

SNAP-ON Inc  
Form DEF 14A  
March 10, 2017  
Table of Contents

---

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION**

**Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the**  
**Securities Exchange Act of 1934**  
**(Amendment No. )**

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

**Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

**SNAP-ON INCORPORATED**

Edgar Filing: SNAP-ON Inc - Form DEF 14A

(Name of registrant as specified in its charter)

(Name of person(s) filing proxy statement, if other than the registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11

(1) Title of each class of securities to which the transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which the transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of the transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of the transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

## Edgar Filing: SNAP-ON Inc - Form DEF 14A

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

---

**Table of Contents**

NOTICE OF 2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

AND PROXY STATEMENT

**Table of Contents**

**HELP US REDUCE COSTS**

If you receive more than one set of proxy materials, it means your shares are held in more than one account. You should vote the shares on all of your proxy cards. You may help us reduce costs by consolidating your accounts so that you receive only one set of proxy materials in the future. To consolidate your accounts, please contact our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., toll-free at 1-800-446-2617, or as otherwise provided in our annual report.

**ADMISSION TO THE ANNUAL MEETING**

All shareholders of record as of the close of business on February 27, 2017, may attend the Annual Meeting. Seating, however, is limited and will be on a first arrival basis.

To attend the Annual Meeting, please follow these instructions:

Bring proof of ownership of Snap-on stock and a form of identification; or

If a broker or other nominee holds your shares, bring proof of ownership of Snap-on stock through such broker or nominee and a form of identification.

**HOW TO VOTE**

**While we offer four methods for you to vote your shares at the Annual Meeting, we encourage you to vote through the internet as it is the most cost-effective method.** We also recommend that you vote as soon as possible, even if you are planning to attend the Annual Meeting, so that the vote count will not be delayed. Both the internet and the telephone provide convenient, cost-effective alternatives to returning your proxy card by mail. If you choose to vote your shares through the internet or by telephone, there is no need for you to mail back your proxy card.

You may (i) vote in person at the Annual Meeting or (ii) authorize the persons named as proxies on the proxy card to vote your shares by returning the enclosed proxy card through the internet, by telephone or by mail.

*To vote over the internet:*

Go to [www.investorvote.com/sna](http://www.investorvote.com/sna). Have your proxy card available when you access the website. You will need the control number from your proxy card to vote.

*To vote by telephone:*

Call **1-800-652-VOTE** (1-800-652-8683) 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Have your proxy card available when you make the call. You will need the control number from your proxy card to vote.

*To vote by mail:*

Complete, sign and return the proxy card to the address indicated on the proxy card.

If shares are not registered in your name, then you vote by giving instructions to the firm that holds your shares rather than using any of the methods discussed above. Please check the voting form of the firm that holds your shares to see if it offers internet or telephone voting procedures.

**Table of Contents**

**2801 80th Street**

**Kenosha, Wisconsin 53143**

**Notice of the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders**

March 10, 2017

Dear Shareholder:

Snap-on Incorporated will hold its 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders on Thursday, April 27, 2017, at 10:00 a.m. Central Time, at the IdeaForge located within the Snap-on Innovation Works at the Company's headquarters, 2801 80th Street, Kenosha, Wisconsin 53143. This year's meeting is being held for the following purposes:

1. to elect 10 directors to each serve a one-year term ending at the 2018 Annual Meeting;
  2. to ratify the Audit Committee's selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2017;
  3. to hold an advisory vote to approve the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed in Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Executive Compensation Information in the Proxy Statement;
  4. to hold an advisory vote related to the frequency of future advisory votes to approve the compensation of the Company's named executive officers; and
  5. to transact any other business that may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.
- In addition to the formal business, there will be a short presentation on Snap-on's performance.

Only shareholders who had shares registered in their names as of the close of business on February 27, 2017, will be able to vote at the Annual Meeting. If you are a shareholder and plan to attend the Annual Meeting in person, please refer to the section of the Proxy Statement titled Commonly Asked Questions and Answers about the Annual Meeting for information about attendance requirements.

If you have any questions or comments, please direct them to Snap-on Incorporated, Investor Relations, 2801 80th Street, Kenosha, Wisconsin 53143. Please also contact Investor Relations if you would like directions to the Annual Meeting. If you prefer, you may e-mail questions or comments to [shareholders@snapon.com](mailto:shareholders@snapon.com). We always appreciate your interest in Snap-on and thank you for your continued support.

Your vote is important. Thank you for voting.

Sincerely,

Irwin M. Shur

*Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary*

**Table of Contents**

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting to Be Held on April 27, 2017. The proxy statement and annual report to security holders are available at [www.snapon.com/SNA](http://www.snapon.com/SNA).**

The Board of Directors recommends the following votes:

FOR each of the Board's nominees for election;

FOR the ratification of the Audit Committee's selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2017;

FOR approval of the compensation of the Company's named executive officers; and

for the holding of future advisory votes to approve named executive officer compensation ANNUALLY.

To vote in person at the Annual Meeting, you will need to request a ballot to vote your shares. If you vote by proxy, either by internet, telephone or mail, and later find that you will be present at the Annual Meeting, or for any other reason desire to revoke your proxy, you may do so at any time before it is voted.

**Table of Contents**

**PROXY STATEMENT**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b><u>COMMONLY ASKED QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING</u></b>	1
<b><u>ITEM 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u></b>	6
<b><u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRACTICES AND BOARD INFORMATION</u></b>	10
<u>Nomination of Directors</u>	10
<u>Communications with the Board</u>	11
<u>Annual Meeting Attendance</u>	11
<u>Board Information</u>	11
<u>Board Compensation</u>	14
<u>Table 1 Director Compensation</u>	15
<u>Stock Ownership Guidelines for Directors</u>	16
<b><u>ITEM 2: RATIFY THE AUDIT COMMITTEE S SELECTION OF DELOITTE &amp; TOUCHE LLP AS THE COMPANY S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR FISCAL 2017</u></b>	17
<b><u>AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT</u></b>	17
<b><u>DELOITTE &amp; TOUCHE LLP FEE DISCLOSURE</u></b>	18
<b><u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT</u></b>	19
<u>Table 2 Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	19
<b><u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u></b>	21
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	21
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	42
<u>Executive Compensation Information</u>	43
<u>Table 3 Summary Compensation Table</u>	43
<u>Table 4 Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	45
<u>Table 5 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End</u>	47
<u>Table 6 Option Exercises and Stock Vested</u>	49
<u>Table 7 Pension Benefits</u>	51
<u>Table 8 Non-qualified Deferred Compensation</u>	53
<u>Potential Payments on Change of Control and Other Employment-related Agreements</u>	54
<u>Table 9 Potential Payments on Change of Control</u>	55
<b><u>COMPENSATION AND RISK</u></b>	56
<b><u>ITEM 3: ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u></b>	57
<b><u>ITEM 4: ADVISORY VOTE RELATED TO THE FREQUENCY OF FUTURE ADVISORY VOTES TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u></b>	57
<b><u>OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	58
<b><u>APPENDIX A CATEGORICAL STANDARDS FOR DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u></b>	A-1



---

**Table of Contents**

**COMMONLY ASKED QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING**

**Q: WHEN WILL PROXY MATERIALS FIRST BE MAILED TO SHAREHOLDERS?**

**A:** Snap-on Incorporated ( Snap-on, we or the Company ) expects to begin mailing proxy materials (including this Proxy Statement) to shareholders on or about March 10, 2017. Proxy materials are also being made available to shareholders by internet posting on or about March 10, 2017.

**Q: WHAT AM I VOTING ON?**

**A:** At the 2017 Annual Meeting you will be voting on four proposals:

1. The election of 10 directors to each serve a one-year term ending at the 2018 Annual Meeting. This year's Board nominees are:

David C. Adams	Henry W. Knueppel
Karen L. Daniel	W. Dudley Lehman
Ruth Ann M. Gillis	Nicholas T. Pinchuk
James P. Holden	Gregg M. Sherrill
Nathan J. Jones	Donald J. Stebbins

2. A proposal to ratify the Audit Committee's selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP ( D&T ) as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2017.
3. An advisory proposal to approve the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed in Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Executive Compensation Information herein.
4. An advisory proposal related to the frequency of future advisory votes to approve the compensation of the Company's named executive officers.

**Q: WHAT ARE THE BOARD'S VOTING RECOMMENDATIONS?**

**A:** The Board of Directors is soliciting this proxy and recommends the following votes:

FOR each of the Board's nominees for election;

FOR the ratification of the Audit Committee's selection of D&T as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2017;

FOR approval of the compensation of the Company's named executive officers; and

## Edgar Filing: SNAP-ON Inc - Form DEF 14A

for the holding of future advisory votes to approve named executive officer compensation ANNUALLY (i.e., 1 Year on the proxy card or voting instruction form).

**Q:** WHAT VOTE IS REQUIRED TO APPROVE EACH PROPOSAL?

**A:** To conduct the Annual Meeting, more than 50% of the shares entitled to vote must be present in person or by proxy. This is referred to as a quorum. Abstentions and shares that are the subject of broker non-votes will be counted for the purpose of determining whether a quorum exists; shares represented at the meeting for any purpose are counted in the quorum for all matters to be considered at the meeting. All of the voting requirements below assume that a quorum is present.

## Table of Contents

Directors are elected by a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote on the election of directors. Abstentions and broker non-votes are not considered as votes cast with respect to each director-nominee and, therefore, will have no impact on the election of directors.

An affirmative vote of a majority of the shares represented at the meeting is required for the ratification of the Audit Committee's selection of D&T as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2017. Abstentions will act as votes against this proposal. Since brokers have discretionary authority to vote on this proposal, we do not anticipate any broker non-votes with regard to this matter.

An affirmative vote of a majority of the shares represented at the meeting is required to approve the compensation of the Company's named executive officers on an advisory basis. Abstentions will act as votes against this proposal; however, broker non-votes will have no effect on this advisory vote.

For purposes of determining the results of the advisory vote related to the frequency of future advisory votes to approve named executive officer compensation, the frequency receiving the greatest number of votes, whether annually, every two years or every three years, will be considered the frequency approved by shareholders. Abstentions and broker non-votes do not constitute votes for any particular frequency and will have no effect on the outcome of this advisory vote.

### **Q: WHAT IF I DO NOT VOTE?**

**A:** The effect of not voting will depend on how your share ownership is registered. If you own shares as a registered holder and you do not vote, then your unvoted shares will not be represented at the meeting and will not count toward the quorum requirement. If a quorum is obtained, then your unvoted shares will not affect whether a proposal is approved or rejected.

If you are a shareholder whose shares are not registered in your name and you do not vote, then your bank, broker or other holder of record may still represent your shares at the meeting for purposes of obtaining a quorum. In the absence of your voting instructions, your bank, broker or other holder of record may not be able to vote your shares in its discretion depending on the particular proposal before the meeting. Your broker may not vote your shares in its discretion in the election of directors; therefore, you must vote your shares if you want them to be counted in the election of directors. In addition, your broker is not permitted to vote your shares in its discretion regarding matters related to executive compensation, including the advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation and the advisory vote regarding the frequency of future advisory votes to approve named executive officer compensation. However, your broker may vote your shares in its discretion on routine matters such as the ratification of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm.

### **Q: WHO MAY VOTE?**

**A:** You may vote at the Annual Meeting if you were a shareholder of record as of the close of business on February 27, 2017 (the Record Date). As of the Record Date, Snap-on had 57,968,156 shares of common stock outstanding. Each outstanding share of common stock is entitled to one vote on each proposal.

### **Q: HOW DO I VOTE?**

**A: While we offer four methods for you to vote your shares at the Annual Meeting, we encourage you to vote through the internet as it is the most cost-effective method.** We also recommend that you vote as soon as possible, even if you are planning to attend the Annual Meeting, so that the vote count will not be delayed. Both the internet and the telephone provide convenient, cost-effective alternatives to returning your proxy card by mail. If you choose to vote your shares through the internet or by telephone, there is no need for you to mail back your proxy card.

## **Table of Contents**

You may (i) vote in person at the Annual Meeting or (ii) authorize the persons named as proxies on the proxy card, Messrs. Pinchuk and Shur, to vote your shares by returning the enclosed proxy card through the internet, by telephone or by mail.

### *To vote over the internet:*

Go to [www.investorvote.com/sna](http://www.investorvote.com/sna). Have your proxy card available when you access the website. You will need the control number from your proxy card to vote.

### *To vote by telephone:*

Call **1-800-652-VOTE** (1-800-652-8683) 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Have your proxy card available when you make the call. You will need the control number from your proxy card to vote.

### *To vote by mail:*

Complete, sign and return the proxy card to the address indicated on the proxy card.

If shares are not registered in your name, then you vote by giving instructions to the firm that holds your shares rather than using any of the methods discussed above. Please check the voting form of the firm that holds your shares to see if it offers internet or telephone voting procedures.

### **Q: WHAT DOES IT MEAN IF I RECEIVE MORE THAN ONE PROXY CARD?**

**A:** It means your shares are held in more than one account. You should vote the shares on all of your proxy cards. You may help us reduce costs by consolidating your accounts so that you receive only one set of proxy materials in the future. To consolidate your accounts, please contact our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, N.A. ( Computershare ), toll-free at 1-800-446-2617, or as otherwise provided in our annual report.

### **Q: WHO WILL COUNT THE VOTE?**

**A:** Computershare, our transfer agent, will use an automated system to tabulate the votes. Its representatives will also serve as the election inspectors.

### **Q: WHO CAN ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING?**

**A:** All shareholders of record as of the close of business on the Record Date may attend the Annual Meeting. Seating, however, is limited and will be on a first arrival basis.

To attend the Annual Meeting, please follow these instructions:

Bring proof of ownership of Snap-on stock and a form of identification; or

If a broker or other nominee holds your shares, bring proof of ownership of Snap-on stock through such broker or nominee and a form of identification.

### **Q: CAN I CHANGE MY VOTE AFTER I RETURN MY PROXY CARD?**

**A:** Yes. Even after you have submitted your proxy, you can revoke your proxy or change your vote at any time before the proxy is exercised by appointing a new proxy or by providing written notice to the Corporate Secretary and voting in person at the Annual Meeting. Presence at the Annual Meeting of a shareholder who has appointed a proxy does not in itself revoke a proxy.

## Edgar Filing: SNAP-ON Inc - Form DEF 14A

Street name holders who wish to change their proxy prior to the voting thereof should contact the broker, bank or other holder of record to determine whether, and if so how, such proxy can be revoked.

**Table of Contents**

**Q: MAY I VOTE AT THE ANNUAL MEETING?**

**A:** If you complete a proxy card or vote through the internet or by telephone, you may still vote in person at the Annual Meeting. To vote at the meeting, please give written notice that you would like to revoke your original proxy to one of the following:

the Corporate Secretary, in advance of the Annual Meeting; or

the authorized representatives at the Annual Meeting.

Street name holders who wish to vote in person at the meeting will not be permitted to vote in person at the meeting unless they first obtain a proxy issued in their name from the bank, broker or other holder of record.

**Q: WHAT IF I OWN SHARES AS PART OF SNAP-ON S 401(k) SAVINGS PLAN?**

**A:** Shares held by the Snap-on Incorporated 401(k) Savings Plan for which participant designations are received will be voted in accordance with those designations. Those shares for which designations are not received will be voted proportionally, based on the votes for which voting directions have been received from participants as of April 24, 2017.

**Q: WHO IS MAKING THIS SOLICITATION AND HOW MUCH DOES IT COST?**

**A:** This solicitation is being made on behalf of Snap-on Incorporated by its Board of Directors. Our officers and employees may make solicitations by mail, telephone, facsimile or in person. We have retained Georgeson LLC to assist us in the solicitation of proxies for \$15,000 plus expenses. This assistance will include requesting that brokerage houses, depositories, custodians, nominees and fiduciaries forward proxy soliciting material to the beneficial owners of the stock they hold; such assistance will also include the preparation of an institutional shareholder contact list that contains these shareholders' voting guidelines. We will bear the cost of this solicitation and reimburse Georgeson LLC for these expenses.

**Q: WHEN ARE SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS DUE FOR THE 2018 ANNUAL MEETING?**

**A:** The Corporate Secretary must receive a shareholder proposal no later than November 10, 2017, for the proposal to be considered for inclusion in our proxy materials for the 2018 Annual Meeting. To otherwise bring a proposal or nomination before the 2018 Annual Meeting, you must comply with our Bylaws. Currently, our Bylaws require written notice to the Corporate Secretary between January 27, 2018, and February 26, 2018. If we receive your notice after February 26, 2018, then your proposal or nomination will be untimely. In addition, your proposal or nomination must comply with the procedural provisions of our Bylaws. If you do not comply with these procedural provisions, your proposal or nomination can be excluded. Should the Board nevertheless choose to present your proposal, the named proxies will be able to vote on the proposal using their best judgment.

**Q: WHAT IS THE ADDRESS OF THE CORPORATE SECRETARY?**

**A:** The address of the Corporate Secretary is:

Snap-on Incorporated

Attention: Corporate Secretary

2801 80th Street

Kenosha, Wisconsin 53143

**Table of Contents**

**Q:** WILL THERE BE OTHER MATTERS TO VOTE ON AT THIS ANNUAL MEETING?

**A:** We are not aware of any other matters that you will be asked to vote on at the Annual Meeting. Other matters may be voted on if they are properly brought before the Annual Meeting in accordance with our Bylaws. If other matters are properly brought before the Annual Meeting, then the named proxies will vote the proxies they hold in their discretion on such matters.

---

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

The Board of Directors is currently comprised of 10 directors. This year's Board nominees for election for one-year terms expiring at the 2018 Annual Meeting, and until their successors are elected and qualified, are listed below.

It is our policy that the Board of Directors should reflect a broad variety of experience and talents. When the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee of the Board determines which directors to nominate for election at any meeting of shareholders, or appoints a new director between meetings, it reviews our director selection criteria and seeks to choose individuals who bring a variety of expertise to the Board within these criteria. For further information about the criteria used to evaluate Board membership, see Corporate Governance Practices and Board Information Nomination of Directors below.

The following is information about the experience and attributes of the nominees. Together, the experience and attributes included below provide the reasons that these individuals continue to serve on the Board and are nominated for election or re-election to the Board.

**David C. Adams**

*Director since 2016*

Mr. Adams, age 63, has served as Chairman of Curtiss-Wright Corporation, a global provider of highly engineered, critical function products and services to the commercial, industrial, defense and energy markets, since 2015, as Chief Executive Officer since 2013 and as President since 2012. He previously served as Curtiss-Wright's Chief Operating Officer from 2012 to 2013, and as its Co-Chief Operating Officer from 2008 until 2012. Prior thereto, he served as a Vice President of Curtiss-Wright and as President of Curtiss-Wright Controls, Inc., the former motion control segment of Curtiss-Wright. Mr. Adams is being nominated as a director because, among his other qualifications, he possesses experience and/or expertise in the following areas: knowledge of Snap-on's industry/market, international business, manufacturing, sales, marketing, product innovation/development, operations, accounting/finance, mergers and acquisitions, strategy development, executive compensation and leadership development. Mr. Adams earned a Bachelor of Science degree from California State University and a Master of Business Administration degree from California Lutheran University.

**Karen L. Daniel**

*Director since 2005*

Ms. Daniel, age 59, has served as Division President and Chief Financial Officer for Black & Veatch Corporation, a leading global engineering, construction and consulting company specializing in infrastructure development in the areas of energy, water and information, since 2000. Ms. Daniel is being re-nominated as a director because, among her other qualifications, she possesses experience and/or expertise in the following areas: knowledge of Snap-on's industry/market, international business, accounting/finance (including as a chief financial officer), mergers and acquisitions and strategy development; in addition, Ms. Daniel is a Certified Public Accountant. Ms. Daniel earned a Bachelor of Science degree in accounting from Northwest Missouri State University and a Master of Science degree in accounting from the University of Missouri-Kansas City.

**Ruth Ann M. Gillis**

*Director since 2014*

Ms. Gillis, age 62, retired in 2014 as Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer of Exelon Corporation, a utility services holding company engaged in energy generation and delivery, after serving in those roles since 2005. She was also President of Exelon Business Services Company, a subsidiary of Exelon that provides transactional and corporate services to Exelon's operating companies. Previous roles included service as Executive Vice President of ComEd, an Exelon subsidiary, and as the Chief Financial Officer of Exelon. Prior to the merger that formed Exelon, Ms. Gillis was the Chief Financial Officer of Unicom Corp., a producer, purchaser, transmitter, distributor and seller of electricity. She also serves as a director of KeyCorp and Voya Financial, Inc.; she was previously a director of Potlatch



---

**Table of Contents**

Corporation until 2013. Ms. Gillis is being re-nominated as a director because, among her other qualifications, she possesses experience and/or expertise in the following areas: knowledge of Snap-on's industry/market, product innovation/development, information technology, operations, accounting/finance (including as a chief financial officer), mergers and acquisitions, strategy development, executive compensation and leadership development. Ms. Gillis earned a Bachelor of Arts degree in economics from Smith College and a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of Chicago Graduate School of Business.

**James P. Holden**

*Director since 2007*

Mr. Holden, age 65, has been our Lead Director since 2009. He served 27 years in the automotive industry, including 19 years with DaimlerChrysler and its predecessor, Chrysler Corporation. He was President and Chief Executive Officer of DaimlerChrysler Corporation, a U.S. subsidiary of DaimlerChrysler AG, until 2000. Mr. Holden also serves as a director of Elio Motors, Inc., Sirius XM Holdings Inc. and Speedway Motorsports, Inc. Mr. Holden is being re-nominated as a director because, among his other qualifications, he possesses experience and/or expertise in the following areas: knowledge of Snap-on's industry/market, international business, manufacturing, sales, marketing, product innovation/development, information technology, operations, accounting/finance, strategy development, executive compensation, leadership development and franchising. Mr. Holden earned a Bachelor of Science degree in political science from Western Michigan University and a Master of Business Administration degree from Michigan State University.

**Nathan J. Jones**

*Director since 2008*

Mr. Jones, age 60, retired in 2007 as President, Worldwide Commercial & Consumer Equipment Division of Deere & Company, a manufacturer of agricultural, commercial and consumer equipment. He previously served as Deere & Company's Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and as its Vice President and Treasurer. Mr. Jones is being re-nominated as a director because, among his other qualifications, he possesses experience and/or expertise in the following areas: knowledge of Snap-on's industry/market, international business, information technology, operations, accounting/finance (including as a chief financial officer), mergers and acquisitions, strategy development, executive compensation and leadership development. Mr. Jones earned a Bachelor of Business Administration degree in accounting from the University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire and a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of Chicago Graduate School of Business.

**Henry W. Knuettel**

*Director since 2011*

Mr. Knuettel, age 68, retired in 2011 as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Regal Beloit Corporation, a manufacturer of electric motors, generators and controls, as well as mechanical motion control products. Mr. Knuettel previously served as Regal Beloit's President and Chief Operating Officer and as an Executive Vice President prior thereto. Mr. Knuettel continues to serve as a director of Regal Beloit. In addition, Mr. Knuettel serves as a director of WEC Energy Group, Inc.; he previously served as a director of Wisconsin Electric Power Company until 2015. Mr. Knuettel served as a director of Harsco Corporation, a global industrial services and engineering company, until April 2016, and was its Non-Executive Chairman of the Board until 2014; he served as Interim Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Harsco during 2012. Mr. Knuettel is being re-nominated as a director because, among his other qualifications, he possesses experience and/or expertise in the following areas: knowledge of Snap-on's industry/market, international business, manufacturing, marketing, product innovation/development, operations, accounting/finance, mergers and acquisitions, strategy development, executive compensation and leadership development. Mr. Knuettel earned a Bachelor of Arts degree in economics from Ripon College and a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of Wisconsin-Whitewater.

## **Table of Contents**

### **W. Dudley Lehman**

*Director since 2003*

Mr. Lehman, age 65, retired in 2006 as Group President for Kimberly-Clark Corporation, a manufacturer and marketer of a wide range of consumer and business-to-business products from natural fibers. He previously served as Group President Business to Business and as Group President Infant and Child Care Sectors for Kimberly-Clark. Mr. Lehman is being re-nominated as a director because, among his other qualifications, he possesses experience and/or expertise in the following areas: knowledge of Snap-on's industry/market, international business, manufacturing, sales, marketing, product innovation/development, operations, strategy development, executive compensation and leadership development. Mr. Lehman earned a Bachelor of Arts degree in political science from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and a Master of Business Administration degree from Wake Forest University.

### **Nicholas T. Pinchuk**

*Director since 2007*

Mr. Pinchuk, age 70, has been Snap-on's President and Chief Executive Officer since 2007 and Chairman of the Board since 2009. Prior to his appointment as President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Pinchuk served as Snap-on's President and Chief Operating Officer, and before that as Snap-on's Senior Vice President and President Worldwide Commercial & Industrial Group. Before joining Snap-on in 2002, Mr. Pinchuk served in several executive operational and financial management positions at United Technologies Corporation and held various financial and engineering positions at Ford Motor Company. Mr. Pinchuk also serves as a director of Columbus McKinnon Corporation. In addition to his other experience and expertise, Mr. Pinchuk is being re-nominated as a director because it is the Company's traditional practice to have its Chief Executive Officer serve as a member of the Board. Mr. Pinchuk earned Master and Bachelor of Science degrees in engineering from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute and a Master of Business Administration degree from Harvard Business School.

### **Gregg M. Sherrill**

*Director since 2010*

Mr. Sherrill, age 64, has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Tenneco Inc., a producer of automotive emission control and ride control products and systems, since 2007. He will be retiring from the Chief Executive Officer role at Tenneco Inc. in May 2017, but will continue as Executive Chairman. Previously, Mr. Sherrill was Corporate Vice President and President, Power Solutions of Johnson Controls Inc., a global diversified technology and industrial company. Prior to joining Johnson Controls in 1998, Mr. Sherrill held various engineering and manufacturing positions at Ford Motor Company. Mr. Sherrill is being re-nominated as a director because, among his other qualifications, he possesses experience and/or expertise in the following areas: knowledge of Snap-on's industry/market, international business, manufacturing, product innovation/development, operations, accounting/finance, mergers and acquisitions, strategy development, executive compensation and leadership development. Mr. Sherrill earned a Bachelor of Science degree in mechanical engineering from Texas A&M University and a Master of Business Administration degree from Indiana University's Graduate School of Business.

### **Donald J. Stebbins**

*Director since 2015*

Mr. Stebbins, age 59, has served as President and Chief Executive Officer, and also as a director, of Superior Industries International, Inc., a manufacturer of aluminum wheels for the automotive industry, since 2014. Prior thereto, he provided consulting services to various private equity firms since 2012. Mr. Stebbins previously served as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Visteon Corporation, an automotive components manufacturer, from 2008 until 2012, after having served as Visteon's President and Chief Operating Officer from 2005 until 2008. Visteon filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection in 2009 and emerged from bankruptcy in 2010. Before joining Visteon, Mr. Stebbins held various positions with increasing responsibility at Lear Corporation, a supplier of automotive seating and electrical distribution systems, including President and Chief Operating Officer

**Table of Contents**

Europe, Asia and Africa, President and Chief Operating Officer Americas, and Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Stebbins previously served as a director of WABCO Holdings Inc. until March 2016, as a director of ITT Corporation until 2014 and as a director of Visteon until 2012. Mr. Stebbins is being re-nominated as a director because, among his other qualifications, he possesses experience and/or expertise in the following areas: knowledge of Snap-on's industry/market, international business, manufacturing, sales, product innovation/development, operations, accounting/finance (including as a chief financial officer), mergers and acquisitions, strategy development, executive compensation and leadership development. Mr. Stebbins earned a Bachelor of Science degree in finance from Miami University and a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of Michigan.

**THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR EACH OF THE NOMINEES.**

Shares represented by proxies will be voted according to instructions on the proxy card. Only cards clearly indicating a vote against will be considered as a vote against the nominee. If the Board learns prior to the Annual Meeting that a nominee is unable to serve, then the Board may name a replacement, in which case the shares represented by proxies will be voted for the substitute nominee.

---

**Table of Contents**

**CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRACTICES AND BOARD INFORMATION**

**Nomination of Directors**

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee fulfills the role of a nominating committee. The material terms of the Committee's role are included in its charter, which is available on the Company's website at [www.snapon.com](http://www.snapon.com). The charter requires that all members of the Committee meet the independence requirements of applicable laws and regulations, including, without limitation, the requirements imposed by the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE").

The Committee uses a variety of means to identify prospective Board members, including the Committee's contacts and recommendations from other sources. In addition, it may also retain a professional search firm to identify candidates. Pursuant to its charter, the Committee has the sole authority to retain and terminate any search firm to be used to identify director candidates and has the sole authority to approve the search firm's fees and other retention items.

The Committee will consider director candidates recommended by shareholders provided that the shareholders submitting recommendations follow the procedures set forth below. The Committee does not intend to alter the manner in which it evaluates candidates based on whether the candidate was recommended by a shareholder or not. If a shareholder wishes to suggest an individual for consideration as a nominee for election to the Board at the 2018 Annual Meeting, and possible inclusion in the Proxy Statement, we recommend that you submit your suggestion in writing to the Corporate Secretary before October 1, 2017, for forwarding to the Committee.

To bring a nomination before the 2018 Annual Meeting from the floor during the meeting, you must comply with our Bylaws. Our Bylaws require written notice to the Corporate Secretary between January 27, 2018, and February 26, 2018. If we receive your notice after February 26, 2018, then your proposal or nomination will be untimely. The notice must also meet the requirements set forth in our Bylaws. If you do not comply with these requirements, your nomination can be excluded.

The Committee has a procedure under which all director candidates are evaluated. The Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that the Board will not nominate individuals for election or re-election as directors after they have attained age 75. When evaluating a candidate's capabilities to serve as a member of the Board, the Committee uses the following criteria: independence, the relationships that the candidate has with the Company (either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company), conflicts of interest, ability to contribute to the oversight and governance of the Company, the candidate's skill set and positions held at other companies, existing time commitments and diversity. Further, the Committee reviews the qualifications of any candidate with those of the Company's current directors to augment and complement the skill sets of the current Board members. The Company believes that it is important for its Board to be comprised of individuals with diverse backgrounds, skills and experiences. The composition of the Board, as well as the experience and the qualities brought to the Board by our directors, are reviewed annually. While the Committee does not have a formal diversity policy and identifies qualified potential candidates without regard to any candidate's race, color, disability, gender, national origin, religion or creed, it does seek to ensure the fair representation of all shareholder interests on the Board. The Board believes that the use of these general criteria, along with a non-discriminatory policy, will best result in a Board that evidences that diversity in many respects. The Board believes that it currently maintains that diversity.

Mr. Adams, who was elected to the Board by our other directors effective June 9, 2016, is an independent director. Mr. Adams was initially identified to the Board of Directors as a director candidate as a result of a search conducted by Spencer Stuart, an outside consulting firm retained by the Committee that was paid a fee for its services, which consisted of researching and recommending potential candidates.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Communications with the Board**

Shareholders and other interested parties who wish to communicate with the Board of Directors, individually or as a group, should send their communications to the Corporate Secretary at the address listed below. The Corporate Secretary is responsible for forwarding communications to the appropriate Board members and screens these communications for security purposes.

Snap-on Incorporated

Attention: Name of Director

c/o Corporate Secretary

2801 80th Street

Kenosha, Wisconsin 53143

### **Annual Meeting Attendance**

All continuing directors are expected to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders either in person or by telephone. If a director attends by phone, he or she is also able to answer questions asked at the Annual Meeting. All directors serving at the time attended the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders in person.

### **Board Information**

The primary responsibility of the Board is to oversee the business and affairs of the Company. The Board met eight times in fiscal 2016. All directors attended at least 75% of the total meetings of the Board and committees of which they were members in 2016; for Messrs. Adams and Fiedler this refers to the portion of the fiscal year in which each individual served as a director. The Board also conducts regularly scheduled executive sessions of non-management directors. At these executive sessions, our Lead Director presides. Interested persons may communicate about appropriate subject matter with our Lead Director, as described above under the section titled Communications with the Board.

The Board is organized so that its committees focus on issues that may require more in-depth scrutiny. The present committee structure consists of the (i) Audit, (ii) Corporate Governance and Nominating, and (iii) Organization and Executive Compensation Committees. Committee reports are presented to the full Board for discussion and review.

The Board has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines, which are available on the Company's website at [www.snapon.com](http://www.snapon.com).

### **Director Independence**

The Board reviewed the independence of its members considering the independence tests promulgated by the NYSE, and has adopted categorical standards to assist it in making its determinations of director independence. These categorical standards are attached to this Proxy Statement as Appendix A. The Board has affirmatively determined that Ms. Daniel and Ms. Gillis and each of Messrs. Adams, Holden, Jones, Knueppel, Lehman, Sherrill and Stebbins are independent on the basis that they had no relationships with the Company that would be prohibited under the independence standards of the NYSE or in the categorical standards. John F. Fiedler, who retired from the Board in April 2016, was previously determined to be independent. Mr. Pinchuk, our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, is not considered independent. An immediate family member of Mr. Holden's is an employee of the Company, but is not an executive officer nor is that individual compensated in an amount requiring disclosure under Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules; this relationship is permitted by the categorical standards and the Board determined that it did not affect Mr. Holden's independence. Snap-on did business with Curtiss-Wright Corporation, Mr. Adams' employer, in fiscal 2016; given that these transactions were made in the ordinary course of business, the amount involved was substantially less than 0.1% of either company's revenue and Mr. Adams did not have any involvement with the transactions, the Board determined that this relationship did not impact Mr. Adams' independence.

## **Table of Contents**

See Other Information Transactions with the Company for information about Snap-on's policies and practices regarding transactions with members of the Board.

### **Board's Role in Oversight of Risk**

The Audit Committee is primarily responsible for evaluating the Company's policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management. The Audit Committee reviews and discusses the Company's major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such risks. The Organization and Executive Compensation Committee oversees risks related to our compensation policies and practices. The Organization and Executive Compensation Committee receives reports and discusses whether the Company's compensation policies and practices create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is responsible for the oversight of risks associated with corporate governance and compliance. Periodically, the full Board itself conducts a review of risk management at the Company.

### **Board Leadership Structure**

The Board has established the position of Lead Director to assist in overseeing the affairs of both the Company and the Board. The Lead Director is appointed by the Board and must be an independent director. The Lead Director's responsibilities include: (i) presiding at Board meetings when the Chairman is not present; (ii) providing input to the Chairman regarding the agendas for Board and Committee meetings; (iii) presiding at all meetings of the independent directors; (iv) acting as the principal liaison between the independent directors and the Chairman on sensitive issues; and (v) being available for meetings with shareholders upon the request of the Chairman. Mr. Holden, an independent director, has served as our Lead Director since 2009.

Our Chairman is also our Chief Executive Officer and thus is not an independent director. The Company believes that having one person serve as chairman and chief executive officer allows that individual to leverage the substantial amount of information gained from both roles to lead the Company most effectively and to act as a unified spokesperson on behalf of the Company. Further, the Company believes that the designation of an independent Lead Director provides essentially the same benefits as having an independent chairman in terms of access and an independent voice with significant input into corporate governance, while maintaining Snap-on's historical practice of generally having its chief executive officer also serve as chairman (other than at times when providing for an orderly transition of chief executive officers).

### **Audit Committee**

The Audit Committee is composed entirely of non-employee directors who meet the independence and accounting or financial management expertise standards and requirements of the NYSE and the SEC. The Audit Committee assists the Board's oversight of the integrity of the Company's financial statements, the Company's independent public accounting firm's qualifications and independence, the performance of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, the Company's internal audit function and the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements. The Audit Committee conducts an annual evaluation of its own performance. During fiscal 2016, the Committee met eight times. The Board has adopted a written charter for the Audit Committee, which is located on the Company's website at [www.snapon.com](http://www.snapon.com). The Committee's duties and responsibilities are discussed in greater detail in the charter. Currently, Mr. Jones (Chair), Ms. Daniel and Ms. Gillis serve on the Audit Committee. The Board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee qualifies as an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of regulations promulgated by the SEC pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee**

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is composed entirely of non-employee directors who meet the independence requirements of the NYSE. This Committee makes recommendations to the Board regarding Board policies and structure including size and composition of the Board, corporate governance, number and responsibilities of committees, tenure policy, qualifications of potential Board nominees, including nominees recommended by shareholders, and director compensation. In addition to conducting an annual evaluation of its own performance, the Committee oversees the annual evaluation of the Board. Currently, Messrs. Knueppel (Chair), Adams and Lehman serve on the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. Mr. Fiedler, who was an independent director, was a member of the Committee until his retirement from the Board in April 2016. During fiscal 2016, the Committee met four times. The Board has adopted a written charter for the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, which is located on the Company's website at [www.snapon.com](http://www.snapon.com). The Committee's duties and responsibilities are discussed in greater detail in the charter. See the section titled "Nomination of Directors" for more information regarding recommending and nominating director candidates.

### **Organization and Executive Compensation Committee**

The Organization and Executive Compensation Committee is composed entirely of non-employee directors who meet the independence requirements of the NYSE and the SEC. This Committee oversees our corporate organization, executive succession and executive compensation programs. It recommends to the Board the appropriate level of compensation for our Chief Executive Officer and, after consulting with the Chief Executive Officer, approves the compensation of our other officers. This Committee also administers our incentive stock and compensation plans, as well as the employee and franchisee stock ownership plans. This Committee has also been designated by the Board to consider and conduct succession planning for the chief executive officer position with the oversight of the Board. The Committee may, in its sole discretion, retain or obtain the advice of compensation consultants, legal counsel or other advisers as it deems appropriate in connection with the discharge of its duties; prior to selecting any such adviser, the Committee considers all factors relevant to the adviser's independence from management, including those set forth in NYSE and SEC rules. The Committee conducts an annual evaluation of its own performance. Currently, Messrs. Sherrill (Chair), Holden and Stebbins serve on the Organization and Executive Compensation Committee. During fiscal 2016, the Committee met four times. The Board has adopted a written charter for the Organization and Executive Compensation Committee, which is located on the Company's website at [www.snapon.com](http://www.snapon.com). The Committee's duties and responsibilities are discussed in greater detail in the charter. The Committee's processes and procedures are described in the section titled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis."

### **Availability of Certain Corporate Governance Documents**

The Board has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines, a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, and written charters for the Audit, Corporate Governance and Nominating and the Organization and Executive Compensation Committees. The Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (and information about any waivers from the Code that are granted to directors or executive officers) and the committees' charters are available on the Company's website at [www.snapon.com](http://www.snapon.com).

## **Table of Contents**

### **Board Compensation**

#### **Employee Directors**

Directors who are employees do not receive additional compensation for serving on the Board or its Committees.

#### **Non-employee Directors**

The annual cash retainer for our non-employee directors was increased from \$85,000 to \$95,000 in fiscal 2016. Annual committee chair fees remained as follows: Audit Committee \$20,000; Organization and Executive Compensation Committee \$15,000; and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee \$12,500. Audit Committee members, excluding the Audit Committee Chair, received an additional annual fee of \$7,500; members of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and the Organization and Executive Compensation Committee, other than each committee's chair, did not receive additional annual fees for such service. Our Lead Director received an additional annual fee of \$25,000 for serving in that role. Non-employee directors do not receive Board or committee meeting attendance fees.

On February 11, 2016, the Board of Directors approved a grant of \$130,000 worth of restricted stock under our 2011 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan (the 2011 Plan) to each non-employee director serving at the time, other than Mr. Fiedler, who did not stand for re-election at the 2016 Annual Meeting. The number of restricted shares granted was based on the average closing price for the Company's stock for the 30 business days prior to the grant date and, as a result, each non-employee director, other than Messrs. Fiedler and Adams, received 825 restricted shares. Mr. Adams, who was appointed to the Board in June 2016, received a prorated grant of 545 restricted shares in June 2016. The restrictions on these shares generally lapse upon the earliest of the first anniversary of the grant date, the director's death or disability or a change of control, as defined in the 2011 Plan. The directors have full voting rights with respect to these shares and are entitled to receive cash dividends at the same rate as the dividends paid to our other shareholders. The value of the restricted stock grant was increased to \$135,000 beginning in fiscal 2017.

Directors have the option to receive up to 100% of their fees, including the annual retainer, in cash or shares of common stock under the Amended and Restated Directors' 1993 Fee Plan, which we refer to as the Directors' Fee Plan. Under the Directors' Fee Plan, non-employee directors receive shares of our common stock based on the fair market value of a share of our common stock on the last day of the month in which the fees are paid. Directors may choose to defer the receipt of all or part of their shares and fees to a deferral account. The Directors' Fee Plan credits deferred cash amounts with earnings based on market rates of return. Earnings on deferred cash amounts were based on the applicable market rates, which from January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016, averaged 2.63%. Dividends on deferred shares of common stock are automatically reinvested.

Directors also are entitled to reimbursement for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses they incur in connection with their travel to and attendance at meetings of the Board or committees thereof. In addition, non-employee directors who are not eligible to participate in another group health plan may participate in our medical plans on the same basis as our employees; however, non-employee directors must pay the full premium at their own expense. Eligibility to participate in our medical plans ceases upon termination of service as a director. In addition, pursuant to the Company's employee tool purchase plan, directors are eligible to take advantage of employee discount prices up to a maximum of \$5,000 per year, the same limit applicable to Company retirees (who are also eligible to participate in the plan).



**Table of Contents**

Set forth below is a summary of compensation for each current and former non-employee director in fiscal 2016:

**Table 1: Director Compensation**

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Stock Awards (\$) <sup>(2)(3)</sup>	All Other Compensation (\$) <sup>(4)</sup>	Total (\$)
David C. Adams	\$ 61,182	\$ 87,973	\$ 719	\$ 149,874
Karen L. Daniel	100,000	130,000	41,051	271,051
John F. Fiedler <sup>(5)</sup>	21,250		9,356	30,606
Ruth Ann M. Gillis	100,000	130,000	2,096	232,096
James P. Holden	117,500	130,000	35,060	282,560
Nathan J. Jones	112,500	130,000	30,142	272,642
Henry W. Knueppel	105,000	130,000	10,358	245,358
W. Dudley Lehman	92,500	130,000	41,051	263,551
Gregg M. Sherrill	107,500	130,000	13,411	250,911
Donald J. Stebbins	92,500	130,000	2,096	224,596

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes annual retainer, committee and chair fees. For Mr. Holden, this amount also includes his fee for serving as Lead Director.

<sup>(2)</sup> Amounts shown represent the grant date fair value of restricted stock granted to non-employee directors in fiscal 2016. The Financial Accounting Standards Board's Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 (ASC 718) requires us to recognize compensation expense for stock awards granted to our directors based on the estimated fair value of the equity awards at the time of grant. The fair value for the restricted stock awards granted to non-employee directors is expensed over the contractual term of the awards. The assumptions used to determine the valuation of such restricted stock awards are discussed in Note 13 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

<sup>(3)</sup> Each current and former non-employee director had the following stock awards outstanding as of the end of fiscal 2016:

Name	Stock Awards Shares of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)
David C. Adams	545
Karen L. Daniel	16,162
John F. Fiedler <sup>(5)</sup>	
Ruth Ann M. Gillis	825
James P. Holden	13,803
Nathan J. Jones	11,867
Henry W. Knueppel	4,078
W. Dudley Lehman	16,162
Gregg M. Sherrill	5,280
Donald J. Stebbins	825

The restrictions on the shares of restricted stock granted to non-employee directors in fiscal 2016 generally lapse upon the earliest of the first anniversary of the grant date, the director's death or



**Table of Contents**

disability or a change of control, as defined in the 2011 Plan. The restrictions on the restricted stock units ( RSUs ) granted from fiscal 2009 through fiscal 2012, and on the shares of restricted stock granted prior to fiscal 2009, lapse upon the earliest of the director s retirement from the Board, the director s death or a change of control, as defined in the 2011 Plan or its predecessor.

(4) Includes cash dividends paid on shares of restricted stock and dividend equivalents with respect to the number of shares of common stock represented by RSUs to the extent not reflected in the grant date fair value of these awards.

(5) Mr. Fielder served as a director until April 28, 2016.

**Stock Ownership Guidelines for Directors**

Snap-on believes it is important for directors to maintain an equity stake in Snap-on to further align their interests with those of our shareholders. Directors must comply with stock ownership guidelines as determined from time to time by our Board. In November 2016, the Board approved an increase to the ownership guidelines for directors, effective at the beginning of 2017. As a result, each director is required to own Snap-on shares (including securities that vest upon departure from the Board) equal to five times (increased from three times) the director s annual base cash retainer within five years from the start of the next calendar year after such director s initial election or appointment. Currently, eight of our nine non-employee directors have met the ownership guidelines. Mr. Adams, who was appointed to the Board in June 2016, has until January 1, 2022, to comply with the guidelines.

---

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 2: RATIFY THE AUDIT COMMITTEE S SELECTION OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS THE COMPANY S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR FISCAL 2017**

The Board of Directors proposes that shareholders ratify the selection by the Audit Committee of Deloitte & Touche LLP ( D&T ) to serve as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2017. Pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and regulations promulgated by the SEC thereunder, the Audit Committee is directly responsible for the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm. Although shareholder ratification of the Audit Committee s selection of the independent registered public accounting firm is not required by our Bylaws or otherwise, we are submitting the selection of D&T to our shareholders for ratification to permit shareholders to participate in this important decision. If the shareholders fail to ratify the Audit Committee s selection of D&T as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2017 at the Annual Meeting, the Audit Committee will reconsider the selection, although the Audit Committee will not be required to select a different independent registered public accounting firm. Representatives of D&T will be at the Annual Meeting to answer your questions and to make a statement if they so desire.

**THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE S SELECTION OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS THE COMPANY S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR FISCAL 2017.**

**AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT**

The duties and responsibilities of the Audit Committee are set forth in a written charter adopted by the Board, which is located on the Company s website at [www.snapon.com](http://www.snapon.com). The Audit Committee reviews and reassesses this charter annually and recommends any changes to the Board for approval.

During fiscal 2016, the Audit Committee met eight times. In the exercise of its duties and responsibilities, the Committee members reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements for fiscal 2016 with management and the independent registered public accounting firm. In addition, the Audit Committee members met to discuss the earnings press releases and interim financial information with the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, the Senior Vice President Finance and Chief Financial Officer, the Vice President and Controller, and the independent registered public accounting firm prior to public release.

The Audit Committee also discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm those matters required to be discussed by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board s ( PCAOB ) Auditing Standard No. 1301, Communications with Audit Committees. In addition, the independent registered public accounting firm provided to the Audit Committee the written disclosures required by PCAOB Ethics and Independence Rule 3526, Communication with Audit Committees Concerning Independence, and the Audit Committee discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm their independence. Based on their review and discussions and subject to the limitations on the role and responsibilities of the Audit Committee in its charter, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the audited financial statements be included in Snap-on s Annual Report to shareholders on Form 10-K to be filed with the SEC.

Nathan J. Jones, Chair

Karen L. Daniel

Ruth Ann M. Gillis

**Table of Contents****DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP FEE DISCLOSURE**

The Audit Committee selects our independent registered public accounting firm for each fiscal year. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016, D&T was employed principally to perform the annual audit, including audit services related to the Company's Sarbanes-Oxley Section 404 compliance, and to render tax advice and compliance services. The following table sets forth the amount of fees for professional services rendered by D&T as of and for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2016 (fiscal 2016) and January 2, 2016 (fiscal 2015).

	<b>Fiscal 2016</b>	<b>Fiscal 2015</b>
Audit <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 3,872,379	\$ 3,599,595
Audit Related <sup>(2)</sup>	125,660	37,672
Tax <sup>(3)</sup>	1,987,737	1,854,551
All Other Fees		
<b>Total Fees</b>	<b>\$ 5,985,776</b>	<b>\$ 5,491,818</b>

(1) Includes fees related to the issuance of the audit opinions, including audit requirements pursuant to Sarbanes-Oxley 404 and the PCAOB, and timely quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, statutory audits and consents for other SEC filings.

(2) Includes accounting advisory services and attestation services that are not required by statute or regulation.

(3) Includes U.S. and international tax advice and compliance services.

The Audit Committee has adopted a policy for pre-approving all audit and non-audit services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm. These procedures include reviewing a budget for audit and permitted non-audit services. The budget includes a description of, and a budgeted amount for, particular categories of non-audit services that are recurring in nature or anticipated at the time the budget is submitted. Audit Committee pre-approval is required to exceed the budgeted amount for a particular category of services and to engage the independent registered public accounting firm for any service that was not pre-approved. The Audit Committee considers whether the provision of such services are consistent with the SEC's rules on auditor independence and whether the independent registered public accounting firm is best positioned to provide the most effective and efficient service. The Audit Committee considered the non-audit services provided by D&T in fiscal 2016 and fiscal 2015 and determined that the provision of those services is compatible with maintaining auditor independence. The Audit Committee has also delegated pre-approval authority to the Committee Chair, provided that any pre-approval by the Committee Chair is reported to the Audit Committee at its next regularly scheduled meeting. The Audit Committee periodically receives a report from members of management and the independent registered public accounting firm on the services rendered and fees paid to the independent registered public accounting firm to ensure that such services are within the pre-approved amounts.

**Table of Contents****SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

The following table shows the number of shares of Snap-on common stock beneficially owned by each non-employee director or nominee for director, Messrs. Banerjee, Kassouf, Pagliari, Pinchuk and Ward (the named executive officers or NEOs), and all current directors and executive officers as a group, as well as each person or entity known to us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our common stock, as of the close of business on February 27, 2017 (the Record Date). Beneficial owners include the directors and executive officers, their spouses, minor children and family trusts. Unless otherwise indicated in the footnotes, the individuals and entities listed below have sole voting and investment power over their shares.

**Table 2: Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management**

Name	Shares Beneficially Owned <sup>(1)</sup>	Percentage of Shares Outstanding
David C. Adams	1,323	*
Anup R. Banerjee	44,978	*
Karen L. Daniel	12,295	*
Ruth Ann M. Gillis	5,749	*
James P. Holden	17,805	*
Nathan J. Jones	2,209	*
Thomas L. Kassouf	215,171	*
Henry W. Kneuppel	6,451	*
W. Dudley Lehman	8,763	*
Aldo J. Pagliari	204,007	*
Nicholas T. Pinchuk	1,313,182	2.2%
Gregg M. Sherrill	5,365	*
Donald J. Stebbins	5,401	*
Thomas J. Ward	212,695	*
All current directors and executive officers as a group (18 persons)	2,201,425	3.7%
The Vanguard Group, Inc. <sup>(2)</sup>	5,323,931	9.2%
BlackRock, Inc. <sup>(3)</sup>	3,703,239	6.4%
JPMorgan Chase & Co. <sup>(4)</sup>	3,682,291	6.4%

\* Less than 1%

<sup>(1)</sup> Amounts for directors and executive officers include deferred share units payable in shares of common stock on a one-for-one basis. Amounts also include shares subject to options granted under Snap-on's option plans that are exercisable currently or within 60 days of the Record Date. The options include those held by the following individuals for the indicated number of shares: Mr. Banerjee (36,834), Mr. Kassouf (169,334), Mr. Pagliari (163,774), Mr. Pinchuk (947,667) and Mr. Ward (168,000), and all current executive officers and directors as a group (1,604,445).

<sup>(2)</sup> The Vanguard Group, Inc., 100 Vanguard Boulevard, Malvern, PA 19355, has reported on Schedule 13G/A, filed on February 13, 2017, the beneficial ownership of 5,323,931 shares of common stock as

**Table of Contents**

of December 31, 2016. Vanguard reports sole voting power as to 91,350 of these shares, shared voting power as to 9,999 shares, sole dispositive power as to 5,224,882 shares and shared dispositive power as to 99,049 shares.

- (3) BlackRock, Inc., 40 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10022, has reported on Schedule 13G/A, filed on January 27, 2017, the beneficial ownership of 3,703,239 shares of common stock as of December 31, 2016. BlackRock reports sole voting power as to 3,153,204 of these shares and sole dispositive power to all 3,703,239 shares.
- (4) JPMorgan Chase & Co., 270 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10017, has reported on Schedule 13G, filed on January 25, 2017, the beneficial ownership of 3,682,291 shares of common stock as of December 30, 2016. JPMorgan reports sole voting power as to 3,256,058 of these shares, shared voting power as to 48,823 shares, sole dispositive power as to 3,615,217 shares and shared dispositive power as to 66,980 shares.

---

**Table of Contents**

**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

*Compensation Discussion and Analysis*

The Compensation Discussion and Analysis provides information regarding the objectives and elements of our compensation program with respect to the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table herein (the "named executive officers" or "NEOs") and listed below:

Nicholas T. Pinchuk: Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

Aldo J. Pagliari: Senior Vice President Finance and Chief Financial Officer

Thomas J. Ward: Senior Vice President and President Repair Systems & Information Group

Thomas L. Kassouf: Senior Vice President and President Snap-on Tools Group

Anup R. Banerjee: Senior Vice President Human Resources and Chief Development Officer

At the 2016 Annual Meeting, we held a shareholder advisory vote to approve our executive compensation policies and decisions. Over 98% of shares voted supported the proposal and, therefore, the advisory resolution regarding executive compensation was approved. Although the vote was non-binding, the Company, the Board of Directors and the Organization and Executive Compensation Committee of the Board (the

Committee) all pay close attention to our shareholders' views regarding the Company's executive compensation policies and decisions. Based on the vote, the Committee believes that our shareholders generally support the Company's executive compensation philosophy, program and decisions.

**Executive Summary**

*Performance Overview*

Snap-on's results for 2016 were encouraging and again demonstrated our capabilities in providing repeatability and reliability to a wide range of professional customers performing critical tasks through observing their work and translating the insights gained to create tools and solutions that make that work easier. Opportunities to leverage this value proposition, both within and beyond automotive repair, are embodied in our runways for coherent growth: enhance the franchise network, expand with repair shop owners and managers, extend to critical industries and build in emerging markets. At the same time, these results reflect our ongoing commitment to our Snap-on Value Creation Processes, a suite of principles we use every day in the areas of safety, quality, customer connection, innovation and rapid continuous improvement ("RCI").

Net sales of \$3.43 billion increased 2.3%, reflecting a 2.9% increase in organic sales (a non-GAAP measure that excludes foreign currency translation and acquisition-related sales) and \$32.9 million of acquisition-related sales, partially offset by \$51.5 million of unfavorable foreign currency translation. The continued strengthening of the U.S. dollar adversely impacted our sales for the year by 160 basis points. Continued strength in automotive repair was combined with headwinds in certain industrial end markets that were most pronounced in the first half of the year.

On the profitability front, operating margin before financial services of 19.1% improved 140 basis points from 17.7% a year ago, primarily reflecting both higher sales and savings from RCI initiatives. Operating earnings from financial services of \$198.7 million increased 16.7% primarily due to the growth of our financial services portfolio. Net earnings of \$546.4 million increased 14.1% year over year, and diluted earnings per share reached \$9.20.



**Table of Contents**

We believe our commitment to our strategic initiatives for both growth and improvement will enable us to create long-term value for our shareholders. The table below provides fiscal 2016 and 2015 financial highlights:

Performance Metric	Fiscal 2016	Fiscal 2015	Change
Net Sales	\$3.43 billion	\$3.35 billion	+2.3%
			(+2.9% excluding \$32.9 million of acquisition-related sales and \$51.5 million of unfavorable foreign currency translation)
Operating Earnings before Financial Services	\$655.5 million	\$594.6 million	+10.2%
Operating Earnings before Financial Services as a Percent of Net Sales	19.1%	17.7%	+140 basis points
Consolidated Operating Earnings	\$854.2 million	\$764.8 million	+11.7%
Diluted Earnings Per Share	\$9.20	\$8.10	+13.6%

Our executive compensation philosophy drives programs structured to pay for operating and individual performance, as described in this section and in Executive Compensation Information below. Our positive results are driven by our executives, and their teams, who are rewarded for this performance. In fiscal 2016, our overall executive compensation levels generally increased from fiscal 2015 as a consequence of the Company's positive results. Our pay program is aligned with our key long-term and short-term strategic business objectives. As results improve, our shareholders and associates, including our executives, are rewarded. However, if results decline or do not improve, our executives' compensation is reduced accordingly.

Based on fiscal 2016 corporate financial performance and personal strategic business goals, annual incentive payments to our NEOs ranged from 120% to 132% of target. See Total Direct Compensation Cash and Incentive Annual Incentives below for a more detailed discussion.

In February 2017, the Committee approved the vesting of long-term performance-based share units (PSUs) granted in 2014 based on financial performance during fiscal 2014, 2015 and 2016. As a result of the Company's actual performance during that period, these units were earned at 106.7% of target. See Total Direct Compensation Cash and Incentive Long-term Incentive Compensation Long-term Performance-Based Share Units below for a more detailed discussion.

Performance-based restricted stock units (RSUs) are earned based on the corporate financial performance component of the annual incentive plan. Based on corporate financial performance in fiscal 2016, 116.2% of the RSUs were earned and will vest at the end of fiscal 2018, assuming continued employment. See Total Direct Compensation Cash and Incentive Long-term Incentive Compensation Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units below for a more detailed discussion.

*Non-GAAP Measure*

The references above to organic sales refer to sales from continuing operations calculated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (GAAP), excluding acquisition-related sales and the impact of foreign currency translation. Management evaluates the



## **Table of Contents**

Company's sales performance based on organic sales growth, which primarily reflects growth from the company's existing businesses as a result of increased output, customer base and geographic expansion, new product development and/or pricing, and excludes sales contributions from acquired operations the Company did not own as of the comparable prior-year reporting period. The Company's organic sales disclosures also exclude the effects of foreign currency translation as foreign currency translation is subject to volatility that can obscure underlying business trends. Management believes that the non-GAAP financial measure of organic sales is meaningful to investors as it provides them with useful information to aid in identifying underlying growth trends in our businesses and facilitating comparisons of our sales performance with prior periods.

### *Compensation Strategy and Objectives*

Snap-on's executive compensation program is designed to:

Attract and retain high quality executive officers that are critical to the long-term success of the Company;

Pay for operating performance funded by positive financial results, based on individual contributions and progress toward strategic goals;

Pay at competitive levels, consistent with our peer group;

Increase the percentage of pay-at-risk with increasing levels of responsibility; and

Encourage adherence to the Company's values of integrity, respect, teamwork and uncompromising safety.

Our goal is to design a compensation program that rewards executive officers for operating performance, as well as corporate and personal performance goals. As such, the majority of our executive officers' total compensation opportunity is placed at risk by tying it to annual and long-term performance incentive plans. In addition, our objective is to properly balance financial and strategic performance, short- and long-term performance and cash and equity compensation.

Our overall compensation program consists of base salary, cash-based annual incentives and long-term incentives, which are granted in the form of stock options, PSUs and performance-based RSUs. The total targeted direct compensation mix for the Chief Executive Officer ( CEO ) and the other NEOs is illustrated in the following pie charts:

**Table of Contents**

Our current target annual and long-term incentive programs balance a number of different performance metrics:

We believe that the performance metrics outlined above, coupled with stock price, focus our executives on the important factors that drive our business and create value for our shareholders.

*Governance*

We have maintained sound compensation practices and have, over the past several years, adjusted our program to better reflect good governance practices and standards. For example:

We do not have employment agreements other than the change of control agreements described below;

Our change of control agreements utilize a double trigger and do not provide excise tax gross-up payments;

We have a recoupment policy (the Clawback Policy ) that covers all elements of the Company's incentive compensation program;

We have executive stock ownership guidelines that cover all the Company's executive officers, including the NEOs;

**Table of Contents**

We do not provide perquisites to our executive officers;

We have a policy that prohibits the hedging and pledging of Company securities;

We do not pay above-market earnings on our executives' deferred compensation accounts; and

We retain an independent compensation consultant employed solely by the Committee.

**Compensation Program and Philosophy**

The Committee is composed solely of independent directors, as determined under NYSE listing standards. The Committee oversees Snap-on's executive compensation program. The Committee's responsibilities are set forth in its charter, which is available on the Company's website at [www.snapon.com](http://www.snapon.com).

Snap-on's philosophy is to place a significant amount of each executive officer's pay at risk so that he or she is rewarded for achieving Snap-on's long-term and short-term strategic business goals, taking into consideration both internal business and external shareholder perspectives. We determine target total direct compensation levels (base salary plus target annual and long-term incentives) for our executive officers based on several factors, including:

Each individual's role and responsibilities;

Operating and individual performance, as well as projected contribution to Snap-on's future success;

Historical compensation of each executive officer;

Total compensation of executives who perform similar duties at companies in our peer group; and

Other circumstances as appropriate.

We believe that:

Our compensation program should influence, not be the primary driver of, our executives' performance;

The design of our program should encourage collective behavior and emphasize success of the overall Company;

We should have flexibility to reward as needed for key jobs and roles;

Incentive plans should generally require continuous financial improvement in order for payouts to occur, while also being adaptable to economic realities within a general set of guidelines; and

## Edgar Filing: SNAP-ON Inc - Form DEF 14A

Our pay strategy should fall within reasonable competitive boundaries.

To further emphasize our pay for performance philosophy, we generally derive base salaries from the median for comparable positions reflected in the Market Data (described below). Our targeted total direct compensation levels are designed to generally fall within reasonable competitive boundaries, which we believe are at or somewhat above the median of the Market (described below), with stretch goals built into our incentive plans to achieve above the 50th percentile. In fiscal 2016, reflective of our continuing positive performance, we expect our actual total direct compensation levels will fall near the 75th percentile of Market Data based on information used during the compensation planning process. Each element of our compensation program is outlined below.

## **Table of Contents**

In addition to base salary and incentive compensation, the Committee also oversees benefits and other amounts payable to executive officers, including retirement benefits and potential benefits that may be payable in a situation involving a change of control of the Company. Retirement benefits are intended both to recognize, over the long term, services rendered to the Company and to ensure that we can attract and retain high quality executives. The Committee periodically reviews market practices with respect to these other types of compensation. For further discussion about these benefits, see [Other Benefits](#), [Retirement and Deferred Benefits](#) and [Change of Control and Other Employment-related Agreements](#) below.

The Company does not provide other perquisites to executive officers.

The Company does not have any specific compensation agreements with the NEOs other than the change of control agreements described below. The Committee periodically reviews these agreements and compares the level of benefits payable thereunder to those offered at other companies, and believes these types of agreements remain important to the Company.

Severance for executive officers, outside of a change of control event, is determined on a case-by-case basis; therefore, there are no special agreements with the NEOs.

## **Committee Practices**

The Committee has the sole authority to retain and terminate a consulting firm to assist in the evaluation of the compensation of the CEO and other executive officers and has the sole authority to approve the consultant's fees and other retention terms. As part of the process to retain an executive compensation consultant, the Committee considers the consultant's representations with respect to its practices and approach to maintaining independence. To further ensure independence, our executive compensation consultant reports directly to the Committee. Results of the analyses performed by our consultant on competitive marketplace practices are referred to as the [Market](#) or [Market Data](#).

The Committee reviews data that reflects the [Market](#) as a benchmark to provide one reference point for compensation practices as well as a source of comparative information to assist in determining various components of an executive officer's direct compensation; however, it does not use this information to mathematically calculate compensation nor limit itself to the range produced by the [Market Data](#). The Committee reviews the [Market Data](#) in general terms, and we believe it is important for the Committee to use its judgment and discretion to address individual circumstances rather than to simply aim for a level of compensation that falls within a specific range of the [Market Data](#). Therefore, the information in the [Market Data](#) is not used to limit the discretion of the Committee in establishing compensation levels for executive officers.

The Committee has retained Semler Brossy Consulting Group, LLC ( [Semler Brossy](#) ) to provide ongoing advisory services to the Committee. These services include, but are not limited to: providing updates on trends in executive compensation practices; reviewing and making recommendations on the Company's overall compensation strategy; providing input and reviewing CEO and other executive officer salary increases and incentive targets; reviewing incentive program design; updating the peer group; and performing market analyses. Semler Brossy does no other work for the Company other than acting as an advisor to the Committee. The Committee reviewed the independence factors set forth in applicable NYSE and SEC rules, and determined that the retention of Semler Brossy did not give rise to any conflict of interest in fiscal 2016.

**Table of Contents**

The following 16 publicly traded companies comprised the Company's peer group for the fiscal 2016 compensation planning cycle:

Actuant Corporation	AMETEK, Inc.	Crane Co.	Donaldson Company, Inc.
FlowsERVE Corporation	IDEX Corporation	Kennametal Inc.	Lincoln Electric Holdings, Inc.
Newell Brands Inc.	Pall Corporation	Pentair plc	Rockwell Automation Inc.
Roper Technologies, Inc.	SPX Corporation	Stanley Black & Decker, Inc.	The Timken Company

Peer companies are reviewed on a biennial basis. In fiscal 2016, the Committee, with the assistance of Semler Brossy, evaluated and made changes to the peer group. Specifically, three companies were removed (Pall Corporation due to merger and acquisition activity, and Actuant Corporation and SPX Corporation due to size), and two companies were added (Dover Corporation and Xylem Inc. both deemed appropriate replacements given the comparability of business fit and scale). As a result of these changes, the following 15 publicly traded companies will comprise the peer group for the fiscal 2017 compensation planning cycle:

AMETEK, Inc.	Crane Co.	Donaldson Company, Inc.	Dover Corporation
FlowsERVE Corporation	IDEX Corporation	Kennametal Inc.	Lincoln Electric Holdings, Inc.
Newell Brands Inc.	Pentair plc	Rockwell Automation Inc.	Roper Technologies, Inc.
Stanley Black & Decker, Inc.	The Timken Company	Xylem Inc.	

Peer companies' revenue and/or market value are within a reasonable range relative to the Company, are direct competitors of the Company and/or have similar business characteristics or compete with us for executive talent. We believe that the peer group data provides an understanding of specific pay levels and mix for named executive officers, as well as broader pay designs and practices for a specific group of companies. We also believe that this data provides us with a good basis for an external review of the relationship between pay and performance. To supplement peer group compensation data, our compensation consultant gathers and reviews information from surveys that are available from widely recognized experts in the compensation field, namely Willis Towers Watson.

The Committee annually reviews and approves, in consultation with its compensation consultant, the base salaries of each executive officer in view of each individual's annual performance review and any related merit adjustment recommended by our CEO, as well as Market Data. Salary adjustments are generally made annually (merit increase) or in conjunction with a change in responsibility.

Generally, the Committee begins its consideration of the next year's total compensation at its November meeting. During these meetings, matters such as changes in Market Data, plan philosophy and design, expected performance and historical performance are discussed. Final determinations of plan designs, annual incentive targets and long-term incentive compensation awards are made at the Committee's February meeting, which is held in conjunction with a regularly scheduled Board meeting shortly after the public release of the prior year's financial results. At that meeting, the Committee also is able to review prior year performance and the status of prior awards of long-term incentive compensation. The Committee has found that considering those matters at a February meeting allows the Committee to factor in both the prior year's actual financial results and the current year's operating plan. In some cases, financial goals for incentive plan awards may be finalized shortly after the February meeting, allowing the Committee to further consider items from that meeting. Occasionally, grants of long-term incentive compensation are made at other meetings in special cases such as promotions or new hires.



---

**Table of Contents**

Stock options and other long-term incentives are generally awarded effective as of the Board or Committee meeting date (the grant date). Stock options have an exercise price equal to the closing price of Snap-on common stock as reported on the NYSE on the grant date.

Upon the request of the Committee, various Company personnel compile and organize information, arrange meetings and act as Company support for the Committee's work. As discussed in further detail below, our CEO is also involved in making compensation recommendations for other executive officers, which are considered by the Committee; however, management (including the CEO) does not have any involvement in the determination of the CEO's compensation.

**Total Direct Compensation Cash and Incentive**

Three elements comprised the total direct cash and incentive compensation for Snap-on's executive officers in fiscal 2016:

Base salary;

Annual incentives; and

Long-term incentive compensation.

***Base Salary***

We provide base salaries in order to attract and retain high quality individuals. The median of base salaries in the Market Data is generally used as a reference point to compare and assist in the establishment of our executive officers' base salaries. Base salaries, however, are not mathematically derived from these medians because we believe that it is appropriate for the Committee to use its discretion in setting base salaries. As a result, there are variances from the median of the Market Data due to factors such as performance, individual experience, tenure in the position, prior salary and variations in the Market Data. The Committee reviews executive officers' salaries, including those that are substantially above or below the median, and also considers a number of other factors such as job responsibilities and changes in job responsibilities, achievement of specified Company goals, retention, demonstrated leadership, performance potential and Company performance when determining base salary. While the factors that are considered in setting base salaries are not weighted or ranked in any particular way, it is expected that individuals would gradually move higher in salary ranges as their performance improves and as they gain experience with the Company and in their position.

The Committee regularly monitors and considers appropriate adjustments to the base salaries of those executives who fall significantly outside our compensation philosophy. In November 2015, after reviewing Market Data prepared by Semler Brossy and considering the factors discussed above, we determined that the base salaries of our executive officers were, in the aggregate, near Market median. The base salaries of our NEOs ranged from 9% below Market median to 15% above Market median. We inherently expect variances among executives, and the differences among current salary levels largely reflect specific intent and situations (e.g., internal equity or experience).

The Market median base salary level for our NEOs in aggregate decreased year over year. Mr. Pagliari was determined to be below Market median. In March 2016, a 5.6% increase was awarded to Mr. Pagliari, bringing his base salary closer to Market median and reflecting his strong performance. Mr. Kassouf was determined to be at Market median. A 5.3% increase was awarded to Mr. Kassouf in April 2016, reflecting his outstanding performance during the year. Messrs. Pinchuk, Banerjee and Ward were determined to be above Market median. The Board of Directors approved a base salary increase of 2.5% for Mr. Pinchuk, effective in August 2016. A 3.0% increase was awarded to Mr. Banerjee also in August 2016. In April 2016, Mr. Ward received a 4.3% base salary increase reflecting his positive performance. See the Summary Compensation Table below for the base salaries of the NEOs.

**Table of Contents****Annual Incentives**

We provide annual cash incentives for our executive officers and approximately 1,000 other salaried employees under the 2011 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan (the 2011 Plan ). Annual incentive compensation is intended to place a significant part of each executive officer's total annual compensation at risk (i.e., pay for performance only). While base salaries are generally compared to Market median, as previously discussed, annual incentive targets are designed to generally fall within reasonable competitive boundaries, with stretch goals built into our incentive plans to achieve above the 50th percentile. However, as is the case with base salaries, the Committee exercises discretion and is not bound by the range provided by the Market Data. In aggregate, our fiscal 2016 annual incentive targets for our NEOs were at the 50th percentile of the Market.

The fiscal 2016 annual incentive targets for each of the NEOs are set forth in the following table:

Name	Target Bonus
	as a Percentage of Base Salary
Nicholas T. Pinchuk	125%
Aldo J. Pagliari	75%
Thomas J. Ward	75%
Thomas L. Kassouf	75%
Anup R. Banerjee	75%

The general plan design for all participants in the annual incentive plan, including the NEOs, provided for a 50% weighting on consolidated fiscal 2016 financial results, focused on operating income and return on net assets employed before interest and taxes ( RONAEBIT ), and a 50% weighting for personal strategic business goals, which included additional quantifiable measures where possible. These proportions reflect the Committee's belief that annual incentives should drive shareholder value by focusing employees on the success of the overall Company and encouraging collective behavior, while still allowing for flexibility to meet changing business challenges. It is the philosophy of the Committee and management that to receive a payout on the consolidated financial results component of the annual incentive award, the Company needs to achieve a RONAEBIT at least equal to its weighted- average cost of capital ( WACC ) since the Committee and Board believe that a return greater than the Company's WACC represents the threshold for enhancing value to shareholders.

Annual goals were set and approved by the Committee at its February 2016 meeting. Bonus awards earned during the year were paid in February 2017. See the table below for the weighting of the components of the fiscal 2016 annual incentive plan:

Component	Weighting
Consolidated financial results	50%
Personal strategic business goals	50%
Total	100%

**Consolidated Financial Results Component**

The Committee utilized operating income and RONAEBIT as the operating performance measures for the Company-wide portion of the fiscal 2016 annual incentive award. These measures were chosen because they are consistent with the Company's growth goals and objectives. The Committee believes they are important factors in driving shareholder value, and these measures are regularly used to assess consolidated financial performance internally, as well as externally.

## Table of Contents

For each operating performance measure, the Committee set three different performance levels (in order of rank) threshold, target and maximum. Participants could earn up to twice their target percentage for performance at the maximum level and 25% of their target percentage for performance at the threshold level. Payments were adjusted proportionately and interpolated for actual performance that fell between the threshold, target and maximum levels.

Under the relevant plans, the Committee has broad discretion in determining goals, results and payments, including modifying goals to reflect corporate developments, such as acquisitions, adjusting results or changing an individual's goals. However, it only uses this discretion after setting goals when it believes it is appropriate to better reflect the intentions of these incentives and further the interests of the Company and its shareholders. The Committee also can consider unusual financial circumstances. The Company acquired Car-O-Liner Holding AB (Car-O-Liner) and Ryeson Corporation (d/b/a Sturtevant Richmond) in October 2016 and November 2016, respectively. Including both acquisitions in the fiscal 2016 annual incentive plan would have increased the payouts to management based on consolidated financial results. However, since the timing of both acquisitions was late in the fiscal year, the Committee did not believe that management would have been able to provide enough value to warrant the inclusion of these businesses in the annual incentive plan. Therefore, the Committee used its judgment to exclude the performance of Car-O-Liner and Sturtevant Richmond from the results of the annual incentive plan. The Committee did not otherwise use its discretion to change corporate goals or the amount of any annual incentive award to executive officers as compared to the amounts calculated according to the goals.

### *Consolidated Financial Goals and Results*

The fiscal 2016 goals and actual results (excluding fiscal 2016 acquisitions) for Company financial performance are set forth in the table below and are further explained in this section.

<b>Company Goals</b>	<b>Threshold</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Maximum</b>	<b>Actual</b>
Operating Income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$589.3 million	\$654.1 million	\$736.6 million	\$657.6 million
RONAEBIT <sup>(2)(3)</sup>	30.5%	35.5%	40.5%	38.1%
Incentive earned, as a percentage of the Target bonus amount:				116.2%

(1) Operating income represents income from continuing operations, excluding financial services income and the effect of foreign currency translation.

(2) RONAEBIT is calculated using a thirteen-month average and represents return on net assets employed before interest and taxes, excluding financial services and the effect of foreign currency translation. This methodology is consistent with that employed to calculate a company's WACC.

(3) As described below, there is no payout if the Company does not achieve its WACC.

In setting the fiscal 2016 operating income targets, the Committee considered the then-projected macroeconomic outlook, the annual operating plans approved by the Board and the performance of Snap-on as well as its peers. The threshold operating income metric was set at \$589.3 million, essentially equal to the prior year's result, as adjusted for currency fluctuations. The target operating income metric was set 11% over the threshold, and maximum was set 25% above the threshold. The Committee considered the target operating income metric a substantial improvement over the results achieved in fiscal 2015 and the maximum operating income metric the product of achieving exceptional stretch goals.

In setting the fiscal 2016 RONAEBIT goals, the Committee adhered to its philosophy that the Company should achieve at least its WACC for participants to receive any payout based on consolidated financial results. Therefore, it set a minimum trigger that if the Company's pre-tax WACC was not achieved, the

---

## Table of Contents

payout based on consolidated financial results would be zero. In setting the threshold, target and maximum levels of RONAEBIT, the Committee considered the prior year RONAEBIT, the annual plan approved by the Board, the Company's growth strategies, as well as their impact on assets, and the projected macroeconomic outlook. Based on those considerations, the target level of RONAEBIT was set at 35.5%, a level above the prior year, as adjusted for currency fluctuations, reflecting reasonable improvement. The Committee believed that target RONAEBIT reflected reasonable performance above the Company's WACC. The threshold level was set five percentage points lower than target, a level that the Committee believed added shareholder value when coupled with substantial operating income improvement. The maximum level of RONAEBIT required an additional five percentage point increase beyond the target level and was considered a significant stretch.

A payment at the threshold level could be earned in one of three ways: (i) if the Company achieved the threshold level on both operating income and RONAEBIT; (ii) if operating income at 10% below the level realized in fiscal 2015 was achieved and RONAEBIT equaled the target level; or (iii) if RONAEBIT equaled the Company's WACC and the Company achieved 5.5% above the threshold level operating income. Realizing at least a 25% threshold payment was considered minimally acceptable because it would require recognizable improvement in one of the measures while maintaining acceptable levels of performance on the other measure.

In February 2017, after comparing the Company's consolidated financial results for fiscal 2016 to the consolidated financial performance goals, the Committee approved an award of 116.2% relative to the consolidated financial results component of the annual incentive plan. The Company achieved a RONAEBIT performance of 38.1%, between the target and maximum levels. Operating income improvement of 11.6% as compared to fiscal 2015, excluding the effect of foreign currency translation, resulted in performance slightly above the target level.

### *Personal Strategic Business Goals Component*

As previously discussed, 50% of each executive officer's annual incentive is based on the achievement of personal strategic business goals. Inclusion of these personal strategic business goals is intended to incent a focus on specific objectives that are critical to the individual's role at the Company. Our objective is to set goals under the plan that are quantitative and measurable where possible; however, certain personal strategic business goals are, by necessity, somewhat subjective in nature. Each personal strategic business goal was weighted individually and scored separately; therefore, not all goals had to be achieved in order to receive a payout on this component of the plan.

Achievement of personal strategic business goals ranges from zero to 200% of target. The fiscal 2016 personal strategic business goals for each of the NEOs and the weighting of each individual goal, as well as the Committee's determinations related to the goals, where appropriate, are summarized as follows:

Mr. Pinchuk:

Continue to execute on the strategic roadmap for growth by enhancing our van channel, including amplifying van capabilities, increasing franchisee productivity and improving Snap-on Tools product testing and development capabilities and facilities; by driving continued extension of our business in critical industries; by expanding our position in repair shops; by building the Company's position in emerging markets; and by pursuing an active acquisition strategy 40% weighting.

The structural limits of the van model were amplified, increasing effective retail display space; product testing and development capabilities were enhanced by fully refurbishing laboratories; capital expenditures were made to purchase new machinery, resulting in increased productivity and volume; the repair shop product portfolio was expanded; industrial product offerings grew, addressing specific sectors including power generation, aviation, oil and gas and other general industry segments; we continued to build our position in Asia through added Blue-Point stores, sales and demonstration vans and new products;

**Table of Contents**

the acquisitions of Car-O-Liner and Sturtevant Richmond added to our product breadth and extended our geographic presence; and additional acquisition opportunities continue to be evaluated.

Execute strategic funding and investor relations strategies, including driving profitable performance of Snap-on Credit LLC ( SOC ) and reinforcing our position with the institutional investor community 20% weighting.

SOC exceeded its profit target; active participation in investor-related events and meetings continued throughout the year, fortifying the Company's position with the investor community; and communications with rating agencies and investment banks remained a priority.

Strengthen the Snap-on management team 20% weighting.

Actions continue to be taken to ensure that strong capabilities and high potential candidates are distributed across each strategic runway for growth and each primary geography; high quality professionals were added throughout the organization with a particular emphasis on engineers; and CEO successor candidates advanced in their overall development as they performed in challenging roles.

Drive overall performance improvement, reflecting enterprise-wide engagement in the Snap-on Value Creation Processes, including the areas of safety, quality, customer connection, innovation and RCI, and continue to extend profit expansion in Europe 20% weighting.

Engagement of the Snap-on Value Creation Processes is entrenched throughout the Company in manufacturing, sales, product development and office settings; the Company's safety programs are a priority, resulting in outcomes that exceed industry standards; customer preferences for Snap-on quality and functionality continue to be clearly favorable based on independent surveys; customer connection occurs regularly through face-to-face meetings and formal surveys; innovation continues to build as evidenced by a strong array of major new products; associates enthusiastically embrace RCI and are dedicated to productivity improvement and profit expansion; operating earnings before financial services as a percentage of net sales increased 140 basis points over the prior year; and profit improvement progress continued in Europe.

After a review of Mr. Pinchuk's performance, the Committee determined that Mr. Pinchuk's resulting payout was 135% for his personal strategic business goals.

Mr. Pagliari:

Continue to drive advancement down runways for growth and improvement by executing on the long-term financial, acquisition and investor relations strategies of Snap-on 45% weighting.

The acquisitions of Car-O-Liner and Sturtevant Richmond were successfully completed, adding value to the Company's product offerings and geographic presence; corporate business development continued to identify and evaluate potential acquisition opportunities while working closely with operating management; finance personnel actively participated in RCI events throughout the Company, generating improved productivity and profit expansion; involvement in investor-related events and meetings occurred throughout the year, fortifying the Company's position with the investor community; and communications with rating agencies and investment banks remained a priority.

Strengthen the Snap-on Finance team and actively drive cooperation and collaboration between groups that contribute to profit improvements 30% weighting.

**Table of Contents**

Several high potential finance professionals were hired and developmental rotational opportunities enhanced and strengthened the finance team; and collaboration between corporate finance and operations continued to be robust and effective, including through RCI cost savings initiatives that resulted in profit improvement

Achieve profit and performance targets at SOC 25% weighting.  
SOC exceeded its profit targets.

After a review of Mr. Pagliari's performance, the Committee approved a payout of 143% for his personal strategic business goals.

Mr. Ward:

Achieve the Repair Systems & Information Group's (RS&I) business plan and drive significant financial profitability improvement for the RS&I Group 30% weighting.  
RS&I achieved its business plan and increased its sales and profitability as compared to last year.

Drive further development and engagement of the Snap-on Value Creation Processes, including the continuation of enterprise-wide customer connection 25% weighting.  
Enterprise-wide customer connection is embedded throughout RS&I. Thousands of customers were again surveyed post-purchase, resulting in improvements that enhanced customers' productivity and profitability.

Increase the Company's innovation pipeline by expanding with repair shop owners and managers, together with the launch of several significant products, including those developed for emerging markets 25% weighting.  
New products were introduced domestically and internationally, including in emerging markets, generating positive customer responses and increased sales. The launch of a new electronic parts catalog broke Company records for new placements and resulted in high customer satisfaction.

Actively drive cooperation and collaboration between groups that contribute to profit improvements for divisions outside Mr. Ward's overall span of responsibility 20% weighting.  
RS&I associates worked closely with the Snap-on Tools Group and the Company's Asia/Pacific team to develop, manufacture and source innovative solutions that resulted in increased sales and profit improvements in those business units.

After a review of Mr. Ward's performance, the Committee approved a payout of 123% for his personal strategic business goals.

Mr. Kassouf:

Achieve the Snap-on Tools Group's business plan and significantly expand its financial profitability 30% weighting.  
The Snap-on Tools Group achieved commendable sales and profitability improvements year over year and exceeded operating income targets.

## Edgar Filing: SNAP-ON Inc - Form DEF 14A

Actively drive cooperation and collaboration between groups that contribute to profit improvements for divisions outside Mr. Kassouf's overall span of responsibility 20% weighting.

The Snap-on Tools Group has achieved double-digit compounded annual sales growth for five years on many of the product categories sourced from other divisions within the

## Table of Contents

Company, resulting in improved sales and profitability of those divisions. Much of this growth was achieved by working closely with those divisions to innovate and develop products to satisfy the needs of our customers.

Achieve profit and performance targets at SOC 20% weighting.  
SOC exceeded its profit targets.

Maintain customer coverage through net van count stability, while amplifying franchisee capabilities and financial strength 20% weighting.  
The net van count grew again in fiscal 2016; the structural limits of the van model were extended, creating a significant increase in effective retail display space; franchisee sales assistant counts expanded considerably, paving the way for extended penetration of customers; fill rate and customer service response time improved; and financing programs were enhanced to aid franchisees in selling more quickly and more securely.

Further build the engagement of the Snap-on Value Creation Processes, including establishing clear customer connection goals in the Snap-on Tools Group and further enhancing innovation and technology 10% weighting.  
A significant number of new products were launched that were the outcome of customer connection; product testing and development capabilities were expanded by fully refurbishing laboratories; capital expenditures were made to purchase new machinery, resulting in increased productivity and volume; and significant RCI improvements were made in all plants and distribution centers, improving efficiency and profitability.

After a review of Mr. Kassouf's performance, the Committee approved a payout of 149% for his personal strategic business goals.

Mr. Banerjee:

Actively promote cooperation and collaboration between functions, channels and operating divisions, including driving talent-sharing and movement across the global organization, recruiting high potential executives and strengthening engineering talent throughout the organization 30% weighting.  
The human resources (HR) team collaborated with businesses throughout the organization to generate associate moves domestically and internationally across functions and businesses, and high quality professionals were added throughout the organization with a particular emphasis on engineers.

Utilize the Snap-on Leadership Development Process to strengthen internal bench strength, further CEO succession planning and ensure that both strong capabilities and high-potential candidates are distributed across each strategic runway for growth and each primary geography 25% weighting.  
The Snap-on Leadership Development Process assisted the corporate HR team to ensure that strong capabilities and high potential candidates were distributed across each strategic runway for growth and each primary geography, and CEO successor candidates advanced in their overall development as they performed in challenging roles.

Ensure competitive benefits are distributed throughout the organization and that regulatory developments in the benefits arena are implemented appropriately 25% weighting.  
Corporate HR worked closely with outside firms and performed research internally to ensure that the Company's benefits are competitive and that regulatory developments are addressed and implemented on a timely basis.



Continue to strengthen the Snap-on Value Creation culture across the organization, including safety, quality, customer connection, innovation and RCI 20% weighting.

---

## **Table of Contents**

Engagement of the Snap-on Value Creation Processes is entrenched throughout the Company in manufacturing, sales, product development and office settings; the Company's safety programs are a priority, resulting in outcomes that exceed industry standards; customer preferences for Snap-on quality and functionality continue to be clearly favorable based on independent surveys; customer connection occurs regularly through face-to-face meetings and formal surveys; innovation continues to build as evidenced by a strong array of major new products; and associates enthusiastically embrace RCI and are dedicated to productivity improvement and profit expansion.

After a review of Mr. Banerjee's performance, the Committee approved a payout of 135% for his personal strategic business goals.

Overall payments to the NEOs based on the achievement of consolidated financial results and personal strategic business goals, ranged from 120% to 132% of target. See the Summary Compensation Table below for payouts made to our NEOs under the Company's annual incentive plan.

### ***Long-term Incentive Compensation***

We provide long-term incentive compensation to our executive officers and other key employees. The 2011 Plan allows the granting of stock options, stock appreciation rights (SARs), performance shares, PSUs, restricted stock and RSUs (in addition to cash-based incentives, as previously discussed). We believe stock-based awards help align the financial interests of management with those of our shareholders since the ultimate value of stock-based awards is tied to the value of Snap-on's stock.

Similar to annual incentive targets, long-term incentive targets are designed to generally fall within reasonable competitive boundaries, with stretch goals built into our incentive plans to achieve above the 50th percentile. However, as indicated above, we do not aim for any particular numerical equivalency and use our judgment to respond to specific circumstances. Additionally, the actual payouts of long-term incentives can vary significantly from target because of the potential variation in performance over the period measured (in the case of long-term performance-based awards) and because of changes in the market price of our stock (in the case of both stock options and stock-based awards). These types of awards recognize financial and personal performance over a longer period of time than base salary and annual incentives.

In fiscal 2016, our long-term incentive compensation grants for executive officers, as in past years, were comprised of stock options, PSUs and performance-based RSUs. We emphasize performance-based vehicles because we believe they focus executive officers and key employees on financial performance that is more under their control and which the Committee believes drives shareholder value over the long term. We continued to provide a portion of long-term compensation in stock options with time-based vesting, which reward employees based upon the appreciation of the market value of our shares and, thus, directly mirror our investors' experience. In fiscal 2016, the aggregate long-term incentive compensation level of our NEOs was above Market median, reflecting the Company's positive financial results.

In granting long-term incentive awards, we take into account the following subjective and objective factors:

Each executive officer's level of responsibility;

Each executive officer's contributions to Snap-on's financial results;

Retention considerations; and

The practices of companies in the Market.

The Committee believes that using Company stock for a significant portion of these awards provides executive officers with an additional potential equity stake in the Company and helps further align the interests of the executive officers with those of our shareholders.

---

**Table of Contents**

Grants of long-term incentives are generally made at the Committee's February meeting, which is held in conjunction with a regularly scheduled Board meeting and after the public release of the prior year's financial results, although the final financial targets may be set after the February meeting, allowing the Committee to consider items from that meeting. Options have an exercise price equal to the closing price of Snap-on common stock as reported on the NYSE on the grant date and generally vest in three equal annual increments beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date. Occasionally, grants of long-term incentives are made at other meetings in special cases, such as promotions or new hires.

Prior to making a grant, the Committee considers the potential burn rate (awards granted as a percentage of fully diluted common shares outstanding), the Company's then-current share price and the volatility of that share price. When determining eligibility and granting awards, the Committee considers market practice, levels of responsibility and the individual's contributions to the Company. In fiscal 2016, in order to develop the grant range guidelines for various personnel grades (including both executive officers and other participants), the Committee reviewed market conditions and practice, as well as the estimated value of each grant, determined using the Black-Scholes valuation model.

*Stock Options*

In February 2016, we granted stock options and SARs that vest over a three-year period to approximately 250 employees. The Committee considered the total recommended grant size for all participants and reviewed the specific recommendations made by Mr. Pinchuk for grants to the executive officers. After considering the recommendations as compared to outstanding shares and the expected burn rate, the Committee then made the final grant decisions related to the executive officers and also approved the total grant size for all other participants.

We granted our NEOs options to purchase 275,000 shares, consisting of individual grants ranging from options to purchase 25,000 to 135,000 shares. In the aggregate, the number of options granted to executive officers was between the target and the maximum of the guidelines, reflecting the Committee's evaluation of Company performance and individual contributions. The Committee approved the amounts awarded to each NEO based on their contributions and individual performance. We estimated that the total February 2016 stock option and stock-settled SARs grants would result in a 1.3% burn rate, which fell within the guidelines established by the Committee and near the 50th percentile of our peer group. See the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table for further information regarding stock options awarded to each of the NEOs.

*Long-term Performance-Based Share Units*

In February 2016, the Committee made grants to 45 key employees of PSUs that vest depending upon the achievement of operating performance criteria over a three-year period; each unit is equivalent to one share of our common stock. The Committee believes that the use of these criteria serves to focus executive officers and key employees on Company operating and financial performance that the Committee believes drives shareholder value over the long term. In addition, the Committee believes that providing for the payout of these awards in shares rather than cash further aligns the interests of management with those of our shareholders.

Similar to the process discussed above related to the granting of options, the Committee made the final long-term performance plan grant decisions for executive officers and approved the total recommended grant size for other participants. In fiscal 2016, we granted our NEOs 21,017 PSUs. Individual grants to the NEOs ranged from 1,855 to 10,199 units. In aggregate, the number of PSUs granted to executive officers was between the target and maximum of the guidelines, again reflecting the Committee's evaluation of Company performance and individual contributions.

Vesting of the PSUs awarded in fiscal 2016 will depend on cumulative performance relative to revenue growth and RONAEBIT goals set for fiscal years 2016, 2017 and 2018. These two measures have been consistently used for our prior long-term plans. We use these measures because they are consistent with

**Table of Contents**

the Company's growth goals and objectives to increase returns to shareholders. We regularly use RONAEBIT as a measure of return to evaluate performance. As previously noted, the methodology used to calculate RONAEBIT is consistent with that used to calculate the Company's WACC. The table below provides examples of vesting at the performance levels under these plans:

<b>Performance Level</b>	<b>Amount of PSUs Vesting</b>
Threshold (see below for discussion)	25%
Target (for both criteria)	100%
Maximum (for both criteria)	200%

We intend that payments at the target level for PSUs and RSUs (which are discussed below), combined with the value of stock options, would provide total long-term compensation within reasonable competitive boundaries of Market Data. In setting the levels of performance required to earn various percentages of long-term PSUs, the Committee considered current levels of RONAEBIT and sales, the current year's plan, the Company's WACC, industry and Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth rates, and past performance. In addition, the Committee considered longer range strategic plans establishing expectations for improved performance over the three-year performance period.

In order to achieve target levels of performance on the matrix, revenue for the fiscal 2016 to 2018 period would need to improve from recent performance and RONAEBIT would need to significantly exceed the Company's WACC. Target level revenue growth was set at the fiscal 2015 revenue level, plus the projected average GDP growth rate plus 3% annually, which was considered reasonably challenging given the prevailing economic and industry environment. Target RONAEBIT was set at a level 67% greater than the Company's WACC, which the Committee believes would result in significant enhancement of shareholder value.

The maximum level of revenue growth was set at the fiscal 2015 revenue level, plus the projected average GDP growth rate plus 6% annually. This was considered an exceptional stretch over target. The maximum RONAEBIT metric was also set at a level that was considered an exceptional stretch because, assuming a consistent net asset base, it would require an additional \$253 million, or 20%, in annual operating income above target over the three-year performance period.

The threshold level of revenue growth was set at the fiscal 2015 revenue level, plus the projected average GDP growth rate. The threshold RONAEBIT metric was set 33% above the Company's WACC. This was considered acceptable given that the Committee believes that any return over the Company's WACC would add shareholder value. A threshold level of payment could be earned by achieving the threshold on both the revenue growth and RONAEBIT metrics. It could also be earned in one of two other ways. If revenues remain at fiscal 2015 levels, RONAEBIT would need to grow to the target level. Alternatively, if RONAEBIT met the Company's WACC, revenues would need to achieve the target level. Realizing at least a 25% threshold payment was considered minimally acceptable because it would require recognizable improvement in one of the measures while maintaining at least current levels, or modest increases, on the other measure.

As part of the long-term performance plans, the Committee considers any acquisitions and divestitures or other significant changes in business practices that occur during the performance period and makes what it considers appropriate adjustments to performance measures to reflect the financial effects of these events on those measures. In addition to the Committee's considerations, the PSU award agreements provide a specific formula related to incorporating acquisitions. During fiscal 2016, in accordance with such formula, PSU performance measures for the 2014 to 2016, 2015 to 2017, and 2016 to 2018 plans were adjusted to reflect the acquisitions of Car-O-Liner and Sturtevant Richmond.

**Table of Contents**

In February 2017, the Committee approved the outcome of the PSUs granted in fiscal 2014 based on financial performance during fiscal 2014, 2015 and 2016. The metrics for those awards and actual performance are set forth in the table below:

Criteria	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Actual
Sales <sup>(1)</sup>	\$3,390 million	\$3,693 million	\$4,014 million	\$3,430 million
RONAEBIT <sup>(2)(3)</sup>	19.9%	24.9%	29.8%	34.2%
	PSUs earned, as a percentage of the Target:			106.7%

(1) Sales represents fiscal 2016 Net Sales.

(2) RONAEBIT is a three-year average for fiscal 2014, 2015 and 2016, calculated as a fraction expressed as a percentage where (i) the numerator is operating income (earnings from continuing operations before financial services and income taxes plus interest expense less other income (expense) net), and (ii) the denominator is average net assets employed excluding financial services (total assets minus cash and cash equivalents and minus all liabilities excluding short-term and long-term debt).

(3) As described above, there is no payout if the Company does not achieve its WACC.

Actual performance during the period on these metrics was a RONAEBIT of 34.2% and revenues of \$3,430 million; as a result, performance-based share units were earned at 106.7% based on financial performance during the fiscal 2014 to 2016 plan period.

In February 2017, the Committee also had discussions relating to targets for the fiscal 2017 to 2019 plan. The Committee continued the same approach as used in fiscal 2016 and the same general financial metrics for these future goals. The Committee believes that the current structure and metrics continue to incent management appropriately and thereby enhance shareholder value.

**Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units**

The Committee also grants performance-based units, designated as RSUs, that have a one-year performance period based on operating income and RONAEBIT performance reflected in the consolidated financial results component of the Company's annual incentive plan and an additional two-year cliff vesting schedule. The Committee's intent in continuing to grant these awards is to emphasize the importance of the first year's results in setting the future growth of the Company, while also adding an important retention element for our executive officers. The Committee believes the RSU program is appropriate because it combines the benefits of time-based awards while also incorporating the value of focused, performance-based considerations.

Consistent with the granting of options, SARs and PSUs discussed above, the Committee made the final RSU grant decisions for executive officers and approved the total recommended grant size for other participants. In fiscal 2016, we granted our NEOs 21,015 RSUs. Individual grants to the NEOs ranged from 1,854 to 10,199 RSUs. In the aggregate, the number of RSUs granted to executive officers was between the target and maximum of the guidelines, again reflecting the factors discussed above.

Based on the Company's performance on the financial metrics (operating income and RONAEBIT), reported above in Total Direct Compensation Cash and Incentive Annual Incentives Consolidated Financial Results Component, executive officers earned approximately 116.2% of the RSUs granted. The RSUs earned will vest at the end of fiscal 2018, assuming continued employment, and will be paid out shortly thereafter.

**Stock Ownership Guidelines**

The Company's stock ownership guidelines for its top executives are based on a multiple of base salary using a six-month average stock price to value the holdings. Stock ownership includes shares held



## Table of Contents

outright, deferred shares, those in 401(k) plans, shares purchased through a dividend reinvestment and direct stock purchase plan, RSUs that have been earned (but have yet to vest) and the in the money value of vested stock options, net of an assumed 40% tax rate. The ownership multiples range from one to six times, based on an executive's salary grade and level of responsibility. Executives are expected to reach the stock ownership guidelines within a five-year time frame. The Committee has the authority to pay up to 50% of the annual incentive payout in restricted stock if it is determined that an executive is not making reasonable progress towards reaching the guidelines. The Committee reviews progress toward ownership guidelines annually at its August meeting. In August 2016, based on our six-month average stock price, all of our executive officers had met their current ownership guidelines.

## Clawback Policy

The Company's Clawback Policy covers all elements of its incentive compensation program. Pursuant to the policy, the Company has the right to clawback, or recoup, an incentive award (in whole or in part), including annual and long-term incentives, received by an employee in the event that the employee benefited from an incentive award and it is later discovered that: (i) a financial statement error resulted in a restatement or an inaccurate operating metric measurement resulted in an inappropriate incentive award; (ii) the employee engaged in misconduct that caused the financial statement restatement or inaccurate operating metric measurement; and (iii) a lower payment would have been made to the employee based on the restated financial result/operating metric. The Committee also has the right to recoup any such incentive compensation (in whole or in part) from the Company's top 24 executives. The Committee has the sole discretion to determine whether an employee's conduct has or has not met any particular standard of conduct under law or Company policy. The Committee may, in determining appropriate remedial action, take into account penalties or punishments imposed by third parties, such as law enforcement agencies, regulators or other authorities. The Committee's ability to determine the appropriate punishment for the wrongdoer is in addition to, and not in replacement of, any remedies or sanctions imposed by such authorities or any other third-party actions.

## Retirement and Deferred Benefits

The Company maintains two types of retirement plans covering its executive officers, a defined benefit pension program and a defined contribution program where eligible employees and executives may receive matching contributions. Benefits are provided through both qualified and non-qualified plans; the non-qualified plans are designed to restore the benefit levels that may be limited by Internal Revenue Service (IRS) regulations. The Company also maintains a deferred compensation plan that functions as a defined contribution plan.

	Defined Benefit Program		Defined Contribution Program	
	Snap-on Incorporated Retirement Plan ( Pension Plan )	Snap-on Incorporated Supplemental Retirement Plan for Officers ( Supplemental Plan )	Snap-on Incorporated 401(k) Savings Plan ( 401(k) Plan )	Snap-on Incorporated Deferred Compensation Plan ( Deferred Compensation Plan )
<b>Plan Type:</b>	Defined Benefit	Defined Benefit	401(k) Defined Contribution	Deferred
<b>IRS Tax-</b>	Pension Yes	Pension No	Yes	Compensation No
<b>Qualified: Employee</b>	No	No	Yes	Yes
<b>Contributions: Company</b>	Yes	Yes	Matching	Matching
<b>Contributions: When paid:</b>	At termination or retirement	At termination or retirement	As elected by the participant	As elected by the participant





## **Table of Contents**

The Defined Benefit Program includes the Snap-on Incorporated Retirement Plan (the Pension Plan ) and the Snap-on Incorporated Supplemental Retirement Plan for Officers (the Supplemental Plan ). The Pension Plan is a defined benefit retirement plan that covers substantially all U.S. salaried employees, with minimum service requirements. (The Company maintains separate retirement arrangements for hourly employees.) The Pension Plan is a qualified retirement plan under the Internal Revenue Code (the Code ) and is, therefore, subject to the Code s limits on covered compensation and benefits payable. The NEOs also participate in the Supplemental Plan, which is a non-qualified excess benefit and supplemental retirement plan under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ( ERISA ).

The Pension Plan serves as a general benefit for salaried employees. The Pension Plan includes an account-based formula and a final average pay times years of service formula. Precise benefits also depend upon the payment alternative chosen by the participant. The Supplemental Plan, commonly referred to as a supplemental executive retirement plan or SERP, covers approximately 90 active and former executives, including the NEOs. The Supplemental Plan has a final average pay formula and an account-based formula, both of which are based on the final average pay and account-based formulas in the Pension Plan.

The Defined Contribution Program includes the Snap-on Incorporated 401(k) Savings Plan (the 401(k) Plan ), and the Snap-on Incorporated Deferred Compensation Plan (the Deferred Compensation Plan ). Depending upon the Pension Plan formula applicable to the participant (account-based or final average pay times years of service), the Company matches a portion of employee contributions to the 401(k) Plan. The Deferred Compensation Plan is primarily intended to allow eligible participants to defer base salary and incentive compensation; however, the Company may also make matching contributions, including those to restore 401(k) Plan matching contributions limited by IRS regulations. Some participants may use this plan for retirement savings or to defer base salary or incentive compensation.

Focusing on retention considerations and reflecting our belief that these benefits should be earned over time, employees step-vest in the Company s 401(k) Plan match over a period of four years, and an employee must have three years of continuous employment before becoming vested in account-based benefits under the Defined Benefit Program.

The Committee believes it is appropriate to maintain all four of these plans, taken together, to provide adequate retirement benefits that are comparable to the competitive market and are an additional incentive for the participants to provide for their own retirement.

## **Other Benefits**

Our executive officers receive additional benefits also available to other salaried employees. For example, we provide executive officers and other U.S. salaried employees with health insurance (where the employee pays a portion of the premium), vacation pay and sick pay. The Company does not provide its executive officers with automobiles or club memberships, nor does it reimburse social expenses, except to the extent that they are specifically, directly and exclusively used to conduct Company business. There are no other perquisites or similar benefits for executive officers that are inconsistent with those of other salaried employees. Perquisites were compared against our peers, and we found our policy to be conservative relative to the market.

## **Change of Control and Other Employment-related Agreements**

Snap-on does not generally enter into employment-related agreements, including with its executive officers. Although the Committee believes that it is appropriate to have change of control agreements in place, as described below, it believes that the Company is better served by maintaining the ability to continuously evaluate the performance of its executive officers without the constraints of specific employment agreements. Snap-on occasionally enters into severance or other agreements with individuals that the Company hires from outside in order to provide for severance or retirement benefits

## **Table of Contents**

in recognition of foregone opportunities at such individual's prior employer; however, Snap-on does not currently have any such agreements covering its executive officers.

The Company maintains change of control agreements with all of its current NEOs, as well as selected other key personnel. In the event of a transaction involving a change of control of the Company, senior executives and key personnel would typically face a great deal of pressure, including uncertainty concerning their own future. Such arrangements help assure their full attention and cooperation in the negotiation process.

The Committee periodically reviews the change of control agreements and believes that the Company's agreements are conservative compared to the Market as evidenced by: the double trigger element of the agreements, which narrows the circumstances in which payments might be made; two times multiples for severance and other benefits; a provision for the continuation of health, disability, life and other insurance benefits, pension credit and 401(k) Plan matching for two years; and the lack of a tax gross-up feature. The change of control agreements allow for a reduction in payments so as to avoid adverse excise tax consequences to the executive officer.

See Potential Payments on Change of Control and Other Employment-related Agreements below for further information about these agreements.

## **Tax Aspects of Executive Compensation**

Section 162(m) of the Code generally limits the corporate tax deduction for compensation paid to certain executive officers that is not performance based to \$1 million annually. While it is our intention to structure most compensation so that Section 162(m) does not adversely impact Snap-on's tax deduction, there may be instances in which we determine that we cannot structure compensation accordingly. In those instances, the Committee may elect to structure elements of compensation (such as the CEO's base salary or certain qualitative factors in annual incentives) to accomplish business objectives that it believes are in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders, even though doing so may reduce the amount of Snap-on's tax deduction for related compensation. We believe that substantially all compensation paid in fiscal 2016 will be tax deductible.

Other provisions of the Code also can affect the decisions that we make. Under Section 280G of the Code, a 20% excise tax is imposed upon executive officers who receive excess payments upon a change of control of a public corporation to the extent the payments received by them exceed an amount approximating three times their average annual compensation. The excise tax applies to all payments over one times annual compensation, determined by a five-year average. A company also loses its tax deduction for excess payments. There are no gross-up features in our change of control agreements.

In addition, the Code was amended to provide a surtax under Section 409A of the Code with respect to various features of deferred compensation arrangements of publicly-held corporations, mostly for compensation deferred on or after January 1, 2005. We made the appropriate changes to our Defined Contribution and Defined Benefit Programs and employment agreements to help ensure there are no adverse effects on the Company or executive officers as a result of these Code amendments. We do not expect these changes to have a material tax or financial consequence on the Company.

**Table of Contents**

***Compensation Committee Report***

The duties and responsibilities of the Organization and Executive Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the "Compensation Committee" or the "Committee") are set forth in a written charter adopted by the Board and can be found on the Company's website at [www.snapon.com](http://www.snapon.com). The Compensation Committee reviews and reassesses this charter annually and recommends any changes to the Board for approval.

As part of the exercise of its duties, the Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the above Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in this Proxy Statement with management. Based upon that review and those discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be incorporated by reference in the Company's 2016 Annual Report on Form 10-K and included in this Proxy Statement.

Gregg M. Sherrill, Chair

James P. Holden

Donald J. Stebbins

**Table of Contents***Executive Compensation Information***Table 3: Summary Compensation Table**

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Stock Awards (\$) <sup>(2)</sup>	Option Awards (\$) <sup>(2)</sup>	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) <sup>(3)</sup>	Change in Pension	Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	All Other Compensation (\$) <sup>(5)</sup>	Total (\$)
							Compensation Earnings (\$) <sup>(4)</sup>	Compensation Earnings (\$) <sup>(4)</sup>		
<b>Nicholas T. Pinchuk</b> <i>Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer</i>	2016	\$ 1,071,951		\$ 2,815,536	\$ 3,315,600	\$1,683,020		\$351,453	\$368,642	\$ 9,606,202
	2015	1,042,875		3,662,973	3,482,700	1,776,930		325,273	382,801	10,673,552
	2014	1,012,500		3,418,594	2,811,900	1,666,184		255,933	375,882	9,540,993
<b>Aldo J. Pagliari</b> <i>Senior Vice President Finance and Chief Financial Officer<sup>(6)</sup></i>	2016	488,082		746,466	859,600	475,000		100,696	98,390	2,768,234
	2015	461,997		943,524	910,860	468,000		75,561	93,145	2,953,087
	2014	438,799		881,350	713,790	431,500		94,891	80,896	2,641,226
<b>Thomas J. Ward</b> <i>Senior Vice President and President Repair Systems &amp; Information Group</i>	2016	556,745		938,466	1,031,520	500,000		660,299	98,541	3,785,571
	2015	533,676		1,221,039	1,125,180	510,000		363,256	108,761	3,861,912
	2014	511,396		1,175,169	908,460	489,320		1,063,301	115,741	4,263,387
<b>Thomas L. Kassouf</b> <i>Senior Vice President and President Snap-on Tools Group</i>	2016	508,512		789,256	933,280	505,000		109,635	108,259	2,953,942
	2015	483,052		998,940	991,230	515,000		87,958	107,046	3,183,226
	2014	459,734		934,752	778,680	465,273		98,933	95,430	2,832,802
<b>Anup R. Banerjee</b> <i>Senior Vice President Human Resources and Chief Development Officer<sup>(6)(7)</sup></i>	2016	424,101		511,954	614,000	400,000		91,976	52,285	2,094,316

<sup>(1)</sup> The Bonus column includes only discretionary bonus payments apart from our annual incentive plan. Payments under the annual incentive plan, including payments for achieving personal goals, are set forth in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column. Since our executive officers' goals are specific and the officers' performance against them is measured, we believe that payments under the annual incentive plan that relate to the achievement of personal strategic business goals are properly reflected in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column.

<sup>(2)</sup> Represents the grant date fair value computed in accordance with ASC 718 of performance-based unit grants ( Stock Awards column) and option awards ( Option Awards column) under the 2011 Plan in each year presented. See the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table and Compensation Discussion and Analysis Total Direct Compensation Cash and Incentive Long-term Incentive Compensation for further discussion regarding the awards granted in fiscal 2016, and the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End table regarding all outstanding awards. ASC 718 requires us to recognize compensation expense for stock options and other stock-related awards granted to our employees based on the estimated fair value of the equity instrument at the time of grant. The assumptions used to determine the valuation of the awards are discussed in Note 13 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

The actual value, if any, that an optionee will realize upon exercise of an option will depend on the excess of the market price of our common stock over the exercise price on the date the option is exercised, which cannot be forecasted with reasonable accuracy. The ultimate value of performance-based share units ( PSUs ) and performance-based restricted stock units ( RSUs ) will depend upon the number of units that vest and the market price of our common stock at vesting. PSUs and RSUs vest based upon actual performance as compared to pre-defined goals for revenue growth or operating income and RONAEBIT. PSUs have a three-year performance period and RSUs have a one-year performance

## Edgar Filing: SNAP-ON Inc - Form DEF 14A

period followed by a two-year cliff vesting schedule. In all years presented in the Summary Compensation Table above, the named executive officers (the NEOs ) could earn up to two times the value listed in the Stock Awards column for performance at maximum.

**Table of Contents**

As a result of the Company's performance, approximately 106.7% of the fiscal 2014-2016 PSUs were earned, as discussed above in Compensation Discussion and Analysis Total Direct Compensation Cash and Incentive Long-term Incentive Compensation Long-term Performance-Based Share Units.

Based on the Company's performance in fiscal 2016, approximately 116.2% of the RSUs granted in 2016 were earned by each NEO. These RSUs will vest at the end of fiscal 2018, assuming continued employment, and will be paid out shortly thereafter. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Total Direct Compensation Cash and Incentive Long-term Incentive Compensation Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units for more information.

Based on the Company's performance in fiscal 2015, approximately 137.6% of the RSUs granted in 2015 were earned by each NEO. These RSUs will vest at the end of fiscal 2017, assuming continued employment, and will be paid out shortly thereafter.

Based on the Company's performance in fiscal 2014, approximately 133.3% of the RSUs granted in 2014 were earned by each NEO. These RSUs vested at the end of fiscal 2016 and were paid out shortly thereafter.

- (3) Amounts shown represent the annual incentive earned under the 2011 Plan. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Total Direct Compensation Cash and Incentive Annual Incentives for further discussion regarding the awards.
- (4) Represents the increase in the actuarial present value of pension benefits between fiscal year-end 2015 and 2016, 2014 and 2015, and 2013 and 2014. See the Pension Benefits and Non-qualified Deferred Compensation tables below for further discussion regarding our Pension and Deferred Compensation Plans.
- (5) The amounts listed under the column entitled All Other Compensation in the Summary Compensation Table above include Company contributions to the 401(k) Plan, Deferred Compensation Plan and life insurance, as well as dividend equivalents on vested PSUs and vested RSUs in the year earned, to the extent not reflected in the grant date fair value calculation. The amounts included in the All Other Compensation column are listed in the following table:

Name	Year	Company Matching Contributions to 401(k) Plan (\$)	Company Matching Contributions to Deferred Compensation Plan (\$)	Value of Life Insurance	Dividend Equivalents on	Total
				Premiums Paid by the Company (\$)	Vested Stock Awards (\$)	
Pinchuk	2016	\$ 7,950	\$ 77,516	\$ 881	\$ 282,295	\$ 368,642
	2015	7,950	73,322	975	300,554	382,801
	2014	7,800	55,549	975	311,558	375,882
Pagliari	2016	7,950	20,733	1,464	68,243	98,390
	2015	7,950	18,855	1,386	64,954	93,145
	2014	7,800	16,229	1,316	55,551	80,896
Ward	2016			1,500	97,041	98,541
	2015			1,500	107,261	108,761
	2014			1,500	114,241	115,741
Kassouf	2016	7,950	22,755	1,500	76,054	108,259
	2015	7,950	20,500	1,449	77,147	107,046
	2014	7,800	17,720	1,379	68,531	95,430
Banerjee	2016	7,950	14,823	827	28,685	52,285

- <sup>(6)</sup> The Company sponsors a non-qualified Deferred Compensation Plan to which participants may defer all or a portion of each of their base salary, stock awards or non-equity incentive plan

**Table of Contents**

compensation. See the further discussions in Compensation Discussion and Analysis Retirement and Deferred Benefits and under Non-qualified Deferred Compensation below. Of the amounts included in the table above, Mr. Pagliari deferred \$117,000 of non-equity incentive plan compensation in fiscal 2016 and \$48,808, \$4,620 and \$4,388 of base salary in fiscal 2016, fiscal 2015 and fiscal 2014, respectively, and Mr. Banerjee deferred \$779,172 related to stock awards upon vesting in fiscal 2016.

(7) Mr. Banerjee has been an executive officer of the Company since 2015; however, he is a named executive officer for the first time for fiscal 2016. In accordance with SEC rules, information for fiscal 2015 and fiscal 2014 is not required to be presented.

**Table 4: Grants of Plan-Based Awards 2016**

Name	Grant Date	Plan Name*	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards <sup>(5)</sup>
			Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)			
Pinchuk	2/11/16	Long-term awards				2,550	10,199	20,398 <sup>(1)</sup>			\$ 1,407,768
	2/11/16	Annual incentive <sup>(4)</sup>	\$ 334,996	\$ 1,339,984	\$ 2,679,968	2,550	10,199	20,398 <sup>(2)</sup>	135,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 138.03	1,407,768
Pagliari	2/11/16	Long-term awards				676	2,704	5,408 <sup>(1)</sup>			373,233
	2/11/16	Annual incentive <sup>(4)</sup>	91,518	366,071	732,142	676	2,704	5,408 <sup>(2)</sup>	35,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	138.03	373,233
Ward	2/11/16	Long-term awards				850	3,400	6,800 <sup>(1)</sup>			469,302
	2/11/16	Annual incentive <sup>(4)</sup>	104,393	417,573	835,146	850	3,399	6,798 <sup>(2)</sup>	42,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	138.03	469,164
Kassouf	2/11/16	Long-term awards				715	2,859	5,718 <sup>(1)</sup>			394,628
	2/11/16	Annual incentive <sup>(4)</sup>	95,350	381,400	762,800	715	2,859	5,718 <sup>(2)</sup>	38,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	138.03	394,628
Banerjee	2/11/16	Long-term awards				464	1,855	3,710 <sup>(1)</sup>			256,046
	2/11/16	Annual incentive <sup>(4)</sup>	79,522	318,088	636,176	464	1,854	3,708 <sup>(2)</sup>	25,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	138.03	255,908

\* All awards were made pursuant to the 2011 Plan.

(1) The awards relate to grants of PSUs with a three-year performance period. The related grant date fair value of these awards in fiscal 2016 is also included in the Stock Awards column of the Summary Compensation Table. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Total Direct Compensation Cash and Incentive Long-term Incentive Compensation Long-term Performance-Based Share Units for further discussion regarding the awards.

(2) Consists of performance-based RSUs awarded in fiscal 2016 under the 2011 Plan. Vesting of the RSUs is dependent upon the achievement of consolidated financial metrics (operating income and RONAEBIT) over a one-year performance period, as well as continued employment during the subsequent two-year service period. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Total Direct Compensation Cash and Incentive Long-term Incentive Compensation Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units for more information.



## Edgar Filing: SNAP-ON Inc - Form DEF 14A

- <sup>(3)</sup> The options were granted at the regularly scheduled February 11, 2016 meeting of the Organization and Executive Compensation Committee (the Compensation Committee or the Committee ) and have an exercise price equal to the closing price of Snap-on stock as reported on the NYSE on the date of grant (\$138.03). The options vest in three annual increments beginning on the first

**Table of Contents**

anniversary of the grant date. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Total Direct Compensation Cash and Incentive Long-term Incentive Compensation Stock Options for further information regarding the awards.

- (4) Amounts represent the annual incentive opportunity available under the 2011 Plan. The annual incentive actually paid to each of the NEOs is set forth above in the Summary Compensation Table under the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Total Direct Compensation Cash and Incentive Annual Incentives for further discussion regarding the awards. Payouts are dependent on performance as compared to pre-defined goals. The targets relate to quantifiable consolidated Company financial performance operating income and return on net assets. In addition, a portion of the annual incentive is based on the attainment of personal strategic business goals.

Payments related to the total Company financial measures can increase from 25% of the target amount if a threshold level of performance has been reached to 200% of the target amount if a maximum level of performance has been achieved, and are adjusted proportionately and interpolated between performance levels. Achievement of the personal strategic business goals can range from zero percent to the maximum based on personal attainment of those goals.

- (5) For stock awards and options, this amount represents the grant date fair value calculated in accordance with ASC 718. See also Note 13 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company sponsors a Non-qualified Deferred Compensation Plan to which participants may defer all or a portion of each of their base salary, non-equity incentive plan compensation and/or stock awards.

**Table of Contents****Table 5: Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End December 31, 2016**

Name	Option Awards			Equity Incentive Plan Awards:		Stock Awards	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date <sup>(1)</sup>	Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$) <sup>(2)</sup>	Equity Incentive Plan Awards:
	(#) Exercisable <sup>(1)</sup>	(#) Unexercisable <sup>(1)</sup>	(\$) <sup>(1)</sup>				
<b>Pinchuk</b>	66,000		\$ 51.75	2/13/2018			
	120,000		29.69	2/11/2019			
	120,000		41.01	2/10/2020			
	125,000		58.94	2/9/2021			
	125,000		60.00	2/8/2022			
	130,000		79.04	2/13/2023			
	86,667	43,333	109.43	2/13/2024			
	43,334	86,666	144.69	2/12/2025			
		135,000	138.03	2/11/2026			
						16,667 <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 2,854,557
					12,658 <sup>(3)</sup>	2,167,936	
					2,550 <sup>(3)</sup>	436,739	
					17,417 <sup>(4)</sup>	2,983,010	
					11,851 <sup>(5)</sup>	2,029,721	
<b>Pagliari</b>	6,480		51.75	2/13/2018			
	6,480		29.69	2/11/2019			
	6,480		41.01	2/10/2020			
	20,000		58.94	2/9/2021			
	27,000		60.00	2/8/2022			
	30,000		79.04	2/13/2023			
	22,000	11,000	109.43	2/13/2024			
	11,334	22,666	144.69	2/12/2025			
		35,000	138.03	2/11/2026			
						4,297 <sup>(3)</sup>	735,947
					3,261 <sup>(3)</sup>	558,511	
					676 <sup>(3)</sup>	115,779	
					4,486 <sup>(4)</sup>	768,317	
					3,142 <sup>(5)</sup>	538,130	
<b>Ward</b>	42,000		60.00	2/8/2022			
	42,000		79.04	2/13/2023			
	28,000	14,000	109.43	2/13/2024			
	14,000	28,000	144.69	2/12/2025			
		42,000	138.03	2/11/2026			
					5,730 <sup>(3)</sup>	981,377	
					4,220 <sup>(3)</sup>	722,759	
					850 <sup>(3)</sup>	145,580	
					5,805 <sup>(4)</sup>	994,222	
					3,950 <sup>(5)</sup>	676,517	
<b>Kassouf</b>	28,000		58.94	2/9/2021			
	33,000		60.00	2/8/2022			
	35,000		79.04	2/13/2023			
	24,000	12,000	109.43	2/13/2024			
	12,334	24,666	144.69	2/12/2025			
		38,000	138.03	2/11/2026			
					4,557 <sup>(3)</sup>	780,477	
					3,452 <sup>(3)</sup>	591,224	

Edgar Filing: SNAP-ON Inc - Form DEF 14A

					715 <sup>(3)</sup>	122,458
					4,750 <sup>(4)</sup>	813,533
					3,322 <sup>(5)</sup>	568,959
<b>Banerjee</b>	6,500		79.04	2/13/2023		
	8,667	4,333	109.43	2/13/2024		
	4,500	9,000	144.69	2/12/2025		
		25,000	138.03	2/11/2026		
					1,667 <sup>(3)</sup>	285,507
					1,266 <sup>(3)</sup>	216,828
					464 <sup>(3)</sup>	79,469
					1,742 <sup>(4)</sup>	298,352
					2,154 <sup>(5)</sup>	368,916

---

**Table of Contents**

- (1) Option awards granted under the 2011 Plan or the predecessor plan. All options have an exercise price equal to the value of our common stock on the grant date, vest in three annual increments beginning on the first anniversary following grant and expire 10 years from the relevant grant date.
- (2) Based on the \$171.27 per share closing price of our common stock on December 30, 2016, the last trading day of fiscal 2016.
- (3) Consists of PSUs awarded in fiscal years 2014, 2015 and 2016 under the 2011 Plan. Vesting of the PSUs is dependent upon cumulative performance relative to revenue growth and RONAEBIT over the relevant three-year performance period. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Total Direct Compensation Cash and Incentive Long-term Incentive Compensation Long-term Performance-Based Share Units for additional information regarding awards.

On February 9, 2017, the Committee and the Board reviewed actual performance during the three-year performance period that concluded at the end of fiscal 2016 and approved a vesting percentage of 106.7% for the PSUs granted in fiscal 2014; the amounts reported in the table above reflect this performance.

As of the end of fiscal 2016, performance for the PSUs granted in fiscal 2015 was between the threshold and target levels; therefore, the value of the award is shown at the target grant number, which is the reporting value required to be presented in this situation. Actual performance will be reviewed by the Committee and the Board of Directors at their February 2018 meetings.

As of the end of fiscal 2016, performance for the PSUs granted in fiscal 2016 was below the threshold level; therefore, the value of the award is shown at the threshold grant number, which is the reporting value required to be presented in this situation. Actual performance will be reviewed by the Committee and the Board of Directors at their February 2019 meetings.

- (4) Consists of performance-based RSUs awarded in fiscal 2015 under the 2011 Plan, and earned based on Company performance on the consolidated financial metrics set in its annual incentive plan, operating income and return on net assets, during that fiscal year. The number reported above represents approximately 137.6% of the RSUs originally granted. These RSUs will vest at the end of fiscal 2017, assuming continued employment, and will be paid out shortly thereafter.
- (5) Consists of performance-based RSUs awarded in fiscal 2016 under the 2011 Plan. Vesting of the RSUs is dependent upon the achievement of the consolidated financial metrics set in the Company's annual incentive plan operating income and return on net assets over the one-year performance period, as well as continued employment during the two-year service period. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Total Direct Compensation Cash and Incentive Long-term Incentive Compensation Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units for more information. On February 9, 2017, the Compensation Committee and Board of Directors reviewed the Company's actual performance and determined that approximately 116.2% of the RSUs originally granted were earned; the amounts reported in the table above reflect this performance. These RSUs will vest at the end of fiscal 2018, assuming continued employment, and will be paid out shortly thereafter.

**Table of Contents****Table 6: Option Exercises and Stock Vested  
2016**

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#) <sup>(2)</sup>	Value Realized on Vesting (\$) <sup>(2)</sup>
<b>Pinchuk</b>	73,000	\$ 7,907,724	46,591	\$ 7,123,046
<b>Pagliari</b>	6,480	625,619	11,206	1,725,197
<b>Ward</b>	42,000	3,891,814	16,016	2,448,587
<b>Kassouf</b>	20,000	2,326,860	12,538	1,919,855
<b>Banerjee</b>	6,000	493,099	4,740	723,468

<sup>(1)</sup> Based on the difference between the exercise price and the sale price on the date of exercise with the exception of shares that were held upon the exercise of options; in such case, the value realized on exercise is based on the difference between the exercise price and the average of the high and low trading prices of our stock on the NYSE on the date of exercise.

<sup>(2)</sup> The 2013 long-term incentive program had a three-year performance period that concluded at the end of fiscal 2015. On February 11, 2016, the Compensation Committee and Board of Directors reviewed actual performance during fiscal 2013-2015 and determined that performance was between target and maximum; therefore, approximately 122.5% of the PSUs vested for each executive officer and were paid out on that date. The value realized on vesting for this award was based on the closing price of our stock on the NYSE on February 11, 2016, the vesting date.

The performance-based RSUs awarded in fiscal 2014 vested on December 31, 2016. The value realized on vesting for this award was based on the closing price of our stock on the NYSE on December 30, 2016, the last trading day of fiscal 2016.

**Table of Contents**

***Defined Benefit Plans***

**Snap-on Incorporated Retirement Plan**

The Snap-on Incorporated Retirement Plan (the Pension Plan) is a defined benefit retirement plan that covers substantially all U.S. salaried employees, with minimum service requirements. The Pension Plan is a qualified retirement plan under the Internal Revenue Code (the Code) and is therefore subject to the Code's limits on eligible compensation and benefits payable. Benefits are determined using either final average earnings and years of credited service or an account-based formula. We do not make any specific contributions for the NEOs. All salaried employees hired since 2001 participate under the account-based formula in the Pension Plan. The table below shows the number of years of credited service, the present value of accumulated benefits and the payments made during the last fiscal year under the Pension Plan and Snap-on Incorporated Supplemental Retirement Plan for Officers (the Supplemental Plan). See below for a discussion of the Supplemental Plan. The assumptions used to determine the present value of the accumulated benefit are discussed in Note 11 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

There are not any provisions in the plans providing for the granting of additional years of credited service beyond an employee's working career, including the NEOs. There are provisions in the change of control agreements, which are described below, that indicate that two additional years of service may be granted for covered executives.

**Supplemental Retirement Plan**

Approximately 90 active and former executives, including the NEOs, participate in the Supplemental Plan. The Supplemental Plan is a non-qualified excess benefit and supplemental retirement plan under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA); it provides benefits that would be payable to participants under the Pension Plan except for the limitations provided for qualified plans under the Code. The Supplemental Plan has a final average pay formula and an account-based formula, both of which are based on the final average pay and account-based formulas in the Pension Plan. Under the Supplemental Plan, each participant will receive the difference, if any, between the full amount of retirement income due under the Supplemental Plan formula that applies to the participant and the amount of retirement income payable to the participant under the Pension Plan formula when applicable IRS limitations are applied. The Supplemental Plan also includes deferred compensation as eligible pay for pension purposes. Qualified retirement plan compensation limits per participant were \$260,000 per annum for 2014 and \$265,000 for both 2015 and 2016 under Section 401(a)(17) of the Code.

**Table of Contents****Table 7: Pension Benefits**

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service (#)*	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)**	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
<b>Pinchuk</b>	Snap-on Incorporated Retirement Plan <sup>(1)</sup>	14.5	\$ 332,268	
	Supplemental Retirement Plan <sup>(1)</sup>	14.5	1,794,246	
<b>Pagliari</b>	Snap-on Incorporated Retirement Plan <sup>(1)</sup>	14.1	234,017	
	Supplemental Retirement Plan <sup>(1)</sup>	14.1	360,793	
<b>Ward</b>	Snap-on Incorporated Retirement Plan <sup>(2)(3)</sup>	29.0	1,628,458	
	Supplemental Retirement Plan <sup>(2)(3)</sup>	29.0	4,782,968	
<b>Kassouf</b>	Snap-on Incorporated Retirement Plan <sup>(1)</sup>	14.0	269,778	
	Supplemental Retirement Plan <sup>(1)</sup>	14.0	422,492	
<b>Banerjee</b>	Snap-on Incorporated Retirement Plan <sup>(1)</sup>	13.9	279,356	
	Supplemental Retirement Plan <sup>(1)</sup>	13.9	241,053	

\* Mr. Ward's credited service also includes service years from participating in a pension plan that was merged into the Pension Plan in 2000.

\*\* At December 31, 2016.

(1) The defined benefit is determined using an account-based cash balance plan formula with pay credits ranging from 3% to 10% based on years of credited service and age. Interest is credited annually based on the five-year Treasury rate as calculated in November of the preceding year, with a minimum interest rate of 3.75%. The values shown are the present value of the account balances that would be available upon termination of employment. There are no subsidized optional forms of payment. The Pension Plan is a tax-qualified retirement plan. The Supplemental Plan is a non-qualified excess benefit and supplemental retirement plan providing benefits using the same formulas as in the Pension Plan, but without regard to IRS-imposed limits.

(2) The total pension benefit is determined as described in footnote 3 below except that the Supplemental Plan benefit is offset by the benefit payable from the Pension Plan. Benefits from the Pension Plan are as calculated in footnote 3 below for service since August 5, 1996. For service prior to August 5, 1996, benefits are calculated according to the following formula:

$$[(2\% \times \text{Final Average Pay} \times \text{Projected Service}) - (2.4\% \text{ of Social Security benefit} \times \text{Projected Service})]$$

multiplied by

(Current Service divided by Projected Service)



## Edgar Filing: SNAP-ON Inc - Form DEF 14A

Early retirement on the latter calculation is age 50 with 10 years of service.

Final Average Pay is an individual's average annual earnings during the last three completed consecutive calendar years of employment and generally includes only base salary and commissions paid in a given year.

**Table of Contents**

Projected Service means the total number of years a participant could have been eligible to earn a pension benefit if he/she participated in the plan until age 65.

Current Service means the total number of years a participant actually earned a pension benefit.

- (3) The total defined benefit is determined using the final average pay formula under the Pension Plan and provides, at the normal retirement age of 65, that retirement benefits will be calculated using the following benefit formula:  
[1.2% x Final Average Pay x Years of Credited Service]

plus

[0.45% x {Final Average Pay minus Social Security Covered Compensation} x Years of Credited Service]

Final Average Pay is an individual's average annual earnings during the five highest completed consecutive calendar years of employment and generally includes base salary, commission and bonus amounts paid in a given year.

Social Security Covered Compensation is a 35-year average of the Social Security Maximum Taxable Wage Base (according to federal regulations) for each calendar year to social security retirement age.

Years of Credited Service is the number of years and fractional number of years of continuous employment up to 35 years.

The Normal Form of Benefit (as defined in the Pension Plan) for benefits earned through 2012, is a 50% joint and survivor benefit with five years certain, which is unreduced since Mr. Ward has attained age 60. For benefits earned after 2012, the Normal Form of Benefit is a 50% joint and survivor annuity, which is reduced if payable before age 65. There is also an \$800 temporary benefit payable from retirement until age 65.

**Table of Contents*****Non-qualified Deferred Compensation***

The Snap-on Incorporated Deferred Compensation Plan (the Deferred Compensation Plan ) is primarily intended to allow eligible participants to defer base salary and incentive compensation; however, the Company may also make matching contributions, including those to restore 401(k) Plan matching contributions otherwise limited by IRS regulations. Approximately 55 active and retired executives, including the NEOs, are eligible to participate in the Deferred Compensation Plan.

The Deferred Compensation Plan is a non-qualified excess benefit and supplemental retirement plan as defined by Sections 3(36) and 201(2) of ERISA. Participants are allowed to defer amounts into a cash fund or into a Snap-on common stock fund. Participants are allowed to take a distribution of deferrals and matching contributions following a participant's termination of employment or retirement or to schedule a specific deferral period. Information for each of the NEOs is set forth below relating to the Deferred Compensation Plan.

The Deferred Compensation Plan complies with the requirements of Section 409A of the Code.

**Table 8: Non-qualified Deferred Compensation**

Name	Executive Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Registrant Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Aggregate Earnings in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/Distributions (\$) <sup>(2)</sup>	Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year End (\$) <sup>(3)</sup>
<b>Pinchuk</b>		\$77,516	\$138,375		\$6,925,804
<b>Pagliari</b>	\$165,808	20,733	17,354	\$112,354	729,315
<b>Ward</b>			4,268		164,354
<b>Kassouf</b>		22,755	6,207		406,999
<b>Banerjee</b>	779,172	14,823	95,333		3,175,610

<sup>(1)</sup> Amounts reported as Executive Contributions are discussed in footnote 6 to the Summary Compensation Table and amounts reported as Registrant Contributions are reported in the All Other Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table.

<sup>(2)</sup> These amounts were deferred in prior years. Mr. Pagliari's distribution was paid according to a scheduled deferral election.

<sup>(3)</sup> Of the amounts reported in the Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year End column, the following amounts were previously reported in the Summary Compensation Tables in the Company's Proxy Statements for its prior Annual Meetings of Shareholders: Mr. Pinchuk \$2,749,166; Mr. Pagliari \$112,306; Mr. Ward \$81,623; and Mr. Kassouf \$131,763. Mr. Banerjee is a named executive officer for the first time for fiscal 2016 and, therefore, has not been included in the Company's prior Summary Compensation Tables.

**Table of Contents**

***Potential Payments on Change of Control  
and Other Employment-related Agreements***

We have change of control agreements with the NEOs to provide continued compensation and benefits in the event of a change of control, which is defined in the agreements to include a merger or similar transaction involving the Company, a third party becoming a 25% or greater shareholder of the Company, a covered removal of directors on the Company's Board or a liquidation of the Company. The agreements are for one-year terms and are automatically extended each year for another one-year term unless notice is given. The agreements provide that if there is a change of control, then the terms will continue for 24 months.

The circumstances under which benefits are payable pursuant to the agreements generally are a change of control plus one of the following: the termination of the executive officer without cause by the Company or by the officer for other defined reasons within two years after a change of control; or the termination of the executive officer's employment by the Company without cause in anticipation of a change of control.

Benefits under the change of control agreements include:

A lump sum payment equal to two times the sum of the executive officer's base salary prior to termination and bonus or incentive compensation target for the fiscal year in which the termination of employment occurs or, if higher, for the fiscal year in which the change of control of the Company occurs;

All annual bonus or incentive awards that were earned but not yet paid are to be paid, and all annual bonus or incentive awards that were not yet earned are deemed to have been earned pro rata, as if the performance goals were attained as of the effective date of the change of control, based on the executive officer's target award opportunity for the fiscal year multiplied by the percentage of the fiscal year elapsed as of the date of the change of control;

Continuation of health, disability, life and other insurance benefits for two years;

Two years' credit for service for the purposes of any pension benefit plan in which the executive officer participated;

401(k) Plan matching payments will be given for two years;

Payment of any accrued but unpaid compensation; and

A reduction in payments in certain circumstances so as to avoid adverse excise tax consequences to the executive officer. Such benefits under the agreements are payable regardless of the former officer seeking or obtaining employment following termination, provided that the level of any health, disability, life or other insurance benefits are to be reduced if the executive officer obtains other employment.

Under the 2011 Plan, accelerated vesting will be provided for certain awards only if there is a termination of employment following a change of control, except to the extent the Committee provides a result more favorable to holders of awards.

The following table sets forth the estimated current value of benefits that could be paid to our NEOs upon a change of control under the individual change of control agreements. These amounts are estimates only and do not necessarily reflect the actual amounts that would be paid to the NEOs, which would only be known at the time that they become eligible for payment and would only be payable if a change of control were to occur.



**Table of Contents****Table 9: Potential Payments on Change of Control**

Name	Severance Amount <sup>(1)</sup>	Pension Enhancement <sup>(2)</sup>	Early Vesting of Stock Options <sup>(3)</sup>	Early Vesting of Long-term Performance-Based Units <sup>(4)</sup>	Other <sup>(5)</sup>	Estimated Tax Gross Up <sup>(6)</sup>	Total
<b>Pinchuk</b>	\$4,893,401	\$759,138	\$9,470,695	\$13,126,634	\$28,224		\$28,278,092
<b>Pagliari</b>	1,730,904	237,487	2,446,102	3,423,594	29,994		7,868,081
<b>Ward</b>	1,970,203	518,988	3,006,080	4,375,720	30,024		9,901,015
<b>Kassouf</b>	1,803,932	277,981	2,660,822	3,622,463	30,024		8,395,222
<b>Banerjee</b>	1,510,008	210,629	1,338,173	1,771,489	28,707		4,859,006

(1) This amount represents two times the sum of the executive officer's base salary immediately prior to the termination of employment and the bonus or incentive compensation opportunity at the target level for the fiscal year in which the termination of employment occurs or, if higher, for the fiscal year in which the change of control of the Company occurs, as specified by the change of control agreements. These amounts are based on the base salary and annual incentive target amounts in effect on December 31, 2016.

(2) This amount represents the present value of an additional two years of service under the Pension Plans and an additional two years of 401(k) Plan matching payments, as specified by the change of control agreements. These amounts are based on the calculation included in the footnotes to the Pension Benefits table.

(3) Accelerated vesting would only occur for outstanding unvested stock options granted under the 2011 Plan if there was a termination of employment following a change of control. The amounts shown represent the value of such unvested stock options based on the \$171.27 per share closing price of our common stock on December 30, 2016, the last trading day of fiscal 2016.

(4) These amounts represent the value of the unvested PSUs and performance-based RSUs (as well as related dividend equivalents) held by the executive officer, which would become vested only if there was a termination of employment following a change of control, based on the \$171.27 per share closing price of our common stock on December 30, 2016.

(5) These amounts include payments for two years of life insurance and medical and dental benefits, as specified by the change of control agreements.

(6) The agreements do not provide a tax gross up; however, they do allow for a reduction in payments in certain circumstances so as to avoid adverse excise tax consequences to the executive officer.

In addition to the agreements discussed in this section, the NEOs also participate in, and will be entitled to payments under, the various retirement and deferred compensation plans discussed above under Defined Benefit Plans and Non-qualified Deferred Compensation.

**Table of Contents**

**COMPENSATION AND RISK**

The Company performed a risk assessment to determine whether the amount and make-up of the compensation paid to our associates promotes excessive risk-taking. This assessment examined the following factors: overall compensation program design; performance metrics and goal setting; administrative procedures; and communication as well as disclosure. To further evaluate the risks associated with our compensation program, the Company's internal audit function identified the internal controls within our compensation plans and policies and mapped these controls to the tests performed under the framework used by the Company to evaluate its internal controls for financial reporting purposes.

With regard to compensation plans for our senior executives, whose actions may expose the Company to the most significant business risks, the Company believes that our performance-based compensation and equity programs create appropriate incentives to increase long-term shareholder value without exposing the Company to material adverse risks. In addition to the internal controls mentioned above, we believe the following factors also mitigate the likelihood of excessive risk-taking: stock ownership guidelines, annual caps on cash bonuses, the percentage of long-term equity in the compensation mix, formal recoupment policies and the discretion granted to the Organization and Executive Compensation Committee to monitor payouts.

Therefore, as a result of this assessment, we have concluded that the risks arising from our employee compensation policies and practices are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

---

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 3: ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

Pursuant to SEC rules, we are required to hold an advisory vote of our shareholders to approve the compensation of our named executive officers, as described in Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Executive Compensation Information in this proxy statement pursuant to Item 402 of the SEC's Regulation S-K. We currently hold these votes annually. At the Annual Meeting, we are holding an advisory vote of our shareholders regarding the frequency of future advisory votes to approve executive compensation; see Item 4: Advisory Vote Related to the Frequency of Future Advisory Votes to Approve Executive Compensation.

As described in Compensation Discussion and Analysis above, we design our executive compensation program to attract and retain high quality executive officers, to pay for operating performance funded by positive financial results, to pay at competitive levels, to increase the percentage of pay-at-risk with increasing levels of responsibility and to encourage adherence to the Company's values of integrity, respect and uncompromising safety. A significant portion of our executive officers' compensation is at risk, reflecting the Company's philosophy that individuals should be rewarded for performance that contributes to Snap-on achieving its long-term and short-term strategic business objectives. In addition, the Company also seeks to reward its executive officers for operating performance, as well as the accomplishment of corporate and personal performance goals. We believe the Company's compensation program as a whole is well suited to promote the Company's objectives in both the long and short term.

Accordingly, the following resolution will be submitted to our shareholders for approval at the Annual Meeting:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion, is hereby approved.

As an advisory vote, this proposal is not binding on the Company. However, the Organization and Executive Compensation Committee, which is responsible for designing and administering the Company's executive compensation program, values the opinions expressed by our shareholders, and will consider the outcome of the vote when making future compensation decisions on the Company's executive compensation program.

**THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE COMPENSATION OF THE COMPANY'S NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AS DESCRIBED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT.**

**ITEM 4: ADVISORY VOTE RELATED TO THE FREQUENCY OF FUTURE ADVISORY VOTES TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

Pursuant to SEC rules, we are required to hold an advisory shareholder vote related to the frequency of future advisory votes to approve named executive officer compensation at least every six years. The last such vote was held at the 2011 Annual Meeting. Advisory votes to approve executive compensation may be held every one, two or three years. The Company currently holds these advisory votes annually and the Board is recommending that the Company continue to hold an advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation every year for the reasons discussed below.

The Company believes that advisory votes on executive compensation should continue to be conducted every year so that shareholders may annually express their views on our executive compensation program. The Company's executive compensation program is designed to promote the achievement of shareholder returns; therefore, the Company believes that holding an advisory vote on executive compensation every year allows our shareholders to assess whether this program is appropriately



## **Table of Contents**

motivating employees and driving such returns. Such frequency would also coincide with the performance period of both the annual incentive awards and performance-based restricted stock units granted to our executive officers and discussed in Compensation Discussion and Analysis Total Direct Compensation Cash and Incentive Annual Incentives and Compensation Discussion and Analysis Total Direct Compensation Cash and Incentive Long-Term Incentive Compensation Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units above.

Similar to the vote to approve executive compensation, this proposal is also an advisory vote and is not binding on the Company. However, the Company values the opinions expressed by our shareholders, and will consider the outcome of both the advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation and the advisory vote regarding the frequency of future advisory votes when making future decisions on the frequency of such votes.

**THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE HOLDING OF FUTURE ADVISORY VOTES TO APPROVE NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION ANNUALLY (i.e., 1 Year ON THE PROXY CARD OR VOTING INSTRUCTION FORM).**

## **OTHER INFORMATION**

### **Transactions with the Company**

Snap-on discourages transactions, other than ordinary course purchases and sales of goods on standard commercial pricing and terms, with the potential for a financial conflict of interests between the Company on the one hand and its executive officers or directors (or related parties) on the other hand. Under Snap-on's practices, any such transactions that do occur must be on a basis that is fair and reasonable to the Company and in accordance with Snap-on's written Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and Corporate Governance Guidelines and other Company and Board policies. However, Snap-on does not have specific guidelines either permitting or prohibiting particular kinds of transactions. Any such transaction also must be approved by a disinterested majority of either the Board or an appropriate committee of the Board and periodically reviewed by the Board or appropriate Board committee thereafter. The Company requires directors and executive officers to disclose transactions or potential transactions to it for consideration. The Board and appropriate committees also review these matters, if any, in determining the independence of directors.

In fiscal 2016, the Company did not have any transactions with directors, executive officers or greater-than-5% shareholders requiring disclosure under applicable SEC rules. However, please see Corporate Governance Practices and Board Information Board Information above for certain matters that the Board considered in determining director independence.

### **Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

The Company files the reports required under Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 on behalf of its executive officers and directors. We believe that during fiscal 2016 our executive officers and directors complied with all filing requirements under Section 16(a), except that one Form 4 reporting one transaction for each of Constance R. Johnsen and Jeanne M. Moreno was filed one day late due to an administrative error that was not the fault of either Ms. Johnsen or Ms. Moreno.

### **Householding**

Pursuant to the rules of the SEC, services that deliver our communications to shareholders that hold their stock through a bank, broker or other holder of record may deliver to multiple shareholders sharing the same address a single copy of our Annual Report to shareholders and Proxy Statement. Upon written or oral request, we will promptly deliver a separate copy of the Annual Report to shareholders and/or Proxy Statement, without charge, to any shareholder at a shared address to which a

**Table of Contents**

single copy of each document was delivered. Shareholders may notify us of their requests by calling 1-262-656-5200 and asking for Investor Relations or by writing Snap-on Incorporated, Investor Relations, 2801 80th Street, Kenosha, Wisconsin 53143.

**Copy of Annual Report**

A copy (without exhibits) of the Company's Annual Report to the SEC on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016, will be provided without charge to each record or beneficial owner of shares of the Company's common stock as of February 27, 2017 (the record date for the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders), on the written request of that person directed to the Office of the Corporate Secretary at the address set forth in Commonly Asked Questions and Answers About the Annual Meeting in this Proxy Statement. In addition, copies are available on the Company's website at [www.snapon.com](http://www.snapon.com).

The Company has made references to information contained on or available through its website for your use as background information only. This information is not part of this Proxy Statement.

**Table of Contents****Appendix A****SNAP-ON INCORPORATED****CATEGORICAL STANDARDS FOR DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE***CATEGORICAL STANDARDS<sup>1</sup>*

A director may not be considered independent if the director does not meet the criteria for independence by the New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE ) and applicable law. A director is not considered independent under the NYSE criteria if the Board of Directors finds that the director has a material relationship with Snap-on Incorporated or the subsidiaries in its consolidated group (the Company ). Under the NYSE rules:

1. A director who is an employee, or whose Immediate Family Member is an executive officer, of the Company is not independent until three years after the end of such employment relationship. Employment as an interim Chairman or CEO shall not disqualify a director from being considered independent following that employment.
2. A director who receives, or whose Immediate Family Member receives, more than \$120,000 per year in direct compensation from the Company, other than director and committee fees and pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service (provided such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service), is not independent until three years after he or she ceases to receive more than \$120,000 per year in such compensation. Compensation received by a director for former service as an interim Chairman or CEO need not be considered in determining independence under this test. Compensation received by an Immediate Family Member for service as a non-executive employee of the Company need not be considered in determining independence under this test.
3. A director is not independent if (A) the director, or an Immediate Family Member, is a current partner of a firm that is the Company s current internal or external auditor; (B) the director is a current employee of such a firm; (C) the director has an Immediate Family Member who is a current employee of such a firm and who personally works on the Company s audit; or (D) the director, or an Immediate Family Member, was within the last three years (but is no longer) a partner or employee of such a firm and personally worked on the Company s audit within that time.
4. A director who is employed, or whose Immediate Family Member is employed, as an executive officer of another company where any of the Company s present executives serve on that company s compensation committee is not independent until three years after the end of such service or the employment relationship.
5. A director who is an executive officer or an employee, or whose Immediate Family Member is an executive officer of a company that makes payments to, or receives payments from, the Company for property or services in an amount which, in any single fiscal year, exceeds the greater of \$1 million or 2% of such other company s consolidated gross revenues is not independent until three years after falling below such threshold.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Any defined terms used herein shall have such meaning as set forth in the NYSE s listing standards regarding the independence of directors.

<sup>2</sup> In applying this test, both the payments and the consolidated gross revenues to be measured shall be those reported in the last completed fiscal year. The look-back provision for this test applies solely to the financial relationship between the Company and the director or Immediate Family Member s current employer; the Company need not consider former employment of the director or Immediate Family Member. Charitable organizations shall not be considered companies for purposes of this test, provided however, that the Company shall disclose in its annual proxy statement any charitable



**Table of Contents**

contributions made by the Company to any charitable organization in which a director serves as an executive officer if, within the preceding three years, contributions in any single fiscal year exceeded the greater of \$1 million or 2% of such charitable organization's consolidated gross revenues.

The Board of Directors has established the following additional categorical standards of independence to assist it in making independence determinations:

***Business Relationships:*** A director is not independent if any payments by the Company to a business employing, or 10% or more owned by, a director or an Immediate Family Member of a director for goods or services, or other contractual arrangements, are not (i) made in the ordinary course of business and (ii) on substantially the same terms as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with non-affiliated persons.

***Professional Services:*** A director is not independent if the director, or an Immediate Family Member is (i) a partner of or of counsel to a law firm that provides legal services for the Company, or (ii) a partner, officer or employee of an investment bank or consulting firm that provides investment banking or consulting services for the Company.

***Personal Services:*** A director who provides personal services to the Company is not independent unless (i) the Board has reviewed and approved such personal services in advance of the personal services being provided and (ii) the personal services provided are disclosed in the Company's proxy statement.

***Relationships with Not-for-Profit Entities:*** A director is not independent if the director or an Immediate Family Member is an officer, director, or trustee of a foundation, university, or other not-for-profit organization that receives contributions from the Company, unless that foundation, university or other not-for-profit organization provides demonstrable services to the Company, its employees, or the Company's employees families.

**Table of Contents**

Using a **black ink** pen, mark your votes with an **X** as shown in this example. Please do not write outside the designated areas.

**Electronic Voting Instructions**

**Available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week!**

Instead of mailing your proxy, you may choose one of the voting methods outlined below to vote your proxy.

VALIDATION DETAILS ARE LOCATED BELOW IN THE TITLE BAR.

**Proxies submitted over the internet or by telephone must be received by 1:00 a.m., Central Time, on April 27, 2017.**

**Vote by internet**

Go to [www.investorvote.com/sna](http://www.investorvote.com/sna)

Or scan the QR code with your smartphone.

Follow the steps outlined on the secure website.

**Vote by telephone**

Call toll free 1-800-652-VOTE (8683) within the USA,

US territories & Canada on a touch tone

telephone.

Follow the instructions provided by the recorded

message.

**q IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. q**

**A** **Proposals** The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** each of the nominees for director listed in Item **1**, **FOR** Items 2 and 3, and for **1 Year** on Item 4.

1. Election of Directors:			For			Against			Abstain		
01	David C. Adams		02	Karen L. Daniel		03	Ruth Ann M. Gillis				
04	James P. Holden		05	Nathan J. Jones		06	Henry W. Knuettel				
07	W. Dudley Lehman		08	Nicholas T. Pinchuk		09	Gregg M. Sherrill				
10	Donald J. Stebbins										

**For** **Against** **Abstain**

- Proposal to ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2017.
- Advisory vote to approve the compensation of Snap-on Incorporated's named executive officers, as disclosed in Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Executive Compensation Information in the Proxy Statement.

**1 Year** **2 Years** **3 Years** **Abstain**

- Advisory vote related to the frequency of future advisory votes to approve the compensation of Snap-on Incorporated's named executive officers.
- In their discretion, the Proxies are authorized to vote on such other matters as may properly come before the meeting.

**B Authorized Signatures** This section must be completed for your vote to be counted. **Date and Sign Below**

NOTE: Please sign exactly as name appears herein, joint owners should each sign. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, trustee or guardian, please give full title. If a corporation, sign in corporation's name by an authorized officer. If a partnership, please sign in partnership's name by an authorized person.

Date (mm/dd/yyyy) Please print date below.

/ /

Signature 1 Please keep signature within the box.

Signature 2 Please keep signature within the box.



**Table of Contents**

Dear Shareholder:

Snap-on Incorporated encourages you to take advantage of a convenient way by which you can vote your shares. You can vote your shares electronically through the internet or by telephone. This eliminates the need to return the proxy card.

To vote your shares electronically you must use the control number printed on the reverse side in the gray bar. The number that appears in the gray bar on the reverse must be used to access the system.

q IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. q

+

**Proxy Snap-on Incorporated**

**2801 80TH STREET**

**KENOSHA, WI 53143**

**THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

The undersigned appoints Nicholas T. Pinchuk and Irwin M. Shur as Proxies, each with power to appoint his substitute, and hereby authorizes them to represent and to vote, as designated on the reverse side, all shares of the common stock of Snap-on Incorporated held of record by the undersigned as of the close of business on February 27, 2017, at the IdeaForge located within the Snap-on Innovation Works at the Company's headquarters, 2801 80th Street, Kenosha, WI 53143, at 10:00 a.m., Central Time, on Thursday, April 27, 2017, or at any adjournment thereof.

Edgar Filing: SNAP-ON Inc - Form DEF 14A

If no choice is specified, this Proxy will be voted **FOR** each of the nominees for director in the Proxy Statement, **FOR** Items 2 and 3, and for **1 Year** on Item 4. In the absence of an instruction to the contrary, this Proxy will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Directors on the proposals stated herein and at the discretion of the Proxies on any other business.

This Proxy is also intended for use by the participants of any eligible benefit plans of Snap-on Incorporated. Receipt of Notice of the Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement is hereby acknowledged.

**PLEASE MARK YOUR VOTE ON THE REVERSE SIDE, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.**

**C** Non-Voting Item:

**Change of Address** Please print new address below, if applicable.