such other factors as the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee may consider appropriate. The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee does not have a formal policy with respect to diversity. However, the Board of Directors and the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee believe that it is essential that the members of the Board of Directors represent diverse viewpoints. In considering candidates for the Board of Directors, the Board of Directors and the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee consider the entirety of each candidate's credentials in the context of the factors mentioned above.

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance Principles

We are committed to maintaining the highest standards of business conduct and corporate governance, which we believe are essential to running our business efficiently, serving our stockholders' interests and maintaining our integrity in the marketplace. We have adopted a code of business conduct and ethics for directors, officers and employees known as the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which is available on our website at <http://investor.rambus.com/corporate-governance.cfm>.

Section 16(a) Reporting

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Securities Exchange Act") requires our executive officers, directors and ten percent stockholders to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC. The same persons are required to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based on our review of these forms, we believe that during fiscal 2015 all of our executive officers, directors and ten percent stockholders complied with the applicable filing requirements.

Executive Sessions of the Independent Directors

It is the policy of the Board of Directors to have executive sessions of the independent directors at which only independent directors are present, typically in conjunction with the regularly scheduled meetings of the Board of Directors.

Committees of the Board of Directors

During 2015, our Board of Directors had three standing committees:

an Audit Committee,

a Compensation Committee and

a Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee.

The following describes each committee, its function, its membership, and the number of meetings held during 2015.

Each of the committees operates under a written charter adopted by our Board of Directors. All of the current committee charters are available on our website at <http://investor.rambus.com/corporate-governance.cfm>.

Audit Committee

Currently, the Audit Committee is composed of J. Thomas Bentley, Charles Kissner and David Shrigley, with Mr. Bentley serving as Chair. The Audit Committee oversees our corporate accounting and financial reporting processes and internal control over financial reporting, as well as our internal and external audits. The Audit Committee held 8 meetings during 2015. Its duties include:

Reviewing our accounting and financial reporting processes and internal control over financial reporting;

Providing oversight and review at least annually of our risk management policies, including our investment policy;

Retaining the independent registered public accounting firm, approving their fees, and providing oversight of communication with them;

Reviewing the plans, findings and performance of our internal auditors;

Table of Contents

Reviewing our annual and quarterly financial statements and related disclosure documents;
and

Overseeing special investigations into financial and other matters, as necessary.

Our Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Bentley is the Audit Committee financial expert and that Mr. Bentley, together with each of Messrs. Kissner and Shrigley, has no material relationship with us (either directly as a partner, stockholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with us) and is an independent director under the applicable NASDAQ and SEC rules.

The Audit Committee's role is detailed in the Audit Committee Charter and is available on our website at <http://investor.rambus.com/corporate-governance.cfm>.

Compensation Committee

Currently, the Compensation Committee is composed of E. Thomas Fisher, Penelope A. Herscher and Charles Kissner, with Ms. Herscher serving as Chair. Our Board of Directors has determined that each of Mr. Fisher, Ms. Herscher and Mr. Kissner are independent under the rules for compensation committee independence under the applicable NASDAQ and SEC rules. The Compensation Committee reviews and determines all forms of compensation to be provided to our executive officers, including the named executive officers and directors of Rambus, including base compensation, bonuses, and stock compensation. The Compensation Committee held 8 meetings during 2015. Its duties include:

Annually reviewing and approving the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and other executive officers' compensation in the context of their performance, which includes reviewing and approving their annual base salary, annual incentive bonus, including the specific goals, targets, and amounts, equity compensation, and any employment agreements, and any other benefits, compensation or arrangements, as applicable;

Administering our stock option and equity incentive plans pursuant to the terms of such plans and the authority delegated by our Board of Directors, including: granting stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units (RSUs) or other equity compensation to individuals eligible for such grants and amend such awards following their grant; amending the plans; and delegating to appropriate executive officers of the Company the ability to grant awards to non-executive officer employees of the Company pursuant to specific guidelines;

Adopting, amending and overseeing the administration of our significant employee benefits programs;

Reviewing external surveys to establish appropriate ranges of compensation;

Table of Contents

Retaining and terminating any compensation consultant to assist in the evaluation of CEO or executive officer or director compensation, and approving the consultant's fees and other terms of service, as well as obtaining advice and assistance from internal or external legal, accounting or other advisors; and

Conducting an annual assessment of the Company's engagement with compensation consultants retained by the Board and/or management, as applicable, including the nature and extent of services provided, the amount of fees paid and who made or recommended the decision to retain the compensation consultants.

The Compensation Committee uses Semler Brossy Consulting Group, LLC (SBCG) to assist in evaluating executive and director compensation, and has determined that SBCG is an independent consultant under applicable NASDAQ rules.

A detailed description of the processes and procedures of the Compensation Committee for considering and determining executive and director compensation, including the role of SBCG, is provided in the Executive Compensation section of this proxy statement.

The Compensation Committee's role is detailed in the Compensation Committee Charter, which is available on our website at <http://investor.rambus.com/corporate-governance.cfm>.

**Compensation Committee
Interlocks and Insider
Participation**

The Compensation Committee is comprised entirely of the three independent directors listed above. During 2015, there were no interlock relationships by our Compensation Committee members. Please see the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement for further discussion.

**Corporate Governance & Nominating
Committee**

Currently, the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee is composed of Eric Stang and David Shrigley, with Mr. Stang serving as Chair. Our Board of Directors has determined that each of Messrs. Stang and Shrigley are independent under applicable NASDAQ and SEC rules. The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee held five meetings during 2015.

The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee recommends and approves Rambus Corporate Governance Guidelines. Its duties include:

Edgar Filing: RAMBUS INC - Form DEF 14A

Evaluating and making recommendations to the Board of Directors concerning the appointment of directors to committees of the Board of Directors and the selection of committee chairs;

Identifying best practices and recommending corporate governance principles;

Overseeing the evaluation of the Board of Directors; and

Table of Contents

Proposing the slate of nominees for election to the Board of Directors.

The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee's role is detailed in the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee Charter which is available on our website at <http://investor.rambus.com/corporate-governance.cfm>.

Identifying and Evaluating Nominees For Directors

The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee utilizes a variety of methods for identifying and evaluating nominees for director, including those discussed in the Director Qualifications section of this proxy statement. In the event that vacancies on the Board of Directors are anticipated, or otherwise arise, the committee will consider various potential candidates for director. Candidates may come to the attention of the committee through current members of the Board of Directors, professional search firms, stockholders or other persons. The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee has from time to time retained third parties to whom a fee is paid to assist it in identifying or evaluating potential director nominees.

Stockholders may propose director candidates for general consideration by the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee by submitting in proper written form the individual's name, qualifications, and the other information set forth below in Consideration of Stockholder Nominees to the Board to the Secretary of the Company. The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee will evaluate any candidates recommended by stockholders against the same criteria and pursuant to the same policies and procedures applicable to the evaluation of candidates proposed by directors or management.

Consideration of Stockholder Nominees to the Board

Stockholders may nominate directors for election at an annual meeting or at a special meeting at which directors are to be elected or re-elected, provided that the advance notice requirements for director nominations set forth in the Company's bylaws have been met. As summarized below, this advance notice provision requires a stockholder to give timely notice of a director nomination in proper written form to the Secretary of the Company at Rambus Inc., 1050 Enterprise Way, Suite 700, Sunnyvale, CA 94089, Attention: Secretary.

In order for a stockholder to give timely notice of a director nomination for an annual meeting, the notice must be received by the Secretary at the Company's principal executive offices not later than the 45th day nor earlier than the 75th day before the one-year anniversary of the date the Company's proxy statement was released to stockholders in connection with the previous year's annual meeting. However, if no annual meeting was held in the previous year or if the date of the annual meeting is advanced by more than 30 days prior to or delayed by more than 60 days after the one-year anniversary of the date of the previous year's annual meeting, then notice must be received no earlier than the close of business on the 120th day prior to such annual meeting and not later than the close of business on the later of the 90th day prior to such annual meeting, or the tenth day following the day on which public announcement of the date of such annual meeting is first made.

Table of Contents

In order for a stockholder to give timely notice of a director nomination for a special meeting at which directors are to be elected or re-elected, the notice must be received by the Secretary at the Company's principal executive offices not later than the later of the 90th day prior to such special meeting or the tenth day following the day on which public announcement is first made of the date of the special meeting and of the nominees proposed by the Board to be elected or re-elected at such meeting.

To be in proper written form, a stockholder's notice to the Secretary of the Company must set forth the information required by our bylaws, which we urge you to read in full in order to understand the requirements for making a director nomination.

**Board Leadership Structure and
Role in Risk Oversight**

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines require that the Chairperson of the Board not be the CEO of the Company. In addition, while the Chairperson works closely with the CEO and other members of our management, the Chairperson is not part of management and does not have an operating or external role or responsibility. The Board of Directors considers it useful and appropriate to designate a Chairperson to act as the presiding director at Board of Directors meetings, to call and organize such meetings and manage the agenda thereof, and to manage the affairs of the Board of Directors, including ensuring that the Board of Directors is organized properly, functions effectively, and meets its obligations and responsibilities. The Chairperson also acts as the principal contact for the CEO and other members of the Board of Directors and management, as appropriate, for matters requiring the attention of the full Board of Directors. We believe that this leadership structure is appropriate given the attention, time, effort, and energy that the CEO is required to dedicate to his position in the current business environment, and the high level of commitment required to serve as our Chairperson.

The Board of Directors plays an integral role in our risk oversight processes. The Board of Directors meets regularly to receive reports from its committees, as well as from management with respect to areas of material risk to the Company, including legal, operational, financial and strategic risks. In addition, the Audit Committee oversees and reviews at least annually our risk management policies, including our investment policies.

**Transactions with Related
Persons**

None.

**Review, Approval or Ratification
of Transactions with Related
Persons**

Our directors and executive officers are subject to our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, and our directors are guided in their duties by our Corporate Governance Guidelines. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics requires that our directors and executive officers avoid situations where a conflict of interest might occur or appear to occur. In general, our directors and executive officers should not have a pecuniary interest in transactions involving us or a customer, licensee, or supplier of the Company, unless such interest is solely a result of routine investments made by the individual in publicly traded companies.

Table of Contents

In the event that a director or executive officer is going to enter into a related party transaction with a relative or significant other, or with a business in which a relative or significant other is associated in any significant role, the director or executive officer must fully disclose the nature of the related party transaction to our Chief Financial Officer. For directors and executive officers, such related party transaction then must be reviewed and approved in advance by the Audit Committee. For other conflicts of interest that may arise, the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics advises our directors and executive officers to consult with our General Counsel.

In addition, each director and officer is required to complete a Director and Officer Questionnaire on an annual basis and upon any new appointment, and provide quarterly updates, which requires disclosure of any related-party transactions pertaining to the director or executive officer. Our Board of Directors will consider such information in its determinations of independence with respect to our directors under applicable NASDAQ and SEC rules.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL TWO: ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION

We are asking our stockholders to provide an advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables and narrative disclosures as described in this Proxy Statement. The Company currently holds such an advisory vote annually, and this proposal, commonly known as a say-on-pay proposal, gives our stockholders the opportunity to express their views on the compensation of our named executive officers.

Please see the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement, the compensation tables and the narrative disclosures that accompany the compensation tables for greater detail about our executive compensation programs, including information about the fiscal year 2015 compensation of our named executive officers.

We believe that our overall compensation program and philosophy support and help drive the Company's long-term value creation, business strategy and operating performance objectives. We are again asking our stockholders to indicate their support for our named executive officer compensation as described in this proxy statement by voting **FOR** the following resolution at the Annual Meeting:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion is hereby **APPROVED**.

While this say-on-pay vote is advisory and does not bind the Company to any particular action, the Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee value your opinion. Accordingly, the Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee will consider the outcome of this vote when making future compensation decisions for the Company's named executive officers.

Approval of this resolution requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting.

The Board unanimously recommends a vote **FOR the approval of the compensation of our named executive officers, as disclosed in this Proxy Statement.**

Table of Contents**PROPOSAL THREE: RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Audit Committee has appointed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm to Rambus to audit our consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016.

Although ratification by stockholders is not required by law, the Audit Committee has conditioned its appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm upon the receipt of the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes duly cast at the Annual Meeting.

Notwithstanding its selection, the Audit Committee, in its discretion, may hire a new independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if the Audit Committee believes that such a change would be in the best interest of Rambus and its stockholders.

Our History with PricewaterhouseCoopers

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (or its predecessor, Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P.) has audited our financial statements since 1991. Representatives of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting to respond to appropriate questions and to make a statement if they so desire.

Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The aggregate fees billed for professional accounting services by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 are as follows:

	Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2015	Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2014
Audit Fees (1)	\$ 1,361,292	\$ 1,313,936
Audit-Related Fees (2)	\$ 25,000	\$
Tax Fees (3)	\$ 63,705	\$ 39,577
All Other Fees (4)	\$ 3,300	\$ 3,300
Total Fees	\$ 1,453,297	\$ 1,356,813

- (1) Audit Fees consist of fees for PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's professional services rendered for the audit of the Company's consolidated annual financial statements, review of the interim consolidated financial statements included in quarterly reports. Fees relating to professional services rendered for the audits of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting in fiscal 2015 and 2014 are included under Audit Fees.
- (2) Audit-Related Fees consist of fees related to work performed around the release of the deferred tax asset valuation allowance.
- (3) Tax Fees primarily relate to tax studies, statutory tax compliance and technical tax advice in both years presented.
- (4) All Other Fees consist of fees for products and services other than the services described above. During fiscal 2015 and 2014, these fees related to a license to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's online accounting and auditing research tool and disclosure checklist.

Table of Contents

Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and the Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Audit Committee's policy is to pre-approve 100% of all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm. These services may include audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services. Pre-approval is generally provided for up to one year and any pre-approval is detailed as to the particular service or category of services and is generally subject to a specific budget. The independent registered public accounting firm and management are required to periodically report to the Audit Committee regarding the extent of services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm in accordance with this pre-approval, and the fees for the services performed to date. The Audit Committee may also pre-approve particular services on a case-by-case basis.

Independence of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

The Audit Committee has determined that the accounting advice and tax services provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP are compatible with maintaining PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's independence.

Vote Required

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be required to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm.

The Board unanimously recommends that you vote FOR the ratification of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents**EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION**

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2015 with respect to the shares of our Common Stock that may be issued under our existing equity compensation plans.

Plan Category	(A) Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Awards, Options, Warrants and Rights	(B) Weighted- Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Awards, Options, Warrants and Rights	(C) Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column A)
Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Security			
Holder (1) (2) (3) (4)	12,003,135	\$ 7.50	13,173,545
Total	12,003,135	\$ 7.50	13,173,545

- (1) Data reflects our 1997 Stock Plan (the 1997 Plan), our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2006 Plan), our 2006 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the 2006 ESPP), our 2015 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2015 Plan) and our 2015 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the 2015 ESPP).
- (2) Our 2006 Plan was replaced by our 2015 Plan in 2015, 4,000,000 shares, as approved by our stockholders at our April 23, 2015 annual meeting. No further awards will be made under the 2006 Plan, but the plan will continue to govern awards previously granted thereunder. Any shares forfeited, cancelled, exchanged, surrendered or terminated under the terms of the 2006 Plan will become available for grant under the 2015 Plan.
- (3) Our 2006 ESPP was replaced by our 2015 ESPP in 2015, 2,000,000 shares, as approved by our stockholders at our April 23, 2015 annual meeting. The final awards were made under the 2006 ESPP on November 2, 2015 and no further awards will be made under the plan with the remaining shares terminating at that time. The first offering period under the 2015 ESPP began on November 2, 2015.
- (4) As a result of stockholder approval of our 2006 Plan, our 1997 Plan terminated and no further awards have been or will be made thereunder, but the plan will continue to govern outstanding awards granted under the plan.

Table of Contents**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

Under the proxy rules of the SEC, a person who directly or indirectly has or shares voting power or investment power with respect to a security is considered a beneficial owner of the security. Voting power is the power to vote or direct the voting of shares, and investment power is the power to dispose of or direct the disposition of shares. Shares as to which voting power or investment power may be acquired within 60 days are also considered as beneficially owned under the proxy rules.

The following table sets forth certain information as of February 26, 2016, regarding beneficial ownership of our Common Stock by: (i) each person who is known to us to own beneficially more than five percent of our Common Stock; (ii) each of our current directors; (iii) each of the named executive officers in the Summary Compensation Table of this annual report; and (iv) the total for our current directors and current executive officers as a group. The information on beneficial ownership in the table and the footnotes is based upon our records and the most recent Schedule 13D or 13G filed by each such person or entity and information supplied to us by such person or entity. Unless otherwise indicated, each person has sole voting power and sole investment power with respect to all shares beneficially owned, subject to community property laws where applicable. Shares subject to options which are exercisable within 60 days of February 26, 2016 are deemed to be outstanding and to be beneficially owned by the person holding such options for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of such person, but are not deemed to be outstanding and to be beneficially owned for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person.

Name or Group of Beneficial Owners	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	Options Exercisable in 60 days	Percentage of Shares Beneficially Owned (1)
Waddell & Reed Financial (2) 6300 Lamar Avenue Overland Park, KS 66202	11,718,106		10.7%
BlackRock, Inc (3) 55 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10055	10,955,369		10.0%
PRIMECAP Management Company (4) 225 South Lake Avenue, #400 Pasadena, CA 91101	9,324,322		8.5%
The Vanguard Group (5) 100 Vanguard Boulevard Malvern, PA 19355	8,908,755		8.1%
FMR LLC (6) 245 Summer Street Boston, MA 02210	8,358,681		7.6%
Ronald Black	931,800	860,177	*
Satish Rishi (7)	732,918	582,848	*
Laura Stark	324,083	257,007	*
Jae Kim	28,396	22,051	*
J. Thomas Bentley (8)	173,644	40,000	*
E. Thomas Fisher	11,666	11,666	*
Penelope A. Herscher (9)	115,773	60,000	*
Charles Kissner (10)	64,140	36,666	*
David Shrigley (11)	114,061	60,000	*
Eric Stang (12)	100,561	40,000	*
All current directors and executive officers as a group (10 persons)	2,597,042	1,970,415	2.3%
Shares Outstanding as of February 26, 2016			109,899,030

* (Less than 1%)

(1) Percentage of shares beneficially owned is based on 109,899,030 shares outstanding as of February 26, 2016.

Table of Contents

- (2) As reported on Schedule 13G/A on February 12, 2016. The Schedule 13G/A was filed jointly on behalf of Waddell & Reed Financial, Inc., Waddell & Reed Financial Services, Inc., Waddell & Reed, Inc., Waddell & Reed Investment Management Company and Ivy Investment Management Company in connection with the beneficial ownership of the Common Stock of Rambus Incorporated.
- (3) As reported on Schedule 13G/A on January 27, 2016.
- (4) As reported on Schedule 13G/A on February 12, 2016.
- (5) As reported on Schedule 13G/A on February 10, 2016.
- (6) As reported on Schedule 13G/A on February 12, 2016. The Schedule 13G/A was filed jointly on behalf of FMR LLC, and Abigail P. Johnson in connection with the beneficial ownership of the Common Stock of Rambus Incorporated.
- (7) Includes 3,000 shares held in trust for which Mr. Rishi serves as a trustee.
- (8) Includes 113,644 shares held in trust for which Mr. Bentley serves as a trustee and 20,000 shares held in partnership for which Mr. Bentley serves as a partner.
- (9) Includes 55,773 shares held in trust for which Ms. Herscher serves as a trustee.
- (10) Includes 27,474 shares held under an LLC for which Mr. Kissner serves as owner.
- (11) Includes 4,300 shares gifted to foundation for which Mr. Shrigley serves as principal.
- (12) Includes 60,561 shares held in trust for which Mr. Stang serves as a trustee.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY**

Information regarding our executive officers and their ages and positions as of February 26, 2016, is contained in the table below. Our executive officers are appointed by, and serve at the discretion of, our Board of Directors. There is no family relationship between any of our executive officers.

Ronald Black, Ph.D.	52 President and Chief Executive Officer. Dr. Black has served as our president and chief executive officer since June 2012 and as a director since July 2012. Dr. Black was previously the Managing Director of R.D. Black & Company, a consulting firm, since August 2011. From September 2010 to August 2011, Dr. Black was the Chief Executive Officer of MobiWire, formerly Sagem Wireless, a privately-held mobile handset company headquartered near Paris, France that offers products and services to original equipment manufacturers and mobile network operators in the mobile phone marketplace. From June 2009 to October 2010, Dr. Black served as Chairman and CEO of UPEK, Inc. Dr. Black currently serves as a board member of Energy Focus Inc, a publicly held LED lighting technology developer, Microfabrica Inc, a privately held high precision metal parts fabricator, and FlexEnable Limited, a privately held producer of flexible electronics manufacturing platforms. From 2012 to March 2015, Dr. Black served on the board of EnOcean GmbH, a German-based company that manufactures and markets energy harvesting technology, sensors, and radio frequency communication. From September 2010 to November 2012, he served as a board member of AuthenTec, Inc., which he joined following the AuthenTec-UPEK merger in September 2010 and from 2007 to 2013, he served as a board member of Inside Contactless, a France-based company engaged in the semiconductors and information technology industry. From September 2004 to June 2009, he was chief executive officer of Wavocom S.A., a publicly traded French wireless solutions company. Dr. Black holds a Bachelor of Science, a Masters of Science, and a Ph.D. in materials science and engineering from Cornell University in Ithaca, N.Y.
Satish Rishi	56 Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Rishi joined us in his current position in April 2006. Prior to joining us, Mr. Rishi held the position of executive vice president of Finance and chief financial officer of Toppan Photomasks, Inc., (formerly DuPont Photomasks, Inc.) one of the world's leading photomask providers, from November 2001 to April 2006. During his career, Mr. Rishi has held senior financial management positions at semiconductor and electronic manufacturing companies. He served as vice president and assistant treasurer at Dell Inc. Prior to Dell, Mr. Rishi spent 13 years at Intel Corporation, where he held financial management positions both in the United States and overseas, including assistant treasurer. Mr. Rishi holds a B.S. with honors in Mechanical Engineering from Delhi University in Delhi, India and an M.B.A. from the University of California at Berkeley's Haas School of Business.

Table of Contents

- Laura Stark
- 47 Senior Vice President, GM, Emerging Solutions Division. Ms. Stark has served in her current position since July 2014. In addition to leading the efforts of overall strategy, including M&A activities, Ms. Stark leads our platform development efforts and long-range research and development. From August 2012 to July 2014, she served as our Senior Vice President, Corporate Strategy and M&A. From April 2008 to August 2012, Ms. Stark served as Senior Vice President, Corporate Development, from February 2005 to April 2008 as Senior Vice President, Platform Solutions and from October 2002 to February 2005 as vice president, Memory Interface Division. Ms. Stark held various business and management positions before becoming vice president, Memory Interface Division in October 2002. Prior to joining us, Ms. Stark held various positions in the semiconductor products division of Motorola, a communications equipment company, during a six year tenure, including technical sales engineer for the Apple sales team and field application engineer for the Sun and SGI sales teams. Ms. Stark holds a B.S. in Electrical Engineering from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Jae Kim
- 45 Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary. Mr. Kim has served as the senior vice president, general counsel and secretary since February 2013 and as our vice president, corporate legal since July 2010. Prior to his tenure at Rambus, Mr. Kim held senior legal positions at Aricent Inc., a privately-held communications technology company and Electronics for Imaging Inc., a digital printing technology company. Mr. Kim has also had significant experience in private practice with the law firm of Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, P.C., where he advised high technology and emerging growth companies on mergers and acquisitions, private financings, public offerings, securities compliance, public company reporting and corporate governance. Mr. Kim began his legal career as an attorney with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, Division of Corporation Finance, in Washington, D.C. Mr. Kim is a member of both the California State Bar and New York State Bar, and received a J.D. from the American University, Washington College of Law, and his bachelor's degree from Boston University.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Our Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) is designed to provide our stockholders with an understanding of our compensation program in effect for our named executive officers (NEOs) who consisted of the following executive officers for 2015:

Ronald Black, Chief Executive Officer and President;

Satish Rishi, Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer;

Laura Stark, Senior Vice President, GM, Emerging Solutions Division; and

Jae Kim, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary.

Our CD&A is organized as follows: (i) Executive Summary, (ii) Our Compensation Philosophy Pay for Performance, (iii) NEO Compensation Process, (iv) Components of NEO Compensation, and (v) Other Policies and Elements of NEO Compensation.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2015 Business Performance

In 2015, we continued our transition from a pure IP licensing model to one that delivers increasing value to the market through physical products. While celebrating our 25th anniversary, we launched our first branded product, the R+ DDR4 server memory chip for RDIMMs and LRDIMMs and revealed our Smart Data Acceleration research program to improve data center performance. At the same time, we continued to execute on our traditional patent licensing business by renewing key license agreements with IBM, Renesas, SK hynix and Toshiba. We also returned value to our stockholders through the initiation of a \$100 million Accelerated Share Repurchase Program. In January 2016, we also acquired secure mobile payment and ticketing solutions to complement our CryptoManager platform. Our 2015 business results included:

\$296.3 million in annual revenue;

\$115.2 million in pro-forma operating income;

39% operating margin; and

4.5% share price increase in 2015 and a 138% share price increase over the last three years.

Executive Compensation Highlights

Our compensation mix favors performance-based compensation. Approximately 88% of our CEO s and on average approximately 70% of our NEOs total actual direct compensation was subject to our financial and/or share price performance in 2015 (excluding one-time RSU retention awards granted in December 2015).

Our annual incentive compensation program is funded based on our pro-forma operating income, a financial measure we believe incents our annual financial and business objectives. For 2015, annual incentive compensation under our Corporate Incentive Plan (CIP) was funded at 98.7% of target. Payout levels ranged from 98.7% to 136.2% of target for our NEOs.

To further align executive compensation with stockholder interests, in 2015, we introduced performance units, which become eligible for time-based vesting based on one-year operating margin results. Based on our 2015 operating margin performance, 106.3% of the target number of performance units became eligible for time-based vesting.

Table of Contents

In February 2015, we entered into change of control severance agreements with our executive officers, except our CEO who has an employment agreement that governs certain change of control severance obligations applicable to him, that are designed to promote stability and retention of senior management prior to and following a change of control.

In December 2015, as a part of a company-wide program for key employees, we made a special one-time award of RSUs to our NEOs, other than our CEO, to bolster retention and also to address the competitive market for talent in the Bay Area, which has been amplified by robust consolidation across the semiconductor industry.

The advisory vote on executive compensation at our 2015 annual meeting received approval from 97.9% of the votes cast.

Our shareholders approved two new equity plans, our 2015 equity incentive plan and 2015 employee stock purchase plan, and each received approval by over 90% of the votes cast.

We maintained high governance standards in our executive compensation practices, including best practices with respect to minimum equity ownership guidelines, perquisites, compensation recovery, independent compensation committee advisors and insider trading. See [Other Policies and Elements of NEO Compensation](#) below.

OUR COMPENSATION PHILOSOPHY PAY FOR PERFORMANCE

Our NEO compensation program is designed to align NEO compensation to business objectives and company financial performance and to motivate NEOs to enhance long-term stockholder value. The objectives of our executive compensation program are to attract, retain, motivate, and reward executives in order to enhance the long-term profitability of the company, foster stockholder value creation, and align executives interests with those of our stockholders. The principal components of our executive compensation program in 2015 were base salary, annual cash incentive awards and equity incentive awards. We believe that a substantial portion of total compensation for our executives should be variable and dependent on company and individual performance.

Total Compensation: Opportunity Aligned with Stockholder Value

From December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2015, our stock price has increased 138%. Total compensation for our CEO increased 53% over the same time period. Average total compensation for our NEOs, excluding our CEO, increased 70% over the same time period, excluding the one-time RSU retention awards that reflect a jump in value given that the award was valued when granted in December 2015. See [December 2015 Retention RSU Grants](#) below for more information regarding these grants.

Table of Contents

Pay Mix: Aligned with Financial Performance and Share Price

Approximately 88% of our CEO's and on average approximately 70% of our NEOs' 2015 total actual direct compensation was subject to the Company's financial and/or share price performance (excluding one-time RSU retention awards granted in December 2015). This performance mix for our NEOs included equity incentives that consisted of stock options, restricted stock units and performance units. The charts below show the percentage amounts for salary, annual cash incentive awards, and long-term equity incentive awards for our CEO and other NEOs, in other words, the mix of pay.

CEO

Average of All Other NEOs

Annual Incentive Payouts: Aligned with Financial Performance

For our 2015 CIP, we measured our annual financial performance using pro forma operating income (described in more detail in the Components of NEO Compensation section). Pro forma operating income is a non-GAAP measure that we believe is a meaningful measure of the Company's core financial performance that supports our short-term and long-term business objectives. The total 2015 NEO annual CIP incentive payout pool was funded at 98.7% of the target bonus amount pursuant to the CIP. Payout levels ranged from 98.7% to 136.2% of target for our NEOs.

Alignment of Annual Bonus Payments with Performance 2014-2015

Table of Contents

NEO COMPENSATION PROCESS

The Role of the Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is responsible for determining and approving CEO compensation, approving compensation recommendations for NEOs, recommending to the Board changes to the non-employee director compensation program, approving the overall levels of equity to be granted each year, and determining the amount of funding that will be available for CIP, among other duties expressed in its charter. In performing these duties, the Compensation Committee evaluates the performance of the CEO, and reviews and evaluates the existing NEO compensation programs. The Compensation Committee has the authority to obtain advice and assistance from internal or external compensation consultants, attorneys, accountants, and other advisers. The Board of Directors annually evaluates the independence of its members.

In 2015, the Compensation Committee considered multiple factors to ensure that compensation packages were consistent with our pay for performance philosophy and that we remain competitive in the market for talent. Important factors considered in the decision-making process included Company performance, individual leadership and performance assessments, market compensation levels, job scope, individual skills and experience, the relative importance of the individual's role, internal pay equity, historical pay levels, and equity holdings.

In 2015, the Compensation Committee reviewed comprehensive performance assessments of the NEOs and conducted a review of the CEO's performance. This assessment included an evaluation of pre-established strategic objectives and review of direct feedback from managers, peers and subordinates. The Compensation Committee also held an annual joint meeting with the full Board of Directors to review and discuss Company leadership development, performance objectives and emergency and long-term succession planning.

The Role of the Independent Compensation Consultant

In 2015, the Compensation Committee continued to retain Semler Brossy Consulting Group, LLC (SBCG) to assist in evaluating executive and director compensation. In addition, SBCG prepared materials and analyses for the Compensation Committee on CEO compensation. The Compensation Committee reviewed and approved CEO compensation, and the CEO was not present for any voting or deliberations regarding CEO compensation. SBCG reports directly to the Compensation Committee, and works collaboratively with management and the Compensation Committee. Pursuant to SEC rules, the Compensation Committee has assessed the independence of SBCG, and concluded that no conflict of interest exists that would prevent SBCG from independently representing the Compensation Committee. SBCG does not perform other services for the Company, and will not do so without the prior consent of the Compensation Committee. SBCG regularly meets with the Compensation Committee outside the presence of management.

The Role of Management

Each year, the CEO and the Senior Vice President of Human Resources present to the Compensation Committee annual performance reviews and compensation recommendations for the NEOs, excluding the CEO. Evaluation of CEO performance and compensation is determined by the Compensation Committee without the presence or consultation of the CEO. Management personnel works with SBCG to prepare compensation information and assessments for the Compensation Committee's consideration.

In addition, once the Compensation Committee determines the amount of funding available for CIP, the CEO allocates this funding to each operating or business unit of the Company based on a measurement of each unit's achievement levels against the unit's specific performance milestones in relation to the Company's overall performance targets, and recommends a specific CIP award for each NEO other than himself. The Compensation Committee reviews and assesses the CEO's proposed CIP award for each NEO.

Table of Contents

Peer Group Comparisons

Each year, SBCG, together with senior members of our Human Resources department, defines and assesses the appropriateness of a group of similarly situated companies, referred to as the Compensation Peer Group, for purposes of assisting the Compensation Committee to determine whether the total compensation opportunity available to our NEOs is appropriate and competitive. The Compensation Committee reviews and approves the Compensation Peer Group as recommended by management and SBCG. The Compensation Peer Group for fiscal year 2015 compensation was approved by the Committee in July 2014 and consisted of 16 companies selected based on a number of key attributes, including revenue, technological complexity, industry and business characteristics, market capitalization and number of employees.

2015 Peers

Applied Micro Circuits Corporation	InterDigital, Inc.	Power Integrations Inc.
Cavium Networks, Inc.	Lattice Semiconductor Corporation	Ruckus Wireless Inc.
DSP Group, Inc.	Monolithic Power Systems	Semtech Corporation
FormFactor, Inc.	OmniVision Technologies, Inc.	Silicon Laboratories Inc.
Integrated Device Technology, Inc.	PMC-Sierra, Inc.	Tessera Technologies, Inc.
Integrated Silicon Solution		

The Compensation Committee also reviewed data from the Radford Select Executive Compensation Report to supplement the publicly available Compensation Peer Group data.

The Role of Our 2015 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

The advisory vote on executive compensation at our 2015 annual meeting was approved by 97.9% of the votes cast. The Compensation Committee is committed to ensuring that the Company’s compensation programs are consistent with the Company’s pay for performance philosophy and deliver appropriate results given Company financial performance and business conditions. During the course of our 2015 proxy season, as we have in past years, we continued to engage in ongoing discussions with institutional investors to gather input and feedback on our executive compensation program. Stockholder feedback will remain an important input into the Compensation Committee’s work on the compensation programs for the Company.

COMPONENTS OF NEO COMPENSATION

The Company’s executive compensation program consists of the following components:

Annual Base Salary

Annual Cash Incentive Compensation Corporate Incentive Plan

Long Term Equity Incentive Compensation

Annual Base Salary

Salaries are provided to employees as compensation for services to the Company and to meet the objectives of attracting and retaining the talent needed to run our Company. The Compensation Committee evaluates base salaries for our NEOs on an annual basis. The Compensation Committee considers a number of factors, including the NEO’s salary history, current compensation levels, responsibilities, experience, individual and Company performance, and market information when determining and approving NEO salary increases. The Compensation Committee also reviews potential changes in CIP and equity when considering changes in base salary.

Table of Contents

For 2015, the Compensation Committee approved increases in the base salary for Ms. Stark and Mr. Kim to reflect increased corporate responsibilities and individual performance, and based on a review of market compensation levels. No other NEOs received increases in their base salary levels for 2015.

For 2016, the Compensation Committee approved an increase in the base salary for Mr. Rishi to reflect individual performance and based on a review of market compensation levels. No other NEOs received increases in their base salary levels for 2016, as reflected below under the section 2016 CIP .

Annual Cash Incentive Compensation Corporate Incentive Plan**2015 CIP**

Our annual cash incentive compensation is designed to motivate and reward our NEOs for achieving our annual financial and business objectives. Consistent with our approach in 2014, annual cash incentive bonuses with respect to 2015 performance were based on the achievement of a pre-established and objective performance goal of pro forma operating income. We chose this measure because we believe it provides a meaningful measure of core financial performance and supports our short-term business objectives.

Pro forma operating income is a non-GAAP measure that consists of GAAP operating income, excluding stock-based compensation expense, amortization expense, certain acquisition related expenses, retention bonuses, restructuring expenses and certain other one-time or extraordinary expenses or credits. Other one-time or extraordinary expense or income items may be excluded from pro forma operating income as determined by the Compensation Committee.

To align payouts with Company performance, plan funding has a sliding scale that provides for annual incentive bonus payouts greater than the target bonus if results are greater than target or less than the target bonus if results are lower than the target. CIP funding can range from 0% to 200%. In accordance with the plan, 2015 CIP was measured at mid-year based on estimated expectations of the full year s achievement against the performance target. The measurement resulted in a progress payment of 40% of the full year target payment. Final payments for fiscal 2015 reflected actual Company performance in 2015, net of the mid-year performance payment. The 2015 performance targets and results of pro forma operating income were as follows:

	Actual	
Target	Performance	CIP Funding
\$116.5M	\$115.0M	98.7%

Individual CIP payouts for NEOs ranged from 98.7% to 136.2% of target based on an assessment of individual performance and the performance of his or her division, business unit or other area of responsibility. In 2015, our NEOs participated in the 2015 CIP for their annual cash incentive compensation on the same terms as other participants.

	2015 CIP Target		2015 CIP Payouts	
	2015 CIP Target	% of Base Salary	Total 2015 CIP Payout	% of Total Target CIP
Executive				
Ronald Black	\$ 618,000	120%	\$ 610,000	98.7%
Satish Rishi	\$ 280,000	86%	\$ 381,376	136.2%
Laura Stark	\$ 280,000	93%	\$ 322,838	115.3%
Jae Kim	\$ 220,000	73%	\$ 217,140	98.7%

Table of Contents*2016 CIP*

Our 2016 CIP will be structured in the same way as our 2015 CIP with Company performance tied to a pre-established pro forma operating income target in a similar manner as described above. Individual NEO 2016 CIP targets are as follows:

Executive	2016 Base Salary	2016 CIP Target	% of Base Salary	% of Base Salary Increase from 2015	CIP Target Increase from 2015
Ronald Black	\$ 515,000	\$ 618,000	120%	0%	0%
Satish Rishi	\$ 340,000	\$ 280,000	82%	4.6%	0%
Laura Stark	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	100%	0%	7.1%
Jae Kim	\$ 300,000	\$ 230,000	77%	0%	4.6%

Long Term Equity Incentive Compensation

Our equity incentives encourage the achievement of superior results over time and align the interests of our executive officers and stockholders because the value of the equity incentives is based on the price of the Company's stock. Our equity awards are subject to vesting provisions to encourage executive officers to remain employed with us. To determine annual equity awards with respect to a completed fiscal year, the Compensation Committee reviews each NEO's performance and contribution during such year, as well as current market information, external competitive circumstances, overall ownership and vesting schedules of existing equity held by the NEO.

The Compensation Committee annually evaluates the structure of the equity compensation program to ensure that grants appropriately support our strategic and financial objectives. NEO annual equity awards granted in February 2015 represented a mix of stock options, RSUs and performance units as an incentive for share price growth and financial performance. In addition, in December 2015, the Compensation Committee made one-time RSU grants to our NEOs, other than our CEO, designed to act as retention grants with annual vesting over four years, in part to address the competitive market for talent in the Bay Area. In determining the number of shares subject to these grants, the Compensation Committee considered a number of factors, consistent with the approach described above, with a particular focus on individual performance, our stock price and execution of our long-term growth strategy.

February 2015 Equity Incentive Compensation Program

During 2014, we reviewed the structure of our equity incentive compensation program to determine whether any changes were warranted in terms of objectives, mechanics and performance measures. Our primary goal in refining our long-term incentive program was to keep our management team aligned with the interests of our stockholders by driving stock performance. Our secondary goal was to continue the talent retention and recruitment benefits of long-term incentive awards. We sought to balance these goals and outcomes over time through the form of the equity awards we granted. As part of this review, the Compensation Committee considered the input of stockholders, and analysis of our incentive programs provided by SBCG. Based on SBCG's analysis and our current corporate strategy and direction, management developed and proposed changes to our executive compensation program that the Compensation Committee approved.

For 2015, the Compensation Committee approved the introduction of performance units and time based RSUs into the equity incentive compensation program for all executive officers. As implemented in February 2015, this equity program for our CEO and other NEOs was designed to deliver equity awards as follows:

	Stock Options	Time Based RSUs	Performance Units
CEO	20%	20%	60%
Other NEOs	20%	40%	40%

Table of Contents

The program was designed such that 80% of the value of the equity awards (options and performance units) granted to our Chief Executive Officer in 2015 was subject to both the risk of the Company's financial and stock performance and 60% of the value of the equity awards (options and performance units) granted to our other NEOs in 2015 was subject to both the risk of the Company's financial and stock performance.

With respect to the performance unit awards, a target number of shares of our common stock subject to such units will be awarded to each of our NEOs and such units will become earned based on the Company's operating margin for the fiscal year period in which the performance unit was awarded. We believe operating margin is a key measure of both growth and cost discipline, which complements our annual CIP bonus plan's measure of pro forma operating income. The ultimate number of shares that become eligible for time-based vesting can range from 0% to 150% of target depending on performance relative to target over the applicable period. Time-based vesting takes place after the performance level is achieved and determined. 100% of the shares that become subject to time-based vesting, vest on the third anniversary of the date of grant, subject to continued service. The performance units are designed to reward performance by linking grants to an objective Company performance measure while promoting employee retention through subsequent time based vesting.

The 2015 performance unit targets and results of operating margin were as follows:

	Actual		
Target		Performance	Achievement
38%		39%	106.3%

As a result of these performance unit achievements, individual NEOs became eligible for time-based vesting in the following share amounts: Mr. Black-173,866; Mr. Rishi-17,000; Ms. Stark-17,000; and Mr. Kim-13,812.

December 2015 Retention RSU Grants

To further bolster retention of key employees Company-wide, in December 2015 the Compensation Committee granted time-based RSUs to all of our NEOs other than our CEO. These RSUs will vest in equal annual installments over a four-year period from the date of grant, subject to continued service. Based on overall market data and the level of long-term equity incentive compensation for these NEOs, our Compensation Committee determined this grant would bolster retention by addressing the competitive market for talent in the Bay Area, which has been amplified by robust consolidation across the semiconductor industry. See Executive Compensation Tables Grants of Plan Based Awards below for specific NEO award amounts.

OTHER POLICIES AND ELEMENTS OF NEO COMPENSATION*Benefits*

We do not provide any perquisites to NEOs that are not generally available to the broad employee population with the exception of termination benefits and travel reimbursements to Dr. Black pursuant to his employment agreement and termination benefits to the other NEOs based on change of control severance agreements. Our NEOs are eligible to participate in our 401(k) plan, our health and welfare benefits, our Employee Stock Purchase Plan and our User-Owned Personal Computing Devices reimbursement program on the same terms as other eligible employees.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

Our executives are required to hold 50% of after-tax shares realized upon vesting or exercise of equity awards on an after tax basis until they reach the required levels of 5x base salary for the CEO and 3x base salary for the other executive officers. Our executives are required to achieve the required levels within five years of the date such officer assumes their position. For purposes of these guidelines, ownership includes shares owned outright, unvested restricted stock and restricted stock units, and the value of vested and unexercised stock options. As of December 31, 2015, all of our NEOs were in compliance with this policy.

Table of Contents

Hedging

As stated in our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, all of our employees and directors are prohibited from engaging in hedging transactions in Rambus shares, including short sales and purchases of put options.

Equity Grant Policy

Annual equity awards to NEOs are granted on February 1st of each year or the first trading day thereafter. Currently, awards granted consist of stock options, RSUs and performance units. Stock options are priced at the fair market value on the date that the grant becomes effective while RSUs and performance units are full value awards. The number of shares and key terms of the awards are approved by the Compensation Committee prior to the scheduled award date, February 1st or the first trading day thereafter. On occasion, the Compensation Committee approves other equity awards during the year in addition to the annual equity awards, as was the case with the December 2015 retention RSU grants to our NEOs other than our CEO.

Compensation Recovery

The Compensation Committee reserves the right to reduce or withhold future compensation based on any required restatement or adjustment, and to determine the extent to which recovery of prior compensation may be pursued in the event of future adjustments caused by fraud on the part of an executive of Rambus. The Compensation Committee will adopt a policy that complies with the requirements of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act when such rules are promulgated.

Change of Control Payments

Outstanding equity awards for our NEOs, including our CEO, may vest upon a double-trigger termination in the event of a change of control.

As part of our annual compensation program review, in February 2015, we entered into change of control severance agreements with our executive officers, except our CEO who has an employment agreement that governs certain change of control severance obligations applicable to him. These agreements are designed to promote stability and retention of senior management prior to and following a change of control and to align executive and stockholder interests by enabling executives to consider corporate transactions that are in the best interests of the stockholders and other constituents of the Company without undue concern over whether the transactions may jeopardize the executives' own employment.

Dr. Black's employment agreement with the Company includes, among other terms, certain payments for Dr. Black in the event of his termination, a change of control of the Company, or both. The Compensation Committee believed that including these provisions in Dr. Black's employment agreement was appropriate given the context of changes in the Company's leadership at that time.

See Executive Compensation Tables Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control below for a discussion of potential payments to our NEOs including our CEO.

Tax Considerations

Under Section 162(m), a corporation cannot deduct compensation it pays to its Chief Executive Officer and certain other executive officers in excess of \$1 million unless such compensation is considered qualified performance-based compensation as defined in Section 162(m). Compensation that qualifies as performance-based generally must meet the requirement that it is payable only upon attainment of pre-established, objective performance goals under a plan that has been approved by the corporation's stockholders. The Compensation Committee considers the potential future effects of Section 162(m) when determining NEO compensation and

Table of Contents

does approve compensation to our NEOs that does not satisfy the requirements of Section 162(m) when it believes that other considerations outweigh the tax deductibility of the compensation. The Compensation Committee intends to continue evaluating all of our executive compensation and may qualify such compensation as performance based compensation under Section 162(m) to the extent applicable, and so long as the Compensation Committee determines that doing so is in the Company's best interests.

Compensation Program Risk Evaluation

The Compensation Committee annually reviews the elements of NEO compensation to determine whether any portion of the overall program encourages excessive risk taking. The Committee's current assessment is that although the majority of compensation provided to our NEOs is performance-based, our compensation programs do not encourage excessive or unnecessary risk taking. The Committee believes that the design of these compensation programs encourages our NEOs to remain focused on both short-term and long-term strategic goals.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

Our Compensation Committee, as of February 17, 2016, reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management and, based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this report.

THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Penelope A. Herscher (Chairperson)

E. Thomas Fisher

Charles Kissner

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION TABLES****Summary Compensation Table**

The following table shows compensation information for 2013, 2014 and 2015 for the NEOs.

Name and Title	Year	Salary (\$)	Stock	Option	Non-Equity Incentive Plan	All Other	Total (\$)
			Awards(1) (\$)	Awards(1) (\$)	Compensation(2) (\$)	Compensation(3) (\$)	
Ronald Black	2015	515,000	2,456,752	550,656	610,000	63,325	4,195,733
President and Chief Executive Officer	2014	515,000		899,783	624,180	12,026	2,050,989
	2013	515,000	241,834	443,251	669,500	17,809	1,887,394
Satish Rishi	2015	325,000	1,021,254	80,304	381,376	44,226	1,852,160
Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer	2014	325,000		195,605	395,000	9,720	925,325
	2013	325,000		116,645	364,000	9,090	814,735
Laura Stark	2015	299,167	1,021,254	80,304	322,838	47,350	1,770,913
Senior Vice President, GM, Emerging Solutions Division	2014(4)	288,750		195,605	240,000	9,720	734,075
Jae Kim	2015	299,167	828,262	64,243	217,140	35,589	1,444,401
Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	2014	288,750		176,045	220,000	9,720	694,515
	2013	272,083	27,300	93,316	350,000	9,090	751,789

- (1) Amounts shown do not reflect compensation actually received by the named executive officer. Instead, the amounts shown are the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 718. The assumptions used to calculate the value of stock and stock option awards are set forth under Note 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.
- (2) Amounts for 2015 consist of compensation earned for services rendered in 2015 and are based upon the achievement of certain targets under the 2015 Corporate Incentive Plan targets. The target and achievement results were reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee. The plan is further described under Compensation Discussion & Analysis Components of NEO Compensation Annual Cash Incentive Compensation Corporate Incentive Plan.
- (3) The details of All Other Compensation for NEOs for 2015 are described in this Proxy Statement under Compensation Disclosure and Analysis Other Policies and Elements of NEO Compensation Benefits, and Other Compensation and Governance Policies. For 2015, All Other Compensation includes a one-time payment with respect to accrued vacation paid to all employees in connection with the Company's transition to a Flexible Time Off program as follows: Mr. Black \$51,993; Mr. Rishi \$33,636; Ms. Stark \$36,760; and Mr. Kim \$24,999. Under the Flexible Time Off program, employees no longer accrue vacation time or maintain vacation balances, but instead are encouraged to take time off when needed.
- (4) Not an NEO in 2013.

Table of Contents

Grants of Plan Based Awards

The following table shows all plan-based awards granted to the NEOs during 2015. The option awards and the unvested portion of the stock awards identified in the table below are also reported in the Outstanding Equity Awards at 2015 Year End Table that follows.

Name	Grant Date	Approval Date	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)			Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards; Number of Shares or Stock Awards; (#)	All Other Option Awards; Number of Securities Underlying Options (2) (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock & Option Awards (\$)
			Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)				
Ronald Black	2/2/2015	1/21/2015	N/A	\$ 618,000	\$ 1,236,000					120,000	11.26	550,656
	2/2/2015	1/21/2015							54,544			614,165
	2/2/2015	1/21/2015				50%	100%	150%	81,820			921,293
	2/2/2015	1/21/2015				50%	100%	150%	81,820			921,293
Satish Rishi	2/2/2015	1/21/2015	N/A	\$ 280,000	\$ 560,000					17,500	11.26	80,304
	2/2/2015	1/21/2015							16,000			180,160
	2/2/2015	1/21/2015				50%	100%	150%	16,000			180,160
	12/1/2015	12/1/2015							55,032			660,934
Laura Stark	2/2/2015	1/21/2015	N/A	\$ 280,000	\$ 560,000					17,500	11.26	80,304
	2/2/2015	1/21/2015							16,000			180,160
	2/2/2015	1/21/2015				50%	100%	150%	16,000			180,160
	12/1/2015	12/1/2015							55,032			660,934
Jae Kim	2/2/2015	1/21/2015	N/A	\$ 220,000	\$ 440,000					14,000	11.26	64,243
	2/2/2015	1/21/2015							13,000			146,380
	2/2/2015	1/21/2015				50%	100%	150%	13,000			146,380
	12/1/2015	12/1/2015							44,588			535,502

- (1) Amounts shown are estimated payouts for 2015 to the NEOs based on the 2015 bonus targets under the plan discussed under Compensation Discussion & Analysis Components of NEO Compensation Annual Cash Incentive Compensation Corporate Incentive Plan. Actual bonuses received by these named executive officers for 2015 are reported in the Summary Compensation for Fiscal Year 2015 table under the column entitled Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation and described under Compensation Discussion & Analysis Components of NEO Compensation Annual Cash Incentive Compensation Corporate Incentive Plan.
- (2) The stock options, restricted stock units and performance units granted on February 2, 2015 were granted as part of the Company's regular performance review process. The stock options and restricted stock units will vest based on the executive continuing to provide services to the Company through the applicable vesting dates. The performance unit grants will become earned based upon the performance level achieved tied to the Company's operating margin for the fiscal year in which the performance unit was awarded and the executive continuing to provide services to the Company through the applicable vesting dates. The vesting of the performance units will take place on the third anniversary of the date of grant.
- (3) The restricted stock units granted to certain executives on December 1, 2015 were granted to further bolster executive retention. The restricted stock units will vest based on the executive continuing to provide services to the Company through the applicable vest dates.
- (4) The value of a stock option, restricted stock unit or performance unit grant is based on the fair market value as of the grant date of such award determined pursuant to FASB ASC Topic 718. The exercise price for all options granted to the named executive officer is 100% of the fair market value of the shares on the grant date. The restricted stock unit and performance unit grants are full value awards and do not have an exercise price.

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End**

The following table shows all outstanding equity awards held by the NEOs as of December 31, 2015. Unvested stock awards reported in the Grants of Plan Based Awards table above are also included in the table below.

Name	# of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	# of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	# of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Not Vested (1)(\$)
Ronald Black	22,285	97,715(2)	\$ 11.26	2/2/2025		\$
			\$ 0.00		81,820(3)	\$ 948,294
			\$ 0.00		81,820(4)	\$ 948,294
			\$ 0.00		54,544(5)	\$ 632,165
	101,857	128,143(6)	\$ 8.76	2/3/2024		\$
	133,000	57,000(7)	\$ 5.46	2/1/2023		\$
			\$ 0.00		14,764(8)	\$ 171,115
	505,750	89,250(9)	\$ 5.76	7/2/2022		\$
		297,500(10)	\$ 5.76	7/2/2022		\$
		297,500(11)	\$ 5.76	7/2/2022		\$
			\$ 0.00		55,032(12)	\$ 637,821
Satish Rishi	3,250	14,250(2)	\$ 11.26	2/2/2025		\$
			\$ 0.00		16,000(4)	\$ 185,440
			\$ 0.00		16,000(5)	\$ 185,440
	22,142	27,858(6)	\$ 8.76	2/3/2024		\$
	35,000	15,000(7)	\$ 5.46	2/1/2023		\$
		45,000(13)	\$ 4.13	8/1/2022		\$
		45,000(14)	\$ 4.13	8/1/2022		\$
	43,071	1,929(15)	\$ 7.31	2/1/2022		\$
			\$ 0.00		1,500(16)	\$ 17,385
	33,833	1,167(17)	\$ 20.93	2/1/2021		\$
	32,000	(18)	\$ 22.72	2/1/2020		\$
	40,385	(19)	\$ 8.55	2/2/2019		\$
	40,000	(20)	\$ 19.86	2/1/2018		\$
100,000	(21)	\$ 18.69	2/1/2017		\$	
220,000	(22)	\$ 40.80	4/11/2016		\$	
Laura Stark			\$ 0.00		55,032(12)	\$ 637,821
	3,250	14,250(2)	\$ 11.26	2/2/2025		\$
			\$ 0.00		16,000(4)	\$ 185,440
			\$ 0.00		16,000(5)	\$ 185,440
	22,142	27,858(6)	\$ 8.76	2/3/2024		\$
	35,000	15,000(7)	\$ 5.46	2/1/2023		\$
		55,000(13)	\$ 4.13	8/1/2022		\$
		55,000(14)	\$ 4.13	8/1/2022		\$
	38,285	1,715(15)	\$ 7.31	2/1/2022		\$
			\$ 0.00		1,250(16)	\$ 14,488
	29,000	1,000(17)	\$ 20.93	2/1/2021		\$
	30,000	(18)	\$ 22.72	2/1/2020		\$
	26,544	(19)	\$ 8.55	2/2/2019		\$
	60,000	(21)	\$ 18.69	2/1/2017		\$
70,000	(23)	\$ 22.94	1/6/2016		\$	
Jae Kim			\$ 0.00		44,588(12)	\$ 516,775
	2,600	11,400(2)	\$ 11.26	2/2/2025		\$
			\$ 0.00		13,000(4)	\$ 150,670

Edgar Filing: RAMBUS INC - Form DEF 14A

		\$ 0.00		13,000(5)	\$ 150,670
10,227	25,072(6)	\$ 8.76	2/3/2024		\$
3,327	7,500(7)	\$ 5.46	2/1/2023		\$
1,996	4,500(7)	\$ 5.46	2/1/2023		\$
		\$ 0.00		2,500(24)	\$ 28,975
1,718	(25)	\$ 5.63	8/2/2020		\$
783	(25)	\$ 5.63	2/1/2021		\$
5,338	643(15)	\$ 7.31	2/1/2022		\$
		\$ 0.00		1,000(16)	\$ 11,590

Table of Contents

- (1) The market value is calculated using the closing price of our Common Stock of \$11.59 on December 31, 2015 (the last trading day of 2015), as reported on The Nasdaq Global Select Market (NASDAQ), multiplied by the unvested stock amount.
- (2) The option was granted on February 2, 2015. Options representing 1/10th of the total shares granted vested six months from the grant date and the remaining shares vest in equal monthly installments until they are fully vested on February 2, 2019.
- (3) The performance restricted stock units were granted on February 2, 2015. The number of shares earned will range between 0% to 150% of target shares granted. Vesting takes place after the performance level is achieved and determined relative to pro forma operating margin for fiscal year 2015 and service is completed through the third anniversary of the date of grant.
- (4) The performance stock units were granted on February 2, 2015. The number of shares earned will range between 0% to 150% of target shares granted. Vesting takes place after the performance level is achieved and determined relative to pro forma operating margin for fiscal year 2015 and service is completed through the third anniversary of the date of grant.
- (5) The restricted stock units were granted on February 2, 2015. 25% of the total shares granted will vest annually until fully vested on February 2, 2019.
- (6) The option was granted on February 3, 2014. Options representing 1/10th of the total shares granted vested six months from the grant date and the remaining shares vest in equal monthly installments until they are fully vested on February 3, 2018.
- (7) The option was granted on February 1, 2013. Options representing 1/10th of the total shares granted vested six months from the grant date and the remaining shares vest in equal monthly installments until they are fully vested on February 1, 2017.
- (8) The restricted stock units were granted on February 1, 2013. 1/3rd of the total shares granted will vest annually until fully vested on February 1, 2016.
- (9) The option was granted on July 2, 2012. Options representing 1/10th of the total shares granted vested six months from the grant date and the remaining shares vest in equal monthly installments until they are fully vested on July 2, 2016.
- (10) The performance option was granted on July 2, 2012. Shares subject to the option fully vest on June 25, 2015 if Rambus common stock has previously attained a closing price on NASDAQ of \$15.00 or more over any 60 consecutive trading day period. If such performance milestone is not achieved by June 25, 2015, the option will become fully vested upon the subsequent date, if any, upon which such performance milestone is achieved prior to June 25, 2017, and if such performance milestone is not achieved prior to June 25, 2017, the option will terminate.
- (11) The performance option was granted on July 2, 2012. Shares subject to the option fully vest on June 25, 2015 if Rambus common stock has previously attained a closing price on NASDAQ over any 60 consecutive trading day period as follows: 20% will vest with a closing price of \$16.00; 20% will vest with a closing price of \$17.00; 20% will vest with a closing price of \$18.00; 20% will vest with a closing price of \$19.00; and 20% will vest with a closing price of \$20.00. If the option has not vested, or has only partially vested by June 25, 2015, the option will vest if and to the extent the related performance milestones are achieved prior to June 25, 2017, and if the related performance milestones are not achieved prior to June 25, 2017, the unvested portion of the option will terminate.
- (12) The restricted stock units were granted on December 1, 2015. 25% of the total shares granted will vest annually until fully vested on December 1, 2019.
- (13) The performance option was granted on August 1, 2012. Shares subject to the option fully vest on August 1, 2015 if Rambus common stock has previously attained a closing price on NASDAQ of \$15.00 or more over any 60 consecutive trading day period. If such performance milestone is not achieved by August 1, 2015, the option will become fully vested upon the subsequent date, if any, upon which such performance milestone is achieved prior to August 1, 2017, and if such performance milestone is not achieved prior to August 1, 2017, the option will terminate.
- (14) The performance option was granted on August 1, 2012. Shares subject to the option fully vest on August 1, 2015 if Rambus common stock has previously attained a closing price on NASDAQ over any 60

Table of Contents

consecutive trading day period as follows: 20% will vest with a closing price of \$16.00; 20% will vest with a closing price of \$17.00; 20% will vest with a closing price of \$18.00; 20% will vest with a closing price of \$19.00; and 20% will vest with a closing price of \$20.00. If the option has not vested, or has only partially vested by August 1, 2015, the option will vest if and to the extent the related performance milestones are achieved prior to August 1, 2017, and if the related performance milestones are not achieved prior to August 1, 2017, the unvested portion of the option will terminate.

- (15) The option was granted on February 1, 2012. Options representing 1/10th of the total shares granted vested six months from the grant date and the remaining shares vest in equal monthly installments until they are fully vested on February 1, 2016.
- (16) The restricted stock units were granted on February 1, 2012. 25% of the total shares granted will vest annually until fully vested on February 1, 2016.
- (17) The option was granted on February 1, 2011. Options representing 1/10th of the total shares granted vested six months from the grant date and the remaining shares vest in equal monthly installments until they are fully vested on February 1, 2016.
- (18) The option was granted on February 1, 2010. Options representing 1/10th of the total shares granted vested six months from the grant date and the remaining shares vest in equal monthly installments until they are fully vested on February 1, 2015.
- (19) The option was granted on February 2, 2009. Options representing 1/10th of the total shares granted vested six months from the grant date and the remaining shares vested in equal monthly installments until they were fully vested on February 2, 2014.
- (20) The option was granted on February 1, 2008. Options representing 1/10th of the total shares granted vested six months from the grant date and the remaining shares vested in equal monthly installments until they were fully vested on February 1, 2013.
- (21) The option was granted on February 1, 2007. Options representing 1/10th of the total shares granted vested six months from the grant date and the remaining shares vested in equal monthly installments until they were fully vested on February 1, 2012.
- (22) The option was granted on April 11, 2006. Options representing 1/10th of the total shares granted vested six months from the grant date and the remaining shares vested in equal monthly installments until they were fully vested on April 11, 2011.
- (23) The option was granted on January 6, 2006. Options representing 1/10th of the total shares granted vested six months from the grant date and the remaining shares vested in equal monthly installments until they were fully vested on January 6, 2011.
- (24) The restricted stock units were granted on February 1, 2013. 25% of the total shares granted will vest annually until fully vested on February 1, 2017.
- (25) The option was granted on June 22, 2012 pursuant to the Company's Offer to Exchange program. 1/3rd of the total shares granted vested on June 22, 2013 and the remaining shares continued to vest in equal monthly installments until fully vested on June 22, 2015.

Each of the options and other equity awards reflected on the table above were issued under the 1997 Plan, the 2006 Plan or the 2015 Plan, which are plans that were or are available to all of our employees.

Table of Contents**Option Exercises and Stock Vested**

The following table shows all stock options exercised and value realized upon exercise, and all stock awards vested and value realized upon vesting, by the named executive officers during 2015.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting(1)(\$)
Ronald Black			14,764	166,243
Satish Rishi			3,500	39,410
Laura Stark			3,125	35,188
Jae Kim	73,139	944,856	3,250	36,595

(1) The value realized equals the market value of our Common Stock on the vesting date multiplied by the number of shares that vested.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control*Equity Acceleration*

In the event of a change in control or merger of the Company, as defined in the plans, each outstanding option or equity award will be assumed or an equivalent option or right substituted by the successor company. In the event that the successor company refuses to assume or substitute for the option or equity award, the participant will fully vest in and have the right to exercise all of his or her options or stock appreciation rights, including shares as to which such awards would not otherwise be vested or exercisable, all restrictions on restricted stock will lapse, and, with respect to restricted stock units, performance shares and performance units, all performance goals or other vesting criteria will be deemed achieved at target levels and all other terms and conditions met. In addition, if an option or stock appreciation right becomes fully vested and exercisable in lieu of assumption or substitution in the event of a change of control, the administrator of the plan will notify the participant that the option or stock appreciation right will be fully vested and exercisable for a period of time determined by the administrator, and the option or stock appreciation right will terminate upon the expiration of such period.

The form of option agreement for the 1997 Plan, the 2006 Plan and the 2015 Plan provide that if a successor company assumes outstanding options or awards or substitutes for options or awards with an equivalent award, then if following such assumption or substitution the participant's status as an employee or employee of the successor company, as applicable, is terminated by the successor company as a result of an Involuntary Termination other than for Cause within 12 months following the change in control, the option or award will immediately vest and become exercisable as to 100% of the shares subject to the option or award.

Change of Control Severance Agreements

As part of our annual compensation program review, in February 2015, we entered into change of control severance agreements with our executive officers, except our CEO who has an employment agreement that governs certain change of control severance obligations applicable to him. These agreements are designed to promote stability and retention of senior management prior to and following a change of control and to align executive and stockholder interests by enabling executives to consider corporate transactions that are in the best interests of the stockholders and other constituents of the company without undue concern over whether the transactions may jeopardize the executives' own employment.

Each agreement has an initial term of three years and will renew automatically for additional one-year terms unless either party to the agreement provides the other with written notice of non-renewal at least 90 days prior to the date of automatic renewal. If we terminate the executive's employment without Cause or the executive terminates his employment for Good Reason, and in each case, such termination occurs during a period

Table of Contents

beginning three months before a change of control and ending 12 months following a change of control, then subject to the executive signing and not revoking a separation agreement and release of claims and the executive's continued compliance with the terms of the At Will Employment, Confidential Information, Invention Assignment, and Arbitration Agreement entered into between the executive and the Company, the executive will receive: (i) a lump sum payment (less applicable withholding taxes) equal to 100% of the executive's annual base salary as in effect immediately prior to the executive's termination date or, if greater, at the level in effect immediately prior to the change of control; (ii) a lump sum payment (less applicable withholding taxes) equal to 100% of the executive's full bonus and commission for the year of termination at target level as in effect immediately prior to the executive's termination date, or, if greater, at the level in effect immediately prior to the change of control; (iii) 100% of the executive's then-outstanding and unvested equity awards will become vested in full (and if the amount of the award to vest is determined based on the achievement of performance criteria, then the equity awards will vest based on achievement at target levels for the relevant performance period(s)); and (iv) if the executive elects continuation coverage pursuant to COBRA for executive and his or her eligible dependents, the Company will reimburse the executive for the COBRA premiums for a maximum period of 12 months.

CEO Employment Agreement

Dr. Black's employment agreement with the Company provides that in the event the Company terminates Dr. Black's employment with the Company without Cause and such termination does not occur within the three months prior to or 12 months following a change of control of the Company, Dr. Black will receive: (i) continued payment (over 12 months) of one year of base salary and 100% of his target bonus, (ii) a monthly \$3,000 payment (in lieu of continued employee benefits) for a period of 12 months, and (iii) 12 months additional vesting of all equity awards with a service based component (excluding awards with a performance-based component if the performance metric has not been achieved by the termination date). In the event the Company terminates Dr. Black's employment with the Company without Cause or Dr. Black voluntarily terminates his employment for Good Reason, and in either event, such termination occurs within three months prior to or 12 months following a change of control of the Company, Dr. Black will receive: (i) continued payment (over 12 months) of 18 months of base salary and 150% of his target bonus, (ii) a monthly \$3,000 payment (in lieu of continued employee benefits) for a period of 18 months, and (iii) 100% vesting of all equity awards with a service based component (excluding awards with a performance-based component if the performance metric has not been achieved by the termination date, provided that all such awards are assumed by the successor company). If equity awards are not assumed by the successor company in a change of control transaction, the awards will be treated as described under -Equity Acceleration above.

Potential Change of Control Payments

The value of the benefits that would be payable to Dr. Black assuming a qualifying termination of employment on December 31, 2015 is included in the chart below.

	Salary	Bonus	Equity	Benefits	Total
No Change of Control	\$ 515,000	\$ 618,000	\$ 5,385,956	\$ 36,000	\$ 6,554,956
Change of Control	\$ 772,500	\$ 927,000	\$ 6,127,330	\$ 54,000	\$ 7,880,830

The value of the benefits that would be payable to our NEOs, except for our CEO, assuming a qualifying termination of employment on December 31, 2015 is included in the chart below.

	Salary	Bonus	Equity	Benefits (1)	Total
Satish Rishi	\$ 325,000	\$ 280,000	\$ 2,466,631	\$ 32,427	\$ 3,104,058
Laura Stark	\$ 300,000	\$ 280,000	\$ 2,549,457	\$ 20,728	\$ 3,150,185
Jae Kim	\$ 300,000	\$ 220,000	\$ 1,109,891	\$ 32,427	\$ 1,662,318

Table of Contents**Compensation of Directors**

The following table shows compensation information for our non-employee directors for 2015.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (1)(\$)	Option Awards (1)(\$)	Total (\$)
J. Thomas Bentley	65,000	160,012(2)		225,012
E. Thomas Fisher	37,742	160,012(3)	183,552(4)	381,306
Penelope Herscher	60,000	160,012(5)		220,012
Charles Kissner	40,000	160,012(6)		200,012
David Shrigley	40,000	160,012(7)		200,012
Eric Stang	75,000	160,012(8)		235,012

- (1) Amounts shown do not reflect compensation actually received by the non-employee directors. Instead, the amounts shown are the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 718. The assumptions used to calculate the value of stock and stock option awards are set forth under Note 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.
- (2) Reflects the compensation costs to be recognized associated with a restricted stock unit award of 13,618 shares of Common stock made on October 1, 2015 with a fair value as of the grant date of \$11.75 per share disregarding forfeiture assumptions. Mr. Bentley also had options to purchase an aggregate of 40,000 shares outstanding as of December 31, 2015.
- (3) Reflects the compensation costs to be recognized associated with a restricted stock unit award of 13,618 shares of Common stock made on October 1, 2015 with a fair value as of the grant date of \$11.75 per share disregarding forfeiture assumptions.
- (4) Reflects the compensation costs to be recognized associated with an initial stock option grant of 40,000 shares of Common stock made on February 2, 2015 with a fair value as of the grant date of \$4.5888 per share disregarding forfeiture assumptions. Mr. Fisher had the option to purchase an aggregate of 40,000 options outstanding as of December 31, 2015.
- (5) Reflects the compensation costs to be recognized associated with a restricted stock unit award of 13,618 shares of Common stock made on October 1, 2015 with a fair value as of the grant date of \$11.75 per share disregarding forfeiture assumptions. Ms. Herscher also had options to purchase an aggregate of 60,000 shares outstanding as of December 31, 2015.
- (6) Reflects the compensation costs to be recognized associated with a restricted stock unit award of 13,618 shares of Common stock made on October 1, 2015 with a fair value as of the grant date of \$11.75 per share disregarding forfeiture assumptions. Mr. Kissner also had options to purchase an aggregate of 40,000 shares outstanding as of December 31, 2015.
- (7) Reflects the compensation costs to be recognized associated with a restricted stock unit award of 13,618 shares of Common stock made on October 1, 2015 with a fair value as of the grant date of \$11.75 per share disregarding forfeiture assumptions. Mr. Shrigley also had options to purchase an aggregate of 60,000 shares outstanding as of December 31, 2015.
- (8) Reflects the compensation costs to be recognized associated with a restricted stock unit award of 13,618 shares of Common stock made on October 1, 2015 with a fair value as of the grant date of \$11.75 per share disregarding forfeiture assumptions. Mr. Stang also had options to purchase an aggregate of 40,000 shares outstanding as of December 31, 2015.

Summary of Director Plan

Annual Retainer. Each independent director receives an annual retainer of \$40,000 in cash. The Chairpersons of the Board and Audit Committee each receive an additional annual retainer of \$25,000. The Chairperson of the Compensation Committee receives an additional annual retainer of \$20,000. The Chairperson of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee receives an additional annual retainer of \$10,000. Each annual retainer is paid in quarterly installments. The annual retainers were not increased for 2015.

Table of Contents

Annual Equity Grant. Each independent director receives an annual equity grant of such number of RSUs with an approximate fair market value equal to \$160,000 at the time of grant. The RSU grants vest in full at the end of a one-year period, subject to the independent director continuing to serve through each applicable vesting date. If the director discontinues service prior to the vesting of any RSU grant, the Compensation Committee may, in its discretion, permit such grant to vest pro rata for the portion of the year during which such director served.

Initial Equity Grant. Any newly elected independent director joining our Board of Directors will receive an initial option to purchase 40,000 shares of Common Stock when he or she is first elected as a member of the Board. The term of such options will not exceed ten years. The option grants vest over a four-year period, with one-eighth of shares subject to the option vesting six months after the date of grant and the remaining shares vesting ratably each month thereafter, subject to the independent director continuing to serve through each applicable vesting date.

Each of the options granted to our independent directors was issued under the 1997 Plan, the 2006 Plan or the 2015 Plan, which are plans that are available to all of our employees. As described under *Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End* *Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control*, the 1997 Plan provides for certain acceleration upon a *merger* of the Company, as defined under the 1997 Plan, and the 2006 Plan and the 2015 Plan provide for certain acceleration upon a *change in control* of the Company, as defined under such plans. In addition, with respect to options and any other equity awards granted to non-employee directors that are assumed or substituted for upon a change of control under the 2006 Plan or the 2015 Plan, if the non-employee director is terminated other than upon a voluntary resignation, the options and other equity awards granted to such non-employee director will fully vest and be exercisable with respect to 100% of the shares subject to such options and other equity awards.

Pursuant to stock ownership guidelines adopted by the Board in October 2006 and most recently updated in January 2015, each independent director is expected to accumulate and hold an equivalent value of our Common Stock of three times their annual total cash compensation and to achieve this by five years from the date that the director joined the Board. Directors are expected to maintain this minimum amount of stock ownership throughout their tenure on the Board. As of December 31, 2015, all of our directors met their ownership requirements.

Table of Contents

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

This section shall not be deemed to be soliciting material, or to be filed with the SEC, is not subject to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act and is not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of Rambus under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act, regardless of date or any other general incorporation language in such filing.

Report of the Audit Committee

The following is the report of the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors with respect to our audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, which include our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the fiscal years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, and the notes thereto.

Review with Management

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed our audited financial statements and management's report on internal control over financial reporting with management.

Review and Discussions with the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Audit Committee has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, the matters required to be discussed by the Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T. The Audit Committee has also received written disclosures and the letter from PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent auditor's communications with us concerning independence, as may be modified or supplemented, and has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP its independence from us.

Conclusion

Based on the review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that our audited financial statements be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 for filing with the SEC.

Respectfully submitted by:

THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

J. Thomas Bentley (Chair)

Charles Kissner

David Shrigley

OTHER MATTERS

The Board does not know of any other matters to be presented at the Annual Meeting. If any additional matters are properly presented or otherwise allowed to be considered at the Annual Meeting, the persons named in the enclosed proxy will have discretion to vote shares they represent in accordance with their own judgment on such matters.

It is important that your shares be represented at the meeting, regardless of the number of shares which you hold. You are, therefore, urged to execute and return, at your earliest convenience, the accompanying proxy card in the envelope which has been enclosed.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Sunnyvale, California

March 9, 2016

Table of Contents

Table of Contents