

INNOSPEC INC.
Form DEF 14A
March 22, 2019
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

INNOSPEC INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

Edgar Filing: INNOSPEC INC. - Form DEF 14A

(5) Total fee paid:
Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

AND

PROXY STATEMENT

2019

March 22, 2019

Dear Fellow Stockholder:

It is with great pleasure that we invite you to our 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The meeting will be held on Wednesday, May 8, 2019 at 10.00 a.m. Central Time at Innospec's offices at 2600 Technology Forest Blvd., The Woodlands, Houston, TX 77381.

Important notice regarding availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 8, 2019:

We are continuing to take advantage of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") rules that allow companies to furnish proxy materials to stockholders via the internet. This electronic process gives you fast, convenient access to materials, reduces impact on the environment and reduces our printing and mailing costs. As you have received a Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials ("Notice") by mail, you will not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials, unless you specifically request one. If you would still like to receive a printed copy of our proxy materials, you should follow the instructions for requesting these materials which are included in the Notice.

Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting, your vote on matters to be acted upon at the meeting is important to us. We hope that you will vote by telephone or via the internet by following the instructions on your Notice or proxy card. Alternatively, if you have requested written proxy materials, you may vote by signing, dating and returning your proxy card. If you are a holder of record and you sign and return your proxy card without specifying your voting choices, your proxy will be voted in accordance with the Board of Directors' recommendations as set out in the Proxy Statement.

If you are a beneficial holder of our stock (i.e. with shares held in "street name"), we urge you to give voting instructions to your broker so that your vote can be counted. This is important because brokers are not able to cast votes with respect to the election of directors or executive compensation unless they have received instructions from the beneficial owner of stock.

If you have any questions concerning the meeting, please contact Mr. David B. Jones, Innospec's Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary at 1-303-792-5554 or david.jones@innospecinc.com.

Thank you for your continued support. We look forward to seeing those of you who will be able to attend the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Sincerely,

Patrick S. Williams

President and Chief Executive Officer

Table of Contents

INNOSPEC INC.

8310 South Valley Highway, Suite 350

Englewood, CO 80112

NOTICE OF 2019 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Date and time	Wednesday, May 8, 2019, 10.00 a.m. Central Time
Place	Innospec's offices at 2600 Technology Forest Blvd., The Woodlands, Houston, TX 77381
Proposals	Proposal 1 Re-election of three class III directors Proposal 2 Advisory approval of the Corporation's executive compensation Proposal 3 Ratification of the appointment of the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm
Record Date	March 14, 2019
To obtain Proxy Materials	Internet www.envisionreports.com/iosp (for stockholders of record) www.proxyvote.com (for beneficial owners with shares held in street name) Telephone 1-866-641-4276 (for stockholders of record) 1-800-579-1639 (for beneficial owners with shares held in street name) Email investorvote@computershare.com with Proxy Materials Innospec Inc. in the subject line (for stockholders of record) sendmaterial@proxyvote.com (for beneficial owners with shares held in street name)
Voting Methods	Internet www.envisionreports.com/iosp (for stockholders of record)

Edgar Filing: INNOSPEC INC. - Form DEF 14A

www.proxyvote.com (for beneficial owners with shares held in street name)

Telephone Use the toll-free number shown on the proxy card

Written ballot Complete and return a proxy card (if you received a paper copy)

In person Attend and vote at the meeting

Stockholders may also transact any other business properly brought before the meeting. At this time, the Board of Directors knows of no other proposals or matters to be presented.

On behalf of the Board of Directors:

David B. Jones

Vice President, General Counsel,

Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary

March 22, 2019

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>INFORMATION ABOUT THE 2019 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS AND VOTING AT THE MEETING</u>	1
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	8
<u>CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY</u>	14
<u>PROPOSAL 1: RE-ELECTION OF THREE CLASS III DIRECTORS</u>	14
<u>INFORMATION ABOUT THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS</u>	15
<u>PROPOSAL 2: ADVISORY APPROVAL OF THE CORPORATION'S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	19
<u>PROPOSAL 3: RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF THE CORPORATION'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	20
<u>INFORMATION ABOUT THE EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u>	20
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	22
<u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	38
<u>COMPENSATION TABLES</u>	39
<u>RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS AND RELATIONSHIPS</u>	57
<u>RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS APPROVAL POLICY</u>	58
<u>INFORMATION REGARDING THE CORPORATION'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	58
<u>AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	58
<u>INFORMATION ABOUT OUR COMMON STOCK OWNERSHIP</u>	60
<u>SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE</u>	62
<u>OTHER MATTERS</u>	62
<u>SOLICITATION AND EXPENSES OF SOLICITATION</u>	62
<u>ANNUAL REPORT TO STOCKHOLDERS</u>	62

STOCKHOLDERS PROPOSALS FOR THE 2020 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

63

PROXY CARD

Table of Contents

PROXY STATEMENT

INFORMATION ABOUT THE 2019 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS AND VOTING AT THE MEETING

Why did you send me the Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials?

We sent you the Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials (the Notice) because the Board of Directors of Innospec Inc. (Innospec or the Corporation) is soliciting your proxy to vote at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be held on Wednesday, May 8, 2019 at 10.00 a.m. Central Time, at Innospec's offices at 2600 Technology Forest Blvd., The Woodlands, Houston, TX 77381.

The Proxy Statement summarizes the information you need to vote at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. You do not need to attend the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders in person to vote your stock. Alternatively, you may simply vote by telephone, over the internet, or, if you have requested written proxy materials, by completing, signing and returning the accompanying proxy card.

Innospec intends to commence distribution of the Notice to stockholders on or about March 26, 2019.

What proposals will be voted on at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders?

Stockholders are being asked to consider and vote on three proposals at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The following is a summary of the proposals and the voting recommendations of the Board with respect to each proposal:

SUMMARY OF PROPOSALS

Proposal	How the Board Recommends You Vote
1 Re-election of three class III directors	FOR
2 Advisory approval of the Corporation's executive compensation	FOR
3 Ratification of the appointment of the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm	FOR

Important notice regarding availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 8, 2019.

Are proxy materials available on the internet?

Edgar Filing: INNOSPEC INC. - Form DEF 14A

Yes. Our Proxy Statement, including proxy card, for the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and our 2018 Annual Report on Form 10-K are available at www.envisionreports.com/iosp for stockholders of record and www.proxyvote.com for beneficial holders.

Table of Contents

Who is entitled to vote at the meeting?

March 14, 2019 is the record date for the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. If you owned Innospec Common Stock at the close of business on March 14, 2019, you are entitled to vote. On this record date, we had 24,804,576 shares of our Common Stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Our Common Stock is our only class of voting stock.

How many votes do I have?

You have one vote for each share of Common Stock that you owned at the close of business on the March 14, 2019 record date. Your Notice indicates the number of shares of Common Stock you are entitled to vote.

What is the difference between holding stock as a stockholder of record and as a beneficial owner?

Although many stockholders are the record holders of their stock, others hold their stock beneficially, which means it is held through a stockbroker, bank or other nominee rather than directly in the stockholder's own name. As summarized below, there are some differences between stock held of record and that owned beneficially.

Stockholder of Record

If your shares of Common Stock are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Computershare, you are considered, with respect to those shares, the stockholder of record and the Notice is being sent to you directly at your address of record. As the stockholder of record you have the right to grant your voting proxy directly to Innospec or to vote in person at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Alternatively, you may vote by telephone or via the internet as described below under the heading *Information about the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Voting at the Meeting - May I vote by telephone or via the internet?*

Beneficial Owner

If your Common Stock is held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of stock held in street name and our proxy materials are being forwarded to you by your broker or nominee who is considered the stockholder of record with respect to those shares. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker or nominee on how to vote your stock and are also invited to attend the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. However, since you are not the stockholder of record, you may only vote these shares in person at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders if you follow the instructions described below under the heading *Information about the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Voting at the Meeting - How do I vote in person at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders?* Your broker or nominee has provided a voting instruction card for you to use in directing your broker or nominee as to how to vote your stock. You may also vote by telephone or via the internet by following your broker or other nominee's directions as described below under the heading *Information about the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Voting at the Meeting - May I vote by telephone or via the internet?*

How do I vote by proxy if I am a stockholder of record?

If you are a stockholder of record and you properly fill in your proxy card and it is received by us in time to vote, or you vote by internet or telephone, your proxy (i.e. one of the individuals named on your proxy card) will vote your stock as you have directed. If you sign the proxy card (including electronic signature in

Table of Contents

the case of internet or telephonic voting), but do not make specific voting choices, the person holding your proxy will vote your stock as recommended by the Board as follows:

FOR the re-election of three class III directors;

FOR the approval, on an advisory basis, of executive compensation; and

FOR the ratification of the appointment of the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm.

If any other matter is presented at the meeting, your vote will be cast in accordance with the best judgment of the individuals named on your proxy card. As of the date of printing this Proxy Statement, we know of no such other matters that need to be acted on at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

How do I give voting instructions if I am a beneficial holder?

If you are a beneficial owner of stock, your broker will communicate with you directly and ask you how you want your stock to be voted. If you give the broker voting instructions, the broker will vote your stock as you direct. If you do not give the broker voting instructions, one of two things can happen, depending on the type of proposal in question. Brokers have discretionary power to vote your stock with respect to routine matters, but they do not have discretionary power to vote your stock on non-routine matters. Brokers holding stock beneficially owned by their clients do not have the ability to cast votes with respect to the election of directors or executive compensation unless they have received instructions from the beneficial owner of the stock because these are considered non-routine matters. **It is therefore important that you provide voting instructions to your broker if your shares of Common Stock are held beneficially through a broker so that your vote with respect to directors and executive compensation, and any other matter treated as non-routine, is counted.**

May I vote by telephone or via the internet?

Yes, you may vote by telephone or via the internet. We encourage you to do so because your vote will be tabulated faster than if you mailed it. Please note the following depending on whether you are a stockholder of record or a beneficial owner whose shares are held by a bank or broker in street name :

If you are a stockholder of record, you may vote electronically through the internet at www.envisionreports.com/iosp or by telephone Toll Free 1-800-652-8683 within U.S.A., U.S. Territories and Canada.

If you are a beneficial owner and hold your stock in street name, you may vote electronically through the internet at www.proxyvote.com and you should contact your bank or broker to determine whether you will be able to vote by telephone.

Whether or not you plan to attend the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders in person, we urge you to vote. Doing so by returning the proxy card or voting by telephone or via the internet will not affect your ultimate right to attend and vote in person.

Proxies submitted by the internet or telephone must be received by 1:00 a.m. Central Time on May 8, 2019.

May I revoke my proxy?

Yes. If you change your mind after you vote, you may revoke your proxy by following any of the procedures described below. To revoke your proxy:

🌑 Send in another signed proxy with a later date or resubmit your vote by telephone or the internet;

Table of Contents

🌑 Send a letter revoking your proxy to Mr. David B. Jones, Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary at Innospec Inc., 8310 South Valley Highway, Englewood, CO 80112; or

🌑 Attend the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and vote in person.

If you wish to revoke your proxy, you must do so sufficiently in advance to permit the necessary examination and tabulation of the subsequent proxy or revocation before the vote is taken.

How do I vote in person at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders?

If you are a stockholder of record, you may attend the meeting and vote your shares in person. If you choose to do so, please bring your Notice, or proxy card showing your control number and proof of identification.

If you are the beneficial owner of stock held in street name, you may vote your shares in person only if you obtain a signed proxy from the stockholder of record giving you the right to vote the stock. To do so, you must bring to the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders proof of identification, an account statement or letter from the broker, bank or other nominee indicating that you are the owner of the stock and a signed proxy from the stockholder of record giving you the right to vote the stock. The account statement or letter must show that you were the beneficial owner of the stock on the record date, which is March 14, 2019.

Even if you plan to attend the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders in person, Innospec recommends that you vote your stock in advance by internet or telephone, or by returning the accompanying proxy card, as described above, so that your vote will be counted if you later decide not to attend the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

What votes need to be present to hold the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders?

To have a quorum for our 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the holders of a majority of the shares of Common Stock outstanding and entitled to vote need to be present in person or by proxy. Abstentions and broker non-votes are treated as present and entitled to vote and are counted in determining whether we have a quorum.

What vote is required to approve each proposal?

For Proposal 1, the affirmative vote of a plurality of the votes cast by holders of all stock entitled to vote on such proposal is required (meaning that the nominees for Innospec Director who receive the highest number of shares voted for their election are elected). While directors are elected by a plurality vote, we have a majority vote director resignation policy in place, as described below.

As Proposal 2 is an advisory vote, there is no specified vote requirement for approval. Innospec will consider that the affirmative vote of the majority of the stock present (in person or represented by proxy) and entitled to vote on such proposal reflects the advice of the stockholders.

For Proposal 3, the affirmative vote of the majority of the stock present (in person or represented by proxy) and entitled to vote on such proposal is required.

Table of Contents

Proposal		Vote Required	Broker Discretionary Voting Allowed?
Proposal 1	Re-election of three class III directors	Plurality of votes of shares present	No
Proposal 2	Advisory approval of the Corporation's executive compensation	Majority of the stock present in person or by proxy	No
Proposal 3	Ratification of the appointment of the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm	Majority of the stock present in person or by proxy	Yes

What is our Majority Vote Director Resignation Policy?

According to the procedure set forth in the Corporation's Corporate Governance Guidelines, in an uncontested election, any nominee for director (including incumbent directors) who receives a greater number of votes withheld from his or her election than votes for such election must offer his or her resignation promptly to the Board of Directors following certification of the stockholder vote. Upon receipt of the resignation, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider the resignation offer and recommend to the Board of Directors whether to accept it. The Board of Directors will act on the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's recommendation within 120 days following certification of the stockholder vote. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board of Directors may consider any factors they deem relevant in deciding whether to accept a Director's resignation. Thereafter, the Corporation will promptly disclose the Board's decision whether to accept the Director's resignation offer (and the reasons for rejecting the resignation offer, if applicable) in a Current Report on Form 8-K furnished to the SEC. This resignation policy does not apply to contested director elections.

How are votes counted?

In the election of Innospec Directors, your vote may be cast FOR each of the nominees or your vote may be WITHHELD with respect to one or more of the nominees. If you withhold authority to vote with respect to one or more nominees for Innospec Director, your vote will have no effect on the election of such nominees.

Your vote may be cast FOR, AGAINST or ABSTAIN with respect to the advisory approval of executive compensation, and the ratification of the appointment of Innospec's independent registered public accounting firm.

If you sign (including electronic confirmations in the case of internet or telephone voting) your proxy card with no instructions on how to vote, your stock will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of the Board. If you sign (including electronic confirmation in the case of internet or telephone voting) your broker voting instruction card with no instructions on how to vote, your stock will be voted in the broker's discretion only with respect to routine matters but will not be voted with respect to non-routine matters. As described in Information about the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Voting at the Meeting - How do I give voting instructions if I am a beneficial holder?, election of directors and executive compensation are considered non-routine matters. We will appoint one or more inspectors of election to count votes cast in person or by proxy.

Table of Contents

What is the effect of broker non-votes and abstentions?

A broker non-vote occurs when a broker holding stock for a beneficial owner does not or cannot vote on a particular proposal because the broker does not have discretionary voting power for that particular proposal and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner.

Common Stock owned by stockholders electing to abstain from voting with respect to any proposal will be counted towards the presence of a quorum. Common Stock beneficially owned and voted by the beneficiary through a broker will be counted towards the presence of a quorum, even if there are broker non-votes with respect to some proposals, as long as the broker votes on at least one non-routine proposal.

Abstentions and instructions to withhold votes with respect to any nominee for director election (which uses a plurality standard) will result in those nominees receiving fewer votes but will not count as votes against the nominee. Broker non-votes will not be considered present and entitled to vote with respect to elections of directors and therefore will have no direct effect on the outcome of the election of directors. Abstentions will be treated as present and entitled to vote with respect to Proposals 2 and 3 and, therefore, will have the effect of votes against these proposals. Broker non-votes will have no direct effect on the outcome of these proposals.

What happens if the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is adjourned or postponed?

Your proxy will still be effective and will be voted at the rescheduled 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. You will still be able to change or revoke your proxy until it is voted.

Where can I find the voting results?

Final voting results will be disclosed in a Form 8-K to be filed with the SEC within four business days after the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. If official results are not available at that time, we will provide preliminary voting results in the Form 8-K and will provide the final results in an amendment to the Form 8-K as soon as they become available. You can find the Form 8-K on our website at www.innospecinc.com.

Will Innospec's independent accountants attend the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders?

A representative of KPMG LLP (KPMG), our current independent registered public accounting firm, will be available by telephone at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to answer questions and will have an opportunity to make a statement if such representative wishes.

Do Directors attend the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders?

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that Directors are expected to attend our annual meetings of stockholders and any special meeting of stockholders called by Innospec to consider extraordinary business transactions. Unless they are unable to do so as a result of special circumstances, Directors are encouraged to attend all other special meetings of stockholders called by Innospec. All of our Directors then in office attended the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders that was held on May 9, 2018.

Can a stockholder or interested person communicate directly with our Board? If so, how?

Any stockholder and other interested person who may desire to contact the Chairman or any of the Directors of Innospec may do so via the following e-mail address: contact.board@innospecinc.com, or by writing to them at

Edgar Filing: INNOSPEC INC. - Form DEF 14A

Innospec Inc., 8310 South Valley Highway, Englewood, CO 80112. The Corporate Secretary or the Assistant General Counsel will review communications received electronically and forward them to the addressee of the communication. The Corporate Secretary will review the communications received by mail or courier and forward to the appropriate addressee.

Table of Contents

Whom should I call if I have any questions?

If you have any questions about the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, voting or directions to attend the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, please contact Mr. David B. Jones, Innospec's Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary, at 1-303-792-5554 or at david.jones@innospecinc.com.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Corporate Governance Principles

Our Board of Directors believes that adherence to sound corporate governance policies and practices is important in ensuring that the Corporation is governed and managed with the highest standards of responsibility, ethics and integrity and in the best interests of the stockholders. We have adopted a set of Corporate Governance Principles intended to reflect a set of core values that provide the foundation for our governance and management systems and our interactions with others. The Board of Directors believes that corporate governance is an evolving process and periodically reviews and updates the Corporate Governance Guidelines. A current copy of those principles can be found on our website under the heading Corporate Governance at www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance, or by writing to Mr. David B. Jones, Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary at Innospec Inc., 8310 South Valley Highway, Englewood, CO 80112.

Stockholder Engagement

The Corporation undertook a comprehensive investor engagement program in 2018, directly engaging with almost 60 investment groups. This included six of the ten largest investors in Innospec. This program included three investor conferences, in New York, Boston and San Francisco, and two non-deal road-shows, meeting with investors in Chicago, New York, Baltimore, Philadelphia, Boston and Burlington.

The Corporation was represented by a minimum of two, and often three, of the senior company executives comprising the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) and the Senior Vice-President of Investor Relations. In each case, an updated presentation was produced covering the business performance, the strategy and the financial management of the Corporation. This presentation was concurrently updated on the company's website to ensure that all investors have access to the same information.

As well as business performance and strategy, other issues were discussed as raised by investors. These included leadership, succession planning and compensation mechanisms, and environmental and social responsibility matters, as outlined in the Innospec Responsible Business Report for 2017, which is also available on the Corporation's website at: www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-social-responsibility/sustainability/sustainability-reports.

Corporation's Leadership Structure

The Board believes that the roles of Chairman of the Board (a non-executive position) and Chief Executive Officer should remain separate to enable the Board to provide effective guidance to management and promote oversight and accountability of management. This separation preserves the distinction between the management and oversight functions, maintaining the responsibility of management to help develop corporate strategy and the responsibility of the Board to review and provide input on corporate strategy. To fulfil the role, the Chairman of the Board, among other things: creates and maintains an effective working relationship between the Board and the Corporation's management; provides the CEO with on-going direction as to current Board needs, interests, views and expectations; and ensures that the Board agenda is appropriately directed to the matters of greatest importance to the Corporation.

The duties of the non-executive Chairman of the Board include:

🌑 presiding over all meetings of the Board;

🌑 preparing the agenda for Board meetings in consultation with the CEO, CFO and other members of the Board;

Table of Contents

- 🌑 calling and presiding over meetings of the independent Directors;
- 🌑 co-ordinating periodic review of management's strategic plan for the Corporation;
- 🌑 after consulting with other Board members and the CEO, making recommendations to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee as to the membership of various Board Committees and Committee Chairs;
- 🌑 managing the Board's process for Director self-assessment and evaluation of the Board;
- 🌑 presiding over all meetings of stockholders;
- 🌑 encouraging active participation by each member of the Board; and
- 🌑 performing such other duties and services as the Board may require.

The Board's Role in Risk Management

The Board's role in risk oversight and management is consistent with the Corporation's leadership structure, with the CEO and other members of senior management having responsibility for assessing and managing the Corporation's risk exposure, and the Board and its committees providing oversight in connection with these efforts. Risk management is an integral part of Board and committee deliberations throughout the year. As part of its general oversight function, the Board monitors and evaluates how management operates the Corporation. When making any decisions and approving strategies the Board considers, among other things, the risks and exposure the Corporation faces, including operational and regulatory risks, their relative magnitude and management's plan for mitigating these risks. The Audit Committee considers risk issues associated with the Corporation's overall financial reporting, disclosure process and financial compliance. In addition to its regularly scheduled meetings, the Audit Committee meets with the CFO, the Head of Business Assurance and the independent registered public accounting firm in executive sessions at least quarterly. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee discusses legal and compliance risks and issues at its regularly scheduled meetings and meets with the Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary during such meetings, including with respect to promoting compliance with anti-corruption and other important applicable laws. The Board annually reviews an assessment of the primary operational and regulatory risks facing the Corporation, their relative magnitude and management's plan for mitigating these risks, including cyber-security risk. In addition, the Board discusses risks related to the Corporation's business strategy at periodic strategic planning meetings and at other meetings as appropriate.

Director Independence

The Board of Directors, after considering broadly all relevant facts and circumstances of which it is aware, including those matters set forth under Information about the Executive Officers' Family Relationships and under Certain Other Transactions and Relationships, has determined that all its non-employee members are independent within the meaning of the NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 5605(a)(2) applicable on the date of this Proxy Statement.

We have also adopted standards for director independence that can be found on our website at www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance.

The Board has determined that each member of the Board, who served during 2018 and/or who currently serves, except for Mr. Williams, meets the independence standards described above. Mr. Williams is not treated as independent because, as President and CEO, he is an employee of the Corporation.

Executive Sessions of Independent and Non-Management Directors

Executive sessions of independent and non-management Directors are led by the Chairman. An executive session is held in conjunction with each regularly scheduled Board meeting and other sessions may be called by the Chairman at his discretion or at the request of the Board. There were four executive sessions of non-management Directors during

fiscal year 2018.

Table of Contents

The Board will continue to monitor the standards for director independence established under applicable law or NASDAQ listing requirements and will ensure that the Corporation's Corporate Governance Principles continue to be consistent with those standards.

Board Committees

The Board maintains the following committees to assist it in discharging its oversight responsibilities. The current membership of each committee is shown below:

Board Member	Audit	Compensation	Nominating and Corporate Governance
Mr. Hugh G. C. Aldous	Member		Chair of Committee
Mr. Milton C. Blackmore		Member	Member
Mr. David F. Landless	Chair of Committee		
Mr. Lawrence J. Padfield		Member	Member
Mr. Joachim Roeser	Member	Chair of Committee	

The Audit Committee operates pursuant to a written Audit Committee Charter, and is responsible for monitoring and overseeing the Corporation's internal controls and financial reporting process, the independent audit of the Corporation's consolidated financial statements by the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG, and the other responsibilities detailed in its Charter, including assisting the Board with its oversight of legal and regulatory compliance requirements. A current copy of the Audit Committee Charter is available on our website under Corporate Governance at: www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance.

The Audit Committee members are Mr. Landless, Mr. Aldous and Mr. Roeser. Mr. Landless was appointed Chairman of the Audit Committee on May 4, 2016. Mr. Aldous was appointed to the Audit Committee on February 15, 2005 and Mr. Roeser was appointed to the Audit Committee on May 9, 2012.

Each of the members of the Audit Committee meets the criteria for director independence required under the NASDAQ's Marketplace Rules.

The Board of Directors has determined that all Audit Committee members possess the required level of financial literacy and are independent for the purposes of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) and NASDAQ rules. The Board of Directors has determined that each of Messrs. Landless and Aldous qualify as Audit Committee Financial Experts, as such term is defined in SEC rules, and meet the standard for financial knowledge and sophistication required by NASDAQ. In the case of Mr. Landless, the Board made this determination based on Mr. Landless' qualification as a chartered management accountant and his previous experience as Group Finance Director of Bodycote plc. and before that Finance Director of Courtaulds Coatings (Holdings) Limited. He also has direct experience as a Non-Executive Director and Audit Committee Chair of Luxfer Holdings plc. as well as Audit Committee Chair of Renold plc. In the case of Mr. Aldous, the Board of Directors made the determination based on Mr. Aldous' qualification as a chartered accountant and his previous role as a partner and Chief Executive Officer of Robson Rhodes LLP, Chartered Accountants and partner of Grant Thornton LLP, Chartered Accountants.

The Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm reports directly to the Audit Committee. The Corporation's Business Assurance group also reports directly to the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee meets with management and the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm prior to the filing of the certifications of the CEO and CFO with the SEC to receive information concerning, among other things, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls.

Table of Contents

Any stockholder or employee may submit at any time a good faith complaint or concern regarding any questionable accounting, internal accounting controls, or auditing matters concerning the Corporation without fear of dismissal or retaliation of any kind. Employees are encouraged to report their concerns and complaints to the Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary or to the Audit Committee. Confidential, anonymous reports may be made by writing to: Mr. David B. Jones, Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary, 8310 South Valley Highway, Englewood, CO 80112. All complaints received by the Corporation regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters will be retained in accordance with the Corporation's document retention policy.

The Corporation limits the number of Audit Committees of SEC reporting companies on which its Audit Committee members may serve to three or less.

The Audit Committee Report appears later in this Proxy Statement.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee operates under a written Compensation Committee Charter that governs its duties and standards of performance. A copy of the Compensation Committee Charter is available on our website under Corporate Governance at: www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance.

The Compensation Committee members are Mr. Roeser, Mr. Blackmore and Mr. Padfield. The Compensation Committee reviews management compensation programs, recommends compensation terms and agreements for senior Executive Officers to the Board for Board approval, reviews changes in compensation for senior Executive Officers and Non-Executive Directors (NEDs) and administers the Corporation's stock option plans. Mr. Roeser was appointed to the Compensation Committee on July 28, 2008, and became its Chairman on October 1, 2009. Mr. Blackmore was appointed to the Committee on June 1, 2010. Mr. Padfield became a member of the Committee upon his appointment on December 1, 2012.

Each of the Compensation Committee members meets the criteria for director independence required under the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules.

The Compensation Committee Report appears later in this Proxy Statement.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During 2018, no Compensation Committee members were officers or employees of the Corporation, were former officers of the Corporation or were engaged in transactions with a related person that would be required to be disclosed by relevant SEC rules.

In addition, during 2018 none of the Corporation's Executive Officers served as directors or board committee members of other entities where any executive officers served as a Director of the Corporation or as a member of any of the Corporation's Board Committees.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The purpose of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is to identify individuals qualified to become Board members consistent with criteria approved by the Board, recommend to the Board the persons to be nominated by the Board for election as Directors at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, develop and recommend to the

Board a set of corporate governance principles and oversee the evaluation of the Board and management. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee monitors the work of Legal and Compliance in ensuring observance of those principles.

Table of Contents

The members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee are Mr. Aldous, Mr. Blackmore and Mr. Padfield. Mr. Aldous was appointed to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee on July 28, 2008, as its Chairman. Mr. Blackmore was appointed to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee effective May 9, 2012. Mr. Padfield was appointed to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee on April 24, 2018, to replace Mr. Paller who resigned from the committee on the same date.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also plays an advisory role to the Board in helping shape the corporate governance policy of the Corporation which extends to involvement in promoting legal compliance by the Corporation, including through meetings and reviews with the Corporation's Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary with respect to anti-corruption and other important laws that are applicable to the Corporation and its business, and providing an assessment of that review to the Board.

Each of the members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee meets the criteria for director independence required under the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee operates under a written Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter that governs its duties and standards of performance. A current copy of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter is available on our website under the heading Corporate Governance at: www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee uses a variety of methods for identifying and evaluating nominees for Director. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers each person's judgment, experience, independence, understanding of our business or other related industries and such factors as the committee determines relative in light of the needs of the Board of Directors and the Corporation. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee reviews the skills and attributes of Board members within the context of the current make-up of the full Board and regularly assesses the appropriate size of the Board and whether vacancies on the Board are expected due to retirement or otherwise. In the event that vacancies are anticipated, or otherwise arise, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers potential candidates for Director. Candidates may come to the attention of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee through current Board members, stockholders or other persons. In addition, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has retained a professional search firm to assist in identifying and evaluating potential candidates for nomination at the 2020 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The Board considers diversity in the nominating process, along with other criteria, for potential Director candidates. The recruitment specification for new Directors concentrates on candidates who are seasoned executive officers, with significant relevant experience, both at board level and within industry.

These candidates are evaluated at regular or special meetings of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and may be considered at any time during the year. The nominees for election at this year's Annual Meeting of Stockholders were approved for nomination by the Board upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

The policy of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is to consider properly submitted stockholder nominations for election to the Board. In order for any candidate to be considered by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and if nominated, included in the Proxy Statement, such recommendation should be received no later than the deadline for submission of stockholder proposals. See [Stockholders' Proposals for the 2020 Annual Meeting of Stockholders](#). Recommendations should be sent to the Corporate Secretary and should specify the nominee's name, qualification for Board membership and any other information required by the Corporation's Bylaws. All properly submitted stockholder nominations for Director candidates received by the Corporate Secretary will be submitted to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee for review and consideration. The Nominating

and Corporate Governance Committee will consider stockholder recommendations for Director candidates, but the Nominating and Corporate

Table of Contents

Governance Committee has no obligation to recommend such candidates. Assuming that appropriate biographical and background information (including qualifications) is provided for candidates recommended by stockholders, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will use the same process to evaluate Director nominees recommended by stockholders as it does to evaluate nominees identified by other sources.

Meetings and Attendance

In 2018, the full Board met six times (including twice by conference call), the Audit Committee met four times (and held four meetings by conference call), the Compensation Committee met five times, and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met four times. There were four Non-Executive Director Committee meetings. Directors are expected to attend all Board Meetings and meetings of Committees on which they serve. Directors are expected to attend all meetings of stockholders. All of the Directors attended the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. During the year ended December 31, 2018 each of the Directors attended, in person or by teleconference, all the meetings of the Board and meetings of Committees of the Board on which he served that were held while he was a member.

Code of Ethics

The Board has adopted a Code of Ethics, violations of which may be reported to the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee or the Corporate Secretary. This Code of Ethics is intended to promote, among other things, honest and ethical conduct, full and accurate reporting and compliance with applicable laws and regulations. A copy of the Code of Ethics is available on our website under the heading Corporate Governance at: www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance.

Anti-Hedging Policy

The Corporation's stock trading policy contains an anti-hedging provision that prohibits directors, officers and employees from hedging any stock, share or other securities issued by the Corporation, including through the use of financial instruments, such as prepaid variable forwards, equity swaps, collars and exchange funds.

Anti-Pledging Policy

The Corporation's stock trading policy contains a provision that prohibits directors, officers and employees from holding any stock, share or other securities issued by the Corporation in a margin account, or from otherwise pledging such securities as collateral for a loan, unless the person obtains approval in advance from the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Corporation's Board of Directors. No approval will be granted unless that person clearly demonstrates the financial capacity to repay the loan (which must not constitute margin debt) without resorting to the pledged securities. (Pledges arising from certain types of hedging transactions are governed by the anti-hedging policy described above).

Copies of Code of Ethics, Corporate Governance Guidelines and Committee Charters

Copies of our Code of Ethics, Corporate Governance Guidelines and each of the Board Committee charters can be accessed via the Corporation's website at: www.innospecinc.com/about-us/corporate-governance. The Corporation intends to disclose on this section of its website any amendments to, or waivers from, its Code of Ethics that are required to be publicly disclosed pursuant to the rules of the SEC or NASDAQ.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

As a global specialty chemical company, Innospec understands that the way we conduct our business is essential to the long-term success of Innospec. Our responsible business strategy and focus takes into account four pillars: economic, social, environmental and governance. We believe this approach reflects our stakeholders' priorities and demonstrates our commitment to striving to grow our business in what we believe to be a sustainable and socially responsible manner.

Our Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) program is overseen by the Responsible Care Executive Committee (RESPECT). RESPECT comprises of members of the senior leadership team who set annual CSR objectives in line with Innospec's focus areas and meet quarterly to monitor progress towards achieving them.

As part of our commitment to being open and transparent about our performance, our latest Responsible Business Report, being our 2017 Report, was independently assured to the globally recognised AA1000 Assurance Standard to ensure it is accurate and evidence based.

Performance highlights of our 2017 Responsible Business Report include:

- 🌑 Strong financial performance with annual sales exceeding \$1.3 billion for the first time;
- 🌑 Continued focus on reducing our energy, Greenhouse Gas (GHG), hazardous waste and water usage, including a 6 percent reduction in absolute GHG emissions and a 17 percent reduction in water usage compared to 2016;
- 🌑 Launch of our new corporate behavioural safety program, Journey to Zero Harm;
- 🌑 Over \$453,000 being raised to support 152 worldwide charities local to our operations through our Innospec Cares program and a variety of fundraising and sponsorship events;
- 🌑 9 percent reduction in numbers of accidents compared to 2016; and
- 🌑 A total of 1,903 employees and contractors taking part in Innospec online compliance training programs.

Further information on our Corporate Social Responsibility program and performance is available in our annual Responsible Business Report, available online in the sustainability reports section of the Corporation's website at <https://innospecsustainability.com>.

PROPOSAL 1 RE-ELECTION OF THREE CLASS III DIRECTORS

(Item 1 on the Proxy Card)

The first proposal to be voted on at the meeting is the re-election of three class III directors. The directors re-elected at this meeting will serve until the 2022 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The Board has nominated Mr. David F. Landless, Mr. Lawrence J. Padfield and Mr. Patrick S. Williams, current class III directors, whose terms expire at the upcoming Annual Meeting of Stockholders, for re-election to the Board.

The Bylaws of the Corporation provide that the number of directors shall be not less than three nor more than twelve members, the exact number of which shall be determined from time to time by resolution adopted by the Board of Directors, and that the Board shall be divided into three classes, designated class I, class II and class III. Each class shall consist, as nearly as may be possible, of one-third of the total number of Directors constituting the entire Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

The Board recommends a vote FOR all nominees.

If a nominee becomes unable or unwilling to accept nomination or election, the Board will either select a substitute nominee or reduce the size of the Board. If you have submitted a proxy and a substitute nominee is selected, your shares will be voted for the election of the substitute nominee.

The Board has no reason to believe that any nominee would be unable or unwilling to serve if elected.

According to the Bylaws, the above-named nominees will be elected to the Board on a plurality of the votes of the shares present (in person or by proxy) at the meeting and entitled to vote. However, as described above in more detail, the Corporation's Corporate Governance Guidelines requires that any nominee, who receives more withheld votes than for votes, must submit a resignation which is subject to acceptance or rejection by the Board of Directors.

Biographical information about the nominees is included under INFORMATION ABOUT THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS below.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The following is biographical and other information about our current Directors, including the nominees for election at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Class I Directors

Mr. Hugh G. C. Aldous

Age: 74

Director since January 11, 2005

Committees: Nominating and Corporate Governance (Chair), Audit

Mr. Aldous currently serves as Chairman of Downing Strategic Micro-Cap Investment Trust plc., quoted on the London Stock Exchange (appointed 2017) and SPL Guernsey ICC Limited, the umbrella company for a number of cells in Guernsey, all of which he also chairs (appointed December 2009). Mr. Aldous is a non-executive director of the London listed public company Draper Esprit Venture Capital Trust plc. (appointed March 2007) and he was, from 2007 to July 2018, a non-executive director of the London listed public company Polar Capital Holdings plc., a parent company that controls an asset management business that includes two SEC registered entities. Mr. Aldous was a member of the U.K. Competition Commission from 1998 to 2001 and was appointed a U.K. Government Inspector of

Companies several times between 1987 and 2003. He has authored several reports on corporate governance issues, has served as the audit committee chairperson for several public companies and currently chairs the audit committee of a London public company (which is not SEC listed). From 2007 to 2015, Mr. Aldous was Chairman of Capita Sinclair Henderson Limited, a company servicing the fund management industry and a subsidiary of the London listed Capita Group plc. From 2007 to 2010 Mr. Aldous was Executive Chairman of Melorio plc., a London quoted company in the training industry, which was sold to Pearson plc. in July 2010. Mr. Aldous was for thirty two years a partner in RSM Robson Rhodes (Chartered Accountants) of which he was CEO from 1987 to 1997 and Grant Thornton UK LLP.

Table of Contents

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Aldous has a wealth of experience in financial reporting, in the financial services industry and in corporate governance generally which provides the Board with an executive and leadership perspective on the management, operations and financial reporting and accounting oversight of a public listed company. As an Inspector of Companies for the U.K. Government he spent many years investigating matters of corporate governance. He also has a great deal of experience of listed companies, particularly in the U.K.

Mr. Joachim Roeser

Age: 65

Director since January 1, 2008

Committees: Compensation (Chair), Audit

Mr. Roeser was the Chief Executive Officer of the Amber Chemical Group, a global specialty silicone producer, owned by Caledonia Investments plc. for eight years, until March 2014. In July 2014, he became a Senior Adviser of Beyond Capital Partners GmbH, a German private equity firm, focussing on mid-size and family companies. He has been a Senior Adviser of Trumont International, a mergers and acquisition advisory firm for the chemicals industry and allied sectors since July 2011. In May 2015, he was appointed a non-executive director of C² Pharma, a global active pharmaceutical ingredients manufacturer/distributor. He was Non-Executive Chairman of Fluidata Ltd, a business internet service provider company, from January 2007 until March 2015.

Mr. Roeser is a German national and has lived and worked in Belgium, France and Germany, as well as in the U.K. Previously, he was President and Chief Executive Officer of Luzenac, a Rio Tinto subsidiary and the world's leading talc mining producer, for five years from May 2001 to April 2006. Prior to that, Mr. Roeser was European President of Ferro Corporation from April 1998 to December 2000. He started his career over thirty five years ago in the emulsifier and starch industry before joining ARCO Chemical in 1983, where he held a number of senior management positions, ultimately serving as Global Business Director, Styrene for two years. Mr. Roeser earned his Bachelor of Science degree in Chemical Engineering from the University of Wuppertal.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Roeser has held senior positions within the chemicals industry for over thirty five years and brings a wealth of knowledge and expertise in this area as well as a global perspective due to his experience working across Europe, Asia, Australia as well as the U.S.

Class II Directors

Mr. Milton C. Blackmore

Age: 71

Director since June 1, 2010; Chairman since May 9, 2012

Committees: Compensation, Nominating and Corporate Governance

Mr. Blackmore serves as Non-Executive Chairman of the Corporation. Mr. Blackmore was most recently the Senior Vice President, Marketing and Product Supply for Sinclair Oil Corporation, one of the largest independent oil companies in the U.S., and served on their board of directors until his retirement in 2009, having previously held a number of senior marketing roles within the company. He was also Chairman of Sinclair Marketing Inc., the company's convenience store business. Before joining Sinclair in 1995, Mr. Blackmore was with Kerr-McGee Refining Corporation for twenty six years, progressing through a variety

Table of Contents

of accounting, marketing and general management positions, ultimately serving as General Manager, Branded Marketing for three years. Mr. Blackmore has a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration from Panhandle State University in Oklahoma.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Blackmore has an in-depth knowledge of the chemical industry, particularly the oil sector, and has held several senior positions during his career. He brings industry knowledge and marketing expertise to the Corporation.

Mr. Robert I. Paller

Age: 84

Director since November 1, 2009

Committees: None

Mr. Paller has served on the board of numerous private companies and non-profit corporations for over forty years. He is currently a member of the Council of National Trustees for the National Jewish Medical and Research Center in Denver, Colorado. An attorney by profession, Mr. Paller has been with the law firm of Smith, Gambrell & Russell LLP for many years specializing in corporate law, particularly mergers and acquisitions, originally serving since 1965 as a partner and currently serving as Of Counsel to the firm. Mr. Paller has a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration from the University of North Carolina and an LLB degree from Emory University.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Paller has a wealth of directorship experience, having served on various boards for over forty years. He also has many years of legal experience which will assist the Board in their deliberations on many topics and is a valuable resource to the Corporation which operates in a highly regulated industry.

Class III Directors

Mr. David F. Landless

Age: 59

Director since January 1, 2016

Committees: Audit (Chair with effect from May 4, 2016)

Mr. Landless was the Group Finance Director for Bodycote plc, a U.K. listed company, which provides thermal processing services globally for a wide range of industries including aerospace, automotive, oil and gas and construction, for 17 years until December 2016. Since March 2013, he has been a non-executive director for Luxfer

Holdings plc, a NYSE listed global materials technology company, and chairs their Audit Committee. In January 2017, he was appointed a non-executive director of Renold plc, a U.K. listed global manufacturer of specialist industrial chain and machinery transmissions and also chairs their Audit Committee. He is also a non-executive director of Ausurus Group Ltd the holding company of European Metal Recycling (EMR), a large private scrap metal recycling company and was appointed to this role in June 2017. Mr. Landless' early career includes fourteen years with Courtaulds plc, where he held a number of finance roles, ultimately serving as the Finance Director of Courtaulds Coatings (Holdings) Limited from 1997 to 1999. Mr. Landless is a Chartered Management Accountant and has a Bachelor of Science degree in Management Sciences from the University of Manchester Institute of Science and Technology in the U.K. Mr. Landless is the Chairman of the Audit Committee.

Table of Contents

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Landless brings significant financial expertise and knowledge of financial reporting with his wealth of experience as a Finance Director and as a non-executive director during his career to date. Mr. Landless also has substantial experience in the chemicals, paint and engineering sectors.

Mr. Lawrence J. Padfield

Age: 63

Director since December 1, 2012

Committees: Compensation, Nominating and Corporate Governance (since April 24, 2018)

Mr. Padfield is currently a principal and Executive Vice President of Blackline Partners LLC, a closely held private equity and midstream logistics and terminal development company. He also serves as the Board Chairman of CAP Technologies, a private U.S. company that has developed and markets a ground breaking technology for cleaning and coating wire, rebar and plate steel. Prior to forming Blackline Partners, Mr. Padfield was a founding partner and Vice President of U.S. Development Group LLC, an industry leading biofuel and crude oil terminal development company. Mr. Padfield's early career includes eighteen years at Shell Oil Company where he held a number of roles in marketing, engineering and product supply, ultimately serving as the Business Development and Acquisitions Manager for their terminal and pipeline business. Mr. Padfield has a degree in Civil Engineering from the University of Missouri.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

Mr. Padfield has almost thirty years' experience in the oil and gas logistics industry, commercial marketing and business development and his wealth of knowledge in this sector is a valuable resource to the Corporation.

Mr. Patrick S. Williams

Age: 54

Director since April 2, 2009

No Board Committees

Mr. Williams has served as Director, President and CEO of the Corporation since his appointment to this position on April 2, 2009. Prior to holding this position, Mr. Williams was Executive Vice President and President, Fuel Specialties of the Corporation from 2005 to 2009 and in addition assumed responsibility for the global Performance Chemicals business in 2008. He held a number of senior management and sales leadership positions in Innospec Fuel Specialties LLC, latterly acting as the Chief Executive Officer of this business from 2004 to 2009. Before joining the predecessor company of Innospec Fuel Specialties LLC, Starreon Corporation, in 1993, Mr. Williams established a number of businesses and currently holds equity positions in a small exploration and oil production company and a real estate business.

Key Attributes, Experience and Skills:

As the only management representative on the Board, Mr. Williams provides an insider's perspective in Board discussions about the business and strategic direction of the Corporation. Mr. Williams has particular experience in the Fuel Specialties, Performance Chemicals and Oilfield Specialties businesses and brings a wealth of knowledge to the Corporation.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 2 ADVISORY APPROVAL OF THE CORPORATION'S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

(Item 2 on the Proxy Card)

Section 14A of the Exchange Act enables our stockholders to vote to approve, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation of our Executive Officers, who are named in the Summary Compensation Table which appears later in this Proxy Statement (Named Executive Officers or NEOs), as disclosed in this Proxy Statement including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Compensation Tables and related material, in accordance with the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC. In accordance with Section 14A of the Exchange Act, we are offering to our stockholders a non-binding, advisory vote on 2018 compensation for the Named Executive Officers, including the compensation of our CEO.

The Corporation's goal for its executive compensation program is to attract, motivate and retain a talented, highly qualified team of executives who will provide leadership for the Corporation's success in the competitive global markets the Corporation operates in. The Corporation seeks to accomplish this goal in a way that is aligned with the long-term interests of the Corporation's stockholders. The Corporation believes that its executive compensation program is strongly aligned with the long-term interests of its stockholders as it is competitive with the market, includes both short and long-term awards and is performance based, providing a strong link between executive compensation and the performance of the Corporation.

The Compensation Committee continually reviews the compensation programs for our NEOs to ensure they achieve the desired goals of aligning our executive compensation structure with our stockholders' interests and current market practices. The Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 22 of this Proxy Statement describes the Corporation's executive compensation program in more detail.

We believe that our executive compensation programs are structured in the best manner possible to support the Corporation and our business objectives. We are asking our stockholders to indicate their support for our NEO compensation as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section and the compensation tables and related narrative disclosure. This proposal, commonly known as a "say-on-pay" proposal, gives our stockholders the opportunity to express their views on our NEOs' compensation. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our NEOs and the philosophy, policies and practices described in this Proxy Statement. Accordingly, we will ask our stockholders to vote FOR the following resolution at the Annual General Meeting:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Corporation's Named Executive Officers, as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and related material disclosed in this Proxy Statement is hereby APPROVED.

As an advisory vote, this proposal is not binding upon the Corporation. However, the Board of Directors will consider that the stockholders have approved executive compensation on an advisory basis if this proposal receives the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes present in person or by proxy. The Compensation Committee values the opinions that stockholders express through their votes and will consider the outcome of the vote when making future compensation decisions.

The Board recommends a vote FOR the approval of our 2018 Named Executive Officer Compensation as described in this Proxy Statement. Note: Stockholders are not voting to approve or disapprove the recommendation of the Board of Directors regarding Proposal No. 2.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 3 RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF THE CORPORATION'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

(Item 3 on the Proxy Card)

The Audit Committee has appointed the accounting firm KPMG LLP to serve as the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm with respect to the 2019 fiscal year, to audit the consolidated financial statements of the Corporation for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2019 and to perform other appropriate audit related services.

KPMG LLP also served as the Corporation's independent registered accounting firm for the 2018 fiscal year, having replaced KPMG Audit Plc. (following stockholder approval at the 2018 Annual Meeting) who served as the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2011 through the end of the fiscal year 2017. A representative of KPMG LLP is expected to be available by telephone at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The available representative will have the opportunity to respond to questions and to make a statement if such representative desires to do so.

Although current law, rules and regulations, as well as the charter of the Audit Committee, require the Audit Committee to engage, retain and supervise the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm, the Board considers the selection of such firm to be an important matter of stockholder concern and is submitting the selection of KPMG LLP for ratification by stockholders as a matter of good corporate practice. In the event that our stockholders fail to ratify the selection, it will be considered a recommendation to the Board of Directors and the Audit Committee to consider the selection of a different firm. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee may in its discretion select a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Corporation and our stockholders.

See also Audit Committee Report, Principal Accountant Fees and Services, and Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures below for additional information.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2019.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Dr. Philip J. Boon

Age: 59

Executive Officer since June 1, 2009

Dr. Boon was appointed as Chief Operating Officer effective November 2015. In this role, Dr. Boon has direct responsibility for the global Fuel Specialties business as well as an overseeing role with our global Performance Chemicals and Oilfield Specialties businesses and has a key role in the strategic development of Innospec. Prior to this, Dr. Boon was the Executive Vice President, Business Operations from June 2009 and was responsible for all our businesses in Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA). Dr. Boon joined the Corporation in 1997 and has held various senior management positions covering most operational aspects of the business. He has over 25 years international experience in the specialty chemicals industry and previously held positions with Ciba Geigy and FMC in the U.S. and Europe. He has a PhD in Chemistry from Leicester University.

Table of Contents

Mr. Ian P. Cleminson

Age: 53

Executive Officer since July 3, 2006

Mr. Cleminson serves as Executive Vice President and CFO to the Corporation, having joined it in February 2002. Prior to this appointment, Mr. Cleminson was Financial Controller for the Fuel Specialties and Performance Chemicals business units within the Corporation. He joined the Corporation from BASF plc. where, between 1999 and 2002, he served as Financial Controller of their Superabsorbants division. Previously, he worked as an accountant in private practice since 1989.

Dr. Catherine Hessner

Age: 60

Executive Officer since August 12, 2003

Dr. Hessner serves as Senior Vice President, Human Resources (SVP, HR) of the Corporation, having joined it in March 2003. Prior to joining the Corporation, she served as European Human Resources Director for Nova Chemicals, a U.S. commodity chemicals company. From 1995 to 1999, Dr. Hessner served as European HR Director, based in the U.K., for Anheuser-Busch, the U.S. brewing corporation and, prior to that, spent nine years with various divisions of Mars Incorporated in a variety of human resources and general business roles.

Dr. Ian M. McRobbie

Age: 70

Executive Officer since May 7, 2002

Dr. McRobbie serves as Senior Vice President, Research and Technology, having joined the Corporation in January 2002. Between 1989 and 2002 he was Technical Director of A H Marks and Company Limited, a privately owned U.K. chemical company operating in agrochemical and specialty chemical markets. Prior to this, he worked in senior research and manufacturing roles for Seal Sands Chemical Co. Limited (a wholly owned subsidiary of the Hexcel Corporation based in California) and BTP plc. (now part of Clariant).

Mr. Brian R. Watt

Age: 60

Executive Officer since January 1, 2010

Mr. Watt was appointed Senior Vice President, Corporate Development and Investor Relations in August 2017. Mr. Watt has significant experience in the chemicals industry and prior to joining the Corporation, he held commercial positions in Shell, ICI, Avecia and Astra Zeneca. Mr. Watt joined the Corporation as Mergers and Acquisitions Manager in 2001 and latterly he held positions in both the Performance Chemicals and Fuel Specialties business units and was appointed as Vice President, Strategic Planning and Regulatory Affairs in 2010, before taking up his current role.

Mr. David B. Jones

Age: 50

Executive Officer since March 1, 2018

Mr. Jones was appointed Vice President, General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer and Corporate Secretary on March 1, 2018. Before joining the Corporation, Mr. Jones served as Vice President, Deputy General Counsel of West Corporation and Chief Counsel of Lennox International and prior to that he was a Partner with DLA Piper LLP. Mr. Jones is a Certified Public Accountant and was in private practice with Ernst & Young and PricewaterhouseCoopers prior to commencement of his legal career.

Family Relationships

There are no family relationships between any of the persons referred to in the sections INFORMATION ABOUT THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OR INFORMATION ABOUT THE EXECUTIVE OFFICERS above.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The following Compensation Discussion and Analysis is designed to explain the executive compensation philosophy and programs and describes the material elements of compensation for 2018 for the individuals listed in the Summary Compensation Table as our NEOs. The tables following the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contain specific information on the compensation awarded to or earned by the NEOs in 2018.

At the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the Corporation conducted a non-binding advisory vote on its executive compensation. At that meeting, approximately 85% of the stock present and entitled to vote on the proposal voted to Approve executive compensation. The Compensation Committee takes the outcome of the vote into consideration when reviewing its executive compensation programs. The Compensation Committee also considers the interaction of our compensation programs with our business objectives, input from the independent compensation consultant and executive compensation market data. Each of these factors is evaluated by the Compensation Committee in the exercise of its fiduciary duty to act in the best interests of the Corporation. While each of these factors was considered as part of the Compensation Committee's decisions regarding executive compensation, the Compensation Committee did not make any changes or modifications to the executive compensation programs or to the compensation of any of the NEOs as a result of the advisory vote, although the Compensation Committee has made certain modifications and refinements as part of its regular review process, as discussed herein.

Compensation Philosophy and Overall Objectives

The compensation philosophy of the Corporation is to link executive compensation to continuous improvement in corporate performance and increases in stockholder value, while at the same time allowing the Corporation to attract and retain the executive talent required to successfully manage our business. The overall compensation program is designed to motivate our employees to achieve business objectives and maximize their long-term commitment to our success. The Corporation aims to achieve this by providing compensation elements that align Executive Officers' interests with stockholder value and achievement of our long-term strategies within the framework of our overall principles of good corporate governance.

The goals of the Corporation's executive compensation programs are to:

- 🌑 Establish pay levels that are necessary to attract and retain highly qualified executive officers in light of the overall competitiveness of the market for high quality executive talent and the Corporation's unique business profile;

- 🌑 Recognize superior individual performance, new responsibilities and new positions within the Corporation;

- 🌑 Balance short-term and long-term compensation to complement the Corporation's annual and long-term business objectives and strategy and to encourage executive performance in the fulfillment of those objectives and strategy;

- 🌑 Provide variable compensation opportunities linked to the Corporation's performance;

- 🌑 Encourage ownership of the Corporation's stock by Executive Officers;

Table of Contents

🌑 Align Executive Officer compensation with the interests of stockholders; and

🌑 Reward achievement of exceptional performance.

The Corporation regularly reviews its executive compensation programs to ensure that each component is competitive and provides a balance between fixed elements of pay and performance related elements. No element of compensation is driven exclusively by tax, accounting or regulatory considerations. Further information on each of the key components of compensation is given below.

Role of the Compensation Committee and the Compensation Consultant

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors oversees the Corporation's compensation programs and practices for NEOs and other key Executive Officers and Directors. The Compensation Committee reviews and approves compensation for our Executive Officers, including salary, incentive programs, stock-based awards and compensation, retirement plans, perquisites and supplemental benefits, employment agreements, severance arrangements, change of control arrangements and other executive compensation matters. Advice to the Compensation Committee is provided by Mr. Dion Read, an independent compensation consultant, who has significant experience in executive compensation, having worked for Hay Group and Watson Wyatt (now Willis Towers Watson) in this area. Mr. Read was retained by the Compensation Committee and meets with the Committee at least annually and provides advice at other times as the Compensation Committee deems appropriate. Any other work undertaken by Mr. Read for the Corporation must be approved by the Compensation Committee. In 2018, Mr. Read did not perform any additional work for the Corporation. The Compensation Committee has conducted an assessment of the independence of Mr. Read and has determined that he does not have any conflict of interest.

The Compensation Committee reviews and approves the compensation structure for our NEDs bi-annually, including retainers, fees, stock-based awards and other compensation and expense items. This review is discussed under the Director Compensation section of this Proxy Statement.

The processes and procedures for the Compensation Committee oversight of compensation programs are discussed in the Corporate Governance section of this Proxy Statement.

Role of the Chief Executive Officer and Other Executive Officers

The CEO attends Compensation Committee meetings by invitation only and does not attend Compensation Committee meetings when his compensation is being determined. Each year, the CEO, at the request of the Compensation Committee, provides his assessment of the performance of the other Executive Officers, including their achievement of individual objectives and contribution to the overall business performance. He then recommends adjustments to base salary, if appropriate.

The Compensation Committee then reviews all elements of compensation for the Executive Officers, taking into account the recommendations of the CEO, as well as market data and information from the Senior Vice President, Human Resources (SVP, HR). The Compensation Committee also reviews all elements of compensation for the CEO and evaluates the CEO's performance in light of those goals, taking into account the Chairman of the Committee's review and assessment of the performance of the CEO, overall business performance and results, competitive market data and other relevant information provided by the SVP, HR. The Compensation Committee reviews, discusses and determines the CEO's compensation package without him being present. The Compensation Committee makes decisions relating to the compensation of the Executive Officers, including the CEO, which it recommends to the full Board of Directors for approval.

The SVP, HR assists the Compensation Committee, serving as the Compensation Committee's Secretary and provides information on compensation as requested by the Compensation Committee.

Table of Contents***Competitive Market***

The Compensation Committee reviews nationally recognized compensation survey data provided by Willis Towers Watson to compare the Corporation's compensation practice with the external market. In 2018, for the Executive Officers based in the U.S., Willis Towers Watson U.S. data for similar sized roles in organizations with over \$1 billion revenue was used. These are standard Willis Towers Watson data sets and were not customized prior to use. In addition, the Compensation Committee also uses a Chemical Industry Peer Group as an additional reference point for our CEO's compensation. The companies included in the peer group were selected by the Compensation Committee based on a number of factors, including company size, products and level of global operations. Compensation data for these companies is collected from their proxy statements and analyzed by the Compensation Committee. The peer group of eleven companies for 2018 was:

Albemarle	Cambrex	Stepan
American Vanguard	Ferro	Polyone
NewMarket	HB Fuller	Cabot
Ashland	Innophos	

Due to completed acquisition activity during 2017, Chemtura Corporation was removed from the peer group for 2018.

In 2018, the Compensation Committee undertook a comprehensive review of the peer group and concluded it was appropriate to increase the number of companies in the peer group to provide more information on market practice. As a result, the Committee added Kraton Corporation, Sensient Technologies Corporation, Tredegar Corporation, Quaker Chemicals Corporation and OMNOVA Solutions to the peer group effective from the beginning of 2019. These additions were made to add companies from the chemical sector with strong performance and appropriate sized revenue and market capitalizations compared to Innospec.

In 2018, for U.K.-based Executive Officers, Willis Towers Watson U.K. data for similar sized roles in organizations with over \$1 billion revenue was used. These are also standard Willis Towers Watson data sets and were not customized prior to use. All executive jobs are assessed and graded by Mr. Read, in his capacity as the Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant using the Willis Towers Watson Global Grading methodology. Job sizes are then matched into the data to ensure that comparisons are made at the appropriate level.

References to market data in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis, unless otherwise noted, are to these foregoing sources.

Elements of Compensation

The material elements of compensation for the Corporation's NEOs are:

- 🌑 base salary;
- 🌑 short-term incentive;
- 🌑 long-term incentive; and
- 🌑 other benefits (including retirement and supplemental plans, severance, change-of-control and employment agreements and perquisites).

These elements are explained and discussed in separate sections below. The Compensation Committee seeks to achieve an appropriate balance between fixed and variable compensation elements in line with our policy to link a significant proportion of compensation to performance. For Executive Officers the target is that at least 50% of total compensation should be delivered through variable compensation comprising a mix of long

Table of Contents

and short-term incentives and cash and non-cash compensation. The Compensation Committee has formally reviewed the allocation of compensation between the different elements using market knowledge and input from its advisors and is satisfied that the balance is appropriate and generally in line with market practice. In 2018 over 50% of overall compensation for the CEO and other NEOs was delivered through variable compensation.

Annual Cash Compensation

Base Salary

A base salary is provided to our Executive Officers. The level of base salary is reviewed on an annual basis and is adjusted, if appropriate, to recognize the scope and complexity of a role, market data and individual performance. The Compensation Committee targets base salary at the median (50th percentile) of the survey group but considers other factors including individual experience and expertise, overall performance, internal pay equity and contribution to the Corporation. We believe that this methodology enables us to remain competitive as an employer in our markets without incurring unnecessary costs. In the case of Mr. Williams, the Compensation Committee views Mr. Williams as key to the Corporation's continued success, given his unique skills and experience and his long and successful tenure as CEO, and therefore determined that it was appropriate to benchmark his base salary in the upper quartile of the relevant market.

2018 Salary Increases

The survey data for 2018 indicated base salaries were generally in line with the market, apart from in a small number of cases, where salaries were around 10% to 15% below the market. As a result, the 2018 base salary level increases for the NEOs, excluding the CEO, were on average 5.5%. Mr. Williams' base salary was increased by 6.0% to \$1,068,480 which was within 15% of the average base salary for CEOs in the peer group of companies and broadly in line with the upper quartile of the U.S. survey group.

Following the 2018 increases, base salaries for the NEOs were all within a 10% range of the market median data, which, based on the advice of their independent compensation advisor, the Compensation Committee believes is an appropriate salary range, given the experience of the NEOs.

Annual Incentives

The Corporation's Management Incentive Compensation Plan (MICP) is a short-term incentive plan which provides for cash payments which are driven by annual performance. Payments are based on achievement against pre-determined financial goals set by the Board each year. Targets are set for corporate performance and business unit performance (where appropriate) and for personal performance against objectives. All payments under the MICP are subject to an overall corporate performance threshold of 90% of the agreed target for the year: if this is not achieved, no payments under the MICP are made to any individual, regardless of personal and business unit performance.

Further, where an individual's payment under the MICP includes a financial measure for a business unit, the business unit must also achieve a minimum of 90% of the target or the individual will not receive any MICP bonus for that year for that element, irrespective of overall corporate and personal performance.

Table of Contents

The MICP incentive payment opportunity and split between corporate and personal objectives are shown in the following table:

Proportion of MICP bonus at target split:				
	Corporate/ Business Performance	Personal objectives	Target MICP Bonus as % of salary	Maximum MICP Bonus as % of salary
CEO	80% of target bonus	20% of target bonus	75%	172.5%
Senior Executive Officers	80% of target bonus	20% of target bonus	50%	115%

The Compensation Committee reviews the allocation between business and personal performance each year to ensure it is appropriate.

The performance measures are established by the Compensation Committee and reviewed by them each year to ensure they remain appropriate and focus on delivery of high performance while recognizing the economic and business challenges the Corporation faces. In 2018, the Compensation Committee determined that, consistent with the approach taken in the previous year, the appropriate measures were corporate operating income before restructuring, which is a measure of earnings, and corporate free cash flow, which is seen as a measure of working capital management. The metrics are set at the start of the year and approved by the Compensation Committee. Corporate operating income before restructuring is operating income adjusted to exclude certain one-time/nonrecurring restructuring costs, such as severance payments from the calculations, because they are not reflective of our underlying operations for the particular period in which they are recorded and, therefore, mask our underlying operating trends. Corporate free cash flow represents corporate operating cash flow after capital expenditure and before the cash effect of restructuring. These one-time/nonrecurring items are approved by the Compensation Committee. Corporate operating income before restructuring and corporate free cash flow are non-GAAP measures. In addition, the Compensation Committee determines whether the performance measures for any NEO should also include operating income and operating cash flow for the relevant individual businesses, based on the NEO's specific role and responsibilities. This determination is made at the start of the year. These measures were chosen as they are designed to align the NEOs with the balanced objectives of increasing earnings and improving cash flow through working capital management, which the Compensation Committee believes are key to the success of the Corporation. Personal objectives are specific to the particular business unit(s) or function within which the Executive Officer operates. In addition to the personal element shown above, if an individual's overall performance assessment for the year is below satisfactory, then no MICP bonus is paid to that individual at all.

The levels of MICP target bonus are reviewed periodically and are targeted at the median level against the market. The target and maximum bonus percentages for the CEO are within 25% of the average levels for CEOs in the peer group of companies and the median levels in the U.S. survey group, which the Compensation Committee believes to be appropriate.

Maximum incentive payments under the MICP are awarded when the Corporation or, where relevant, an individual business unit exceeds its target performance measures by 30%.

No awards are made under the MICP until the annual business results have been audited by the independent registered public accounting firm and approved by both the Audit Committee of the Board and the full Board.

A provision exists which allows for potential claw-back of bonuses already paid to all Executive Officers if, at some point in the future, it is identified that the audited annual financial results need to be materially restated.

In 2018, for all NEOs, MICP incentive payments were based on achievement of targets set for corporate operating income (before restructuring) and corporate free cash flow. In the case of Dr. Boon, in addition to

Table of Contents

corporate targets, a proportion of his MICP incentive payment was based on achievement of targets set for operating cash flow for the global Fuel Specialties business unit and the achievement of targets set for the operating income for the regional Fuel Specialties businesses, with a proportion based on the operating income for both the global Performance Chemicals business unit and the global Oilfield Specialties business unit.

The consolidated financial performance targets set for annual MICP incentive payments purposes and the actual level achieved for the Corporation as a whole in 2018 were as follows:

Financial Performance Measure	Target Set for Annual MICP Bonus Purposes	Actual Achieved for MICP Bonus Purposes	Achievement as % of Target
Corporate Operating Income (before restructuring)	\$129.05 million	\$147.872 million	115%
Corporate Free Cash Flow	\$60.71 million	\$72.049 million	119%

As a result, MICP bonus levels for that part of the overall MICP incentive payment based on consolidated operating income were paid at 175% of the target MICP bonus levels and at 195% of the target level for that part of the overall MICP bonus based on consolidated operating cash flow.

In 2018 the Fuel Specialties regional businesses achieved 105%, 97% and 111% of the targets set for operating income. As a result, in the case of Dr. Boon, MICP bonus levels for those parts of his overall MICP bonus based on operating income for the relevant regional Fuel Specialties businesses unit were paid at 125%, 85% and 155% of the target levels, respectively. The Performance Chemicals business unit achieved 124% of the target set for operating income, and the Oilfield Services business achieved 134% of the target set for operating income. As a result, MICP bonus levels for those parts of Dr. Boon's overall MICP bonus based on operating income for the Performance Chemicals business unit and the Oilfield Specialties business unit were paid at 220% and 250% of the target levels, respectively.

In assessing the individual performance on personal objectives for each NEO, the Compensation Committee uses the following process.

Annual personal objectives for each NEO are established by the Compensation Committee at the start of the financial year. These objectives are also designed to focus on delivery of high performance and take into account the economic and business challenges the Corporation faces. The Compensation Committee annually reviews the scoring mechanism for the personal objectives to ensure it rewards performance appropriately. Each objective is weighted to give a maximum potential total score of 50. A good performance on the personal objectives is defined as achieving an overall score at the end of the year of 36 to 40 and earns the target level for the 20% based on personal objectives. Achievement of the maximum score of 46 to 50 represents exceptional performance against the personal objectives and increases the 20% of the overall target MICP bonus based on personal objectives by a factor of 50%. The relationship between score on personal objectives and the amount of MICP bonus earned for personal performance is shown below:

Marks out of 50

% of the 20% of MICP bonus

	based on personal objectives earned
46 - 50	150
41 - 45	125
36 - 40	100
31 - 35	50
26 - 30	25
25 or less	0

Table of Contents

At the end of the year, as part of the annual performance review process, the performance against each objective is reviewed and marked against the weighting set at the start of the year to give a total score out of 50. In the case of the CEO, this assessment is done by the Chairman of the Compensation Committee and the resultant score and assessment for each objective is reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee as a whole prior to review and approval by the full Board. In the case of the other NEOs, the assessment is done by the CEO, who reviews the objectives and proposes a mark for each objective against the weighting set at the start of the year. This, together with the underlying rationale, is reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee prior to review and approval by the independent members of the full Board.

The following table summarizes the incentive payments made under the MICP for 2018 performance for each of the NEOs, including selected relevant information about their performances:

Executive	Annual Incentive MICP Target MICP Incentive Payment as % of Base Salary	Assessment of Personal Objectives	Achieved MICP Incentive Payment as % of Base Salary	MICP Incentive Award
Mr. Patrick S. Williams				
President and Chief Executive Officer	75%	47	130.5%	\$ 1,394,366
Mr. Ian P. Cleminson				
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	50%	46	87%	\$ 373,004
Dr. Philip J. Boon				
Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	50%	46	80.8%	\$ 306,810
Mr. Brian R. Watt				
Senior Vice President, Corporate Development and Investor Relations	50%	46	87%	\$ 236,229
Dr. Ian M. McRobbie				
Senior Vice President, Research and Technology	50%	47	87%	\$ 213,731

The scoring for the personal objectives includes consideration of the following factors:

Mr. Williams led the development and implementation of a growth strategy for the Corporation, including the development of new products and market segments. In particular, Mr. Williams initiated a review to identify opportunities to drive innovation and new product development, which resulted in streamlining the Corporation's facilities in Europe to create centers of excellence for R&D and technical service and the closure of the Everberg facility in Belgium. He has also continued to drive the development of new markets for the Performance Chemicals business. In addition, Mr. Williams has provided strong leadership to the Oilfield Specialties business to deliver improved operating performance in this business and investment in a new manufacturing facility to support growth in

new market segments. He has also driven the development and implementation of robust succession plans for the key leadership roles in the Corporation. The Corporation has also exceeded the target set for safety across the Corporation, with the lost time accident rate across the whole Corporation less than that of the industry average in the year. Based on his personal performance and the overall strong results of the Corporation in a difficult economic and competitive environment, the independent members of the full Board approved the Compensation Committee's recommendations for Mr. Williams to be awarded a bonus of \$1,394,366.

Mr. Cleminson has driven the development of the Corporation's plans to manage the implications of new tax reforms. In addition, he has provided strong financial leadership to the Oilfield Specialties business, working closely with Mr. Williams to improve operating performance in this business. He has also led the on-going evolution and enhancement of our banking relationships and played a key role in the continued development of our Investor Relations strategy. He has played an important role in overseeing the Sarbanes- Oxley control

Table of Contents

policies and processes for the Corporation and had a key role in the continued development and management of our Compliance program.

Dr. Boon delivered good business results in operating income for the Fuel Specialties business in a very competitive global market. In addition, he has provided strong leadership to the Fuel Specialties business in the Americas and implemented new programs to improve focus and efficiency to position the business for continued growth. He has also spearheaded the drive to utilize the new subsidiary in China to source more cost effective raw materials in the region for the Corporation. In addition, he has driven the identification and delivery of a number of significant new commercial opportunities in very competitive markets.

Mr. Watt has led the development of the overall strategy for the Corporation, working closely with Mr. Williams. He has also led an extensive review of the Corporation's risk management strategy and played an important role in reducing the cost of insurance for the Corporation, without any reduction in coverage. He has improved the efficiency and effectiveness of the Corporate Development process for the Corporation, working closely with all three main businesses. He also continued to develop and manage our Investor Relations strategy.

Dr. McRobbie has continued to lead the development and commercialization of new products in both Performance Chemicals and Fuel Specialties. In particular, he has spearheaded the development of a new product strategy for key market segments in Performance Chemicals, including the identification of new technologies and applications. He has also led the design, build and commissioning of a new manufacturing facility for our Oilfield Specialties business and delivered this key strategic initiative in a very tight timescale. He also initiated a number of major joint research projects with a number of key strategic commercial partners.

Long-Term Incentives

The Compensation Committee believes that equity based long-term incentive awards are an important element of the overall compensation for the Corporation's Executive Officers. They are designed to give a focus on achievement of long-term performance goals that help create long-term value for stockholders, act as long-term retention incentives for executives and, through the ownership of Common Stock of the Corporation, encourage long-term strategic decision-making that is aligned with the interests of stockholders.

Long-Term Incentive Plans

The Corporation operated two equity-based incentive plans in which the NEOs received awards in 2018, the CSOP and the PRSOP, both of which provided for options exercisable for common stock as well as stock equivalent units (SEUs) which are payable in cash based on stock price. The terms and features of the CSOP and PRSOP are described below.

The CSOP and the PRSOP both expired in May 2018 and no further options were granted under these plans after that date. There are options granted under these plans that remain outstanding.

At the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the stockholders approved a new equity-based incentive plan, the Innospec Inc. 2018 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan (Omnibus Plan). The Omnibus Plan provides for the grant of non-qualified and incentive stock options, full value awards and cash incentive awards. No awards were made under the Omnibus Plan to any of the NEOs in 2018. Awards were granted under the Omnibus Plan in 2019.

Company Stock Option Plan (CSOP)

Under the CSOP, options were granted at market value and become exercisable after three years, with all options vesting at the end of the three-year period. All options have a ten-year term. Options were granted

Table of Contents

within twenty days after the public announcement of the Corporation's annual financial results or similar information. Except in certain circumstances, participants must remain in employment with the Corporation in order to be able to exercise their options. The exceptions to this include death, injury, ill-health or disability, redundancy and the transfer of the part of the business within which the option holder works. In these cases, under the rules of the CSOP, options vest and the holder has a twelve-month period within which to exercise the options.

In the event of a change of control of the Corporation, under the rules of the CSOP, all options become immediately exercisable.

Performance Related Stock Option Plan (PRSOP)

Under the PRSOP, options were granted at no cost and become exercisable normally after three years, provided that specified performance criteria are achieved. All options have a ten-year term. However, if an option was granted to a participant who is, or would otherwise be, subject to Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, with an exercise price less than the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant, it must be exercised (if at all) no later than March 15 of the calendar year immediately following the calendar year in which it is first capable of exercise under the PRSOP. The performance criteria that are set are designed to be stretch targets which focus on delivery of high performance and enhancing stockholder value, while recognizing the economic and business challenges the Corporation faces. The performance criteria were regularly reviewed to ensure that they remained relevant and stretching. The criteria for awards made in 2018 are based on relative performance of total stockholder return versus the Russell 2000 index, measured over a three-year period starting with the financial year of the date of grant, the growth in sales revenue for the Corporation, excluding the Octane Additives business and the growth in earnings per share, excluding the Octane Additives business. The Compensation Committee determined that, given the expected continued decline in the Octane Additives business, these measures would provide the appropriate focus on the continued growth of the Corporation together with delivering stockholder value. The following levels of growth must be achieved before awards vest:

Relative performance of Total Shareholder**Return (TSR) vs. Russell 2000 index**

110%
100%
90%
80%
70%
Less than 70%

**Proportion of the 35% allocated to
TSR vesting**

100%
90%
80%
70%
60%
0 (nil)

Growth in Revenue excluding Octane**Additives in 2020 vs. 2018 budget**

Total growth vs. 2018 budget 8%
Total growth vs. 2018 budget 6%
Total growth vs. 2018 budget 4%

**Proportion of 30% allocated to
growth in Revenue vesting**

100%
60%
20%

Less than 4%

0 (nil)

30

Table of Contents

Growth in Earnings per Share (EPS) excluding	
Octane Additives in 2020 vs. 2018 budget	Proportion of 35% allocated to growth in EPS vesting
Total growth vs. 2018 budget 3%	100%
Total growth vs. 2018 budget 2%	60%
Total growth vs. 2018 budget 1%	20%
Less than 1%	0 (nil)

Awards vest on a straight line basis between each threshold. For example, a total growth in EPS of 2.5% vs. the 2018 budget would result in 80% of the options vesting.

The grants were issued on a date set by the Compensation Committee each year. This was usually after the public announcement of the annual financial results. The Compensation Committee determined the grant date to be used in advance and the stock price used was typically the closing stock price at the end of the day prior to the agreed grant date.

If participants cease to be employed with the Corporation prior to the end of the vesting period, awards will lapse unless the Compensation Committee determines otherwise.

In the event of a change of control of the Corporation, under the rules of the PRSOP, all options become immediately exercisable.

Stock Equivalent Units (SEUs)

Equity based awards, payable in cash, were made in the form of SEUs. SEUs were granted separately under either or both of the CSOP and PRSOP. The SEUs may be exercised separately from options that have been granted under the corresponding plan and such SEU exercise has no impact on those options. Two types of SEU awards have been made under these plans.

1. SEUs granted at market value under the CSOP. These can be exercised after three years and expire ten years after grant.
2. SEUs granted under the PRSOP, with an exercise price of zero. These can be exercised after three years, provided that specific performance criteria are met, and expire ten years after grant. However, if SEUs were granted to a participant who is or would otherwise be subject to Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, with an exercise price less than the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant, it must be exercised (if at all) no later than March 15 of the calendar year immediately following the calendar year in which it is first capable of exercise under the PRSOP. The performance criteria were set at the time of grant by the Compensation Committee and are designed to be stretch targets, which focus on delivery of high performance and enhancing stockholder value. The criteria for awards made in 2018 were the same criteria as were used for the PRSOP stock option awards and are disclosed under the section on PRSOP.

The performance criteria set in any one year were normally used for both SEU awards and any stock option awards under the PRSOP.

The value of the SEU once vested will be equal to the closing stock price of the Corporation on the date it is exchanged for cash. The grants of SEUs are issued on a date set by the Compensation Committee each year. This is usually after the public announcement of the annual financial results. The Committee determines the grant date to be used in advance and the stock price used is typically the closing stock price at the end of the day prior to the agreed grant date.

Table of Contents

If participants cease to be employed with the Corporation prior to the end of the vesting period, the SEUs will lapse unless the Compensation Committee determines otherwise.

The SEUs together with the options granted under the CSOP and PRSOP are intended to deliver an overall long-term incentive award in line with the grant policy as detailed below.

Grant Policy

In setting the policy for the CSOP and PRSOP, the Compensation Committee considered market median practice in both the U.S. and the U.K., given the number of executives who are based in the U.K. The grant policy provides for target amounts as follows:

	Grants of CSOPs and SEUs at market price as % of base salary	Grants of PRSOPs and zero priced SEUs as % of base salary
Chief Executive Officer	30%	220%
Executive Officers	20%	90%

The Compensation Committee determined the actual levels of grant utilizing the following matrices taking account of personal performance where:

Rating 1	=	Outstanding performance	-	150% of policy is granted
Rating 2	=	Exceeding expectations	-	125% of policy is granted
Rating 3	=	Good performance	-	100% of policy is granted
Rating 4 or 5	=	Below Expectations	-	No grant is made

The personal rating impacts the amount of actual grant awarded as follows:

Chief Executive Officer			Executive Officers		
Performance rating	Grants of CSOPs and market price SEUs as % of base salary	Grants of PRSOPs and zero priced SEUs as % of base salary	Performance rating	Grants of CSOPs and market price SEUs as % of base salary	Grants of PRSOPs and zero priced SEUs as % of base salary
1	45	330	1	30	135
2	37.5	275	2	25	112.5

Edgar Filing: INNOSPEC INC. - Form DEF 14A

3	30	220	3	20	90
4	0	0	4	0	0
5	0	0	5	0	0

The performance of the Executive Officers, other than the CEO, is assessed by the CEO and the Compensation Committee. The CEO recommends a rating to the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee reviews these and separately assesses the performance of the CEO and makes a final recommendation on performance ratings for all Executive Officers to the full Board for approval. This provides for a rigorous performance-related grant policy, in addition to the performance elements of the grants themselves.

In 2018, Mr. Williams was rated as 1 for his 2017 performance and as such was eligible for long-term incentive awards at 150% of the policy levels for this role. In the case of the other NEOs, based on the assessment of their individual performance as approved by the Compensation Committee, Mr. Cleminson, Dr. Boon, Dr. McRobbie and Mr. Watt were eligible for awards at 150% of the policy level.

Table of Contents

As previously disclosed, from 2012, 75% of the awards due under the policy will be made in the form of option grants under the CSOP and PRSOP plans, with the remaining 25% made in the form of SEUs. The Compensation Committee have also determined that in order to help manage option utilization rates and burn rates, the level of option grants in any one year should be restricted to a burn rate of no more than 1% of the Corporation's stock outstanding with the balance of long-term incentives provided for under the policy bridged using SEUs. In 2018, the level of option grants under the policy was less than 1% of the Corporation's stock outstanding.

Exceptional Stock Option Awards

The Compensation Committee also had the discretion to grant options or SEUs under the CSOP or PRSOP outside of the stated policy to reflect extraordinary corporate performance. In addition, the Compensation Committee had the discretion to grant options or SEUs under the CSOP or PRSOP outside of the standard policy levels and annual grant process for retention or recruitment purposes. In 2018, no such awards were made to any of the NEOs.

Additional Long-Term Incentive Plan

The acquisition of the Huntsman European Differentiated Surfactants (EDS) business at the end of 2016 represented a major step in the development of the Corporation. At the same time, the Board recognized the importance of both robust succession planning for the executive officers over the next 3-5 years and retaining the current team during this period. As a result, the Compensation Committee recommended an additional long-term incentive plan designed to focus key executives on delivering a return on the investment on the acquisition by its successful integration and on the sustained growth of the larger business and, for the senior executives, delivering on the agreed succession plans for the key roles. The new plan was approved by the Board in February 2018. The plan covers a three-year period that commenced in January 2018 and will end on December 31, 2020. Under this plan, a cash incentive award will be payable to eligible participants based on achievement of specified performance measures. There are two levels to the plan, Level A and Level B. The performance measures and weightings for Level A participants are:

- 🌑 40% weighting on the achievement of a stretch Earnings per Share (EPS) target for 2020, excluding the Octane Additives business, which would deliver an increase in EPS of over 40%, excluding the Octane Additives business, versus the 2017 achieved level.
- 🌑 40% weighting on delivery of the earnings before tax in the acquisition business plan for the EDS acquisition.
- 🌑 20% weighting on the delivery of the agreed succession plans and associated actions for key roles, as approved by the Board, by end 2020.

The performance measures for Level B participants are the same as for Level A participants excluding the measure relating to delivery of succession plans for key roles. In the case of Level B participants, there are three alternative weighting options for the performance measure as follows:

Performance Measure	Weightings Option 1	Weightings Option 2	Weightings Option 3
Achievement of stretch EPS target	70%	50%	30%

Achievement of earnings
before tax target in EDS
acquisition business plan

30%

50%

70%

The weighting option for a Level B participant is determined based on their role and responsibilities.

Table of Contents

The following levels of each performance measure must be achieved before awards may vest:

% of Stretch EPS Target, excluding TEL for 2020	% of potential pay-out for EPS measure
100%	100%
95%	80%
90%	60%
Below 90%	0

% of target EBIT for the EDS acquisitions achieved in 2020	% of potential pay-out for acquisition measure
110%	100%
100%	80%
90%	60%
Below 90%	0

Achievement of agreed succession plan measures assessed by Compensation Committee and scored out of 20	% of Potential Pay-out for Succession Plan Measure
20	100%
19	95%
18	90%
17	85%

16	80%
15	75%
Less than 15	0

Any pay-out for the earnings before tax measure for the EDS acquisition is subject to an overall floor set at 75% of the cumulative target earnings before tax over the three years of the plan i.e. 2018, 2019 and 2020. If the cumulative target earnings before tax is less than this floor, then no pay-out will be made for this element, regardless of the actual earnings before tax achieved for 2020.

The maximum aggregate amount payable under the plan during the three-year life of the plan is \$15 million. The amount was set at a level which would be an incentive for participants and, by incorporating EPS as a measure, would deliver value to stockholders. Participants have to be still in employment with the Corporation at the end of the period in the same or similar role and must have achieved a minimum of a 3 (Good Performer) performance rating in each year of the plan in order to be eligible to receive any payment under this plan. In exceptional circumstances, the Compensation Committee can, at its absolute discretion, award some or all of any potential payment to a participant who leaves the Corporation prior to the end of the performance period if they leave due to injury, disability, ill-health or death. Eligibility for participation in the plan was at the discretion of the Compensation Committee subject to approval by the Board. Mr. Williams, Mr. Cleminson, Dr. Boon, Dr. McRobbie and Mr. Watt are all participating in the Plan as Level A participants.

Table of Contents

There are six categories of participation for Level A participants and five categories for Level B participants. The maximum incentive award for each participant at each category is as follows:

Level A	Maximum Incentive Award Payable	Total number of participants at each level
Category 1	\$4,175,000	1
Category 2	\$1,350,000	1
Category 3	\$1,250,000	1
Category 4	\$800,000	2
Category 5	\$750,000	1
Category 6	\$555,000	2

Level B	Maximum Incentive Award Payable	Total number of participants at each level
Category 1	\$500,000	3
Category 2	\$300,000	2
Category 3	\$275,000	3
Category 4	\$250,000	1
Category 5	\$200,000	8

In the case of the NEOs, the Compensation Committee determined that Mr. Williams was eligible to participate in the Plan at Level A-Category 1, Dr. Boon was eligible to participate in the plan at Level A-Category 2, Mr. Cleminson at Level A-Category 3, Mr. Watt at Level A-Category 4 and Dr. McRobbie at Level A-Category 6

In the event of a change of control of the Corporation, the targets for the measures in the additional long-term incentive plan will be deemed to have been fully achieved and participants will receive the maximum incentive award payable as detailed above.

A provision exists which allows for potential claw-back of any payment made under the additional long-term incentive plan to any participant if, within 2 years of any payment made, it is identified that the audited annual financial results need to be materially restated. The additional long-term incentive plan also provides for the potential claw-back of any payment made under such plan to an individual participant if, within 2 years of any payment, the actions of such participant bring the Corporation into disrepute, as determined by the Compensation Committee, regardless of whether the participant is still employed by the Corporation or not in that period. Based on the performance of the different measures since the plan was introduced in January 2018, a total payment of \$11,763,350 would be due if payments were due under this plan on December 31 2018. The absolute amount of any payment will however not be determined until February 2021 at which time payment may be zero if each of the performance measures does not achieve the threshold levels above.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

To further align stockholder and Executive Officer interests, the Corporation has adopted a minimum stockholding requirement for the Executive Officers. The CEO is required to acquire and hold stock valued at the equivalent of four times his base salary and all other Executive Officers are required to acquire and hold stock valued at the equivalent of two times their base salary. Only stock which is registered in the Executive Officer's name or held beneficially in street name on behalf of such Executive Officer are taken into account for these purposes. Unvested equity awards are not

taken into account. At the end of 2018, the stockholding of the CEO equated to 12.0 times his year-end salary using the average stock price during 2018 of \$72.84.

Table of Contents

The stockholding of each of the other NEOs was also greater than 200% of their year-end salary using the same average stock price for 2018. The Compensation Committee determined that there should also be a similar minimum stockholding requirement for the NEDs. All NEDs are required to acquire and hold stock valued at the equivalent of two times their annual retainer. At the end of 2018, the stockholding for all the NEDs, except Mr. Padfield and Mr. Landless, was also greater than 200% of the annual retainer. The new levels of stockholding for NEDs and Executive Officers must be reached within five years of appointment or the introduction of this policy, whichever is later, and Mr. Padfield and Mr. Landless therefore have one and two more years, respectively, to reach the required level.

Other Benefits and Perquisites

These are provided as appropriate and are set by reference to median market practice. They generally consist of pension arrangements, company car or car allowance, life, disability and medical cover. There are no non-qualified deferred compensation plans. Full details are set out in the table *All Other Compensation*, following the *Summary Compensation Table*.

Post-termination Compensation

Post-termination arrangements vary depending on the nature of the termination event and are designed to be in accordance with U.S. and U.K. market norms, depending on where the executive is based. Full details are set out in the footnotes to the *Post Employment Payments* table.

Employment Agreements

Each of the NEOs has a rolling twelve-month employment agreement with the Corporation. Under these agreements, the Corporation can terminate the agreement by giving one year's notice to the NEO. In the case of Mr. Williams, he can terminate the agreement by giving the Corporation one year's notice, while the other NEOs are required to give the Corporation six months' notice if they wish to terminate the agreement. The employment agreement for each of the NEOs also includes a *Change of Control* clause. This specifies that, in the event of a change in control of the Corporation, if the Corporation terminates the NEO within twelve months of the change of control, or if the NEO terminates his employment within twelve months for good reason, the NEO will be entitled to a compensation payment. If the Corporation terminates the employment of the NEO during this period, the payment is calculated as twenty-four months' compensation defined as base salary, bonus at target and any car allowance from the date of notice of termination. If the NEO terminates his employment, the payment is calculated as twenty-four months' compensation, defined as above, from the date of the change of control. In addition, under the rules of the stock option plans, all options would vest on the change of control. The NEOs are treated in the same way as other employees who hold options under the plans. Change of control is deemed to have occurred if a person or group becomes the beneficial owner of 30% or more of the combined voting power of the Corporation; there is a consolidation or merger and the Corporation is not the surviving Corporation; the stockholders of the Corporation approve plans or proposals for a liquidation or dissolution of the Corporation or, if following a cash offer or merger, the members of the Board cease to constitute a majority of the Board. In addition, under their employment agreement, each of the NEOs, including the CEO and the CFO, is subject to a twelve month non-solicitation period, with respect to customers and employees, and a twelve month non-compete period, from the date their employment with the Corporation ends.

Indemnification Agreements

The Corporation has entered into indemnification agreements with each of the directors and NEOs in furtherance of the indemnification provisions contained in the Corporation's Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws, which

indemnify the directors and officers of the Corporation to the fullest extent authorized or permitted by law. The indemnification agreements provide for indemnification arising out of specified indemnifiable events, such as events relating to the fact that the indemnitee is or was a director or officer or

Table of Contents

agent of the Corporation or any subsidiary of the Corporation or is or was a director, officer member, manager, trustee or agent of another entity at the request of the Corporation, including any action or inaction by the indemnitee in such a capacity. The indemnification agreements provide for advancement of expenses prior to final adjudication of the claim. To the extent that indemnification is unavailable, the agreements provide for contribution. The indemnification agreements set forth procedures relating to indemnification claims. The agreements also provide for maintenance of directors and officers liability insurance.

All Employee Sharesave Plan

The Corporation provides a broad based employee stock purchase plan, which gives eligible employees the right to acquire Common Stock through payroll deductions over a pre-determined period at a purchase price which reflects a 15% discount (20% for participants outside of the U.S.) to the market price of our stock. No participant may purchase more than \$25,000 in value of Common Stocks under this plan in any calendar year. All of our NEOs participated in the Sharesave Plan in 2018.

U.S. Tax Matters

Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 162(m) limits the deductibility of annual compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to covered employees (as defined by the IRC) of the Corporation. On December 22, 2017, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the Act) was enacted, which, among other things, eliminated the ability of companies to rely on the performance-based compensation exception under Section 162(m) and expanded the definition of covered employee. The changes to IRC Section 162(m) became effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017. The Act includes a transition rule so that these changes do not apply to compensation paid pursuant to a binding written contract that was in effect on November 2, 2017 and that was not materially modified on or after such date.

As a result, beginning in 2018, the Corporation is no longer able to take a deduction for any compensation paid to our NEOs in excess of \$1 million unless the compensation originally qualified for the performance-based compensation exception and qualifies for transition relief applicable to certain arrangements in place on November 2, 2017. Despite the Compensation Committee's efforts to structure the executive compensation in a manner intended to be exempt from Section 162(m), and therefore not subject to its deduction limits, because of ambiguities and uncertainties as to the application and interpretation of Section 162(m) and the guidance issued thereunder as amended by the Act, the application of the transition rule may be of limited future value with respect to the preservation of the deduction of compensation payable to covered employees in excess of the Section 162(m) limits.

The Act also expanded the definition of covered employee. For 2017, our covered employees included the CEO and other NEOs (but not the CFO) who were Executive Officers as of the last day of our fiscal year. For 2018 and after, our covered employees generally include anyone who (i) was the CEO or CFO at any time during the year, (ii) was one of the other NEOs who was an Executive Officer as of the last day of the fiscal year, and (iii) was a covered employee for any previous year after 2016.

As with prior years, although the Compensation Committee will consider deductibility under IRC Section 162(m) with respect to the compensation arrangements for Executive Officers, deductibility will not be the sole factor used in determining appropriate levels or methods of compensation. Since our compensation objectives may not always be consistent with the requirements for full deductibility, we and our subsidiaries may enter into or modify compensation arrangements under which payments would not be deductible under Section 162(m) if the Compensation Committee believes that it is in the best interest of the Corporation and its stockholders.

In addition, IRC Section 409A imposes restrictions on nonqualified deferred compensation plans. The deferred compensation plans maintained by the Corporation are structured to either be exempt from the requirements of IRC Section 409A or, if not exempt, to satisfy the requirements of IRC Section 409A, and the

Table of Contents

Corporation has reviewed and, where appropriate, has amended each of its deferred compensation plans to meet the requirements of IRC Section 409A.

Impact of Accounting Treatment

The Corporation accounts for employee stock options and its employee Sharesave plan in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. For further information on stock-based compensation, see Note 17 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2018.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee assists the Board of Directors in its oversight of the Corporation's compensation process. The Compensation Committee's responsibilities are more fully described in its charter, which is accessible on Innospec's website at www.innospecinc.com/corporate-governance.

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based on that review and those discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Corporation's 2019 Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference into the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018. This report is provided by the following independent directors, who comprise the Compensation Committee.

No portion of this Compensation Committee Report shall be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended ("Securities Act") or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ("Exchange Act"), through any general statement incorporating by reference in its entirety the Proxy Statement in which this report appears, except to the extent that the Corporation specifically incorporates this report or a portion of it by reference. In addition, this report shall not be deemed to be filed under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

JOACHIM ROESER, Chair

MILTON C. BLACKMORE

LAWRENCE J. PADFIELD

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION TABLES

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name & Principal Position		Salary	Bonus	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Non Equity Incentive Compensation	Change in Pension fund value and other deferred benefits	All Other Compensation	Total
(1)		(2)	(2)	(3)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
	Year	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Patrick S. Williams	2018	1,089,028	-	-	2,836,652	1,394,366	-	93,222	5,413,268
President and Chief Executive Officer	2017	1,026,462	-	-	4,491,214	1,738,800	-	98,655	7,355,131
Officer	2016	977,692	243,881	-	1,091,109	4,428,000	-	95,862	6,836,544
Ian P. Cleminson	2018	358,330	-	-	425,136	315,645	-	97,354	1,196,465
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2017	330,844	-	-	545,255	386,324	-	91,617	1,354,040
Officer	2016	303,674	113,134	-	193,011	1,108,300	-	83,786	1,801,905
Philip J. Boon	2018	389,154	-	-	465,359	317,765	-	108,815	1,281,093
Executive Vice President,	2017	362,132	-	-	584,094	305,782	-136,618	103,159	1,218,549
Officer	2016	334,277	131,791	-	218,685	1,308,947	-	-	2,093,700

and Chief
Operating Officer